



JOB No.: TCS00694/13

AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008 (CE)
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI
BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
REPORT (NO.44) – MARCH 2017

PREPARED FOR
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
(CEDD)

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
18 April 2017	TCS00694/13/600/R0923v2	 Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant)	 Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	11 April 2017	First Submission
2	18 April 2017	Amended according to the IEC's comments on 13 April 2017



Member of the Surbana Jurong Group

Unit A-C, 27/F Ford Glory Plaza
37- 39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
T +852 3995 8100 F +852 3995 8101 E hongkong@smec.com
www.smec.com

18 April 2017

Our ref: 7076192/L21713/AB/AW/MC/rw

AECOM
8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road
Shatin, N.T.

By Email & Post

Attention: Mr Simon LEUNG

Dear Sirs

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Independent Environmental Checker – Investigation
Monthly EM&A Report (No. 44) – March 2017**

With reference to the Monthly EM&A Report No. 44 for March 2017 (Version 2) certified by the ET Leader, please be noted that we have no adverse comments on the captioned submission. We herewith verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 5.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-404/2011/D.

Thank you for your attention and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on tel. 3995-8120 or by email to antony.wong@smec.com; or our Mr Man CHEUNG on tel. 3995 8132 or by email to man.cheung@smec.com.

Yours faithfully
for and on behalf of
SMEC Asia Limited

Antony WONG
Independent Environmental Checker

cc	CEDD/BCP	-	Mr Desmond LAM	by fax: 3547 1659
	ArchSD	-	Mr William WL CHENG	by fax: 2804 6805
	AECOM	-	Mr Pat LAM / Mr Perry YAM	by email
	Ronald Lu	-	Mr Peter YAM / Mr Justin CHEUNG	by email
	CW	-	Mr Daniel HO	by email
	DHK	-	Mr Daniel ALTIER	by email
	CCKJV	-	Mr Vincent CHAN	by email
	KRSJV	-	Mr TY LEUNG	by email
	Leighton	-	Mr Jon KITCHING	by email
	AUES	-	Mr TW TAM	by email

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES01 This is the 44th monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from **1 to 31 March 2017** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES02 To facilitate the project management and implementation, Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project is divided to seven CEDD contracts including Contract 2 (CV/2012/08), Contract 3 (CV/2012/09), Contract 4 (TCSS), Contract 5 (CV/2013/03), Contract 6 (CV/2013/08) and Contract 7 (NE/2014/03) and an ArshSD contract (Contract SS C505).

ES03 In the Reporting Period, the major construction works under Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project included Contract 2, Contract 3, Contract 6, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Environmental Aspect	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Reporting Period	
		Number of Monitoring Locations to undertake	Total Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	9	147
	24-hour TSP	9	54
Construction Noise	L _{eq(30min)} Daytime	10	50
Water Quality	Water in-situ measurement and/or sampling	WM1 & WM1-C	14 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM2A(a) & WM2A-Cx	14 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM2B & WM2B-C	14 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM3x & WM3-C	14 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM4, WM4-CA & WM4-CB	14 Scheduled & 0 extra
Ecology	Woodland compensation i) General Health condition of planted species ii) Survival of planted species	9 Quadrats	0
Joint Site Inspection / Audit	IEC, ET, the Contractor and RE joint site Environmental Inspection and Auditing	Contract 2	5
		Contract 3	4
		Contract 6	5
		Contract 7	4
		Contract SS C505	5

Note: Extra monitoring day was due to measurement results exceedance

ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE

ES04 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise exceedances were recorded. For air quality and water quality monitoring, three (3) Action Level and eight (8) Limit Level exceedances were recorded respectively under the Project. The summary of exceedance in the Reporting Period is shown below.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--
	24-hour TSP	3	0	3	Not project related	0	NA

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Construction Noise	L _{eq} (30min) Daytime	0	0	0	--	--	--
Water Quality	DO	0	0	0	-	--	--
	Turbidity	0	2	2	- Exceedances recorded at WM1 and WM3x are under investigation.	0	The Contractors were reminded to implement water quality mitigation measures in accordance with ISEMM of the EM&A Manual requirements
	SS	0	6	6	- All other NOEs were concluded as non-project related	0	

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES05 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received regarding noise issue for Contract 2 and wastewater issue for Contract 3. The summary of complaint received in the Reporting Period is summarized below.

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint		
		Frequency	Complaint Nature	Project related complaint
1 – 31 March 2017	Contract 2	1	Noise	#
	Contract 3	1	wastewater	0
	Contract 6	0	NA	NA
	Contract 7	0	NA	NA
	SS C505	0	NA	NA

The complaint is under investigation.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES06 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.

REPORTING CHANGE

ES07 In the Reporting period, no reporting changes were made.

SITE INSPECTION

ES08 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 2** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 24 and 28 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES09 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 3** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 15, 20 and 27 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES10 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 6** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract SS C505** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 14, 22 and 29 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

- ES12 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 7** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **7, 14, 21 and 28 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- ES13 As wet season is approaching, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River.
- ES14 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- ES15 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- ES16 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORT STRUCTURE	1
2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	3
2.1	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING	3
2.2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION	5
2.3	CONCURRENT PROJECTS	7
2.4	CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	7
2.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	9
3	SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	14
3.1	GENERAL	14
3.2	MONITORING PARAMETERS	14
3.3	MONITORING LOCATIONS	14
3.4	MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD	16
3.5	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	17
3.6	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	19
3.7	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	21
3.8	DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	21
3.9	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	22
4	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	23
4.1	GENERAL	23
4.2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS	23
5	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING	26
5.1	GENERAL	26
5.2	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (NORMAL DAYTIME)	26
5.3	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (RESTRICTED HOURS)	26
6	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	28
6.1	GENERAL	28
6.2	RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING	28
7	ECOLOGY MONITORING	31
7.1	GENERAL	31
8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	32
8.1	GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	32
8.2	RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	32
9	SITE INSPECTION	33
9.1	REQUIREMENTS	33
9.2	FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH	33
10	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	37
10.1	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	37
11	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	41
11.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	41
11.2	TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH	41
11.3	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	42
12	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	44
12.1	CONCLUSIONS	44
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	44

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS
TABLE 3-2	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY
TABLE 3-3	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-4	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - WATER QUALITY
TABLE 3-5	AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-6	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-7	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-8	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-9	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-10	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM1A
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM3
TABLE 4-4	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM4B
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM5A
TABLE 4-6	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM6
TABLE 4-7	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM7A
TABLE 4-8	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM8
TABLE 4-9	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM9B
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-3	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (EVENING TIME)
TABLE 5-4	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (NIGHT TIME)
TABLE 6-1	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 2 AND 3
TABLE 6-2	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 5 AND SS C505
TABLE 6-3	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 6-4	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 2 AND 6
TABLE 6-5	ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE RECORDED
TABLE 6-6	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
TABLE 6-7	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE WHICH WERE UNDER REVIEW BY IEC IN APRIL 2016
TABLE 8-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
TABLE 8-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES
TABLE 9-1	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 2
TABLE 9-2	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 3
TABLE 9-3	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 5
TABLE 9-4	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 9-5	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT SS C505
TABLE 9-6	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 7
TABLE 10-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 10-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 10-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION
TABLE 11-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT
APPENDIX B	ORGANIZATION CHART
APPENDIX C	3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE APPROVED EM&A MANUAL
APPENDIX E	MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING
APPENDIX F	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY
APPENDIX G	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H	IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE
APPENDIX I	DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX K	METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX L	WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX M	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES
APPENDIX N	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR EXCEEDANCE

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of *Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works*, which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/C granted on 12 March 2015 and the latest Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/D granted on 20 January 2017.
- 1.1.2 The Project consists of two main components: Construction of a Boundary Control Point (hereinafter referred as “BCP”); and Construction of a connecting road alignment. Layout plan of the Project is shown in *Appendix A*.
- 1.1.3 The proposed BCP is located at the boundary with Shenzhen near the existing Chuk Yuen Village, comprising a main passenger building with passenger and cargo processing facilities and the associated customs, transport and ancillary facilities. The connecting road alignment consists of six main sections:
- 1) Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area (FCA) Boundary – this section comprises at-grade and viaducts and includes the improvement works at Lin Ma Hang Road;
 - 2) Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan – this section stretches from the Frontier Closed Area Boundary to the tunnel portal at Cheung Shan and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Ping Yeung;
 - 3) North Tunnel – this section comprises the tunnel segment at Cheung Shan and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel;
 - 4) Sha Tau Kok Road – this section stretches from the tunnel portal at Wo Keng Shan to the tunnel portal south of Loi Tung and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Sha Tau Kok and an administration building;
 - 5) South Tunnel – this section comprises a tunnel segment that stretches from Loi Tung to Fanling and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel as well as a ventilation building in the middle of the tunnel near Lau Shui Heung;
 - 6) Fanling – this section comprises the at-grade, viaducts and interchange connection to the existing Fanling Highway.
- 1.1.4 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting has been commissioned as an Independent ET to implement the relevant EM&A program in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM&A program, the baseline monitoring has carried out between **13 June 2013** and **12 July 2013** for all parameters including air quality, noise and water quality before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. Also, the Project baseline monitoring report which verified by the IEC has been submitted to EPD on **16 July 2013** for endorsement. The major construction works of the Project was commenced on **16 August 2013** in accordance with the EP Section 5.3 stipulation.
- 1.1.5 This is **44th** monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for reporting period from **1** to **31 March 2017**.

1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-
- Section 1 Introduction*
 - Section 2 Project Organization and Construction Progress*
 - Section 3 Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements*
 - Section 4 Air Quality Monitoring*
 - Section 5 Construction Noise Monitoring*

Section 6	<i>Water Quality Monitoring</i>
Section 7	<i>Waste Management</i>
Section 8	<i>Site Inspections</i>
Section 9	<i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance</i>
Section 10	<i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i>
Section 11	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>

2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project would be divided by the following contracts:

- Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)
- Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)
- Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)
- Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)
- Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)
- Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)
- ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.2 The details of each contracts is summarized below and the delineation of each contracts is shown in *Appendix A*.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.1.3 Contract 2 has awarded in December 2013 and construction work was commenced on 19 May 2014. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- construction of an approximately 5.2km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.4km of at-grade road and 4.8km of tunnel) connecting the Fanling Interchange with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange;
- construction of a ventilation adit tunnel and the mid-ventilation building;
- construction of the north and south portal buildings of the Lung Shan Tunnel and their associated slope works;
- provision and installation of ventilation system, E&M works and building services works for Lung Shan tunnel and Cheung Shan tunnel and their portal buildings;
- construction of Tunnel Administration Building adjacent to Wo Keng Shan Road and the associated E&M and building services works; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.1.4 Contract 3 was awarded in July 2013 and construction work was commenced on 5 November 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- construction of four link roads connecting the existing Fanling Highway and the south portal of the Lung Shan Tunnel;
- realignment of the existing Tai Wo Service Road West and Tai Wo Service Road East;
- widening of the existing Fanling Highway (HyD's entrustment works);
- demolishing existing Kiu Tau vehicular bridge and Kiu Tau footbridge and reconstruction of the existing Kiu Tau Footbridge (HyD's entrustment works); and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.1.5 Contract 4 has awarded in mid-April 2016. However, the major construction work still is not yet commenced. The scope of work of the Contract 4 includes:

- design, supply, delivery, installation, testing and commissioning of a traffic control and surveillance system for the connecting road linking up the Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and the existing Fanling Highway.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

- 2.1.6 Contract 5 has awarded in April 2013 and construction work was commenced in August 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:
- site formation of about 23 hectares of land for the development of the BCP;
 - construction of an approximately 1.6 km long perimeter road at the BCP including a 175m long depressed road;
 - associated diversion/modification works at existing local roads and junctions including Lin Ma Hang Road;
 - construction of pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
 - provision of resite area with supporting infrastructure for reprovisioning of the affected village houses; and
 - construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

- 2.1.7 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 6 would be included below:
- construction of an approximately 4.6km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.6km of at-grade road, 3.3km of viaduct and 0.7km of tunnel) connecting the BCP with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Road Interchange and the associated ventilation buildings;
 - associated diversion/modification works at access roads to the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
 - provision of sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities for the BCP and the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
 - construction of a pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
 - provisioning of the affected facilities including Wo Keng Shan Road garden; and
 - construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

- 2.1.8 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and the construction works of Contract 7 was commenced on 15 February 2016. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 7 would be included below:
- construction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) portion of four vehicular bridge
 - construction of one pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen (SZ) River (cross boundary bridges)

ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

- 2.1.9 SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. Major Scope of Work of the SS C505 would be included below:
- passenger-related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, the interior fitting works for the pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen River, etc.;
 - cargo processing facilities including kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, customs inspection platforms, X-ray building, etc.;
 - accommodation for the facilities inside of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
 - transport-related facilities inside the BCP including road networks, public transport interchange, transport drop-off and pick-up areas, vehicle holding areas and associated road furniture etc;
 - a public carpark; and

- other ancillary facilities such as sewerage and drainage, building services provisions and electronic systems, associated environmental mitigation measure and landscape works.

2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization is shown in *Appendix B*. The responsibilities of respective parties are:

Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

2.2.2 CEDD is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of the EP of the development of the Project and will assume overall responsibility for the project. An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by CEDD to audit the results of the EM&A works carried out by the ET.

Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)

2.2.3 ArchSD acts as the works agent for Development Bureau (DEVB), for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities.

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

2.2.4 EPD is the statutory enforcement body for environmental protection matters in Hong Kong.

Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Architect)

2.2.5 Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd is appointed by ArchSD as an Architect for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities. It responsible for overseeing the construction works of Contract SS C505 and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the Architect with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors' and ET's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Engineer or Engineers Representative (ER)

2.2.6 The ER is responsible for overseeing the construction works and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the ER with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors's, ET's and IEC's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance

- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

The Contractor(s)

2.2.7 There will be one contractor for each individual works contract. Once the contractors are appointed, EPD, ET and IEC will be notified the details of the contractor.

2.2.8 The Contractor for Contracts under CEDD should report to the ER. For ArchSD Contract, the Contractor should report to the Architect or Architect's Representative (AR). The duties and responsibilities of the Contractor are:

- Comply with the relevant contract conditions and specifications on environmental protection
- Employ an Environmental Team (ET) to undertake monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of EM & A Facilitate ET's monitoring and site inspection activities
- Participate in the site inspections by the ET and IEC, and undertake any corrective actions
- Provide information / advice to the ET regarding works programme and activities which may contribute to the generation of adverse environmental impacts
- Submit proposals on mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event / Action Plans
- Implement measures to reduce impact where Action and Limit levels are exceeded
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

Environmental Team (ET)

2.2.9 Once the ET is appointed, the EPD, CEDD, ER, Architect and IEC will be notified the details of the ET.

2.2.10 The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor(s), and shall be employed by the Project Proponent/Contractor to conduct the EM&A programme. The ET should be managed by the ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years' experience in EM&A and has relevant professional qualifications. Suitably qualified staff should be included in the ET, and resources for the implementation of the EM&A programme should be allocated in time under the Contract(s), to enable fulfillment of the Project's EM&A requirements as specified in the EM&A Manual during construction of the Project. The ET shall report to the Project Proponent and the duties shall include:

- Monitor and audit various environmental parameters as required in this EM&A Manual
- Analyse the environmental monitoring and audit data, review the success of EM&A programme and the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented, confirm the validity of the EIA predictions and identify any adverse environmental impacts arising
- Carry out regular site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractors' site practice, equipment/plant and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems
- Monitor compliance with conditions in the EP, environmental protection, pollution prevention and control regulations and contract specifications
- Audit environmental conditions on site
- Report on the environmental monitoring and audit results to EPD, the ER, the Architect, the IEC and Contractor or their delegated representatives
- Recommend suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in the case of exceedance of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans
- Liaise with the IEC on all environmental performance matters and timely submit all relevant EM&A proforma for approval by IEC
- Advise the Contractor(s) on environmental improvement, awareness, enhancement measures etc., on site
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor(s) of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

- 2.2.11 One IEC will be employed for this Project. Once the IEC is appointed, EPD, ER, the Architect and ET will be notified the details of the IEC.
- 2.2.12 The Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) should not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC should be employed by the Permit Holder (i.e., CEDD) prior to the commencement of the construction of the Project. The IEC should have at least 10 years' experience in EM&A and have relevant professional qualifications. The appointment of IEC should be subject to the approval of EPD. The IEC should:
- Provide proactive advice to the ER and the Project Proponent on EM&A matters related to the project, independent from the management of construction works, but empowered to audit the environmental performance of construction
 - Review and audit all aspects of the EM&A programme implemented by the ET
 - Review and verify the monitoring data and all submissions in connection with the EP and EM&A Manual submitted by the ET
 - Arrange and conduct regular, at least monthly site inspections of the works during construction phase, and ad hoc inspections if significant environmental problems are identified
 - Check compliance with the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
 - Check compliance with the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
 - Check the effectiveness of corrective measures
 - Feedback audit results to ET by signing off relevant EM&A proforma
 - Check that the mitigation measures are effectively implemented
 - Verify the log-book(s) mentioned in Condition 2.2 of the EP, notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the EIA Report and/or the EP, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project
 - Report the works conducted, the findings, recommendation and improvement of the site inspections, after reviewing ET's and Contractor's works, and advices to the ER and Project Proponent on a monthly basis
 - Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, the Architect, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

2.3 CONCURRENT PROJECTS

- 2.3.1 The concurrent construction works that may be carried out include, but not limited to, the following:
- (a) Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage IV;
 - (b) Widening of Fanling Highway – Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange – Contract No. HY/2012/06;
 - (c) Construction of BCP facilities in Shenzhen.

2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.4.1 In the Reporting Period, the major construction activity conducted under the Project is located in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 and they are summarized in below. Moreover, 3-month rolling construction program for all the current contracts is enclosed in **Appendix C**.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.4.2 The contract commenced in May 2014. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Mid-Vent Portal
 - Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) south driver breakthrough
 - Adit invert slab, waterproofing and lining
 - Tube tunnel construction activities
 - Structure connecting the adit tunnel and ventilation building superstructure
- North Portal
 - Slope stabilization and retaining wall
 - Southbound tunnel enlargement
 - Construction of OHVD, cross passage and internal structure
 - North Bound Tunnel bench excavation
 - ventilation building superstructure
- South Portal
 - Southbound and northbound Drill & Blast Excavation
 - South ventilation and building superstructure
 - Tunnel invert, waterproofing, lining, OHVD and cross passage
 - Mucking out from tunnels
- Admin Building
 - Building superstructure, fence wall, drainage and E&M installation

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.4.3 The Contract commenced in November 2013. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Abutment Construction
- Boundary Wall for DSD Pumping Station
- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Existing Kiu Tau Vehicular Bridge demolition
- Extended podium construction near Bored Pile Wall
- Footbridge construction
- Noise barrier construction
- Pier table construction
- Portal construction
- Construction of remaining base Slab of Box Culvert ID4
- Road works
- Roundabout modification works
- Utilities Duct Laying
- Water Main Laying
- Gabion wall construction
- Installation Steel Column and Panel of Noise Barrier
- Per-drilling for noise barrier
- Pit construction for heading works.
- Parapet installation
- Planter Wall construction

Contract 4 (Contract number to be assigned)

2.4.4 The Contract was awarded in mid-April 2016 and the major construction work has not yet commenced.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.4.5 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

- 2.4.6 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- Bored Piling
 - Pile Cap Construction
 - Bridge Pier Construction
 - Bridge Segment Erection
 - Tunnel Excavation
 - Sewage Treatment Plant Construction

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

- 2.4.7 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and construction work was commenced on 15 February 2016. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- U-trough construction at Bridges A and E
 - Pile Caps construction at Bridges A and E
 - Column construction at Bridges B and D
 - 3rd floor slab construction at Bridge C

Contract SS C505

- 2.4.8 Contract SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- Building no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 26, 30, 36 and 41 construction
 - Excavation for drainage works for Building no. 4, 5, 6 & 7
 - On Grade Slab construction for Building no.36
 - Tower crane operation
 - Bridge construction works including construction of bridge column, retaining wall, pile cap, pier, abutment, road and finishes works
 - Underground drainage works
 - Formwork and falsework for PTB's slab construction
 - Construction PTB M/F & 1/F flat slab
 - Steel beam works for maintenance platform for PTB
 - PTB backfilling works
 - Bridge deck construction for Bridges 1 - 5

2.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

- 2.5.1 In according to the EP, the required documents have submitted to EPD which listed in below:
- Project Layout Plans of Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
 - Landscape Plan
 - Topsoil Management Plan
 - Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme
 - Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00690/13/600/R0030v3) for the Project
 - Waste Management Plan of the Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
 - Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) and Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for Po Kat Tsai, Loi Tung and the workshops in Fanling
 - Vegetation Survey Report
 - Woodland Compensation Plan
 - Habitat Creation Management Plan
 - Wetland Compensation Plan
- 2.5.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of each contracts are presented in **Table 2-1**.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contracts

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
Contract 2				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref No.: 368864	31 Dec 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	<i>North Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-652-D2523-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends
		<i>Mid-Vent Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2524-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends
		<i>South Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2526-01	9 Apr 2014	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.WT00018374-2014	8 Oct 2014	30 Sep 2019
		No.: W5/11389	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019
		No. WT00023063-2015	18 Dec 2015	31 Mar 2019
		No.: W5/11392	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7019105	8 Jan 2014	Till Contract ends
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0759-16	12 Oct 2016	11 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0839-16	20 Nov 2016	07 May 2017
		GW-RN0895-16	20 Dec 2016	11 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0214-17	30 Mar 2017	14 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0201-17	28 Mar 2017	14 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0177-17	23 Mar 2017	14 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0073-17	1 Feb 2017	31 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0800-16	1 Nov 2016	29 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0228-17	1 Apr 2017	16 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0759-16	12 Oct 2016	11 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0839-16	20 Nov 2016	7 May 2017
		GW-RN0895-16	20 Dec 2016	11 Jun 2017
GW-RN0214-17	30 Mar 2017	14 Sep 2017		
6	Specified Process License (Mortar Plant Operation)	L-3-251(1)	12 Apr 2016	11 Apr 2021
Contract 3				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 362101	17 Jul 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number: No.:5113-634-C3817-01	7 Oct 2013	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00016832 – 2013	28 Aug 13	31 Aug 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing	Account No. 7017914	2 Aug 13	Till Contract ends

