Highways Department

Contract No. HY/2007/14 Widening of Tuen Mun Road at **Tsing Tin Interchange**

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report – October 2009

Revision 2

Certified by Environmental Team Leader Coleman Ng Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd

Verified by Independent Environmental Checker Antony Wong Hyder Consulting Limited

Contents

Execu	tive Sumn	nary	Page 1
1	Environ	mental Status	3
	1.1	Construction Programme	3
	1.2	Work Undertaken During the Month	3
	1.3	Project Area, Sensitive Receivers and Environmental Monitoring Locations	4
	1.4	Stataus of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	6
2	Impleme	entation Status	7
3	Air Moni	itoring	8
	3.1	Air Monitoring Requirements	8
	3.2	Air Monitoring Methodology	8
	3.3	Monitoring Results and Observations	10
4	Noise M	onitoring	11
	4.1	Noise Monitoring Requirements	11
	4.2	Noise Monitoring Methodology	11
	4.3	Monitoring Results and Observations	12
5	Landsca	ape and Visual Monitoring	14
	5.1	Landscape and Visual Impact Monitoring Requirements	14
	5.2	Audit Results	14
	5.3	Implementation Status of Consultation Phase Landscape and Visual Mitigati Measures	on 17
	5.4	Recommendations, Corrective Actions and Outstanding Issues	17
6	Waste D	Disposal	18
7	Environ	mental Performance	19
	7.1	Environmental Site Inspection	19
	7.2	Non-Compliance Record	19
	7.3	Complaint Record	19
	7.4	Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution	19
	7.5	Review of Reasons of Non-Compliance	19
8	Future k	Key Issues	20
	8.1	Key Issues for the Coming Month	20
	8.2	Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	20
	8.3	Effectiveness and Efficiency of Mitigation Measures	20
	8.4	Environmental Monitoring Program for the Forth-coming Month	20
9	Conclus	ions and Recommendations	21
	9.1	Conclusions	21
	9.2	Recommendations	21

10 Reference 21

Fig	ur	'es
-----	----	-----

Figure 1-1	Locations	of the	major	construction	activities

- Figure 1-2 Site location plan
- Figure 1-3 Location of environmental sensitive receivers and monitoring stations

Tables

- Table 1-2 Contacts of key environmental staff
- Table 1-3 Summary of impact air quality and noise monitoring stations
- Table 1-4 Summary of environmental licensing and permit status
- Table 3-1 TSP monitoring parameters and frequency
- Table 3-2 Action and Limit Levels for air quality
- Table 3-3 Air quality equipment list for the air quality monitoring
- Table 3-4 Summary of impact air quality monitoring results for October 2009
- Table 4-1 Construction noise Monitoring parameters and frequency
- Table 4-2 Action and Limit levels for construction noise
- Table 4-3 Noise equipment list for noise monitoring
- Table 4-4 Summary of impact noise monitoring in the reporting month
- Table 5-1 Status of tree transplantation
- Table 5-2 Status of forty trees to be affected during the construction stage
- Table 6-1 Estimated amounts of waste generated in reporting month
- Table 6-2 Actual amounts of waste generated in previous month (September 2009)
- Table 7-1 Key findings of weekly environmental site audit in the reporting month
- Table 8-1 Tentative programme of construction works

Appendices

Appendix A

Construction Programme

Appendix B

Calibration Spreadsheets of the High Volume Samplers and Calibration Certificate of Calibration Kit

Appendix C

Impact Air Monitoring Results

Appendix D

Wind Data

Appendix E

Calibration Certificate of Sound Level Meter and Acoustical Calibrator

Appendix F

Impact Noise Monitoring Results

Appendix G

Updated Summary of Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Appendix H

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Appendix I

Environmental Monitoring Programme for Coming Months

Executive Summary

This is the thirteenth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report prepared by Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited (Arup), the designated Environmental Team (ET), for the Project "Widening of Tuen Mun Road at Tsing Tin Interchange". This report presents the results of EM&A works conducted in the month of October 2009.

In the reporting month, the following activities took place for the Project:

- Site clearance:
- Construction of noise barrier;
- Fabrication of sign gantry;
- Road pavement and drainage for widen lane;
- Drainage & road re-construction; and
- Re-compaction of fill slope.

Monitoring of 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and noise during non-restricted hours was performed and the results were checked and reviewed. Site audits were conducted on weekly basis. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event and Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were checked.

Impact monitoring was carried out at three sensitive receivers including AM1(a), AM3(a) and TLLF(a) during the reporting month.

Environmental Monitoring Works – Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

Air Quality

All measured 1-hour and 24-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below the Action and Limit (AL) Levels.

Noise

No exceedance for noise measurement during non-restricted hours was recorded and no night works were carried out during the reporting month.

Landscape and Visual Audit

In accordance with the Clause 2.7 of the EP, seven numbers of trees within the site shall be transplanted to their designed transplanted locations prior to the operational phase of the Project.

Four (tree no. 1, 16, 35 and 169) out of the seven trees were fell prior to the commencement of the construction due to typhoon and tree no. 63 was dead after transplanting in March 2009. Interim transplanting of one tree (tree no. 411) was completed and one tree (tree no. 69) was relocated to new transplanting location in April 2009.

In accordance with Tree Assessment Schedule in Appendix 10.1 of the EIA Report, forty-seven trees of LR1 and LR2, including the seven trees mentioned above, would be affected as a result of the construction works. In the reporting period, these forty trees have been removed. Twenty-eight of them were already felled. In order to minimize the quantities of felled trees, the remaining twelve trees were transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road as agreed by LCSD and ER. No significant change on their status was noted during the reporting period.

Thirty-five numbers of trees, which shall be retained in the site according to the Tree Assessment Schedule in Appendix 10.1 of the EIA Report, were damaged due to the typhoons prior to the commencement of the construction.

The design, implementation and maintenance of landscape and mitigation measures, listed in Table 8.2 of the EM&A manual, were checked during the monthly site audit. No non-compliance has been triggered. .

Waste Disposal

Inert C&D materials with estimated amount of 490 m³ were generated in the reporting month and were disposed of at public fill. It was advised from the Contractor that determination of the actual amount of waste generation is still in progress so the relevant information will be presented on the next EM&A report.

Environmental Licensing and Permitting

Permits or licenses granted to the Project included the Environmental Permit of the Project (EP-302/2008/B), Discharge License under WPCO (EP760/425/013454/I), Construction Noise Permit under NCO (GW-RW0379-09), Chemical Waste Producer Registration under WDO (WPN5111-425-C1186-09).

Environmental Auditing

A total of 4 environmental site audits were conducted on a weekly basis in October 2009. No non-conformance to the environmental requirements was identified during the reporting period.

Complaint Log

No complaints in relation to the environmental issues was made against the Project in the reporting period.

Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No summonses or prosecutions related to the environmental issues was made against the Project in the reporting period.

Reporting Changes

There were no reporting changes in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Key environmental issues to be considered in the forth-coming month include dust generation from activities on-site, noise impact from operating equipment and night works activities, uncontrolled water discharge into nearby water body, storage and using of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site, disposal of construction waste and tree maintenance. Attention shall be paid to environmental issues identified in EIA report and weekly site audit. Mitigation measures recommended in EIA report and Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule shall be fully implemented.

1 Environmental Status

1.1 Construction Programme

An up-to-date 3-month rolling construction programme to December 2009 is attached in **Appendix A**.

The construction activities were carried out together with all necessary mitigation measures stipulated in the EIA report.

1.2 Work Undertaken During the Month

The major construction activities carried out by the Contractor in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 1-1**. Locations of the construction activities are illustrated in **Figure 1-1**. Contacts of key environmental staff of the Project are shown in **Table 1-2**.

 Table 1-1
 Major construction activities in October 2009

Construction Activities	Location	Daily Excavation Rate
Site clearance	Whole Site Area	Range: 0 to 20 m ³
Construction of noise barrier	Whole Site Area	
Fabrication of sign gantry	Whole Site Area	
Road pavement and drainage for widen lane	Whole Site Area	
Drainage & road re-construction	Whole Site Area	
Re-compaction of fill slope	Whole Site Area	Not applicable





Table 1-2 Contacts of key environmental staff

Organization	Name	Telephone
Environmental Protection Department		
Environmental Protection Officer (Strategic Assessment)22	Thomas To	2835 1103
Engineer's Representative		
Highways Department		
Senior Engineer	C.W. Ng	2762 4067
Independent Environmental Checker		
Hyder Consulting Ltd		
Principle Environmental Consultant	Antony Wong	2911 2744
Environmental Team		
Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Ltd		
Environmental Team Leader	Coleman Ng	2268 3097
Contractor		
China Harbour Engineering Company Limited		
Project Manager	Chan Man	9464 1468
Site Agent	Gordon Ng	9203 7503
Project Engineer	Jeffery Wong	6070 0143
Safety and Environmental Officer	Brian Cheung	5168 7867
Environmental Supervisor	W.P. Wong	9876 2132

1.3 Project Area, Sensitive Receivers and Environmental Monitoring Locations

The project area and the location of the sensitive receivers and monitoring stations are shown in **Figures 1-2 and 1-3** respectively, while **Table 1-3** shows the detail correspondences of monitoring stations.






 Table 1-3
 Summary of impact air quality and noise monitoring stations

ID	Premise	Address	Monitoring Location Detail
Air			
AM1(a)	Kwong Choi Market	2 Tsing Min Path	Roof-top of the market office at the market garden
AM3(a)	The Church of Christ in China Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial Secondary School	10 San Wo Lane	Ground-floor garden at the corner of the school
Noise			
TLLF(a)	The Church of Christ in China Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial Secondary School	10 San Wo Lane	Car park of the school, facing to the construction area.

1.4 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

All permits/licences inspected in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 1-4**. They are all properly kept by the contactor at their site office.

 Table 1-4
 Summary of environmental licensing and permit status

Types of Permits / Licenses	Reference No.	Valid from	Valid to
Environmental Permit	EP-302/2008	19 February 2008	Superseded
	EP-302/2008/A	25 March 2008	Superseded
	EP-302/2008/B	15 April 2009	N/A
Notification of Construction Work under APCO	001031161	N/A	N/A
Discharge Licence under WPCO	EP760/425/013454/I	1 August 2008	31 August 2013
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RW0379-09	5 September 2009	20 February 2010
Chemical Waste Producer Registration	WPN5111-425-C1186-09	17 July 2008	N/A
Billing account for disposal of construction waste	7007413	N/A	N/A

2 Implementation Status

During weekly site inspections, the environmental protection, and pollution control/mitigation measures in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EIA report were observed. Here below summarises the key observations and ET's corresponding recommendations while the Contractor's response and follow-up status are described in Section 7.1.

Dust Mitigation Measures

- Contractor was reminded to carry out the water spraying to minimize the dust impact due to dry weather.
- The Contractor had been implemented water spraying to the exposed soil and haul road regularly and also applied to the excavation activities in South Bound.

Waste / Chemical Waste Management

 Access path to the chemical waste storage area shall be cleaned and the construction materials on the path shall be removed.

Landscape Management

■ The trunks of tree no.350 and 351 were cut during the inspection period. As confirmed by the Contractor, captioned activity was instructed by tree specialist.

3 Air Monitoring

3.1 Air Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring Parameters

Air quality monitoring shall be measured in terms of the TSP levels for both 24-hour and 1-hour periods.

Monitoring Frequency

Dust monitoring was carried out during the reporting month. The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarised in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1 TSP monitoring parameters and frequency

Parameters	Monitoring Frequency	Time Period	No. of Measurement at each Location
24-hour TSP	Once every six days	0000 – 2400	1
1-hour TSP	Three times every six days	0700 – 1900	1

Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual and the subsequent Baseline Report, two air quality monitoring locations during construction stage are required, namely:

- (i) Kwong Choi Market at 2 Tsing Min Path (AM1(a)); and
- (ii) The Church of Christ in China Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial Secondary School at 10 San Wo Lane (AM3(a)).

Wind Monitoring

Wind monitoring data including wind speed and wind directions shall be collected from Hong Kong Observatory – Tuen Mun Wind Monitoring Station.

Environmental Quality Performance Limits

The monitoring results will be checked against the Action and Limit levels described in the Baseline Report, of which they are excerpted and summarised in **Tables 3-2**.

Table 3-2 Action and Limit levels for air quality

Level	Air Monitor		Air Monitoring Stations	
	AM	1(a)	AM3(a)	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
Action Level, μg/m ³	323	161	305	168
Limit Level, μg/m ³	500	260	500	260

3.2 Air Monitoring Methodology

3.2.1 Monitoring Equipment

High Volume Sampler (HVS) was used to monitor the 24-hour and 1-hour TSP. **Table 3-3** shows the equipment used for the air quality monitoring.

Table 3-3 Air quality equipment list for the air quality monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No	Measurement Parameter	Quantity
High Volume Sampler	GS-2310105 & TE-5170		2
Fibreglass Filter	G810	1- hour and 24-hour TSP	48
HVS Calibration Kit	GMW-2535		1

3.2.2 Maintenance and Calibration

The HVSs and their accessories were frequently checked and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's operation and maintenance manual. The maintenance included checking of supporting screen and gasket, as well as routine replacement of motor carbon brushes for the blower motor. The power cords and power supply were checked each time before sampling to ensure proper operation.

The HVSs were calibrated at 2-month intervals using GMW-2535 calibration kit which is recalibrated by the manufacturer after one year of use. The calibration spreadsheets of the HVS and calibration certificate of the calibration kit are provided in **Appendix B**.

3.2.3 Monitoring Procedures

Specifications of the HVS are as follows:

- 0.6 1.7 m³/min (20 60SCFM);
- Equipped with a timing/control device with +/- 5 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
- Installed with elapsed time meter with +/- 2 minutes accuracy for 24 hours operation;
- Capable of providing a minimum exposed area of 406 cm² (63in²);
- Flow control accuracy: +/-2.5% deviation over 24-hr sampling period;
- Equipped with a shelter to protect the filter and sampler;
- Incorporated with an electronic mass flow rate controller or other equivalent devices;
- Equipped with a flow recorder for continuous monitoring;
- Provided with a peaked roof inlet;
- Incorporated with a manometer;
- Able to hold and seal the filter paper to the sampler housing at horizontal position;
- Easy to change the filter; and
- Capable of operating continuously for 24-hour period.

The HVSs were equipped with an electronic mass flow controller and calibrated against a traceable standard at regular intervals. All equipment, calibration kit and filter papers were clearly labelled.

The relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and other special phenomena observed and work progress of the concerned site were recorded.

A HOKLAS accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (HOKLAS no.: 066)), in accordance with their standard QA/QC procedures, with constant temperature and humidity control as well as equipped with necessary measuring and conditioning instruments to handle the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP samples was employed for sample analysis, and equipment calibration and maintenance. Filter papers of size 8"x10" were labelled before sampling. They were inspected clean with no pin holes and conditioned in a humidity controlled chamber for over 24-hr and be pre-weighed before use for the sampling.

After 1-hour and 24-hour sampling, the filter papers loaded with dust were kept in a clean and tightly sealed plastic bag, and then returned to the laboratory for reconditioning in the humidity controlled chamber followed by accurate weighing by an electronic balance with readout down to 0.1 mg. All the collected samples were kept in a good condition for 6 months before disposal.

3.3 Monitoring Results and Observations

3.3.1 Weather Condition

No adverse weather conditions, in particular adverse wind speed & wind direction and fog & rain that may significantly affect or invalidate the collected monitoring data, were registered during the reporting period.

3.3.2 Air Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring of 1-hour TSP was conducted at all monitoring stations on 2, 8, 14, 20, 24 and 30 October 2009, while monitoring of 24-hour TSP was conducted on 5, 9, 15, 21, 27 and 31 October 2009. All monitoring data and graphical presentation of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix C** and are summarised in **Table 3-4**. The graphical presentation of the monitoring results over past four months (July to October 2009) is provided in **Appendix C**. Wind data during the reporting period is presented in **Appendix D**.

Table 3-4: Summary of impact air quality monitoring results for October 2009

Location	Average 1-hr TSP Concentration, μg/m³ (Range)	Average 24-hr TSP Concentration, μg/m³ (Range)
AM1(a)	28 (2 – 109)	64 (35 – 99)
AM3(a)	30 (3 – 72)	64 (20 – 141)

All measured 1-hour and 24-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below the Action/Limit Level. No exceedance of action and limit level was found.

3.3.3 General Observations

Major construction works including slope re-compaction and road re-construction were implemented during the reporting month.

Observable dust generation from activities on-site, such as vehicular movements along unpaved area, excavation and demolition, had been noticed at the monitoring location during the air monitoring period.

4 Noise Monitoring

4.1 Noise Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring Parameters

Construction noise shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be recorded as supplementary reference information for data auditing.

Monitoring Frequency

Noise measurements shall be conducted on a weekly basis. The monitoring time periods, monitoring parameters and frequency are summarised in **Table 4-1**.

 Table 4-1
 Construction noise monitoring parameters and frequency

Time Period (when construction activity is found)	Parameters	Monitoring Frequency	No. of Measurement at each Location
Between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	Leq(30 min)		1
Between 1900-2300 hours on normal weekdays	00-2300 hours on normal weekdays Once per		
Between 2300-0700 hours of next day	Leq(5 min)*	week	3 (consecutive)*
Between 0700-1900 hours on holidays			

^{*} If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

Monitoring Location

In accordance with the EM&A Manual and the subsequent Baseline Report, one noise monitoring location during construction stage is required, namely:

(i) The Church of Christ in China Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial School at 10 San Wo Lane (TLLF(a)).

Environmental Quality Performance Limits

The monitoring results will be checked against the Action and Limit levels described in the Baseline Report, of which they are excerpted and summarised in **Tables 4-2**.

Table 4-2 Action and Limit levels for construction noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level dB(A)
0700 - 1900 hours on normal weekdays		70 / 65 (Note 1)
0700 - 2300 hours on holiday; and 1900 – 2300 hours on all other days	When one documented complaint is received	70 (Note 2)
2300 – 0700 hours of next day		55 (Note 2)

Notes:

- 1. For normal day-time working hours, the noise criteria are 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) for normal reaching periods and examination period respectively.
- 2. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

4.2 Noise Monitoring Methodology

4.2.1 Monitoring Equipments

Noise level was measured by a Sound Level Meter (SLM) in terms of A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level. L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded as supplementary information for data auditing. **Table 4-3** shows the equipment list of the noise monitoring.

