



JOB NO.: TCS00694/13

AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI  
BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT  
REPORT (NO.38) – SEPTEMBER 2016

PREPARED FOR  
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
(CEDD)

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
13 October 2016	TCS00694/13/600/R0646v2	 Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant)	 Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	11 October 2016	First Submission
2	13 October 2016	Amended against the IEC's comments on 12 October 2016



Local People. Global Experience.

Unit A-C, 27/F Ford Glory Plaza  
37-39 Wing Hong Street  
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong  
T +852 3995 8100 F +852 3995 8101 E hongkong@smec.com  
www.smec.com

14 October 2016

Our ref: 7076192/L21071/AB/AW/MC/rw

AECOM  
8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2  
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road  
Shatin, N.T.

**By Email & Post**

Attention: Mr Simon LEUNG

Dear Sirs

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
Independent Environmental Checker – Investigation  
Monthly EM&A Report (No. 38) – September 2016**

With reference to the Monthly EM&A Report No. 38 for September 2016 (Version 2) certified by the ET Leader, please be noted that we have no adverse comments on the captioned submission. We herewith verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 5.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-404/2011/C.

Thank you for your attention and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on tel. 3995-8120 or by email to antony.wong@smec.com; or our Mr Man CHEUNG on tel. 3995 8132 or by email to man.cheung@smec.com.

Yours faithfully  
for and on behalf of  
SMEC Asia Limited

**Antony WONG**  
Independent Environmental Checker

cc	CEDD/BCP	-	Mr Desmond LAM	by fax: 3547 1659
	ArchSD	-	Mr William WL CHENG	by fax: 2804 6805
	AECOM	-	Mr Pat LAM / Mr Perry YAM	by email
	Ronald Lu	-	Mr Peter YAM / Mr Justin CHEUNG	by email
	CW	-	Mr Daniel HO	by email
	DHK	-	Mr Daniel ALTIER	by email
	CCKJV	-	Mr Vincent CHAN	by email
	KRSJV	-	Mr TY LEUNG	by email
	Leighton	-	Mr Jon KITCHING	by email
	AUES	-	Mr TW TAM	by email

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

ES01 This is the 38<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from **1 to 30 September 2016** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

**ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES**

ES02 To facilitate the project management and implementation, Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project is divided to seven CEDD contracts including Contract 2 (CV/2012/08), Contract 3 (CV/2012/09), Contract 4 (TCSS), Contract 5 (CV/2013/03), Contract 6 (CV/2013/08) and Contract 7 (NE/2014/03) and an ArshSD contract (Contract SS C505).

ES03 In the Reporting Period, the major construction activities under Contractor 5 have been substantially completed. The construction works under Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project included Contract 2, Contract 3, Contract 6, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Environmental Aspect	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Reporting Period	
		Number of Monitoring Locations to undertake	Total Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	9	150
	24-hour TSP	9	50
Construction Noise	L <sub>eq(30min)</sub> Daytime	10	45
Water Quality	Water in-situ measurement and/or sampling	WM1 & WM1-C,	13 Scheduled & 1 extra
		WM2A(a) & WM2A-Cx	13 Scheduled & 6 extra
		WM2B & WM2B-C	13 Scheduled & 1 extra
		WM3x & WM3-C	13 Scheduled & 2 extra
		WM4, WM4-CA & WM4-CB	13 Scheduled & 0 extra
Ecology	Woodland compensation i) General Health condition of planted species ii) Survival of planted species	9 Quadrats	1
Joint Site Inspection / Audit	IEC, ET, the Contractor and RE joint site Environmental Inspection and Auditing	Contract 2	5
		Contract 3	4
		Contract 6	5
		Contract 7	4
		Contract SS C505	4

*Note: Extra monitoring day was due to measurement results exceedance*

**BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS**

ES04 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise exceedance was registered for the Project. For air quality, there were two (2) Action level exceedances recorded in 24-hour TSP monitoring. For water quality monitoring, a total of thirty-one (31) Action/ Limit Level exceedances were recorded. The summary of exceedance in the Reporting Period is shown below.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action		
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--
	24-hour TSP	2	0	2	To be investigated	--
Construction Noise	L <sub>eq(30min)</sub> Daytime	0	0	0	--	--

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action		
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Corrective Actions
Water Quality	DO	0	0	0	-	--
	Turbidity	0	14	14	IR revealed that exceedances on 6 to 22 September 2016 were not project related. Exceedances on 28 & 30 September 2016 are under investigated by ET.	It was reminded that the all the Contractor shall implement water quality mitigation measures in accordance with ISEMM of the EM&A Manual requirements
	SS	1	16	17		

**ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT**

ES05 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaint was received in respect of the wastewater issue in which one was related to Contract 6 and the other one was related to both Contracts 2 and 6. Upon receipt of the complaints, RE, IEC and ET with the relevant Contractors has immediately undertaken investigation. Follow up actions have been undertaking by the Contractor to resolve the deficiencies and investigation report conducted by ET had submitted to all relevant parties.

**NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS**

ES06 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.

**REPORTING CHANGE**

ES07 In the Reporting period, the major construction activities under Contractor 5 have been substantially completed. Due to termination of construction phase of Contract 5, the site inspection for Contract 5 would be ceased from 31 August 2016.

ES08 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation was conducted on **30 September 2016**. The Monitoring Report for Woodland Compensation will be prepared and submitted as a stand-alone report as supplementary for the EM&A Report.

**SITE INSPECTION**

ES09 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 2** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 14, 23 and 30 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

ES10 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 3** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **5, 12, 21 and 26 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

ES11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 6** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

ES12 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract SS C505** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 14, 21 and 28 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

ES13 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 7** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 13, 20 and 27 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

**FUTURE KEY ISSUES**

- ES14 As dry season is approaching, special attention should be paid on the potential construction dust impact since most of the construction sites are adjacent to villages. The Contractor should fully implement the construction dust mitigation measures properly.
- ES15 Preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River. Moreover, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- ES16 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORT STRUCTURE	1
<b>2</b>	<b>PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING	3
2.2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION	5
2.3	CONCURRENT PROJECTS	7
2.4	CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	7
2.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	9
<b>3</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	GENERAL	14
3.2	MONITORING PARAMETERS	14
3.3	MONITORING LOCATIONS	14
3.4	MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD	16
3.5	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	17
3.6	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	19
3.7	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	21
3.8	DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	21
3.9	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	22
<b>4</b>	<b>AIR QUALITY MONITORING</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	GENERAL	23
4.2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS IN REPORTING MONTH	23
<b>5</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1	GENERAL	26
5.2	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS IN REPORTING MONTH	26
<b>6</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY MONITORING</b>	<b>27</b>
6.1	GENERAL	27
6.2	RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING	27
<b>7</b>	<b>ECOLOGY MONITORING</b>	<b>31</b>
7.1	GENERAL	31
<b>8</b>	<b>WASTE MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>32</b>
8.1	GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	32
8.2	RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	32
<b>9</b>	<b>SITE INSPECTION</b>	<b>33</b>
9.1	REQUIREMENTS	33
9.2	FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH	33
<b>10</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE</b>	<b>37</b>
10.1	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	37
<b>11</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	<b>40</b>
11.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	40
11.2	TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH	40
11.3	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	42
<b>12</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>43</b>
12.1	CONCLUSIONS	43
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	43

## **LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS
TABLE 3-2	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY
TABLE 3-3	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-4	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - WATER QUALITY
TABLE 3-5	AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-6	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-7	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-8	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-9	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-10	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM1A
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM3
TABLE 4-4	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM4B
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM5A
TABLE 4-6	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM6
TABLE 4-7	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM7A
TABLE 4-8	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM8
TABLE 4-9	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM9B
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (CONTRACT 3 AND 5)
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (CONTRACT 2 AND 6)
TABLE 6-1	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 2 AND 3
TABLE 6-2	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 5 AND SS C505
TABLE 6-3	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 6-4	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 2 AND 6
TABLE 6-5	BREACHES OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING CRITERIA IN REPORTING PERIOD
TABLE 6-6	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
TABLE 6-7	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE WHICH WERE UNDER REVIEW BY IEC IN APRIL 2016
TABLE 7-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
TABLE 7-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES
TABLE 8-1	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 2
TABLE 8-2	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 3
TABLE 8-3	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 5
TABLE 8-4	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 8-5	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT SS C505
TABLE 8-6	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 7
TABLE 9-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 9-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 9-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION
TABLE 10-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A	LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT
APPENDIX B	ORGANIZATION CHART

APPENDIX C	3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE APPROVED EM&A MANUAL
APPENDIX E	MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING
APPENDIX F	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY
APPENDIX G	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H	IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE
APPENDIX I	DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX K	METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX L	WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX M	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES
APPENDIX N	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR EXCEEDANCE



## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works, which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/C granted on 12 March 2015.
- 1.1.2 The Project consists of two main components: Construction of a Boundary Control Point (hereinafter referred as “BCP”); and Construction of a connecting road alignment. Layout plan of the Project is shown in *Appendix A*.
- 1.1.3 The proposed BCP is located at the boundary with Shenzhen near the existing Chuk Yuen Village, comprising a main passenger building with passenger and cargo processing facilities and the associated customs, transport and ancillary facilities. The connecting road alignment consists of six main sections:
- 1) Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area (FCA) Boundary – this section comprises at-grade and viaducts and includes the improvement works at Lin Ma Hang Road;
  - 2) Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan – this section stretches from the Frontier Closed Area Boundary to the tunnel portal at Cheung Shan and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Ping Yeung;
  - 3) North Tunnel – this section comprises the tunnel segment at Cheung Shan and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel;
  - 4) Sha Tau Kok Road – this section stretches from the tunnel portal at Wo Keng Shan to the tunnel portal south of Loi Tung and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Sha Tau Kok and an administration building;
  - 5) South Tunnel – this section comprises a tunnel segment that stretches from Loi Tung to Fanling and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel as well as a ventilation building in the middle of the tunnel near Lau Shui Heung;
  - 6) Fanling – this section comprises the at-grade, viaducts and interchange connection to the existing Fanling Highway.
- 1.1.4 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting has been commissioned as an Independent ET to implement the relevant EM&A program in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM&A program, the baseline monitoring has carried out between **13 June 2013** and **12 July 2013** for all parameters including air quality, noise and water quality before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. Also, the Project baseline monitoring report which verified by the IEC has been submitted to EPD on **16 July 2013** for endorsement. The major construction works of the Project was commenced on **16 August 2013** in accordance with the EP Section 5.3 stipulation.
- 1.1.5 This is **38<sup>th</sup>** monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for reporting period from **1 to 30 September 2016**.

### 1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-
- Section 1 Introduction*
  - Section 2 Project Organization and Construction Progress*
  - Section 3 Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements*
  - Section 4 Air Quality Monitoring*
  - Section 5 Construction Noise Monitoring*
  - Section 6 Water Quality Monitoring*

<b>Section 7</b>	<i>Waste Management</i>
<b>Section 8</b>	<i>Site Inspections</i>
<b>Section 9</b>	<i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance</i>
<b>Section 10</b>	<i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i>
<b>Section 11</b>	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>

## 2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

### 2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project would be divided by the following contracts:

- Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)
- Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)
- Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)
- Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)
- Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)
- Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)
- ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.2 The details of each contracts is summarized below and the delineation of each contracts is shown in *Appendix A*.

#### Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.1.3 Contract 2 has awarded in December 2013 and construction work was commenced on 19 May 2014. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- construction of an approximately 5.2km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.4km of at-grade road and 4.8km of tunnel) connecting the Fanling Interchange with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange;
- construction of a ventilation adit tunnel and the mid-ventilation building;
- construction of the north and south portal buildings of the Lung Shan Tunnel and their associated slope works;
- provision and installation of ventilation system, E&M works and building services works for Lung Shan tunnel and Cheung Shan tunnel and their portal buildings;
- construction of Tunnel Administration Building adjacent to Wo Keng Shan Road and the associated E&M and building services works; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

#### Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.1.4 Contract 3 was awarded in July 2013 and construction work was commenced on 5 November 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- construction of four link roads connecting the existing Fanling Highway and the south portal of the Lung Shan Tunnel;
- realignment of the existing Tai Wo Service Road West and Tai Wo Service Road East;
- widening of the existing Fanling Highway (HyD's entrustment works);
- demolishing existing Kiu Tau vehicular bridge and Kiu Tau footbridge and reconstruction of the existing Kiu Tau Footbridge (HyD's entrustment works); and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

#### Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.1.5 Contract 4 has awarded in mid-April 2016. However, the major construction work still is not yet commenced. The scope of work of the Contract 4 includes:

- design, supply, delivery, installation, testing and commissioning of a traffic control and surveillance system for the connecting road linking up the Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and the existing Fanling Highway.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.1.6 Contract 5 has awarded in April 2013 and construction work was commenced in August 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:

- site formation of about 23 hectares of land for the development of the BCP;
- construction of an approximately 1.6 km long perimeter road at the BCP including a 175m long depressed road;
- associated diversion/modification works at existing local roads and junctions including Lin Ma Hang Road;
- construction of pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provision of resite area with supporting infrastructure for reprovisioning of the affected village houses; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.1.7 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 6 would be included below:

- construction of an approximately 4.6km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.6km of at-grade road, 3.3km of viaduct and 0.7km of tunnel) connecting the BCP with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Road Interchange and the associated ventilation buildings;
- associated diversion/modification works at access roads to the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- provision of sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities for the BCP and the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- construction of a pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provisioning of the affected facilities including Wo Keng Shan Road garden; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

2.1.8 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and the construction works of Contract 7 was commenced on 15 February 2016. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 7 would be included below:

- construction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) portion of four vehicular bridge
- construction of one pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen (SZ) River (cross boundary bridges)

ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.9 SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. Major Scope of Work of the SS C505 would be included below:

- passenger-related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, the interior fitting works for the pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen River, etc.;
- cargo processing facilities including kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, customs inspection platforms, X-ray building, etc.;
- accommodation for the facilities inside of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
- transport-related facilities inside the BCP including road networks, public transport interchange, transport drop-off and pick-up areas, vehicle holding areas and associated road furniture etc;
- a public carpark; and

- other ancillary facilities such as sewerage and drainage, building services provisions and electronic systems, associated environmental mitigation measure and landscape works.

## 2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization is shown in *Appendix B*. The responsibilities of respective parties are:

### Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

2.2.2 CEDD is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of the EP of the development of the Project and will assume overall responsibility for the project. An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by CEDD to audit the results of the EM&A works carried out by the ET.

### Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)

2.2.3 ArchSD acts as the works agent for Development Bureau (DEVB), for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities.

### Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

2.2.4 EPD is the statutory enforcement body for environmental protection matters in Hong Kong.

### Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Architect)

2.2.5 Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd is appointed by ArchSD as an Architect for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities. It responsible for overseeing the construction works of Contract SS C505 and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the Architect with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors' and ET's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

### Engineer or Engineers Representative (ER)

2.2.6 The ER is responsible for overseeing the construction works and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the ER with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors's, ET's and IEC's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance

- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

The Contractor(s)

- 2.2.7 There will be one contractor for each individual works contract. Once the contractors are appointed, EPD, ET and IEC will be notified the details of the contractor.
- 2.2.8 The Contractor for Contracts under CEDD should report to the ER. For ArchSD Contract, the Contractor should report to the Architect or Architect's Representative (AR). The duties and responsibilities of the Contractor are:
- Comply with the relevant contract conditions and specifications on environmental protection
  - Employ an Environmental Team (ET) to undertake monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of EM & A Facilitate ET's monitoring and site inspection activities
  - Participate in the site inspections by the ET and IEC, and undertake any corrective actions
  - Provide information / advice to the ET regarding works programme and activities which may contribute to the generation of adverse environmental impacts
  - Submit proposals on mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event / Action Plans
  - Implement measures to reduce impact where Action and Limit levels are exceeded
  - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

Environmental Team (ET)

- 2.2.9 Once the ET is appointed, the EPD, CEDD, ER, Architect and IEC will be notified the details of the ET.
- 2.2.10 The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor(s), and shall be employed by the Project Proponent/Contractor to conduct the EM&A programme. The ET should be managed by the ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years' experience in EM&A and has relevant professional qualifications. Suitably qualified staff should be included in the ET, and resources for the implementation of the EM&A programme should be allocated in time under the Contract(s), to enable fulfillment of the Project's EM&A requirements as specified in the EM&A Manual during construction of the Project. The ET shall report to the Project Proponent and the duties shall include:
- Monitor and audit various environmental parameters as required in this EM&A Manual
  - Analyse the environmental monitoring and audit data, review the success of EM&A programme and the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented, confirm the validity of the EIA predictions and identify any adverse environmental impacts arising
  - Carry out regular site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractors' site practice, equipment/plant and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems
  - Monitor compliance with conditions in the EP, environmental protection, pollution prevention and control regulations and contract specifications
  - Audit environmental conditions on site
  - Report on the environmental monitoring and audit results to EPD, the ER, the Architect, the IEC and Contractor or their delegated representatives
  - Recommend suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in the case of exceedance of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans
  - Liaise with the IEC on all environmental performance matters and timely submit all relevant EM&A proforma for approval by IEC
  - Advise the Contractor(s) on environmental improvement, awareness, enhancement measures etc., on site
  - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor(s) of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

- 2.2.11 One IEC will be employed for this Project. Once the IEC is appointed, EPD, ER, the Architect and ET will be notified the details of the IEC.
- 2.2.12 The Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) should not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC should be employed by the Permit Holder (i.e., CEDD) prior to the commencement of the construction of the Project. The IEC should have at least 10 years' experience in EM&A and have relevant professional qualifications. The appointment of IEC should be subject to the approval of EPD. The IEC should:
- Provide proactive advice to the ER and the Project Proponent on EM&A matters related to the project, independent from the management of construction works, but empowered to audit the environmental performance of construction
  - Review and audit all aspects of the EM&A programme implemented by the ET
  - Review and verify the monitoring data and all submissions in connection with the EP and EM&A Manual submitted by the ET
  - Arrange and conduct regular, at least monthly site inspections of the works during construction phase, and ad hoc inspections if significant environmental problems are identified
  - Check compliance with the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
  - Check compliance with the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
  - Check the effectiveness of corrective measures
  - Feedback audit results to ET by signing off relevant EM&A proforma
  - Check that the mitigation measures are effectively implemented
  - Verify the log-book(s) mentioned in Condition 2.2 of the EP, notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the EIA Report and/or the EP, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project
  - Report the works conducted, the findings, recommendation and improvement of the site inspections, after reviewing ET's and Contractor's works, and advices to the ER and Project Proponent on a monthly basis
  - Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, the Architect, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

## 2.3 CONCURRENT PROJECTS

- 2.3.1 The concurrent construction works that may be carried out include, but not limited to, the following:
- (a) Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage IV;
  - (b) Widening of Fanling Highway – Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange – Contract No. HY/2012/06;
  - (c) Construction of BCP facilities in Shenzhen.

## 2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.4.1 In the Reporting Period, the major construction activity conducted under the Project is located in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 and they are summarized in below. Moreover, 3-month rolling construction program for all the current contracts is enclosed in **Appendix C**.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.4.2 The contract commenced in May 2014. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- |                |   |  |
|----------------|---|--|
| Mid-Vent       | • | Stud tunnel and cavern excavation                    |
| Portal         | • | Adit invert slab, waterproofing and lining           |
|                | • | Ventilation building superstructure and backfilling  |
| North Portal   | • | Slope stabilization and retaining wall               |
|                | • | Southbound Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) excavation    |
|                | • | Northbound bench excavation                          |
|                | • | Tunnel enlargement and construction of cross passage |
|                | • | ventilation building foundation                      |
| South Portal   | • | tunnel waterproofing and lining                      |
| Admin Building | • | Building superstructure and backfilling              |

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.4.3 The Contract commenced in November 2013. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Erection of temporary support for demolition of J-bridge
- Footbridge construction
- Storm drains laying
- Noise barrier construction
- Pier / pier table construction
- Pile cap works
- Portal beam construction
- Pre-drilling works and works for noise barrier
- Retaining Wall construction
- Road works
- Sewer works
- Utilities Duct Laying
- Water Main Laying
- Viaduct segment erection

Contract 4 (Contract number to be assigned)

2.4.4 The Contract was awarded in mid-April 2016 and the major construction work has not yet commenced.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.4.5 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.4.6 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Slope Works
- Bored Piling
- Pile Cap Construction
- Bridge Pier Construction
- Tunnel Excavation
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)



- 2.4.7 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and construction work was commenced on 15 February 2016. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- Piling Works at Bridges A, C and E
  - Pile Caps Construction at Bridges B, C and D

Contract SS C505

- 2.4.8 Contract SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- General Site Set-up
  - Building no.4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 36 construction
  - Excavation waterproofing works for Building no. 4, 6 & 11
  - Pile cap construction for Building no.4,6&7
  - Tower crane operation and erection
  - Bridge construction works including construction of bridge column, retaining wall, pile cap and pier
  - Underground drainage works
  - Prototype “A” & “B” construction works
  - Mock up for south entrance double curve cladding
  - Formwork and falsework for PTB’s slab construction
  - Construction PTB M/F & 1/F flat slab
  - Steel beam works for maintenance platform for PTB
  - Pile cap construction for PTB, including excavation and backfilling works
  - Bridge deck construction for Bridges 1-5
  - Footing construction

**2.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS**

- 2.5.1 In according to the EP, the required documents have submitted to EPD which listed in below:
- Project Layout Plans of Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
  - Landscape Plan
  - Topsoil Management Plan
  - Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme
  - Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00690/13/600/R0030v3) for the Project
  - Waste Management Plan of the Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
  - Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) and Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for Po Kat Tsai, Loi Tung and the workshops in Fanling
  - Vegetation Survey Report
  - Woodland Compensation Plan
  - Habitat Creation Management Plan
  - Wetland Compensation Plan

- 2.5.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of each contracts are presented in **Table 2-1**.

**Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contracts**

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
<b>Contract 2</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref No.: 368864	31 Dec 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	<i>North Portal</i> Waste Producers Number:	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
		No.5213-652-D2523-01		
		<b>Mid-Vent Portal</b> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2524-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends
		<b>South Portal</b> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2526-01	9 Apr 2014	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.WT00018374-2014	8 Oct 2014	30 Sep 2019
		No.: W5/11389	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019
		No. WT00023063-2015	18 Dec 2015	31 Mar 2019
		No.: W5/11392	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7019105	8 Jan 2014	Till Contract ends
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0199-16	24 Mar 2016	17 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0451-16	24 Jun 2016	19 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0457-16	22 Jun 2016	14 Dec 2016
		GW-RN0435-16	27 Jun 2016	26 Dec 2016
		GW-RN0519-16	1 Aug 2016	30 Oct 2016
		GW-RN0543-16	18 Jul 2016	13 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0582-16	09 Aug 2016	08 Nov 2016
		GW-RN0590-16	09 Aug 2016	08 Nov 2016
		GW-RN0579-16	11 Aug 2016	07 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0604-16	11 Aug 2016	07 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0695-16	18 Sep 2016	17 Mar 2017
GW-RN0700-16	20 Sep 2016	19 Feb 2017		
6	Specified Process License (Mortar Plant Operation)	L-3-251(1)	12-Apr-2016	11-Apr-2021
<b>Contract 3</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 362101	17 Jul 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number: No.:5113-634-C3817-01	7 Oct 2013	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00016832 – 2013	28 Aug 13	31 Aug 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017914	2 Aug 13	Till Contract ends
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0098-16	1 Mar 2016	4 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0170-16	11 Mar 2016	10 Sep 2016

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
		GW-RN0218-16	6 Apr 2016	30 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0233-16	11 Apr 2016	10 Oct 2016
		GW-RN0307-16	10 May 2016	9 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0308-16	10 May 2016	9 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0309-16	30 Apr 2016	29 Oct 2016
		GW-RN0414-16	18 Jun 2016	17 Dec 2016
		GW-RN0419-16	21 Jun 2016	30 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0421-16	21 Jun 2016	30 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0434-16	22 Jun 2016	21 Dec 2016
		GW-RN0514-16	16 Jul 2016	15 Oct 2016
		GW-RN0525-16	20 Jul 2016	7 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0541-16	5 Aug 2016	4 Nov 2016
		GW-RN0549-16	30 Jul 2016	9 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0557-16	8 Aug 2016	30 Sep 2016
		GW-RN0561-16	16 Aug 2016	11 Feb 2017
		GW-RN0580-16	25 Aug 2016	24 Feb 2017
		GW-RN0581-16	25 Aug 2016	24 Feb 2017
		GW-RN0596-16	17 Aug 2016	15 Feb 2017
		GW-RN0606-16	27 Aug 2016	2 Oct 2016
		GW-RN0619-16	22 Aug 2016	14 Feb 2017
		GW-RN0646-16	10 Sep 2016	9 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0649-16	3 Sep 2016	7 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0653-16	11 Sep 2016	10 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0654-16	15 Sep 2016	14 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0708-16	8 Oct 2016	28 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0711-16	1 Oct 2016	13 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0720-16	4 Oct 2016	31 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0729-16	5 Oct 2016	31 Mar 2017
<b>Contract 5</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 359338	13 May 2013	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-642-S3735-01	8 Jun 2013	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: W5/1G44/1	8 Jun 13	30 Jun 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017351	29 Apr 13	Till the end of Contract
<b>Contract 6</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Ref. No: 390614	29 Jun 2015	Till the end of Contract

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Regulation			
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-652-C3969-01	31 Aug 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022707	9 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
4	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024574-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.: WT00024576-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.: WT00024742-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
		No.: WT00024746-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
<b>Contract SS C505</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390974	13 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5213-642-L1048-07	16 Sep 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024865-2016	8 Jul 2016	30 Nov 2020
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022831	23 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0396-16	5 June 2016	4 Nov 2016
		PP-RN0020-16	16 Jul 2016	14 Jan 2017
		GW-RN0520-16	23 Jul 2016	22 Jan 2017
<b>Contract 7</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 397015	21 Dec 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5214-641-K3202-01	24 Mar 2016	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024422-2016	10 May 2016	31 May 2021
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024129	21 Jan 2016	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0538-16	23 Jul 2016	4 Nov 2016
<b>Contract 4</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Form of Notification of Construction work has submitted to EPD in July 2016.		