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Account for Disposal of Construction Waste			
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0646-16	10 Sep 2016	9 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0653-16	11 Sep 2016	10 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0654-16	15 Sep 2016	14 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0720-16	4 Oct 2016	31 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0729-16	5 Oct 2016	31 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0756-16	18 Oct 2016	13 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0759-16	5 Nov 2016	29 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0816-16	13 Nov2016	27 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0833-16	13 Nov2016	10 May 2017
		GW-RN0836-16	15 Nov2016	31 Mar 2017
		GW-RN0843-16	18 Nov2016	17 May 2017
		GW-RN0870-16	30 Nov2016	13 May 2017
		GW-RN0871-16	29 Nov2016	20 May 2017
		GW-RN0872-16	29 Nov2016	20 May 2017
		GW-RN0901-16	11 Dec 2016	4 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0939-16	22 Dec 2016	21 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0965-16	28 Dec 2016	13 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0002-17	8 Jan 2017	4 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0021-17	19 Jan 2017	8 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0029-17	21 Jan 2017	8 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0032-17	25 Feb 2017	10 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0040-17	25 Jan 2017	24 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0048-17	3 Feb 2017	16 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0066-17	15 Feb 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0069-17	15 Feb 2017	14 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0070-17	3 Feb 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0071-17	16 Feb 2017	15 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0078-17	21 Feb 2017	21 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0084-17	8 Feb 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0092-17	19 Feb 2017	20 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0096-17	19 Feb 2017	10 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0099-17	17 Feb 2017	12 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0103-17	24 Feb 2017	31 Mar 2017
GW-RN0111-17	26 Feb 2017	30 Jul 2017		
GW-RN0115-17	2 Mar 2017	26 Aug 2017		
GW-RN0119-17	25 Feb 2017	31 Mar 2017		
GW-RN0130-17	10 Mar 2017	9 Jun 2017		
GW-RN0161-17	1 Apr 2017	30 Sep 2017		
GW-RN0168-17	2 Apr 2017	25 Sep 2017		

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
		GW-RN0185-17	1 Apr 2017	30 Sep 2017
Contract 5				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 359338	13 May 2013	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-642-S3735-01	8 Jun 2013	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: W5/1G44/1	8 Jun 13	30 Jun 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017351	29 Apr 13	Till the end of Contract
Contract 6				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390614	29 Jun 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-652-C3969-01	31 Aug 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022707	9 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
4	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024574-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.: WT00024576-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.: WT00024742-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
		No.: WT00024746-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RW0003-17	16 Jan 20217	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RW0005-17	1 Apr 2017	30 Jun 2017
		GW-RW0062-17	27 Jan 2017	26 Apr 2017
		GW-RW0090-17	15 Feb 2017	14 Aug 2017
		GW-RW0126-17	3 Mar 2017	27 Aug 2017
		GW-RW0230-17	7 Apr 2017	27 May 2017
Contract SS C505				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390974	13 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5213-642-L1048-07	16 Sep 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024865-2016	8 Jul 2016	30 Nov 2020
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing	Account No. 7022831	23 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Account for Disposal of Construction Waste			
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0803-16	5 Nov 2016	4 May 2017
		GW-RN0065-17	7 Feb 2017	6 Aug 2017
Contract 7				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 397015	21 Dec 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5214-641-K3202-01	24 Mar 2016	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024422-2016	10 May 2016	31 May 2021
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024129	21 Jan 2016	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0799-16	5 Nov 2016	4 May 2017
Contract 4				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Form of Notification of Construction work has submitted to EPD in July 2016.		
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Application is under preparation		
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	Application is under preparation		
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Application is under preparation		

3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise; and
- Water quality

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and • 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler.
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $L_{eq(30min)}$ in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday; and • 3 sets of consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ on restricted hours i.e. 19:00 to 07:00 next day, and whole day of public holiday or Sunday • Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.
Water Quality	In-situ Measurements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L); • Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%); • Turbidity (NTU); • pH unit; • Water depth (m); and • Temperature (°C).
	Laboratory Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspended Solids (mg/L)

3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 The designated monitoring locations as recommended in the *EM&A Manual* are shown in *Appendix D*. As the access to some of the designated monitoring locations was questionable due to safety reason or denied by the landlords, alternative locations therefore have had proposed. The proposed alternative monitoring locations has updated in the revised EM&A Programme which verified by IEC and certified by ET Leader prior submitted to EPD on 10 July 2013. *Table 3-2*, *Table 3-3* and *Table 3-4* are respectively listed the air quality, construction noise and water quality monitoring locations for the Project and a map showing these monitoring stations is presented in *Appendix E*.

Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations - Air Quality

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM1b^	Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
AM2	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM3	Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta	LMH to Frontier	Contract 6

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
	Kwu Ling Village.	Closed Area	
AM4b [^]	House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM5a [^]	Ping Yeung Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM6	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM7b [@]	Loi Tung Village House	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2 Contract 6
AM8	Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
AM9b [#]	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM9a to AM9b was submitted to EPD on 4 Nov 2013 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (15) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.10 dated 8 Nov 2013).

** Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM1 to AM1a was submitted to EPD on 24 March 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (6) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).*

@ Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM7a to AM7b was submitted to EPD on 4 June 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (7) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).

^ Proposal for change of air quality monitoring locations was enclosed in the updated EM&A Programme which approval by EPD on 29 Mar 2016.

Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations - Construction Noise

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
NM1	Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
NM2a [#]	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
NM3	Ping Yeung Village House (facade facing northeast)	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM4	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM5	Village House, Loi Tung	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM6	Tai Tong Wu Village House 2	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM7	Po Kat Tsai Village	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
NM8	Village House, Tong Hang	Fanling	Contract 2 Contract 3
NM9	Village House, Kiu Tau Village	Fanling	Contract 3
NM10	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

Proposal for the change of construction noise monitoring location from NM2 to NM2a was verified by the IEC on 6 May 2016 and was effective on 9 May 2016.

Table 3-4 Impact Monitoring Stations - Water Quality

Station ID	Description	Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location		Nature of the location	Related to the Work Contract
		Easting	Northing		
WM1	Downstream of Kong Yiu Channel	833 679	845 421	Alternative location located at upstream 51m of the designated location	SS C505 Contract 6
WM1-Control	Upstream of Kong Yiu Channel	834 185	845 917	NA	SS C505 Contract 6
WM2A	Downstream of River Ganges	834 204	844 471	Alternative location located at upstream 81m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A(a)*	Downstream of River Ganges	834 191	844 474	Alternative location located at upstream 70m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A-Controlx#	Upstream of River Ganges	835 377	844 188	Alternative location located at upstream 160m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2B	Downstream of River Ganges	835 433	843 397	NA	Contract 6
WM2B-Control	Upstream of River Ganges	835 835	843 351	Alternative location located at downstream 31m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM3x#	Downstream of River Indus	836 206	842 270	Alternative location located at downstream 180m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM3-Control	Upstream of River Indus	836 763	842 400	Alternative location located at downstream 26m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM4	Downstream of Ma Wat Channel	833 850	838 338	Alternative location located at upstream 11m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control A	Kau Lung Hang Stream	834 028	837 695	Alternative location located at downstream 28m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control B	Upstream of Ma Wat Channel	833760	837395	Alternative location located at upstream 15m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3

Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme on 29th March 2016. If the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location (WM3x and WM2A-Controlx) based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 05) (Section 4.1.4)

** Proposal for the change of water monitoring location from WM2A to WM2A(a) was verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD. (EPD's ref. (10) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.19)*

3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in *Sections 2.1.6, 3.1.5 and 4.1.6* of the approved *EM&A Manual* and presented as follows.

Air Quality Monitoring

3.4.1 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:

- 1-hour TSP 3 times every six days during course of works

- 24-hour TSP Once every 6 days during course of works.

Noise Monitoring

3.4.2 One set of $L_{eq(30min)}$ as 6 consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays and once every week during course of works. If construction work necessary to carry out at other time periods, i.e. restricted time period (19:00 to 07:00 the next morning and whole day on public holidays) (hereinafter referred as “the restricted hours”), additional weekly impact monitoring for $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurement shall be employed during respective restricted hours periods.. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.4.3 The water quality monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during course of works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Air Quality Monitoring

3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve.

3.5.2 The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

3.5.3 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-5**.

Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
24-Hr TSP	
High Volume Air Sampler	TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170*
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A*
1-Hour TSP	
Portable Dust Meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter*

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

3.5.4 According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:

- 1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
- 2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
- 3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
- 4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.

3.5.5 ET has liaised with the landlords of the successful granted HVS installation premises. However, the owners rejected to provide premises for wind data monitoring equipment installation.

3.5.6 Under this situation, the ET proposed alternative methods to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. For Ta Kwu Ling Station, it is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, this station is located at 15m above mean sea level while its anemometer is located at 13m above the existing ground which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. Furthermore, this station also can be to provide the humidity, rainfall, and air pressure and temperature etc. meteorological information. In Hong Kong of a lot development projects, weather information extracted from Hong Kong Observatory is common alternative method if weather station installation not allowed.

Noise Monitoring

3.5.7 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

3.5.8 Noise monitoring equipment to be used for monitoring is listed in **Table 3-6**.

Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238* or Rion NL-31 or Rion NL-52*
Calibrator	B&K Type 4231* or Cesva CB-5 or Rion NC-74*
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.5.9 Sound level meters listed above comply with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO. The acoustic calibrator and sound level meter to be used in the impact monitoring will be calibrated yearly.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.5.10 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:

- a DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
- a temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.

3.5.11 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.

3.5.12 The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.

3.5.13 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measure will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station as appropriate.

3.5.14 A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5m. For sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. <0.5 m, water sample collection will be directly from water surface below 100mm use sampling plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.

- 3.5.15 Water samples for laboratory measurement of SS will be collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the same day as the samples were collected.
- 3.5.16 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* with Limit of Reporting of 2 mg/L.
- 3.5.17 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the impact monitoring is listed in **Table 3-7**. Suspended solids (SS) analysis is carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, namely *ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd*.

Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar or tape measures
Water Sampler	A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling bucket
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus /YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument/ YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter*/ YSI Professional DSS
pH meter	YSI Professional Plus / AZ8685 pH pen-style meter*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100Q*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with Ice pad

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

1-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
- A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
 - A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
 - A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

- 3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period as follow manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:
- An anodized aluminum shelter;
 - A 8”x10” stainless steel filter holder;
 - A blower motor assembly;
 - A continuous flow/pressure recorder;

- (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
- (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

- 3.6.4 The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval.
- 3.6.5 24-hour TSP is collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET keep all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.6.6 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level (L_{eq}) measured in decibels dB(A). Supplementary statistical results (L_{10} and L_{90}) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.6.7 During the monitoring, all noise measurements would be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30min)}$ in six consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements will use as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements would be used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.6.8 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking is performed before and after the noise measurement.

Water Quality

- 3.6.9 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated or alternative locations. The sampling procedures with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

Sampling Procedure

- 3.6.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measurement is used for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, water sample would be collected from 0.1m below water surface or the water surface to prevent the river bed sediment for stirring.
- 3.6.11 The sample container will be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then will be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.
- 3.6.12 Before sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.
- 3.6.13 A 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection.

In-situ Measurement

- 3.6.14 YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter is used for water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen saturation.

- 3.6.15 A portable AZ Model 8685 is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1.
- 3.6.16 A portable Hach 2100Q Turbidimeter is used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU.
- 3.6.17 All in-situ measurement equipment are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month interval.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.6.18 All water samples analyzed Suspended Solids (SS) will be carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS determination using *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* as specified in the *EM&A Manual* will start within 48 hours of water sample receipt.

3.7 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

- 3.7.1 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation and thereafter at bimonthly intervals in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction using the certified standard calibrator (TISCH Model TE-5025A). Moreover, the Calibration Kit would be calibrated annually. The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.7.2 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment would be checked before and after each monitoring event. Annually calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition would be undertaken by the Laboratory.
- 3.7.3 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.7.4 All water quality monitoring equipment would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.7.5 The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in *Appendix F*.

3.8 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

- 3.8.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10*.

Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Station	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
AM1b	265	143	500	260
AM2	268	149		
AM3	269	145		
AM4b	267	148		
AM5a	268	143		
AM6	269	148		
AM7b	275	156		
AM8	269	144		
AM9b	271	151		

Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
NM1, NM2a, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8, NM9, NM10	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) ^{Note 1 & Note 2}

Note 1: Acceptable Noise Levels for school should be reduced to 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) during examination period

Note 2: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameter	Performance criteria	Monitoring Location				
		WM1	WM2A(a)	WM2B	WM3x	WM4
DO (mg/L)	Action Level	(*)4.23	(**)4.00	(*)4.74	(**)4.00	(*)4.14
	Limit Level	(#)4.19	(**)4.00	(#)4.60	(**)4.00	(#)4.08
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	51.3	24.9	11.4	13.4	35.2
		AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				
	Limit Level	67.6	33.8	12.3	14.0	38.4
		AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				
SS (mg/L)	Action Level	54.5	14.6	11.8	12.6	39.4
		AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				
	Limit Level	64.9	17.3	12.4	12.9	45.5
		AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				

Remarks:

(*) The Proposed Action Level of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 5%-ile of baseline data

(**) The Proposed Action & Limit Level of Dissolved Oxygen is used 4mg/L

(#) The Proposed Limit Level of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 1%-ile of baseline data

3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in **Appendix G**.

3.9 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

3.9.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.9.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.1 GENERAL

- 4.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505. Hence, air quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

4.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

- 4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **147** events of 1-hour TSP and **54** events 24-hours TSP monitoring were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1b

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Mar-17	129	2-Mar-17	9:24	75	64	66
7-Mar-17	96	8-Mar-17	9:43	84	105	140
13-Mar-17	69	14-Mar-17	9:09	73	72	69
18-Mar-17	84	20-Mar-17	9:45	78	81	71
24-Mar-17	71	25-Mar-17	13:00	72	69	73
30-Mar-17	131	31-Mar-17	9:24	74	70	49
Average (Range)	97 (69 – 131)	Average (Range)		77 (49 – 140)		

Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Mar-17	199	2-Mar-17	9:20	104	87	72
7-Mar-17	144	8-Mar-17	9:41	49	59	88
13-Mar-17	146	14-Mar-17	9:19	67	65	70
18-Mar-17	101	20-Mar-17	9:51	94	100	99
24-Mar-17	90	25-Mar-17	13:03	72	72	77
30-Mar-17	115	31-Mar-17	9:20	61	67	53
Average (Range)	133 (90 – 199)	Average (Range)		75 (49 – 104)		

Remarks: Bold with Italic indicated Action Level exceedance

Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Mar-17	185	2-Mar-17	9:15	110	93	78
7-Mar-17	120	8-Mar-17	9:35	96	132	109
13-Mar-17	109	14-Mar-17	13:17	61	64	65
18-Mar-17	22	20-Mar-17	9:58	83	80	92
24-Mar-17	43	25-Mar-17	13:05	72	67	68
30-Mar-17	75	31-Mar-17	9:17	80	69	57
Average	92	Average		82		

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
(Range)	(22 – 185)	(Range)		(57 – 132)		

Remarks: *Bold with Italic indicated Action Level exceedance*

Table 4-4 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM4b

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Mar-17	106	3-Mar-17	9:30	125	121	123
8-Mar-17	44	9-Mar-17	9:33	83	84	85
14-Mar-17	54	15-Mar-17	10:50	53	47	56
20-Mar-17	52	21-Mar-17	9:39	139	142	127
25-Mar-17	57	27-Mar-17	9:37	167	164	121
31-Mar-17	43					
Average (Range)	59 (43 – 106)	Average (Range)		109 (47 – 167)		

Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM5a

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Mar-17	124	3-Mar-17	9:25	122	118	120
8-Mar-17	87	9-Mar-17	9:36	90	100	75
14-Mar-17	47	15-Mar-17	11:00	57	52	60
20-Mar-17	66	21-Mar-17	9:56	119	131	136
25-Mar-17	77	27-Mar-17	9:46	166	163	126
31-Mar-17	35					
Average (Range)	73 (35 – 124)	Average (Range)		109 (52 – 166)		

Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM6

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Mar-17	226	3-Mar-17	9:15	115	117	118
8-Mar-17	147	9-Mar-17	9:28	112	111	85
14-Mar-17	129	15-Mar-17	13:00	62	58	56
20-Mar-17	133	21-Mar-17	9:46	123	132	123
25-Mar-17	122	27-Mar-17	9:52	157	163	154
31-Mar-17	95					
Average (Range)	142 (95 – 226)	Average (Range)		112 (56 – 163)		

Remarks: *Bold with Italic indicated Action Level exceedance*

Table 4-7 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM7b

Date	24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Mar-17	30	3-Mar-17	9:00	111	113	115
8-Mar-17	97	9-Mar-17	9:20	79	75	80

14-Mar-17	63	15-Mar-17	9:21	82	62	70
20-Mar-17	86	21-Mar-17	10:11	121	113	102
25-Mar-17	61	27-Mar-17	12:58	149	151	147
31-Mar-17	60					
Average (Range)	66 (30 – 97)	Average (Range)		105 (62 – 151)		

Table 4-8 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM8

Date	24-hour TSP (µg/m ³)	1-hour TSP (µg/m ³)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
2-Mar-17	62	3-Mar-17	13:00	104	101	109
8-Mar-17	48	9-Mar-17	9:08	78	71	75
14-Mar-17	53	15-Mar-17	13:01	82	60	69
20-Mar-17	52	21-Mar-17	10:30	107	107	111
25-Mar-17	61	27-Mar-17	13:21	153	148	149
31-Mar-17	39					
Average (Range)	53 (39 – 62)	Average (Range)		102 (60 -153)		

Table 4-9 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM9b

Date	24-hour TSP (µg/m ³)	1-hour TSP (µg/m ³)				
		Date	Start Time	1 st reading	2 nd reading	3 rd reading
1-Mar-17	109	2-Mar-17	8:45	44	43	47
7-Mar-17	94	8-Mar-17	13:31	140	144	144
13-Mar-17	37	14-Mar-17	13:21	72	77	102
18-Mar-17	75	20-Mar-17	13:18	70	86	112
24-Mar-17	55	25-Mar-17	13:11	74	72	75
30-Mar-17	77	31-Mar-17	13:12	83	92	82
Average (Range)	75 (37 – 109)	Average (Range)		87 (43– 144)		

4.2.1 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*, all the 1-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels. For 24-hour TSP, there were three (3) Action Level exceedances which recorded at AM2, AM3 and AM6. Notifications of Exceedances (NOE) were issued to all relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. Investigation report for cause of exceedance was conducted by ET and investigation results revealed that all the exceedances were not project related. The details of the completed investigation reports for the exceedances are attached in *Appendix N*.

4.2.2 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix K*.

5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and noise monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 5.1.2 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (NORMAL DAYTIME)

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **50** event noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations. The sound level meter was set in 1m from the exterior of the building façade including noise monitoring locations NM1, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8 and NM9. Therefore, no façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. However, free-field status were performed at NM2a and NM10 and façade correction (+3 dB(A)) has added according to the requirement in this month. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A)					
Date	NM1	NM2a ^(*)	NM8	NM9	NM10 ^(*)
2-Mar-17	56	73	59	63	64
8-Mar-17	55	70	58	65	65
14-Mar-17	56	68	59	64	64
20-Mar-17	59	68	59	65	65
31-Mar-17	55	68	59	63	66
Limit Level	75 dB(A)				

Remarks

^(*) façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A)					
Date	NM3	NM4	NM5	NM6	NM7
3-Mar-17	58	63	53	61	56
9-Mar-17	57	63	53	58	55
15-Mar-17	59	63	55	58	62
21-Mar-17	61	64	64	62	63
27-Mar-17	63	60	55	61	63
Limit Level	75 dB(A)				

- 5.2.2 As shown in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*, the noise level measured at all designated monitoring locations were below 75dB(A). Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

5.3 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (RESTRICTED HOURS)

- 5.3.1 In the Reporting Period, CNPs were granted by Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 for use of Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) during restricted hour. As confirmed by both Contractors with their works schedules, construction works would be conducted at Contract 2, 3, 6 and SS C505 during restricted hours with the granted CNP. Noise monitoring was therefore conducted at the relevant noise monitoring locations during respective restricted hour periods.
- 5.3.2 Based on the works schedule by the Contractor of Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505, the involved noise monitoring locations included NM1, NM4, NM5, NM7, NM8, NM9 and NM10 and the

noise monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 5-3 and 5-4*.

Table 5-3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results (Evening Time)

Construction Noise Level (L_{eq5min}), dB(A)							
Date	NM1	NM4	NM5	NM7	NM8	NM9	NM10(*)
	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}
3-Mar-17	46	45	48	54	55	--	--
10-Mar-17	58	50	59	56	56	71	57
17-Mar-17	50	56	45	46	57	--	--
24-Mar-17	40	55	51	45	62	--	--
31-Mar-17	47	44	48	66	60	--	--
Observation/ other noise source	noise from vehicle and dogs barking from village	noise from vehicle and dogs barking from village	Noise from vehicle parking, occasionally dogs barking from village	Noise from water flowing in the gully, occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from trains as NM8 close to train tracks and occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from trains as NM9 close to train tracks and occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from highway and occasionally dogs barking from village

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

Table 5-4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results (Night Time)

Construction Noise Level (L_{eq5min}), dB(A)					
Date (#)	NM5	NM7	NM8	NM9	NM10(*)
	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}	L_{eq5min}
3-Mar-17	46	42	56	59	62
10-Mar-17	54	49	57	59	61
17-Mar-17	44	49	59	61	64
24-Mar-17	51	46	57	--	--
31-Mar-17	46	59	59	--	--
Observation/ other noise source	Noise from vehicle parking, occasionally dogs barking from village	Noise from water flowing in the gully, occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from trains as NM8 close to train tracks and occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from trains as NM9 close to train tracks and occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from highway and occasionally dogs barking from village

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

(#) the monitoring date for NM9 and NM10 shall be the next day of the "Date"

(*) façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

5.3.3

According to the site records by the monitoring team, no construction noise from the construction was noted during the course of monitoring at all locations. On the other hand, traffic noise was dominated at NM8 and NM9 since the monitoring locations were closed to the train tracks and occasionally noise from vehicle and dogs barking were recorded at all stations. Therefore, it is considered that the measurement results were likely to be the background noise.

6 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.1 GENERAL

6.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project has been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and water quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations. The water quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

6.2 RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of fourteen (14) sampling days was scheduled to carry out for all designated locations with their control stations. According to the “*Event and Action Plan*”, the frequency of water monitoring should be increased to daily once exceedance triggered. Since the SS result required 5 working days to process, the need for repeated measurement could only rely on the result of turbidity which is in-situ measurement. There were no exceedance of turbidity recorded and therefore no additional monitoring was made in the Reporting Period.

