Our ref

23898/1.1/7.1/ST/cwk/27702

ARUP

By Fax (2565 7638) & Post

ATAL Belgoprocess Joint Venture Limited 13/F, Island Place Tower No. 510 King's Road North Point, Hong Kong

For the attention of: Mr Brian Yau

Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong Kowloon Hong Kong t+ 852 2528 3031 f+ 852 2865 6493

> sam.tsoi@arup.com www.arup.com

18 January 2016

Dear Mr Yau

Low Level Radioactive Waste Storage Facility at Siu A Chau Verification of Operation Phase EM&A Report No. 19

We refer to your submission email dated 18 December 2015, regarding the captioned report.

We do not have comments and hereby verify the captioned report for your onward submission.

If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 2268 3208 or our W K Chiu at 2268 3149.

Yours faithfully

Sam Tsoi

Independent Environmental Checker

Enc

ATAL-BELGOPROCESS JOINT VENTURE

Contract No. EP/SP/40/02

Low Level Radioactive Waste Storage Facility at Siu A Chau

Nineteenth Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report (Operation Phase)

Draft

June 2015

Certified By	KOG.
	(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

The Environmental Team Leader accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

Dr. John K.C. Leung Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong. Tel: +852 2859 2858

E-mail: jkcleung@hku.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXI	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
	Background	2
	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	2
2.	MONITORING RESULTS	3
	Ambient γ Dose Rates	5
	SAND	8
	GRASS	9
	SEA WATER	10
	Marine Organisms	11
	AIRBORNE PARTICULATES	13
3.	REPORT ON ELEVATED ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION BACKG	ROUND 14
	Dose for Radiation Workers	15
	DOSE RATES AT UN-CONTROLLED AREAS	15
	Liquid Effluent Discharge	15
	AIRBORNE EFFLUENT DISCHARGE	15
5.	RESULT OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AUDITS	16
API	PENDIX 1	17
	LIMIT LEVEL AND ACTION LEVEL	17
	Investigation Level	1.5

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1(a) Ambient γ Dose Rates at 1 m above Ground
- Table 2.1(b) Comparison of Ambient γ Dose Rates with Previous Results
- Table 2.2(a) Activity Concentration of Some Major Radionuclides in Soil Samples
- Table 2.2(b) Comparison of Activities in Soil with Previous Results
- Table 2.3(a) Activity Concentration of Some Major Radionuclides in Sand Samples
- Table 2.3(b) Comparison of Activities in Sand with Previous Results
- Table 2.4(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α and β Emitters in Grass Samples
- Table 2.4(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Grass Samples with Previous Results
- Table 2.5(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α/β Emitters in Sea Water Samples
- Table 2.5(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Sea Water Samples with Previous Results
- Table 2.6(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α/β Emitters in Fish Samples
- Table 2.6(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Fish Samples with Previous Results
- Table 2.7(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α/β Emitters in Sea Snail Samples
- Table 2.7(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Sea Snails with Previous Results
- Table 2.8(a) Net Gross α/β Counts in Airborne Particulate Samples
- Table 2.8(b) Comparison of α/β in Airborne Particulate Samples with Previous Samples
- Table A1.1 Limit Levels for Non-compliance and Action Levels
- Table A1.2 Investigation Levels for Environmental Samples

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Locations of the Sampling Sites

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the ninth yearly report after the Facility has been operated for 10 years and it presents the results of the radiological monitoring work performed between August 27, 2014 and the date of sampling, which is April 15, 2015. No exceedance in Investigation Level was observed for all the radiation monitoring results and collected environmental samples.

This is also the last yearly report for the first ten years of operation.

The Facility was operating smoothly over the years and there is no indication that the operation of the Facility has risen or will raise the environmental radiation level around the Facility. However we occasionally saw minor exceedances in Investigation Levels in some samples to which we could not find any explanation. From the nature of the wastes and the specification of the waste drums, we do not anticipate any aerial effluent except radon gas emitted from the radium wastes. Yet the released radon was under constant monitoring and we did not see any abnormal releases. Hence radon and its progenies was not the source for those exceedances. Similarly there was no liquid effluent throughout the entire ten years of operation; hence any exceedances observed in marine samples should not be attributable to the Facility.

