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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The construction works of DC/2008/03 of Design, Build and Operate Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works (the Project) commenced on 13 November 2010. This is the $26^{\text {th }}$ monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM\&A) report presenting the EM\&A works carried out during the period from 1 to 31 December 2012 in accordance with the EM\&A Manual.

Summary of Construction Works undertaken during the Reporting Month
Works undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Constructing the finishing works at the Administration Building;
- Constructing the structure, water tank and water proofing at the Sludge Dewatering Building;
- Constructing the structure, staircase and conducting water test at the PTW area of P2;
- Conducting water test, constructing kiosk and floor slab at the CEPT area of P2;
- Formatting waterproofing and conducting finish work at the Septic Waste Reception Station;
- Constructing the wall and roof at the Reuse Water Pump Room;
- Constructing a control room at the DOUA;
- Constructing pipe trend and control room at the DOUB;
- Constructing a wall and roof at the Chemical Building;
- Finishing work at the Electrical building No.1, No. 3 and No.4;
- Constructing drainage, cable ducts and a boundary wall at P2;
- Excavation at Payment Flow Meter Chamber; and
- Backfilling and drainage works for the whole site.


## Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress

A summary of the monitoring activities undertaken in this reporting period is listed below:

- 24-hour TSP Monitoring at each monitoring station (AM1 5 sets and AM2)
- 1-hour TSP Monitoring at each monitoring station (AM1 15 sets and AM2)
- Joint Environmental Site Inspection 4 times
- Landscape \& Visual Monitoring

Once

## Air Quality

5 sets of 24-hour TSP and 15 sets of 1-hr TSP measurements were carried out at each of the designated monitoring stations during the reporting period. No exceedance was recorded during the reporting period.

## Waste Management

Waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C\&D) materials (public fill) and non-inert C\&D materials (construction wastes). In total, 4,319 tonnes of inert $C \& D$ material were generated from the

Project, in which 400 tonnes were reused in this Contract and 3,919.13 tonnes were sent to public fill during the reporting month 60 kg of metals, 20 kg of papers/ cardboard packing and 15 kg of plastics were sent to recyclers for recycling during the reporting period.

## Environmental Site Inspection

Four weekly joint environmental site inspections were carried out by the representatives of the Contractor, SOR and the Environmental Team (ET). Details of the audit findings and implementation status of the mitigation measures are presented in Section 7.1.

## Landscape \& Visual

Review on landscape and visual mitigation measures was performed on 28 December 2012. Details of the audit findings and implementation status of the mitigation measures are presented in Sections 3.2 and 7.2.

Environmental Exceedance/Non-conformance/Compliant/Summons and Prosecution

No exceedance was recorded during the reporting period.
No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.
No environmental complaint and summon/prosecution was received in this reporting period.

## Future Key Issues

Works to be undertaken in the next reporting month include:

- Constructing the finishing works at the Administration Building;
- Constructing the structure, water tank and water proofing at the Sludge Dewatering Building;
- Constructing the structure and conducting water test at the PTW area of P2;
- Conducting the finishing works at the CEPT area of P2;
- Constructing column and roof at the UV building;
- Formatting waterproofing and conducting finish work at the Septic Waste Reception Station;
- Constructing the wall and roof at the Reuse Water Pump Room;
- Constructing a control room and raft slab at the DOUA and DOUB;
- Constructing the finishing works at the Chemical Building;
- Constructing the finishing work at the Electrical building No.1, No. 3 and No.4;
- Constructing drainage, cable ducts and a boundary wall at P2;
- Excavation at Payment Flow Meter Chamber; and
- Backfilling and drainage works for the whole site.

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise, site runoffs, waste management and landscaping issues.

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) was appointed by ATAL - Degrémont China State Joint Venture (ADC-JV) (the Contractor) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM\&A) programme for the Contract No. DC/2008/03 of Design, Build and Operate Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works (the Project).

### 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This is the $26^{\text {th }}$ EM\&A report which summarises the monitoring results and audit findings for the EM\&A programme during the reporting period from 1 to 31 December 2012.

### 1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

The structure of the report is as follows:

## Section 1: Introduction

It details the scope and structure of the report.

## Section 2: Project Information

It summarises the background and scope of the Project, site description, project organization, construction programme, construction works undertaken and status of the Environmental Permits (EP)/licences over the construction phase of the Project.

Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirements
It summarises the environmental monitoring requirements including monitoring parameters, programmes, methodologies, frequency, locations, Action and Limit Levels, Event/Action Plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the approved EIA report, EP and relevant environmental requirements stated in the Contract Specification.

Section 4: Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures It summarises the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.

## Section 5: Monitoring Results

It summarises the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.

## Section 6: Waste Management

It summarises the quantity of public fill and construction waste generated in the reporting period

## Section 7: Environmental Site Inspection

It summarises the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.

Section 8: Environmental Non-conformance
It summarises any exceedance of environmental performance standard, environmental complaints and summons received within the reporting period.

## Section 9: Further Key Issues

It summarises the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next reporting month.

## Section 10: Review of the EM\&A Data and Predictions

It compares the monitoring data and waste quantity against the predictions in the approved Project EIA report.

Section 1 : Conclusions

### 2.1 BACKGROUND

The existing Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works (PPSTW) is located to the north of the Tuen Mun River Trade Terminal and is abutting the Lung Mun Roadin the north. It is a preliminary treatment works with screening and grit removal processes and the treated effluent is discharged to the sea (North Western Water Control Zone) via a twin submarine outfall. The Review of the Tuen Mun and Tsing Yi Sewerage Master Plan (RTMTYSMP), commissioned in February 1999, recommended that the sewage treatment capacity be expanded and the plant be upgraded to chemically enhanced primary treatment (CEPT) with disinfection. This is to cater for the projected ultimate population and planned developments in the Tuen Mun area, and to improve the effluent quality reducing pollution loadings to the receiving waters.

The upgrading of the PPSTW comprises the following works:

- expanding the treatment capacity of the existing PPSTW to cope with the increased peak wet-weather sewage flow in Tuen Mun area;
- upgrading the sewage treatment level of the existing PPSTW to incorporate chemical treatment with disinfection at minimum removal rates of $70 \%$, $55 \%$ and $99.9 \%$ of suspended solids (SS), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and E.coli, respectively;
- upgrading the existing septic waste reception facilities at PPSTW; and
- providing and upgrading ancillary facilities including the administration building, workshop, laboratory, odour control facilities, sludge handling and dewatering facilities, access roads and minor landscaping works within the STW for the operation and maintenance of the upgraded STW.

The potential environmental impacts of the Project have been studied in the "Upgrading of Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works" (EIAO Register No: AEIAR145/2008). The EIA was approved on 10 June 2008 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) and an Environmental Permit (EP$321 / 2008)$ for the works was granted on 17 November 2008. Under the requirements of Condition 3.1 of EP-322/2008, an EM\&A programme as set out in the EM\&A Manual is required to be implemented.

The construction works commenced on 13 November 2010 and are scheduled for completion by 2014.

## 2.2 <br> General Site Description

The open area adjacent to the existing PPSTW has been designated for the upgrading works. The layout of the upgrading works is illustrated in Annex

A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in the reporting period is shown in Table 2.1. The locations of the construction activities are shown in Annex B. The construction programme of the Project in the reporting month and the upcoming month is presented in Annex $L$.

## Table 2.1 Summary of Construction Activities Undertaken in the Reporting Period

## Construction Activities Undertaken

- Constructing the finishing works at the Administration Building;
- Constructing the structure, water tank and water proofing at the Sludge Dewatering Building;
- Constructing the structure, staircase and conducting water test at the PTW area of P2;
- Conducting water test, constructing kiosk and floor slab at the CEPT area of P2;
- Formatting waterproofing and conducting finish work at the Septic Waste Reception Station;
- Constructing the wall and roof at the Reuse Water Pump Room;
- Constructing a control room at the DOUA;
- Constructing pipe trend and control room at the DOUB;
- Constructing a wall and roof at the Chemical Building;
- Finishing work at the Electrical building No.1, No. 3 and No.4;
- Constructing drainage, cable ducts and a boundary wall at P2;
- Excavation at Payment Flow Meter Chamber; and
- Backfilling and drainage works for the whole site.


### 2.4 Project Organisation and Management Structure

The project organisation chart and contact details are shown in Annex $C$.

### 2.5 Status of Environmental Approval Documents

A summary of the valid permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification and Permit Status

| Permit/ Licences/ <br> Notification | Reference | Validity Period | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Environmental <br> Permit | EP-321/2008 | Throughout the <br> Contract | Permit granted on 17 <br> November 2008. |
| Notification of <br> Construction Works <br> under the Air <br> Pollution Control <br> (Construction Dust) Ref No. 308136 | Throughout the <br> Contract | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| EnviroNMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT | 4 | ATAL-DEGREMONT-ChINA STATE JV |  |


| Permit/ Licences/ <br> Notification | Reference | Validity Period | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regulation |  |  |  |
| Water Discharge WT00008027-2010 Till 31 December <br> License   | Wastewater discharge <br> licence was issued by <br> EPD on 7 December <br> 2010. |  |  |
| Construction Noise <br> Permit | GW-RW0535-12 | 28 July 2012-27 |  |
|  |  | January 2013 |  |
| Chemical Waste <br> Producer Registration |  |  |  |

### 3.1 AIR Quality Monitoring

### 3.1.1 Monitoring Location

The proposed air quality monitoring stations for the construction phase of the Project, as recommended in the approved EM\&A Manual, are given in Table 3.1 and shown in Annex D. The proposed locations (AM1 and AM2) have been agreed with the Drainage Services Department (DSD), Environmental Protection Department (EPD) and the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC).

Table 3.1 Construction Phase Air Monitoring Locations

| Monitoring ID | Air Quality Monitoring Station |
| :--- | :--- |
| AM1 | Tuen Mun EMSD Servicing Vehicle Station |
| AM2 | River Trade Terminal Office |

### 3.1.2 Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

The construction phase air quality monitoring has been conducted at the designated monitoring stations in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM\&A Manual. 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels have been monitored at the frequency and duration stated in Table 3.2. The construction phase TSP monitoring has been conducted as per the schedule presented in Annex $E$.

Table 3.2 Construction Phase Air Quality Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

| Parameter | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24-hour TSP | Once every 6 days |
| 1-hour TSP | 3 times every 6 days |

### 3.1.3 $\quad$ Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit levels have been established and presented in Table 3.3.
Table 3.3 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

| Parameter | Air Monitoring Station | Action Level, $\mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$ | Limit Level, $\mu_{\mathrm{gm}}{ }^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24-hour TSP | AM1 | 183 | 260 |
|  | AM2 | 192 | 260 |
| 1-hour TSP | AM1 | 343 | 500 |
|  | AM2 | 383 | 500 |

3.1.4 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour and 1-hour TSP monitoring was performed using High Volume Samplers (HVS) with appropriate sampling inlets located at the designated monitoring stations.

The performance specification of HVS complied with the standard method "Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere (High Volume Method)" as stipulated in US EPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50 Appendix B). Table 3.4 summarises the equipment that were deployed for the 24 -hour and 1-hour TSP monitoring respectively.

Table 3.4 TSP Monitoring Equipment

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Equipment (HVS and Calibrator) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24-h r$ and 1-hr TSP |  |
| AM1 | GMW GS-2310 (S/N 7580), CM-AIR-43 (S/N 0438320) |
| AM2 | GMW GS-2310 (S/N 1252), CM-AIR-43 (S/N 0438320) |

### 3.1.5 Monitoring Methodology

The setup locations of the HVSs were listed in Table 3.1. All HVSs were freestanding with no obstruction.

The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVSs:

- appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind were provided at AM1 and AM2;
- a minimum of 2 m separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samplers;
- no furnace or incinerator flues was nearby;
- airflow around the sampler was unrestricted; and
- permission was obtained to set up the samplers and gain access to the monitoring stations.


## Preparation of Filter Papers

- glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected;
- all filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and not variable by more than $\pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the relative humidity ( RH ) was $40 \%$; and
- SGS Hong Kong Ltd, a HOKLAS accredited laboratory, implemented comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.


## Field Monitoring

- the power supply was checked to ensure that the HVSs were working properly;
- the filter holder and area surrounding the filter were cleaned;
- the filter holder was removed by loosening the foul bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully;
- the filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter;
- swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges;
- the shelter lid was closed and secured with an aluminium strip;
- the HVSs were warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish runtemperature conditions;
- a new flowrate record sheet was inserted into the flow recorder;
- the flow rates of the HVSs were checked and adjusted to between 1.22 and $1.37 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ which were within the range specified in the EM\&A Manual (ie 0.6 to $1.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ );
- the programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours $\pm 1$ hour, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded;
- the initial elapsed time was recorded;
- at the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half 1 so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact;
- the filter was placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed;
- all monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet; and
- filters were sent to SGS Hong Kong Ltd for analysis.


## Maintenance and Calibration

- the HVSs and their accessories were maintained in good working condition, eg. motor brushes were replaced routinely and electrical wiring was checked to ensure a continuous power supply; and
- the flow rate of each HVS with mass flow controller was calibrated using an orifice calibrator. Initial calibrations of the dust monitoring equipment were conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Five-point calibration was carried out for HVSs using CM-AIR-43 Calibration Kit. HVSs are calibrated on a bi-monthly basis. The calibration records for the HVSs are given in Annex F.

Average wind data (wind speed and wind direction) during the monitoring period were obtained from the meteorological station at Tuen Mun of the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) and were presented in Annex G.

### 3.1.6 Event and Action Plan

The Event/Action Plan (EAP) for air quality monitoring is presented in Annex H.

Landscape and Visual Monitoring
In accordance with the EM\&A Manual, monthly landscape and visual monitoring is required to ensure that the design, implementation and maintenance of landscape and visual mitigation measures recommended in the approved EIA Report are fully achieved. The monitoring procedures and criteria as described in the EM\&A Manual were adopted.

### 3.3 Environmental Mitigation Measures and Environmental Requirements in Contract

All the relevant environmental mitigation measures listed in the EIA Report and EM\&A Manual as well as the specific environmental requirements stated in the Contract Specification are summarised in Annex I. A summary of the key environmental mitigation measures implemented as per the Contract Requirements is also presented in Annex I.

The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the approved EIA Report, EM\&A Manual and EP. The implementation status of the measures during the reporting period is summarised in Annex I.

A total of 5 sets of 24 -hour and 15 sets of 1-hour TSP measurements were taken at each of the monitoring stations (AM1 and AM2) during the reporting period. The monitoring data for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP together with the wind data and graphical presentations for the past 4 months are presented in Annex G. The weather conditions during the monitoring period ranged from sunny to rainy. The local impacts near the monitoring stations of AM1 and AM2 were mainly associated with vehicular emissions. No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of the 1-hr and 24-hr TSP was recorded during the reporting period.

Wastes generated from this Project include inert construction and demolition (C\&D) materials (public fill) and non-inert C\&D materials (construction waste). Construction waste comprises general refuse, metals and paper/cardboard packaging materials. Metals generated from the Project are also grouped into construction waste as the materials were not disposed of with others at public fill. Reference has been made to the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table prepared by the Contractor (see Annex J). With reference to the relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in Table 6.1. In total, 4,319 tonnes of inert C\&D material were generated from the Project, in which 400 tonnes were reused in this Contract and 3,919 tonnes were sent to public fill during the reporting month. 60 kg of metals, 20 kg of papers / cardboard packing and 15 kg of plastics were sent to recyclers for recycling during the reporting period. 165.28 tonnes of other non-inert C\&D waste were sent to WENT Landfill. No chemical waste was generated during the reporting month.

## Table 6.1 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

| Month / Year | Quantity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Inert C\&D <br> Materials Generated (a) | Non-inert C\&D Materials (b) |  |  |
|  |  | C\&D Materials <br> Recycled ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | C\&D Waste <br> Disposed of at <br> Landfill(d) | Chemical <br> Waste |
| December 2012 | 4,319 tonnes | 95 kg | 165.28 tonnes | 0 L |
| Nyyyyy |  |  |  |  |

Notes:
(a) Inert C\&D materials (public fill) include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil. In total, 4,319 tonnes of inert C\&D waste were generated from the Project, in which 400 tonnes were reused in this Contract and 3,919 tonnes were sent to public fill during the reporting month. The detailed waste flow is presented in Annex J.
(b) Non-inert C\&D materials (construction wastes) include metals, paper / cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse. Metals generated from the Project were grouped into construction wastes as the materials were not disposed of with others at the public fill.
(c) 60 kg of metals, 20 kg of papers/ cardboard packing and 15 kg of plastics were sent to recyclers for recycling during the reporting period
(d) Construction wastes other than metals, paper/cardboard packaging, plastics and chemicals were disposed of at WENT Landfill by subcontractors.

### 7.1 WEEKLY SITE AUDITS

Joint site inspections were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, the SOR and the ET on $7,13,21$, and 28 December 2012. The IEC was also present at the joint inspection on 28 December 2012.

Major observations during the reporting period are summarised as follows:
7 December 2012

- Two chemical drums were observed without drip trays at the works area in front of the Administration Building at P2. The Contractor was reminded to provide drip trays or remove them if not in use.
- C\&D waste, general waste, cardboard, etc were observed being temporarily stockpiled at the area in front of the Administration Building at P2. The Contractor was advised to separate the waste materials and dispose of them properly.
- A pile of sand outside the Administration Building was not covered by impervious sheet. The Contractor was reminded to cover the pile with impervious sheet to avoid the generation of fugitive dust.
- An oil drum without drip tray was observed on the rooftop of the UV Building. A drip tray was provided to the oil drum immediately by the Contractor.
- A large pool of stagnant water with construction waste was observed near CEPT. The Contractor was reminded to pump and remove the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding and to dispose of the construction waste properly.

13 December 2012

- Several plastic chemical drums without drip trays were stored on bare ground next to gate 2 at P2. The Contractor was reminded to keep the drums inside the drip trays.

21 December 2012

- A steel component was stored on the root flare of retained tree no. R34 at P2. The Contractor was reminded to remove it away from the tree.

28 December 2012

- The haul road at P2 was dry. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient water spraying to suppress the dust generation.
- A chemical drums on the drip tray was not covered by an impervious sheet. The Contractor was reminded to cover the chemical drum with impervious sheet to avoid accumulation of water in the drip tray especially during raining season.

Follow-up actions resulting from the last site inspections were taken as reported by the Contractor and their results were observed in the site inspections conducted in the reporting period.

Landscape and Visual Monitoring
In accordance with the EM\&A Manual, monthly landscape and visual monitoring is required to ensure that the design, implementation and maintenance of landscape and visual mitigation measures recommended in the EIA Report are fully achieved. A review of the landscape and visual mitigation measures was performed on 28 December 2012. The IEC was present at the joint inspection on 28 December 2012. It was confirmed that most of the necessary landscape and visual mitigation measures as summarised in Annex I were implemented by the Contractor. The major findings are summarised as follow:

28 December 2012

- No observation during the site inspection.

The Contractor was reminded to implement the follow-up actions. The status of the follow-up actions will be reviewed in the first weekly site inspections in the next reporting period.

### 8.1.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedance

No exceedances of the Action and Limit Levels of 1-hr and 24-hr TSP was recorded during the reporting period.

### 8.1.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.
8.1.3 Summary of Environmental Complaint

No complaint was received during the reporting period. The cumulative environmental complaint log is shown in Annex K.
8.1.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecution

No summon was received during the reporting period. The cumulative summons/prosecution log is shown in Annex K.

### 9.1.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month

Works to be undertaken for the coming monitoring period are summarised in Table 9.1.

Table 9.1 Construction Works to be Undertaken in the Next Reporting Period

## Work to be undertaken

- Constructing the finishing works at the Administration Building;
- Constructing the structure, water tank and water proofing at the Sludge Dewatering Building;
- Constructing the structure and conducting water test at the PTW area of P2;
- Conducting the finishing works at the CEPT area of P2;
- Constructing column and roof at the UV building;
- Formatting waterproofing and conducting finish work at the Septic Waste Reception Station;
- Constructing the wall and roof at the Reuse Water Pump Room;
- Constructing a control room and raft slab at the DOUA and DOUB;
- Constructing the finishing works at the Chemical Building;
- Constructing the finishing work at the Electrical building No.1, No. 3 and No.4;
- Constructing drainage, cable ducts and a boundary wall at P2;
- Excavation at Payment Flow Meter Chamber; and
- Backfilling and drainage works for the whole site.

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities will be mainly associated with dust, construction noise, site runoffs, waste management and landscaping issues.

### 9.1.2 Monitoring Schedule for the Next Reporting Period

The tentative schedule of TSP monitoring for the next reporting period was presented in Annex E. Environmental monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in the next reporting period. The monitoring programme has been reviewed and was considered adequate for the nature of works in progress.

### 9.1.3 Construction Programme for the Next Three Months

The most up-to-date construction programme for the Project is presented in Annex $L$.

### 10.1 AIR QuALITY

Since the EIA has only included a qualitative assessment of dust impact during the construction phase, a comparison was made between the monitoring results from the start of the Project and the Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives (HKAQO) (see Table 10.1).

## Table 10.1 Comparison of the HKAQO and Air Quality Monitoring Results

| Monitoring Station | Corresponding ASR in EIA | HKAQO, $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}{ }^{-3}$ | Measured 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results, $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}{ }^{-3}{ }^{-3}$ (b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 24 hour ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ | Average | Range |
| AM1 | A1 | 260 | 72 | 53-100 |
| AM2 | A7 | 260 | 77 | 51-102 |

Notes:
(a) Only 24 -hour TSP monitoring results were compared as there is no 1 hour TSP criterion in HKAQO.
(b) The average and range of data were calculated from the period between the commencement of the construction works and this reporting month.

The monitoring results show that the average and range of the 24 -hour TSP levels recorded since the commencement of the construction works have been well below the 24-hour TSP criterion in the HKAQO. Recommended mitigation measures in Section 3.7.1.1 of EIA have been implemented throughout the construction period and were considered effective.

## 10.2

WASte MANAGEMENT

The estimated amount of waste generated from the Project and the cumulative quantities of waste generated up to this reporting month are presented in Table 10.2. The amount of inert C\&D material sent to public fills is higher than the estimated amount in the EIA. With reference to the C\&D Material Assessment (Contractor's General Submission (CSF) No.:
DC200803/CSF/SAF/060026/A), the difference in quantities is mainly due to the differences in excavation depths and the excavation methods in the Contract Works and that assumed in the Reference Design. Recommended mitigation measures in Sections 7.5.1.1 to 7.5.1.9 of the EIA will continue to be implemented during the construction stage.

Table 10.2 Quantity of Amount of C\&D Materials, General Wastes and Chemical Wastes Actually Generated and Estimated in the EIA and C\&D Material Assessment

| Type of Material | Estimated <br> Amount of Public <br> Fill and <br> Construction <br> Waste in the EIA <br> (inert \& non- <br> inert) | Estimated Amount of Public Fill and Construction Waste in C\&D Material Assessment (CSF No.: <br> DC200803/CSF/SAF/060026/ <br> A) (c) | Accumulated Actual Amount of Public Fill and Construction Waste Recorded (a) (b) (d) (inert \& non-inert) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount of C\&D Materials Arising | 61,489 m ${ }^{3}$ | 77,600 m ${ }^{3}$ | $119,310.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Amount of C\&D Materials Reused on other site | - | - | $3,163.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Amount of C\&D Materials Reused on site | 14,926 m ${ }^{3}$ | 18,000 m ${ }^{3}$ | $22,857.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Amount of C\&D Materials Sent to Fill Banks | $46,563 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 59,600 m ${ }^{3}$ | $93,289.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| General Refuse | Small | - | 1,210.9 tonnes |
| Chemical Waste | Small | - | 810.0 L |

Notes:
(a) The actual amount of C\&D Materials has been recorded since the commencement of construction works.
(b) The density of soil and rock (bulked) is 1.8 tonnes $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
(c) The estimated amount of $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{D}$ material generated from the Contract Works was revised in the C\&D Material Assessment and submitted to the SO on 9 September 2010 (CSF No.: DC200803/CSF/SAF/060026/A) because of the new plant \& facility layout.
(d) The quantity of C\&D material reused in this Contract in Oct, Nov and Dec 2012 were updated by the Contractor on 5 January 2013..

### 10.3 Conclusion of The Review

The EIA predictions and monitoring results since the commencement of the construction works have been reviewed. The EIA concluded that the Project would not cause adverse impacts to the environment, and monitoring results have also confirmed that so far. Mitigation measures recommended in the EP, EIA and EM\&A Manual will continue to be implemented throughout the construction phase of the Project.

This EM\&A Report presents the EM\&A programme undertaken during the reporting period from 1 to 31 December 2012 in accordance with EM\&A Manual and requirements of EP (EP-321/2008).

No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded at the monitoring stations during the reporting period.

Monthly landscape and visual monitoring was conducted in the reporting period. Most of the necessary landscape and visual mitigation measures recommended in the EIA Report were implemented by the Contractor.
Follow-up actions are required by the Contractor to improve protection of the retained or to-be transplanted trees.

No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period.
No complaint and summons/prosecution was received during the reporting period.

The ET will keep track of the EM\&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all the necessary mitigation measures in the coming periods.
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## Location of Project
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# Project Organization Chart with Contact Details 

Project Organization During Construction Phase (with contact details)
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Locations of Air Quality
Monitoring Stations



AM1 - Tuen Mun EMSD Servicing Vehicle Station


AM2 - River Trade Terminal Office

Annex E

Monitoring Schedule of Reporting Month and Next Month

Contract No. DC/2008/03 - Design, Build and Operate Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works
(Tuen Mun EMSD Servicing Vehicle Station - AM1 \& River Trade Terminal Office - AM2) December 2012

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1-Dec |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Dec | 3-Dec | 4-Dec | 5-Dec | 6-Dec | 7-Dec | 8-Dec |
|  |  |  |  | 3X1-hr \& 1X 24-hr TSP |  |  |
| 9-Dec | 10-Dec | 11-Dec | 12-Dec | 13-Dec | 14-Dec | 15-Dec |
|  |  |  | 3X1-hr \& 1X 24-hr TSP |  |  |  |
| 16-Dec | 17-Dec | 18-Dec | 19-Dec | 20-Dec | 21-Dec | 22-Dec |
|  |  | 3X1-hr \& 1X 24-hr TSP |  |  |  | 3X1-hr \& 1X 24-hr TSP |
| 23-Dec | 24-Dec | 25-Dec | 26-Dec | 27-Dec | 28-Dec | 29-Dec |
|  |  | General Holiday | General Holiday |  | 3X1-hr \& 1X 24-hr TSP |  |
| 30-Dec | 31-Dec |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Contract No. DC/2008/03 - Design, Build and Operate Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works
(Tuen Mun EMSD Servicing Vehicle Station - AM1 \& River Trade Terminal Office - AM2)
January 2012


Annex F

## Calibration Reports for HVSs

## TSP Monitoring Equipment

| Monitoring <br> Station ID | Location | Monitoring Equipment | Last Calibration Date |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Next Calibration Date |  |  |  |  |
| AMr and 1-hr TSP |  | HVS | Calibrator |  |
| AM2 | Tuen Mun EMSD Vehicle Servicing Station | GMW GS-2310 (S/N 7580) | CM-AIR-43 (S/N 0438320) | 03 November 2012 |

## High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-Point Calibration Record

| Location | $:$ | EMSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calibrated by | $:$ | K.T.Ho |
| Date | $:$ | $03 / 11 / 2012$ |
|  |  |  |
| Sampler | $:$ | GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL |
| Model | $:$ | S/N 7580 |
| Serial Number | $:$ | 1378 |
| Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship |  |  |
| Serial Number | $:$ | 22 Feb 2012 |
| Service Date | $:$ | 1.99405 |
| Slope (m) | $:$ | -0.00397 |
| Intercept (b) <br> Correlation Coefficient(r) | $:$ | 0.99999 |
| Standard Condition | $:$ | 1013 |
| Pstd (hpa) | $:$ | 298.18 |
| Tstd (K) |  |  |
| Calibration Condition | $:$ | 1016 |
| Pa (hpa) | $:$ | 294 |
| Ta(K) |  |  |


| Resistance Plate |  | dH [green liquid] <br> (inch water) | Z | X=Qstd <br> (cubic meter/min) | IC | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18 holes | 11.3 | 3.389 | 1.702 | 54 | 54.4 |
| 2 | 13 holes | 9.5 | 3.108 | 1.560 | 48 | 48.4 |
| 3 | 10 holes | 7.1 | 2.687 | 1.349 | 40 | 40.3 |
| 4 | 7 holes | 4.5 | 2.139 | 1.075 | 30 | 30.2 |
| 5 | 5 holes | 2.6 | 1.626 | 0.817 | 20 | 20.2 |

Sampler Calibration Relationship


Checked by: Magnum Fan
Date: 06/11/2012

High-Volume TSP Sampler
5-Point Calibration Record

| Location | $:$ | River Trade |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Calibrated by | $:$ | P.F.Yeung |
| Date | $:$ | $03 / 11 / 2012$ |
|  |  |  |
| Sampler | $:$ | GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL |
| Model |  | S/N 1252 |
| Serial Number |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship |  |  |
| Serial Number | $:$ | 1378 |
| Service Date | $:$ | 22 Feb 2012 |
| Slope (m) | $:$ | -0.0039705 |
| Intercept (b) | $:$ | 0.99999 |
| Correlation Coefficient(r) |  |  |
| Standard Condition | $:$ | 1013 |
| Pstd (hpa) | $:$ | 298.18 |
| Tstd (K) |  |  |

Calibration Condition

| Pa (hpa) | $:$ | 1016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Resistance Plate |  | dH [green liquid] <br> (inch water) | Z | X=Qstd <br> (cubic meter/min) | IC | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18 holes | 11.2 | 3.374 | 1.694 | 64 | 64.5 |
| 2 | 13 holes | 9.1 | 3.042 | 1.527 | 56 | 56.5 |
| 3 | 10 holes | 7.3 | 2.724 | 1.368 | 48 | 48.4 |
| 4 | 7 holes | 4.6 | 2.162 | 1.086 | 36 | 36.3 |
| 5 | 5 holes | 2.6 | 1.626 | 0.817 | 22 | 22.2 |

Sampler Calibration Relationship


Annex G

## 24-hour and 1-hour TSP <br> Monitoring Results

## Annex G-24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results
Station AM1

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Finish Time | Weather | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TSP } \\ \text { Concentration } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Action Level $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Limit Level $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Site Conditions / <br> Observations / Remarks | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Wind Speed <br> $*$ <br> $(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sampler } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Filter } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/12/2012 | 13:10 | 14:10 | Cloudy | 99 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 7580 | 6042 |
|  | 14:10 | 15:10 | Cloudy | 97 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 7580 | 6043 |
|  | 15:10 | 16:10 | Cloudy | 91 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 7580 | 6044 |
| 12/12/2012 | 13:10 | 14:10 | Sunny | 86 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 7580 | 6068 |
|  | 14:10 | 15:10 | Sunny | 83 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 7580 | 6069 |
|  | 15:10 | 16:10 | Sunny | 92 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 7580 | 6070 |
| 18/12/2012 | 13:10 | 14:10 | Rainy | 72 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 7580 | 6092 |
|  | 14:10 | 15:10 | Rainy | 81 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 7580 | 6093 |
|  | 15:10 | 16:10 | Cloudy | 81 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 7580 | 6094 |
| 22/12/2012 | 13:10 | 14:10 | Fine | 91 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 7580 | 5917 |
|  | 14:10 | 15:10 | Fine | 93 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 7580 | 5918 |
|  | 15:10 | 16:10 | Fine | 85 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 7580 | 5919 |
| 28/12/2012 | 13:10 | 14:10 | Sunny | 80 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 20.0 | * | 7580 | 6152 |
|  | 14:10 | 15:10 | Fine | 87 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 20.0 | * | 7580 | 6153 |
|  | 15:10 | 16:10 | Fine | 93 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 20.0 | * | 7580 | 6154 |
|  |  |  | Min. | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Max. | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Wind Speed data is presented in the Meteorological Data table

## Annex G-24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

| Date | Start <br> Time | Finish <br> Time | Weather | TSP Concentration $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Action Level $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Limit Level $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Site Conditions / <br> Observations / Remarks | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Wind Speed * $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampler } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Filter ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/12/2012 | 13:00 | 14:00 | Cloudy | 96 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 1252 | 6038 |
|  | 14:00 | 15:00 | Cloudy | 105 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 1252 | 6039 |
|  | 15:00 | 16:00 | Cloudy | 100 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 1252 | 6040 |
| 12/12/2012 | 13:00 | 14:00 | Sunny | 103 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 1252 | 6064 |
|  | 14:00 | 15:00 | Sunny | 104 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 1252 | 6065 |
|  | 15:00 | 16:00 | Sunny | 105 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 1252 | 6066 |
| 18/12/2012 | 13:00 | 14:00 | Rainy | 96 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 1252 | 6088 |
|  | 14:00 | 15:00 | Rainy | 100 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 1252 | 6089 |
|  | 15:00 | 16:00 | Rainy | 102 | 343 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 16.0 | * | 1252 | 6090 |
| 22/12/2012 | 13:00 | 14:00 | Fine | 96 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 1252 | 5913 |
|  | 14:00 | 15:00 | Fine | 90 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 1252 | 5914 |
|  | 15:00 | 16:00 | Fine | 94 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 18.0 | * | 1252 | 5915 |
| 28/12/2012 | 13:00 | 14:00 | Sunny | 115 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 20.0 | * | 1252 | 6148 |
|  | 14:00 | 15:00 | Fine | 97 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 20.0 | * | 1252 | 6149 |
|  | 15:00 | 16:00 | Fine | 101 | 383 | 500 | Construction work in progress | 20.0 | * | 1252 | 6150 |
|  |  |  | Min. | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Max. | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Wind Speed data is presented in the Meteorological Data table

## Annex G-24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

## 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

## Station AM1

| Start |  | Finish |  | Weather | Filter Weight (g) |  | Elapsed Time Reading |  | Sampling Time | Flow Rate ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ ) |  |  | TSP Conc. | Action Level | Limit Level | Observations / Remarks | Sampler | Filter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Time | Date | Time |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | (hrs) | Initial | Final | Average | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right.$ ) | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |  | ID | ID |
| 06/12/2012 | 16:10 | 7-Dec-12 | 16:10 | Cloudy | 2.8171 | 2.9449 | 13709.18 | 13733.18 | 24 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 64 | 183 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 7580 | 6045 |
| 12/12/2012 | 16:10 | 13-Dec-12 | 16:10 | Sunny | 2.7826 | 2.9009 | 13736.18 | 13760.18 | 24 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 60 | 183 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 7580 | 6071 |
| 18/12/2012 | 16:10 | 19-Dec-12 | 16:10 | Cloudy | 2.7707 | 2.8911 | 13601.18 | 13625.18 | 24 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 61 | 183 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 7580 | 6095 |
| 22/12/2012 | 16:10 | 23-Dec-12 | 16:10 | Fine | 2.8197 | 2.9641 | 13790.18 | 13814.18 | 24 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 73 | 183 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 7580 | 5920 |
| 28/12/2012 | 16:10 | 29-Dec-12 | 16:10 | Fine | 2.8155 | 2.9367 | 13817.18 | 13841.18 | 24 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 61 | 183 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 7580 | 6155 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Min. | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Max. | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |

## 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

| Start |  | Finish |  | Weather | Filter Weight (g) |  | Elapsed Time Reading |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sampling } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Flow Rate ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ ) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSP } \\ & \text { Conc. } \end{aligned}$ | Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Limit } \\ & \text { Level } \end{aligned}$ | Observations / Remarks | Sampler | Filter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Time | Date | Time |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | (hrs) | Initial | Final | Average | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right.$ ) | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right.$ ) |  | ID | ID |
| 06/12/2012 | 16:00 | 7-Dec-12 | 16:00 | Cloudy | 2.8049 | 2.9315 | 21726.2 | 21750.2 | 24 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 72 | 192 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 1252 | 6041 |
| 12/12/2012 | 16:00 | 13-Dec-12 | 16:00 | Sunny | 2.8060 | 2.9291 | 21753.20 | 21777.20 | 24 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 70 | 192 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 1252 | 6067 |
| 18/12/2012 | 16:00 | 19-Dec-12 | 16:00 | Rainy | 2.7767 | 2.8998 | 21780.20 | 21804.20 | 24 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 70 | 192 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 1252 | 6091 |
| 22/12/2012 | 16:00 | 23-Dec-12 | 16:00 | fine | 2.8255 | 2.9494 | 21807.20 | 21831.20 | 24 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 71 | 192 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 1252 | 5916 |
| 28/12/2012 | 16:00 | 29-Dec-12 | 16:00 | Fine | 2.8234 | 2.9388 | 21834.20 | 21858.20 | 24 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 66 | 192 | 260 | Construction work in progress | 1252 | 6151 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Min. | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Max. | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |

Meteorological Data Extracted from the Hong Kong Observatory

|  |  | Tuen Mun Station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Weather | Average Air <br> Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Average Relative <br> Humiditiy $(\%)$ | Total Rainfall <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Average Wind <br> Speed $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathbf{h})$ | Wind Direction |
| $06 / 12 / 2012$ | Cloudy | 16.0 | $63-80$ | 0.0 | 9.0 | N |
| $07 / 12 / 2012$ | Cloudy | 18.0 | $70-86$ | Trace | 6.0 | N |
| $12 / 12 / 2012$ | Sunny | 17.5 | $67-79$ | 0.0 | 12.0 | N |
| $13 / 12 / 2012$ | Sunny | 18.3 | $71-86$ | 0.0 | 8.0 | N |
| $18 / 12 / 2012$ | Cloudy | 16.0 | $65-93$ | 2.3 | 6.0 | S |
| $19 / 12 / 2012$ | Cloudy | 15.0 | $71-92$ | 1.1 | 14.0 | NE |
| $22 / 12 / 2012$ | Fine | 17.8 | $64-88$ | 0.0 | 8.0 | NW |
| $23 / 12 / 2012$ | Fine | 13.0 | $40-66$ | 0.0 | 15.0 | N |
| $28 / 2 / 2022$ | Fine | 20.0 | $66-81$ | Trace | 6.0 | N |
| $29 / 12 / 2012$ | Fine | 16.0 | $67-97$ | 22.1 | 6.0 | SE |

Annex G TSP Monitoring Results

24-hr TSP Levels for the Past 4 Months AM1 (Tuen Mun EMSD Vehicle Servicing Station)


24-hr TSP Levels for the Past 4 Months AM2 (River Trade Terminal Office)


1-hr TSP Levels for the Past 4 Months AM1 (Tuen Mun EMSD Vehicle Servicing Station)


1-hr TSP Levels for the Past 4 Months AM2 (River Trade Terminal Office)


Annex H

## Event/Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring

## Table H1

 Event Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring| Action Level/Limit Level | Environmental Team Leader (ETL) | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Supervising Officer <br> Representative (SOR) | Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Level |  |  |  |  |
| Exceedance for one sample | - Identify source, investigate the causes of complaint and propose remedial measures; <br> - Inform IEC and SOR; <br> - Repeat measurement to confirm findings; <br> - Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | - Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> - Check Contractor's working method. | - Notify Contractor and DSD. | - Rectify any unacceptable practice; <br> - Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | - Identify source; <br> - Inform IEC and SOR; <br> - Advise the SOR on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> - Repeat measurements to confirm findings; <br> - Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> - Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; <br> - If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and SOR; <br> - If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | - Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> - Check Contractor's working method; <br> - Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; <br> - Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> - Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | - Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; <br> - Notify Contractor and DSD; <br> - Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | - Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; <br> - Implement the agreed proposals; <br> - Amend proposal if appropriate. |


| Action Level/Limit Level | Environmental Team Leader (ETL) | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Supervising Officer Representative (SOR) | Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit Level |  |  |  |  |
| Exceedance for one sample | - Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; <br> - Inform IEC, SOR, DSD and EPD; <br> - Repeat measurement to confirm finding; <br> - Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> - Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD, DSD and SOR informed of the results. | - Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> - Check Contractor's working method; <br> - Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; <br> - Advise the SOR on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> - Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | - Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; <br> - Notify Contractor; <br> - Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | - Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; <br> - Implement the agreed proposals; <br> - Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | - Notify IEC, SOR, DSD and EPD; <br> - Identify source; <br> - Repeat measurement to confirm findings; <br> - Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> - Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; <br> - Arrange meeting with IEC and SOR to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; <br> - Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions | - Discuss amongst SOR, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; <br> - Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the SOR accordingly; <br> - Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | - Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; <br> - Notify Contractor; <br> - In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; <br> - Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; <br> - If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | - Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; <br> - Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; <br> - Implement the agreed proposals; <br> - Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; <br> - Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the SOR until the exceedance is abated. |

## Annex I

## Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures

## Annex I Summary of Mitigation Measures Implementation Schedule

| Type of <br> Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summary of Environmental Mitigation Measures in the EIA and EMEA Manual |  |  |  |
| Construction Phase | Notice of works commencement was <br> submitted to EPD on 3 August 2010. |  |  |
| Air Quality | Dust mitigation measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control <br> (Construction Dust) Regulation shall be incorporated to control Post <br> emission. Notice shall be given to authority prior to commencing of <br> work. | Work sites / during construction period |  |
| Water Quality | The practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage <br> should be adopted. It is recommended to install perimeter channels in <br> the works areas to intercept runoff as site boundary prior to the <br> commencement of any earthwork. To prevent storm runoff from <br> washing across exposed soil surfaces, intercepting channels should be <br> provided. Drainage channels are also required to convey site runoff to <br> sand/silt traps and oil interceptors. Provision of regular cleaning and <br> maintenance can ensure the normal operation of these facilities <br> throughout the construction period. Any practical options for the <br> diversion and re-alignment of drainage should comply with both <br> engineering and environmental requirements in order to ensure <br> adequate hydraulic capacity of all drains. | Work site/During the construction period | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Water Quality | There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge license under the WPCO <br> for discharging effluent from the construction site. The discharge <br> quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge <br> license. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas <br> should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM- <br> DSS. Reuse and recycling of the treated effluent can minimize water <br> consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. The beneficial <br> uses of the treated effluent may include dust suppression, wheel <br> washing and general cleaning. If monitoring of the treated effluent <br> quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase <br> of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with <br> the WPCO license which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of <br> EPD. | Work site/During the construction period | Discharge licence was awarded by EPD <br> on 7 December 2010. |
| Water Quality | The construction programme should be properly planned to minimize <br> soil excavation, if any, in rainy seasons. This prevents soil erosion from | Work site/During the construction period | <> |


| Type of Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | exposed soil surfaces. Any exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission. In areas where a large amount of exposed soil exists, earth bunds or sand bags should be provided. Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets at all times. The stockpiles of materials should be placed at locations away from any stream course so as to avoid releasing materials into the water bodies. Final surfaces of earthworks should be compacted and protected by permanent work. It is suggested that haul roads should be paved with concrete and the temporary access roads protected using crashed stone or gravel, wherever practicable. Wheel washing facilities should be provided at all site exists to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles. |  |  |
| Water Quality | Good sites practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on the construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. | Work site/During the construction period | <> |
| Water Quality | The presence of construction workers generates sewage. It is recommended to provide sufficient chemical toilets in the works areas. The toilet facilities should be more than 30 m from any watercourse. A licensed water collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. The construction workers can also make use of the existing toilet facilities within the PPSTW as necessary. | Work site/During the construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Water Quality | Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the project. Regular environmental audit on the construction phase of the project. Regular environmental audit on the construction site can provide an effective control of any malpractices and can achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site. | Work site/During the construction period | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Waste <br> Management | Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation | Work site/During the construction period | $\sqrt{ }$ |


| Type of Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. |  |  |
| Waste <br> Management | Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and stumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. | Work site/During the construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Waste Management | Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with the chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows: <br> - Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport. <br> - Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents. <br> - Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. | Work site/During the construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Waste Management | Good Site Practices Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include: <br> - Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site <br> - Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures <br> - Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste <br> - Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by | Work site/During the construction period | <> |


| Type of Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | transporting wastes in enclosed containers <br> - Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. <br> - Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. |  |  |
| Waste <br> Management | Waste Reduction Measures <br> Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: <br> - Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. <br> - Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force <br> - Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. <br> - Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. | Work site/During planning \& design stage, and construction stage | $\checkmark$ |
| Waste Management | General Refuse <br> General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C\&D material. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C\&D material. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material. | Work site / During the construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Waste <br> Management | Construction and Demolition Material <br> In order to minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C\&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated | Work site / During design stage \& construction period | $\checkmark$ |


| Type of Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | material generated from site formation works for the proposed new facilities and units at the STW should be reused on-site as far as practicable. The surplus excavated material should be disposed of at the designated public fill reception facility, as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee, for other beneficial uses. |  |  |
| Waste Management | Mitigation measures and good site practices should be followed to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C\&D material. The mitigation measures include: <br> - Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C\&D material pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles shall be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible. <br> - Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes onsite should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric. <br> - Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting. <br> - Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site <br> - The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. <br> - The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle. <br> - All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet. <br> - The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading. | Work site / During design stage \& construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Waste Management | When disposing C\&D material at a public filling facility, it shall be noted that the material shall only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete. The material shall be free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal | Work site/During design stage \& construction period | $\checkmark$ |


| Type of <br> Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | and vegetable matter, and other material considered to be unsuitable by <br> the Filling Supervisor. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus <br> C\&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control <br> fly tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included as one of the <br> contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team <br> undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work with <br> reference to the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 "Trip Ticket System for <br> Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials" as attached in <br> Appendix 7-1. An Independent Environmental Checker should be <br> responsible for auditing the results of the system. |  |  |
| Waste <br> Management | Chemical Waste |  |  |
|  | If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor <br> would be required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste <br> Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on <br> the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality <br> containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and <br> incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels <br> should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating <br> the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as <br> explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. <br> The Contractor shall use licensed collector to transport and dispose of <br> the chemical wastes, to either the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or <br> another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal <br> (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | Work site / During the construction period | V |
|  <br> Visual | Temporary Tree Nurseries |  |  |


| Type of Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the construction period. |  |  |
| Landscape \& Visual | No-intrusion Zone <br> To maximize protection to existing trees and ground vegetation, construction contracts may designate "No-intrusion Zone" to various areas within the site boundary with rigid and durable fencing for each individual no-intrusion zone. The contractor should close monitor and restrict the site working staff not to enter the "no-intrusion zone", even for non-direct construction activities and storage of equipment. | Work site/During design stage \& construction period | <> |
| Landscape \& Visual | Hoarding <br> Hoarding or boundary fencing for construction shall be considered. It should be sensitively designed, subtle, camouflaged and more 'permeable' so that they fit into the existing environment when looking from outside. | Work site/During design stage \& construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Landscape \& Visual | Dust and Erosion Control for Exposed Soil <br> Excavation works and demolition of existing building blocks and which will be highly visible form surrounding areas should be well planned and with precautions to suppress dust. Exposed soil shall be covered or 'camouflaged' and watered often. Areas that are expected to be left with bare soil for a long period of time after excavation shall be properly covered with suitable protective fabric. Silt and erosion shall be controlled by ground barriers around the slope cutting area.. | Work site/During design stage \& construction period | <> |
| Landscape \& Visual | Existing Tree Record Inventory <br> All retained trees should be record photographically at the commencement of the Contract, and carefully protected during the construction period. Detailed tree protection specification shall be allowed and included in the Contract Specification, which specifying the tree protection requirement, submission and approval system, and the tree monitoring system. | Work site/During design stage \& construction period | $\checkmark$ |


| Type of <br> Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  <br> Visual | Construction Light <br> All security floodlights for construction sites shall be equipped with <br> adjustable shield, frosted diffusers and reflective covers, and be carefully <br> controlled to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby <br> residences and GIC users. The Contractor shall consider other security <br> measures which shall minimize the visual impacts. | Work site / During design stage \& construction <br> period | $\checkmark$ |
|  <br> Visual | Tree Transplanting <br> Apart from the 18 numbers of "Leucaena leucocephala", which are <br> proposed to be felled in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 3/2006, all the <br> affected trees shall be transplanted. Where practicable, trees shall be <br> directly transplanted to permanent on-site locations. The location of the <br> transplanted tree is shown in Figure 8.9.1. | Work site / During design stage \& construction <br> period | V. Tree transplantation in progress. |
|  <br> Visual | Tree Compensation Ratio <br> The total number of compensatory trees planted in the project area shall <br> not be less than 1:1 ratios by new trees. Required numbers and locations <br> of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed with Government <br> during the tree felling application process under ETWCTC 3/2006. <br> Compensatory trees shall be at least heavy standard size to create <br> "immediate" greening effect. 81 numbers of "Cassia surattensis" will be <br> provided as the additional compensatory planting for loss of greenery in <br> the area due to removal of the affected trees. The location of the <br> additional compensatory planting is shown in Figure 8.9.1. | period / During design stage \& construction | N/A |
|  <br> Visual | Re-use of Existing Soil and Advance formation of Planting Area | Work site / During design stage \& construction <br> Existing topsoil shall be re-used where possible for new planting areas <br> within the project. Advance formation of planting area and early <br> implementation of the plating works can minimize adverse impact on <br> trees. The construction program shall consider using the soil removed <br> from one phase for backfilling another. Suitable storage ground, <br> gathering ground and mixing ground may be set up on-site as <br> necessary. | V |


| Type of Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landscape \& Visual | Establishment Period <br> 12 month establishment period for the soft landscape works will be allowed in the main contract. Most construction contracts in Hong Kong require the Contractor to carry out routine horticultural operations, including watering, pruning, weeding, pest control, replacement of dead plants etc. to ensure healthy establishment of new planting during a 12 month establishment period. This period also serves as a kind of warranty / guarantee on the quality of the plants supplied and installed by the Contractor. Monthly monitoring during the first year of establishment period is recommended. | Work site/During operation period | N/A. To be implemented during operation phase of Project. |
| Landscape \& Visual | Re-instatement of excavated Area <br> All excavated area and disturbed area for utilities diversion, temporary road diversion, and pipeline woks will be reinstated to former conditions, subject to applicable Government Standards. | Work site / During design stage \& operation period | N/A. To be implemented during operation phase of Project. |
| Landscape \& Visual | Appearance and Greening for the proposed structures Compatible design, construction materials and surface finishes of the proposed structure should match with the nearby existing external appearance of PPSTW buildings for achieving visual uniformity. Finishing materials shall have due consideration to form, basic color, color/tone variation, micro-and macro-texture, and reflectivity/light absorbance to avoid glare. Planting, such as turf, low groundcovers and climbers, may also be planted on top of these elements to provide greening and aesthetic effect. | Work site / During design stage \& operation period | N/A. To be implemented during operation phase of Project. |
| Summary of Key Environmental Mitigation Measures in Contract Requirements |  |  |  |
| Air Quality | Only Ultra-low-sulphur diesel (ULSD) should be used for all dieseloperated plants and equipments on site | Work sites / during construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Air Quality and Noise | Plants and equipments of good operation conditions should be used on site. | Work sites / during construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Noise | No diesel hammers should be used for piling works | Work sites / during construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Noise | Construction Noise Permits (CNP) should be applied for works conducted outside non-restricted hours. | Work sites / during construction period | $\checkmark$ |
| Noise | Quiet construction equipments and the quietest practicable working | Work sites / during construction period | $\checkmark$ |


| Type of <br> Impact | Environmental Protection Measures | Location/ Timing | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | methodologies should be adopted for works whenever feasible. Noise <br> labels should be provided for air compressors. Hoods and cover panels <br> of generators and air compressors should be closed during operation. <br> Noise labels should be provided for air compressors and hand-held <br> percussive breakers. |  |  |
| Waste <br> Management | Temporary works construction on site should minimize the use of <br> timber to reduce the quantity of C\&D waste generated during works <br> period. | Work sites / during construction period | $V$ |
| Landscape and <br> Visual | Retained or to-be-transplanted trees on site should be properly protected <br> from physical damages and soil compacts with temporary fencing or <br> hessian armouring whenever feasible. | Work sites / during construction period | $<>$ |

Remark:
$\checkmark \quad$ Compliance of Mitigation Measures
<> Compliance of Mitigation but need improvement
$x \quad$ Non-compliance of Mitigation Measures
© Non-compliance of Mitigation Measures but rectified by ATAL-Degrémont-China State JV
$\Delta \quad$ Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by ATAL-Degrémont-China State JV
N/A Not Applicable in Reporting Period

## Annex J

## Waste Flow Table

## Contract No. : DC/2008/03 - Design, Build and Operate Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C\&D Materials (Public Fill) Generated (see Note 13) |  |  |  |  | Actual Quantities of Non-inert C\&D Materials (Construction Waste) Generated (see Note 13) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Quantity Generated | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill |  | Metals (see <br> Note 1) | Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 1) | Plastics (see Note 2) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3) |
|  |  |  |  | Rocks \& Broken Concrete | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | tonne | tonne | tonne | tonne |  | kilogram | kilogram | kilogram | Litre | tonne |
| Nov 2010 | 2,248 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 2,248 | 60 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 18.05 (see Note 4) |
| Dec 2010 | 11,314 (see Note 4) | 0 | 0 | 225 | 11,314 | 100 | 120 | 20 | 0 | 28.40 (see Note 4) |
| Jan 2011 | 58,383 (see Note 4) | 0 | 0 | 3000 | 58,384 | 250 | 280 | 60 | 0 | 4.59 (see Note 4) |
| Sub-total | 71,945 | 0 | 0 | 71,946 |  | 410 | 500 | 80 | 0 | 51.04 |
| Feb 2011 | 12,855 | 0 | 0 | 1,050 | 12,855 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 0 | 2.43 (see Note 4) |
| Mar 2011 | 22,859 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 22,858 | 150 | 180 | 55 | 0 | 9.02 |
| Apr 2011 | 8,547 (see Note 7) | 0 | 5,684(see Note 5, 7) | 550 | 2,863 | 50 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 5.78 |
| Sub-total | 44,261 | 0 | 5684 | 38,576.40 |  | 300 | 360 | 120 | 0 | 17.23 |
| May 2011 | 6,293 (see Note 7) | 0 | 11 (see Note 5, 7) | 425 | 6,282 (see Note 7) | 45 | 25 | 10 | 360 (see Note 7) | 8.83 |
| Jun 2011 | 4,587 (see Note 7) | 0 | 0 (see Note 7) | 313 | 4,587 (see Note 7) | 40 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 7.10 |
| Jul 2011 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 523 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 7.20 |
| Sub-total | 11,403 | 0 | 11 | 11,392 |  | 100 | 60 | 35 | 360 | 23.13 |
| Aug 2011 | 571 (see Note 11) | 0 | 0 | 50 | 571 (see Note 11) | 0 | 0 | 15 | 450 (see Note 8) | 6.12 |
| Sept 2011 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 235 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.15 (see Note 9) |
| Oct 2011 | 5,705 (see Note 10) | 0 | 0 | 650 | 5,705 (see Note 10) | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.98 |
| Sub-total | 6,511 | 0 | 0 | 6,511 |  | 120 | 0 | 15 | 450 | 21.25 |
| Nov 2011 | 6,294 | 0 | 0 | 775 | 6,294 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44.84 |
| Dec 2011 | 3,011 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 3,011 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.14 |
| Jan 2012 | 349 | 64 | 0 | 25 | 285 | 20 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 49.01 |


| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C\&D Materials (Public Fill) Generated |  |  |  |  | Actual Quantities of Non-inert C\&D Materials (Construction Waste) Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Quantity Generated | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill |  | Metals (see <br> Note 1) | Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 1) | Plastics (see Note 2) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3) |
|  |  |  |  | Rocks \& Broken Concrete | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | tonne | tonne | tonne | tonne | tonne | kilogram | kilogram | kilogram | Litre | tonne |
| Sub-total | 9,654 | 64 | 0 | 9,590 |  | 90 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 110.99 |
| Feb 2012 | 3,371 | 30 | 0 | 2,810 | 3,341 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48.72 |
| Mar 2012 | 6,460 | 3,000 | 0 | 625 | 3,460 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41.10 |
| April 2012 | 3,774 | 3,000 | 0 | 250 | 774 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.01 |
| Sub-total | 13,605 | 6,030 | 0 | 7,585 |  | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129.83 |
| May 2012 | 7,936 | 5,600 | 0 | 750 | 2,336 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 75.19 |
| June 2012 | 13,091 | 7,500 | 0 | 875 | 5,591 | 40 | 35 | 8 | 0 | 66.74 |
| July 2012 | 11,972 | 8,600 | 0 | 825 | 3,373 | 40 (see <br> Note 12) | 36 | 5 | 0 | 100.50 |
| Sub-total | 32,999 | 21,700 | 0 | 11,299.50 |  | 120 | 70.9 | 23 | 0 | 242.43 |
| Aug 2012 | 11,660 | 11,000 | 0 | 950 | 659 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 78.77 |
| Sept 2012 | 3,055 | 1,500 | 0 | 920 | 1,555 | 30 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 118.80 |
| Oct 2012 | 2,657 | 200 | 0 | 500 | 2,457 | 30 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 124.04 |
| Sub-total | 17,172 | 12,500 | 0 | 4672.2 |  | 90 | 109 | 19 | 0 | 321.61 |
| Nov-2012 | 2,691 | 250 | 0 | 750 | 2,441 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 0 | 128.08 |
| Dec-2012 | 4,319 | 400 | 0 | 200 | 3,919.13 | 60 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 165.28 |
| Total | 214,759 | 41,144 | 5,695 | 167,920 |  | 1,560 | 1,295 | 317 | 810 | 1,211 |

Notes: (1) Metal and paper/cardboard packaging were collected by recycler for recycling.
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material collected by recycler for recycling.
(3) General refuse was disposed of at WENT by subcontractors.
(4) The waste flow data for November and December 2010, January and February 2011 was updated in March 2011based on SOR's comments and has been confirmed by the Contractor.
(5) The inert C\&D materials were reused in the Contract No. EP/SP/58/08 at Tuen Mun Tsang Tsui.
(6) Chemical waste was collected though the licensed chemical waste collector, Dunwell Ind. (Holdings) Ltd, with the waste collection licence number 7111-757-W0015-WC.
(7) The waste flow data for April, May and June 2011 was updated in August 2011 based on SOR's comments and has been confirmed by the Contractor.
(8) The waste flow data of chemical waste for August 2011 was updated in October 2011 based on Contractor's revised waste flow summary.
(9) The waste flow data of general refuse for September 2011 was updated in November 2011 based on Contractor's revised waste flow summary.
(10) The waste flow data of C\&D material for October 2011 was updated in December 2011 based on Contractor's revised waste flow summary.
(11) The waste flow data of C\&D material for August 2011 was updated in January 2011 based on SOR's comments and has been confirmed by the Contractor.
(12) The waste flow data of metal and paper/cardboard packaging for June 2011 was revised in August 2012.
(13) The quantity of inert and non-inert C\&D material generated from May 2012 to December and imported fill material was updated by the Contractor on 6 November 2012.
(14) The quantity of Rocks \& Broken Concrete from November 2010 to November 2012 was updated by the Contractor on 12 December 2012.
(15) The quantity of C\&D material reused in this Contract in Oct, Nov and Dec 2012 were updated by the Contractor on 5 January 2012.

Annex K

## Environmental Complaint, Environmental Summons and Persecution Log

Annex K Cumulative Complaint and Summons/Prosecutions Log

| Reporting Month | Number of Complaints in Reporting Month | Number of Summons/Prosecutions in Reporting Month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| January 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| February 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| April 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| May 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| July 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| August 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| September 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| January 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| February 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2012 | 0 | 0 |


| Reporting Month | Number of Complaints in Reporting Month | Number of Summons/Prosecutions in Reporting Month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| May 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| July 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| August 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| September 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2012 | 0 | 0 |
| Overall Total | 0 | 0 |

Annex L

Construction Programme of the Project
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