



AUES PROJECT No.: TCS/00684/13

**WSD CONTRACT NO. 1/WSD/13 -
IMPROVEMENT OF FRESH WATER SUPPLY TO CHEUNG
CHAU**

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
(EM&A) SUMMARY REPORT**

PREPARED FOR
CHINA ROAD- CHINA PIPELINE JOINT VENTURE

Quality Index

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Approved By
8 December 2017	TCS00684/13/600/R0369v3	 Martin Li Assistant Environmental Consultant	 T.W. Tam Environmental Team Leader

Version	Date	Description
1	3 November 2017	First submission
2	16 November 2017	Amended against IEC's comments
3	8 December 2017	Amended against IEC's comments

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.



Member of the Surbana Jurong Group

local people
global experience

Our ref: 7076243/L22555/AB/VC/MP/rw

11 December 2017

Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited
43/F, AIA Kowloon Tower
100 How Ming Street
Kung Tung, Kowloon

By Email and Fax
(no. 2981 1146)

Attention: Mr. Ernest CHAN

Dear Sir

**Contract No. 1/WSD/13
Independent Environmental Checker Services for
Improvement of Freshwater Supply to Cheung Chau
Verification of Final EM&A Report**

Reference is made to the *Final Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Summary Report Version 3 (Ref No: TCS00AS684/13/600/R0369v3)* dated 8 December 2017 submitted by the Environmental Team via their e-mail on 8 December 2017.

We are pleased to inform you that we have no further comment on the captioned document. We herewith verify that the Final Monthly EM&A Summary Report is prepared in accordance with Section 9.6 of the *Final EM&A Manual for the Improvement of Fresh Water Supply to Cheung Chau – Investigation* (EIAO Register No.: AEIAR-151/2010).

Thank you very much for your kind attention. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned should you have any queries.

Yours faithfully

Vivian CHAN
Independent Environmental Checker

cc:	WSD	Mr. Lo	(By Fax: 2351 6949)
	CRBC	Mr. Raymond Mau	(By Fax: 2283 1689)
	AUES	Mr. Tam	(By Fax: 2959 6079)

SMEC ASIA LIMITED
27/F Ford Glory Plaza
37-39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
T +852 3995 8100 F +852 3995 8101 E hongkong@smec.com
www.smec.com



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- ES.01. Water Supplies Department (hereafter referred as “WSD”) is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of *Improvement of Fresh Water Supply to Cheung Chau* (hereinafter referred as “the Project”), which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-392/2010/B (hereinafter referred as “the EP-392/2010/B” or “the EP”). The Works is predicted to take about 36 months.
- ES.02. In the Project, China Road - China Pipeline Joint Venture (hereinafter “CRCPJV”) is the Main Contractor, SMEC Asia Limited as an Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited is the representative engineer on site to manage the Project and Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) has been appointed as the independent Environmental Team (ET) to implement the relevant EM&A programme.
- ES.03. Construction works for Section 1 of the Works under the Project was commenced on 1 April 2014 and the works was substantially completed in May 2017 in accordance with the certification of the completion for Section 1 of the Works issued by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited dated 29 May 2017. As agreed by the RE, IEC, Contractor and ET, the construction phase EM&A programme was terminated on 23 July 2017 and the 4-week post project marine water quality monitoring was immediately commenced on 24 July 2017 as required in Section 2.7.3 of the EM&A Manual. In this regards, an associated letter ref. TCS005684/13/300/L0343 date 21 July 2017 has been issued to EPD for approval and no adverse comment was received.
- ES.04. This is the Final EM&A Summary Report for the Construction Phase under EP-411-2011 which summarises the key environmental monitoring results throughout the construction phase between 1st April 2014 and 23th July 2017 (hereinafter the “Construction Phase”) and the post-project water quality monitoring from 24th July to 18th August 2017.
- ES.05. The Impact EM&A Programme was undertaken in accordance with the relevant EM&A Manual. A summary of the monitoring activities in the Construction Phase is listed in the following table:

Table ES-1 Environmental Monitoring Activities throughout the Construction Phase

Issues	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Occasions
Construction Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	173 Events
Water Quality	Marine Water Sampling (including 4-week post project marine water quality monitoring)	512 Days
	Total Residual Chlorine of In Situ Measurement	10 Events
Inspection / Audit	ET Regular Environmental Site Inspection	173 Events
	IEC Monthly Environmental Site Audit	40 Events

- ES.06. Throughout the construction period, no construction noise exceedance was recorded. However, a total of 488 Action/Limit Level exceedances were recorded for marine water quality monitoring. The summary of breaches of all environmental performance is shown below.

Table ES-2 Summary NOE issued and investigation of exceedance throughout the Construction Phase

Environmental Issues	Monitoring Parameters	Number of Exceedances		Source of Exceedances
		A/L	L/L	
		Number	Number	
Noise	Leq (30min)	0	0	N/A
Water Quality	Dissolved Oxygen	63	62	Not project related
	Turbidity	9	56	Not project related
	Suspended Solid	53	245	Not project related

- ES.07. Construction noise monitoring results in general consistently fluctuated below the corresponding A/L Levels, implying the implemented EM&A program for construction noise was effective.
- ES.08. Although exceedances for marine water quality monitoring were recorded throughout the construction phase, investigation results shows that the exceedances were not due to the Project.
- ES.09. No works-related exceedances were registered during the construction period of the Project, indicating no adverse environmental impacts of construction noise and water quality were generated from the construction activities under the Project.
- ES.10. No environmental complaint was received during the Construction Phase. Also, there were no documented notifications of summons and successful prosecutions received during the Construction Phase. Thus, no associated mitigation action was needed.
- ES.11. In general, monitoring results indicated that the implemented environmental mitigation measures were effective to alleviate adverse environmental impacts generated from the construction of the Project, confirming that the EIA predictions on the environmental impacts and the associated recommendations on the environmental mitigation measures were precise.
- ES.12. The management of liquid and solid waste generated from the construction under the Project complied with the liquid and solid waste regulations or guidelines as well as the Contractor's Environmental Management Plan and the associated Waste Management Plan approved by the Engineer prior to implementation.
- ES.13. The environmental protection performance of the construction works under the Project was in general satisfactory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	2
	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE	2
	WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE	2
	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	2
3	SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	4
	MONITORING PARAMETERS	4
	MONITORING LOCATIONS	4
	MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD	5
	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	5
	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	7
	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	8
	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	8
	DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	9
	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS	9
	EVENT ACTION PLAN	9
4	MONITORING RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	10
	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	10
	IN SITU TOTAL RESIDUAL CHLORINE MEASUREMENT	12
	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING	13
	DISCUSSION	13
5	WASTE MANAGEMENT	15
6	SITE INSPECTIONS	16
7	NON-COMPLIANCE, ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	17
	NON-COMPLIANCE	17
	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT	17
	NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS	17
8	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	18
	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	18
9	CONCLUSIONS	19

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS
TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF EM&A IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
TABLE 3-2	LOCATION OF MARINE WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION
TABLE 3-3	LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATION
TABLE 3-4	MONITORING EQUIPMENT USED IN EM&A PROGRAM
TABLE 3-5	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
TABLE 3-6	MAXIMUM CHLORINE LEVEL OF DECHLORINATED WATER
TABLE 3-7	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 4-1	RESULTS SUMMARY OF DEPTH AVERAGE (SURFACE & MIDDLE LAYER) OF DO (MG/L)
TABLE 4-2	RESULTS SUMMARY OF BOTTOM DEPTH OF DO (MG/L)
TABLE 4-3	RESULTS SUMMARY OF DEPTH AVERAGE OF TURBIDITY (NTU)
TABLE 4-4	RESULTS SUMMARY OF DEPTH AVERAGE OF SUSPENDED SOLIDS (MG/L)
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES
TABLE 6-1	SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF FINDINGS/DEFICIENCIES OBSERVED IN THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD
TABLE 7-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 7-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 7-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION
TABLE 8-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES IN THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE
TABLE 9-1	SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCES OF A/L LEVELS

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	PROJECT SITE LAYOUT PLAN
APPENDIX B	PROJECT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE AND CONTACT DETAILS OF RELEVANT PARTIES
APPENDIX C	A MASTER CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	MONITORING LOCATIONS DESIGNATED IN THE EM7A MANUAL
APPENDIX E	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX F	GRAPHICAL PLOT OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
APPENDIX G	MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX H	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)

1 INTRODUCTION

Project Background

- 1.01 Water Supplies Department (hereafter referred as “WSD”) is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of *Improvement of Fresh Water Supply to Cheung Chau* (hereinafter referred as “the Project”), which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-392/2010/B (hereinafter referred as “the EP-392/2010/B” or “the EP”).
- 1.02 The scope of the works comprises primarily the laying of a new submarine fresh water main across the Adamasta Channel from Lantau Island to Cheung Chau by horizontal directional drilling method to enhance the reliability of fresh water supply to Cheung Chau. The Works commenced on 28 March 2014 and is predicted to undertaken about 24 months. The layout plan of project is shown in [Appendix A](#).
- 1.03 Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) has been appointed as the independent environmental team (ET) to implement the relevant EM&A programme of Water Supplies Department (WSD) Contract No. *1/WSD/13 – Improvement of Fresh Water Supply to Cheung Chau*. In the Project, **China Road - China Pipeline Joint Venture** (hereinafter “CRCPJV”) is a main-contractor, SMEC Asia Limited as an Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), and Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited is the representative engineer on site to manage the Project.
- 1.04 According to the Particular Specification (PS) Section 25 and the EP-392/2010/B, overall scope of environmental monitoring including construction noise and water quality, and site environmental audit should be undertaken in accordance with the provided Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual by an independent Environmental Team (ET).
- 1.05 The baseline monitoring program was carried out during the period between 4 January and 31 January 2014 at the designated monitoring locations by the ET according to the EM&A Manual. The “Baseline Monitoring Report (R0014 Version 3)” had submitted to EPD before commencement of major construction works and approved by the IEC on 21 February 2014. Further to CRCPJV’s instructions, the EM&A program was commenced on 1 April 2014 and the monitoring schedule had been issued to relevant parties on 28 March 2014.
- 1.06 According to the construction information provided by the Contractor, Section 1 of works of the captioned project has been substantially completed and Certification of Completion for Section 1 of the works was issued on 29 May 2017. As agreed by the RE, IEC, Contractor and ET, the construction phase EM&A programme was terminated on 23 July 2017 and the 4-week post project marine water quality monitoring was immediately commenced on 24 July 2017 as required in Section 2.7.3 of the EM&A Manual. In this regards, an associated letter ref. TCS005684/13/300/L0343 date 21 July 2017 has been issued to EPD for approval and no adverse comment was received.
- 1.07 This is the Final EM&A Summary Report for the Construction Phase, presenting details of the EM&A program and the associated monitoring results, including comparison and contrast between monitoring results and the EIA predictions to review monitoring methodology, practicality and effectiveness of the EIA process and the EM&A program.

2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

Project Organization and Management Structure

2.01 Organization structure and contact details of relevant parties with respect to on-site environmental management are shown in [Appendix B](#).

Works Undertaken During the Construction Phase

2.02 The master construction program is enclosed in [Appendix C](#) and the major construction activities undertaken through the Construction Phase are summarized as below:

General Site Work

- Erection of 4m high noise barrier
- Construction site formation work
- Temporary drainage system excavation and concreting
- Construction of 0.5m high boundary kerb;
- Construction of site office
- Setting up of steel platform at Ha So Pai
- Reinstatement work at Cheung Chau Portion and Ha So Pai at final stage

Land main Work

- Trail Hole for DN450 Landmain at Cheung Chau Portion
- Ground Investigation work at Cheung Chau Portion;
- Saw cut road pavement for landmains at Cheung Chau Portion
- Landmains construction by open trench method at Ha So Pai
- Landmain connection work at Cheung Chau and Ha So Pai
- Excavation and pipe laying for landmain construction at Cheung Kwai Road

HDD Work

- HDD plants and equipment setup and testing
- Overburning casing installation
- Water test and mud circulation system checking for HDD
- Installation of data logging system for HDD
- Conducting data logging system for HDD
- Drilling pilot hole by HDD
- Reaming operation by HDD
- Rock excavation of Exit Pit at Ha So Pai.
- Construction of Exit Pit at Ha So Pai
- Demobilization of HDD equipment
- Bored hole cleaning
- MS product pipe installation
- Welding of MS product pipe at Cheung Chau site
- Swabbing and Pressure Test of DI pipe
- Cleaning and Sterilization of watermain

Summary of Environmental Submissions

2.03 A summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in [Table 2-1](#).

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Notified EPD on 28/10/2013 Ref. no. 366253
2	Chemical waste Producer Registration	Application date: 20/12/2013 Date approved: 30/01/2014 WPN: 8334-920-C3839-01

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Discharge License)	Application date: 03/01/2014 License no. WT00018191-2014 Valid to 28/02/2019
4	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Application no. :RS01134 Date approved: 1/11/2013 Account Number: 7018602

- 2.04 Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00684/13/600/R0014Ver.3) for the Project was issued by the ETL and verified by the IEC on 24 March 2014. The report was also submitted to the EPD for endorsement.
- 2.05 According to Clause 3.9 EP-392/2010/B stipulation, the detailed proposal of the HDD technique and the management of the drilling fluid for construction of the Project were submitted on 9 July 2014.
- 2.06 According to Clause 4.3 EP-392/2010/B stipulation, the detailed proposal of the cleaning and sterilization process of the Project were submitted on 19 October 2016.

