



JOB No.: TCS00694/13

**AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008 (CE)
LIANTANG/ HEUNG YUEN WAI
BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS**

**MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
REPORT (NO.97) – AUGUST 2021**

**PREPARED FOR
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
(CEDD)**

| Date | Reference No. | Prepared By | Certified By |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| 13 September 2021 | TCS00694/13/600/R2679v2 |  |  |
| | | Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant) | Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader) |

| Version | Date | Remarks |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 9 September 2021 | First Submission |
| 2 | 13 September 2021 | Amended As Per IEC's comment |
| | | |



Member of the Surbana Jurong Group

local people
global experience

Our ref: 7076192/L27859/AW/CL/rw

13 September 2021

AECOM
8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road
Shatin, N.T.

By Email & Post

Attention: Mr Eddie LUK

Dear Sir

Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)
Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works
Independent Environmental Checker – Investigation
Monthly EM&A Report (No. 97) – August 2021

With reference to the Monthly EM&A Report No. 97 for August 2021 (Version 2) certified by the ET Leader, please note that we have no adverse comment on the captioned submission. We herewith verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 5.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-404/2011/D.

Thank you for your attention. Should there be any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on tel. 3995-8120 or by email to antony.wong@smec.com; or our Mr Charls LIANG on tel. 3995-8128 or by email to charls.liang@smec.com.

Yours faithfully

Antony WONG
Independent Environmental Checker

| | | | | |
|----|----------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| cc | CEDD/BCP | - | Mr LU Pei Yu / Mr Derek LAU | by fax: 3547 1659 |
| | AECOM | - | Mr Anthony WONG | by email |
| | CCKJV | - | Mr Vincent CHAN | by email |
| | AUES | - | Mr TW TAM | by email |

SMEC ASIA LIMITED
27/F Ford Glory Plaza, 37-39 Wing Hong Street
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong
T +852 3995 8100
F +852 3995 8101
E hongkong@smec.com
W www.smec.com



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES01 This is the 97th monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit (EM&A) report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from 1 to 31 August 2021 (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES02 To facilitate the project management and implementation, Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project is divided to six CEDD contracts including Contract 2 (CV/2012/08), Contract 3 (CV/2012/09), Contract 4 (NE/2014/02), Contract 5 (CV/2013/03), Contract 6 (CV/2013/08) and Contract 7 (NE/2014/03) and an ArshSD contract (Contract SS C505).

ES03 Substantial completion for the Works has been achieved for all Contracts in 2019. Proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contracts 2, 4, 7 and SS C505 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 (EPD’s ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.17). Moreover, termination proposal for Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 4 May 2021 (EPD’s ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.18). Therefore, air quality monitoring stations AM1c, AM8 & AM9b, noise monitoring stations NM1, NM7, NM8, NM9 & NM10 and water quality monitoring stations WM4, WM4-CA & WM4-CB and ET’s site inspection and audit for relevant works area for Contracts 2, 3, 4, 7 and SS C505 was ceased accordingly.

ES04 In the Reporting Period, environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

| Environmental Aspect | Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection | Reporting Period | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | Number of Monitoring Locations to undertake | Total Occasions |
| Air Quality | 1-hour TSP | 6 (#) | 90 |
| | 24-hour TSP | 6 (#) | 34 |
| Construction Noise | $L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime | 5 (~) | 20 |
| Water Quality | Water in-situ measurement and/or sampling | WM1 & WM1-C | 13 Scheduled & 0 extra |
| | | WM2A(a) & WM2A-Cx | 13 Scheduled & 0 extra |
| | | WM2B & WM2B-C | 13 Scheduled & 0 extra (*) |
| | | WM3x & WM3-C | 13 Scheduled & 0 extra (*) |
| Ecology | Woodland compensation i) General Health condition of planted species ii) Survival of planted species | 9 Quadrats and transect | 0 |
| | Wetland compensation i) Site inspection | Contract 6 | 4 |
| Joint Site Inspection / Audit | IEC, ET, the Contractor and RE joint site Environmental Inspection and Auditing | Contract 6 | 4 |

Remark:

(*) Water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C in the Reporting Period due to shallow water. Besides, WM3-C was also unable to carry out in the Reporting Period due to shallow water. (Water depth under 150mm). WM4, WM4-CA and WM4-CB were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 3 May 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

(#) Number of air monitoring location changed to 6 since the partial termination proposal approved by EPD on 9 Jul 2020 and 4 May 2021.

(~) Number of noise monitoring location changed to 5 since the partial termination proposal approved by EPD on 9 Jul 2020 and 4 May 2021.

ES05 Construction phase for Contract 6 are substantially completed and only minor defect rectification and minor remaining works will be carried out and scale of remaining works were small when compare with the full swing of work during construction period. A revised “Proposal for

termination of the Construction Phase EM&A Programme for the remaining Contract 6 of the Project” which certified by ETL and verified by IEC, was re-submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 15 July 2021 for approval. Site inspection with EPD, RE, IEC and ET was conducted on 12 August 2021 and updated Proposal will be submitted to EPD in September 2021.

ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE

ES01 In the Reporting Period, no exceedance was recorded for construction noise, air quality and water quality monitoring. The summary of exceedance in the Reporting Period is shown below.

| Environmental Aspect | Monitoring Parameters | Action Level | Limit Level | Event & Action | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | NOE Issued | Investigation Result | Project related exceedance | Corrective Actions |
| Air Quality | 1-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| | 24-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| Construction Noise | $L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| Water Quality | DO | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| | Turbidity | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| | SS | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES02 No environmental complaint was recorded in the Reporting Period.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES03 No environmental summons and prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.

REPORTING CHANGE

ES04 No reporting change was recorded in the Reporting period.

SITE INSPECTION

ES05 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 6** has been carried out by the RE, ET, IEC and the Contractor on **5, 12, 20 and 26 August 2021**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

ES06 In view of substantial completion of construction work and in consideration of the scale and nature of remaining works under Contract 6, the potential environmental impacts associated with the remaining works for Project was considered negligible. The revised “Proposal for termination of the Construction Phase EM&A Programme for the remaining Contract 6 of the Project” which certified by ETL and verified by IEC, was re-submitted to EPD on 15 July 2021 for approval.

ES07 Since the construction works under the Project were substantial completed, the potential environmental impacts associated with Project are considered negligible. However, the Contractor was reminded that the environmental mitigation measures shall be properly implemented and maintained where applicable, as per the Mitigation Implementation Schedule, in the remaining construction period and operational phase.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | PROJECT BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 1.2 | REPORT STRUCTURE | 1 |
| 2 | PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS | 3 |
| 2.1 | CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING | 3 |
| 2.2 | PROJECT ORGANIZATION | 5 |
| 2.3 | CONCURRENT PROJECTS | 7 |
| 2.4 | CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS | 8 |
| 2.5 | SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS | 8 |
| 3 | SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 9 |
| 3.1 | GENERAL | 9 |
| 3.2 | MONITORING PARAMETERS | 9 |
| 3.3 | MONITORING LOCATIONS | 9 |
| 3.4 | MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD | 12 |
| 3.5 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT | 12 |
| 3.6 | MONITORING METHODOLOGY | 14 |
| 3.7 | EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION | 16 |
| 3.8 | DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS | 17 |
| 3.9 | DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL | 18 |
| 4 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING | 19 |
| 4.1 | GENERAL | 19 |
| 4.2 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS | 19 |
| 5 | CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING | 21 |
| 5.1 | GENERAL | 21 |
| 5.2 | NOISE MONITORING RESULTS | 21 |
| 6 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING | 22 |
| 6.1 | GENERAL | 22 |
| 6.2 | RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING | 22 |
| 7 | ECOLOGY MONITORING | 24 |
| 7.1 | MONITORING ON WOODLAND COMPENSATION | 24 |
| 7.2 | MONITORING ON WETLAND COMPENSATION | 24 |
| 8 | WASTE MANAGEMENT | 25 |
| 8.1 | GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT | 25 |
| 8.2 | RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES | 25 |
| 9 | SITE INSPECTION | 26 |
| 9.1 | REQUIREMENTS | 26 |
| 9.2 | FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH | 26 |
| 10 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE | 27 |
| 10.1 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS | 27 |
| 11 | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES | 28 |
| 11.1 | GENERAL REQUIREMENTS | 28 |
| 11.2 | TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH | 28 |
| 11.3 | KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH | 28 |
| 12 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 30 |
| 12.1 | CONCLUSIONS | 30 |
| 12.2 | RECOMMENDATIONS | 30 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|------------|---|
| TABLE 2-1 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS OF THE CONTRACTS |
| TABLE 3-1 | SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS |
| TABLE 3-2 | IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY |
| TABLE 3-3 | IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| TABLE 3-4 | IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - WATER QUALITY |
| TABLE 3-5 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-6 | CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-7 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-8 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING |
| TABLE 3-9 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| TABLE 3-10 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY |
| TABLE 4-1 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2 |
| TABLE 4-2 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM3 |
| TABLE 4-3 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM4B |
| TABLE 4-4 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM5A |
| TABLE 4-5 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM6 |
| TABLE 4-6 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM7B |
| TABLE 5-1 | SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 5-2 | SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 6-1 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED CONTRACTS 6 |
| TABLE 6-2 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED CONTRACT 6 |
| TABLE 6-3 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED CONTRACTS 6 |
| TABLE 6-4 | ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE RECORDED |
| TABLE 8-1 | SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS FOR THE PROJECT |
| TABLE 8-2 | SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES FOR THE PROJECT |
| TABLE 9-1 | SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 6 |
| TABLE 10-1 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS |
| TABLE 10-2 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS |
| TABLE 10-3 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTIONS |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|--|
| APPENDIX A | LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT |
| APPENDIX B | ORGANIZATION CHART |
| APPENDIX C | 3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (NOT USED) |
| APPENDIX D | DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE APPROVED EM&A MANUAL |
| APPENDIX E | MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING |
| APPENDIX F | CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY |
| APPENDIX G | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN |
| APPENDIX H | IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE |
| APPENDIX I | DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT |
| APPENDIX J | GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT |
| APPENDIX K | METEOROLOGICAL DATA |
| APPENDIX L | WASTE FLOW TABLE |

| | |
|------------|--|
| APPENDIX M | IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES |
| APPENDIX N | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES FOR OPERATION PHASE |

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works, which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/D granted on 20 January 2017.
- 1.1.2 The Project consists of two main components: Construction of a Boundary Control Point (hereinafter referred as “BCP”); and Construction of a connecting road alignment. Layout plan of the Project is shown in *Appendix A*.
- 1.1.3 The proposed BCP is located at the boundary with Shenzhen near the existing Chuk Yuen Village, comprising a main passenger building with passenger and cargo processing facilities and the associated customs, transport and ancillary facilities. The connecting road alignment consists of six main sections:
- 1) Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area (FCA) Boundary – this section comprises at-grade and viaducts and includes the improvement works at Lin Ma Hang Road;
 - 2) Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan – this section stretches from the Frontier Closed Area Boundary to the tunnel portal at Cheung Shan and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Ping Yeung;
 - 3) North Tunnel – this section comprises the tunnel segment at Cheung Shan and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel;
 - 4) Sha Tau Kok Road – this section stretches from the tunnel portal at Wo Keng Shan to the tunnel portal south of Loi Tung and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Sha Tau Kok and an administration building;
 - 5) South Tunnel – this section comprises a tunnel segment that stretches from Loi Tung to Fanling and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel as well as a ventilation building in the middle of the tunnel near Lau Shui Heung;
 - 6) Fanling – this section comprises the at-grade, viaducts and interchange connection to the existing Fanling Highway.
- 1.1.4 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting has been commissioned as an Independent ET to implement the relevant EM&A program in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM&A program, the baseline monitoring has carried out between **13 June 2013** and **12 July 2013** for all parameters including air quality, noise and water quality before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. Also, the Project baseline monitoring report which verified by the IEC has been submitted to EPD on **16 July 2013** for endorsement. The major construction works of the Project was commenced on **16 August 2013** in accordance with the EP Section 5.3 stipulation.
- 1.1.5 This is **97th** monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for reporting period from **1** to **31 August 2021**.

1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-
- | | |
|------------------|---|
| <i>Section 1</i> | <i>Introduction</i> |
| <i>Section 2</i> | <i>Project Organization and Construction Progress</i> |
| <i>Section 3</i> | <i>Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements</i> |
| <i>Section 4</i> | <i>Air Quality Monitoring</i> |
| <i>Section 5</i> | <i>Construction Noise Monitoring</i> |

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Section 6 | <i>Water Quality Monitoring</i> |
| Section 7 | <i>Ecology Monitoring</i> |
| Section 8 | <i>Waste Management</i> |
| Section 9 | <i>Site Inspections</i> |
| Section 10 | <i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance</i> |
| Section 11 | <i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i> |
| Section 12 | <i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i> |

2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project would be divided by the following contracts:

- Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)
- Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)
- Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)
- Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)
- Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)
- Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)
- ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.2 The details of each contracts is summarized below and the delineation of each contracts is shown in *Appendix A*.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.1.3 Contract 2 has awarded in December 2013 and construction work was commenced on 19 May 2014. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- construction of an approximately 5.2km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.4km of at-grade road and 4.8km of tunnel) connecting the Fanling Interchange with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange;
- construction of a ventilation adit tunnel and the mid-ventilation building;
- construction of the north and south portal buildings of the Lung Shan Tunnel and their associated slope works;
- provision and installation of ventilation system, E&M works and building services works for Lung Shan tunnel and Cheung Shan tunnel and their portal buildings;
- construction of Tunnel Administration Building adjacent to Wo Keng Shan Road and the associated E&M and building services works; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.1.4 Contract 3 was awarded in July 2013 and construction work was commenced on 5 November 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- construction of four link roads connecting the existing Fanling Highway and the south portal of the Lung Shan Tunnel;
- realignment of the existing Tai Wo Service Road West and Tai Wo Service Road East;
- widening of the existing Fanling Highway (HyD's entrustment works);
- demolishing existing Kiu Tau vehicular bridge and Kiu Tau footbridge and reconstruction of the existing Kiu Tau Footbridge (HyD's entrustment works); and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.1.5 Contract 4 has awarded in mid-April 2016 and construction work was commenced on 2 May 2017. The scope of work of the Contract 4 includes:

- design, supply, delivery, installation, testing and commissioning of a traffic control and surveillance system for the connecting road linking up the Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and the existing Fanling Highway.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.1.6 Contract 5 has awarded in April 2013 and construction work was commenced in August 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:

- site formation of about 23 hectares of land for the development of the BCP;
- construction of an approximately 1.6 km long perimeter road at the BCP including a 175m long depressed road;
- associated diversion/modification works at existing local roads and junctions including Lin Ma Hang Road;
- construction of pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provision of resite area with supporting infrastructure for reprovisioning of the affected village houses; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.1.7 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 6 would be included below:

- construction of an approximately 4.6km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.6km of at-grade road, 3.3km of viaduct and 0.7km of tunnel) connecting the BCP with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Road Interchange and the associated ventilation buildings;
- associated diversion/modification works at access roads to the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- provision of sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities for the BCP and the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- construction of a pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provisioning of the affected facilities including Wo Keng Shan Road garden; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

2.1.8 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and the construction works of Contract 7 was commenced on 15 February 2016. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 7 would be included below:

- construction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) portion of four vehicular bridge
- construction of one pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen (SZ) River (cross boundary bridges)

ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.9 SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. Major Scope of Work of the SS C505 would be included below:

- passenger-related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, the interior fitting works for the pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen River, etc.;
- cargo processing facilities including kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, customs inspection platforms, X-ray building, etc.;
- accommodation for the facilities inside of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
- transport-related facilities inside the BCP including road networks, public transport interchange, transport drop-off and pick-up areas, vehicle holding areas and associated road furniture etc;

- a public carpark; and
- other ancillary facilities such as sewerage and drainage, building services provisions and electronic systems, associated environmental mitigation measure and landscape works.

2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization is shown in *Appendix B*. The responsibilities of respective parties are:

Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

2.2.2 CEDD is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of the EP of the development of the Project and will assume overall responsibility for the project. An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by CEDD to audit the results of the EM&A works carried out by the ET.

Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)

2.2.3 ArchSD acts as the works agent for Development Bureau (DEVB), for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities.

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

2.2.4 EPD is the statutory enforcement body for environmental protection matters in Hong Kong.

Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Architect)

2.2.5 Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd is appointed by ArchSD as an Architect for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities. It responsible for overseeing the construction works of Contract SS C505 and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the Architect with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors' and ET's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Engineer or Engineers Representative (ER)

2.2.6 The ER is responsible for overseeing the construction works and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the ER with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors's, ET's and IEC's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme

- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

The Contractor(s)

- 2.2.7 There will be one contractor for each individual works contract. Once the contractors are appointed, EPD, ET and IEC will be notified the details of the contractor.
- 2.2.8 The Contractor for Contracts under CEDD should report to the ER. For ArchSD Contract, the Contractor should report to the Architect or Architect's Representative (AR). The duties and responsibilities of the Contractor are:
- Comply with the relevant contract conditions and specifications on environmental protection
 - Employ an Environmental Team (ET) to undertake monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of EM & A Facilitate ET's monitoring and site inspection activities
 - Participate in the site inspections by the ET and IEC, and undertake any corrective actions
 - Provide information / advice to the ET regarding works programme and activities which may contribute to the generation of adverse environmental impacts
 - Submit proposals on mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event / Action Plans
 - Implement measures to reduce impact where Action and Limit levels are exceeded
 - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

Environmental Team (ET)

- 2.2.9 Once the ET is appointed, the EPD, CEDD, ER, Architect and IEC will be notified the details of the ET.
- 2.2.10 The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor(s), and shall be employed by the Project Proponent/Contractor to conduct the EM&A programme. The ET should be managed by the ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years' experience in EM&A and has relevant professional qualifications. Suitably qualified staff should be included in the ET, and resources for the implementation of the EM&A programme should be allocated in time under the Contract(s), to enable fulfillment of the Project's EM&A requirements as specified in the EM&A Manual during construction of the Project. The ET shall report to the Project Proponent and the duties shall include:
- Monitor and audit various environmental parameters as required in this EM&A Manual
 - Analyse the environmental monitoring and audit data, review the success of EM&A programme and the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented, confirm the validity of the EIA predictions and identify any adverse environmental impacts arising
 - Carry out regular site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractors' site practice, equipment/plant and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems
 - Monitor compliance with conditions in the EP, environmental protection, pollution prevention and control regulations and contract specifications
 - Audit environmental conditions on site
 - Report on the environmental monitoring and audit results to EPD, the ER, the Architect, the IEC and Contractor or their delegated representatives
 - Recommend suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in the case of exceedance of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans
 - Liaise with the IEC on all environmental performance matters and timely submit all relevant

EM&A proforma for approval by IEC

- Advise the Contractor(s) on environmental improvement, awareness, enhancement measures etc., on site
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor(s) of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

2.2.11 One IEC will be employed for this Project. Once the IEC is appointed, EPD, ER, the Architect and ET will be notified the details of the IEC.

2.2.12 The Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) should not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC should be employed by the Permit Holder (i.e., CEDD) prior to the commencement of the construction of the Project. The IEC should have at least 10 years' experience in EM&A and have relevant professional qualifications. The appointment of IEC should be subject to the approval of EPD. The IEC should:

- Provide proactive advice to the ER and the Project Proponent on EM&A matters related to the project, independent from the management of construction works, but empowered to audit the environmental performance of construction
- Review and audit all aspects of the EM&A programme implemented by the ET
- Review and verify the monitoring data and all submissions in connection with the EP and EM&A Manual submitted by the ET
- Arrange and conduct regular, at least monthly site inspections of the works during construction phase, and ad hoc inspections if significant environmental problems are identified
- Check compliance with the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Check compliance with the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Check the effectiveness of corrective measures
- Feedback audit results to ET by signing off relevant EM&A proforma
- Check that the mitigation measures are effectively implemented
- Verify the log-book(s) mentioned in Condition 2.2 of the EP, notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the EIA Report and/or the EP, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project
- Report the works conducted, the findings, recommendation and improvement of the site inspections, after reviewing ET's and Contractor's works, and advices to the ER and Project Proponent on a monthly basis
- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, the Architect, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

2.3 CONCURRENT PROJECTS

2.3.1 The concurrent construction works that may be carried out include, but not limited to, the following:

- (a) Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage IV;
- (b) Widening of Fanling Highway – Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange – Contract No. HY/2012/06;
- (c) Construction of BCP facilities in Shenzhen.

2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.4.1 Following the partial commencement of the Project, the major construction works under the Project were substantially completed. Proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contracts 2, 4, 7 and SS C505 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.17). Moreover, termination proposal for Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 4 May 2021 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A52 Pt.18).

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.4.2 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. In this Reporting Period, the remaining works under Contract 6 is landscaping only.

2.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.5.1 In according to the EP, the required documents have submitted to EPD which listed in below:

- Project Layout Plans of Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
- Landscape Plan
- Topsoil Management Plan
- Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme
- Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00690/13/600/R0030v3) for the Project
- Waste Management Plan of the Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
- Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) and Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for Po Kat Tsai, Loi Tung and the workshops in Fanling
- Vegetation Survey Report
- Woodland Compensation Plan
- Habitat Creation and Management Plan

2.5.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of each contracts are presented in **Table 2-1**.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contracts

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | |
|-------------------|--|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| | | Ref. no. | Effective Date | Expiry Date |
| Contract 6 | | | | |
| 1 | Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | Ref. No: 390614 | 29 Jun 2015 | Till the end of Contract |
| 2 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration | Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-652-C3969-01 | 31 Aug 2015 | Till the end of Contract |
| 3 | Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | Account No. 7022707 | 9 Jul 2015 | Till the end of Contract |

3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise; and
- Water quality

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements

| Environmental Issue | Parameters |
|---------------------|--|
| Air Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and • 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler. |
| Noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $L_{eq(30min)}$ in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday; and • 3 sets of consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ on restricted hours i.e. 19:00 to 07:00 next day, and whole day of public holiday or Sunday • Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference. |
| Water Quality | <p>In-situ Measurements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L); • Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%) ; • Turbidity (NTU); • pH unit; • Water depth (m); and • Temperature (°C). <p>Laboratory Analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspended Solids (mg/L) |

3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 The designated monitoring locations as recommended in the *EM&A Manual* are shown in *Appendix D*. As the access to some of the designated monitoring locations was questionable due to safety reason or denied by the landlords, alternative locations therefore have had proposed. The latest alternative monitoring locations has been updated in the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which approved by EPD on 7 April 2017. Besides, in view of Location AM1b was demolished and returned to the landlord on 27 April 2018, alternative location AM1c was proposed by ET and approved by EPD on 26 November 2018. *Table 3-2, Table 3-3 and Table 3-4* listed the air quality, construction noise and water quality monitoring locations for the Project and a map showing these monitoring stations is presented in *Appendix E*.

3.3.2 Following the proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contract 2, 4, 7 and SSC505 and Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 and 4 May 2021 respectively. The corresponding air quality monitoring stations including AM1c, and AM8 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 7 July 2020 and 10 July 2020 respectively and AM9b was ceased after last monitoring carried out on 4 May 2021. Besides, the

corresponding noise monitoring stations including NM1 and NM7 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 7 July 2020 and 10 July 2020 respectively and NM8, NM9 and NM10 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 29 April 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021. Moreover, WM4, WM4-CA and WM4-CB were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 3 May 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations - Air Quality

| Station ID | Description | Works Area | Related to the Work Contract |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| AM1c (*) (\$) | Open area of Tsung Yuen Ha Village No. 63 | BCP | SS C505 Contract 7 |
| AM2 | Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road | LMH to Frontier Closed Area | Contract 6 |
| AM3 | Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village. | LMH to Frontier Closed Area | Contract 6 |
| AM4b^ | House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village | LMH to Frontier Closed Area | Contract 6 |
| AM5a^ | Ping Yeung Village House | Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan | Contract 6 |
| AM6 | Wo Keng Shan Village House | Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan | Contract 6 |
| AM7b@ | Loi Tung Village House | Sha Tau Kok Road | Contract 2 Contract 6 |
| AM8 (\$) | Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4 | Po Kat Tsai | Contract 2 |
| AM9b# | Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80 | Fanling | Contract 3 |

Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM9a to AM9b was submitted to EPD on 4 Nov 2013 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (15) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.10 dated 8 Nov 2013). Besides, AM9b was ceased after last monitoring carried out on 4 May 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

@ Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM7a to AM7b was submitted to EPD on 4 June 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (7) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).

^ Proposal for change of air quality monitoring locations was enclosed in the updated EM&A Programme which approval by EPD on 29 Mar 2016. Besides, Location AM1b was temporary suspended (24-hour TSP monitoring) since 27 April 2018 as the rented land was demolished and returned to the landlord.

* Revised proposal for alternative location AM1c was submitted to EPD on 31 October 2018 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (1) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.26 dated 26 November 2018).

\$ AM1c and AM8 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 7 July 2020 and 10 July 2020 respectively according to Partial Termination Proposal approved by EPD on 9 July 2020.

Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations - Construction Noise

| Station ID | Description | Works Area | Related to the Work Contract |
|------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| NM1 (\$) | Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63 | BCP | SS C505 Contract 7 |
| NM2a# | Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road | Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area | Contract 6 |
| NM3 | Ping Yeung Village House (facade facing northeast) | Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan | Contract 6 |
| NM4 | Wo Keng Shan Village House | Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan | Contract 6 |
| NM5 | Village House, Loi Tung | Sha Tau Kok Road | Contract 2, Contract 6 |

| | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| NM6 | Tai Tong Wu Village House 2 | Sha Tau Kok Road | Contract 2, Contract 6 |
| NM7 (\$) | Po Kat Tsai Village | Po Kat Tsai | Contract 2 |
| NM8 (\$) | Village House, Tong Hang | Fanling | Contract 2 Contract 3 |
| NM9 (\$) | Village House, Kiu Tau Village | Fanling | Contract 3 |
| NM10 (\$) | Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80 | Fanling | Contract 3 |

Proposal for the change of construction noise monitoring location from NM2 to NM2a was verified by the IEC on 6 May 2016 and was effective on 9 May 2016.

\$ NM1 and NM7 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 7 July 2020 and 10 July 2020 respectively according to Partial Termination Proposal approved by EPD on 9 July 2020. Besides, NM8, NM9 and NM10 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 29 April 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

Table 3-4 Impact Monitoring Stations - Water Quality

| Station ID | Description | Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location | | Nature of the location | Related to the Work Contract |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------|--|------------------------------|
| | | Easting | Northing | | |
| WM1 | Downstream of Kong Yiu Channel | 833 679 | 845 421 | Alternative location located at upstream 51m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM1-Control | Upstream of Kong Yiu Channel | 834 185 | 845 917 | NA | Contract 6 |
| WM2A | Downstream of River Ganges | 834 204 | 844 471 | Alternative location located at upstream 81m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM2A(a)* | Downstream of River Ganges | 834 191 | 844 474 | Alternative location located at upstream 70m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM2A-Controlx# | Upstream of River Ganges | 835 377 | 844 188 | Alternative location located at upstream 160m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM2B | Downstream of River Ganges | 835 433 | 843 397 | NA | Contract 6 |
| WM2B-Control | Upstream of River Ganges | 835 835 | 843 351 | Alternative location located at downstream 31m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM3x# | Downstream of River Indus | 836 206 | 842 270 | Alternative location located at downstream 180m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM3-Control | Upstream of River Indus | 836 763 | 842 400 | Alternative location located at downstream 26m of the designated location | Contract 6 |
| WM4 (\$) | Downstream of Ma Wat Channel | 833 850 | 838 338 | Alternative location located at upstream 11m of the designated location | Contract 3 |
| WM4-Control A (\$) | Kau Lung Hang Stream | 834 028 | 837 695 | Alternative location located at downstream 28m of the designated location | Contract 3 |
| WM4-Control B (\$) | Upstream of Ma Wat Channel | 833760 | 837395 | Alternative location located at upstream 15m of the designated location | Contract 3 |

Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which proposed that (1) if the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring; and (2) If no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample in accordance

with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 4.1.4) (EPD ref.: () in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)

- (*) Proposal for the change of water monitoring location from WM2A to WM2A(a) was verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD. (EPD’s ref. (10) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.19)
- (#) Proposal for the change of water quality monitoring location (WM3x and WM2A-Cx was included in the EM&A Programme Rev .05 which approved by EPD on 29 March 2016 (EPD ref.: (3) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.19)
- (\$) WM4, WM4-CA and WM4-CB were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 3 May 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in Sections 2.1.6, 3.1.5 and 4.1.6 of the approved EM&A Manual and presented as follows.

Air Quality Monitoring

3.4.1 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:

- 1-hour TSP 3 times every six days during course of works
- 24-hour TSP Once every 6 days during course of works.

Noise Monitoring

3.4.2 One set of $L_{eq(30min)}$ as 6 consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays and once every week during course of works. If construction work necessary to carry out at other time periods, i.e. restricted time period (19:00 to 07:00 the next morning and whole day on public holidays) (hereinafter referred as “the restricted hours”), additional weekly impact monitoring for $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurement shall be employed during respective restricted hours periods.. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.4.3 The water quality monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during course of works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Air Quality Monitoring

- 3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve.
- 3.5.2 The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.
- 3.5.3 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-5**.

Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|-------------------------|--|
| 24-Hr TSP | |
| High Volume Air Sampler | TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170* |
| Calibration Kit | TISCH Model TE-5025A* |
| 1-Hour TSP | |
| Portable Dust Meter | Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter* / SidePak™ Personal Aerosol Monitor AM510* |

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

- 3.5.4 According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:
- 1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
 - 2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
 - 3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
 - 4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.
- 3.5.5 ET has liaised with the landlords of the successful granted HVS installation premises. However, the owners rejected to provide premises for wind data monitoring equipment installation.
- 3.5.6 Under this situation, the ET proposed alternative methods to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. For Ta Kwu Ling Station, it is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, this station is located at 15m above mean sea level while its anemometer is located at 13m above the existing ground which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. Furthermore, this station also can be to provide the humidity, rainfall, and air pressure and temperature etc. meteorological information. In Hong Kong of a lot development projects, weather information extracted from Hong Kong Observatory is common alternative method if weather station installation not allowed.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.5.7 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.
- 3.5.8 Noise monitoring equipment to be used for monitoring is listed in **Table 3-6**.

Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | Rion NL-52* |
| Calibrator | Rion NC-74* |
| Portable Wind Speed Indicator | Testo Anemometer |

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

- 3.5.9 Sound level meters listed above comply with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO. The acoustic calibrator and sound level meter to be used in the impact monitoring will be calibrated yearly.

Water Quality Monitoring

- 3.5.10 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:

- a DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
 - a temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.
- 3.5.11 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.
- 3.5.12 The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.
- 3.5.13 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measure will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station as appropriate.
- 3.5.14 A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5m. For sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. <0.5 m, water sample collection will be directly from water surface below 100mm use sampling plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.
- 3.5.15 Water samples for laboratory measurement of SS will be collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the same day as the samples were collected.
- 3.5.16 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* with Limit of Reporting of 2 mg/L.
- 3.5.17 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the impact monitoring is listed in **Table 3-7**. Suspended solids (SS) analysis is carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, namely *ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd*.

Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|------------------------|---|
| Water Depth Detector | Eagle Sonar or tape measures |
| Water Sampler | A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling bucket |
| Thermometer & DO meter | YSI Professional Plus/ YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument/ YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter/ YSI Professional DSS* |
| pH meter | YSI Professional Plus/ AZ8685 pH pen-style meter / YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS* |
| Turbidimeter | Hach 2100Q/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS* |
| Sample Container | High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory) |
| Storage Container | 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with Ice pad |

* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

1-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” / “SidePak™ Personal Aerosol Monitor AM510” which is a portable,

battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:

- (a.) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
- (b.) A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
- (c.) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period as follow manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:

- (a.) An anodized aluminum shelter;
- (b.) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
- (c.) A blower motor assembly;
- (d.) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
- (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
- (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

3.6.4 The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval.

3.6.5 24-hour TSP is collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET keep all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

Noise Monitoring

3.6.6 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level (L_{eq}) measured in decibels dB(A). Supplementary statistical results (L_{10} and L_{90}) were also obtained for reference.

3.6.7 During the monitoring, all noise measurements would be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30min)}$ in six consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements will use as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; $L_{eq(5min)}$ measurements would be used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.

3.6.8 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking is performed before and after the noise measurement.

Water Quality

3.6.9 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated or alternative locations. The sampling procedures with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

Sampling Procedure

3.6.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measurement is used

for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, water sample would be collected from 0.1m below water surface or the water surface to prevent the river bed sediment for stirring.

3.6.11 If the water level of a monitoring station is too shallow when sampling, sediment would be disturbed which affecting the accuracy of water quality monitoring. In order to avoid disturbing sediment, depth limits should be set up for the water sampling for the ease of reference. When the measured water depth of the monitoring station (both control and impact stations) is lower than 150mm, water monitoring would not be to perform at that monitoring location. Instead, the monitoring location will be moved to a temporary alternative location monitoring location based on the criteria below:-

- (a) the alternative location should be either upstream or downstream of the original location and at the same the river/drain channel
- (b) the alternative location should be within 15m far from the original location
- (c) if no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample.

3.6.12 The sample container will be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then will be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.

3.6.13 Before sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.

3.6.14 A 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4⁰C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection.

In-situ Measurement

3.6.15 YSI Professional DSS is used for water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen saturation.

3.6.16 YSI Professional DSS is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1.

3.6.17 YSI Professional DSS is used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU.

3.6.18 All in-situ measurement equipment are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month interval.

Laboratory Analysis

3.6.19 All water samples analyzed Suspended Solids (SS) will be carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS determination using *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* as specified in the *EM&A Manual* will start within 48 hours of water sample receipt.

3.7 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

3.7.1 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation and thereafter at bimonthly intervals in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the certified standard calibrator (TISCH Model TE-5025A). Moreover, the Calibration Kit would be calibrated annually. The calibration

data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.

- 3.7.2 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment would be checked before and after each monitoring event. Annually calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition would be undertaken by the Laboratory.
- 3.7.3 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.7.4 All water quality monitoring equipment would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.7.5 The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in *Appendix F*.

3.8 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

- 3.8.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10*.

Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Station | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP |
| AM1c (\$) | 265 | 143 | 500 | 260 |
| AM2 | 268 | 149 | | |
| AM3 | 269 | 145 | | |
| AM4b | 267 | 148 | | |
| AM5a | 268 | 143 | | |
| AM6 | 269 | 148 | | |
| AM7b | 275 | 156 | | |
| AM8 (\$) | 269 | 144 | | |
| AM9b (\$) | 271 | 151 | | |

\$ AM1c and AM8 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 7 July 2020 and 10 July 2020 respectively according to Partial Termination Proposal approved by EPD on 9 July 2020. Besides, AM9b was ceased after last monitoring carried out on 4 May 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Monitoring Location | Action Level | Limit Level in dB(A) |
|--|---|---|
| | Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays | |
| NM1(\$), NM2a, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7(\$), NM8(\$), NM9(\$), NM10(\$) | When one or more documented complaints are received | 75 dB(A) ^{Note 1 & Note 2} |

Note 1: Acceptable Noise Levels for school should be reduced to 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) during examination period.

Note 2: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

\$ NM1 and NM7 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 7 July 2020 and 10 July 2020 respectively according to Partial Termination Proposal approved by EPD on 9 July 2020. Besides, NM8, NM9 and NM10 were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 29 April 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

| Parameter | Performance criteria | Monitoring Location | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | | WM1 | WM2A(a) | WM2B | WM3x | WM4(\$) |
| DO (mg/L) | Action Level | (*)4.23 | (**)4.00 | (*)4.74 | (**)4.00 | (*)4.14 |
| | Limit Level | (#)4.19 | (**)4.00 | (#)4.60 | (**)4.00 | (#)4.08 |
| Turbidity (NTU) | Action Level | 51.3 | 24.9 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 35.2 |
| | Limit Level | AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day | | | | |
| SS (mg/L) | Action Level | 67.6 | 33.8 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 38.4 |
| | Limit Level | AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day | | | | |
| SS (mg/L) | Action Level | 54.5 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 39.4 |
| | Limit Level | AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day | | | | |
| | | 64.9 | 17.3 | 12.4 | 12.9 | 45.5 |
| | | AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day | | | | |

Remarks:

- (*) The Proposed **Action Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 5%-ile of baseline data
- (**) The Proposed **Action & Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is used 4mg/L
- (#) The Proposed **Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 1%-ile of baseline data
- (\$) WM4, WM4-CA and WM4-CB were ceased after last monitoring carried out on 3 May 2021 according to Partial Termination Proposal for Contract 3 approved by EPD on 4 May 2021.

3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in **Appendix G**.

3.9 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

3.9.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.9.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.1 GENERAL

- 4.1.1 Proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contract 2, Contract 4, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.17). The Partial termination proposal for Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 4 May 2021 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.18). The corresponding air quality monitoring stations AM1c, AM8 and AM9b ceased accordingly, while monitoring at other monitoring stations continued in the Reporting Period.
- 4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

4.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

- 4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **90** events of 1-hour TSP and **34** events 24-hours TSP monitoring were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-6*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 4-Aug-21 | 38 | 5-Aug-21 | 9:11 | 90 | 91 | 96 |
| 10-Aug-21 | 31 | 11-Aug-21 | 9:19 | 82 | 83 | 81 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 22 | 17-Aug-21 | 13:04 | 84 | 86 | 82 |
| 21-Aug-21 | 31 | 23-Aug-21 | 9:30 | 81 | 87 | 83 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 22 | 28-Aug-21 | 9:18 | 78 | 81 | 76 |
| Average (Range) | 29 (22 – 38) | Average (Range) | | 84 (76 – 96) | | |

Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 4-Aug-21 | 48 | 5-Aug-21 | 9:18 | 92 | 94 | 94 |
| 10-Aug-21 | 41 | 11-Aug-21 | 9:14 | 83 | 90 | 86 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 34 | 17-Aug-21 | 13:11 | 95 | 90 | 87 |
| 21-Aug-21 | 32 | 23-Aug-21 | 9:27 | 79 | 85 | 82 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 27 | 28-Aug-21 | 9:24 | 80 | 83 | 79 |
| Average (Range) | 36 (27 – 48) | Average (Range) | | 87 (79 – 95) | | |

Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM4b

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Aug-21 | 56 | 4-Aug-21 | 9:33 | 95 | 103 | 107 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 55 | 10-Aug-21 | 13:04 | 92 | 89 | 88 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 33 | 16-Aug-21 | 9:37 | 95 | 98 | 92 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 27 | 21-Aug-21 | 9:10 | 94 | 96 | 98 |

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 25-Aug-21 | 15 | 27-Aug-21 | 13:21 | 91 | 97 | 94 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 94 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 47 (15 – 94) | Average (Range) | | 95 (88 – 107) | | |

Table 4-4 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM5a

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Aug-21 | 46 | 4-Aug-21 | 9:23 | 97 | 103 | 109 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 13 | 10-Aug-21 | 13:12 | 94 | 97 | 98 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 33 | 16-Aug-21 | 9:32 | 89 | 93 | 86 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 38 | 21-Aug-21 | 9:15 | 94 | 89 | 90 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 32 | 27-Aug-21 | 13:14 | 89 | 94 | 91 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 35 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 33 (13 – 46) | Average (Range) | | 94 (86 – 109) | | |

Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM6

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Aug-21 | 50 | 4-Aug-21 | 9:16 | 88 | 94 | 101 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 42 | 10-Aug-21 | 13:17 | 86 | 83 | 85 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 48 | 16-Aug-21 | 9:28 | 81 | 87 | 81 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 40 | 21-Aug-21 | 9:21 | 85 | 74 | 82 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 32 | 27-Aug-21 | 13:08 | 83 | 87 | 89 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 38 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 42 (32 – 50) | Average (Range) | | 86 (74 – 101) | | |

Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM7b

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Aug-21 | 34 | 4-Aug-21 | 9:10 | 63 | 56 | 65 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 32 | 10-Aug-21 | 13:24 | 67 | 61 | 60 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 23 | 16-Aug-21 | 9:21 | 50 | 57 | 52 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 20 | 21-Aug-21 | 9:28 | 51 | 82 | 69 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 18 | 27-Aug-21 | 13:01 | 74 | 72 | 60 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 28 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 26 (18 – 34) | Average (Range) | | 63 (50 – 82) | | |

4.2.2 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-6*, all the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) was issued in this Reporting Period.

4.2.3 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix K*.

5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 Proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contract 2, Contract 4, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.17). The Partial termination proposal for Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 4 May 2021 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.18). The corresponding noise quality monitoring stations NM1, NM7, NM8, NM9 and NM10 ceased accordingly, while monitoring at other monitoring stations continued in the Reporting Period.
- 5.1.2 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **20** events noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations. The sound level meter was set in 1m from the exterior of the building façade including noise monitoring locations NM3, NM4, NM5, and NM6. Therefore, no façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. However, free-field status were performed at NM2a and façade correction (+3 dB(A)) has added according to the requirement in this month. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

| Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A) | |
|---|---------------------|
| Date | NM2a ^(*) |
| 5-Aug-21 | 64 |
| 11-Aug-21 | 62 |
| 17-Aug-21 | 62 |
| 23-Aug-21 | 68 |
| Limit Level | 75 dB(A) |

Remarks

(*) façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

| Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A) | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Date | NM3 | NM4 | NM5 | NM6 |
| 4-Aug-21 | 57 | 64 | 55 | 59 |
| 10-Aug-21 | 56 | 62 | 57 | 60 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 57 | 58 | 54 | 59 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 58 | 67 | 54 | 57 |
| Limit Level | 75 dB(A) | | | |

- 5.2.2 As shown in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

6 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.1 GENERAL

6.1.1 Proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contract 2, Contract 4, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.17). The Partial termination proposal for Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 4 May 2021 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.18). The corresponding monitoring stations WM4, WM4-CA and WM4-CB ceased accordingly, while monitoring at other monitoring stations in the Reporting Period.

6.1.2 In the Reporting Period, water quality monitoring was performed at the designated locations related to Contracts 6. The water quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

6.2 RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **thirteen (13)** sampling days were scheduled to carry out for other monitoring locations with their control stations.

6.2.2 The key monitoring parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in *Tables 6-1 to 6-3*. Breaches of water quality monitoring criteria are shown in *Table 6-4*. Detailed monitoring database including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plot are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 6-1 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 6

| Date | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Suspended Solids (mg/L) | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| | WM1 | WM1-C | WM1 | WM1-C | WM1 | WM1-C |
| 2-Aug-21 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 24.0 | 10.9 | 16.0 | 4.5 |
| 4-Aug-21 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 26.6 | 24.1 | 19.5 | 17.0 |
| 6-Aug-21 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 26.1 | 25.5 | 11.0 | 12.5 |
| 9-Aug-21 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 33.4 | 15.8 | 39.0 | 8.0 |
| 11-Aug-21 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 29.3 | 13.3 | 39.5 | 7.5 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 40.6 | 18.4 | 51.0 | 8.5 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 14.2 | 8.5 | 15.0 | 4.0 |
| 18-Aug-21 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 18.9 | 11.6 | 21.0 | 5.0 |
| 20-Aug-21 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 11.5 |
| 23-Aug-21 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 44.0 | 6.5 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 143.5 | 311.0 | 190.0 | 725.0 |
| 30-Aug-21 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 109.0 | 677.0 | 78.0 | 878.0 |

Table 6-2 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contract 6

| Date | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | | | | Turbidity (NTU) | | | | Suspended Solids (mg/L) | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | WM2 A(a) | WM2 A- Cx | WM2 B | WM2 B- C | WM2A(a) | WM2 A- Cx | WM2 B | WM2 B- C | WM2 A(a) | WM2A - Cx | WM2 B | WM2B- C |
| 2-Aug-21 | 8.1 | 7.2 | * | * | 17.0 | 146.0 | * | * | 14.5 | 90.0 | * | * |
| 4-Aug-21 | 6.0 | 4.9 | * | * | 14.8 | 87.5 | * | * | 15.5 | 99.0 | * | * |
| 6-Aug-21 | 5.9 | 5.7 | * | * | 15.4 | 32.7 | * | * | 10.0 | 15.5 | * | * |
| 9-Aug-21 | 8.2 | 6.4 | * | * | 12.3 | 16.2 | * | * | 12.5 | 22.5 | * | * |
| 11-Aug-21 | 6.4 | 6.8 | * | * | 8.8 | 30.9 | * | * | 4.0 | 33.0 | * | * |
| 13-Aug-21 | 5.4 | 6.1 | * | * | 12.4 | 23.5 | * | * | 4.0 | 50.5 | * | * |
| 16-Aug-21 | 5.9 | 7.2 | * | * | 21.9 | 8.5 | * | * | 14.0 | 4.0 | * | * |
| 18-Aug-21 | 5.9 | 6.5 | * | * | 14.5 | 6.9 | * | * | 7.0 | 7.0 | * | * |

| Date | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | | | | Turbidity (NTU) | | | | Suspended Solids (mg/L) | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | WM2 A(a) | WM2 A- Cx | WM2 B | WM2 B- C | WM2A(a) | WM2 A- Cx | WM2 B | WM2 B- C | WM2 A(a) | WM2A - Cx | WM2 B | WM2B- C |
| 20-Aug-21 | 6.5 | 6.5 | * | * | 6.0 | 18.9 | * | * | 2.0 | 15.0 | * | * |
| 23-Aug-21 | 6.1 | 6.6 | * | * | 5.7 | 8.8 | * | * | 2.5 | 16.5 | * | * |
| 25-Aug-21 | 5.2 | 5.8 | * | * | 24.2 | 10.7 | * | * | 13.5 | 5.5 | * | * |
| 27-Aug-21 | 7.3 | 7.5 | * | * | 24.6 | 9.0 | * | * | 14.5 | 5.5 | * | * |
| 30-Aug-21 | 6.3 | 7.2 | * | * | 25.0 | 25.0 | * | * | 13.5 | 12.5 | * | * |

Remarks: * water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)

Table 6-3 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 6

| Date | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Suspended Solids (mg/L) | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| | WM3x | WM3-C | WM3x | WM3-C | WM3x | WM3-C |
| 2-Aug-21 | 5.4 | * | 12.5 | * | 6.0 | * |
| 4-Aug-21 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 14.9 | 5.5 | 13.5 |
| 6-Aug-21 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 8.4 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 10.5 |
| 9-Aug-21 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 13.1 | 6.0 | 7.5 |
| 11-Aug-21 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 2.0 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 10.1 | 5.5 | 11.5 |
| 18-Aug-21 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 2.0 |
| 20-Aug-21 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 10.4 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| 23-Aug-21 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 3.0 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 4.0 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| 30-Aug-21 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 3.5 |

Remarks: * water sampling was unable to carry out at WM3-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)

Table 6-4 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Recorded

| Location | Dissolved Oxygen | | Turbidity | | Suspended Solids | | Total Exceedance | | Project Related exceedance | |
|------------------|------------------|----|-----------|----|------------------|----|------------------|----|----------------------------|----|
| | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| WM1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WM2A(a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WM2B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WM3x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No of Exceedance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.2.3 In this Reporting Period, no exceedance was recorded for water quality monitoring. No corrective measure was therefore required.

7 ECOLOGY MONITORING

7.1 MONITORING ON WOODLAND COMPENSATION

- 7.1.1 According to the approved Woodland Compensation Plan (WCP), ecological monitoring for woodland compensation shall be conducted at bi-monthly interval for the first year and the monitoring frequency would be reduced to quarterly from the second year.
- 7.1.2 As Stage 2 of the enhancement planting work was undertaken in August 2019 has covered all of the 9 monitoring quadrats. The bi-monthly was conducted in the first year after Stage 2 of enhancement planting and the monitoring frequency would be reduce to quarterly from the second year.
- 7.1.3 The quarterly ecological monitoring for period of June to August 2021 had carried out on 22 and 23 July 2021 by transects inspection and quadrat monitoring. The quarterly Ecological Monitoring Report was under reviewed and will be submitted separately to the EM&A Report in September 2021.

7.2 MONITORING ON WETLAND COMPENSATION

- 7.2.1 According to the approved Habitat Creation and Management Plan (HCMP), the proposed Wetland Compensation Area (WCA) near the Ping Yeung Interchange adjacent to the section of Ping Yuen River was adopted. Ecological monitoring at implementation and establishment periods of WCA will be conducted to cover the ecological attributes. Implementation of the wetland will commence within the construction phase after completion of the construction works at Ping Yeung Section. Monitoring on the WCA will be conducted in implementation and establishment stages.
- 7.2.2 Site inspection for the construction of WCA was conducted by ET as part of the weekly inspection of Contract 6 on **5, 12, 20 and 26 August 2021**. It was observed that landscaping was carried out in the WCA. There was no non-compliance observed during the site inspection. The forthcoming remaining activities would be landscaping in the WCA.
- 7.2.3 As discussed among CEDD, AECOM and Contractor of Contract 6 in the SSEMC in October 2020, establishment stage for the wetland was commenced on 1 October 2020 for one year after completion of construction of the wetland.
- 7.2.4 In the Reporting Period, wetland monitoring was conducted on **17, 27, 28 & 30 August 2021**. The monthly monitoring report for the WCA will be submitted as a stand-alone copy to supplement the EM&A Report after verified by IEC.

8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP) for contract 6.

8.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

8.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

8.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 8-1* and *8-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix L*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 8-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials for the Project

| Type of Waste | Contract 6 | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|
| | Quantity | Disposal location |
| C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m ³) | 0.039 | -- |
| Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000 m ³) | 0 | -- |
| Reused in other Contracts/ Projects (Inert) (in '000 m ³) | 0 | -- |
| Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000 m ³) | 0.039 | Tuen Mun 38 |

Table 8-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes for the Project

| Type of Waste | Contract 6 | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|
| | Quantity | Disposal location |
| Recycled Metal ('000kg) | 0 | -- |
| Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) | 0 | -- |
| Recycled Plastic ('000kg) | 0 | -- |
| Chemical Wastes ('000kg) | 0 | -- |
| General Refuses ('000m ³) | 0.007 | NENT |

9 SITE INSPECTION

9.1 REQUIREMENTS

- 9.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should carry out to confirm the environmental performance.
- 9.1.2 Proposal for partial termination of the construction phase EM&A programme for Contract 2, Contract 4, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505 was approved by EPD on 9 July 2020 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.17). The ET's site inspection and audit for corresponding Contract 2, 4, 7 and SS C505 were ceased after last site inspection undertaken on 10 July 2020.
- 9.1.3 The Partial termination proposal for Contract 3 was approved by EPD on 4 May 2021 (EPD's ref.: () in Ax (3) to EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.18). The ET's site inspection and audit for Contract 3 was ceased after last site inspection undertaken on 5 May 2021.

9.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH

Contract 6

- 9.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 6 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, ET, IEC and the Contractor on **5, 12, 20 and 26 August 2021**. No non-compliance was noted.
- 9.2.2 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 6** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-1**.

Table 9-1 Site Observations for Contract 6

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
|----------------|--|------------------|
| 5 August 2021 | • No adverse environmental issue was observed. | • NA |
| 12 August 2021 | • No adverse environmental issue was observed. | • NA |
| 20 August 2021 | • No adverse environmental issue was observed. | • NA |
| 26 August 2021 | • No adverse environmental issue was observed. | • NA |

- 9.2.3 General housekeeping such as daily site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all Contracts. Furthermore, the Contractors were reminded to implement Waste Management Plan of the Project.

10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

- 10.1.1 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint was recorded.
- 10.1.2 No summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for all Contracts.
- 10.1.3 The statistical summary of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 10-1, 10-2 and 10-3*.

Table 10-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

| Reporting Period | Contract No | Environmental Complaint Statistics | | | Project related complaint |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------|---|--|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature | |
| 16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2021 | Contract 6 | 0 | 46 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (24) Water Quality • (12) Dust • (3) Noise • (1) Nuisance • (2) Noise and dust • (3) Water quality and dust • (1) Water quality and noise | (8) water quality (3) dust (1) nuisance (1) water quality and dust (1) water quality and noise |
| 1 – 31 August 2021 | Contract 6 | 0 | 46 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (24) Water Quality • (12) Dust • (3) Noise • (1) Nuisance • (2) Noise and dust • (3) Water quality and dust • (1) Water quality and noise | NA |

Table 10-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

| Reporting Period | Contract No | Environmental Summons Statistics | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| 16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2021 | Contract 6 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 1 – 31 August 2021 | Contract 6 | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table 10-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecutions

| Reporting Period | Contract No | Environmental Prosecutions Statistics | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| 16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2021 | Contract 6 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 1 – 31 August 2021 | Contract 6 | 0 | 0 | NA |

11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

11.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix M*.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures during Construction Phase

- 11.1.2 Having assessed the environmental impact after substantially completion of work and in consideration of the scale and nature of remaining works, it is considered that the potential environmental impacts associated with the remaining works for Contract 6 are negligible. Nevertheless, all contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures have been generally implemented by Contract 6 according to the ISEMM, where applicable.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures during Operation Phase

- 11.1.3 The Heung Yuen Wai (HYW) Highway and connecting roads under the Project was opened on 26 May 2019. Since partial commencement of operation is the same as the commencement of operation for the entire project from EIAO perspective. All relevant requirements as stipulated in the EP and the approved EIA report (including the EM&A Manual) for the commencement of operation of the Project shall be strictly complied with.
- 11.1.4 In general, the recommended mitigation measures for operation stage of HYW Highway and connecting roads under the Project have been implemented. The implementation status of mitigation measures for operation phase in the Reporting Period are summarized in *Appendix N*.
- 11.1.5 For more details about the implementation status of mitigation measures for operation phase with photo illustration, an Environmental Monitoring and Audit report on the implementation of the mitigation measures for operation stage of the Project will be disposed to EPD not later than three months after the commencement of operation of the Project under EP-404/2011/D condition 5.5. The abovementioned report was submitted to EPD on 23 August 2019.
- 11.1.6 Upon BCP partially opened on 26 August 2020, an operation phase EM&A report covering the operation of the BCP (Version 3) was subsequently submitted to EPD in accordance with the EP-404/2011/D condition 5.5. EPD on 10 February 2021 wrote to the EP Holder that they considered the Operation Phase EM&A Report was generally in order and met the EP Condition 5.5.
- 11.1.7 Pursuant to EM&A Manual Section 10.2, the implementation of landscape mitigation measures during establishment period shall be audited by a qualified landscape architect. Site inspection for establishment period was commenced in August 2019 and completed in July 2020 respectively. The relevant checklists were included in the corresponding EM&A Reports.

11.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 11.2.1 The construction works under Contract 2, 3, 4, 5 7 and SS C505 was substantially completed. Construction activities for other Contract in the coming month are listed below:

Contract 6

- Landscaping

11.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 11.3.1 Construction works for Contract 6 are substantially completed. The remaining major works is

landscaping together with minor works including defect rectification and maintenance work will be carried out and scale of remaining works were small when compare with the full swing of work during construction period. The revised “Proposal for termination of the Construction Phase EM&A Programme for the remaining Contract 6 of the Project” which certified by ETL and verified by IEC, was re-submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 15 July 2021.

- 11.3.2 Since the construction works under the Project were substantial completed, the potential environmental impacts associated with Project are considered negligible. However, the Contractor was reminded that the environmental mitigation measures shall be properly implemented and maintained where applicable, as per the Mitigation Implementation Schedule, in the remaining construction period and operational phase.
- 11.3.3 The Heung Yuen Wai (HYW) Highway and connecting roads under the Project was opened on 26 May 2019 and the BCP was partially opened on 26 August 2020. All relevant requirements as stipulated in the EP and the approved EIA report (including the EM&A Manual) for the commencement of operation of the Project shall be strictly complied with.

12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


12.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 12.1.1 This is the 97th monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 31 August 2021.
- 12.1.2 For air quality monitoring, no 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results triggered the Action /Limit Level was recorded in the Reporting Period.
- 12.1.3 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered an Action Level) exceedance was recorded.
- 12.1.4 In the Reporting Period, no exceedance was recorded for water quality monitoring.
- 12.1.5 Construction phase for Contract 6 are substantially completed. Only minor defect rectification, minor remaining works and maintenance work will be carried out and scale of remaining works were small when compare with the full swing of work during construction period. The revised “Proposal for termination of the Construction Phase EM&A Programme for the remaining Contract 6 of the Project” which certified by ETL and verified by IEC, was re-submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 15 July 2021. Site inspection with EPD, RE, IEC and ET was conducted on 12 August 2021 and updated Proposal will be submitted to EPD in September 2021.
- 12.1.6 Site inspection for the construction of WCA was conducted by ET as part of the weekly inspection of Contract 6 on 5, 12, 20 and 26 August 2021. It was observed that landscaping was carried out in the WCA. There was no non-compliance observed during the site inspection. The forthcoming remaining activities would be landscaping in the WCA.
- 12.1.7 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, ET and IEC with the relevant Main-contractor were carried out for Contracts 6 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.
- 12.1.8 In this Reporting Period, no environmental complaint was received. Moreover, no summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged in the Reporting Period.

12.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.2.1 In view of substantial completion of construction work and in consideration of the scale and nature of remaining works under Contract 6, the potential environmental impacts associated with the remaining works for Project was considered negligible. The revised “Proposal for termination of the Construction Phase EM&A Programme for the remaining Contract 6 of the Project” which certified by ETL and verified by IEC, was re-submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 15 July 2021.
- 12.2.2 Since the construction works under the Project were substantial completed, the potential environmental impacts associated with Project are considered negligible. However, the Contractor was reminded that the environmental mitigation measures shall be properly implemented and maintained where applicable, as per the Mitigation Implementation Schedule, in the remaining construction period and operational phase.

Appendix A
Layout plan of the Project




LOCATION PLAN
SCALE 1 : 3000

LEGEND:


--- UNDERGROUND WORKS

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| DESIGN NO. | 60212563/PLP/001 |
| DATE | |
| SCALE | |
| PROJECT | |
| DESIGNER | 土木工程師事務所 CEDD Civil Engineering and Development Department |
| CLIENT | LANJANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS-BRIDGE (HKSAR PORTION) SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION |
| PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN | |
| AECOM | |
| DRGNO. | 60212563/PLP/001 |
| SCALE | |
| DATE | |
| PROJECT | |
| DESIGNER | |
| CLIENT | |
| PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN | |
| AECOM | |
| DRGNO. | 60212563/PLP/001 |
| SCALE | |
| DATE | |
| PROJECT | |
| DESIGNER | |
| CLIENT | |
| PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN | |
| AECOM | |



Appendix B

Organization Chart



Environmental Management Organization – CV/2013/08

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 6 - CV/2013/08

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| AECOM | Engineer's Representative | Eddie LUK | 2251 0682 | 2251 0698 |
| SMEC | Independent Environmental Checker | Antony Wong | 3995 8120 | 3995 8101 |
| CCK JV | Project Director | Wang Yanhua | 6190 4212 | -- |
| CCK JV | Project Manager | Raymond Mau Sai-Wai | 9011 5340 | -- |
| CCK JV | Site Agent | Vincent Chan | 9655 9404 | -- |
| CCK JV | Senior Safety & Environmental Manager | H.Y. Yue | 9185 8186 | -- |
| CCK JV | Environmental Manager | K M Lui | 5113 8223 | -- |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | TW Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Ben Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Nicola Hon | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |

Legend:

CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

CCK JV (Main Contractor) – CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture

SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Appendix C

3-month rolling construction program

(Not Used)

Appendix D

Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM&A Manual



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
- - - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
- - - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
- X AIR MONITORING STATIONS

| PI | REV. TO | REVISION | DESCRIPTION | DC | BT |
|----|---------|----------|-------------|----|----|
| | | | | | |

100% Projected Road
 100% New Road
 100% New Road
 100% New Road
 100% New Road
 100% New Road
 100% New Road



**CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT**


AGREEMENT NO. CE-45/2008(CE)
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY
 CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
 AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

| Drawn | DC | EC | EC |
|-------|----|----|----|
| | | | |

| Scale | Project | Sheet | Sheet No. | Total |
|---------|----------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 1:20000 | CE-45/2008(CE) | 25328 | PRE | P1 |

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED
 FIGURE 2.1



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
- WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
- WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
- X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

| PI | ADD TO | DATE | REVISED | DESCRIPTION | DC | BY |
|----|--------|------|---------|-------------|----|----|
| | | | | | | |



CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

Project
AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN MAI BOUNDARY
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS


Title
PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION
NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

| Designated | DC | DC | DC | DC | DC | DC | DC |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | |

Scale 1:20000
Drawing No. CE45/2008(CE)S/05/01/02/03/04/05/06/07/08/09/10/11/12/13/14/15/16/17/18/19/20/21/22/23/24/25/26/27/28/29/30/31/32/33/34/35/36/37/38/39/40/41/42/43/44/45/46/47/48/49/50/51/52/53/54/55/56/57/58/59/60/61/62/63/64/65/66/67/68/69/70/71/72/73/74/75/76/77/78/79/80/81/82/83/84/85/86/87/88/89/90/91/92/93/94/95/96/97/98/99/100

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED
FIGURE 3.1

Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited
This document is the property of Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited. It is not to be distributed, copied, or used for any other purpose without the written consent of Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited. The copyright in this document is owned by Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited. All rights reserved.



LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
- LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
- X PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION

| MONITORING STATION | CO-ORDINATES | |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | EASTING (M) | NORTHING (M) |
| WMA | 837668.635 | 845171.097 |
| WMA-CONTROL 1 | 834185.460 | 845916.662 |
| WMA-CONTROL 2 | 834132.193 | 844432.910 |
| WMA-CONTROL 3 | 835205.329 | 844200.151 |
| WMA-CONTROL 4 | 835134.744 | 843384.606 |
| WMA-CONTROL 5 | 835945.878 | 843342.625 |
| WMA-CONTROL 6 | 836332.622 | 842404.977 |
| WMA-CONTROL 7 | 836163.419 | 842425.507 |
| WMA-CONTROL 8 | 837840.763 | 838344.842 |
| WMA-CONTROL 9 | 834038.937 | 837688.995 |
| WMA-CONTROL 10 | 833769.123 | 837406.936 |

| REV | NO | DATE | DESCRIPTION | BY | CHK |
|-----|-----|------------|------------------|----|------|
| P1 | 001 | 10/01/2008 | GENERAL REVISION | | |
| P1 | 002 | 10/01/2008 | FIRST ISSUE | | |
| | | | | | DATE |



CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008(CE)
LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS


| Development | HC | WHG | EC |
|---------------|----------------|-----|----|
| Design | | | |
| Design Check | | | |
| Scale of A1 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A2 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A3 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A4 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A5 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A6 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A7 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A8 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A9 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A10 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A11 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A12 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A13 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A14 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A15 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A16 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A17 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A18 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A19 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A20 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A21 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A22 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A23 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A24 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A25 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A26 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A27 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A28 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A29 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A30 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A31 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A32 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A33 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A34 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A35 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A36 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A37 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A38 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A39 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A40 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A41 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A42 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A43 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A44 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A45 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A46 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A47 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A48 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A49 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A50 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A51 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A52 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A53 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A54 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A55 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A56 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A57 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A58 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A59 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A60 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A61 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A62 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A63 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A64 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A65 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A66 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A67 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A68 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A69 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A70 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A71 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A72 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A73 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A74 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A75 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A76 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A77 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A78 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A79 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A80 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A81 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A82 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A83 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A84 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A85 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A86 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A87 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A88 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A89 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A90 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A91 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A92 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A93 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A94 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A95 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A96 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A97 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A98 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A99 | Project 255278 | | |
| Scale of A100 | Project 255278 | | |

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

This document is the property of Mott MacDonald. It is not to be distributed, copied, or used in any way without the prior written consent of Mott MacDonald. Any unauthorized use of this document is strictly prohibited. Mott MacDonald Limited is a company registered in England and Wales. Registered office: 100 Brook Street, London, W1B 3AL. Registered in England No. 02070818. Registered in Hong Kong No. 261997. Mott MacDonald (China) Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mott MacDonald Limited. Registered office: 1001 Nathan Road, Suite 1001, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Registered in Hong Kong No. 261997. Mott MacDonald (China) Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mott MacDonald Limited. Registered office: 1001 Nathan Road, Suite 1001, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Registered in Hong Kong No. 261997.

Appendix E

Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring




- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
 - X Air Monitoring Stations in the EM&A Manual
 - Proposed Air Monitoring Stations

| | | | | | |
|-----|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| P1 | AUG 10 | MING | FIRST ISSUE | DC | HT |
| Rev | Date | Drawn | Description | Chk'd | App'd |



20F Two Landmark East
100 Housling Street
Kowloon, Kowloon
Hong Kong
T +852 2518 5757
F +852 2827 1823
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client



CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project
 AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

| | | | | |
|-------------|---------|--------------|---|--------|
| Designed | DC | Eng.Chk. | EC | |
| Drawn | MING | Coordination | EC | |
| Disp.Chk. | DC | Approved | HT | |
| Scale at A1 | 1:20000 | Project | 255228 | Status |
| | | CAD file | 255228\report\1\env\1\env\00831\FE_21.dgn | PRE |
| Drawing No | | | | Rev |
| | | | | P1 |


© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited

This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.

0 200 400 600 800 1000m
 1:20 000 SCALE BM

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

FIGURE 2.1




- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
 - WORKS AREA (TUNNELL)
 - X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
 - Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations

| P1 | AUG 10 | MING | FIRST ISSUE | DC | HT |
|-----|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Rev | Date | Drawn | Description | CHK'd | App'd |



20F Two Landmark East
100 Housiang Street
Kowloon, Kowloon
Hong Kong
T +852 2518 5757
F +852 2827 1823
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client




CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project
AGREEMENT NO. CB45/2008(CB)
LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title
PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

| | | | | |
|-------------|---------|--------------|---|--------|
| Designed | DC | Eng.Chk. | BC | |
| Drawn | MING | Coordination | BC | |
| Dep.Chk. | DC | Approved | HT | |
| Scale at A1 | 1:20000 | Project | 255228 | Status |
| | | CAD file | 3\255228\report\env\env\00831\FE_31.dgn | PRB |
| Drawing No | | | | Rev |
| | | | | P1 |

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
 - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
 - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
 - X Water Quality Monitoring Location Recommended in EM&A Manual
 - Alternative Water Quality Monitoring Location for EM&A Programme
 - △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015
 - ▲ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

| Station ID | Location recommended in EM&A Manual | | Location found during site visit | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | Coordinates | | Coordinates | |
| | Easting | Northing | Easting | Northing |
| WM1 | 83456.835 | 84577.072 | 83457 | 84577 |
| WM1-CONTROL | 83418.480 | 84591.662 | 83418 | 84591 |
| WM2A | 83418.319 | 84432.910 | 83420 | 84413 |
| WM2A-CONTROL | 83505.329 | 84420.151 | 83527 | 84424 |
| WM2B | 83543.744 | 84339.606 | 83543 | 84339 |
| WM2B-CONTROL | 83545.878 | 84343.625 | 83535 | 84355 |
| WM3 | 83623.622 | 84405.377 | 83624 | 84402 |
| WM3-CONTROL | 83673.415 | 84242.507 | 83673 | 84240 |
| WM4 | 83840.781 | 83834.842 | 83850 | 83838 |
| WM4-CONTROL A | 83408.837 | 83768.995 | 83409 | 83765 |
| WM4-CONTROL B | 83379.123 | 83740.936 | 83376 | 83739 |

New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015

| Location ID | Easting | Northing |
|--------------------|---------|----------|
| WM2A-C (Original) | 0835270 | 0844243 |
| WM2A-Cx (Proposed) | 0835377 | 0844188 |
| WM3 (Original) | 0836324 | 0842402 |
| WM3x (Proposed) | 0836206 | 0842270 |

New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

| Location ID | Easting | Northing |
|--------------------|---------|----------|
| WM2A (Original) | 834204 | 844471 |
| WM2A(a) (Proposed) | 834191 | 844474 |

| Rev | Date | Drawn | Description | CHK'd | App'd |
|-----|--------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| P2 | NOV 10 | MING | GENERAL REVISION | HC | HT |
| P1 | OCT 10 | MING | FIRST ISSUE | HC | HT |

2007 Two Landmark East
100 New King Street
Kowloon, Hong Kong
Tel: +852 2828 8787
Fax: +852 2827 1823
www.mottmac.com.hk

Client

CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Project: AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008 (CE)
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title: LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

| Designed | HC | Eng.Chk. | EC |
|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| Drawn | MING | Coordination | EC |
| Dwg.Chk. | HC | Approved | HT |
| Scale at A1 | Project: 255228 | | Status: PRE |
| 1:20000 | CAD file: S:\255228\REPORTS\EM&A\NOV15\1511.dwg | | Rev: P2 |

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix C

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited
This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was commissioned.

Appendix F

Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road
 Location ID : AM2

Date of Calibration: 1/8/2021
 Next Calibration Date: 1/10/2021
 Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.875 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.4 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION Slope = 30.9560 Intercept = 5.3545 Corr. coeff. = 0.9970 |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 11.2 | 1.571 | 54 | 53.21 | |
| 13 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 8.2 | 1.345 | 48 | 47.30 | |
| 10 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 1.189 | 44 | 43.36 | |
| 7 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 0.986 | 36 | 35.47 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.730 | 28 | 27.59 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station
 Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 1/8/2021
 Next Calibration Date: 1/10/2021
 Technician: Eric

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.875 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.4 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.679 | 56 | 55.18 | Slope = 36.3114 Intercept = -6.1106 Corr. coeff. = 0.9969 |
| 13 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.499 | 48 | 47.30 | |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 8.0 | 1.328 | 44 | 43.36 | |
| 7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 1.112 | 34 | 33.50 | |
| 5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 0.868 | 26 | 25.62 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village | Date of Calibration: | 1/8/2021 |
| Location ID : AM4b | Next Calibration Date: | 1/10/2021 |
| | Technician: | Eric |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.875 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.4 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 12.6 | 1.666 | 56 | 55.18 | Slope = 29.1382 Intercept = 6.2899 Corr. coeff. = 0.9975 |
| 13 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.499 | 50 | 49.27 | |
| 10 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 1.243 | 44 | 43.36 | |
| 7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.030 | 36 | 35.47 | |
| 5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.788 | 30 | 29.56 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Location : Ping Yeung Village House | Date of Calibration: | 1/8/2021 |
| Location ID : AM5a | Next Calibration Date: | 1/10/2021 |
| | Technician: | Eric |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.875 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.4 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 6 | 6 | 12.0 | 1.626 | 52 | 51.24 | Slope = 33.6972 Intercept = -2.3716 Corr. coeff. = 0.9938 |
| 13 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 1.393 | 46 | 45.33 | |
| 10 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 1.225 | 40 | 39.42 | |
| 7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 0.964 | 32 | 31.53 | |
| 5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.759 | 22 | 21.68 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House | Date of Calibration: | 1/8/2021 |
| Location ID : AM6 | Next Calibration Date: | 1/10/2021 |
| | Technician: | Eric |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.875 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.4 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 1.612 | 53 | 52.23 | Slope = 29.1629 Intercept = 5.3867 Corr. coeff. = 0.9988 |
| 13 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 1.439 | 48 | 47.30 | |
| 10 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 1.243 | 43 | 42.37 | |
| 7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.051 | 36 | 35.47 | |
| 5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.759 | 28 | 27.59 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village | Date of Calibration: 1/8/2021 |
| Location ID : AM7b | Next Calibration Date: 1/10/2021 |
| | Technician: Eric |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 998.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 748.875 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.4 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10574 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.00985 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 1.653 | 60 | 59.12 | Slope = 37.9224 Intercept = -3.7785 Corr. coeff. = 0.9987 |
| 13 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 9.6 | 1.455 | 52 | 51.24 | |
| 10 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 1.312 | 46 | 45.33 | |
| 7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.008 | 36 | 35.47 | |
| 5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.788 | 26 | 25.62 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$


Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: January 19, 2021 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 294 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 755.1 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4830 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0420 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9290 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7340 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 1.0029 | 0.6762 | 1.4192 | 0.9958 | 0.6715 | 0.8824 |
| 0.9986 | 0.9583 | 2.0071 | 0.9915 | 0.9516 | 1.2479 |
| 0.9965 | 1.0726 | 2.2440 | 0.9894 | 1.0650 | 1.3952 |
| 0.9954 | 1.1260 | 2.3535 | 0.9883 | 1.1180 | 1.4633 |
| 0.9899 | 1.3487 | 2.8385 | 0.9829 | 1.3391 | 1.7648 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.10574 | QA | m= | 1.31858 |
| | b= | -0.00985 | | b= | -0.00612 |
| | r= | 0.99992 | | r= | 0.99992 |

| Calculations | |
|---|--|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2102511 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 15-JAN-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 26-JAN-2021 |
| PROJECT | : | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER : HK2102511
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT :



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2102511-001 | S/N: 3Y6503 | AIR | 15-Jan-2021 | S/N: 3Y6503 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 3Y6503
 Equipment Ref: EQ112
 Job Order HK2102511

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 8 October 2020

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 31 December 2020

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/60min) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2hr01min | 09:16 ~ 11:17 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.058 | 3127 | 25.8 |
| 2hr01min | 11:19 ~ 11:20 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.027 | 1347 | 11.1 |
| 2hr01min | 11:22 ~ 13:23 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.026 | 1298 | 10.8 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 655 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 655 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9985

Date of Issue 8 January 2021



Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Location : | Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung | Date of Calibration: 8-Oct-20 |
| Location ID : | Calibration Room | Next Calibration Date: 8-Jan-21 |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1015.2 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 761.4 |
| Temperature (°C) | 25.5 | Temperature (K) | 299 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.03014 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04616 |
| Calibration Date-> | 7-Feb-20 | Expiry Date-> | 7-Feb-21 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.785 | 56 | 56.00 | Slope = 38.0056 Intercept = -11.6655 Corr. coeff. = 0.9991 |
| 13 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.596 | 49 | 49.00 | |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 8.0 | 1.416 | 42 | 42.00 | |
| 8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.124 | 32 | 32.00 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.876 | 21 | 21.00 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: February 7, 2020 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 745.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1612 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3730 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9820 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8780 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8340 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.6900 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9866 | 0.7186 | 1.4078 | 0.9957 | 0.7252 | 0.8896 |
| 0.9824 | 1.0004 | 1.9909 | 0.9914 | 1.0096 | 1.2581 |
| 0.9802 | 1.1165 | 2.2259 | 0.9893 | 1.1267 | 1.4066 |
| 0.9792 | 1.1741 | 2.3345 | 0.9882 | 1.1849 | 1.4753 |
| 0.9739 | 1.4114 | 2.8155 | 0.9828 | 1.4244 | 1.7792 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.03014 | QA | m= | 1.27124 |
| | b= | -0.04616 | | b= | -0.02917 |
| | r= | 0.99995 | | r= | 0.99995 |

| Calculations | |
|--|---|
| $Vstd = \Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | $Va = \Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| $Qstd = Vstd / \Delta Time$ | $Qa = Va / \Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| $Qstd = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | $Qa = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2102507 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 15-JAN-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 26-JAN-2021 |
| PROJECT | : | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER : HK2102507
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT :



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2102507-001 | S/N: 366410 | AIR | 15-Jan-2021 | S/N: 366410 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No. 366410
Equipment Ref: EQ110
Job Order HK2102507

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref: HVS 018
Last Calibration Date: 8 October 2020

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 31 December 2020

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/60min) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2hr01min | 09:16 ~ 11:17 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.058 | 3158 | 26.1 |
| 2hr01min | 11:19 ~ 11:20 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.027 | 1608 | 13.3 |
| 2hr01min | 11:22 ~ 13:23 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.026 | 1107 | 9.2 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 674 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 674 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9895

Date of Issue 8 January 2021



Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Location : | Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung | Date of Calibration: 8-Oct-20 |
| Location ID : | Calibration Room | Next Calibration Date: 8-Jan-21 |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1015.2 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 761.4 |
| Temperature (°C) | 25.5 | Temperature (K) | 299 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.03014 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04616 |
| Calibration Date-> | 7-Feb-20 | Expiry Date-> | 7-Feb-21 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.785 | 56 | 56.00 | Slope = 38.0056 Intercept = -11.6655 Corr. coeff. = 0.9991 |
| 13 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.596 | 49 | 49.00 | |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 8.0 | 1.416 | 42 | 42.00 | |
| 8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.124 | 32 | 32.00 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.876 | 21 | 21.00 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: February 7, 2020 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 745.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1612 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3730 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9820 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8780 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8340 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.6900 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9866 | 0.7186 | 1.4078 | 0.9957 | 0.7252 | 0.8896 |
| 0.9824 | 1.0004 | 1.9909 | 0.9914 | 1.0096 | 1.2581 |
| 0.9802 | 1.1165 | 2.2259 | 0.9893 | 1.1267 | 1.4066 |
| 0.9792 | 1.1741 | 2.3345 | 0.9882 | 1.1849 | 1.4753 |
| 0.9739 | 1.4114 | 2.8155 | 0.9828 | 1.4244 | 1.7792 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.03014 | QA | m= | 1.27124 |
| | b= | -0.04616 | | b= | -0.02917 |
| | r= | 0.99995 | | r= | 0.99995 |

| Calculations | |
|--|---|
| $Vstd = \Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | $Va = \Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| $Qstd = Vstd / \Delta Time$ | $Qa = Va / \Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| $Qstd = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | $Qa = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2102490 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 15-JAN-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 26-JAN-2021 |
| PROJECT | : | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 -3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2102490
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT :



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2102490-001 | S/N: 2X6145 | AIR | 15-Jan-2021 | S/N: 2X6145 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 2X6145
 Equipment Ref: EQ105
 Job Order HK2102490

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 8 October 2020

Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 31 December 2020

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/60min) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2hr01min | 09:16 ~ 11:17 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.058 | 3107 | 25.6 |
| 2hr01min | 11:19 ~ 11:20 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.027 | 1724 | 14.2 |
| 2hr01min | 11:22 ~ 13:23 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.026 | 1300 | 10.8 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 586 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 586 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9926

Date of Issue 8 January 2021



Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Location : | Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung | Date of Calibration: 8-Oct-20 |
| Location ID : | Calibration Room | Next Calibration Date: 8-Jan-21 |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1015.2 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 761.4 |
| Temperature (°C) | 25.5 | Temperature (K) | 299 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.03014 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04616 |
| Calibration Date-> | 7-Feb-20 | Expiry Date-> | 7-Feb-21 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.785 | 56 | 56.00 | Slope = 38.0056 Intercept = -11.6655 Corr. coeff. = 0.9991 |
| 13 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.596 | 49 | 49.00 | |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 8.0 | 1.416 | 42 | 42.00 | |
| 8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.124 | 32 | 32.00 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.876 | 21 | 21.00 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: February 7, 2020 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 745.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1612 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3730 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9820 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8780 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8340 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.6900 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9866 | 0.7186 | 1.4078 | 0.9957 | 0.7252 | 0.8896 |
| 0.9824 | 1.0004 | 1.9909 | 0.9914 | 1.0096 | 1.2581 |
| 0.9802 | 1.1165 | 2.2259 | 0.9893 | 1.1267 | 1.4066 |
| 0.9792 | 1.1741 | 2.3345 | 0.9882 | 1.1849 | 1.4753 |
| 0.9739 | 1.4114 | 2.8155 | 0.9828 | 1.4244 | 1.7792 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.03014 | QA | m= | 1.27124 |
| | b= | -0.04616 | | b= | -0.02917 |
| | r= | 0.99995 | | r= | 0.99995 |

| Calculations | |
|---|--|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $\frac{1}{m} \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $\frac{1}{m} \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2102509 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 15-JAN-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 26-JAN-2021 |
| PROJECT | : | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Samples(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 -3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2102509
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
PROJECT :



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2102509-001 | S/N: 11008017 | AIR | 18-Jan-2021 | S/N: 11008017 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: TSI AM510
 Serial No. 11008017
 Equipment Ref: EQ102
 Work Order: HK2102509

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES Office (Calibration Room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 8 October 2020


Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 31 December 2020

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Calibrated Equipment) | Tolerance (mg/m ³) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 2hr01min | 09:16 ~ 11:17 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.058 | 0.097 | +0.039 |
| 2hr01min | 11:19 ~ 11:20 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.027 | 0.058 | +0.031 |
| 2hr01min | 11:22 ~ 13:23 | 10.9 | 1027.0 | 0.026 | 0.053 | +0.027 |

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (factor): 0.5842
 Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9876
 Date of Issue 8 January 2021



Remarks:

- Strong Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
 - Factor 0.5842 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- *If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 January 2021

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Location : | Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung | Date of Calibration: 8-Oct-20 |
| Location ID : | Calibration Room | Next Calibration Date: 8-Jan-21 |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1015.2 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 761.4 |
| Temperature (°C) | 25.5 | Temperature (K) | 299 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.03014 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04616 |
| Calibration Date-> | 7-Feb-20 | Expiry Date-> | 7-Feb-21 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.785 | 56 | 56.00 | Slope = 38.0056 Intercept = -11.6655 Corr. coeff. = 0.9991 |
| 13 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.596 | 49 | 49.00 | |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 8.0 | 1.416 | 42 | 42.00 | |
| 8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 1.124 | 32 | 32.00 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.876 | 21 | 21.00 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: February 7, 2020 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 745.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1612 | | |


| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.3730 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.9820 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.8780 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8340 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.6900 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9866 | 0.7186 | 1.4078 | 0.9957 | 0.7252 | 0.8896 |
| 0.9824 | 1.0004 | 1.9909 | 0.9914 | 1.0096 | 1.2581 |
| 0.9802 | 1.1165 | 2.2259 | 0.9893 | 1.1267 | 1.4066 |
| 0.9792 | 1.1741 | 2.3345 | 0.9882 | 1.1849 | 1.4753 |
| 0.9739 | 1.4114 | 2.8155 | 0.9828 | 1.4244 | 1.7792 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.03014 | QA | m= | 1.27124 |
| | b= | -0.04616 | | b= | -0.02917 |
| | r= | 0.99995 | | r= | 0.99995 |

| Calculations | |
|--|---|
| $Vstd = \Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | $Va = \Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| $Qstd = Vstd / \Delta Time$ | $Qa = Va / \Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| $Qstd = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | $Qa = 1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C212414
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-0728) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 13 April 2021
Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Calibrator (EQ085)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion
Model No. / 型號 : NC-73
Serial No. / 編號 : 10655561
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ **Relative Humidity / 相對濕度** : $(50 \pm 25)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 25 April 2021


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification & user's specified acceptance criteria.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :


- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By
測試



H T Wong
Assistant Engineer

Certified By
核證



K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 26 April 2021
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C212414
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| CL130 | Universal Counter | C203952 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | AV210017 |
| TST150A | Measuring Amplifier | C201309 |

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value | Measured Value (dB) | Mfr's Spec. (dB) | Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB) |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 94 dB, 1 kHz | 94.0 | ± 0.5 | ± 0.2 |

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value (kHz) | Measured Value (kHz) | User's Spec. | Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.955 | 1 kHz ± 6 % | ± 1 |

Remarks : - The user's specified acceptance criteria (user's spec.) is a customer pre-defined operating tolerance of the UUT, suitable for one's own intended use.

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C210389

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-0122) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 19 January 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ018)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion
Model No. / 型號 : NL-52
Serial No. / 編號 : 00809405
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 January 2021

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By : 
測試 : K P Cheuk
Assistant Engineer

Certified By : 
核證 : K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 20 January 2021
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C210389

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C210084 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | CDK1806821 |

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.1 | ± 1.1 |

- 6.1.2 Linearity

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.1 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.1 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 114.1 |

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

- 6.2 Time Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.1 | Ref. |
| | | | Slow | | | 94.1 | ± 0.3 |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C210389
證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 67.8 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.9 | -16.1 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.4 | -8.6 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.9 | -3.2 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.1 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.3 | +1.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 95.1 | +1.0 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 93.1 | -1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 89.7 | -4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _C | C | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 93.3 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.9 | -0.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 94.1 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 94.1 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.1 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.9 | -0.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.3 | -0.8 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 91.2 | -3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 87.7 | -6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C210389

證書編號

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 16463

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

| | | |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| | 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| | 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| | 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C205469

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC20-1324) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 22 September 2020

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ015)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NL-52

Serial No. / 編號 : 00142581

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,

35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 29 September 2020

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark

Tested By

測試

:

K P Cheuk

Assistant Engineer

Certified By

核證

:

H C Chan

Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

:

30 September 2020

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C205469

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C200258 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | CDK1806821 |

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | * 92.4 | ± 1.1 |

* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

- 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | ± 1.1 |

- 6.1.2 Linearity

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.0 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 114.2 |

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C205469

證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | Slow | | | 94.0 | ± 0.3 |

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 67.7 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.8 | -16.1 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.3 | -8.6 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.7 | -3.2 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.2 | +1.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 95.0 | +1.0 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 93.0 | -1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 89.6 | -4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Function | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 130 | L _C | C | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 93.1 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.2 | -0.8 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 91.1 | -3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 87.6 | -6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C205469
證書編號

- Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 15585
- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1
- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
- | | | |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| | 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| | 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| | 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|-------------|
| CONTACT: | BEN TAM | WORK ORDER: | HK2123025 |
| CLIENT: | ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS: | RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH: | 0 |
| | | LABORATORY: | HONG KONG |
| | | DATE RECEIVED: | 08-Jun-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE: | 18-Jun-2021 |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The “Tolerance Limit” quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The “Next Calibration Date” is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Equipment Type: | Multifunctional Meter |
| Service Nature: | Performance Check |
| Scope: | Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature |
| Brand Name/ Model No.: | [YSI]/ [Professional DSS] |
| Serial No./ Equipment No.: | [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018] |
| Date of Calibration: | 10-June-2021 |

GENERAL COMMENTS

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2123025
 SUB-BATCH: 0
 DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Jun-2021
 CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
 Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
 Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
 Date of Calibration: 10-June-2021 Date of Next Calibration: 10-September-2021

PARAMETERS:

Dissolved Oxygen Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 2.29 | 2.47 | +0.18 |
| 4.58 | 4.66 | +0.08 |
| 7.30 | 7.31 | +0.01 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ±0.20 |

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H: B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 4.16 | +0.16 |
| 7.0 | 7.14 | +0.14 |
| 10.0 | 10.16 | +0.16 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ±0.20 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
 Manager - Inorganic

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2123025
 SUB-BATCH: 0
 DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Jun-2021
 CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
 Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
 Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
 Date of Calibration: 10-June-2021 Date of Next Calibration: 10-September-2021

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Displayed Reading (NTU) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.89 | -- |
| 4 | 4.29 | +7.3 |
| 40 | 41.77 | +4.4 |
| 80 | 76.70 | -4.1 |
| 400 | 414.72 | +3.7 |
| 800 | 771.50 | -3.6 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.01 | -- |
| 10 | 9.59 | -4.1 |
| 20 | 18.42 | -7.9 |
| 30 | 28.12 | -6.3 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
 Manager - Inorganic

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2123025
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Jun-2021
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
Date of Calibration: 10-June-2021 Date of Next Calibration: 10-September-2021

PARAMETERS:

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 12.0 | 11.7 | -0.3 |
| 20.0 | 18.5 | -1.5 |
| 40.0 | 38.6 | -1.4 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|-------------|
| CONTACT: | BEN TAM | WORK ORDER: | HK2125728 |
| CLIENT: | ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS: | RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG | SUB-BATCH: | 0 |
| | | LABORATORY: | HONG KONG |
| | | DATE RECEIVED: | 25-Jun-2021 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE: | 02-Jul-2021 |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The “Tolerance Limit” quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The “Next Calibration Date” is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Equipment Type: | Conductivity Meter |
| Service Nature: | Performance Check |
| Scope: | Conductivity |
| Brand Name/ Model No.: | [YSI]/ [Professional DSS] |
| Serial No./ Equipment No.: | [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018] |
| Date of Calibration: | 02-July-2021 |

GENERAL COMMENTS

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2125728
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 02-Jul-2021
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Conductivity Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
Date of Calibration: 02-July-2021 Date of Next Calibration: 02-October-2021

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

| Expected Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Displayed Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Tolerance (%) |
|--|---|---------------|
| 146.9 | 138.4 | -5.8 |
| 6667 | 6587 | -1.2 |
| 12890 | 11624 | -9.8 |
| 58670 | 54570 | -7.0 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ± 10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico
Manager - Inorganic

Appendix G

Event and Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

| Event | ET | IEC | ER | Action Contractor |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Action Level | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. | 1. Notify Contractor. | 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit Level | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

| Event | | ET | IEC | ER | Action Contractor |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|-------------------|
| Action Level | 1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. | |
| Limit Level | 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated. | |

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

| EVENT | ACTION CONTRACTOR | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | |
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling day | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; 6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 2 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities. |

Appendix H

Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period – August 2021

| Date | | Dust Monitoring | | Noise Monitoring | Water Quality |
|------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | | |
| Sun | 1-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 2-Aug-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 3-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Wed | 4-Aug-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 5-Aug-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | |
| Fri | 6-Aug-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 7-Aug-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | |
| Sun | 8-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 9-Aug-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 10-Aug-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | |
| Wed | 11-Aug-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 12-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Fri | 13-Aug-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 14-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Sun | 15-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 16-Aug-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 17-Aug-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | |
| Wed | 18-Aug-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 19-Aug-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | |
| Fri | 20-Aug-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 21-Aug-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | | |
| Sun | 22-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 23-Aug-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 24-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Wed | 25-Aug-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 26-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Fri | 27-Aug-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 28-Aug-21 | AM2&AM3 | | | |
| Sun | 29-Aug-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 30-Aug-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 31-Aug-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| | Monitoring Day |
| | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period – September 2021

| Date | | Dust Monitoring | | Noise Monitoring | Water Quality |
|------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | | |
| Wed | 1-Sep-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 2-Sep-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | |
| Fri | 3-Sep-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 4-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Sun | 5-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 6-Sep-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 7-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Wed | 8-Sep-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 9-Sep-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | |
| Fri | 10-Sep-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 11-Sep-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | |
| Sun | 12-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 13-Sep-21 | | | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 14-Sep-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | |
| Wed | 15-Sep-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 16-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Fri | 17-Sep-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sat | 18-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Sun | 19-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 20-Sep-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 21-Sep-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | |
| Wed | 22-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Thu | 23-Sep-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Fri | 24-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Sat | 25-Sep-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Sun | 26-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Mon | 27-Sep-21 | AM2&AM3 | | NM2a | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Tue | 28-Sep-21 | | | | |
| Wed | 29-Sep-21 | | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | | All Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Thu | 30-Sep-21 | AM4b, AM5, AM6 & AM7b | AM2&AM3 | NM3, NM4, NM5 & NM6 | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| | Monitoring Day |
| | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Appendix I

Database of Monitoring Result

24-hour TSP Monitoring Data

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-HR TSP (µg/m ³) |
|--|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | |
| AM2 - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Aug-21 | 27380 | 15054.53 | 15078.53 | 1440.00 | 35 | 37 | 36.0 | 28.2 | 995.6 | 0.97 | 1402 | 2.6469 | 2.6996 | 0.0527 | 38 |
| 10-Aug-21 | 27389 | 15078.53 | 15102.53 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 29 | 1005.9 | 0.98 | 1408 | 2.6620 | 2.7051 | 0.0431 | 31 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 27453 | 15102.53 | 15126.53 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 28.3 | 1012.5 | 0.98 | 1416 | 2.7133 | 2.7450 | 0.0317 | 22 |
| 21-Aug-21 | 27469 | 15126.53 | 15150.53 | 1440.00 | 36 | 39 | 37.5 | 29.8 | 1008.6 | 1.03 | 1477 | 2.6944 | 2.7403 | 0.0459 | 31 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 27476 | 15150.53 | 15174.53 | 1440.00 | 38 | 39 | 38.5 | 25.6 | 1012 | 1.07 | 1539 | 2.7111 | 2.7443 | 0.0332 | 22 |
| AM3 - Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Aug-21 | 27381 | 18767.54 | 18791.54 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 28.2 | 995.6 | 1.15 | 1650 | 2.6817 | 2.7603 | 0.0786 | 48 |
| 10-Aug-21 | 27390 | 18791.54 | 18815.54 | 1440.00 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 29 | 1005.9 | 1.12 | 1616 | 2.6605 | 2.7265 | 0.0660 | 41 |
| 16-Aug-21 | 27452 | 18815.54 | 18839.54 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 28.3 | 1012.5 | 1.15 | 1662 | 2.7206 | 2.7766 | 0.0560 | 34 |
| 21-Aug-21 | 27468 | 18839.54 | 18863.54 | 1440.00 | 37 | 38 | 37.5 | 29.8 | 1008.6 | 1.19 | 1714 | 2.6999 | 2.7545 | 0.0546 | 32 |
| 27-Aug-21 | 27477 | 18863.54 | 18887.54 | 1440.00 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 25.6 | 1012 | 1.19 | 1707 | 2.7252 | 2.7711 | 0.0459 | 27 |
| AM4b - House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Aug-21 | 27372 | 18193.47 | 18217.47 | 1440.00 | 39 | 40 | 39.5 | 30 | 998.3 | 1.12 | 1611 | 2.6615 | 2.7520 | 0.0905 | 56 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 27933 | 18217.47 | 18241.47 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 28.8 | 1001.3 | 1.07 | 1544 | 2.6651 | 2.7496 | 0.0845 | 55 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 27398 | 18241.47 | 18265.47 | 1440.00 | 38 | 39 | 38.5 | 28.6 | 1006.2 | 1.09 | 1574 | 2.6755 | 2.7270 | 0.0515 | 33 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 27057 | 18265.47 | 18289.47 | 1440.00 | 37 | 41 | 39.0 | 28.6 | 1008.6 | 1.11 | 1601 | 2.8197 | 2.8625 | 0.0428 | 27 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 27421 | 18289.47 | 18313.47 | 1440.00 | 36 | 42 | 39.0 | 29.7 | 1009 | 1.11 | 1597 | 2.7069 | 2.7301 | 0.0232 | 15 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 27153 | 18313.47 | 18337.47 | 1440.00 | 37 | 43 | 40.0 | 27.3 | 1011.1 | 1.15 | 1656 | 2.6437 | 2.7997 | 0.1560 | 94 |
| AM5a - Ping Yeung Village House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Aug-21 | 27373 | 16976.32 | 17000.32 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 30 | 998.3 | 1.18 | 1700 | 2.6473 | 2.7257 | 0.0784 | 46 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 27394 | 17000.32 | 17024.32 | 1440.00 | 37 | 37 | 37.0 | 28.8 | 1001.3 | 1.15 | 1663 | 2.6713 | 2.6931 | 0.0218 | 13 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 27399 | 17024.32 | 17048.32 | 1440.00 | 35 | 39 | 37.0 | 28.6 | 1006.2 | 1.16 | 1667 | 2.6656 | 2.7201 | 0.0545 | 33 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 27464 | 17048.32 | 17072.32 | 1440.00 | 36 | 37 | 36.5 | 28.6 | 1008.6 | 1.14 | 1648 | 2.7084 | 2.7712 | 0.0628 | 38 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 27472 | 17072.32 | 17096.32 | 1440.00 | 37 | 37 | 37.0 | 29.7 | 1009 | 1.16 | 1667 | 2.7128 | 2.7668 | 0.0540 | 32 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 27493 | 17096.32 | 17120.32 | 1440.00 | 39 | 40 | 39.5 | 27.3 | 1011.1 | 1.24 | 1781 | 2.7124 | 2.7744 | 0.0620 | 35 |
| AM6 - Wo Keng Shan Village House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Aug-21 | 27374 | 14653.58 | 14677.58 | 1440.00 | 38 | 40 | 39.0 | 30.0 | 998.3 | 1.13 | 1630 | 2.6716 | 2.7535 | 0.0819 | 50 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 27392 | 14677.58 | 14701.58 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 28.8 | 1001.3 | 1.10 | 1587 | 2.6478 | 2.7140 | 0.0662 | 42 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 27400 | 14701.58 | 14725.58 | 1440.00 | 33 | 37 | 35.0 | 28.6 | 1006.2 | 1.00 | 1446 | 2.6643 | 2.7340 | 0.0697 | 48 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 27465 | 14725.58 | 14749.58 | 1440.00 | 34 | 36 | 35.0 | 28.6 | 1008.6 | 1.01 | 1448 | 2.7080 | 2.7653 | 0.0573 | 40 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 27473 | 14749.58 | 14773.58 | 1440.00 | 34 | 36 | 35.0 | 29.7 | 1009.0 | 1.00 | 1445 | 2.7132 | 2.7599 | 0.0467 | 32 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 27492 | 14773.58 | 14797.58 | 1440.00 | 37 | 37 | 37.0 | 27.3 | 1011.1 | 1.08 | 1552 | 2.7170 | 2.7766 | 0.0596 | 38 |
| AM7b - Loi Tung Village House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-HR TSP (µg/m ³) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|-------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | |
| 2-Aug-21 | 27375 | 23652.99 | 23676.99 | 1440 | 40 | 41 | 40.5 | 30 | 998.3 | 1.15 | 1657 | 2.667 | 2.724 | 0.0570 | 34 |
| 7-Aug-21 | 27391 | 23676.99 | 23700.99 | 1440 | 40 | 41 | 40.5 | 28.8 | 1001.3 | 1.15 | 1663 | 2.6417 | 2.6946 | 0.0529 | 32 |
| 13-Aug-21 | 27451 | 23700.99 | 23724.99 | 1440 | 40 | 44 | 42 | 28.6 | 1006.2 | 1.20 | 1723 | 2.6976 | 2.7376 | 0.0400 | 23 |
| 19-Aug-21 | 27466 | 23724.99 | 23748.99 | 1440 | 41 | 43 | 42 | 28.6 | 1008.6 | 1.20 | 1725 | 2.7119 | 2.747 | 0.0351 | 20 |
| 25-Aug-21 | 27474 | 23748.99 | 23772.99 | 1440 | 36 | 37 | 36.5 | 29.7 | 1009 | 1.05 | 1516 | 2.6959 | 2.7239 | 0.0280 | 18 |
| 31-Aug-21 | 27491 | 23772.99 | 23796.99 | 1440 | 36 | 37 | 36.5 | 27.3 | 1011.1 | 1.06 | 1523 | 2.7207 | 2.7638 | 0.0431 | 28 |

Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)

| Date | Start Time | 1 st Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 2 nd Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 3 rd Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 4 th Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 5 th Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 6 th Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | Leq ₃₀ | façade correction |
|---|------------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|-------------------|----------------------|
| NM2a - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5-Aug-21 | 10:57 | 60.5 | 61.5 | 59.4 | 60.5 | 61.9 | 58.9 | 60.3 | 61.8 | 58.5 | 61.5 | 63.1 | 59.5 | 61 | 62.7 | 58.9 | 61 | 62 | 58.6 | 61 | 64 |
| 11-Aug-21 | 10:58 | 61.3 | 61.5 | 54 | 58.3 | 60.3 | 55 | 58.3 | 60.5 | 54.4 | 58.4 | 60.7 | 54.3 | 59.3 | 61.3 | 56 | 58.2 | 60.3 | 53.8 | 59 | 62 |
| 17-Aug-21 | 10:07 | 61.7 | 62.4 | 55.8 | 59.2 | 61.5 | 54.6 | 59 | 61.1 | 55.2 | 58.9 | 61.6 | 54.6 | 57.9 | 60 | 54.3 | 57.9 | 60.3 | 54.1 | 59 | 62 |
| 23-Aug-21 | 9:45 | 70.7 | 67.3 | 53 | 59.5 | 62.1 | 52.6 | 62.6 | 64 | 54.3 | 61.6 | 65.5 | 52.1 | 62.5 | 64.7 | 53.4 | 64.6 | 66.7 | 54.1 | 65 | 68 |
| NM3 - Ping Yeung Village House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Aug-21 | 9:37 | 60.9 | 56.6 | 47.2 | 55.6 | 54.9 | 45.7 | 57.2 | 55.8 | 46.1 | 53.0 | 52.7 | 47.1 | 55.2 | 54.8 | 46.7 | 49.4 | 50.9 | 46.0 | 57 | NA |
| 10-Aug-21 | 15:03 | 56.6 | 57.5 | 55.0 | 56.7 | 57.9 | 55.8 | 56.2 | 57.0 | 55.4 | 56.6 | 57.3 | 55.8 | 55.4 | 56.3 | 54.3 | 57.1 | 58.8 | 55.6 | 56 | NA |
| 16-Aug-21 | 10:45 | 60.2 | 61.5 | 56.9 | 56.5 | 57.6 | 55.1 | 54.7 | 56.3 | 52.9 | 53.4 | 55.0 | 51.3 | 54.8 | 56.1 | 51.7 | 57.4 | 59.5 | 54.7 | 57 | NA |
| 27-Aug-21 | 13:02 | 58.6 | 59.5 | 57.0 | 58.7 | 59.9 | 57.8 | 58.2 | 59.0 | 57.4 | 58.6 | 59.3 | 57.8 | 57.4 | 58.3 | 56.3 | 59.1 | 60.8 | 57.6 | 58 | NA |
| NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Aug-21 | 10:15 | 65.7 | 61.3 | 49.4 | 62.7 | 62.0 | 50.1 | 61.5 | 61.0 | 49.5 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 49.3 | 64.8 | 63.4 | 51.2 | 66.2 | 65.5 | 52.1 | 64 | NA |
| 10-Aug-21 | 14:25 | 59.7 | 61.3 | 46.7 | 61.4 | 63.2 | 48.4 | 62.2 | 61.1 | 46.3 | 58.0 | 58.4 | 48.4 | 65.5 | 63.5 | 49.5 | 57.0 | 60.9 | 47.5 | 62 | NA |
| 16-Aug-21 | 11:25 | 57.6 | 59.8 | 54.2 | 58.9 | 60.1 | 54.6 | 57.5 | 59.4 | 55.0 | 60.7 | 60.3 | 54.9 | 57.4 | 59.1 | 54.4 | 57.2 | 59.1 | 54.3 | 58 | NA |
| 27-Aug-21 | 13:42 | 67.8 | 69.0 | 53.1 | 65.5 | 67.6 | 53.0 | 65.8 | 67.1 | 50.0 | 70.5 | 75.1 | 52.5 | 66.9 | 67.5 | 51.8 | 63.8 | 65.1 | 52.4 | 67 | NA |
| NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Aug-21 | 10:54 | 55.8 | 57.5 | 53.2 | 54.5 | 56.4 | 49.7 | 51 | 53.1 | 48.5 | 56.6 | 58.6 | 53.5 | 57.5 | 59 | 55 | 55 | 57 | 51.9 | 55 | NA |
| 10-Aug-21 | 13:48 | 55.7 | 58.4 | 51.5 | 57.5 | 57.7 | 54.7 | 55.1 | 56.4 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 59.3 | 56.1 | 56.8 | 58.4 | 55.4 | 56.1 | 57.9 | 52.8 | 57 | NA |
| 16-Aug-21 | 11:08 | 60.8 | 61.7 | 45 | 47.7 | 50.3 | 43.5 | 48.2 | 50.8 | 44.3 | 48.2 | 50.7 | 44.5 | 47.8 | 50.2 | 43.6 | 48 | 50.6 | 43.4 | 54 | NA |
| 27-Aug-21 | 14:25 | 56.1 | 58.7 | 52.2 | 53.6 | 54.8 | 49.1 | 51.9 | 54 | 49.3 | 55.1 | 57.5 | 50 | 52.7 | 56 | 47.8 | 53.4 | 56.1 | 48.8 | 54 | NA |
| NM6 - Tai Tong Wu Village House 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Aug-21 | 11:30 | 60.3 | 63.9 | 51 | 58.9 | 62.1 | 52 | 60.9 | 64.6 | 53.5 | 58.5 | 62.2 | 51.5 | 57.4 | 61.1 | 50 | 60 | 65.5 | 48.7 | 59 | NA |
| 10-Aug-21 | 13:01 | 60.4 | 63.3 | 54.4 | 59.1 | 61.6 | 54.3 | 61.3 | 63.6 | 57.7 | 60.6 | 63.2 | 55.2 | 57.4 | 60.2 | 50.9 | 60.6 | 64.2 | 55.3 | 60 | NA |
| 16-Aug-21 | 13:03 | 59 | 60.9 | 56.3 | 61.3 | 60.7 | 56.4 | 58.8 | 59.9 | 55.7 | 59.2 | 60.9 | 56.1 | 58.6 | 59.9 | 55.8 | 59.2 | 60.8 | 56 | 59 | NA |
| 27-Aug-21 | 15:02 | 54.5 | 58 | 46 | 55.9 | 59 | 50 | 54.7 | 57 | 51 | 59.2 | 62 | 55 | 57.9 | 62 | 52 | 58.5 | 61 | 54.5 | 57 | NA |

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6

| Date | | 2-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM1-C | 13:35 | 0.25 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 7.69 | 7.7 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 7.39 | 7.4 | 5 | 4.5 | |
| | | | 28.8 | | 7.7 | | 99.3 | | 11.1 | | 7.39 | | 4 | | |
| WM1 | 13:15 | 0.35 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 7.71 | 7.5 | 99.1 | 96.1 | 22.2 | 24.0 | 7.39 | 7.4 | 17 | 16.0 | |
| | | | 28.4 | | 7.24 | | 93.0 | | 25.8 | | 7.39 | | 15 | | |

| Date | | 4-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM1-C | 11:30 | 0.26 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 5.95 | 6.1 | 73.7 | 75.8 | 23.8 | 24.1 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 18 | 17.0 | |
| | | | 26.8 | | 6.21 | | 77.9 | | 24.4 | | 7.2 | | 16 | | |
| WM1 | 11:10 | 0.35 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 6.15 | 6.2 | 76.5 | 77.2 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 7.59 | 7.6 | 19 | 19.5 | |
| | | | 26.9 | | 6.23 | | 77.9 | | 26.4 | | 7.59 | | 20 | | |

| Date | | 6-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|-----|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM1-C | 11:50 | 0.25 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 5.81 | 5.8 | 72.7 | 72.3 | 25.3 | 25.5 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 13 | 12.5 | |
| | | | 26.5 | | 5.73 | | 71.9 | | 25.6 | | 7.2 | | 12 | | |
| WM1 | 11:30 | 0.32 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 6.09 | 6.1 | 75.8 | 75.9 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 11 | 11.0 | |
| | | | 26.7 | | 6.1 | | 75.9 | | 26.2 | | 7.4 | | 11 | | |

| Date | | 9-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM1-C | 12:05 | 0.25 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 6.66 | 6.6 | 83.5 | 82.5 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 7.65 | 7.7 | 8 | 8.0 | |
| | | | 26.9 | | 6.6 | | 81.4 | | 15.6 | | 7.65 | | 8 | | |
| WM1 | 11:45 | 0.30 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 6.58 | 6.5 | 82.3 | 81.9 | 32.7 | 33.4 | 8.57 | 8.6 | 38 | 39.0 | |
| | | | 26.7 | | 6.51 | | 81.4 | | 34.1 | | 8.57 | | 40 | | |

| Date | | 11-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|--|--------|--|-----------------|--|----|--|----------|--|--|
| Location | Time | Depth | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |

| | | (m) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|----|------|
| WM1-C | 11:30 | 0.22 | 28 | 28.0 | 7.14 | 7.1 | 91.2 | 91.0 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 8.04 | 8.0 | 8 | 7.5 |
| | | | 28 | | 7.11 | | 90.8 | | 12.7 | | 8.04 | | 7 | |
| | 11:10 | 0.16 | 27.4 | 27.4 | 7.16 | 7.2 | 90.5 | 90.4 | 30.4 | 29.3 | 8.48 | 8.5 | 39 | 39.5 |
| | | | 27.4 | | 7.14 | | 90.3 | | 28.2 | | 8.48 | | 40 | |

| Date | | 13-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 12:10 | 0.25 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 6.14 | 6.2 | 80.0 | 80.1 | 17.7 | 18.4 | 8.14 | 8.1 | 9 | 8.5 |
| | | | 29.1 | | 6.16 | | 80.2 | | 19.1 | | 8.14 | | 8 | |
| WM1 | 11:50 | 0.20 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 5.31 | 5.3 | 68.2 | 67.7 | 43.0 | 40.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 52 | 51.0 |
| | | | 28.3 | | 5.26 | | 67.1 | | 38.2 | | 8.6 | | 50 | |

| Date | | 16-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 11:50 | 0.25 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 6.84 | 7.0 | 86.2 | 88.3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.14 | 8.1 | 4 | 4.0 |
| | | | 27.2 | | 7.25 | | 90.3 | | 8.3 | | 8.14 | | 4 | |
| WM1 | 11:30 | 0.20 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 6.44 | 6.5 | 80.3 | 80.4 | 13.9 | 14.2 | 8.38 | 8.4 | 15 | 15.0 |
| | | | 26.7 | | 6.46 | | 80.5 | | 14.4 | | 8.38 | | 15 | |

| Date | | 18-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 12:10 | 0.25 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 7.11 | 7.1 | 89.2 | 89.3 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 8.01 | 8.0 | 5 | 5.0 |
| | | | 27.9 | | 7.12 | | 89.3 | | 11.8 | | 8.01 | | 5 | |
| WM1 | 11:45 | 0.21 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 7.15 | 7.2 | 90.3 | 90.4 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 8.37 | 8.4 | 21 | 21.0 |
| | | | 27.3 | | 7.16 | | 90.4 | | 19.2 | | 8.37 | | 21 | |

| Date | | 20-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 13:50 | 0.25 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 6.52 | 6.8 | 82.0 | 85.5 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 12 | 11.5 |
| | | | 27.1 | | 7.07 | | 88.9 | | 14.9 | | 7.7 | | 11 | |
| WM1 | 13:30 | 0.25 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 87.3 | 88.7 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 8.96 | 9.0 | 13 | 13.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|----|--|
| | | | 26.5 | | 7.25 | | 90.0 | | 14.1 | | 8.96 | | 14 | |
|--|--|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|----|--|

| Date | | 23-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 11:15 | 0.25 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 6.38 | 6.3 | 80.3 | 79.8 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 8.25 | 8.3 | 7 | 6.5 |
| | | | 27.9 | | 6.2 | | 79.2 | | 10.0 | | 8.25 | | 6 | |
| WM1 | 10:55 | 0.20 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 7.43 | 7.4 | 94.8 | 94.9 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 7.58 | 7.6 | 44 | 44.0 |
| | | | 28.1 | | 7.45 | | 95.0 | | 12.1 | | 7.58 | | 44 | |

| Date | | 25-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 11:40 | 0.25 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 7 | 7.1 | 89.7 | 90.5 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 8.07 | 8.1 | 15 | 14.5 |
| | | | 28.1 | | 7.13 | | 91.3 | | 16.2 | | 8.07 | | 14 | |
| WM1 | 11:20 | 0.20 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 7.17 | 7.2 | 91.9 | 91.8 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 14 | 13.0 |
| | | | 28.2 | | 7.16 | | 91.7 | | 15.9 | | 9.1 | | 12 | |

| Date | | 27-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 11:50 | 0.25 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 7.08 | 7.1 | 88.7 | 88.8 | 310.0 | 311.0 | 7.48 | 7.5 | 722 | 725.0 |
| | | | 26.8 | | 7.1 | | 88.9 | | 312.0 | | 7.48 | | 728 | |
| WM1 | 11:35 | 0.20 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 7.25 | 7.3 | 89.4 | 89.5 | 144.0 | 143.5 | 7.18 | 7.2 | 189 | 190.0 |
| | | | 26.4 | | 7.27 | | 89.6 | | 143.0 | | 7.18 | | 191 | |

| Date | | 30-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM1-C | 11:20 | 0.20 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 6.35 | 6.4 | 68.4 | 68.5 | 678.0 | 677.0 | 7.76 | 7.8 | 875 | 878.0 |
| | | | 28.3 | | 6.36 | | 68.5 | | 676.0 | | 7.76 | | 881 | |
| WM1 | 11:00 | 0.25 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 6.79 | 6.6 | 85.4 | 83.5 | 108.0 | 109.0 | 7.61 | 7.6 | 79 | 78.0 |
| | | | 27.6 | | 6.5 | | 81.5 | | 110.0 | | 7.61 | | 77 | |

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6

| Date | | 2-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|-------|-----------------|-------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM2A-C | 14:15 | 0.25 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 7.11 | 7.2 | 86.6 | 88.0 | 131.0 | 146.0 | 7.26 | 7.3 | 89 | 90.0 |
| | | | 26.5 | | 7.2 | | 89.3 | | 161.0 | | 7.26 | | 91 | |
| WM2A | 13:55 | 0.15 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 8.17 | 8.1 | 103.8 | 103.2 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 7.11 | 7.1 | 14 | 14.5 |
| | | | 27.6 | | 8.1 | | 102.6 | | 16.6 | | 7.11 | | 15 | |

| Date | | 4-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM2A-C | 12:15 | 0.25 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 4.81 | 4.9 | 61.1 | 62.2 | 85.0 | 87.5 | 7.00 | 7.0 | 100 | 99.0 |
| | | | 25.9 | | 4.9 | | 63.2 | | 89.9 | | 7.00 | | 98 | |
| WM2A | 11:50 | 0.16 | 26 | 26.0 | 5.96 | 6.0 | 72.9 | 73.2 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 7.70 | 7.7 | 15 | 15.5 |
| | | | 26 | | 5.99 | | 73.5 | | 15.1 | | 7.70 | | 16 | |

| Date | | 6-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM2A-C | 12:30 | 0.25 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 5.74 | 5.7 | 69.5 | 69.6 | 30.3 | 32.7 | 7.20 | 7.2 | 15 | 15.5 |
| | | | 25.6 | | 5.75 | | 69.6 | | 35.0 | | 7.20 | | 16 | |
| WM2A | 12:10 | 0.15 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 5.84 | 5.9 | 71.6 | 72.0 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 7.40 | 7.4 | 10 | 10.0 |
| | | | 25.5 | | 5.88 | | 72.3 | | 15.7 | | 7.40 | | 10 | |

| Date | | 9-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|-------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM2A-C | 12:45 | 0.25 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 6.52 | 6.4 | 86.3 | 84.9 | 14.5 | 16.2 | 7.30 | 7.3 | 22 | 22.5 |
| | | | 26.5 | | 6.31 | | 83.5 | | 17.8 | | 7.30 | | 23 | |
| WM2A | 12:25 | 0.15 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 8.08 | 8.2 | 101.0 | 102.1 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 7.24 | 7.2 | 13 | 12.5 |
| | | | 26.7 | | 8.25 | | 103.2 | | 11.8 | | 7.24 | | 12 | |

| Date | | 11-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM2A-C | 12:15 | 0.25 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 83.0 | 84.1 | 28.1 | 30.9 | 7.18 | 7.2 | 34 | 33.0 |
| | | | 26.2 | | 6.87 | | 85.1 | | 33.6 | | 7.18 | | 32 | |
| WM2A | 11:50 | 0.15 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 6.25 | 6.4 | 79.4 | 80.7 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 7.21 | 7.2 | 4 | 4.0 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|-----|--|------|--|---|--|
| | | | 27.8 | | 6.45 | | 82.0 | | 8.5 | | 7.21 | | 4 | |
|--|--|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|-----|--|------|--|---|--|

| Date | | 13-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|----------|----|------|--|
| Location | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | | |
| WM2A-C | 12:30 | 0.25 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 6.01 | 6.1 | 82.2 | 82.3 | 24.8 | 23.5 | 7.11 | 7.1 | 51 | 50.5 | |
| | | | 27.9 | | 6.09 | | 82.3 | | 22.2 | | 7.11 | | 50 | | |
| WM2A | 12:50 | 0.15 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 69.0 | 69.2 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 7.05 | 7.1 | 4 | 4.0 | |
| | | | 28.1 | | 5.42 | | 69.3 | | 11.7 | | 7.05 | | 4 | | |

| Date | | 16-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|----------|----|------|--|
| Location | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | | |
| WM2A-C | 12:35 | 0.25 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 7.08 | 7.2 | 86.6 | 88.4 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.33 | 7.3 | 4 | 4.0 | |
| | | | 25.7 | | 7.37 | | 90.2 | | 8.7 | | 7.33 | | 4 | | |
| WM2A | 12:15 | 0.15 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 74.9 | 75.0 | 22.0 | 21.9 | 7.28 | 7.3 | 14 | 14.0 | |
| | | | 27.8 | | 5.91 | | 75.0 | | 21.8 | | 7.28 | | 14 | | |

| Date | | 18-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|----------|---|-----|--|
| Location | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | | |
| WM2A-C | 13:50 | 0.25 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 6.43 | 6.5 | 85.4 | 86.6 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 7.21 | 7.2 | 7 | 7.0 | |
| | | | 27.9 | | 6.6 | | 87.8 | | 7.2 | | 7.21 | | 7 | | |
| WM2A | 13:30 | 0.15 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 5.77 | 5.9 | 73.8 | 75.2 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 7.15 | 7.2 | 7 | 7.0 | |
| | | | 28.2 | | 5.98 | | 76.5 | | 15.0 | | 7.15 | | 7 | | |

| Date | | 20-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|----------|----|------|--|
| Location | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | | |
| WM2A-C | 14:35 | 0.25 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 6.45 | 6.5 | 78.3 | 78.4 | 19.3 | 18.9 | 7.36 | 7.4 | 14 | 15.0 | |
| | | | 25.2 | | 6.46 | | 78.4 | | 18.5 | | 7.36 | | 16 | | |
| WM2A | 14:15 | 0.15 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 77.3 | 81.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 7.51 | 7.5 | 2 | 2.0 | |
| | | | 26.6 | | 6.85 | | 85.0 | | 5.8 | | 7.51 | | 2 | | |

| Date | | 23-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------|------|-----------------|-----|-----|------|----------|----|------|--|
| Location | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | | |
| WM2A-C | 11:45 | 0.25 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 6.63 | 6.6 | 89.3 | 89.2 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 7.16 | 7.2 | 17 | 16.5 | |
| | | | 27.3 | | 6.6 | | 89.0 | | 8.4 | | 7.16 | | 16 | | |
| WM2A | 11:30 | 0.15 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 6.05 | 6.1 | 76.2 | 76.4 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 7.13 | 7.1 | 2 | 2.5 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|-----|--|------|--|---|--|
| | | | 27.2 | | 6.06 | | 76.5 | | 5.4 | | 7.13 | | 3 | |
|--|--|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|-----|--|------|--|---|--|

| Date | | 25-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM2A-C | 12:20 | 0.25 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 5.82 | 5.8 | 71.5 | 71.6 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 7.24 | 7.2 | 6 | 5.5 | |
| | | | 25.8 | | 5.84 | | 71.7 | | 11.1 | | 7.24 | | 5 | | |
| WM2A | 12:00 | 0.15 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 5.17 | 5.2 | 66.3 | 66.4 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 7.30 | 7.3 | 13 | 13.5 | |
| | | | 28.1 | | 5.19 | | 66.4 | | 24.3 | | 7.30 | | 14 | | |

| Date | | 27-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM2A-C | 13:55 | 0.25 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 7.49 | 7.5 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 7.50 | 7.5 | 6 | 5.5 | |
| | | | 25.1 | | 7.48 | | 95.7 | | 9.0 | | 7.50 | | 5 | | |
| WM2A | 13:35 | 0.15 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 88.8 | 88.9 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 7.73 | 7.7 | 14 | 14.5 | |
| | | | 25.3 | | 7.32 | | 89.0 | | 24.5 | | 7.73 | | 15 | | |

| Date | | 30-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | | | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM2A-C | 12:00 | 0.25 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 7.18 | 7.2 | 88.5 | 88.7 | 25.7 | 25.0 | 7.05 | 7.1 | 13 | 12.5 | |
| | | | 25.9 | | 7.2 | | 88.9 | | 24.2 | | 7.05 | | 12 | | |
| WM2A | 11:40 | 0.15 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 6.33 | 6.3 | 81.0 | 81.2 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 7.02 | 7.0 | 13 | 13.5 | |
| | | | 28.1 | | 6.36 | | 81.4 | | 25.1 | | 7.02 | | 14 | | |

Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6

| Date | | 2-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM3-c | 14:35 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WM3 | 14:50 | 0.16 | 28.9 | 28.9 | 5.44 | 5.4 | 70.2 | 70.0 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 7.18 | 7.2 | 6 | 6.0 | |
| | | | 28.9 | | 5.38 | | 69.8 | | 12.8 | | 7.18 | | 6 | | |

| Date | | 4-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|--|--------|--|-----------------|--|----|--|----------|--|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|----|------|
| WM3-c | 13:30 | 0.15 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 5.07 | 5.1 | 63.3 | 63.7 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 7 | 7.0 | 14 | 13.5 |
| | | | 26.5 | | 5.12 | | 64.1 | | 15.5 | | 7 | | 13 | |
| WM3 | 13:50 | 0.16 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 5.16 | 5.2 | 64.4 | 64.4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 5 | 5.5 |
| | | | 26.5 | | 5.16 | | 64.3 | | 8.1 | | 7.1 | | 6 | |

| Date | | 6-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|-----|-----|----------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM3-c | 14:00 | 0.15 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 5.07 | 5.0 | 63.6 | 63.1 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 11 | 10.5 | |
| | | | 26.6 | | 5.02 | | 62.6 | | 15.3 | | 6.9 | | 10 | | |
| WM3 | 14:25 | 0.16 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 5.42 | 5.4 | 67.9 | 67.7 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 7 | 7.0 | 12 | 12.0 | |
| | | | 26.5 | | 5.42 | | 67.5 | | 8.0 | | 7 | | 12 | | |

| Date | | 9-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM3-c | 13:30 | 0.14 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 6.97 | 6.9 | 88.0 | 88.4 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 7 | 7.0 | 7 | 7.5 | |
| | | | 27.6 | | 6.92 | | 88.7 | | 12.6 | | 7 | | 8 | | |
| WM3 | 13:50 | 0.17 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 85.6 | 86.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.15 | 7.2 | 6 | 6.0 | |
| | | | 27.1 | | 6.87 | | 86.4 | | 8.8 | | 7.15 | | 6 | | |

| Date | | 11-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM3-c | 13:35 | 0.15 | 28 | 28.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 79.4 | 80.0 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 6.91 | 6.9 | 6 | 6.5 | |
| | | | 28 | | 6.29 | | 80.5 | | 10.4 | | 6.91 | | 7 | | |
| WM3 | 13:50 | 0.16 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 6.43 | 6.4 | 80.9 | 80.5 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6 | 6.0 | |
| | | | 27.1 | | 6.36 | | 80.1 | | 6.5 | | 7.1 | | 6 | | |

| Date | | 13-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|-----|------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | | |
| WM3-c | 14:20 | 0.14 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 7.52 | 7.4 | 97.9 | 94.8 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.97 | 7.0 | 2 | 2.0 | |
| | | | 28.6 | | 7.2 | | 91.7 | | 7.0 | | 6.97 | | 2 | | |
| WM3 | 14:40 | 0.16 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 5.65 | 5.7 | 71.0 | 71.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 7.33 | 7.3 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | | | 27.6 | | 5.67 | | 71.5 | | 6.0 | | 7.33 | | 5 | | |

| Date | | 16-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM3-c | 14:15 | 0.15 | 28 | 28.0 | 5.87 | 6.0 | 75.1 | 76.5 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 7.04 | 7.0 | 11 | 11.5 |
| | | | 28 | | 6.09 | | 77.8 | | 9.8 | | 7.04 | | 12 | |
| WM3 | 14:35 | 0.16 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 6.32 | 6.5 | 79.6 | 82.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 7.49 | 7.5 | 5 | 5.5 |
| | | | 27.2 | | 6.77 | | 85.3 | | 5.3 | | 7.49 | | 6 | |

| Date | | 18-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|-----|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM3-c | 14:10 | 0.14 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 6.24 | 6.4 | 80.7 | 82.4 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.99 | 7.0 | 2 | 2.0 |
| | | | 28.6 | | 6.49 | | 84.0 | | 6.2 | | 6.99 | | 2 | |
| WM3 | 14:30 | 0.15 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 80.2 | 84.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 7.41 | 7.4 | 5 | 5.0 |
| | | | 27.8 | | 6.92 | | 88.1 | | 5.1 | | 7.41 | | 5 | |

| Date | | 20-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM3-c | 10:00 | 0.15 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 5.33 | 5.6 | 67.6 | 71.4 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 7.16 | 7.2 | 5 | 4.5 |
| | | | 27.2 | | 5.92 | | 75.1 | | 9.9 | | 7.16 | | 4 | |
| WM3 | 10:20 | 0.15 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 6.51 | 6.5 | 81.8 | 82.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 7.32 | 7.3 | 3 | 3.5 |
| | | | 26.7 | | 6.55 | | 82.3 | | 4.6 | | 7.32 | | 4 | |

| Date | | 23-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|-----|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM3-c | 12:05 | 0.15 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 6.46 | 6.5 | 82.1 | 82.3 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 7.03 | 7.0 | 3 | 3.0 |
| | | | 27.7 | | 6.48 | | 82.5 | | 6.2 | | 7.03 | | 3 | |
| WM3 | 12:20 | 0.16 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 6.98 | 7.0 | 87.3 | 87.5 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 7.15 | 7.2 | 5 | 5.5 |
| | | | 26.8 | | 7.01 | | 87.7 | | 5.4 | | 7.15 | | 6 | |

| Date | | 25-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|--|--------|--|-----------------|--|----|--|----------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|---|-----|
| WM3-c | 13:40 | 0.14 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 6.78 | 6.8 | 86.3 | 86.4 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 7 | 7.0 | 4 | 4.0 |
| | | | 27.7 | | 6.79 | | 86.5 | | 5.4 | | 7 | | 4 | |
| WM3 | 14:40 | 0.15 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 6.71 | 6.6 | 84.8 | 82.9 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.38 | 7.4 | 8 | 8.0 |
| | | | 27.3 | | 6.41 | | 81.0 | | 7.5 | | 7.38 | | 8 | |




| Date | | 27-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM3-c | 14:15 | 0.15 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 6.04 | 6.0 | 73.4 | 73.4 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 7.17 | 7.2 | 11 | 11.5 |
| | | | 25.2 | | 6.03 | | 73.4 | | 15.6 | | 7.17 | | 12 | |
| WM3 | 14:35 | 0.16 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 6.57 | 6.6 | 79.2 | 79.2 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 7.28 | 7.3 | 11 | 11.5 |
| | | | 24.8 | | 6.56 | | 79.2 | | 15.3 | | 7.28 | | 12 | |




| Date | | 30-Aug-21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-----------------|-----|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DO (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS(mg/L) | |
| WM3-c | 13:30 | 0.15 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 87.4 | 87.6 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 6.98 | 7.0 | 3 | 3.5 |
| | | | 27.1 | | 6.94 | | 87.8 | | 5.6 | | 6.98 | | 4 | |
| WM3 | 13:50 | 0.16 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 6.86 | 6.9 | 86.5 | 86.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 7.35 | 7.4 | 5 | 4.5 |
| | | | 27.2 | | 6.88 | | 86.9 | | 4.5 | | 7.35 | | 4 | |

Appendix J




Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result




Air Quality – 1-hour TSP










Air Quality – 24-hour TSP











Noise








Water Quality







Appendix K

Meteorological Data

| Date | | Weather | Total Rainfall (mm) | Ta Kwu Ling Station | | | |
|-----------|-----|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | | | | Mean Air Temp. (°C) | Wind Speed (km/h) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Wind Direction |
| 1-Aug-21 | Sun | Moderate to fresh southwesterly winds | 11.6 | 30.8 | 7.5 | 74.5 | W/SW |
| 2-Aug-21 | Mon | Mainly cloudy with isolated showers. | Trace | 30.5 | 5 | Maintemance | W/SW |
| 3-Aug-21 | Tue | Moderate to fresh west to southwesterly winds | 19.7 | 27.8 | 8.7 | 87.2 | E |
| 4-Aug-21 | Wed | Showers will be heavy at times. | 41.9 | 28.9 | 8.7 | 80.7 | N |
| 5-Aug-21 | Thu | Cloudy with occasional showers and squally thunderstorms. | 28.1 | 27.8 | 8.7 | Maintemance | W/SW |
| 6-Aug-21 | Fri | Cloudy with occasional showers and squally thunderstorms. | 31 | 28.6 | 7.5 | 86.5 | W/SW |
| 7-Aug-21 | Sat | Moderate to fresh southwesterly winds | 0 | 30 | 7 | 87 | W/SW |
| 8-Aug-21 | Sun | Hot with sunny periods during the day tomorrow. | 3.1 | 29.3 | 6.2 | 83.2 | S/SW |
| 9-Aug-21 | Mon | Mainly cloudy with occasional showers and thunderstorms. | 36.3 | 29.3 | 11 | 81.7 | S/SE |
| 10-Aug-21 | Tue | Moderate south to southwesterly winds. | 17.3 | 28.5 | 6.2 | 87.5 | E/SE |
| 11-Aug-21 | Wed | Mainly cloudy with isolated showers. | 3 | 29.7 | 6.2 | 84.7 | E/SE |
| 12-Aug-21 | Thu | Hot with sunny periods during the day tomorrow. | 1 | 29.4 | 7.5 | 72 | S/SE |
| 13-Aug-21 | Fri | Light to moderate southwesterly winds. | 5.4 | 28.6 | 7 | 82.2 | S/SW |
| 14-Aug-21 | Sat | Mainly fine. Very hot during the day tomorrow | 2.2 | 27.7 | 7.5 | 79.5 | S/SW |
| 15-Aug-21 | Sun | Isolated showers later. | 5.7 | 28.3 | 6.2 | 83.7 | E/SE |
| 16-Aug-21 | Mon | Light to moderate southwesterly winds. | 3.9 | 28.7 | 6 | 83 | E/SE |
| 17-Aug-21 | Tue | Mainly cloudy with a few showers and isolated thunderstorms. | 0 | 29.6 | 6.2 | 76.2 | W/SW |
| 18-Aug-21 | Wed | Sunny intervals in the afternoon. | 0 | 28.8 | 15 | 80.7 | S/SW |
| 19-Aug-21 | Thu | Light to moderate southerly winds. | 34.6 | 28.1 | 8 | 84 | E/SE |
| 20-Aug-21 | Fri | Light to moderate southerly winds. | Trace | 29.6 | 6.2 | 75 | W/SW |
| 21-Aug-21 | Sat | Hot with sunny periods and a few showers | 0 | 29.6 | 10.5 | 76 | E/SE |
| 22-Aug-21 | Sun | Mainly cloudy with isolated showers. | 0 | 29.8 | 6.2 | 72 | W/SW |
| 23-Aug-21 | Mon | Moderate to fresh southwesterly winds | Trace | 29 | 7.5 | 76 | SW |
| 24-Aug-21 | Tue | Light to moderate southerly winds. | 23.7 | 29.6 | 8.7 | 77.2 | S/SW |
| 25-Aug-21 | Wed | Hot with sunny periods and a few showers | 1.1 | 30.1 | 8.7 | 78 | S/SE |
| 26-Aug-21 | Thu | Sunny intervals in the afternoon. | 2.2 | 30.1 | 7.5 | 75.5 | E/SE |
| 27-Aug-21 | Fri | Moderate easterly winds, gusty at times. | 29.3 | 25.5 | 13.5 | 86.2 | E/SE |
| 28-Aug-21 | Sat | Hot with sunny periods and one or two showers. | 22 | 26.6 | 10.2 | 81 | E/SE |
| 29-Aug-21 | Sun | Moderate easterly winds. | 13.9 | 28.3 | 8 | 77.5 | E/SE |
| 30-Aug-21 | Mon | Light to moderate southerly winds. | Trace | 29.2 | 8.7 | 76.7 | E |
| 31-Aug-21 | Tue | Mainly cloudy with isolated showers. | 13.5 | 27.6 | 5 | 85 | E/SE |

Appendix L

Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2021 (year)

Name of Person completing the record: K.M. Lui (EO)

Project : Liangtang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 6

Contract No.: CV/2013/08

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000 m ³) |
| Jan | 0.023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.059 |
| Feb | 0.058 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.058 | 0 | 0 | 0.401 | 0 | 0 | 0.029 |
| Mar | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0.311 | 0 | 0 | 0.009 |
| Apr | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 |
| May | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.010 |
| Jun | 0.042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.042 | 0 | 0 | 0.394 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 |
| Sub-total | 0.162 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.162 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.106 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.127 |
| Jul | 0.087 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.087 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.004 |
| Aug | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.007 |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 0.288 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.288 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.106 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.138 |

- Notes:
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
 - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

Appendix M

**Implementation Schedule for
Environmental Mitigation Measures**

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|--|-----------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Air Quality Impact (Construction) | | | | | | | |
| 3.6.1.1 | 2.1 | <p>General Dust Control Measures</p> <p>The following dust suppression measures should be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (4 times per day for active areas in Po Kak Tsai and 8 times per day for all other active areas), including areas with heavy construction and slope cutting activities ■ 80% of stockpile areas should be covered by impervious sheets ■ Speed of trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10 km/hr ■ All haul roads within the site should be paved to avoid dust emission due to vehicular movement | To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites | Contractor | Construction Works Sites | During Construction | EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation |
| 3.6.1.2 | 2.1 | <p>Best Practice for Dust Control</p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good site management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. ■ Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission. ■ Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. ■ Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions. ■ The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Each and every main temporary access should be paved with | To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites | Contractor | Construction Works Sites | During Construction | EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | <p>concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped. <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|--|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | <p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. <p><i>Blasting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The areas within 30m from the blasting area should be wetted with water prior to blasting. | | | | | |
| <u>Air Quality Impact (Operation)</u> | | | | | | | |
| 3.5.2.2 | 2.2 | <p>The following odour containment and control measures will be provided for the proposed sewage treatment work at the BCP site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The treatment work will be totally enclosed. Negative pressure ventilation will be provided within the enclosure to avoid any fugitive odorous emission from the treatment work. Further odour containment will be achieved by covering or confining the sewage channels, sewage tanks, and equipment with potential odour emission. Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity. Chemical or biological deodorisation facilities with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90% will be provided to treat potential odorous emissions from the treatment plant including sewage channels / tanks, filter press and screening facilities so as to minimize any potential odour impact to the nearby ASRs. | To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP | DSD | BCP | Operation Phase | EIA recommendation |
| <u>Noise Impact (Construction)</u> | | | | | | | |
| 4.4.1.4 | 3.1 | <p>Adoption of Quieter PME</p> <p>Use of the recommended quieter PME such as those given in the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and presented in Table 4.14, which can be found in Hong Kong.</p> | To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact | Contractors | Construction Work Sites | During Construction | EIA recommendation, EIAO and Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 4.4.1.4 | 3.1 | <p>Use of Movable Noise Barrier</p> <p>The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m² is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.</p> | To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact | Contractors | Construction Work Sites | During Construction | EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO |
| 4.4.1.4 | 3.1 | <p>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.</p> | To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact | Contractors | Construction Work Sites | During Construction | EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO |
| 4.4.1.4 | 3.1 | <p>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The insulating fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.</p> | To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact | Contractors | Construction Work Sites | During Construction | EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|--|-----------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 4.4.1.4 | 3.1 | <p>Good Site Practice</p> <p>The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction programme; • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible; • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. | To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact | Contractors | Construction Work Sites | During Construction | EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO |
| <u>Noise Impact (Operation)</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Road Traffic Noise</u> | | | | | | | |
| Table 4.42 and Figure 4.20.1 to 4.20.4 | 3.2 | Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section. | To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP | Contractor | Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange | Before Operation | EIAO and NCO |
| <u>Fixed Plant Noise</u> | | | | | | | |
| Table 4.46 | 3.2 | Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time. | To minimize the fixed plant noise impact | Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | Before Operation | EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|--|-----------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 4.5.2.4 | 3.2 | <p>The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced; Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment); Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable; Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures; Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building; Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise. | To minimize the fixed plant noise impact | Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | Before Operation | EIAO and NCO |
| Water Quality Impact (Construction) | | | | | | | |
| 5.6.1.1 | 4.1 | <p>Construction site runoff and drainage</p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. | To control site runoff and drainage; prevent high sediment loading from reaching the nearby watercourses | Contractor | Construction Works Sites | Construction Phase | Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC Note PN 1/94) |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | <p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. ▪ All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. ▪ Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. ▪ If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC Note PN 1/94. ▪ The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | | <p>the erosive potential of surface water flows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. ▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. ▪ Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. ▪ Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. ▪ Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. | | | | | |
| 5.6.1.1 | 4.1 | <p>Good site practices for works within water gathering grounds</p> <p>The following conditions should be complied, if there is any works to be carried out within the water gathering grounds:</p> | To minimize water quality impacts to the water gathering grounds | Contractor | Construction Works Sites within the water gathering | Construction Phase | ProPECC Note PN 1/94 |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adequate measures should be implemented to ensure no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwaters and catchments. ▪ No earth, building materials, oil or fuel, soil, toxic materials or any materials that may possibly cause contamination to water gathering grounds are allowed to be stockpiled on site. ▪ All surplus spoil should be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible. ▪ Temporary drains with silt traps should be constructed at the site boundary before the commencement of any earthworks. ▪ Regular cleaning of silt traps should be carried out to ensure proper operation at all time. ▪ All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion should always be protected form erosion. ▪ Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site should be provided. ▪ Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwaters or catchments due to the leakage of oil or fuel should be removed off site immediately. ▪ No maintenance activities which may generate chemical wastes should be undertaken in the water gathering grounds. Vehicle maintenance should be confined to designated paved areas only and any spillages should be cleared up immediately using absorbents and waste oils should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal off site. All storm water run-off from these areas should be discharged via oil/petrol separators and sand/silt removal traps. ▪ Any soil contaminated with fuel leaked from plant should be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of contaminated soil should be replaced by suitable material approved by the Director of Water Supplies. ▪ Provision of temporary toilet facilities and use of chemicals or insecticide of any kind are subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies. ▪ Drainage plans should be submitted for approval by the Director of | | | grounds | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|---|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>Water Supplies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An unimpeded access through the waterworks access road should always be maintained. ▪ Earthworks near catchwaters or streamcourses should only be carried out in dry season between October and March, ▪ Advance notice must be given before the commencement of works on site quoting WSD's approval letter reference. | | | | | |
| 5.6.1.2 | 4.1 | <p>Good site practices of general construction activities</p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p> | To minimize water quality impacts | Contractor | All construction works sites | Construction phase | EIA Recommendation |
| 5.6.1.3 | 4.1 | <p>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p> | To minimize water quality impacts | Contractor | All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities | Construction phase | EIA Recommendation and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) |
| 5.6.1.4 | 4.1 | <p>Hydrogeological Impact</p> <p>Grout injection works would be conducted before blasting, for sealing a limited area around the tunnel with a grout of a suitable strength for controlling the potential groundwater inflows. The pre-injection grouting method would be supplemented by post-injection grouting where necessary to further enhance the groundwater inflow control. On-site treatment for the groundwater ingress pumped out would be required to remove any contamination by grouting materials before discharge off-site.</p> | To minimize water quality impacts | Contractor | Construction works sites of the drill and blast tunnel | Construction phase | EIA Recommendation and WPCO |
| Water Quality Impact (Operation) | | | | | | | |
| No mitigation measure is required. | | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|---|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| <u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Construction)</u> | | | | | | | |
| 6.7 | 5 | The sewage generated by the on-site workforce should be collected in chemical toilets and disposed of off-site by a licensed waste collector. | To minimize water quality impacts | Contractor | All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities | Construction phase | EIA recommendation and WPCO |
| <u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u> | | | | | | | |
| 6.6.3 | 5 | Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP. | To minimize water quality impacts | DSD | BCP | Operation phase | EIA recommendation and WPCO |
| 6.5.3 | 5 | Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system. | To minimize water quality impacts | DSD | Administration Building | Operation phase | EIA recommendation and WPCO |
| <u>Waste Management Implication (Construction)</u> | | | | | | | |
| 7.6.1.1 | 6 | <p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>Adverse impacts related to waste management such as potential hazard, air, odour, noise, wastewater discharge and public transport as mentioned in section 3.4.7.2 (ii)(c) of the Study Brief are not expected to arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site ▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures ▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste ▪ Dust suppression measures as required under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be followed as far as practicable. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by covering trucks or in enclosed containers ▪ General refuse shall be removed away immediately for disposal. As | To minimize adverse environmental impact | Contractor | Construction works sites (general) | Construction Phase | EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; and ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Site |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | <p>such odour is not anticipated to be an issue to distant sensitive receivers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road ▪ Covers and water spraying system should be provided for the stockpiled C&D material to prevent dust impact or being washed away ▪ Designate different locations for storage of C&D material to enhance reuse ▪ Well planned programme for transportation of C&D material to lessen the off-site traffic impact. Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal and imported filling material such that adverse noise impact from transporting of C&D material is not anticipated ▪ Site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” should be adopted as far as practicable, such as cleaning and maintenance of drainage systems regularly ▪ Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains | | | | | |
| 7.6.1.2 | 6 | <p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal ▪ Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force ▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials ▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount | To reduce the quantity of wastes | Contractor | Construction works sites (General) | Construction Phase | EIA recommendation and Waste Disposal Ordinance |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure? | What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve? |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In addition to the above measures, specific mitigation measures are recommended below for the identified waste arising to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of these wastes. | | | | | |
| 7.6.1.3 | 6 | <p>C&D Materials</p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. The surplus rock and other inert C&D material would be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs) at Tuen Mun Area 38 for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR as the last resort. C&D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site; and In order to monitor the disposal of C&D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included. | To minimize impacts resulting from C&D material | Contractor | Construction Works Sites (General) | Construction Phase | EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; and ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 |
| 7.6.1.4 | 6 | <p>General refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from other C&D material. A reputable waste collector is to be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site separately. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' litter.</p> | To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal | Contractor | Construction works sites (General) | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance and Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation |
| 7.6.1.5 | 6 | <p>Chemical waste</p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical</p> | To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal | Contractor | Construction works sites (General) | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes |

Appendix N

**Implementation Status of
Mitigation Measures for Operation Phase**

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | (b) Gravity sewer, equalization and sludge holding tanks shall be designed with suitable sewer distance and retention time to prevent sewage septicity. 3. Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity. 4. <u>Deodorisation</u> (a) Deodorisation facilities at the sewage treatment plant shall be designed with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90%. | | | | | Fully implemented Fully implemented | - The deodorisation facilities was monitored by control room to ensure odour removal efficiency of 90%. |
| EP C3.12 | The air intake point of Boundary Control Point Building shall be located at least 150m from the sewage treatment plant. | To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP | DSD | STP at BCP | During detailed design/ before operation Phase | Fully implemented | |
| Noise Impact (Operation) | | | | | | | |
| Road Traffic Noise | | | | | | | |
| EP C3.5 / EIA Table 4.42 and Figure | Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section. - To mitigate the traffic noise impact arising from the operation of the | To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP | Contractor | Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange | Before Operation | Fully implemented | Noise barriers were installed in accordance with the Noise Mitigation Plan. |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 4.20.1 to 4.20.4 | Project, the noise mitigation measures shall be implemented in accordance with Fig 4, 5, 6 and 7 attached to the EP, or otherwise approved by the Director subject to the submission of a Noise Mitigation Plan by the Permit Holder to cater for the final layout and design of the Project. | | | | | | |
| Fixed Plant Noise | | | | | | | |
| EIA Table 4.46 | Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time. | To minimize the fixed plant noise impact | Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | Before Operation | Fully implemented | - |
| EIA Section 4.6.2 | Commissioning test should be conducted for all major fixed noise sources to ensure compliance of the operational for all major fixed noise sources before operation. | To minimize the fixed plant noise impact | Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | Before Operation | Fully implemented | - |
| EIA Section 4.5.2.4 | The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced; Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M | To minimize the fixed plant noise impact | Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | Before Operation | Fully implemented | - |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | equipment); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable; • Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures; • Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building; • Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and • Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise. | | | | | | |
| <u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u> | | | | | | | |
| EIA Section 5.6.2.1 | The implementation of proper channel/pipeline/cross road pipes to maintain the overland flow path, and that drainage channel would be provided to convey the storm drain and | To minimize water quality impacts | DSD | For connecting road | Operation phase | Fully implemented | The permanent drainage works have been implemented in accordance with the recommendations in the |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | discharge at downstream of River Indus. | | | | | | Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) for the project |
| EIA Section 6.6.3 | Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP. | To minimize water quality impacts | DSD | BCP | Operation phase | Fully implemented | - |
| EIA Section 6.5.3 | Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system. | To minimize water quality impacts | DSD | Administration Building | Operation phase | Fully implemented | - |
| <u>Waste Management (Operation)</u> | | | | | | | |
| 7.6.2.1 | General refuse General refuse should be collected on daily basis and delivered to the refuse collection point accordingly. A reputable waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse regularly to avoid odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem. Recycling containers are recommended to be provided to encourage recycling of aluminium cans and waste paper. | To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal | Managing Authority of the BCP | BCP and its Associated facilities | Operation phase | Fully implemented | |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 7.6.2.2 | <p>Chemical waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer should be made and guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes should be followed. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. Licensed collector should be deployed to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to the licensed Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or licensed facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal | Managing Authority of the BCP | BCP and its Associated facilities | Operation phase | Fully implemented | |
| Ecological Impact | | | | | | | |
| EIA | Mitigation to Noise Disturbance to | To minimize the | Contractors | BCP, | Before Operation | Fully | - |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Section 9.8 | <p>Wildlife The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced; • Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment); • Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures; • Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building; • Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and • Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance; • programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise. | impact to wildlife | | Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | | implemented | |
| EIA Section | Mitigation to Anthropogenic Disturbance | To screen the Proposed structures | Contractors | In proximity to administration | Operation phase | Fully implemented | Refer to OM4 below |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| 9.8 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buffer planting shall be provided for screening the proposed structures and associated facilities. | and associated facilities. | | Building and all ventilation buildings and associated facilities. | | | |
| EIA Section 9.8 | Mitigation to Habitat Fragmentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Landscape fragmentation should be kept to a minimum and key wildlife routes preserved as far as possible (i.e. OM1 of EM&A Manual Chapter 10). Provision of landscape plantings (i.e. OM3-7 of EM&A Manual Chapter 10) | To minimize the obstruction on wildlife movement | Contractors | All viaduct sections | Operation phase | Fully implemented | Refer to OM1 below. Refer to OM3 to 7 below. |
| EP C3.7 | To reduce collisions from birds, the design of noise barriers shall avoid/minimize the use of transparent / reflective materials or adopt bird-friendly design on such surfaces. | To avoid bird mortality due to collision with noise barrier | Contractors | Locations with erection of noise barrier | During detailed design and construction phases | Fully implemented | Steel works of noise barrier was painted in different tone of mat finished green and avoid use of transparent / reflective materials. |
| EP C4.1 | The ecological mitigation measures stated in the Woodland Compensation Plan and Habitat Creation and Management Plan are properly implemented, maintained and monitored during the entire period of the life of the Project. | To mitigate the loss woodland and Wetland | Contractors | woodland compensation area and wetland compensation area | Operation phase | Fully implemented | Refer Table 1-2 |
| Landscape, Visual and Glare Impact | | | | | | | |
| EP C3.8 & | (OM1) Detailed Design Considerations | To reduce architectural footprint | Detailed designer/ | BCP, Administration | During Detailed Design & | Fully implemented | The detail landscape design of the project is |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM1) | Detailed design of development components should aim to reduce landscape footprint and visibility of structures. The area allowed for any development components should be reduced to a practical minimum. | on the land and minimize visibility of structures. | Consultants | Building and all ventilation buildings | Construction/ Operation Phase | | divided into 3 packages as described in the Landscape Plan. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM2) | (OM2) Aesthetically Pleasing Design The form, textures, finishes and colours of the proposed development components should be compatible with the existing surroundings. Light earthy tone colours such as shades of green, shades of grey, shades of brown and off-white may be utilised where technically feasible to reduce the visibility of the development components, including all roadwork, buildings and noise barriers etc. To further improve visual amenity, natural building materials such as stone and timber, should be preferably adopted for architectural features, where technically feasible. | To reduce visibility of structures and increase their compatibility with the surrounding | Detailed designer/ Consultants | Noise Barriers | During Detailed Design & Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | The steel works of noise barrier are painted in different tone of mat finished green to blend in with the surrounding. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM3) | (OM3) Compensatory Planting All compensatory planting of trees is to be carried out in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 03/2006. | To compensate for loss of trees and some shrubs due to the Project. | Contractors | Woodland compensation area, in proximity to administration Building and all ventilation | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | The programme of woodland compensation has been commenced in early 2016 according to the Woodland Compensation Plan. |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | buildings and associated facilities and Viaduct Structure | | | Other compensatory planting shall refer to OM4 and OM10. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM4) | (OM4) Buffer Tree Planting Tree planting shall be provided to screen the proposed structures and associated facilities. In addition, the compensatory shrub and ground cover planting detailed in OM4 will provide screening and improve compatibility with the surrounding environment. | To screen the proposed structures and associated facilities including roads. | Contractors | In proximity to administration Building and all ventilation buildings and associated facilities. | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | New planting has been provided for Buffet Tree Planting. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM5) | (OM5) Aesthetic Improvement Planting - Viaduct Structure Planters will be provided for trailer planting to soften the hard, straight edges of the viaduct. Where space allows for planters, climbers are proposed to cover vertical, hard surfaces of the piers. | To soften the hard edges on the viaduct and maximize greening opportunity. | Contractors | Viaduct Structure. | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | Shrub plantings are provided on the viaduct planters. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM6) | (OM6) Aesthetic Improvement Planting – under Viaduct Shade tolerant plant will be planted, where light is insufficient, to improve value of areas under viaducts. | To soften the hard edges on the viaduct and maximize greening opportunity. | Contractors | Viaduct Structure. | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | Amenity palm and shrub are planted under the viaduct structures connecting the existing Fanling Highway. |
| EP C3.8 & | (OM7) Landscaped Slope Where existing hillside slopes are | To prevent soil erosion and reduce | Contractors | Newly formed slope | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | Varies format of landscape treatment are |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM7) | anticipated to be modified (eg cut slope at the portals of the tunnel sections and embankments along the alignment) the final slope surface will be landscaped by hydroseeding, tree or shrub planting where slope gradient allows. | visible impact of man-made slopes. | | | | | applied on all newly formed slope features, to suit the site conditions including slope gradient and soil depth. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM8) | (OM8) Green Roof Green roofing should be established on proposed buildings to reduce exposure to untreated concrete surfaces and mitigate visual impact to VSRs at high levels. | To reduce exposure to untreated concrete surfaces, reduce visual impact to VSRs at high levels and maximize greening opportunity. | Contractors | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | Suitable shrubs and ground covers are planted in building roofs of new buildings. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM9) | (OM9) Vertical Greening Vertical planting should be established to soften the hard, vertical surfaces of the proposed development components. These components will include walls of administration and ventilation buildings, retaining walls and road abutments. | To reduce visible impact of proposed new structures and facilities and maximize greening opportunity. | Contractors | BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | Planters are provided by the building edges in building roofs of new development structures. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM10) | (OM10) Roadside Amenity Planting Roadside amenity planting should be provided, to enhance the landscape and visual quality of the existing and proposed transport routes and car parks. | To soften edges of the proposed engineer structures and associated facilities and enhance the landscape and visual quality of the existing and proposed road. | Contractors | Roadside of the project | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | New plantings are provided for Roadside Amenity Planting |
| EP C3.8 | (OM11) Reinstatement | Particularly aimed at | Contractors | Existing | During Construction/ | Fully | Reinstatement works |

| EP/ EIA Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | Implementation Status | Remarks |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM11) | Certain areas unavoidably disturbed by the Project will be re-provisioned. | temporarily disturbed areas, to reduce long term impact on landscape. | | engineering channel Ma Wat River. | Operation Phase | implemented | are provided to the disturbed existing engineering channel Ma Wat River. |
| EP C3.8, EP C3.9 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM12) | (OM12) Light Control Street and night time lighting glare will be controlled to minimize glare impact to adjacent VSRs during the operation stage. | To minimize glare impact to adjacent VSRs. | Contractors | Lit areas around BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings and along roads. | During Operation Phase | Fully implemented | Light controls are provided at new buildings. |
| EP C3.8 & EIA 11.6.3 & Table 11.16 (OM13) | (OM13) Re-provisioned LCSD Garden The Open Space of Wo Keng Shan public garden falls within the Project Site and will be re-provisioned to re-provide the amenities of the garden on a one to one basis. | To compensate for loss of Open Space due to the Project. | Contractors | Near existing Wo Keng Shan public garden | During Construction/ Operation Phase | Fully implemented | 1256.4m ² of site area at the north of Sha Tau Kok Interchange will be converted to a re-provision LCSD Wo Keng Shan Garden is implemented. |