Equipment Manufacturer & **Precision Grade** Serial no. Qty. Model No. IEC 651 Type 1 Integrated SLM Brüel & Kjær 2238 2562763 1 IEC 804 Type 1 ½" free-field microphone 2658599 1 Brüel & Kjær 4188 Windshield Brüel & Kjær UA0237 1 N/A Acoustical calibrator Brüel & Kjær 4231 2314016 IEC 942 Type 1 1

Table 4-3 Noise equipment list for noise monitoring

4.2.2 Maintenance and Calibration

The SLM and calibrator in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Publication 651:1979 (Type 1) specifications as referenced in the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work other than Percussive Piling (GW-TM) was used. The calibration certificates for the noise equipments are provided in **Appendix E**.

SLM complying with the standards of IEC 651 (Fast, Slow, Impulse rms detector tests) and IEC 804 ($L_{\rm eq}$ functions) and acoustical calibrator model no. 4231 complying with IEC 942 were adopted for the noise measurement. Upon expiry of calibration, both equipments will be calibrated in-house using Brüel & Kjær (B&K) calibrator model no. 4226 annually. The 4226 calibrator is annually calibrated under the accreditation of United Kingdom Accreditation Service. The in-house calibration that has been undertaken can be traced back to the National Physical Laboratory. The calibration certificates for the noise equipments are given in **Appendix E**.

4.2.3 Monitoring Procedures

- The SLM and battery were checked to ensure that they are in proper condition. The SLM was set on a tripod at 1.2m above ground and at least 1m from the exterior of the building façade;
- Before conducting the measurement, the SLM was calibrated by an acoustical calibrator;
- Measurement parameter was set to A-weighted sound pressure level. The time weighting was set in fast response and the time period of measurement at 30 minutes;
- Wind speed was checked during noise monitoring to ensure the steady wind speed does not exceed 5m/s, or wind with gusts does not exceed 10m/s;
- Any abnormal conditions that generated intrusive noise during the measurement was recorded on the field record sheet;
- After each measurement, the equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}), L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded on the field record sheet;
- After conducting the measurement, the SLM was calibrated by an acoustical calibrator;
- The SLM was re-calibrated by the acoustical calibrator to confirm that there is no significant drift of reading. Measurements shall be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0 dB.

4.3 Monitoring Results and Observations

4.3.1 Weather Condition

The weather condition was mainly sunny during the noise monitoring period in the reporting month.

4.3.2 Noise Monitoring Results

Non-restricted Hours

Monitoring of the construction noise level was conducted during non-restricted hours on 8, 14, 20 and 30 October 2009 at TLLF(a). All monitoring data and graphical presentation of the monitoring results are provided in **Appendix F** and are summarised in **Table 4-4**. The graphical presentation of the monitoring results over past four months (July to October 2009) is also provided in **Appendix F**.

Table 4-4 Summary of impact noise monitoring in the reporting month

Date	Set	Start Time	End Time	Baseline* (Same period of the Day-time)	Impact Monitoring Result*	Impact Noise* after baseline correction	Limit Level
				Leq (30-min), dB(A)	Leq (30-min), dB(A)	Leq (30-min), dB(A)	dB(A)
8-Oct-09	First	09:30	10:00	74	70	1	
14-Oct-09	First	10:00	10:30	74	70	1	70
20-Oct-09	First	10:05	10:35	74	70	1	10
30-Oct-09	First	11:15	11:45	74	70	1	

Note(*): Façade correction was included.

Restricted Hours

No night works were carried out during the reporting month.

4.3.3 Exceedance of Limit Levels for Construction Noise

No exceedances for noise measurement during non-restricted hours were recorded during the reporting month.

4.3.4 General Observations

The construction site had been under normal operation during the noise monitoring period and no unusual operation was observed. Traffic noise had been noticed at the monitoring location during the noise monitoring period.

5 Landscape and Visual Monitoring

5.1 Landscape and Visual Impact Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring Requirement

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, a landscape auditor, as a member of the ET, is responsible for conducting the baseline review and monitoring the implementation of the landscape and visual mitigation measures during construction phase in accordance with the EIA report. Purposes of the review are:

- to check the status of the landscape resources within, and immediately adjacent to, the construction sites and works areas;
- to determine whether any change has occurred to the status of the landscape resources since the EIA;
- to determine whether amendments in the design of the landscape and visual mitigation measures are required for those changes; and
- to recommend any necessary amendments to the design of the landscape and visual mitigation measures.

The design, implementation and maintenance of landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be checked monthly to ensure that they are fully required. Any potential conflicts between the proposed landscape measures and any other project works or operational requirements shall also be recorded for the Contractor to resolve in early stage, without compromising the intention of the mitigation measures.

Monitoring Parameters

The components of assessed parameter of landscape resource is summarised below:

- Landscape Resource along the roadside planting at Tsing Tin Interchange (LR1); and
- Landscape Resource at Castle Peak Road (San Hui) Park (LR2).

Audit Frequency

The landscape and visual monitoring and audit shall be undertaken once a month throughout the construction period and operational phase.

Audit Location

The landscape and visual monitoring and audit shall be conducted throughout the entire site area.

5.2 Audit Results

In the reporting month, landscape and visual site audit in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A manual was conducted on 29 October 2009.

In accordance with the Clause 2.7 of the EP, seven numbers of trees (tree no. 1, 16, 35, 63, 69, 169 and 411) within the site shall be transplanted to their designed transplanted locations prior to the operational phase of the Project. Tree no.63 was dead after transplanting in March 2009 and tree no. 69 had been transplanted to new transplanting location in April 2009. Status of other six trees was no significant change during the reporting period and their respective mitigation measures was described in the previous monthly EM&A report. Status of the trees including their respective mitigation measures is summarised in **Table 5-1**.

 Table 5-1
 Status of tree transplantation

Tree no.	Location	Botanical Name		Status	Measures
1	LR1	Melaleuca quinquenervia	白千層	Fell by Typhoon	To be compensated by a tree at designated

Tree no.	Location	Botanical Name		Status	Measures
					location
16	LR1	Melaleuca quinquenervia	白千層	Fell by Typhoon	To be compensated by a tree at designated location
35	LR1	Melaleuca quinquenervia	白千層	Fell by Typhoon	To be compensated by a tree at designated location
63	LR1	Gossampinus malabarica	木棉	Dead after transplanting	To be compensated by a tree at designated location
69	LR1	Melia azedarach	森樹	Completion of relocation to new transplanting location	Not applicable
169	LR2	Melaleuca quinquenervia	白千層	Fell by Typhoon	To be compensated by a tree at designated location
411	LR2	Melaleuca quinquenervia	白千層	Completion of interim transplant within the site	To be transplanted at designated location

In accordance with Tree Assessment Schedule in Appendix 10.1 of the EIA Report, forty-seven trees of LR1 and LR2 would be affected as a result of the construction works. Seven of them, as described above, were proposed to be transplanted to their designated locations before operational phase, while the remaining forty trees were proposed to be felled during the construction phase. No significant change on their status was noted during the reporting period.

Compensatory planting of forty-seven number of trees prior the operational phase, as required in the Clause 2.8 of the EP, will be carried out after the consultation by LCSD. Observations during the monthly inspection summarized in **Table 5-2**.

 Table 5-2
 Status of forty trees to be affected during the construction stage

ID No.		Tree affected		Current Status
	No.	Botanical Name		
LR1	9	Acacia confusa 台灣相思		Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	10	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	15	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	17	Acacia confusa 台灣相思		Felled
	31	Acacia confusa 台灣相思		Felled
	32	Acacia confusa 台灣相思		Felled
	33	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Felled
	34	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Felled
	45	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	46	Thevetia peruviana 黄花夾竹桃		Felled by Typhoon
	47	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	48	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road

ID No.		Tree affected		Current Status
	No.	Botanical I	Name	
	49	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	50	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黄槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	51	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	52	Hibiscus tiliaceus 黃槿		Felled
	53	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	54	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黄槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	55	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Felled
	56	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	57	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	58	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	59	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	60	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	61	Melaleuca 白千層 quinquenervia		Transplanted to Siu Lang Shui Road
	62	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	64	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黄槿	Felled
	65	Melia azedarach	森樹	Felled
	293	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黃槿	Felled
LR2	155	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	156	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	163	Melaleuca quinquenervia	白千層	Felled
	165	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	167	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	168	Acacia confusa	台灣相思	Felled
	170	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黄槿	Felled
	171	Hibiscus tiliaceus	黄槿	Felled
	172	Acacia confuse	台灣相思	Felled
	173	Acacia confuse	台灣相思	Felled
	174	Acacia confuse	台灣相思	Felled

Thirty-five numbers of trees, which shall be retained in the site according to the Tree Assessment Schedule in Appendix 10.1 of the EIA Report, were damaged due to the typhoons prior to the commencement of the construction.

The trunks of tree no.350 and 351 were cut during the inspection period. As confirmed by the Contractor, captioned activity was instructed by tree specialist.

5.3 Implementation Status of Consultation Phase Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

The design, implementation and maintenance of landscape and mitigation measures, listed in Table 8.2 of the EM&A manual, were checked during the monthly site audit. No non-compliance has been triggered. Summary of the implementation status of Construction Phase Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures is presented in the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) in **Appendix G**.