3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.01 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental aspects such as the construction noise and marine water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project. The detailed requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

Monitoring Parameters

3.02 According to the Project EM&A Manual, the impact monitoring program covers the following environmental issues:

- Construction noise; and
- Marine Water Quality

3.03 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1* as below.

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Impact Monitoring Requirements

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Marine Water Quality	In-situ Measurements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L); • Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%); • Turbidity (NTU); • pH value; • Salinity (ppt); • Water depth (m); • Temperature (°C); and • Total residual chlorine (TRC) (mg/L)
	Laboratory Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspended Solids (mg/L)
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $L_{eq(30min)}$ during normal working hours; and • $L_{eq(5min)}$ during Restricted Hours.

Monitoring Locations

Water Quality

3.04 Two control stations (W8 and W9) and seven impact stations (W1-W7) were recommended in the *EM&A Manual Section 2.5*. Detailed and co-ordination of water quality monitoring stations is described in *Table 3-2* and the graphical is shown in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-2 Location of Marine Water Quality Monitoring Station

Station	Description	Co-ordination	
		Easting	Northing
W1	Impact Station – Marine waters near the proposed launching site at Cheung Chau	820 704	808 688
W2	Impact Station – Marine waters near the proposed launching site at Cheung Chau	820 656	808 631
W3	Impact Station – Marine waters along the proposed water main alignment	820 445	809 036
W4	Impact Station – Marine waters along the proposed water main alignment	820 072	809 297
W5	Impact Station – Marine waters near the proposed reception site at Lantau	819 875	809 700
W6	Impact Station – Marine waters near the Cheung Sha Wan Fish Culture Zone	819 174	810 917
W7	Impact Station – Marine waters between the works and the Cheung Sha Wan Fish Culture Zone	820 055	810 523
W8	Control station – Marine waters of Adamasta Channel	821 328	810 240

Station	Description	Co-ordinance	
		Easting	Northing
W9	Control station – Marine waters of Adamasta Channel	819 045	807 953

Construction Noise

- 3.05 According to *EM&A Manual Section 6.4*, only one noise sensitive receiver (NSR) is designated for the construction noise monitoring. The detailed construction noise monitoring station to under the Project is described in *Table 3-3* and graphical is shown in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-3 Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Station

Noise Monitoring Station	NSR ID	Location
N1	NSR2	Village house at No. 1A Tai Kwan Wan San Tsuen

Monitoring Frequency and Period

- 3.06 The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in *Sections 2.6* and *2.7* of the EM&A Manual and listed as follows.

Construction Noise Monitoring

Parameters : One set of Leq(30min) as 6 consecutive Leq(5min) between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays
 Frequency : Once every week
 Duration : Throughout the construction period

Water Quality (Marine) Monitoring

Parameters : In-situ measurements including water depth, temperature, DO, pH, turbidity and salinity; and Suspended Solids is analyzed by HOKLAS-accredited laboratory.
 Frequency : Three days a week, at mid ebb and mid flood tides. The interval between 2 sets of monitoring will be more than 36 hours.
 Sampling : (i.) Three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above sea bottom and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m.
 Depth : (ii.) If the water depth is between 3m and 6m, two depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above sea bottom.
 (iii.) If the water depth is less than 3m, 1 sample at mid-depth is taken
 Duration : Throughout the construction period

Monitoring Equipment

Water Quality Monitoring

- i. **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Equipment** – The instrument should be a portable and weatherproof dissolved oxygen (DO) measuring instrument complete with cable and sensor, and use a DC power source. The equipment should be capable of measuring as included a DO level in the range of 0 – 20 mgL⁻¹ and 0 – 200% saturation; and a temperature of 0 – 45 degree Celsius.
- ii. **pH Meter** – The instrument shall consist of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device. It shall be readable to 0.1 pH in arrange of 0 to 14.
- iii. **Turbidity (NTU) Measuring Equipment** – The instrument should be a portable and weatherproof turbidity measuring instrument using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0 - 1000 NTU.
- iv. **Water Sampling Equipment** – A water sampler should comprise a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, which can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both

- ends. The sampler should have a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth.
- v. **Water Depth Detector** – A portable, battery-operated echo sounder should be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. This unit can either be hand held or affixed to the bottom of the work boat.
 - vi. **Salinity Measuring Equipment** – A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0 - 40 parts per thousand (ppt) should be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each monitoring location.
 - vii. **Sample Containers and Storage** – Water samples for SS should be stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen).
 - viii. **Monitoring Position Equipment** - A hand-held or boat-fixed type digital Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) with way point bearing indication and Radio Technical Commission for maritime (RTCM) Type 16 error message ‘screen pop-up’ facilities (for real-time auto-display of error messages and DGPS corrections from the Hong Kong Hydrographic Office), or other equipment instrument of similar accuracy, should be provided and used during marine water monitoring to ensure the monitoring vessel is at the correct location before taking measurements.
 - ix. **Suspended Solids Analysis** – Analysis of suspended solids shall be carried out in a HOKLAS or other international accredited laboratory.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.07 Sound level meter in compliance with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in ms⁻¹.
- 3.08 The monitoring equipment using for the EM&A program were proposed by the ET and verified by the IEC prior to the commencement of the monitoring. Details of the equipment used for impact monitoring are listed in **Table 3-4** below.

Table 3-4 Monitoring Equipment Used in EM&A Program

Equipment	Model
Construction Noise	
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238
Calibrator	B&K Type 4231/ Rion NC - 74
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer
Water quality	
A Digital Global Positioning System	GPS12 Garmin
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar
Water Sampler	A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus Digital Sampling System Water Quality Meter/ YSI ProDSS Digital Sampling System Water Quality Meter/ YSI 6820/650 MDS Sonde Environmental Monitoring System/ Horiba U-54 Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System
pH meter	
Salinometer	Hach 2100Q Portable Turbidimeter / YSI ProDSS Digital Sampling System Water Quality Meter / YSI 6820/650 MDS Sonde Environmental Monitoring System/ Horiba U-54 Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System
Turbidimeter	
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)

Equipment	Model
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box with Ice pad
Suspended Solids	HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd)
Total residual chlorine	HANNA HI96724C Free & Total Chlorine ISM

Monitoring Methodology

Water Quality

3.09 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated locations. The sampling produce with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

Sampling Procedures

3.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder is used for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, marine water sample is collected at three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above sea bottom and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m. Samples at 1m below water surface and 1m above sea bottom are collected when the water depth is between 3m and 6m. Only 1 sample at mid-depth is taken when the water depth is below 3m.

3.11 The marine water sampler is lowered into the water body at the predetermined depth. The trigger system of the sampler should been activated with a messenger. The opening ends of the sampler then is closed accordingly and water sample is collected.

3.12 The sample container is rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then is transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.

3.13 Before commencement of the sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition and tidal condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring are to be recorded on the monitoring field data sheet.

3.14 A 'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box packed with ice is used to preserve the collected water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4⁰C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection

In-situ Measurement

Positioning of Monitoring Locations

3.15 A digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used during marine water monitoring to ensure the monitoring vessel is at the correct location when taking measurement and samples.

Depth, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Temperature, Turbidity, Salinity and pH value

3.16 The *YSI Professional Plus Digital Sampling System Water Quality Meter/ YSI ProDSS Digital Sampling System Water Quality Meter/ YSI 6820/650 MDS Sonde Environmental Monitoring System/ and Horiba U-54 Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System* are used for marine water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of depth, temperature, dissolved oxygen, dissolved oxygen saturation, turbidity, pH and salinity simultaneously. A portable turbidity meter, brand named "Hach 2100q" are also used for in-situ turbidity measurement. Before each round of monitoring, the dissolved oxygen probe is calibrated by the wet bulb method and the turbidity and salinity probes checked with distilled water.

Total Residual Chlorine

- 3.17 Total residual chlorine is measured in-situ using approved test kit *HANNA HI96724C Free & Total Chlorine ISM* at the effluent discharge point. When de-chlorinated water is being discharged, Total Residual Chlorine measurement is conducted continuous hourly.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.18 All water samples are analyzed with Suspended Solids (SS) as specified in the *EM&A Manual* by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS analysis is determined by the laboratory upon receipt of the water samples using HOKLAS accredited analytical methods namely ALS Method EA-025.

Construction Noise

- 3.19 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level (L_{eq}) measured in decibels (dB(A)). Supplementary statistical results (L_{10} and L_{90}) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.20 Sound level meters listed in **Table 3-4** are complied with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the *Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)*.
- 3.21 During the monitoring, all noise measurements were performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30min)}$ in six consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements were used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; and also $L_{eq(15min)}$ in three consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements is used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.22 During the measurement, the sound level meter is mounted on a tripod with a height of 1.2m above ground and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield is fitted for all measurements. The assessment point is normally set as free-field situation for the measurement.
- 3.23 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking was performed before and after the noise measurement.

Equipment Calibration

- 3.24 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.25 The Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System is calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.

Data Management and Data QA/QC Control

- 3.26 The impact monitoring data are handled by the ET's systematic data recording and management, which complies with in-house Quality Management System. Standard Field Data Sheets (FDS) are used in the impact monitoring program.
- 3.27 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment e.g. noise meter and Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System are downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data are input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The laboratory results are input directly into the computerized database and QA/QC checked by personnel other than those who input the data. For monitoring activities require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory follows the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for all laboratory testing.

Determination of Action/Limit (A/L) Levels

3.28 According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-5 and 3-6* as below.

Environmental Quality Performance Limits

3.29 According to the EM&A Manual, water quality and construction noise criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels is listed in *Tables 3-5, 3-6 and 3-7* as below.

Table 3-5 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Impact Station	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				Depth Average of Turbidity (NTU)		Depth Average of SS (mg/L)	
	Depth Average of Surface & Middle		Bottom					
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
W1	5.64	4.54	NA	NA	5.87	8.81	7.00	8.00
W2	5.16	5.02	NA	NA	5.50	5.66	7.00	8.00
W3	6.18	5.66	5.36	5.05	6.94	7.29	8.00	8.00
W4	5.94	4.95	5.71	5.54	7.50	8.03	7.85	11.57
W5	5.14	5.00	5.79	5.79	5.44	7.43	6.00	6.43
W6	5.92	4.26	6.04	4.49	6.82	7.10	8.00	9.59
W7	6.08	5.78	6.08	5.60	5.71	6.26	7.85	10.00

Table 3-6 Maximum Chlorine Level of Dechlorinated Water

Monitoring Location	Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) (mg/L)
	Maximum Allowed Concentration
Discharge Outlet	0.2 mg/L

Table 3-7 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
N1	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A)

If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

Event Action Plan

3.30 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in [Appendix E](#).

4 MONITORING RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.01 Monitoring results obtained during the construction phase of EM&A programme from 1 April 2014 to 22 July 2017, and the 4-week post project marine water quality monitoring from 24 July 2017 to 18 August 2017 are graphically presented in *Appendix F*. The Meteorological information during the construction phase impact monitoring were summarized in the submitted Monthly EM&A reports.

Water Quality Monitoring

4.02 A total of 512 sampling days of water quality monitoring were performed throughout the construction phase including the 4-week post project marine water quality monitoring at the nine designated locations. Monitoring results of three key parameters: dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and suspended solids throughout the construction phase, are summarized in Tables 4-1 and 4-4.

Table 4-1 Results Summary of Depth Average (Surface & Middle Layer) of DO (mg/L)

Tidal	Year		W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9
Mid-Ebb	2014	Average	7.72	7.72	7.68	7.57	7.75	8.00	7.83	7.65	7.75
		Min	5.65	5.47	6.18	5.95	5.67	5.94	6.09	5.67	5.13
		Max	14.67	15.23	13.98	14.14	14.45	11.96	11.94	12.65	14.45
	2015	Average	7.28	7.27	7.24	7.26	7.38	7.47	7.37	7.22	7.28
		Min	5.65	5.60	6.18	5.95	5.15	5.93	6.10	5.45	5.29
		Max	12.92	14.77	11.36	11.19	13.70	11.93	11.69	11.66	11.07
	2016	Average	7.79	7.74	7.65	7.69	7.79	7.86	7.80	7.73	7.67
		Min	5.68	5.76	5.15	5.17	5.22	5.88	5.39	5.18	5.55
		Max	16.24	15.37	14.32	14.95	15.62	16.91	14.24	13.51	15.61
	2017	Average	7.26	7.27	7.19	7.19	7.31	7.27	7.23	7.19	7.20
		Min	5.76	5.78	6.19	6.03	5.89	6.12	6.12	5.81	5.53
		Max	14.68	14.78	11.61	11.59	15.14	11.07	12.02	11.54	12.29
Mid-Flood	2014	Average	7.69	7.67	7.54	7.49	7.61	7.80	7.66	7.31	7.70
		Min	5.67	5.23	6.18	5.96	5.73	5.99	6.09	5.45	5.43
		Max	12.27	11.12	11.30	11.29	11.24	12.68	11.39	11.70	12.08
	2015	Average	7.33	7.34	7.34	7.31	7.40	7.53	7.39	7.24	7.30
		Min	5.66	5.48	6.18	5.94	5.92	5.93	6.08	4.89	4.96
		Max	12.78	12.51	13.29	12.96	12.58	14.03	14.17	13.25	11.94
	2016	Average	7.80	7.77	7.58	7.62	7.76	7.83	7.68	7.71	7.59
		Min	5.66	5.27	5.40	5.22	5.44	5.66	5.21	5.39	4.64
		Max	16.12	13.04	13.34	14.65	13.39	16.41	12.97	12.97	12.96
	2017	Average	7.31	7.29	7.20	7.20	7.25	7.26	7.24	7.18	7.10
		Min	5.88	5.88	6.19	5.96	5.94	6.01	6.10	6.04	4.64
		Max	15.08	14.89	11.48	11.84	14.42	11.15	11.56	11.69	11.58

Table 4-2 Results Summary of Bottom Depth of DO (mg/L)

Tidal	Year		W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9
Mid-Ebb	2014	Average	NA	NA	6.65	6.55	7.26	6.86	6.82	6.41	6.57
		Min	NA	NA	3.57	4.16	5.02	4.18	4.98	3.81	3.40
		Max	NA	NA	10.95	9.62	12.89	9.87	9.92	11.11	10.76
	2015	Average	NA	NA	6.50	6.52	6.99	6.74	6.65	6.52	6.49
		Min	NA	NA	4.65	3.25	4.79	3.32	2.60	3.97	3.20
		Max	NA	NA	9.11	9.18	10.71	10.39	9.13	8.86	9.33
	2016	Average	NA	NA	6.89	6.90	7.33	7.00	7.02	6.90	6.86
		Min	NA	NA	4.13	4.22	4.24	3.77	4.66	4.19	3.58
		Max	NA	NA	11.12	10.65	12.73	11.86	11.61	10.93	11.03
	2017	Average	NA	NA	6.68	6.68	6.95	6.81	6.78	6.72	6.58
		Min	NA	NA	5.72	5.79	5.79	6.05	6.08	5.36	4.99
		Max	NA	NA	8.46	8.26	10.07	8.66	8.62	8.54	8.38
Mid-	2014	Average	NA	NA	6.53	6.55	7.17	6.91	7.01	6.21	6.55
		Min	NA	NA	4.34	3.14	5.79	5.19	4.50	3.02	2.71

Tidal	Year	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	
Flood	2015	Max	NA	NA	10.63	9.91	10.54	11.33	34.04	9.70	10.50
		Average	NA	NA	6.55	6.76	7.06	6.89	6.70	6.47	6.50
		Min	NA	NA	2.57	4.24	5.16	3.54	2.64	2.85	3.42
	2016	Max	NA	NA	9.20	33.24	11.72	10.58	9.35	9.18	9.27
		Average	NA	NA	6.92	6.97	7.24	7.06	6.99	6.92	6.80
		Min	NA	NA	4.82	3.71	5.11	4.51	3.80	3.57	3.37
	2017	Max	NA	NA	11.16	11.43	11.75	14.83	11.47	11.64	11.53
		Average	NA	NA	6.69	6.74	6.92	6.75	6.72	6.65	6.57
		Min	NA	NA	5.38	5.81	5.82	6.04	6.08	5.46	3.62
		Max	NA	NA	8.33	9.37	8.72	8.45	8.24	8.41	8.42

Table 4-3 Results Summary of Depth Average of Turbidity (NTU)

Tidal	Year	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	
Mid-Ebb	2014	Average	2.20	2.43	2.81	2.92	2.35	2.39	2.46	2.94	3.24
		Min	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.28	0.07	0.05	0.13	0.30	0.22
		Max	5.85	5.40	7.02	8.55	5.25	6.43	5.69	8.62	13.20
	2015	Average	2.65	2.72	3.32	3.44	2.55	2.40	2.73	3.04	3.70
		Min	0.16	0.10	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.30	0.33
		Max	26.85	27.35	46.08	49.13	23.85	10.87	19.60	21.10	45.03
	2016	Average	2.40	2.58	2.75	2.89	2.34	2.20	2.44	2.38	3.10
		Min	0.15	0.15	0.44	0.48	0.33	0.19	0.24	0.35	0.32
		Max	11.87	13.58	11.06	9.03	6.86	5.69	5.68	5.91	41.77
	2017	Average	2.60	2.49	2.90	3.21	2.70	2.73	2.89	2.98	3.28
		Min	0.16	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.33	0.11	0.16	0.36	0.13
		Max	5.82	5.08	5.92	6.69	5.21	6.68	5.66	7.31	10.38
Mid-Flood	2014	Average	2.37	2.61	2.95	3.03	2.51	2.32	2.57	2.97	3.40
		Min	0.05	0.08	0.20	0.18	0.10	0.17	0.22	0.15	0.75
		Max	5.70	5.45	7.13	7.52	5.40	5.78	5.57	9.72	11.45
	2015	Average	2.85	2.94	3.47	3.41	2.69	2.55	3.02	3.27	3.72
		Min	0.15	0.10	0.38	0.18	0.18	0.21	0.15	0.17	0.31
		Max	36.05	34.75	46.35	37.75	52.48	48.65	64.37	61.28	32.00
	2016	Average	2.48	2.50	2.90	2.80	2.40	2.30	2.49	2.55	3.01
		Min	0.14	0.15	0.40	0.34	0.24	0.17	0.21	0.27	0.35
		Max	13.44	11.62	13.01	13.32	9.90	5.11	5.67	5.85	16.36
	2017	Average	2.58	2.55	3.10	3.08	2.69	2.58	2.73	3.08	3.21
		Min	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.34	0.23	0.46	0.39	0.03
		Max	5.29	5.32	6.80	6.92	5.39	6.56	5.62	8.43	10.26

Table 4-4 Results Summary of Depth Average of Suspended Solids (mg/L)

Tidal	Year	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	
Mid-Ebb	2014	Average	4.31	4.19	4.58	4.75	4.13	4.47	4.37	4.79	5.30
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50
		Max	9.50	8.00	16.33	19.17	10.25	9.50	8.83	10.50	25.00
	2015	Average	4.55	4.76	4.76	4.85	4.34	4.55	4.51	4.78	5.29
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
		Max	40.00	43.00	35.33	42.83	40.00	60.00	49.50	60.17	44.17
	2016	Average	4.87	4.96	5.24	5.28	4.70	4.80	4.86	5.00	5.46
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.25	1.00	1.17	1.20	1.20
		Max	21.50	21.00	18.83	16.17	16.25	14.83	13.83	13.33	19.50
	2017	Average	4.55	4.63	4.97	5.12	4.63	5.11	5.13	5.07	5.41
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.50	1.33	1.50	1.67	1.25	1.50
		Max	11.50	13.00	13.00	14.33	13.50	14.50	12.33	11.67	12.67
Mid-Flood	2014	Average	4.30	4.65	4.77	4.76	4.39	4.79	4.73	4.94	5.25
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.75	1.17	1.67	1.00
		Max	9.50	13.00	16.00	14.83	13.50	9.17	15.50	16.83	16.83

Tidal	Year	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	
	2015	Average	4.60	4.75	4.95	4.94	4.00	4.13	4.30	4.54	5.42
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
		Max	42.00	35.00	48.67	51.00	16.00	11.50	28.17	29.83	46.33
	2016	Average	4.66	4.90	5.22	5.32	4.73	4.82	4.78	4.97	5.42
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.50	1.40	1.00	1.20	1.00	1.25	1.67
		Max	18.50	18.00	14.50	17.50	14.00	16.17	13.17	14.17	16.00
	2017	Average	4.51	4.75	5.12	5.15	4.78	4.98	5.10	5.34	5.50
		Min	1.00	1.00	1.50	1.20	1.00	1.33	1.33	1.50	1.33
		Max	14.50	14.00	15.67	15.00	17.00	13.17	14.00	14.67	13.67

Remark: If the monitoring result is less than 1, the average was calculated by assuming the monitored value to be 1.

4.03 A summary of exceedances for the three parameters: dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and suspended solids is shown in *Table 4-5*.

Table 4-5 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance

Station	DO (Ave of Top & mid-depth)		DO Bottom Depth		Turbidity (Depth Ave.)		SS (Depth Ave)		Total Exceedance	
	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit
W1	0	0	0	0	3	7	4	42	7	49
W2	0	0	0	0	0	10	6	39	6	49
W3	4	2	3	15	2	10	1	44	10	71
W4	4	0	9	16	2	9	13	30	28	55
W5	0	0	0	9	2	8	4	44	6	61
W6	4	0	20	6	0	4	11	21	35	31
W7	3	2	16	12	0	8	14	25	33	47
No of Exceedance	15	4	48	58	9	56	53	245	125	363

4.04 A total of 488 Action/Limit Level exceedances were recorded for marine water quality monitoring throughout the construction phase. Investigations were carried out upon exceedances triggered and the investigation results shows that the exceedances were not project-related.

In Situ Total Residual Chlorine Measurement

4.05 Cleaning and sterilization of watermain was carried out in January 2017, and the in-situ total residual chlorine measurement of the de-chlorinated water discharge was conducted to ensure all the sterilization agent was properly treated. Monitoring results of total residual chlorine measurement are summarized in *Tables 4-6*.

Table 4-6 Summary of in situ total residual chlorine measurement

Date	Time of Measurement	Measurement Result (mg/L)
18/1/2017	17:04	0.04
18/1/2017	18:01	0.03
18/1/2017	19:06	0.05
19/1/2017	07:05	0.08
19/1/2017	08:01	0.05
19/1/2017	09:07	0.10
19/1/2017	10:00	0.08
19/1/2017	11:02	0.01
19/1/2017	11:59	0.03
19/1/2017	13:03	0.08

4.06 All the measurement results are below the allowed maximum chlorine level 0.2 mg/L for discharge of dechlorinated water discharge stated in EM&A Manual.

Construction Noise Monitoring

4.07 A total of 173 events of construction noise measurements were conducted during the construction phase. A summary of the monitoring results during the Construction Phase are summarized in **Table 4-7** and breaches of noise A/L Levels during the Construction Phase are summarized in **Table 4-8**.

Table 4-7 Construction Noise Monitoring Results at N1, dB(A)

Time Period	NM1		
	Min	Max	Total Events
2014	54	72	40
2015	50	74	52
2016	54	62	52
2017	55	61	29
Full Period	50	74	173
Recorded Date	18-Feb-15	8-Jun-15	N/A

Table 4-8 Summary of the Construction Noise Action/Limit Level exceedances

Location	Action Level Exceedance	Limit Level Exceedance	Total Exceedance
N1	0 (Noise complaint)	0	0

4.08 During the Construction Phase, no environmental complaint against construction noise was registered, indicating no Action Level exceedance was documented. In addition, all construction noise measurements were below 75dB(A) for NM1. Neither NOE nor the associated remedial actions were required for construction noise during the Construction Period.

Discussion

4.09 The EIA has predicted that with implementation of the recommended environmental mitigation measures, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated or mitigated to acceptable levels, i.e. levels of the measured parameters will not exceed the environmental quality performance criteria (i.e. A/L Levels) as stipulated and summarized in the EM&A Manual. As shown in Table 4-7, there were no construction noise A/L Levels exceedance recorded at the designated monitoring location throughout the construction phase, i.e. N1. Although there are water quality monitoring exceedances recorded throughout the construction period, the exceedances were not considered project-related according to the investigation result. Therefore, in comparison with the EIA prediction and the compliance of the Project with the environmental quality performance criteria (i.e. A/L Levels) demonstrated that EIA prediction was in general precise: most of the monitoring results fluctuated below the corresponding A/L Levels and there is no project-related A/L Levels exceedance recorded.