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Application is under preparation		
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	Application is under preparation		
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Application is under preparation		

### 3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

#### 3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise; and
- Water quality

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

**Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements**

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and</li> <li>• 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler.</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>L_{eq(30min)}</math> in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday; and</li> <li>• 3 sets of consecutive <math>L_{eq(5min)}</math> on restricted hours i.e. 19:00 to 07:00 next day, and whole day of public holiday or Sunday</li> <li>• Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as <math>L_{10}</math> and <math>L_{90}</math> shall also be obtained for reference.</li> </ul>
Water Quality	<b>In-situ Measurements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L);</li> <li>• Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%);</li> <li>• Turbidity (NTU);</li> <li>• pH unit;</li> <li>• Water depth (m); and</li> <li>• Temperature (°C).</li> </ul>
	<b>Laboratory Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suspended Solids (mg/L)</li> </ul>

#### 3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 The designated monitoring locations as recommended in the *EM&A Manual* are shown in *Appendix D*. As the access to some of the designated monitoring locations was questionable due to safety reason or denied by the landlords, alternative locations therefore have had proposed. The proposed alternative monitoring locations has updated in the revised EM&A Programme which verified by IEC and certified by ET Leader prior submitted to EPD on 10 July 2013. *Table 3-2*, *Table 3-3* and *Table 3-4* are respectively listed the air quality, construction noise and water quality monitoring locations for the Project and a map showing these monitoring stations is presented in *Appendix E*.

**Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations - Air Quality**

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM1b^	Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
AM2	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM3	Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta	LMH to Frontier	Contract 6

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
	Kwu Ling Village.	Closed Area	
AM4b <sup>^</sup>	House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM5a <sup>^</sup>	Ping Yeung Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM6	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM7b <sup>@</sup>	Loi Tung Village House	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2 Contract 6
AM8	Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
AM9b <sup>#</sup>	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

*# Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM9a to AM9b was submitted to EPD on 4 Nov 2013 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (15) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.10 dated 8 Nov 2013).*

*\* Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM1 to AM1a was submitted to EPD on 24 March 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (6) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).*

*@ Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM7a to AM7b was submitted to EPD on 4 June 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (7) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).*

*<sup>^</sup> Proposal for change of air quality monitoring locations was enclosed in the updated EM&A Programme which approval by EPD on 29 Mar 2016.*

**Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations - Construction Noise**

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
NM1	Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
NM2a <sup>#</sup>	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
NM3	Ping Yeung Village House (facade facing northeast)	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM4	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM5	Village House, Loi Tung	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM6	Tai Tong Wu Village House 2	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM7	Po Kat Tsai Village	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
NM8	Village House, Tong Hang	Fanling	Contract 2 Contract 3
NM9	Village House, Kiu Tau Village	Fanling	Contract 3
NM10	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

*# Proposal for the change of construction noise monitoring location from NM2 to NM2a was verified by the IEC on 6 May 2016 and was effective on 9 May 2016.*

**Table 3-4 Impact Monitoring Stations - Water Quality**

Station ID	Description	Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location		Nature of the location	Related to the Work Contract
		Easting	Northing		
WM1	Downstream of Kong Yiu Channel	833 679	845 421	Alternative location located at upstream 51m of the designated location	SS C505 Contract 6
WM1-Control	Upstream of Kong Yiu Channel	834 185	845 917	NA	SS C505 Contract 6
WM2A	Downstream of River Ganges	834 204	844 471	Alternative location located at upstream 81m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A(a)*	Downstream of River Ganges	834 191	844 474	Alternative location located at upstream 70m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A-Controlx#	Upstream of River Ganges	835 377	844 188	Alternative location located at upstream 160m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2B	Downstream of River Ganges	835 433	843 397	NA	Contract 6
WM2B-Control	Upstream of River Ganges	835 835	843 351	Alternative location located at downstream 31m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM3x#	Downstream of River Indus	836 206	842 270	Alternative location located at downstream 180m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM3-Control	Upstream of River Indus	836 763	842 400	Alternative location located at downstream 26m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM4	Downstream of Ma Wat Channel	833 850	838 338	Alternative location located at upstream 11m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control A	Kau Lung Hang Stream	834 028	837 695	Alternative location located at downstream 28m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control B	Upstream of Ma Wat Channel	833760	837395	Alternative location located at upstream 15m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3

*Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme on 29<sup>th</sup> March 2016. If the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location (WM3x and WM2A-Controlx) based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 05) (Section 4.1.4)*

*\* Proposal for the change of water monitoring location from WM2A to WM2A(a) was verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD. (EPD's ref. (10) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.19)*

### 3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in *Sections 2.1.6, 3.1.5 and 4.1.6* of the approved *EM&A Manual* and presented as follows.

#### Air Quality Monitoring

3.4.1 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:

- 1-hour TSP                      3 times every six days during course of works



- 24-hour TSP Once every 6 days during course of works.

Noise Monitoring

3.4.2 One set of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  as 6 consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays and once every week during course of works. If construction work necessary to carry out at other time periods, i.e. restricted time period (19:00 to 07:00 the next morning and whole day on public holidays) (hereinafter referred as “the restricted hours”), 3 consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurement will depended CNP requirements to undertake. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  shall also be obtained for reference.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.4.3 The water quality monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during course of works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

**3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT**

Air Quality Monitoring

3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve.

3.5.2 The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

3.5.3 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-5**.

**Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
<b>24-Hr TSP</b>	
High Volume Air Sampler	TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170*
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A*
<b>1-Hour TSP</b>	
Portable Dust Meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter*

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

3.5.4 According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:

- 1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
- 2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
- 3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
- 4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.

3.5.5 ET has liaised with the landlords of the successful granted HVS installation premises. However, the owners rejected to provide premises for wind data monitoring equipment installation.

3.5.6 Under this situation, the ET proposed alternative methods to obtain representative wind data.

Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. For Ta Kwu Ling Station, it is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, this station is located at 15m above mean sea level while its anemometer is located at 13m above the existing ground which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. Furthermore, this station also can be to provide the humidity, rainfall, and air pressure and temperature etc. meteorological information. In Hong Kong of a lot development projects, weather information extracted from Hong Kong Observatory is common alternative method if weather station installation not allowed.

Noise Monitoring

3.5.7 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

3.5.8 Noise monitoring equipment to be used for monitoring is listed in *Table 3-6*.

**Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238* or Rion NL-31 or Rion NL-52*
Calibrator	B&K Type 4231* or Cesva CB-5 or Rion NC-74*
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.5.9 Sound level meters listed above comply with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO. The acoustic calibrator and sound level meter to be used in the impact monitoring will be calibrated yearly.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.5.10 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:

- a DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
- a temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.

3.5.11 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.

3.5.12 The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.

3.5.13 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measure will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station as appropriate.

3.5.14 A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5m. For sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. <0.5 m, water sample collection will be directly from water surface below 100mm use sampling plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.

- 3.5.15 Water samples for laboratory measurement of SS will be collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the same day as the samples were collected.
- 3.5.16 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* with Limit of Reporting of 2 mg/L.
- 3.5.17 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the impact monitoring is listed in **Table 3-7**. Suspended solids (SS) analysis is carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, namely *ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd*.

**Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar or tape measures
Water Sampler	A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling bucket
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus /YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument* / YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter/ YSI Professional DSS
pH meter	YSI Professional Plus / AZ8685 pH pen-style meter*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100Q*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with Ice pad

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

### 3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
- A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
  - A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
  - A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

- 3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period as follow manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual.

#### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:
- An anodized aluminum shelter;
  - A 8”x10” stainless steel filter holder;
  - A blower motor assembly;
  - A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
  - A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;

- (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
  - (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz
- 3.6.4 The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval.
- 3.6.5 24-hour TSP is collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET keep all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

#### Noise Monitoring

- 3.6.6 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) measured in decibels dB(A). Supplementary statistical results ( $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$ ) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.6.7 During the monitoring, all noise measurements would be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $L_{eq(30min)}$  in six consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements will use as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; and also  $L_{eq(15min)}$  in three consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements would be used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.6.8 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking is performed before and after the noise measurement.

#### Water Quality

- 3.6.9 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated or alternative locations. The sampling procedures with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

##### *Sampling Procedure*

- 3.6.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measurement is used for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, water sample would be collected from 0.1m below water surface or the water surface to prevent the river bed sediment for stirring.
- 3.6.11 The sample container will be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then will be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.
- 3.6.12 Before sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.
- 3.6.13 A 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection.

##### In-situ Measurement

- 3.6.14 YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument is used for water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen saturation.

- 3.6.15 A portable AZ Model 8685 pH pen-style meter or YSI Professional DSS is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1.
- 3.6.16 A portable Hach 2100Q Turbidimeter or YSI Professional DSS is used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU.
- 3.6.17 All in-situ measurement equipment are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month interval.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.6.18 All water samples analyzed Suspended Solids (SS) will be carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS determination using *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* as specified in the *EM&A Manual* will start within 48 hours of water sample receipt.

**3.7 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION**

- 3.7.1 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation and thereafter at bimonthly intervals in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction using the certified standard calibrator (TISCH Model TE-5025A). Moreover, the Calibration Kit would be calibrated annually. The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.7.2 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment would be checked before and after each monitoring event. Annually calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition would be undertaken by the Laboratory.
- 3.7.3 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.7.4 All water quality monitoring equipment would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.7.5 The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in *Appendix F*.

**3.8 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS**

- 3.8.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10*.

**Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring**

Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg /m <sup>3</sup> )		Limit Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
AM1b	265	143	500	260
AM2	268	149		
AM3	269	145		
AM4b	267	148		
AM5a	268	143		
AM6	269	148		
AM7b	275	156		
AM8	269	144		
AM9b	271	151		

**Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise**

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
NM1, NM2a, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8, NM9, NM10	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) <sup>Note 1 &amp; Note 2</sup>

Note 1: Acceptable Noise Levels for school should be reduced to 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) during examination period

Note 2: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

**Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality**

Parameter	Performance criteria	Monitoring Location				
		WM1	WM2A(a)	WM2B	WM3x	WM4
DO (mg/L)	Action Level	(*)4.23	(**)4.00	(*)4.74	(**)4.00	(*)4.14
	Limit Level	(#)4.19	(**)4.00	(#)4.60	(**)4.00	(#)4.08
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	51.3	24.9	11.4	13.4	35.2
	Limit Level	AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				
SS (mg/L)	Action Level	54.5	14.6	11.8	12.6	39.4
	Limit Level	AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				

**Remarks:**

(\*) The Proposed **Action Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 5%-ile of baseline data

(\*\*) The Proposed **Action & Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is used 4mg/L

(#) The Proposed **Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 1%-ile of baseline data

3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in **Appendix G**.

**3.9 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL**

3.9.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.9.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

## 4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

### 4.1 GENERAL

4.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505. Hence, air quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations.

4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 4.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS IN REPORTING MONTH

4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **150** events of 1-hour TSP and **50** events 24-hours TSP monitoring were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Sep-16	26	6-Sep-16	9:25	85	80	92
10-Sep-16	36	12-Sep-16	9:45	58	62	63
15-Sep-16	50	17-Sep-16	9:01	58	56	48
21-Sep-16	63	23-Sep-16	9:30	61	57	58
27-Sep-16	101	29-Sep-16	9:44	119	131	113
Average (Range)	<b>55</b> (26 – 101)	Average (Range)		<b>76</b> (48 – 131)		

**Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Sep-16	56	6-Sep-16	9:32	78	84	89
10-Sep-16	41	12-Sep-16	9:47	61	63	61
15-Sep-16	88	17-Sep-16	9:05	58	57	52
21-Sep-16	100	23-Sep-16	9:47	95	89	78
27-Sep-16	<b>191</b>	29-Sep-16	9:41	105	109	114
Average (Range)	<b>95</b> (41 – 191)	Average (Range)		<b>80</b> (52 – 114)		

*Remarks:* bold with italic indicated Action Level exceedance

**Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Sep-16	105	6-Sep-16	9:38	72	76	80
10-Sep-16	79	12-Sep-16	9:50	63	65	66
15-Sep-16	117	17-Sep-16	9:08	56	59	57
21-Sep-16	120	23-Sep-16	9:27	95	95	80
27-Sep-16	<b>193</b>	29-Sep-16	9:48	148	154	151
Average (Range)	<b>123</b> (79 – 193)	Average (Range)		<b>88</b> (56 – 154)		

*Remarks:* bold with italic indicated Action Level exceedance

**Table 4-4 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM4b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
1-Sep-16	23	2-Sep-16	9:54	85	68	65
7-Sep-16	33	8-Sep-16	10:08	112	110	110
13-Sep-16	43	14-Sep-16	10:05	82	76	73
19-Sep-16	63	20-Sep-16	10:00	86	71	75
24-Sep-16	62	26-Sep-16	10:05	92	96	91
29-Sep-16	26	30-Sep-16	12:50	129	116	121
Average (Range)	<b>42</b> (23 – 63)	Average (Range)		<b>92</b> (65 – 129)		

**Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM5a**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
1-Sep-16	18	2-Sep-16	9:41	84	64	62
7-Sep-16	22	8-Sep-16	10:05	108	106	110
13-Sep-16	61	14-Sep-16	10:00	80	81	78
19-Sep-16	66	20-Sep-16	9:49	91	76	78
24-Sep-16	61	26-Sep-16	10:00	91	92	89
29-Sep-16	138	30-Sep-16	13:11	117	100	146
Average (Range)	<b>61</b> (18 – 138)	Average (Range)		<b>92</b> (62 – 146)		

**Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM6**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
1-Sep-16	63	2-Sep-16	9:26	60	100	65
7-Sep-16	85	8-Sep-16	9:45	105	106	110
13-Sep-16	101	14-Sep-16	9:40	84	87	82
19-Sep-16	81	20-Sep-16	9:10	74	62	98
24-Sep-16	87	26-Sep-16	9:45	139	134	136
29-Sep-16	145	30-Sep-16	13:00	116	138	139
Average (Range)	<b>94</b> (63 – 145)	Average (Range)		<b>102</b> (60 – 139)		

**Table 4-7 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM7b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
1-Sep-16	56	2-Sep-16	9:22	65	76	89
7-Sep-16	43	8-Sep-16	12:54	74	64	64
13-Sep-16	50	14-Sep-16	9:11	50	83	72
19-Sep-16	81	20-Sep-16	9:44	80	74	75
24-Sep-16	80	26-Sep-16	13:04	92	107	106
29-Sep-16	124	30-Sep-16	9:41	129	137	127
Average (Range)	<b>72</b> (43 – 124)	Average (Range)		<b>87</b> (50 – 137)		



**Table 4-8 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM8**

Date	24-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
1-Sep-16	31	2-Sep-16	13:04	67	82	82
7-Sep-16	28	8-Sep-16	13:18	86	90	83
13-Sep-16	36	14-Sep-16	13:01	66	58	60
19-Sep-16	51	20-Sep-16	9:31	79	78	74
24-Sep-16	48	26-Sep-16	13:17	89	89	86
29-Sep-16	106	30-Sep-16	9:30	114	121	110
Average (Range)	<b>50</b> (28 – 106)	Average (Range)		<b>84</b> (58 -121)		

**Table 4-9 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM9b**

Date	24-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Sep-16	18	6-Sep-16	13:04	46	38	39
10-Sep-16	25	12-Sep-16	13:06	48	43	42
15-Sep-16	30	17-Sep-16	8:47	61	57	48
21-Sep-16	29	23-Sep-16	13:09	90	81	71
27-Sep-16	48	29-Sep-16	9:24	58	59	57
Average (Range)	<b>30</b> (18 – 48)	Average (Range)		<b>56</b> (38 – 90)		

4.2.2 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*, all the 1-hour TSP a monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels. For 24-hour TSP monitoring, there were two (2) Action level exceedances which recorded at AM2 and AM3. NOE was issued to all parties for information. The investigation for the cause of exceedance is being investigated by the ET.

4.2.3 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix K*.

## 5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

### 5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and noise monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 5.1.2 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS IN REPORTING MONTH

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **45** event noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations. The sound level meter was set in 1m from the exterior of the building façade including noise monitoring locations NM1, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8 and NM9. Therefore, no façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. However, free-field status were performed at NM2a and NM10 and façade correction (+3 dB(A)) has added according to the requirement in this month. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq30min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM1	NM2a <sup>(*)</sup>	NM8	NM9	NM10 <sup>(*)</sup>
6-Sep-16	59	69	58	62	66
12-Sep-16	53	62	59	66	61
23-Sep-16	51	62	59	61	64
29-Sep-16	55	58	59	62	63
<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>75 dB(A)</b>				

Remarks

(\*) façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

**Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq30min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM3	NM4	NM5	NM6	NM7
2-Sep-16	65	70	59	55	67
8-Sep-16	63	69	55	64	65
14-Sep-16	62	69	52	54	65
20-Sep-16	55	63	54	55	63
26-Sep-16	56	63	54	53	63
<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>75 dB(A)</b>				

- 5.2.1 As shown in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*, the noise level measured at all designated monitoring locations were below 75dB(A). Furthermore, there was no noise complaints (Action Level exceedance) received by the RE, CEDD, Architect/AR/ and the Contractors in the Reporting Period. Therefore, no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered and no corrective action was required.

## 6 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

### 6.1 GENERAL

6.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project has been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and water quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations. The water quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 6.2 RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of thirteen (13) sampling days was scheduled to carry out for all designated locations with their control stations. Since exceedances were recorded at WM1, WM2A(a), WM2B and WM3x, according to “*Event and Action Plan*” stipulation, one (1) additional water quality monitoring day was conducted for WM1 and WM2B and its control stations. Also, two (2) and six (6) additional water quality monitoring days were conducted for WM3X and WM2A(a) respectively and its control stations in the reporting period.

6.2.2 The key monitoring parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in *Tables 6-1 to 6-5*. Breaches of water quality monitoring criteria are shown in *Table 6-6*. Detailed monitoring database including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plot are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 6-1 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 2 and 3**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB
2-Sep-16	6.8	7.3	6.4	31.7	6.9	8.2	22.5	4.0	6.0
6-Sep-16	7.2	7.3	6.6	25.9	13.3	16.6	20.0	8.0	18.0
8-Sep-16	7.0	7.5	6.7	14.0	11.2	9.3	15.0	13.5	12.0
10-Sep-16	7.2	7.4	6.8	18.1	12.5	17.4	20.5	14.5	16.0
12-Sep-16	7.3	7.5	7.0	16.7	13.6	17.1	18.0	17.5	19.0
14-Sep-16	7.0	7.0	6.9	16.2	18.5	9.5	11.0	14.5	10.5
17-Sep-16	7.2	7.5	6.8	12.0	16.6	13.4	8.0	11.5	10.5
20-Sep-16	7.4	7.6	7.0	24.2	6.9	7.8	10.0	2.0	7.5
22-Sep-16	7.5	7.7	7.2	15.6	5.7	13.8	17.5	5.0	20.0
24-Sep-16	6.8	7.4	7.2	12.8	5.3	11.2	12.0	5.0	16.0
26-Sep-16	6.9	6.8	7.2	8.9	4.8	10.9	7.5	5.0	16.5
28-Sep-16	6.7	7.3	6.1	4.7	4.0	14.7	7.5	3.0	20.0
30-Sep-16	7.2	7.7	7.6	10.0	4.3	9.4	9.0	5.0	13.0

**Table 6-2 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 5, 6 and SS C505**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-Control	WM1	WM1-Control	WM1	WM1-Control
2-Sep-16	7.2	7.3	35.6	12.6	38.0	6.5
6-Sep-16	6.9	7.2	32.1	16.3	36.5	11.0
8-Sep-16	7.4	7.4	31.5	31.8	32.0	44.5
10-Sep-16	7.2	7.6	<b>361.0</b>	43.7	<b>243.0</b>	79.5
12-Sep-16	7.3	7.4	30.1	12.4	30.5	9.5
13-Sep-16#	--	--	34.9	10.7	31.0	5.0
14-Sep-16	7.4	7.5	19.2	10.2	19.0	6.0
17-Sep-16	7.5	7.9	15.7	7.7	15.5	4.0
20-Sep-16	7.5	7.0	39.0	12.2	43.5	9.0
22-Sep-16	7.5	7.7	26.8	9.8	24.5	3.0
24-Sep-16	7.1	6.1	16.1	7.7	15.0	4.0
26-Sep-16	6.7	6.6	15.3	10.8	14.0	4.0
28-Sep-16	7.3	7.4	45.7	12.6	2.0	<2

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-Control	WM1	WM1-Control	WM1	WM1-Control
30-Sep-16	7.5	7.4	17.6	19.3	2.5	<2

**Remarks:** *bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance*

*# Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.*

**Table 6-3 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated only Contract 6**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C
2-Sep-16	7.0	7.4	8.1	6.4	22.7	26.0	3.5	2.2	21.5	19.0	6.0	<2
6-Sep-16	7.0	7.7	7.5	6.7	<u>over</u> <u>range</u>	27.5	11.2	5.4	<u>883.0</u>	29.5	<u>18.5</u>	<2
7-Sep-16#	--	--	--	--	<u>106.5</u>	16.6	--	--	<u>108.0</u>	30.0	--	--
8-Sep-16	7.4	7.4	7.8	6.5	<u>67.2</u>	10.9	<u>16.4</u>	4.5	<u>44.0</u>	7.0	<u>16.0</u>	<2
9-Sep-16#	--	--	--	--	<u>24.6</u>	6.4	9.2	8.1	<u>32.0</u>	6.0	11.0	11.0
10-Sep-16	7.2	7.2	7.3	6.8	117.0	525.5	<u>14.1</u>	17.2	116.5	340.0	<u>12.0</u>	11.0
12-Sep-16	6.4	7.5	6.9	6.8	<u>343.5</u>	8.9	6.4	3.4	<u>227.0</u>	6.0	10.0	13.0
13-Sep-16#	--	--	--	--	<u>59.0</u>	6.7	--	--	<u>61.0</u>	5.0	--	--
14-Sep-16	7.1	7.5	8.1	6.8	24.7	7.3	5.6	2.3	12.5	3.5	7.0	<2
15-Sep-16#	--	--	--	--	9.9	6.0	--	--	7.0	5.0	--	--
17-Sep-16	7.2	7.6	7.1	6.7	8.3	7.2	3.8	2.6	5.5	<2	<2	<2
20-Sep-16	7.3	7.6	7.5	7.1	<u>60.2</u>	8.1	11.4	1.9	<u>37.5</u>	4.5	8.0	<2
21-Sep-16#	--	--	--	--	<u>192.5</u>	5.6	--	--	<u>84.0</u>	3.0	--	--
22-Sep-16	7.3	7.6	8.1	6.7	<u>200.5</u>	8.4	5.7	2.1	<u>149.0</u>	5.0	6.0	<2
23-Sep-16#	--	--	--	--	9.9	6.4	#	#	2.0	<2	--	--
24-Sep-16	6.8	7.5	5.4	5.5	23.5	11.2	4.7	4.3	14.0	2.0	<2	<2
26-Sep-16	7.0	7.0	7.1	4.9	23.5	7.8	3.4	2.3	14.5	2.5	4.0	2.5
28-Sep-16	6.9	7.0	8.0	7.6	24.1	7.8	3.5	9.1	<u>25.0</u>	3.5	2.0	<2
30-Sep-16	7.3	7.3	7.9	5.7	20.7	7.3	2.5	1.9	<u>24.5</u>	3.5	2.5	<2

**Remarks:** *bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance*

*bold with italic indicated Action Level exceedance*

*# Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.*

**Table 6-4 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 2 and 6**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM3x	WM3-Control	WM3x	WM3-Control	WM3x	WM3-Control
2-Sep-16	7.0	6.9	11.3	23.0	12.5	34.0
6-Sep-16	6.8	6.4	24.5	36.9	25.5	62.0
8-Sep-16	7.2	6.8	<u>92.2</u>	21.4	<u>99.5</u>	17.0
9-Sep-16#	--	--	117.5	142.0	229.0	411.0
10-Sep-16	6.4	6.8	80.6	388.5	101.5	281.5
12-Sep-16	6.7	6.6	12.6	7.9	10.0	3.5
14-Sep-16	6.7	6.5	13.3	9.7	16.0	14.0
17-Sep-16	6.8	6.8	7.5	8.6	7.0	21.5
20-Sep-16	7.3	6.5	58.2	51.9	83.0	77.5
22-Sep-16	7.8	6.6	12.6	4.3	12.0	4.5
24-Sep-16	6.8	6.3	115.5	164.5	153.5	184.5
26-Sep-16	6.6	6.5	9.2	7.5	10.0	3.5
28-Sep-16	6.8	5.8	<u>65.6</u>	6.2	<u>81.5</u>	2.0
29-Sep-16#	--	--	11.9	4.1	11.0	<2
30-Sep-16	6.7	5.8	6.4	2.7	12.0	2.0

**Remarks:** *bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance*  
 # *Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.*

**Table 6-5 Breaches of Water Quality Monitoring Criteria in Reporting Period**

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance	
	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit
WM1	0	0	0	<b>1</b>	0	<b>1</b>	0	<b>2</b>
WM2A(a)	0	0	0	<b>9</b>	0	<b>11</b>	0	<b>20</b>
WM2B	0	0	0	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
WM3x	0	0	0	<b>2</b>	0	<b>2</b>	0	<b>4</b>
WM4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>No of Exceedance</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>30</b>

6.2.3 In this Reporting Period, a total of thirty-one (31) Action Level (AL)/Limit Level (LL) exceedances, namely fourteen (14) LL exceedance of turbidity and seventeen (17) AL/LL exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project and they are summarized in **Table 6-5**.

