

JOB NO.: TCS01267/22

CONTRACT NO. EP/SP/186/21

WEST NEW TERRITORIES LANDFILL EXTENSION

**MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
REPORT – DECEMBER 2025**

PREPARED FOR

HONG KONG RESOURCES RECOVERY PARK

| Date | Reference No. | Prepared By | Certified By |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| 12 January 2026 | TCS01325/23/600/R0175v1 |  Ben Tam (Senior Environmental Consultant) |  Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader) |

| Version | Date | Remarks |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1 | 12 January 2026 | First Submission |
| | | |
| | | |

Our Ref: TCS01325/23/300/L0179

Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park
29/F China Overseas Building,
139 Hennessy Road, Hong Kong

Attn: Mr. Kenneth Lau

13 January 2026
By email

Dear Sir,

Re: Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories Landfill (WENT) Extension
EP-393/2010/A and FEP-01/393/2010/A Condition 3.5
ETL's Certification Letter for
Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report –December 2025

With reference to the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report – December 2025 (TCS01325/23/600/R0175v1), we hereby certify this submission in accordance with Condition 3.5 of EP-393/2010/A and FEP-01/393/2010/A.

Should you have any queries or require further information, please feel free to the undersigned at Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079.

Yours sincerely,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Tam Tak Wing
Environmental Team Leader



Environmental Protection Department
2nd floor, West Wing
Island West Transfer Station
88 Victoria Road
Kennedy Town
Hong Kong

Your reference:

Our reference: HKEPD259/50/110912

Date: 8 December 2025

Attention: Ms Kins Lo

BY EMAIL & POST
(email: wklo@epd.gov.hk)

Dear Sirs

Quotation Ref. 23-02230
Provision of Independent Environmental Checker Consultancy Services for
West New Territories Landfill Extension
Environmental Review Report for Recycling and
Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area

We refer to email of 8 December 2025 from Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park attaching the report of the captioned.

We have no comment and hereby verify the captioned report in accordance with Clause 1.9 of the Environmental Permit (EP No.: EP-393/2010/A) and Further Environmental Permit (FEP No. FEP-01/393/2010/A).

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Mr Alex Chan on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully
ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

James Choi
Independent Environmental Checker

CPSJ/LCCR/CYCA/csym

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

- ES.01 In August 2023, Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park (hereinafter named “HKRRP” or “the Contractor”) was awarded the Design, Build and Operate (DBO) Contract of Contract No. EP/SP/186/21 West New Territories Landfill Extension (hereinafter named “the Project”). Further Environmental Permit no. FEP-01/393/2010/A (hereinafter named “the EP”) was granted to HKRRP from Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 6 October 2023.
- ES.02 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting (hereinafter called “AUES”) was appointed by HKRRP as the Environmental Team (the “ET”) to implement environmental monitoring and auditing (EM&A) programme for the initial phase of the Project.
- ES.03 This is the 21st Monthly EM&A Report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Project for the period from 1st to 31st December 2025 (hereinafter called ‘the Reporting Period).

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

- ES.04 Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme of the Project in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

| Environmental Aspect | Monitoring Parameter | Monitoring Station/ Location | Date / Number of Monitoring |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Air Quality | 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates | AM(D)1, AM(D)2, AM(D)3, AM(D)5a, AM(D)6a, AM(D)7b | 198 sessions |
| | 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates | | 66 sessions |
| Noise | $L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime | NM1 | 5 sessions |
| Water Quality (Surface water) | DO, Turbidity, pH, SS and chemical parameters etc. | WM1 | 1 session (5 th December 2025) |
| Site Inspection | Site audit for implementation of mitigation measures | Entire site | 5 sessions |

ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE

- ES.05 In the Reporting Period no exceedances of air quality monitoring, construction noise (including Action Level for noise complaint) and surface water monitoring were recorded. The summary of exceedances recorded in the Reporting Period is shown table below.

| Environmental Aspect | Monitoring Parameters | Action Level | Limit Level | Event & Action | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | NOE Issued | Investigation Result | Corrective Actions |
| Air Quality | 1-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
| | 24-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
| Construction Noise | $L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
| Water Quality (Surface water) | DO | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
| | Turbidity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | pH | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | SS | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

- ES.06 The LFG monitoring was conducted for excavation work in December 2025. No exceedance of Limit Levels of LFG was recorded during the Reporting Period. Moreover, baseline LFG monitoring at landfill gas well was carried out this reporting month.
- ES.07 For landscape and visual, implementation of mitigation measures during construction phase of the Project has been monitored through regular site inspection/ audit.
- ES.08 The Contractor was advised to implement the waste management plan and minimise the wastes generated through recycling or reusing. All mitigation measures stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual and waste management plans shall be fully implemented.

SITE INSPECTION

- ES.09 In the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance had been carried out by the representatives of the Service Manager (SM), ET and the Contractor. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection. In addition, Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) carried out the joint site inspections on 11th December 2025.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

- ES.10 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint was received.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

- ES.11 In the Reporting Period, no environmental summons and prosecutions were recorded.

REPORTING CHANGE

- ES.12 There is no reporting change in the Reporting Period.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- ES.13 Water quality mitigation measures shall be fully implemented in accordance with the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures of the updated EM&A Manual.
- ES.14 In addition, the Contractor should fully implement the recommended air quality mitigation measures to minimize the impact of construction dust as far as practicable.
- ES.15 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. In accordance with the EP, a noise bund of 3.5m tall has been constructed along the north eastern seafront of the existing landfill as shown in Figure 2 of the EP prior to the commencement of construction. It is reminded that the noise bund shall be properly maintained during the construction, operation and restoration of the Project.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 1.2 | DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT | 1 |
| 1.3 | IMPLEMENTATION OF EM&A PROGRAMME | 1 |
| 1.4 | REPORT STRUCTURE | 2 |
| 2 | CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS AND PROJECT ORGANISATION | 3 |
| 2.1 | PROJECT ORGANISATION | 3 |
| 2.2 | CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS | 4 |
| 2.3 | SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS | 5 |
| 3 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING | 7 |
| 3.1 | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 7 |
| 3.2 | MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION | 7 |
| 3.3 | MONITORING LOCATIONS | 7 |
| 3.4 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT | 9 |
| 3.5 | MONITORING PROCEDURES | 11 |
| 3.6 | ACTION/LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY | 11 |
| 3.7 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS | 12 |
| 4 | CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING | 13 |
| 4.1 | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 13 |
| 4.2 | MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION | 13 |
| 4.3 | MONITORING LOCATIONS | 13 |
| 4.4 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT | 13 |
| 4.5 | MONITORING PROCEDURES | 14 |
| 4.6 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE | 14 |
| 4.7 | NOISE MONITORING RESULTS | 14 |
| 5 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING | 16 |
| 5.1 | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 16 |
| 5.2 | MONITORING FREQUENCY AND DURATION | 16 |
| 5.3 | MONITORING LOCATIONS | 16 |
| 5.4 | ANALYSIS PARAMETERS | 16 |
| 5.5 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT | 16 |
| 5.6 | LABORATORY ANALYSIS | 17 |
| 5.7 | MONITORING PROCEDURES | 18 |
| 5.8 | DATA MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/QUALITY CONTROL (QC) | 18 |
| 5.9 | RESULTS OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING | 19 |
| 6 | ECOLOGY MONITORING | 20 |
| 6.1 | REQUIREMENTS | 20 |
| 6.2 | ECOLOGICAL MITIGATION MEASURES | 20 |
| 6.3 | MONITORING AND AUDIT FOR ECOLOGY | 20 |
| 7 | LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING | 22 |
| 7.1 | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 22 |
| 7.2 | MONITORING AND OBSERVATION | 22 |
| 8 | LANDFILL GAS MONITORING | 23 |
| 8.1 | REQUIREMENT | 23 |
| 8.2 | MONITORING PARAMETERS | 23 |
| 8.3 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT | 23 |
| 8.4 | MONITORING LOCATIONS | 24 |
| 8.5 | MONITORING FREQUENCY | 24 |
| 8.6 | A/L LEVELS AND EVENT ACTION PLAN | 26 |
| 8.7 | MONITORING RESULTS | 26 |
| 9 | WASTE MANAGEMENT | 27 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 9.1 | GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT | 27 |
| 9.2 | RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES | 27 |
| 10 | SITE INSPECTION | 28 |
| 10.1 | REQUIREMENTS | 28 |
| 10.2 | FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD | 28 |
| 11 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCES | 31 |
| 11.1 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS | 31 |
| 11.2 | OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCES | 31 |
| 12 | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES | 32 |
| 12.1 | GENERAL REQUIREMENTS | 32 |
| 12.2 | TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH | 32 |
| 12.3 | KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH | 32 |
| 13 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 34 |
| 13.1 | CONCLUSIONS | 34 |
| 13.2 | RECOMMENDATIONS | 34 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|------------|--|
| TABLE 2-1 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS |
| TABLE 3-1 | DUST MONITORING LOCATIONS |
| TABLE 3-2 | 1-HOUR TSP AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-3 | 24-HOUR TSP AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-4 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING |
| TABLE 3-5 | SUMMARY OF 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 3-6 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 4-1 | CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATION |
| TABLE 4-2 | NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 4-3 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| TABLE 4-4 | SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 5-1 | SURFACE WATER MONITORING INSTRUMENT |
| TABLE 5-2 | TEST METHOD AND REPORTING LIMIT OF CHEMICALS ANALYSIS |
| TABLE 5-3 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR SURFACE WATER MONITORING DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE |
| TABLE 8-1 | LFG MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 8-2 | A/L LEVELS AND EAP FOR LFG |
| TABLE 9-1 | SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS |
| TABLE 9-2 | SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF NON-INERT C&D WASTES |
| TABLE 10-1 | SITE INSPECTION AND OBSERVATIONS |
| TABLE 11-1 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS |
| TABLE 11-2 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS |
| TABLE 11-3 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|--|
| APPENDIX A | LOCATION PLAN OF ENHANCED SCHEME OF WENTX LANDFILL EXTENSION |
| APPENDIX B | PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND THE KEY PERSONAL CONTACT |
| APPENDIX C | 3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME |
| APPENDIX D | MONITORING LOCATIONS |
| APPENDIX E | CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES |
| | (1) AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| | (2) NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| | (3) WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| | (4) LFG MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| APPENDIX F | METEOROLOGICAL DATA |
| APPENDIX G | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN |
| APPENDIX H | MONITORING SCHEDULE |
| APPENDIX I | DETAILED MONITORING RESULTS |
| APPENDIX J | GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT |
| APPENDIX K | WASTE FLOW TABLE |
| APPENDIX L | CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS LOG |
| APPENDIX M | ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE |
| APPENDIX N | INVESTIGATION REPORT |
| APPENDIX O | PHOTO RECORD OF TREE AT RECEPTOR SITE OF PORTION B4 AND 30M TREE BUFFER ZONE |
| APPENDIX P | ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REPORT FOR RECYCLING AND REUSE OF WENT LANDFILL WEIGHBRIDGES AND WASTE RECEPTION AREA |

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

1.1.1 The West New Territories Landfill Extension (WENTX) is classified as a Designated Project (DP) under Schedule 2, Part I of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) (Cap. 499). The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report (AEIAR-147/2009) of WENTX was approved in November 2009 and the respective Environmental Permit no. EP-393/2010 was granted in June 2010. For the WENTX development scheme adopted in the WENTX-EIA in 2009 (hereby referred to the Original Scheme), an area of about 188 hectares of land adjacent to the existing WENT landfill was considered that to be provided approximately 81 million m³ (Mm³) of additional landfill capacity.

1.1.2 In consideration of the interfacing projects, commitments and neighbourhood enhancement initiatives were proposed and in conjunction with the project, the reference design and implementation programme for the WENTX (hereby referred to the Enhanced Scheme) has been revised. Under the Enhanced Scheme, the boundary of WENTX has been reduced and the waste filling area and landfill capacity has been updated to 94 ha and 76 Mm³ respectively. Variation of Environmental Permit (application number VEP-617/2022) was applied by the project proponent and EP-393/2010/A was issued by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 29 July 2022 subsequently. The location plan of Enhanced Scheme of WENTX Landfill Extension is shown on *Appendix A*.

1.1.3 In August 2023, Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park (hereinafter named “HKRRP” or “the Contractor”) was awarded the Design, Build and Operate (DBO) Contract of WENTX (hereinafter named “the Project”). Further Environmental Permit no. FEP-01/393/2010/A (hereinafter named “the EP”) was granted to HKRRP from EPD on 6 October 2023.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

General Description of the Project

1.2.1 The development of the WENT Landfill Extension will involve the following works:

- Site formation and preparation;
- Installation of landfill infrastructures including leachate treatment plant, landfill gas management plant, power generators, workshops and site offices;
- Installation of liner system;
- Installation of leachate collection, treatment and disposal facilities;
- Installation of gas collection and utilization facilities;
- Provision of utilities and drainage;
- Landfill operation;
- Restoration and aftercare in subsequent stages; and
- Implementation of measures to mitigate environmental impact as well as environmental monitoring and audit.

1.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF EM&A PROGRAMME

1.3.1 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting (hereinafter called “AUES”) was appointed by HKRRP as the Environmental Team (ET) to implement environmental monitoring and auditing (EM&A) programme for the initial phase of the Project.

1.3.2 In accordance with EP-393/2010/A and FEP-01/393/2010/A Condition 3.1, an updated EM&A Manual has been prepared to include the latest EM&A requirement in accordance with the information and recommendation described in the EIA Report and by taking into account any specific site conditions that may be changed before the construction of the Project. It

outlines the monitoring and audit programme for the Project for the construction phase and provided systematic procedures for monitoring, auditing and minimizing environmental impacts ensure compliance with the EIA recommendations.

- 1.3.3 Baseline monitoring for air quality and background noise were conducted from 3rd January 2024 to 31st March 2024 by the ET at all the designated or any alternative monitoring locations in accordance with the updated EM&A Manual. In addition, surface water quality baseline monitoring were conducted from 20th February to 1st March 2024. During the monitoring period, no construction activities under the Project or other external influencing factors of significant concern were observed. Baseline Monitoring Report has been prepared to present the relevant baseline data and determine the set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) for the construction phase of the Project.
- 1.3.4 In view of commencement of construction work of Project on 3rd April 2024, the Construction Phase EM&A monitoring for relevant impact monitoring was commenced subsequently.
- 1.3.5 This is the **21st** Monthly EM&A Report, presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Project, for the period from **1st to 31st December 2025** (hereinafter called ‘the Reporting Period’).

1.4 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.4.1 The Monthly EM&A Report is structured into the following sections:-

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Section 1 | <i>Introduction</i> |
| Section 2 | <i>Project Organization and Construction Progress</i> |
| Section 3 | <i>Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements</i> |
| Section 4 | <i>Air Quality Monitoring</i> |
| Section 5 | <i>Construction Noise Monitoring</i> |
| Section 6 | <i>Water Quality Monitoring</i> |
| Section 7 | <i>Ecology Monitoring</i> |
| Section 8 | <i>Landfill Gas Monitoring</i> |
| Section 9 | <i>Waste Management</i> |
| Section 10 | <i>Site Inspections</i> |
| Section 11 | <i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliances</i> |
| Section 12 | <i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i> |
| Section 13 | <i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i> |

2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS AND PROJECT ORGANISATION

2.1 PROJECT ORGANISATION

2.1.1 The project organization and the key personal contact are shown in *Appendix B*, which consists of the Project Proponent (EPD / Environmental Infrastructure Division), Contractor, ET, Independent Environmental Checker (IEC), and Service Manager (SM) etc. It should be established to take the responsibilities for environmental protection for this landfill extension project. The IEC will be appointed by the Project Proponent to conduct independent auditing of the overall EM&A programme including environmental and operation monitoring, implementation of mitigation measures, EM&A submissions, and any other submissions required under the EP. The individual responsibilities are:

Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

EPD/ Environmental Infrastructure Division is the Project Proponent of the Project.

Contractor

- Employment of an ET to carry out environmental monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of environmental monitoring and audit;
- Submission of proposals of mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit (A/L) Levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plan (EAP);
- Implementation of mitigation measures to reduce the impacts where A/L Levels are exceeded; and
- Adherence to the agreed procedures for carrying out complaint investigation.

ET

- Setting up of all the required environmental monitoring stations;
- Monitoring of various environmental parameters as required;
- Analysis of monitoring and audit data and review the success of EM&A programme to cost-effectively confirm the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented and the validity of the EIA predictions and to identify any adverse environmental impacts arising;
- Carrying out site inspections to investigate and audit the Contractor's site practices, equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and take proactive actions to resolve problems;
- Auditing and preparation of audit reports on environmental monitoring data and site conditions;
- Reporting of environmental monitoring and audit results to the IEC, Contractor, SM and Project Proponent or its delegated representative;
- Recommendation of suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in case exceedance of A/L Levels in accordance with the EAP;
- Undertaking of regular on-site audits/ inspections and reporting to the Contractor and SM of any potential non-compliance; and
- Following up and closing out of non-compliance actions.

IEC

- Review of EM&A programme by the ET (at not less than monthly intervals);
- Auditing of monitoring activities and results (at not less than monthly intervals);
- Reporting of audit results to the SM and Project Proponent in parallel;
- Reviewing of EM&A reports (monthly, quarterly and annual summary reports) submitted by the ET;
- Reviewing of proposal of mitigation measures submitted by the Contractor in accordance with the EAP;
- Checking of mitigation measures recommended in the EIA Report and EM&A Manual, and ensuring they are properly implemented in timely manner when required; and

- Reporting of findings of site inspections and other environmental performance reviews to SM and Project Proponent.
- To check the records of disposal for the different types of C&D materials, including the DRS maintained by the Contractor during the monthly environmental auditing;
- To check the disposal records kept by the SM, especially the name of the designated public fill reception facilities, sorting facilities, outlying island transfer facilities, landfills and/or alternative disposal grounds, the time and date of disposal.

SM

- Verification and checking Contractor's activities and ensure that the requirements in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with;
- Informing Contractor when action is required to reduce impacts in accordance with the EAP; and
- Ensure compliance with the agreed EAP in case any exceedance.

2.1.2 Sufficient and suitably qualified professional and technical staff should be employed by the respective parties to ensure full compliance with their duties and responsibilities, as required under the EM&A programme for the duration of the Project.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.2.1 The 3-month rolling construction programme of the Project are shown in *Appendix C*, and the major construction activities carried out in the Reporting Period are listed below:-

Portion A1, B1a, B1c & B6

- Soft excavation
- Hard excavation
- Blasting
- DCM Works
- 132kV substation construction
- Installation of Monitoring Wells

Portion B10

- Leachate Treatment Works & Landfill Gas Treatment Plant

Portion C1

- Construction of new haul road

Portion B2 & B9

- Box Culvert Construction
- Pilling Works
- DJM Works

Portion D1

- Pipe Laying Works

Portion B5

- Maintenance Dredging

Portion F

- Rock Crushing

Portion G1

- EPD Office Building Demolition

2.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS

2.3.1 To implement the project works, summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection are presented in **Table 2-1**.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | |
|------|--|---|----------------|-------------|
| | | Ref. no. | Effective Date | Expiry Date |
| 1 | Environmental Permit | FEP-01/393/2010/A | 6 Oct 2023 | -- |
| 2 | Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | Account No. 7048594 | 22 Sep 2023 | -- |
| 3 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration | WPN: 5213-4361-1-H4441-01 | 18 Oct 2023 | -- |
| 4 | Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License | WT10002363-2023 (Portion C1) | 6 May 2024 | 31 May 2029 |
| | | WT10002525-2023 (Portion B1a) | 6 May 2024 | 31 May 2029 |
| | | WT00045324-2024 (Portion B2) | 12 Dec 2024 | 31 Dec 2029 |
| | | WT00045991-2025 (Portion B10) | 14 Mar 2025 | 31 Mar 2030 |
| | | WT00046816-2025 (Portion B9) | 12 Aug 2025 | 31 Aug 2030 |
| | | WT00047259-2025 (Portion C7) | 5 Nov 2025 | 30 Nov 2030 |
| | | WT00047257-2025 (Portion F) | 5 Nov 2025 | 30 Nov 2030 |
| 5 | Noise Control Ordinance – Construction Noise Permit | GW-RW0919-25 (Portion C1) | 27 Sep 2025 | 26 Mar 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0908-25 (Portion B10) | 27 Sep 2025 | 26 Mar 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0651-25 (Portion B1a) (Superseded) | 10 Jul 2025 | 9 Jan 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1143-25 (Portion B1a) | 20 Nov 2025 | 19 May 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0737-25 (Portion B2) | 8 Aug 2025 | 7 Feb 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0738-25 (Portion B4) | 8 Aug 2025 | 7 Feb 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0684-25 (Portion B9) | 17 Jul 2025 | 16 Jan 2026 |

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | |
|------|---|--|----------------|-------------|
| | | Ref. no. | Effective Date | Expiry Date |
| | | GW-RW0826-25 (Portion D1) | 2 Sep 2025 | 1 Mar 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0836-25 (Portion F) (Superseded) | 1 Sep 2025 | 28 Feb 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1069-25 (Portion F) (Superseded) | 4 Nov 2025 | 3 May 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1288-25 (Portion F) | 31 Dec 2025 | 30 Jun 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1021-25 (Portion G1) | 15 Oct 2025 | 12 Apr 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1027-25 (Portion B5, D4) | 16 Oct 2025 | 15 Apr 2026 |
| | | GW-RW0686-25 (Portion C7) (Superseded) | 22 Jul 2025 | 21 Jan 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1142-25 (Portion C7) (Superseded) | 20 Nov 2025 | 19 May 2026 |
| | | GW-RW1212-25 (Portion C7) | 19 Dec 2025 | 18 May 2026 |
| 6 | Licence for the Conduct of a Specified Process (SP License) | L-11-058(1) Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plant) | 29 Apr 2025 | 28 Apr 2030 |
| | | L-3-274(1) Cement Silo | 27 May 2025 | 31 Dec 2025 |
| 7 | Marine Dumping Permit | EP/MD/26-014 (Dredged Sediment Requiring Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal) | 1 Aug 2025 | 31 Jan 2026 |
| | | EP/MD/26-032 (Dredged Sediment Requiring Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal) | 1 Nov 2025 | 31 Jan 2026 |

2.3.2 The existing WENT Weighbridge and waste reception area will be reused and upgraded for the WENTX Project. To identify and assess the potential environmental impact of the Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and relative facilities the Environmental Review Report (ERR) had been conducted and verified by IEC which concluded that no adverse environmental impact is anticipated. The ERR for Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area is illustrated in *Appendix P*.

3 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

3.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1.1 Monitoring of the Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) levels shall be carried out by the ET to ensure that any deteriorating air quality could be readily detected and timely action be taken to rectify the situation. 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels should be measured to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality. The TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, USA, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B. Upon approval by the IEC, 1-hour TSP levels can be measured by direct reading methods which are capable of producing comparable results as that by the high volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts.
- 3.1.2 All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper, and other special phenomena and work progress of the concerned site etc. shall be recorded down in details.
- 3.1.3 The ET shall carry out impact monitoring during the course of the Works. In case of non-compliance with the dust criteria, more frequent monitoring exercise, as specified in the Action Plan, shall be conducted within 24 hours after the result is obtained. This additional monitoring shall be continued until the excessive dust emission or the deterioration in air quality is rectified.

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 3.2.1 In accordance with the EP requirement, for regular impact monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least twice in every six-days, shall be strictly observed at all the monitoring stations for 24-hr TSP monitoring. For 1-hr TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least six times in every six-days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. The specific time to start and stop the 24-hr TSP monitoring shall be clearly defined for each location and be strictly followed by the Contractor.

3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

- 3.3.1 Five dust monitoring locations have been recommended in the approved Final EM&A Manual and two additional monitoring stations (AM(D)6 and AM(D)7) were suggested in VEP supporting document. Joint site visits by the Contractor and ET have been conducted at the recommended locations to verify their status and obtain agreement to install dust monitoring equipment for before the implementation of EM&A Programme.
- 3.3.2 When alternative monitoring locations are proposed, the following criteria, as far as practicable, should be followed:
- At the site boundary or such locations close to the major dust emission source;
 - Close to the sensitive receptors; and
 - Account for the prevailing meteorological conditions

Proposed Alternative Locations

AM(D)4

A formal email has been sent to Black Point Power Station on 27th December 2023 for access authorization to the premise in order to carry out dust monitoring. The corresponding team of Black Point Power Station replied that due to the safety and security reason, they rejected to provide access for dust monitoring activities in their premise. The only available location for monitoring is at the main access road of the premises, which is directly affected by traffic dust. It is unclear whether this location accurately represents the dust impact from either the traffic

or the WENTX site, therefore, this location is considered unsuitable.

After AM(D)4 (Black Point Power Station Office and Control Room) rejected the proposal of installing dust monitoring equipment within their premises, alternative locations were sought which included locations near the Lung Kwu Sheung Tan Village Supply Tank and Lung Kwu Sheung Tan Service Reservoir. Visits to the above two locations were made for 4 weeks after the rejection from Black Point Power Station on 18 January 2024. It was concluded that there was no site personnel permanently stationed at these two locations and these premises are probably visited by personnel on an ad-hoc basis. Furthermore, it was observed that these premises have no window and air conditioning equipped, no site personnel was on duty at the building during site visit. With the implementation of the dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, adverse air quality impact is not anticipated at these two locations, and there are no other ASRs on the west side of the Project site. Thus, it was concluded that no further alternative location can be considered.

AM(D)5

During baseline monitoring conducted at AM(D)5 on 27th Jan to 9th Feb 2024, it has been observed that 9 out of 14 monitoring days recorded 24-hour TSP levels exceeding the Limit Level ($260\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). Investigation was conducted to identify cause of high baseline 24-hour TSP result, and it is considered that the frequent passage of heavy vehicles, particularly on the unpaved access road to the nearby warehouses, was the main contributing factor to the elevated 24-hour TSP levels. As the baseline level for 24-hour TSP at AM(D)5 exceeded the limit level, and the exceedances were due to the local traffic. In accordance with the updated EM&A Manual, ET had conducted a second set of baseline monitoring at new location closer to the WENTX site, which demonstrate a more representative data on dust impact associated from WENTX (hereinafter named AM(D)5a) for the parameters of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP from 16th to 31st March 2024.

AM(D)6

Site visit and meeting with T · Park was held on 15th January 2024 and it is concluded and agreed that air quality monitoring equipment should be relocated to the rooftop of T · Park workshop instead of the T · Park office, which is the best available alternative monitoring location in the facility. The distance between T · Park office and workshop is approximately 100m. They are both located to the north of the site boundary and experiencing the same prevailing meteorological conditions.

AM(D)7

Site visit was conducted at the proposed designated location on 28th December 2023, and after discussion with the management representative of the premises, access authorization to carry out dust monitoring was rejected due to unsuitable conditions.

An alternative location has been sought based on the recommended criteria. It is proposed to relocate the monitoring location (north facing) to the site boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon and at the location avoid the emission from the premises (east facing). The proposed alternative monitoring location AM(D)7a is approximately 10 meters away from the designated location AM(D)7. Both locations are situated to the north-west of the site boundary and experiencing the same prevailing meteorological conditions. The southern boundary of the Tsang Tsui Columbarium site such as the entrance area has been explored subsequently, but it is not feasible without stable electricity.

Relocation Dust Monitoring Location AM(D)7a in 29 September 2025

The current dust monitoring location AM(D)7a is situated at the boundary of Middle Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon, however, this site will be handed back in late 2025 under the contract for development by others. It is considered the current location is no longer suitable for impact monitoring due to it falls within the site boundary of others.

An alternative location has been sought and proposed in accordance with the Final EM&A Manual Section 3.1.4. After discussions with the Contractor, the proposed alternative location (hereinafter named “AM(D)7b”) will be the southwest of boundary of Tsang Tsui Columbarium. The details of the proposed location and justification are presented below.