It can therefore be concluded that the fluctuation in the natural environment can be greater than 3 x SD or 3 x SU of the baseline samples defined for the Investigation Levels in Appendix 1. A review of the baseline levels and Investigation Levels are recommended.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 Various industrial, educational and medical facilities in Hong Kong have, for a number of years, used radioactive materials and generated radioactive waste. Most of the existing waste arisings were stored in disused air raid tunnels close to Queen's Road East in Wan Chai. Other arisings were stored temporarily (although in some cases for several years) at the point of use in educational institutions or hospitals.
- 1.2 A consultancy study in 1995 concluded that Siu A Chau was a suitable location for a purpose-built storage facility to which all waste will be transported, placed in stainless steel drums and stored.
- 1.3 In July 2003 ATAL-Belgoprocess Joint Venture Limited (ABJV) was awarded a contract to design, construct, and operate the LRWF at Siu A Chau for 10 years. Thereafter, the ABJV will transfer the waste management skills for this Facility to Hong Kong.
- 1.4 The LRWF was designed to have a storage vault that can initially store 260 drums of waste, each drum of 275 litres net capacity. The building also contains facilities for waste reception and repackaging waste, and administering the process. A jetty was built to provide marine access to the Facility.
- 1.5 The Facility is equipped with various radiation monitors inside the building specially installed for detecting all possible leakage of effluents from the building.
- 1.6 However, it is possible that minute activities may escape from detection and enter the biosphere, or an unexpected incidence would have resulted in a significant release of radionuclide from the Facility. It is one of the objectives of this environmental monitoring scheme to monitor whether in the long-term, the operation of the Facility will cause deterioration to the environment.

Purpose of the Report

- 1.7 This is the nineteenth EM&A (Operation Phase) report, which is also the ninth annual report on measurement results of environmental samples taken after the commencement of operation of the LRWF on July 28, 2005. This report covers the monitoring period from August 27, 2014 to the date of sampling which was April 15, 2015.
- 1.8 The requirements of the operation phase monitoring and audit; monitoring scheme and monitoring equipment and procedures have been fully described in the First EM&A (Operation Phase) Report. Please refer to that report for reference.
- 1.9 This report also covers the monitoring of personnel doses, the non-active areas of the Facility and the liquid and gaseous effluents.

2. MONITORING RESULTS

- 2.1 The sampling scheme remained unchanged. 15 in-situ ambient γ dose rates were measured. 3 soil samples; 3 sand samples; 3 grass samples; 8 seawater samples from 4 locations at two depths; 1 kg of sea snails; a few fish and 3 airborne particulate samples were collected and analysed as in previous monitoring. **Figure 2.1** shows the locations for taking various samples.
- 2.2 Ambient γ dose rates were taken at exactly the same locations and would give a true picture of the variation of the radiation environment if there were any.
- 2.3 Soil and grass samples were collected at more or less the same place as for the baseline. Since we need fresh surface soils that would have stored information of fallout since the commencement of the operation, the sampling sites shifted a little bit every time.
- 2.4 The uncertainties of the measurement results are given as standard deviation (SD) or standard uncertainty (SU). SD is given for individual sample and is calculated according to the number of counts recorded and assuming a normal distribution for the counts. SU is reported for each group of samples and it takes into account of the variance between samples. Please refer to the First EM&A Report (Operation Phase) for details.




Fig. 2.1 Locations of the Sampling Sites

(γ: Ambient gamma dose rate; S: Soil or Sand; W: Water; P: Air particulates) (Grass sampling sites are the same as soil sampling sites)

4

Ambient y Dose Rates

2.5 The measurement results are given in the last column in **Table 2.1(a)**. The 1st year results are average of all previous results measured within the 1st year. **Table 2.1(b)** also shows the results of the previous measurements for comparison. It is noted that the overall average value has not changed during the monitoring period.

