Leighton-China States Joint Venture

Contract SCL1123 – Exhibition Station & Western Approach Tunnel

Monthly EM&A Report No. 12 for

FEP-13/364/2009/H & FEP-03/376/2009

[Period from 1 to 31 December 2021]

(January 2022)

| Verified by: | Claudine LEE |
|-----------------|------------------------------|
| | |
| Position: Indep | endent Environmental Checker |
| | |
| Date: | 12 January 2022 |

Leighton-China State Joint Venture

Contract SCL1123 – Exhibition Station & Western Approach Tunnel

Monthly EM&A Report No.12

for

FEP-13/364/2009/H & FEP-03/376/2009

[Period from 1 to 31 December 2021]

(January 2021)

| Verified by: | FUNG Yiu Wah |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| | |
| Position: | Environmental Team Leader |
| Date: | 10 January 2022 |



Leighton – China State J.V.

Shatin to Central Link - Hung Hom to Admiralty Section

Works Contract 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3

Monthly EM&A Report for December 2021

[January 2021]

| | Name | Signature | |
|---|----------|-----------|--|
| Prepared & Checked: | Barry Ho | Mo | |
| Reviewed, Approved & Y W Fung Certified: (Contractor's Environme Team Leader) | | y. | |

| Version: 0 | Date: | 7 January 2022 |
|------------|-------|----------------|
| | | |

Disclaimer

This Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report is prepared for Leighton – China State J.V. and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to SCL1123 and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than Leighton – China State J.V. without our prior written consent. No person (other than Leighton – China State J.V. into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this plan without our express written consent and Leighton – China State J.V. may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

12/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 2317 7609 www.aecom.com

Page

Table of Contents

| | | _ |
|------|---|----|
| EXEC | CUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 1.1 | Purpose of the Report | 3 |
| 1.2 | Report Structure | |
| 2 | PROJECT INFORMATION | 4 |
| 2.1 | Background | 4 |
| 2.2 | Site Description | |
| 2.3 | Construction Programme and Activities | 5 |
| 2.4 | Project Organisation | 6 |
| 2.5 | Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits | 7 |
| 3 | ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENT | 8 |
| 3.1 | Construction Dust Monitoring | 8 |
| 3.2 | Construction Noise Monitoring | |
| 4 | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES | 13 |
| 5 | MONITORING RESULTS | 14 |
| 5.1 | Construction Dust Monitoring | 14 |
| 5.2 | Regular Construction Noise Monitoring | |
| 5.3 | Waste Management | |
| 6 | ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT | 16 |
| 7 | ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE | 17 |
| 7.1 | Summary of Monitoring Exceedances | 17 |
| 7.2 | Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance | |
| 7.3 | Summary of Environmental Complaints | 17 |
| 7.4 | Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions | 17 |
| 8 | FUTURE KEY ISSUES | 18 |
| 8.1 | Construction Programme for the Next Three Month | 18 |
| 8.2 | Key Issues for the Coming Month | 18 |
| 8.3 | Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Month | 18 |
| 9 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 19 |
| 9.1 | Conclusions | 19 |
| 9.2 | Recommendations | |

i

List of Tables

| Table 2.1 | Contact Information of Key Personnel |
|-----------|--|
| Table 2.2 | Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits |
| Table 3.1 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 3.2 | Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Station |
| Table 3.3 | Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 3.4 | Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring |
| Table 3.5 | Noise Monitoring Station during Construction Phase |
| Table 4.1 | Status of Required Submission under Further Environmental Permit |
| Table 5.1 | Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period |
| Table 5.2 | Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period |
| Table 5.3 | Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period |
| Table 6.1 | Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 | Site Layout Plan of CEDD Entrusted Works under Contract 1123 |
|------------|---|
| Figure 3.1 | Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Locations for CEDD Entrusted Works |

List of Appendices

| Appendix A | Construction Programme |
|------------|---|
| Appendix B | Project Organisation Structure |
| Appendix C | Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures |
| Appendix D | Summary of Action and Limit Levels |
| Appendix E | Calibration Certificates of Equipment |
| Appendix F | EM&A Monitoring Schedules |
| Appendix G | Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix H | Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix I | Event and Action Plan |
| Appendix J | Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful |
| | Prosecutions |
| Appendix K | Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. ii December 2021

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Shatin to Central Link Contract 1123 – CEDD Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 (hereafter called "the Project") covers part of the construction of CEDD entrusted work under the granted Further Environmental Permit: FEP–03/376/2009 and FEP–13/364/2009 respectively.

The Project comprises the construction of Road P2 and other roads which are classified as primary/district distributor roads and Slip Road 3.

The EM&A programme commenced on 25 January 2021. The impact EM&A for the Project includes air quality and noise monitoring.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted in the period between 1 and 31 December 2021. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting period were:

| Location | Site Activities | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Road P2 – West (Slip Road 3) | Drainage works | | |
| Road P2 – East (Hung Hing Road) | Drainage works Road pavement | | |
| Road P2 – Permanent PTI (Public Transport Interchange) | Drainage works Road works (concrete pavement and asphalt) Footpath -paving block Bus shelter -Steel and glazing installation. | | |

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of air quality was recorded in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

Regular Noise Monitoring

No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.

No exceedance of Limit Level of noise was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

Reporting Changes

There was no reporting change in the reporting month.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 1 December 2021

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the next three months included:

| Location | Site Activities | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Road P2 – | Underground Drainage | | |
| West (Slip Road 3) | Road works | | |
| Road P2 – | Drainage works | | |
| East (Hung Hing Road) | Underground Utilities | | |
| | Road works (TTM) | | |
| Road P2 – PTI | Drainage & UU works | | |
| (Public Transport | Road works (TTM) | | |
| Interchange) | Footpath pavement | | |
| | Relocation of temporary PTI | | |

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality and waste management.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 2 December 2021

1 INTRODUCTION

Leighton – China State Joint Venture (JV) was commissioned by MTR as the Civil Contractor for CEDD Entrusted Works under Contract 1123. AECOM Asia Company Limited (AECOM) was appointed by JV as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the Project.

1.1 Purpose of the Report

1.1.1 This is the twelve monthly EM&A Report which summaries the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project during the reporting period between 1 and 31 December 2021.

1.2 Report Structure

- 1.2.1 This monthly EM&A Report is organized as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction
 - Section 2: Project Information
 - Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement
 - Section 4: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
 - Section 5: Monitoring Results
 - Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection and Audit
 - Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance
 - Section 8: Future Key Issues
 - Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 3 December 2021

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 Road P2 and other roads which are classified as primary/district distributor roads identified as DP2 which covered in the Environmental Permit No. EP-376/2009 in the approved Wan Chai Development Phase II (WDII) and Central Wan Chai Bypass (CWB) comprising (i) a dual 2-lane primary distributor road, Road P2; and (ii) other new primary and district distributor roads connecting to the slip roads of the Central-Wan Chai Bypass.
- 2.1.2 Slip road 3 identified as part of DP1 which covered in the Environmental Permit No. EP-364/2009/H in the approved Wan Chai Development Phase II (WDII) and Central Wan Chai Bypass (CWB) comprising (i) slip roads to connect the CWB to the local road system in the Wan Chai North and Causeway Bay area; and (ii) associated road lighting, road signing, traffic control and surveillance system (iii) other associated works.
- 2.1.3 The Environmental Impact Assessment Report for Central - Wan Chai Bypass and Island Eastern Corridor Link (CWB&IECL) EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-041/2001) and the Wan Chai Development Phase II and Central-Wan Chai Bypass (WDII&CWB) EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-125/2008) which were approved on 31 August 2001 and 11 December 2008 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). Following the approval of the EIA Report, an Environmental Permits (EPs) were granted on 13 November 2009 and 22 April 2020 respectively, which covers Road P2 and other roads which are classified as primary/district distributor roads [DP2] and Central - Wan Chai Bypass (CWB) including its Road Tunnel and Slip Roads [DP1] (EP No.: EP-376/2009 and EP-364/2009/H), for the construction and operation. Further Environmental Permits (FEP No. FEP-03/376/2009 FEP-13/364/2009/H) were both subsequently granted from the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on 2 June 2020, which cover the construction works for DP2 and a part of DP1 respectively.
- 2.1.4 The site layout plan of the Project is shown in **Figure 1.1**.