6.2.2 The key monitoring parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in *Tables 6-1 to 6-5*. Breaches of water quality monitoring criteria are shown in *Table 6-6*. Detailed monitoring database including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plot are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 6-1 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 2 and 3

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB
1-Mar-17	7.1	8.3	6.1	24.1	4.8	10.4	11.0	<2	7.0
3-Mar-17	8.9	9.3	9.1	16.7	19.7	5.1	32.5	5.5	24.5
7-Mar-17	7.5	8.9	6.6	11.6	37.2	13.8	32.0	29.5	15.0
9-Mar-17	7.5	8.6	6.0	24.0	8.1	9.1	24.5	4.0	10.0
11-Mar-17	7.3	8.9	6.2	19.8	3.7	24.2	16.0	<2	20.0
13-Mar-17	7.3	8.2	7.0	17.7	8.5	15.2	19.0	<2	16.5
15-Mar-17	7.8	8.3	6.5	16.6	7.3	21.8	12.0	12.5	24.5
17-Mar-17	7.3	8.9	5.9	23.7	10.8	16.6	18.5	3.5	14.5
21-Mar-17	6.6	7.8	6.0	20.0	8.3	19.9	11.0	3.5	15.0
23-Mar-17	7.3	8.5	6.0	10.9	4.8	13.7	9.0	3.5	10.5
25-Mar-17	6.2	7.5	3.7	19.3	6.0	22.9	21.0	<2	18.0
27-Mar-17	7.8	8.5	6.9	15.1	8.6	14.4	11.0	6.0	13.0
29-Mar-17	6.4	8.5	4.8	33.7	5.8	15.0	35.0	4.5	19.0
31-Mar-17	7.0	7.2	6.1	104.5	23.5	104.0	65.0	16.0	95.0

Table 6-2 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 5, 6 and SS C505

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
1-Mar-17	8.1	6.3	49.3	23.8	42.5	20.0
3-Mar-17	8.9	8.2	47.0	16.0	49.5	17.0
7-Mar-17	6.8	7.9	62.5	123.0	41.0	166.0
9-Mar-17	6.7	6.4	284.0	301.0	131.0	157.0
11-Mar-17	7.2	6.8	78.0	125.0	37.5	160.5
13-Mar-17	5.8	7.4	41.8	27.6	30.0	21.0
15-Mar-17	7.3	7.1	41.4	22.7	49.0	18.0
17-Mar-17	7.4	7.8	30.6	54.4	23.5	47.0
21-Mar-17	7.6	5.6	59.7	55.1	47.0	34.0
23-Mar-17	7.2	6.8	51.7	46.5	39.0	28.5
25-Mar-17	7.6	6.1	45.6	37.2	35.0	32.5
27-Mar-17	8.0	9.5	49.3	12.8	46.0	4.0
29-Mar-17	6.4	7.3	62.2	62.3	65.0	56.0

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
31-Mar-17	7.3	6.8	<u>440.0</u>	96.4	<u>361.5</u>	105.0

Remarks: bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

Table 6-3 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated only Contract 6

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C
1-Mar-17	7.4	7.7	8.4	8.6	24.1	11.2	4.5	2.3	13.0	<2	9.0	<2
3-Mar-17	8.8	8.9	9.6	8.8	13.0	12.1	7.6	3.1	9.0	3.0	6.0	<2
7-Mar-17	7.7	8.0	8.4	9.5	16.2	11.6	8.1	2.7	4.5	2.5	6.0	<2
9-Mar-17	7.9	7.5	8.8	9.7	25.2	29.0	10.8	3.5	29.0	27.0	9.5	<2
11-Mar-17	7.6	7.9	8.1	9.4	24.5	13.3	8.7	5.1	<u>25.0</u>	<2	4.0	<2
13-Mar-17	7.9	8.0	7.8	9.0	8.5	10.4	5.0	4.7	9.0	<2	6.0	5.0
15-Mar-17	7.3	7.5	8.1	8.8	22.3	10.3	4.9	4.2	14.5	<2	3.5	2.0
17-Mar-17	8.6	8.3	8.2	9.4	24.3	15.2	11.1	4.7	14.5	3.0	6.0	4.0
21-Mar-17	7.3	7.1	7.5	8.6	22.4	11.3	10.8	9.2	<u>20.0</u>	<2	3.0	4.0
23-Mar-17	7.8	7.7	8.4	9.0	21.3	15.8	10.9	7.8	<u>28.0</u>	5.0	11.5	7.0
25-Mar-17	7.6	6.9	7.2	8.6	23.3	13.9	11.1	6.3	<u>17.5</u>	8.0	4.0	3.5
27-Mar-17	8.9	7.1	8.4	9.5	16.6	7.9	11.0	3.9	10.5	4.5	10.5	4.0
29-Mar-17	7.7	7.1	8.1	8.7	13.7	9.5	9.4	6.8	14.0	7.5	10.0	10.0
31-Mar-17	6.7	7.1	7.8	8.2	18.3	811.0	409.0	962.5	26.0	452.5	232.0	425.0

Remarks: bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

Table 6-4 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 2 and 6

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C
1-Mar-17	8.1	8.5	20.9	116.0	21.5	485.0
3-Mar-17	9.1	7.6	3.9	2.3	4.0	5.0
7-Mar-17	8.7	7.4	11.9	7.4	3.5	12.5
9-Mar-17	8.7	7.9	11.6	3.4	11.0	3.0
11-Mar-17	8.6	7.0	11.8	7.6	4.0	16.0
13-Mar-17	7.7	7.8	13.2	15.4	5.0	5.0
15-Mar-17	7.7	6.6	11.2	7.1	3.0	2.5
17-Mar-17	7.7	8.0	over range	over range	850.5	1590.0
21-Mar-17	7.4	7.2	15.0	17.2	9.0	11.0
23-Mar-17	7.2	8.2	7.1	13.2	6.0	10.0
25-Mar-17	7.7	6.9	12.9	29.1	4.0	15.0
27-Mar-17	8.7	7.9	11.0	6.9	5.5	4.0
29-Mar-17	8.0	7.0	8.1	3.8	24.5	30.0
31-Mar-17	6.8	6.9	<u>68.8</u>	10.9	<u>40.5</u>	5.0

Remarks: bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

Table 6-5 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Recorded

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance		Project Related exceedance	
	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL
WM1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0
WM2A(a)	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0
WM2B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WM3x	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0
WM4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance		Project Related exceedance	
	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL
No of Exceedance	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	8	0	0

6.2.3 In this Reporting Period, a total of eight (8) Limit Level (LL) exceedances, namely two (2) LL exceedance of turbidity and six (6) LL exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project and they are summarized in **Table 6-5**. According to the investigation result, all exceedances recorded at WM2A(a) were concluded as non-project related and the exceedances at WM1 and WM3x were under investigation.

6.2.4 NOE was issued to relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. The investigation results and summary of exceedances are summarized in **Table 6-6**. The details of the completed investigation reports for the exceedances are attached in **Appendix N**.

Table 6-6 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period

Date of Exceedance	Location	Exceeded Parameter	Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief
24 February 2017	WM1	NTU & SS	Investigation report revealed that the exceedances were likely related to the residual impact of the rain in previous day and not due to the works under the Contract 6 and Contract SS C505.
11 March 2017	WM2A(a)	SS	Investigation report revealed that the implementation of water mitigation measures on site was in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed. Moreover, there were no rain recorded on the exceedance days and muddy runoff from the site was unlikely to occur. It is considered that the exceedance on 11 March 2017 was due to the stirred up loose sediment of the river bed during sampling and not caused by the works under the Contract 6.
21, 23 and 25 March 2017	WM2A(a)	SS	Investigation report revealed that the implementation of water mitigation measures on site was in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed. Moreover, there were no rain recorded on the exceedance days and muddy runoff from the site was unlikely to occur. It is considered that the exceedances were due to the stirred up loose sediment of the river bed during sampling and not caused by the works under the Contract 6.
31 March 2017	WM1	NTU & SS	The investigation is in progress.
31 March 2017	WM3	NTU & SS	The investigation is in progress.

7 ECOLOGY MONITORING

7.1 GENERAL

- 7.1.1 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation shall be conducted at bi-monthly interval. The last ecological monitoring report (Jan-Feb 2017) was submitted to EPD in March 2017. In the Reporting Report, no ecological monitoring was carried out.

8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP) for each contract.

8.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

8.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

8.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 8-1* and *8-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix L*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 8-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials for the Project

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m ³)	28.3513	--	2.287	--	28.540	--	0.822	--	0.548	--	60.5483
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000 m ³)	0.5425	--	0.060	--	0	--	0	--	0.054	--	0.6565
Reused in other Contracts/ Projects (Inert) (in '000 m ³)	28.2593	C6/ NENT# & other projects approved by the ER	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	28.2593
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000 m ³)	2.8910	Tuen Mun 38	1.662	Tuen Mun 38	28.540	Tuen Mun 38	0.822	Tuen Mun 38	0.494	TKO 137	34.409

Remark #: The C&D materials were delivered to NENT for reuse by laying cover of the landfilling area.

Table 8-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes for the Project

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
Recycled Metal ('000kg) #	137.78	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	2.2	Licensed collector	97.37	Licensed collector	237.35
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) #	0.3000	Licensed collector	0	-	0	-	0.04	Licensed collector	3.380	Licensed collector	3.72
Recycled Plastic ('000kg) #	2.0421	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0.001	Licensed collector	1.573	Licensed collector	3.6161
Chemical Wastes ('000kg) #	4.0720	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.072
General Refuses ('000m ³)	0.4167	NENT	0.115	NENT	0.217	NENT	0.025	NENT	0.4225	NENT	1.1962

Remark #: Unit of recycled metal, recycled paper/ cardboard packing, recycled plastic and chemical waste for Contract 3 was in ('000m³).

9 SITE INSPECTION

9.1 REQUIREMENTS

9.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should carry out to confirm the environmental performance.

9.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH

Contract 2

9.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 2 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 24 and 28 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.2 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 2** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-1**.

Table 9-1 Site Observations for Contract 2

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
3 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mud and silt cumulated in tempory drainage system was observed. The contractor should clean up the mud and silt to maintain the drainage system in good condition. (North Portal) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was deployed a labour to clean the discharge area.
10 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overflow of turbid water into the treated water tank water due to malfunction of submersible pump was observed. Proper maintenance of the wastewater de-silting system should be provided and ensure all discharge from the site is complied with discharge license requirement. (South Portal) Milky water was obseved discharging from construction site of Mid-Vent works area. The Contractor was immediately requested to stop discharging of the milky water and review the function of wastewater treatment facilities. Moreover, the Contractor should ensure the discharge water from construciton site is complied with discharge license requirement. (Mid-Vent) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The submersible pump was repaired and the wastewater de-silting system was resumed to normal operation. No milky water discharged from site was observed. As advised by the Contactor, the Production Manager had already stopped the inflow of wastewater from the tunnel to the WetSep system.
17 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mud and silt cumulated inside the storm water drainage was observed. Also untreated muddy water discharged into storm water drainage and discharge from site was observed. The Contractor was requested to stop the discharge immediately. The Contractor was advised that all discharge from site 	<p>According to the contractor's informations, the following action was taken:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cleansed the basin by excavator, manual washing and suction truck. Desilted the drainage collecting surface runoff from the permanent slope.

	should be complied with WPCO requirement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed all abandoned pipe connected to the basin. Diverted all muddy water directly to the wastewater treatment plant
24 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drip tray should be provided for oil drum storage on-site. (South Portal) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The oil drum was removed.
28 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Free standing chemical container was observed, the Contractor should provide drip tray underneath. (Mid-Vent) The Contractor was reminded to provide dust suppression measures, as appropriately. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The chemical container was removed. Not required for reminder.

Contract 3

9.2.3 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 3 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 15, 20 and 27 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.4 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 3** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-2**.

Table 9-2 Site Observations for Contract 3

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
6 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
15 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to provide label with emergency contact person information for the chemical waste storage container on site. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not required for reminder
20 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Muddy water discharged into the river was observed. The Contractor was advised to avoid muddy water discharge into the river at ID 5 Box. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No muddy water discharged into river was observed.
27 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA

Contract 6

9.2.5 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 6 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.6 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 6** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-4**.

Table 9-4 Site Observations for Contract 6

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
2 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
9 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Free standing chemical containers placed at the river edge was observed, the Contractor should remove the chemical containers away 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical containers were removed away from watercourse.

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
	from the river. (Location Bridge 1/ WM1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water leaking out through the metal bridge at Bridge Y was observed, the Contractor should provide corrective measures to prevent any run-off. It was reminded that cleanliness on the public road should be maintain. (Location: Bridge C) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gaps under lateral barrier have been sealed so as to prevent run-off from leaking. Not required for reminder.
16 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
23 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
30 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA

Contract SS C505

9.2.7 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract SS C505 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 14, 22 and 29 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.8 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract SS C505** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-5**.

Table 9-5 Site Observations for Contract SS C505

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockpile without proper cover was observed near PTB West. The Contractor should cover the stockpile with tarpaulin sheet to reduce dust generation. Holes were observed at drip tray near Bridge 4 which chemical leakage from drip tray could be occurred. The Contractor should repair the drip tray to avoid land contamination. The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water on site regularly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockpile was covered properly. Drip tray was repaired and no holes was observed. Not required for reminder.
8 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical container without drip tray was observed at PTB. The Contractor should place the container into drip tray to avoid land contamination. The Contractor was reminded to remove the stagnant water after rainy days. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The chemical container was removed from the works area. Not required for reminder.
14 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accumulation of stagnant water was observed at work area of PTB. The contractor was advised to clear it A.S.A.P. Construction waste was observed on the ground at work area of Building Two. The contractor was advised to dispose construction waste regularly and provide proper storage area with labeling for construction waste. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stagnant water was removed. Construction waste storage area label was provided.

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to perform housekeeping within work area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
22 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stagnant water was observed at drip tray located at PTB East ground floor and at PTB slap. The Contractor should remove the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding. General refuse storage without label was observed near PTB East. The Contractor should identify and provide label for the waste storage area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stagnant water was removed. Construction waste storage area label was provided.
29 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Free standing chemical container without drip tray was observed near Building 9. The Contractor should place the container on the drip tray to avoid land contamination. Stagnant water was observed at PTB slap. The Contractor should remove the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The free standing chemical was placed into drip tray. Stagnant water observed at PTB slap was removed.

Contract 7

9.2.9 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 7 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **7, 14, 21 and 28 March 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.10 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 7** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-6**.

Table 9-6 Site Observations for Contract 7

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
7 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse environmental issue was observed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NA
14 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor was reminded to maintain the cleanliness at site exit/entrance at 53 Gate. It was reminded that all the chemical container should be provided with drip tray. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not required for reminder. Not required for reminder.
21 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was reminded that stagnant water should be cleaned out after the rainy days. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not required for reminder.
28 March 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stagnant water was observed inside the drip tray, the Contractor should removed the stagnant water as appropriately. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The stagnant water was removed from the drip tray.

9.2.11 General housekeeping such as daily site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all Contracts. Furthermore, the Contractors were reminded to implement Waste Management Plan of the Project.

Other Contracts

9.2.12 Since the construction work of Contract 5 has substantially completed and Contract 4 has not commenced, no site inspection was performed.

10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

10.1.1 In the Reporting Period, no summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for all Contracts. However, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received regarding noise issue for Contract 2 and wastewater issue for Contract 3. The investigation details for the complaint and status are presented below.

Investigation Result for the Documented Complaint received by EPD in late January 2017 (Contract 6) (received by ET on 27 February 2017) (last Reporting Period)

- 10.1.2 EPD received a complaint from the public regarding construction noise from operation of a tower crane at CCKJV's construction site near Wo Keng Shan Road was heard at about 3:00am in January 2017.
- 10.1.3 According to EPD's record, the concerned construction site has 3 valid CNPs and operation of tower crane was not covered by all CNPs. CNP GW-RN0005-17 and CNP GW-RN0062-17 are for tunnel works at South Portal (North Bound & South Bound) and North Portal (North Bound & South Bound) respectively. Furthermore, CNP GW-RN0090-17 is for segment erection at Bridge B which has not yet commenced. There are two tower cranes in the concern area in which one located at North Portal works area and the other located at South Portal works area.
- 10.1.4 According to the information provided by CCKJV, both tower cranes did not operate after 19:00 on normal days and also during restricted hours in daytime on general holiday/Sunday in January 2017. Moreover, there were no construction activities involve using of PME between 23:00 and 07:00 in January 2017.
- 10.1.5 Joint inspection by RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was carried out on 2 March 2017 for the complaint investigation. The inspection finding and observation during the site inspection are presented below.
- (a) Tower cranes were located within the works area of both South Portal and North Portal.
 - (b) There was no tower crane located within the works area under CNP GW- RN0090-17 for the segment erection at Bridge B.
 - (c) Power supply for the tower crane at South Portal were provided by generator whereas water supply and lighting powered by CLP.
 - (d) Power supply for the tower crane, water supply and lighting at North Portal were provided by CLP at North Portal.
- 10.1.6 As advised by CCKJV, for safety and security purpose, lights device (i.e. flood light) are used for North Portal (NP) and South Portal (SP) illumination. In January 2017, the lights device for SP was available where NP's were not available yet. Therefore, NP's illumination relied on lighting from tower crane but SP's didn't. Since lighting powered by CLP doesn't involve any PME, there should not be any non-compliance to the Noise control Ordinance. Moreover, according to the site condition, it is suspected that the complaint location should be North Portal works area.
- 10.1.7 In our investigation, there should not be any operation of tower crane and construction activities involve using of PME between 23:00 and 07:00 in January 2017 at the subject time. It is considered that the complaint is due to misunderstanding of tower crane in operation and it should be invalid to Contract 6. Nevertheless, CCKJV was reminded not to violate CNP conditions.

Investigation Result for the Documented Complaint received by RE on 6 and 7 March 2017

(Contract 2)

10.1.8 The investigation for the complaint is in progress.

Investigation Result for the Documented Complaint received by 1823 on 24 March 2017
(Contract 3)

10.1.9 A complaint was received from 1823 regarding water flowing from the construction site to the nearby drainage channel for a month ago. The concerned channel has been blocked by concrete and stagnant water was cumulated on the road which induced mosquito breeding problem. The complainant requested the relevant department to follow up. According to the details from the complainant, the suspected complaint location should be works area AC7 under Contract 3 (Chun Wo).

10.1.10 As advised by Chun Wo, the complaint location is right outside the site exit of works area AC7 in which water mitigation measures have been provided at related site exit to prevent wastewater flow out of the site. However, since last month, it was observed that a concrete lorry, which was not belonged to C3 or any other contracts of Project Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai, was occasionally parked at lay-by near the concerned site exit and unloading concrete to be conveyed into the village by construction wheel barrows. The concrete left-over was then washed and cumulated in the nearby drainage channel.

10.1.11 Joint inspection by RE, IEC, Chun Wo and ET was carried out on 3 April 2017 for the complaint investigation. The observations during site inspection are summarized below.

- (a) Wheel washing facilities was provided within the concerned site exit. A ramp was constructed at the site exit to prevent wastewater getting outside the construction site.
- (b) No stagnant water was observed at the concerned location and the blocked drainage channel was found cleared.
- (c) As advised by Chun Wo, they did voluntarily clear the blocked channel upon receipt of the complaint.

10.1.12 In our investigation, the condition of the concerned site exit was generally in order and no stagnant water was observed. Since the blocked drainage channel was not caused by the Contract work, it is considered that the complaint was not related to the works under Contract 3. Nevertheless, Chun Wo was reminded to pay attention on the cleanliness of the site exit and wheel washing facilities and ensure all runoff from the site area was fully diverted.

10.1.13 The statistical summary table of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 10-1, 10-2* and *10-3*.

Table 10-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
19 May 2014 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 2	0	26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (16) Water Quality • (7) Dust • (2) Noise • (1) dust & noise 	(5) water (2) dust (1) noise
06 Nov 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 3	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Dust • (2) Water quality • (1) Noise 	0
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 5	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (3) Dust • (1) Noise 	0

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 6	0	31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (22) Water Quality • (6) Dust • (2) Noise • (1) Nuisance 	(6) water (2) dust (1) Nuisance
15 Feb 2016 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 7	0	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Noise 	0
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	SS C505	0	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Noise • (1) dust 	0
1 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 2	1	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (16) Water Quality • (7) Dust • (3) Noise • (1) dust & noise 	#
	Contract 3	1	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Dust • (3) Water quality • (1) Noise 	0
	Contract 6	0	31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (22) Water Quality • (6) Dust • (2) Noise • (1) Nuisance 	NA
	Contract 7	0	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Noise 	NA
	SS C505	0	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Noise • (1) dust 	NA

Remark (#): One (1) environmental complaint in the reporting report is under investigation.

Table 10-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Summons Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
06 Nov 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

Table 10-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA

06 Nov 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 28 Feb 2017	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 28 Feb 2017	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

The Other Contracts

- 10.1.14 Since the construction works at the Contract 5 was substantially completed and Contract 4 has not yet commenced, no environmental complaint, summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme are registered in the Reporting Period.

11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

11.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix M*.
- 11.1.2 All contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 11-1*.

Table 11-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wastewater to be treated by the wastewater treatment facilities i.e. sedimentation tank or similar facility before discharge.
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain damp / wet surface on access road Low vehicular speed within the works areas. All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site Sprayed water during breaking works A cleaning truck was regularly performed on the public road to prevent fugitive dust emission
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restrain operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday. Keep good maintenance of plants Place noisy plants away from residence or school Provide noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works Shut down the plants when not in used.
Waste and Chemical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On-site sorting prior to disposal Follow requirements and procedures of the “Trip-ticket System” Predict required quantity of concrete accurately Collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site was generally kept tidy and clean.

11.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 11.2.1 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016. Construction activities for other Contracts in the coming month are listed below:

Contract 2

Mid-Vent Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adit invert slab, water proofing and lining TBM U-turn Tube tunnel post-excavation activities Structure connecting the adit tunnel, and ventilation building backfilling and superstructure works
North Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Southbound tunnel enlargement and Southbound tunnel bench excavation Construction of OHVD, cross passage and internal structure Retaining walls and slope stabilizations North ventilation building superstructure
South Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Southbound and Northbound D&B excavation South ventilation building superstructure Tunnel invert, waterproofing and lining Muck out from tunnels
Admin Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction of permanent drainage and fencing wall Internal fitting out, E&M and curtain wall installation

Contract 3

- Construction of Boundary Wall for Pumping Station
- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Extended Podium Construction near Bored Pile Wall
- Gabion wall construction
- Installation of noise barrier post and panel
- Footbridge construction
- Mini-pile installation works
- Noise barrier construction
- Pier / Pier Table construction
- Portal construction
- Profile barrier construction on Viaduct
- Road works
- Utilities duct laying
- Viaduct segment erection
- Water Main Laying
- Parapet Installation
- Planter Wall construction
- Existing Kiu Tau Footbridge demolition

Contract 6

- Bored Piling
- Pile Cap Construction
- Bridge Pier Construction
- Segment section
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Works

Contract 7

- U-trough and abutment construction at Bridge A and Bridge E
- Column construction at Bridge A and E
- Column and deck construction at Bridge B and D
- 3rd floor construction of Bridge C

Contract SS C505

- Building no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 26, 30, 36 and 41 construction
- ABWF Works and Contractors Testing for Building no.36
- Tower crane operation
- Bridge construction works including construction of bridge column, retaining wall, pile cap, pier, abutment, road and finishes works
- Underground drainage works, Road Works and Landscaping
- Formwork and falsework for PTB's slab construction
- Construction PTB M/F & 1/F flat slab
- Steel beam works for maintenance platform for PTB
- PTB backfilling works
- Bridge deck construction for Bridges 1 - 5

11.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 11.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month for Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 include:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm;
 - Regular clearance of stagnant water during wet season;
 - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
 - Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;

- Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
- Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
- Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
- Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
- Management of chemical wastes;
- Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
- Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
- Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures

11.3.2 Since the construction work of Contract 4 has not commenced, no environmental issue is presented.

12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1 CONCLUSIONS


- 12.1.1 This is the 44th monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 31 March 2017.
- 12.1.2 For air quality monitoring, no 1-hour monitoring results triggered the Action or Limit Levels were recorded. However, there were three (3) Action Level exceedances for 24-hour TSP which recorded at AM2, AM3 and AM6. Investigation reports for cause of exceedances were conducted by ET and investigation results revealed that all the exceedances were not project related.
- 12.1.3 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint which triggered an Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 12.1.4 For water quality monitoring, a total of eight (8) Limit Level (LL) exceedances, namely two (2) LL exceedance of turbidity and six (6) LL exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project. According to the investigation result, all exceedances recorded at WM2A(a) were concluded as non-project related and the exceedances at WM1 and WM3x were under investigation.
- 12.1.5 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.
- 12.1.6 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received regarding noise issue for Contract 2 and wastewater issue for Contract 3. Investigation report (IR) for complaint in Contract 3 revealed that the complaint was not related to the Contract. The IR for complaint in Contract 2 is in progress.
- 12.1.7 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, IEC, ET with the relevant Main-contractor were carried out for Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.

