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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

1.1.1 Maunsell Consultants Asia Ltd (MCAL) in association with Consultants in Environmental Sciences (Asia) Ltd and Hassell Ltd have been commissioned by New Territories East Development Office of the Territory Development Department to undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment of the proposed District Road D15 in Sha Tin.
1.1.2 The proposed Road D15 linking Lok Shun Path and Tai Po Road, is aim to provide an alternative link between Lok Lo Ha Area (in Planning Area 43 and 44) and Tai Po Road so as to relieve traffic congestion at the present access via Fo Tan Road.
1.1.3 The boundary of the Study Area for the EIA is defined by a distance of 300 metres from the proposed road alignment except that, for visual assessment, the Study Area is extended to 500 metres from the proposed road alignment but include sensitive receivers beyond this distance if required. The proposed road alignment and EIA Study Area is indicated in Figure 1.1.

### 1.2 Study Objectives

1.2.1 The purpose of this EIA Study is to provide information on the nature and extent of environmental impacts arising from the construction and operation of the proposed project and all related activities taking place concurrently.
1.2.2 The proposed roadworks are located between industriai and village developments, and pass through a woodland area. The ecology of the wooded area will be affected by the road and associated slopeworks. The sensitive village receivers adjacent to the proposed alignment will be sensitive to air quality impacts. In this respect, the representative of Lok Lo Ha village has formally objected to the road improvements works, including 'fung shui' aspects of the works.

Hence the specific environmental impacts that will be addressed by the study include:

- Noise impacts
- Air quality impacts
- Ecological impacts
- Landscape and visual impacts
- Requirements of an EM\&A Manual


### 1.3 Report Structure

1.3.1 Following this Introduction, Section 2 describes the proposed project and the anticipated activities during construction and operation stages.
1.3.2 Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively present the impact assessments of noise, air quality, ecology and landscape/visual issues. Mitigation measures for the identified impacts are proposed together with a discussion on likely residual impacts.
1.3.3 Section 7 highlights the scope and requirements of Environmental Monitoring and Audit for the proposed project.
1.3.4 Finally, Section 8 provides a summary of the findings and recommendations on mitigation measures and conclusions for the EIA.

## 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 Project Description \& Programme

2.1.1 The project was initiated by Transport Department in 1993, with the intention of providing an alternative link between Fo Tan Area and the external Trunk Roads such as Tai Po Road. It is considered that such a link will alleviate the traffic congestion at Fo Tan Industrial Area and Fo Tan Interchange, provide an alternative vehicular access in case of emergencies, and possibly reduce the travelling time to/from Fo Tan Area.

The link is about 0.5 km long, extending from the existing roundabout at Lok Shun Path to the Elevated Access Road at the northern extremity of the KCRC Development over the Ho Tung Lau Depot (Royal Ascot). As this access road joins the Old Tai Po Road some 300 metres further north, a direct link between Old Tai Po Road and Lok Shun Path will be available at the completion of this Project.

### 2.1.2 Program

The construction of Road D15 is anticipated to commence in early 1998 for a period of 24 months.

### 2.1.3 Road Alignment and Key Engineering Features

The Road D15 extension will take the form of a 7.3 m wide, 2-lane single carriageway, with the provision of a 2.75 m footpath beside it. The existing roundabout at Lok Shun Path will also be widened in conjunction with the project, and the existing access for the carpark and refuse collection point (RCP) beneath the Lok Shun Path Bridge will be maintained.

A new system of footpath/cycle track will also be constructed beside the KCR tracks, in accordance with the current planning layout for Area 44 of Sha Tin.

As indicated in Figure 1.1, the road alignment passes through the southeastern verge of Lok Lo Ha Village, turns north and ascends the existing slopes, before it leads and descends to join the existing elevated access road beside Royal Ascot. Since hilly terrain with high spots and steep valleys are encountered, slope cuttings and bridge structures become inevitable. Three bridges and a few cuttings are proposed.

Bridge A is a 3 span bridge about 100 m long, approximately 6 metres above the existing ground level while Bridge $B$ spans across a natural valley and connects to slope cuttings at both ends. Bridge C is about 50 metres long and possibly a 2 span bridge connected to the Royal Ascot elevated access road.

Some retaining walls will also be constructed in association with the above. Landscaping works will be implemented on the formed slopes to enhance the outlook of these slopes.

### 2.2 Activities During Construction

Only nominal construction activities for earthworks, drainage works, roadworks, retaining walls and bridge structure will be anticipated.

Piling will be required to support the three proposed bridges, and the piling type/layout is subject to detailed design. For this Study, percussive piling techniques are assumed.

Site access will be limited to both ends of the project boundary, i.e., from Lok Shun Path roundabout and from Royal Ascot elevated access road.

### 2.3 Activities During Operation

No particular requirements on maintenance is anticipated, apart from the nominal maintenance work undertaken by respective Government agencies/departments.

## 3 NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 3.1 Identification of Noise Sensitive Receivers

3.1.1 The identification of representatives noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) was carried out as a desktop exercise at the initial stage, followed by site verification at each selected location. The NSRs selected are mainly from residential areas in Lok Lo Ha's 'V' Zone and Royal Ascot.
3.1.2 The procedure adopted follows the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG). Representative NSRs within 300 metres from the road widening works were identified. The locations of the NSRs are indicated in Figure 3.1. Table 3.1 gives a description of facades involved. Existing low-rise properties (i.e. one and two-storey structures) located below the proposed bridge structures along the alignment at Lok Lo Ha were not considered as NSRs as the resultant noise impact arising from the project is considered to be insignificant.
3.1.3 It is understood that there are no other noise sensitive developments that will be built within the Study Area in the future although pockets of residential developments may still occur within Lok Lo Ha Village. However the worst locations closest to the roadway have been considered in this Study. There is also no identifiable NSR on the northern end of the Study Area, as the dwellings are out of view from the proposed road alignment.

Table 3.1 : Representatives Noise Sensitive Receivers

| Noisemeceilurandentification |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | Ficus Garden | 16-storey residential block |
| F2 | Haywood Villa | 5-storey residential apartments |
| F3 to F17 | Lok Lo Ha Village | 3-storey village housing |
| F18 to F23 | Royal Ascot | high-rise residential apartments |
| F24 to F25 | Lok Lo Ha Village | inner village housing on hillside |
| F26 | Jubilee Garden - Block 6 | 38-storey residential block |

### 3.2 Assessment Criteria

### 3.2.1 Construction Noise

3.2.1.1 Noise generated by construction activities comes under the control of Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) enacted in 1988. Specific criteria and procedures for noise impact assessment during construction are set out in two Technical Memoranda associated with the Ordinance; i.e. the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Noise other than Percussive Piling and the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Percussive Piling.
3.2.1.2 The procedures require the use of quiet machinery by permitting longer working hours if the noise levels are acceptable in relation to the local conditions. In other words, noise emission from a particular site must comply with the acceptable noise levels (ANL's) during the restricted periods and contractors are required to obtain a Construction Noise Permit (CNP) to carry out works involving powered mechanical equipment (PME) and Prescribed Construction Works. The restricted periods include night time (i.e. 1900 hours - 0700 hours), Sunday and public holiday.
3.2.1.3 For CNP considerations, the applicable noise limits depend upon the existing noise environment the NSR is located which is reflected in an Area Sensitivity Rating (ASR). An ASR of ' $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ' is assigned for the study area which comprises of mainly low density and isolated high-rise developments. Therefore the ANL for the daytime and evening during holidays is $65 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ and all days during the night time is $50 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$.
3.2.1.4 In addition, the NCO requires that hand-held percussive breakers over 10 kg and air compressors comply with noise emission standards by bearing the official Noise Emission Labels.
3.2.1.5 There is no statutory control on construction noise (other than percussive piling) over the daytime (i.e. between 0700 hours - 1900 hours) on normal weekdays. However, EPD's Practice Note for Professions Persons PN 2/93 sets a nonstatutory daytime noise limit of $75 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ at residential dwellings and $70 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ at facades of schools or $65 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ during examinations.

### 3.2.2 Operation Noise

3.2.2.1 Table 3.2 highlights the traffic noise limits as stipulated in the HKPSG. The standards apply to opened-window environment in the peak hour in terms of $\mathrm{L}_{10}$.

Table 3.2 HKPSG Road Traffic Noise Limits

| Use | Noise Limit $\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential dwellings | 70 |
| Offices | 70 |
| Educational institutions including kindergarten \& nurseries | 65 |
| Hospitals, clinics and homes for the aged | 55 |

3.2.2.2 The operation stage assessment covers the road traffic noise generated by the new road link. The assessment methodology follows those given in the Calculation of Road Traffic Noise, DoT/UK 1988 and the guidelines included in the HKPSG Chapter 9, Environment. For NSRs adversely affected by the increase in traffic noise, direct technical mitigation measures will be provided to satisfy the HKPSG standards.
3.2.2.3 Where all practicable direct technical remedies fail to reduce the traffic noise levels to meet HKPSG noise limits, indirect technical remedies (ITR), namely provision of building insulation and air-conditioners, should be used to mitigate the residual noise in accordance with the ExCo directive. A NSR is eligible for ITR if the following criteria are met:
(i) the combined maximum traffic noise level expected from the altered highway together with other traffic in the vicinity must be above $70 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{L}_{10}$ 1-hour;
(ii) the relevant noise level is at least $1.0 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ more than the prevailing traffic noise level before the road works;
(iii) the contribution to the increase in the relevant noise level from the altered highway must be at least $1.0 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$.

### 3.3 Baseline Noise Measurements

3.3.1 As most of the identified NSRs are located north of Lok Shun Path and away from the existing roadways, traffic noise is not a major contributor to the ambient noise environment. Hence, the baseline noise measurements were confined to those NSRs closest to existing roadway.
3.3.2 The noise measurements were carried out during the morning peak period from 0730 to 0930 hours on a weekday.
3.3.3 Noise measurements were made at 1 metre from the external facade of the selected NSR. Noise parameters in $\mathrm{L}_{10}(1$ hour $)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{90}(1$ hour $)$ were measured.
3.3.4 The dominant noise sources measured are traffic noise and intermittent train noise from the KCRC railway. However for the Village Chief's house located at the end of Lok Shun Path, construction activities across the road at Royal Ascot is the major contributor to the background noise. It should be pointed out that existing traffic flow is very light at the northern end of Lok Shun Path where the 'U-turn' facility mainly caters for taxis and mini-buses. The results of the baseline noise measurements are summarised in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 : Baseline Noise Measurement Results

|  | Facade Noise level in dB(A) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1_{i o}$ (1 hour) | Le (1) hou |
| Haywood Garden off Lok Lam Road | 63.6 | 61.3 |
| Lok Lo Ha Village Chief's House at end of Lok Shun Path | 64.7 | 62.8 |
| Podium of Jubilee Garden Shopping Centre ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71.0 | 66.5 |

Note:- (a) dominant traffic noise from Tai Po Road

### 3.4 Impact Assessment - Construction Phase

### 3.4.1 Major Works

3.4.1.1 The major works involved in the road construction project include:

- Reconstruction of existing roundabout at Lok Shun Path with improved access to the RCP and carpark underneath the bridge structure,
- Construction of three bridges. Bridge foundations are expected to be on piles employing percussive piling techniques,
- Slope cutting and retaining wall construction
3.4.1.2 It has been assumed that normal daytime hours ( $7 \mathrm{am}-7 \mathrm{pm}$ ) of working would by adopted by the contractor six days a week. Night time work and work during Sundays and public holidays are not anticipated.
3.4.1.3 Where practicable, quietened equipment shall be used in all construction work. This involves the use of silencers, mufflers, acoustic linings and hydraulic powered system.


### 3.4.2 Construction Activities

Haul Roads Construction
3.4.2.1 Haul roads will be formed at the onset from both ends along the proposed road alignment to provide access to the bridge sites. The activities involved and the equipment required are listed in Table 3.4 below.

Table 3.4 Equipment List for Haul Roads Construction

| Operation | Equipment TYpe | Number | SWL <br> $\mathrm{aB}(\mathrm{A})$ per piece |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway levelling | Grader | 1 | 113 |
|  | Bulldozer | 1 | 115 |
| Laying of sub-base material | Dumptruck | 1 | 117 |
|  | Roller | 1 | 108 |

## Bridge Construction

3.4.2.2 Of the three bridges to be built, Bridge A is the longest with a span of approximately 100 metres. Precast concrete piles would form the piers' foundation and percussive piling techniques is to be employed. The other bridge structure shall be cast in-situ. Table 3.5 below indicates the list of equipment likely to be used.

Table 3.5 Equipment List for Bridge Construction

| Operation | Equipment Type | Number | SWL <br> $\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{per}$ piece |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precast concrete pile placement | Drop hammer driving concrete pile | 1 | 116 |
| Pile capping | Excavator <br> Backhoe <br> Earth-moving truck <br> Mobile diesel crane <br> Compressor (silenced) <br> Bar bender/cutter (electric) <br> Concrete mixer truck <br> Vibratory poker <br> Handheld pneumatic breaker | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 112 \\ 117 \\ 112 \\ 100 \\ 90 \\ 109 \\ 113 \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ |
| Pier and Abutment construction | Mobile diesel crane Compressor (silenced) <br> Bar bender/cutter Concrete mixer truck Vibratory poker Concrete pump truck Excavator Dumptruck | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 100 \\ 90 \\ 109 \\ 113 \\ 109 \\ 112 \\ 117 \end{gathered}$ |
| In-situ Superstructure Construction | Mobile diesel crane Compressor (silenced) Winch (pneumatic) Concrete mixer truck Concrete pump truck Vibratory pokers | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 100 \\ & 110 \\ & 109 \\ & 109 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ |

## Retaining Wall Construction

3.4.2.3 Towards the northern end of the proposed road, retaining walls should be required to shore up the slope cuttings. At the critical location between Bridge $B$ and Bridge C , a retaining wall of 7 metres high is proposed. The construction activities shall involve ground excavation, placement of reinforced concrete and backfilling. Table 3.6 provides the list of equipment required.

## Table 3.6 Equipment List for Retaining Wall Construction

| Operation | Equipment Type | Number | SWL dB(A) per piece |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground excavation | Excavator/loader | 1 | 112 |
|  | Dumptruck | 1 | 117 |
|  | Compressor (silenced) | 1 | 100 |
|  | Bar bender | 1 | 90 |
| Concreting | Concrete mixer truck | 1 | 109 |
|  | Concrete pump |  | 109 |
|  | Water pump (petrol) | 1 | 103 |
|  | Vibratory poker | 1 | 113 |
| Backfilling | Dumptruck | 1 | 117 |
|  | Excavator/loader | 1 | 112 |
|  | Vibratory roller | 1 | 108 |

## Drainage

3.4.2.4 Drainage will be installed along the new road sections. Drainage trenches will be excavated. Precast concrete channels will be installed along both sides of the road. The equipment for the task is listed in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7 Equipment List for Drainage Works

| ©peration | Equtipment. Type | Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trench excavation | Excavator <br> Dumptruck | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 117 \end{aligned}$ |
| Channel placement | Mobile diesel crane | 1 | 112 |
| Backfilling | Backhoe | 1 | 112 |

## Pavement Construction

3.4.2.5 Pavement construction will be along the haul road formed at the initial stage which shall follow the proposed road alignment. The equipment required for the task is given in Table 3.8.

## Table 3.8 Equipment List for Pavement Construction

| Operation |  | Number | SWL dB(A) per piece |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway levelling | Grader Bulldozer | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ |
| Laying of sub-base material | Dumptruck Roller | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kerbing | Concrete mixer truck Concrete saw | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ |
| Laying new surface | Compressor Asphalt paver Roller | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 109 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ |

## Construction of Stairways

3.4.2.6 There are two stairways to be provided in addition to the footpaths and cycle track. As the proposed road alignment stays above ground for most of its sections, the stairways provide connection to the village dwellings below. Excavation and concreting will be the main activities involved.

Minor Works and Low Noise Activities
3.4.2.7 The remaining minor works will involve the use of low noise equipment. The works comprise of road markings and signing, street lighting, installation of noise barriers, fencing and landscaping.

### 3.4.3 Impact Assessment

3.4.3.1 The assessment of construction noise impacts is based on the work activities as described in Section 3.4.2. Noise impact on NSR is confined to a distance of 300 metres from the construction noise sources. Table 3.9 summarises the assessment results.
3.4.3.2 It can be seen that road construction activities and the associated drainage works have the greatest impact on the identified NSRs. This is primarily due to the close proximity of the dwellings to the construction activities. Noise levels of up to 87.8 $\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A})$ has been calculated for Facade F 11 , which lies about 10 metres from the roadway. This is also true for Facade F25.
3.4.3.3 Other facades at Lok Lo Ha Village are also expected to experience high construction noise exceeding the daytime limit of $75 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$.

Table 3.9 Predicted Construction Noise Impacts


Note : - na - not applicable
3.4.3.4 Noise arising from bridge construction will exceed the daytime limit for facades at Royal Ascot.
3.4.3.5 Facade F25 is likely to experience significant noise impact from retaining wall and stairway construction which exceed the daytime noise limit.
3.4.3.6 Facade F26 at Jubilee Garden exceeds 300 metres from the nearest roadwork area at the roundabout and hence the construction noise impact is expected to be insignificant.

### 3.4.4 Noise Control Measures during Construction Phase

3.4.4.1 The following noise control measures are recommended to mitigate the noise impact :
(i) All construction work are restricted to 0700-1900 hours on weekdays and Saturdays.
(ii) Temporary purposed-built barriers should be installed along the roadworks boundary fronting the NSRs at the onset of construction to screen the anticipated high construction noise. Such barrier is assumed to provide a reduction of $10 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ in noise levels in accordance with the Technical Memorandum on Noise from Construction Work. The noise barriers are to remain throughout the construction period.

From Table 3.9, it is noted that the daytime noise level at Facades F5, F10, F11 and F25 will be marginally exceeded the established standard for a short period of time for construction activities such as road and drainage construction. However, the anticipated noise levels shown in Table 3.9 only represent the worst case analysis. Since the said construction activities are mobile in nature and will be followed a construction programme, the noise levels are expected to be within the established guideline if the following additional measures are to be applied.
(i) Quietened equipment for the construction work should be employed.
(ii) The number of equipment, procedure and sequence of construction should be arranged in such a way that the noise levels generated from the plants are kept to a minimum.

### 3.5 Impact Assessment - Operation Phase

### 3.5.1 Future Traffic Flow Projections

3.5.1.1 The Study Brief requires the calculation of operation traffic noise to be based on the peak hour traffic projection for the appropriate design year within a period of 15 years after the opening of Road D15.
3.5.1.2 For this assessment, the 2011 AM Peak Hour traffic projection has been adopted as the worst case scenario in terms of traffic flow on Road D15. In this connection reference is made to the TIA report of Ho Tung Lau Phase II Development. The Transport Department has been consulted on the use of the traffic flow projections in the report prior to the commencement of this Study.
3.5.1.3 The traffic flow projections of Road D15 used in the traffic noise impact assessment are shown in Table 3.10.

Table 3.10 : 2011 AM Peak Hour Traffic Projections of Road D15

| Parameter | Northbound | Southbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Flow (veh/hr) | 400 | 850 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 35\% | 22\% |
| Design Speed (km/hr) | 50 | 50 |

Note:- a. Heavy vehicle defined by weight greater than $1,525 \mathrm{~kg}$.
b. Road surface is asphalt concrete with no friction course

### 3.5.2 Impact Assessment

3.5.2.1 Ficus Garden: Traffic noise levels of $69 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ are predicted at the fifth floor facade of the building. This level that rises above the road structure of Lok King Street received the highest traffic noise. However, the HKPSG's noise limit is not expected to be exceeded and therefore noise mitigation measure will not be necessary.
3.5.2.2 Haywood Villa: This low-rise residential block overlooking Lok Shun Path is expected to experience noise levels of $70 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ at the top floor. Hence, no noise mitigation measures would be required.
3.5.2.3 Royal Ascot: All the noise sensitive facades are calculated to have noise levels within the HKPSG's limit of $70 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ except for facade F19. The critical facade to Road D15 is facade F19 with a predicted noise levels of $71 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$. Hence, noise mitigation measures would be required for the said facade.
3.5.2.4 Lok Lo Ha Village: The NSRs most affected by traffic noise from Road D15 will be those in the vicinity of the roundabout. The cluttered residential developments are fully exposed to traffic noise with the road at ground level. Worst affected facades are F10 and F11, located approximately 10 metres from the roadway. Predicted noise levels calculated for the top floors are in the order of $74 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$.

For facade F25 located further up the northern slope of the village, noise levels of up to $79 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ are predicted at the upper floor. This facade is about 7 metres from the roadway.
3.5.2.5 Jubilee Garden: The calculated noise levels of $74 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ at facade F 26 exceeds the HKPSG noise limits. However, the increase in traffic noise is attributable to the increase in traffic flow on the existing road (i.e. Lok King Street) fronting the NSR. Therefore, any direct mitigation works at the proposed new road is not expected to be able to reduce the overall noise levels at facade F26.

### 3.5.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures

3.5.3.1 Table 3.11 summarises the noise assessment results. It is noted that seven noise sensitive facades would require noise mitigation.
3.5.3.2 Facade F 17 would require a vertical noise barrier of 1.5 metre high running 50 metres along the road edge to the roundabout. With the noise barrier, the noise levels at the critical facade is expected to be reduced to $69 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$.
3.5.3.3 The newly developed village housing on the western edge of the roundabout is found to require a 2 -metre high barrier running 90 metres along the road edge. There would be a break in the barrier at the ramp for the cycle track as indicated in Figure 3.2.
3.5.3.4 Facade F19 would require a vertical noise barrier of 3 metre high on the parapet of bridge A along the southbound carriageway of Road D15. With the proposed noise barrier, the anticipated noise levels at the critical facade is expected to be reduced to $70 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$. The extension of proposed noise barrier is shown in Figure 3.2.
3.5.3.5 Further upstream at Facade F25, a 3.5-metre high barrier would be required. The length of the barrier is estimated to be 30 metres.

Table 3.11 Assessment of Noise Mitigation Measures

| REPRESENTATIVE <br> NOISE SEISSITIVE FACADES | NOISE LEVEL WHHOUT MITIGATION MEASURE $\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{l})$ | PROPOSED NOISE MITIGATION MEASURE | NOISE EEVEL WIH MITIGATION MEASURE $\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A}), \mathrm{L}_{10}(1 \mathrm{Ht})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 69 | Not required | - |
| F2 | 70 | Not Required | - |
| F3 | 67 | Not Required | - |
| F4 | 71 | 2 m Vertical Barrier, 90 metres long | 69 |
| F5 | 67 | Not Required | - |
| F6 | 69 | Not Required | - |
| F7 | 67 | Not Required | - |
| F8 | 66 | Not Required | - |
| F9 | 63 | Not Required | - |
| F10 | 74 | 2 m Vertical Barrier, 90 metres long | 69 |
| F11 | 74 | 2 m Vertical Barrier, 90 metres long | 68 |
| F12 | 65 | - Not Required | - |
| F13 | 66 | Not Required | - |
| F14 | 64 | Not Required | - |
| F15 | 70 | Not Required | - |
| F16 | 71 | 2 m Vertical Barrier, 90 metres long | 67 |
| F17 | 73 | 1.5 m . Vertical Barrier, 50 metres long | 69 |
| F18 | 68 | Not Required | - |
| F19 | 71 | 3 m Vertical Barrier, 80 metres long | 70 |
| F20 | 67 | Not Required | - |
| F21 | 65 | Not Required | - |
| F22 | 68 | Not Required | - |
| F23 | 69 | Not Required | - |
| F24 | 68 | Not Required | - |
| F25 | 79 | 3.5 m Vertical Barrier, 30 metres long | 68 |
| F26 | 74 | Not Applicable | * |

## Note

* Please refer to Section 3.5.2.5


## 4 AIR QUALITY

### 4.1 Legislation and Guidelines

The Air Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 311, 1983) provides powers for controlling air pollutants from stationary and mobile sources, including fugitive dust emissions from construction sites. It encompasses a number of Air Quality Objectives (AQOs). Currently AQOs stipulate concentrations for a variety of pollutants, of which carbon monoxide ( CO ), nitrogen dioxide $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$, respirable suspended particulates (RSP) and total suspended particulates (TSP) are relevant to this Study. The AQOs are listed in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives

| Parameter | Maximum Average Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{gm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H/WUr? | 8 Hour ${ }^{3}$ | 24-Hour | Annual |
| CO | 30000 | 10000 | ------ | ------ |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 300 | -- | 150 | 80 |
| RSP | ----- | ----- | 180 | 55 |
| TSP | $500^{5}$ | ----- | 260 | 80 |

$1 \quad$ Measured at 298 K and 101.325 kPa .
2 Not to be exceeded more than three times per year.
3 Not to be exceeded more than once per year.
4 Arithmetic mean.
5 Not an AQO. However, it is generally accepted that an hourly average TSP concentration of 500 $\mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$ should not be exceeded. Such a control limit is particularly relevant to construction work and has been imposed on a number of construction projects in Hong Kong in the form of contract clauses.

### 4.2 Selected Air Quality Sensitive Receivers

Twenty-six representative air quality sensitive receivers were selected for this assessment and are listed in Table 4.2. They are existing buildings located in the proximity of the proposed road alignment. The receiver heights considered in this analysis were $1.5,5,10$ and 15 metres above local ground level, 1.5 metres being the average height of human breathing zone. Locations of the selected air quality sensitive receivers and the proposed road alignment are shown in Figure 4.1.

Table 4.2 Selected Air Quality Sensitive Receivers

| Recerver | Location | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Haywood Villa | 5-storey residential buildings |
| $2-18$ | Lok Lo Ha Village | Single to 3-storey village houses |
| $19-26$ | Royal Ascot | High-rise residential buildings |

### 4.3 Methodology

### 4.3.1 Construction Phase

The major potential air quality impact during the construction phase of the project will result from dust generated during road construction and during cut and fill operations. Vehicle and plant exhaust emissions from the site are not considered to constitute a significant source of air pollutants.

## Emissions Calculations

Emission points for dust release from the construction activities will include the following:

- bulldozing construction material and overburden;
- grading of embankment;
- loading and unloading of construction material;
- plant vehicles travel on unpaved site roads; and
- wind erosion of stockpiles and open site.

On-site concrete batching and rock crushing are not anticipated.
The prediction of dust emissions was based on typical values and emission factors from Supplement F of USEPA Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP42) (4th Edition, 1993). The emission factor for general construction activities taken from AP-42 was used to incorporate all the general road construction activities within the site, including bulldozing, grading, loading and unloading of materials, plant vehicles travel on unpaved site roads and wind erosion. As stated in AP-42, the emission factor for general construction activities has incorporated a large portion of the emissions resulting from traffic over temporary roads within the construction site. Assuming that only general road construction activities will be involved in the road construction, the emission factor for general construction activities is considered representative.

In this assessment, it was assumed that a maximum of 30 percent of the site area would be actively operated at any one time during the construction period. A 12-hour working day was assumed for road construction activities.

## Dispersion Modelling

Dispersion modelling was undertaken using multiple runs of USEPA approved Fugitive Dust Model (FDM) to assess potential dust impacts from the construction activities. Modelling was undertaken to establish worst-case 1 -hour average and 24hour average TSP concentrations at the selected air quality sensitive receivers at 1.5 , 5,10 and 15 metres above local ground level. Surface roughness was taken as 2 metres in the FDM model to represent the hilly terrain and high-rise buildings in the proximity of the study area. Mixing height was assumed to be 500 metres and the height of emission was taken as local ground level.

The dispersion modelling was undertaken for 360 predefined separate meteorological conditions in order to ascertain the worst-case impact. Wind directions were taken at 10 degree increments. The model was tested with 2 atmospheric stability classes ( B and D ), and 5 wind speeds of $1 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}, 2 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}, 4 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}, 6 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ and $8 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. The 1-hour average TSP concentrations were predicted for each of the meteorological conditions at each of the selected air quality sensitive receivers. The worst-case 1-hour average TSP concentration was ascertained at each air quality sensitive receivers for these 360 meteorological conditions.

Conservative predictions of the worst-case 24 -hour average TSP concentrations were undertaken by assuming worst-case 1 -hour average TSP concentrations for the twelve working hours and apparently no dust emissions for other time of the day. It is noted that wind erosion of stockpiles and open site may occur during non-operating hours. Nevertheless, with proper covering of fine aggregate stockpiles, wind erosion during non-operating hours is considered minimum and emissions from wind erosion, if any, during non-operating hours were reasonably accounted by the conservative estimate of dust emissions during operating hours.

For the purpose of this assessment, future background TSP concentration of $87 \mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$ was estimated based on annual average TSP concentration recorded at EPD's Tai Po Air Quality Monitoring Station for year 1992. The estimated future background TSP concentration was added to the modelling results to predict the cumulative dust impacts at the air quality sensitive receivers.

Details of the dispersion modelling, including a schematic location plan of the selected air quality sensitive receivers and the modelled dust sources, and calculation of the emission rates are listed in Appendix 1A. Sample input and output files of the FDM model are included in Appendix 1B.

### 4.3.2 Operational Phase

Air quality impacts during the operational phase of the project may result from vehicle emissions arising from traffic on the new road network as well as on existing roads. To assess the potential impact, year 2011 AM peak hour traffic flow and vehicle mix predicted by the traffic consultant for the new road network were used for the assessment.

## Emission Calculations

The composition of the vehicle fleet of the proposed Road D15 for year 2011 provided by the traffic consultants was used. The composition was broken down into cars/taxis and other vehicles only. Emission factors for $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and RSP were taken from the Fleet Average Emission Factors - EURO2 Model provided by EPD for year 2011. Conservative assessment was undertaken by taking the air pollutants emission rates of other vehicles as heavy goods vehicles and cars/taxis as private cars (petrol cars for CO and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emission calculations, diesel cars for RSP emission calculations) respectively. The composite emission factors are summarised in Table 4.3. No speed correction or other adjustments were made. $20 \%$ of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ was assumed to be $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, as normally adopted for such assessment.

Table 4.3 Composite Vehicle Emission Factors

| Road | 2011 AM <br> Peal Flow (vehicle. hr) | Vehicle Iype | proportion | Emission Factor $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{km}^{-1}\right.$ ehicle ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CO | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | RSP? |
| Road D15 <br> Northbound | 401 | Cars / taxis | 63\% | 13.51 | 1.32 | 0.28 |
|  |  | Other vehicles | 37\% | 8.41 | 7.06 | 0.57 |
|  |  | Composite emission factor ${ }^{1}$ |  | 11.62 | 3.44 | 0.39 |
| Road D15 <br> Southbound | 851 | Cars / taxis | 78\% | 13.51 | 1.32 | 0.28 |
|  |  | Other vehicles | 22\% | 8.41 | 7.06 | 0.57 |
|  |  | Composite em | sion factor ${ }^{1}$ | 12.39 | 2.58 | 0.34 |

1 Composite emission factor is the average vehicle emission factor for a certain vehicle type composition on a road. For example, for Road D15 northbound, composite CO emission factor is equal to $63 \% \times 13.51+37 \% \times 8.41=11.62 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~km}^{-1}$ vehicle ${ }^{-1}$.

Petrol vehicles contribute more carbon monoxide, while heavy diesel-powered vehicles emit more nitrogen oxides and particulates. Current emission controls will reduce emissions from petrol vehicles as more vehicles will be fitted with catalytic convertors. In this assessment, RSP has considerably lower composite emission rates when compared with $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ( $20 \%$ of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) (see Table 4.3), and CO has considerably higher statutory limits (see Table 4.1). $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is therefore the key parameter of concern. If the predicted $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ levels comply with the AQOs, it is likely that both RSP and CO would also comply with their respective AQOs. The majority of air quality studies undertaken in Hong Kong and the monitoring undertaken by EPD indicate this to be the case. This assessment therefore focused on predicting future $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentrations arising from the road network.

## Dispersion Modelling

Dispersion modelling was undertaken using USEPA approved CALINE4 dispersion model. Worst-case meteorological condition of atmospheric stability class D and wind speed of $1 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ were used in the analysis together with the worst-case wind angle option of the CALINE4 model. A wind direction standard deviation of $18^{\circ}$ was employed in this assessment. Modelling was undertaken to establish worst-case 1hour average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentrations at the selected air quality sensitive receivers at 1.5, 5,10 and 15 metres above local ground level.

Proposal on noise mitigation measures such as partial or total enclosure or barrier along the road alignment was not anticipated. No assessment was undertaken to consider the effect of noise mitigation measures on dispersion of air pollutants in this study.

For the purpose of this assessment, future background $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$ was estimated based on annual average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration recorded at EPD's Tai Po Air Quality Monitoring Station for year 1992. The estimated future background $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration was added to the modelling results to predict the cumulative impacts at the air quality sensitive receivers.

Details of the dispersion modelling, including a schematic location plan of the selected air quality sensitive receivers and the modelled road links are included in Appendix 1D. Sample input and output files of the CALINE4 model are included in Appendix 1E.

### 4.4 Predicted Impacts

### 4.4.1 Construction Phase

Worst-case 1-hour average and 24 -hour average TSP concentrations were predicted at different heights at each of the selected air quality sensitive receivers. The highest predicted worst-case TSP concentrations among the sensitive receivers are tabulated in Table 4.4 below. Estimated future background concentration of TSP was included in all the calculations. Detailed modelling results for each of the selected air quality sensitive receivers are included in Appendix 1C. Predicted worst-case 1-hour average TSP concentration contour plot at the worst affected receiver height of 1.5 m above local ground is shown in Figure 4.2. The highest predicted worst-case 1-hour average and 24 -hour average TSP concentrations are $69 \%$ and $83 \%$ of the guideline level and the AQO respectively. Exceedance of the guideline level or the AQO for TSP would therefore not be expected at the selected air quality sensitive receivers.

Table 4.4 Highest Predicted Worst-case 1-hour Average and 24-hour Average TSP Concentrations (Background Concentration Included)

| Receiver height above ground | Highest predicted Hou average TSP concentration ( $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{gm}^{3}$ ) | \% guideline level | Mighest ipedicted 24 hour average TSP concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{gm}^{3}$ ) | \% ^ャ० |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 m | 345 (Receiver 12) | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \text { (Receiver } \\ & \text { 12) } \end{aligned}$ | 83 |
| 5 m | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \text { (Receiver } \\ & \text { 15) } \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 151 (Receiver 15) | 58 |
| 10 m | 139 (Receiver 15) | 28 | 113 (Receiver 15) | 43 |
| 15 m | 116 (Receiver 1) | 23 | 102 (Receiver 1) | 39 |

### 4.4.2 Operational Phase

Worst-case 1-hour average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentrations were predicted at different heights at each of the selected air quality sensitive receivers. The highest predicted worst-case $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentrations among the sensitive receivers are tabulated in Table 4.5 below. Estimated future background concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ was included in all the calculations. Detailed modelling results for each of the selected air quality sensitive receivers are included in Appendix 1 F . Predicted worst-case 1 -hour average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration contour plot at different receiver heights namely $1.5,5,10$ and 15 metres above ground level are shown in Figures 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 respectively. The highest predicted worst-case 1-hour average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration is $46 \%$ of the AQO. Exceedance of the AQO for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ would therefore not be expected at the selected air quality sensitive receivers.

Table 4.5 Highest Predicted Worst-case 1-hour Average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Concentrations (Background Concentration Included)

| Receiver height above ground | Mighest predicted worst-case. 1 . hour average $N O_{2}$ concentration | \%^®® |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 m | 137 (Receiver 12) | 46 |
| 5 m | 108 (Receiver 12) | 36 |
| 10 m | 81 (Receiver 12) | 27 |
| 15 m | 67 (Receiver 13) | 22 |

### 4.5 Conclusions

Modelling results showed that the highest predicted worst-case 1 -hour average and 24-hour average TSP concentrations at the selected air quality sensitive receivers during the construction phase are $345 \mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$ and $216 \mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$ respectively. These are $69 \%$ and $83 \%$ of the respective guideline level and AQO for TSP. During the operational phase, the highest predicted worst-case 1-hour average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration is $137 \mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$, which is $46 \%$ of the AQO for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. Exceedance of the guideline level and the statutory Air Quality Objectives during both construction and operational phases of the project is not expected.

In order to further reduce the impact from the construction activities during the construction phase, the following measures are recommended :
(i) Effective dust suppression equipment and other measures should be installed to ensure the concentration of air borne dust at the site boundary and any nearby sensitive receiver is within the established standard.
(ii) The construction site should be monitered to minimise the fugitive dust emission. Wheel washing facilities should be installed and used by all vehicles leaving the construction site.
(iii) All motorized vehicles should be restricted to a maximum speed of 8 km per hour. Haulage and delivery vehicles should be confined to designated roadway inside the site.
(iv) In the process of material handling, any material which has the potential to create dust should be treated with water or sprayed with wetting agent.

## 5 ECOLOGY

### 5.1 Assessment Methodology

The scope of work for the Ecology Impact Assessment is defined in the Brief.
Field surveys to establish the existing ecological conditions of the project site were conducted during May 1996. Analysis of maps, and site survey were undertaken to provide a description of the physical environmental background and a habitat characterisation. Botanical surveys were conducted by walking the study site to develop a species list with a non-quantitative estimate of relative abundance (common, locally common, rare). Local abundance was compared with Territorywide and regional abundance estimates to determine which species are of conservation importance based on relative rarity. Attention was given to the location and identification of species that are rare, endangered, or protected under local regulation or international convention. The importance of each habitat identified was evaluated based on habitat maturity, community composition, and regional occurrence and distribution.

Site surveys for avifauna were conducted during morning hours by walking over areas to be affected by the proposed Project and recording birds heard or seen by species, abundance, and habitat. Searches were conducted for nests, roosts, colonial nest or roost sites, perches, and other habitats or habitat components of importance to resident or migratory birds.

Surveys for reptiles, amphibians, and mammals were conducted incidental to surveys for birds and vegetation. Searches were made for scats, burrows, trails, dens, or other important habitat features.

Potential impacts were identified where possible of any direct/indirect and onsite/offsite impacts that could potentially lead to destruction, displacement or adverse effects on flora and fauna (including loss of shelter or food, reduced species diversity, loss of breeding grounds, species extraction, loss of carrying capacity). Evaluation was made of the impacts and proposals suggested for mitigation measures.

### 5.2 Legislation and Guidelines

The Hong Kong Government legislation and guidelines relevant to ecological assessment include the following:

- The Country Parks Ordinance (Cap.208) protects flora and fauna within the Country Parks.
- The Forests and Countryside Ordinance (Cap. 96), which protects both natural and planted forests.
- The Forestry Regulations, which provide for protection of specified local wild plant species.
- The Wild Animals Protection Ordinance (Cap. 170), which provides for protection of listed species of wild animals (excluding fish and marine invertebrates) by prohibiting hunting and prohibiting the disturbance, taking or removal of protected animals and/or their nests or eggs.
- The Animals and Plants (Protection of Endangered Species) Ordinance (Cap. 187) restricts import, export and possession of endangered species.
- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) are identified by AFD. Site falling within statutory plans and zoned as SSSI receive planning protection under the Town Planning Ordinance.


### 5.3 Existing Conditions and Baseline Information

### 5.3.1 Introduction

The overall study area is small (approx. 2.6 ha). The project site is on the outer fringe of Sha Tin, adjacent to the KCRC Ho Yung Lau Workshop and Lok Shun Path, and bounded on the northern side by Lok Lo Ha village. The whole area is highly disturbed by human activities.

### 5.3.2 Habitats/Vegetation

A habitat map is shown in Figure 5A and 5B, and includes habitats in the immediate surroundings. The area of each habitat type within the project site boundary is given in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Habitat Types Within Project Site Boundary

| Habitat Type | approx. area (ha) | Proportion of Study Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Density Urban | 1.74 | 66\% |
| Woodland | 0.39 | 15\% |
| Horticulture | 0.16 | 6\% |
| Marsh | 0.10 | 4\% |
| Shrubland | 0.24 | 9\% |

A total of 92 plant taxa, belonging to 19 families and 82 genera, were recorded within the study area (Appendix 2). Of these, 25 taxa, belonging to 19 families and 24 genera, were exotics. Most of the species found are common in degraded areas in Hong Kong.

## High Density Urban

The area adjacent to and out side of the project boundary to the south is high density urban. It contains the KCRC Workshops and is all built upon or concrete hard standing. It is of no ecological value.

## Low Density Urban

The majority of the project site and the surrounding area has been classified as low density urban. It is a village type environment with low rise housing interspersed with small, fragmented patches of vegetation and areas of hard concrete standing. There are also small sitting out areas with soft landscaping. The habitat was considered to be of low ecological value due to the levels of disturbance.

There is a specimen of Ficus elastica in the village noted on the engineering drawings as an area of Fung Shui value. The tree is unusual because of its considerable size. It is multi-stemmed, with approximately 15 stems greater than 100 mm dbh , several of which have been cut. The spread of the tree is approximately 22 m . Ficus elastica is an introduced species and produces no figs in Hong Kong.

## Woodland

The dominant species in the main area of woodland were Schefflera octophylla and Schima superba, with frequent Cratoxylum ligustrinum and Sapium discolor. Also present were Celtis sinensis, Ficus microcarpa, Ficus hispida and Abarema lucida. The woodland was open in structure with a low canopy. Several of the tree species present often grow in well-lit conditions. This factor combined with the immaturity of the individuals suggests that the woodland has not been established for a long period of time.

A second area of 'woodland' comprises a very small copse in the village area. This contains the Ficus elastica mentioned above and also occurs with Bauhinia spp. and Mangifera indica. This area is highly disturbed with much rubbish.

## Shrubland

The common species in shrubland were Litsea rotundifolia, Rhaphiolepis indica and Clerodendrum cyrtophyllum. Climbing plant species such Ipomoea cairica, Buettneria asper and Pueraria lobata were also common in these areas of degraded vegetation.

The woodland and shrubland consist of a mixture of common native plants which are frequently found in degraded areas. The habitat provides fruit and nectar sources in addition to sites for tree or shrub nesting animals. However due to its small area, and fragmentation from other habitats in addition to the already high levels of human disturbance the woodland and scrub are considered of low ecological value.

## Marsh

There is a small, low lying, marshy area of disturbed habitat including tall grass, climbers and opportunistic species (Pueraria lobata, Ipomoea cairica, Miscanthus floribundsinensis). This is of poor habitat quality.

## Freshwater Stream

Within the project site boundary the stream has been lined with concrete and channelised. Further upstream the stream retains its natural rock bed substrate. Water flow is low (approx. 100 mm deep at time of survey), and in the village area is eutrophic and odorous. The stream is culverted downstream of Lok Shun Path and at the point of culverting effluent containing detergent is discharged into the stream. No instream fauna were found with the exception of Chironomous sp. However Odonata were observed hawking along the riparian corridor. The quality of the stream habitat was better further upstream of the study area, however the length within the project site boundary was degraded due to channelisation.

## Horticultural

Most of the agricultural fields and orchards were abandoned. The common orchard species were Longan (Dimocarpus longan), Banana (Musa paradisiaca), and Citrus maxima.

### 5.3.3 Terrestrial Fauna

## Avifauna

Birds recorded on the survey area were all species commonly found in hillside village environments in Hong Kong. Fourteen species were recorded representing 11 bird families. Avifauna was not diverse nor abundant. This may have been partially due to disturbance caused by construction works underway at the time of survey at the KCRC Workshop and also due to general human disturbance associated with the village. There were no remaining extensive tracts of undisturbed habitat on the survey area. Habitats supporting the greatest numbers and diversity of birds were the woodland and orchards.

No nests, perches, or colonial nest or roost sites were located on the survey area. The most important feeding habitats were the orchard and woodland.

Table 5.2 Avifauna Recorded at Project Site (May 1996)

| Common Name | Species | Abundanc | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spotted Dove | Streptopelia chinensis | i | R |
| Tree Sparrow | Passer montanus | ii | R |
| Crested Bulbul | Pycononotus jocosus | iii | R |
| Chinese Bulbul | Pycnonotus sinensis | i | R |
| Red-vented Bulbul | Pycononotus aurigaster | i | R |
| Magpie Robin | Copsychus saularis | i | R |
| Yellow-bellied | Prinia flaviventris | i | R |
| Common Tailorbird | Orthotomus sutorius | ii | R |
| Blackfaced Laughing Thrush | Garrulux perspicillatus | i | R |
| Black Drongo | Dicrurus macrocercus | i | SV |
| Magpie | Pica pica | i | R |
| Jungle Crow | Corvus macrorhynchus | i | R |
| Black-Necked Starling | Sturnus nigricollis | i | R |
| Masked Bunting | Emberiza spodocephala | i | WV |

NB: Abundance:

| $i$ | $1-5$ birds |
| :--- | :--- |
| ii | $6-10$ birds |
| iii | $11-20$ birds |


| Status: | $R$ | resident |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $W V$ | winter visitor |
|  | $S V$ | summer visitor |

## Reptiles and Amphibians

The only reptile recorded on the site was the Long-Tailed Skink (Mabuya longicaudata). It was seen in the shrubland area to the north of the site. It is a widespread and common species. Although amphibians were not recorded on the survey area, they would be expected to occur along the freshwater stream.

## Mammals

No mammals were recorded on the survey site. There were no scats, burrows, trails, or other indications that mammals inhabited the area. The survey area would not be expected to support wild mammals due to the highly fragmented nature of remnant habitats and the generally high levels of human disturbance.

## Invertebrates

Odonata (dragonfly/damselfly) and Lepidoptera (butterfly) observed were identified. Odonata species comprised Orthetrum pruinosum and Ischnura senegalensis which were of frequent abundance in the stream area. Lepidoptera occurred mainly around the stream and marshy area. Species included Pieris canidia common white butterfly, Papilio polytes common mormon, and Delias aglaja common black jezebel. Chironomous sp. were also seen in the stream bed.

## Protected Species

With the exception of wild birds no protected species were found in the project site area or the immediate surroundings

### 5.3.4 Protected Areas

The study area does not contain any area protected for nature conservation value such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Country Parks, Special Areas, areas of restricted access under Sixth Schedule of the Wild Animals Protection Ordinance (Cap. 170).

The closest such site to the study area, Tai Po Kau Nature Reserve is 2 km away. It was designated as a Special Area, of 460 ha in 1977. Due to the considerable distance from the study area, significant impacts are not anticipated upon the Nature Reserve.

### 5.4 Impact Assessment

### 5.4.1 Habitats/Vegetation

All the habitats found in the project area were of poor degraded habitat quality. They are considered to be of low ecological value and the habitat loss would not be a significant impact.

The area of woodland that falls within the project site boundary is approximately 0.39 ha. The road alignment will also bisect the remaining woodland area that falls outside the project site boundary. However, the woodland is a small isolated fragment, that appears to be relatively immature and of low species diversity.

Approximately 0.24 ha of shrubland, 0.16 ha of horticultural area and 0.1 ha of marsh area will be lost. These habitats are impoverished and highly disturbed.

The stream length within the project site boundary is 40 m . The stream quality at this point is poor due to channelisation. No works area anticipated to the stream, however it is adjacent to the contractors work's area. It is recommended that the stream bank top has a temporary barrier to prevent accidental dumping/spillage of materials into the stream course during construction.

The large specimen of Ficus elastica is of interest due to its considerable size. The tree is not in the direct path of the alignment and with care its integrity could be maintained. It is recommended that the tree be cordoned off during construction works to minimise any potential damage.

### 5.4.2 Fauna

Impacts to avifauna will result from loss of upland woodland habitat and orchard habitats and therefore foraging and potential nesting habitat for avifauna. This would impact birds which feed on insects (prinias and magpie robins), and fruits (bulbuls), and tree or shrub nesters. All the species recorded on the survey area are common and widely distributed throughout the Territory.

The Long-Tailed Skink was recorded in shrubland habitat at the north side of the project site. This area of open scrub will not be lost due to the project, and other scrub habitat is available in the vicinity. The Long-Tailed Skink is common and widespread in Hong Kong, therefore the impact of increased disturbance in the immediate area would not be significant on the species on a local or Territorial scale.

Due to the small size of the project area and the high levels of existing human activity, the area is not likely to be important habitat for wild mammals. The impact of the project on mammalian fauna is expected to be insignificant.

Invertebrate fauna such as Odonata and Lepidoptera were found mainly in the stream area. This habitat will not be lost therefore the impact is expected to be insignificant.

### 5.5 Mitigation \& Habitat Enhancement Measures

As the stream is adjacent to the contractors work's area, it is recommended that the stream bank top has a temporary barrier to prevent accidental dumping/spillage of materials into the stream course during construction.

It is also recommended that the large specimen of Ficus elastica tree be cordoned off during construction works to minimise any potential damage.

There is a proposed planting scheme as detailed in Section 6.10.4 and Appendix 4 of the report. The proposed scheme will provide mitigation for the loss of woodland by providing native species of vegetation to the disturbed hillside.

## 6. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Landscape and Visual Assessment seeks to identify the Landscape and Visual Impact of the proposed development up on the existing landscape, and based on the impact assessment findings, propose appropriate mitigation measures.

The study methodology for undertaking the assessment is identified below.

### 6.1 Methodology

Landscape Assessment
6.1.1 The landscape assessment for this project has been carried out in the following stages :
6.1.2 Existing Landscape Character : The existing landscape character of the site is established by assessing the natural elements on site, and identifying landscape units in terms of its physical elements :
e.g. - Landform and Vegetation and its Man Made and Cultural Elements:
e.g. - Landscape History

- Landuse
- Built Forms
- Elements of Cultural Significance

The Value of the existing landscape type is classified as

- High Landscape Value
- Moderate Landscape Value
- Low Landscape Value

Higher Value Landscapes being more sensitive to change or impact.
The assessment of the importance and value of the existing landscape character and features is then made in terms of :

- Distinctiveness
- Scenic Value
- Special Interest
and based on Professional Subjective Judgement.
Refer to Landscape Character and Value Plan. Figure 6.1.
6.1.3 Based on the above findings, the potential impacts to the existing landscape area identified, i.e., the extent of changes in or loss of the physical fabric or character of the existing site incurred as a direct result of the proposed road scheme.

Impacts may be positive or negative and are classified as :

- Severe Negative / Positive Impact
- Moderate Negative / Positive Impact
- Slight Negative / Positive Impact
6.1.4 Principles for appropriate Mitigation Measures are then established as methods of mitigating negative impacts of the proposed scheme through re-establishment planting / slope stabilisation etc. In order to best integrate the proposed road with its surrounding landscape.


## Visual Assessment

6.1.5 In order to carry out the Visual Assessment, a visual envelope plan was established, clearly mapping the extent of visibility to and from the site of the proposed road.

The extent of the Visual envelope is defined by physical elements such as :

- Topography
- Screen Vegetation
- Built Elements
6.1.6 Within the boundaries of the Visual Envelope, all Visually Sensitive Receivers (V.S.R.) area identified, and numbered for reference. These include :
- Residential Properties
- Public Buildings
which will potentially be visually affected by the road scheme.
6.1.7 Classification of Visual Impact to V.S.R.'s is based up on the extent of change to their existing views caused by the scheme. Visual Impacts may be negative or beneficial, and are classified as :
- $\quad$ Severe adverse / Beneficial Impact
- Moderate adverse / Beneficial Impact
- Slight adverse / Beneficial Impact

Evaluation and assessment of the Visual Impact is based on subjective professional judgement.
6.1.8 Visual Mitigation Proposals are established in order to ameliorate the negative visual impacts of the scheme. These methods may include :

- Screen and Structure planting
- Earth Mounding
- Off Site Planting, etc.
in order to help integrate the proposed road with its surroundings.


### 6.2 General Description of Existing Landscape and Visual Character (Refer to Existing Site Photographs, Appendix 3).

6.2.1 Along the length of the study area, the predominant components which form the overall landscape character are the imposing structure of the K.C.R.C., "Royal Ascot" housing development podium to the southern edge, and the steep woodland slopes to the north.
6.2.2 Set between these two conflicting landscape types, the study area generally maintains its original rural village character despite the noise and visual intrusion of the adjacent structures.
6.2.3 The topography of the study area is that of steep and densely vegetated slopes which form the setting for small low rise housing amongst the established native broadleaf woodland. Many of the houses are buffered against the K.C.R.C. development by the surrounding vegetation, however the village of Lok Lo Ha is subject to direct views due to their terraced layout on the hillside.
6.2.4 At the lower edges of the village adjacent to the K.C.R.C., the land comprises overgrown marshland or wasteground, with intermittent ornamental planting and garden areas.
6.2.5 For the Purpose of this study, three sections of the study area have been identified and assessed separately. The site is divided as follows:

- Chainage Pt. 100 m to 300 m
- Chainage Pt. 300m to Lok Shun Path
- Existing Footpath adjacent to K.C.R.C. line


### 6.3 Chainage Pt. 100 m to 300 m

## Landscape Assessment

## Existing Landscape Character and Value

(Refer to Figure 6.1)
6.3.1 Between chainage Pts. 100 m to 300 m , the landscape of the study area is that of dense native Broadleaf Woodland, with dense shrub understorey upon steep and hilly terrain.

To the North East of Chainage point 100 m , a cluster of small residential village houses overlook the site of the proposed elevated road. Towards chainage area 300 m a few small village houses are scattered throughout the dense woodland.
6.3.2 The landscape value of the woodland within the context of this site is high, not only for its natural value but high scenic quality, forming a dense buffer between properties set in the woodland and the K.C.R.C. / high rise housing development to the south.

## Landscape Impacts

(Refer to Figure 6.2)
6.3.3 At chainage area 100 m , the proposed road will be elevated in structure and will link with a recently constructed road, elevated upon the podium level of the Royal Ascot Housing Development to the South of the site.

The proposed road will take its route directly up and around the hillside and will result in notable disruption to the existing established woodland and undisturbed terrain of this section.
6.3.4 The proposed level of the road as it travels westward through the hillside, necessitates the construction of a 7 m high retaining wall and associated slope cutting. In addition, 8 m length engineered slopes of a $1: 5$ gradient will be required at either side of the road. The northern cut slope will be broken by a 1 m width berm. A 30 m length, 4 m high noise barrier is also proposed to this edge of the road. The retaining structure, cut slopes and noise barrier constitute severe Landscape impacts within the proposed area, resulting in the loss of natural woodland and disruption to existing topography.
6.3.5 The formation of these slopes will result in the loss of property (V.S.R. no. 10 Refer to Plan 6.4) and existing footpath access to other small dwellings. The realigned footpath along the top edge of the cut slope will require substantial buffer planting to screen the road below. These cut slopes will dramatically alter the profile of the existing topography and will require rapid establishing, indigenous replanting proposals to ensure the road and slopes sit comfortably within the existing landscape.
6.3.6 The Landscape Impact of the proposed road within this section is severe.
6.3.7 A table summarising Landscape Impacts is contained in Appendix 3.

### 6.4 Chainage Pt. 100 m to 300 m

Visual Assessment

Existing Visual Envelope

6.4.1 The extent of the Visual Envelope within this section is defined by the nature of the steep topography and dense woodland to the North, and the built mass of the K.C.R.C. / Royal Ascot Housing Development to the south.
(Refer to Figure 6.4)
6.4.2 Visually Sensitive Receivers within this area are the small shack houses (V.S.R. Nos. 1 \& 2) north of the site which directly overlook the study route and residential properties (V.S.R. Nos. $3 \& 4$ ) set high on the hillside in Hon Tung Lau, with elevated views to the site.

Other V.S.R.'s are the small residential properties (Nos. 6-11) set within the woodland upon the slopes. The nature and clarity of their views to the proposed route vary due to the surrounding dense vegetation. The High Rise properties of the Royal Ascot Housing Development and Podium grounds (V.S.R. No. 40) will also be subject to views towards the proposed road, although the dense canopy of the woodland forms a strong screen to the route as it exists.

## Visual Impact

(Refer to Figure 6.4)
6.4.3 The extension of the elevated road from podium to hillside will cause additional visual impact to the small village houses adjacent to the footpath (V.S.R. Nos. $1 \&$ 2), and will be highly visible from properties high on the hillside (V.S.R. Nos. $3 \&$ 4). When seen in context with their present view of the K.C.R. and high rise housing development, the effect will be adversely intrusive but moderate.
6.4.4 As the route of the proposed road moves into the hillside, the road will be a highly visible elevated structure, over 12 m above the existing level. As it sweeps around the hillside, it will remain notably visible from the Royal Ascot Podium garden, associated housing, and the footpath alongside the K.C.R.C., all of which will suffer moderate adverse visual impact.
6.4.5 The provision of an approximate 40 m length and 7 m height, retaining wall to the northern edge of the proposed road will also require cutting of the retained slope. At this point, the road will no longer be elevated, and the visual impact of the retaining wall will be moderate due to the screening effect of dense existing, and reinstatement woodland planting on either side of the road. There are few visual receivers in this vicinity. (Refer to "Visual Envelope Plan" Fig. 6.3).
6.4.6 The proposed engineered cutting of the existing slopes will open up direct views of the road from the onlooking 'Royal Ascot' housing development. The cut slopes will have a substantially adverse effect, disturbing the overall visual character of the established woodland. The severe topographical disturbance will result in significant visual deterioration in slope profile which may be reduced by appropriate planting treatments.
6.4.7 The proposed introduction of a 30 m length, 4 m high noise barrier to the edge of the road will constitute moderate visual intrusion to the small woodland houses and create a considerably more hostile environment than at present.
6.4.8 The proposed footpath at the northern edge of the cut slope will have open views to the K.C.R.C. and housing development and the proposed road.

A table summarising visual impacts to visually Sensitive Receivers is contained in Appendix 3.

### 6.5 Chainage Pt. 300m to Lok Shun Path

Landscape Assessment
Existing Landscape Character and Value
(Refer to Figure 6.1)
6.5.1 From Ch 300 m , the South West portion of the study area changes in character. At this end of the site, there are fewer individual houses, and properties are found clustered in groups upon the hillside. (Refer to Figure 6.1B).
6.5.2 As the study route falls gradually towards the communal village of Lok Lo Ha, the vegetation comprises native woodland interspersed with stands of exotic trees and ornamental fruit trees planted typically by the villagers, potentially for their Fung Shui value. The landscape character is more varied within this section, incorporating semi-rural village land and properties of moderate landscape and scenic value.
6.5.3 Located just outside of the proposed road alignment is a significant tree - Ficus elastica of substantial height and spread, supported by a massive buttress and root stands of approximately 6 m width. The tree is of high landscape and Fung Shui Value.
6.5.4 At the base of the village, the land is marshy due to slope water run-off and vegetation is sparse and sporadic. The majority of the land adjacent to the study area is waste ground or cultivation ground of low landscape and scenic value
6.5.5 There is a small shrine located at the edge of the village, surrounded by dense mature trees. Culturally this is of moderate landscape value.

## Landscape Impact

## (Refer to Figure 6.2)

6.5.6 The proposed steps at chainage 300 m are required to provide access to the footpath above the cut slopes of the road. These steps and the adjacent road will result in loss of properties (V.S.R. No's. 13, $16 \& 19$ ), three small properties of low historic or cultural value set within the woodland. The elevated structure of the road at this section and the proposed 4 m high vertical noise barrier, will significantly affect the character of this area in terms of modification of landform, loss of property and the intrusion of the structures themselves. The Landscape Impact here will be severe.
6.5.7 The significant Ficus elastica will be directly adjacent to the elevated road structure and should be protected from disturbance to its roots and general form, to ensure its survival during construction and operation.
6.5.8 The road will pass through the next section of the study area with moderate adverse impact upon the existing landscape character. The surrounding properties will suffer further disruption to their environment, but in view of the massive structures currently overlooking the village, future hardworks and planting proposals associated with the new road will be of slight beneficial impact to their environment.
6.5.9 There will be no disturbance to the shrine, and the construction of the road, footpath and cycle track will result only in the loss of marsh and wasteland of low landscape value. A small overgrown public 'garden' area will be lost, which can be reproved together with improved planting schemes beneath the elevated sections of the road. The landscape impacts within the village section will be slight.
6.5.10 The proposals for the adjacent cycletracks and footpaths, together with associated steps will be an improvement to the existing landscape around the base of the village. Appropriate hard landscape treatment of these paths and tree planting associated with the road and paths will significantly improve the existing landscape disorder.

A table summarising Landscape Impacts is contained in Appendix 3.

### 6.6 Chainage Pt. 300m to Lok Shun Path

Visual Assessment

Existing Visual Envelope

6.6.1 The visual Envelope within this village section is defined by the extent of the housing terraced upon the hillside North East of the study route. The K.C.R.C. and the High Rise 'Royal Ascot Housing Development define the visual boundary to the south.
(Refer to Figure 6.3)
6.6.2 The Main Visually Sensitive Receivers within this area are the houses of Lok Lo Ha Village (V.S.R. 25) which hold elevated views over the site, village houses at the base of the slope (V.S.R.'s 26-40), small properties within the woodland (V.S.R. 13 to 24) and the High Rise Royal Ascot Housing (V.S.R. No. 40).

## Visual Impact

## (Refer to Figure 6.4)

6.6.3 The overall visual impact of this elevated portion of the road development will be significant, due to the large number of sensitive receivers which are subject to direct views of the site, some properties being directly adjacent or below the proposed alignment.
6.6.4 V.S.R.'s 13 to 24 , (within the woodland) will suffer severe visual intrusion from the introduction of a 4 m high Vertical Noise Barrier, 30m length of steps and elevated road structure.
6.6.5 Lok Lo Ha Village and V.S.R.'s $26-40$ will suffer adverse visual impact from the proposals, with a more urban visual environment being created by the introduction of further noise barriers adjacent to the village edge. However, the visual intrusion is no more hostile than their existing views of the K.C.R.C. and podium, and is considered Moderate Adverse.
6.6.6 V.S.R. No's 34 to 37 will have direct views onto the turning area and contractors works area which will result in moderate visual impact during the construction phase. However, in the long term, these properties will stand to benefit from improved footpath provisions and planting proposals, as the existing land surrounding the property is currently sparsely vegetated, and of low visual quality.
6.6.7 The 'Royal Ascot' Housing (V.S.R. No. 40) will suffer a moderate adverse impact upon their present views.

A table summarising visual impacts to visually Sensitive Receivers is contained in Appendix 3.

### 6.7 Existing Footpath Adjacent to K.C.R.C. Site

Landscape Assessment

## Existing Landscape Character and Value

6.7.1 The existing footpath directly adjacent to the K.C.R.C. site is of low landscape and scenic value. The concrete path is approximately 2 m wide and is not buffered in any way from the neighbouring rail lines, separated only by severe vertical steel railings. The landscape value of the footpath is low.

To the northern edge of the pathway, the base of the slope provides a fringe of dense vegetation, comprising native woodland, banana trees and a semi-mature bamboo grove of moderate landscape value.
6.7.2 There are a few low rise rural properties alongside the path, some with extensive gardens and cultivation areas.
6.7.3 The extent of the footpath runs from chainage 100 m at the start of the study area along the southern edge of the site, and enters the network of small access pathways at Lok Lo Ha village, where it runs beside the low landscape value marsh areas and scrub land to the edges of the housing area.

## Landscape Impacts

6.7.4 The route of the proposed 3 m wide cycle track along the southern edge of the site will result in the loss of the existing footpath, and minor encroachment northwards into the existing vegetation alongside the path. In order to provide a level cycle track, one set of steps will be lost, resulting in a 20 m length of cut slope and a 45 m length of filled slope to allow stepped access to adjacent property and pathways. Part of the semi-mature bamboo grove will be lost.
6.7.5 The impact of these modifications will be slight. The existing landscape character will benefit from an improved access route, and land is then available at the southern edge of the cyclepath for screen planting to the K.C.R.C. tracks.
6.7.6 The cycletrack will pass beneath the proposed elevated road structure and into the village of Lok Lo Ha, alongside a 2.75 m footpath. The proposed levels necessitate the construction of 3 sets of steps to reproved access to the village. These proposals will result in the loss of a few mature fruit trees, but mainly low value scrub and marsh ground. With improved structure planting and appropriate finishes treatment to the footpaths and structures, the impact to the landscape will be Moderate Beneficial.

### 6.8 Visual Assessment

Existing Visual Envelope
Existing Footpath Adjacent to K.C.R.C. Site
6.8.1 The visual envelope of the existing footpath is defined to the north by the dense Woodland, and to the South by the K.C.R. line. As the footpath passes through the village there are clear views north to the terrace housing.

## Visual Impact

6.8.2 The visual impact of the proposed cycletrack and associated structural work will be slight. The visual quality of the existing footpath is low and can only be improved by the proposed upgrading works. Visually Sensitive Receivers nos. 5 and 18 will receive slight beneficial impact from the improvements.

### 6.9 Conclusions of Landscape and Visual Impacts

6.9.1 Due to the relatively undisturbed, well established nature of the woodland slopes, the proposed corridor of the road will significantly affect the landscape character of the woodland area of the site. There will be considerable disturbance to established vegetation and existing topography. Within the Woodland section, the impact of the road will be severe.
6.9.2 The road corridor, being mainly an elevated structure, will be highly visible throughout the village area.

However, when viewed within the general context of the expansive podium structure adjacent to the village area, overall, the road will have a relatively minor impact upon the site although opportunities for mitigation through planting are scarce, and the overall visual impact of the road and elevated structure will be moderate adverse. The impact to the landscape is in general slight, as the existing landscape is of low value.

### 6.10 Landscape Mitigation Measures

## General

Refer to Figures 6.5 A - B.
6.10.1 The route is currently contained within the topography to the north and the built mass to the south, and to a great extent screened from view from all but the village of Lok Lo Ha directly within the site vicinity. The proposals to run the road through the hillside at a level lower than the general lay of the land would result in a detrimental disturbance to the existing landscape form.
6.10.2 Re-instatement and structure planting proposals, together with a co-ordinated approach to finishes on structural, hard elements and noise barriers, along the route, would allow the potential to develop a visually improved network of footpath and cycle tracks linking to established routes.
6.10.3 The visually intrusive K.C.R.C. and "Royal Ascot" housing development can potentially be screened with a vegetation buffer created between the proposed footpath and cycletrack along its edge. Semi-ornamental tree and shrub planting along the verges and beneath the elevated sections of the proposed road will help soften the impact of the road upon the properties of the village.

The cut slopes can be planted using re-instatement planting techniques of hydroseeding together with indigenous woodland mix planting to create rapid establishment, and only employing the use of tunam or shotcreted surfaces as a last resort.

### 6.10.4 Planting Proposals

The planting approach can be broadly divided into the following categories, with the overall objective to provide or restore a naturalistic edge to the road corridor which will soften the effect of the alignment within the landscape.

- Revegetation of engineered slopes and lost vegetation with native species to restore disturbed hillside areas in keeping with the surrounding character.
- Implementation of semi-ornamental planting within the village and footpath area of the proposed route to provide an improved screen between the village and the K.C.R.C, and roadway.
- Reprovision of amenity planting beneath the elevated structure to compensate for lost of amenity land.
- Reprovision of sitting out area will be located to the west of the roundabout as shown in Figure 6.5B. The size of the seating area will be subjected to detail design.

Details of the proposed planting mixes are in Appendix 4.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING \& AUDITING REQUIREMENTS

### 7.1 General

7.1.1 Monitoring and auditing procedures are required as a check during the construction and operation of a development that the specified control criteria and standards are being complied with. This EIA Study has highlighted potential environmental impacts associated with the project and identified possible mitigation measures. However, the assumptions used in the assessment may differ from actual conditions arising from different work methods employed by the contractor and therefore environmental monitoring would be necessary to confirm that the required standards and criteria are being met.
7.1.2 Auditing defines methods and procedures to ensure that the required monitoring exercise is effectively carried out and that the monitoring will identify any adverse impacts. Auditing also covers procedures to be followed in the event that the criteria or standards are breached.
7.1.3 A standalone Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM\&A) Manual will be produced for this particular construction project. The intention of this EM\&A Manual is to guide the set up of an EM\&A Programme to ensure compliance with the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Study recommendations, to assess the effectiveness of the recommend mitigation measures and to identify any further need for additional mitigation measures or remedial action. This Manual outlines the monitoring and audit programme of the construction of Road D15 linking Lok Shun Path and Tai Po Road. It aims to provide systematic procedures for monitoring, auditing and minimising of the environmental impacts associated with the construction works.

### 7.2 Environmental Monitoring

7.2.1 Monitoring shall focus on construction noise which is assessed to have significant impact on sensitive receivers. Monitoring of air quality during construction is also important although predicted TSP levels are within AQO limits.
7.2.2 Monitoring of traffic noise and pollutants during the operation phase are not considered to be essential as the predicted impacts are low with the recommended noise mitigation measures.

### 7.3 Monitoring/Auditing Requirements of Construction Noise and Air Quality

7.3.1 The scope of monitoring and auditing of construction noise and air quality should include the following aspects:
(i) Parameters

Noise levels shall be measured in terms of A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}$. Air quality measurements for TSP shall be in terms of average concentration levels over one hour or 24 hours in units of $\mu \mathrm{gm}^{-3}$.
(ii) Monitoring Equipment

Noise monitoring equipment shall be those specified in the Technical Memorandum issued under the NCO whereas high volume air samplers and associated equipment shall be used for TSP measurements.
(iii) Monitoring Locations

Monitoring locations should be close to sensitive receivers and site boundary and free from local obstructions or shelters.
(iv) Baseline Monitoring

Baseline monitoring shall be carried out prior to the commencement of construction works. The baseline monitoring shall be carried out daily for a period of at least two weeks.
(v) Impact Monitoring

Noise measurements in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ shall be carried out for time period between 0700-1900 hours during normal weekdays. Frequency shall depend on the scale of construction activities. However air quality monitoring shall be undertaken at a frequency of not less than one 24 -hour measurement per six days at each monitoring station.

When the recorded levels are significantly greater than the baseline levels or in the case of non-compliance with the relevant standards and criteria, more frequent monitoring shall be undertaken. The additional monitoring shall be continued until the recorded levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.
(vi) Auditing Procedures

Event and action plans shall be developed for non-compliance situations as monitored during the construction phase. The performance of the proposed mitigation measures shall also be reviewed.

## 8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

### 8.1 Objective

The main objective of this EIA Study is to provide information on the nature and extent of environmental impacts arising from the construction and operation of the proposed Road D15.

### 8.2 Noise Impact

Significant impact during construction phase is predicted and that substantial noise mitigation would be required to counter excessive construction noise for facades close to the roadway. Besides the use of quietened equipment for the construction, temporary noise barriers are proposed for noise screening.

During operation phase, significant impact of traffic noise is confined to facades closest to the roadway. Vertical noise barriers are proposed to mitigate traffic noise to levels complying with the HKPSG limits.

### 8.3 Air Quality Impact

It is predicted that the statutory Air Quality Objectives (AQO) will not be exceeded during both construction and operation stages. For the construction phase, the maximum 1-hour and 24-hour average TSP concentrations at the worst affected sensitive receptor are $69 \%$ and $83 \%$ of the respective guideline levels of AQO . During the operation phase, the predicted maximum $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration at the worst affected sensitive receptor is found to be $46 \%$ of the AQO. Hence the air quality impact for this proposed project is considered to be acceptable. However, good dust control practice should still be followed during the construction phase.

### 8.4 Ecology Impact

The impact of the proposed project on the habitat is considered to be insignificant. The predicted loss of woodland, scrubland, horticultural area marsh are confined to small areas. All are low in species diversity which are common and widespread within the Territory. However, a large Ficus elastica has been identified as worthy for conservation.

### 8.5 Landscape and Visual Impacts

The road corridor, being mainly on elevated structures, will be highly visible throughout the village section of the site. However, when viewed within the general context of the expansive podium structure adjacent to the study area, overall, the road will have a relatively minor impact upon the site and may benefit and improve the existing village area through landscape mitigation proposals.

Landscape proposals include revegetation of engineered slopes and semiornamental planting within village, footpath areas, verges and beneath elevated sections to help soften the visual impact of the road.

### 8.6 Conclusions

Overall, the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project is not considered to be significant. With the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures, the environmental impacts should be brought down to the established environmental guidelines and standards. Monitoring of noise and air quality during construction is proposed as part of Environmental Monitoring and Auditing requirements of the proposed project.
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| Road D-15 Construction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haul road area (sq.m) | 5821 |  |
| Cut and fill area (sq. m) | 3858 |  |
| Total construction area (sq.m) | 9679 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ISP |  |
| Road Construction Area, Including C | ons |  |
| Mitigation efficiency (\%) | 50 | *estimated mitigation efficiency of twice daily watering with complete coverage |
| Percentage active operating area (\%) | 30 | estimated |
| Emission factor (kg/day/sq.m) | 1.5519E-03 | **emission rate of general construction activities from AP-42 |
| Emission from haul road area (kg/day) | 9 | calculated as in AP-42 |
| Emission from cut and fill area (kg/day) | 6 | calculated as in $A P-42$ |
| Total emission (kg/day) | 15 | calculated as in AP-42 |
| Emission (g/sq.m/s) | $3.5925 \mathrm{E}-05$ | calculated |
|  |  |  |
| Remarks: |  |  |
| * Extracted from S 11.2.4.4, AP-42 Vol 1, Control Methods of Heavy Construction Operations |  |  |
| ** Extracted from S 11.2.4.3, AP-42 Vol 1, Emission Factor of Heavy Construction Operations |  |  |



## Appendix 1B

Sample FDM model input file

| Road D-15 Construction (revised) 11211131111102 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85104 | 5360 |  |  |  |
| 60. | 200. | 1. | 2.5 | 10 |
| 1.25 | 3.75 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 20. |
| 0.0262 | 0.0678 | 0.1704 | 0.1536 | 0.5820 |
| 838671.2 | 828985.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838741.6 | 829010.8 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838763.2 | 829055.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838748.3 | 829075.2 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838758.9 | 829124.3 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838800.2 | 829145.1 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838829.2 | 829155.3 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838886.3 | 829221.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838897.6 | 829261.6 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838909.4 | 829300.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 839030.5 | 829326.7 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838981.5 | 829393.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 839027.8 | 829399.4 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 839074.9 | 829390.2 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 839110.9 | 829385.4 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838957.6 | 829203.2 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 839010.2 | 829216.3 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838940.2 | 829204.1 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838917.2 | 829164.8 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838880.8 | 829132.8 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838858.2 | 829095.1 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838896.0 | 829021.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838835.7 | 828911.2 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 838671.2 | 828985.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838741.6 | 829010.8 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838763.2 | 829055.5 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838748.3 | 829075.2 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838758.9 | 829124.3 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838800.2 | 829145.1 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838829.2 | 829155.3 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838886.3 | 829221.5 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838897.6 | 829261.6 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838909.4 | 829300.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 839030.5 | 829326.7 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838981.5 | 829393.5 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 839027.8 | 829399.4 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 839074.9 | 829390.2 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 839110.9 | 829385.4 | 5.0 |  |  |
| . 838957.6 | 829203.2 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 839010.2 | 829216.3 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838940.2 | 829204.1 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838917.2 | 829164.8 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838880.8 | 829132.8 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838858.2 | 829095.1 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838896.0 | 829021.5 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838835.7 | 828911.2 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 838671.2 | 828985.0 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838741.6 | 829010.8 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838763.2 | 829055.5 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838748.3 | 829075.2 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838758.9 | 829124.3 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838800.2 | 829145.1 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838829.2 | 829155.3 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838886.3 | 829221.5 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838897.6 | 829261.6 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 838909.4 838937.9 | 829300.0 829277.4 | 10.0 10.0 |  |  |

Sample FDM model input file

| 838947.7829294 .6 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 839030.5829326 .7 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838981.5829393 .5 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839027.8829399 .4 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839074.9829390 .2 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839110.9829385 .4 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838957.6829203 .2 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839010.2829216 .3 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838940.2829204 .1 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838917.2829164 .8 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838880.8 829132:8 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838858.2829095 .1 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838896.0829021 .5 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838835.7828911 .2 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838671.2828985 .0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838741.6829010 .8 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838763.2829055 .5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838748.3829075 .2 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838758.9829124 .3 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838786.3829133 .9 | 15.0 |  |  |  | . |  |
| 838800.2 829145.1 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838829.2829155 .3 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838886.3829221 .5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838897.6829261 .6 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838909.4829300 .0 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838937.9829277 .4 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838947.7829294 .6 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839030.5829326 .7 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838981.5829393 .5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839027.8829399 .4 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839074.9829390 .2 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839110.9829385 .4 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838957.6829203 .2 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839010.2829216 .3 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838940.2829204 .1 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838917.2829164 .8 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $838880.8 \quad 829132.8$ | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838858.2829095 .1 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838896.0829021 .5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 838835.7828911 .2 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838689.9 | 828898.5 | 838731.5 | 828965.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838731.5 | 828965.1 | 838762.2 | 829014.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838762.2 | 829014.0 | 838783.5 | 829047.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838783.5 | 829047.2 | 838806.2 | 829083.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838806.2 | 829083.8 | 838804.3 | 829113.3 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838804.3 | 829113.3 | 838830.4 | 829134.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838830.4 | 829134.0 | 838867.4 | 829174.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838867.4 | 829174.2 | 838897.7 | 829209.3 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 838897.7 | 829209.3 | 838908.4 | 829227.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 838908.4 | 829227.8 | 838923.0 | 829264.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838923.0 | 829264.5 | 838930.8 | 829298.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 838930.8 | 829298.2 | 838942.1 | 829322.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 838942.1 | 829322.6 | 838962.7 | 829346.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 838962.7 | 829346.2 | 838985.7 | 829360.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 838985.7 | 829360.4 | 839015.5 | 829368.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 839015.5 | 829368.2 | 839039.8 | 829368.9 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 839039.8 | 829368.9 | 839076.7 | 829360.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839076.7 | 829360.6 | 839094.2 | 829356.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839094.2 | 829356.8 | 839110.0 | 829352.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839110.0 | 829352.5 | 839134.7 | 829350.7 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839134.7 | 829350.7 | 839163.0 | 829360.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839163.0 | 829360.4 | 839185.9 | 829376.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839185.9 | 829376.0 | 839202.9 | 829396.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 839202.9 | 829396.8 | 839214.6 | 829429.3 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839217.8 | 829428.5 | 839205.3 | 829394.9 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 839205.3 | 829394.9 | 839190.4 | 829375.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839190.4 | 829375.6 | 839165.5 | 829356.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 839165.5 | 829356.5 | 839133.7 | 829345.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 1.2574E-04 | 839133.7 | 829345.0 | 839109.2 | 829349.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| $121.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 839109.2 | 829349.4 | 839092.4 | 829352.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |

## Appendix 1B

Sample FDM model input file

|  | 1.2574E-04 | 839092.4 | 829352.8 | 839075.9 | 829357.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 839075.9 | 829357.2 | 839038.3 | 829364.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 839038.3 | 829364.6 | 839016.5 | 829364.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 839016.5 | 829364.6 | 838988.1 | 829357.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838988.1 | 829357.0 | 838965.9 | 829344.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | $1.2574 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 838965.9 | 829344.1 | 838947.0 | 829322.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838947.0 | 829322.2 | 838935.5 | 829297.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838935.5 | 829297.8 | 838927.0 | 829263.7 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838927.0 | 829263.7 | 838912.2 | 829226.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838912.2 | 829226.8 | 838901.8 | 829208.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838901.8 | 829208.4 | 838872.6 | 829173.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838872.6 | 829173.6 | 838834.7 | 829131.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838834.7 | 829131.2 | 838823.5 | 829108.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838823.5 | 829108.0 | 838810.1 | 829082.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838810.1 | 829082.2 | 838787.4 | 829046.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838787.4 | 829046.4 | 838765.4 | 829013.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 12 | 1.2574E-04 | 838765.4 | 829013.1 | 838734.0 | 828963.6 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.2574E-04 | 838734.0 | 828963.6 | 838692.6 | 828896.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
|  | 1.0777E-04 | 838935.2 | 829231.9 | 838973.0 | 829264.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 1.0777E-04 | 838973.0 | 829264.4 | 838985.7 | 829279.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 1.0777E-04 | 838985.7 | 829279.0 | 839021.0 | 829307.8 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 1.4370E-04 | 838978.1 | 829278.7 | 839009.1 | 829302.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 1.0777E-04 | 839019.1 | 829310.2 | 839036.6 | 829322.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 1.0777E-04 | 839042.0 | 829327.3 | 839092.5 | 829362.9 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 7.1849E-05 | 838924.0 | 829300.2 | 838918.4 | 829320.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 7.1849E-05 | 838918.4 | 829320.2 | 838924.2 | 829335.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 7.1849E-05 | 838924.2 | 829335.9 | 838938.3 | 829360.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 7.1849E-05 | 838938.3 | 829360.0 | 838940.8 | 829377.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 7.1849E-05 | 838940.8 | 829377.7 | 838947.0 | 829382.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838772.0 | 829095.3 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | -45.8 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838772.0 | 829109.9 | 17.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 62.3 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838778.5 | 829101.9 | 14.5 | 7.0 | 0.0 | -72.3 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838789.6 | 829109.0 | 20.9 | 17.0 | 0.0 | -76.9 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838791.5 | 829123.9 | 29.6 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 15.8 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838928.7 | 829319.3 | 12.7 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 59.7 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838938.5 | 829335.8 | 25.3 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 56.2 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838953.2 | 829360.7 | 32.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 58.7 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838947.3 | 829364.8 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 62.9 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838950.5 | 829372.1 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 55.4 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838955.6 | 829350.2 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 56.6 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838960.3 | 829355.7 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 56.5 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838966.8 | 829364.1 | 13.8 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 57.0 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838973.4 | 829363.7 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 54.7 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838940.2 | 829293.6 | 18.1 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 71.6 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838946.6 | 829310.2 | 17.1 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 67.4 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838951.9 | 829321.4 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 68.9 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838953.8 | 829315.3 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 70.6 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838959.6 | 829327.2 | 17.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 70.4 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838966.8 | 829329.7 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 71.9 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838972.3 | 829339.6 | 13.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 70.8 |
|  | $3.5925 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 839013.2 | 829376.3 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 839026.0 | 829378.0 | 7.1 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 83.0 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 839026.3 | 829383.2 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 83.6 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 839039.6 | 829376.3 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 0.0 | -84.3 |
|  | 3.5925E-05 | 838759.9 | 829095.3 | 32.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | -45.8 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 10.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 20.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 30.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 40.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 50.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 60.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 70.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 80.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 90.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 100.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 110.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 120.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 130.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 140.0 | 2 | 500.0 | 298.0 |  |  |  |

## Appendix 1B

## Sample FDM model input file

500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0 500.0298 .0
500.0298 .0

Sample FDM model input file

| 4.0 | 130.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 | 140.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 150.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 160.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 170.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 180.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 190.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 200.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 210.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 220.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 230.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 240.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 250.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 250.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 270.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 280.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 290.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 300.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 310.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 320.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 330.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 340.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 350.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 10.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 20.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 30.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 40.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 50.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 60.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 70.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 80.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 90.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 100.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 110.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 120.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 130.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 140.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 150.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 160.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 170.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 180.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 190.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 200.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 210.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 220.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 230.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 240.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 250.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 260.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 270.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 280.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 290.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 300.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 310.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 320.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 330.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 340.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 350.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 10.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 20.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 30.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 40.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 50.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 60.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 70.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 80.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 90.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 100.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |

## Appendix 1B

## Sample FDM model input file

| 8.0 | 110.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.0 | 120.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 130.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 140.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 150.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 160.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 170.0 | - 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 180.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 190.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 200.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 210.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 220.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 230.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 240.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 250.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 260.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 270.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 280.0 | 2 | 500.0-298.0 |
| 8.0 | 290.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 300.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 310.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 320.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 330.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 340.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 350.0 | 2 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 10.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 20.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 30.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 40.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 50.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 60.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 70.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 80.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 90.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 110.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 120.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 130.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 140.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 150.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 160.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 170.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 180.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 190.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 200.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 210.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 220.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 230.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 240.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 250.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 260.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 270.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 280.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 290.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 300.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 310.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 320.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 330.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 340.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 1.0 | 350.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 10.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 20.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 30.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 40.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 50.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 60.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 70.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 80.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
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| 2.0 | 90.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 110.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 120.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 130.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 140.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 150.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 160.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 170.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 180.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 190.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 200.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 210.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 220.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 230.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 240.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 250.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 260.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 270.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 280.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 290.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 300.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 310.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 320.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 330.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 340.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 2.0 | 350.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 10.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 20.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 30.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 40.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 50.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 60.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 70.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 80.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 90.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 110.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 120.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 130.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 140.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 150.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 160.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 170.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 180.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 190.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 200.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 210.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 220.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 230.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 240.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 250.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 260.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 270.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 280.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 290.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 300.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 310.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 320.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 330.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 340.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 4.0 | 350.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 10.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 20.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 30.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 40.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 50.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 60.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.0 | 70.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 80.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 90.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 110.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 120.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 130.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 140.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 150.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 160.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 170.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 180.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 190.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 200.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 210.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 220.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 230.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 240.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 250.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 260.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 270.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 280.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 290.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 300.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 310.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 320.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 330.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 340.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 6.0 | 350.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 10.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 20.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 30.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 40.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 50.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 60.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 70.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 80.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 90.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 110.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 120.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 130.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 140.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 150.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 160.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 170.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 180.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 190.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 200.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 210.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 220.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 230.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 240.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 250.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 260.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 270.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 280.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 29000 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 300.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 310.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 320.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 330.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 340.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
| 8.0 | 350.0 | 4 | 500.0298 .0 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 1B

Sample FDM model output file

RUN TITLE:
Road D-15 Construction (revised)
INPUT FILE NAME: constnew.IN
OUTPUT FILE NAME: constnew.OUT
PLOT OUTPUT WRITTEN TO FILE NAME: constnew.DAT

| CONVERGENCE OPTION 1=OFF, 2=0N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MET OPTION SWITCH, $1=$ CARDS, $2=P R E P R O C E S$ |  |
| PLOT FILE OUTPUT, $1=\mathrm{NO}, 2=Y \mathrm{YES}$ |  |
| MET DATA PRINT SWITCH, $1=\mathrm{NO}, 2=Y \mathrm{YES}$ |  |
| POST-PROCESSOR OUTPUT, $1=N 0,2=Y$ ES |  |
| DEP. VEL./GRAV. SETL. VEL., $1=D E F A U L T, 2=U S E R$ |  |
| PRINT 1 -hour average concen, $1=$ NO, $2=$ yes |  |
| PRINT 3 -hour average Concen, $1=$ NO, $2=$ YES |  |
| PRINT 8 -HOUR AVERAGE CONCEN, $1=$ NO, $2=$ YES |  |
| PRINT 24 -HOUR AVERAGE CONCEN, $1=$ NO, $2=$ YES |  |
| PRINT LONG-TERM AVERAGE CONCEN, $1=$ NO, $2=$ YES |  |
| byPass rammet calms recognition, $1=$ No, $2=$ Yes |  |
| READ HOURLY EMISSION RATES, $1=$ NO, $2=Y$ es |  |
| NUMBER Of SOURCES PROCESSED |  |
| NUMBER OF RECEPTORS PROCESSED |  |
| number of particle size classes |  |
| NUMBER Of hours of Met data processed | 60 |
| length in minutes of 1-hour of met data |  |
| ROUGHNESS LENGTH IN CM | 200.00 |
| SCALING FACTOR FOR SOURCE AND RECPTORS | 1.0000 |
| PARTICLE DENSITY in G/CM**3 | 2.50 |
| ANEMOMETER HEIGHT IN M | 10.00 |

GENERAL PARTICLE SIZE CLASS INFORMATION

|  |  | GRAV. |  | FRACTION |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PARTICLE | CHAR. | SETTLING | DEPOSITION | IN EACH |
| SIZE | DIA. | VELOCITY | VELOCITY | SIZE |
| CLASS | (UM) | (M/SEC) | (M/SEC) | CLASS |
| 1 | 1.2500000 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 0.0262 |
| 2 | 3.7500000 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 0.0678 |
| 3 | 7.5000000 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 0.1704 |
| 4 | 12.5000000 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 0.1536 |
| 5 | 20.0000000 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 0.5820 |

FUGITIVE DUST MODEL (FDM)
VERSION 95279
OCT, 1995
DATE AT START OF RUN: 01/23/97 TIME AT START OF RUN: 09:52:21.24

* COMPUTED BY FDM

RECEPTOR COORDINATES (X,Y,Z)

| (838671. | 828 | 2.) | (8) | 82 | 2.) | (838763. | 829056 | 2.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (838748 | 829075 | 2.) | (838759. | 829124 | 2.) | (838786. | 829134 | 2.) |
| (838800. | 829145. | 2.) | (838829. | 829155 | 2.) | (838886. | 829222. | 2. |
| (838898 | 829262 | 2.) | (838909 | 829300 | 2.) | (838938. | 829277 | 2 |
| (838948. | 829295 | 2.) | (839031 | 829327. | 2.) | (838982. | 829394 | 2 |
| (839028. | 829399. | 2.) | (839075. | 829390. | 2.) | (839111. | 829385 | 2. |
| (838958. | 829203. | 2.) | (839010. | 829216. | 2.) | (838940. | 829204 | 2. |
| (838917. | 829165. | 2.) | (838881 | 829133. | 2.) | (838858. | 829095 | 2.$)$ |
| (838896. | 829022. | 2.) | (838836. | 828911 | 2.) | (838671 | 828985 | 5. |
| (838742. | 829011 | 5.) | (838763 | 829056 | 5.) | (838748 | 829075 | 5. |
| (838759 | 829124 | 5.) | (838786 | 829134 | 5.) | (838800. | 829145 | 5. |
| (838829. | 829155. | 5.) | (838886. | 829222. | 5.) | (838898. | 829262 | 5 |
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SOURCE INFORMATION

| TYPE | ENTERED EMIS. RATE (G/SEC, G/SEC/M OR G/SEC/M**2) | total EMISSION RATE (G/SEC) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WIND } \\ \text { SPEED } \end{array}$ FAC. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{X1} \\ \mathrm{M} \text { (M) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} Y 1 \\ \text { (M) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} 2 \\ (M) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & Y 2 \\ & (M) \end{aligned}$ | HEIGHT <br> (M) | WIDTH <br> (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00988 | 0.000 | 838690. | 828899. | 838732. | 828965. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00726 | 0.000 | 838732. | 828965. | 838762. | 829014. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00496 | 0.000 | 838762. | 829014. | . 838784. | 829047. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00542 | 0.000 | 838784. | 829047. | 838806. | 829084. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00372 | 0.000 | 838806. | 829084. | 838804. | 829113. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00418 | 0.000 | 838804. | 829113. | 838830. | 829134. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00687 | 0.000 | 838830. | 829134. | 838867. | 829174. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00583 | 0.000 | 838867. | 829174. | 838898. | 829209. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00269 | 0.000 | 838898. | 829209. | 838908. | 829228. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00497 | 0.000 | 838908. | 829228. | 838923. | 829265. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00435 | 0.000 | 838923. | 829265. | 838931. | 829298. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00339 | 0.000 | 838931. | 829298. | 838942. | 829323. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00393 | 0.000 | 838942. | 829323. | 838963. | 829346. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00340 | 0.000 | 838963. | 829346. | 838986. | 829360. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00388 | 0.000 | 838986. | 829360. | 839016. | 829368. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00306 | 0.000 | 839016. | 829368. | 839040. | 829369. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00475 | 0.000 | 839040. | 829369. | 839077. | 829361. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00225 | 0.000 | 839077. | 829361. | 839094. | 829357. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00206 | 0.000 | 839094. | 829357. | 839110. | 829353. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00311 | 0.000 | 839110. | 829353. | 839135. | 829351. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00376 | 0.000 | 839135. | 829351. | 839163. | 829360. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00348 | 0.000 | 839163. | 829360. | 839186. | 829376. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00338 | 0.000 | 839186. | 829376. | 839203. | 829397. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00435 | 0.000 | 839203. | 829397. | 839215. | 829429. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00451 | 0.000 | 839218. | 829429. | 839205. | 829395. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00306 | 0.000 | 839205. | 829395. | 839190. | 829376. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00395 | 0.000 | 839190. | 829376. | 839166. | 829357. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00425 | 0.000 | 839166. | 829357. | 839134. | 829345. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00313 | 0.000 | 839134. | 829345. | 839109. | 829349. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00216 | 0.000 | 839109. | 829349. | 839092. | 829353. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00215 | 0.000 | 839092. | 829353. | 839076. | 829357. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00481 | 0.000 | 839076. | 829357. | 839038. | 829365. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00274 | 0.000 | 839038. | 829365. | 839017. | 829365. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00369 | 0.000 | 839017. | 829365. | 838988. | 829357. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00323 | 0.000 | 838988. | 829357. | 838966. | 829344. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00364 | 0.000 | 838966. | 829344. | 838947. | 829322. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00339 | 0.000 | 838947. | 829322. | 838936. | 829298. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
| 2 | 0.000125740 | 0.00442 | 0.000 | 838936. | 829298. | 838927. | 829264. | 0.50 | 3.50 |
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SHORT DISTANCE ( $5,000 \mathrm{M}$ ) MASS CONSERVATION CORRECTION FACTORS USED
top 50 TABLE FOR 1 hour averages

| RANK | RECEPTOR | X-COORDINATE | Y-COORDINATE | ENDING HOUR | CONCENTRATION | DEPOSITION |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 181 | 258.2047 | 6.4582 |  |
| 2 | 12 | 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 182 | 258.1332 | 6.4366 |  |
| 3 | 13 | 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 181 | 250.1841 | 6.2707 |  |
| 4 | 13 | 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 216 | 244.8843 | 6.1500 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 199 | 234.4225 | 5.8828 |  |
| 6 | 13 | 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 182 | 230.2931 | 5.7600 |  |
| 7 | 13 | 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 215 | 229.8745 | 5.7843 |  |
| 8 | 6 | 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 200 | 227.5577 | 5.7006 |  |
| 9 | 12 | 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 216, | 220.3462 | 5.5278 |  |
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| 21 | 838940.2 | 829204.1 | 79.0334 | 181. | 1.8903 | 75.3558 | 182. | 1.7939 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 838917.2 | 829164.8 | 56.6598 | 182. | 1.3210 | 55.9678 | 181. | 1.3233 |
| 23 | 838880.8 | 829132.8 | 66.2873 | 207. | 1.6020 | 63.1162 | 206. | 1.5147 |
| 24 | 838858.2 | 829095.1 | 80.2232 | 209. | 1.9615 | 74.9890 | 208. | 1.8317 |
| 25 | 838896.0 | 829021.5 | 29.7495 | 212. | 0.6903 | 29.2413 | 211. | 0.6791 |
| 26 | 838835.7 | 828911.2 | 21.8062 | 215. | 0.4933 | 21.6191 | 216. | 0.4835 |
| 27 | 838671.2 | 828985.0 | 36.4055 | 186. | 0.8093 | 33.4820 | 185. | 0.7389 |
| 28 | 838741.6 | 829010.8 | 64.5068 | 185. | 1.4896 | 61.1713 | 184. | 1.4083 |
| 29 | 838763.2 | 829055.5 | 80.7401 | 184. | 1.9001 | 76.7052 | 183. | 1.8288 |
| 30 | 838748.3 | 829075.2 | 108.9483 | 186. | 2.5802 | 101.9287 | 185. | 2.4125 |
| 31 | 838758.9 | 829124.3 | 70.2532 | 192. | 1.7070 | 69.8690 | 193. | 1.6976 |
| 32 | 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 78.4366 | 200. | 1.8836 | 75.3699 | 199. | 1.8138 |
| 33 | 838800.2 | 829145.1 | 93.6642 | 201. | 2.2523 | 86.6870 | 200. | 2.0839 |
| 34 | 838829.2 | 829155.3 | 75.0435 | 202. | 1.7884 | 74.2104 | 203. | 1.7724 |
| 35 | 838886.3 | 829221.5 | 75.9254 | 185. | 1.7792 | 71.5803 | 186. | 1.6835 |
| 36 | 838897.6 | 829261.6 | 92.8457 | 185. | 2.2007 | 90.7589 | 186. | 2.1506 |
| 37 | 838909.4 | 829300.0 | 116.0017 | 186. | 2.7835 | 112.5730 | 187. | 2.6941 |
| 38 | 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 114.0593 | 182. | 2.7481 | 106.2309 | 183. | 2.5539 |
| 39 | 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 105.4633 | 182. | 2.5521 | 100.5005 | 181. | 2.4331 |
| 40 | 839030.5 | 829326.7 | 65.9186 | 209. | 1.5711 | 64.0329 | 210. | 1.5289 |
| 41 | 838981.5 | 829393.5 | 128.5403 | 202. | 3.0568 | 118.9879 | 201. | 2.8303 |
| 42 | 839027.8 | 829399.4 | 92.4963 | 203. | 2.1676 | 88.5125 | 204. | 2.0788 |
| 43 | 839074.9 | 829390.2 | 86.1099 | 205. | 2.0230 | 83.0954 | 206. | 1.9608 |
| 44 | 839110.9 | 829385.4 | 73.0040 | 205. | 1.7026 | 71.4168 | 206. | 1.6653 |
| 45 | 838957.6 | 829203.2 | 58.1816 | 181. | 1.3591 | 57.8277 | 216. | 1.3566 |
| 46 | 839010.2 | 829216.3 | 49.9762 | 214. | 1.1651 | 49.1936 | 215. | 1.1452 |
| 47 | 838940.2 | 829204.1 | 64.5897 | 181. | 1.5174 | 62.8420 | 182. | 1.4710 |
| 48 | 838917.2 | 829164.8 | 51.7578 | 182. | 1.1952 | 48.6027 | 181. | 1.1335 |
| 49 | 838880.8 | 829132.8 | 53.0726 | 207. | 1.2609 | 52.7218 | 206. | 1.2469 |
| 50 | 838858.2 | 829095.1 | 60.0857 | 209. | 1.4406 | 56.7175 | 208. | 1.3592 |
| 51 | 838896.0 | 829021.5 | 28.2615 | 212. | 0.6528 | 27.7194 | 211. | 0.6407 |
| 52 | 838835.7 | 828911.2 | 21.7151 | 216. | 0.4862 | 21.5950 | 215. | 0.4883 |
| 53 | 838671.2 | 828985.0 | 29.1290 | 186. | 0.6282 | 27.5049 | 185. | 0.5900 |
| 54 | 838741.6 | 829010.8 | 39.5135 | 184. | 0.8716 | 38.6298 | 185. | 0.8492 |
| 55 | 838763.2 | 829055.5 | 39.4720 | 185. | 0.8712 | 38.2530 | 184. | 0.8499 |
| 56 | 838748.3 | 829075.2 | 41.5197 | 186. | 0.9206 | 37.5148 | 185. | 0.8284 |
| 57 | 838758.9 | 829124.3 | 30.5881 | 14. | 0.8714 | 30.2862 | 13. | 0.8628 |
| 58 | 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 29.7530 | 19. | 0.8451 | 29.3680 | 20. | 0.8340 |
| 59 | 838800.2 | 829145.1 | 31.0412 | 20. | 0.8814 | 30.7457 | 21. | 0.8729 |
| 60 | 838829.2 | 829155.3 | 33.0596 | 186. | 0.7286 | 32.9827 | 185. | 0.7236 |
| 61 | 838886.3 | 829221.5 | 42.6013 | 185. | 0.9550 | 40.7449 | 184. | 0.9145 |
| 62 | 838897.6 | 829261.6 | 46.5456 | 185. | 1.0553 | 43.6763 | 186. | 0.9866 |
| 63 | 838909.4 | 829300.0 | 40.8533 | 186. | 0.9309 | 40.8345 | 7. | 1.1564 |
| 64 | 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 36.2119 | 3. | 1.0258 | 35.9113 | 183. | 0.8224 |
| 65 | 838947.7 | 829294.6 | 36.8830 | 2. | 1.0484 | 36.8704 | 1. | 1.0490 |
| 66 | 839030.5 | 829326.7 | 32.4504 | 209. | 0.7426 | 30.7225 | 208. | 0.7021 |
| 67 | 838981.5 | 829393.5 | 52.1576 | 202. | 1.1705 | 49.0415 | 201. | 1.1030 |
| 68 | 839027.8 | 829399.4 | 48.3430 | 203. | 1.0777 | 48.2724 | 204. | 1.0838 |
| 69 | 839074.9 | 829390.2 | 44.6054 | 205. | 0.9967 | 42.4404 | 206. | 0.9554 |
| 70 | 839110.9 | 829385.4 | 41.9513 | 206. | 0.9358 | 40.1769 | 205. | 0.8905 |
| 71 | 838957.6 | 829203.2 | 35.6603 | 181. | 0.8012 | 33.7590 | 216. | 0.7606 |
| 72 | 839010.2 | 829216.3 | 32.1413 | 214. | 0.7229 | 32.0348 | 215. | 0.7197 |
| 73 | 838940.2 | 829204.1 | 36.9328 | 182. | 0.8296 | 36.3891 | 181. | 0.8195 |
| 74 | 838917.2 | 829164.8 | 34.8117 | 182. | 0.7749 | 32.6368 | 183. | 0.7233 |
| 75 | 838880.8 | 829132.8 | 33.7062 | 183. | 0.7442 | 29.5440 | 184. | 0.6481 |
| 76 | 838858.2 | 829095.1 | 29.2985 | 183. | 0.6418 | 26.2292 | 184. | 0.5698 |
| 77 | 838896.0 | 829021.5 | 20.0718 | 212. | 0.4495 | 19.5834 | 211. | 0.4387 |
| 78 | 838835.7 | 828911.2 | 17.6188 | 216. | 0.3841 | 16.9723 | 215. | 0.3732 |
| 79 | 838671.2 | 828985.0 | 29.1290 | 186. | 0.6282 | 27.5049 | 185. | 0.5900 |
| 80 | 838741.6 | 829010.8 | 23.9564 | 184. | 0.5070 | 23.3770 | 185. | 0.4921 |
| 81 | 838763.2 | 829055.5 | 21.9047 | 185. | 0.4606 | 19.7779 | 4. | 0.5507 |
| 82 | 838748.3 | 829075.2 | 20.9746 | 186. | 0.4413 | 19.6886 | 6. | 0.5480 |
| 83 | 838758.9 | 829124.3 | 18.2335 | 186. | 0.3807 | 16.7055 | 187. | 0.3510 |
| 84 | 838786.3 | 829133.9 | 19.9960 | 186. | 0.4205 | 17.8308 | 185. | 0.3741 |
| 85 | 838800.2 | 829145.1 | 20.6750 | 186. | 0.4361 | 19.0898 | 185. | 0.4020 |
| 86 | 838829.2 | 829155.3 | 22.3126 | 185. | 0.4734 | 20.7089 | 186. | 0.4394 |
| 87 | 838886.3 | 829221.5 | 23.1804 | 185. | 0.5004 | 22.4468 | 184. | 0.4860 |
| 88 | 838897.6 | 829261.6 | 22.5131 | 6. | 0.6280 | 22.0409 | 7. | 0.6151 |
| 89 | 838909.4 | 829300.0 | 25.2316 | 7. | 0.7066 | 24.6175 | 6. | 0.6896 |
| 90 | 838937.9 | 829277.4 | 22.7461 | 3. | 0.6379 | 22.5712 | 4. | 0.6323 |
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Predicted Worst-case 1-hour Average and 24-hour Average TSP Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) at Selected Air Quality Sensitive Receivers


Remarks: All calculations have included $87 \mu g / m 3$ as future background (annual average TSP concentration at EPD Tai Po Monitoring Station, 1992).

Summary of the Link Coordinates, Traffic Flow, and Emission Rate

| $[$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 | D-15 NE/B | 838974.2 | 829353.3 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 15 | D-15 NE/B | 839000.6 | 829364.3 | 401 | 1.102 |
| $[$ | 16 | D-15 NE/B | 839027.6 | 829368.5 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 17 | D-15 NE/B | 839058.3 | 829364.8 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 18 | D-15 NE/B | 839085.4 | 829358.8 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 19 | D-15 NE/B | 839102.1 | 829354.6 | 401 | 1.102 |
| $\Gamma$ | 20 | D-15 NE/B | 839122.4 | 829351.6 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 21 | D-15 NE/B | 839148.9 | 829355.5 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 22 | D-15 NE/B | 839174.4 | 829368.2 | 401 | 1.102 |
| $[$ | 23 | D-15 NE/B | 839194.4 | 829386.4 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 24 | D-15 NE/B | 839208.8 | 829413.1 | 401 | 1.102 |
|  | 25 | D-15 SW/B | 839211.6 | 829411.7 | 851 | 0.824 |
| $\Gamma$ | 26 | D-15 SW/B | 839197.9 | 829385.3 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 27 | D-15 SW/B | 839177.9 | 829366.1 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 28 | D-15 SW/B | 839149.6 | 829350.8 | 851 | 0.824 |
| $[$ | . 29 | D-15 SW/B | 839121.4 | 829347.2 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 30 | D-15 SW/B | 839100.8 | 829351.1 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 31 | D-15 SW/B | 839084.1 | 829355 | 851 | 0.824 |
| $[$ | 32 | D-15 SW/B | 839057.1 | 829360.9 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 33 | D-15 SW/B | 839027.4 | 829364.6 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 34 | D-15 SW/B | 839002.3 | 829360.8 | 851 | 0.824 |
| $[$ | 35 | D-15 SW/B | 838977 | 829350.6 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 36 | D-15 SW/B | 838956.4 | 829333.1 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 37 | D-15 SW/B | 838941.3 | 829310 | 851 | 0.824 |
| $[, \cdots$ | 38 | D-15 SW/B | 838931.3 | 829280.8 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 39 | D-15 SW/B | 838919.6 | 829245.3 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 40 | D-15 SW/B | 838907 | 829217.6 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 41 | D-15 SW/B | 838887.3 | 829191 | 851 | 0.824 |
| ${ }^{-}$ | 42 | D-15 SW/B | 838853.6 | 829152.4 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 43 | D-15 SW/B | 838829.1 | 829119.6 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 44 | D-15 SW/B | 838816.8 | 829095.1 | 851 | 0.824 |
| $\{$ | 45 | D-15 SW/B | 838798.8 | 829064.3 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 46 | D-15 SW/B | 838776.4 | 829029.8 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 47 | D-15 SW/B | 838749.7 | 828988.4 | 851 | 0.824 |
|  | 48 | D-15 SW/B | 838713.3 | 828929.9 | 851 | 0.824 |
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| 8390 | 27.8 82 | 399.410 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8390 | 74.982 | 390.210 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8391 | 10.982 | 385.410 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 57.682 | 203.210 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8390 | 10.282 | 216.310 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 40.282 | 204.110 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 17.282 | 164.810 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 80.8 82 | 132.810 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 58.282 | 095.110 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 96.082 | 021.510 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 35.782 | 911.2 10 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8386 | 71.282 | 985.010 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8387 | 41.682 | 010.815 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8387 | 63.282 | 055.515 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8387 | 48.382 | 075.215 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8387 | 58.982 | 124.315 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8387 | 86.382 | $133.9 \quad 15$ | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 00.2829 | 145.115 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 29.282 | 155.315 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 86.382 | 221.515 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 97.6829 | 261.615 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 09.482 | 300.015 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 37.982 | 277.415 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 47.782 | 294.615 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8390 | 30.582 | 326.715 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 81.5829 | 393.515 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8390 | 27.8829 | 399.415 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8390 | 74.9829 | 390.215 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8391 | 10.98293 | 385.415 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 57.6829 | 203.215 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8390 | 10.282 | 216.315 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 40.2829 | 204.115 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8389 | 17.282 | 164.815 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 80.882 | $132.8 \quad 15$ | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 58.282 | 095.115 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 96.0 829 | 021.515 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8388 | 35.782 | 911.215 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 838689.9 | 828898.5 | 5338731.5 | 828965.1 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838731.5 | 828965.1 | 1838762.2 | 829014.0 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838762.2 | 829014.0 | O 838783.5 | 829047.2 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838783.5 | 829047.2 | 2338806.2 | 829083.8 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838806.2 | 829083.8 | 8838804.3 | 829113.3 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838804.3 | 829113.3 | 3838830.4 | 829134.0 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838830.4 | 829134.0 | O 838867.4 | 829174.2 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838867.4 | 829174.2 | 2838897.7 | 829209.3 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838897.7 | 829209.3 | 3838908.4 | 829227.8 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838908.4 | 829227.8 | 8838923.0 | 829264.5 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838923.0 | 829264.5 | 5838930.8 | 829298.2 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838930.8 | 829298.2 | 2838942.1 | 829322.6 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838942.1 | 829322.6 | 6 838962.7 | 829346.2 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838962.7 | 829346.2 | 2838985.7 | 829360.4 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 838985.7 | 829360.4 | 4839015.5 | 829368.2 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839015.5 | 829368.2 | 2839039.8 | 829368.9 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839039.8 | 829368.9 | 939076.7 | 829360.6 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839076.7 | 829360.6 | 6 839094.2 | 829356.8 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839094.2 | 829356.8 | 8839110.0 | 829352.5 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839110.0 | 829352.5 | 5839134.7 | 829350.7 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839134.7 | 829350.7 | 7839163.0 | 829360.4 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839163.0 | 829360.4 | 4839185.9 | 829376.0 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839185.9 | 829376.0 | 0839202.9 | 829396.8 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839202.9 | 829396.8 | $8 \quad 839214.6$ | 829429.3 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839217.8 | 829428.5 | $\begin{array}{ll}5 & 839205.3\end{array}$ | 829394.9 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839205.3 | 829394.9 | 9839190.4 | 829375.6 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839190.4 | 829375.6 | 6839165.5 | 829356.5 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839165.5 | 829356.5 | $5 \quad 839133.7$ | 829345.0 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839133.7 | 829345.0 | O 839109.2 | 829349.4 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839109.2 | 829349.4 | 4839092.4 | 829352.8 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839092.4 | 829352.8 | 8839075.9 | 829357.2 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839075.9 | 829357.2 | 2839038.3 | 829364.6 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 839038.3 | 829364.6 | 6839016.5 | 829364.6 | 0.00 | 9.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
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JOB: D-15 Vehicular Emission Assessment RUN: NO2
(WORST CASE ANGLE)
POLLUTANT: Nitrogen Dioxide
(NOTE: OUTPUT IN MICRO-GRAMS/METER**3. IGNORE PPM LABEL)
I. SITE VARIABLES

| $U=$ | 1.0 |  | $Z 0=100$. |  | ALT $=$ | 0. (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRG= | WORST | CASE | $\mathrm{VD}=.0$ | $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{S}$ |  |  |
| CLAS $=$ | 4 | (D) | $V S=.0$ | CM/S |  |  |
| MIXH= | 500. |  | AMB $=.0$ |  |  |  |
| SIGTH= | 18. | DEGREES | TEMP $=25.0$ | DEGREE |  |  |

II. LINK VARIABLES

|  | LINK DESCRIPTION | $\begin{array}{cc} * & \text { LINK } \\ * & X 1 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Y} 1}{\mathrm{COORDI}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { INATES } \\ \mathrm{x} 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | TYPE | VPH | $\begin{gathered} E F \\ (G / M I) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(M)}{H}$ | W <br> (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | LINK AA | * ***** | ***** | *** | **** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AB | LINK AB | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AC | LINK AC | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AD | LINK AD | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| $A E$ | LINK AE | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AF | LINK AF | **** | ***** | ***** | **** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AG | LINK AG | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AH | LINK AH | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AI | LINK AI | ***** | ***** | ** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AJ | LINK AJ | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AK | LINK AK | ***** | ***** | ***** | **** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AL | LINK AL | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AM | LINK AM | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AN | LINK AN | ***** | ***** | ***** | *** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AO | LINK AO | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AP | LINK AP | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AQ | LINK AQ | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AR | LINK AR | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AS | LINK AS | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AT | LINK AT | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AU | . LINK AU | ***** | **** | **** | ** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AV | LINK AV | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AW | LINK AW | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | LINK AX | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 401 | 1.1 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AY | AX. LINK AY | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| AZ | LINK AZ | *** | * | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| BA | LINK BA | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | LINK BB | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | LINK BC | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| BD | . LINK BD | ***** | ***** | ***** | **** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| BE | LINK BE | ***** | **** | ***** | *** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | LINK BF | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BG | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BH | ***** | ***** | ***** | **** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BI | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | LINK BJ | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** |  | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| BK | L LINK BK | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| BL | . LINK BL | ** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BM | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | - LINK BN | **** | ** | ** | **** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BO | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** |  | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BP | ***** | ***** | ***** | **** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BQ | ***** | ***** | ***** | **** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BR | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
| BS | . LINK BS | ** | ***** | * | ** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BT | * ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |
|  | . LINK BU | ***** | ***** | ***** | ** | * | AG | 851 | . 8 | . 0 | 9.5 |

## Appendix 1E

Sample CALINE4 moddel output file
Page 2 of 5
BV. LINK BV * ***** ***** ***** ***** * AG 851 . 8 . 0 9.5
III. RECEPTOR LOCATIONS

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EC | * X |  | Z |
| 1. RECPT |  |  |  |
| 2. | 2*838 | 829011 |  |
| 3. RECPT | 3*838763 | 829056 | 1.5 |
| 4. RECPT | 4*838748 | 829075 | 1.5 |
| 5. | 5 * 83875 | 829124 |  |
| 6. RECPT | 6*838786 | 829134 | 1.5 |
| 7. RECPT | 7 * 838800 | 829145 |  |
| 8. RECPT | 8 * 838 | 829155 | . 5 |
| 9. RECPT | 9 * 838886 | 829222 |  |
| 10. RECPT | 10 * 83 |  |  |
| 11. RECPT | 11 * 838909 | 829300 | 1.5 |
| 12. RECPT | 12*83893 | 829277 |  |
| 13. RECPT | 13 * 83894 | 829295 | 5 |
| 14. RECPT | 14 * 839031 | 829327 | 5 |
| 15. RECPT | 15 * 83898 | 829394 |  |
| 16. RECPT | 16 * 839028 | 829399 | 1.5 |
| 17. RECPT | 17 * 839075 | 829390 | 1.5 |
| 18. RECP | 18 * 839111 |  | 5 |
| 19. RECPT | 19 * 838958 | 829203 | . 5 |
| 20. RECPT | 20 * 8390 | 829216 |  |
| 21. RECPT | 21 * 838940 | 829204 | 5 |
| 22. RECPT | 22 * 838917 | 829165 | . 5 |
| 3. RECPT | 23 * 838881 | 829133 |  |
| 24. RECPT | 24 * 838858 | 829095 | . 5 |
| 25. RECPT | 25 * 838896 | 829022 | 1.5 |
| 26. RECPT | 26 * 838836 | 828911 |  |
| 27. RECPT | 27 * 838671 | 828985 | . 0 |
| 8. RECPT | 28 * 838742 | 829 |  |
| 29. RECPT | 29 * 838763 | 829056 | 5.0 |
| 30. RECPT | 30 * 838748 | 829075 |  |
| 31. RECPT | 31 * 838759 | 829124 |  |
| 32. RECPT | 32 * 838786 | 829134 | 5.0 |
| 33. RECFT | 33 * 838800 | 829145 |  |
| 34. RECPT | 34 * 838829 | 829155 |  |
| 35. RECPT | 35 * 838886 | 829222 | 5.0 |
| 36. RECPT | 36 * 838898 | 829262 | 5.0 |
| 37. RECPT | 37 * 838909 | 829300 | 5.0 |
| 38. RECPT | 38*838938 | 829277 | 5.0 |
| 39. RECPT | 39 * 838948 | 829295 | 5.0 |
| 40. RECPT | 40 * 839031 | 829327 | - |
| 41. RECPT | 41 * 838982 | 829394 |  |
| 42. RECPT | 42 * 839028 | 829399 |  |
| 43. RECPT | 43 * 839075 | 829390 |  |
| 44. RECPT | 44 * 83911 | 829385 | 0 |
| 45. RECPT | 45 * 838958 | 829203 | 0 |
| 46. RECPT | 46 * 839010 | 829216 | 5.0 |
| 47. RECPT | 47 * 838940 | 829204 | 5.0 |
| 48. RECPT | 48 * 838917 | 829165 | 0 |
| 49. RECPT | 49 * 838881 | 829133 |  |
| 50. RECPT | 50 * 838858 | 829095 |  |
| 51. RECPT | 51 * 838896 | 829022 | 5.0 |
| 52. RECPT | 52 * 838836 | 828911 | 0 |
| 53. RECPT | $53 * 838671$ | 828985 | 10.0 |
| 54. RECPT | 54 * 838742 | 829011 | 10.0 |
| 55. RECPT | 55 * 838763 | 829056 | 10.0 |
| 56. RECPT | 56 * 838748 | 829075 | 10.0 |
| 57. RECPT | 57 * 838759 | 829124 | 10.0 |
| 58. RECPT | 58*838786 | 829134 | 10.0 |
| 59. RECPT | 59 * 838800 | 829145 | 10.0 |
| 60. RECPT | 60 * 838829 | 829155 | 10.0 |
| 61. RECPT | 61*838886 | 829222 | 10.0 |
| 2. RECPT | 62 |  |  |


| 63. RECPT | 63 * 838909829300 | 10.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64. RECPT | 64 * 838938829277 | 10.0 |
| 65. RECPT | 65 * 838948829295 | 10.0 |
| 66. RECPT | 66 * 839031829327 | 10.0 |
| 67. RECPT | 67 * 838982829394 | 10.0 |
| 68. RECPT | 68 * 839028829399 | 10.0 |
| 69. RECPT | 69 * 839075829390 | 10.0 |
| 70. RECPT | 70 * 839111829385 | 10.0 |
| 71. RECPT | 71 * 838958829203 | 10.0 |
| 72. RECPT | 72 * 839010829216 | 10.0 |
| 73. RECPT | 73 * 83894082920 | 10.0 |
| 74. RECPT | 74 * 838917829165 | 10.0 |
| 75. RECPT | 75 * 838881829133 | 10.0 |
| 76. RECPT | 76 * 838858829095 | 10.0 |
| 77. RECPT | 77 * 838896829022 | 10.0 |
| 78. RECPT | 78 * 838836828911 | 10.0 |
| 79. RECPT | 79 * 838671828985 | 10.0 |
| 80. RECPT | 80 * 838742829011 | 15.0 |
| 81. RECPT | 81 * 838763829056 | 15.0 |
| 82. RECPT | 82 * 83874882907 | 15.0 |
| 83. RECPT | 83 * 838759829124 | 15 |
| 84. RECPT | 84 * 838786829134 | 15 |
| 85. RECPT | 85 * 838800829145 | 15.0 |
| 86. RECPT | 86 * 838829829155 | 15.0 |
| 87. RECPT | 87 * 838886829222 | 15.0 |
| 88. RECPT | 88 * 838898829262 | 15.0 |
| 89. RECPT | 89 * 838909829300 | 15.0 |
| 90. RECPT | 90 * 838938829277 | 15.0 |
| 91. RECPT | 91 * 838948829295 | 15.0 |
| 92. RECPT | 92 * 839031829327 | 15.0 |
| 93. RECPT | 93 * 838982829394 | 15.0 |
| 94. RECPT | 94 * 839028829399 | 15.0 |
| 95. RECPT | 95 * 839075829390 | 15.0 |
| 96. RECPT | 96*839111829385 | 15.0 |
| 97. RECPT | 97 * 838958829203 | 15.0 |
| 98. RECPT | 98 * 839010829216 | 15.0 |
| 99. RECPT | 99 * 838940829204 | 15.0 |
| 100. RECPT | 100 * 838917829165 | 15.0 |
| 101. RECPT | 101 * 838881829133 | 15.0 |
| 102. RECPT | 102 * 838858829095 | 15.0 |
| 103. RECPT | 103 * 838896829022 | 15.0 |
| 104. RECPT | 104 * 838836828911 | 15.0 |

IV. MODEL RESULTS (WORST CASE WIND ANGLE )


## Appendix 1E



## Appendix 1E

Sample CALINE4 model output file

| 91. RECPT | $91 *$ | $213 . *$ | $27.3 *$ | .7 | .6 | .5 | .7 | .5 | .6 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 92. RECPT | $92 *$ | $71 . *$ | $16.4 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 |
| 93. RECPT | $93 *$ | $206 . *$ | $27.0 *$ | .4 | .4 | .3 | .4 | .3 | .4 | .8 | .9 |
| 94. RECPT | $94 *$ | $218 . *$ | $26.7 *$ | .4 | .4 | .3 | .4 | .3 | .4 | .7 | .8 |
| 95. RECPT | $95 *$ | $230 . *$ | $20.0 *$ | .2 | .2 | .2 | .2 | .2 | .3 | .5 | .6 |
| 96. RECPT | $96 *$ | $248 . *$ | $18.7 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .1 | .1 |
| 97. RECPT | $97 *$ | $237 . *$ | $14.0 *$ | .2 | .4 | .4 | .7 | .7 | .9 | 1.6 | .4 |
| 98. RECPT | $98 *$ | $242 . *$ | $11.0 *$ | .2 | .3 | .3 | .6 | .5 | .7 | 1.2 | .6 |
| 99. RECPT $99 *$ | $232 . *$ | $16.4 *$ | .4 | .5 | .5 | .9 | .8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | .4 |  |
| 100. RECPT $100 *$ | $6 . *$ | $15.2 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 |  |
| 101. RECPT $101 *$ | $18 . *$ | $19.1 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | 1.2 |  |
| 102. RECPT $102 *$ | $20 . *$ | $18.4 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .5 | 1.9 |  |
| 103. RECPT $103 *$ | $4 . *$ | $10.4 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .2 | .9 |  |
| 104. RECPT $104 *$ | $8 . *$ | $9.8 *$ | .0 | .0 | .0 | .1 | .2 | .3 | .9 | .7 |  |

RUN ENDED

Predicted Worst-case 1-hour Average NO2 Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) at Selected Air Quality Sensitive Receivers

| Receiver | Receiver location |  | Worst-case 1-hour average NO2 concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) at different heights above ground |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.5m |  | 5 m |  | 10m |  | 15 m |  |
|  | x-co | y-co | concentration | worst-case wind angle | concentration | worst-case <br> wind angle | concentration | worst-case wind angle | concentration | worst-case wind angle |
| 1 | 838671 | 828985 | 62 | 51 | 61 | 51 | 60 | 50 | 60 | 50 |
| 2 | 838742 | 829011 | 89 | 47 | 84 | 46 | 75 | 43 | 67 | 41 |
| 3 | 838763 | 829056 | 82 | 47 | 79 | 46 | 73 | 44 | 66 | 43 |
| 4 | 838748 | 829075 | 70 | 53 | 69 | 53 | 66 | 52 | 62 | 51 |
| 5 | 838759 | 829124 | 63 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 60 | 59 | 57 | 57 |
| 6 | 838786 | 829134 | 68 | 60 | 67 | 59 | 63 | 57 | 59 | 55 |
| 7 | 838800 | 829145 | 70 | 175 | 68 | 59 | 64 | 57 | 60 | 54 |
| 8 | 838829 | 829155 | 90 | 196 | 83 | 198 | 70 | 200 | 62 | 49 |
| 9 | 838886 | 829222 | 86 | 203 | 82 | 205 | 72 | 207 | 64 | 209 |
| 10 | 838898 | 829262 | 84 | 51 | 79 | 50 | 68 | 49 | 60 | 204 |
| 11 | 838909 | 829300 | 92 | 64 | 85 | 63 | 71 | 63 | 60 | 63 |
| 12 | 838938 | 829277 | 137 | 216 | 108 | 215 | 81 | 213 | 67 | 213 |
| 13 | 838948 | 829295 | 108 | 217 | 98 | 215 | 80 | 213 | 67 | 213 |
| 14 | 839031 | 829327 | 69 | 69 | 67 | 69 | 62 | 70 | 56 | 71 |
| 15 | 838982 | 829394 | 86 | 204 | 83 | 204 | 75 | 205 | 67 | 206 |
| 16 | 839028 | 829399 | 90 | 218 | 86 | 218 | 76 | 218 | 67 | 218 |
| 17 | 839075 | 829390 | 85 | 235 | 80 | 235 | 69 | 236 | 60 | 230 |
| 18 | 839111 | 829385 | 78 | 237 | 75 | 237 | 67 | 247 | 59 | 248 |
| 19 | 838958 | 829203 | 61 | 250 | 59 | 250 | 57 | 240 | 54 | 237 |
| 20 | 839010 | 829216 | 54 | 249 | 54 | 249 | 52 | 247 | 50 | 242 |
| 21 | 838940 | 829204 | 67 | 244 | 65 | 244 | 60 | 236 | 50 | 232 |
| 22 | 838917 | 829165 | 67 | 359 | 65 | 359 | 60 | 4 | 48 | 6 |
| 23 | 838881 | 829133 | 72 | 14 | 70 | 15 | 65 | 16 | 49 | 18 |
| 24 | 838858 | 829095 | 67 | 16 | 66 | 17 | 62 | 18 | 50 | 20 |
| 25 | 838896 | 829022 | 53 | 2 | 52 | 2 | 52 | 3 | 51 | 4 |
| 26 | 838836 | 828911 | 51 | 3 | 51 | 5 | 51 | 7 | 57 | 8 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { Highest 1-hour average: } & 137 & \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m} 3\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarks: All calculations have included 40 $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{m} 3$ as future background (annual average NO2 concentration at EPD Tai Po Monitoring Station at 1992).


## APPENDIX 2

PLANT SPECIES OCCURRING WITHIN THE STUDY AREA
Key:

| * | Exotic species |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Habit: | $T$ | tree |
|  | $H$ | herb |
|  | $S$ | shrub |
|  | $C$ | climber |
|  | $F$ | fern |
|  | $G$ | grass |


| Family | Name | Habit | Family | Name | Habit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alangiaceae | Alangium chinense | T | Euphorbiaceae | Bischofia javanica | T |
| Amaranthaceae | Amaranthus viridis | H | Euphorbiaceae | Breynia fruticosa | S |
| Anacardiaceae | Mangifera indica* | T | Euphorbiaceae | Bridelia insularis | T |
| Anacardiaceae | Rhus succedanea | T | Euphorbiaceae | Macaranga tanarius | T |
| Annonaceae | Annona squamosa* | T | Euphorbiaceae | Mallotus apelta | S |
| Aquifoliaceae | Ilex asprella | S | Euphorbiaceae | Sapium discolor | T |
| Araceae | Alocasia macrorrhiza | H | Fabaceae | Abrus mollis | c |
| Araceas | Colocasia esculens* | H | Fabaceae | Acacia confusa* | T |
| Araliaceae | Aralia chinensis |  | Fabaceae | Bauhinia glauca | c |
| Araliaceae | Schefflera octophylla | T | Fabaceae | Cassia siamea* | T |
| Blechnaceae | Blechnum orientale | F | Fabaceae | Dalbergia benthamii | c |
| Caesalpiniaceae | Delonix regia* | T | Fabaceae | Delonix regia* | T |
| Caprifoliaceae | Wikstroemia indica | S | Fabaceae | Desmodium heterophyllum | S |
| Caprifoliaceea | Viburnum odoratissimum | T | Fabaceae | Mimosa pudica | S |
| Caricaceae | Carica papaya* | H | Fabaceae | Pueraria lobata | C |
| Chloranthaceae | Sarcandra glabra | S | Fabaceae | Pueraria phaseoloides | c |
| Compositae | Ageratum conyzoides | H | Fabaceae | Sesbania cohinchinensis | S |
| Compositae | Bidens pilosa* | H | Gnetaceae | Gnetum montanum | c |
| Compositae | Conyza canadensis* | H | Gramineae | Arundinella nepalensis | G |
| Compositae | Emilia sonchifolia | H | Gramineae | Bambusa spp | G |
| Compositae | Eupatorium chinense | H | Gramineae | Cynodon dactylon | G |
| Compositae | Gynura bicolor | H | Gramineae | Eleusine indica | G |
| Compositae | Mikania micrantha* | c | Gramineae | Eragrostis atrooirens | G |
| Compositae | Sonchus oleraceus | H | Gramineae | Imperata cylindrica | G |
| Compositae | Synedrella nodiflora | H | Gramineae | Miscanthus floridulus | G |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea aquatica* | H | Gramineae | Miscanthus sinensis | G |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea cairica* | C | Gramineae | Neyraudia arundinacea | G |
| Crusiferae | Rorippa indica | H | Gramineae | Neyraudia reynaudiana | G |
| Euphorbiaceae | Aleurites moluccana* | T | Gramineae | Panicum maximum** | G |
| Euphorbiaceae | Aporusa dioica | T | Gramineae | Panicum repens | G |
| Guttiferae | Cratoxylum cochinchinense | T |  |  |  |
| Hypericaceae | Cratoxylum ligustrinum | T |  |  |  |
| Lauraceae | Litsea cubeba | S |  |  |  |
| Lauraceae | Litsea rotundifolia | S |  |  |  |
| Lauraceae | Machilus breoiflora | T |  |  |  |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus tiliaceus | T |  |  |  |


| Family | Name | Habit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Melastomataceae | Melastoma candidum | S |
| Melastomataceae | Melastoma sanguineum | S |
| Menispermaceae | Cocculus orbiculatus | C |
| Mimosaceae | Abarema lucida | T |
| Mimosaceae | Leucaena leucocephala* | T |
| Moraceae | Ficus elastica* | T |
| Moraceae | Ficus hispida | T |
| Moraceae | Ficus microcarpa | T |
| Musaceae | Musa paradisiaca* | H |
| Myrtaceae | Lophostemon confertus* | T |
| Myrtaceae | Psidium guajava* | T |
| Myrtaceae | Rhodomyrtus tomentosa | S |
| Myrtaceae | Syzygium hancei | T |
| Nyctaginaceae | Bougainvillea glabra* | C |
| Papilionaceae | Pterocarpus indicus* | T |
| Polygonaceae | Polygonum chinense | H |
| Pteridaceae | Dicranopteris linearis | F |
| Rosaceae | Rhaphiolepis indica | S |
| Rutaceae | Citrus maxima* | T |
| Rutaceae | Cleistocalyx operculatus | T |
| Schizaeaceae | Lygodium japonicum | F |
| Spindaceae | Dimocarpus longan* | T |
| Sterculiaceae | Sterculia lanceolata | T |
| Theaceae | Schima superba | T |
| Ulmaceae | Celtis sinensis | T |
| Verbenaceae | Lantana camara* | S |
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Established Broadleaf Woodland Slopes (Chainage 100 m to 300 m )


Small Residential Property within Woodland


Significant Mature Ficus elastica APPENDIX 3 EXISTING SITE PHOTOGRAPHS


Low Value Wasteground and
_ Existing Access Road Marsh at base of Lok Lo Ha Village
Lok Lo Ha Village



Lok Lo Ha Village


Existing Footpath
K.C.R.C. Track Beneath Housing
Podium


Existing Footpath

Chainage Pt. 100 m to $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathrm{m}$

| LANDSCAPE CHARACTER | LANDSCAPE IMPACT | LANDSCAPE IMPACT VALUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Established native broadleaf | - Loss of woodland area | Severe Adverse |
| woodland of high landscape |  |  |
| - Steep topography | - Engineering |  |
| modifications |  |  |
| - Cut slopes |  |  |
| - Retaining Structures | Severe Adverse |  |
| - Property within woodland | - Loss of property | Moderate Adverse |

## Chainage Pt. 300 m to Lok Shun Path

| LANDSCAPE CHARACTER | LANDSCAPE IMPACT | LANDSCAPE IMPACT VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Small residential properties within woodland setting | - Loss of property <br> - Introduction of steps <br> - Modification of terrain <br> - Loss of vegetation | Serve Adverse |
| - Semi-Rural village land low rise properties, of moderate landscape value | - Loss of village service areas <br> - Loss of semi ornamental planting and garden areas. |  |
| - Marshland of low landscape | - Loss of marshland | Slight Adverse |


| VISUALLY SENSITIVE RECEIVERS |
| :--- |
| Chainage Area 100 m to $\mathbf{3 0 0 \mathrm { m }}$ |
|  |
| VISUAL IMPACT SUMMARY |
| V.S.R. No's $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ |
| 4 Village Properties South of Footpath |
| V.S.R. No's 3,4 |
| Ho Tung Lau |
| V.S.R. No. 40 |
| Royal Ascot Housing Development |
| V.S.R. No's 7,8,9 |
| Properties in Woodland Area |

Chainage Area 300 m to Lok Shun Path

| VSR | VISUAL IMPACT |
| :--- | :--- |
| V.S.R. No's $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 8}$ <br> Properties in Woodland Area Lot No's. <br> 99-112 | Server Adverse |
| V.S.R. No.40 |  |
| Royal Ascot Housing Development |  |
| V.S.R. No's 20 to 24 <br> Village Properties | Moderate Adverse |
| V.S.R. No. 25 | Moderate Adverse |
| Lok Lo Ha Village | Moderate Adverse |
| V.S.R. No's 33 to 37 |  |
|  | Moderate Adverse <br> (Short term) |
|  | Slight Beneficial <br> (Long term) |
|  |  |

## (Appendix 3)

Footpath adjacént to K.C.R.

| VSR | VISUAL IMPACT |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Moderate beneficial |
| V.S.R. No's $\mathbf{5 , 1 7 , 1 8}$ |  |
| Properties directly adjacent to path |  |



## Appendix 4

Proposed Planting Mixes

## 1. Indicative Woodland and Planting Mixes

| Botanical Name | Size (mm) | Spacing (mm) | Oty \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whip Trees |  |  |  |
| Abarema incida | 900-1750 | 1000 | 10\% |
| Cinnamomum camphora | 900-1750 | 1000 | 10\% |
| Cratoxylum ligustrinum | 900-1750 | 100 | 10\% |
| Ficus hispida | 900-1750 | 1000 | 10\% |
| Machilus thunbergii | 900-1750 | 1000 | 10\% |
| Sapium discolor | 900-1750 | 1000 | 10\% |
| Schefflera octophylla | 900-1750 | 1000 | 10\% |
| Botanical Name | Size (mm) | Spacing (mm) | Oty \% |
| Bamboo \& Shrubs |  |  |  |
| Bambusa vulgaris | $900 \times 3$ shoorts | 1000 | 10\% |
| Llex asprella | $500 \times 500$ | 1000 | 10\% |
| Ligustrum sinense | $500 \times 500$ | 1000 | 10\% |
| Light Standard Trees (Planted randomly throughout woodland mix outlined above) |  |  |  |
| Botanical Name | Size (mm) | Spacing (mm) | Qty \% |
| Albizia lebbek | 1750 | 3000 |  |
| Ficus virens var sublanceolata | 1750 | 3000 |  |
| Schima superba | 1750 | 3000 |  |

## 2. Indicative Ornamental Planting

| Botanical Name | $\underline{\text { Size (mm) }}$ | $\underline{\text { Spacing (mm) }}$ | Oty \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heavy Standard Trees |  |  |  |
| Delonix regia | $3500(\mathrm{~min})$ | 5000 |  |
| Ficus microcarpa | $3500(\mathrm{~min})$ | 5000 |  |
| Ficus elastica | $3500(\mathrm{~min})$ | 5000 |  |
| Michelia alba | $3500(\mathrm{~min})$ | $3000-5000$ |  |
| Botanical Name | $\underline{\text { Size (mm) }}$ | $\underline{\text { Spacing (mm) }}$ |  |
| Shrubs \& Groundcover | $750 \times 500$ | 750 |  |
| Duranta repens | $500 \times 500$ | 500 |  |
| Ervatamia diviracata | $500 \times 500$ | 500 |  |
| Gardenia jasminoides | $300 \times 300$ | 300 |  |
| Hymenocallis americana | $300 \times 300$ | 300 |  |
| Jasminum mesnyii | $300 \times 300$ | 300 | 250 |
| Lantana montevidensis | $150 \times 100$ | $500 \times 500$ | 500 |
| Liriope spicata | $500 \times 500$ |  |  |
| Melastoma candida |  |  |  |
| Rhododendron spp. |  |  |  |

