

**Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project**

**EP-249/2006/B – Condition 3.4**

**Monthly EM&A Report – March 2012**

**Certified by**



**on 17-Apr-12**

Lindsay Pickles (ETL)

Verified by Independent Environmental Checker on 19-Apr-12

IEC Certificate attached in the submission? Yes

**Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project**

**Environmental Permit No. EP-249/2006/B - Condition 3.4**

**Monthly EM&A Report – March 2012**

**Submitted by Ocean Park Corporation on 17-04-2012**

**This is to verify that**

**Monthly EM&A Report – March 2012**

**Submitted by Ocean Park Corporation**

**On 17-04-2012**

**Has been verified by the undersigned.**

Signed



Dr Anne F Kerr  
Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)  
Retained by Ocean Park Corporation  
pursuant to Environmental Permit No. EP-249/2006/B

Date

19 April 2012



## Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report –  
March 2012



**Table of Content**

**Part 1 Project Overview**

**Executive Summary**

<b>1.</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Project Organisation .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>Construction Works Undertaken during the Reporting Month.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Permits and License Status .....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT .....	5
4.2	CNP.....	5
4.3	OTHER PERMITS & LICENSES .....	6
<b>5.</b>	<b>EP Submissions Status .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>Materials Management.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>Environmental Monitoring and Results.....</b>	<b>7</b>
7.1	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS .....	7
7.1.1	CONSTRUCTION MONITORING .....	7
7.2	MONITORING RESULTS.....	9
7.2.1	CONSTRUCTION MONITORING RESULTS .....	9
7.2.2	OPERATIONAL STAGE MONITORING FOR OCEAN PARK SYMBIO SHOW .....	10
<b>8.</b>	<b>Site Audit.....</b>	<b>11</b>
8.1	IEC SITE AUDIT .....	11
8.2	NON- COMPLIANCE .....	11
<b>9.</b>	<b>Implementation status of Environmental Mitigation Measures .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>Summary of Complaint, Summon or Prosecution .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>Future Issues .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>12.</b>	<b>Conclusion and Recommendation .....</b>	<b>12</b>
12.1	CONCLUSION .....	12
12.2	RECOMMENDATION .....	12

**Appendix A IEC’s Site Inspection Records**

**Part 2 CS-03 EM&A Monthly Report – March 2012**

**Part 3 Ocean Park Symbio Show  
13th Monthly Monitoring Report**

## **Part 1 Project Overview**

### **Executive Summary**

This is the combined monthly EM&A Report for Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project, which includes CS03 “Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure” under Part 2. This report presents the results of EM&A works conducted in the reporting month of March 2012 (from 26 February 2012 to 25 March 2012) for construction works and in the reporting month of February 2012 (27 January 2012 to 26 February 2012) for Operational Monitoring.

Construction works at the Entry Plaza, Aqua City and Grand Aquarium under CI07 have been completed in January 2011 and, as advised to EPD on 1 April (PD/PW/GOV/151/006107), no further construction monitoring will be undertaken.

Construction works at the Summit, CS02 for the Rainforest have been completed in April 2011.

At the Summit, Contract CS03, for the Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure, is still underway. Other than ongoing Coral Survey, there will be no construction monitoring undertaken. The audits will continue to be carried out by the Contractors ET and OPC's ET and verified by the IEC.

Environmental monitoring for the Park's Operations has commenced upon the opening of Aqua City and with the commencement of the Symbio Show on 27 January 2011. The 13th Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Report for the Ocean Park Symbio Show is included in this report under Part 3.

No complaint, non-compliance from IEC, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was made against the Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project in the reporting period of March 2012 for Construction works and in the reporting month of February 2012 (27 January 2012 to 26 February 2012) for Operational Monitoring.

The request to EPD for termination of the Air Quality (RSP) and Noise monitoring programmes for the operation of the Lagoon night shows has been approved by EPD on 13 February 2012.

## 1. Introduction

The “Master Redevelopment Project of Ocean Park” (hereinafter known as the “Project”) is implemented by the Ocean Park Corporation at its existing site of Ocean Park and Nam Long Shan, Aberdeen. The Project involves both reconstruction/modification of existing facilities and expansion of the Park under Environmental Permit, EP-249/2006/B.

The construction works of the project consists of various contracts. Details of the contracts, which are required to perform the EM&A programme, are shown below.

Contract No.	Contract Title	Contractor	Construction Commencement
CI-05	Site Formation, Funicular Tunnel and Miscellaneous Works	Dragages-Bouygues JV	12 March 2007 and Construction phase has ceased in early June 2009
CS-01	Back of House for Marine Mammal Veterinary Hospital	Kaden – ATAL JV	26 March 2007 and Construction phase has ceased in mid-October 2008
CW-02	Astounding Asia	W. Hing Construction Co. Ltd.	1 August 2007 and Construction phase has ceased in mid-February 2010
CI-07	Entry Plaza, Aqua City and Grand Aquarium	Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd.	15 August 2008 and Construction Phase has ceased in January 2011
CS-02	Rainforest	W. Hing Construction Co. Ltd.	11 May 2009 and construction has ceased in April 2011
CS-03	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Kaden – ATAL JV	2 November 2009


The Contractors conduct environmental audits during the construction stage and produce contract specific monthly EM&A reports. This is the combined monthly EM&A Report including the IEC audit findings, CS03 Monthly EM&A Report, and the Operational Monitoring Report for the Ocean Park Symbio Show.

This report presents the results of EM&A works conducted in the reporting month of March 2012 (from 26 February 2012 to 25 March 2012) for construction works and in the reporting month of February (27 January 2012 to 26 February 2012) for Operational Monitoring.

## 2. Project Organisation

The structure of the environmental management team is shown in below figure.

Figure 1.1 – Management Organisation



## 3. Construction Works Undertaken during the Reporting Month

In the reporting month, the construction activities are summarised as follows.

### CI-05

- Construction phase has ceased in early June 2009.

### CS-01

- Construction phase has ceased in mid-October 2008.

### CW-02

- Construction phase has ceased in mid-February 2010.

### CI-07

- Construction phase has ceased in January 2011.

### CS-02

- Construction phase has ceased in April 2011.

### CS-03

- Fitting Out works at Tuxedos Restaurant of South Pole;
- Finishing works at Bobsled Station and trial running of rides;
- Install all the ceiling area;
- Apply wall painting in P.A. Building;
- Install timber door in P.A. Building;
- Install lavatory sanitary;
- Install wall stone tile;
- Install entrance glass sliding door in P.A. Building;
- Lay floor carpet in P.A. Building
- Installation of glass balustrade at north P.A.;
- Install glass partition wall in P.A. Building
- Installation of theme works at P.A. Building and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

#### 4. Permits and License Status

##### 4.1 Environmental Permit

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of the Project has been approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) (Register No.: AEIAR-101/2006) on 12 July 2006. Subsequently, EPD issued Environmental Permit (EP) for the construction and operation of the project. Table below is a full list of the EPs.

EP No.	Issue Date	Key Variation
EP-249/2006	28 July 2006	First EP
EP-249/2006/A	25 September 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enhance the roosting habitat for freshwater birds by enlarging Pond 35 and its surrounds with a total area of no less than 120 squares meters and no construction works and discharge from construction sites shall be allowed within Pond 35 after enhancement.</li> <li>Filling of Pond 37 at the Lowland Area.</li> <li>Submission of the as-built drawings showing the enhancement works of Pond 35.</li> </ul>
EP-249/2006B	3 November 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total sound power level of all loudspeaker clusters shall not exceed 109 db(A) and the sound pressure level at 9m away from each loudspeaker cluster shall not exceed 75 db(A).</li> <li>Submit noise review study.</li> <li>Submit detail design of night time functional and thematic lighting.</li> <li>Trial pyrotechnical special effects materials display and submit air quality sampling plan.</li> </ul>

##### 4.2 CNP

Table below shows a list of CNP within the reporting month.

Permit No.	Starting Date	Expired Date	Validity	Location	Contract No.	Status
<b>CS-03 (KAJV)</b>						
GW-RS1128-11	9-Dec-11	31-May-12	<i>Various</i>	Top of Nam Long Shan Road	CS03	Valid

#### 4.3 Other Permits & Licenses

Tables below show lists of other permits & license for individual contracts.

##### CS-03

Permit/Ref/No	Valid Period		Section	Status
<b>Notification of Construction Work under APCO</b>				
311433	N/A	N/A	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Valid
<b>Water Discharge License</b>				
WT00005926-2010	12-Feb-10	28-Feb-15	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Valid
<b>Registration as Chemical Waste Producer</b>				
WPN5213-176-K2880-02	25-Nov-09	N/A	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Registered
<b>Construction Waste Disposal Billing Account with EPD</b>				
7009695	N/A	N/A	Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	Issued

#### 5. EP Submissions Status

Environmental submissions to EPD since the commencement of construction works at Ocean Park, i.e. from 12 March 2007 to 25 March 2012 are as below.

Contract	Submissions
CI-05	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notification of Commencement Date</li> <li>• Management Organisation Chart</li> <li>• Construction Programme</li> <li>• Drainage Proposal</li> <li>• Silt Curtain Proposal</li> <li>• Waste Management Plan</li> <li>• Baseline Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Report</li> <li>• Transplantation Proposal for Uncommon Species</li> <li>• Baseline Coral Survey Report</li> <li>• As-built Drawings of Pond 35</li> <li>• Detailed Compensatory Planting As-built Drawing</li> </ul>
CS03	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly EM&amp;A Report (February 2012)</li> </ul>
City Bus Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written Notice on Completion of TPH Contaminated Soil Disposal</li> <li>• Written Notice on Completion of Solidification Treatment of Heavy Metals Contaminated</li> <li>• As-built Remediation Plan</li> </ul>
Hong Kong School of Motoring Ltd.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation Letter to confirm that Land Contamination remediation Works within HKSM has been completed</li> </ul>
Environmental Permit Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Noise Review Study Report</li> <li>• Glare impact Assessment report</li> <li>• Air Quality Sampling Plan</li> <li>• Trial PSEM Displays - Air Quality Monitoring Report</li> <li>• Use of PSEM for Two Shows - Air Quality Sampling Plan</li> <li>• Trial PSEM Displays - Preliminary Air Quality Monitoring Results</li> </ul>

Contract	Submissions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trial Two PSEM displays, Air Quality Monitoring Report (7 Feb 2012)</li> <li>• Shrubland Compensatory Proposal (28 Feb 2012)</li> </ul>

## 6. Materials Management

Section 6.17 in the EIA report specified the disposal of materials to the public fill reception facilities should be considered as last resorts with the preferred approach to reuse the material within the project and/or other projects.

The amounts of different types of materials generated by the activities of the Project in the month are shown in following table. The total materials quantities of the project showed that the reuse of materials was maximized and the disposal to the public filling facilities was minimized. Mitigation measures under the Waste Management Plan (WMP) revision D have been implemented during the reporting period.

Materials Type	Disposal Locations	CS-03	Total
C&D Waste	SENT	444.40 Tonnes	444.40 Tonnes
	TKOSF	--	-
	TMSF	--	-
C&D Material	CWPFBP	480.60 Tonnes	480.60 Tonnes
	TKOFB	--	-
Chemical Waste	Collected by licensed collector	0 Litres	0 litres
General Waste	Collected by licensed collector	--	-

## 7. Environmental Monitoring and Results

### 7.1 Monitoring Requirements

Under EP-249/2006/B condition 3.2, impact environmental monitoring including sampling, measurements and necessary remedial action should be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the EM&A Manual, which has been updated to include operational monitoring of the Ocean Park Symbio Show.

#### 7.1.1 Construction Monitoring

Construction works at the Entry Plaza, Aqua City and Grand Aquarium under CI07 have been completed in January 2011 and, as advised to EPD on 1 April 2011 (PD/PW/GOV/151/006107), no further construction monitoring will be undertaken.

One contract at the Summit, CS03 for the Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure is still underway. However, other than ongoing Coral Survey, no construction monitoring will be undertaken for these works, only auditing works. The audits will continue to be carried out by the Contractors ET, certified by the OPC's ET and verified by the IEC.

### Terrestrial Ecology

Monitoring of the health and condition of the transplanted plant species of conservation interest should be conducted at least once a month during the first 12 month after transplantation. Proposed monitoring location would be next to the Contract CI-05 site office.

### Coral

The locations of the coral monitoring stations are presented in the table below.

Coral Impact Monitoring Stations	Identity/Description
Site 1	Seaside near the Lowland
Site 2 to Site 5	Around Headland
Control Station	Between Near Round Island and Chung Hom Kok

### Ocean Park Symbio Show

Operational Stage Monitoring for Ocean Park Symbio Show for Environmental Monitoring for the Symbio Show commenced on the 27 January 2011. Following the completion of one year's monitoring, a justification paper has been presented for the termination of the Air Quality (RSP) and Noise monitoring programmes for the operation of the Lagoon night shows. Approval has been received on 13 February 2012

Air Quality monitoring was conducted at the agreed designated air quality monitoring station (AQMS) located at locations as presented in the Table below.

AQMS ID	Location	Sampling Height (m above ground)
AM1	Rooftop of Administrative Building (former Staff Quarter) in Ocean Park	10
AM2	Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park	3
AM3	Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital	20

One 24-hr average RSP sample was collected on each scheduled day for monitoring by a High Volume Sampler (HVS) following the USEPA method, EPA IO-2.1. Calibration of the equipment has followed the requirements set out in EPA IO-2.1.

Noise monitoring was conducted at five designated noise monitoring locations in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual. Alternative noise monitoring had been proposed because of accessibility problem, as set out in the Table below.

