

東業德勤測試顧問有限公司 ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com



China Harbour - Zhen Hua Joint Venture

Contract No.: CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018)

TSEUNG KWAN O AREA 137 FILL BANK

QUARTERLY EM&A SUMMARY REPORT NO.9

(FROM MAY 2019 TO JULY 2019)

Prepared by:

TANG, Chung Hang Environmental Officer

Checked by:

ĽAU, Chi Leung

Environmental Team Leader

Issue Date: 20 August 2019

Report No: ENA95901



Ref.: CEDPFRSFEM02_0_0703L.19

23 August 2019

By Email and Fax No.: 2695 3944

ETS-Testconsult Limited 8/F, Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street Fo Tan, Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. C.L. Lau

Dear Mr. Lau,

Re: Contract No. CV/2015/07

Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016 – 2018)

Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No. 9 (May to July 2019) for the Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

Reference is made to your submission of the draft Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No. 9 (May to July 2019) for the TKO Area 137 Fill Bank received by email on 20 August 2019 and the subsequent revision on 23 August 2019.

We are pleased to inform you that we have no further comment on the quarterly EM&A summary report.

Thank you for your attention. Please do not hesitate to contact our Jason Lai or the undersigned should you have any queries.

Yours sincerely, For and on behalf of Ramboll Hong Kong Limited

F. C. Tsang

Independent Environmental Checker

c.c. CEDD

Attn: Mr. T M Yeung

Fax No.: 2714 0113

CHZHJV

Attn: Mr. S W Sung

By Email

Q:\Projects\CEDPFRSFEM02\02 Project Management\02 Corr\CEDPFRSFEM02 0 0703L.19.doc



Contract No.: CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

| TABLE C | F CONTENTS | Page |
|------------|--|--|
| EXECUT | IVE SUMMARY | DDUCTION 1 DECT INFORMATION DOPE of the Project 1 DECT Organization and Management Structure 1 Description 1 Description 2 Description 2 Description 3 Description 3 Description 3 Description 4 Description 4 Description 5 Description 5 Description 6 Description 7 Description 7 Description 8 Description 8 Description 9 Descr |
| 1.0 2.0 | INTRODUCTION PROJECT INFORMATION | 1 |
| | 2.1 Scope of the Project | 1 |
| | 2.2 Site Description | 1 |
| | 2.3 Project Activities | 2 |
| | 2.4 Project Organization and Management Structure | |
| | 2.5 Contact Details of Key Personnel | 2 |
| 3.0 | SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS | |
| | 3.1 EM&A Programme | |
| | 3.2 Monitoring Stations and Parameters | |
| | 3.3 Monitoring Methodology and Calibration Details | |
| | 3.4 Environmental Quality Performance Limits (Action/Limit Levels) | |
| | 3.5 Environmental Mitigation Measures | 3 |
| 4.0 | MONITORING RESULTS | |
| | 4.1 Air Quality | |
| | 4.2 Noise | |
| | 4.3 Marine Water Quality | 4 |
| 5.0 | INSPECTION RESULTS | _ |
| | 5.1 Inspection Results | |
| | | |
| | · | - |
| 6.0 | | |
| | · | - |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ' - ' |
| | · | |
| 7.0 | | |
| 7.0 | COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION | 8-9 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

APPENDIX

| Α | Organization Chart and Lines of Communication |
|----|--|
| В | Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Data |
| С | Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data |
| D1 | Graphical Plots of Impact Marine Water Quality Monitoring Data |
| D2 | Graphical Plots of Impact Marine Water Quality Monitoring Data(3RS project) |
| E | Environmental Quality Performance (Action / Limit Levels) |
| F | Event-Action Plans |
| G | Work Programme |
| Н | Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) |
| I1 | Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids in the Quarter |
| 12 | Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids in the Quarter (3RS project) |
| J | Site General Layout Plan |
| K | Weather Condition |
| | |

Figures

| Figure 1 | Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations |
|----------|---|
| Figure 2 | Noise Environmental Monitoring Station |
| Figure 3 | Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations - Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank |
| Figure 4 | Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations (3RS project) |



Contract No.: CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

| Tables | |
|--------|---|
| 2.1 | Contact Details of Key Personnel |
| 4.1 | Summary of Number of Exceedances for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Monitoring |
| 4.2 | Comparison of Baseline and Various Period of Average 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Impact Monitoring Results |
| 4.3 | Summary of Impact Monitoring Results of Noise Daytime Monitoring |
| 4.4 | Total Number of Marine Water Quality Exceedances in the Quarter |
| 4.5 | Total Number of Marine Water Quality Exceedances in the Quarter (3RS project) |
| 4.6 | Summary of Statistically Significant Results of SS |
| 4.7 | Summary of Statistically Significant Results of SS (3RS project) |
| 5.1 | Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status |
| 5.2 | Estimated Offsite Waste Disposal in the Reporting Quarter |
| 6.1 | Summary of Environmental Complaints and Prosecutions |



Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is Quarterly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Summary Report No.9 prepared by ETS-Testconsult Ltd (ET) for the "Contract No: CV/2015/07 –Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) – Tseung Kwan O (TKO) Area 137 Fill Bank" (The Project).

This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted during the operation phase of Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137 from May 2019 to July 2019.

Site Activities

As informed by the Contractor, the site activities in this reporting quarter were as below:

May 2019

- 1. Operation of the TKO137 Fill Bank.
- 2. Delivery of public fill to Taishan;
- 3. Operation of dewatering plant and expanded dewatering plant
- 4. Operation of bentonite pool.
- 5. Concrete block breaking work.
- 6. Crushing plant operation.
- 7. Carrying out defects of Removal of public fill at Portion A6
- 8.Provision of photoelectric height limits warning system at the existing height restriction gantries;
- 9.Re-construction of sampling platforms at TKOFB:
- 10. Break up of concrete pavement at Portion A5c at TKOFB;
- 11. Replacement of Y40 rebar with Y50 rebar at the existing wheel washing bay at TKOFB;
- 12.Enhancement Rainwater Collection and Recycling Facility at TKOFB
- 13. Construction of concrete pavement at expanded dewatering plant
- 14. Repair works for damaged at TKOFB caused by Super Typhoon
- 15. Installation of LED Display Board;
- 16. Installation of Temporary Accommodation to CEDD Site Staff at TKOFB;
- 17. Carry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for 3RS project

June 2019

- 1. Operation of the TKO137 Fill Bank.
- 2. Delivery of public fill to Taishan;
- 3. Operation of dewatering plant and expanded dewatering plant
- 4. Operation of bentonite pool.
- 5. Concrete block breaking work.
- 6. Crushing plant operation.
- 7.Carrying out defects of Removal of public fill at Portion A6
- Provision of photoelectric height limits warning system at the existing height restriction gantries;
- 9. Re-construction of sampling platforms at TKOFB:
- 10. Break up of concrete pavement at Portion A5c at TKOFB;
- 11. Replacement of Y40 rebar with Y50 rebar at the existing wheel washing bay at TKOFB;
- 12. Enhancement Rainwater Collection and Recycling Facility at TKOFB
- 13. Construction of concrete pavement at expanded dewatering plant
- 14. Repair works for damaged at TKOFB caused by Super Typhoon
- 15.Installation of LED Display Board;
- 16. Installation of Temporary Accommodation to CEDD Site Staff at TKOFB:
- 17. Carry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for 3RS project
- 18. Demolition and Construction of Recorder House A2 at TKOFB

July 2019

- 1. Operation of the TKO137 Fill Bank.
- 2.Delivery of public fill to Taishan;
- 3. Operation of dewatering plant and expanded dewatering plant
- 4. Operation of bentonite pool.
- 5. Concrete block breaking work.
- 6. Crushing plant operation.
- 7. Carrying out defects of Removal of public fill at Portion A6
- 8.Provision of photoelectric height limits warning system at the existing height restriction
- 9. Re-construction of sampling platforms at TKOFB;
- 10. Break up of concrete pavement at Portion A5c at TKOFB;
- 11. Replacement of Y40 rebar with Y50 rebar at the existing wheel washing bay at TKOFB;
- 12. Enhancement Rainwater Collection and Recycling Facility at TKOFB
- 13. Construction of concrete pavement at expanded dewatering plant
- 14. Repair works for damaged at TKOFB caused by Super Typhoon
- 15. Installation of LED Display Board;
- 16. Installation of Temporary Accommodation to CEDD Site Staff at TKOFB;
- 17. Carry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for 3RS project



Contract No.: CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

Dump truck traffic and hauling activities at Barge Handling Area (BHA) were the major dust sources. Barge delivery of fill material was also undertaken in the reporting quarter. Besides the Fill Bank operation, the other dust sources near TKO Area 137 also included operation of C&DMSF and dumping activities at the SENT Landfill.

The desilting facilities were in proper operation to avoid silt discharge and the silt curtains were properly installed. There was no sediment plume observed during the monitoring events.

The major noise sources during the reporting quarter were the dump truck traffic and construction activities near the site egress. Noise impact on the sensitive receivers was insignificant in the reporting quarter according to the results of noise monitoring and site inspections.

Environmental Monitoring Works

Noise Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels for noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting quarter.

Air Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring in this quarter.

Marine Water Quality Monitoring

According to the summary of marine water monitoring results, no exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded in this reporting quarter.

Environmental Complaints, Notification of summons and successful prosecutions

Five complaints were received in this quarter. Besides, no notification of summons or successful prosecutions with respect to environmental issues was received in this quarter.



Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

1.0 INTRODUCTION

China Harbour – Zhen Hua Joint Venture (CHZH-JV) appointed Environmental Team (ET) of ETS-Testconsult Limited (ETL) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) for the "Contract No: CV/2015/07 –Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) – Tseung Kwan O (TKO) Area 137 Fill Bank" (The Project).

In accordance with the Environmental Permit (No.: EP-134/2002/M) (the EP), an EM&A programme should be implemented in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual of the approved EIA report (Registration No. AEIAR-060/2002). The EM&A programme for this study as stated in Section 2.3.1 of the EM&A Manual covers the following environmental aspects during the establishment, operation and removal phases of the Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137:

- Fugitive Dust:
- Noise generation from onsite activities;
- Water Quality; and
- Landscape and Visual.

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and water quality and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, landscape and visual, and waste management. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event/Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.

Baseline monitoring was completed in August and September 2002 by MateriaLab. Action and Limit Levels were established for air and water quality parameters based on the baseline monitoring results.

This quarterly report documented the findings of EM&A Works conducted during the operation phase of Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137 from May 2019 to July 2019.

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Scope of the Project

The scale and scope of the Project as stated in the EP include:

- Site clearance:
- Construction of a temporary storm water system;
- Stockpiling of 6 million m³ of public fill;
- Setting up two barging points: one at the Tseung Kwan O Basin (TKO Basin) and one at the Construction and Demolition Material Sorting Facility (C&DMSF) for transporting the stockpiled public fill by barges;
- Construction and operation of a Construction and Demolition Material Sorting Facility (C&DMSF);
- Setting up a Construction and Demolition Material Crushing Facility at the TKO Basin; and
- Remove the temporary fill bank.

2.2 Site Description

Tseung Kwan O Area 137 is located at the southern end of Wan Po Road. In the vicinity of the site are other industrial uses such as SENT landfill, TKO Industrial Estate, etc. Both Island Resort and Fullview Garden are also situated at more than 1.8km from the site. Other existing ASRs and NSRs, including resident developments and schools, are located at a further distance away from TKO Area 137.

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 1 of 9



Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

2.3 Work Programme

Details of work programme in this quarter are shown in Appendix G.

2.4 Project Organization and Management Structure

The project organization chart is shown in Appendix A.

2.5 Contact Details of Key Personnel

The key personnel contact names and telephone numbers are shown in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

| Organization | Name of Key Staff | Project Role | Tel. No. | Fax No. |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| CEDD | T M Yeung, Norelle Li May Lau, James Sze, Phoebe Tang | Engineer's Representative | 2762 5555 | 2714 0113 |
| IEC (Ramboll) F C Tsang | | IEC | 3465 2888 | 3465 2899 |
| Contractor (CHZH-JV)) | Michael Cheung | Project Director | 2887 8118 | 2512 0427 |
| ET (ETL) | C. L. Lau | ET Leader | 2946 7791 | 2695 3944 |

3.0 SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS

3.1 EM&A Programme

The EM&A programme required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and marine water quality and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, marine water quality, landscape and visual, and waste management. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event/Action Plans;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
- Environmental requirements in contract documents.

The advice on implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 5 of the Report.

3.2 Monitoring Stations and Parameters

The EM&A Manual designates several locations to monitor environmental impacts in terms of air quality, noise and water quality due to the Project. The description and detailed locations of monitoring stations for air quality, noise and marine water quality are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3 and relevant sections of this Report.

3.3 Monitoring Methodology and Calibration Details

All monitoring works were conducted and monitoring equipment was calibrated in according with the EM&A Manual.

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 2 of 9

Contract No.: CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

3.4 Environmental Quality Performance Limits (Action/Limit Levels)

The environmental quality performance limits, i.e. Action/Limit Levels (AL Levels) were derived from the baseline monitoring results. If the measured environmental quality parameters exceed the AL Levels, the respective action plan will be implemented. The AL Levels for each monitoring parameter are given in Appendix E. The event action plan is given in Appendix F.

3.5 Environmental Mitigation Measures

Relevant mitigation measures were recommended in the EM&A Manual for the Contractor to implement. A list of mitigation measures is given in Appendix H.

4.0 MONITORING RESULTS

4.1 Air Quality

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hr and 24-hr TSP air quality monitoring were conducted three times and once per six days correspondingly.

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring in this quarter. The trend of air quality during the reporting quarter is present in Appendix B. Wind data included wind speed and wind direction were extracted from Tseung Kwan O Station of Hong Kong Observatory and presented in Appendix K.

Major dust sources in the Fill Bank were dump truck traffic and hauling activities at BHA.

Table 4.1 presents the number of exceedances recorded in each month of the reporting quarter. The number of monitoring event included regular monitoring events and additional ones.

Table 4.1 Summary of Number of Exceedances for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Monitoring

| | table in the diministry of the internation of a proceduration of the area and a real members in the international grant and a second an | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Monitoring | Level of | May 2019 | June 2019 | July 2019 | |
| Parameter | Exceedance | | | | |
| 24-hr TSP | No of monitoring | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| | events | | | | |
| | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1-hr TSP | No of monitoring | 16 | 15 | 15 | |
| | events | | | | |
| | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Table 4.2 presents the 1-hr and 24-hr TSP averages in the baseline period and for each month in the reporting quarter. It was found that the 1-hr TSP averages at both stations in the reporting quarter were higher than the baseline levels but they were within the AL Levels. Besides, the 24-hr TSP average results were below the baseline level and within the AL Levels. As a result, the Contractor should provide more mitigation measures refer to the EM&A Manual to avoid dust generation.

Table 4.2 Comparison of Baseline and Various Period of Averaged 1-hr and 24-hr TSP Impact monitoring Results

| monitoring results | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|--|
| Period | 1-hr TSP (μg/m³) | | 24-hr TSP (μg/m³) | | |
| renod | TKO-A1 | TKO-A2a | TKO-A1 | TKO-A2a | |
| Baseline (29/08 – 13/09) | 195 | | 123 | | |
| May 2019 | 173 | 158 | 92 | 84 | |
| June 2019 | 163 | 160 | 82 | 88 | |
| July 2019 | 187 | 202 | 89 | 93 | |

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 3 of 9

Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

4.2 Noise

Noise monitoring was required to be conducted at least once per month. Only daytime noise was monitored in the reporting quarter.

All recorded noise levels complied with the AL Levels. The registered noise levels in the past three months are plotted in Appendices C. Table 4.3 presents the limit level and average impact noise monitoring results during the reporting quarter.

Table 4.3 Summary of Impact Monitoring results of Noise Daytime Monitoring

| Monitoring | Limit Level | May 2019 | June 2019 | July2019 | |
|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Location | | Leq, dB(A) | | | |
| TKO-N1 | 75 | 64.8 | 69.8 | 64.3 | |

The major noise sources in the reporting quarter were dump truck traffic and construction activities near the site egress. The noise impact was insignificant as the Fill Bank was remote from sensitive receivers.

4.3 Marine Water Quality

In accordance with the EM&A Manual, the marine water quality monitoring was conducted at the monitoring station (M4) and the control station (C1) in the reporting guarter.

Impact marine water quality monitoring was conducted three days per week. Measurements were taken at both mid-ebb and mid-flood tides at three depths (i.e. 1m below surface, mid depth and 1m above seabed). The AL Levels are included in Appendix E.

According to Environmental Permit (Permit no.:EP-134/2002/M) Condition 3.2, water quality survey/monitoring shall be conducted at control station C1a, monitoring stations M4a and M5 for the period from two weeks before commencement of operation of the additional 5 barging points to 4 weeks after cessation of their operation. The water quality survey/monitoring frequency and parameters at stations C1a, M4a and M5 shall be same as the requirements set out in the EM&A Manual and the monitoring results shall be incorporated in the monthly EM&A reports.

Due to "Hong Kong International Airport, Three Runway System Project Contract 3206 – Main Reclamation Works "(3RS project) operation of the additional barging point at TKO Area 137, the ET started monitoring events at the impact station M4a, M5 and the control station C1a from 14 May 2018 onwards.

Table 4.4 presents the total number of marine water quality exceedances in the reporting quarter. The trend of marine water quality in the past three months is depicted in Appendix D1.

Table 4.4 Total Number of Marine Water Quality Exceedances in the Quarter

| Parameter | Exceedance Level | May 2019 | June 2019 | July 2019 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Number of monitor | ing days | 14 | 12 | 13 |
| Dissolved Oxygen, DO (S&M) | Action Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dissolved Oxygen, DO (B) | Action Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turbidity | Action Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Suspended Solids, SS | Action Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Number Exceedances | Action Limit | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 4 of 9

Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

Table 4.5 presents the total number of marine water quality exceedances (3RS project) in the reporting quarter. The trend of marine water quality in the past three months is depicted in Appendix D2.

Table 4.5 Total Number of Marine Water Quality Exceedances (3RS project) in the Quarter

| Table to Tetal Hamber of Marine Hatter Quanty Exceedings (of the projectly in the Quanter | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | Exceedance Level | May 2019 | June 2019 | July 2019 |
| Number of monitor | ing days | 14 | 12 | 13 |
| Dissolved | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oxygen, DO (S&M) | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dissolved | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oxygen, DO (B) | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turbidity | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Suspended | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solids, SS | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Number | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exceedances | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 |

A comparison between the quarterly mean/median of SS and the 1.3 times of the baseline mean was made for each tide at each station. The statistical analysis results are given in Appendix I1 and it shows that a generally better marine quality was recorded in the reporting quarter in respect to 130% of the baseline mean. Monitoring stations with significant difference (p<0.05) is summarized in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Summary of Statistically Significant Results of SS

| Monitoring Station | Significant difference? | | | Significant difference? | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|
| | Mid-ebb | Mid-flood | | | |
| C1 | X | X | | | |
| M4 | X | X | | | |

A comparison between the quarterly mean/median of SS and the 1.3 times of the baseline mean was made for each tide at each station. The statistical analysis results (3RS project) are given in Appendix I2 and it shows that a generally better marine quality was recorded in the reporting quarter in respect to 130% of the baseline mean. Monitoring stations with significant difference (p<0.05) is summarized in Table 4.7.

Table 4.7 Summary of Statistically Significant Results of SS (3RS project)

| Monitoring Station | Significant difference? | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| | Mid-ebb | Mid-flood | |
| C1a | X | X | |
| M4a | X | X | |
| M5 | X | X | |

5.0 INSPECTION RESULTS

5.1 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

ET conducted weekly site inspections to monitor the Contractor's implementation of environmental mitigation measures. After each site inspection, the Contractor was notified of ET's observations and recommendations. A corrective action plan detailing the environmental observations was prepared by ET and the Contractor then completed this plan to propose/report their remedial works.

Air quality was the major environmental issue in the reporting quarter. The Contractor generally implemented most of the environmental mitigation measures in the reporting quarter. Dump truck traffic was the major dust source in the Fill Bank. Generally, the Contractor implemented adequate dust mitigation measures in the reporting quarter including dampening of haul roads, water spraying

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 5 of 9



Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

on the truckloads, operation of automatic wheel washing facilities and mist spraying systems, dampening of fill material prior to handling or stockpiling, etc.

Dump truck traffic and construction activities near the site egress were the major noise sources. As the Fill Bank was remote from the nearby NSRs, the noise impact was minimal. The powered mechanical equipment were generally operated and maintained properly.

Regarding the observations about the damaged silt curtain, the Contractor was reminded to maintain the silt curtain properly to serve the function of refuse containment boom to confine floating refuse. Furthermore, Dust emission was found upward trend, the Contractor was reminded to increase the watering to avoid dust emission.

Although there were a few observations regarding dust control, such as fugitive dust emission and accumulation of fill materials, the Contractor rectified most of these problems. Besides, the Contractor should increase the site watering in order to minimize the fugitive dust emissions.

The germination rate on the panel was satisfactory in this reporting quarter. The Contractor was reminded to maintain the panel properly.

5.2 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

The status of licences and permits is summarized in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Summary of environmental licensing and permit status

| Description | Permit No. | Valid | Month | Section |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|--|
| | | From | То | |
| Environmental Permit | EP- 134/2002/ M | 17/12/18 | | Site clearance Construction of a temporary storm water system Stockpiling of 6 million m3 of public fill Setting up two barging points for transporting the stockpiled public fill by barges Setting up a temporary barging point at the existing Explosive Off-loading Barging Point for the month of May 2004 to December 2004 for transporting the stockpiled public fill by barge Construction of operation of a construction and Demolition Material Sorting Facility (C&DMSF) Setting up a Construction and Demolition Material Crushing Facility at the TKO Basin Remove the temporary fill bank |
| Chemical Waste Producer | 5919-839- C4181-01 | 19/04/17 | - | Spent battery cell containing heavy metals and spent lubricating oil |
| Marine Dumping Permit | EP/MD/19- 115 | 03/04/19 | 30/06/19 | Approval for dumping 3,000,000 tons (approximately equal to 1,666,667 cu.m. bulked quantity) of Public Fill (Reclamation Materials) from Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank and Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank to designated dumping area at Guanghaiwan of Taishan |
| Marine Dumping Permit | EP/MD/20- 028 | 08/07/19 | 30/09/19 | Approval for dumping 2,000,000 tons (approximately equal to 1,111,111 cu.m. bulked quantity) of Public Fill (Reclamation Materials) from Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank and Tuen Mun Area 38 Fill Bank to designated dumping area at Guanghaiwan of Taishan |

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 6 of 9



Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

| Effluent Discharge License | WT000291 78-2017 | 27/09/17 | 30/09/22 | Effluent, Surface Run-off, and all other wastewater discharges from screen and sedimentation tank |
|--|---------------------|----------|----------|---|
| Billing Account for Waste Disposal | 7027643 | 22/05/17 | | |
| Notification Pursuant to Section 3(1) of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) | 415682 | 12/04/17 | | |
| Construction Noise Permit | GW- RE0401-19 | 27/05/19 | 31/10/19 | |

5.3 Advice on Solids and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor usually disposed of non-inert waste, including general refuse and materials segregated from the existing stockpiles, to SENT landfill. Table 5.2 summarizes data on offsite waste disposal in the quarter.

Table 5.2 Estimated Offsite Waste Disposal in the Reporting Quarter

| Waste Type | May 2019 | June 2019 | July 2019 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Public Fill ('000m³) | 0 | 0 | 91.36 |
| C&D Waste (general refuse) ('000kg) | 230.57 | 525.18 | 129.74 |
| Chemical Waste (kg/L) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The site toilet and shower room and several chemical toilets were in use throughout the reporting quarter. Discharge from the site toilet and shower room was made to the additional drainage DP4 after passing through the sewage treatment system. A licensed collector also regularly collected waste from the chemical toilets.

6.0 NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS

6.1 Summary of Non-compliance

In this reporting quarter, no exceedance of Action and limit levels on marine water quality was recorded.

No exceedances on 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were recorded in this quarter.

Besides, no day-time noise level measured at the monitoring station exceeded the Action and Limit Level in this guarter.

6.2 Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance

Since there was no exceedance recorded in this quarter, the review of the reasons for the non-compliance was not required.

6.3 Summary of Actions Taken

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 7 of 9

Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

Since there was no exceedance recorded in this quarter, no further action was not required to be taken.

6.4 Summary of Environmental Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions Handling

Five complaints were received in this quarter. Besides, no notification of summon and successful prosecution was received in this quarter.

A summary of environmental complaints and prosecutions was given in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Complaints and Prosecutions

| Period | Complaints logged | Summon served | Successful Prosecution |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| May 2019 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2019 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| July 2019 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative | 9 | 0 | 0 |

7.0 COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

In this quarter, major activity in the Fill Bank was the import and dumping of fill material. Air quality was the major environmental issue in the Fill Bank. Generally, the Contractor implemented most of the mitigation measures to minimize the dust impact.

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring in this quarter.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of noise was recorded in this reporting quarter.

No exceedance of Action and limit level on marine water quality was recorded in this quarter

Five complaints were received in this quarter. Besides, no notification of summon and successful prosecution was received in this quarter.

According to the ET weekly site inspection and IEC site audits carried out in this quarter, it was indicated that site practices of the Contractor were generally undertaken in an environmentally acceptable manner and the overall site environmental performance was up to standard. The Contractor generally implemented sufficient dust mitigation measures, including operation of the mist spraying systems and automatic wheel washing facilities, dampening of haul roads and stockpiling areas.

According to the environmental site inspections performed in this quarter, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality

- Ensure the frequency of water spraying on haul roads, unloading areas and stockpiles to be sufficient to suppress the dust sources;
- Provide proper maintenance for the powered mechanical equipment and barges to avoid emission of dark smoke;
- Provide water spraying onto the truckloads during inspection of fill material;
- Conduct road sweeping on all paved haul roads and public roads especially outside and near the site egress by the road sweeper. Undertake water spraying on stockpiling area by water boswer;
- Erect adequate speed limit signs to advise the truck drivers of the speed limit;
- Operate mist spraying systems and automatic water sprinklers in the Fill Bank;
- Implement the dust mitigation measures for the site activities;
- Designate proper haul roads to ensure effective water spraying; and
- Ensure all vehicles to be washed before leaving the site egress by provision, operation and maintenance of automatic wheel washing facilities.

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 8 of 9



Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

ENA95901 Quarterly EM&A Summary Report No.9

Noise

Conduct noisy activities at a farther location from the NSRs.

Water Quality

- Maintain the drainage system, including the trapezoidal channels, permanent desilting chambers, DP3 & DP4 regularly;
- Operate and maintain the silt curtains regularly;
- Operate the cleaning vessel within the TKO Basin regularly;
- Provide proper treatment for the oil discharge from the area near air monitoring station TKO-A1;
- Clean up the fill material on the concrete pavement at BHA frequently; and
- Remove the stagnant water or provide approved pesticides for the stagnant water in the permanent desilting chambers, if any.

Chemical and Waste Management

- Remove waste materials from the site to avoid accumulation regularly:
- Handle and store chemical wastes properly;
- Remove unwanted material in the existing stockpiles and avoid further dumping of such material;
- Provide and maintain sufficient drip trays for diesel drums, chemical containers, chemical waste storage drums and diesel operated generator set;
- Maintain mesh screen on top of the additional drainage, DP3 to avoid improper dumping of rubbish:
- Maintain good housekeeping at the workshop area;
- Ensure sufficient tarpaulin sheets are provided to cover drip trays; and
- Avoid soil being polluted during oil filling and equipment maintenance; hence, properly remove and store the contaminated soil, if any.

Landscape and Visual

- Provide hydroseeding on the exposed slopes, on which the final profile has been formed:
- Erect all the site hoarding/chaining fences in accordance with agreed design at proper location;
- Maintain the hydroseeding slopes in accordance with the Landscape Plan.

- END OF REPORT -

May 2019 to July 2019 Page 9 of 9



Α

Organization Chart

China Harbour – Zhen Hua Joint Venture

Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018) Contract No. CV/2015/07






В


Graphical Plots of Air Quality Monitoring Data



1-hour TSP level at TKO-A1




1-hour TSP level at TKO-A2a






24-hour TSP level at TKO-A1



24-hour TSP level at TKO-A2a



Date




C

Graphical Plots of Noise Monitoring Data



Noise Monitoring (Day-time)

Noise level at TKO-N1



Date




D1


Graphical Plots of Impact Marine Water Quality Monitoring Data



Dissolved Oxygen (Surface & Middle) at Mid-Flood Tide




Dissolved Oxygen (Surface & Middle) at Mid-Ebb Tide






Dissolved Oxygen (Bottom) at Mid-Flood Tide




Dissolved Oxygen (Bottom) at Mid-Ebb Tide






Turbidity (Depth-average) at Mid-Flood Tide




Turbidity(Depth-average) at Mid-Ebb Tide






Suspended solids (Depth-average) at Mid-Flood Tide



Suspended Solids (Depth-average) at Mid-Ebb Tide






D2


Graphical Plots of Impact Marine Water Quality Monitoring Data (3RS project)



Dissolved Oxygen (Surface & Middle) at Mid-Flood Tide (3RS project)




Dissolved Oxygen (Surface & Middle) at Mid-Ebb Tide (3RS project)






Dissolved Oxygen (Bottom) at Mid-Flood Tide (3RS project)




Dissolved Oxygen (Bottom) at Mid-Ebb Tide (3RS project)






Turbidity (Depth-average) at Mid-Flood Tide (3RS project)




Turbidity(Depth-average) at Mid-Ebb Tide (3RS project)






Suspended solids (Depth-average) at Mid-Flood Tide (3RS project)



Suspended Solids (Depth-average) at Mid-Ebb Tide (3RS project)





Ε

Environmental Quality Performance (Action / Limit Levels)



Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, μg/m³ | Limit Level, µg/m3 |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| A1 A2 | 376 | 500 |

Action and Limit Levels for 24-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, µg/m³ | Limit Level, µg/m³ |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| A1 | 210 | 260 |
| A2 | | 200 |

Action and Limit Levels for Noise

| Time Period | Action | Limit |
|---------------------------|--|----------|
| 0900-2100 hrs on all days | When one documented complaint is received | 75*dB(A) |

Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

| Parameters | Action | Limit |
|---|---|---|
| Dissolved oxygen, DO mg/L (Surface, Middle & Bottom) | Surface & Middle DO < 5.45 (5%-lie of baseline data) Bottom DO < 4.72 (5%-lie of baseline data) | Surface & Middle DO < 5.10 (1%-lile of baseline data) Bottom 2 mg/L |
| Suspended solids, SS mg/l, (Depth-averaged) | SS > 6,74 (95%-lie of baseline data or SS > 120% of upstream control stations SS at the same tide of the same day) | SS > 7.67 (99%-ie of beseline data or SS > 130% of upstream control stations SS at the same tide of the same day) |
| Turbidity, Tby NTU (Depth-averaged) | Tby > 4.28 (95%-ile of basaline data or Tby > 120% of upstream control . stations Tby at the same tide of the same day) | Tby > 4.58 (99%-ile of baseline data or Tby > 130% of upstream control stations Tby at the same tide of the same day) |

Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality (3RS project) 4

| Parameter₽ | Action Level ₽ | Limit Level ₽ |
|--|--|---|
| DO (mg/L)↔ | Surface & Middle↓ <5.5 mg/L↓ Bottom↓ <5.2 mg/L↓ | Surface & Middle↔ <4.00 mg/L (1%-ile of baseline data) ↔ Bottom↔ <2.00 mg/L↔ |
| SS (mg/L) ↔ (Depth- averaged)↔ | >4.9 mg/L or >120% of the upstream control station's SS at the same tide on the same day₽ | >5.2 mg/L or >130% of the upstream control station's SS at the same tide on the same day₽ |
| Turbidity (NTU) (Depth- averaged)∂ | >3.9NTU or >120% of the upstream control station's turbidity at the same tide on the same day₽ | >4.2 NTU or >130% of the upstream control station's turbidity at the same tide on the same day. |



F

Event-Action Plans

| | | Contractor | | Redify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods if appropriate | actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification proposals 3. Amend proposal if appropriate action to avoid further expendance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IC(E) within 3 working days of notification proposals 4. Amend proposal if | appropriate. |
|--|--------|------------|--------------|---|---|--------------|
| EVENT/ACTION PLAN FOR AIR QUALITY EXCEEDANCE | | ER | | 1. Notify Contractor | of failure in writing 2. Notify the Contractor 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented of failure in writing 2. Notify the Contractor 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented | |
| | ACTION | IC(E) | ACTION LEVEL | Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Check contractor's working method | 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed namedial measures 5. Supervise implementation of remedial 6. Supervise implementation of remedial 7. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET Loader 8. Check Contractor's working method 9. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 9. Olseuse with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 9. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures 5. Supervise implementation of remedial 9. Supervise implementation of remedial of the supervise of the supervi | measures |
| | | ET Leader | | identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. Inform ER, IC(E) and Contractor. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. increase monitoring frequency to delity. | 1. Identity source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform BC(E) and Contractor. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Discuss with IC(E) and Contractor on remedial actions. 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER. 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER. 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 8. Inform ER, Contractor and EPO. 9. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Assess the effectiveness of | |
| EVENT | | | | 1. Exceedance for one semple | 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples samples for one sample | |

| ù | EVENT | | | Š | EVENT/ACTION PLAN FOR AIR QUALITY EXCEEDANCE | 5 | Y EXCEEDANCE | | | |
|-----|-------------|----|---|-----|--|-----|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|----|
| | | | | | ACTION | | | | | |
| | | L | ET Leader | L | IC(E) | | E | | Contractor | |
| evi | Exceedance | ÷ | Identify source, investigate the causes | ÷ | Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on | ÷ | Confirm receipt of notification | • | Take immediate action to | |
| _ | for two or | | of exceedance and propose remedial | | the potential remedial actions | | of failure in writing | | avoid further exceedances | |
| | mare | _ | теазите | ci | Review Contractor's remedial actions | r.i | Notify Contractor | ri | Submit proposals for remedial | 75 |
| _ | consecutive | 2 | Notify IC(E), ER, EPD and Contractor | | whenever necessary to assure their | eż | In consultation with the IC(E), | | actions to IC(E) within 3 | _ |
| | sambles | ó | Repeat messurement to confirm | | effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly | | agree with the Contractor on | | working days of notification | - |
| - | , | | finding | esi | Supervise the implementation of remedial | | the remedial measures to be | က် | Implement the agreed | - |
| - | | 4 | | | measures | | Implemented | | proposals | |
| | | υń | _ | | | 4 | Ensure remedial measures | Ť | Resubmit proposals if | |
| - | | | working procedures to determine | | | _ | are properly implemented | | problem still not under control | ~ |
| _ | | _ | possible mitigation to be implemented | | | υŞ | If exceedances confinues, | ιń | Stop the relevant activity of | |
| | | မ | Arrange meeting with IC(E) and ER to | _ | | | consider what portion of the | | works as determined by the | _ |
| | | _ | discuss the remedial actions to be | | | | work is responsible and | | ER until the exceedance is | _ |
| _ | | _ | taken | | | | instruct the Contractor to stop | | abated | _ |
| _ | | 7 | Assess effectiveness of Contractor's | | | | that portion of work until the | | | _ |
| - | | _ | remedial actions and keep IC(E), EPO | | | | exceedance is abaled | | | |
| _ | | _ | and ER informed of the results | | | | | | | |
| _ | | eό | if exceedance stops, cease additional | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | monitoring | | | | | | | |

| EYENN Action 1. Notify the IC(E) and the Contractor. Carry out investigation. Report the results of investigation to the IC(E) and the Contractor. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness and the Contractor. Repeat measurement to confirm findings. Repeat measurement to confirm findings. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. Increase monitoring frequency. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. Inform the IC(E), the ER and the EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances. | Contractor. 1. | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|-------------------|--|-----|---|
| 수 있다. 학 리 수 있다 학교 전 나 | Contractor. | ACTION | S | | | |
| न्ताल चं छं । सं ताल चंछ छ । | Contractor. | IC(E) | | ER | | Contractor |
| 는 네너 속 만 뭐 나 | | Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | નું <i>બી</i> ણ 4 | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. Notify the Contractor. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the snelysed noise problem. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | ÷ 4 | Submit noise mitigation proposals to IC(E). Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| लाल चंडा छ रू | 2, the EPD 1. | Discuss amongst the ER, the ET | ÷ | Confirm receipt of notification of | ų. | Take immediate action to avoid |
| | | Leader and the Contractor on the | | faikus in writing. | | further exceedance |
| | | potential remedial actions. | ęί | Notify the Contractor. | κi | Submit proposals for remedial |
| | o confirm 2. | Review the Contractor's remedial | લં | Require the Contractor to propose | | actions to IC(E) within 3 |
| | | actions whenever necessary to | | remedial measures for the | | working days of notification. |
| | dneucy. | assure their effectiveness and | | analysed noise problem. | ei | Implement the agreed |
| | ontractor's | advise the ER accordingly. | ÷ | Ensure remedial measures are | | proposals. |
| | determine 3. | Supervise the implementation of | | properly implemented. | Ť | Resubmit proposals if problem |
| | | remedial measures. | иń | If exceedances continue, consider | _ | still not under control. |
| | : | | | what activity of the work is | ń | Stop the relevant activity of |
| | R and the | | | responsible and instruct the | | works as determined by the ER |
| | ons taken for | | | Contractor to stop that activity of | | until the expeedances is |
| | | | | work until the exceedances is | | abated. |
| | | | | analed. | | |
| Contractor's remedial actions and | octions and | | | | | |
| keep the IC(E), the EPO and | D and the | | | | _ | |
| | ults | | _ | | _ | |
| 8. If exceedance due to the | 2 | | | | _ | |
| construction works stops, cease | os, cease | | | | | |
| additional monitoring | | | _ | | | |

| Event | | EVEN | Ĭ | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE | F | R QUALITY EXCEEDANC | щ | | |
|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------|-----|--|-----|------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | ACTION | - | | | | |
| | L | ET Leader | L | Contractor | | ER | | EC | 7 |
| Action level | - | Identify source(s) of impact; | ÷ | Notify the ER and IEC in writing | ÷ | Notify EPD and other relevant | ngil. | Check monitoring deta | and the |
| being exceeded | 64 | Repeat in-situ measurement to | | within 24 hours of identification of | | governmental agencies in writing | | submitted by ET | |
| byone | _ | confirm findings: | | exceedance | | within 24 hours of the | ci | Confirm ET assessment if | real or |
| sampling day | 9% | Notify Contractor in writing within | evi | Rectify unacceptable practice; | | Identification of the exceedance | | exceedance is due / not due | |
| Van Brandings | _ | 24 hours of identification of the | लां | Check all plant and equipment. | ed | Discuss with IEC, ET and | | to the works | |
| | | вховефилов | ¥ | Submit investigation report to IEC | | Contractor on the proposed | eń | Discuss with ET, ER and | -17 |
| | र्ष | | | end ER within 3 working days of | | mitigation messures; | | Contractor on the mitigation | |
| | _ | | | the identification of an | eri | Require contractor to propose | | meagures | |
| | | working methods: | | exceedance | | remedial measures for the | Ý | Review contractor's | _ |
| | uś | Carry out Investigation | νá | Consider changes of working | | analysed problem if related to the | | mitigation measures | - |
| | æ | Report the results of investigation | | of out is acceptance is due to | | construction works | | whenever necessary to | |
| | | to the Contractor within 3 working | | the construction works | Ť | Ensure remedial measures are | | ensure their effectiveness | _ |
| | | days of identification of | φ | Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and | | proparty implemented | | and advise the ER | _ |
| | | exceptance and advise | | propose mitigation measures to | ශ් | Assess the effectiveness of the | _ | accordingly . | |
| | | contractor if exceedance is due to | | IEC and ER if exceedence is due | | mitigation measure | ωş | Supervise the | · |
| | | contractor's construction works | | to the construction works within 4 | | | | implementation of mitigation | energe Arr |
| | <u></u> | Discuss mitigation measures with | | working days of identification of | | | | measures | - |
| | | Contractor if exceedance is due | | an exceedance | | | | | - |
| | | to the construction works within 4 | p.C | Implement the agreed mitigation | | | | | |
| | | working days | | messures within reasonable time | | | | | |
| | තේ | Repeat measurement on next day | | scale | | | | | |
| | | of exceedance if exceedance is | | | | | | | |
| | _ | due to the construction works | | | | | | | ٦ |

| Event | | | " | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR WATER QUALITY | <u> </u> | R WATER QUALITY | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----|---|---------------|--------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|------|
| | | | | ACTION | 莱 | | | | |
| | | ET Leader | | Contractor | | ER | | EC | |
| Action level | ÷ | Identify source(s) of impact; | ÷ | Notify IEC and ER in writing | ų i | Notify EPD and other relevant | ÷ | Check monitoring data | Г |
| being | ci | | | within 24 hours of | | governmental agencies in | | submitted by ET | |
| exceeded by | | to confirm findings | | identification of exceedance | | writing within 24 hours of the | ભં | _ | |
| more than one | eń | | લં | Rectify unacceptable practice; | | identification of the | _ | if exceedance is due / | |
| consecutive | | within 24 hours of | eó | Check all plant and | | exceedance | | not due to the works | _ |
| sempling days | | identification | | equipment; | ed | Discuss with IEC, ET and | eń | _ | |
| | 4 | Check monitoring data, all | र्च | Consider changes of working | | Contractor on the proposed | | Contractor on the | |
| | | plant, equipment and | | methods; | | miligation measures; | | miligation measures. | |
| | | Contractor's working methods; | uń | Submit the results of the | eń | Require contractor to propose | र्ष | Review contractor's | |
| | uri — | Camy out investigation | | investigation to IEC and ER | | remedial measures for the | | mitigation measures | _ |
| | œ | Report the results of | | within 3 working days of the | | analysed problem if related to | | whenever necessary to | |
| | | investigation to the Contractor | | identification of an | | the construction works | | ensure their | _ |
| | | within 3 working days of | | exceedance | vi | Ensure remedial measures | | effectiveness and advise | |
| | _ | identification of exceedance | တ် | Discuss with ET, IEC and ER | | are properly implemented | | the ER accordingly | |
| | | and advise contractor if | | and propose mitigation | uó | Assess the effectiveness of | uń | | 200 |
| | | exceedance is due to | | measures to IEC and ER | | the mitigation measure | | of the implemented | |
| | | contractor's construction | | within 4 worlding days of | | | | mitigation measures. | _ |
| | | _ | | identification of an | | | | | - |
| | <u>-</u> | | | exceedance | | | | | - |
| | | with IEC and Contractor within | r-i | _ | | | _ | | - |
| | | 4 working of identification of | | mitigation measures within | | | | | |
| | _ | an exceedance | | reasonable time scale | | | | | _ |
| | <u> </u> | Ensure mitigation measures | | | | | | | |
| | | are implemented; | | | | | | | **** |
| | ø | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | | day of exceedance. | | | | | | | |

| Event | | EVEN | ¥ | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE | M | R QUALITY EXCEEDANC | ш | | |
|--------------|-----|-------------------------------|----|--|----|----------------------------------|----|--------------------------|----------------|
| | _ | | | ACTION | z | | | | |
| | L | ET Leader | L | Contractor | Ш | ER | | IEC | ~~ |
| Limit level | | Repeat in-situ measurement | - | Notify IEC and ER in writing: | ÷ | Notify EPD and other relevant | ÷ | Check monitoring data | Name of Street |
| peino | _ | to confirm findings: | | within 24 hours of the | | governmental agencies in | | submitted by ET | _ |
| exceeded by | 7 | _ | _ | identification of the | _ | writing within 24 hours of | ĸ. | Confirm ET assessment | _ |
| one samolino | eri | _ | _ | exceedance | _ | identification of exceedance | | if exceedance is due / | _ |
| New York | 5 | | ĸ | Rectify unacceptable practice; | 'n | Discuss with IEC, ET and | | not due to the works | _ |
| , | | identification of the | eò | Check all plant and | | Confractor on the proposed | ri | Discuss with ET, ER and | _ |
| | _ | exceedance | | equipment; | | mitigation measures; | _ | Contractor on the | |
| | 4 | _ | ÷ | Consider changes of working | က် | Request Contractor to critically | | mitigation measures. | |
| | | _ | | methods: | | review the working methods; | Ť | Review proposals on | _ |
| | | Contractor's working methods: | ιά | Submit the results of the | Ą | Ensure remedial measures | | miligation measures | _ |
| | หก | _ | | investigation to IEC and ER | | are properly implemented | | submitted by Contractor | |
| | ø | | | within 3 working days of the | ιń | Assess the effectiveness of | | and advise the ER | _ |
| | | | | identification of an | _ | the implemented mitigation | | accordingly. | |
| | _ | within 3 working days of | _ | exceedance | _ | measures. | ú | Assess the effectiveness | |
| | | identification of exceedance | ဖ | Discuss with ET, IEC and ER | _ | | _ | of the implemented | |
| | _ | and advise confractor if | | and propose miligation | | | | mitigation measures | |
| | | exceedance is due to | | measures to IEC and ER | | | _ | | |
| | | contractor's construction | | within 4 working days of the | _ | | | | |
| | _ | works | | identification of an | _ | | | | |
| | ۲ | Discuss mitigation measures | | exceptance | | | _ | | |
| | _ | with IEC, ER and Contractor | 7 | Implement the agreed | | | | | |
| | _ | within 4 working of | | miligation measures within | | | _ | | |
| | | identification of an | _ | ressonable time scale | | | | | |
| | _ | exceedance | | | _ | | _ | | |
| | 6 | Ensure mitigation measures | | | | | _ | | |
| | _ | are implemented; | | | | | _ | | |
| | ď | | | | _ | | _ | | |
| | _ | frequency to daily until no | _ | | | | _ | | |
| | _ | exceedance of Limit Level. | _ | | 4 | | 4 | | ٦ |

| | _ | | | 4 | EVEN I AND ACTION TEAM FOR MALEN GOALS I EXCELED THE | 2 | | | | |
|----------------|------|-----|---------------------------------|----|--|-----|----------------------------------|----|--------------------------|----|
| | | | | | ACTION | z | | | | _ |
| | 1 | | ET Leader | L | Contractor | П | ER | | EC | П |
| Limit Level | F | - | Repeat in-situ measurement | ÷ | Notify ER and IEC in writing | ÷ | Notify EPD and other relevant | ÷ | Check monitoring data | _ |
| being | | _ | to confirm findings; | | within 24 hours of the | | governmental agencies in | | submitted by E1 | |
| exceeded by | | 6 | Identify source(s) of impact: | | identification of the | | writing within 24 hours of | ci | Confirm ET assessment | |
| more than one | 9 | | Notify Contractor in writing | | exceedance and | | Identification of exceedance | | f exceedance is due / | _ |
| authropanon | _ | | within 24 hours of | Ŕ | Rectify unacceptable practice; | κi | Discuss with IEC, ET and | | not due to the works | _ |
| sampling days | 5 | | identification of the | ej | Check all plant and | _ | Contractor on the proposed | ró | Discuss with ER, ET and | _ |
| in the same of | | | axcaedance | | equipment: | | mitigation measures; | | Contractor on the | |
| | 4 | 4 | Check monitoring data, all | ٩ | Consider changes of working | esi | Request Contractor to critically | | mitigation measures. | |
| | _ | | plant acrimment and | | methods: | _ | review the working methods; | ŧ | Review proposals on | _ |
| | | | Contractor's working methods: | 00 | Submit the results of the | ø | Ensure remedial measures | | mitigation measures | |
| | - 4 | 120 | Corry out investigation | _ | investigation to IEC and ER | | are properly implemented | | submitted by Contractor | |
| | - 60 | i | Report the results of | | within 3 working days of the | Ť | Assess the effectiveness of | _ | and advise the ER | |
| | , | j | investigation to the Contractor | | identification of an | | the implemented mitigation | _ | accordingly. | |
| | | | within 3 working days of | | exceedance | _ | measures; | ιά | Assess the effectiveness | 40 |
| | _ | | identification of exceedance | κń | Discuss with ET, IEC and ER | ශ් | Consider and Instruct, if | | of the implemented | _ |
| | _ | | and advise contractor if | | and propose mitigation | | necessary, the Contractor to | | mitigation measures. | |
| | - | | exceedance is due to | | messures to IEC and ER | _ | slow down or to stop all or part | | | |
| | _ | | contractor's construction | | within 4 working days; | | of the marine work until no | | | _ |
| | _ | | works | ø | Implement the agreed | | exceedance of Limit Level. | | | |
| | ,~ | 7. | Discuss mitigation measures | | mitigetion measures within | | | _ | | |
| | _ | | with IEC, ER and Contractor; | _ | reasonable time scale | | | _ | | |
| | | ဆ | Ensure mitigation measures | 7 | As directed by the Engineer, | | | _ | | |
| | _ | | are implemented; | | to slow down or to stop all or | | | | | |
| | Ų, | øi | Increase the monitoring | | part of the marine work or | | | | | |
| | | | frequency to daily until no | _ | construction actives. | | | | | - |
| | | | exceedance of Limit Level for | _ | | _ | | _ | | |
| | - | | two consecutive days. | _ | | | | 4 | | ٦ |



G

Work Programme

China Harbour - Zhen Hua Joint Venture Contract No. CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016 -2018)

Three Months Rolling Programme (1-March-2019 to 31-May-2019)

| Item | Description | From | То | Mar-19 Apr-19 May-19 |
|------|--|----------|-----------|---|
| 1 | Section 1A | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 |
| | Operation of Fill Bank, surveillance system and tipping halls | 1-Mar-19 | | |
| | Operation of crushing plant | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 1.3 | Operation of the existing and expanded dewatering plants | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 1.4 | Collection and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and MWPFRF to TKOFB | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 1.5 | Breaking up the incoming precast concrete units | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 1.6 | Carry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 1.7 | Carry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway System (3RS) project | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 2 | Section 2A | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 2.1 | Operation of Fill Bank, surveillance system and tipping halls | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| | Breaking up the incoming precast concrete units | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 2.3 | Operation of glass cullet storage compartment at Portion B7 | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| | Construction of transformer room and meter room | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| | Carry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon Carry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Mar-19 | | |
| 2.0 | System (3RS) project | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| - | Section 3 | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| | Design and construction of of seawalls at Zone B (approx. 900m) Design and construction of of seawalls at at Zone C (approx. | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| | 2000m) | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| | Section 3A | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| 4.1 | Design, construction and operation of new berthing facilities at Zone B | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| 4.2 | Design, construction and operation of new navigation channel and turning basin inassociated with the berthing facilities at Zone B | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| 4.3 | Design and construction of seawalls at Zone B (approx. 1500m) | 1-Mar-19 | 15-Apr-19 | |
| | Section 4 | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 5.1 | Collection and delivery of Public Fill to the Designated Reclamation Sites in the Mainland | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| | Section 6 | 1-Mar-19 | 15-May-19 | |
| 6.1 | Removal of existing stockpiled Public Fill at Portion A5b down to +5.2mPD | 1-Mar-19 | 15-May-19 | |
| 7 | Section 7 | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |
| 7.1 | Removal of existing stockpiled Public Fill at Portion A5c down to +5.2mPD and +6.0mPD | 1-Mar-19 | 31-May-19 | |

China Harbour - Zhen Hua Joint Venture Contract No. CV/2015/07 Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016 -2018)

Three Months Rolling Programme (1-June-2019 to 31-August-2019)

| 1.1 Oper 1.2 Oper 1.3 Oper 1.4 Collo MW 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Systo | eration 1A eration of Fill Bank, surveillance system and tipping halls eration of crushing plants eration of the existing and expanded dewatering plants Election and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway stem (3RS) project | 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 | To 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 | Jun-19 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 1 2 3 | Jul-19 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Aug-19 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1.1 Oper 1.2 Oper 1.3 Oper 1.4 Collo MW 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Systo | eration of Fill Bank, surveillance system and tipping halls eration of crushing plants eration of the existing and expanded dewatering plants llection and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 | | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 |
| 1.1 Oper 1.2 Oper 1.3 Oper 1.4 Collo MW 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Systo | eration of Fill Bank, surveillance system and tipping halls eration of crushing plants eration of the existing and expanded dewatering plants llection and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 1.2 Oper 1.3 Oper 1.4 Colle MW 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Syste | eration of crushing plants eration of the existing and expanded dewatering plants llection and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 1.3 Oper 1.4 Colle MW 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Syste | eration of the existing and expanded dewatering plants llection and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 1.4 Colle MW 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Syste | llection and delivery of Public Fill from CWPFBP and WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 1.5 Brea 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Syste | WPFRF to TKOFB eaking up the incoming precast concrete units rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 | | | | |
| 1.6 Carr 1.7 Carr Syste | rry out repair works for damages caused by Super Typhoon rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 1.7 Carr Syste | rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway | 1-Jun-19 | | | | |
| Syste | rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway stem (3RS) project | | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| | | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 2 Secti | ction 2A | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 2.1 Oper | eration of Fill Bank, surveillance system and tipping halls | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 2.2 Brea | eaking up the incoming precast concrete units | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 2.3 Oper | eration of glass cullet storage compartment at Portion B7 | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 2.4 Cons | nstruction of transformer room and meter room | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 2.5 Carr Syste | rry out preliminary sorting on Public Fill for Three Runway stem (3RS) project | 1-Jun-19 | 10-Jul-19 | | | |
| 3 Secti | rtion 3 | 1-Jun-19 | 30-Jun-19 | | | |
| 3.1 Desig | sign and construction of of seawalls at Zone B (approx. 900m) | 1-Jun-19 | 30-Jun-19 | | | |
| 4 Secti | tion 3A | 1-Jun-19 | 30-Jun-19 | | | |
| 4.1 Desig | sign, construction and operation of new berthing facilities at ne B | 1-Jun-19 | 30-Jun-19 | | | |
| 4.2 Desig | sign, construction and operation of new navigation channel and ning basin inassociated with the berthing facilities at Zone B | 1-Jun-19 | 30-Jun-19 | | V | |
| 4.3 Desig | sign and construction of seawalls at Zone B (approx. 1500m) | 1-Jun-19 | 30-Jun-19 | | | |
| 5 Section | tion 4 | 1-Jun-19 | 31-Aug-19 | | | |
| 5.1 Colle Sites | lection and delivery of Public Fill to the Designated Reclamation es in the Mainland | 1-Jun-19 | 31-Aug-19 | | | |
| 6 Section | tion 6 | 1-Jun-19 | 31-Aug-19 | | | |
| 6.1 Remo | noval of existing stockpiled Public Fill at Portion A5b down to 2mPD | 1-Jun-19 | 31-Aug-19 | | | |
| 7 Section | | 1-Jun-19 | 20-Jun-19 | | | |
| 7.1 Remo | noval of existing stockpiled Public Fill at Portion A5c down to 2mPD and +5.2mPd/+6.0mPD | 1-Jun-19 | 20-Jun-19 | | | |



Н

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)



Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

| | | Location | | Implementa | tion Status | |
|-----|--|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Environmental Protection Measures | | Implemented | Partially implemented | Not implemented | Not Applicable |
| Aiı | ^r Quality | | | | | |
| • | Dust control / mitigation measures shall be provided to prevent dust nuisance. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | A buffer zone of at least 100m shall be maintained between the edge of the stockpiling area and the nearest ASRs at the TKO Industrial Estate. Within the buffer zone, no dusty material shall be stockpiled and no loading / unloading and similar activities should be allowed. | Northern Site Boundary | V | | | |
| • | Water sprays shall be provided and used to dampen materials. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | Regular cleaning and watering the site shall be provided to minimize the fugitive dust emissions. | All areas | | V | | |
| • | All vehicles shall be restrict to a maximum speed of 10 km per hour. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | Any vehicle with open load carrying area used for moving materials which has the potential to create dust shall have properly fitting side and tail boards. Material having the potential to create dust shall not be loaded to a level higher than the side and tail boards, and shall be covered by a clean tarpaulin. | Site Egress | V | | | |
| • | The designated site main haul rout shall be paved or regular watering. | All haul roads | \checkmark | | | |
| • | Frequent watering of work site shall be at least three times per day. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | Wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jet shall be provided at the entrance of work site. | Site Egress | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | Every vehicle shall be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the fill bank. | Site Egress | \checkmark | | | |
| • | The temporary slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be covered with impermeable sheet or sprayed with water or protected by other method approved by CEDD. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | Final slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be treated by compaction, followed by hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with shotconcrete, latex, vinyl, bitumen, or other suitable surface stabilizer approved by CEDD. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | When fill material is transfer by belt conveyor systems, the conveyors shall be enclosed on top and 2 sides. | C&DMSF | \checkmark | | | |
| • | The belt scraper shall be equipped with bottom plates or other similar means to prevent falling of material from the return belt. | C&DMFS | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | The level of stockpiling belt conveyor shall be adjustable such that the vertical distance between the belt conveyor and the material landing point is maintained at no more than 1m. | C&DMFS | √ | | | |
| • | All plant and equipment should be well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission. | | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| No | ise Impact | | | | | |
| • | Approved method of working, equipment and sound-reducing measures (e.g. use of silenced type of equipment, etc.) shall be adapted. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | Only well maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works. | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| • | Powered mechanical equipment (PME) should be covered or shielded by appropriate acoustic materials. | All areas | | | | |
| • | Air compressors and hand held breakers should have noise labels. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | Machines and plants that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | Noisy equipment and mobile plant shall always be site away from NSRs. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| | Environmental Protection Measures | Location | | Implementa | tion Status | |

 $\sqrt{}$ = Implemented,

Remark:

 ∇ = Partially Implemented

X = Not Implemented

N/A = Not Applicable



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司 ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

| | | | Implemented | Partially implemented | Not implemented | Not Applicable |
|---|---|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| W | ater Quality | | | | | |
| • | Drainage system should be adequate and well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow, especially after rain storms. | All areas | | V | | |
| • | The permanent drainage channels should have sediment basin, traps and baffles and maintain properly. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | Temporary intercepting drains should be used at the stockpiling area to divert polluted stormwater to the intercepting channels. Earth bunds and sand bay barriers shall be used to assist the diversion of polluted stormwater to the intercepting channels. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | Manholes should be covered and sealed. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | Unnecessary water retained in receptacles and standing water should be avoided to prevent mosquito breeding. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | A buffer distance of at least 100m shall be maintained between the boundary of the public fill stockpiling area and the sea front. | Public fill stockpiling area | V | | | |
| • | A buffer distance of at least 20m shall be maintained between the boundary of the C&DMSF and the seafront. | C&DMFS | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| • | The stormwater intercepting system shall be effective to collect of runoff and remove suspended solids before discharge. | All areas | √ | | | |
| • | The temporary slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be covered with impermeable sheet or sprayed with water or protected by other method approved by CEDD. | Temporary Slopes | V | | | |
| • | Final slope surfaces, especially those facing to the north of the site shall be treated by compaction, followed by hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with shotconcrete, latex, vinyl, bitumen, or other suitable surface stabilizer approved by CEDD. | Temporary Slopes | V | | | |
| • | Existing and newly constructed Catchpits, sand and silt removal facilities and intercepting channels shall be maintained, and the deposited silt and grit shall be removed weekly and on a need basis especially at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. | All areas | | $\sqrt{}$ | | |
| • | A wheel washing bay shall be provided at the site exit and wash-water shall have sand and silt settled out or removed before being discharged into storm drains. | Wheel Washing facility | V | | | |
| • | The section of construction road between wheel washing bay and the public road shall be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores to reduce vehicle tracking of soil and to prevent site run-off from entering public road drains. | Wheel Washing facility | V | | | |
| • | Sewage from toilets shall be discharged in to a foul sewer, or chemical toilets shall be provided. The chemical toilets (if use) shall be provided by a licensed contractor, who will be responsible for disposal and maintenance of these facilities. | All areas | V | | | |
| • | Oil intercept in addition of sand / silt removal facilities shall be provided at the car parking areas and work shop. | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| • | Tipping halls enclosed with top and 3-side to prevent spillage of material into marine water. | Barge Handling Area (BHA) | V | | | |
| • | The barges shall be in right size such that adequate clearance in maintained between the vessels and the seabed at all states of the tide to ensure the undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash. | Barge Handling Area (BHA) | V | | | |
| • | All vessels used for transportation of fill material shall have tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material during transport. | Barge Handling Area (BHA) | V | | | |
| • | Adequate environmental control measures shall be provided to prevent / avoid dropping of fill material into the sea during the transfer. | Along the seafront | | $\sqrt{}$ | | |
| • | Barges shall not be filled to a level which may cause the overflow of material during loading or transportation. Barge effluents shall be properly collected and treated before disposal. | Barge Handling Area (BHA) | V | | | |
| • | The work activities shall not cause any visible foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matters to be present on the water in the vicinity of the barging facilities. | Along the seafront | V | | | |
| • | Existing silt curtain at the outward side of the basin near the Barging Handling Area throughout the period shall be repair, maintain and service when there is public fill intake by barges to the Fill Bank in accordance with PS Clause 1.68. The total length of the silt curtains shall not be less than 160m, and a gap of about 80m shall be left open for access of barges. The silt curtain shall be properly maintained such that it can also serve the function of refuse containment boom to confine floating refuse. | Along the seafront | | \checkmark | | |
| • | A waste collection vessel shall be deployed to remove floating debris. | Along the seafront | √ | | | |



東業德勤測試顧問有限公司 ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMITED

| | Location | | Implementa | tion Status | |
|---|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Environmental Protection Measures | | Implemented | Partially implemented | Not implemented | Not Applicable |
| Landscape and Visual | | | | | |
| Construction of lighting to avoid spillage and glare | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| Hydroseeding | Completed slopes | \checkmark | | | |
| Hoarding erection | Site boundary | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| Damage to surrounding area avoided | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| Other Environmental Factors | | | | | |
| C&D waste sorted from mixed C&D material shall be transfer to SENT landfill for disposal. | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise generation of waste. | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| Any unused materials or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled. | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| All generators, fuel and oil storage are within bunded areas. | All areas | \checkmark | | | |
| Oil leakage from machinery, vehicle and plant is prevented. | All areas | | √ | | |
| Bund chemical storage area to 110% capacity. | All areas | √ | | | |
| Prevent disposal of hazardous materials to air, soil and water body | All areas | V | | | |
| Provide rubbish skips at all work areas | All areas | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| Good site practices should be adopted to clean the rubbish and litter on a regular basis so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from dropping into the nearby environment. | All areas | √ | | | |
| To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the workforce. | All areas | √ | | | |



11

Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids in the Quarter



Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids

For Mid-Flood Tide

Station: M4

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE | |
|-----------------------|----|---------|-------|---------|--------|--|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 6.969 | 1.069 | 0.3086 | |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.816 | 1.6669 | 0.2669 | |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.9421

Difference between means =3.1530 (95% CI :2.3173 < Diff < 3.9887)

t-value of difference = 7.7297 (28 degrees of freedom) P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: C1

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|-----------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 6.969 | 0.950 | 0.2742 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.8081 | 1.6826 | 0.2694 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.9770

Difference between means = 3.1609 (95% CI : 2.3788 < Diff < 3.9430)

t-value of difference = 8.2229 (33degrees of freedom) P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.



Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids

For Mid-Ebb Tide

Station: M4

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|--------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 6.897 | 1.449 | 0.4183 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.5645 | 1.4371 | 0.2301 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.4510

Difference between means = 3.3325 (95% CI : 2.3295 < Diff < 4.3355)

t-value of difference = 6.9805 (18 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: C1

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|--------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 6.933 | 1.045 | 0.3017 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.6470 | 1.5209 | 0.2435 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.9090

Difference between means = 3.2860 (95% CI : 2.4891 < Diff < 4.0829)

t-value of difference = 8.4756 (26 degrees of freedom) P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.



12

Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids in the Quarter (3RS)



Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids

For Mid-Flood Tide

Station: C1a

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE | |
|-----------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|--|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 4.158 | 1.367 | 0.3946 | |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.0765 | 0.9756 | 0.1562 | |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.0611

Difference between means =1.0815 (95% CI : 0.1712 < Diff < 1.9918)

t-value of difference = 2.5483 (14 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05) Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: M4a

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|--------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 3.902 | 1.142 | 0.3297 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.0077 | 0.9801 | 0.1569 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.2325

Difference between means = 0.8943

(95% CI: 0.1203 < Diff < 1.6683)

t-value of difference = 2.4495 (16 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: M5

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|--------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 3.936 | 1.414 | 0.4082 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 2.6748 | 1.1216 | 0.1796 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.1415

Difference between means = 1.2612

(95% CI: 0.3106 < Diff < 2.2118)

t-value of difference = 2.8278 (15 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.



Statistical Analysis of the Trend of Suspended Solids

For Mid-Ebb Tide

Station: C1a

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE | |
|-----------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|--|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 4.286 | 1.353 | 0.3906 | |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.5641 | 0.8848 | 0.1417 | |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) =0.0259

Difference between means =0.7219 (95% CI: -0.1693 < Diff < 1.6131)

t-value of difference = 1.7374 (14 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05) Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: M4a

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|--------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 4.090 | 1.325 | 0.3825 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 3.4581 | 0.9270 | 0.1484 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.0510

Difference between means =0.6319 (95% CI: -0.2481 < Diff < 1.5119)

t-value of difference = 1.5401 (14 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05)

Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.

Station: M5

t-test

| Group Name | N | Missing | Mean | Std Dev | SE |
|--------------------|----|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| 130% Baseline Mean | 12 | 0 | 3.790 | 1.465 | 0.4229 |
| Quarterly Mean | 39 | 0 | 2.1634 | 1.1526 | 0.1846 |

Result:

Probability that two variances are equal (f-test) = 0.1336

Difference between means = 1.6266

(95% CI: 0.6432 < Diff < 2.6100)

t-value of difference = 3.5254 (15 degrees of freedom)

P = 1 (>0.05)


Conclusion:

There is no statistically significant difference between the groups.



J

Site General Layout plan





K

Weather Condition

Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations, May 2019 - Tseung Kwan O

| | Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations, May 2019 - 1 seung Kwan O | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Day | Mean Pressure (hPa) | | Air Temperat | ure | Mean Dew Point | Mean Relative Humidity | Total Rainfall (mm) | Prevailing Wind Direction | Mean Wind Speed | | | |
| 24, | | Absolute Daily Max | Mean (deg. C) | Absolute Daily Min | (deg. C) | (%) | | (degrees) | (km/h) | | | |
| 1 | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | | | |
| 2 | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | | | |
| 3 | *** | 23.1# | 22.3# | 19.4# | 17.4# | 74# | 0.0# | 060# | 7.1# | | | |
| 4 | *** | 23.2 | 22.0 | 20.1 | 17.6 | 77 | 9.0 | 050 | 7.9 | | | |
| 5 | *** | 21.7# | 20.8# | 20.4# | 20.2# | 96# | 18.0# | 020# | 8.3# | | | |
| 6 | *** | 21.9# | 20.6# | 19.5# | 19.5# | 93# | 12.0# | 020# | 7.9# | | | |
| 7 | *** | 20.7 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 89 | 27.0 | 040 | 8.7 | | | |
| 8 | *** | 20.6 | 19.8 | 19.3 | 17.9 | 89 | 23.5 | 040 | 8.6 | | | |
| 9 | *** | 25.5 | 22.3 | 19.6 | 20.5 | 90 | 6.5 | 060 | 4.9 | | | |
| 10 | *** | 26.6 | 23.1 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 89 | 0.5 | 010 | 3.4 | | | |
| 11 | *** | 29.0 | 24.3 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 80 | 0.0 | 020 | 6.0 | | | |
| 12 | *** | 27.5 | 24.5 | 22.2 | 21.2 | 82 | 0.0 | 020 | 8.1 | | | |
| 13 | *** | 24.8 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 93 | 0.5 | 010 | 3.8 | | | |
| 14 | *** | 30.4 | 26.5 | 23.8 | 24.2 | 88 | 0.0 | 190 | 4.3 | | | |
| 15 | *** | 29.9 | 27.1 | 24.9 | 25.4 | 91 | 0.0 | 190 | 3.6 | | | |
| 16 | *** | 32.3 | 29.2 | 27.1 | 25.4 | 81 | 0.0 | 200 | 8.5 | | | |
| 17 | *** | 31.8 | 29.3 | 27.8 | 25.4 | 80 | 0.0 | 200 | 8 | | | |
| 18 | *** | 32.8 | 29.5 | 27.6 | 25.7 | 80 | 1.0 | 190 | 7.3 | | | |
| 19 | *** | 33.0 | 30.1 | 28.4 | 25.9 | 79 | 0.0 | 190 | 7.5 | | | |
| 20 | *** | 32.4 | 28.3 | 23.7 | 25.8 | 87 | 5.0 | 190 | 4.7 | | | |
| 21 | *** | 25.6 | 24.2 | 21.5 | 21.1 | 83 | 10.0 | 040 | 7.7 | | | |
| 22 | *** | 27.7 | 24.4 | 21.5 | 21.6 | 85 | 0.5 | 010 | 6.3 | | | |
| 23 | *** | 25.0 | 24.5 | 23.9 | 23.8 | 96 | 32.0 | 010 | 5.0 | | | |
| 24 | *** | 25.2 | 24.3 | 23.6 | 23.0 | 92 | 1.5 | 040 | 6.4 | | | |
| 25 | *** | 27.8 | 26.1 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 92 | 0.5 | 110 | 6.6 | | | |
| 26 | *** | 27.6 | 25.7 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 95 | 15.0 | 010 | 2.8 | | | |
| 27 | *** | 27.3 | 25.9 | 24.6 | 25.3 | 96 | 36.0 | 360 | 2.3 | | | |
| 28 | *** | 26.8 | 25.1 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 97 | 22.5 | 060 | 2.8 | | | |
| 29 | *** | 24.8 | 23.7 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 95 | 13.5 | 020 | 6.3 | | | |
| 30 | *** | 24.2 | 23.4 | 22.6 | 22.2 | 93 | 7.0 | 010 | 6.7 | | | |
| 31 | *** | 26.5 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 96 | 7.5 | 010 | 2.7 | | | |

^{***} unavailable

Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected

[#] data incomplete

Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations , June 2019 - Tseung Kwan O

| | Moon | Dany | DATIGET OF THE | teorologicai Obsei | , | | | Duoroiliu - | Moon |
|-----|------------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Mean Pressure | | Air Temperat | ure | Mean Dew | Mean Relative | Total Rainfall | Prevailing Wind | Mean Wind |
| _ | (hPa) | | 7111 Temperat | urc | Point | Humidity | (mm) | Direction | Speed |
| Day | (III u) | Absolute | Mean | Absolute | (deg. C) | (%) | (11111) | (degrees) | (km/h) |
| | | Daily | (deg. C) | Daily | | | | \ B / | |
| | | Max | (ucg. C) | Min | | | | | |
| 1 | *** | 30.1 | 26.6 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 88 | 45.0 | 190# | 4.7# |
| 2 | *** | 31.9 | 26.5 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 89 | 2.5 | 190 | 4.3 |
| 3 | *** | 29.6 | 26.7 | 24.4 | 24.5 | 88 | 23.0 | 210 | 5.1 |
| 4 | *** | 31.8 | 27.2 | 24.4 | 25.6 | 92 | 90.5 | 220 | 3.7 |
| 5 | *** | 31.1 | 28.2 | 25.8 | 26.1 | 89 | 0.0 | 200 | 4.1 |
| 6 | *** | 32.6 | 29.2 | 27.0 | 25.8 | 83 | 0.0 | 190 | 4.5 |
| 7 | *** | 33.8 | 29.7 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 77 | 0.5 | 230 | 6 |
| 8 | *** | 32.9 | 29.4 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 78 | 0.0 | 190 | 6.6 |
| 9 | *** | 32.1 | 29.5 | 27.7 | 25.7 | 80 | 4.5 | 220 | 8.2 |
| 10 | *** | 31.5 | 28.5 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 84 | 2.5 | 200 | 6 |
| 11 | *** | 28.7 | 26.2 | 24.0 | 25.5 | 96 | 119.0 | 70 | 3 |
| 12 | *** | 29.0 | 26.2 | 24.8 | 25.5 | 96 | 1.5 | 10 | 4.4 |
| 13 | *** | 29.1 | 26.2 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 96 | 67.0 | 10 | 2.8 |
| 14 | *** | 32.5 | 27.3 | 23.7 | 23.4 | 81 | 13.0 | 60 | 4.9 |
| 15 | *** | 30.4 | 27.0 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 79 | 0.0 | 60 | 5.8 |
| 16 | *** | 29.0 | 27.0 | 25.9 | 23.7 | 82 | 0.0 | 60 | 7.8 |
| 17 | *** | 28.1 | 26.9 | 26.1 | 25.1 | 90 | 7.5 | 70 | 6.2 |
| 18 | *** | 29.7 | 27.7 | 26.2 | 26.5 | 93 | 4.0 | 350 | 2.3 |
| 19 | *** | 31.9 | 28.2 | 25.7 | 26.3 | 90 | 13.0 | 180 | 3.4 |
| 20 | *** | 32.8 | 29.3 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 84 | 2.0 | 180 | 4.7 |
| 21 | *** | 34.0 | 30.3 | 28.4 | 26.0 | 79 | 0.0 | 190 | 7.1 |
| 22 | *** | 33.4 | 30.4 | 28.1 | 25.8 | 77 | 1.5 | 210 | 8.5 |
| 23 | *** | 33.2 | 30.1 | 28.0 | 25.9 | 79 | 2.5 | 190 | 8.8 |
| 24 | *** | 30.8 | 28.1 | 24.2 | 26.1 | 89 | 17.5 | 230 | 4.9 |
| 25 | *** | 29.6 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 25.2 | 95 | 39.5 | 10 | 2.4 |
| 26 | *** | 31.7 | 27.7 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 90 | 3.0 | 190 | 2.8 |
| 27 | *** | 33.2 | 29.6 | 27.0 | 26.4 | 83 | 0.0 | 230 | 4.7 |
| 28 | *** | 31.4 | 28.9 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 91 | 25.0 | 230 | 3.6 |
| 29 | *** | 33.8 | 29.9 | 27.5 | 27.0 | 85 | 4.5 | 230 | 4.3 |
| 30 | *** | 33.4 | 29.3 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 86 | 3.0 | 190 | 3.8 |

^{***} unavailable

Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected

[#] data incomplete

Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations , July 2019 - Tseung Kwan O

| | Maan | | | teorological Obse | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | D | Maan |
|-----|------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Mean Pressure | | Air Temperat | ure | Mean Dew | Mean Relative | Total Rainfall | Prevailing Wind | Mean Wind |
| - | (hPa) | | I dan poi ut | | Point | Humidity | (mm) | Direction | Speed |
| Day | (III u) | Absolute | Mean | Absolute | (deg. C) | (%) | (11111) | (degrees) | (km/h) |
| | | Daily | (deg. C) | Daily | | | | , 5 | |
| | | Max | (ucg. c) | Min | | | | | |
| 1 | *** | 32.3 | 29.3 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 84 | 5 | 60 | 7 |
| 2 | *** | 31.5 | 27.7 | 25.2 | 25.8 | 89 | 41 | 20 | 6.6 |
| 3 | *** | 27.5 | 25.8 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 98 | 103 | 10 | 2.9 |
| 4 | *** | 31.3 | 28.3 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 86 | 13 | 220 | 5 |
| 5 | *** | 32.8 | 28.8 | 25.4 | 25.2 | 82 | 5 | 190 | 6.6 |
| 6 | *** | 31.4 | 29 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 82 | 6.5 | 190# | 6.3# |
| 7 | *** | 32.1 | 29.1 | 27.8 | 25.8 | 83 | 4.5 | 210 | 6.4 |
| 8 | *** | 31.5 | 29.5 | 28.3 | 25.9 | 81 | 0 | 190 | 6.8 |
| 9 | *** | 31.3# | 29.2 | 27.7# | 25.8 | 82 | 6.5 | 200 | 7.4 |
| 10 | *** | 29.7 | 27.5 | 25.3 | 26 | 92 | 12.5 | 210 | 4.1 |
| 11 | *** | 30.2 | 27.7 | 25.4 | 26.2 | 91 | 7 | 180 | 3.8 |
| 12 | *** | 32.8 | 29.5 | 27.2 | 25.7 | 81 | 0.5 | 190 | 6.6 |
| 13 | *** | 32.3 | 29.7 | 28.1 | 25.4 | 78 | 0 | 190 | 7.6 |
| 14 | *** | 31.5 | 29.3 | 27.4 | 26 | 82 | 0.5 | 190 | 6 |
| 15 | *** | 33.3 | 29.4 | 26.4 | 25.9 | 82 | 0 | 220 | 3.9 |
| 16 | *** | 33 | 29.2 | 26.1 | 25.7 | 82 | 0 | 190 | 3.5 |
| 17 | *** | 33.9 | 30 | 26.4 | 26.3 | 82 | 0 | 190 | 3.7 |
| 18 | *** | 34.2 | 30.2 | 26.8 | 26.7 | 83 | 0 | 190 | 3.3 |
| 19 | *** | 33.9 | 29 | 25.8 | 26.4 | 87 | 27 | 230 | 3.5 |
| 20 | *** | 33.1 | 28 | 24.8 | 26.2 | 90 | 14 | 200 | 4 |
| 21 | *** | 32.1 | 28.2 | 26 | 26.2 | 89 | 2.5 | 190 | 3.8 |
| 22 | *** | 31.2 | 27.9 | 25.4 | 26 | 89 | 0.5 | 220 | 3.2 |
| 23 | *** | 32.2 | 28.4 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 86 | 0 | 100 | 4.2 |
| 24 | *** | 31.6 | 28.7 | 26.6 | 26.3 | 87 | 3 | 20 | 3.7 |
| 25 | *** | 33.3 | 29.4 | 26.9 | 26 | 83 | 0.5 | 190 | 4.4 |
| 26 | *** | 34.5 | 30.4 | 26.9 | 25.4 | 76 | 0 | 230 | 5.9 |
| 27 | *** | 34.8 | 30.6 | 27.7 | 25.3 | 75 | 0 | 190 | 5.8 |
| 28 | *** | 32.8 | 28.9 | 26.8 | 25.4 | 82 | 0 | 230 | 4.5 |
| 29 | *** | 30.3 | 27.5 | 26 | 25.5 | 89 | 3.5 | 20 | 6.1 |
| 30 | *** | 31.2 | 28.3 | 26.3 | 25.4 | 85 | 18 | 70 | 10.6 |
| 31 | *** | 27.5 | 25.9 | 24.4 | 23.8 | 88 | 172.5 | 10 | 12.5 |


^{***} unavailable

Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected

[#] data incomplete



Figures




Contract No. CV/2015/07


Handling of Surplus Public Fill (2016-2018)


Figure 1

Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations -Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank









Handling of Surplus Public Fill(2016-2018)

Figure 4 Locations of Additional Water Quality Monitoring Stations (3RS Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank

