Leighton-China States Joint Venture

Contract SCL1123 – Exhibition Station & Western Approach Tunnel

Monthly EM&A Report No. 24

for

FEP-13/364/2009/H & FEP-03/376/2009

[Period from 1 to 31 December 2022]

(January 2023)

Ala.

Verified by: Claudine LEE

Position: Independent Environmental Checker

Date: <u>12 January 2023</u>

Leighton-China State Joint Venture

Contract SCL1123 – Exhibition Station & Western Approach Tunnel

Monthly EM&A Report No.24

for

FEP-13/364/2009/H & FEP-03/376/2009

[Period from 1 to 31 December 2022]

(January 2023)

Verified by: _____ FUNG Yiu Wah

Position: Environmental Team Leader

Date: <u>12 January 2023</u>



Leighton – China State J.V.

Shatin to Central Link -Hung Hom to Admiralty Section

Works Contract 1123 -CEDD Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3

Monthly EM&A Report for December 2022

[January 2023]

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Lemon Lam	Journe
Reviewed, Approved & Certified:	Y W Fung (Contractor's Environmental Team Leader)	N

Version: 0

Date: 10 January 2023

Disclaimer

This Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report is prepared for Leighton – China State J.V. and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to SCL1123 and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than Leighton – China State J.V. without our prior written consent. No person (other than Leighton – China State J.V. into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this plan without our express written consent and Leighton – China State J.V. may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 12/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 2317 7609 www.aecom.com

Table of Contents

Page

EXECU	ITIVE SUMMARY1
1	INTRODUCTION
1.1 1.2	Purpose of the Report3 Report Structure
2	PROJECT INFORMATION4
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Background4Site Description4Construction Programme and Activities5Project Organisation6Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits7
3	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENT8
3.1 3.2	Construction Dust Monitoring
4	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES
5	MONITORING RESULTS
5.1 5.2 5.3	Construction Dust Monitoring
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT16
7	ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE17
7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Summary of Monitoring Exceedances17Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance17Summary of Environmental Complaints17Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions17
8	FUTURE KEY ISSUES
8.1 8.2 8.3	Construction Programme for the Next Three Month
9	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
9.1 9.2	Conclusions

List of Tables

T-11-04	• •••••			D
Table 2.1	Contact	Information	of Key	/ Personnel

- Table 2.2
 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits
- Table 3.1
 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
- Table 3.2 Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Station
- Table 3.3
 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
- Table 3.4
 Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring
- Table 3.5
 Noise Monitoring Station during Construction Phase
- Table 4.1
 Status of Required Submission under Further Environmental Permit
- Table 5.1
 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period
- Table 5.2
 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period
- Table 5.3
 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
- Table 6.1
 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Site Layout Plan of CEDD Entrusted Works under Contract 1123
Figure 3.1	Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Locations for CEDD Entrusted Works

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Construction Programme
- Appendix B Project Organisation Structure
- Appendix C Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures
- Appendix D Summary of Action and Limit Levels
- Appendix E Calibration Certificates of Equipment
- Appendix F EM&A Monitoring Schedules
- Appendix G Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
- Appendix H Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations
- Appendix I Event and Action Plan
- Appendix J Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions
- Appendix K Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Shatin to Central Link Contract 1123 – CEDD Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 (hereafter called "the Project") covers part of the construction of CEDD entrusted work under the granted Further Environmental Permit: FEP–03/376/2009 and FEP–13/364/2009 respectively.

The Project comprises the construction of Road P2 and other roads which are classified as primary/district distributor roads and Slip Road 3.

The EM&A programme commenced on 25 January 2021. The impact EM&A for the Project includes air quality and noise monitoring.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted in the period between 1 and 31 December 2022. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting period were:

Location	Site Activities
Road P2 – West (Slip Road 3)	 Lung King Street- landscaping works/ Road kerb and railing/ Paving works. Fenwick Roundabout – Road kerb/ railings/ footing and road light signage, landscape. Coach park - Road kerb/ Concrete paving/ Storm water drainage system. Construct footings for lighting
Road P2 – East (Hung Hing Road)	 Fleming Road to Tonnochy Road - footpath landscape backfill, road kerb, U-channel, top soil and soft landscape works Tonnochy Road to Marsh Road - Footpath backfill and paving block, top soil and landscape
Road P2 – Permanent PTI (Public Transport Interchange)	Substantial completed.Defects rectification.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of air quality was recorded in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

Regular Noise Monitoring

No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.

No exceedance of Limit Level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

Reporting Changes

There was no reporting change in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the next three months included:

Location	Site Activities
Road P2 – West (Slip Road 3)	 Lung King Street- Road markings and road signage Coach park - Road kerb/ Concrete paving/ install CP lighting and signages, CP road markings and signage.
Road P2 – East (Hung Hing Road & Convention Avenue)	 Tonnochy Road to Marsh Road - Footpath backfill and paving block, top soil and landscape
Road P2 – PTI (Public Transport Interchange)	Substantial completed.Defects rectification.

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality and waste management.

1 INTRODUCTION

Leighton – China State Joint Venture (JV) was commissioned by MTR as the Civil Contractor for CEDD Entrusted Works under Contract 1123. AECOM Asia Company Limited (AECOM) was appointed by JV as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the Project.

1.1 Purpose of the Report

1.1.1 This is the 24^{rth} monthly EM&A Report which summaries the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project during the reporting period between 1 and 31 December 2022.

1.2 Report Structure

- 1.2.1 This monthly EM&A Report is organized as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction
 - Section 2: Project Information
 - Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement
 - Section 4: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
 - Section 5: Monitoring Results
 - Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection and Audit
 - Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance
 - Section 8: Future Key Issues
 - Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

2 **PROJECT INFORMATION**

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 Road P2 and other roads which are classified as primary/district distributor roads identified as DP2 which covered in the Environmental Permit No. EP-376/2009 in the approved Wan Chai Development Phase II (WDII) and Central Wan Chai Bypass (CWB) comprising (i) a dual 2-lane primary distributor road, Road P2; and (ii) other new primary and district distributor roads connecting to the slip roads of the Central-Wan Chai Bypass.
- 2.1.2 Slip road 3 identified as part of DP1 which covered in the Environmental Permit No. EP-364/2009/H in the approved Wan Chai Development Phase II (WDII) and Central Wan Chai Bypass (CWB) comprising (i) slip roads to connect the CWB to the local road system in the Wan Chai North and Causeway Bay area; and (ii) associated road lighting, road signing, traffic control and surveillance system (iii) other associated works.
- 2.1.3 The Environmental Impact Assessment Report for Central - Wan Chai Bypass and Island Eastern Corridor Link (CWB&IECL) EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-041/2001) and the Wan Chai Development Phase II and Central-Wan Chai Bypass (WDII&CWB) EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-125/2008) which were approved on 31 August 2001 and 11 December 2008 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). Following the approval of the EIA Report, an Environmental Permits (EPs) were granted on 13 November 2009 and 22 April 2020 respectively, which covers Road P2 and other roads which are classified as primary/district distributor roads [DP2] and Central – Wan Chai Bypass (CWB) including its Road Tunnel and Slip Roads [DP1] (EP No.: EP-376/2009 and EP-364/2009/H), for the construction and operation. Further Environmental Permits (FEP No. FEP-03/376/2009 and FEP-13/364/2009/H) were both subsequently granted from the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 2 June 2020, which cover the construction works for DP2 and a part of DP1 respectively.
- 2.1.4 The site layout plan of the Project is shown in **Figure 1.1**.

2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The major construction activities under CEDD Entrusted Works of Contract 1123 include:
 - a) Site preparation;
 - b) Construct for dual 2-lane primary distributor road, Road P2;
 - c) Construct for other new primary and district distributor roads connecting to the slip roads of the Central-Wan Chai Bypass;
 - d) Construct for slip roads to connect the CWB to the local road system in the Wan Chai North and Causeway Bay area;
 - e) Construct for associated road lighting, road signing, traffic control and surveillance system; and
 - f) Construct for other associated works.

2.3 Construction Programme and Activities

2.3.1	The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarised below:
2.3.1	The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarised below.

Location	Site Activities
Road P2 – West (Slip Road 3)	 Lung King Street- landscaping works/ Road kerb and railing/ Paving works. Fenwick Roundabout – Road kerb/ railings/ footing and road light signage, landscape. Coach park - Road kerb/ Concrete paving/ Storm water drainage system. Construct footings for lighting
Road P2 – East (Hung Hing Road)	 Fleming Road to Tonnochy Road - footpath landscape backfill, road kerb, U-channel, top soil and soft landscape works Tonnochy Road to Marsh Road - Footpath backfill and paving block, top soil and landscape
Road P2 – Permanent PTI (Public Transport Interchange)	 Substantial completed. Defects rectification.

2.3.2 The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

2.4 **Project Organisation**

2.4.1 The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarised in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1	Contact Information	of Key Personnel
	contact information	of hey reisonner

Party	Role	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
MTR	Residential	Atg Chief Construction Manager – SCL Civil	Mr. Raymond Koo	2171 3801	3959 2200
	Engineer (ER)	SCL Project Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Alex Siu	3127 6292	3127 6422
Meinhardt	Independent Environmental Checker	Independent Environmental Checker	Ms. Claudine Lee	2859 5409	2540 1580
JV	Contractor	Project Director	Mr. Mark Challis	3973 1997	31051126
5V Contractor		Environmental Engineer	Mr. Andy Leung	3973 1498	31031120
AECOM	Contractor's Environmental Team (ET)	ET Leader	Mr. Y W Fung	3922 9366	2317 7609

2.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.5.1 Relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project and valid in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 2.2**.

 Table 2.2
 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits

Permit / License No.	Valid Period		_		
/ Notification/ Reference No.	From	То	Status	Remarks	
Environmental Permit					
FEP-03/376/2009	2 Jun 2020	End of Contract	Valid		
FEP-13/364/2009/H	2 Jun 2020	End of Contract	Valid		
Construction Noise Pe	ermit				
-	-	-	-	-	
Wastewater Discharge	e License				
WT00040510-2022	10 May 2022	31 May 2027	Valid	For W21 & W22	
Chemical Waste Producer Registration					
5213-135-L2881-01	2 Apr 2015	End of Contract	Valid	For whole site at Wan Chai Area	
Marine Dumping Perm	nit				
-	-	-	-	-	
Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal					
7021736	16 Feb 2015	End of Contract	Valid	For Disposal of C&D Waste	
Notification Under Air	Pollution Contr	ol (Construction	n Dust) Regulation		
385128	1 Mar 2015	End of Contract	Valid	For whole site at Wan Chai Area	

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENT

3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals, 24-hour and 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels at the designated air quality monitoring station is required. Impact 24-hour monitoring should be carried out for at least once every 6 days and 1-hour TSP monitoring should be done at least 3 times every 6 days while the highest dust impact is expected. The Action and Limit level of the air quality monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.1.2 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at the designated monitoring stations. The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual.
- 3.1.3 A portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring.
- 3.1.4 Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP)	Andersen Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. GS 2310 (S/N:10273)) (Model No. GS 2310 (S/N:3384))
Calibration Kit (24-hour TSP)	TISCH Environmental Orifice (Model TE-5025A (S/N: 843))
Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP)	Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (Model No. LD-3 & LD-3B)

Monitoring Locations

3.1.5 The monitoring station for construction dust monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for SCL (HUH-ADM) of the Project. The location of the construction dust monitoring stations are summarised in **Table 3.2** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.2	Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Station
-----------	---

Station ID	Dust Monitoring Station
CMA5b ¹	Pedestrian Plaza
CMA6a ¹	WDII PRE Site Office

Remark:

1. According to the updated site layout of CEDD Entrusted Works and Updated EM&A Manual for EP-376/2009 and EP-364/2009, Pedestrian Plaza (CMA5b) and WDII PRE Site Office (CMA6A) were selected as the most affected sensitive receiver during the construction phase.

Monitoring Methodology

- 3.1.6 24-hour TSP Monitoring
 - (a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS as far as practicable: -
 - (i) A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
 - (ii) Two samplers should not be placed less than 2m apart from each others;

- (iii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- (iv) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
- (v) A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
- (vi) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
- (vii) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- (viii) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
- (ix) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
- (x) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring station.
- (xi) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the sampler.
- (b) Preparation of Filter Papers
 - (i) Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
 - (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
 - (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.
- (c) Field Monitoring
 - (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
 - (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
 - (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
 - (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
 - (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
 - (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
 - (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
 - (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
 - (ix) On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
 - (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
 - (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
 - (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
 - (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
 - (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
 - (xv) It was then placed in a clean envelope and sealed.
 - (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
 - (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.
- (d) Maintenance and Calibration
 - (i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.

- (ii) HVSs were calibrated using TE-5025A Calibration Kit upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals.
- (iii) Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVSs are provided in **Appendix E**.

3.1.7 1-hour TSP Monitoring

(a) Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- (i) Turn the power on.
- (ii) Close the air collecting opening cover.
- (iii) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG]
- (iv) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- (v) Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- (vi) Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- (vii) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- (viii) Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- (ix) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- (x) Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- (xi) Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.
- (b) Maintenance and Calibration
 - The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against a continuous particulate TEOM Monitor, Series 1400ab. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in Appendix E.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.1.8 The schedule for environmental monitoring in December 2022 is provided in Appendix F.

3.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.2.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring should be conducted for at least once a week during the construction phase of the Project. **Table 3.3** summarises the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring. The Action and Limit level of the noise monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter and Duration	Frequency
30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. Leq, L ₁₀ and L ₉₀ would be recorded.	At least once per week

Monitoring Equipment

3.2.2 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Brand and Model
Integrated Sound Level Meter	Model No. B&K 2250L (S/N: 2681366) Model No. B&K 2250 (S/N: 3001291)
Acoustic Calibrator	Model No. B&K 4231 (S/N: 3006428)

Monitoring Locations

3.2.3 The monitoring station for construction noise monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for SCL (HUH-ADM) of the Project. Location of the noise monitoring station is summarised in **Table 3.5** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.5	Noise Monitoring Station during Construction Phase
-----------	--

Identification No.	District	Alternative Noise Monitoring Location
M1a ¹	Wan Chai	Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Remark:

 According to the updated site layout of CEDD Entrusted Works and Updated EM&A Manual for EP-376/2009 and EP-364/2009, Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre (M1a) was selected as the most affected sensitive receiver during the construction phase.

Monitoring Methodology

- 3.2.4 Monitoring Procedure
 - (a) Façade measurements were made at M1a.
 - (b) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
 - (c) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - (i) frequency weighting: A
 - (ii) time weighting: Fast

- (iii) time measurement: L_{eq(30-minutes)} during non-restricted hours i.e. 0700 1900 on normal weekdays.
- (d) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94 dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (e) During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (f) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (g) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.
- 3.2.5 Maintenance and Calibration
 - (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
 - (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
 - (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.2.6 The schedule for environmental monitoring in December 2022 is provided in Appendix F.

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

4.1.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, the EP and EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix C.** Status of required submissions under the EP during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submission under Further Environmental Permit

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.3 (FEP-13//364/2009/H & FEP-03/376/2009)	Monthly EM&A Report for November 2022	10 December 2022

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

5.1.1 The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2** respectively. Detailed air quality monitoring results and wind monitoring data extracted from the nearest Automatic Weather Station are presented in **Appendix G**.

 Table 5.1
 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

ID	Average (μg/m³)	Range (µg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
CMA5b	40.1	24.2 – 56.0	209.9	260
CMA6a	27.2	16.4 – 35.8	207.1	260

 Table 5.2
 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

ID	Average (μg/m³)	Range (µg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
CMA5b	65.0	62.9 – 67.8	339.7	500
CMA6a	62.5	60 - 65.7	333	500

- 5.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 5.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 5.1.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.1.5 Major dust sources during the monitoring included construction dust, nearby traffic emission and other nearby construction sites.

