

## Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works

Monthly EM&A Report No. 32 for July 2008

August 2008

Report no: 01284R0831

**Hyder Consulting Ltd** 

COI Number 126012

47th Floor, Hopewell Centre, 183 Queens Road East, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2911 2233 Fax: +852 2805 5028

www.hyderconsulting.com





## Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works

Monthly EM&A Report No. 32 for July 2008

Author:

Selina Leung

Checker:

Adrien Guiheux

Approver:

Alexi Bhanja

Report no:

EA01284 R0831

Date:

August 2008

This report has been prepared for in accordance with the terms and conditions of Maeda Corporation appointment for the Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works. Hyder Consulting Ltd (Incorporated in Hong Kong with limited liability—COI Number 126012) cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.

Certified by Environmental Team Leader Alexi Bhanja

Consulting



## Contents

1	Executive Summary						
2	Intro	Introduction2					
	2.1	Basic Information	2				
	2.2	Management Structure and Project Organisation	2				
	2.3	Construction Programme	3				
	2.4	Works Undertaken during the Reporting Month	3				
	2.5	Status of Environmental Permit/ Licence	3				
3	Envi	ironmental Status	4				
	3.1	Works Undertaken during the Month with Illustrations	4				
	3.2	Project Area, Environmental Sensitive Receivers and Monitoring Locations	4				
4	Brie	f Summary of EM&A Requirements	4				
	4.1	Monitoring Parameters	4				
	4.2	Action and Limit Levels	4				
	4.3	Event and Action Plans	5				
	4.4	Environmental Mitigation Measures and Requirements	7				
5	5 Implementation Status of Environmental Protection and Pollution Control Measures						
6	Mon	itoring Results	8				
	6.1	Monitoring Methodology	8				
	6.2	Name of Laboratory, Types of Equipment Used and Calibration Details					
	6.3	Parameters Monitored					
	6.4	Monitoring Locations	11				
	6.5	Monitoring Date, Time, Frequency and Duration, Weather Condition and Other					
	6.6	Results and Graphical Plots of Monitoring Parameters					
	6.7	Factors Which Might Affect the Monitoring Results					
	6.8	QA/QC Results and Detection Limit	14				
7		-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful secutions	14				
	7.1	Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	14				
	7.2	Complaints Received	14				
	7.3	Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	15				
	7.4	Review of the Reasons and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Sum Prosecutions					
	7.5	Site Inspection					



8	Waste Mana	agement Status	.17
9	Future Key	Issues	.17
10	Comments,	Recommendations and Conclusions	. 18
List of Tab	les		
	Table 2-1	Key Personnel Contact Names and Telephone Number for the Project	3
	Table 2-2	Status of Permit/Licence for the Project	3
	Table 4-1	Event/ Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring	7
	Table 4-2	Event/ Action Plan for Noise Monitoring	7
	Table 6-1	Monitoring Equipment	11
	Table 6-2	Air Quality Monitoring Locations	11
	Table 6-3	Noise Monitoring Locations	11
	Table 6-4	Sampling Schedule of Air Quality Monitoring	12
	Table 6-5	Sampling Schedule of Noise Monitoring	12
	Table 6-6	Air Quality Monitoring Results	13
	Table 6-7	Noise Monitoring Results	14
	Table 7-1	Summaries of Site Inspections and Recommendations	17
List of App	endices		
	Appendix 1	Project Organization	
	Appendix 2	Construction Programme	
	Appendix 3	Location of Works	
	Appendix 4	Project Area, Environmental Sensitive Receiver and Monitoring Location	
	Appendix 5	Action and Limit Levels	
	Appendix 6	Environmental Requirement and Implementation Status	
	Appendix 7	Calibration Records	
	Appendix 8	Monitoring Results and Graphical Plots	
	Appendix 9	QA/QC Results and Detection Limit	
	Appendix 10	Cumulative Number of Complaint, Notification of Summon and Successful Prosecution	
	Appendix 11	Upcoming EM&A Schedule	



### 1 Executive Summary

The expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works (SWHSTW) aims to increase the treatment capacity of the existing SWHSTW to cope with the increasing wastewater flows and loads as a result of the population growth in the catchment area of Fanling/Sheung Shui and the committed extension of sewerage system to unsewered areas. It is considered as a project constituting a material change to an exempted designated project under Schedule 2 of EIAO. Thus, the procedures under the EIAO have been followed and an Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Programme has to be carried out. The present report documents the outcomes of the EM&A Works undertaken during July 2008.

#### Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

#### Noise

No non-compliance of action/limit level was recorded at any monitoring stations during the reporting month.

1-hr and 24-hr TSP

No non-compliance of action/limit level was recorded at any monitoring stations during the reporting month.

#### Complaints Log

During this reporting month, no environmental complaint was received.

#### Notifications of Any Summons and Successful Prosecutions

During the reporting month, no notification of summons or successful prosecution was recorded.

#### Reporting Changes

No reporting changes have occurred during the reporting month.

#### Future Key Issues

The construction activities for the coming three months will include excavation and backfilling, pipe works, installation of cat ladders, roofing and finishing works, structural steelwork with FRP covers, roadwork, cable ducts and cable drawpits and landscaping work.



## 2 Introduction

#### 2.1 Basic Information

Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works (SWHSTW) provides treatment to the wastewater generated from Fanling/Sheung Shui areas before discharge it into Mai Po Inner Deep Bay Ramsar Site through River Indus and Shenzhen River, thus helps protecting the water quality of River Indus, Shenzhen River and Mai Po Inner Deep Bay Ramsar Site. The expansion of SWHSTW aims to expand the treatment capacity of the existing SWHSTW to cope with the increasing wastewater flows and loads as a result of the population growth in the catchment area of Fanling/Sheung Shui and the committed extension of sewerage system to unsewered areas.

In accordance with Section 9(2)(g) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), the SWHSTW is an exempted designated project as the existing SWHSTW has been in operation before the EIAO came into effect on 1 April 1998. However, since the proposed works involve physical expansion and alternation to the existing SWHSTW (hereafter called "the Project") and may cause adverse environmental impacts if mitigation measures are not in place, it shall be considered as a project constituting a material change to an exempted designated project under Schedule 2 of EIAO. Hence the procedures under the EIAO have been followed. A Project Profile (PP) for direct application of the EP (Application No.DIR-121/2005) was approved by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) in May 2005 and an environmental permit (EP-218/2005) was obtained prior to the commencement of the expansion works.

Drainage Services Department (DSD) awarded the civil contract of the expansion of SWHSTW to Maeda Corporation (Maeda) in September 2005. Maeda appointed Hyder Consulting Limited (HCL) as the Contractor's Environmental Team (ET) during the construction period. CH2M HILL Hong Kong Limited (formerly known as CH2M-IDC Hong Kong Limited) is the independent environmental checker (IEC). The construction contract commenced in September 2005 and the total construction period is approximately 36 months. The notified commencement date of work to the Director of EPD is 14 December 2005.

## 2.2 Management Structure and Project Organisation

The Engineer (DSD) is responsible for overseeing the construction works and ensuring that they are undertaken by the Contractor (Maeda) in accordance with the specifications and contractual requirements. The Contractor shall report to the Engineer. The ET is employed by the Contractor and is responsible for conducting the EM&A programme. The IEC shall advise the Engineer on the environmental issues related to the Project.

The key personnel contact names and telephone number are summarised in Table 2-1. The project organisation is shown in Appendix 1.



Party	Position	Name	Telephone number
Project Proponent - DSD	Project Manager	Raymond Lee	2594 7457
	Engineer's Representative	Freddie Tsang	2594 7459
Contractor - Maeda	Site Agent	George Cheung	9268 1918
ET - Hyder	ET Leader	Alexi Bhanja	2911 2916
IEC – CH2M HILL	IEC	Y.T. Tang	3105 8686

Table 2-1 Key Personnel Contact Names and Telephone Number for the Project

### 2.3 Construction Programme

Construction programme of the Project is attached in Appendix 2.