5.4 Recommendations, Corrective Actions and Outstanding Issues

The recommendations, corrective actions or outstanding issues in relation with the landscape and visual monitoring are as follows:

- Tree Survey and Felling Plans and Tree Schedule should be revised in accordance with actual conditions on site, such as tree location and missing trees, for future monitoring;
- The Contractor was reminded to properly store, segregate and dispose of the felled trees:
- The Contractor was reminded to water and fertilise the trees regularly and submit the records of the works to RE;
- When retained trees were prone to be disturbed by nearby construction works, protective fencing should be erected around the trees before commencement of works;
- Where construction of protective fencing was impractical, the trunks of retained trees should be protected from abrasion by wrapping with hessian sacking, and strapping with pallet timbers secured with wire;
- Any debris and wood produced as a result of pruning, felling and cavity work performed on trees should be collected and removed from site properly; and
- The Contractor was reminded to keep 7m protect zone and properly fenced for tree no.69;
- The Contractor was reminded to avoid trunks damage during the construction works and, takes the proper remedial measures immediately and tree protection when damage was observed; and
- The Contractor was reminded to avoid the materials storage too close to the trees.

6 Waste Disposal

The estimated amounts of different types of waste generated by the activities of the Project in the month are shown in **Table 6-1**. It was advised from the Contractor that determination of the actual amount of wastes generation is still in progress so the relevant information will be presented on the next EM&A report. Actual amounts of waste generated in September 2009 are shown in **Table 6-2**. The monthly summary waste flow table is provided in **Appendix H**.

 Table 6-1
 Estimated amounts of waste generated in the reporting month

Waste Type	Estimated Amount	Actual Amount	Disposal Locations
Inert C&D Waste	490 m³		Disposal of at fill bank at Tuen Mun Area 38
Metals	4 kg		Recycle collector
Paper/cardboard packaging	7 kg	To be presented in next monthly report	Recycle collector
Chemical Waste	70 kg		Disposal of at Dunwell Ind. Ltd.
General Refuse	10 m ³		Disposal of at WENT landfill

Table 6-2 Actual amounts of waste generated in previous month (September 2009)

Waste Type	Estimated Amount	Actual Amount	Disposal Locations
Inert C&D Waste	1,125 m³	1,125 m³	Disposal of at fill bank at Tuen Mun Area 38
Metals	3 kg	3 kg	Recycle collector
Paper/cardboard packaging	8 kg	8 kg	Recycle collector
Chemical Waste	320 kg	320 kg	Disposal of at SENT landfill
General Refuse	30 m³	30 m ³	Disposal of at WENT landfill

7 Environmental Performance

7.1 Environmental Site Inspection

Environmental site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor environmental issues on the construction sites to ensure that all mitigation measures were implemented timely and properly. The site inspections were carried out on 7, 15, 22 and 29 October 2009. A summary of the site inspections is presented in **Table 7-1**.

Table 7-1 Key findings of weekly environmental site audit in the reporting month

Inspection Date	Key Observations and Recommendations	Observations and Recommendations CT's Response / Environmental Outcome	
	Dust Mitigation Measures		
7 Oct 09	Contractor was reminded to carry out the water spraying to minimize the dust impact due to dry weather.	Agreed with the ET's advice	Water spraying had been carried out, closed on 15 Oct 09
29 Oct 09	The Contractor had been implemented water spraying to the exposed soil and haul road regularly and also applied to the excavation activities in South Bound.	Agreed with the ET's advice	Continuous effort
	Waste / Chemical Waste Management		
7 Oct 09	Access path to the chemical waste storage area shall be cleaned and the construction materials on the path shall be removed.	Agreed with the ET's advice	Access path had been cleared; closed on 15 Oct 09
	Landscape Management		
29 Oct 09	The trunks of tree no.350 and 351 were cut during the inspection period. As confirmed by the Contractor, captioned activity was instructed by tree specialist.	Agreed with the ET's advice	Attention had been paid to avoid trunks damage.

7.2 Non-Compliance Record

There was no non-compliance record identified in the reporting month.

7.3 Complaint Record

There was no environmental complaint received in the reporting month.

7.4 Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No summons or prosecutions related to environmental issues were received or made against the Project in the reporting month.

7.5 Review of Reasons of Non-Compliance

There was no non-compliance identified during the reporting month so review of the non-compliance was not required.

8 Future Key Issues

8.1 Key Issues for the Coming Month

Key issues to be considered in the forth-coming month include:

- Dust generation from activities on-site, such as vehicular movements along unpaved area, excavation and demolition;
- Noise impact from operating equipment and night works activities;
- Uncontrolled water discharge into nearby water body;
- Storage and using of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Disposal of construction waste; and
- Tree maintenance.

8.2 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

Based on the findings of the weekly site inspection, the contractor's performance in terms of solid and liquid waste management was carried out in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EIA report. Solid waste and liquid waste were disposed of properly. Existing practices should be continued.

8.3 Effectiveness and Efficiency of Mitigation Measures

Based on the environmental monitoring results, effectiveness and efficiency of the mitigation measures implemented were found satisfactory. Existing practices should be continued.

8.4 Environmental Monitoring Program for the Forth-coming Month

Environmental monitoring and audit will be carried out in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A manual. Tentative air, noise, landscape and visual monitoring as well as weekly site audit schedule for the forth-coming month with respect to the construction programme is shown in **Appendix I**.

The construction programme for the coming month is shown in **Table 8-1**.

Table 8-1 Tentative programme of construction works

Month	Details of Construction Works					
November 2009	 Site clearance Fabrication of the sign gantry Re-compaction of fill slope Drainage and road re-construction Road pavement & drainage for wider lane 					

9 Conclusions and Recommendations

9.1 Conclusions

The construction phase of the Project was commenced on 2 October 2008. The EM&A programme has been implemented since then, including air quality, noise, landscape and visual and environmental site audits.

No complaint, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was received during the reporting month.

Weekly environmental site audit was carried out during the reporting month. The major environmental concerns were related to air quality, water quality, landscape and visual impact, waste management as well as handling of chemical waste.

9.2 Recommendations

Impact monitoring will be continued to carry out in the following month and followed by the requirement stipulated in the EM&A manual. Attention will be paid to environmental issues identified in EIA report and weekly site audit. Mitigation measures recommended in EIA report and Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule will be fully implemented.


Construction dust will continue to become a key environmental issue on dry season. The implemented construction dust mitigation measures should also be maintained and improved as necessary. Furthermore, landscape and visual impact mitigation measures such as sufficient protective fencing should be maintained and improved as necessary in order to protect the retained trees.

10 Reference

- Maunsell Consultants Asia Ltd. December 2007. Quotation Ref No. Hy(S)Q/026/2006 Widening of Tuen Mun Road at Tsing Tin Interchange – Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual.
- 2) Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited. September 2008. Contract No. HY/2007/14 Widening of Tsing Tin Interchange Baseline Monitoring Report (Revision_6)

Appendix A

Construction Programme



Appendix B

Calibration
Spreadsheets of the
High Volume Samplers
and Calibration
Certificate of
Calibration Kit



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Fe Operator	•	Rootsmeter Orifice I.I	•	9833620 1378	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	· 293 · 765.81
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4180 0.9970 0.8930 0.8520 0.7030	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.8 12.8	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)		٧a	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)			
1.0205 1.0162 1.0142 1.0130 1.0077	0.7197 1.0192 1.1357 1.1890 1.4334	1.4317 2.0247 2.2637 2.3742 2.8633		0.9958 0.9915 0.9896 0.9885 0.9832	0.7022 0.9945 1.1082 1.1602 1.3987	0.8748 1.2371 1.3831 1.4506 1.7495			
Qstd slop intercept coefficie	(b) = ent (r) =	2.00826 -0.01649 0.99995		Qa slope intercept coefficie	= (b) $=$	1.25754 -0.01008 0.99995			
y axis =	y = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta)] $y = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]$								

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Ostd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa] Qa = Va/Time


For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$



TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, OH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT



* y-axis equations:

Qstd series:

$$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$$

Qa series:

$$\sqrt{(\Delta H (Ta / Pa))}$$

1378


Ove Arup Partners (Hong Kong) Limited

High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Calibration date 29-Sep-09 Barometric pressure 753 mm Hg Calibration due date 28-Nov-09 Tempature (°C) 28 °C Sampler location TT1-Roof, Kwong Choi Market Tempature (K) 301 K Sampler model TE-5170 P_{std} 760 mm Hg Sampler serial number 0521 298 K T_{std}

Calibrator modelGMW-2535Calibrator serial number1378Slope of the standard curve, ms2.00216Intercept of the standard curve, bs-0.02053

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H ₂ O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m³/min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	2.80	32.00	0.84	31.69
7	4.90	40.00	1.11	39.62
10	7.70	46.00	1.38	45.56
13	10.00	52.00	1.57	51.50
18	13.20	60.00	1.81	59.42



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m): 27.8655
Sampler intercept (b): 8.1735
Correlation coefficient (R²): 0.9937

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Performed by:

Checked by:

Date:

Date:

19/9/09

Ove Arup Partners (Hong Kong) Limited

High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet


Calibration date29-Sep-09Barometric pressure753 mm HgCalibration due date28-Nov-09Tempature (°C)28 °C

Sampler location TT2 - G/F Tam Lee Lai Fun

Memorial School Tempature (K) 301 K

Calibrator modelGMW-2535Calibrator serial number1378Slope of the standard curve, ms2.00826Intercept of the standard curve, bs-0.01649

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H₂O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m³/min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	2.80	30.00	0.83	29.71
7	4.60	36.00	1.07	35.65
10	7.20	46.00	1.33	45.56
13	9.00	52.00	1.49	51.50
18	11.60	58.00	1.69	57.44



