

**MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
(EM&A) REPORT (NO. 3)**

FOR

**CONTRACT NO. 3/WSD/15
IN-SITU REPROVISIONING OF SHA TIN
WATER TREATMENT WORKS – SOUTH WORKS**

(Rev. 0)

**MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL
MONITORING AND AUDIT
(EM&A) REPORT (NO. 3) -**

FOR

**CONTRACT NO. 3/WSD/15
IN-SITU REPROVISIONING OF SHA TIN WATER
TREATMENT WORKS – SOUTH WORKS**





	Name	Signature
Prepared by	Mr. Lok, Kenny, K. M.	
Checked & Reviewed by	Ir Leung, Jacky, C. H.	
Approved & Certified by	Ir Dr. Lam, Gabriel, C. K. Environmental Team Leader (ETL)	
Verified & Confirmed by	Mr. Fung, Y. W. Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND**
- 1.2 ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE**
- 1.3 SCOPE OF REPORT**
- 1.4 SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS**

2. EM&A RESULTS

- 2.1 EM&A BACKGROUND**
- 2.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING**
- 2.3 NOISE MONITORING**
- 2.4 WATER QUALITY MONITORING**
- 2.5 ECOLOGY**
- 2.6 WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS**
- 2.7 DELIVERY, STORAGE AND HANDLING OF CHLORINE**
- 2.8 EM&A SITE INSPECTIONS**
- 2.9 ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS**
- 2.10 IMPLEMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES**
- 2.11 SUMMARY IF EXCEEDANCES OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMIT**
- 2.12 SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS**
- 2.13 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL**

3. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- 3.1 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR COMING MONTHS**
- 3.2 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH**
- 3.3 MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE COMING MONTH**

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1 SUMMARY**

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	General Layout Plan
Appendix B	Project Organization
Appendix C	Three Month Rolling Construction Programmes
Appendix D	Location of Construction Activities
Appendix E	Environmental Sensitive Receivers in the Vicinity of the Project
Appendix F	Summary of Action and Limit Levels
Appendix G	Event Action Plan
Appendix H	Impact Monitoring Schedules
Appendix I	Location Plan of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Appendix J	Calibration Certificates (Air monitoring)
Appendix K	Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentation
Appendix L	Location Plan of Noise Monitoring Station
Appendix M	Calibration Certificates (Noise)
Appendix N	Impact Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentation
Appendix O	Location Plan of Water Quality Monitoring Station
Appendix P	Calibration Certificate (Water Quality)
Appendix Q	The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS accredited Analytical Tests
Appendix R	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix S	Impact Monitoring report for Ecology
Appendix T	Monthly Summary of Waste Flow Table
Appendix U	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix V	Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions
Appendix W	Tentative schedule for environmental monitoring

