

MTR Corporation Limited

South Island Line (East)

Monthly EM&A Report No. 13

August 2012


Verified by:



Thomas Chan

Independent Environmental Checker

Date:



MTR Corporation Limited

South Island Line (East)

Monthly EM&A Report No. 13

August 2012

Certified by:



Richard Kwan

Environmental Team Leader

Date:

14 AUG 2012

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

With the main civil works contracts of the South Island Line (East) (SIL(E)) Project awarded in May 2011, the commencement date of construction of the Project was on 25 June 2011. The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme of the Project also commenced on 25 June 2011. This is the thirteenth Monthly EM&A Report for SIL(E) Project. The Report presents the results of EM&A works undertaken during the period of 1 July 2012 to 31 July 2012. The major construction activities in the reporting period included piling, excavation and slope stabilization works as well as drill and blasting works at Lee Wing Street Adit Tunnel.

Impact monitoring for air quality, noise and water quality were conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance was found and there was no breach of Action / Limit Levels for air quality.

Exceedance to the construction noise criteria were recorded on 4 Jul 2012 at station CN1. Investigation and necessary remedial action(s) have also been conducted following the procedures specified in the Event and Action plan under the Updated EM&A Manual.

Impact water monitoring was undertaken at Aberdeen Channel in the reporting period. Exceedances in DO against Action/ Limit Levels were recorded and the exceedances were considered not related to the project works.

No public complaints referred by EPD were received in the reporting month.

Regarding the complaint received by EPD on 29 Jun 2012. Investigation has been carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual and investigation report has been sent to EPD.

No notification of summon or prosecution related to the environmental issue was received in the reporting period.

Regular site inspections were conducted by the Environmental Team (ET) to check the implementation of environmental mitigation measures. No non-conformance to the environmental requirements was identified in the reporting period.

Future key issues envisaged in the coming month include noise and dust emission from site works. The ET will continue the implementation of the EM&A programme in accordance to the EM&A Manual.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Project Background**
- 1.2 Project Programme**
- 1.3 Coverage of the EM&A Report**

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

- 2.1 Project Organization and Management Structure**
- 2.2 Construction Activities in the Reporting Month**
- 2.3 Construction Activities for the Coming Month**
- 2.4 Project Areas and Environmental Monitoring Locations**
- 2.5 Summary of EM&A Requirements**

3 IMPACT MONITORING

- 3.1 Air Quality**
- 3.2 Noise**
- 3.3 Water Quality**
- 3.4 Action taken in Event of Exceedance**

4 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

- 4.1 EM&A Requirements**
- 4.2 Site Audit Results**

5 ECOLOGY

- 5.1 EM&A Requirements**
- 5.2 Site Audit Results**

6 WASTE MANAGEMENT

7 RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS

8 RECORD OF NON- COMPLIANCES

9 RECORD OF NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

10 STATUS OF STATUTORY SUBMISSIONS

- 10.1 Submissions required under Environmental Permit**
- 10.2 Statutory Permits and Licenses**

11 SITE INSPECTIONS

- 11.1 Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures**
- 11.2 Observations**
- 11.3 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status**
- 11.4 Other Notable Events**

12 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

13 CONCLUSIONS

List of Figures

Figures 1 to 2 Works Areas of the Project

Figures 3 to 6 Location of Construction Air Quality Monitoring Stations

Figures 7 to 8 Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations

Figures 9 Location of Water Quality Monitoring Stations

List of Appendices

Appendix A1 *Project Organization*

Appendix A2 *Contact List of Key Personnel of the Project*

Appendix B1 *Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise and Air Quality*

Appendix B2 *Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality*

Appendix C *Calibration Details*

Appendix D *Graphical Plots of Air Quality, Noise & Water Quality Impact Monitoring and Monitoring Results for Water Quality*

Appendix E *Review of Exceedance in Environmental Monitoring*

Appendix F *Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures*

Appendix G *Skip hoists and storage hopper system at Harcourt Garden*

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

The South Island Line (East) (SIL(E)) of 7.0km approximately is a new medium capacity railway with stations at South Horizons (SOH), Lei Tung (LET), Wong Chuk Hang (WCH), Ocean Park (OCP) and Admiralty (ADM), comprising underground and elevated structures. A depot is required at Wong Chuk Hang to provide maintenance support for the SIL(E).

1.2 Project Programme

Main civil works contracts of the SIL(E) were awarded in May 2011. The commencement date of construction of the Project was on 25 June 2011. The construction of the Project is expected to complete in 2015.

1.3 Coverage of EM&A Report

The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme of the Project commenced on 25 June 2011. This is the thirteenth Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the Project. The Report presents the results of EM&A undertaken during the period of 1 to 31 July 2012.

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 Project Organization and Management Structure

The project organization is shown in **Appendix A1**. Contacts of key personnel of the Project are shown in **Appendix A2**.