Monitoring Noise Monitoring Stations	Description	Location	With or without Façade Correction
AON1	Open Area adjacent to Police Training School	1.2m above street level	Without façade correction
AON2	Old canteen building, Ocean Park	1.2m above street level	With façade correction
AON3	Woodgreen Estate	1.2m above street level near the entrance gate	With façade correction
AON4	Manly Villa	1.2m above street level near the entrance	With façade correction
AON5	Hau Yuen	1.2m above street level outside boundary wall	With façade correction

Six consecutive measurements of LAeq, 5 min reading were carried out to calculate the LAeq, 30 min noise level during the Lagoon Show.

Six consecutive measurements of LAeq, 5 min reading were carried out to calculate the LAeq, 30 min noise level before the lagoon night show, ie during daily operation of the Ocean Park without the Lagoon Show.

Three consecutive measurements of LAeq, 5 min reading were carried out to calculate the LAeq, 15 min noise level after the lagoon night show, ie without operation of the Ocean Park to establish the background noise levels.

Any significant influencing factors on the measured noise levels were taken into account in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices. The corrected noise level due to the lagoon night show and the operation of Ocean Park was computed based on the background noise level and measured noise level.

## 7.2 Monitoring Results

### 7.2.1 Construction Monitoring Results

#### Terrestrial Ecology

According to the requirement in the EM&A Manual, the monitoring of transplanted plants at the receptor has been completed in August 2008.

#### Coral

No coral monitoring survey was carried out in March 2012. The next coral monitoring survey will be carried out in May 2012.

## 7.2.2 Operational Stage Monitoring for Ocean Park Symbio Show

The report on the impact monitoring results for the open-air night show, which commenced on 27 January 2011, is provided at Part 3 of this report.

### Air Quality Monitoring

Monthly monitoring of 24-hr average RSP for this reporting period was carried out at AM1, AM2 and AM3 on 4 February 2012.

All measured 24-hour average RSP concentrations have been well below the A/L level of  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ . No exceedance of A/L Level is monitored during the reporting period. Two similar open air nights shows were performed during hour 19:00 and hour 21:30 respectively. The monitoring covered the RSP contribution from two shows.

Monitoring Location	Monitoring Date	24-hr RSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )	Action/Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
AM1 (Rooftop of Administrative Building (Old Staff Quarters in Ocean Park))	4 Feb 2012	33	180
AM2 (Landscape Storage Area)	4 Feb 2012	31	180
AM3 (Roof top of the Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital)	4 Feb 2012	32	180

### Noise Monitoring

Noise exceedances in the background corrected noise levels were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School), due to high background noise from visitors and traffic, and at AON3 (Woodgreen Estate) and AON5 (Hau Yuen) due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.

Details are provided in the summary table below.

**Table 3.2 - Summary of Daily Operational Noise Exceedance during this Reporting Period**

Date	Noise Monitoring Station	Measured Noise Level, dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level, (Background Corrected) <sup>(a)</sup> , Leq (30 min) dB(A)	Limit Level Leq (30 min) dB(A)
		Daily Operational Noise Level Leq (30 min) dB(A)	Background Noise Level, Leq (15 min) dB(A)		
29 Jan 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON1	67.9	67.1	63.2	60
31 Jan 2012 (Weekday)	AON1	64.9	63.0	63.4	60
5 Feb 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON3	64.7 <sup>(b)</sup>	63.7	57.8 <sup>(c)</sup>	55
	AON5	59.3	55.7	56.7	55
7 Feb 2012 (Weekday)	AON5	59.4	57.0	55.6	55
	AON5	59.2	56.0	56.4	55
12 Feb 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON5	59.2	56.0	56.4	55
14 Feb 2012 (Weekday)	AON1	62.9	60.4	62.4	60
	AON3	65.2	64.2	58.2	55

Date	Noise Monitoring Station	Measured Noise Level, dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level, (Background Corrected) <sup>(a)</sup> , Leq (30 min) dB(A)	Limit Level Leq (30 min) dB(A)
		Daily Operational Noise Level Leq (30 min) dB(A)	Background Noise Level, Leq (15 min) dB(A)		
19 Feb 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON3	62.4 62.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	60.9 60.9	56.9 58.1 <sup>(c)</sup>	55 55
21 Feb 2012 (Weekday)	AON3	64.2	63.5	56.0	55
26 Feb 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON3	63.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	61.9	57.1 <sup>(c)</sup>	55

Note:

- (a) The Background corrected Noise Levels were either measured in front of a façade or with façade correction of 3dB(A).
- (b) Lagoon Night Show Level
- (c) Lagoon Night Show Noise level (Background corrected)

## 8. Site Audit

### 8.1 IEC Site Audit

IEC conducted monthly site audit on CS-03 on 20 March 2012. Audit checklists are attached in Appendix A of Part 1.

#### CS-03 Observations:

- Stockpiles of C&D materials were not covered with tarpaulin sheets to suppress dust.
- Concrete mixing was carried out with top covered only
- Over 20 cement bags were not fully covered with tarpaulin sheets.
- General refuse and construction waste were scattered around the site.

### 8.2 Non-Compliance

No non-compliances were recorded in March 2012.

## 9. Implementation status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Please see Part 2, of this Report for the individual contractual report for the details of the implementation of environmental mitigation measures.

### 10. Summary of Complaint, Summon or Prosecution

No complaint, summon or prosecution was recorded in the reporting month.

### 11. Future Issues

Key Issues to be considered in the coming month include:

#### CI-05

- Construction phase had ceased in early-June 2009.

#### CS-01

- Construction phase had ceased in mid-October 2008.

**CW-02**

- Construction phase had ceased in mid-February 2010.

**CS-02**

- Construction phase had ceased in April 2011.

**CI-07**

- Construction phase had ceased in January 2011.

**CS-03**

- Finishing works at Tuxedos Restaurant of South Pole;
- Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
- Install all the ceiling area E&M services;
- Apply wall painting in P.A Building;
- Install timber door in P.A Building;
- Install kitchen equipment & lavatory sanitary;
- Install wall stone tile;
- Install entrance glass sliding door in P.A Building;
- Lay floor carpet in P.A. Building;
- Install glass partition wall in P.A Building;
- Installation of theme works at PA Building and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

**12. Conclusion and Recommendation****12.1 Conclusion**

No non-compliance from IEC, complaint, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was made against the Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project in the reporting period of March 2012 for construction works and in the reporting period of February for operations.

All measured 24-hour average RSP concentrations have been well below the A/L level of  $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ . No exceedance of A/L Level is monitored during the reporting period.

Daily operational noise and lagoon night show noise monitoring were carried out at five designated monitoring stations during this reporting period.

Noise exceedances in the background corrected noise levels were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School), due to high background noise from visitors and traffic, and at AON3 (Woodgreen Estate) and AON5 (Hau Yuen) due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.

The request to EPD for termination of the Air Quality (RSP) and Noise monitoring programmes for the operation of the Lagoon night shows has been approved by EPD on 13 February 2012.

**12.2 Recommendation**

According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations are made:

**Air Quality Impact**

- To prohibit any open burning on site.
- To regular maintain the machinery and vehicles on site.
- To implement dust suppression measures on dry surfaces.

**Noise Impact**

- To inspect the noise sources from inside and outside of the site.
- To space out noisy equipment and position as far away as possible from sensitive receivers.
- To have regular maintenance of vessels and equipment used.

**Water Quality Impact**

- To minimize water discharge runoff into nearby water body.
- To treat site surface runoffs and wastewater generated from various construction activities with wastewater treatment system (comprised of chemical coagulation, sedimentation and pH control)
- To review and implement temporary site drainage management plan.
- Silt removal facilities, channels, manholes and wastewater treatment system should be frequently cleaned the deposited silt and grit to maintain in proper condition.
- To review the adequacy of the desilting facilities' capacity.

**Waste/Chemical Management**

- To check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- To avoid any discharge of chemical waste or oil directly from the site.
- To regularly and properly collect, store and dispose of all waste types, including floating refuses around the silt curtain.

**Operational Stage Monitoring for Ocean Park Symbio Show**

To satisfy potentials concerns over RSP concentrators, the number of monitoring stations has been increased to a total of 3 monitoring stations. As the monitored results remain within the AQO, the frequency remains reduced to monthly.

---

**Appendix A**

**Part 1 Independent Environmental Checker's Site Inspection Records**

**Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project  
Contract P007  
Independent Environmental Checker**

**MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

Inspection Date	20/03/2012	Time	15:00	Inspected By	EM: <i>Lindsay Pickles</i> IEC: Florence Yuen Contractor: CS03: <i>Winson Chung</i>
Site Location	CS03				

**Weather**

Condition	<input type="checkbox"/> Sunny	<input type="checkbox"/> Fine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Overcast	<input type="checkbox"/> Drizzle	<input type="checkbox"/> Rain	<input type="checkbox"/> Storm	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazy
Temperature	23°C		Humidity	<input type="checkbox"/> High	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> Low	
Wind	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calm	<input type="checkbox"/> Light	<input type="checkbox"/> Breeze	<input type="checkbox"/> Strong	Direction <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>		

						Photo/Remarks
		Close-out on last comments Y/N	N/A or not obs	Yes	No	
<b>Construction Noise</b>						
S2.18	Is a valid Construction Noise Permit (CNP) obtained for works during restricted hours?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S2.26	Good Site Practices:					
	• Are the operating plants well-maintained and serviced regularly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are silencers or mufflers utilized on construction equipment? Are they properly maintained?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the mobile plant sited far enough from NSRs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are intermittently used machines and plants shut down between work periods?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, if any, oriented to direct noise away from the NSRs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the stockpile or other structures utilized effectively, wherever practicable, in screening noise from the works?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S2.27	Are suitable quiet plants adopted?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S2.28	Are movable barriers used for both movable PME and stationary PME?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S2.29	Do the screening materials used achieve the predicted noise reduction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S2.30	Are the noisy works avoided during examination period of the nearby school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Blasting Noise</b>						
S2.32	• Are the NSRs informed of the blasting work in advance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is sufficient time allowed for alerting all the potential NSRs prior to every blasting work?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	• Are proper procedures put in place to alert and minimise any startling effect on the staff working in Ocean Park?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is the optimal amount of charge used evaluated for noise reduction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>Landscape and Visual</b>				
S3.10	Consideration on existing surrounding vegetation:				
	• Are temporary tree nurseries set up?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is "no-intrusion zones" Implemented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is the existing vegetation protected from damage?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are hill fire prevention measures taken?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is dust and erosion controlled for exposed soil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the irrigation networks set up throughout the Establishment Period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is Quarterly Report on existing trees to be retained or transplanted prepared by the Contractor?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
S3.11	Consideration on appearance and view:				
	• Is the appearance of hoardings suitable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is the appearance of construction workers, plants/machines suitable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the screening and alignment of the temporary barging point and conveyor system suitable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the selected security floodlights suitable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>Ecology</b>				
S4.5	Transplantation:				
	• Is the transplantation work supervised by a qualified botanist/horticulturalist in the ET?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the transplanted plant species of conservation interest monitored during the first 12 months after transplantation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
S4.7	Construction:				
	• Is the runoff entering watercourses avoided by control measure, especially during heavy rain?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is the site runoff directed to regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps (or oil separators)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are sediment traps included in drainage to collect and control construction run-off?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is suitable size silt traps or oil interceptor used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is vegetation survey carried out to determine the feasibility and suitability of individual plants for transplantation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the trees located within the works area preserved suitably?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are individual plants of conservation interest transplanted prior to the construction phase?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the equipments and stockpiles placed in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimise disturbance to natural habitats?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Are construction activities restricted to the work areas demarcated? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Are waste skips provided to collect general refuse and construction wastes? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Are the wastes disposed of timely and properly off-site? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is open burning on works sites prohibited? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Are native plant species made use of as far as possible on newly formed land? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_

**Construction Waste**

- S5.4 Good Site Practices
- Are arrangements made for collection and effective disposal of all wastes generated? 

✓			
---	--	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are the waste management and chemical handling procedures followed? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are sufficient waste disposal points provided? 

			✓
--	--	--	---

 } ④ P115-158
  - Are the wastes disposed of regularly? 

			✓
--	--	--	---

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are appropriate measures taken to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are the drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors regularly cleaned and maintained? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_

- S5.5 Waste Reduction Measures:
- Is the C&D waste from demolition and decommissioning of existing facilities sorted to recover recyclable materials? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are different types of wastes segregated and stored in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling and the proper disposal? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are aluminium cans segregated in labelled bins and collected by individual collectors for recycling? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are proper storage and site practices maintained to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction material? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are the construction materials planned and stocked carefully to avoid unnecessary generation of waste? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_

- S5.7 General Refuse
- Is the general refuse stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Is the general refuse removed regularly by a waste collector? 