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A.1 Pursuant to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Ordinance, the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) granted the Environmental Permit (No. EP- 494/2015) to the Water Supplies Department (WSD) to construct and operate the designated project for “In-situ Re-provisioning of Sha Tin Water Treatment Works - South Works” (“The Project”).
- A.2 Under Contract No. 3/WSD/15, Ming Hing - Ming Hing Civil - Vasteam Joint Venture (MMVJV) is commissioned by WSD to undertake the construction of the advance works while AECOM Asia Company Limited was appointed by WSD as the Engineer. For implementation of the environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) programme under the Contract, Acumen Environmental Engineering & Technologies Company Limited was appointed by MMVJV as the Environmental Team (ET). AECOM Asia Company Limited was also employed by the WSD as the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC).
- A.3 The construction phase of the Contract commenced on 30 October 2015 for completion by 2018. The impact monitoring of the EM&A programme, including air quality, noise, water quality monitoring as well as environmental site inspections, commenced on 17th February 2016.
- A.4 This is the 3rd monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report for this Contract covering the period from 1st May 2016 to 31st May 2016 (the Reporting Period). As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting period included:
- Erection of new steel bridge at south gate.
 - Laying of sewer at sludge plant road.
 - Laying of storm water drain at Tin Sum Nullah
 - Site clearance and site formation works at Logistics Centre area.
- A.5 Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A program in this reporting period are summarized below
- | Issues | Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection | Occasions |
|--------------------|--|-----------|
| Air | 1-Hour TSP | 6 |
| Noise | $L_{eq(30mins)}$ Daytime | 6 |
| Water Quality | Water Sampling | 13 |
| Inspection / Audit | ET Regular Environmental Site Inspection | 4 |
| | IEC Monthly Environmental Site Audit | 1 |
- A.6 No exceedance of air quality, noise and water quality monitoring were recorded in this reporting period.
- A.7 No environmental complaint were received via EPD in this reporting period.
- A.8 No notification of any summons and successful prosecutions was received in this reporting period.
- A.9 No reporting change was made in this reporting period.
- A.10 There was no EPD site inspection were conducted in the reporting period.
- A.11 As informed by the Contractor, the major works for this Project in May 2016 will be:
- Site formation works for future WTW Logistics Centre.
 - Construction retaining wall D.
 - Modification of clarifier no.1
- A.12 EM&A monitoring for the 3rd reporting period has been completed. The 4th monthly EM&A report will cover the period from 1st June 16 to 30th June 2016.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Pursuant to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Ordinance, the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) granted the Environmental Permit (No. EP- 494/2015) on 28 January 2015, subsequent to approval of the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-187/2015), to the Water Supplies Department (WSD) to construct and operate the designated project for “In-situ Reprovisioning of Sha Tin Water Treatment Works - South Works” (“The Project”).
- 1.1.2 Under Contract No. 3/WSD/15, Ming Hing - Ming Hing Civil - Vasteam Joint Venture (MMVJV) is commissioned by WSD to undertake the construction of the advance works while AECOM Asia Company Limited was appointed by WSD as the Engineer. For implementation of the environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) programme under the Contract, Acumen Environmental Engineering & Technologies Company Limited was appointed by MMVJV as the Environmental Team (ET). AECOM Asia Company Limited was also employed by the WSD as the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC).
- 1.1.3 The construction phase of the Contract commenced on 30 October 2015 for completion by 2018. The general layout plan of the Contract components is presented in **Appendix A**.
- 1.1.4 ET conducted below baseline monitoring at designated locations according to the EM&A Manual.
- Air quality and noise: from 21st December 2015 to 3rd January 2016.
- Water quality: from 15th December 2015 to 8th January 2016.
- 1.1.5 Baseline Monitoring Report was issued and verified by the IEC on 27th January 2016 and submitted to the EPD on 2nd February 2016.
- 1.1.6 The impact monitoring of the EM&A programme, including air quality, noise, water quality monitoring as well as environmental site inspections, commenced on 17th February 2016.

1.2. ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

1.2.1 The organization structure of the Contract is shown in **Appendix B**. Contact details of key personnel are summarized in below table:

Table 1-1: Key Personnel Contact for Environmental Works

Party	Position	Name	Telephone
Water Supplies Department	Engineer / Project Management	Mr. Chiu, Aletta C. M.	2829 5653
AECOM	Senior Resident Engineer (Civil)	Mr. Ng, Derek, K. H.	9717 1420
	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Fung, Y. W.	3922 9366
	Deputy Independent Environmental Checker	Ms. Lam, Lemon, M. C.	3922 9381
Ming Hing - Ming Hing Civil - Vastream Joint Venture	Project Manager	Mr. Lam, Larry, M. W.	6478-0501
	Site Agent	Mr. To, Eros, W. H.	9223 9590
Acumen Env. Eng. & Tech. Co. Ltd.	Environmental Team Leader	Ir Dr. Lam, Gabriel, C. K.	2333 6823
	Deputy Environmental Team Leader	Ir Leung, Jacky, C. H.	9060 2368
	Ecologist	Mr. Liu, Vincent, W. L.	6505 5827

1.3. SCOPE OF REPORT

1.3.1 This is the 3rd monthly EM&A Report under the Contract No. 3/WSD/15 In-situ Re-provisioning of Sha Tin Water Treatment Works (South Works) – Advance Works covering the period from 1st May 2016 to 31st May 2016 (the reporting period).

1.3.2 The EM&A requirements for impact monitoring are set out in the approved EM&A Manual. Environmental aspects such as the construction air quality, noise, water quality and ecology were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

1.4. SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS

1.4.1 The construction phase of the Contract commenced on 30th October 2015. The three-month rolling construction programme is shown in **Appendix C**.

1.4.2 As informed by the Contractor, details of the major works carried out in this reporting month are listed below:

- Site formation works for future WTW Logistics Centre.
- Construction retaining wall D.
- Modification of clarifier no.1

1.4.3 The locations of the construction activities are shown in **Appendix D**. The Environmental Sensitive Receivers in the vicinity of the Project are shown in **Appendix E**.

2. EM&A RESULTS

2.1. EM&A BACKGROUND

2.1.1 The EM&A programme required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise, water quality and ecology as well as environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, waste management and ecology impacts. The EM&A requirements and related findings for each component are summarized in the following sections. A summary of impact monitoring programme is presented in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: Summary of Impact Monitoring Programme

Impact Monitoring	Sampling Parameter	Frequency
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	3 times in every 6 days when documented and valid complaint was received
Noise	$L_{eq\ 30\ min}$, $L_{eq\ 5\ min}$, L_{10} and L_{90} as reference.	1 time per week: ◆ $L_{eq\ 30\ min}$ for normal weekdays from 0700 - 1900;
Water Quality	Duplicate in-situ measurements: Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Turbidity and pH; HOKLAS-accredited laboratory analysis: Suspended Solids (SS).	3 days per week. The interval between 2 monitoring days will be more than 36 hours.
Ecology	-	A detailed at least 6 years post-planting monitoring and maintenance programme

Remark: Sampling Depth for Water Quality:

- (i) 3 depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above bottom and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m.
- (ii) If the water depth is between 3m and 6m, 2 depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above bottom.
- (iii) If the water depth is less than 3m, 1 sample at mid-depth is taken

2.1.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2: Summary of the monitoring parameters of EM&A Requirements

Environmental Issue	Parameter
Air Quality	● 1-hour TSP Monitoring by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter
Noise	● $L_{eq\ (30min)}$ during normal working hours
Water Quality	In-situ measurement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L); ● Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%); ● Turbidity (NTU); ● pH value; ● Water depth (m); and ● Temperature (°C)
	Laboratory analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Suspended Solids (mg/L)

- 2.1.3 Summary of determination of Action/Limit (A/L) Levels for air quality, noise and water quality are presented in **Appendix F**.
- 2.1.4 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in **Appendix G**.
- 2.1.5 The impact monitoring schedules are presented in **Appendix H** and the monitoring results are detailed in the following sub-sections.

2.2. AIR QUALITY MONITORING

- 2.2.1 Impact monitoring for air quality had been carried out in accordance with Sections 2.29 of the approved EM&A Manual to determine the ambient 1-hour total suspended particulates (TSP) levels at the monitoring locations. 1-hour TSP sampling should be undertaken at least 3 times in every six-days at each monitoring station when the highest dust impacts are expected. General meteorological conditions (wind speed, direction and precipitation) and notes regarding any significant adjacent dust producing sources had also been recorded throughout the impact monitoring period.
- 2.2.2 Two (2) designated monitoring stations, AM1 located at the L Louey and AM2 located at Hin Keng Estate - Hin Wan House, were recommended in Section 2.18 of the approved EM&A Manual. In order to identify and seek for the access of the air monitoring locations designated in the EM&A Manual, site visit was conducted among ET, IEC and EPD.
- 2.2.3 During the site visit, all designated air monitoring locations were identified. Details of air monitoring stations are described in Table 2-3. The location plan of air quality monitoring stations is shown in **Appendix I**.

Table 2-3: Location of the Air Quality Monitoring Stations

Air Quality Monitoring Station	Air Sensitive Receiver (ASR) ID in the approved EIA Report	Dust Monitoring Station
AM1	ASR2	The L Louey (at a platform level of about 5m above road level nearby)
AM2	ASR4	Hin Keng Estate - Hin Wan House (at the roof top)

- 2.2.4 The monitoring equipment using for the air quality impact monitoring was proposed by ET and verified by IEC. 1-hour TSP levels had been measured with direct reading dust meter. It has been demonstrated its capability in achieving comparable results with high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50). The details of equipment using for impact monitoring are listed in Table 2-4 as below.

Table 2-4: Air Quality Impact Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Portable dust meter – 1-hour TSP	TSI DustTrak Aerosol Monitor Model 8532
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	The Kestrel Pocket Weather Meter

- 2.2.5 The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
- A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
 - A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
 - A built-in data logger compatible with based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.
- 2.2.6 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument was checked before and after each monitoring event. Operation of the 1-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual. A valid calibration certificate is attached in **Appendix J**.
- 2.2.7 In this Reporting Period, a total of six (6) sampling days perform air quality monitoring at the two designated locations. The results for 1-hour TSP are summarized in Table 2-5 and Table 2-6.

