

Appendix 8.2

Methodology for Compiling the Pollution Loading Inventory

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The pollution loading inventory was compiled for the storm and sewage outfalls within the whole Hong Kong waters for input into the Update Model and the SEK Model for two time horizons, namely 2013 (the Worst Case Scenario) and Ultimate Year (the Ultimate Scenario) respectively, for cumulative impact assessment. The methodologies for compiling the pollution loading are given in this Annex.

2 STORM OUTFALLS

- 2.1 The key sources of water pollution in storm outfalls include:
- Pollution due to sewage from unsewered developments (dry weather load)
 - Pollution due to expedient connections from trade and residential premises, and integrity problems of aged drainage and sewerage systems (dry weather load)
 - Pollution due to livestock waste (dry weather load)
 - Rainfall related load.

- 2.2 The total pollution load discharged via the storm system would cover the dry weather load and rainfall related load

Dry Weather Load

- 2.3 Domestic, commercial and industrial activities are the principle sources of dry weather load in storm drains. Total pollution loads generated from these activities were compiled by catchment areas as shown in **Figure A5-2-1** below with reference to the projected population and employment data provided by the Planning Department (PlanD). Details of these planning data and the methodology for calculating the pollution loads from domestic commercial and industrial activities are given in Section 4 of this Annex.
- 2.4 It was assumed that a portion of total pollution load generated within a catchment would be lost to the storm system whilst the rest of the flow would be diverted to the sewerage system. The assumed percentages of pollution load discharged into the storm system for different catchments are presented in **Table A5-2-1**.



Figure A5-2-1 Sewage Catchment Boundaries

Table A5-2-1 Assumed % of Pollution Load in the Storm System for 2013 and Ultimate Year

Catchment	Catchment ID	Assumed % of Load in the Storm System		Foul interception to:	
		2013	Ultimate Year	2013	Ultimate Year
Sai Kung	1	10%	10%	Sai Kung STW	
Sai Kung Country Park	1a	50%	50%		
Pak Sha Wan	1b	10%	10%		
Clear Water Bay	1c	100%	100%	-	
Tseung Kwan O	2	5%	5%	HATS	
Yau Tong, East Kowloon	4	10%	10%		
North Kowloon, Central Kowloon, South Kowloon	5	10%	10%		
Northwest Kowloon	8	10%	10%		
Stonecutters	9a	10%	10%		
Kwai Chung and Tsuen Wan East	10a	10%	10%		
Tsing Yi	10b	10%	10%		

Catchment	Catchment ID	Assumed % of Load in the Storm System		Foul interception to:	
		2013	Ultimate Year	2013	Ultimate Year
Tsuen Wan West (Rural Area)	11	10%	10%	Sham Tseng STW	
Tuen Mun	12	10%	10%	Pillar Point STW	
Yuen Long and Tin Shui Wai and Deep Bay Streams	12a	10%	10%	San Wan STW	
Kam Tin and Yuen Long New Town	12d	10%	10%	Yuen Long STW	
Discovery Bay	13	0%	0%	Siu Ho Wan STW	
North Lantau	13a	10%	10%		
Chek Lap Kok	13b	0%	0%		
Peng Chau	14	30%	30%	Peng Chau STW	
Mui Wo	15	10%	10%	Mui Wo STW	
South Lantau	15a	100%	100%	-	
Hei Ling Chau	16	0%	0%	Hei Ling Chau STW	
Cheung Chau	17	30%	30%	Cheung Chau STW	
Shek Kwu Chau	17a	100%	100%	-	
Tai A Chau	17b	0%	0%	Tai A Chau PTW	
Shek Pik	18	10%	10%	Shek Pik STW	
Tai O	18a	10%	10%	Tai O STW	
Lamma Island	19	30%	30%	Yung Shue Wan STW and Sok Kwu Wan STW	
Poi Toi Islands	19a	100%	100%	-	
Tung Lung	19b	100%	100%	-	
Pokfulam Sandy Bay	20a	10%	10%	Sandy Bay PTW	HATS
Cyber Port	20b	10%	10%	Cyber Port STW	HATS
Wah Fu Estates and Mt. Kellet	21	10%	10%	Wah Fu PTW	HATS
Aberdeen, Shouson Hill and Repulse Bay, South Bay	22	10%	10%	Aberdeen PTW	HATS
Ap Lei Chau	23	10%	10%	Ap Lei Chau PTW	HATS
Chung Hom Kok	26	10%	10%	Stanley STW	
Stanley	27	10%	10%		
Tai Lam	28	10%	10%		
Shek O	29	10%	10%	Shek O STW	
Chai Wan	30	10%	10%	HATS	
Shau Kei Wan	31	10%	10%		
North Point	32	10%	10%	North Point PTW	HATS
Wan Chai East	33	10%	10%	Wan Chai East PTW	HATS
Wan Chai West	34	10%	10%		
Western and Central, Green Island	35	10%	10%	Central PTW	HATS
Tolo Harbour	37	10%	10%	THEES	
Sheung Shui and Fanling	38	10%	10%	Shek Wo Hui STW	
North New Territories	39	95%	95%		
Sha Tau Kok	40	10%	10%	Sha Tau Kok STW	

