## Agreement No. CE 18/2002 (EP) Construction of Helipads at Peng Chau and Yung Shue Wan, Lamma Island



Report for Coral Monitoring Survey (January 2008)

26<sup>th</sup> January 2008



## Agreement No. CE 18/2002 (EP) Construction of Helipads at Peng Chau and Yung Shue Wan, Lamma Island

# Report for Coral Monitoring Survey at Yung Shue Wan in January 2008

Prepared by: miniprojects co. Ltd. Cinotech Consultants Limited

## **Contents**

1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Project Background	2
2	METHODOLOGY	3
	2.1 Impact Monitoring Surveys - Locations	3
	2.2 Survey Methods	
	2.3 Coral Monitoring Frequency	
	2.4 Actions on Exceedance of Action & Limit Levels	
3	RESULTS	6
	3.1 Impact Monitoring Surveys - January 2008	6
4	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
	4.1 Summary – Monitoring Surveys	8
	4.2 Compliance / Event Action Plan	

## **Appendix**

Appendices Ia Photographs of the tagged corals at IMS (20<sup>th</sup> Jan 2008) Appendices Ib Photographs of the tagged corals at CMS (20<sup>th</sup> Jan 2008)

## **List of Figures**

2.1 Map Showing the Locations of the Impact Monitoring Station (IMS) and Control Monitoring Station (CMS)

## **List of Tables**

- 2.1 Action and Limit Level for Coral Monitoring.
- 3.1 IMS and CMS Physical Conditions.
- 3.2 IMS and CMS Code, Species Name, Area, Percentage of Sedimentation, Bleaching and Mortality of the Tagged Coral Colonies in Initial Coral Survey (21<sup>st</sup> July 2007), 3 previous (11<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> November 2007; 15<sup>th</sup> December 2007) and 1 present monitoring surveys (20<sup>th</sup> January 2008).
- 4.1 Evaluation of Monitoring Results against Action and Limit Levels for Coral Monitoring Surveys.

## INTRODUCTION

### **Project Background**

- 1.1.1 Cinotech Consultants Limited has been appointed to formulate a Coral Survey Team to conduct the Marine Ecology Survey for Construction of Helipads at Yung Shue Wan, Lamma Island, Agreement No. CE 18/2002 (EP).
- 1.1.2 miniprojects Company Limited (miniprojects co. Ltd.) have been commissioned by Cinotech Consultants Limited to undertake Coral Monitoring Survey on the tagged hard coral colonies at one Impact Monitoring Station (IMS) and one Control Monitoring Station (CMS).
- As required by the EM&A manual, frequency of Coral Monitoring Survey is,
  - Twice a week for the first two weeks of works affecting seabed


  - Once a week for the following two weeks if no exceedance is detected Once every two weeks for the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  months if no exceedance is detected
  - Once a month after the 3<sup>rd</sup> until completion of the construction works if not exceedance is detected
- This is the monthly report presenting the results of the monthly Coral Monitoring Survey (i.e. 12<sup>th</sup>) conducted in the 5<sup>th</sup> month (i.e. January 2008) after 1.1.4 the commencement of the construction work.

### 2 METHODOLOGY

## 2.1 Impact Monitoring Surveys - Locations

2.1.1 The Impact Monitoring Station (IMS) was located at Yung Shue Wan, close to the seabed construction area (Fig. 2.1). In order to identify background environmental perturbations that are not associated with the construction, Sham Wan, which is away from the impact area, was designated as the Control Monitoring Station (CMS; Fig. 2.1). Locations (GPS coordinates) of IMS and CMS, as well as the conditions during monitoring surveys are summarized in Table 3.1.

Fig. 2.1 Map Showing the Locations of the Impact Monitoring Station (IMS) and Control Monitoring Station (CMS)



## 2.2 Survey Methods

- 2.2.1 At both IMS and CMS, 10 hard coral colonies were tagged for continuous monitoring over the course of construction phase. Dive surveys were conducted to record the health status of the tagged corals, including percentage area of sedimentation, bleaching and partial mortality.
- 2.2.2 The condition of each tagged coral colony was recorded by taking photographs that best represents the entire colony. General physical parameters were recorded for each survey site, including visibility, weather, tidal conditions and water current.
- 2.2.3 The results of the impact monitoring surveys were reviewed with reference to finding of the Initial Coral Survey and the data from CMS collected during the monitoring.

