### CONTRACT NO: CV/2012/07

## DEVELOPMENT AT ANDERSON ROAD - FOOTBRIDGE D AND ASSOCIATED WORKS AREA

# MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT - FEBRUARY 2014 -

**CLIENTS:** 

**Lam-Po Wing Joint Venture** 

PREPARED BY:

Lam Environmental Services
Limited

11/F Centre Point 181-185 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, H.K.

Telephone: (852) 2882-3939 Facsimile: (852) 2882-3331 E-mail: info@lamenviro.com

Website: http://www.lamenviro.com

**CERTIFIED BY:** 

Derek Lo

**Environmental Team Leader** 

DATE:

08 March 2014

Development at Anderson Road – Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EX	<b>ECUT</b>	IVE SUMMARY	3
1.	INTI	RODUCTION	5
	1.1 1.2	Scope of the ReportStructure of the Report	5
2.	PRO	JECT BACKGROUND	7
	2.1 2.2 2.3 3.1 4.1 4.2 5.1 5.2 5.3	Background Scope of the Project and Site Description Project Organization and Contact Personnel Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project Noise Monitoring Air Monitoring Noise Monitoring Results Air Monitoring Results Waste Monitoring Results	
6.	COM	IPLIANCE AUDIT	15
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Noise MonitoringAir MonitoringReview of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-complianceSummary of action taken in the event of and follow-up on non-compliance	15
7.	ENV	IRONMENTAL SITE AUDIT	16
8.	COM	IPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	17
9.	CON	CLUSION	18

Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1	Contact Details of Key Personnel
Table 3.1	Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project
Table 4.1	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 4.2	Air Monitoring Station
Table 5.1	Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM1 and NM2
Table 5.2	Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 24 hr TSP Monitoring
Table 5.3	Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 1 hr TSP Monitoring
Table 5.4	Details of Waste Disposal for Contract no. CV/2012/07
Table 7.1	Summary of Environmental Inspections for Contract no. CV/2012/07
Table 8.1	Cumulative Statistics on Complaints
Table 8.2	Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Project Layout
Figure 2.2	Project Organization Chart
Figure 4.1	Locations of Environmental Monitoring Stations

### LIST OF APPENDICES

1

Development at Anderson Road –
Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

i. This is the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Monthly Report – February 2014 project "Development at Anderson Road – Footbridge D and Associated Works Area" (Hereafter called "this Project"). The construction works of this project was commenced on 20 July 2013. This is the 8th month of EM&A report presenting the environmental monitoring findings and information recorded during the period 01 February 2014 to 28 February 2014. The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.

### Construction Activities for the Reported Period

- Slope excavation
- Drainage works
- Soil nail installation
- Piling works

### **Noise Monitoring**

ii. Noise monitoring during daytime was conducted at the stations NM1 and NM2 on a weekly basis in the reporting month. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

### Air Quality Monitoring

iii. Air quality monitoring has been conducted at station AQM1. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

### Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

iv. No complaint and notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this reporting month.

### Site Inspections and Audit

v. The Environmental Team (ET) conducted weekly site inspections for Contract no. CV/2012/07 in the reporting month. Major observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions were rectified by the Contractors. No non-conformance was identified during the site inspections.

### Future Key Issues

- vi. In coming reporting month, the principal work activities of individual contracts are anticipated as follows:
  - Slope excavation
  - Drainage works
  - Soil nail installation
  - Piling works

### Reporting Change

vii. There are no reporting changes in this Reporting Period.

Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

### 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Scope of the Report

- 1.1.1. Lam Environmental Services Limited (LES) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme as stipulated in the EM&A Manual of the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Development of Anderson Road.
- 1.1.2. This report presents the environmental monitoring and auditing work carried out in accordance to the Section 1.4 of EM&A Manual and "Environmental Monitoring and Audit Requirements" under Particular Specification Section 25.
- 1.1.3. The construction works of this project was commenced on 20 July 2013. This report documents the finding of EM&A works for this Project and during the period 01 February 2014 to 28 February 2014. The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.

### 1.2 Structure of the Report

- **Section 1** *Introduction* details the scope and structure of the report.
- **Section 2 Project Background** summarizes background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details of key personnel during the reporting period.
- Section 3 Status of Regulatory Compliance summarizes the status of valid Environmental Permits / Licenses during the reporting period.
- **Section 4** *Monitoring Requirements* summarizes all monitoring parameters, monitoring methodology and equipment, monitoring locations, monitoring frequency, criteria and respective event and action plan and monitoring programmes.
- **Section 5 Monitoring Results** summarizes the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- **Section 6 Compliance Audit** summarizes the auditing of monitoring results, all exceedances environmental parameters.
- Section 7 Cumulative Construction Impact due to the Concurrent Projects summarizes the relevant cumulative construction impact due to the concurrent activities of the concurrent Projects.
- **Section 8 Site Inspection** summarizes the findings of weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period, with a review of any relevant follow-up actions within the reporting period.
- Section 9 Complaints, Notification of summons and Prosecution summarizes the

cumulative statistics on complaints, notification of summons and prosecution

Section 10 Conclusion

Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

### 2. Project Background

### 2.1 Background

- 2.1.1. The main objective of the project "Development at Anderson Road Footbridge D and Associated Works Area" (Hereafter called "this Project") is to construct a footbridge, Footbridge D, and associated lift towers across Shun On Road between the existing Shun Tin Estate and the future development platform.
- 2.1.2. For this project, Tin Wan House (NM1) and Ning Po No.2 College (NM2 and AQM1) are the designated monitoring station during the construction period. Owing to this contract is under the master project and on the other hand, the construction area is vicinity to the monitoring station (On Yat House) ID2 and (Sau Nga House) ID3 of the master project, so that the baseline noise and air quality monitoring will adopt the baseline data from those stations instead of conducting baseline monitoring. All the baseline data are referred to the baseline report from the public domain web site (<a href="www.anderson-road.com/main.htm">www.anderson-road.com/main.htm</a>).
- 2.1.3. The construction works of this project was commenced on 20 July 2013. During the construction phase of the project, air quality (dust) and noise impacts from the development site itself and the adjacent Anderson Road Quarry and other nearby construction sites are identified as the major environmental issues of concern. Besides, waste management is also identified in the EIA study as another environmental issue during the construction phase of the project that requires mitigation measures.