4.10 According to the EIA report Section 8.6.16 and Table 8.5, the predicted construction noise level with provided mitigation measures during the construction phase at NSR2 Village house at No. 1A Tai Kwai Wan San Tsuen (or named in N1) is 62dB(A) – 71 dB(A). In comparison with the EIA prediction of construction noise level and the measured impact noise levels throughout the construction phase are mostly felt below the predicted noise level. Furthermore, with the absence of exceedance on construction noise it implies that the implemented environmental mitigation measures were effective to alleviate adverse environmental impacts generated from the construction of the Project.

4.11 For the water quality, the EIA predicted that with the use of HDD technique in laying the submarine water main and implementation of the good site management practices, the potential water quality impacts to sensitive receivers within the marine water of the Southern WCZ will be significantly reduce. Since there is no marine work involved, there is no adverse environmental caused during the submarine water main laying work. In addition, no project-related exceedance was caused during the construction phase owing to the implementation of recommended mitigation measures to avoid any release of silt-laden site runoff, inadvertent release of drilling fluids, runoff from workshops & depot, sewage effluent from the construction workforce and discharge of chlorinated water during sterilization of the water main to the marine waters. Therefore, the EIA prediction and the environmental mitigation measures recommended in the EIA are proven to be precise and cost-effective.

- 4.12 The monitoring results carried necessary statistical power to categorically identify or confirm the absence of adverse environmental impacts attributable to the works throughout the whole construction phase of the Project. The implemented EM&A program and the associated methodology for construction noise and water quality is therefore considered effective.
- 4.13 Compared with the baseline monitoring data, the post-project water quality monitoring data and the trend of graphical plot of the construction noise data shows that the ambient environmental conditions were returned.
- 4.14 In conclusion, the overall effectiveness of EM&A programme and the efficiency of recommended mitigation measures from the EIA are cost-effective. With the implementation of the mitigation measures by the Contractor, the monitored parameters fluctuated below the corresponding A/L Levels and no exceedance project-related of construction noise and water quality was recorded during the construction phase. Hence, the EIA prediction are proven to be cost-effective and no shortcoming in the EIA was found.

5 WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.01 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time.

Records of Waste Quantities

5.02 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) material;
- Chemical waste;
- General refuse; and
- Excavated soil.

5.03 Where possible, construction materials should be reused on-site as far as practicable to reduce the construction waste, which should then be sorted or classified on site for proper recycling and disposal as recommended in the Environmental Management Plan and the associated Waste Management Plan.

5.04 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Construction Phase are summarized in [Tables 5-1](#) and [5-2](#) and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in [Appendix G](#). Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity					Disposal Location
	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total	
C&D Materials (Inert) ('000 m ³)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Reused in this Contract (Inert) ('000m ³)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Reused in other Projects (Inert) ('000m ³)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) ('000m ³)	4.146	8.060	59.310	27.175	98.691	Outlaying Island Transfer facility (Cheung Chau Station)

Table 5-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

Type of Waste	Quantity					Disposal Location
	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total	
Metals ('000kg)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Plastics ('000kg)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Chemical Wastes ('000kg)	0	0	0	0	0	-
General Refuses ('000m ³)	1.914	0.670	0.450	27.370	30.404	Outlaying Island Transfer facility (Cheung Chau Station)

6 SITE INSPECTIONS

- 6.01 According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, regular environmental site inspections was carried out by ET joined with the Contractor and ER to confirm the environmental performance.
- 6.02 During the Construction Phase, 173 events of environmental site inspections were undertaken by the ET to evaluate the site environmental performance. No adverse environmental impacts were registered, indicating that mitigation measures implemented were effective and sufficient for the construction activities undertaken. Minor deficiencies found during site inspections and audit were rectified by specified deadlines. The site inspection checklists can be found in their relevant EM&A monthly reports. A statistical summary of the frequency of reminders and deficiencies observed is shown in *Table 6-1*.

Table 6-1 Summary of the number of findings/deficiencies observed in the Construction Phase

Number of Findings in the Month	2014											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	-	-	-	2	1	4	5	3	3	2	4	3
Number of Findings in the Month	2015											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	3	3	4	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	5
Number of Findings in the Month	2016											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	4	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	2
Number of Findings in the Month	2017											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	3	3	2	2	2	1	0	-	-	-	-	-

Remark: Repeated items are not added up in the table.

- 6.03 According to Table 6-1, the average findings/deficiencies observed in the Construction Phase was 2.475 per month and 0.572 per week. All deficiencies were generally rectified within the specified deadlines.

7 NON-COMPLIANCE, ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

NON-COMPLIANCE

7.01 No non-compliance was identified during regular site inspection and environmental audit. No associated remedial actions were recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

7.02 During the Construction Phase, no environmental complaint was received during the Construction Phase. Summary of environmental complaint is presented in *Table 7-1* below.

Table 7-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Time Period	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
2014	0	0	N/A
2015	0	0	N/A
2016	0	0	N/A
2017	0	0	N/A

NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

7.03 No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded during the Construction Phase. No associated remedial actions were recommended. Summary of environmental summons and prosecutions are presented in *Table 7-2 & 7-3* below.

Table 7-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Time Period	Environmental Summons Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
2014	0	0	N/A
2015	0	0	N/A
2016	0	0	N/A
2017	0	0	N/A

Table 7-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Time Period	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
2014	0	0	N/A
2015	0	0	N/A
2016	0	0	N/A
2017	0	0	N/A

8 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

General Requirements

- 8.01 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are showed [Appendix H](#).
- 8.02 CRCPJV had been implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by CRCPJV throughout the construction phase are summarized in [Table 8-1](#).

Table 8-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wastewater were appropriately treated by treatment facilities; • Drainage channels were provided to convey run-off into the treatment facilities; and • Drainage systems were regularly and adequately maintained.
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface, particularly during dry weather; • Frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to air sensitive receivers; • Cover all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet; • Public roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from dust; and • Tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site.
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good site practices to limit noise emissions at the sources; • Use of quite plant and working methods; • Use of site hoarding or other mass materials as noise barrier to screen noise at ground level of NSRs; • Use of shrouds/temporary noise barriers to screen noise from relatively static PMEs; • Scheduling of construction works outside school examination period in critical area; and • Alternative use of plant items within one worksite, where practicable.
Waste and Chemical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excavated material should be reused on site as far as possible to minimize off-site disposal. Scrap metals or abandoned equipment should be recycled if possible; • Waste arising should be kept to a minimum and be handled, transported and disposed of in a suitable manner; • The Contractor should adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials to any designed public filling facility and/or landfill; and • Chemical waste shall be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site was generally kept tidy and clean.

9 CONCLUSIONS

- 9.01 Construction works for Section 1 of the Works under the Project was commenced on 1 April 2014 and the works was substantially completed in May 2017 in accordance with the certification of the completion for Section 1 of the Works issued by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited dated 29 May 2017. As agreed by the RE, IEC, Contractor and ET, the construction phase EM&A programme was terminated on 23 July 2017 and the 4-week post project marine water quality monitoring was immediately commenced on 24 July 2017 as required in Section 2.7.3 of the EM&A Manual. In this regards, an associated letter ref. TCS005684/13/300/L0343 date 21 July 2017 has been issued to EPD for approval and no adverse comment was received.
- 9.02 The overall effectiveness of EM&A programme and the efficiency of recommended mitigation measures from the EIA are cost-effective and precise. With the implementation of the mitigation measures by the Contractor, the monitored construction noise fluctuated below the corresponding A/L Levels and no exceedance of construction noise was recorded during the construction phase. Furthermore, no project-related exceedance of water quality was recorded throughout the construction phase. No non-compliance with regard to construction noise and water quality were recorded during the regularly site inspection and audit throughout the construction phase. Hence, the EIA prediction are proven to be cost-effective and no shortcoming in the EIA was found.
- 9.03 *Table 9-1* summarizes the exceedances of the A/L Levels recorded during the Construction Phase of the Project.

Table 9-1 Summary of Exceedances of A/L Levels

Environmental Issues	Monitoring Parameters	Number of Exceedances		Source of Exceedances
		A/L	L/L	
		Number	Number	
Noise	Leq (30min)	0	0	N/A
Water Quality	Dissolved Oxygen	63	62	Not project related
	Turbidity	9	56	Not project related
	Suspended Solid	53	245	Not project related

- 9.04 As shown in *Table 9-1*, no project related exceedances were registered and no non-compliance was recorded from weekly site inspection during the Construction Phase of the Project, indicating no adverse environmental impacts of air quality, construction noise, and water quality were generated from the construction activities under the Project. This also implies that the implemented environmental mitigation measures were effective to alleviate the adverse environmental impacts generated from the construction works under the Project.
- 9.05 Compared with the baseline monitoring data, the post-project water quality monitoring data and the trend of graphical plot of the construction noise data shows that the ambient environmental conditions were returned.
- 9.06 During the Construction Phase, there were no notifications of summons and successful prosecutions and no public concern/environmental complaint received by the EPD.
- 9.07 During the Construction Phase, 173 events of environmental site inspections were undertaken by the ET to evaluate the site environmental performance and there were no incidence of non-compliance recorded. Observations of minor deficiencies were found during regular site inspections or monthly site audits. The average deficiencies per month is 2.475 and the average deficiencies found per week is 0.572. All deficiencies were generally rectified within the specified deadlines.

END OF TEXT

Appendix A

Project Site Layout Plan

MAP NOS.
 1-HAWKES, 1-HAWKES, 1-HAWKES,
 1-HAWKES, 1-HAWKES, 1-HAWKES

- NOTES:
1. THIS DRAWING SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ANY REFERENCE NOS. 382902/B/TEN/00001 TO 00005.
 2. REFER TO DRAWING REFERENCE NOS. 382902/B/TEN/00001 FOR GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND ABBREVIATIONS.

A	04/13	ISSUE FOR TENDER	HL	TL	KIL	W/S
編號	日期	描述	設計	校核	校核	校核
No.	Date	Description of revision	Drawn	Checked	Checked	Checked
			HL	TL	KIL	W/S
			SZ			
			TL			
			KIL			



1/W/S/13
 CE S2/2009 (WS)
 IMPROVEMENT OF FRESH WATER SUPPLY TO CHEUNG CHAU



PORTION OF WORKS—CHEUNG CHAU, ADAMASTA CHANNEL, LANTAU ISLAND (KEY PLAN)

382902/B/TEN/00001K
 A1 1:2500 AS 1:5000



BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED
 博威工程顧問有限公司






Key to symbols:

Given co-ordinates refer to Marine Department Notice No.169 of 2014

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd
Client					
					
Main Contractor					
					
Project					
CONTRACT NO. 1/WSD/13 IMPROVEMENT OF FRESH WATER SUPPLY TO CHEUNG CHAU					
Title					
Layout Plan of Works Area at Tai Kwai Wan					
Drawing Number 1/WSD/13/SK051-3					
Scale at A3			Status		





Key to symbols:

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Ch'k'd	App'd

Client

香港特別行政區政府水務署
WATER SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT OF THE
HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

Main Contractor

中國路橋
CRBC

中国石油天然气管道局
CHINA PETROLEUM PIPELINE BUREAU
China Road-China Pipeline Joint Venture

Project
CONTRACT NO. 1/WSD/13
IMPROVEMENT OF FRESH WATER
SUPPLY TO CHEUNG CHAU


Title
UPDATED DRAINAGE PLAN AND
SITE LAYOUT AT HA SO PAI

Drawing Number
1/WSD/13/SK044

Scale at A3 **1:150** Date: **24/08/2015**

Appendix B

**Project Organization Structure
and
Contact Details of Relevant Parties**



Environmental Management Organization

Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
B&V	Engineer's Representative	Ernest Chan	2981 1149	3485 4114
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Vivian Chan	3995 8120	3995 8101
CRCP	Project Director	Wang Yan Hua	2981 1686	2981 1689
CRCP	Site Agent	Gordon Ng	2981 1686	2981 1689
CRCP	Environmental Officer	Nash Wong	2981 1686	2981 1689
CRCP	Environmental Supervisor	Wen Xu	2981 1686	2981 1689
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Assistant Environmental Consultant	Martin Li	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

