

Vol. 3 of 5


FEP-02/457/2013/C

Central Kowloon Route

Yau Ma Tei East

Contract No. HY/2014/08

October 2020





Environmental Permit No. EP-457/2013/C

Central Kowloon Route

Independent Environmental Checker Verification

Works Contract:

Yau Ma Tei East (HY/2014/08)

Reference Document/Plan

Document/~~Plan~~ to be ~~Certified~~/ Verified: Monthly EM&A Report No.31 (October 2020)

Date of Report: 9 November 2020 (Rev.1)

Date received by IEC: 9 November 2020

Reference EP Condition

Environmental Permit Condition: 3.4

Submission of Monthly EM&A Report of the Project

3.4 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as complying with the requirements as set out in the EM&A Manual before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/~~plan~~ complies with the above referenced condition of EP-457/2013/C.

Ms Mandy To

Independent Environmental Checker

Date: 10 November 2020

Build King - SKEC Joint Venture

Central Kowloon Route Contract HY/2014/08

Section of Yau Ma Tei East

Monthly EM&A Report No. 31

(Period from 1 to 31 October 2020)

Rev. 1

(9 November 2020)

	Name	Signature
Prepared by	Sally H.S. Mok (Assistant Environmental Consultant)	
Checked & Reviewed by	Nelson T. H. Tsui (Senior Environmental Consultant)	
Approved & Certified by	Kevin W. M. Li (Environmental Team Leader)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION.....	6
2. ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS	9
3. MONITORING RESULTS.....	11
4. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS.....	20
5. EM&A SITE INSPECTION.....	22
6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES.....	23
7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	24

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. Alignment and Works Area for the Contract No. HY/2014/08
- B. Construction Programme
- C. Project Organization Chart
- D. Dust Event-Action Plan (EAP)
- E. Noise Event-Action Plan (EAP)
- F. Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
- G. Monitoring Schedules of the Reporting Month
- H. Calibration Certificate (Air Monitoring)
- I. Calibration Certificate (Noise)
- J. The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS Accredited Analytical Tests
- K. Location Plan of Noise and Air Quality Monitoring Station
- L. Monitoring Data (Air Monitoring)
- M. Monitoring Data (Noise)
- N. Waste Flow Table
- O. Statistics on Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions
- P. Monitoring Schedule of the Coming Month
- Q. Interim Report for the Complaint

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A.1 Build King – SKEC Joint Venture (“Contractor”) commenced the construction works of Highway Department (HyD) Central Kowloon Route Contract No. HY/2014/08 – Section of Yau Ma Tei East (“The Project”) on 20 April 2018. This is the 31st monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 October 2020 to 31 October 2020.
- A.2 A summary of the construction works reported by Main Contractor for the Project during the reporting month is listed below.

Construction Activities undertaken

- Rock excavation down to construct base slab, skin wall & central wall
 - Install 406mm pipe piles at Yau Ma Tei Police Station (YMTPS)
 - Construction of Bottom Slab at Zone A
 - Construction of D-wall panels (31 panels) at Zone B
 - Construction of Stage 3 D-wall panels at Zone C
 - Demolition of Yau Ma Tei Specialist Clinic Extension (YMTSCE) building including substructure removal
 - ELS, Excavation and Construction of Pad Footing, Piers and Portal Frame for RGRF (E/B)
-

- A.3 A summary of regular construction noise and construction dust monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:

Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours

W-N1A, W-N18, W-N25A, W-P11	5 times
-----------------------------	---------

Construction dust (24-hour TSP) monitoring

W-A1	5 times
------	---------

W-A6	5 times
------	---------

Construction dust (1-hour TSP) monitoring

W-A1, W-A6	15 times
------------	----------

- A.4 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 8, 22 October 2020. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.
- A.5 Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of Environmental Team (ET), Contractor and Engineer on 8, 15, 22, 29 October 2020. One joint site inspection with IEC was also undertaken on 15 October 2020. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.
- A.6 Details of waste management are presented in Section 3.
- A.7 One Action Level of construction noise was triggered during the reporting period as there was one documented complaint received on 23 October 2020 related to construction noise and dust at Portion 21. Another documented complaint received on 14 October 2020 related

to odour at Zone C. No exceedance of Limit Level of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No exceedance of the Action Level and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting month.

A.8 One odour-related complaint and one noise and dust-related complaint were received on 14 and 23 October 2020 respectively within the reporting month. After investigation with Contractor, precautionary measures had been proposed to the Contractor by ET. The interim reports for the complaints are shown in Appendix Q.

A.9 No non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.

A.10 No notification of summon or prosecution was received in this reporting month.

A.11 A summary of the construction activities provided by Main Contractor in the next reporting month is listed below:

Construction Activities to be undertaken

- Continue construction of base slab at Zone A
 - Continue predrilling works, guide wall construction, D-wall construction, sheet piling and utilities diversion and installation of King Posts/ construction of capping beam at Zone B
 - Continue for pipe piling works at YMTPS
 - Continue for Soil Improvement Works (DCM) at YMTPS Old Wing and stage 2 D-wall construction at Zone C
 - Continue for outstanding works for Phase 1 at Temporary Public Library Cum Jade Hawker Bazaar Building (TPLCJHB)
 - Continue ELS works for footing construction for GRF Piers 7, 8 & 9 and construction of footing for GRF Pier 7
 - Continue the demolition works at the Special Clinic
 - Erection of hoarding for demolition works at carpark building near Kansu Street
 - Excavation of trial pits in front of CLP transformer room at carpark building
 - Excavation of trial pits for CLP investigation of diversion works at zone 3 noise mitigation measures works
 - Implement TTA along Ferry Street and Ching Ping Street to carry out trial pit excavation
 - demolition of the existing subway
 - Pre-drilling works at Zone D (along Canton Road)
 - Monitoring of instrumentation for all areas
-

1. BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1.1. Central Kowloon Route (CKR) is a 4.7 km long dual 3-lane trunk road in Central Kowloon linking Yau Ma Tei Interchange in West Kowloon with the road network on Kai Tak Development and Kowloon Bay in East Kowloon.
- 1.2. The Central Kowloon Route – Design and Construction Environmental Impact Assessment Report (Register No.: AEIAR-171/2013) was approved with conditions by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 11 July 2013. An Environmental Permit (EP 457/2013) was issued on 9 August 2013. Variations of EP (VEP) was subsequently applied for and the latest EP (EP-457/2013/C) was issued by EPD on 16 January 2017. A Further EP (FEP-02/457/2013/C) was issued by EPD on 5 March 2018.
- 1.3. The construction of the CKR had been divided into different sections. This Contract No. HY/2014/08 – Section of Yau Ma Tei East (YMTE) covers part of the construction activities located at Yau Ma Tei under the EP and FEP which includes:
 - Section of Yau Ma Tei East
 - i. Construction of Cut-and-Cover Tunnel in compliance with all statutory requirements and the requirements specified under the Contract while maintaining the traffic with all necessary provisions
 - ii. Construction and subsequent handover of Yau Ma Tei Access Shaft for facilitating the access and use by the contractor of Central Kowloon Route - Central Tunnel contract
 - iii. Demolition of existing buildings including Yau Ma Tei Multi-storey Carpark Building, Yau Ma Tei Specialist Clinic Extension Building and Yau Ma Tei Jade Hawker Bazaars
 - iv. Demolition and re-provisioning of Gascoigne Road Flyover(GRF) and the underpinning works for the existing Ferry Street Flyover and Yau Ma Tei Police Station New Wing Building
 - v. Construction of civil provisions and coordination with the contractor of Central Kowloon Route - Tunnel Electrical & Mechanical contract
 - vi. Design and construction of Noise Barrier Works
 - vii. Prepare TTA proposals, discuss at TMLG meeting and obtain its agreement and approval/ endorsement from relevant authorities at suitable times to enable the execution of the Works

The alignment and works area for the Contract No. HY/2014/08 - are shown in Appendix A.

- 1.4. A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown in Table 1.1. The construction programme is presented in Appendix B.

Table 1.1 Summary of the Construction Activities reported by Main Contractor during the Reporting Month

Construction Activities undertaken
- Rock excavation down to construct base slab, skin wall & central wall - Install 406mm pipe piles at YMTPS - Construction of Bottom Slab at Zone A - Construction of D-wall panels (31 panels) at Zone B - Construction of Stage 3 D-wall panels at Zone C - Demolition of YMTSCE building including substructure removal - ELS, Excavation and Construction of Pad Footing, Piers and Portal Frame for RGRF (E/B)

1.5. The project organisational chart specifying management structure and contact details are shown in Appendix C.

1.6. A summary of the valid permits, licences, and /or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Summary of the Status of Valid Environmental Licence

Notification, Permit and Documentations PENDING

Permit/ Licences/ Notification /Reference No.	Valid Period		Status	Remark
	From	To		
Environmental Permit				
EP-457/2013/C	23 Apr 2019	End of Project	Valid	-
Further Environmental Permit				
FEP-02/457/2013/C	5 Mar2018	End of Project	Valid	
Wastewater Discharge License				
WT00030660-2018	28 Mar 2018	31 Mar 2023	Valid	-
Notification of Construction Works under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation				
429806	18 Jan 2018	End of Project	Notified	-
Chemical Waste Producer Registration				
WPN5213-225-B2526-01	14 March 2018	End of Project	Valid	-
Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste				
7029997	1 Feb 2018	End of Project	Valid	-
Construction Noise Permit				
GW-RE0624-20	23 Jul 2020	22 Jan 2021	Valid	Construction Noise Permit at Zone C
GW-RE0701-20	24 Aug 2020	23 Nov 2020	Valid	Construction Noise Permit at Zone E
GW-RE0562-20	1 Jul 2020	31 Dec 2020	Valid	Construction Noise Permit at Zone A, B & MCHC.

