

JOB NO.: TCS00694/13

AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

MONITORING REPORT FOR WETLAND COMPENSATION AREA (NO.7) – APRIL 2021

PREPARED FOR CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
6 July 2021	TCS00694/13/600/R02643v3	Anh	Am
		Nicola Hon (Environmental	Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	14 May 2021	First Submission
2	21 May 2021	Amended according to the IEC's comment on 18 May 2021
3	6 July 2021	In-house amendment

Consultant)



local people global experience

Our ref: 7076192/L27623/AW/MCC/rw

7 July 2021

AECOM 8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road Shatin, N.T.

By Email & Post

Attention: Mr Eddie LUK

Dear Sir

Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works Independent Environmental Checker – Investigation Monitoring Report for Wetland Compensation Area (No. 7) – April 2021 (Revised)

With reference to the Revised Monitoring Report for Wetland Compensation Area (No. 7) for April 2021 (Version 3) certified by the ET Leader and received by IEC on 6 July 2021, please note that we have no adverse comments on the captioned submission. We herewith verify the captioned submission in accordance with Section 8.3.2.3 of the EM&A Manual.

Thank you for your attention and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on tel. 3995-8120 or by email to antony.wong@smec.com; or our Mr Arthur CHIU on tel. 3995-8144 or by email to arthur.chiu@smec.com.

Yours faithfully

Antony WONG

СС

Independent Environmental Checker

CEDD/BCP AECOM CCKJV AUES

- Mr LU Pei Yu / Mr Derek LAU
 - Mr Pat LAM / Mr Anthony WONG
- Mr Vincent CHAN
 - 🚽 🕅 Mr TW TAM

by fax: 3547 1659 by email by email by email

SMEC ASIA LIMITED 27/F Ford Glory Plaza, 37-39 Wing Hong Street Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong T +852 3995 8100 F +852 3995 8101 E hongkong@smec.com W www.smec.com





Table of Content

1.	Introdu	uction	1
	1.1	General1	
2.	Monito	oring Requirement	2
	2.1	Requirement2	
	2.2	Ecological Monitoring2	
	2.3	Water Quality Monitoring4	
3.	Monito	oring Results	8
	3.1	Result of Ecological Monitoring8	
	3.2	Result of water Quality10	
	3.3	Site Inspection11	
	3.4	Conclusion11	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1	SUMMARY OF MONITORING IN IMPLEMENTATION AND ESTABLISHMENT STAGE
TABLE 2-2	ECOLOGICAL PERFORMANCE LIMITS AND CONTINGENCY PLAN
TABLE 2-3	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 2-4	CHEMICAL DETERMINATION AND REPORTING LIMIT
TABLE 2-5	WATER QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS AND CONTINGENCY PLAN
TABLE 3-1	DRAGONFLY SPECIES RECORDED WITHIN THE WETLAND COMPENSATION AREA DURING THE MONITORING MONTH
TABLE 3-2	BUTTERFLY SPECIES RECORDED WITHIN THE WETLAND COMPENSATION AREA DURING THE MONITORING MONTH
TABLE 3-3	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS (IN-SITU MEASUREMENTS)
TABLE 3-4	SUMMARY AREA OF WATER COVERAGE OF EACH POND (DRY SEASON)

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A LAYOUT PLAN OF THE WETLAND COMPENSATION AREA
- APPENDIX B CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT
- APPENDIX C WATER QUALITY MONITORING DATA
- APPENDIX D CONVERSION SHEET FOR AREA OF WATER COVERAGE IN EACH POND
- APPENDIX E PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDS



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

- 1.1.1 The "Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works Project" (hereinafter referred to as "the Project") comprises a new Boundary Control Point (BCP) proposed at Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai (LT/HYW), its connecting road and other associated works. According to the EIA Report, Section 9.7.6 and Section 9.8, the wetland habitat to be lost as a result of this Project is identified as freshwater wetland (mainly the abandoned wet agricultural land) only and located at Loi Tung, Wo Keng Shan and Nga Yiu Ha areas. On the basis of literature review and field surveys, the abandoned wet agricultural land found within the Assessment Area was found only in moderate to low ecological value.
- 1.1.2 Nonetheless, in view of its ecological potential and the ecological significance of cumulative loss of wetland, the loss of freshwater wetland is proposed to be compensated by creation of a freshwater wetland. Taking into consideration the existing situation of the habitats affected, the wetland created would be targeted for wetland communities in general (e.g. wetland associated insects and amphibians) instead of wetland-dependent birds in particular. CEDD discussed with EPD, AFCD and DSD, Wetland Compensation Area (WCA) is provided near the affected habitat areas for the purpose of on-site mitigation. Finally, the low-lying area contiguous with River Ganges near Ping Yeung Interchange proposed as a location of compensation wetland; boundaries and conceptual layout plan of the WCA respectively refer to *Figure 9.27* and *9.28* of the Habitat Creation and Management Plan (Revision 3) (hereinafter named "HCMP).
- 1.1.3 According to the approved HCMP, ecological monitoring at implementation and establishment periods should be conducted to cover the ecological attributes. Implementation of the wetland should commence within the construction phase after completion of the construction works at Ping Yeung Section. Prior to commencement of construction, an ecological baseline monitoring was conducted on the proposed wetland site by the Engineer on 25th August 2015, in which the findings would provide a baseline for evaluation of the success for the establishment of the wetland (details refer to S.4.2 of the approved HCMP).
- 1.1.4 Monitoring of WCA should be conducted at implementation and establishment stages. As advised by the Contractor, the implementation stage included construction of wetland was completed in September 2020. Subsequent monitoring at establishment stage would be conducted for one year duration. After establishment stage, AFCD will be responsible of maintenance and the monitoring works.
- 1.1.5 The establishment stage of wetland was commenced on 1^{st} October 2020. This is the 7^{th} Monitoring Report for the Wetland Compensation Area presents the findings of the wetland monitoring for Reporting Month of *April 2021*.



