BI-WEEKLY IMPACT WATER QUALITY MONITORING REPORT



CLP 🔂 中電

Proposed 11kV Submarine Cables Replacement Connecting Liu Ko Ngam and Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O -Environmental Monitoring & Audit

Bi-weekly Impact Water Quality Monitoring Report

1 February 2016

Submitted by Environmental Resources Management 16/F Berkshire House 25 Westlands Road Quarry Bay, Hong Kong Telephone 2271 3000 Facsimile 2723 5660



www.erm.com



Environmental Resources

Telephone: (852) 2271 3000 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660

E-mail: post.hk@erm.com http://www.erm.com

16/F Berkshire House

25 Westlands Road Quarry Bay, Hong Kong

Management

Proposed 11kV Submarine Cables Replacement Connecting Liu Ko Ngam and Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O - Environmental Monitoring & Audit

Bi-weekly Impact Water Quality Monitoring Report

Document Code: 0259952_Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Repor for Fouther and Fifth Week Water Quality Monitoring.doc

Client: Project No: CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) 0259952 Date: Summary: 1 February 2016 Approved by: This document presents the monitoring requirements, methodologies and results of the fourth and fifth week impact water quality measurements at the monitoring locations near the /MOE proposed 11kV submarine cables replacement connecting Liu Ko Ngam and Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O. Terence Fong Partner v0 Bi-weekly Impact Water Quality Monitoring Report (Fourth and Fifth YL FΖ TF 1/2/16 Week) Checked Revision Description By Approved Date This report has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management the trading Distribution name of 'ERM Hong-Kong, Limited', with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. Internal OHSAS 18001-2 We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. Public This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk. Confidential





Proposed 11kV Submarine Cables Replacement Connecting Liu Ko Ngam and Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O - Environmental Monitoring & Audit Environmental Certification Sheet

EP-461/2013

Reference Document/Plan

Document/ Plan to be -Certified / Verified:	Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Report for Fourth and Fifth Week Impact Water Quality Monitoring
Date of Report:	1 February 2016
Date prepared by Environmental Team:	1 February 2016
Date received by IC:	1 February 2016

Reference Project Profile Annex E EM&A Requirement and EP Requirement

 EM&A Requirement:
 Project Profile, Annex E EM&A Requirements, Section E1

 Content:
 Water Quality Monitoring and Reporting

- E.1.3 "Impact Monitoring will comprise sampling two times a week during the cable installation works at the same location as the Baseline Monitoring Stations. Samples shall be taken during both mid flood and mid ebb tidal states on each sampling occasion...In case the Impact Monitoring is ceased with reasons such as the operations of the cable installation has no disturbance of seabed or the works are suspended due to safety issue or adverse weather conditions etc. for more than 1 week. The Contractor should send a confirmation letter to EPD and AFCD 1 week before the cessation of Impact Monitoring."
- E.1.5 "Schedule for impact monitoring should be submitted to EPD and AFCD at least 1 week before commencement of the monitoring works for agreement. A letter report shall be provided to EPD and AFCD that shall include the monitoring results and an interpretation of monitoring results. The monitoring data should be provided graphically to show the relationship between the Control, Gradient and Impact Stations and compliance or noncompliance with respect to the Action/Limit Levels.... An Impact Monitoring Report shall be provided within one week of completing every weekly monitoring survey for the first three impact monitoring weeks. If there are no exceedances recorded during the first three weeks, a Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Report shall be provided within 1 week of completing every two weekly monitoring surveys."

EP Con Content	ndition:	Condition No. 2.1 Water Quality Monitoring
2.1		ures described in the Project Profile (No. PP-489/2013) submitted by the applicant on 30 May 2013 shall mplemented.

IC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-461/2013.

leve

Date:

1 February 2016

Terence Fong, Independent Checker

ng, /.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EXECUTI	IVE SUMMARY	i
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	1
1.2	STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT	1
2	PROJECT INFORMATION	2
2.1	BACKGROUND	2
2.2	MARINE CONSTRUCTION WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING	
	Reporting Week	3
2.3	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVAL DOCUMENTS	3
3	IMPACT WATER QUALITY MONITORING	
	REQUIREMENTS	4
3.1	MONITORING LOCATIONS	4
3.2	MONITORING PARAMETERS	5
3.3	MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND METHODOLOGY	5
3.4	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS	7
3.5	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN	8
4	IMPACT WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS	9
5	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCES	10
5.1	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL EXCEEDANCE	10
5.2	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE	10
5.3	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT	10
5.4	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	10
6	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	11
7	CONCLUSIONS	12

- Table 2.1Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification, Permit and
Reporting Status
- Table 3.1Water Quality Monitoring Stations
- Table 3.2Equipment Used during Impact Water Quality Monitoring
- Table 3.3Action and Limit Levels of Water Quality
- Table 3.4Event Action Plan for Water Quality

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1Alignment of the Proposed 11kV Submarine Cable Circuit from
Liu Ko Ngam to Pak Sha Tau TsuiEi2.1
- Figure 3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Station

LIST OF ANNEXES

- Annex A Impact Water Quality Monitoring Schedule
- Annex B Calibration Reports of Multi-parameter Sensor
- Annex C QA/QC Results for Suspended Solids Testing
- Annex D Fourth and Fifth Week Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The submarine cable installation works for the 11kV submarine cable connecting Liu Ko Ngam to Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O commenced in the week starting 21 December 2015. This is the *Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Report for Fourth and Fifth Week Impact Water Quality Monitoring* presenting results and findings of the impact water quality monitoring conducted during the period from 11 to 24 January 2016 in accordance with the *Environmental Monitoring and Audit Requirement (EM&A Requirement)*.

Water Quality Monitoring

Four (4) monitoring events were scheduled in the reporting period, on 13, 15, 20 and 22 January 2016. Monitoring events at designated monitoring stations were performed on schedule.

Environmental Non-conformance

No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were recorded during the reporting week.

No complaint and summons/prosecution was received during the reporting week.

Given that there will be no construction works and all construction vessels will be demobilized in the following week, water quality monitoring works will be suspended until construction work resumes.

1 INTRODUCTION

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) was appointed by CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) as the Environmental Team (ET) to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme for the installation of an 11kV submarine cable connecting Liu Ko Ngam to Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O (the Project).

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This is the *Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Report for Fourth and Fifth Week Impact Water Quality Monitoring*, which summarises the results of impact water quality monitoring as part of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 11 to 24 January 2016.

1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

The structure of the Report is as follows:

Section 1: Introduction

Provides the Project background, purpose and report structure.

Section 2: Project Information

Summarises background and scope of the project, the construction works undertaken and the status of Environmental Permits/Licenses during the reporting period.

- Section 3 : Impact Water Quality Monitoring Requirements Summarises the monitoring parameters, monitoring programmes, monitoring methodologies, monitoring frequency, monitoring locations, Action and Limit Levels, and Event Action Plan.
- Section 4 : Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results Summarises the water quality monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- Section 5 : Environmental Non-conformance Summarises any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.
- *Section 6 : Future Key Issues* Summarises the monitoring schedule for the next reporting period.
- Section 7: **Conclusions** Presents the key findings of the impact monitoring results.

2.1 BACKGROUND

CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) proposes to enhance the security of power supply to Kat O Island. At present, there is only one set of 11kV submarine cable connecting Liu Ko Ngam to Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O for power supply. The existing 11kV submarine cable is however more than 30 years old and deteriorating, thus potentially limiting the continuous supply of electricity in the future. CLP therefore proposes to replace the existing 11kV submarine cable connecting Liu Ko Ngam to Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O to ensure the continuous power supply for Kat O. The Project involves the installation of an 11kV cable circuit consisting of two individual cables, with an intended burial depth up to 5 m for the submarine cable section and about 1 m for the land section. The two submarine cables (except the shore end sections which will be at only about 1 m separation and joining into a single cable trench at each landing site) will be 30 m away from each other and running parallel along the alignment. In areas (especially near the landing site) where the cable burial depth does not meet the requirements due to seabed geotechnical constraints, a protective cover such as a concrete slab will be adopted. The total length of the proposed cable alignment is approximately 880 m. A map showing the proposed submarine cable route is presented in *Figure 2.1*.


A Project Profile (Register No. PP-489/2013, Replacement of the Existing 11kV Submarine Cable Circuit Connecting Liu Ko Ngam and Pak Sha Tau Tsui at Kat O) which includes an assessment of the potential environmental impacts associated with the installation of the submarine cables was prepared and submitted to the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) according to Section 5(11) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) for the application for Permission to apply directly for Environmental Permit (EP). On 11 July 2013 EPD approved the Project Profile (PP) and a direct application for EP was submitted on 23 July 2013 (Application No. AEP-461/2013). On 27 August 2013 EPD granted an environmental permit for the Project (EP - 461/2013) pursuant to Section 10 of EIAO.

Pursuant to *Condition 2.1* of the *EP*, Water Quality Sampling, as set out in the approved *PP Annex E Environmental Monitoring & Audit (EM&A) Requirements* (henceforth "*EM&A Requirement*"), is required for this Project. Water Quality Sampling shall be conducted prior to and throughout the cable installation works, and after its completion as set out in the *EM&A Requirement*.

Baseline water quality monitoring was conducted prior to the installation works and results were summarised in the *Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Report* of November 2015.

Impact monitoring started on 22 December 2015, when the cable installation works commenced. Impact monitoring is being conducted twice a week

2



during cable installation works. The *First, Second and Third Weekly Impact Water Quality Monitoring Report* were submitted on 4, 11 and 18 January 2016 respectively. The impact water quality monitoring is used to reflect the water quality conditions and to identify potential water quality impacts during the cable installation works. Given that there are no exceedances of Action and Limit Levels during the first three weeks of impact water quality monitoring, with reference to the EM&A Requirement a bi-weekly impact monitoring report will be provided within 1 week of completing every two weekly monitoring surveys. This *Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Report for Fourth and Fifth Week Impact Water Quality Monitoring* (the "Report") presents the results and findings for the fourth and fifth week impact monitoring conducted between 11 and 24 January 2016, at the same locations as the baseline monitoring stations.

2.2 MARINE CONSTRUCTION WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING REPORTING WEEK

During the reporting period from 11 to 24 January 2016, marine trenching works were conducted near Liu Ko Ngam, and the marine vessel returned to anchor near To Kwa Wan.

2.3 STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVAL DOCUMENTS

A summary of the relevant permits, licences and reports on marine water quality for this Project is presented in *Table 2.1*.

Table 2.1Summary of Environmental Licensing, Notification, Permit and Reporting
Status

Permit / Licence /	Reference	Validity Period	Remarks
Notification / Report			
Project Profile	PP-489/2013	Throughout the	Submitted on 30
		construction and	May 2013
		operation stages	
Environmental Permit	EP-461/2013	Throughout the	Granted on 27
		construction and	August 2013
		operation stages	
Baseline Water Quality	-	Throughout the	Submitted on 20
Monitoring Report		construction period	November 2015
First Weekly Impact Water	-	Construction period of	Submitted on 4
Quality Monitoring Report		week from 21 to 27	January 2016
		December 2015	
Second Weekly Impact	-	Construction period of	Submitted on 11
Water Quality Monitoring		week from 28	January 2016
Report		December 2015 to 3	
		January 2016	
Third Weekly Impact Water		Construction period of	Submitted on 18
Quality Monitoring Report		week from 4 to 10	January 2016
		January 2016	

3

3.1 MONITORING LOCATIONS

In accordance with the *EM&A Requirement,* water quality monitoring samples were collected at the ten (10) stations situated around the cable installation works, following commencement of Project marine installation works. The locations of the sampling stations are shown in *Figure 3.1*.

