



**Carlyle International Limited**

**Infrastructural Works for Cyberport  
Development at Telegraph Bay  
(EP No. EP-040/1999/C)**

**11<sup>th</sup> Quarterly EM&A Report  
(21 June 2002 - 20 September 2002)  
(Rev. 0)**

September 2002

Checked By	
Approved By	
	(Project Director)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

MEMCL accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

**MAUNSELL ENVIRONMENTAL  
MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS LTD**  
Room 1213-1219, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2  
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong  
Tel: (852) 2893 1551 Fax: (852) 2891 0305  
Email: [mem@maunsell.com.hk](mailto:mem@maunsell.com.hk)

**Maunsell**

# ATKINS

Atkins China Ltd  
15th Floor Miramar Tower  
132 Nathan Road  
Tsim Sha Tsui  
Kowloon Hong Kong

Telephone (852) 2972 1000  
Fax (852) 2890 6343

acl@atkins-china.com.hk  
www.atkins.com.hk  
www.atkinsglobal.com

---

<b>To:</b> Anna Chung, MEMCL	<b>From:</b> Bill Douglas
<b>Fax:</b> 2891 0305	<b>Pages:</b> 1
<b>Phone:</b>	<b>Date:</b> 17 October 2002
<b>Re:</b> 11 <sup>th</sup> Quarterly Report	<b>Email:</b> bill@magneticfox.com

---

Urgent     For Review     Please Comment     Please Reply     Please Recycle

---

**Message:**

Anna

**Infrastructural Works for Cyberport Development at Telegraph Bay**  
**Environmental Permit EP-040/1999/C**  
**11<sup>th</sup> Quarterly EM and A Report**

There are no comments on the above captioned report.

Regards

Bill

cc.    ACL - Tammy Chan (to file)  
      ACL - Mr C K Chan

**This fax is confidential and copyright protected. If you are not the addressee, please notify us immediately: any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited.**

# CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
BACKGROUND .....	1
<b>2. PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS .....</b>	<b>2</b>
PROJECT ORGANISATION AND CONTACTS OF KEY MANAGEMENT.....	2
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME AND SYNOPSIS OF WORK.....	2
<b>3. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
MONITORING REQUIREMENTS .....	3
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS .....	3
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES.....	6
<b>4. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTAION SCHEDULE (EMIS).....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5. STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSING AND PERMITTING.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6. MONITORING RESULTS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
AIR QUALITY .....	7
NOISE .....	7
BASELINE SEWAGE MONITORING .....	8
WEATHER AND OTHER INFLUENCING FACTORS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD .....	8
<b>7. AUDIT RESULTS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE (EXCEEDANCES) OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS (ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS) .....	8
REVIEW OF THE REASONS FOR AND THE IMPLICATIONS OF NON-COMPLIANCE (EXCEEDANCE) .....	8
SOLID AND LIQUID WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS .....	9
<b>8. COMPLAINTS AND SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE EVENT OF NON-COMPLIANCE.....</b>	<b>9</b>
COMPLAINTS .....	9
SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE EVENT OF NON-COMPLIANCE .....	9
<b>9. NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD .....</b>	<b>9</b>
NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS .....	9
<b>10. COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES, AND APPENDICES

### TABLES:

TABLE 3.1	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MONITORING PROGRAMMEN (FOR THE PERIOD 21 JULY - 1 SEPTEMBER 2002)
TABLE 3.2	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MONITORING PROGRAMME (SINCE 2 SEPTEMBER 2002)
TABLE 3.3	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING
TABLE 3.4	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING
TABLE 3.5	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3.6	RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES FOR IMPACTS ON AIR AND NOISE
TABLE 7.1	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL EXCEEDANCE FOR EP-040/1999/C

### FIGURES:

Figure 1a	Graphical Presentation of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results - Results for Location A1 & A2
Figure 1b	Graphical Presentation of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results - Results for Location A3 & A4 (From June to 1 September 2002)
Figure 1c	Graphical Presentation of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results - Results for Location A3 & A4 (From 2 to 20 September 2002)
Figure 2a	Graphical Presentation of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results (Results for Location A1 & A2)
Figure 2b	Graphical Presentation of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results (Results for Location A3 & A4)
Figure 3a	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Location NSR1, NSR2, NSR3 and NSR4 (From June to 1 September 2002)
Figure 3b	Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results for Location NSR1, NSR2, NSR3 and NSR4 (From 2 to 20 September 2002)

## **APPENDICES:**

Appendix A1	Project Organisation Chart
Appendix A2	Contact Details of the Project Organisation
Appendix B1	Construction Programme
Appendix B2	Construction Site Map
Appendix C1	Description and Detail Locations of the Sensitive Receivers for Air Quality
Appendix C2	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Appendix C3	Description and Detail Locations of the Sensitive Receivers for Noise
Appendix C4	Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations
Appendix D	Summary of Environmental Monitoring Implementation Schedule
Appendix E	1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Data
Appendix F	Noise Monitoring Data
Appendix G	Locations of Baseline Sewage Monitoring
Appendix H1	Calibration Certificates for the Dust Monitoring Equipment
Appendix H2	Calibration Certificates for the Sound Level Meters
Appendix I1	Summary of Complaints
Appendix I2	Statistical Summary of Complaints
Appendix J	Summary of Summons and Prosecutions

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This is the eleventh Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Quarterly Summary Report prepared by Maunsell Environmental Management Consultants Limited (MEMCL) for the "Infrastructural Works for Cyberport Development at Telegraph Bay (excluding advance works)" (EP No. EP-040/1999/C). This summary report presents EM&A work performed in the period between 21 June 2002 and 20 September 2002.

The concrete batching plant operation ceased in the reporting quarter and was demolished. Therefore, the condition of 3.18 of the Environmental Permit EP-040/1999/C was not applied. The real-time monitoring was ceased on 1 September 2002. The environmental monitoring and audit programme was in accordance with the approved "EM&A Manual for Infrastructural Works for the Proposed Development at Telegraph Bay (EM&A Manual 032)". The monitoring programme commenced on 2 September 2002 and the monitoring data after 1 September 2002 was not uploaded to the web site.

### **Air Quality**

Both of the 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and 24-hour TSP monitoring were conducted at four designated locations. No exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

### **Noise**

Construction noise was monitored at four designated locations. There was 1 limit level exceedance recorded on 23 June 2002 and was possibly due to domestic noise from the nearby residential area. Besides, a few exceedances were recorded on 10 and 18 August 2002. They were also considered invalid and were due to construction activities of road improvement works near Pui Ying Secondary School on 10 August 2002 and heavy rain on 18 August 2002.

### **Water**

Baseline sewage outfall monitoring for wet season was conducted on 6 – 8 June and 15 – 17 June 2002 respectively. The baseline report would be submitted in separate.

### **Exceedance**

In the event of monitoring parameter exceeded the Limit/Action Level, interim reports were issued to the relevant parties for action and information. Mitigation measures were recommended to the Contractor. Follow-up actions were executed. Event/Action Plans for Air Quality and Noise were followed when valid exceedances were recorded.

### **Complaint / Non-compliance**

No complaint was received in the reporting quarter under this Environmental Permit. In total, fifteen environmental complaints related to this permit have been received since the commencement of the project.

### **Summons / Prosecution**

No prosecution was recorded in the reporting quarter under this Environmental Permit. Up to date, one prosecution related to this permit was received since the commencement of the project.

### **Conclusion**

The environmental monitoring work during the reporting period was effective. The monitoring data generated results correlated to the construction activities undertaken on site. Data with the necessary statistically power to categorically identify or confirm the absence of impact attributable to the works were generated.