2. MONITORING REQUIREMENT

2.1 REQUIREMENT

- The requirements of monitoring of ecological attributes and water quality are presented below and 2.1.1 they are basically in accordance with the approved HCMP.
- 2.1.2 The monitoring was conducted by the Environmental Team (ET) and supervised by a qualified Project ecologist as a member of the ET in accordance with Section 8.3.2.3 of the EM&A Manual and Section 5.3.2.4 of the EM&A Programme (rev. 07) approved by EPD. Monitoring Parameters and frequency of the implementation and establishment stage are summarized Table 2-1.

Table 2-1State	mary of Monitoring in Implementation and Establishment Stage				
Monitoring Parameters	Frequency	Implementation Stage	Establishment Stage		
Site Inspection	Weekly	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Monitoring of Water level in the ponds	f e Monthly		\checkmark		
Monitoring	Once per month of in-situ parameters measurement		\checkmark		
Water Quality	¹ Once every six months of sample collection and laboratory testing analysis		\checkmark		
Monitoring Vegetation Cover	f Every six months		\checkmark		
Monitoring of Dragonflies	Twice per month between April and August; and Once per month between September and March		\checkmark		
Monitoring Butterflies	f Once per month		\checkmark		
	Once per month between March and				

2.2 **ECOLOGICAL MONITORING**

METHODOLOGY

Amphibians

Monitoring of Vegetation Cover

October; and

November and February

2.2.1 Detailed floristic surveys in the permanent open water as well as the area with fluctuate water level should be conducted for each of the wetland pond at a six monthly intervals, i.e., at the end of the wet season (September) and the end of the dry season (March). Quadrat sampling should be conducted at two location within each pond, i.e. at permanent open water designed last during dry season (wet zone) and on the seasonal water level fluctuation area (semi wet-dry zone); in which a single 2mx2m quadrat should be undertaken at a selected location away from any water inlet and outlet of the pond, and all plant species within the quadrat should be identified to species-level with their respective densities estimated.

Once every two Months between

- 2.2.2 On the other hand, the vegetation coverage by each species, as well as the percentage cover of bare ground and leaf litter cover (for the quadrat placed on area with fluctuate water level only), should be measured. Finally, the tallest height of each plant species should be measured to nearest cm; and presence of any rare or protected species should be identified.
- 2.2.3 The location of the quadrats should be determined on-site during the commencement of the vegetation monitoring, and to be marked by pole as such to facilitate the future monitoring and evaluation.

Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies

- 2.2.4 Direct Observation and active searching along a number of fixed survey transects should be undertaken to monitor the dragonflies and butterflies within the constructed wetland. All dragonfly species observed should be identified and counted, and presence of dragonfly exuviae should also be inspected along the water edge wherever feasible so as to monitor qualitatively the breeding success of this fauna group. On the other hand, all butterfly species should be identified and numbers estimated quantitatively or semi-quantitatively.
- 2.2.5 The survey should be conducted during the middle of the day to coincide with the peak flight time for these species. The monitoring for dragonfly and butterfly should be undertaken on a monthly basis, but would be bi-monthly monitoring (i.e., twice per month) for dragonfly during the peak period of dragonfly emergency in April to August. The proposed transect routes are shown in the *Appendix A*.

Monitoring of Amphibians

- 2.2.6 As stated in the HCMP, breeding and development of larvae will be undertaken to monitor the amphibian activities within the constructed wetland; and depends on the site condition during the time of the survey, deployment of dip net and bottle-traps may also be undertaken to survey the presence of larvae in the water body.
- 2.2.7 The amphibian survey will be undertaken by observation and active searching in potential refuges, and primarily follow the fixed transect as proposed for the dragonfly/butterfly monitoring in each of the wetland pond. A walk through survey through the embankment and water edge of each pond will also be undertake whenever feasible without causing severe trampling of the planted vegetation.
- 2.2.8 The above monitoring will be conducted during the day-time on a monthly during March to October and on a bi-monthly during November to February. Furthermore, night time amphibian monitoring during the establishment phase of the constructed wetland, which has not been required in the HCMP but instructed by the Contractor, will also be undertaken by active searching and auditory detection in the monitoring months to supplement the day time monitoring work.

PERFORMANCE LIMITS AND ACTION PLANS

2.2.9 **Table 2-2** shows the ecological performance (i.e. Action Level (AL) and Limit Level (LL)) and the corresponding Contingency Plans defined in the Table 4.5 of the approved HCMP. The proposed plans will be reviewed and updated on a quarterly basis by the ER based on the monitoring results and situation of the site in future. Any proposed changes, if necessary, would be agreed with ET, Ecologist and IEC and submitted to relevant Authority for approval.

Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Action
Vegetation in permanent open water designed last during dry	Area >10%	Area >20%	• AL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. Action plan for the limit level exceedance should be implemented in case further deterioration is expected.
season			• LL : The Contractor will be responsible to remove the vegetation.
Vegetation cover on the seasonal water level fluctuation area	Area <60%	Area <40%	• AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. Action plan for the limit level exceedance should be implemented in case further deterioration is expected.
			• LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem, in particular the species of planting.

Table 2-2	Ecological Performance	Limits and	Contingency	Plan
	Ecological I ci tor mance	Linnes and	contingency	1 min



Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Action
			The Contractor will be responsible to undertake supplemental planting.
Monitoring of Dragonflies	Species identified in the baseline monitoring* cannot be found in two successive monitoring periods	Species identified in the baseline monitoring* cannot be found in four successive monitoring periods	 AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. Action plan for the limit level exceedance should be implemented in case the problem is likely due to the Project works or the design. LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. ER/The Contractor to provide feasible mitigation measures in case the problem was due to the Project works. If necessary, ER to review the design and the Contractor to provide necessary modification.
Monitoring of Butterflies	Species identified in the baseline monitoring** cannot be found in two successive monitoring periods	Species identified in the baseline Monitoring** cannot be found in four successive monitoring periods	 AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. Action plan for the limit level exceedance should be implemented in case the problem is likely due to the Project works or the design. LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. ER/The Contractor to provide feasible mitigation measures in case the problem was due to the Project works. If necessary, ER to review the design and the Contractor to provide necessary modification.
Monitoring of Amphibians	Not observed	Not observed in two successive monitoring periods	 AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. Action plan for the limit level exceedance should be implemented in case the problem is likely due to the Project works or the design. LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to identify and review the problem. ER/The Contractor to provide feasible mitigation measures in case the problem was due to the Project works. If necessary, ER to review the design and the Contractor to provide necessary modification.

* Targeted dragonfly species: Blue Dasher Brachydiplax chalybea flavovittata, Common Red Skimmer Orthetrum pruinosum neglectum, Wandering Glider Pantala flavescens, Pied Skimmer Pseudothemis zonata and Crimson Dropwing Trithemis aurora

** Targeted butterfly species: Common Mormon Papilio polytes polytes, Common Grass Yellow Eurema hecabe hecabe, Angled Castor Ariadne ariadne alterna, Great Egg-fly Hypolimnas bolina kezia, Common Sailer Neptis hylas hylas, Common Sergeant Athyma perius perius and Red Ring Skirt Hestina assimilis assimilis

2.3 WATER QUALITY MONITORING REQUIREMENT

2.3.1 As stated in the approved HCMP, water level at the centre and in-situ water quality measurement in each pond will be performed once per month. As instructed by the Contractor, additional in-situ



water quality measurement/ water samples at the catchpits adjacent to the ponds should be conducted as well. Parameters of in-situ measurement include:

- Water level in the ponds
- Dissolved Oxygen (DO)
- Temperature
- pH
- Turbidity
- 2.3.2 In addition, every six months (end of the wet season, in September, and end of the dry season, in March) water samples should be collected at each pond and the following parameters should be tested by a HOKLAS laboratory:
 - Ammoniacal nitrogen
 - Biochemical oxygen demand
 - Total oxidized nitrogen
 - Total phosphorus
 - Total reactive phosphorus (orthophosphate)

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

2.3.3 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the monitoring is listed in *Table 2-3*. All water quality monitoring equipment should be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals. The calibration certificates of monitoring equipment used for the monitoring in the Reporting Period are attached in *Appendix B*.

Table 2-5 Water Quanty Monitoring Equipment					
Equipment	Model				
Depth board at the centre of the pond permanently installed in the wetland	Reading of the depth board at the centre of the pond				
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional DSS				
pH meter	YSI Professional DSS				
Turbidimeter	YSI Professional DSS				
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)				
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with ice pad				

Table 2-3Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

IN-SITU MEASUREMENT

Water depth Measurement

2.3.4 Monitoring of water depth should be conducted at centre of the pond. Since the access to the centre of the pond is unavailable due to safety concern, to facilitate the measurement of water level, a depth board was permanently installed at the centre of each pond and water level measurement should be taken by reading the depth board.

DO Measurement

- 2.3.5 YSI Professional DSS/ DO measuring instruments were portable and weatherproof. The equipment contained a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation. The equipment had a sensor and direct current (DC) power source and was capable of measuring:
 - A DO level in the range of 0 20 mg/L and 0 200% saturation; and
 - A temperature of 0 45 degree Celsius.

Turbidity Measurement

2.3.6 YSI Professional DSS/ turbidity measuring instruments were portable and weatherproof with DC power source, and had a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity level between 0–1000 NTU.

pH Measurement

2.3.7 YSI Professional DSS/ a portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 was used to measure pH under the specified conditions according to the APHA Standard Methods.

2.3.8 All in-situ measurement equipment such as DO measuring instruments, turbidity measuring instruments and A portable pH meter, would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory at three month intervals.

LABORATORY ANALYSIS

2.3.9 Chemical determination of all water samples were carried out by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd with the methodologies summarized in *Table 2-4*. The determination would be started within 24 hours of collection of water samples.

Analyte Description	Method Reference	Report Limit (mg/L)	
Ammoniacal nitrogen	APHA 4500NH3: G	0.01	
Biochemical oxygen demand	APHA 5210B	2	
Total oxidized nitrogen	APHA 4500P: J	0.01	
Total oxidized introgen	APHA4500NO3: I	0.01	
Total phosphorus	APHA 4500P: J	0.01	
Total reactive phosphorus	ADHA 4500D B&E	0.01	
(orthophosphate)		0.01	

Table 2-4 Chemical Determination and Reporting Limit

MONITORING PROCEDURE

- 2.3.10 Before in-situ measurement or water sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.
- 2.3.11 Since the access to the centre of the pond was unavailable, at each pond measurements of water level would be taken by reading the depth board permanently installed in the wetland. A pair of high power binocular would be used where necessary.
- 2.3.12 The access to the centre of the pond is unavailable, due to safety concerns, water samples would be taken in a bucket to conduct in-situ measurement. At each pond, water sample would be collected at an accessible and safe location (such as on the balancing pipe at each pond). An extendable stick connected with and self-made sampling buckets would be used to collect the water samples at about 0.5m below surface gently.
- 2.3.13 For water samples for laboratory analysis, the sample container would be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The collected water sample would be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.
- 2.3.14 Water samples for laboratory measurement of chemical parameters would be collected in the preserved high density polythene bottles provided by the laboratory, packed in ice (cooled to 4 $^{\circ}$ C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the same day as the samples were collected.
- 2.3.15 Analysis of chemical parameters should be carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. Sufficient water samples should be collected at the ponds for carrying out the laboratory determination. The determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples.

PERFORMANCE LIMITS AND ACTION PLANS

2.3.16 **Table 2-5** shows the proposed Wetland Water Quality Performance Limits and the corresponding Contingency Plans. The proposed plans will be reviewed and updated on a quarterly basis by the ER based on the monitoring results and situation of the site in future. Any proposed changes, if necessary, would be agreed with ET, Ecologist and IEC and submitted to relevant Authority for approval.



Table 2-5	Water Quality Performance Limits and	Contingency	Plan
1 abic 2-3	water Quality I error mance Linnis and	Contingency	I Ian

Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Action
Flooding/ storm damage	NA	NA	Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER and the Contractor to damage review and determine damage. If necessary, ER to review design and agree mitigation measures with ETL, Ecologist and IEC, and the Contractor to undertake repairs/modifications.
Area of water coverage in wet season	<50%	Water in one of the ponds is isolated	• AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER to identify and review the problem. Action plan for limit level exceedance should be implemented in case further deterioration is expected.
Area of water coverage in dry season	<10%	Water depth in one of the ponds <100mm	 LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER to identify and review the problem. If necessary, ER to review design and agree on mitigation measures with ETL, Ecologist and IEC, and the Contractor to undertaken repairs/ medication to establish linkage for all water ponds.
pH Dissolved oxygen	pH value outside 6.0–8.5 ≤ 2 mg/L	pH value outside 5.5–9.0 ≤ 1 mg/L	 AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER to identify and review the problem. Action plan for limit level exceedance should be implemented in case further deterioration is expected. LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER to identify and review the problem and necessary agree on mitigation measures. The Contractor will be responsible to undertake repairs/ medication to resume the water quality.
Turbidity	According to the reference only an	e reference docum d no action level is	ents, turbidity is not a key parameter, it is for s set.
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	According to the reference only an	the reference documents, BOD is not a key parameter, it is f and no action level is set.	
Ammoniacal nitrogen Total oxidized nitrogen Total phosphorus Total reactive phosphorus (orthophosphate)	> 3 mg/L > 5 mg/L > 5 mg/L > 5 mg/L	> 6 mg/L > 10 mg/L > 10 mg/L > 10 mg/L	 AL: Double the monitoring frequency. Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER to identify and review the problem. Action plan for limit level exceedance should be implemented in case further deterioration is expected. LL: Discuss among ETL, Ecologist, IEC, ER to identify and review the problem. If necessary, ER to review design and agree on mitigation measures with ETL
			Ecologist and IEC, and the Contractor to undertaken repairs/ medication to establish linkage for all water ponds.



3. MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 **RESULT OF ECOLOGICAL MONITORING**

Monitoring of Vegetation Cover

3.1.1 Monitoring of vegetation cover is not required in the monitoring month. This survey should be conducted for each of the wetland pond at a six monthly intervals, i.e., at the end of the wet season (September) and the end of the dry season (March).

Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies

3.1.2 Monitoring of Dragonflies and Butterflies was undertaken within the WCA on *IIth* and *24th April* 2021, and both days were sunny during the time of monitoring.

Dragonflies

3.1.3 A total of 3 dragonfly and 1 damselfly species, including two target species Wandering Glider and Crimson Dropwing has been recorded during the time of monitoring, as such the action level have not been triggered in the Reporting Month. On the other hand, one exuviae of Crimson Dropwing has been found within the WCA. The dragonfly species recorded during the monitoring are summarized in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Dragonfly Species Recorded within the Wetland Compensation Area during the Monitoring Month

Common Name	Scientific Name	Chinese	Reference Conservation		Abundance	
		Name	Species*	Status#	11 th Apr	24 th Apr
Blue Dasher	Brachydiplax chalybea flavovittata	藍額疏脈蜻	Y	С	0	0
Common Red Skimmer	Orthetrum pruinosum neglectum	赤褐灰蜻	Y	А	0	0
Wandering Glider	Pantaia flavescens	黃蜻	Y	А	<mark>3</mark>	12
Pied Skimmer	Pseudothemis zonata	玉帶蜻	Y	С	0	0
Crimson Dropwing	Trithemis aurora	曉褐蜻	Y	А	<mark>15</mark>	21
Common Bluetail	Ischnura senegalensis	褐斑異痣蟌	N	А	<mark>6</mark>	12
Indigo Dropwing	Trithemis festiva	慶褐蜻	Ν	А	0	4
Total no. of species recorded				3	4	

* Species recorded during the baseline monitoring and referenced for in the contingency plan (Table 4-5 of the HCMP)

from AFCD's Biodiversity Database : A – abundant, C – common



Butterfly

3.1.4 The WCA was recorded with 7 butterfly species during the monitoring month, including three target

species, i.e., Common Mormon, Grass Yellow and Common Sailor, and hence the action level have not been triggered. Nonetheless, only a single or few individuals of each species has been recorded in the monitoring period. The butterfly species recorded during the monitoring are summarized in *Table 3-2*.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Chinese	Reference	Conservation	Abundance^
		Name	Species*	Status#	Tibunuanee
Common	Danilia nalutas nalutas	工世園神	V	VC	+
Mormon	Papillo polytes polytes	玉田鳥珠	I	٧C	
Common Grass	Europa hoogho hoogho	室 追	v	VC	+
Yellow	Eurema necade necade	見遼貝忉珠	I	٧C	
Angled Castor	Ariadne ariadne alterna	波蛺蝶	Y	С	Nil
Great Egg-fly	Hypolimnas bolina kezia	幻紫斑蛺蝶	Y	VC	Nil
Common Sailer	Neptis hylas hylas	中環蛺蝶	Y	VC	+
Common	Athuma navius navius	大 环	v		Nil
Sergeant	Ainyma perius perius	幺坏币软珠	1	UC	
Red Ring Skirt	Hestina assimilis assimilis	黑脈蛺蝶	Y	С	Nil
Indian cabbage	Pieris canidia canidia	東方菜粉蝶	N	C	+
white					
Dark-brand Bush	Mycalesis mineus mineus	小眉眼蝶	N	VC	+
Brown					
Common Mime	Chilasa clytia clytia	斑鳳蝶	N	С	+
Peacock Pansy	Junonia almana	美眼蛺蝶	N	C	+
Total no. of species recorded				7	

Table 3-2 Butterfly Species Recorded	within th	e Wetland	Compensation	Area	during	the
Monitoring Month						

* Species recorded during the baseline monitoring and referenced for in the contingency plan (Table 4-5 of the HCMP)

from AFCD's Biodiversity Database : UC – Uncommon, C – Common, VC – Very Common ^Relative Abundance: + rare, ++ infrequent, +++ common, ++++ very common

Monitoring of Amphibian

- 3.1.5 Day-time and night-time monitoring of amphibian were undertaken within the WCA on 24th April 2021. A total of 6 amphibian species were recorded in the reporting month and no sightings of juvenile or larvae was made. The record includes sighting of a Günther's Frog Sylvirana guentheri and egg pouch of Brown Tree Frog Polypedates megacephalus were noted in Pond C during the daytime monitoring.
- 3.1.6 Sightings of Brown Tree Frog *Polypedates megacephalusi*, Butler's Pigmy Frog *Microhyla butleri*, Marbled Pigmy Frog *Microhyla pulchra* and Günther's Frog *Sylvirana guentheri* were made during the night time monitoring, and vocal calls from Asian Common Toad *Duttaphrynus melanostictu* and Paddy Frog also been noted during the night time monitoring. Accordingly, the action level for this monitoring parameter has not been triggered. Photos recorded of those sighted are shown in below.





3.2 RESULT OF WATER QUALITY

3.2.1 In the Reporting Month, water quality monitoring was carried out on 19^{th} April 2021. Additional water quality measurement at the catchpits adjacent to the ponds was conducted in the Reporting Month. The monitoring results of in-situ measurements are summarized in *Table 3-3*. Detailed monitoring results including are shown in Appendix C.

Location	Water depth(m)	DO (Averaged) (mg/L)	Temp (Averaged) (°C)	Turbidity (Averaged) (NTU)	pH (Averaged) (unit)
Pond A	2.9	9.9	19.4	3.6	8.4
Pond B	2.9	10.3	19.2	5.2	8.3
Pond C	3.0	10.5	18.7	6.6	8.3
Pond D	2.5	10.7	18.8	12.9	8.3
Pond E	2.9	11.7	18.9	20.1	8.2
Pond F	2.7	9.6	20.1	2.6	8.3
Pond G	2.4	9.0	20.2	11.0	8.1
Catchpit of Pond C	0*				
Catchpit of Pond E	0*				
Catchpit 1 of Pond F	0*				
Catchpit 2 of Pond F	0*				
Catchpit of Pond G	0*				

Table 3-3Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results (in-situ measurements)

Remarks: (*) *During the water monitoring, the catchpit was dried up and sampling was unable to be carried out.*

3.2.2 As clarified by the Contractor and RE, the water surface area of each pond could be determined based on the measured water depth and then convert them to water surface area. The conversion table is shown in *Appendix D* and it is derived from the "Depth-Area Curve" in the HCMP construction drawings. The summary of area of water coverage of each pond in wet season is presented in *Table 3-4*.

	Surveying in the Reporting Month			
Location	Area of the Pond Full Coverage (m ²)	Water depth (m)	Area of Water Coverage by Calculation (m ²)	Area of water coverage (%)
Pond A	911.9	2.9	465.4	51%
Pond B	991.9	2.9	497.7	50%
Pond C	1090.0	3.0	593.7	54%
Pond D	1287.0	2.5	559.7	<u>43%</u>
Pond E	770.0	2.9	357.0	<u>46%</u>
Pond F	1123.0	2.7	558.1	50%
Pond G	931.0	2.4	449.9	<u>48%</u>

 Table 3-4
 Summary Area of Water Coverage of each Pond (Wet Season)

Remark: bold and underlined indicated Action Level exceedance.

3.2.3 It is noted that Action Level exceedances were triggered in Ponds D, E and G, which areas of water coverage of each pond as calculated by conversion were less than 50% in wet season. According to the weather data from HKO⁺, April 2021 continued to be much warmer than usual in Hong Kong and April 2021 was also much drier than usual with a total rainfall of only 32.5 millimetres. Owing to the natural phenomenon, it is concluded that the exceedances were not related to the project. The ET will keep on monitoring the water depth on each pond and action will be undertaken (such as manual water adding subject to agree by ER and the Contractor) when further deterioration is observed in the subsequent month.

+ https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/wxinfo/pastwx/mws2021/mws202104.htm



3.3 SITE INSPECTION

3.3.1 Site inspection for the establishment of WCA was conducted by ET on 9th, 15th, 22nd and 28th April 2021 there was no sign of flooding/ storm damage observed. The planted vegetation in the WCA was visually in fair condition and no significant litter or vandalism were observed. Besides, it was noticed that greenish color appeared in the ponds, particularly in Ponds E, F and G, there was no pollution incident observed and color in pond water was considered as natural phenomenon. Photographic records showing the condition of the WCA and general view of the existing vegetation in the Reporting Month are shown in *Appendix E*.

3.4 CONCLUSION

<u>Ecological</u>

3.4.1 Ecological monitoring has been undertaken within the Wetland Construction Area during the reporting month, i.e., 11th and 24th April 2021, and covered the wildlife groups as specified in the HCMP, i.e., dragonfly (including exuviae), butterfly and amphibian. The findings of the monitoring have been evaluated against the performance limit and contingency plan, and follow-up action would not be required because neither the action or limit level of the monitored faunal groups were reached.

Water Quality

- 3.4.2 In-situ measurement of water quality monitoring has been undertaken within the Wetland Construction Area during the reporting month. The findings of the monitoring have been evaluated against the performance limit and contingency plan, and follow-up action would not be required because neither the action or limit level were reached.
- 3.4.3 In the Reporting Month, Action Level exceedances were triggered in Ponds D, E and G, which areas of water coverage of each pond as calculated by conversion were less than 50% in wet season. According to the weather data from HKO, April 2021 continued to be much warmer than usual in Hong Kong and April 2021 was also much drier than usual with a total rainfall of only 32.5 millimetres. Owing to the natural phenomenon, it is concluded that the exceedances were not related to the project. The ET will keep on monitoring the water depth on each pond and action will be undertaken (such as manual water adding subject to agree by ER and the Contractor) when further deterioration is observed in the subsequent month.



Appendix A

Layout Plan of the Wetland Compensation Area



Appendix B

Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: CLIENT:	BEN TAM ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING	WORK ORDER:	HK2103650
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH: LABORATORY: DATE RECEIVED: DATE OF ISSUE:	0 HONG KONG 26-Jan-2021 02-Feb-2021

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client. The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

Equipment Type:	Multifunctional Meter
Service Nature:	Performance Check
Scope:	Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature
Brand Name/ Model No.: Serial No./ Equipment No.: Date of Calibration:	YSI Professional DSS 20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQW018) 01-February-2021

GENERAL COMMENTS

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

ha A

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER:	HK2103650		AL
SUB-BATCH: DATE OF ISSUE: CLIENT:	0 02-Feb-2021 ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT	SERVICES AND CONSULTING	
Equipment Type:	Multifunctional Meter		
Brand Name/ Model No.: Serial No./ Equipment No.:	YSI Professional DSS		
	20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQW	018)	
Date of Calibration:	01-February-2021	Date of Next Calibration:	01-May-2021

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

. ,		
Expected Reading (µS/cm)	Displayed Reading (µ S/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	145.2	-1.2
6667	6679	+0.2
12890	12970	+0.6
58670	57892	-1.3
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

en Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.86	3.92	+0.06
5.32	5.28	-0.04
8.87	8.82	-0.05
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H: B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)		
4.O	4.16	+0.16		
7.0	7.06	+0.06		
10.0	10.02	+0.02		
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ma Siz

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

HK2103650		l.	
0 02-Feb-2021 ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMEN	T SERVICES AND CONSULTING	× ×	
Multifunctional Meter			
YSI Professional DSS			
20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQW	018)		
01-February-2021	Date of Next Calibration:	01-May-2021	
	HK2103650 0 02-Feb-2021 ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT Multifunctional Meter YSI Professional DSS 20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQW 01-February-2021	HK2103650 0 02-Feb-2021 ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING Multifunctional Meter YSI Professional DSS 20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQW018) 01-February-2021 Date of Next Calibration:	HK2103650 0 02-Feb-2021 ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING Multifunctional Meter YSI Professional DSS 20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQWU18) 01-February-2021 Date of Next Calibration: 01-May-2021

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)		
0	0.53			
4	4.06	+1.5		
40	39.72	-0.7		
80	83.12	+ 3.9		
400	425.24	+6.3		
800	789.11	-1.4		
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0		

Salinity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)		
0	0.01			
10	9.97	-0.3		
20	19.92	-O.4		
30	30.76	+2.5		
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ma Ain

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER:	HK2103650			LS					
SUB-BATCH: DATE OF ISSUE: CLIENT:	0 02-Feb-2021 ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMEN	T SERVICES AND CONSULTING							
Equipment Type:	Multifunctional Meter								
Brand Name/ Model No.:	YSI Professional DSS								
Serial No./ Fauipment No.:	20J101862/ 15H103928 (EQW018)								
Date of Calibration:	01-February-2021	Date of Next Calibration:	01-May-2021						
PARAMETERS:									

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)							
10.5	10.3	-0.2							
20.5	20.2	-0.3							
39.5	39.1	-0.4							
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0							

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ma Siz

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Appendix C

Water Quality Monitoring Data

Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works Monitoring Report for the Wetland Compensation Area (No.7) – April 2021

Summary of Wetland Water Quality Data

Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp) (oC)	DO (m	ng/L)	DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		Salinity		рН	
Dond A	10.10	2.0	19.4	10.4	9.9	0.0	107.8	107.0	3.5	3.6	0.17	0.2	8.45	Q /
r olla A	10.10	2.9	19.4	19.4	9.92	9.9	108.0	107.9	3.6	5.0	0.17	0.2	8.4	0.4
Pond B 10:25	2.0	19.2	10.2	10.24	10.24 10.3	111.0	111 1	.1 5.1 5.2	5.2 0.	0.12	0.1	8.35	8.3	
	2.9	19.2	19.2	10.26		111.2	111.1			0.12	0.1	8.3		
Dond C	D 10 10 10	3.0	18.7	107	10.7 10.47	<u>.47</u> 10.5	112.2	112.1	6.5	6.6	0.13	0.1	8.25	8.3
Polid C	10:40		18.7	18.7	10.46		112.0	112.1	6.6		0.13	0.1	8.28	
Dand D	10.55	2.5	18.8	10 0	10.64	10.7	114.5	1140	114.0 12.9	12.0	0.13	0.1	8.33	8.3
Polla D	10:55	2.5	18.8	10.0	10.7	10.7	115.0	114.8	12.8	12.9	0.13	0.1	8.35	
Dond E	11.10	2.0	18.9	19.0	11.61 11.7	126.0	126.0	20.1	20.1	0.12	0.1	8.2	0.0	
Pond E 11:10	11:10	2.9	18.9	18.9	11.87	11./	127.8	120.9	20.0	20.1	0.12	0.1	8.22	0.2
Dand E	D 15 11.05	2.7	20.1	20.1	9.55	9.6	105.3	105.4	2.5	2.6 0.10	0.16	0.2	8.32	8.3
Polia F	11:23		20.1	20.1	9.56		105.4	105.4	2.6		0.16		8.36	
Dond C	11.40	2.4	20.2	20.2	9.06	9.0	100.5	100.2 10.9	10.9	11.0	0.18	0.2	8.15	8.1
Polia G	11:40		20.2	20.2	9		99.8	100.2	11.0		0.18		8.13	
Pond C														
Catchpit														
Pond E														
Catchpit														
Pond F														
Catchpit 1														
Pond F														
Catchpit 2														
Pond G														
Catchpit														

Appendix D

Conversion Sheet for Area of Water Coverage in each Pond

Pond A Pond B Pond C Pond D Pond E Pond F Pond G Water Water Water Water Water Water Water Water depth Area 2 Area 2 Area 2 Area 2 Area 2 Area_2 Area 2 Level Level Level Level Level Level Level $D(m^2)$ $D(m^2)$ $D(m^2)$ $D(m^2)$ (m) D (m²) $D(m^2)$ $D(m^2)$ (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) 4.0 11.5 911.9 11.5 991.9 11.5 1090.0 11.5 1287.0 11.5 770.0 11.5 1123.0 11.5 931.0 866.5 1020.8 897.4 3.9 11.4 11.4 935.8 11.4 11.4 1216.2 11.4 711.0 11.4 1065.6 11.4 11.3 1008.2 3.8 821.0 11.3 879.7 11.3 951.6 11.3 1145.4 11.3 652.0 11.3 11.3 863.8 3.7 11.2 775.5 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 823.6 882.4 11.2 1074.6 593.0 950.8 830.2 534.0 893.4 3.6 11.1 730.0 11.1 767.5 11.1 813.2 11.1 1003.8 11.1 11.1 11.1 796.6 11.0 11.0 475.0 11.0 3.5 684.5 11.0 711.4 11.0 744.0 11.0 933.0 836.0 11.0 763.0 3.4 10.9 646.2 10.9 673.6 10.9 713.9 10.9 895.7 10.9 455.3 10.9 801.3 10.9 734.5 3.3 10.8 607.9 10.8 635.8 10.8 683.9 10.8 858.3 10.8 435.7 10.8 766.5 10.8 706.1 10.7 416.0 10.7 10.7 3.2 10.7 569.6 598.0 10.7 653.8 10.7 821.0 10.7 731.8 677.6 3.1 10.6 531.3 10.6 560.2 10.6 623.7 10.6 783.7 10.6 396.3 10.6 697.1 10.6 649.1 3.0 10.5 493.0 10.5 522.4 10.5 593.7 746.3 10.5 376.7 10.5 662.3 10.5 10.5 620.7 465.4 709.0 10.4 2.9 10.4 10.4 497.7 10.4 563.6 10.4 10.4 357.0 627.6 10.4 592.2 437.8 2.8 10.3 10.3 473.0 10.3 533.5 10.3 671.7 10.3 337.3 10.3 592.9 10.3 563.7 2.7 10.2 410.2 10.2 448.3 10.2 634.3 317.7 10.2 558.1 10.2 503.5 10.2 10.2 535.3 10.1 523.4 2.6 10.1 382.6 10.1 423.6 10.1 473.4 10.1 597.0 10.1 298.0 10.1 506.8 2.5 10.0 355.0 10.0 398.9 10.0 443.3 10.0 559.7 10.0 278.3 10.0 488.7 10.0 478.3 2.4 9.9 331.2 9.9 378.9 9.9 413.3 9.9 522.3 9.9 258.7 9.9 453.9 9.9 449.9 2.3 9.8 307.4 358.9 383.2 485.0 9.8 239.0 419.2 421.4 9.8 9.8 9.8 9.8 9.8 2.2 9.7 283.6 9.7 338.9 9.7 353.1 9.7 447.7 9.7 219.3 9.7 384.5 9.7 392.9 9.6 9.6 9.6 2.1 9.6 259.8 318.9 323.1 9.6 410.3 199.7 9.6 349.7 9.6 364.5 2.09.5 236.0 9.5 298.9 9.5 293.0 9.5 373.0 9.5 167.0 9.5 315.0 9.5 336.0 1.9 9.4 222.3 9.4 280.6 9.4 276.8 9.4 353.4 9.4 157.9 9.4 298.1 9.4 319.1 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 208.6 9.3 333.9 9.3 9.3 281.3 1.8 262.3 260.6 148.7 302.2 1.7 9.2 194.9 9.2 244.0 9.2 244.4 9.2 314.3 9.2 139.6 9.2 264.4 9.2 285.3 1.6 9.1 181.2 9.1 225.7 9.1 228.2 9.1 294.7 9.1 130.5 9.1 247.5 9.1 268.4 1.5 9.0 9.0 275.1 9.0 167.5 9.0 207.4 9.0 212.0 121.3 9.0 230.7 9.0 251.5 1.4 8.9 153.8 8.9 191.3 8.9 195.8 8.9 255.6 8.9 112.2 8.9 213.8 8.9 234.6 1.3 8.8 140.1 8.8 175.2 8.8 179.6 8.8 236.0 8.8 103.1 8.8 196.9 8.8 217.7 93.9 216.4 1.2 8.7 126.4 8.7 159.1 8.7 163.3 8.7 8.7 8.7 180.1 8.7 200.7 1.1 8.6 112.7 8.6 143.0 8.6 147.1 8.6 196.8 84.8 8.6 163.2 8.6 183.8 8.6 1.0 8.5 99.0 8.5 126.9 8.5 130.9 8.5 177.3 8.5 75.7 8.5 146.3 8.5 166.9 0.9 8.4 90.0 8.4 111.7 8.4 114.7 8.4 157.7 8.4 66.5 8.4 129.5 8.4 150.0 8.3 8.3 96.5 8.3 98.5 8.3 8.3 8.3 0.8 81.0 138.1 57.4 112.6 8.3 133.1 8.2 0.7 8.2 72.0 8.2 81.3 8.2 82.3 8.2 8.2 48.3 8.2 95.7 118.5 116.2 8.1 63.0 8.1 99.0 8.1 8.1 78.9 0.6 66.1 8.1 66.1 8.1 39.1 8.1 99.3 0.5 8.0 54.0 8.0 50.9 8.0 49.9 8.0 79.4 8.0 30.0 8.0 62.0 8.0 82.4

Area of Water Coverage Conversion Sheet

Remarks:

Area of water coverage <50%

Area of water coverage <10%

Appendix E

Photographic Records

