# Confirmed Minutes of the 151<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Environmental Impact Assessment Subcommittee on 14 February 2022 at 2:00 p.m.

#### **Present:**

Prof Nora TAM, BBS, JP (Chairperson)

Prof Kenneth LEUNG, JP (Deputy Chairman)

Ms Ada FUNG, BBS

Ir Samantha KONG

Ms LAM Chung-yan

Prof Alexis LAU, JP

Ms Julia LAU

Dr Winnie LAW

Dr MA Kwan-ki

Dr SUNG Yik-hei

Mr Simon WONG, BBS, JP

Dr WONG Kwok-yan, MH

Dr Raymond YAU

Ms Becky LAM (Secretary)

#### **Absent with Apologies:**

Dr Jeanne NG

#### In Attendance:

Mr Owin FUNG Deputy Director of Environmental Protection (3),

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

Mr Terence TSANG Assistant Director (Environmental Assessment), EPD
Mr Victor YEUNG Principal Environmental Protection Officer (Regional

Assessment)

Mr Simon HO Senior Environmental Protection Officer (Regional

Assessment) 5

Mr Simon CHAN Assistant Director (Conservation), Agriculture,

Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD)

Ms Karen CHEK Chief Executive Officer (CBD) Designate, EPD

Miss Sally SHEK Executive Officer (CBD) 1, EPD Miss Ingrid SUEN Executive Officer (CBD) 2, EPD

#### In Attendance for Item 3:

**Project Proponent Team** 

The Hongkong Electric Company Mr Y L KWAN, General Manager (Projects)

Limited Mr Norman CHAN, Head of Mechanical Engineering

Mr Johnny KWONG, Chief Mechanical Engineer Mr John LIAUW, Senior Manager (Media & External

Affairs)

Ms Ivy LEUNG, Manager (External Affairs) Mr Eric KWAN, Senior Project Engineer

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited Mr Frank WAN, Partner

Mr Chris HOI, Principal Consultant

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Action

<u>The Chairperson</u> welcomed Members to the meeting by "Zoom" and informed Members that apologies of absence had been received from Dr Jeanne Ng.

# $\underline{\text{Item 1: Confirmation of the draft minutes of the 150}^{\text{th}} \, \text{meeting held on 10 January}}{2022}$

- 2. The draft minutes of the last meeting held on 10 January 2022 were confirmed without any proposed amendments.
- 3. <u>The Chairperson</u> reported that Environmental Impact Assessment Subcommittee's (EIASC) recommendations of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report on "Mai Po Nature Reserve Infrastructure Upgrade Project", which was discussed at the EIASC meeting on 10 January 2022, had been endorsed by the Advisory Council on the Environment (ACE) by circulation. The letter to the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) on ACE's endorsement of the report with conditions and recommendations was issued on 26 January 2022 and Members were informed via email on 27 January 2022.

### **Item 2 : Matters arising**

4. There was no matter arising from the minutes of the last meeting.

# <u>Item 3 : Discussion on EIA report on "Re-provision of Open Cycle Gas Turbines at Lamma Power Station"</u>

(*ACE-EIA Paper 2/2022*)

- 5. <u>The Chairperson</u> informed the meeting that during the public inspection period of the EIA report on "Re-provision of Open Cycle Gas Turbines at Lamma Power Station" from 21 December 2021 to 19 January 2022, there were no public comments received by EPD.
- 6. The Chairperson informed that a Member had declared interest before the meeting that she was working for CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) which could be considered as a competitor to the project proponent of this EIA report. In view of the potential conflict of interest, she was refrained from attending the meeting.
- 7. The Chairperson declared that she was the Chairperson of the Marine Conservation Enhancement Fund Management Committee and a Member declared that he was the Chairperson of the Fisheries Enhancement Fund Management Committee, which were both under the Hong Kong Offshore Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal Project launched by CLP and Hongkong Electric Company, Limited (HK Electric). The meeting agreed that both of them could stay on and continue participating in the discussion.
- 8. <u>The Chairperson</u> informed that the discussion would be divided into the Presentation and Question-and-Answer Session which would be open to the public while the Internal Discussion Session would remain closed.
- 9. <u>The Chairperson</u> reminded Members to keep confidentiality of the discussion on the EIA report.

(The presentation team joined the meeting at this juncture.)

### **Presentation Session** (Open Session)

10. Mr Y L Kwan gave an opening remark and briefed Members on the overview of the project, followed by Mr Frank Wan to explain the environmental benefits and key EIA findings of the proposed project with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation.

# **Question-and-Answer Session** (Open Session)

# Project Details

- 11. In response to <u>a Member</u>'s question on the replacement plan for the gas-fired combined cycle gas turbine unit (CCGT) (GT57) to be demolished in this project, <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> advised that a new CCGT (L11) had been scheduled for commissioning in 2022 and was therefore not covered in this EIA report.
- 12. <u>A Member</u> went on to enquire about the expected frequency for the proposed oil-fired open cycle gas turbine units (OCGTs) to operate, <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> shared that the operation of the existing OCGTs was infrequent over the course of a year. On average, each OCGT operated for less than one hour per month in 2020. He also confirmed that the proposed OCGTs were oil-fired.

#### Carbon Emissions

- 13. <u>A Member</u> thanked the project proponent for the written responses on her questions raised before the meeting. She enquired about the overall target of the project to achieve carbon neutrality. <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> advised that HK Electric had devised short-term to long-term plan for achieving carbon neutrality. The increase in the use of natural gas for electricity generation would be an interim measure to reduce the carbon emissions. While HK Electric would explore the possibility to deploy renewable energy such as wind energy by developing an offshore wind farm, the supply of back-up electricity by the OCGTs was indispensable in view that wind energy could be subject to the prevailing weather conditions. <u>The Chairperson</u> followed to ask and <u>Mr Kwan</u> confirmed that the main purpose of the proposed OCGTs was to provide back-up electricity supply in case of emergency.
- 14. With regards to <u>a Member</u>'s questions on carbon emissions, the carbon capture and storage technology of the proposed OCGTs, <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> explained that the carbon emissions from the OCGTs were negligible in comparison with the overall operation of the Lamma Power Station since the main purpose of the OCGTs was to provide back-up during emergency situations. Therefore, the studies on carbon capture and storage technology had not been taken into consideration in this report.

- 15. Considering that CCGTs would be more efficient and generate less air pollutants, a Member enquired about the possibility for deploying CCGTs to replace one or two of the proposed OCGTs in this project. Mr Y L Kwan considered that the OCGTs could not be replaced by CCGTs in view of the start-up time required for the CCGTs to meet the operational requirement under emergency situations. The Member considered that new and advanced technology might speed up the start-up time to fulfil their operational requirement while enhancing energy efficiency and reducing carbon emissions. Mr Kwan explained that apart from the start-up time required for CCGTs, preparation in advance including the extra and ad hoc supply of natural gas, made it infeasible to cater for any unforeseen emergency situations.
- 16. <u>A Member</u> followed to ask whether the new OCGTs would be deployed to provide electricity during peak season such as summer time. <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> advised that the main purpose of the new OCGTs was to maintain back-up power supply in case of emergency situations. He assured Members that the OCGTs would not be deployed unless necessary given the high fuel costs involved. <u>Mr Kwan</u> added that as three OCGTs might be sufficient for the operational requirement, HK Electric might construct the OCGTs in phases and review the need to construct the additional OCGT as and when necessary.

#### Air Quality Impact

- 17. <u>A Member</u> sought information on the predicted pollutant concentrations before and after the replacement of OCGTs. <u>Mr Frank Wan</u> advised that significant reduction in the pollutant concentration was expected after the replacement of the four new OCGTs, including around 50% annual reduction in nitrogen oxides and around 60% annual reduction in respirable suspended particulates and fine suspended particulates.
- 18. <u>The Chairperson</u> followed to ask about the reasons for the reduction in pollutant emissions for the new OCGTs. In comparison with the ageing OCGTs which were commissioned in the 1980s, <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> explained that the new OCGTs would be more efficient due to the advancement of technology and thus would generate less air emissions during operation.

19. In respect of the handling and recovery of waste heat, Mr Y L Kwan explained that the waste heat could not be recovered based on the design of OCGTs. The minimum temperature of the flue gas of the new OCGTs would be the same as the existing ones, which would be discharged through the chimneys. Given the distance from the nearest air sensitive receivers (ASRs), it was considered that the waste heat generated by the new OCGTs would not cause adverse air impact to the ASRs. Mr Kwan also confirmed that there was no hot water discharged due to cooling purposes.

# Future Modification and Upgrading

20. <u>A Member</u> enquired about the possibility for converting to the use of green fuel such as hydrogen during the operation of the OCGTs in the future. <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> advised that the supplier of OCGTs would be required to ensure that the new OCGTs could be converted to the use of green fuel for operation with minimum modification and upgrading in the future.

# Waste Management

21. <u>A Member</u> considered that the construction and demolition (C&D) materials generated from the construction of OCGTs should be minimised with a view to reducing the solid waste to be disposed of at the landfill. <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> assured that detailed waste management plan would be devised to minimise the amount of solid waste to be disposed of.

#### Energy Saving

22. In reply to <u>a Member</u>'s enquiry about the campaign to promote energy saving in the community, <u>Mr Y L Kwan</u> advised that HK Electric had placed continuous efforts in the promotion of energy saving through various campaigns such as the Feed-in Tariff Scheme, Smart Power Care Fund, Smart Power Building Fund and Smart Power Education Fund, which encouraged different sectors to enhance energy efficiency, adopt low carbon lifestyle and deploy renewable energy. He said that HK Electric would spare no efforts in promoting energy saving in the community.

23. There being no further questions from Members, <u>the Chairperson</u> thanked the project proponent team for their detailed presentation and clarification in relation to the project.

(The presentation team left the meeting at this juncture.)

### <u>Internal Discussion Session</u> (Closed-door Session)

- 24. <u>The Chairperson</u> advised that the EIA Subcommittee should make recommendations to ACE on the EIA report with the following consideration:
  - (i) endorse the EIA report without condition; or
  - (ii) endorse the EIA report with conditions and / or recommendations; or
  - (iii) defer the decision to the full Council for further consideration, where issues or reasons for not reaching a consensus or issues to be further considered by the full Council would need to be highlighted; or
  - (iv) reject the EIA report and inform the project proponent of the right to go to the full Council.
- 25. <u>The Chairperson</u> proposed and Members agreed to endorse the EIA report with conditions and / or recommendations.

#### Waste Management Plan

- 26. <u>A Member</u> suggested that the project proponent should endeavour to reduce the amount of solid waste to be disposed of at the landfill such as utilising the waste-to-energy facilities. <u>The Chairperson</u> concurred with <u>the Member</u> and suggested that the project proponent should be recommended to devise a waste management plan with a view to reducing the amount of solid waste. <u>Another Member</u> considered that chemical waste should be included in the plan as well.
- 27. <u>The Chairperson</u> suggested and Members agreed that the project proponent should be recommended to devise a comprehensive Waste Management Plan, subject to the consultation with DEP, with a view to minimising the disposal of solid waste generated from the project, including but not limited to inert construction and

demolition (C&D) materials, chemical waste and other types of waste at the landfill as far as possible.

#### Carbon Emissions

28. <u>The Chairperson</u> suggested recommending the project proponent to step up its efforts in contributing towards carbon neutrality. <u>A Member</u> was of the view that it might not be appropriate to impose a condition or recommendation since the control and reduction of carbon emissions was not part of the existing requirement under EIAO as well as the Technical Memorandum. The meeting agreed that it was not necessary to impose condition or recommendation in this regard.

# Consideration of Alternatives

29. Considering the project proponent's operational requirement on start-up time to handle emergency situations, <u>a Member</u> suggested and the meeting agreed that it was not necessary to impose condition or recommendation for deploying CCGTs instead of OCGTs in this project.

# Energy Saving and Energy Efficiency

30. Given that the main purpose of the new OCGTs was to cater for emergency situations and the usage was highly infrequent, the meeting agreed that it was not necessary to impose condition or recommendation in respect of energy saving and energy efficiency.

#### Future Modification and Upgrading

31. <u>A Member</u> was concerned about the technical feasibility for the proposed OCGTs to convert to the use of hydrogen or other green fuel in the future given the significant differences in the infrastructure for the gas turbine units. <u>The Chairperson</u> remarked that the objective of this EIA report was to assess the environmental issues relating to the construction and commission of the new OCGTs. Given that no adverse environmental impact was anticipated arising from the construction of the new OCGTs, Members agreed that condition or recommendation was not required.

32. There being no other comments from Members, the meeting agreed that the EIA report could be endorsed with only one recommendation. The project proponent team would not be required to attend the subsequent full Council meeting.

(Post-meeting notes: The recommendation was circulated to Members for comments on 16 February 2022.)

# **Item 4 : Any other business**

Optimising the EIAO Process

- 33. In response to <u>a Member</u>'s enquiry about the plan to consult ACE on the review of EIAO process, <u>Mr Terence Tsang</u> advised that EPD would consult ACE on the general directions for optimising the EIAO process in the coming month in order to gauge Members' views for taking forward the review process and preparing recommendations on the way forward. EPD would report to ACE on the proposed recommendations after the reviews.
- 34. <u>A Member</u> reminded that the quality of EIA work should not be compromised due to the streamlining of EIAO process. <u>The Chairperson</u> and <u>the Member</u> remarked that EPD should review and improve the Technical Memorandum as well as the Guidance Notes on Ecological Impact Assessment with a view to enhancing the quality of EIA work.
- 35. Mr Terence Tsang advised that the review aimed at optimising the EIAO process by devising clearer guidelines for project proponents and consultants, which would facilitate their conduct of EIA studies and thus enhance the efficiency and quality of work. He agreed that the statutory timeframe under EIAO would not be shortened.
- 36. There was no other business for discussion at the meeting.

#### **Item 5: Date of next meeting**

37. <u>The Chairperson</u> advised Members that the EIASC meeting scheduled for 14 March 2022 would be cancelled. The next EIASC meeting was scheduled for 11 April 2022 to discuss the EIA report on "Tung Chung Line Extension". Members would be advised on the agenda in due course.

38. <u>The Chairperson</u> informed Members that <u>Ms Becky Lam</u> would have a new posting. Her successor <u>Ms Karen Chek</u> also attended today's meeting. On behalf of all Members, <u>the Chairperson</u> thanked <u>Ms Lam</u> for her dedicated support to the Council in the past years and wished her every success in the new posting.

EIA Subcommittee Secretariat March 2022