Table 2-5: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1

Date	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
	Start Time	End Time	1 st Measurement	2 nd Measurement	3 rd Measurement
5/5/2016	13:48	16:48	213	202	210
9/5/2016	09:08	12:08	181	256	190
13/5/2016	09:03	12:03	191	187	198
18/5/2016	09:02	12:02	179	181	168
23/5/2016	09:00	12:00	179	188	186
27/5/2016	09:03	12:03	198	187	190
Average			193.6		
Range			168-256		

Table 2-6: Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2

Date	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
	Start Time	End Time	1 st Measurement	2 nd Measurement	3 rd Measurement
5/5/2016	08:58	11:58	159	166	149
9/5/2016	14:32	17:32	139	148	150
13/5/2016	13:58	16:58	154	160	133
18/5/2016	14:03	17:03	148	140	156
23/5/2016	14:25	17:25	129	137	144
27/5/2016	14:31	17:31	154	149	133
Average			147.1		
Range			129-166		

- 2.2.8 In this Reporting Month, all monitoring result were below the limit level. Hence, no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered during this month. The impact air quality monitoring results and graphical presentation are shown in **Appendix K**.

2.3. NOISE MONITORING

- 2.3.1 Impact monitoring for noise levels had been measured in accordance with Sections 3.13 of approved EM&A Manual on normal weekdays at a frequency of once a week at logging interval of 30 minutes for daytime (between 0700 and 1900 hours of normal weekdays). The Leq had been recorded at the specified intervals. The non-project related construction activity – Sha Tin to Central Link (SCL) for Hin Keng to Diamond Hill Tunnel, in the vicinity of the monitoring stations during the impact monitoring had been noted and the source and location of this activity had been recorded.
- 2.3.2 According to Section 3.7 of the approved EM&A Manual, 3 noise sensitive receivers designated for the construction noise monitoring. The designated monitoring stations are identified and successfully granted by the premises. The details of noise monitoring stations are described in Table 2-7 and the location plan of noise monitoring stations is shown in **Appendix L**.

Table 2-7: Details of Noise Monitoring Stations

Noise Monitoring Station	Noise Sensitive Receiver (NSR) ID in the approved EIA Report	Identified Noise Monitoring Station
NM1	HK2	The L Louey (South) (at a platform level of about 5m above road level nearby - free field measurement)
NM2	HK5	Hin Keng Estate – Hin Wan House (at the roof level - facade measurement)
NM3	HK7	C.U.H.K.F.A.A. Thomas Cheung School (at the roof level - free field measurement)

- 2.3.3 The monitoring equipment using for the noise impact monitoring was proposed by ET and verified by IEC. Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications has been used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter has been checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed has been checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. The details of equipment using for impact monitoring are listed in Table 2-8 as below.

Table 2-8: Noise Impact Monitoring Equipment

Noise	
Sound Level Meter	Pulsar 95 Sound level meter
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	The Kestrel Pocket Weather Meter

- 2.3.4 All noise measurements were the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq).
- 2.3.5 Prior to the impact noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Regular checking was conducted in impact monitoring period. The calibration level before and after the noise measurement is agreed to within 1.0 dB.
- 2.3.6 An acoustic calibrator and sound level meter using impact monitoring is within the valid period and were calibrated per year. A set of valid calibration certificates is attached in Appendix M.
- 2.3.7 Noise measurements should not be made in presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 ms^{-1} or wind with gusts exceeding 10 ms^{-1} . The wind speed was checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring with speeds in ms^{-1} .
- 2.3.8 In this Reporting Period, a total six (6) occasions noise monitoring was undertaken in Reporting period. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in Tables 2-9 to 2-11.

Table 2-9: Summary of Noise Monitoring Results – NM1

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min}	2 nd Leq _{5min}	3 rd Leq _{5min}	4 th Leq _{5min}	5 th Leq _{5min}	6 th Leq _{5min}	Leq _{30min}
5/5/2016	10:33 - 11:03	69.2	70.0	69.9	68.9	69.8	70.6	69.8
9/5/2016	10:05 - 10:35	69.4	68.3	67.1	69.8	67.6	68.2	68.5
13/5/2016	10:22 - 10:52	67.9	68.8	69.2	70.1	68.3	69.5	69.0
18/5/2016	09:38 - 10:08	67.9	68.3	68.9	69.1	69.2	68.5	68.7
23/5/2016	09:57 - 10:27	68.3	68.6	67.8	69.2	68.8	69.0	68.6
27/5/2016	09:34 - 10:04	68.7	68.9	69.5	68.3	69.8	69.7	69.2
Limit Level >75dB(A)	Average							69.0
	Range							68.5-69.8