Permit/ Licences/ Notification /Reference No.	Valid Period		Status	Remark
	From	To		
GW-RE0703-20	24 Aug 2020	23 Nov 2020	Valid	Construction Noise Permit at Temporary Library
GW-RE0736-20	3 Sep 2020	29 Oct 2020	Valid until 29 Oct 2020	Construction Noise Permit for sewerage pipe installation at Kansu Street
GW-RE0493-20	12 Jun 2020	11 Dec 2020	Valid	Construction Noise Permit for Special Clinic
Marine Dumping Permit				
EP/MD/21-007	22 May 2020	21 Nov 2020	Valid	Sediments requiring Type 1- Open Sea Disposal

2. ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

2.1. Environmental permit (EP) conditions under the EIAO, submission status under the EP and implementation status of mitigation measures had been reviewed and implemented on schedule. The status of required submissions under the EP (EP-457/2013/C) and FEP (FEP-02/457/2013/C) as of the reporting period for the Project are summarised in Table 2.1

Table 2.1 Summary of Status of Required Submission for EP-457/2013/C and FEP-02/457/2013/C for the Project

EP/FEP Condition (EP-457/2013/C) (FEP-02/457/2013/C)	Submission	Submission date
Condition 1.12	Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of the Project	20 Mar 2018
Condition 2.3	Community Liaison Group	23 Nov 2017
Condition 2.4	Management organisation of the main construction companies	9 Feb 2018
Condition 2.5	Construction Programme and EP Submission Schedule	26 Feb 2018
Condition 2.6	Design Drawing	26 Feb 2018
Condition 2.7	Cultural Heritage Mitigation Plan	5 Oct 2019
Condition 2.8	Landscape Mitigation Plan	9 Mar 2018
Condition 2.9	Construction Noise Mitigation Measure Plan (CNMMP)	28 Feb 2020
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report	6 Apr 2018
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (Sep 2020)	14 Oct 2020

2.2. Details of the major construction activities reported by Main Contractor in this reporting period are shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Summary of the Construction Activities reported by Main Contractor during the Reporting Month.

Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
• Rock excavation down to construct base slab, skin wall & central wall	•Completed
• Install 406mm pipe piles at YMTPS	•60% completion
• Construct of Bottom Slab at Zone A	•80% completion
• Construction of D-wall panels (31 panels) at Zone B	•71% completion
• Construction of Stage 3 D-wall panels at Zone C	•43% completion
• Demolition of YMTSCE building including substructure removal	•72% completion
• ELS, Excavation and Construction of Pad Footing, Piers and Portal	•12% completion

Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
Frame for RGRF	

- 2.3. The drawing showing the the project layout and the location of the monitoring station and environmental sensitive receivers are attached in Appendix A and Appendix K. Co-ordinates of the monitoring location are shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 Summary for the location of the monitoring station

Monitoring Location	Location ID	Latitude	Longitude
Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)	W-A1/ W-N1A	22.313357	114.16409
Man Cheong Building	W-A6	22.308185	114.166033
Hydan Place	W-N18	22.30858	114.170185
Prosperous Garden Block 1	W-N25A	22.309846	114.168072
The Coronation Tower 1	W-P11	22.309824	114.165616

3. MONITORING RESULTS

3.1. Monitoring Parameters

Air Quality

- 3.1.1. The impact monitoring had been carried out in accordance with section 5.8 of the approved EM&A Manual to determine the 1-hour and 24-hour total suspended particulates (TSP) levels at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 3.1.2. The sampling frequency of at least once in every 6 days, shall be strictly observed at the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least 3 times in every 6 days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.
- 3.1.3. General meteorological conditions (wind speed, direction and precipitation) and notes regarding any significant adjacent dust producing sources had also been recorded throughout the impact monitoring period.

Noise

- 3.1.4. Construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). L_{eq} (30min) shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 3.1.5. For all other time periods, L_{eq} (5min) shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.
- 3.1.6. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.

3.2. Monitoring Equipment

Air Quality

- 3.2.1. 1-hour TSP levels and 24-hour TSP had been measured with direct reading dust meter and High Volume Samplers respectively. It has been demonstrated its capability in achieving comparable results with high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50).
- 3.2.2. The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument was checked before and after each monitoring event. Operation of the 1-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. The 24-hour TSP meter was calibrated against firmware 80570-8100-V1.0.4, annually. Operation of the 24-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. Valid calibration certificates of dust monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix H.
- 3.2.3. A summary of the equipment that was deployed for the 24- hour averaged monitoring is shown in Table 3.1. The TSP monitoring was conducted as per the schedule presented in Appendix G.

- 3.2.4. The equipment used for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP measurement and calibration are summarised in Table 3.1

Table 3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Parameter	Monitoring Equipment	Serial Number	Date of Calibration
1-hour TSP	LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator	882106	22 Jul 2020
	LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator	851819	22 Jul 2020
24-hour TSP	TE-5170X High Volume Sampler	1049	25 Sep, 10 and 22 Oct 2020
	TE-5170X High Volume Sampler	1050	25 Sep, 10 and 22 Oct 2020
	TE-5028A Calibration Kit	3702	10 Oct 2019
	TE-5025A Calibration Kit	3465	23 Sep2020

Noise

- 3.2.5. Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications has been used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter has been checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed and other metrological data has been recorded from Hong Kong Observatory- King's Park meteorological station, along with portable wind speed meter stand by as back up if malfunction occurred or data was not recorded from HKO.
- 3.2.6. An acoustic calibrator and sound level meter using for the monitoring is within the valid period and were calibrated per year. Valid calibration certificate of noise monitoring equipment is attached in Appendix I.
- 3.2.7. The details of equipment using for monitoring are listed in Table 3.2, as below:

Table 3.2 Monitoring Equipment Used in Monitoring

Monitoring Equipment	Serial Number	Date of Calibration
Nti XL2 Sound Level Meter	A2A-13548-E0	10 Jan 2020
Pulsar 105 Acoustic Calibrator	63705	6 Aug 2020

- 3.3. Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC results

Air Quality

- 3.3.1. The 1-hour TSP monitor, portable dust meters (Sibata Digital Dust Indicator Model LD-5R) was used for the impact monitoring. The 1-hour TSP meters provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. Three 1-hour TSP level were logged per every six days.
- 3.3.2. The 24-hour TSP monitor, High Volume Samplers (Tisch TE-5170X High Volume Air Sampler) were used for the impact monitoring. The 24-hour TSP monitoring consists of the following:

- ◆ The HVS was set at the monitoring location, with electricity supply connected and secured;
- ◆ HVS was calibrated before commencing the 1st measurement;
- ◆ The filter paper was weight and provided by HOKLAS lab (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) before and after the sampling. Certificate of HOKLAS accredited laboratory can be referred to Appendix J;
- ◆ The airflow over time during sampling process was recorded by the HVS.

3.3.3. HVSs were free-standing with no obstruction. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS:

- ◆ Appropriate support to secure the samples against gusty wind needed to be provided the monitoring station;
- ◆ A minimum of 2m separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samplers;
- ◆ No furnace or incinerator flues was nearby;
- ◆ Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted; and
- ◆ Permission could be obtained to set up the samplers and gain access to the monitoring station.

3.3.4. Preparation of Filter Papers

- ◆ Glass fiber filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected;
- ◆ All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25°C and not varied by more than $\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$; the relative humidity (RH) was 40%; and
- ◆ Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Limited, as HOKLAS accredited laboratory, implemented comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes on the filters.

3.3.5. Field Monitoring

- ◆ The power supply was checked to ensure that the HVS was working properly;
- ◆ The filter holder and area surrounding the filter were cleaned;
- ◆ The filter holder was removed by loosening the foul bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully;
- ◆ The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter;
- ◆ The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges;
- ◆ The shelter lid was closed and secured with an aluminum strip;
- ◆ The HVS was warmed- up for about 5 minutes to establish run- temperature conditions;
- ◆ A new flow rate record sheet was inserted into the flow recorder;
- ◆ The flow rates of the HVS was checked and adjusted to between $1.22\text{--}1.37\text{m}^3\text{min}^{-1}$, which was within the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. $0.6\text{--}1.7\text{m}^3\text{min}^{-1}$);

- ◆ The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and filter number were recorded;
- ◆ The initial elapsed time was recorded;
- ◆ At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact;
- ◆ The filter paper was placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed; all monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet and
- ◆ The filters were sent to (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Ltd and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) for analysis.

3.3.6. Maintenance and Calibration

- ◆ The HVS and their accessories were maintained in a good working condition. For example, motor brushes were replaced routinely and electrical wiring was checked to ensure a continuous power supply; and
- ◆ The flow rate of each HVS with mass flow controller was calibrated using an orifice calibrator, Initial calibrations of the dust monitoring equipment were conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Five- point calibration was carried out for HVS using TE-5025 Calibration Kit. HVS is calibrated bimonthly. The calibration records for the HVS is given in Appendix H.

3.3.7. Wind Data Monitoring

- ◆ The wind speed has been recorded from Hong Kong Observatory- King's Park meteorological station, along with portable wind speed meter stand by as back up if malfunction occurred or data was not recorded from HKO

Noise

3.3.8. All noise measurements by the meter were set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) in decibels dB(A). $L_{Aeq(30min)}$ was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 –1900 hours on normal weekdays. The measured noise levels were logged every 5 minutes throughout the monitoring period.

3.3.9. Prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Checking was conducted before and after the monitoring. The calibration level before and after the noise measurement is agreed to within 1.0 dB(A).

3.3.10. Noise measurements should not be made in presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 ms^{-1} or wind with gusts exceeding 10 ms^{-1} . The wind speed was checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring with speeds in ms^{-1} .

3.4. Monitoring Locations

Air Quality

3.4.1. During the site visit, both of the original proposed dust monitoring locations were rejected due to the condition at The Coronation was not favorable for monitoring and the

access was declined by the management office of Hong Kong Community College (HKCC) of PolyU. Two alternative air monitoring stations Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) and Man Cheong Building had been proposed by ET and approved by IEC. 2 designated air monitoring locations were identified and agreed with IEC and EPD. Details of air monitoring stations are described in Table 3.3. The location plan of air quality monitoring stations is shown in Appendix K.

Table 3.3 Location of the Dust Monitoring Stations

Air Quality Monitoring Station	Dust Monitoring Station
W-A1	Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)
W-A6	Man Cheong Building

Noise

- 3.4.2. During the site visit, one of the original proposed noise monitoring locations Tak Cheong Building was rejected by the president of the owner's corporation. Alternative noise monitoring station Hydan place had been proposed by ET and approved by IEC. 4 noise sensitive receivers designated noise monitoring locations were identified and agreed with IEC and EPD. The designated monitoring stations are identified and access was granted by the premises. The details of noise monitoring stations are described in Table 3.4 and the location plan of noise monitoring station is shown in Appendix K.

Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Stations

Noise Monitoring Station	Identified Noise Monitoring Station	Type of Measurement
W-N1A	Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)	Façade
W-N18	Hydan Place	Façade
W-N25A	Prosperous Garden Block 1	Façade
W-P11	The Coronation Tower 1	Façade

- 3.5. Monitoring date, time, frequency and duration

- 3.5.1. A summary of impact monitoring duration, sampling parameter and frequency is presented in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5: Summary of Impact Monitoring Programme

Impact Monitoring	Duration	Sampling Parameter	Frequency
Dust	1-hour continuous measurement	1-hour TSP	3 times per six days

Dust	24-hour continuous sampling	24-hour TSP	Once per six days
Noise	30-minute continuous measurement	L_{eq} 30 min, L_{10} and L_{90} as reference.	Once per week (0700 – 1900)

3.6. Result Summary

Air Quality

- 3.6.1. According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.6

Table 3.6 Observation at Dust Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Major Dust Source
W-A1	Nearby traffic
W-A6	Nearby traffic

- 3.6.2. Air quality impact monitoring for the reporting month was carried out on 5, 10, 16, 22 and 28 October 2020 at W-A1 and W-A6.

- 3.6.3. The results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Table 3.7 and Table 3.8. The measurement data and details of influencing factors such as weather conditions and site observation are presented in Appendix L.

Table 3.7 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location	Range($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
W-A1	24-50	319	500
W-A6	29-44	306	500

Table 3.8 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring Location	Range($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
W-A1	36-67	167	260
W-A6	47-76	166	260

Noise

- 3.6.4. According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.9:

Table 3.9 Observation at Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Major Noise Source
W-N1A	Nearby traffic
W-N18	Nearby traffic
W-N25A	Nearby traffic
W-P11	Nearby traffic

- 3.6.5. The construction noise impact monitoring for the reporting month was carried out on 5, 10, 16, 22 and 28 October 2020. The measurement data are shown in Appendix M and summarized in Tables 3.10.

Table 3.10 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results

Time Period	Monitoring location	Parameter	Range, dB(A)			Action Level	Limit Level#
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀		
Normal working hour from 0700-1900	W-N1A	L _{eq} 30min	60.7-65.4	61.8-67.4	59.2-62.3	When one documented complaint is received	70dB(A) or 65 dB(A) during examination
	W-N18		67.7-69.6	69.6-71.4	64.6-67.3		75dB(A)#
	W-N25A		68.3-73.0	69.9-75.2	65.5-69.6		
	W-P11		67.0-71.2	68.9-73.6	63.8-66.9		

Remarks: 1. # If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

Waste management

- 3.6.6. The waste generated from this Project includes inert C&D materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.11. Details of cumulative waste management data are presented as a waste flow table in Appendix N.

Table 3.11 Quantities of waste generated from the Project

Reporting period	Quantity					
	Inert C&D Materials (in ‘tonnes)	Chemical Waste (in ‘000 Kg)	Non-inert C&D Materials			
			Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill (in ‘tonnes)	Recycled materials		
				Paper/card board (in ‘000 Kg)	Plastics (in ‘000 Kg)	Metals (in ‘000 Kg)
Oct-2020	9836.6	0.6	76.1	0.7	0.0	17.6

4. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

4.1. The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is shown in below Table 4.1:

Table 4.1 Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure

Complaint Received via Project Hotline	Complaint Received via 1823 or from other government departments
Contractor notify ER, ET and IEC	ER notify Contractor, ET and IEC
Contractor log complaint and date of receipt onto the complaint database. Contractor, ER and ET to conduct investigation of complaint	
If complaint is considered not valid	If complaint is found valid
ET or ER to reply the complainant if necessary	Contractor to identify and implement remedial measures in consultation with the IEC, ET and ER.
	The ER, ET and IEC to review the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial measures and the updated situation; ET to undertake additional monitoring and audit to verify the situation if necessary, and oversee that circumstances leading to the complaint do not recur. ER to conduct further inspection as necessary.
If the complaint is referred by the EPD, the Contractor to prepare interim report on the status of the complaint investigation and follow-up actions stipulated above, including the details of the remedial measures and additional monitoring identified or already taken, for submission to EPD within the time frame assigned by the EPD	
The ET to record the details of the complaint, results of the investigation, subsequent actions taken to address the complaint and updated situation including the effectiveness of the remedial measures, supported by regular and additional monitoring results in the monthly EM&A reports	

- 4.2. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Appendix D and Appendix E shall be carried out.
- 4.3. One Action Level of construction noise was triggered during the reporting period as there was one documented complaint received on 23 October 2020 related to construction noise and dust at Portion 21. Another documented complaint received on 14 October 2020 related to odour at Zone C. No exceedance of Limit Level of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No exceedance of the Action Level and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting month.
- 4.4. One odour-related complaint and one noise and dust-related complaint were received on 14 and 23 October 2020 respectively within the reporting month. After investigation with Contractor, precautionary measures had been proposed to the Contractor by ET. The interim reports for the complaints are shown in Appendix Q.
- 4.5. No non-compliance was received in the reporting month.
- 4.6. No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 4.7. Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in Appendix O.

5. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

- 5.1. Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. In the reporting period, four (4) site inspections were carried out on 8, 15, 22, 29 October 2020 along with bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures conducted on 8, 22 October 2020.
- 5.2. One joint site inspection with IEC also undertaken on 15 October 2020. Minor deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections are summarized in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Site Observations

Date	Environmental Observations	Follow-up Status
8 October 2020	1. Noise barrier mat has to be provided for the breaker head of the excavator in CLC.	1. Noise barrier mat was wrapped around the breaker tip of excavator during breaking.
15 October 2020	No major observation was found during site inspection.	-
22 October 2020	1. NRMM label was found missing for an excavator in Zone C.	1. NRMM label was posted for an excavator in Zone C.
29 October 2020	1. Colour of NRMM label for drilling rig in Zone B and that for generator in Zone C were observed being faded.	1. Coloured NRMM label were posted for drilling rig in Zone B and for generator in Zone C.

- 5.3. The Contractor had rectified all observation identified during environmental site inspection in the reporting period.
- 5.4. According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit, contract documents and EM&A Manual, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are implemented as much as practical during the reporting period. An updated Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is provided in Appendix F.

6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

6.1. The construction activities provided by Main Contractor in the next reporting month are:

- Continue construction of base slab at Zone A
- Continue predrilling works, guide wall construction, D-wall construction, sheet piling and utilities diversion and installation of King Posts/ construction of capping beam at Zone B
- Continue for pipe piling works at YMTPS
- Continue for Soil Improvement Works (DCM) at YMTPS Old Wing and stage 2 D-wall construction at Zone C
- Continue for outstanding works for Phase 1 at Temporary Public Library Cum Jade Hawker Bazaar Building (TPLCJHB)
- Continue ELS works for footing construction for GRF Piers 7, 8 & 9 and construction of footing for GRF Pier 7
- Continue the demolition works at the Special Clinic
- Erection of hoarding for demolition works at carpark building near Kansu Street
- Excavation of trial pits in front of CLP transformer room at carpark building
- Excavation of trial pits for CLP investigation of diversion works at zone 3 noise mitigation measures works
- Implement TTA along Ferry Street and Ching Ping Street to carry out trial pit excavation demolition of the existing subway
- Pre-drilling works at Zone D (along Canton Road)
- Monitoring of instrumentation for all areas

6.2. Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise and waste management.

6.3. The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring in the next reporting period is presented in Appendix P.

6.4. The construction programme for the Project for the next reporting month is presented in Appendix B.


7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1. This 31st monthly EM&A Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 October 2020 to 31 October 2020 in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP- 457/2013/C and FEP-02/457/2013/C.
- 7.2. Air quality (including 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and noise impact monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. No exceedance of the Action Level and Limit Level was recorded for construction noise and air quality impact monitoring during the reporting month.
- 7.3. Weekly environmental site inspections were conducted during the reporting period. Joint site inspection with IEC were carried out on 15 October 2020. Minor deficiency was observed during site inspection and was rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- 7.4. One Action Level of construction noise was triggered during the reporting period as there was one documented complaint received on 23 October 2020 related to construction noise and dust at Portion 21. Another documented complaint received on 14 October 2020 related to odour at Zone C. No exceedance of Limit Level of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No exceedance of the Action Level and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting month.
- 7.5. One odour-related complaint and one noise and dust-related complaint were received on 14 and 23 October 2020 respectively within the reporting month. After investigation with Contractor, precautionary measures had been proposed to the Contractor by ET. The interim reports for the complaints are shown in Appendix Q.
- 7.6. No non-compliance was received in the reporting month.
- 7.7. No notification of summons or prosecution was received in the reporting month.
- 7.8. The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Appendix A

Alignment and Works Area For the Contract No. HY/2014/08

Contract No. HY/2014/08
Environmental Monitoring & Auditing



Appendix B


Construction Programme

Construction Programme																																																		
Activity Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2020				2021				2022				2023				2024				2025				2026																						
				A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J											
HY/2014/08 Central Kowloom Route - Yau Ma Tei East	2875	17-Jan-18	30-Nov-25																																															
Construction Works	2875	17-Jan-18	30-Nov-25																																															
Temporary Traffic Management in Underground (Portion 11 & 12)	991	26-Sep-19	15-Jun-22																																															
Works on YMTSC Exit and Construct Accessible Kiosk and Refuse Collection Area for Methadone Clinic	301	18-Mar-20	13-Aug-21																																															
Provision of Methadone Clinic	951	17-Jan-18	24-Aug-20																																															
Works on Northern & Southern Parts of YMT Multi-Storey Car Park Building	435	6-Aug-21	20-Oct-22																																															
Demolition of Northern Part of Ex. YMT Multi-Storey Car Park Building	206	26-Dec-20	24-Jul-21																																															
Demolition of Southern Part of Ex. YMT Multi-Storey Car Park Building	130	6-Mar-22	11-Sep-22																																															
All Works within YMTSC, Maintenance Depot Area, Public Square (Sillaro) ID Rest Garden, Access Road	1158	17-Jan-18	4-May-24																																															
Preservation and Protection of Existing Trees	1500	17-Jan-18	20-Nov-24																																															
Rehabilitation Works	305	4-Dec-24	20-Nov-25																																															
All Works in Underground	910	25-Apr-23	12-Sep-24																																															
Completion of Water Enclosure	910	25-Mar-21	26-Sep-23																																															
Construction of Yau Ma Tei Access Road	900	1-Feb-18	13-Feb-20																																															
All Remaining Works not Covered in Other Section	1500	17-Jan-18	20-Nov-24																																															
Temporary Yau Ma Tei Public Library and Inlet Hawker Bazaar	990	17-Mar-18	20-Nov-20																																															
Construction of CBC Tunnel Eastbound	2704	17-Jan-18	19-Jun-24																																															
Construction of CBC Tunnel Westbound	2409	17-Jan-18	14-Jul-24																																															
CBC Tunnel Works within Portion 13 & 20A, C&I 4b-e at Portion 20B & 24	1297	7-Apr-18	14-Sep-21																																															
CRF Supervising	1445	26-Dec-18	20-Nov-23																																															
Breakthrough between CRF-YMT East and West Contract	12	26-Jul-20	24-Jul-20																																															
Completion of Diaphragm Walls and Roof Slabs of CBC Tunnel within Portion 27 and 28	3	24-Jul-22	24-Jul-22																																															