The alternative location AM(D)7b is situated at the southwest of boundary of Tsang Tsui Columbarium, where close to the major dust emission source from the Project. Stable electricity supply could be provided by the Contractor. In addition, it is the closest available location to the existing Air Sensitive Receiver - Tsang Tsui Columbarium, (which was AM(D)7 but setting up monitoring equipment on its premises was rejected). Both AM(D)7a and AM(D)7b are located to the northwest of WENTX, and they are experiencing similar prevailing meteorological conditions.

Considering that both AM(D)7a and AM(D)7b are located to the northwest of WENTX and are experiencing similar prevailing meteorological conditions, baseline monitoring data, as well as the Action and Limit Level of AM(D)7a, could be adopted for AM(D)7b for consistency. Since the major construction activities of the project are underway and the baseline monitoring data of AM(D)7a is adopted, baseline monitoring for AM(D)7b will not be carried out.

Proposal for Alternative Dust Monitoring Location AM(D)7a was submitted to EPD and granted EPD’s approval in late September 2025.

The updated dust monitoring locations have been included in the updated EM&A Manual. The proposed dust monitoring locations for impact monitoring are shown in **Table 3-1** and illustrated in **Appendix D**.

Table 3-1 Dust Monitoring Locations

| Station ID | ASR ID | Location | Land use |
|------------|--------|---|------------------|
| AM(D)1 | A1-1 | Ha Pak Nai | Residential |
| AM(D)2 | A1-2 | Ha Pak Nai | Residential |
| AM(D)3 | A1-3 | Ha Pak Nai | Residential |
| AM(D)5a | A4-1 | Lung Kwu Sheung Tan | Place of Worship |
| AM(D)6a | A3-1 | Rooftop of T·Park workshop | Office |
| AM(D)7b | A5-2 | Site boundary of West Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon | Community |

3.3.3 The status and locations of dust sensitive receivers may change from time to time. If such cases exist, the ET Leader shall propose updated monitoring locations and seek approval from SM and IEC and agreement from EPD on the proposal.

3.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

1-hour TSP

3.4.1 Portable direct reading dust meters brand named “Sibata LD-5R Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter”, “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” and “Sidepak Personal Aerosol Monitor AM520” were used to 1-hour TSP

measurement. These portable direct reading dust meters provided a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering.

3.4.2 The portable direct reading dust meters were used within the valid period following manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual. It was calibrated annually and determined periodically by the calibrated High-Volume Sampler to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method. The proposed use of portable direct reading dust meters was submitted to the IEC and obtained agreement and stated in **Section 4.3** of the Updated EM&A Manual.

3.4.3 The portable direct reading dust meters used for impact air quality monitoring are listed in **Table 3-2**. The copies of calibration certificates for 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring equipment are shown in **Appendix E1**.

Table 3-2 1-hour TSP Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model | Serial No. |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Portable Dust Meter of Particle Mass Profiler & Counter | Sidepak Personal Aerosol Monitor AM520 | 5202337003 (AUES Equipment No. EQ119) |
| | Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor | 456660 (AUES Equipment No. EQ117) |
| | | 456662 (AUES Equipment No. EQ118) |
| | Sibata LD-5R Laser Dust monitor | 467389 (AUES Equipment No. EQ125) |
| | | 467390 (AUES Equipment No. EQ126) |
| | | 467391 (AUES Equipment No. EQ127) |
| | | 467392 (AUES Equipment No. EQ128) |

24-hour TSP

3.4.4 The 24-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be provided and determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory. Equipment used for 24- hour TSP of impact air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-3**.

Table 3-3 24-hour TSP Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|-------------------------|--|
| High Volume Air Sampler | TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170 |
| Calibration Kit | TISCH Model TE-5025A |

3.4.5 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is a Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The High Volume Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:

- (i) An anodized aluminum shelter;
- (ii) A 8”x10” stainless steel filter holder;
- (iii) A blower motor assembly;
- (iv) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
- (v) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (vi) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
- (vii) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

3.4.6 Prior to the 24-hour TSP monitoring, the HVS was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit

Model TE-5025A). Valid calibration certificate of the calibration kit with the certificate of HVS calibrated are attached in *Appendix E1*.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

3.4.7 In consideration of the safety concerns of setting up wind sensor at 10m above ground, the ETL proposed alternative method to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Lau Fau Shan Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. Lau Fau Shan Station is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, Lau Fau Shan station is located at 31m above mean sea level which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. This station can also provide other meteorological information include air temperature, relative humidity, wind direction, wind speed and mean sea level pressure. Adoption of meteorological information from Hong Kong Observatory is a common alternative method for a lot of EM&A projects in Hong Kong.

3.5 MONITORING PROCEDURES

1-hour TSP

3.5.1 The portable direct reading dust meters brand named “Sibata LD-5R Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter”, “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” and “Sidepak Personal Aerosol Monitor AM520” was used for impact monitoring. It is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer and provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90⁰ light scattering.

3.5.2 The 1-hour TSP meter used is within the valid period, calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument was checked before and after each monitoring event. Operation of the 1-hour TSP meter was follow manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual.

24- hour TSP

3.5.3 Prior of 24-hour TSP monitoring, the HVS was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). The 24-hour TSP Monitoring using the HVS was also processed in accordance with the manufacturer’s Operations Manual.

3.5.4 A filter paper of 24- hour TSP on filters of HVS collected by the ET would be delivered to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS) carry out quantifies. Also, ALS will keeps all the sampled 24-hour TSP filter papers in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

3.6 ACTION/LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY

3.6.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. Following the guidelines for establishing the Action and Limit Levels for air quality monitoring, the Action and Limit Levels are presented in **Table 3-4**. Should project-related non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occur, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which is presented in *Appendix G*.

Table 3-4 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Station | 1-hour TSP | | 24-hour TSP | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| AM(D)1 | 317 | 500 | 155 | 260 |

| Monitoring Station | 1-hour TSP | | 24-hour TSP | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| AM(D)2 | 313 | 500 | 156 | 260 |
| AM(D)3 | 334 | 500 | 155 | 260 |
| AM(D)5a | 371 | 500 | 238 | 260 |
| AM(D)6a | 294 | 500 | 159 | 260 |
| AM(D)7b | 331 | 500 | 215 | 260 |

3.7 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

3.7.1 The monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

3.7.2 The monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 3-5 and Table 3-6*. The detailed 1-hour TSP and 24-hour monitoring results are provided in *Appendix I* and graphical plots of monitoring results are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 3-5 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Monitoring Station | Average (Range) | No. of Session | Action Level | Limit Level |
| AM(D)1 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 68 (28 – 115) | 33 | 317 | 500 |
| AM(D)2 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 60 (21 – 117) | 33 | 313 | 500 |
| AM(D)3 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 71 (26 – 186) | 33 | 334 | 500 |
| AM(D)5a - Lung Kwu Sheung Tan | 203 (82 – 268) | 33 | 371 | 500 |
| AM(D)6a - Rooftop of T·Park Workshop | 114 (51 – 183) | 33 | 294 | 500 |
| AM(D)7b - Site boundary of West Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon | 80 (25 – 152) | 33 | 331 | 500 |

Table 3-6 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

| 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Monitoring Station | Average (Range) | No. of Session | Action Level | Limit Level |
| AM(D)1 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 45 (27 – 73) | 11 | 155 | 260 |
| AM(D)2 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 43 (10 – 88) | 11 | 156 | 260 |
| AM(D)3 - Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 55 (20 – 126) | 11 | 155 | 260 |
| AM(D)5a - Lung Kwu Sheung Tan | 167 (63 – 223) | 11 | 238 | 260 |
| AM(D)6a - Rooftop of T·Park Workshop | 84 (42 – 144) | 11 | 159 | 260 |
| AM(D)7b - Site boundary of West Tsang Tsui Ash Lagoon | 60 (23 – 106) | 11 | 215 | 260 |

3.7.3 In the Reporting Period, all the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels and no corrective action was therefore required.

4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

4.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- 4.1.1 Construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq30min}$ shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time periods, L_{eq5min} shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.
- 4.1.2 In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring as specified in the Event and Action Plan shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be continued until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

4.2 MONITORING PARAMETER, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.2.1 During normal construction working hour (0700-1900 Monday to Saturday), monitoring of $L_{eq30min}$ noise levels (as 6 consecutive L_{eq5min} readings) shall be carried out at the designated monitoring location NM1- Ha Pak Nai once every week.

4.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

- 4.3.1 According to the updated EM&A Manual, the ET shall carry out noise monitoring during the construction phase at the designated monitoring station as shown in *Table 4-1* and illustrated in *Appendix D*.

Table 4-1 Construction Noise Monitoring Station

| Monitoring ID | EIA NSR Ref | Location | Type of Monitoring | Monitoring Parameters | Supplementary Information |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| NM1 | NSR-1 | Village house at Ha Pak Nai | Construction & Operation | 30mins and or 5mins of L_{Aeq} | L_{A10} and L_{A90} |

4.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

- 4.4.1 As referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications were used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements would be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0 dB.
- 4.4.2 Noise measurements were made in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices in relation to weather conditions. Weather information such as wind speed and wind direction would be extracted from Lau Fau Shan weather station during the impact monitoring.
- 4.4.3 The ET was responsible for the provision, installation, operation, maintenance, dismantle of the monitoring equipment. Sufficient noise measuring equipment and associated instrumentation are available for carrying out the impact monitoring. The equipment and associated instrumentation have been clearly labelled.

4.4.4 Noise monitoring equipment used for impact monitoring is listed in *Table 4-2*.

Table 4-2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model | Serial No. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | Rion NL-52 / B&K2238 | 00921191 / 2285722 |
| Calibrator | Rion NC-75 | 34680623 |

4.4.5 Sound level meter listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO), which was used for impact noise monitoring. The copies of calibration certificates of noise monitoring equipment were shown in *Appendix E2*.

4.5 MONITORING PROCEDURES

4.5.1 The microphone of the sound level meter was set at a height of about 1.5m subject to site condition and oriented pointed to the site, with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. Moreover, the microphone was positioned away from any reflective surface, and a correction of +3 dB(A) has been made for the free field measurements.

4.5.2 Prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0dB.

4.5.3 $L_{eq30min}$ shall be taken as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. After the measurement, the data were recorded and stored automatically within the sound level meter system. At the end of the monitoring period, noise levels in term of L_{eq} , L_{90} and L_{10} were recorded.

4.5.4 All the monitoring data stored in the sound level meter system were downloaded through the computer software, and all these data were checked and reviewed on computer.

4.6 ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE

4.6.1 Following the guidelines for establishing the Action and Limit Levels for construction noise monitoring, the Action and Limit Levels are presented in *Table 4-3*. Should project-related non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occur, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which is presented in *Appendix G*.

Table 4-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Monitoring Location | Action Level | Limit Level in dB(A) |
|--|---|----------------------|
| | Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays | |
| NM1 | When one or more documented complaints are received | 75 dB(A) |
| <i>Note: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.</i> | | |

4.7 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS

4.7.1 The monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

4.7.2 In the Reporting Period, 5 sessions of noise measurements were carried out at designated monitoring station NM1. The noise monitoring results are summarized in *Table 4-4*. The

detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 4-4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

| Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------|---|-------------|
| Station ID | Description of location | Range | No. of Sessions | Action Level | Limit Level |
| NM1 | Village house at Ha Pak Nai | 52 - 60 | 5 | When one documented complaint is received at anytime during the construction period | 75 |

Remarks

(*) *Noise measurements was conducted at free field condition and façade correction (+3 dB(A) was added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines*

4.7.3 As shown in **Table 4-4**, no construction noise measurement results triggered the Limit Level (75 dB(A)) in the Reporting Month. Due to the construction programme, construction works during restricted hour was commenced from 15 November 2024. According to site inspection and auditing on Contractor’s record have shown that the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority for construction works during restricted hours were followed. Thus, the stipulated requirement on noise impact control during restricted hour was achieved.

4.7.4 Furthermore, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

5 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

5.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1.1 According to the updated EM&A Manual, the Contractor shall carry out surface water monitoring from the commencement of the works until the issue of the Aftercare Certificate.
- 5.1.2 According to general water quality monitoring criteria, water sampling depth should be:
- If the water depth during sampling is exceeded 6m, three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above river/stream bed and mid-depth.
 - If the water depth during sampling is exceeded 3m but less than 6m, two depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above river/stream bed.
 - If the water depth is less than 3m, one depth: perform at mid-depth.
- 5.1.3 Duplicate samples and repeat in-situ measurement shall be taken from each sampling depth.

5.2 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 5.2.1 During the construction phase, monthly monitoring of the surface water discharges shall be carried out in order to show if contamination of surface water by leachate is occurring.

5.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

- 5.3.1 The surface water monitoring should be carried out at the specified point WM1 in accordance with Figure 5.1 in the updated EM&A Manual, which is shown in *Appendix D*, unless otherwise agreed by IEC and approved by the SM.

5.4 ANALYSIS PARAMETERS

- 5.4.1 According to Section 5.5 of the updated EM&A Manual, the parameters of surface water monitoring included in-situ measurement and laboratory analysis are listed below.

A. In-situ measurement:

Temperature (°C), pH (unit), Salinity (ppt), Turbidity (NTU), Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L) & Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (DOS) (%), Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm), Water Flow direction (degree) / speed (m/s) and Water depth (m).

B. Laboratory Analysis (mg/L):

Alkalinity, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Suspended Solids (SS), Ammonia Nitrogen (NH₃-N), Total kjeldahl nitrogen, Nitrate (NO₃), Sulphate & Sulphite, Phosphate, Chloride and Oil & Grease.

C. Laboratory Analysis:

Sodium (µg/L) and Coliform Count (cfu/100mL).

D. Heavy Metals Analysis(µg/L):

Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Potassium (K), Iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni), Zinc (Zn), Manganese (Mn), Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd).

5.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

- 5.5.1 Water quality monitoring equipment used for impact monitoring is listed in *Table 5-1*.

Table 5-1 Surface Water Monitoring Instrument

| Equipment | Model | Serial No. |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| A Digital Global Positioning System | Garmin eTrex | N/A |
| Thermometer & DO meter | YSI Professional DSS Multifunctional Meter | [25K101552/17B102764]/ [EQW019] |

| Equipment | Model | Serial No. |
|--------------------|---|------------|
| pH meter | | |
| Turbidimeter | | |
| Salinometer | | |
| Conductivity meter | | |
| Current Meter | Valeport Model 106 Current Meter | [60011] |
| Sample Container | High density polythene bottles provided by laboratory | N/A |
| Storage Container | 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with ice pad | N/A |

5.5.2 All in-situ measurement instruments such as DO measuring instruments, turbidity measuring instruments, salinometer and A portable pH meter, would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory at three-month intervals. Valid calibration certificate is attached in *Appendix E3*

5.6 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

5.6.1 A local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration number: HOKLAS 066) was appointed as a testing laboratory to carry out chemical analytical. The HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory is shown in *Appendix E3*. The determination was started within 24 hours or recommended hold time of collection of water samples. The method of chemicals analysis is shown below *Table 5-2*.

Table 5-2 Test Method and Reporting Limit of Chemicals Analysis

| Analyte Description | ALS Method Code | Method Reference | Limit of Reporting (LOR) |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| pH value @25°C | EA002 | APHA 4500 H: B | 0.1 pH Unit |
| Conductivity @25°C | EA010 | APHA 2510 B | 1µS/cm |
| Suspended Solids | EA025-LL** | APHA 2540 D | 0.1mg/L |
| Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃ | ED037 | APHA 4500 H: B | 1mg/L |
| Sulphate as SO ₄ | ED041K | USEPA 375.4 | 1mg/L |
| Chloride | ED045K | USEPA 325.1 | 0.5mg/L |
| Cadmium | EG020 T | USEPA 6020 | 0.2µg/L |
| Copper | | | 1µg/L |
| Lead | | | 1µg/L |
| Manganese | | | 1µg/L |
| Nickel | | | 1µg/L |
| Zinc | | | 10µg/L |
| Calcium | EG032 T | USEPA 6010 | 50µg/L |
| Iron | | | 10µg/L |
| Magnesium | | | 50µg/L |
| Potassium | | | 50µg/L |
| Sodium | | | 50µg/L |
| Ammonia as N | EK055K | APHA 4500 NH ₃ G | 0.01mg/L |
| Nitrate as N | EK058A | APHA 4500 NO ₃ : I | 0.01mg/L |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N | EK061A | APHA 4500 Norg: D; USEPA 1688 | 0.1mg/L |
| Reactive Phosphorus as P | EK071K | APHA 4500 P: B & F | 0.01mg/L |
| Sulphite as SO ₃ ²⁻ | EK086 ** | APHA 4500 SO ₃ : B | 2mg/L |
| Total Organic Carbon | EP005 | APHA 5310 B | 1mg/L |
| Oil and Grease | EP020 | APHA 5520 B | 5mg/L |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand | EP026C | APHA 5220 C | 5mg/L |

| Analyte Description | ALS Method Code | Method Reference | Limit of Reporting (LOR) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (COD) (Closed Reflux method) | | | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | EP030 | APHA 5210 B | 2mg/L |
| Total Coliforms | EM003 | DoE section 7.8, 7.9.4.1 & 3 | 1 CFU/100mL |

Remarks: Except ** Item, all the methods as quoted is HOKLAS accredited

5.7 MONITORING PROCEDURES

- 5.7.1 Prior to conducting in-situ measurement and water sampling, general information such as the sampling date, time, weather conditions and the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet. The location of water quality monitoring station was confirmed using GPS prior to in-situ monitoring and sampling. Moreover, the water depth at the monitoring station will be measured using a portable digital global positioning system.
- 5.7.2 In order to collect sufficient impact data, surface water monitoring will be conducted at two specific tide points: one mid-ebb and one mid-flood.
- 5.7.3 Before the surface water sampling, water flow and direction would be measured by Valeport Current Meter. Moreover, water temperature, DO & DOs, pH, salinity, conductivity and turbidity were taken by YSI Professional DSS Multifunctional Meter. These measurement results would be downloaded from instruments and recorded.
- 5.7.4 As the water depth was less than 3m, in-situ measurement and water sampling was conducted at mid-depth only. Water samples were collected repeatedly using the water sampler to obtain adequate water volumes for laboratory analysis. All the obtained water volumes would be directly filling into sample container as provided by the testing laboratory. Also, sample container would be pre-labeled with date, location, tide, depth, parameters and replicate information of the sample. The water sampler would be rinsed using local marine water before it used to collect marine water sample. Container is sealed with a screw cap after completed water filling then packed in cool box (maintain 4°C without being frozen) and delivered to the laboratory on the same day of sample collection for analysis. Also, the water sample filled into container until no remaining air space and then the lid securely screwed on. Where samples are to be preserved with acid or alkalis prior to transport to the laboratory, the sample bottles would be filled to the specified level which advised by the testing laboratory.
- 5.7.5 Before each round of monitoring, the dissolved oxygen probe would be calibrated by wet bulb method; a zero check in distilled water would be performed with the turbidity and salinity probes; 4 and 10 values of the standard solution would be undertaken to check the accuracy of pH value.
- 5.7.6 Additionally, the laboratory will retain all water samples after analysis for a period of 3 months, allowing for the possibility of repeat analysis if needed.

5.8 DATA MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/QUALITY CONTROL (QC)

- 5.8.1 All monitoring data would be handled by AUES's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment would be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day and input into a computerized database maintained by the AUES. The laboratory results would be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

- 5.8.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the testing laboratory would be according with the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

Action/Limit Levels for Surface Water Quality

- 5.8.3 Following above guidelines for establishing the Action and Limit Levels for surface water quality monitoring, the Action and Limit Levels of the Project are presented in **Table 5-3**.

Table 5-3 Action and Limit Levels for Surface Water Monitoring during Construction Phase

| Monitoring Parameter | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| DO mg L ⁻¹ | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| pH, (unit) | Beyond the range of 6.5 to 8.5 | Beyond the range of 6 to 9 |
| Turbidity, NTU | 23.4 | 34.1 |
| SS, mg L ⁻¹ | 47.3 | 50.0 |

5.9 RESULTS OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

- 5.9.1 The monitoring schedule is presented in **Appendix H** and the monitoring results are summarized in the below sections.
- 5.9.2 Surface water quality monitoring was carried out at the designated monitoring station WM1 on **5th December 2025**. As the water depth at WM1 was less than 3m, in-situ measurement and water sample collection were conducted at mid-depth.
- 5.9.3 There are no exceedances recorded for surface water monitoring. The monitoring results including in-situ measurement and laboratory analysis are shown in **Appendix I** and the relevant graphical plots are shown in **Appendix J**.

6 ECOLOGY MONITORING

6.1 REQUIREMENTS

- 6.1.1 The EIA stipulated that ecological monitoring should be undertaken throughout the design, construction, operation, restoration and aftercare phases of WENT Landfill Extension to ensure that all mitigation measures should be fully complied with. The objectives of design audit for ecology are to ensure that the design for ecological mitigation specified in the EIA Report will be conducted to ensure that such designs are ecologically feasible and effective.
- 6.1.2 The performance of monitoring and audit from an ecological prospective should be integrated with the overall monitoring and audit plan for the project as a whole. The information on the commencement and programme of the engineering works should enable the ecological monitoring to be prepared with considerations of seasonality factors. An EMIS of the recommended mitigation measures is presented in *Appendix M*.

6.2 ECOLOGICAL MITIGATION MEASURES

- 6.2.1 Ecological mitigation measures to be implemented before commencement of relevant construction phase should include survey and transplantation of plant species of conservation interest and setting up water quality monitoring stations inside Tai Shui Hang catchment to monitor the conditions of the habitat for the rare freshwater fish, *Acrossocheilus parallens*. In addition, although potential impacts to stream loss and fish species of conservation interest are ranked as minor and insignificant and no mitigation is required, a precautionary measure – fish capture and translocation survey for the three fish species of conservation interest including *Squaliobarbus curriculus*, *Osteochilus vittatus* and *Kuhlia marginata* will also be implemented before site clearance.

6.3 MONITORING AND AUDIT FOR ECOLOGY

- 6.3.1 The ecological monitoring and audit programme in relation to construction phase would be survey and transplantation of the plant species of conservation interest and 2 years of monitoring after.
- 6.3.2 According to the EIA Report, four plant species of conservation interest were found and directly impacted by the WENT Landfill Extension in June 2009. However, during the latest field survey in January 2024, only three groups of *Nepenthes mirabilis* (Pitcher Plant) could be found, and the remaining mentioned plants were not located. For *Ixonanthes reticulata* recorded at Tsang Kok Stream from the VEP were not found during the survey in January 2024. If *Ixonanthes reticulata* is found in the future, further assessment will be carried out to review the feasibility of transplantation.
- 6.3.3 Upon completion of transplantation, monitoring should be implemented for 2 years. The health and condition of individuals of the transplanted plant species of conservation interest should be monitored during the first 2 years after transplantation. Monitoring should be conducted monthly during first 6 months, and bi-monthly in the next 18 month to ensure survival. Since die-back of current year's growth is not uncommon, new stems, leaves and/or flowers produced from the cuttings in the following years, if observed in the following season, should be marked separately but also counted as survived individuals.
- 6.3.4 Monitoring of transplanted species will be carried out after the transplantation work. No monitoring is required in the Reporting Period.
- 6.3.5 The CLP 132 kV cable will be constructed for the power supply of the permanent crushing plant at Portion A1. Therefore, the ecological study for the alignment of 132kV cable had been carried out and concluded that no adverse impact will be caused for the 132kV cable

construction. The habitat map with photo record was attached in the Project's Monthly EM&A Report July 2025.

7 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL MONITORING

7.1 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

7.1.1 The EIA study has recommended landscape and visual mitigation measures to be undertaken during the construction and operation phases, as well as the restoration and aftercare phases of the project. Compared with the approved WENTX EIA, two new visual sensitive receivers (VSRs) within the visual envelop from the boundary of the Project are identified. Other VSRs are the same as the EIA. This section outlines the EM&A requirements of these measures to mitigate the landscape and visual impacts. An EMIS of the recommended mitigation measures is presented in *Appendix M*.

7.1.2 Measures to mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the construction and operation phases should be checked to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures. The progress of the engineering works should be regularly reviewed on site to identify the earliest practical opportunities for the landscape works to be undertaken. The event and action plan for landscape and visual monitoring during the construction phase is summarised in *Appendix G*.

7.2 MONITORING AND OBSERVATION

7.2.1 In order to monitor the landscape and visual impact after providing mitigation measures effectively, all the specified and affected landscape character areas, landscape resources and visually sensitive receivers should be monitored. Implementation of mitigation measures during construction phase of the Project has been monitored through regular site inspection/audit. Photo record for the transplanted tree at receptor site of Portion B4 and 30m tree buffer zone are presented in *Appendix O*.

8 LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

8.1 REQUIREMENT

8.1.1 Landfill gas (LFG) monitoring should commence at the start of specific construction works, such as excavation and drilling for blasting, and through the operation, restoration and until completion of aftercare phases. The measured LFG results should be checked for compliance against pre-defined A/L Levels in this EM&A Manual. In case exceedance of compliance level was detected at any locations, the EAP should be triggered for necessary action to be taken.

8.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

A suite of LFG monitoring parameters include:

| Monitoring Method | Monitoring Parameters | Requirement of Monitoring |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| • Monitoring borehole: | Methane (CH ₄), carbon dioxide (CO ₂), oxygen (O ₂), flammable gas | If the blasting works are within the 250m consultation zone of WENT Landfill, gas monitoring shall be conducted at the nearest monitoring boreholes(#). |
| • Surface gas location: | CH ₄ , CO ₂ , O ₂ | For excavation works between 300mm and 1m deep and deeper than 1m; and throughout the whole process of the blasting |
| • Gas well head: | CH ₄ , CO ₂ , O ₂ , flammable gas, volatile organic compounds (VOC) | Once the gas well(#) is set up |
| • Off-site location: | VOC | Once WENTX starts receiving waste |

Remark: (#) Monitoring boreholes will be installed for LFG monitoring at the borehole and gas well head. The programme for borehole installation will be synchronized with the construction programme.

8.2.1 The existing WENT Landfill is required to conduct LFG monitoring during landfill operation from drillholes, boreholes, gas probes and piezometers around the perimeter of the Site as specified in their contract. Before setting up the monitoring boreholes for WENTX, the Contractor should refer to the monitoring data collected from the existing WENT Landfill. This data serves as a reference and provides valuable information regarding historical gas levels and trends at the site.

8.3 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Monitoring for Construction Works

8.3.1 Intrinsically safe portable gas detectors should be used during excavation or when working in any confined spaces, which have the potential for presence of LFG and risk of explosion or asphyxiation. The monitoring equipment should alarm, both audibly and visually, when the concentrations of the following gases were exceeded:

- CH₄: > 10% of the Lower Explosion Limit (LEL);
- CO₂: > 0.5% by volume; and
- O₂: < 19% by volume

Calibration and Maintenance

8.3.2 All portable instrument should be calibrated and serviced according to the manufacturer's instructions. Calibration gases should be used for checking portable instrument for methane and carbon dioxide detection before and after use. Instrument for monitoring oxygen should

be calibrated against normal expected air concentrations. Any significant variations in instrument performance outside that expected through normal drift should be noted with the instrument calibration timely corrected.

- 8.3.3 Gas analyser was used for carrying out LFG monitoring for Construction Works. **Table 8-1** summarises the equipment that were used in the LFG monitoring programme and the calibration certificates are shown in *Appendix E4*.

Table 8-1 LFG Monitoring Equipment

| Monitoring Parameter | Equipment | Model | Serial No. |
|--|--------------|------------|---------------|
| CH ₄ , CO ₂ & O ₂ | Gas Analyser | SKY3000-R5 | 02100C44A2004 |
| | | GEM5000 | G510487 |

8.4 MONITORING LOCATIONS

- 8.4.1 During the construction stage, when excavation of 1m deep or more, surface LFG concentrations should be monitored at before entry and periodically during the progress of works.
- 8.4.2 The blasting work is scheduled to be carried out in 6 Phases and during Phase 1, the Contractor will utilise the existing WENT’s monitoring wells for carrying out landfill gas monitoring as the WENTX landfill gas monitoring boreholes have yet to be completed. However, the Contractor is committed to complete the proposed landfill gas monitoring boreholes along the WENTX waste boundary for both Phase 1 and 2 blasting areas while Phase 1 blasting work is being carried out and so on for subsequent phases. In other words, when the blasting work is completed for Phase 1, the landfill gas monitoring boreholes for subsequent phase (i.e. Phase 2) is also complete and likewise for subsequent Phases. After Phase 1 blasting work is completed, WENT’s monitoring wells will no longer be needed as the next phase proposed monitoring wells would have already been constructed. The landfill gas monitoring will be carried out in accordance with the requirement either within 250m consultation zone of the WENT Landfill or within 250m from the waste boundary of the WENT landfill extension site.