6.2.4 NOE was issued to relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. The cause of exceedance is summarized in **Table 6-6** accordance to investigation findings and the detailed investigation reports for the exceedances are attached in **Appendix N**.

**Table 6-6 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period**

Date of Exceedance	Location	Exceeded Parameter	Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief
18, 19 and 22 Aug 2016 (last reporting month)	WM2B (C6)	NTU &SS	According to the Hong Kong Observatory, there was rainstorm recorded on 18 to 22 August 2016. Due to continuous rainfall, large amount of surface muddy runoff generated from the surrounding environment has been flowing into existing stream. The muddy runoff resulted in slurry and mud accumulated in the river bed. In our investigation, the exceedance was due to surface runoff and mud from the surrounding environmental under rainstorm
6, 8 and 10 Sep 2016	WM2B (C6)	NTU &SS	For exceedances on 6 & 8 Sep 2016, it is considered that the exceedances were due to the disturbance of silt and sediment during sampling and not likely caused by the Project. For exceedance on 10 Sep 2016, there was rain before the monitoring and the water quality at upstream WM2B-C was also affected by rain. It is considered the exceedance was not related to works under the Project.
6, 7, 8 and 9 Sep 2016	WM2A (C6)	NTU &SS	As reported by CCKJV, the water pipe carrying untreated water to the wastewater treatment facilities SH-08 was burst on 6 September 2016 and it had repaired immediately. It is considered that the exceedances on 6 September 2016 were related to the pipe burst incident and exceedance on 7 September 2016 was due to the residual impact of pipe burst incident. During site inspection, the water mitigation measures implemented on site was in order and no adverse water impact was identified. It is considered the exceedances

Date of Exceedance	Location	Exceeded Parameter	Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief
			on 8 and 9 September 2016 were due to natural variation and unlikely caused by the works under the project.
8 Sep 2016	WM3x (C2 and C6)	NTU &SS	Muddy water cumulated at Ng Tung River was observed out of the site boundary on 8 September 2016. It was suspected that muddy water was come from upstream after heavy rain on 7 September 2016. In our investigation, the water mitigation measures implemented on site was in order and no adverse water impact was identified. It is considered the exceedances were likely caused by residue silt and sediment after heavy rain on 7 September 2016 and no related to the works under Contracts 2 and 6.
10 Sep 2016	WM1 (C6 and SS C505)	NTU &SS	Heavy rainfall (total rainfall 53.2mm) was recorded on 10 September 2016 and muddy water was also observed throughout the channel such as upstream of the work area of Contract 6. It is considered that the exceedances were unlikely due to the works under Contract SS C505 and Contract 6.
12 and 13 Sep 2016	WM2A (C6)	NTU &SS	On 12 September 2016, water releasing from the loose Nylon Dam was observed and released water should be come from heavy rain at the day before. When water releasing from the dam, water flow of the river became very vigorous and stirred up the loose sediment at the river bed. In view of the impact after rain and the water mitigation measures implemented on site, it is considered the exceedances on 12 September 2016 were related to sediment stirred up at the river bed when water loose from Nylon Dam whereas exceedances on 13 September 2016 were due to natural variation.
20, 21 and 22 Sep 2016	WM2A (C6)	NTU &SS	In our investigation, the water mitigation measures implemented on site and the function of the wastewater treatment facilities was in order and no adverse water impact was observed during site inspection. It is considered the exceedances were unlikely caused by the works under the project.
28 and 30 Sep 2016	WM2A (C6)	SS	To be reported.

**7 ECOLOGY MONITORING**

**7.1 GENERAL**

- 7.1.1 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation was conducted on **30 September 2016**. The Monitoring Report for Woodland Compensation will be prepared and submitted as a stand-alone report as supplementary for the EM&A Report.

## 8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

### 8.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP) for each contract.

### 8.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

8.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

8.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 7-1* and *7-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix L*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

**Table 7-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials for the Project**

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	97.0232	--	1.797	--	31.086	--	0.207	--	2.963	--	127.0762
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	1.5359	--	0.258	--	2.089	--	0	--	2.911	--	6.7939
Reused in other Contracts/ Projects (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	50.8682	C6/ NENT# & other projects approved by the ER	0	--	11.529	C5 & other projects approved by the ER	0	--	0	--	62.3972
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	44.6191	Tuen Mun 38	0.935	Tuen Mun 38	17.468	Tuen Mun 38	0.207	Tuen Mun 38	0.052	TKO 137	63.2811

Remark #: The C&D materials were delivered to NENT for reuse by laying cover of the landfilling area.

**Table 7-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes for the Project**

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
Recycled Metal ('000kg) #	0	-	0.001	-	0	--	0.1	--	572.150	Licensed collector	0.001#+572.25
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) #	0	-	0	-	0	--	0.05	--	0.37	--	0.42
Recycled Plastic ('000kg) #	0	--	0.002	-	0	--	0.001	--	0.048	--	0.002#+0.049
Chemical Wastes ('000kg) #	4.4000	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.4
General Refuses ('000m <sup>3</sup> )	0.2018	NENT	0.090	NENT	0.049	NENT	0	--	0.241	NENT	0.5818

Remark #: Unit of recycled metal, recycled paper/ cardboard packing, recycled plastic and chemical waste for Contract 3 was in ('000m<sup>3</sup>).



**9 SITE INSPECTION**

**9.1 REQUIREMENTS**

9.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should carry out to confirm the environmental performance.

**9.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH**

**Contract 2**

9.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 2 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 14, 23 and 30 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.2 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 2** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 8-1**.

**Table 8-1 Site Observations for Contract 2**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
2 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water cumulated inside the drip tray after the rainstorm was observed. Stagnant water should be cleared to prevent mosquito breeding. (South Portal)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water cleaned.</li> </ul>
9 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Broken barriers should be replace to prevent stagnant water accumulation. (South Portal)</li> <li>Stagnant water cumulated on site should be removed to prevent mosquito breeding. (South Porta)</li> <li>It was reminded that ponding water cumulated on site should be removed to prevent mosquito breeding. (Mid-Vent)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Broken water barriers replaced.</li> <li>Stagnant water cleaned.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
14 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Truck without NRMM label was observed. Label should be displayed properly for NRMM using on site. (South Portal &amp; North Portal)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lossen NRMM label attached onto the plant.</li> </ul>
23 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
30 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Free standing oil drums without drip tray storage on site was observed. Drip tray should be provided for all chemical storage on site. (North Portal)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To be followed.</li> </ul>

**Contract 3**

9.2.3 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 3 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **5, 12, 21 and 26 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.4 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 3** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 8-2**.

**Table 8-2 Site Observations for Contract 3**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
5 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dusty trail was observed near SA1A. The Contractor should ensure all vehicles are washed before leaving the site and ensure</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No dusty trail was observed near SA1A.</li> </ul>

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
	no dusty trail at the public access road.	
12 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemical containers without drip tray were observed near SA11C. The Contractor should provide drip tray for the containers to avoid land contamination.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemical containers without drip tray were removed.</li> </ul>
21 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove any stagnant water within the construction site to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
26 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>

**Contract 6**

9.2.5 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 6 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.6 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 6** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 8-4**.

**Table 8-4 Site Observations for Contract 6**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Smoke emission from a generator was observed in North Portal, the Contractor should provide plant maintenance to the generator.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exhaust filter of the generator has been replaced.</li> </ul>
8 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The cover of two air compressors remain open was observed in Bridge D, the Contractor should ensure the air compressors are properly enclosed.</li> <li>It was reminded that stagnant water accumulated on site should be regularly removed to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The covers of the air compressors have been closed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
15 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muddy water overflowing from wheel washing bay in Bridge D was observed, the Contractor should modify the vehicle washing facility and maintain the cleanliness of the public road.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muddy water in wheel washing basin has been drained away.</li> <li>Wheel washing has been performed within the site area.</li> </ul>
22 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ground level of the public vehicular road has been raised up to 200mm to avoid washing water overflowing from the wheel washing bay in Bridge D. But washing water overflowing from the wheel washing bay still observed during the vehicle washing process, the Contractor should further improve the vehicle/wheel washing facilities and to maintain the cleanliness of the public vehicular road.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The cleanliness of public road has been maintained.</li> <li>Metal grid will be installed into the wheel washing basin.</li> </ul>

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
29 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>

**Contract SS C505**

9.2.7 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract SS C505 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 14, 21 and 28 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.8 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract SS C505** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 8-5**.

**Table 8-5 Site Observations for Contract SS C505**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
6 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water was observed near TC11. The Contractor should remove the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to dispose the general refuse near site office regularly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water near TC11 was removed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
14 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It was reminded that proper maintenance should be provided for the temporary drainage. Soil and debris cumulated inside should be cleaned more frequently. (Near Building no. 1-3)</li> <li>It was reminded that ponding water cumulated on site should be cleaned or provide mosquito control measures to prevent mosquito breeding. (Building No.6).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
21 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water was observed in drip tray near Training Centre and at PTB. The Contractor should remove the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to fill sand at the lifting eye of concrete blocks on site to avoid accumulation of stagnant water.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No stagnant water was observed in drip tray near Training Centre and at PTB was removed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
28 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accumulation of cement was observed at drainage channel near PTB. The Contractor should remove the cement from the channel and ensure the channel function properly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The cement accumulated was removed from the drainage channel bed near PTB.</li> </ul>

**Contract 7**

9.2.9 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 7 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 13, 20 and 27 September 2016**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.10 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 7** that observed during the weekly site inspection are

listed in *Table 8-6*.

**Table 8-6 Site Observations for Contract 7**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
6 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Surface runoff overflow into the stream was observed. Earth bund should be provided to prevent turbidity runoff overflow into the stream</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Earth bund has been provided to prevent surface run-off into the stream</li> </ul>
13 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unplugged drip tray underneath an air compressor was observed, the Contractor should ensure the drip tray is well plugged to prevent leakage.</li> <li>It was reminded that the condition of the wheel washing bay should be maintained and the silt should be cleaned regularly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The drip tray has been plugged to prevent leakage.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
20 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
27 September 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>

9.2.11 General housekeeping such as daily site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all Contracts. Furthermore, the Contractors were reminded to implement Waste Management Plan of the Project.

**Other Contracts**

9.2.12 Since the construction work of Contract 5 has substantially completed and Contract 4 has not commenced, no site inspection was performed.

**10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE****10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION**

10.1.1 In the Reporting Period, no summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505. However, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received in respect of the wastewater issue in which one related to Contract 6 and the other one related to both Contracts 2 and 6. The investigation reports were reviewed by IEC. The detail of the complaints and the investigation results are presented below.

**Investigation Result for the Documented Complaints received by 1823 on 14 September 2016 (Contracts 6)**

10.1.2 A public complaint was received via 1823 with respect to the slurry found on the vehicular road near the construction site of CV/2013/08 in Nga Yiu Ha Village. It is suspected that the slurry was related to the untidiness of the exit of construction site of Bridge D.

10.1.3 Upon receipt of the complaint on 14 September 2016, joint site inspection for the complaint investigation was conducted by RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor of Contract 6 (CCKJV) at the concerned area on 15 September 2016. The observations during the site inspection are summarized below:

- (a) It was noted that the concerned vehicular road was within the site boundary of Contract 6 but the road was allocated for public use which crossing the construction site. Since the ground level of the concerned road was slightly lower than the construction site, muddy water and slurry on the construction site was likely to be flowed to the concerned road.
- (b) Cumulated muddy water was observed on the concerned road which should be come from the adjacent construction site. A worker was deployed to sweep the muddy water and slurry as needed.
- (c) Wheel washing bay was observed on one of site exit and manual wheel washing was deployed in site exit on the other side. All vehicles were washed before leaving the site. However, the conditions of the wheel washing facilities are subject to improve by CCKJV.

10.1.4 In our investigation, it is considered the muddy water and slurry found on the concerned vehicular road was come from the construction site. To address the complainant concerns, CCKJV was immediately clean the road and made it get rid of the cumulated muddy water after the site inspection on 15 September 2016

10.1.5 As advised by CCKJV, they will fill up the concerned road to 150-200mm to prevent accumulation of muddy water and slurry. Associated temporary ditches and underground drain will also be constructed to collect and divert the wastewater from the wheel washing facilities and runoff to AquaSed. The modification work is expected to be carried out on 26 to 30 September 2016. Moreover, CCKJV advised that they will be modify the existing wheel washing bay and a new wheel washing facilities will be built on other side of site exit. The ET will closely monitor the status of the modification work conducted by CCKJV in the forthcoming site inspection.

**Investigation Result for the Documented Complaints received by EPD on 20 September 2016 (Contracts 2 and 6)**

10.1.6 A complaint was received from EPD on 20 September 2016 and some photos were provided by the complainant showing that the roadside storm drains along Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha were fully clogged with sand and grit. Site inspection was carried out by EPD and it was noted that the storm drains along the concerned road between lampposts EA9207 and EA9210 were fully clogged with sand and grit.

10.1.7 As advised by the Contractor of Contract 2 (DHK) and Contract 6 (CCKJV), the concerned storm drains on Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha were out of their site boundary. To maintain the cleanliness of the roads, both Contractors have been alternately provided road washing/ cleaning along Wo Keng Shan Road to Sha Tau Kok Road which covered every normal working day

(Mon-Sat), except for rainy day. Besides, road sweeping would be provided for the concerned roads twice a week.

- 10.1.8 Site inspection was carried out by ET on 29 September 2016 at the concerned sections of Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha and Wo Keng Shan Road. There were four construction site exits located along Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha and Wo Keng Shan Road which all maintained by CCKJV. It was observed that wheel washing facilities were built on hard paved ground at all site exits which clear of sand and mud. Moreover, cut-off drain was constructed to divert any possibly runoff from the site. The overview of the Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha and Wo Keng Shan Road was satisfactory. In view of the condition of the site exit and its distance to the complaint location, it was considered that mud or slurry discharged out of the construction site and getting into the gullies was unlikely to occur even though during rainy day.
- 10.1.9 The condition of the gullies along the concerned Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha was inspected on 29 September 2016. According to the photo provided by the complainant, one of the clogged gully was located at the road side of a refuge island which should be in Tai Tong Wu and it was far away from the construction site. It was observed that the concerned gully was clogged with deciduous leaves. Other gullies along Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha in which one away from construction site and one close to construction site were inspected. It was observed that the gully far from construction site was partially clogged with grit whilst the one close to the construction site was found clear of mud and grit.
- 10.1.10 Since the Contractors were not the only road users of Sha Tai Kok road and no sign and evident shown that the clogged gullies were cause by the project, it is considered that that complaint was not related to the works under the project. To address the complainant's concern, both Contractors agreed to alternately deploy labor to clean up mud and grit accumulated in gullies along concerned section of Sha Tau Kok Road. As advised by CCKJV, they will carry out de-silting works of the gully in early October 2016.

**Table 9-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 August 2016	Contract 2	0	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (8) Water Quality</li> <li>• (8) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Noise</li> </ul>
06 Nov 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 3	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Dust</li> <li>• (2) Water quality</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 5	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (3) Dust</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 6	0	22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (16) Water Quality</li> <li>• (5) Dust</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>
15 Feb 2016 – 31 August 2016	Contract 7	0	0	N/A
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	SS C505	0	1	(1) Noise
1 – 30 September 2016	Contract 2	1	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (9) Water Quality</li> <li>• (8) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Noise</li> </ul>
	Contract 3	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Dust</li> <li>• (2) Water quality</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>
	Contract 6	2	24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (18) Water Quality</li> <li>• (5) Dust</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
	Contract 7	0	0	N/A
	SS C505	0	0	N/A

**Table 9-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Summons Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 August 2016	Contract 2	0	0	NA
06 Nov 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 August 2016	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 30 September 2016	Contract 2	0	0	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

**Table 9-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 August 2016	Contract 2	0	0	NA
06 Nov 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 August 2016	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 August 2016	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 30 September 2016	Contract 2	0	0	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

***The Other Contracts***

10.1.11 Since the construction works at the Contract 5 was substantially completed and Contract 4 has not yet commenced, no environmental complaint, summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme are registered in the Reporting Period.

## 11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

### 11.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix M*.
- 11.1.2 All contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 10-1*.

**Table 10-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures**

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wastewater to be treated by the wastewater treatment facilities i.e. sedimentation tank or similar facility before discharge.</li> </ul>
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintain damp / wet surface on access road</li> <li>Low vehicular speed within the works areas.</li> <li>All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site</li> <li>Sprayed water during breaking works</li> <li>A cleaning truck was regularly performed on the public road to prevent fugitive dust emission</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Restrain operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday.</li> <li>Keep good maintenance of plants</li> <li>Place noisy plants away from residence or school</li> <li>Provide noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works</li> <li>Shut down the plants when not in used.</li> </ul>
Waste and Chemical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On-site sorting prior to disposal</li> <li>Follow requirements and procedures of the “Trip-ticket System”</li> <li>Predict required quantity of concrete accurately</li> <li>Collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal</li> </ul>
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site was generally kept tidy and clean.</li> </ul>

### 11.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 11.2.1 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016. Construction activities for other Contracts in the coming month are listed below:

#### Contract 2

- |                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| Mid-Vent Portal | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stud tunnel and cavern excavation</li> <li>Adit invert slab, water proofing and lining</li> <li>Ventilation building superstructure and backfilling</li> </ul>  |
| North Portal    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slope stabilization &amp; retaining wall</li> <li>Southbound TBM excavation</li> <li>Northbound bench excavation</li> <li>Tunnel enlargement and construction of cross passage</li> <li>Tunnel internal structure</li> <li>Ventilation building foundation</li> </ul> |
| South Portal    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Southbound and Northbound D&amp;B excavation</li> <li>South ventilation building superstructure and backfilling</li> <li>Tunnel waterproofing and lining</li> </ul>   |
| Admin Building  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Building superstructure and backfilling</li> </ul>  |

#### Contract 3

- Boundary wall for pumping station



- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Construction of remaining slab of Box Culvert ID05
- Demolition of Valve Control House
- Footbridge construction
- Gabion wall construction
- Demolition of existing vehicular bridge
- Storm drains laying
- Noise barrier construction
- Parapet construction
- Pier / Pier Table construction
- Pile cap works
- Portal beam construction
- Retaining Wall construction
- Road works
- Sewer works
- Slope reinstatement works near Bridge E
- Steel Truss installation
- Utilities duct laying
- Viaduct segment erection
- Water Main Laying

#### **Contract 6**

- Slope Works
- Bored Piling
- Pile Cap Construction
- Bridge Pier Construction
- Segment section
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Works

#### **Contract 7**

- Piling Works at Bridge A and E
- Pile caps construction at Bridge B,C and D
- Column construction at Bridge B, C and D

#### **Contract SS C505**

- General Site Set-up
- Building no.4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 36 construction
- Excavation waterproofing works for Building no. 4, 6 & 11
- Pile cap construction for Building no.4,6&7
- Tower crane operation
- Bridge construction works including construction of bridge column, retaining wall, pile cap and pier
- Underground drainage works
- Prototype “A” and “B” construction works
- Mock up for south entrance double curve cladding
- Formwork and falsework for PTB’s slab construction
- Construction PTB M/F & 1/F flat slab
- Steel beam works for maintenance platform for PTB
- Pile cap construction for PTB, including excavation and backfilling works
- Bridge deck construction for Bridges 1-5
- Footing construction

### 11.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 11.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month for Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 include:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm;
  - Regular clearance of stagnant water during wet season;
  - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
  - Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;
  - Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
  - Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
  - Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
  - Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
  - Management of chemical wastes;
  - Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
  - Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
  - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures
- 11.3.2 Since the construction work of Contract 4 has not commenced, no environmental issue is presented.

## 12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 12.1 CONCLUSIONS


- 12.1.1 This is the 38<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 30 September 2016.
- 12.1.2 For air quality monitoring, no 1-hour TSP monitoring result triggered the Action or Limit Levels but there were two (2) Action Level exceedances in 24-hour TSP monitoring which recorded at AM2 and AM3. Investigation for cause of exceedance was undertaken by the ET.
- 12.1.3 No noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded in the Reporting Period. No NOEs or the associated corrective actions were therefore issued.
- 12.1.4 For water quality monitoring, thirty-one (31) AL/LL exceedances, namely fourteen (14) LL exceedance of turbidity and seventeen (17) AL/LL exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project. Investigations for the cause of exceedances on 6 to 22 September 2016 were conducted by ET and investigation results revealed that these exceedances were not project related. The IRs and the associated investigation reports were submitted to relevant parties. Exceedances on 28 and 30 September 2016 are under investigated by ET.
- 12.1.5 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation was conducted on 30 September 2016. The Monitoring Report for Woodland Compensation will be prepared and submitted as a stand-alone report as supplementary for the EM&A Report.
- 12.1.6 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.
- 12.1.7 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaint was received in respect of the wastewater issue in which one was related to Contract 6 and the other one was related to both Contracts 2 and 6. Upon receipt of the complaints, RE, IEC and ET with the relevant Contractors has immediately undertaken investigation. Follow up actions have been undertaken by the Contractor to resolve the deficiencies and investigation report conducted by ET had submitted to all relevant parties.
- 12.1.8 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, IEC, ET with the relevant Main-contractor were carried out for Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.

### 12.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.2.1 As dry season is approaching, special attention should be paid on the potential construction dust impact since most of the construction sites are adjacent to villages. The Contractor should fully implement the construction dust mitigation measures properly.
- 12.2.2 Preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River. Moreover, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- 12.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- 12.2.4 Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be kept to prevent mosquito breeding on site.