			✓
--	--	--	---

 } ④ P115-158

- S5.8 C&D Material
- Are the excavated materials from site formation of the expansion areas and tunnel construction for the funicular system reused on-site as backfilling material and for landscape works? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Are the surplus rock and other inert C&D material disposed of at the public fill sites? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Is a waste management plan prepared? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Is a recording system present for the record of amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

 \_\_\_\_\_

	• Is the trip-ticket system required in ETWB TCW No.31/2004 followed on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S5.9	<b>Chemical Wastes</b>					
	Is chemical wastes generated from the works? And if yes,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the Contractor registered as a Chemical Waste Producer?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are good quality containers used for separating and storing chemical wastes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are appropriate labels securely attached on each chemical waste container to indicate their corresponding chemical characteristics?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the Contractor licensed to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<b>Land Contamination</b>					
S6.11	• Is the contact of construction workers with contaminated materials minimised by using bulk earth-moving excavator equipment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are appropriate cloth, personal protective equipment, hygiene and washing facilities provided to minimise exposure to any contaminated material?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the use of contaminated soil for landscaping without proper treatment prohibited?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are vehicles containing excavated materials covered properly to limit potential dust emissions or contaminated wastewater runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is the speed of the trucks carrying contaminated materials controlled?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are the necessary waste disposal permits obtained from appropriate authorities in according with Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are silt removal facilities provided with retention time for silt/sand traps of 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are the records maintained for quantity of wastes generated and disposal of?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
S6.12	<b>Remediation Process</b>					
	• Is biopile covered by tarpaulin or low permeable sheet to avoid dust emission?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Is vented air from biopile treated by blower and carbon adsorption system before released to the atmosphere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are the materials which may generate airborne dust emissions adequately wetted prior to and during the loading, unloading and handling operations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are silencers installed at biopile blower to minimise noise impact?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are quiet plants such as generator and blower used for biopile?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are the mixing process and other associated material handling activities properly scheduled to minimise potential noise impact?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	• Are impermeable liners placed at the bottom of biopile?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

- Is leachate collection sump construction along the perimeter of biopile? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- Is the lachate recycled back to the biopile or truck away to Chemical Waste Treatment Centre for disposal? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- Is the mixing of contaminated soils and cement/water/other additive(s) undertaken at a solidification plant to minimise the potential for leaching? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- Is a concrete bund construction along the perimeter of the solidification/stabilisation area to prevent runoff? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- Are the loading, unloading, handling, transfer and storage of cement carried out in an enclose system? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- Are the contaminated soils transported by roll-off trucks (concontainerisation)? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- Is temporary hoarding provided around the treatment area to minimise the visual impact? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

**Air Quality**

S7.23

**Good Site Practices**

- Is watering carried out regularly with complete coverage to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Is watering frequently carried out for particularly dusty construction areas, temporary stockpiles and areas close to ASRs? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Are the aggregate or dusty material storage piles covered with their side enclosed to reduce emissions? Or if this is not practicable, is watering applied to aggregate fines? 

			✓
--	--	--	---

 ③ P1150/56
- Is open stockpiles avoided or covered and placed far enough from the ASRs? 

			✓
--	--	--	---

 ① P1150/50
- Is the dropping height of material restricted to minimise the fugitive dust from unloading/loading? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Is tarpaulin used to cover all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and within the site? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Are vehicle wheel and body washing facilities available at the exit points of the site? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Are wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dust mitigation measures provided at the loading points? If dust generation is likely during the process, particularly in dry seasons, is water sprinklers provided at the loading site? 

			✓
--	--	--	---

 ② P1150/54
- Do the vehicles comply with the recommended speed limit of 10 km/h on unpaved roads? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Are dusty activities rescheduled during high-wind conditions? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Are the routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plants at maximum possible distance from the ASRs? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Is suitable buffer zone provided and work areas fenced off with hoarding (not less than 2.4m from ground level)? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

S7.24

**Drilling & Blasting**

- Is watering carried out on the exposed area after blasting? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Is vacuum extraction drilling method used? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
- Is the blasting process carefully sequenced? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

	• Is the firing of explosive carried out in the morning prior to opening of the Park?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
S7.25	Crushing Plant				
	• Is water sprayed on the crusher?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are fabric filters installed for the crushing plant?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is chute or dust curtain used for controlling dust when transferring materials from crusher to the conveyors?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
S7.26	Barging Point & Conveyor Belt System				
	• Are the conveyors placed within enclosed structures?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is profiled steel cladding provided at two sides of loading point?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are dust suppression sprays installed and operated at the feeding inlet and outlet?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is the barging point placed within an enclosed structure incorporating an enclosed chute for material transfer to the barge?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is a flexible curtain hanged on the enclosed chute to prevent dust emission when excavated materials/rocks transported into the barge?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Water Quality**

S8.3	Site Run-off and Drainage				
	• Are all sewer and drainage connections sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public sewer before commencing any site formation work?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are temporary ditches provided to facilitate runoff discharge into appropriate watercourses, via appropriate sized silt retention pond?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are cut-off ditches provided for all major site clearance/excavation works where soils would be exposed to control runoff from the areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are channels, earth/concrete bunds and sand bags deployed to direct surface runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are catchpits and perimeter channels constructed in advance of relevant site formation works?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are the boundaries of earthworks marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are sand/silt traps and sediment basins provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are silt removal facilities, channels and manholes maintained and deposited silt/grit removed regularly to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are exposed soil surfaces covered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Is the water pumped out from foundation excavations discharged into silt removal facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are exposed soil areas minimised to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Are earthwork final surfaces well compacted and is subsequent permanent work or surface protection performed immediately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Is the rainwater pumped out from trenches or excavation directed to silt removal facilities before discharge? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
  - Are open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes of more than 50m<sup>3</sup> covered with tarpaulin during rainstorm? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
- In case of an excavation in rainy seasons:
- Is temporary exposed slope/soil surfaces covered by tarpaulin as far as practicable? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
  - Are intercepting channels provided to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
  - Are surface protection measures and arrangements implemented to prepare for arrival of a rainstorm? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

- S8.4 Coral Sites
- Are enhanced (with the use of flocculants added) sand/silt removal facilities employed for treatment of runoff from the major excavation at the Summit? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Is a silt curtain system used to enclose the construction phase discharge point at Tai Shue Wan? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are debris and refuse collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby water bodies and public drainage system? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are stockpiles of cement and other construction materials kept covered when not being used? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are oils and fuels used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities (Fuel tanks and storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equality to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank)? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, employed on-site where necessary to hand sewage from the workforce? Is a licensed contractor employed for disposal of waste matter and maintenance of these facilities? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Is a reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are aluminium cans recovered from the waste stream and collected separate labelled bins? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are office wastes reduced through the recycling of paper? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--
  - Are training provided to workers on site cleanliness & waste management procedure? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

**Cultural Heritage**

- S10.6 If there is any work planned within one metre of the grave, is a one metre buffer zone provided around the grave and is the grave demarcated by temporary fence? 

		✓	
--	--	---	--

**Hazard to Life**

- S11.3 Good Site Practices:
- Is the area around the magazine free of vegetation? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--
  - Is the control of (small) fires planned and provided through the following? 

--	--	--	--

- Weekly checking of fire fighting equipment and the on-site fire water tank level. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Daily checking of all critical safety equipment on vehicle, including the fire extinguishers. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Maintaining back-up means of fighting fire on the explosive vehicles. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Providing safety training for drivers and other personnel present during explosive delivery with regard to operating fire hydrants and fighting of explosive fires. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the magazine secured against unauthorised entry and theft of explosive through the following?
  - Maintaining a list of persons authorised to enter the magazine and ensuring the list is available to the magazine security guard. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Activating an alarm system that limits times at which explosive can be removed from the magazine and connecting the system to central security station. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Incorporating "Duress code" function in the alarm system. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Maintaining alarm system in good condition. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the magazine security guard located separately from the magazine complex? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the communication maintained in emergency with the following measures?
  - Providing non-hazardous electronic equipment for persons working within 60 m of detonators. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Ensuring availability of phone numbers for all key personnel. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- If there is a typhoon signal no. 3 or above, or black rainstorm signal, are all operations at magazine and transport ceased? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the risk of detonators explosion on vehicle reduced during transit through the following?
  - Ensuring that magazine within vehicle is lined. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Limiting off-site transport to 5 to 6 a.m. each day. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Escorting vehicles with separate security vehicle when using the public road. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Ensuring that UN 1.4B packaging of detonators remains intact until handed over at blasting site. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the fuel isolation switch available on vehicle to prevent fire spreading in case a fire breaks out? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is an experienced driver with accident-free record employed for explosive vehicle and security escort? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Are the drivers checked for health before employing? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Are the vehicles regularly checked to maintain in good condition to reduce chance of accident due to breaking down? 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the truck fuel fire escalating to cause explosion avoided through the following means?
  - Ensuring that the Contractor is aware of the potential hazards to site. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Maintaining appropriate fire fighting equipment. 

	✓		
--	---	--	--

 \_\_\_\_\_

- Requiring the Contractor to plan and make emergency arrangements.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is spare/redundant fire fighting equipment provided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Can communications be maintained between two vehicles (drivers and security) during the trip to prevent collision of two explosive vehicles in case of an accident?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Are the processes of checking of condition of drivers to suspend any driver of concern carried out?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Project specific measures:					
• Is the speed of vehicle limited along the Ocean Park portion of Nam Long Shan Road within 100 m of the explosives magazine to 25 km/hr?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is other contractors' use of the Ocean Park Internal service road restricted during delivery of explosives, i.e. 6 to 7 a.m?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is the Ocean Park guard required to call to the magazine guard on an hourly basis when explosives are stored in magazines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is the evacuation of part or all of Ocean Park Headland Area arranged in case of the explosive magazine being engulfed in fire?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is the risk to the public from accidental initiation during charging and blasting limited by the following means?					
- Closing the Ocean Park from commencement of charging holes until completion of blasting each day.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
- Arranging for relevant authorities to post notices to mariners – warning them of blasting operations and advising them to stay away from a strip 100m wide immediately to the east of Headland from commencement of charge holes until completion of blasting each day (i.e. 9 a.m).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
- Not operating amusement rides in the event of accidental explosion until confirmed free of critical damage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• If unexploded explosives are found in blasthole(s), is the opening of Ocean Park delayed or is part of the Ocean Park delayed when there are unspent explosives?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is the opportunity for arson/deliberate initiation of explosive reduced with the following means?					
- Paying attention to the security alert status from the Government.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
- Developing a security plan to address high alert level.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is an emergency plan developed to address uncontrolled fire in magazine area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is the transfer of explosives between 5 to 6 a.m agreed by Mines Division?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is the road surface along the explosive transportation route maintained?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Are the contractor's driver and security escort tested in respect of safety plan? Is the route driven before the driver undertakes the first delivery of explosives?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is adequate space provided for the explosive vehicle to manoeuvre without reversing close to the magazine to limit the likelihood of vehicle accident?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
• Is lighting for explosive vehicles provided on temporary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

road(s)?

--	--	--	--

S11.4 • Is ammonium nitrate emulsion (ANE) delivered outside of Park opening times?

	✓		
--	---	--	--

Observations for this month

- ① Stockpiles of C&D material were not covered with tarpaulin sheets to suppress dust.
- ② Concrete mixing was carried out with top covered only.
- ③ Over 20 cement bags were not fully covered with tarpaulin sheets.
- ④ General refuse and construction waste were scattered around the site.

IEC Representative

Environmental Manager

Contractor's Representative  
CS03

*Florence Yuen*

( Florence Yuen )

*Lindsay Pickles*

( Lindsay Pickles )

*Wilson Chung*

( Wilson Chung )


**Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project**  
**Contract P007**  
**Independent Environmental Checker**  
**MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS**

Contract CS03 Thrill Mountain and Polar Adventure	
Follow up observations in February 2012	
Observation in last site inspection	Observation in this site inspection
	
<p>P1140945: Over 20 cement bags were not fully covered. The Contractor was reminded to fully cover any piles of over 20 cement bags on-site with tarpaulin sheets or other means to suppress dust.</p>	<p>P1150156: Over 20 cement bags were not fully covered. The Contractor was reminded to fully cover any piles of over 20 cement bags on-site with tarpaulin sheets or other means to suppress dust.</p>
	
<p>P1140944: General refuse and construction waste were scattered around the site. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient disposal points and remove the general refuse from site more frequently.</p>	<p>P1150158: General refuse and construction waste were scattered around the site. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient disposal points and remove the general refuse from site more frequently.</p>
	<p>N/A</p>
<p>P1140956: Washing of concrete mixer truck at a non-designated area was observed. The Contractor was reminded to provide a designated location with proper signage for washing of concrete mixer truck.</p>	<p>Closed – Washing of concrete mixer truck was not observed during the site inspection.</p>

Ocean Park Master Redevelopment Project  
Contract P007  
Independent Environmental Checker  
MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS

Observations in March 2012	
	
<p>P1150150: A few idled stockpiles of C&amp;D materials were not covered. The Contractor was reminded to cover them with tarpaulin sheets or other means to suppress dust.</p>	<p>P1150154: Concrete mixing was carried with top cover only. The Contractor was reminded to carryout concrete mixing in an enclosure with top and 3 sides enclosed to suppress dust.</p>

**Part 2      CS-03 EM&A REPORT (March 2012)**



**Contract No. CS03**

**Ocean Park Redevelopment Project  
- Thrill Mountain & Polar Adventure**

**Monthly EM&A Report**

March 2012

Prepared By Alex Enagnon Gbaguidi

Certified By

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Keith Kwan', written over a horizontal line.

(Keith Kwan)

(Acting Project Manager)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
Background .....	3
Project Organizations .....	3
Construction Programme.....	4
Summary of EM&A Requirements .....	4
<b>2. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT.....</b>	<b>5</b>
Environmental Site Audits .....	5
Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting.....	6
Status of Waste Management .....	6
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures.....	7
Summary of Exceedances.....	8
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans.....	8
Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions .....	8
<b>3. FUTURE KEY ISSUES .....</b>	<b>8</b>
Key Issues for the Coming Month.....	8
<b>4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
Conclusions .....	9
Recommendations .....	9

## **LIST OF FIGURE**

Figure 1.1 Site Layout Plan

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

A Site Audit Summary

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Table 2.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits

Table 2.2 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Table 2.3 Actual Quantity of Waste Generated in March 2012

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **Introduction**

This is the 29<sup>th</sup> monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Kaden – ATAL JV for the Contract No. CS03 “Ocean Park Redevelopment Project – Thrill Mountain & Polar Adventure” (hereinafter called “the Project”). The Project was commenced on 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2009. This document reports the findings of the environmental auditing works conducted in March 2012.

The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Finishing works at Tuxedos Restaurant of South Pole;
- Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
- Install all the ceiling area E&M services;
- Apply wall painting in P.A Building;
- Install timber door in P.A. Building;
- Install kitchen equipment;
- Install lavatory sanitary;
- Install wall stone tile;
- Installation of theme works at PA Building and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

### **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Works**

Environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project was performed as stipulated in the updated EM&A Manual. Site audits were conducted once per week. Environmental site audits were conducted on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> March 2012 and the environmental ICE monthly site inspection was conducted on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2012 and No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.