Table 2.1(a) Ambient γ Dose Rates at 1 m above Ground

	γ Dose Rate ± 1 SD (μSv h ⁻¹)										
Location	Baseline (2005)	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Boat	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06±0.005
A	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.23.	0.20	0.21	0.23	0.22±0.02
В	0.22	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.23±0.02
D	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.26±0.02
Е	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.22±0.01
F	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.25±0.02
G	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.25±0.02
Н	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.25	0.32	0.29	0.28	0.31	0.27±0.02
I		0.29	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.28	0.26	0.30±0.02
J	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.22	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.24±0.01
K	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.28	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.28±0.02
L	0.22	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.28±0.02
M	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.26	0.31	0.25	0.31	0.28	0.30	0.26±0.02
N	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.23	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.25±0.02
О		0.22	0.24	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.21±0.01
P		0.25	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.28	0.29±0.02

⁻⁻ Not measured

2.6 No exceedance of Investigation Level is observed.

Table 2.1(b) Comparison of Ambient γ Dose Rates with Previous Results

EM&A Report No.	Mean γ Dose Rate (μSv h ⁻¹)	SU
1 (Baseline)	0.24	0.026
2	0.26	0.028
3	0.25	0.022
4	0.25	0.029
5	0.26	0.027
6	0.25	0.024
7	0.26	0.022
8	0.25	0.031
9	0.24	0.031
10	0.25	0.029
11 (2007)	0.26	0.025
12 (2008)	0.25	0.031
13 (2009)	0.25	0.028
14 (2010)	0.25	0.027
15 (2011)	0.26	0.026
16 (2012)	0.25	0.030
17 (2013)	0.25	0.023
18 (2014)	0.26	0.028
19 (2015)	0.25	0.027

2.7 The overall mean ambient γ dose-rate for this year is the same as those in previous years.

Soil

2.8 Soil samples were collected at 3 locations only, all from the undisturbed areas. These locations correspond to the passive air sampler locations which aim to detect dispersion of effluent leakages, if any, in the prevailing wind directions. The measurement results are given in **Table 2.2(a) & (b)**.

Table 2.2(a) Activity Concentration of Some Major Radionuclides in Soil Samples

Logation	Collection		A	ctivity (oncent	ration (l	Bq kg -1)		
Location	Date	²²⁶ Ra	SD	²²⁸ Th	SD	⁴⁰ K	SD	¹³⁷ Cs	SD
A	15 Apr 15	83.9	0.6	67.8	0.5	764	6.1	*	*
В	15 Apr 15	63.7	0.6	78.9	0.6	747	6.4	*	*
С	15 Apr 15	41.3	0.5	75.9	0.5	279	4.2	*	*

^{*} Not detected

Table 2.2(b) Comparison of Activities in Soil Samples with Previous Results

EM&A Report	Mean Activity Concentration (Bq kg ⁻¹)							
No.	²²⁶ Ra	SU	²²⁸ Th	SU	^{40}K	SU	¹³⁷ Cs	SU
1 (Baseline)	50.0	13.9	80.2	16.1	606	297	0.25	0.37
2	41.7	17.0	63.7	20.5	387	219	*	*
3	41.8	15.4	75.6	20.1	423	237	*	*
4	45.3	7.1	104.5	11.4	574	319	0.25	0.43
5	57.8	17.7	95.8	4.2	535	294	0.41	0.42
6	59.9	19.0	103.9	14.3	479	277	0.25	0.23
7	60.8	22.4	102.9	16.2	464	258	0.36	0.33
8	51.9	17.6	95.0	14.8	449	263	0.19	0.17
9	52.5	18.6	98.4	16.3	523	307	0.07	0.12
10	50.7	16.1	97.7	9.5	498	282	0.18	0.17
11 (2007)	52.8	15.7	106.8	16.9	483	253	0.27	0.01
12 (2008)	64.3	34.5	99.1	25.3	506	218	0.18	0.04
13 (2009)	59.3	12.0	116.0	12.0	474	199	*	*
14 (2010)	58.7	9.7	105	23.2	626	290	*	*
15 (2011)	48.9	31.5	52.5	27.1	504	249	0.1	0.03
16 (2012)	44.9	33.2	63.6	36.9	460	308	*	*
17 (2013)	52.9	5.2	67.9	8.8	671	52.6	0.07	0.13
18 (2014)	60.1	13.4	64.4	11.5	548	258	0.4	0.1
19 (2015)	63.0	21.3	74.2	5.7	597	275	*	*