Table 2-10: Summary of Noise Monitoring Results – NM2

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min}	2 nd Leq _{5min}	3 rd Leq _{5min}	4 th Leq _{5min}	5 th Leq _{5min}	6 th Leq _{5min}	Leq _{30min}
5/5/2016	14:50 - 15:20	59.2	60.1	59.7	58.8	59.0	58.4	59.2
9/5/2016	09:20 - 09:50	58.3	57.2	57.8	58.8	56.5	57.4	57.7
13/5/2016	11:20 - 11:50	59.1	59.6	58.7	58.2	60.1	58.5	59.1
18/5/2016	14:01 - 14:31	58.3	58.7	56.9	57.5	58.1	59.0	58.1
23/5/2016	11:26 - 11:56	58.6	56.8	58.7	59.0	59.2	57.3	58.4
27/5/2016	11:23 - 11:53	59.8	60.5	59.7	60.3	60.8	61.9	60.6
Limit Level >75dB(A)	Average							59.0
	Range							57.7-60.6

Table 2-11: Summary of Noise Monitoring Results – NM3

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min}	2 nd Leq _{5min}	3 rd Leq _{5min}	4 th Leq _{5min}	5 th Leq _{5min}	6 th Leq _{5min}	Leq _{30min}
5/5/2016	13:40 - 14:10	54.1	53.1	54.6	55.0	53.4	56.0	54.5
9/5/2016	10:50 - 11:20	55.2	56.1	54.3	55.8	55.2	54.0	55.2
13/5/2016	13:43 - 14:13	53.6	55.0	53.9	55.3	54.7	54.8	54.6
18/5/2016	11:25 - 11:55	54.5	55.9	55.6	54.9	55.0	55.3	55.2
23/5/2016	10:49 - 11:19	54.0	53.8	53.1	53.8	54.7	53.9	53.9
27/5/2016	10:38 - 11:08	54.1	54.0	55.8	56.0	54.3	55.1	55.0
Limit Level 70dB(A) during normal teaching periods and 65 dB(A) during examination periods		Average						54.7
		Range						53.9-55.2

2.3.9 As shown in the results were well below the action and limit level, also no complaint was received by the RE, WSD, EPD and contractor. Hence, no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered during this month. The impact noise quality monitoring results and graphical presentation are shown in **Appendix N**.

2.4. WATER QUALITY MONITORING

2.4.1 Water Impact monitoring had been taken three days per week with sampling or measurement in accordance with Sections 4.12 of the approved EM&A Manual at all designated monitoring stations in the 2 water courses. The interval between 2 sets of monitoring had been more than 36 hours. Replicate in-situ measures had been carried out in each sampling event.

2.4.2 Three (3) control and two (2) impact stations were recommended in the Section 4.7 of the approved EM&A Manual to carry out water quality monitoring. In order to identify and seek for the access of the water monitoring locations designated in the approved EM&A Manual, site visit was conducted among ET, IEC and Environmental Protection Department (EPD).

2.4.3 During the site visit, all designated monitoring locations were identified however one more impact stations (M3) along the same water course was introduced due to the concern on multiple site effect, in particular to address the potential impact to M2 from a source at upstream of the water course. Details and coordinates of the monitoring stations are described in Table 2-12 and the location plan of water quality monitoring stations is shown in **Appendix O**.

Table 2-12: Details of Water Quality Monitoring Station

Water Quality Monitoring Station	Description	Co-ordinates	
		Easting	Northing
C1	Control Stations	835110	824716
C2		835403	824470
C3		835642	824386
M1	Impact Monitoring Stations	835215	824827
M2		835536	824775
M3		835501	824648

- 2.4.4 The water monitoring equipment and analysis using for the water quality monitoring were proposed by ET and verified by IEC. The details of equipment using for impact monitoring are listed in the Table 2-13 below:

Table 2-13: Monitoring Equipment Used in Impact Monitoring Program

Water quality	
YSI Model ProDSS Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System	
Thermometer & DO meter	The instrument is a portable and weatherproof dissolved oxygen (DO) measuring instrument complete with cable and sensor, and use a DC power source. The equipment is capable of measuring as included a DO level in the range of 0 - 20mg/L and 0 - 200% saturation; and a temperature of 0 - 45°C.
pH meter	The instrument consists of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device. It is readable to 0.1 pH in range of 0 to 14.
Turbidimeter	The instrument is a portable and weatherproof turbidity measuring instrument using a DC power source. It has a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0 - 1000 NTU.
Laboratory Analysis	
Suspended Solids	HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited)

Remark:

- (i) *Water samples for suspended solids (SS) have been stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen).*