2.2 Construction Activities in the Reporting Month

Major construction activities carried out by the respective SIL(E) civil works contractors during the reporting period include:

Contract No. 901

Site	Construction Activities
Harcourt Garden	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Utility works at Rodney Street- Covered walkway- Infeed substation modification works- SEE Shaft – rock excavation and noise enclosure erection- Plunge column- Construction of site office- ADD demolition- A&A works at Admiralty Station- Bulk excavation / TDS works

Contract No. 902

Site	Construction Activities
Hong Kong Park Ventilation Shaft	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Construction for plant room - Utility diversion - Pipe piling for the shaft at ground level - Excavation - ELS works at upper platform - Modification of hoarding and noise barrier fronting Supreme Court Road
Nam Fung Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pile cap excavation and construction - Pier construction - Soft ground tunnel construction - ELS works (Shaft portion) - Temporary haul road construction - Grouting works along Nam Fung Road
Chung Hom Shan Magazine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operation of Magazine
Telegraph Bay Barging Point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Modification of tipping haul enclosure

Contract No. 903

Site	Construction Activities
OCP Station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Formation / Groundwork for OCP substructure - Pile caps and piers for OCP footbridge - Station pile caps and ground beams
WCH Station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bored piling - Utility diversions - Track level column, beam and slab construction - Concourse levelling - Pile cap construction
Zone B (Ex-Canadian Site to OCP Station)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pier and Cross Head construction - Pier table and segment erection - Spans, post tensioning erection
Zone C (OCP Station to WCH Station)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pile cap construction - Slope stabilisation - Construction of nullah wall - Soil nailing - Nullah deck construction
Zone D (WCH Station to WCH nullah)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gravity wall - Pile caps - Bored piling - Pier construction
Zone E (Aberdeen Channel)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Span construction - Pile cap construction

Contract No. 904

Site	Construction Activities
Ex-Harbour Mission School	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excavation - Tunnel box construction - Pipe piling installation - Joint bay and duct bank excavation outside Sham Wan Tower - Trench excavation
Lee Wing Street	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conveyor structure installation along Lee Nam Road and Adit - Drill and blast at Main Tunnel
LET Station Entrance A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site formation - Signal duct construction - Excavation

Site	Construction Activities
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Piling works - ELS works
LET Station Entrance B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site clearance and preparation - Construction of manhole and pipe laying/ water mains - Trench excavation
South Horizons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Water mains diversion - Installation of pipe piles - Grouting works - Trial trench excavation - ELS works - Modification works near Precious Blood School
South Horizons Plant Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Slope excavation and protection - Erection of protection measures for drill and blast
Project site office at Ap Lei Chau Bridge Playground	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operation of project site office

Contract No. 907

Site	Construction Activities
WCH Depot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site formation - Pipe piling - Utility diversions - Soil nailing
Lee Nam Road Barging Facility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Barging facility handled to Contract No. 904

Contract No. 908

Site	Construction Activities
WCH Depot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tower crane erection - Footing construction - Steel fixing - Permanent drainage construction - Concreting

2.3 Construction Activities for the Coming Month

The scheduled major construction activities in the next reporting month are as follows:

Contract No. 901

Site	Construction Activities
Harcourt Garden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Utility works at Rodney Street - Covered walkway - Infeed substation modification works - SEE Shaft – rock excavation and noise enclosure erection - Plunge column - Tower crane erection - ADD demolition - A&A works at Admiralty Station - Bulk excavation / TDS works - Setup of skip hoists and storage hopper system

Contract No. 902

Site	Construction Activities
Hong Kong Park Ventilation Shaft	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Construction for plant room - Utility diversion - Demolition works - Excavation - ELS works at both upper and ground level - Modification of site hoarding and noise barrier fronting Supreme Court Road
Nam Fung Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pile cap excavation and construction - Pier construction - Completion of soft ground tunnel construction - Commencement of drill and blast at tunnel - Pre-grouting and pipe piling - ELS works (Shaft portion) - Temporary haul road construction - Water main works along Nam Fung Road
Chung Hom Shan Magazine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operation of Magazine
Telegraph Bay Barging Point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Modification of tipping haul

Contract No. 903

Site	Construction Activities
OCP Station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Station pile cap and ground beams - Station columns construction - Station concourse beam and slab
WCH Station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Track level column, beam and slab construction - Pile cap construction - Bored piling - Utility diversions - Concourse levelling
Zone B (Ex-Canadian Site to OCP Station)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Segment erection - Pier and cross head construction - Erect pier table - Spans, post tensioning erection
Zone C (OCP Station to WCH Station)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pile Cap construction - Construction of nullah wall - Nullah deck construction - Slope stabilization - Pier construction - Removal of Police School Road Bridge
Zone D (WCH Station to WCH nullah)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bored piling - Pile caps - Gravity wall - Piers construction - Erect pier heads
Zone E (Aberdeen Channel)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pile cap construction - Span construction - Tower crane / table for span construction - Pier stem

Contract No. 904

Site	Construction Activities
Ex-Harbour Mission School	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excavation - Tunnel box construction - Joint bay and cable trench excavation outside Sham Wan Tower - Trench excavation - Installation of pipe pile
Lee Wing Street	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conveyor structure installation along Lee Nam Road and Adit Tunnel - Drill and blast at Main Tunnel
LET Station Entrance A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site formation - Signal duct construction - Excavation - Piling works - ELS works
LET Station Entrance B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site clearance and preparation - Construction of manhole and pipe laying/ water mains - Trench excavation
South Horizons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Water mains diversion - Installation of pipe piles - Grouting works - Trial trench excavation - ELS works - Modification works near Precious Blood School
South Horizons Plant Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Slope excavation and protection - Preparation works for blasting - Commencement of blasting
Project site office at Ap Lei Chau Bridge Playground	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operation of project site office

Contract No. 907

Site	Construction Activities
WCH Depot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site formation - Excavation of bored piles - Utilities diversions - Soil nailing

Contract No. 908

Site	Construction Activities
WCH Depot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Footing construction - Column construction - Permanent drainage construction - Concreting

2.4 Project Areas and Environmental Monitoring Locations

The works areas of the Project are shown in **Figures 1 and 2**. The existing barging point at Telegraph Bay for the DSD's HKWDT project will be taken over and used for the SIL(E) project. Impact dust and noise monitoring will be carried out at designated monitoring locations during operation of the Telegraph Bay barging point.

The locations of environmental monitoring stations are shown in **Figures 3 to 9**. Tables 1 and 2 below shows the details of the active monitoring stations as reported in Sections 3.1 to 3.3 below.

Table 1 Summary of impact dust and noise monitoring stations

ID	Monitoring Station
Dust	
CD1	Wong Chuk Hang San Wai
CD2	Police College – Police Quarters
CD3	San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School
CD4	Shan On House
CD5*	South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25
Noise	
CN1	San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School (Educational Institution)
CN2	Holy Spirit Seminary (Education Institution)
CN3*	Shun Fung Building (Residential)
CN4*	South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25 Dover Court (Residential)
CN5*	TWGHs Jockey Club Rehabilitation Complex Block A (Convalescent Home)

* Location updated due to site access problem, or as per the agreement with the premises landlord, and agreed with EPD

Table 2 Summary of impact water quality monitoring stations

ID	Location	Easting	Northing
WM1	Aberdeen West Typhoon Shelter	833953	811923
WM2	Wong Chuk Hang Nullah	834547	811966
WM3	WSD Brick Hill Seawater Intake	834896	811567
WM4	Aberdeen South Typhoon Shelter	834761	811292
CS1	Control Station	832689	811967
CS2	Control Station	834852	810689

2.5 Summary of EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme as specified in the EM&A Manual has been implemented during the construction stage.

In the reporting period, impact monitoring of LAeq, 30min noise levels was carried out at the monitoring locations as shown in Table 1 once every week. Also, 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted at the monitoring locations as shown in Table 1 once every week. Impact water quality monitoring at Aberdeen Channel was also undertaken at the monitoring locations as shown in Table 2 three working days per week at mid-ebb and mid-flood tides.

In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, additional monitoring in term of continuous monitoring of LAeq, 30min noise levels should be conducted at South Horizons Phase IV Block 25 Dover Court station CN4 during normal construction working hour (0700-1900 Monday to Saturday) throughout the construction period with the residual impact predicted at the corresponding NSR (SOH8) as presented in the Construction Noise

Mitigation Measures Plan submitted under the Environmental Permit. Since no residual impact was predicted in the reporting month, impact monitoring of LAeq, 30min noise levels was only carried out at station CN4 once every week in July 2012.

Action and Limit Levels for construction noise and air quality as well as water quality are shown in **Appendices B1 and B2** respectively. Should non-compliance of the criteria occurs, action in accordance with the respective Event and Action Plans for construction noise, air quality and water quality in the EM&A Manual / Updated EM&A Manual should be carried out.

Monthly monitoring of the ardeid night roost location beside Wong Chuk Hang Nullah by qualified ecologist was also conducted.

In addition, regular site inspection to active works areas was carried out. The areas of inspection included the pollution control and mitigation measures within the site. Waste management and landscape and visual aspects were covered.

3 IMPACT MONITORING

3.1 Air Quality

Monitoring Methodology

24-hour TSP samples were collected by High Volume Sampler (Graseby-Andersen) following United States Environmental Protection Agency regulations.

The sampling procedure follows that described in the App. B of Pt 50 in 40CFR Ch.1 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). TSP is sampled by drawing air through a conditioned, pre-weighed filter paper inside the high volume sampler at a controlled rate. After 24-hour sampling, the filter paper with retained particles is collected and returned to the laboratory for drying in a desiccators followed by weighing. TSP levels are calculated from the ratio of the mass of particulate retained on the filter paper to the total volume of air sampled.

The samplers have been properly maintained. Prior to dust monitoring commencing, appropriate checks have been made to ensure that all equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.

Calibration Requirements

The flow rate of the high volume sampler with mass flow controller is calibrated using an orifice calibrator. Initial calibration (five points) is conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Calibration will be carried out every six months. The calibration records are shown in **Appendix C**.

Monitoring Results

To examine the construction dust levels, 24-hour TSP monitoring was undertaken at the monitoring locations as shown in Table 1 according to the EM&A Manual.

Monitoring results are presented in the following table (see **Appendix D** for graphical plots). The 24-hour TSP levels were within the Action Level. No exceedance was found. This indicates that the construction activities did not have a noticeable adverse effect on the general air quality of the project areas.

Date	TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Weather Condition
CD1 Wong Chuk Hang San Wai					
03-Jul-12	22.3	173	260	Yes	Fine
10-Jul-12	22.1	173	260	Yes	Fine
16-Jul-12	32.3	173	260	Yes	Cloudy
27-Jul-12	84.4	173	260	Yes	Cloudy
31-Jul-12	111.6	173	260	Yes	Cloudy
CD2 Police College – Police Quarters					
03-Jul-12	47.6	184	260	Yes	Fine
10-Jul-12	70.5	184	260	Yes	Fine
16-Jul-12	68.0	184	260	Yes	Cloudy
27-Jul-12	123.1	184	260	Yes	Cloudy
31-Jul-12	90.3	184	260	Yes	Cloudy
CD3 San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School					
03-Jul-12	20.8	169	260	Yes	Fine
10-Jul-12	20.1	169	260	Yes	Fine
16-Jul-12	28.2	169	260	Yes	Cloudy
27-Jul-12	49.4	169	260	Yes	Cloudy
31-Jul-12	67.1	169	260	Yes	Cloudy
CD4 Shan On House					
05-Jul-12	19.6	176	260	Yes	Cloudy
11-Jul-12	51.5	176	260	Yes	Fine
16-Jul-12	50.4	176	260	Yes	Cloudy
27-Jul-12	41.4	176	260	Yes	Cloudy
CD5 South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25					
05-Jul-12	39.4	169	260	Yes	Cloudy
11-Jul-12	44.8	169	260	Yes	Fine
16-Jul-12	50.7	169	260	Yes	Cloudy
27-Jul-12	33.1	169	260	Yes	Cloudy

Note: Please refer to Figures 3 to 6 for the location of construction air quality monitoring stations

3.2 Noise

Monitoring Methodology

Monitoring was conducted using B&K sound analysis equipment – B&K SLM 2250. Microphone was extended 1 meter from building facades and oriented towards the works area.

Calibration Requirements

B&K 2250 sound level meters and B&K 4231 calibrators which complied with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publication 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1), specification as referred to in the Technical Memoranda to the NCO were used for the impact monitoring. The sound level meters and calibrators are verified by the certified laboratory or manufacturer once every two years to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specifications. The calibration records are shown in **Appendix C**.

Immediately prior to and following each set of measurements at any NSR, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. If the calibration levels before and after the measurement differs by more than 1.0dB, the measurement shall be repeated to obtain a reliable result (note: maximum deviation during this initial baseline monitoring period was

0.3dB). Periods of prolonged or repeated overloading of the sound level meter detector were avoided by setting the meter with adequate headroom prior to commencing measurements. Measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1 dB, with values of 0.05 being rounded up.

Monitoring Results

Impact monitoring of LAeq, 30min noise levels was undertaken to measure construction noise levels in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual at the monitoring locations as shown in Table 1. The monitoring was conducted during the course of construction works, please refer to S2.2 for major construction activities of the respective SIL(E) civil works contracts in the reporting month. Weather conditions throughout the monitoring period were mild with light wind of not exceeding 2-3m/s on average.

Monitoring results for CN1, CN2, CN3, CN4 and CN5 are presented in the following table and graphical plot for are presented in **Appendix D**.

Noise level recorded at CN1 San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School on 4 Jul 2012 was of 71.2dB(A) which exceed construction noise criteria of 70dB(A). Details of the exceedances are mentioned in S3.4.

