CONTRACT NO: CV/2012/07

DEVELOPMENT AT ANDERSON ROAD FOOTBRIDGE D AND ASSOCIATED WORKS AREA

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT -FEBRUARY 2017 -

CLIENTS:

Lam-Po Wing Joint Venture

PREPARED BY:

Lam Environmental Services Limited

11/F Centre Point 181-185 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, H.K.

Telephone: (852) 2882-3939 Facsimile: (852) 2882-3331 E-mail: info@lamenviro.com

Website: http://www.lamenviro.com

CERTIFIED BY:

Derek Lo

Environmental Team Leader

DATE:

14 March 2017



Ref.: OAPANDSNEM00_0_1888L.16

16 March 2017

By Post and Fax: 2407 8382

Engineer's Representative Ove Arup & Partners Level 5, Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong, Kowloon Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. Dennis Leung

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Contract No. CV/2012/07
Development at Anderson Road
Footbridge D and Associated Works Area
Monthly EM&A Report for February 2017

Reference is made to the Environmental Team's submission of the Monthly EM&A Report for February 2017 received by e-mail on 14 March 2017 for our review and comment.

We are pleased to inform you that we have no adverse comment on the captioned report.

Thank you very much for your attention and please feel free to contact the undersigned should you require further information.

Yours faithfully,

David Yeung Managing Director

c.c. Lam

Attn.: Mr. Derek Lo

LPW1V

Attn.: Mr. Tak-Leung Lo

Fax: 2882 3331

Fax: 3171 7222

Q:\Projects\OAPANDSNEM00\Corr\OAPANDSNEM00_0_1888L.16.docx



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXI	ECUTIN	/E SUMMARY	3	
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	5	
	1.1 1.2	Scope of the ReportStructure of the Report		
2.	PRO.	JECT BACKGROUND	7	
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Background Scope of the Project and Site Description Project Organization and Contact Personnel	7	
3.	STAT	US OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	9	
	3.1	Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project	10	
4.	MON	ITORING REQUIREMENTS	11	
	4.1 4.2	Noise Monitoring		
5.	MON	ITORING RESULTS	14	
	5.1 5.2 5.3	Noise Monitoring Results	15	
6.	СОМ	PLIANCE AUDIT	17	
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Noise Monitoring	17 17	
7.	ENVI	RONMENTAL SITE AUDIT	18	
8.	COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION19			
9.	CON	CLUSION	20	



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Contact Details of Key Personnel
Table 3.1	Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental
	protection pertinent to the Project
Table 4.1	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 4.2	Air Monitoring Station
Table 5.1	Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM1 and NM2
Table 5.2	Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 24 hr TSP Monitoring
Table 5.3	Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 1 hr TSP Monitoring
Table 5.4	Details of Waste Disposal for Contract no. CV/2012/07
Table 7.1	Summary of Environmental Inspections for Contract no. CV/2012/07
Table 8.1	Cumulative Statistics on Complaints
Table 8.2	Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Project Layout
Figure 2.2	Project Organization Chart
Figure 4.1	Locations of Environmental Monitoring Stations

LIST OF APPENDICES

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
Action and Limit Level
Copies of Calibration Certificates
Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Month and Coming month
Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Event Action Plans
Summary for Notification of Exceedance_Air and Noise
Complaint Log
Construction Programme

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

i. This is the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Monthly Report – February 2017 of "Development at Anderson Road – Footbridge D and Associated Works Area" (Hereafter called "this Project"). The construction works of this project was commenced on 20 July 2013. This is the 44th month of EM&A report presenting the environmental monitoring findings and information recorded during the period of 1 February 2017 to 28 February 2017. The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.

Construction Activities for the Reporting Period

- Dismantling the external metal scaffold (C2)
- Backfill material & Granolithic paving after dismantled external metal scaffold between Tower B-C (C2)

Noise Monitoring

ii. Noise monitoring during daytime was conducted at the stations NM1 and NM2 on a weekly basis in the reporting month. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Air Quality Monitoring

iii. Air quality monitoring has been conducted at station AQM1. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

i. No complaint and notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this reporting month.

Site Inspections and Audit

ii. The Environmental Team (ET) conducted weekly site inspections for Contract no. CV/2012/07 in the reporting month. Major observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions were rectified by the Contractors. No non-conformance was identified during the site inspections.

Future Key Issues

- iii. In coming reporting month, the principal work activities of individual contracts are anticipated as follows:
 - Construction of U-channel between Tower B to Tower C
 - Concrete Buttress for cut slope to the northeast of lift tower behind Cap C 3



Reporting Change

vii. There are no reporting changes in this Reporting Period.

1. Introduction

1.1 Scope of the Report

- 1.1.1. Lam Environmental Services Limited (LES) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme as stipulated in the EM&A Manual of the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Development of Anderson Road.
- 1.1.2. This report presents the environmental monitoring and auditing work carried out in accordance to the Section 1.4 of EM&A Manual and "Environmental Monitoring and Audit Requirements" under Particular Specification Section 25.
- 1.1.3. The construction works of this project was commenced on 20 July 2013. This report documents the finding of EM&A works for this Project and during the period of 1 February 2017 to 28 February 2017. The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.

1.2 Structure of the Report

- **Section 1** *Introduction* details the scope and structure of the report.
- **Section 2** *Project Background* summarizes background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details of key personnel during the reporting period.
- **Section 3** Status of Regulatory Compliance summarizes the status of valid Environmental Permits / Licenses during the reporting period.
- **Section 4** *Monitoring Requirements* summarizes all monitoring parameters, monitoring methodology and equipment, monitoring locations, monitoring frequency, criteria and respective event and action plan and monitoring programmes.
- **Section 5 Monitoring Results** summarizes the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- **Section 6 Compliance Audit** summarizes the auditing of monitoring results, all exceedances environmental parameters.
- Section 7 Cumulative Construction Impact due to the Concurrent Projects summarizes the relevant cumulative construction impact due to the concurrent

activities of the concurrent Projects.