WSD (Employer) – Water Supplies Department

B&V (Engineer) – Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

CRCP (Main Contractor) – China Road – China Pipeline JV

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Appendix C

Master Construction Programme

Activity ID	Description	Calendar	Early Start	Late Start	Original Duration	Early Finish	Late Finish	Total Float	TRA	2014							2015							2016							2017																
										J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F
Contract Dates																																															
KDC000200	Section 1 of the Works Completion Date	Cal_1			0	23OCT15 A	23OCT15 A	0d																																							
KDC000300	Section 2 of the Works Completion Date	Cal_1			0	23OCT16 A	23OCT16 A	0d																																							
Planned Completion Dates																																															
KDP000100	Planned Section 1 of the Works Completion Date	Cal_1			0	31JAN17 *	23OCT16	-100d																																							
KDP000200	Planned Section 2 of the Works Completion Date	Cal_1			0	28FEB17 *	23OCT16	-128d																																							
KDP000300	Proposed CE Time Implication (EOT) 250 Days	Cal_1			0	30DEC16	29JUN16 *	-184d																																							
Access Dates																																															
KDA000100	Portion A Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
KDA000200	Portion B Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
KDA000300	Portion C Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
KDA000400	Portion D Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
KDA000500	Portion E Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
KDA000600	Portion F Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
KDA000700	Portion G Access Date	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	0																																										
Change of Works Information																																															
CW00100	Recd realignment of submarine pipeline profile	Cal_1	10APR14 A	10APR14 A	0																																										
CW00200	Adv info- realignment of landmain (Portion F&G)	Cal_1	20MAY14 A	20MAY14 A	0																																										
General Provisions																																															
GEP000300	Temp accomm for PM, Supervisor & Contractor	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	1095	28FEB17	23OCT16	-128d																																							
GEP000400	Computer facilities for PM & Supervisor	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	1095	28FEB17	23OCT16	-128d																																							
GEP000500	Marine transport for PM & Supervisor	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	1095	28FEB17	23OCT16	-128d																																							
GEP000600	Site staff/hot/temp facilities/site clean	Cal_1	24OCT13 A	24OCT13 A	1095	28FEB17	23OCT16	-128d																																							
GEP000700	Provision of uniform	Cal_1	28FEB14 A	28FEB14 A	1095	28FEB17	23OCT16	-128d																																							
General Submissions																																															
GES000100	Initial survey and report	Cal_1	20FEB14 A	20FEB14 A	0	29JAN14 A	29JAN14 A	0d																																							
GES000200	Establish TMLG	Cal_1	20FEB14 A	20FEB14 A	0																																										

Start date 23OCT13

Finish date 28FEB17

Data date 31DEC16

Run date 05JAN17

Page number 1A

c Primavera Systems, Inc.

CRBC-CPP JV

Progress Update

<Based on Rev P; file A086>

Contract No. 1/WSD/13


Improvement of Fresh Water Supply to Cheung Chau CRBC-CPP JV

CRBC-CPP JV

Legend:

- Early bar
- Target bar
- Progress bar
- Start milestone point
- Finish milestone point

File: A088



Activity ID	Description	Calendar	Early Start	Late Start	Original Duration	Early Finish	Late Finish	Total Float	T.R.A
MJS000720	MS of cleaning and test on watermain - submit	Cal_1	22APR15 A	22APR15 A	0			0d	
MJS000730	MS of cleaning and test on watermain - approval	Cal_1			0	30DEC16	06SEP16	-116d	0d
MJS000735	Submit one month advance to EPD of cleaning W/M	Cal_1			0	10SEP16 A	10SEP16 A		
MJS000740	MS of free planting - submit	Cal_1	15SEP14 A	15SEP14 A	0			0d	
MJS000750	MS of free planting - approval	Cal_1			0	16MAR15 A	16MAR15 A	0d	
MJS000760	HDD MS of ground treatment - submit	Cal_1	03NOV14 A	03NOV14 A	0			0d	
MJS000770	Apply consent from MD for big beaeson and coil	Cal_1			0	17MAY14 A	17MAY14 A	0d	
MJS000780	Marine Dept consent granted (big beaeson)	Cal_1			0	28JUL14 A	28JUL14 A	15d	
MJS000800	Review HDD MTS (change of alignment)	Cal_2	14APR14 A	14APR14 A	20	30APR14 A	30APR14 A	0d	
MJS000900	Revise HDD MTS (change of alignment)	Cal_2	30APR14 A	30APR14 A	28	09AUG14 A	08AUG14 A	0d	
MJS000910	Revised HDD MTS - submit	Cal_2	18AUG14 A	18AUG14 A	0			0d	
MJS000920	Revised HDD MTS (MS Pipe) - approval	Cal_1			0	15NOV14 A	15NOV14 A	7d	
MJS000950	Submit MS product pipe manufacturer	Cal_1	28MAR15 A	28MAR15 A	0			0d	
MJS000960	Approve MS pipe manufacture	Cal_1			0	02MAR15 A	02MAR15 A	0d	
MJS000964	Submit of the certificate of all components BHA	Cal_1			0	21DEC15 A	21DEC15 A		
MJS000968	Submit of I/B report of MS pipe	Cal_1	10JUN16 A	10JUN16 A	0				
MJS000969	Submit of I/B report of DI fitting & valve	Cal_1	25MAY16 A	25MAY16 A	60	12AUG16 A	12AUG16 A		
MJS000970	MS of welding and coating of I.M.S. pipe - submit	Cal_1	28AUG15 A	28AUG15 A	0				
MJS000980	MS of welding and coating of I.M.S. pipe - approval	Cal_1			0	30DEC16	25AUG16	-128d	
MJS000982	Submit of QA scheme	Cal_1	22FEB16 A	22FEB16 A	0				
MJS000984	Approve of QA scheme	Cal_1			0	30DEC16	25AUG16	-128d	
MJS000986	Submit of welding procedure	Cal_1	25AUG15 A	25AUG15 A	0				
MJS000988	Approve of welding procedure	Cal_1			0	11DEC15 A	11DEC15 A		
MJS000990	Submit temp work design for fixing winch at HSP	Cal_1	26AUG15 A	26AUG15 A	30	15SEP16 A	15SEP16 A		
MJS000992	Approve temp work design for fixing winch at HSP	Cal_1			0	30SEP16 A	30SEP16 A		
MJS000993	MS & TWD of chamber A and D	Cal_1	01JUN16 A	01JUN16 A	30	05SEP16 A	06SEP16 A		
MJS000994	MS of concreting method at HSP - submit	Cal_1	27APR16 A	27APR16 A	0				
MJS000996	MS of concreting method at HSP - approval	Cal_1			0	30DEC16	02SEP16	-120d	
MJS000997	End block design and construction	Cal_1	01DEC16 A	01DEC16 A	14	03JAN17	07SEP16	-119d	
MJS000998	Design submission for cathodic protection	Cal_1	27APR16 A	27APR16 A	30	21NOV16 A	21NOV16 A		
MJS000999	Material submission for cathodic protection	Cal_1	19MAY16 A	19MAY16 A	0				

Environmental Team Leader Services - approval
 Traffic engg consultancy - approval
 Indep checking engineer services - approval

Major Subletting	
MSL000100	Environmental Team Leader Services - approval
MSL000200	Traffic engg consultancy - approval
MSL000300	Indep checking engineer services - approval

Start date	23OCT13
Finish date	28FEB17
Data date	31DEC16
Run date	05JAN17
Page number	3A

Contract No. 1/WSD/13
 Improvement of Fresh Water Supply to Cheung Chau CRBC-CPP JV
 CRBC-CPP JV
 Progress Update
 <Based on Rev P; file A086>

CRBC-CPP JV
 Progress Update
 <Based on Rev P; file A086>

- Early bar
- Target bar
- Progress bar
- ▲ Start milestone point
- ▲ Finish milestone point

Appendix D

Monitoring Locations Designated in the EM&A Manual

NOTE:
1. THE FINAL LOCATIONS OF THE MONITORING STATIONS SHALL BE PROPOSED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DEPARTMENT AND APPROVED BY THE INDEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKER (IEC) BEFORE SUBMITTING TO EPD FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY MONITORING.

LEGEND:
— PROPOSED SUBMARINE FRESH WATER MAIN
⊕ W1 WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION
⊕ W2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION

Revision	Date	Description	Initial
	Designed	Checked	Checked
	TL	FD	SZ
	10/09	10/09	10/09
	10/09	10/09	10/09


Agreement No. CE 1/2008 (WS)
Agreement Title
IMPROVEMENT OF FRESH WATER SUPPLY TO CHEUNG CHAU
— INVESTIGATION

Drawing Title
LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Drawing No. **FIGURE 2.1**
Revision
AS 1/15000



BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED
博達工程顧問有限公司



MONITORING STATION	EASTING	NORTHING
W1	820704.0	808688.3
W2	820656.3	808631.0
W3	820445.0	809036.3
W4	820072.6	809297.8
W5	819875.8	809700.9
W6	819174.3	810917.2
W7	820056.6	810523.1
W8	821328.4	810240.6
W9	819045.5	807953.8

LEGEND :

- PROPOSED SUBMARINE FRESH WATER MAIN
- PROPOSED LAND-BASED FRESH WATER MAIN
- REPRESENTATIVE NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVER
- ▨ PROPOSED LAUNCHING SITE AND NOISE WALL
- PROPOSED 4m HIGH TEMPORARY NOISE BARRIER
- ⊕ N1 NOISE MONITORING STATION

Revision	Date	Description	Initial
	Designed	Checked	Checked
	Initial	FD	SZ
	TL	FD	WLS
	Date	10/09	10/09
	10/09	10/09	10/09
Approved			

Agreement No. CE 1/2008 (WS)



Agreement Title
IMPROVEMENT OF FRESH WATER SUPPLY TO CHEUNG CHAU
— INVESTIGATION

Drawing Title
LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED NOISE MONITORING STATION AND NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS

Drawing No. **FIGURE 6.1**

Revision

Scale AS 1:2000






Photo 1: View from the light pole (proposed N1a) to the NSR-N1.




Photo 2: View from the light pole (proposed N1a) to the construction site

Appendix E

Event and Action Plan

Table 2.6 Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-site measurement to confirm findings. 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform IEC and Contractor. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor. 6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Assess effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment. 4. Consider changes of working methods. 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER. 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action Level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform IEC and Contractor. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor. 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily. 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment. 4. Consider changes of working methods. 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days. 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings. 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform IEC, contractor, AFCD and EPD. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor. 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Request Contract to critically review the working methods. 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment. 4. Consider changes of working methods. 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days. 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit Level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings. 2. Identify source(s) of impact. 3. Inform IEC, contractor, AFCD and EPD. 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor. 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures. 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures. 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods. 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the work until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing. 2. Rectify unacceptable practice. 3. Check all plant and equipment. 4. Consider changes of working methods. 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days. 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. 7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the work or construction activities.

Table 6.3 Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring


EVENT	ACTION			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, Contractor and ER 2. Carry out investigation and identify source 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, Contractor and ER 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 5. Increase monitoring frequency 6. Check compliance to Action/Limit Levels after application of mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET Leader 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER & ET accordingly 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET 4. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 5. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to ER and IEC within three working days of notification 2. Liaise with the ER to ensure the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation 3. Amend proposal if required 4. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor 2. Identify Source 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Discuss amongst ER, ET Leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions 3. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER & ET accordingly 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance 2. Notify Contractor 3. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET 4. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on proposed remedial actions to be implemented 6. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 7. Assess the effectiveness of the remedial actions and keep the Contractor informed 8. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification 3. Liaise with the ER to ensure the effectiveness of the agreed mitigation 4. Amend proposal if required 5. Implement the agreed proposals 6. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 7. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Appendix F


Graphical Plot of Noise and Water Quality Monitoring Results

Construction Noise


2014




2015



2016







2017





Marine Water Quality – Mid-ebb



2014









2015









2016







2017









Marine Water Quality – Mid-flood



2014









2015









2016





2017





Appendix G

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Environmental Management Plan

Revision Number :13
Date : 31 December 2014

Name of Department: WSD

Contract No.: 1/WSD/13

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE FOR 2014 (YEAR)

QUARTER ENDING	ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS GENERATED MONTHLY						ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES GENERATED MONTHLY				
	TOTAL QUANTITY GENERATED	BROKEN CONCRETE (SEE NOTE 3)	REUSED IN THE CONTRACT	REUSED IN OTHER PROJECTS	DISPOSED AS PUBLIC FILL	IMPORTED FILL	METALS	PAPER/ CARDBOARD PACKAGING	PLASTICS (SEE NOTE 2)	CHEMICAL WASTE	OTHERS, E.G. GENERAL REFUSE
	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000 KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000M ³)
JAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.013
FEB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.007
MAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.010
APR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.004
MAY	-	-	-	-	0.509	-	-	-	-	-	0.050
JUNE	-	-	-	-	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	0.000
SUB-TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0.509	0	0	0	0	0	0.084
JULY	-	-	-	-	0.414	-	-	-	-	-	0.103
AUG	-	-	-	-	0.850	-	-	-	-	-	0.342
SEPT	-	-	-	-	0.136	-	-	-	-	-	0.060
OCT	-	-	-	-	0.511	-	-	-	-	-	0.095
NOV	-	-	-	-	0.806	-	-	-	-	-	0.905
DEC	-	-	-	-	0.920	-	-	-	-	-	0.325
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	4.146	0	0	0	0	0	1.914