Date	Time	LAeq (dBA)	Limit Level (dBA)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Weather Condition
CN1 San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School					
4-Jul	16:50	71.2	70#	Yes##	Fine, wind <5m/s
12-Jul	10:45	66.7	70#	Yes	Fine, wind <5m/s
18-Jul	16:05	70.2	70#	Yes	Fine, wind <5m/s
27-Jul	13:30	68.9	70#	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
30-Jul	15:25	69.8	70#	Yes	Fine, wind <5m/s
CN2 Holy Spirit Seminary					
5-Jul	16:30	67.7	70#	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
11-Jul	16:40	66.0	70#	Yes	Fine, wind <5m/s
19-Jul	16:50	68.5	70#	Yes	Fine, wind <5m/s
27-Jul	16:00	67.5	70#	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
31-Jul	15:30	69.4	70#	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
CN3 Shun Fung Building					
3-Jul	10:30	73.5	75#	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
11-Jul	16:00	71.2	75#	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
19-Jul	11:00	73.4	75#	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
27-Jul	16:00	74.2	75#	Yes	Cloudy, wind<5m/s
CN4 South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25 Dover Court					
3-Jul	09:00	72.4	75#	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
11-Jul	10:30	67.4	75#	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
19-Jul	09:00	73.2	75#	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
27-Jul	17:00	72.1	75#	Yes	Cloudy, wind<5m/s
CN5 TWGHs Jockey Club Rehabilitation Complex Block A					
5-Jul	15:40	67.0	75	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
11-Jul	15:50	67.4	75	Yes	Fine, wind<5m/s
16-Jul	14:50	68.4	75	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
27-Jul	14:40	67.6	75	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s
31-Jul	14:50	70.5	75	Yes	Cloudy, wind <5m/s

Note: (#)Or updated prediction of noise levels as contained in Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan
 (##)Exceedance considered as compliance, as all necessary noise mitigation measures had been implemented according to the updated Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan. Details can be referred to S3.4.

Please refer to Figures 7 to 8 for the location of construction noise monitoring stations

3.3 *Water Quality*

Monitoring Methodology

Water quality was monitored in terms of the following parameters: Dissolved Oxygen (DO, mg/L) and Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (DO %), temperature (°C), pH, turbidity (NTU), salinity (ppt), suspended solids (mg/L) and water depth (m). All parameters were measured in-situ whereas SS shall be determined by the laboratory.

Water samples were taken with a water sampler, consisting of a transparent PVC cylinder of 2 litres that can be effectively sealed with cups at both ends. The water sampler has a positive latch system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler arrives is at the pre-determined depth.

Measurement was taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above sea bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station was monitored.

Duplicate in-situ measurements and samples were collected and analyzed to ensure a robust statistically interpretable dataset. Where the difference in value between the first and second measurement of DO or turbidity parameters is more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading was discarded and further readings were taken.

Water samples for all monitoring parameters were collected, stored, preserved and analyzed according to APHA Standard Methods. Water samples were stored in high-density polythene bottles, packed in ice and delivered to the laboratory of ETS-Testconsult Limited, a HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

The SS determination work was start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses followed the standard method APHA 2540D with a detection limit of 1mg/L as described in APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

A digital depth detector was employed to determine the water depth at selected stations when flows permit.

Calibration Requirements

On-site monitoring equipment namely the salinity meter, pH meter, turbidity meter, dissolved oxygen meter and temperature meter were calibrated before use. The methodologies for the calibration are referred to the instruction manual provided by the manufactures respectively. The calibration records are shown in **Appendix C**. Response of sensors and electrodes was checked with certified standard solutions before each use.

Monitoring Results

Impact water quality monitoring was undertaken in accordance with the EM&A Manual at the six designated monitoring locations at Aberdeen Channel as shown in Table 2 during the reporting period. Monitoring locations WM1-WM4 cover the Aberdeen West Typhoon Shelter, Wong Chuk Hang Nullah, WSD Brick Hill Seawater intake and Aberdeen South Typhoon Shelter while monitoring location CS1 and CS2 are the control stations. CS1 and CS2 are the upstream control stations for the Ebb and Flood tide conditions respectively.

Monitoring results and graphical plots are presented in **Appendix D**.

3.4 Action taken in Event of Exceedance

There was no exceedance in air quality monitoring parameters recorded in the reporting period, therefore no action was taken.

Noise levels recorded at CN1 San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School on 4 Jul 2012 was of 71.2dB(A). Though this exceeded the construction noise criteria of 70dB(A) and also in the updated prediction of noise levels as contained in the Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan submitted under the Environmental Permit. Movable noise barrier and acoustic fabric were already applied and mobilized closer to the breaking zone within the Depot area. The Contractor tried to exhaust possible mitigation measures on site, thus, it is considered not non-compliance.

Exceedances in DO against Action/ Limit Levels were recorded at the monitoring stations WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4 on 3, 5, 7, 9, 16, 18, 20, 25, 27 and 30 July 2012 in the reporting month. The Exceedances were considered not related to the project works. Please refer to Appendix E for the review of exceedance in water quality monitoring.

4 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

4.1 EM&A Requirements

The landscape and visual mitigation measures undertaken by the contractors during the construction phase have been audited on a regular basis according to the EM&A Manual.

4.2 Site Audit Results

Regular inspections and audits were conducted by the Certified Arborist as required by the EP and it was found that the transplanting works and the tree protection works being carried out by the civil works and transplantation contractors were in accordance with the EP/ EIA. It was noted that numbers of retained and transplanted trees were damaged or felled because of typhoon Vicente hitting Hong Kong on 23 and 24 July 2012. Necessary tree removal or protection works were being carried out in accordance with the EP/ EIA or approved Tree Removal Application. No non compliance was identified in the reporting period.

Retained Trees

No immediate hazards were noted for any of the OVTs during reporting period.

Health conditions of the two retained and pruned trees, *Ficus elastica*, located at Wong Chuk Hang San Wai have been monitored. The contractor had enhanced the tree protection zone and was reminded to properly maintain the protection zone.

Transplanted Tree

Total of 453 trees of the SIL(E) had been transplanted as of the reporting month. They were mostly transplanted to the holding nursery at Chung Hom Shan and Kellett Bay, permanent receptor sites such as Lok Ma Chau or in-situ under project areas.

5 ECOLOGY

5.1 EM&A Requirements

Auditing of the ecological mitigation measures during the construction phase have been carried out on a regular basis according to the EM&A Manual.

5.2 *Site Audit Results*

Ardeid Night Roost

Regular inspections to the works areas around the ardeid night roost have been conducted by the ecologist to check the ecological mitigation measures with regard to the ardeids at Wong Chuk Hang Nullah. Inspections of the ardeid night roost have been made for any active ardeid nests. Whilst ardeids have never been recorded nesting at this site, precautionary checks for active nests or signs of breeding have been made.

Monthly monitoring of the ardeid night roost location was also conducted by the ecologist from a vantage point, the Ap Lei Chau Bridge (on the Wong Chuk Hang side), with an unobstructed view over the area. According to the EM&A Manual, the surveys have been commenced approximately one hour before sunset and continue for 20 minutes after sunset, or until nightfall, which comes sooner. Any aggregation of night roosting ardeid in the degraded woodland or adjacent area have been located and counted.

The monthly night ardeid survey was conducted on 9 Jul 2012 at 6:10 p.m. A total of 30 ardeids, which all are Little Egrets, arrived at the roost location at Wong Chuk Hang Nullah and no ardeid breeding behaviour was recorded during the monitoring survey.

Proper tree protection measures have been implemented as practical as possible by the contractor to the current and potential roost trees retained on site. However, potential risks of some of these slope trees were noted and these slope trees had been removed due to the safety concerns.

Plant Species of Conservation Interest

Detailed field survey led by the ecologist was undertaken in March and early May 2011 to ascertain the presence of any rare or protected flora species to be affected. The surveys covered all above ground works areas of the project and the survey results were presented in the Detailed Transplanting Baseline Survey Report submitted under the Environmental Permit.

As in the Detailed Transplanting Baseline Survey Report, two plant species of conservation interest recorded in the degraded woodland to the south of Wong Chuk Hang Nullah, namely herb *Houttuynia cordata* and tree *Aquilaria sinensis* (including seedlings), and planted young tree *Ailanthus fordii* (including seedlings) recorded in a plantation area near Hong Kong Park will be influenced by the project works. Other plant species of conservation interest identified will be protected on-site and appropriate tree protection measures would be established if needed. Health condition of the most plant species generally remained unchanged as in the Detailed Transplanting Baseline Survey Report. However, it is noted that health condition of *Ailanthus fordii* (tree no. OCP-T2231), which is outside the active works area at Wong Chuk Hang San Wai, was found to be declining. Two *Aquilaria sinensis*, which are located outside the active works area to the north of Nam Fung Road, were also found in very poor health condition and suspected to be dead specimens.

Regular monitoring on the transplanted *H. cordata*, *Ai. Fordii* has been conducted. The transplanted *H. cordata* was in fair health condition and protection fences have been maintained around the receptor sites. Condition of three specimens of *Ai Fordii* was very poor after transplantation as no new leaves or buds have regenerated, while the seedling remained in fair condition. Further observation was made on 24 July 2012 that the main trunks of three specimens of *Ai Fordii* were severely damaged because of typhoon Vicente hitting Hong Kong on 23 and 24 July 2012. In order to relieve safety hazard, removal of these three specimens was carried out accordingly.

Monitoring of above tree specimens will be continued to evaluate their potential recovery ability in the wet season.

The health condition of the two transplanted *Aq. Sinensis* was fair, and a proper tree protection area had been identified. Regular monitoring will be carried out.