Section 8 Site Inspection – summarizes the findings of weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period, with a review of any relevant follow-up actions within the reporting period.

Section 9 Complaints, Notification of summons and Prosecution – summarizes the cumulative statistics on complaints, notification of summons and prosecution

Section 10 Conclusion

2. Project Background

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1. The main objective of the project "Development at Anderson Road Footbridge D and Associated Works Area" (Hereafter called "this Project") is to construct a footbridge, Footbridge D, and associated lift towers across Shun On Road between the existing Shun Tin Estate and the future development platform.
- 2.1.2. For this project, Tin Wan House (NM1) and Ning Po No.2 College (NM2 and AQM1) are the designated monitoring station during the construction period. Owing to this contract is under the master project and on the other hand, the construction area is vicinity to the monitoring station (On Yat House) ID2 and (Sau Nga House) ID3 of the master project, so that the baseline noise and air quality monitoring will adopt the baseline data from those stations instead of conducting baseline monitoring. All the baseline data are referred to the baseline report from the public domain web site (www.anderson-road.com/main.htm).
- 2.1.3. The construction works of this project was commenced on 20 July 2013. During the construction phase of the project, air quality (dust) and noise impacts from the development site itself and the adjacent Anderson Road Quarry and other nearby construction sites are identified as the major environmental issues of concern. Besides, waste management is also identified in the EIA study as another environmental issue during the construction phase of the project that requires mitigation measures.

2.2 Scope of the Project and Site Description

- 2.2.1. The Project is located mainly near Shun Tin Estate and Ning Po No.2 College, as shown in *Figure 2.1*.
- 2.2.2. The scope of the Project comprises:
 - Construction of footbridge and associated lift towers between Shun On Road and future platform at +152mPD and across Shun On Road. In Conjunction with these footbridge works are the associated furniture, drainage system, irrigation system and traffic signs.
 - Construction of drainage system for diversion of an existing stream on the slope adjoining Footbridge D.
 - Site formation and associated slope works for Footbridge D adjacent to Shun On Road.

2.3 Project Organization and Contact Personnel

- 2.3.1. Civil Engineering and Development Department is the overall project controllers for this project. For the construction phase of the Project, Project Engineer, Contractor(s), Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Checker are appointed to manage and control environmental issues.
- 2.3.2. The proposed project organization and lines of communication with respect to environmental protection works are shown in *Figure 2.2*. Key personnel and contact particulars are summarized in *Table 2.1*.

Table 2.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Role	Post	Name	Contact	Contact
				No.	Fax
Ove Arup	Engineer	Chief	Dennis	2407 0300	2407
		Resident	Leung		8382
		Engineer			
		Resident	Brian Wan	3656 3000	3656
		Engineer			1000
Lam-Po Wing	Contractor	Project	K.C. Wong	2318 0281	3171
Joint Venture	under	Manager			7222
	Contract no. CV/2012/07	Site Agent	T.L. Lo	2318 0281	
		Safety Officer	K.W. Lau	2318 0281	
		Environment	K.I. Ip	2318 0281	
		al Officer			
Ramboll	Independent	Independent	Mr. David	3465 2888	3465
Environ Hong	Environment	Environment	Yeung		2899
Kong Limited	al Checker	al Checker			
	(IEC)	(IEC)			
Lam	Environment	Environment	Mr. Derek Lo	2882 3939	2882
Environmenta	al Team (ET)	al Team			3331
I Services		Leader (ETL)			
Limited		_			





- 2.3.3. For Contract no. CV/2012/07, the principal work activities in this reporting month included:
 - Dismantling the external metal scaffold (C2)
 - Backfill material & Granolithic paving after dismantled external metal scaffold between Tower B-C (C2)

In coming reporting month, the principal work activities of individual contracts are anticipated as follows:

- Construction of U-channel between Tower B to Tower C
- Concrete Buttress for cut slope to the northeast of lift tower behind Cap C 3

- 3. Status of Regulatory Compliance
- 3.1 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project
- 3.1.1. A summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project is shown in *Table 3.1*.

Table 3.1 Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project

Permits and/or Licences	Reference No.	Issued Date	Valid Period/ Expiry Date	Status
Discharge Licence	WT00015447-20 13	27 March 2013	31 March 2018	Valid
Billing Account under Waste Disposal Ordinance	7017083	15 March 2013	N/A	Valid
The Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	355705	14 February 2013	N/A	Valid
Form A – Application for Water Pollution Control Licence	355706	14 February 2013	N/A	Valid
Application for Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	WPN5213-292-L 2825-01	28 November 2013	N/A	Valid
Application for Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0694-16	24 July 2016	14 August 2016	Expired



4. Monitoring Requirements

4.1 Noise Monitoring

NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

4.1.1. The noise monitoring has been undertaken at the designated locations Tin Wan House (NM1) and Ning Po No.2 College (NM2). The detailed information of monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.1* and *Figure 4.1*. *Appendix 4.1* shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the monitoring works.

Table 4.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

Station ID	Monitoring Location
NM1	G/F of Tin Wan House
NM2	G/F of Ning Po No.2 College

NOISE MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.1.2. The construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). L_{eq (30 minutes)} shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time periods, L_{eq (5 minutes)} shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L10 and L90 shall also be obtained for reference.
- 4.1.3. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at all the designated monitoring stations. The monitoring frequency shall depend on the scale of the construction activities. The following is an initial guide on the regular monitoring frequency for each station on a weekly basis when noise generating activities are underway:
 - one set of measurements between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 4.1.4. If construction works are extended to include works during the hours of 1900 0700 as well as public holidays and Sundays, additional weekly impact monitoring shall be carried out during respective restricted hours periods. Applicable permits under NCO shall be obtained by the Contractor.