The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures was checked and the environmental management plan was submitted.

No notification of exceedance was received from the Assistance Project Environmental Team Leader (ETL) in the reporting month.

**Environmental Licenses and Permits**

Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Redevelopment Project, Construction Noise Permit (CNP), Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste and Water Discharge License

Registration of Waste Producer (Chemical Waste), and notification pursuant to Section 3(1) of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation was acknowledged by EPD.

**Complaints and Prosecutions**

No environmental complaint and prosecution was received in the reporting month.

**Future Key Issues**

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Fitting Out works at Tuxedos Restaurant of South Pole;
- Finishing works of Bobsled Station and Trail running of rides;
- Install all the ceiling area;
- Apply wall painting in P.A Building;
- Install timber door in P.A. Building;
- Install lavatory sanitary;
- Install wall stone tile;
- Install entrance glass sliding door in P.A. Building;
- Lay floor carpet in P.A. Building;
- Installation of glass balustrade at North P.A.;
- Install glass partition wall in P.A. Building;
- Installation of theme works at PA Building and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### Background

- 1.1 Kaden-ATAL JV (the Contractor) was commissioned by the Employer to undertake the construction of the Contract No. CS03 “Ocean Park Redevelopment Project – Thrill Mountain & Polar Adventure” (the Project) and the project was commenced on 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2009. The site layout plan is illustrated in Figure 1.1.
- 1.2 These report summaries the environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project in the month of March 2012.
- 1.3 The scope of works for the Project includes:
- (a) Construction of summit reservoir and associated pump room.
  - (b) Construction of vehicular bridge.
  - (c) Construction of the Polar Adventure Building.
  - (d) Construction of back of house facilities in the Polar Adventure Building.
  - (e) Construction of other one to three storey buildings in Polar Adventure.
  - (f) Construction of foundation and installation of Bobsled Ride.
  - (g) Installation of Life Support Systems.
  - (h) Construction of one to three storey buildings in Thrill Mountain.
  - (i) Construction of foundation and installation of the Floorless Coaster.
  - (j) Installation of the Ultramax, Aviator, Musik Express and Bumper Car.
  - (k) New roadwork, paving, footpaths and infrastructure support.
  - (l) Installation of building services.
  - (m) Soft and hard landscape works.
  - (n) Construction of underground utilities and services.
  - (o) Construction of earth retaining structures.
  - (p) Construction of all interior fitting out works.
  - (q) Supply and installation of all elevator(s) and escalator(s).
  - (r) Coral survey and maintenance of existing suit curtain.

### Project Organizations

- 1.4 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
- The Engineer and Project Environmental Team Leader (ETL) – AECOM Consultant Ltd.
  - Contractor – Kaden-ATAL JV.
  - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – Mott MacDonald HK Ltd.
- 1.5 The responsibilities of respective parties are provided in Section the Contractor’s EM&A Manual of the Project.

1.6 The key contacts of the Project are shown in Table 1.1.

**Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts**

Party	Name	Role	Phone No.	Fax No.
Project ET	Mr. Tommy Lau	RSS Representative (Safety & Environmental)	2552 1546	2552 1406
Contractor	Mr. Keith Kwan	Acting Project Manager	3582 6099	3582 4877
	Mr. Lai Tung Yee	Construction Manager	3582 6005	
Contractor's ET	Mr. Alex Enagnon Gbaguidi	Contractor's Assistance Environmental Team Leader	3582 4880	3582 4877
IEC	Miss Florence Yuen	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) Representative	2828 5757	28271823

### Construction Programme

1.7 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month were:

- Finishing works at Tuxedos Restaurant of South Pole;
- Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
- Install all the ceiling area E&M services;
- Apply wall painting in P.A Building;
- Install timber door in P.A. Building;
- Install kitchen equipment;
- Install lavatory sanitary;
- Install wall stone tile;
- Installation of theme works at PA Building and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

### Summary of EM&A Requirements

1.8 The EM&A programme requires construction phase environmental site audit. The duties and responsibilities comprise the following:

- monitor various environmental parameters, if necessary, as specified in the Contractor's EM&A Manual;
- analyze the environmental monitoring and audit data;
- review the EM&A programme to confirm the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented and the validity of the EIA predictions and to identify and adverse environmental impacts arising;
- carry out site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractor's site practice,

- equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems;
- audit and prepare EM&A reports on the site environmental conditions;
  - report the environmental audit results to the Contractor;
  - recommend appropriate mitigation measures to the Contractor in case of exceedance of Action and Limit Levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans; and
  - adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation in accordance with the Contractor's EM&A Manual.

1.9 This report presents the environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project in March 2012.

## 2. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

### Environmental Site Audits

- 2.1 Environmental site audits were carried out on weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.
- 2.2 Site audits for the Project in the reporting month were conducted on Environmental site audits were conducted on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> March 2012 and the environmental ICE monthly site inspection was conducted on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2012 and No non-compliance was observed during the site audits. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix A**.
- 2.3 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. The observations and recommendations are summarized in **Table 2.1**.

**Table 2.1 Observations and Recommendations of Site Audits**

Parameters	Date	Observations / Recommendations	Remediation/ Follow up
<b>Waste/ Chemical Management</b>	20/3/12	General refuse were scattered around the site.	General refuse was stored in waste skip and remove offsite regularly.
<b>Dust Control</b>	20/3/12	Stockpiles of C&D material were not covered with tarpaulin sheets to suppress dust.	Stockpiles of excavated material was covered with tarpaulin sheet.
	20/3/12	Over 20 cement bags were not fully covered with tarpaulin sheets..	Cement stock was covered by tarpaulin sheet.

Parameters	Date	Observations / Recommendations	Remediation/ Follow up
	20/3/12	Concrete mixing was carried out with top covered only.	All motor mixing works will be carried out within an enclosure with the top and 3 sides enclosed.
<b>Water Pollution</b>	2/3/12	Nil	
<b>Air Pollution</b>	30/3/12	Nil	

### Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

2.4 All valid permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in **Table 2.2**.

**Table 2.2 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status**

Permit No.	Valid Period		Details	Status
	From	To		
<b>Environmental Permit</b>				
EP-249/2006/A	23/10/2006	N/A	Expansion of the existing Ocean Park and reconstruction / modification of its existing facilities.	Valid
<b>Registration of Chemical Waste Producer</b>				
WPN5213-176-K2880-02	25/11/2009	N/A	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation - Registration of Waste Producer	Valid
<b>Construction Noise Permit</b>				
GW-RS1128-11	09/12/2011	31/5/2012	Construction Noise Permit for Top of Nam Long Shan Rd., Ocean Park, 180 Wong Chuk Hang, Hong Kong	Valid
<b>Water Discharge License</b>				
WT00005926-2010	05/11/2009	28/02/2015	Discharge of industrial trade effluent arising from the Sedimentation tank at the construction site (CS03 Ocean Park Redevelopment Project) to communal storm water drain.	Valid
<b>Others</b>				
311433	N/A	N/A	Notification Pursuant to Section 3(1) of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Valid
7009695	N/A	N/A	Construction Waste Disposal Billing Account with EPD	Valid

### Status of Waste Management

2.5 The amount of waste generated by the construction activities of the Project in the reporting month is attached in Table 2.3.

**Table 2.3 Actual Quantity of Waste Generated in March 2011**

Waste Type	Examples	Actual quantity disposed (Tonnes / Liter)	Disposal Locations
C&D Waste	Construction waste (Plastic, wood and bamboo)	444.4 (T)	SENT Landfill
	Mixed rock & soil	480.6 (T)	CW barging point
Chemical waste	Used oil, spent solvent	0 L	Collected by licensed collector

### Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

2.6 During site inspections in the month, the following observations and recommendations were made.

#### Water Quality Mitigation Measures

- The wastewater was recycled for wheel washing and dust control and Septic Tank should be maintained well functioning.

#### Air Quality Mitigation Measures

- The Contractor to ensure cement materials was well covered.
- The Contractor to ensure water spray was carrying out during breaking of rocks.
- The Contractor was reminded to cover the existing stockpile general fill material when they were not in use.

#### Noise

- No violation was observed nor recorded.

#### Ecology

- No violation was observed nor recorded.

**Waste / Chemical Management**

- Stagnant water was accumulated in drip tray. Contractor to ensure all contaminated water was well collected and stored in chemical waste storage area without spillage.
- Oil drums were observed without drip tray and place on the ground. Ensure no spillage of the chemical oil and provide trip tray accordingly.
- Collection of waste oil by registered waste collector.

**Others**

- No other violation was observed nor recorded.

**Summary of Exceedances**

- 2.7 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was reported in the reporting month.

**Implementation Status of Event Action Plans**

- 2.8 No complaint, summons or prosecution related to environmental issues was received or made against the Project in the reporting month.

**Summary of Complaints and Prosecutions**

- 2.9 No environmental complaint and prosecution related to the Project works was received during the reporting month.

**3. FUTURE KEY ISSUES****Key Issues for the Coming Month**

- 3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
- Finishing works at Tuxedos Restaurant of South Pole;
  - Finishing works of Bobsled Station superstructure and installation of rides;
  - Install all the ceiling area E&M services;
  - Apply wall painting in P.A Building;
  - Install timber door in P.A. Building;
  - Install kitchen equipment;
  - Install lavatory sanitary;
  - Install wall stone tile;
  - Install entrance glass sliding door in P.A. Building;

- Lay floor carpet in P.A. Building;
- Install glass partition wall in P.A. Building;
- Installation of theme works at PA Building and
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.
- Disposal Existing Stockpile.

#### **4. CONSTRUCTION OF DRAINAGE, SEWERAGE AND WATER MAIN SYSTEM.CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

##### **Conclusions**

- 4.1 Five environmental site audits were performed in March 2012. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.
- 4.2 No exceedance of environmental monitoring was reported in the reporting month.
- 4.3 No environmental complaint and prosecution related to the project was received in the reporting month.

##### **Recommendations**

- 4.4 According to the environmental audits performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations are suggested:

##### ***Water Quality Impact***

- Should ensure that the sedimentation tank is well function before discharging waste water off site.

##### ***Dust Impact***

- To carry out routine water spray to all haul roads and during rock breaking activity.
- To cover the existing stockpile general fill material when they were not in use.
- To ensure auto water spray head is on when the floor is dry and dusty.

##### ***Waste / Chemical Waste Impact***

- To carry out routine inspection for chemical waste storage area after rainy day.
- To ensure spent oil keep in dip tray during drilling rig maintenance.

- To ensure all domestic waste was fully cover in rubbish bin and cleaning up frequently.
- To ensure general refuse were store in the enclosed container or compaction units and separate from C& D materials.

*Air Pollution Impact*

- To ensure all plants and equipments are well maintained in good condition and replace air filter frequently.

## Site Layout Plan




FIGURE 1.1

SITE LAYOUT PLAN

SCALE 1:2000@A3

Contract Boundary of CS3

N

**APPENDIX A**  
**Site Audit Summary**  
**(refer to Appendix A of EM & A Report)**

**Part 3      Ocean Park Symbio Show  
13th Monthly Monitoring Report**

Ocean Park Corporation, Hong Kong

Ocean Park Symbio Show:  
*13<sup>th</sup> Air Quality and Noise  
Monitoring Report*

March 2012

**Environmental Resources Management**

21/F Lincoln House  
979 King's Road  
Taikoo Place  
Island East, Hong Kong  
Telephone: (852) 2271 3000  
Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660  
E-mail: [post.hk@erm.com](mailto:post.hk@erm.com)  
<http://www.erm.com>

Ocean Park Corporation, Hong Kong

Ocean Park Symbio Show:  
*13<sup>th</sup> Air Quality and Noise  
Monitoring Report*

March 2012

Reference 0128330

For and on behalf of  
ERM-Hong Kong, Limited

Approved by: Frank Wan

Signed:



Position: Partner

Date: 9 March 2012

## CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	1
1.2	STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT	1
2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	2
2.1	INTRODUCTION	2
2.2	SAMPLING METHODOLOGY	2
2.3	MONITORING RESULTS	3
3	NOISE MONITORING	5
3.1	INTRODUCTION	5
3.2	NOISE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	5
3.3	RESULTS OF NOISE MONITORING	8
3.4	SUMMARY OF NOISE EXCEEDANCES	8
4	OVERALL CONCLUSIONS	10

## ANNEXES

<i>Annex A1</i>	<i>Calibration Record</i>	
<i>Annex A2</i>	<i>Laboratory Report</i>	
<i>Annex A3</i>	<i>Detailed Summary and Graphical Presentation of the Cumulative Results since Commencement of Open-air Night Show</i>	
<i>Annex A4</i>	<i>Recorded RSP Concentrations at EPD's AQMSs in Tung Chung, Shatin, Tai Po, Yuen Long, and Tap Mun on 4 February 2012</i>	
<i>Annex A5</i>	<i>Recorded Weather Data at HKO's Weather Station in Wong Chuk Hang on 4 February 2012</i>	
<i>Annex B1</i>	<i>Calibration Certificates of the Noise Measurement Equipment</i>	
<i>Annex B2</i>	<i>Results of Noise Monitoring</i>	
<i>Annex B3</i>	<i>Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Results</i>	

## 1 INTRODUCTION

ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM) has been appointed by Ocean Park Corporation (OPC) to undertake air quality and noise monitoring for the first operational year of the Open-air Night Show under the “*Repositioning and Long Term Operation Plan of Ocean Park*” (the Project).

### 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The Open-air Night Show commenced on 27 January 2011. This is the 13<sup>th</sup> air quality and noise monitoring report which summarises the impact monitoring results during the reporting period from 27 January to 26 February 2012.