Appendix C

Project Organization Chart

Project O-Chart



Appendix D

Dust Event-Action Plan (EAP)

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
1.Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
LIMIT LEVEL				
1.Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method;	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
	EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.	3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Note:

ET – Environmental Team

ER – Engineer's Representative

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

Appendix E

Noise Event-Action Plan (EAP)

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Notify IEC and Contractor; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
	possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.		properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Note:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

ER – Engineer's Representative

Appendix F

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
Construction Dust Impact								
S4.3.10	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	- APCO - To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Implemented, deficiency rectified after observation.
S4.3.10	D2	Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.3 L/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency.	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	- APCO - To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Implemented
S4.3.10	D3	- Proper watering at exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout the construction phase; - Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; - Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; - A stockpile of dusty material should not be	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	- APCO - To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; • The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. • Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; • When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; • The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; • Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical						

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		continuously; - Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; - Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; - Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry-pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; - Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system - Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies.						
S4.3.10	D6	Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage.	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected rep. dust monitoring station	Construction stage	TM-EIA	Implemented
Construction Noise (Airborne)								

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S5.4.1	N1	Implement the following good site practices: - Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; - Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; - Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; - Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; - Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; - Material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilized, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.	Control construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented
S5.4.1	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented
S5.4.1	N3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure,	Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	Annex 5, TM-EIAO	Implemented, deficiency rectified after observation.

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		screen the noisy plants including air compressors, generators and handheld breakers, etc.	sites					
S5.4.1	N4	Use 'Quiet plant'	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	• Implemented
S5.4.1	N5	Loading/ unloading activities should be carried out inside the full enclosure of mucking out points.	Reduce the noise levels of loading/ unloading activities	Contractor	Mucking out locations	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	• Implemented
S5.4.1	N6	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM-EIAO	• Implemented
S5.4.1	N7	Implement a noise monitoring programme under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations	Contractor	Selected rep. noise monitoring station	Construction stage	• TM-EIAO	• Implemented
Water Quality (Construction Phase)								

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S6.9.1.1	W1	In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: <u>Construction Runoff</u> - At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; - The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a silt/ sediment trap. The sediment/ silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates; - The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/ sand traps should be 5 minutes under	To minimize water quality impact from the construction site runoff and general construction activities	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	- Water Pollution Control Ordinance - ProPECC PN 1/94 - TM-EIAO - TM-DSS	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s a sedimentation basin of 30 m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³. The detailed design of the sand/ silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; • All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means; • The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows; • All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas; • Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress						

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities; • Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system; • Manholes should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers; • Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes; • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and site wheel washing						

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains; • Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/ fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain; • Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts; • All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby; • Adopt best management practices; • All earth works should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet						

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		season (April to September) as far as practicable.						
S6.9.1.2	W2	<u>Tunneling Works and Underground Works</u> • Cut-&-cover tunneling work should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. • Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge; • The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater; • Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities area completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.	To minimize construction water quality impact from tunneling works	Contractor	All tunneling portion	Construction stage	• Water Pollution Control Ordinance • ProPECC PN 1/94 • TM-DSS • TM-EIAO	• Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S6.9.1.3	W3	<u>Sewage Effluent</u> Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.	To minimize water quality impact from sewage effluent	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	- Water Pollution Control Ordinance - TM-DSS	Implemented
S6.9.1.5	W4	<u>Groundwater from Potential Contaminated Area:</u> - No direct discharge of groundwater from contaminated areas should be adopted. - A discharge license under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater discharge should be applied. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed during the process of discharge license application. The compliance to the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. If the review results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-DSS or properly	To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Contractor	Excavation areas where contamination is found	Construction stage	- Water Pollution Control Ordinance - TM-DSS - TM-EIAO	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		recharged into the ground. - If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-DSS and should be discharged into the foul sewers. - If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-DSS. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working plan (including the laboratory analytical results showing the quality of groundwater at the proposed recharge location(s) as well as the pollutant levels of groundwater to be recharged) to EPD for agreement. Pollution levels of groundwater to be recharged shall not be higher than pollutant levels of ambient groundwater at the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any prohibited substances such as TPH products should be removed as necessary by installing the petrol						

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		interceptor.						
S6.9.1.6	W6	<u>Accidental Spillage</u> In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended: - All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains; - The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	To minimize water quality impact from accidental spillage	Contractor	All construction site where practicable	Construction stage	- Water Pollution Control Ordinance - ProPECC PN 1/94 - TM-EIAO - TM-DSS	Implemented
Waste Management (Construction Waste)								
S7.4.1	WM1	<u>On-site sorting of C&D material</u> Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc.). Volcanic rock and Aplite	Separation of unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	DEVB (W) No. 6/2010	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile area preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractor for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc. should be explored.	structural use					
S7.5.1	WM2	<u>Construction and Demolition Material</u> • Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement; • Carry out on-site sorting; • Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; • Adopt 'selective demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance • Waste Disposal Ordinance • ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	• Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible; - Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and - Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – “Environmental Management on Construction Sites” to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction.	amount for final disposal					
S7.5.1	WM3	<u>C&D Waste</u> - Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimize the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage; - The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	- Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance - Waste Disposal Ordinance - ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage.						
S7.5.1	WM5	<u>Land-based Sediment</u> - All construction plant and equipment shall be designed and maintained to minimize the risk of silt, sediments, contaminants or other pollutants being released into the water column or deposited in the locations other than designated location; - All vessels shall be sized such that adequate draft is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; - Before moving the vessels which are used for transporting dredged material, excess material shall be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels and the excess materials shall never be dumped into the sea except at the approved locations; - Adequate freeboard shall be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action. - The Contractors shall monitor all vessels transporting material to ensure that no dumping outside the approved location takes place. The Contractor shall keep and produce logs and other records to demonstrate compliance and that journeys are consistent with designated locations	To control pollution due to marine sediment	Contractor	Along CKR alignment	Construction stage	ETWB TCW No. 34/2002	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		and copies of such records shall be submitted to the engineers; - The Contractors shall comply with the conditions in the dumping licence. - All bottom dumping vessels (Hopper barges) shall be fitted with tight fittings seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; - The material shall be placed into the disposal pit by bottom dumping; - Contaminated marine mud shall be transported by spit barge of not less than 750m3 capacity and capable of rapid opening and discharge at the disposal site; - Discharge shall be undertaken rapidly and the hoppers shall be closed immediately. Material adhering to the sides of the hopper shall not be washed out of the hopper and the hopper shall remain closed until the barge returns to the disposal site. - For Type 3 special disposal treatment, sealing of contaminant with geosynthetic containment before dropping designated mud pit would be a possible arrangement. A geosynthetic containment method is a method whereby the sediments are sealed in geosynthetic containers and, the containers would be dropped into the designated contaminated mud pit where they would be covered by further mud disposal and later by the mud pit capping at the disposal site, thereby fulfilling the requirements for fully confined mud disposal.						

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S7.5.1	WM6	<u>Chemical Waste</u> - Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes; - Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed, have a capacity of less than 450 L unless the specification has been approved by EPD, and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation; - The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste, enclosed on at least 3 sides, have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest, have adequate ventilation, covered to prevent rainfall entering, and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated; - Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector, be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical	Control the chemical waste and ensure proper storage, handling and disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	- Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation - Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers, or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from EPD.						
S7.5.1	WM7	<u>General Refuse</u> - General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes; - A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. - Aluminum cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible; - Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor.	Minimize production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	Waste Disposal Ordinance	Implemented
Land Contamination								
S8.9 & Appendix 8.4	LC2	<u>Excavation of the Contaminated Soil</u> Prior to commencement of the excavation works at the contamination zone, the zone should be clearly marked out on site and the surface levels recorded. Excavation of contaminated material should be undertaken using dedicated earth-moving plant.	The contaminated soil will be excavated for on-site reuse	Contractor	PBH4	Prior to commencement of construction works within the contaminated area	Practice Guide (PG) for Investigation and Remediation	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status					
		- The excavated contaminated soils would be stockpiled at designated area on site and covered by sheet to prevent dispersion of contamination during stockpiling. - The Contractor should pay attention to the selection of suitable groundwater lowering schemes and discharge points if the groundwater table is higher than the contaminated soils during excavation. The Contractor should also obtain a valid Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) discharge licence from EPD where applicable.					- of Contaminated Land - Guidance Notes for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation - Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Contaminated Land Management						
S8.9 & Appendix 8.4	LC3	- Following completion of the excavation to the specified depth, at least one sample from the base of the excavation and four samples evenly distributed along the boundary of the excavation shall be taken for a closure assessment testing. The acceptance criterion is shown below: <table border="1"><tr><td>Locations</td><td>Testing requirement</td><td>Acceptance Criteria</td></tr><tr><td>PBH4</td><td>PCBs</td><td>RBRGs (Public Park)</td></tr></table> - If the results of analysis below the RBRGs (Public Park), no further excavation will be required. If the analysis indicates presence of contamination (i.e. noncompliance of the acceptance criteria), further excavation shall be carried out in 0.5m increment vertically and/or horizontally depending on	Locations	Testing requirement	Acceptance Criteria	PBH4	PCBs	RBRGs (Public Park)					Implemented
Locations	Testing requirement	Acceptance Criteria											
PBH4	PCBs	RBRGs (Public Park)											

