China Harbour Engineering Company Limited

Contract No. DC/2009/09 Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works – Stage V Phase II B

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report for January 2011

(Version 2.0)

Certified By

(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388 Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | age |
|-------|--|--------------|
| EX | ECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| | oduction | |
| | rironmental Monitoring and Audit Works | |
| Env | rironmental Licenses and Permits | 1 |
| Key | Information in the Reporting Month | 2 |
| Fut | ure Key Issues | 2 |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| Rac | kground | 3 |
| | nstruction Programme | |
| | nmary of EM&A Requirements | |
| 2 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING | |
| | | |
| | nitoring Requirements | |
| | nitoring Locations | |
| | nitoring Equipment | |
| | nitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | |
| | nitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure | |
| Res | ults and Observations | 8 |
| 3 | NOISE MONITORING | 10 |
| Mo | nitoring Requirements | 10 |
| | nitoring Locations | |
| | nitoring Equipment | |
| | nitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | |
| | nitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures | |
| | ults and Observations | |
| 4 | LANDFILL GAS MONITORING | 13 |
| Μο | nitoring Requirements | 13 |
| | nitoring Parameters and Frequency | |
| | nitoring Locations | |
| | nitoring Equipment | |
| | ults | |
| 5 | ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT | |
| | Audits | |
| | view of Environmental Monitoring Procedures | |
| | tus of Environmental Licensing and Permitting | |
| | tus of Waste Management | |
| | plementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures | |
| - | nmary of Exceedances | |
| | plementation Status of Event Action Plans | |
| - | nmary of Complaint and Prosecution. | |
| 6 | FUTURE KEY ISSUES | |
| _ | | |
| | nitoring Schedule for the Next Month | . 17 . 17 |
| . ()1 | INTOCOMO ETMORATO TAR THE INEXT MANUAL | . / |

| | USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS |
|-------------|--|
| Recommenda | tions |
| LIST OF TA | BLES |
| Table I | Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month |
| Table II | Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month |
| Table 1.1 | Key Project Contacts |
| Table 2.1 | Locations for Air Quality Monitoring |
| Table 2.2 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 2.3 | Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 2.4 | Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month |
| Table 3.1 | Location of Noise Monitoring Station |
| Table 3.2 | Noise Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 3.3 | Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 3.4 | Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month |
| Table 4.1 | Landfill Gas Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 5.1 | Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status |
| Table 5.2 | Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit |
| LIST OF FIG | GURE |
| Figure 1.1 | Site Layout Plan |
| Figure 1.2 | Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations |
| Figure 1.3 | Landfill Gas Monitoring Area |
| LIST OF AP | PENDICES |
| Appendix A | Action and Limit Levels |
| Appendix B | Copies of Calibration Certificates |
| Appendix C | Environmental Monitoring Schedules |
| Appendix D | 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix E | 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix F | Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix G | Records of Landfill Gas Measurement by the Contractor |
| Appendix H | Summary of Exceedance |
| Appendix I | Site Audit Summary |
| Appendix J | Event Action Plans |
| Appendix K | Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule |
| Appendix L | Waste Generation in the Reporting Month |
| Appendix M | Complaint Log |
| Appendix N | Construction Programme |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

- 1. This is the 7th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for DSD Contract no. DC/2009/09 "Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage V Phase IIB". This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted in January 2011.
- 2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Confined Space Works;
 - Dismantling works;
 - Drainage and Excavation works;
 - Landscaping works;
 - Mini-piling works;
 - Pre-drilling works;
 - Pre-bored socketted H-pilings; and
 - Pipeline works

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works

- 3. Environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project were performed regularly as stipulated in the Final EM&A Manual and the results were checked and reviewed. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
- 4. Summary of the events and action taken in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table I**.

Table I Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month

| Parameter | No. of Ex | ceedance | No. of Events | Action Taken |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|
| T at afficted | Action Level | Limit Level | Due to this Project | Action Taken |
| 1-hr TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| 24-hr TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |

5. In the reporting month, excavation works were undertaken within the 250m Consultation Zone of Shuen Wan Landfill. Landfill gas monitoring was performed by the Safety Officer of the Contractor. All the measured results were complied with the Limit Levels.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

6. Environmental related licenses/permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project, the Discharge Licence, Construction Noise Permit and the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) Licence.

Key Information in the Reporting Month

7. Summary of key information in this reporting month is tabulated in Table II.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

| Event | Ev | ent Details | Action Taken | Status | Remark |
|---|--------|--|---|------------|--------|
| Event | Number | Nature | Action Taken | Status | Kemark |
| Complaint received | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |
| Changes to the assumptions and key construction / operation activities recorded | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |
| Status of submissions | 1 | Monthly EM&A Report (December 10) | Submitted to EPD on 14 th January 2011 (EP condition 6.6). | V | |
| under EP | 1 | Quarterly EM&A Report (October to December 10) | Submitted to EPD on 21 st January 2011 (EP condition 6.6). | No comment | |
| Notifications of any summons & prosecutions | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |

Future Key Issues

- 8. Major site activities for the coming two months will include:
 - Confined Space Works
 - Structure works;
 - Dismantling works;
 - Drainage and Excavation works;
 - Landscaping works;
 - Mini-piling works;
 - Pre-drilling works;
 - · Pre-bored socketted H-pilings; and
 - · Pipeline works
- 9. The future environmental concerns are air quality, noise impacts, waste management and surface runoff from construction works.

1 INTRODUCTION


Background

- 1.1 Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works (TPSTW) is located within the Tai Po Industrial Estate. It currently comprises four Stages: I, II, IVA and IVB works. The TPSTW Stage V aims to upgrade the existing STW to provide additional sewage treatment capacity from the present design flow of 88,000 m³/day to 130,000 m³/day to meet the demands of both the existing and future developments, and to meet the revised discharge license requirements.
- 1.2 The TPSTW Stage V, Phase I and Phase II are Designated Projects under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 449) with the same EIAO Register No. AEIAR 081/2004. A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to evaluate various environmental impacts associated with the works within these two Designed Projects. An EIA Report as well as an Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual were approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 28 October 2004.
- 1.3 The Stage V works will be implemented in 2 phases. The design capacities of Phase I and Phase II works are 100,000 m³/d and 130,000 m³/d respectively. An Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-265/2007 was issued on 22 March 2007 for the TPSTW Stage V Phase II to the Drainage Services Department (DSD) as the Permit Holder. The project "Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage V Phase IIB" formed part of the Phase II works, includes additional secondary treatment process units (1 primary clarifier; 3 bioreactors and 2 final clarifiers) in TPSTW for its future extended plant design capacity of 120,000 m³/day. A master construction programme of the Project is provided in **Appendix N**. A site layout plan is provided in **Figure 1.1**. The construction activities of the Project commenced on 3 July 2010.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Ltd. was commissioned by the Contractor as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the EM&A works for the Project. Dr. Priscilla CHOY of Cinotech Consultants Ltd. was appointed as the ET Leader as per the Condition 2.1 of the EP. Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited. was appointed as the IEC under Condition 2.2 of the EP. This is the 7th monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project in January 2011.

Project Organizations

- 1.5 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
 - Project Proponent / Engineer's Representative (ER) Drainage Services Department
 - Environmental Team (ET) Cinotech Consultants Ltd.
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited
 - Contractor China Harbour Engineering Company Ltd.
- 1.6 The responsibilities of respective parties are detailed in Section 1.10 of the Final EM&A Manual of the Project.

1.7 The Project Organization during Construction Phase



1.8 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

| Party | Role | Name | Position | Phone No. | Fax No. |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | | Mr. LAI cheuk-ho | Chief Engineer | 2594 7500 | |
| DSD | SP Division | Mr. IP Shu-kuen | Senior Engineer | 2594 7502 | 2827 8700 |
| | | Mr. TSANG Lap-kei | Engineer | 2594 7459 | |
| | | Dr. Priscilla CHOY | ET Leader | 2151 2089 | |
| Cinotech | Environmental Team | Mr. TY YEUNG | Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader | 2151 2099 | 3107 1388 |
| | | Mr. Henry LEUNG | Monitoring Team Leader | 2151 2087 | |
| Arun | Independent Environmental | Mr. Coleman NG | Independent Environmental Checker | 2268 3097 | 2528 3031 |
| Arup | Checker | Mr. Cyrus LEUNG | Assistant to Independent Environmental Checker | 2268 3456 | 2328 3031 |
| | | Mr. TK CHEUNG | Project Manager | 9863 2954 | |
| CHEC | Civil Contractor | Mr. Aaron AU | Site Agent | 6345 0754 | 2603 6899 |
| | | Mr. Jason TSE | Environmental Offiecr | 9320 3608 | |

Construction Programme

- 1.9 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month were:
 - Confined Space Works;
 - Dismantling works;
 - Drainage and Excavation works;
 - · Landscaping works;
 - Mini-piling works;
 - Pre-drilling works;
 - Pre-bored socketted H-pilings; and
 - · Pipeline works

Summary of EM&A Requirements

1.10 The EM&A programme requires construction phase air quality and noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the

following sections, including:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event / Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 1.11 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 5 of this report.
- 1.12 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely air quality and noise as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 Monitoring of 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) was conducted to monitor the air quality during construction phase. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 2.2 In accordance with Section 2.30 of the EM&A Manual, a baseline checking of ambient TSP levels shall be carried out every six months at each monitoring station, when no dusty works activities are in operation. The number and location of monitoring stations and parameters shall be reviewed by ET Leader every three months according to section 8.8 of EM&A Manual.
- 2.3 The baseline checking of ambient TSP levels is still in progress for consensual decision.

Monitoring Locations

2.4 Impact air quality monitoring was conducted at the 3 monitoring stations, as shown in **Figure 1.2**. **Table 2.1** describes the locations of the air quality monitoring stations.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Stations | Description | Location of Measurement |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| CAM1 | Government Staff Quarters | Rooftop |
| CAM2 | Hung Hing Printing Centre | On the site boundary just next to the Hung Hing Printing Centre |
| CAM3 | Talcon Industrial Ltd. | On the site boundary just next to Talcon Industrial Ltd. |

Monitoring Equipment

2.5 **Table 2.2** summarizes the equipment used for the air quality monitoring.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Qty. |
|------------|--|------|
| HVS | Graseby GMW 2310 HVS, Model GS-2310105-1, Serial no. 10239 and 0810 | 2 |
| 1173 | Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170, Serial no. 1704 | 1 |
| Calibrator | Thermo Andersen.; Model no. G25A Serial no. 1536 | 1 |

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

2.6 **Table 2.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust

monitoring for the whole construction period.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Monitoring Stations | Parameter | Duration | Period | Frequency |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| CAM1, CAM2 and | 1-hour TSP | 1 hour | During daytime period | 3 times / 6-day |
| CAM3 | 24-hour TSP | 24 hours | 24 hours | Once / 6-day |

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

Instrumentation

2.7 High Volume Samplers (HVS) connected with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for air quality monitoring. Each sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

HVS Installation

- 2.8 The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:
 - Sufficient support was provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The samplers were more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

Filters Preparation

- 2.9 Fiberglass filters were used which have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 µm diameter. A HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Wellab Ltd., was responsible for the preparation of pre-weighed filter papers for Cinotech's monitoring team.
- 2.10 All filters, which were prepared by Wellab Ltd., were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- 2.11 Wellab Ltd. has a comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 2.12 Operating/analytical procedures for the TSP monitoring were highlighted as follows:
 - Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the HVS was properly set (between 1.1 and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard.
 - The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
 - On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the air quality monitoring station.
 - The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
 - The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts to avoid air leakage at the edges.
 - The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
 - The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
 - The flow rate of the HVS sampler would be verified to be constant and recorded on the data sheet after sampling.
 - After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the Wellab Ltd. for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
 - Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%. Weighing results were returned to Cinotech for further analysis of TSP concentrations collected by each filter.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.13 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
 - The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
 - Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.
 - The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually.

Results and Observations

- 2.14 In the reporting month, 1-hr TSP monitoring was carried out as schedule at each designated monitoring station on 13 occasions. 24-hr TSP monitoring was carried out as scheduled at each designated monitoring station on 5 occasions. The monitoring schedule was updated and is shown in **Appendix C**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly sunny and cloudy.
- 2.15 All measured 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels were below the Action/Limit Levels. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

2.16 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices D** and **E**, respectively.

Table 2.4 Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month

| Parameter | Minimum μg/m³ | Maximum μg/m³ | Average μg/m³ | Action Level, μg/m³ | Limit Level, µg/m³ | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1-hr TSP (CAM1) | 48 | 222 | 113 | 315 | 500 | |
| 24-hr TSP (CAM1) | 33 | 127 | 71 | 171 | 260 | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1-hr TSP (CAM2) | 60 | 247 | 133 | 336 | 500 | |
| 24-hr TSP (CAM2) | 41 | 132 | 84 | 177 | 260 | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1-hr TSP (CAM3) | 94 | 264 | 150 | 344 | 500 | |
| 24-hr TSP (CAM3) | 52 | 146 | 93 | 192 | 260 | |

2.17 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

| Station | Major Pollution Source |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CAM1 – Government Staff Quarters | Road Traffic Dust |
| CAM2 – Hung Hing Printing Centre | Road Traffic Dust and Excavation |
| CAM3 – Talcon Industrial Ltd. | Road Traffic Dust and Excavation |

3 NOISE MONITORING

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 Noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 3.2 The number and location of monitoring stations and parameters shall be reviewed by ET Leader every three months according to section 8.8 of EM&A Manual.

Monitoring Locations

3.3 Noise monitoring was conducted at one designated monitoring station as presented in **Table 3.1**. **Figure 1.2** shows the locations of the monitoring station.

Table 3.1 Location of Noise Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Description | Location of Measurement |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| NM1 | Government Staff Quarters | The corridor at the first floor. |

Monitoring Equipment

3.4 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Quantity |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | SVAN 955 (Serial No. 14303) | 1 |
| Calibrator | SV30A (Serial No. 10965) | 1 |
| Wind Speed Anemometer | Vane Anemometer, Model 451104 (Serial no. 9020746) | 1 |

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.5 **Table 3.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Station | Parameter | Period | Frequency |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| NM1 | $L_{eq}(30 \text{ min.})$ (L_{10} and L_{90} were also recorded as supplementary information) | 0700-1900 hrs. on normal weekdays | Once a week |

3.6 If construction works are extended to include works during the hours of 1900 - 0700, additional weekly impact monitoring would be carried out during evening and night-time works. Applicable permits under NCO have been obtained by the Contractor. The details of the Construction Noise Permit can be referred to **Table 5.1**.

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

Field Monitoring

- 3.7 The monitoring procedures are as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
 - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

frequency weighting : Atime weighting : Fast

- measurement time : 30 minutes

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station was checked with the portable wind meter. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.8 Maintenance and Calibration procedures were as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
 - The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.

Results and Observations

- 3.9 In the reporting month, noise monitoring during non-restricted hours was conducted as scheduled at the designated location on 4 occasions. As advised by the Contractor, no construction activities will be undertaken during restricted hours as such noise monitoring during restricted hours was omitted. The noise monitoring schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 3.10 The details of the monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly sunny and fine.
- 3.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance for construction noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.

Table 3.4 Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month

| Parameter | Minimum Leq(30min) dB(A) | Maximum Leq(30min) dB(A) | Average Leq(30min) dB (A) | Action Level | Limit Level | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| NM1 | 66.4 | 67.7 | 67.3 | When one documented complaint is received | 75dB(A) | |

3.12 According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

| Station | Major Noise Source |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| NM1 – Government Staff Quarters | Road Traffic |
| | Construction of Main Site |

4 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

Monitoring Requirements

4.1 In accordance with Section 6 of the EM&A Manual, monitoring of landfill gas is required for construction works within the 250m Consultation Zone of Shuen Wan Landfill (the Consultation Zone). This Section reports the results of landfill gas measurements performed by the Safety Officer of the Contractor. **Appendix A** shows the Limit Levels for the monitoring works.

Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

- 4.2 The parameters for Landfill gas monitoring include Percentage of Combustible Gas (Methane), Carbon dioxide and Oxygen.
- 4.3 The Landfill gas monitoring is carried out before the entry of concern zone by the Contractor in the morning and afternoon.

Monitoring Locations

- 4.4 Monitoring of oxygen, methane and carbon dioxide was performed for excavations at 1m depth or more within the Consultation Zone. In this reporting month, the area required to be monitored for landfill gas are shown below and **Figure 1.3** shows the landfill gas monitoring locations.
 - FC11B and FC12B

Remark:

Excavation works of 1m depth or more at Pipe 300, 600 and 900 Excavation Trench has been completed.

Monitoring Equipment

4.5 **Table 4.1** summarizes the equipment employed by the Contractor for the landfill gas monitoring.

Table 4.1 Landfill Gas Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Quantity |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|
| | Crowcon Custodian CDL Portable | |
| Intrinsically safe, portable gas detector | Gas Detector | 1 |
| | (Serial No. 24800 37076) | |

Results

4.6 In the reporting month, landfill gas monitoring was carried out by the Contractor at the aforesaid locations on total 188 occasions. No Action/Limit Level exceedance for Landfill gas monitoring was recorded in the reporting month. The monitoring results are provided in **Appendix G**. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Site Audits

- 5.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix H**.
- 5.2 Site audits were conducted on 7th, 14th, 20th and 27th January 2011 by ET. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 7th January 2011. No site inspection was conducted by EPD during the reporting month. The details of observations during site audit can refer to **Table 5.2**.

Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures

5.3 The monitoring works conducted by the monitoring team were inspected regularly. The following observations have been recorded for the monitoring works:

Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations within and outside the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and weather conditions on the monitoring days.

Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the monitoring result.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded. Other intrusive noise attributing to the result was trimmed off by pausing the monitoring temporarily.

Landfill Gas Monitoring

• The Contractor has checked the condition of the equipment before monitoring to ensure the reliability.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

5.4 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in **Table 5.1**.

 Table 5.1
 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

| Permit / License No. | Valid Period | | Details | Status | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---|--------|--|
| refilit / License No. | From | To | Details | Status | |
| Environmental Permi | | | | | |
| EP-265/2007 | 22/3/2007 | N/A | Expansion and upgrading of existing Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works from 100,000 m³/day to 130,000 m³/day: (a) additional secondary treatment process units(1 primary clarified; 3 bioreactors and 2 final clarifiers); (b) reconstruction of 4 existing final clarified; (c) provision of ultraviolet disinfection facilities; (d) additional sludge treatment facilities; and (e) ancillary works to existing treatment facilities. | Valid | |
| Consruction Noise Per | rmit (CNP) | | | | |
| GW-RN0387-10 | 17/11/10 | 16/05/11 | Use of powered mechanical equipment for carrying out construction work at 7 Dai Kwai Street, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Tai Po, N.T. during 0000 – 2400 hours on general holidays (including Sundays), 0000 – 0700 hours and 1900 – 2400 hours on any day not being a general holiday. | Vaild | |
| Discharge Licence | 0.7/4.0.11.0 | 044045 | In | ** | |
| WT00007782-2010 | 25/10/10 | 31/10/15 | Discharge of industrial trade effluent: Water Control Zone: Tolo Harbour and Channel Discharge Points: Communal drain for the carriage of surface drainage water | Valid | |
| Waste Disposal (Chen | | | | | |
| WPN: 5213-727-C2397-16 | 09/07/10 | End of Project | Disposal of Chemical Waste including spent oil, lubricating oil, diesel oil and methanol, surplus paint, thinner | Valid | |

Status of Waste Management

5.5 The Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials generated in the reporting month were mainly inert C&D waste and C&D waste. The quantities of waste generated in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix L**. No chemical waste was generated in the reporting month.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 5.6 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix K**.
- 5.7 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 5.2**.

Table 5.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|---|-----------------|--|--|
| | 7-January-2011 | Deposited silt was observed at outside the site area at Aeration Tank 5 & 6. Temporary water channel should be provided. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 110120. |
| Water | 7-January-2011 | The wheel washing bay was observed not functioning properly. The Contractor was reminded to reinforce the bunding area at FC11B&12B. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 110127. |
| Quality | 14-January-2011 | The wheel washing bay was observed not functioning properly at FC11B&12B. The Contractor was reminded to reinforce the bunding area as soon as possible. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 110127. |
| | 20-January-2011 | The wheel washing bay was observed not function properly at FC11B&12B. The Contractor was reminded to reinforce the bunding area as soon as possible. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 110127. |
| 7-January-2011 mate | | Stockpile should be covered with impervious material, to avoid dust generation at Dewatering House. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 110114. |
| Reminder 27-January-2011 Stagnant water should be clearly office and A-Tank. | | Stagnant water should be cleared at near the sub-office and A-Tank. | The situation required follow-up action during the coming audit session. |

Summary of Exceedances

5.8 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting month. Summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix H**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

5.9 The Event Action Plans for air quality, construction noise and landfill gas monitoring are presented in **Appendix J**. No exceedance was recorded and thus no action was required to be implemented.

Summary of Complaint and Prosecution

5.10 No environmental related complaint, prosecution or notification of summons was

received in the reporting month.

5.11 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Complaint Log is attached in **Appendix M**.

6 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- 6.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Effluent discharge generated from surface runoff;
 - Dust generated from excavation works, backfilling works and stockpile of dusty materials;
 - Maintenance of de-silting facilities and drainage system, such as U-channels;
 - Accumulation of stagnant water in the site areas; and
 - Accumulation of C&D waste and general waste on site.

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

6.2 The tentative environmental monitoring schedule for the next month is shown in **Appendix C**.

Construction Program for the Next Month

- 6.3 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix N**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:
 - Confined Space Works
 - Structure works;
 - Dismantling works;
 - Drainage and Excavation works;
 - Landscaping works;
 - Mini-piling works;
 - Pre-drilling works;
 - · Pre-bored socketted H-pilings; and
 - Pipeline works

7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 7.1 Environmental monitoring and audit works were conducted in the reporting month. Site inspections were conducted on a weekly basis. The results were reviewed and checked.
- 7.2 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting month.
- 7.3 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received.

Recommendations

7.4 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Water Impact

- To provide sediment tank for settling runoff prior to disposal.
- To ensure proper use and maintenance of the de-silting facilities.
- To avoid accumulation of stagnant water on site.
- To maintain sand bags placed along the u-channel at good condition and replace the broken bags.
- To avoid blockage of gully inlets and ensure proper protection of the gully from ingress of sandy water.

Dust Impact

- To remove fugitive dusty material on the haul road periodically.
- To spray with water on the surface of concrete breaking and dry dust haul road.
- Excavated dusty materials or stockpile of dusty materials should be covered by impervious sheeting, or sprayed with water so as to maintain entire surface wet.


Noise Impact


 To space out noisy equipment and position as far away as possible from sensitive receivers.


Waste / Chemical Management

- To provide proper rubbish bins / skips for waste collection.
- To provide proper storage area for oil container on site.
- To avoid and check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- To avoid any discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the equipment.
- Provide drip tray with adequate capacity and maintain well for equipment and chemical waste.

FIGURES







APPENDIX A ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

APPENDIX A – Action and Limit Levels

1-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, μg/m ³ | Limit Level, μg/m ³ |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| CAM1 | 315 | |
| CAM2 | 336 | 500 |
| CAM3 | 344 | |

24-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, μg/m ³ | Limit Level, μg/m ³ |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| CAM1 | 171 | |
| CAM2 | 177 | 260 |
| CAM3 | 192 | |

Construction Noise

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--|---|-------------|
| 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | | 75 dB(A) |
| 0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days | When one documented complaint is received | 70* dB(A) |
| 2300-0700 hrs of next day | | 55* dB(A) |

Notes:

^{*} The Area Sensitivity Rating for Station NM1 is taken as C, due to the nearby industrial area, according to Table 1 of EPD's Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling.

Landfill Gas

| Parameter | Limit Level | Action |
|----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | <19% | Ventilate to restore oxygen to >19% |
| Oxygen | <18% | Stop works Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry Increase ventilation to restore oxygen to >19% |
| Methane | >10% LEL (i.e. >0.5% by volume) | Post "No Smoking" signs Prohibit hot works Ventilate to restore methane to <10% LEL |
| | >20% LEL (i.e. >1% by volume) | Stop works Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry Increase ventilation to restore methane to <10% |
| | >0.5% | Ventilate to restore carbon dioxide to <0.5% |
| Carbon Dioxide | >1.5% | Stop works Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry Increase ventilation to restore carbon dioxide to <0.5% |

APPENDIX B COPIES OF CALIBRATION CERTIFCATES

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/37/0035 CAM1 - Government Staff Quarter CH Station Operator: Date: 25-Nov-10 Next Due Date: 24-Jan-11 1704 Equipment No.: A-01-37 Serial No. Ambient Condition Temperature, Ta (K) 293.1 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) Orifice Transfer Standard Information -0.0163 A-04-01 Slope, mc 0.0462 Intercept, be Equipment No.: me x Qstd + be = $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 11-Oct-10 Qstd = $\{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} -bc\} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM) ΔW [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Point (HVS), in. of oil in. of water X - axis axis 7.9 2.85 11.9 3.49 75.97 1 2 9.4 3.11 67.56 6.5 2.58 4.9 2.24 3 7.3 2.74 59.58 4 5.2 2.31 50.34 3.2 1.81 40.17 2.1 1.47 5 3.3 1.84 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0397 -0.1425 Intercept, bw Correlation coefficient* = *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. **Set Point Calculation** From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw = $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks: Signature: Date: Checked by: While Tang Signature: Date:

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET



File No. MA0010/37/0036 Operator: CAM1 - Government Staff Quarter Station Next Due Date: 23-Mar-11 Date: 24-Jan-11 Serial No. 1704 Equipment No.: A-01-37 **Ambient Condition** 769.2 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) Temperature, Ta (K) 288 Orifice Transfer Standard Information Intercept, bc -0.0163 0.0462 A-04-01 Slope, mc Equipment No.: mc x Qstd + bc = $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 11-Oct-10 Ostd = $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta) \}^{1/2} - bc \} / mc$ 9-Oct-11 Next Calibration Date: Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice Calibration $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ ΔW Qstd (CFM) ΔH (orifice), [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Point (HVS), in. of oil X - axis axis in, of water 2.82 76.44 7.6 3,52 11.8 1 69.69 6.2 2.55 3.20 2 9.8 60.61 5.0 2.29 2.78 7.4 50.86 3.3 1.86 2.33 5.2 1.45 40.59 2.0 3.3 1.86 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope , mw = _______0.0380 Correlation coefficient* = 0.9980 *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw = $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 2.32 Remarks: Checked by:

Ch Date: Date:

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/A40/0035 Station CAM2 - Hung Hing Printing Centre Operator: CH Date: 25-Nov-10 Next Due Date: 24-Jan-11 Equipment No.: A-01-40 Serial No. 10239 **Ambient Condition** Temperature, Ta (K) 293.1 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 766.7 Orifice Transfer Standard Information A-04-01 Equipment No.: Slope, mc 0.0462 Intercept, bc -0.0163 mc x Qstd + bc = $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 11-Oct-10 Qstd = $\{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} -bc\} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM) ΔW Point [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2} in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 12.1 76.61 7.9 1 3.52 2.85 2 9.8 3.17 68.98 6.5 2.58 7.6 2.79 60.79 5.1 2,29 4 5.3 2.33 50.82 3.4 1.87 5 3.1 1.78 38.95 1.9 1.40 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0388Intercept, bw :__ -0.1021 Correlation coefficient* = *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. **Set Point Calculation** From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw = $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks: Signature: Date: Checked by: Wh. Jang.

Date:

Signature:

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET



File No. MA0010/A40/0036

| Station | CAM2 - Hung Hing Printing Centre | | e | Operator: | СН | | _ |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Date: | 24-Jan-11 | | 1 | Next Due Date: | 23-Mar | -11 | _ |
| Equipment No.: | A-01-40 | | | Serial No. | 10239 | | • |
| | | | | | | · ····· | |
| | | - | Ambient | Condition | | | |
| Temperatu | re, Ta (K) | 288 | Pressure, Pa | ı (mmHg) | | 769.2 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Orii | ice Transfer St | | ation | | 1 |
| Equipme | ent No.: | A-04-01 | Slope, mc | 0.0462 | Intercept | | -0.0163 |
| Last Calibra | ation Date: | 11-Oct-10 | | | $oc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/76)]$ | | |
| Next Calibra | ation Date: | 9-Oct-11 | | $Qstd = \{ [\Delta H] \}$ | x (Pa/760) x (298 | /Ta)] ¹¹² -bc} | / mc |
| | | • | | | <u>.</u> | | |
| | | | Calibration of | TSP Sampler | T | | |
| Calibration | | Orfic | e e | 1 | | HVS | |
| Point | ΔH (orifice), | [ΔΗ x (Pa/760) | x (298/Ta)]1/2 | Qstd (CFM) | ΔW | [∆W x (Pa/7 | 760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} Y- |
| | in, of water | | | X - axis | (HVS), in. of oil | | axis |
| 1 | 12.0 | 3.5 | | 77.08 | 8.1 | | 2.91 |
| 2 | 9.9 | 3,2 | | 70.05 | 6.5 | ** . | 2.61 |
| 3 | 7.6 | 2.8 | | 61.42 | 5.2 | | 2.33 |
| 4 | 5.1 | 2.3 | | 50.38 | 3.2 | | 1.83 |
| 5 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 17 | 38.72 | 1.9 | | 1.41 |
| | | | | | | | |
| By Linear Regr | ession of Y on Y | K | | | | | |
| Slope, mw = | 0.0393 | _ | | Intercept, bw | -0.117 | '6 | |
| Correlation co | oefficient* = | 0.998 | 39 | - | | | |
| *If Correlation C | Coefficient < 0.99 | 90, check and recali | brate. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Set Point C | Calculation | | | |
| From the TSP Fi | eld Calibration (| Curve, take Qstd = 4 | 13 CFM | | | | |
| From the Regress | sion Equation, th | ne "Y" value accord | ing to | | | | |
| | | | | | 00 m > 1/2 | | |
| | | mw x Qs | $td + bw = [\Delta W]$ | x (Pa/760) x (2 | 98/Ta)["- | | |
| Therefore Se | et Point: W = (n | nw x Qstd + bw) ² x | (760 / Pa) x (| Γa / 298 \ = | 2.35 | | |
| Therefore, 50 | λιοπι, π (π | in a Qua · on) a | (700/14)**(| | #100 | | |
| | | " | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| • | | • | | | | •••• | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Conducted by: | (10 | Signature: | M | | | Date: | 24/1/2011 |
| | WK Tang | Signature: | Kwa | | | Date: | 1106/1146 |
| | 21.0 | | 7.000- | • | | • | |

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/35/0035 Operator: ___ CH Station CAM3 - Talcon Industrial Ltd Date: 25-Nov-10 Next Due Date: 24-Jan-11 0810 Equipment No.: A-01-35 Serial No. **Ambient Condition** Temperature, Ta (K) 293.1 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 766.7 Orifice Transfer Standard Information A-04-01 0.0462 Intercept, bc -0.0163 Equipment No.: Slope, mc mc x Qstd + bc = $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 11-Oct-10 Qstd = $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta) \}^{1/2} - bc \} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Calibration of TSP Sampler HVS Orfice Calibration $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM) ΔW Point $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 11.8 3.48 75.65 7.9 2.85 1 68.27 2.56 9.6 3.14 6.4 2.31 2.76 59.98 5.2 3 7.4 4 5.2 2.31 50.34 3.4 1.87 3.3 1.84 40.17 2.0 1.43 By Linear Regression of Y on X Intercept, bw :_____-0.1387 Slope , mw = ______ 0.0398 Correlation coefficient* = 0.9979 *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw = $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks: Date: Checked by: WK JAHA Signature: Date:

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET



File No. MA0010/35/0036 Station CAM3 - Talcon Industrial Ltd CH Operator: Date: 24-Jan-11 Next Due Date: 23-Mar-11 Equipment No.: A-01-35 Serial No. 0810 **Ambient Condition** Temperature, Ta (K) 288 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 769.2 **Orifice Transfer Standard Information** Equipment No.: A-04-01 Slope, mc 0.0462 Intercept, be -0.0163 mc x Qstd + bc = $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 11-Oct-10 Qstd = $\{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} -bc\} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration Qstd (CFM) ΔH (orifice), $\Delta \mathbf{W}$ $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} \text{ Y-}$ Point [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]1/2 in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 1 11.8 3.52 76.44 7.9 2.88 9.8 3.20 69.69 6.5 2.61 7.5 2.80 3 61.01 5.1 2.31 4 5.3 2.36 51.35 3.2 1.83 3.2 39.98 1.83 2.0 1.45 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope , mw = 0.0398 Intercept, bw :______-0.1631 Correlation coefficient* = *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw = $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks: Conducted by: _____ Signature: Checked by: Uk. Tana Signature: Date:



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/A/100504 Date of Issue: 2010-05-04 Date Received: 2010-04-30 Date Tested: 2010-04-30 Date Completed: 2010-04-30

Page:

Next Due Date: 2011-05-03 1 of 1

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: RS232 Integral Vane Digital Anemometer

Manufacturer

: AZ Instrument

Model No.

: 451104

Serial No.

: 9020746

Equipment No.

: A-03-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperature

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 68%

Pressure

: 101.3 kPa

Methodology:

The anemometer has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| | Reference Set Point | Instrument Readings |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Measuring Air Velocity, m/s | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Temperature, °C | 21.0 | 21.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrated or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

Description Calibration Orifice

Serial No.

1536

Model No.