### 1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

After this introductory section, the remainder of this report is arranged as follows:

*Section 2* describes the air quality sampling methodology, presents the monitoring results and discusses the results;

*Section 3* describes the noise monitoring methodology, presents the monitoring results and discusses the results;

*Section 4* presents an overall conclusion of the air quality and noise monitoring.

## 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Clause 2.31 of the Environmental Permit (EP), an updated air quality monitoring programme shall be developed as part of the updated EM&A Manual for the measurement of air quality impact (in terms of respirable suspended particulates, RSP) during the first operational year of the Open-air Night Show and for submission to the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) in January 2011. The air quality monitoring has been carried out based on the requirements given in the updated air quality monitoring programme.

## 2.2 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

### 2.2.1 Sampling Parameters and Frequency

In accordance with the updated air quality monitoring programme, 24-hr average RSP levels should be monitored on a weekly basis in the first month of the Open-air Night Show. If the monitored results in the first month complied with Action/Limit (A/L) Level, the monitoring frequency should be reduced to a monthly interval for the rest of eleven months in the first operational year. Monitoring of the 24-hr average RSP has commenced at AM1 in the 1<sup>st</sup> reporting month and at AM2 and AM3 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> reporting month. Monthly monitoring of 24-hr average RSP for this reporting period was taken at AM1, AM2 and AM3 on 4 February 2012.

### 2.2.2 Sampling Locations

Air quality monitoring was conducted at three designated air quality monitoring stations (AQMS) as presented in *Table 2.1* and illustrated in *Figure 2.1*.

*Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Station*

AQMS ID	Location	Sampling Height (m above ground)
AM1	Rooftop of Administrative Building (Former Staff Quarters) in Ocean Park	10
AM2	Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park	3
AM3	Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital	20

### 2.2.3 Sampling and Laboratory Analysis Methodology

One 24-hr average RSP sample was collected on each scheduled day by a High Volume Sampler (HVS) following the USEPA method, EPA IO-2.1. Calibration of the equipment has followed the requirements set out in EPA IO-2.1 with the calibration record given in *Annex A1*. A summary of the sampling methodology and equipment is presented in *Table 2.2*.



Figure 2.1

Designated 24-hour Average RSP Monitoring Stations during Operation of Open Air Night Show

File: 0128330\_RSP monitoring station.mxd  
 Date: 11/05/2011

**Table 2.2** *Summary of Sampling and Laboratory Analysis Method*

Sampling Parameter	Method	Equipment
24-hr average RSP	EPA IO-2.1	High volume sampler

**2.2.4** *Sampling Period*

The sampling periods at AM1, AM2 and AM3 are summarized in *Table 2.3*.

**Table 2.3** *Sampling Period*

Sampling Parameter	Sampling Period	AQMS
24-hr average RSP	17:00 (4 February 2012) – 17:00 (5 February 2012)	AM1, AM2, AM3

**2.2.5** *Compliance Assessment*

The measured 24-hr average RSP concentrations have been compared with the Action/Limit Level (A/L Level) which is the 24-hr average AQO for RSP (180  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ ). Should exceedance of A/L Level occur, actions summarized in the Event and Action Plan (*Table 7.5* of updated EM&A Manual) should be followed.

**2.3** *MONITORING RESULTS*

The 24-hour average RSP concentrations monitored at AM1, AM2 and AM3 are summarized in *Table 2.4*. The detailed laboratory report is presented in *Annex A2*.

**Table 2.4** *Measured 24-hr Average RSP Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month*

Monitoring Location	Monitoring Date	24-hr RSP Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )	Action/Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
AM1 (Rooftop of Administrative Building (Old Staff Quarters) in Ocean Park)	4 February 2012	33	180
AM2 (Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park)	4 February 2012	31	180
AM3 (Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital)	4 February 2012	32	180

All measured 24-hour average RSP concentrations have been well below the A/L Level (ie, 180  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ ).

Detailed summary of the air quality monitoring data and graphical presentation of the cumulative results since the commencement of the Open-air Night Show are given in *Annex A3*.

The 24-hour average RSP background concentrations during the Open-air Night Show time measured at five EPD air quality monitoring stations

(AQMSs) at Tung Chung, Shatin, Tai Po, Yuen Long and Tap Mun were also provided as a reference (See *Annex A4*).

The 24-hour average background RSP concentrations measured at 5 EPD stations were between 21.3 and 53.8  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  on 4 February 2012. The monitored 24-hr average RSP concentrations at AM1, AM2 and AM3 have been compared with those measured at the EPD's AQMSs during the same monitoring period. The measured results are comparable with the background concentrations and are well below the A/L Level.

Wind data (including wind directions and speeds), ambient temperature and relative humidity measured at Wong Chuk Hang weather station operated by the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) on 4 February 2012 were also provided in *Annex A5* for reference.

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Noise monitoring has been carried out following the requirements given in the updated EM&A Manual. The requirements and results are detailed in the following sections.

### 3.2 NOISE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

It has been recommended in the EIA Report for "*Repositioning and Long Term Operation Plan of Ocean Park*" and stated in the EM&A Manual that fixed plant noise source monitoring should be conducted during the first operational year of the Open-air Night Show at the Aqua City.

The monitoring of fixed plant noise source impact is to be conducted:

- During the lagoon night show (hereinafter referred to as "lagoon night show noise monitoring")
- Not during the lagoon night show (hereinafter referred to as "daily operational noise monitoring")

Lagoon night show noise monitoring was carried out at all designated monitoring stations during the performance of lagoon night shows at a logging interval of 30 minutes. The noise monitoring was conducted twice a week, i.e. once on a normal weekday and once on a general holiday or Sunday.

The need for noise monitoring during the lagoon night show was reviewed based on the monitoring results, any requirements to adjust the loudspeaker system, and any change to the show schedule or rundown. With the same loudspeaker system and show rundown, if the noise levels of the month comply with the fixed plant noise criteria as stipulated in *Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIAO-TM)*, or are consistent with the baseline noise levels, the ETL may consider not including the noise monitoring in the subsequent monitoring programme. Agreement from the IEC and approval from EPD must be sought prior to suspension of noise monitoring. Impact monitoring can be resumed if there is any change to the power, orientation, and volume of the loudspeaker system, or to the show rundown, or an increase of show frequency.

For daily operational noise monitoring, 30-minute average noise measurement at each designated station during the operational hours of Ocean Park but not during the lagoon night show should be conducted. The monitoring frequency should be the same as that for the noise monitoring during the lagoon night show. Agreement from the IEC and approval from EPD must be obtained prior to suspension of noise monitoring.

The following sections describe the detailed methodology of the fixed plant noise monitoring.

### 3.2.1 *Monitoring Locations*

Noise monitoring was conducted at five designated noise monitoring locations in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual. Alternative noise monitoring has been proposed because of accessibility problem, as presented in *Table 3.1*, and shown in *Figure 3.1*.

**Table 3.1** *Alternative Noise Monitoring Stations during the Operational Phase*

Alternative Noise Monitoring Stations	Description	Location	With or without Façade Correction
AON1	Open Area adjacent to Police Training School	1.2m above street level	without facade correction
AON2	Old canteen building, Ocean Park	1.2m above roof level	with facade correction
AON3	Woodgreen Estate	1.2m above street level outside boundary wall	with facade correction
AON4	Manly Villa	1.2m above street level near the entrance	with facade correction
AON5	Hau Yuen	1.2m above street level outside boundary wall	with façade correction

### 3.2.2 *Monitoring Parameters*

#### *Lagoon Night Show Noise Monitoring*

Six consecutive measurements of  $L_{Aeq, 5 \text{ min}}$  reading were carried out to calculate the  $L_{Aeq, 30 \text{ min}}$  noise level during the lagoon night show.

#### *Daily Operational Noise Monitoring*

Six consecutive measurements of  $L_{Aeq, 5 \text{ min}}$  reading were carried out to calculate the  $L_{Aeq, 30 \text{ min}}$  noise level before the lagoon night show, ie during operation of the Ocean Park.

#### *Background Noise Level*

Three consecutive measurements of  $L_{Aeq, 5 \text{ min}}$  reading were carried out to calculate the  $L_{Aeq, 15 \text{ min}}$  noise level after the lagoon night show, ie without operation of the Ocean Park.

Any significant influencing factors on the measured noise levels were noted in accordance with standard acoustical principles and practices. The corrected noise level due to the lagoon night show and the operation of Ocean Park was computed based on the background noise level and measured noise level.

### 3.2.3 *Monitoring Frequency*

The monitoring for both lagoon night show noise monitoring and daily operational noise monitoring were conducted twice per week - one on a




Figure 3.1

Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations in the Approved EM&A Manual and Proposed Alternative Noise Monitoring Stations

File: 0126350\_noise\_monitoring\_station.mxd  
Date: 10/06/2011

normal weekday and one on a general holiday, including Sundays during this reporting month.

### 3.2.4 *Monitoring Methodology*

The sound level meters and calibrator used for the noise monitoring, as listed in *Table 3.2* below, complies with IEC 651: 1979 and 804:1985 (Type 1) specification.

*Table 3.2 Noise Measurement Equipments*

Monitoring Location		Monitoring Equipment
AON1	Open Area adjacent to Police Training School	RION NL-52 Sound Level Meter RION NC-73 calibrator
AON2	Old canteen building, Ocean Park	RION NL-31 Sound Level Meter RION NC-73 calibrator
AON3	Woodgreen Estate	RION NL-31 Sound Level Meter RION NC-73 calibrator
AON4	Manly Villa	RION NL-18 Sound Level Meter RION NC-73 calibrator
AON5	Hau Yuen	RION NL-31 / RION NA-27 Sound Level Meter RION NC-73 calibrator

Noise monitoring was conducted with reference to the calibration and measurement procedures as stated in the *Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places other than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites (IND-TM)*. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the monitoring equipments was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements were accepted if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

The sound level meters and acoustic calibrator have been calibrated by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory every two years. The relevant calibration certificates are presented in *Annex B1*.

Noise measurements were conducted without the presence of fog and rain, and with steady wind speed and gusts not exceeding 5ms<sup>-1</sup> and 10 ms<sup>-1</sup>, respectively in accordance with international standards and practices <sup>(1)</sup>. Broadband measurement of L<sub>Aeq</sub>, L<sub>10</sub>, L<sub>90</sub>, L<sub>max</sub> and L<sub>min</sub> has been recorded at 100ms interval.

### 3.2.5 *Compliance Assessment*

#### *Fixed Plant Noise*

As recommended in the EIA and stated in the EM&A Manual, OPC will follow the Action and Limit (A/L) Levels as recommended in EIA and EM&A Manual which are summarised in *Table 3.3*. In case exceedances are resulted

(1) ISO 11819-1:1997 and ISO/FDIS 13472-1:2001

from cumulative impacts, all steps stipulated in the Event/ Action Plan shall be followed.

**Table 3.3** *Action and Limit Levels for Entertainment Noise*

Identification No.	Action Level	Limit Level
ON1/AON1	When documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receivers	L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) 60 dB(A)
ON2/AON2		L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) 60 dB(A)
ON3/AON3		L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) 55 dB(A)
ON4/AON4		L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) 55 dB(A)
ON5/AON5		L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) 55 dB(A)

### 3.3 RESULTS OF NOISE MONITORING

The measured noise levels at the monitoring locations are given in *Annex B2* and graphically presented in *Annex B3*.

Exceedances in the background corrected noise levels were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School) due to high background noise from the visitors and traffic; AON3 (Woodgreen Estate) and AO5 (Hau Yuen) due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road. Detail discussion on noise exceedances are given in *Section 3.4* below.

### 3.4 SUMMARY OF NOISE EXCEEDANCES

Noise exceedances recorded during this reporting period are summarised in *Table 3.4* below.

**Table 3.4** *Summary of Daily Operational Noise Exceedance during this Reporting Period*

Date	Noise Monitoring Station	Measured Noise Level, dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected) <sup>(a)</sup> , L <sub>eq</sub> (30min) dB(A)	Limit Level, L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) dB(A)
		Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> (30min) dB(A)	Background Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> (15min) dB(A)		
29 January 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON1	67.9	67.1	63.2	60
31 January 2012 (Weekday)	AON1	64.9	63.0	63.4	60
5 February 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON3	64.7 (Night Show Noise Level)	63.7	57.8 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	55
	AON5	59.3	55.7	56.7	55
7 February 2012 (Weekday)	AON5	59.4	57.0	55.6	55
12 February 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON5	59.2	56.0	56.4	55
14 February 2012 (Weekday)	AON1	62.9	60.4	62.4	60
	AON3	65.2	64.2	58.2	55

Date	Noise Monitoring Station	Measured Noise Level, dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected) <sup>(a)</sup> , L <sub>eq</sub> (30min) dB(A)	Limit Level, L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min) dB(A)
		Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> (30min) dB(A)	Background Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> (15min) dB(A)		
19 February 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON3	62.4	60.9	56.9	55
		62.8 (Night Show Noise Level)	60.9	58.1 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	55
21 February 2012 (Weekday)	AON3	64.2	63.5	56.0	55
26 February 2012 (Public Holiday)	AON3	63.1 (Night Show Noise Level)	61.9	57.1 (Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected))	55

**Note:**

(a) The Background Corrected Noise Levels were either measured in front of a façade at AON2, AON3, AON4 and AON5 or with façade correction of 3 dB(A) at AON1.

*AON1 – High Background Noise during Public Holidays*

The monitoring station AON1 is directly facing the bus terminus of Ocean Park. The measured noise levels were dominated by high background (ie, bus movements, ie buses moving in and out of the terminus to pick up visitors leaving Ocean Park) during the evening time. The measured background noise levels were in a range of 60 to 67 dB(A), ie equivalent to the Limit Level or 3 to 7 dB(A) higher than the Limit Level, during the three days with noise exceedances (see *Table 3.4*).