8.5 MONITORING FREQUENCY

- 8.5.1 The monitoring frequency and areas to be monitored should be set down prior to commencement of groundworks either by the Registered Safety Officer or by an appropriately qualified person. Routine monitoring should be carried out the in slope cutting by blasting, in all excavations, manholes and chambers and any other confined spaces that may have been created by, for example, the temporary storage of building materials on the site surface. All measurements in excavations should be made with the monitoring tube located not more than 10mm from the exposed ground surface.
- 8.5.2 The Contractor will maintain close liaison with WENT Landfill operator on a weekly basis and provide a two weeks tentative blast schedule at least 1 week before the blasting work. The tentative blast schedule will include the schedule blast date, location of blast works and the approximate separation distance between the blast area and existing WENT Landfill boundary.
- 8.5.3 The frequency and the locations of the LFG monitoring within the excavation area should be determined prior to commencement of the blasting works. The monitoring requirements and procedures specified in *Paragraphs 8.23 to 8.28 of the EPD’s Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note* shall be strictly followed.

A. For blasting works on existing slope

The Contractor will perform landfill gas monitoring for all blasting works within the 250m consultation zone of the WENT Landfill (i.e. plan distance from the edge of the existing waste boundary of WENT Landfill site) at the schedule below.

- The Contractor will inform WENT Landfill operator about the selected perimeter monitoring wells along WENT's landfill boundary for landfill gas monitoring that may be required. When existing WENT's monitoring wells are proposed, permission from WENT's Landfill operator must be obtained.
- The Contractor will carry out landfill gas monitoring at the nearest monitoring wells (within 250m from WENT boundary) and the results shall be reported to the Service Manager. If the methane concentration is measured and remained to be less than 1%, drilling of blast holes can be proceeded after receiving confirmation from the Service Manager.
- Drilling of blast holes will take multiple days, thus, landfill gas monitoring shall be carried out every morning at the nearest blast hole following the same procedure as mentioned above prior to resume drilling work.

i) Surface Emission Monitoring

- a walkover survey for surface gas emission to be undertaken within the blasting area, with a portable gas measuring probe to detect the air condition at about 10 millimeters above the ground level to ensure no LFG is present.

B. For excavation works deeper than 1m

i) Measurements should be made:

- at ground surface before excavation work commences;
- immediately before any worker enters the excavation;
- at the beginning of each working day for the entire period the excavation remains open; and
- periodically through the working day whilst workers are in the excavation.

C. For excavation between 300mm and 1m deep

i) Measurements should be made:

- directly after the excavation has been completed; and
- periodically whilst the excavation remains open.

8.5.4 For excavations less than 300mm deep, monitoring may be omitted, at the discretion of the Safety Officer or other appropriately qualified person.

8.5.5 During the construction (specific construction works) operation, restoration and until completion of aftercare phases, LFG monitoring should be conducted in monthly basis at designated monitoring locations and gas monitoring boreholes, supplemented by monthly site surveys of the surrounding environment including natural cracks and fissures, service drains and ducts, area with sign of vegetation death, and any below ground enclosed spaces. If the monitoring results indicate evidence of gas migration, the monitoring frequency should be increased accordingly, with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures under the EAP.

8.5.6 Moreover, baseline LFG monitoring at landfill gas well was commenced in this reporting month to fulfil the EM&A Manual requirement. Separate operation baseline LFG monitoring report will be submitted after the baseline monitoring has been completed.

8.5.7 The monitoring frequency should be reviewed throughout the on-going development of WENT Landfill Extension and revised as necessary based on the LFG monitoring data.

8.6 A/L LEVELS AND EVENT ACTION PLAN

8.6.1 The A/L Levels and relevant EAP for LFG detected in excavation, utilities and enclosed onsite areas are summarised in **Table 8-2**.

Table 8-2 A/L Levels and EAP for LFG

| Parameter | Level | Action |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Oxygen (O ₂) | Action Level <19% O ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ventilate trench/void to restore O₂ to >19% |
| | Limit Level <18% O ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stop works Evacuate personnel/prohibit entry Increase ventilation to restore O₂ to >19% |
| Methane (CH ₄) | Action Level >10% LEL* | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prohibit hot works Increase ventilation to restore CH₄ to <10% LEL |
| | Limit Level >20% LEL | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stop works Evacuate personnel/prohibit entry Increase ventilation to restore CH₄ to <10% LEL |
| Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | Action Level** >0.5%** CO ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ventilate to restore CO₂ to < 0.5% |
| | Limit Level >1.5% CO ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stop works Evacuate personnel / prohibit entry Increase ventilation to restore CO₂ to <0.5% |

* LEL: Lower Explosive Limit – concentrations in air below which there is not enough fuel to continue an explosion.

** This Action Level of CO₂ at 0.5% is set for reference only, assuming no CO₂ emission from a particular location. Depending on the baseline CO₂ levels, the Action Level at a particular location will be changed.

8.7 MONITORING RESULTS

8.7.1 The LFG monitoring was conducted for excavation work in December 2025. The LFG monitoring results are summarized in **Appendix I**.

8.7.2 No exceedance of Limit Levels of LFG was recorded during the Reporting Period.

8.7.3 No effect that arose from the other special phenomena and work progress of the concerned site was noted during the current monitoring month.

9 WASTE MANAGEMENT

9.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

9.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan for the Contract.

9.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

9.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are broadly classified into the following:

- Inert construction & demolition (C&D) Material; and
- Non-inert C&D waste

9.2.2 The Contractor is advised to minimise the wastes generated through recycling or reusing. All mitigation measures stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual and waste management plans shall be fully implemented.

9.2.3 The quantities of waste for disposal of in this Reporting Period are summarized in **Tables 9-1** and **9-2** and they are made reference to the Waste Flow Table provide by the Contractor which shown in **Appendix K**.

Table 9-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

| Type of Waste | Quantity |
|--|----------|
| Total generated C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m ³) | 125.134 |
| Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000m ³) | 17.005 |
| Reused in other Projects (Inert) (in '000m ³) | 108.129 |
| Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000m ³) | 0 |

Table 9-2 Summary of Quantities of Non-inert C&D Wastes

| Type of Waste | Quantity |
|--|-----------|
| Recycled Metals (in kg) | 28243.000 |
| Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packaging (in kg) | 14.900 |
| Recycled Plastics (in kg) | 4.800 |
| Chemical Waste (in liter) | 0 |
| Chemical Waste (in '000kg) | 0 |
| Yard Waste (in tonne) | 10.550 |
| General Refuse (in '000m ³) | 0.220 |

10 SITE INSPECTION

10.1 REQUIREMENTS

10.1.1 According to the updated EM&A Manual, the programme of environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections were carried out to confirm the environmental performance.

10.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

10.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance for the Project were carried out by the representatives of the SM, ET and the Contractor on **4th, 11th, 18th, 24th and 31st December 2025**. In addition, IEC carried out the joint site inspection on **11th December 2025**. No non-compliance was noted.

10.2.2 The findings / deficiencies observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 10-1**.

Table 10-1 Site Inspection and Observations

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 4 th December 2025 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chemical containers should be placed inside drip tray (Portion C1 & Portion A1) • Scattered general refuse should be removed. (Portion C1 & A1) • Good housekeeping should be maintained properly. (Portion A1) • Water spraying should be provided at haul road. (Portion A1) • Proper three sides and a top shelter should be provided for grout mixer and cement storage. (Portion A1) • Sub-marine pump should be provided to divert all site generated water to proper de-silting facilities prior discharge from site. (Portion B9) • It is noted that sediment removal work is carrying out at the nullah at Portion B1a. The Contractor was reminded to complete the sediment removal work by the end of the dry season. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chemical containers were removed. • Refuse was removed. • Housekeeping was improved. • Water spraying was provided. • Proper three sides and top shelter was provided. • A sub-marine pump was provided. • Noted. |
| 11 th December 2025 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heavy smoke emitted from the generator should be fixed. (Portion C1) • Sand cumulated around the drip tray should be cleaned. (Portion C1) • Drip tray should be provided for chemical storage on-site. (Portion D1) • Sand bag or earth bund should be provided to divert the wheel washing water to proper de-silting facilities prior discharge from site. (Portion B9) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The generator was replaced. • Sand was cleaned. • The chemical was removed. • Wheel washing water was diverted to WetSep prior discharge from site. |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper dust mitigation measures should be provided for the haul road and loose materials to reduce dust impact. (General) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dust mitigation measures were provided. |
| 18 th December 2025 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drip tray should be provided for chemical storage on-site. (Portion B10) • Proper chemical label should be displayed properly for chemical storage on-site. (Portion B10) • Stagnant water cumulated on-site should be cleaned. (Portion B10) • Broken acoustic mat wrapped on breaker head should be replaced. (Portion A1) • Three side plus top shelter should be provided for cement mixing area. (Portion A1) • Enhance dust mitigation measure should be provided for the haul road to reduce dust impact. (Portion A1) • All loose materials should be stored inside the shelter area. (Portion F) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drip tray was provided. • Label was displayed. • Stagnant water was cleaned. • Acoustic mat was replaced. • Cement mixing area was removed. • Dust mitigation measure was provided. • Loose materials was stored inside the shelter area. |
| 24 th December 2025 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NRMM label should be displayed properly for NRMM using on-site. (Portion C1) • Stagnant water cumulated inside the u-channel should be cleaned. (Portion C1) • Drip tray should be provided for chemical storage on-site. (Portion A1) • Oil stain leakage on-ground should be cleaned. (Portion B9) • Enhance wheel washing should be provided at site exit to maintain the site exit clean and tidy. (Portion B9, B10) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NRMM was displayed. • Stagnant water should be cleaned. • Drip tray was provided. • Oil stain was cleaned. • Enhance wheel washing was provided. |
| 31 st December 2025 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stagnant water cumulated inside the u-channel should be cleaned. (Portion C1) • All site generated water should be diverted to proper de-silting facilities prior discharge from site. (Portion B9) • Oil stain leakage on-ground should be cleaned. (Portion D1) • Soil and debris cumulated inside the u-channel should be cleaned. (Portion D1) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stagnant water was cleaned. • All site generated water was diverted to proper de-silting facilities prior discharge from site. • Oil stain was cleaned. • Soil and debris cumulated inside the u-channel was cleaned. |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stockpile of bag cement should be covered with tarpaulin when storage on-site. (Portion B10) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stockpile was covered with tarpaulin. |
|--|--|---|

10.2.3 General housekeeping such as site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all works areas. Furthermore, the Contractor was reminded to implement the Waste Management Plan of the Contract.

11 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCES

11.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

11.1.1 There was no environmental complaint, prosecution or notification of summons received in the Reporting Period.

11.1.2 The statistical summary table of the environmental complaints, summons and prosecutions are presented in *Tables 11-1, 11-2 and 11-3*. The complaint log for the Project is presented in *Appendix L*.

Table 11-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

| Reporting Period | | Environmental Aspects | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------|
| | | Air Quality | Noise | Water Quality | Waste | Ecology |
| December 2025 | Complaint Date | - | - | - | - | - |
| | No. of Complaint | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reporting Period Total | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accumulate of Project | | 2 (1*) | 1* | 1* | 0 | 0 |

Remarks: * complaint which is non-project related after investigation

Table 11-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

| Reporting Period | Environmental Summons Statistics | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Frequency | Cumulative | Summons Nature |
| December 2025 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Accumulate of Project | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table 11-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

| Reporting Period | Environmental Prosecution Statistics | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Frequency | Cumulative | Prosecution Nature |
| December 2025 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Accumulate of Project | 0 | 0 | NA |

11.2 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCES

11.2.1 In addition, no emergency events related to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received in the Reporting Period.

12 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

12.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 12.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the EMIS in the EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste etc. and they are summarised presented in *Appendix M*.
- 12.1.2 The works under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by the Contractor and the implementation status are shown in *Appendix M*.

12.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 12.2.1 According to information provided by the Contractor, the construction works under the Project in the next month are listed below:

Portion A1, B1a, B1c & B6

- Soft excavation
- Hard excavation
- Blasting
- DCM works
- 132kV substation construction
- Installation of Monitoring Wells

Portion B10

- Leachate Treatment Works & Landfill Gas Treatment Plant

Portion C1

- Construction of new haul road

Portion B2 & B9

- Box Culvert Construction
- Pilling Works
- DJM Works

Portion D1

- Pipe Laying Works

Portion B5 & D4

- Maintenance Dredging

Portion F

- Rock Crushing

Portion G1

- EPD Office Building Demolition

12.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 12.3.1 Key issues for the coming month include the following:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm / adverse weather;
 - Regular clearance of stagnant water;
 - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;

- Implementation of permanent/temporary drainage system and control measures for the surface runoff;
- Implementation of dust suppression measures for the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
- Implementation of control measures to avoid disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
- Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
- Regular maintenance of sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities;
- Management of chemical wastes;
- Implementation of control measures to avoid discharge of site effluent to the nearby stream;
- Implementation of waste management; and
- Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures.

14 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

14.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 14.1.1 This is the **21st** Monthly EM&A Report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Project for the period from **1st to 31st December 2025**.
- 14.1.2 In this Reporting Period, no 1-hour and 24-hour TSP of air quality monitoring result that triggered the Action or Limit Levels was recorded. No corrective action was required.
- 14.1.3 In this Reporting Period, no noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement result triggered the Limit Level was recorded in this Reporting Month. Moreover, all construction works during restricted hours were followed the CNP requirement. Therefore, no corrective action was issued.
- 14.1.4 In this Reporting Period, no surface water quality monitoring result that triggered the Action or Limit Levels was recorded. No corrective action was required.
- 14.1.5 The LFG monitoring was conducted for excavation work in December 2025. No exceedance of Limit Levels of LFG was recorded during the reporting period. Moreover, baseline LFG monitoring at landfill gas well was carried out in this reporting month.
- 14.1.6 For landscape and visual, implementation of mitigation measures during construction phase of the Project has been monitored through regular site inspection/ audit. During 3rd CLG meeting, Lung Kwu Tan village representative raised an issue about landscape and visual along the northwest boundary in adjacent to Nim Wan Road, the issue had been followed up and replied to the village representative.
- 14.1.7 In the Reporting Period, no environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received. In addition, no emergency events related to violation of environmental legislation for illegal dumping and landfilling were received.
- 14.1.8 In the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance had been carried out by the representatives of the SM, ET and the Contractor. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection. In addition, IEC carried out the joint site inspections on 11th December 2025.

14.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 14.2.1 Water quality mitigation measures shall be fully implemented in accordance with the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures of the updated EM&A Manual.
- 14.2.2 In addition, the Contractor should fully implement the recommended air quality mitigation measures to minimize the impact of construction dust as far as practicable.
- 14.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. In accordance with the EP, a noise bund of 3.5m tall has been constructed along the north eastern seafront of the existing landfill as shown in Figure 2 of the EP prior to the commencement of construction. It is reminded that the noise bund shall be properly maintained during the construction, operation and restoration of the Project.
- 14.2.4 All other mitigation measures recommended in the EMIS of the EM&A Manual should be properly implemented and maintained as far as practicable.

Appendix A

Location Plan of Enhanced Scheme

of WENTX Landfill Extension



- LEGEND**
- WENT LANDFILL EXTENSION (WENTX) BOUNDARY
 - WENTX WASTE BOUNDARY
 - LANDFILL INFRASTRUCTURE FOR WENTX
 - WENT LANDFILL BOUNDARY
 - TREE PLANTING BUFFER

Project title
Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories
Landfill Extension


Drawing title
GENERAL PLAN
OF ENHANCED SCHEME

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Appendix B

Project Organization and the key personal contact

Flow chart showing Line of Communication and Working Relationship



Contact Details of Key Personnel

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| HKRRP | Project Manager | Mr. Victor Wu | 2862 5013 | -- |
| HKRRP | Environmental Manager | Mr. Kenneth Lau | 9315 4944 | -- |
| ANEWR | Independent Environmental Checker | Mr. James Choi | 2618 2831 | 3007 8648 |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | Mr. Tam Tak Wing | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |

Legend:

ANEWR (IEC) – ANewR Consulting Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

HKRRP - (the Contractor) – Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park

Appendix C


3-month Rolling Construction Programme

Construction Programme (Dec 2025 to Mar 2026)
West New Territories Landfill Extension (WENTX)


| Construction Activities | 2025 | 2026 | | |
|--|------|------|-----|-----|
| | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar |
| Eastern Platform - Site Formation | | | | |
| - Soft Excavation | | | | |
| - Rock Excavation | | | | |
| - 132kV Power Substation Works | | | | |
| - Blasting | | | | |
| - Installation of Monitoring Wells | | | | |
| Landfill Waste Filling Area (Phase I) - Site Formation | | | | |
| - Soft Excavation | | | | |
| - Rock Excavation | | | | |
| - Blasting | | | | |
| Crusher and Conveyor Belt System | | | | |
| - Rock Crushing | | | | |
| - Piling Works | | | | |
| Fresh Water Pump House and Fresh Water Pipe Connection | | | | |
| - Pipe Laying Works | | | | |
| - Consturction of Pump House | | | | |
| River Surge Box Culvert Construction | | | | |
| - Box Culvert Construction | | | | |
| Marine Works | | | | |
| - DCM & DJM Work | | | | |
| - Temporary Drainage Diversion | | | | |
| - Maintenance Dredging Work | | | | |
| Major Buildings in B10 (Service Bldg, Filtration Bldg, Bioreactor Bldg) | | | | |
| - BS Works & E&M Installation | | | | |
| - CLP Transformer Installation | | | | |
| - Footing Construction | | | | |
| - Superstructure | | | | |
| Construction of C1 New Access Road | | | | |
| - EPD Office Demolition | | | | |
| - Drainage, Utilities, and Roadwork | | | | |

Appendix D

Monitoring Locations



- LEGEND**
- WENT LANDFILL EXTENSION (WENTX) BOUNDARY
 - WENTX WASTE BOUNDARY
 - WENT LANDFILL BOUNDARY
 - AIR QUALITY MONITORING LOCATIONS



| Station ID | ASR ID |
|------------|--------|
| AM(D)1 | A1-1 |
| AM(D)2 | A1-2 |
| AM(D)3 | A1-3 |
| AM(D)5a | A4-1 |
| AM(D)6a | A3-1 |
| AM(D)7b | A5-2 |


Note:
AM(D) represents monitoring station for construction dust

Consultant

Project title
Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories Landfill Extension

Drawing title
LOCATIONS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

COPYRIGHT RESERVED



- LEGEND**
- WENT LANDFILL EXTENSION (WENTX) BOUNDARY
 - WENTX WASTE BOUNDARY
 - WENT LANDFILL BOUNDARY
 - ▲ NOISE MONITORING LOCATION


Consultant

Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
 West New Territories Landfill
 Extension

Drawing title

NOISE MONITORING LOCATION





LEGEND


- WENT LANDFILL EXTENSION (WENTX) BOUNDARY
- WENTX WASTE BOUNDARY
- WENT LANDFILL BOUNDARY
- SURFACE WATER MONITORING STATION

Consultant

Project title
**Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
 West New Territories
 Landfill Extension**

Drawing title
 SURFACE WATER MONITORING
 STATION

COPYRIGHT RESERVED



Appendix E

Calibration Certificates

Appendix E1

Calibration Certificates for

Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ha Pak Nai | Date of Calibration: 16 Oct 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)1 | Next Calibration Date: 16 Dec 25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1013.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 760.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.6 | Temperature (K) | 303 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> |
| Model-> 5025A | 2.09671 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | Qstd Intercept -> |
| | -0.01852 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 6.10 | 6.10 | 12.2 | 1.662 | 53 | 52.21 | Slope = 28.4320 Intercept = 4.9288 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950 | | |
| 13 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 9.6 | 1.476 | 48 | 47.28 | | | |
| 10 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 7.2 | 1.279 | 42 | 41.37 | | | |
| 7 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 4.6 | 1.024 | 33 | 32.51 | | | |
| 5 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 0.829 | 30 | 29.55 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ha Pak Nai | Date of Calibration: 16 Oct 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)2 | Next Calibration Date: 16 Dec 25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | |
|---|---|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="1013.8"/> | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="760.35"/> |
| Temperature (°C) <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="29.6"/> | Temperature (K) <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="303"/> |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|--|--|
| Make-> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="TISCH"/> | Qstd Slope -> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="2.09671"/> |
| Model-> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="5025A"/> | Qstd Intercept -> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="-0.01852"/> |
| Serial # -> <input style="width: 80px;" type="text" value="4064"/> | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 12.4 | 1.676 | 55 | 54.18 | Slope = 35.7918 Intercept = -5.2681 Corr. coeff. = 0.9982 |
| 13 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.0 | 1.506 | 50 | 49.25 | |
| 10 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 7.6 | 1.314 | 43 | 42.36 | |
| 7 | 2.70 | 2.70 | 5.4 | 1.109 | 34 | 33.49 | |
| 5 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 3.2 | 0.856 | 26 | 25.61 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ha Pak Nai | Date of Calibration: 16 Oct 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)3 | Next Calibration Date: 16 Dec 25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1013.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 760.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.6 | Temperature (K) | 303 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Serial # -> | 4064 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.40 | 6.40 | 12.8 | 1.703 | 56 | 55.16 | Slope = 28.6309 Intercept = 5.7064 Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| 13 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 10.4 | 1.536 | 50 | 49.25 | |
| 10 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 7.6 | 1.314 | 43 | 42.36 | |
| 7 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 5.2 | 1.088 | 38 | 37.43 | |
| 5 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 0.829 | 30 | 29.55 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Lung Kwu Sheung Tan | Date of Calibration: 16 Oct 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)5a | Next Calibration Date: 16 Dec 25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1013.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 760.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.6 | Temperature (K) | 303 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|------------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> TISCH | | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> 5025A | | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 12.4 | 1.676 | 54 | 53.19 | Slope = 27.5502 Intercept = 7.2481 Corr. coeff. = 0.9985 |
| 13 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 8.8 | 1.413 | 47 | 46.30 | |
| 10 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 7.2 | 1.279 | 43 | 42.36 | |
| 7 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 5.0 | 1.067 | 38 | 37.43 | |
| 5 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 0.829 | 30 | 29.55 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Location : Roof Top of T-Park Workshop | Date of Calibration: 16 Oct 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)6a | Next Calibration Date: 16 Dec 25 |
| Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1013.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 760.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.6 | Temperature (K) | 303 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Serial # -> | 4064 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.30 | 6.30 | 12.6 | 1.689 | 54 | 53.19 | Slope = 26.8265 Intercept = 6.4447 Corr. coeff. = 0.9942 |
| 13 | 5.30 | 5.30 | 10.6 | 1.550 | 48 | 47.28 | |
| 10 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 8.4 | 1.381 | 43 | 42.36 | |
| 7 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 5.2 | 1.088 | 36 | 35.46 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.801 | 29 | 28.57 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Site Boundary of West Ash Lagoon | Date of Calibration: 16 Oct 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)7b | Next Calibration Date: 16 Dec 25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1013.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 760.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.6 | Temperature (K) | 303 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Serial # -> | 4064 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.30 | 6.30 | 12.6 | 1.689 | 53 | 52.21 | Slope = 26.5737 Intercept = 6.9810 Corr. coeff. = 0.9966 |
| 13 | 5.10 | 5.10 | 10.2 | 1.521 | 48 | 47.28 | |
| 10 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 8.2 | 1.364 | 43 | 42.36 | |
| 7 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 5.0 | 1.067 | 37 | 36.45 | |
| 5 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 0.829 | 29 | 28.57 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Vstd = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) | | |
| Qstd = Vstd/ΔTime | Qa = Va/ΔTime | | |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | | Qa = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ha Pak Nai | Date of Calibration: 16 Dec 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)1 | Next Calibration Date: 16 Feb 26 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019.7 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.775 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.1 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13603 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04992 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.10 | 6.10 | 12.2 | 1.677 | 52 | 53.04 | Slope = 28.7237 Intercept = 4.8063 Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| 13 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 9.6 | 1.491 | 47 | 47.94 | |
| 10 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 7.2 | 1.294 | 41 | 41.82 | |
| 7 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 4.6 | 1.039 | 33 | 33.66 | |
| 5 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 3.2 | 0.870 | 30 | 30.60 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ha Pak Nai | Date of Calibration: 16 Dec 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)2 | Next Calibration Date: 16 Feb 26 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019.7 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.775 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.1 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|------------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> TISCH | | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13603 |
| Model-> 5025A | | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04992 |
| Serial # -> 1941 | | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 12.4 | 1.691 | 54 | 55.07 | Slope = 35.7870 Intercept = -4.9762 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971 |
| 13 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.0 | 1.521 | 49 | 49.98 | |
| 10 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 7.4 | 1.312 | 42 | 42.84 | |
| 7 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 5.6 | 1.144 | 34 | 34.68 | |
| 5 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 3.2 | 0.870 | 26 | 26.52 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ha Pak Nai | Date of Calibration: 16 Dec 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)3 | Next Calibration Date: 16 Feb 26 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019.7 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.775 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.1 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | |
|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13603 |
| Model-> 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04992 |
| Serial # -> 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.40 | 6.40 | 12.8 | 1.718 | 55 | 56.09 | Slope = 28.4148 Intercept = 6.9142 Corr. coeff. = 0.9972 |
| 13 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 10.4 | 1.550 | 49 | 49.98 | |
| 10 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 7.6 | 1.329 | 44 | 44.88 | |
| 7 | 2.70 | 2.70 | 5.4 | 1.124 | 39 | 39.78 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.816 | 29 | 29.58 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Lung Kwu Sheung Tan | Date of Calibration: 16 Dec 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)5a | Next Calibration Date: 16 Feb 26 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019.7 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.775 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.1 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | |
|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13603 |
| Model-> 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04992 |
| Serial # -> 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 12.4 | 1.691 | 53 | 54.06 | Slope = 27.4612 Intercept = 8.0015 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971 |
| 13 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 9.2 | 1.460 | 47 | 47.94 | |
| 10 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 7.2 | 1.294 | 43 | 43.86 | |
| 7 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 5.0 | 1.082 | 38 | 38.76 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.816 | 29 | 29.58 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Location : Roof Top of T-Park Workshop | Date of Calibration: 16 Dec 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)6a | Next Calibration Date: 16 Feb 26 |
| Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019.7 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.775 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.1 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13603 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04992 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 12.4 | 1.691 | 54 | 55.07 | Slope = 27.7616 Intercept = 7.0566 Corr. coeff. = 0.9956 |
| 13 | 5.30 | 5.30 | 10.6 | 1.565 | 49 | 49.98 | |
| 10 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 8.2 | 1.379 | 44 | 44.88 | |
| 7 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 5.2 | 1.103 | 36 | 36.72 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.816 | 30 | 30.60 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Site Boundary of West Ash Lagoon | Date of Calibration: 16 Dec 25 |
| Location ID : AM(D)7b | Next Calibration Date: 16 Feb 26 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Dicky Chan |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019.7 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.775 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.1 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13603 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.04992 |
| Serial # -> | 1941 | | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.30 | 6.30 | 12.6 | 1.704 | 52 | 53.04 | Slope = 26.9192 Intercept = 6.9888 Corr. coeff. = 0.9972 |
| 13 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.0 | 1.521 | 47 | 47.94 | |
| 10 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 8.0 | 1.363 | 42 | 42.84 | |
| 7 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 5.2 | 1.103 | 37 | 37.74 | |
| 5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 2.8 | 0.816 | 28 | 28.56 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 8, 2025 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 294 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 755.7 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4570 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0380 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9290 | 7.9 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8850 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7320 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|--|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) | |
| 1.0035 | 0.6888 | 1.4197 | 0.9958 | 0.6834 | 0.8821 | |
| 0.9993 | 0.9627 | 2.0078 | 0.9915 | 0.9552 | 1.2475 | |
| 0.9973 | 1.0735 | 2.2448 | 0.9895 | 1.0652 | 1.3948 | |
| 0.9961 | 1.1255 | 2.3543 | 0.9884 | 1.1168 | 1.4628 | |
| 0.9907 | 1.3535 | 2.8394 | 0.9831 | 1.3430 | 1.7642 | |
| QSTD | m= | 2.13603 | QA | m= | 1.33754 | |
| | b= | -0.04992 | | b= | -0.03102 | |
| | r= | 1.00000 | | r= | 1.00000 | |

| Calculations | | | |
|--|---|-----|--|
| Vstd= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= | Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= | Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2512469 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 21-MAR-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 1-APR-2025 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2512469
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2512469-001 | S/N: 456660 | AIR | 21-Mar-2025 | S/N: 456660 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 456660
 Equipment Ref: EQ117