Name of Department: WSD

Contract No.: 1/WSD/13

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE FOR 2015 (YEAR)

QUARTER ENDING	ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS GENERATED MONTHLY						ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES GENERATED MONTHLY				
	TOTAL QUANTITY GENERATED	BROKEN CONCRETE (SEE NOTE 3)	REUSED IN THE CONTRACT	REUSED IN OTHER PROJECTS	DISPOSED AS PUBLIC FILL	IMPORTED FILL	METALS	PAPER/ CARDBOARD PACKAGING	PLASTICS (SEE NOTE 2)	CHEMICAL WASTE	OTHERS, E.G. GENERAL REFUSE
	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000 KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000M ³)
JAN	-	-	-	-	0.33	-	-	-	-	-	0.07
FEB	-	-	-	-	0.24	-	-	-	-	-	0.04
MAR	-	-	-	-	1.46	-	-	-	-	-	0.05
APR	-	-	-	-	1.47	-	-	-	-	-	0.05
MAY	-	-	-	-	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	0.05
JUNE	-	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	-	-	-	0.07
SUB-TOTAL	0	0	0	0	5.52	0	0	0	0	0	0.33
JULY	-	-	-	-	0.29	-	-	-	-	-	0.03
AUG	-	-	-	-	0.39	-	-	-	-	-	0.09
SEPT	-	-	-	-	0.26	-	-	-	-	-	0.08
OCT	-	-	-	-	0.55	-	-	-	-	-	0.07
NOV	-	-	-	-	0.41	-	-	-	-	-	0.03
DEC	-	-	-	-	0.64	-	-	-	-	-	0.04
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	8.06	0	0	0	0	0	0.67

Environmental Management Plan

Date: 31 December 2016

Name of Department: WSD

Contract No.: 1/WSD/13

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE FOR 2016 (YEAR)

QUARTER ENDING	ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS GENERATED MONTHLY						ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES GENERATED MONTHLY				
	TOTAL QUANTITY GENERATED	BROKEN CONCRETE (SEE NOTE 3)	REUSED IN THE CONTRACT	REUSED IN OTHER PROJECTS	DISPOSED AS PUBLIC FILL	IMPORTED FILL	METALS	PAPER/ CARDBOARD PACKAGING	PLASTICS (SEE NOTE 2)	CHEMICAL WASTE	OTHERS, E.G. GENERAL REFUSE
	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000 KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000M ³)
JAN	-	-	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	-	-	0.07
FEB	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.04
MAR	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.02
APR	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.06
MAY	-	-	-	-	0.70	-	-	-	-	-	0.02
JUNE	-	-	-	-	2.00	-	-	-	-	-	0.02
SUB-TOTAL	0	0	0	0	2.87	0	0	0	0	0	0.23
JULY	-	-	-	-	8.97	-	-	-	-	-	0.07
AUG	-	-	-	-	11.87	-	-	-	-	-	0.06
SEPT	-	-	-	-	9.64	-	-	-	-	-	0.02
OCT	-	-	-	-	12.71	-	-	-	-	-	0.03
NOV	-	-	-	-	13.25	-	-	-	-	-	0.03
DEC	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.01
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	59.31	0	0	0	0	0	0.45

Name of Department: WSD

Contract No.: 1/WSD/13

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE FOR 2017 (YEAR)

QUARTER ENDING	ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS GENERATED MONTHLY						ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES GENERATED MONTHLY				
	TOTAL QUANTITY GENERATED	BROKEN CONCRETE (SEE NOTE 3)	REUSED IN THE CONTRACT	REUSED IN OTHER PROJECTS	DISPOSED AS PUBLIC FILL	IMPORTED FILL	METALS	PAPER/ CARDBOARD PACKAGING	PLASTICS (SEE NOTE 2)	CHEMICAL WASTE	OTHERS, E.G. GENERAL REFUSE
	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000M ³)	(IN '000 KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)	(IN '000KG)
JAN	-	-	-	-	27.175	-	-	-	-	-	7.7
FEB	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	9.77
MAR	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	7.04
APR	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.31
MAY	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	2.06
JUNE	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.42
SUB-TOTAL	0	0	0	0	27.175	0	0	0	0	0	27.3
JULY	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0.07
AUG	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
SEPT	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
OCT	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
NOV	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
DEC	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	27.175	0	0	0	0	0	27.3 27.37 <i>JST</i>

Appendix H

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)

Table A.2 Implementation Schedule of Water Quality Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
Water Quality - Construction Phase									
<i>General</i>									
3.7.2	2.9.2	The Contractor shall observe and comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) and its subsidiary regulations. The Contractor shall carry out the works in such a manner as to minimise adverse impacts on the water quality during execution of the works. In particular the Contractor shall arrange his method of working to minimise the effects on the water quality within and outside the site and on the transport routes.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.3	2.9.3	The Contractor shall follow the practices, and be responsible for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures below and as specified in ProPECC PN 1/94 - Construction Site Drainage. The design of the mitigation measures shall be submitted by the Contractor to the Engineer for approval. All discharge from the construction works should meet the discharge standards stipulated under the WPCO "Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters".	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.4	2.9.4	<p><i>Site Preparation / Clearance</i></p> <p>Proper construction site drainage management measures should be implemented to control site runoff and drainage, and thereby prevent high sediment loadings from reaching nearby water bodies. Site runoff and wastewater should not be discharged into nearby water bodies without proper treatment.</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94
3.7.5	2.9.5	Turbid water from construction sites must be treated to minimise the solids content before being discharged. Advice on the handling and disposal of site discharge is given in the ProPECC Note PN 1/94 – “Construction Site Drainage”.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94
3.7.6	2.9.6	In general, surface runoff from construction sites should be discharged into water bodies via adequately designed silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation tanks. Channels or earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to properly direct stormwater to such silt removal facilities. Sufficient numbers of pumps and tanks of adequate capacity should be provided on-site. Perimeter channels at site boundaries should be provided to intercept storm run-off from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site. Catchpits and perimeter channels should be	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.7	2.9.7	<p>constructed in advance of earthworks.</p> <p>Silt removal facilities and diversion channels should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly, especially at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure proper functioning of these facilities at all times.</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94
3.7.8	2.9.8	<p>Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into the nearby water bodies. Open stockpiles susceptible to erosion should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric and provided with containment such as bunds, sand bag barriers or equivalent measures, especially during the wet season (April – September) or when heavy rainstorm is predicted. Runoff to watercourses should be intercepted by minimising flat exposed areas of permeable soil, and by forming pits or diversion channels into which runoff can flow to suitable treatment facilities before discharge.</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94
3.7.9	2.9.9	<p><i>Drilling Works</i></p> <p><i>Launching site at Cheung Chau</i></p> <p>The proposed launching site at Cheung Chau should be paved with a slight fall towards land to prevent site runoff from directly flowing to the</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact from	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau /	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.10	2.9.10	<p>sea. The launching site should be provided with an adequately designed site drainage system to contain and treat polluted site runoff and wastewater. Adequate numbers of tank with sufficient capacity should be provided on-site to collect, store and treat drilling fluids, cuttings and/or chemicals. These tanks should be surrounded by bunds and regularly inspected and maintained to avoid leakage.</p>	drilling works	during construction					
3.7.10	2.9.10	<p>During directional drilling, excavated spoil (cuttings) will be carried as a slurry with the drilling fluid to emerge at Cheung Chau. The slurry should be treated to remove the cuttings and recycled as drilling fluid. Cuttings should be stored in containers prior to removal and disposal as construction & demolition material to public fill reception facilities.</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.11	2.9.11	<p>If temporary storage of cuttings is required for later reuse, this should be undertaken in Cheung Chau. Care should be taken in the storage of cuttings especially during the wet season and the storage area should be covered and banded to prevent silty runoff entering water bodies.</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.12	2.9.12	A schematic layout plan of the proposed launching site and drainage measures at Cheung Chau is shown in <i>Figure 3.3</i> to demonstrate the launching site has adequate land area to setup the necessary construction equipment, auxiliary equipment, site offices and drilling fluid containment, recovery and treatment systems. In general, the site will be divided into clean area and dirty area. The clean area will be used to house the site offices, storage area and other non-polluting uses. The dirty area will be the main drilling works site consisting of the drilling rig, entry pit, mud tank, drilling pipes storage, drilling fluid recycling and treatment systems, stockpiling area and wastewater treatment systems.	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.13	2.9.13	The entire dirty area should be surrounded by a 0.5 m tall concrete bund to fully contain and prevent site runoff from discharging into the marine waters untreated as well as to prevent surface runoff from entering the site. The entry pit should be further surrounded by a 0.3 m tall concrete bund for added protection to contain and avoid spillage of drilling fluid. All site runoff should be diverted to an adequately designed wastewater treatment system for treatment before discharge.	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.14	2.9.14	The perimeter of the clean area should be surrounded with 300 mm u-channel to collect and divert all site runoff for treatment before discharge. Bund should be provided to prevent surrounding surface runoff from entering the site.	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.15	2.9.15	After separating the cuttings, bentonite slurry should be re-circulated for reuse in the drilling works. By adopting a forward reaming approach all slurry treatment and drilling fluid recycling systems should be located and managed on Cheung Chau.	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed launching site at Cheung Chau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.16	2.9.16	<i>Reception Site at Lantau</i> The pilot hole and reaming should be stopped approximately 50 m short of the final exit point at Chi Ma Wan in order to prevent any release of slurry in to the marine environment. Prior to drilling the final 50 m, the reamed hole should be thoroughly cleaned with water to remove all cuttings and drilling fluid. The final 50 m of drilling should be completed using water instead of bentonite in order to prevent the release of bentonite at the exit pit. Approximately 0.3 m high concrete bund should be constructed around the exit pit to contain the drilling fluid, which is mainly silty water and generated when the drill head bores through the rock at Lantau during the	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed reception site at Lantau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.17	2.9.17	<p>final drilling process. The exact level of the concrete bund should be determined on site to ensure that it will not be lower than the ground level of the launching site at Cheung Chau.</p> <p>The use of containment structure such as earth bund, sand bag barriers wrapped with geotextile fabric or similar material, diversion channels or other similar techniques should be installed surrounding the site boundary at Lantau during the wet season to intercept storm runoff from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site (or into the exit pit).</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed reception site at Lantau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.18	2.9.18	To provide further protection, silt curtain should be installed before commencement of works at Lantau to minimize the water quality impact. The design and installation should be certified by the Environmental Team (ET), verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) and approved by the Engineer to ensure the intended protection can be achieved. The Contractor should regularly inspect and maintain the silt curtain to ensure its effectiveness.	To minimize adverse water quality impact from drilling works	Proposed reception site at Lantau / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.20	2.9.20	<i>Management Plans and Monitoring</i> The Contractor should submit a Drainage	To minimize adverse	All works site /	Construction		√		Water Pollution