*AON3 and AON5 – Traffic Noise from Shouson Hill Road*

The exceedances at AON3 and AON5 were mainly due to the traffic on Shouson Hill Road.

*Summary*

As mentioned above, the noise exceedances were due to bus movements at the bus terminus and traffic from Shouson Hill Road.

## OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

The Open-air Night Show commenced on 27 January 2011. According to the requirements set out in the Environmental Permit (EP) and the updated EM&A Manual, air quality and noise monitoring shall be carried out during the first year of the operation of the Open-air Night Show. This is the 13<sup>th</sup> monthly air quality and noise monitoring report which summarises the impact monitoring results during the reporting period from 27 January to 26 February 2012.

24-hr average respirable suspended particulates (RSP) monitoring was conducted at three designated monitoring stations including rooftop of the Administrative Building in OP (AM1), the Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park (AM2) and rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital (AM3) on 4 February 2012. All monitored 24-hour average RSP concentrations measured at AM1, AM2 and AM3 were below the Action/Limit (A/L) Levels.

Daily operational noise and lagoon night show noise monitoring were carried out at five designated monitoring stations during this reporting period. Noise exceedances were recorded at AON1 (Open Area adjacent to Police Training School), AON3 (Woodgreen Estate) and AO5 (Hau Yuen) due to noise emanating from the bus terminus, high background noise from visitors and traffic, and the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.

Annex A1

## HVS Calibration Report

---



## ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

### REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MS WINNIE KO  
**CLIENT:** ERM HONG KONG  
**ADDRESS:** 21/F, LINCOLN HOUSE, 979 KING'S ROAD,  
TAIKOO PLACE, ISLAND EAST,  
QUARRY BAY, HONG KONG.  
**PROJECT:** OCEAN PARK

**WORK ORDER:** HK1127907  
**AMENDMENT:** 1  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 28/11/2011  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 19/12/2011  
**SAMPLE TYPE:** EQUIPMENT  
**No. of SAMPLES:** 3

#### COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Flow Rate

Description: High Volume Sampler

Brand Name: TISCH

Model No.: --

Serial No.: --

Equipment No.: HK647, HK649, HK651

Date of Calibration: 28 November, 2011

#### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.


Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

#### ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

##### Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung  
HONG KONG

**Phone:** 852-2610 1044  
**Fax:** 852-2610 2021  
**Email:** [hongkong@alsglobal.com](mailto:hongkong@alsglobal.com)

  
Mr Chan Kwok Fa, Godfrey  
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

ADDRESS 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong PHONE +852 2610 1044 FAX +852 2610 2021  
ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental 

[www.alsglobal.com](http://www.alsglobal.com)

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



## Calibration Report for High Volume Sampler (RSP Sampler)

Report No. : HK1127907-002  
 Amendment: : 1  
 Location : AM1

Equipment No. : HK649  
 Calibration date : 28/11/2011  
 Calibration Due date : 28/02/2012

### CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

Ambient Condition					
Ambient			Seasonal		
Temperature, Ta	299.0	K	Temperature, Ts	294.4	K
Pressure, Pa	1014.0	hPa	Pressure, Ps	1015.3	hPa
Orifice Transfer Standards Information					
Equipment No.	TE-5025A (S/N 0438320)	Slope, $m_c$	1.32558	Intercept, $b_c$	-0.01598
Last Calibration Date	02-June-2011	$Q_a = [ \sqrt{(\Delta H \cdot Ta/Pa) - b_c} ] / m_c$			
Next Calibration Date	02-June-2012				

Calibration of RSP						
Calibration Point	Manometer Reading H(inches of water)			Q <sub>std</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> /min) X-axis	Continuous Flow Recorder, W (CFM)	W((Ta+30)/Pa) <sup>1/2</sup> Y-axis
	(up)	(down)	(ΔH)			
1	6.1	6.0	12.1	1.4370	50	28.4806
2	5.0	5.0	10.0	1.3075	45	25.6325
3	4.0	4.0	8.0	1.1707	38	21.6452
4	2.6	2.5	5.1	0.9372	28	15.9491
5	1.8	1.8	3.6	0.7893	22	12.5315

By Linear Regression of Y Vs X

Correlation coefficient, R = 0.99940  
 Slope, m = 24.95214  
 Intercept, b = -7.30663  
 Calibration result :

\*If the correlation coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and recalibration are required.

Remarks :

Calibration by : Sam Wong  
 Signature : Sam Wong  
 Date : 28/11/2011

Checked by : Iris Lin  
 Signature : Iris Lin  
 Date : 28/11/2011



## Calibration Report for High Volume Sampler (RSP Sampler)

Report No. : HK1127907-001  
 Amendment: : 1  
 Location : AM2

Equipment No. : HK647  
 Calibration date : 28/11/2011  
 Calibration Due date : 28/02/2012

### CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

Ambient Condition					
Ambient			Seasonal		
Temperature, Ta	299.2	K	Temperature, Ts	294.4	K
Pressure, Pa	1014.0	hPa	Pressure, Ps	1015.3	hPa
Orifice Transfer Standards Information					
Equipment No.	TE-5025A (S/N 0438320)	Slope, $m_c$	1.32558	Intercept, $b_c$	-0.01598
Last Calibration Date	02-June-2011	$Q_a = [ \sqrt{(\Delta H \cdot Ta/Pa) - b_c} ] / m_c$			
Next Calibration Date	02-June-2012				

Calibration of RSP						
Calibration Point	Manometer Reading H(inches of water)			$Q_{std}$ ( $m^3/min$ )	Continuous Flow Recorder, W (CFM)	$W((Ta+30)/Pa)^{1/2}$
	(up)	(down)	( $\Delta H$ )	X-axis	W (CFM)	Y-axis
1	6.0	6.1	12.1	1.4375	55	31.3382
2	4.9	5.0	9.9	1.3014	46	26.2101
3	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.1418	39	22.2216
4	2.4	2.5	4.9	0.9192	30	17.0935
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.7218	21	11.9655

By Linear Regression of Y Vs X

Correlation coefficient, R =  
 Slope, m = 0.99654  
 Intercept, b = 26.20522  
 Calibration result : -7.17336

\*If the correlation coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and recalibration are required.

Remarks :

---



---

Calibration by : Sam Wong  
 Signature : Sam Wong  
 Date : 28/11/2011

Checked by : Iris Lin  
 Signature : Iris Lin  
 Date : 28/11/2011



Calibration Report for High Volume Sampler (RSP Sampler)

Report No. : HK1127907-003  
 Amendment: : 1  
 Location : AM3

Equipment No. : HK651  
 Calibration date : 28/11/2011  
 Calibration Due date : 28/02/2012

CALIBRATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW RECORDER

Ambient Condition					
Ambient			Seasonal		
Temperature, Ta	299.6	K	Temperature, Ts	294.4	K
Pressure, Pa	1014.0	hPa	Pressure, Ps	1015.3	hPa
Orifice Transfer Standards Information					
Equipment No.	TE-5025A (S/N 0438320)	Slope, $m_c$	1.32558	Intercept, $b_c$	-0.01598
Last Calibration Date	02-June-2011	$Q_a = [\sqrt{(\Delta H \cdot Ta/Pa) - b_c}] / m_c$			
Next Calibration Date	02-June-2012				

Calibration of RSP						
Calibration Point	Manometer Reading H(inches of water)			$Q_{std}$ (m <sup>3</sup> /min) X-axis	Continuous Flow Recorder, W (CFM)	$W((Ta+30)/Pa)^{1/2}$ Y-axis
	(up)	(down)	( $\Delta H$ )			
1	6.2	6.3	12.5	1.4618	52	29.6468
2	5.0	5.1	10.1	1.3152	42	23.9455
3	4.1	3.9	8.0	1.1719	35	19.9546
4	2.6	2.6	5.2	0.9471	23	13.1130
5	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.7682	10	5.7013

By Linear Regression of Y Vs X

Correlation coefficient, R = 0.99783  
 Slope, m = 33.44566  
 Intercept, b = -19.41666  
 Calibration result :

\*If the correlation coefficient, R is < 0.9900. Checking and recalibration are required.

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Calibration by : Sam Wong  
 Signature : Sam Wong  
 Date : 28/11/2011

Checked by : Iris Lin  
 Signature : Iris Lin  
 Date : 28/11/2011

Annex A2

## Laboratory Report

---

# ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

## ALS Laboratory Group ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	: ERM HONG KONG	Laboratory	: ALS Technichem HK Pty Ltd	Page	: 1 of 2
Contact	: MS CELINE LEE	Contact	: Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey	Work Order	: HK1203502
Address	: 21/F, LINCOLN HOUSE, 979 KING'S ROAD, TAIKOO PLACE, ISLAND EAST, QUARRY BAY, HONG KONG	Address	: 11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong		
E-mail	: Celine.Lee@erm.com	E-mail	: Godfrey.Chan@alsglobal.com		
Telephone	: +852 2271 3000	Telephone	: +852 2610 1044		
Facsimile	: +852 2723 5660	Facsimile	: +852 2610 2021		
Project	: OPC AIR QUALITY MONITORING FOR OPERATION OF SYMBIO SHOW	Quote number	: HK156b/2011 (revised 1453/10)	Date received	: 07-FEB-2012
Order number	: ---	Date of issue	: 16-FEB-2012	No. of samples	: - Received : 3
C-O-C number	: ---	Site	: OCEAN PARK		: - Analysed : 3

#### Report Comments

This report for ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd work order reference HK1203502 supersedes any previous reports with this reference. The completion date of analysis is 09-FEB-2012. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release. When date(s) and/or time(s) are shown bracketed, these have been assumed by the laboratory for process purposes. Abbreviations: CAS number = Chemical Abstract Services number. LOR = Limit of reporting.

Specific comments for Work Order HK1203502 :

Sample(s) were collected by ALS Technichem (HK) staff on 07 February, 2012.  
Sample(s) analysed and reported on as received basis.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

This document has been electronically signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in the 'Electronic Transactions Ordinance' of Hong Kong. Chapter 553, Section 6.

Signatory: **Fung Lim Chee, Richard** Position: **General Manager**  
Authorised results for:- **Inorganics**

#### ALS Laboratory Group

Trading Name: **ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd**

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
Tel: +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



**Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: FILTER (TSP/RSP)

Client sample ID	Client sampling date / time	Laboratory sample ID	Compound	HK-RSP: Respirable Suspended Particulate		
			LOR Unit	0.01 mg/m <sup>3</sup>		
				EA/ED: Physical and Aggregate Properties		
AM1	[04-FEB-2012]	HK1203502-001		0.03		
AM2	[04-FEB-2012]	HK1203502-002		0.03		
AM3	[04-FEB-2012]	HK1203502-003		0.03		

Annex A3

Detailed Summary and  
Graphical Presentation of  
the Cumulative Results  
since Commencement of  
Open-air Night Show

Annex A3  
Measured 24-hour Average RSP Concentrations

RSP Monitoring Station : AM1 (Rooftop of Administration Building in Ocean Park)

Start Date <sup>[1]</sup>	Time	Finish		No. of Show	Weather	Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			RSP Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Filter ID
		Date	Time			Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average			
28-Jan-11	17:00	29-Jan-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8652	2.9914	17711.68	17735.95	24.27	1.39	1.39	1.39	63	180	202102
04-Feb-11	17:00	05-Feb-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8755	3.0567	17735.95	17760.30	24.35	1.39	1.43	1.41	88	180	202099
12-Feb-11	17:00	13-Feb-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.8808	3.0820	17760.30	17784.33	24.03	1.39	1.39	1.39	101	180	202100
20-Feb-11	17:00	21-Feb-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.8770	2.9497	17784.33	17808.45	24.12	1.39	1.39	1.39	36	180	202101
22-Mar-11	17:00	23-Mar-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7967	2.8948	17808.45	17833.61	25.16	1.49	1.49	1.49	44	180	202264
10-Apr-11	17:00	11-Apr-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7924	2.9167	17842.11	17866.14	24.03	1.08	1.08	1.08	80	180	202288
11-May-11	17:00	12-May-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7992	2.8443	17866.14	17890.29	24.15	1.04	1.04	1.04	30	180	202567
16-Jun-11	17:00	17-Jun-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7956	2.8404	17890.39	17914.31	23.92	1.14	1.14	1.14	27	180	202570
22-Jul-11	17:00	23-Jul-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8046	2.8484	18009.37	18033.12	23.75	1.21	1.11	1.16	26	180	202574
09-Aug-11	17:00	10-Aug-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7805	2.8023	18033.12	18056.98	23.86	1.21	1.16	1.18	13	180	202575
20-Sep-11	17:00	21-Sep-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7796	2.8769	18175.92	18199.66	23.74	1.25	1.25	1.25	55	180	060418
20-Oct-11	17:00	21-Oct-11	17:00	1	Fine	2.8028	2.8989	18199.66	18223.57	23.91	1.25	1.25	1.25	54	180	202588
28-Nov-11	17:00	29-Nov-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7915	2.8430	18247.64	18271.28	23.64	1.20	1.16	1.18	31	180	202582
13-Dec-11	17:00	14-Dec-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7961	2.9306	18271.28	18295.26	23.98	1.19	1.19	1.19	78	180	060435
21-Jan-12	17:00	22-Jan-12	17:00	2	Fine	2.8005	2.8702	18295.27	18319.32	24.05	1.22	1.22	1.22	40	180	060429
04-Feb-12	17:00	05-Feb-12	17:00	2	Cloudy	2.8020	2.8600	18319.33	18343.35	24.02	1.22	1.22	1.22	33	180	060427
											Max.	101				
											Average	50				

[1] Monitoring for 10th reporting period has been rescheduled to 28 November 2011 due to mechanical failure of the HVS