2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The major construction activities under CEDD Entrusted Works of Contract 1123 include:
 - (a) Site preparation;
 - (b) Construct for dual 2-lane primary distributor road, Road P2;
 - (c) Construct for other new primary and district distributor roads connecting to the slip roads of the Central-Wan Chai Bypass;
 - (d) Construct for slip roads to connect the CWB to the local road system in the Wan Chai North and Causeway Bay area;
 - (e) Construct for associated road lighting, road signing, traffic control and surveillance system; and
 - (f) Construct for other associated works;

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 4 December 2021

2.3 Construction Programme and Activities

2.3.1 The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarised below:

| Location | Site Activities | |
|---|--|--|
| Road P2 – West (Slip Road 3) | Drainage works | |
| Road P2 – East (Hung Hing Road) | Drainage works Road pavement | |
| Road P2 – Permanent PTI (Public Transport Interchange) | Drainage works Road works (concrete pavement and asphalt) Footpath -paving block Bus shelter -Steel and glazing installation. | |

2.3.2 The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 5 December 2021

2.4 Project Organisation

2.4.1 The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarised in **Table 2.1.**

Table 2.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

| Party | Role | Position | Name | Telephone | Fax |
|---------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| MTR | Residential Engineer (ER) | Senior Construction Manager – SCL Civil | Mr. Mike Bezzano | 3959 2128 | 3959 2200 |
| | | SCL Project Environmental Team Leader | Ms. Lisa Poon | 3127 6295 | 3127 6422 |
| Meinhardt | Independent Environmental Checker | Independent Environmental Checker | Ms. Claudine Lee | 2859 5409 | 2540 1580 |
| JV Contractor | Contractor | Project Director | Mr. Brian Shepstone | 3973 0838 | 31051126 |
| | Contractor | Environmental Engineer | Mr. Andy Leung | 3973 1498 | 31051126 |
| AECOM | Contractor's Environmental Team (ET) | ET Leader | Mr. Y W Fung | 3922 9366 | 2317 7609 |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 6 December 2021

2.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

2.5.1 Relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project and valid in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notifications and Permits

| Permit / License No. | Valid Period | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--|
| / Notification/ Reference No. | From | То | Status | Remarks | |
| Environmental Permit | | | | | |
| FEP-03/376/2009 | 2 Jun 2020 | End of Contract | Valid | | |
| FEP-13/364/2009/H | 2 Jun 2020 | End of Contract | Valid | | |
| Construction Noise Pe | ermit | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | - | |
| Wastewater Discharge License ¹ | | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | - | |
| Chemical Waste Producer Registration | | | | | |
| 5213-135-L2881-01 | 02 Apr 2015 | End of Contract | Valid | For whole site at Wan Chai Area | |
| Marine Dumping Perm | nit | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | - | |
| Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal | | | | | |
| 7021736 | 16 Feb 2015 | End of Contract | Valid | For Disposal of C&D Waste | |
| Notification Under Air | Notification Under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | | | | |
| 385128 | 1 Mar 2015 | End of Contract | Valid | For whole site at Wan Chai Area | |

Remark:

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 7 December 2021

The Contractor was reminded to keep tracking on the site conditions and review its application for wastewater discharge license.

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENT

3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals, 24-hour and 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels at the designated air quality monitoring station is required. Impact 24-hour monitoring should be carried out for at least once every 6 days and 1-hour TSP monitoring should be done at least 3 times every 6 days while the highest dust impact is expected. The Action and Limit level of the air quality monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.1.2 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at the designated monitoring stations. The HVS meets all the requirements of the EM&A Manual.
- 3.1.3 A portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring.
- 3.1.4 Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and Model |
|---|---|
| High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP) | Andersen Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. GS 2310 (S/N:10273)) (Model No. GS 2310 (S/N:3384)) |
| Calibration Kit (24-hour TSP) | TISCH Environmental Orifice (Model TE-5025A (S/N: 843)) |
| Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP) | Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (Model No. LD-3) |

Monitoring Locations

3.1.5 The monitoring station for construction dust monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for SCL (HUH-ADM) of the Project. The location of the construction dust monitoring stations are summarised in **Table 3.2** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.2 Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Station

| Station ID | Dust Monitoring Station |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| CMA5b ¹ | Pedestrian Plaza |
| CMA6a ¹ | WDII PRE Site Office |

Remark

Monitoring Methodology

- 3.1.6 24-hour TSP Monitoring
 - (a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS as far as practicable: -
 - (i) A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
 - (ii) Two samplers should not be placed less than 2m apart from each others;

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 8 December 2021

According to the updated site layout of CEDD Entrusted Works and Updated EM&A Manual for EP-376/2009 and EP-364/2009, Pedestrian Plaza (CMA5b) and WDII PRE Site Office (CMA6A) were selected as the most affected sensitive receiver during the construction phase.

- (iii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- (iv) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
- (v) A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
- (vi) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
- (vii) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- (viii) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
- (ix) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
- (x) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring station.
- (xi) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the sampler.

(b) Preparation of Filter Papers

- (i) Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

(c) Field Monitoring

- (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- (ix) On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
- (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
- (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- (xv) It was then placed in a clean envelope and sealed.
- (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

(d) Maintenance and Calibration

(i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 9 December 2021

- HVSs were calibrated using TE-5025A Calibration Kit upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals.
- (iii) Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVSs are provided in **Appendix E**.

3.1.7 1-hour TSP Monitoring

(a) Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- (i) Turn the power on.
- (ii) Close the air collecting opening cover.
- (iii) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG]
- (iv) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- (v) Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- (vi) Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- (vii) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- (viii) Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- (ix) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- (x) Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- (xi) Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.

(b) Maintenance and Calibration

(i) The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against a continuous particulate TEOM Monitor, Series 1400ab. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.1.8 The schedule for environmental monitoring in December 2021 is provided in Appendix F.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 10 December 2021

3.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

3.2.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring should be conducted for at least once a week during the construction phase of the Project. **Table 3.3** summarises the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring. The Action and Limit level of the noise monitoring is provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Parameter and Duration | Frequency |
|---|------------------------|
| 30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. Leq, L ₁₀ and L ₉₀ would be recorded. | At least once per week |

Monitoring Equipment

3.2.2 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Equipment for Regular Noise Monitoring

| Equipment | Brand and Model |
|------------------------------|---|
| Integrated Sound Level Meter | Model No. B&K 2270 (S/N: 2644597) Model No. B&K 2250-L (S/N: 2681366) |
| Acoustic Calibrator | Model No. MVI CAL21 (S/N: 34113610(2011)) Model No. B&K 4231 (S/N:3006428) |

Monitoring Locations

3.2.3 The monitoring station for construction noise monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for SCL (HUH-ADM) of the Project. Location of the noise monitoring station is summarised in **Table 3.5** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.5 Noise Monitoring Station during Construction Phase

| Identification No. | District | Alternative Noise Monitoring Location |
|--------------------|----------|---|
| M1a ¹ | Wan Chai | Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre |

Remark:

Monitoring Methodology

- 3.2.4 Monitoring Procedure
 - (a) Façade measurements were made at M1a.
 - (b) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
 - (c) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - (i) frequency weighting: A
 - (ii) time weighting: Fast

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 11 December 2021

According to the updated site layout of CEDD Entrusted Works and Updated EM&A Manual for EP-376/2009 and EP-364/2009, Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre (M1a) was selected as the most affected sensitive receiver during the construction phase.

- (iii) time measurement: L_{eq(30-minutes)} during non-restricted hours i.e. 0700 1900 on normal weekdays.
- (d) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94 dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (e) During the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (f) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (g) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

3.2.5 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in **Appendix E**.

Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.2.6 The schedule for environmental monitoring in December 2021 is provided in **Appendix F**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 12 December 2021

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

4.1.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, the EP and EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix C.** Status of required submissions under the EP during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Status of Required Submission under Further Environmental Permit

| EP Condition | Submission | Submission Date | |
|--|--|------------------|--|
| Condition 3.3 (FEP-13//364/2009/H & FEP-03/376/2009) | Monthly EM&A Report for November 2021 | 13 December 2021 | |

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 13 December 2021

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

5.1.1 The monitoring results for 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2** respectively. Detailed air quality monitoring results and wind monitoring data extracted from the nearest Automatic Weather Station are presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 5.1 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

| ID | Average (μg/m³) | Range (μg/m³) | Action Level (μg/m³) | Limit Level (μg/m³) |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| CMA5b | 67.7 | 37.6 – 86.3 | 209.9 | 260 |
| CMA6a | 46.8 | 20.2 – 70.0 | 207.1 | 260 |

Table 5.2 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

| ID | Average (μg/m³) | Range (μg/m³) | Action Level (μg/m³) | Limit Level (μg/m³) |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| CMA5b | 66.1 | 63.7 – 69.8 | 339.7 | 500 |
| CMA6a | 63.2 | 61.1 – 66.1 | 333 | 500 |

- 5.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 5.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 5.1.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.1.5 Major dust sources during the monitoring included construction dust, nearby traffic emission and other nearby construction sites.