12.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.2.1 As wet season is approaching, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River.
- 12.2.2 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- 12.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- 12.2.4 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.
- 12.2.5 Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be kept to prevent mosquito breeding on site.

Appendix A


Layout plan of the Project



LOCATION PLAN
SCALE 1 : 3000


LEGEND:
--- UNDERGROUND WORKS

DESIGN NO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	
SCALE	
PROJECT	
DESIGNER	CECO Civil Engineering and Construction Department
CLIENT	LIANJIAN/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS-BORDER (HKSAR PORTION) SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
AECOM	
DRGNO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	
SCALE	
PROJECT	
DESIGNER	CECO Civil Engineering and Construction Department
CLIENT	LIANJIAN/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS-BORDER (HKSAR PORTION) SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
AECOM	
DRGNO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	
SCALE	
PROJECT	
DESIGNER	CECO Civil Engineering and Construction Department
CLIENT	LIANJIAN/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS-BORDER (HKSAR PORTION) SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
AECOM	



Appendix B

Organization Chart



Environmental Management Organization for Contract 2 - (CV/2012/08)

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 2 - CV/2012/08

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	CT Wong	2171 3300	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
DHK	Project Director	Daniel Altier	3605 0888	2171 3299
DHK	Deputy Project Manager	Alan Kam	9016 8493	2171 3299
DHK	QSE Manager	Roger Lee	6293 8726	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Officer	Simon Wong	2171 3017	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Supervisor	Ho Ming Kin	6979 4220	2171 3299
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

DHK(Main Contractor) –Dragages Hong Kong Ltd.

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Environmental Management Organization for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Alan Lee	2171 3300	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Chun Wo	Project Director	Ken Ko	3758 8735	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Project Manager	William Leung	2638 6136	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Site Agent	Daniel Ho	2638 6144	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental Officer	Victor Huang Tiffany Tsang	2638 6115	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Assistant Environmental Officer	Yip Yun Lam	2638 6125	2638 7077
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

Chun Wo (Main Contractor) – Chun Wo Construction Ltd.

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Environmental Management Organization – CV/2013/08

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 6 - CV/2013/08

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2674 2273	2674 7732
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
CCK JV	Project Director	Wang Yanhua	6190 4212	--
CCK JV	Construction Manager	Raymond Mau Sai-Wai	9011 5340	--
CCK JV	Site Agent	Vincent Chan	9655 9404	--
CCK JV	Senior Safety & Environmental Manager	Alex Lam	5547 0181	--
CCK JV	Environmental Manager	K M Lui	51138223	--
CCK JV	Assistant Environmental Officer	Pan Fong	9436 9432	--
CCK JV	Environmental Supervisor	Kevin Cheung/ Connie Yuen	6316 6931 6117 1344	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

CCK JV (Main Contractor) – CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Environmental Management Organization –NE/2014/03

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 7 – NE/2014/03

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2674 2273	2674 7732
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
KRSJV	Project Director	Wong Yu	2682 6691	2682 2783
KRSJV	Project Manager	Cheng Pong Yin	9023 4821	2682 2783
KRSJV	Site Agent	Tsang Wai Cheong, Matthew	9705 7536	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Officer	Cheung Ka Wia, Barry	6117 2339	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Supervisor	Yung Kwok Wai	6592 3084	2682 2783
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

KRS JV (Main Contractor) –Kwan On-Richwell-SCG Joint Venture

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Environmental Management Organigram

Environmental Management Organization for Contract SS C505

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract SS C505

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
ArchSD	Works agent for the Development Bureau (DEVB)	Mr. William Cheng	2867 3904	2804 6805
Ronald Lu & Partners	Architect/ Architect's Representative	Mr. Justin Cheung	3189 9272	2834 5442
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Leighton	Operation Manager	Mr. Karl Speed	2823 1433	25298784
Leighton	Project Director	Mr. Ian Taylor	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Environmental Officer	Mr. Kim Lui	3973 1069	-
Leighton	Assistant Environmental Officer	Ms. Penny Yiu	3973 0818	-
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

ArchSD (Project Proponent) – Architectural Services Department

Ronald Lu & Partners (Architect/ Architect's Representative) – Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd

Leighton (Main Contractor) – Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Appendix C

3-month rolling construction program

Contract 2

Contract 3

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

CEDD Contract No: CV/2012/09

Main Contractor: Chun Wo Construction Ltd



俊和建築工程有限公司

CHUN WO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

Tentative Three Months (March, April and May 2017) Construction Rolling Program

Item	Construction Activites
1	Boundary wall for pumping station
2	Cable detection and trial trenches
3	Extended podium construction near bored pile wall
4	Gabion wall construction
5	Installation of noise barrier steel post & panel
6	Footbridge Construction
7	Mini-pile installation works
8	Noise barrier construction
9	Pier table construction
10	Pipe jacking works for DN2200 water mains
11	Portal construction
12	Profile barrier Construction on Viaduct
13	Roadworks
14	Utilities duct laying
15	Viaduct Segment erection
16	Water main laying works
17	Parapet Installation
18	Planter Wall construction
19	Existing Kiu Tau Footbridge demolition

Contract 6

Contract 7

Contract SS C505

Tentative Three Months (March, April and May 2017) Construction Rolling Program

Item	Construction Activities
1	Passenger Terminal Building - Substructure Works
2	Passenger Terminal Building - RC Superstructure Works
3	Passenger Terminal Building - ABWF Works & Building Services Installation Works
4	C&ED Detector Dog Base - RC structures and Integrated ABWF & BS Works
5	HKPF Building and Observation Tower - Substructure, RC structures, Integrated ABWF & BS Works, Electrical Installation Works
6	Fire Station and Drill Tower - Structures, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works, Electrical Installation Works
7	Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) - Underground Drainage & Utilities, RC Structure and Integrated ABWF & BS Works
8	Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) - Underground Drainage & Utilities and RC Structure, ABWF & BS Works
9	Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) - Substructures, RC Structures and ABWF & BS Works
10	Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) - Substructures, RC Structural Works and ABWF & BS Works
11	GV Kiosk (Inbound) - Substructures Works
12	GV Kiosk (Outbound) - Earthworks and Substructures Works
13	Public Toilets (Inbound) - Earthworks
14	Public Toilets (Outbound) - Earthworks and Substructures Works
15	MXRVSS (Inbound) - Site Formation works
16	MXRVSS (Outbound) - Structures works
17	Traffic Control Office (Outbound) - Site Formation and Substructure Works
18	Refuse Collection Point - Site Formation, Substructure and Structures Works
19	Guard Booth (Outbound) - Site Formation Works
20	Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room - ABWF works and Contractors Testing
21	Irrigation Pump Room - Site Formation and Substructure works
22	Elevated Walkway (E1, E3 & E4) - Structure Works
23	Vehicular bridges 1-5 - Pilecaps / Piers / abutment / retaining walls / portal, Bridge Decks, Road and Finishes Works
24	External Works in Portion 1 - Underground utilities, Road Works and Landscaping
25	External Works in Portion 2 - Underground utilities, Road Works and Landscaping

Appendix D

Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM&A Manual

LEGEND:
 - - - - - BOUNDARY OF HSAR
 - - - - - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - - - - - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
 X AIR MONITORING STATIONS

PI	REV. TO	REV. NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	CHKD BY
			FIRST ISSUE			



**CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT**

AGREEMENT NO. CE-45/2008(CE)
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS


PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Checked	EC

Drawn	H/EC	Checked	EC


Scale of A1	Project	Date	Sheet
1:20000	253228		

Drawing No. **FIGURE 2.1**
 Title **P1**



© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited
 This document is confidential and is intended for the use of the individual named herein. It is not to be distributed, copied, or used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited.



- LEGEND:
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - - - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - - - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
 - X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

PI	ADD TO	NING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	RE
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Checked	Appr'd



100 The Landmark East
100 The Landmark West
Hong Kong, China
Tel: +852 2218 2222
Fax: +852 2218 2222
www.mottmac.com

CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT


Project
AGREEMENT NO. CE-45/2008(CE)
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Proposed
LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designated	IC	Pre-CHK	EC
Station	N/INC	Description	EC
Dist. CHS.	DC	Approval	BT
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228
Drawn by	CE45/2008(CE)001/001/016.14/01	Checked	
Checked by		Date	PRE
			PI

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited
This document is intended for use as a design document and for construction purposes only. It is not to be used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited.
The design and construction of any works shown on this drawing are the responsibility of Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited.



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
- LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
- X PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION

MONITORING STATION	CO-ORDINATES	
	EASTING (M)	NORTHING (M)
WMA	837668.635	845171.097
WMA-CONTROL	834185.460	845916.662
WMA	834132.193	844832.910
WMA-CONTROL	835205.329	844200.151
WMB	835334.744	843384.606
WMB-CONTROL	835845.878	843343.625
WMC	836323.622	842404.977
WMC-CONTROL	836163.419	842425.507
WMA	833840.763	838344.842
WMA-CONTROL A	834038.937	837688.995
WMA-CONTROL B	833769.123	837406.936

P2	REV	BY	DATE	DESCRIPTION	HC	HT
	01			GENERAL REVISION		
	01			FIRST ISSUE		
					HC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Checked	Approved	



100 The Quadrant Road
25th Floor, The Hub
Hong Kong, China
T +852 2500 8200
F +852 2500 1000
www.mottmac.com

**CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT**

PROJECT
AGREEMENT NO. CE-45/2008(CE)
LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

TITLE
LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY
MONITORING STATIONS


Drawn	HC	HT	EC

Scale at A1
1:20000
Project
255228
Scale
PRE
Drawing No
FIGURE 4.1
Rev
P2

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix E

Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring




- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
 - X Air Monitoring Stations in the EM&A Manual
 - Proposed Air Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Chk'd	App'd



20/F Two Landmark East
120 Hoo Ming Street
Kowloon, Kowloon
Hong Kong
T +852 2518 5757
F +852 2827 1823
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client



CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project
 AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS


Title
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	EC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC	
Draw.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
		CAD file	255228\report\env\em&a\00831\FE_21.dgn	PRE
Drawing No				Rev
				P1

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.

0 200 400 600 800 1000m
 1:20 000 SCALE BM
 © COPYRIGHT RESERVED

FIGURE 2.1




- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - WORKS AREA (TUNNELL)
 - X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
 - Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd



20F Two Landmark East
120 Hoo Ming Street
Kowloon, Kowloon
Hong Kong
T +852 2558 5757
F +852 2827 1823
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client




CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project
 AGREEMENT NO. CB45/2008(CB)
 LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	BC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	BC	
Dep.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
		CAD file	255228\report\env\env\env\00831\FE_31.dgn	PRB
Drawing No				Rev
				P1

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
 - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
 - X Water Quality Monitoring Location Recommended in EM&A Manual
 - O Alternative Water Quality Monitoring Location for EM&A Programme
 - △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015
 - △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Station ID	Location recommended in EM&A Manual		Location found during site visit	
	Coordinates		Coordinates	
	Easting	Northing	Easting	Northing
WM1	83456.833	84577.072	83457.1	84542.1
WM1-Control	83418.480	84591.667	83418.5	84517.1
WM2A	83412.319	84432.910	83420.4	84517.3
WM2A-Control	83505.329	84400.151	83527.0	84474.5
WM2B	83514.744	84339.606	83543.5	84339.7
WM2B-Control	83565.878	84343.625	83535.1	84355.1
WM3	83623.622	84265.377	83632.4	84240.7
WM3-Control	83675.410	84243.507	83675.1	84240.0
WM4	83540.781	83834.842	83550.1	83838.1
WM4-Control A	84018.937	83764.995	84028.1	83765.1
WM4-Control B	83769.123	83740.916	83760.1	83739.1

New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A-C (Original)	0835270	0844243
WM2A-Cx (Proposed)	0835377	0844188
WM3 (Original)	0836324	0842407
WM3x (Proposed)	0836206	0842270

New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A (Original)	834204	844471
WM2A(a) (Proposed)	834191	844474

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd
P2	NOV 10	MING	GENERAL REVISION	HC	HT
P1	OCT 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	HC	HT



Client

CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project
 AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008 (CE)
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title
 LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	HC	Eng.Chk.	EC
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC
Dwg.Chk.	HC	Approved	HT
Scale at A1	Project 255228		Status
1:20000	CAD file: S:\255228\REPORTS\NEW\EM&A\WQMS\LOC_A1.dgn		PRE
Drawing No	Appendix C		Rev
			P2

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was commissioned.

Appendix F

Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	22/2/2017
Location ID : AM1b	Next Calibration Date:	22/4/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # -> 1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6	6	12.0	1.763	50	50.57	25.1357	6.8922	0.9983
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.546	46	46.52			
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.369	41	41.47			
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.098	34	34.39			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	28	28.32			

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{sqrt}(H_{2O}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Date of Calibration:	22/2/2017
Location ID : AM2	Next Calibration Date:	22/4/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.734	56	56.64	Slope = 29.5511 Intercept = 6.1722 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.546	52	52.59	
10	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.350	46	46.52	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.098	38	38.43	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	31	31.35	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station
 Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
 Technician: Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.734	58	58.66	Slope = 26.0975 Intercept = 12.9675 Corr. coeff. = 0.9982
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.512	52	52.59	
10	3.4	3.6	7.0	1.350	47	47.53	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.074	40	40.45	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.797	34	34.39	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	22/2/2017
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	22/4/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.658	58	58.66	Slope = 34.9980 Intercept = 0.3845 Corr. coeff. = 0.9995
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.495	52	52.59	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.331	46	46.52	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.074	38	38.43	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	30	30.34	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House
 Location ID : AM5a

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
 Technician: Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.778	55	55.63	Slope = 36.2865 Intercept = -8.1354 Corr. coeff. = 0.9967
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.562	49	49.56	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.369	42	42.48	
7	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.209	34	34.39	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	23	23.26	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House
 Location ID : AM6

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
 Technician: Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION Slope = 42.6443 Intercept = -11.8705 Corr. coeff. = 0.9968
18	6.5	6.5	13.0	1.835	67	67.76	
13	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.595	55	55.63	
10	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.406	46	46.52	
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.121	35	35.40	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.889	27	27.31	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village
 Location ID : AM7b

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
 Technician: Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.388	52	52.59	Slope = 32.5304 Intercept = 6.4456 Corr. coeff. = 0.9956
13	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.331	48	48.55	
10	2.6	2.6	5.2	1.166	44	44.50	
7	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.918	36	36.41	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.797	32	32.36	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4
 Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
 Technician: Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
							Slope = 35.2897 Intercept = 1.6780 Corr. coeff. = 0.9979
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.807	65	65.74	
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.579	56	56.64	
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.388	50	50.57	
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.121	42	42.48	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.889	32	32.36	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80
 Location ID : AM9b

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
 Technician: Fai So

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.734	52	52.59	Slope = 27.5205 Intercept = 4.6980 Corr. coeff. = 0.9984
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.546	47	47.53	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.369	41	41.47	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.098	35	35.40	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	28	28.32	

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 295
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1612 Pa (mm) - 745.49

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3770	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9710	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8710	7.8	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8310	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6860	12.6	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866	0.7165	1.4078	0.9957	0.7231	0.8896
0.9824	1.0117	1.9909	0.9914	1.0210	1.2581
0.9804	1.1256	2.2259	0.9894	1.1360	1.4066
0.9793	1.1785	2.3345	0.9883	1.1893	1.4753
0.9741	1.4200	2.8155	0.9830	1.4330	1.7792
Qstd slope (m) = 2.00411			Qa slope (m) = 1.25494		
intercept (b) = -0.03059			intercept (b) = -0.01933		
coefficient (r) = 0.99995			coefficient (r) = 0.99995		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 2X6145
 Equipment Ref: EQ105
 Job Order HK1603558

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1602	11.7
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1522	9.3
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3347	23.6

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 593 (CPM)
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 596 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9985
 Date of Issue 11 January 2016



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature : Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 12 January 2016

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_{std} = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 T_{av} = daily average temperature
 P_{av} = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 366409
 Equipment Ref: EQ109
 Job Order HK1603560

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1577	11.5
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1433	8.8
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3328	23.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 540 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 540 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9975

Date of Issue 11 January 2016



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2016

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_{std} = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 T_{av} = daily average temperature
 P_{av} = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 366410
 Equipment Ref: EQ110
 Job Order HK1603561

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1566	11.4
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1422	8.7
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3318	23.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 660 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 661 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9973

Date of Issue 11 January 2016



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Donald Kwok Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_{std} = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 T_{av} = daily average temperature
 P_{av} = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 3Y6503
 Equipment Ref: EQ112
 Job Order HK1603553

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1633	11.9
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1502	9.2
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3365	23.8

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 642 (CPM)
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 648 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9989
 Date of Issue 11 January 2016



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Donald Kwok Signature: [Signature] Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature: [Signature] Date: 12 January 2016

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_{std} = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 T_{av} = daily average temperature
 P_{av} = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 3Y6505
 Equipment Ref: EQ114
 Job Order HK1603562

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1589	11.6
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1473	9.0
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3314	23.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 588 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 585 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022


Correlation Coefficient 0.9985


Date of Issue 11 January 2016



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$


$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_{std} = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 T_{av} = daily average temperature
 P_{av} = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 2X6145
 Equipment Ref: EQ105
 Job Order HK1703462

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	13025	67.2
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3586	30.6
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4709	39.6

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 581 (CPM)
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 580 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9992
 Date of Issue 11 January 2017



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	35.6871	-6.1123	0.9967
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49			
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43			
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 366409
 Equipment Ref: EQ109
 Job Order HK1703455

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12487	64.4
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3433	29.3
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4815	40.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 523 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 525 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9997

Date of Issue 11 January 2017



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope =	35.6871	
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49	Intercept =	-6.1123	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43	Corr. coeff. =	0.9967	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 366410
 Equipment Ref: EQ110
 Job Order HK1703460

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12401	64.0
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3266	27.9
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4878	41.1

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 677 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 675 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9984

Date of Issue 11 January 2017



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope =	35.6871	
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49	Intercept =	-6.1123	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43	Corr. coeff. =	0.9967	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 3Y6503
 Equipment Ref: EQ112
 Job Order HK1703461

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12647	65.3
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3476	29.7
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4876	41.0

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 654 (CPM)
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 658 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9997
 Date of Issue 11 January 2017



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope = 35.6871 Intercept = -6.1123 Corr. coeff. = 0.9967		
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49			
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43			
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 3Y6505
 Equipment Ref: EQ114
 Job Order HK1703464

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12588	65.0
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3339	28.5
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4774	40.2

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 588 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 587 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9992

Date of Issue 11 January 2017



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Martin Li Signature: [Signature] Date: 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature: [Signature] Date: 11 January 2017

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope = 35.6871 Intercept = -6.1123 Corr. coeff. = 0.9967		
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49			
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43			
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 26 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ065)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 2238
Serial No. / 編號 : 2337676
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 June 2016


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By
核證


K C Lee
Project Engineer

Date of Issue
簽發日期

6 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.
本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996

證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L _{ASP}		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L _{AIP}		I			94.1	± 0.1

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L _{AFP}	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AFMax}				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L _{ASP}		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{ASMax}				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.9	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.4	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996
證書編號

6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L _{CFP}	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.2	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)			
30 - 110	L _{Aeq}	A	10 sec.	4	1		110.0	1/10	100	100.2	± 0.5
			60 sec.					1/10 ²	90	90.1	± 0.5
								1/10 ³	80	79.8	± 1.0
								1/10 ⁴	70	69.8	± 1.0
5 min.											

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812708

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
	104 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
	114 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
	Burst equivalent level	: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory


c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162991
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 24 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ083)
 Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion
 Model No. / 型號 : NC-74
 Serial No. / 編號 : 34246492
 Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
 Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 June 2016


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
 The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
 The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By
核證


K C Lee
Project Engineer

Date of Issue
簽發日期

3 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.
 本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162991
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.3	± 0.2

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.001	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0662) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 22 March 2016
Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ014)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion
Model No. / 型號 : NL-52
Serial No. / 編號 : 00142580
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 6 April 2016


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By
核證


K C Lee
Project Engineer

Date of Issue
簽發日期

7 April 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C160077
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	* 91.9	± 1.1

* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

- 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797
證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.3

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.5	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L _C	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	87.6	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797
證書編號

- Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 07725
- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1
- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
- | | | |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| | 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| | 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| | 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 April 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 2238
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285762
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 25 April 2016


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By : 
測試 : _____
H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By : 
核證 : _____
K C Lee
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 27 April 2016
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C160077
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.2

- 6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177

證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L _{ASP}		S			94.0	± 0.1
	L _{AIP}		I			94.1	± 0.1

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L _{AFP}	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AFMax}				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L _{ASP}		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{ASMax}				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	55.1	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177
證書編號

6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L _{CFP}	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.5	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.4	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.1	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)			
30 - 110	L _{Aeq}	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5	
								1/10 ²	90	89.9	± 0.5
								1/10 ³	80	79.2	± 1.0
								1/10 ⁴	70	69.2	± 1.0
			60 sec.								
			5 min.								

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812705

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB	1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB	1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
Burst equivalent level		: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162438
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 5 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ081)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 4231
Serial No. / 編號 : 2326408
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 10 May 2016


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By
測試


H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By
核證


K C Lee
Project Engineer

Date of Issue
簽發日期

11 May 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162438
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162125
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 April 2016
Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ082)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 4231
Serial No. / 編號 : 2713428
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 22 April 2016

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By : 
測試 : H T Wong
Technical Officer

Certified By : 
核證 : K C Lee
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 25 April 2016
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C162125
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.1		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
T +852 2610 1044 F +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR BEN TAM
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,
KWAI CHUNG,
N.T., HONG KONG.

WORK ORDER: HK1705560
SUB-BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 09/02/2017
DATE OF ISSUE: 16/02/2017


COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature
Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter
Brand Name: YSI
Model No.: 550A
Serial No.: 16A104433
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager – Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1705560
Sub-Batch: 0
Date of Issue: 16/02/2017
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES



Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter
Brand Name: YSI
Model No.: 550A
Serial No.: 16A104433
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017 Date of next Calibration: 14 May, 2017

Parameters:

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
4.26	4.22	-0.04
6.02	5.93	-0.09
9.06	8.88	-0.18
Tolerance Limit (mg/L)		±0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
6.5	6.9	+0.4
20.0	20.5	+0.5
39.0	38.1	-0.9
Tolerance Limit (°C)		±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganics



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
T +852 2610 1044 F +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR BEN TAM
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG,
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,
KWAI CHUNG,
N.T., HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK1705557
SUB-BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 09/02/2017
DATE OF ISSUE: 16/02/2017


COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: pH and Temperature
Description: pH Meter
Brand Name: AZ
Model No.: 8685
Serial No.: 1127748
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK1705557
Sub-batch: 0
Date of Issue: 16/02/2017
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

Description: pH Meter
Brand Name: AZ
Model No.: 8685
Serial No.: 1127748
Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017 **Date of next Calibration:** 14 May, 2017

Parameters:

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.1	+0.10
7.0	6.8	-0.20
10.0	9.8	-0.20
Tolerance Limit (pH Unit)		±0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
6.5	7.3	+0.8
20.0	19.0	-1.0
38.0	36.8	-1.2
Tolerance Limit (°C)		±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganics



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
T +852 2610 1044 F +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR BEN TAM
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,
KWAI CHUNG,
N.T., HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK1705562
SUB-BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 09/02/2017
DATE OF ISSUE: 16/02/2017

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Turbidity
Equipment Type: Turbidimeter
Brand Name: HACH
Model No.: 2100Q
Serial No.: 11030C008499
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager – Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK1705562
Sub-batch: 0
Date of Issue: 16/02/2017
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

Equipment Type: Turbidimeter
Brand Name: HACH
Model No.: 2100Q
Serial No.: 11030C008499
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

Date of next Calibration: 14 May, 2017

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.41	--
4	4.00	0.0
40	41.2	+3.0
80	78.8	-1.5
400	380	-5.0
800	742	-7.3
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Chan Siu Ming'.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganics

Appendix G

Event and Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not
	and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	the ER accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event		ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level	1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.	
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

EVENT	ACTION CONTRACTOR			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 2 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities.

Appendix H

Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period –March 2017

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
WED	1-MAR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	2-MAR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
FRI	3-MAR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SAT	4-MAR-17				
SUN	5-MAR-17				
MON	6-MAR-17				
TUE	7-MAR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	8-MAR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
THU	9-MAR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	10-MAR-17				
SAT	11-MAR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	12-MAR-17				
MON	13-MAR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	14-MAR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
WED	15-MAR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	16-MAR-17				
FRI	17-MAR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SAT	18-MAR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
SUN	19-MAR-17				
MON	20-MAR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
TUE	21-MAR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	22-MAR-17				
THU	23-MAR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	24-MAR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
SAT	25-MAR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	26-MAR-17				
MON	27-MAR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	28-MAR-17				
WED	29-MAR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	30-MAR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
FRI	31-MAR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period –April 2017

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
SAT	1-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8			
SUN	2-APR-17				
MON	3-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	4-APR-17				
WED	5-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
THU	6-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	7-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
SAT	8-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	9-APR-17				
MON	10-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	11-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
WED	12-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	13-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
FRI	14-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SAT	15-APR-17				
SUN	16-APR-17				
MON	17-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
TUE	18-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	19-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
THU	20-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	21-APR-17				
SAT	22-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	23-APR-17				
MON	24-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	25-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
WED	26-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	27-APR-17				
FRI	28-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
SAT	29-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	30-APR-17				

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

Appendix I

Database of Monitoring Result

24-hour TSP Monitoring Data

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m ³)	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m ³)
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
AM1b – Open Area, Tsung Yuen Ha Village															
1-Mar-17	20598	12745.42	12769.59	1450.20	50	50	50.0	18.8	1019.5	1.74	2526	2.8240	3.1504	0.3264	129
7-Mar-17	20631	12769.59	12793.76	1450.20	48	48	48.0	18	1016.5	1.66	2409	2.8135	3.0446	0.2311	96
13-Mar-17	20659	12793.76	12817.89	1447.80	50	50	50.0	19	1016	1.74	2516	2.8223	2.9951	0.1728	69
18-Mar-17	20719	12817.89	12842.07	1450.80	50	50	50.0	19.7	1014.9	1.73	2516	2.7970	3.0074	0.2104	84
24-Mar-17	20704	12842.07	12866.21	1448.40	50	50	50.0	20.8	1016.3	1.73	2509	2.8202	2.9993	0.1791	71
30-Mar-17	20748	12866.21	12890.39	1450.80	50	50	50.0	21.3	1002.3	1.72	2490	2.7927	3.1185	0.3258	131
AM2 - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road															
1-Mar-17	20600	8260.50	8284.19	1421.40	42	42	42.0	18.8	1019.5	1.23	1751	2.8324	3.1810	0.3486	199
7-Mar-17	20632	8284.19	8307.98	1427.40	48	50	49.0	18	1016.5	1.47	2101	2.8165	3.1188	0.3023	144
13-Mar-17	20657	8307.98	8331.77	1427.40	50	52	51.0	19	1016	1.54	2194	2.8555	3.1767	0.3212	146
18-Mar-17	20721	8331.77	8355.46	1421.40	50	50	50.0	19.7	1014.9	1.50	2132	2.8137	3.0299	0.2162	101
24-Mar-17	20705	8355.46	8379.28	1429.20	50	50	50.0	20.8	1016.3	1.50	2140	2.8306	3.0241	0.1935	90
30-Mar-17	20746	8379.28	8403.00	1423.20	50	52	51.0	21.9	1002.3	1.52	2158	2.8106	3.0590	0.2484	115
AM3 - Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village															
1-Mar-17	20601	9404.16	9428.17	1440.60	50	50	50.0	18.8	1019.5	1.45	2082	2.8009	3.1855	0.3846	185
7-Mar-17	20630	9428.17	9452.18	1440.60	50	50	50.0	18	1016.5	1.44	2082	2.8110	3.0602	0.2492	120
13-Mar-17	20658	9452.18	9476.18	1440.00	42	42	42.0	19	1016	1.13	1629	2.8267	3.0044	0.1777	109
18-Mar-17	20720	9476.18	9500.18	1440.00	42	60	51.0	19.7	1014.9	1.48	2126	2.8112	2.8576	0.0464	22
24-Mar-17	20706	9500.18	9524.18	1440.00	40	42	41.0	20.8	1016.3	1.09	1566	2.8440	2.9120	0.0680	43
30-Mar-17	20745	9524.18	9548.18	1440.00	40	40	40.0	21.9	1007.3	1.04	1497	2.7815	2.8941	0.1126	75
AM4b - House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village															
2-Mar-17	20625	11409.48	11433.48	1440.00	43	43	43.0	19.4	1019.4	1.23	1776	2.8177	3.0051	0.1874	106
8-Mar-17	20651	11433.48	11457.48	1440.00	46	46	46.0	16.3	1017.5	1.33	1909	2.8418	2.9255	0.0837	44
14-Mar-17	20688	11457.48	11481.48	1440.00	44	44	44.0	19.1	1015.8	1.26	1815	2.8061	2.9048	0.0987	54
20-Mar-17	20700	11481.48	11505.48	1440.00	44	44	44.0	21.9	1015.1	1.25	1806	2.8237	2.9168	0.0931	52
25-Mar-17	20707	11505.49	11529.49	1440.00	44	44	44.0	20.2	1017.2	1.26	1813	2.8455	2.9485	0.1030	57
31-Mar-17	20749	11529.49	11553.49	1440.00	44	44	44.0	20.1	1015.3	1.26	1811	2.7842	2.8617	0.0775	43
AM5a - Ping Yeung Village House															
2-Mar-17	20626	9255.62	9279.61	1439.40	52	52	52.0	19.4	1019.4	1.68	2411	2.8041	3.1039	0.2998	124
8-Mar-17	20634	9279.61	9303.63	1441.20	50	50	50.0	16.3	1017.5	1.63	2343	2.8078	3.0125	0.2047	87
14-Mar-17	20687	9303.63	9327.63	1440.00	50	50	50.0	19.1	1015.8	1.62	2329	2.8012	2.9103	0.1091	47
20-Mar-17	20693	9327.63	9351.64	1440.60	48	50	49.0	21.9	1015.1	1.58	2280	2.8164	2.9676	0.1512	66

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m ³)	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m ³)
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
25-Mar-17	20708	9351.64	9375.64	1440.00	48	48	48.0	20.2	1017.2	1.56	2247	2.8360	3.0100	0.1740	77
31-Mar-17	20750	9375.64	9399.64	1440.00	48	48	48.0	20.1	1015.3	1.56	2245	2.8084	2.8865	0.0781	35
AM6 - Wo Keng Shan Village House															
2-Mar-17	20603	7837.73	7861.73	1440.00	56	56	56.0	19.4	1019.4	1.61	2316	2.8311	3.3553	0.5242	226
8-Mar-17	20633	7861.73	7885.75	1441.20	56	56	56.0	16.3	1017.5	1.61	2326	2.8259	3.1682	0.3423	147
14-Mar-17	20685	7885.75	7909.76	1440.60	54	54	54.0	19.4	1015.6	1.56	2245	2.8275	3.1172	0.2897	129
20-Mar-17	20692	7909.76	7933.76	1440.00	54	54	54.0	21.9	1015.1	1.55	2235	2.8043	3.1016	0.2973	133
25-Mar-17	20709	7933.76	7957.76	1440.00	54	54	54.0	20.2	1017.2	1.56	2243	2.8188	3.0922	0.2734	122
31-Mar-17	20751	7957.70	7981.70	1440.00	54	54	54.0	20.1	1015.3	1.56	2241	2.7820	2.9957	0.2137	95
AM7b - Loi Tung Village House															
2-Mar-17	20605	16874.66	16898.60	1436.40	30	30	30.0	19.4	1019.4	0.74	1057	2.7869	2.8190	0.0321	30
8-Mar-17	20684	16908.60	16932.60	1440.00	42	42	42.0	16.3	1017.5	1.11	1605	2.8259	2.9823	0.1564	97
14-Mar-17	20689	16932.60	16956.60	1440.00	42	42	42.0	19.4	1015.6	1.11	1594	2.8040	2.9039	0.0999	63
20-Mar-17	20701	16956.60	16980.60	1440.00	40	40	40.0	21.9	1015.1	1.04	1496	2.8046	2.9326	0.1280	86
25-Mar-17	20752	16980.60	17004.60	1440.00	40	40	40.0	20.2	1017.2	1.04	1503	2.7843	2.8754	0.0911	61
31-Mar-17	20754	17004.60	17028.60	1440.00	45	45	45.0	20.1	1015.3	1.20	1725	2.7798	2.8837	0.1039	60
AM8 - Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4															
2-Mar-17	20604	10781.22	10805.22	1440.00	60	60	60.0	19.4	1019.4	1.67	2411	2.8031	2.9527	0.1496	62
8-Mar-17	20653	10805.22	10829.22	1440.00	34	34	34.0	16.3	1017.5	0.93	1343	2.8297	2.8948	0.0651	48
14-Mar-17	20686	10829.22	10853.23	1440.60	34	34	34.0	19.4	1015.6	0.93	1334	2.8176	2.8884	0.0708	53
20-Mar-17	20702	10853.23	10877.23	1440.00	36	36	36.0	21.9	1015.1	0.98	1410	2.8035	2.8774	0.0739	52
25-Mar-17	20743	10877.23	10901.23	1440.00	36	36	36.0	20.2	1017.2	0.98	1415	2.8015	2.8885	0.0870	61
31-Mar-17	20753	10901.23	10925.23	1440.00	36	36	36.0	20.1	1015.3	0.98	1414	2.7706	2.8253	0.0547	39
AM9b - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80															
1-Mar-17	20599	18160.44	18184.45	1440.60	28	30	29.0	18.8	1019.5	0.90	1293	2.8273	2.9684	0.1411	109
7-Mar-17	20629	18184.45	18208.45	1440.00	32	32	32.0	18	1016.5	1.01	1451	2.8008	2.9366	0.1358	94
13-Mar-17	20660	18208.45	18232.45	1440.00	30	34	32.0	19	1016	1.01	1448	2.8196	2.8736	0.0540	37
18-Mar-17	20613	18232.45	18256.45	1440.00	30	30	30.0	19.7	1014.9	0.93	1339	2.8113	2.9119	0.1006	75
24-Mar-17	20703	18256.45	18280.45	1440.00	32	34	33.0	20.8	1016.3	1.04	1496	2.8075	2.8903	0.0828	55
30-Mar-17	20747	18280.45	18304.45	1440.00	30	30	30.0	21.9	1017.3	0.93	1335	2.7952	2.8985	0.1033	77

Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min} n	L10	L90	2 nd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	3 rd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	4 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	5 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	6 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63																					
2-Mar-17	9:47	57.6	59.5	54.6	56.1	57.6	53.6	55.5	57.3	52.7	55.2	56.7	53.3	55.5	57.0	53.3	56.9	58.4	54.8	56	NA
8-Mar-17	9:50	55.3	56.9	53.2	55.3	57.0	52.8	54.8	56.6	52.4	53.9	55.6	51.9	54.2	56.9	51.0	56.1	58.9	51.8	55	NA
14-Mar-17	9:37	55.9	57.1	52.8	56.2	58.3	53.6	57.1	59.4	54.1	55.1	58.0	51.1	56.4	57.3	52.1	55.4	57.9	53.2	56	NA
20-Mar-17	10:11	59.4	58.8	52.8	53.0	54.4	50.8	52.7	54.3	50.9	52.9	54.3	50.9	57.4	60.6	51.5	65.0	66.7	55.6	59	NA
31-Mar-17	9:33	54.8	57.9	51.4	53.8	56.6	52.2	55.9	59.2	52.4	54.6	59.3	52.8	54.1	59.1	52.1	53.5	58.4	51.6	55	NA
NM2a - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road																					
2-Mar-17	10:18	77.1	64.7	59.6	62.7	64.7	60.1	61.5	62.4	60.0	63.5	65.7	59.9	64.0	67.9	59.6	67.0	64.7	59.4	70	73
8-Mar-17	10:24	63.0	66.3	56.4	62.9	66.1	53.8	64.4	67.4	55.4	63.8	67.6	55.6	64.1	67.5	51.2	72.1	69.2	55.1	67	70
14-Mar-17	10:33	64.1	67.3	64.0	65.8	68.2	63.1	66.1	68.5	62.6	65.1	68.4	59.2	64.4	66.4	58.7	65.6	67.8	59.1	65	68
20-Mar-17	10:45	69.2	69.0	53.8	63.2	66.2	51.6	63.7	66.9	53.2	63.5	64.0	55.7	64.2	66.0	55.8	65.4	68.3	51.9	65	68
31-Mar-17	10:16	64.2	69.8	53.2	63.4	66.8	53.8	69.2	69.9	54.6	62.4	68.8	53.4	63.8	67.6	53.1	65.5	69.4	53.1	65	68
NM3 - Ping Yeung Village House																					
3-Mar-17	13:01	58.5	61.3	54.1	57.6	60.9	53.7	56.8	59.9	53.0	59.3	62.0	54.0	58.7	62.3	54.7	58.1	61.1	53.9	58	NA
9-Mar-17	11:09	56.3	58.6	50.2	57.3	58.5	51.2	57.5	59.0	51.4	56.8	58.5	50.8	57.7	59.0	51.9	58.8	60.8	51.0	57	NA
15-Mar-17	14:10	59.4	63.2	54.1	59.1	63.0	54.2	57.7	60.7	53.6	62.0	65.8	55.2	58.7	61.4	53.6	57.6	61.0	53.5	59	NA
21-Mar-17	9:35	60.0	63.5	52.0	65.8	57.5	52.5	56.6	56.0	51.5	56.7	59.5	51.5	61.5	64.5	52.5	55.7	57.0	51.0	61	NA
27-Mar-17	9:32	64.5	67.5	47.0	63.2	64.0	47.0	62.3	63.5	46.5	62.4	64.0	50.5	61.1	64.5	51.5	60.7	62.5	48.0	63	NA
NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House																					
3-Mar-17	11:19	61.9	65.4	58.8	63.5	66.9	60.1	63.5	66.5	59.4	63.5	66.0	58.8	63.1	66.5	59.7	62.1	65.7	59.1	63	NA
9-Mar-17	10:32	64.8	61.3	48.8	63.0	60.0	48.7	62.5	58.5	49.6	62.7	59.0	50.5	61.4	58.8	49.4	60.8	57.8	48.1	63	NA
15-Mar-17	13:01	62.4	65.7	58.2	63.1	66.6	59.7	61.8	65.4	57.9	63.3	66.9	59.0	65.4	68.1	60.7	62.7	66.2	59.3	63	NA
21-Mar-17	10:17	63.1	68.0	54.0	68.2	67.5	54.5	59.8	63.0	53.5	64.4	64.0	54.0	60.0	61.5	53.5	61.0	60.5	53.5	64	NA
27-Mar-17	10:10	61.1	63.0	46.0	60.7	61.0	43.0	57.3	59.0	43.0	57.5	52.0	44.5	60.7	60.5	44.0	61.3	56.5	44.0	60	NA
NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House																					
3-Mar-17	10:27	51.5	54.7	48.0	51.6	54.0	47.9	53.7	55.8	48.9	52.7	56.0	49.3	54.1	56.0	48.5	53.5	57.1	47.9	53	NA
9-Mar-17	9:56	53.1	55.8	48.4	52.5	54.4	47.7	53.1	55.7	48.5	51.8	53.0	47.1	52.0	54.5	47.5	53.0	55.0	48.0	53	NA
15-Mar-17	9:33	55.5	57.0	48.0	54.6	54.0	47.5	51.3	53.5	47.5	55.6	55.5	48.0	56.0	57.0	49.5	52.7	54.5	49.0	55	NA
21-Mar-17	14:24	62.6	65.5	57.5	59.1	60.0	57.0	60.9	63.0	58.0	65.6	66.5	57.5	66.8	68.5	64.0	64.8	67.5	56.5	64	NA
27-Mar-17	13:09	55.7	58.5	49.0	56.3	59.0	50.0	56.1	59.0	48.0	53.5	56.0	48.5	55.0	58.0	48.5	54.8	57.5	49.0	55	NA
NM6 - Tai Tong Wu Village House 2																					
3-Mar-17	9:49	59.7	63.3	54.5	62.0	64.7	53.6	61.1	65.4	51.0	61.6	65.4	51.7	60.7	63.7	50.4	62.3	65.7	51.0	61	NA

Date	Start Time	1 st Leq _{5min} n	L10	L90	2 nd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	3 rd Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	4 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	5 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	6 th Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
9-Mar-17	11:49	57.6	62.6	49.6	58.5	63.6	50.9	57.9	62.8	49.5	57.8	62.4	49.1	56.4	61.8	49.7	57.5	62.8	50.1	58	NA
15-Mar-17	10:15	60.9	64.5	53.5	57.3	59.5	52.5	57.3	59.5	53.5	55.3	57.5	52.0	53.5	54.5	51.0	57.6	59.0	53.0	58	NA
21-Mar-17	13:04	58.2	58.0	54.0	56.5	59.0	51.0	67.2	64.5	53.0	58.9	59.5	49.5	57.1	60.0	53.5	62.3	64.5	50.5	62	NA
27-Mar-17	13:55	56.4	60.0	48.0	59.2	62.0	52.0	59.5	63.0	51.0	61.1	64.0	54.5	64.2	67.5	56.0	59.9	63.0	49.5	61	NA
NM7 – Po Kat Tsai Village																					
3-Mar-17	9:02	56.7	59.7	52.0	55.6	58.2	52.4	56.0	57.1	51.7	57.0	58.6	52.5	56.0	57.1	52.1	57.0	58.9	51.1	56	NA
9-Mar-17	9:12	55.1	57.2	51.2	54.8	56.3	50.8	55.1	57.0	51.4	56.5	58.5	51.8	55.5	57.7	50.1	54.7	56.1	49.0	55	NA
15-Mar-17	13:06	65.2	65.5	59.0	59.8	61.5	57.5	62.8	65.0	56.0	59.0	61.5	54.5	62.5	65.5	55.0	57.5	59.0	53.0	62	NA
21-Mar-17	13:49	58.9	60.5	54.5	66.3	64.5	54.5	62.1	64.0	55.0	58.3	60.0	51.0	66.4	68.5	55.0	55.7	57.0	53.0	63	NA
27-Mar-17	14:35	60.8	64.0	50.0	64.4	68.0	48.5	61.3	64.5	53.5	63.0	66.5	49.5	64.2	67.0	56.0	64.4	68.0	53.0	63	NA
NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang																					
2-Mar-17	11:15	57.5	63.3	47.2	59.8	65.8	48.8	58.8	64.9	48.5	57.9	62.1	47.3	60.8	64.7	49.5	60	61.6	50.4	59	NA
8-Mar-17	10:23	60.6	64	51.6	59.6	63.5	51.8	57.8	62.5	50.1	56.4	61.6	50.7	57.5	62.8	50.5	55.7	60.4	49.5	58	NA
14-Mar-17	9:56	57.9	63.2	47.4	59.5	65.6	48	57.9	58.8	48.6	60.6	66.4	47.8	58.4	63.8	47.5	59.5	63.5	47.6	59	NA
20-Mar-17	9:56	58.6	60.8	51.9	59.4	65.7	52.4	58.3	62	51.8	62.8	66.5	52	57.8	61.6	51	56.4	60.9	50.9	59	NA
31-Mar-17	9:40	58.8	60.2	51.1	59.5	65.7	52.8	58.8	62.5	51	58.8	64.6	50.6	59.9	65.8	50.5	57.4	61.5	50.3	59	NA
NM9 - Village House, Kiu Tau Village																					
2-Mar-17	8:50	64.9	67.1	59.2	65.0	66.6	59.8	57.0	58.5	54.9	57.6	59.0	55.3	57.7	58.6	55.0	65.1	67.4	57.3	63	NA
8-Mar-17	11:06	62.9	65.4	59.0	63.4	66.7	60.6	63.5	65.5	60.5	64.5	66.8	60.8	64.6	67.4	61.7	67.5	70.1	62.5	65	NA
14-Mar-17	10:39	63.8	66.2	60.2	62.8	65.0	59.6	63.7	66.6	60.0	63.5	65.0	60.5	64.1	67.5	59.0	64.6	66.5	59.8	64	NA
20-Mar-17	10:40	64.5	67.9	59.6	64.7	66.3	59.9	67.5	69.4	61.8	62.5	65.0	59.4	63.6	66.5	60.5	63.9	65.3	60.7	65	NA
31-Mar-17	10:24	62.6	65.2	59.2	63.6	66.4	60.5	63.8	65.5	60.7	61.5	64.3	58.5	60.6	62.4	58.0	62.9	65.5	59.0	63	NA
NM10 - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80																					
2-Mar-17	11:50	62.7	63.3	60.2	62.9	63.1	60.7	59.6	61.1	55.6	61.4	65.3	56.9	60.3	62.5	57.1	58.7	59.8	57.6	61	64
8-Mar-17	13:36	62.0	63.5	60.6	62.6	63.1	60.3	62.8	63.3	60.6	61.1	63.7	60.1	62.5	64.2	61.3	62.4	64.8	61.6	62	65
14-Mar-17	11:25	60.8	62.0	59.2	60.2	61.6	58.6	60.0	61.2	58.5	61.2	61.4	58.0	61.9	62.2	59.6	60.9	61.9	58.4	61	64
20-Mar-17	11:27	62.4	63.6	60.6	61.5	62.7	59.9	61.5	62.7	60.0	62.4	63.7	60.9	61.4	62.2	59.2	62.9	63.2	60.4	62	65
31-Mar-17	11:10	65.8	68.5	61.5	63.5	65.7	60.8	62.4	65.0	59.7	61.8	64.2	59.0	61.8	64.5	60.0	62.1	65.3	60.4	63	66

Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour, dB(A)

Evening Time						Night Time					
Date	Start Time	Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	façade correction Leq _{5min}	Date	Start Time	Leq _{5min}	L10	L90	façade correction Leq _{5min}
NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63											
3-Mar-17	21:45	46	47	39	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
10-Mar-17	22:10	58	51	41	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
17-Mar-17	22:06	50	48	39	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
24-Mar-17	22:17	40	38	35	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
31-Mar-17	22:01	47	48	44	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House											
3-Mar-17	22:10	45	46	37	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
10-Mar-17	22:24	50	50	39	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
17-Mar-17	22:27	56	46	36	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
24-Mar-17	22:37	55	52	37	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
31-Mar-17	22:32	44	43	41	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House											
3-Mar-17	22:24	48	51	41	NA	3-Mar-17	23:00	46	58	39	NA
10-Mar-17	22:40	59	50	38	NA	10-Mar-17	23:00	54	50	39	NA
17-Mar-17	22:46	45	46	38	NA	17-Mar-17	23:00	44	45	37	NA
24-Mar-17	22:52	51	51	39	NA	24-Mar-17	23:00	51	48	38	NA
31-Mar-17	22:54	48	50	41	NA	31-Mar-17	23:02	46	47	40	NA
NM7 - Po Kat Tsai Village											
3-Mar-17	21:18	54	54	44	NA	3-Mar-17	23:16	42	46	41	NA
10-Mar-17	21:48	56	57	46	NA	10-Mar-17	23:20	49	50	46	NA
17-Mar-17	21:44	46	46	40	NA	17-Mar-17	23:18	49	50	42	NA
24-Mar-17	21:05	45	46	45	NA	24-Mar-17	23:22	46	48	45	NA
31-Mar-17	21:40	66	60	57	NA	31-Mar-17	23:26	59	60	58	NA
NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang											
3-Mar-17	22:48	55	61	47	NA	3-Mar-17	23:41	56	58	47	NA
10-Mar-17	21:02	56	57	49	NA	10-Mar-17	23:17	57	61	49	NA
17-Mar-17	21:10	57	58	47	NA	17-Mar-17	23:47	59	66	46	NA
24-Mar-17	21:12	62	63	45	NA	24-Mar-17	23:58	57	63	47	NA
31-Mar-17	21:06	60	62	50	NA	31-Mar-17	23:58	59	61	51	NA
NM9 - Village House, Kiu Tau Village											
10-Mar-17	21:21	71	69	53	NA	4-Mar-17	0:00	59	63	54	NA
--	--	--	--	--	--	11-Mar-17	0:13	59	63	52	NA
--	--	--	--	--	--	18-Mar-17	0:04	61	66	53	NA
NM10 - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80											
10-Mar-17	20:44	54	57	58	55	4-Mar-17	0:15	59	62	63	60
--	--	--	--	--	--	11-Mar-17	0:31	58	61	60	54
--	--	--	--	--	--	18-Mar-17	0:16	61	64	64	58

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6 and SS C505

Date	1-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:25	0.34	20.8	20.8	6.3	6.3	70.3	70.4	22.7	23.8	8.5	8.5	20	20.0
			20.8		6.31		70.4		24.8		8.5		20	
WM1	9:35	0.26	19.4	19.4	8.09	8.1	87.0	86.9	48.5	49.3	8.7	8.7	41	42.5
			19.4		8.07		86.7		50.1		8.7		44	

Date	3-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:27	0.34	17.4	17.4	8.17	8.2	85.6	85.3	15.4	16.0	9.6	9.6	16	17.0
			17.4		8.15		85.0		16.6		9.6		18	
WM1	10:11	0.27	16.9	16.9	8.84	8.9	91.2	92.1	46.7	47.0	9.4	9.4	50	49.5
			16.9		8.9		92.9		47.3		9.4		49	

Date	7-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:20	0.34	19.7	19.7	7.91	7.9	86.2	86.3	124.0	123.0	9	9.0	161	166.0
			19.7		7.93		86.4		122.0		9		171	
WM1	9:35	0.26	19	19.0	6.8	6.8	73.3	73.4	64.9	62.5	8.9	8.9	39	41.0
			19		6.81		73.4		60.0		8.9		43	

Date	9-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.34	17	17.0	6.44	6.4	67.0	67.1	299.0	301.0	8.8	8.8	159	157.0
			17		6.45		67.1		303.0		8.8		155	
WM1	9:40	0.26	16.7	16.7	6.71	6.7	68.9	69.0	283.0	284.0	8.7	8.7	133	131.0
			16.7		6.73		69.0		285.0		8.7		129	

Date	11-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:35	0.34	19.1	19.1	6.8	6.8	73.4	73.5	126.0	125.0	8.9	8.9	161	160.5
			19.1		6.82		73.6		124.0		8.9		160	
WM1	9:45	0.26	18.3	18.3	7.21	7.2	76.5	76.6	78.5	78.0	8.6	8.6	37	37.5
			18.3		7.22		76.6		77.5		8.6		38	

Date	13-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:22	0.31	21.1	21.2	7.36	7.4	82.5	82.7	27.0	27.6	8.6	8.6	20	21.0
			21.2		7.38		82.8		28.1		8.6		22	
WM1	10:51	0.27	22.6	22.7	5.82	5.8	65.8	65.4	41.6	41.8	7.9	7.9	31	30.0
			22.7		5.74		65.0		42.0		7.9		29	

Date	15-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:15	0.33	18	18.0	7.08	7.1	74.9	75.0	22.8	22.7	8.5	8.6	18	18.0
			18		7.11		75.1		22.5		8.6		18	
WM1	10:36	0.26	18.3	18.3	7.26	7.3	77.1	77.4	41.2	41.4	8.4	8.4	51	49.0
			18.3		7.3		77.6		41.6		8.4		47	

Date	17-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.34	18.8	18.8	7.76	7.8	83.1	83.2	53.0	54.4	8.1	8.1	49	47.0
			18.8		7.77		83.2		55.8		8.1		45	
WM1	9:38	0.26	18.4	18.4	7.4	7.4	78.7	78.8	31.7	30.6	7.7	7.7	24	23.5
			18.4		7.42		78.9		29.5		7.7		23	

Date	21-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:40	0.34	23.4	23.4	5.55	5.6	64.8	64.9	55.6	55.1	9.1	9.1	34	34.0
			23.4		5.57		65.0		54.6		9.1		34	
WM1	9:50	0.26	24.3	24.3	7.58	7.6	90.4	90.5	59.0	59.7	7	7.0	46	47.0
			24.3		7.59		90.5		60.3		7		48	

Date	23-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:25	0.34	20.6	20.6	6.78	6.8	75.2	75.2	49.0	46.5	9.2	9.2	27	28.5
			20.6		6.77		75.1		44.0		9.2		30	
WM1	9:35	0.26	21.1	21.1	7.2	7.2	80.8	80.9	52.7	51.7	9	9.0	40	39.0
			21.1		7.22		81.0		50.6		9		38	

Date		25-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:15	0.34	22.6	22.6	6	6.1	68.9	69.0	37.7	37.2	10	10.0	34	32.5
			22.6		6.1		69.0		36.6		10		31	
WM1	9:27	0.26	23.3	23.3	7.61	7.6	89.1	89.2	46.1	45.6	9.8	9.8	36	35.0
			23.3		7.63		89.3		45.0		9.8		34	

Date		27-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:35	0.34	18	18.0	9.47	9.5	98.7	98.8	13.5	12.8	9.3	9.3	4	4.0
			18		9.49		98.9		12.0		9.3		4	
WM1	10:45	0.26	19.8	19.8	7.95	8.0	87.0	87.1	49.9	49.3	8.5	8.5	48	46.0
			19.8		7.96		87.1		48.6		8.5		44	

Date		29-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.34	22.5	22.5	7.25	7.3	83.3	83.4	63.9	62.3	9.8	9.8	54	56.0
			22.5		7.26		83.4		60.6		9.8		58	
WM1	9:40	0.26	21.8	21.8	6.43	6.4	73.2	73.3	64.3	62.2	9	9.0	66	65.0
			21.8		6.45		73.4		60.0		9		64	

Date		31-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:20	0.28	22.6	22.6	6.8	6.8	78.4	78.4	96.2	96.4	9.1	9.1	103	105.0
			22.6		6.79		78.3		96.5		9.1		107	
WM1	9:31	0.36	22.6	22.6	7.34	7.3	85.0	84.9	444.0	440.0	9.2	9.2	349	361.5
			22.6		7.32		84.7		436.0		9.2		374	

Remarks:

	Action Level
	Limit Level

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 3

Date	1-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.18	20.6	20.6	8.28	8.3	92.3	92.6	4.5	4.8	9	9.0	<2	<2
			20.6		8.35		92.8		5.0		9		<2	
WM4-CB	11:40	0.31	20.6	20.6	6.07	6.1	67.7	67.8	10.1	10.4	8.6	8.6	7	7.0
			20.6		6.08		67.8		10.7		8.6		7	
WM4	11:15	0.14	19.4	19.4	7.09	7.1	76.6	76.7	25.4	24.1	9.1	9.1	10	11.0
			19.4		7.1		76.7		22.8		9.1		12	

Date	3-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:39	0.24	22.1	22.1	9.24	9.3	105.8	106.4	19.3	19.7	8.3	8.3	5	5.5
			22		9.3		107.0		20.1		8.3		6	
WM4-CB	12:27	0.11	21.5	21.4	9.01	9.1	102.7	103.3	5.3	5.1	8.8	8.8	25	24.5
			21.2		9.11		103.9		4.8		8.8		24	
WM4	12:10	0.19	20.5	20.5	8.85	8.9	97.9	98.2	16.8	16.7	8.6	8.6	34	32.5
			20.5		8.9		98.4		16.5		8.6		31	

Date	7-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.18	20.4	20.4	8.89	8.9	98.4	98.5	36.5	37.2	8.8	8.8	31	29.5
			20.4		8.9		98.5		37.9		8.8		28	
WM4-CB	11:40	0.31	20.7	20.7	6.59	6.6	73.4	73.5	13.7	13.8	8.4	8.4	14	15.0
			20.7		6.6		73.5		13.8		8.4		16	
WM4	11:15	0.14	20	20.0	7.52	7.5	82.7	82.8	11.6	11.6	8.6	8.6	32	32.0
			20		7.53		82.8		11.6		8.6		32	

Date	9-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:10	0.18	18.1	18.1	8.59	8.6	90.8	90.8	8.0	8.1	9.4	9.4	4	4.0
			18.1		8.6		90.8		8.1		9.4		4	
WM4-CB	12:25	0.31	18.6	18.6	5.97	6.0	63.9	64.0	9.3	9.1	9	9.0	10	10.0
			18.6		5.99		64.1		8.9		9		10	
WM4	12:00	0.14	18.3	18.3	7.53	7.5	80.3	80.4	23.7	24.0	9.4	9.4	24	24.5
			18.3		7.54		80.4		24.2		9.4		25	

Date: 11-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:40	0.18	19.4	19.4	8.9	8.9	96.8	96.9	4.1	3.7	8.8	8.8	<2	<2
			19.4		8.91		96.9		3.4		8.8		<2	
WM4-CB	11:50	0.31	19.5	19.5	6.17	6.2	67.1	67.2	24.1	24.2	8.4	8.4	19	20.0
			19.5		6.19		67.2		24.2		8.4		21	
WM4	11:30	0.14	18.9	18.9	7.33	7.3	78.8	78.9	19.2	19.8	8.9	8.9	15	16.0
			18.9		7.34		78.9		20.3		8.9		17	

Date: 13-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.18	24.9	24.9	8.18	8.2	99.0	99.2	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	<2	<2
			24.9		8.21		99.4		8.5		8.5		<2	
WM4-CB	14:47	0.34	25.2	25.2	7	7.0	85.1	85.3	15.0	15.2	8.1	8.2	16	16.5
			25.2		7.04		85.4		15.3		8.2		17	
WM4	15:00	0.19	23.9	23.9	7.27	7.3	86.1	86.3	17.5	17.7	8.6	8.6	19	19.0
			23.9		7.3		86.4		17.8		8.5		19	

Date: 15-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	15:20	0.20	19.7	19.7	8.35	8.3	90.7	90.6	7.3	7.3	8.4	8.4	12	12.5
			19.7		8.3		90.4		7.3		8.4		13	
WM4-CB	15:46	0.31	19.8	19.8	6.5	6.5	71.2	71.4	21.5	21.8	8.3	8.3	25	24.5
			19.8		6.54		71.5		22.0		8.3		24	
WM4	15:04	0.21	19.7	19.7	7.83	7.8	85.5	85.4	16.4	16.6	8.5	8.5	13	12.0
			19.7		7.8		85.3		16.7		8.5		11	

Date: 17-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:50	0.18	19.2	19.2	8.89	8.9	96.3	96.4	11.5	10.8	9.3	9.3	4	3.5
			19.2		8.9		96.4		10.1		9.3		3	
WM4-CB	11:55	0.31	19.5	19.5	5.94	5.9	64.8	64.3	16.9	16.6	8	8.0	15	14.5
			19.5		5.88		63.8		16.3		8		14	
WM4	11:40	0.14	19.3	19.3	7.26	7.3	78.7	78.8	23.4	23.7	9.4	9.4	19	18.5
			19.3		7.26		78.8		24.0		9.4		18	

Date	21-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:02	0.18	26.9	26.9	7.8	7.8	98.4	98.5	8.7	8.3	9.3	9.3	4	3.5
			26.9		7.82		98.6		7.8		9.3		3	
WM4-CB	12:15	0.31	27.2	27.2	5.99	6.0	75.3	75.5	20.1	19.9	8.5	8.5	16	15.0
			27.2		6		75.6		19.7		8.5		14	
WM4	11:55	0.14	25.6	25.6	6.55	6.6	80.3	80.4	20.4	20.0	9.3	9.3	12	11.0
			25.6		6.57		80.5		19.6		9.3		10	

Date	23-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:10	0.21	23.2	23.2	8.49	8.5	99.2	99.6	5.1	4.8	9.2	9.2	3	3.5
			23.2		8.51		99.9		4.6		9.2		4	
WM4-CB	13:20	0.31	23.4	23.4	6.03	6.0	70.8	70.5	14.3	13.7	8.7	8.7	12	10.5
			23.4		5.98		70.1		13.0		8.7		9	
WM4	13:00	0.14	23.1	23.1	7.34	7.3	85.6	85.5	10.8	10.9	9.3	9.3	8	9.0
			23.1		7.31		85.4		10.9		9.3		10	

Date	25-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:20	0.18	22.2	22.1	7.48	7.5	85.4	85.5	6.2	6.0	8.7	8.7	<2	<2
			22		7.49		85.5		5.7		8.7		<2	
WM4-CB	11:35	0.31	22.5	22.5	3.67	3.7	42.3	42.4	24.1	22.9	8.2	8.2	19	18.0
			22.5		3.69		42.5		21.7		8.2		17	
WM4	11:15	0.14	22.3	22.3	6.21	6.2	72.1	72.2	18.7	19.3	8.6	8.6	20	21.0
			22.3		6.23		72.3		19.9		8.6		22	

Date	27-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:15	0.18	22.4	22.4	8.45	8.5	97.5	97.6	8.7	8.6	7.8	7.8	7	6.0
			22.4		8.47		97.7		8.5		7.8		5	
WM4-CB	13:35	0.31	23	23.0	6.86	6.9	80.5	80.6	14.5	14.4	8.1	8.1	12	13.0
			23		6.87		80.6		14.2		8.1		14	
WM4	13:10	0.14	21.9	21.9	7.79	7.8	88.9	89.0	14.9	15.1	8.3	8.3	12	11.0
			21.9		7.8		89.0		15.3		8.3		10	

Date		29-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:35	0.18	21.9	21.9	8.47	8.5	96.6	96.7	5.6	5.8	8.4	8.4	5	4.5
			21.9		8.48		96.7		5.9		8.4		4	
WM4-CB	11:45	0.31	22.3	22.3	4.82	4.8	55.4	55.5	15.2	15.0	8.1	8.1	18	19.0
			22.3		4.84		55.6		14.7		8.1		20	
WM4	11:25	0.14	21.8	21.8	6.41	6.4	72.9	73.0	32.7	33.7	8.4	8.4	37	35.0
			21.8		6.42		73.0		34.6		8.4		33	

Date		31-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:19	0.19	22.2	22.2	7.2	7.2	82.7	82.8	23.3	23.5	9.2	9.2	17	16.0
			22.2		7.21		82.9		23.6		9.2		15	
WM4-CB	11:31	0.32	22.4	22.4	6.14	6.1	70.4	70.1	102.0	104.0	9	9.0	98	95.0
			22.4		6.12		69.8		106.0		9		92	
WM4	11:08	0.17	22.3	22.3	6.98	7.0	80.1	80.0	103.0	104.5	9.3	9.3	67	65.0
			22.3		6.97		79.8		106.0		9.3		63	

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6

Date 1-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:05	0.28	18	18.0	7.65	7.7	80.5	80.6	10.8	11.2	8.60	8.6	<2	<2
			18		7.66		80.6		11.5		8.60		<2	
WM2A	9:50	0.17	18.5	18.5	7.42	7.4	79.2	79.3	24.6	24.1	8.50	8.5	12	13.0
			18.5		7.44		79.4		23.5		8.50		14	

Date 3-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:00	0.27	17.2	17.3	8.88	8.9	91.5	91.8	11.9	12.1	9.00	9.1	4	3.0
			17.3		8.9		92.1		12.3		9.10		2	
WM2A	10:43	0.17	17.3	17.4	8.76	8.8	91.0	91.5	12.7	13.0	9.20	9.2	8	9.0
			17.4		8.8		91.9		13.3		9.20		10	

Date 7-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:05	0.28	18.5	18.5	7.99	8.0	85.2	85.3	11.5	11.6	8.40	8.4	3	2.5
			18.5		8		85.3		11.6		8.40		2	
WM2A	9:45	0.17	18.8	18.8	7.71	7.7	82.8	82.9	16.4	16.2	8.70	8.7	4	4.5
			18.8		7.72		82.9		16.0		8.70		5	

Date 9-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:30	0.28	17.5	17.5	7.45	7.5	77.8	77.9	28.9	29.0	8.60	8.6	26	27.0
			17.5		7.47		78.0		29.1		8.60		28	
WM2A	10:00	0.17	17.9	17.9	7.85	7.9	83.0	83.1	24.5	25.2	8.70	8.7	30	29.0
			17.9		7.86		83.1		25.9		8.70		28	

Date 11-Mar-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:15	0.28	18.5	18.5	7.9	7.9	84.3	84.4	13.6	13.3	8.50	8.5	<2	<2
			18.5		7.91		84.4		13.0		8.50		<2	
WM2A	10:00	0.17	18.8	18.8	7.63	7.6	81.9	82.0	24.6	24.5	8.40	8.4	25	25.0
			18.8		7.65		82.1		24.4		8.40		25	

Date		13-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:17	0.17	21.2	21.2	7.94	8.0	88.7	88.9	10.3	10.4	7.90	7.9	<2	<2
			21.2		7.97		89.1		10.4		7.90		<2	
WM2A	11:42	0.15	20.5	20.6	7.92	7.9	88.0	88.2	9.0	8.5	7.60	7.6	9	9.0
			20.6		7.95		88.3		8.0		7.60		9	

Date		15-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:45	0.15	18	18.0	7.48	7.5	79.5	79.7	10.2	10.3	8.00	8.0	<2	<2
			18		7.52		79.8		10.3		8.00		<2	
WM2A	11:05	0.16	18.6	18.7	7.28	7.3	77.8	77.9	22.2	22.3	7.80	7.9	15	14.5
			18.7		7.31		78.0		22.4		7.90		14	

Date		17-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:00	0.28	18.4	18.4	8.31	8.3	88.6	88.7	15.0	15.2	8.20	8.2	3	3.0
			18.4		8.35		88.8		15.3		8.20		3	
WM2A	9:45	0.17	18.8	18.8	8.56	8.6	91.8	91.9	24.5	24.3	7.90	7.9	14	14.5
			18.8		8.57		91.9		24.1		7.90		15	

Date		21-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:20	0.28	21.5	21.5	7.05	7.1	79.9	80.0	11.8	11.3	6.40	6.4	<2	<2
			21.5		7.07		80.1		10.8		6.40		<2	
WM2A	10:00	0.17	23.4	23.4	7.27	7.3	85.1	85.2	22.5	22.4	7.70	7.7	20	20.0
			23.4		7.28		85.2		22.3		7.70		20	

Date		23-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:00	0.28	20.4	20.4	7.68	7.7	86.8	86.9	16.1	15.8	8.60	8.6	4	5.0
			20.4		7.67		86.9		15.5		8.60		6	
WM2A	9:45	0.17	21.1	21.1	7.75	7.8	87.1	87.2	22.4	21.3	8.70	8.7	28	28.0
			21.1		7.76		87.2		20.2		8.70		28	

Date		25-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:55	0.28	20.9	20.9	6.94	6.9	77.4	77.5	13.9	13.9	9.20	9.2	8	8.0
			20.9		6.95		77.5		13.8		9.20		8	
WM2A	9:40	0.17	22.6	22.6	7.57	7.6	87.4	87.6	23.5	23.3	9.50	9.5	17	17.5
			22.6		7.6		87.7		23.1		9.50		18	