Annex A3  
Measured 24-hour Average RSP Concentrations

AM 2 (Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park)

RSP Monitoring Station :

Start Date <sup>[1]</sup>	Time	Finish		No. of Show	Weather	Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			RSP Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Filter ID
		Date	Time			Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average			
28-Mar-11	17:00	29-Mar-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7923	2.8849	5069.23	5092.98	23.75	1.04	1.04	1.04	62	180	202287
04-Apr-11	17:00	05-Apr-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7884	2.9238	5092.98	5116.98	24.00	1.47	1.47	1.47	64	180	202290
10-Apr-11	17:00	11-Apr-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7727	2.8799	5116.98	5140.98	24.00	1.45	1.45	1.45	51	180	202291
18-Apr-11	17:00	19-Apr-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8004	2.9833	5140.98	5164.98	24.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	89	180	202292
11-May-11	17:00	12-May-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8064	2.8596	5164.98	5188.98	24.00	1.43	1.43	1.43	26	180	202568
16-Jun-11	17:00	17-Jun-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7984	2.8285	5189.07	5213.07	24.00	1.19	1.19	1.19	18	180	202571
22-Jul-11	17:00	23-Jul-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7834	2.8283	5213.07	5237.07	24.00	1.19	1.19	1.19	26	180	202573
09-Aug-11	17:00	10-Aug-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7829	2.8060	5237.07	5261.06	23.99	1.19	1.19	1.19	14	180	202576
20-Sep-11	17:00	21-Sep-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7870	2.8736	5285.43	5309.43	24.00	1.16	1.16	1.16	52	180	060419
20-Oct-11	17:00	21-Oct-11	17:00	1	Fine	2.7842	2.8692	5309.43	5333.43	24.00	1.16	1.16	1.16	51	180	202589
28-Nov-11	17:00	29-Nov-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7825	2.8328	--*	--*	24.00	1.01	1.10	1.06	33	180	202583
13-Dec-11	17:00	14-Dec-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8035	2.9200	--*	--*	24.00	1.05	1.05	1.05	77	180	060436
21-Jan-12	17:00	22-Jan-12	17:00	2	Fine	2.7977	2.8586	0.14	24.14	24.00	1.12	1.12	1.12	38	180	060428
04-Feb-12	17:00	05-Feb-12	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7945	2.8442	26.14	50.14	24.00	1.11	1.11	1.11	31	180	060426
											<b>Max.</b>	<b>89</b>				
											<b>Average</b>	<b>45</b>				

\* Due to the error of the Elapsed Timer, no elapsed time reading was recorded.

[1] Monitoring for 10th reporting period has been rescheduled to 28 November 2011 due to mechanical failure of the HVS

Annex A3  
Measured 24-hour Average RSP Concentrations

RSP Monitoring Station :

AM3 (Roof top of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital)


Start Date <sup>[1]</sup>	Time	Finish		No. of Show	Weather	Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			RSP Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Filter ID	
		Date	Time			Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average				
28-Mar-11	17:00	29-Mar-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7946	2.9435	13068.67	13092.67	24.00	0.91	0.91	0.91	114	180	202265	
04-Apr-11	17:00	05-Apr-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.8005	2.9049	13092.67	13116.68	24.01	0.65	0.65	0.65	112	180	202289	
10-Apr-11	17:00	11-Apr-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7948	2.8825	13116.68	13140.66	23.98	0.70	0.70	0.70	87	180	202294	
18-Apr-11	17:00	19-Apr-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7966	2.9578	13140.66	13164.68	24.02	0.70	0.70	0.70	160	180	202295	
11-May-11	17:00	12-May-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7906	2.8330	13164.68	13188.69	24.01	0.74	0.74	0.74	40	180	202566	
16-Jun-11	17:00	17-Jun-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8008	2.8294	13188.72	13212.72	24.00	1.08	1.08	1.08	18	180	202569	
22-Jul-11	17:00	23-Jul-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7983	2.8416	13212.84	13236.84	24.00	1.15	1.17	1.16	26	180	202572	
09-Aug-11	17:00	10-Aug-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7983	2.8187	13236.84	13260.84	24.00	1.11	1.09	1.10	13	180	202577	
20-Sep-11	17:00	21-Sep-11	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.7921	2.8855	13380.81	13404.81	24.00	1.17	1.17	1.17	56	180	060420	
20-Oct-11	17:00	21-Oct-11	17:00	1	Fine	2.8028	2.9051	13404.82	13428.82	24.00	1.17	1.17	1.17	61	180	202293	
28-Nov-11	17:00	29-Nov-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.7812	2.8358	13428.86	13452.63	23.77	1.16	1.14	1.15	33	180	203192	
13-Dec-11	17:00	14-Dec-11	17:00	1	Sunny	2.8028	2.9474	13452.63	---	24.00	1.16	1.16	1.16	87	180	203192	
21-Jan-12	17:00	22-Jan-12	17:00	2	Fine	2.7994	2.8644	13452.64	13476.64	24.00	1.06	1.06	1.06	43	180	060430	
04-Feb-12	17:00	05-Feb-12	17:00	1	Cloudy	2.8040	2.8550	13476.64	13500.64	24.00	1.09	1.09	1.09	32	180	060425	
											<b>Max.</b>	<b>160</b>					
											<b>Average</b>	<b>63</b>					

\* Due to the error of the Elapsed Timer, no elapsed time reading was recorded.

[1] Monitoring for 10th reporting period has been rescheduled to 28 November 2011 due to mechanical failure of the HVS


Annex A3

Measured 24-hr Average RSP Concentration  
AM1 - Rooftop of Administrative Building (Former Staff Quarters) in Ocean Park




Annex A3

Measured 24-hr Average RSP Concentration  
AM 2 - Landscape Storage Area in Ocean Park



Annex A3

Measured 24-hr Average RSP Concentration  
AM3 - Rooftop of Main Medical Block of Graham Hospital



Annex A4

Recorded RSP  
Concentrations at EPD's  
AQMSs in Tung Chung,  
Shatin, Tai Po, Yuen Long  
and Tap Mun on *4 February*  
*2012*

Annex A4 Recorded RSP Concentrations at EPD's AQMSs in Tung Chung, Shatin, Tai Po, Yuen Long, and Tap Mun on 4 February 2012

Tung Chung

Date & Time	RSP
05-02-2012 16:00	42.4
05-02-2012 15:00	37.6
05-02-2012 14:00	39.2
05-02-2012 13:00	42.8
05-02-2012 12:00	34.8
05-02-2012 11:00	26.8
05-02-2012 10:00	30.4
05-02-2012 9:00	25.7
05-02-2012 8:00	28.3
05-02-2012 7:00	25.8
05-02-2012 6:00	24.7
05-02-2012 5:00	22.2
05-02-2012 4:00	25.5
05-02-2012 3:00	23.8
05-02-2012 2:00	25
05-02-2012 1:00	27.6
05-02-2012 0:00	28.9
04-02-2012 23:00	31.5
04-02-2012 22:00	29.4
04-02-2012 21:00	26.8
04-02-2012 20:00	31.5
04-02-2012 19:00	29.7
04-02-2012 18:00	29.5
04-02-2012 17:00	25.8

Shatin

Date & Time	RSP
05-02-2012 16:00	--
05-02-2012 15:00	40.6
05-02-2012 14:00	33.9
05-02-2012 13:00	30.7
05-02-2012 12:00	28.5
05-02-2012 11:00	26
05-02-2012 10:00	27.8
05-02-2012 9:00	35.1
05-02-2012 8:00	36.2
05-02-2012 7:00	37.5
05-02-2012 6:00	43.3
05-02-2012 5:00	--
05-02-2012 4:00	43.1
05-02-2012 3:00	38.6
05-02-2012 2:00	35
05-02-2012 1:00	39
05-02-2012 0:00	--
04-02-2012 23:00	26.5
04-02-2012 22:00	25
04-02-2012 21:00	24.4
04-02-2012 20:00	26.1
04-02-2012 19:00	28.4
04-02-2012 18:00	29.3
04-02-2012 17:00	29.7

### Tai Po

Date & Time	RSP
05-02-2012 16:00	42
05-02-2012 15:00	44.2
05-02-2012 14:00	40.7
05-02-2012 13:00	41.8
05-02-2012 12:00	36.2
05-02-2012 11:00	34.5
05-02-2012 10:00	36.1
05-02-2012 9:00	35.3
05-02-2012 8:00	34.8
05-02-2012 7:00	38.3
05-02-2012 6:00	41.2
05-02-2012 5:00	47.8
05-02-2012 4:00	48.5
05-02-2012 3:00	46.6
05-02-2012 2:00	46.9
05-02-2012 1:00	46.7
05-02-2012 0:00	43.3
04-02-2012 23:00	30.5
04-02-2012 22:00	27.5
04-02-2012 21:00	29
04-02-2012 20:00	30.1
04-02-2012 19:00	22.6
04-02-2012 18:00	21.3
04-02-2012 17:00	30.2

### Yuen Long

Date & Time	RSP
05-02-2012 16:00	29.2
05-02-2012 15:00	27.7
05-02-2012 14:00	35.5
05-02-2012 13:00	33.1
05-02-2012 12:00	24.2
05-02-2012 11:00	25.4
05-02-2012 10:00	27.6
05-02-2012 9:00	31.7
05-02-2012 8:00	28.7
05-02-2012 7:00	26.9
05-02-2012 6:00	27.4
05-02-2012 5:00	27.6
05-02-2012 4:00	24.7
05-02-2012 3:00	23.3
05-02-2012 2:00	--
05-02-2012 1:00	28.4
05-02-2012 0:00	26.1
04-02-2012 23:00	32.6
04-02-2012 22:00	31.8
04-02-2012 21:00	28.1
04-02-2012 20:00	--
04-02-2012 19:00	28.3
04-02-2012 18:00	25.3
04-02-2012 17:00	26.1

Tap Mun



Date & Time	RSP
05-02-2012 16:00	47
05-02-2012 15:00	53.8
05-02-2012 14:00	53.5
05-02-2012 13:00	51.4
05-02-2012 12:00	50.9
05-02-2012 11:00	46.1
05-02-2012 10:00	44.5
05-02-2012 9:00	39.9
05-02-2012 8:00	31.7
05-02-2012 7:00	31.3
05-02-2012 6:00	30.1
05-02-2012 5:00	30.4
05-02-2012 4:00	32
05-02-2012 3:00	31
05-02-2012 2:00	31.7
05-02-2012 1:00	32.5
05-02-2012 0:00	37.1
04-02-2012 23:00	39.1
04-02-2012 22:00	44.9
04-02-2012 21:00	39.5
04-02-2012 20:00	40
04-02-2012 19:00	32
04-02-2012 18:00	26.9
04-02-2012 17:00	26.8

Annex A5



Weather Data Recorded at  
HKO's Weather Station in  
Wong Chuk Hang on 4  
*February 2012*

Recorded Weather Data at HKO's Weather Station in Wong Chuk Hang on 4 February 2012



Prevailing Wind Speed



Prevailing Wind Direction



## Ambient Temperature and Relative Humidity



Annex B1

Calibration Certificates of  
the Noise Measurement  
Equipment



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C113870

## *Certificate of Calibration*

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Level Calibrator*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NC-73*

*Serial No. : 10997142*


*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113870.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.*

*Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,  
Hong Kong*

*Date of Issue : 11 July 2011*

*Certified by :*   
H.C. Chan

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4 F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



Report No. : C113870

## Calibration Report

### ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Sound Level Calibrator  
MANUFACTURER : Rion  
MODEL NO. : NC-73  
SERIAL NO. : 10997142

### TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$  RELATIVE HUMIDITY :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
LINE VOLTAGE : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration

DATE OF TEST : 11 July 2011

JOB NO. : IC11-1713


### TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
All results are within manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

  
K.C. Lee

Date : 11 July 2011

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com

Report No. : C113870

## Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours before the commencement of the test.
2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
3. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C101008
CL130	Universal Counter	C113350
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

4. Test procedure : MA100N.

5. Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

#### 5.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.3	± 0.5	± 0.2

#### 5.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.5	± 0.2

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

#### 5.2.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	0.991	1 kHz ± 2 %	± 1

#### 5.2.2 After Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	0.991	1 kHz ± 2 %	± 1

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4 F. Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

Report No. : C113870

## *Calibration Report*

Remark : - The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: [callab@suncreation.com](mailto:callab@suncreation.com)

Website: [www.suncreation.com](http://www.suncreation.com)



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C113827

## *Certificate of Calibration*

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NL-31*

*Serial No. : 00603867*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113827.*


*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.*

*Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,  
Hong Kong*

*Date of Issue : 8 July 2011*

Certified by :

  
H.C. Chan

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4 E, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: call@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



Report No. : C113827

## Calibration Report

### ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Sound Level Meter  
MANUFACTURER : Rion  
MODEL NO. : NL-31  
SERIAL NO. : 00603867

### TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$  RELATIVE HUMIDITY :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
LINE VOLTAGE : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST : 7 July 2011

JOB NO. : IC11-1657

### TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
All results are within manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

  
KC Lee

Date : 8 July 2011

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

## Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C110018
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		113.9

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

- 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow				

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.3	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.1	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	90.1	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	88.2	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



## Calibration Report

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz :  $\pm 0.35$  dB  
250 Hz - 500 Hz :  $\pm 0.30$  dB  
1 kHz :  $\pm 0.20$  dB  
2 kHz - 4 kHz :  $\pm 0.35$  dB  
8 kHz :  $\pm 0.45$  dB  
12.5 kHz :  $\pm 0.70$  dB  
104 dB : 1 kHz :  $\pm 0.10$  dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
114 dB : 1 kHz :  $\pm 0.10$  dB (Ref. 94 dB)
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 41, Sing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong  
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C113973