- C1 is a Control Station to the north of the cable alignment (approximately 1.4 km away) with the same coordinates as EPD routine monitoring station MM2, which is not supposed to be influenced by the construction works due to its remoteness to the Project works area;
- C2 is a Control Station to the south of the cable alignment (over a distance of 1.6 km) with the same coordinates as EPD routine monitoring station MM7, which is not supposed to be influenced by the construction works due to its remoteness to the Project site;
- SR1 is Impact Station used to monitor the effect of the cable installation works on coral communities of high ecological concern at Tsing Chau;
- SR2 is Impact Station used to monitor the effect of the cable installation works on coral communities of high ecological concern at Ngau Shi Wu Wan;
- SR3 is Impact Station used to monitor the effect of the cable installation works on Lai Chi Wo/ Yan Chau Tong Marine Park (to the west of the Project site);
- SR4 is Impact Station used to monitor the effect of the cable installation works on Yan Chau Tong Marine Park (to the south of the Project site);
- SR5 is Impact Station used to monitor the effect of the cable installation works on Sai Lau Kong FCZ;
- G1 is regarded as a Gradient Station in between Impact Station SR1 and the construction work alignment;
- G2 is Gradient Station located between Impact Stations SR2, SR4 and SR5 and construction work alignment; and
- G3 is Gradient Station located between Impact Stations SR3 and the construction work alignment and landing point at Kiu Ko Ngam.

The co-ordinates of the above monitoring stations are listed in *Table 3.1*.




Table 3.1Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Station	Nature	Easting	Northing	
C1	Control Station	846615.32	844892.99	
C2	Control Station	848633.26	842648.35	
SR1	Impact Station	846957.82	843601.61	
SR2	Impact Station	847041.35	843125.56	
SR3	Impact Station	846208.21	843365.71	
SR4	Impact Station	847534.45	842914.89	
SR5	Impact Station	847209.44	842883.44	
G1	Gradient Station	846580.39	843334.26	
G2	Gradient Station	847025.97	843218.44	
G3	Gradient Station	847031.21	843538.70	

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

The fourth and fifth week impact water quality monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements stated in the *EM&A Requirement*. Monitoring parameters are presented below.

The parameters measured *in situ* were:

- Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (% saturation and mg/L)
- Water temperature (°C)
- Turbidity (Nephelometric Turbidity Units [NTU])
- Salinity (parts per thousand [ppt])

The only parameter to be measured in the laboratory was:

• Suspended solids (SS) (mg/L)

In addition to the water quality parameters, other relevant data were also measured and recorded in field logs, including the location of the sampling stations, water depth, sampling depth, current velocity and direction, time, weather conditions, sea conditions (where appropriate), tidal state (where appropriate), special phenomena and work activities undertaken around the monitoring and Project works area that may have influenced the monitoring results.

These parameters will be monitored at all designated marine water quality monitoring stations throughout the whole impact monitoring phase.

3.3 MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND METHODOLOGY

3.3.1 Monitoring Equipment

Table 3.2 summaries the equipment used for the impact water quality monitoring.

Table 3.2Equipment Used during Impact Water Quality Monitoring

Equipment	Model
Global Positioning Device	GARMIN eTrex 10
Water Depth Gauge	Speedtech Instruments SM-5
Water Sampling Equipment	Wildlife Kemmerer 1520
Salinity, DO, Temperature Measuring Meter	YSI PRO 2030
Current Velocity and Direction	Global Water FP111
Turbidity Meter	HACH 2100Q

3.3.2 Monitoring Frequency and Timing

The water quality monitoring was carried out on two occasions (days) and the intervals between the two sets of monitoring were not less than 36 hours. The water quality sampling was undertaken within a 3 hour window of 1.5 hours before and 1.5 hours after mid flood and mid-ebb tides. The tidal range selected for the baseline monitoring was at least 0.5 m for both flood and ebb tides as far as practicable.

Reference were made to the predicted tides at Ko Lau Wan, which is the tidal station nearest to the Project Site, published on the website of the Hong Kong Observatory ⁽¹⁾. Based on the predicted tidal levels at Ko Lau Wan, the fourth and fifth week water quality monitoring was conducted on 13, 15, 20 and 22 January 2016, following the schedule presented in *Annex A*.

3.3.3 Sampling/Testing Protocol

All *in situ* monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme before use (see calibration reports in *Annex B*), and subsequently will be re-calibrated at-monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes were checked with certified standard solutions before each use.

For the on-site calibration of field equipment, the *BS* 1427: 1993, *Guide to Field and On-Site Test Methods for the Analysis of Waters* was observed. Sufficient stocks of spare parts were maintained for replacements when necessary. Backup monitoring equipment was made available.

Water samples for SS measurements were collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4° C without being frozen), and delivered to a HOKLAS laboratory as soon as possible after collection.

At each measurement / sampling depth, two (2) consecutive *in-situ* measurements (DO concentration and saturation, temperature, turbidity, and salinity) and two water samples for SS were taken for lab analysis.

⁽¹⁾ Hong Kong Observatory (2015) <u>http://www.hko.gov.hk/tide/eQUBtide.htm</u> [Accessed in December 2015]

3.3.4 Laboratory Analysis

All laboratory work was carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1,000 mL were collected at the monitoring and control stations for carrying out the laboratory determinations. The determination work started within the next working day after collection of the water samples. The SS laboratory measurements were provided within two (2) days of the sampling event (i.e. within 48 hours). The analyses followed the standard methods as described in APHA Standard Methods for the *Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 19th Edition, unless otherwise specified (APHA 2540D for SS).

The QA/QC details were in accordance with requirements of HOKLAS or another internationally accredited scheme (*Annex C*)

3.3.5 Sampling Depths & Replication

Each station was sampled and measurements/ water samples were taken at three depths, namely, 1 m below water surface, mid-depth and 1 m above sea bed, except where the water depth less than 6 m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. For stations that are less than 3 m in depth, only the mid-depth sample was taken.

For *in situ* measurements, duplicate readings were made at each water depth at each station. Duplicate water samples were collected at each water depth at each station.

3.4 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

The Action and Limit levels which were established based on the results of *Baseline Water Quality Monitoring*, are presented in *Table 3.3*.

Table 3.3Action and Limit Levels of Water Quality

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
DO in mg/L ^a	Surface and Middle	Surface and Middle
	5%-ile of baseline data for surface and middle layer (4.85 mg/L), and 20% exceedance of value at any impact station compared with corresponding data from control stations	1%-ile of baseline for surface and middle layer (4.57 mg/L) <u>Bottom</u> 1%-ile of baseline data for bottom layer (4.46 mg/L)
	Bottom 5%-ile of baseline data for bottom layers (4.72 mg/L), and 20% exceedance of value at any impact station compared with corresponding data from control stations	

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
SS in mg/L (Depth- averaged ^b) ^c	95%-ile of baseline data (5.40 mg/L) and 20% exceedance of value at any impact station compared with corresponding data from control stations	99%-ile of baseline data (5.71 mg/L) and 30% exceedance of value at any impact station compared with corresponding data from control stations
Turbidity in NTU (Depth- averaged ª) ^c	95%-ile of baseline data (4.92 NTU) and 20% exceedance of value at any impact station compared with corresponding data from control stations	99%-ile of baseline data (5.11 NUT) and 30% exceedance of value at any impact station compared with corresponding data from control stations

Notes:

- a. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- b. "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths (at 1 metre below surface, mid-depth and 1 metre above seabed for the definition of sampling water depth).
- c. For SS and turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

3.5 EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

The Event and Action Plan for water quality monitoring which was stipulated in *EM&A Requirement* is presented in *Table 3.4*.

Table 3.4Event Action Plan for Water Quality

Event	Contractor
Action Level	Step 1 - repeat sampling event to confirm findings.
Exceedance	Step 2 - if findings are confirmed, discuss with cable installation contractor the most appropriate method of reducing suspended solids during cable installation (e.g. reduce cable laying speed/volume of water used during installation, increase effectiveness of silt curtain).
	Step 3 - repeat measurements after implementation of mitigation for confirmation of compliance.
	Step 4 - if non-compliance continues - increase measures in Step 2 and repeat measurements in Step 3. If non-compliance occurs at a third time, the cable laying operations should be suspended.
Limit Level Exceedance	Inform EPD and AFCD and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing within 24 hours after a limit level exceedance is recorded.
	Undertake Steps 1-3 immediately, if further non-compliance continues at the Limit Level, suspend cable laying operations until an effective solution is identified.

A total of four (4) monitoring events (days) were scheduled in the fourth and fifth week impact monitoring from 11 to 24 January 2016 (*Annex A*). In each monitoring day (13, 15, 20 and 22 January 2016), two rounds of water quality measurement and sampling were undertaken, at mid-ebb and mid-flood tidal stage respectively. Monitoring events at all designated monitoring stations were performed on schedule.

The results from the first to the fifth week impact monitoring (from 21 December 2015 to 24 January 2016) and their graphical presentations are included in *Annex D*. No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were recorded in the monitoring period. The monitoring results of Turbidity, SS and DO are discussed together as follows.

The overall DO concentrations at all the water depths (surface, mid-depth and bottom) during the fourth and fifth week impact monitoring were observed generally above 7.0 mg/L, well above the Action Level of 4.85 mg/L (for surface and mid-depth) and of 4.72 mg/L (for bottom depth) as shown in *Figure D1-D3* of *Annex D*. Minor fluctuations of DO levels at each station have been observed since monitoring started, and the overall DO levels in the fourth and fifth monitoring weeks were similar to levels in the first to third impact monitoring weeks.

Depth-averaged Turbidity levels recorded from the first to the fifth week impact monitoring are shown in *Figure D4* of *Annex D*. Turbidity levels in the fourth and fifth impact monitoring weeks were generally below 2.2 NTU, well below the Action Level of 4.92 NTU. Although minor fluctuations have been observed since impact monitoring started, the differences of Turbidity levels among the stations were within a limited range of 1.1 NTU.

SS levels recorded in the fourth and fifth week impact monitoring were between 1.1 mg/L to 2.7 mg/L, well below the Action Level of 5.4 mg/L (*Figure D5* of *Annex D*). In general, levels of depth-averaged SS measured since impact monitoring started have shown a minor variation over time. Differences among the stations were recorded in the fourth and fifth impact monitoring weeks, similarly to the first three weeks, but no exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were observed.

In general, the water quality was stable throughout the fourth and fifth week impact monitoring (similarly to the first to third week) and the overall Turbidity, SS and DO levels recorded at the impact stations did not exceed Action or Limit Levels.

9

5 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCES

5.1 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL EXCEEDANCE

No exceedances of the Action and Limit Levels were recorded during the reporting period.

5.2 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE

No non-compliance events were recorded during the reporting period.

5.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

No complaints were received during the reporting period.

5.4 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

No summons or prosecution on environmental matters were received during the reporting period.

Given that there will be no construction works and all construction vessels will be demobilized in the following week, water quality monitoring works will be suspended until construction work resumes.

11

6

CONCLUSIONS

7

This *Bi-weekly Impact Monitoring Report for Fourth and Fifth Week Impact Water Quality Monitoring* presents the results and findings of impact water quality monitoring undertaken during the period of the week from 11 to 24 January 2016 in accordance with the *EM&A Requirement* and the requirements under Environmental Permit (*EP - 461/2013*) for the Project.

No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were recorded during the fourth and fifth weeks of water quality impact monitoring. No complaints or summons/prosecutions were received either during the reporting period.

Water quality was generally stable throughout the reporting period. Although some small differences of DO, Turbidity and SS levels among the sampling stations were recorded, no exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were observed.

In general, the overall water quality at the impact stations was found to be similar to that at the control stations. It is concluded that there was no deterioration of water quality during the reporting period and hence the effect of the Project cable installation works on water quality is considered to be negligible over this reporting period.

Water quality monitoring works will be suspended since there will be no construction works in the following week and all construction vessels will be demobilized.

Annex A

Impact Water Quality Monitoring Schedule

Replacement of the Existing 11 KV Submarine Cable Circuit Connecting Liu Ko Ngam and Pak Sha Tau at Kat O Impact Marine Water Quality Monitoring (WQM) Schedule

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
20-Dec	21-Dec	22-Dec	23-Dec			
20-Dec	21-Dec		23-Dec		23-Deu	20-Dec
		WQM		WQM		
		Mid-Ebb		Mid-Ebb		
		9:19		11:13		
		(07:34 - 11:04)		(09:28 - 12:58)		
		Mid-Flood		Mid-Flood		
		15:29		17:10		
		(13:44 - 17:14)		(15:25 - 18:55)		
27-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	30-Dec		c 01-Jan	02-Jan
27 800	20 000	WQM	00 BC	WQM	01 0ai	02 0411
		Mid-Flood		Mid-Flood		
		9:14		10:44		
		(07:29 - 10:59)		(08:59 - 12:29)		
		Mid-Ebb		Mid-Ebb		
		14:31		16:12		
		(12:46 - 16:16)		(14:27 - 17:57)		
03-Jan	04-Jan	05-Jan	06-Jar		n 08-Jan	ı 09-Jan
			WQM	1	WQM	
			Mid-Ebb		Mid-Ebb	
			9:36		11:09	
			(07:12 - 10:42)	1	(09:24 - 12:54)	
			(U1.12 - 10.42) Mid Flood		(03.24 - 12.34)	
			Mid-Flood		Mid-Flood	
			15:05		16:35	
			(13:20 - 16:50)		(14:50 - 18:20)	
10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jar	n 14-Jar		16-Jan
			WQM		WQM	
			Mid-Flood		Mid-Flood	
			8:57		10:27	
			(08:19 - 11:49)		(08:42 - 12:12)	
			Mid-Ebb		Mid-Ebb	
			14:38			
					16:25	
			(12:53 - 16:23)		(14:40 - 18:10)	
17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jar	n 21-Jar		ı 23-Jan
			WQM		WQM	
			Mid-Ebb		Mid-Ebb	
			8:58		11:00	
			(07:13 - 10:43)		(09:15 - 12:45)	
			Mid-Flood	1	Mid-Flood	
			15:00	1	16:52	
			(13:15 - 16:45)		(15:07 - 18:37)	
24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jar	1 28-Jai		30-Jan
24-Jall	20-Jan	20-Jan	∠ <i>ı</i> -Jai	20-Jai	29-Jan	Jo-Jan
	No const	ruction works are sch	eduled Therefore no	water quality monitori	ng works are planned in	n narallel
	No const	indefield works are sen	caulca. Inciciore no	water quality monitori	ig works are plained in	in paranet.
31-Jan	01-Feb	02-Feb	03-Feb	04-Feb	05-Feb	06-Feb
	WQM	02100	5010	5410	30100	00100
	Vid-Flood					
	11:47					
((10:02 - 13:32)					
)	(10:02 - 13:32) Vid-Ebb					
((10:02 - 13:32) Mid-Ebb 18:14					
((10:02 - 13:32) Vid-Ebb					

Annex B

Calibration Reports of Multi-parameter Sensor



Equipment Ref. No.	: ET/	/EW/008/006			Manufact	urer	· Vei		
Model No.						Manufacturer		: <u>YSI</u>	
Date of Calibration	: 19/12/2015				Serial No. : <u>12A 100</u> Calibration Due Date : <u>18/01/20</u>				
					Calibratio	n Due Date	: 18/01/2	2016	
Temperature Ver	ification								
Ref. No. of Refere	ence Thermo	ometer :	ET/052	21/020					
Ref. No. of Water									
	Dam .								
					Ten	perature (°C)			
Reference	Thermomete	Thermometer reading Measured			19.7	Corrected	20.4		
DO	Meter reading	ng	Measu		20	Difference	0.4		
Standardin et								0.4	
Standardization of			$(a_2 S_2 O_3) $	solution					
Reagent No. of $Na_2S_2O_3$ titrant CPI			PE/012/4.5/	/001/13	Reagent No. of 0.025N K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇		CPE/012	2/4.4/002/05	
		[Tria	1 1		rial 2			
Initial Vol. of $Na_2S_2O_3$ (ml)					0.00			0.20	
Final Vol. of $Na_2S_2O_3$ (ml)					10.20		20.50		
Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ used (ml)					10.20		10.30		
Normality of $Na_2S_2O_3$ solution (N)						And and a second se	0.02427		
					0.024	51	0.0	2427	
Average Normality	(N) of Na ₂ S		(N)		0.024			2427	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria	(N) of Na_2S , Deviation	S_2O_3 solution				51 0.0243 Less than <u>+</u>	9	2427	
Average Normality	(N) of Na_2S , Deviation			ml Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃		0.0243	9	2427	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria Calculation:	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality	S_2O_3 solution		ml Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃		0.0243	9	2427	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i>	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1		3 used	0.0243	9	2427	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria Calculation: Lineality Checking Determination of di	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality issolved oxy	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1	Titration *	3 used	0.0243 Less than <u>+</u>	9	2427	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i>	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality issolved oxy	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / j	Titration *	3 used	0.0243 Less than <u>+</u>	9 0.001N	0	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria. Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min)	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i>	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1	Titration *	3 used	0.0243 Less than <u>+</u> 5 2	9 0.001N	0 2	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria, Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min) Trial	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i> O ₃ (ml)	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00	<i>Titration</i> * 2 2 11.10	3 used	0.0243 Less than ±	9 0.001N 1 6.80	0 2 10.40	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria, Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i> O ₃ (ml) O ₃ (ml)	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler $\frac{1}{0.00}$ 11.10	Titration * 2 2 11.10 22.00	1 22.00 28.80	0.0243 Less than <u>+</u> 5 2 0.00 6.80	9 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40	0 2 10.40 14.00	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria. Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i> O ₃ (ml) D ₃ (ml) used (ml)	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90	1 22.00 28.80 6.80	0.0243 Less than <u>+</u> 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80	1 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40 3.60	0 2 10.40 14.00 3.60	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria. Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of di</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ Vol. (V) of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ Dissolved Oxygen (I	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i> , O ₃ (ml) O ₃ (ml) used (ml) DO), mg/L	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90 7.14	1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45	0.0243 Less than ± 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80 4.45	1 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36	10 2 10.40 14.00 3.60 2.36	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria, Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i> O ₃ (ml) O ₃ (ml) used (ml) DO), mg/L Deviation	S_2O_3 solution γ of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27 Less than	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90	1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45	0.0243 Less than <u>+</u> 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80	1 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36	0 2 10.40 14.00 3.60	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria. Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ Dissolved Oxygen (I Acceptance criteria,	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality <i>issolved oxy</i> , O ₃ (ml) Used (ml) DO), mg/L Deviation DO (mg/L)	S_2O_3 solution Y of $Na_2S_2O_3$, S_2O_3 , S	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27 Less than 000/298	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90 7.14	1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45	0.0243 Less than ± 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80 4.45	1 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36	10 2 10.40 14.00 3.60 2.36	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria. Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of du</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ Dissolved Oxygen (I Acceptance criteria,	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality issolved oxy O ₃ (ml) Used (ml) DO), mg/L Deviation DO (mg/L)	S_2O_3 solution Y of $Na_2S_2O_3$, $gen \ content$ $(y) = V \times N \times 8$ meter readin;	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27 Less than 000/298 g, mg/L	Titration * 2 2 11.10 22.00 10.90 7.14 + 0.3mg/L Win	1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45	0.0243 Less than ± 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80 4.45 + 0.3mg/L	1 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36	0 2 10.40 14.00 3.60 2.36 + 0.3mg/L	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria, Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of di</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ Dissolved Oxygen (I Acceptance criteria, Calculation: Purging time, min	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality issolved oxy O ₃ (ml) O ₃ (ml) used (ml) DO, mg/L DO (mg/L) DO (mg/L)	S_2O_3 solution Y of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ , S_2O_3 ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27 Less than 000/298 g, mg/L Averag	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90 7.14 + 0.3mg/L Win e 1	3 used 1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45 Less thar nkler Titration resu 2	0.0243 Less than ± 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80 4.45 + 0.3mg/L	10.001N 1 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36 Less than	10 2 10.40 14.00 3.60 2.36 + 0.3mg/L (%) of DO	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria. Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of di</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O Vol. (V) of Na ₂ S ₂ O Dissolved Oxygen (I Acceptance criteria, Calculation: Purging time, min 2	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality issolved oxy O ₃ (ml) Used (ml) DO), mg/L Deviation DO (mg/L) DO 1 7.31	S_2O_3 solution T of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ , T gen content T and T	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27 Less than 000/298 g, mg/L Averag 7.36	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90 7.14 + 0.3mg/L Win e 1 7.27	3 used 1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45 Less than nkler Titration resu 2 7 7.14	0.0243 Less than ± 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80 4.45 + 0.3mg/L Average 7.21	9 0.001N 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36 Less than Difference	10 2 10.40 14.00 3.60 2.36 + 0.3mg/L (%) of DO tent	
Average Normality Acceptance criteria, Calculation: <i>Lineality Checking</i> <i>Determination of di</i> Purging Time (min) Trial Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ Dissolved Oxygen (I Acceptance criteria, Calculation: Purging time, min	(N) of Na ₂ S , Deviation Normality issolved oxy O ₃ (ml) O ₃ (ml) used (ml) DO, mg/L DO (mg/L) DO (mg/L)	S_2O_3 solution Y of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ , S_2O_3 ,	N = 0.25 / 1 by Winkler 1 0.00 11.10 11.10 7.27 Less than 000/298 g, mg/L Averag	Titration * 2 11.10 22.00 10.90 7.14 + 0.3mg/L Win e 1	1 22.00 28.80 6.80 4.45 Less than nkler Titration result 2 7 7.14 5	0.0243 Less than ± 5 2 0.00 6.80 6.80 4.45 a + 0.3mg/L alt *, mg/L Average	0.001N 0.001N 1 1 6.80 10.40 3.60 2.36 Less than Difference Con	0 2 10.40 14.00 3.60 2.36 + 0.3mg/L (%) of DO tent 06	

