
ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Limited

Development of a Biodiesel Plant at Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report for March 2023

(version 1.0)



REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties.

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD Room 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388 Email: <u>info@cinotech.com.hk</u>

Our Ref: D1067_U02744



26 April 2023

By Fax (3107 1388)

CINOTECH Consultants Limited Rm 1710, Technology Park, 18 On Lai Street, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

For the attention of Ms. Karina CHAN

Dear Madam,

Development of a Biodiesel Plant at Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate - Operation Phase

IEC Certification on Draft Monthly EM&A Report (March 2023)

We refer to your submission of the draft monthly EM&A report (March 2023 - v1.0) via email on 24 April 2023.

We write to advise that we have no adverse comment on the capioned report. We hereby remind you to keep tracking on the conditions of the equipment in order to avoid the recurrence of exceedance event. For any investigation regarding enquires or complaints, to be required in future, you are recommended to include such investigation details and results in the Monthly EM&A Report accordingly.

Yours faithfully For and on behalf of Mannings (Asia) Consultants Ltd

Mark CHEUNG Independent Environemental Checker KTC/SNG/BLE/lew

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----|--|--------|
| EX | ECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| | Introduction Environmental Licenses and Permits Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works Key Information in the Reporting Month | 1 1 |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| | Background Project Organizations Summary of EM&A Requirements | 2 |
| 2 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSING AND PERMITTING | 4 |
| 3 | ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 6 |
| | Air Quality Water Quality Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil | 9 |
| 4 | MONITORING METHODOLOGY | |
| | Air Quality Water Quality Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil | 13 |
| 5 | MONITORING RESULTS | 15 |
| | Air Quality Water Quality Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil | 16 |
| 6 | SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT AND PROSECUTION | 18 |
| 7 | CONCLUSIONS | 19 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table I | Summary of Key Information in March 2023 | 2 |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 1–1 | Key Project Contacts | 3 |
| Table 2–1 | Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status | 4 |
| Table 3–1 | Monitoring Criteria for the Emission from Stacks of Boiler, Biogas Flare and Process | |
| | Building | 6 |
| Table 3–2 | Monitoring Criteria for the Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber and Odour | |
| | Patrols along the Project Site Boundary | 7 |
| Table 3–3 | Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring | 8 |
| Table 3–4 | Monitoring Criteria for the Water Quality of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Si | te |
| | and Stormwater Discharge | 9 |
| Table 3–5 | Event and Action Plan for Water Quality Monitoring | |
| Table 3–6 | Monitoring Criteria for Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil | 11 |
| Table 4–1 | Methodologies for Monitoring of Emission from Stack of Boiler | |
| Table 4–2 | Methodology for Monitoring of Emission from Stack of Process Building | 12 |
| Table 4–3 | Methodology for Monitoring of Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber | 13 |
| Table 4–4 | Methodologies for Water Quality Monitoring of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project | t |
| | Site 13 | |
| Table 4–5 | Methodologies for Water Quality Monitoring of Stormwater Discharge | 14 |
| Table 5–1 | Monitoring Result of the Odour Concentrations at the Boiler Stack (EP2) | 15 |
| Table 5–2 | Monitoring Result of Emission from the Stack of Process Building | 15 |
| Table 5–3 | Monitoring Result of the Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber | 16 |
| Table 5–4 | Monitoring Result of Odour Patrols along Site Boundary | 16 |
| Table 5–5 | Water Quality Monitoring Result of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Site | |
| Table 5–6 | Water Quality Monitoring Result of Stormwater Discharge | 17 |
| Table 5–7 | Monitoring Result of Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil | 17 |
| | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Location Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix B Not Used
- Appendix C Air Quality Monitoring Report Emission from Stack of Process Building
- Appendix D Air Quality Monitoring Report Odour Measurement at Final Air Scrubber
- Appendix E Air Quality Monitoring Report Odour Patrol
- Appendix F Water Quality Monitoring Result Effluent from Wastewater Treatment Plant
- Appendix G Water Quality Monitoring Result Stormwater Discharge
- Appendix H Test Result Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil
- Appendix I Complaint Log

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 84th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Limited for Development of a Biodiesel Plant at Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate in operational phase. This report documents the findings of EM&A works carried out in March 2023.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

- 2. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the followings:
 - Environmental Permit, EP-319/2009/D, granted on 28 January 2014;
 - Specified Process Licence, L-25-019(3), granted on 29 September 2020 &
 - Water Pollution Control Ordinance Licence, WT00040341-2022, granted on 10 February 2022

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works

- 3. Environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project were carried out in accordance with the criteria and requirements listed in the EM&A Manual, Environmental Permit, Specified Process Licence and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) Licence granted. Monitoring results were checked and reviewed.
- 4. As there was limited biogas production in the reporting month, emission from stack of biogas flare cannot be sampled. Therefore, monitoring on emission from the stack was suspended until sufficient amount of biogas is produced.

Key Information in the Reporting Month

5. Summary of key information in this reporting month (March 2023) is listed in **Table I**.

| Table I | Summary of Key | Information in I | March 2023 |
|---------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| | | | |

| Event | E | vent Details | Action Taken | Status | Remark |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Event | Number | Nature | ACTION TAKEN | Status | кешагк |
| Exceedance of Action & Limit Levels | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |
| Complaint received | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |
| Changes to the assumptions and key construction / operation activities recorded | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |
| Status of submissions under EP | Monthly EM&A1Reports:Feb 2023 v1.0 | | Submitted to EPD on 17 Mar 2023 | Verified by IEC | |
| Notifications of any summons & prosecutions | 0 | | N/A | N/A | |

1 INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 ASB Biodiesel Plant (hereafter referred to as "the Plant") was established in 2013 for the production of biodiesel and crude glycerine using cooking oil (UCO) and grease trap waste (GTW). The plant is located at Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate (see **Figure 1.1** for the location plan of Project Site) and are able to produce 100,000 tonnes of lowcarbon fuel per year for selling to both local and overseas market. The plant uses multifeedstock which consists of UCO, oil and grease recovered from GTW, palm fatty acid distillate (PFAD) and animal fats. The plant offers a convenient recycling outlet for GTW and UCO, and converts oil and grease recovered from these wastes into useful products. The Project also offers a more environmental-friendly alternative to the diesel fuel market in Hong Kong.
 - 1.2 This Project is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499). An environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to identify and evaluate the impact on environment (e.g. air quality, noise, water quality and ecology), and propose possible measures to mitigate the impact. The EIA Report was approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 26 February 2009.
 - 1.3 Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-319/2009 was issued on 11 March 2009 to ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Limited as the Permit Holder. After several rounds of amendments, the latest version is EP No. EP-319/2009/D, which was issued on 28 January 2014.
 - 1.4 Construction of the Plant has been completed since November 2013. After more than 2 years of commissioning trial, the Plant started to operate in April 2016. Cinotech Consultants Limited was commissioned by ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Limited to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) works for the Project. This is the 84th Monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works in operational phase for the Project in March 2023.

Project Organizations

- 1.5 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
 - Project Proponent & Operator –
- ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Limited
- Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Mannings (Asia) Consultants Ltd.
- Environmental Team (ET) Cinotech Consultants Limited
- 1.6 The responsibilities of respective parties are detailed in Section 2 of the Final EM&A Manual of the Project.
- 1.7 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1–1.**

| Party Role | | Name | Position | Phone No. |
|------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|
| ASB | Permit Holder & | Mr. Martin Hui | Plant Manager | 3183 4338 |
| ASD | Operator | Mr. Tommy Fung | Process Engineer | 3183 4306 |
| Manninga | Independent Environmental | Mr. Mark Cheung | Independent Environmental Checker | 3168 2028 |
| Mannings | Checker | Mr. Bryan Leung | Assistant to Independent Environmental Checker | 3183 4338 3183 4306 |
| Cinotech | Environmental | Dr. HF Chan | ET Leader | 2151 2088 |
| | Team | Ms. Karina Chan | Project Coordinator | 2157 3880 |

Table 1–1 Key Project Contacts

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.8 EM&A requirements for the Project include:
 - Monitoring requirements as listed in the Project EM&A Manual;
 - Conditions listed in the Environmental Permit;
 - Monitoring requirements as listed in the Specified Process (SP) Licence granted; &
 - Monitoring requirements as listed in the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) Licence granted

2 STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSING AND PERMITTING

2.1 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in Table 2–1.