## 2.4 Works Undertaken during the Reporting Month

Works undertaken during the reporting month included:

- -Excavation and backfilling
- -Pipe laying
- -Installation of FRP covers
- -Finishing work
- -Road Works; and
- -Cable Ducts and Cable Drawpits.

## 2.5 Status of Environmental Permit/ Licence

The status of the Environmental Permit/Licence for the Project is shown below.

Permit/Licence	Application Date	Date of issue	Ref. No.	Valid Until
Environmental Permit	21 May 2005	16 June 2005	EP-218/2005	End of Project
Notification was made to EPD pursuant to Section 3(1) of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation (Form NA was submitted)	22 Sep 2005	N/A	N/A	End of Project
Registration as a chemical waste producer	26 Sep 2005	4 Nov 2005	WPN: 5213-624- M2446-06	End of Project
Effluent Discharge Licence	11 Nov 2005	20 Dec 2005	Licence No.: W5/1I287/1	19 Dec 2010
Application for Exemption Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	12 Dec 2005	Approved by EPD on 31 Dec 2005	Application No.: RN/00134	25 Sep 2008
Construction Noise Permit	15 Nov 2007	29 Nov 2007	GW-RN0507-07	31 May 2008

Table 2-2 Status of Permit/Licence for the Project



## 3 Environmental Status

## 3.1 Works Undertaken during the Month with Illustrations

The site has been subdivided into different Works Areas/Portions as illustrated in Appendix 3. Excavation, backfilling, pipe laying and finishing work were undertaken in Portions 1,2 & 3. Installation of FRP covers was undertaken in Portion 1.

## 3.2 Project Area, Environmental Sensitive Receivers and Monitoring Locations

The site is located at the existing Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Plant, next to Chuk Wan Street. The project area, environmental sensitive receivers and monitoring locations are shown in Appendix 4.

## 4 Brief Summary of EM&A Requirements

## 4.1 Monitoring Parameters

#### 4.1.1 Air Quality

During the construction phase impact monitoring, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels should be measured at the selected air monitoring locations in accordance with the EM&A Manual. These two parameters are aimed to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality.

#### 4.1.2 Noise

The construction noise level should be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) for 30 minutes.  $L_{eq(30 \text{ min})}$  is used as the monitoring parameter for the period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time periods, three consecutive  $L_{eq(5\text{min})}$  are employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Other noise parameters such as  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  should also be obtained for reference.

### 4.2 Action and Limit Levels

### 4.2.1 Air Quality

The baseline monitoring results documented in the Baseline Monitoring Report for the Project (our report ref.: EA01284R0012) form the basis for derivation of the Action and Limit Levels for air quality impact monitoring. Appendix 5 shows the derived



Action and Limit Levels for the Project. If the air quality criteria are exceeded due to the Project, the Event/Action Plan summarised in Table 4-1 should be triggered immediately.

#### 4.2.2 Noise

The Action and Limit Levels for construction noise are defined in Appendix 5. If valid non-compliance of the criteria occurs, actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 4-2 should be implemented. If construction works are undertaken during the restricted hours, a construction noise permit under NCO shall be obtained by the Contractor.

### 4.3 Event and Action Plans

The Event and Action Plans for air quality and noise monitoring are shown in Tables 4-1 and 4-2, respectively.

EVENT		AC*	TION	
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;     Inform IEC and ER;     Repeat measurement to confirm finding.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;     Check Contractor's working method.	Notify Contractor.	<ul> <li>Rectify any unacceptable practice;</li> <li>Amend working methods if appropriate.</li> </ul>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	Identify source, investigate the cause of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops,	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;     Notify Contractor;     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals;     Amend proposal if appropriate.



EVENT.		AC	TION	
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
	cease additional monitoring.			
LIMIT LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.  If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ul> <li>Notify IEC, ER,         Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>Identify source,         investigate the cause         of exceedance and         propose remedial         measurement to         confirm findings;</li> <li>Increase monitoring         frequency to daily;</li> <li>Carry out analysis of         Contractor's working         procedures to         determine possible         mitigation to be         implemented;</li> <li>Arrange meeting with         IEC and ER to         discuss the remedial         actions to be taken;</li> <li>Assess effectiveness         of Contractor's         remedial actions and         keep IEC, EPD and         ER informed of the         results;</li> <li>If exceedance stops,         cease additional</li> </ul>	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;     Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise ER accordingly;     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	<ul> <li>Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;</li> <li>Notify Contractor;</li> <li>In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li> <li>Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;</li> <li>If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ul>	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER until the exceedance is abated.



Table 4-1 Event/ Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring

EVENT		Ad	ction	
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	<ul> <li>Notify IEC and ER;</li> <li>Carry out investigation;</li> <li>Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractors;</li> <li>Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures;</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.</li> </ul>	Review the analysed results submitted by the ET;     Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;     Supervise the implementation of remedial measure.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;     Notify Contractor;     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem;     Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposal to IEC;     Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;     Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly;     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;     Notify Contractor;     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem;     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;     If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Table 4-2 Event/ Action Plan for Noise Monitoring

## 4.4 Environmental Mitigation Measures and Requirements

The recommended measures for mitigating air quality, water quality, noise, waste and all other possible environmental impacts due to the construction works have been



stated clearly in the EM&A Manual. The details of the measures implemented by the Contractor are shown in Appendix 6.

# 5 Implementation Status of Environmental Protection and Pollution Control/ Mitigation Measures

The status of the mitigation measures implemented by the Contractor is listed in Appendix 6.

## 6 Monitoring Results

### 6.1 Monitoring Methodology

#### 6.1.1 Air Quality

1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring works were undertaken by the ET using high volume samplers (HVS). The sampling procedures followed the standard sampling method as set out in High Volume Method for Total Suspended Particulates, Part 50 Chapter 1 Appendix B, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations of the USEPA.

During the sampling, dust laden air was drawn through a HVS fitted with a conditioned, pre-weighted filter paper, at a controlled rate. After sampling for 1 hour and 24 hours, the filter paper with retained particles was collected and returned to the laboratory for drying in a desiccator followed by accurate weighing. Respective 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels were calculated from the ratio of the mass of particulates retained on the filter paper to the total volume of air sampled.

The HVSs were equipped with an electronic mass flow controller and calibrated against a traceable standard at regular intervals. All equipment, calibration kit and filter papers were clearly labelled.

The sampling procedures and specifications were the same for 1-hour and 24-hour baseline air quality monitoring except the sampling duration. The specifications were as follows:

- 0.6-1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min (20-60SCFM);
- Equipped with a timing/control device with +/- 5 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
- Installed with elapsed time meter with +/- 2 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
- Capable of providing a minimum exposed area of 406 cm<sup>2</sup> (63in<sup>2</sup>);
- Flow control accuracy: +/- 2.5% deviation over 24-hr sampling period;
- Equipped with a shelter to protect the filter and sampler;
- Incorporated with an electronic mass flow rate controller or other equivalent devices;
- Equipped with a flow recorder for continuous monitoring;



- Provided with a peaked roof inlet;
- Incorporated with a manometer;
- Able to hold and seal the filter paper to the sampler housing at horizontal position;
- Easy to change the filter; and
- Capable of operating continuously for a 24-hour period.

Relevant environmental data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and other special phenomena observed and work progress of the concerned site were also recorded.

Filter papers of size 8"x10" were labelled before sampling. They were inspected clean with no pin holes and conditioned in a humidity-controlled chamber for over 24-hr and be pre-weighed before use for the sampling.

After sampling, the filter papers loaded with dust were kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag, and then returned for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber followed by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with a readout down to 0.1 mg. All the collected samples would be kept in a good condition for 6 months before disposal.

The weight of filter paper was measured by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

#### 6.1.2 Noise

Weatherproof logging sound level meters which comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publication 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications were used to measure the construction noise at the designated monitoring locations. Noise parameters of the A-weighted levels  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were measured with a sampling period of 5 minutes throughout the monitoring. The average of six consecutive 5-minute readings was used to provide  $L_{eq(30 \text{ minutes})}$  for non-restricted hours. A facade correction of 3dB(A) would be applied to all free field measurements.

During the impact monitoring, information such as date, weather condition, equipment used, measurement results and major noise sources were recorded on the field data record sheet. Noise measurements would not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s. The wind speed would be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring wind speed in m/s. All measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1dB(A).



## 6.2 Name of Laboratory, Types of Equipment Used and Calibration Details

#### 6.2.1 Name of Laboratory

Filter papers used for air quality monitoring were sent to ALS Environmental, a HOKLAS accredited laboratory, for weighing. Other sampling and analytical works were conducted by Hyder Consulting Limited, the ET.

#### 6.2.2 Types of Equipment Used and Calibration Details

HVS - Model GBM2000H1, manufactured by Anderson Instruments Inc., was used for TSP monitoring. It complies with the USEPA specifications in Appendix B Part 50 - Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate matter in the Atmosphere (High-Volume Method) of the Code of Federal Regulation dated July 1, 1991. Initial calibration of dust monitoring equipment was conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. One point flow rate calibration would be carried out every two months. Five-point calibration would be carried out every six months. All the calibration data were converted into standard temperature and pressure condition.

Orific HVS Calibration Kit Serial No: 517N was used for the calibration of HVSs. Calibration of calibration kit would be carried out annually. Appendix 7 presents the monitoring equipment calibration records.

For noise monitoring, Bruel & Kjaer (B&K) Precision Integrating Sound Level Meters of Type 2238 in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publication 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) Specifications were used.

Prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator (B&K Type 4230) generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements were considered as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1dB. All sound level meters and calibrators would be calibrated annually. Appendix 7 presents the monitoring equipment calibration records.

Table 6-1 summarises the types of monitoring and calibration equipment.



Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number/I.D.
Sound Level Meter	B&K	Type 2236	1774423
Sound Level Calibrator	B&K	Type 4231	1770806
High Volume Sampler	Anderson	GBM 2000 H1	1097
High Volume Sampler	Anderson	GBM 2000 H1	1062
Orific HVS Calibration Kit	Tisch Environmental	N/A	517N

Table 6-1 Monitoring Equipment

#### 6.3 Parameters Monitored

Parameters monitored are described in Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2.

## 6.4 Monitoring Locations

There are two designated air quality monitoring locations identified in the EM&A Manual. Due to the access constraint, alternative monitoring locations were selected and approved by ER, IEC and EPD prior the commencement of monitoring. These alternative locations for air quality monitoring are summarised in Table 6-2 and shown in Appendix 4.

Monitoring Station ID	Name of Premises	Monitoring Location
CAM1a	San Po Street Pumping Station	Ground floor level
CAM2a	Sheung Shui Heung Floodwater Pumping Station	Ground floor level

Table 6-2 Air Quality Monitoring Locations

There are two designated noise monitoring locations identified in the EM&A Manual and their locations are described below and shown in Appendix 4.

Monitoring Station ID	Name of Premises	Monitoring Location
NM1	Wai Loi Tsuen	1.2m above ground
NM2	Temporary Domestic Structure	1.2m above ground

Table 6-3 Noise Monitoring Locations

## 6.5 Monitoring Date, Time, Frequency and Duration, Weather Condition and Other Factors

Monitoring frequency for 1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP is 3 times every 6 days and once every 6 days, respectively. One set of noise measurements will be conducted between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays at each monitoring station on a weekly

Page 11



basis, when noise-generating activities are underway. Monitoring date, time and duration for noise and air quality monitoring and all other factors related to the monitoring result, such as weather condition, are listed in the following tables.

Station	Date	Time	Duration	Weather Condition
1-hr TSP				
	5 July 2008	0910-1210	3 X 1 hour	Sunny
	11 July 2008	1010-1410	3 X 1 hour	Fine
CAM1a	17 July 2008	1130-1500	3 X 1 hour	Sunny
	23 July 2008	1000-1300	3 X 1 hour	Sunny
	29 July 2008	1000-1400	3 X 1 hour	Fine
	5 July 2008	0920-1220	3 X 1 hour	Sunny
	11 July 2008	1020-1420	3 X 1 hour	Fine
CAM2a	17 July 2008	1140-1510	3 X 1 hour	Sunny
	23 July 2008	1010-1310	3 X 1 hour	Sunny
	29 July 2008	1010-1410	3 X 1 hour	Fine
24-hr TSP				
	5 July 2008	1210-1211	24 hours	Sunny
	11 July 2008	1410-1410	24 hours	Fine
CAM1a	17 July 2008	1500-1500	24 hours	Sunny
	23 July 2008	1300-1300	24 hours	Sunny
	29 July 2008	1400-1400	24 hours	Fine
	5 July 2008	1220-1219	24 hours	Sunny
	11 July 2008	1420-1420	24 hours	Fine
CAM2a	17 July 2008	1510-1510	24 hours	Sunny
	23 July 2008	1310-1310	24 hours	Sunny
	29 July 2008	1410-1410	24 hours	Fine

Table 6-4 Sampling Schedule of Air Quality Monitoring

Station	Date	Time	Duration	Weather Condition
	11 July 2008	1125-1155	30 minutes	Fine
NM1	17 July 2008	1320-1350	30 minutes	Sunny
INIVI I	23 July 2008	1015-1045	30 minutes	Sunny
	29 July 2008	1015-1045	30 minutes	Fine
	11 July 2008	0930-1000	30 minutes	Fine
NM2	17 July 2008	1420-1450	30 minutes	Sunny
INIVIZ	23 July 2008	1120-1150	30 minutes	Sunny
	29 July 2008	1115-1145	30 minutes	Fine

Table 6-5 Sampling Schedule of Noise Monitoring



## 6.6 Results and Graphical Plots of Monitoring Parameters

Air quality monitoring results of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels are summarised in Table 6-6 and detailed in Appendix 8. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are also provided in Appendix 8.

Station	Date	Measured L	evel (μg/m³)	Action/Limit Level (μg/m³)		
	Date	1-hr TSP	24-hr TSP	1-hr TSP	24-hr TSP	
		76.2			203.3/260	
	5 July 2008	88.6	38.3			
		54.4	]			
	11 July 2008	31.8				
		11.0	44.4			
		28.4	]			
		24.1				
CAM1a	17 July 2008	24.0	56.7	342.7/500		
		63.1	]			
	23 July 2008	62.5				
		39.0	45.5			
		32.4				
	29 July 2008	166.1				
		170.2	108.7			
		145.6				
	5 July 2008	40.4	24.8	340/500	201.6/260	
		4.8				
		14.5				
	11 July 2008	8.2				
		1.6	22.6			
		15.1				
		36.3				
CAM2a	17 July 2008	54.7	43.6			
		11.9				
		6.5				
	23 July 2008	11.8	29.8			
		13.1				
		169.0				
	29 July 2008	138.4	83.1			
		150.1				

Note:

(1) "Shading" indicates an exceedance of Action Level. "Bold and shading" indicates an exceedance of Limit Level.