I



OD meter reading, mg/L0.00Salinity CheckingReagent No. of NaCl (10ppt)CPE/012/4.7/003/712Reagent No. of NaCl (30ppt)CPE/012/4.8/003/12Determination of dissolved oxygen content by Winkler Titration **Salinity (ppt)1030Trial12I 212Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)0.11.1022.3032.0041.50Vol. (V) of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Object (mg/L)CalculationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LCalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt)Do meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DContent107.437.336.32Average1Do meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DContent107.437.336.32Average12 <th< th=""><th></th><th>ng</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>		ng							
Salinity Checking Reagent No. of NaCl (10ppt) CPE/012/4.7/003/712 Reagent No. of NaCl (30ppt) CPE/012/4.8/003/12 Determination of dissolved oxygen content by Winkler Titration ** Salinity (ppt) 10 30 Trial 2 1 2 Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml) 0.00 11.10 22.30 32.00 Trial 2 1 2 1 2 Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml) 0.00 11.10 22.30 32.00 41.50 Output 7.27 7.33 6.35 6.22 Nisolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L 7.27 7.33 6.35 6.22 No (mg/L) V N x 8000/298 Salinity (ppt) DO meter reading, mg/L Winkler Titration result**, mg/L Difference (%) of D 30		DO meter	reading,	mg/L			0.00		
Reagent No. of NaCl (10ppt)CPE/012/4.7/003/712Reagent No. of NaCl (30ppt)CPE/012/4.8/003/12Determination of dissolved oxygen content by Winkler Titration **I030Salinity (ppt)1030Trial121nitial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)0.0011.1022.3032.0041.50Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)11.1022.3032.00Viral Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)11.1022.3032.00Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Over the observed oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22Coceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LDifference (%) of DSalinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Content107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90Optimizer treading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51Ceptance CriteriaDifference therme temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °CDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °CDifference between temperature readings from tempera									
Determination of dissolved oxygen content by Winkler Titration **Salinity (ppt)1030Trial1212nitial Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)0.0011.1022.3032.00Minal Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Vol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Vissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lallinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DSalinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DContentO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51Ceptance CriteriaDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °CDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °CDifference chicient : >0.992ero checking: 0.0mg/L	Salinity Checking								
Determination of dissolved oxygen content by Winkler Titration **Salinity (ppt)1030Trial1212anitial Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)0.00011.1022.3032.00Titration **anitial Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)0.00011.1022.3032.0041.50Toto (V) of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22coeptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DCContent107.437.447.277.337.301.90DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DCContent107.437.447.277.336.226.29 <td cols<="" td=""><td>Reagent No. of Na</td><td>Cl (10ppt)</td><td></td><td>CPE/012/4.7/003</td><td>/712 Re</td><td>agent No. of Na</td><td>Cl (30ppt)</td><td>CPE/012/4 8/003/12</td></td>	<td>Reagent No. of Na</td> <td>Cl (10ppt)</td> <td></td> <td>CPE/012/4.7/003</td> <td>/712 Re</td> <td>agent No. of Na</td> <td>Cl (30ppt)</td> <td>CPE/012/4 8/003/12</td>	Reagent No. of Na	Cl (10ppt)		CPE/012/4.7/003	/712 Re	agent No. of Na	Cl (30ppt)	CPE/012/4 8/003/12
Initial (ppt)1030Trial1212nitial Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)0.0011.1022.3032.00Inal Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1022.3032.0041.50Vol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lless than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D Content107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.222.51cceptance CriteriaDifference temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C	Determination of d	issolved oxy	gen cont				<u>er (coppi)</u>	0111/012/4.0/003/12	
Trial1212nitial Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)0.0011.1022.3032.00inal Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1022.3032.0041.50Vol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Vol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50Dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D Content107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.222.51cceptance CriteriaDifference temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C									
initial Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)0.0011.1022.3032.00inal Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1022.3032.0041.50inal Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1011.209.709.50inal Vol. of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50issolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Do meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D Content107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51cceptance CriteriaDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C	rial			1	10	2	1		
inal Vol. of Na2S2O3 (ml)11.1022.3032.0041.50'ol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50'ol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50'bissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DSalinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51sceptance CriteriaDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C									
fol. (V) of Na2S2O3 used (ml)11.1011.209.709.50issolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt)12Average12107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51Exceptance CriteriaDifference temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C	·····								
dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L7.277.336.356.22cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt) 1 2Average12Average107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.222.51eceptance CriteriaDifference temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C				11.10		······································			
cceptance criteria, DeviationLess than + 0.3mg/LLess than + 0.3mg/Lalculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of DO12Average12AverageContent107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51cceptance CriteriaDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C				7.27		·			
alculation:DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298Salinity (ppt)DO meter reading, mg/LWinkler Titration result**, mg/LDifference (%) of D12Average12AverageContent107.437.457.447.277.337.301.90306.516.386.456.356.226.292.51cceptance CriteriaDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C				Less t	han + 0.3n	ng/L			
1 2 Average 1 2 AverageDifference (%) of Difference (%) of Diffe	alculation:	DO (mg/L)	$= \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{N}$	x 8000/298				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1 2 Average 1 2 AverageDifference (%) of Difference (%) of Diffe	Collinity (and)	DO	meter rea	iding, mg/L	Winkl	er Titration resu	1+** m~/I	1	
107.437.457.447.277.337.301.9030 6.51 6.38 6.45 6.35 6.22 6.29 2.51 sceptance CriteriaDifference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C	Samity (ppt)								
30 6.51 6.38 6.45 6.35 6.22 6.29 2.51 Sceptance Criteria Difference between temperature readings from temperature sensor of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C	10	7.43	7.45						
Comparison of DO probe and reference thermometer : < 0.5 °C Linear regression coefficient : >0.99 Zero checking: 0.0mg/L	30	6.51	6.38	6.45	6.35				
e equipment complies $\# / \frac{1}{1000}$ does not comply $\#$ with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable $\#$ hacceptable $\#$ for use. Helete as appropriate) Differenc betwee) Linear regression) Zero checking: ().	n temperatur coefficient : 0mg/L `DO content	>0.99 from the	meter reading and	l by winkle	r titration : with	in ± 5%		

Γ



Performa	nce Check of	f Salinity Meter
Equipment Ref. No. : <u>ET/E</u>	W/008/006	Manufacturer : <u>YSI</u>
Model No. : <u>Pro 20</u>)30	Serial No. : <u>12A 100554</u>
Date of Calibration : <u>19/12/</u>	/2015	Due Date : <u>18/01/2016</u>
Ref. No. of Salinity Stan	dard used (30ppt)	S/001/5
Salinity Standard (ppt)	Measured Salinity (ppt)	Difference %
30.0	30.6	2.00
(*) Difference (%) = (Measured S	Salinity – Salinity Stan	dard value) / Salinity Standard value x 100
Acceptance Criteria	Difference : -10 % t	o 10 %
The salinity meter complies and is deemed acceptable * national standards.	* / does not comply / unacceptable * for	* with the specified requirements use. Measurements are traceable to
Checked by :	Appro	oved by :



Equipment Ref. No.	: <u>ET/E</u>	W/008/006			Manufa	cturer	: YSI		
Aodel No.	: <u>Pro 2</u>	030			Serial No.		: 12A 100	554	
Date of Calibration	: <u>19/0</u>	/2016			Calibration Due Date		: 18/02/20		
Temperature Verifi	cation								
Ref. No. of Referen	ce Thermor	neter :	ET/052	1/005					
Ref. No. of Water B	ath :								
D.C. m	······					emperature (°C)			
Reference Thermometer reading		Measure		20.0	Corrected		20.3		
DO N	1eter readin	g	Measure	ed	20	Difference	<u> </u>	0.3	
Standardization of s	sodium thio	sulphate (Na	$a_2S_2O_3$) s	olution					
Reagent No. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ titrant CPE/		PE/012/4.5/(001/13	Reagent No. of	0.025N K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	CPE/012	/4.4/002/07		
				Tr	ial 1	Tr	Trial 2		
Initial Vol. of Na_2S_2					0.00		10.15		
Final Vol. of $Na_2S_2O_3$ (ml)				10.15		20.50			
Vol. of $Na_2S_2O_3$ use					10.15		10	10.35	
Normality of $Na_2S_2O_3$ solution (N)					0.02463		0.02	2415	
Average Normality ($_{2}O_{3}$ solution (<u>N)</u>		0.02439				
Acceptance criteria,					Less than ± 0.001 N				
Calculation:	Normality	of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ,	N = 0.25 / r	nl Na ₂ S ₂ C	O ₃ used				
Lineality Checking									
Determination of dis	solved oxy	gen content l	by Winkler	Titration	*				
Purging Time (min)				2		5		10	
Trial			1	2	1	2	1	$\frac{0}{2}$	
Initial Vol. of Na_2S_2			0.00	11.1	0 22.00	0.00	6.80	10.30	
Final Vol. of Na_2S_2C			11.10	22.0		6.80	10.30	14.10	
Vol. (V) of $Na_2S_2O_3$			11.10	10.9	0 6.80	6.80	3.50	3.80	
Dissolved Oxygen (I			7.27	7.14	4.45	4.45	2.29	2.49	
Acceptance criteria,			Less than	+ 0.3mg/	L Less t	nan + 0.3mg/L	Less than		
Calculation:	DO (mg/L)	= V x N x 8	000/298						
Dunging times	DO	meter readin	g, mg/L	W	/inkler Titration r	esult *. mo/L	Difference	(%) of DO	
Purging time, min	1	2	Averag		1 2	Average	Con	. ,	
	7.28	7.31	7.30		27 7.14	7.21	1.2		
2		4.26	4.24	1	45 4.45	4.45	4.8		
	4.22	4.20	4.24						
2	4.22	2.33	2.32	2.2		2.39	2.9		



	Interna	al Calib	ration Rep	ort of I	Dissolved C	Dxygen M	eter
Zero Point Checking	g	THE THE REPORT OF THE					
	DO meter re	ading, mg/L	,			0.00	
Salinity Checking						1211-122-111-11-12-20-11-11-12-20-11-12-	
Reagent No. of NaC	l (10ppt)	СР	E/012/4.7/003/	714 Rea	gent No. of Na	Cl (30ppt)	CPE/012/4.8/003/14
Determination of dis		en content l	by Winkler Titt		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Salinity (ppt)				10		T	30
Trial			1		2	1	2
Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ (O ₃ (ml)		0.00		11.40	22.80	32.50
Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O	9 ₃ (ml)		11.40		22.80	32.50	42.10
Vol. (V) of $Na_2S_2O_3$	used (ml)		11.40		11.40	9.70	9.60
Dissolved Oxygen (I)) , mg/L		7.46		7.46	6.35	6.29
Acceptance criteria,	Deviation			han + 0.3m			ss than + 0.3 mg/L
Calculation:	DO (mg/L) =	= V x N x 8			<u> </u>	- L	
	DO n	neter readin	g, mg/L Winkler Titration resu		lt**. mg/L	D	
Salinity (ppt)	1	2	Average	1	2	Average	Difference (%) of DO Content
10	7.34	7.29	7.32	7.46	7.46	7.46	1.89
30	6.51	6.40	6.46	6.35	6.29	6.32	2.19
Acceptance Criteria (1) Differenc between (2) Linear regression (3) Zero checking: 0.4 (4) Difference (%) of	coefficient : 0mg/L	>0.99					mometer : < 0.5 °C
The equipment comp / unacceptable [#] for u [#] Delete as appropriat	se.	iot comply [#]	with the speci	fied require	ements and is de	eemed accepta	ble [#]
brated by 012/W	:	'n			Appro	ved by :	