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.1.5. As referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound

pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

4.1.6. Noise measurements shall not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

4.2 Air Monitoring

AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

4.2.1. The air monitoring has been conducted at the designated location Ning Po No.2 College (AQM1). The air monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.2* and *Figure 4.1*. Appendix 4.1 shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the monitoring works.

Table 4.2 Air Monitoring Station

Station ID	Monitoring Location
AQM1	Roof Top of Ning Po No.2 College

AIR MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.2.2. One-hour and 24-hour TSP levels should be measured to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality. The 24-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B.
- 4.2.3. All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and any other local atmospheric factors affecting or affected by site conditions, etc., shall be recorded down in detail.
- 4.2.4. For regular impact monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least once in every six-days, shall be strictly observed at all the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every six-days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

- 4.2.5. High volume samplers (HVSs) in compliance with the following specifications shall be used for carrying out the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring:
 - 0.6 1.7 m³ per minute adjustable flow range;
 - equipped with a timing / control device with +/- 5 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
 - installed with elapsed-time meter with +/- 2 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;



- capable of providing a minimum exposed area of 406 cm²;
- flow control accuracy: +/- 2.5% deviation over 24-hour sampling period;
- equipped with a shelter to protect the filter and sampler;
- incorporated with an electronic mass flow rate controller or other equivalent devices;
- equipped with a flow recorder for continuous monitoring;
- provided with a peaked roof inlet;
- incorporated with a manometer;
- able to hold and seal the filter paper to the sampler housing at horizontal position;
- · easily changeable filter; and
- capable of operating continuously for a 24-hour period.
- 4.2.6. Initial calibration of dust monitoring equipment shall be conducted upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The transfer standard shall be traceable to the internationally recognized primary standard and be calibrated annually. The calibration data shall be properly documented for future reference by concerned parties such as the IEC. All the data should be converted into standard temperature and pressure equivalents.

LABORATORY MEASUREMENT / ANALYSIS

- 4.2.7. A clean laboratory with constant temperature and humidity control, and equipped with necessary measuring and conditioning instruments to handle the dust samples collected, shall be available for sample analysis, and equipment calibration and maintenance. The laboratory should be HOKLAS accredited.
- 4.2.8. If a site laboratory is set up or a non-HOKLAS accredited laboratory is retained for analysis, laboratory equipment shall be provided by the ER in consultation with the IC(E). Measurement performed by the laboratory shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the ER and the IC(E). The IC(E) shall conduct regular audit to the measurement performed by the laboratory to ensure the accuracy of measurement results. The ET leader shall provide the ER with one copy of the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), and Appendix B for his reference.
- 4.2.9. Filter paper of size 8" x 10" shall be labelled before sampling. It shall be a clean filter paper with no pinholes, and shall be conditioned in a humidity-controlled chamber for over 24-hours and be pre-weighed before use for the sampling.
- 4.2.10. After sampling, the filter paper loaded with dust shall be kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag. The filter paper shall then be returned to the laboratory for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber followed by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with readout down to 0.1 mg. The balance shall be regularly calibrated against a traceable standard.
- 4.2.11. All the collected samples shall be kept in a good condition for 6 months prior to disposal.

5. Monitoring Results

- 5.0.1. The environmental monitoring will be implemented based on the sensitive receivers which would be mostly affected. Overall layout showing the work area, latest status of work commencement and monitoring stations are shown in *Figure 2.1* and *Figure 4.1*.
- 5.0.2. The environment monitoring schedules for reporting month and coming month are presented in *Appendix 5.1*.

5.1 Noise Monitoring Results

5.1.1. The noise monitoring results for Contract no. CV/2012/07 are summarized in *Table 5.1* below:

Table 5.1 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM1 and NM2

Date	Time	Location	Leq (dB)	Limit Level
2-Feb-17	8:30	NM1	63.2	75
2-Feb-17	9:08	NM2	64.0	70
7-Feb-17	13:07	NM1	63.8	75
7-Feb-17	13:48	NM2	63.7	70
13-Feb-17	13:04	NM1	64.2	75
13-Feb-17	13:58	NM2	63.9	65
24-Feb-17	13:11	NM1	62.2	75
24-F60-17	13:59	NM2	63.0	65
Limit Level			65 / 70 /75*	

Note:

- 70dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.
- 5.1.2. Day time period noise monitoring was conducted at the Tin Wan House (NM1) and Ning Po No.2 College (NM2).
- 5.1.3. Noise monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized. No exceedance was recorded in reporting month. Details of noise monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in <u>Appendix 5.2</u>.

5.2 Air Monitoring Results

5.2.1. The air monitoring results are summarized in *Table 5.2* and *Table 5.3* below. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Table 5.2 Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 24 hr TSP Monitoring

Date	Time	TSP Level, (μg /m³)
1-Feb-17	8:00	49
6-Feb-17	8:00	101
11-Feb-17	8:00	91
17-Feb-17	8:00	84
23-Feb-17	8:00	96
Actio	n Level	200
Limit	Level:	260

Table 5.3 Summary of Air Monitoring Results at AQM1 - 1 hr TSP Monitoring

Date	Time	TSP Level, (μg /m³)	
2-Feb-17	8:45	56	
2-Feb-17	9:48	45	
2-Feb-17	13:00	41	
7-Feb-17	13:00	80	
7-Feb-17	14:04	104	
7-Feb-17	15:25	91	
13-Feb-17	8:10	99	
13-Feb-17	13:00	146	
13-Feb-17	14:03	96	
18-Feb-17	8:04	61	
18-Feb-17	9:11	38	
18-Feb-17	15:25	113	
24-Feb-17	13:01	41	
24-Feb-17	14:05	50	
24-Feb-17	15:10	17	
Action Level		197	
Limit Level:		500	

5.2.2. Air monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized. No exceedance was recorded in reporting month. Details of air monitoring results can be referred in *Appendix 5.3*.

5.3 Waste Monitoring Results

5.3.1. Inert C&D waste and general refuse were disposed in this reporting month. Details of the waste flow table are summarized in *Table 5.4.*

Table 5.4 Details of Waste Disposal for Contract no. CV/2012/07

Waste Type	Quantity this month	Cumulative Quantity-to-Date	Disposal / Dumping Grounds
Inert C&D materials disposed, m ³	0.02376	12.241084	TKO137
Inert C&D materials recycled, m ³	0	0	N/A
Non-inert C&D materials disposed, m³	0	0	N/A
Non-inert C&D materials recycled, kg	0	34.5436	N/A
Chemical waste disposed, kg	0	0	N/A
General refuse,m ³	0.00975	0.95101	NENT

6. Compliance Audit

6.0.1. The Event Action Plan for construction noise, air quality and water quality are presented in Appendix 6.1.

6.1 Noise Monitoring

6.1.1. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

6.2 Air Monitoring

6.2.1. No exceedance was recorded in the TSP monitoring in the reporting month.

6.3 Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance

6.3.1. There was no non-compliance from the site audits in the reporting period. The observations and recommendations made in each individual site audit session were presented in Section 7.

6.4 Summary of action taken in the event of and follow-up on non-compliance

6.4.1. There was no particular action taken since no project-related non-compliance was recorded from the site audits and environmental monitoring in the reporting period.

7. Environmental Site Audit

7.0.1. According to EM&A Manual stipulation, the regular weekly site inspections on 02, 07, 14, 21 and 28 February 2017 were carried out by ET, IEC, the Contractor and ARUP for Contracts no. CV/2012/07 to ensure the environmental performance. Observations and findings are summarized in *Table 7.1*.

Table 7.1 Summary of Environmental Inspections for Contract no. CV/2012/07

Date	Obs	servations	Follow-Up
2 – Feb - 2017	•	Duty stockpile should be well covered at	The findings have been
		portion A.	rectified during inspection on
			28 Feb 2017
7 – Feb - 2017	•	No finding.	NA
14 - Feb - 2017	•	Drip tray should be provided to chemical	The findings have been
		container.	rectified during inspection on
			28 Feb 2017
21 – Feb – 2017	•	No finding.	NA
28 – Feb – 2017	•	Debris and refuse should be clean up in	Pending for Contractor follow
		bottom of Tower B.	up action
	•	U-channel should be clean up regularly at	
		the slope.	
	•	Valid NRMM label should be provided for	
		the air compressor at the Tower C.	
	•	Drip tray should be provided in Tower C.	

8. Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

- 8.0.1. No complaint and notification of summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this reporting month.
- 8.0.2. The details of cumulative complaint log and updated summary of complaints are presented in *Appendix 8.1*.
- 8.0.3. Cumulative statistic on complaints and successful prosecutions are summarized in *Table 8.1* and *Table 8.2* respectively.

Table 8.1 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints

Reporting Period	No. of Complaints
February 2017	0
Project-to-Date	1

Table 8.2 Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Successful Prosecutions this month (Offence Date)	Cumulative No. Project-to-Date
Air	-	0	0
Noise	-	0	0
Waste	-	0	0
Total	-	0	0



9. Conclusion

- 9.0.1. The EM&A programme was carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual requirements, minor alterations to the programme proposed were made in response to changing circumstances.
- 9.0.2. No construction air and noise monitoring results that triggered the Action and Limit Level was recorded. No complaint of air and noise were received by the ARUP and the contractor. Furthermore, no notification of summons or successful prosecution was received in this reporting month.
- 9.0.3. Dismantling and paving were the key works of the current construction works. Vehicle loads transport should be the potential construction dust and noise impact since the construction site located nearby residential area of Shun Tin Estate. It is reminded that mitigation measures for dust and noise should be properly implemented.

Figure 2.1

Project Layout




Figure 2.2

Project Organization Chart

Project Organization Chart





Figure 4.1

Locations of Environmental Monitoring Stations



Appendix 3.1

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Implementation Schedule for Construction Dust Control

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Environmental Protection Measures	Location (duration/ completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
		measures)			D	С		
\$2.7	\$1, \$2.8	Site Practice • Mean vehicle speed of haulage trucks at 10 km/hr. • Twice daily watering of all open site areas. • Regular watering (once every 1 hour) of all site roads and access roads with frequent truck movement. • Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, form and between site locations. • Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site, combined with cleaning of public roads where necessary. • Suitable side and tailboards on haulage vehicles. • Watering of temporary stockpiles. Blasting • Use of select aggregate and fines to stem the charge with drill holes and watering of blast face. • Use of vaccum extraction drilling methods. • Carefully sequenced blasting. Crushing • Fabric filters installed for the crushing plant.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	D ,	C	TM on EIA Process, APCO, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
		Water sprays on the crusher. Loading and Unloading Points, and conyeyor Belt System Water sprays at all fixed loading and unloading points (at the crusher and conveyor belts). The loading point at the crusher is enclosed with dust curtains are used for controlling dust. When transferring materials from conveyor belt or crusher to the dump trucks, chutes or dust curtains are used for controlling dust. Cover the conveyor belts with steel roof and canvas sides.						