Table 2–1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

| Permit / License | Valid Period | | Summony | Status | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| No. | From | То | Summary | Status | | |
| Environmental Per | Environmental Permit (EP) | | | | | |
| EP-319/2009/D | 28/01/2014 | N/A | Operation of a biochemical plant with a storage capacity of more than 500 tonnes and in which substances are processed and produced; a storage, transfer and transhipment of oil facility with a storage capacity of not less than 1,000 tonnes; and a dangerous goods godown with a storage capacity exceeding 500 tonnes | Valid | | |
| Specified Process (| SP) Licence | | | | | |
| L-25-019(3) | 29/09/2020 | 28/09/2022 | • Emission of non-fugitive fixed point emissions | [1] | | |
| L-25-019(2) | 19/07/2018 | 18/07/2020 | • Emission of non-fugitive fixed point emissions | Expired on 18/07/2020 | | |
| L-25-019(1) | 10/10/2013 | 10/10/2015 | • Emission of non-fugitive fixed point emissions | Expired on 10/10/2015 | | |
| Water Pollution Co | ontrol Ordina | nce (WPCO) l | Licence | | | |
| WT00040341- 2022 | 10/02/2022 | 28/02/2024 | Discharge of effluent from wastewater treatment facilities to communal foul sewer; and effluent from floor washing of operation areas to communal storm drain | Valid | | |
| WT00035448- 2019 | 31/12/2019 | 28/02/2022 | Discharge of effluent from wastewater treatment facilities to communal foul sewer; and effluent from floor washing of operation areas to communal storm drain | Expired on 28/02/2022 | | |

| Permit / License | Valid Period | | / License Valid Period | | Valid Period | |
|--|--------------|------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| No. | From | То | Summary | Status | | |
| WT00029932- 2017 | 22/12/2017 | 31/12/2019 | Discharge of effluent from wastewater treatment facilities to communal foul sewer; and effluent from floor washing of operation areas to communal storm drain | Expired on 31/12/2019 | | |
| WT00022972- 2015 | 16/12/2015 | 31/12/2017 | Discharge of effluent from wastewater treatment facilities to communal foul sewer; and effluent from floor washing of operation areas to communal storm drain | Expired on 31/12/2017 | | |
| [1] The SP licence's renewal is in progress. | | | | | | |

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Air Quality

- 3.1 According to Section 4.3 of the Final EM&A Manual of the Project, the emission from stacks of boiler, biogas flare and process building, and odour concentrations at the final air scrubber shall be monitored. Odour patrols along the Project Site boundary is also required.
- 3.2 Monitoring criteria (i.e. frequency, parameter, and action & limit levels) for the emission of the boiler stack, biogas flare and process building are listed in **Table 3–1**, while criteria for odour concentrations at the final air scrubber and odour patrols along the Project Site boundary are listed in **Table 3–2**.

Table 3–1 Monitoring Criteria for the Emission from Stacks of Boiler, Biogas Flare and Process Building

| Stack | Frequency * | Parameter | Limit Levels** | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| | | Nitrogen oxides (NO _X) | 2.213 kg/h | | |
| | | Carbon monoxide (CO) | 0.553 kg/h | | |
| | | Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) | 0.797 kg/h | | |
| Boiler (EP2) | Once per quarter. (If the | Non-methane Organic Compounds (NMOC) | 0.041 kg/h | | |
| () | limit level(s) is exceeded, the frequency shall be increased to once per month | Respirable suspended particulates | 0.111 kg/h | | |
| | until compliance for three consecutive months is | Odour | 2,400 OU/s | | |
| | obtained and the frequency | Exhaust gas velocity | 10.00 m/s (minimum) | | |
| | e | NO _X | 0.053 kg/h | | |
| Biogas | | СО | 0.018 kg/h | | |
| Flare | | SO ₂ | 0.039 kg/h | | |
| (EP1) | | NMOC | 0.0018 kg/h | | |
| | | Exhaust gas velocity | 0.54 m/s (minimum) | | |
| | Once per two months | Acetyldehyde | 0.0975 kg/h | | |
| | (If the limit level(s) is exceeded, the frequency | Methanol | 0.0975 kg/h | | |
| Process Building (EP3) | shall be increased to once per month until compliance for three consecutive months is obtained and the frequency will be resumed to once per 2 months thereafter.) | Exhaust gas velocity | 0.79 m/s (minimum) | | |
| * The frequencies are updated according to the latest SP Lcense and Monitoring will not be carried out during raining days | | | | | |
| ** No action level is set in the Final EM&A Manual of the Project and in the SP Licence | | | | | |

| Table 3–2 Monitoring Criteria for the Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber |
|--|
| and Odour Patrols along the Project Site Boundary |

| | Frequency | Parameter | Action Levels | Limit Levels | |
|---|--|----------------------|---|--|--|
| Odour Concentrations | | Odour | | 28.6 OU/s | |
| at the Final Air Scrubber (EP5) | Once per month.* | Exhaust gas velocity | _ ** | 0.70 m/s (minimum) | |
| Odour Patrols along the Project Site Boundary | Two times a day, one in the morning and one in the afternoon Once a month for the licence period; and If the action level is triggered, the frequency will be increased to twice a month until compliance with the action level for three consecutive months is obtained and the frequency will be resumed to once a month thereafter. | Odour Intensity | Odour intensity ≥ Class 2 recorded; or One documented complaint received | • Odour intensity ≥ Class 3 recorded on 2 consecutive patrols | |
| Monitoring will not be carried out during raining days ** No action level was set in the Final EM&A Manual of the Project and in the Specified Process Licence | | | | | |

3.3 If action / limit levels are exceeded, the following actions should be taken by the ET:

- Inform Project Proponent and IEC, and investigate and record the cause of exceedance within 24 hours;
- Repeat measurement to confirm findings; and
- Implement the event and action plan as shown in **Table 3–3**.

| | | Actions | 5 |
|---|--|--|---|
| Event | ET Leader | IEC | Project Proponent |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for stack emission from boiler, biogas flare, process building and final air scrubber | Repeat measurement to confirm finding Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Inform Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Discuss remedial actions with the Project Proponent Assess the effectiveness of Project Proponent's remedial actions For the monitoring of emissions from the stacks of the boiler, biogas flare and process building, increase the monitoring frequency from half-yearly (for the second year onward) to monthly intervals. If results of three consecutive monthly monitoring show no exceedance of the limit level, the monitoring frequency will be reverted back to half-yearly | Verify the Notification of Exceedance submitted by the ET Leader Check with the Project Proponent on the operating activities and implementation of control measures Discuss with ET Leader and Project Proponent on the possible remedial actions Advise the Project Proponent on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures | Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary |
| Exceedance of Action Level for odour | intervals. Identify source(s) / reason of exceedance or complain Prepare the odour complain form or the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Discuss remedial actions with the Project Proponent During the second year of operation, if the action level is triggered, the frequency will be resumed to monthly until compliance with the action level for three consecutive months is obtained and the frequency will be reduced to quarterly intervals thereafter. | • Verify the Notification of Exceedance submitted by the ET Leader | Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary |

Table 3–3Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring

| Event | Actions | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|
| Event | ET Leader | IEC | Project Proponent |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for odour | Identify source(s) / reason of exceedance or complain Prepare the odour complain form or the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Inform Project Proponent whether the cause of exceedance is due to the Project Assess the effectiveness of Project Proponent's remedial actions or amended design | Proponent on the operating activities and implementation of control measures | • 1 |

Water Quality

- 3.4 According to Section 6.3 of the Final EM&A Manual of the Project, the water quality of treated effluent discharged from Project Site and stormwater discharge shall be monitored.
- 3.5 Monitoring criteria (i.e. frequency, parameter, and limit levels) for the water quality of treated effluent discharged from Project Site and stormwater discharge are listed in Table 3–4.

Table 3–4 Monitoring Criteria for the Water Quality of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Site and Stormwater Discharge

| Discharge | Frequency | Parameter | Limit Levels* |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | pH | Within the range of 6 - 10 |
| | | Suspended Solids | 800 mg/L |
| Treated | | Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 days, 20 °C) | 800 mg/L |
| Effluent | Monthly | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | 2000 mg/L |
| Discharged from | Monthly | Oil & Grease | 50 mg/L |
| Project Site | | Sulphate | 1000 mg/L |
| | | Total Nitrogen | 200 mg/L |
| | | Total Phosphorus | 50 mg/L |
| | | pH | Within the range of 6 – 9 |
| C to manual to m | Stormwater Discharge Quarterly | Suspended Solids | 50 mg/L |
| Discharge Q | | Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 days, 20 °C) | 50 mg/L |
| | | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | 100 mg/L |
| | | Oil & Grease | 30 mg/L |
| * No action level was set in the WPCO Licence | | | |

- 3.6 If limit levels are exceeded, the following actions should be taken by the ET:
 - Inform Project Proponent and IEC, and investigate and record the cause of exceedance within 24 hours;
 - Repeat measurement to confirm findings; and
 - Implement the event and action plan as shown in Table 3–5.

Table 3–5 Event and Action Plan for Water Quality Monitoring

| E-core 4 | Actions | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Event | ET Leader | IEC | Project Proponent |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Site | Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Repeat measurement to confirm finding Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Discuss remedial actions with the Project Proponent Assess the effectiveness of Project Proponent's remedial actions | Verify the Notification of Exceedance submitted by the ET Leader Check with Contractor on the operating activities and implementation of landfill gas control measures Discuss with ET Leader and Contractor on the possible remedial actions Advise the IC on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures | Check the performance of the on-site WWTP Rectify any unacceptable performance Carry out remedial measures or amend design as required Implement amended design, if necessary |
| Exceedance of Limit Level for Stormwater Discharged from the Project Site | Identify source(s) and investigate the cause(s) of exceedance Repeat measurement to confirm finding Prepare the Notification of Exceedance within 24 hours Discuss remedial actions with the Project Proponent Assess the effectiveness of Project Proponent's remedial actions | Verify the Notification of Exceedance submitted by the ET Leader Check with Project Proponent on the operating activities Discuss with ET Leader and Project Proponent on the possible remedial actions Advise the Project Proponent on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures | Propose and implement remedial measures or amend design as required Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods as required Implement amended working methods, if necessary |

Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil

- 3.7 According to Section 3.11 of the EP-319/2009/D, if Bio Heating Oil (BHO) is used on site, the sulphur content in BHO shall be monitored.
- 3.8 Monitoring criteria (i.e. frequency, parameter, and limit level) for the sulphur content in BHO are listed in **Table 3–6.**

Table 3–6 Monitoring Criteria for Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil

| Frequency | Parameter | Limit Level* |
|---|-----------------|--------------|
| Every tank load of the BHO for the BHO's sulphur content when the fuel tank(s) is being filled/refilled This original frequency shall be adopted in the first three months of using BHO on site. After the first three months of the original monitoring regime, if all monitoring result in the first three months meet the limit level, the frequency may be reduced to one test for every two refills for the next three months; and after the first six months, the monitoring may be conducted once a month. If exceedance occur, the monitoring shall be reverted to the original frequency of a test for every tank load of BHO, or at such a monitoring frequency to be advised and agreed by the EPD's Director. | Sulphur Content | 346 ppm |

3.9 If limit level is exceeded, the following actions should be taken by the ET:

- Inform Project Proponent and IEC within 24 hours;
- Repeat measurement to confirm findings;
- Inform Project Proponent to increase the use of low sulphur diesel in the fuel tank(s) to achieve a fuel mixture with sulphur content of less than 346 ppm; and
- Revert the monitoring programme to the original frequency of a test for every tank load of BHO, or at such a monitoring frequency to be advised and agreed by the EPD's Director.

4 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

Air Quality

Emission from Stack of Boiler

4.1 Emission from the stack of boiler was sampled and analyzed. Methods adopted for analysis are listed in **Table 4–1**. In addition to parameters listed in **Table 4–1**, exhaust gas velocity was measured.

Table 4–1 Methodologies for Monitoring of Emission from Stack of Boiler

| Parameter | Methodology |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Nitrogen oxides (NOx) | USEPA Method 7C |
| Carbon monoxide (CO) | USEPA Method 10B |
| Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) | USEPA Method 6 |
| Non-methane organic compounds (NMOC) | USEPA Method TO-12 |
| Respirable suspended particulates | USEPA Method 201A |
| Odour | European Standard Method (EN13725) |

Emission from Stack of Biogas Flare

4.2 As there was limited biogas production in the reporting month, emission from stack of biogas flare cannot be sampled. Therefore, monitoring on emission from the stack was suspended until sufficient amount of biogas is produced.

Emission from Stack of Process Building

4.3 Emission from the stack of process building was sampled and analyzed. Methods adopted for analysis are listed in **Table 4–2**. In addition to parameters listed in **Table 4–2**, exhaust gas velocity was measured.

 Table 4–2 Methodology for Monitoring of Emission from Stack of Process Building

| Parameter | Methodology |
|--------------|---------------------|
| Acetaldehyde | USEPA Method TO-11A |
| Methanol | USEPA Method TO-14A |

Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber

4.4 Gas in the final air scrubber was sampled and analyzed. Method adopted for analysis is listed in **Table 4–3**. In addition to parameter listed in **Table 4–3**, exhaust gas velocity was measured. Detailed methodology for odour monitoring in the final air scrubber is presented in **Appendix D**.

Table 4–3 Methodology for Monitoring of Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber

| Parameter | Methodology |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Odour concentration | European Standard Method (EN13725) |

Odour Patrols along Site Boundary

4.5 Odour patrols are carried out by a qualified odour panelist in both morning and afternoon. During odour patrol, the panelist identified the odour nature and determined the odour intensity, which is expressed using an odour intensity scale, at all 5 selected locations. Weather conditions including prevailing weather, wind direction and wind speed were also recorded. Detailed methodology for odour patrol is presented in **Appendix E**.

Water Quality

Water Quality of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Site

4.6 Treated effluent discharged from Project Site was sampled and analyzed. Methodologies for water quality monitoring followed either American Public Health Association's (APHA's) "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater" or Hach Method, which are listed in **Table 4–4**. In addition to the parameters listed in **Table 4–4**, pH was measured.

| Table 4–4 Methodologies for Water | uality Monitoring of Treated Effluent Discharged |
|---|--|
| from Project Site | |

| Parameter | Methodology |
|--|-------------------|
| Suspended Solids (S.S.) | APHA 2540D |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 days, 20 °C) | APHA 5210B |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | Hach Method 8000 |
| Oil & Grease | APHA 5520B |
| Sulphate | Hach Method 10248 |
| Total Nitrogen | Hach Method 10071 |
| Total Phosphorus | Hach Method 8190 |

Water Quality of Stormwater Discharge

4.7 Treated effluent discharged from Project Site was sampled and analyzed. Methodologies for water quality monitoring followed either American Public Health Association's (APHA's) "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater" or Hach Method, which are listed in **Table 4–5**. In addition to the parameters listed in **Table 4–5**, pH was measured.

 Table 4–5 Methodologies for Water Quality Monitoring of Stormwater Discharge

| Parameter | Methodology |
|--|------------------|
| Suspended Solids (S.S.) | APHA 2540D |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 days, 20 °C) | APHA 5210B |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | Hach Method 8000 |
| Oil & Grease | APHA 5520B |

Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil

4.8 One BHO in the tank are sampled to analyse its sulphur content in accordance with EN-ISO-20486:2011: Determination of sulphur content of automotive fuels – Ultraviolet fluorescence method.

5 MONITORING RESULTS

Air Quality

Emission from Stack of Boiler

- 5.1 As the parameters listed in **Table 3–1** for the emission from stack of boiler are monitored on a quarterly basis, no measurement was carried out for the emissions from the stack of boiler in Mar 2023. The next monitoring shall be conducted in Apr 2023.
- 5.2 Odour measurement at the boiler stack (EP2) outlet was also carried out on 28 Mar 2023 and no exceedance of the limit level was recorded. The monitoring results for the boiler stack are summarised in **Table 5–1**.

Table 5–1 Monitoring Result of the Odour Concentrations at the Boiler Stack (EP2)

| Parameter | Limit Level | Monitoring Result* | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Odour | 2400 OU/s | 195 OU/s | |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 10.0 m/s (minimum) | 17.0 m/s | |
| * Average result of all trials is presented | | | |

5.3 Detail monitoring result of the emission from the stack of boiler is presented in Appendix A.

Emission from Stack of Biogas Flare

5.4 Emission from stack of biogas flare was not monitored in March 2023 (see Section 4.2 for details).

Emission from Stack of Process Building

- 5.5 As the parameters for the emission from the stack of process building, listed in Table 3–1, are monitored on a bimonthly basis, a measurement was carried on 28 Mar 2023, and the monitoring result for the emission from the stack of process building is presented in Table 5–2 and the detailed monitoring result report is presented in Appendix C.
- 5.6 The minimum required exhaust gas velocity for the stack of process building was set at full load condition (100,000 tonnes annually). However, as the production in the plant was not under full load condition in the reporting month, the measured exhaust gas velocity for the monitoring of the emission from the stack of process building was lower than the minimum efflux velocity of the exhaust gas.

Table 5–2 Monitoring Result of Emission from the Stack of Process Building

| Parameter | Limit Level | Monitoring Result* | |
|---|-------------|--------------------|--|
| Acetyldehyde | 0.0975 kg/h | <0.00004 kg/hr | |
| Methanol | 0.0975 kg/h | <0.0006 kg/hr | |
| Exhaust gas velocity0.79 m/s (minimum)0.3 m/s [1] | | | |
| * Average result of all trials is presented [1] The result was lower than the minimum efflux velocity since it was not under full load condition | | | |

Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber

5.7 The monitoring result of the odour concentrations at the final air scrubber on 28 Mar 2023 is presented in **Table 5–3**. No exceedance of Limit Level was reported. Detailed monitoring result of the odour concentrations at the final air scrubber is presented in **Appendix D**.

 Table 5–3 Monitoring Result of the Odour Concentrations at the Final Air Scrubber

| Parameter | Limit Level | Monitoring Result* |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| Odour | 28.6 OU/s | 4.3 OU/s |
| Exhaust gas velocity | 0.7 m/s (minimum) | 0.7 m/s |
| * Average result of all trials is presented | • | |

Odour Patrols along Site Boundary

5.8 According to Table 3–2, the monitoring was conducted once in Mar 2023. The monitoring result of the odour patrol, carried out on 28 Mar 2023, is presented in Table 5–4. No exceedance of the Limit Level was reported. Detailed monitoring result of odour patrols along site boundary is presented in Appendix E.

 Table 5–4 Monitoring Result of Odour Patrols along Site Boundary

| Patrol | Location | Action Level | Limit Level | Odour Intensity | Odour Nature | | |
|-----------|----------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----|----------------|
| | 1 | Odour intensity ≥Class 2 recorded; or One documented complaint | - | | | 1 | Oil and Grease |
| | 2 | | | | 0 | N/A | |
| Morning | 3 | | 0.1 | 0 | N/A | | |
| | 4 | | Odour intensity | 1 | Oil and Grease | | |
| | 5 | | or \geq Class 3 | 1 | Oil and Grease | | |
| | 1 | | recorded on 2 | 1 | Oil and Grease | | |
| | 2 | | documented complaint | consecutive | 0 | N/A | |
| Afternoon | | patrols | 0 | N/A | | | |
| | 4 | | | 1 | Oil and Grease | | |
| | 5 | | | 1 | Oil and Grease | | |

Water Quality

Water Quality of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Site

5.9 The water quality monitoring result of treated effluent discharged from Project Site on 23 Mar 2023 is presented in Table 5–5. No exceedance of Limit Level was reported. Detailed water quality monitoring result of treated effluent discharged from Project Site is presented in Appendix F.

Total Phosphorus

Suspended Solids

Biochemical Oxygen Demand

(BOD) (5 days, 20 °C)

Oil & Grease

38

160

41

70

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

| Site | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Parameter | Limit Level | Monitoring Re | esult |
| рН | Within the range of 6 - 10 | 7.5 | N/A |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | 2000 mg/L | 102 | mg/L |
| Sulphate | 1000 mg/L | 200 | mg/L |
| Total Nitrogen | 200 mg/L | 20 | mg/L |

50 mg/L

800 mg/L

50 mg/L

800 mg/L

Table 5–5 Water Quality Monitoring Result of Treated Effluent Discharged from Project Site

Water Quality of Stormwater Discharge

5.10 The water quality monitoring results of the stormwater discharge on 24 Mar 2023 are presented in **Table 5–6**. No exceedance of Limit Level was reported. Detailed water quality monitoring result of treated effluent discharged from Project Site is presented in **Appendix G**.