5.2 Regular Construction Noise Monitoring

5.2.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in **Table 5.3** and the monitoring data is provided in **Appendix H**.

Table 5.3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| ID | Range, dB(A), L _{eq (30 mins)} | Limit Level, dB(A), L _{eg (30 mins)} |
|--------------------|--|--|
| M1a ^(*) | <baseline< th=""><th>75</th></baseline<> | 75 |

^(*) Baseline correction will be made to the measured Leq when the measured noise level exceeded the corresponding baseline noise level and presented in the table.

- 5.2.2 No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 5.2.3 No Limit Level exceedance of noise was recorded at the monitoring station in the reporting month.
- 5.2.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix I**.
- 5.2.5 Major noise sources during the monitoring included construction noise from the Project site, nearby traffic noise and the community.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 14 December 2021

5.3 Waste Management

- 5.3.1 C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.
- 5.3.2 As advised by the Contractor, 969 m³ of inert C&D material was generated and disposed of as public fill in the reporting month. No inert C&D materials were reused in other projects or in the Contract in the reporting month. No fill material was imported in the reporting month. No general refuse was generated in the reporting month. No metal, paper/cardboard packaging material, plastic was collected by recycling contractor in the reporting month. No chemical waste was collected by licensed contractor in the reporting period. No Type 1 and Type 2 of Marine sediment were disposed of at Confined Marine Disposal Facility to the East of Sha Chau. The waste flow table is annexed in **Appendix K**.
- 5.3.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.
- 5.3.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste containers should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes

5.4 Landscape and Visual

5.4.1 Weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted. A summary of the site inspection is provided in **Appendix C**. The observations and recommendations made during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 15 December 2021

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 6.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the mitigation measures implementation schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 6.1.2 In the reporting month, 5 site inspection were carried out on 2, 9, 17, 23 and 29 December 2021. Joint inspections with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET were conducted on 17 December 2021. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspection. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audit

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|
| 2 Dec 2021 Air Quality 17 Dec 2021 | | Reminder • The Contractor was reminded to provide regular watering for the dry haul road to prevent dust emission at W21. | This item was rectified on 7 Dec 2021. |
| | | The exposed area at W22 was observed to be dry. The Contractor should provide regular watering to prevent dust emission. | This item was rectified on 23 Dec 2021. |
| Noise | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Water Quality | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Waste/ Chemical Management | 23 Dec 2021 | Reminder • Accumulated refuse was observed. The Contractor was reminded to remove refuse regularly. | This item was rectified on 31 Dec 2021. |
| Landscape & Visual | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Permits/ Licenses | Nil | Nil | Nil |

6.1.3 No follow up action was requested by Contractor's ET during the site inspection on 9 and 29 December 2021.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 16 December 2021

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 Summary of Monitoring Exceedances

- 7.1.1 All 24-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 7.1.2 All 1-hour TSP result was below the Action and Limit level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month
- 7.1.3 No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 7.1.4 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.

7.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

7.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

7.3 Summary of Environmental Complaints

7.3.1 No environmental related complaint was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on environmental complaints is provided in **Appendix J**.

7.4 Summary of Environmental Summon and Successful Prosecutions

7.4.1 No environmental related prosecution or notification of summons was received in the reporting month. Cumulative statistics on notification of summons and successful prosecutions is provided in **Appendix J**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 17 December 2021

8 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 Construction Programme for the Next Three Month

8.1.1 The major construction works between January to March 2022 will be:

| Location | Site Activities |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Road P2 – | Underground Drainage |
| West (Slip Road 3) | Road works |
| Road P2 – | Drainage works |
| East (Hung Hing Road) | Underground Utilities |
| | Road works (TTM) |
| Road P2 – PTI | Drainage & UU works |
| (Public Transport | Road works (TTM) |
| Interchange) | Footpath pavement |
| | Relocation of temporary PTI |

8.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

8.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality and waste management.

8.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Next Three Month

8.3.1 The tentative schedules for environmental monitoring in between January to March 2022 are provided in **Appendix F**.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 18 December 2021

9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Conclusions

- 9.1.1 24-hour TSP, 1-hour TSP and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting month.
- 9.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 9.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring locations in the reporting month
- 9.1.4 No Action Level exceedance was recorded since no noise related complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 9.1.5 No Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 9.1.6 5 nos. of environmental site inspections were carried out in December 2021. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.
- 9.1.7 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.
- 9.1.8 No notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.
- 9.1.9 Referring to the Contractor's information, no notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.

9.2 Recommendations

9.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided: -

Air Quality Impact

• The contractor was reminded to provide regular water for the haul road and cover the stockpile of dusty materials to prevent dust emission.

Construction Noise Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Chemical and Waste Management

• The contractor was reminded to remove refuse regularly to maintain the cleanness of the site.

Landscape & Visual Impact


No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


Permits/licenses

No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. 19 December 2021









APPENDIX A

Construction Programme





| ID Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | | 2021 | 2022 |
|--|----------|------------|------------|-----|------|---|
| | | | | Dec | Jan | |
| Road Kerb and Footpath Pavement -South of Convention Avenue | 48 d | Feb 3 '21 | Mar 30 '21 | | | Road Kerb and Footpath Pavement -South of Convention Avenue |
| 182 Middle Part- Remaining Drainage work | 28 d | Jan 16 '21 | Feb 17 '21 | | | Middle Part- Remaining Drainage work |
| 183 Middle Part- Irrigation works and Road Kerb | 28 d | Feb 18 '21 | Mar 22 '21 | | | Middle Part- Irrigation works and Road Kerb |
| 184 TTM2- Divert West direction to South | 151 d | Jan 2 '21 | Jun 28 '21 | | | |
| 185 Apply TTM2 and Approval | 75 d | Jan 2 '21 | Mar 31 '21 | | | Apply TTM2 and Approval |
| 186 Implement TTM2 | 12 d | Mar 31 '21 | Apr 13 '21 | | | Implement TTM2 |
| 187 Remaining Drainage Works-Middle part | 28 d | Apr 14 '21 | May 17 '21 | | | Remaining Drainage Works-Middle part |
| 188 Irrigation and landscape works -Middle part | 28 d | May 18 '21 | Jun 18 '21 | | | Irrigation and landscape works -Middle part |
| 189 Road Kerb-North of Comvention Anenue | 18 d | Jun 8 '21 | Jun 28 '21 | | | Road Kerb-North of Comvention Anenue |
| 190 TTM3- to Final Alignment | 129 d | Apr 1 '21 | Aug 31 '21 | | | |
| 191 Apply TTM2 and Approval | 75 d | Apr 1 '21 | Jun 29 '21 | | | Apply TTM2 and Approval |
| 192 Permanent TTM at PTI approval (no drawing now) | 75 d | Apr 1 '21 | Jun 29 '21 | | | Permanent TTM at PTI approval (no drawing now) |
| 193 Area C2-Road works Completed | 0 d | Jun 29 '21 | Jun 29 '21 | | | ♦ Area C2-Road works Completed |
| 194 works at Expro East completed | 0 d | Jun 29 '21 | Jun 29 '21 | | | ♦ works at Expro East completed |
| 195 Implement TTM3- to Final Alignment | 6 d | Jun 29 '21 | Jul 6 '21 | | | Implement TTM3- to Final Alignment |
| Road Kerb and Footpath Pavement/road lighting -North of Convention | 24 d | Jul 7 '21 | Aug 3 '21 | | | Road Kerb and Footpath Pavement/road lighting -North of Convention Avenue |
| 197 Road Lighting /rails and Final touch up | 24 d | Aug 4 '21 | Aug 31 '21 | | | Road Lighting /rails and Final touch up |

Critical Split

Task

Milestone

Manual Progress —


Critical

Summary

APPENDIX B

Project Organization Structure

Appendix B Project Organisation Structure



Appendix B AECOM

APPENDIX C

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Construct | ion Dust Impact | | | | |
| Construct | ion Phase | | | | |
| S3.6.5 | Four times a day watering of the work site with active operations | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| S3.8.1 | Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimise cumulative dust impacts. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | |
| | Strictly limit the truck speed on site to below 10 km per hour and water spraying to keep the haul roads in wet condition; | | | | @ |
| | Watering during excavation and material handling; | | | | @ |
| | Provision of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site, combined with cleaning of public roads where necessary; and | | | | V |
| | Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations. | | | | V |
| / | Dust suppression measures (con't) De-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas during the use of bagged cement The portion of any road where along the site boundary should be kept clear of dusty materials. Use of frequent watering for any dusty construction process (e.g. breaking works) to reduce dust emissions. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V V V |
| / | Emission from Vehicles and Plants All vehicles shall be shut down in intermittent use. Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly to avoid emission of black smoke. All diesel fuelled construction plant within the works areas shall be powered by ultra low sulphur diesel fuel (ULSD) | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V V |
| Airborne I | Noise Impact | | | | |
| Construct | ion Phase | | | | |
| S4.9.4 | Good Site Practice: Only well-maintained plant shall be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| | Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment shall be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program. | | | | V |
| | Mobile plant, if any, shall be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. | | | | V V |
| | Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between works periods or shall be throttled down to a minimum. | | | | · · |
| | Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. | | | | V |
| | Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from onsite construction activities. | | | | V |
| | | | | | |