### 2.2 Scope of the Project and Site Description

- 2.2.1. The Project is located mainly near Shun Tin Estate and Ning Po No.2 College, as shown in *Figure 2.1*.
- 2.2.2. The scope of the Project comprises:
  - Construction of footbridge and associated lift towers between Shun On Road and future platform at +152mPD and across Shun On Road. In Conjunction with these footbridge works are the associated furniture, drainage system, irrigation system and traffic signs.
  - Construction of drainage system for diversion of an existing stream on the slop[e adjoining Footbridge D.
  - Site formation and associated slopeworks for Footbridge D adjacent to Shun On Road.

### 2.3 Project Organization and Contact Personnel

2.4.1. Civil Engineering and Development Department is the overall project controllers for this project. For the construction phase of the Project, Project Engineer, Contractor(s), Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Checker are appointed to manage and control environmental issues.

2.4.2. The proposed project organization and lines of communication with respect to environmental protection works are shown in *Figure 2.2*. Key personnel and contact particulars are summarized in *Table 2.1*:

Table 2.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Role	Post	Name	Contact No.	Contact Fax
Ove Arup	Engineer	Chief Resident Engineer	Dennis Leung	3656 3000	3656 1000
		Resident Engineer	Kenneth Lee	3656 3000	3656 1000
Lam-Po Wing Joint Venture	Contractor under Contract no. CV/2012/07	Project Manager	K.C. Wong	2318 0281	3171 7222
		Site Agent	T.L. Lo	2318 0281	
		Safety Officer	K.W. Lau	2318 0281	
		Environmental Officer	K.I. lp	2318 0281	
ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Mr. David Yeung	3465 2888	3465 2899
Lam Environmental Services Limited	Environmental Team (ET)	Environmental Team Leader (ETL)	Mr. Derek Lo	2882 3939	2882 3331

- 2.4.3. For Contract no. CV/2012/07, the principal work activities in this reporting month included:
  - Slope excavation
  - Drainage works
  - Soil nail installation
  - Piling works
- 2.4.4. In coming reporting month, the principal work activities of individual contracts are anticipated as follows:
  - Slope excavation
  - Drainage works
  - · Soil nail installation
  - Piling works
- 3. Status of Regulatory Compliance
- 3.1 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project

pertinent to the Project is shown in Table 3.1.

3.1.1. A summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection

Table 3.1 Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project

Permits and/or Licences	Reference No.	Issued Date	Valid Period/ Expiry Date	Status
Discharge Licence	WT00015447-2013	27 March 2013	31 March 2018	Valid
Billing Account under Waste Disposal Ordinance	7017083	15 March 2013	N/A	Valid
The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	355705	14 February 2013	N/A	Valid
Form A – Application for Water Pollution Control Licence	355706	14 February 2013	N/A	Valid
Application for Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer				Application in progress

### 4. Monitoring Requirements

### 4.1 Noise Monitoring

### NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

4.1.1. The noise monitoring has been undertaken at the designated locations Tin Wan House (NM1) and Ning Po No.2 College (NM2). The detailed information of monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.1* and *Figure 4.1*. *Appendix 4.1* shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the monitoring works.

Table 4.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

Station ID	Monitoring Location
NM1	G/F of Tin Wan House
NM2	G/F of Ning Po No.2 College

### NOISE MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.1.2. The construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L<sub>eq</sub>). L<sub>eq (30 minutes)</sub> shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time periods, L<sub>eq (5 minutes)</sub> shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L10 and L90 shall also be obtained for reference.
- 4.1.3. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at all the designated monitoring stations. The monitoring frequency shall depend on the scale of the construction activities. The following is an initial

guide on the regular monitoring frequency for each station on a weekly basis when noise generating activities are underway:

- one set of measurements between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 4.1.4. If construction works are extended to include works during the hours of 1900 0700 as well as public holidays and Sundays, additional weekly impact monitoring shall be carried out during respective restricted hours periods. Applicable permits under NCO shall be obtained by the Contractor.

### **MONITORING EQUIPMENT**

- 4.1.5. As referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.
- 4.1.6. Noise measurements shall not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

### 4.2 Air Monitoring

### AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

4.2.1. The air monitoring has been conducted at the designated location Ning Po No.2 College (AQM1). The air monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.2* and *Figure 4.1*. Appendix 4.1 shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the monitoring works.

Table 4.2 Air Monitoring Station

Station ID	Monitoring Location
AQM1	Roof Top of Ning Po No.2 College

### AIR MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.2.2. One-hour and 24-hour TSP levels should be measured to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality. The 24-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B.
- 4.2.3. All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and any other local atmospheric factors affecting or affected by site conditions, etc., shall be recorded down in detail.

Development at Anderson Road –
Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

4.2.4. For regular impact monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least once in every six-days, shall be strictly observed at all the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every six-days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.

### SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

- 4.2.5. High volume samplers (HVSs) in compliance with the following specifications shall be used for carrying out the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring:
  - 0.6 1.7 m<sup>3</sup> per minute adjustable flow range;
  - equipped with a timing / control device with +/- 5 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
  - installed with elapsed-time meter with +/- 2 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
  - capable of providing a minimum exposed area of 406 cm<sup>2</sup>;
  - flow control accuracy: +/- 2.5% deviation over 24-hour sampling period;
  - equipped with a shelter to protect the filter and sampler;
  - incorporated with an electronic mass flow rate controller or other equivalent devices;
  - equipped with a flow recorder for continuous monitoring;
  - provided with a peaked roof inlet;
  - · incorporated with a manometer;
  - able to hold and seal the filter paper to the sampler housing at horizontal position;
  - · easily changeable filter; and
  - capable of operating continuously for a 24-hour period.
- 4.2.6. Initial calibration of dust monitoring equipment shall be conducted upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The transfer standard shall be traceable to the internationally recognized primary standard and be calibrated annually. The calibration data shall be properly documented for future reference by concerned parties such as the IEC. All the data should be converted into standard temperature and pressure equivalents.

### LABORATORY MEASUREMENT / ANALYSIS

- 4.2.7. A clean laboratory with constant temperature and humidity control, and equipped with necessary measuring and conditioning instruments to handle the dust samples collected, shall be available for sample analysis, and equipment calibration and maintenance. The laboratory should be HOKLAS accredited.
- 4.2.8. If a site laboratory is set up or a non-HOKLAS accredited laboratory is retained for analysis, laboratory equipment shall be provided by the ER in consultation with the IC(E). Measurement performed by the laboratory shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the ER and the IC(E). The IC(E) shall conduct regular audit to the measurement performed by the laboratory to ensure the accuracy of measurement results. The ET leader shall provide the ER with one copy of the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), and Appendix B for his reference.
- 4.2.9. Filter paper of size 8" x 10" shall be labelled before sampling. It shall be a clean filter paper with no pinholes, and shall be conditioned in a humidity-controlled chamber for over 24-hours and be pre-weighed before use for the sampling.



- 4.2.10. After sampling, the filter paper loaded with dust shall be kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag. The filter paper shall then be returned to the laboratory for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber followed by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with readout down to 0.1 mg. The balance shall be regularly calibrated against a traceable standard.
- 4.2.11. All the collected samples shall be kept in a good condition for 6 months prior to disposal.

#### 5. **Monitoring Results**

- The environmental monitoring will be implemented based on the sensitive receivers which would be mostly affected. Overall layout showing the work area, latest status of work commencement and monitoring stations are shown in Figure 2.1 and Figure 4.1.
- 5.0.2. The environment monitoring schedules for reporting month and coming month are presented in Appendix 5.1.

#### 5.1 **Noise Monitoring Results**

5.1.1. The noise monitoring results for Contract no. CV/2012/07 are summarized in *Table 5.1* below:

Table 5.1 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM1 and NM2

Date	Time	Location	Leq (dB)
05-Feb-14	09:15 – 09:45	NM1	65.1
05-Feb-14	08:30 - 09:00	NM2	61.3
11-Feb-14	09:40 - 10:10	NM1	70.2
11-Feb-14	09:00 - 09:30	NM2	63.9
17-Feb-14	09:40 - 10:10	NM1	70.1
17-Feb-14	08:25 - 08:55	NM2	63.3
28-Feb-14	09:30 – 10:00	NM1	71.9
20-560-14	09:30 - 10:00	NM2	65.1
L	65 / 70 /75*		

Note:

- 70dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.
- 5.1.2. Day time period noise monitoring was conducted at the Tin Wan House (NM1) and Ning Po No.2 College (NM2). In the reporting month, school examination is undertaken at Ning Po No.2 College (NM2) during 27 Jan 2014 to 22 Feb 2014. Therefore, the noise Limited Level during that period would be reduced to 65dB(A)
- 5.1.3. Noise monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized. No exceedance was recorded in reporting month. Details of noise monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in Appendix 5.2.

### 5.2 Air Monitoring Results

5.2.1. The air monitoring results are summarized in *Table 5.2* and *Table 5.3* below. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Table 5.2 Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 24 hr TSP Monitoring

Date	Time	TSP Level, (μg/m³)
4-Feb-14	8:00	71
10-Feb-14	8:00	73
15-Feb-14	8:00	77
21-Feb-14	8:00	86
27-Feb-14 8:00		77
Actio	n Level	200
Limi	t Level:	260

Table 5.3 Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 1 hr TSP Monitoring

Date	Time	TSP Level, (μg/m³)	
5-Feb-14	8:15	89	
5-Feb-14	9:20	83	
5-Feb-14	10:30	91	
11-Feb-14	8:45	100	
11-Feb-14	9:50	97	
11-Feb-14	10:55	84	
17-Feb-14	8:40	94	
17-Feb-14	9:43	111	
17-Feb-14	10:50	101	
22-Feb-14	8:40	99	
22-Feb-14 9:43		96	
22-Feb-14	10:50	110	
28-Feb-14	8:10	136	
28-Feb-14	9:15	138	
28-Feb-14	10:20	119	
Actio	n Level	197	
Limit Level:		500	

5.2.2. Air monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized. No exceedance was recorded in reporting month. Details of air monitoring results can be referred in *Appendix 5.3*.

### 5.3 Waste Monitoring Results

5.3.1. Inert and non-inert C&D waste were disposed of in this reporting month. Details of the waste flow table are summarized in *Table 5.4.* 

### Table 5.4 Details of Waste Disposal for Contract no. CV/2012/07

Waste Type	Quantity this month	Cumulative Quantity-to-Date	Disposal / Dumping Grounds
Inert C&D materials disposed, m <sup>3</sup>	0.1997	2.2611	TKO137
Inert C&D materials recycled, m <sup>3</sup>	0	0	N/A
Non-inert C&D materials disposed, m <sup>3</sup>	0	0.00496	TKO137
Non-inert C&D materials recycled, kg	0	0	N/A
Chemical waste disposed, kg	0	0	N/A



### 6. Compliance Audit

6.0.1. The Event Action Plan for construction noise, air quality and water quality are presented in *Appendix 6.1*.

### 6.1 Noise Monitoring

6.1.1. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

### 6.2 Air Monitoring

6.2.1. No exceedance was recorded in the TSP monitoring in the reporting month.

### 6.3 Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance

6.3.1. There was no non-compliance from the site audits in the reporting period. The observations and recommendations made in each individual site audit session were presented in Section 8.

### 6.4 Summary of action taken in the event of and follow-up on non-compliance

6.4.1. There was no particular action taken since no project-related non-compliance was recorded from the site audits and environmental monitoring in the reporting period.

### 7. Environmental Site Audit

7.0.1. According to EM&A Manual stipulation, the regular weekly sit inspections on 6, 11, 19, and 25 February 2014 were carried out by ET, IEC, the Contractor and ARUP for Contracts no. CV/2012/07 to ensure the environmental performance. Observations and findings are summarized in *Table 7.1* and the inspection checklist in this reporting month is attached in Appendix

Table 7.1 Summary of Environmental Inspections for Contract no. CV/2012/07

Date	Observations	Follow-Up
06-Feb-2014	No particular finding	N/A
11-Feb-2014	The contractor was reminded to remove the silt and treat the waste water properly	The waste water have been treat properly during inspection on 19 Feb 2014
19-Feb-2014	The chemical container should be labelled and stored properly or disposal as chemical waste	Chemical container has been stored properly during inspection on 25 Feb 2014
25-Feb-2014	No particular finding	N/A

### 8. Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

- 8.0.1. No complaint and notification of summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this reporting month.
- 8.0.2. The details of cumulative complaint log and updated summary of complaints are presented in *Appendix 8.1*.
- 8.0.3. Cumulative statistic on complaints and successful prosecutions are summarized in *Table 8.1* and *Table 8.2* respectively.

**Table 8.1 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints** 

Reporting Period	No. of Complaints
February 2014	0
Project-to-Date	0

Table 8.2 Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Successful Prosecutions this month (Offence Date)	Cumulative No. Project-to-Date
Air	-	0	0
Noise	-	0	0
Waste	-	0	0
Total	-	0	0


Development at Anderson Road -Footbridge D and Associated Works Area


#### 9. Conclusion

- The EM&A programme was carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual requirements, minor alterations to the programme proposed were made in response to changing circumstances.
- 9.0.2. No construction air and noise monitoring results that triggered the Limit Level was recorded. No complaint of air and noise were received by the ARUP and the contractor. Furthermore, no notification of summons or successful prosecution was received in this reporting month.
- 9.0.3. Construction noise should be a key environmental impact during the works. The noise mitigation measures such as use of quiet plants and installation of temporary noise barrier at the construction noise predominate area should be fully implemented as accordance with the EM&A requirement. In addition, dust control measures to avoid fugitive dust in the construction site should be properly provided and maintained during the dry season.

Figure 2.1

Project Layout









Figure 2.2

**Project Organization Chart** 




### **Project Organization Chart**



### Figure 4.1

Locations of Environmental Monitoring Stations



### Appendix 3.1

**Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule** 



### **Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule**

Implementation Schedule for Construction Dust Control

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	og Ref.	Location (duration/ completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines			
			measures)			D	С				
S2.7	\$1, \$2.8	Site Practice  Mean vehicle speed of haulage trucks at 10 km/hr.  Twice daily watering of all open site areas.  Regular watering (once every 1 hour) of all site roads and access roads with frequent truck movement.  Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, form and between site locations.	n	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, APCO, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation			
		Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site, combined with cleaning of public roads where necessary.     Suitable side and tailboards on haulage vehicles.									
		Blasting  Use of select aggregate and fines to stem the charge with drill holes and watering of blast face.  Use of vaccum extraction drilling methods.  Carefully sequenced blasting.									
		Crushing  • Fabric filters installed for the crushing plant.  • Water sprays on the crusher.									
		Loading and Unloading Points, and conyeyor Belt System     Water sprays at all fixed loading and unloading points (at the crusher and conveyor belts).     The loading point at the crusher is enclosed with dust curtains are used for controlling dust.									
		When transferring materials from conveyor belt or crusher to the dump trucks, chutes or dust curtains are used for controlling dust.  Cover the conveyor belts with steel roof and canvas sides.									

<sup>\*</sup> All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

<sup>\*\*</sup> D=Design, C=Construction

### Implementation Schedule for Construction Noise Control

EIA Ref.	Log Ref. completion of		Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines		
			measures)			D	С	
\$3.7	\$1, \$3.7	Site Formation Silenced powered mechanical equipment (PME) for most equipments (including drill rig, backhoe, dump truck, breaker and crane) and the decrease of percentage on time usage of drill rig among the Central Area form 50% to 40% is prosed. Temporary movable noise barrier shall be used to shield the noise emanating from the drilling rig in order to provide adequate shielding for the affected NSRs.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, NCO, TM on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Pilling, ProPECC Note PN2/93

<sup>\*</sup> All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

<sup>\*\*</sup> D=Design, C=Construction



### Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Control

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.		Location (duration/ completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
			measures)			D	С	
\$6.4	S1	Construction Phase     All active working areas should be bounded to retain storm water with sufficient retention time to ensure that suspended solids are not discharged from the site in concentrations above those specified in the TM for the Victor Harbour (Phase I) WCZ. All fuel storage areas should be bounded with drainage directed to an oil interceptor.     Separate treatment facilities may be required for effluent from site offices, toilets (unless chemical toilets are used) and canteens.     Discharged wastewater from the construction sites to surface water and /or public drainage systems should be controlled through licensing. Discharges should follow fully the terms and conditions in the licences.     Relevant practice for dealing with various typr of construction discharges provided in EPD's ProPECC Note 1/94 should be adopted.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, WPCO, ProPECC Note PN 1/94

<sup>\*</sup> All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

<sup>\*\*</sup> D=Design, C=Construction



### Implementation Schedule for Construction Waste Management

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.		completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
			measures)			D	С	
\$8.4	S1,S4	Waste Disposal  Different types of wastes should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of proper practice of waste management.  Sorting of wastes should be done on-site. Different types of wastes should be segregated and stored in different stockpiles, containers or skips to enhance recycling of materials and proper disposal of wastes.  Excavated spoil should be used as much as possible to minimize off-site fill material requirements and disposal of spoil.  During road transportation of excavated spoil, vehicles should be covered to avoid dust impact. Wheel washing facilities should be installed at all site exits together with regular qatering of the site access roads.  Chemical waste should be recycled on-site or removed by licenced companies. It should be handled according to the Code of practice on the packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. When off-site disposal is required, it should be collected and delivered by licenced contractors to Tsing Yi Chemical Waste Treatment Facility and disposed of in accordance with the Chemical Waste (General) Regulation.  Necessary mitigation measures should be adopted to prevent the uncontrolled disposal of chemical and hazardous waste into air, soil, surface waters and ground waters.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, WDO, DGO, Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation



Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road – Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

Waste Storage  • Chemical material storage areas should be bounded, constructed of impervious materials, and have the capacity to contain 120 percent of the total volume of the containers. Indoor storage areas must have sufficient ventilation to prevent the build-up of fumes, and must be capable of evacuating the space in the event of an accidental release. Outdoor storage areas must be covered with a canopy or contain provisions for the safe removal of rainwater. In both cases, storage areas must not be connected to the foul or stormwater sewer system.			
Dangerous materials as defined under the DGO, including fuel, oil and lubricants, should be stored and properly labeled on site in accordance with the requirements in the DGO. If transportation of hazardous materials is necessary, hazardous materials, chemical wastes and fuel should be packed or stored in containers or vessels of suitable design and construction to prevent leakage, spillage or escape.			
Human waste should be discharged into septic tanks provided by the contractors and removed regularly by a hygiene services company. Refuse containers such as open skips should be provided at every work site for use by the workforce; On-site refuse collection points must also be provided.			

<sup>\*</sup> All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

<sup>\*\*</sup> D=Design, C=Construction

### Appendix 4.1

Action and Limit Level



### **Action and Limit Level**

### Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
07:00 – 19:00 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received.	75 dB(A)/ 70 dB(A)/ 65 db(A) <sup>Note 1</sup>

### Note 1:

- 70dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.
- If works are to be carried out during the restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

### Action and Limit Level for Air Monitoring

Monitoring Location	1-hour TSP Level i	n $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup>	24-hour TSP Level in $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup>		
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	
AQM1	197	500	200	260	

### Appendix 4.2

Copies of Calibration Certificates



Location

#### Lam Environmental Services Limited

: Ning Po No.2 College

#### **Calibration Data for High Volume Sampler (TSP Sampler)**

Calbration Date

: 27-Dec-13

ID :		AQM1				Calbrati	on Due Dat	:	27-Feb-14
CALIBRATION OF CON	TINUOUS	FLOW RE	CORDER						
			A	mbient Co	ndition				
Temperature, T <sub>a</sub>		286		Kelvin	Pressure, P	a		1024	mmHg
			Orifice Tra	nsfer Stan	dard Inform	ation			
Equipment No.		EL086		Slope, m <sub>c</sub>	2.019	68 I	ntercept, b	С	-0.02746
Last Calibration Date		15-Jul-13	3		(HxI	P <sub>a</sub> / 1013	3.3 x 298	/T <sub>a</sub> )	1/2
Next Calibration Date		15-Jul-14	1		=	m <sub>c</sub> x (	$Q_{std} + b_c$		
			C	alibration	of RSP				
Calibration	Mar	nometer Re	eading	C	l <sub>std</sub>	Continuo	ous Flow		IC
Point	Н (	inches of v	water)	(m <sup>3</sup>	/ min.)	Record	der, W	(W(P <sub>a</sub> /10	13.3x298/T <sub>a</sub> ) <sup>1/2</sup> /35.31
	(up)	(down)	(difference)	X-	axis	(CF	FM)		Y-axis
1	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.7	7290	5	0		51.3069
2	4.5	4.5	9.0	1.5	5378	4.	2		43.0978
3	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.0	3769	3	6		36.9410
4	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.1	1033	2	5		25.6535
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.8	3936	1	6		16.4182
By Linear Regression of	Y on X								
	Slope, m	=	41.4	523	Inte	ercept, b =	-2	20.3703	
Correlation Co	pefficient*	=	0.99	998					
Calibration	Accepted	=	Yes/ł	Ne**					
Calibration   Date   15-Jul-13   Calibration   Table   Table									
ii correlation coefficier	it < 0.990,	CHECK AITC	recalibration	ii agaiii.					
** Delete as appropriate.									
Remarks :									
Calibrated by		Henry				Checked	d by	:	Derek Lo
Date	2	7-Dec-13				Date		:	27-Dec-13
			-						