- 2.4.5 Before the commencement of the sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling as well as the personnel responsible for monitoring were recorded on the monitoring field data sheet.
- 2.4.6 Water temperature, turbidity, DO, pH and water depth were measured in-situ. Since water depths at C1, C2, M1, M2 and M3 were less than 3 m, all in-situ measurements and sampling conducted at one water depth such as mid-depth are performed. Moreover, C3 was recorded dry throughout the sampling period. Therefore, in-situ measurements and sampling could not be conducted at C3 in accordance with the water monitoring requirements in the approved EM&A Manual.
- 2.4.7 At each sampling point, (two) 2 consecutive measurements of temperature, DO, turbidity and pH were measured. The Multi-Parameter Water Quality Monitoring Probe were retrieved out of the water after the first measurement and then re-deployed for the second measurement. Where the difference in the value between the first and second readings of each set was more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading was discarded and further readings were taken. The certification of the Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System is showed in **Appendix P**.
- 2.4.8 All water samples were delivered to the Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited (HOKLAS registration no.: 241). SS testing was used HOKLAS accredited Analytical method APHA 2540 D. The certification of laboratory with HOKLAS accredited analytical tests are provided in **Appendix Q**.
- 2.4.9 In this reporting period, a total of thirteen (13) sampling days perform water monitoring at the six designated locations. Monitoring results of 4 key parameters: dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity, suspended solids and pH in this Reporting Months, are summarized in Table 2-14.

Table 2-14: Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Dissolved Oxygen – Mid Depth (mg/L)	C1	C2	C3	M1	M2	M3
Average	7.90	8.37	N/A	9.16	9.15	9.33
Min.	7.76	8.21	N/A	8.41	9.08	9.18
Max.	8.16	8.56	N/A	9.40	9.27	9.44
Turbidity – Mid Depth (NTU)	C1	C2	C3	M1	M2	M3
Average	2.52	2.26	N/A	3.02	4.62	0.60
Min.	1.70	1.60	N/A	1.60	1.50	0.20
Max.	3.20	3.00	N/A	3.70	10.60	0.80
Suspended Solid – Mid depth (mg/L)	C1	C2	C3	M1	M2	M3
Average	1.76	2.04	N/A	1.76	4.58	<1
Min.	1.10	1.00	N/A	1.00	1.20	<1
Max.	2.80	3.60	N/A	2.70	15.00	<1
pH value (unit)	C1	C2	C3	M1	M2	M3
Min.	6.97	7.70	N/A	7.16	7.04	7.16
Max.	7.77	8.40	N/A	8.07	10.83	8.45

2.4.10 In this Reporting Month, all monitoring result were below the limit level. Hence, no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered during this month. Detailed monitoring results including in-situ measurements, laboratory analysis data are shown in **Appendix R**.

2.5. ECOLOGY

2.5.1 Detailed Vegetation Survey Report and Woodland Compensation Plan submitted to EPD and approved on 17 February 2016. To ensure the planting works are properly implemented, bi-weekly monitoring is proposed throughout the planting phase. The frequency of monitoring is proposed to be bi-monthly during the first years of the planting stage, and then reduced to quarterly for the six (6) year post-planting period.

2.5.2 A 6 years post-planting review report will be submitted within a month after completion of the at least 6 years post-planting monitoring and maintenance.

2.5.3 A bi-weekly monitoring scheduled on 7 and 22 May 2016 during the Reporting Period. Detailed monitoring report (No. 6 and No.7) are shown in **Appendix S**.

2.5.4 No adverse impact was observed on Ailanthus (*Ailanthus fordii*) and Incense Tree (*Aquilaria sinensis*) after root pruning work in April 2016. The condition of TA572, TA326 and TA327 was similar to last inspection period. Leaves of Hong Kong Eagle's Claw was observed fallen but the main stem still in greenish color indicating that it is still alive and under recovery. New fronds of transplanted Lamb of Tartary were observed growing out. Lamb of Tartary was under recovery after transplantation. Currently, Lamb of Tartary and Hong Kong Eagle's Claw were temporally stored on Nursery garden at Wang Toi Shan, Kam Tin. It is recommended to retain them at the nursery garden under proper maintenance during current recovery stage.

2.6. WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS

- 2.6.1 The Contractor has submitted application form for registration as chemical waste producer under the Contract. Sufficient numbers of receptacles were available for general refuse collection and sorting.
- 2.6.2 Wastes generated during this reporting period include mainly construction wastes (inert and non-inert). Waste flow table was prepared by the Contractor to record amount of waste generated and disposed (**Appendix T**).
- 2.6.3 The Contractor was advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and waste collection, sorting and recording system, dispose of C&D materials and wastes at designated ground and maximize reuse/ recycle of C&D materials and wastes.
- 2.6.4 The Contractor was also reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly. For chemical waste containers, the Contractor was reminded to treat properly and store temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