^{*} All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction

Implementation Schedule for Construction Noise Control

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Environmental Protection Measures	completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
			measures)			D	С	
\$3.7	\$1, \$3.7	Site Formation Silenced powered mechanical equipment (PME) for most equipments (including drill rig, backhoe, dump truck, breaker and crane) and the decrease of percentage on time usage of drill rig among the Central Area form 50% to 40% is prosed. Temporary movable noise barrier shall be used to shield the noise emanating from the drilling rig in order to provide adequate shielding for the affected NSRs.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, NCO, TM on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Pilling, ProPECC Note PN2/93

^{*} All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction



Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Control

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Environmental Protection Measures	Location (duration/ completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
			measures)			D	С	
\$6.4	S1	Construction Phase All active working areas should be bounded to retain storm water with sufficient retention time to ensure that suspended solids are not discharged from the site in concentrations above those specified in the TM for the Victor Harbour (Phase I) WCZ. All fuel storage areas should be bounded with drainage directed to an oil interceptor. Separate treatment facilities may be required for effluent from site offices, toilets (unless chemical toilets are used) and canteens. Discharged wastewater from the construction sites to surface water and /or public drainage systems should be controlled through licensing. Discharges should follow fully the terms and conditions in the licences. Relevant practice for dealing with various typr of construction discharges provided in EPD's ProPECC Note 1/94 should be adopted.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, WPCO, ProPECC Note PN 1/94

^{*} All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction



Implementation Schedule for Construction Waste Management

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Environmental Protection Measures	Location (duration/ completion of	Funding Agent	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages**		Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
		measures)			D	С		
\$8.4	S1,S4	Waste Disposal Different types of wastes should be segregated, stored, transported and disposed of proper practice of waste management. Sorting of wastes should be done on-site. Different types of wastes should be segregated and stored in different stockpiles, containers or skips to enhance recycling of materials and proper disposal of wastes. Excavated spoil should be used as much as possible to minimize off-site fill material requirements and disposal of spoil. During road transportation of excavated spoil, vehicles should be covered to avoid dust impact. Wheel washing facilities should be installed at all site exits together with regular qatering of the site access roads. Chemical waste should be recycled on-site or removed by licenced companies. It should be handled according to the Code of practice on the packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. When off-site disposal is required, it should be collected and delivered by licenced contractors to Tsing Yi Chemical Waste Treatment Facility and disposed of in accordance with the Chemical Waste (General) Regulation. Necessary mitigation measures should be adopted to prevent the uncontrolled disposal of chemical and hazardous waste into air, soil, surface waters and ground waters.	All Construction sites (late 2007 to 2016)	CEDD	Lam – Po Wing JV	J	J	TM on EIA Process, WDO, DGO, Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation



Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road – Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

Waste Storage • Chemical material storage areas should be bounded, constructed of impervious materials, and have the capacity to contain 120 percent of the total volume of the containers. Indoor storage areas must have sufficient ventilation to prevent the build-up of fumes, and must be capable of evacuating the space in the event of an accidental release. Outdoor storage areas must be covered with a canopy or contain provisions for the safe removal of rainwater. In both cases, storage areas must not be connected to the foul or stormwater sewer system.			
Dangerous materials as defined under the DGO, including fuel, oil and lubricants, should be stored and properly labeled on site in accordance with the requirements in the DGO. If transportation of hazardous materials is necessary, hazardous materials, chemical wastes and fuel should be packed or stored in containers or vessels of suitable design and construction to prevent leakage, spillage or escape.			
Human waste should be discharged into septic tanks provided by the contractors and removed regularly by a hygiene services company. Refuse containers such as open skips should be provided at every work site for use by the workforce; On-site refuse collection points must also be provided.			

^{*} All recommendations and requirements are summarized from approved EIA resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project,

^{**} D=Design, C=Construction

Appendix 4.1

Action and Limit Level



Action and Limit Level

Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
07:00 – 19:00 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received.	75 dB(A)/ 70 dB(A)/ 65 db(A) ^{Note 1}

Note 1:

- 70dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.
- If works are to be carried out during the restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

Action and Limit Level for Air Monitoring

Monitoring Location	1-hour TSP Level i	n μ g/m 3	24-hour TSP Level in μ g/m ³		
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	
AQM1	197	500	200	260	

Appendix 4.2

Copies of Calibration Certificates

Calibration Certificate

Certificate Number 2016005141

Customer:

LAM Environmental Services Ltd

11/F Centre Point

181-185 Gloucester Road

Wanchai, , Hong Kong

Model Number LxT SE
Serial Number 0004797
Test Results Pass

Initial Condition As Manufactured

Description Sound Expert LxT

Procedure NumberD0001.8384TechnicianRon HarrisCalibration Date8 Jun 2016

Calibration Due

 Temperature
 22.36 °C
 ± 0.01 °C

 Humidity
 50.5 %RH
 ± 0.5 %RH

 Static Pressure
 86.11 kPa
 ± 0.03 kPa

Evaluation Method

Tested with:

Data reported in dB re 20 µPa.

PRMLxT1L. S/N 042622 377B02. S/N 163704

Compliance Standards

Compliant to Manufacturer Specifications and the following standards when combined with

Calibration Certificate from procedure D0001.8378:

IEC 60651:2001 Type 1 ANSI S1.4-2014 Class 1
IEC 60804:2000 Type 1 ANSI S1.4 (R2006) Type 1
IEC 61252:2002 ANSI S1.11 (R2009) Class 1
IEC 61260:2001 Class 1 ANSI S1.25 (R2007)

IEC 61672:2013 Class 1 ANSI S1.43 (R2007) Type 1

Issuing lab certifies that the instrument described above meets or exceeds all specifications as stated in the referenced procedure (unless otherwise noted). It has been calibrated using measurement standards traceable to the SI through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), or other national measurement institutes, and meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2005. Test points marked with a ‡ in the uncertainties column do not fall within this laboratory's scope of accreditation.

The quality system is registered to ISO 9001:2008.

This calibration is a direct comparison of the unit under test to the listed reference standards and did not involve any sampling plans to complete. No allowance has been made for the instability of the test device due to use, time, etc. Such allowances would be made by the customer as needed.

The uncertainties were computed in accordance with the ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM). A coverage factor of approximately 2 sigma (k=2) has been applied to the standard uncertainty to express the expanded uncertainty at approximately 95% confidence level.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, unless permission for the publication of an approved abstract is obtained in writing from the organization issuing this report.