7

2.9 No exceedance of Investigation Level is observed.

^{*} Not detected

Sand

2.10 The measurement results are shown in **Table 2.3(a) & (b)**.

Table 2.3(a) Activity Concentration of Some Major Radionuclides in Sand Samples

Location	Collection	Activity Concentration (Bq kg ⁻¹)							
Location	Date	²²⁶ Ra	SD	²²⁸ Th	SD	40 K	SD		
A	15 Apr 15	16.3	0.3	12.9	0.3	391	4.8		
В	15 Apr 15	19.7	0.3	13.8	0.3	216	3.4		
С	15 Apr 15	15.0	0.2	20.9	0.2	176	2.2		

Table 2.3(b) Comparison of Activities in Sand Samples with Previous Results

EM&A Report	Mean Activity Concentration (Bq kg ⁻¹)						
No.	²²⁶ Ra	SU	²²⁸ Th	SU	^{40}K	SU	
1 (Baseline)	18.8	4.4	21.6	5.5	576	106	
2	11.1	3.8	12.8	5.0	357	100	
3	11.4	3.2	13.2	4.4	382	141	
4	28.3	22.8	24.5	17.4	360	165	
5	23.3	12.7	25.6	17.9	323	117	
6	20.8	8.0	25.8	18.0	329	95.7	
7	30.2	24.8	24.3	17.0	320	173	
8	15.4	4.6	15.4	4.1	246	30.5	
9	14.5	1.2	17.3	5.8	380	99.1	
10	18.4	1.7	18.5	2.4	377	124	
11 (2007)	17.0	2.4	18.6	4.4	397	71.3	
12 (2008)	18.0	4.7	16.5	1.7	382	20.6	
13 (2009)	19.1	2.2	17.3	3.4	313	115	
14 (2010)	14.3	2.2	12.5	0.3	301	71.5	
15 (2011)	16.9	1.8	10.9	1.4	331	80	
16 (2012)	19.2	2.5	13.9	3.0	273	49	
17 (2013)	20.9	1.1	14.0	0.5	295	51.2	
18 (2014)	14.9	1.3	8.6	0.4	316	62.6	
19 (2015)	17.0	2.4	15.9	4.4	261	114	

2.11 No exceedance of Investigation Level is observed.

Grass

2.12 Grass samples were collected in locations near to the soil samples. The measurement results are given in **Table 2.4(a) & (b)**. The γ -spectra are identical to the background of the γ spectrometer and do not reveal the presence of any significant γ -emitting radionuclides, hence they are not reported here.

Table 2.4(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α and β Emitters in Grass Samples

Location	Collection Date	α Activity* (Bq g ⁻¹)	SD (Bq g ⁻¹)	β Activity* (Bq g ⁻¹)	SD (Bq g ⁻¹)
A	15 Apr 15	0.020	0.003	0.278	0.008
В	15 Apr 15	0.021	0.003	0.290	0.008
С	15 Apr 15	0.017	0.003	0.234	0.008

^{*} Bq g⁻¹ refers to dry mass of grass

Table 2.4(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Grass with Previous Results

EM&A Report	Mean α Activity	SU	Mean β Activity	SU
No.	(Bq g ⁻¹)			
1 (Baseline)	0.083	0.044	0.33	0.03
2	0.037	0.012	0.25	0.01
3	0.081	0.017	0.30	0.10
4	0.093	0.009	0.26	0.03
5	0.084	0.020	0.23	0.04
6	0.081	0.056	0.22	0.09
7	0.077	0.046	0.25	0.08
8	0.068	0.047	0.28	0.05
9	0.050	0.023	0.29	0.02
10	0.051	0.008	0.40	0.07
11 (2007)	0.030	0.022	0.27	0.06
12 (2008)	0.012	0.020	0.17	0.04
13 (2009)	0.014	0.016	0.10	0.03
14 (2010)	0.038	0.027	0.21	0.04
15 (2011)	0.021	0.019	0.15	0.03
16 (2012)	0.022	0.022	0.10	0.03
17 (2013)	0.026	0.015	0.27	0.06
18 (2014)	0.036	0.017	0.23	0.05
19 (2015)	0.019	0.002	0.27	0.03

2.13 No exceedance of Investigation Level is observed.

Sea Water

- 2.14 The same 4 locations were chosen to collect the water samples at 2 depths. The measurement results are given in **Table 2.5(a) & (b)**.
- 2.15 Similar to grass samples, the γ spectra are not reported. There is no sign of presence of γ emitters.
- 2.16 No exceedance of Investigation Level is observed.