Performance Check of Salinity Meter							
Equipment Ref. No. : <u>ET/E</u>	W/008/006	Manufacturer : <u>YSI</u>					
Model No. : <u>Pro 20</u>	030	Serial No. : <u>12A 100554</u>					
Date of Calibration : <u>19/01</u>	/2016	Due Date : <u>18/02/2016</u>					
Ref. No. of Salinity Stan	dard used (30ppt)	S/001/5					
Salinity Standard (ppt)	Measured Salinit (ppt)	y Difference %					
30.0	30.3	1.00					
(*) Difference (%) = (Measured	Salinity – Salinity Sta	ndard value) / Salinity Standard value x 100					
Acceptance Criteria	Difference : -10 %	to 10 %					
		• * with the specified requirements r use. Measurements are traceable to					
Checked by :	Appi	roved by :					



Performance Check of Turbidity Meter						
Equipment Ref. No.	: <u>ET/0505/011</u>	Manufacturer	: <u>HACH</u>			
Model No.	: <u>2100Q</u>	Serial No.	: <u>12060 C 018534</u>			
Date of Calibration	: <u>19/12/2015</u>	Due Date	: <u>18/01/2015</u>			

Theoretical Value of Turbidity Standard (NTU)	Measured Value (NTU)	Difference % *
20	19.7	-1.5
100	96.4	-3.6
800	782	-2.25

(*) Difference = (Measured Value – Theoretical Value) / Theoretical Value x 100

Acceptance Criteria

Difference : -5 % to 5 %

The turbidity meter complies * / does not comply * with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable * / unacceptable * for use. Measurements are traceable to national standards.

$h \cap -$, 1
Prepared by :	Checked by :	



Performance (Check of Turbidity	/ Meter
Equipment Ref. No. : <u>ET/0505/011</u>	Manufacturer	: <u>HACH</u>
Model No. : <u>2100Q</u>	Serial No.	: <u>11110 C 014260</u>
Date of Calibration : <u>19/01/2016</u>	Due Date	: <u>18/02/2016</u>
Ref. No. of Turbidity Standard use	ed (4000NTU)	005/6.1/001/10
Theoretical Value of Turbidity Standard (NTU)	Measured Value (NTU)	Difference % *
20	20.8	4.00
100	97.4	-2.60
800	786	-1.75
(*) Difference = (Measured Value	e – Theoretical Value) / The	oretical Value x 100
cceptance Criteria Diffe	erence : -5 % to 5 %	
The turbidity meter complies * / de and is deemed acceptable * / unacc national standards.	oes not comply * with the sp ceptable * for use. Measurer	pecified requirements nents are traceable to
repared by :	Checked by :(

Annex C

QA/QC Results for Suspended Solids Testing



QA/QC Results of Laboratory Analysis of Total Suspended Solids

Compling Data	QC Sample	Sample E	Duplicate	Samp	Sample Spike	
Sampling Date % Recovery *	% Recovery *	Sample ID	% Error #	Sample ID	% Recovery @	
	97.6	FC1-S1	4.08	FG3-B2	107.3	
1/13/2016	99.7	FSR2-M1	6.06	FSR3-M2	98.7	
	98.6	FSR3-B1	0.00	FC2 -B2	93.9	
	98.2	EC1-S1	4.08	EG3-B2	95.5	
	101.9	ESR2-M1	8.70	ESR3-M2	93.2	
	100.4	ESR3-B1	5.71	EC2-B2	102.1	
ote:	(*)	% Recovery of QC sample sho	uld be between 85.5% to 113.	5%.		
	(#)	% Error of Sample Duplicate sh	ould be between 0% to 10%.			
	([@])	% Recovery of Sample Spike s	hould be between 80% to 120	%.		

% Error of Sample Duplicate >10% but invalid due to sample results less than PQL (2.0 mg/L).

Sampling Date	QC Sample	Sample Duplicate		Sample Spike		
Sampling Date	% Recovery *	Sample ID	% Error #	Sample ID	% Recovery @	
	106.2	FC1-S1	8.00	FSR3-M2	100.3	
	94.6	FSR3-B1	3.39	FG1-B2	101.3	
1/15/2016	104.5	FG2-M1	3.70	FG3 -B2	104.1	
1/15/2016	101.8	EC1-S1	9.05	ESR3-M2	95.0	
	103.7	ESR3-B1	9.14	EG1-B2	97.4	
	95.8	EG2-M1	2.78	EG3-B2	97.4	
lote:	(*) % Recovery of QC sample should be between 85.5% to 113.5%.					

% Error of Sample Duplicate should be between 0% to 10%.

% Recovery of Sample Spike should be between 80% to 120%.

% Error of Sample Duplicate >10% but invalid due to sample results less than PQL (2.0 mg/L).

Sampling Data QC Sample		Sample I	Duplicate	Sample Spike	
Sampling Date	% Recovery *	Sample ID	% Error #	Sample ID	% Recovery @
	106.6	FC1-S1	0.00	FSR1-M2	96.5
	101.9	FG3-S1	0.00	FSR4-M2	104.1
1/20/2016	97.4	FSR4-B1	6.06	FC2 -B2	96.1
1/20/2016 102.1	102.1	EC1-S1	7.41	ESR1-M2	99.5
	102.2	EG3-S1	0.00	ESR4-M2	105.4
	97.4	ESR4-B1	7.41	EC2-B2	102.0
te:	(*)	% Recovery of QC sample sho	uld be between 85.5% to 113.	5%.	

Recovery of QC sample should be between 85.5% to 113.5%.

% Error of Sample Duplicate should be between 0% to 10%. % Recovery of Sample Spike should be between 80% to 120%.

% Error of Sample Duplicate >10% but invalid due to sample results less than PQL (2.0 mg/L).

Sampling Data	QC Sample	Sample Duplicate		Samp	Sample Spike	
Sampling Date	% Recovery *	Sample ID	% Error #	Sample ID	% Recovery @	
	102.8	FC1-S1	0.00	FSR3-M2	107.8	
	99.5	FSR3-B1	5.71	FG1-B2	100.7	
1/22/2016	98.6	FG2-M1	7.41	EC2 -B2	96.7	
	92.3	ESR1-M1	0.00	ESR5-M2	96.4	
	105.5	ESR5-B1	4.88	EG3-B2	106.9	
te:	(*)	% Recovery of QC sample sho	uld be between 85.5% to 113.	5%.	•	

% Error of Sample Duplicate should be between 0% to 10%.

% Recovery of Sample Spike should be between 80% to 120%.

(@) (**)

(#)

(**)

(#)

(@)

(**)

(#)


(@)


(**)


% Error of Sample Duplicate >10% but invalid due to sample results less than PQL (2.0 mg/L).


Annex D


Fourth and Fifth Week Water Quality Monitoring Results











Date:	13-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Flood
Weather:	Cloudy
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temp	perratu	re (°C)		Salinit (ppt)	y		DO (mg/l)		DC) Satura (%)	tion			oidity TU)		Sı	•	led Soli Ig/I)	ids
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	19.3	19.4	19.4	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.5	7.5	7.5	98.1	98.8	98.5	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.5	2.4	2.5	
C1	0919-0927	13.2	S	0.1	Middle	19.4	19.4	19.4	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	96.2	95.8	96.0	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.3
					Bottom	19.3	19.2	19.3	32.5	32.6	32.6	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.2	97.0	96.6	2.0	1.9	1.9		2.4	2.3	2.4	
					Surface	19.7	19.7	19.7	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.5	7.4	7.4	98.1	97.7	97.9	0.9	1.0	1.0		1.1	1.3	1.2	
C2	1042-1049	13.2	S	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.6	19.6	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.3	7.3	7.3	95.8	95.3	95.6	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.3
					Bottom	19.6	19.5	19.6	32.5	32.5	32.5	7.1	7.2	7.1	93.7	94.1	93.9	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.5	1.4	1.5	
	0044 0040		0		Surface	19.3	19.3	19.3	32.2	32.3	32.3	8.3	7.2	7.7	108.6	107.9	108.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	10	1.4	1.3	1.4	
G1	0941-0948	11.7	S	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.4	19.4	32.3	32.4	32.4	8.1	8.1	8.1	107.0	106.6	106.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4
					Bottom	19.4	19.3	19.4	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.9	7.9	7.9	104.4	103.9	104.2	1.3	1.4	1.4		1.6	1.7	1.7	
G2	1008-1012	2.2	s	0.1	Surface Middle	19.5	19.5	19.5	32.3	32.3	 32.3	7.3	7.2	 7.2	95.6	 94.1	 94.9	1.0	0.9	 0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	 1.1	1.1
62	1008-1012	2.2	3	0.1	Bottom				32.3	32.3	32.3	7.3	1.2	1.2	95.6	94.1	94.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9				1.1
					Surface	19.4	19.5	19.5	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.6	7.7	7.7	100.3	100.8	100.6	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.1	1.1	1.1	
G3	0959-1006	15.5	s	0.1	Middle	19.4	19.4	19.4	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.4	7.4	7.4	97.8	97.4	97.6	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4
	0000 1000	10.0	Ũ	0.11	Bottom	19.4	19.3	19.4	32.6	32.7	32.7	7.5	7.5	7.5	98.2	98.6	98.4	1.4	1.3	1.4		1.7	1.6	1.7	
					Surface																				
SR1	0951-0956	2.2	S	0.1	Middle	19.4	19.5	19.5	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.8	7.8	7.8	103.1	102.4	102.8	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	1015-1019	1.8	S	0.1	Middle	19.5	19.6	19.6	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.6	7.5	7.6	99.6	98.3	99.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.4	19.3	19.4	32.0	32.1	32.1	8.1	8.1	8.1	106.7	106.3	106.5	1.5	1.6	1.5		1.7	1.8	1.8	
SR3	0930-0938	6.8	S	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.3	19.3	32.2	32.2	32.2	8.0	8.0	8.0	105.7	105.3	105.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7
					Bottom	19.4	19.4	19.4	32.3	32.3	32.3	7.8	7.9	7.8	102.9	103.3	103.1	1.4	1.5	1.4		1.7	1.8	1.8	
					Surface	19.7	19.6	19.7	32.4	32.4	32.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	99.0	98.4	98.7	1.0	0.9	1.0		1.3	1.2	1.3	
SR4	1033-1040	6.4	S	0.1	Middle	19.7	19.7	19.7	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.6	7.6	7.6	99.3	99.5	99.4	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.2
					Bottom	19.6	19.6	19.6	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	98.1	97.4	97.8	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.3	1.4	1.4	
					Surface	19.6	19.7	19.7	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.6	7.7	7.7	100.4	99.9	100.2	1.2	1.3	1.3		1.5	1.6	1.6	
SR5	1022-1030	9.7	S	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.6	19.6	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.5	7.4	7.5	98.3	97.7	98.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8
Pomark or O					Bottom	19.5	19.5	19.5	32.6	32.6	32.6	7.2	7.2	7.2	95.2	94.8	95.0	1.7	1.8	1.7		2.0	2.1	2.1	

Date:	13-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Ebb
Weather:	Fine
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temp	perratu	re (°C)		Salinity (ppt)	/		DO (mg/l)		DC) Satura (%)	tion			oidity TU)		Su	•	led Soli 1g/l)	ids
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	19.6	19.8	19.7	32.3	32.2	32.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	97.3	96.8	97.1	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.5	2.4	2.5	
C1	1253-1303	13.0	N	0.2	Middle	19.4	19.6	19.5	32.4	32.2	32.3	7.4	7.3	7.4	96.9	96.5	96.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2
					Bottom	19.3	19.4	19.4	32.0	32.3	32.2	7.2	7.3	7.2	94.8	95.5	95.2	1.7	1.7	1.7		2.0	2.1	2.1	
					Surface	19.6	19.4	19.5	32.4	32.2	32.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	97.4	97.6	97.5	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.2	1.2	1.2	
C2	1450-1500	13.0	N	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.5	19.4	32.3	32.2	32.3	7.4	7.3	7.4	96.9	96.5	96.7	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3
					Bottom	19.1	19.0	19.1	32.4	32.2	32.3	7.3	7.2	7.2	95.5	94.8	95.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.4	1.5	1.5	
					Surface	19.6	19.4	19.5	32.1	32.2	32.2	8.2	8.1	8.2	107.4	107.0	107.2	1.1	1.2	1.2		1.4	1.4	1.4	
G1	1320-1330	11.4	N	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.4	19.4	32.3	32.1	32.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	106.5	106.8	106.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3
					Bottom Surface	19.1	19.4	19.3	32.2	32.3	32.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	106.4	105.9	106.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	_	1.5	1.5	1.5	
G2	1359-1406	2.1	N	0.1	Middle	19.4	19.6	19.5	32.3	32.2	32.3	7.2	7.2	7.2	94.2	 94.4	 94.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	 1.2	 1.1	1.1
62	1335-1400	2.1	IN	0.1	Bottom				32.3	32.2	32.3	<i>1.2</i>		1.2	94.2	94.4	94.5	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.2		
					Surface	19.4	19.7	19.6	32.4	32.1	32.3	7.5	7.6	7.6	99.2	99.5	99.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	_	1.0	1.0	1.0	
G3	1345-1355	15.2	N	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.5	19.6	32.3	32.2	32.3	7.5	7.4	7.5	98.4	97.8	98.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.2
	1010 1000	10.2		0.11	Bottom	19.3	19.4	19.4	32.3	32.2	32.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	97.4	97.6	97.5	1.3	1.2	1.2		1.5	1.5	1.5	=
					Surface																				
SR1	1334-1341	2.0	N	0.1	Middle	19.2	19.4	19.3	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.8	7.8	7.8	102.2	102.0	102.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	1410-1416	1.6	N	0.2	Middle	19.3	19.6	19.5	32.0	32.2	32.1	7.4	7.5	7.5	97.8	98.2	98.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.7	19.9	19.8	32.1	32.4	32.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	106.1	106.4	106.3	1.5	1.5	1.5		1.8	1.9	1.9	
SR3	1307-1317	6.4	N	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.6	19.6	32.3	32.1	32.2	8.0	8.1	8.0	105.8	105.9	105.9	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.8
					Bottom	19.5	19.6	19.6	32.4	32.3	32.4	8.0	8.0	8.0	105.3	104.9	105.1	1.4	1.5	1.5		1.8	1.8	1.8	
					Surface	19.7	19.4	19.6	32.4	32.1	32.3	7.5	7.5	7.5	98.8	98.6	98.7	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.2	1.1	1.2	
SR4	1436-1446	6.2	N	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.3	19.3	32.2	32.4	32.3	7.5	7.4	7.5	98.1	97.8	98.0	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.1
					Bottom	19.1	19.2	19.2	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.9	97.2	97.1	0.9	0.9	0.9		0.9	1.1	1.0	
					Surface	19.6	19.7	19.7	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	98.6	99.2	98.9	1.2	1.3	1.2		1.5	1.5	1.5	
SR5	1421-1431	9.6	N	0.1	Middle	19.4	19.2	19.3	32.3	32.5	32.4	7.5	7.4	7.5	98.4	97.7	98.1	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6
Pomark or O					Bottom	19.3	19.1	19.2	32.4	32.2	32.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	95.6	95.9	95.8	1.5	1.5	1.5		1.7	1.8	1.8	

Date:	15-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Flood
Weather:	Cloudy
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temp	erratu	re (°C)		Salinit (ppt)	/		DO (mg/l)	1	DC) Satura (%)	tion			oidity TU)		Su		led Sol Ig/I)	ids
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	19.4	19.5	19.5	32.3	32.2	32.3	7.5	7.6	7.5	99.0	99.7	99.4	2.0	1.9	1.9		2.2	2.2	2.2	
C1	0942-0952	13.6	S	0.1	Middle	19.5	19.4	19.5	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.4	7.4	7.4	97.0	96.7	96.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1
					Bottom	19.4	19.3	19.4	32.6	32.7	32.7	7.4	7.4	7.4	97.1	97.8	97.5	1.9	1.8	1.8		2.2	2.0	2.1	
		10.4			Surface	19.8	19.7	19.8	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	99.0	98.6	98.8	0.8	0.9	0.9		1.1	1.1	1.1	
C2	1202-1212	13.4	S	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.7	19.7	32.5	32.6	32.6	7.4	7.3	7.3	96.7	96.1	96.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2
					Bottom Surface	19.7 19.4	19.7 19.3	19.7	32.6 32.3	32.7 32.4	32.7 32.4	7.2 8.3	7.2 8.3	7.2 8.3	94.5 109.5	94.9 108.8	94.7 109.2	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.3	1.4	1.4	
G1	1012-1022	12.2	s	0.1	Middle	19.4 19.4	19.3	19.4 19.5	32.3	32.4	32.4 32.5	8.3 8.2	8.3 8.2	8.3 8.2	109.5	108.8	109.2	1.1 0.9	0.9	1.0 0.9	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4 1.1	1.3
ai	1012-1022	12.2	3	0.1	Bottom	19.4 19.5	19.5	19.5	32.4 32.5	32.5 32.6	32.5 32.6	8.2 8.0	8.2 8.0	8.2 8.0	107.8	107.4	107.6	1.2	1.3	0.9 1.3	1.1	1.0 1.6	1.1 1.5	1.1	1.5
					Surface				32.5	32.0	52.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	105.5	104.0		1.2	1.5	1.5		1.0	1.5		
G2	1057-1107	2.6	S	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.5	19.6	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	96.5	95.9	96.2	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.5	19.6	19.6	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.7	7.7	7.7	101.2	101.6	101.4	0.8	0.8	0.8		1.0	1.1	1.1	
G3	1042-1052	15.8	S	0.1	Middle	19.5	19.5	19.5	32.6	32.5	32.6	7.5	7.5	7.5	98.7	98.2	98.5	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4
					Bottom	19.5	19.4	19.5	32.7	32.8	32.8	7.5	7.6	7.5	99.1	99.5	99.3	1.3	1.2	1.3		1.6	1.5	1.6	
					Surface																				
SR1	1027-1037	2.4	S	0.1	Middle	19.5	19.6	19.6	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.9	7.9	7.9	104.0	103.3	103.7	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	1112-1122	2.2	S	0.1	Middle	19.7	19.6	19.7	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.6	7.6	7.6	100.5	99.8	100.2	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.5	19.4	19.5	32.1	32.2	32.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	107.6	107.2	107.4	1.4	1.5	1.4		1.7	1.9	1.8	
SR3	0957-1007	7.2	S	0.1	Middle	19.4	19.3	19.4	32.2	32.3	32.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	106.6	106.2	106.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.7
					Bottom	19.3	19.4	19.4	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.9	7.9	7.9	103.8	104.1	104.0	1.3	1.4	1.3		1.8	1.8	1.8	
					Surface	19.8	19.7	19.8	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.6	7.6	7.6	99.9	99.3	99.6	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.1	0.9	1.0	
SR4	1147-1157	6.8	S	0.1	Middle	19.8	19.8	19.8	32.5	32.6	32.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	100.1	100.3	100.2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1
					Bottom	19.7	19.6	19.7	32.6	32.7	32.7	7.5	7.5	7.5	99.0	98.2	98.6	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.2	1.3	1.3	
					Surface	19.7	19.8	19.8	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	101.3	100.7	101.0	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.6	1.5	1.6	
SR5	1127-1137	10.2	S	0.1	Middle	19.6	19.7	19.7	32.5	32.6	32.6	7.5	7.5	7.5	99.2	98.6	98.9	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Remark or O					Bottom	19.6	19.5	19.6	32.6	32.7	32.7	7.3	7.3	7.3	96.1	95.7	95.9	1.6	1.7	1.7		1.9	2.2	2.1	

1. * Average; ** Depth Average

Date:	15-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Ebb
Weather:	Cloudy
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temp	perratu	re (°C)		Salinit (ppt)	/		DO (mg/l)		DC) Satura (%)	tion			oidity TU)		Su		led Soli Ig/l)	ids
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	18.0	17.9	18.0	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.3	7.3	7.3	93.6	93.4	93.5	2.1	2.1	2.1		2.5	2.6	2.6	
C1	1440-1455	13.3	S	0.1	Middle	17.8	17.7	17.8	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.2	7.2	7.2	91.7	91.5	91.6	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.7
					Bottom	17.6	17.7	17.7	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.0	7.1	7.1	89.4	89.6	89.5	2.3	2.4	2.3		2.8	2.6	2.7	
	1050 1710	10.1	0		Surface	18.1	18.2	18.2	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	95.1	94.9	95.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.1	1.2	1.2	
C2	1659-1710	13.1	S	0.2	Middle	18.0	17.9	18.0	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	93.0	92.8	92.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
					Bottom Surface	17.7 18.1	17.6 18.0	17.7 18.1	32.4 32.1	32.4 32.2	32.4 32.2	7.2 7.7	7.2 7.8	7.2 7.8	91.0 98.9	90.8 100.0	90.9 99.5	1.2 1.1	1.2	1.2 1.1		1.4 1.4	1.3 1.4	1.4 1.4	
G1	1520-1535	11.9	S	0.1	Middle	17.7	17.8	17.8	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.6	7.6	7.6	96.9	97.1	99.5 97.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6
u.	1320-1333	11.5	0	0.1	Bottom	17.7	17.0	17.7	32.3	32.3	32.3	7.5	7.5	7.5	95.6	95.4	95.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.0
<u> </u>					Surface																				
G2	1620-1625	2.4	S	0.1	Middle	17.6	17.7	17.7	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.3	7.2	7.2	92.3	92.1	92.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5
					Bottom																				
					Surface	18.1	18.0	18.1	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.6	7.5	7.5	96.4	96.2	96.3	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.2	1.1	1.2	
G3	1600-1615	15.5	S	0.2	Middle	17.9	17.8	17.9	32.2	32.3	32.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	93.0	93.3	93.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3
					Bottom	17.7	17.6	17.7	32.4	32.5	32.5	7.2	7.2	7.2	91.1	90.9	91.0	1.3	1.3	1.3		1.7	1.4	1.6	
					Surface																				
SR1	1540-1555	2.2	S	0.1	Middle	17.6	17.7	17.7	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.6	7.6	7.6	96.6	96.8	96.7	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5
					Bottom																				
					Surface															-					
SR2	1626-1631	2.0	S	0.1	Middle	17.8	17.9	17.9	32.0	32.0	32.0	7.2	7.2	7.2	91.0	91.3	91.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5
					Bottom																				
					Surface	17.9	17.8	17.9	32.0	32.0	32.0	7.9	7.8	7.8	100.3	100.1	100.2	1.5	1.5	1.5		1.6	1.8	1.7	
SR3	1500-1515	7.0	S	0.2	Middle	17.7	17.7	17.7	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.6	7.6	7.6	96.9	97.1	97.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
					Bottom	17.5	17.6	17.6	32.3	32.4	32.4	7.6	7.5	7.5	95.8	95.6	95.7	1.7	1.8	1.7		2.1	2.3	2.2	
0.04	1015 1055	0.5	0		Surface	18.0	18.1	18.1	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.4	7.4	7.4	94.1	94.3	94.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.4	
SR4	1645-1655	6.5	S	0.2	Middle	17.8	17.8	17.8	32.3	32.3	32.3	7.2	7.2	7.2	91.9	92.1	92.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5
					Bottom Surface	17.6 18.1	17.5 18.1	17.6 18.1	32.4 32.1	32.5 32.2	32.5 32.2	7.2 7.6	7.2 7.6	7.2 7.6	90.8 97.3	91.0 97.5	90.9 97.4	1.3	1.4	1.3 1.1		1.6 1.4	1.7 1.3	1.7 1.4	
SR5	1633-1643	9.9	S	0.1	Middle	17.9	17.8	17.9	32.1	32.2	32.2	7.6	7.6 7.6	7.6	97.3 96.4	97.5 96.2	97.4 96.3	1.1 1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5
303	1000-1040	5.5	3	0.1	Bottom	17.9	17.8	17.9	32.3	32.3 32.4	32.3 32.4	7.6	7.6	7.6	96.4 92.9	96.2 92.7	96.3 92.8	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5
Pomark or O					Bollom	17.7	17.6	17.7	32.4	32.4	32.4	1.3	1.3	7.3	92.9	92.7	92.8	1.3	1.3	1.3		1.7	1.0	1.7	

1. * Average; ** Depth Average

Date:	20-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Flood
Weather:	Drizzle
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temp	erratu	re (°C)		Salinity (ppt)	y		DO (mg/l)		DC) Satura (%)	tion			oidity TU)		Su		led Sol Ig/l)	ids
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	19.3	19.4	19.4	31.8	31.9	31.9	7.3	7.4	7.4	95.9	96.2	96.1	2.0	2.1	2.1		2.4	2.5	2.5	
C1	1315-1331	13.3	N	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.3	19.3	32.0	32.0	32.0	7.3	7.2	7.3	95.3	94.8	95.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
					Bottom Surface	19.2 19.3	19.1 19.2	19.2 19.3	32.1 31.7	32.1 31.8	32.1 31.8	7.2 7.4	7.2 7.5	7.2 7.4	94.3 97.3	93.9 97.5	94.1 97.4	2.2	2.2 1.0	2.2 1.0		2.7 1.1	2.6 1.1	2.7 1.1	
C2	1536-1545	13.4	N	0.2	Middle	19.3	19.2	19.3	31.9	31.8	31.0	7.4	7.5	7.4	97.3 96.9	97.5 96.5	97.4 96.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.4
02	1000 1040	10.4		0.2	Bottom	19.1	19.0	19.1	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.3	7.3	7.3	95.6	95.4	95.5	1.3	1.3	1.3		1.5	1.7	1.6	1.4
<u> </u>					Surface	19.3	19.2	19.3	31.8	31.7	31.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	105.9	106.3	106.1	1.3	1.2	1.2		1.5	1.5	1.5	
G1	1355-1410	11.8	N	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.2	19.2	31.8	31.9	31.9	8.0	8.0	8.0	104.1	104.3	104.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5
					Bottom	19.1	19.1	19.1	32.0	31.9	32.0	7.7	7.7	7.7	100.7	101.2	101.0	1.4	1.3	1.4		1.7	1.6	1.7	
					Surface																				
G2	1442-1447	2.3	N	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.3	19.3	31.8	31.9	31.9	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.6	96.9	96.8	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.4
					Bottom																				
G3	1404 1400	15.6	N	0.2	Surface Middle	19.3	19.4	19.4	31.8 32.0	31.9	31.9	7.5	7.4	7.5	97.8 95.6	97.3	97.6	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4
GS	1424-1438	15.6	IN	0.2	Bottom	19.2 19.2	19.3 19.1	19.3 19.2	32.0	32.1 32.1	32.1 32.2	7.3 7.3	7.3 7.2	7.3 7.3	95.8 94.9	95.8 94.7	95.7 94.8	1.1 1.4	1.2 1.3	1.2 1.4	1.1	1.5 1.6	1.5 1.7	1.5 1.7	1.4
<u> </u>					Surface																				
SR1	1414-1419	2.4	N	0.1	Middle	19.3	19.2	19.3	31.7	31.8	31.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	104.3	103.9	104.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	1452-1457	2.1	N	0.1	Middle	19.2	19.3	19.3	31.7	31.8	31.8	7.5	7.5	7.5	98.3	98.4	98.4	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.4
					Bottom																				
	1007 1051				Surface	19.4	19.3	19.4	31.7	31.8	31.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	104.1	104.4	104.3	1.7	1.6	1.7		2.1	2.0	2.1	
SR3	1337-1351	6.9	N	0.1	Middle Bottom	19.4	19.4	19.4	31.9	31.8	31.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	102.5	103.1	102.8	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.1
	 				Bottom Surface	19.3 19.3	19.3 19.2	19.3 19.3	31.9 31.8	32.0 31.7	32.0 31.8	7.8 7.5	7.7 7.5	7.8 7.5	101.6 97.6	101.2 97.8	101.4 97.7	1.8 1.1	1.7	1.8 1.1		2.3 1.3	2.1 1.3	2.2 1.3	
SR4	1523-1533	6.8	N	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.3	19.3	31.8	31.8	31.8	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.9	96.7	96.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5
-					Bottom	19.2	19.2	19.2	31.9	31.8	31.9	7.3	7.4	7.4	96.1	96.4	96.3	1.2	1.3	1.2		1.7	1.7	1.7	
	İ				Surface	19.3	19.4	19.4	31.8	31.9	31.9	7.6	7.6	7.6	98.9	99.1	99.0	1.1	1.2	1.1		1.2	1.6	1.4	
SR5	1502-1517	9.8	N	0.2	Middle	19.3	19.3	19.3	31.9	31.9	31.9	7.4	7.5	7.4	97.1	97.5	97.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.6
Pomark or O					Bottom	19.2	19.2	19.2	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.6	96.2	96.4	1.3	1.3	1.3		1.6	1.7	1.7	

1. * Average; ** Depth Average

Date:	20-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Ebb
Weather:	Drizzle
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temp	perratu	re (°C)		Salinit (ppt)	y		DO (mg/l)		DC) Satura (%)	tion			oidity TU)		Su		led Soli 1g/l)	ids
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	19.1	19.0	19.1	31.6	31.7	31.7	7.2	7.3	7.3	94.4	94.9	94.7	2.1	2.2	2.2		2.8	2.7	2.8	
C1	0820-0835	12.9	N	0.2	Middle	19.0	19.0	19.0	31.8	31.8	31.8	7.2	7.2	7.2	94.0	93.4	93.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.6
					Bottom	19.0	18.9	19.0	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.0	7.1	7.0	91.7	92.0	91.9	2.3	2.3	2.3		2.6	2.7	2.7	
	1005 1010	10.0	N		Surface	19.2	19.1	19.2	31.6	31.6	31.6	7.3	7.3	7.3	95.6	95.2	95.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.4	1.5	
C2	1035-1043	12.9	N	0.2	Middle Bottom	19.1	19.1	19.1	31.8	31.8	31.8	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.1	96.6	96.4	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6
					Bottom Surface	19.0 19.1	19.0 19.0	19.0 19.1	32.0 31.4	31.9 31.5	32.0 31.5	7.2 8.1	7.2 8.0	7.2 8.1	94.2 105.6	93.8 104.9	94.0 105.3	1.6 1.3	1.5 1.4	1.5 1.4		2.0 1.6	1.7 1.7	1.9 1.7	
G1	0857-0912	11.4	N	0.2	Middle	19.0	19.0	19.0	31.4	31.6	31.6	7.9	7.8	7.9	102.8	104.9	102.6	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.6
u.	0007-0012	11.4	IN IN	0.2	Bottom	19.0	18.9	19.0	31.8	31.9	31.9	7.6	7.6	7.6	99.6	99.1	99.4	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.0
					Surface																				
G2	0944-0951	2.0	N	0.2	Middle	19.1	19.1	19.1	31.6	31.7	31.7	7.3	7.2	7.3	95.0	94.2	94.6	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.4
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.2	19.1	19.2	31.5	31.6	31.6	7.3	7.4	7.4	95.8	96.3	96.1	1.0	1.1	1.1		1.2	1.5	1.4	
G3	0927-0940	15.2	N	0.2	Middle	19.1	19.1	19.1	31.8	31.8	31.8	7.2	7.2	7.2	94.0	93.6	93.8	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6
					Bottom	19.0	19.0	19.0	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	92.4	92.9	92.7	1.5	1.6	1.5		1.8	1.9	1.9	
					Surface																				
SR1	0916-0923	2.0	Ν	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.1	19.2	31.5	31.6	31.6	7.9	7.9	7.9	103.4	102.9	103.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	0955-1003	1.6	N	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.1	19.2	31.6	31.6	31.6	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.6	96.0	96.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.6
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.1	19.1	19.1	31.6	31.6	31.6	7.9	7.9	7.9	103.6	103.1	103.4	1.8	1.9	1.9		2.4	2.3	2.4	
SR3	0838-0852	6.6	N	0.2	Middle	19.1	19.1	19.1	31.8	31.7	31.8	7.8	7.7	7.8	101.5	101.0	101.3	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.3
					Bottom	19.0	19.0	19.0	31.9	31.9	31.9	7.6	7.5	7.5	98.6	98.0	98.3	1.9	2.0	1.9		2.5	2.4	2.5	
					Surface	19.2	19.2	19.2	31.6	31.5	31.6	7.4	7.4	7.4	96.3	96.7	96.5	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.5	1.5	1.5	
SR4	1025-1032	6.2	N	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.1	19.2	31.6	31.6	31.6	7.4	7.5	7.5	97.1	97.5	97.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4
					Bottom	19.1	19.1	19.1	31.7		31.8	7.3	7.3	7.3	95.6	95.2	95.4	1.3	1.4	1.3		1.4	1.5	1.5	
SR5	1008-1020	9.4	N	0.2	Surface	19.2	19.2	19.2	31.6	31.6	31.6	7.5	7.4	7.4	97.2	96.7	97.0	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6
545	1008-1020	9.4	N	0.2	Middle	19.2	19.2	19.2	31.8	31.8	31.8	7.3	7.3	7.3	95.6	95.3	95.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.6
Bemark or O					Bottom	19.1	19.0	19.1	32.0	32.0	32.0	7.2	7.1	7.2	93.6	92.7	93.2	1.4	1.4	1.4		1.7	1.5	1.6	

1. * Average; ** Depth Average

Date:	22-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Flood
Weather:	Cloudy
Sea Conditions:	Calm

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring	Temperrature (%		re (°C)		Salinit (ppt)	/	DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth		2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	18.6	18.5	18.6	31.5	31.6	31.6	7.7	7.7	7.7	98.5	98.3	98.4	0.6	0.7	0.6		1.0	1.2	1.1	
C1	1507-1522	12.5	N	0.3	Middle	18.4	18.3	18.4	31.7	31.8	31.8	7.5	7.5	7.5	96.5	96.3	96.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
					Bottom	18.2	18.1	18.2	31.9		31.9	7.4	7.4	7.4	95.0	94.8	94.9	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.4	1.4	1.4	
					Surface	18.4	18.3	18.4	31.5	31.6	31.6	7.8	7.8	7.8	100.4	100.6	100.5	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.6	1.6	1.6	
C2	1732-1737	13.5	N	0.2	Middle	18.2	18.2	18.2	31.7	31.8	31.8	7.7	7.7	7.7	98.4	98.2	98.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
					Bottom	18.1	18.0	18.1	31.9	32.0	32.0	7.4	7.4	7.4	94.6	94.8	94.7	1.4	1.5	1.5		2.0	2.0	2.0	
C1	1547 1000	11.0	N	0.0	Surface	18.4	18.3	18.4	31.5	31.6	31.6	7.8	7.8	7.8	99.5	99.7	99.6	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.4
G1	1547-1602	11.3	N	0.2	Middle	18.3	18.2	18.3	31.7	31.7	31.7	7.5	7.5	7.5	96.3	96.1	96.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4
<u> </u>					Bottom Surface	18.1	18.2	18.2	31.8	31.9	31.9	7.5	7.5	7.5	95.5	95.7	95.6	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.3	1.2	1.3	
G2	1641-1651	1.8	N	0.3	Middle	18.3	18.3	18.3	31.6	31.7	31.7	7.4	7.5	7.4	95.1	95.3	95.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4
GL	G2 1041-1051 1.8	1.0		0.5	Bottom																1.0				1.4
					Surface	18.4	18.3	18.4	31.6	31.7	31.7	7.8	7.7	7.7	99.5	99.3	99.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	_	1.5	1.7	1.6	
G3	1625-1639	15.3	N	0.2	Middle	18.2	18.1	18.2	31.7	31.8	31.8	7.6	7.6	7.6	97.4	97.2	97.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4
				Bottom	18.1	18.0	18.1	31.9	32.0	32.0	7.4	7.5	7.4	94.9	95.1	95.0	1.0	1.1	1.0		1.5	1.4	1.5		
					Surface																				
SR1	1607-1622	2.3	N	0.2	Middle	18.3	18.4	18.4	31.6	31.7	31.7	7.8	7.8	7.8	99.7	99.9	99.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	1653-1703	1.7	N	0.2	Middle	18.2	18.1	18.2	31.7	31.7	31.7	7.9	7.9	7.9	100.8	101.0	100.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
					Bottom																				
					Surface	18.5	18.4	18.5	31.6	31.7	31.7	8.3	8.3	8.3	107.0	106.8	106.9	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.2	1.3	1.3	
SR3	1527-1542	6.3	Ν	0.2	Middle	18.3	18.3	18.3	31.8	31.8	31.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	104.9	104.7	104.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
					Bottom	18.2	18.2	18.2	31.9	32.0	32.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	102.1	102.3	102.2	1.3	1.3	1.3		1.7	1.8	1.8	
				0.1	Surface	18.5	18.5	18.5	31.4	31.5	31.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	98.8	99.0	98.9	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.5	1.5	1.5	
SR4 1720	1720-1730	6.7	N		Middle	18.4	18.3	18.4	31.6	31.7	31.7	7.5	7.6	7.6	96.5	96.7	96.6	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.6
					Bottom	18.2	18.1	18.2	31.8		31.9	7.3	7.3	7.3	93.6	93.4	93.5	1.3	1.3	1.3		1.7	1.8	1.8	
					Surface	18.6	18.5	18.6	31.5	31.6	31.6	7.9	8.0	7.9	101.4	101.6	101.5	1.8	1.8	1.8		2.2	2.3	2.3	
SR5 1706	1706-1716	9.5	N	0.1	Middle	18.3	18.4	18.4	31.7	31.8	31.8	7.7	7.7	7.7	99.1	98.9	99.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4
Pomark or O					Bottom	18.2	18.1	18.2	31.9	32.0	32.0	7.7	7.7	7.7	97.8	98.0	97.9	1.9	2.0	2.0		2.4	2.5	2.5	

1. * Average; ** Depth Average

Date:	22-Jan-16
Tide:	Mid-Ebb
Weather:	Cloudy
Sea Conditions:	Small Wave

Location	Sampling	Water	Current	Current speed	Monitoring			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)				
Location	Time	Depth (m)	direction	(ms ⁻¹)	Depth	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
					Surface	19.0	19.1	19.1	31.8	31.6	31.7	7.4	7.5	7.4	98.4	99.2	98.8	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.2	1.1	1.2	
C1	1015-1025	12.2	N	0.1	Middle	19.0	19.0	19.0	31.7	31.6	31.7	7.3	7.3	7.3	96.8	97.4	97.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5
					Bottom	18.9	18.7	18.8	31.9	31.8	31.9	7.2	7.1	7.2	95.4	95.0	95.2	1.6	1.6	1.6		2.0	1.9	2.0	
C2	1142-1152	10.0	N	0.1	Surface	18.9	18.7	18.8	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.6	7.7	7.6	101.2	101.9	101.6	1.1	1.0	1.0		1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5
62	1142-1152	13.0	N	0.1	Middle Bottom	18.6	18.8	18.7 18.8	32.0	32.1 32.0	32.1 32.0	7.6 7.5	7.6 7.5	7.6 7.5	101.1 99.5	100.5 99.9	100.8 99.7	1.2 1.2	1.1 1.2	1.2 1.2	1.1	1.6	1.5 1.6	1.6	1.5
					Surface	18.7 19.2	18.8 19.0	18.8	31.9 31.7	32.0	32.0	7.5	7.5 8.0	7.5 8.0	99.5 105.6	106.0	99.7 105.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		1.6 1.0	1.0	1.6 1.0	
G1	1038-1047	11.0	N	0.1	Middle	19.1	19.0	19.1	31.8	32.0	31.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	105.1	100.0	104.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3
u.	1000 1047	11.0		0.1	Bottom	19.0	18.9	19.0	32.0	31.9	32.0	7.8	7.9	7.8	104.0	104.4	104.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.0
					Surface																				
G2	1107-1112	1.6	N	0.2	Middle	19.0	19.1	19.1	31.9	32.1	32.0	7.2	7.2	7.2	95.8	96.3	96.1	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
					Bottom																				
					Surface	19.2	19.0	19.1	31.8	31.9	31.9	7.6	7.7	7.7	101.6	102.3	102.0	0.7	0.7	0.7		0.9	0.8	0.9	
G3 1056-110	1056-1106	06 15.0 N	Ν	0.1	Middle	19.1	19.2	19.2	31.9	31.9	31.9	7.5	7.6	7.5	100.1	100.5	100.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3
				Bottom	19.1	19.0	19.1	32.0	31.9	32.0	7.5	7.5	7.5	99.8	99.5	99.7	1.2	1.1	1.2		1.5	1.6	1.6		
					Surface																				
SR1	1048-1054 2.0	2.0	N	0.1	Middle	19.0	19.0	19.0	31.9	32.0	32.0	7.7	7.6	7.7	102.0	101.6	101.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.4
					Bottom																				
					Surface																				
SR2	1113-1118	3 1.6	N	0.2	Middle	19.0	18.8	18.9	32.0	32.1	32.1	7.8	7.7	7.8	103.3	102.9	103.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
					Bottom																				
	1000 105-				Surface	19.1	19.2	19.2	31.7	31.9	31.8	8.3	8.2	8.2	109.9	109.3	109.6	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.6	1.5	1.6	
SR3 1026-1036	1026-1036	6.0	N	0.1	Middle	19.0	19.1	19.1	32.0	31.8	31.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	109.0	109.1	109.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
					Bottom	19.0	19.0	19.0	31.9	31.8	31.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	107.6	108.1	107.9	1.4	1.4	1.4		1.7	1.9	1.8	
SR4 1130-114	1120 1140	6.4	N	0.1	Surface Middle	18.9	19.0 19.0	19.0 19.1	32.1	32.0 32.1	32.1 32.1	7.4	7.4 7.4	7.4 7.4	98.6 97.6	98.2 98.3	98.4 98.0	0.9	0.9 0.9	0.9 1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2 1.3	1.2 1.3	1.3
	1130-1140				Bottom	19.1 18.8	19.0	18.8	32.0 31.9	32.1	32.1 32.0	7.3 7.3	7.4	7.4	97.6 96.6	98.3 97.0	98.0 96.8	1.0 1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.3 1.4	1.3	1.3	1.5
					Surface	18.7	18.9	18.8	32.0	32.0	32.0	7.6	7.6	7.6	101.2	101.6	101.4	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.4	2.1	2.0	
SR5 1119-11	1119-1129	9.2	N	0.1	Middle	18.6	18.9	18.8	31.9	31.8	31.9	7.6	7.6	7.6	100.5	100.9	100.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.1
					Bottom	18.8	18.7	18.8	31.9	31.8	31.9	7.5	7.5	7.5	99.5	100.0	99.8	1.6	1.5	1.6		2.1	2.1	2.1	

1. * Average; ** Depth Average

ERM has over 140 offices Across the following countries worldwide

Argentina	New Zealand
Australia	Panama
Belgium	Peru
Brazil	Poland
Canada	Portugal
China	Puerto Rico
Colombia	Romania
France	Russia
Germany	Singapore
Hong Kong	South Africa
Hungary	Spain
India	Sweden
Indonesia	Taiwan
Ireland	Thailand
Italy	The Netherlands
Japan	United Arab Emirates
Kazakhstan	United Kingdom
Korea	United States
Malaysia	Vietnam
Mexico	

Environmental Resources Management

16/F Berkshire House 25 Westlands Road Quarry Bay, Hong Kong

T: 2271 3000 F: 2723 5660

www.erm.com