G25A

Date

11 October 2010

Manufacturer

Thermo Andersen

Temperature, Ta (K) 295

Pressure, Pa (mmHg)

751.5

| Plate | Diff.Vol (m ³) | Diff.Time (min) | Diff.Hg (mm) | Diff.H ₂ O (in.) | | | | |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.3050 | 3.8 | 1.50 | | | | |
| 2 | 1.00 | 0.9250 | 7.6 | 3.00 | | | | |
| 3 | 1.00 | 0.8540 | 8.9 | 3.50 | | | | |
| 4 | 1.00 | 0.7530 | 11.4 | 4.50 | | | | |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.6210 | 16.5 | 6.50 | | | | |

DATA TABULATION

| Vstd | (X axis) | (Y axis) |
|--------|----------|----------|
| | Qstd | |
| 0.9938 | 0.7615 | 1.2240 |
| 0.9888 | 1.0689 | 1.7311 |
| 0.9870 | 1.1558 | 1.8698 |
| 0.9837 | 1.3064 | 2.1201 |
| 0.9769 | 1.5732 | 2.5481 |

Y axis= SQRT[H₂O(Pa/760)(298/Ta)]

Qstd Slope (m) = 1.63228

Intercept (b) = -0.01631

Coefficient (r) = 0.99998

| Va | (X axis) | (Y axis) |
|--------|----------|----------|
| | Qa | |
| 0.9949 | 0.7624 | 0.7674 |
| 0.9899 | 1.0701 | 1.0852 |
| 0.9882 | 1.1571 | 1.1722 |
| 0.9848 | 1.3079 | 1.3291 |
| 0.9780 | 1.5749 | 1.5974 |

Y axis= SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]

Qa Slope (m) = 1.02211

Intercept (b) = -0.01022

Coefficient (r) = 0.99998

CALCULATIONS

Vstd=Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff.Hg)/760](298/Ta) Qstd=Vstd/Time Va=Diff.Vol[(Pa-Diff.Hg)/Pa] Qa=Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations: Qstd=I/m{[SQRT(H₂O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))]-b} Qa=I/m{[SQRT H₂O(Ta/Pa)]-b}

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE


Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrat or tested.





TEST REPORT



Y-axis equations:

Qstd series: SQRT[\(\Delta H(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) \)]

Qa series: $SQRT[\Delta H(Ta/Pa)]$

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrat or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED
Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

 Test Report No.:
 C/N/100123/1

 Date of Issue:
 2010-01-23

 Date Received:
 2010-01-22

 Date Tested:
 2010-01-23

 Date Completed:
 2010-01-23

 Next Due Date:
 2011-01-22

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.
Serial No.

: SVAN 955 : 14303 : 17204

Microphone No. Equipment No.

: N-08-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 21 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 56%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 94 | 94.0 | |
| 114 | 114.0 | |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager



ATTN:

WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/N/110124/1
Date of Issue: 2011-01-24
Date Received: 2011-01-21
Date Tested: 2011-01-21
Date Completed: 2011-01-24

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

Next Due Date:

1 of 1

2012-01-23

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No. Serial No.

: SVAN 955 : 14303

Microphone No. Equipment No.

: 17204 : N-08-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 23 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 55%.

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94 | 94.0 |
| 114 | 114.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrated or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/N/101110/1 Date of Issue: 2010-11-10 Date Received: 2010-11-08 Date Tested: 2010-11-08 Date Completed: 2010-11-10 Next Due Date: 2011-11-09

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 10965

Equipment No.

: N-09-02

Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 57%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| Sound Pressure Level (1kHz) | Measured SPL | Tolerance |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| At 94 dB SPL | 94.0 | 94.0 ± 0.1 dB |
| At 114 dB SPL | 114.0 | 114.0 ± 0.1 dB |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager



Calibration Certificate

Number: CCP/60118

Customer Name:

China Harbour Engineering Co; Ltd

Address:

19/F, China Harbour Building,

370-374 King's Road, North Point.

Hong Kong.

Contact Person:

Mr. Cheung

Detector Model:

Crowcon Custodian CDL Portable Gas Detector

Serial Number:

24800 37076

| Sensor | Measuring | Alarm Le | vel Settings | | | | |
|--------|--------------|----------|--------------|------|------|----------|--------|
| Type | Range | Alarm 1 | Alarm 2 | STEL | LTEL | Test Gas | Result |
| CH4 | 0 to 100%LEL | 20 | 40 | NA | NA | 50%LEL | Passed |
| H2S | 0 to 25ppm | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 25ppm | Passed |
| O2 | 0 to 25%v/v | 19.5 | 23.0 | NA | NA | 18.5%v/v | Passed |
| CO | 0 to 250ppm | 25 | 100 | 200 | 30 | 200ppm | Passed |

Next Calibration Date: 12th Aug 2011

Remarks:

- "Passed" refers to the detector has been successfully calibrated to meet with manufacturer tolerance of the instrument & sensor specification and repeatability ±5% FSD.
- 2. The above equipment has been tested and calibrated in accordance with procedures referred to in Crowcon's BSI validated ISO9001 quality manual. Test equipment used has been factory calibrated and is traceable to national standards. Canned calibration gas has been prepared in accordance with BS4559 and original gas mixture has been prepared using NPL (UK) certified Gravimetric Standard. Gas generator has been tested to meet with: Mil Std 45662A/ANSI/NCSL Z540-1

Authorized Signature

Date: 13/08/2010

FireMark Hong Kong Limited Unit 901, 9/F., Lai Sun Commercial Center, 680 Cheung Sha Wan Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2751 8871 Fax: (852) 2751 8806

APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SCHEDULE

Contract No. DC/2009/09 - Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 5 Phase 2B Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for January 2011

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | | | 1-Jan |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2-Jan | 3-Jan | 4-Jan | 5-Jan | 6-Jan | 7-Jan | 8-Jan |
| | | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | | |
| | | Noise | 2 332 2 42 | | | |
| | | 24 hr TSP | | | | |
| 9-Jan | 10-Jan | 11-Jan | 12-Jan | 13-Jan | 14-Jan | 15-Jan |
|) Jun | 10 3411 | 11 Jun | 12 3411 | 13 3411 | 11 3411 | 15 3411 |
| | | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | | |
| | 241 TOD | | | Noise | | 241 TOP |
| | 24 hr TSP | | | | | 24 hr TSP |
| 16-Jan | 17-Jan | 18-Jan | 19-Jan | 20-Jan | 21-Jan | 22-Jan |
| | 1.1 TOD | 1.1 mcp | 1.1 FECD | | | |
| | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | | | |
| | | | 110130 | | 24 hr TSP | |
| | | | | | | |
| 23-Jan | 24-Jan | 25-Jan | 26-Jan | 27-Jan | 28-Jan | 29-Jan |
| | 1 hr TSP | | | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | |
| | 1 III 101 | | | Noise | 1 III 101 | |
| | | | | 24 hr TSP | | |
| 20 Y | 21.1 | | | | | |
| 30-Jan | 31-Jan | | | | | |
| | 1 hr TSP | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Contract No. DC/2009/09 - Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 5 Phase 2B Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for February 2011

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 1-Feb | 2-Feb | 3-Feb | 4-Feb | 5-Feb |
| | | 1 hr TSP Noise 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | | | |
| 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb | 12-Feb |
| | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | 24 hr TSP | |
| 13-Feb | 14-Feb | 15-Feb | 16-Feb | 17-Feb | 18-Feb | 19-Feb |
| 18 108 | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | 10100 | 1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP | 10100 | 17160 |
| 20-Feb | 21-Feb | 22-Feb | 23-Feb | 24-Feb | 25-Feb | 26-Feb |
| | 1 hr TSP Noise | 1 hr TSP | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | | |
| 27-Feb | 28-Feb | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

APPENDIX D 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Station CAM1 Government Staff Quarters

| Date | Sampling | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elaps | e Time | Sampling | Flow Rate | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Conc. |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Date | Time | Condition | Temp. (K) | Pressure (Pa) | Initial | Final | weight (g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | Initial | Final | (m ³ /min) | (m ³) | $(\mu g/m^3)$ |
| 4-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 281.8 | 769.9 | 3.1684 | 3.1737 | 0.0053 | 15164.1 | 15165.1 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.7 | 71 |
| 5-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 289.2 | 765.4 | 3.1678 | 3.1734 | 0.0056 | 15189.1 | 15190.1 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.6 | 76 |
| 6-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 284.9 | 770.9 | 3.1872 | 3.1928 | 0.0056 | 15190.1 | 15191.1 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 75 |
| 11-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Sunny | 283.4 | 766.9 | 3.1822 | 3.1892 | 0.0070 | 15215.1 | 15216.1 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 94 |
| 12-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 278.2 | 769.2 | 3.1473 | 3.1569 | 0.0096 | 15216.1 | 15217.1 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 75.1 | 128 |
| 13-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 282.8 | 768.4 | 3.1511 | 3.1676 | 0.0165 | 15217.1 | 15218.1 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.5 | 222 |
| 17-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 282.9 | 772.8 | 3.2002 | 3.2108 | 0.0106 | 15242.1 | 15243.1 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.7 | 142 |
| 18-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 285.4 | 770.1 | 3.1287 | 3.1377 | 0.0090 | 15243.1 | 15244.1 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 121 |
| 19-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Sunny | 288.0 | 767.7 | 3.1467 | 3.1547 | 0.0080 | 15244.1 | 15245.1 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.8 | 108 |
| 24-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 287.9 | 769.3 | 3.1230 | 3.1334 | 0.0104 | 15269.1 | 15270.1 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.9 | 141 |
| 27-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 287.7 | 772.6 | 3.1592 | 3.1679 | 0.0087 | 15270.1 | 15271.1 | 1.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 72.9 | 119 |
| 28-Jan-11 | 14:00 | Sunny | 289.4 | 769.7 | 3.1770 | 3.1805 | 0.0035 | 15296.1 | 15297.1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.6 | 48 |
| 31-Jan-11 | 13:38 | Sunny | 288.3 | 770.3 | 3.1693 | 3.1786 | 0.0093 | 15321.1 | 15322.1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.8 | 128 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 48 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 222 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 113 |