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m): 33.4256Sampler intercept (b): 1.1460Correlation coefficient (R²): 0.9958

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Performed by:

Checked by:

Date:

Date:

19/9/09

Appendix C


Impact Air Monitoring Results


Contract No. HY/2007/14 - Widening of Tuen Mun Road at Tsing Tin Interchange Impact Air Monitoring Result - 1 hour TSP


										Flow R	ecorder				Flow	Rate							
			Receptor	Weather	Site	Pressure	(mmHa)	Tempera	ture (oC)	_	g (CFM)	Filter W	eight (g)	TSP		min)	Average Flow	Flans	e Time	Sampling	Total		
Filter No.	Month	Date	No.	condition	condition	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Rate (m³/min)	Start	Finish	Time	vol. (m ³)	AM1(a)	AM3(a)
100314	Oct-09	2-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0	30.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	2.8750	2.8772	0.0022	0.9854	0.9854	0.9854	10296.31	10297.31	60.00	59.12	37.2	7 (u)
100325	Oct-09	2-Oct-09	TT1-2	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0	30.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	2.8235	2.8252	0.0017	0.9854	0.9854	0.9854	10297.31	10298.31	60.00	59.12	28.8	1
100326	Oct-09	2-Oct-09	TT1-3	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0	30.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	2.8279	2.8303	0.0024	0.9854	0.9854	0.9854	10298.31	10299.31	60.00	59.12	40.6	1
100307	Oct-09	2-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0	30.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	2.8301	2.8340	0.0039	1.0317	1.0317	1.0317	9875.18	9876.18	60.00	61.90		63.0
100316	Oct-09	2-Oct-09	TT2-2	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0	30.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	2.8230	2.8250	0.0020	1.0317	1.0317	1.0317	9876.18	9877.18	60.00	61.90		32.3
100317	Oct-09	2-Oct-09	TT2-3	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0	30.0	30.0	36.0	36.0	2.8175	2.8190	0.0015	1.0317	1.0317	1.0317	9877.18	9878.18	60.00	61.90		24.2
100329	Oct-09	8-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	28.0	28.0	36.0	36.0	2.8318	2.8332	0.0014	0.9887	0.9887	0.9887	10323.31	10324.31	60.00	59.32	23.6	1
100330	Oct-09	8-Oct-09	TT1-2	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	28.0	28.0	36.0	36.0	2.8548	2.8561	0.0013	0.9887	0.9887	0.9887	10324.31	10325.31	60.00	59.32	21.9	1
100331	Oct-09	8-Oct-09	TT1-3	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	28.0	28.0	36.0	36.0	2.8230	2.8238	0.0008	0.9887	0.9887	0.9887	10325.31	10326.31	60.00	59.32	13.5	1
100315	Oct-09	8-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	28.0	28.0	36.0	36.0	2.8596	2.8603	0.0007	1.0345	1.0345	1.0345	9902.18	9903.18	60.00	62.07		11.3
100347	Oct-09	8-Oct-09	TT2-2	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	28.0	28.0	36.0	36.0	2.8164	2.8187	0.0023	1.0345	1.0345	1.0345	9903.18	9904.18	60.00	62.07		37.1
100348	Oct-09	8-Oct-09	TT2-3	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	28.0	28.0	36.0	36.0	2.8095	2.8121	0.0026	1.0345	1.0345	1.0345	9904.18	9905.18	60.00	62.07		41.9
100334	Oct-09	14-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	761.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8031	2.8035	0.0004	0.9951	0.9951	0.9951	10350.31	10351.31	60.00	59.71	6.7	1
100338	Oct-09	14-Oct-09	TT1-2	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	761.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8348	2.8355	0.0007	0.9951	0.9951	0.9951	10351.31	10352.31	60.00	59.71	11.7	1
100339	Oct-09	14-Oct-09	TT1-3	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	761.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8390	2.8408	0.0018	0.9951	0.9951	0.9951	10352.31	10353.31	60.00	59.71	30.1	1
100372	Oct-09	14-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	761.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8438	2.8447	0.0009	1.0398	1.0398	1.0398	9929.18	9930.18	60.00	62.39		14.4
100373	Oct-09	14-Oct-09	TT2-2	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	761.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8522	2.8526	0.0004	1.0398	1.0398	1.0398	9930.18	9931.18	60.00	62.39		6.4
100374	Oct-09	14-Oct-09	TT2-3	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	761.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.7926	2.7937	0.0011	1.0398	1.0398	1.0398	9931.18	9932.18	60.00	62.39	400 =	17.6
100366	Oct-09	20-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.9005	2.9070	0.0065	0.9986	0.9986	0.9986	10377.31	10378.31	60.00	59.92	108.5	1
100367	Oct-09	20-Oct-09	TT1-2	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8350	2.8408	0.0058	0.9986	0.9986	0.9986	10378.31	10379.31	60.00	59.92	96.8	ı
100368	Oct-09	20-Oct-09	TT1-3	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8541	2.8564	0.0023	0.9986	0.9986	0.9986	10379.31	10380.31	60.00	59.92	38.4	00.0
100369	Oct-09	20-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8768	2.8788	0.0020	1.0427	1.0427	1.0427	9956.18	9957.18	60.00	62.56		32.0
100370	Oct-09	20-Oct-09	TT2-2 TT2-3	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8361	2.8385	0.0024	1.0427 1.0427	1.0427 1.0427	1.0427	9957.18	9958.18	60.00	62.56		38.4 27.2
100371	Oct-09	20-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	25.0 27.0	25.0 27.0	36.0	36.0 36.0	2.8342	2.8359 2.8685	0.0017	_	_	1.0427	9958.18	9959.18	60.00	62.56 59.51	0.4	21.2
100377	Oct-09	24-Oct-09 24-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0 757.0	757.0 757.0	27.0 27.0	27.0 27.0	36.0 36.0	36.0	2.8680 2.8485	2.8490	0.0005 0.0005	0.9918 0.9918	0.9918 0.9918	0.9918 0.9918	10404.31	10405.31 10406.31	60.00 60.00	59.51 59.51	8.4	1
100378 100379	Oct-09 Oct-09	24-Oct-09 24-Oct-09	TT1-2	Fine Fine	Normal Operation Normal Operation	757.0 757.0	757.0 757.0	27.0 27.0	27.0 27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8485	2.8490	0.0005	0.9918	0.9918	0.9918	10405.31 10406.31	10406.31	60.00	59.51 59.51	8.4 6.7	1
100379	Oct-09	24-Oct-09 24-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0 757.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8387	2.8425	0.0004	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	9983.18	9984.18	60.00	62.22	0.7	61.1
100393	Oct-09	24-Oct-09 24-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0 757.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8591	2.8636	0.0038	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	9984.18	9985.18	60.00	62.22		72.3
100394	Oct-09	24-Oct-09 24-Oct-09	TT2-2	Fine	Normal Operation	757.0	757.0 757.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8980	2.9000	0.0043	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	9985.18	9986.18	60.00	62.22		72.3 32.1
100393	Oct-09	30-Oct-09	TT1-1	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	762.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8267	2.8270	0.0020	0.9959	0.9959	0.9959	10431.31	10432.31	60.00	59.75	5.0	JZ. 1
100383	Oct-09	30-Oct-09	TT1-2	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	762.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8360	2.8361	0.0003	0.9959	0.9959	0.9959	10431.31	10432.31	60.00	59.75	1.7	i
100385	Oct-09	30-Oct-09	TT1-3	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	762.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8516	2.8530	0.0014	0.9959	0.9959	0.9959	10433.31	10434.31	60.00	59.75	23.4	i
100406	Oct-09	30-Oct-09	TT2-1	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	762.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8683	2.8695	0.0014	1.0405	1.0405	1.0405	10010.18	10011.18	60.00	62.43	20.1	19.2
100407	Oct-09	30-Oct-09	TT2-2	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	762.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8370	2.8374	0.0004	1.0405	1.0405	1.0405	10010.10	10011.10	60.00	62.43		6.4
100408	Oct-09	30-Oct-09	TT2-3	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	762.0	27.0	27.0	36.0	36.0	2.8575	2.8577	0.0002	1.0405	1.0405	1.0405	10012.18	10013.18	60.00	62.43		3.2


Average	28.4	30.0
Max	108.5	72.3
Min	1.7	3.2

Ove Arup Partners HK Ltd 1-hour TSP Result










Ove Arup Partners HK Ltd


Contract No. Hy/2007/14 - Widening of Tuen Mun Road at Tsing Tin Interchange Impact Air Monitoring Result - 24 hours TSP