## **2.3** Coral Monitoring Frequency

- 2.3.1 Monitoring on the tagged corals for degree of sedimentation and area of bleaching shall be conducted at the frequencies indicated below during works affecting the seabed.
  - During the first two weeks of works affecting seabed: twice a week.
  - If no exceedance detected for the first 2 weeks of monitoring: once a week for the following 2 weeks.
  - If no exceedance detected for the first 4 weeks of monitoring: once every two weeks for the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> months (i.e. October and November 2007, respectively).
  - If no exceedance in the 3<sup>rd</sup> month of monitoring, coral monitoring shall be conducted once per month (i.e. since December 2007) until completion of the construction works.

#### 2.4 Actions on Exceedance of Action & Limit Levels

2.4.1 Where the coral survey indicates the health conditions of the corals exceed the action and limit levels, the Engineer may direct more frequent monitoring to be carried out until exceedance stops. The action and limit level of coral monitoring is shown in Table 2.1.

**Table 2.1. Action and Limit Level for Coral Monitoring** 

Parameter	Action Level Definition	Limit Level Definition
Sedimentation	If during Coral Monitoring a 15% increase in the percentage of sediment cover on hard corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged coral at any one Coral Monitoring Site that is not recorded at the Control Site, then the Action Level is exceeded.	If during Coral Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of sediment cover on hard corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged coral at any one Coral Monitoring Site that is not recorded at the Control Site, then the Limit Level is exceeded.
Bleaching	If during Coral Monitoring a 15% increase in the percentage of bleaching (bleached white) on hard corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged coral at any one Coral Monitoring Site that is not recorded at the Control Site, then the Action Level is exceeded.	If during Coral Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of bleaching (bleached white) on hard corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged coral at any one Coral Monitoring Site that is not recorded at the Control Site, then the Limit Level is exceeded.

- 2.4.2 The Contractor shall take all necessary steps to ensure that the actions of the Contractor are not contributing to the deterioration. These steps shall include, but not be limited to the following:
  - Checking of water quality monitoring data;
  - Checking of all marine plant and equipment; maintenance or replacement of any marine plant or equipment contributing to the deterioration;
  - Checking and maintenance of silt curtains;
  - Review of all working methods; and
  - Reduced construction rate.
- 2.4.3 Upon action level being exceeded and after agreement from the Environmental Specialist and AFCD has been obtained regarding the most appropriate method for reducing the adverse impacts during works affecting the seabed, this mitigated method should then be enacted on the next working day.
- 2.4.4 Upon limit level being exceeded, the Contractor shall suspend all works affecting the seabed until an effective solution is identified. Once the solution has been identified and agreed with the Environmental Specialist and AFCD, construction works affecting seabed may recommence.
- 2.4.5 The Engineer and AFCD shall be kept informed of all steps taken; and written reports and proposals for action shall be passed to the Engineer and AFCD by the Contractor whenever the coral survey shows any adverse impact upon the corals.
- 2.4.6 After the Contractor have implemented the agreed mitigating measures, if the coral surveys indicate the coral condition is unacceptable, additional mitigation measures should be recommended by the Contractor after consulting the Environmental Specialist for the approval of the Engineer and AFCD to rectify the situation. The Engineer can temporarily suspend the site activities until the problem is under control and an acceptable coral condition is restored.
- 2.4.7 In case the Contractor fails to implement the agreed mitigation measures, the Engineer can direct the Contractor to slow down or suspend his work until the Engineer and AFCD is convinced that the mitigation measures have restored the corals to an acceptable condition.
- 2.4.8 The Environmental Specialist shall assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed mitigation measures and/or remedial actions for construction activities affecting the seabed. The performance of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme shall be reviewed and audited by the Environmental Specialist on a quarterly basis. The findings of this review shall be included in the quarterly EM&A summary reports, together with any recommendations to improve the performance of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme.