 Table 5–6
 Water Quality Monitoring Result of Stormwater Discharge

| Parameter | Limit Level | Monitoring Result | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|------|--|
| рН | Within the range of 6 - 9 | 8.0 | N/A | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | 100 mg/L | 44 | mg/L | |
| Suspended Solids | 50 mg/L | 11 | mg/L | |
| Oil & Grease | 30 mg/L | 13 | mg/L | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 days, 20 °C) | 50 mg/L | 19 | mg/L | |

Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil

5.11 The monitoring result of sulphur content in Bio Heating Oil (BHO) is presented in Table 5–7. No exceedance of Limit Level was reported. Detailed monitoring result of sulphur content in Bio Heating Oil is presented in Appendix H.

Table 5–7 Monitoring Result of Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil

| Sampling Date | Limit Level | Monitoring Result |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 29 Mar 2023 | 346 ppm | 224 ppm |


6 SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT AND PROSECUTION

- 6.1 No environmental related complaints were received in the reporting month. There are no on-going investigations on previous complaints.
- 6.2 In total, 27 environmental complaints, 7 notifications of summons, and 6 successful prosecutions were received since the operation of Project. The Complaint Log is attached in **Appendix I**.

7 CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1 In March 2023, environmental monitoring and audit works were carried out in accordance with criteria and requirements listed in the Project EM&A Manual, Environmental Permit EP-319/2009D, Specified Process Licence L-25-019(3) and Water Pollution Control Ordinance Licence WT00040341-2022.
- 7.2 Monitoring of air quality, water quality and sulphur content in Bio Heating Oil were carried out at designated locations. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels were recorded.
- 7.3 No environmental related complaints were received in the reporting month. In total, 27 environmental complaints, 7 notifications of summons, and 6 successful prosecutions were received since the operation of Project.

FIGURES



APPENDIX A Air Quality Monitoring Report – Emission from Stack Of Boiler



| Report No. | : | A00017021(0) | Date : 2023-04-14 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Application No. | : | L0008136(7) | |
| Applicant | : | ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD. 22 CHUN WANG STREET, TSEUNG KWAN O INDUSTRIAL ESTATE NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG | |
| Project Name | | : Odour Measurement on Tseung Kwan O Biod ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. | iesel Plant for |
| Sampling Point | | : Boiler – EP2. | |
| Sampling Date | | : 2023-03-28. | |
| Test Requested | | Odour Measurement in accordance with the Eq. (EN13725), including Collect two odour samples at the final air sc samples to laboratory for olfactometry analy Conduct laboratory olfactometry analysis to the collected odour samples; Calculate the odour emission rate. | erubber and deliver the collected ysis; |
| Methodology | | : Refer to pages 2 to 3. | |
| Test Result | | : Refer to page 4. | |

For and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Winnie

Page 1 of 9

Authorized Signature :

Tam Wing Kwun, Winnie Assistant Manager

The conformity statement stated in Conclusion above is based on the decision rule agreed with applicant and listed in <u>www.cmatesting.org/qac/statement-of-conformity.pdf</u>. This document is issued subject to the latest CMA Testing General Terms and Conditions of Testing and Inspection Services, available on request or accessible at website <u>www.cmatesting.org</u>. This document shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval by CMA Testing. The results apply to the sample as received unless otherwise specified. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested.



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Date : 2023-04-14

Methodology

Odour Sampling

Odour gas sample is collected by a Sampling Device Standard consists of a vacuum container, which is evacuated by a vacuum pump. The sampling point and the standard sampler are connected by a probe. Due to the evacuation in the sampling device, the sample bag, inside the device, sucks in sample air via the probe. During this process, none of its components come into contact with the sample air due to the construction of the sampling device.



Odour Sampling System



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Odour Measurement by Olfactometry

Date : 2023-04-14

Odour concentration is determined by a Dynamic Olfactometer (TO9) in accordance with the European Standard Method (EN13725). This European Standard specifies a method for the objective determination of the odour concentration of a gaseous sample using dynamic olfactometry with human assessors and the emission rate of odours emanating from point sources, area sources with outward flow and area sources without outward flow. This European Standard is applicable to the measurement of odour concentration of pure substances, defined mixtures and undefined mixtures of gaseous odorants in air or nitrogen, using dynamic olfactometry with a panel of human assessors being the sensor. The unit of measurement is the odour unit percubic metre: OU_E/m^3 . The odour concentration is measured by determining the dilution factor required to reach the detection threshold. The odour concentration at the detection threshold is defined as 1 OU_E/m^3 . The odour concentration is then expressed in terms of multiples of the detection threshold. The range of measurement is typically from $2^2 OU_E/m^3$ to $2^{17} OU_E/m^3$ (excluding pre- dilution).



Determination of Odour Emission Rate

The odour emission rate (OER) can be calculated by the following equation:

OER (ou/s) = Odour concentration (OU_E/m^3) x Cross section area of outlet (m^2) x Outlet gas flow velocity (m/s).



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Date : 2023-04-14

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Results

| Trial | Date | Time | Туре | a (m ²) | V (m/s) | OC (OU _E /m ³) | OER (ou/s) |
|-------|------------|-------|------|------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| 1 | 2023-03-28 | 10:35 | А | 0.44 | 17 | 28 | 210 |
| 2 | 2023-03-28 | 10:40 | Α | 0.44 | 17 | 24 | 180 |

Note : A: Ambient.

a: Cross section area. V: Gas flow velocity. OC: Odour concentration. OER: Odour emission rate.

***** End of Report *****

Page 4 of 9



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Photo of Location (EP2):

Date : 2023-04-14

Appendix

Page 5 of 9



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Certificates for the qualified odour panel members



| Certificate for a | Qualified Odour Panel Member |
|---|--|
| Serial No. | : P-053 |
| Odour Panel Member | : Leo Yip |
| Date of Screening Test | : 13 Feb 2023 15 Feb 2023 17 Feb 2023 |
| Valid Until | : 16 Aug 2023 |
| laboratory between 13 Feb 202 | |
| of 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standar | is of n-butnaol in nitrogen gas was found to be in the range d deviation of $R < 2.3$, which comply with the requirement hod of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). |
| The participant is Approved an patrol and olfactometry analysi | nd Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odour is. |
| | |
| | |
| Signed for and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development I | Foundation Limited |
| Winie | |
| Tam Wing Kwun, Winnie Assistant Manager – Environm | ental Division |
| Date: 17 Feb 2023 | |
| | |

Page 6 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Room 1302, Yan Hing Centre, 9-13 Wong Chuk Yeung St., Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Tel: (852) 2698 8198 Fax: (852) 2695 4177 E-mail: <u>info@cmatesting.org</u> Web Site: <u>http://www.cmatesting.org</u>

Date : 2023-04-14



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14

| ESTING | |
|---|--|
| Certificate for a | Qualified Odour Panel Member |
| Serial No. | : P-055 |
| Odour Panel Member | : Bowie Lam |
| Date of Screening Test | : 13 Feb 2023 15 Feb 2023 17 Feb 2023 |
| Valid Until | : 16 Aug 2023 |
| of the European Standard Meth by Dynamic Olfactometry (EN | od of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). |
| | d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odour s. |
| The participant is Approved an | 5. |
| The participant is Approved an patrol and olfactometry analysis Signed for and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development F | s. Foundation Limited |

Page 7 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14



| Serial No. | : P-051 |
|---|---|
| Odour Panel Member | : Dickson Wong |
| Date of Screening Test | : 13 Feb 2023 15 Feb 2023 17 Feb 2023 |
| Valid Until | : 16 Aug 2023 |
| This is to certify that Mr. Dick in our laboratory between 13 Fe | son Wong participated in a set of n-butanol screening tests eb 2023 and 17 Feb 2023. |
| of 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standar | s of n-butnaol in nitrogen gas was found to be in the range d deviation of $R \le 2.3$, which comply with the requirement nod of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). |
| The participant is Approved an patrol and olfactometry analysi | nd Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odour s. |
| | |
| Signed for and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development H | Foundation I imited |
| Winie | |
| | |

Page 8 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited



Report No. : A00017021(0)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14

| Certificate for a (| Qualified Odour Panel Member |
|--|---|
| Serial No. | : P-056 |
| Odour Panel Member | : Raymond Ng |
| Date of Screening Test | : 13 Feb 2023 15 Feb 2023 17 Feb 2023 |
| Valid Until | : 16 Aug 2023 |
| our laboratory between 13 Feb 2 The odour threshold test results of 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standard | of n-butnaol in nitrogen gas was found to be in the range deviation of $R \le 2.3$, which comply with the requirement of of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration |
| The participant is Approved and patrol and olfactometry analysis. | d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odour |
| Signed for and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development Fo | oundation Limited |
| Winne | |
| Tam Wing Kwun, Winnie Assistant Manager – Environmen | ntal Division |
| Date: 17 Feb 2023 | |

Page 9 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

APPENDIX C Air Quality Monitoring Report – Emission from Stack of Process Building



| Report No. | : | A00017024(3) | Date : 2023-04-14 |
|-----------------|---|---|-------------------|
| Application No. | : | L0008136(7) | |
| Applicant | : | ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD. 22 CHUN WANG STREET, TSEUNG KWAN O INDUSTRIAL ESTATE NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG | |
| Project Name | | : Stack gas measurement on Tseung Kwan O Biodiesel ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. | Plant for |
| Sampling Point | | : Process Building – EP3. | |
| Sampling Date | | : 2023-03-28. | |
| Test Requested | | 1. Velocity / Volumetric Flow Rate; 2. Acetaldehyde; 3. Methanol. | |
| Methodology | | : Refer to page 2. | |
| Test Result | | : Refer to pages 3 to 4. | |

For and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Umnie

Authorized Signature :

Page 1 of 4

Tam Wing Kwun, Winnie Assistant Manager

The conformity statement stated in Conclusion above is based on the decision rule agreed with applicant and listed in <u>www.cmatesting.org/qac/statement-of-conformity.pdf</u>. This document is issued subject to the latest CMA Testing General Terms and Conditions of Testing and Inspection Services, available on request or accessible at website <u>www.cmatesting.org</u>. This document shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval by CMA Testing. The results apply to the sample as received unless otherwise specified. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested.