Table 6-6 Air Quality Monitoring Results



Noise monitoring results are summarised in Table 6-7 and detailed in Appendix 8. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are also provided in Appendix 8. As all monitoring was conducted at free field condition, a facade correction of 3dB(A) was applied to each of the noise measurements.

Station	Date	Measu	Limit Level for		
		Leq (30min)	L <sub>10(30min)</sub>	L <sub>90(30min)</sub>	L <sub>eq(30 min)</sub> , dB(A)
NM1	11 July 2008	66.6	69.1	63.6	
	17 July 2008	68.0	69.9	66.0	
	23 July 2008	68.5	70.3	66.1	
	29 July 2008	65.2	66.8	62.8	75
NM2	11 July 2008	59.9	63.0	57.5	75
	17 July 2008	60.2	62.1	57.7	
	23 July 2008	63.0	65.6	61.0	
	29 July 2008	58.7	60.8	56.2	

Note: (1) Shaded area indicates an exceedance of Limit Level.

Table 6-7 Noise Monitoring Results

## 6.7 Factors Which Might Affect the Monitoring Results

Dust from other sources such as roads with the movement of heavy vehicles in the vicinity of the monitoring stations would affect the air quality monitoring results.

### 6.8 QA/QC Results and Detection Limit

The quality assurance (QA) / quality control (QC) results and detection limit are shown in Appendix 9.

# 7 Non-compliance, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

### 7.1 Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

No non-compliance of Action or Limit Level was recorded for noise monitoring.

No non-compliance of Action or Limit Level was recorded for air monitoring.

## 7.2 Complaints Received

In case of an environmental complaint received, all related parties should follow the complaints response procedures specified in the EM&A Manual.

Page 14

<sup>(2)</sup> A facade correction of 3dB(A) was applied to each of noise measurements.



During this reporting month, no environmental complaint was received. Cumulative number of environmental complaint is shown in Appendix 10.

#### 7.3 Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No notification of summons or successful prosecution was recorded during the reporting month. The cumulative number of notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are shown in Appendix 10.

## 7.4 Review of the Reasons and Implications of Non-compliance, Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

#### 7.4.1 Non-compliance of Acton/Limit Level

No non-compliance was recorded during the reporting period.

#### 7.4.2 Complaints, Summons and Prosecutions

No complaint, summons and prosecution was recorded during the reporting period.

## 7.5 Site Inspection

Weekly site inspections were carried out on 3, 10, 16, 23 and 30 July 2008. The findings of the site inspections and appropriate mitigation measures were recorded in the site inspection checklists.

The observations risen during the site inspections, corresponding recommendations and rectification status are summarised in Table 7-1.

Inspection Date		Deficiencies		Recommendation		Status	Note / Reminder
Follow-up issues	2.	Stockpiles of soil were observed near site exit.  Stagnant water was observed adjacent to air blower house.	2.	The Contractor was reminded to cover the stockpile with tarpaulin sheet to prevent wash away of soil to enter public road or public drainage.  The Contractor was reminded to remove it after rainstorm.	2.	During site inspection on 3 July 2008, the stockpile near site exit was removed. (Closed)  During site inspection on 3 July 2008, the stagnant water adjacent to air blower house was cleaned. (Closed)	N/A
3 July 2008	1.	Dust generation was observed after the trucks at site entrance.	1.	The Contractor was reminded to provide water spraying more frequently.	1.	During site inspection on 10 July 2008, Hual Road along the site was observed wet, no	N/A



Inspection Date	Deficiencies	Recommendation	Status	Note / Reminder
	Accumulated water after rainstorm in recycle bin was observed.	The Contractor was reminded to remove it after the rainstorm.	dust generation was observed during the site inspection. (Closed)  2. During site inspection on 16 July 2008, larvicide has been applied to stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding. (Closed)	
10 July 2008	Silt and mud were observed inside U-channel adjacent to final sedimentation tank and air blower house.     Wastewater discharge into public drains was observed during inspection.	The Contractor was requested to remove it promptly.     The Contractor was requested to implement proper measures to avoid illegal discharge.	1. During site inspection on 07 August 2008, silt and mud were still to be removed inside U-channel. The outstanding information will be followed up in next month inspection  2. During site inspection on 16 July 2008, no more illegal discharge was observed during inspection. (Closed)	N/A
16 July2008	The cover to prevent debris entering gullies near SHT was broken.	The Contractor was reminded to replace it.	During site inspection on 30 July 2008, gullies were properly covered near SHT. (Closed)	N/A
23 July 2008	No additional deficiencies	No recommendations	N/A	N/A
30 July 2008	Stockpile of soil was observed near Air Blower House and SHT.      Accumulation of C&D waste was observed near Final sedimentation tank.      Oil stain on bare	The Contractor was requested to cover it with tarpaulin sheet.     The Contractor was requested to cover it promptly.     The Contractor was requested to clean it up and to carry out	During site inspection on 07 August 2008, stockpile of soil had been removed from the site. (Closed)      During site inspection on 07 August 2008, C&D waste was removed. (Closed)	N/A
	ground was observed near SHT.	plant maintenance works at bounded maintenances area.	During site inspection on 07 August 2008, oil stain near SHT was removed. (Closed)	



#### Table 7-1 Summaries of Site Inspections and Recommendations

During site inspection on 07 August 2008, silt and mud were still to be removed inside U-channel. This outstanding issue will be followed up in next month inspection. There was no outstanding deficiency for the observations arising during the weekly site inspections. EPD carried out a site inspection on 18 July 08 and the Contractor has undertaken appropriate actions in response to the EPD's findings. The site audit conducted by IEC was carried out on 30 July 2008 and the Contractor has undertaken appropriate actions in response to the IEC's findings.

## 8 Waste Management Status

According to the information provided by the Contractor, the following waste materials were generated during the reporting month:

- Inert C&D materials − 315.56 m³, and
- General Refuse 117 m<sup>3</sup>.

C&D materials were disposed of at Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill. General refuse was collected and disposed of at NENT Landfill properly. No chemical waste was produced during the reporting month. Trip ticket system was implemented and disposal records were in order on site. The Waste Management Plan was followed.

## 9 Future Key Issues

The construction activities for the coming three months are summarized below:

- Excavation and backfilling
- · Pipe Works
- Installation of Cat Ladders
- Roofing and Finishing works
- Structural Steelwork with FRP covers
- Roadwork
- Cable Ducts and Cable Drawpits; and
- Landscaping Works.

The upcoming EM&A schedule for the future three months is shown in Appendix 11.



## 10 Comments, Recommendations and Conclusions

EM&A works have been undertaken during July 2008 for the Project based on the requirements in the EM&A Manual.

All monitoring equipment has been calibrated and all monitoring protocols have been carried out properly according to the EM&A Manual.

No non-compliance of action/limit level for air and noise monitoring was recorded at any monitoring stations during the reporting month.

No complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was recorded during the reporting month.

Five weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting month. In response to the observations raised by ET, the Contractor has undertaken follow-up actions to rectify the condition.



Project Organization



Construction Programme



Location of Works



Project Area, Environmental Sensitive Receiver and Monitoring Location



**Action and Limit Levels** 



Environmental Requirements and Implementation Status



Calibration Records



Monitoring Results and Graphical Plots



QA/QC Results and Detection Limit



Cumulative Statistics of Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution




Upcoming EM&A Schedule






Project Organization






Construction Programme


Maeda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and
Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5
Master Programme (Rev. 6A)




Macda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and
Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5




Macda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Soft Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and
Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5
Master Programme (Rev. 6A)




Maeda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and
Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5




Macda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and
Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5



Maeda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and
Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5
Master Programme (Rev. 6A)




## Macda Corporation Contract No. DC/2005/01 Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5 Master Programme (Rev. 6A)



Macda Corporation
Contract No. DC/2005/01
Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works and


Upgrading of Ting Kok Road Pumping Station No.5 Master Programme (Rev. 6A)





Location of Works


August 2008








Project Area, Environmental Sensitive Receiver and Monitoring Location

August 2008











**Action and Limit Levels** 

Monitoring Station ID	1-hour TSP Level in (μg/m³)		24-hour TSP L	evel in (μg/m³)
Monitoring Station ib	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
CAM1a	342. 7	500	203.3	260
CAM2a	340.2	500	201.6	200

### Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)

**Action and Limit Levels for Noise** 



Environmental Requirements and Implementation Status

#### **IMPLEMENTATIONS STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES**

### **Implementation Status for Air Quality Control**

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex I S1.7.1	Dust mitigation measures stipulated in the <i>Air Pollution Control</i> (construction Dust) Regulation shall be incorporated to control dust emission from the Site. Notice shall be given to the authority prior to commencement of works.	Works sites / during construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

<sup>#</sup> The section number in the Project Profile for Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment works (Application No. DIR-121/2005)

### Implementation Status for Water Quality Control

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 2 S2.4.4	The practice outlined in Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Professional Person Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN 1/94) including the use of sediment traps, wheel washing facilities for vehicles leaving the site, adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow, sewage collection and treatment, and comprehensive waste management (collection, handling, transportation, disposal) procedures should be adopted to minimize the potential water quality impact from construction site runoff and various construction activities.	Works sites / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A
Annex 2 S2.4.4	<ul> <li>At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed and internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilitates. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1m³s⁻¹ a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5m³s⁻¹ the basin would be 150m³. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimize surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be compacted and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.</li> </ul>	Works sites / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 2 S2.4.4 •	<ul> <li>into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.</li> <li>Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</li> <li>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.</li> </ul>	Works sites / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 2 S2.4.4	<ul> <li>Construction Runoff and Drainage</li> <li>All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exits and washwater should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheelwash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfill toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</li> <li>On-site drainage system should be equipped with oil interceptors to separate oil/fuel from contaminated storm water.</li> </ul>	Works site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A
Annex 2 S2.4.4	<ul> <li>General Construction Activities</li> <li>Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts.</li> <li>All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 100% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearly.</li> </ul>	Works site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A
Annex 2 S2.4.4	Sewage from Construction Workforce     Sewage from construction workforce should be handled by portable chemical toilets or sewage holding tanks with the sewage regularly collected by a reputable sewage collector for disposal at, for example, SWHSTW. Sewage from on-site toilets should be diverted to and stored within sewage holding tanks for later disposal.	Works site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

<sup>#</sup> The section number in the Project Profile for Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment works (Application No. DIR-121/2005)

### Implementation Status for Waste Management

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 3 S3.5.1	<ul> <li>Waste Reduction Measures of Construction Stage</li> <li>Measures recommended in the ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 should be followed to require the contractor to prepare and implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan (WMP) to encourage on-site sorting of C&amp;D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.</li> <li>For the demolition works, the contractor shall submit a method statement for the works as part of the WMP. The Contractor shall include in the method statement the sequence of demolition and the work programme to facilitate effective recovery of reusable and/or recyclable portions of the C&amp;D materials at the earliest stage, so as to minimise the need for subsequent sorting.</li> <li>Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.</li> <li>Separate labelled bins shall be provided to segregate aluminium cans from other general refuse generated by the work force, and to encourage collection of by individual collectors.</li> <li>Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.</li> <li>Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&amp;D material.</li> <li>Prior to disposal of C&amp;D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quality of waste to be disposed of to landfill.</li> <li>Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials.</li> <li>Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.</li> <li>Minimize over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering.</li> </ul>	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 3 S3.5.2 – S3.5.5	<ul> <li>Good Site Practices</li> <li>Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility.</li> <li>Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical wast handling procedures;</li> <li>Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal;</li> <li>Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers;</li> <li>Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors;</li> <li>A Waste Management Plan should be prepare and should be submitted to the engineer for approval; and</li> <li>A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed.</li> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of C&amp;D material at landfills and public filling facilities, as appropriate, and to control fly tipping, a tripticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements to be implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. The measures recommended in ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 should be followed.</li> </ul>	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A
Annex 3 S3.5.6	General Refuse General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material;	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 3 S3.5.7	The C&D material generated from the site formation and demolition works should be sorted on-site into inert C&D material (that is, public fill) and C&D waste. In order to minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated material comprising fill material should be reused onsite as backfilling material as far as practicable. C&D waste, such as wood, plastic, steel and other metals should be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed of to landfill. A suitable area should be designated within the site for temporary stockpiling of C&D material and to facilitate the sorting process.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A
Annex 3 S3.5.8	Chemical Wastes  When chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the requirements stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers computable with the chemical wastes should be used. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor shall use a license wast collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

<sup>#</sup> The section number in the Project Profile for Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment works (Application No. DIR-121/2005)

### **Implementation Status for Noise Control**

PP Ref#	Environmental Protection Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Status	Follow-up Action and Final Outcome
Annex 4 S4.7.1	Use of quiet PME	Work sites / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A
Annex 4 S4.7.3	<ul> <li>Good Site Practice</li> <li>Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be services regularly during the construction phase;</li> <li>Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilised, if found necessary, to further reduce noise, and should be properly maintained during the construction phase;</li> <li>Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible;</li> <li>Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;</li> <li>Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; and</li> <li>Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	Work sites / During the construction period	Contractor	Properly implemented as appropriate	N/A

<sup>#</sup> The section number in the Project Profile for Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment works (Application No. DIR-121/2005)



Calibration Records

#### Annex 2 High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Project Title:

Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works

**Monitoring Location:** 

Flood Balancing Pumping Station at Po Wan Road near Wai Loi Tsuen (CAM2a)

Date:

05-Jul-08

Time:

09:45


Sampler Model:	GBM2000H1
Calibrator Orifice no.:	517N
Slope (m):	2.02842
Intercept (b):	-0.01789
Correction coeff. (r)	0,9998
Serial No.:	1097

$$Flow(corrected) = \sqrt{H \times \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \times \frac{Tstd}{Ta}}$$

$$Qstd = \frac{1}{m} \times (\sqrt{H \times \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \times \frac{Tstd}{Ta}} - b)$$

Sample no.	Pressure Drop (H), inch	Flow (corrcted), m <sup>3</sup> /min	Actual flow rate (Ostd), m3/min	Flow indication (I), arbitrary
1	6.3	2.470	1.227	58.0
2	5.0	2.201	1.094	47.0
3	4.1	1.993	0.991	36.0
4	3.4	1.815	0.904	29.0
5	2.8	1.647	0.821	25.0

Correlation Coefficient: 0,9945



Remark Qstd Range 0.6 - 1.7 1HPa = 0.750062 mmHg

Calibrated by:

**Hui Chun Ming** 

Date: 7.7.08

Checked by:

**Hiu Yeung Tang** 

Date: 7.7.08

#### Annex 2 High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Project Title:

Expansion of Shek Wu Hui Sewage Treatment Works

**Monitoring Location:** 

Sewage Pumping Station at j/o San Po Street and Po Wan Road (CAM1a)

Date:

05-Jul-08 09:15

Time:

07:13


Sampler Model:	GBM2000H1		
Calibrator Orifice no.:	517N		
Slope (m):	2.02842		
Intercept (b):	-0.01789		
Correction coeff. (r)	0.9998		
Serial No.:	1062		

$$Flow (corrected) = \sqrt{H \times \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \times \frac{Tstd}{Ta}}$$

$$Qstd = \frac{1}{m} \times (\sqrt{H \times \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \times \frac{Tstd}{Ta}} - b)$$