Table 2-5(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α/β Emitters in Sea Water Samples

Location	Collection Date	Water Depth (m)	α Activity (Bq L ⁻¹)	SD (Bq L ⁻¹)	β Activity (Bq L ⁻¹)	SD (Bq L ⁻¹)
۸	15 Apr 15	1	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	3.94	1.25
A		3.5	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	8.30	1.30
В	15 Apr 15	1	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	7.44	1.30
ь	15 Apr 15	6.5	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	5.24	1.27
C	15 Apr 15	1	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	8.28	1.31
С	15 Apr 15	7.5	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	4.94	1.26
D 15 Apr 15	15 Apr 15	1	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	8.78	1.32
D	15 Apr 15	5	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	3.76	1.24

[#] Below minimum detectable activity of 1.5 Bq L⁻¹ for α and 1.0 Bq L⁻¹ for β .

Table 2.5(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Sea Water with Previous Results

EM&A Report	Mean α Activity	SU	Mean β Activity	SU
No.	(Bq L ⁻¹)			
1 (Baseline)	0.77	0.25	7.20	0.70
2	0.49	0.47	6.10	0.46
3	0.57	0.21	7.43	0.80
4	0.71	0.50	7.00	0.81
5	0.92	0.44	6.15	0.64
6	0.63	0.28	6.99	0.37
7	0.25	0.28	6.30	0.45
8	0.19	0.23	5.84	1.34
9	0.32	0.29	5.21	0.38
10	0.70	0.35	8.35	2.19
11 (2007)	0.00	0.00	2.35	0.21
12 (2008)	0.00	0.00	4.08	0.42
13 (2009)	0.32	0.29	5.44	1.27
14 (2010)	0.00	0.00	4.80	0.41
15 (2011)	0.14	0.21	2.88	1.39
16 (2012)	0.03	0.07	3.74	0.96
17 (2013)	0.00	0.00	2.76	1.14
18 (2014)	1.01	0.57	4.91	1.43
19 (2015)	0.00	0.00	6.34	2.08

Marine Organisms

- 2.17 Fishes were caught along the jetty and sea snails were collected randomly along the shores.
- 2.18 The measurement results are given in **Table 2.6(a) & (b)** and **Table 2.7(a) & (b)** for the gross α/β activities in fish and sea snails respectively.

Table 2.6(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α/β Emitters in Fish Samples

Sample	Collection Date	α Activity* (Bq g ⁻¹)	SD (Bq g ⁻¹)	β Activity* (Bq g ⁻¹)	SD (Bq g ⁻¹)
1	15 Apr 15	0.003#	0.002	0.020	0.002
2	15 Apr 15	0.014	0.002	0.035	0.002
3	15 Apr 15	0.013	0.002	0.049	0.002

^{*} Bq g⁻¹ refers to wet mass of fish flesh.

Table 2.6(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Fish Samples with Previous Results

EM&A Report	Mean α Activity	SU	Mean β Activity	SU
No.	(Bq g ⁻¹)			
1 (Baseline)	0.0093	0.004	0.068	0.003
2	0.0068	0.004	0.16	0.15
3	0.0116	0.005	0.026	0.006
4	0.0066	0.004	0.065	0.005
5	0.0040	0.004	0.056	0.010
6	0.0069	0.002	0.063	0.002
7	0.0120	0.021	0.047	0.035
8	0.0037	0.002	0.074	0.006
9	0.0100	0.004	0.062	0.050
10	0.0060	0.005	0.078	0.007
11 (2007)	0.0003	0.001	0.055	0.012
12 (2008)	0.0000	0.000	0.067	0.003
13 (2009)	0.0075	0.002	0.079	0.000
14 (2010)	0.0030	0.003	0.111	0.023
15 (2011)	0.0032	0.001	0.040	0.001
16 (2012)	0.0000	0.000	0.027	0.004
17 (2013)	0.0000	0.000	0.040	0.000
18 (2014)	0.0083	0.007	0.072	0.011
19 (2015)	0.0100	0.006	0.035	0.015

2.19 No exceedance in Investigation Level is observed.

[#] Below minimum detectable α activity of 0.006 Bq g⁻¹.