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.21	2.9.21	Management Plan detailing the temporary drainage measures along with the proposed measures to ameliorate the potential water quality impacts to the Environmental Team (ET) for certification, the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for verification and to the Engineer for approval before commencement of the construction works.	water quality impact during construction	during construction	Contractor				Control Ordinance
		The Contractor should also submit a Drilling Fluid Management Plan outlining expected volume of water, the type of soil and an estimated quantity of bentonite, full details of plans for monitoring drilling fluid flow (out and return) and pressure, wastewater discharge, slurry containment, treatment, recycling and transport, and the approved disposal site. The plan should also contain details of contingency plans for dealing with frac-out or other inadvertent release of slurry. Contingency plans should include the provision of standby pumps, containment booms, vacuum unit and tanks on site to contain and remove turbid, muddy water should incidental frac-outs occur.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction in particular during drilling works	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.22	2.9.22	Regular monitoring of suspended solids and turbidity should be conducted during construction works. Any exceedance of water quality in the nearby water bodies caused by site runoff should be rectified in accordance with EM&A programme	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.23	2.9.23	for this Project. <i>Concreting Work</i> Runoff should be carefully channelled and treated to prevent concrete-contaminated water from entering water bodies. Adjustment of pH can be achieved by adding a suitable neutralising reagent to wastewater prior to discharge.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction (in particular concreting works)	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.24	2.9.24	Any exceedance of the acceptable range of pH levels in nearby water bodies caused by site runoff containing concrete should be monitored and rectified under the EM&A programme for this Project.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction (in particular concreting works)	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.25	2.9.25	<i>Site Workshop or Depot</i> <i>General Construction Works</i> Site workshop or depot including storage of chemicals and chemical waste should be located at the proposed launching site in Cheung Chau only. No workshop or depot should be located at the proposed reception site in Lantau.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.26	2.9.26	Any Contractor generating waste oil or other chemicals as a result of his activities should	To minimize adverse water quality impact	All works site / during	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.27	2.9.27	<p>register as a chemical waste producer and provide a safe designated storage area for chemicals on site. The storage site should be located away from existing water bodies.</p> <p>All compounds in works areas should be located on areas of hard standing surface with provision of diversion channels and settlement ponds where necessary to allow interception and controlled release of settled / treated water. Hard standing compounds should drain via an oil interceptor. The oil interceptor should be regularly inspected and cleaned to avoid wash-out of oil during storm conditions. A bypass should be provided to avoid overload of the interceptor's capacity. To prevent spillage of fuels or other chemicals to water bodies, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas within a bund of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. Where temporary storage of chemicals or fuel drums outside the storage area is necessary, drip tray should be provided. Disposal of the waste oil should be carried out by a licensed collector. Good housekeeping practices should be implemented to minimise careless spillage and to keep the storage and the work space in a tidy and clean condition. Appropriate training including safety codes and relevant manuals should be given to the personnel who regularly handle the chemicals on site.</p>	<p>during construction</p> <p>To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction</p>	<p>construction</p> <p>All works site / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>				<p>Water Pollution Control Ordinance</p>

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.28	2.9.28	<p><i>Emergency Contingency Plan</i></p> <p>The Contractor should prepare an Emergency Contingency Plan (spill response plan) for the Project to contain and remove accidental spillage of drilling fluids, chemicals and all hazardous materials on-site including fuels at short notice and to prevent or to minimize the quantities of contaminants from entering the nearby water bodies and affecting the sensitive habitats. The Contractor should submit the Emergency Contingency Plan to the ET for review & comment and to the Engineer for approval. The Plan should include, but not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) potential emergency situations (ii) chemicals or hazardous materials used on-site (and their location) (iii) emergency response team (iv) emergency action plans and procedures (v) list of emergency telephone hotlines (vi) locations and types of emergency response equipment (vii) training plan and emergency drill (viii) schedules for review and audit. 	To prevent or minimize the quantities of contaminants entering the nearby water bodies and affecting the habitats in case of accidental spillage of chemicals and hazardous materials	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.29	2.9.29	<p><i>General Guidance for Handling Spillage / Leakage</i></p> <p>In the event that accidental spillage or leakage of hazardous substances / chemical wastes takes place, the response procedures as listed below should be followed. It should be noted that the procedures below are not exhaustive. The Contractor should propose other response procedures in the emergency contingency plan based on actual site conditions as well as the particular types and quantities of chemicals or hazardous substances used, handled and stored on-site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contact person in charge or nominated person immediately and initiate action plans based on the emergency contingency plan. • Oil leakage or spillage should be contained and cleaned up immediately. Waste oil should be collected and stored for recycling or disposal in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. • Instruct untrained personnel to keep at a safe distance well away from the spillage area. • If the spillage / leakage is severe or is causing hazard to life, initiate emergency evacuation and call the emergency services. • Only trained persons equipped with suitable protective clothing and equipment should be allowed to enter and clean up the waste 	To prevent or minimize the quantities of contaminants entering the nearby water bodies and affecting the habitats in case of accidental spillage of chemicals and hazardous materials	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
		<p>spillage / leakage area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where the spillage / leakage is contained in the enclosed storage area, the waste can be transferred back into suitable containers by suitable handheld equipment, such as hand operated pumps, scoops or shovels. If the spillage / leakage quantity is small, it can be covered and mixed with suitable absorbing materials such as absorbent tissue paper, pads, dry soft sand or vermiculite. The resultant slurry should be treated as chemical waste and transferred to suitable containers for disposal. For spillage / leakage into nearby water bodies, immediate action is required to contain the spillage / leakage. Appropriate structural, physical barrier or secondary containment (e.g. containment booms) should be deployed to contain the spill and if possible to prevent contaminated water from dispersing away from the source. Suitable liquid absorbing materials such as absorbent tissue paper, pads or rolls should be used to recover the spilt substances. The resultant slurry should be treated as chemical waste and transferred to suitable containers for disposal. For spillage / leakage in other areas, immediate action is required to contain the spillage / leakage. Suitable liquid absorbing materials such as absorbent tissue paper, pads, dry soft sand or vermiculite should be used to cover the spill. The resultant slurry should be 							

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
		<p>treated as chemical waste and transferred to suitable containers for disposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas that have been contaminated by chemical waste spillage / leakage should be cleaned. While water is a soluble solvent for aqueous chemical wastes and water soluble organic waste, kerosene or turpentine should be used for organic chemical wastes that are not soluble in water. The waste from the cleanup operation should be treated and disposed of as chemical waste. • In incidents where the spillage / leakage may result in significant contamination of an area or risk of pollution, the Environmental Protection Department and other relevant departments should be informed immediately. 							
3.7.30	2.9.30	<p><i>Presence of Additional Population (Workers)</i></p> <p>Sewage arising from the additional construction workers on site should be collected in a suitable storage facility, such as portable chemical toilets. An adequate number of portable toilets should be provided for the construction workforce. The portable toilets should be maintained in a state that will not deter the workers from using them. Wastewater collected should be discharged into foul sewers and collected by licensed collectors.</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.31	2.9.31	<p>The collected wastewater from sewage facilities and also from eating areas or washing facilities of site offices should be disposed to foul sewer. If</p>	To minimize adverse water quality impact	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
		there is no foul sewer in the vicinity, a septic tank and soakaway system or for larger flow, a sewage treatment plant should be provided. All domestic sewage discharges (except into foul sewer) are controlled under the WPCO. The Contractor must apply for a discharge licence from EPD and must comply with the terms and conditions of a valid WPCO licence.	during construction						Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.32	2.9.32	<i>Sterilization of Water Main Prior to Commissioning</i> Effluent from the sterilization of water main should be treated including dechlorination by physical process e.g. adsorption by activated carbon filter, or chemical process e.g. neutralisation by dechlorination agent dosing to ensure compliance with the discharge requirements stipulated in the TM-DSS. Adequate tanks with sufficient capacity should be provided to allow proper treatment of the effluent prior to discharge.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction in particular during sterilization of the completed water main	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.33	2.9.33	The sterilization of the water main and the dechlorination process should be conducted at the launching site at Cheung Chau. As the site at Cheung Chau is designated for secondary recreational contact, the sterilization of the main should be scheduled during the dry season. The	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction in particular during sterilization of the completed water main	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.34	2.9.34	<p>Contractor should submit for the Engineer approval, details of the dechlorination process and any chemicals including dosage to be used.</p> <p>The Contractor should provide a dechlorination plant of sufficient capacity to contain and treat the sterilising water with high chlorine concentration before discharge. Details of the specification of the dechlorination plant should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. They should include but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the nominal flow rate shall not be less than 36 cubic meter per hour; the plant shall be capable of dechlorinating water with chlorine level up to 40 mg/litre; the maximum chlorine level of dechlorinated water shall be <0.2 mg/litre; the recommended contact time if dechlorination by adsorption with activated carbon filter is proposed; a water meter or other measuring device shall be installed at the inlet pipe of the dechlorination plant to measure the amount of water treated, in cubic metres; and sensors and data loggers for continuous measurement and record of chlorine level shall be installed at the inlet and outlet pipe of the dechlorination plant. 	<p>To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction in particular during sterilization of the completed water main</p>	<p>All works site / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	<p>√</p>			<p>ProPECC PN 1/94</p> <p>Water Pollution Control Ordinance</p>

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
3.7.35	2.9.35	<i>In-situ</i> testing of total residual chlorine should be conducted every hour at the discharge point to ensure the chlorine concentration does not exceed the stipulated maximum level when dechlorinated water is being discharged.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction in particular during sterilization of the completed water main	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance
3.7.36	2.9.36	If the dechlorinated water exceed the allowed concentration, discharge must be suspended and the water should be circulated to a standby tank for further dechlorination and testing. The location of the sampling point will depends on the discharge point to be proposed by the Contractor. The Contractor should submit details of the proposed sampling location, frequency and equipment for verification by the ET Leader and approval by the Engineer before commencement of the sterilization work of the completed water main. The proposed sampling location(s) should be submitted to EPD for reference.	To minimize adverse water quality impact during construction in particular during sterilization of the completed water main	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		ProPECC PN 1/94 Water Pollution Control Ordinance
Water Quality - Operational Phase									

* D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation
 N/A Not applicable

Table A.4 Implementation Schedule of Waste Management Measures

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
Waste - Construction Phase									
<i>General</i>									
7.6.1	5.1.1	The HKSAR Government's construction and demolition waste management policy follows the same hierarchy as for other wastes, i.e. in order of desirability: avoidance, minimisation, recycling, treatment and safe disposal of waste. During the construction period the Contractor, Engineer and environmental specialists (Environmental Team, Independent Environmental Check) should work closely together with a view to reduce the volumes of materials requiring removal and final disposal.	To reduce the volumes of materials requiring removal and final disposal	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor, Engineer, Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Check	√			Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance Technical Memorandum on EIA Process
7.6.2	5.1.2	Upon appointment, the main Contractor of each construction contract should prepare and implement an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" which should describe the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from the construction activities. The EMP should incorporate site specific factors, such as the designation of areas for segregation and temporary storage of reusable and recyclable materials. The waste management plan now becomes part of the EMP. The EMP should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. The	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.3	5.1.3	<p>Contractor should implement the waste management practices in the EMP throughout the construction stage of the Project. The EMP should be reviewed regularly and updated (preferably monthly) by the Contractor. The EMP should take into account the recommended mitigation measures in the approved EIA Report.</p> <p>The Contractor should refer to the Construction and Demolition Material Management Plan (C&DMMP) to be conducted during the design stage of this Project to facilitate him in the preparation of the EMP. The C&DMMP should be endorsed by WSD's Vetting Committee and approved by the Public Fill Committee (PFC). WSD's Vetting Committee will monitor the implementation of the C&DMMP and report to Public Fill Committee in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 33/2002 during construction.</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste</p>	<p>All works site / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	<p>√</p>			<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005</p>
7.6.4	5.1.4	<p>The Contractor should nominate an appropriate person, such as site agent or environmental officer, to be responsible for collection and effective disposal of all wastes generated at the site to an approved facility. Training of construction staff should be undertaken by the Contractor about the concept of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures. The Contractor should develop and provide toolbox talk for on-site sorting of C&D materials to enhance worker's awareness in handling, sorting, reuse and recycling of C&D</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste</p>	<p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	<p>√</p>			<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005</p>

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.5	5.1.5	<p>materials. Requirements for staff training should be included in the EMP.</p> <p>Good planning and site management practice should be employed to eliminate over ordering or mixing of construction materials to reduce wastage. Proper storage and site practices will minimise the damage or contamination of construction materials. Regular cleaning and maintenance of the waste storage area should be provided.</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste</p>	<p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	√			<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005</p>
7.6.6	5.1.6	<p>Where waste generation is unavoidable, the potential for recycling or reuse should be rigorously explored. If wastes cannot be recycled, disposal routes described in the EMP should be followed. A recoding system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be implemented. In order to monitor the disposal of C&D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system should be included. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 for details.</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste</p>	<p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	√			<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 31/2004</p>
7.6.7	5.1.7	<p>Imported soft fill and rocks, if required, should be source from CEDD's fill bank, other projects or other approved sources instead of using new materials. Approval from the Engineer and all other relevant parties should be obtained by the Contractor before importation of the fill materials.</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste</p>	<p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	√			<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005</p>

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.8	5.1.8	The main staging area of the works should be located at the proposed launching site in Cheung Chau. Storage of equipment, materials, chemical waste and general refuse as well as the drilling fluid recycling system should also be located in Cheung Chau.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.9	5.1.9	<i>On-site Sorting, Reuse and Recycling</i> All waste materials should be segregated into categories covering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> excavated materials suitable for public filling facilities; remaining C&D waste for landfill; spent bentonite for public filling facilities chemical waste; and general refuse for landfill. 	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.10	5.1.10	Proper segregation and disposal of construction waste should be implemented. Separate containers should be provided for inert and non-inert wastes.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.11	5.1.11	The reuse of excavated materials within this Project should be adopted as far as practicable. The opportunity of reusing the material in other projects in Cheung Chau should also be explored.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during detailed design and construction	Detailed Design Engineer / Construction Contractor	√	√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.12	5.1.12	Sorting is important to recover materials for reuse and recycling. Specific area should be allocated for on-site sorting of C&D materials and to provide a temporary storage area for those sorted materials such as metals, concrete, timber, plastics, glass, excavated spoils, bricks / tiles and waste papers. If area is limited, all C&D materials should at least be sorted on-site into inert and non-inert component. Non-inert materials (C&D waste) such as bamboo, timber, vegetation, packaging waste and other organic materials should be reused and recycled wherever possible and disposed of to designated landfill only as a last resort. Inert materials (public fill) such as concrete, stone, clay, brick, soil, asphalt and the like should be separated and reuse in this or other projects (subject to approval by the relevant parties in accordance with the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) before disposed of at a public filling facility operated by Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD). Steel and other metals should be recovered from demolition waste stream and recycled.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 31/2004

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.13	5.1.13	The reuse of inert materials such as soil, rock and broken concrete should be maximised. Waste should be separated into fine, soft and hard materials. With the use of a crusher coarse material can be crushed to make it suitable for use as fill material where fill is required in the works. This minimises the use of imported material and maximises use of the C&D material produced.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.14	5.1.14	Prior to export of material from the site, the potential for it to be reused should be assessed. Most C&D material can easily be reused with minimum processing. Waste separation methods should be followed to ensure that C&D waste is separated at source. Suitable soft materials should be used for landscaping and grading of embankments. Fine material should be separated out and used as topsoil.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.15	5.1.15	The feasibility of using recycled aggregates in lieu of virgin materials should be rigorously considered during the detailed design and construction stages as stipulated in WBTC No. 12/2002 and ETWB TCW No. 24/2004. In general, recycled aggregates are suitable for use as fill materials in earthworks, road sub-base formation, and drainage works. Recycled aggregates can also be used in concrete (up to Grade 35) for mass concrete walls and other minor structures such as planter boxes, toe wall	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 24/2004 WBTC No. 12/2002

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
		planters and pavement, etc.							
7.6.16	5.1.16	<p><i>Site Clearance / Demolition Materials</i></p> <p><i>Excavated Materials</i></p> <p>All C&D materials should be sorted on-site into inert and non-inert components by the Contractor. Non inert materials (C&D waste) such as wood, glass and plastic should be reused and recycled before disposal to a designated landfill as a last resort (currently assume to be the WENT Landfill) via the Cheung Chau Refuse Transfer Station. Inert materials (public fill) should be reused on-site or in other projects approved by relevant parties in accordance with the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 before disposed of at public fill reception facilities. Steel and other metals if any should be recovered from C&D materials and recycled.</p>	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 31/2004	
7.6.17	5.1.17	Good quality reusable topsoil should be stockpiled for later landscaping works. Stockpiles should be less than 2 m in height, formed to a safe angle of repose and hydroseeded or covered with tarpaulin to prevent erosion during the rainy season and to minimise dust generation.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	
7.6.18	5.1.18	Control measures for temporary stockpiles on-site should be taken in order to minimize the noise,	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper	All work sites / during	Construction Contractor	√		Waste Disposal Ordinance	

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
		<p>generation of dust, pollution of water and visual impact. These measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • surface of stockpiled soil should be regularly wetted with water especially during dry season; • disturbance of stockpiled soil should be minimized; • stockpiled soil should be properly covered with tarpaulin especially when heavy rain storms are predicted; • stockpiling areas should be enclosed where space is available; • stockpiling location should be away from the water bodies; and • an independent surface water drainage system equipped with silt traps should be installed at the stockpiling area. 	disposal of waste	construction					ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.19	5.1.19	The identification of final disposal sites for C&D materials generated by the construction works will be considered during the detailed design stage of the Project when the volume and types of C&D materials can be more accurately estimated. The Public Fill Committee of CEDD should be consulted on designated outlets (e.g. public fill reception facility) for public fill, whilst EPD should	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 34/2002 WBTC No. 12/2000

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.20	5.1.20	be consulted on landfills for C&D waste. The public fill to be disposed to public fill reception facilities must consist entirely of inert construction materials. Disposal of C&D waste to landfill must not have more than 50% by weight of inert material. The C&D waste delivered for landfill disposal should contain no free water and the liquid content should not exceed 70% by weight.							
7.6.21	5.1.21	In order to avoid dust or odour impacts, any vehicle leaving a works area carrying C&D waste or public fill should have their load covered before leaving the construction site.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 WBTC No. 19/2001
		C&D materials should be disposed of at designated public fill reception facilities or landfills. Reuse of public fill materials at other construction projects is subject to the approval of the relevant project proponents, Engineer and/or other relevant authorities, such as LandsD, Pland, etc. Furthermore, unauthorized disposal of C&D materials in particular on private agricultural land is prohibited and may be subject to relevant enforcement and regulating actions. The Contractor shall refer and strictly follow the trip-ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials as stipulated in	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 31/2004

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
		the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004.							
7.6.22	5.1.22	<i>Bentonite</i> Bentonite slurry used in the drilling works should be treated and recycled at the works area in Cheung Chau. Any bentonite that is not suitable for recycling should be suitably dewatered before disposed of at public fill reception facilities.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 WBTC No. 19/2001
7.6.23	5.1.23	<i>Chemical Waste</i> Where the construction processes produce chemical waste, the Contractor must register with EPD as a chemical waste producer. Wastes classified as chemical wastes are listed in the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. These wastes are subject to stringent disposal routes. EPD requires information on the particulars of the waste generation processes including the types of waste produced, their location, quantities and generation rates. A nominated contact person must be registered with EPD. An updated list of licensed chemical waste collector can be obtained from EPD.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste
7.6.24	5.1.24	Storage, handling, transport and disposal of chemical	Waste reduction, reuse,	All work sites / during	Construction	√			Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.25	5.1.25	<p>waste should be arranged in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published by EPD, and should be collected by a licensed chemical waste collector.</p> <p>Suitable containers should be used for specific types of chemical wastes, containers should be properly labelled (English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations), resistance to corrosion, stored safely and closely secure. Stored volume should not be kept more than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD. Storage area should be enclosed by three sides by a wall, partition of fence that is at least 2 m height or height of tallest container with adequate ventilation and space.</p>	<p>recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste</p> <p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste</p>	<p>construction</p> <p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Contractor</p> <p>Construction Contractor</p>				<p>(General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</p> <p>Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</p>
7.6.26	5.1.26	<p>Hard standing, impermeable surfaces draining via oil interceptors should be provided in works area compounds. Interceptors should be regularly emptied to prevent release of oils and grease into the surface water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain. Oil and fuel bunkers should be banded and/or enclosed</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste</p>	<p>Work sites / During construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>				<p>Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of</p>

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.27	5.1.27	<p>on three sides to prevent discharge due to accidental spillages or breaches of tanks. Bunding should be of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% of the total volume of waste, whichever is largest. Waste collected from any grease traps should be collected and disposed of by a licensed contractor.</p> <p>Lubricants, waste oils and other chemical wastes are likely to be generated during the maintenance of vehicles and mechanical equipment. Used lubricants should be collected and stored in individual containers which are fully labelled in English and Chinese and stored in a designated secure place. If possible, such waste should be sent to oil recycling companies, and the empty oil drums collected by appropriate companies for reuse or refill.</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste</p>	<p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	<p>√</p>			<p>Chemical Waste</p> <p>Waste Disposal (General Waste) Regulation</p> <p>Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</p>
7.6.28	5.1.28	<p>The registered chemical waste producer (i.e. the contractor) has to arrange for the chemical waste to be collected by licensed collectors. The licensed collector should regularly take chemical waste to a licensed chemical waste treatment facility (such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre in Tsing Yi). A trip ticket system operates to control the movement of chemical wastes.</p>	<p>Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste</p>	<p>All work sites / during construction</p>	<p>Construction Contractor</p>	<p>√</p>			<p>Waste Disposal (General Waste) Regulation</p> <p>Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</p>

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.29	5.1.29	No lubricants, oils, solvents or paint products should be allowed to discharge into water courses, either by direct discharge, or as contaminants carried in surface water runoff from the construction site.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of chemical waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging and Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste
7.6.30	5.1.30	Chemical waste should be stored in the works compound at Cheung Chau, no chemical waste should be stored in the works site at Lantau.	To minimize impacts on Lantau South Country Park	The work site at Lantau / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			EIAO-TM
7.6.31	5.1.31	The Contractor should prepare an Emergency Contingency Plan (spill response plan) for the Project to contain and remove accidental spillage of drilling fluids, chemicals and all hazardous materials on-site including fuels at short notice and to prevent or to minimize the quantities of contaminants from entering the nearby water bodies and affecting the sensitive habitats. Details of the requirements are presented in Chapter 3 of the EIA.	To minimize impacts from accidental spillage of drilling fluids and chemicals on-site	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			EIAO-TM
		<i>General Works Waste</i>							

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.32	5.1.32	<i>Concrete Waste</i> Dry concrete waste (considered as public fill) should be sorted out from the other wastes and recycled for reuse or sorted out for disposal at designated public filling facilities.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 33/2002
7.6.33	5.1.33	<i>Wooden Materials</i> All wooden materials used on-site should be kept separate from other wastes to avoid damage and to facilitate reuse. Timber which cannot be reused should be sorted out from other waste and stored separately from all inert waste before being disposed of to landfill.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 33/2002
7.6.34	5.1.34	Reusable steel or concrete panel shutters, fencing and hoarding and signboard should be used as a preferred alternative to items made of wood, to minimise wastage of wood. Attention should be paid to WBTC No. 19/2001 - Metallic Site Hoardings and Signboards to reduce the amount of timber used on construction sites. Metallic alternatives to timber are readily available and should be used rather than new timber. Precast concrete units should be adopted wherever feasible to minimize the use of timber formwork.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 33/2002

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.35	5.1.35	Only waste material need be taken to a landfill. It should be separated from recyclable wood and steel materials. As for all waste types these materials should be reused on-site or other approved sites before disposal is considered as an option. Disposal to landfill should only be considered as a final option. Contractors are responsible for storage of re-useable materials on-site.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, 33/2002
7.6.36	5.1.36	<i>Municipal Waste</i> General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or skips and collected separately from other construction and chemical wastes and disposed of at designated landfill. A temporary refuse collection point should be set up by the contractor to facilitate the collection of refuse by licensed contractors. The removal of waste from the site should be arranged on a daily or at least on every second day by the Contractor to minimise any potential odour impacts, minimise the presence of pests, vermin and other scavengers and prevent unsightly accumulation of waste.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.37	5.1.37	For the works within Lantau South Country Park, the Contractor should ensure that all general refuse including food scraps and packaging materials generated by the workers are properly packed and removed from site daily at the end of each work shift.	To minimize impacts on Lantau South Country Park	The work site at Lantau / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			EIAO-TM

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
7.6.38	5.1.38	No refuse should be left in the works area at Lantau. The recyclable component of the municipal waste generated by the workforce, such as aluminium cans, paper and cleansed plastic containers should be separated from other waste. Provision and collection of recycling bins for different types of recyclable waste should be set up by the Contractor. The contractor should also be responsible for arranging recycling companies to collect these materials.	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
7.6.39	5.1.39	The burning of refuse on-site is prohibited under the Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO) (Cap.311).	Waste reduction, reuse, recycling and proper disposal of waste as well as air pollution control	All work sites / during construction	Construction Contractor		√		Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 Air Pollution Control Ordinance
Waste – Operation Phase									
		Nil							

* D=Design, C=Construction, O=Operation
 N/A Not applicable

Table A.5 Implementation Schedule of Construction Noise Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concerns to addressed	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*			Relevant Legislation & Guidelines
						D	C	O	
Noise - Construction Phase									
<i>Level 1 Mitigation – Use of Quiet Plant</i>									
8.6.2	6.8.2	The quiet plant used in the construction noise calculation is shown in Table 8.4 and Appendix 8.1 of the EIA. The Contractor can propose other suitable alternative equipment with similar or lower sound power level.	To protect NSRs from noise during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance Technical Memorandum on EIA Process
8.6.3	6.8.3	The use of quiet plant is considered to be the most effective ways of alleviating construction noise impact. The Contractor should use quiet plant with sound power level lower than that stipulated in the TM-GW as the Level 1 mitigation for construction noise.	To protect NSRs from noise during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance Technical Memorandum on EIA Process
8.6.4	6.8.4	The use of mini or lower power rating equipment (e.g. mini excavator) should also be considered where practical. This technique would be feasible and practical at some locations given the limited space available for using large size construction equipment and the small scale works involved such as the land-based water main in Cheung Chau.	To protect NSRs from noise during construction	All works site / during construction	Construction Contractor	√			Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance Technical Memorandum on EIA Process