- 2.5 The percentage interceptions assumed in **Table A5-2-1** were based on the implementation schedule for sewerage improvement projects as adopted under the approved EIA for the Provision of Disinfection Facilities at Stonecutters Island STW (ADF). The pollution loading in the storm system contributed from domestic, commercial and industrial activities was compiled to the catchment levels shown in **Figure A5-2-1**. The pollution loading compiled for each catchment was distributed to appropriate discharge points (i.e. storm culverts / outfalls, rivers and nullahs). It was assumed that these storm pollutions would be evenly distributed amongst the major storm water discharge points within the catchment.
- 2.6 The livestock waste load discharged via rivers / streams adopted under the approved EIA for ADF as shown in **Table A5-2-2** was directly applied in this EIA for 2013 and ultimate year.

Table A5-2-2 Livestock Waste Load Assumed for 2013 and Ultimate Year

Catchment	River Name	Flow (m ³ /d)	SS (kg/d)	TKN (kg/d)	NH ₃ -N (kg/d)	TP (kg/d)	<i>E.coli</i> (counts/d)
Tsueng Kwan O	Tseng Lan Shue River	2	0	0	0	0	6.98E+11
Sheung Shui and Fanling	Shenzhen River	3216	363	41	22	18	9.28E+14
Yuen Long, Tin Shui Wai and Kam Tin	Shan Pui Ho River	5034	568	65	34	28	1.45E+15
	Tin Shui Wai Nullah	4190	473	54	28	24	1.21E+15
Deep Bay	Sheung Pak Nai Stream	97	11	1	1	1	2.79E+13
	Ha Pak Nai Stream	677	76	9	5	4	1.95E+14

- 2.7 The total dry weather load in the storm outfall would include the loading contributed from domestic, commercial and industrial activities and the loading from livestock discharges (if any) as shown in **Table A5-2-2**.

Rainfall Related Load

- 2.8 It was assumed that a rainfall volume of greater than 10mm per day (and rainfall intensity greater than 2mm/hr) would give rise to runoff. The runoff percentage was based on the average rainfall data between 1/01/74 and 31/10/05 from the Hong Kong Observatory. The calculation of the runoff percentage is shown below:

Runoff percentage = (Sum of the rainfall volume for the days with rainfall volume > 10mm and intensity > 2mm/hr within the season) ÷ Total rainfall volume for the season x 100%

- 2.9 Rainfall data from May to September represent the values for wet season, and those from November to March represent the values for dry season. Accordingly, the runoff percentage was calculated as 93% and 70% for wet and dry seasons respectively

- 2.10 The 30-year long term average rainfall data were used to determine the daily runoff value as shown below:

Daily runoff value (m/day) = 30year long term average daily rainfall data x runoff percentage

- 2.11 Thus, the runoff value was calculated as 0.01104 m/day and 0.00102 m/day for wet and dry seasons respectively.

- 2.12 The amount of rainfall related load that would be discharged into the sea depends on the amount of impermeable area within each catchment. It was assumed that all urbanized/developed areas within the catchment would be impermeable. The daily volume of runoff generated within each catchment was estimated as shown below:

Daily volume of runoff in each catchment (m³/day)
= daily runoff value (m/day) x impermeable area within each catchment (m²)

- 2.13 The daily volume of runoff estimated for each catchment was multiplied with the runoff concentrations to derive the rainfall related loading. The assumed runoff concentrations are shown in **Table A5-2-3**.

Table A5-2-3 Event Mean Concentrations for Stormwater Runoff

TSS (g/m ³)	BOD ₅ (g/m ³)	NH ₃ N (g/m ³)	Cu (g/m ³)	TP (g/m ³)	OrthoP (g/m ³)	Silicate (g/m ³)	TON (g/m ³)	TKN (g/m ³)
43.25	22.48	0.20	0.01	0.20	0.04	3.28	0.40	1.40

Source of reference: EPD Pilot Study of Storm Pollution

- 2.14 The rainfall related loading was compiled to the catchment levels shown in **Figure A5-2-1**. The pollution loading compiled for each catchment was distributed to appropriate discharge points (i.e. culverts, outfalls, rivers and nullahs). It was assumed that the rainfall related loading was evenly distributed amongst the major storm water discharge points within the catchment.

3 SEWAGE OUTFALLS

- 3.1 A portion of the total loads from domestic, commercial and industrial activities generated in each catchment was allocated to the sewerage system according to the percentage of storm interception shown in **Table A5-2-1**. The remaining portion of the total load in each catchment was distributed to the storm system.
- 3.2 Besides the pollution loads from domestic, commercial and industrial activities, the sewerage system would also receive pollution loads from landfills and beaches as most of the landfill sites and beach facilities would be connected to the sewerage system. **Table A5-2-4** and **Table A5-2-5** show the pollution load of relevant landfills and beaches adopted under the approved EIA for ADF. These loading data were directly adopted in this EIA for 2013 and ultimate scenario. The beach loading was included for the wet season simulations only. Loading from landfills and beaches that would not be connected to the STW is given in Section 6 of this Annex. It is considered that the effect of this point source pollution loading would be localized. Contributions of these point source pollution loads would be insignificant as compared to the overall pollution loading that would be discharged into the sea. Possible change of these point source loads would unlikely affect the overall modelling results. Thus, the broad assumption of using the same amount of point source pollution loads for all the assessment years is considered acceptable.

Table A5-2-4 Pollution Flows and Loads from Landfills

	Discharge Location	Flow (m ³ /d)	BOD (kg/d)	SS (kg/d)	Org-N (kg/d)	NH ₃ -N (kg/d)	E-Coli (no./d)	Cu (g/d)
SHUEN WAN LANDFILL								
Shuen Wan Landfill	Foul sewer to Tai Po STW	110	8	28	13	76	7.65E+05	2
NEW STRATEGIC LANDFILLS								
WENT	Foul sewer to NWNT sewage outfall	714	2648	288	190	1690	4.97E+06	14
SENT	Foul sewer to HATS	523	30	131	26	1	3.64E+06	10

	Discharge Location	Flow (m3/d)	BOD (kg/d)	SS (kg/d)	Org-N (kg/d)	NH ₃ -N (kg/d)	E-Coli (no./d)	Cu (g/d)
NENT	Foul sewer to Shek Wu Hui STW	541	11	53	22	1	3.76E+06	11
NWNT LANDFILLS								
Pillar Point Valley	Foul sewer to Pillar Point STW	3283	3165	822	389	2511	2.28E+07	66
Ngau Tam Mei	Foul sewer to HATS	200	193	50	24	153	1.39E+06	4
Siu Lang Shui								
Gin Drinkers Bay								
Ma Tso Lung								
URBAN LANDFILLS								
Jordan Valley	Foul sewer to HATS	638	615	160	76	488	4.44E+06	13
Ma Yau Tong Central								
Sai Tso Wan								
Ma Yau Tong West								
Ngau Chi Wan								
TKO LANDFILLS								
TKO I	Foul sewer to HATS	69	66	32	8	52	4.77E+05	1