6 WASTE MANAGEMENT

Mitigation measures on waste management have been implemented in accordance with the site waste management plans for the respective civil works contracts. The C&D materials have been disposed of at the public fill reception facilities while C&D wastes have been disposed of at the landfills. Quantities of wastes disposed in the reporting period are summarized in the following table:

Contract No	Inert C&D Materials Disposed at Public Fill (m ³)	Inert C&D Materials Reused (m ³)	Non-inert Waste Disposed at Landfill (m ³)	Chemical Waste to Designated Treatment Facility (litre)
Reporting Period: July 2012				
Contract 901	4,907	3,899	48	0
Contract 902	2,490	696	90	0
Contract 903	8,587	281	78	0
Contract 904	9,185	0	40	0
Contract 907	14,273	0	9	0
Contract 908	3,336	0	53	0

7 RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS

No environmental complaints were referred from EPD in the reporting period:-

- For the two public complaints referred by EPD on 29 Jun 2012 regarding i) dust nuisance at the junction of Heung Yip Road and Nam Long Shan Road, and ii) construction noise at the Depot site. Investigation has been carried out accordance with the EM&A Manual. Complaint investigations were conducted on 30 Jun 2012 for the two complaints. For the dust nuisance complaint, it was noted that water spraying was provided during rock-breaking activity in order to reduce the dust generated at Ocean Park Road outside Zone C works area and that water spraying was provided for the road surface at the works areas at Heung Yip Road, adjacent to Nam Long Shan Road and opposite to the Tai Wong Yee Temple. It was concluded that the Contractor had implemented proper dust mitigation measures and will keep watering and cleaning the road surface regularly during the working hours to reduce the dust nuisance. For the construction noise complaint, it was observed that utility diversion works within the WCH Depot site was undertaken. The use of acoustic fabric to wrap up the hammer of breakers and provision of additional movable noise barriers near Chan Pak Sha School have been adopted as mitigation measures. The Contractor will closely monitor the situation so as to minimise the disturbance to the community.

The complaint investigation report has been sent to EPD.

8 RECORD OF NON-COMPLIANCES

There was no non-compliance identified in the reporting period. However, noise exceedances to the construction noise criteria or updated noise prediction in the Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan were recorded in the reporting month. All necessary mitigation measure had been implemented according to the Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan. Investigation and necessary remedial action(s) have also been conducted following the procedures specified in the Event and Action plan under the Updated EM&A Manual. Exceedances in water quality monitoring parameters against Action/ Limit Levels were record in the reporting month and the exceedances were considered not related to the project works. Details are mentioned in S3.4 and **Appendix E**.

9 RECORD OF NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

No summon or prosecution related to environmental issue was received or made against the Project in the reporting period.

10 STATUS OF STATUTORY SUBMISSIONS

10.1 Submissions required under Environmental Permit

A summary of the status of submissions required under the SIL(E) Environmental Permit as of 31 July 2012 is shown below:

EP Clause No.	Description of Submission	Status
1.11	Commencement date of construction	Submitted on 25 May 2011
1.14	Commencement date of operation	To be submitted no later than 2 months prior to commencement of operation of the Project
2.1 & 2.2	Employment of IEC & ET	Submitted on 6 Apr 2011
2.3	Employment of Qualified Ecologist	Submitted on 6 Apr 2011
2.4	Employment of Certified Arborist	Submitted on 6 Apr 2011
2.5	Management organization of main construction companies	Updated main construction companies submitted on 15 Jun 2012
2.6	Construction programme & EP submission schedule	Submitted on 10 Jun 2011
2.7	Set up of Community Liaison Group	Submitted on 20 Apr 2011
2.8	Updated EM&A Manual	EP Condition fulfilled dated 13 February 2012
2.9	Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan	Updated Construction Noise Mitigation Measures Plan submitted on 11 May 2012 and EP Condition fulfilled date 22 May 2012
2.11	Construction & demolition materials management plan for barging points	EP Condition fulfilled dated 12 December 2011
2.13 (a)	Ecological planting & landscape plan	EP Condition fulfilled dated 12 December 2011