## **Appendix A**

### **Layout plan of the Project**




**LOCATION PLAN**  
SCALE 1 : 3000

**LEGEND:**


--- UNDERGROUND WORKS

DESIGN NO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	
SCALE	
PROJECT NO.	
PROJECT NAME	
DESIGNER	CECO Civil Engineering and Construction Department
CLIENT	LIANJIAN/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS BRIDGES (HKSAR PORTION) (SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES) DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
<b>AECOM</b>	
DRGNO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	
SCALE	
PROJECT NO.	
PROJECT NAME	
DESIGNER	
CLIENT	
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
© COPYRIGHT RESERVED	



## **Appendix B**

### **Organization Chart**



**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 2 - (CV/2012/08)**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 2 - CV/2012/08**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Gregory Lo	2171 3300	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
DHK	Project Director	Daniel Altier	2171 3004	2171 3299
DHK	Deputy Project Manager	Peter Ip	6321 5346	2171 3299
DHK	QSE Manager	Roger Lee	6293 8726	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Officer	Simon Wong	2171 3004	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Supervisor	Tony Tsoi	6028 5623	2171 3299
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*


*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*DHK(Main Contractor) –Dragages Hong Kong Ltd.*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*





**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Bobby Hung	2171 3300	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Chun Wo	Project Director	Clement Kwok	3758 8735	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Project Manager	Ken Ko	2638 6136	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Site Agent	Daniel Ho	2638 6144	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental Officer	Victor Huang Tiffany Tsang Dennis So	2638 6115	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Assistant Environmental Officer	Yip Yun Lam Law Pui Fan	2638 6125	2638 7077
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*Chun Wo (Main Contractor) – Chun Wo Construction Ltd.*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organization – CV/2013/08

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 6 - CV/2013/03

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2674 2273	2674 7732
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
CCK JV	Project Director	Wang Yanhua	6190 4212	--
CCK JV	Construction Manager	Raymond Mau Sai-Wai	9011 5340	--
CCK JV	Site Agent	Vincent Chan	9655 9404	--
CCK JV	Senior Safety & Environmental Manager	Alex Lam	5547 0181	--
CCK JV	Environmental Manager	K M Lui	51138223	--
CCK JV	Assistant Environmental Officer	Pan Fong	9436 9432	--
CCK JV	Environmental Supervisor	Kevin Cheung/ Connie Yeun	6316 6931 6117 1344	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*CCK JV (Main Contractor) – CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organization –NE/2014/03

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 7 – NE/2014/03

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2674 2273	2674 7732
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
KRSJV	Project Director	Wong Yu	2682 6691	2682 2783
KRSJV	Project Manager	Cheng Pong Yin	9023 4821	2682 2783
KRSJV	Site Agent	Leung Tak Yu	9705 7536	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Officer	Cheung Ka Wia, Barry	6117 2339	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Officer	Leung Yu Man	6592 3084	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Supervisor	Yung Kwok Wai	6592 3084	2682 2783
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*KRS JV (Main Contractor) –Kwan On-Richwell-SCG Joint Venture*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organigram

Environmental Management Organization for Contract SS C505

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract SS C505

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
ArchSD	Works agent for the Development Bureau (DEVB)	Mr. William Cheng	2867 3904	2804 6805
Ronald Lu & Partners	Architect/ Architect's Representative	Mr. Justin Cheung	3189 9272	2834 5442
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Leighton	Operation Manager	Mr. Karl Speed	2823 1433	25298784
Leighton	Project Director	Mr. Ian Taylor	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Environmental Officer	Mr. Kim Lui	3973 1069	-
Leighton	Assistant Environmental Officer	Ms. Penny Yiu	3973 0818	-
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

*ArchSD (Project Proponent) – Architectural Services Department*

*Ronald Lu & Partners (Architect/ Architect's Representative) – Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd*

*Leighton (Main Contractor) – Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



## **Appendix C**

### **3-month rolling construction program**

## Contract 2



## Contract 3

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

CEDD Contract No: CV/2012/09

Main Contractor: Chun Wo Construction Ltd



俊和建築工程有限公司

CHUN WO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

**Tentative Three Months (September, October and November 2016) Construction Rolling Program**

Item	Construction Activites
1	Boundary Wall for Pumping Station
2	Cable Detection and Trial Trenches
3	Construction of Remaining Slab of Box Culvert ID05
4	Demolition of Valve Control House
5	Footbridge Construction
6	Gabion wall construction
7	Demolition of Existing Vehicular Bridge
8	Storm Drains Laying
9	Noise Barrier Construction
10	Parapet Construction
11	Pier / Pier Table Construction
12	Pile Cap Works
13	Portal Beam Construction
14	Retaining Wall Construction
15	Road Works
16	Sewer Works
17	Slope Reinstatement Works near Bridge E
18	Steel Truss Installation
19	Utilities Duct Laying
20	Viaduct Segment Erection
21	Water Main Laying

## Contract 6



## Contract 7





## **Contract SS C505**

**Tentative Three Months (September, October and November 2016) Construction Rolling Program**

Item	Construction Activities
1	Establishment Mobilisation & Advance Works
2	Passenger Terminal Building - Substructure Works
3	Passenger Terminal Building - Superstructure Works
4	Passenger Terminal Building - ABWF Works
5	C&ED Detector Dog Base - Substructure and RC structures
6	HKPF Building - Pilecap Construction, Substructure, RC structures, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
7	Fire Station and Drill Tower - Pilecap Construction, substructures, structures, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
8	Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) - Pilecap Construction, Substructures, RC Structure and ABWF & MEP
9	Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) - Substructures and RC Structure, ABWF & MEP
10	Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) - Substructures, RC Structures and ABWF & MEP
11	Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) - Foundations, Structural Works and ABWF & MEP
12	GV Kiosk (Inbound) - Foundations and RC structures
13	MXRVSS (Outbound) - Structures works
14	Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room - Structural Works, ABWF & MEP works
15	Elevated Walkway - Foundation Works
16	Vehicular bridges - Foundation works, Pilecaps / Piers / abutment / retaining walls / portal, Bridge Decks
17	External Works in Portion 1 - laying utilities & pile ducts & CLP cable ducts
18	External Works in Portion 2 - Site formation, laying utilities & pipe ducts & CLP cable ducts

## **Appendix D**

### **Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM&A Manual**








## **Appendix E**

### **Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring**





- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
  - - - WORKS AREA ( ABOVE GROUND )
  - - - WORKS AREA ( TUNNEL )
  - - - Air Monitoring Stations in the EM&A Manual
  - X Proposed Air Monitoring Stations
  -

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	DC	HT
P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT

2007 Year Licensed Firm  
 Mott MacDonald  
 11/F, 110000  
 110000  
 110000  
 110000

**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)  
 LIANG TANG HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS


Designed	DC	EC
Drawn	MING	EC
Check/Chk.	DC	HT
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project 253228
Drawn No	CE45/2008(CE) 110000	PRE
		P1

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document shall not be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited has accepted liability. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document in any other form or in any other medium.

**LEGEND:**

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- WORKS AREA ( ABOVE GROUND )
- WORKS AREA ( TUNNBL )
- CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
- X Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations
- Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations



© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document shall not be used for any purpose other than that for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited has assumed liability. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was issued.

PI	Aug 10	MING	FIRST ISSU	BC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Checked	Appr'd

**Mott MacDonald**

22/F, Two Leeward Wing  
 100, Nathan Road, Kowloon  
 Tel: +852 2903 8787  
 Fax: +852 2977 1623  
 www.mottmacdonald.com.hk

**CEDD**

CIVIL ENGINEERING  
 AND DEVELOPMENT  
 DEPARTMENT


**Project**  
 AGREEMENT NO. CB45/2008 (CB)  
 LI ANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY  
 CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

**Title**  
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION  
 NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	BC	Eng. Chk.	BC
Drawn	MING	Coordination	BC
App. Chk.	JC	Approved	HT
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	253228
Sheet No.	005/2008/Agree/1/Rev.00/0083/05_253228	Drawn	PRB
Drawing No.		Rev	P1

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

FIGURE 3.1



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
  - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
  - X Water Quality Monitoring Location Recommended in EM&A Manual
  - Alternative Water Quality Monitoring Location for EM&A Programme
  - △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015
  - ▲ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Station ID	Location recommended in EM&A Manual		Location found during site visit	
	Easting	Northing	Easting	Northing
WM1	83468.433	84577.072	83470	84572
WM1-Control	83485.480	84591.667	83485	84591
WM2A	83412.319	84432.910	83420	84473
WM2A-Control	83505.329	84400.151	83520	84474
WM2B	83514.744	84339.606	83535	84397
WM2B-Control	83565.878	84343.625	83535	84351
WM3	83623.622	84265.377	83624	84262
WM3-Control	83675.410	84243.507	83675	84260
WM4	83540.781	83834.842	83550	83838
WM4-Control A	83458.937	83764.995	83409	83765
WM4-Control B	83769.123	83740.916	83760	83739

**New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015**

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A-C (Original)	0835270	0844243
WM2A-Cx (Proposed)	0835377	0844188
WM3 (Original)	0836324	0842407
WM3x (Proposed)	0836206	0842270

**New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016**

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A (Original)	834204	844471
WM2A(a) (Proposed)	834191	844474

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd
P2	NOV 10	MING	GENERAL REVISION	HC	HT
P1	OCT 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	HC	HT

2007 Two Landmark East  
100 New King Street  
Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2628 8787  
F +852 2627 1823  
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client

**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project: AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008 (CE)  
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title: LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	HC	Eng.Chk.	EC
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC
Dwg.Chk.	HC	Approved	HT
Scale at A1	Project 255228		Status
1:20000	CAD file	1:255228\REP011\EM&A\WQMS\LOC_A1.dgn	PRE
Drawing No	Appendix C		Rev
			P2

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was commissioned.

## **Appendix F**

### **Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory**

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Garden Farm, Tsung Yuen Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	25/8/2016
Location ID : AM1b	Next Calibration Date:	25/10/2016
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # -> 1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	5.9	5.9	11.8	1.706	50	49.33	Slope =	30.5221	
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.508	44	43.41	Intercept =	-2.1180	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.299	40	39.46	Corr. coeff. =	0.9943	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.071	31	30.58			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.839	23	22.69			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Date of Calibration:	25/8/2016
Location ID : AM2	Next Calibration Date:	25/10/2016
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.677	53	52.29	Slope = 36.3739 Intercept = -8.9604 Corr. coeff. = 0.9978
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.508	46	45.38	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.336	40	39.46	
7	2.1	2.1	4.2	1.024	30	29.60	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.839	21	20.72	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station  
 Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 25/8/2016  
 Next Calibration Date: 25/10/2016  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.6	5.6	11.2	1.663	54	53.28	Slope = 31.0492 Intercept = 2.0333 Corr. coeff. = 0.9929
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.459	48	47.36	
10	3.3	3.3	6.6	1.280	44	43.41	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.071	34	33.54	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.809	28	27.62	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House	Date of Calibration:	25/8/2016
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	25/10/2016
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.6	5.6	11.2	1.663	54	53.28	Slope = 31.7450 Intercept = 0.5925 Corr. coeff. = 0.9917
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.508	48	47.36	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.299	44	43.41	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.071	36	35.52	
5	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.923	29	28.61	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House	Date of Calibration:	25/8/2016
Location ID : AM5a	Next Calibration Date:	25/10/2016
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.603	52	51.30	Slope = 32.8135 Intercept = -0.4377 Corr. coeff. = 0.9925
13	4	4	8.0	1.408	46	45.38	
10	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.261	43	42.42	
7	2	2	4.0	1.000	34	33.54	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.809	25	24.66	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House	Date of Calibration:	25/8/2016
Location ID : AM6	Next Calibration Date:	25/10/2016
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.777	48	47.36	Slope = 25.0376 Intercept = 7.1507 Corr. coeff. = 0.9321
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.541	50	49.33	
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.354	44	43.41	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.071	36	35.52	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.868	26	25.65	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village

Date of Calibration: 25/8/2016

Location ID : AM7b

Next Calibration Date: 25/10/2016

Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1004.2  
 Temperature (°C) 30.4

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 753.15  
 Temperature (K) 303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH  
 Model-> 5025A  
 Serial # -> 1612

Qstd Slope -> 2.00411  
 Qstd Intercept -> -0.03059

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.425	54	51.00	Slope = 30.8482 Intercept = 7.1979 Corr. coeff. = 0.9993
13	3.3	3.3	6.6	1.280	48	47.00	
10	2.6	2.6	5.2	1.138	42	42.00	
7	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.923	35	36.00	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.778	27	31.00	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

**FLOW RATE CHART**



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4  
 Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 25/8/2016  
 Next Calibration Date: 25/10/2016  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.677	63	62.15	Slope = 37.2726 Intercept = -0.0759 Corr. coeff. = 0.9990
13	4.5	4.5	9.0	1.492	56	55.25	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.336	51	50.32	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.071	41	40.45	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.839	31	30.58	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80  
 Location ID : AM9b

Date of Calibration: 25/8/2016  
 Next Calibration Date: 25/10/2016  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.4	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope -> 2.00411
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept -> -0.03059
Serial # -> 1612	

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.2	6.2	12.4	1.749	54	53.28	Slope = 31.9186 Intercept = -2.4102 Corr. coeff. = 0.9984
13	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.556	48	47.36	
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.354	41	40.45	
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.094	34	33.54	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.868	25	24.66	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure





TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH  
 45002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 295  
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1612 Pa (mm) - 745.49

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3770	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9710	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8710	7.8	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8310	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6860	12.6	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866	0.7165	1.4078	0.9957	0.7231	0.8896
0.9824	1.0117	1.9909	0.9914	1.0210	1.2581
0.9804	1.1256	2.2259	0.9894	1.1360	1.4066
0.9793	1.1785	2.3345	0.9883	1.1893	1.4753
0.9741	1.4200	2.8155	0.9830	1.4330	1.7792
Qstd slope (m) = 2.00411			Qa slope (m) = 1.25494		
intercept (b) = -0.03059			intercept (b) = -0.01933		
coefficient (r) = 0.99995			coefficient (r) = 0.99995		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)  
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]  
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}  
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}

# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 2X6145  
 Equipment Ref: EQ105  
 Job Order HK1603558

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1602	11.7
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1522	9.3
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3347	23.6

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 593 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 596 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022


Correlation Coefficient 0.9985


Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung  
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16  
 Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure





# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 366409  
 Equipment Ref: EQ109  
 Job Order HK1603560

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016


Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1577	11.5
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1433	8.8
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3328	23.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 540 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 540 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9975  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung  
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16  
 Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 366410  
Equipment Ref: EQ110  
Job Order HK1603561

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1566	11.4
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1422	8.7
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3318	23.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 660 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 661 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9973

Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Donald Kwok Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung  
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16  
 Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 3Y6503  
 Equipment Ref: EQ112  
 Job Order HK1603553

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1633	11.9
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1502	9.2
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3365	23.8

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 642 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 648 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9989  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Donald Kwok Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung  
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16  
 Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 3Y6505  
 Equipment Ref: EQ114  
 Job Order HK1603562

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1589	11.6
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1473	9.0
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3314	23.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 588 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 585 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022


Correlation Coefficient 0.9985


Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung  
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16  
 Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure





# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 26 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ065)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 2238  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2337676  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 June 2016


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By  
測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

6 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.  
本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996

證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L <sub>AIP</sub>		I			94.1	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AFMax</sub>				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASMax</sub>				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.9	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.4	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996  
證書編號

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>CFP</sub>	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.2	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)			
30 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.2	± 0.5	
								90	90.1	± 0.5	
			60 sec.					1/10 <sup>2</sup>	80	79.8	± 1.0
			5 min.					1/10 <sup>3</sup>	70	69.8	± 1.0

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812708

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
Burst equivalent level	: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162991  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 24 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ083)  
 Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion  
 Model No. / 型號 : NC-74  
 Serial No. / 編號 : 34246492  
 Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
 Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 June 2016


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
 The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
 The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By  
測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

3 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.  
 本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162991

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.3	± 0.2

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.001	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0662 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 22 March 2016  
Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ014)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion  
Model No. / 型號 : NL-52  
Serial No. / 編號 : 00142580  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 6 April 2016

## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :   
測試 : \_\_\_\_\_  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By :   
核證 : \_\_\_\_\_  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 7 April 2016  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C160077
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	* 91.9	± 1.1

\* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

- 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.3

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.5	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	87.6	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com





# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797  
證書編號

- Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 07725
- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1
- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
- |        |                  |                          |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB  | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB              |
|        | 250 Hz - 500 Hz  | : ± 0.30 dB              |
|        | 1 kHz            | : ± 0.20 dB              |
|        | 2 kHz - 4 kHz    | : ± 0.35 dB              |
|        | 8 kHz            | : ± 0.45 dB              |
|        | 12.5 kHz         | : ± 0.70 dB              |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz          | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz          | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 April 2016  
Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 2238  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285762  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 25 April 2016


## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By  
測試

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

27 April 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C160077
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.2

- 6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S			94.0	± 0.1
	L <sub>AIP</sub>		I			94.1	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AFMax</sub>				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASMax</sub>				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	55.1	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>CFP</sub>	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.5	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.4	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.1	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)			
30 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5	
								1/10 <sup>2</sup>	90	89.9	± 0.5
								1/10 <sup>3</sup>	80	79.2	± 1.0
								1/10 <sup>4</sup>	70	69.2	± 1.0
			60 sec.								
			5 min.								

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812705

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB	1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB	1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
Burst equivalent level		: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162438  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 5 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ081)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 4231  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2326408  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 10 May 2016


## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By  
測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

11 May 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162438  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0		

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162125  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 April 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ082)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 4231  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2713428  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 22 April 2016

## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :   
測試 : H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By :   
核證 : K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 25 April 2016  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162125  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.
- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.1		

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
T: +852 2610 1044  
F: +852 2610 2021  
www.alsglobal.com

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR BEN TAM  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES  
**ADDRESS:** RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,  
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,  
KWAI CHUNG,  
N.T., HONG KONG.

**WORK ORDER:** HK1629457  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 21/07/2016  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 27/07/2016

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature  
Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter  
Brand Name: YSI  
Model No.: Pro 20  
Serial No.: 12C100570  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 22 July, 2016

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

  
Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager -  
Greater China & Hong Kong

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1629457  
Sub-Batch: 0  
Date of Issue: 27/07/2016  
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES



Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter  
Brand Name: YSI  
Model No.: Pro 20  
Serial No.: 12C100570  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 22 July, 2016      Date of next Calibration: 22 October, 2016

## Parameters:

### Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
2.41	2.32	-0.09
5.01	4.89	-0.12
7.69	7.60	-0.09
Tolerance Limit (mg/L)		±0.20

### Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
8.0	9.0	+1.0
24.0	23.4	-0.6
37.0	36.1	-0.9
Tolerance Limit (°C)		±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

  
Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager -  
Greater China & Hong Kong



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
T: +852 2610 1044  
F: +852 2610 2021  
www.alsglobal.com

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR BEN TAM  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES  
**ADDRESS:** RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,  
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,  
KWAI CHUNG,  
N.T., HONG KONG

**WORK ORDER:** HK1629461  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 21/07/2016  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 27/07/2016

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Turbidity  
Equipment Type: Turbidimeter  
Brand Name: HACH  
Model No.: 2100Q  
Serial No.: 12060C018266  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 22 July, 2016

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

  
Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager -  
Greater China & Hong Kong

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK1629461  
Sub-batch: 0  
Date of Issue: 27/07/2016  
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

Equipment Type: Turbidimeter  
Brand Name: HACH  
Model No.: 2100Q  
Serial No.: 12060C018266  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 22 July, 2016                      Date of next Calibration: 22 October, 2016

## Parameters:

### Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.15	--
4	3.75	-6.3
40	43.0	+7.5
80	86.3	+7.9
400	390	-2.5
800	860	+7.5
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Richard Fung Lim Chee'.

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager  
Greater China & Hong Kong



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
T: +852 2610 1044  
F: +852 2610 2021  
www.alsglobal.com

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR BEN TAM  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES  
**ADDRESS:** RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG,  
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,  
KWAI CHUNG,  
N.T., HONG KONG

**WORK ORDER:** HK1629459  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 21/07/2016  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 27/07/2016


### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.  
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.  
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: pH and Temperature  
Description: pH Meter  
Brand Name: AZ  
Model No.: 8685  
Serial No.: 1064457  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 22 July, 2016

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.  
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

  
Mr Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager -  
Greater China & Hong Kong

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK1629459  
Sub-batch: 0  
Date of Issue: 27/07/2016  
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

Description: pH Meter  
Brand Name: AZ  
Model No.: 8685  
Serial No.: 1064457  
Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 22 July, 2016 Date of next Calibration: 22 October, 2016

## Parameters:

### pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.9	-0.10
7.0	6.9	-0.10
10.0	9.9	-0.10
	Tolerance Limit (pH Unit)	±0.20

### Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.0	10.5	+0.5
24.0	24.5	+0.5
34.0	33.0	-1.0
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Fung Lim Chee, Richard  
General Manager  
Greater China & Hong Kong

## **Appendix G**

### **Event and Action Plan**



Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
<b>Limit Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not
	and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	the ER accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

**Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise**

Event		ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level	1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.	
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	

### Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

EVENT	ACTION CONTRACTOR			
	ET	IEC	ER	
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures;</li> <li>5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures;</li> <li>7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities.</li> </ol>

## **Appendix H**

### **Impact Monitoring Schedule**

**Impact Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period – September 2016**

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
Thu	1-Sep-16		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Fri	2-Sep-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	3-Sep-16				
Sun	4-Sep-16				
Mon	5-Sep-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Tue	6-Sep-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	7-Sep-16		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Thu	8-Sep-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	9-Sep-16				
Sat	10-Sep-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	11-Sep-16				
Mon	12-Sep-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	13-Sep-16		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Wed	14-Sep-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	15-Sep-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Fri	16-Sep-16				
Sat	17-Sep-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b			All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	18-Sep-16				
Mon	19-Sep-16		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Tue	20-Sep-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	21-Sep-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Thu	22-Sep-16				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	23-Sep-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Sat	24-Sep-16		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	25-Sep-16				
Mon	26-Sep-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	27-Sep-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Wed	28-Sep-16				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	29-Sep-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Fri	30-Sep-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8			All Water Quality Monitoring Locations

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

**Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period – October 2016**

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
Sat	1-Oct-16				
Sun	2-Oct-16				
Mon	3-Oct-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Tue	4-Oct-16				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	5-Oct-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Thu	6-Oct-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	7-Oct-16				
Sat	8-Oct-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	9-Oct-16				
Mon	10-Oct-16				
Tue	11-Oct-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	12-Oct-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Thu	13-Oct-16				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	14-Oct-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Sat	15-Oct-16				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	16-Oct-16				
Mon	17-Oct-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Tue	18-Oct-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	19-Oct-16				
Thu	20-Oct-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	21-Oct-16				
Sat	22-Oct-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	23-Oct-16				
Mon	24-Oct-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	25-Oct-16				
Wed	26-Oct-16		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	27-Oct-16				
Fri	28-Oct-16	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	29-Oct-16	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8			
Sun	30-Oct-16				
Mon	31-Oct-16				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

