


Jardine Engineering Corporation Limited

Contract No. DE/2009/09
Supply and Installation of Electrical and
Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage
Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

**Monthly Environmental Monitoring
and Audit Report for
April 2012**

(Version 2.0)

Certified By 
(Environmental Team/Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388
Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-----------|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... | 1 |
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works..... | 1 |
| Environmental Licenses and Permits..... | 1 |
| Key Information in the Reporting Month..... | 1 |
| Future Key Issues..... | 2 |
| 1 INTRODUCTION..... | 3 |
| Background..... | 3 |
| Construction Programme..... | 4 |
| Summary of EM&A Requirements..... | 5 |
| 2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING..... | 6 |
| Monitoring Requirements..... | 6 |
| Monitoring Locations..... | 6 |
| Monitoring Equipment..... | 6 |
| Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration..... | 6 |
| Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure..... | 7 |
| Results and Observations..... | 8 |
| 3 NOISE MONITORING..... | 10 |
| Monitoring Requirements..... | 10 |
| Monitoring Locations..... | 10 |
| Monitoring Equipment..... | 10 |
| Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration..... | 10 |
| Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures..... | 11 |
| Results and Observations..... | 12 |
| 4 ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT..... | 13 |
| Site Audits..... | 13 |
| Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures..... | 13 |
| Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting..... | 13 |
| Status of Waste Management..... | 14 |
| Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures..... | 14 |
| Summary of Exceedances..... | 15 |
| Implementation Status of Event Action Plans..... | 15 |
| Summary of Complaint and Prosecution..... | 15 |
| 5 FUTURE KEY ISSUES..... | 16 |
| Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month..... | 16 |
| Construction Program for the Next Month..... | 16 |
| 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 17 |
| Conclusions..... | 17 |
| Recommendations..... | 17 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|-----------|--|
| Table I | Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month |
| Table II | Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month |
| Table 1.1 | Key Project Contacts |
| Table 2.1 | Locations for Air Quality Monitoring |
| Table 2.2 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 2.3 | Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 2.4 | Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month |
| Table 3.1 | Location of Noise Monitoring Station |
| Table 3.2 | Noise Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 3.3 | Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 3.4 | Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month |
| Table 4.1 | Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status |
| Table 4.2 | Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit |

LIST OF FIGURE

| | |
|------------|--|
| Figure 1.1 | Site Layout Plan |
| Figure 1.2 | Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|--|
| Appendix A | Action and Limit Levels |
| Appendix B | Copies of Calibration Certificates |
| Appendix C | Environmental Monitoring Schedules |
| Appendix D | 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix E | 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix F | Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix G | Summary of Exceedance |
| Appendix H | Site Audit Summary |
| Appendix I | Event Action Plans |
| Appendix J | Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule |
| Appendix K | Waste Generation in the Reporting Month |
| Appendix L | Complaint Log |
| Appendix M | Construction Programme |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 10th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for DSD Contract no. DE/2009/09 “Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B”. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted in April 2012.
2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Installation of scraper assemblies for FC11B and FC12B;
 - Level checking for the installed FCW of FC11B and FC12B;
 - Cabling for FC11B and FC12B;
 - cabling from CBC to UV switch room;
 - Relocation of cable trays at PST;
 - Installation of new bio-gas burner at Stage I/II; and
 - Installation of filter press at Sludge Dewatering House.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works

3. Environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project were performed regularly as stipulated in the Final EM&A Manual and the results were checked and reviewed. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
4. Summary of the events and action taken in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table I**.

Table I Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month

| Parameter | No. of Exceedance | | No. of Events Due to this Project | Action Taken |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Action Level | Limit Level | | |
| 1-hr TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| 24-hr TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |

Environmental Licenses and Permits

5. Environmental related licenses/permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project.

Key Information in the Reporting Month

6. Summary of key information in this reporting month is tabulated in **Table II**.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

| Event | Event Details | | Action Taken | Status | Remark |
|---|---------------|--|--|--------|--------|
| | Number | Nature | | | |
| Complaint received | 0 | --- | N/A | N/A | --- |
| Changes to the assumptions and key construction / operation activities recorded | 0 | --- | N/A | N/A | --- |
| Status of submissions under EP | 1 | Monthly EM&A Report (March 2012) | Submitted to EPD on 23 rd April 2012 (EP condition 6.6) | N/A | --- |
| | 1 | Quarterly EM&A Report (January – March 2012) | Submitted to EPD on 23 rd April 2012 (EP condition 6.6) | N/A | --- |
| Notifications of any summons & prosecutions | 0 | --- | N/A | N/A | --- |

Future Key Issues

7. Major site activities for the coming two months will include:
 - Installation of scraper assemblies for FC11B and FC12B;
 - Screening for the bottoms of FC11B and FC12B;
 - Cabling for FC11B and FC12B;
 - Electrical load diversion to the temporary panels at CBC basement;
 - Setup of temporary genset at Inlet Works G/F;
 - Setup of working platform for fabrication of bio-gas holder on site;
 - Installation of penstocks at Flow Distribution Chamber No. 2;
 - Site survey at the screw pump chamber of inlet works; and
 - Installation of sludge feed pump & filter press at Sludge Dewatering House.

8. The future environmental concerns are air quality, noise impacts and waste management from construction works.

1 INTRODUCTION


Background

- 1.1 Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works (TPSTW) is located within the Tai Po Industrial Estate. It currently comprises four Stages: I, II, IVA and IVB works. The TPSTW - Stage V aims to upgrade the existing STW to provide additional sewage treatment capacity from the present design flow of 88,000 m³/day to 130,000 m³/day to meet the demands of both the existing and future developments, and to meet the revised discharge license requirements.
- 1.2 The TPSTW Stage V, Phase I and Phase II are Designated Projects under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 449) with the same EIAO Register No. AEIAR – 081/2004. A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to evaluate various environmental impacts associated with the works within these two Designed Projects. An EIA Report as well as an Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual were approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 28 October 2004.
- 1.3 The Stage V works will be implemented in 2 phases. The design capacities of Phase I and Phase II works are 100,000 m³/d and 130,000 m³/d respectively. An Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-265/2007 was issued on 22 March 2007 for the TPSTW Stage V Phase II to the Drainage Services Department (DSD) as the Permit Holder. The project “Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works – Stage V Phase IIB” formed part of the Phase II works, includes additional secondary treatment process units (1 primary clarifier; 3 bioreactors and 2 final clarifiers) in TPSTW for its future extended plant design capacity of 120,000 m³/day. A master construction programme of the Project is provided in **Appendix M**. A site layout plan is provided in **Figure 1.1**. The construction activities of the Project commenced on 16 May 2011.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Ltd. was commissioned by the Contractor as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the EM&A works for the Project. Dr. Priscilla CHOY of Cinotech Consultants Ltd. was appointed as the ET Leader as per the Condition 2.1 of the EP. Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited. was appointed as the IEC under Condition 2.2 of the EP. This is the 10th monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project in April 2012.

Project Organizations

- 1.5 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
- Project Proponent / Engineer’s Representative (ER) – Drainage Services Department
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Ltd.
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited
 - Contractor –Jardine Engineering Corporation Ltd.
- 1.6 The responsibilities of respective parties are detailed in Section 1.10 of the Final EM&A Manual of the Project.

1.7 The Project Organization during Construction Phase



1.8 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

| Party | Role | Name | Position | Phone No. | Fax No. |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| DSD | E&M Branch | Mr. TONG Sau Kit | Senior Engineer | 2594 7304 | 2827 8532 |
| | | Mr. TSE Ho | Engineer | 2660 7638 | |
| Cinotech | Environmental Team | Dr. Priscilla CHOY | ET Leader | 2151 2089 | 3107 1388 |
| | | Mr. Johnny FUNG | Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader | 2151 2078 | |
| | | Mr. Henry LEUNG | Monitoring Team Leader | 2151 2087 | |
| Arup | Independent Environmental Checker | Mr. Coleman NG | Independent Environmental Checker | 2268 3097 | 2865 6493 |
| | | Mr. Lawrence KAN | Assistant to Independent Environmental Checker | 2268 3212 | |
| JEC | E&M Contractor | Mr. Alex LAW | Project Manager | 9312 8659 | 2887 9090 |
| | | Mr. Dexter CHAN | Site Agent | 6391 2499 | |
| | | Mr. Brendan CHAN | Environmental Officer | 6393 2904 | |

Construction Programme

1.9 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month were:

- Installation of scraper assemblies for FC11B and FC12B;
- Level checking for the installed FCW of FC11B and FC12B;
- Cabling for FC11B and FC12B;
- cabling from CBC to UV switch room;
- Relocation of cable trays at PST;
- Installation of new bio-gas burner at Stage I/II; and
- Installation of filter press at Sludge Dewatering House.

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.10 The EM&A programme requires construction phase air quality and noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:
- All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event / Action Plans;
 - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA study final report; and
 - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 1.11 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 5 of this report.
- 1.12 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely air quality and noise as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 Monitoring of 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) was conducted to monitor the air quality during construction phase. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 2.2 In accordance with Section 2.30 of the EM&A Manual, a baseline checking of ambient TSP levels shall be carried out every six months at each monitoring station, when no dusty works activities are in operation. The number and location of monitoring stations and parameters shall be reviewed by ET Leader every three months according to section 8.8 of EM&A Manual.

Monitoring Locations

- 2.3 Impact air quality monitoring was conducted at the 3 monitoring stations, as shown in **Figure 1.2**. **Table 2.1** describes the locations of the air quality monitoring stations.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Stations | Description | Location of Measurement |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---|
| CAM1 | Government Staff Quarters | Rooftop |
| CAM2 | Hung Hing Printing Centre | On the site boundary just next to the Hung Hing Printing Centre |
| CAM3 | Talcon Industrial Ltd. | On the site boundary just next to Talcon Industrial Ltd. |

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.4 **Table 2.2** summarizes the equipment used for the air quality monitoring.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Qty. |
|------------|--|------|
| HVS | Graseby GMW 2310 HVS, Model GS-2310105-1, Serial no. 10239 and 0810 | 2 |
| | Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170, Serial no. 1704 | 1 |
| Calibrator | Thermo Andersen.; Model no. G25A Serial no. 1536 | 1 |

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 2.5 **Table 2.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Monitoring Stations | Parameter | Duration | Period | Frequency |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| CAM1, CAM2 and CAM3 | 1-hour TSP | 1 hour | During daytime period | 3 times / 6-day |
| | 24-hour TSP | 24 hours | 24 hours | Once / 6-day |

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

Instrumentation

- 2.6 High Volume Samplers (HVS) connected with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for air quality monitoring. Each sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

HVS Installation

- 2.7 The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:
- Sufficient support was provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The samplers were more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

Filters Preparation

- 2.8 Fiberglass filters were used which have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 μm diameter. A HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Wellab Ltd., was responsible for the preparation of pre-weighed filter papers for Cinotech's monitoring team.
- 2.9 All filters, which were prepared by Wellab Ltd., were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ± 3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- 2.10 Wellab Ltd. has a comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

2.11 Operating/analytical procedures for the TSP monitoring were highlighted as follows:

- Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the HVS was properly set (between 1.1 and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard.
- The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
- On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the air quality monitoring station.
- The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- The flow rate of the HVS sampler would be verified to be constant and recorded on the data sheet after sampling.
- After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the Wellab Ltd. for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%. Weighing results were returned to Cinotech for further analysis of TSP concentrations collected by each filter.

Maintenance/Calibration

2.12 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:

- The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
- Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.
- The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually.

Results and Observations

2.13 In the reporting month, 1-hr TSP monitoring was carried out as schedule at each designated monitoring station on 13 occasions. 24-hr TSP monitoring was carried out as scheduled at each designated monitoring station on 5 occasions. The monitoring schedule was updated and is shown in **Appendix C**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly sunny, cloudy and rainy.

2.14 All measured 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels were below the Action/Limit Levels. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

2.15 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices D** and **E**, respectively.

Table 2.4 Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month

| Parameter | Minimum $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Maximum $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Average $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1-hr TSP (CAM1) | 78 | 229 | 147 | 315 | 500 |
| 24-hr TSP (CAM1) | 25 | 108 | 54 | 171 | 260 |
| 1-hr TSP (CAM2) | 102 | 258 | 161 | 336 | 500 |
| 24-hr TSP (CAM2) | 44 | 104 | 73 | 177 | 260 |
| 1-hr TSP (CAM3) | 87 | 273 | 165 | 344 | 500 |
| 24-hr TSP (CAM3) | 31 | 117 | 67 | 192 | 260 |

2.16 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

| Station | Major Pollution Source |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CAM1 – Government Staff Quarters | Road Traffic Dust |
| CAM2 – Hung Hing Printing Centre | Road Traffic Dust and Excavation |
| CAM3 – Talcon Industrial Ltd. | Road Traffic Dust and Excavation |

3 NOISE MONITORING

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 Noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 3.2 The number and location of monitoring stations and parameters shall be reviewed by ET Leader every three months according to section 8.8 of EM&A Manual.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.3 Noise monitoring was conducted at one designated monitoring station as presented in **Table 3.1**. **Figure 1.2** shows the locations of the monitoring station.

Table 3.1 Location of Noise Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Description | Location of Measurement |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| NM1 | Government Staff Quarters | The corridor at the first floor. |

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.4 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Quantity |
|-------------------------------|--|----------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | SVAN 955 (Serial No. 14303) | 1 |
| Calibrator | B&K (Serial No. 2412367) | 1 |
| Wind Speed Anemometer | Vane Anemometer, Model AZ8904 (Serial no. 974835) | 1 |

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.5 **Table 3.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Station | Parameter | Period | Frequency |
|---------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| NM1 | $L_{eq}(30 \text{ min.})$ (L_{10} and L_{90} were also recorded as supplementary information) | 0700-1900 hrs. on normal weekdays | Once a week |

- 3.6 If construction works are extended to include works during the hours of 1900 - 0700, additional weekly impact monitoring would be carried out during evening and night-time works. Applicable permits under NCO have been obtained by the Contractor. The details of the Construction Noise Permit can be referred to **Table 5.1**.

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

Field Monitoring

3.7 The monitoring procedures are as follows:

- The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - measurement time : 30 minutes
- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station was checked with the portable wind meter. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

Maintenance and Calibration

3.8 Maintenance and Calibration procedures were as follows:

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.

Results and Observations

- 3.9 In the reporting month, noise monitoring during non-restricted hours was conducted as scheduled at the designated location on 4 occasions. As advised by the Contractor, no construction activities will be undertaken during restricted hours as such noise monitoring during restricted hours was omitted. The noise monitoring schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 3.10 The details of the monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly sunny and fine.
- 3.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance for construction noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.

Table 3.4 Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month

| Parameter | Minimum Leq(30min) dB(A) | Maximum Leq(30min) dB(A) | Average Leq(30min) dB (A) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------|
| NM1 | 55.6 | 67.4 | 63.0 | When one documented complaint is received | 75dB(A) |

- 3.12 According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

| Station | Major Noise Source |
|---------------------------------|---|
| NM1 – Government Staff Quarters | Road Traffic Construction of Main Site |

4 ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Site Audits

- 4.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix H**.
- 4.2 Site audits were conducted on 2nd, 13th, 19th and 27th April 2012 by ET. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 13th April 2012. No site inspection was conducted by EPD during the reporting month. The details of observations during site audit can refer to **Table 4.2**.

Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures

- 4.3 The monitoring works conducted by the monitoring team were inspected regularly. The following observations have been recorded for the monitoring works:

Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations within and outside the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and weather conditions on the monitoring days.

Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the monitoring result.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded. Other intrusive noise attributing to the result was trimmed off by pausing the monitoring temporarily.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 4.4 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

| Permit / License No. | Valid Period | | Details | Status |
|--|--------------|-----|--|--------|
| | From | To | | |
| Environmental Permit (EP) | | | | |
| EP-265/2007 | 22/3/2007 | N/A | Expansion and upgrading of existing Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works from 100,000 m ³ /day to 130,000 m ³ /day: (a) additional secondary treatment process units(1 primary clarified; 3 bioreactors and 2 final clarifiers); (b) reconstruction of 4 existing final clarified; (c) provision of ultraviolet disinfection facilities; (d) additional sludge treatment facilities; and (e) ancillary works to existing treatment facilities. | Valid |
| Registration of Chemical Waste Producer | | | | |
| 5517-727-T327-01 | -- | N/A | Major chemical waste types: Spent lubricating oil, spend hydraulic oil, spend cooling oil, surplus paint, spent alkaline electrolyte, spent battery and battery parts containing heavy metals, scrap battery cell containing heavy metals, Nickel and its compounds, spent flammable liquid, spent copper etchant (Ferric chloride), Sodium hypochlorite, polymer, electric and torch bulbs and tubes, alkaline cleaner (spent alkaline solution) | |

Status of Waste Management

- 4.5 The Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials generated in the reporting month were mainly inert C&D waste and C&D waste. The quantities of waste generated in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix K**. No chemical waste was generated in the reporting month.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 4.6 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix J**.

4.7 During site inspections in the reporting month, no observation and non-conformance were identified. In addition, there was no major environmental deficiency being identified on 27th April 2012. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|---------------------------|---------------|---|---|
| Water Quality | 2 April 2012 | <u>Reminder:</u> - Clear properly the stagnant water at FC11B and FC12B. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 120413. |
| | 19 April 2012 | <u>Reminder:</u> - Clear the stagnant rain water near site office. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 120427. |
| Waste/Chemical Management | 13 April 2012 | <u>Reminder:</u> - To clear the construction waste properly. | The situation was observed rectified in audit session 120419. |

Summary of Exceedances

4.8 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting month. Summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix G**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

4.9 The Event Action Plans for air quality and construction noise monitoring are presented in **Appendix I**. No exceedance was recorded and thus no action was required to be implemented.

Summary of Complaint and Prosecution

4.10 No environmental related complaint, prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month.

4.11 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Complaint Log is attached in **Appendix L**.

5 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

5.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Effluent discharge generated from surface runoff;
- Dust generated from excavation works, backfilling works and stockpile of dusty materials;
- Maintenance of de-silting facilities and drainage system, such as U-channels;
- Accumulation of stagnant water in the site areas; and
- Accumulation of C&D waste and general waste on site.

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

5.2 The tentative environmental monitoring schedule for the next month is shown in **Appendix C**.

Construction Program for the Next Month

5.3 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix M**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:

- Installation of scraper assemblies for FC11B and FC12B;
- Level checking for the installed FCW of FC11B and FC12B;
- Cabling for FC11B and FC12B;
- cabling from CBC to UV switch room;
- Relocation of cable trays at PST;
- Installation of new bio-gas burner at Stage I/II; and
- Installation of filter press at Sludge Dewatering House.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 6.1 Environmental monitoring and audit works were conducted in the reporting month. Site inspections were conducted on a weekly basis. The results were reviewed and checked.
- 6.2 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting month.
- 6.3 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received.

Recommendations

- 6.4 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Water Impact

- To avoid accumulation of stagnant water on site.


Dust Impact

- To remove fugitive dusty material on the haul road periodically.
- To spray with water on dry dust haul road.

Waste / Chemical Management

- To provide proper rubbish bins / skips for waste collection.
- To avoid and check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- Provide drip tray with adequate capacity and maintain well for equipment and chemical waste.

FIGURES



LEGEND :

- ① INLET PUMPING STATION
- ② SCREENS HOUSE
- ③ DETRITORS
- ④ PRIMARY SEDIMENTATION TANKS
- ⑤ AERATION TANKS
- ⑥ FINAL CLARIFIERS
- ⑦ GAS THICKENING HOUSE
- ⑧ RETURN ACTIVATED SLUDGE PUMPING STATION
- ⑨ SLUDGE DISSOLUTION TANKS
- ⑩ SLUDGE CONSOLIDATION TANKS
- ⑪ MIXED LIQUOR CHANNEL
- ⑫ FOAM REMOVAL CHAMBER
- ⑬ BIOD-GAS HOLDING TANK
- ⑭ MAINTENANCE BUILDING
- ⑮ ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING
- ⑯ PRIMARY SLUDGE GRAVITY THICKENER
- ⑰ CHEMICAL HOUSE
- ⑱ EFFLUENT PUMPING STATION
- ⑲ PRIMARY SEDIMENTATION TANK DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL
- ⑳ SLUDGE DEWATERING HOUSE
- ㉑ BLOWER HOUSE
- ㉒ SLUDGE PUMPING STATION
- ㉓ CENTRAL BUILDING COMPLEX
- ㉔ EXTENSION OF SLUDGE DEWATERING HOUSE
- ㉕ FILTRATE TREATMENT CENTER
- ㉖ FILTRATE TREATMENT UNITS
- ㉗ FIRE SERVICES SHELTER
- ㉘ WATER TANK
- ㉙ UV DISINFECTION FACILITIES
- ㉚ TRANSFORMER HOUSE
- ㉛ NOT USED
- ㉜ CHEMICAL & OIL STORE
- ㉝ FERRIC CHLORIDE DOSING SYSTEM
- ㉞ NOT USED
- ㉟ BIOD-GAS HOLDING TANK VALVE CHAMBER
- ⓫ FLOW SPLITTER BOX
- ⓬ FLOWMETER
- ⓭ SWITCH ROOM (CHEMICAL HOUSE)
- ⓮ CONTROL ROOM
- ⓯ SITE, CHIMNEY AND ASSOCIATED PG STRUCTURE
- ⓰ STORAGE FACILITIES
- ⓱ WASTE BIOD-GAS BURNER
- ⓲ CHEMICAL WASTE ROOM
- ⓳ GAS TRANSFER STATION
- ⓴ ROOF
- ⓵ MUDDI DRESSING SYSTEM
- ⓶ DEWATERING CHAMBER

[Symbol] SITE BOUNDARY
 [Symbol] BOUNDARY FENCE
 [Symbol] PROPOSED STRUCTURE / ROAD
 [Symbol] EXISTING STRUCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED
 [Symbol] EXISTING STRUCTURE TO BE MODIFIED
 [Symbol] CONTRACTOR'S TEMPORARY SITE OFFICES AND STORAGE AREA
 [Symbol] ENGINEER'S SITE OFFICES
 [Symbol] GAS RISK AREA
 [Symbol] EXISTING STRUCTURE TO BE RECONSTRUCTED

| POINT | SPLITTING POINT CO-ORDINATES | |
|-------|------------------------------|------------|
| | X | Y |
| X | 835042.218 | 837047.272 |
| Y | 835042.265 | 837048.232 |
| Z | 835042.218 | 837047.504 |

TAI PO SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS, STAGE V, PHASE IIB

PROJECT SITE LAYOUT PLAN

Scale

N.T.S

Proposa

No.

MA10069


Date

Mar-11

Figure

1.1

CINOTECH



Tai Po Sewage Treatment Work, Stage V, Phase IIB

LOCATIONS OF AIR QUALITY AND NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

| | | | | |
|---------|-----------|-------------|------|----------|
| SCALE | A4 1:4000 | DATE | 2011 | |
| CHECK | IT | DRAWN | TY | |
| JOB No. | MA10069 | DRAWING No. | 1.2 | REV — |

**APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS**

APPENDIX A – Action and Limit Levels**1-Hour TSP**

| Location | Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| CAM1 | 315 | 500 |
| CAM2 | 336 | |
| CAM3 | 344 | |

24-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| CAM1 | 171 | 260 |
| CAM2 | 177 | |
| CAM3 | 192 | |

Construction Noise

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--|---|-------------|
| 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | When one documented complaint is received | 75 dB(A) |
| 0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days | | 70* dB(A) |
| 2300-0700 hrs of next day | | 55* dB(A) |

Notes:

* The Area Sensitivity Rating for Station NM1 is taken as C, due to the nearby industrial area, according to Table 1 of EPD's Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling.

**APPENDIX B
COPIES OF CALIBRATION
CERTIFICATES**

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/37/0043

Station CAMI - Government Staff Quarter
 Date: 14-Mar-12
 Equipment No.: A-01-37

Operator: WK
 Next Due Date: 13-May-12
 Serial No. 1704

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-----|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 290.2 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 766 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-01 | Slope, mc | 0.0568 | Intercept, bc | -0.0432 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 9-Oct-11 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 8-Oct-12 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.7 | 3.48 | 62.03 | 8.4 | 2.95 |
| 2 | 9.8 | 3.18 | 56.83 | 6.6 | 2.61 |
| 3 | 7.6 | 2.80 | 50.14 | 5.2 | 2.32 |
| 4 | 5.1 | 2.30 | 41.21 | 3.2 | 1.82 |
| 5 | 3.3 | 1.85 | 33.30 | 2.0 | 1.44 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0521

Intercept, bw : -0.3088

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9990

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) = \underline{\underline{3.60}}$

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang
 Checked by: Ars

Signature: _____
 Signature: _____

Date: 14/3/12
 Date: 14 March 2012

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/A40/0043

Station: CAM2 - Hung Hing Printing Centre Operator: WK
 Date: 14-Mar-12 Next Due Date: 13-May-12
 Equipment No.: A-01-40 Serial No. 10239

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-----|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 290.2 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 766 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-01 | Slope, mc | 0.0568 | Intercept, bc | -0.0432 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 9-Oct-11 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 8-Oct-12 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.8 | 3.49 | 62.29 | 8.0 | 2.88 |
| 2 | 9.6 | 3.15 | 56.26 | 6.5 | 2.59 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 2.79 | 49.81 | 5.2 | 2.32 |
| 4 | 5.2 | 2.32 | 41.60 | 3.3 | 1.85 |
| 5 | 3.2 | 1.82 | 32.80 | 2.0 | 1.44 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0493 Intercept, bw : -0.1789
 Correlation coefficient* = 0.9990

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM
 From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.64

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang Signature: _____
 Checked by: [Signature] Signature: _____

Date: 14/3/12
 Date: 16 March 2012

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/35/0043

Station CAM3 - Talcon Industrial Ltd

Operator: WK

Date: 14-Mar-12

Next Due Date: 13-May-12

Equipment No.: A-01-35

Serial No. 0810

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-----|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 290.2 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 766 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-01 | Slope, mc | 0.0568 | Intercept, bc | -0.0432 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 9-Oct-11 | $mc \times Q_{std} + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 8-Oct-12 | $Q_{std} = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.4 | 3.43 | 61.23 | 7.8 | 2.84 |
| 2 | 9.9 | 3.20 | 57.12 | 6.7 | 2.63 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 2.79 | 49.81 | 5.1 | 2.30 |
| 4 | 5.2 | 2.32 | 41.60 | 3.2 | 1.82 |
| 5 | 3.0 | 1.76 | 31.78 | 1.9 | 1.40 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0495

Intercept, bw = -0.1940

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9988

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Q_{std} + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Q_{std} + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.62

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang

Signature: _____

Date: 14/3/12

Checked by: [Signature]

Signature: _____

Date: 14 March 2012

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/11/110503 |
| Date of Issue: | 2011-05-03 |
| Date Received: | 2011-04-29 |
| Date Tested: | 2011-04-29 |
| Date Completed: | 2011-05-03 |
| Next Due Date: | 2012-05-02 |

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description : RS232 Integral Vane Digital Anemometer
Manufacturer : AZ Instrument
Model No. : AZ8904
Serial No. : 974835
Equipment No. : A-03-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature : 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity : 65%
Pressure : 101.3 kPa

Methodology:

The anemometer has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| | Reference Set Point | Instrument Readings |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Measuring Air Velocity, m/s | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Temperature, °C | 21.0 | 21.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Description | Calibration Orifice | Manufacturer | Thermo Andersen |
| Serial No. | 1536 | Temperature, Ta (K) | 298 |
| Model No. | G25A | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 762.3 |
| Date | 9 October 2011 | | |

| Plate | Diff.Vol (m ³) | Diff.Time (min) | Diff.Hg (mm) | Diff.H ₂ O (in.) |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.3760 | 3.4 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 1.00 | 0.9740 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 1.00 | 0.8730 | 7.9 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 1.00 | 0.8320 | 8.6 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.6890 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

DATA TABULATION

| Vstd | (X axis) Qstd | (Y axis) |
|--------|------------------|----------|
| 0.9985 | 0.7257 | 1.4163 |
| 0.9946 | 1.0211 | 2.0030 |
| 0.9926 | 1.1370 | 2.2394 |
| 0.9917 | 1.1919 | 2.3487 |
| 0.9861 | 1.4313 | 2.8326 |

Y axis= $\text{SQRT}[\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Pa}/760)(298/\text{Ta})]$

Qstd Slope (m) = 2.00766

Intercept (b) = -0.04318

Coefficient (r) = 0.99999

| Va | (X axis) Qa | (Y axis) |
|--------|----------------|----------|
| 0.9955 | 0.7235 | 0.8842 |
| 0.9916 | 1.0181 | 1.2505 |
| 0.9896 | 1.1336 | 1.3981 |
| 0.9887 | 1.1884 | 1.4664 |
| 0.9832 | 1.4270 | 1.7685 |

Y axis= $\text{SQRT}[\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa})]$

Qa Slope (m) = 1.25716

Intercept (b) = -0.02696

Coefficient (r) = 0.99999

CALCULATIONS

$V_{std} = \text{Diff. Vol}[(\text{Pa} - \text{Diff. Hg})/760](298/\text{Ta})$

$Q_{std} = V_{std}/\text{Time}$

$V_a = \text{Diff. Vol}[(\text{Pa} - \text{Diff. Hg})/\text{Pa}]$

$Q_a = V_a/\text{Time}$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$Q_{std} = 1/m\{[\text{SQRT}(\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Pa}/760)(298/\text{Ta}))] - b\}$


$Q_a = 1/m\{[\text{SQRT}(\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa}))] - b\}$

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**

Patrick Tse

PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT



Y-axis equations:

Qstd series: $\text{SQRT}[\Delta H(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})]$

Qa series: $\text{SQRT}[\Delta H(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa})]$

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/110923/4 |
| Date of Issue: | 2011-09-24 |
| Date Received: | 2011-09-23 |
| Date Tested: | 2011-09-23 |
| Date Completed: | 2011-09-24 |
| Next Due Date: | 2012-09-23 |

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Description | : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SVAN 955 |
| Serial No. | : 12553 |
| Microphone No. | : 35222 |
| Equipment No. | : N-08-02 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 23 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 57% |

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94 | 94.0 |
| 114 | 114.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/110906/3 |
| Date of Issue: | 2011-09-07 |
| Date Received: | 2011-09-06 |
| Date Tested: | 2011-09-06 |
| Date Completed: | 2011-09-07 |
| Next Due Date: | 2012-09-06 |

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Description | : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SVAN 957 |
| Serial No. | : 21460 |
| Microphone No. | : 43679 |
| Equipment No. | : N-08-09 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 66% |

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94 | 94.0 |
| 114 | 114.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/111010/2 |
| Date of Issue: | 2011-10-11 |
| Date Received: | 2011-10-10 |
| Date Tested: | 2011-10-10 |
| Date Completed: | 2011-10-11 |
| Next Due Date: | 2012-10-10 |

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Description | : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SVAN 957 |
| Serial No. | : 23851 |
| Microphone No. | : 48532 |
| Equipment No. | : N-08-12 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 25 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 59% |

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94 | 94.0 |
| 114 | 114.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/111008/1 |
| Date of Issue: | 2011-10-10 |
| Date Received: | 2011-10-08 |
| Date Tested: | 2011-10-08 |
| Date Completed: | 2011-10-10 |
| Next Due Date: | 2012-10-09 |

ATTN: Mr. Henry Leung

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| Description | : Acoustical Calibrator |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SV30A |
| Serial No. | : 24803 |
| Equipment No. | : N-09-03 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 62% |

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| Sound Pressure Level (1kHz) | Measured SPL | Tolerance |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| At 94 dB SPL | 94.0 | 94.0 ± 0.1 dB |
| At 114 dB SPL | 114.0 | 114.0 ± 0.1 dB |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

**APPENDIX C
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
SCHEDULE**

**Contract No. DE/2009/09 - Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B
Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for April 2012**

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1-Apr | 2-Apr | 3-Apr | 4-Apr | 5-Apr | 6-Apr | 7-Apr |
| | 1 hr TSP Noise | 1 hr TSP | | 1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP | | |
| 8-Apr | 9-Apr | 10-Apr | 11-Apr | 12-Apr | 13-Apr | 14-Apr |
| | | 1 hr TSP | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | 1 hr TSP | |
| 15-Apr | 16-Apr | 17-Apr | 18-Apr | 19-Apr | 20-Apr | 21-Apr |
| | | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | 1 hr TSP | |
| 22-Apr | 23-Apr | 24-Apr | 25-Apr | 26-Apr | 27-Apr | 28-Apr |
| | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | 24 hr TSP | |
| 29-Apr | 30-Apr | | | | | |
| | 1 hr TSP | | | | | |

Contract No. DE/2009/09 - Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B
Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for May 2012

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | 1-May | 2-May | 3-May | 4-May | 5-May |
| | | | 1 hr TSP | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | |
| 6-May | 7-May | 8-May | 9-May | 10-May | 11-May | 12-May |
| | 1 hr TSP Noise | | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP | |
| 13-May | 14-May | 15-May | 16-May | 17-May | 18-May | 19-May |
| | 1 hr TSP | 24 hr TSP | | 1 hr TSP Noise | 1 hr TSP | |
| 20-May | 21-May | 22-May | 23-May | 24-May | 25-May | 26-May |
| | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP Noise | 1 hr TSP | | 1 hr TSP | 24 hr TSP |
| 27-May | 28-May | 29-May | 30-May | 31-May | | |
| | 1 hr TSP | | 1 hr TSP | | | |

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

**APPENDIX D
1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Station CAM1

Government Staff Quarters

| Date | Sampling Time | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure (Pa) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min.) | | Av. flow (m ³ /min) | Total vol. (m ³) | Conc. (µg/m ³) |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 2-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Sunny | 294.7 | 765.6 | 3.2847 | 3.2929 | 0.0082 | 17338.1 | 17339.1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.5 | 113 |
| 3-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 296.1 | 766.7 | 3.2934 | 3.2993 | 0.0059 | 17339.1 | 17340.1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.4 | 82 |
| 5-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 294.1 | 763.9 | 3.2591 | 3.2652 | 0.0061 | 17340.1 | 17341.1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.5 | 84 |
| 10-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 295.5 | 764.5 | 3.2261 | 3.2424 | 0.0163 | 17365.1 | 17366.1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.3 | 225 |
| 12-Apr-12 | 10:00 | Sunny | 299.1 | 761.3 | 3.1209 | 3.1369 | 0.0160 | 17390.1 | 17391.1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.8 | 223 |
| 13-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 297.7 | 761.7 | 3.2508 | 3.2625 | 0.0117 | 17391.1 | 17392.1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 163 |
| 18-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 296.3 | 760.5 | 3.1694 | 3.1847 | 0.0153 | 17416.1 | 17417.1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.1 | 212 |
| 19-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 295.7 | 760.6 | 3.1294 | 3.1411 | 0.0117 | 17417.1 | 17418.1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.2 | 162 |
| 20-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 295.3 | 759.9 | 3.1859 | 3.2024 | 0.0165 | 17418.1 | 17419.1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.2 | 229 |
| 24-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 301.3 | 757.1 | 3.1168 | 3.1277 | 0.0109 | 17443.1 | 17444.1 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.4 | 153 |
| 25-Apr-12 | 16:30 | Cloudy | 299.5 | 758.1 | 3.1242 | 3.1306 | 0.0064 | 17444.1 | 17445.1 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.7 | 89 |
| 26-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 297.1 | 760.9 | 3.1089 | 3.1145 | 0.0056 | 17445.1 | 17446.1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 78 |
| 30-Apr-12 | 10:40 | Cloudy | 302.7 | 757.7 | 3.3026 | 3.3097 | 0.0071 | 17470.1 | 17471.1 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.3 | 100 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 78 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 229 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 147 |

Station CAM2

Heng Hing Printing Centre

| Date | Sampling Time | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure (Pa) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min.) | | Av. flow (m ³ /min) | Total vol. (m ³) | Conc. (µg/m ³) |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 2-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Sunny | 294.7 | 765.6 | 3.2722 | 3.2796 | 0.0074 | 26512.2 | 26513.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.1 | 103 |
| 3-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 296.1 | 766.7 | 3.3058 | 3.3140 | 0.0082 | 26513.2 | 26514.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 114 |
| 5-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 294.1 | 763.9 | 3.2442 | 3.2523 | 0.0081 | 26514.2 | 26515.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.1 | 112 |
| 10-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 295.5 | 764.5 | 3.2158 | 3.2326 | 0.0168 | 26539.2 | 26540.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 233 |
| 12-Apr-12 | 10:00 | Sunny | 299.1 | 761.3 | 3.2126 | 3.2310 | 0.0184 | 26564.2 | 26565.2 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.4 | 258 |
| 13-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 297.7 | 761.7 | 3.2479 | 3.2572 | 0.0093 | 26565.2 | 26566.2 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.6 | 130 |
| 18-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 296.3 | 760.5 | 3.1395 | 3.1572 | 0.0177 | 26590.2 | 26591.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.7 | 247 |
| 19-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 295.7 | 760.6 | 3.1063 | 3.1218 | 0.0155 | 26591.2 | 26592.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.8 | 216 |
| 20-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 295.3 | 759.9 | 3.2979 | 3.3152 | 0.0173 | 26592.2 | 26593.2 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.8 | 241 |
| 24-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 301.3 | 757.1 | 3.0975 | 3.1049 | 0.0074 | 26617.2 | 26618.2 | 1.0 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 71.0 | 104 |
| 25-Apr-12 | 16:30 | Cloudy | 299.5 | 758.1 | 3.1648 | 3.1733 | 0.0085 | 26618.2 | 26619.2 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.3 | 119 |
| 26-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 297.1 | 760.9 | 3.0942 | 3.1015 | 0.0073 | 26619.2 | 26620.2 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.6 | 102 |
| 30-Apr-12 | 10:40 | Cloudy | 302.7 | 757.7 | 3.2782 | 3.2864 | 0.0082 | 26644.2 | 26645.2 | 1.0 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 70.9 | 116 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 102 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 258 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 161 |




Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Station CAM3

Talcon Industrial Ltd

| Date | Sampling Time | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure (Pa) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min.) | | Av. flow (m ³ /min) | Total vol. (m ³) | Conc. (µg/m ³) |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 2-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Sunny | 294.7 | 765.6 | 3.2845 | 3.2911 | 0.0066 | 19777.9 | 19778.9 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.3 | 91 |
| 3-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 296.1 | 766.7 | 3.2957 | 3.3020 | 0.0063 | 19778.9 | 19779.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.2 | 87 |
| 5-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 294.1 | 763.9 | 3.2463 | 3.2547 | 0.0084 | 19779.9 | 19780.9 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 72.3 | 116 |
| 10-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 295.5 | 764.5 | 3.1897 | 3.2046 | 0.0149 | 19804.9 | 19805.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.2 | 206 |
| 12-Apr-12 | 10:00 | Sunny | 299.1 | 761.3 | 3.1946 | 3.2142 | 0.0196 | 19829.9 | 19830.9 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.7 | 273 |
| 13-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 297.7 | 761.7 | 3.2529 | 3.2658 | 0.0129 | 19830.9 | 19831.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.8 | 180 |
| 18-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Sunny | 296.3 | 760.5 | 3.1271 | 3.1459 | 0.0188 | 19855.9 | 19856.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.9 | 261 |
| 19-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 295.7 | 760.6 | 3.1359 | 3.1540 | 0.0181 | 19856.9 | 19857.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 251 |
| 20-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 295.3 | 759.9 | 3.2857 | 3.2983 | 0.0126 | 19857.9 | 19858.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 175 |
| 24-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 301.3 | 757.1 | 3.1076 | 3.1164 | 0.0088 | 19882.9 | 19883.9 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.3 | 123 |
| 25-Apr-12 | 16:30 | Cloudy | 299.5 | 758.1 | 3.1084 | 3.1182 | 0.0098 | 19883.9 | 19884.9 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.5 | 137 |
| 26-Apr-12 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 297.1 | 760.9 | 3.0921 | 3.1000 | 0.0079 | 19884.9 | 19885.9 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 71.9 | 110 |
| 30-Apr-12 | 10:40 | Cloudy | 302.7 | 757.7 | 3.2745 | 3.2840 | 0.0095 | 19909.9 | 19910.9 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 71.1 | 134 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 87 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 273 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 165 |

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



| | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|--|
| Title Contract No. DE/2009/09 Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA10069 | |
| | Date Apr 12 | Appendix D | |

**APPENDIX E
24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Station CAM1 Government Staff Quarters

| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure (Pa) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min.) | | Av. flow (m ³ /min) | Total vol. (m ³) | Conc. (µg/m ³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 5-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 293.6 | 762.9 | 3.1074 | 3.2118 | 0.1044 | 17341.1 | 17365.1 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1739.6 | 60 |
| 11-Apr-12 | Sunny | 297.3 | 763.0 | 3.2159 | 3.4032 | 0.1873 | 17366.1 | 17390.1 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1730.3 | 108 |
| 17-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 293.4 | 761.1 | 3.2450 | 3.3144 | 0.0694 | 17392.1 | 17416.1 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1738.2 | 40 |
| 23-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 297.1 | 760.4 | 3.1095 | 3.1525 | 0.0430 | 17419.1 | 17443.1 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1728.3 | 25 |
| 27-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 295.4 | 758.7 | 3.1113 | 3.1722 | 0.0609 | 17446.1 | 17470.1 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1730.9 | 35 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 25 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 108 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 54 |




Station CAM2 Heng Hing Printing Centre

| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure (Pa) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min.) | | Av. flow (m ³ /min) | Total vol. (m ³) | Conc. (µg/m ³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 5-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 293.6 | 762.9 | 3.2018 | 3.3802 | 0.1784 | 26515.2 | 26539.2 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1731.0 | 103 |
| 11-Apr-12 | Sunny | 297.3 | 763.0 | 3.2246 | 3.4034 | 0.1788 | 26540.2 | 26564.2 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1721.2 | 104 |
| 17-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 293.4 | 761.1 | 3.1308 | 3.2356 | 0.1048 | 26566.2 | 26590.2 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1729.6 | 61 |
| 23-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 297.1 | 760.4 | 3.1600 | 3.2522 | 0.0922 | 26593.2 | 26617.2 | 24.0 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1719.1 | 54 |
| 27-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 295.4 | 758.7 | 3.0975 | 3.1732 | 0.0757 | 26620.2 | 26644.2 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1721.8 | 44 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 44 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 104 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 73 |

Station CAM3 Talcon Industrial Ltd

| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure (Pa) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m ³ /min.) | | Av. flow (m ³ /min) | Total vol. (m ³) | Conc. (µg/m ³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 5-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 293.6 | 762.9 | 3.2178 | 3.3797 | 0.1619 | 19780.9 | 19804.9 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1736.4 | 93 |
| 11-Apr-12 | Sunny | 297.3 | 763.0 | 3.2077 | 3.4092 | 0.2015 | 19805.9 | 19829.9 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1726.6 | 117 |
| 17-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 293.4 | 761.1 | 3.1253 | 3.1795 | 0.0542 | 19831.9 | 19855.9 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1735.0 | 31 |
| 23-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 297.1 | 760.4 | 3.1659 | 3.2446 | 0.0787 | 19858.9 | 19882.9 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1724.5 | 46 |
| 27-Apr-12 | Cloudy | 295.4 | 758.7 | 3.0790 | 3.1584 | 0.0794 | 19885.9 | 19909.9 | 24.0 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1727.3 | 46 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 31 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 117 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 67 |

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title
 Contract No. DE/2009/09
 Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B
 Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Impact Monitoring Results

Scale
 N.T.S
Date
 Apr 12

Project No.
 MA10069
Appendix
 E




**APPENDIX F
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results

| Location NM1 - Government Staff Quarters | | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Date | Time | Weather | dB (A) (30-min) | | |
| | | | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ |
| 2-Apr-12 | 11:00 | Sunny | 62.6 | 64.5 | 59.1 |
| 12-Apr-12 | 09:20 | Sunny | 67.4 | 69.8 | 65.3 |
| 19-Apr-12 | 10:15 | Cloudy | 55.6 | 56.2 | 54.4 |
| 26-Apr-12 | 09:30 | Cloudy | 55.6 | 56.6 | 54.3 |
| | | Average | 63.0 | 61.8 | 58.3 |
| | | Minimum | 55.6 | 56.2 | 54.3 |
| | | Maximum | 67.4 | 69.8 | 65.3 |

Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results



| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Title Contract No. DE/2009/09 Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA10069 | CINOTECH |
| | Date Apr 12 | Appendix F | |

APPENDIX G
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

APPENIDX G – SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Reporting Month: April 2012

- a) Exceedance Report for 1-hr TSP (NIL)**
- b) Exceedance Report for 24-hr TSP (NIL)**
- c) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise (NIL)**

**APPENDIX H
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY**

Contract No. DE/2009/09

Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for
Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 120402 |
| Date | 2 nd April 2012 (Monday) |
| Time | 9:15 – 10:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
|------------|---|------------------|
| 120402-R01 | <p>Part C - Water Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clear properly the stagnant water at FC11B and FC12B. <p>Part D - Air Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part E - Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part F - Waste / Chemical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part G - Permit / Licenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part H - Remark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120329), follow up action is needed to be reviewed in the following site inspection. | C8 |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|--------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 2 April 2012 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 2 April 2012 |

Contract No. DE/2009/09

Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for
Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B



Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 120413 |
| Date | 13 th April 2012 (Friday) |
| Time | 9:30 – 10:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
|------------|---|------------------|
| 120413-R01 | <p>Part C - Water Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part D - Air Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part E - Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part F - Waste / Chemical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">To clear the construction waste properly. <p>Part G - Permit / Licenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part H - Remark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No. 120402), all items has been rectified/improved by the Contractor. | F4ii |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|---------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 13 April 2012 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 13 April 2012 |

Contract No. DE/2009/09

Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for
Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B



Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Checklist Reference Number | 120419 |
| Date | 19 th April 2012 (Thursday) |
| Time | 9:30 – 10:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
|------------|--|------------------|
| 120419-R01 | <p>Part C - Water Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clear the stagnant rain water near site office.• <p>Part D - Air Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part E - Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part F - Waste / Chemical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part G - Permit / Licenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part H - Remark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120413), all items has been rectified/improved by the Contractor. | B8 |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|---------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 19 April 2012 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 19 April 2012 |

Contract No. DE/2009/09

Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for
Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B



Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 120427 |
| Date | 27 th April 2012 (Friday) |
| Time | 9:30 – 10:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |

| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
|----------|---|------------------|
| | <p>Part C - Water Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part D - Air Quality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part E - Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part F - Waste / Chemical Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part G - Permit / Licenses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Part H - Remark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection. <p>Others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120419), all items has been rectified/improved by the Contractor. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|---------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 27 April 2012 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 27 April 2012 |

**APPENDIX I
EVENT ACTION PLANS**

APPENDIX I (1) – Event Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring (Construction Phase)

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| ACTION LEVEL | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method. | 1. Notify Contractor. | 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IC(E) and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| LIMIT LEVEL | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor’s remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor’s working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor’s remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor’s remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

APPENDIX I (2) – Event Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring (Construction Phase)

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| <i>ACTION LEVEL</i> | 1. Notify IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | 1. Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| <i>LIMIT LEVEL</i> | 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor’s working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor’s remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor’s remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

**APPENDIX J
UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL
MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION
SCHEDULE**

**APPENDIX J – Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
(During Construction Phase)**

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Status |
|----------------------|--|--------|
| <i>Air Quality</i> | Dust mitigation measures stipulated in <i>the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation</i> shall be incorporated to control dust emission. Notice shall be given to authority prior to commencing of work | √ |
| <i>Noise</i> | Use of quiet PME | N/A |
| | Good Site Practice <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program; • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program; • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible; • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. | √ |
| <i>Water Quality</i> | The practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be adopted to minimize the potential water quality impacts from construction site runoff and various construction activities. The recommendation to install perimeter drains to collect site runoff and to properly treat the runoff by settlement tank/treatment system shall apply to all sites including those for mainlaying works. Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site runoff and the existing WSD saltwater intake at Tai Po. | √ |
| | A discharge licence needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site. The discharge quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies with all the standards listed in the TM. Reuse and recycling of the treated effluent can minimize water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent may include dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning. Monitoring of the discharge quality of treated effluent should be part of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme. Detailed effluent sampling programme for water quality control during construction phase should be submitted to EPD, AFCD and WSD for approval prior to commencement of the construction works. | √ |
| | The construction programme should be properly planned to minimize soil excavation, if any, in rainy seasons. This prevents soil erosion from exposed soil surfaces. Any exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission. In areas where a large amount of exposed soils exist, earth bunds or sand bags should be provided. Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets at all time. The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from any stream courses so as to avoid releasing materials into the water bodies. Final surfaces of earthworks should be compacted and protected by permanent work. It is suggested that haul roads should be paved with concrete and the temporary access roads are protected using crushed stone or gravel, wherever practicable. Wheel washing facilities should be provided at all site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles. | √ |
| | Good site practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on the construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from dropping into the nearby environment. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. | √ |

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Status |
|----------------|---|--------|
| | It is recommended to provide sufficient chemical toilets in the works areas. The toilet facilities should not be less than 30 m from any watercourse. A licensed waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. The construction workers can also make use of the existing toilet facilities within the TPSTW as necessary. | √ |
| | Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the project. Implementation of environmental audit on the construction site can provide an effective control of any malpractices and can achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site. | √ |
| | It is required to register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. | √ |
| | Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken with the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. | √ |
| | <p>Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport • Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes to avoid accidents. • Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. | √ |
| | Marine water quality monitoring should be carried out under emergency condition or during maintenance of the THEES tunnel to verify the findings of the water quality modelling. It is recommended that the maintenance of the THEES tunnel, if unavoidable, should be conducted during winter season or low flow periods and to avoid the “blooming” season of algae (normally from April to June) if practicable. Details of the monitoring requirements are specified in the EM&A Manual. | N/A |

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Status |
|-------------------------|--|--------|
| Waste Management | <p>Good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site. • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. • Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal. • Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. • Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. • Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. • A Waste Management Plan shall be prepared and this WMP shall be submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 for details. • In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at landfills and public filling areas, and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system shall be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. One may make reference to WBTC No. 21/2002 for details. • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) shall be proposed. | √ |
| | <p>Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. • To encourage collection of aluminum cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins shall be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force. • Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. • Maximize the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material. • Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. • Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. • Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. • Minimize over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering | √ |
| | <p><i>General Refuse</i></p> <p>General refuse shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A reputable waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.</p> | √ |
| | <p><i>Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material</i></p> <p>C&D material generated from the site formation and demolition works shall be sorted on-site into inert C&D material (i.e. public fill) and C&D waste. In order to minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated material comprising fill material shall be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. C&D waste, such as wood, plastic, steel and other metals shall be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed of to landfill. A suitable area shall be designated within the site for temporary stockpiling of C&D material and to facilitate the sorting process.</p> | √ |

| Type of Impact | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Status |
|----------------|--|--------|
| | <p><i>Bentonite Slurry</i> Bentonite slurries used in construction works should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Residual used bentonite slurry should be disposed of from the site as soon as possible. The Contractor should explore alternative disposal outlets for the residual used bentonite slurry and disposal at landfill should be the last resort.</p> | N/A |

Note:

- √ – Compliance of mitigation measures
- X – Non-compliance of mitigation measures
- N/A – Not applicable

**APPENDIX K
WASTE GENERATION IN THE
REPORTING MONTH**

Name of Department: Drainage Services Department

Contract No. : DE/2009/09

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for (2012)

| Month | Annual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Annual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemicals Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in tonne) |
| Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.9 |
| Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 6.4 |
| Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 |
| May | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 11.6 |
| July | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sept | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contractor | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemicals Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in tonne) |
| 0 | Nil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 10 | 500 |

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 1.40.8(14).
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
 - (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works is equal to or exceeding 50,000 m³. (PS Clause 1.40.7(4)(b) refers).

**APPENDIX L
COMPLAINT LOG**


APPENDIX L – COMPLAINT LOG**Reporting Month:** April 2012

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


Remarks: No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.

APPENDIX M
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME


Section I of the Works




Section III Works Programme




Section III Works Programme



Section V Works Programme



Section V Works Programme



Section V Works Programme