5.2 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

5.2.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in **Table 5.3** and the monitoring data is provided in **Appendix H**.

Table 5.3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

ID	Range, dB(A), L _{eq (30 mins)}	Limit Level, dB(A), L _{eg (30 mins)}
M1a ^(*)	<baseline< td=""><td>75</td></baseline<>	75

(*) Baseline correction will be made to the measured Leq when the measured noise level exceeded the corresponding baseline noise level and presented in the table.

- 5.2.2 No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 5.2.3 No Limit Level exceedance of noise was recorded at the monitoring station in the reporting month.
- 5.2.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.2.5 Major noise sources during the monitoring included construction noise from the Project site, nearby traffic noise and the community.

5.3 Waste Management

- 5.3.1 C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.
- 5.3.2 As advised by the Contractor, 563m³ of inert C&D material was generated and disposed of as public fill in the reporting month. No inert C&D materials were reused in other projects or in the Contract in the reporting month. No fill material was imported in the reporting month. 64m³ general refuse was generated in the reporting month. No metal, paper/cardboard packaging material, plastic was collected by recycling contractor in the reporting month. No chemical waste was collected by licensed contractor in the reporting period. No Type 1 and Type 2 of Marine sediment were disposed of at Confined Marine Disposal Facility to the East of Sha Chau. The waste flow table is annexed in **Appendix K**.
- 5.3.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.
- 5.3.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste containers should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

5.4 Landscape and Visual

5.4.1 Weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted. A summary of the site inspection is provided in **Appendix C**. The observations and recommendations made during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 6.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the mitigation measures implementation schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 6.1.2 In the reporting month, 5 site inspections were carried out on 9, 15, 19 and 28 December 2022. Joint inspections with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET were conducted on 15 December 2022. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspection. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit			
Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
	9 Dec 2022	• Open stockpile at W21 was observed dry. The Contractor should provide dust suppression measures for the stockpile more frequently.	This item was rectified on 14 Dec 2022.
	19 Dec 2022	 Open stockpile at W21 was observed dry. The Contractor should provide dust suppression measures for the stockpile more frequently. 	This item was rectified on 28 Dec 2022.
Air Quality		 Mud trail on public road was observed at the entrance of W22. The Contractor should clean up the mud trail and provide wheel washing facility at each site entrance properly 	This item was rectified on 30 Dec 2022.
	28 Dec 2022	 Fugitive dust generated during vehicle movement was observed at W22. The Contactor should provide sufficient dust suppresses on haul road timely. 	This item to be rectified Jan 2023.
		 Open stockpile at W21 was observed dry. The Contractor should provide dust suppression measures for the stockpile more frequently. 	This item was rectified on 6 Jan 2023.
Noise	Nil	Nil	Nil
Water Quality	Nil	Nil	Nil
Waste/ Chemical Management	Nil	Nil	Nil
Landscape & Visual	Nil	Nil	Nil
Permits/ Licenses	Nil	Nil	Nil

 Table 6.1
 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

6.1.3 No follow up action was requested by Contractor's ET during the site inspection on 9, 15, 19 and 28 December 2022.

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedances

- 7.1.1 All 24-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 7.1.2 All 1-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month
- 7.1.3 No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 7.1.4 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.

7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaints

7.3.1 No environmental related complaint was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on environmental complaints is provided in **Appendix J**.

7.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions

7.4.1 No environmental related prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on notification of summons and successful prosecutions is provided in **Appendix J**.

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 Construction Programme for the Next Three Month

8.1.1 The major construction works between December 2022 to February 2023 will be:

Location	Site Activities
Road P2 – West (Slip Road 3)	 Lung King Street- Road markings and road signage Coach park - Road kerb/ Concrete paving/ install CP lighting and signages, CP road markings and signage.
Road P2 – East (Hung Hing Road & Convention Avenue)	 Tonnochy Road to Marsh Road - Footpath backfill and paving block, top soil and landscape
Road P2 – PTI (Public Transport Interchange)	Substantial completed.Defects rectification.

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

8.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality and waste management.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Month

8.3.1 The tentative schedules for environmental monitoring in between December 2022 to February 2023 are provided in **Appendix F**.

9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Conclusions

- 9.1.1 24-hour TSP, 1-hour TSP and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting month.
- 9.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 9.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month
- 9.1.4 No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 9.1.5 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 9.1.6 4 nos. of environmental site inspections were carried out in December 2022. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.
- 9.1.7 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 9.1.8 No notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.
- 9.1.9 Referring to the Contractor's information, no notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.

9.2 Recommendations

9.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided: -

Air Quality Impact

- The Contractor was reminded to provide mitigation measure e.g., regular watering and covering for stockpile to prevent dust emission;
- The Contractor was reminded to provide regular water for the haul road and cover the stockpile of dusty materials to prevent dust emission; and
- The Contractor was advised to clean up the muddy trail to maintain the site tidiness

Construction Noise Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Chemical and Waste Management

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


Landscape & Visual Impact


• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Permits/licenses

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

FIGURES





CAUSEWAX BAY	- TENDER DRAWIN REV. BET PS	D.E. P.E. 改書 DATE 教養要 教授 日期 日期
	Air Quality	and Noise Monitoring for CEDD Entrusted
	DRG.NO. Figur 圖紙編號 DESIGNED BY 影子 ST DRAWN BY 計圖 TS SCALE 比例 A1 1:2500 DIMENSIONS ARE IN	CONTRACT NO. 合約細號 STATUS 階段
	dimensions are in 尺寸單位 METRES	C COPYRIGHT RESERVED 版 權 所 有

APPENDIX A

Construction Programme

Option 1A - Enabling Works for CEDD Entrusted Works Access Road at FPE 1123.01A.1150 FPP- Footpath Pavement	30-Nov-22 21-Dec-22 03-Jan-23 26-Jan-23 0% 18 30-Nov-22 21-Dec-22 03-Jan-23 0% 18 30-Nov-22 21-Dec-22 03-Jan-23 0% 18	Project ID: 1123-MI Layout: 1123 - PMF
Remaining Works AT Milestone Isat Month Milestone		Layout: 1123 - PMP

						_
						_
						=
						_
						-
						=
						_
						_
·		4				
		Date	;	Revision	Checked	Approved
/IR96 IP Progress_3MR96	6	16-Mar-15	0			
IF FIQUESS DIVISION	-	12-May-15	А		1	
IF FIOGLESS_SINKSC		16-Jun-15	В			