# **Appendix I**

## **Database of Monitoring Result**

### 24-hour TSP Monitoring Data

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m <sup>3</sup> )	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
<b>AM1b – Open Area, Tsung Yuen Ha Village</b>															
5-Sep-16	29873	11998.81	12022.97	1449.60	50	50	50.0	27.1	1006.1	1.70	2458	2.8010	2.8654	0.0644	26
10-Sep-16	29913	12022.97	12047.21	1454.40	46	46	46.0	27	1008.4	1.57	2280	2.8046	2.8870	0.0824	36
15-Sep-16	29925	12047.21	12071.38	1450.20	50	50	50.0	29.4	1002.9	1.69	2447	2.8260	2.9477	0.1217	50
21-Sep-16	29933	12071.38	12095.54	1449.60	50	50	50.0	27.1	1014.4	1.70	2468	2.8111	2.9673	0.1562	63
27-Sep-16	29980	12095.54	12119.68	1448.40	50	50	50.0	31.1	1002.6	1.68	2437	2.8144	3.0604	0.2460	101
<b>AM2 - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road</b>															
5-Sep-16	29874	7523.77	7547.54	1426.20	34	34	34.0	27.1	1006.1	1.17	1675	2.7994	2.8938	0.0944	56
10-Sep-16	29912	7547.54	7571.37	1429.80	34	34	34.0	27	1008.4	1.18	1681	2.8138	2.8830	0.0692	41
15-Sep-16	29924	7571.37	7595.14	1426.20	32	32	32.0	29.4	1002.9	1.12	1590	2.8257	2.9655	0.1398	88
21-Sep-16	29932	7595.14	7618.86	1423.20	30	30	30.0	27.1	1014.4	1.07	1521	2.8052	2.9576	0.1524	100
27-Sep-16	29981	7618.86	7642.64	1426.80	36	36	36.0	31.1	1002.6	1.22	1742	2.8208	3.1543	0.3335	<b>191</b>
<b>AM3 - Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village</b>															
5-Sep-16	29875	8646.26	8670.26	1440.00	48	48	48.0	27.1	1006.1	1.47	2116	2.8011	3.0234	0.2223	105
10-Sep-16	29911	8670.26	8694.26	1440.00	50	50	50.0	27	1008.4	1.54	2211	2.8014	2.9754	0.1740	79
15-Sep-16	29923	8694.26	8718.26	1440.00	54	54	54.0	29.4	1002.9	1.65	2379	2.8143	3.0931	0.2788	117
21-Sep-16	29931	8718.26	8742.26	1440.00	52	52	52.0	27.1	1014.4	1.60	2310	2.8083	3.0855	0.2772	120
27-Sep-16	29982	8742.26	8766.26	1440.00	52	52	52.0	31.1	1002.6	1.58	2280	2.8173	3.2568	0.4395	<b>193</b>
<b>AM4 - House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village</b>															
1-Sep-16	29870	10626.51	10650.51	1440.00	42	42	42.0	27.9	1003.3	1.29	1860	2.8086	2.8515	0.0429	23
7-Sep-16	29878	10650.51	10674.52	1440.60	40	40	40.0	26.5	1007.5	1.23	1779	2.7999	2.8593	0.0594	33
13-Sep-16	29917	10674.52	10698.52	1440.00	38	38	38.0	28.2	1010.2	1.17	1685	2.8127	2.8859	0.0732	43
19-Sep-16	29928	10698.52	10722.52	1440.00	38	38	38.0	28.6	1008	1.17	1682	2.8245	2.9304	0.1059	63
24-Sep-16	29975	10722.52	10746.53	1440.60	40	40	40.0	27.9	1010.5	1.23	1777	2.8470	2.9566	0.1096	62
29-Sep-16	29987	10746.53	10770.53	1440.00	38	38	38.0	25.1	1007.7	1.17	1692	2.8114	2.8557	0.0443	26
<b>AM5a - Ping Yeung Village House</b>															
1-Sep-16	29869	8488.70	8512.41	1422.60	40	40	40.0	27.9	1003.3	1.22	1736	2.8084	2.8389	0.0305	18
7-Sep-16	29905	8512.41	8536.41	1440.00	40	40	40.0	26.5	1007.5	1.23	1765	2.8372	2.8759	0.0387	22
13-Sep-16	29916	8536.41	8560.41	1440.00	34	34	34.0	28.2	1010.2	1.04	1501	2.8208	2.9129	0.0921	61
19-Sep-16	29927	8560.41	8584.42	1440.60	28	28	28.0	28.6	1008	0.86	1238	2.8275	2.9097	0.0822	66
24-Sep-16	29974	8584.42	8608.42	1440.00	34	34	34.0	27.9	1010.5	1.04	1502	2.8320	2.9236	0.0916	61



DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m <sup>3</sup> )	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
29-Sep-16	29986	8608.42	8632.43	1440.60	34	34	34.0	25.1	1007.7	1.05	1508	2.8232	3.0308	0.2076	138
<b>AM6 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>															
1-Sep-16	29868	7070.35	7094.35	1440.00	33	33	33.0	27.9	1003.3	1.02	1468	2.8055	2.8980	0.0925	63
7-Sep-16	29877	7094.35	7118.35	1440.00	30	30	30.0	26.5	1007.5	0.91	1305	2.8188	2.9298	0.1110	85
13-Sep-16	29915	7118.35	7142.35	1440.00	30	30	30.0	28.2	1010.2	0.90	1302	2.8150	2.9461	0.1311	101
19-Sep-16	29477	7142.35	7166.36	1440.60	30	30	30.0	28.6	1008.0	0.90	1300	2.8251	2.9307	0.1056	81
24-Sep-16	29978	7166.36	7190.36	1440.00	34	34	34.0	27.9	1010.5	1.06	1532	2.8268	2.9606	0.1338	87
29-Sep-16	29985	7190.36	7214.38	1441.20	36	36	36.0	25.1	1007.7	1.15	1654	2.8228	3.0631	0.2403	145
<b>AM7b - Loi Tung Village House</b>															
1-Sep-16	29871	16106.56	16130.56	1440.00	39	39	39.0	27.9	1003.3	1.02	1467	2.8105	2.8926	0.0821	56
7-Sep-16	29907	16130.56	16154.57	1440.60	38	38	38.0	26.5	1007.5	0.99	1429	2.7970	2.8587	0.0617	43
13-Sep-16	29919	16154.57	16178.57	1440.00	36	36	36.0	28.2	1010.2	0.93	1333	2.8288	2.8959	0.0671	50
19-Sep-16	29929	16178.57	16202.57	1440.00	38	38	38.0	28.6	1008	0.99	1423	2.8104	2.9250	0.1146	81
24-Sep-16	29977	16202.57	16226.58	1440.60	42	42	42.0	27.9	1010.5	1.12	1613	2.8174	2.9465	0.1291	80
29-Sep-16	29988	16226.58	16250.58	1440.00	40	40	40.0	25.1	1007.7	1.06	1526	2.8108	3.0000	0.1892	124
<b>AM8 - Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4</b>															
1-Sep-16	29872	10013.13	10037.13	1440.00	43	43	43.0	27.9	1003.3	1.14	1648	2.8238	2.8745	0.0507	31
7-Sep-16	29906	10037.13	10061.13	1440.00	43	43	43.0	26.5	1007.5	1.15	1655	2.8160	2.8627	0.0467	28
13-Sep-16	29918	10061.13	10085.13	1440.00	42	42	42.0	28.2	1010.2	1.12	1614	2.8140	2.8723	0.0583	36
19-Sep-16	29930	10085.13	10109.13	1440.00	43	43	43.0	28.6	1008	1.15	1650	2.8061	2.8897	0.0836	51
24-Sep-16	29976	10109.13	10133.13	1440.00	43	43	43.0	27.9	1010.5	1.15	1654	2.8365	2.9157	0.0792	48
29-Sep-16	29989	10133.13	10157.15	1441.20	43	43	43.0	25.1	1007.7	1.15	1661	2.8146	2.9913	0.1767	106
<b>AM9b - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80</b>															
5-Sep-16	29876	17416.34	17440.34	1440.00	44	44	44.0	27.1	1006.1	1.44	2080	2.8100	2.8484	0.0384	18
10-Sep-16	29914	17440.34	17464.34	1440.00	54	54	54.0	27	1008.4	1.76	2531	2.8150	2.8791	0.0641	25
15-Sep-16	29922	17464.34	17488.34	1440.00	40	40	40.0	29.4	1002.9	1.31	1891	2.8305	2.8867	0.0562	30
21-Sep-16	29973	17488.34	17512.34	1440.00	38	38	38.0	27.1	1014.4	1.26	1818	2.8537	2.9057	0.0520	29
27-Sep-16	29983	17512.34	17536.35	1440.60	30	30	30.0	31.1	1002.6	1.00	1442	2.8276	2.8966	0.0690	48

**Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)**

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub> n	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
<b>NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63</b>																					
6-Sep-16	10:16	60.2	62.7	54.1	58.7	61.2	53.7	59.1	61.8	53.9	57.4	59.9	53.1	57.5	60.2	53.6	58.1	60.5	53.8	59	NA
12-Sep-16	11:30	57.1	58.3	47.7	49.0	50.1	47.4	51.7	53.3	47.5	49.4	49.8	47.8	52.0	54.1	47.5	49.7	50.4	47.9	53	NA
23-Sep-16	11:29	52.2	54.5	48.0	49.3	50.5	47.0	50.4	50.5	46.5	54.8	53.5	47.5	49.4	51.0	47.0	48.6	49.5	46.5	51	NA
29-Sep-16	9:54	55.7	57.5	51.5	54.4	56.0	50.5	55.2	57.5	51.5	55.0	57.5	51.5	55.5	56.5	51.0	54.0	55.5	51.5	55	NA
<b>NM2a - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road</b>																					
6-Sep-16	10:55	67.4	68.8	62.7	68.9	70.1	63.8	68.3	70.6	63.4	69.2	71.4	65.6	67.6	69.3	63.8	69.5	71.7	66.2	69	72
12-Sep-16	10:45	66.7	70.3	56.5	58.9	60.5	54.3	59.8	61.8	54.0	60.0	62.6	53.8	59.7	61.8	54.1	60.4	61.7	53.9	62	65
23-Sep-16	9:40	63.7	67.2	54.7	59.8	63.7	54.1	62.8	65.4	54.3	61.8	63.8	54.5	61.3	64.1	53.5	62.9	66.3	54.6	62	65
29-Sep-16	10:30	57.4	57.0	53.0	56.9	56.5	52.5	60.1	60.5	53.5	55.6	57.5	53.5	55.1	56.5	53.0	58.1	60.0	54.0	58	61
<b>NM3 - Ping Yeung Village House</b>																					
2-Sep-16	10:13	51.0	52.5	48.0	54.5	54.5	51.5	57.6	58.0	55.0	59.2	58.5	53.5	60.8	56.0	53.0	71.8	70.5	53.0	65	NA
8-Sep-16	10:19	62.0	63.5	60.0	66.5	69.0	60.0	61.5	62.5	60.0	62.5	63.5	61.0	62.5	63.5	60.5	62.5	63.5	61.5	63	NA
14-Sep-16	10:21	60.9	63.0	54.0	61.9	63.5	60.0	61.8	63.5	60.0	61.7	63.5	59.0	61.2	63.0	58.0	61.8	63.0	59.5	62	NA
20-Sep-16	9:47	57.5	59.0	52.0	55.8	57.0	52.5	52.8	54.0	50.5	52.1	53.0	50.5	53.8	56.0	50.5	56.7	60.0	50.5	55	
26-Sep-16	10:23	56.8	57.5	50.5	54.6	55.0	52.5	55.4	54.5	52.0	57.7	60.0	62.5	54.9	55.5	52.0	54.2	55.5	52.0	56	NA
<b>NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>																					
2-Sep-16	9:30	73.4	73.9	58.6	75.0	80.8	56.2	66.8	68.2	52.7	65.2	68.6	53.4	65.5	68.0	54.5	62.9	66.3	52.2	70	NA
8-Sep-16	9:39	69.5	71.0	67.0	68.7	69.5	67.0	68.5	70.0	63.5	66.0	68.0	63.0	68.8	70.0	65.0	69.2	70.5	67.5	69	NA
14-Sep-16	9:39	74.8	76.5	61.5	68.6	70.5	54.5	62.9	64.0	53.0	63.4	67.5	51.5	65.1	67.0	54.0	69.3	72.5	61.0	69	NA
20-Sep-16	9:06	61.1	62.5	58.5	60.8	62.0	59.0	62.4	64.5	59.5	63.5	67.0	59.0	63.7	66.0	60.0	63.7	67.0	58.0	63	
26-Sep-16	9:44	64.0	65.0	46.0	59.1	57.0	47.0	63.6	61.0	47.5	63.2	62.5	47.0	61.5	60.5	46.5	66.2	70.0	55.5	63	NA
<b>NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House (façade facing northeast)</b>																					
2-Sep-16	10:31	59.4	60.5	56.5	58.8	60.0	57.0	58.9	60.0	57.0	58.5	59.5	56.5	59.8	61.5	56.5	59.6	61.5	56.5	59	NA
8-Sep-16	12:58	54.5	56.0	52.0	53.8	55.0	52.0	54.8	56.5	52.5	54.6	55.5	52.5	55.1	58.5	51.0	56.1	57.5	51.5	55	NA
14-Sep-16	11:24	51.1	53.5	47.5	51.2	53.5	47.5	50.8	52.5	48.0	51.3	54.0	45.5	52.7	56.0	46.0	52.4	52.5	46.0	52	NA
20-Sep-16	10:32	55.3	57.0	50.5	54.8	55.5	50.5	54.3	54.5	49.0	52.7	54.0	48.5	53.1	52.5	48.5	50.3	51.5	48.5	54	NA
26-Sep-16	13:01	53.9	56.5	49.5	55.3	58.5	49.5	55.4	58.0	50.0	51.9	53.5	48.5	52.2	54.0	49.0	54.0	55.5	49.0	54	NA
<b>NM6 - Tai Tong Wu Village House 2</b>																					
2-Sep-16	11:09	54.5	55.5	52.5	56.0	56.0	52.5	56.4	58.5	52.5	54.8	55.5	53.0	54.5	55.0	52.5	53.7	54.5	52.5	55	NA
8-Sep-16	13:39	61.6	62.0	50.0	64.1	71.5	52.0	63.6	68.0	51.0	59.9	60.5	48.0	67.6	72.0	51.0	60.7	65.5	50.5	64	NA
14-Sep-16	13:00	56.9	56.5	50.5	52.8	54.5	50.0	50.8	52.0	49.0	56.4	56.5	49.5	53.5	55.0	49.0	52.6	54.5	49.0	54	NA

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub> n	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
20-Sep-16	13:02	56.8	58.0	52.0	54.3	56.5	50.5	52.9	55.0	50.5	54.0	56.5	50.5	55.2	57.5	50.5	54.4	56.5	50.5	55	NA
26-Sep-16	13:43	51.8	54.0	48.5	51.4	52.5	48.5	57.9	62.5	49.0	52.0	53.0	49.0	50.0	51.5	48.0	51.8	53.0	49.5	53	NA
<b>NM7 – Po Kat Tsai Village</b>																					
2-Sep-16	13:06	63.3	64.5	61.5	63.1	63.5	62.0	68.0	69.5	60.0	70.8	72.5	63.0	66.1	69.5	59.0	61.2	62.5	58.5	67	NA
8-Sep-16	14:05	63.3	64.5	57.0	64.5	68.0	56.5	62.4	65.5	55.0	65.4	68.0	55.5	68.0	66.5	55.0	63.4	66.5	53.5	65	NA
14-Sep-16	13:44	63.6	66.0	59.0	63.7	66.0	59.5	68.8	72.0	61.5	64.5	67.5	60.0	65.1	67.5	59.0	64.4	67.0	57.0	65	NA
20-Sep-16	13:52	63.7	66.0	59.0	63.0	66.5	57.5	61.5	63.5	57.0	62.1	64.5	57.0	64.1	66.0	59.0	63.4	66.5	57.0	63	NA
26-Sep-16	11:23	62.5	64.0	60.0	63.1	64.5	60.5	62.7	64.0	61.0	62.6	64.5	60.0	63.1	64.5	61.0	62.1	65.0	53.0	63	NA
<b>NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang</b>																					
6-Sep-16	9:48	59.7	59.5	52.4	58.5	58.7	52.8	56.6	56.5	51	57.9	57.6	51.5	59	59.9	52.9	58.5	58.5	52.4	58	NA
12-Sep-16	9:36	58.7	60.3	51.2	59.5	63.4	52.2	58.7	62.7	51.7	58.5	64.4	50.4	57.5	63.5	50.5	60.7	66.5	51	59	NA
23-Sep-16	9:42	59.7	65.6	51.6	58.3	64.9	50.9	58.6	63.4	50	57.9	62.7	49.5	58.4	63.5	50.7	59.2	64.5	51	59	NA
29-Sep-16	11:34	57.5	63.2	47.5	59.5	65	48.6	57	58.2	48.3	60.5	66.7	47.9	59.6	66.7	48.9	60.2	66.2	48	59	NA
<b>NM9 - Village House, Kiu Tau Village</b>																					
6-Sep-16	10:35	61.8	63.4	58.5	62.4	64.7	59.0	61.5	63.2	58.4	60.8	62.5	57.9	60.6	62.7	58.1	62.9	64.2	59.1	62	NA
12-Sep-16	10:20	64.5	67.4	59.7	64.7	66.7	59.5	67.4	71.8	61.0	65.5	68.0	58.0	67.4	70.1	59.2	65.7	68.5	58.5	66	NA
23-Sep-16	10:26	61.9	63.5	58.6	60.5	62.0	57.8	61.4	63.5	58.0	60.9	62.0	57.5	60.4	61.6	57.7	61.8	62.8	58.0	61	NA
29-Sep-16	10:52	64.1	67.6	58.5	62.6	66.6	58.3	60.5	62.6	58.2	61.8	65.1	57.8	61.5	66.6	58.2	62.9	67.9	58.3	62	NA
<b>NM10 - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80</b>																					
6-Sep-16	15:26	62.8	65.7	61.1	63.2	66.5	62.5	63.4	66.7	62.0	63.2	67.0	63.0	62.7	66.2	62.5	62.0	65.2	61.5	63	66
12-Sep-16	15:11	56.6	58.0	54.5	57.7	58.7	54.7	57.9	59.5	54.5	58.4	59.1	55.2	57.3	58.1	54.7	57.4	58.5	54.2	58	61
23-Sep-16	15:28	61.2	62.7	59.4	60.6	61.9	59.0	59.9	61.4	58.5	60.7	62.4	58.7	61.9	62.6	59.4	60.7	61.2	58.0	61	64
29-Sep-16	10:12	60.6	62.3	58.7	60.2	61.8	58.4	60.3	61.8	58.6	60.7	61.9	59.0	61.0	62.7	58.8	60.0	61.3	58.4	60	63

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6 and SS C505

Date	2-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:00	0.34	28	28.0	7.33	7.3	93.4	93.7	12.9	12.6	8.2	8.2	6	6.5
			28		7.35		93.9		12.2		8.2		7	
WM1	10:45	0.26	28.3	28.3	7.2	7.2	92.4	92.6	36.5	35.6	8.4	8.4	37	38.0
			28.3		7.22		92.7		34.6		8.4		39	

Date	6-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:56	0.34	26.3	26.3	7.19	7.2	89.1	89.0	16.8	16.3	8.9	8.9	11	11.0
			26.3		7.17		88.9		15.7		8.9		11	
WM1	10:30	0.27	27	27.0	6.86	6.9	86.0	86.6	33.1	32.1	9	9.0	37	36.5
			27		6.97		87.1		31.1		9		36	

Date	8-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:30	0.36	26.3	26.3	7.35	7.4	91.1	90.7	31.8	31.8	7.8	7.8	45	44.5
			26.3		7.36		90.3		31.7		7.8		44	
WM1	11:20	0.28	26.1	26.1	7.4	7.4	91.4	91.5	31.2	31.5	8.1	8.1	31	32.0
			26.1		7.42		91.5		31.7		8.1		33	

Date	10-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:11	0.34	26.9	26.9	7.59	7.6	94.9	94.6	42.6	43.7	8.1	8.1	77	79.5
			26.9		7.57		94.3		44.7		8.1		82	
WM1	9:27	0.31	26.1	26.1	7.21	7.2	89.7	90.0	355.0	<b>361.0</b>	8.7	8.7	239	<b>243.0</b>
			26.1		7.28		90.2		367.0		8.7		247	

Date	12-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:27	0.37	27.7	27.7	7.34	7.4	93.2	93.9	12.1	12.4	8.2	8.2	9	9.5
			27.7		7.4		94.5		12.7		8.2		10	
WM1	11:10	0.27	27.1	27.1	7.27	7.3	91.7	92.0	29.9	30.1	8.1	8.1	30	30.5
			27.1		7.31		92.3		30.3		8.1		31	

Date	13-Sep-16#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:48	0.34							10.6	10.7			5	5.0
							10.8							
WM1	11:40	0.26							35.3	34.9			31	31.0
							34.4							

Date	14-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:10	0.34	27.4	27.4	7.49	7.5	94.8	94.9	10.3	10.2	8	8.0	6	6.0
			27.4		7.51		94.9		10.0		8		6	
WM1	11:00	0.26	26.8	26.8	7.41	7.4	92.8	93.3	19.0	19.2	8.1	8.1	19	19.0
			26.8		7.43		93.8		19.4		8.1		19	

Date	17-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:00	0.34	26.8	26.8	7.91	7.9	98.2	98.3	7.8	7.7	9.1	9.1	4	4.0
			26.8		7.89		98.3		7.6		9.1		4	
WM1	9:13	0.17	26.4	26.4	7.48	7.5	92.8	93.4	15.3	15.7	8.8	8.8	15	15.5
			26.4		7.53		93.9		16.0		8.8		16	

Date	20-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:05	0.34	24.6	24.6	7.08	7.0	84.9	84.7	12.0	12.2	7.7	7.7	9	9.0
			24.6		7		84.5		12.3		7.7		9	
WM1	10:55	0.26	24.7	24.7	7.52	7.5	90.7	90.6	37.9	39.0	7.8	7.8	43	43.5
			24.7		7.49		90.4		40.1		7.8		44	

Date	22-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:45	0.34	26.7	26.7	7.67	7.7	95.7	95.9	10.3	9.8	8.4	8.4	3	3.0
			26.7		7.69		96.1		9.3		8.4		3	
WM1	11:30	0.26	26.4	26.4	7.52	7.5	93.3	93.5	27.4	26.8	8.4	8.4	24	24.5
			26.4		7.53		93.6		26.1		8.4		25	

Date	24-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:01	0.34	29.6	29.6	6.08	6.1	79.8	80.4	7.7	7.7	10.5	10.5	4	4.0
			29.6		6.13		80.9		7.7		10.5		4	
WM1	9:18	0.24	27.7	27.8	7.15	7.1	90.2	89.8	15.9	16.1	10.7	10.7	14	15.0
			27.8		7.08		89.4		16.3		10.7		16	

Date	26-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:00	0.34	28.5	28.5	6.59	6.6	86.0	85.9	10.9	10.8	8.8	8.8	4	4.0
			28.5		6.53		85.7		10.6		8.8		4	
WM1	10:50	0.26	28.6	28.6	6.67	6.7	86.4	86.6	15.4	15.3	7.7	7.7	15	14.0
			28.6		6.67		86.7		15.1		7.7		13	

Date	28-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	12:20	0.34	26.5	26.5	7.47	7.4	92.8	92.6	12.6	12.6	8.4	8.4	<2	<2
			26.5		7.42		92.4		12.6		8.4		<2	
WM1	12:05	0.26	26.4	26.4	7.34	7.3	91.4	91.1	44.8	45.7	7.6	7.6	2	2.0
			26.4		7.31		90.7		46.5		7.6		<2	

Date	30-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:15	0.34	24.4	24.4	7.42	7.4	88.9	88.7	19.5	19.3	8.1	8.1	<2	<2
			24.4		7.36		88.5		19.0		8.1		<2	
WM1	11:20	0.26	24.3	24.3	7.46	7.5	88.9	88.9	17.3	17.6	7.7	7.7	3	2.5
			24.3		7.46		88.8		17.9		7.7		2	

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 3**

Date	2-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:10	0.18	28	28.0	7.28	7.3	93.0	93.2	6.6	6.9	8.5	8.5	5	4.0
			28		7.3		93.3		7.2		8.5		3	
WM4-CB	13:30	0.31	28.7	28.7	6.47	6.4	83.5	83.2	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.1	6	6.0
			28.7		6.39		82.9		8.1		8.1		6	
WM4	13:00	0.14	29.5	29.5	6.91	6.8	90.5	90.0	32.5	31.7	8.3	8.3	22	22.5
			29.5		6.77		89.5		30.8		8.3		23	

Date	6-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:48	0.18	26.9	26.9	7.36	7.3	92.3	92.0	12.9	13.3	8	8.0	8	8.0
			26.9		7.19		91.6		13.6		8		8	
WM4-CB	13:00	0.31	27.6	27.6	6.63	6.6	84.2	84.2	16.6	16.6	7.8	7.8	17	18.0
			27.6		6.62		84.1		16.6		7.8		19	
WM4	12:40	0.15	27.4	27.4	7.18	7.2	90.8	90.8	26.5	25.9	8	8.0	20	20.0
			27.4		7.18		90.8		25.2		8		20	

Date	8-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:20	0.18	27.4	27.4	7.47	7.5	94.2	94.4	11.4	11.2	8.5	8.5	13	13.5
			27.4		7.48		94.6		11.0		8.5		14	
WM4-CB	13:10	0.31	28.7	28.7	6.66	6.7	86.2	86.4	9.3	9.3	8.1	8.1	11	12.0
			28.7		6.64		86.5		9.3		8.1		13	
WM4	13:00	0.14	28.9	28.9	6.99	7.0	90.6	90.7	14.2	14.0	8.1	8.1	14	15.0
			28.9		7.01		90.8		13.8		8.1		16	

Date	10-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	8:49	0.35	26.8	26.8	7.36	7.4	94.3	95.0	12.2	12.5	9	9.0	15	14.5
			26.8		7.43		95.7		12.7		9		14	
WM4-CB	8:37	0.37	27	27.0	6.78	6.8	87.7	88.1	17.2	17.4	8.6	8.6	17	16.0
			27		6.84		88.4		17.5		8.6		15	
WM4	8:27	0.41	26.9	26.9	7.2	7.2	93.0	93.3	17.7	18.1	9	9.0	21	20.5
			26.9		7.24		93.5		18.5		9		20	