Report No. : A00017024(3)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Methodology

Acetaldehyde – USEPA Method TO-11A

:

The emission test was carried out in accordance with the reference TO-11A of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ["USEPA"]. Flue gas was extracted into the sampling system and analyte in the flue gas was absorbed DNPH-coated silica gel absorption tube. Concentration in flue gas was then delivered to laboratory and analysed by liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detector (HPLC-UV).

Methanol – USEPA Method TO-14

The emission test was carried out in accordance with the reference TO-14 of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ["USEPA"]. This was made by sampling the stack flue gas with Tedlar Bag and then analyzed by gas chromatography flame ionization detector (GC/FID).

Date : 2023-04-14



Report No. : A00017024(3)

Date : 2023-04-14

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Sampling Schedule

| Donomotor | Trial | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Parameter | 1 | 2 | | | |
| Acetaldehyde and | 2023-03-28, | 2023-03-28, | | | |
| Methanol | 10:20 - 11:20 | 11:30 - 12:30 | | | |

Page 3 of 4



Report No. : A00017024(3)

Date : 2023-04-14

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Results

| Davamatan | T | Tr | ial |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | Unit | 1 | 2 |
| Average Stack Gas Velocity | m/s | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Average Stack Gas Temperature | °C | 28.1 | 27.9 |
| Aastaldabyda | mg/m ³ | <0.6 | <0.6 |
| Acetaldehyde | kg/hr | < 0.00004 | < 0.00004 |
| Methanol | mg/m ³ | <10 | <10 |
| Meutanoi | kg/hr | < 0.0006 | < 0.0006 |

Note: 1. mg/m³ means milligram per cubic meter, which is corrected to temperature of 273 K and a pressure of 101.3 kilopascals (1atm).

2. "<" denotes less than.

***** End of Report *****

Page 4 of 4

APPENDIX D Air Quality Monitoring Report – Odour Measurement at Final Air Scrubber



| Report No. | : | A00017022(1) | Date : 2023-04-14 |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
| Application No. | : | L0008136(7) | |
| Applicant | : | ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD 22 CHUN WANG STREET, TSEUNG KWAN O INDUSTRIAL EST NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG | |
| Project Name | | : Odour Measurement on Tseung Kwar ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. | n O Biodiesel Plant for |
| Sampling Point | | : The final air scrubber – EP5. | |
| Sampling Date | | : 2023-03-28. | |
| Test Requested | | samples to laboratory for olfactome | nal air scrubber and deliver the collected |
| Methodology | | : Refer to pages 2 to 3. | |
| Test Result | | : Refer to page 4. | |

For and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Winnie

Page 1 of 9

Authorized Signature :

Tam Wing Kwun, Winnie Assistant Manager

The conformity statement stated in Conclusion above is based on the decision rule agreed with applicant and listed in <u>www.cmatesting.org/qac/statement-of-conformity.pdf</u>. This document is issued subject to the latest CMA Testing General Terms and Conditions of Testing and Inspection Services, available on request or accessible at website <u>www.cmatesting.org</u>. This document shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval by CMA Testing. The results apply to the sample as received unless otherwise specified. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested.



Report No. : A00017022(1)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Date : 2023-04-14

Methodology

Odour Sampling

Odour gas sample is collected by a Sampling Device Standard consists of a vacuum container, which is evacuated by a vacuum pump. The sampling point and the standard sampler are connected by a probe. Due to the evacuation in the sampling device, the sample bag, inside the device, sucks in sample air via the probe. During this process, none of its components come into contact with the sample air due to the construction of the sampling device.



Odour Sampling System



Report No. : A00017022(1)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Odour Measurement by Olfactometry

Date : 2023-04-14

Odour concentration is determined by a Dynamic Olfactometer (TO9) in accordance with the European Standard Method (EN13725). This European Standard specifies a method for the objective determination of the odour concentration of a gaseous sample using dynamic olfactometry with human assessors and the emission rate of odours emanating from point sources, area sources with outward flow and area sources without outward flow. This European Standard is applicable to the measurement of odour concentration of pure substances, defined mixtures and undefined mixtures of gaseous odorants in air or nitrogen, using dynamic olfactometry with a panel of human assessors being the sensor. The unit of measurement is the odour unit percubic metre: OU_E/m^3 . The odour concentration is measured by determining the dilution factor required to reach the detection threshold. The odour concentration at the detection threshold is defined as 1 OU_E/m^3 . The odour concentration is then expressed in terms of multiples of the detection threshold. The range of measurement is typically from $2^2 OU_E/m^3$ to $2^{17} OU_E/m^3$ (excluding pre- dilution).



Determination of Odour Emission Rate

The odour emission rate (OER) can be calculated by the following equation:

OER (ou/s) = Odour concentration (OU_E/m^3) x Cross section area of outlet (m^2) x Outlet gas flow velocity (m/s).



Report No. : A00017022(1)

Date : 2023-04-14

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Results

| Trial | Date | Time | Туре | a (m ²) | V (m/s) | OC (OU _E /m³) | OER (ou/s) |
|-------|------------|-------|------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | 2023-03-28 | 11:10 | А | 0.0962 | 0.70 | 60 | 4.0 |
| 2 | 2023-03-28 | 11:15 | А | 0.0962 | 0.70 | 68 | 4.6 |

Note : A: Ambient.

a: Cross section area.V: Gas flow velocity.OC: Odour concentration.OER: Odour emission rate.

***** End of Report *****

Page 4 of 9




Report No. : A00017022(1)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14

Appendix

Photo of Location (EP5):





Page 5 of 9



Report No. : A00017022(1)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Certificates for the qualified odour panel members



Page 6 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Date : 2023-04-14



Report No. : A00017022(1)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14

| Certificate for a | Qualified Odour Panel Member |
|--|--|
| Serial No. | : P-055 |
| Odour Panel Member | : Bowie Lam |
| Date of Screening Test | : 13 Feb 2023 15 Feb 2023 17 Feb 2023 |
| Valid Until | : 16 Aug 2023 |
| f 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standard | s of n-butnaol in nitrogen gas was found to be in the range d deviation of $R \le 2.3$, which comply with the requirement of of Air Ouality – Determination of Odour Concentration |
| f 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standard f the European Standard Meth y Dynamic Olfactometry (EN | d deviation of R < 2.3, which comply with the requirement of of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odou |
| f 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standard f the European Standard Meth y Dynamic Olfactometry (EN 'he participant is Approved an | d deviation of R < 2.3, which comply with the requirement of of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odou |
| f 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standard f the European Standard Meth y Dynamic Olfactometry (EN 'he participant is Approved an | d deviation of R < 2.3, which comply with the requirement and of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odou s. |
| f 20 – 80 ppb/v and a standard f the European Standard Meth y Dynamic Olfactometry (EN he participant is Approved an atrol and olfactometry analysis igned for and on behalf of | d deviation of R < 2.3, which comply with the requirement and of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odou s. |
| 20 - 80 ppb/v and a standard the European Standard Meth y Dynamic Olfactometry (EN he participant is Approved an strol and olfactometry analysis gned for and on behalf of MA Industrial Development F | d deviation of R < 2.3, which comply with the requirement and of Air Quality – Determination of Odour Concentration 13725). d Authorized as Qualified Odour Panel Member for odou s. |

Page 7 of 9


CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited



Report No. : A00017022(1)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14



Page 8 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited




Report No. : A00017022(1)

Date : 2023-04-14

Application No. : L0008136(7)





Page 9 of 9

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

APPENDIX E Air Quality Monitoring Report – Odour Patrol



| Report No. | : | A00017023(2) | Date : 2023-04-14 |
|-----------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| Application No. | : | L0008136(7) | |
| Applicant | : | ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD. 22 CHUN WANG STREET, TSEUNG KWAN O INDUSTRIAL ESTATE NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG | |
| Project Name | | : Odour Patrol on Tseung Kwan O Biodiesel Plant for ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. | |
| Sampling Date | | : 2023-03-28. | |
| Test Requested | | : Determine odour intensity and identify odour natures at | each of five locations. |
| Methodology | | : Refer to page 2. | |
| Test Result | | : Refer to page 3. | |

For and on behalf of CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Winnie

Authorized Signature :

Tam Wing Kwun, Winnie Assistant Manager Page 1 of 6

The conformity statement stated in Conclusion above is based on the decision rule agreed with applicant and listed in <u>www.cmatesting.org/qac/statement-of-conformity.pdf</u>. This document is issued subject to the latest CMA Testing General Terms and Conditions of Testing and Inspection Services, available on request or accessible at website <u>www.cmatesting.org</u>. This document shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval by CMA Testing. The results apply to the sample as received unless otherwise specified. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested.



Report No. : A00017023(2)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Date : 2023-04-14

Methodology

The odour patrol means a simple judgment by observers patrolling and sniffing at the boundary of the ASB Biodiesel Plant to detect any odour at different time within operating hours.