2.7. DELIVERY, STORAGE AND HANDLING OF CHLORINE

- 2.7.1 Chlorine is delivered to Sha Tin WTW in batches of up to 6×1-tonne drums. The transport route from Sham Shui Kok dock on North Lantau is shown in **Figure 1**. The route passes along the North Lantau Expressway, around the northern edge of Tsing Yi, through Tsuen Wan and along Tai Po Road (Piper’s Hill) to Sha Tin (Table 2-15)

Figure 1: Chlorine Transport Route to Sha Tin Water Treatment Works




Table 2-15: Chlorine Truck Transport Route

Destination	Route
From SSK Dock to Sha Tin WTW	Sham Shui Kok Dock > Cheung Tung Road > Sunny Bay Road > N Lantau Highway > Lantau Link > NW Tsing Yi Interchange > Tsing Yi North Coastal road > Tsing Tsuen Road > Tsuen Wan Road > Kwai Chung Road > Ching Cheung Road > Tai Po Road > Tai Po Road (Piper’s Hill) > Tai Po Road (Sha Tin Heights) > Tai Po Road > Tsing Sha Highway (Sha Tin) > Tai Po Rd (Sha Tin) > Sha Tin Rural Committee Rd > Tai Chung Kiu Rd > Che Kung Miu Road > Sha Tin WTW

2.7.2 Unloading takes place inside the Chlorination House, with the doors closed, in a designated truck unloading bay. The movement of drums within the storage area and ‘drive-through’ unloading bay is carried out using a hoist/monorail system with a purpose-built lifting beam. Prior to usage, the drums are stored on cradles within the chlorine storage area.

2.7.3 The on-site chlorine delivery route is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Chlorine Delivery Route at Sha Tin WTW





- 2.7.4 An emergency chlorine scrubbing system is installed to remove any leaked chlorine in the chlorine handling and storage areas. The system is a packed tower utilising sodium hydroxide as the neutralising agent. The plant and equipment are installed in a separate scrubber room.
- 2.7.5 On detection of chlorine at a concentration of 3 ppm or above in the chlorine handling or storage areas, the scrubbing system will activate automatically. The air/chlorine mixture in the affected areas is drawn into the scrubber by the scrubber fan via ducting connected to the normal ventilation system. An electrically-operated isolating damper is provided in the scrubber intake which opens automatically when the scrubber fan starts up.
- 2.7.6 The scrubber system is normally set at auto standby mode and is activated if the chlorine concentration rises above 3 ppm. A continuous chlorine monitor is installed at a point downstream of the packed tower and upstream of the vent/recycle changeover dampers to monitor the scrubber performance; a “Chlorine concentration high” alarm will be initiated if the concentration of chlorine in the tower exhaust exceeds the preset value.
- 2.7.7 According to the Fire Services Department’s fire safety requirements, an emergency repair/stoppage kit for chlorine spillage/leakage is provided and maintained in good working condition at all times for use by the trained persons and stowed adjacent to but outside the store/plant room. Regular drills are conducted to train personnel on the proper use of the breathing apparatus and protective clothing.
- 2.7.8 A Hazard Assessment of the risks associated with the storage, handling and transport of chlorine at Sha Tin WTW and the off-site transport of chlorine for the Construction and Operational Phases of the reprovisioning project has been conducted in the approved EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-187/2015).
- 2.7.9 This In-situ Reprovisioning of Sha Tin WTW is an improvement project, following its completion the chlorine-related risks levels to the general public will be lowered due to the anticipated reduction of the chlorine storage and usage levels.
- 2.7.10 Implementation of the recommended mitigation measures would be regularly audited. No specific Environmental Monitoring would be required.

2.8. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

- 2.8.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. In the reporting period, four (4) site inspections were carried out on 5, 9, 16 & 25 May 2016.
- 2.8.2 One joint site inspection with IEC also undertaken on 25 May 2016. Minor deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection or joint site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections are summarized in Table 2-16.

Table 2-16: Site Observations

Date	Environmental Observations	Follow-up Status
5 May 2016	No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection	N/A
9 May 2016	<u>Reminder:</u> The Contractor was reminded to spray water on access road regularly.	Reminder only.
16 May 2016	No environmental issue was observed during the site inspection	N/A
25 May 2016	<u>Reminder:</u> The Contractor was reminded to check condition of diesel power generator in wall C regularly. The Contractor was reminded to handle the chemicals properly.	Reminder only.

- 2.8.3 The Contractor has rectified all of the observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period.