Table A5-2-5 Pollution Loads from Beach Users in Bathing Season

Gazetted Beach	Discharge Location	Flow (m ³ /day)	BOD (g/day)	SS (g/day)	Org-N (g/day)	NH ₃ -N (g/day)	E.coli. (no./day)	TP (g/day)	OrthoP (g/day)
Big Wave Bay	Shek O STW	3	788	657	432	985	1.04E+13	224	133
Hairpin		1	334	278	183	417	4.41E+12	95	57
Shek O		20	4895	4079	2685	6118	6.46E+13	1393	829
Deep Water Bay	Aberdeen STW for 2009 and HATS for 2014/20XX	22	5436	4530	2982	6795	7.17E+13	1547	921
Middle Bay		3	667	556	366	833	8.80E+12	190	113
Repulse Bay		44	10968	9140	6017	13710	1.45E+14	3121	1858
South Bay		2	584	487	321	730	7.71E+12	166	99
Chung Hom Kok	Stanley STW	1	225	187	123	281	2.96E+12	64	38
St. Stephen's		4	875	729	480	1094	1.15E+13	249	148
Stanley Main		6	1504	1254	825	1880	1.98E+13	428	255
Turtle Cove		1	268	223	147	334	3.53E+12	76	45
Silvermine Bay	Mui Wo STW	0	112	93	61	140	1.47E+12	32	19
Hung Shing Yeh	Yung Shue Wan STW	1	308	256	169	384	4.06E+12	88	52
Lo So Shing		0	68	57	37	85	8.99E+11	19	12
Kwun Yau Wan	Cheung Chau STW	0	94	78	52	117	1.24E+12	27	16
Tung Wan, Cheung Chau		4	1089	908	598	1362	1.44E+13	310	185
Silverstrand	Sai Kung STW	18	4556	3797	2500	5695	6.01E+13	1297	772
Trio (Hebe Haven)		3	632	527	347	790	8.34E+12	180	107
Anglers'	Sham Tseng STW	0	87	73	48	109	1.15E+12	25	15
Approach	Sham Tseng STW	0	77	64	42	96	1.02E+12	22	13
Casam	Sham Tseng STW	0	63	53	35	79	8.36E+11	18	11
Gemini	Sham Tseng STW	0	41	34	23	52	5.44E+11	12	7
Hoi Mei Wan	Sham Tseng STW	0	85	71	47	107	1.13E+12	24	14

Gazetted Beach	Discharge Location	Flow (m ³ /day)	BOD (g/day)	SS (g/day)	Org-N (g/day)	NH ₃ -N (g/day)	E.coli. (no./day)	TP (g/day)	OrthoP (g/day)
Lido	Sham Tseng STW	3	662	552	363	828	8.74E+12	188	112
Ting Kau	Sham Tseng STW	0	26	22	14	32	3.42E+11	7	4
Butterfly	Pillar Point STW	17	4248	3540	2331	5310	5.61E+13	1209	720
Castle Peak		2	605	504	332	756	7.98E+12	172	102
Kadoorie		22	5561	4634	3051	6951	7.34E+13	1582	942
New Cafeteria		8	2045	1704	1122	2556	2.70E+13	582	346
Old Cafeteria		3	732	610	401	915	9.65E+12	208	124
Golden Beach		22	5505	4587	3020	6881	7.26E+13	1566	932

- 3.3 The total load generated in the sewerage system would be reduced after the treatment processes. **Table A5-2-6** shows the treatment processes for major STW. It should be noted that SCISTW, Pillar Point Sewage Treatment Works (PPSTW), Siu Ho Wan Sewage Treatment Works (SHWSTW), Tolo Harbour Effluent Export Scheme (THEES), San Wai Sewage Treatment Works (SWSTW), Yuen Long Sewage Treatment Works (YLSTW) and Sham Tseng Sewage treatment Works (SHTSTW) are not included in **Table A5-2-6** as the methodologies for compiling the loading discharged from these STW are discussed separately in Section 5 of this Annex. The treatment efficiencies for different treatment processes are given in **Table A5-2-7** for reference.

Table A5-2-6 Summary of Major Sewage Treatment Works and the Corresponding Treatment Levels

STW	Treatment Level	
	2013	ultimate year
Stanley	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Shek O	Preliminary treatment	Preliminary treatment
Tai O	Primary treatment	Primary treatment
Cheung Chau	Primary treatment	Primary treatment
Mui Wo	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Peng Chau	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Shek Wu Hui	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Sha Tau Kok	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Sai Kung	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Yung Shue Wan	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Sok Kwu Wan	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Hei Ling Chau	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Shek Pik	Secondary treatment with disinfection	Secondary treatment with disinfection
Cyber Port	Chemically enhanced primary treatment	See Note 1

Note 1 - Effluent from Cyber Port STW would be discharged to the HATS under Stage 2A by 2014.

Table A5-2-7 Treatment Efficiency for Treatment Works

Types of Treatment Plant	BOD ₅	TSS	NH ₃ -N	Org-N	OrthoP	TP	Cu	E.coli
Screening Plants ^A	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Primary Treatment (no disinfection)	32.5%	55%	0%	15%	0%	15%	26%	50%
Primary Treatment (with disinfection)	32.5%	55%	0%	15%	0%	15%	26%	99.95%
Chemical Enhanced Primary Treatment (with no disinfection) ^B	55%	70%	10%	45% ^C	60%	60%	80%	50%
Chemical Enhanced Primary Treatment (with disinfection) ^B	55%	70%	10%	45% ^C	60%	60%	80%	99.95%

Types of Treatment Plant	BOD ₅	TSS	NH ₃ -N	Org-N	OrthoP	TP	Cu	E.coli
Secondary Treatment (no disinfection)	85%	90%	75%	80%	35%	50%	74%	94%
Secondary Treatment (with disinfection)	85%	90%	75%	80%	35%	50%	74%	99.97%

Note

- A. It is assumed that the reduction of the pollution parameters is insignificant in screening plants. Therefore, the removal rates for these parameters were all assumed zero.
- B. Based on estimation from the SSDS EIA Study: Technical Note 1 (Revised) Wastewater Flows and Loads and Effluent Characteristics.
- C. The removal rate of org-N is calculated from the removal rates of NH₃-N and total N (10% and 25% respectively) assuming that NH₃-N contributes about 57% of total N in raw sewage.

4 POLLUTION LOADS FROM DOMESTIC, COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES

Population and Employment Statistics

Time Aspect

- 4.1 The 2003-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrices (TPEDM) provided by PlanD were used to compile the pollution loads from domestic, commercial and industrial activities. As the forecast data give the projected population breakdown by Planning Vision and Strategy (PVS) zones for 2011 and 2016, the ultimate loading was based on a 10% extrapolation of the projected population for 2016. Based on the projected flows for 2011 and 2016, linear interpolation was used to determine the flow rates for 2013.

Spatial Aspect

- 4.2 To facilitate the estimation of pollution loading, the population and employment data are required to be presented at the level of catchment areas shown in **Figure A5-2-1** of this Annex. However, the projected population from PlanD is provided in a much smaller scale at PVS zones. Population and employment data for each sewage catchment area were estimated by overlaying the PVS zones on top of the layout of the sewage catchment area for allocating the appropriate PVS zones to the sewage catchment area.

Data Manipulation

- 4.3 The TPEDM provides the number of usual residents, mobile residents and school places within the territory at PVS zones.
- 4.4 Employment population is divided by 12 job types under the TPEDM as listed below:
 - J1 Manufacture
 - J2 Electricity, gas & water
 - J3 Transport, storage & communication
 - J4 Wholesale and retail
 - J5 Import & export
 - J6 Financial, insurance, real estate & business services
 - J7 Agriculture & fishery
 - J8 Mining & quarrying
 - J9 Construction
 - J10 Restaurants, hotels & boarding houses
 - J11 Community, social & personal services
 - J12 Public administration
- 4.5 The population data from the TPEDM were manipulated and presented at the following categories:
 - Residential population (by usual residents and mobile residents)

- Transient Population (by total employment number and total school places), where total employment = J1+J2+J3+J4+J5+J6+J7+J8+J9+J10+J11+J12
- Number of employees in commercial sector (by J2, J3, J4, J9, J10 & J11)
- Number of employees in manufacturing sector (=J1) by 6 sub-categories, namely food, textiles, leather, paper, manufacturing and machinery respectively.

- 4.6 The domestic pollution load to be generated from a catchment would be affected by the number of resident population and transient population within the catchment. The total employee number comprises 12 job types listed above. It is considered that commercial effluents are contributed from job J2 to J4 and J9 to J11. Industrial effluents are contributed from job type J1.
- 4.7 In order to provide a better estimation of pollution loads from industrial processes, the number of employees in manufacturing sector (J1) was further broken down into 6 sub-categories, namely food, textiles, leather, paper, manufacturing and machinery. Projected employment statistics are not available for these 6 sub-categories. It is noted that the size for each of these 6 sub-categories was estimated under the EPD Update Study. To estimate the size of these 6 sub-categories for this EIA, it is assumed that the share of each sub-category in the manufacturing sector provided in the Update Study would be the same as that for 2013 and ultimate year.

Unit Flow and Load Factors

- 4.8 Relevant per head flow and load were assigned to residential, transient, commercial and industrial population to obtain the quantity and quality of total untreated wastewater by individual catchment areas. **Table A5-2-13** to **Table A5-2-17** shows the flow and load factors.

Table A5-2-13 Domestic Flow and Load Factors for Resident Population

Description	Flow ¹ (m ³ /d/head)		SS ²	BOD ₅ ²	TKN ²	NH ₃ -N ²	TP ³	Cu ³	E. coli ²
			(all in g/d/head except E.coli in no./d/head)						
	2009	2013 /2020 /ultimate							
Usual residents									
Sandy Bay	0.341	0.35	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Stanley, Discovery Bay	0.29	0.29	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Shek O	0.329	0.35	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Outlying Island, Sai Kung	0.27	0.27	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Yuen Long, Mui Wo	0.244	0.25	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Aberdeen, Wan Chai, North Lantau	0.23	0.23	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Sha Tin, Tai Po	0.22	0.22	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
San Wai	0.221	0.23	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Wah Fu, Shek Wu Hui, N	0.207	0.21	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Northwest Kowloon, Tuen Mun, Central, North Point	0.2	0.2	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Ap Lei Chau, Chai Wan, Shau Kei Wan, Central Kowloon, East Kowloon, Kwai Chung, Tsing Yi, Tseung Kwan O	0.19	0.19	40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10
Mobile residents	0.19		40	42	8.5	5.0	1.33	0.0065	4.3E+10

Source of reference:

1. Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning (Version 1.0), EPD, March 2005
2. DSD Sewerage Manual
3. EPD Update Study

Table A5-2-14 Domestic Flow and Load Factors for Transient Population

Description	Flow ¹ (m ³ /d/head)	SS ²	BOD ₅ ²	TKN ²	NH ₃ -N ²	TP ³	Cu ³	E. coli ²
		(all in g/d/head except E.coli in no./d/head)						
Employed population	0.08	34	34	6.7	4.0	1.06	0.0052	3.5E+10
Students	0.04	34	34	6.7	4.0	1.06	0.0052	3.5E+10

Source of reference:

1. Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning (Version 1.0), EPD, March 2005
2. DSD Sewerage Manual
3. EPD Update Study

Table A5-2-15 Flow and Load Factors for Commercial Activities

Description	Flow ¹ (m ³ /d/employee)	SS ²	BOD ₅ ²	TKN ²	NH ₃ -N ²	TP ³	E. coli ²
		(all in g/d/head except E.coli in no./d/head)					
J2 Electricity Gas & Water	0.25	25	53	2.5	0.8	0.53	0
J3 Transport, Storage & Communication	0.1	25	53	2.5	0.8	0.53	0
J4 Wholesale & Retail	0.2	25	53	2.5	0.8	0.53	0
J9 Construction	0.15	25	53	2.5	0.8	0.53	0
J10 Restaurants & Hotels	1.5	25	53	2.5	0.8	0.53	0
J11 Community, Social & Personal Services	0.2	25	53	2.5	0.8	0.53	0

Source of reference:

1. Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning (Version 1.0), EPD, March 2005
2. DSD Sewerage Manual
3. EPD Update Study

Table A5-2-16 Flow Factors for Industrial Activities

Catchment	Flow ¹ (m ³ /d/employee)
J1 Manufacturing	
Hong Kong Island (except Aberdeen & Ap Lei Chau), San Po Kong	0.25
North West Kowloon	0.45
East Kowloon, Sha Tin, Lantau Island (except Mui Wo)	0.45
Central Kowloon, North District, Aberdeen, Ap Lei Chau	0.55
Tsuen Wan, Kwai Chung	0.65
Tai Po	0.75
Tuen Mun, Tseung Kwan O, Yau Tong, Cheung Chau, Mui Wo	1
Tsing Yi	1.5
Sai Kung, Yuen Long	2

Source of reference:

1. Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning (Version 1.0), EPD, March 2005

Table A5-2-17 Load Factors for Industrial Activities

Category	SS ¹	BOD ₅ ¹	TKN ¹	NH ₃ -N ¹	Cu ¹	E.coli ¹
	(all in g/d/employee except E.coli in no./d/employee)					
J1 Manufacturing						
Food	502	713	39	0	0	0
Textiles	2095	3680	67	0	4.4	0
Leather	115	115	29	7	0.1	0

Category	SS ¹	BOD ₅ ¹	TKN ¹	NH ₃ -N ¹	Cu ¹	E.coli ¹
	(all in g/d/employee except E.coli in no./d/employee)					
J1 Manufacturing						
Paper	2228	2150	33	0	0	0
Manufacturing	355	931	0	0	2.4	0
Machinery	40	90	29	22	0.9	0

Source of reference:

1. EPD Update Study

4.9 Pollution load generation factors for OrthoP and silica are not available. The following assumptions were adopted for calculating OrthoP and silica loading in raw sewage.