Station CAM2 Heng Hing Printing Centre

| Date | Sampling | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elapse | e Time | Sampling | Flow Rate | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Conc. |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Date | Time | Condition | Temp. (K) | Pressure (Pa) | Initial | Final | weight (g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | Initial | Final | (m ³ /min) | (m ³) | (µg/m ³) |
| 4-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 281.8 | 769.9 | 3.1685 | 3.1746 | 0.0061 | 24341.2 | 24342.2 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.6 | 82 |
| 5-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 289.2 | 765.4 | 3.1599 | 3.1678 | 0.0079 | 24366.2 | 24367.2 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.5 | 107 |
| 6-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 284.9 | 770.9 | 3.1369 | 3.1436 | 0.0067 | 24367.2 | 24368.2 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 90 |
| 11-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Sunny | 283.4 | 766.9 | 3.1789 | 3.1886 | 0.0097 | 24392.2 | 24393.2 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 131 |
| 12-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 278.2 | 769.2 | 3.1853 | 3.1956 | 0.0103 | 24393.2 | 24394.2 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 75.1 | 137 |
| 13-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 282.8 | 768.4 | 3.1766 | 3.1950 | 0.0184 | 24394.2 | 24395.2 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.4 | 247 |
| 17-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 282.9 | 772.8 | 3.1517 | 3.1649 | 0.0132 | 24419.2 | 24420.2 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.6 | 177 |
| 18-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 285.4 | 770.1 | 3.2025 | 3.2114 | 0.0089 | 24420.2 | 24421.2 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.2 | 120 |
| 19-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Sunny | 288.0 | 767.7 | 3.1759 | 3.1847 | 0.0088 | 24421.2 | 24422.2 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.8 | 119 |
| 24-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 287.9 | 769.3 | 3.1270 | 3.1392 | 0.0122 | 24446.2 | 24447.2 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.9 | 165 |
| 27-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 287.7 | 772.6 | 3.1553 | 3.1658 | 0.0105 | 24447.2 | 24448.2 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.8 | 142 |
| 28-Jan-11 | 14:00 | Sunny | 289.4 | 769.7 | 3.1972 | 3.2016 | 0.0044 | 24473.2 | 24474.2 | 1.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 73.4 | 60 |
| 31-Jan-11 | 15:15 | Sunny | 288.5 | 769.9 | 3.1745 | 3.1855 | 0.0110 | 24498.2 | 24499.2 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.6 | 150 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 60 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 247 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 133 |


MA0010/App D - 1hr TSP

Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Station CAM3 Talcon Industrial Ltd

| Date | Sampling | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elapse | e Time | Sampling | Flow Rate | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Conc. |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Date | Time | Condition | Temp. (K) | Pressure (Pa) | Initial | Final | weight (g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | Initial | Final | (m ³ /min) | (m ³) | $(\mu g/m^3)$ |
| 4-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 281.8 | 769.9 | 3.1850 | 3.1932 | 0.0082 | 17604.9 | 17605.9 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 110 |
| 5-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 289.2 | 765.4 | 3.1834 | 3.1918 | 0.0084 | 17629.9 | 17630.9 | 1.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 73.2 | 115 |
| 6-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 284.9 | 770.9 | 3.1811 | 3.1905 | 0.0094 | 17630.9 | 17631.9 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 74.0 | 127 |
| 11-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Sunny | 283.4 | 766.9 | 3.1810 | 3.1904 | 0.0094 | 17655.9 | 17656.9 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 74.0 | 127 |
| 12-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 278.2 | 769.2 | 3.1820 | 3.1945 | 0.0125 | 17656.9 | 17657.9 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 74.7 | 167 |
| 13-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 282.8 | 768.4 | 3.2060 | 3.2254 | 0.0194 | 17657.9 | 17658.9 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.1 | 262 |
| 17-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 282.9 | 772.8 | 3.1469 | 3.1578 | 0.0109 | 17682.9 | 17683.9 | 1.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 74.3 | 147 |
| 18-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 285.4 | 770.1 | 3.1686 | 3.1758 | 0.0072 | 17683.9 | 17684.9 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.9 | 97 |
| 19-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Sunny | 288.0 | 767.7 | 3.1096 | 3.1188 | 0.0092 | 17684.9 | 17685.9 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 73.5 | 125 |
| 24-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 287.9 | 769.3 | 3.1329 | 3.1462 | 0.0133 | 17709.9 | 17710.9 | 1.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 73.6 | 181 |
| 27-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 287.7 | 772.6 | 3.0977 | 3.1074 | 0.0097 | 17710.9 | 17711.9 | 1.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 73.4 | 132 |
| 28-Jan-11 | 14:00 | Sunny | 289.4 | 769.7 | 3.1432 | 3.1501 | 0.0069 | 17736.9 | 17737.9 | 1.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 73.0 | 94 |
| 31-Jan-11 | 15:22 | Sunny | 288.5 | 769.9 | 3.1965 | 3.2158 | 0.0193 | 17761.9 | 17762.9 | 1.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 73.1 | 264 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 94 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 264 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 150 |

MA0010/App D - 1hr TSP



APPENDIX E 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Station CAM1 Government Staff Quarters

| Start Date | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elaps | e Time | Sampling | Flow Rate | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Conc. |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Start Date | Condition | Temp. (K) | Pressure (Pa) | Initial | Final | weight (g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | Initial | Final | (m ³ /min) | (m ³) | $(\mu g/m^3)$ |
| 4-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 282.0 | 769.7 | 3.1723 | 3.2980 | 0.1257 | 15165.1 | 15189.1 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1791.4 | 70 |
| 10-Jan-11 | Sunny | 284.2 | 770.0 | 3.1571 | 3.2688 | 0.1117 | 15191.1 | 15215.1 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1785.3 | 63 |
| 15-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 284.4 | 770.6 | 3.1511 | 3.3777 | 0.2266 | 15218.1 | 15242.1 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1785.4 | 127 |
| 21-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 284.0 | 768.7 | 3.1430 | 3.2020 | 0.0590 | 15245.1 | 15269.1 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1784.5 | 33 |
| 27-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 291.2 | 770.0 | 3.1373 | 3.2471 | 0.1098 | 15272.1 | 15296.1 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1737.7 | 63 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 127 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 71 |


Station CAM2 Heng Hing Printing Centre

| Start Date | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elapse | e Time | Sampling | Flow Rate | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Conc. |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|
| Start Date | Condition | Temp. (K) | Pressure (Pa) | Initial | Final | weight (g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | Initial | Final | (m ³ /min) | (m^3) | (µg/m³) |
| 4-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 282.0 | 769.7 | 3.1223 | 3.2880 | 0.1657 | 24342.2 | 24366.2 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1790.5 | 93 |
| 10-Jan-11 | Sunny | 284.2 | 770.0 | 3.1773 | 3.3076 | 0.1303 | 24368.2 | 24392.2 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1784.3 | 73 |
| 15-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 284.4 | 770.6 | 3.1909 | 3.4266 | 0.2357 | 24395.2 | 24419.2 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1784.4 | 132 |
| 21-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 284.0 | 768.7 | 3.1442 | 3.2179 | 0.0737 | 24422.2 | 24446.2 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1783.5 | 41 |
| 27-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 291.2 | 770.0 | 3.2002 | 3.3456 | 0.1454 | 24449.2 | 24473.2 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1757.8 | 83 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 41 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 132 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 84 |

Station CAM3 Talcon Industrial Ltd

| Start Date | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elapse | Time | Sampling | Flow Rate | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Conc. |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Start Date | Condition | Temp. (K) | Pressure (Pa) | Initial | Final | weight (g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | Initial | Final | (m ³ /min) | (m ³) | $(\mu g/m^3)$ |
| 4-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 282.0 | 769.7 | 3.1523 | 3.3283 | 0.1760 | 17605.9 | 17629.9 | 24.0 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1783.0 | 99 |
| 10-Jan-11 | Sunny | 284.2 | 770.0 | 3.1752 | 3.3565 | 0.1813 | 17631.9 | 17655.9 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1776.9 | 102 |
| 15-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 284.4 | 770.6 | 3.1954 | 3.4548 | 0.2594 | 17658.9 | 17682.9 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1777.0 | 146 |
| 21-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 284.0 | 768.7 | 3.1302 | 3.2233 | 0.0931 | 17685.9 | 17709.9 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1776.1 | 52 |
| 27-Jan-11 | Cloudy | 291.2 | 770.0 | 3.1579 | 3.2746 | 0.1167 | 17712.9 | 17736.9 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1748.3 | 67 |
| - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | Min | 52 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 146 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 93 |