2.9. ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS

- 2.9.1 The status of environmental license and permit is summarized in Table 2-17 below:

Table 2-17: Summary of Environmental License and Permit

License / Permit	License / Permit No.	Date of Issue	Date of Expiry	License / Permit Holder	Remark
Environmental Permit	EP- 494/2015	28/1/2015	N/A	WSD	
Registration of Waste Producer	WPN5218-759 -M2936-01	31/12/2015	N/A	MMVJV	
Trip Ticket (Chit) Account	7023723	10/12/2015	N/A	MMVJV	
Waste Water Discharge License (Wall C)	WT0023932 -2016	1/4/2016	31/3/2021	MMVJV	

2.10. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

- 2.10.1 In response to the site audit findings, the Contractors carried out corrective actions. A summary of the environmental mitigation measures implemented by the Contractor in this Reporting Period are summarized in Table 2-18.
- 2.10.2 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are showed **Appendix U**.

Table 2-18: Environmental Mitigation Measures

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site; - Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on site haul roads; - Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and roads; - Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or stockpiling of dusty materials to reduce emissions; - Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs.
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good site practices to limit noise emissions at the sources; - Use of quite plant and working methods; - Use of site hoarding or other mass materials as noise barrier to screen noise at ground level of NSRs; - Scheduling of construction works outside school examination period in critical area.
Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Drainage systems were regularly and adequately maintained; - Effluent discharged from the construction site should comply with standards stipulated in the TM-DSS; - Open stockpiles of construction materials on sites should be covered.
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The site was generally kept tidy and clean.

- 2.10.3 The necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly for this Contract.

2.11. SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMIT

- 2.11.1 Results for 1-hour TSP, noise and water quality monitoring complied with the Action/ Limit levels in the reporting period.
- 2.11.2 Cumulative statistics on exceedances is provided in **Appendix V**.

2.12. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

2.12.1 The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is shown in below table:

Table 2-19: Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure

Complaint Received via Project Hotline	Complaint Received via 1823 or from other government departments
MMVJV notify ER, ET and IEC	ER notify MMVJV, ET and IEC
Register of the complaint. MMVJV and ET to conduct investigation of complaint and report to ER and IEC the investigation results	
If complaint is considered not valid	If complaint is found valid
ET or ER to reply the complainant if necessary	MMVJV to implement necessary improvement measures in consultation with the IEC, ET and ER. ET to check and inspect if the situation is improved. ER to conduct further inspection as necessary.
	ER to report the follow up actions done to WSD and reply to complainant is necessary. If the complaint is referred by the EPD, the Contractor to prepare interim report on the status of the complaint investigation and follow-up action
ER prepare complaint report for submission to WSD ET to record the complaint case in monthly EM&A report	

- 2.12.2 No environmental complaint were received in the reporting period.
- 2.12.3 No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 2.12.4 Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in **Appendix V**.

2.13. DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

- 2.13.1 The impact monitoring data were handled by ET's in-house data recording and management system.
- 2.13.2 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment were downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data were input into computerized database properly. The laboratory results were input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who had input the data.
- 2.13.3 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory had followed the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory testing.

3. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

3.1. CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE COMING MONTHS

- 3.1.1 As informed by the Contractor, the major works for this Project in June-August 2016 will be:
 - Site formation works for future WTW Logistics Centre.
 - Construction retaining wall D.
 - Modification of clarifier no.1.

3.2. KEY ISSUES FOR COMING MONTH

- 3.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above upcoming construction activities in June 2016 are mainly associated with dust, noise, water quality issues and waste management issues.
- 3.2.2 Particular issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times.
 - Implementation of adequate measures to prevent discharge of site effluent to the nearby water bodies
 - Desilting tanks and catch-pits should be regularly maintained.
 - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures.
- 3.2.2 The tentative monitoring schedule for June to August 2016 can be found in **Appendix W**.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1. SUMMARY

- 4.1.1 Air quality (including 1-hour TSP), noise, water quality and ecology impact monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. All monitoring results are satisfactory and no NOEs or associated corrective action was therefore issued.
- 4.1.2 Four (4 nos.) environmental site inspection were conducted during the reporting period. Joint site inspection with IEC were carried out on 25 May 2016. Minor deficiencies were observed during site inspection and were rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- 4.1.3 To control the site performance on waste management, the contractor shall ensure that all solid and liquid waste management works are fully in compliance with the relevant license/permit requirements, such as the effluent discharge licence and the chemical waste producer registration. Contractor is also reminded to implement the recommended environmental mitigation measures according to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual.
- 4.1.4 No environmental complaint were received in the reporting period.
- 4.1.5 No notification of summons or prosecution was received since commencement of the Contract.
- 4.1.6 The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.