										Flow R	ecorder	Weight			Rate			Elapse					
			Receptor	Weather	Site	Pressure	e (mmHg)	Tempera	ture (oC)	Readin	g (CFM)	(g)		TSP	(m³/min)		Average Flow	Time		Sampling	Total		
Filter No.	Month	Date	No.	condition	condition	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Initial	Final	Rate (m³/min)	Start	Finish	Time	vol. (m³)	AM1(a)	AM3(a)
100312	Oct-09	5-Oct-09	TT1	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	26.0	26.0	36.0	36.0	2.8170	2.9578	0.1408	0.9930	0.9930	0.9930	10299.31	10323.31	1440.00	1429.92	98.5	
100313	Oct-09	5-Oct-09	TT2	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	756.0	26.0	26.0	36.0	36.0	2.8178	2.8937	0.0759	1.0381	1.0381	1.0381	9878.18	9902.18	1440.00	1494.86		50.8
100328	Oct-09	9-Oct-09	TT1	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	758.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8509	2.9327	0.0818	0.9952	0.9969	0.9961	10326.31	10350.31	1440.00	1434.31	57.0	1 7
100327	Oct-09	9-Oct-09	TT2	Fine	Normal Operation	756.0	758.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8126	2.9280	0.1154	1.0399	1.0413	1.0406	9905.18	9929.18	1440.00	1498.46		77.0
100340	Oct-09	15-Oct-09	TT1	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	759.0	24.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8641	2.9355	0.0714	1.0016	0.9978	0.9997	10353.31	10377.31	1440.00	1439.57	49.6	1 7
100341	Oct-09	15-Oct-09	TT2	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	759.0	24.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8158	2.9083	0.0925	1.0453	1.0420	1.0437	9932.18	9956.18	1440.00	1502.86		61.5
100359	Oct-09	21-Oct-09	TT1	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8431	2.9117	0.0686	0.9994	0.9986	0.9990	10380.31	10404.31	1440.00	1438.56	47.7	1 7
100360	Oct-09	21-Oct-09	TT2	Fine	Normal Operation	761.0	760.0	25.0	25.0	36.0	36.0	2.8475	2.8956	0.0481	1.0434	1.0427	1.0431	9959.18	9983.18	1440.00	1501.99		32
100375	Oct-09	27-Oct-09	TT1	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	763.0	24.0	24.0	36.0	36.0	2.8479	2.9900	0.1421	1.0025	1.0033	1.0029	10407.31	10431.31	1440.00	1444.18	98.4	1 7
100376	Oct-09	27-Oct-09	TT2	Fine	Normal Operation	762.0	763.0	24.0	24.0	36.0	36.0	2.8290	3.0419	0.2129	1.0460	1.0467	1.0464	9986.18	10010.18	1440.00	1506.74		141.3
100391	Oct-09	31-Oct-09	TT1	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	21.0	21.0	36.0	36.0	2.8640	2.9152	0.0512	1.0074	1.0074	1.0074	10434.31	10458.31	1440.00	1450.66	35.3	1 1
100390	Oct-09	31-Oct-09	TT2	Fine	Normal Operation	760.0	760.0	21.0	21.0	36.0	36.0	2.8191	2.8500	0.0309	1.0500	1.0500	1.0500	10010.18	10034.18	1440.00	1512.00		20.4


Average	64.4	63.8
Max	98.5	141.3
Min	35.3	20.4

Ove Arup Partners HK Ltd 24-hours TSP Results












Λ	pen	MIV.	
\rightarrow	—	() I X	
, ,,	\sim	MIN.	_

Wind Data

Wind Monitoring Data - Wind Speed during Air Quality Monitoring in October 2009









Wind Monitoring Data - Wind Direction during Air Quality Monitoring in October 2009











Page 4 of 6











Page 5 of 6









Page 6 of 6

Appendix E

Calibration Certificate of Sound Level Meter and Acoustical Calibrator

Campbell Associates Ltd

5b Chelmsford Road Industrial Estate GREAT DUNMOW, Essex, CM6 1HD www.campbell-associates.co.uk



Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: U4212 CALIBRATION 0789

Test object:

Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer:

Brüel and Kjær

Type: Serial no: 4226 1531372

Customer:

Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

Acoustics

Saint Giles Hall, Pound Hill

Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, CB3 0AE

Order No:

Measurement Results:	Level	Level	Frequency	Frequency	Distortion
moderation in the state.	20101	Stability	rrequerioj	Stability	Distortion
1:	93.98 dB	0.06 dB	251.27 Hz	0.01 %	0.20 %
2:	93.98 dB	0.06 dB	251.27 Hz	0.01 %	0.20 %
3:	93.98 dB	0.06 dB	251.27 Hz	0.01 %	0.20 %
Result (Average):	93.98 dB	0.06 dB	251.27 Hz	0.01 %	0.20 %
Expanded Uncertainty:	0.10 dB	0.02 dB	0.25 Hz	0.01 %	0.10 %
Degree of Freedom:	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
Coverage Factor:	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

The stated level is relative to 20µPa.

The stated level is valid at reference conditions. The following correction factors have been applied during the measurement:

Pressure: 0.00055 dB/kPa Temperature: 0.002 dB/°C Relative humidity: 0.000 dB/%RH Load volume: 0.000 dB/mm3

The reported expanded uncertainty of measurements is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. Where the degrees of freedom are insufficient to maintain this confidence level then the coverage factor is increased to maintain this confidence level. The uncertainty has been calculated in accordance with UKAS requirements.

Records: K:\C A\Calibration\Nor-1504\Nor-1018 CalCal\2008\BNK4226_250Hz_1531372_M1.nmf

Environmental conditions:

Pressure:

Temperature:

Relative humidity:

Reference conditions: Measurement conditions: 101,325 kPa

23,0 °C

50 %RH

100.503 ± 0.009 kPa

22.3 ± 2.7 °C

55.9 ± 11.8 %RH

Date received:

15/08/2008

Date of calibration:

20/08/2008 31/10/2008

Date of issue: Engineer

Supervisor

Ian Campbell MSc MIOA

This certificate is issued in accordance with the laboratory accrediation requirements of the United Kingdom Accrediation Service. It provides traceability of measurement to recongnised national standards, and to the units of measurement realised at the National Physical Laboratory or other recognised national standards laboratories. This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.



Certificate number:

U 4212

Preconditioning

The equipment was preconditioned for more than 4 hours in the specified calibration environment

Calibration and verification performed

The calibrator has been tested as described in the following annexes to BS EN IEC60942:2003 Sound Calibrators; B3.4 for sound pressure level, B3.5 for frequency, B3.6 for total distortion and A4.4 for short term stability of the pressure level.

Method of Calibration

Calibration has been performed as set out in the CA Technical procedures TP01 & 2 as appropriate.

Instruments and Program

A complete list of instruments, hardware and software that has been used for this calibration is available from the calibration laboratory on request.

Traceability

The measured values are traceable to the following laboratories:
Sound Pressure Level: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Voltage: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Frequency: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Ambient Pressure: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Temperature & Relative Humidity: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom


Comment

Calibrated as received, no adjustments made

Other levels at this frequency produce 104 dB = 103.8 dB and 114 dB - 113.97 (non UKAS data)

Notes:

The sound pressure level generated by the calibrator in its WS2 configuration was measured five times by a GRAS-40AG microphone and averaged. The results of three replications and the mean of the mean of the measurements obtained are given in the measurement results table in this certificate. The frequency and distortion were measured in a similar manner. The figures in BOLD are the final results; a small correction factor may need to be added to the sound pressure level quoted here if the device is used to calibrate a sound level meter that is fitted with a free field response microphone. See manufacturer's handbooks for full details of this and other corrections that may be applicable.



Campbell Associates Ltd

5b Chelmsford Road Industrial Estate GREAT DUNMOW, Essex, CM6 1HD www.campbell-associates.co.uk



Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: U4213 CALIBRATION 0789

Test object : Manufacturer: Sound Calibrator Brüel and Kjær

Type: 4226 Serial no: 1531372

Customer: Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

Acoustics

Saint Giles Hall, Pound Hill

Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, CB3 0AE

Order No: Verbal

Measurement Results:	Level	Level Stability	Frequency	Frequency Stability	Distortion
1:	94.00 dB	0.06 dB	1005.07 Hz	0.00 %	0.20 %
2:	94.00 dB	0.06 dB	1005.07 Hz	0.00 %	0.20 %
3:	94,00 dB	0.06 dB	1005.08 Hz	0.00 %	0.20 %
Result (Average):	94,00 dB	0.06 dB	1005.07 Hz	0.00 %	0.20 %
Expanded Uncertainty:	0.10 dB	0.02 dB	1.01 Hz	0.01 %	0.01 %
Degree of Freedom:	>100	>100	>100	57	>100
Coverage Factor:	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.05	2.00

The stated level is relative to 20µPa.

The stated level is valid at reference conditions. The following correction factors have been applied during the measurement:

Pressure: 0.00055 dB/kPa Temperature: 0.002 dB/°C Relative humidity: 0.000 dB/%RH Load volume: 0.000 dB/mm3

The reported expanded uncertainty of measurements is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. Where the degrees of freedom are insufficient to maintain this confidence level then the coverage factor is increased to maintain this confidence level. The uncertainty has been calculated in accordance with UKAS requirements.

Records: K:\C A\Calibration\Nor-1504\Nor-1018 CalCal\2008\BNK4226_1K_1531372_M2.nmf

Environmental conditions: Reference conditions: Measurement conditions: Pressure : 101,325 kPa 100.513 ± 0.003 kPa Temperature : 23.0 °C

Relative humidity : 50 %RH

23,0 C 22.1 ± 2.3 °C 57.2 ± 9.9 %RH

Date received : Date of calibration: Date of issue: 15/08/2008 20/08/2008 31/10/2008

Engineer

Supervisor

lan Campbell MSc MIOA

This certificate is issued in accordance with the laboratory accrediation requirements of the United Kingdom Accrediation Service, it provides traceability of measurement to recongnised national standards, and to the units of measurement realised at the National Physical Laboratory or other recognised national standards laboratories. This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.



Certificate number: U 4213

Preconditioning

The equipment was preconditioned for more than 4 hours in the specified calibration environment

Calibration and verification performed

The calibrator has been tested as described in the following annexes to BS EN IEC60942:2003 Sound Calibrators; B3.4 for sound pressure level, B3.5 for frequency, B3.6 for total distortion and A4.4 for short term stability of the pressure level.