Sample no.	Pressure Drop (H), inch	Flow (corrcted), m3/min	Actual flow rate (Qstd), m3/min	Flow indication (I), arbitrary
1	7.6	2.713	1.346	59.0
2	6.4	2.490	1.236	49.0
3	5.3	2.266	1,126	42.0
4	3.7	1.893	0.942	32.0
5	2.7	1,617	0,806	24.0

Correlation Coefficient: 0.9952



Remark Qstd Range 0.6 - 1.7 1HPa = 0.750062 mmHg

Calibrated by:

**Hui Chun Ming** 

)

Date: 7 7 08

Checked by:

Hiu Yeung Tang

Date: 7. 7 - 08



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

#### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

	=========		=======	========	========	======
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4040 0.9940 0.8860 0.8450 0.6980	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.8	2.0 4.0 5.0 5.5 8.0

#### DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9883 0.9841 0.9820 0.9809 0.9756	0.7039 0.9901 1.1084 1.1608 1.3977	1.4090 1.9926 2.2278 2.3365 2.8179		0.9957 0.9915 0.9894 0.9882 0.9829	0.7092 0.9975 1.1167 1.1695 1.4082	0.8889 1.2570 1.4054 1.4740 1.7777
Qstd slo intercer coeffici	ot (b) = ient (r) =	2.02953 -0.01939 0.99999	 'a)]	Qa slop intercep coeffici	t (b) =	0.99999

#### CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd =  $1/m\{ [SQRT (H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa =  $1/m\{ [SQRT H2O (Ta/Pa)] - b\}$ 



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

#### AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



\* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:

$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{P a}{P s t d}\right) \left(\frac{T s t d}{T a}\right)}$$

Qa series:

$$\sqrt{(\Delta H (Ta / Pa))}$$

#517N



### **Calibration Certificate**

Certificate No.

80027

Page

1 of 2 Pages

Customer: Hyder Consulting Limited

Address: Room 3801., Hopewell Centre, 183 Queen's Road East, Wan Chai, Hong Kong

Order No.: Q72325

Date of receipt

3-Jan-08

**Item Tested** 

**Description**: Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer: B&K

Model

: Type 4231

Serial No.

: 1770806

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 17-Jan-08

**Supply Voltage** 

Ambient Temperature:

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Calibration procedure:

F21, Z02.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Due Date	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	73602	7-Jul-08	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	71791	16-Jul-08	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024 S041	Universal Counter	73453	22-Aug-08	SCL-HKSAR
3041	Offitologi Country		-	

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

17-Jan-08

This Certificate is issued by

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F4, Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd., It may not be reproduced except in full.



### **Calibration Certificate**

Certificate No. 83175

Page

of

4 Pages

Customer: Hyder Consulting Limited

Address: 47/F., Hopewell Centre, 183 Queens Road East, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Order No.: Q81258

Date of receipt

9-Jul-08

**Item Tested** 

**Description**: Digital Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: B&K

Model

: Type 2236

Serial No.

: 1774423

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test:

9-Jul-08

**Supply Voltage** 

Ambient Temperature :

(23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Calibration procedure:

Z01.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 651 Type 1, IEC 804 Type 1 & IEC 1260 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Due Date	Traceable to
S017	Multi-Function Generator	C081456	18-Mar-09	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	71791	16-Jul-08	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S031	61/2 dgt. Multimeter	76189	28-Dec-08	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

Approved by :

10-Jul-08

Date:

Alan Chu

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd... It may not be reproduced except in full.



### **Calibration Certificate**

83175 Certificate No.

Page

1 of

4 Pages

Customer: Hyder Consulting Limited

Address: 47/F., Hopewell Centre, 183 Queens Road East, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Order No.: Q81258

Date of receipt

9-Jul-08

**Item Tested** 

**Description**: Digital Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: B&K

Model

: Type 2236

Serial No.

: 1774423

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test:

9-Jul-08

**Supply Voltage** 

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Calibration procedure :

Z01.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 651 Type 1, IEC 804 Type 1 & IEC 1260 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No	<u>Description</u>	Cert. No.	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S017	Multi-Function Generator	C081456	18-Mar-09	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	71791	16-Jul-08	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S031	6½ dgt. Multimeter	76189	28-Dec-08	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI). The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

P.F. Wong

Approved by :

10-Jul-08

Date:

Alan Chu

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.




Monitoring Results and Graphical Plots


August 2008

#### Air Quality Impact Monitoring Results (1-Hour TSP)

Location	Monitoring Date	Weather	Wind Speed	Temp	Timer-I	Timer-F	Time (mins)	Flow-I	Flow-F	Flow-I	Flow-F	Flow-avg	Volume	Weight-I (g)	Weight-f (g)	Weight-diff. (g)	1-hr TSP	Average 1-Hr TSP	Action/Limit	Remark
	-	Conditions	with Direction	(°C)				(CFM/	(CFM/	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )				(ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	(ug/m³)	Levels	
			(m/s)					Inches)	Inches)		, ,								(ug/m³)	
San Po Street		Sunny	0.3N	29	647090	647190	60.0	40	40	1.07	1.07	1.07	64.34	2.7474	2.7523	0.0049	76.2			
Pumping Station	05-Jul-08	Sunny	0.3N	30	647190	647290	60.0	40	40	1.07	1.07	1.07	64.34	2.7034	2.7091	0.0057	88.6	73.1		
CAM1a		Sunny	0.3N	30	647290	647390	60.0	40	40	1.07	1.07	1.07	64.34	2.7525	2.7560	0.0035	54.4			
		Fine	0.5 S	30	649781	649876	57.0	42	42	1.10	1.10	1.10	62.94	2.7517	2.7537	0.0020	31.8			
	11-Jul-08	Fine	0.5 S	30	649876	649972	57.6	42	42	1.10	1.10	1.10	63.60	2.7742	2.7749	0.0007	11.0	23.7		
		Fine	0.5 S	30	649972	650073	60.6	42	42	1.10	1.10	1.10	66.91	2.7541	2.7560	0.0019	28.4			
		Sunny	0.5 SE	32	652472	652565	55.8	38	38	1.04	1.04	1.04	58.05	2.8144	2.8158	0.0014	24.1			
	17-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5 SE	32	652565	652666	60.6	38	37	1.04	1.02	1.03	62.56	2.7479	2.7494	0.0015	24.0	37.1	342.7/500	
		Sunny	0.5 SE	32	652666	652765	59.4	38	38	1.04	1.04	1.04	61.80	2.8002	2.8041	0.0039	63.1			
		Sunny	0.5 SE	33	655108	655208	60.0	43	43	1.12	1.12	1.12	67.20	2.7284	2.7326	0.0042	62.5			
	23-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5 SE	33	655208	655308	60.0	43	42	1.12	1.10	1.11	66.73	2.7427	2.7453	0.0026	39.0	44.6		
		Sunny	0.5 SE	33	655308	655409	60.6	43	43	1.12	1.12	1.12	67.88	2.7325	2.7347	0.0022	32.4			
		Fine	0.5 SW	30	657812	657907	57.0	41	41	1.09	1.09	1.09	62.03	2.7521	2.7624	0.0103	166.1			
	29-Jul-08	Fine	0.5 SW	30	657907	658002	57.0	40	40	1.07	1.07	1.07	61.12	2.7349	2.7453	0.0104	170.2	160.6		
		Fine	0.5 SW	30	658002	658104	61.2	41	41	1.09	1.09	1.09	66.60	2.7366	2.7463	0.0097	145.6			
Sheung Shui Heung		Sunny	0.5N	29	760390	760490	60.0	41	41	1.03	1.03	1.03	61.86	2.7410	2.7435	0.0025	40.4			
Floodwater	05-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5N	30	760490	760590	60.0	41	41	1.03	1.03	1.03	61.86	2.7414	2.7417	0.0003	4.8	19.9		
Pumping Station		Sunny	0.5N	30	760590	760690	60.0	41	41	1.03	1.03	1.03	61.86	2.7109	2.7118	0.0009	14.5			
CAM2a		Fine	0.6 S	30	763097	763192	57.0	40	40	1.07	1.07	1.07	61.12	2.7760	2.7765	0.0005	8.2			
	11-Jul-08	Fine	0.6 S	30	763192	763287	57.0	41	41	1.09	1.09	1.09	62.03	2.7853	2.7854	0.0001	1.6	8.3		
		Fine	0.6 S	30	763287	763388	60.6	41	40	1.09	1.07	0.98	59.60	2,7728	2.7737	0.0009	15.1			
		Sunny	0.7 SE	32	765782	765880	58.8	37	37	0.98	0.98	0.98	57.83	2,7930	2.7951	0.0021	36.3			
	17-Jul-08	Sunny	0.7 SE	32	765880	765976	57.6	37	37	0.98	0.98	0.98	56.65	2.7751	2.7782	0.0031	54.7	34.3	340/500	
		Sunny	0.7 SE	32	765976	766077	60.6	36	36	0.97	0.97	0.97	58.88	2.7990	2.7997	0.0007	11.9			
		Sunny	0.5 SE	33	768420	768522	61.2	40	39	1.02	1.01	1.01	62.01	2.7678	2.7682	0.0004	6.5			
	23-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5 SE	33	768522	768620	58.8	39	39	1.01	1.01	1.01	59.23	2.7266	2.7273	0.0007	11.8	10.5		
		Sunny	0.5 SE	33	768620	768721	60.6	39	39	1.01	1.01	1.01	61.04	2.7379	2.7387	0.0008	13.1	1		
		Fine	0.5 SW	30	771128	771222	56.4	39	39	1.01	1.01	1.01	56.81	2.7612	2.7708	0.0096	169.0			
	29-Jul-08	Fine	0.5 SW	30	771222	771323	60.6	40	39	1.02	1.01	1.01	61.40	2.7322	2.7407	0.0085	138.4	152.5		
		Fine	0.5 SW	30	771323	771420	58.2	40	40	1.02	1.02	1.02	59.31	2.7483	2.7572	0.0089	150.1	1		