Table 2.7(a) Activity Concentration of Gross α/β Emitters in Sea Snail Samples

Sample	Collection Date	α Activity* (Bq g ⁻¹)	SD (Bq g ⁻¹)	β Activity* (Bq g ⁻¹)	SD (Bq g ⁻¹)
1	15 Apr 15	0.002	0.001	0.024	0.002
2	15 Apr 15	0.004	0.001	0.043	0.002
3	15 Apr 15	0.011	0.001	0.069	0.003

^{*} Bq g⁻¹ refers to wet mass of sea snail flesh.

Table 2.7(b) Comparison of α/β Activities in Sea Snails with Previous Results

EM&A Report	Mean α Activity	SU	Mean β Activity	SU
No.	(Bq g ⁻¹)			
1 (Baseline)	0.029	0.006	0.064	0.004
2	0.010	0.008	0.034	0.007
3	0.009	0.002	0.032	0.002
4	0.032	0.011	0.050	0.002
5	0.004	0.005	0.045	0.007
6	0.007	0.005	0.042	0.006
7	0.014	0.006	0.063	0.008
8	0.005	0.001	0.040	0.004
9	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.002
10	0.010	0.009	0.045	0.005
11 (2007)	0.000	0.001	0.043	0.002
12 (2008)	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.002
13 (2009)	0.003	0.003	0.035	0.004
14 (2010)	0.005	0.000	0.034	0.002
15 (2011)	0.002	0.001	0.048	0.001
16 (2012)	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.001
17 (2013)	0.001	0.000	0.082	0.024
18 (2014)	0.011	0.003	0.050	0.008
19 (2015)	0.006	0.005	0.045	0.023

2.20 No exceedance in Investigation Level is observed.

Airborne Particulates

2.21 The measurement results are given in Table 2.8(a) & 2.8(b).

Table 2.8(a) Net Gross α/β Counts in Airborne Particulate Samples

Location	α Count-rate (cpm)	SD	β Count-rate (cpm)	SD
Blank	2.91	0.23	5.68	0.31
A1	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00	4.09	0.89
B1	0.95	0.3	$0.00^{\#}$	0.00
C1	$0.42^{\#}$	0.29	5.20	0.94

[#] Below minimum detectable limit of 0.66 cpm for α and 1.6 cpm for β

Table 2.8(b) Comparison of α/β in Airborne Particulate Samples with Previous Results

EM&A	A	1]	3	(
Report No.	α (cpm)	β (cpm)	α (cpm)	β (cpm)	α (cpm)	β (cpm)
1 (Baseline)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.17
2	0.09	1.38	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00
3	0.04	0.45	0.00	1.18	0.13	0.86
4	0.12	1.75	0.65	2.18	0.00	0.28
5	0.35	0.94	0.24	0.66	0.07	0.83
6	0.18	0.33	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00
7	0.16	0.75	0.16	0.09	0.20	0.00
8	0.84	4.87	0.24	1.64	0.09	1.84
9	0.91	3.03	0.29	1.36	0.09	0.42
10	0.32	1.97	0.11	1.05	0.00	0.03
11 (2007)	0.35	1.00	0.11	0.04	0.02	1.75
12 (2008)	0.26	6.18	0.42	5.51	0.19	2.83
13 (2009)	0.97	3.62	0.25	3.09	0.79	1.78
14 (2010)	0.93	16.3	0.00	6.27	0.00	3.55
15 (2011)					1	
16 (2012)					1	
17 (2013)	0.00	12.0	0.00	9.83	1.93	25.1
18 (2014)	0.51	5.92	0.86	4.75	0.29	4.27
19 (2015)	0.00	4.09	0.00	0.95	0.42	5.20

2.22 All activities are normal.

3. REPORT ON ELEVATED ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Investigation Levels for environmental samples have been established and they are given in Appendix 1. The relevant action plan is given in the First EM&A Report (Operation Phase).
- 3.2 There is no exceedance of Investigation Level in all the samples.