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| For DP1 – | CWB (Within the Project Boundary) | | | | |
| S4.8.3 – S4.8.5 | Use of quiet powered mechanical equipment, movable noise barrier and temporary noise barrier for the following tasks: Slip road 8 tunnel Construction of diaphragm wall and substructures of the tunnel approach ramp Excavation Construction of slabs Backfill Demolition and construction of substructures for the IEC Demolition works of existing piers and crossheads of the marine section of the existing IEC Use of PME grouping for the following tasks: At-grade roadwork | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | N/A V V V N/A N/A N/A |
| | Substructure for IECL connection | | | | N/A |
| \$4.8.3 - \$4.8.4 | WDII Major Roads (Road P2) Use of quiet powered mechanical equipment, movable noise barrier and temporary noise barrier for the following tasks: • Temporary road diversion • Resurfacing • At-grade roadwork | | | | V V V |
| Water Qua | ality Impact | | 1 | | · |
| Construct | ion Phase | | | | |
| S5.8 | Construction Runoff and Drainage: Use of sediment traps, wheel washing facilities for vehicles leaving the site, and adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow; Permanent drainage channels shall incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities shall be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| | PN 1/94; A sediment tank constructed from preformed individual cells of approximately 6 - 8 m³ capacity can be used for settling ground water prior to disposal; Oil interceptors shall be provided in the drainage system for the tunnels and regularly cleaned to prevent the | | | | V |
| | release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor shall have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain; Precautions and actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and during or after rainstorms. Particular attention shall be paid to the control of any silty surface runoff during storm events; | | | | V |
| | On-site drainage system shall be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps shall be installed in order to minimize the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge; All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge shall be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures shall be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage shall be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work is finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required; All fuel tanks and store areas shall be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a | | | | V |

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Minimum distances of 100 m shall be maintained between the storm water discharges and the existing or planned WSD flushing water intakes during construction phase | | | | V |
| S5.8 | Sewage from Construction Work Force: Construction work force sewage discharges on site shall be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage shall be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets shall be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor shall also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| S5.8 | Floating Debris and Refuse: Collection and removal of floating refuse shall be performed at regular intervals on a daily basis. The contractor shall be responsible for keeping the water within the site boundary and the neighbouring water free from rubbish. | Contractor | Works areas and adjacent water | Construction phase | V |
| S5.8 | Storm Water Discharges: Minimum distances of 100 m shall be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned WSD flushing water intakes. | Contractor | Works areas and adjacent water | Construction phase | V |
| Waste Ma | nagement Implications | | | | |
| Construct | ion Phase | | | | |
| S6.7.7 | Good Site Practices: Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include: Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site; | Contractor | Works areas | During planning and design stage, and construction stage | V |
| | Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal; Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; | | | | V V V |
| | Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; and | | | | V |
| S6.7.8 | A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites). Waste Reduction Measures: Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: Sort C&D waste from demolition of the existing waterfront structures to recover recyclable portions such as | Contractor | Works areas | During planning and design stage, and construction | V |
| | metals. • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. | | | stage | V |
| | Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force. | | | | V |
| | Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. Use of reusable non-timber formwork, such as in casting the tunnel box sections, to reduce the amount of C&D material. | | | | V |
| | Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for reuse and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. Proper starting and site prostings to minimise the natural for demand or contemporary of construction. | | | | V |
| | Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. | | | | V |

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| S6.7.10 | General Refuse: General refuse shall be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed was to call actor about the complete of the compact of the com | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| | waste collector shall be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. A collection area shall be provided where wastes can be stored and loaded prior to removal from site. An enclosed and covered area is recommended to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blow' light material. | | | | @ |
| S6.7.11 | Chemical Wastes: After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) shall be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals shall be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| S6.7.12 – S6.7.13 | C&D material shall be sorted on-site into inert C&D material (that is, public fill) and C&D waste. All the suitable inert C&D material shall be broken down to 250 mm in size for reuse as public fill in the WDII reclamation. C&D waste, such as wood, glass, plastic, steel and other metals shall be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed of to landfill. A suitable area shall be designated to facilitate the sorting process and a temporary | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| | stockpiling area will be required for the separated materials. In order to monitor the disposal of public fill and C&D waste at public fill reception facilities and landfills, respectively, and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system shall be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the Environmental Team undertaking the environmental monitoring and audit work. An Independent Environment Checker shall be responsible for auditing the results of the system. | | | | V |
| S6.7.14 | Bentonite Slurry: The disposal of residual used bentonite slurry shall follow the good practice guidelines stated in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" and listed as follows: If the disposal of a certain residual quantity cannot be avoided, the used slurry may be disposed of at the marine | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | N/A |
| | spoil grounds subject to obtaining a marine dumping licence from EPD on a case-by-case basis. If the used bentonite slurry is intended to be disposed of through the public drainage system, it shall be treated to the respective effluent standards applicable to foul sewers, storm drains or the receiving waters as set out in the Technical Memorandum of Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters. | | | | N/A |
| | • If the used bentonite slurry is intended to be disposed to public fill reception facilities, it will be mixed with dry soil on site before disposal. | | | | N/A |
| / | Accidental spillage To prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: Proper storage and handling facilities will be provided. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V |
| | All the tanks, containers, storage area will be bunded and the locations will be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains. The contractor will register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities will be stored with suitable labels and warnings. | | | | V |
| | Disposal of chemical wastes will be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | | | | V |
| Land Cont | amination Impact | | | | |
| S.7.1.1 | As no potential contaminative land uses were identified within the Study Area, adverse land contamination impacts associated with the construction and operation of the Project is not expected. As such, environmental protection and mitigation measures are considered not necessary and will not be covered in this EM&A Manual. | - | - | - | N/A |
| | | | | | |

Appendix C – Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Landscape | and Visual | | | | |
| Construction | on Phase | | | | |
| For DP1 – C | CWB (Within the Project Boundary) and DP2 - WDII Major Roads (Road P2) | | | | |
| Table 10.5 | CM1 - Topsoil, where identified, shall be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works, where practical. CM2 - Existing trees to be retained on site shall be carefully protected during construction. CM3 - Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted where practical. | Contractor | Works areas | Construction phase | V N/A N/A |
| | CM4 - Compensatory tree planting shall be provided to compensate for felled trees. CM5 - Control of night-time lighting. CM6 - Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with the surrounding setting. | | | | N/A V N/A |

Legend: V = implemented;

x = not implemented;

@ = partially implemented;

N/A = not applicable

APPENDIX D

Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Appendix D - Summary of Action and Limit Levels

Table 1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

| ID | Location Action Level | | Limit Level | |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| CMA5b | Pedestrian Plaza | 209.9 μg/m³ | 260 μg/m³ | |
| CMA6a | WDII PRE Site Office | 207.1 μg/m ³ | 260 μg/m³ | |

Table 2 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

| ID | Location | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| CMA5b | Pedestrian Plaza | 339.7 μg/m³ | 500 μg/m³ |
| CMA6a | WDII PRE Site Office | 333 μg/m³ | 500 μg/m³ |

Table 3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise (0700 – 1900 hrs of normal weekdays)

| ID | Location | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----|---|---|-------------|
| M1a | Footbridge at EX-Wanchai Harbour Road Sports Centre | When one documented complaint is received | 75 dB(A) |