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		the location(s) of the sample(s) which has exceeded the acceptance criteria. Further sampling shall also be conducted for compliance testing. The process of excavation, sampling and compliance testing should continue until all contaminated materials are removed and should be supervised by a Land Contamination Specialist.						
Appendix 8.4	LC4	A Remediation Report (RR) to demonstrate adequate clean-up shall be prepared and submitted to EPD for endorsement prior to the commencement of any construction/development works within the sites. No construction/development works shall be carried out prior to the endorsement of the RR by EPD.						Implemented
Hazard to Life								
S9.18	H1	Blasting activities regarding transport and use of explosives should be supervised and audited by competent site staff to ensure full compliance with the blasting permit conditions.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives handling and transport would be acceptable	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	N/A
S9.6, para.4	H2	Detonators shall not be transported in the same vehicle with other Category 1 Dangerous Goods.	To reduce the risk of explosion during the transport of cartridged emulsion	Contractor	-	Construction stage	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	N/A

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S9.6, para.8	H3	The explosives delivery trucks should be approved by Mines Division and should meet the regulatory requirements for transport of explosives.	To comply with the requirements for approval of an explosives delivery vehicle	Contractor	-	Construction stage	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	N/A
S9.10, para.7 and S9.18	H4	Blast cover should be provided for shaft at HMT, and kept closed during blasting. Provision of blast doors or heavy duty blast curtains should be implemented at the shaft to prevent flyrock and control the air overpressure.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Contractor	Shaft	Construction stage	-	N/A
S9.16	H5	Only the required quantity of explosives for a particular blast should be transported to avoid the return.	To reduce risks during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	N/A
S9.18	H7	The approved truck dedicated for transport of explosives should comply with the "Guidance Note on Requirements for Approval of an Explosives Delivery Vehicle" issued by CEDD Mines Division. The truck should be periodically inspected and properly maintained in good operation conditions. The fuel carried in the fuel tank should be minimized to reduce the duration of fire. Adequate fire fighting equipment shall be provided, inspected and replaced periodically (e.g. fire extinguishers).	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas of which explosives would be used	Construction stage	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	N/A

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S9.18	H8	The driver and his assistant should be physically healthy, experienced and have good safe driving records. The driver should hold a proper driving licence for the approved transport truck. Dedicated training programme and regular road safety briefing sessions/ workshops should be provided to enhance their safe driving attitude and practice. Smoking should be strictly prohibited.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H9	Emergency response plans in case of road accident should be prepared and implemented. The driver and his assistant should be familiar with the emergency procedures including evacuation, and proper communication/ fire-fighting equipment should be provided to the driver and his assistant.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H10	Close liaison and communication among Mines Division, Contractors for transport of explosives, and working staff of the blasting should be established. In case of any change of work schedule leading to cancellation or variation of explosives required, relevant parties should be informed in time to avoid unused explosives at the work sites.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H11	Close liaison and communication with Fire Services Department should be established to reduce the accidental detonation escalated from a fire. The contractors for transport of explosives should use the preferred transport routes as far as practicable.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H12	Contingency plan should be prepared for transport of explosives under severe weather conditions such as rainstorms and thunderstorms.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be	Construction stage	-	• N/A

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
					used			
S9.18	H13	For explosive transport, all packages of explosives on the truck should be properly stored in the truck compartment as required. Packaging of the explosives should remain intact (i.e. damage free) until they are transferred to the blasting site.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H14	Availability of a parking space should be ensured before commencement of transport of explosives. Location for loading and unloading of explosives should be as close as possible to the shaft. No hot work should be performed in the vicinity during the time of loading and unloading.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H22	It is recommended to explore to minimize the use of the cartridged emulsion explosives and maximize the use of bulk emulsion explosive as far as practicable.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H24	It is recommended to explore to use smaller explosive charges such as 'cast boosters' or 'mini-cast booster' instead of cartridged emulsion as primers for bulk emulsion. This option reduces the quantity of explosives required for transportation for the sections where bulk emulsion will be used.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
Landscape & Visual								

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV3	<u>Good Site Management</u> - Large temporary stockpiles of excavated material shall be covered with unobtrusive sheeting to prevent dust and dirt spreading to adjacent landscape areas and vegetation, and to create a neat and tidy visual appearance. - Construction plant and building material shall be orderly and carefully stored in order to create a neat and tidy visual appearance.	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	Implemented
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV4	<u>Screen Hoarding</u> Decorative screen hoarding should be erected to screen the public from the construction area. It should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context.	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	Implemented
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV5	<u>Lighting Control during Construction</u> All lighting in the construction site shall be carefully controlled to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby residencies and GIC. The Contractor shall consider other security measures, which shall minimize the visual impacts.	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	N/A
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV6	<u>Erosion Control</u> The potential for soil erosion shall be reduced by minimizing the extent of vegetation disturbance on site and by providing a protective cover over newly exposed soil.	Minimize landscape impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	N/A
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV7	<u>Tree Protection & Preservation</u> Carefully protected during construction. Tree protection measures will be detailed at the Tree Removal Application stage and plans submitted to the relevant Government Department for approval	Minimize landscape and visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	'Guidelines for Tree Risk Management and Assessment	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		in due course in accordance with ETWB TC no. 3/2006.					Arrangement on an Area Basis and on a Tree Basis', Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB • Latest recommended horticultural practices from GLTM Section, DEVB	
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV8	<u>Tree Transplantation</u> • For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transplantation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal. If this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled (See LV10). For trees unavoidably affected by the Project works that are transplanted, transplantation must be carried out in accordance with ETWB TCW 2/2004 and 3/2006.	Minimize landscape and visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site and designated off-site locations	Prior to Construction stage	• ETWB TCW 3/2006 • Latest recommended horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree	• N/A

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
							Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB • ETWB TCW 2/2004	
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV9	<u>Compensatory Planting</u> - For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transportation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal but if this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled. All felled trees shall be compensated for by planting trees to the satisfaction of relevant Government projects. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006. - Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, additional receptor sites outside the Works Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process.	Minimize visual impact and also enhance landscape	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	- ETWB TCW 3/2006 - Latest recommended horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB - ETWB TCW 2/2004	• N/A
Cultural Heritage Impact (Construction Phase)								

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S11.4.4	CH1	The contractor should be alerted during the construction on the possibility of locating archaeological remains and as a precautionary measure, AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject sites.	To preserve any cultural heritage items which may be removed and damaged by the excavation	Contractor	During construction works for cut and cover tunnels	Construction stage	• AMOs requirements	• Implemented
S12.6.1	CH3	• Protective covering should be provided for the buildings in the form of plastic sheeting; • Buffer zones should be provided between the construction works and the external walls of the buildings and should be as large as site restrictions allow and be marked out by temporary fencing or hoarding; • An underpinning scheme is required to transfer the existing column loadings to a deeper rock stratum. The supporting system includes cutting the existing ground floor slab to expose the existing pile caps and then construct transfer beams at both sides of the pile caps. The transfer beams will tie up with the existing caps. Loadings of the transfer beams will be transferred to the rock socket piles installed at the two ends of the beams; • The AAA settlement and tilting limit should be 6/8/10 mm and 1/2000, 1/1500 and 1/1000; • Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at 5/6/7.5 mm/s. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment;. • Regular site inspections and monitoring works will	Protect the building from damage from construction works	Contractor	Yau Ma Tei Police Station (Old Wing) (CKR-01)	Prior to commencement of and during the construction phase	• Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment • EIAO-TM Annex 10 and Annex 19 • AMO Proposed Vibration Limits	• Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff of HyD to ensure compliance.						
S12.6.1		- Adopting diaphragm wall construction method; - Grout curtain should be provided in front of the building; - Recharging system should be installed as a contingency measure to mitigate the fluctuation of water table; - the AAA settlement and tilting limit should be 6/8/10 mm and 1/2000, 1/1500 and 1/1000; - Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at 5/6/7.5 mm/s. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment; - Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff of HyD to ensure compliance.	Protect the building from damage from construction works	Contractor	Yau Ma Tei Police Station (Old Wing) (CKR-01)	Prior to commencement of and during the construction phase	- Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment - EIAO-TM Annex 10 and Annex 19 - AMO Proposed Vibration Limits	Implemented
S12.6.1 Table 12.2		- The Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at 3/4/5 mm/s and a condition survey shall be carried out by the project proponent prior to the construction phase to confirm this assessment - Vibration monitoring of the structure shall be employed during the construction phase to ensure that the level is not exceeded. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment.	Protect the building from damage from construction works	Contractor	Tin Hau Temple (CKR-02)	Prior to commencement of and during the construction phase	- Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment - EIAO-TM Annex 10 and Annex 19 - AMO	Implemented

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule –
Contract No. HY/2014/08 (Yau Ma Tei East)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
							Proposed Vibration Limits	
EM&A Project								
S13.2	EM1	An Independent Environmental Checker needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual	Control EM&A Performance	Highways Department	All construction sites	Construction stage	- EIAO Guidance Note No. 4/2010 - TM-EIAO	Implemented
S13.2-13.4	EM2	- An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual; - Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures; - An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implemented by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with.	Perform environmental monitoring & auditing	Highways Department/ Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	- EIAO Guidance Note No. 4/2010 - TM-EIAO	Implemented

Appendix G

Monitoring Schedule of the Reporting Month

Impact Monitoring Schedule for YMTE

Oct-20						
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
		1		1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A					Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
					Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
				Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A		
25	26	27	28	29	30	31
			Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A			

Appendix H

Calibration Certificates

(Air Monitoring)



SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

1-1-62, Nakane, Soka, Saitama, 340-0005 Japan

TEL : 048-933-1582 FAX : 048-933-1591

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Date: August 1st, 2020

Equipment Name	: Digital Dust Indicator, Model LD-5R
Code No.	: 080000-72
Quantity	: 1 unit
Serial No.	: 882106
Sensitivity	: 0.001 mg/m3
Sensitivity Adjustment	: 690
Scale Setting	: July 22th, 2020

We hereby certify that the above mentioned instrument has been calibrated satisfactory.

Sincerely

SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tong Zhang", written over a horizontal line.