4. REPORT ON NON-COMPLIANCE

4.1 The Action Level and Limit Level (A/L Levels) for non-compliance have been established and they are given in Appendix 1 for easy reference. The relevant Event and Action Plan have been developed. Please refer to the First EM&A Report (Operation Phase) for details.

Dose for Radiation Workers

4.2 There was no record of exceeding the A/L Levels as recorded by TLDs.

Dose Rates at Un-controlled Areas

4.3 No exceedance of the A/L Levels was observed.

Liquid Effluent Discharge

4.4 There was no liquid effluent discharged during the monitoring period.

Airborne Effluent Discharge

- 4.5 The average total radon released during the monitoring period was estimated to be 2.6×10^8 Bq/month, which is below the A/L Levels.
- 4.6 The discharged α and β activities were also below the A/L Levels.
- 4.7 The total airborne effluent discharge was below the A/L Levels.

5. RESULT OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AUDITS

5.1 No compliant was received during the period.

APPENDIX 1

Limit Level and Action Level

The Limit Levels for non-compliance with the Environmental Performance Requirements during the Operation are shown in **Table A1-1**.

Table A1-1 Limit Levels for Non-compliance and Action Levels

Environmental Performance Requirements	Limit Levels	Action Levels (3/10 th of Limit Levels)
Dose for radiation workers Dose rate at un-controlled areas Liquid effluent discharge Airborne effluent discharge	1.67 mSv per month 1 µSv per hour 10 ALI per month 10 ALI per month	0.5 mSv per month 0.3 μSv per hour 3 ALI per month 3 ALI per month

Investigation Level

With the help of all the internal monitoring, it is unlikely that the effluents will cause any observable increase in the radiation levels in the vicinity of the Facility under normal operation. It is also not anticipated that any significant quantity of the radioactive wastes would be released to the environment under even the most severe natural disasters. Nevertheless when the environmental samples are found to have radioactivities higher than the normal fluctuation of the established baseline levels, some investigation has to be initiated. The levels that trigger the investigation are called investigation levels and they are given in **Table A1.2**.

Table A1.2 Investigation Levels for Environmental Samples

Environment	al Samples	Investig	ation Levels
Ambient γ dose rate (μSv h ⁻¹)	A B D E F G H I J K L M N O	0.23 0.25 0.27 0.29 0.28 0.27 0.31 0.32 0.24 0.32 0.30 0.31 0.29 0.24	3 × SD of individual baseline dose rate
Soil	P ²²⁶ Ra ²²⁸ Th	0.29 91.7 128.5	$3 \times SU$ of baseline
(Bq kg ⁻¹)	⁴⁰ K ¹³⁷ Cs	1497 1.36	samples

Nineteenth Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (Operation Phase)

	Other γ emitters		Occurrence in any quantities
Sand (Parkerl)	²²⁶ Ra ²²⁸ Th ⁴⁰ K	32.0 38.1 894	3 × SU of baseline samples
(Bq kg ⁻¹)	Other γ emitters		Occurrence in any quantities
Grass	Gross α Gross β	0.22 0.43	3 × SU of baseline samples
(Bq g ⁻¹)	γ emitters not found in baseline		Occurrence in any quantities
Sea water	Gross α Gross β	1.52 9.3	3 × SU of baseline samples
(Bq L ⁻¹)	γ emitters not found in baseline		Occurrence in any quantities
Fish (Bq g ⁻¹)	Gross α Gross β	0.021 0.076	3 × SU of baseline samples
Sea snails (Bq g ⁻¹)	Gross α Gross β	0.048 0.076	3 × SU of baseline samples
Airborne particulates (cpm)	Gross α Gross β		Occurrence in any quantities

- SD is the standard deviation of a single sample.
- SU is standard uncertainty of the sample group.