MA0010/App E - 24hr TSP



Title Contract No. DC/2009/09
Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage V Phase II B
Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring
Results




APPENDIX F NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

| Location NM1 | Location NM1 - Government Staff Quarters | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--|--|
| Data | Time | \A/a atla a v | dB (A) (30-min) | | | | |
| Date | Time | Weather | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L 90 | | |
| 4-Jan-11 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 67.4 | 69.0 | 65.5 | | |
| 13-Jan-11 | 14:25 | Cloudy | 66.4 | 68.5 | 65.5 | | |
| 19-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Sunny | 67.6 | 69.0 | 66.0 | | |
| 27-Jan-11 | 13:00 | Sunny | 67.7 | 69.1 | 64.8 | | |
| | | Average | 67.3 | 68.9 | 65.5 | | |
| | | Minimum | 66.4 | 68.5 | 64.8 | | |
| | | Maximum | 67.7 | 69.1 | 66.0 | | |

MA0010/App F - Noise Cinotech

Noise Levels



| ritie | Contract No. DC/2009/09 |
|-------|--|
| | Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage V Phase II B |
| | Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring |
| | Results |


| Scale | N.T.S | Project No. | MA0010 |
|-------|--------|----------------|--------|
| Date | | Append | lix |
| | Jan 11 | | F |



APPENDIX G RECORDS OF LANDFILL GAS MEASUREMENT BY THE CONTRACTOR

APPENDIX G - RECORDS OF LANDFILL GAS MEASUREMENT BY THE CONTRACTOR

| Location | Date of Measurement | Sampling time | Balance gas (%) | Combustible Gas (Methane) (% LEL) | Carbon dioxide (%) | Oxygen (%) |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| FC11B and FC12B | 3-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| FC11B allu FC12B | 3-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 4-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 4-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 5-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 5-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 6-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 6-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 7-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 7-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 8-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 8-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 10-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 10-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 11-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 11-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 12-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 12-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 13-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 13-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 14-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 14-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 15-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 15-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 17-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 17-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 18-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 18-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 19-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 19-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 20-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 20-Jan-11 20-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 20-Jan-11 21-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 21-Jan-11 21-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 22-Jan-11 22-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 22-Jan-11 24-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 24-Jan-11 24-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 25-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 25-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 26-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 26-Jan-11 26-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 27-Jan-11 | | | 0 | 0 | |
| | 27-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | | 20.9 |
| | 28-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 28-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 29-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 29-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 31-Jan-11 | 8:30 AM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| | 31-Jan-11 | 1:30 PM | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |



Title Contract No. DC/2009/09
Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage V
Phase II B
Graphical Presentation of Landfill Gas Measurement


Scale N.T.S

Jan 11

Project
No. MA0010
Appendix

G

CINOTECH



Title Contract No. DC/2009/09
Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage V
Phase II B
Graphical Presentation of Landfill Gas Measurement

Scale
N.T.S


Date
Jan 11

Project No. MA0010 Appendix

G



FC11B and FC12B Carbon Dioxide (%) Limit Level, 0.5% Limit Level, 1.5% Pipe 600 Excacvtion Trench Carbon Dioxide (%) Limit Level, 0.5% Limit Level, 0.5% Limit Level, 1.5%



3.0


2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0

3.0

2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0

Scale Project
N.T.S No. MA0010

Date Appendix
Jan 11 G



Title Contract No. DC/2009/09
Construction of Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works - Stage V
Phase II B

Graphical Presentation of Landfill Gas Measurement

Scale Project
N.T.S No. MA0010

Date Appendix
Jan 11 G



APPENDIX H SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

APPENIDX H – SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Reporting Month: January 2011

- a) Exceedance Report for 1-hr TSP (NIL)
- b) Exceedance Report for 24-hr TSP (NIL)
- c) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise (NIL)
- d) Exceedance Report for Landfill Gas (NIL)

APPENDIX I SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Inspection Information

| Checklist Reference Number | 110107 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Date | 7 th January 2011 (Friday) |
| Time | 15:00-17:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| - | None identified | _ |

Ref. No. Remarks/Observations

Related Item No.

| | | Related Helli 110. |
|------------|--|--------------------|
| | Part B - Water Quality | |
| 110107-O01 | • Deposited silt was observed at outside the site area at Aeration Tank 5 & 6. Temporary water channel should be provided. | Bi |
| 110107-O02 | • The wheel washing bay was observed not functioning properly. The Contractor was reminded to reinforce the bunding area at FC11B&12B. | B14ii. |
| | Part C - Air Quality No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part D – Noise No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part E - Waste / Chemical Management No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part F - Permit / Licenses No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| 110107-R03 | Part G - Reminder Stockpile should be covered with impervious material, to avoid dust generation at Dewatering House. | C7 |
| | Others • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.101230), item 101230-R01 and R02 was not observed during the site inspection. Follow-up is needed. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Recorded by | TY Yeung | bu. | 7 January 2011 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy | W.F. | 7 January 2011 |

Inspection Information

| Checklist Reference Number | 110114 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Date | 14 th January 2011 (Friday) |
| Time | 09:00-10:30 |

| Ref. No. Non-Co | | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| | - | None identified | _ |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item N |
|----------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
|------------|--|------------------|
| 110114-001 | Part B - Water Quality • The wheel washing bay was observed not functioning properly at FC11B&12B. The Contractor was reminded to reinforce the bunding area as soon as possible. | B14ii. |
| | | |
| | Part C - Air Quality No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | Part D - Noise | |
| | No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part E - Waste / Chemical Management No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part F - Permit / Licenses | |
| | No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part G - Reminder | |
| | No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Others | |
| | No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.110107), all environmental deficiencies were improved/ rectified by the Contractor except item 110107-O02. Follow-up action is needed and remarked as 110114-O01. Item 110107-O01 was not observed during the site inspection. Follow-up is needed. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Recorded by | TY Yeung | - Annie | 14 January 2011 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy | NT. | 14 January 2011 |

CINOTECH MA0010

Inspection Information

| Checklist Reference Number | 110120 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Date | 20 th January 2011 (Thursday) |
| Time | 10:00-11:30 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| | None identified | - |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
|------------|---|------------------|
| 110120-001 | Part B - Water Quality • The wheel washing bay was observed not function properly at FC11B&12B. The Contractor was reminded to reinforce the bunding area as soon as possible. | B14ii. |
| | Part C - Air Quality No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part D - Noise • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | : |
| | Part E - Waste / Chemical Management No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part F - Permit / Licenses No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Part G - Reminder • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | Others No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. | |
| | • Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.110114), all environmental deficiencies were improved/ rectified by the Contractor except item 110114-001. Follow-up action is needed and remarked as 110120-001. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Recorded by | TY Yeung | for. | 20 January 2011 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy | WI | 20 January 2011 |

CINOTECH MA0010

Inspection Information

| Checklist Reference Number | 110127 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Date | 27 th January 2011 (Thursday) |
| Time | 10:00-11:30 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. | |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| L | None identified | - | |

Ref. No. Remarks/Observations Related Item No. Part B - Water Quality • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. Part C - Air Quality • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. Part D - Noise • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. Part E - Waste / Chemical Management • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. Part F - Permit / Licenses • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. Part G - Reminder • Stagnant water should be cleared at near the sub-office and A-Tank. B12 110127-R01 Others • No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. • Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.110120), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by the Contractor.