Appendix D AECOM

APPENDIX E

Calibration Certificates of Equipments



RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

January 7, 2022

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: January 7, 2021 Roots

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 Ta: 294

Pa: 756.4

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch Calibration Model #:

odel #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 0843

mm Hg

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3970 | 4.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9930 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8790 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8420 | 8.7 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.6950 | 12.7 | 8.00 |

| | | Data Tabula | tion | | |
|--------|----------|---|--------|----------|--------------------------|
| Vstd | Qstd | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ | | Qa | $\sqrt{\Delta H(Ta/Pa)}$ |
| (m3) | (x-axis) | (y-axis) | Va | (x-axis) | (y-axis) |
| 1.0032 | 0.7181 | 1.4204 | 0.9944 | 0.7118 | 0.8817 |
| 1.0003 | 1.0073 | 2.0088 | 0.9915 | 0.9985 | 1.2469 |
| 0.9982 | 1.1356 | 2.2459 | 0.9894 | 1.1256 | 1.3941 |
| 0.9972 | 1.1843 | 2.3555 | 0.9885 | 1.1740 | 1.4621 |
| 0.9919 | 1.4272 | 2.8409 | 0.9832 | 1.4147 | 1.7634 |
| | m= | 1.99914 | | m= | 1.25183 |
| QSTD[| b= | -0.01375 | QA | b= | -0.00854 |
| - | r= | 0.99991 | | r= | 0.99991 |

| | Calculation | ns | | |
|-------|---|--------------|--|--|
| Vstd= | ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va= | ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) | |
| Qstd= | Vstd/∆Time | Qa= Va/ΔTime | | |
| | For subsequent flow rat | e calculatio | ns: | |
| Qstd= | $1/m \left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b $ | Qa= | $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$ | |

| | Standard Conditions |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| | Key |
| ΔH: calibrato | r manometer reading (in H2O) |
| | er manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: actual ab: | solute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: actual ba | rometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009

AECOM Asia Company Limited TSP High Volume Sampler Field Calibration Report

| Station WDII PRE Site Office | | | Operator: | Shum Ka | Shum Kam Yuen | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Cal. Date: | 15 Nov 2021 | | | Next Due Date: | 15 Jan 2022 | |
| Equipment No.: | A-001-79T | _ | | Serial No. | 338 | 84 |
| | | | Ambient | Condition | | |
| Temperatu | re. Ta (K) | 298.0 | Pressure, F | | | 761.6 |
| Tomporator | 10, 10 (1) | | | , , , | | |
| | | | Orifice Transfer S | tandard Informatio | n | |
| Serial | l No: | 843 | Slope, mc | 1.99914 | Interce | |
| Last Calibra | ation Date: | 7 Jan 2021 | | | = [DH x (Pa/760) x | |
| Next Calibra | ation Date: | 7 Jan 2022 | | Qstd = {[DH x (F | Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] | ^{1/2} -bc} / mc |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | of TSP Sampler | | |
| | | | Orfice | | HVS | S Flow Recorder |
| Resistance Plate No. | DH (orifice), in. of water | [DH x (Pa/7 | [DH x (Pa/760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | | Flow Recorder Reading (CFM) | Continuous Flow Recorde Reading IC (CFM) Y-axi |
| 18 | 6.9 | | 2.63 | 1.38 | 45.0 | 45.05 |
| 13 | 5.9 | | 2.43 | 1.29 | 40.0 | 40.04 |
| 10 | 4.5 | | 2.12 | 1.13 | 34.0 | 34.04 |
| 7 | 3.0 | | 1.73 | 0.94 | 25.0 | 25.03 |
| 5 | 2.4 | | 1.55 | 0.84 | 20.0 | 20.02 |
| By Linear Regression of Y on X Slope , mw = 45.4352 Correlation Coefficient* = 0.9980 *If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate. | | | | Intercept, bw = | -173 | 8786 |
| | | | Set Point | Calculation | | |
| From the TSP Fi | ield Calibration C | urve, take Qstd = | | | | |
| | ssion Equation, th | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | mv | v x Qstd + bw = IC | x [(Pa/760) x (298/ | Ta)] ^{1/2} | |
| | | O-14 (I) (I' | 700 / Da \ / Ta / 0 | 00 11/2- | | 41.14 |
| i neretore, Set P | roint; IC = (mw x | . Usta + DW) X [(| 760 / Pa) x (Ta / 2 | 90)] - | | 41.14 |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | |

AECOM Asia Company Limited TSP High Volume Sampler Field Calibration Report

| Station | tation Pedestrian Plaza | | Operator: | Choi W | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Cal. Date: | 15-Oct-21 | | | Next Due Date: | 15-Dec-21 | | - |
| Equipment No.: | A-001-70T | - | | Serial No. | 103 | 273 | _ |
| | | | Ambient | Condition | | | |
| Temperatu | re. Ta (K) | 300 | | Pa (mmHg) | | 756.6 | |
| | , , , , , , | | | (3) | | | |
| | | | Orifice Transfer S | tandard Informatio | on | | |
| Serial | l No: | 843 | Slope, mc | 1.99 | 9914 | Intercept, bc | -0.0137 |
| Last Calibra | ation Date: | 07-Jan-21 | | O-td b - | = [H x (Pa/760) x | (209/T-)1/2 | |
| Next Calibra | ation Date: | 07-Jan-22 | | mc x Qsta + bc = | = [H X (Pa//60) X | (298/1a)] | iX |
| | | | A 111 | | | | |
| | 5. 44 5. 1. 15 | | | of TSP Sampler | 111/ | C Flanc Danas dan | |
| Resistance | | 1 | Orfice | | HV | S Flow Recorder | |
| Plate No. | DH (orifice), in. of water | [DH x (Pa/7 | 760) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | Qstd (m³/min) X · axis | Flow Recorder Reading (CFM) | Continuous Flor Reading IC (CF | |
| 18 | 6.8 | | 2.59 | 1.30 | 44.0 | 43.7 | 5 |
| 13 | 5.6 | | 2.35 | 1.18 | 38.0 | 37.79 | 9 |
| 10 | 4.6 | | 2.13 | 1.07 | 33.0 | 32.83 | 2 |
| 7 | 3.5 | | 1.86 | 0.94 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 6 |
| 5 | 2.6 | 1 | 1.60 | 0.81 | 20.0 | 19.89 | 9 |
| Slope , mw = Correlation Coe | 48.2634 #fficient* = 0.990, | 0 | .9997 | Intercept, bw = | -19. | 2178 | - |
| ii Correlation Co | penicient < 0.990, | CHECK AND TECA | ibrate. | | | | |
| | | | Set Point | Calculation | | | |
| From the TSP Fig | eld Calibration Cu | urve, take Qstd = | : 1.30m³/min | | | | |
| From the Regres | sion Equation, th | e "Y" value acco | rding to | | | | |
| | | | | | 1/2 | | |
| | | mv | v x Qstd + bw = IC | x [(Pa/760) x (298/ | Ta)]" ² | | |
| Therefore Set Po | oint: IC = (mw x | Ostd + bw) x [(7 | 760 / Pa) x (Ta / 29 | 98)1 ^{1/2} = | | 43.77 | |
| | · (/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / | ασια στι / Λ <u>[</u> (· | | 71 | | 10111 | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| OC Reviewer | WIS CA | 1AN | Signature: | R | | Date: 15/ | 0/11 |

AECOM Asia Company Limited TSP High Volume Sampler Field Calibration Report

| Station | Pedestrian Plaza | 3 | | Operator: | Choi W | /ing Ho | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Cal. Date: | 14-Dec-21 | | | Next Due Date: | 14-Fe | eb-22 | _ |
| Equipment No.: | A-001-70T | | | Serial No. | 102 | 273 | - |
| | | | Ambient | t Condition | | - | |
| Temperatu | re, Ta (K) | 295 | Pressure, | Pa (mmHg) | | 755.9 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| -toxino wrana | | The state of the s | Orifice Transfer S | tandard Informatio | n | | |
| Serial | l No: | 843 | Slope, mc | 1.99 | 9914 | Intercept, bc | -0.0137 |
| Last Calibra | ation Date: | 07-Jan-21 | | O-44 ba | - III (D-/7(0) | (209/Ta)1/2 | |
| Next Calibra | ation Date: | 07-Jan-22 | | me x Qsta + be = | $= [H \times (Pa/760) \times$ | (298/1a)] | |
| | | | | | 20.000 | 5.000 | |
| | | | Calibration of | of TSP Sampler | | | |
| | | (| Orfice | | HV | S Flow Recorder | |
| Resistance Plate No. | DH (orifice), in. of water | [DH x (Pa/7 | 60) x (298/Ta)] ^{1/2} | Qstd (m³/min) X - axis | Flow Recorder Reading (CFM) | Continuous Flor Reading IC (CF | |
| 18 | 6.9 | | 2.63 | 1.32 | 44.0 | 44.1 | 0 |
| 13 | 5.6 | | 2.37 | 1.19 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9 |
| 10 | 4.7 | | 2.17 | 1.09 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 8 |
| 7 | 3.5 | | 1.88 | 0.94 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 6 |
| 5 | 2.6 | | 1.62 | 0.82 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 5 |
| Slope , mw = Correlation Coe | | _ | . 9990 ibrate. | Intercept, bw = | -15. | 7435 | - |
| | | | Set Point | t Calculation | | | |
| From the TSP Fi | eld Calibration C | urve, take Qstd = | 1.30m ³ /min | | | | |
| | | ne "Y" value acco | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | |
| | | mv | x Qstd + bw = IC | x [(Pa/760) x (298/ | Ta)] ^{1/2} | | |
| | | | | 1/2 | | | |
| Therefore, Set P | oint; IC = (mw x | Qstd + bw) x [(7 | 760 / Pa) x (Ta / 2 | 98)]''= | | 42.75 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | 50.55.55 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | $\overline{}$ | | | , , |
| QC Reviewer: _ | WS C | MAN | Signature: | 47 | | Date: 14/ | 12/2/ |

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

| Type: | | | Laser Dust Monitor | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | urer/Brand: | | SIBATA | | | _ | |
| Model No | ·. : | | LD-3 | | | | _ |
| Equipmer | | | A.005.09 | a | | | _ |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting: | 797 CPM | | | | - - |
| Operator: | | Mike She | k (MSKM) | | | _ | |
| Standard | Equimment | | | | | | |
| Equipmen | it: | | High Volu | ıme Samp | ler | | |
| Venue: | | | | | nt Secondary Scho | ol | - |
| Model No | .: | | TE-5170 | | 7 00110 | | - |
| Serial No. | | | 3154 | | | | - |
| | ration Date: | | 23-Apr-2: | 1 | | | - |
| | | | | | | | - |
| Calibratio | n Result | | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Adjustment Sca | le Setting (Befor | re Calibrati | ion): | | 797 | СРМ |
| | Adjustment Sca | | | | | 797 | CPM |
| 31 march 200 (1.750 (1. | | 3 (| | | | | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient | Condition | Concentration 1 | Total Count 2 | Count/ |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | 0-07/4 | Minute ③ |
| | | | 20 00 00 | | Y-axis | | X-axis |
| 1 | 30/04/21 | 9:30-10:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.04950 | 1980 | 33.00 |
| 2 | 30/04/21 | 10:30-11:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.05045 | 2030 | 33.83 |
| 3 | 30/04/21 | 11:30-12:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.05250 | 2120 | 35.33 |
| 4 | 30/04/21 | 12:30-13:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.05520 | 2310 | 38.50 |
| Note: | | data was measu | 100 | | Sampler | | |
| | = | was logged by L | | | | | |
| | ③ Count/minu | te was calculate | d by (Tota | l Count/60 | 0) | | |
| By Linear I | Regression of Y o | n X | | | | | |
| -, | Slope (K-factor) | | 0.0015 | | | | |
| | Correlation coef | | 0.9997 | | | | |
| Validity of | Calibration Reco | ord: | 30-A _l | nr-22 | | | |
| , 5. | | an 511) | | r | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | | 900 S 100 |
| QC | Reviewer: | YW Fung | S | Signature: | 4 | Date: | 3-May 7 |
| | • | | | | | 1 | |

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORD

| Type: | | | Laser Dust Monitor | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Manufact | turer/Brand: | | SIBATA | | | | | |
| Model No | D.: | | LD-3 | | | | _ | |
| Equipmer | nt No.: | | A.005.10 | a | | | _ | |
| Sensitivit | y Adjustment Sca | ale Setting: | 753 CPM | | | | - | |
| Operator: Mike Shek (MSKM) | | | | | *** | _ | | |
| Standard | Equimment | *** | | | | | | |
| Fauinmor | | | Hisb Val | | 1 | | | |
| Equipmer Venue: | ιι. | | | ıme Samp | | | - | |
| Model No | | | TE-5170 | overnmer | nt Secondary Scho | 01 | = | |
| Serial No. | | | 3154 | | | | - | |
| | ration Date: | | | 1 | | | - | |
| Last Callb | ration bate. | | 23-Apr-2 | T | | | - | |
| | | | | p gr | | | | |
| Calibratio | n Result | | | | | | | |
| Sensitivity | / Adjustment Sca | le Setting (Refo | re Calibrati | ion)· | | 753 | СРМ | |
| | Adjustment Sca | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | 6050 | | 753 | CPM | |
| | , | ne setting (rinter | Cambratio | ,. | | | - CFIVI | |
| Hour | Date | Time | Ambient | Condition | Concentration ① | Total Count 2 | Count/ | |
| | (dd/mm/yy) | | Temp (°C) | R.H.(%) | (mg/m3) | | Minute ③ | |
| | | | | | Y-axis | | X-axis | |
| 1 | 30/04/21 | 9:30-10:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.04950 | 1945 | 32.42 | |
| 2 | 30/04/21 | 10:30-11:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.05045 | 2010 | 33.50 | |
| 3 | 30/04/21 | 11:30-12:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.05250 | 2110 | 35.17 | |
| 4 | 30/04/21 | 12:30-13:30 | 28.0 | 78 | 0.05520 | 2310 | 38.50 | |
| Note: | | data was measu | | | Sampler | | | |
| | _ | was logged by L | | | | | | |
| | (3) Count/minu | ite was calculate | ed by (Total | Count/60 | 0) | | | |
| By Linear | Regression of Y o | nn Y | | | | | | |
| by Linear | Slope (K-factor) | | 0.0015 | | | | | |
| | Correlation coe | | 0.9994 | | | | | |
| | Correlation coe | mcient. | 0.5554 | | | | | |
| Validity of | Calibration Reco | ord: | 30-A | pr-22 | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

QC Reviewer: Signature: Date: 3-May 1



香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



2



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

21CA0309 02

Page

of

Item tested

Description: Manufacturer: Sound Level Meter (Type 1) B & K

,

Microphone B & K Pream B & K ZC0032

Type/Model No.: Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used: 2270 2644597 4950 2879980

29398

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

AECOM ASIA CO LTD

Address of Customer:

Request No.: Date of receipt:

09-Mar-2021

Date of test:

22-Mar-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Multi function sound calibrator

Model:

Serial No.

Expiry Date:

Traceable to:

Signal generator

B&K 4226 DS 360 2288444 33873 23-Aug-2021

CIGISMEC CEPREI

19-May-2021

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

55 ± 10 % 1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

 The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.

 The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:

Date:

24-Mar-2021

Company Chop:

STOS ** OLL

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



香港新界藝涌永基路 2 2 - 2 4號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

| A | r | |
|-------|--------|-----|
| OPTI | ficate | MO. |
| OCILI | IICate | 140 |

21CA0309 02

Page

2

2

1, Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor |
|-------------------------|--|---------|------------------------------------|
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 |
| | C | Pass | 1.0 2.1 |
| | Lin | Pass | 2.0 2.2 |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Frequency weightings | A | Pass | 0.3 |
| | С | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time weightings | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 |
| Peak response | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time weighting I | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Time averaging | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/103 at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 |
| Pulse range | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Leq | Pass | 0.4 |

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Uncertanity (dB) / Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 |

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95 %. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

 $| \sim \sim |$

End

Checked by:

Chan Yuk Yiu

Date:

Fung Chi Yip 22-Mar-2021

Date:

24-Mar-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

21CA0319 01-01

Page

1

2

Item tested

Description:

Sound Level Meter (Type 1) B & K Microphone B & K Preamp

of

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.:

2250-L

4950

B & K ZC0032 17190

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

2681366

2665582

1713

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

AECOM ASIA CO LTD

Address of Customer:

-

Request No.: Date of receipt:

19-Mar-2021

Date of test:

23-Mar-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Model:

Serial No.

Expiry Date:

Traceable to:

Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator B&K 4226 DS 360 2288444 33873 23-Aug-2021

CIGISMEC

19-May-2021

CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity: Air pressure: 55 ± 10 % 1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

 The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%.

 The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Feng

Jungi

Approved Signatory:

Date:

24-Mar-2021

Company Chop:

S ENGINECALLY STOS ** OLIV

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



綜合試驗有限公司

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

| Ce | rtifi | cate | No.: |
|----|-------|------|------|
| CC | | cate | 140 |

21CA0319 01-01

Page

2

1, **Electrical Tests**

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

| | | | Expanded | Coverage |
|-------------------------|--|---------|------------------|----------|
| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Uncertanity (dB) | Factor |
| | | | | |
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | С | Pass | 0.8 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 1.6 | |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Frequency weightings | Α | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | С | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weightings | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Peak response | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 | |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weighting I | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time averaging | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/104 at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Pulse range | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Leq | Pass | 0.4 | |
| | | | | |

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Expanded Uncertanity (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 | |

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Checked by: Fung Chi Yip

Chan Yuk Yiu

Date:

23-Mar-2021

Date: 24-Mar-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

C Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



香港新界藝涌水基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

21CA0319 01-02

Page:

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Manufacturer:

MVI

Type/Model No.:

CAL21

Serial/Equipment No.:

34113610(2011) / N.004.11

Adaptors used:

Yes (BAC21)

Item submitted by

Curstomer:

AECOM ASIA CO., LTD.

Address of Customer:

-

Request No.:

_

Date of receipt:

-19-Mar-2021

Date of test:

23-Mar-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

| Description: Lab standard microphone Preamplifier Measuring amplifier Signal generator Digital multi-meter Audio analyzer | Model: B&K 4180 B&K 2673 B&K 2610 DS 360 34401A 8903B | Serial No. 2412857 2743150 2346941 33873 US36087050 GB41300350 | Expiry Date: 11-May-2021 03-Jun-2021 03-Jun-2021 19-May-2021 19-May-2021 18-May-2021 | Traceable to: SCL CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Universal counter | 8903B | GB41300350 | 18-May-2021 | CEPREI |
| | 53132A | MY40003662 | 18-May-2021 | CEPREI |

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity:

55 ± 10 %

Air pressure:

1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3. The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Feng Junqi

Approved Signatory:

Date:

24-Mar-2021

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.D/01/03/2007



香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

21CA0319 01-02

Page:

of

2

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

| | (Output level in dB re 20 µPa) |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Estimated Expanded |
| 1 | Uncertainty |

| Frequency | Output Sound Pressure | Measured Output | Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Shown | Level Setting | Sound Pressure Level | |
| Hz | dB | dB | |
| 1000 | 94.00 | 93.98 | 0.10 |

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.010 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

3, **Actual Output Frequency**

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1002.6 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, **Total Noise and Distortion**

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 1.8 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

Date:

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

End

Calibrated by:

Checked by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip

23-Mar-2021

24-Mar-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005



香港新界葵涌水基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

21CA0401 02

Page:

0

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Manufacturer:

B & K

Type/Model No.:

4231 3006428

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

_

Item submitted by

Curstomer:

AECOM

Address of Customer:

100

Request No.: Date of receipt:

01-Apr-2021

Date of test:

05-Apr-2021

Reference equipment used in the calibration

| Description: Lab standard microphone Preamplifier Measuring amplifier Signal generator Digital multi-meter Audio analyzer Universal counter | Model: B&K 4180 B&K 2673 B&K 2610 DS 360 34401A 8903B 53132A | Serial No. 2412857 2743150 2346941 33873 US36087050 GB41300350 MY40003662 | Expiry Date: 11-May-2021 03-Jun-2021 03-Jun-2021 19-May-2021 19-May-2021 18-May-2021 | Traceable to: SCL CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI CEPREI |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Universal counter | 53132A | MY40003662 | 18-May-2021 | CEPREI |

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity: Air pressure: 55 ± 10 % 1010 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Date:

07-Apr-2021

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.D/01/03/2007



香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號好爸爸創科大廈 Good Ba Ba Hitech Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



2

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

21CA0401 02

Page:

1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

> The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

> > (Output level in dB so 30 Da)

| Frequency | Output Sound Pressure | Measured Output | Estimated Expanded |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Shown | Level Setting | Sound Pressure Level | Uncertainty |
| Hz | dB | dB | dB |
| 1000 | 94.00 | 94.23 | 0.10 |

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.016 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

3, **Actual Output Frequency**

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 999.95 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, **Total Noise and Distortion**

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.3 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Fnd

Calibrated by:

Checked by:

Date:

05-Apr-2021

Date:

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005

APPENDIX F

EM&A Monitoring Schedules

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for December 2021

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | 1-Dec | 2-Dec | 3-Dec | 4-Dec |
| | | | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP |
| 5-Dec | 6-Dec | 7-Dec | 8-Dec | 9-Dec | 10-Dec | 11-Dec |
| | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP |
| 12-Dec | 13-Dec | 14-Dec | 15-Dec | 16-Dec | 17-Dec | 18-Dec |
| | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | |
| 19-Dec | 20-Dec | 21-Dec | 22-Dec | 23-Dec | 24-Dec | 25-Dec |
| | | 24-hour TSP Noise | 1-hour TSP | | 24-hour TSP | |
| 26-Dec | 27-Dec | 28-Dec | 29-Dec | 30-Dec | 31-Dec | |
| | change due to unferescent | 1-hour TSP | | 24-hour TSP Noise | | |

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza
CMA6a WDII PRE site office

Monitoring Frequency

24-hr TSP Once every 6 days

1-hr TSP 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints)

Noise Monitoring Station

M1a Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for January 2022

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | 1-Jan |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2-Jan | 3-Jan | 4-Jan | 5-Jan | 6-Jan | 7-Jan | 8-Jan |
| | | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | Noise | |
| 9-Jan | 10-Jan | 11-Jan | 12-Jan | 13-Jan | 14-Jan | 15-Jan |
| | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | |
| 16-Jan | 17-Jan | 18-Jan | 19-Jan | 20-Jan | 21-Jan | 22-Jan |
| | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP |
| 23-Jan | 24-Jan | 25-Jan | 26-Jan | 27-Jan | 28-Jan | 29-Jan |
| | 1-hour TSP | | Noise | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP |
| 30-Jan | 31-Jan | | | | | |
| | 24-hour TSP Noise | | | | | |

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza
CMA6a WDII PRE site office

Noise Monitoring Station

M1a Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Monitoring Frequency

24-hr TSP Once every 6 days

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

1-hr TSP 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints)

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for February 2022

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|------------|---------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | 1-Feb | 2-Feb | 3-Feb | 4-Feb | 5-Feb |
| | | | | | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP |
| 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb | 12-Feb |
| | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP |
| 13-Feb | 14-Feb | 15-Feb | 16-Feb | 17-Feb | 18-Feb | 19-Feb |
| | | Noise | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | |
| 20-Feb | 21-Feb | 22-Feb | 23-Feb | 24-Feb | 25-Feb | 26-Feb |
| | | | 24-hour TSP Noise | 1-hour TSP | | |
| 27-Feb | 28-Feb | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza
CMA6a WDII PRE site office

Monitoring Frequency

24-hr TSP Once every 6 days

1-hr TSP 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints)

Noise Monitoring Station

M1a Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

Shatin to Central Link 1123 - CEDD Entrusted Work Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3 Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule for March 2022

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | 1-Mar | 2-Mar | 3-Mar | 4-Mar | 5-Mar |
| | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | |
| 6-Mar | 7-Mar | 8-Mar | 9-Mar | 10-Mar | 11-Mar | 12-Mar |
| | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP |
| 13-Mar | 14-Mar | 15-Mar | 16-Mar | 17-Mar | 18-Mar | 19-Mar |
| | 1-hour TSP | Noise | | | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP |
| 20-Mar | 21-Mar | 22-Mar | 23-Mar | 24-Mar | 25-Mar | 26-Mar |
| | | | | 24-hour TSP Noise | 1-hour TSP | |
| 27-Mar | 28-Mar | 29-Mar | 30-Mar | 31-Mar | | |
| | | | 24-hour TSP Noise | 1-hour TSP | | |

The schedule is subject to change due to unforeseeable circumstances (e.g. adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

CMA5b Pedestrian Plaza
CMA6a WDII PRE site office

Monitoring Frequency

24-hr TSP Once every 6 days

1-hr TSP 3 times every 6 days (as required in of complaints)

Noise Monitoring Station

M1a Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sports Centre

Monitoring Frequency

Once per week

APPENDIX G

Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix G Air Quality Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA5b (Pedestrian Plaza)


| | Start | | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour |
|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Time | Weather | Conc. | Conc. | Conc. |
| Date | (hh:mm) | Condition | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) |
| 6-Dec-21 | 14:25 | Sunny | 66.9 | 68.4 | 69.1 |
| 11-Dec-21 | 13:45 | Fine | 64.5 | 63.9 | 64.8 |
| 17-Dec-21 | 10:30 | Sunny | 68.1 | 66.9 | 69.8 |
| 22-Dec-21 | 14:10 | Sunny | 65.4 | 66.4 | 64.3 |
| 28-Dec-21 | 11:10 | Fine | 65.1 | 63.7 | 64.4 |
| | | | | Average | 66.1 |
| | | | | Min | 63.7 |
| | | | | Max | 69.8 |

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA6a (WDII PRE Site Office)

| 1 11041 101 111011110 | mig recounts at | Otation OniAoa (WDI | i i it a ditto di iido, | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | Start | | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour |
| | Time | Weather | Conc. | Conc. | Conc. |
| Date | (hh:mm) | Condition | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) |
| 6-Dec-21 | 14:30 | Sunny | 62.6 | 63.9 | 64.2 |
| 11-Dec-21 | 14:00 | Fine | 61.7 | 62.7 | 61.3 |
| 17-Dec-21 | 10:45 | Sunny | 65.9 | 66.1 | 64.2 |
| 22-Dec-21 | 14:00 | Sunny | 61.1 | 62.9 | 61.8 |
| 28-Dec-21 | 11:00 | Fine | 63.0 | 62.5 | 63.5 |
| | | | | Average | 63.2 |
| | | | | Min | 61.1 |
| | | | | | |

Max

66.1



Shatin Central Link Contract No. 1123 Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3



Date: January 2022 Appendix G


Appendix G Air Quality Monitoring Results


24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA5b (Pedestrain Plaza)

| | Start | End | | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Flow Rat | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elaps | e Time | Sampling | Conc. |
|-----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|---------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | Condition | Temp. (°C) | Pressure (hPa) | Initial | Final | (m³/min) | (m³) | Initial | Final | weight(g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | (µg/m³) |
| 4-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 5-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Sunny | 18.1 | 1022.2 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.6800 | 2.8059 | 0.1259 | 27719.01 | 27743.01 | 24.00 | 65.5 |
| 10-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 11-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Fine | 20.9 | 1020.7 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7920 | 2.9300 | 0.1380 | 27743.01 | 27767.01 | 24.00 | 71.8 |
| 16-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 17-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Sunny | 23.2 | 1015.8 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.6801 | 2.7938 | 0.1137 | 27767.01 | 27791.01 | 24.00 | 59.2 |
| 21-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 22-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Cloudy | 17.3 | 1013.5 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.7924 | 2.8647 | 0.0723 | 27791.01 | 27815.01 | 24.00 | 37.6 |
| 24-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 25-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Fine | 19.9 | 1017.2 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.8135 | 2.9793 | 0.1658 | 27815.01 | 27839.01 | 24.00 | 86.3 |
| 30-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 31-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Sunny | 18.1 | 1024.6 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1921.0 | 2.8163 | 2.9805 | 0.1642 | 27839.01 | 27863.01 | 24.00 | 85.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 67.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Minimum | 37.6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Maximum | 86.3 |

24-hour TSP Monitoring Results at Station CMA6a (WDII PRE site office)

| 8 | Start | End | | Weather | Air | Atmospheric | Flow Rat | e (m³/min.) | Av. flow | Total vol. | Filter W | eight (g) | Particulate | Elaps | e Time | Sampling | Conc. |
|-----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|---------|
| Date | Time | Date | Time | Condition | Temp. (°C) | Pressure (hPa) | Initial | Final | (m³/min) | (m³) | Initial | Final | weight(g) | Initial | Final | Time(hrs.) | (µg/m³) |
| 4-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 5-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Sunny | 18.1 | 1022.2 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1916.6 | 2.6774 | 2.7686 | 0.0912 | 10464.37 | 10488.37 | 24.00 | 47.6 |
| 10-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 11-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Fine | 20.9 | 1020.7 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1916.6 | 2.8042 | 2.8873 | 0.0831 | 10488.37 | 10512.37 | 24.00 | 43.4 |
| 16-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 17-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Sunny | 23.2 | 1015.8 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1916.6 | 2.6746 | 2.7371 | 0.0625 | 10512.37 | 10536.37 | 24.00 | 32.6 |
| 21-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 22-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Cloudy | 17.3 | 1013.5 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1916.6 | 2.7864 | 2.8252 | 0.0388 | 10536.37 | 10560.37 | 24.00 | 20.2 |
| 24-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 25-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Fine | 19.9 | 1017.2 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1916.6 | 2.7975 | 2.9257 | 0.1282 | 10560.37 | 10584.37 | 24.00 | 66.9 |
| 30-Dec-21 | 0:00 | 31-Dec-21 | 0:00 | Sunny | 18.1 | 1024.6 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1916.6 | 2.8080 | 2.9422 | 0.1342 | 10584.37 | 10608.37 | 24.00 | 70.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 46.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Minimum | 20.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Maximum | 70.0 |






Shatin Central Link Contract No. 1123
Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3



Graphical Presentation of Impact 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Date: January 2022 Appendix G


Wind Direction:







Wind Direction:






Wind Direction:






Wind Direction:






Wind Direction:





Wind Direction:





APPENDIX H


Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations

Appendix H Regular Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Daytime Noise Monitoring Results at Station M1a (Footbridge for Ex-Harbour Road Sport Centre)

| Date | Weather | Nois | e Level fo | 30-min, d | IB(A) ⁺ | Baseline Corrected | Baseline Noise | Limit Level, | Exceedance |
|-----------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|--------------------|---|----------------|--------------|------------|
| Date | Condition | Time | L90 | L10 | Leq | Level, dB(A) | Level, dB(A) | dB(A) | (Y/N) |
| 1-Dec-21 | Sunny | 11:15 | 64.0 | 68.2 | 67.7 | <baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<> | 73.0 | 75 | N |
| 7-Dec-21 | Fine | 11:20 | 64.8 | 70.2 | 68.6 | <baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<> | 73.0 | 75 | N |
| 13-Dec-21 | Sunny | 11:20 | 66.1 | 69.6 | 68.3 | <baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<> | 73.0 | 75 | N |
| 21-Dec-21 | Cloudy | 11:25 | 64.9 | 69.1 | 67.7 | <baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<> | 73.0 | 75 | N |
| 30-Dec-21 | Sunny | 11:30 | 64.0 | 68.6 | 67.9 | <baseline< td=""><td>73.0</td><td>75</td><td>N</td></baseline<> | 73.0 | 75 | N |

⁺ - Façade measurement



Shatin Central Link Contract No. 1123 Entrusted Work for Road P2 & other roads and Slip Road 3

Date: January 2022 Appendix H

APPENDIX I

Event Action Plan

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring

| E) (E) IT | | ACT | TION | |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| ACTION LEVEL | | | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Notify Contractor. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) |

| Appendix I | Event Action Plan | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| EVENIT | ACTION | | | | | | | | | |
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor | | | | | | |
| LIMIT LEVEL | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly Implemented. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | | | | | | |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taker within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | | | | | | |

Appendix I Event Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring

| EVENT | ACTION | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor | | | | |
| Exceedance of Action Level | Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; Implement noise mitigation proposals. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | | | | |
| Exceedance of Limit Level | Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | | | | |

APPENDIX J

Cumulative Statistics of Exceedances, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Appendix J

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

| | Date Received | Subject | Status | Total no. received in this month | Total no. received since project commencement |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|--|---|
| Environmental complaints | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of summons | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Successful Prosecutions | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |

APPENDIX K

Waste Flow Table

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE

Contract No.:MTR SCL 1123 - Exhibition Station and Western Approach Tunnel (Road P2 Works)

Reporting Month: December 2021

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2021

| | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | Actual Quantities of Marine Dumping Monthly | | | |
|-----------|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Month | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in Other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper / Cardboard Packaging | | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse | Type 1 | Type 2 |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) |
| Jan | 0.958 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.958 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Feb | 0.598 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.598 | 0.051 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Mar | 0.700 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.700 | 0.097 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Apr | 0.741 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.741 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| May | 0.176 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.176 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Jun | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sub-total | 3.243 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.243 | 0.148 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| July | 0.315 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.315 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| August | 0.525 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.525 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| September | 0.112 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.112 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| October | 0.272 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.272 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| November | 0.196 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.196 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| December | 0.969 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.969 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 5.632 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.632 | 0.148 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Comments:

- Assumption: The densities of Rock, Soil, Mixed Rock and Soil, and Regular Spoil are 2.0 ton/m³; the density of general refuse is 1.0 ton/m³; the density of waste oil is 1.0 kg/L.
- 2) The cut-off date of waste amount in December is 31/12/2021 for Public Fill Facilities and Landfill.
- 3) The amounts of waste in December is 0 ton for Landfill and 1938.36 tons for Public Fill.
- 4) The amount of import fill in December is 0 ton, for cut-off date as 31/12/2021.
- 5) The amount of metal waste generated in December is 0 kg, for cut-off date as 31/12/2021.
- 6) The amount of paper waste generated in December is 0 kg, for cut-off date as 31/12/2021.
- 7) The amount of plastic waste generated in December is 0 kg, for cut-off date as 31/12/2021.