## 3 RESULTS

## 3.1 Monitoring Surveys in January 2008

3.1.1 In January 2008 (i.e. the 5<sup>th</sup> month after the start of the construction work), both IMS and CMS were surveyed. The date of the survey and physical conditions of each sites are summarized in Table 3.1

Table 3.1 IMS and CMS – Physical Conditions.

	IMS (Yung Shue Wan)	CMS (Sham Wan)				
<b>GPS Coordinates</b>	N 22°13'28.4	N 22°11'15.0				
GPS Coordinates	E 114°06'30.6	E 114°08'04.0				
Date	20 Jan 08	20 Jan 08				
Sedimentation on	1 to 2	0 to 1				
Rock surfaces (mm)	1 to 2	0.10 1				
Visibility (m)	1.0 to 1.5	0.5 to 1.0				
Weather	Northeast to East wind	Northeast to East wind				
weather	Sunny	Sunny				
Tide	Flood	Flood				
Current (Knot)	0.5 to 1.0	0.5 to 1.0				
Remark	-	-				

3.1.2 Percentages of sedimentation, bleaching and mortality of each tagged colony are presented in Table 3.2. Photographs of each tagged coral in the surveys are illustrated in Appendices Ia and Ib.

## **IMS**

3.1.3 In the survey conducted in January 2008, sedimentation on the tagged coral colonies varied from +7% to -1% when compared with the baseline level in July 2007. Increment in sedimentation level was observed in 5 colonies (A02, A04, A05, A06 and A07). Lower sedimentation was found in 2 colonies (A08 and A10). The sedimentation was either lower than or equal to the record in the previous month (Dec 2007) except for 1 colony (A04). Bleaching was recorded in 1 colony (A08). The bleaching in colonies A03, A05 and A09 observed in previous surveys was not evidenced in the present survey. Partial mortality recorded in colony A08 remained steady and no new mortality was found (Table 3.2).

#### **CMS**

3.1.4 When compared with the baseline data in July 2007, sedimentation decreased in 5 colonies (B01, B03, B05, B07 and B08), and slightly increased in 1 colony (B09). Bleaching was recorded in colony B05 which was first sighted in September 07 survey, but no further increase was observed in the subsequent surveys (Table 3.2). Newly-recorded partial mortality was observed in colony B06 (Appendix Ib), the mortality occurred at the centre area of the colony which

was caused by abrasion of surface tissue. Level of sediment on the colony was low in general and around the area of mortality, suggesting the mortality was probably due to physical damage. No new bleaching or partial mortality was observed in other colonies.

Table 3.2 IMS and CMS – Code, Species Name, Area, Percentage of Sedimentation, Bleaching and Mortality of the Tagged Coral Colonies in Initial Coral Survey (21<sup>st</sup> July 2007), 3 previous (11<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> November 2007; 15<sup>th</sup> December 2007) and 1 present monitoring surveys (20<sup>th</sup> January 2008). "▲" and "▼" indicate increased and decreased in percentage, respectively, when compared with the Initial Coral Survey.

**IMS (Yung Shue Wan)** 

		Area		Sedim	entation	(%)			Blea	aching (9	<b>%</b> )		Mortality (%)				
Code	Coral Species	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	21 Jul 07 (Baseline)	11 Nov 07	24 Nov 07	15 Dec 07	20 Jan 08	21 Jul 07 (Baseline)	11 Nov 07	24 Nov 07	15 Dec 07	20 Jan 08	21 Jul 07 (Baseline)	11 Nov 07	24 Nov 07	15 Dec 07	20 Jan 08
A01	Favites pentagona	110	1	0 ▼	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A02	Favia rotumana	220	0	5 ▲	5 ▲	1 🛦	1 🛦	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A03	Platygyra carnosus	400*	0	0	1 🛦	0	0	0	1 ▲	1 ▲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A04	Favia rotumana	570	0	7 ▲	7 ▲	3 ▲	7 ▲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A05	Cyphastrea serailia	330	3	8 🛦	5 ▲	8 🛦	5 ▲	0	1 ▲	1 ▲	1 ▲	0	0	0	0	0	0
A06	Cyphastrea serailia	190	0	1 🛦	2 🛦	2 🛦	2 🛦	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A07	Favites pentagona	200	0	3 ▲	3 ▲	2 🛦	2 🛦	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A08	Porites sp	440	3	0 ▼	1 ▼	1 ▼	1 ▼	0	1 ▲	1 ▲	1 ▲	1 ▲	0	2 🛦	2 🛦	2 🛦	2 🛦
A09	Favites pentagona	300	0	2 🛦	1 🛦	0	0	0	1 ▲	1 ▲	1 ▲	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Porites sp.	300	3	0 ▼	2 ▼	1 ▼	0 ▼	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> part of the colony lost due to physical damage

