# **Jardine Engineering Corporation Limited**

Contract No. DE/2009/09 Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

# Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report for May 2012

(Version 2.0)

Certified By

(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

# CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388

Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Pa Pa	ıge
EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Intr	oduction	1
Env	vironmental Monitoring and Audit Works	1
Env	vironmental Licenses and Permits	1
	y Information in the Reporting Month	
Fut	ure Key Issues	2
1	INTRODUCTION	3
Bac	ekground	3
	nstruction Programme	
	nmary of EM&A Requirements	
2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	6
Μο	nitoring Requirements	
	nitoring Locations	
	nitoring Equipment	
	nitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	
	nitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure	
Res	sults and Observations	8
3	NOISE MONITORING	10
Mo	nitoring Requirements	10
Mo	nitoring Locations	10
	nitoring Equipment	
	nitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	
	nitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures	
Res	sults and Observations	12
4	ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT	13
	Audits	
	view of Environmental Monitoring Procedures	
	tus of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	
	tus of Waste Management	
	plementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	
	plementation Status of Event Action Plans	
	nmary of Complaint and Prosecution	
5	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	
_		
Cot	nitoring Schedule for the Next Month	16
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
-		
	nelusions	
Kec	commendations	1/

# LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month
Table II	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 2.1	Locations for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.3	Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month
Table 3.1	Location of Noise Monitoring Station
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month
Table 4.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 4.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

# LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1.1 Site Layout Plan
Figure 1.2 Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Action and Limit Levels
Appendix B	Copies of Calibration Certificates
Appendix C	Environmental Monitoring Schedules
Appendix D	1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix E	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix F	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix G	Summary of Exceedance
Appendix H	Site Audit Summary
Appendix I	Event Action Plans
Appendix J	Updated Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
Appendix K	Waste Generation in the Reporting Month
Appendix L	Complaint Log
Appendix M	Construction Programme

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Introduction

- 1. This is the 11<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for DSD Contract no. DE/2009/09 "Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B". This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted in May 2012.
- 2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
  - Installation of scraper assemblies for FC11B and FC12B;
  - Trial running for the bridge of FC No. 12B;
  - Cabling and lighting installation for FC No. 11B & 12B;
  - Electrical load diversion to the temporary panels at CBC basement;
  - Load diversion of RAS MCC1 and withdrawal of existing cable CA-14;
  - Setup of temporary genset at Inlet Works G/F;
  - Setup of working platform for fabrication of bio-gas holder on site;
  - Installation of penstocks at Flow Distribution Chamber No. 2;
  - Site survey at the screw pump chamber of inlet works; and
  - Dismantling Air Blower No. 5 at CBC G/F.

# **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works**

- 3. Environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project were performed regularly as stipulated in the Final EM&A Manual and the results were checked and reviewed. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
- 4. Summary of the events and action taken in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table I**.

 Table I
 Summary Table for Events Recorded in the Reporting Month

Davamatav	No. of Ex	ceedance	No. of Events	Astion Tokon
Parameter	<b>Action Level</b>	Limit Level	Due to this Project	Action Taken
1-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A
24-hr TSP	0	0	0	N/A
Noise	0	0	0	N/A

### **Environmental Licenses and Permits**

5. Environmental related licenses/permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project.

# **Key Information in the Reporting Month**

6. Summary of key information in this reporting month is tabulated in **Table II**.

 Table II
 Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	<b>Event Details</b>		Action Taken	Status	Remark	
Event	Number	Nature	Action Taken	Status	Kemark	
Complaint received	0		N/A	N/A		
Changes to the assumptions and key construction / operation activities recorded	0		N/A	N/A		
Status of submissions under EP	1	Monthly EM&A Report (April 2012)	Submitted to EPD on 21 <sup>st</sup> May (EP condition 6.6)	N/A		
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions	0		N/A	N/A		

# **Future Key Issues**

- 7. Major site activities for the coming two months will include:
  - Trial running for the bridge of FC No. 11B;
  - Screening for the bottoms of FC No. 11 & 12;
  - Installation of new MCC4 at Chemical House;
  - Load diversion to the temporary genset at Inlet Works G/F;
  - Fabrication of bio-gas holder on site;
  - Installation of penstocks at Flow Distribution Chamber No. 2;
  - Site survey at the screw pump chamber of inlet works;
  - Dismantling Air Blower No. 5 at CBC G/F; and
  - Dismantling existing waste bio-gas burner at Stage IV.
- 8. The future environmental concerns are air quality, noise impacts and waste management from construction works.

Monthly EM&A Report – May 2012

### 1 INTRODUCTION


# **Background**

- 1.1 Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works (TPSTW) is located within the Tai Po Industrial Estate. It currently comprises four Stages: I, II, IVA and IVB works. The TPSTW Stage V aims to upgrade the existing STW to provide additional sewage treatment capacity from the present design flow of 88,000 m³/day to 130,000 m³/day to meet the demands of both the existing and future developments, and to meet the revised discharge license requirements.
- 1.2 The TPSTW Stage V, Phase I and Phase II are Designated Projects under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 449) with the same EIAO Register No. AEIAR 081/2004. A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to evaluate various environmental impacts associated with the works within these two Designed Projects. An EIA Report as well as an Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual were approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 28 October 2004.
- 1.3 The Stage V works will be implemented in 2 phases. The design capacities of Phase I and Phase II works are 100,000 m³/d and 130,000 m³/d respectively. An Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-265/2007 was issued on 22 March 2007 for the TPSTW Stage V Phase II to the Drainage Services Department (DSD) as the Permit Holder. The project "Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage V Phase IIB" formed part of the Phase II works, includes additional secondary treatment process units (1 primary clarifier; 3 bioreactors and 2 final clarifiers) in TPSTW for its future extended plant design capacity of 120,000 m³/day. A master construction programme of the Project is provided in **Appendix M**. A site layout plan is provided in **Figure 1.1**. The construction activities of the Project commenced on 16 May 2011.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Ltd. was commissioned by the Contractor as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the EM&A works for the Project. Dr. Priscilla CHOY of Cinotech Consultants Ltd. was appointed as the ET Leader as per the Condition 2.1 of the EP. Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited. was appointed as the IEC under Condition 2.2 of the EP. This is the 11<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project in May 2012.

# **Project Organizations**

- 1.5 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
  - Project Proponent / Engineer's Representative (ER) Drainage Services Department
  - Environmental Team (ET) Cinotech Consultants Ltd.
  - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited
  - Contractor Jardine Engineering Corporation Ltd.
- 1.6 The responsibilities of respective parties are detailed in Section 1.10 of the Final EM&A Manual of the Project.

# 1.7 The Project Organization during Construction Phase



1.8 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

**Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts** 

Party	Role	Name Position		Phone No.	Fax No.	
DSD	E&M Branch	Mr. TONG Sau Kit	Senior Engineer	2594 7304	2827 8532	
שאט	EXIVI DIANCII	Mr. TSE Ho	Engineer	2660 7638	2021 0332	
		Dr. Priscilla CHOY	ET Leader	2151 2089		
Cinotech	Environmental Team	Mr. Johnny FUNG	Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader	2151 2078	3107 1388	
		Mr. Henry LEUNG	Monitoring Team Leader	2151 2087		
Arrya	Independent Environmental	Mr. Coleman NG	Independent Environmental Checker	2268 3097	2865 6493	
Arup	Checker	Mr. Lawrence KAN	Assistant to Independent Environmental Checker	2268 3212	2803 0493	
	E&M	Mr. Alex LAW	Project Manager	9312 8659		
JEC	Contractor	Mr. Dexter CHAN	Site Agent	6391 2499	2887 9090	
	Contractor	Mr. Brendan CHAN	Environmental Officer	6393 2904		

# **Construction Programme**

- 1.9 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month were:
  - Installation of scraper assemblies for FC11B and FC12B;
  - Trial running for the bridge of FC No. 12B;
  - Cabling and lighting installation for FC No. 11B & 12B;
  - Electrical load diversion to the temporary panels at CBC basement;
  - Load diversion of RAS MCC1 and withdrawal of existing cable CA-14;
  - Setup of temporary genset at Inlet Works G/F;
  - Setup of working platform for fabrication of bio-gas holder on site;
  - Installation of penstocks at Flow Distribution Chamber No. 2;
  - Site survey at the screw pump chamber of inlet works; and
  - Dismantling Air Blower No. 5 at CBC G/F.

# **Summary of EM&A Requirements**

- 1.10 The EM&A programme requires construction phase air quality and noise monitoring as well as environmental site audits. The EM&A requirements are described in the following sections, including:
  - All monitoring parameters;
  - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
  - Event / Action Plans;
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA study final report; and
  - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 1.11 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 5 of this report.
- 1.12 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely air quality and noise as well as audit works for the Project in the reporting month.

# 2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

# **Monitoring Requirements**

- 2.1 Monitoring of 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) was conducted to monitor the air quality during construction phase. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 2.2 In accordance with Section 2.30 of the EM&A Manual, a baseline checking of ambient TSP levels shall be carried out every six months at each monitoring station, when no dusty works activities are in operation. The number and location of monitoring stations and parameters shall be reviewed by ET Leader every three months according to section 8.8 of EM&A Manual.

# **Monitoring Locations**

2.3 Impact air quality monitoring was conducted at the 3 monitoring stations, as shown in **Figure 1.2**. **Table 2.1** describes the locations of the air quality monitoring stations.

**Table 2.1** Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Stations Description		<b>Location of Measurement</b>
CAM1	Government Staff Quarters	Rooftop
CAM2	Hung Hing Printing Centre	On the site boundary just next to the Hung Hing Printing Centre
CAM3	Talcon Industrial Ltd.	On the site boundary just next to Talcon Industrial Ltd.

# **Monitoring Equipment**

2.4 **Table 2.2** summarizes the equipment used for the air quality monitoring.

**Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment** 

Equipment	Model and Make	Qty.
HVS	Graseby GMW 2310 HVS, Model GS-2310105-1, Serial no. 10239 and 0810	2
nvs	Tisch Environmental, Inc.; Model no. TE-5170, Serial no. 1704	1
Calibrator	Thermo Andersen.; Model no. G25A Serial no. 1536	1

# **Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

2.5 **Table 2.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period.

 Table 2.3
 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

<b>Monitoring Stations</b>	Parameter	Duration	Period	Frequency
CAM1, CAM2 and CAM3	1-hour TSP	1 hour	During daytime period	3 times / 6-day
	24-hour TSP	24 hours	24 hours	Once / 6-day

# Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

#### Instrumentation

2.6 High Volume Samplers (HVS) connected with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for air quality monitoring. Each sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

#### **HVS** Installation

- 2.7 The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:
  - Sufficient support was provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
  - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
  - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
  - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
  - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
  - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
  - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
  - The samplers were more than 20 meters from the drip line.
  - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

# Filters Preparation

- 2.8 Fiberglass filters were used which have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 µm diameter. A HOKLAS accredited laboratory, Wellab Ltd., was responsible for the preparation of pre-weighed filter papers for Cinotech's monitoring team.
- 2.9 All filters, which were prepared by Wellab Ltd., were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than  $\pm 3$  °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than  $\pm 5$ %. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- 2.10 Wellab Ltd. has a comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

# Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 2.11 Operating/analytical procedures for the TSP monitoring were highlighted as follows:
  - Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the HVS was properly set (between 1.1 and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard.
  - The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
  - On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the air quality monitoring station.
  - The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
  - The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts to avoid air leakage at the edges.
  - The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
  - The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
  - The flow rate of the HVS sampler would be verified to be constant and recorded on the data sheet after sampling.
  - After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the Wellab Ltd. for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
  - Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%. Weighing results were returned to Cinotech for further analysis of TSP concentrations collected by each filter.

### Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.12 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
  - The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
  - Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.
  - The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually.

### **Results and Observations**

- 2.13 In the reporting month, 1-hr TSP monitoring was carried out as schedule at each designated monitoring station on 13 occasions. 24-hr TSP monitoring was carried out as scheduled at each designated monitoring station on 5 occasions. The monitoring schedule was updated and is shown in **Appendix C**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly sunny, cloudy and rainy.
- 2.14 All measured 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels were below the Action/Limit Levels. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

2.15 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices D** and **E**, respectively.

Table 2.4 Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month

Paramete r	Minimum μg/m³	Maximum μg/m³	Average μg/m³	Action Level, μg/m³	Limit Level, μg/m³
1-hr TSP (CAM1)	32	257	132	315	500
24-hr TSP (CAM1)	25	56	43	171	260
1-hr TSP (CAM2)	61	234	158	336	500
24-hr TSP (CAM2)	51	82	61	177	260
1-hr TSP (CAM3)	72	221	151	344	500
24-hr TSP (CAM3)	44	88	61	192	260

2.16 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

Station	Major Pollution Source
CAM1 – Government Staff Quarters	Road Traffic Dust
CAM2 – Hung Hing Printing Centre	Road Traffic Dust and Excavation
CAM3 – Talcon Industrial Ltd.	Road Traffic Dust and Excavation

#### 3 NOISE MONITORING

# **Monitoring Requirements**

- 3.1 Noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.
- 3.2 The number and location of monitoring stations and parameters shall be reviewed by ET Leader every three months according to section 8.8 of EM&A Manual.

# **Monitoring Locations**

3.3 Noise monitoring was conducted at one designated monitoring station as presented in **Table 3.1**. **Figure 1.2** shows the locations of the monitoring station.

**Table 3.1** Location of Noise Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station Description		<b>Location of Measurement</b>
NM1	Government Staff Quarters	The corridor at the first floor.

# **Monitoring Equipment**

3.4 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

**Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment** 

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
Integrating Sound Level Meter	SVAN 955 (Serial No. 14303)	1
Calibrator	B&K (Serial No. 2412367)	1
Wind Speed Anemometer	Vane Anemometer, Model AZ8904 (Serial no. 974835)	1

# Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.5 **Table 3.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring.

**Table 3.3** Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Station	Parameter	Period	Frequency
NM1	$L_{eq}(30 \text{ min.})$ ( $L_{10}$ and $L_{90}$ were also recorded as supplementary information)	0700-1900 hrs. on normal weekdays	Once a week

3.6 If construction works are extended to include works during the hours of 1900 - 0700, additional weekly impact monitoring would be carried out during evening and night-time works. Applicable permits under NCO have been obtained by the Contractor. The details of the Construction Noise Permit can be referred to **Table 5.1**.

Monthly EM&A Report – May 2012

# Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

# Field Monitoring

- 3.7 The monitoring procedures are as follows:
  - The microphone head of the sound level meter was positioned 1m exterior of the noise sensitive facade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
  - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
  - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

- frequency weighting : A- time weighting : Fast

- measurement time : 30 minutes

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed at the monitoring station was checked with the portable wind meter. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

## Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.8 Maintenance and Calibration procedures were as follows:
  - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
  - The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.

Monthly EM&A Report – May 2012

#### **Results and Observations**

- 3.9 In the reporting month, noise monitoring during non-restricted hours was conducted as scheduled at the designated location on 5 occasions. As advised by the Contractor, no construction activities will be undertaken during restricted hours as such noise monitoring during restricted hours was omitted. The noise monitoring schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 3.10 The details of the monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix F**. The weather during the monitoring sessions was mainly sunny and fine.
- 3.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance for construction noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.

Table 3.4 Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month

Parameter	Minimum Leq(30min) dB(A)	Maximum Leq(30min) dB(A)	Average Leq(30min) dB (A)	Action Level	Limit Level
NM1	55.4	60.7	59.0	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)

3.12 According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

Station	Major Noise Source
NM1 – Government Staff Quarters	Road Traffic
	Construction of Main Site

# 4 ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

#### **Site Audits**

- 4.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix H**.
- 4.2 Site audits were conducted on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>th</sup> May 2012 by ET. A joint site audit with the representative with IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 11<sup>th</sup> May 2012. No site inspection was conducted by EPD during the reporting month. The details of observations during site audit can refer to **Table 4.2**.

# **Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures**

4.3 The monitoring works conducted by the monitoring team were inspected regularly. The following observations have been recorded for the monitoring works:

# Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations within and outside the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and weather conditions on the monitoring days.

# Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the monitoring result.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded. Other intrusive noise attributing to the result was trimmed off by pausing the monitoring temporarily.

# Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

4.4 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

 Table 4.1
 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Permit / License No.	Valid Period		- Details	Status
Permit / License No.	From	To	Details	Status
<b>Environmental Permi</b>				
EP-265/2007	22/3/2007	N/A	Expansion and upgrading of existing Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works from 100,000 m³/day to 130,000 m³/day: (a) additional secondary treatment process units(1 primary clarified; 3 bioreactors and 2 final clarifiers); (b) reconstruction of 4 existing final clarified; (c) provision of ultraviolet disinfection facilities; (d) additional sludge treatment facilities; and (e) ancillary works to existing treatment facilities.	Valid
<b>Registration of Chem</b>	ical Waste Pi	roducer		
5517-727-T327-01		N/A	Major chemical waste types: Spent lubricating oil, spend hydraulic oil, spend cooling oil, surplus paint, spent alkaline electrolyte, spent battery and battery parts containing heavy metals, scrap battery cell containing heavy metals, Nickel and its compounds, spent flammable liquid, spent copper etchant (Ferric chloride), Sodium hypochlorite, polymer, electric and torch bulbs and tubes, alkaline cleaner (spent alkaline solution)	

# **Status of Waste Management**

4.5 The Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials generated in the reporting month were mainly inert C&D waste and C&D waste. The quantities of waste generated in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix K**. No chemical waste was generated in the reporting month.

# **Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures**

4.6 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit and the EM&A Manual of the Project, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are recommended to be implemented during the construction phase. An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix J**.

4.7 During site inspections in the reporting month, no observation and non-conformance were identified. In addition, there was no major environmental deficiency being identified on 24<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> May 2012. The observations and recommendations made during the audit sessions are summarized in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
	3 May 2012	Reminder: - Clear the stagnant water in FC12B.	The situation was observed rectified in audit session 120524.
Water Quality	11 May 2012	Reminder: - Clear properly the stagnant water in FC11B and FC12B.	The situation was observed rectified in audit session 120524.
	17 May 2012	Reminder: -Clear the stagnant water in FC11B.	The situation was observed rectified in audit session 120524.

# **Summary of Exceedances**

4.8 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting month. Summary of exceedance is provided in **Appendix G**.

# **Implementation Status of Event Action Plans**

4.9 The Event Action Plans for air quality and construction noise monitoring are presented in **Appendix I**. No exceedance was recorded and thus no action was required to be implemented.

# **Summary of Complaint and Prosecution**

- 4.10 No environmental related complaint, prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month.
- 4.11 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received since the Project commencement. The Complaint Log is attached in **Appendix** L.

### 5 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- 5.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
  - Effluent discharge generated from surface runoff;
  - Dust generated from excavation works, backfilling works and stockpile of dusty materials;
  - Maintenance of de-silting facilities and drainage system, such as U-channels;
  - Accumulation of stagnant water in the site areas; and
  - Accumulation of C&D waste and general waste on site.

# **Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month**

5.2 The tentative environmental monitoring schedule for the next month is shown in **Appendix C**.

# **Construction Program for the Next Month**

- 5.3 A tentative construction programme is provided in **Appendix M**. The major construction activities in the coming month will include:
  - Trial running for the bridge of FC No. 11B;
  - Screening for the bottoms of FC No. 11 & 12;
  - Installation of new MCC4 at Chemical House;
  - Load diversion to the temporary genset at Inlet Works G/F;
  - Fabrication of bio-gas holder on site;
  - Installation of penstocks at Flow Distribution Chamber No. 2;
  - Site survey at the screw pump chamber of inlet works;
  - Dismantling Air Blower No. 5 at CBC G/F; and
  - Dismantling existing waste bio-gas burner at Stage IV.

# 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Conclusions**

- 6.1 Environmental monitoring and audit works were conducted in the reporting month. Site inspections were conducted on a weekly basis. The results were reviewed and checked.
- 6.2 No exceedance of monitoring results was recorded in the reporting month.
- 6.3 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received.

#### Recommendations

6.4 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

# Water Impact

• To avoid accumulation of stagnant water on site.


# **Dust Impact**


- To remove fugitive dusty material on the haul road periodically.
- To spray with water on dry dust haul road.

# Waste / Chemical Management

- To provide proper rubbish bins / skips for waste collection.
- To avoid and check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- Provide drip tray with adequate capacity and maintain well for equipment and chemical waste.

# **FIGURES**





# APPENDIX A ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

# **APPENDIX A – Action and Limit Levels**

# 1-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Limit Level, μg/m <sup>3</sup>
CAM1	315	
CAM2	336	500
CAM3	344	

# 24-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Limit Level, μg/m <sup>3</sup>
CAM1	171	
CAM2	177	260
CAM3	192	

# **Construction Noise**

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays		75 dB(A)
0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70* dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day	1	55* dB(A)

## Notes:

<sup>\*</sup> The Area Sensitivity Rating for Station NM1 is taken as C, due to the nearby industrial area, according to Table 1 of EPD's Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling.

# APPENDIX B COPIES OF CALIBRATION CERTIFCATES

# CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/37/0043 Station CAM1 - Government Staff Quarter WKOperator: Date: 14-Mar-12 Next Due Date: 13-May-12 Equipment No.: A-01-37 Serial No. 1704 Ambient Condition Temperature, Ta (K) 290.2 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) Orifice Transfer Standard Information A-04-01 Equipment No.: Slope, mc 0.0568 Intercept, be -0.0432 mc x Qstd + bc =  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Qstd =  $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta) \}^{1/2} - bc \} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 8-Oct-12 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration  $\Delta W$ ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM)  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ Point [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]1/2 in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 11.7 3.48 1 62.03 8,4 2.95 9.8 3.18 56.83 6.6 2.61 7.6 3 2.80 50.14 5.2 2.32 4 5.1 2.30 41.21 3.2 1.82 3.3 1.85 33.30 2.0 1.44 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0521 -0.3088 Intercept, bw :\_\_\_\_ Correlation coefficient\* = 0.9990 \*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. **Set Point Calculation** From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw =  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point;  $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks: Signature: Signature:



File No. MA0010/37/0044 Station CAM1 - Government Staff Quarter Operator: WK Date: 11-May-12 Next Due Date: 10-Jul-12 Equipment No.: A-01-37 Serial No. 1704 **Ambient Condition** Temperature, Ta (K) 298.1 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 759.6 Orifice Transfer Standard Information 0.0568 Equipment No.: Slope, mc Intercept, be -0.0432 A-04-01 mc x Qstd + bc =  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Qstd =  $\{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ **Next Calibration Date:** 8-Oct-12 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM)  $\Delta W$ Point [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]<sup>1/2</sup> in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 11.9 61.47 1 3.45 8.3 2.88 2 9.8 6.7 3.13 55.85 2.59 3 7.7 2.77 49.59 5.3 2.30 4 5.2 2.28 40.89 3.2 1.79 5 3.3 1.82 32.73 2.0 1.41 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0516 Intercept, bw : -0.2872 Correlation coefficient\* = \*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate, Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw =  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point;  $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ Remarks: Musi Conducted by: Lyk, Jang Signature: Signature: Date:

# CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/A40/0043 Station CAM2 - Hung Hing Printing Centre WK Operator: Date: 14-Mar-12 Next Due Date: 13-May-12 Equipment No.: A-01-40 Serial No. 10239 Ambient Condition 290.2 Temperature, Ta (K) Pressure, Pa (mmHg) Orifice Transfer Standard Information Equipment No.: A-04-01 Stope, mc 0.0568 Intercept, be -0.0432 mc x Qstd + bc =  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Next Calibration Date: 8-Oct-12 Qstd =  $\{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM)  $\Delta W$  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$ Point [ΔH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]1/2 in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 11.8 ì 3.49 62.29 8.0 2.88 2 9.6 3.15 56.26 6.5 2.59 3 7.5 2.79 49.81 2.32 5.2 4 5.2 2.32 41.60 3.3 1.85 5 3.2 1.82 32.80 2.0 1.44 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0493Intercept, bw : -0.1789 Correlation coefficient\* = \*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw =  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point;  $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.64 Remarks: Date: Signature: Date:

# CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/A40/0044 Station CAM2 - Hung Hing Printing Centre Operator: WKDate: 11-May-12 Next Due Date: \_\_\_\_\_ 10-Jul-12 Equipment No.: A-01-40 Serial No. 10239 Ambient Condition Temperature, Ta (K) 298.1 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 759.6 Orifice Transfer Standard Information A-04-01 0.0568 Equipment No.: Slope, mc Intercept, bc -0.0432 mc x Qstd + bc =  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Qstd =  $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta) \}^{1/2} - bc \} / mc$ Next Calibration Date: 8-Oct-12 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM)  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} \text{ Y-}$  $\Delta W$ **Point**  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/\Gamma a)]^{1/2}$ in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 1 11.7 60.96 3.42 8.1 2.84 2 9.8 6.6 2.57 3.13 55.85 7.4 2.72 48.63 5.1 2.26 4 5.1 2.26 40.50 1.79 3.2 5 3.3 1.82 32.73 1.9 1.38 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope , mw = \_\_\_\_\_0.0518 Intercept, bw: -0.3053 Correlation coefficient\* = \*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw =  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/\Gamma a)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point; W =  $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.70 Remarks: Date:

# CINOTECH

Date:   14-Mar-12							File No.	MA0010/35/0043
Equipment No.: A-01-35	Station		Industrial Ltd	-	Operator	:WI	ζ	
Calibration   Orifice   Transfer Standard Information   Foundation   Date:   Ambient Condition   Temperature, Ta (K)   290.2   Pressure, Pa (mmHg)   766				-	Next Due Date	:13-Ma	y-12	
Temperature, Ta (K)   290.2   Pressure, Pa (munHg)   766	Equipment No.:	A-01-35			Serial No	0810	0	
Temperature, Ta (K)   290.2   Pressure, Pa (mmHg)   766				Ambiant	Condition			
Calibration   Date:   A-04-01   Slope, me   0.0568   Intercept, be   -0.0432	Temperatu	re Ta (K)	290.2			1		
Equipment No.:   A-04-01   Slope, mc   0.0568   Intercept, bc   -0.0432		10, 14 (11)	270.2	Tiessuie, Fa	а (пишту)		766	
Equipment No.:   A-04-01   Slope, me   0.0568   Intercept, be   -0.0432			Or	ifice Transfer St	andard Inform	nation		त्र हुन्द्र स्थापन स्थापन
Last Calibration Date:   9-Oct-11     mc x Qstd + bc = [AH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2}   Next Calibration Date:   8-Oct-12   Qstd = {[AH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc} / mc	Equipme	ent No.:					ot. bc	-0.0432
Next Calibration Date:   8-Oct-12   Qstd = {[AH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc} / mc	Last Calibra	ntion Date:	9-Oct-11					
Calibration of TSP Sampler	Next Calibra	ation Date:	8-Oct-12					
Calibration Point   AH (orifice), in. of water   [\( \text{LALK} \) (\( \text{Pa/760} \) \( Pa/760						( ) (		
AH (orifice)   In. of water   In.				Calibration of	TSP Sampler			
Point   AH (orifice)   (AH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta))	Calibration		Orf	ice			HVS	
1 11.4 3.43 61.23 7.8 2.84  2 9.9 3.20 57.12 6.7 2.63  3 7.5 2.79 49.81 5.1 2.30  4 5.2 2.32 41.60 3.2 1.82  5 3.0 1.76 31.78 1.9 1.40  By Linear Regression of Y on X  Slope, mw = 0.0495 Intercept, bw: -0.1940  Correlation coefficient* = 0.9988  *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.  Set Point Calculation  From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM  From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to  mw x Qstd + bw = [ΔW x (Pa/760) x (298/Γa)] <sup>1/2</sup> Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.62  Remarks:  Conducted by: ΔK, Take Signature: Δωω Date: [Ψ[3]]ν			[ΔH x (Pa/760	)) x (298/Ta)] <sup>1/2</sup>			[ΔW x (Pa/76	
2 9.9 3.20 57.12 6.7 2.63  3 7.5 2.79 49.81 5.1 2.30  4 5.2 2.32 41.60 3.2 1.82  5 3.0 1.76 31.78 1.9 1.40  By Linear Regression of Y on X  Slope, mw =0.0495	1	11.4	3	.43	61.23			2.84
3 7.5 2.79 49.81 5.1 2.30 4 5.2 2.32 41.60 3.2 1.82 5 3.0 1.76 31.78 1.9 1.40  By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0495 Intercept, bw:	2	9.9	3.	.20	57.12	6.7		
1.82   1.82   1.82   1.40	3	7.5	2.	.79	49.81	5.1		
Set Point Calculation  From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to  mw x Qstd + bw =  ΔW x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)  <sup>1/2</sup> Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x (Ta/298) = 3.62  Semarks:	4	5.2	2.	32	41.60			
By Linear Regression of Y on X  Slope, mw =	5	3.0	1.	76				
Set Point Calculation  From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM  From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to  mw x Qstd + bw = [\Delta W x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2}  Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw)^2 x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.62  Semarks:  Conducted by: \( \text{Vk. Tang} \) Signature: \( \text{Wad} \) \( \text{Date:} \) \( \text{Indiagonal Part of the Point Calculation} \)	Slope, mw = Correlation co	0.0495 efficient* =	0.99	88	intercept, bw	-0.194	0	
From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM  From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to  mw x Qstd + bw = [\Delta W x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]^{1/2}  Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw)^2 x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.62  Remarks:  Conducted by: \textstyle						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.62  Semarks:  Conducted by: W. Tang Signature: Man Date: 1413   12	Page May TOD Pt.	11012 6 0			alculation	-		
Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) = 3.62$ Remarks:  Conducted by: W. Tang Signature:  Checked by: A Signatur								
Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.62  Semarks:  Conducted by: W. Tang Signature: Wai Date: 14/3   v	rom the Regressi	on Equation, the	"Y" value accord	ling to				
Therefore, Set Point; W = (mw x Qstd + bw) <sup>2</sup> x (760 / Pa) x (Ta / 298) = 3.62  Semarks:  Conducted by: W. Tang Signature: Wai Date: 14/3   v			mw x Qs	$std + bw =  \Delta W x$	(Pa/760) x (29	98/Ta)j <sup>1/2</sup>		
Conducted by: W. Jang Signature:   Wai   Date: 14/3   12								
Conducted by: WK. Tang Signature:   Kwai Date: 1413   12	Therefore, Set	Point; $W = (mw)$	$7 \times \text{Qstd} + \text{bw})^2 \times $	(760 / Pa)x(T	a / 298 ) =	3.62		
Conducted by: WK. Tang Signature:   Kwai Date: 1413   12								
Conducted by: WK. Tang Signature:   Kwai   Date: 1413   12								
Conducted by: WK. Tang Signature:   Kwai   Date: 1413   12	) amartea.							
Cheeked by:								
Cheatrod by:								
Cheatrod by:	Conducted by: 1.	K 7000	Sionature:	King			S. (	aush.
Checked by: Signature: Date: (V. (4).	Checked by:		Signature:	10000			-1/	715112

# CINOTECH

File No. MA0010/35/0044 Station CAM3 - Talcon Industrial Ltd Operator: WK Date: 11-May-12 Next Due Date: 10-Jul-12 Equipment No.: A-01-35 Serial No. 0810 Ambient Condition Temperature, Ta (K) 298.1 Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 759.6 Orifice Transfer Standard Information Equipment No.: A-04-01 0.0568 Intercept, be Slope, mc -0.0432 mc x Qstd + bc =  $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Last Calibration Date: 9-Oct-11 Next Calibration Date: Qstd =  $\{ [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc \} / mc$ 8-Oct-12 Calibration of TSP Sampler Orfice HVS Calibration ΔH (orifice), Qstd (CFM)  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} Y$  $\Delta W$ Point [AH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)]1/2 in. of water X - axis (HVS), in. of oil axis 1 11.3 3.36 59.92 7.9 2.81 9.8 3.13 55.85 6.7 2.59 3 7.3 2.70 48.31 5.1 2.26 4 5.1 2.26 40.50 3.1 1.76 3.2 1.79 32.24 1.9 1.38 By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope, mw = 0.0522 Intercept, bw -0.3157 Correlation coefficient\* = \*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. Set Point Calculation From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to mw x Qstd + bw =  $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Therefore, Set Point;  $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.73 Remarks: Date: Date:



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

# TEST RÉPORT

APPLICANT:

**Cinotech Consultants Limited** 

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/12/120501
Date of Issue: 2012-05-02
Date Received: 2012-05-01
Date Tested: 2012-05-01
Date Completed: 2012-05-02
Next Due Date: 2013-05-01

ATTN:

Mr. W.K Tang

Page:

1 of 1

# **Certificate of Calibration**

#### Item for calibration:

Description

: RS232 Integral Vane Digital Anemometer

Manufacturer

: AZ Instrument

Model No.

: AZ8904

Serial No.

: 974835

Equipment No.

: A-03-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature

: 23 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 67%

Pressure

: 101.2 kPa

## Methodology:

The anemometer has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

#### **Results:**

	Reference Set Point	Instrument Readings
Measuring Air Velocity, m/s	2.00	2.00
Temperature, °C	21.0	21.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrated or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076 Website: www.wellab.com.hk

# TEST REPORT

**Description** Calibration Orifice

Serial No. 1536 Model No.

Date

G25A

9 October 2011

Manufacturer

Thermo Andersen

Temperature, Ta (K) Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 298

762.3

Plate	Diff.Vol (m³)	Diff.Time (min)	Diff.Hg (mm)	Diff.H <sub>2</sub> O (in.)
1	1.00	1.3760	3.4	2.00
2	1.00	0.9740	6.4	4.00
_3	1.00	0.8730	7.9	5.00
4	1.00	0.8320	8.6	5.50
5	1.00	0.6890	12.8	8.00

# DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(X axis) Qstd	(Y axis)
0.9985	0.7257	1.4163
0.9946	1.0211	2.0030
0.9926	1.1370	2.2394
0.9917	1.1919	2.3487
0.9861	1.4313	2.8326

Y axis=  $SQRT[H_2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta)]$ 

Qstd Slope ( m ) = 2.00766Intercept (b) = -0.04318

Coefficient (r) = 0.99999

Va	(X axis) Qa	(Y axis)
0.9955	0.7235	0.8842
0.9916	1.0181	1.2505
0.9896	1.1336	1.3981
0.9887	1.1884	1.4664
0.9832	1.4270	1.7685

Y axis= SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]

Qa Slope ( m ) = 1.25716

intercept (b) = -0.02696

Coefficient (r) = 0.99999

# **CALCULATIONS**

Vstd=Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff.Hg)/760](298/Ta) Qstd=Vstd/Time Va=Diff.Vol[(Pa-Diff.Hg)/Pa] Qa=Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations: Qstd=I/m{[SQRT(H<sub>2</sub>O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))]-b} Qa=I/m{[SQRT H<sub>2</sub>O(Ta/Pa)]-b}

> PREPARED AND CHECKED BY: For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.


> > PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrat or tested.



# TEST REPORT



Y-axis equations:

Qstd series: SQRT[\(\triangle H(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))]

Qa series:  $SQRT[\Delta H(Ta/Pa)]$ 

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrat or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

# TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

**Cinotech Consultants Limited** 

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/100902/1
Date of Issue:	2011-09-03
Date Received:	2011-09-02
Date Tested:	2011-09-02
Date Completed:	2011-09-03
Next Due Date:	2012-09-02

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

1 of 1

# **Certificate of Calibration**

# Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SVAN 955

Serial No. Microphone No.

: 21139 : 43690

Equipment No.

: N-08-06

#### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 21 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 62%

# **Test Specifications:**

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

# Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

## Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrated or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

#### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/111010/2
Date of Issue:	2011-10-11
Date Received:	2011-10-10
Date Tested:	2011-10-10
Date Completed:	2011-10-11
Next Due Date:	2012-10-10

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

1 of 1

#### **Certificate of Calibration**

#### Item for calibration:

Description

: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK : SVAN 957

Model No. Serial No.

: 23851 : 48532

Microphone No. Equipment No.

: N-08-12

#### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 25 degree Celsius

**Relative Humidity** 

: 59%

#### **Test Specifications:**

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

#### Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

#### Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager



WELLAB LIMITED

Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

#### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

Cinotech Consultants Limited

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/N/111111/1
Date of Issue: 2011-11-14
Date Received: 2011-11-11
Date Tested: 2011-11-11

Date Completed:

2011-11-14

Next Due Date:

2011-11-14

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

1 of 1

#### Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: Brüel & Kjær

Model No.

: 4231

Serial No.

: 2326353

Project No.

: C13

Equipment No.

: N-02-01

#### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 21 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 65 %

#### Methodology:

The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

#### Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrated or tested.



WELLAB LIMITED Rms 816, 1516 & 1701, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shalin, N.T, Hong Kong. Tel: 2898 7388 Fax: 2898 7076

Website: www.wellab.com.hk

#### TEST REPORT

APPLICANT:

**Cinotech Consultants Limited** 

Room 1710, Technology Park,

18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/111008/1
Date of Issue:	2011-10-10
Date Received:	2011-10-08
Date Tested:	2011-10-08
Date Completed:	2011-10-10
Nevt Due Date	2012-10-09

ATTN:

Mr. Henry Leung

Page:

1 of 1

#### Item for calibration:

Description

: Acoustical Calibrator

Manufacturer

: SVANTEK

Model No.

: SV30A

Serial No.

: 24803

Equipment No.

: N-09-03

#### Test conditions:

Room Temperatre

: 22 degree Celsius

Relative Humidity

: 62%

#### Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

#### Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of WELLAB Ltd.

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from WELLAB LIMITED and the results relate only to the items calibrated or tested.

APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SCHEDULE

# Contract No. DE/2009/09 - Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for May 2012

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1-May	2-May	3-May	4-May	5-May
			1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise	
6-May	7-May	8-May	9-May	10-May	11-May	12-May
	1 hr TSP Noise		24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	1 hr TSP	
13-May	14-May	15-May	16-May	17-May	18-May	19-May
	1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP		1 hr TSP Noise	1 hr TSP	
20-May	21-May	22-May	23-May	24-May	25-May	26-May
	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP
27-May	28-May	29-May	30-May	31-May		
	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP			

# Contract No. DE/2009/09 - Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B Tentative Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule for June 2012

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
					1-Jun	2-Jun
					1 hr TSP Noise 24 hr TSP	
3-Jun	4-Jun	5-Jun	6-Jun	7-Jun	8-Jun	9-Jun
	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP Noise	
10-Jun	11-Jun	12-Jun	13-Jun	14-Jun	15-Jun	16-Jun
	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP		1 hr TSP Noise	
17-Jun	18-Jun	19-Jun	20-Jun	21-Jun	22-Jun	23-Jun
	1 hr TSP	24 hr TSP	1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP Noise	
24-Jun	25-Jun	26-Jun	27-Jun	28-Jun	29-Jun	30-Jun
	1 hr TSP 24 hr TSP		1 hr TSP		1 hr TSP Noise	24 hr TSP

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

APPENDIX D 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

#### **Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

#### Station CAM1 Government Staff Quarters

Date	Sampling	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter W	eight (g)	Particulate	Elaps	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	e (m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Date	Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure (Pa)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
2-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	303.1	758.5	3.3002	3.3098	0.0096	17471.1	17472.1	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.3	135
4-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	301.7	757.5	3.2601	3.2639	0.0038	17496.1	17497.1	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.4	53
7-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	301.2	760.8	3.2699	3.2883	0.0184	17497.1	17498.1	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.6	257
10-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	305.5	758.1	3.2947	3.3122	0.0175	17522.1	17523.1	1.0	1.18	1.18	1.18	71.1	246
11-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	298.4	759.3	3.2954	3.3061	0.0107	17523.1	17524.1	1.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	71.8	149
14-May-12	10:36	Sunny	302.8	758.1	3.0981	3.1061	0.0080	17524.1	17525.1	1.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	72.2	111
17-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	300.3	757.8	3.0826	3.0875	0.0049	17549.1	17550.1	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.4	68
18-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	298.3	759.3	3.0806	3.0924	0.0118	17550.1	17551.1	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.7	162
22-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	298.9	759.5	3.1023	3.1085	0.0062	17575.1	17576.1	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.6	85
23-May-12	09:00	Sunny	297.6	759.0	3.1601	3.1783	0.0182	17576.1	17577.1	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.7	250
25-May-12	09:00	Sunny	300.0	757.9	3.0748	3.0797	0.0049	17577.1	17578.1	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.5	68
28-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	298.1	759.1	3.1758	3.1828	0.0070	17602.1	17603.1	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.7	96
30-May-12	16:00	Cloudy	300.9	756.9	3.1835	3.1858	0.0023	17603.1	17604.1	1.0	1.21	1.20	1.21	72.3	32
														Min	32
														Max	257
														Average	132


#### Station CAM2 Heng Hing Printing Centre

Date	Sampling	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter W	eight (g)	Particulate	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	e (m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Date	Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure (Pa)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	$(\mu g/m^3)$
2-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	303.1	758.5	3.2766	3.2900	0.0134	26645.2	26646.2	1.0	1.18	1.18	1.18	70.9	189
4-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	301.7	757.5	3.2528	3.2587	0.0059	26670.2	26671.2	1.0	1.18	1.18	1.18	71.0	83
7-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	301.2	760.8	3.2020	3.2163	0.0143	26671.2	26672.2	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.2	201
10-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	305.5	758.1	3.0896	3.1059	0.0163	26696.2	26697.2	1.0	1.18	1.18	1.18	70.6	231
11-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	298.4	759.3	3.0877	3.1044	0.0167	26697.2	26698.2	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.4	234
14-May-12	10:36	Sunny	302.8	758.1	3.0624	3.0749	0.0125	26698.2	26699.2	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.5	172
17-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	300.3	757.8	3.0603	3.0675	0.0072	26723.2	26724.2	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.7	99
18-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	298.3	759.3	3.0567	3.0691	0.0124	26724.2	26725.2	1.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	73.0	170
22-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	298.9	759.5	3.0789	3.0856	0.0067	26749.2	26750.2	1.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	72.9	92
23-May-12	09:00	Sunny	297.6	759.0	3.1688	3.1841	0.0153	26750.2	26751.2	1.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	73.1	209
25-May-12	09:00	Sunny	300.0	757.9	3.0558	3.0676	0.0118	26751.2	26752.2	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.8	162
28-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	298.1	759.1	3.0661	3.0768	0.0107	26776.2	26777.2	1.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	73.0	147
30-May-12	16:00	Cloudy	300.9	756.9	3.0496	3.0540	0.0044	26777.2	26778.2	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.6	61
														Min	61
														Max	234
														Average	158

#### **Appendix D - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

#### Station CAM3 Talcon Industrial Ltd

Date	Sampling	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter We	eight (g)	Particulate	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	e (m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Date	Time	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure (Pa)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	$(\mu g/m^3)$
2-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	303.1	758.5	3.3122	3.3269	0.0147	19910.9	19911.9	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.1	207
4-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	301.7	757.5	3.2376	3.2447	0.0071	19935.9	19936.9	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.2	100
7-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	301.2	760.8	3.2229	3.2387	0.0158	19936.9	19937.9	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.4	221
10-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	305.5	758.1	3.0933	3.1072	0.0139	19961.9	19962.9	1.0	1.18	1.18	1.18	70.9	196
11-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	298.4	759.3	3.0996	3.1108	0.0112	19962.9	19963.9	1.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	71.7	156
14-May-12	10:36	Sunny	302.8	758.1	3.0566	3.0701	0.0135	19963.9	19964.9	1.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	72.3	187
17-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	300.3	757.8	3.0614	3.0670	0.0056	19964.9	19965.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.5	77
18-May-12	09:00	Cloudy	298.3	759.3	3.0489	3.0627	0.0138	19965.9	19966.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.8	190
22-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	298.9	759.5	3.0764	3.0816	0.0052	19990.9	19991.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.7	72
23-May-12	09:00	Sunny	297.6	759.0	3.1722	3.1869	0.0147	19991.9	19992.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.8	202
25-May-12	09:00	Sunny	300.0	757.9	3.0629	3.0752	0.0123	19992.9	19993.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.5	170
28-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	298.1	759.1	3.0642	3.0723	0.0081	20017.9	20018.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.8	111
30-May-12	16:00	Cloudy	300.9	756.9	3.0716	3.0772	0.0056	20018.9	20019.9	1.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	72.4	77
														Min	72
														Max	221
														Average	151



APPENDIX E 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

#### **Appendix E - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

#### Station CAM1 Government Staff Quarters


Start Date	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter W	Filter Weight (g)		Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m <sup>3</sup> /min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Start Date	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure (Pa)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	$(m^3)$	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
3-May-12	Cloudy	300.9	757.6	3.2997	3.3789	0.0792	17472.1	17496.1	24.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	1716.1	46
9-May-12	Cloudy	303.1	759.6	3.2631	3.3433	0.0802	17498.1	17522.1	24.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	1712.7	47
15-May-12	Cloudy	301.6	759.2	3.1004	3.1969	0.0965	17525.1	17549.1	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1736.0	56
21-May-12	Cloudy	298.3	759.2	3.0899	3.1617	0.0718	17551.1	17575.1	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1744.4	41
26-May-12	Cloudy	299.7	757.8	3.0743	3.1179	0.0436	17578.1	17602.1	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1739.5	25
													Min	25
													Max	56
													Average	43

#### Station CAM2 Heng Hing Printing Centre

Start Date	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter W	Filter Weight (g)		Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Start Date	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure (Pa)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m³/min)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(µg/m³)
3-May-12	Cloudy	300.9	757.6	3.2581	3.3453	0.0872	26646.2	26670.2	24.0	1.19	1.18	1.18	1706.2	51
9-May-12	Cloudy	303.1	759.6	3.0819	3.1837	0.1018	26672.2	26696.2	24.0	1.18	1.18	1.18	1702.6	60
15-May-12	Cloudy	301.6	759.2	3.0905	3.2336	0.1431	26699.2	26723.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1743.6	82
21-May-12	Cloudy	298.3	759.2	3.0723	3.1712	0.0989	26725.2	26749.2	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1751.9	56
26-May-12	Cloudy	299.7	757.8	3.0562	3.1574	0.1012	26752.2	26776.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1747.0	58
													Min	51
													Max	82
													Average	61

#### Station CAM3 Talcon Industrial Ltd

Start Date	Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Filter W	eight (g)	Particulate	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Conc.
Start Date	Condition	Temp. (K)	Pressure (Pa)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	Initial	Final	(m <sup>3</sup> /min)	$(m^3)$	(µg/m³)
3-May-12	Cloudy	300.9	757.6	3.2670	3.3650	0.0980	19911.9	19935.9	24.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	1711.8	57
9-May-12	Cloudy	303.1	759.6	3.1004	3.2068	0.1064	19937.9	19961.9	24.0	1.19	1.19	1.19	1708.1	62
15-May-12	Cloudy	301.6	759.2	3.0788	3.2310	0.1522	19964.9	19988.9	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1738.3	88
21-May-12	Cloudy	298.3	759.2	3.0902	3.1817	0.0915	19966.9	19990.9	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1746.6	52
26-May-12	Cloudy	299.7	757.8	3.0808	3.1575	0.0767	19993.9	20017.9	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1741.7	44
_						-						-	Min	44
													Max	88
													Average	61




APPENDIX F NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

### **Appendix F - Noise Monitoring Results**

Location NM1 - Government Staff Quarters						
Doto	Time	\\\ o o th o r	dB (A) (30-min)			
Date	Time	Weather	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L 90	
4-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	55.4	56.7	53.2	
7-May-12	11:00	Cloudy	60.7	62.7	56.2	
17-May-12	16:30	Cloudy	60.6	61.6	58.4	
22-May-12	13:00	Cloudy	57.1	58.4	55.4	
		Average	59.0	59.9	55.8	
		Minimum	55.4	56.7	53.2	
		Maximum	60.7	62.7	58.4	

MA0010/App F - Noise Cinotech

#### **Noise Levels**



Title

Contract No. DE/2009/09

Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring
Results

Scale		Project
		No.
	N.T.S	MA10069
Date		Appendix
	May 12	F



#### APPENDIX G SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

#### APPENIDX G - SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

**Reporting Month:** May 2012

- a) Exceedance Report for 1-hr TSP (NIL)
- b) Exceedance Report for 24-hr TSP (NIL)
- c) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise (NIL)

#### APPENDIX H SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

#### Contract No. DE/2009/09

#### Supply and Installation of Electrical ad Mechanical Equipment for

#### Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

#### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	120503
Date	3 <sup>rd</sup> May 2012 (Thursday)
Time	9:30 - 10:00

Item No
8
Item No
28

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Johnny Fung	18m	3 May 2012
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		3 May 2012

 Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120427), no major environmental deficiency was observed during the site inspection.

CINOTECH MA10069 120508\_audit120503

#### Contract No. DE/2009/09

#### Supply and Installation of Electrical ad Mechanical Equipment for

Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

#### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	1205011		
Date	11th May 2012 (Friday)	The second secon	
Time	9:30 - 10:00	A-15-S This Assessment - Market 1-3	

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
	None identified	
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
OKANA (	Part C - Water Quality	
120511-R01	Clear properly the stagnant water in FC11B and FC12B.	C8
	Part D - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Waste / Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H - Remark	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Others	
	<ul> <li>Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.12503), follow up action is needed to be reviewed for the environmental deficiency observed during the last site inspection.</li> </ul>	13

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Johnny Fung	111/	11 May 2012
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	"IJT	11 May 2012

120514\_audit120511 CINOTECH MA10069

### Supply and Installation of Electrical ad Mechanical Equipment for

Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

#### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Non-Compliance

Inspection Information

Ref. No.

Checklist Reference Number	120517		
Date	17th May 2012 (Thursday)		
Time	9:30 – 10:00		

	None identified	1
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No
MICHAEL AND	Part C - Water Quality	The second secon
120517-R01	Clear the stagnant water in FC11B.	C8
	Part D - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Waste / Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	Î
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H - Remark	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Johnny Fung	1 XVV	17 May 2012
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	NI	17 May 2012

• Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120511), follow up

action is needed to be reviewed for the outstanding item.

Related Item No.

#### Contract No. DE/2009/09

### Supply and Installation of Electrical ad Mechanical Equipment for

Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

#### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	120524
Date	24th May 2012 (Thursday)
Time	9:30 – 10:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No
Market Market	None identified	2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No
	Part C - Water Quality	- 1 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Waste / Chemical Management	1

<ul> <li>No environmental deficiency</li> </ul>	was identified during the site inspection.
Part H - Romark	

· No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.

No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.

Part G - Permit / Licenses

Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120517), all environmental deficiency has been rectified/improved by the Contractor.

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Johnny Fung	111/~	24 May 2012
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	WF	24 May 2012

#### Contract No. DE/2009/09

#### Supply and Installation of Electrical ad Mechanical Equipment for

Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B

#### Record Summary of Environmental Site Inspection

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	120531
Date	31st May 2012 (Thursday)
Time	9:30 - 10:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No
	None identified	1
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No
Diego	Part C - Water Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part D - Air Quality	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part E - Noise	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part F - Waste / Chemical Management	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	Ī
	Part G - Permit / Licenses	
	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	Part H - Remark	Ĩ
23	No environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	
	WHATEN-STEED OF THE STEED OF TH	L

ENWHOLESH W		Signature	Date
Recorded by	Johnny Fung	, DV~	31 May 2012
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy	MI	31 May 2012

 Follow-up on the previous audit sessions (Ref. No.120524), no major environmental deficiency was observed by the Contractor.

CINOTECH MA10069

## APPENDIX I EVENT ACTION PLANS

#### **APPENDIX I (1) – Event Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring (Construction Phase)**

EVENT		ACTIO	N	
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;     Inform IEC and ER;     Repeat measurement to confirm finding;     Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;     Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	Rectify any unacceptable practice;     Amend working methods if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol> <li>Identify source;</li> <li>Inform IC(E) and ER;</li> <li>Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>Repeat measurements to confirm findings;</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required;</li> <li>If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER;</li> <li>If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals;     Amend proposal if appropriate.
LIMIT LEVEL				
Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;     Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD;     Repeat measurement to confirm finding;     Increase monitoring frequency to daily;     Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET;     Check Contractor's working method;     Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;     Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;     Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing;     Notify Contractor;     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;     Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol> <li>Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>Identify source;</li> <li>Repeat measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;</li> <li>Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPD and ER informed of the results;</li> <li>If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;     Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly;     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;     Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;     Implement the agreed proposals;     Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;     Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

#### **APPENDIX I (2) – Event Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring (Construction Phase)**

EVENT		ACT	TION	
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL	<ol> <li>Notify IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>Carry out investigation;</li> <li>Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures;</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET;</li> <li>Review the propose d remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;     2. Notify Contractor;     3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem;     4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	<ol> <li>Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC;</li> <li>Implement noise mitigation proposals.</li> </ol>
LIMIT LEVEL	<ol> <li>Identify source;</li> <li>Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor;</li> <li>Repeat measurements to confirm findings;</li> <li>Increase monitoring frequency;</li> <li>Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;</li> <li>Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances;</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;</li> <li>If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and     Contractor on the potential remedial actions;      Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly;      Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;     2. Notify Contractor;     3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem;     4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;     5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	<ol> <li>Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li> <li>Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification;</li> <li>Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;</li> <li>Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>

APPENDIX J UPDATED ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

## $\label{eq:appendix} \begin{aligned} APPENDIX\ J-Updated\ Environmental\ Mitigation\ Implementation\ Schedule\\ (During\ Construction\ Phase) \end{aligned}$

Type of Impact	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
Air Quality	Dust mitigation measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation shall be incorporated to control dust emission. Notice shall be given to authority prior to commencing of work	√
Noise	Use of quiet PME	N/A
	<ul> <li>Good Site Practice</li> <li>Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program;</li> <li>Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program;</li> <li>Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible;</li> <li>Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;</li> <li>Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and</li> <li>Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	V
Water Quality	The practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be adopted to minimize the potential water quality impacts from construction site runoff and various construction activities. The recommendation to install perimeter drains to collect site runoff and to properly treat the runoff by settlement tank/treatment system shall apply to all sites including those for mainlaying works. Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site runoff and the existing WSD saltwater intake at Tai Po.	V
	A discharge licence needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site. The discharge quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies with all the standards listed in the TM. Reuse and recycling of the treated effluent can minimize water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent may include dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning. Monitoring of the discharge quality of treated effluent should be part of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme. Detailed effluent sampling programme for water quality control during construction phase should be submitted to EPD, AFCD and WSD for approval prior to commencement of the construction works.	V
	The construction programme should be properly planned to minimize soil excavation, if any, in rainy seasons. This prevents soil erosion from exposed soil surfaces. Any exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission. In areas where a large amount of exposed soils exist, earth bunds or sand bags should be provided. Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets at all time. The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from any stream courses so as to avoid releasing materials into the water bodies. Final surfaces of earthworks should be compacted and protected by permanent work. It is suggested that haul roads should be paved with concrete and the temporary access roads are protected using crushed stone or gravel, wherever practicable. Wheel washing facilities should be provided at all site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles.	V
	Good site practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on the construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from dropping into the nearby environment. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis.	<b>V</b>

Type of Impact	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
	It is recommended to provide sufficient chemical toilets in the works areas. The toilet facilities should not be less than 30 m from any watercourse. A licensed waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. The construction workers can also make use of the existing toilet facilities within the TPSTW as necessary.	<b>V</b>
	Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the project.  Implementation of environmental audit on the construction site can provide an effective control of any malpractices and can achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site.	V
	It is required to register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes.	V
	Any service shop and minor maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken with the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges.	V
	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance.  The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:  • Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport  • Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes to avoid accidents.  • Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area.	V
	Marine water quality monitoring should be carried out under emergency condition or during maintenance of the THEES tunnel to verify the findings of the water quality modelling. It is recommended that the maintenance of the THEES tunnel, if unavoidable, should be conducted during winter season or low flow periods and to avoid the "blooming" season of algae (normally from April to June) if practicable. Details of the monitoring requirements are specified in the EM&A Manual.	N/A

Type of Impact	Recommended Mitigation Measures							
Waste	Good site practices during the construction activities include:	Status √						
Management	<ul> <li>Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site.</li> <li>Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures.</li> <li>Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal.</li> <li>Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers.</li> <li>Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical</li> </ul>	V						
	<ul> <li>Waste Treatment Facility.</li> <li>Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors.</li> <li>A Waste Management Plan shall be prepared and this WMP shall be submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 for details.</li> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of C&amp;D materials at landfills and public filling areas, and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system shall be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. One may make reference to WBTC No. 21/2002 for details.</li> <li>A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) shall be proposed.</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</li> <li>Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.</li> <li>To encourage collection of aluminum cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins shall be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force.</li> <li>Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.</li> <li>Maximize the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&amp;D material.</li> <li>Prior to disposal of C&amp;D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill.</li> <li>Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials.</li> <li>Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.</li> <li>Minimize over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering</li> </ul>	٧						
	General Refuse General refuse shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A reputable waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.	V						
	Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material C&D material generated from the site formation and demolition works shall be sorted on-site into inert C&D material (i.e. public fill) and C&D waste. In order to minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated material comprising fill material shall be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. C&D waste, such as wood, plastic, steel and other metals shall be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed of to landfill. A suitable area shall be designated within the site for temporary stockpiling of C&D material and to facilitate the sorting process.	٧						

Monthly EM&A Report

Type of Impact	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Status
	Bentonite Slurry	N/A
	Bentonite slurries used in construction works should be reconditioned and reused wherever	
	practicable. Residual used bentonite slurry should be disposed of from the site as soon as possible.	
	The Contractor should explore alternative disposal outlets for the residual used bentonite slurry and	
	disposal at landfill should be the last resort.	

Note:
√ -

 $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  – Compliance of mitigation measures X – Non-compliance of mitigation measures N/A – Not applicable

APPENDIX K
WASTE GENERATION IN THE
REPORTING MONTH

Name of Department: Drainage Services Department

#### Contract No. : <u>DE/2009/09</u>

#### **Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for May 2012**

Month	Annual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Annual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemicals Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse	
	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in tonne)	
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.9	
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0.20	0	0	6.4	
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0	0	1.3	
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.15	0	0	4.9	
June												
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0.42	0	0	16.5	
July												
Aug												
Sept												
Oct												
Nov												
Dec												
Total												

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contractor										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete		Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemicals Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in tonne)
0	Nil	0	0	0	0	100	100	50	10	500

Notes:

The performance targets are given in PS Clause 1.40.8(14).

The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.

Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works is equal to or exceeding 50,000 m³. (PS Clause 1.40.7(4)(b) refers.

#### APPENDIX L COMPLAINT LOG

#### APPENDIX L - COMPLAINT LOG

**Reporting Month**: May 2012

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Remarks: No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.

#### APPENDIX M CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

DSD Contract : DE/2009/09

Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B Section III Works Programme | D Task Name | 101 | New Mixed Liquor Channels | 102 | Equipment and Material Delivery to Site | 102 | Form Removal Collector 
 Q2 '11
 Q3 '11

 Apr '11
 May '11
 Jun '11
 Jul '11
 Aug '11
 Sep '11
 Oct '11

 Q4\*11
 Q1\*12
 Q2\*12
 Q3\*12
 Q4\*12
 Q4\*12
 Q1\*13
 Q2\*13

 Nov\*11
 Dec\*11
 Jan\*12
 Feb\*12
 Mar\*12
 Apr\*12
 Jun\*12
 Jul\*12
 Aug\*12
 Sep\*12
 Oct\*12
 Nov\*12
 Dec\*12
 Jan\*13
 Feb\*13
 Mar\*13
 Apr\*13
 May\*13
 Start Finish
Tue 01/11/11 Mon 10/12/12 136 days Tue 01/11/11 Fri 16/03/12 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 0 days Tue 01/11/11 Tue 01/11/11 Penstock & Actuator 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Lifting Appliance Pipework & Valves 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Local Control Pane Site Possession / Available 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Mixed Liquor Channel, Foam Channel & Pillar Box 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Bunded Area & Shelter for NaOCI Dosing System 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 240 days Fri 16/03/12 Sat 10/11/12 Installation
Penstock & Actuactor in Flow Splitter Box 60 days Fri 16/03/12 Mon 14/05/12 Submersible Mixers 30 days Thu 14/06/12 Fri 13/07/12 Foam Removal Collecto 60 days Sat 14/07/12 Tue 11/09/12 Water Spray System 15 days Tue 28/08/12 Tue 11/09/12 Relocation of Foam Transfer Pumps 30 days Wed 12/09/12 Thu 11/10/12 30 days Fri 12/10/12 Sat 10/11/12 90 days Mon 13/08/12 Sat 10/11/12 Relocation of NaOCI Dosing System Local Control Panel & Electrical Installation SCADA/PLC System 60 days Wed 12/09/12 Sat 10/11/12 Testing and Commissioning 30 days Sun 11/11/12 Mon 10/12/12 125 Existing Aeration Tanks No.1 to 4 425 days Fri 16/03/12 Tue 14/05/13 Equipment and Material Delivery to Site GMS Air Mains 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Pipework & Valves Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Modification Works Fri 16/03/12 Tue 14/05/13 425 days New Air Mains 364 days Fri 16/03/12 Thu 14/03/13 Steel Bridges (By Civil Contractor) Available for Air Mains 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 GMS Air Mains Installation 61 days Fri 16/03/12 Tue 15/05/12 Connection Existing Air Mains beside RAS Pumping Station (By Civil Contractor) 30 days Wed 13/02/13 Thu 14/03/13 Aeration Tank No.4 91 days Tue 15/05/12 Tue 14/08/12 0 days Tue 15/05/12 Tue 15/05/12 Air Pipework, Butterfly Valves & Air Flowmeters 60 days Wed 16/05/12 Sat 14/07/12 45 days Thu 31/05/12 Testing and Commissioning 31 days Sun 15/07/12 Tue 14/08/12 Aeration Tank No.3 91 days Tue 14/08/12 Tue 13/11/12 Tank Available for Modification (Drained Down by DSD/ST1) 0 days Tue 14/08/12 Tue 14/08/12 60 days Wed 15/08/12 Sat 13/10/12 Air Pipework, Butterfly Valves & Air Flowmeters Electrical Installation 45 days Thu 30/08/12 Sat 13/10/12 31 days Sun 14/10/12 Tue 13/11/12 Testing and Commissioning Aeration Tank No.2 91 days Tue 13/11/12 Tue 12/02/13 Tank Available for Modification (Drained Down by DSD/ST1)
Air Pipework, Butterfly Valves, & Air Flowmeters 0 days Tue 13/11/12 Tue 13/11/12 60 days Wed 14/11/12 Sat 12/01/13 45 days Thu 29/11/12 Sat 12/01/13 31 days Sun 13/01/13 Tue 12/02/13 Testing and Commissioning Aeration Tank No.1 91 days Tue 12/02/13 Tue 14/05/13 Tank Available for Modification (Drained Down by DSD/ST1) 0 days Tue 12/02/13 Tue 12/02/13 Air Pipework, Butterfly Valves & Air Flowmeters 60 days Wed 13/02/13 Sat 13/04/13 45 days Thu 28/02/13 Sat 13/04/13 Electrical Installation Testing and Commissioning 31 days Sun 14/04/13 Tue 14/05/13 135 days Fri 16/03/12 Sat 28/07/12 156 Filtrate Pumping System (Stage I/II Modification Equipment and Material Delivery to Site 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Submersible Pump Pinework & Valves Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 Underground Pipework to Stage IV Aeration Tanks (By Civil Contractor) 0 days Fri 16/03/12 Fri 16/03/12 105 days Fri 16/03/12 Thu 28/06/12 Pump Replacement & Pipework Modification at Existing Pump Chamber 45 days Fri 16/03/12 Sun 29/04/12 60 days Mon 30/04/12 Thu 28/06/12 Existing I. V. Switchboard Modification 30 days Fri 16/03/12 Sat 14/04/12 45 days Sun 15/04/12 Tue 29/05/12 Testing and Commissioning 30 days Fri 29/06/12 Sat 28/07/12 Baseline Milestone 🔷 Critical Progress Baseline Summary Progress Project Summary External Milestone Split Task Progress Rev. 1 Date: 15 Mar 2012 Task Baseline Split Summary Eyternal Tasks Deadline Page 2

DSD Contract : DE/2009/09
Supply and Installation of Electrical and Mechanical Equipment for Tai Po Sewage Treatment Works Stage 5 Phase 2B Duration Start Finish Jan'11 Q2'11 Q3'11 Q3'11 Q3'11 Q0'11 Jan'12 Q2'12 Q3'12 Q4'12 | Task Name | 201 | SAS Thickening System | 202 | Equipment & Material Delivery to Site Centrifuge SAS Feed Pump 0 days Fri 01/06/12 Fri 01/06/12 0 days Fri 01/06/12 Fri 01/06/12 Polyelectrolyte Feed Pump Thickened Sludge Storage Tank 0 days Fri 01/06/12 Fri 01/06/12 0 days Fri 01/06/12 Fri 01/06/12 Pipework & Valves Vibration Monitoring System 0 days Fri 01/06/12 Fri 01/06/12 PLC System M Panel Site Possession / Available
Civil Works Provision (By Civil Contractor) 0 days Tue 01/05/12 Tue 01/05/12 0 days Tue 01/05/12 Tue 01/05/12 185 days Mon 02/04/12 Wed 03/10/12 Installation

Centrifuge, Vibration Monitoring System & Associated Accessories 30 days Thu 05/07/12 Fri 03/08/12 SAS Feed Pump & Associated Pipework

Polyelectrolyte Feed Pump & Associated Pipework 16 days Mon 03/09/12 Tue 18/09/12 Thickened Sludge Storage Tank & Associated Access 30 days Sat 04/08/12 Sun 02/09/12 Centrate Pipework 16 days Mon 03/09/12 Tue 18/09/12 Existing L.V. Switchboard Modification 90 days Mon 02/04/12 Sat 30/06/12 90 days Fri 06/07/12 Wed 03/10/12 60 days Sun 05/08/12 Wed 03/10/12 Electrical Installation SCADA/PLC System Testing & Commissioning 90 days Thu 04/10/12 Tue 01/01/13 386 days Thu 08/12/11 Thu 27/12/12 223 Sludge Dewatering System Equipment & Material Delivery to Site Membrane Filter Press 115 days Thu 08/12/11 Sun 01/04/12 0 days Mon 20/02/12 Mon 20/02/12 0 days Thu 08/12/11 Thu 08/12/11 0 days Sun 01/04/12 Sun 01/04/12 Sludge Feed Pump Polyelectrolyte Dosing Pump Floctronic Sensor c/w Inline Mixer Pipework & Valves 0 days Fri 20/01/12 Fri 20/01/12 0 days Sun 01/04/12 Sun 01/04/12 PLC System K Panel 0 days Sun 01/04/12 Sun 01/04/12 Site Possession / Available
Civil Works Provision (By Civil Contractor) 0 days Sun 01/04/12 Sun 01/04/12 0 days Sun 01/04/12 Sun 01/04/12 215 days Mon 27/02/12 Fri 28/09/12 Installation

Membrane Filter Press 30 days Mon 27/02/12 Tue 27/03/12 30 days Sun 01/04/12 Mon 30/04/12 Sludge Feed Pump & Associ Polyelectrolyte Dosing Pump & Associated Pipework 30 days Tue 01/05/12 Wed 30/05/12 Filtrate Pipework

Existing L.V. Switchboard Modification & Electrical Installation 15 days Thu 31/05/12 Thu 14/06/12 90 days Mon 02/04/12 Sat 30/06/12 90 days Sun 01/07/12 Fri 28/09/12 SCADA/PLC System 60 days Tue 31/07/12 Fri 28/09/12 90 days Sat 29/09/12 Thu 27/12/12 Testing and Commissioni 90 days Fri 01/06/12 Wed 29/08/12 244 Hybrid Street Light Installation, Testing & Commissioning
245 Automatic Weather Station Installation, Testing & Commissioning 90 days Fri 01/06/12 Wed 29/08/12 90 days Fri 01/06/12 Wed 29/08/12