Date		27-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:30	0.28	18	18.0	7.13	7.1	75.3	75.4	8.5	7.9	8.80	8.8	5	4.5
			18		7.15		75.5		7.3		8.80		4	
WM2A	10:55	0.17	19.2	19.2	8.85	8.9	95.7	95.8	17.3	16.6	8.70	8.7	10	10.5
			19.2		8.86		95.8		15.9		8.70		11	

Date		29-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:05	0.25	20.2	20.2	7.08	7.1	78.2	78.2	9.8	9.5	8.60	8.6	8	7.5
			20.2		7.07		78.1		9.2		8.60		7	
WM2A	9:55	0.17	21.2	21.2	7.67	7.7	86.4	86.5	12.9	13.7	8.80	8.8	14	14.0
			21.2		7.68		86.5		14.5		8.80		14	

Date		31-Mar-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:07	0.31	21.6	21.6	7.13	7.1	81.0	80.9	809.0	811.0	8.90	8.9	448	452.5
			21.6		7.11		80.7		813.0		8.90		457	
WM2A	9:54	0.20	22	22.0	6.69	6.7	76.3	76.6	18.3	18.3	9.10	9.1	25	26.0
			22		6.73		76.8		18.3		9.10		27	

Remarks:

	Action Level
	Limit Level

Date	1-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:30	0.02	17.9	17.9	8.6	8.6	90.3	90.4	2.8	2.3	9.1	9.1	<2	<2
			17.9		8.61		90.4		1.9		9.1		<2	
WM2B	10:20	0.02	18.6	18.6	8.39	8.4	89.9	90.0	3.8	4.5	8.6	8.6	10	9.0
			18.6		8.41		90.1		5.1		8.6		8	

Date	3-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	9:49	0.01	18.2	18.2	8.78	8.8	93.5	93.8	3.1	3.1	9.1	9.1	<2	<2
			18.2		8.8		94.1		3.1		9.1		<2	
WM2B	9:37	0.02	18.7	18.7	9.59	9.6	103.6	104.0	7.6	7.6	9.9	9.9	5	6.0
			18.7		9.6		104.3		7.7		9.9		7	

Date	7-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:30	0.02	18.1	18.1	9.47	9.5	100.1	100.2	2.6	2.7	9.3	9.3	<2	<2
			18.1		9.48		100.2		2.8		9.3		<2	
WM2B	10:15	0.02	20.4	20.4	8.4	8.4	93.3	93.4	8.6	8.1	9.4	9.4	5	6.0
			20.4		8.41		93.4		7.6		9.4		7	

Date	9-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	11:05	0.02	16.9	16.9	9.66	9.7	99.7	99.8	3.7	3.5	8.7	8.7	<2	<2
			16.9		9.67		99.8		3.3		8.7		<2	
WM2B	10:50	0.02	18.4	18.4	8.81	8.8	94.1	94.2	10.9	10.8	8.4	8.4	10	9.5
			18.4		8.82		94.2		10.7		8.4		9	

Date	11-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:40	0.15	18.3	18.3	9.35	9.4	99.5	99.6	5.3	5.1	9.6	9.6	<2	<2
			18.3		9.37		99.7		4.9		9.6		<2	
WM2B	10:25	0.02	20	20.0	8.12	8.1	89.3	89.4	8.6	8.7	9.7	9.7	4	4.0
					8.13		89.4		8.9		9.7		4	

Date	13-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	12:21	0.01	21.2	21.2	8.99	9.0	101.1	101.3	4.7	4.7	7.9	7.9	4	5.0
			21.2		9.03		101.5		4.7		7.8		6	
WM2B	12:49	0.01	24.1	24.1	7.79	7.8	93.1	93.3	5.0	5.0	7.4	7.4	7	6.0
			24.1		7.81		93.4		5.1		7.4		5	

Date	15-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	12:36	0.01	17.1	17.1	8.83	8.8	90.7	90.5	4.2	4.2	9	9.1	2	2.0
			17.1		8.78		90.3		4.2		9.1		2	
WM2B	12:12	0.01	18.5	18.5	8.1	8.1	86.5	87.8	4.9	4.9	9.1	9.2	5	3.5
			18.5		8.16		89.1		4.9		9.2		2	

Date	17-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:30	0.02	18	18.0	9.37	9.4	98.9	99.0	5.0	4.7	9.1	9.1	3	4.0
			18		9.38		99.0		4.3		9.1		5	
WM2B	10:15	0.02	19.9	19.9	8.22	8.2	90.1	90.2	11.2	11.1	9.2	9.2	5	6.0
			19.9		8.24		90.2		10.9		9.2		7	

Date	21-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:47	0.02	22.7	22.7	8.57	8.6	99.1	99.1	9.6	9.2	6.8	6.8	3	4.0
			22.7		8.58		99.1		8.8		6.8		5	
WM2B	10:38	0.02	24.6	24.6	7.53	7.5	90.4	90.6	10.8	10.8	6.7	6.7	4	3.0
			24.6		7.56		90.7		10.7		6.7		2	

Date	23-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:45	0.02	20.4	20.4	8.95	9.0	99.3	99.4	7.6	7.8	8.4	8.4	8	7.0
			20.4		8.97		99.5		8.1		8.4		6	
WM2B	10:35	0.02	22.5	22.5	8.38	8.4	96.9	96.9	11.0	10.9	8.3	8.3	11	11.5
			22.5		8.37		96.8		10.8		8.3		12	

Date	25-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:20	0.02	20.9	20.9	8.62	8.6	96.2	96.3	6.6	6.3	9.3	9.3	3	3.5
			20.9		8.64		96.4		6.0		9.3		4	
WM2B	10:10	0.02	23.1	23.1	7.23	7.2	84.6	84.7	11.0	11.1	9.2	9.2	4	4.0
			23.1		7.24		84.7		11.1		9.2		4	

Date	27-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	11:45	0.02	18	18.0	9.54	9.5	100.6	100.7	3.8	3.9	8.6	8.6	3	4.0
			18		9.55		100.7		3.9		8.6		5	
WM2B	11:20	0.02	20.7	20.7	8.4	8.4	93.5	93.6	11.1	11.0	8.4	8.4	11	10.5
			20.7		8.42		93.7		10.9		8.4		10	

Date	29-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:30	0.02	20.3	20.3	8.71	8.7	96.0	96.1	6.7	6.8	8.6	8.6	10	10.0
			20.3		8.73		96.2		7.0		8.6		10	
WM2B	10:20	0.02	23.5	23.5	8.05	8.1	94.7	94.8	9.5	9.4	8.5	8.5	9	10.0
			23.5		8.07		94.9		9.4		8.5		11	

Date	31-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:27	0.01	21.3	21.3	8.23	8.2	92.7	92.9	953.0	962.5	8.8	8.8	436	425.0
			21.3		8.26		93.1		972.0		8.8		414	
WM2B	10:15	0.03	22.3	22.3	7.78	7.8	89.5	89.4	411.0	409.0	8.7	8.7	223	232.0
			22.3		7.75		89.2		407.0		8.7		241	

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 6

Date	1-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:45	0.03	19.8	19.8	8.54	8.5	92.2	92.2	117.0	116.0	9.8	9.8	464	485.0
			19.8		8.55		92.2		115.0		9.8		506	
WM3	10:55	0.15	18.7	18.7	8.09	8.1	87.4	87.5	21.1	20.9	9.5	9.5	21	21.5
			18.7		8.1		87.5		20.7		9.5		22	

Date	3-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:20	0.17	22	22.0	7.58	7.6	88.0	88.5	2.3	2.3	8.4	8.4	6	5.0
			22		7.64		89.0		2.4		8.4		4	
WM3	11:36	0.11	19.3	19.3	9.02	9.1	97.7	97.9	3.8	3.9	8.8	8.8	5	4.0
			19.3		9.14		98.1		3.9		8.8		3	

Date	7-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:45	0.03	22	22.0	7.42	7.4	85.1	85.2	7.3	7.4	8	8.0	13	12.5
			22		7.44		85.3		7.5		8		12	
WM3	10:55	0.15	20.7	20.7	8.7	8.7	97.1	97.2	11.5	11.9	8.3	8.3	3	3.5
			20.7		8.71		97.2		12.2		8.3		4	

Date	9-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:15	0.03	20.7	20.7	7.9	7.9	88.4	88.5	3.6	3.4	10.2	10.2	3	3.0
			20.7		7.91		88.5		3.3		10.2		3	
WM3	11:25	0.15	18.8	18.8	8.73	8.7	93.6	93.7	12.0	11.6	9.7	9.7	11	11.0
			18.8		8.75		93.8		11.1		9.7		11	

Date	11-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:55	0.02	21.5	21.5	7.03	7.0	79.9	80.0	7.0	7.6	9	9.0	16	16.0
			21.5		7.04		80.0		8.1		9		16	
WM3	11:05	0.15	20	20.0	8.54	8.6	93.8	94.0	12.0	11.8	9.1	9.1	5	4.0
			20		8.57		94.1		11.5		9.1		3	

Date	13-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	13:33	0.16	24	24.1	7.74	7.8	92.0	92.1	15.3	15.4	9.3	9.3	5	5.0
			24.1		7.77		92.2		15.5		9.3		5	
WM3	13:57	0.19	22.3	22.3	7.68	7.7	88.5	88.6	13.0	13.2	9.1	9.2	4	5.0
			22.3		7.7		88.6		13.3		9.2		6	

Date	15-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	13:27	0.18	18.2	18.2	6.55	6.6	74.7	74.9	7.1	7.1	8.8	8.8	3	2.5
			18.2		6.59		75.0		7.1		8.8		2	
WM3	13L49	0.20	18.6	18.6	7.69	7.7	81.8	82.0	11.2	11.2	8.9	8.9	4	3.0
			18.5		7.72		82.2		11.1		8.9		2	

Date	17-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:47	0.03	21.8	21.8	7.94	8.0	90.4	90.5	over	Over range	9.5	9.5	1310	1590.0
			21.8		7.97		90.6		range		9.5		1870	
WM3	10:55	0.15	19.6	19.6	7.72	7.7	84.2	84.3	over	Over range	8.1	8.1	831	850.5
			19.6		7.73		84.3		range		8.1		870	

Date	21-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:05	0.02	26.1	26.1	7.19	7.2	88.9	89.0	17.1	17.2	6.6	6.6	11	11.0
			26.1		7.2		89.0		17.2		6.6		11	
WM3	11:20	0.15	25.1	25.1	7.38	7.4	89.1	89.2	15.9	15.0	7.3	7.3	8	9.0
			25.1		7.38		89.2		14.1		7.3		10	

Date	23-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:10	0.15	22.2	22.2	8.21	8.2	94.2	94.4	13.1	13.2	9.6	9.6	10	10.0
			22.2		8.24		94.5		13.3		9.6		10	
WM3	10:58	0.03	23.1	23.1	7.14	7.2	83.8	84.0	7.2	7.1	9.3	9.3	5	6.0
			23.1		7.17		84.1		7.1		9.3		7	

Date	25-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:30	0.03	23.1	23.1	6.92	6.9	80.9	81.0	52.8	29.1	8.7	8.7	16	15.0
			23.1		6.93		81.0		5.4		8.7		14	
WM3	10:45	0.15	22.9	22.9	7.74	7.7	90.1	90.2	13.4	12.9	8.8	8.8	3	4.0
			22.9		7.75		90.2		12.3		8.8		5	

Date	27-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	12:00	0.03	22.6	22.6	7.85	7.9	91.1	91.2	7.1	6.9	8	8.0	3	4.0
			22.6		7.86		91.2		6.6		8		5	
WM3	12:10	0.15	21.8	21.8	8.6	8.7	98.2	98.5	10.8	11.0	8.2	8.2	5	5.5
			21.8		8.72		98.7		11.1		8.2		6	

Date	29-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:50	0.03	23	23.0	6.96	7.0	81.2	81.4	4.3	3.8	8.2	8.2	31	30.0
			23		6.99		81.6		3.4		8.2		29	
WM3	11:00	0.15	22	22.0	7.97	8.0	91.0	91.1	8.1	8.1	8.4	8.4	25	24.5
			22		7.98		91.1		8.2		8.4		24	

Date	31-Mar-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:37	0.01	23.4	23.4	6.89	6.9	81.1	81.0	10.8	10.9	90	90.0	6	5.0
			23.4		6.87		80.8		10.9		90		4	
WM3	10:44	0.16	22.1	22.1	6.86	6.8	78.4	78.3	69.0	68.8	9.3	9.3	42	40.5
			22.1		6.82		78.1		68.5		9.3		39	




Remarks:

	Action Level
	Limit Level




Appendix J




Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result

Air Quality – 1-hour TSP






Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.44) – March 2017











Air Quality – 24-hour TSP






Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.44) – March 2017





Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.44) – March 2017






Noise





Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.44) – March 2017














Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.44) – March 2017









Water Quality











Appendix K

Meteorological Data

Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Ta Kwu Ling Station			
				Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Mar-17	Wed	Fine. Dry in the afternoon. Light to moderate easterly winds.	0	18.4	7.5	69.7	N/NW
2-Mar-17	Thu	Fine. Dry in the afternoon. Light winds.	0	18.3	13	43.7	N/NW
3-Mar-17	Fri	Fine. Dry in the afternoon. Light winds.	0	17	7.8	61	E/NE
4-Mar-17	Sat	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	19.9	11.5	67.8	E/NE
5-Mar-17	Sun	Fine. Dry in the afternoon. Light winds.	0	21.9	5.5	80.5	E/NE
6-Mar-17	Mon	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	Trace	20.8	8	71.2	N/NW
7-Mar-17	Tue	Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds.	Trace	17.8	10	75.5	E/NE
8-Mar-17	Wed	Cloudy to overcast with a few rain patches.	2.8	15.2	6.5	85	N/NW
9-Mar-17	Thu	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	Trace	16.2	6.4	73.7	E/NE
10-Mar-17	Fri	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	Trace	18.5	12.8	85	E
11-Mar-17	Sat	Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds.	Trace	17.8	11.8	85.8	E/NE
12-Mar-17	Sun	Cloudy to overcast with a few rain patches.	1	19.2	11.3	83	E
13-Mar-17	Mon	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	23.6	7.5	80	E/NE
14-Mar-17	Tue	Moderate easterly winds, fresh at times.	8.5	18.2	5.5	91	E/NE
15-Mar-17	Wed	Cloudy with rain patches.	Trace	16.4	9.2	76.5	E
16-Mar-17	Thu	Cloudy with rain patches.	Trace	17.8	7	Maintenance	E/NE
17-Mar-17	Fri	Cloudy with rain patches.	Trace	19.4	8.5	81.7	E
18-Mar-17	Sat	Light to moderate easterly winds.	0.3	19.3	12.5	80.5	E/NE
19-Mar-17	Sun	Warm in the afternoon.	0.3	21.1	10	93.7	E
20-Mar-17	Mon	Mainly fine. Visibility relatively low in some areas.	Trace	23.3	9.4	81	E/NE
21-Mar-17	Tue	Warm in the afternoon.	0.6	23.6	7.8	76.5	E/NE
22-Mar-17	Wed	Mainly fine. Visibility relatively low in some areas.	0	18.5	12.5	87.7	E
23-Mar-17	Thu	Cloudy with rain patches.	0	21.8	7	77.5	E/NE
24-Mar-17	Fri	Cloudy with rain patches.	Trace	21.5	19.2	Maintenance	E/NE
25-Mar-17	Sat	Cloudy with rain patches.	Trace	19	12.5	72.8	E/NE
26-Mar-17	Sun	Light to moderate easterly winds.	1	14	5.2	79.5	N/NW
27-Mar-17	Mon	Warm in the afternoon.	0	17.6	8.2	59.5	E/NE
28-Mar-17	Tue	Mainly fine. Visibility relatively low in some areas.	0	20.8	10.2	57	E/NE
29-Mar-17	Wed	Cloudy with rain patches.	0.3	22.7	9	75.2	E/NE
30-Mar-17	Thu	Cloudy with rain patches.	Trace	22.8	12.7	81	E
31-Mar-17	Fri	Warm in the afternoon.	21.9	18.7	7.5	91	N/NW

Appendix L

Waste Flow Table

Name of Department : CEDD

Contract No./ Work Order No. : CV/2012/08

Appendix I - Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated / Imported (in '000 m3)						Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated				
	Total Quantities Generated	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported C&D Material	Metal	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastic (bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foams from package material)	Chemical Waste	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.)
	[a+b+c+d]	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m3)
January	68.1954	0.0000	1.9613	27.9028	39.3063	1.7050	162.4150	0.3600	1.9179	1.7600	0.3210
February	85.7063	0.0000	1.4795	29.8662	54.2343	1.6040	67.5900	0.3000	2.1663	4.3480	0.3365
March	28.3513	0.0000	0.5425	28.2593	2.8910	1.5500	137.7800	0.3000	2.0421	4.0720	0.4167
April	0.0000										
May	0.0000										
June	0.0000										
Half-year total	182.2530	0.0000	3.9834	82.5798	95.6898	4.8590	367.7850	0.9600	2.0179	10.1800	0.6575
July	0.0000										
August	0.0000										
September	0.0000										
October	0.0000										
November	0.0000										
December	0.0000										
Yearly Total	182.2530	0.0000	3.9834	82.5798	95.6898	4.8590	367.7850	0.9600	2.0179	10.1800	0.6575

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places)

Year	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated / Imported (in '000 m3)						Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated				
	Total Quantities Generated	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported C&D Material	Metal	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastic (bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foams from package material)	Chemical Waste	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.)
	[a+b+c+d]	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m3)
2013	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
2014	425.4406	0.0000	2.7362	376.3945	46.3099	5.6245	3.2100	0.4390	0.0070	10.8800	2.2609
2015	570.9459	0.0000	20.8159	543.2162	6.9138	4.5492	14.1300	3.9220	11.9700	16.1920	1.1696
2016	905.0989	0.0000	7.4372	427.7834	469.8783	24.8350	259.2290	3.8500	18.7262	34.2936	1.9720
2017	182.2530	0.0000	3.9834	82.5798	95.6898	4.8590	367.7850	0.9600	2.0179	10.1800	0.6575
2018											
Total	2083.7384	0.0000	34.9726	1429.9739	618.7918	39.8677	644.3540	9.1710	32.7211	71.5456	6.0600

Remark:

1) Density of C&D material to be 2.2 metric ton/m3
2) Density of General Refuse to be 1.6 metric ton/m3

3) Density of Spent Oil to be 0.88 metric ton/m3

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in m ³)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	1.150	0.204	0.150	0.000	0.796	1.150	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.170
Feb	1.160	0.308	0.192	0.000	0.660	0.926	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.140
Mar	2.287	0.565	0.060	0.000	1.662	1.055	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.115
Apr											
May											
Jun											
Sub-total	4.597	1.077	0.402	0.000	3.118	3.131	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.425
Jul											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	4.597	1.077	0.402	0.000	3.118	3.131	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.425

- Note:**
1. Assume the density of soil fill is 2 ton/m³.
 2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete is 2.5 ton/m³.
 3. Assume each truck of C&D wastes is 5m³.
 4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
 5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
 6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
 7. Assume the density of metal is 7,850 kg/m³.
 8. Assume the density of plastic is 941 kg/m³.

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)

Name of Person completing the record: K.M. Lui (EO)

Project : Liangtang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 6

Contract No.: CV/2013/08

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 m ³)
Jan	40.128	0	19.297	6.067	14.764	0	0	0.171	0	0	0.065
Feb	48.065	0	16.328	7.123	24.614	0	0	0.294	0	0	0.107
Mar	28.540	0	0	0	28.540	0	0	0	0	0	0.217
Apr											
May											
Jun											
Sub-total	116.733	0	35.625	13.19	67.918	0	0	0.465	0	0	0.389
Jul											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	859.895	0	119.316	211.482	529.097	53.939	0	3.238	0.007	33.755	5.241

- Notes:
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
 - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE

Name of Department: CEDD

Contract Title: Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point
Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 7

Contract No.: NE/2014/03

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastic (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.05	0.001	0	0.01
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.04	0.001	0	0.015
Mar	0.822	0	0	0	0.822	0	2.2	0.04	0.001	0	0.025
Apr											
May											
June											
Sub-total	0.822	0	0	0	0.822	0	2.8	0.13	0.003	0	0.05
July											
Aug											
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	0.822	0	0	0	0.822	0	2.8	0.13	0.003	0	0.05

Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Contract No. / Works Order No.: - SSC505**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017** [year] [to be submitted not later than the 15th day of each month following reporting month]

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places.)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly				
	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Broken Concrete (see Note 4)	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	3.160	0	2.003	0	1.157
Feb	1.374	0	0.249	0	1.1245
Mar	0.548	0	0.054	0	0.494
Apr	-	-	-	-	-
May	-	-	-	-	-
Jun	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-total	5.0815	0	2.306	0	2.7755
Jul	-	-	-	-	-
Aug	-	-	-	-	-
Sep	-	-	-	-	-
Oct	-	-	-	-	-
Nov	-	-	-	-	-
Dec	-	-	-	-	-
Total	5.0815	0	2.306	0	2.7755

Month	Actual Quantities of Non-inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly												
	Timber		Metals		Paper/ cardboard packaging		Plastics (see Note 3)		Chemical Waste		Other Recyclable Materials (see Page 3)		General Refuse disposed of at Landfill
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000m ³)
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
Jan	0	0	458.15	458.15	0.560	0.560	0.058	0.058	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.024	0.481
Feb	0	0	177.18	177.18	0.370	0.370	0.036	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.008	0.280
Mar	0	0	97.37	97.37	3.380	3.380	1.573	1.573	0.000	0.000	0.0355	0.0355	0.4225
Apr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
May	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jun	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-total	0	0	732.70	732.70	4.310	4.310	1.667	1.667	0.000	0.000	0.068	0.068	1.183
Jul	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aug	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sep	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oct	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nov	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dec	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	0	0	732.70	732.70	4.310	4.310	1.667	1.667	0.000	0.000	0.068	0.068	1.183

Description of mode and details of recycling if any for the month e.g. XX kg of used timber was sent to YY site for transformation into fertilizers					
16.5kg of cans and 3.38tons of paper were sent to Kong Han for recycling.	1.573tons of plastics (bottles and water-filled barrier) were sent to Action Health and Forest Hill for recycling.	97.37 tons of scrap metals from LCAL.			

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in the Particular Specification on Environmental Management Plan.
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include construction waste that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
 - (4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
 - (5) If necessary, use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5 m³ by volume.

Appendix M

**Implementation Schedule for
Environmental Mitigation Measures**

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
Air Quality Impact (Construction)							
3.6.1.1	2.1	<p>General Dust Control Measures</p> <p>The following dust suppression measures should be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (4 times per day for active areas in Po Kak Tsai and 8 times per day for all other active areas), including areas with heavy construction and slope cutting activities ■ 80% of stockpile areas should be covered by impervious sheets ■ Speed of trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10 km/hr ■ All haul roads within the site should be paved to avoid dust emission due to vehicular movement 	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.6.1.2	2.1	<p>Best Practice for Dust Control</p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good site management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. ■ Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission. ■ Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. ■ Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions. ■ The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Each and every main temporary access should be paved with 	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped. <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. <p><i>Blasting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The areas within 30m from the blasting area should be wetted with water prior to blasting. 					
<u>Air Quality Impact (Operation)</u>							
3.5.2.2	2.2	<p>The following odour containment and control measures will be provided for the proposed sewage treatment work at the BCP site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The treatment work will be totally enclosed. Negative pressure ventilation will be provided within the enclosure to avoid any fugitive odorous emission from the treatment work. Further odour containment will be achieved by covering or confining the sewage channels, sewage tanks, and equipment with potential odour emission. Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity. Chemical or biological deodorisation facilities with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90% will be provided to treat potential odorous emissions from the treatment plant including sewage channels / tanks, filter press and screening facilities so as to minimize any potential odour impact to the nearby ASRs. 	To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP	DSD	BCP	Operation Phase	EIA recommendation
<u>Noise Impact (Construction)</u>							
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p>Adoption of Quieter PME</p> <p>Use of the recommended quieter PME such as those given in the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and presented in Table 4.14, which can be found in Hong Kong.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p>Use of Movable Noise Barrier</p> <p>The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m² is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The insulating fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p>Good Site Practice</p> <p>The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction programme; • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible; • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
Noise Impact (Operation)							
<u>Road Traffic Noise</u>							
Table 4.42 and Figure 4.20.1 to 4.20.4	3.2	Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section.	To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP	Contractor	Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<u>Fixed Plant Noise</u>							
Table 4.46	3.2	Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time.	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.5.2.4	3.2	<p>The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced; Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment); Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable; Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures; Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building; Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise. 	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
Water Quality Impact (Construction)							
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p>Construction site runoff and drainage</p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. 	To control site runoff and drainage; prevent high sediment loading from reaching the nearby watercourses	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	Construction Phase	Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC Note PN 1/94)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. ▪ All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. ▪ Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. ▪ If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC Note PN 1/94. ▪ The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>the erosive potential of surface water flows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. ▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. ▪ Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. ▪ Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. ▪ Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 					
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p>Good site practices for works within water gathering grounds</p> <p>The following conditions should be complied, if there is any works to be carried out within the water gathering grounds:</p>	To minimize water quality impacts to the water gathering grounds	Contractor	Construction Works Sites within the water gathering	Construction Phase	ProPECC Note PN 1/94

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adequate measures should be implemented to ensure no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwaters and catchments. ▪ No earth, building materials, oil or fuel, soil, toxic materials or any materials that may possibly cause contamination to water gathering grounds are allowed to be stockpiled on site. ▪ All surplus spoil should be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible. ▪ Temporary drains with silt traps should be constructed at the site boundary before the commencement of any earthworks. ▪ Regular cleaning of silt traps should be carried out to ensure proper operation at all time. ▪ All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion should always be protected form erosion. ▪ Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site should be provided. ▪ Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwaters or catchments due to the leakage of oil or fuel should be removed off site immediately. ▪ No maintenance activities which may generate chemical wastes should be undertaken in the water gathering grounds. Vehicle maintenance should be confined to designated paved areas only and any spillages should be cleared up immediately using absorbents and waste oils should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal off site. All storm water run-off from these areas should be discharged via oil/petrol separators and sand/silt removal traps. ▪ Any soil contaminated with fuel leaked from plant should be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of contaminated soil should be replaced by suitable material approved by the Director of Water Supplies. ▪ Provision of temporary toilet facilities and use of chemicals or insecticide of any kind are subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies. ▪ Drainage plans should be submitted for approval by the Director of 			grounds		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Water Supplies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An unimpeded access through the waterworks access road should always be maintained. ▪ Earthworks near catchwaters or streamcourses should only be carried out in dry season between October and March, ▪ Advance notice must be given before the commencement of works on site quoting WSD's approval letter reference. 					
5.6.1.2	4.1	<p>Good site practices of general construction activities</p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation
5.6.1.3	4.1	<p>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO)
5.6.1.4	4.1	<p>Hydrogeological Impact</p> <p>Grout injection works would be conducted before blasting, for sealing a limited area around the tunnel with a grout of a suitable strength for controlling the potential groundwater inflows. The pre-injection grouting method would be supplemented by post-injection grouting where necessary to further enhance the groundwater inflow control. On-site treatment for the groundwater ingress pumped out would be required to remove any contamination by grouting materials before discharge off-site.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Construction works sites of the drill and blast tunnel	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and WPCO
<u>Water Quality Impact (Operation)</u>							
No mitigation measure is required.							

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Construction)</u>							
6.7	5	The sewage generated by the on-site workforce should be collected in chemical toilets and disposed of off-site by a licensed waste collector.	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u>							
6.6.3	5	Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	BCP	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
6.5.3	5	Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	Administration Building	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<u>Waste Management Implication (Construction)</u>							
7.6.1.1	6	<p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>Adverse impacts related to waste management such as potential hazard, air, odour, noise, wastewater discharge and public transport as mentioned in section 3.4.7.2 (ii)(c) of the Study Brief are not expected to arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site ▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures ▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste ▪ Dust suppression measures as required under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be followed as far as practicable. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by covering trucks or in enclosed containers ▪ General refuse shall be removed away immediately for disposal. As 	To minimize adverse environmental impact	Contractor	Construction works sites (general)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; and ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Site

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>such odour is not anticipated to be an issue to distant sensitive receivers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road ▪ Covers and water spraying system should be provided for the stockpiled C&D material to prevent dust impact or being washed away ▪ Designate different locations for storage of C&D material to enhance reuse ▪ Well planned programme for transportation of C&D material to lessen the off-site traffic impact. Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal and imported filling material such that adverse noise impact from transporting of C&D material is not anticipated ▪ Site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” should be adopted as far as practicable, such as cleaning and maintenance of drainage systems regularly ▪ Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains 					
7.6.1.2	6	<p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal ▪ Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force ▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials ▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount 	To reduce the quantity of wastes	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation and Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In addition to the above measures, specific mitigation measures are recommended below for the identified waste arising to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of these wastes. 					
7.6.1.3	6	<p>C&D Materials</p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. The surplus rock and other inert C&D material would be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs) at Tuen Mun Area 38 for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR as the last resort. C&D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site; and In order to monitor the disposal of C&D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included. 	To minimize impacts resulting from C&D material	Contractor	Construction Works Sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; and ETWB TCW No. 31/2004
7.6.1.4	6	<p>General refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from other C&D material. A reputable waste collector is to be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site separately. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' litter.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance and Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation
7.6.1.5	6	<p>Chemical waste</p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes

Appendix N

Investigation Report for Exceedance

Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance

Project		CE 45/2008	
Date		24 February 2017	
Location		WM1	
Time		11:50	
Parameter		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
Action Level		51.3 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	54.5 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
Limit Level		67.6 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	64.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
Measured Levels	WM1-C	18.4	8.5
	WM1	151.0	123.0
Exceedance		Limit Level	Limit Level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the site information provided from CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 24 February 2017 near Boundary Control Point (BCP) which upstream of WM1 was mainly construction of depressed road. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1. 2. According to the field photos (Photo 1 & 2) taken on 24 February 2017, muddy water and rubbish was observed and cumulated at WM1 whereas the water quality at WM1-C was clear. 3. According to field data record on 22 February 2017, there was rain and muddy water was observed throughout the channel including WM1-C and WM1. Moreover, large amount of rubbish flushed from upstream was observed cumulated near WM1 after rain. (Photo 3 & 4) 4. Weekly site inspection was carried out by the ET in February 2017, it was observed that the main construction activity was construction of depressed road near Bridge Y and no adverse water quality impact was recorded. (Photo 5 & 6) In early March 2017, it was observed rubbish was cumulated at the river channel near WM1 and it was suspected that rubbish was flushing from upstream during rain on 22 February 2017. (Photo 7 & 8) 5. In our investigation, it is considered that the exceedances were likely related to the residual impact of the rain on 22 February 2017 and not due to the works under the Contract. 6. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM1 has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. Additional monitoring was carried out on 25 and 27 February 2017 and no exceedance was triggered. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continue fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. 	

Prepared By : _____ Nicola Hon

Designation : _____ Environmental Consultant

Signature : _____ 

Date : _____ 21 March 2017

Photo Record



Photo 1
On 24 February 2017, muddy water and rubbish was observed and cumulated at WM1.



Photo 2
On 24 February 2017, water quality at WM1-C was clear.



Photo 3
On 22 February 2017, muddy water and cumulated rubbish was observed at WM1 after rain.



Photo 4
On 22 February 2017, muddy water was observed at WM1-C after rain.



Photo 5
During site inspection in February 2017, it was observed that the main construction activity was construction of depressed road near Bridge Y and no adverse water quality impact was recorded.



Photo 6
A wastewater treatment facility with AquaSed SH-20 was implemented.



Photo 7

During site inspection in early March 2017, no adverse water quality impact was observed at the works area near WM1.



Photo 8

During site inspection in early March 2017, cumulated rubbish was observed at the river channel near WM1.




Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM1 and WM1-C

Agreement No. CE 45/2008

Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance

Project	CE 45/2008	
Date	1 March 2017	1 March 2017
Location	AM2	AM3
Time	00:00	00:00
Parameter	24 hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	24 hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Action Level	148	145
Limit Level	260	260
Measured Level	199	185
Exceedance	Action Level	Action Level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures	<p>1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, the major works activity carried out at Bridge D – Lin Ma Hang (near air monitoring location AM2) on 1 March 2017 was in-situ span fabrication of bridge. Whereas, there was no site activities carried out close to air monitoring location AM3 as it is located far from the boundary of Contract 6. The monitoring location AM2 and AM3 and its related works area are shown in Figures 1 and 2.</p> <p>2. Joint site inspection by RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was conducted on 16 March 2017 for investigation. The observations during site inspection are summarized below.</p> <p>(a) Dust Monitoring Station AM2 (AM2) is located at a village house in Lin Ma Hang Road and the closest works area under Contract 6 was Bridge D – Lin Ma Hang of Contract 6. (<i>Photo 1</i>)</p> <p>(b) The works area of Bridge D – Lin Ma Hang and AM2 was separated by Lin Ma Hang Road. Based on the observed during site inspection, the main dust impact pose to AM2 was dominated by traffic dust in Lin Ma Hang Road. (<i>Photo 2</i>)</p> <p>(c) An active works area demarcated by CLP was observed next to AM2. Excavation of road was carried out at that works area and exposed road surface without covering of tarpaulin was observed. (<i>Photo 3</i>)</p> <p>(d) Wheel washing facilities was provided at site exit of Bridge D and all vehicles were thoroughly washed and before leaving the site. (<i>Photo 4</i>)</p> <p>(e) The road surface from the wheel washing facilities leading to Lin Ma Hang Road was hard and water spraying was provided on the road surface regularly as dust suppressive measures. (<i>Photo 5</i>)</p> <p>(f) Water spraying was provided on the Lin Ma Hang Road regularly as dust suppressive measures. No dusty material was</p>	

	<p>observed on the existing Lin Ma Hang Road. (<i>Photo 6</i>)</p> <p>(g) No dusty work and stockpile of dusty material was observed within the works area of C6.</p> <p>(h) No site activities were carried out in the portion of Lin Ma Hang Road adjacent to AM3 by CCKJV. No adverse dust impact causing exceedance to AM3 was observed. (<i>Photo 7</i>)</p> <p>3. As advised by CCKJV, though CCKJV is not the only user of Lin Man Hang Road, regularly water spraying on Lin Ma Hang Road by water truck is provided daily to suppress fugitive dust along the road. Moreover, there was no dust related complaint received in March 2017.</p> <p>4. Furthermore, having reviewed the Air Quality Health Index (AQHI) recorded by EPD, the daily maximum AQHI on 1 March 2017 at the closest air monitoring station Tai Po was ranged from 4 to 8 which indicated very high concentration of ambient air pollutant. Based on the AQHI, it is consideration that the localized air quality was poor in general.</p> <p>5. There were no exceedances recorded in the subsequent air quality monitoring in March 2017. In our investigation, it is considered that the 24-hr TSP exceedances at AM2 and AM3 on 1 March 2017 were a short-term impact and unlikely caused by the works under the project.</p> <p>6. The Contractor should continually implement the dust mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.</p>
--	---

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 24 March 2017

Photo Record



Photo 1

AM2 is located at a village house in Lin Ma Hang Road and the closest works area under Contract 6 was Bridge D – Lin Ma Hang of Contract 6.



Photo 2

The works area of Bridge D – Lin Ma Hang and AM2 was separated by Lin Ma Hang Road. The main dust impact pose to AM2 should be dominated by traffic dust in Lin Ma Hang Road.



Photo 3

An active works area demarcated by CLP was observed next to the AM2. Excavation of road was carried out at that works area and exposed road surface without covering of tarpaulin was observed.



Photo 4

Wheel washing facilities was provided at site exit of Bridge D and all vehicles were thoroughly washed and before leaving the site.

AUES



Photo 5

The road surface from the wheel washing facilities leading to Lin Ma Hang Road was hard and water spraying was provided on the road surface regularly as dust suppressive measures.



Photo 6

Water spraying was provided on the Lin Ma Hang Road regularly as dust suppressive measures. No dusty material was observed on the existing Lin Ma Hang Road.



Photo 7

No site activities were carried out in the portion of Lin Ma Hang Road adjacent to AM3 by CCKJV. No adverse dust impact causing exceedance to AM3 was observed.

NA




Figure 1 Location Map for Air Monitoring Location AM2 and works area under Contract 6




Figure 2 Location Map for Air Monitoring Location AM3 and works area under Contract 6

Agreement No. CE 45/2008

Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance

Project	CE 45/2008
Date	2 March 2017
Location	AM6
Time	00:00
Parameter	24 hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Action Level	148
Limit Level	260
Measured Level	226
Exceedance	Action Level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the site information provided from the CCKJV, the major works activity carried out at adjacent to AM6 included tunneling works and construction of ventilation building. The monitoring location AM6 and its related works area are shown in Figure 1. 2. Joint site inspection by RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET was conducted on 16 March 2017 for investigation. The observations during site inspection are summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Dust Monitoring Station AM6 is located at a village house along Ng Chow Road and the closest works area under Contract 6 was Bridge B of Contract 6. (<i>Photo 1 and Figure 1</i>) (b) A truck owned by the villager was parked next to AM6. (<i>Photo 1</i>) (c) The section of Ng Chow Road between AM6 and Bridge B was hard paved public road. No muddy trails and dusty material was observed on the road. (<i>Photo 2 and 3</i>) (d) No site exit/ entrance was located at Ng Chow Road which leading to AM6. (<i>Photo 4</i>) As advised by CCKJV, Ng Chow Road is not an access road for vehicle of Bridge B. (e) No dusty work and stockpile of dusty material was observed within the works area of Bridge B, the road surface was mostly hard paved. (<i>Photo 5</i>) 3. Having reviewed the Air Quality Health Index (AQHI) recorded by EPD, the daily maximum AQHI on 2 March 2017 at the closest air monitoring station Tai Po was rated as “5” which indicated moderate concentration of ambient air pollutant. Based on the AQHI, it is consideration that the localized air quality was not good in general. 4. There were no exceedances recorded in the subsequent air quality monitoring in March 2017. In our investigation, it is considered that the 24-hr TSP exceedances at AM6 on 2 March 2017 was a

	<p>short-term impact and unlikely caused by the works under the project.</p> <p>5. The Contractor should continually implement the dust mitigation measures in full gear as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.</p>
--	--

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 29 March 2017

Photo Record



Photo 1

Dust Monitoring Station AM6 (AM6) is located at a village house along Ng Chow Road. A truck owned by the villager was parked next to AM6.



Photo 2

The section of Ng Chow Road between AM6 and Bridge B was hard paved public road. No muddy trails and dusty material was observed on the road.



Photo 3

The section of Ng Chow Road between AM6 and Bridge B was hard paved public road. No muddy trails and dusty material was observed on the road.



Photo 4

No site exit/ entrance was located at Ng Chow Road which leading to AM6. As advised by CCKJV, Ng Chow Road is not an access road for vehicle of Bridge B. Moreover, no dusty work and stockpile of dusty material was observed within the works area of Bridge B, the road surface was mostly hard paved.




Figure 1 Location Map for Air Monitoring Location AM6 and works area under Contract

To Mr. Vincent Chan **Fax No** **By e-mail**

Company CRBC-CEC-Kaden JV

cc

From Nicola Hon **Date** 3 April 2017

Our Ref TCS00694/13/300/F0920 **No of Pages** 5 **(Incl. cover sheet)**

RE **Agreement No. CE 45/2008**
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report of Exceedance of Water Quality at Location WM2A(a) on 11
March 2017

If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.

Dear Sir,

Further to the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) ref.:

TCS00694/13/300/F0905 dated 21 March 2017

Please find attached the "Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance" referenced above for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079.**

Yours Faithfully,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Nicola Hon
Environmental Consultant

Encl.

c.c.	Mr. David Chan (EPD)	Fax:	2685 1155
	Mr. Steve Lo (CE/BCP, NTWDO, CEDD)	Fax:	3547 1659
	Mr. Simon Leung (ER of C6/ AECOM)	Fax:	2251 0698
	Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)		By email

Agreement No. CE 45/2008

Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance

Project		CE 45/2008
Date		11 March 2017
Location		WM2A(a)
Time		10:00
Parameter		Suspended solids (mg/L)
Action Level		14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
Limit Level		17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
Measured Levels	WM2A-C	<2
	WM2A(a)	25.0
Exceedance		Limit level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of Contract 6 (CCKJV), construction activities carried out on 11 March 2017 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A(a)) were mainly segment installation. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1. 2. According to the site photo taken by the monitoring team on 11 March 2017, the water quality at the existing river course including WM2A(a) and WM2A-C were clear. <i>(Photo 1 & 2)</i> During the course of water sampling, it was noted that the loose sediment at the river bed was easily disturbed and stirred up. 3. During weekly joint site inspection conducted at Bridge D in March 2017, the water mitigation measures were properly implemented. The observation during the site inspection is summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Works at Bridge D was mainly segment installation and there was no discharge due to nature of works. <i>(Photo 3)</i> (b) Wastewater treatment facilities were provided for Bridge D and the treated water being discharged was visually clear. <i>(Figure 1)</i> (c) Sump pit was constructed to preliminary settle the suspended solids in the water before diverted to the AquaSed for proper treatment. (d) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff and discharge was made from the site. Also The Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable. <i>(Photo 4 & 5)</i> 4. In our investigation, the implementation of water mitigation measures on site was in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed. Moreover, there were no rain recorded on the exceedance day and muddy runoff from the site was unlikely to occur. It is considered that the SS exceedance on 11 March 2017

	<p>was due to the stirred up loose sediment of the river bed during sampling and not caused by the works under the Contract.</p> <p>5. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring is increase to daily. Since the SS result required 5 working days to process, the need for repeated measurement could only rely on the result of turbidity which is in-situ measurement. There were no repeated monitoring on 13 March 2017 as no exceedance of turbidity recorded at the day before. Moreover, there were no exceedances recorded on 15 and 17 March 2017. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.</p>
--	--

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 3 April 2017

Photo Record



Photo 1

On 11 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A(a) was clear.



Photo 2

On 11 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



Photo 3

In March 2017, works carried out at Bridge D was mainly segment installation and there was no discharge due to nature of works.



Photo 4

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff and discharge was made from the site.



Photo 5

To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, the Contractor has covered the exposed slopes as far as practicable.



Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A(a), WM2A-Control and work area under Contract

To Mr. Vincent Chan **Fax No** **By e-mail**

Company CRBC-CEC-Kaden JV

cc

From Nicola Hon **Date** 11 April 2017

Our Ref TCS00694/13/300/F0929 **No of Pages** 6 (Incl. cover sheet)

RE Agreement No. CE 45/2008
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Investigation Report of Exceedance of Water Quality at Location WM2A(a) on 21,
23 and 25 March 2017

If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.

Dear Sir,

Further to the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) ref.:

TCS00694/13/300/F0913 dated 31 March 2017

Please find attached the "Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance" referenced above for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079.**

Yours Faithfully,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Nicola Hon
Environmental Consultant

Encl.

c.c.	Mr. David Chan (EPD)	Fax:	2685 1155
	Mr. Steve Lo (CE/BCP, NTWDO, CEDD)	Fax:	3547 1659
	Mr. Simon Leung (ER of C6/ AECOM)	Fax:	2251 0698
	Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)		By email

Agreement No. CE 45/2008

Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance

Project		CE 45/2008		
Date		21 March 2017	23 March 2017	25 March 2017
Location		WM2A(a)		
Time		10:00	9:45	9:40
Parameter		Suspended solids (mg/L)		
Action Level		14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
Limit Level		17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
Measured Levels	WM2A-C	<2	5.0	8.0
	WM2A(a)	20.0	28.0	17.5
Exceedance		Limit Level	Limit Level	Limit Level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of Contract 6 (CCKJV), construction activities carried out during 21 to 25 March 2017 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A(a)) were mainly segment installation. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1. 2. According to the site photo taken by the monitoring team on 21, 23 and 25 March 2017, the water quality at the existing river course including WM2A(a) and WM2A-C were clear and no water quality impact was observed. <i>(Photo 1 to 6)</i> 3. During weekly joint site inspection conducted at Bridge D in March 2017, the water mitigation measures were properly implemented. The observation during the site inspection is summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Works at Bridge D was mainly segment installation and there was no discharge due to nature of works. <i>(Photo 7)</i> (b) Wastewater treatment facilities were provided for Bridge D and the treated water being discharged was visually clear. <i>(Figure 1)</i> (c) Sump pit was constructed to preliminary settle the suspended solids in the water before diverted to the AquaSed for proper treatment. (d) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff and discharge was made from the site. <i>(Photo 8)</i> 4. In our investigation, the implementation of water mitigation measures on site was in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed. Moreover, there were no rain recorded on the exceedance day and muddy runoff from the site was unlikely to occur. It is considered that the SS exceedances on 21, 23 and 25 March 2017 were due to natural variation and not caused by the works under the Contract. 5. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water 		

	<p>monitoring is increase to daily. Since the SS result required 5 working days to process, the need for repeated measurement could only rely on the result of turbidity which is in-situ measurement. There were no repeated monitoring on 22 and 24 March 2017 as no exceedance of turbidity recorded at the day before. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.</p>
--	--

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 11 April 2017

Photo Record



Photo 1

On 21 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A(a) was clear.



Photo 2

On 21 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



Photo 3

On 23 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A(a) was clear.



Photo 4

On 23 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



Photo 5

On 25 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A(a) was clear.



Photo 6

On 25 March 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



Photo 7

In March 2017, works carried out at Bridge D was mainly segment installation and there was no discharge due to nature of works.



Photo 8

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff and discharge was made from the site.



Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A(a), WM2A-Control and work area under Contract