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 12 February 2025

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 11 March 2025

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 11-Mar-25 | 2hr00mins | 11:00 ~ 13:00 | 22.0 | 1016.6 | 59.7 | 3225 | 26.9 |
| 11-Mar-25 | 2hr09mins | 13:07 ~ 13:16 | 22.0 | 1016.6 | 59.0 | 3897 | 30.2 |
| 11-Mar-25 | 2hr00mins | 15:17 ~ 17:17 | 22.0 | 1018.8 | 67.7 | 3996 | 33.3 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 612 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 609 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.0381 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9948

Date of Issue 18 March 2025



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 2.0381 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Jeff Ip Signature : [Signature] Date : 18 March 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 18 March 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 12-Feb-25
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 Next Calibration Date: 12-May-25

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1017.2 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 762.9 |
| Temperature (°C) | 18.8 | Temperature (K) | 292 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 11.2 | 1.625 | 55 | 55.69 | Slope = 35.3445 Intercept = -2.1779 Corr. coeff. = 0.9989 |
| 13 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 1.458 | 48 | 48.60 | |
| 10 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 1.268 | 42 | 42.52 | |
| 8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.045 | 35 | 35.44 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.757 | 24 | 24.30 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 °K | |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 mm Hg | |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Vstd = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) | | |
| Qstd = Vstd/ΔTime | Qa = Va/ΔTime | | |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | | Qa = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2512470 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 21-MAR-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 1-APR-2025 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

WORK ORDER : HK2512470
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2512470-001 | S/N: 456662 | AIR | 21-Mar-2025 | S/N: 456662 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 456662
 Equipment Ref: EQ118

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 12 February 2025

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 11 March 2025

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 11-Mar-25 | 2hr00mins | 11:00 ~ 13:00 | 22.0 | 1016.6 | 59.7 | 3624 | 30.2 |
| 11-Mar-25 | 2hr09mins | 13:07 ~ 13:16 | 22.0 | 1016.6 | 59.0 | 3685 | 28.6 |
| 11-Mar-25 | 2hr00mins | 15:17 ~ 17:17 | 22.0 | 1018.8 | 67.7 | 3895 | 32.5 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 591 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 589 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.0469 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9989

Date of Issue 18 March 2025



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 2.0469 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Jeff Ip Signature : [Signature] Date : 18 March 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 18 March 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 12-Feb-25
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 Next Calibration Date: 12-May-25

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1017.2 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 762.9 |
| Temperature (°C) | 18.8 | Temperature (K) | 292 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| 18 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 11.2 | 1.625 | 55 | 55.69 | Slope = 35.3445 Intercept = -2.1779 Corr. coeff. = 0.9989 |
| 13 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 1.458 | 48 | 48.60 | |
| 10 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 1.268 | 42 | 42.52 | |
| 8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.045 | 35 | 35.44 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.757 | 24 | 24.30 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Vstd = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) | | |
| Qstd = Vstd/ΔTime | Qa = Va/ΔTime | | |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | | Qa = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2547528 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 4-NOV-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 10-NOV-2025 |
| PROJECT | : Not Specified | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2547528
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : Not Specified



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2547528-001 | S/N: 5202337003 | AIR | 23-Oct-2025 | S/N: 5202337003 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: TSI AM520
 Serial No. 5202337003
 Equipment Ref: EQ119
 Job Order NA

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 15 August 2025


Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 23 October 2025

| Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Calibrated Equipment) | Tolerance (ug/m ³) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 2hr00min | 09:30 ~ 11:30 | 20.8 | 1017.2 | 20.9 | 22.0 | +1.1 |
| 2hr00min | 11:35 ~ 13:35 | 20.8 | 1017.2 | 23.3 | 24.0 | +0.7 |
| 2hr00min | 13:48 ~ 15:48 | 20.8 | 1017.2 | 25.9 | 23.0 | -2.9 |

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.0175 (ug/m³)/CPM
 Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9884
 Date of Issue 28 October 2025



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 1.0175 (ug/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Jeff Ip Signature : [Signature] Date : 28 October 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 28 October 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 15-Aug-25
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 Next Calibration Date: 15-Nov-25

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1008.9 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 756.675 |
| Temperature (°C) | 27.5 | Temperature (K) | 301 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 16.2 | 1.916 | 45 | 44.71 | Slope = 32.3575 Intercept = -16.8348 Corr. coeff. = 0.9996 | | |
| 13 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 13.4 | 1.744 | 40 | 39.75 | | | |
| 10 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 1.609 | 36 | 35.77 | | | |
| 8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 1.263 | 24 | 23.85 | | | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.830 | 10 | 9.94 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|--|---|-----|--|
| Vstd= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= | Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= | Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2540548 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 17-SEP-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 22-SEP-2025 |
| PROJECT | : Not Specified | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
 - No sample is received in this Work Order. The report presents non-laboratory testing data only.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2540548
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : Not Specified



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2540548-001 | S/N: 467389 | AIR | 17-Sep-2025 | S/N: 467389 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-5R
Serial No. 467389
Equipment Ref: EQ125

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID: Ha Pak Nai
Equipment Ref: HVS 023
Last Calibration Date: 16 August 2025

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2025

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 11:18 ~ 12:18 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 90.9 | 4773 | 79.6 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 12:23 ~ 13:23 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 49.7 | 2326 | 38.8 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 13:28 ~ 14:28 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 128.5 | 5868 | 97.8 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 704 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 705 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2561 (µg/m³)/CPM


Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9938

Date of Issue 10 September 2025

Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 1.2561 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Gary Ng Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai Date of Calibration: 16-Aug-25
 Location ID : AM(D)3 Next Calibration Date: 16-Oct-25
 Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1008.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 756.375 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.3 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.699 | 54 | 53.10 | Slope = 26.8673 Intercept = 7.0086 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983 |
| 13 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 1.532 | 49 | 48.19 | |
| 10 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1.311 | 42 | 41.30 | |
| 8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.086 | 37 | 36.39 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.827 | 30 | 29.50 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|--|---|-----|--|
| Vstd= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= | Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= | Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2540549 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 17-SEP-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 22-SEP-2025 |
| PROJECT | : Not Specified | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - No sample is received in this Work Order. The report presents non-laboratory testing data only.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2540549
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : Not Specified



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2540549-001 | S/N: 467390 | AIR | 17-Sep-2025 | S/N: 467390 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-5R
Serial No. 467390
Equipment Ref: EQ126

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location & Location ID: Ha Pak Nai
Equipment Ref: HVS 023
Last Calibration Date: 16 August 2025

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2025

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 11:18 ~ 12:18 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 90.9 | 4612 | 76.9 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 12:23 ~ 13:23 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 49.7 | 2582 | 43.0 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 13:28 ~ 14:28 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 128.5 | 5886 | 98.1 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 613 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 612 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2837 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9962

Date of Issue 10 September 2025



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 1.2837 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Gary Ng Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai Date of Calibration: 16-Aug-25
 Location ID : AM(D)3 Next Calibration Date: 16-Oct-25
 Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1008.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 756.375 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.3 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.699 | 54 | 53.10 | Slope = 26.8673 Intercept = 7.0086 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983 |
| 13 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 1.532 | 49 | 48.19 | |
| 10 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1.311 | 42 | 41.30 | |
| 8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.086 | 37 | 36.39 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.827 | 30 | 29.50 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Vstd = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) | | |
| Qstd = Vstd/ΔTime | Qa = Va/ΔTime | | |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | | Qa = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2540550 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 17-SEP-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 22-SEP-2025 |
| PROJECT | : Not Specified | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
- No sample is received in this Work Order. The report presents non-laboratory testing data only.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2540550
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : Not Specified



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2540550-001 | S/N: 467391 | AIR | 17-Sep-2025 | S/N: 467391 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-5R
 Serial No. 467391
 Equipment Ref: EQ127

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: Ha Pak Nai
 Equipment Ref: HVS 023
 Last Calibration Date: 16 August 2025

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2025

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 11:18 ~ 12:18 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 90.9 | 4540 | 75.7 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 12:23 ~ 13:23 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 49.7 | 2230 | 37.2 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 13:28 ~ 14:28 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 128.5 | 5990 | 99.8 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 665 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 665 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2564 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9981

Date of Issue 10 September 2025



Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ($R > 0.8$)
- Factor 1.2564 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Gary Ng Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai Date of Calibration: 16-Aug-25
 Location ID : AM(D)3 Next Calibration Date: 16-Oct-25
 Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1008.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 756.375 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.3 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.699 | 54 | 53.10 | Slope = 26.8673 Intercept = 7.0086 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983 |
| 13 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 1.532 | 49 | 48.19 | |
| 10 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1.311 | 42 | 41.30 | |
| 8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.086 | 37 | 36.39 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.827 | 30 | 29.50 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Vstd = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) | Va = ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa) | | |
| Qstd = Vstd/ΔTime | Qa = Va/ΔTime | | |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | | Qa = 1/m $\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2540542 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 17-SEP-2025 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 22-SEP-2025 |
| PROJECT | : Not Specified | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : --- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
 - No sample is received in this Work Order. The report presents non-laboratory testing data only.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F. Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2540542
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : Not Specified



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2540542-001 | S/N: 467392 | AIR | 17-Sep-2025 | S/N: 467392 |

----- END OF REPORT -----

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-5R
 Serial No. 467392
 Equipment Ref: EQ128

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: Ha Pak Nai
 Equipment Ref: HVS 023
 Last Calibration Date: 16 August 2025

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 3 September 2025

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 11:18 ~ 12:18 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 90.9 | 4752 | 79.2 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 12:23 ~ 13:23 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 49.7 | 2453 | 40.9 |
| 3-Sep-25 | 1hr00min | 13:28 ~ 14:28 | 30.5 | 1008.2 | 128.5 | 6065 | 101.1 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 715 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 714 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.2371(μg/m³)/CPM


Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9968

Date of Issue 10 September 2025

Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
- Factor 1.2371(μg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Gary Ng Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 10 September 2025

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ha Pak Nai Date of Calibration: 16-Aug-25
 Location ID : AM(D)3 Next Calibration Date: 16-Oct-25
 Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1008.5 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 756.375 |
| Temperature (°C) | 29.3 | Temperature (K) | 302 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.09671 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01852 |
| Calibration Date-> | 16-Dec-24 | Expiry Date-> | 16-Dec-25 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.699 | 54 | 53.10 | Slope = 26.8673 Intercept = 7.0086 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983 |
| 13 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 1.532 | 49 | 48.19 | |
| 10 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1.311 | 42 | 41.30 | |
| 8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.086 | 37 | 36.39 | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.827 | 30 | 29.50 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 16, 2024 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 293 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 749.0 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 4064 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4600 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0300 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9220 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8770 | 8.8 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7250 | 12.8 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|---|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9981 | 0.6836 | 1.4159 | 0.9957 | 0.6820 | 0.8845 |
| 0.9938 | 0.9649 | 2.0024 | 0.9915 | 0.9626 | 1.2509 |
| 0.9917 | 1.0756 | 2.2388 | 0.9893 | 1.0730 | 1.3985 |
| 0.9906 | 1.1296 | 2.3480 | 0.9883 | 1.1269 | 1.4668 |
| 0.9853 | 1.3590 | 2.8318 | 0.9829 | 1.3557 | 1.7690 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.09671 | QA | m= | 1.31292 |
| | b= | -0.01852 | | b= | -0.01157 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | | | |
|--|---|-----|--|
| Vstd= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$ | Va= | $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$ |
| Qstd= | Vstd/ΔTime | Qa= | Va/ΔTime |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | | | |
| Qstd= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= | $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---|-----------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) | |
| ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) | |
| Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) | |
| Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) | |
| b: intercept | |
| m: slope | |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |

Appendix E2

Calibration Certificates for

Noise Monitoring Equipment



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C252625
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC25-0908) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 7 November 2025

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ009)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 2238
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285722
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 3)°C Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (50 ± 25)%
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 18 November 2025


TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed specified limits.
These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- Hottinger Brüel & Kjær Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Thurlby Thandar Instruments Ltd., UK

Tested By : 
測試 : _____
C K Lo
Project Engineer

Certified By : 
核證 : _____
K C Lee
Senior Engineer

Date of Issue : 19 November 2025
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C252625
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 1 hour, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| CL458 | Dual Function / Arb / Pulse Generator | 3001978 |
| CL461 | Sound Calibrator | CDK2502138 |

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 52 - 132 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 92.4 |

6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 54 - 134 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | ± 0.7 |

6.1.2 Linearity

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 54 - 134 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.0 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 113.9 |

IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C252625
證書編號

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 54 - 134 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | L _{ASP} | | S | | | 94.0 | ± 0.1 |
| | L _{AIP} | | I | | | 94.1 | ± 0.1 |

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Burst Duration | | |
| 34 - 114 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 106.0 | Continuous | 106.0 | Ref. |
| | L _{AFMax} | | | | 200 ms | 105.0 | -1.0 ± 1.0 |
| | L _{ASP} | S | Continuous | | 106.0 | Ref. | |
| | L _{ASMax} | | 500 ms | | 102.0 | -4.1 ± 1.0 | |

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 54 - 134 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 54.7 | -39.4 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 63 Hz | 68.0 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.8 | -16.1 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.3 | -8.6 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.8 | -3.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.2 | +1.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 94.9 | +1.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 92.8 | -1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0) |
| 12.5 kHz | 89.7 | -4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0) | | | | | |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C252625
證書編號

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 54 - 134 | L _{CFP} | C | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 90.9 | -3.0 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 63 Hz | 93.2 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.1 | -0.8 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 90.9 | -3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 87.8 | -6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

6.4 Time Averaging

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | | | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60804 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Integrating Time | Freq. (kHz) | Burst Duration (ms) | Burst Duty Factor | Burst Level (dB) | Equivalent Level (dB) | | |
| 34 - 114 | L _{Aeq} | A | 10 sec. | 4 | 1 | 1/10 | 110.0 | 100 | 99.8 | ± 0.5 |
| | | | | | | | | 90 | 90.0 | ± 0.5 |
| | | | 60 sec. | | | | | 80 | 79.6 | ± 1.0 |
| | | | 5 min. | | | | | 70 | 69.6 | ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | | 1/10 ² | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1/10 ³ | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1/10 ⁴ | | | | |

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812706

- Mfr's Limit : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

| | | |
|--------|------------------------|---|
| 94 dB | 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| | 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| | 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| | 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| | 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB | 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| | Burst equivalent level | : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level) |

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. New Creation Calibration Service Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

for

Description: *Sound Level Meter*
Manufacturer: *RION*
Type No.: *NL-52 (Serial No.: 00921191)*
Microphone: *RION UC-59 (Serial No.: 12910)*
Preamplifier: *NH-25 (Serial No.: 32609)*

Submitted by:

Customer: *Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*
Address: *Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building*
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung,
New Territories, Hong Kong

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (31.5Hz – 8kHz)

Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 16 December 2024

Date of calibration: 20 December 2024

Date of NEXT calibration: 19 December 2025

Calibrated by: _____
Calibration Technician

Certified by: _____
Mr. Ng Yan Wa
Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: 20 December 2024



Certificate No.: APJ24-111-CC001

Page 1 of 4

1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature: 23.3 °C
 Air Pressure: 1005 hPa
 Relative Humidity: 25.1 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

| | Type | Serial No. | Calibration Report Number | Traceable to |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Multifunction Calibrator | B&K 4226 | 2288467 | AV240081 | HOKLAS |

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

| Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT) | | | | Applied value | | UUT Reading, dB | IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Range, dB | Freq. Weighting | Time Weighting | Level, dB | Frequency, Hz | | | |
| 30-130 | dBA SPL | Fast | 94 | 1000 | 94.0 | ±0.4 | |

Linearity

| Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT) | | | | Applied value | | UUT Reading, dB | IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Range, dB | Freq. Weighting | Time Weighting | Level, dB | Frequency, Hz | | | |
| 30-130 | dBA SPL | Fast | 94 | 1000 | 94.0 | Ref | |
| | | | 104 | | 104.0 | ±0.3 | |
| | | | 114 | | 114.0 | ±0.3 | |

Time Weighting

| Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT) | | | | Applied value | | UUT Reading, dB | IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Range, dB | Freq. Weighting | Time Weighting | Level, dB | Frequency, Hz | | | |
| 30-130 | dBA SPL | Fast | 94 | 1000 | 94.0 | Ref | |
| | | Slow | | | 94.0 | ±0.3 | |

Frequency Response

Linear Response

| Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT) | | | Applied value | | UUT Reading, dB | IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--|------|
| Range, dB | Freq. Weighting | Time Weighting | Level, dB | Frequency, Hz | | | |
| 30-130 | dB | SPL | Fast | 94 | 31.5 | 94.0 | ±2.0 |
| | | | | | 63 | 94.2 | ±1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 | 94.1 | ±1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 | 94.1 | ±1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 | 94.1 | ±1.4 |
| | | | | | 1000 | 94.0 | Ref |
| | | | | | 2000 | 93.6 | ±1.6 |
| | | | | | 4000 | 92.8 | ±1.6 |
| | | | | 8000 | 91.0 | +2.1; -3.1 | |

A-weighting

| Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT) | | | Applied value | | UUT Reading, dB | IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--|-----------|
| Range, dB | Freq. Weighting | Time Weighting | Level, dB | Frequency, Hz | | | |
| 30-130 | dBA | SPL | Fast | 94 | 31.5 | 54.7 | -39.4±2.0 |
| | | | | | 63 | 68.0 | -26.2±1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 | 78.0 | -16.1±1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 | 85.4 | -8.6±1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 | 90.8 | -3.2±1.4 |
| | | | | | 1000 | 94.0 | Ref |
| | | | | | 2000 | 94.8 | +1.2±1.6 |
| | | | | | 4000 | 93.8 | +1.0±1.6 |
| | | | | 8000 | 90.1 | -1.1±2.1; -3.1 | |

C-weighting

| Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT) | | | Applied value | | UUT Reading, dB | IEC 61672 Class 1 Specification, dB | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--|----------|
| Range, dB | Freq. Weighting | Time Weighting | Level, dB | Frequency, Hz | | | |
| 30-130 | dBC | SPL | Fast | 94 | 31.5 | 91.0 | -3.0±2.0 |
| | | | | | 63 | 93.3 | -0.8±1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 | 93.9 | -0.2±1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 | 94.1 | -0.0±1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 | 94.1 | -0.0±1.4 |
| | | | | | 1000 | 94.0 | Ref |
| | | | | | 2000 | 93.5 | -0.2±1.6 |
| | | | | | 4000 | 92.0 | -0.8±1.6 |
| | | | | 8000 | 88.1 | -3.0±2.1; -3.1 | |



5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

| | | |
|--------|---------|--------|
| 94 dB | 31.5 Hz | ± 0.15 |
| | 63 Hz | ± 0.10 |
| | 125 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| | 250 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| | 500 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| | 1000 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| | 2000 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| | 4000 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| | 8000 Hz | ± 0.10 |
| 104 dB | 1000 Hz | ± 0.05 |
| 114 dB | 1000 Hz | ± 0.05 |

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate of Calibration

for

Description: *Sound Level Calibrator*
Manufacturer: *RION*
Type No.: *NC-75*
Serial No.: *34680623*

Submitted by:

Customer: *Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*
Address: *Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building*
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung,
New Territories, Hong Kong

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within

Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipments used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 23 April 2025

Date of calibration: 28 April 2025

Date of NEXT calibration: 27 April 2026

Calibrated by: 
Calibration Technician

Certified by: 
Mr. Ng Yan Wa
Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: 28 April 2025

Certificate No.: APJ25-008-CC005



Page 1 of 2

1. Calibration Precautions:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Specifications:

Calibration check

3. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature: 23.2 °C
Air Pressure: 1006 hPa
Relative Humidity: 50.8 %

4. Calibration Equipment:

| Test Equipment | Type | Serial No. | Calibration Report Number | Traceable to |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Multifunction Calibrator | B&K 4226 | 2288467 | AV240081 | HOKLAS |
| Sound Level Meter | RION NA-28 | 30721812 | AV240109 | HOKLAS |

5. Calibration Results

5.1 Sound Pressure Level

| Nominal value dB | Accept lower level dB | Accept upper level dB | Measured value dB |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 94.0 | 93.6 | 94.4 | 94.0 |

6. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 60942 Class 1.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration.



Appendix E3

Calibration Certificates for

Water Quality Monitoring Equipment



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR BEN TAM
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES &
ADDRESS: RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.

WORK ORDER: HK2550547
SUB-BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 20-Nov-2025
DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Dec-2025

GENERAL COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]

Serial No./ Equipment No.: [25K101552/17B102764]/ [EQW019]

Date of Calibration: 25-November-2025

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris
Assistant Manager - Inorganics

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2550547
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Dec-2025
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [25K101552/17B102764]/ [EQW019]
Date of Calibration: 25-November-2025 Date of Next Calibration: 25-February-2026

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2510B

| Expected Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Displayed Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Tolerance (%) |
|--|---|---------------|
| 146.9 | 158.2 | +7.7 |
| 6667 | 6736 | +1.0 |
| 12890 | 13726 | +6.5 |
| 58670 | 59469 | +1.4 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ± 10.0 |

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500O: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 2.85 | 2.84 | -0.01 |
| 4.88 | 4.98 | +0.10 |
| 7.56 | 7.58 | +0.02 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ± 0.20 |

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 3.95 | -0.05 |
| 7.0 | 7.09 | +0.09 |
| 10.0 | 10.04 | +0.04 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ± 0.20 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris
Assistant Manager - Inorganics

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2550547
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Dec-2025
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [25K101552/17B102764]/ [EQW019]
Date of Calibration: 25-November-2025 Date of Next Calibration: 25-February-2026

PARAMETERS:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Displayed Reading (NTU) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.84 | -- |
| 4 | 4.24 | +6.0 |
| 40 | 40.31 | +0.8 |
| 80 | 81.32 | +1.6 |
| 400 | 402.49 | +0.6 |
| 800 | 813.56 | +1.7 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Salinity

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.00 | -- |
| 10 | 10.34 | +3.4 |
| 20 | 21.01 | +5.1 |
| 30 | 32.20 | +7.3 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris
Assistant Manager - Inorganics

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2550547
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Dec-2025
CLIENT: ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [Professional DSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [25K101552/17B102764]/ [EQW019]
Date of Calibration: 25-November-2025 Date of Next Calibration: 25-February-2026

PARAMETERS:

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 10.0 | 11.1 | +1.1 |
| 22.5 | 22.7 | +0.2 |
| 41.0 | 40.3 | -0.7 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris
Assistant Manager - Inorganics



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044

F: +852 2610 2021

www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR BEN TAM
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING
ADDRESS: UNIT A ,20/F., GOLD KING INDUSTRIAL BUILDING,
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,
KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK2508901

SUB-BATCH: 0

LABORATORY: HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: 12-Feb-2025

DATE OF ISSUE: 06-Mar-2025

GENERAL COMMENTS

The calibration of flow rate performed by AUES staff on 07 February 2025.

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

Equipment Type: Current Meter
Service Nature: Performance Check
Scope: Current
Brand Name/ Model No.: [Valeport] / [Model 106]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [60011] / [N/A]
Date of Calibration: 07 February, 2025


Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard
Managing Director, Life Sciences
Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK2508901
Sub-batch: 0
Date of Issue: 06-Mar-2025
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Reference Equipment:

Flow Rate Model: SonTek IQ Standard
Serial Number : IQ1217004
Direction Model: Magnetic Compass

Equipment to be calibrated:

Equipment Type: Current Meter
Brand Name/ Model No: [Valeport] / [Model 106]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [60011] / [N/A]
Date of Calibration: 07 February, 2025


Parameters: The calibration of current meter is verified with standard flow meter and magnetic compass on site by AUES Staff.

Flow rate

| Trial | Reading of Reference Equipment (m/s) | Reading of Equipment to be calibrated (m/s) |
|-------|--|---|
| | SonTek IQ Standard Serial No: IQ1217004 | Valeport Model 106 Serial No. 60011 |
| 1 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| 2 | 0.36 | 0.35 |
| 3 | 0.54 | 0.53 |
| 4 | 0.77 | 0.76 |
| 5 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| 6 | 1.06 | 1.05 |

Direction

| Trial | Reading of Reference Equipment (Degree) | Reading of Equipment to be calibrated (Degree) |
|-------|---|--|
| | Magnetic Compass | Valeport Model 106 Serial No. 60011 |
| 1 | 045 | 0.45 |
| 2 | 090 | 091 |
| 3 | 135 | 136 |
| 4 | 180 | 182 |
| 5 | 270 | 271 |
| 6 | 355 | 356 |


Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard
Managing Director, Life Sciences
Hong Kong



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

*is accredited by the Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) to ISO/IEC 17025:2017
for performing specific laboratory activities as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of*
獲香港認可處根據ISO/IEC 17025:2017認可
進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定實驗所活動

Environmental Testing
環境測試

*This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and
the implementation of a management system relevant to laboratory operation
(see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).*
此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範疇內所須的技術能力並
實施一套與實驗所運作相關的管理體系
(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of HKAS is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

SHUM Wai-leung, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 沈偉良
Issue Date : 28 February 2020
簽發日期：二零二零年二月二十八日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS 066**
註冊號碼：



Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日

Appendix E4

Calibration Certificates for

LFG Monitoring Equipment



Calibration Certificate

Customer: Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park

Calibration Date : 20/3/2025

Address: CES 屯門曾咀路

Certificate Ref: GDR02787

新界西堆填區

Tel: /

Fax: /

Attn: /

Product Name with Model No.: SKY3000-R5

FirmWare version: V1.2.40

Serial No.: 02100C44A2004

ensor Configurations: LEL / H2S / CO / O2 / CO2

| Type of Sensor | Serial No.: | State: |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Combustible (LEL) Sensor | / | Enable |
| Methane (CH4) Sensor | / | Enable |
| Hydrogen sulfide (H2S) Sensor | / | Enable |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) Sensor | / | Enable |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Sensor | / | Enable |
| Oxygen (O2) Sensor | / | Enable |

| Type of Sensor | Span Calibration | Alarm Setting | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------|------|-----|
| | | High | Low | STEL | TWA |
| Combustible (LEL) [%] | 50 | 10 | 5 | / | / |
| Methane (CH4) Sensor [%] | 50 | 10 | 5 | / | / |
| Hydrogen sulfide (H2S) [ppm] | 25 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 10 |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) [ppm] | 100 | 75 | 37 | 75 | 25 |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO2) [ppm] | 5000 | 2000 | 1500 | / | / |
| Oxygen (O2) [%] | 18 | 22 | 19.5 | / | / |

| Inspection Items | Visual Inspection | Functional Test |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Basic Unit - Case & Display etc. | Pass | Pass |
| Battery and Charge etc. | Pass | Pass |
| Motorized Pump | Pass | Pass |
| Audible Alarm and Visual Alarm | Pass | Pass |

Gas Detector next annual check due date: 19/3/2026

Asia Pacific Industrial Safety Equipment
SAFEGAS Authorized Service Centre



Mr. Jason Wong
Sales & Services Department

CERTIFICATION OF CALIBRATION



No. 66916



Date Of Calibration: 15-Oct-2024

Certificate Number: G510487_9/36810

Issued by: QED Environmental Systems Inc.