Tong Zhang

Overseas & New Business Group

Overseas Sales Department





SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

1-1-62, Nakane, Soka, Saitama, 340-0005 Japan

TEL : 048-933-1582 FAX : 048-933-1591

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Date: August 1st, 2020

Equipment Name	: Digital Dust Indicator, Model LD-5R
Code No.	: 080000-72
Quantity	: 1 unit
Serial No.	: 851819
Sensitivity	: 0.001 mg/m3
Sensitivity Adjustment	: 567
Scale Setting	: July 22th, 2020

We hereby certify that the above mentioned instrument has been calibrated satisfactory.

Sincerely

SIBATA SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY LTD.

Tong Zhang

Tong Zhang
Overseas & New Business Group
Overseas Sales Department





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE: September 23, 2021

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: September 23, 2020	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 295 °K	
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 751.1 mm Hg	
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: 3465		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4350	3.3	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0200	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9050	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8650	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7140	12.8	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9939	0.6926	1.4130	0.9956	0.6938	0.8863
0.9898	0.9704	1.9983	0.9915	0.9720	1.2534
0.9877	1.0914	2.2342	0.9893	1.0932	1.4014
0.9866	1.1406	2.3432	0.9883	1.1425	1.4698
0.9813	1.3744	2.8260	0.9830	1.3767	1.7726
QSTD	m=	2.06928	QA	m=	1.29575
	b=	-0.01779		b=	-0.01116
	r=	0.99995		r=	0.99995

Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \left(\frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime	Qa= Va/ΔTime
For subsequent flow rate calculations:	
Qstd= $1/m \left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH:	calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP:	rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta:	actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa:	actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b:	intercept
m:	slope

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.
145 South Miami Avenue
Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com
TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610
FAX: (513)467-9009

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.
創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

YMT Catholic Primary			
Location:	School	Site ID:	W-A1
Date:	25-Sep-2020		
Serial No:	1049	Model:	TE-5170X
Operator:	Tim		

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.3	Temperature (deg K):	301.3
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5028	Slope:	1.66723
Serial No.:	3702	Intercept:	-0.03281
Calibration Due Date:	10-Oct-20	Corr. Coeff:	0.99991

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	1.77	0.812	34.1	33.85
2	2.86	1.027	35.9	35.64
3	3.41	1.119	36.7	36.43
4	3.97	1.206	37.3	37.03
5	4.56	1.291	38.1	37.82

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m=	8.2127	b=	27.1955	Corr. Coeff=	0.9996
Sampler set point(SSP)		37	CFM		

Calculations

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{(H_2O(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/Ta))} - b]$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/Ta)}]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21*m+b)/[\sqrt{(298/Tav)(Pav/760)}]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = average temperature

Pav = average pressure

Checked by: Tim

Date: 25-Sep-20

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	YMT Catholic Primary School	Site ID:	W-A1	Date:	10-Oct-2020
Serial No:	1049	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	759.7	Temperature (deg K):	299.1
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5025A	Slope:	1.29575
Serial No.:	3465	Intercept:	-0.01116
Calibration Due Date:	23-Sep-21	Corr. Coeff:	0.99995

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In, H ₂ O (in)	Q _a , X-Axis (m ³ /min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	1.84	1.053	36.8	36.73
2	2.86	1.311	38.3	38.22
3	3.35	1.418	39.0	38.92
4	3.81	1.512	39.5	39.42
5	4.48	1.639	40.3	40.22

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Q_a on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m = 5.9584 b = 30.4386 Corr. Coeff = 0.9998

Sampler set point (SSP) 38 CFM

Calculations

$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$

$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Q_{std} slope

b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept

T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

P_a = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

T_{std} = 298 deg K

P_{std} = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$(1.21 \cdot m + b) / [\sqrt{298/T_a} (P_a/760)]$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

T_{av} = average temperature

P_{av} = average pressure

Checked by: Tim

Date: 10-Oct-20

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.
創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	YMT Catholic Primary School	Site ID:	W-A1	Date:	22-Oct-2020
Serial No:	1049	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.1	Temperature (deg K):	297.7
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5025A	Slope:	1.29575
Serial No.:	3465	Intercept:	-0.01116
Calibration Due Date:	23-Sep-21	Corr. Coeff:	0.99995

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In, H ₂ O (in)	Q _a , X-Axis (m ³ /min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	1.84	1.054	35.3	35.25
2	2.85	1.310	36.5	36.45
3	3.38	1.425	37.1	37.05
4	3.91	1.533	37.6	37.55
5	4.49	1.642	38.2	38.15

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Q_a on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m =	4.9058	b =	30.0560	Corr. Coeff =	0.9996
Sampler set point (SSP)	36	CFM			

Calculations

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))} - b]$$
$$IC = I[\sqrt{(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Q_{std} slope

b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept

T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

P_a = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

T_{std} = 298 deg K

P_{std} = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 \cdot m + b) / [\sqrt{(298/T_a)(P_a/760)}]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

T_{av} = average temperature

P_{av} = average pressure

Checked by: Tim

Date: 22-Oct-20

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID:	W-A6	Date:	25-Sep-2020
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.3	Temperature (deg K):	301.3
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5028	Slope:	1.66723
Serial No.:	3702	Intercept:	-0.03281
Calibration Due Date:	10-Oct-20	Corr. Coeff:	0.99991

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In, H ₂ O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m ³ /min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	1.08	0.638	33.1	32.86
2	1.44	0.734	34.1	33.85
3	2.51	0.963	36.4	36.14
4	3.39	1.116	37.8	37.53
5	4.67	1.306	39.7	39.41

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m =	9.7634	b =	26.6663	Corr. Coeff =	0.9999
Sampler set point (SSP)		39	CFM		

Calculations

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{(H_2O(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/Ta))} - b]$$
$$IC = I[\sqrt{(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/Ta)}]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Q_{std} slope

b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

T_{std} = 298 deg K

P_{std} = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 \cdot m + b) / [\sqrt{(298/Ta)}(Pav/760)]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = average temperature

Pav = average pressure

Checked by: Tim

Date: 25-Sep-20

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.
創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID:	W-A6	Date:	10-Oct-2020
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	759.7	Temperature (deg K):	299.1
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5025A	Slope:	1.29575
Serial No.:	3465	Intercept:	-0.01116
Calibration Due Date:	23-Sep-21	Corr. Coeff:	0.99995

Calibration Data

Plate or	In, H ₂ O	Q _a , X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m ³ /min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	1.23	0.863	34.7	34.63
2	1.69	1.010	35.7	35.63
3	2.61	1.253	37.1	37.02
4	3.58	1.466	38.4	38.32
5	4.35	1.615	39.2	39.12

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Q_a on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m =	5.9540	b =	29.5540	Corr. Coeff =	0.9996
Sampler set point (SSP)		37	CFM		

Calculations

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))}] - b]$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}]$$

Q_{std} = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Q_{std} slope

b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept

T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

P_a = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

T_{std} = 298 deg K

P_{std} = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 \cdot m + b) / [\sqrt{(298/T_a)(P_a/760)}]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

T_{av} = average temperature

P_{av} = average pressure

Checked by: Tim

Date: 10-Oct-20

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID:	W-A6	Date:	22-Oct-2020
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.1	Temperature (deg K):	297.7
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5025A	Slope:	1.29575
Serial No.:	3465	Intercept:	-0.01116
Calibration Due Date:	23-Sep-21	Corr. Coeff:	0.99995

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In, H ₂ O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m ³ /min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	1.14	0.831	35.6	35.55
2	1.41	0.924	36.1	36.05
3	2.46	1.217	37.8	37.75
4	3.12	1.370	38.7	38.65
5	4.53	1.649	40.1	40.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m =	5.5581	b =	30.9469	Corr. Coeff =	0.9995
Sampler set point (SSP)		38	CFM		

Calculations

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{(H_2O(P_a/P_{std}))(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$$
$$IC = I[\sqrt{(P_a/P_{std}))(T_{std}/T_a)}]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 \cdot m + b) / [\sqrt{(298/T_a)}(P_{av}/760)]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = average temperature

Pav = average pressure

Checked by: Tim

Date: 22-Oct-20

Appendix I

Calibration Certificates (Noise)



Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd.

聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司



Certificate of Calibration

for

Description: Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer: NTi Audio
Type No.: XL2 (Serial No.: A2A-I3548-E0)
Microphone: ACO 7052 (Serial No.:73780)
Preamplifier: NTi Audio MA220 (Serial No.:5235)

Submitted by:

Customer: Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited
Address: Unit 1908, iPlace, Nos. 301-305 Castle Peak Road,
Kwai Chung, New Territories

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

- ☒ Within
☐ Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 6 January 2020

Date of calibration: 10 January 2020

Calibrated by:

Calibration Technician

Certified by:

Tang Cheuk Hang
Quality Manager

Date of issue: 10 January 2020

Certificate No.: APJ19-143-CC001



Page 1 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2668 3423

Fax: (852) 2668 6946

Homepage: <http://www.aa-lab.com>

E-mail: inquirv@aa-lab.com



1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature: 23.0 °C
Air Pressure: 1006 hPa
Relative Humidity: 71.0 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Type	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV180064	HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting		Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast		94	1000	94.0	±0.4


Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting		Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast		94	1000	94.0	Ref
				104		104.0	±0.3
				114		114.0	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)				Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting		Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA SPL	Fast		94	1000	94.0	Ref
		Slow				94.0	±0.3

Certificate No.: APJ19-143-CC001



Page 2 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax: (852) 2668 6946
Homepage: <http://www.aa-lab.com> E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com



Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd.
聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司



Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dB	SPL	94	31.5	94.0	±2.0
				63	94.1	±1.5
				125	94.1	±1.5
				250	94.0	±1.4
				500	94.0	±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	93.8	±1.6
				4000	93.4	±1.6
				8000	92.4	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA	SPL	94	31.5	54.8	-39.4±2.0
				63	67.9	-26.2±1.5
				125	78.0	-16.1±1.5
				250	85.4	-8.6±1.4
				500	90.8	-3.2±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	95.0	+1.2±1.6
				4000	94.4	+1.0±1.6
				8000	91.3	-1.1±2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBC	SPL	94	31.5	91.0	-3.0±2.0
				63	93.3	-0.8±1.5
				125	93.9	-0.2±1.5
				250	94.1	-0.0±1.4
				500	94.1	-0.0±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	93.6	-0.2±1.6
				4000	92.6	-0.8±1.6
				8000	89.4	-3.0 +2.1; -3.1

Certificate No.: APJ19-143-CC001



Page 3 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax: (852) 2668 6946
Homepage: <http://www.aa-lab.com> E-mail: inquirv@aa-lab.com



5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.10
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	± 0.10
	250 Hz	± 0.10
	500 Hz	± 0.10
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate No.: APJ19-143-CC001

Page 4 of 4

Room 422, Leader Industrial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax: (852) 2668 6946
Homepage: <http://www.aa-lab.com> E-mail: inquirv@aa-lab.com



綜合試驗有限公司
SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號椰林閣集團大廈全幢
The Whole Block of YLK Group Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 20CA0803 01

Page: 1 of 2

Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)
Manufacturer: Pulsar Instruments Ltd.
Type/Model No.: 105
Serial/Equipment No.: 63705
Adaptors used: -

Item submitted by

Customer: Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited.
Address of Customer: -
Request No.: -
Date of receipt: 03-Aug-2020

Date of test: 06-Aug-2020

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	11-May-2021	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2743150	03-Jun-2021	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	03-Jun-2021	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	19-May-2021	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	19-May-2021	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	18-May-2021	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	18-May-2021	CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature: 22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity: 55 ± 10 %
Air pressure: 1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Feng Junqi

Date: 07-Aug-2020

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.