Method of Calibration

Calibration has been performed as set out in the CA Technical procedures TP01 & 2 as appropriate.

Instruments and Program

A complete list of instruments, hardware and software that has been used for this calibration is available from the calibration laboratory on request.

Traceability

The measured values are traceable to the following laboratories:
Sound Pressure Level: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Voltage: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Frequency: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Ambient Pressure: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom
Temperature & Relative Humidity: National Physical Laboratory United Kingdom


Comment

Calibrated as received, no adjustments made

Other levels at this frequency give 104 dB =104.0 dB and 114 dB = 113.98 dB (Non UKAS data)

Notes:

The sound pressure level generated by the calibrator in its WS2 configuration was measured five times by a GRAS-40AG microphone and averaged. The results of three replications and the mean of the measurements obtained are given in the measurement results table in this certificate. The frequency and distortion were measured in a similar manner. The figures in BOLD are the final results; a small correction factor may need to be added to the sound pressure level quoted here if the device is used to calibrate a sound level meter that is fitted with a free field response microphone. See manufacturer's handbooks for full details of this and other corrections that may be applicable.



Arup**Acoustics**



Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong, Kowloon HONG KONG AAc Certificate No. 2009001

Tel: +852 2268 3216

Fax: +852 2268 3950

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY

Description of Test Instrument

Type No

Serial No

Bruel & Kjaer 4231Acoustic Calibrator

4231

2314016

Date of Test:

05 August 2009

Carried out by: Mitch Law

Approved by:

William Ng

Signature:

Sthand

Signature:

Lib-M

Ambient Conditions During Test

Atmospheric Pressure:

1KPa

Air Temperature: Relative Humidity:

22°C 60%

This document is to certify that the above Test Instrumentation did conform to the manufacturer's original specification on the date of the test. Any adjustments that were required to bring the instrumentation back into specification are duly noted in this document. The tests were carried out using the reference calibrator described below.

Description of Reference CalibratorType NoSerial NoBrüel & Kjær Multi Frequency Calibrator42261531372Brüel & Kjær CouplerUA09151531372

Certificate of Calibration Serial No.

By Campbell Associates Ltd Calibration Date:

U4212, U4213 20 August 2008

NAMAS Accredited Calibration Laboratory No.

0789

The reference calibrator, Type 4226, has traceable calibration back to National Measurement Standards. As such it is used as Arup Acoustics own 'Primary Standard' and is used only for controlled laboratory calibration tests on all sound measuring equipment owned by Arup Acoustics.

Arup**Acoustics**



Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong, Kowloon HONG KONG AAc Certificate No. 2009006

Tel: +852 2268 3216

Fax: +852 2268 3950

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY

Description of Test InstrumentType NoSerial NoBrüel & Kjær Sound Level Meter Kit22382562763Brüel & Kjær ½ " Microphone Kit41882658599

Date of Test: 05 August 2009

Carried out by: Mitch Law Approved by: William Ng

Signature: Signature: Will M

Ambient Conditions During Test

Atmospheric Pressure: 1KPa
Air Temperature: 21°C
Relative Humidity: 60%

This document is to certify that the above Test Instrumentation did conform to the manufacturer's original specification on the date of the test. Any adjustments that were required to bring the instrumentation back into specification are duly noted in this document. The tests were carried out using the reference calibrator described below.

Description of Reference CalibratorType NoSerial NoBrüel & Kjær Multi Frequency Calibrator42261531372Brüel & Kjær CouplerUA09151531372

Certificate of Calibration Serial No. U4212, U4213
By Campbell Associates Ltd Calibration Date: 20 August 2008
NAMAS Accredited Calibration Laboratory No. 0789

The reference calibrator, Type 4226, has traceable calibration back to National Measurement Standards. As such it is used as Arup Acoustics own 'Primary Standard' and is used only for controlled laboratory calibration tests on all sound measuring equipment owned by Arup Acoustics.


Appendix F

Impact Noise Monitoring Results


The Church of Christ in China Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial Secondary School, TLLF(a) Day-time Noise Monitoring Data

Note (*): Façade correction is included.

Date	Start Time	L _{eq (5-min)} , dB(A)	L _{eq (30-min)} , dB(A)*	L10 _(5min) , dB(A)	L10 _(5-min) , dB(A)*	L _{90 (5min)} , dB(A)	L _{90 (5min)} , dB(A)*
8-Oct-09	9:30 AM	66.8		70.5		64.0	
	9:35 AM	66.3		70.5		64.0	
	9:40 AM	67.7	69.8	70.0	73.2	64.0	66.8
	9:45 AM	66.3	09.0	70.0	73.2	63.5	00.0
	9:50 AM	67.0		70.5		64.0	
	9:55 AM	66.4		69.5		63.5	
14-Oct-09	10:00 AM	66.9		69.0		63.5	
	10:05 AM	67.2		69.5		64.0	
	10:10 AM	67.4	70.1	69.5	72.3	64.0	66.7
	10:15 AM	66.7	70.1	69.0	12.3	63.5	66.7
	10:20 AM	67.2		69.5		63.5	
	10:25 AM	66.9		69.0		63.5	
20-Oct-09	10:05 AM	68.3		70.0		64.0	
	10:10 AM	66.9		69.0		63.5	
	10:15 AM	66.4	70.3	69.0	72.4	63.0	66.5
	10:20 AM	67.3	70.0	69.5	72.7	63.5	00.0
	10:25 AM	67.8		70.0		64.0	
	10:30 AM	66.9		69.0		63.0	
30-Oct-09	11:15 AM	67.8		70.0		64.0	
	11:20 AM	67.1		70.0		64.5	
	11:25 AM	67.0	70.3	70.0	72.7	64.0	66.8
	11:30 AM	67.6	70.3	69.5	12.1	63.5	00.0
	11:35 AM	67.4		70.0		63.5	
	11:40 AM	66.5		68.5		63.0	
Average			70.1		72.7	_	66.7
Minimum			69.8		72.3		66.5
Maximum			70.3		73.2		66.8



(1) All Noise result on August 2009 were not project-related exceedance.



Notes:

(1) All noise results exceeding limit level were not project-related.

Appendix G

Updated Summary of Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Summary of Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *
Air Quality Control			
S3.8.1	Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation.	Work site / during construction	
	 skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site 		✓ ✓
	the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores		√
	 where a site boundary adjoins a road, streets or other accessible to the public, hording of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length except for a site entrance or exit 		✓
	every stack of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting places in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides		N/A
	all dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet		N/A
	the height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading		
	the load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle		N/A
	 instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise 		N/A
Noise Control			
S4.8.1	Use of quiet powered mechanical equipment	Work Sites / During Construction	N/A
S4.8.5 – S4.8.6	Road paving - Adoption of quiet PMEs, movable noise barrier and scheduling of PMEs during normal teaching period, only one PME to be operated and the work area not less than 22m from NSR TLLF or cease operation of PMEs if work area less than 30m from NSR TLLF during examination period. The barrier material shall have a surface mass of	Work Site for road paving, road marking and construction of noise barrier in the vicinity of	✓

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status
	not less than 14 kg/m ² on skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining.	NSR TLFF (The Church of Christ in China Tam Lee Lai Fun Memorial Secondary School) / During Construction	
S4.8.5 & S4.8.7	Road marking - Adoption of quiet PMEs and movable noise barrier during normal teaching period and examination period. The work area should be located not less than 18m from NSR TLLF during examination period. The barrier material shall have a surface mass of not less than 14 kg/m² on skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining.	Work Site for road marking in the vicinity of NSR TLFF / During Construction	N/A
S4.8.5 & S4.8.8	Construction of noise barrier - Adoption of quiet PMEs and movable noise barrier during examination period, piling operation for construction of noise barrier would also be ceased during examination period. The barrier material shall have a surface mass of not less than 14 kg/m² on skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining.	Work Site for construction of noise barrier in the vicinity of NSR TLFF / During Construction	N/A
S4.9.2	Good Site Practice:	Work Sites / During	
	Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program.	Construction	✓
	Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program.		✓
	Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.		✓
	Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.		✓
	 Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. 		N/O
	 Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 		N/A
	Scheduling the noisy work to be conducted in non-school hours or long holiday such as summer vacation as possible.		N/A

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *
S5.7.2	Measures for Tuen Mun River Channel	Work site / During the construction period	√
	 Site runoff would be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained sand traps, silt traps and where appropriate. 	construction period	
	Oil/grease separators to minimise risk of sedimentation and pollution to the River Channel.		N/A
	Debris and rubbish generated on-site would be collected, handled and disposed of properly.		✓
	The stockpile or temporary storage area and chemical waste storage area shall be located at least 30m away from Tuen Mun River Channel.		✓
S5.7.3	Construction Runoff and Drainage	Work site / During the	
	The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" shall be implemented including:	construction period	
	 Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps or sediment basins shall be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the Technical Memorandum standard under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance. The design of silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines provided in ProPECC PN 1/94. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be inspected monthly and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. 		√
	 Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into silt removal facilities. 		√
	 Careful programming of the works to minimise surface excavations during the rainy season. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94. 		N/A
	 Exposed soil surface shall be protected by paving as soon as possible to reduce the potential of soil erosion. 		N/A
	Open stockpiles of construction materials on site shall be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.		✓