Customer: CMH LIMITED
UNIT 3 WINSTON BUSINESS CENTRE 43 CHARTWELL ROAD LANCING, BN15 8TU
GB

Description:

Model: GEM5000
Serial Number: G510487

Accredited Results:

Methane (CH4)

| Certified Gas (%) | Instrument Reading (%) | Uncertainty (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 5.0 | 4.9 | 0.42 |
| 15.0 | 15.0 | 0.66 |
| 60.0 | 59.9 | 1.03 |

Carbon Dioxide (CO2)

| Certified Gas (%) | Instrument Reading (%) | Uncertainty (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.43 |
| 15.0 | 15.0 | 0.71 |
| 39.9 | 40.0 | 1.19 |

Oxygen (O2)

| Certified Gas (%) | Instrument Reading (%) | Uncertainty (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 21.6 | 21.7 | 0.25 |

Gas cylinders are traceable and details can be provided if requested.

CH4, CO2 readings recorded at: 33.7 °C/92.7 °F
O2 readings recorded at: 22.1 °C/71.8 °F

Barometric Pressure: 0986 mbar/29.12 "Hg

Method of Test : The analyzer is calibrated in a temperature controlled chamber using a series of reference gases, in compliance with procedure ISP17.

Instrument has passed calibration as the measurement result is within the specification limit. The specification limit takes into account the measurement uncertainty.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with NIST requirements.

The calibration results published in this certificate were obtained using equipment capable of producing results that are traceable through NIST to the International System of Units (SI). Certification only applies to results shown. This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

CERTIFICATION OF CALIBRATION



No. 66916



Date Of Calibration: 15-Oct-2024

Certificate Number: G510487_9/36810

Issued by: QED Environmental Systems Inc.

Non Accredited results:

| Pressure Transducers (inches of water column) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|----------|
| Transducer | Certified (Low) | Reading (Low) | Certified (High) | Reading (High) | Accuracy |
| Static | 0" | 0" | 40" | 39.75" | 2.0" |
| Differential | 0" | 0" | 4" | 3.87" | 0.7" |

| Barometer (mbar) | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Reference | Instrument Reading |
| 0986 mbar / 29.12 "Hg | 0986 mbar / 29.12 "Hg |

Date of Issue : 16 Oct 2024

Approved By Signatory

Linda Ostrowski
Laboratory Inspection

The calibration results published in this certificate were obtained using equipment capable of producing results that are traceable through NIST to the International System of Units (SI). Certification only applies to results shown. This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

Calibration Instance: 118

IGC Instance: N/A

Page 3 of 3 | LP015LNNANIST-1.1

www.qedenv.com (800) 624-2026 info@qedenv.com

QED Environmental Systems Inc. 2355 Bishop Circle West, Dexter, MI 48130

Appendix F
Meteorological Data

| Date | Weather | Total Rainfall (mm) | Lau Fau Shan Station | | | | |
|-----------|---------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------|
| | | | Mean Air Temperature (°C) | Wind Speed (km/h) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Wind Direction | |
| 1-Dec-25 | Mon | Mainly cloudy. Sunny intervals. | 1.3 | 21.8 | 8.7 | 88 | N |
| 2-Dec-25 | Tue | One or two rain. Moderate easterly winds. | Trace | 24.1 | 14.2 | 73.7 | E |
| 3-Dec-25 | Wed | Mainly cloudy. Sunny intervals. | 2 | 21.3 | 16.9 | 69.2 | E/NE |
| 4-Dec-25 | Thu | Mainly fine. One or two light rain | 0 | 19.9 | 14.2 | 66.2 | E/NE |
| 5-Dec-25 | Fri | Moderate to fresh easterly winds | 0 | 20.2 | 10.7 | 75.5 | E |
| 6-Dec-25 | Sat | Mainly cloudy. Sunny intervals. | 0 | 19.9 | 12.5 | 76 | E |
| 7-Dec-25 | Sun | Moderate to fresh easterly winds | 0 | 20.5 | 8 | 67.2 | N |
| 8-Dec-25 | Mon | Moderate east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 22.1 | 12.5 | 63 | E/NE |
| 9-Dec-25 | Tue | Mainly fine. One or two light rain | 0 | 22.1 | 9.5 | 65 | E |
| 10-Dec-25 | Wed | Mainly cloudy. One or two light rain | 0.2 | 19.6 | 7.5 | 85.0 | E |
| 11-Dec-25 | Thu | Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods | 0 | 22.6 | 11.2 | 75.5 | E/SE |
| 12-Dec-25 | Fri | Fresh easterly winds, cloudy. | 0 | 22.6 | 21.2 | 65 | E |
| 13-Dec-25 | Sat | Mainly cloudy. Moderate east to northeasterly winds. | 0.7 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 71.5 | N/NE |
| 14-Dec-25 | Sun | Dry with sunny periods. | Trace | 16.6 | 12.5 | 47.7 | E/NE |
| 15-Dec-25 | Mon | Dry with sunny periods. | 0 | 16.4 | 12 | 53 | E |
| 16-Dec-25 | Tue | Mainly cloudy. One or two light rain | 0 | 19.8 | 8.7 | 68.5 | E/NE |
| 17-Dec-25 | Wed | Dry with sunny periods. | 0 | 22.5 | 11.2 | 63.5 | E |
| 18-Dec-25 | Thu | Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods | 0 | 21.4 | 11.7 | 63.5 | E |
| 19-Dec-25 | Fri | Fresh easterly winds, cloudy. | 0.3 | 22.1 | 9 | 78 | E |
| 20-Dec-25 | Sat | Mainly cloudy. Moderate to fresh east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 24.2 | 8.7 | 63 | E/SE |
| 21-Dec-25 | Sun | One or two light rain. Sunny intervals | 0 | 23.9 | 11.7 | 68.7 | E |
| 22-Dec-25 | Mon | Mainly cloudy. Moderate to fresh east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 20.8 | 12.5 | 73.5 | E/NE |
| 23-Dec-25 | Tue | One or two light rain. Sunny intervals | 0.3 | 19.6 | 10 | 75 | E/NE |
| 24-Dec-25 | Wed | Mainly fine. Cloudy. Moderate northeasterly winds. | 0 | 21.7 | 8.5 | 77 | E/SE |
| 25-Dec-25 | Thu | Mainly cloudy. One or two light rain | 1.7 | 16.8 | 28 | 66.2 | N |
| 26-Dec-25 | Fri | Dry with sunny periods. | 0 | 13.3 | 11 | 68 | E/NE |
| 27-Dec-25 | Sat | Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods | 0 | 15.7 | 10.7 | 67.2 | E/NE |
| 28-Dec-25 | Sun | Fresh easterly winds, cloudy. | 0 | 17.3 | 8.7 | 78 | W/SW |
| 29-Dec-25 | Mon | Mainly cloudy. Moderate to fresh east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 17.8 | 6.2 | 76.0 | E/SE |
| 30-Dec-25 | Tue | Dry with sunny periods. | 0 | 19.1 | 7.5 | 74.7 | SE |
| 31-Dec-25 | Wed | Dry with sunny periods. | 0 | 19.7 | 13.5 | 74.2 | E |

Appendix G

Event and Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for Air Quality

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | SM | Contractor |
| Action level exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform IEC, SM and Contractor 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor for the identification of cause | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice 2. Amend working methods if appropriate |
| Action level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Notify IEC, SM and Contractor 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Investigate the cause of exceedance and check Contractor's working procedures 5. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily. 6. Discuss with IEC and SM on remedial actions required 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and Contractor 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Review the investigation finding submitted by ET and check the Contractor's working method 3. Review the proposed remedial measures by Contractor and advise SM accordingly 4. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed dust problem 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice 2. Amend working methods if appropriate 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 4. Implement the agreed proposals 5. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit level exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform IEC, SM and Contractor 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SM informed of the results | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Discuss amongst SM, ET Leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed dust problem 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Amend proposal if appropriate |
| Limit level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings 3. Inform IEC, SM, Contractor and EPD 4. Investigate the cause of exceedance and carry out analysis of Contractor's | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review monitoring data submitted by ET 2. Discuss amongst SM, ET Leader and Contractor on | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing 2. Require Contractor to propose remedial | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working |

| Event | Action | | | |
|-------|---|--|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | SM | Contractor |
| | working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 5. If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to daily. 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SM informed of the results 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and Contractor 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | the potential remedial actions. 3. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise SM accordingly 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | measures for the analysed dust problem 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 4. If exceedance continues, consider what activity of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that activity of work until the exceedance is abated | days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the SM until the exceedance is abated. |

Notes:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

SM – Service Manager

Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

| Event | ET | IEC | SM | Contractor |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Exceedance of Action Level | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Notify IEC and Contractor; Report the results of investigation to IEC, SM and Contractor; Discuss with Contractor and formulate remedial measures; If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | Review the analysed results submitted by ET; Review the proposed Remedial measures by Contractor and advise SM accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Exceedance of Limit Level | Identify source; Inform IEC, SM, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase monitoring frequency; Inform IEC, SM and EPD the causes and actions taken for exceedance; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SM informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Discuss amongst SM, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise SM accordingly; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct Contractor to stop that portion of works until the exceedance is abated. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by SM until the exceedance is abated. |

Notes:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

SM – Service Manager

Event / Action Plan for Water Quality

| Event | ET | IEC | SM | Contractor |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling day | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of non-compliance in writing; and Notify Contractor. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rectify unacceptable practice; and Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Action level | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data and Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; Review the proposed mitigation measures; and Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; and Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment and consider changes of working methods; Submit proposal of additional mitigation measures to IEC within 3 working days of notification; and Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, SM and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, SM and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; and If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the SM accordingly. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to review the working methods. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate corrective actions to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposal of mitigation measures to IEC within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; Submit further mitigation measures if problem still not under control; |

| Event | ET | IEC | SM | Contractor |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify source(s) of impact; • Inform IEC, SM, EPD • Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and • Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, SM and Contractor; • Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; • If the exceedance is confirmed to be Project related after investigation, increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial actions; • Review the • Contractor's mitigation measures • whenever • necessary to assure their effectiveness; • Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; • Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; • Make agreement on the mitigation measures and ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; • Consider and instruct, if necessary, to slow down or stop that activity of work until exceedance is abated. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take immediate corrective actions to avoid further exceedance; • Submit proposal of mitigation measures to IEC within 3 working days; • Implement the agreed mitigation measures; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; • Slow down or to stop relevant activity until exceedance is abated. |

Notes:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

SM – Service Manager

Event and action plan for landscape and visual monitoring during Construction

| | ET | IEC | SM | Contractor |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Design checking | Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report | Check report. Recommend remedial design if necessary | Undertake remedial design if necessary | Ensure compliance with EP requirements |
| Exceedance on one occasion | Identify source of impact Inform IEC and SM Discuss remedial actions with IEC, SM and Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check monitoring report • Check Contractor's working method • Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures • Advise SM on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures • Check implementation of remedial measures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notify Contractor • Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend working methods • Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement |
| Repeated Exceedance(s) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify source of impact • Inform IEC and SM • Increase monitoring frequency • Discuss remedial actions with IEC, SM and Contractor • Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed • If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check monitoring report • Check Contractor's working method • Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures • Advise SM on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures • Supervise implementation of remedial measures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notify Contractor • Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend working methods • Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement |

Notes:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

SM – Service Manager

Appendix H

Monitoring Schedule

Impact Monitoring Schedule for December 2025

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 1 Noise | 2 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 3 | 4 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 5 Surface Water | 6 |
| 7 | 8 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 9 Noise | 10 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 11 | 12 | 13 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP |
| 14 | 15 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 16 Noise | 17 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 18 | 19 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 20 |
| 21 | 22 Noise | 23 | 24 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 25 | 26 | 27 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP |
| 28 | 29 Noise | 30 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | 31 | | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP |

Impact Monitoring Schedule for January 2026

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | | | | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | Noise | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP Surface Water | | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| | | Noise | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | Noise | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| | | Noise | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | | 1-Hr TSP X3 24-Hr TSP | |

Appendix I

Detailed Monitoring Results

Construction Dust Monitoring Results

Location: AM(D)1

| Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| | | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 11:24 | 77 | 97 | 93 | 317 | 500 |
| 4-Dec-25 | 11:26 | 102 | 115 | 95 | 317 | 500 |
| 8-Dec-25 | 11:29 | 73 | 65 | 66 | 317 | 500 |
| 10-Dec-25 | 11:30 | 50 | 43 | 45 | 317 | 500 |
| 13-Dec-25 | 11:25 | 63 | 65 | 59 | 317 | 500 |
| 15-Dec-25 | 11:31 | 82 | 66 | 59 | 317 | 500 |
| 17-Dec-25 | 11:33 | 65 | 81 | 89 | 317 | 500 |
| 19-Dec-25 | 11:30 | 32 | 45 | 28 | 317 | 500 |
| 24-Dec-25 | 11:25 | 63 | 63 | 89 | 317 | 500 |
| 27-Dec-25 | 11:29 | 46 | 65 | 42 | 317 | 500 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 11:33 | 89 | 70 | 73 | 317 | 500 |

Location: AM(D)2

| Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| | | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 11:05 | 55 | 73 | 58 | 313 | 500 |
| 4-Dec-25 | 11:00 | 88 | 97 | 105 | 313 | 500 |
| 8-Dec-25 | 10:55 | 51 | 60 | 56 | 313 | 500 |
| 10-Dec-25 | 11:02 | 28 | 22 | 25 | 313 | 500 |
| 13-Dec-25 | 11:00 | 34 | 25 | 38 | 313 | 500 |
| 15-Dec-25 | 11:03 | 52 | 42 | 48 | 313 | 500 |
| 17-Dec-25 | 11:00 | 68 | 55 | 31 | 313 | 500 |
| 19-Dec-25 | 11:03 | 81 | 56 | 68 | 313 | 500 |
| 24-Dec-25 | 11:00 | 85 | 72 | 78 | 313 | 500 |
| 27-Dec-25 | 10:55 | 40 | 29 | 21 | 313 | 500 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 11:02 | 104 | 117 | 106 | 313 | 500 |

Location: AM(D)3

| Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| | | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 10:25 | 29 | 40 | 44 | 334 | 500 |
| 4-Dec-25 | 10:27 | 131 | 148 | 130 | 334 | 500 |
| 8-Dec-25 | 10:36 | 49 | 60 | 44 | 334 | 500 |
| 10-Dec-25 | 10:25 | 53 | 44 | 68 | 334 | 500 |
| 13-Dec-25 | 10:32 | 26 | 26 | 30 | 334 | 500 |
| 15-Dec-25 | 10:30 | 51 | 43 | 40 | 334 | 500 |
| 17-Dec-25 | 10:35 | 79 | 53 | 77 | 334 | 500 |
| 19-Dec-25 | 10:30 | 186 | 161 | 159 | 334 | 500 |
| 24-Dec-25 | 10:25 | 41 | 41 | 59 | 334 | 500 |
| 27-Dec-25 | 10:27 | 51 | 65 | 71 | 334 | 500 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 10:30 | 71 | 75 | 97 | 334 | 500 |

Location: AM(D)5a

| Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| | | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 09:00 | 176 | 164 | 180 | 371 | 500 |
| 4-Dec-25 | 08:47 | 268 | 233 | 260 | 371 | 500 |
| 8-Dec-25 | 08:55 | 166 | 204 | 195 | 371 | 500 |
| 10-Dec-25 | 09:05 | 82 | 100 | 86 | 371 | 500 |
| 13-Dec-25 | 08:54 | 120 | 146 | 184 | 371 | 500 |
| 15-Dec-25 | 08:02 | 224 | 258 | 245 | 371 | 500 |
| 17-Dec-25 | 08:55 | 220 | 235 | 251 | 371 | 500 |
| 19-Dec-25 | 09:01 | 226 | 234 | 241 | 371 | 500 |
| 24-Dec-25 | 08:50 | 220 | 241 | 257 | 371 | 500 |
| 27-Dec-25 | 09:00 | 186 | 173 | 209 | 371 | 500 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 08:57 | 231 | 259 | 213 | 371 | 500 |

Location: AM(D)6a

| Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| | | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 09:25 | 121 | 108 | 131 | 294 | 500 |
| 4-Dec-25 | 09:29 | 96 | 101 | 108 | 294 | 500 |
| 8-Dec-25 | 09:25 | 112 | 125 | 129 | 294 | 500 |
| 10-Dec-25 | 09:30 | 66 | 71 | 81 | 294 | 500 |
| 13-Dec-25 | 09:25 | 51 | 73 | 69 | 294 | 500 |
| 15-Dec-25 | 09:27 | 125 | 107 | 143 | 294 | 500 |
| 17-Dec-25 | 09:30 | 171 | 183 | 164 | 294 | 500 |
| 19-Dec-25 | 09:25 | 75 | 81 | 51 | 294 | 500 |
| 24-Dec-25 | 09:27 | 91 | 106 | 79 | 294 | 500 |
| 27-Dec-25 | 09:29 | 147 | 162 | 151 | 294 | 500 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 09:30 | 171 | 168 | 142 | 294 | 500 |

Location: AM(D)7b

| Date | Start Time | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|-----------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| | | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 10:00 | 56 | 79 | 72 | 331 | 500 |
| 4-Dec-25 | 09:55 | 117 | 107 | 93 | 331 | 500 |
| 8-Dec-25 | 10:07 | 76 | 89 | 82 | 331 | 500 |
| 10-Dec-25 | 09:55 | 95 | 105 | 81 | 331 | 500 |
| 13-Dec-25 | 10:02 | 81 | 95 | 75 | 331 | 500 |
| 15-Dec-25 | 10:05 | 31 | 41 | 25 | 331 | 500 |
| 17-Dec-25 | 10:00 | 137 | 152 | 127 | 331 | 500 |
| 19-Dec-25 | 09:58 | 83 | 76 | 57 | 331 | 500 |
| 24-Dec-25 | 10:00 | 88 | 72 | 101 | 331 | 500 |
| 27-Dec-25 | 10:02 | 60 | 68 | 41 | 331 | 500 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 09:55 | 52 | 62 | 56 | 331 | 500 |

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)1

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | |
| 2 Dec 25 | 22120 | 22612.55 | 22636.55 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 22.8 | 1014.9 | 1.31 | 1887 | 2.6207 | 2.7481 | 0.1274 | 68 | 155 | 260 |
| 4 Dec 25 | 22128 | 22636.55 | 22660.55 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 19.8 | 1021.4 | 1.32 | 1905 | 2.6111 | 2.7501 | 0.1390 | 73 | 155 | 260 |
| 8 Dec 25 | 22135 | 22660.55 | 22684.55 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 22.5 | 1019.3 | 1.31 | 1893 | 2.6143 | 2.6938 | 0.0795 | 42 | 155 | 260 |
| 10 Dec 25 | 22141 | 22684.55 | 22708.55 | 1440.00 | 44 | 44 | 44.0 | 20.8 | 1018.8 | 1.39 | 2001 | 2.6045 | 2.6672 | 0.0627 | 31 | 155 | 260 |
| 13 Dec 25 | 22148 | 22708.55 | 22732.55 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 20 | 1019.8 | 1.25 | 1800 | 2.6211 | 2.6798 | 0.0587 | 33 | 155 | 260 |
| 15 Dec 25 | 22154 | 22732.55 | 22756.55 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 17.6 | 1012.9 | 1.32 | 1904 | 2.6188 | 2.7024 | 0.0836 | 44 | 155 | 260 |
| 17 Dec 25 | 22160 | 22756.55 | 22780.55 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 21.4 | 1019.7 | 1.24 | 1783 | 2.7971 | 2.8833 | 0.0862 | 48 | 155 | 260 |
| 19 Dec 25 | 22176 | 22780.55 | 22804.55 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 21 | 1016.6 | 1.17 | 1680 | 2.7934 | 2.8393 | 0.0459 | 27 | 155 | 260 |
| 24 Dec 25 | 22185 | 22804.55 | 22828.55 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 21.3 | 1014.6 | 1.23 | 1778 | 2.7863 | 2.8598 | 0.0735 | 41 | 155 | 260 |
| 27 Dec 25 | 22188 | 22828.55 | 22852.55 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 16.8 | 1020.1 | 1.18 | 1697 | 2.7803 | 2.8355 | 0.0552 | 33 | 155 | 260 |
| 30 Dec 25 | 22191 | 22852.55 | 22876.55 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 17.1 | 1015.4 | 1.25 | 1794 | 2.7784 | 2.8705 | 0.0921 | 51 | 155 | 260 |

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)2

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | |
| 2 Dec 25 | 22118 | 11502.55 | 11526.55 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 22.8 | 1014.9 | 1.27 | 1828 | 2.6002 | 2.6926 | 0.0924 | 51 | 156 | 260 |
| 4 Dec 25 | 22127 | 11526.55 | 11550.55 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 19.8 | 1021.4 | 1.17 | 1679 | 2.6114 | 2.7185 | 0.1071 | 64 | 156 | 260 |
| 8 Dec 25 | 22122 | 11550.55 | 11574.55 | 1440.00 | 32 | 32 | 32.0 | 22.5 | 1019.3 | 1.05 | 1509 | 2.6099 | 2.6636 | 0.0537 | 36 | 156 | 260 |
| 10 Dec 25 | 22140 | 11574.55 | 11598.55 | 1440.00 | 30 | 30 | 30.0 | 20.8 | 1018.8 | 0.99 | 1431 | 2.6009 | 2.6149 | 0.0140 | 10 | 156 | 260 |
| 13 Dec 25 | 22149 | 11598.55 | 11622.55 | 1440.00 | 30 | 30 | 30.0 | 20 | 1019.8 | 1.00 | 1433 | 2.6182 | 2.6449 | 0.0267 | 19 | 156 | 260 |
| 15 Dec 25 | 22153 | 11622.55 | 11646.55 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 17.6 | 1012.9 | 1.11 | 1597 | 2.6140 | 2.7541 | 0.1401 | 88 | 156 | 260 |
| 17 Dec 25 | 22155 | 11646.55 | 11670.55 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 21.4 | 1019.7 | 1.10 | 1581 | 2.6141 | 2.6750 | 0.0609 | 39 | 156 | 260 |
| 19 Dec 25 | 22175 | 11670.55 | 11694.55 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 21 | 1016.6 | 1.21 | 1742 | 2.7855 | 2.8630 | 0.0775 | 44 | 156 | 260 |
| 24 Dec 25 | 22184 | 11694.55 | 11718.55 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 21.3 | 1014.6 | 1.10 | 1578 | 2.7875 | 2.8513 | 0.0638 | 40 | 156 | 260 |
| 27 Dec 25 | 22187 | 11718.55 | 11742.55 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 16.8 | 1020.1 | 1.11 | 1592 | 2.7765 | 2.7967 | 0.0202 | 13 | 156 | 260 |
| 30 Dec 25 | 22189 | 11742.55 | 11766.55 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 17.1 | 1015.4 | 1.30 | 1874 | 2.7806 | 2.9196 | 0.1390 | 74 | 156 | 260 |

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)3

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | |
| 2 Dec 25 | 22117 | 23717.75 | 23741.75 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 22.8 | 1014.9 | 0.99 | 1431 | 2.6001 | 2.6417 | 0.0416 | 29 | 155 | 260 |
| 4 Dec 25 | 22126 | 23741.75 | 23765.75 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 19.8 | 1021.4 | 1.14 | 1649 | 2.6146 | 2.8095 | 0.1949 | 118 | 155 | 260 |
| 8 Dec 25 | 22137 | 23765.75 | 23789.75 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 22.5 | 1019.3 | 1.07 | 1537 | 2.6158 | 2.6734 | 0.0576 | 37 | 155 | 260 |
| 10 Dec 25 | 22144 | 23789.75 | 23813.75 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 20.8 | 1018.8 | 1.14 | 1643 | 2.6286 | 2.6892 | 0.0606 | 37 | 155 | 260 |
| 13 Dec 25 | 22145 | 23813.75 | 23837.75 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 20 | 1019.8 | 1.21 | 1748 | 2.6145 | 2.6492 | 0.0347 | 20 | 155 | 260 |
| 15 Dec 25 | 22151 | 23837.75 | 23861.75 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 17.6 | 1012.9 | 1.14 | 1648 | 2.6223 | 2.6864 | 0.0641 | 39 | 155 | 260 |
| 17 Dec 25 | 22156 | 23861.75 | 23885.75 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 21.4 | 1019.7 | 1.18 | 1695 | 2.7930 | 2.8931 | 0.1001 | 59 | 155 | 260 |
| 19 Dec 25 | 22174 | 23885.75 | 23909.75 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 21 | 1016.6 | 1.25 | 1796 | 2.7839 | 3.0093 | 0.2254 | 126 | 155 | 260 |
| 24 Dec 25 | 22183 | 23909.75 | 23933.75 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 21.3 | 1014.6 | 0.96 | 1385 | 2.7965 | 2.8356 | 0.0391 | 28 | 155 | 260 |
| 27 Dec 25 | 22186 | 23933.75 | 23957.75 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 16.8 | 1020.1 | 1.12 | 1609 | 2.7836 | 2.8546 | 0.0710 | 44 | 155 | 260 |
| 30 Dec 25 | 22197 | 23957.75 | 23981.75 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 17.1 | 1015.4 | 1.04 | 1501 | 2.7835 | 2.8783 | 0.0948 | 63 | 155 | 260 |

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)5a

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | |
| 2 Dec 25 | 22099 | 5439.31 | 5463.31 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 22.8 | 1014.9 | 1.27 | 1826 | 2.6341 | 2.8907 | 0.2566 | 141 | 238 | 260 |
| 4 Dec 25 | 22123 | 5463.31 | 5487.31 | 1440.00 | 44 | 44 | 44.0 | 19.8 | 1021.4 | 1.35 | 1951 | 2.6073 | 3.0428 | 0.4355 | 223 | 238 | 260 |
| 8 Dec 25 | 22119 | 5487.31 | 5511.31 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 22.5 | 1019.3 | 1.27 | 1832 | 2.6050 | 2.8869 | 0.2819 | 154 | 238 | 260 |
| 10 Dec 25 | 22134 | 5511.31 | 5535.31 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 20.8 | 1018.8 | 1.20 | 1732 | 2.6032 | 2.7117 | 0.1085 | 63 | 238 | 260 |
| 13 Dec 25 | 22143 | 5535.31 | 5559.31 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 20 | 1019.8 | 1.28 | 1842 | 2.6156 | 2.8314 | 0.2158 | 117 | 238 | 260 |
| 15 Dec 25 | 22152 | 5559.31 | 5583.31 | 1440.00 | 44 | 44 | 44.0 | 17.6 | 1021.9 | 1.36 | 1960 | 2.6155 | 3.0377 | 0.4222 | 215 | 238 | 260 |
| 17 Dec 25 | 22158 | 5583.31 | 5607.31 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 21.4 | 1019.7 | 1.25 | 1803 | 2.7882 | 3.1616 | 0.3734 | 207 | 238 | 260 |
| 19 Dec 25 | 22163 | 5607.31 | 5631.31 | 1440.00 | 44 | 44 | 44.0 | 21 | 1016.6 | 1.32 | 1907 | 2.7938 | 3.1848 | 0.3910 | 205 | 238 | 260 |
| 24 Dec 25 | 22180 | 5631.31 | 5655.31 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 21.3 | 1014.6 | 1.18 | 1692 | 2.7826 | 3.1001 | 0.3175 | 188 | 238 | 260 |
| 27 Dec 25 | 22177 | 5655.31 | 5679.31 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 16.8 | 1020.1 | 1.19 | 1714 | 2.7868 | 3.0533 | 0.2665 | 155 | 238 | 260 |
| 30 Dec 25 | 22194 | 5679.31 | 5703.31 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 17.1 | 1015.4 | 1.26 | 1815 | 2.7707 | 3.0814 | 0.3107 | 171 | 238 | 260 |

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)6a

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m³/min) | AIR VOLUME (std m³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m³) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | |
| 2 Dec 25 | 22095 | 23440.98 | 23464.98 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 22.8 | 1014.9 | 1.26 | 1811 | 2.6138 | 2.7914 | 0.1776 | 98 | 159 | 260 |
| 4 Dec 25 | 22124 | 23464.98 | 23488.98 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 19.8 | 1021.4 | 1.27 | 1829 | 2.6064 | 2.7480 | 0.1416 | 77 | 159 | 260 |
| 8 Dec 25 | 22121 | 23488.98 | 23512.98 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 22.5 | 1019.3 | 1.19 | 1708 | 2.6066 | 2.7331 | 0.1265 | 74 | 159 | 260 |
| 10 Dec 25 | 22133 | 23512.98 | 23536.98 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 20.8 | 1018.8 | 1.04 | 1497 | 2.6170 | 2.6872 | 0.0702 | 47 | 159 | 260 |
| 13 Dec 25 | 22142 | 23536.98 | 23560.98 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 20 | 1019.8 | 1.12 | 1609 | 2.6207 | 2.6883 | 0.0676 | 42 | 159 | 260 |
| 15 Dec 25 | 22147 | 23560.98 | 23584.98 | 1440.00 | 32 | 32 | 32.0 | 17.6 | 1012.9 | 0.97 | 1393 | 2.6152 | 2.7297 | 0.1145 | 82 | 159 | 260 |
| 17 Dec 25 | 22159 | 23584.98 | 23608.98 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 21.4 | 1019.7 | 1.24 | 1780 | 2.7982 | 3.0551 | 0.2569 | 144 | 159 | 260 |
| 19 Dec 25 | 22161 | 23608.98 | 23632.98 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 21 | 1016.6 | 1.20 | 1726 | 2.7977 | 2.8836 | 0.0859 | 50 | 159 | 260 |
| 24 Dec 25 | 22181 | 23632.98 | 23656.98 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 21.3 | 1014.6 | 1.12 | 1619 | 2.7859 | 2.8855 | 0.0996 | 62 | 159 | 260 |
| 27 Dec 25 | 22178 | 23656.98 | 23680.98 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 16.8 | 1020.1 | 1.21 | 1745 | 2.7859 | 2.9798 | 0.1939 | 111 | 159 | 260 |
| 30 Dec 25 | 22195 | 23680.98 | 23704.98 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 17.1 | 1015.4 | 1.21 | 1739 | 2.7489 | 2.9865 | 0.2376 | 137 | 159 | 260 |

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Data for AM(D)7b

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m³/min) | AIR VOLUME (std m³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m³) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | |
| 2 Dec 25 | 22098 | 5079.01 | 5103.01 | 1440.00 | 40 | 40 | 40.0 | 22.8 | 1014.9 | 1.25 | 1799 | 2.6308 | 2.7176 | 0.0868 | 48 | 215 | 260 |
| 4 Dec 25 | 22125 | 5103.01 | 5127.01 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 19.8 | 1021.4 | 1.11 | 1598 | 2.6050 | 2.7447 | 0.1397 | 87 | 215 | 260 |
| 8 Dec 25 | 22136 | 5127.01 | 5151.01 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 22.5 | 1019.3 | 1.03 | 1477 | 2.6128 | 2.7147 | 0.1019 | 69 | 215 | 260 |
| 10 Dec 25 | 22132 | 5151.01 | 5175.01 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 20.8 | 1018.8 | 1.11 | 1592 | 2.6130 | 2.7308 | 0.1178 | 74 | 215 | 260 |
| 13 Dec 25 | 22146 | 5175.01 | 5199.01 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 20 | 1019.8 | 1.11 | 1595 | 2.6192 | 2.7182 | 0.0990 | 62 | 215 | 260 |
| 15 Dec 25 | 22139 | 5199.01 | 5223.01 | 1440.00 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 17.6 | 1012.9 | 1.11 | 1597 | 2.6066 | 2.6438 | 0.0372 | 23 | 215 | 260 |
| 17 Dec 25 | 22157 | 5223.01 | 5247.01 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 21.4 | 1019.7 | 1.17 | 1678 | 2.7981 | 2.9751 | 0.1770 | 106 | 215 | 260 |
| 19 Dec 25 | 22162 | 5247.01 | 5271.01 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 21 | 1016.6 | 1.16 | 1676 | 2.7978 | 2.8910 | 0.0932 | 56 | 215 | 260 |
| 24 Dec 25 | 22182 | 5271.01 | 5295.01 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 21.3 | 1014.6 | 1.16 | 1673 | 2.7829 | 2.8805 | 0.0976 | 58 | 215 | 260 |
| 27 Dec 25 | 22179 | 5295.01 | 5319.01 | 1440.00 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | 16.8 | 1020.1 | 1.03 | 1477 | 2.7904 | 2.8452 | 0.0548 | 37 | 215 | 260 |
| 30 Dec 25 | 22196 | 5319.01 | 5343.01 | 1440.00 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | 17.1 | 1015.4 | 1.17 | 1688 | 2.7826 | 2.8524 | 0.0698 | 41 | 215 | 260 |

Construction Noise Monitoring Results

**Location: NM1
 (Daytime Period)**

| Date | Start Time | 1 st Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 2 nd Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 3 rd Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 4 th Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 5 th Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | 6 th Leq _{5min} | L10 | L90 | Leq _{30min} | Façade Correction | Limit Level |
|-----------|------------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1-Dec-25 | 09:45 | 50.3 | 48.6 | 41.2 | 50.0 | 53.4 | 42.3 | 45.8 | 48.7 | 41.4 | 46.8 | 50.1 | 41.5 | 50.6 | 53.5 | 42.6 | 49.0 | 50.9 | 42.5 | 49 | 52 | 75 |
| 9-Dec-25 | 15:05 | 53.2 | 55.9 | 47.6 | 54.2 | 57.8 | 46.0 | 54.8 | 58.1 | 48.2 | 55.6 | 59.0 | 47.2 | 53.6 | 56.9 | 47.3 | 52.8 | 55.7 | 47.2 | 54 | 57 | 75 |
| 16-Dec-25 | 09:35 | 53.6 | 56.2 | 47.5 | 54.8 | 57.1 | 48.3 | 53.2 | 56.1 | 48.5 | 54.8 | 58.5 | 47.7 | 49.5 | 51.4 | 46.8 | 48.5 | 50.6 | 45.5 | 53 | 56 | 75 |
| 22-Dec-25 | 11:05 | 50.6 | 53.2 | 44.7 | 55.2 | 58.7 | 43.6 | 57.5 | 63.3 | 41.6 | 61.2 | 65.1 | 42.9 | 57.5 | 62.5 | 43.0 | 45.4 | 47.1 | 41.6 | 57 | 60 | 75 |
| 29-Dec-25 | 13:35 | 55.0 | 57.6 | 48.2 | 54.6 | 57.5 | 47.9 | 56.0 | 58.8 | 49.7 | 56.4 | 58.9 | 49.3 | 56.5 | 59.5 | 49.5 | 56.5 | 60.2 | 49.4 | 56 | 59 | 75 |

Remark: façade correction +3 dB(A) was added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

Surface Water Quality Monitoring Results
 Location: WM1

| Date | Time | Tide | Depth (m) | Speed of Water Flow (m/s) | Direction of Water Flow (degree) | Temp (°C) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity (ppt) | | pH | | Conductivity | | Suspended Solids (mg/L) | |
|----------|-------|-------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|---------|-------|-----------------|------|----------------|-------|------|-----|--------------|-------|-------------------------|------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5-Dec-25 | 13:03 | Ebb | 1.20 | 0.312 | 203.40 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 9.02 | 9.1 | 121.6 | 122.3 | 10.43 | 10.5 | 32.21 | 32.16 | 8.05 | 8.1 | 45830 | 45786 | 9.0 | 8.4 |
| | | | | | | 21.5 | | 9.14 | | 122.9 | | 10.60 | | 32.11 | | 8.05 | | 45741 | | 7.8 | |
| 5-Dec-25 | 10:08 | Flood | 1.20 | 0.251 | 57.60 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 9.64 | 9.7 | 129.4 | 130.2 | 7.63 | 7.6 | 31.74 | 31.80 | 8.13 | 8.1 | 44499 | 44571 | 9.2 | 10.1 |
| | | | | | | 20.6 | | 9.75 | | 130.9 | | 7.65 | | 31.85 | | 8.13 | | 44643 | | 11.0 | |

| Total Alkalinity (mg/L) | | Sulphate (mg/L) | | Chloride (mg/L) | | Cadmium (µg/L) | | Copper (µg/L) | | Lead (µg/L) | | Manganese (µg/L) | | Nickel (µg/L) | | Zinc (µg/L) | | Calcium (µg/L) | | Iron (µg/L) | | Magnesium (µg/L) | | Potassium (µg/L) | | Sodium (µg/L) | |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------------|------|---------------|-----|-------------|----|------------------|-------|---------------|----|-------------|-----|----------------|--------|-------------|-----|------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| 88 | 88.0 | 1280 | 1290.0 | 11000 | 11600.0 | <0.2 | <0.2 | 1.00 | 1.0 | <1 | <1 | 140.00 | 143.5 | <1 | <1 | <10 | <10 | 300000 | 307500 | 180 | 185 | 680000 | 686500 | 220000 | 220500 | 5280000 | 5440000 |
| 88 | | 1300 | | 12200 | | <0.2 | | 1.00 | | <1 | | 147.00 | | <1 | | <10 | | 315000 | | 190 | | 693000 | | 221000 | | 5600000 | |
| 106 | 106.5 | 1840 | 1845.0 | 15800 | 15350.0 | <0.2 | <0.2 | 2.00 | 2.0 | <1 | <1 | 88.00 | 88.0 | <1 | <1 | <10 | <10 | 434000 | 432000 | 200 | 200 | 1030000 | 1030000 | 346000 | 346500 | 8300000 | 8300000 |
| 107 | | 1850 | | 14900 | | <0.2 | | 2.00 | | <1 | | 88.00 | | <1 | | <10 | | 430000 | | 200 | | 1030000 | | 347000 | | 8300000 | |

| Ammonia as N (mg/L) | | Nitrate as N (mg/L) | | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N (mg/L) | | Reactive Phosphorus as P (mg/L) | | Sulphite (mg/L) | | Total Organic Carbon (mg/L) | | Oil and Grease (mg/L) | | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) (mg/L) | | Biochemical Oxygen (mg/L) | | Total Coliform (CFU/100mL) | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----|-----------------------------|----|-----------------------|----|-------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------|------|
| 0.02 | 0.020 | 0.12 | 0.150 | 0.4 | 0.40 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <2 | <2 | <5 | <5 | <5 | <5 | <20 | <20 | 4.0 | 3.500 | 24 | 26.0 |
| 0.02 | | 0.18 | | 0.4 | | <0.01 | | <2 | | <5 | | <5 | | <20 | | 3.0 | | 28 | |
| <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.4 | 0.40 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <2 | <2 | <5 | <5 | <5 | <5 | <20 | <20 | <2 | <2 | 44 | 35.5 |
| <0.01 | | <0.01 | | 0.4 | | <0.01 | | <2 | | <5 | | <5 | | <20 | | <2 | | 27 | |



Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP18P116021
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement:

Table with 2 columns: Sampling equipment used (GEM5000, SKY3000 Series (LEL)), Date calibrated (1-Aug-24, 20-Mar-25)

Main data table with columns: Date of measurement, Sample location, Sampling time, Weather condition, Methane (CH4), Carbon dioxide (CO2), Oxygen (O2), Flammable gas (LEL), Temp (°C), Remark. Contains 100 rows of data from Dec 25 to Dec 31.

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Sampling equipment used: | Date calibrated |
| GEM5000 | 1-Aug-24 |
| SKY3000 Series (LEL) | 20-Mar-25 |

| Date of measurement | Sample location | Sampling time | Monitoring of Excavation | | | | | | Remark |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| | | | Weather condition | Methane (CH ₄) | Carbon dioxide (0.1%) | Oxygen (0.1%) | Flammable gas (LEL) | Temp (°C) | |
| 1-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 33 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 46 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B9 | 8 : 57 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 10 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B1a | 9 : 34 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B1c | 9 : 44 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 7 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 48 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 9 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 27 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B1a | 14 : 45 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | B1c | 14 : 57 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 1-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 14 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.6°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 28 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 53 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 19 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 36 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B1a | 9 : 49 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 11 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 28 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 33 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 56 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 19 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 58 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 27 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 40 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 2-Dec-25 | A1 | 16 : 6 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 31 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 55 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B9 | 10 : 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B10 | 10 : 30 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 42 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B1c | 11 : 57 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | A1 | 11 : 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.5°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 29 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 50 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 22 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 40 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 59 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 3-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 26 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 32 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 50 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 9 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 25 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 4 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 27 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 39 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.8°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 25 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 8 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 31 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B1a | 14 : 52 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 9 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 4-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 30 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.9°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 35 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 54 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 20 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 42 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 15 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 33 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 50 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 40 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B2 | 14 : 9 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 23 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 42 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 3 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 18 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 5-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 44 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.8°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 39 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B2 | 9 : 5 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 32 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 54 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 25 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 40 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B9 | 15 : 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B10 | 15 : 34 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 52 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | B1c | 16 : 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 6-Dec-25 | A1 | 16 : 23 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Sampling equipment used: | Date calibrated |
| GEM5000 | 1-Aug-24 |
| SKY3000 Series (LEL) | 20-Mar-25 |

| Date of measurement | Sample location | Sampling time | Monitoring of Excavation | | | | | | Remark |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| | | | Weather condition | Methane (CH ₄) | Carbon dioxide (0.1%) | Oxygen (0.1%) | Flammable gas (LEL) | Temp (°C) | |
| 7-Dec-25 | C1 | 8: 40 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B2 | 8: 53 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B9 | 9: 20 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B10 | 9: 48 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B1a | 10: 18 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B1c | 10: 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | A1 | 10: 46 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.3°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | C1 | 13: 45 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B2 | 14: 17 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B9 | 14: 40 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B10 | 14: 58 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B1a | 15: 26 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | B1c | 15: 43 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 7-Dec-25 | A1 | 16: 5 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 24°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | C1 | 8: 38 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 22.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B2 | 9: 10 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 22.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B9 | 9: 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 22.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B10 | 9: 44 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 22.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B1a | 10: 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 22.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B1c | 10: 27 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 22.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | A1 | 10: 41 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | C1 | 13: 34 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B2 | 13: 48 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B9 | 14: 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B10 | 14: 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B1a | 14: 46 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | B1c | 15: 5 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 8-Dec-25 | A1 | 15: 23 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 25.5°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | C1 | 8: 35 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B2 | 9: 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B9 | 9: 29 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B10 | 9: 45 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B1a | 10: 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B1c | 10: 23 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | A1 | 10: 31 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | C1 | 13: 36 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B2 | 13: 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B9 | 14: 17 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B10 | 14: 34 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B1a | 15: 57 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | B1c | 15: 15 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 9-Dec-25 | A1 | 15: 34 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 23.4°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | C1 | 8: 37 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B2 | 8: 60 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B9 | 9: 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B10 | 9: 37 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B1a | 10: 6 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B1c | 10: 20 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | A1 | 10: 43 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 20.8°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | C1 | 13: 33 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B2 | 13: 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B9 | 14: 12 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B10 | 14: 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B1a | 14: 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | B1c | 15: 10 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 10-Dec-25 | A1 | 15: 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.9°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | C1 | 8: 36 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B2 | 8: 57 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B9 | 9: 23 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B10 | 9: 34 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B1a | 10: 20 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B1c | 10: 35 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | A1 | 10: 49 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.7°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | C1 | 13: 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B2 | 14: 5 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B9 | 14: 26 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B10 | 14: 42 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B1a | 15: 21 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | B1c | 15: 44 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 11-Dec-25 | A1 | 15: 60 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 26°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | C1 | 8: 39 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B2 | 8: 54 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B9 | 9: 19 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B10 | 9: 41 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B1a | 10: 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B1c | 10: 32 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | A1 | 10: 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.1°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | C1 | 13: 41 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B2 | 14: 0 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B9 | 14: 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B10 | 14: 31 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B1a | 14: 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | B1c | 15: 8 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |
| 12-Dec-25 | A1 | 15: 22 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.5 | 0%LEL | 21.8°C | |

Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement :

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Sampling equipment used: | Date calibrated |
| GEM5000 | 1-Aug-24 |
| SKY3000 Series (LEL) | 20-Mar-25 |

| Date of measurement | Sample location | Sampling time | Monitoring of Excavation | | | | | | Remark |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| | | | Weather condition | Methane (CH ₄) | Carbon dioxide (0.1%) | Oxygen (0.1%) | Flammable gas (LEL) | Temp (°C) | |
| 13-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 36 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 54 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 20 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B1a | 9 : 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 29 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 20°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 39 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 15 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 39 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B1a | 14 : 61 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 14 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 13-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 32 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.4 | 0%LEL | 22.6°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 43 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 17°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B2 | 9 : 14 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 17°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 29 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 17°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 49 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 17°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 17 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 17°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 35 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 17°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 49 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 33 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 57 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 14 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 23 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B1a | 14 : 57 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 24 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 14-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 38 | Cloudy | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 19.5°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 24 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 13 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 32 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B1a | 9 : 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 14 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 26 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 17.6°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 35 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 47 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B9 | 15 : 12 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B10 | 15 : 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 56 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | B1c | 16 : 15 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 15-Dec-25 | A1 | 16 : 31 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 19.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 35 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 48 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 10 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 18 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B1a | 9 : 33 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B1c | 9 : 46 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 10 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 20.1°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 36 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 50 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 11 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 19 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B1a | 14 : 51 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 12 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 16-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 22.9°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 40 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B2 | 9 : 18 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 37 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 56 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 19 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 46 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 57 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 21.4°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 48 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B2 | 14 : 17 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 28 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 45 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 14 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 26 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 17-Dec-25 | A1 | 15 : 45 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.3 | 0%LEL | 25.3°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | C1 | 8 : 29 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B2 | 8 : 56 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B9 | 9 : 15 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B10 | 9 : 33 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B1a | 10 : 12 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B1c | 10 : 26 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | A1 | 10 : 43 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 20.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | C1 | 13 : 29 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B2 | 13 : 44 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B9 | 14 : 8 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B10 | 14 : 29 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B1a | 15 : 22 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | B1c | 15 : 43 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |
| 18-Dec-25 | A1 | 16 : 8 | Sunny | 0.0% | 0 | 20.2 | 0%LEL | 22.4°C | |



Landfill Gas Monitoring - Field Measurement Recording Sheet

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21
Name of construction site: West New Territories Landfill Extension
Month of measurement:



Table with 2 columns: Sampling equipment used (GEM5000, SKY3000 Series (LEL)), Date calibrated (1-Aug-24, 20-Mar-25)



Main data table with columns: Date of measurement, Sample location, Sampling time, Weather condition, Methane (CH4), Carbon dioxide (0.1%), Oxygen (0.1%), Flammable gas (LEL), Temp (°C), Remark. Contains multiple rows of gas monitoring data from Dec 19 to Dec 24, 2025.



Appendix J

Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result



Air Quality – 1-hour TSP












Air Quality – 24-hour TSP










Construction Noise




Surface Water









Appendix K
Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

(Specification Part A Clause 1.16.5.4 refers)

Name of Department: EPD

Contract No.: EP/SP/186/21 West New Territories Landfill Extension

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2025 (year)

| Month 2023 to 2024 | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Waste Generated Monthly | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper / cardboard packaging | Plastics | Chemical Waste | | Yard Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m3) | (in '000m3) | (in '000m3) | (in '000m3) | (in '000m3) | (in '000m3) | (in kg) | (in kg) | (in kg) | (in liter) | (in '000kg) | (in tonne) | (in '000m3) |
| | 431.565 | 0.000 | 352.899 | 77.757 | 0.909 | 203.854 | 103808.200 | 93.800 | 18.300 | 0.000 | 1.200 | 6987.860 | 10.954 |
| Jan | 78.657 | 0.000 | 48.194 | 29.541 | 0.922 | 3.648 | 0.000 | 3.100 | 0.600 | 60520.000 | 0.000 | 108.830 | 0.235 |
| Feb | 61.073 | 0.000 | 44.617 | 16.456 | 0.000 | 1.724 | 0.300 | 0.000 | 0.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 46.940 | 0.159 |
| Mar | 72.501 | 0.000 | 45.131 | 27.370 | 0.000 | 5.330 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 12.150 | 0.075 |
| Apr | 37.368 | 0.000 | 23.836 | 13.532 | 0.000 | 0.982 | 0.200 | 7.500 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 13.810 | 0.069 |
| May | 58.022 | 0.000 | 38.608 | 19.414 | 0.000 | 0.237 | 0.300 | 3.900 | 1.600 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19.440 | 0.242 |
| Jun | 25.409 | 0.000 | 4.572 | 20.837 | 0.000 | 0.601 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.172 |
| Sub-Total | 764.596 | 0.000 | 557.857 | 204.907 | 1.831 | 216.376 | 103809.000 | 108.300 | 23.100 | 60520.000 | 1.200 | 7189.030 | 11.907 |
| Jul | 14.730 | 0.000 | 3.962 | 10.768 | 0.000 | 0.134 | 0.100 | 1.700 | 2.100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 20.610 | 0.231 |
| Aug | 28.984 | 0.000 | 8.032 | 20.952 | 0.000 | 0.053 | 0.000 | 1.700 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.218 |
| Sep | 22.963 | 0.000 | 10.762 | 12.201 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.100 | 1.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.330 |
| Oct | 56.886 | 0.000 | 24.874 | 32.012 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 6.100 | 1.200 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.300 | 0.247 |
| Nov | 49.195 | 0.000 | 19.921 | 29.274 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 13.980 | 0.316 |
| Dec | 125.134 | 0.000 | 17.005 | 108.129 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 28243.000 | 14.900 | 4.800 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10.550 | 0.220 |
| Total | 1062.488 | 0.000 | 642.413 | 418.243 | 1.831 | 216.576 | 132052.400 | 134.500 | 33.200 | 60520.000 | 1.200 | 7241.470 | 13.468 |

- Note:
- (1) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials
 - (2) Project Commenced in Sep 2023.
 - (3) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works is equal to or exceeding 50,000 m3.
 - (5) Density values and Bulk Factors adopted:

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Hard Rock (reuse in the contract) and Large Broken Concrete: | 2.5 T/m ³ (in-situ) | Imported Rock: | 2.0 T/m ³ |
| Soil/Fill: | 2.0 T/m ³ (in-situ) | Imported Soil / Import Public Fill: | 1.8 T/m ³ |
| General Refuse: | 900 Kg/m ³ | Imported Sand: | 1.6 T/m ³ |

- (6) Yard Waste is sent to Y Park as recyclable.

Appendix L

Cumulative Environmental Complaints Log

| Environmental Complaint Log ref. | Date of Complaint Received | Date of Received by ET | Complaint nature | Channel | Investigation Summary & Conclusion | Status |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|-----------|
| WENTX – 01 | 25 June 2025 | 26 June 2025 | Air Quality | Project's PR Team | <p>The HKRRP's PR team received a complaint from the Ha Pak Nai Village Head on 25 June 2025 regarding the dust problem generated from the construction site of WENTX. A video provided by the Village Head indicated that inadequate dust suppression measures were being implemented at Portion A1. As confirmed by HKRRP, the major construction activities carried out at Portion A1 on 25 June 2025 included soft and hard excavation and blasting. Upon receipt of the complaint, an ad-hoc site inspection on Portion A1 was conducted by the ET on the morning of 30 June 2025 to evaluate the implementation of dust mitigation measures and assess the effectiveness.</p> <p>According to the impact air quality monitoring result on 25 June 2025 (the day of the complaint) and 28 June 2025 (additional monitoring, no exceedances of environmental performance criteria were recorded for 1-hr TSP and 24-hr TSP. This indicates that the air quality for nearby sensitive receivers were within acceptable levels.</p> <p>In our investigation, HKRRP implemented dust mitigation measures to reduce to potential impact to nearby ASRs, and no exceedances recorded during the concerned day. It is considered that the complaint was a one-off incident with short-term impact. HKRRP was reminded to implement the recommended Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule, specifically to carry out watering 8 times per day during construction phase and to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable, as recommended in the EM&A Program.</p> | Completed |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--|-----------|
| WENTX – 02 | 28 August 2025 | 29 August 2025 | Air Quality & Noise | EPD Project Team | <p>A complaint letter was received by EPD on 28 August 2025, regarding the noise and dust impact generated near the entrance of the Hung-Shing Temple from the construction activities. As advised by HKRRP, the concerned site is located within Portion C1a and this portion of land was handed over to the HKRRP on 25 September 2024 and Hung-Shing Temple (洪聖公廟) is located adjacent to the Portion C1a boundary. Site clearance and formation of a temporary accessed road from Portion C1 to Portion F at Portion C1a was commenced in July 2025 and substantially completed on 4 August 2025.</p> <p>During the construction activities were being carried out at Portion C1a, mitigation measures of noise and dust were properly in place and maintained throughout the work period. Moreover, the temporary access road from Portion C1 to Portion F is idle, and no significant work was observed within approximately 100 meters from the entrance of the temple.</p> <p>According to the impact monitoring result in July and August 2025, no exceedances of environmental performance criteria were recorded. This indicates that the air quality and construction noise impact to the nearby sensitive receivers were within acceptable levels.</p> <p>In conclusion, all site activities are strictly confined within the designated site boundary, and environmental mitigation measures have been properly implemented and monitored, no adverse environmental impact near the entrance of the Hung-Shing Temple arising from the site works is anticipated. As the temporary access road is currently idle after completion of work in early August, it is considered that the complaint is unlikely valid to the works under the Project.</p> | Completed |
|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--|-----------|

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----------|
| WENTX – 03 | 10 September 2025 | 15 September 2025 | Water Quality | EPD | <p>A complaint was received by EPD on 10 September 2025, regarding the flooded access road observed in Portion C1. As advised by the Contractor, the flooded access road in Portion C1 was due to heavy rainfall encountered on 8 September 2025 when Tropical Cyclone Warning Signal No. 3 and No. 8 was in force. Upon observed the accumulation of surface runoff, mitigation measures such as additional submersible pumps and vacuum truck were provided by the Contractor to remove the accumulated surface runoff.</p> <p>Since the access road is within the site area, no untreated surface runoff was discharged out of site boundary. It is considered that the flooded access road caused by heavy rainfall was a one-off incident with short-term impact.</p> <p>HKRRP was reminded to implement the recommended Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule, specifically to ensure all surface runoff generated from work areas are diverted to wastewater treatment facilities prior discharge during construction phase and to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable, as recommended in the EM&A Program.</p> | Completed |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----------|

Appendix M

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B1 – Air Quality

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| <i>Air Quality</i> | | | | | | | |
| S3.8.1 | A1 | <p>The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust emission from construction vehicle movement is confined within the worksites area. Watering facilities will be provided at every designated vehicular exit point. Watering will be carried out 8 times per day during construction phase. | Good construction site practices to control the dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers to within the relevant criteria. | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction and Restoration phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To control the dust impact to within the EM&A criteria (Ref. 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels are 500µgm⁻³ and 260µgm⁻³, respectively) |
| S3.8.2 | A2 | <p>The following measures shall be exercised for stack discharge from Ammonia Stripping Plant (ASP), Flare and LFG Power Generator:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The maximum allowable discharge limit and pollutant removal efficiency for ASP, flare and LFG power generator should be specified in the design specification. Owing to the requirement for the installation of stack, the design requirement shall be submitted to IEC and SM for vetting by the Contractor. Subject to the subsequent EPD's requirement on chimney installation, regular stack monitoring of air pollutants, including NO_x, SO₂, RSP, NMOCs, vinyl chloride, and benzene shall be carried out at a quarterly interval (i.e. once every 3 months), and the operating conditions, including exhaust gas temperature and velocity shall be monitored continuously in order to demonstrate compliance during the operations. A monthly monitoring report should be prepared by ET and submitted to IEC and SM for approval. | Minimize the release of harmful air pollutant to the atmosphere | Contractor | Flare, ASP and LFG Power Generator of WENT Landfill Extension | Design, Operation and Restoration phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TM-EIA, Annex 4 |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| S3.8.2 | A3 | <p>The following measures shall be exercised for the VOC surface emission:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The arrangement of the landfill gas collection system and surface covering material for inactive tipping area shall be reviewed by Contractor every 5 years to identify any modern technology/arrangement (covering material, LFG well spacing and locations). A working team shall be formulated to review all processes, control practice and extraction system in order to maximize the efficiency of the system. A review report should be prepared by the Contractor for the submission to SM and IEC on the implementation/arrangement of LFG extraction system. The first review report should be submitted to SM and IEC for agreement before commencement. With a good system to collect LFG (high extraction efficiency), surface release of VOC to the nearby environment can be much reduced or utilised. • Maintain a slightly negative pressure within the entire tipping area (by suction). Minimise any potential leakage of LFG to the surrounding by increase the number of gas-extraction wells. Improve the extraction efficiency by checking/reinstating gas wells with abnormally low extraction rate due to blockage/soil movement or sedimentation. • Increase the coverage of inactive tipping phases with HDPE/plastic sheet which can enhance the anaerobic decomposition (reduce air getting in and VOC leaking out). • EM&A will be conducted at ASR to establish the future VOC ambient level. This monitoring work should be carried out in a frequency once every 3 months. By comparing the monitoring data at the boundary and at ASR, the cause of VOC and the general downwind dispersion effect (dilution effect) from the boundary to the ASR can be identified. The findings of the monitoring should be incorporated into the landfill gas collection system review report as mentioned above. | Minimize the release of harmful VOC to the environment | Contractor | Active, Inactive and Restored Tipping areas | Design, Before commencement of Operation, Operation and Restoration phases | • TM-EIA, Annex 4 |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| S3.8.2 | A4 | <p>The following design options shall be considered in the future leachate treatment plants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopted updated treatment method such as Sequencing Batch Reactor for future leachate treatment. Provision of ventilated cover for the leachate storage lagoons / tanks and emissions extracted to suitable odour removal filters with odour removal efficiency of 99%. • Ferric nitrate or sodium hypochlorite can be added to oxidise the odourous chemical in the leachate. The pH value of leachate can be controlled to a suitable value from future onsite experiment such that the generation of any odourous H₂S and ammonia can be optimised. • The locations of discharge points and discharge heights should be in accordance with the assumptions adopted in the EIA Report and VEP supporting document. If the future locations / heights of the stacks deviate from the assumptions adopted in the EIA Study VEP supporting document, reassessment of the air quality impact should be conducted. • The overall arrangement should be investigated in details by the Contractor and agreed with IEC and EPD. | Environmental Enhancement to improve the air quality and visual impact to nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | Leachate treatment plants | Design, Operation and Restoration phases | • Environmental Enhancement |
| S3.8.2 | A5 | <p>The following are some odour precautionary measures that shall be considered by EPD and FEHD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As an improvement measure to enhance to environmental standard for waste transfer, EPD could take the initiative to recommend others to use enclosed type RCV in the long run (dominantly government and sludge types). • Clearing / watering of the surface and clearing of the waste water receptor of government RCV is recommended before leaving refuse transfer station or government Refuse Collection Point (FEHD). | Environmental Enhancement to improve the odour impact during the transit of waste | EPD, FEHD | Government RC from RTS and RCP | Operation phase | • Environmental Initiative |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| S3.8.2 | A6 | <p>The Contract shall exercise adequate precautionary measures to minimize any potential odour nuisance from tipping activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planting rows of trees along the northern side of WENT Landfill Extension (ie slope toe) and along Nim Wan Road. • Providing a vehicle washing facility before the exit of the landfill and providing sufficient signage to remind Refuse Collection Vehicles (RCV) drivers to pass through the facility before leaving the landfill. • Reminding the RCV drivers to empty the liquor collection sump and close the valve before leaving the tipping face. • Washing down the area where spillage of RCV liquor is discovered promptly. • Reminding operators to properly maintain their RCVs properly and that liquor does not leak from the vehicles. • Installation of vertical and/or horizontal LFG extraction system to enhance extraction of LFG from the waste mass and hence minimise odour associated with fugitive LFG emissions. • Progressive / temporary restoration of the areas which reach the finished profile (a final capping system including an impermeable liner will be put in place) and installation of a permanent LFG extraction system. • Daily cover the compacted waste with 150mm of soil. • Covering the non-active phase with 300mm to 600mm of soil / an impermeable liner (on top of the intermediate cover), which will not only prevent odour emissions from landfilled waste but also enhance LFG extraction by the LFG extraction system. • Providing deodoriser for the LTP. • Enclosing all the leachate storage and treatment tanks and diverting the exhaust air from these tanks to a deodoriser to avoid potential odour emissions from the LTP. • As an improvement measure to enhance to environmental standard for waste transfer, EPD could take the initiative to recommend others to use enclosed type RCVs (dominantly government vehicles and sludge vehicles). | Minimize the potential odour impact for tipping area to nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | Tipping areas | Operation and Restoration phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TM-EIA, Annex 4 • Odour patrol with 2 Odour Level or below at ASR without causing potential odour nuisance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cleaning / watering of the surface and clearing of the waste water receptor of government RCV is recommended before leaving refuse transfer station or government Refuse Collection Point (FEHD). • The trench for special waste shall be covered with soil immediately upon the disposal of special waste to reduce the odour emission. • For Waste requiring co-disposal (e.g., special waste) by trench, the open trench shall be covered with a mobile de-odouriser cover when the trench is not in use for waste disposal, including the time interval between two consecutive disposal operations. • The use of alternative daily cover (less permeable layer) instead of inert material should be considered under worst-case weather condition, subject to EM&A Programme. • The use of immediate daily cover for odorous waste such as animal waste etc. under critical condition should also be considered, subject to EM&A Programme. • In accordance with some reference from New Zealand, odour from active tipping area can be much reduced if the waste is covered by sandwich covering material such that it is confined in a solid/semi solid condition. Such covering material will be acted as sandwich protective layers to block the interaction of waste. Only diffusion mode (small scale) will be present. These would be applied during very hot and stable weather condition. Twice daily covering (mid day and close of business) can be arranged in case odour patrol identify potential odour nuisance, subject to EM&A Programme. • Posi-shell and/or other suitable materials will be applied to cover the active tipping face at the end of each operation day according to the Enhanced Scheme. • There will also be immediate cover of 300 mm thick soil on the special trench for special wastes. | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| S3.8.2 | A6 (Con't) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to maintain the integrity of the capping system. • Provision of vertical and/or horizontal LFG extraction system to enhance extraction of LFG from the waste mass and hence minimise odour associated with fugitive LFG emissions. • Enclosing all the leachate storage and treatment tanks and diverting the exhaust air from these tanks to a deodoriser to avoid potential odour emissions from the LTP. | Minimize the potential odour impact for tipping area to nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension Site | Aftercare phase | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TM-EIA, Annex 4 • Odour patrol with 2 Odour Level or below at ASR without causing potential odour nuisance |
| <i>Specific measure from VEP</i> | | | | | | | |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular watering on construction / restoration workfronts, haul roads, stockpiling areas etc (at least once per hour). • The quantity of explosive used at each time and spacing of shot holes shall be carefully designed. Blast nets, screens and other protective covers shall be adopted to prevent any fly rocks resulting from blasting activities. • The areas within 30 m from the blasting area will be wetted with water prior to blasting, • Blasting shall not be carried out when the strong wind signal or tropical cyclone warning signal No. 3 or higher is hoisted. Water spraying shall be conducted immediately after each blasting to avoid dispersion of dust. • For marine emissions, on-shore power supply shall be provided where practicable for the construction barges and marine vessels to power the cranes and other machinery on the barges / vessels at the berths to avoid emission from idling at the berth. • The crushers, including the inlets and outlets will be enclosed and ducted to a dust extraction and collection system such as fabric filter in accordance with “A Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) (BPM 11/1(95))”. • All transfer points and conveyor belts will also be enclosed. • Water spraying system will be installed at all feeding and outlet areas to | Good construction site practices to control the dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers to within the relevant criteria. | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction and Restoration phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To control the dust impact to within the EM&A criteria (Ref. 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels are 500µgm⁻³ and 260µgm⁻³, respectively) |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>further suppress dust emission. The contractor shall also apply and obtain the license from EPD for operation of the rock crushing plants under the Air Pollution Control Ordinance and ensure the rock crushing plants designed and operated in accordance with BPM 11/1(95).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Posi-shell and/or other suitable materials will be applied to cover the active tipping face at the end of each operation day according to the Enhanced Scheme. • There will also be immediate cover of 300 mm thick soil on the special trench for special wastes. | | | | | |

Notes :

Entire WENT Landfill Extension site includes Office, Waste Reception Area, Leachate Treatment Works, LFG Treatment Works, Active, Inactive and Restored Tipping Areas.

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B2 – Noise

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|--------------------|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| Construction Noise | | | | | | | |
| S4.4.3.1 | N1 | <p>Use of good site practices to limit noise emissions by considering the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; • machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; • plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; • silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; • mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; • material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. | Control construction airborne noise by means of good site practices | Contractor | Entire site construction | Construction phase | • Noise Control Ordinance |
| S4.4.3.2 | N2 | Select “Quiet plants” which comply with the BS 5228 Part 1 or TM standards. | Reduce the noise levels of plant items | Contractor | Entire site construction | Construction phase | • Noise Control Ordinance & its TM • Annex 5, TM-EIA |
| Operation Noise | | | | | | | |
| S4.6.2 | N3 | Select “Quiet plants” which comply with the BS 5228 Part 1 or TM standards. | Reduce the noise levels of plant items | Contractor | Entire site construction | Operation and Restoration phases | • Noise Control Ordinance & its TM • Annex 5, TM-EIA |
| S4.6.2 | N4 | Build a noise bund of about 3.5m tall along the north eastern seafront of the existing WENT Landfill to provide a screening effect of at least 5dB(A) from the berths. | Reduce the noise levels of barges | Contractor | Existing Landfill WENT | Construction, operation and restoration phases | • Noise Control Ordinance & its TM • Annex 5, TM-EIA |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B3 – Water Quality

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|----------------------------|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Construction Water Quality | | | | | | | |
| S5.6.7 | W1 | <p><u>Construction Runoff</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in ProPECC PN 2/23, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Construction works should be programmed to minimize surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas. Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar | Control construction runoff and erosion from site surface, drainage channel, stockpiles, barging facility, wheel washing facilities, etc to minimize water quality during construction stage | Contractor | Entire site | Construction phase | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ProPECC PN 2/23 Water Pollution Control Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers. • Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 2/23. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing bay should be provided at every construction site exit. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. • Oil interceptors should be provided in the site drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain. • Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. Requirements for solid waste management are detailed in Section 6 of this Report. • All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby. | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| S5.6.7 | W2 | <p><u>Sewage Effluent from Workforce</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance. • Notices will be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the Project. • Regular environmental audit on the construction site can provide an effective control of any malpractices and can achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site. | Control sewage effluent arising from the sanitary facilities provided for the onsite construction workforce | Contractor | On-site sanitary facilities | Construction phase | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance • Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| S5.6.7 | W3 | <p><u>Accidental Spillage of Chemical</u></p> <p>Any service workshop and maintenance facilities shall be located within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors shall be provided. Maintenance of equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage will only be undertaken within the areas.</p> | Control of chemical leakage | Contractor | Service workshop and maintenance facilities | Construction phase | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance • Waste Disposal Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| Operation Water Quality | | | | | | | |
| S5.7.8 | W4 | <p><u>Erosion Control Measures</u></p> <p>a. Preserve Natural Vegetation</p> <p>This Best Management Practices will involve preserving natural vegetation to the greatest extent possible during the construction process, and after construction where appropriate. Maintaining natural vegetation is the most effective and inexpensive form of erosion prevention control.</p> <p>b. Provision of Buffer Zone</p> <p>A buffer zone consists of an undisturbed area or strip of natural vegetation or an established suitable planting adjacent to a disturbed area that reduces erosion and runoff. The rooted vegetation holds soils acts as a wind break and filters runoff that may leave the site.</p> <p>c. Seeding (Temporary/Permanent)</p> <p>A well-established vegetative cover is one of the most effective methods of reducing erosion. Vegetation should be established on construction sites as the slopes are finished, rather than waiting until all the grading is complete. Besides, Hydroseeding will be applied on the surface of stockpiled soil and on temporary soil covers for inactive tipping areas to prevent soil erosion during rainy season.</p> <p>d. Ground Cover</p> <p>Ground Cover is a protective layer of straw or other suitable material applied to the soil surface. Straw mulch and/or hydromulch are also used in conjunction with seeding of critical areas for the establishment of temporary or permanent vegetation. Ground cover provides immediate temporary protection from erosion. Mulch also enhances plant establishment by conserving moisture, holding fertilizer, seed, and topsoil in place, and moderating soil temperatures.</p> <p>e. Hydraulic Application</p> <p>Hydraulic application is a mechanical method of applying erosion control materials to bare soil in order to establish erosion-resistant vegetation on disturbed areas and critical slopes. By using hydraulic equipment, soil amendments, mulch, tackifying agents, Bonded Fiber Matrix (BFM) and liquid co-polymers can be uniformly broadcast, as homogenous slurry, onto the soil. These erosion and dust control materials can often be applied in one operation.</p> | Erosion control | Contractor | Drainage system | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ProPECC PN 2/23 • Water Pollution Control Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | <p>f. Sod</p> <p>Establishes permanent turf for immediate erosion protection and stabilizes rainageways.</p> <p>g. Matting</p> <p>There are numerous erosion control products available that can be described in various ways, such as matting, blankets, fabric and nets. These products are referred as matting. A wide range of materials and combination of materials are used to produce matting including, but not limited to: straw, jute, wood fiber, coir (coconut fiber), plastic netting, and Bonded Fiber Matrix. The selection of matting materials for a site can make a significant difference in the effectiveness of the Best Management Practices.</p> <p>h. Plastic Sheeting</p> <p>Plastic Sheeting will provide immediate protection to slopes and stockpiles. However, it has been known to transfer erosion problems because water will sheet flow off the plastic at high velocity. This is usually attributable to poor application, installation and maintenance.</p> <p>i. Dust Control</p> <p>Dust Control is one preventative measure to minimize the wind transport of soil, prevent traffic hazards and reduce sediment transported by wind and deposited in water resources.</p> | | | | | |
| S5.7.8 | W5 | <p>Temporary surface water drainage system will be provided to manage runoff during construction and operation. This system will consist of channels as constructed around the perimeter of the site area. This system will collect surface water from the areas of higher elevations to those of lower elevations and ultimately to the point of discharge. Erosion will therefore be minimised.</p> <p>The temporary surface water drainage system will include the use of a silt fence around the soil stockpile areas to prevent sediment from entering the system. Regular cleaning will be carried out to prevent blockage of the passage of water flow in silt fence.</p> <p>Intermediate drainage system will be installed for filled cell/phase. The major purpose of the intermediate drainage system is to prevent the clean surface water run-off from the filled phases coming into contact with the waste mass in active cell and to prevent excessive surface water infiltration through the intermediate cover, thus contribute to increasing volume of leachate. The intermediate drainage system will collect the clean surface water run-off and</p> | Surface Water Management / Control run off | Contractor | Surface water system | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Pollution Control Ordinance • TM-water |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | divert it to the permanent discharge channels connected to the public drainage system. In addition, surface flow from the haul road (especially near the wheel washing facility) will be collected to a dry weather flow interceptor and conveyed to the on-site leachate treatment plant for further treatment. | | | | | |
| S5.7.8 | W6 | Monitoring of the surface water discharges and groundwater discharge under the environmental monitoring programme. | Control run off and underground water leakage | Contractor | Surface and underground water system | Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Pollution Control Ordinance • TM-water |
| S5.7.8 | W7 | Formulate contingency Plan on Accidental Leakage of Leachate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design Contingency Plan for Groundwater Contamination • Design Contingency Plan for Surface Water Contamination | Control contamination to surface and ground water | Contractor | Drainage system | Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TM-water • Water Pollution Control Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B4 – Waste Management

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Waste Management | | | | | | | |
| S6.5 | WM1 | <p><u>C&D Materials</u></p> <p>Implement proper waste management measures during construction phase as stipulated in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with the ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management in Construction Sites.</p> <p>Implement a trip-ticket system to ensure that the movement of C&D materials are properly documented and verified in accordance with TCW No. 6/2010. Copies/counterfoils from trip-tickets (with quantities of C&D Materials off-site) should be kept for record purposes.</p> <p>Appropriate waste management should be implemented in accordance with the ETWB TC(W) No 19/2005.</p> <p>Make provisions in Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate.</p> <p>Careful design, planning and good site management to minimise overordering and waste materials such as concrete, mortars and cement grouts. The design of formwork should maximise the use of standard wooden panels so that high reuse levels can be achieved. Alternatives such as steel formwork or plastic fencing should be considered to increase the potential for reuse.</p> <p>The Contractor should recycle as much as possible the C&D waste on-site through proper waste segregation on-site. Concrete and masonry should be used as general fill and steel reinforcement bars can be used by scrap steel mills. Proper areas should be designated for waste segregation and storage wherever site conditions permit. Maximise the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material.</p> <p>Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement. On-site sorting and segregation facility of all type of wastes is considered as one of the best practice in waste management and hence, should be implemented in all projects generating construction waste. The sorted public fill and C&D waste should be properly reused.</p> <p>Excavated slope, stockpiled material and bund walls should be covered by tarpaulin until used in order to prevent wind-blown dust during dry weather, and to reduce muddy runoff during wet weather. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers.</p> <p>If any topsoil-like materials need to be stockpiled for any length of time,</p> | Good site practice to minimise C&D waste generation and reuse/recycle all C&D on-site as far as possible | Contractor | Entire site construction | Construction phase | <p>Waste Disposal Ordinance</p> <p>ETWB TC(W) No.19/2005</p> <p>TCW No. 6/2010</p> |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | <p>consideration should be given to hydroseeding of the topsoil on the stockpile to improve its visual appearance and prevent soil erosion.</p> <p>Nomination of approved personnel to be responsible for good site practices and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated on-site and effective disposal.</p> <p>Training of site personnel for cleanliness, proper waste management procedures including chemical waste handling, and waste reduction, reuse and recycling concepts.</p> <p>Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors.</p> <p>Prior to disposal of C&D waste, wood, steel and other metals should be separated for re-use and/or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. Proper storage and site practices should be implemented to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials.</p> <p>Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. Minimise excessive ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering.</p> | | | | | |
| S6.5 | WM2 | <p><u>Chemical Waste</u></p> <p>Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.</p> <p>Plant/equipment maintenance schedule should be designed to optimise maintenance effectiveness and to minimise the generation of chemical wastes. Where possible, chemical wastes (e.g. waste lube oil) should be recycled by licensed treatment facilities</p> <p>Containers used for storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD. Display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulation.</p> <p>The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for storage of chemical waste, enclosed with at least 3 sides, having an</p> | <p>Ensure proper disposal of chemical waste generated on-site to minimise the associated hazards on human health and environment</p> | Contractor | Entire construction site | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | <p>Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation</p> <p>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</p> |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | <p>impermeable floor and bund of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of volume of the largest container or 20 % of total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest, having adequate ventilation, being covered to prevent rainfall entering, and being arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated.</p> <p>Chemical waste should be collected by licensed waste collectors and disposed of at licensed facility, e.g. Chemical Waste Treatment Centre.</p> | | | | | |
| S6.5 | WM3 | <p><u>General Refuse</u></p> <p>General refuse generated on-site should be properly stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes.</p> <p>All recyclable materials (separated from the general waste) should be stored on-site in appropriate containers with cover prior to collection by a local recycler for subsequent reuse and recycling. Residual, nonrecyclable, general waste should be stored in appropriate containers to avoid odour. Regular collection should be arranged by an approved waste collector in purpose-built vehicles that minimise environmental impacts during transportation</p> <p>Reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law.</p> <p>Aluminum cans should be separated from general waste stream and collected by recyclers. Proper collection bins should be provided on-site to facilitate the waste sorting.</p> <p>Office waste paper should be recycled if the volume warrant collection by recyclers. Participation in community waste paper recycling programme should be considered by the Contractor, including waste paper, aluminum cans, plastic bottles, waste batteries, etc.</p> | Minimise generation of general refuse to avoid odour, pest and visual nuisance | Contractor | Entire construction site | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| S6.5 | WM4 | <p><u>Sludge from Leachate Treatment Works</u></p> <p>Sludge should be collected by a licensed collector at regular intervals, to suit the operation schedule of the leachate treatment plant. The use of purpose-built sludge tankers can minimise the potential of environmental impacts during transportation.</p> | Proper management of sludge arising from leachate treatment works to minimise the associated hazards on human health and environment | Contractor | Leachate Treatment Works | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | Waste Disposal Ordinance |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B5 – Landfill Gas

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended / Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| LFG | | | | | | | |
| Within WENT Landfill Extension | | | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG1 | Special LFG precautions should be taken due to close proximity of WENT Landfill Extension site to existing landfill to avoid potential hazards of LFG exposure (ignition, explosion, asphyxiation, toxicity). | To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in construction site | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction phase | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&I) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.1 | LFG2 | Prominent safety warning signs should be erected on-site to alert all personnel and visitors of LFG hazards during excavation works. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG3 | No smoking or burning should be permitted on-site. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG4 | Prominent 'No smoking' and 'No Naked Flames' signs should be erected on-site. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG5 | No worker should be allowed to work alone at any time in excavated trenches or confined areas on-site. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG6 | Adequate fire fighting equipment should be provided on-site. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG7 | Construction equipment should be equipped with vertical exhaust at least 0.6m above ground installed with spark arrestors. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG8 | Electrical motors and extension cords should be explosion-proof and intrinsically safe for use on-site. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG9 | 'Permit to Work' system should be implemented. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG10 | Welding, flame-cutting or other hot works should be conducted only under 'Permit to Work' system following clear safety requirements, gas monitoring procedures and presence of qualified persons to supervise the works. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG11 | For piping assembly or conduit construction, all valves and seals should be closed immediately after installation to avoid accumulation and migration of LFG. If installation of large diameter pipes (diameter >600mm) is required, the pipe ends should be sealed on one side during installation. Forced ventilation is required prior to operation of installed pipeline. Forced ventilation should also be required for works inside trenches deeper than 1m. | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| S7.6.1 | LFG12 | Frequency and location of LFG monitoring within excavation area should be determined prior to commencement of works. LFG monitoring in excavations should be conducted at no more than 10mm from exposed ground surface. | To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in construction site | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction phase | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&I) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.1 | LFG13 | For excavation works deeper than 1m, LFG monitoring should be conducted (1) at ground surface prior to excavation, (2) immediately before workers entering excavations, (3) at the beginning of each working day for the entire period of excavation remains open, and (4) periodically throughout the working day when workers are in the excavation. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG14 | Any cracks on ground level encountered on-site should be monitored for LFG periodically. Appropriate action should be taken in accordance with the action plan in Table 7.8 of EIA Report. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG15 | LFG precautionary measures involved in excavation and piping works should be provided in accordance with LFG Guidance Note and included in Safety Plan of construction phase. Temporary offices or buildings should be located where free LFG has been proven or raised clear of ground at a separation distance of at least 500mm. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG16 | For large development such as WENT Landfill Extension, a Safety Officer trained in the use of gas detection equipment and LFG-related hazards should be present on-site throughout the groundwork phase. The Safety Officer should be provided with an intrinsically safe portable instrument appropriately calibrated and capable of measuring the following gases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CH₄: 0-100% LEL and 0-100% v/v • CO₂: 0-100% v/v • O₂: 0-21% v/v | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| S7.6.1 | LFG17 | Periodically during groundwork construction, the works area should be monitored for CH ₄ , CO ₂ and O ₂ using appropriately calibrated portable gas detection equipment. The monitoring frequency and areas should be established prior to commencement of groundwork either by Safety Officer or appropriately qualified person. Routine monitoring should be carried out in all excavations, manholes, chambers and any other confined spaces that may have been created by temporary storage of building materials on-site. All measurements in excavations should be made with monitoring tube located not more than 10mm from exposed ground surface. | To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in construction site | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction phase | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.1 | LFG18 | For excavations deeper than 1m, measurements should be conducted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At ground surface before excavation commences; • Immediately before any worker enters the excavation; • At the beginning of each working day for entire period the excavation remains open; and • Periodically throughout the working day whilst workers are in excavation. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG19 | For excavations between 300mm and 1m, measurements should be conducted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directly after excavation has been completed; and • Periodically whilst excavation remains open. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG20 | For excavations less than 300mm, monitoring may be omitted at the discretion of Safety Officer or appropriately qualified person. | | | | | |
| S7.6.1 | LFG21 | Where any service voids, manholes and inspection chambers within WENT Landfill Extension site are entered for maintenance and LFG monitoring, all safety requirements should be followed. | To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in landfill site | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.1 | LFG22 | Buildings onsite should be incorporated with passive system relying on natural air movement to prevent gas build-up and active system requiring energy input to mechanically move air to protect against LFG build-up. Design measures for sub-surface building services should include generic measures e.g. gas barriers, gas vents and strategic routing of any service utilities away from potential LFG migration pathways. | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| S7.6.1 | LFG23 | Any new-built permanent building structures within the WENT Landfill Extension site, forced ventilation and gas detection system with audible alarm should be installed. When the internal atmosphere is detected with >10% of CH ₄ , forced ventilation should be triggered automatically. No person should be allowed to enter or remain in any confined areas when CO ₂ levels >1.5% v/v or O ₂ levels <18% v/v were detected. Access to confined spaces in the WENT Landfill Extension site should be controlled to only authorised persons. | To minimise the risk of LFG hazards to personnel in landfill site | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.1 | LFG24 | Specific gas protection measures which can be applied to building services have been in Appendix 7.4 of EIA Report. They generally include gas barriers, gas vents, location of service entries above ground, and service conduits passing through Consultation Zone. | | | | | |
| S7.6.3 | LFG25 | The design of the landfill gas protection measures to be adopted onsite, e.g. utilities, buildings, LFG cut-off trench barrier, monitoring wells and facilities related to the WENT Landfill Extension project will be performed by a landfill gas specialist consultant appointed by the Contractor. Moreover, the landfill gas protection measures will be checked and certified by a qualified independent consultant. The contractor shall ensure that the required protective measures are implemented and constructed in accordance with the design and shall establish a maintenance and monitoring programme for ensuring the continual performance of the implemented protection measures. The above requirements shall be included in the tender documents of WENT Landfill Extension project. When the detailed design is available, the Contractor is required to undertake further landfill gas hazard assessment to take account of the more readily available detailed information to finalise the design of the landfill gas protection measures recommended in this report. During the future detailed design stage, a review of the preliminary qualitative LFG hazard assessment presented in the report will be carried out, a detailed qualitative LFG hazard assessment will be prepared and all the report together with the detailed design of gas protection measures will be submitted to EPD for vetting. | To ensure that the design of the landfill gas protection measures is in order and appropriate. | The Project Proponent, Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Detailed Design stage | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Precautionary / Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measures | When to implement the measures? | What requirements or standards for the measures to achieve? |
|--|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Outside WENT Landfill Extension | | | | | | | |
| S7.6.2 | LFG26 | Setting up a LFG cut-off trench barrier is one of the mitigation measures for preventing gas entering an area. Since there are no 'design equations' for cut-off barrier specifications, it is therefore essential to seek expert recommendation before finalising the design detail of any cut-off barrier. LFG cut-off trench barrier should be built along the site boundary of the WENT Landfill Extension to prevent gas from entering an area, which is keyed into low permeability strata or extends at least 1m below the lowest groundwater level. To relieve the potential build up of gas, it may be necessary to install additional measures for venting the gas such as trenches filled with no-fines, granular material, e.g. gravel, connected to venting pipes which will provide a preferential pathway for the release of gas to atmosphere. | To cut off any gas migration from WENT Landfill Extension to the middle lagoon and T Park which falls into the 250m LFG consultation zone of WENT Landfill and its Extension. | Contractor | Outside WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction phase | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.2 | LFG27 | Sealing of fault line ends by grouting will be implemented. In the event that investigation works during the detailed design stage identify the presence of laterally persistent faults running beneath the landfill site, and leading towards sensitive receivers, the following works could be carried out: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sealing of any surface exposures of the 'fault' feature exposed during the site formation works. This could be carried out through the application of a shotcrete cover prior to the placement of the landfill liner, which also acts as a barrier to landfill gas migration. Ground treatment at the landfill boundary, comprising pressurized injection of grout within a series of inclined drillholes formed to intersect the fault at various depths. These would effectively form an impermeable barrier against the lateral migration of landfill gas along the fault line. Adequate venting of landfill gases such that insufficient pressures develop to result in lateral or downward migration of gas. | To prevent gas migration through the fault line in particular to the existing Black Point Power Station. | Contractor | Outside WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction phase | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97) Factories and Industrial Undertakings (F&IU) (Confined Spaces) Regulations Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces |
| S7.6.2 | LFG28 | LFG monitoring wells will be installed in the ground on the development side of the cut-off trench barrier to measure the concentration of methane and carbon dioxide. Setting up a LFG cut-off trench barrier is one of the mitigation measures for preventing gas entering an area. Since there are no 'design equations' for cut-off barrier specifications, it is therefore | To determine the effectiveness of the cut-off trench barrier in preventing LFG migration. | Contractor | Outside WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction, Operation, Restoration and Aftercare phases | Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note (EPD/TR8/97). |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | essential to seek expert recommendation before finalising the design detail of any cut-off barrier. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|

**Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension**

Appendix B6 – Landscape and Visual Impact

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives Recommended Measures & Concerns to Address | Who to Implement Measures? | Location of Measures | When to Implement Measures? | What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve? |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| <i>Landscape and Visual Impact</i> | | | | | | | |
| S8.7 | LV1 | <p>Advanced screening tree planting (mitigation measures – MM1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early planting using fast growing trees and tall shrubs at strategic locations within site to block major view corridors to the site from the VSRs, and to locally screen haul roads, excavation works and site preparation works. • Tree planting in standard tree size along the slope toe of WENT Landfill Extension. | <p>To minimise the impact on existing vegetation retained by personnel in construction site</p> | Contractor | Entire construction site | Construction and Operation phases | <p>DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 – Tree Preservation</p> |
| S8.7 | LV2 | <p>Boundary Green Belt planting (mitigation measures – MM2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considerable planting belts proposed around the site perimeter and the construction of temporary soil bunds would screen the landfill operations to a certain degree. Fast growing and fire resistant plant species will be used. | <p>To provide initiation on permanent landscape and visual mitigation measures</p> | | | | <p>ETWB TC(W) No. 6/2015 - Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features</p> |
| S8.7 | LV3 | <p>Temporary landscape treatment as green surface cover (mitigation measures – MM3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For certain areas where landfilling operations would have to be suspended temporarily for a certain period of time, simple temporary landscape treatment such as temporary green colour slope cover should be considered. The period of temporary suspended operation should be sufficiently explicit in order to undertake appropriate temporary landscape treatment. During construction and operation phases, synthetic covering material of green colour should also be used as a temporary slope cover where applicable. Given the extensive area of the proposed extension, development of the site should be divided into phases to minimize the visual impact. | | | | | <p>WBTC No. 6/2011 – Maintenance of Man-made Slopes and Emergency Repair on Stability of Land</p> |
| S8.7 | LV4 | <p>Existing tree preservation (mitigation measures – MM4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No trees should be felled or transplanted unless they are inevitably affected by the Project. Affected trees should be transplanted under circumstances where technically feasible. A tree survey report should be prepared and a tree felling application should be submitted to government during the detailed design stage for approval before site formation works commence. The numbers, locations, species and sizes of the trees to be transplanted or felled should be clearly addressed. | | | | | |

**Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension**

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address | Who to Implement Measures? | Location of Measures | When to Implement Measures? | What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve? |
|---------|--------------|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| S8.7 | LV5 | <p>Sensible final contour grading (mitigation measures – MM5)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The final landfill will provide a structurally stable and visually interesting landform, which is visually compatible with surrounding landscape and contoured to simulate adjacent undeveloped area. Introduction and continuation of natural features such as spurs, ridges and valleys will be considered where appropriate. | To minimise the visual impact on landfill. | Contractor | Entire construction site | Restoration and Aftercare phases | DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 – Tree Preservation ETWB TC(W) No. 6/2015 – Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features |
| S8.7 | LV6 | <p>Sufficient cover soil of landfill final capping (mitigation measures – MM6)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient cover soil of landfill final capping will be placed above the low-permeable layer and drainage layer, so as to sustain the proposed planting. The cover soil layer should be a minimum of 500mm in thickness for grassland, a minimum of 700mm for shrubland and 1000mm for woodland. Immediately after the completion of localized earthworks for the cover soil layer, the soil surface should be stabilized and greened by grass hydroseeding prior to subsequent landscape planting. | To provide site preparation for compensatory planting under the requirements of mitigation measures. | Contractor | Entire construction site | Restoration and Aftercare phases | WBTC No. 6/2011 – Maintenance of Man-made Slopes and Emergency Repair on Stability of Land |
| S8.7 | LV7 | <p>Landscape planting and maintenance (mitigation measures – MM7)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planting and maintenance to allow vegetation establishment to match the natural vegetation of the surroundings. Seedlings of native tree species will be planted in the second phase. Reprovision of mangroves in some suitable locations inside the project boundary for compensation. Planting layout to establish a coherent pattern of woodland, shrubland and grassland vegetation. In the approved WENTX EIA, 21 ha of woodland compensatory planting to be planted after restoration phase. The Enhanced Scheme would largely minimize encroachment onto the woodland resulting in a small area of loss only, i.e. 0.12 ha. In line with the same principle as the approved WENTX EIA (ratio = 5:1 in terms of area), the total compensatory woodland planting area should be around 0.60 ha. | To minimise the landscape and visual impact on the affected planting areas and provide permanent landscape planting under the mitigation measures | Contractor | Entire construction site | Restoration and Aftercare phases | |

**Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension**

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address | Who to Implement Measures? | Location of Measures | When to Implement Measures? | What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve? |
|---------|--------------|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| S8.7 | LV8 | <p>Woodland vegetation management (mitigation measures – MM8)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thinning of pioneer trees to be carried out in the period of 5-8 years after the establishment period for each phase of works. • It includes the selective removal of pioneer trees to provide more light and space between trees that is beneficial for growth and natural regeneration of native trees in the woodland planting mix. • Proper maintenance and management for woodland planting is required to provide good quality of compensatory planting. During establishment period of the woodland planting, proper inspection of the death rate of each species in terms of quantity shall be provided and stated in Environmental Permit that forms part of DBO contract. | To maintain the compensatory woodland planting effectively for mitigation measures. | Contractor | Entire construction site | Restoration and Aftercare phases | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix C7 – Cultural Heritage

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives of Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address | Who to Implement Measures? | Location of Measures | When to Implement Measures? | What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve? |
|--|--------------|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Cultural Heritage Impact | | | | | | | |
| Construction and Operation Phases | | | | | | | |
| Under the Enhanced Scheme, the revised boundary will totally avoid encroachment onto the Tsang Tsui Site of Archaeological Interest, graves and temple. No potential cultural heritage impact due to the Project is anticipated, and thus no mitigation measures are required for the Enhanced Scheme. | | | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix C8 – Ecology

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address | Who to Implement Measures? | Location Measures | When to Implement Measures? | What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve? |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Ecology | | | | | | | |
| General Protection Measures: | | | | | | | |
| S10 | E1 | Restriction of construction activities to the work areas that would be clearly demarcated. | To minimise environmental impacts and therefore potential ecological impacts within and near the construction site | Contractor | Entire construction site | Construction Phase | Practice Note for Professional Persons (ProPECC), Construction Site Drainage (PN2/23) Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labeling and Storage of Chemical Wastes, EPD (2022) ETWB TC(W)) No. 33/2002 Management of Construction and Demolition Material Including Rock TCW No. 6/2010 Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials ETWB TC(W) No. 15/2003 Waste Management on Construction Sites WBTC No.12/2002, Specifications Facilitating the Use of Recycled Aggregates WBTC Nos. 25/99, 25/99A and 25/99C. Incorporation of Information on Construction and Demolition Material Management in Public Works Subcommittee Papers |
| S10 | E2 | Reinstatement of the work areas immediately after completion of the works. | | | | | |
| S10 | E3 | Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme. | | | | | |
| S10 | E4 | Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. | | | | | |
| S10 | E5 | Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs. | | | | | |
| S10 | E6 | Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works. | | | | | |
| S10 | E7 | Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable. | | | | | |
| S10 | E8 | Material stockpiles, site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. | | | | | |
| S10 | E9 | Use of “quiet” plant and working methods. | | | | | |
| S10 | E10 | Construction phase mitigation measures in the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage. | | | | | |
| S10 | E11 | Design and set up of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction. | | | | | |
| S10 | E12 | Design and incorporation of silt/sediment traps in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates and regular removal of deposited silt and grit. | | | | | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to Address | Who to Implement Measures? | Location Measures | When to Implement Measures? | What Requirements or Standards for Measures to Achieve? |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| S10 | E13 | Minimization of surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September), and in particular, control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. | | | | | |
| S10 | E14 | Regular inspection and maintenance of all drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. | | | | | |
| S10 | E15 | Provision of oil interceptors in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. | | | | | |
| Specific Mitigation Measures: | | | | | | | |
| S10 | E17 | Survey and transplanted plant species of conservation concern before site clearance, and 2 years of monitoring after transplanted. During the latest field survey in January 2024 and the Transplantation and Management Plan, only three groups of <i>Nepenthes mirabilis</i> (Pitcher Plant) were found and feasible to be transplanted. | To minimise loss of plant species of conservation concern | Contractor | Within and construction site | Before commencement of construction phase | N/A |
| S10 | E18 | 0.60 ha of woodland compensatory planting after restoration phase. 10-year ecological monitoring of compensatory woodland planting during the after-care phases | To mitigate loss of woodland habitat | Contractor | Entire construction site | Restoration and Aftercare phase | N/A |
| S10 | E20 | Survey and translocation of the three fish species of conservation interest before site clearance, including <i>Squaliobarbus curriculus</i> , <i>Osteochilus vittatus</i> and <i>Kuhlia marginata</i> | To provide precautionary measure for fish species of conservation concern | Contractor | Within and near Construction site | Before commencement of construction phase | |
| S10 | E21 | Set up water quality monitoring station at Tai Shui Hang Stream | To provide precautionary measure for fish species of conservation concern | Contractor | Tai Shui Hang Stream | Before commencement of construction phase | |

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
WENT Landfill Extension

Appendix B9 – Pulverized Fuel Ash Impact

| EIA Ref | EM&A Log Ref | Recommended Mitigation Measures (to be implemented when the trigger level is exceeded, where necessary) | Objectives Recommended Measures Main Concerns to Address | Who Implement Measures? | Location Measures | When Implement Measures? | What Requirements Standards Measures to Achieve? |
|--|--------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Pulverized Fuel Ash Impact | | | | | | | |
| Construction and Operation Phases | | | | | | | |
| S11.5 | PF1 | Recommended measures/ good practices are to be considered | To control radon health risk | Contractor | Entire WENT Landfill Extension site | Construction and Operation phases | ProPECC Note PN 1/99 Control of Radon Concentration in New Buildings |

Appendix N
Investigation Report

(Not Used)

Appendix O

Photo Record of Tree Receptor Site of Portion B4 and 30m Tree Buffer Zone

Portion B4





30m Tree Buffer Zone





Appendix P

Environmental Review Report for Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area



Environmental Protection Department
2nd floor, West Wing
Island West Transfer Station
88 Victoria Road
Kennedy Town
Hong Kong

Your reference:

Our reference: HKEPD259/50/110912

Date: 8 December 2025

Attention: Ms Kins Lo

BY EMAIL & POST
(email: wklo@epd.gov.hk)

Dear Sirs

Quotation Ref. 23-02230
Provision of Independent Environmental Checker Consultancy Services for
West New Territories Landfill Extension
Environmental Review Report for Recycling and
Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area

We refer to email of 8 December 2025 from Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park attaching the report of the captioned.

We have no comment and hereby verify the captioned report in accordance with Clause 1.9 of the Environmental Permit (EP No.: EP-393/2010/A) and Further Environmental Permit (FEP No. FEP-01/393/2010/A).

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Mr Alex Chan on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully
ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

James Choi
Independent Environmental Checker

CPSJ/LCCR/CYCA/csym

Our Ref: TCS01325/23/300/L0165

Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park
29/F China Overseas Building,
139 Hennessy Road, Hong Kong

Attn: Mr. Kenneth Lau

8 December 2025
By email

Dear Sir,

Re: Contract No. EP/SP/186/21
West New Territories (WENT) Landfill Extension
ETL's Certification letter for Environmental Review Report - Recycling and Reuse
of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area

With reference to the Environmental Review Report for Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area dated 8 December 2025, we hereby certify this submission in accordance with Condition 1.9 of Environmental Permit EP-393/2010/A and Further Environmental Permit FEP-01/393/2010/A.

Should you have any queries or require further information, please feel free to the undersigned at Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079.

Yours sincerely,
For and on Behalf of
Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



Tam Tak Wing
Environmental Team Leader

Ref. PW251003-01-A

Date: 08 December 2025

Environmental Review Report for Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges and Waste Reception Area

Prepared By:

Henry Xian

M. Eng., MHKIEIA, BEAM Pro

Cai Ting

*MPhil, MASC, MCHKRI
MHKIOA, AMHKIEIA, MSPIE
WELL AP, LEED AP BD+C*

**Promising Engineering and
Consulting (Hong Kong) Co., Limited**

Member of PRC Group

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Introduction..... | 3 |
| 2. Proposed Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges..... | 3 |
| 3. Review of Air Quality and Odour Impact..... | 5 |
| 4. Review of Noise Impact | 6 |
| 5. Review of Water Quality Impact..... | 7 |
| 6. Review of Other Environmental Impact | 8 |
| 7. Conclusion | 9 |
| Appendix A. | 10 |

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The West New Territories Landfill Extension (WENTX) is classified as a Designated Project (DP) under Schedule 2, Part I of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) (Cap. 499). The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report (AEIAR-147/2009) of WENTX was approved in November 2009 and the respective Environmental Permit No. EP-393/2010 was granted in June 2010.
- 1.2 In consideration of the interfacing projects, commitments, and neighborhoods enhancement initiatives, a revised reference design and implementation program for WENTX (hereafter referred to as the Enhanced Scheme) has been proposed. Under the Enhanced Scheme, the boundary of WENTX has been reduced, and the waste filling area and landfill capacity has been updated to 94 ha and 76 Mm³ respectively. The Variation of Environmental Permit (VEP) (application number VEP-617/2022) was applied by the project proponent, and EP-393/2010/A was subsequently issued by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 29 July 2022.
- 1.3 In August 2023, Hong Kong Resources Recovery Park (hereinafter named “HKRRP”) was awarded the Design, Build and Operate (DBO) Contract of WENTX (hereinafter named “the Project”). Further Environmental Permit (FEP-01/393/2010/A) was granted by HKRRP from EPD on 6 October 2023.

2. Proposed Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges

- 2.1 Under the WENTX EIA report, the WENTX project covers the following but not limited to: -
 - Provision of utilities, drainage and road network necessary for the proper operation of the WENTX project;
 - Provision of facilities (both civil works and electrical and mechanical equipment) for waste reception, inspection, charging, handling and compaction and plant maintenance; and
 - Provision of facilities for site administration.
- 2.2 Under the Enhanced Scheme, new Landfill Infrastructure for WENTX would be constructed and operate at the south of T.PARK as shown in **Figure A-1**. The weighbridges and waste reception area (WRA) of the WENT Landfill (WENT) adjacent to the WENTX project site as shown in **Figure A-1** will no longer be required when WENT ceases its operation.
- 2.3 For the beneficial reuse of the existing WENT WRA, it is proposed to transfer, recycling, reuse and upgrading of the existing WENT weighbridge systems and WRA, such that they can continue to be utilized during the Operation of WENTX. The Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges would bring environmental benefits to the nearby community and the environment, including

- Reduce raw material extraction - building a new WRA requires large amount of materials.
 - Lower carbon emission -manufacturing steel and cement are highly carbon-intensive while by reusing, it could avoid the embodied carbon of new materials and the emissions from transporting them.
 - Minimized Construction Waste - new construction generates demolition debris, packaging waste, and offcuts; and reuse keeps existing components in service, reducing landfill loads and pollution.
 - Energy Savings - energy used in fabrication, transport, and installation of new weighbridges is substantial and reuse requires far less energy, often limited to refurbishment or minor repairs.
- 2.4 The Contractor plans to take possession of the existing WENT weighbridges and waste reception area once operations at WENT cease. They will then replace and upgrade the weighbridge systems and digital operational platform — encompassing software and equipment — as needed to ensure compliance with the WENTX contract requirements.
- 2.5 The necessary changes for the Contractor to operate the existing WENT weighbridges, including upgrades to meet WENTX specifications, new traffic markings, and modifications to accommodate the reversed vehicle route, will be carefully planned in advance of the transition from WENT to WENTX operations.
- 2.6 In order to beneficial re-use of the existing WENT WRA, the WENTX project is required to
- Operation and maintenance of the additional land (i.e. WENT’s existing waste reception area) as shown in the [Figure A-1](#).
 - Taking over of the existing utility services in WENT’s WRA and the related works to be carried out in the additional land.
 - Taking over of the existing weighbridge and the necessary modification and upgrades to the weighbridge system.
 - Demolition of the site office of existing WENT Landfill and other works to facilitate the operation of WENT.
- 2.7 In respect of the design and engineering changes, there is a need to conduct a review to identify and evaluate the potential environmental impacts for reusing WENT's Weighbridge for WENTX landfill operation.
- 2.8 The purposed of this Environmental Review Report (ERR) is to address the benefit of reuse of existing landfill weighbridges, identify and assess the potential environmental impact of the Recycling and Reuse of WENT Landfill Weighbridges.

3. Review of Air Quality and Odour Impact

- 3.1 This section reviews the air quality and odour impacts induced from the proposed recycling, reuse, and upgrading of the existing WENT Landfill weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) for the WENTX project.

Identification of Pollution Sources

Construction Phase

- 3.2 During the upgrading works, the scope of activities will include the demolition of the existing WENT Landfill site office and associated works to facilitate operational requirements. Additional tasks comprise the replacement of weighbridge components, installation of new digital systems (including software and hardware), application of updated traffic markings, and minor modifications to accommodate the revised vehicle routing. These activities encompass all works outlined in the Contractor's Proposal and are expected to be short-term in nature, with an estimated duration of approximately four to six weeks, confined to a localized area of about 0.5 hectares within the existing Waste Reception Area (WRA).
- 3.3 Potential dust emissions may arise from minor excavation or surface preparation required for traffic markings and route adjustments. However, with the implementation of appropriate dust suppression measures in accordance with the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, the Air Pollution Control (Non-Road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation, and adherence to best site practices, significant construction dust impacts are not anticipated.

Operation Phase

- 3.4 The upgraded weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) will operate in accordance with the standards previously assessed under the EIA and VEP, while being fully integrated into WENTX operations. Particulate emissions may occur from vehicle movements on paved surfaces, and gaseous emissions — including nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), and particulates from fuel combustion — are anticipated. However, these emissions are expected to remain comparable to baseline conditions at WENT and are not projected to increase significantly. The EIA for WENTX has confirmed that no adverse air quality impacts are anticipated during the operation of the weighbridges and WRA.
- 3.5 Appropriate mitigation measures, such as regular watering of exposed areas and vehicle speed limits, will be implemented to minimize potential dust emissions. Best practicable means for dust suppression and fugitive emission control will be incorporated.

Study Area and Air Sensitive Receivers

- 3.6 The study area for the air quality assessment was defined as a distance of 500m from the boundary of the Project Site. The representative air sensitive receivers (ASRs) within the 500m distance have been identified, include the office of T.PARK and Tsang Tsui Columbarium. The location of this ASR is shown in [Figure A-1](#).

Air Quality and Odour Impact Assessment

- 3.7 In 2022, an assessment was conducted to support the VEP application for the Enhanced Scheme of WENTX. The potential dust and air quality impacts during the construction, operational, restoration, and aftercare phases of the proposed WENTX have been assessed and concluded that no adverse dust and air quality impacts due to the construction, operational, restoration, and aftercare of the proposed WENTX is anticipated. The activities associated with the weighbridges and WRA under the original scheme were considered in this assessment.
- 3.8 The proposed activities involve minimal new construction, focusing on the transfer, recycling, reuse, upgrading of existing facilities and demolition of the site office of existing WENT Landfill. No additional air pollution control plan is deemed necessary beyond standard practices, as the scope is limited and impacts are expected to be negligible.
- 3.9 Refer to the approved WENTX EIA Report, the identified odour emission source cause from leachate treatment facilities, waste transfer and tipping activities. Consequently, no odour impacts are expected from the proposed recycling and reuse activities associated with the existing weighbridge infrastructure.
- 3.10 The existing WENT Weighbridges proposed to be reused for WENTX landfill operation is located further away from the original proposed WENTX Weighbridges under the design in 2022 VEP. By providing a larger separation distance from the ASRs, no adverse dust, odour or air quality impact anticipated.

4. Review of Noise Impact

General

- 4.1 This section reviews and addresses potential noise impacts induced from the proposed recycling, reuse, and upgrading of the existing WENT Landfill weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) for the WENTX project.

Identification of Pollution Sources

- 4.2 During the upgrading phase, temporary noise emissions may occur from powered mechanical equipment (PME) used for component replacement, installation of digital systems, demolition works, and minor route modifications. These activities are short-term in nature, estimated to last approximately four to six weeks, and will be confined to a localized area of about 0.5 hectares.
- 4.3 In the operational phase, noise levels generated by vehicle movements at the weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) are expected to remain comparable to baseline conditions at WENT, with daily traffic flows of approximately 200–300 vehicles. The reversed vehicle route modification, which involves altering entry and exit directions within the existing paved footprint of 1.5 hectares, will not introduce new noise sources or substantially change propagation patterns. The rural setting and distance to noise-sensitive receivers (NSRs) further mitigate potential impacts.

Study Area and Noise Sensitive Receivers

- 4.4 The study area for noise assessment is defined as 300m from the project boundary, consistent with prior WENTX assessments. The noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) are located at least 1 km away from the nearest worksites and landfill cells.

Noise Impact Assessment

- 4.4 Under the original scope, construction noise was assessed using standard PME such as excavators and generators (e.g., 2-3 units for site preparation). Under the changed scope, PME usage is reduced (e.g., 1-2 silenced units for minor upgrades only), resulting in lower noise levels (predicted 55-60 dB(A) at NSRs vs. 65 dB(A) baseline).
- 4.5 Noise from construction activities will be controlled through adherence to the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and good site practices, such as using silenced PME. If any works by using PMEs at restricted hours, valid construction noise permit (CNP) must be granted from the authority. Given the limited scope and duration, and with adherence to the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and best practicable site practices, no significant construction noise impacts are anticipated.
- 4.6 Operational noise will remain comparable to existing conditions, with vehicle flows integrated into WENTX traffic. Under the Section 4 of WENTX EIA report, the upgrades do not introduce any new noise sources. Previous assessments under the EIA confirm that no adverse noise impacts are anticipated during weighbridge and WRA operations.
- 4.7 The 2022 VEP assessment for the Enhanced Scheme of WENTX concluded that no adverse noise impacts are anticipated during construction, operation, restoration, and aftercare phases, with existing weighbridge and WRA activities factored in. The proposed upgrades are minor and short-term, further reducing potential noise concerns. The proposed reuse and changed scope are consistent with the findings of the VEP document (VEP-617/2022), with diminished impacts due to reduced construction scale.

5. Review of Water Quality Impact

General

- 5.1 This section evaluates potential water quality impacts associated with the proposed recycling, reuse, and upgrading of the existing WENT Landfill weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) as part of the WENTX project. The assessment considers the construction stage and operation of the weighbridge, focusing on activities such as minor excavation, surface modifications, and equipment installation within the existing footprint.

Identification of Pollution Sources

- 5.2 Under the original scope, water quality risks were primarily associated with constructing a new Waste Reception Area (WRA), which involved extensive earthworks and potential sediment-laden runoff. Under the revised scope, activities are limited to in-situ upgrades, reducing excavation volume by approximately 80% and focusing on existing paved surfaces. This significantly lowers the risk of sediment runoff and associated water quality impacts.

- 5.3 During the upgrading works, potential sources of impact include surface runoff from minor excavations or modifications, which may carry sediments or trace pollutants. However, the amount of wastewater generated from the upgrading works will be limited. During the operation phase, surface runoff from the reused weighbridges will be collected via the existing sewage sump pit and conveyed to the WENTX treatment facility for proper handling.
- 5.4 The 2022 VEP assessment for WENTX concluded no adverse water quality impacts, with existing site drainage systems considered and no adverse water quality impacts are expected during the upgrading works and operation phase.

6. Review of Other Environmental Impact

Waste Management

- 6.1 The proposed recycling and reuse of the existing WENT weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) align with the principles of waste reduction and circular economy. Many existing structures, equipment, and infrastructure will be retained and upgraded in-situ, thereby avoiding demolition waste and the need for new materials.
- 6.2 Minor construction and demolition waste (e.g., demolition of the site office of existing WENT Landfill, removed old weighbridge components, packaging from new equipment, and spent materials from resurfacing or re-marking) will be generated during the upgrading works. All waste will be handled, sorted, and disposed of in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance, Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, and the approved Waste Management Plan for the WENTX project. Recyclable materials (e.g., metals from old weighbridge parts) will be properly segregated and collect by licensed recyclers.
- 6.3 Under the proper implementation of waste management measures and adherence to regulatory requirements, no adverse impact related to waste generation, or disposal are anticipated.

Landfill Gas Hazard

- 6.4 The existing weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) have operated safely for many years without any recorded landfill gas issues. If any excavation activity is required under the proposed works, the precaution measures under the Chapter 8 of LFG Guidance Note and monitoring of LFG for difference excavation depth (less than 300mm, between 300mm and 1m, more than 1m) should be conducted before and after the excavation activity.

Other Aspects

- 6.5 Ecology and Cultural Heritage aspects are not relevant to the proposed minor upgrading and reuse of the existing weighbridges and WRA. For any potential existing trees removal within proposed works area, the procedures under the Trees Preservation and Removal Proposal (TPRP) should prepare and submit to Tree Work Vetting Panels (TWVP) to obtain the approval prior any trees removal works on-site.

7. Conclusion

- 7.1 This Environmental Review Report has evaluated the potential environmental impacts arising from the recycling, reuse, and upgrading of the existing WENT Landfill weighbridges and Waste Reception Area for continued use during the operation of the West New Territories Landfill Extension (WENTX).
- 7.2 The proposed works are minor in scale, short-term in nature, and confined to existing developed areas. The upgrading activities primarily involve replacement and enhancement of equipment and markings. Reuse of existing weighbridges located adjacent to the WENTX landfill area, offer greater operational efficiency.
- 7.3 With the good site practices, no adverse impacts on air quality, noise, water quality, waste management, landfill gas safety, or other environmental aspects are anticipated. The proposal fully supports resource recovery and sustainability objectives by extending the useful life of existing infrastructure.
- 7.4 In comparison with the construction of entirely new weighbridge facilities, the proposed recycling and reuse approach will result in substantially reduced environmental impacts. The findings of this ERR are consistent with the conclusions of the approved EIA Report (AEIAR-147/2009), the FEP(FEP-01/393/2010/A), the 2022 VEP (VEP-617/2022) supporting document, and the current Environmental Permit (EP-393/2010/A).
- 7.5 The proposed recycling and reuse of the existing WENT weighbridges and Waste Reception Area (WRA) facilities are anticipated to deliver significant environmental benefits, consistent with the objectives of the WENTX project. This approach will substantially reduce construction-related greenhouse gas emissions by minimizing new build activities and will mitigate the generation of construction and demolition (C&D) waste associated with the decommissioning of existing infrastructure.
- 7.6 This strategy is fully consistent with the contractual obligations under the original WENTX scope and aligns with established principles of resource efficiency. Based on the findings of the environmental review, the reuse of weighbridges is deemed environmentally acceptable and effective in minimizing potential environmental impacts.

Appendix A.




Figure A-1 Location of the WENTX and Representative Sensitive Receivers