Location

#### Lam Environmental Services Limited

: Ning Po No.2 College

Henry

25-Feb-14

Calibrated by

Date

#### **Calibration Data for High Volume Sampler (TSP Sampler)**

**Calbration Date** 

Checked by

Date

Derek Lo

25-Feb-14

25-Feb-14

ID :		AQM1				Calbr	ation D	ue Da :	25-Apr-14	
CALIBRATION OF COM	ITINUOU	S FLOW R	ECORDER							
			A	mbient Co	ndition					
Temperature, T <sub>a</sub>		293	1	Kelvin	Pressure, P	a		10	n19 mmHg	ı
			Orifice Tra	nsfer Stan	dard Inform	ation				
Equipment No.		EL086		Slope, m <sub>c</sub>	2.019	68	Interd	ept, bc	-0.02746	
Last Calibration Date		15-Jul-1	3		(HxI	P <sub>a</sub> / 10	13.3 x	298/	T <sub>a</sub> ) <sup>1/2</sup>	
Next Calibration Date		15-Jul-1	4		=	$m_c$	x Q <sub>std</sub>	+ b <sub>c</sub>		
			C	alibration	of RSP					
Calibration	Mar	nometer R	eading	C	) <sub>std</sub>	Conti	nuous F	low	IC	
Point	Н (	inches of	water)	(m <sup>3</sup>	/ min.)	Rec	order, V	<b>N</b> (W	(P <sub>a</sub> /1013.3x298/T <sub>a</sub> ) <sup>1/2</sup> /35	.31)
	(up)	(down)	(difference)	X-	axis		(CFM)		Y-axis	
1	5.9	5.9	11.8	1.	7337		51		51.5778	
2	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.	5324		42		42.4758	
3	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.	3940		37		37.4192	
4	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.	1107		25		25.2832	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.	8809		15		15.1699	
By Linear Regression of	Y on X									
	Slope, m	=	42.3	698	Inte	ercept, b	=	-21.	9809	
Correlation Co	pefficient*	=	0.99	997						
Calibration	Accepted	=	Yes/	No**						
* if Correlation Coefficier	nt < 0.990	. check and	d recalibratio	on again.						
		,								
** Delete as appropriate.										
Remarks :										



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Jul 15, 2013 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 300  Operator Tisch Orifice I.D 0005 Pa (mm) - 759.46								
		=========			METER	ORFICE		
PLATE	VOLUME	VOLUME	DIFF	DIFF	DIFF	DIFF		
OR	START	STOP	VOLUME	TIME	Hg	H2O		
Run #	(m3)	(m3)	(m3)	(min)	(mm)	(in.)		
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3910	3.2	2.00		
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9830	6.4	4.00		
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8800	7.9	5.00		
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8380	8.8	5.50		
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6930	12.7	8.00		
	•			· 				

#### DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9884 0.9843 0.9822 0.9811 0.9760	0.7106 1.0013 1.1161 1.1708 1.4084	1.4090 1.9926 2.2278 2.3365 2.8180		0.9958 0.9916 0.9895 0.9884 0.9832	0.7159 1.0087 1.1244 1.1795 1.4188	0.8888 1.2570 1.4054 1.4740 1.7777
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) = ent (r) =	2.01968 -0.02746 0.99999		Qa slope intercept coefficie	t (b) = ent (r) =	1.26469 -0.01732 0.99999
y axıs =	SQRT [H20 (1	?a/760)(298/5	ra)]	y axis =	SQRT[H2O(7	ra/Pa)]

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]

Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd =  $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa =  $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$ 



Certificate No. 31707 Page

1 of 2 Pages

Customer: Lam Geotechnics Limited

Address: 11/F, Centre Point, 181-185 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q30699

Date of receipt

13-Mar-13

**Item Tested** 

**Description**: Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer: Cesva

Model

: CB-5

Serial No.

: 0035092

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 20-Mar-13

Supply Voltage

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Calibration procedure:

F21, Z02.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 942 Class1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Test equipment used:

Equipment N	lo. Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	30259	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	30620	NIM-PRC & SCI -HKSAR

S041 Universal Counter 28347 SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by:

Liam Wong

20-Mar-13

Date:

**Dorothy Cheuk** 

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Certificate No. 31707

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results:

#### 1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	93.94	± 0.3 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.2 dB

#### 2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.001 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty:  $\pm 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 

3. Level Stability: 0.0 dB

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.3 %

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 %Uncertainty :  $\pm 2.3 \%$  of reading

Remark: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The above measured values are the mean of 3 measurements.
- 3. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 4. Atmospheric Pressure: 1003 hPa.

----- END -----



31706 Certificate No.

1 3 Pages Page of

: T217501

Serial No.

13-Mar-13

Customer: Lam Geotechnics Limited

Address: 11/F, Centre Point, 181-185 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q30699 Date of receipt

Item Tested

**Description**: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Cesva

Model : SC-20e

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 20-Mar-13 Supply Voltage

Ambient Temperature :  $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 651 Type1 and IEC 804 Type1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description Cert. No. Traceable to

S017 Multi-Function Generator C127181 SCL-HKSAR

Sound Level Calibrator S024 30620 NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by

20-Mar-13

Date:

This Certificate is issued by: Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



Certificate No. 31706

Page 2 of 3 Pages

#### Results:

#### 1. SPL Accuracy

(z	<b>UUT Setting</b>		Applied Value					
Level Range (dB)	Freq. Weight	Freq. Weight   Time Weighting		Freq. Weight   Time Weighting		Freq. Weight   Time Weighting		UUT Reading (dB)
0 ~ 137	$L_{A}$	$L_{\mathrm{F}}$	94.0	94.1				
		$L_{S}$		94.1				
	$L_{\rm C}$	$L_{\mathrm{F}}$		94.1				
		Ls		94.1				
	$L_A$	$L_F$	114.0	114.1				
		$L_{S}$		114.1				
	$L_{C}$	$L_F$		114.1				
		$L_{S}$		114.1				

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.7 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

2. Level Stability: 0.0 dB

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. :  $\pm$  0.3 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

#### 3. Differential level linearity

UUT Range	Applied	UUT Reading		
(dB)	Value (dB)	(dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
0~137	84.0	84.1	0.0	± 0.4 dB
	94.0	94.1 (Ref.)		
	95.0	95.1	0.0	± 0.2 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB



Certificate No. 31706

Page 3 of 3 Pages

#### 4. Frequency Weighting

A weighting

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.7	$-39.4 \text{ dB}, \pm 1.5 \text{ dB}$
63 Hz	-26.3	$-26.2 \text{ dB}, \pm 1.5 \text{ dB}$
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, ± 1 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- $3.2 \text{ dB}, \pm 1 \text{ dB}$
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref.)	$0 \text{ dB}, \pm 1 \text{ dB}$
2 kHz	+1.3	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1 dB
4 kHz	+1.0	+ 1.0 dB ,± 1 dB
8 kHz	-1.3	- $1.1 \text{ dB}$ , $+ 1.5 \text{ dB} \sim - 3 \text{ dB}$
16 kHz	-12.1	- 6.6 dB, + 3 dB ~-∞

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

#### 5. Time Averaging

Applied Burst duty Factor	Applied Leq Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 804 Type 1 Spec.
continuous	50.0		:==
1/10	50.0	50.1	± 0.5 dB
$1/10^2$	50.0	50.1	
$1/10^3$	50.0	50.1	± 1.0 dB
$1/10^4$	50.0	50.2	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

Remark: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1003 hPa.

----- END -----

#### Appendix 5.1

Monitoring Schedules for Reporting Month and Coming Reporting Month



# Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule February 2014

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
26-Jan	27-Jan		24 hr TSP	30-Jan 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	31-Jan	1-Feb
2-Feb	3-Feb		5-Feb 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	6-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb
9-Feb	24 hr TSP	11-Feb 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	12-Feb	13-Feb		15-Feb 24 hr TSP
16-Feb	17-Feb 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	18-Feb	19-Feb		21-Feb 24 hr TSP	22-Feb 1hr TSP x 3
23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb	26-Feb	24 hr TSP	28-Feb 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	1-Mar

Noise Monitoring Station NM1: Tin Wan House NM2: Ning Po No. 2 College Air Monitoring Station AQM1: Ning Po No.2 College



# Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule March 2014

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
23-Feb	24-Feb		26-Feb			1-Mar
2-Mar	3-Mar		24 hr TSP	6-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	7-Mar	8-Mar
9-Mar		11-Mar 24 hr TSP	12-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	13-Mar	14-Mar	15-Mar
16-Mar	17-Mar 24 hr TSP	18-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	19-Mar	20-Mar		22-Mar 24 hr TSP
23-Mar	24-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	25-Mar	26-Mar			29-Mar 1hr TSP x 3
30-Marr	31-Mar	1-Apr	2-Apr	3-Apr	4-Apr	5-Apr

Noise Monitoring Station NM1: Tin Wan House NM2: Ning Po No. 2 College Air Monitoring Station AQM1: Ning Po No.2 College

#### Appendix 5.2

Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



### Contract No. CV/2012/07

## Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area


**ЈОВ NO**: CS\_J2013-02\_CV201207


CLIENT: LPWJV

Daytime(07:00-19:00)											
Date	Time	Location	Leq (dB)	L10 (dB)	L90 (dB)						
5-Feb-14	09:15 – 09:45	NM1	65.1	71.7	60.5						
J-1 CD-14	08:30 - 09:00	NM2	61.3	68.8	56.3						
11-Feb-14	09:40 - 10:10	NM1	70.2	76.4	64.1						
11-1-60-14	09:00 - 09:30	NM2	63.9	71.1	60.1						
17-Feb-14	09:40 - 10:10	NM1	70.1	75.9	66.9						
17-1-60-14	08:25 - 08:55	NM2	63.3	70.9	60.5						
28-Feb-14	09:30 - 10:00	NM1	71.9	74.8	67.2						
20-1 60-14	09:30 - 10:00	NM2	65.1	70.7	60.7						



Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)





#### Appendix 5.3

Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Location: AQM1-Ning Po No.2 College


Report on 24-hour TSP monitoring Action Level (  $\mu$  g/m3) - 200 Limit Level (  $\mu$  g/m3) - 260

Date	Sampling	Weather	Filter	Filter Weight, g		Elapse Time, hr		Sampling	Flow Rate, m <sup>3</sup> /min		min	Total	TSP Level,
	Time	Condition	paper no.	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time, hr	Initial, Q <sub>si</sub>	Final, Q <sub>sf</sub>	Average	Volume, m <sup>3</sup>	μg/m³
4-Feb-14	8:00	Fine	007827	2.8240	2.9707	896.36	920.36	24.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	2058	71
10-Feb-14	8:00	Cloudy	007877	2.8214	2.9736	923.36	947.36	24.00	1.44	1.45	1.45	2082	73
15-Feb-14	8:00	Cloudy	007883	2.8374	2.9967	950.36	974.36	24.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	2072	77
21-Feb-14	8:00	Cloudy	007911	2.8314	3.0106	977.36	1001.36	24.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	2074	86
27-Feb-14	8:00	Cloudy	008174	2.8287	2.9869	1004.36	1028.36	24.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	2068	77


Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring Action Level (  $\mu$  g/m3) - 197 Limit Level (  $\mu$  g/m3) - 500

Date	Sampling	Weather	Filter	Filter Weight, g		Elapse Tim	e, hr	Sampling	Flo	w Rate, m³/ı	min	Total	TSP Level,
	Time	Condition	paper no.	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time, hr	Initial, Q <sub>si</sub>	Final, Q <sub>sf</sub>	Average	Volume, m <sup>3</sup>	μg/m³
5-Feb-14	8:15	Cloudy	007876	2.8394	2.847	920.36	921.36	1.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	86	89
5-Feb-14	9:20	Cloudy	007893	2.8396	2.8467	921.36	922.36	1.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	86	83
5-Feb-14	10:30	Cloudy	005924	2.6461	2.6539	922.36	923.36	1.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	86	91
11-Feb-14	8:45	Cloudy	007878	2.8243	22.833	947.36	948.36	1.00	1.45	1.45	1.45	87	100
11-Feb-14	9:50	Cloudy	007879	2.8363	2.8447	948.36	949.36	1.00	1.45	1.45	1.45	87	97
11-Feb-14	10:55	Cloudy	007880	2.8233	2.8306	949.36	950.36	1.00	1.45	1.45	1.45	87	84
17-Feb-14	8:40	Cloudy	007888	2.8379	2.846	974.36	975.36	1.00	1.45	1.43	1.44	87	94
17-Feb-14	9:43	Cloudy	007887	2.8485	2.858	975.36	976.36	1.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	86	111
17-Feb-14	10:50	Cloudy	007886	2.8352	2.8439	976.36	977.36	1.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	86	101
22-Feb-14	8:40	Cloudy	007885	2.8222	2.8307	1001.36	1002.36	1.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	86	99
22-Feb-14	9:43	Cloudy	008032	2.8427	2.8509	1002.36	1003.36	1.00	1.44	1.41	1.43	86	96
22-Feb-14	10:50	Cloudy	008031	2.8260	2.8355	1003.36	1004.36	1.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	86	110
28-Feb-14	8:10	Cloudy	008175	2.8289	2.8406	1028.36	1029.36	1.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	86	136
28-Feb-14	9:15	Cloudy	008176	2.8180	2.8299	1029.36	1030.36	1.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	86	138
28-Feb-14	10:20	Cloudy	008177	2.8167	2.8270	1030.36	1031.36	1.00	1.44	1.44	1.44	86	119





**Graphic Presentation of 24 hour TSP Result** 



Appendix 6.1

**Event Action Plans** 

#### **Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise**

EVENT		A	CTION	
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
Exceedance for Action Level	Notify IC(E) and Contractor;     Carry out investigation;     Report the results of investigation to IC(E) and Contractor;     Discuss with Contractor and formulate remedial measures;;     Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	Review the analysed results submitted by ET;     Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly;     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;     Notify Contractor;     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem;     Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IC(E);     Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Exceedance for Limited Level	Notify IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor;     Identify sources;     Repeat measurements to confirm finding;     Increase monitoring frequency;     Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;     Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances;     Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results;     If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;     Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;     Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals;     Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;     Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Event / Action Plan for Construction Air Quality

EVENT			ACT	TON				
		ET		IC(E)		ER		CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL								
Exceedance for one sample	3.	Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. 2.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	1.	Notify Contractor.	1. 2.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	2. 3. 4. 5.	Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor for remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	1. 2. 3.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. 2. 3.	Submit proposals for remedial actions t IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
LIMITED LEVEL  1. Exceedance for one sample	1. 2.	Identify source; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD;	1. 2.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method:	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing:	1.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance:
	4. 5.	Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results.	<ul><li>3.</li><li>4.</li><li>5.</li></ul>	Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	2. 3.	Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	2. 3. 4.	Submit proposals for remedial actions IEC within 3 working days of notificatio Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	3. 4. 5.	Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the	1. 2. 3.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. 2. 3.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IC(E), agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented:	1. 2. 3. 4.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions t IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control:
	7.	Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.			5.	If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	5.	Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

#### Appendix 6.2

Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Appendix 8.1

Complaint Log


#### **Environmental Complaints Log**

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Closed

#### Appendix 9.1


**Construction Programme** 

#### Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works (Rev. 2)




Assume Contract Start On 31-Jan-2013 and Finish On 30-Nov-2016 (1399 days) Duration as shown by week day

## Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works (Rev. 2)



Assume Contract Start On 31-Jan-2013 and Finish On 30-Nov-2016 (1399 days) Duration as shown by week day

#### Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works (Rev. 2)



Assume Contract Start On 31-Jan-2013 and Finish On 30-Nov-2016 (1399 days) Duration as shown by week day

#### Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works 2013 2014 2015 2016 Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar ID Task Name Total Slack WBS Finish Successors 99 1.4.3 Landscape Softworks and Establishment Works for Footbridge A, B and C 289 days Thu 12/11/15 Mon 07/11/16 98 20 days 30/11 0 days Wed 30/11/16 Wed 30/11/16 99 0 days 100 1.4.4 Completion of Section 3

Date: Mon 10/06/13
Prepared By: T.L. Lo

Baseline Milestone 

Task

Milestone 

Baseline 

Summary

Progress

Progress