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Recorded by | TY Yeung | The | 27 January 2011 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy | NI | 27 January 2011 |

CINOTECH MA0010 110131_audit110127

APPENDIX J EVENT ACTION PLANS

APPENDIX J (1) – Event Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring (Construction Phase)

| EVENT | ACTION | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| EVENI | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR | | |
| ACTION LEVEL | ACTION LEVEL | | | | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. | 1. Notify Contractor. | Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate. | | |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples LIMIT LEVEL | Identify source; Inform IC(E) and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. | | |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. | | |

APPENDIX J (2) – Event Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring (Construction Phase)

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| EVENI | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| ACTION LEVEL | Notify IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; Review the propose d remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1.Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2.Notify Contractor; 3.Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4.Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| LIMIT LEVEL | Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

APPENDIX J (3) – Event Action Plan for Landfill Gas Monitoring (Construction Phase)

| Parameter | Limit Level | Action Required |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Oxygen | <19% | Ventilate to restore oxygen to >19% |
| | <18% | Stop works; |
| | | Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry; |
| | | Increase ventilation to restore oxygen to > 19% |
| Methane | >10% LEL (i.e. >0.5% by volume) | Post "no smoking signs; |
| | | Prohibit hot works; |
| | | Ventilate to restore methane to <10% LEL |
| | >20% LEL (i.e. >1% by volume) | Stop works; |
| | | Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry; |
| | | Increase ventilation to restore methane to <10% LEL |
| Carbon Dioxide | >0.5% | Ventilate to restore carbon dioxide to <0.5% |
| | >1.5% | Stop works; |
| | | Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry; |
| | | Increase ventilation to restore carbon dioxide to <0.5% |

APPENDIX K UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

APPENDIX K – Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (During Construction Phase)

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Air Quality | Dust mitigation measures stipulated in <i>the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation</i> shall be incorporated to control dust emission. Notice shall be given to authority prior to commencing of work | V | | | |
| Noise | Use of quiet PME | N/A | | | |
| | Good Site Practice Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program; Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program; Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible; Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. | V | | | |
| Water Quality | The practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be adopted to minimize the potential water quality impacts from construction site runoff and various construction activities. The recommendation to install perimeter drains to collect site runoff and to properly treat the runoff by settlement tank/treatment system shall apply to all sites including those for mainlaying works. Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site runoff and the existing WSD saltwater intake at Tai Po. | ٧ | | | |
| | A discharge licence needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site. The discharge quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies with all the standards listed in the TM. Reuse and recycling of the treated effluent can minimize water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent may include dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning. Monitoring of the discharge quality of treated effluent should be part of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme. Detailed effluent sampling programme for water quality control during construction phase should be submitted to EPD, AFCD and WSD for approval prior to commencement of the construction works. | V | | | |
| | The construction programme should be properly planned to minimize soil excavation, if any, in rainy seasons. This prevents soil erosion from exposed soil surfaces. Any exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission. In areas where a large amount of exposed soils exist, earth bunds or sand bags should be provided. Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets at all time. The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from any stream courses so as to avoid releasing materials into the water bodies. Final surfaces of earthworks should be compacted and protected by permanent work. It is suggested that haul roads should be paved with concrete and the temporary access roads are protected using crushed stone or gravel, wherever practicable. Wheel washing facilities should be provided at all site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles. | V | | | |
| | Good site practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on the construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from dropping into the nearby environment. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. | V | | | |

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Status |
|----------------|--|--------|
| | It is recommended to provide sufficient chemical toilets in the works areas. The toilet facilities should not be less than 30 m from any watercourse. A licensed waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. The construction workers can also make use of the existing toilet facilities within the TPSTW as necessary. | √ |
| | Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the project. Implementation of environmental audit on the construction site can provide an effective control of any malpractices and can achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site. | V |
| | It is required to register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. | V |
| | Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken with the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. | V |
| | Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows: • Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport • Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes to avoid accidents. • Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. | V |
| | Marine water quality monitoring should be carried out under emergency condition or during maintenance of the THEES tunnel to verify the findings of the water quality modelling. It is recommended that the maintenance of the THEES tunnel, if unavoidable, should be conducted during winter season or low flow periods and to avoid the "blooming" season of algae (normally from April to June) if practicable. Details of the monitoring requirements are specified in the EM&A Manual. | N/A |

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Status |
|----------------|---|-----------|
| Waste | Good site practices during the construction activities include: | |
| Management | Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site | |
| | practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all | |
| | wastes generated at the site. | |
| | Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. | |
| | Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal. | |
| | Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by | |
| | either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. | |
| | Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility | |
| | Waste Treatment Facility. Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. | |
| | A Waste Management Plan shall be prepared and this WMP shall be submitted to the Engineer | |
| | for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 for details. | |
| | In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at landfills and public filling areas, and to | |
| | control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system shall be included as one of the contractual requirements | |
| | and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and | |
| | Audit work. One may make reference to WBTC No. 21/2002 for details. | |
| | A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the | |
| | disposal sites) shall be proposed. | |
| | | |
| | Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the | $\sqrt{}$ |
| | implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: | |
| | Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to | |
| | enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. | |
| | To encourage collection of aluminum cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins shall be | |
| | provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force. | |
| | Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. | |
| | Maximize the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material. | |
| | • Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be | |
| | separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to | |
| | landfill. | |
| | Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. | |
| | Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid | |
| | unnecessary generation of waste. | |
| | Minimize over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before | |
| | ordering | |
| | | |
| | General Refuse | √ |
| | General refuse shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A | |
| | reputable waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, | |
| | separately from C&D material. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence | |
| | of 'wind blown' light material. | |
| | G A D Ivi (GAD) W I | 1 |
| | Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material | $\sqrt{}$ |
| | C&D material generated from the site formation and demolition works shall be sorted on-site into | |
| | inert C&D material (i.e. public fill) and C&D waste. In order to minimise the impact resulting from | |
| | collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated material | |
| | comprising fill material shall be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. C&D waste, such as wood, plastic, steel and other metals shall be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, | |
| | disposed of to landfill. A suitable area shall be designated within the site for temporary stockpiling of | |
| | C&D material and to facilitate the sorting process. | |
| | The state of the finance the sorting process. | |
| | | |

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----------|--|--|--|
| | Bentonite Slurry Bentonite slurries used in construction works should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Residual used bentonite slurry should be disposed of from the site as soon as possible. The Contractor should explore alternative disposal outlets for the residual used bentonite slurry and disposal at landfill should be the last resort. | N/A | | | |
| Landfill Gas Hazard | All personnel who work on the site and all visitors to the site should be aware of the possibility of ignition of gas in the vicinity of excavations. Safety notices should be displayed at prominent position around the site. Adequate fire extinguisher equipment and fire resistant clothing should be made available on site. | V | | | |
| | Service runs within the consultation zone should be designated as "special routes" and utilities companies should be informed of this and should implement precautionary measures. | V | | | |
| | Precautionary measures to minimize landfill gas hazard during excavation: No smoking or burning shall be allowed No worker shall work alone at any time in the confined space or any excavation trenches Construction equipment shall be equipped with a vertical exhaust at least 0.6 m above ground level and /or with a park arrestors Electrical motors and electrical extension cords shall be explosive-proof or intrinsically safe Permit to Work procedures to be adopted for welding, flame cutting or other hot works in trenches or confined spaces Forced ventilation if working in a trench deeper than 1 m Close all valves immediately after piping assembly or conduiting construction. For the large diameter pipes, pipe end shall be capped on one side. Forced ventilation shall also be provided before commissioning of the pipeline and staff entering and working in it Routine monitoring shall be conducted in all excavations to ensure the works area to be free of landfill gas before any man enters the area. Landfill gas precautionary measures involved with excavation and piping works shall be included in the Safety Plan Monitoring shall be conducted at the cracks on the ground floor during ground-works construction | 1 | | | |
| | Where there are any temporary site offices, or any other buildings which have enclosed spaces with the capacity to accumulate landfill gas, then they should either: be located on an area which has been proven to be free of landfill gas (by survey with portable gas detectors) and monitored manually by the Safety Officer or an approved wand appropriately qualified person to ensure that hazardous concentration of landfill gas does not occur; or be raised clear of the ground. If buildings are raised clear of the ground, a minimum, clear separation (as measured from the highest point on the ground surface to the underside of lowest floor joist) should be 500mm | V | | | |

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Note}: \\ $\sqrt{ } & - Compliance of mitigation measures \\ $X $ & - Non-compliance of mitigation measures \\ $N/A - Not applicable \end{tabular}$

APPENDIX L WASTE GENERATION IN THE REPORTING MONTH

APPENDIX L - WASTE GENERATION IN THE REPORTING MONTH

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table <u>January 2011</u> (Year)

| | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Month | Total Quantity Generated | Broken Concrete (see Note 3) | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastic (see Note 2) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m3) |
| Jan | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 |
| Feb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mar | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| May | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 |
| July | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sept | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

APPENDIX M COMPLAINT LOG


APPENDIX M - COMPLAINT LOG


Reporting Month: January 2011


| Log Ref. | Location | Received Details of Investigation/Mit Date Complaint Action | | | |
|----------|----------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


Remarks: No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.


APPENDIX N CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME











| , . | L_ | | |
|-----|-------------|---------------|------------------------|
| ĺ | Start date | 29JAN10 | Early bar |
| | Finish date | 27APR13 | Progress bar |
| | Data date | 29JAN10 | Critical bar |
| i | Run date | 06APR10 | |
| | Page number | 5A | • |
| | c Primavera | Systems, Inc. | • |
| | | | Finish milestone point |

China Harbour Engineering Co. Ltd. TPSTW Stage 5 Phase 2B

| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| 05FEB10 | 0 | WML | TKC |
| 07APR10 | 1 | AA | TKC |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |