JOB NO.: TCS01267/22

CONTRACT NO. EP/SP/186/21

WEST NEW TERRITORIES LANDFILL EXTENSION

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT REPORT – JANUARY 2025

PREPARED FOR

HONG KONG RESOURCES RECOVERY PARK

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
10 February 2025	TCS01325/23/600/R0092v1	 Ben Tam (Senior Environmental Consultant)	 Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	10 February 2025	First Submission

Our Ref: TCS01325/23/300/L0093

Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park
29/F China Overseas Building,
139 Hennessy Road, Hong Kong

Attn: Mr. Kenneth Lau

14 February 2025
By email

Dear Sir,

Re: Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories Landfill (WENT) Extension
EP-393/2010/A and FEP-01/393/2010/A Condition 3.5
ETL's Certification Letter for
Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report – January 2025

With reference to the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report – January 2025 (TCS01325/23/600/R0092v1), we hereby certify this submission in accordance with Condition 3.5 of EP-393/2010/A and FEP-01/393/2010/A.

Should you have any queries or require further information, please feel free to the undersigned at Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079.

Yours sincerely,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Tam Tak Wing
Environmental Team Leader

ANEWR

Environmental Protection Department
2nd floor, West Wing
Island West Transfer Station
88 Victoria Road
Kennedy Town
Hong Kong

Your reference:

Our reference: HKEPD259/50/110351

Date: 14 February 2025

Attention: Ms Kins Lo

BY EMAIL & POST
(email: wklo@epd.gov.hk)

Dear Sirs

Quotation Ref. 23-02230
Provision of Independent Environmental Checker Consultancy Services for
West New Territories Landfill Extension
Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report – January 2025

We refer to emails of 11 and 14 February 2025 from Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park attaching the Monthly Environment Monitoring and Audit Report – January 2025 of the captioned.

We have no comment and hereby verify the captioned report in accordance with Clause 3.5 of the Environmental Permit (EP No.: EP-393/2010/A) and Further Environmental Permit (FEP No. FEP-01/393/2010/A).

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Mr Ricky Lau on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully
ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

James Choi
Independent Environmental Checker

CPSJ/LCCR/thy

ANewR Consulting Limited
Unit 1813, 1815-16, 18/F, Tower A, Regent Centre
63 Wo Yi Hop Road, Kwai Chung, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2618 2831 Fax: (852) 3007 8648
Email: info@anewr.com
Web: www.anewr.com

ISO 9001 : 2015
Certificate No.: CC 3988

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

ES.01 In August 2023, Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park (hereinafter named "HKRRP" or "the Contractor") was awarded the Design, Build and Operate (DBO) Contract of Contract No. EP/SP/186/21 West New Territories Landfill Extension (hereinafter named "the Project"). Further Environmental Permit no. FEP-01/393/2010/A (hereinafter named "the EP") was granted to HKRRP from Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 6 October 2023.

ES.02 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting (hereinafter called "AUES") was appointed by HKRRP as the Environmental Team (the "ET") to implement environmental monitoring and auditing (EM&A) programme for the initial phase of the Project.

ES.03 This is the **10th** Monthly EM&A Report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Project for the period from **1st to 31st January 2025** (hereinafter called 'the Reporting Period).

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES.04 Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme of the Project in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameter	Monitoring Station/ Location	Date / Number of Monitoring
Air Quality	1-hour Total Suspended Particulates	AM(D)1, AM(D)2, AM(D)3, AM(D)5a, AM(D)6a, AM(D)7a	162 sessions
	24-hour Total Suspended Particulates		54 sessions
Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	NM1	5 sessions
Water Quality (Surface water)	DO, Turbidity, pH, SS and chemical parameters etc.	WM1	1 session (9th Jan 2025)
Site Inspection	Site audit for implementation of mitigation measures	Entire site	5 sessions

ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE

ES.05 In the Reporting Period, no exceedances of air quality monitoring, construction noise (including Action Level for noise complaint) and surface water monitoring were recorded. The summary of exceedances recorded in the Reporting Period is shown table below.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action		
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--
	24-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--
Construction Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	0	0	0	--	--
Water Quality (Surface water)	DO	0	0	0	--	--
	Turbidity	0	0	0		
	pH	0	0	0		
	SS	0	0	0		

ES.06 The LFG monitoring was conducted for excavation work in January 2025. No exceedance of Limit Levels of LFG was recorded during the Reporting Period.

ES.07 For landscape and visual, implementation of mitigation measures during construction phase of the Project has been monitored through regular site inspection/ audit.

ES.08 The Contractor was advised to implement the waste management plan and minimise the wastes generated through recycling or reusing. All mitigation measures stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual and waste management plans shall be fully implemented.

SITE INSPECTION

ES.09 In the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance had been carried out by the representatives of the Service Manager (SM), ET and the Contractor. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection. In addition, Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) carried out the joint site inspections on 16th January 2025.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES.10 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint was received.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES.11 In the Reporting Period, no environmental summons and prosecutions were recorded.

REPORTING CHANGE

ES.12 There is no reporting change in the Reporting Period.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

ES.13 Water quality mitigation measures shall be fully implemented in accordance with the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures of the updated EM&A Manual.

ES.14 In addition, the Contractor should fully implement the recommended air quality mitigation measures to minimize the impact of construction dust as far as practicable.

ES.15 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. In accordance with the EP, a noise bund of 3.5m tall has been constructed along the north eastern seafront of the existing landfill as shown in Figure 2 of the EP prior to the commencement of construction. It is reminded that the noise bund shall be properly maintained during the construction, operation and restoration of the Project.

Table of Contents

1 INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 BACKGROUND 1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT 1
1.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF EM&A PROGRAMME 1
1.4 REPORT STRUCTURE 2

2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS AND PROJECT ORGANISATION 3
2.1 PROJECT ORGANISATION 3
2.2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS 4
2.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS 4

3 AIR QUALITY MONITORING 6
3.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS 6
3.2 MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION 6
3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS 6
3.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT 8
3.5 MONITORING PROCEDURES 9
3.6 ACTION/LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY 10
3.7 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS 10

4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING 12
4.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS 12
4.2 MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION 12
4.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS 12
4.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT 12
4.5 MONITORING PROCEDURES 13
4.6 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE 13
4.7 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS 13

5 WATER QUALITY MONITORING 15
5.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS 15
5.2 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND DURATION 15
5.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS 15
5.4 ANALYSIS PARAMETERS 15
5.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT 15
5.6 LABORATORY ANALYSIS 16
5.7 MONITORING PROCEDURES 17
5.8 DATA MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/QUALITY CONTROL (QC) 17
5.9 RESULTS OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING 18

6 ECOLOGY MONITORING 19
6.1 REQUIREMENTS 19
6.2 ECOLOGICAL MITIGATION MEASURES 19
6.3 MONITORING AND AUDIT FOR ECOLOGY 19

7 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING 20
7.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS 20
7.2 MONITORING AND OBSERVATION 20

8 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING 21
8.1 REQUIREMENT 21
8.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS 21
8.3 MONITORING EQUIPMENT 21
8.4 MONITORING LOCATIONS 22
8.5 MONITORING FREQUENCY 22
8.6 A/L LEVELS AND EVENT ACTION PLAN 23
8.7 MONITORING RESULTS 24

9 WASTE MANAGEMENT 25

9.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT 25
9.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES 25

10 SITE INSPECTION 26
10.1 REQUIREMENTS 26
10.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD 26

11 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCES 28
11.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS 28
11.2 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCES 28

12 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES 29
12.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS 29
12.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH 29
12.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH 29

13 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 31
13.1 CONCLUSIONS 31
13.2 RECOMMENDATIONS 31

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1 STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS
TABLE 3-1 DUST MONITORING LOCATIONS
TABLE 3-2 1-HOUR TSP AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-3 24-HOUR TSP AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-4 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-5 SUMMARY OF 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 3-6 SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 4-1 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATION
TABLE 4-2 NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 4-3 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 4-4 SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-1 SURFACE WATER MONITORING INSTRUMENT
TABLE 5-2 TEST METHOD AND REPORTING LIMIT OF CHEMICALS ANALYSIS
TABLE 5-3 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR SURFACE WATER MONITORING DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE
TABLE 8-1 LFG MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 9-1 SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
TABLE 9-2 SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF NON-INERT C&D WASTES
TABLE 10-1 SITE INSPECTION AND OBSERVATIONS
TABLE 11-1 STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 11-2 STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 11-3 STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A LOCATION PLAN OF ENHANCED SCHEME OF WENTX LANDFILL EXTENSION
APPENDIX B PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND THE KEY PERSONAL CONTACT
APPENDIX C 3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME
APPENDIX D MONITORING LOCATIONS
APPENDIX E CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES
(1) AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
(2) NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
(3) WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
(4) LFG MONITORING EQUIPMENT
APPENDIX F METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX G EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H MONITORING SCHEDULE
APPENDIX I DETAILED MONITORING RESULTS
APPENDIX J GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX K WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX L ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS LOG
APPENDIX M ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

1.1.1 The West New Territories Landfill Extension (WENTX) is classified as a Designated Project (DP) under Schedule 2, Part I of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) (Cap. 499). The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report (AEIAR-147/2009) of WENTX was approved in November 2009 and the respective Environmental Permit no. EP-393/2010 was granted in June 2010. For the WENTX development scheme adopted in the WENTX-EIA in 2009 (hereby referred to the Original Scheme), an area of about 188 hectares of land adjacent to the existing WENT landfill was considered that to be provided approximately 81 million m^3 (Mm^3) of additional landfill capacity.

1.1.2 In consideration of the interfacing projects, commitments and neighbourhood enhancement initiatives were proposed and in conjunction with the project, the reference design and implementation programme for the WENTX (hereby referred to the Enhanced Scheme) has been revised. Under the Enhanced Scheme, the boundary of WENTX has been reduced and the waste filling area and landfill capacity has been updated to 94 ha and 76 Mm^3 respectively. Variation of Environmental Permit (application number VEP-617/2022) was applied by the project proponent and EP-393/2010/A was issued by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 29 July 2022 subsequently. The location plan of Enhanced Scheme of WENTX Landfill Extension is shown on ***Appendix A***.

1.1.3 In August 2023, Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park (hereinafter named "HKRRP" or "the Contractor") was awarded the Design, Build and Operate (DBO) Contract of WENTX (hereinafter named "the Project"). Further Environmental Permit no. FEP-01/393/2010/A (hereinafter named "the EP") was granted to HKRRP from EPD on 6 October 2023.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

General Description of the Project

1.2.1 The development of the WENT Landfill Extension will involve the following works:

- Site formation and preparation;
- Installation of landfill infrastructures including leachate treatment plant, landfill gas management plant, power generators, workshops and site offices;
- Installation of liner system;
- Installation of leachate collection, treatment and disposal facilities;
- Installation of gas collection and utilization facilities;
- Provision of utilities and drainage;
- Landfill operation;
- Restoration and aftercare in subsequent stages; and
- Implementation of measures to mitigate environmental impact as well as environmental monitoring and audit.

1.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF EM&A PROGRAMME

1.3.1 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting (hereinafter called "AUES") was appointed by HKRRP as the Environmental Team (ET) to implement environmental monitoring and auditing (EM&A) programme for the initial phase of the Project.

1.3.2 In accordance with EP-393/2010/A and FEP-01/393/2010/A Condition 3.1, an updated EM&A Manual has been prepared to include the latest EM&A requirement in accordance with the information and recommendation described in the EIA Report and by taking into account

any specific site conditions that may be changed before the construction of the Project. It outlines the monitoring and audit programme for the Project for the construction phase and provided systematic procedures for monitoring, auditing and minimizing environmental impacts ensure compliance with the EIA recommendations.

1.3.3 Baseline monitoring for air quality, background noise and surface water quality were conducted from 3rd January 2024 to 31st March 2024 by the ET at all the designated or any alternative monitoring locations in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual before commencement of construction work under the project. Baseline Monitoring Report has been prepared to present the relevant baseline data and determine the set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) for the construction phase of the Project.

1.3.4 In view of commencement of construction work of Project on 3rd April 2024, the Construction Phase EM&A monitoring for relevant impact monitoring was commenced subsequently.

1.3.5 This is the **10th** Monthly EM&A Report, presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Project, for the period from **1st to 31st January 2025** (hereinafter called 'the Reporting Period).

1.4 REPORT STRUCTURE

1.4.1 The Monthly EM&A Report is structured into the following sections:-

Section 1 *Introduction*
Section 2 *Project Organization and Construction Progress*
Section 3 *Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements*
Section 4 *Air Quality Monitoring*
Section 5 *Construction Noise Monitoring*
Section 6 *Water Quality Monitoring*
Section 7 *Ecology Monitoring*
Section 8 *Landfill Gas Monitoring*
Section 9 *Waste Management*
Section 10 *Site Inspections*
Section 11 *Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliances*
Section 12 *Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures*
Section 13 *Conclusions and Recommendations*

2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS AND PROJECT ORGANISATION

2.1 PROJECT ORGANISATION

2.1.1 The project organization and the key personal contact are shown in ***Appendix B***, which consists of the Project Proponent (EPD / Environmental Infrastructure Division), Contractor, ET, Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), and Service Manager (SM) etc. It should be established to take the responsibilities for environmental protection for this landfill extension project. The IEC will be appointed by the Project Proponent to conduct independent auditing of the overall EM&A programme including environmental and operation monitoring, implementation of mitigation measures, EM&A submissions, and any other submissions required under the EP. The individual responsibilities are:

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

EPD/ Environmental Infrastructure Division is the Project Proponent of the Project.

Contractor

- Employment of an ET to carry out environmental monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of environmental monitoring and audit;
- Submission of proposals of mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit (A/L) Levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plan (EAP);
- Implementation of mitigation measures to reduce the impacts where A/L Levels are exceeded; and
- Adherence to the agreed procedures for carrying out complaint investigation.

ET

- Setting up of all the required environmental monitoring stations;
- Monitoring of various environmental parameters as required;
- Analysis of monitoring and audit data and review the success of EM&A programme to cost-effectively confirm the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented and the validity of the EIA predictions and to identify any adverse environmental impacts arising;
- Carrying out site inspections to investigate and audit the Contractor's site practices, equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and take proactive actions to resolve problems;
- Auditing and preparation of audit reports on environmental monitoring data and site conditions;
- Reporting of environmental monitoring and audit results to the IEC, Contractor, SM and Project Proponent or its delegated representative;
- Recommendation of suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in case exceedance of A/L Levels in accordance with the EAP;
- Undertaking of regular on-site audits/ inspections and reporting to the Contractor and SM of any potential non-compliance; and
- Following up and closing out of non-compliance actions.

IEC

- Review of EM&A programme by the ET (at not less than monthly intervals);
- Auditing of monitoring activities and results (at not less than monthly intervals);
- Reporting of audit results to the SM and Project Proponent in parallel;
- Reviewing of EM&A reports (monthly, quarterly and annual summary reports) submitted by the ET;
- Reviewing of proposal of mitigation measures submitted by the Contractor in accordance with the EAP;
- Checking of mitigation measures recommended in the EIA Report and EM&A Manual, and ensuring they are properly implemented in timely manner when required; and

- Reporting of findings of site inspections and other environmental performance reviews to SM and Project Proponent.
- To check the records of disposal for the different types of C&D materials, including the DRS maintained by the Contractor during the monthly environmental auditing;
- To check the disposal records kept by the SM, especially the name of the designated public fill reception facilities, sorting facilities, outlying island transfer facilities, landfills and/or alternative disposal grounds, the time and date of disposal.

SM

- Verification and checking Contractor's activities and ensure that the requirements in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with;
- Informing Contractor when action is required to reduce impacts in accordance with the EAP; and
- Ensure compliance with the agreed EAP in case any exceedance.

2.1.2 Sufficient and suitably qualified professional and technical staff should be employed by the respective parties to ensure full compliance with their duties and responsibilities, as required under the EM&A programme for the duration of the Project.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.2.1 The 3-month rolling construction programme of the Project are shown in ***Appendix C***, and the major construction activities carried out in the Reporting Period are listed below:-

Portion A1, B1a, B1c & B6

- Soft excavation
- Hard excavation
- Blasting

Portion B10

- Piling work
- Leachate Treatment Works & Landfill Gas Treatment Plant

Portion C1

- Temporary Site Office construction
- External manholes construction
- Temporary drainage diversion at nullah

Portion B2 & B9

- J-Channel Construction

Portion C7

- Loading and unloading pre-cast unit and construction material

Portion D1

- Pipe Laying Works

Portion B8

- Soil Nail Works

2.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS

2.3.1 To implement the project works, summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or

notifications on environmental protection are presented in ***Table 2-1.***

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
1	Environmental Permit	FEP-01/393/2010/A	6 Oct 2023	--
2	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7048594	22 Sep 2023	--
3	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	WPN: 5213-431-H4441-01	18 Oct 2023	--
4	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	WT10002363-2023 (Portion C1)	6 May 2024	31 May 2029
		WT10002525-2023 (Portion B1a)	6 May 2024	31 May 2029
		WT00045324-2024 (Portion B2)	12 Dec 2024	31 Dec 2029
5	Noise Control Ordinance – Construction Noise Permit	GW-RW1248-24 (Portion C1)	27 Dec 2024	26 Mar 2025
		GW-RW0988-24 (Portion C7)	22 Oct 2024	21 Jan 2025
		GW-RW0032-25 (Portion C7)	22 Jan 2025	21 Apr 2025
		GW-RW1127-24 (Portion B1a)	22 Nov 2024	21 Feb 2025
		GW-RW1061-24 (Portion B2)	8 Nov 2024	7 Feb 2025
		GW-RW1072-24 (Portion B4)	8 Nov 2024	7 Feb 2025
		GW-RW1011-24 (Portion B9)	29 Oct 2024	28 Jan 2025
		GW-RW0034-25 (Portion B9)	29 Jan 2025	28 Apr 2025
		GW-RW0017-25 (Portion B1)	10 Jan 2025	9 Apr 2025

3 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

3.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1.1 Monitoring of the Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) levels shall be carried out by the ET to ensure that any deteriorating air quality could be readily detected and timely action be taken to rectify the situation. 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels should be measured to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality. The TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, USA, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B. Upon approval by the IEC, 1-hour TSP levels can be measured by direct reading methods which are capable of producing comparable results as that by the high volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts.

3.1.2 All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and other special phenomena and work progress of the concerned site etc. shall be recorded down in details.

3.1.3 The ET shall carry out impact monitoring during the course of the Works. In case of non-compliance with the dust criteria, more frequent monitoring exercise, as specified in the Action Plan, shall be conducted within 24 hours after the result is obtained. This additional monitoring shall be continued until the excessive dust emission or the deterioration in air quality is rectified.

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

3.2.1 In accordance with the EP requirement, for regular impact monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least twice in every six-days, shall be strictly observed at all the monitoring stations for 24-hr TSP monitoring. For 1-hr TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least six times in every six-days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. The specific time to start and stop the 24-hr TSP monitoring shall be clearly defined for each location and be strictly followed by the Contractor.

3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 Five dust monitoring locations have been recommended in the approved Final EM&A Manual and two additional monitoring stations (AM(D)6 and AM(D)7) were suggested in VEP supporting document. Joint site visits by the Contractor and ET have been conducted at the recommended locations to verify their status and obtain agreement to install dust monitoring equipment for before the implementation of EM&A Programme.

3.3.2 When alternative monitoring locations are proposed, the following criteria, as far as practicable, should be followed:

- At the site boundary or such locations close to the major dust emission source;
- Close to the sensitive receptors; and
- Account for the prevailing meteorological conditions

Proposed Alternative Locations

AM(D)4

A formal email has been sent to Black Point Power Station on 27th December 2023 for access authorization to the premise in order to carry out dust monitoring. The corresponding team of Black Point Power Station replied that due to the safety and security reason, they rejected to provide access for dust monitoring activities in their premise.

After AM(D)4 (Black Point Power Station Office and Control Room) rejected the proposal of installing dust monitoring equipment within their premises, alternative locations were sought which included locations near the Lung Kwu Sheung Tan Village Supply Tank and Lung Kwu Sheung Tan Service Reservoir. Visits to the above 2 locations were made after the rejection received on 18 January 2024 for 4 weeks and it was concluded that there was no site personnel permanently stationed at these 2 locations and these premises are probably visited by personnel on an ad-hoc basis. Furthermore, it was observed that building/office have been equipped with air-conditioning with dust filter, with the implementation of the dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, adverse air quality impact is not anticipated at these 2 locations. Thus, it was concluded that no further alternation location can be considered.

AM(D)5

During baseline monitoring conducted at AM(D)5 on 27th Jan to 9th Feb 2024, it has been observed that 9 out of 14 monitoring days recorded 24-hour TSP levels exceeding the Limit Level (260μg/m^3). Investigation was conducted to identify cause of high baseline 24-hour TSP result, and it is considered that the frequent passage of heavy vehicles, particularly on the unpaved access road to the nearby warehouses, was the main contributing factor to the elevated 24-hour TSP levels. As the baseline level for 24-hour TSP at AM(D)5 exceeded the limit level, and the exceedances were due to the local traffic. In accordance with the updated EM&A Manual, ET had conducted a second set of baseline monitoring at new location closer to the WENTX site, which demonstrate a more representative data on dust impact associated from WENTX (hereinafter named AM(D)5a) for the parameters of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP from 16th to 31st March 2024.

AM(D)6

Site visit and meeting with T · Park was held on 15th January 2024 and it is concluded and agreed that air quality monitoring equipment should be relocated to the rooftop of T · Park workshop instead of the T · Park office, which is the best available alternative monitoring location in the facility. The distance between T · Park office and workshop is approximately 100m. They are both located to the north of the site boundary and experiencing the same prevailing meteorological conditions.

AM(D)7

Site visit was conducted at the proposed designated location on 28th December 2023, and after discussion with the management representative of the premises, access authorization to carry out dust monitoring was rejected due to unsuitable conditions.

An alternative location has been sought based on the recommended criteria. It is proposed to relocate the monitoring location (north facing) to the site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon and at the location avoid the emission from the premises (east facing). The proposed alternative monitoring location AM(D)7a is approximately 10 meters away from the designated location AM(D)7. Both locations are situated to the north-west of the site boundary and experiencing the same prevailing meteorological conditions. The southern boundary of the Tsang Tsui Columbarium site such as the entrance area has been explored subsequently, but it is not feasible without stable electricity.

The updated dust monitoring locations have been included in the updated EM&A Manual. The proposed dust monitoring locations for impact monitoring are shown in ***Table 3-1*** and illustrated in ***Appendix D***.

Table 3-1 Dust Monitoring Locations

Station ID	ASR ID	Location	Land use
AM(D)1	A1-1	Ha Pak Nai	Residential
AM(D)2	A1-2	Ha Pak Nai	Residential
AM(D)3	A1-3	Ha Pak Nai	Residential
AM(D)5a	A4-1	Lung Kwu Sheung Tan	Place of Worship
AM(D)6a	A3-1	Rooftop of T·Park workshop	Office
AM(D)7a	A5-2	Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon	Community

3.3.3 The status and locations of dust sensitive receivers may change from time to time. If such cases exist, the ET Leader shall propose updated monitoring locations and seek approval from SM and IEC and agreement from EPD on the proposal.

3.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

1-hour TSP

3.4.1 Portable direct reading dust meters brand named "Sibata LD-5R Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter", "Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter" and "Sidepak Personal Aerosol Monitor AM510" were used to 1-hour TSP measurement. These portable direct reading dust meters provided a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering.

3.4.2 The portable direct reading dust meters were used within the valid period following manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. It was calibrated annually and determined periodically by the calibrated High-Volume Sampler to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method. The proposed use of portable direct reading dust meters was submitted to the IEC and obtained agreement and stated in ***Section 4.3*** of the Updated EM&A Manual.

3.4.3 The portable direct reading dust meters used for impact air quality monitoring are listed in ***Table 3-2***. The copies of calibration certificates for 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring equipment are shown in ***Appendix E1***.

Table 3-2 1-hour TSP Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Serial No.
Portable Dust Meter of Particle Mass Profiler & Counter	Sidepak Personal Aerosol Monitor AM510	11008060 (AUES Equipment No. EQ101)
	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor	366410 (AUES Equipment No. EQ110)
		456662 (AUES Equipment No. EQ118)
	Sibata LD-5R Laser Dust monitor	467389 (AUES Equipment No. EQ125)
		467390 (AUES Equipment No. EQ126)
		467391 (AUES Equipment No. EQ127)
		467392 (AUES Equipment No. EQ128)

24-hour TSP

3.4.4 The 24-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50),* Appendix *B*. The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be provided and determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory. Equipment used for 24- hour TSP of impact air quality monitoring is listed in ***Table 3-3***.

Table 3-3 24-hour TSP Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
High Volume Air Sampler	TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A

3.4.5 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is a Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The High Volume Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:

(i) An anodized aluminum shelter;
(ii) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
(iii) A blower motor assembly;
(iv) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
(v) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
(vi) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
(vii) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

3.4.6 Prior to the 24-hour TSP monitoring, the HVS was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). Valid calibration certificate of the calibration kit with the certificate of HVS calibrated are attached in ***Appendix E1***.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

3.4.7 In consideration of the safety concerns of setting up wind sensor at 10m above ground, the ETL proposed alternative method to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from "the Hong Kong Observatory Lau Fau Shan Station" is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. Lau Fau Shan Station is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, Lau Fau Shan station is located at 31m above mean sea level which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. This station can also provide other meteorological information include air temperature, relative humidity, wind direction, wind speed and mean sea level pressure. Adoption of meteorological information from Hong Kong Observatory is a common alternative method for a lot of EM&A projects in Hong Kong.

3.5 MONITORING PROCEDURES

1-hour TSP

3.5.1 The portable direct reading dust meters brand named "Sibata LD-5R Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter", "Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter" and "Sidepak Personal Aerosol Monitor AM510" was used for impact monitoring. It is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer and provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90^0 light scattering.

3.5.2 The 1-hour TSP meter used is within the valid period, calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument was checked before and after each monitoring event. Operation of the 1-hour TSP meter was follow manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual.

24- hour TSP

3.5.3 Prior of 24-hour TSP monitoring, the HVS was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit

Model TE-5028A). The 24-hour TSP Monitoring using the HVS was also processed in accordance with the manufacturer's Operations Manual.

3.5.4 A filter paper of 24- hour TSP on filters of HVS collected by the ET would be delivered to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS) carry out quantifies. Also, ALS will keeps all the sampled 24-hour TSP filter papers in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25ºC, for six months prior to disposal.

3.6 ACTION/LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY

3.6.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. Following the guidelines for establishing the Action and Limit Levels for air quality monitoring, the Action and Limit Levels are presented in ***Table 3-4***. Should project-related non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occur, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which is presented in ***Appendix G.***

Table 3-4 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Station	1-hour TSP		24-hour TSP	
	Action Level (μg /m^3)	Limit Level (μg /m^3)	Action Level (μg /m^3)	Limit Level (μg /m^3)
AM(D)1	317	500	155	260
AM(D)2	313	500	156	260
AM(D)3	334	500	155	260
AM(D)5a	371	500	238	260
AM(D)6a	294	500	159	260
AM(D)7a	331	500	215	260

3.7 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

3.7.1 The monitoring schedule is presented in ***Appendix H*** and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

3.7.2 The monitoring results are summarized in ***Tables 3-5 and Table 3-6***. The detailed 1-hour TSP and 24-hour monitoring results are provided in ***Appendix I*** and graphical plots of monitoring results are shown in ***Appendix J***.

Table 3-5 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP (μg/m^3)				
Monitoring Station	Average (Range)	No. of Session	Action Level	Limit Level
AM(D)1 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai	60 (46 – 79)	27	317	500
AM(D)2 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai	48 (27 – 77)	27	313	500
AM(D)3 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai	88 (63 – 111)	27	334	500
AM(D)5a - Lung Kwu Sheung Tan	176 (109 – 224)	27	371	500
AM(D)6a - Rooftop of T·Park Workshop	95 (62 – 133)	27	294	500
AM(D)7a - Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon	154 (54 – 259)	27	331	500

Table 3-6 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

24-hour TSP (μg/m^3)				
Monitoring Station	**Average (Range)**	**No. of Session**	**Action Level**	**Limit Level**
AM(D)1 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai	54 (33 – 79)	9	155	260
AM(D)2 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai	44 (30 – 67)	9	156	260
AM(D)3 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai	67 (25 – 105)	9	155	260
AM(D)5a - Lung Kwu Sheung Tan	182 (95 – 235)	9	238	260
AM(D)6a - Rooftop of T·Park Workshop	82 (21 – 121)	9	159	260
AM(D)7a - Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon	146 (34 – 209)	9	215	260

3.7.3 In the Reporting Period, all the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels and no corrective action was therefore required.

4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

4.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

4.1.1 Construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). Leq_{30min} shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time periods, Leq_{5min} shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.

4.1.2 In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring as specified in the Event and Action Plan shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be continued until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

4.2 MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

4.2.1 During normal construction working hour (0700-1900 Monday to Saturday), monitoring of $L_{eq30min}$ noise levels (as 6 consecutive L_{eq5min} readings) shall be carried out at the designated monitoring location NM1- Ha Pak Nai once every week.

4.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

4.3.1 According to the updated EM&A Manual, the ET shall carry out noise monitoring during the construction phase at the designated monitoring station as shown in ***Table 4-1*** and illustrated in ***Appendix D***.

Table 4-1 Construction Noise Monitoring Station

Monitoring ID	EIA NSR Ref	Location	Type of Monitoring	Monitoring Parameters	Supplementary Information
NM1	NSR-1	Village house at Ha Pak Nai	Construction & Operation	30mins and or 5mins of L_{Aeq}	L_{A10} and L_{A90}

4.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.4.1 As referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications were used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements would be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0 dB.

4.4.2 Noise measurements were made in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices in relation to weather conditions. Weather information such as wind speed and wind direction would be extracted from Lau Fau Shan weather station during the impact monitoring.

4.4.3 The ET was responsible for the provision, installation, operation, maintenance, dismantle of the monitoring equipment. Sufficient noise measuring equipment and associated instrumentation are available for carrying out the impact monitoring. The equipment and associated instrumentation have been clearly labelled.

4.4.4 Noise monitoring equipment used for impact monitoring is listed in ***Table 4-2***.

Table 4-2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Serial No.
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52 / B&K2238	00921191 / 2285722
Calibrator	B&K 4231	2713428

4.4.5 Sound level meter listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO), which was used for impact noise monitoring. The copies of calibration certificates of noise monitoring equipment were shown in ***Appendix E2***.

4.5 MONITORING PROCEDURES

4.5.1 The microphone of the sound level meter was set at a height of about 1.5m subject to site condition and oriented pointed to the site, with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. Moreover, the microphone was positioned away from any reflective surface, and a correction of +3 dB(A) has been made for the free field measurements.

4.5.2 Prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0dB.

4.5.3 Leq_{30min} shall be taken as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. After the measurement, the data were recorded and stored automatically within the sound level meter system. At the end of the monitoring period, noise levels in term of L_{eq}, L_{90} and L_{10} were recorded.

4.5.4 All the monitoring data stored in the sound level meter system were downloaded through the computer software, and all these data were checked and reviewed on computer.

4.6 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE

4.6.1 Following the guidelines for establishing the Action and Limit Levels for construction noise monitoring, the Action and Limit Levels are presented in ***Table 4-3***. Should project-related non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occur, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which is presented in ***Appendix G.***

Table 4-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
NM1	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A)
Note: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.		

4.7 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS

4.7.1 The monitoring schedule is presented in ***Appendix H*** and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

4.7.2 In the Reporting Period, ***5*** sessions of noise measurements were carried out at designated monitoring station NM1. The noise monitoring results are summarized in ***Table 4-4.*** The

detailed noise monitoring data are presented in ***Appendix I*** and the relevant graphical plots are shown in ***Appendix J***.

Table 4-4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A)					
Station ID	**Description of location**	**Range**	**No. of Sessions**	**Action Level**	**Limit Level**
NM1	Village house at Ha Pak Nai	45 - 61	5	When one documented complaint is received at anytime during the construction period	75

Remarks

(*) *Noise measurements was conducted at free field condition and façade correction (+3 dB(A) was added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines*

4.7.3 As shown in ***Table 4-4***, no construction noise measurement results triggered the Limit Level (75 dB(A)) in the Reporting Month. Due to the construction programme, construction works during restricted hour was commenced from 15 November 2024. According to site inspection and auditing on Contractor's record have shown that the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority for construction works during restricted hours were followed. Thus, the stipulated requirement on noise impact control during restricted hour was achieved.

4.7.4 Furthermore, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

5 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

5.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

5.1.1 According to the updated EM&A Manual, the Contractor shall carry out surface water monitoring from the commencement of the works until the issue of the Aftercare Certificate.

5.1.2 According to general water quality monitoring criteria, water sampling depth should be:

- If the water depth during sampling is exceeded 6m, three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above river/stream bed and mid-depth.
- If the water depth during sampling is exceeded 3m but less than 6m, two depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above river/stream bed.
- If the water depth is less than 3m, one depth: perform at mid-depth.

5.1.3 Duplicate samples and repeat in-situ measurement shall be taken from each sampling depth.

5.2 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND DURATION

5.2.1 During the construction phase, monthly monitoring of the surface water discharges shall be carried out in order to show if contamination of surface water by leachate is occurring.

5.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

5.3.1 The surface water monitoring should be carried out at the specified point WM1 in accordance with Figure 5.1 in the updated EM&A Manual, which is shown in ***Appendix D***, unless otherwise agreed by IEC and approved by the SM.

5.4 ANALYSIS PARAMETERS

5.4.1 According to Section 5.5 of the updated EM&A Manual, the parameters of surface water monitoring included in-situ measurement and laboratory analysis are listed below.

A. *In-situ measurement:*
Temperature (°C), pH (unit), Salinity (ppt), Turbidity (NTU), Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L) & Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (DOS) (%), Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm), Water Flow direction (degree) / speed (m/s) and Water depth (m).

B. *Laboratory Analysis (mg/L):*
Alkalinity, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5), Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Suspended Solids (SS), Ammonia Nitrogen (NH_3-N), Total kjeldahl nitrogen, Nitrate (NO_3), Sulphate & Sulphite, Phosphate, Chloride and Oil & Grease.

C. *Laboratory Analysis:*
Sodium (µg/L) and Coliform Count (cfu/100mL).

D. *Heavy Metals Analysis(µg/L):*
Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Potassium (K), Iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni), Zinc (Zn), Manganese (Mn), Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd).

5.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

5.5.1 Water quality monitoring equipment used for impact monitoring is listed in ***Table 5-1.***

Table 5-1 Surface Water Monitoring Instrument

Equipment	Model	Serial No.
A Digital Global Positioning System	Garmin eTrex	N/A
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional DSS Multifunctional Meter	[20J101862/15H103928]/ [EQW018]

Equipment	Model	Serial No.
pH meter		
Turbidimeter		
Salinometer		
Conductivity meter		
Current Meter	Valeport Model 106 Current Meter	[60011]
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles provided by laboratory	N/A
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with ice pad	N/A

5.5.2 All in-situ measurement instruments such as DO measuring instruments, turbidity measuring instruments, salinometer and A portable pH meter, would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory at three-month intervals. Valid calibration certificate is attached in ***Appendix E3***

5.6 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

5.6.1 A local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration number: HOKLAS 066) was appointed as a testing laboratory to carry out chemical analytical. The HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory is shown in ***Appendix E3***. The determination was started within 24 hours or recommended hold time of collection of water samples. The method of chemicals analysis is shown below ***Table 5-2***.

Table 5-2 Test Method and Reporting Limit of Chemicals Analysis

Analyte Description	ALS Method Code	Method Reference	Limit of Reporting (LOR)
pH value @25°C	EA002	APHA 4500 H: B	0.1 pH Unit
Conductivity @25°C	EA010	APHA 2510 B	1µS/cm
Suspended Solids	EA025-LL**	APHA 2540 D	0.1mg/L
Total Alkalinity as $CaCO_3$	ED037	APHA 4500 H: B	1mg/L
Sulphate as SO_4	ED041K	USEPA 375.4	1mg/L
Chloride	ED045K	USEPA 325.1	0.5mg/L
Cadmium Copper Lead Manganese Nickel Zinc	EG020 T	USEPA 6020	0.2µg/L 1µg/L 1µg/L 1µg/L 1µg/L 10µg/L
Calcium Iron Magnesium Potassium Sodium	EG032 T	USEPA 6010	50µg/L 10µg/L 50µg/L 50µg/L 50µg/L
Ammonia as N	EK055K	APHA 4500 NH3 G	0.01mg/L
Nitrate as N	EK058A	APHA 4500 NO3: I	0.01mg/L
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	EK061A	APHA 4500 Norg: D; USEPA 1688	0.1mg/L
Reactive Phosphorus as P	EK071K	APHA 4500 P: B & F	0.01mg/L
Sulphite as SO_3^{2-}	EK086 **	APHA 4500 SO3: B	2mg/L
Total Organic Carbon	EP005	APHA 5310 B	1mg/L
Oil and Grease	EP020	APHA 5520 B	5mg/L

Analyte Description	ALS Method Code	Method Reference	Limit of Reporting (LOR)
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) (Closed Reflux method)	EP026C	APHA 5220 C	5mg/L
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	EP030	APHA 5210 B	2mg/L
Total Coliforms	EM003	DoE section 7.8, 7.9.4.1 & 3	1 CFU/100mL

*Remarks: Except ** Item, all the methods as quoted is HOKLAS accredited*

5.7 MONITORING PROCEDURES

5.7.1 Prior to conducting in-situ measurement and water sampling, general information such as the sampling date, time, weather conditions and the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet. The location of water quality monitoring station was confirmed using GPS prior to in-situ monitoring and sampling. Moreover, the water depth at the monitoring station will be measured using a portable digital global positioning system.

5.7.2 In order to collect sufficient impact data, surface water monitoring will be conducted at two specific tide points: one mid-ebb and one mid-flood.

5.7.3 Before the surface water sampling, water flow and direction would be measured by Valeport Current Meter. Moreover, water temperature, DO & DOs, pH, salinity, conductivity and turbidity were taken by YSI Professional DSS Multifunctional Meter. These measurement results would be downloaded from instruments and recorded.

5.7.4 As the water depth was less than 3m, in-situ measurement and water sampling was conducted at mid-depth only. Water samples were collected repeatedly using the water sampler to obtain adequate water volumes for laboratory analysis. All the obtained water volumes would be directly filling into sample container as provided by the testing laboratory. Also, sample container would be pre-labeled with date, location, tide, depth, parameters and replicate information of the sample. The water sampler would be rinsed using local marine water before it used to collect marine water sample. Container is sealed with a screw cap after completed water filling then packed in cool box (maintain 4°C without being frozen) and delivered to the laboratory on the same day of sample collection for analysis. Also, the water sample filled into container until no remaining air space and then the lid securely screwed on. Where samples are to be preserved with acid or alkalis prior to transport to the laboratory, the sample bottles would be filled to the specified level which advised by the testing laboratory.

5.7.5 Before each round of monitoring, the dissolved oxygen probe would be calibrated by wet bulb method; a zero check in distilled water would be performed with the turbidity and salinity probes; 4 and 10 values of the standard solution would be undertaken to check the accuracy of pH value.

5.7.6 Additionally, the laboratory will retain all water samples after analysis for a period of 3 months, allowing for the possibility of repeat analysis if needed.

5.8 DATA MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/QUALITY CONTROL (QC)

5.8.1 All monitoring data would be handled by AUES's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment would be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day and input into a computerized database maintained by the AUES. The laboratory results would be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

5.8.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the testing laboratory would be according with the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

Action/Limit Levels for Surface Water Quality

5.8.3 Following above guidelines for establishing the Action and Limit Levels for surface water quality monitoring, the Action and Limit Levels of the Project are presented in ***Table 5-3***.

Table 5-3 Action and Limit Levels for Surface Water Monitoring during Construction Phase

Monitoring Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
DO mg L^{-1}	6.4	4.0
pH, (unit)	Beyond the range of 6.5 to 8.5	Beyond the range of 6 to 9
Turbidity, NTU	23.4	34.1
SS, mg L^{-1}	47.3	50.0

5.9 RESULTS OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

5.9.1 The monitoring schedule is presented in ***Appendix H*** and the monitoring results are summarized in the below sections.

5.9.2 Surface water quality monitoring was carried out at the designated monitoring station WM1 on **9th January 2025**. As the water depth at WM1 was less than 3m, in-situ measurement and water sample collection were conducted at mid-depth.

5.9.3 There are no exceedances recorded for surface water monitoring. The monitoring results including in-situ measurement and laboratory analysis are shown in ***Appendix I*** and the relevant graphical plots are shown in ***Appendix J***.

6 ECOLOGY MONITORING

6.1 REQUIREMENTS

6.1.1 The EIA stipulated that ecological monitoring should be undertaken throughout the design, construction, operation, restoration and aftercare phases of WENT Landfill Extension to ensure that all mitigation measures should be fully complied with. The objectives of design audit for ecology are to ensure that the design for ecological mitigation specified in the EIA Report will be conducted to ensure that such designs are ecologically feasible and effective.

6.1.2 The performance of monitoring and audit from an ecological prospective should be integrated with the overall monitoring and audit plan for the project as a whole. The information on the commencement and programme of the engineering works should enable the ecological monitoring to be prepared with considerations of seasonality factors. An EMIS of the recommended mitigation measures is presented in ***Appendix* M.**

6.2 ECOLOGICAL MITIGATION MEASURES

6.2.1 Ecological mitigation measures to be implemented before commencement of relevant construction phase should include survey and transplantation of plant species of conservation interest and setting up water quality monitoring stations inside Tai Shui Hang catchment to monitor the conditions of the habitat for the rare freshwater fish, *Acrossocheilus parallens*. In addition, although potential impacts to stream loss and fish species of conservation interest are ranked as minor and insignificant and no mitigation is required, a precautionary measure – fish capture and translocation survey for the three fish species of conservation interest including *Squaliobarbus curriculus, Osteochilus vittatus* and *Kuhlia marginata* will also be implemented before site clearance.

6.3 MONITORING AND AUDIT FOR ECOLOGY

6.3.1 The ecological monitoring and audit programme in relation to construction phase would be survey and transplantation of the plant species of conservation interest and 2 years of monitoring after.

6.3.2 According to the EIA Report, four plant species of conservation interest were found and directly impacted by the WENT Landfill Extension in June 2009. However, during the latest field survey in January 2024, only three groups of *Nepenthes mirabilis* (Pitcher Plant) could be found, and the remaining mentioned plants were not located. For *Ixonanthes reticulata* recorded at Tsang Kok Stream from the VEP were not found during the survey in January 2024. If *Ixonanthes reticulata* is found in the future, further assessment will be carried out to review the feasibility of transplantation.

6.3.3 Upon completion of transplantation, monitoring should be implemented for 2 years. The health and condition of individuals of the transplanted plant species of conservation interest should be monitored during the first 2 years after transplantation. Monitoring should be conducted monthly during first 6 months, and bi-monthly in the next 18 month to ensure survival. Since die-back of current year's growth is not uncommon, new stems, leaves and/or flowers produced from the cuttings in the following years, if observed in the following season, should be marked separately but also counted as survived individuals.

6.3.4 Monitoring of transplanted species will be carried out after the transplantation work. No monitoring is required in the Reporting Period.

7 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING

7.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

7.1.1 The EIA study has recommended landscape and visual mitigation measures to be undertaken during the construction and operation phases, as well as the restoration and aftercare phases of the project. Compared with the approved WENTX EIA, two new visual sensitive receivers (VSRs) within the visual envelop from the boundary of the Project are identified. Other VSRs are the same as the EIA. This section outlines the EM&A requirements of these measures to mitigate the landscape and visual impacts. An EMIS of the recommended mitigation measures is presented in ***Appendix M.***

7.1.2 Measures to mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the construction and operation phases should be checked to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures. The progress of the engineering works should be regularly reviewed on site to identify the earliest practical opportunities for the landscape works to be undertaken. The event and action plan for landscape and visual monitoring during the construction phase is summarised in ***Appendix G***.

7.2 MONITORING AND OBSERVATION

7.2.1 In order to monitor the landscape and visual impact after providing mitigation measures effectively, all the specified and affected landscape character areas, landscape resources and visually sensitive receivers should be monitored. Implementation of mitigation measures during construction phase of the Project has been monitored through regular site inspection/ audit.

8 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

8.1 REQUIREMENT

8.1.1 Landfill gas (LFG) monitoring should commence at the start of specific construction works, such as excavation and drilling for blasting, and through the operation, restoration and until completion of aftercare phases. The measured LFG results should be checked for compliance against pre-defined A/L Levels in this EM&A Manual. In case exceedance of compliance level was detected at any locations, the EAP should be triggered for necessary action to be taken.

8.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

A suite of LFG monitoring parameters include:

Monitoring Method	Monitoring Parameters	Requirement of Monitoring
• Monitoring borehole:	Methane (CH_4), carbon dioxide (CO_2), oxygen (O_2), flammable gas	If the blasting works are within the 250m consultation zone of WENT Landfill, gas monitoring shall be conducted at the nearest monitoring boreholes(#).
• Surface gas location:	CH_4, CO_2, O_2	For excavation works between 300mm and 1m deep and deeper than 1m; and throughout the whole process of the blasting
• Gas well head:	CH_4, CO_2, O_2, flammable gas, volatile organic compounds (VOC)	Once the gas well(#) is set up
• Off-site location:	VOC	Once WENTX starts receiving waste

Remark: (#) Monitoring boreholes will be installed for LFG monitoring at the borehole and gas well head. The programme for borehole installation will be synchronized with the construction programme.

8.2.1 The existing WENT Landfill is required to conduct LFG monitoring during landfill operation from drillholes, boreholes, gas probes and piezometers around the perimeter of the Site as specified in their contract. Before setting up the monitoring boreholes for WENTX, the Contractor should refer to the monitoring data collected from the existing WENT Landfill. This data serves as a reference and provides valuable information regarding historical gas levels and trends at the site.

8.3 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Monitoring for Construction Works

8.3.1 Intrinsically safe portable gas detectors should be used during excavation or when working in any confined spaces, which have the potential for presence of LFG and risk of explosion or asphyxiation. The monitoring equipment should alarm, both audibly and visually, when the concentrations of the following gases were exceeded:

- CH_4: > 10% of the Lower Explosion Limit (LEL);
- CO_2: > 0.5% by volume; and
- O_2: < 19% by volume

Calibration and Maintenance

8.3.2 All portable instrument should be calibrated and serviced according to the manufacturer's instructions. Calibration gases should be used for checking portable instrument for methane and carbon dioxide detection before and after use. Instrument for monitoring oxygen should

be calibrated against normal expected air concentrations. Any significant variations in instrument performance outside that expected through normal drift should be noted with the instrument calibration timely corrected.

8.3.3 Gas analyser was used for carrying out LFG monitoring for Construction Works. **Table 8-1** summarises the equipment that were used in the LFG monitoring programme and the calibration certificates are shown in ***Appendix E4***.

Table 8-1 LFG Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Parameter	Equipment	Model	Serial No.
CH_4, CO_2 & O_2	Gas Analyser	SKY3000-R5	02100C44A2002
		GEM5000	G510348

8.4 MONITORING LOCATIONS

8.4.1 During the construction stage, when excavation of 1m deep or more, surface LFG concentrations should be monitored at before entry and periodically during the progress of works.

8.4.2 The blasting work is scheduled to be carried out in 6 Phases and during Phase 1, the Contractor will utilise the existing WENT's monitoring wells for carrying out landfill gas monitoring as the WENTX landfill gas monitoring boreholes have yet to be completed. However, the Contractor is committed to complete the proposed landfill gas monitoring boreholes along the WENTX waste boundary for both Phase 1 and 2 blasting areas while Phase 1 blasting work is being carried out and so on for subsequent phases. In other words, when the blasting work is completed for Phase 1, the landfill gas monitoring boreholes for subsequent phase (i.e. Phase 2) is also complete and likewise for subsequent Phases. After Phase 1 blasting work is completed, WENT's monitoring wells will no longer be needed as the next phase proposed monitoring wells would have already been constructed. The landfill gas monitoring will be carried out in accordance with the requirement either within 250m consultation zone of the WENT Landfill or within 250m from the waste boundary of the WENT landfill extension site.

8.5 MONITORING FREQUENCY

8.5.1 The monitoring frequency and areas to be monitored should be set down prior to commencement of groundworks either by the Registered Safety Officer or by an appropriately qualified person. Routine monitoring should be carried out the in slope cutting by blasting, in all excavations, manholes and chambers and any other confined spaces that may have been created by, for example, the temporary storage of building materials on the site surface. All measurements in excavations should be made with the monitoring tube located not more than 10mm from the exposed ground surface.

8.5.2 The Contractor will maintain close liaison with WENT Landfill operator on a weekly basis and provide a two weeks tentative blast schedule at least 1 week before the blasting work. The tentative blast schedule will include the schedule blast date, location of blast works and the approximate separation distance between the blast area and existing WENT Landfill boundary.

8.5.3 The frequency and the locations of the LFG monitoring within the excavation area should be determined prior to commencement of the blasting works. The monitoring requirements and procedures specified in *Paragraphs 8.23 to 8.28 of the EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note* shall be strictly followed.

A. <u>For blasting works on existing slope</u>

The Contractor will perform landfill gas monitoring for all blasting works within the 250m consultation zone of the WENT Landfill (i.e. plan distance from the edge of the existing waste boundary of WENT Landfill site) at the schedule below.

- The Contractor will inform WENT Landfill operator about the selected perimeter monitoring wells along WENT's landfill boundary for landfill gas monitoring that may be required. When existing WENT's monitoring wells are proposed, permission from WENT's Landfill operator must be obtained.
- The Contractor will carry out landfill gas monitoring at the nearest monitoring wells (within 250m from WENT boundary) and the results shall be reported to the Service Manager. If the methane concentration is measured and remained to be less than 1%, drilling of blast holes can be proceeded after receiving confirmation from the Service Manager.
- Drilling of blast holes will take multiple days, thus, landfill gas monitoring shall be carried out every morning at the nearest blast hole following the same procedure as mentioned above prior to resume drilling work.

i) Surface Emission Monitoring

- a walkover survey for surface gas emission to be undertaken within the blasting area, with a portable gas measuring probe to detect the air condition at about 10 millimeters above the ground level to ensure no LFG is present.

B. *For excavation works deeper than 1m*

i) Measurements should be made:

- at ground surface before excavation work commences;
- immediately before any worker enters the excavation;
- at the beginning of each working day for the entire period the excavation remains open; and
- periodically through the working day whilst workers are in the excavation.

C. *For excavation between 300mm and 1m deep*

i) Measurements should be made:

- directly after the excavation has been completed; and
- periodically whilst the excavation remains open.

8.5.4 For excavations less than 300mm deep, monitoring may be omitted, at the discretion of the Safety Officer or other appropriately qualified person.

8.5.5 During the construction (specific construction works) operation, restoration and until completion of aftercare phases, LFG monitoring should be conducted in monthly basis at designated monitoring locations and gas monitoring boreholes, supplemented by monthly site surveys of the surrounding environment including natural cracks and fissures, service drains and ducts, area with sign of vegetation death, and any below ground enclosed spaces.
If the monitoring results indicate evidence of gas migration, the monitoring frequency should be increased accordingly, with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures under the EAP.

8.5.6 The monitoring frequency should be reviewed throughout the on-going development of WENT Landfill Extension and revised as necessary based on the LFG monitoring data.

8.6 A/L Levels and Event Action Plan

8.6.1 The A/L Levels and relevant EAP for LFG detected in excavation, utilities and enclosed onsite

areas are summarised in ***Table 8-1***.

Table 8-1 A/L Levels and EAP for LFG

Parameter	Level	Action
Oxygen (O_2)	Action Level <19% O_2	• Ventilate trench/void to restore O_2 to >19%
	Limit Level <18% O_2	• Stop works • Evacuate personnel/prohibit entry • Increase ventilation to restore O_2 to >19%
Methane (CH_4)	Action Level >10% LEL*	• Prohibit hot works • Increase ventilation to restore CH_4 to <10% LEL
	Limit Level >20% LEL	• Stop works • Evacuate personnel/prohibit entry • Increase ventilation to restore CH_4 to <10% LEL
Carbon dioxide (CO_2)	Action Level** >0.5%** CO_2	• Ventilate to restore CO_2 to < 0.5%
	Limit Level >1.5% CO_2	• Stop works • Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry • Increase ventilation to restore CO_2 to <0.5%

* LEL: Lower Explosive Limit – concentrations in air below which there is not enough fuel to continue an explosion.

** This Action Level of CO_2 at 0.5% is set for reference only, assuming no CO_2 emission from a particular location. Depending on the baseline CO_2 levels, the Action Level at a particular location will be changed.

8.7 MONITORING RESULTS

8.7.1 The LFG monitoring was conducted for excavation work in January 2025. The LFG monitoring results are summarized in ***Appendix I.***

8.7.2 No exceedance of Limit Levels of LFG was recorded during the Reporting Period.

8.7.3 No effect that arose from the other special phenomena and work progress of the concerned site was noted during the current monitoring month.

9 WASTE MANAGEMENT

9.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

9.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan for the Contract.

9.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

9.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are broadly classified into the following:

- Inert construction & demolition (C&D) Material; and
- Non-inert C&D waste

9.2.2 The Contractor is advised to minimise the wastes generated through recycling or reusing. All mitigation measures stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual and waste management plans shall be fully implemented.

9.2.3 The quantities of waste for disposal of in this Reporting Period are summarized in ***Tables 9-1*** and ***9-2*** and they are made reference to the Waste Flow Table provide by the Contractor which shown in ***Appendix K***.

Table 9-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity
Total generated C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m^3)	78.657
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000m^3)	48.194
Reused in other Projects (Inert) (in '000m^3)	29.541
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000m^3)	0.922

Table 9-2 Summary of Quantities of Non-inert C&D Wastes

Type of Waste	Quantity
Recycled Metals (in kg)	0
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packaging (in kg)	3.1
Recycled Plastics (in kg)	0.6
Chemical Waste (in liter)	60520.0
Chemical Waste (in '000kg)	0
Yard Waste (in tonne)	108.830
General Refuse (in '000m^3)	0.235

10 SITE INSPECTION

10.1 REQUIREMENTS

10.1.1 According to the updated EM&A Manual, the programme of environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections were carried out to confirm the environmental performance.

10.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

10.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance for the Project were carried out by the representatives of the SM, ET and the Contractor on **2nd, 9th, 16th, 23rd and 27th January 2025**. In addition, IEC carried out the joint site inspection on **16th January 2025**. No non-compliance was noted.

10.2.2 The findings / deficiencies observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in ***Table 10-1***.

Table 10-1 Site Inspection and Observations

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
2nd January 2025	• Housekeeping should be improved. C&D waste and general refuse scattered on-site should be cleaned. (Portion C1)	• C&D waste and general refuse was cleaned.
	• Proper dust mitigation measures should be provided for the stockpile of bag cement and opened cement bag. (Portion C1, D1)	• Bag cement was removed.
	• Oil stain on ground near the generator should be cleaned. (Portion C1 & B1a)	• Oil stain was cleaned.
	• Wheel washing water should be diverted to proper de-silting facilities prior discharge. (Portion B9)	• Sand bags are set.
	• It was reminded that stagnant water cumulated on-site should be cleaned to prevent mosquito breeding. (Portion C1)	• Stagnant water was removed.
	• It was reminded that Soil and debris cumulated in the cutoff drainage should be cleaned. (Portion B1a)	• Noted.
	• It was reminded that faded NRMM label should be replaced. (Portion B10)	• Faded NRMM label was replaced.
9th January 2025	• Proper dust mitigation measures should be provided for the stockpile of bag cement and opened cement bag. (Portion C1)	• Opened cement bags are removed.
	• Drip tray should be provided for chemical storage on-site. (Portion C1)	• Chemicals were removed.
	• Clean water should be provided at the site exit for wheel washing. (Portion D1)	• Clean water was provided.
	• C&D waste scattered on-site should be cleaned. (Portion B10)	• C&D waste was cleaned.

	• It was reminded that more sub-marine pump should be provided to level down the water level in the nullah to maximize the nullah capacity. (Portion B1a) • It was reminded that dust suspension measures should be covered all exposed surface to reduce dust impact. (Portion B10)	• Noted. • Noted.
16th January 2025	• Emptied cement bags should be wetted prior disposal. Moreover, proper dust mitigation measures should be provided for the stockpile of bag cement storage on-site. (Portion C1) • Drip tray should be provided for chemical storage on-site. (Portion C1) • It was reminded that water spraying should be covered at all exposed area to reduce dust impact. Moreover, water spraying frequency should be increased as much as possible. (Portion B10)	• Cement bags were removed. • WetSep is in operate. • Noted.
23rd January 2025	• Proper dust mitigation measures should be provided at works area to reduce dust impact. (Portion C1) • Chemical spillage on ground should be cleaned and treated as chemical waste. (Portion C1) • Chemical waste should be storage in proper container and storage at designated location. (Portion C1) • Proper wheel washing facilities should be provided at site exit. (Portion C1) • It was reminded that housekeeping should be improved. C&D waste cumulated on-site should be cleaned ASAP. (Portion C1) • It was reminded that proper de-silting facilities should be installed at designated discharge point. (Portion C1)	• Ventilation was provided. • Chemical spillage was cleaned. • Proper container was provided. • In progress. • C&D waste had been removed. • In progress.
27th January 2025	• Drip tray should be provided for chemical storage on-site. (Portion C1 & B10) • Sand bag or earth bund should be provided to prevent site surface run-off overflow into the public area. (Portion C1) • It was reminded that stagnant water cumulated on-site should be cleaned to prevent mosquito breeding. (Portion C1)	• Chemicals were removed and drip tray was provided. • Sand bags were provided. • Stagnant water was removed.

10.2.3 General housekeeping such as site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all works areas. Furthermore, the Contractor was reminded to implement the Waste Management Plan of the Contract.

11 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCES

11.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

11.1.1 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received in the Reporting Period.

11.1.2 The statistical summary table of the environmental complaints, summons and prosecutions are presented in ***Tables 11-1, 11-2*** and ***11-3***. The complaint log for the Project is presented in ***Appendix L***.

Table 11-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting Period	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
3rd April – 31st December 2024	0	0	NA
1st – 31st January 2025	0	0	NA

Table 11-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting Period	Environmental Summons Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Summons Nature
3rd April – 31st December 2024	0	0	NA
1st – 31st January 2025	0	0	NA

Table 11-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting Period	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Prosecution Nature
3rd April – 31st December 2024	0	0	NA
1st – 31st January 2025	0	0	NA

11.2 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCES

11.2.1 In addition, no emergency events related to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received in the Reporting Period.

12 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

12.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

12.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the EMIS in the EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste etc. and they are summarised presented in ***Appendix M.***

12.1.2 The works under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by the Contractor and the implementation status are shown in ***Appendix M***.

12.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

12.2.1 According to information provided by the Contractor, the construction works under the Project in the next month are listed below:

Portion A1, B1a, B1c & B6
- Soft excavation
- Hard excavation
- Blasting

Portion B10
- Piling work
- Leachate Treatment Works & Landfill Gas Treatment Plant

Portion C1
- Temporary Site Office construction
- External manholes construction
- Temporary drainage diversion at nullah

Portion B2 & B9
- J-channel construction

Portion C7
- Loading and unloading pre-cast unit and construction material

Portion D1
- Pipe Laying Works

Portion B8
- Soil Nail Works

12.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

12.3.1 Key issues for the coming month include the following:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm / adverse weather;
- Regular clearance of stagnant water;
- Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
- Implementation of permanent/temporary drainage system and control measures for the surface runoff;
- Implementation of dust suppression measures for the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
- Implementation of control measures to avoid disposal of empty engine oil containers

within site area;

- Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
- Regular maintenance of sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities;
- Management of chemical wastes;
- Implementation of control measures to avoid discharge of site effluent to the nearby stream;
- Implementation of waste management; and
- Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures.

14 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

14.1 CONCLUSIONS

14.1.1 This is the **10th** Monthly EM&A Report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Project for the period from **1st to 31st January 2025**.

14.1.2 In this Reporting Period, no 1-hour and 24-hour TSP of air quality monitoring result that triggered the Action or Limit Levels was recorded. No corrective action was required.

14.1.3 In this Reporting Period, no noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement result triggered the Limit Level was recorded in this Reporting Month. Moreover, all construction works during restricted hours were followed the CNP requirement. Therefore, no corrective action was issued.

14.1.4 In this Reporting Period, no surface water quality monitoring result that triggered the Action or Limit Levels was recorded. No corrective action was required.

14.1.5 The LFG monitoring was conducted for excavation work in January 2025. No exceedance of Limit Levels of LFG was recorded during the reporting period.

14.1.6 For landscape and visual, implementation of mitigation measures during construction phase of the Project has been monitored through regular site inspection/ audit.

14.1.7 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received. In addition, no emergency events related to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received.

14.1.8 In the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance had been carried out by the representatives of the SM, ET and the Contractor. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection. In addition, IEC carried out the joint site inspections on 16th January 2025.

14.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

14.2.1 Water quality mitigation measures shall be fully implemented in accordance with the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures of the updated EM&A Manual.

14.2.2 In addition, the Contractor should fully implement the recommended air quality mitigation measures to minimize the impact of construction dust as far as practicable.

14.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. In accordance with the EP, a noise bund of 3.5m tall has been constructed along the north eastern seafront of the existing landfill as shown in Figure 2 of the EP prior to the commencement of construction. It is reminded that the noise bund shall be properly maintained during the construction, operation and restoration of the Project.

14.2.4 All other mitigation measures recommended in the EMIS of the EM&A Manual should be properly implemented and maintained as far as practicable.

Appendix A

Location Plan of Enhanced Scheme

of WENTX Landfill Extension



Project title

Contract No. EP/SP/186/21 West New Territories Landfill Extension

Drawing title

GENERAL PLAN
OF ENHANCED SCHEME

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix B

Project Organization and the key personal contact

Flow chart showing Line of Communication and Working Relationship



Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
HKRRP	Project Manager	Mr. Victor Wu	2862 5013	--
HKRRP	Environmental Manager	Mr. Kenneth Lau	9315 4944	--
ANEWR	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. James Choi	2618 2831	3007 8648
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Tam Tak Wing	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

ANEWR (IEC) – ANewR Consulting Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

HKRRP - (the Contractor) – Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park

Appendix C

3-month Rolling Construction Programme

Construction Programme (Jan 2025 to Apr 2025)
West New Territories Landfill Extension (WENTX)

Construction Activities	2025			
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Ground Investigation Works				
Eastern Platform - Site Formation				
- Soft Excavation				
- Rock Excavation				
- Blasting				
Landfill Waste Filling Area (Phase I) - Site Formation				
- Soft Excavation				
- Rock Excavation				
- Blasting				
Fresh Water Pump House and Fresh Water Pipe Connection				
- Pipe Laying Works				
- Soil Nail Works				
River Surge Box Culvert Construction				
- Box Culvert Construction				
Marine Works				
- Temporary Drainage Channel				
Leachate Treatment Works & Landfill Gas Treatment Plant				
- Foundation Works				
- Footing Construction				
Construction of Site Office				

Appendix D

Monitoring Locations



Station ID	ASR ID
AM(D)1	A1-1
AM(D)2	A1-2
AM(D)3	A1-3
AM(D)5a	A4-1
AM(D)6a	A3-1
AM(D)7a	A5-2

Note:
AM(D) represents monitoring station for construction dust

Consultant

Project title

Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories Landfill Extension

Drawing title

LOCATIONS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

COPYRIGHT RESERVED



LEGEND

- WENT LANDFILL EXTENSION (WENTX) BOUNDARY
- WENTX WASTE BOUNDARY
- WENT LANDFILL BOUNDARY
- NOISE MONITORING LOCATION

Consultant

Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories Landfill Extension

Drawing title

NOISE MONITORING LOCATION



LEGEND

- WENT LANDFILL EXTENSION (WENTX) BOUNDARY
- WENTX WASTE BOUNDARY
- WENT LANDFILL BOUNDARY
- SURFACE WATER MONITORING STATION

Consultant

Project title

Contract No. EP/SP/186/21 West New Territories Landfill Extension

Drawing title

SURFACE WATER MONITORING STATION

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix E

Calibration Certificates

Appendix E1

Calibration Certificates for

Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai
Location ID : AM(D)1
Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

Date of Calibration: 13 Dec 24
Next Calibration Date: 13 Feb 25
Technician: Gary Ng

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1020.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.15
Temperature (℃)	18.5	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Serial # ->	1941		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.30	6.30	12.6	1.706	55	56.42	Slope = 26.7595
13	4.80	4.80	9.6	1.491	48	49.24	Intercept = 10.1333
10	3.70	3.70	7.4	1.311	44	45.13	Corr. coeff. = 0.9984
7	2.30	2.30	4.6	1.037	37	37.95	
5	1.50	1.50	3.0	0.841	32	32.82	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai
Location ID : AM(D)2
Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

Date of Calibration: 13 Dec 24
Next Calibration Date: 13 Feb 25
Technician: Gary Ng

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1020.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.15
Temperature (°C)	18.5	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Serial # ->	1941		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.00	6.00	12.0	1.665	53	54.37	Slope = 36.1255
13	4.90	4.90	9.8	1.506	48	49.24	Intercept = -5.5731
10	3.80	3.80	7.6	1.329	42	43.08	Corr. coeff. = 0.9964
7	2.50	2.50	5.0	1.081	31	31.80	
5	1.50	1.50	3.0	0.841	25	25.64	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai
Location ID : AM(D)3
Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

Date of Calibration: 13 Dec 24
Next Calibration Date: 13 Feb 25
Technician: Gary Ng

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1020.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.15
Temperature (°C)	18.5	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Serial # ->	1941		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.50	6.50	13.0	1.733	55	56.42	Slope = 26.0952
13	5.30	5.30	10.6	1.566	49	50.26	Intercept = 10.5896
10	3.70	3.70	7.4	1.311	44	45.13	Corr. coeff. = 0.9962
7	2.60	2.60	5.2	1.102	39	40.00	
5	1.60	1.60	3.2	0.868	32	32.82	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Lung Kwu Sheung Tan
Location ID : AM(D)5a
Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

Date of Calibration: 13 Dec 24
Next Calibration Date: 13 Feb 25
Technician: Gary Ng

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1020.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.15
Temperature (°C)	18.5	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Serial # ->	1941		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.80	5.80	11.6	1.637	50	51.29	Slope = 28.8889
13	4.60	4.60	9.2	1.460	46	47.18	Intercept = 4.7024
10	3.50	3.50	7.0	1.276	41	42.06	Corr. coeff. = 0.9978
7	2.40	2.40	4.8	1.059	35	35.90	
5	1.30	1.30	2.6	0.784	26	26.67	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Roof Top of T-Park Workshop
Location ID : AM(D)6a
Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

Date of Calibration: 13 Dec 24
Next Calibration Date: 13 Feb 25
Technician: Gary Ng

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1020.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.15
Temperature (°C)	18.5	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Serial # ->	1941		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.40	6.40	12.8	1.719	51	52.31	Slope = 27.6082
13	5.10	5.10	10.2	1.537	46	47.18	Intercept = 4.9357
10	3.90	3.90	7.8	1.346	42	43.08	Corr. coeff. = 0.9971
7	2.70	2.70	5.4	1.122	34	34.88	
5	1.40	1.40	2.8	0.813	27	27.70	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Site Boundary of Middle Ash Lagoon — Date of Calibration: 13 Dec 24
Location ID : AM(D)7a — Next Calibration Date: 13 Feb 25
Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 — Technician: Gary Ng

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1020.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	765.15
Temperature (°C)	18.5	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Serial # ->	1941		

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.20	6.20	12.4	1.692	51	52.31	Slope = 25.3781
13	4.90	4.90	9.8	1.506	46	47.18	Intercept = 9.2514
10	3.90	3.90	7.8	1.346	42	43.08	Corr. coeff. = 0.9956
7	2.30	2.30	4.6	1.037	36	36.93	
5	1.50	1.50	3.0	0.841	29	29.75	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: **HK2404340**
CLIENT	: **ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING**		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 25-JAN-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 5-FEB-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER	: HK2404340
SUB-BATCH	: 1
CLIENT	: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT	: ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2404340-001	S/N: 11008060 (EQ101)	AIR	25-Jan-2024	S/N: 11008060

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	TSI AM510
Serial No.	11008060
Equipment Ref:	EQ101

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon
Equipment Ref:	HVS 022
Last Calibration Date:	16 January 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 16 January 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Concentration in mg/m^3 (Calibrated Equipment)	Tolerance (mg/m^3)
16-Jan-24	1hr 14min	12:07 ~ 13:21	18.7	1022.1	2004.6	1430.0	-574.6
16-Jan-24	1hr 07min	13:40 ~ 14:47	18.7	1022.1	1604.7	1070.0	-534.7
16-Jan-24	1hr 07min	14:49 ~ 15:56	18.7	1022.1	464.8	360.0	-104.8

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.4421 (µg/m^3)

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9982

Date of Issue 25 January 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 1.4421 (µg/m^3) should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Gary Ng Signature : Date : 25 January 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 25 January 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon	Date of Calibration:	16 Jan 24
Location ID :	AM(D)7a	Next Calibration Date:	16 Mar 24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.575
Temperature (°C)	18.7	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	3.8	-8	11.8	1.652	58	58.88	Slope = 37.0901
13	2.6	-6.8	9.4	1.477	52	52.79	Intercept = -1.8561
10	1.4	-5.7	7.1	1.285	46	46.69	Corr. coeff. = 0.9977
8	0.4	-4.5	4.9	1.071	38	38.57	
5	-0.4	-3.6	3.2	0.868	29	29.44	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: **HK2404345**
CLIENT	: **ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING**		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 25-JAN-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 5-FEB-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER	: HK2404345
SUB-BATCH	: 1
CLIENT	: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT	: ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2404345-001	S/N: 366410 (EQ110)	AIR	25-Jan-2024	S/N: 366410

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	Sibata LD-3B
Serial No.	366410
Equipment Ref:	EQ110

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon
Equipment Ref:	HVS 022
Last Calibration Date:	16 January 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 16 January 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
16-Jan-24	1hr 14min	12:07 ~ 13:21	18.7	1022.1	2004.6	106884	1448.3
16-Jan-24	1hr 07min	13:40 ~ 14:47	18.7	1022.1	1604.7	65450	974.0
16-Jan-24	1hr 07min	14:49 ~ 15:56	18.7	1022.1	464.8	24665	367.0

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 674 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 674 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.4531 (µg/m^3)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9904

Date of Issue 25 January 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 1.4531 (µg/m^3)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Gary Ng Signature : Date : 25 January 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 25 January 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon	Date of Calibration:	16 Jan 24
Location ID :	AM(D)7a	Next Calibration Date:	16 Mar 24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.575
Temperature (°C)	18.7	Temperature (K)	292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	3.8	-8	11.8	1.652	58	58.88	Slope = 37.0901
13	2.6	-6.8	9.4	1.477	52	52.79	Intercept = -1.8561
10	1.4	-5.7	7.1	1.285	46	46.69	Corr. coeff. = 0.9977
8	0.4	-4.5	4.9	1.071	38	38.57	
5	-0.4	-3.6	3.2	0.868	29	29.44	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: **HK2410659**
CLIENT	: **ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING**		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 14-MAR-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 21-MAR-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER	: HK2410659
SUB-BATCH	: 1
CLIENT	: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT	: ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2410659-001	S/N: 456662	AIR	14-Mar-2024	S/N: 456662

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	Sibata LD-3B
Serial No.	456662
Equipment Ref:	EQ118

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref:	HVS 018
Last Calibration Date:	16 February 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 & 8 March 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
7-Mar-24	2hr01mins	09:26 ~ 11:27	18.7	1016.6	49.9	3060	26.1
7-Mar-24	2hr02mins	11:34 ~ 13:36	18.7	1016.6	41.2	2559	21.6
7-Mar-24	2hr02mins	13:45 ~ 15:47	18.7	1016.6	53.1	2966	26.7
8-Mar-24	2hr01mins	10:22 ~ 12:23	18.8	1018.8	34.3	1689	16.5
8-Mar-24	2hr14mins	12:44 ~ 14:58	18.8	1018.8	49.1	2756	22.7

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 593 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 589 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.0596 (µg/m^3)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9811

Date of Issue 13 March 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 2.0596 (µg/m^3)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : Date : 13 March 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 13 March 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration:	16-Feb-24
Location ID :	Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 (HVS 018)	Next Calibration Date:	16-May-24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1019	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	764.25
Temperature (°C)	20.4	Temperature (K)	293

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.631	54	54.57	Slope = 31.3860
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.470	47	47.50	Intercept = 2.3377
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.289	42	42.45	Corr. coeff. = 0.9976
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.055	35	35.37	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.751	26	26.28	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart respones
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = daily average temperature
Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK2437857
CLIENT	: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 16-SEP-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 24-SEP-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER : HK2437857
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2437857-001	S/N: 467389 (EQ125)	AIR	16-Sep-2024	S/N: 467389 (EQ125)

-------- END OF REPORT --------

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	Sibata LD-5R
Serial No.	467389
Equipment Ref:	EQ125

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref:	HVS 018
Last Calibration Date:	15 August 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	09:26 ~ 11:26	30.2	1006.1	24.3	2216	18.5
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	11:37 ~ 13:37	30.2	1006.1	42.3	3932	32.8
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	12:49 ~ 14:49	30.2	1006.1	45.5	4413	36.8

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 704 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 705 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2542 (µg/m^3)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9991

Date of Issue 10 September 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 1.2542 (µg/m^3)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration:	15-Aug-24
Location ID :	Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260	Next Calibration Date:	15-Nov-24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1005.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.9
Temperature (°C)	27.7	Temperature (K)	301

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.681	46	45.61	Slope = 31.2876
13	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.517	40	39.66	Intercept = -7.3464
10	4	4	8.0	1.332	35	34.70	Corr. coeff. = 0.9981
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.057	25	24.79	
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.849	20	19.83	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK2437858
CLIENT	: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 16-SEP-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 24-SEP-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER : HK2437858
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2437858-001	S/N: 467390 (EQ126)	AIR	16-Sep-2024	S/N: 467390 (EQ126)

-------- END OF REPORT --------

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	Sibata LD-5R
Serial No.	467390
Equipment Ref:	EQ126

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref:	HVS 018
Last Calibration Date:	15 August 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	09:26 ~ 11:26	30.2	1006.1	24.3	2225	18.5
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	11:37 ~ 13:37	30.2	1006.1	42.3	4033	33.6
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	12:49 ~ 14:49	30.2	1006.1	45.5	4455	37.1

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 613 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 612 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2335 (µg/m^3)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9993

Date of Issue 10 September 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 1.2335 (µg/m^3)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration:	15-Aug-24
Location ID :	Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260	Next Calibration Date:	15-Nov-24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1005.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.9
Temperature (°C)	27.7	Temperature (K)	301

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.681	46	45.61	Slope = 31.2876
13	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.517	40	39.66	Intercept = -7.3464
10	4	4	8.0	1.332	35	34.70	Corr. coeff. = 0.9981
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.057	25	24.79	
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.849	20	19.83	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: HK2437859
CLIENT	: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 16-SEP-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 24-SEP-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER : HK2437859
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2437859-001	S/N: 467391 (EQ127)	AIR	16-Sep-2024	S/N: 467391 (EQ127)

-------- END OF REPORT --------

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	Sibata LD-5R
Serial No.	467391
Equipment Ref:	EQ127

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref:	HVS 018
Last Calibration Date:	15 August 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	09:26 ~ 11:26	30.2	1006.1	24.3	2221	18.5
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	11:37 ~ 13:37	30.2	1006.1	42.3	3972	33.1
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	12:49 ~ 14:49	30.2	1006.1	45.5	4481	37.3

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 665 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 665 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2362 (µg/m^3)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9987

Date of Issue 10 September 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 1.2362 (µg/m^3)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration:	15-Aug-24
Location ID :	Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260	Next Calibration Date:	15-Nov-24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1005.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.9
Temperature (°C)	27.7	Temperature (K)	301

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.681	46	45.61	Slope = 31.2876
13	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.517	40	39.66	Intercept = -7.3464
10	4	4	8.0	1.332	35	34.70	Corr. coeff. = 0.9981
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.057	25	24.79	
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.849	20	19.83	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER	: **HK2437860**
CLIENT	: **ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING**		
ADDRESS	: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 16-SEP-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 24-SEP-2024
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

General Comments

- **Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.**
- **Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.**
- **Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.**
- **Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.**

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories	*Position*
Richard Fung	Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 **www.alsglobal.com**

WORK ORDER : HK2437860
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----

ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2437860-001	S/N: 467392 (EQ128)	AIR	16-Sep-2024	S/N: 467392 (EQ128)

-------- END OF REPORT --------

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:	Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer:	Sibata LD-5R
Serial No.	467392
Equipment Ref:	EQ128

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:	Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID:	AUES office (calibration room)
Equipment Ref:	HVS 018
Last Calibration Date:	15 August 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2024

Date	Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m^3 (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	09:26 ~ 11:26	30.2	1006.1	24.3	2190	18.3
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	11:37 ~ 13:37	30.2	1006.1	42.3	3887	32.4
3-Sep-24	2hr00mins	12:49 ~ 14:49	30.2	1006.1	45.5	4273	35.6

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 715 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 714 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2864g/m^3)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9997

Date of Issue 10 September 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
2. Factor 1.2864g/m^3)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 10 September 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration:	15-Aug-24
Location ID :	Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260	Next Calibration Date:	15-Nov-24

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1005.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.9
Temperature (°C)	27.7	Temperature (K)	301

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.13163
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03523
Calibration Date->	15-Dec-23	Expiry Date->	15-Dec-24

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H20 (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H20 (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.681	46	45.61	Slope = 31.2876
13	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.517	40	39.66	Intercept = -7.3464
10	4	4	8.0	1.332	35	34.70	Corr. coeff. = 0.9981
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.057	25	24.79	
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.849	20	19.83	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TISCH Environmental

RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:
December 15, 2024

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information				
Cal. Date:	December 15, 2023	**Rootsmeter S/N:** 438320	**Ta:** 295	°K
Operator:	Jim Tisch		**Pa:** 748.5	mm Hg
Calibration Model #:	TE-5025A	**Calibrator S/N:** **1941**		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4590	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0360	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9260	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.9	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7290	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6790	1.4106	0.9957	0.6825	0.8878
0.9864	0.9522	1.9949	0.9914	0.9570	1.2556
0.9843	1.0630	2.2304	0.9893	1.0684	1.4037
0.9831	1.1121	2.3393	0.9881	1.1178	1.4723
0.9778	1.3413	2.8213	0.9828	1.3481	1.7756
QSTD	**m=**	**2.13163**	**QA**	**m=**	**1.33479**
	b=	**-0.03523**		**b=**	**-0.02217**
	r=	**0.99999**		**r=**	**0.99999**

Calculations

Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	**Va=**	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	**Qa=**	Va/ΔTime

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Qa= $1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg

Key
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept
m: slope

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

Appendix E2

Calibration Certificates for

Noise Monitoring Equipment

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
香 港 校 正 有限公司

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 411103

Customer : Action-Unltod Environmental Services & consulting

Address : Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong

Order No. : Q44140 **Date of receipt :** 25-Oct-24

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : B&K **I.D.** : EQ0215
Model : 2238 **Serial No.** : 2285722

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 8-Nov-24 **Supply Voltage** : --
Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C **Relative Humidity :** (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.
The UUT has an indication that it conforms to IEC 61672 Class 1.
Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01, IEC 61672-1:2002.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 61672 Class 1 specification or Tolerance.(where applicable)
The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S017	Multi-Function Generator	C211339	SCL-HKSAR
S240	Sound Level Calibrator	405380	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant.
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : Elva Chong

Approved by : Kin Wong

Date: 8-Nov-24

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street,Kwai Chung, NT,Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.

Calibration Certificate

All tests were performed on the UUT's Reference Level Range: 54.0-134.0 dB, unless specified otherwise.

Results :

Acoustical signal test

1. Indication at the Calibration Check Frequency (1kHz)

UUT Setting		Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)
Weight.	Response		After Adjust.*
A	F	94.0	93.8
	S		93.8
C	F		93.8
L			93.8

*Adjustment using the customer's sound calibrator was performed immediately before test.

Tolerance : ± 1.0 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

Self-generated noise (Microphone Installed, most sensitive range) **:** 23.7 dBA

Electrical signal tests

2. Frequency weightings (A ,F)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672-1 Class 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.5	- 39.4 dB, ± 1.5 dB
63 Hz	-26.2	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.0 dB
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.0 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1.0 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.0 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 0.7 dB
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.0 dB
4 kHz	+0.9	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.0 dB
8 kHz	-1.3	- 1.1 dB, + 1.5 dB ~ -2.5 dB
16 kHz	-6.8	- 6.6 dB, + 2.5 dB ~ - 16.0 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd., It may not be reproduced except in full.

Calibration Certificate

3. Frequency & Time weightings

3.1 Frequency Weighting (1kHz)

UUT Setting		Anticipated Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672-1 Class 1 Spec.
Time Weight.	Freq. Weight.			
F	A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	--
	C		94.0	± 0.2 dB
	L		94.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

3.2 Time Weighting (1kHz)

UUT Setting		Anticipated Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672-1 Class 1 Spec.
Time Weight.	Freq. Weight.			
F	A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	--
S			94.0	± 0.1 dB
eq			93.9	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

5. Level Linearity on the Reference Level Range (8 kHz, A, F)

Anticipated Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672-1 Class 1 Spec.
124.0	123.8	± 0.8 dB
114.0	113.9	
104.0	103.9	
94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	
84.0	84.0	
74.0	74.0	
64.0	64.1	
54.0	54.2	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd., It may not be reproduced except in full.

Calibration Certificate

6. Level Linearity including the level range control (1 kHz, A, F)

UUT Range (dB)	Anticipated Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672-1 Class 1 Spec.
14.0-94.0	94.0	93.8	± 0.8 dB
24.0-104.0		94.0	
34.0-114.0		94.0	
44.0-124.0		94.0	
54.0-134.0		94.0 (Ref.)	
64.0-144.0		94.1	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 008 hPa.
4. Microphone model: 4188, S/N: 2812706.

---------- END ----------

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd., It may not be reproduced except in full.

Certificate of Calibration

for

Description:	***Sound Level Meter***
Manufacturer:	***RION***
Type No.:	***NL-52 (Serial No.: 00921191)***
Microphone:	***RION UC-59 (Serial No.: 12910)***
Preamplifier:	***NH-25 (Serial No.: 32609)***

Submitted by:

Customer:	*Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*
Address:	*Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building*
	35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung,
	New Territories, Hong Kong

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

☑ **Within (31.5Hz – 8kHz)**
☐ **Outside**
the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:
- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 16 December 2024

Date of calibration: 20 December 2024

Date of NEXT calibration: 19 December 2025

Calibrated by: _____
Calibration Technician

Certified by: _____
Mr. Ng Yan Wa
Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: **20 December 2024**

ACOUSTICS AND AIR TESTING LABORATORY CO. LTD. (A+A) *L

Room 422,Leader Industrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946
Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

1. *Calibration Precaution:*

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. *Calibration Conditions:*

Air Temperature: 23.3 °C
Air Pressure: 1005 hPa
Relative Humidity: 25.1 %

3. *Calibration Equipment:*

	Type	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV240081	HOKLAS

4. *Calibration Results*

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
			104		104.0	±0.3
			114		114.0	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
		Slow			94.0	±0.3

Room 422,Leader Industrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946
Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		
30-130	dB SPL	Fast	94	31.5	94.0	±2.0
				63	94.2	±1.5
				125	94.1	±1.5
				250	94.1	±1.4
				500	94.1	±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	93.6	±1.6
				4000	92.8	±1.6
				8000	91.0	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast	94	31.5	54.7	-39.4 ±2.0
				63	68.0	-26.2 ±1.5
				125	78.0	-16.1 ±1.5
				250	85.4	-8.6 ±1.4
				500	90.8	-3.2 ±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	94.8	+1.2 ±1.6
				4000	93.8	+1.0 ±1.6
				8000	90.1	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading, dB	IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		
30-130	dBC SPL	Fast	94	31.5	91.0	-3.0 ±2.0
				63	93.3	-0.8 ±1.5
				125	93.9	-0.2 ±1.5
				250	94.1	-0.0 ±1.4
				500	94.1	-0.0 ±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	93.5	-0.2 ±1.6
				4000	92.0	-0.8 ±1.6
				8000	88.1	–3.0 +2.1: –3.1

Room 422,Leader Industrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946
Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

5. *Calibration Results Applied*

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.15
	63 Hz	± 0.10
	125 Hz	± 0.05
	250 Hz	± 0.05
	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:
The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Room 422,Leader Industrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946
Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
香 港 校 正 有限公司

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 411106

Customer : Action-Unltod Environmental Services & consulting

Address : Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong

Order No. : Q44140 **Date of receipt :** 25-Oct-24

Item Tested

Description : Sound Calibrator
Manufacturer : B&K
Model : Type 4231
I.D. : EQ082
Serial No. : 2713428

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 8-Nov-24
Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C
Supply Voltage : --
Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.
The UUT has an indication that it conforms to IEC 60942:2017 Class 1.
Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02, IEC 60942:2017.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 60942 Class 1 specification.
The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S240	Sound Level Calibrator	405380	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	405219	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	402289	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	405379	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant.
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : Elva Chong

Approved by : Kin Wong

Date: 8-Nov-24

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street,Kwai Chung, NT,Hong Kong.
Tel. 2425 8801 Fax. 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.

Calibration Certificate

Results :

1. Generated Sound Pressure Level

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec.
94.0	94.1	± 0.4 dB
114.0	114.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.2 dB

2. Short-term Level Fluctuation : 0.0 dB

IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.05 dB

3. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec.
1	1.000	± 1 %

Uncertainty : $\pm 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$

4. Total Distortion + Noise : < 0.2 %

IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3.0 %
Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 008 hPa.

---------- END ----------

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd., It may not be reproduced except in full.

Appendix E3

Calibration Certificates for

Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,
1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
T: +852 2610 1044
F: +852 2610 2021
www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	**WORK ORDER:**	HK2450300
CLIENT:	ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES &		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.	**SUB-BATCH:**	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	04-Dec-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE:	12-Dec-2024

GENERAL COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.
The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.
This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

Equipment Type:	Multifunctional Meter
Service Nature:	Performance Check
Scope:	Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature
Brand Name/ Model No.:	[YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.:	[20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
Date of Calibration:	12-December-2024

Ms. Cheng Sin Ying, May
Senior Chemist - Inorganics

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER: HK2450300

SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 12-Dec-2024
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
Date of Calibration: 12-December-2024 Date of Next Calibration: 12-March-2025

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity **Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2510B**

Expected Reading (µS/cm)	Displayed Reading (µS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	143.5	-2.3
6667	6690	+0.3
12890	12926	+0.3
58670	59566	+1.5
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Dissolved Oxygen **Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500O: G**

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
2.63	2.77	+0.14
5.70	5.84	+0.14
7.26	7.35	+0.09
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20

pH Value **Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B**

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.84	-0.16
7.0	7.02	+0.02
10.0	9.98	-0.02
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Cheng Sin Ying, May
Senior Chemist - Inorganics

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER: HK2450300

SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 12-Dec-2024
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
Date of Calibration: 12-December-2024 Date of Next Calibration: 12-March-2025

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity **Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2130B**

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.39	--
4	3.95	-1.3
40	40.06	+0.2
80	78.27	-2.2
400	404.62	+1.2
800	779.27	-2.6
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Salinity **Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2520B**

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.01	--
10	9.40	-6.0
20	20.03	+0.2
30	30.46	+1.5
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Cheng Sin Ying, May
Senior Chemist - Inorganics

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER: HK2450300

SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 12-Dec-2024
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [20J101862/ 15H103928]/ [EQW018]
Date of Calibration: 12-December-2024 Date of Next Calibration: 12-March-2025

PARAMETERS:

Temperature **Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.**

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.5	10.8	+0.3
18.5	17.8	-0.7
41.0	40.2	-0.8
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Cheng Sin Ying, May
Senior Chemist - Inorganics

-------- END OF REPORT --------

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,
1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
T: +852 2610 1044
F: +852 2610 2021
www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	**WORK ORDER:**	HK2406797
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING	**SUB-BATCH:**	0
ADDRESS:	UNIT A ,20/F., GOLD KING INDUSTRIAL BUILDING, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG	**LABORATORY:**	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	16-Feb-2024
		DATE OF ISSUE:	28-Feb-2024

GENERAL COMMENTS

The calibration of flow rate performed by AUES staff on 06 February 2024.
The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.
This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

Equipment Type:	Current Meter
Service Nature:	Performance Check
Scope:	Current
Brand Name/ Model No.:	[Valeport] / [Model 106]
Serial No./ Equipment No.:	[60011] / [N/A]
Date of Calibration:	06 February, 2024

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard
Managing Director, Life Sciences
Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK2406797
Sub-batch: 0
Date of Issue: 28-Feb-2024
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Reference Equipment:

Flow Rate	Model:	SonTek IQ Standard
	Serial Number :	IQ1217004
Direction	Model:	Magnetic Compass

Equipment to be calibrated:

Equipment Type:	Current Meter
Brand Name/ Model No:	[Valeport] / [Model 106]
Serial No./ Equipment No.:	[60011] / [N/A]
Date of Calibration:	06 February, 2024

Parameters: The calibration of current meter is verified with standard flow meter and magnetic compass on site by AUES Staff.

Flow rate

Trial	**Reading of Reference Equipment (m/s)** SonTek IQ Standard Serial No: IQ1217004	**Reading of Equipment to be calibrated (m/s)** Valeport Model 106 Serial No. 60011
1	0.10	0.1
2	0.21	0.2
3	0.39	0.4
4	0.79	0.8
5	1.01	1.0
6	1.09	1.1

Direction

Trial	**Reading of Reference Equipment (Degree)** Magnetic Compass	**Reading of Equipment to be calibrated (Degree)** Valeport Model 106 Serial No. 60011
1	045	046
2	090	092
3	135	135
4	180	181
5	270	270
6	355	354

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard
Managing Director, Life Sciences
Hong Kong

Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

is accredited by the Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) to ISO/IEC 17025:2017
for performing specific laboratory activities as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of
獲香港認可處根據ISO/IEC 17025:2017認可
進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定實驗所活動

Environmental Testing
環境測試

This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the implementation of a management system relevant to laboratory operation (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).
此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範疇內所須的技術能力並實施一套與實驗所運作相關的管理體系(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of HKAS is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

SHUM Wai-leung, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 沈偉良
Issue Date : 28 February 2020
簽發日期：二零二零年二月二十八日

Registration Number : HOKLAS 066
註冊號碼：

Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日

This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS
本證書按照香港認可處訂立的條款及條件發出

L001934

Appendix E4

Calibration Certificates for

LFG Monitoring Equipment

TOPS INSTRUMENTS

Tops Instruments Supplies Co.

T+852-2382 8388 | F+852-2382 8899 | W+6013 7966 | info@topinst.com | www.topinst.com

Office Address : Room 1711, 17/F, Block B, New Trade Plaza, 6 On Ping Street, Sha Tin, N.T., Hong Kong

Search Our Products

TEST CERTIFICATE

NO: YT-QR-06A

Model NO: SKY3000-R5	
Serial NO: 02100C44A2002	**Date of issue: 2024.4.9**
version NO: V4.5	**Next Calibration: 2025.4.8**

Appearance/structure/function/mark inspection

Item	Test results			Remark
Appearance/Structure	☑Passed	☐ Failed	☐Other	
Function	☑ Passed	☐ Failed	☐ Other	
Mark	☑ Passed	☐ Failed	☐ Other	

Calibration

Measurement Unit

NO	Calibration gas	Calibration gas concentration	Value before calibration	Value after calibration	Response time(T90)	Remark
1	CO	700ppm	705ppm	700ppm	<30s	
2	H2S	80.0ppm	90.6ppm	80.0ppm	<30s	
3	O2	20.9%VOL	26.3%VOL	20.9%VOL	<30s	
4	LEL	60%LEL	53%LEL	60%LEL	<30s	
5	CO2	2500ppb	2477ppb	2500ppb	<30s	

Certification

We Certified that this equipment has been checked, maintained and calibrated according to manufacturer's specification.

All reported result were obtained from TISC approved sub-contractor.

☑ Test Passed ☐ Test Failed

Tops Instruments Supplies Co TOPS

Quality Department

Tops Instruments Suplies Co.
Nash Wei

CERTIFICATION OF CALIBRATION

PJLA Calibration
No. 66916

Date Of Calibration: 01-Aug-2024

Certificate Number: G510348_10/36124

Issued by: QED Environmental Systems Inc.

Customer: **ONUEE ELECTRONICS LTD**
C3-E TCL SCIENCE PARK NO.1001 ZHONG SHAN YUAN RD. NANSHAN, SHENZHEN 518035
CN

Description:

Model: GEM5000

Serial Number: G510348

Accredited Results:

Methane (CH4)

Certified Gas (%)	Instrument Reading (%)	Uncertainty (%)
5.0	4.9	0.42
15.1	15.1	0.66
60.0	59.8	1.03

Carbon Dioxide (CO2)

Certified Gas (%)	Instrument Reading (%)	Uncertainty (%)
5.0	4.9	0.43
15.0	14.9	0.71
39.9	40.0	1.19

Oxygen (O2)

Certified Gas (%)	Instrument Reading (%)	Uncertainty (%)
20.9	21.0	0.25

Gas cylinders are traceable and details can be provided if requested.

CH4, CO2 readings recorded at: 32.7 °C/90.9 °F Barometric Pressure: 0980 mbar/28.94 "Hg

O2 readings recorded at: 23.6 °C/74.4 °F

Method of Test : The analyzer is calibrated in a temperature controlled chamber using a series of reference gases, in compliance with procedure ISP17.

Instrument has passed calibration as the measurement result is within the specification limit. The specification limit takes into account the measurement uncertainty.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with NIST requirements.

The calibration results published in this certificate were obtained using equipment capable of producing results that are traceable through NIST to the International System of Units (SI). Certification only applies to results shown. This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

www.qedenv.com (800) 624-2026 info@qedenv.com

QED Environmental Systems Inc. 2355 Bishop Circle West, Dexter, MI 48130

CERTIFICATION OF CALIBRATION

PJLA Calibration
No. 66916

Date Of Calibration: 01-Aug-2024

Certificate Number: G510348_10/36124

Issued by: QED Environmental Systems Inc.

Non Accredited results:

Pressure Transducers (inches of water column)					
Transducer	**Certified (Low)**	**Reading (Low)**	**Certified (High)**	**Reading (High)**	**Accuracy**
Static	0"	0"	40"	40.03"	2.0"
Differential	0"	0"	4"	3.99"	0.7"

Barometer (mbar)	
Reference	**Instrument Reading**
0980 mbar / 28.94 "Hg	0981 mbar / 28.98 "Hg

Carson Bins

Date of Issue : 02 Aug 2024

Approved By Signatory

Carson Bins
Laboratory Inspection

The calibration results published in this certificate were obtained using equipment capable of producing results that are traceable through NIST to the International System of Units (SI). Certification only applies to results shown. This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

www.qedenv.com (800) 624-2026 info@qedenv.com

QED Environmental Systems Inc. 2355 Bishop Circle West, Dexter, MI 48130

Appendix F

Meteorological Data

Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Lau Fau Shan Station			
				Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Jan-25	Wed	Fine. Very dry	Trace	18.7	12.5	71	E/NE
2-Jan-25	Thu	Moderate to fresh northerly winds	Trace	19.8	10	63	E
3-Jan-25	Fri	Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	18.4	13.7	44.5	N/NE
4-Jan-25	Sat	Fine. Very dry	Trace	17.5	9.5	63	E
5-Jan-25	Sun	Light to moderate northerly winds	Trace	18.9	7.5	55	N/NW
6-Jan-25	Mon	Fine. Very dry in the afternoon.	0	17.2	13	54	E/NE
7-Jan-25	Tue	Dry with sunny periods	0	17.4	9	69.5	E
8-Jan-25	Wed	Mainly fine and dry	0	17.9	12	67.7	E/NE
9-Jan-25	Thu	Mainly fine and dry.	0	17.4	15.7	68.7	E
10-Jan-25	Fri	Very dry during the day.	0	14.4	16.5	43.0	NE
11-Jan-25	Sat	Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds	0	13	18.0	41.5	N
12-Jan-25	Sun	Moderate to fresh east to northeasterly winds	0	14.4	18.2	39	N/NE
13-Jan-25	Mon	Dry with sunny periods.	0	16.1	9.2	54	E
14-Jan-25	Tue	Mainly cloudy with a few light rain	0	19	12.7	56.2	E/NE
15-Jan-25	Wed	Mainly fine and dry.	Trace	19.9	16.2	50.5	N/NE
16-Jan-25	Thu	Moderate east to northeasterly winds	0	15.5	13	45	NE
17-Jan-25	Fri	Mainly fine and dry.	0	14.7	13	43	E
18-Jan-25	Sat	Mainly cloudy.	0	16	11.7	47.5	E
19-Jan-25	Sun	Sunny periods during the day	0	15.9	9.5	55.5	SE
20-Jan-25	Mon	Moderate easterly winds	0	16.3	8.7	64.2	W/SW
21-Jan-25	Tue	Mainly cloudy.	0.6	18.8	11.2	50.2	E
22-Jan-25	Wed	Sunny periods during the day	1	19.9	13	62.7	E/NE
23-Jan-25	Thu	Mainly fine and dry.	1.2	18.2	8.7	92	W/SW
24-Jan-25	Fri	Mainly cloudy with a few light rain	0	20.7	15.5	61.2	E
25-Jan-25	Sat	Moderate east to northeasterly winds	Trace	19.7	18.7	73.7	E
26-Jan-25	Sun	Fine and very dry.	0.2	13.1	23	82	N
27-Jan-25	Mon	Moderate north to northeasterly winds.	0	14.1	22	50	N/NE
28-Jan-25	Tue	Fine and very dry.	0	14.9	15	34.5	NE
29-Jan-25	Wed	Mainly fine.	0	16.3	14	44.5	E
30-Jan-25	Thu	Dry in the afternoon.	0	17.9	13	64.5	E/NE
31-Jan-25	Fri	Moderate east to northeasterly winds.	1.2	19.7	13.7	66.0	E/NE

Appendix G

Event and Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	Action			
	ET	**IEC**	**SM**	**Contractor**
Action level exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source 2. Inform IEC, SM and Contractor 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily	1. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods	1. Notify Contractor for the identification of cause	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice 2. Amend working methods if appropriate
Action level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source 2. Notify IEC, SM and Contractor 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Investigate the cause of exceedance and check Contractor's working procedures 5. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily. 6. Discuss with IEC and SM on remedial actions required 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and Contractor 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Review monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Review the investigation finding submitted by ET and check the Contractor's working method 3. Review the proposed remedial measures by Contractor and advise SM accordingly 4. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed dust problem 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice 2. Amend working methods if appropriate 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 4. Implement the agreed proposals 5. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit level exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source 2. Inform IEC, SM and Contractor 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SM informed of the results	1. Review monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Discuss amongst SM, ET Leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed dust problem 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Amend proposal if appropriate
Limit level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings 3. Inform IEC, SM, Contractor and EPD 4. Investigate the cause of exceedance and carry out analysis of Contractor's	1. Review monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Discuss amongst SM, ET Leader and Contractor on	1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Require Contractor to propose remedial	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working

Event	Action			
	ET	**IEC**	**SM**	**Contractor**
	working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 5. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily. 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SM informed of the results 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and Contractor 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	the potential remedial actions. 3. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise SM accordingly 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	measures for the analysed dust problem 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 4. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated	days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the SM until the exceedance is abated.

Notes:
ET – Environmental Team
IEC – Independent Environmental Checker
SM – Service Manager

Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	ET	IEC	SM	Contractor
Exceedance of Action Level	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Notify IEC and Contractor; Report the results of investigation to IEC, SM and Contractor; Discuss with Contractor and formulate remedial measures; If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	Review the analysed results submitted by ET; Review the proposed Remedial measures by Contractor and advise SM accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Exceedance of Limit Level	Identify source; Inform IEC, SM, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency; Inform IEC, SM and EPD the causes and actions taken for exceedance; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SM informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst SM, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise SM accordingly; Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that portion of works until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by SM until the exceedance is abated.

Notes:
ET – Environmental Team
IEC – Independent Environmental Checker
SM – Service Manager

Event / Action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET	IEC	SM	Contractor
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	• Identify source(s) of impact; • Inform IEC, Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods.	• Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods.	• Confirm receipt of notification of non-compliance in writing; and • Notify Contractor.	• Rectify unacceptable practice; and • Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days	• Identify source(s) of impact; • Inform IEC, Contractor; • Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; • If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Action level	• Check monitoring data and Contractor's working method; • Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; • Review the proposed mitigation measures; and • Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures.	• Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; • Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; and • Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures	• Rectify unacceptable practice; • Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; • Submit proposal of additional mitigation measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification; and • Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	• Identify source(s) of impact; • Inform IEC, SM and Contractor; • Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; • Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, SM and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and • If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	• Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; • Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; • Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the SM accordingly.	• Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; • Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; • Request Contractor to review the working methods.	• Take immediate corrective actions to avoid further exceedance; • Submit proposal of mitigation measures to IEC within 3 working days; • Implement the agreed mitigation measures; • Submit further mitigation measures if problem still not under control;

Event	ET	IEC	SM	Contractor
Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days	• Identify source(s) of impact; • Inform IEC, SM, EPD • Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and • Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, SM and Contractor; • Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; • If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level	• Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; • Review the • Contractor's mitigation measures • whenever • necessary to assure their effectiveness; • Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures.	• Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; • Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; • Make agreement on the mitigation measures and ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; • Consider and instruct, if necessary, to slow down or stop that activity of work until exceedance is abated.	• Take immediate corrective actions to avoid further exceedance; • Submit proposal of mitigation measures to IEC within 3 working days; • Implement the agreed mitigation measures; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; • Slow down or to stop relevant activity until exceedance is abated.

Notes:
ET – Environmental Team
IEC – Independent Environmental Checker
SM – Service Manager

Event and action plan for landscape and visual monitoring during Construction

	ET	IEC	SM	Contractor
Design checking	Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report	Check report. Recommend remedial design if necessary	Undertake remedial design if necessary	Ensure compliance with EP requirements
Exceedance on one occasion	Identify source of impact Inform IEC and SM Discuss remedial actions with IEC, SM and Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	• Check monitoring report • Check Contractor's working method • Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures • Advise SM on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures • Check implementation of remedial measures	• Notify Contractor • Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	• Amend working methods • Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Exceedance(s)	• Identify source of impact • Inform IEC and SM • Increase monitoring frequency • Discuss remedial actions with IEC, SM and Contractor • Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed • If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	• Check monitoring report • Check Contractor's working method • Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures • Advise SM on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures • Supervise implementation of remedial measures	• Notify Contractor • Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	• Amend working methods • Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement

Notes:
ET – Environmental Team
IEC – Independent Environmental Checker
SM – Service Manager

Appendix H

Monitoring Schedule

Impact Monitoring Schedule (January 2025)

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1	2	3 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Noise	4
5	6	7 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	8	9 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Surface Water Noise	10	11
12	13 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	14	15 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Noise	16	17 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	18
19	20	21 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Noise	22	23 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	24	25
26	27 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Noise	28	29	30	31	

Impact Monitoring Schedule for February 2025

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP
2	3 Noise	4 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	5	6	7 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	8
9	10 Noise	11 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Surface Water	12	13 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	14	15
16	17 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	18 Noise	19 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	20	21 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	22
23	24	25 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	26 Noise	27 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP	28	

Appendix I

Detailed Monitoring Results

Construction Dust Monitoring Results

Location: AM(D)1

Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)			Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level(μg/m³)
		1st reading	2nd reading	3rd reading		
3-Jan-25	11:10	68	51	60	317	500
7-Jan-25	11:41	65	54	71	317	500
9-Jan-25	13:00	58	46	55	317	500
13-Jan-25	15:29	54	58	58	317	500
15-Jan-25	11:30	58	54	65	317	500
17-Jan-25	11:45	64	58	79	317	500
21-Jan-25	11:36	65	55	62	317	500
23-Jan-25	11:40	58	51	67	317	500
27-Jan-25	11:30	62	52	71	317	500

Location: AM(D)2

Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)			Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level(μg/m³)
		1st reading	2nd reading	3rd reading		
3-Jan-25	10:33	40	35	27	313	500
7-Jan-25	11:10	42	41	35	313	500
9-Jan-25	11:37	46	57	77	313	500
13-Jan-25	15:01	51	45	48	313	500
15-Jan-25	11:05	46	54	49	313	500
17-Jan-25	11:15	49	51	47	313	500
21-Jan-25	11:10	53	45	61	313	500
23-Jan-25	11:05	49	40	56	313	500
27-Jan-25	11:10	53	43	49	313	500

Location: AM(D)3

Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)			Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level(μg/m³)
		1st reading	2nd reading	3rd reading		
3-Jan-25	10:10	97	88	80	334	500
7-Jan-25	10:27	104	96	81	334	500
9-Jan-25	11:08	102	84	111	334	500
13-Jan-25	14:35	88	78	81	334	500
15-Jan-25	14:37	102	90	77	334	500
17-Jan-25	10:30	92	111	81	334	500
21-Jan-25	10:33	81	90	77	334	500
23-Jan-25	10:33	92	81	71	334	500
27-Jan-25	10:34	96	90	63	334	500

Location: AM(D)5a

Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)			Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level(μg/m³)
		1st reading	2nd reading	3rd reading		
3-Jan-25	08:30	133	171	190	371	500
7-Jan-25	09:00	189	198	213	371	500
9-Jan-25	09:00	198	214	210	371	500
13-Jan-25	13:00	157	124	138	371	500
15-Jan-25	08:50	220	213	198	371	500
17-Jan-25	09:00	213	224	195	371	500
21-Jan-25	09:02	209	190	218	371	500
23-Jan-25	08:50	113	118	124	371	500
27-Jan-25	08:45	109	124	136	371	500

Location: AM(D)6a

Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)			Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level(μg/m³)
		1st reading	2nd reading	3rd reading		
3-Jan-25	09:40	90	83	75	294	500
7-Jan-25	10:05	83	97	112	294	500
9-Jan-25	10:10	113	120	82	294	500
13-Jan-25	14:06	62	89	80	294	500
15-Jan-25	10:05	133	113	93	294	500
17-Jan-25	10:15	91	105	91	294	500
21-Jan-25	10:05	82	113	87	294	500
23-Jan-25	10:02	91	105	95	294	500
27-Jan-25	10:05	93	115	82	294	500

Location: AM(D)7a

Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)			Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level(μg/m³)
		1st reading	2nd reading	3rd reading		
3-Jan-25	09:25	177	180	192	331	500
7-Jan-25	09:40	181	217	195	331	500
9-Jan-25	09:35	73	91	90	331	500
13-Jan-25	13:31	214	181	238	331	500
15-Jan-25	09:25	259	196	218	331	500
17-Jan-25	09:35	165	178	188	331	500
21-Jan-25	09:35	159	171	184	331	500
23-Jan-25	09:35	63	54	55	331	500
27-Jan-25	09:30	75	88	81	331	500

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)1

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)			
3 Jan 25	21117	19972.55	19996.55	1440.00	45	45	45.0	18.8	1019.8	1.33	1910	2.8016	2.9072	0.1056	55	155	260
7 Jan 25	21144	19996.55	20020.55	1440.00	42	42	42.0	17.3	1019.8	1.22	1752	2.8151	2.8892	0.0741	42	155	260
9 Jan 25	21150	20020.55	20044.55	1440.00	42	42	42.0	17.7	1022.1	1.22	1753	2.7996	2.8969	0.0973	56	155	260
13 Jan 25	21156	20044.55	20068.55	1440.00	44	44	44.0	16.2	1023.1	1.30	1870	2.8033	2.8937	0.0904	48	155	260
15 Jan 25	21163	20068.55	20092.55	1440.00	50	50	50.0	19.5	1023.6	1.52	2184	2.8252	2.9967	0.1715	79	155	260
17 Jan 25	21170	20092.55	20116.55	1440.00	52	52	52.0	15.5	1022.9	1.61	2312	2.8186	2.9868	0.1682	73	155	260
21 Jan 25	21177	20116.55	20140.55	1440.00	50	50	50.0	17.4	1017.2	1.52	2186	2.8114	2.9472	0.1358	62	155	260
23 Jan 25	21183	20140.55	20164.55	1440.00	42	42	42.0	19.2	1016.2	1.21	1740	2.8172	2.8753	0.0581	33	155	260
27 Jan 25	21202	20164.55	20188.55	1440.00	48	48	48.0	13.9	1022.1	1.46	2099	2.8156	2.8858	0.0702	33	155	260

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)2

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)			
3 Jan 25	21116	8862.55	8886.55	1440.00	34	34	34.0	18.8	1019.8	1.11	1596	2.7982	2.8468	0.0486	30	156	260
7 Jan 25	21143	8886.55	8910.55	1440.00	34	34	34.0	17.3	1019.8	1.11	1600	2.8088	2.8723	0.0635	40	156	260
9 Jan 25	21149	8910.55	8934.55	1440.00	40	40	40.0	17.7	1022.1	1.28	1843	2.8068	2.9312	0.1244	67	156	260
13 Jan 25	21155	8934.55	8958.55	1440.00	30	30	30.0	16.2	1023.1	1.00	1442	2.7942	2.8547	0.0605	42	156	260
15 Jan 25	21162	8958.55	8982.55	1440.00	31	31	31.0	19.5	1023.6	1.02	1476	2.8187	2.8920	0.0733	50	156	260
17 Jan 25	21169	8982.55	9006.55	1440.00	40	40	40.0	15.5	1022.9	1.28	1850	2.8223	2.9238	0.1015	55	156	260
21 Jan 25	21176	9006.55	9030.55	1440.00	34	34	34.0	17.4	1017.2	1.11	1598	2.8060	2.8773	0.0713	45	156	260
23 Jan 25	21182	9030.55	9054.55	1440.00	38	38	38.0	19.2	1016.2	1.22	1754	2.8068	2.8774	0.0706	40	156	260
27 Jan 25	21201	9054.55	9078.55	1440.00	39	39	39.0	13.9	1022.1	1.26	1813	2.8307	2.8847	0.0540	30	156	260

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)3

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)			
3 Jan 25	21115	21074.77	21098.77	1440.00	42	42	42.0	18.8	1019.8	1.23	1765	2.8123	2.9561	0.1438	81	155	260
7 Jan 25	21142	21098.77	21122.77	1440.00	44	44	44.0	17.3	1019.8	1.31	1883	2.7988	2.9421	0.1433	76	155	260
9 Jan 25	21148	21122.77	21146.77	1440.00	42	42	42.0	17.7	1022.1	1.23	1772	2.8040	2.9805	0.1765	100	155	260
13 Jan 25	21154	21146.77	21170.77	1440.00	40	40	40.0	16.2	1023.1	1.16	1667	2.8031	2.9101	0.1070	64	155	260
15 Jan 25	21161	21170.77	21194.77	1440.00	40	40	40.0	19.5	1023.6	1.15	1655	2.8302	2.9534	0.1232	74	155	260
17 Jan 25	21168	21194.77	21218.77	1440.00	22	22	22.0	15.5	1022.9	0.46	655	2.8123	2.8810	0.0687	105	155	260
21 Jan 25	21175	21218.77	21242.77	1440.00	40	40	40.0	17.4	1017.2	1.15	1656	2.8159	2.8580	0.0421	25	155	260
23 Jan 25	21181	21242.77	21266.77	1440.00	38	38	38.0	19.2	1016.2	1.07	1536	2.8054	2.8536	0.0482	31	155	260
27 Jan 25	21200	21266.77	21290.77	1440.00	41	41	41.0	13.9	1022.1	1.20	1731	2.8135	2.8851	0.0716	41	155	260

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)5a

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)			
3 Jan 25	21111	2823.32	2847.31	1439.40	44	44	44.0	18.8	1019.8	1.38	1988	2.8150	3.2830	0.4680	235	238	260
7 Jan 25	21118	2847.31	2871.31	1440.00	49	49	49.0	17.3	1019.8	1.56	2248	2.8014	3.2987	0.4973	221	238	260
9 Jan 25	21146	2871.31	2895.31	1440.00	44	44	44.0	17.7	1022.1	1.39	1996	2.8122	3.1610	0.3488	175	238	260
13 Jan 25	21151	2895.31	2919.31	1440.00	32	32	32.0	16.2	1023.1	0.97	1393	2.8020	3.0012	0.1992	143	238	260
15 Jan 25	21157	2919.31	2943.31	1440.00	50	50	50.0	19.5	1023.6	1.59	2294	2.8007	3.3177	0.5170	225	238	260
17 Jan 25	21164	2943.31	2967.31	1440.00	50	50	50.0	15.5	1022.9	1.60	2311	2.8176	3.3055	0.4879	211	238	260
21 Jan 25	21172	2967.31	2991.31	1440.00	50	50	50.0	17.4	1017.2	1.59	2295	2.8158	3.2885	0.4727	206	238	260
23 Jan 25	21171	2991.31	3015.31	1440.00	49	49	49.0	19.2	1016.2	1.55	2236	2.8145	3.0270	0.2125	95	238	260
27 Jan 25	21184	3012.31	3039.31	1620.00	45	45	45.0	13.9	1022.1	1.43	2319	2.8140	3.1132	0.2992	129	238	260

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)6a

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)			
3 Jan 25	21114	20824.98	20848.98	1440.00	46	46	46.0	18.8	1019.8	1.51	2175	2.7915	2.9968	0.2053	94	159	260
7 Jan 25	21141	20848.98	20872.98	1440.00	44	44	44.0	17.3	1019.8	1.44	2075	2.8069	3.0266	0.2197	106	159	260
9 Jan 25	21145	20872.98	20896.98	1440.00	48	48	48.0	17.7	1022.1	1.59	2288	2.8095	3.0644	0.2549	111	159	260
13 Jan 25	21153	20896.98	20920.98	1440.00	54	54	54.0	16.2	1023.1	1.82	2615	2.7990	2.9474	0.1484	57	159	260
15 Jan 25	21160	20920.98	20944.98	1440.00	41	41	41.0	19.5	1023.6	1.33	1912	2.8146	3.0454	0.2308	121	159	260
17 Jan 25	21167	20944.98	20968.98	1440.00	36	36	36.0	15.5	1022.9	1.15	1660	2.8156	2.9765	0.1609	97	159	260
21 Jan 25	21174	20968.98	20992.98	1440.00	48	48	48.0	17.4	1017.2	1.59	2284	2.8066	2.9902	0.1836	80	159	260
23 Jan 25	21180	20992.98	21016.98	1440.00	45	45	45.0	19.2	1016.2	1.47	2116	2.8005	2.9150	0.1145	54	159	260
27 Jan 25	21199	21016.98	21040.98	1440.00	51	51	51.0	13.9	1022.1	1.71	2465	2.8018	2.8546	0.0528	21	159	260

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)7a

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP	AVG AIR PRESS	STANDARD FLOW RATE	AIR VOLUME	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG	(°C)	(hPa)	(m³/min)	(std m³)	INITIAL	FINAL	(g)			
3 Jan 25	21113	2463.01	2487.01	1440.00	40	40	40.0	18.8	1019.8	1.23	1776	2.7927	3.1215	0.3288	185	215	260
7 Jan 25	21140	2487.01	2511.01	1440.00	40	40	40.0	17.3	1019.8	1.24	1782	2.8225	3.1653	0.3428	192	215	260
9 Jan 25	21147	2511.01	2535.01	1440.00	45	45	45.0	17.7	1022.1	1.44	2071	2.8096	3.2300	0.4204	203	215	260
13 Jan 25	21152	2535.01	2559.01	1440.00	45	45	45.0	16.2	1023.1	1.44	2079	2.8000	3.1134	0.3134	151	215	260
15 Jan 25	21159	2559.01	2583.01	1440.00	45	45	45.0	19.5	1023.6	1.43	2065	2.7908	3.2218	0.4310	209	215	260
17 Jan 25	21166	2583.01	2607.01	1440.00	45	45	45.0	15.5	1022.9	1.45	2082	2.8133	3.1733	0.3600	173	215	260
21 Jan 25	21173	2607.01	2631.01	1440.00	38	38	38.0	17.4	1017.2	1.16	1663	2.8204	2.9999	0.1795	108	215	260
23 Jan 25	21179	2631.01	2655.01	1440.00	38	38	38.0	19.2	1016.2	1.15	1656	2.8073	2.9011	0.0938	57	215	260
27 Jan 25	21198	2655.01	2679.01	1440.00	42	42	42.0	13.9	1022.1	1.33	1914	2.8123	2.8783	0.0660	34	215	260

Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Location: NM1
(Daytime Period)

Date	Start Time	1st Leq_{5min}	L10	L90	2nd Leq_{5min}	L10	L90	3rd Leq_{5min}	L10	L90	4th Leq_{5min}	L10	L90	5th Leq_{5min}	L10	L90	6th Leq_{5min}	L10	L90	Leq30min	Façade Correction	Limit Level
3-Jan-25	15:00	43.9	46.0	40.5	44.4	46.0	40.5	42.7	44.0	40.0	45.1	47.5	41.0	42.7	44.0	40.5	42.1	43.0	40.5	44	47	75
9-Jan-25	16:00	45.2	46.0	41.5	44.4	46.0	41.5	52.2	52.5	43.0	46.8	49.5	43.0	44.8	46.0	42.5	44.5	46.0	42.0	47	50	75
15-Jan-25	16:35	56.6	60.5	47.0	60.3	63.5	53.5	59.8	62.5	54.0	61.3	64.0	56.0	50.8	54.0	43.0	50.6	54.0	42.5	58	61	75
21-Jan-25	15:05	50.3	52.0	47.5	50.5	52.5	48.0	49.2	52.0	44.5	47.9	50.0	44.0	48.8	51.0	45.0	48.9	50.0	45.5	49	52	75
27-Jan-25	15:00	42.4	44.0	38.5	42.0	44.5	38.0	43.7	47.5	38.5	40.8	42.0	38.5	41.8	44.5	38.0	41.5	42.5	38.0	42	45	75

Remark: façade correction (+3 dB(A) was added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results
Location: WM1

Date	Time	Tide	Depth (m)	Speed of Water Flow (m/s)	Direction of Water Flow (degree)	Temp (℃)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		Salinity (ppt)		pH		Conductivity		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
9-Jan-25	09:16	Ebb	0.60	0.000	240.30	20.1	20.1	7.63	7.6	102.3	102.6	11.51	11.0	33.37	33.38	8.20	8.2	50769	50783	17.9	15.7
						20.1		7.66		102.9		10.56		33.39		8.21		50797		13.5	
9-Jan-25	15:13	Flood	0.60	0.000	250.10	19.8	19.8	7.70	7.7	102.6	102.6	19.01	20.2	33.34	33.34	8.34	8.3	50720	50725	13.8	13.5
						19.8		7.70		102.6		21.36		33.34		8.34		50730		13.2	

Total Alkalinity (mg/L)		Sulphate (mg/L)		Chloride (mg/L)		Cadmium (µg/L)		Copper (µg/L)		Lead (µg/L)		Manganese (µg/L)		Nickel (µg/L)		Zinc (µg/L)		Calcium (µg/L)		Iron (µg/L)		Magnesium (µg/L)		Potassium (µg/L)		Sodium (µg/L)	
105	104.5	2290	2180.0	16800	16150.0	<0.2	<0.2	5.00	4.5	2.0	2.0	94.00	95.0	1.0	1.0	<10	<10	397000	397500	470	465	939000	953500	295000	299000	7390000	7260000
104		2070		15500		<0.2		4.00		2.0		96.00		1.0		<10		398000		460		968000		303000		7130000	
108	108.0	2440	2565.0	18400	18300.0	0.50	0.5	6.00	6.0	2.0	2.0	74.00	73.5	2.0	2.0	13.0	13.5	432000	430500	410	405	1040000	1040000	328000	327500	8570000	8515000
108		2690		18200		0.40		6.00		2.0		73.00		2.0		14.0		429000		400		1040000		327000		8460000	

Ammonia as N (mg/L)		Nitrate as N (mg/L)		Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N (mg/L)		Reactive Phosphorus as P (mg/L)		Sulphite (mg/L)		Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)		Oil and Grease (mg/L)		Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) (mg/L)		Biochemical Oxygen (mg/L)		Total Coliform (CFU/100mL)	
0.03	0.025	0.04	0.050	0.4	0.40	0.03	0.025	<2	<2	<5	<5	<5	<5	<40	<40	<2	<2	NOT DETECTED	NOT DETECTED
0.02		0.06		0.4		0.02		<2		<5		<5		<40		<2		NOT DETECTED	
0.04	0.040	0.09	0.095	0.4	0.40	0.02	0.020	<2	<2	<5	<5	<5	<5	<40	<40	<2	<2	NOT DETECTED	NOT DETECTED
0.04		0.10		0.4		0.02		<2		<5		<5		<40		<2		NOT DETECTED	

HKRRP
Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park
香港資源再生園

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

Sampling equipment used:	Date calibrated
GEM5000	1-Aug-24
SKY3000 Series (LEL)	9-Apr-24

Date of measurement	Sample location	Sampling time	Monitoring of Excavation						
			Weather condition	Methane (CH_4)	Carbon dioxide (0. 1%)	Oxygen (0.1%)	Flammable gas (LEL)	Temp (°C)	Remark
2-Jan-25	B9	9 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B10	9 : 28	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 45	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 52	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	A1	9 : 56	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B9	16 : 16	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B10	16 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
2-Jan-25	A1	16 : 52	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
3-Jan-25	B9	9 : 16	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B10	9 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 43	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	A1	9 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B9	16 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B10	16 : 29	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	18.8℃	
3-Jan-25	A1	16 : 53	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	21.1℃	
4-Jan-25	B9	9 : 12	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.6℃	
4-Jan-25	B10	9 : 29	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.6℃	
4-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 41	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.6℃	
4-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.6℃	
4-Jan-25	A1	9 : 54	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.7℃	
4-Jan-25	B9	16 : 13	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.7℃	
4-Jan-25	B10	16 : 29	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.7℃	
4-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 43	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.7℃	
4-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.7℃	
4-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.7℃	
6-Jan-25	B9	9 : 11	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.2℃	
6-Jan-25	B10	9 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.2℃	
6-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.2℃	
6-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.2℃	
6-Jan-25	A1	9 : 53	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.5℃	
6-Jan-25	B9	16 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.5℃	
6-Jan-25	B10	16 : 28	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.5℃	
6-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.5℃	
6-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.5℃	
6-Jan-25	A1	16 : 52	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.5℃	
7-Jan-25	B9	9 : 13	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.3℃	
7-Jan-25	B10	9 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.3℃	
7-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.3℃	
7-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.3℃	
7-Jan-25	A1	9 : 58	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
7-Jan-25	B9	16 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
7-Jan-25	B10	16 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
7-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
7-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
7-Jan-25	A1	16 : 51	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
8-Jan-25	B9	9 : 15	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.8℃	
8-Jan-25	B10	9 : 30	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.8℃	
8-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.8℃	
8-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.8℃	
8-Jan-25	A1	9 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.6℃	
8-Jan-25	B9	16 : 13	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.6℃	
8-Jan-25	B10	16 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.6℃	
8-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.6℃	
8-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.6℃	
8-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.6℃	

HKRRP
Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

Sampling equipment used:	Date calibrated
GEM5000	1-Aug-24
SKY3000 Series (LEL)	9-Apr-24

Date of measurement	Sample location	Sampling time	Monitoring of Excavation						
			Weather condition	Methane (CH_4)	Carbon dioxide (0. 1%)	Oxygen (0.1%)	Flammable gas (LEL)	Temp (°C)	Remark
9-Jan-25	B9	9 : 11	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B10	9 : 29	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 52	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	A1	9 : 54	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B9	16 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B10	16 : 30	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
9-Jan-25	A1	16 : 54	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.7℃	
10-Jan-25	B9	9 : 16	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B10	9 : 32	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	A1	9 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B9	16 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B10	16 : 32	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
10-Jan-25	A1	16 : 56	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.5℃	
11-Jan-25	B9	9 : 13	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	14℃	
11-Jan-25	B10	9 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	14℃	
11-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 43	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	14℃	
11-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	14℃	
11-Jan-25	A1	9 : 53	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	16.6℃	
11-Jan-25	B9	16 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	16.6℃	
11-Jan-25	B10	16 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	16.6℃	
11-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	16.6℃	
11-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	16.6℃	
11-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	16.6℃	
13-Jan-25	B9	9 : 11	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
13-Jan-25	B10	9 : 28	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
13-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
13-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
13-Jan-25	A1	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.7℃	
13-Jan-25	B9	16 : 15	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.7℃	
13-Jan-25	B10	16 : 28	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.7℃	
13-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.7℃	
13-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.7℃	
13-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.7℃	
14-Jan-25	B9	9 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	18.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B10	9 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	18.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	18.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	18.2℃	
14-Jan-25	A1	9 : 58	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B9	16 : 15	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B10	16 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 39	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.2℃	
14-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.2℃	
14-Jan-25	A1	16 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20.2℃	
15-Jan-25	B9	9 : 14	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.5℃	
15-Jan-25	B10	9 : 28	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.5℃	
15-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 40	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.5℃	
15-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 50	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	19.5℃	
15-Jan-25	A1	9 : 57	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	22.3℃	
15-Jan-25	B9	16 : 11	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	22.3℃	
15-Jan-25	B10	16 : 32	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	22.3℃	
15-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 46	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	22.3℃	
15-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	22.3℃	
15-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	22.3℃	

HKRRP
Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park
香港資源回收園

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

Sampling equipment used:	Date calibrated
GEM5000	1-Aug-24
SKY3000 Series (LEL)	9-Apr-24

Date of measurement	Sample location	Sampling time	Monitoring of Excavation						
			Weather condition	Methane (CH_4)	Carbon dioxide (0. 1%)	Oxygen (0.1%)	Flammable gas (LEL)	Temp (°C)	Remark
16-Jan-25	B9	9 : 16	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B10	9 : 29	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B1a	10 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B1c	10 : 52	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	A1	10 : 56	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B9	16 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B10	16 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
16-Jan-25	A1	16 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	16.2℃	
17-Jan-25	B9	9 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B10	9 : 32	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B1a	10 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B1c	10 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	A1	10 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B9	16 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B10	16 : 28	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B1a	17 : 43	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	B1c	17 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.5℃	
17-Jan-25	A1	17 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.6℃	
18-Jan-25	B9	9 : 15	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	16.2℃	
18-Jan-25	B10	9 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	16.2℃	
18-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 43	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	16.2℃	
18-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	16.2℃	
18-Jan-25	A1	10 : 55	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.5℃	
18-Jan-25	B9	16 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.5℃	
18-Jan-25	B10	16 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.5℃	
18-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.5℃	
18-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.5℃	
18-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.5℃	
20-Jan-25	B9	9 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	17.8℃	
20-Jan-25	B10	9 : 29	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	17.8℃	
20-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	17.8℃	
20-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	17.8℃	
20-Jan-25	A1	10 : 59	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	20.9℃	
20-Jan-25	B9	16 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	20.9℃	
20-Jan-25	B10	16 : 30	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	20.9℃	
20-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	20.9℃	
20-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	20.9℃	
20-Jan-25	A1	16 : 56	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	20.9℃	
21-Jan-25	B9	9 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.4℃	
21-Jan-25	B10	9 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.4℃	
21-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.4℃	
21-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	17.4℃	
21-Jan-25	A1	10 : 58	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20℃	
21-Jan-25	B9	16 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20℃	
21-Jan-25	B10	16 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20℃	
21-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20℃	
21-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20℃	
21-Jan-25	A1	16 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.5	0%LEL	20℃	
22-Jan-25	B9	9 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.6℃	
22-Jan-25	B10	9 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.6℃	
22-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 39	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.6℃	
22-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	18.6℃	
22-Jan-25	A1	10 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.4℃	
22-Jan-25	B9	16 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.4℃	
22-Jan-25	B10	16 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.4℃	
22-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.4℃	
22-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.4℃	
22-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	21.4℃	

HKRRP
Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park
香港資源再生園

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

Sampling equipment used:	Date calibrated
GEM5000	1-Aug-24
SKY3000 Series (LEL)	9-Apr-24

Date of measurement	Sample location	Sampling time	Monitoring of Excavation						
			Weather condition	Methane (CH_4)	Carbon dioxide (0.1%)	Oxygen (0.1%)	Flammable gas (LEL)	Temp (°C)	Remark
23-Jan-25	B9	9 : 15	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B10	9 : 30	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B1a	10 : 42	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B1c	10 : 52	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	A1	10 : 55	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B9	16 : 13	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B10	16 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
23-Jan-25	A1	16 : 53	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.3	0%LEL	19.2℃	
24-Jan-25	B9	9 : 15	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B10	9 : 30	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B1a	10 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B1c	10 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	A1	10 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B9	16 : 13	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B10	16 : 32	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B1a	17 : 41	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	B1c	17 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	18.5℃	
24-Jan-25	A1	17 : 53	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	20.8℃	
25-Jan-25	B9	9 : 12	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.9℃	
25-Jan-25	B10	9 : 32	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.9℃	
25-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 47	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.9℃	
25-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	17.9℃	
25-Jan-25	A1	10 : 53	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	20℃	
25-Jan-25	B9	16 : 11	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	20℃	
25-Jan-25	B10	16 : 31	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	20℃	
25-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 42	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	20℃	
25-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 50	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	20℃	
25-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Cloudy	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	20℃	
27-Jan-25	B9	9 : 12	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	13.9℃	
27-Jan-25	B10	9 : 31	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	13.9℃	
27-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	13.9℃	
27-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	13.9℃	
27-Jan-25	A1	10 : 48	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.3℃	
27-Jan-25	B9	16 : 10	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.3℃	
27-Jan-25	B10	16 : 29	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.3℃	
27-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 39	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.3℃	
27-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 46	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.3℃	
27-Jan-25	A1	16 : 50	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.4	0%LEL	17.3℃	
28-Jan-25	B9	9 : 16	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B10	9 : 33	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B1a	9 : 40	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B1c	9 : 49	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	15.1℃	
28-Jan-25	A1	10 : 58	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B9	16 : 14	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B10	16 : 28	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B1a	16 : 44	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
28-Jan-25	B1c	16 : 47	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	
28-Jan-25	A1	16 : 57	Sunny	0.0%	0.1	20.2	0%LEL	19.1℃	

Appendix J

Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result

Air Quality – 1-hour TSP






Graphical Plot for 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)3
TSP (μg/m³)
1st hour
2nd hour
3rd hour
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
Date
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25


Graphical Plot for 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)5a
TSP (μg/m³)
1st hour
2nd hour
3rd hour
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
Date
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25


Graphical Plot for 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)6a
TSP (μg/m³)
1st hour
2nd hour
3rd hour
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
Date
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25


Graphical Plot for 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)7a
TSP (μg/m³)
1st hour
2nd hour
3rd hour
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
Date
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25

Air Quality – 24-hour TSP






Graphical Plot for 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)3
Dust Concentration
Action Level
Limit Level
µg/m³
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
1 Oct 24
12 Oct 24
23 Oct 24
3 Nov 24
14 Nov 24
25 Nov 24
6 Dec 24
17 Dec 24
28 Dec 24
8 Jan 25
19 Jan 25
30 Jan 25
Monitoring Day


Graphical Plot for 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)5a
Dust Concentration
Action Level
Limit Level
µg/m³
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
1 Oct 24
12 Oct 24
23 Oct 24
3 Nov 24
14 Nov 24
25 Nov 24
6 Dec 24
17 Dec 24
28 Dec 24
8 Jan 25
19 Jan 25
30 Jan 25
Monitoring Day


Graphical Plot for 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)6a
Dust Concentration
Action Level
Limit Level
µg/m³
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
1 Oct 24
12 Oct 24
23 Oct 24
3 Nov 24
14 Nov 24
25 Nov 24
6 Dec 24
17 Dec 24
28 Dec 24
8 Jan 25
19 Jan 25
30 Jan 25
Monitoring Day


Graphical Plot for 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Result at AM(D)7a
Dust Concentration
Action Level
Limit Level
µg/m³
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
1 Oct 24
12 Oct 24
23 Oct 24
3 Nov 24
14 Nov 24
25 Nov 24
6 Dec 24
17 Dec 24
28 Dec 24
8 Jan 25
19 Jan 25
30 Jan 25
Monitoring Day

Construction Noise



Surface Water




Sulphate (mg/L)
Chloride (mg/L)
Cadmium (µg/L)
Copper (µg/L)
Lead (µg/L)
Manganese (µg/L)
Nickel (µg/L)
Zinc (µg/L)
Calcium (µg/L)
Iron (µg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25


Magnesium (µg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Potassium (µg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Sodium (µg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Nitrate (NO3) (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Total kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Sulphite (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


TOC (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


Oil and Grease (mg/L)
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood


COD (mg/L)
60.000
50.000
40.000
30.000
20.000
10.000
0.000
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25


BOD5 (mg/L)
4.000
3.500
3.000
2.500
2.000
1.500
1.000
0.500
0.000
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25


Total Coliform (cfu/100mL)
18000.000
16000.000
14000.000
12000.000
10000.000
8000.000
6000.000
4000.000
2000.000
0.000
Mid-Ebb
Mid-Flood
1-Oct-24
12-Oct-24
23-Oct-24
3-Nov-24
14-Nov-24
25-Nov-24
6-Dec-24
17-Dec-24
28-Dec-24
8-Jan-25
19-Jan-25
30-Jan-25

Appendix K

Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

(Specification Part A Clause 1.16.5.4 refers)

Name of Department: EPD Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21 West New Terriories Landfill Extension

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2025 (year)

	Actual Quantites of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantites of C&D Waste Generated Monthly						
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper / cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste		Yard Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
Month	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in '000m3)	(in kg)	(in kg)	(in kg)	(in liter)	(in '000kg)	(in tonne)	(in '000m3)
Since 2023	**431.565**	**0.000**	**352.899**	**77.757**	**0.909**	**195.401**	**103808.200**	**93.800**	**18.300**	**0.000**	**1.200**	**6987.860**	**10.954**
Jan	78.657	0.000	48.194	29.541	0.922	141.742	0.000	3.100	0.600	60520.000	0.000	108.830	0.235
Feb													
Mar													
Apr													
May													
Jun													
Sub-Total	510.222	0.000	401.093	107.298	1.831	337.143	103808.200	96.900	18.900	60520.000	1.200	7096.690	11.189
Jul													
Aug													
Sep													
Oct													
Nov													
Dec													
Total	**510.222**	**0.000**	**401.093**	**107.298**	**1.831**	**337.143**	**103808.200**	**96.900**	**18.900**	**60520.000**	**1.200**	**7096.690**	**11.189**

Note:

(1) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials

(2) Project Commenced in Sep 2023.

(3) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materails that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.

(4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works is equal to or exceeding 50,000 m3.

(5) Density values and Bulk Factors adopted:

Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete:	2.5 T/m^3(in-situ)	Imported Rock	2.0	T/m^3
Soil/Fill:	2.0 T/m^3(in-situ)	Imported Soil	1.8	T/m^3
General Refuse:	900 Kg/m^3	Imported Sand	1.6	T/m^3

(6) Actual quantity of Yard Waste includes those were disposed in landfill and sent to Y Park as recyclable.

Appendix L

Environmental Complaints Log

Environmental Complaint Log

Log ref.	Date of Complaint	Date of Received by ET	Complaint Location	Complainant	Complaint nature	Channel	Ref. no.	Complaint details	Follow up action	Status	Investigation Report Ref.

Appendix M

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Appendix B1 – Air Quality

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Air Quality							
S3.8.1	A1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. • Dust emission from construction vehicle movement is confined within the worksites area. • Watering facilities will be provided at every designated vehicular exit point. • Watering will be carried out 8 times per day during construction phase.	Good construction site practices to control the dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers to within the relevant criteria.	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction and Restoration phases	• To control the dust impact to within the EM&A criteria (Ref. 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels are 500μgm^{-3} and 260μgm^{-3}, respectively)
S3.8.2	A2	The following measures shall be exercised for stack discharge from Ammonia Stripping Plant (ASP), Flare and LFG Power Generator: • The maximum allowable discharge limit and pollutant removal efficiency for ASP, flare and LFG power generator should be specified in the design specification. • Owing to the requirement for the installation of stack, the design requirement shall be submitted to IEC and SM for vetting by the Contractor. • Subject to the subsequent EPD's requirement on chimney installation, regular stack monitoring of air pollutants, including NOx, SO2, RSP, NMOCs, vinyl chloride, and benzene shall be carried out at a quarterly interval (i.e. once every 3 months), and the operating conditions, including exhaust gas temperature and velocity shall be monitored continuously in order to demonstrate compliance during the operations. • A monthly monitoring report should be prepared by ET and submitted to IEC and SM for approval.	Minimize the release of harmful air pollutant to the atmosphere	Contractor	Flare, ASP and LFG Power Generator of WENT Landfill Extension	Design, Operation and Restoration phases	• TM-EIA, Annex 4

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S3.8.2	A3	The following measures shall be exercised for the VOC surface emission: • The arrangement of the landfill gas collection system and surface covering material for inactive tipping area shall be reviewed by Contractor every 5 years to identify any modern technology/arrangement (covering material, LFG well spacing and locations). A working team shall be formulated to review all processes, control practice and extraction system in order to maximize the efficiency of the system. A review report should be prepared by the Contractor for the submission to SM and IEC on the implementation/arrangement of LFG extraction system. The first review report should be submitted to SM and IEC for agreement before commencement. With a good system to collect LFG (high extraction efficiency), surface release of VOC to the nearby environment can be much reduced or utilised. • Maintain a slightly negative pressure within the entire tipping area (by suction). Minimise any potential leakage of LFG to the surrounding by increase the number of gas-extraction wells. Improve the extraction efficiency by checking/reinstate gas wells with abnormally low extraction rate due to blockage/soil movement or sedimentation. • Increase the coverage of inactive tipping phases with HDPE/plastic sheet which can enhance the anaerobic decomposition (reduce air getting in and VOC leaking out). • EM&A will be conducted at ASR to establish the future VOC ambient level. This monitoring work should be carried out in a frequency once every 3 months. By comparing the monitoring data at the boundary and at ASR, the cause of VOC and the general downwind dispersion effect (dilution effect) from the boundary to the ASR can be identified. The findings of the monitoring should be incorporated into the landfill gas collection system review report as mentioned above.	Minimize the release of harmful VOC to the environment	Contractor	Active, Inactive and Restored Tipping areas	Design, Before commencement of Operation, Operation and Restoration phases	• TM-EIA, Annex 4

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S3.8.2	A4	The following design options shall be considered in the future leachate treatment plants: • Adopted updated treatment method such as Sequencing Batch Reactor for future leachate treatment. Provision of ventilated cover for the leachate storage lagoons / tanks and emissions extracted to suitable odour removal filters with odour removal efficiency of 99%. • Ferric nitrate or sodium hypochlorite can be added to oxidise the odourous chemical in the leachate. The pH value of leachate can be controlled to a suitable value from future onsite experiment such that the generation of any odourous H_2S and ammonia can be optimised. • The locations of discharge points and discharge heights should be in accordance with the assumptions adopted in the EIA Report and VEP supporting document. If the future locations / heights of the stacks deviate from the assumptions adopted in the EIA Study VEP supporting document, reassessment of the air quality impact should be conducted. • The overall arrangement should be investigated in details by the Contractor and agreed with IEC and EPD.	Environmental Enhancement to improve the air quality and visual impact to nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	Leachate treatment plants	Design, Operation and Restoration phases	• Environmental Enhancement
S3.8.2	A5	The following are some odour precautionary measures that shall be considered by EPD and FEHD: • As an improvement measure to enhance to environmental standard for waste transfer, EPD could take the initiative to recommend others to use enclosed type RCV in the long run (dominantly government and sludge types). • Clearing / watering of the surface and clearing of the waste water receptor of government RCV is recommended before leaving refuse transfer station or government Refuse Collection Point (FEHD).	Environmental Enhancement to improve the odour impact during the transit of waste	EPD, FEHD	Government RC from RTS and RCP	Operation phase	• Environmental Initiative

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S3.8.2	A6	The Contract shall exercise adequate precautionary measures to minimize any potential odour nuisance from tipping activities: • Planting rows of trees along the northern side of WENT Landfill Extension (ie slope toe) and along Nim Wan Road. • Providing a vehicle washing facility before the exit of the landfill and providing sufficient signage to remind Refuse Collection Vehicles (RCV) drivers to pass through the facility before leaving the landfill. • Reminding the RCV drivers to empty the liquor collection sump and close the valve before leaving the tipping face. • Washing down the area where spillage of RCV liquor is discovered promptly. • Reminding operators to properly maintain their RCVs properly and that liquor does not leak from the vehicles. • Installation of vertical and/or horizontal LFG extraction system to enhance extraction of LFG from the waste mass and hence minimise odour associated with fugitive LFG emissions. • Progressive / temporary restoration of the areas which reach the finished profile (a final capping system including an impermeable liner will be put in place) and installation of a permanent LFG extraction system. • Daily cover the compacted waste with 150mm of soil. • Covering the non-active phase with 300mm to 600mm of soil / an impermeable liner (on top of the intermediate cover), which will not only prevent odour emissions from landfilled waste but also enhance LFG extraction by the LFG extraction system. • Providing deodoriser for the LTP. • Enclosing all the leachate storage and treatment tanks and diverting the exhaust air from these tanks to a deodoriser to avoid potential odour emissions from the LTP. • As an improvement measure to enhance to environmental standard for waste transfer, EPD could take the initiative to recommend others to use enclosed type RCVs (dominantly government vehicles and sludge vehicles).	Minimize the potential odour impact for tipping area to nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	Tipping areas	Operation and Restoration phases	• TM-EIA, Annex 4 • Odour patrol with 2 Odour Level or below at ASR without causing potential odour nuisance

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		• Cleaning / watering of the surface and clearing of the waste water receptor of government RCV is recommended before leaving refuse transfer station or government Refuse Collection Point (FEHD). • The trench for special waste shall be covered with soil immediately upon the disposal of special waste to reduce the odour emission. • For Waste requiring co-disposal (e.g., special waste) by trench, the open trench shall be covered with a mobile de-odouriser cover when the trench is not in use for waste disposal, including the time interval between two consecutive disposal operations. • The use of alternative daily cover (less permeable layer) instead of inert material should be considered under worst-case weather condition, subject to EM&A Programme. • The use of immediate daily cover for odorous waste such as animal waste etc. under critical condition should also be considered, subject to EM&A Programme. • In accordance with some reference from New Zealand, odour from active tipping area can be much reduced if the waste is covered by sandwich covering material such that it is confined in a solid/semi solid condition. Such covering material will be acted as sandwich protective layers to block the interaction of waste. Only diffusion mode (small scale) will be present. These would be applied during very hot and stable weather condition. Twice daily covering (mid day and close of business) can be arranged in case odour patrol identify potential odour nuisance, subject to EM&A Programme. • Posi-shell and/or other suitable materials will be applied to cover the active tipping face at the end of each operation day according to the Enhanced Scheme. • There will also be immediate cover of 300 mm thick soil on the special trench for special wastes.					

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S3.8.2	A6 (Con't)	• Continue to maintain the integrity of the capping system. • Provision of vertical and/or horizontal LFG extraction system to enhance extraction of LFG from the waste mass and hence minimise odour associated with fugitive LFG emissions. • Enclosing all the leachate storage and treatment tanks and diverting the exhaust air from these tanks to a deodoriser to avoid potential odour emissions from the LTP.	Minimize the potential odour impact for tipping area to nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension Site	Aftercare phase	• TM-EIA, Annex 4 • Odour patrol with 2 Odour Level or below at ASR without causing potential odour nuisance
Specific measure from VEP							
		• Regular watering on construction / restoration workfronts, haul roads, stockpiling areas etc (at least once per hour). • The quantity of explosive used at each time and spacing of shot holes shall be carefully designed. Blast nets, screens and other protective covers shall be adopted to prevent any fly rocks resulting from blasting activities. • The areas within 30 m from the blasting area will be wetted with water prior to blasting, • Blasting shall not be carried out when the strong wind signal or tropical cyclone warning signal No. 3 or higher is hoisted. Water spraying shall be conducted immediately after each blasting to avoid dispersion of dust. • For marine emissions, on-shore power supply shall be provided where practicable for the construction barges and marine vessels to power the cranes and other machinery on the barges / vessels at the berths to avoid emission from idling at the berth. • The crushers, including the inlets and outlets will be enclosed and ducted to a dust extraction and collection system such as fabric filter in accordance with "A Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) (BPM 11/1(95))". • All transfer points and conveyor belts will also be enclosed. • Water spraying system will be installed at all feeding and outlet areas to	Good construction site practices to control the dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers to within the relevant criteria.	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction and Restoration phases	• To control the dust impact to within the EM&A criteria (Ref. 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels are 500μgm^{-3} and 260μgm^{-3}, respectively)

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		further suppress dust emission. The contractor shall also apply and obtain the license from EPD for operation of the rock crushing plants under the Air Pollution Control Ordinance and ensure the rock crushing plants designed and operated in accordance with BPM 11/1(95). • Posi-shell and/or other suitable materials will be applied to cover the active tipping face at the end of each operation day according to the Enhanced Scheme. • There will also be immediate cover of 300 mm thick soil on the special trench for special wastes.					

Notes :
Entire WENT Landfill Extension site includes Office, Waste Reception Area, Leachate Treatment Works, LFG Treatment Works, Active, Inactive and Restored Tipping Areas.

Appendix B2 – Noise

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Noise							
S4.4.3.1	N1	Use of good site practices to limit noise emissions by considering the following: • only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; • machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; • plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; • silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; • mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; • material stockpiles, mobile container site officer and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.	Control construction airborne noise by means of good site practices	Contractor	Entire site construction	Construction phase	• Noise Control Ordinance
S4.4.3.2	N2	Select "Quiet plants" which comply with the BS 5228 Part 1 or TM standards.	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	Entire site construction	Construction phase	• Noise Control Ordinance & its TM • Annex 5, TM-EIA
Operation Noise							
S4.6.2	N3	Select "Quiet plants" which comply with the BS 5228 Part 1 or TM standards.	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	Entire site construction	Operation and Restoration phases	• Noise Control Ordinance & its TM • Annex 5, TM-EIA
S4.6.2	N4	Build a noise bund of about 3.5m tall along the north eastern seafront of the existing WENT Landfill to provide a screening effect of at least 5dB(A) from the berths.	Reduce the noise levels of barges	Contractor	Existing Landfill WENT	Construction, operation and restoration phases	• Noise Control Ordinance & its TM • Annex 5, TM-EIA

Appendix B3 – Water Quality

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Construction Water Quality							
S5.6.7	W1	<u>Construction Runoff</u> • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. • The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. • The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in ProPECC PN 2/23, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. • Construction works should be programmed to minimize surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. • All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas. • Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. • Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m^3 should be covered with tarpaulin or similar	Control construction runoff and erosion from site surface, drainage channel, stockpiles, barging facility, wheel washing facilities, etc to minimize water quality during construction stage	Contractor	Entire construct site	Construction phase	• ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. • Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers. • Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 2/23. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing bay should be provided at every construction site exit. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. • Oil interceptors should be provided in the site drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain. • Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. Requirements for solid waste management are detailed in Section 6 of this Report. • All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby.					

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S5.6.7	W2	Sewage Effluent from Workforce • Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance. • Notices will be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the Project. • Regular environmental audit on the construction site can provide an effective control of any malpractices and can achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site.	Control sewage effluent arising from the sanitary facilities provided for the onsite construction workforce	Contractor	On-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	• ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance • Waste Disposal Ordinance
S5.6.7	W3	Accidental Spillage of Chemical Any service workshop and maintenance facilities shall be located within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors shall be provided. Maintenance of equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage will only be undertaken within the areas.	Control of chemical leakage	Contractor	Service workshop and maintenance facilities	Construction phase	• ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance • Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Operation Water Quality							
S5.7.8	W4	<u>Erosion Control Measures</u> a. Preserve Natural Vegetation This Best Management Practices will involve preserving natural vegetation to the greatest extent possible during the construction process, and after construction where appropriate. Maintaining natural vegetation is the most effective and inexpensive form of erosion prevention control. b. Provision of Buffer Zone A buffer zone consists of an undisturbed area or strip of natural vegetation or an established suitable planting adjacent to a disturbed area that reduces erosion and runoff. The rooted vegetation holds soils acts as a wind break and filters runoff that may leave the site. c. Seeding (Temporary/Permanent) A well-established vegetative cover is one of the most effective methods of reducing erosion. Vegetation should be established on construction sites as the slopes are finished, rather than waiting until all the grading is complete. Besides, Hydroseeding will be applied on the surface of stockpiled soil and on temporary soil covers for inactive tipping areas to prevent soil erosion during rainy season. d. Ground Cover Ground Cover is a protective layer of straw or other suitable material applied to the soil surface. Straw mulch and/or hydromulch are also used in conjunction with seeding of critical areas for the establishment of temporary or permanent vegetation. Ground cover provides immediate temporary protection from erosion. Mulch also enhances plant establishment by conserving moisture, holding fertilizer, seed, and topsoil in place, and moderating soil temperatures. e. Hydraulic Application Hydraulic application is a mechanical method of applying erosion control materials to bare soil in order to establish erosion-resistant vegetation on disturbed areas and critical slopes. By using hydraulic equipment, soil amendments, mulch, tackifying agents, Bonded Fiber Matrix (BFM) and liquid co-polymers can be uniformly broadcast, as homogenous slurry, onto the soil. These erosion and dust control materials can often be applied in one operation.	Erosion control	Contractor	Drainage system	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	• ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		f. Sod Establishes permanent turf for immediate erosion protection and stabilizes rainageways. g. Matting There are numerous erosion control products available that can be described in various ways, such as matting, blankets, fabric and nets. These products are referred as matting. A wide range of materials and combination of materials are used to produce matting including, but not limited to: straw, jute, wood fiber, coir (coconut fiber), plastic netting, and Bonded Fiber Matrix. The selection of matting materials for a site can make a significant difference in the effectiveness of the Best Management Practices. h. Plastic Sheeting Plastic Sheeting will provide immediate protection to slopes and stockpiles. However, it has been known to transfer erosion problems because water will sheet flow off the plastic at high velocity. This is usually attributable to poor application, installation and maintenance. i. Dust Control Dust Control is one preventative measure to minimize the wind transport of soil, prevent traffic hazards and reduce sediment transported by wind and deposited in water resources.					
S5.7.8	W5	Temporary surface water drainage system will be provided to manage runoff during construction and operation. This system will consist of channels as constructed around the perimeter of the site area. This system will collect surface water from the areas of higher elevations to those of lower elevations and ultimately to the point of discharge. Erosion will therefore be minimised. The temporary surface water drainage system will include the use of a silt fence around the soil stockpile areas to prevent sediment from entering the system. Regular cleaning will be carried out to prevent blockage of the passage of water flow in silt fence. Intermediate drainage system will be installed for filled cell/phase. The major purpose of the intermediate drainage system is to prevent the clean surface water run-off from the filled phases coming into contact with the waste mass in active cell and to prevent excessive surface water infiltration through the intermediate cover, thus contribute to increasing volume of leachate. The intermediate drainage system will collect the clean surface water run-off and	Surface Water Manageme / Control run off	Contractor	Surface water system	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	• Water Pollution Control Ordinance • TM-water

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		divert it to the permanent discharge channels connected to the public drainage system. In addition, surface flow from the haul road (especially near the wheel washing facility) will be collected to a dry weather flow interceptor and conveyed to the on-site leachate treatment plant for further treatment.					
S5.7.8	W6	Monitoring of the surface water discharges and groundwater discharge under the environmental monitoring programme.	Control run off and underground water leakage	Contractor	Surface and underground water system	Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	• Water Pollution Control Ordinance • TM-water
S5.7.8	W7	Formulate contingency Plan on Accidental Leakage of Leachate • Design Contingency Plan for Groundwater Contamination • Design Contingency Plan for Surface Water Contamination	Control contamination to surface and ground water	Contractor	Drainage system	Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	• TM-water • Water Pollution Control Ordinance

Appendix B4 – Waste Management

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Waste Management							
S6.5	WM1	<u>C&D Materials</u> Implement proper waste management measures during construction phase as stipulated in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with the ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management in Construction Sites. Implement a trip-ticket system to ensure that the movement of C&D materials are properly documented and verified in accordance with TCW No. 6/2010. Copies/counterfoils from trip-tickets (with quantities of C&D Materials off-site) should be kept for record purposes. Appropriate waste management should be implemented in accordance with the ETWB TC(W) No 19/2005. Make provisions in Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate. Careful design, planning and good site management to minimise overordering and waste materials such as concrete, mortars and cement grouts. The design of formwork should maximise the use of standard wooden panels so that high reuse levels can be achieved. Alternatives such as steel formwork or plastic fencing should be considered to increase the potential for reuse. The Contractor should recycle as much as possible the C&D waste on-site through proper waste segregation on-site. Concrete and masonry should be used as general fill and steel reinforcement bars can be used by scrap steel mills. Proper areas should be designated for waste segregation and storage wherever site conditions permit. Maximise the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material. Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement. On-site sorting and segregation facility of all type of wastes is considered as one of the best practice in waste management and hence, should be implemented in all projects generating construction waste. The sorted public fill and C&D waste should be properly reused. Excavated slope, stockpiled material and bund walls should be covered by tarpaulin until used in order to prevent wind-blown dust during dry weather, and to reduce muddy runoff during wet weather. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. If any topsoil-like materials need to be stockpiled for any length of time,	Good site practice to minimise C&D waste generation and reuse/recycle all C&D on-site as far as possible	Contractor	Entire construction site	Construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TC(W) No.19/2005 TCW No. 6/2010

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		consideration should be given to hydroseeding of the topsoil on the stockpile to improve its visual appearance and prevent soil erosion. Nomination of approved personnel to be responsible for good site practices and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated on-site and effective disposal. Training of site personnel for cleanliness, proper waste management procedures including chemical waste handling, and waste reduction, reuse and recycling concepts. Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. Prior to disposal of C&D waste, wood, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and/or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. Proper storage and site practices should be implemented to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. Minimise excessive ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering.					
S6.5	WM2	<u>Chemical Waste</u> Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Plant/equipment maintenance schedule should be designed to optimise maintenance effectiveness and to minimise the generation of chemical wastes. Where possible, chemical wastes (e.g. waste lube oil) should be recycled by licensed treatment facilities Containers used for storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD. Display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulation. The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for storage of chemical waste, enclosed with at least 3 sides, having an	Ensure proper disposal of chemical waste generated on-site to minimise the associated hazards on human health and environment	Contractor	Entire construction site	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
		impermeable floor and bund of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of volume of the largest container or 20 % of total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest, having adequate ventilation, being covered to prevent rainfall entering, and being arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated. Chemical waste should be collected by licensed waste collectors and disposed of at licensed facility, e.g. Chemical Waste Treatment Centre.					
S6.5	WM3	<u>General Refuse</u> General refuse generated on-site should be properly stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes. All recyclable materials (separated from the general waste) should be stored on-site in appropriate containers with cover prior to collection by a local recycler for subsequent reuse and recycling. Residual, nonrecyclable, general waste should be stored in appropriate containers to avoid odour. Regular collection should be arranged by an approved waste collector in purpose-built vehicles that minimise environmental impacts during transportation Reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminum cans should be separated from general waste stream and collected by recyclers. Proper collection bins should be provided on-site to facilitate the waste sorting. Office waste paper should be recycled if the volume warrant collection by recyclers. Participation in community waste paper recycling programme should be considered by the Contractor, including waste paper, aluminum cans, plastic bottles, waste batteries, etc.	Minimise generation of general refuse to avoid odour, pest and visual nuisance	Contractor	Entire construction site	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	Waste Disposal Ordinance
S6.5	WM4	<u>Sludge from Leachate Treatment Works</u> Sludge should be collected by a licensed collector at regular intervals, to suit the operation schedule of the leachate treatment plant. The use of purpose-built sludge tankers can minimise the potential of environmental impacts during transportation.	Proper management of sludge arising from leachate treatment works to minimise the associated hazards on human health and environment	Contractor	Leachate Treatment Works	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	Waste Disposal Ordinance

Appendix B5 – Landfill Gas

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measu (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
LFG							
Within WENT Landfill Extension							
S7.6.1	LFG1	Special LFG precautions should be taken due to close proximity of WENT Landfill Extension site to existing landfill to avoid potential hazards of LFG exposure (ignition, explosion, asphyxiation, toxicity).	To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in construction site	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction phase	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.1	LFG2	Prominent safety warning signs should be erected on-site to alert all personnel and visitors of LFG hazards during excavation works.					
S7.6.1	LFG3	No smoking or burning should be permitted on-site.					
S7.6.1	LFG4	Prominent 'No smoking' and 'No Naked Flames' signs should be erected on-site.					
S7.6.1	LFG5	No worker should be allowed to work alone at any time in excavated trenches or confined areas on-site.					
S7.6.1	LFG6	Adequate fire fighting equipment should be provided on-site.					
S7.6.1	LFG7	Construction equipment should be equipped with vertical exhaust at least 0.6m above ground installed with spark arrestors.					
S7.6.1	LFG8	Electrical motors and extension cords should be explosion-proof and intrinsically safe for use on-site.					
S7.6.1	LFG9	'Permit to Work' system should be implemented.					
S7.6.1	LFG10	Welding, flame-cutting or other hot works should be conducted only under 'Permit to Work' system following clear safety requirements, gas monitoring procedures and presence of qualified persons to supervise the works.					
S7.6.1	LFG11	For piping assembly or conduit construction, all valves and seals should be closed immediately after installation to avoid accumulation and migration of LFG. If installation of large diameter pipes (diameter >600mm) is required, the pipe ends should be sealed on one side during installation. Forced ventilation is required prior to operation of installed pipeline. Forced ventilation should also be required for works inside trenches deeper than 1m.					

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measu (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S7.6.1	LFG12	Frequency and location of LFG monitoring within excavation area should be determined prior to commencement of works. LFG monitoring in excavations should be conducted at no more than 10mm from exposed ground surface.	To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in construction site	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction phase	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.1	LFG13	For excavation works deeper than 1m, LFG monitoring should be conducted (1) at ground surface prior to excavation, (2) immediately before workers entering excavations, (3) at the beginning of each working day for the entire period of excavation remains open, and (4) periodically throughout the working day when workers are in the excavation.					
S7.6.1	LFG14	Any cracks on ground level encountered on-site should be monitored for LFG periodically. Appropriate action should be taken in accordance with the action plan in Table 7.8 of EIA Report.					
S7.6.1	LFG15	LFG precautionary measures involved in excavation and piping works should be provided in accordance with LFG Guidance Note and included in Safety Plan of construction phase. Temporary offices or buildings should be located where free LFG has been proven or raised clear of ground at a separation distance of at least 500mm.					
S7.6.1	LFG16	For large development such as WENT Landfill Extension, a Safety Officer trained in the use of gas detection equipment and LFGrelated hazards should be present on-site throughout the groundwork phase. The Safety Officer should be provided with an intrinsically safe portable instrument appropriately calibrated and capable of measuring the following gases: • CH_4: 0-100% LEL and 0-100% v/v • CO_2: 0-100% v/v • O_2: 0-21% v/v					

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measu (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S7.6.1	LFG17	Periodically during groundwork construction, the works area should be monitored for CH_4, CO_2 and O_2 using appropriately calibrated portable gas detection equipment. The monitoring frequency and areas should be established prior to commencement of groundwork either by Safety Officer or appropriately qualified person. Routine monitoring should be carried out in all excavations, manholes, chambers and any other confined spaces that may have been created by temporary storage of building materials on-site. All measurements in excavations should be made with monitoring tube located not more than 10mm from exposed ground surface.	To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in construction site	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction phase	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.1	LFG18	For excavations deeper than 1m, measurements should be conducted: • At ground surface before excavation commences; • Immediately before any worker enters the excavation; • At the beginning of each working day for entire period the excavation remains open; and • Periodically throughout the working day whilst workers are in excavation.					
S7.6.1	LFG19	For excavations between 300mm and 1m, measurements should be conducted: • Directly after excavation has been completed; and • Periodically whilst excavation remains open.					
S7.6.1	LFG20	For excavations less than 300mm, monitoring may be omitted at the discretion of Safety Officer or appropriately qualified person.					
S7.6.1	LFG21	Where any service voids, manholes and inspection chambers within WENT Landfill Extension site are entered for maintenance and LFG monitoring, all safety requirements should be followed.	To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in landfill site	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.1	LFG22	Buildings onsite should be incorporated with passive system relying on natural air movement to prevent gas build-up and active system requiring energy input to mechanically move air to protect against LFG build-up. Design measures for sub-surface building services should include generic measures e.g. gas barriers, gas vents and strategic routing of any service utilities away from potential LFG migration pathways.					

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measu (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
S7.6.1	LFG23	Any new-built permanent building structures within the WENT Landfill Extension site, forced ventilation and gas detection system with audible alarm should be installed. When the internal atmosphere is detected with >10% of CH_4, forced ventilation should be triggered automatically. No person should be allowed to enter or remain in any confined areas when CO_2 levels >1.5% v/v or O_2 levels <18% v/v were detected. Access to confined spaces in the WENT Landfill Extension site should be controlled to only authorised persons.	To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in landfill site	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.1	LFG24	Specific gas protection measures which can be applied to building services have been in Appendix 7.4 of EIA Report. They generally include gas barriers, gas vents, location of service entries above ground, and service conduits passing through Consultation Zone.					
S7.6.3	LFG25	The design of the landfill gas protection measures to be adopted onsite, e.g. utilities, buildings, LFG cut-off trench barrier, monitoring wells and facilities related to the WENT Landfill Extension project will be performed by a landfill gas specialist consultant appointed by the Contractor. Moreover, the landfill gas protection measures will be checked and certified by a qualified independent consultant. The contractor shall ensure that the required protective measures are implemented and constructed in accordance with the design and shall establish a maintenance and monitoring programme for ensuring the continual performance of the implemented protection measures. The above requirements shall be included in the tender documents of WENT Landfill Extension project. When the detailed design is available, the Contractor is required to undertake further landfill gas hazard assessment to take account of the more readily available detailed information to finalise the design of the landfill gas protection measures recommended in this report. During the future detailed design stage, a review of the preliminary qualitative LFG hazard assessment presented in the report will be carried out, a detailed qualitative LFG hazard assessment will be prepared and all the report together with the detailed design of gas protection measures will be submitted to EPD for vetting.	To ensure that the design of the landfill gas protection measures is in order and appropriate.	The Project Proponent, Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Detailed Design stage	

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Mea (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measures?	Location of the measures	When to implement the measures?	What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve?
Outside WENT Landfill Extension							
S7.6.2	LFG26	Setting up a LFG cut-off trench barrier is one of the mitigation measures for preventing gas entering an area. Since there are no "design equations' for cut-off barrier specifications, it is therefore essential to seek expert recommendation before finalising the design detail of any cut-off barrier. LFG cut-off trench barrier should be built along the site boundary of the WENT Landfill Extension to prevent gas from entering an area, which is keyed into low permeability strata or extends at least 1m below the lowest groundwater level. To relieve the potential build up of gas, it may be necessary to install additional measures for venting the gas such as trenches filled with no-fines, granular material, e.g. gravel, connected to venting pipes which will provide a preferential pathway for the release of gas to atmosphere.	To cut off any gas migration from WENT Landfill Extension to the middle lagoo and T Park which falls into the 250m LFG consultation zone of WENT Landfill and its Extension.	Contractor	Outside WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction phase	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.2	LFG27	Sealing of fault line ends by grouting will be implemented. In the event that investigation works during the detailed design stage identify the presence of laterally persistent faults running beneath the landfill site, and leading towards sensitive receivers, the following works could be carried out: • Sealing of any surface exposures of the 'fault' feature exposed during the site formation works. This could be carried out through the application of a shotcrete cover prior to the placement of the landfill liner, which also acts as a barrier to landfill gas migration. • Ground treatment at the landfill boundary, comprising pressurized injection of grout within a series of inclined drillholes formed to intersect the fault at various depths. These would effectively form an impermeable barrier against the lateral migration of landfill gas along the fault line. • Adequate venting of landfill gases such that insufficient pressures develop to result in lateral or downward migration of gas.	To prevent gas migration through the fault line in particular to the existing Black Point Power Station.	Contractor	Outside WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction phase	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces
S7.6.2	LFG28	LFG monitoring wells will be installed in the ground on the development side of the cut-off trench barrier to measure the concentration of methane and carbon dioxide. Setting up a LFG cut-off trench barrier is one of the mitigation measures for preventing gas entering an area. Since there are no "design equations' for cut-off barrier specifications, it is therefore	To determine the effectiveness of the cut-off trench barrier in preventing LFG migration.	Contractor	Outside WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases	Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97).

		essential to seek expert recommendation before finalising the design detail of any cut-off barrier.					

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B6 – Landscape and Visual Impact

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location of Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
Landscape and Visual Impact							
S8.7	LV1	**Advanced screening tree planting (mitigation measures – MM1)** • Early planting using fast growing trees and tall shrubs at strategic locations within site to block major view corridors to the site from the VSRs, and to locally screen haul roads, excavation works and site preparation works. • Tree planting in standard tree size along the slope toe of WENT Landfill Extension.	To minimise the impact on existing vegetation retained by personnel in construction site To provide initiation on permanent landscape and visual mitigation measures	Contractor	Entire construction site	Construction and Operation phases	DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 – Tree Preservation ETWB TC(W) No. 6/2015 - Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features WBTC No. 6/2011 – Maintenance of Man-made Slopes and Emergency Repair on Stability of Land
S8.7	LV2	**Boundary Green Belt planting (mitigation measures – MM2)** • Considerable planting belts proposed around the site perimeter and the construction of temporary soil bunds would screen the landfill operations to a certain degree. Fast growing and fire resistant plant species will be used.					
S8.7	LV3	**Temporary landscape treatment as green surface cover (mitigation measures – MM3)** • For certain areas where landfilling operations would have to be suspended temporarily for a certain period of time, simple temporary landscape treatment such as temporary green colour slope cover should be considered. The period of temporary suspended operation should be sufficiently explicit in order to undertake appropriate temporary landscape treatment. During construction and operation phases, synthetic covering material of green colour should also be used as a temporary slope cover where applicable. Given the extensive area of the proposed extension, development of the site should be divided into phases to minimize the visual impact.					
S8.7	LV4	**Existing tree preservation (mitigation measures – MM4)** • No trees should be felled or transplanted unless they are inevitably affected by the Project. Affected trees should be transplanted under circumstances where technically feasible. A tree survey report should be prepared and a tree felling application should be submitted to government during the detailed design stage for approval before site formation works commence. The numbers, locations, species and sizes of the trees to be transplanted or felled should be clearly addressed.					

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location of Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
S8.7	LV5	**Sensible final contour grading (mitigation measures – MM5)** • The final landfill will provide a structurally stable and visually interesting landform, which is visually compatible with surrounding landscape and contoured to simulate adjacent undeveloped area. Introduction and continuation of natural features such as spurs, ridges and valleys will be considered where appropriate.	To minimise the visual impact on landfill.	Contractor	Entire construction site	Restoration and Aftercare phases	DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 – Tree Preservation ETWB TC(W) No. 6/2015 - Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features WBTC No. 6/2011 – Maintenance of Man-made Slopes and Emergency Repair on Stability of Land
S8.7	LV6	**Sufficient cover soil of landfill final capping (mitigation measures – MM6)** • Sufficient cover soil of landfill final capping will be placed above the low-permeable layer and drainage layer, so as to sustain the proposed planting. The cover soil layer should be a minimum of 500mm in thickness for grassland, a minimum of 700mm for shrubland and 1000mm for woodland. Immediately after the completion of localized earthworks for the cover soil layer, the soil surface should be stabilized and greened by grass hydroseeding prior to subsequent landscape planting.	To provide site preparation for compensatory planting under the requirements of mitigation measures.	Contractor	Entire construction site	Restoration and Aftercare phases	
S8.7	LV7	**Landscape planting and maintenance (mitigation measures – MM7)** • Planting and maintenance to allow vegetation establishment to match the natural vegetation of the surroundings. • Seedlings of native tree species will be planted in the second phase. • Reprovision of mangroves in some suitable locations inside the project boundary for compensation. • Planting layout to establish a coherent pattern of woodland, shrubland and grassland vegetation. • In the approved WENTX EIA, 21 ha of woodland compensatory planting to be planted after restoration phase. The Enhanced Scheme would largely minimize encroachment onto the woodland resulting in a small area of loss only, i.e. 0.12 ha. In line with the same principle as the approved WENTX EIA (ratio = 5:1 in terms of area), the total compensatory woodland planting area should be around 0.60 ha.	To minimise the landscape and visual impact on the affected planting areas and provide permanent landscape planting under the mitigation measures	Contractor	Entire construction site	Restoration and Aftercare phases	

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location of Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
S8.7	LV8	**Woodland vegetation management (mitigation measures – MM8)** • Thinning of pioneer trees to be carried out in the period of 5-8 years after the establishment period for each phase of works. • It includes the selective removal of pioneer trees to provide more light and space between trees that is beneficial for growth and natural regeneration of native trees in the woodland planting mix. • Proper maintenance and management for woodland planting is required to provide good quality of compensatory planting. During establishment period of the woodland planting, proper inspection of the death rate of each species in terms of quantity shall be provided and stated in Environmental Permit that forms part of DBO contract.	To maintain the compensatory woodland planting effectively for mitigation measures.	Contractor	Entire construction site	Restoration and Aftercare phases	

Appendix C7 – Cultural Heritage

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location of Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
Cultural Heritage Impact							
Construction and Operation Phases							
Under the Enhanced Scheme, the revised boundary will totally avoid encroachment onto the Tsang Tsui Site of Archaeological Interest, graves and temple. No potential cultural heritage impact due to the Project is anticipated, and thus no mitigation measures are required for the Enhanced Scheme.							

Appendix C8 – Ecology

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
Ecology							
General Protection Measures:							
S10	E1	Restriction of construction activities to the work areas that would be clearly demarcated.	To minimise environmental impacts and therefore potential ecological impacts within and near the construction site	Contractor	Entire construction site	Construction Phase	Practice Note for Professional Persons (ProPECC), Construction Site Drainage (PN2/23) Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labeling and Storage of Chemical Wastes, EPD (2022) ETWB TC(W)) No. 33/2002 Management of Construction and Demolition Material Including Rock TCW No. 6/2010 Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials ETWB TC(W) No. 15/2003 Waste Management on Construction Sites WBTC No.12/2002, Specifications Facilitating the Use of Recycled Aggregates WBTC Nos. 25/99, 25/99A and 25/99C. Incorporation of Information on Construction and Demolition Material Management in Public Works Subcommittee Papers
S10	E2	Reinstatement of the work areas immediately after completion of the works.					
S10	E3	Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme.					
S10	E4	Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.					
S10	E5	Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs.					
S10	E6	Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works.					
S10	E7	Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable.					
S10	E8	Material stockpiles, site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.					
S10	E9	Use of “quiet” plant and working methods.					
S10	E10	Construction phase mitigation measures in the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage.					
S10	E11	Design and set up of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction.					
S10	E12	Design and incorporation of silt/sediment traps in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates and regular removal of deposited silt and grit.					

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
S10	E13	Minimization of surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September), and in particular, control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.					
S10	E14	Regular inspection and maintenance of all drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms.					
S10	E15	Provision of oil interceptors in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources.					
Specific Mitigation Measures:							
S10	E17	Survey and transplantation plant species of conservation concern before site clearance, and 2 years of monitoring after transplantation. During the latest field survey in January 2024 and the Transplantation and Management Plan, only three groups of Nepenthes mirabilis (Pitcher Plant) were found and feasible to be transplanted.	To minimise loss of plant species of conservation concern	Contractor	Within and construction site	Before commencement of construction phase	N/A
S10	E18	0.60 ha of woodland compensatory planting after restoration phase. 10-year ecological monitoring of compensatory woodland planting during the after-care phases	To mitigate loss of woodland habitat	Contractor	Entire construction site	Restoration and Aftercare phase	N/A
S10	E20	Survey and translocation of the three fish species of conservation interest before site clearance, including *Squaliobarbus curriculus*, *Osteochilus vittatus* and *Kuhlia marginata*	To provide precautionary measure for fish species of conservation concern	Contractor	Within and near Construction site	Before commencement of construction phase	
S10	E21	Set up water quality monitoring station at Tai Shui Hang Stream	To provide precautionary measure for fish species of conservation concern	Contractor	Tai Shui Hang Stream	Before commencement of construction phase	

Appendix B9 – Pulverized Fuel Ash Impact

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary)	Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address	Who to Implement Measures?	Location of Measures	When to Implement Measures?	What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve?
Pulverized Fuel Ash Impact							
Construction and Operation Phases							
S11.5	PF1	Recommended measures/ good practices are to be considered	To control radon health risk	Contractor	Entire WENT Landfill Extension site	Construction and Operation phases	ProPECC Note PN 1/99 Control of Radon Concentration in New Buildings