Data Date: 31-Dec-22 Print Date: 05-Jan-23


MTR Shatin to Central Link - Contract 1123 EXH and Western Approach Tunnel

		EXF	and Weste	ern Approach Tunnel				
0	Activity Name	BL Project BL Project Start Fi Start Finish	nish Physical % Complete	Remaining 2022 Duration	January 01 08 15 22	2023 February 29 05 12		March 12 19
1123.O1A.1160	FPP- Site Clearance	21-Dec-22 30-Dec-22 27-Jan-23 02	2-Feb-23 0%		n UI U0 13 22			12 19
tion 1B - CEDD	Entrusted Works							
ption 1B - CEDD E								
Option 1 B - Road P2 Road P2 West Bound	2 (West of Flemming Road)							
	nishes to Road P2 West Bound							
1123.O1B.1340	Road and Kerbing and Railing Repairs and Final Asphalt Paving (Wearing Course)	04-Aug-22 07-Dec-22 04-Aug-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 100%	3				
1123.O1B.1380	Road Markings and Road Signage	07-Dec-22 21-Dec-22 09-Dec-22 A 09	9-Jan-23 100%	3				
Road P2 East Bound /	/ A101							
Phase 2 - Final Fin	nihes to Road P2 Eastbound							
1123.O1B.4215	Road Markings and Road Signages	29-Aug-22 03-Dec-22 29-Aug-22 A 06	6-Jan-23 100%	4				
Coach Park			1 D 00 A 100%			-		
1123.O1B.4050	Construct Footings for Lighting and Signages	07-Nov-22 03-Dec-22 07-Nov-22 A 24	4-Dec-22 A 100%					
1123.O1B.4110	Construct Concrete Pavement Works to Coach Park	07-Nov-22 07-Dec-22 07-Nov-22 A 09	9-Jan-23 95%	6				
1123.O1B.4120	Install CP Lighting and Signages	07-Dec-22 14-Dec-22 10-Jan-23 16	6-Jan-23 0%	6				
1123.O1B.4130	CP Road Markings and Signages	14-Dec-22 21-Dec-22 17-Jan-23 26	6-Jan-23 0%	6				
Fenwick Street Round 1123.01B.4720	dabout Road Kerbings and Railings	06-Jun-22 01-Dec-22 06-Jun-22 A 28	3-Dec-22 A 100%	0				
1123.O1B.4780	Install Road Lighting and Signages	26-Sep-22 07-Dec-22 26-Sep-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 90%	3				
1123.O1B.4790	Landscaping - Top Soil + Ground Cover	26-Sep-22 07-Dec-22 26-Sep-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 60%	3				
Road B100 / Fenwick	Pier Street							
1123.O1B.4570	Footpath / Walkway adjacent to Road (North and West of B100)	15-Oct-22 01-Dec-22 15-Oct-22 A 17	7-Dec-22 A 100%					
1123.O1B.4600	Install Road Lighting, Traffic Signals and Signages	01-Dec-22 15-Dec-22 06-Dec-22 A 19	9-Dec-22 A 100%	0				
1123.O1B.4610	Final Pavement to Wearing Course	15-Dec-22 19-Dec-22 A 20	D-Dec-22 A 100%					
4400 O4D 4000	Deed Medice and Cimerce	40 Dec 00 00 Dec 00 04 Dec 00 4	4 D 20 A 400%					
1123.O1B.4620	Road Marking and Signages	19-Dec-22 28-Dec-22 21-Dec-22A 24	4-Dec-22 A 100%					
Dption 1B- CEDD En TTM 6C to TTM 6D - I	ntrusted Works (Road P2 East of Flemming Road)							+
Fleming Road to 1	Tonnochy Road							
1123.O1B.3055	Footpath -Landscape works	01-Aug-22 07-Dec-22 01-Aug-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 98%	3				
After TTM 6D- Phase								
Fleming Road to 1 1123.01B.3905	A/M - Irrigation System Installation	02-Jun-22 05-Dec-22 02-Jun-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 98%	3				
1123.O1B.4918	Footpath/Landscape- Backfill and road Kerb	10-Jun-22 09-Dec-22 10-Jun-22 A 10	0-Jan-23 90%					
1123.O1B.4920	Footpath/Landscape- Footpath and U chanel	10-Jun-22 14-Dec-22 10-Jun-22 A 14	4-Jan-23 90%	4				
1123.O1B.3915	Footpath/Landscape- Landscape - Top Soil	10-Jun-22 19-Dec-22 10-Jun-22 A 19	9-Jan-23 90%	4				
1123.O1B.3925	Footpath/Landscape- Soft Landscape works	10-Jun-22 05-Jan-23 10-Jun-22 A 30	D-Jan-23 0%	6				
After TTM 6D-Pahse								
1123.O1B.4930	Footpath- Utilities Installation	17-Oct-22 07-Dec-22 17-Oct-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 90%	3				
1123.O1B.4025	A/M - Irrigation System Installation	24-Oct-22 07-Dec-22 24-Oct-22 A 05	5-Jan-23 90%	3				
1123.O1B.4932	Footpath- Backfill and Paving Block	23-Nov-22 21-Dec-22 23-Nov-22 A 26		15				
1123.O1B.4035	A/M - Landscape -Top Soil	21-Dec-22 14-Jan-23 27-Jan-23 16	6-Feb-23 0%	18				
1123.O1B.4045	A/M - Soft Landscape works	14-Jan-23 20-Feb-23 17-Feb-23 2	1-Mar-23 0%	28				
ption 15 - Floatin	ng Pontoon Barging Facility at North Seashore of the Temp PTI							
	prary Reprovisioning of Model Car Racing Track							
								· · · · ·
	1				1			
♦ Milestone		3	Month Rolli	ng Programme	Project ID: 1123-I		Date Revision 16-Mar-15 0	Checked App
Remaining						/IP Progress_3MR96	16-Mar-15 0 12-May-15 A	
Last Month			Jan to	Mar 2023			16-Jun-15 B	
Last Month	n							

APPENDIX B

Project Organization Structure

Appendix B Project Organisation Structure



APPENDIX C

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures Leighton – China State J.V.

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measure
Construct	ion Dust Impact		
Construct	ion Phase		
S3.6.5	Four times a day watering of the work site with active operations	Contractor	Works areas
S3.8.1	Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimise cumulative dust impacts.	Contractor	Works areas
	 Strictly limit the truck speed on site to below 10 km per hour and water spraying to keep the haul roads in wet condition; 		
	Watering during excavation and material handling;		
	 Provision of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site, combined with cleaning of public roads where necessary; and 		
	Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations.		
/	 Dust suppression measures (con't) De-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement The portion of any road where along the site boundary should be kept clear of dusty materials. Use of frequent watering for any dusty construction process (e.g. breaking works) to reduce dust emissions. 	Contractor	Works areas
/	 Emission from Vehicles and Plants All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use. Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke. All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD) 	Contractor	Works areas
Airborne I	Noise Impact		
Construct	ion Phase		
S4.9.4	 Good Site Practice: Only well-maintained plant shall be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program. Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment shall be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program. Mobile plant, if any, shall be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between works periods or shall be throttled down to a minimum. Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from onsite construction activities. 	Contractor	Works areas

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Monthly EM&A Report for December 2022

Implementation Status
V
V
V
V
V
N/A V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V

Leighton – China State J.V.

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
For DP1 -	- CWB (Within the Project Boundary)				
54.8.3 – 54.8.5	 Use of quiet powered mechanical equipment, movable noise barrier and temporary noise barrier for the following tasks: Slip road 8 tunnel Construction of diaphragm wall and substructures of the tunnel approach ramp 	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	N/A N/A
	 Excavation Construction of slabs Backfill 				V N/A N/A N/A
	 Demolition and construction of substructures for the IEC Demolition works of existing piers and crossheads of the marine section of the existing IEC 				N/A N/A
	 Use of PME grouping for the following tasks: At-grade roadwork Substructure for IECL connection 				V N/A
or DP2 -	Substructure for IECE connection WDII Major Roads (Road P2)				
64.8.3 – 64.8.4	Use of quiet powered mechanical equipment, movable noise barrier and temporary noise barrier for the following tasks:				
	 Temporary road diversion Resurfacing At-grade roadwork 				V V V
Vater Qu	ality Impact				v
	tion Phase				
S5.8	Construction Runoff and Drainage:	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	
	 Use of sediment traps, wheel washing facilities for vehicles leaving the site, and adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow; 				V
	 Permanent drainage channels shall incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities shall be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94; 				V
	• A sediment tank constructed from preformed individual cells of approximately 6 - 8 m ³ capacity can be used for				V
	settling ground water prior to disposal;				17
	 settling ground water prior to disposal; Oil interceptors shall be provided in the drainage system for the tunnels and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor shall have a human to prevent fluching during periods of heavy rain; 				V
	 Oil interceptors shall be provided in the drainage system for the tunnels and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor shall have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain; Precautions and actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and during or after rainstorms. 				V V V
	 Oil interceptors shall be provided in the drainage system for the tunnels and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor shall have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain; Precautions and actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and during or after rainstorms. Particular attention shall be paid to the control of any silty surface runoff during storm events; On-site drainage system shall be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment 				V V V V
	 Oil interceptors shall be provided in the drainage system for the tunnels and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor shall have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain; Precautions and actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and during or after rainstorms. Particular attention shall be paid to the control of any silty surface runoff during storm events; 				V V V V