	Standards Used			
Description	Cal Date	Cal Due	Cal Standard	
SRS DS360 Ultra Low Distortion Generator	06/24/2015	06/24/2016	006311	
Hart Scientific 2626-H Temperature Probe	06/17/2015	06/17/2016	006798	
Larson Davis CAL200 Acoustic Calibrator	08/12/2015	08/12/2016	007027	
Larson Davis Model 831	03/01/2016	03/01/2017	007182	
1/2 inch Microphone - P - 0V	03/07/2016	03/07/2017	007185	
Larson Davis CAL291 Residual Intensity Calibrator	09/24/2015	09/24/2016	007287	

Larson Davis, a division of PCB Piezotronics, Inc 1681 West 820 North Provo, UT 84601, United States 716-684-0001







Acoustic Calibration

Measured according to IEC 61672-3:2013 10 and ANSI S1.4-2014 Part 3: 10

Measurement	Test Result [dB]	Lower Limit [dB]	Upper Limit [dB]	Expanded Uncertainty [dB]	Result
1000 Hz	114.00	113.80	114.20	0.14	Pass

Acoustic Signal Tests, C-weighting

Measured according to IEC 61672-3:2013 12 and ANSI S1.4-2014 Part 3: 12 using a comparison coupler with Unit Under Test

(UUT) and reference SLM using S-time-weighted sound level

Frequency [Hz]	Test Result [dB]	Expected [dB]	Lower Limit [dB]	Upper Limit [dB]	Expanded Uncertainty [dB]	Result
125	-0.21	-0.20	-1.20	0.80	0.21	Pass
1000	0.03	0.00	-0.70	0.70	0.21	Pass
8000	-2.39	-3.00	-5.50	-1.50	0.21	Pass

⁻⁻ End of measurement results--

Self-generated Noise

Measured according to IEC 61672-3:2013 11.1 and ANSI S1.4-2014 Part 3: 11.1

Measurement Test Result [dB]

Low Range, 20 dB gain

64.17

-- End of measurement results--

-- End of Report--

Signatory: Ron Harris

Larson Davis, a division of PCB Piezotronics, Inc 1681 West 820 North Provo, UT 84601, United States 716-684-0001







綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F., 9/F., 12/F., 13/F. & 20/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

16CA0226 04-02

Page:

1

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1L)

Manufacturer:

CESVA, SPAIN

Type/Model No.:

CB-5 0035092

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Customer:

Lam Geotechnics Ltd.

Address of Customer:

_

Request No.: Date of receipt:

26-Feb-2016

Date of test:

27-Feb-2016

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	15-Apr-2016	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	22-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	22-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	17-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	17-Apr-2016	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	16-Apr-2016	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

*21 ± 1 °C 55 ± 10 %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

1010 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

⊬Feng Jun Oi

Huang Jian

Approved Signatory:

Date: 01-Ma

01-Mar-2016

Company Chop:

SENGINEERING COMP 综合試驗 COMP 有限公司。 有限公司。 1000年でご

Comments: The results reported in this sertificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev D/01/03/2007



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

G/F, 9/F, 12/F, 13/F. & 20/F, Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. 香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心地下,9樓,12樓,13樓及20樓 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

16CA0226 04-02

Page:

2

2

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

			(Output level in dB re 20 µPa)
Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	94.04	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.002 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.6 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.7 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

- End

D-4-

Fung Chi Yip

Checked by:

Lam Tze Wai

Date:

27-Feb-2016

Date: 01-Mar-2016

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.



Calibration Data for High Volume Sampler (TSP Sampler)

Location		Ning Po No.2 College	Calibration Date	:	08-Nov-16
ID	: _	HVS003	Calibration Due Date	:	08-Feb-17

CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

		Ambient Co	ondition		
Temperature, T _a	301	Kelvin	Pressure, Pa	1010	mmHg
	Orif	ice Transfer Stan	dard Information		
Equipment No.	Ori002	Slope, m _c	2.10714	Intercept, bc	-0.05158

Equipment No.	Ori002	Slope, m _c	2.10714	Intercept, bc	-0.05158		
Last Calibration Date	20-May-16	$(HxP_a/1013.3x298/T_a)^{1/2}$					
Next Calibration Date	20-May-17	$= m_c \times Q_{std} + b_c$					
Calibration of TSP							

	Calibration of TSP									
Calibration	Manometer Reading		Q _{std}	Continuous Flow	IC					
Point	H (inches of water)		H (inches of water)		(m ³ / min.)	Recorder, W	(W(P _a /1013.3x298/T _a) ^{1/2} /35.31)			
	(up)	(down)	(difference)	X-axis	(CFM)	Y-axis				
1	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.8410	20	19.8677				
2	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.0573	30	29.8015				
3	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.3069	38	37.7485				
4	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.5003	42	41.7221				
5	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.7111	48	47.6824				

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, m = 31.0393 Intercept, b = -4.4699

Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9903

Calibration Accepted = Yes/Ne**

**	Dal	oto	20	ann	ronr	iate.

Remarks:

As per client's provided information, the equipment reference no. of the calibrated High Volume Sampler has been re-assigned from EL086 to HVS003 with respect to the update in quality management system.

 Calibrated by
 :
 Jackey MA
 Checked by
 :
 Pauline Wong

 Date
 :
 08-Nov-16
 Date
 :
 08-Nov-16

^{*} if Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibration again.



Calibration Data for High Volume Sampler (TSP Sampler)

Location	:	Ning Po No.2 College	Calibration Date	:	08-Feb-17
ID	:	HVS003	Calibration Due Date	:	08-May-17

CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

Ambient Condition									
Temperature, T _a	291	Kelvin	Pressure, P _a	101	17 mmHg				
Orifice Transfer Standard Information									
Equipment No.	Ori002	Slope, m _c	2.10714	Intercept, bc	-0.05158				
Last Calibration Date	20-May-16		$(H \times P_a / 1013.3 \times 298 / T_a)^{1/2}$						
Next Calibration Date	20-May-17		= <i>m_c</i>	$x Q_{std} + b_c$					

			(Calibration of TSP		
Calibration	Ма	nometer R	eading	Q _{std}	Continuous Flow	IC
Point	H (inches of water)			(m ³ / min.)	Recorder, W	(W(P _a /1013.3x298/T _a) ^{1/2} /35.31)
	(up) (down) (difference		(difference)	X-axis	(CFM)	Y-axis
1	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.7698	26	26.3588
2	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.9867	32	32.4417
3	3.1	3.1 6.2		1.2225	40	40.5521
4	4.0	4.0	8.0	1.3853	44	44.6073
5	5.4	5.4	10.8	1.6056	50	50.6901
By Linear Regression of Y	on X					
	Slope, m	=	29.4	381 Ir	ntercept, b =	3.7810
Correlation C	oefficient*	=	0.99	988		
Calibration	ration Accepted =			\ \ 0 **		

^{*} if Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibration again.