- TP to OrthoP is 1.68 based on the actual measurements of raw sewage at Sha Tin STW and Yuen Long STW.
- The silica content is approximately 9 mg/l based on the actual measurements of raw sewage at Sha Tin STW.

5 CONCURRENT DISCHARGES FROM HATS AND OTHER MAJOR STW

5.1 Effluent discharges from the key STW within the modelling areas were considered separately. These key discharges include the effluent flow from SCISTW, PPSTW, SHWSTW, NWNT outfall (including YLSTW and SWSTW) and SHTSTW. The assumed flow rates and effluent concentrations for SCISTW are given in **Annex A** and **Annex B**. The methodology for compiling the flow rates of the remaining 5 key STW is given below.

Flow Estimation for 2013

5.2 For the purpose of water quality modelling, it was proposed to use the average flow calculated using the unit flow factors from the GESF¹ and the methodologies discussed in Section 3 and Section 4 for the discharge from PPSTW, SHWSTW, NWNT outfall and SHTSTW. The average flow used for these STW discharges had also taken into account the catchment inflow factors (P_{CIF}) from the GESF as shown in **Table A5-2-18** below. Flow from relevant landfills and beach facilities as shown in **Table A5-2-4** and **Table A5-2-5** was also included in the flow estimation wherever applicable.

Table A5-2-18 Catchment Inflow Factors from the GESF

Catchment	Catchment Inflow Factor
Central, North Point, Sandy Bay, Wan Chai, Wah Fu, Central Kowloon, Stanley, Yuen Long, San Wai, North District, Tai Po, North Lantau, Mui Wo	1.00
Chai Wan, Kwai Chung, Tsing Yi, East Kowloon, Tuen Mun	1.10
Sha Tin	1.15
Tseung Kwan O	1.20
Shau Kei Wan	1.25
Aberdeen, Ap Lei Chau, Northwest Kowloon, Sai Kung	1.30
Cheung Chau, Shek O	1.50

Flow Estimation for Ultimate Scenario

5.3 It was proposed to use the design plant capacity to calculate the loading discharged from the major STW for ultimate year as shown below:

- PPSTW – 558,000 m³/day
- SHWSTW – 168,937 m³/day
- YLSTW – 70,000 m³/day

¹ Guidelines for Estimating Sewage Flows for Sewage Infrastructure Planning (Version 1.0), EPD, March 2005

- SWSTW – 246,000 m³/day
- SHTSTW – 16,848 m³/day

6 POINT SOURCE POLLUTION LOADS

- 6.1 The pollution loads from typhoon shelters, marine culture zones adopted in the approved EIA for ADF are summarized in **Table A5-2-20** and **Table A5-2-21**. These pollution loads were included in the water quality model under 2013 and ultimate scenario for cumulative assessment. Loading from landfills and beaches that would not be connected to the STW is summarized in **Table A5-2-22** and **Table A5-2-23**.

Table A5-2-20 Pollution Flows and Loads from Typhoon Shelter

Typhoon shelters	Flow (m ³ /d)	BOD (g/d)	SS (g/d)	Org-N (g/d)	NH3-N (g/d)	<i>E.coli</i> (no./d)	Copper (g/d)	TP (g/d)	OrthoP (g/d)	Silicate (g/d)
Shau Kei Wan	149	41670	39686	3473	4961	4.27E+14	6	1320	785	1279
Sam Ka Tsuen	39	10803	10289	900	1286	1.11E+13	2	342	204	332
Kwun Tong	22	6055	5766	505	721	6.20E+12	1	192	114	186
Causeway Bay	179	50099	47714	4175	5964	5.13E+13	8	1586	944	1538
Yau Ma Tei	184	51643	49183	4304	6148	5.29E+13	8	1635	973	1586
Rambler Channel	36	10032	9554	836	1194	1.03E+13	2	318	189	308
Aberdeen	388	108746	103568	9062	12946	1.11E+14	17	3444	2050	3339
Tuen Mun	138	38643	36803	3220	4600	3.96E+13	6	1224	728	1186
Cheung Chau	166	46597	44378	3883	5547	4.77E+13	7	1476	878	1431
Shuen Wan (Yim Tin Tsai)	49	13712	13059	1143	1632	1.40E+13	2	434	258	421
Sai Kung	81	22794	21709	1899	2714	2.33E+13	4	722	430	700
Chai Wan	44	12347	11759	1029	1470	1.26E+13	2	391	233	379
To Kwa Wan	53	14840	14133	1237	1767	1.52E+13	2	470	280	456

Table A5-2-21 Pollution Flows and Loads from Marine Culture Zone

Marine Culture Zone	BOD (g/d)	SS (g/d)	Org-N (g/d)	NH3-N (g/d)	TP (g/d)	OrthoP (g/d)
Sha Tau Kok	42806	124916	10569	38075	2038	1595
Ap Chau	999	2915	247	888	48	37
Kat O	7705	22485	1902	6854	367	287
O Pui Tong	25113	73284	6200	22338	1196	936
Sai Lau Kong	1712	4997	423	1523	82	64
Wong Wan	5351	15615	1321	4759	255	199
Tap Mun	17217	50244	4251	15315	820	642
Kau Lau Wan	2663	7773	658	2369	127	99
Sham Wan	42948	125333	10604	38202	2045	1600

Marine Culture Zone	BOD (g/d)	SS (g/d)	Org-N (g/d)	NH ₃ -N (g/d)	TP (g/d)	OrthoP (g/d)
Lo Fu Wat	1284	3747	317	1142	61	48
Yung Shue Au	81330	237341	20081	72343	3872	3031
Leung Shuen Wan	4114	12006	1016	3659	196	153
Tiu Cham Wan	4043	11798	998	3596	192	151
Tai Tau Chau	14934	43582	3687	13284	711	557
Kai Lung Wan	6432	18769	1588	5721	306	240
Kau Sai	10987	32062	2713	9773	523	409
Ma Nam Wat	9536	27829	2355	8482	454	355
Po Toi O	9084	26510	2243	8080	432	339
Po Toi	33579	97990	8291	29868	1599	1251
Sok Kwu Wan	25969	75783	6412	23099	1236	968
Lo Tik Wan	11011	32131	2719	9794	524	410
Ma Wan	50939	148650	12577	45310	2425	1898
Yim Tin Tsai	35552	103750	8778	31624	1693	1325
Cheung Sha Wan	19025	55518	4697	16922	906	709
Yim Tin Tsai (East)	35499	103750	4406	31754	1197	1051
Tung Lung Chau	18996	55518	2358	16992	640	562

Table A5-2-22 Pollution Flows and Loads from Landfills

Landfill	Flow (m ³ /d)	BOD (kg/d)	SS (kg/d)	Org-N (kg/d)	NH ₃ -N (kg/d)	<i>E-Coli</i> (no./d)	Cu (g/d)
Shuen Wan Landfill Leachate seepage into coastal waters	50	10	10	10	90	3.48E+05	1

Table A5-2-23 Pollution Flows and Loads from Beaches

Gazetted Beach	Flow (m ³ /d)	BOD (g/d)	SS (g/d)	Org-N (g/d)	NH ₃ -N (g/d)	<i>E.coli.</i> (no./d)	TP (g/d)	OrthoP (g/d)
Cheung Sha Lower	1	245	204	135	307	3.24E+12	70	42
Cheung Sha Upper	0	95	79	52	118	1.25E+12	27	16
Pui O	1	152	126	83	190	2.00E+12	43	26
Tong Fuk	1	188	156	103	234	2.48E+12	53	32
Hap Mun Bay	13	3204	2670	1757	4004	4.23E+13	912	543
Kiu Tsui	1	353	294	194	441	4.66E+12	100	60

Appendix 8.2
Methodology for Compiling the
Pollution Loading Inventory

Gazetted Beach	Flow (m³/d)	BOD (g/d)	SS (g/d)	Org-N (g/d)	NH₃-N (g/d)	<i>E.coli.</i> (no./d)	TP (g/d)	OrthoP (g/d)
Tung Wan, Ma Wan	2	485	404	266	607	6.40E+12	138	82
Clear Water Bay 1 st	5	1340	1117	735	1675	1.77E+13	381	227
Clear Water Bay 2 nd	46	11385	9487	6246	14231	1.50E+14	3240	1928