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Monthly EM&A Report for December 2022

AECOM

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measure	
	Minimum distances of 100 m shall be maintained between the storm water discharges and the existing or planned WSD flushing water intakes during construction phase			
S5.8	Sewage from Construction Work Force: Construction work force sewage discharges on site shall be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage shall be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets shall be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor shall also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	Contractor	Works areas	
S5.8	<i>Floating Debris and Refuse:</i> Collection and removal of floating refuse shall be performed at regular intervals on a daily basis. The contractor shall be responsible for keeping the water within the site boundary and the neighbouring water free from rubbish.	Contractor	Works areas and adjacent water	
S5.8	Storm Water Discharges: Minimum distances of 100 m shall be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned WSD flushing water intakes.	Contractor	Works areas and adjacent water	
Waste Ma	inagement Implications			
Construc	tion Phase			
S6.7.7	 Good Site Practices: Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include: Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site; Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal; Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; and A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites). 	Contractor	Works areas	
S6.7.8	 Waste Reduction Measures: Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: Sort C&D waste from demolition of the existing waterfront structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals. Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force. Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. Use of reusable non-timber formwork, such as in casting the tunnel box sections, to reduce the amount of C&D material. Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for reuse and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. 	Contractor	Works areas	

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Monthly EM&A Report for December 2022

When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
	V
Construction phase	N/
	V
Construction phase	
Construction priase	N/A
Construction phase	V
During planning and design stage, and construction stage	V
	V V
	V
	V V
During planning and design stage, and construction	V
stage	V
	V
	V V
	V
	V
	V

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
S6.7.10	 General Refuse: General refuse shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. 	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	V
	 A collection area shall be provided where wastes can be stored and loaded prior to removal from site. An enclosed and covered area is recommended to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blow' light material. 				V
6.7.11	Chemical Wastes: After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) shall be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals shall be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	V
6.7.12 – 66.7.13	 Construction and Demolition Material: C&D material shall be sorted on-site into inert C&D material (that is, public fill) and C&D waste. All the suitable inert C&D material shall be broken down to 250 mm in size for reuse as public fill in the WDII reclamation. C&D waste, such as wood, glass, plastic, steel and other metals shall be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed of to landfill. A suitable area shall be designated to facilitate the sorting process and a temporary stockpiling area will be required for the separated materials. In order to monitor the disposal of public fill and C&D waste at public fill reception facilities and landfills, respectively, and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system shall be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the Environmental Team undertaking the environmental monitoring and audit work. An Independent Environment Checker shall be responsible for auditing the results of the system. 	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	V V
6.7.14	 Bentonite Slurry: The disposal of residual used bentonite slurry shall follow the good practice guidelines stated in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" and listed as follows: If the disposal of a certain residual quantity cannot be avoided, the used slurry may be disposed of at the marine spoil grounds subject to obtaining a marine dumping licence from EPD on a case-by-case basis. If the used bentonite slurry is intended to be disposed of through the public drainage system, it shall be treated to the respective effluent standards applicable to foul sewers, storm drains or the receiving waters as set out in the Technical Memorandum of Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters. If the used bentonite slurry is intended to be disposed to public fill reception facilities, it will be mixed with dry 	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	N/A N/A N/A
/	 soil on site before disposal. Accidental spillage To prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: Proper storage and handling facilities will be provided. All the tanks, containers, storage area will be bunded and the locations will be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains. The contractor will register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities will be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes will be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. 	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	V V V V
_and Cont	amination Impact				
5.7.1.1	As no potential contaminative land uses were identified within the Study Area, adverse land contamination impacts associated with the construction and operation of the Project is not expected. As such, environmental protection and mitigation measures are considered not necessary and will not be covered in this EM&A Manual.	-	-	-	N/A

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Monthly EM&A Report for December 2022

Leighton – China State J.V.

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measure	
Landscape	and Visual			
Construct	ion Phase			
For DP1 -	CWB (Within the Project Boundary) and DP2 - WDII Major Roads (Road P2)			
Table 10.5	 CM1 - Topsoil, where identified, shall be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical. CM2 - Existing trees to be retained on site shall be carefully protected during construction. CM3 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. CM4 - Compensatory tree planting shall be provided to compensate for felled trees. CM5 - Control of night-time lighting. CM6 - Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with the surrounding setting. 	Contractor	Works areas	

Legend: V = implemented;

= not implemented; Х

@ = partially implemented;

N/A = not applicable

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Monthly EM&A Report for December 2022

When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
Construction phase	V
	N/A
	N/A
	N/A
	V
	N/A

APPENDIX D

Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Appendix D – Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Table 1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hou	' TSP
--	-------

ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
CMA5b	Pedestrian Plaza	209.9 μg/m³	260 μg/m³
CMA6a	WDII PRE Site Office	207.1 μg/m ³	260 μg/m³

Table 2 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
CMA5b	Pedestrian Plaza	339.7 μg/m³	500 μg/m³
CMA6a	WDII PRE Site Office	333 μg/m³	500 μg/m³

Table 3Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise(0700 – 1900 hrs of normal weekdays)

ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
M1a	Footbridge at EX-Wanchai Harbour Road Sports Centre	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)

APPENDIX E

Calibration Certificates of Equipments



RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

June 28, 2023

libration rtificate d **Calibration Certification Information** Cal. Date: June 28, 2022 Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 °К Ta: 296 Operator: **Jim Tisch** Pa: 755.4 mm Hg Calibration Model #: TE-5025A Calibrator S/N: 0988 Vol. Init Vol. Final ΔVol. ΔTime ΔΡ ΔΗ Run (m3) (m3) (m3) (min) (mm Hg) (in H2O) 1 1 2 1.3700 1 3.2 2.00 2 3 4 0.9730 1 6.4 4.00 3 5 6 0.8710 1 7.9 5.00 4 7 8 1 0.8310 8.8 5.50 5 9 10 1 0.6830 12.7 8.00 **Data Tabulation** Pa Tstd Pstd Ta /ΔH(Ta/Pa) Vstd Qstd Qa (m3) (x-axis) (v-axis) Va (x-axis) (y-axis) 0.9964 0.7273 1.4147 0.9958 0.7268 0.8853 0.9922 1.0197 2.0007 0.9915 1.0190 1.2520 0.9902 1.1368 2.2368 0.9895 1.1361 1.3997 0.9890 1.1901 2.3460 0.9884 1.1894 1.4680 0.9838 1.4405 2.8294 0.9832 1.4395 1.7705 m= 1.98736 m= 1.24445 OSTD b= -0.02635 QA b= -0.01649 r= 0.99994 r= 0.99994 Calculations Vstd= \DVol((Pa-DP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) $Va = \Delta Vol((Pa - \Delta P)/Pa)$ Qstd= Vstd/ATime Qa= Va/ATime For subsequent flow rate calculations: Pa Tstd Qstd= 1/m Qa= 1/m ΔH(Ta/Pa Δŀ -h Pstd Ta **Standard Conditions** 298.15 °K Tstd: RECALIBRATION Pstd: 760 mm Hg US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 Key ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

ch Environmental, Inc.

b: intercept m: slope

5 South Miami Avenue

lage of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610 FAX: (513)467-9009

AECOM Asia Company Limited <u>TSP High Volume Sampler</u> <u>Field Calibration Report</u>

Station	WDII PRE Site Of	fice	Operator:	Choi Wing Ho	
Cal. Date:	8 Nov 2022		Next Due Date:	8 Jan 2023	
Equipment No.:	A-001-79T	≓3	Serial No.	3384	
			Ambient Condition		
Temperat	ure, Ta (K)	295.0	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	761.7	

Orifice Transfer Standard Information						
Serial No:	Intercept, bc	-0.03672				
Last Calibration Date:	27 Dec 2021	mc x Qstd + bc = $[DH \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$				
Next Calibration Date:	27 Dec 2022	Qstd = {[DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} -bc} / mc				

		Calibration of	f TSP Sampler		
		Orfice		HV	S Flow Recorder
Resistance Plate No.	DH (orifice), in. of water	[DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM) Y-axis
18	7.1	2.68	1.34	45.0	45.28
13	5.8	2.42	1.22	39.0	39.24
10	4.8	2.20	1.11	33.0	33.20
7	3.2	1.80	0.91	25.0	25.15
5	2.5	1.59	0.81	20.0	20.12
*If Correlation Co	pefficient < 0.990, o	check and recalibrate.			
		Set Point	Calculation		
From the TSP F	ield Calibration Cu	rve, take Qstd = 1.30m ³ /min			
		e "Y" value according to			
-			w I(De/760) w (200)	To)1/2	
		mw x Qstd + bw = lC	x [(Pairou) x (290)	1 a)]	
Therefore, Set F	Point; IC = (mw x C	Qstd + bw) x [(760 / Pa) x (Ta / 2	98)] ^{1/2} =		42.64
-					
Remarks:					
nomunto.					
		AN Signature:	7		Date: 08/11/2022

C:\Old data\HVS Calibration Certificate (Existing)\60436763

AECOM Asia Company Limited <u>TSP High Volume Sampler</u> <u>Field Calibration Report</u>

Station	Pedestrian Plaza	edestrian Plaza		Operator:	Choi V	Choi Wing Ho	
Cal. Date:	10-Dec-22			Next Due Date:	10-F	eb-23	·
Equipment No.:	A-001-70T			Serial No.		10273	
	22 a 23		Ambient	Condition			
Temperat	ure, Ta (K)	291	Pressure, P			760.4	
			Orifice Transfer Sta	andard Information			
Sori	al No:	843	Slone mc	2 02086		Intercent bc	-0.03672

Serial No:	843	Slope, mc	2.02086	Intercept, bc	-0.03672
Last Calibration Date:	27-Dec-21	mc x Qstd + bc = [H x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}			
Next Calibration Date:	27-Dec-22				

		Calibration of			
		Orfice		HVS	S Flow Recorder
Resistance Plate No.	DH (orifice), in. of water	[DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2}	Qstd (m ³ /min) X - axis	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM) Y-axis
18	7.0	2.68	1.34	44.0	44.54
13	5.8	2.44	1.22	38.0	38.46
10	5.1	2.29	1.15	34.0	34.42
7	3.6	1.92	0.97	26.0	26.32
5	2.8	1.69	0.86	20.0	20.24
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe		0.9990 heck and recalibrate.	Intercept, bw =	-21.7	7557
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe	49.2162 fficient* =	0.9990 heck and recalibrate.	Intercept, bw =	-21.7	7557
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe *If Correlation Co	49.2162 fficient* = pefficient < 0.990, c	heck and recalibrate. Set Point	Intercept, bw =	-21.7	7557
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe *If Correlation Co From the TSP Fig	49.2162 fficient* = pefficient < 0.990, c eld Calibration Curr	heck and recalibrate. Set Point /e, take Qstd = 1.30m ³ /min		-21.7	7557
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe *If Correlation Co From the TSP Fig	49.2162 fficient* = pefficient < 0.990, c eld Calibration Curr	heck and recalibrate. Set Point		-21.7	7557
Slope , mw = Correlation Coe *If Correlation Co From the TSP Fig	49.2162 fficient* = pefficient < 0.990, c eld Calibration Curr	heck and recalibrate. Set Point /e, take Qstd = 1.30m ³ /min	Calculation		7557

Remarks:				
QC Reviewer: _	WS CHAN	Signature:	PI	Date: 10/12/22

D:\AECOM\HVS Calibration Certificate (Existing)\{

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type:		_	Laser Dust Monitor	9		
Manufactu	irer/Brand:		SIBATA			
Model No.	:		LD-3			
Equipment	t No.:		A.005.07a			
Sensitivity	Adjustment Sca	le Setting:	557CPM			
Operator:			WS CHAN			
Standard E	quimment					
Equipment			High Volume Sampl	or		
		;			-1	
Venue:			Fanling Governmen	t Secondary School		
Model No.	:		TE-5170			2
Serial No.:			3154			
Last Calibr	ation Date:		28-Apr-22			
Calibration	Result					
Sensitivity	Adjustment Sca	le Setting (Before	e Calibration):		557	CPM
Sensitivity	Adjustment Sca	le Setting (After	Calibration):		557	СРМ
			·····	-	•	
Hour	Date	Time	Ambient Condition	Concentration ①	Total Count(2)	Count/

Hour	Date	Time	Ambient	Condition	Concentration (1)	Total Count(2)	Count/
	(dd/mm/yy)		Temp (°C)	R.H.(%)	(mg/m3)		Minute ③
					Y-axis		X-axis
1	03/05/22	9:30-10:30	26.0	60	0.0490	1952	32.53
2	03/05/22	10:30-11:30	26.0	60	0.0500	2015	33.58
3	03/05/22	11:30-12:30	26.0	60	0.0520	2080	34.67
4	03/05/22	12:30-13:30	26.0	60	0.0540	2220	37.00

1 Monitoring data was measured by High Volume Sampler Note:

(2) Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor

(3) Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linea	r Regression of Y on X	
	Slope (K-factor):	0.0015
	Correlation coefficient:	0.9998
Validity of	of Calibration Record:	3-May-23

Remarks:

QC Reviewer:

Jur Fung Signature:

Date: 4 Mayr

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Туре:	Laser Dust Monitor	
Manufacturer/Brand:	SIBATA	
Model No.:	LD-3	
Equipment No.:	A.005.09a	
Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting:	797 CPM	
Operator:	WS CHAN	
Standard Equimment		
Equipment:	High Volume Sampler	
Venue:	Fanling Government Secondary School	
Model No.:	TE-5170	
Serial No.:	3154	
Last Calibration Date:	28-Apr-22	
Calibration Result		

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration):

797	CPM
797	CPM

Hour	Date	Time	Ambient	Condition	Concentration ①	Total Count(2)	Count/
	(dd/mm/yy)		Temp (°C)	R.H.(%)	(mg/m3)		Minute ③
					Y-axis		X-axis
1	03/05/22	9:30-10:30	26.0	60	0.0490	1950	32.50
2	03/05/22	10:30-11:30	26.0	60	0.0500	2050	34.17
3	03/05/22	11:30-12:30	26.0	60	0.0520	2150	35.83
4	03/05/22	12:30-13:30	26.0	60	0.0540	2300	38.33

Note: 1 Monitoring data was measured by High Volume Sampler

(2) Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor

(3) Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y on X	
Slope (K-factor):	0.0015
Correlation coefficient:	0.9994
Validity of Calibration Record:	3-May-23

Remarks:

QC Reviewer:

_____ Signature:

____ Date: 4.May-22

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

Type:	Laser Dust Monitor	
Manufacturer/Brand:	SIBATA	
Model No.:	LD-3B	
Equipment No.:	A.005.13a	
Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting:	643 CPM	
Operator:	WS CHAN	
Standard Equimment		
Equipment:	High Volume Sampler	
Venue:	Fanling Government Secondary School	
Model No.:	TE-5170	
Serial No.:	3154	
Last Calibration Date:	28-Apr-22	
Calibration Result		

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration): Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration):

643 CPM 643 CPM

Hour	Date	Time	Ambient	Condition	Concentration(1)	Total Count(2)	Count/
	(dd/mm/yy)		Temp (°C)	R.H.(%)	(mg/m3)		Minute ③
					Y-axis		X-axis
1	03/05/22	9:30-10:30	26.0	60	0.0490	1890	31.50
2	03/05/22	10:30-11:30	26.0	60	0.0500	1990	33.17
3	03/05/22	11:30-12:30	26.0	60	0.0520	2050	34.17
4	03/05/22	12:30-13:30	26.0	60	0.0540	2250	37.50

Note: (1) Monitoring data was measured by High Volume Sampler

(2) Total Count was logged by Laser Dust Monitor

③ Count/minute was calculated by (Total Count/60)

By Linear Regression of Y	í on X	
Slope (K-facto	or):	0.0015
Correlation co	oefficient:	0.9992
Validity of Calibration Re	cord:	3-May-23
,		

Remarks:

QC Reviewer:

[mung

Signature: _____ Date: 4 May 22





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	22CA0329 02		Page	1 of 2
Item tested				
Description:	Sound Level Mete	er (Class 1)	Microphone	Preamp
Manufacturer:	B&K	(,	B&K	B&K
Type/Model No.:	2250-L		4950	ZC0032
Serial/Equipment No.:	2681366		2665582	17190
Adaptors used:	-		-	-
Item submitted by				
Customer Name:	AECOM ASIA CO	LTD		
Address of Customer:	-			
Request No.:	-			
Date of receipt:	29-Mar-2022			
Date of test:	30-Mar-2022			
	used in the calib	ration		
Reference equipment				
	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Reference equipment Description: Multi function sound calibrator		Serial No. 2288444	Expiry Date: 23-Aug-2022	Traceable to:
Description: Multi function sound calibrator	Model:		Expiry Date: 23-Aug-2022 27-May-2022	Traceable to: CIGISMEC CEPREI
Description:	Model: B&K 4226	2288444	23-Aug-2022	CIGISMEC
Description: Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator Ambient conditions	Model: B&K 4226 DS 360	2288444	23-Aug-2022	CIGISMEC
Description: Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator	Model: B&K 4226	2288444	23-Aug-2022	CIGISMEC

Test specifications

- The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 1. and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and 2. replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%.
- 3. The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.





Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



綜 合 試 驗 有 限 公 司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD. 香港新界葵涌水基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 22CA0329 02

Page of 2

1. Electrical Tests

> The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	
con generated heree	c	Pass	0.8	
	Lin	Pass	1.6	
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	A	Pass	0.3	
	С	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leg	Pass	0.4	

2. Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Date:



The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

Chan Yuk Yiu

31-Mar-2022

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.







综合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD. 香港新界奏商永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tet (852) 2873 6860 Fax (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smee@edgismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



of 2

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 22CA1110 01-01

Page 2

1. Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	А	Pass	0.3	
	С	Pass	0.8	
	Lin	Pass	1.6	
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	Α	Pass	0.3	
	С	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.



The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

C Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	22CA1110 01-01		Page	1 of 2
Item tested				
Description:	Sound Level Met	er (Class 1)	Microphone	Preamp
Manufacturer:	B&K		B&K	B&K
Type/Model No.:	2250		4950	ZC0032
Serial/Equipment No.:	3001291		3005374	31351
Adaptors used:	-		-	-
Item submitted by				
Customer Name:	AECOM ASIA CO	D LIMITED		
Address of Customer:	-			
Request No.:	-			
Date of receipt:	10-Nov-2022			
Date of test:	11-Nov-2022			
Reference equipment	used in the calil	oration		
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	23-Aug-2023	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	21-Jan-2023	CEPREI
Ambient conditions				
Temperature:	22 ± 1 °C			
Relative humidity:	55 ± 10 %			

Test specifications

Air pressure:

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.
- The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

1005 ± 5 hPa

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007

综合試驗 有限公司

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:	22CA0504 01	Page:	1	of	2	
Item tested						
Description: Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:	Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1) B & K 4231 3006428 -					
Item submitted by						
Curstomer: Address of Customer: Request No.: Date of receipt:	AECOM - - 04-May-2022					
Date of test:	04-May-2022					
Reference equipment	t used in the calibration					

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	04-May-2022	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	31-May-2022	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	01-Jun-2022	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	27-May-2022	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	27-May-2022	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	28-May-2022	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	02-Jun-2022	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:	22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity:	55 ± 10 %
Air pressure:	1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the conditori of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.D/01/03/2007

綜合試驗

有限公司

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.



综合試驗 有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD. 香港新界藝冊水基路22-24 號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tei (852) 2873 6860 Fax (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smee@eigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 22CA0504 01

Page: 2 of 2

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

			(Output level in dB re 20 µPa)
Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	94.16	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz	STF = 0.014 dB
Estimated expanded uncertainty	0.005 dB

3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz	Actual Frequency = 999.96 Hz	
Estimated expanded uncertainty	0.1 Hz	Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz	TND = 0.4 %
Estimated expanded uncertainty	0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.



The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005

HKAS has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 028) under HOKLAS for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The results relate only to the item(s) calibrated. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory. APPENDIX F

EM&A Monitoring Schedules

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Impact Monitoring Schedule for December 2022

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
				1-Dec	2-Dec	3-Dec
						24-hour TSP
4-Dec	5-Dec	6-Dec	7-Dec	8-Dec	9-Dec	10-Dec
	1-hour TSP	Noise			24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP
11-Dec	12-Dec	13-Dec	14-Dec	15-Dec	16-Dec	17-Dec
			Noise	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	
18-Dec	19-Dec	20-Dec	21-Dec	22-Dec	23-Dec	24-Dec
		24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	Noise	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP
25-Dec	26-Dec	27-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	30-Dec	31-Dec
			Noise	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

24-hour TSP Monitoring Station

Noise Monitoring Station Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza CMA6a WDII PRE site office

Monitoring Frequency

Monitoring Frequency

24-hr TSP Once every 6 days 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints) 1-hr TSP

Once per week

M1a

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 **Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for January 2023**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1-Jan	2-Jan	3-Jan	4-Jan	5-Jan	6-Jan	7-Jan
		Noise	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP		
8-Jan	9-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	14-Jan
		24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	Noise		
15-Jan	16-Jan	17-Jan	18-Jan	19-Jan	20-Jan	21-Jan
	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP		Noise	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
22-Jan	23-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	28-Jan
				1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP Noise	
29-Jan	30-Jan	31-Jan				
		24-hour TSP Noise				

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

24-hour TSP Monitoring Station

Noise Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza CMA6a

WDII PRE site office

Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre M1a

Monitoring Frequency

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

24-hr TSP Once every 6 days 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints) 1-hr TSP

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for February 2023

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Feb	2-Feb	3-Feb	4-Feb
			1-hour TSP			
5-Feb	6-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb	10-Feb	11-Feb
	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP		Noise		24-hour TSP
12-Feb	13-Feb	14-Feb	15-Feb	16-Feb	17-Feb	18-Feb
	1-hour TSP			Noise	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP
19-Feb	20-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	25-Feb
		Noise		24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	
26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb				
		Noise				

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

24-hour TSP Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza CMA6a WDII PRE site office

Noise Monitoring Station M1a Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Monitoring Frequency 24-hr TSP Once every 6 days Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

1-hr TSP 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints)

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for March 2023

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1-Mar	2-Mar	3-Mar	4-Mar
			24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP		
5-Mar	6-Mar	7-Mar	8-Mar	9-Mar	10-Mar	11-Mar
		24-hour TSP Noise	1-hour TSP			
12-Mar	13-Mar	14-Mar	15-Mar	16-Mar	17-Mar	18-Mar
	24-hour TSP Noise	1-hour TSP				24-hour TSP
19-Mar	20-Mar	21-Mar	22-Mar	23-Mar	24-Mar	25-Mar
	1-hour TSP			24-hour TSP Noise	1-hour TSP	
26-Mar	27-Mar	28-Mar	29-Mar	30-Mar	31-Mar	
		Noise	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP		

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

24-hour TSP Monitoring Station

Noise Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza CMA6a WDII PRE site office

M1a Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Monitoring Frequency

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

24-hr TSPOnce every 6 days1-hr TSP3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints)

APPENDIX G

Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix G Air Quality Monitoring Results

	Start		1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
	Time	Weather	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.
Date	(hh:mm)	Condition	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m³)
05-Dec-22	13:15	Sunny	66.9	65.1	66.4
10-Dec-22	14:05	Fine	63.9	64.2	64.8
16-Dec-22	13:20	Cloudy	64.4	62.9	63.5
21-Dec-22	13:20	Sunny	64.9	63.8	66.0
24-Dec-22	13:25	Sunny	63.5	65.1	64.4
30-Dec-22	13:20	Sunny	67.0	67.8	65.8
				Average	65.0
				Min	62.9


67.8

Max

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA5b (Pedestrian Plaza)

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA6a (WDII PRE Site Office)

	Start		1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour
	Time	Weather	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.
Date	(hh:mm)	Condition	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m³)
05-Dec-22	13:05	Sunny	62.1	61.3	62.8
10-Dec-22	14:20	Fine	62.9	62.7	63.3
16-Dec-22	13:05	Cloudy	61.4	61.1	62.7
21-Dec-22	13:10	Sunny	60.4	62.6	61.0
24-Dec-22	13:45	Sunny	62.9	62.7	63.8
30-Dec-22	13:15	Sunny	62.2	63.4	65.7
				Average	62.5
				Min	60.4
				Max	65.7



Graphical Presentation of Impact 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Appendix G	
Air Quality Monitoring Results	

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA5b (Pedestrain Plaza)


Start		End		Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Flow Rat	te (m ³ /min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Filter W	eight (g)	Particulate	Elaps	e Time	Sampling	Conc.
Date	Time	Date	Time	Condition	Temp. (°C)	Pressure (hPa)	Initial	Final	(m³/min)	(m ³)	Initial	Final	weight(g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	(µg/m³)
3-Dec-22	0:00	4-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	19.2	1017.1	1.34	1.33	1.33	1921.0	2.7851	2.8519	0.0668	29303.07	29327.07	24.00	34.8
9-Dec-22	0:00	10-Dec-22	0:00	Fine	19.6	1015.8	1.34	1.33	1.33	1921.0	2.7768	2.8843	0.1075	29327.07	29351.07	24.00	56.0
15-Dec-22	0:00	16-Dec-22	0:00	Cloudy	14.6	1017.9	1.34	1.33	1.33	1921.0	2.7725	2.8444	0.0719	29351.07	29375.07	24.00	37.4
20-Dec-22	0:00	21-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	16.8	1018.3	1.34	1.33	1.33	1921.0	2.7782	2.8247	0.0465	29375.07	29399.07	24.00	24.2
23-Dec-22	0:00	24-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	17.1	1019.0	1.34	1.33	1.33	1921.0	2.7868	2.8795	0.0927	29399.07	29423.07	24.00	48.3
29-Dec-22	0:00	30-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	16.8	1024.2	1.34	1.33	1.34	1923.1	2.7800	2.8564	0.0764	29423.07	29447.07	24.00	39.7
																Average	40.1
																Minimum	24.2

Maximum 56.0

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA6a (WDII PRE site office)

Start		End		Weather	Air	Atmospheric	Flow Rat	te (m³/min.)	Av. flow	Total vol.	Filter W	eight (g)	Particulate	Elaps	e Time	Sampling	Conc.
Date	Time	Date	Time	Condition	Temp. (°C)	Pressure (hPa)	Initial	Final	(m³/min)	(m³)	Initial	Final	weight(g)	Initial	Final	Time(hrs.)	(µg/m³)
3-Dec-22	0:00	4-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	19.2	1017.1	1.33	1.33	1.33	1916.6	2.7818	2.8338	0.0520	11904.57	11928.57	24.00	27.1
9-Dec-22	0:00	10-Dec-22	0:00	Fine	19.6	1015.8	1.33	1.33	1.33	1916.6	2.7809	2.8495	0.0686	11928.57	11952.57	24.00	35.8
15-Dec-22	0:00	16-Dec-22	0:00	Cloudy	14.6	1017.9	1.33	1.33	1.33	1916.6	2.7752	2.8284	0.0532	11952.57	11976.57	24.00	27.8
20-Dec-22	0:00	21-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	16.8	1018.3	1.33	1.33	1.33	1916.6	2.8145	2.8460	0.0315	11976.57	12000.57	24.00	16.4
23-Dec-22	0:00	24-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	17.1	1019.0	1.33	1.33	1.33	1916.6	2.7882	2.8421	0.0539	12000.57	12024.57	24.00	28.1
29-Dec-22	0:00	30-Dec-22	0:00	Sunny	16.8	1024.2	1.33	1.33	1.33	1916.6	2.7724	2.8263	0.0539	12024.57	12048.57	24.00	28.1
																Average	27.2
																Minimum	16.4

Maximum 35.8



Graphical Presentation of Impact 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Wind Direction:













Wind Speed:







Appendix G – Extract of Meteorological Observations for Star Ferry Automatic Weather Station November 2022


Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:




Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:



Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:



APPENDIX H


Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix H Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Date Weather		Nois	e Level foi	r 30-min, d	B(A) ⁺	Baseline Corrected	Baseline Noise	Limit Level,	Exceedance
Duit	Condition	Time	L90	L10	Leq	Level, dB(A)	Level, dB(A)	dB(A)	(Y/N)
6-Dec-22	Sunny	13:50	64.1	68.0	66.7	<baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	73.0	75	N
14-Dec-22	Cloud	14:00	64.7	67.1	65.9	<baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	73.0	75	N
22-Dec-22	Sunny	11:25	64.0	66.4	65.5	<baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	73.0	75	N
28-Dec-22	Sunny	14:20	64.2	67.5	66.8	<baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<>	73.0	75	N

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station M1a (Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sport Centre)

⁺ - Façade measurement



Graphical Presentation of Impact Noise Monitoring Results **APPENDIX I**

Event Action Plan

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring

EVENT		ACT	ΓΙΟΝ	
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL	•			
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Notify Contractor. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)

	ACTION								
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor					
LIMIT LEVEL									
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly Implemented. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 					
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 					

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring

EVENT	ACTION								
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor					
Exceedance of Action Level	 Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; Implement noise mitigation proposals. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 					
Exceedance of Limit Level	 Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 					

APPENDIX J

Cumulative Statistics of Exceedances, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Leighton – China State J.V.

Appendix J

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

	Date Received	Subject	Status	Total no. received in this month	Total no. received since project commencement
Environmental complaints	-	-	-	0	0
Notification of summons	-	-	-	0	0
Successful Prosecutions	-	-	-	0	0

APPENDIX K

Waste Flow Table

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE

Contract No.:MTR SCL 1123 - Exhibition Station and Western Approach Tunnel (Road P2 Works) Reporting Month: December 2022

	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly					Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				Actual Quantities of Marine Dumping Monthly			
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper / Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse	Type 1	Type 2
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	0.098	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.098	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Feb	0.843	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.843	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mar	1.349	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.349	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Apr	0.544	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.544	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
May	0.309	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.309	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jun	0.380	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.380	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	37.090	0.000	0.000
Sub-total	3.522	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.522	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	37.090	0.000	0.000
July	1.137	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.137	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.098	0.000	0.000
August	0.586	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.586	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.132	0.000	0.000
September	0.874	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.874	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.100	0.000	0.000
October	0.727	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.727	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.092	0.000	0.000
November	0.925	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.925	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.082	0.000	0.000
December	0.563	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.563	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.064	0.000	0.000
Total	8.336	0.000	0.000	0.000	8.336	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	37.659	0.000	0.000

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2022

Comments:

1) Assumption: The densities of Rock, Soil, Mixed Rock and Soil, and Regular Spoil are 2.0 ton/m³; the density of general refuse is 1.0 ton/m³; the density of waste oil is 1.0 kg/L.

2) The cut-off date of waste amount in December is 27/12/2022 for Public Fill Facilities and Landfill.

3) The amounts of waste in December is 64.49 ton for Landfill and 1126.99 tons for Public Fill.

4) The amount of import fill in December is 0 ton, for cut-off date as 31/12/2022.

- 5) The amount of metal waste generated in December is 0 kg, for cut-off date as 31/12/2022.
- 6) The amount of paper waste generated in December is 0 kg, for cut-off date as 31/12/2022.
- 7) The amount of plastic waste generated in December is 0 kg, for cut-off date as 31/12/2022.