As per client's provided information, the equipment reference no. of the calibrated High Volume Sampler has been Remarks:

re-assigned from EL086 to HVS003 with respect to the update in quality management system.

Checked by Jackey MA Pauline Wong Calibrated by 08-Feb-17 Date 08-Feb-17 Date

^{**} Delete as appropriate.



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mo Operator		Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		438320 3166	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	293 - 748.03
PLATE OR Run # 1 2 3 4 5	VOLUME START (m3) NA NA NA NA NA	VOLUME STOP (m3) NA NA NA NA NA	DIFF VOLUME (m3) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	DIFF TIME (min) 1.4270 1.0220 0.9100 0.8730 0.7180	METER DIFF Hg (mm) 3.2 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.7	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.) 2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9967 0.9925 0.9904 0.9892 0.9840	0.6985 0.9711 1.0883 1.1332 1.3705	1.4150 2.0010 2.2372 2.3464 2.8299		0.9957 0.9915 0.9893 0.9882 0.9830	0.6977 0.9701 1.0872 1.1320 1.3691	0.8851 1.2517 1.3995 1.4678 1.7702
Qstd slop intercept coefficie y axis =	(b) = ent (r) =	2.10714 -0.05158 0.99978	 [a)]	Qa slope intercept coefficie v axis =	= (b) $=$	1.31946 -0.03226 0.99978

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$

Appendix 5.1

Monitoring Schedules for Reporting Month and Coming Reporting Month



Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule February 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
29-Jan			1-Feb		3-Feb	4-Feb
				1hr TSP x 3		
				Noise		
5-Feb	6-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb		11-Feb
	24 hr TSP	1hr TSP x 3				24 hr TSP
		Noise				
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
12 1 00	1hr TSP x 3	14105	10 1 05			1hr TSP x 3
	Noise					
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb			25-Feb
				24 hr TSP	1hr TSP x 3 Noise	
					Noise	
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				

Noise Monitoring Station NM1: Tin Wan House NM2: Ning Po No. 2 College Air Monitoring Station AQM1: Ning Po No.2 College



Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works Area Tentative Environmental Monitoring Schedule March 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
26-Feb			1-Mar 24 hr TSP	2-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	3-Mar	4-Mar
5-Mar	6-Mar	7-Mar 24 hr TSP	8-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	9-Mar	10-Mar	11-Mar
12-Mar		14-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	15-Mar	16-Mar	17-Mar	18-Mar 24 hr TSP
	20-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	21-Mar	22-Mar		24-Mar 24 hr TSP	25-Mar 1hr TSP x 3
26-Mar	27-Mar	28-Mar	29-Mar	24 hr TSP	31-Mar 1hr TSP x 3 Noise	

Noise Monitoring Station NM1: Tin Wan House NM2: Ning Po No. 2 College Air Monitoring Station AQM1: Ning Po No.2 College

Appendix 5.2

Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Contract No. CV/2012/07

Development at Anderson Road -Footbridge D and Associated Works Area

CS_J2013-02_CV201207 LPWJV JOB NO:

CLIENT:


		Daytin	me(07:00-19:00)		
Date	Time	Location	Leq (dB)	L10 (dB)	L90 (dB)
2-Feb-17	8:30	NM1	63.2	65.5	58.4
2-Feb-17	9:08	NM2	64.0	65.7	59.5
7-Feb-17	13:07	NM1	63.8	65.6	60.0
7-Feb-17	13:48	NM2	63.7	64.9	59.9
13-Feb-17	13:04	NM1	64.2	66.3	61.2
13-Feb-17	13:58	NM2	63.9	65.7	60.8
24-Feb-17	13:11	NM1	62.2	64.4	59.3
2 4 -1 60-17	13:59	NM2	63.0	64.9	59.9

NM1 - Tin Wan House NM2 - Ning Po No. 2 College



Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result

Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)



Appendix 5.3

Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Location: AQM1-Ning Po No.2 College

Report on 24-hour TSP monitoring Action Level (µg/m3) - 200 Limit Level (µg/m3) - 260


Date	Sampling	Weather	Filter	Filter Weig	ht, g	Elapse Tim	Elapse Time, hr		Flow	/Rate, m³	/min	Total	TSP Level,
	Time	Condition	paper no.	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time, hr	Initial, Qsi	Final, Qsf	Average	Volume, m ³	μg/m ³
1-Feb-17	8:00	Fine	19012	2.8355	2.9378	6211.71	6235.71	24.00	1.46	1.47	1.46	2109	49
6-Feb-17	8:00	Cloudy	18527	2.8077	3.0195	6238.73	6262.73	24.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	2104	101
11-Feb-17	8:00	Fine	18979	2.8961	3.0882	6265.80	6289.80	24.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	2122	91
17-Feb-17	8:00	Fine	18523	2.8154	2.9921	6292.80	6316.80	24.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	2101	84
23-Feb-17	8:00	Cloudy	19045	2.8464	3.0215	6319.80	6343.80	24.00	1.26	1.27	1.27	1824	96

port on 1-hour TSP monitoring
Action Level (ug/m3) - 197


Report on 1-hour 15P monit	oring
Action Level (µg/m3) -	197
Limit Level (µg/m3) -	500