綜合試驗有限公司
SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港新界葵涌永基路22-24號椰林閣集團大廈全幢
The Whole Block of YLK Group Building, Nos. 22-24 Wing Kei Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 20CA0803 01

Page: 2 of 2

1. Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	(Output level in dB re 20 μ Pa)
			Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	93.78	0.10

2. Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz STF = 0.027 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.005 dB

3. Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz Actual Frequency = 1000.3 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.1 Hz Coverage factor k = 2.2

4. Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz TND = 0.6 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

- End -

Calibrated by:

Fung Chi Yik

Date: 06-Aug-2020

Checked by:

Feng Junqi

Date: 07-Aug-2020

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

Appendix J

The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS Accredited Analytical Tests



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a
為香港認可處執行機關根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence
此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – 《測試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求。
of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as
獲認可進行載於香港實驗所認可計劃《認可實驗所名冊》內下述測試類別中的指定
listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of
測試或校正工作

Environmental Testing
環境測試

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognised international Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005.
本實驗所乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。

This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory
這項認可資格顯示在指定範圍所需的技術能力及實驗所質量管理體系的運作
quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).
(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
香港認可處根據認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 陳成城
Issue Date : 5 May 2009
簽發日期：二零零九年五月五日

Registration Number : HOKLAS 066
註冊號碼：

Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日

This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS
本證書按照香港認可處訂立的條款及條件發出

L 000552



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ACUMEN LABORATORY AND TESTING LIMITED
浩科檢測中心有限公司

Lot 12, Tam Kon Shan Road, North Tsing Yi, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界青衣北担杆山路12路段

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a
在認可諮詢委員會的建議下獲香港認可處執行機關接受為

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or
calibrations as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of

Environmental Testing

此實驗所符合ISO/IEC 17025:2005所訂的要求
並獲認可進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定測試或校正工作

環境測試

This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and
the operation of a laboratory quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).
此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2005 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範圍內所須的技術能力並
實施一套實驗所質量管理體系(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

WONG Wang-wan, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 黃宏華
Issue Date : 16 July 2014
簽發日期：二零一四年七月十六日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS 241**
註冊號碼：




Date of First Registration : 16 July 2014
首次註冊日期：二零一四年七月十六日

This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS
本證書按照香港認可處訂立的條款及條件發出

L 001195

Appendix K

Location Plan of Noise and Air Quality Monitoring Station



Appendix L

Monitoring Data (Air Monitoring)

Location: Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-A1)
Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020
Parameter : TSP 1-hour
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Date	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
	Weather	Start Time	1 st Hour ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	2 nd Hour ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	3 rd Hour ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
05/10/2020	Sunny	10:50	37	50	46
10/10/2020	Sunny	11:00	40	29	35
16/10/2020	Sunny	9:30	33	27	24
22/10/2020	Sunny	11:25	43	29	33
28/10/2020	Fine	8:56	30	35	33

Location: Man Cheong Building (W-A6)
Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020
Parameter : TSP 1-hour
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Date	1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
	Weather	Start Time	1 st Hour ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	2 nd Hour ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	3 rd Hour ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
05/10/2020	Sunny	9:30	43	40	29
10/10/2020	Sunny	9:15	36	32	43
16/10/2020	Sunny	9:00	31	40	37
22/10/2020	Sunny	12:00	36	30	41
28/10/2020	Fine	9:30	32	38	44




Figure 1: Graphical Illustration of Measured 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) Levels at W-A1




Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Measured 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) Levels at W-A6

Location: Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-A1)
Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020
Parameter : TSP 24-hour
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Date of Calibration:	25-Sep-20	Slope =	8.2127
Calibration due date:	10-Oct-20	Intercept =	27.1955
Date of Calibration:	10-Oct-20	Slope =	5.9584
Calibration due date:	25-Oct-20	Intercept =	30.4386
Date of Calibration:	22-Oct-20	Slope =	4.9058
Calibration due date:	6-Nov-20	Intercept =	30.0560

Start Date	Weather Condition	Elapse Time			Chart Reading			Avg Air Temp (°C)	Avg Atmospheric Pressure (hPa)	Flow Rate (m³/min)	Standard Air Volume (m³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Conc. (µg/m³)
		Initial	Final	Actual (min)	Min	Max	Avg					Initial	Final		
05/10/2020	Sunny	4210.5	4234.5	1440.0	37	38	37.5	28.0	1011.2	1.22	1761	2.7447	2.8319	0.0872	50
10/10/2020	Sunny	4235.0	4259.0	1440.0	37	39	38.0	26.1	1012.8	1.25	1806	2.7073	2.8288	0.1215	67
16/10/2020	Sunny	4259.0	4283.0	1440.0	38	39	38.5	27.0	1013.6	1.33	1920	2.6993	2.7956	0.0963	50
22/10/2020	Sunny	4283.2	4307.2	1440.0	36	37	36.5	24.7	1009.4	1.29	1856	2.7138	2.8379	0.1241	67
28/10/2020	Fine	4307.2	4331.2	1440.0	37	38	37.5	24.4	1014.9	1.54	2214	2.7224	2.8021	0.0797	36

Location: Man Cheong Building (W-A6)
Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020
Parameter : TSP 24-hour
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Date of Calibration:	25-Sep-20	Slope =	9.7634
Calibration due date:	10-Oct-20	Intercept =	26.6663
Date of Calibration:	10-Oct-20	Slope =	5.9540
Calibration due date:	25-Oct-20	Intercept =	29.5540
Date of Calibration:	22-Oct-20	Slope =	5.5581
Calibration due date:	6-Nov-20	Intercept =	30.9469

Start Date	Weather Condition	Elapse Time			Chart Reading			Avg Air Temp (°C)	Avg Atmospheric Pressure (hPa)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min)	Standard Air Volume (m ³)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Conc. (µg/m ³)
		Initial	Final	Actual (min)	Min	Max	Avg					Initial	Final		
05/10/2020	Sunny	3875.0	3899.0	1440.00	36	37	36.5	28.0	1011.2	0.98	1413	2.7732	2.8723	0.0991	70
10/10/2020	Sunny	3899.3	3923.3	1440.00	37	38	37.5	26.1	1012.8	1.32	1901	2.7433	2.8821	0.1388	73
16/10/2020	Sunny	3923.3	3947.3	1440.00	37	39	38.0	27.0	1013.6	1.40	2015	2.7381	2.8526	0.1145	57
22/10/2020	Sunny	3947.5	3971.5	1440.00	37	38	37.5	24.7	1009.4	1.16	1666	2.6925	2.8196	0.1271	76
28/10/2020	Fine	3971.5	3995.5	1440.00	38	39	38.5	24.4	1014.9	1.38	1983	2.7144	2.8080	0.0936	47




Figure 3: Graphical Illustration of Measured 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) Levels at W-A1






Figure 4: Graphical Illustration of Measured 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) Levels at W-A6

Wind direction data for 5, 6, 10, 11, 16, 17, 22, 23, 28, 29 October 2020


Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:



Wind Direction:




Wind Direction:



Wind speed data for 5, 6, 10, 11, 16, 17, 22, 23, 28, 29 October 2020


Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月 5日23時50分更新) (Updated at 23:50H on 5 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月 6日23時50分更新) (Updated at 23:50H on 6 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月10日23時50分更新) (Updated at 23:50H on 10 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月11日23時50分更新) (Updated at 23:50H on 11 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月16日23時50分更新) (Updated at 23:50H on 16 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月17日23時50分更新) (Updated at 23:50H on 17 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月23日 0時 0分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 23 Oct 2020)




Wind Speed:


(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月24日 0時 0分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 24 Oct 2020)



Wind Speed:

(公里/小時) (於香港時間 2020 年10月29日 0時 0分更新) (Updated at 00:00H on 29 Oct 2020)





Appendix M

Monitoring Data (Noise)

Location: Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-N1A)
Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020
Parameter : L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time - End Time	L_{eq}	L_{10}	L_{90}	Wind speed (m/s)
05/10/2020	Sunny	11:30 - 12:00	64.7	66.5	61.6	4.2
10/10/2020	Sunny	11:30 - 12:00	65.4	67.4	62.3	2.7
16/10/2020	Sunny	9:30 - 10:00	60.7	61.8	59.2	4.8
22/10/2020	Sunny	11:25 - 11:55	63.8	65.7	60.8	2.9
28/10/2020	Fine	11:34 - 12:04	64.1	66.0	59.5	3.5

Location: Hydan Place (W-N18)
Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020
Parameter : L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}
Other Factors Nearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L_{eq}	L_{10}	L_{90}	Wind speed (m/s)
05/10/2020	Sunny	12:15	-	12:45	68.4	70.5	65.3	3.3
10/10/2020	Sunny	10:45	-	11:15	67.7	69.7	64.6	1.9
16/10/2020	Sunny	11:58	-	12:28	67.7	69.6	65.7	3.9
22/10/2020	Sunny	12:15	-	12:45	68.7	70.7	65.6	3.2
28/10/2020	Fine	10:02	-	10:32	69.6	71.4	67.3	2.2

Location: Prosperous Garden Block 1 (W-N25A)

Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020

Parameter : L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}

Other Factors Nearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L_{eq}	L_{10}	L_{90}	Wind speed (m/s)
05/10/2020	Sunny	10:35	-	11:05	70.2	72.2	68.2	4.9
10/10/2020	Sunny	9:30	-	10:00	70.4	72.4	67.5	3.1
16/10/2020	Sunny	11:20	-	11:50	68.3	69.9	66.2	3.3
22/10/2020	Sunny	10:00	-	10:30	68.7	70.6	65.5	4.2
28/10/2020	Fine	10:40	-	11:10	73.0	75.2	69.6	3.6

Location: The Coronation Tower 1 (W-P11)

Monitoring date: 5, 10, 16, 22, 28 October 2020

Parameter : L_{eq} , L_{10} , L_{90}

Other Factors Nearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L_{eq}	L_{10}	L_{90}	Wind speed (m/s)
05/10/2020	Sunny	9:50	-	10:20	67.0	68.9	63.8	3.9
10/10/2020	Sunny	10:08	-	10:38	68.3	70.4	65.5	1.7
16/10/2020	Sunny	10:40	-	11:10	71.2	73.6	66.9	3.7
22/10/2020	Sunny	10:45	-	11:15	68.9	71.0	65.3	3.5
28/10/2020	Fine	12:20	-	12:50	69.6	72.9	65.2	3.8




Figure 1: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N1A




Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N18




Figure 3: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N25A




Figure 4: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-P11

Appendix N
Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Name of Department: Highways Department

Contract No. / Works Order No.: HY/2014/08

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for October 2020

[to be submitted not later than the 15th day of each month following reporting month] (All quantities shall be rounded off to 1 decimal place.)

Month	Actual Quantities of <u>Inert</u> Construction Waste Generated Monthly					
	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e)+ (f)+ (g)+ (h)+ (i)+ (j)+ (k) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill	(f) Imported Fill
	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)
Jan-20	22974.2	3.9	214.2	3916.7	18717.6	0.0
Feb-20	25194.9	381.7	434.6	7601.1	16661.9	0.0
Mar-20	2019.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1897.2	0.0
Apr-20	26181.2	2129.3	41.1	4630.4	19284.3	0.0
May-20	24944.1	10946.3	140.0	1887.7	11863.6	0.0
Jun-20	27776.1	16594.4	359.7	1072.7	9655.3	0.0
Sub-total	129089.7	30055.6	1189.6	19108.6	78079.9	0.0
Jul-20	20592.4	17722.3	0.0	0.0	2602.2	0.0
Aug-20	8850.8	3985.3	16.0	0.0	4753.7	0.0
Sep-20	9046.3	3192.3	0.0	0.0	5735.9	0.0
Oct-20	9931.6	2965.2	0.0	0.0	6871.4	0.0
Nov-20						
Dec-20						
Total	177510.8	57920.7	1205.6	19108.6	98043.1	0.0
2018	51057.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	47715.6	2877.4
2019	112830.1	541.0	1523.8	13525.0	93132.9	3155.6
Accumulated	341398.8	58461.7	2729.4	32633.6	238891.6	6033.0

Month	Actual Quantities of <u>Non-inert</u> Construction Waste Generated Monthly								
	(g) Metals	(h) Paper/ cardboard packaging		(i) Plastics		(j) Chemical Waste		(k) Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill	
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in 'tonnes)
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
Jan-20	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.3
Feb-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.6
Mar-20	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.6
Apr-20	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	94.9
May-20	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	106.3
Jun-20	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	91.3
Sub-total	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	651.0
Jul-20	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	267.7
Aug-20	15.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.8	0.0	79.6
Sep-20	18.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.7
Oct-20	17.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	76.1
Nov-20									
Dec-20									
Total	51.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.02	0.0	4.4	0.0	1174.1
2018	28.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	434.5
2019	0.0	9.1	3.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	927.3
Accumulated Total	79.4	9.1	6.7	6.8	0.02	0.0	11.6	0.0	2535.9

Appendix O

Statistics on Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Statistical Summary of Exceedances

Air Quality			
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total
W-A1	0	0	0
W-A6	0	0	0
Noise			
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total
W-N1A	0	0	0
W-N18	*2	0	*2
W-N25A	0	0	0
W-P11	0	0	0

Remark: 1) *W-N18 was the nearest NSR to Portion 21.

Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting Period	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
1 October 2020-31 October 2020	2	18	Odour, Construction Noise and Dust

Statistical Summary of Environmental Non-compliance

Reporting Period	Environmental Non-compliance Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 October 2020-31 October 2020	0	1	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting Period	Environmental Summons Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 October 2020-31 October 2020	0	1	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting Period	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 October 2020-31 October 2020	0	0	N/A

Appendix P

Monitoring Schedule of the Coming Month

Impact Monitoring Schedule for YMTE

Nov-20						
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
1	2	3 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	4	5	6	7
8	9 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	10	11	12	13	14 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A
15	16	17	18	19	20 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	21
22	23	24	25	26 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	27	28
29	30					

Appendix Q

Interim Report for the Complaint

Interim Report on Environmental Complaint

Project	Central Kowloon Route, Yau Ma Tei East Section	
Complaint Code	EC017-CKRYMTE20201014_001	
Complaint description	Mr. Lee (Member of Owner's Committee of Prosperous Garden) contacted PRO on 6 October 2020 via Whatsapp to complain about the odour from the exhaust gases. Further complaint was made by the complainant on 13 October 2020, complaining that around 20 pieces of equipment were operating at the same time deteriorated the air pollution problem. “駿發花園業主會主席李先生投訴中九龍幹線工程機械車廢氣味很大，其後跟進投訴路邊污染，再加工程排放，極不理想。”	
Parameter	Odour	
Investigation finding	All mobile machines or transportable industrial equipment with rated engine power output between 19 to 560 kW are regulated by Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation and those used on site are in compliance with the prescribed emission standards. Supporting photos are provided in Appendix A. During weekly site inspection by the Contractor, the Environmental Team member, IEC representative and the Engineering Representative on 15 October 2020, no exhaust gas odour was smelt in Zone C. As all regulate machines used on site are approved or exempted under the NRMM Regulation and no odour was smelt during the site inspection, the complaint on exhaust gas odour is concluded non-project related.	
Actions taken / to be taken	The Contractor has followed EM&A Manual strictly to implement dust migration measures such as watering regularly and covering dusty materials properly. Also, the Contractor has reminded sub-contractors to shut down unused machines to reduce unnecessary exhaust gas emission.	
Remarks	Construction works carried out on 6 October 2020: ● Soil Improvement work ● Pipe piling ● D-wall construction PME used on 6 October 2020: ● Drilling rig x1 ● Generator x1 ● Air compressor x1 ● Excavator x1 ● Mobile crane x1 Works locations: (next page.)	
Prepared by	Sally Mok	
Date	27 October 2020	

Appendix A Supporting Photos



Figure A1 NRMM label on Excavator



Figure A2 NRMM label on Generator



Figure A3 NRMM label on Mobile Crane



Figure A4 NRMM label on Air Compressor




Figure A5 NRMM label on Driller Rig

Interim Report on Environmental Complaint

Project	Central Kowloon Route, Yau Ma Tei East Section
Complaint Code	EC018-CKRYMTE20201023_001
Complaint description	There was construction noise from concrete breaking works in the works site under GRF near KGO, which made the passengers at the bus stop feeling unwell. Concrete rubbles flew out to the bus stop, as well as the dust, which was harmful to the passersby. The complainant requested a follow-up. “彌敦道九龍政府合署旁，天橋底地盤雞頭炮打石屎時，噪音令巴士站等候的人感到聽痛。石屎小塊飛彈出巴士站，粉塵同樣飄出巴士站。極度危害途人，請幫忙處理。”
Parameter	Construction Noise and Dust
Investigation finding	As notified by the Contractor, the complainant made the complaint on 23 October 2020 at 3:24 PM and was concerned about the noise and dust impacts from Portion 21. Impact noise monitoring had been carried out on 22 October 2020 and the monitoring result at Hydan Place (W-N18) was 68.7 dB(A) in $L_{eq30min}$, which was below the limit level for the monitoring location at 75dB(A) in $L_{eq30min}$. As similar works had been conducted on 22 and 23 October 2020, it was believed that the construction noise generated during daytime on 23 October 2020 did not exceed the noise limit level as stipulated under EM&A manual. In addition, no environmental deficiency regarding construction noise was observed during weekly site inspection conducted on 22 October 2020. The nearest date that impact dust monitoring had been carried out was on 22 October 2020 and the monitoring results at the nearest monitoring station, Man Cheong Building (W-A6) were 76 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for TSP-24hr and 36, 30 and 41 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for TSP-1hr, which were well below the action levels for the monitoring station at 166$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for TSP-24hr and 306$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for TSP-1hr. As similar works have been conducted for 22 and 23 October 2020, it was believed that the construction dust generated during daytime on 23 October 2020 did not exceed the dust limit level as stipulated under EM&A manual. In addition, no environmental deficiency regarding construction dust was observed during weekly site inspection conducted on 22 October 2020. No concrete rubbles were observed in the nearby bus stop. As a safety precaution, the Contractor had extended the hoarding height to around 9ft (around 2.74m). Supporting photos are provided in Appendix A. As the construction noise and dust generated during daytime on 22 October 2020 did not exceed the noise limit level as stipulated under EM&A manual, it is concluded that no non-compliance to the EM&A requirement of the Project regarding noise and dust impacts from construction works.
Actions taken / to be taken	The Contractor has implemented mitigation measures for noise and construction dust impacts to minimize nuisance to the public. The Contractor has fully covered the breaker's head to minimize noise impact from the breaker and water spraying has been implemented during breaking. Stockpile of dusty materials is covered by impervious sheeting. Also, the hoarding along the site boundary has been extended to around 9ft (around 2.74m). Supporting photos are provided in Appendix A.
Remarks	PME used on 23 October 2020 during daytime: ● Backhoe x1 ● Generator x1 Construction works carried out on 23 October 2020 during daytime:

	● Excavation Works locations: (next page.)	
Prepared by	Sally Mok	
Date	30 October 2020	



Appendix A Supporting Photos



Figure A1 Noise barrier mat fully covered for the breaker's head and water spraying during breaking



Figure A2 Stockpile of dusty material was covered



Figure A3 Hoarding along the site boundary



Figure A4 Height of hoarding