Date	12-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	10:18	0.37	27.6	27.6	7.43	7.5	94.4	94.9	13.2	13.6	8.5	8.5	17	17.5
			27.6		7.51		95.4		14.0		8.5		18	
WM4-CB	9:59	0.29	28.1	28.1	6.93	7.0	88.7	88.9	17.2	17.1	8.1	8.1	20	19.0
			28.1		6.97		89.0		17.0		8.1		18	
WM4	9:45	0.37	27.8	27.8	7.21	7.3	92.1	93.1	16.4	16.7	8.4	8.4	18	18.0
			27.8		7.3		94.1		17.0		8.4		18	

Date	14-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:15	0.18	29.4	29.4	6.97	7.0	91.2	91.8	19.1	18.5	8.3	8.3	14	14.5
			29.4		7.04		92.4		17.9		8.3		15	
WM4-CB	13:25	0.31	30.8	30.8	6.84	6.9	91.8	92.1	9.4	9.5	7.9	7.9	10	10.5
			30.8		6.87		92.3		9.6		7.9		11	
WM4	13:10	0.14	30.3	30.3	7.02	7.0	93.4	93.7	15.9	16.2	8.3	8.3	11	11.0
			30.3		7.05		93.9		16.5		8.3		11	

Date	17-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.26	27.8	27.8	7.51	7.5	95.6	96.0	16.1	16.6	8.8	8.8	11	11.5
			27.8		7.54		96.3		17.0		8.8		12	
WM4-CB	11:57	0.38	29.5	29.5	6.75	6.8	88.9	89.0	13.6	13.4	8.7	8.7	11	10.5
			29.5		6.8		89.1		13.2		8.7		10	
WM4	11:19	0.39	27.7	27.7	7.17	7.2	91.2	91.8	11.7	12.0	9	9.0	8	8.0
			27.7		7.23		92.3		12.3		9		8	

Date	20-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:40	0.18	26	26.0	7.62	7.6	94.3	94.5	7.0	6.9	8.5	8.5	2	2.0
			26		7.67		94.7		6.7		8.5		<2	
WM4-CB	12:50	0.31	27.2	27.2	6.99	7.0	88.6	88.7	7.6	7.8	8.2	8.2	8	7.5
			27.2		7.01		88.8		7.9		8.2		7	
WM4	12:25	0.14	26.7	26.7	7.35	7.4	91.7	91.8	23.9	24.2	8.4	8.4	10	10.0
			26.7		7.37		91.9		24.5		8.4		10	



Date	22-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:10	0.18	27.3	27.3	7.73	7.7	97.6	97.8	6.0	5.7	8.3	8.3	4	5.0
			27.3		7.75		98.0		5.5		8.3		6	
WM4-CB	13:25	0.31	28.6	28.6	7.19	7.2	92.8	93.2	14.0	13.8	7.5	7.5	21	20.0
			28.6		7.22		93.6		13.5		7.5		19	
WM4	13:00	0.14	27.6	27.6	7.53	7.5	95.7	95.8	15.6	15.6	8.1	8.1	18	17.5
			27.6		7.55		95.9		15.6		8.1		17	

Date	24-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:37	0.24	27.8	27.8	7.31	7.4	93.1	94.0	5.3	5.3	8.3	8.3	4	5.0
			27.8		7.43		94.8		5.3		8.3		6	
WM4-CB	11:58	0.37	29.8	29.8	7.2	7.2	91.5	91.9	11.0	11.2	7.9	7.9	16	16.0
			29.8		7.25		92.3		11.4		7.9		16	
WM4	11:21	0.35	28	28.0	6.84	6.8	87.3	86.9	12.5	12.8	8.6	8.6	13	12.0
			28		6.8		86.5		13.0		8.6		11	

Date	26-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:15	0.18	30	30.0	6.84	6.8	90.6	90.5	4.8	4.8	7.8	7.8	6	5.0
			30		6.81		90.3		4.8		7.8		4	
WM4-CB	13:30	0.31	31.2	31.2	7.22	7.2	97.2	97.5	11.2	10.9	6.9	6.9	16	16.5
			31.2		7.27		97.7		10.6		6.9		17	
WM4	13:00	0.14	30	30.0	6.96	6.9	92.2	92.0	9.0	8.9	7.5	7.5	8	7.5
			30		6.93		91.7		8.7		7.5		7	

Date	28-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	10:15	0.18	26.7	26.7	7.34	7.3	91.3	90.8	4.1	4.0	9.2	9.2	3	3.0
			26.7		7.24		90.3		4.0		9.2		3	
WM4-CB	10:25	0.31	27.2	27.2	6.13	6.1	77.2	77.3	15.1	14.7	8.8	8.8	20	20.0
			27.2		6.15		77.4		14.2		8.8		20	
WM4	10:00	0.14	26.9	26.9	6.65	6.7	83.1	83.3	4.8	4.7	8.8	8.8	8	7.5
			26.9		6.66		83.4		4.5		8.8		7	

Date	30-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:00	0.18	25.3	25.3	7.7	7.7	93.6	93.5	4.4	4.3	6.8	6.8	5	5.0
			25.3		7.68		93.3		4.3		6.8		5	
WM4-CB	12:10	0.31	26.2	26.2	7.55	7.6	92.9	93.2	9.7	9.4	6.8	6.8	13	13.0
			26.2		7.61		93.5		9.1		6.8		13	
WM4	11:45	0.14	25.5	25.5	7.2	7.2	87.6	87.5	9.8	10.0	7.2	7.2	9	9.0
			25.5		7.17		87.3		10.3		7.2		9	

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6**

Date		2-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:20	0.28	26.1	26.1	7.43	7.4	91.8	92.1	25.5	26.0	8.60	8.6	19	19.0
			26.1		7.45		92.3		26.4		8.60		19	
WM2A	10:30	0.17	29.1	29.1	7.02	7.0	92.3	92.4	23.4	22.7	8.10	8.1	21	21.5
			29.1		7.03		92.4		21.9		8.10		22	

Date		6-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:30	0.29	25.8	25.8	7.73	7.7	94.9	94.8	27.9	27.5	7.70	7.7	30	29.5
			25.8		7.7		94.7		27.1		7.70		29	
WM2A	11:15	0.18	26.4	26.4	7.02	7.0	87.2	87.3	Over	<b>Over range</b>	8.10	8.1	893	<b>883.0</b>
			26.4		7.03		87.3		Range		8.10		873	

Date		7-Sep-16#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:30	0.28							16.9	16.6			30	30.0
							16.3		30					
WM2A	10:40	0.17							109.0	<b>106.5</b>			108	<b>108.0</b>
							104.0		108					

Date		8-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:30	0.28	25	25.0	7.43	7.4	90.0	90.1	11.5	10.9	8.00	8.0	7	7.0
			25		7.46		90.2		10.3		8.00		7	
WM2A	11:05	0.17	26.7	26.7	7.34	7.4	91.6	91.7	69.7	<b>67.2</b>	8.00	8.0	44	<b>44.0</b>
			26.7		7.36		91.7		64.7		8.00		44	

Date		9-Sep-16#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:35	0.28							6.6	6.4			6	6.0
							6.2							
WM2A	11:45	0.17							24.7	24.6			32	<b>32.0</b>
							24.4							

Date		10-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:57	0.34	26.4	26.4	7.18	7.2	88.7	89.3	530.0	525.5	8.50	8.5	350	340.0
			26.4		7.21		89.8		521.0		8.50		330	
WM2A	9:43	0.29	26.6	26.6	7.19	7.2	89.7	90.0	113.0	117.0	9.10	9.1	119	116.5
			26.6		7.23		90.2		121.0		9.10		114	

Date		12-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	13:14	0.37	26.1	26.1	7.47	7.5	91.9	92.4	8.9	8.9	8.10	8.1	5	6.0
			26.1		7.54		92.8		9.0		8.10		7	
WM2A	12:57	0.26	29.5	29.5	6.34	6.4	93.0	88.6	338.0	343.5	8.10	8.1	228	227.0
			29.5		6.43		84.1		349.0		8.10		226	

Date		13-Sep-16#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:15	0.28							7.0	6.7			5	5.0
							6.5							
WM2A	11:30	0.17							57.9	59.0			61	61.0
							60.1							

Date		14-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:30	0.28	25.1	25.1	7.51	7.5	91.5	91.7	7.4	7.3	8.50	8.5	3	3.5
			25.1		7.55		91.8		7.2		8.50		4	
WM2A	10:45	0.17	27.6	27.6	7.12	7.1	90.5	90.6	24.8	24.7	8.20	8.2	12	12.5
			27.6		7.14		90.7		24.6		8.20		13	

Date		15-Sep-16#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:15	0.28							6.2	6.0			5	5.0
							5.8							
WM2A	10:00	0.17							10.1	9.9			7	7.0
							9.7							

Date		17-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:43	0.36	24.9	25.0	7.54	7.6	91.0	91.9	7.1	7.2	8.50	8.5	<2	<2
			25		7.63		92.7		7.2		8.50		<2	
WM2A	9:29	0.17	26.8	26.8	7.16	7.2	89.0	89.6	8.3	8.3	8.60	8.6	5	5.5
			26.8		7.21		90.1		8.4		8.60		6	

Date		20-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:25	0.28	23.9	23.9	7.62	7.6	90.3	90.5	8.0	8.1	8.00	8.0	5	4.5
			23.9		7.63		90.6		8.2		8.00		4	
WM2A	10:40	0.17	25	25.0	7.26	7.3	87.7	87.6	60.9	<b>60.2</b>	7.90	7.9	37	<b>37.5</b>
			25		7.24		87.5		59.4		7.90		38	

Date		21-Sep-16#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:00	0.28							5.6	5.6			3	3.0
							5.6							
WM2A	10:15	0.17							190.0	<b>192.5</b>			84	<b>84.0</b>
							195.0							

Date		22-Sep-16												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:00	0.28	24.7	24.7	7.58	7.6	91.2	91.4	8.6	8.4	8.50	8.5	5	5.0
			24.7		7.6		91.6		8.1		8.50		5	
WM2A	11:15	0.17	26.3	26.3	7.31	7.3	90.7	90.6	199.0	<b>200.5</b>	8.40	8.4	146	<b>149.0</b>
			26.3		7.29		90.5		202.0		8.40		152	

Date		23-Sep-16#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:45	0.28							6.6	6.4			<2	<2
							6.2							
WM2A	10:30	0.17							10.1	9.9			2	2.0
							9.7							

Date	24-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:47	0.34	25.2	25.2	7.45	7.5	90.7	91.0	11.1	11.2	10.50	10.5	<2	2.0
			25.2		7.48		91.3		11.3		10.50			
WM2A	9:30	0.25	28.4	28.4	6.87	6.8	88.3	87.9	23.8	23.5	10.80	10.8	14	14.0
			28.4		6.79		87.5		23.1		10.80			

Date	26-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:25	0.28	25.5	25.5	7.01	7.0	86.3	86.4	8.1	7.8	9.40	9.4	3	2.5
			25.5		7.03		86.5		7.5		9.40			
WM2A	10:40	0.17	28.8	28.8	6.96	7.0	90.2	90.2	23.8	23.5	8.20	8.2	14	14.5
			28.8		6.94		90.2		23.1		8.20			

Date	28-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:45	0.28	25.2	25.2	7.05	7.0	85.7	85.7	8.0	7.8	8.30	8.3	4	3.5
			25.2		7.04		85.7		7.7		8.30			
WM2A	11:55	0.17	28.5	28.5	6.85	6.9	88.6	89.0	23.5	24.1	7.60	7.6	25	25.0
			28.5		6.92		89.3		24.7		7.60			

Date	30-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:50	0.28	23.7	23.7	7.32	7.3	86.6	86.5	7.5	7.3	8.50	8.5	4	3.5
			23.7		7.3		86.3		7.2		8.50			
WM2A	11:00	0.17	25.1	25.1	7.33	7.3	89.0	88.7	20.3	20.7	7.80	7.8	24	24.5
			25.1		7.28		88.3		21.1		7.80			

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

Date														
2-Sep-16														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:10	0.02	25.1	25.1	6.44	6.4	78.0	77.3	2.4	2.2	9.20	9.2	<2	<2
			25.1		6.4		76.5		2.1		9.20		<2	
WM2B	10:00	0.02	27.8	27.8	8.15	8.1	103.4	102.9	3.6	3.5	9.00	9.0	6	6.0
			27.8		8.07		102.3		3.4		9.00		6	

Date														
6-Sep-16														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	11:48	0.02	24.5	24.5	6.76	6.7	80.8	80.6	5.8	5.4	8.10	8.1	<2	<2
			24.5		6.68		80.3		5.1		8.10		<2	
WM2B	11:38	0.02	26.7	26.7	7.48	7.5	94.9	94.9	11.3	11.2	8.00	8.0	18	<b>18.5</b>
			26.7		7.46		94.8		11.0		8.00		19	

Date														
8-Sep-16														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:20	0.02	24.6	24.6	6.4	6.5	77.2	77.9	4.6	4.5	8.90	8.9	<2	<2
			24.6		6.54		78.5		4.4		8.90		<2	
WM2B	10:10	0.02	27.2	27.2	7.79	7.8	98.0	97.7	16.6	<b>16.4</b>	8.70	8.7	16	<b>16.0</b>
			27.2		7.73		97.4		16.2		8.70		16	

Date														
9-Sep-16#														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	11:20	0.02							8.3	8.1			11	11.0
									7.9					
WM2B	11:28	0.03							9.2	9.2			11	11.0
									9.1					

Date														
10-Sep-16														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:33	0.03	25.7	25.8	6.76	6.8	90.0	90.4	17.3	17.2	9.40	9.4	11	11.0
			25.8		6.79		90.7		17.1		9.40			
WM2B	10:21	0.03	26.8	26.8	7.39	7.3	96.6	95.8	14.1	14.1	9.40	9.4	12	<b>12.0</b>
			26.8		7.28		95.0		14.0		9.40			

Date	12-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	13:41	0.02	25	25.0	6.73	6.8	81.6	82.0	3.4	3.4	8.40	8.4	12	13.0
			25		6.8		82.3		3.4		8.40		14	
WM2B	13:31	0.02	27.9	27.9	6.87	6.9	87.7	88.1	6.4	6.4	8.00	8.0	10	10.0
			27.9		6.91		88.5		6.5		8.00		10	

Date	14-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:15	0.02	25.2	25.2	6.76	6.8	82.0	82.3	2.3	2.3	9.10	9.1	<2	<2
			25.2		6.79		82.6		2.2		9.10		<2	
WM2B	10:00	0.02	28.7	28.7	8.08	8.1	104.0	104.2	5.7	5.6	9.00	9.0	8	7.0
			28.7		8.1		104.0		5.5		9.00		6	

Date	17-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:11	0.02	24.7	24.7	6.65	6.7	80.1	80.5	2.6	2.6	8.60	8.6	<2	<2
			24.7		6.69		80.8		2.7		8.60		<2	
WM2B	9:57	0.02	26	26.0	7.06	7.1	87.3	87.7	3.7	3.8	8.50	8.5	<2	<2
			26		7.11		88.0		3.9		8.50		<2	

Date	20-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:15	0.02	24.3	24.3	7.12	7.1	84.5	84.3	2.0	1.9	8.60	8.6	<2	<2
			24.3		6.98		84.1		1.9		8.60		<2	
WM2B	10:05	0.02	24.8	24.8	7.45	7.5	89.9	90.2	11.3	11.4	8.40	8.4	7	8.0
			24.8		7.51		90.4		11.4		8.40		9	

Date	22-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:30	0.02	24.6	24.6	6.73	6.7	80.8	81.0	2.2	2.1	9.20	9.2	<2	<2
			24.6		6.75		81.1		2.1		9.20		<2	
WM2B	10:45	0.02	26.9	26.9	8.07	8.1	101.1	101.4	6.0	5.7	8.60	8.6	6	6.0
			26.9		8.1		101.7		5.4		8.60		6	



Date		24-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2B-C	10:17	0.02	26.9	26.9	5.56	5.5	69.7	69.4	4.3	4.3	10.40	10.4	<2	<2	
			26.9		5.5		69.0		4.3		10.40				
WM2B	10:01	0.02	28	28.0	5.4	5.4	68.0	68.6	4.7	4.7	10.60	10.6	<2	<2	
			28		5.43		69.1		4.7		10.60				

Date		26-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2B-C	10:15	0.02	29	29.0	4.92	4.9	63.6	63.7	2.2	2.3	9.80	9.8	3	2.5	
			29		4.94		63.8		2.4		9.80		2		
WM2B	10:00	0.02	30.4	30.4	7.06	7.1	93.5	93.6	3.6	3.4	10.30	10.3	3	4.0	
			30.4		7.08		93.6		3.3		10.30		5		

Date		28-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2B-C	11:20	0.02	24.5	24.5	7.58	7.6	79.0	78.8	9.2	9.1	9.10	9.1	<2	<2	
			24.5		7.55		78.6		9.0		9.10		<2		
WM2B	11:30	0.02	26.7	26.7	7.9	8.0	98.4	99.0	3.6	3.5	8.50	8.5	2	2.0	
			26.7		8.01		99.5		3.5		8.50		<2		

Date		30-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2B-C	10:15	0.02	27	27.0	5.72	5.7	71.6	71.9	2.0	1.9	9.60	9.6	<2	<2	
			27		5.76		72.2		1.8		9.60		<2		
WM2B	10:00	0.02	26.4	26.4	7.89	7.9	98.2	98.0	2.6	2.5	9.40	9.4	3	2.5	
			26.4		7.84		97.7		2.5		9.40		2		

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 6**

Date	2-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:35	0.04	28.7	28.7	6.89	6.9	89.1	89.3	23.7	23.0	7.50	7.5	34	34.0
			28.7		6.9		89.4		22.3		7.50		34	
WM3x	11:20	0.15	28.4	28.4	6.96	7.0	89.7	89.8	11.4	11.3	7.70	7.7	13	12.5
			28.4		6.97		89.9		11.2		7.70		12	

Date	6-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	12:10	0.04	27.3	27.3	6.42	6.4	81.1	81.1	37.3	36.9	7.30	7.3	61	62.0
			27.3		6.47		81.0		36.4		7.30		63	
WM3x	12:18	0.15	26.7	26.7	6.81	6.8	85.0	84.9	24.1	24.5	7.80	7.8	26	25.5
			26.7		6.79		84.8		24.9		7.80		25	

Date	8-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	12:00	0.03	27.7	27.7	6.81	6.8	86.7	86.9	20.6	21.4	8.60	8.6	17	17.0
			27.7		6.84		87.0		22.1		8.60		17	
WM3x	12:10	0.15	27.8	27.8	7.21	7.2	91.7	91.8	93.1	92.2	8.80	8.8	102	99.5
			27.8		7.2		91.8		91.2		8.80		97	

Date	9-Sep-16#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:10	0.04							135.0	142.0			411	411.0
									149.0					
WM3x	11:00	0.15							123.0	117.5			229	229.0
									112.0					

Date	10-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:54	0.26	26.8	26.8	6.74	6.8	84.4	84.8	393.0	388.5	9.40	9.4	166	281.5
			26.8		6.81		85.1		384.0		9.40		397	
WM3x	11:09	0.27	26.4	26.4	6.36	6.4	78.8	79.1	80.1	80.6	9.10	9.1	98	101.5
			26.4		6.4		79.4		81.0		9.10		105	

Date	12-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	13:57	0.27	30.1	30.1	6.53	6.6	86.6	87.3	8.0	7.9	7.50	7.5	3	3.5
			30.1		6.6		88.0		7.8		7.50		4	
WM3x	14:17	0.17	30.3	30.3	6.62	6.7	88.3	88.8	12.8	12.6	7.90	7.9	10	10.0
			30.3		6.7		89.3		12.3		7.90		10	

Date	14-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:30	0.03	28.9	28.9	6.44	6.5	83.6	83.9	9.7	9.7	7.40	7.4	14	14.0
			28.9		6.48		84.1		9.8		7.40		14	
WM3x	11:45	0.15	28.2	28.2	6.64	6.7	85.4	85.9	13.4	13.3	8.20	8.2	16	16.0
			28.2		6.73		86.3		13.1		8.20		16	

Date	17-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:47	0.27	27.4	27.4	6.75	6.8	85.5	85.9	8.5	8.6	8.90	8.9	22	21.5
			27.4		6.83		86.3		8.6		8.90		21	
WM3x	10:32	0.18	27.3	27.3	6.82	6.8	86.1	86.6	7.5	7.5	9.10	9.1	7	7.0
			27.3		6.87		87.0		7.6		9.10		7	

Date	20-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	12:00	0.04	27	27.0	6.45	6.5	81.1	81.3	52.0	51.9	7.70	7.7	79	77.5
			27		6.5		81.4		51.8		7.70		76	
WM3x	12:15	0.15	27.5	27.5	7.3	7.3	92.3	92.4	57.3	58.2	8.30	8.3	81	83.0
			27.5		7.29		92.4		59.1		8.30		85	

Date	22-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	20:15	0.03	27.6	27.6	6.6	6.6	83.7	83.9	4.5	4.3	8.20	8.2	4	4.5
			27.6		6.62		84.0		4.1		8.20		5	
WM3x	10:00	0.15	26.5	26.5	7.81	7.8	97.0	96.4	12.5	12.6	8.40	8.4	12	12.0
			26.5		7.73		95.8		12.6		8.40		12	

Date	24-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:34	0.31	27.9	27.9	6.3	6.3	80.5	80.8	173.0	164.5	9.20	9.2	188	184.5
			27.9		6.34		81.1		156.0		9.20		181	
WM3x	10:49	0.17	28.1	28.3	6.8	6.8	87.2	87.9	111.0	115.5	8.80	8.8	102	153.5
			28.4		6.83		88.5		120.0		8.80		205	

Date	26-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:20	0.04	27.8	27.8	6.5	6.5	83.4	83.3	7.3	7.5	7.70	7.7	4	3.5
			27.8		6.47		83.2		7.7		7.70		3	
WM3x	11:30	0.15	28	28.0	6.59	6.6	84.2	84.0	9.4	9.2	7.90	7.9	10	10.0
			28		6.53		83.7		9.1		7.90		10	

Date	28-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:10	0.03	27.6	27.6	5.79	5.8	72.9	72.7	6.5	6.2	8.20	8.2	<2	2.0
			27.6		5.75		72.4		5.9		8.20		2	
WM3x	11:00	0.15	26.8	26.8	6.86	6.8	85.9	85.6	65.1	<b>65.6</b>	8.30	8.3	82	<b>81.5</b>
			26.8		6.8		85.3		66.0		8.30		81	

Date	29-Sep-16#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:20	0.03							4.1	4.1			<2	<2
							4.0							
WM3x	11:30	0.15							12.4	11.9			11	11.0
							11.3							




Date	30-Sep-16													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:30	0.03	26.8	26.8	5.81	5.8	72.3	72.6	2.8	2.7	8.00	8.0	2	2.0
			26.8		5.87		72.9		2.5		8.00		2	
WM3x	10:40	0.15	25.3	25.3	6.74	6.7	82.1	82.1	6.7	6.4	8.40	8.4	12	12.0
			25.3		6.73		82.0		6.1		8.40		12	




Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.




## **Appendix J**

### **Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result**

**Air Quality – 1-hour TSP**


















**Air Quality – 24-hour TSP**









Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.38) – September 2016









**Noise**





















**Water Quality**
















## **Appendix K**

### **Meteorological Data**

Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Ta Kwu Ling Station			
				Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Sep-16	Thu	Mainly cloudy with showers and a few squally thunderstorms.	68.9	28.8	6.1	82.2	S/SW
2-Sep-16	Fri	Cloudy with showers and isolated thunderstorms.	6.1	29.7	9.7	79.2	S/SW
3-Sep-16	Sat	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	7	29	8.7	83.4	E/SE
4-Sep-16	Sun	Showers will be heavy at first.	Trace	28.7	7.6	78.5	E/NE
5-Sep-16	Mon	Cloudy with showers and isolated thunderstorms.	75.3	27.2	6.5	86.5	E/NE
6-Sep-16	Tue	Cloudy with showers and isolated thunderstorms.	10.8	27.6	4.5	85	E/NE
7-Sep-16	Wed	Mainly cloudy with showers.	20.4	27	6.4	86.2	S
8-Sep-16	Thu	Mainly cloudy with a few showers.	2.8	27.5	3.7	85.7	E/SE
9-Sep-16	Fri	Mainly cloudy with showers.	16.3	27.3	6.5	87.5	S/SW
10-Sep-16	Sat	Cloudy with showers and isolated thunderstorms.	53.2	26.9	6	85.4	E/SE
11-Sep-16	Sun	Mainly fine and very hot. Moderate easterly winds.	6.6	28	7	80.7	E/NE
12-Sep-16	Mon	Mainly fine and very hot. Moderate easterly winds.	0	28.6	5.5	75.7	E/NE
13-Sep-16	Tue	Mainly cloudy. a few rain patches	8.5	28.6	5.9	79.7	E/NE
14-Sep-16	Wed	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0	29	6.5	73	N/NW
15-Sep-16	Thu	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0.7	28.7	3.2	72.2	N/NW
16-Sep-16	Fri	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0	28.2	5	67	N/NW
17-Sep-16	Sat	Sunny intervals in the afternoon.	0	28.6	6.2	68.2	W/NW
18-Sep-16	Sun	Mainly cloudy with showers.	Trace	28.1	5.5	69.5	E/NE
19-Sep-16	Mon	Mainly cloudy with a few showers.	3.8	28.2	6.3	72	E/NE
20-Sep-16	Tue	Mainly cloudy. a few rain patches	39.6	26.4	4.6	78.7	N/NW
21-Sep-16	Wed	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	2.4	27	5.5	77.5	E/NE
22-Sep-16	Thu	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0	27.5	6.4	71.2	E/NE
23-Sep-16	Fri	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	Trace	28.3	8.5	71	E/NE
24-Sep-16	Sat	Sunny intervals in the afternoon.	Trace	28.6	7	80.3	E/SE
25-Sep-16	Sun	Mainly cloudy with a few showers.	0	29.5	4.2	81	E/SE
26-Sep-16	Mon	Mainly cloudy. a few rain patches	Trace	29.1	5.3	78.2	S/SE
27-Sep-16	Tue	Moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0	30.3	6.5	68.7	N/NW
28-Sep-16	Wed	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0	29.5	7	67	W/NW
29-Sep-16	Thu	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh offshore.	0.7	25.7	7	67	N/NW
30-Sep-16	Fri	Sunny intervals in the afternoon.	0	25	4.7	76.2	W/NW

## **Appendix L**

### **Waste Flow Table**

Name of Department : CEDD

Contract No./ Work Order No. : CV/2012/08

### Appendix I - Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated / Imported (in '000 m3)						Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated				
	Total Quantities Generated	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported C&D Material	Metal	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastic (bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foams from package material)	Chemical Waste	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.)
	[a+b+c+d]	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m3)
January	72.2029	0.0000	0.6482	31.8061	39.7486	0.9345	26.2000	0.0000	0.7600	1.2320	0.1247
February	55.6715	0.0000	1.0145	38.3484	16.3085	1.3108	8.3800	0.9800	0.4000	1.4080	0.1089
March	34.1757	0.0000	0.3241	29.3514	4.5003	1.0325	44.1700	0.0000	1.0700	11.9680	0.0732
April	86.9048	0.0000	0.7045	32.8811	53.3191	1.3786	31.8220	0.4000	1.0900	1.6456	0.1306
May	77.5386	0.0000	0.1268	38.9050	38.5068	6.3690	44.8000	0.3500	1.1400	2.7280	0.1246
June	62.4192	0.0000	0.5848	45.2952	16.5392	2.4119	35.7300	0.3700	1.8200	1.7600	0.0916
Half-year total	388.9127	0.0000	3.4030	216.5873	168.9224	13.4373	191.1020	2.1000	6.2800	20.7416	0.6536
July	65.3701	0.0000	0.4227	25.0255	39.9219	2.4087	11.3820	0.3500	1.5510	2.9920	0.1794
August	88.4708	0.0000	0.1283	27.0545	61.2879	2.0077	23.0010	0.3300	2.0110	5.2800	0.1482
September	97.0232	0.0000	1.5359	50.8682	44.6191	0	0	0	0	4.4000	0.2018
October	0.0000										
November	0.0000										
December	0.0000										
Yearly Total	639.7767	0.0000	5.4899	319.5355	314.7514	17.8537	225.4850	2.7800	9.8420	33.4136	1.1830

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places)

Year	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated / Imported (in '000 m3)						Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated				
	Total Quantities Generated	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported C&D Material	Metal	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastic (bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foams from package material)	Chemical Waste	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.)
	[a+b+c+d]	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m3)
2013	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
2014	425.4406	0.0000	2.7362	376.3945	46.3099	5.6245	3.2100	0.4390	0.0070	10.8800	2.2609
2015	570.9459	0.0000	20.8159	543.2162	6.9138	4.5492	14.1300	3.9220	11.9700	16.1920	1.1696
2016	639.7767	0.0000	5.4899	319.5355	314.7514	17.8537	225.4850	2.7800	9.8420	33.4136	1.1830
2017											
2018											
Total	1636.1632	0.0000	29.0420	1239.1462	367.9751	28.0274	242.8250	7.1410	21.8190	60.4856	4.6135

Remark:

1) Density of C&D material to be 2.2 metric ton/m3  
2) Density of General Refuse to be 1.6 metric ton/m3

3) Density of Spent Oil to be 0.88 metric ton/m3



### Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	2.683	0.253	0.030	0.000	2.400	0.799	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.115
Feb	1.877	0.651	0.020	0.000	1.205	1.141	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.110
Mar	1.501	0.417	0.000	0.000	1.084	0.831	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.090
Apr	0.472	0.046	0.018	0.000	0.408	0.647	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.135
May	0.488	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.475	2.479	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.105
Jun	0.523	0.103	0.000	0.000	0.420	0.716	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.135
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>7.544</b>	<b>1.483</b>	<b>0.068</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>5.993</b>	<b>6.613</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.690</b>
Jul	0.565	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.546	1.407	0.000	0.001	0.004	1.000	0.085
Aug	0.582	0.088	0.000	0.000	0.494	0.715	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.105
Sep	1.797	0.604	0.258	0.000	0.935	0.038	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.090
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.487</b>	<b>2.194</b>	<b>0.326</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>7.967</b>	<b>8.774</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.009</b>	<b>1.000</b>	<b>0.970</b>

- Note:**
1. Assume the density of soil fill is 2 ton/m<sup>3</sup>.
  2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete is 2.5 ton/m<sup>3</sup>.
  3. Assume each truck of C&D wastes is 5m<sup>3</sup>.
  4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
  5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
  6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
  7. Assume the density of metal is 7,850 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.
  8. Assume the density of plastic is 941 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016 (year)

Name of Person completing the record: K.M. Lui (EO)

Project : Liangtang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 6

Contract No.: CV/2013/08

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	58.943	0	3.811	12.131	43.001	31.248	0	0	0	0	0.695
Feb	74.418	0	8.785	39.85	25.783	6.552	0	0.097	0	0	0.339
Mar	43.764	0	6.438	12.034	25.292	3.288	0	0.206	0.007	0	0.042
Apr	33.767	0	1.933	5.759	26.075	0	0	0.221	0	0	0.070
May	51.115	0	3.229	17.469	30.417	0.928	0	0.211	0	0	0.079
Jun	61.126	0	6.921	23.286	30.919	3.693	0	0.166	0	0	0.043
Sub-total	323.133	0	31.117	110.529	181.487	45.709	0	0.901	0.007	0	1.268
Jul	73.407	0	0.951	32.858	39.598	0.827	0	0.271	0	0	0.094
Aug	45.652	0	6.653	5.933	33.066	0	0	0.323	0	0	0.110
Sep	31.086	0	2.089	11.529	17.468	0.048	0	0	0	0	0.049
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	642.501	0	59.344	177.633	405.524	53.833	0	1.789	0.007	32.28	4.597

- Notes:
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
  - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
  - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

**MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE**

Name of Department:           CEDD          

Contract Title: Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point  
Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 7

Contract No.:           NE/2014/03          

**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016 (year)**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastic (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb	0.16	0	0	0	0.16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar	0.135	0	0	0	0.135	0	0	0	0	0	0.005
Apr	0.313	0	0	0	0.313	0	0	0	0	0	0.005
May	0.505	0	0	0	0.505	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0.613	0	0	0	0.613	0	0	0.005	0.001	0	0
Sub-total	1.726	0	0	0	1.726	0	0	0.005	0.001	0	0.01
July	0.207	0	0	0	0.207	0	0	0.047	0.001	0	0
Aug	0.464	0	0	0	0.464	0	0	0.03	0.001	0	0
Sept	0.207	0	0	0	0.207	0	0.1	0.05	0.001	0	0
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	2.604	0	0	0	2.604	0	0.1	0.132	0.004	0	0.01

Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.  
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Contract No. / Works Order No.: - SSC505**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2016** [year] [to be submitted not later than the 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month following reporting month]

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places.)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly				
	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Broken Concrete (see Note 4)	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	0.800	0	0	0	0.800
Feb	0.858	0	0	0	0.858
Mar	0.793	0	0	0	0.793
Apr	0.111	0	0	0	0.111
May	1.087	0	1.074	0	0.013
Jun	8.645	0	8.541	0	0.104
Sub-total	12.293	0	9.615	0	2.678
Jul	2.942	0	2.884	0	0.059
Aug	4.247	0	4.182	0	0.065
Sep	2.963	0	2.911	0	0.052
Oct					
Nov					
Dec					
Total	22.445	0	19.591	0	2.854

Month	Actual Quantities of Non-inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly												
	Timber		Metals		Paper/ cardboard packaging		Plastics (see Note 3)		Chemical Waste		Other Recyclable Materials (see Page 3)		General Refuse disposed of at Landfill
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
Jan	0.000	0.000	4.73	4.73	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.072
Feb	0.000	0.000	0.0004	0.0004	0.0186	0.0186	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.021	0.065
Mar	0	0	52.752	52.752	0.044	0.044	0	0	0	0	0.05	0.05	0.059
Apr	0	0	1465.5906	1465.5906	0.09	0.09	0	0	0	0	0.084	0.084	0.091
May	0	0	1587.5818	1587.5818	0	0	0.004	0.004	0	0	0.153	0.153	0.156
Jun	0	0	725.0582	725.0582	0.33	0.33	0.0045	0.0045	0	0	0.067	0.067	0.117
Sub-total	0	0	3818.7330	3818.7330	0.4826	0.4826	0.0085	0.0085	0	0	0.375	0.375	0.559
Jul	0	0	277.230	277.230	0.430	0.430	0.020	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.194	0.194	0.189
Aug	0	0	242.370	242.370	0.360	0.360	0.025	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.069	0.069	0.228
Sep	0	0	572.150	572.150	0.370	0.370	0.048	0.048	0.000	0.000	0.088	0.088	0.241
Oct													
Nov													
Dec													
Total	0	0	4954.833	4954.833	1.643	1.643	0.101	0.101	0.000	0.000	0.725	0.725	1.216

Description of mode and details of recycling if any for the month e.g. XX kg of used timber was sent to YY site for transformation into fertilizers					
8kg of cans were sent to Kong Han for recycling.	370kg of paper were sent to Environment Protection Trading Ltd for recycling.	48kg of plastic bottles and 80kg of glass bottles were sent to Action Health for recycling.	400.85 tons of scrap metals from LCAL were sent for recycling.	171.30 tons of scrap metals from subcontractors were sent for recycling	

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in the Particular Specification on Environmental Management Plan.
  - (2) The waste flow table shall also include construction waste that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the site.
  - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
  - (4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
  - (5) If necessary, use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5 m<sup>3</sup> by volume.

**Appendix M**

**Implementation Schedule for  
Environmental Mitigation Measures**

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<b>Air Quality Impact (Construction)</b>							
3.6.1.1	2.1	<p><b>General Dust Control Measures</b></p> <p>The following dust suppression measures should be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (4 times per day for active areas in Po Kak Tsai and 8 times per day for all other active areas), including areas with heavy construction and slope cutting activities</li> <li>■ 80% of stockpile areas should be covered by impervious sheets</li> <li>■ Speed of trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10 km/hr</li> <li>■ All haul roads within the site should be paved to avoid dust emission due to vehicular movement</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.6.1.2	2.1	<p><b>Best Practice for Dust Control</b></p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good site management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust.</li> <li>■ Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission.</li> <li>■ Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly.</li> <li>■ Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions.</li> <li>■ The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning.</li> </ul> <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Each and every main temporary access should be paved with</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation



EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies.</li> </ul> <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides.</li> <li>Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped.</li> </ul> <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards.</li> </ul> <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> </ul> <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> <li>Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle.</li> </ul>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit.</li> </ul> <p><i>Blasting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The areas within 30m from the blasting area should be wetted with water prior to blasting.</li> </ul>					
<b><u>Air Quality Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
3.5.2.2	2.2	<p>The following odour containment and control measures will be provided for the proposed sewage treatment work at the BCP site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The treatment work will be totally enclosed. Negative pressure ventilation will be provided within the enclosure to avoid any fugitive odorous emission from the treatment work.</li> <li>Further odour containment will be achieved by covering or confining the sewage channels, sewage tanks, and equipment with potential odour emission.</li> <li>Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity.</li> <li>Chemical or biological deodorisation facilities with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90% will be provided to treat potential odorous emissions from the treatment plant including sewage channels / tanks, filter press and screening facilities so as to minimize any potential odour impact to the nearby ASRs.</li> </ul>	To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP	DSD	BCP	Operation Phase	EIA recommendation
<b><u>Noise Impact (Construction)</u></b>							
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Adoption of Quieter PME</b></p> <p>Use of the recommended quieter PME such as those given in the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and presented in <b>Table 4.14</b>, which can be found in Hong Kong.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Movable Noise Barrier</b></p> <p>The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</b></p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</b></p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The insulating fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Good Site Practice</b></p> <p>The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme;</li> <li>• Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction programme;</li> <li>• Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible;</li> <li>• Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;</li> <li>• Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and</li> <li>• Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
<b><u>Noise Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
<u>Road Traffic Noise</u>							
Table 4.42 and Figure 4.20.1 to 4.20.4	3.2	Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section.	To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP	Contractor	Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<u>Fixed Plant Noise</u>							
Table 4.46	3.2	Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time.	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.5.2.4	3.2	<p>The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced;</li> <li>Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment);</li> <li>Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable;</li> <li>Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures;</li> <li>Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building;</li> <li>Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and</li> <li>Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise.</li> </ul>	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<b>Water Quality Impact (Construction)</b>							
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p><b>Construction site runoff and drainage</b></p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas.</li> </ul>	To control site runoff and drainage; prevent high sediment loading from reaching the nearby watercourses	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	Construction Phase	Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC Note PN 1/94)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>▪ All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times.</li> <li>▪ Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.</li> <li>▪ If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC Note PN 1/94.</li> <li>▪ The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce</li> </ul>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>the erosive potential of surface water flows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</li> <li>▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</li> <li>▪ Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers.</li> <li>▪ Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.</li> <li>▪ Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.</li> </ul>					
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p><b>Good site practices for works within water gathering grounds</b></p> <p>The following conditions should be complied, if there is any works to be carried out within the water gathering grounds:</p>	To minimize water quality impacts to the water gathering grounds	Contractor	Construction Works Sites within the water gathering	Construction Phase	ProPECC Note PN 1/94

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Adequate measures should be implemented to ensure no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwaters and catchments.</li> <li>▪ No earth, building materials, oil or fuel, soil, toxic materials or any materials that may possibly cause contamination to water gathering grounds are allowed to be stockpiled on site.</li> <li>▪ All surplus spoil should be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible.</li> <li>▪ Temporary drains with silt traps should be constructed at the site boundary before the commencement of any earthworks.</li> <li>▪ Regular cleaning of silt traps should be carried out to ensure proper operation at all time.</li> <li>▪ All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion should always be protected form erosion.</li> <li>▪ Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site should be provided.</li> <li>▪ Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwaters or catchments due to the leakage of oil or fuel should be removed off site immediately.</li> <li>▪ No maintenance activities which may generate chemical wastes should be undertaken in the water gathering grounds. Vehicle maintenance should be confined to designated paved areas only and any spillages should be cleared up immediately using absorbents and waste oils should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal off site. All storm water run-off from these areas should be discharged via oil/petrol separators and sand/silt removal traps.</li> <li>▪ Any soil contaminated with fuel leaked from plant should be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of contaminated soil should be replaced by suitable material approved by the Director of Water Supplies.</li> <li>▪ Provision of temporary toilet facilities and use of chemicals or insecticide of any kind are subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies.</li> <li>▪ Drainage plans should be submitted for approval by the Director of</li> </ul>			grounds		



EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Water Supplies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ An unimpeded access through the waterworks access road should always be maintained.</li> <li>▪ Earthworks near catchwaters or streamcourses should only be carried out in dry season between October and March,</li> <li>▪ Advance notice must be given before the commencement of works on site quoting WSD's approval letter reference.</li> </ul>					
5.6.1.2	4.1	<p><b>Good site practices of general construction activities</b></p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation
5.6.1.3	4.1	<p><b>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</b></p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO)
5.6.1.4	4.1	<p><b>Hydrogeological Impact</b></p> <p>Grout injection works would be conducted before blasting, for sealing a limited area around the tunnel with a grout of a suitable strength for controlling the potential groundwater inflows. The pre-injection grouting method would be supplemented by post-injection grouting where necessary to further enhance the groundwater inflow control. On-site treatment for the groundwater ingress pumped out would be required to remove any contamination by grouting materials before discharge off-site.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Construction works sites of the drill and blast tunnel	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Water Quality Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
No mitigation measure is required.							

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<b><u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Construction)</u></b>							
6.7	5	The sewage generated by the on-site workforce should be collected in chemical toilets and disposed of off-site by a licensed waste collector.	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
6.6.3	5	Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	BCP	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
6.5.3	5	Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	Administration Building	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Waste Management Implication (Construction)</u></b>							
7.6.1.1	6	<p><b>Good Site Practices</b></p> <p>Adverse impacts related to waste management such as potential hazard, air, odour, noise, wastewater discharge and public transport as mentioned in section 3.4.7.2 (ii)(c) of the Study Brief are not expected to arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site</li> <li>▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures</li> <li>▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste</li> <li>▪ Dust suppression measures as required under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be followed as far as practicable. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by covering trucks or in enclosed containers</li> <li>▪ General refuse shall be removed away immediately for disposal. As</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse environmental impact	Contractor	Construction works sites (general)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; and ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Site

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>such odour is not anticipated to be an issue to distant sensitive receivers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road</li> <li>▪ Covers and water spraying system should be provided for the stockpiled C&amp;D material to prevent dust impact or being washed away</li> <li>▪ Designate different locations for storage of C&amp;D material to enhance reuse</li> <li>▪ Well planned programme for transportation of C&amp;D material to lessen the off-site traffic impact. Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal and imported filling material such that adverse noise impact from transporting of C&amp;D material is not anticipated</li> <li>▪ Site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” should be adopted as far as practicable, such as cleaning and maintenance of drainage systems regularly</li> <li>▪ Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains</li> </ul>					
7.6.1.2	6	<p><b>Waste Reduction Measures</b></p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal</li> <li>▪ Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force</li> <li>▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials</li> <li>▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount</li> </ul>	To reduce the quantity of wastes	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation and Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In addition to the above measures, specific mitigation measures are recommended below for the identified waste arising to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of these wastes.</li> </ul>					
7.6.1.3	6	<p><b>C&amp;D Materials</b></p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&amp;D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. The surplus rock and other inert C&amp;D material would be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs) at Tuen Mun Area 38 for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR as the last resort. C&amp;D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site; and</li> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of C&amp;D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included.</li> </ul>	To minimize impacts resulting from C&D material	Contractor	Construction Works Sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; and ETWB TCW No. 31/2004
7.6.1.4	6	<p><b>General refuse</b></p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from other C&amp;D material. A reputable waste collector is to be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site separately. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' litter.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance and Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation
7.6.1.5	6	<p><b>Chemical waste</b></p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes

**Appendix N**

**Investigation Report for Exceedance**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008							
<b>Date</b>		18 Aug	19 Aug	20 Aug	22 Aug	18 Aug	19 Aug	20 Aug	22 Aug
<b>Location</b>		WM2A(a)							
<b>Time</b>		11:00	10:55	11:39	11:08	11:00	10:55	11:39	11:08
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
<b>Action Level</b>		24.9 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			
<b>Limit Level</b>		33.8 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			
<b>Measured Levels</b>	WM2A-C	26.7	62.1	6.6	9.1	20.0	19.0	4.5	8.0
	WM2A(a)	<b>357.5</b>	<b>265.5</b>	<b>547.5</b>	<b>374.5</b>	<b>244.0</b>	<b>336.0</b>	<b>335.5</b>	<b>248.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 18 to 22 August 2016 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A) were mainly bored piling and pile cap works. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record from the monitoring team, the water observed at WM2A(a) was turbid on 18, 19, 20 and 22 August 2016. There was discharge from the AquaSed into the river course on 18 and 22 August 2016 and the effluent quality was clear. The water quality at WM2A-C was found cloudy on 18 and 19 August 2016 and water flow was fairly vigorous compared to non-rainy day. The water quality at WM2A-C was clean on 20 and 22 August 2016 (Photo 1 to 8)</li> <li>3. In order to identify the source of turbid water, the monitoring team subsequently inspected the alignment of the river course of Bridge D. It was observed that water released from the Nylon Dam after heavy rain on 19 and 22 August 2016. The water flow of the river became very vigorous and stirred up the loose sediment at the river bed. Moreover, muddy water was observed in the Nylon Dam on 18, 19, 20 and 22 August 2016. (Photo 9 to 12)</li> <li>4. Weekly joint site inspection at Bridge D was carried out on 18 and 25 August 2016. The observation during the site inspection is summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities (3 nos. of AquaSed) were provided for Bridge D (<b>Figures 1 and 2</b>) According to the daily record of wastewater treatment systems provided by the Contractor of Contract 6, the wastewater discharge from the site was compliance with the Discharge Licences requirements.</li> <li>(b) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, the Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>							

	<p>Moreover, the edges of the slope top were bund up to minimize surface runoff (Photo 13 and 14)</p> <p>(c) Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site. (Photo 15)</p> <p>5. According to the Hong Kong Observatory, there was rainstorm recorded on 18 to 22 August 2016. Due to continuous rainfall, large amount of surface muddy runoff generated from the surrounding environment has been flowing into existing stream. The muddy runoff resulted in slurry and mud accumulated in the river bed. According to the photo recorded and monitoring data, the water quality at control station WM2A-C was also affected by the rainfall, particularly on 18 and 19 August 2016.</p> <p>6. In our investigation, it is considered the exceedance was due to surface runoff and mud from the surrounding environmental under rainstorm and unlikely caused by the works under the project.</p> <p>7. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring is increase to daily. There were exceedances of SS and NTU 23 and 24 August 2016. The Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :**



**Date :** 13 September 2016



## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 18 Aug 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a). There was discharge from the AquaSed into the river course and the effluent quality was clear.



**Photo 2**

On 18 Aug 2016, cloudy water was observed at WM2A-C and the water flow was vigorous compared to non-rainy day



**Photo 3**

On 19 Aug 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 4**

On 19 Aug 2016, cloudy water was observed at WM2A-C and the water flow was vigorous compared to non-rainy day



**Photo 5**

On 20 Aug 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 6**

On 20 Aug 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 7**

On 22 Aug 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a). There was discharge from the AquaSed into the river course and the effluent quality was clear.



**Photo 8**

On 22 Aug 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 9**

On 18 Aug 2016, muddy water was observed at the Nylon Dam.



**Photo 10**

On 19 Aug 2016, water releasing from Nylon Dam was observed after heavy rain. The sediment cumulated at the river bed was stirred up.




**Photo 11**

On 20 Aug 2016, muddy water was observed at the Nylon Dam.



**Photo 12**

On 22 Aug 2016, water releasing from the rubber dam was observed after heavy rain. The sediment cumulated at the river bed was stirred up.



**Photo 13**

The exposed slopes have been covered with tarpaulin as far as practicable.



**Photo 14**

The edges of slope top have been bund up to minimize muddy runoff.



**Photo 15**

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided along the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site.

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008		
<b>Date</b>		23 Aug	24 Aug	31 Aug
<b>Location</b>		WM2A(a)		
<b>Time</b>		11:30	12:06	10:40
<b>Parameter</b>		Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
<b>Action Level</b>		14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Limit Level</b>		17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Measure d Levels</b>	WM2A-C	9.0	7.5	9.5
	WM2A(a)	<b>35.0</b>	<b>30.5</b>	<b>25.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		Limit level	Limit level	Limit level
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 23, 24 and 31 August 2016 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A) were mainly bored piling and pile cap works. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record from the monitoring team, the water observed at WM2A(a) was slightly cloudy on 23 August 2016 and the water at WM2A(a) was clean on 24 and 31 August 2016. The water quality at WM2A-C was clean on all days (Photo 1 to 6)</li> <li>3. Weekly joint site inspection at Bridge D was carried out on 25 August 2016. The observation during the site inspection is summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilites (3 nos. of AquaSed) were provided for Bridge D (<b>Figures 1 and 2</b>) According to the daily record of wastewater treatment systems provided by the Contractor of Contract 6, the wastewater discharge from the site was compliance with the Discharge Licences requirements.</li> <li>(b) Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site. (Photo 7)</li> <li>(c) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, the Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable. Moreover, the edges of the slope top were bund up to minimize surface runoff (Photo 8 and 9)</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. In our investigation, the water mitigation measures implemented on site was in order and there were no rain recorded on 23, 24 and 31 August 2016 and therefore muddy runoff from the site was not likely to occur. It is considered the exceedances were due to natural variation and unlikely caused by the works under the project.</li> <li>5. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring is increase to daily. There were no exceedances of SS</li> </ol>		

	and NTU 25 August 2016 and 1 September 2016. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
--	---

**Prepared By :** \_\_\_\_\_ Nicola Hon \_\_\_\_\_

**Designation :** \_\_\_\_\_ Environmental Consultant \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature :** \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_

**Date :** \_\_\_\_\_ 13 September 2016 \_\_\_\_\_

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 23 Aug 2016, the water observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a) was slightly cloudy.



**Photo 2**

On 23 Aug 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 3**

On 24 Aug 2016, the water observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a) was clean.



**Photo 4**

On 24 Aug 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 5**

On 31 Aug 2016, the water observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a) was clean.



**Photo 6**


On 31 Aug 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.

# AUES



**Photo 7**

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site.



**Photo 8**

The exposed slopes have been covered with tarpaulin as far as practicable.




**Photo 9**

The edges of slope top have been bund up to minimize muddy runoff.



Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A, WM2A-Control and work area under Contract 6







**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008			
<b>Date</b>		8 Sep 2016	6 Sep 2016	8 Sep 2016	10 Sep 2016
<b>Location</b>		WM2B			
<b>Time</b>		10:10	11:38	10:10	10:21
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity	Suspended Solids (SS) (mg/L)		
<b>Action Level</b>		11.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	11.8 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Limit Level</b>		12.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.4 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Measured Levels</b>	<b>WM2B-C</b>	4.5	<2	<2	13.0
	<b>WM2B</b>	<b>16.4</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>16.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Action Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from CCKJV, construction activities carried out from 6, 8 and 10 September 2016 at North Portal (upstream of WM2B) were bored piling and slope work. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record and photograph taken from the monitoring team, the water quality at WM2B and WM2B-C were visually clear on 6 and 8 September 2016. (Photo 1 to 4) On 10 September 2016, cloudy water was observed at both WM2B and WM2B-C after rain. (Photo 5 and 6)</li> <li>3. According to the site observations from the monitoring team on 6 and 8 September 2016, the water at WM2B was visually clear though some obvious silt and sediment was found at the river bed. Since the sampling was conducted at shallow water (water depth &lt;0.02m), it is considered that the exceedances were due to the disturbance of silt and sediment during sampling and not likely caused by the Project.</li> <li>4. On 10 September 2016, there was rain before the monitoring and the water quality at upstream WM2B-C was also affected by rain. It is considered the exceedance was not related to works under the Project.</li> <li>5. Since the SS result required 5 working days to process, the need for repeated measurement could only rely on the result of turbidity which is in-situ measurement. Therefore, there were no repeated monitoring carried out on 7 September 2016 as no exceedance of turbidity recorded on 6 September 2016. On the other hand, there was no exceedance recorded in the subsequent monitoring day on 9 and 12 September 2016. Nevertheless, CCKJV should fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</li> </ol>			

**Prepared By :** \_\_\_\_\_ Nicola Hon \_\_\_\_\_

**Designation :** \_\_\_\_\_ Environmental Consultant \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature :** \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_

**Date :** \_\_\_\_\_ 26 September 2016 \_\_\_\_\_

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**  
On 6 September 2016, the water flowing at WM2B was clear but some silt and sediment was observed at the river bed.



**Photo 2**  
On 6 September 2016, the water quality at WM2B-C was clear.



**Photo 3**  
On 8 September 2016, the water flowing at WM2B was clear but some silt and sediment was observed at the river bed.




**Photo 4**  
On 8 September 2016, the water quality at WM2B-C was clear.



**Photo 5**  
On 10 September 2016, the water flowing at WM2B was slight cloudy after rain.



**Photo 6**  
On 10 September 2016, the water quality at WM2B-C was slightly cloudy.



**Figure 1** Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2B and WM2B-Control



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008							
<b>Date</b>		6 Sep	7 Sep	8 Sep	9 Sep	6 Sep	7 Sep	8 Sep	9 Sep
<b>Location</b>		WM2A(a)							
<b>Time</b>		11:15	10:40	11:05	11:45	11:15	10:40	11:05	11:45
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
<b>Action Level</b>		24.9 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			
<b>Limit Level</b>		33.8 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			
<b>Measure d Levels</b>	WM2A-C	27.5	16.6	10.9	6.4	29.5	30.0	7.0	6.0
	WM2A(a)	<b>Over range</b>	<b>106.5</b>	<b>67.2</b>	<b>24.6</b>	<b>883.0</b>	<b>108.0</b>	<b>44.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	NA	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 6 to 9 September 2016 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A) were mainly bored piling and pile cap works. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record from the monitoring team on 6 and 7 September 2016, the water observed at WM2A(a) was turbid and the water at WM2A-C was slightly cloudy and the sampling was carried out under rain. On 8 and 9 September 2016, cloudy water was observed at WM2A(a) and the water quality at WM2A-C was visually clear. There was discharge from the AquaSed into the river course on 8 and 9 September 2016 and the effluent quality was appeared clear. (<i>Photo 1 to 8</i>)</li> <li>3. As reported by CCKJV, the water pipe carrying untreated water to the wastewater treatment facilities SH-08 was burst on 6 September 2016 and it had repaired immediately. However, some untreated water was accidentally flowed through the site and got into the Ping Yuen River. (<i>Photo 9</i>) The layout showing the path of runoff into the river due to the pipe burst was shown in <i>Figure 1</i>. Residual impact from pipe burst during clearance of muddy water was found on 7 September 2016. It is considered that the exceedances on 6 September 2016 were related to the pipe burst incident and exceedance on 7 September 2016 was due to the residual impact of pipe burst incident.</li> <li>4. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was conducted on 8 September 2016 at Bridge D. The observation during the site inspection is summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities (3 nos. of AquaSed) were provided for Bridge D (<i>Figures 2 and 3</i>) According to the daily record of wastewater treatment systems provided by the Contractor of Contract 6, the wastewater discharge from the site</li> </ol> </li> </ol>							

	<p>was compliance with the Discharge Licences requirements.</p> <p>(b) It was observed the burst pipe of wastewater treatment system SH-08 was fixed and the effluent from SH-08 was clear. (<b>Photo 10</b>)</p> <p>(c) No adverse water impact was observed but the condition of water in the existing river course within the site was slightly turbid. (<b>Photo 11</b>)</p> <p>(d) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, the Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable. Moreover, the edges of the slope top were bund up to minimize surface runoff. (<b>Photo 12 and 13</b>)</p> <p>(e) Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site. (<b>Photo 14</b>)</p> <p>5. In our investigation on 8 September 2016, the water mitigation measures implemented on site was in order and no adverse water impact was identified. It is considered the exceedances on 8 and 9 September 2016 were due to natural variation and unlikely caused by the works under the project.</p> <p>6. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring is increase to daily. There were exceedances of SS and NTU on 10 September 2016. The Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	--

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :**



**Date :** 30 September 2016



## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 6 Sep 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 2**

On 6 Sep 2016, slightly cloudy water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 3**

On 7 Sep 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 4**

On 7 Sep 2016, slightly cloudy water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 5**

On 8 Sep 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a). There was discharge from the AquaSed into the river course and the effluent quality was clear.



**Photo 6**

On 8 Sep 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 7**

On 9 Sep 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a). There was discharge from the AquaSed into the river course and the effluent quality was clear.



**Photo 8**

On 9 Sep 2016, visually clear water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 9**

As reported by CCKJV, the water pipe carrying untreated water to the wastewater treatment facilities SH-08 was burst on 6 and 7 September 2016.



**Photo 10**

On 8 September 2016, it was observed the burst pipe of wastewater treatment system SH-08 was fixed and the effluent of SH-08 was clear.



**Photo 11**

On 8 September 2016, no adverse water impact was observed but the condition of water in the existing river course within the site was slightly turbid.



**Photo 12**

The exposed slopes have been covered with tarpaulin as far as practicable.



**Photo 13**

The edges of slope top have been bund up to minimize muddy runoff.



**Photo 14**


Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site.



Figure 1 The layout for the path of runoff into the Ping Yuen River due to the pipe burst



Figure 2 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A, WM2A-Control and work area under Contract 6





**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		8 September 2016	
<b>Location</b>		WM3x	
<b>Time</b>		12:10	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	WM3-C	<b>21.4</b>	17.0
	WM3x	<b>92.2</b>	<b>99.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C6 (CCKJV), the main construction activities at South Portal (upstream of WM3) carried out on 8 September 2016 was mainly bored pile works. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record from the monitoring team during monitoring on 8 September 2016, the water quality at WM3 was turbid and at WM3-C was slightly turbid. (<i>Photo 1 and 2</i>)</li> <li>3. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was conducted on 8 September 2016 at South Portal. The observation during the site inspection as summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities were provided for South Portal and the effluent was visually clear. (<i>Photo 3 and 4</i>)</li> <li>(b) No adverse water impact and muddy discharge was observed. The condition of water in the existing river branch connecting to Ng Tung River which adjacent to the site was visually clear. (<i>Photo 5</i>)</li> <li>(c) Temporary bund was provided for the wheel washing facilities which next to the river course to minimize surface runoff. (<i>Photo 6</i>)</li> <li>(d) Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site. (<i>Photo 7</i>)</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. As advised by CCKJV, muddy water cumulated at Ng Tung River was observed out of the site boundary on 8 September 2016. It was suspected that muddy water was come from upstream after heavy rain on 7 September 2016. (<i>Photo 8</i>)</li> <li>5. In our investigation, the water mitigation measures implemented on site was in order and no adverse water impact was identified. It is considered the exceedances on 8 September 2016 were unlikely caused by the works under the project.</li> </ol>	



	<p>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 9 and 10 September 2016.</p>
<p><b>Action to be taken</b></p>	<p>The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 27 September 2016

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 8 September 2016.



**Photo 2**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3-C on 8 September 2016.



**Photo 3**  
Wastewater treatment facilities was provided for South Portal



**Photo 4**  
The effluent from the wastewater treatment facilities was visually clear.



**Photo 5**

No adverse water impact and muddy discharge was observed. The condition of water in the existing river branch connecting to Ng Tung River which adjacent to the site was visually clear.




**Photo 6**

Temporary bund was provided for the wheel washing facilities which next to the river course to minimize surface runoff.




**Photo 7**

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site.



**Photo 8**

As advised by CCKJV, muddy water cumulated at Ng Tung River was observed out of the site boundary on 8 September 2016. It was suspected that muddy water was come from upstream after heavy rain on 7 September 2016.



**Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 6 and Water Quality Monitoring Location**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		8 September 2016	
<b>Location</b>		WM3x	
<b>Time</b>		12:10	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM3-C</b>	21.4	17.0
	<b>WM3x</b>	<b>92.2</b>	<b>99.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C2 (DHK), the construction activities carried out on 8 September 2016 at upstream of WM3 was superstructure work at Admin Building. The relevant works area under C2 and the water monitoring location WM3C and WM3 are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site photos taken from the monitoring team during monitoring on 8 September 2016, the water quality at WM3 was turbid and at WM3-C was slightly turbid. (<i>Photo 1 and 2</i>)</li> <li>3. During routine weekly site inspection in August and September 2016, superstructure works for Admin Building was carried out at Admin Building and the site area was mostly hard paved and no adverse water impact was observed. (<i>Photo3</i>)</li> <li>4. As advised by Contractor of Contract 6, muddy water cumulated at Ng Tung River was observed out of the site boundary on 8 September 2016. It was suspected that muddy water was come from upstream after heavy rain on 7 September 2016. (<i>Photo 4</i>)</li> <li>5. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was conducted on 9 September 2016 at Ng Tung River near concerned area of Ng Tung River for investigation. It was observed that the water quality at downstream of site area was clear but some obvious silt and sediment was observed at the channel bed. (<i>Photo 5 and 6</i>) In our investigation, it is considered the exceedances were likely caused by residue silt and sediment after heavy rain on 7 September 2016 and no related to the works under Contract 2.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 9 and 10 September 2016.</li> </ol>	

**Prepared By :** \_\_\_\_\_ Nicola Hon \_\_\_\_\_

**Designation :** \_\_\_\_\_ Environmental Consultant \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature :** \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_

**Date :** \_\_\_\_\_ 28 September 2016 \_\_\_\_\_

## Photo Record




**Photo 1**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 8 September 2016.



**Photo 2**  
The water observed at WM3-C on 8 September 2016 was slightly cloudy.



**Photo 3**  
Superstructure works for Admin Building was carried out at Admin Building and the site area was mostly hard paved and no adverse water impact was observed.



**Photo 4**  
As advised by Contractor of Contract 6, muddy water cumulated at Ng Tung River was observed out of the site boundary on 8 September 2016. It was suspected that muddy water was come from upstream after heavy rain on 7 September 2016.



**Photo 5**  
During site inspection on 9 September 2016, it was observed that the water quality at downstream of site area was clear but some obvious silt and sediment was observed at the channel bed.



**Photo 6**  
During site inspection on 9 September 2016, it was observed that the water quality at downstream of site area was clear but some obvious silt and sediment was observed at the channel bed.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 2 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



---

**To** Mr. Jon Kitching **Fax No** 2752 0696  
**Company** Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited  
**cc**  
**From** Nicola Hon **Date** 4 October 2016  
**Our Ref** TCS00769/15/300/F0146 **No of Pages** 7 (Incl. cover sheet)

**RE** **Architectural Services Department (ArchSD) Contract No: SS C505**  
**Construction of Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP**  
**Buildings and Associated Facilities**  
**Investigation Report for Exceedance of Water Quality Monitoring at Location WM1**  
**on 10 September 2016**

---

*If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.*

---

Dear Sir,

Further to the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) ref. of following:-

TCS00769/15/300/F0142 dated 14 September 2016

TCS00769/15/300/F0143 dated 20 September 2016

Please find attached the “Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance” referenced above for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079**.

Yours Faithfully,

For and on Behalf of

**Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting**



Nicola Hon  
Environmental Consultant  
Encl.

c.c.	Mr. David Chan (EPD)	Fax:	2685 1155
	Mr. William WL Cheng (ASD)		By e-mail
	Mr. Justin Cheung (Ronald Lu)		By e-mail
	Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)		By e-mail
	Mr. Simon Leung (ER, AECOM)	Fax:	2674 7732

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Contract</b>		SS C505	
<b>Monitoring Location</b>		WM1	
<b>Date</b>		10 September 2016	
<b>Time</b>		9:27	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		51.3 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	54.5 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		67.6 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	64.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured levels</b>	<b>WM1-C</b>	43.7	79.5
	<b>WM1</b>	<b>361.0</b>	<b>243.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor, the major construction activities carried out on 10 September 2016 were mainly involved construction of pile cap, rebar fixing, formwork, concreting, superstructure, backfilling and excavation and they are illustrated in Figure 1. It is noted that the majority active construction area were not closed to Kong Yiu River. (Figure 2)</li> <li>2. According to the Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations from the Hong Kong Observatory, heavy rainfall (total rainfall 53.2mm) was recorded on 10 September 2016. Water flow rate increased vigorously under the heavy rain and the sediment at the river bed was stirred up, resulting in turbid water.</li> <li>3. According to the field photos (Photo 1 to 4), muddy water was observed throughout the channel including control station (WM1-C) and impact station. It is considered that the muddy water was formed by the runoff from the surrounding environment under heavy rainstorm.</li> <li>4. In view of the construction activities on 10 September 2016 and confirmed by the Contractor, if water discharge is required, it will follow the temporary site drainage plan in which wastewater would be diverted to the perimeter channel and then collected to the wastewater treatment plant for treatment before discharge. (Photo 5 and Figure 3) It is noted that the discharge point connecting public drainage was located at the west of the site and the discharge water would not flow to WM1 and its upstream. (Figure 3)</li> <li>5. Moreover, in view of the topography of the construction site, the formation level of the site is lower than the roads bounding the site (around 2m height difference), it is considered that the wastewater generated on-site is not likely flowing out of the site boundary. (Photo 6) As advised by the Contractor, around 90% of treated water is reused on-site (water spread for dust suppression) whereas the rest of the treatment wastewater would be discharge off site at the approval discharge point.</li> <li>6. In our investigation, it is considered that the exceedances were unlikely due to the works under Contract. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM1 has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in</li> </ol>	

	consecutive days. Additional monitoring was carried out on 12 and 13 September 2016 and no exceedance was triggered. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continue fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 4 October 2016



**Photo 1**  
On 10 September 2016, muddy water was observed at WM1.



**Photo 2**  
During water sampling on 10 September 2016, the water quality at WM1-C was turbid.



**Photo 3**  
The water samples collected at both WM1 and WM1-C on 10 September 2016 were turbid.



**Photo 4**  
On 10 September 2016, muddy water was observed throughout the channel. (far outside site boundary)



**Photo 5**  
If water discharge is required, it will follow the temporary site drainage plan in which wastewater would be diverted to the perimeter channel and then collected to the wastewater treatment plant for treatment before discharge.



**Photo 6**  
Temporary drainage channel has been constructing at the periphery of the site and the formation level of the site is lower than the roads bounding the site.






Figure 1a Location Map of Construction Activities on 10 September 2016



**Figure 2 Location Map of Water Monitoring Location**









## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 10 September 2016, muddy water was observed at WM1.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 10 September 2016, the water quality at WM1-C was turbid.



**Photo 3**

The water samples collected at both WM1 and WM1-C on 10 September 2016 were turbid.



**Photo 4**

On 10 September 2016, muddy water was observed at upstream of the work area of Contract 6. (Work area of C6 is after the bridge)



**Photo 5**

On 10 September 2016, turbid water was observed at upstream of the work area of Contract 6. (Work area of C6 is after the bridge)




Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM1 and WM1-C



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008			
<b>Date</b>		12 Sep 2016	13 Sep 2016	12 Sep 2016	13 Sep 2016
<b>Location</b>		WM2A(a)			
<b>Time</b>		12:47	11:30	12:47	11:30
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
<b>Action Level</b>		24.9 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	
<b>Limit Level</b>		33.8 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	
<b>Measured Levels</b>	WM2A-C	8.9	6.7	6.0	5.0
	WM2A(a)	<b>343.5</b>	<b>59.0</b>	<b>227.0</b>	<b>61.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 12 and 13 September 2016 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A) were mainly bored piling and pile cap works. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record from the monitoring team, the water observed at WM2A(a) was turbid and at WM2A-C was clean on 12 and 13 September 2016. (<i>Photo 1 to 4</i>)</li> <li>3. In order to identify the source of turbid water, the monitoring team subsequently inspected the alignment of the river course of Bridge D. On 12 September 2016, water releasing from the loose Nylon Dam was observed and released water should be come from heavy rain at the day before. When water releasing from the dam, water flow of the river became very vigorous and stirred up the loose sediment at the river bed. (<i>Photo 5</i>)</li> <li>4. As water mitigation measures, wastewater treatment facilities (3 nos. of AquaSed) were provided for Bridge D (<i>Figures 1 and 2</i>) According to the daily record of wastewater treatment systems provided by the Contractor of Contract 6, the wastewater discharge from the site was compliance with the Discharge Licences requirements. (<i>Photo 6 and 7</i>) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, the Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable and the edges of the slope top were bund up. (<i>Photo 8 and 9</i>) Moreover, concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site. (<i>Photo 10</i>)</li> <li>5. In view of the impact after rain and the water mitigation measures implemented on site, it is considered the exceedances on 12 September 2016 were related to sediment stirred up at the river bed when water loose from Nylon Dam whereas exceedances on 13 September 2016 were due to natural variation.</li> <li>6. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water</li> </ol>			

	monitoring is increase to daily. There were exceedances of SS and NTU 14 and 15 September 2016. The Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
--	--

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 30 September 2016

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 12 September 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 2**

On 12 September 2016, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

On 13 September 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 4**

On 13 September 2016, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 5**

On 12 September 2016, water releasing from Nylon Dam was observed after heavy rain. The sediment cumulated at the river bed was stirred up.



**Photo 6**

The treated water in the wastewater treatment facilities was clear on 12 September 2016.



**Photo 7**

The treated water in the wastewater treatment facilities was clear on 13 September 2016.



**Photo 8**

The exposed slopes have been covered with tarpaulin as far as practicable.



**Photo 9**

The edges of slope top have been bund up to minimize muddy runoff.




**Photo 10**

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site.





Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A, WM2A-Control and work area under Contract 6





**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008					
<b>Date</b>		20 Sep 2016	21 Sep 2016	22 Sep 2016	20 Sep 2016	21 Sep 2016	22 Sep 2016
<b>Location</b>		WM2A(a)					
<b>Time</b>		10:40	10:15	11:15	10:40	10:15	11:15
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
<b>Action Level</b>		24.9 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Limit Level</b>		33.8 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Measured Levels</b>	WM2A-C	8.1	5.6	8.4	4.5	3.0	5.0
	WM2A(a)	<b>60.2</b>	<b>192.5</b>	<b>200.5</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>84.0</b>	<b>149.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level	Limit level
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 20 to 22 September 2016 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A) were mainly bridge column construction. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site record from the monitoring team on 20, 21 and 22 September 2016, the water observed at WM2A(a) was turbid whereas the water at WM2A-C was clean. (<i>Photo 1 to 6</i>)</li> <li>3. During inspection with CCKJV on 22 September 2016, in view of the active construction activities carried out on site, apart from the runoff particularly during rain, no wastewater was likely to be generated. To collect the site runoff, a sump pit was constructed at the lowest point of the site to collect the site runoff for primary sedimentation and then diverted to the wastewater treatment facility for chemical treatment. (<i>Photo 7 &amp; 8</i>) There was a natural stream diversion constructed within the site and the condition of the stream diversion was satisfactory. (<i>Photo 9 &amp; 10</i>)</li> <li>4. As water mitigation measures, wastewater treatment facilities (3 nos. of AquaSed) were provided for Bridge D (<i>Figures 1 and 2</i>) According to the daily record of wastewater treatment systems provided by the Contractor of Contract 6, the wastewater discharge from the site was compliance with the Discharge Licences requirements. As good site practice to minimize the muddy runoff, the Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable and the edges of the slope top were bund up. (<i>Photo 11 and 12</i>) Moreover, concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site. (<i>Photo 13</i>)</li> <li>5. In our investigation, the water mitigation measures implemented on site and the function of the wastewater treatment facilities was</li> </ol>					

	<p>in order (<b>Photo 14</b>) and no adverse water impact was observed during site inspection. It is considered the exceedances were unlikely caused by the works under the project.</p> <p>6. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring is increase to daily. There were no exceedances of SS and NTU 23 and 24 September 2016. The Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 12 October 2016

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 20 September 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 2**

On 20 September 2016, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

On 21 September 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 4**

On 21 September 2016, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 5**

On 22 September 2016, turbid water was observed in the existing river course and WM2A(a).



**Photo 6**

On 22 September 2016, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 7**

Sump pit was constructed to collect the runoff of the site before diverted to the wastewater treatment facility.



**Photo 8**

Sump pit was constructed to collect the runoff of the site before diverted to the wastewater treatment facility.



**Photo 9**

The condition of the natural stream diversion within the site was satisfactory.



**Photo 10**

The condition of the natural stream diversion within the site was satisfactory.



**Photo 11**

The exposed slopes have been covered with tarpaulin as far as practicable.



**Photo 12**

The edges of slope top have been banded up to minimize muddy runoff.



**Photo 13**

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff was observed from the site.




**Photo 14**

The function of the wastewater treatment facilities was in order.





Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A, WM2A-Control and work area under Contract 6



**Figure 2 Layout of Bridge D**