One qualified odour panelist with his individual thresholds (n-butanol) complied with the requirement of the European Standard Method (EN 13725) in the range of 20 to 80 ppb/v and a standard deviation of R < 2.3 should be selected to conduct the odour patrol work.

The panelist should be free from any respiratory diseases and normally do not work at or live in the area in the vicinity of the ASB Biodiesel Plant.

During each visit, the instant weather conditions should be measured using a portable environment anemometer (Lutron LM-8000) and recorded for references.

During odour patrol, the panelist should identify the odour nature and determine the odour intensity at each location. The odour intensity can be expressed using an odour intensity scale, which is a verbal description of an odour sensation to which a numerical value is assigned at five different levels according to the following criteria:

| 0 | Not detected | No odour perceived or an odour so week that it cannot be easily characterised or described |
|---|--------------|---|
| 1 | Slight | Identifiable odour, slight |
| 2 | Moderate | Identifiable odour, moderate |
| 3 | Strong | Identifiable, strong |
| 4 | Extreme | Severe odour |

Page 2 of 6



Date : 2023-04-14

Report No. : A00017023(2)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

:

Results

| | | | | Wind | | Odour | Observations |
|------------|----------|-------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Date | Location | Time | Weather | Speed (m/s) | Direction | Intensity | Odour Nature |
| 2023-03-28 | 1 | 11:45 | Fine | 0.7 | Е | 1 | Oil and Grease |
| | 2 | 11:49 | | 2.6 | NE | 0 | |
| | 3 | 11:51 | | 0.8 | NW | 0 | |
| | 4 | 11:53 | | 2.1 | SW | 1 | Oil and Grease |
| | 5 | 11:55 | | 2.9 | NE | 1 | Oil and Grease |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 13:00 | Fine | 0.9 | Е | 1 | Oil and Grease |
| | 2 | 13:05 | | 2.4 | NE | 0 | |
| | 3 | 13:07 | | 1.5 | NE | 0 | |
| | 4 | 13:05 | | 2.1 | NW | 1 | Oil and Grease |
| | 5 | 13:10 | | 2.5 | NE | 1 | Oil and Grease |

***** End of Report *****

Page 3 of 6




Report No. : A00017023(2)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Date : 2023-04-14

Appendix

Photo of Locations:





1



2

Page 4 of 6



Report No. A00017023(2) :

Application No. L0008136(7) :

Date : 2023-04-14





4




5



Report No. : A00017023(2)

Application No. : L0008136(7)

Certificate for the qualified odour panel member



Page 6 of 6

CMA Industrial Development Foundation Limited

Date : 2023-04-14

APPENDIX F Water Quality Monitoring Result – Effluent from Wastewater Treatment Plant ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd.

:



TEST RESULT

| No. | 2 | \$301-20230323-0900 |
|------|---|---------------------|
| Date | : | 3 April, 2023 |
| Page | : | 1 of 1 |

TEST REPORT

| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | : | Stream A, Water Pollution Control Ordinance (CAP. 358) Licence No. WT00040341-2022 |
|----------------------|---|---|
| SAMPLE RECEIVED DATE | : | 23 March, 2023 |
| TESTING DATE | : | 23 – 27 March, 2023 |

| TEST | METHOD | UNIT | LIMIT | RESULT |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|--------|
| рН | / | 1 | 6-10 | 7.46 |
| TCOD | HACH Method 8000 | mg/L | 2000 | 102 |
| Sulfate | HACH Method 10248 | mg/L | 1000 | 200 |
| Total Nitrogen (as N) | HACH Method 10071 | mg/L | 200 | 20 |
| Total Phosphorous (as P) | HACH Method 8190 | mg/L | 50 | 38 |
| Total Suspended Solid | APHA 2540 D | mg/L | 800 | 160 |
| Oil & Grease | APHA 5520 B | mg/L | 50 | 41 |
| BOD ₅ | APHA 5210 B | mg/L | 800 | 70 |

For and on behalf of ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD

HONO 10 TSE MAN LOK

LABORATORY MANAGER

ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. No.22 Chun Wang Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate, New Territories, Hong Kong.

APPENDIX G Water Quality Monitoring Result – Stormwater Discharge



TEST RESULT

ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd.

\$

| No. | : | SP2-20230324-0900 |
|------|---|-------------------|
| Date | : | 3 April, 2023 |
| Page | : | 1 of 1 |

TEST REPORT

| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | : | Stream B, Water Pollution Control Ordinance (CAP. 358) Licence No. WT00040341-2022 |
|----------------------|---|---|
| SAMPLE RECEIVED DATE | : | 24 March, 2023 |
| TESTING DATE | : | 24 – 28 March, 2023 |

| TEST | METHOD | UNIT | LIMIT | RESULT |
|-----------------------|------------------|------|-------|--------|
| рН | / | 1 | 6-9 | 7.98 |
| TCOD | HACH Method 8000 | mg/L | 100 | 44 |
| Total Suspended Solid | APHA 2540 D | mg/L | 50 | 11 |
| Oil & Grease | APHA 5520 B | mg/L | 30 | 13 |
| BOD ₅ | APHA 5210 B | mg/L | 50 | 19 |

For and on behalf of ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD

TSE MAN LOK

LABORATORY MANAGER

ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. No.22 Chun Wang Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate, New Territories, Hong Kong.

APPENDIX H Test Result – Sulphur Content in Bio Heating Oil



ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd.

 No.
 :
 T21-20230329-0900

 Date
 :
 3 April, 2023

 Page
 :
 1 of 1

TEST REPORT

| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | : | Bio Heating Oil |
|----------------------|---|-----------------|
| SAMPLE RECEIVED DATE | : | 29 March, 2023 |
| TESTING DATE | : | 29 March, 2023 |

:

TEST RESULT

| TEST | METHOD | UNIT | RESULT |
|---------|--------------------|-------|--------|
| Sulphur | EN ISO 20846: 2011 | mg/kg | 224 |

For and on behalf of ASB BIODIESEL (HONG KONG) LTD

In TSE MAN LOK LABORATORY MANAGER

ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd. No.22 Chun Wang Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate, New Territories, Hong Kong.