<sup>&</sup>quot;Shading" indicates an exceedance of Action Level. "Bold and shading" indicates an exceedance of Limit Level.







#### Air Quality Impact Monitoring Results (24-Hour TSP)

Location	Monitoring Date	Weather	Wind Speed	Temp	Pressure	Timer-I	Timer-F	Time (mins)	Flow-I	Flow-F	Flow-I	Flow-F	Flow-avg	Volume	Weight-I (g)	Weight-f (g)	Weight-diff. (g)	24-hr TSP	Action/Limit	Remark
		Conditions	with Direction	(°C)	(mmHg)				(CFM/	(CFM/	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )				(ug/m³)	Levels	
			(m/s)						Inches)	Inches)									(ug/m³)	
	05-Jul-08	Sunny	0.3E	31	1007.3	647390	649781	1434.6	41	42	1.09	1.10	1.10	1572.57	2.7437	2.8040	0.0603	38.3		
San Po Street	11-Jul-08	Fine	0.5 S	30	1004.6	650073	652471	1438.8	43	42	1.12	1.10	1.11	1600.11	2.8140	2.8850	0.0710	44.4		
Pumping Station	17-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5 SE	32	1003.1	652765	655108	1405.8	38	37	1.04	1.02	1.03	1451.38	2.7681	2.8504	0.0823	56.7	203/260	
CAM1a	23-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5 SE	33	1006.5	655409	657812	1441.8	37	40	1.02	1.07	1.05	1511.52	2.7440	2.8127	0.0687	45.5		
	29-Jul-08	Fine	0.5 SW	30	998.0	658104	660507	1441.8	41	41	1.09	1.09	1.09	1568.97	2.7621	2.9326	0.1705	108.7		
	05-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5N	31	1007.3	760670	763098	1456.8	41	41	1.03	1.03	1.03	1501.87	2.7008	2.7380	0.0372	24.8		
Sheung Shui Heung	11-Jul-08	Fine	0.6 S	30	1004.6	763388	765782	1436.4	41	41	1.03	1.03	1.03	1480.84	2.7618	2.7953	0.0335	22.6		
Floodwater Pumping Station CAM2a	17-Jul-08	Sunny	0.7 SE	32	1003.1	766077	768420	1405.8	37	37	0.98	0.98	0.98	1382.64	2.7475	2.8078	0.0603	43.6	201/260	
Station CAWZa	23-Jul-08	Sunny	0.5 SE	33	1006.5	768721	771127	1443.6	39	40	1.01	1.02	1.01	1462.60	2.7401	2.7837	0.0436	29.8		
	29-Jul-08	Fine	0.5 SW	30	998.0	771420	773829	1445.4	40	40	1.02	1.02	1.02	1472.99	2.7800	2.9024	0.1224	83.1		

<sup>&</sup>quot;Shading" indicates an exceedance of Action Level. "Bold and shading" indicates an exceedance of Limit Level.







#### **Noise Impact Monitoring Results**

Monitoring Locations	Date	Weather	Temperature	Wind Speed	Wind	Start Time	End Time	Limit Levels	L <sub>eq(30min)</sub>	L <sub>10(30min)</sub>	L <sub>90(30min)</sub>	Remark
		Conditions	(°C)	(m/s)	Direction			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	
Wai Loi Tsuen	11-Jul-08	Fine	30	0.5	S	11:25	11:55	75	66.6	69.1	63.6	
NM1	17-Jul-08	Sunny	32	0.5	SE	13:20	13:50	75	68.0	69.9	66.0	
	23-Jul-08	Sunny	33	0.5	SE	10:15	10:45	75	68.5	70.3	66.1	
	29-Jul-08	Fine	30	0.5	SW	10:15	10:45	75	65.2	66.8	62.8	
Temporary Domestic	11-Jul-08	Fine	30	0.6	S	9:30	10:00	75	59.9	63.0	57.5	
Structure	17-Jul-08	Sunny	32	0.7	SE	14:20	14:50	75	60.2	62.1	57.7	
NM2	23-Jul-08	Sunny	33	0.5	SE	11:20	11:50	75	63.0	65.6	61.0	
	29-Jul-08	Fine	30	0.5	SW	11:15	11:45	75	58.7	60.8	56.2	

A façade correction of 3 dB(A) was applied to each measurement result. "Shading" indicates an Limit Level exceedance.







# Appendix 9

QA/QC Results and Detection Limit

Date of issue : 9 Jul 2008

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : HYDER CONSULTING LTD

Work Order HK0810639



## Quality Control - Method Blank (MB), Single Control Spike (SCS) and Duplicate Control Spike (DCS) Results

Matrix Type: AIR		Hethod Black (HB) Results			X 30	Single Co.	ntral Spike (SCS) and I	SupNewto Con-	mi Spike (DC:	3) Penultu	
		100 m		Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPD= (%)		
Method: Analysis Description	CAS number	LOR	Units	Result	Concentration	scs	DOS	Low	Might	Value	Control Limit
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	(QCLot: 701905)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	10000				A 10.00 mg				
HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates	****	0.0010	9	<0.0010	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
HK-TSP: Initial Weight	****	0.0010	g	2.7570			40.00		0.000	2000	
HK-TSP: Final Weight		0.0010	g	2.7571	****	****	***		****	****	henn

Date of issue : 17 Jul 2008

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : HYDER CONSULTING LTD

Work Order HK0811047



# Quality Control - Method Blank (MB), Single Control Spike (SCS) and Duplicate Control Spike (DCS) Results

Matrix Type: AIR			Method Blank (ME	) Results	Single Control Spike (SCS) and Duplicate Control Spike (DCS) Results								
2 (0,000) (0,000)			**************************************		Splke	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RPDs (%)			
Method: Analysis Description	CAS number	LOR	Units	Result	Concentration	scs	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	(QCLot: 707511)												
HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates		0.0010	g	<0.0010					(222)				
HK-TSP: Initial Weight		0.0010	g	2.7571									
HK-TSP: Final Weight		0.0010	g	2.7562					<del></del>				

Date of issue : 22 Jul 2008

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : HYDER CONSULTING LTD

Work Order HK0811348



## Quality Control - Method Blank (MB), Single Control Spike (SCS) and Duplicate Control Spike (DCS) Results

Matrix Type: AIR		- 0	Method Blank (MB)	) Results	Single Control Spike (SCS) and Duplicate Control Spike (DCS) Results								
		6 55			Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery	Limits (%)	RP	Ds (%)		
Method: Analysis Description	CAS number	LOR	Units	Result	Concentration	scs	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties	s (QCLot: 710955)					101000	No.						
HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates		0.0010	g	< 0.0010									
HK-TSP: Initial Weight	1,000	0.0010	g	2.7562									
HK-TSP: Final Weight		0.0010	g	2.7566									

Date of issue : 28-JUL-2008

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : HYDER CONSULTING LTD

Work Order HK0811646



#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

. No Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Results are required to be reported.

#### Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

Matrix: AIR			Method Blank (MB	) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report								
					Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RP	Ds (%)		
Nethod: Compound CAS Number		LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit		
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Propertie	s (QCLot: 717019)												
HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates		0.0010	g	<0.0010	****	****		****	****	****			
HK-TSP: Initial Weight		0.0010	g	2.7562									
		0.0010		2.7560				545333					

#### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

. No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.

Date of issue : 02-AUG-2008

Page Number : 3 of 3

Client : HYDER CONSULTING LTD

Work Order HK0812067



#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

. No Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Results are required to be reported.

#### Method Blank (MB), Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report

	The state of the s												
atrix: AIR			Method Blank (ME	3) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) and Laboratory Control Spike Duplicate (DCS) Report								
					Spike	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)		RP	Ds (%)		
Method: Compound	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	DCS	Low	High	Value	Control Limit			
EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Propertie	s (QCLot: 721250)												
HK-TSP: Total Suspended Particulates		0.0010	g	<0.0010	9 8								
HK-TSP: Initial Weight	****	0.0010	g	2.7555	****	****	****	****	****	****			
HK-TSP: Final Weight		0.0010	g	2.7561		****				****			

#### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

. No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



# Appendix 10

Cumulative Statistics of Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

Reporting	Numbe	er Received in	the Reporting	Month		Cumulativ	e Number	
Month	Complaint	Notification of Summon	Successful Prosecution	EPD Site Inspection Record	Complaint	Notification of Summon	Successful Prosecution	EPD Site Inspection Record
July 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (Last in February 2008)

**Cumulative Number of Environmental Complaint** 



# Appendix 11

Upcoming EM&A Schedule

## **Impact Monitoring Programme – July 2008**

Date		Air	Noise	Site Inspection
01-July-08	Tue			
02-July-08	Wed			
03-July-08	Thu			✓
04-July-08	Fri			
05-July-08	Sat	✓		
06-July-08	Sun			
07-July-08	Mon			
08-July-08	Tue			
09-July-08	Wed			
10-July-08	Thu			✓
11-July-08	Fri	✓	✓	
12-July-08	Sat			
13-July-08	Sun			
14-July-08	Mon			
15-July-08	Tue			
16-July-08	Wed			✓
17-July-08	Thu	✓	✓	
18-July-08	Fri			
19-July-08	Sat			
20-July-08	Sun			
21-July-08	Mon			
22-July-08	Tue			
23-July-08	Wed	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>
24-July-08	Thu			
25-July-08	Fri			
26-July-08	Sat			
27-July-08	Sun			
28-July-08	Mon			
29-July-08	Tue	✓	✓	
30-July-08	Wed			✓
31-July-08	Thu			

#### Note:

Shaded area indicates public holiday.

Air – Monitoring of three 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP at both CAM1a and CAM2a

## **Impact Monitoring Programme – August 2008 (Tentative)**

Date		Air	Noise	Site Inspection
01-August-08	Fri			
02-August-08	Sat			
03-August-08	Sun			_
04-August-08	Mon	✓	✓	
05-August-08	Tue			
06-August-08	Wed			
07-August-08	Thu			✓
08-August-08	Fri			
09-August-08	Sat	✓		
10-August-08	Sun			
11-August-08	Mon			
12-August-08	Tue			
13-August-08	Wed			✓
14-August-08	Thu			
15-August-08	Fri	✓	✓	
16-August-08	Sat			
17-August-08	Sun			
18-August-08	Mon			
19-August-08	Tue			
20-August-08	Wed			✓
21-August-08	Thu	✓	✓	
22-August-08	Fri			
23-August-08	Sat			
24-August-08	Sun			
25-August-08	Mon			
26-August-08	Tue			
27-August-08	Wed	✓	✓	✓
28-August-08	Thu			
29-August-08	Fri			
30-August-08	Sat			
31-August-08	Sun			

Note:

Shaded area indicates public holiday.

Air – Monitoring of three 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP at both CAM1a and CAM2a

## **Impact Monitoring Programme – September 2008 (Tentative)**

Date		Air	Noise	Site Inspection
01-September-08	Mon			
02-September-08	Tue	✓	✓	
03-September-08	Wed			✓
04-September-08	Thu			
05-September-08	Fri			
06-September-08	Sat			
07-September-08	Sun			
08-September-08	Mon	✓	✓	
09-September-08	Tue			
10-September-08	Wed			✓
11-September-08	Thu			
12-September-08	Fri			
13-September-08	Sat	✓		
14-September-08	Sun			_
15-September-08	Mon			
16-September-08	Tue			
17-September-08	Wed			✓
18-September-08	Thu			
19-September-08	Fri	✓	✓	
20-September-08	Sat			
21-September-08	Sun			
22-September-08	Mon			
23-September-08	Tue			
24-September-08	Wed			✓
25-September-08	Thu	✓	✓	
26-September-08	Fri			
27-September-08	Sat			
28-September-08	Sun			
29-September-08	Mon			
30-September-08	Tue	✓	✓	

Note:

Shaded area indicates public holiday.

Air - Monitoring of three 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP at both CAM1a and CAM2a

## **Impact Monitoring Programme – October 2008 (Tentative)**

Date		Air	Noise	Site Inspection
01-October-08	Wed			
02-October-08	Thu			✓
03-October-08	Fri			
04-October-08	Sat			
05-October-08	Sun			
06-October-08	Mon	✓	✓	
07-October-08	Tue			
08-October-08	Wed			✓
09-October-08	Thu			
10-October-08	Fri			
11-October-08	Sat	✓		
12-October-08	Sun			
13-October-08	Mon			
14-October-08	Tue			
15-October-08	Wed			✓
16-October-08	Thu			
17-October-08	Fri	✓	✓	
18-October-08	Sat			
19-October-08	Sun			
20-October-08	Mon			
21-October-08	Tue			
22-October-08	Wed			✓
23-October-08	Thu	✓	✓	
24-October-08	Fri			
25-October-08	Sat			
26-October-08	Sun			
27-October-08	Mon			
28-October-08	Tue			
29-October-08	Wed	✓	✓	✓
30-October-08	Thu			
31-October-08	Fri			

Note:

Shaded area indicates public holiday.

Air – Monitoring of three 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP at both CAM1a and CAM2a