## *Certificate of Calibration*

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NL-31*

*Serial No. : 00320533*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113973.*


*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.*

*Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,  
Hong Kong*

*Date of Issue : 18 July 2011*

*Certified by :*

  
H C Chan

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callob@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113973

## Calibration Report

### ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Sound Level Meter  
MANUFACTURER : Rion  
MODEL NO. : NL-31  
SERIAL NO. : 00320533

### TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$  RELATIVE HUMIDITY :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
LINE VOLTAGE : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST : 16 July 2011

JOB NO. : IC11-1746

### TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
All results are within manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

  
K C Lee

Date : 18 July 2011

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 4

## Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C110018
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9 (Ref.)
				104.00		103.9
				114.00		113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

- 6.2 Time Weighting

- 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	Ref.
			Slow			93.8	± 0.1

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
20 - 110	L <sub>A</sub>	A	FAST	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AMAX</sub>				200 ms	105.1	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>A</sub>	SLOW	Continuous		106.0	Ref.	
	L <sub>AMAX</sub>		500 ms		102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0	

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.2	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.2	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.6	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.1	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.7	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	92.9	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.6	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	93.8	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	88.1	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT	IEC 60804	
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
20 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5	
								1/10 <sup>2</sup>	90	90.0	± 0.5
			60 sec.					1/10 <sup>3</sup>	80	80.0	± 1.0
			5 min.					1/10 <sup>4</sup>	70	70.0	± 1.0

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB  
 250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB  
 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB  
 2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB  
 8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB  
 12.5 kHz : ± 0.70 dB  
 104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
 Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C113270

## Certificate of Calibration

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NL-31*

*Serial No. : 00410224*


*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113270.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.*

*Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,  
Hong Kong*

*Date of Issue : 10 June 2011*

*Certified by :   
H C Chan*

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C113270

## Calibration Report

### ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Sound Level Meter  
MANUFACTURER : Rion  
MODEL NO. : NL-31  
SERIAL NO. : 00410224

### TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$  RELATIVE HUMIDITY :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
LINE VOLTAGE : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST : 10 June 2011

JOB NO. : IC11-1416


### TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
All results are within manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- The Bruel & Kjaer Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by :

  
K.C. Lee

Date : 10 June 2011

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 4

## Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C110018
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

### 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

#### 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	± 0.7

#### 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9 (Ref.)
				104.00		103.9
				114.00		113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	93.9	Ref.
			Slow			93.9	± 0.1

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
20 - 110	L <sub>A</sub>	A	FAST	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AMAX</sub>				200 ms	105.1	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>A</sub>	SLOW	Continuous		106.0	Ref.	
	L <sub>AMAX</sub>		500 ms		102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0	

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.2	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.6	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.2	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	90.0	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.7	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.0	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.7	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	93.9	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	93.9	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	88.1	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT	IEC 60804
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Freq (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
20 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5
						1/10 <sup>2</sup>		90	± 0.5	
			60 sec.			1/10 <sup>3</sup>		80	± 1.0	
			5 min.			1/10 <sup>4</sup>		70	± 1.0	

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
  - 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB
  - 250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB
  - 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB
  - 2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB
  - 8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB
  - 12.5 kHz : ± 0.70 dB
  - 104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
  - 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
  - Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C113112

## *Certificate of Calibration*

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Precision Integrating Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NL-18*

*Serial No. : 00360030*


*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C113112.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.*

*Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,  
Hong Kong*

*Date of Issue : 2 June 2011*

*Certified by :   
H C Chan*

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



## Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 - 6.4.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C110018
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.5	± 0.7

- 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
60 - 120	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.1 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.1
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmx				200 ms	105.1	-1.0 ± 1.0
	LA		Slow		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmx				500 ms	102.5	-4.1 ± 1.0

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 110	LA	A	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.4	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.2	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.3	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.1	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.7	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 110	LC	C	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.9	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.7	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)		
50 - 110	LAeq	A	10 sec	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5
								90	90.0	± 0.5
								80	79.6	± 1.0
								70	69.7	± 1.0

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB  
 250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB  
 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB  
 2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB  
 8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB  
 104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
 Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C114103

## *Certificate of Calibration*

*This is to certify that the equipment*

*Description : Precision Sound Level Meter*

*Manufacturer : Rion*

*Model No. : NA-27*

*Serial No. : 00201194*

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.  
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C114103.*

*The equipment is supplied by*

*Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.*

*Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road,  
Hong Kong*

*Date of Issue : 26 July 2011*

*Certified by :*

*K C Lee*

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shun Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



## Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurement at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C110018
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	C1006860

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Self-Calibration

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.1

- 6.1.1.2 After Self-Calibration

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
60 - 120	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
			104.00		104.0
			114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.  
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
		Slow			94.0	$\pm 0.1$

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
50 - 110	LA	Fast	106.00	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmx			200 ms	105.0	$-1.0 \pm 1.0$
	LA	Slow		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	LAmx			500 ms	102.0	$-4.1 \pm 1.0$

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 110	LA	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.4	$-39.4 \pm 1.5$
				63 Hz	67.8	$-26.2 \pm 1.5$
				125 Hz	77.8	$-16.1 \pm 1.0$
				250 Hz	85.3	$-8.6 \pm 1.0$
				500 Hz	90.7	$-3.2 \pm 1.0$
				1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
				2 kHz	95.2	$+1.2 \pm 1.0$
				4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$
				8 kHz	92.9	$-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)$
				12.5 kHz	89.7	$-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)$

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

## Calibration Report

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

Range (dB)	UUT Setting		Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 110	LC	Fast	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.0	-3.0 ± 1.5
				63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
				125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
				250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
				500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
				1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
				2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
				4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
				8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
				12.5 kHz	87.8	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

Range (dB)	UUT Setting			Applied Value				UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
	Mode	Integrating Time	Freq. (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)		
50 - 110	LAeq	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.1	± 0.5
							90	90.1	± 0.5
		60 sec.					80	80.0	± 1.0
		5 min.					70	70.0	± 1.0

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB  
 250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB  
 1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB  
 2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB  
 8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB  
 12.5 kHz : ± 0.70 dB  
 104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
 114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
 Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.



## RION CO., LTD.

3-20-41 Higashimotomachi Kokubunji Tokyo 185-8533  
Phone:042(359)7888, Facsimile:042(359)7442

# Certificate of Calibration

Name : Precision sound level meter  
Model : NL-52 S/No. : 00710259  
( NX-42EX installed )  
Microphone : UC-59 S/No. : 02695  
Preamplifier : NH-25 S/No. : 10253

Date of Calibration : September, 20, 2011

We hereby certify that the above product was tested and calibrated according to the prescribed Rion procedures, and that it fulfills specification requirements.

The measuring equipment and reference devices used for testing and calibrating this unit are managed under the Rion traceability system and are traceable according to official Japanese standards and official standards of countries belonging to the International Committee of Weights and Measures.

  
RION CO., LTD.

*awo*  
Manager, Quality Control Department

Annex B2

## Results of Noise Monitoring

---

Annex B2  
Operational Noise Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location: AON1 Open area adjacent to Police Training School

Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)	Measurement Period, hours		Measured Noise Level <sup>(1)</sup> , dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min	Background Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 15min	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min		Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min		Noise Criteria, L <sub>req(30min)</sub> , dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
		Start	End	Without facade correction	With facade correction				Without facade correction	With facade correction				
29-Jan-12	PH	1820	1930	67.9	67.4	67.1			60.2	63.2	54.8	57.8	60	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>
31-Jan-12	WD	1820	1930	64.9	62.7	63.0			60.4	63.4	Negligible	Negligible	60	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>
05-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	66.8	67.0	67.0			Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	60	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
07-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	63.0	63.2	62.7			50.7	53.7	53.2	56.2	60	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
12-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	66.5	67.6	67.9			Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	60	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
14-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	62.9	61.9	60.4			59.4	62.4	56.5	59.5	60	Note <sup>(2)</sup>
19-Feb-12	PH	1920	2030	66.4	66.9	68.0			Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	60	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
21-Feb-12	WD	1920	2030	62.2	62.0	63.2			Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	60	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
26-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	67.1	65.9	67.0			49.8	52.8	Negligible	Negligible	60	Note <sup>(1)</sup>

Monitoring Location: AON2 Roof of Old Canteen Building

Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)	Measurement Period, hours		Measured Noise Level <sup>(1)</sup> , dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min	Background Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 15min	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min		Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min		Noise Criteria, L <sub>req(30min)</sub> , dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
		Start	End	Without facade correction	With facade correction				Without facade correction	With facade correction				
29-Jan-12	PH	1820	1930	61.9	60.1	58.2			59.4	59.4	55.4	55.4	60	-
31-Jan-12	WD	1820	1930	61.9	61.1	60.0			57.4	57.4	54.4	54.4	60	-
05-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	61.7	59.7	58.6			58.8	58.8	53.2	53.2	60	-
07-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	61.0	60.2	59.0			56.6	56.6	54.0	54.0	60	-
12-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	60.8	59.6	58.6			56.8	56.8	52.9	52.9	60	-
14-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	59.4	60.8	58.9			49.8	49.8	56.2	56.2	60	-
19-Feb-12	PH	1920	2030	60.4	60.4	60.0			48.8	48.8	49.5	49.5	60	-
21-Feb-12	WD	1920	2030	61.0	58.7	56.8			58.8	58.8	54.0	54.0	60	-
26-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	59.5	59.2	58.3			53.4	53.4	52.0	52.0	60	-

Monitoring Location: AON3 Woodgreen Estate

Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)	Measurement Period, hours		Measured Noise Level <sup>(1)</sup> , dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min	Background Noise Level, L <sub>eq</sub> , 15min	Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min		Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq</sub> , 30min		Noise Criteria, L <sub>req(30min)</sub> , dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
		Start	End	Without facade correction	With facade correction				Without facade correction	With facade correction				
29-Jan-12	PH	1820	1930	62.3	62.3	62.1			48.0	48.0	47.6	47.6	55	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
31-Jan-12	WD	1820	1930	64.7	64.6	63.3			Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	55	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
05-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	63.2	64.7	63.7			Negligible	Negligible	57.8	57.8	55	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>
07-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	67.1	67.3	67.0			50.7	50.7	55.0	55.0	55	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
12-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	64.0	63.1	63.8			50.9	50.9	Negligible	Negligible	55	Note <sup>(1)</sup>
14-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	65.2	63.7	64.2			58.2	58.2	Negligible	Negligible	55	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>
19-Feb-12	PH	1920	2030	62.4	62.8	60.9			56.9	56.9	58.1	58.1	55	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>
21-Feb-12	WD	1920	2030	64.2	63.5	63.5			56.0	56.0	Negligible	Negligible	55	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>
26-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	62.4	63.1	61.9			52.6	52.6	57.1	57.1	55	Note <sup>(1)&amp;(2)</sup>

Monitoring Location: AON4 Mantly Villa

Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)	Measurement Period: hours		Measured Noise Level <sup>[1]</sup> , dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq,30min</sub>	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq,30min</sub>	Noise Criteria, L <sub>req(30min)</sub> , dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
		Start	End	Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq,30min</sub>	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, L <sub>eq,30min</sub>				
29-Jan-12	PH	1820	1930	55.8	54.8	51.7	48.7	55	-
31-Jan-12	WD	1820	1930	56.7	54.6	53.7	47.5	55	-
05-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	56.6	54.8	53.4	48.4	55	-
07-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	55.8	55.3	51.2	49.8	55	-
12-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	55.2	55.0	48.3	47.1	55	-
14-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	56.5	54.0	54.1	47.9	55	-
19-Feb-12	PH	1920	2030	55.4	54.7	51.0	48.8	55	-
21-Feb-12	WD	1920	2030	55.9	55.3	50.8	48.5	55	-
26-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	55.0	54.8	48.0	47.4	55	-

Monitoring Location: AON5 Hau Yuen


Date	Weekdays/ Public Holiday (WD/PH)	Measurement Period: hours		Measured Noise Level <sup>[1]</sup> , dB(A)		Daily Operational Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq,30min</sub>	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level (Background Corrected), L <sub>eq,30min</sub>	Noise Criteria, L <sub>req(30min)</sub> , dB(A)	Remark / Other Noise Source(s)
		Start	End	Daily Operational Noise Level, L <sub>eq,30min</sub>	Lagoon Night Show Noise Level, L <sub>eq,30min</sub>				
29-Jan-12	PH	1820	1930	57.3	56.3	51.6	44.7	55	Note <sup>[1]</sup>
31-Jan-12	WD	1820	1930	59.7	58.0	50.8	Negligible	55	Note <sup>[1]</sup>
05-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	59.3	55.7	56.7	Negligible	55	Note <sup>[1]&amp;[2]</sup>
07-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	59.4	57.4	55.6	47.0	55	Note <sup>[1]&amp;[2]</sup>
12-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	59.2	57.7	56.4	52.8	55	Note <sup>[1]&amp;[2]</sup>
14-Feb-12	WD	1820	1930	59.9	58.7	53.3	Negligible	55	Note <sup>[1]</sup>
19-Feb-12	PH	1920	2030	57.3	57.0	Negligible	Negligible	55	Note <sup>[1]</sup>
21-Feb-12	WD	1920	2030	58.6	58.4	44.3	34.2	55	Note <sup>[1]</sup>
26-Feb-12	PH	1820	1930	58.6	58.8	47.1	49.0	55	Note <sup>[1]</sup>

Notes:


- [1] Bolded value indicates exceedance over the noise criteria.
- Negligible refers to the measured impact noise levels lower than the background noise levels.
- [2] The exceedances were due to the high level of background noise from visitors and traffic.
- [3] The exceedances at AON3 and AON5 were due to traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.


Annex B3

## Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result





**Note:** The exceedances were due to the high level of background noise from visitors and traffic.





**Note:** The exceedances were due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.





**Note:** The exceedances were due to the traffic noise from Shouson Hill Road.