> ASB-TKO-QLT-T-01-001 Rev.20160122V4

APPENDIX I Complaint Log

APPENDIX I – COMPLAINT LOG

Reporting Month: March 2023

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| COM- 2016- 09- 001 | Not Specified | 24 th September 2016 | 2 Gammon engineers complained about strong odour and oily discharge at 9:15 am | The incident was due to the pump P101A was tripped and leaded to an overflow of wastewater at Influent Pit T101. According to the project proponent, at 8:45 am, high level alarm at Level Indicator of T101 was triggered and the water level in Influent Pit T101 was over 100%. Investigation found out that wastewater was flooding from Bar Screen Room to road because the pump P101A was not operating in the field (although the pump was indicated operating in Process Control System). Operator then immediately stopped the wastewater feeding to Influent Pit T101, and put sand bags around the stormwater grating outside the pedestrian walkway of Bar Screen Room to block wastewater leaking into storm water drainage. Afterwards, the Operator cleaned up the area. The problem was resolved at 10:30 am at the same day, and no irritation smell was sensed outside the bar screen room pedestrian walkway by steel plate; - Cover the storm water grating outside the bar screen room pedestrian walkway by steel plate; - Modify the pump P101A temporary control circuit to feedback overload trip signal back to Process Control System. Maintenance will set up periodic inspection programme to monitor pump performance; and - Review the emergency handling procedures. | Closed |
| COM- 2016- 10- 002 | Not Specified | 5 th October, 2016 | EPD referred that a councilor complained about constant smell released from the Project | Investigation found out that housekeeping of the plant was unsatisfactory and improvements are required. Operator has improved housekeeping, including: Always keep the gate of the grease trap waste screening room closed; Always keep sludge containers closed; Frequent cleaning of drainage system; and | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| | | | | - Always keep the work site clean and tidy | |
| COM- 2016- 10- 003 | Not Specified | 18 th October, 2016 | EPD referred that a complaint on malodour from the Project was received on 11 th October 2016 | Investigation found no process upset during that week. Operator has put the best effort housekeeping (e.g. keeping sludge containers and rooms closed and frequent cleaning of drainage system), and staff have been trained on housekeeping. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 02- 004 | Not Specified | 6 th February, 2017 | EPD referred complaints from Drainage Service Department (DSD) and neighboring sites regarding the blockage of public sewerage system along Chun Wang Street. DSD reported to EPD that some oily substances and debris had blocked the sewerage system. | Investigation found similar substances (i.e. oily substances and debris) at the foul manhole within the Plant. Investigation also found that untreated effluent was discharged to a foul manhole within the Plant. Follow-up action (i.e. cleaning of internal sewerage system, from FMH01 to TFMH01) was carried out in early February. In addition, the Operator has put the best effort (e.g. carry out staff training) to ensure that all effluent are treated properly by wastewater treatment facilities before discharge. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 07- 005 | Not Specified | 4 th July, 2017 | EPD referred that resident of LOHAS Park complained operation of ASB plant caused noise nuisance (low frequency machinery noise continuously round the clock) and emitted unpleasant malodour on 19 July, 2017. | Noise NuisanceSince there are other noise sources which operate continuously round the clock (e.g. cooling tower from other buildings) between the Project Site and LOHAS Park, the noise nuisance could be due to other noise sources. In addition, investigation found no process upset on that day. Considering the long distance (at least 900m) between the Project Site and LOHAS Park, the noise nuisance may not be caused by the Operator.Unpleasant MalodourInvestigation found no process upset during the week. Since the regular odour monitoring (i.e. odour measurement at the Final Air Scrubber and odour patrol along Site boundary) did not report any exceedance event (except this complaint) in July and July 2017, the unpleasant malodour may not be caused by the Project considering the long distance (at least 900m) between the Project Site and LOHAS Park. | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--------|
| | | | | Operator has, and will, put the best effort housekeeping (e.g. keeping sludge containers and rooms closed and frequent cleaning of drainage system) to minimize odour nuisance. | |
| COM- 2017- 07- 006 | Stack of Boiler | 4 th July, 2017 | EPD referred that a complaint on continuous dark smoke emission from Stack of Boiler on 30 July, 2017 at about 6 pm. | Investigation found that a Pressure Control Valve had malfunctioned, causing unsteady oil flow into burner. This led to a low air to fuel ratio which ultimately led to dark smoke emission. The Valve was repaired on 1 st July 2017 morning, and no dark smoke was emitted. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 10- 007 | Not Specified | 6 th October 2017 | EPD referred that employee of nearby plant (Chun Wang Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate) complained ASB biodiesel plant emitting malodour continuously. | Investigation found no process upset during the week. Regular odour monitoring (i.e. odour measurement at the Final Air Scrubber and odour patrol along Site boundary) did not report any exceedance event (except complaint) in this reporting month. Nevertheless, as joint site visit on 1 st November 2017 carried out by ET & IEC identified several environmental deficiencies. Necessary actions were proposed to the Operator, and the Operator rectified the deficiencies. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 10- 008 | Not Specified | 17 th October 2017 | EPD referred that employee of nearby plant (Chun Wang Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate) complained ASB biodiesel plant emitting malodour from 7:15am to afternoon on 12 Oct 2017 and from 7:50am to afternoon on 13 Oct 2017. | Investigation found no process upset during the week. Regular odour monitoring (i.e. odour measurement at the Final Air Scrubber and odour patrol along Site boundary) did not report any exceedance event (except complaint) in this reporting month. Nevertheless, as joint site visit on 1 st November 2017 carried out by ET & IEC identified several environmental deficiencies. Necessary actions were proposed to the Operator, and the Operator rectified the deficiencies. | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------|
| COM- 2017- 11- 009 | Stack of Boiler | 17 th November 2017 | EPD referred that a complaint was received regarding continuous dark smoke emission from Stack of Boiler on 14 Nov 2017 at 12:50pm. | Investigation found that the steam boiler was tripped (the P11 filter was blocked) and caused dark smoke emission. The Operator had stopped the production immediately, and carried out maintenance work (filter cleaning and purging) to rectify the problem. The Operator will carry out regular maintenance more frequently to minimize the chance of tripping. The Operator also carried out an incident sharing on 18 Dec 2018 to prevent recurrence of similar event. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 11- 010 | Stack of Boiler | 20 th November 2017 | EPD referred that a complaint was received regarding continuous dark smoke emission from Stack of Boiler on 18 Nov 2017 from 11:00am to noon (12:00). | Investigation found that the steam boiler was tripped (the P11 filter was blocked) and caused dark smoke emission. The Operator had stopped the production immediately, and carried out maintenance work to rectify the problem. As the same filter was cleaned on 14 Nov 2017 (COM-2017-11-009) and was blocked again within a few days, the Operator replaced the filter. The Operator will carry out regular maintenance more frequently to minimize the chance of tripping. The Operator also carried out an incident sharing on 18 Dec 2018 to prevent recurrence of similar event. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 11- 011 | Not Specified | 21 st November 2017 | EPD referred that employee of nearby plant complained ASB biodiesel plant emitting malodour continuously. | Although investigation found no process upset during the week, the roller door of the sludge container room was impaired, which would emit malodour. The Operator fixed the roller door. Nevertheless, regular odour monitoring (i.e. odour measurement at the Final Air Scrubber and odour patrol along Site boundary) did not report any exceedance event (except complaint) in this reporting month. Besides, joint site visit on 11 th December 2017 carried out by ET & IEC identified several environmental deficiencies. Necessary actions were proposed to the Operator, and the Operator rectified the deficiencies. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 11- 012 | Not Specified | 23 th November 2017 | EPD referred that a complainant complained ASB biodiesel plant emitting malodour across Chun Wang Street. | Investigation found no process upset during the week. The impaired roller door of the sludge container room (COM-2017-11-011) hadn't been fixed. The Operator fixed the roller door. Nevertheless, regular odour monitoring (i.e. odour measurement at the Final Air Scrubber and odour patrol along Site boundary) did not report any exceedance event (except complaint) in this reporting month. | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| | | | | Besides, joint site visit on 11 th December 2017 carried out by ET & IEC identified several environmental deficiencies. Necessary actions were proposed to the Operator, and the Operator rectified the deficiencies. | |
| COM- 2017- 11- 013 | Not Specified | 29 th November 2017 | EPD referred that a complainant at Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate complained ASB biodiesel plant emitting malodour continuously, from Monday to Saturday, from 8:00am to 7:00pm. The complainant suspected that the odourous gas is toxic and causes air pollution. The complainant also pointed out that complaint on malodour was raised one year ago. Although the malodour was mitigated after EPD's follow-up action, the problem resumed after 2 weeks. | Regular odour monitoring (i.e. odour measurement at the Final Air Scrubber and odour patrol along Site boundary) did not report any exceedance event (except complaint) since the commencement of the Project's operational phase. Nevertheless, during the year, site visits carried out by EPD and site audits carried out jointly by the Operator, ET and IEC identified environmental deficiencies which would pose malodour problem. The recent joint site audit carried out by the Operator, ET and IEC on 11 th December 2017 identified several environmental deficiencies. Necessary actions were proposed to the Operator, and the Operator rectified the deficiencies. Regarding the complainant suspected that the odourous gas is toxic, investigation found no chemical leakage record. In addition, according to the monthly monitoring result, acetaldehyde and methanol emissions from the stack of process building were lower than reporting level in the reporting month. Therefore, the odourous gas is not likely to be due to chemicals. It is expected that the malodour was due to environmental deficiencies (e.g. sludge being left on ground, doors not being closed properly) identified by ET and IEC. It is because the complainant mentioned that the malodour had existed for a long time, and similar environmental deficiencies were identified in past site visits. | Closed |
| COM- 2017- 11- 014 | Not Specified | 29 th November 2017 | EPD referred that a complaint complained oily substances being discharged from an outfall near the roundabout at the western end of Chun Wang Street. The oily | Investigation found that the diaphragm pump of S811 in the wastewater treatment plant was blocked. To prevent wastewater overflowing, the Operator placed a plastic tray underneath the pump. In addition, the Operator installed inflatable bladder to prevent wastewater flowing out via drainage channel. As the Operator had implemented measures to prevent wastewater being discharged via drainage channel, the event is not likely to be caused by the pump blockage. | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| | | | substances was suspected to be discharged from the ASB biodiesel plant. | Nevertheless, a joint site visit on 11 th December 2017 carried out by ET & IEC found oily substance being left near drainage channel. The Operator has 1.) cleaned up the oily substance; 2.) cleaned the oil interceptor; and 3.) cleaned the drainage system. | |
| COM- 2018- 01- 015 | Not Specified | 29 th January 2018 | EPD referred that a complainant complained ASB biodiesel plant emitting malodour across Chun Wang Street. | Investigation found that the tricanter in the wastewater treatment plant was blocked on 29 th January 2018. During maintenance on the same day, some odourous material (e.g. sludge) spilled out. The Operator has cleaned up the spilled material. Joint site visit on 5 th February 2018 carried out by ET & IEC identified several environmental deficiencies. Necessary actions were proposed to the Operator, and the Operator rectified the deficiencies. | Closed |
| COM- 2018- 04- 016 | Not Specified | 13 th April 2018 | EPD referred a complaint regarding continuous dark smoke emission from chimney on 12 Apr 2018 afternoon. | Investigation found that the dark smoke was emitted from the Stack of Boiler, which was under testing after the reparation of the boiler. The Operator also pointed out that the dark smoke emission was due to cold starting of the boiler and is inevitable. As the boiler was cold and was not in optimized temperature, the fuel cannot be combusted completely for a short period of time. Nevertheless, in order to minimize dark smoke emission, the Operator had 1.) pre-heated the fuel and 2.) set the fan to the highest speed to provide enough air for combustion. Although the Operator has camera for real-time monitoring at chimney, it is recommended that the Operator to ensure that the inevitable dark smoke emission complies environmental license(s) granted. | Closed |
| COM- 2018- 11- 017 | Not Specified | 13/11/201 8 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that malodour was detected at Chun Wan street, near Gammon Technology Park, on 13 Nov 2018 afternoon when northern wind was prevailing. | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that malodour was detected at Chun Wan street, near Gammon Technology Park, on 13 Nov 2018 afternoon when northern wind was prevailing. Joint site visit on 19th November 2018 carried out by ET & IEC identified the pungent smell which is consistent with the smell detected outside the plant was detected near the WWTP. The operator has proposed the following mitigation measures to counteract the problem: 1) Partitions will be provided in the WWTP sludge container room to facilitate the control of the negative pressure of the rooms | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-------------|----------|------------------|----------------------|--|--------|
| | | | | 2) Conduct an investigation on the equipment in WWT to ensure that no gaps are found. | |
| | | | | Modification of the outdoor sludge containers with the motorized covering to minimise the odour emission from the sludge | |
| | | | | Additional scrubbers shall be provided for WWTP sludge container room, fat preparation room and PB fertilizer room to improve the efficiency of the odour elimination by the scrubbers | |
| | | | | Jan 2019 A Site audit was carried out on 7th January 2018 to check if the odour problem has been improved. The pungent smell that was detected earlier on previous site visit (19th November 2018) has been alleviated. However, a few environmental deficiencies was identified during the site audit within the WWTP, which was regarded as the odour source. The environmental deficiencies are as follows: The DAF/oil-water separators were not fully covered. A tank within the Pre-acidification/ buffer tank was not open to air and steam was seen rising out from the tank In addition, mitigation measures as stated were not implemented, therefore, this issue should be followed up in the next site audit | |
| | | | | <u>Feb 2019</u> The Project Proponent/ operator has rectified / improved all environmental deficiencies identified in this investigation. | |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------|--|--|
| COM- 2019- 03- | Not specific | 18 th March 2019 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that malodor was detected at Plant in Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate on 18 Mar 2019. The complainant stated that | The WWTP has continuously been the source of the odour problem due to the presence of oil and grease in the wastewater and treatment process. Some of the facilities in the Plant have worn out over the years while some others were damaged by the typhoon mangkhut last year, which could have caused odour. The plant has provided maintenance and mitigation measures to the relevant facilities upon receipt of the odour related complaints. Following to the complaints, Site audit was carried out on 07th Jan, 25th March & 17th May 2019. The Environmental Deficiencies Identified and the follow-up actions observed during site audits are summarized below: | | | |
| 018 | | | malodor has adversely affected their mental and physical health. And the | Environmental Deficiencies IdentifiedFollow-up ActionsRecommend ations | | | |
| | | | problem have not been solved since the previous complaints were made. | Pretreament TanksStainless steel covers haveN/Aare exposed (Janbeen provided for the pre-19)acidification tank (March | | | |
| COM- 2019- 04-19 | WWTP | 25 th April 2019 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that malodor was detected at Chun Wan Street, near Gammon construction site on 24 April 2019. The complainant suspected that the odour was occurred as a result of not clearing the wastewater in ASB frequent enough. | 19)As doors ofDAF- Odourous watsewater was- Covers of the DAF have been renovated, paintsAs doors ofexposed and small holes are createdwere provided to prevent rusting (March 19)expected toin the units due to rusting (Jan 19)- Adhesive tapes have been used to temporary sealed the doors of the DAF (March 19)used,DAF (March 19)intight door- Sealing have been provided at the joints of pipes to improve air- tightness (March 19)seal is considered more | Closed | | |
| | | | The southeasterly winds also brought the malodor to LOHAS park. | Gaps and- Roller door for theGaps at theopenings at certainsludge dewatering roomdoors of theplant rooms due tohave been replaced inplant roomimpaired doorsApril 19shall hinder | | | |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | | | Status |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|--------|
| | | | | (May 19) | Door for Fertilizer room are still pending to replaced Gaps are still found at Fat Preparation Room | the negative pressure induced in the rooms and thus reducing the odour- removal efficiency | |
| COM- 2019- 05-20 | Not Specified | 29 May 2019 | Noise nuisance from the plant at Night. | According to the EM&A Manual identified representative NSRs du noise monitoring is required. The pumps and barriers are kept the doors of a number of plant ro gaps. Although noise emitted by low, the improvement in this reganoise impact. As the residential developments in Plant. Therefore, no NSRs within the Plant. With the proper impler is expected. | in good condition as recorded oms are still observed to be e the fixed plants to the surrou ard is still expected to be mad n Lohas Park are located at o 300m are expected during th | rere predicted at the nd hence no operational d by the Operator. However either opened or contain ndings is expected to be de in order to minimise the over 800m away from the he night-time operation of | Closed |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|---|--|--------|--|
| COM- 2019- 06-21 | ASB Biodiesel | 22 July 2019 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complaints stated that many residents from Lohas Park has detected odour, some even has identified that the small is similar to hydrogen sulphide.The monitoring result of the odour patrol in the period of the complaints (July 2019) are reviewed. No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels was reported. Hydrogen sulphide (H2S) concentration has been monitored using a gas meter at various point within deck of the WWTP, and no detectable H2S level has been recorded. The environmental Deficiencies Identified are summarized below: - DAF & WWT tanks - Odourous watsewater was exposed via gaps in the units - Accumulation of sediment was found inside the tanks of the WWTP The follow-up actions were taken upon receiving the complaint - Replacing a cover for a tank in WWTP was proposed, and odour shall be monitored continuously to evaluate the effectiveness of the cover - Cleaning was provided for the DAF and pre-acidification tank and regular cleaning of | | Closed | |
| COM- 2020- 05-22 | ASB Biodiesel | 20 May 2020 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that many residents from Lohas Park has detected malodors. EPD inspection team has scented the oil & grease odour from ASB at Wan O Road | The monitoring results of the odour patrol in the period of the complaints (May 2020) are reviewed. No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels was reported. A site audit has been conducted on 21st May 2020. Environmental Deficiencies Identified have been summarized below: DAF tanks - Odourous watsewater was exposed via gaps in the units The follow-up action was taken after site audit: Adhesive agents have been used to temporary sealed the windows of the DAF Operator has, and will, put the best effort housekeeping (e.g. keeping sludge containers | | |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|--------|
| COM- 2020- 05-23 | ASB Biodiesel | 20 May 2020 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that many residents from Lohas Park has detected malodors. EPD inspection team has scented the oil & grease odour from ASB at Wan O Road | and rooms closed and frequent cleaning of drainage system) to minimize odour nuisance. | Closed |
| COM- 2020- 06-24 | Not specified | 9 July 2020 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that many residents from Le Prestige Block 1 in Lohas Park has detected malodors on 11 Jun 2020 EPD inspection | The monitoring results of the odour patrol in the period of the complaints (July 2020) are reviewed. No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels was reported.Environmental DeficienciesFollow-up ActionsRecommendationsIdentifiedActionsActionsOdour problems are occurred when wind flows from south to north andTo cover the odour-emittedActions for eliminating oil & | Closed |
| | | | 2020. EPD inspection team has scented the oil & grease odour emitted from ASB at Wan O Road on 2/7/2020 | wind flows from south to north and affect residents nearby.odour-emitted sources by canvas wheneliminating oil & grease odour should be completed to reduce the odour intensity. | |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--------|--|
| COM- 2020- 07-25 | Not specified | 9 July 2020 | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that many residents from Wings at Sea in Lohas Park has detected malodors on 7 Jul 2020. EPD inspection team has scented the oil & grease odour emitted from ASB at Wan O Road on 2/7/2020 | The monitoring results of the odour patrol in the period of the complaints (July 2020) are reviewed. No exceedance of Action and Limit Levels was reported.Environmental DeficienciesFollow-upRecommendations | | |
| | | | | IdentifiedActionsOdour problems are occurred when wind flows from south to north and affect residents nearby.To cover the odour-emitted sources by canvas when there is a south wind.Actions for eliminating oil & grease odour should be completed to reduce the odour intensity. | Closed | |
| COM- 2020- 09-26 | Not specified | 17 September 2020 | EPD referred complaints from LOHAS Park Resident and at Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate on 12 September 2020 about:- - malodors from the roundabout at the western end of Chun Wang Street and; - suspected discharge of oily substances to the sea outside the Chun Wang Street Outfall. | Malodour & Suspected Oil DischargeThe odour monitoring results of the emissions from stacks, scrubber and patrol in the period of the complaints (September 2020) have been reviewed. An exceedance of Limit Level of odour emission from scrubber was reported in the reporting month, however, the cause of the exceedance was identified as the maintenance works in the aeration tank that were conducted during the monitoring period and not during complaint period. No other exceedance was conducted.An inspection form Hong Kong Fire Service Department was conducted for potential gas leakage. A gases detector was used to check the source of malodour at perimeter of the plant but revealed no abnormalities during inspection.Furthermore, no source of the oil spillage has been identified as no setback nor oil spillage has been found in the plant. A standard procedure and oil/grease trap has already been deployed at the terminal stormwater manhole of the plant, after the gate valve, to ensure that the stormwater has no oil content before being discharged. The standard procedure is outlined as follows:1) The sluice gate in the upstream of the terminal manhole would be lowered to control water flow to the terminal manhole and prevent contaminated water from entering the Junk Bay via the outfall.2) Water samples from the manholes would be collected and tested for | Closed | |

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--------|
| | | | | O&G 3) Tanker away contaminated water from the stormwater drain and direct the water to the WWTP for further treatment; 4) Review operation in the WWTP and implement mitigation measures if necessary. A visual inspection at the terminal manhole was carried out by the operator on 12 September and no oil discharge was identified. Other sources in the vicinity of ASB are also under suspicion for the cause of the incident during the complaint period, including the marine activities at Gammon Technology Park and the increased maritime traffic due to marine construction activities. | |
| COM- 2021- 04-27 | Chun Wang Street | 8 April 2021 | EPD referred a complaint from Wings at Sea resident on 4 April 2021 about the malodors from the biodiesel plant near the sea side | EPD referred a complaint in which the complainant stated that malodour was detected at Chun Wang street, on 04 Apr 2021. No maintenance works were conducted and no leakages were reported on that day. According to the Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations provided by Hong Kong Observatory, despite the change of prevailing wind directions to south-west between 29 March and 3 April 2021, the prevailing winds are mostly from the east to north-east throughout the Spring season. Also, on 4 April 2021 which is the date of receipt of the complaint, the prevailing wind is in north-east direction. As the Wings at Sea is located upwind of the plant, the nearby residents should not be significantly impacted by the odour nuisances in Spring. Mitigation Actions: All process tanks, storage tanks, wastewater treatment tanks (including the aeration tanks) and the plant rooms should be enclosed, maintained with a slight negative pressure, and vented through a well-maintained air scrubbing system (with an odour removal efficiency of 99.5%); Outdoor odour-emitting sources (e.g. temporary sludge holding tank) are covered properly. | Closed |