CMS (Sham Wan)

		Area		Sedim	entation	(%)			Blea	aching (9	<b>%</b> )			Mo	rtality (9	<b>%</b> )	
Code	Coral Species	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	21 Jul 07 (Baseline)	11 Nov 07	24 Nov 07	15 Dec 07	20 Jan 08	21 Jul 07 (Baseline)	11 Nov 07	24 Nov 07	15 Dec 07	20 Jan 08	21 Jul 07 (Baseline)	11 Nov 07	24 Nov 07	15 Dec 07	20 Jan 08
B01	Favia lizardensis	360	1	1	2 🛦	1	0 ▼	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B02	Porites sp.	370	1	0 ▼	4 ▲	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B03	Psammocora profundacella	440	2	5 ▲	2	1 ▼	1 ▼	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B04	Cyphastrea serailia	220	0	0	2 🛦	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B05	Favites abdita	650	2	1 ▼	1 ▼	1 ▼	1 ▼	0	2 🛦	2 🛦	2 🛦	2 🛦	0	0	0	0	0
B06	Leptastrea pruinosa	450	1	0	1	1	2.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 🛦
B07	Platygyra acuta	350	1	0 ▼	1	0 ▼	0 ▼	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B08	Leptastrea pruinosa	690	2	1 ▼	2	1 ▼	0 ▼	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B09	Leptastrea pruinosa	400	2	1 ▼	3 🛦	1 ▼	3 ▲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B10	Favites pentagona	130	0	1 🛦	5 ▲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## 4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

## 4.1 Summary – Monitoring Surveys

- 4.1.1 In the monitoring surveys conducted in January 2008, the increase of sedimentation level was ≤7% compared to the baseline levels in July 2007 in both IMS and CMS. Bleaching was recovered in two colonies (A05 and A09). The newly-recorded partial mortality in colony B06 in CMS was caused by physical damage of unknown source.
- 4.1.2 In both survey sites, level of sedimentation on the tagged corals varied within a small range (≤7%). The variation was believed to be contributed by combined environmental factors such as monsoonal wind, tidal current, peripheral transports, etc. During the dry season, the two survey sites were less affected by the prevailing North-eastern monsoonal wind, which may be a factor for the lower sedimentation levels. The low level of increment in bleaching and partial suggested minor/no adverse effect was caused by the observed sedimentation variation.
- 4.1.3 The data from the monitoring surveys showed no significant enhancement in sedimentation, bleaching or mortality in IMS when compared with the CMS. Hence, no adverse impact by the construction activity on the coral community was evidenced.

## 4.2 Compliance / Event Action Plan

- 4.2.1 The monitoring results were evaluated against the Action and Limit Levels as defined in the EM&A manual (Table 2.1), and is summarized in Table 4.1
- 4.2.2 Overall, the healthy status of the tagged coral colonies was normal, with low to medium levels of sedimentation. Low levels of bleaching and morality were observed in both Monitoring and Control Sites. Neither action/limit level of sedimentation, bleaching or mortality was exceeded in both monitoring survey conducted in January 2008.

**Table 4.1 Evaluation of Monitoring Results against Action and Limit Level for Coral Monitoring Surveys.** Note Definition of Action/Limit levels are listed in Table 2.1. "No" indicates NO exceedance.

20<sup>th</sup> Jan 2008

Exceedance	Sedimer	ntation	Bleac	hing	Mortality			
Site	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level		
IMS	No	No	No	No	No	No		
CMS	No	No	No	No	No	No		

## **APPENDIX**

Appendices Ia Photographs of the tagged corals at IMS (20<sup>th</sup> Jan 2008)

Appendices Ib Photographs of the tagged corals at CMS (20<sup>th</sup> Jan 2008)