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *
S5.7.4 – S5.7.5	Sewage from General Construction Activities	Work site / During the	
	Debris and rubbish generated on-site shall be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the nearby nullah and stormwater drains. Stockpiles of cement and other construction material should be kept covered when not being used.	construction period	✓
	Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas shall be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.		✓
S5.7.6	Sewage Effluent	Work site and adjacent	
	Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable toilets, shall be employed on-site. A licensed contractor would be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.	water / During the design and construction period.	✓
Waste Management			
S6.6.1	Good Site Practices	Work site / During the	
	Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:	construction period	
	 nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site; 		N/O
	 training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; 		✓
	provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal;		✓
	appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers;		✓
	regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; and		✓
	 recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites). 		✓
S6.6.2	Waste Reduction Measures	Work site / During	
	Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by	planning and design	

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *
	ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:	stage, and construction stage	N/A
	 segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; 		
	 to encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper, separate labelled bins shall be provided to segregate these wastes from other general refuse generated by the work force; 		N/O
	any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled;		N/O
	use of reusable non-timber formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material.		N/O
	 prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill; 		N/O
	 proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and 		N/O
	 plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. 		N/O
S6.6.4	General Refuse	Work site / During the	
	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material.	construction period	✓
	A collection area should be provided where wastes can be stored and loaded prior to removal from site. An enclosed and covered area is recommended to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blow' light material.		N/O
S6.6.5	Chemical Wastes	Work site / During the	
	After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	construction period	√

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *
S6.6.6 & 6.6.7	Construction and Demolition Material Excavated fill material shall be reused on-site as backfill material as far as possible. The	Work site / During the construction period	
	material to be disposed at public fill reception facility shall be free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered to be unsuitable by the Filling Supervisor.		√
Hazard to Life			
S8.8.4	The number of workers on site during construction stage should be kept as the level as assessed in this report.	Works area/ During construction phase	NIO
	• Emergency evacuation procedures should be formulated and Highways Department (HyD) should ensure all workers on site should be familiar with these procedures as well as the route to escape in case of gas release incident occur. Relevant Departments, such as Water Supplies Department and Fire Services Department, should be consulted during the development of Emergency procedures. Diagram showing the escape routes to a safe place should be posted in the site notice boards and at the entrance/exit of site.		N/O
	• The emergency procedures should specify means of providing a rapid and direct warning (e.g. Siren and Flashing Light) to construction workers in the event of chlorine gas release in the Tuen Mun Water Treatment Works (TMWTW).		N/O
	The construction site officer of HyD should establish a communication channel with the TMWTW operation personnel during construction stage. In case of any hazardous incidents in the treatment works, operation personnel of TMWTW should advise the site officer to evacuate the construction workers.		N/O
S8.8.5	Induction Training should be provided to any staff before working on site at the Tsing Tin Interchange work site.	Works area/During construction phase	N/O
S8.8.6	Periodic drills should be coordinated and conducted to ensure all construction staffs are familiar with the evacuation procedures. Upon completion of the drills, a review on every step taken should be conducted to identify area of improvement.	Works area/ During construction phase	N/O
Ecology		<u> </u>	-1
S9.7.2	Construction activities would be confined to developed areas of low ecological value, and there would be no direct impact to other habitats within the Assessment Area.	Works area / During construction phase	√
S9.7.4	To mitigate the noise impacts to habitats and associated wildlife within and adjacent to	Works area / During	✓

Notes (*): ✓ - Compliance; N/A - Not Applicable; N/O - Not Observed; Rdr - Reminder; Obs - Observation; N/C - Non Compliance

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *	
	the proposed works area, quite mechanical plants and well-maintained plants should be used wherever possible. Noise-emitting construction plant should be installed away from the egretry as far as practical. Schedule of construction programme should be carefully planned to avoid noise-generating construction activities with high disturbance impact during the breeding seasons of the ardeids (i.e. mid-March to August).	construction phase		
S9.7.5	Noise barrier should also be implemented to mitigate the noise impact in operation phase. To minimize the bird collision impact, pprecautionary and bird-friendly approach to noise barrier design should be implemented:	Works area / during construction phase		
	The transparent materials of the noise barriers would be non-glaring and not light-reflective.		N/O N/O	
	Noise barrier panels would be with either tinted materials, embedded opaque stripes or superimposed patterns of thin opaque stripes.		14/0	
	Noise barrier would be made visible to birds, such as putting falcon stickers on the transparent panels.		N/O	
S9.7.7	Standard good site practice measures should be implemented throughout the construction phase. The measures should include:	Works area / during construction phase		
	Placement of equipment in designated works areas selected on existing disturbed land.		N/A	
	Construction activities should be restricted to the proposed works area that would be clearly demarcated.		✓	
	The proposed works area should be reinstated immediately after completion of the works.		N/O	
	Open burning on proposed works sites is illegal, and should be strictly enforced.		✓	
	Waste skips should be provided to collect general refuse and construction wastes. The wastes should be disposed of timely and properly off-site.		N/O	
	Any soil contamination with fuel leaked from construction plants should be removed off-site.		√	
S9.7.8	To minimize the construction dust impact to the vegetation within and in vicinity of the proposed works area, the following mitigation measures as listed below should be implemented:	Works area / During construction phase		

EIA Ref #	Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Status *				
	Regular watering should be used during the construction stage.		√				
	Any aggregate or dusty material storage piles should be completely covered.		N/O				
	Minimum practical height for dropping of excavated material should be applied.		N/O				
S9.7.9	To minimize the indirect impacts to the nearby Tuen Mun River Channel, the following measures should be implemented:	Works area / during construction phase					
	Any runoff and drainage water with high levels of suspended solids should be prevented from entering the nearby water-bodies.		√				
	Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to avoid and minimise the risk of sedimentation and pollution of the nearby stream courses and drainage culvert.		✓				
	The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions.		N/O				
	Debris and rubbish generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly.		✓				
S9.7.10	Compensatory planting of a ratio not less than 1:1 ratio in terms of quality and quantity should be provided to compensate for the loss of roadside trees due to the construction works.	Works area / during construction phase	√				
Landscape and Visual			1				
Table 10.6	CM1 Topsoil, where identified and practical, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works.	Work site / During Construction Phase	N/O				
Table 10.6	CM2 Existing trees to be retained on site should be carefully protected during construction.	Work site / During Construction Phase	√				
Table 10.6	CM3 Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical.	Work site / During Construction Phase	✓				
Table 10.6	CM4 Compensatory tree planting should be provided to compensate for felled trees. Work site / During Construction Phase						
Table 10.6	CM5 Control of night-time lighting. Work site / During Construction Phase						
Table 10.6	CM6 Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with the surrounding setting.	Work site / During Construction Phase	N/O				

Appendix H

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Name of Department: HyD

HY/2007/14 Contract No.:

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2009 (year)

П	р) [] 8]	₽.	0	5	2	5	2	10	10	5	10	0	0			
	Others, e.g. general refuse	(im '000m²)	0.010	0.005	0.015	0.005	0.025	0.015	0.075	0.015	0.035	0.030	0.010			
Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly	Chemical Waste	(in '000kg)	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	8.0	1.8	0	0.5	0.32	0.7			
	Plastics (see Note 3)	(in '000kg)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Paper' cardboard packaging	(m '000kg)	0.010	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.012	0.013	0.075	0.010	0.015	800.0	0.007			
Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly	Metals	(Fin ,000 kg)	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.020	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004			
	Imported Fill	(m ,000m³)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Disposed as Public Fill	(in 1000m³)	090.0	0.735	0.645	0.330	0.820	1.160	3.750	0.490	0.765	1.125	0.490			
	Reused in other Projects	(¿m000, m)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Reused in the Contract	(in '000m ³)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	(_E m000, m)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Total Quantity Generated	(m,000m³)	090.0	0.735	0.645	0.330	0.820	1.160	3.750	0.490	0.765	1.125	0.490			
	Month		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	Sub-total	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total

Notes: (1) (2) (3)

Not Used.

The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site. Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material

Appendix I

Environmental
Monitoring Programme
for Coming Months

Contract No. HY/2007/14 Widening of Tuen Mun Road at Tsing Tin Interchange Tentative Impact Monitoring Schedule (Nov 2009) Revision 1

Date	Air	Quality	Noise	Landscape &	Weekly
	1-hour TSP	24-hours TSP	L _{Aeq} , 30 min	Visual	Site Inspection
1-Nov-09 Sun					
2-Nov-09 Mon					
3-Nov-09 Tue					
4-Nov-09 Wed					SSEMC
5-Nov-09 Thu					
6-Nov-09 Fri					
7-Nov-09 Sat					
8-Nov-09 Sun					
9-Nov-09 Mon					
10-Nov-09 Tue					
11-Nov-09 Wed					
12-Nov-09 Thu					
13-Nov-09 Fri					
14-Nov-09 Sat					
15-Nov-09 Sun					
16-Nov-09 Mon					
17-Nov-09 Tue					
18-Nov-09 Wed					
19-Nov-09 Thu					
20-Nov-09 Fri 21-Nov-09 Sat					
21-Nov-09 Sat 22-Nov-09 Sun					
23-Nov-09 Mon					
24-Nov-09 Tue					
25-Nov-09 Wed					
26-Nov-09 Thu					
27-Nov-09 Fri					
28-Nov-09 Sat					
29-Nov-09 Sun					
30-Nov-09 Mon			1		

Public Holiday Monitoring Day