Date	Sampling	Weather	Filter	Filter Weig	ht, g	Elapse Tim	ne, hr	Sampling	Flow	/Rate, m	/min	Total	TSP Level,
	Time	Condition	paper no.	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time, hr	Initial, Qsi	Final, Q _{sf}	Average	Volume, m	μg/m ³
2-Feb-17	8:45	Fine	18529	2.8083	2.8132	6235.71	6236.71	1.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	88	56
2-Feb-17	9:48	Fine	18528	2.8006	2.8046	6236.71	6237.71	1.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	88	45
2-Feb-17	13:00	Fine	18521	2.8359	2.8395	6237.71	6238.71	1.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	88	41
7-Feb-17	13:00	Cloudy	19007	2.8162	2.8232	6262.73	6263.73	1.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	88	80
7-Feb-17	14:04	Cloudy	19006	2.8240	2.8331	6263.73	6264.73	1.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	88	104
7-Feb-17	15:25	Cloudy	19199	2.8253	2.8333	6264.73	6265.73	1.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	88	91
13-Feb-17	8:10	Fine	19198	2.8386	2.8473	6289.80	6290.80	1.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	88	99
13-Feb-17	13:00	Fine	18978	2.8955	2.9084	6290.80	6291.80	1.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	88	146
13-Feb-17	14:03	Fine	18522	2.8318	2.8403	6291.80	6292.80	1.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	88	96
18-Feb-17	8:04	Fine	19194	2.8436	2.8489	6216.80	6217.80	1.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	88	61
18-Feb-17	9:11	Fine	19047	2.8452	2.8485	6217.80	6218.80	1.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	88	38
18-Feb-17	15:25	Fine	19046	2.8503	2.8602	6218.80	6219.80	1.00	1.46	1.46	1.46	88	113
24-Feb-17	13:01	Cloudy	19403	2.8259	2.8290	6343.80	6344.80	1.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76	41
24-Feb-17	14:05	Cloudy	19400	2.8365	2.8403	6344.80	6345.80	1.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76	50
24-Feb-17	15:10	Cloudy	19402	2.8332	2.8345	6345.80	6346.80	1.00	1.27	1.27	1.27	76	17





Graphic Presentation of 1 hour TSP Result



Appendix 6.1

Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT		A	CTION	
	ET	IC(E)	ER	CONTRACTOR
Exceedance for Action Level	Notify IC(E) and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to IC(E) and Contractor; Discuss with Contractor and formulate remedial measures;; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	Review the analysed results submitted by ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IC(E); Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Exceedance for Limited Level	Notify IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor; Identify sources; Repeat measurements to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IC(E), ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Event / Action Plan for Construction Air Quality

EVENT			ACT	TION				
		ET		IC(E)		ER		CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL								
Exceedance for one sample	1. 2. 3. 4.	Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. 2.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	1.	Notify Contractor.	1. 2.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor for remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	1. 2. 3.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. 2. 3.	Submit proposals for remedial actions t IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
LIMITED LEVEL 1. Exceedance for one sample	1. 2.	Identify source;	1. 2.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method:	1.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing:	1.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance:
	3. 4. 5.	Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results.	3. 4. 5.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	2. 3.	Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	2. 3. 4.	Submit proposals for remedial actions IEC within 3 working days of notificatio Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the	1. 2. 3.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. 2. 3.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IC(E), agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented:	1. 2. 3. 4.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions t IC(E) within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control:
	7.	remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.			5.	If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	5.	Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Appendix 6.2

Summary for Notification of Exceedance_Air and Noise

Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A

Appendix 8.1

Complaint Log




Environmental Complaints Log

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Out	tcome	Status
140813	13 Aug 2014	Resident complained via hotline by RE	The slope opposite to Tin Wan House, Shun Tin Estate, Kowloon	Noise was emanated from the construction site at the slope opposite to Tin Wan House, Shun Tin Estate, Kowloon at around 1620 hrs on 13 Aug 2014 and requested follow up action by relevant department.	2)	RSS notified ET on 15 Aug 2014 ET confirmed with site staff the major noise generating construction activities undertaken at works area at the slope opposite to Tin Wan House including slope works After reviewing the noise monitoring data at monitoring stations (NM1 - Tin Wan House and NM2 - Ning Po No.2 College), no limit level exceedances were recorded during routine noise monitoring event on 14 Aug 2014. As similar construction works activities conducted on 13 Aug 2014 was continued across the above monitoring period, the noise emanated from the construction activities under Contract CV/2012/07 was considered to comply with the statutory requirement. In addition, weekly environmental site inspection was conducted on 12 Aug 2014 at around 10:00. According to the inspection record, no particular observation regarding noise impact was recorded and the mitigation measures including erection of temporary noise barrier was observed in place.	Closed

Appendix 9.1


Construction Programme

Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works (Rev. 2)




Assume Contract Start On 31-Jan-2013 and Finish On 30-Nov-2016 (1399 days) Duration as shown by week day

Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works (Rev. 2)



Assume Contract Start On 31-Jan-2013 and Finish On 30-Nov-2016 (1399 days) Duration as shown by week day

Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works (Rev. 2)



Assume Contract Start On 31-Jan-2013 and Finish On 30-Nov-2016 (1399 days) Duration as shown by week day

Master Programme For Contract No. CV/2012/07 Development at Anderson Road - Footbridge D and Associated Works 2013 2014 2015 2016 Dec Jan FebMar ApriMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec ID Task Name Total Slack WBS Finish Successors 99 1.4.3 Landscape Softworks and Establishment Works for Footbridge A, B and C 289 days Thu 12/11/15 Mon 07/11/16 98 20 days 30/11 0 days Wed 30/11/16 Wed 30/11/16 99 0 days 100 1.4.4 Completion of Section 3

Date: Mon 10/06/13 Prepared By: T.L. Lo Baseline Milestone Summary

Task
Critical Task

Milestone Summary

Baseline Progress