EP Clause No.	Description of Submission	Status
2.13 (b)	As built drawings of ecological planting & landscape works	To be submitted no later than 1 month after completion of planting works (at Wong Chuk Hang nullah)
2.13 (c)	Final monitoring report of ecological planting & landscape works	To be submitted no later than 1 month after completion of the 3-year post planting care and maintenance period
2.14 (a)	Detailed transplanting baseline survey report for plant species of conservation interest	Resubmitted on 8 Sep 2011
2.14 (b)	Transplantation proposal for plant species of conservation interest	H. cordata: EP Condition fulfilled dated 15 Sep 2011 Aq. sinensis: EP Condition fulfilled dated 21 Feb 2012 Ai. fordii: EP Condition fulfilled dated 18 Oct 2011
2.14 (c)	As built drawings of transplanting works for plant species of conservation interest	H. cordata: EP Condition fulfilled dated 15 Sep 2011 Aq. sinensis: EP Condition fulfilled dated 2 May 2012 Ai. fordii: EP Condition fulfilled dated 22 Dec 2011
2.15	Tree protection plan	Updated Tree protection plan submitted on 4 May 2012 and EP Condition fulfilled dated 30 May 2012
2.16(a)	Silt curtain plan	For Aberdeen Channel: EP Condition fulfilled dated 12 Aug 2011 For Telegraph Bay: EP Condition fulfilled dated 14 Dec 2011
2.19	Operational groundborne noise review plan	To be submitted no later than 1 month after completion of corresponding parts of tunnel excavation
2.20	Operational groundborne noise mitigation measures plan	To be submitted no later than 1 month prior to installation of rail tracks
2.21	As built drawings for operational groundborne noise mitigation measures	To be submitted no later than 1 month after completion of tracks installation
2.23	As built drawings for operational airborne noise mitigation measures on viaduct section	To be submitted no later than 1 month after completion of noise mitigation measures installation on viaduct section
2.24	Noise performance test report	To be submitted no later than 1 month prior to commencement of operation of the Project
2.25	Fixed plant noise audit report	To be submitted no later than 1 month prior to commencement of operation of the Project

EP Clause No.	Description of Submission	Status
2.26	Visual & landscape plan	To be submitted no later than 1 month before commencement of corresponding parts of landscape works
3.3	Baseline monitoring report	EP Condition fulfilled dated 21 February 2012
3.4	Monthly EM&A reports	Submit within 2 weeks after the end of the reporting month
4.2	Internet address of EM&A and project data	Submitted on 25 Jul 2011

10.2 Statutory Permits and Licenses

A summary of the status of all relevant environmental permit and licenses as of 31 July 2012 is shown below:

Description	Effective Date	Expiry Date
Environmental Permit for South Island Line (East) EP-407/2010/A	14/12/2011	N/A
Contract 901		
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-124-K3004-01	23/5/2011	N/A
Waste Disposal 7012859	1/6/2011	N/A
Water Discharge Licence WT00009466-2011	4/7/2011	30/7/2016
CNP for plunge column, secant piles, shaft construction GW-RS0583-12	15/6/2012	03/12/2012
CNP for CITIC footbridge erection GW-RS0549-12	12/6/2012	15/8/2012
CNP for plunge column and shaft construction	Application submitted on 18/7/2012	Pending
Contract 902		
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-175-N2206-12	24/6/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-124-N2345-02	28/10/2011	N/A
Waste Disposal 7012912	26/5/2011	N/A
Water Discharge Licence for HK Park WT00009688-2011	22/7/2011	30/7/2016
Water Discharge Licence for Nam Fung Path WT00009749-2011	22/7/2011	30/7/2016
Water Discharge Licence for CHS Magazine WT00009842-2011	11/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge Licence for Telegraph Bay WT00010649-2011	27/10/2011	31/10/2016
Barging Point		
CNP for Nam Fung 24-hour Tunnel Excavation GW-RS0787-12	30/07/2012	31/10/2012
Contract 903		
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-175-L2174-31	14/6/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-175-L2174-32	30/6/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-175-L2174-33	30/6/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-175-L2174-34	30/6/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer Licence 5213-175-L2174-35	30/6/2011	N/A
Waste Disposal 7012721	12/5/2011	N/A
Water Discharge Licence for Ap Lei Chau (ALC) Bridge WT00009838-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge Licence for WCH Station WT00009928-2011	16/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge Licence for Zone B WT00009931-2011	16/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge Licence for OCP station WT00010501-2011	3/10/2011	31/10/2016

Description		Effective Date	Expiry Date
Water Discharge Licence for Zone D	WT00010319-2011	3/10/2011	31/10/2016
Water Discharge Licence for Zone C	WT00010648-2011	24/10/2011	31/10/2016
CNP for Zone C	GW-RS0060-12	30/1/2012	24/7/2012 (expired)
CNP for Zone C	GW-RS0141-12	17/2/2012	16/8/2012
CNP for Zone D	GW-RS0142-12	17/2/2012	16/8/2012
CNP for OCP station	GW-RS0168-12	22/2/2012	20/8/2012
CNP for Zone C	GW-RS0194-12	29/2/2012	29/9/2012
CNP for Entrance A	GW-RS0248-12	9/3/2012	5/9/2012
CNP for WCH Station	GW-RS0257-12	15/3/2012	29/8/2012
CNP for Zone E	GW-RS0344-12	30/3/2012	29/9/2012
CNP for Zone E	GW-RS0391-12	9/5/2012	11/8/2012
CNP for Zone B	GW-RS0410-12	3/5/2012	2/11/2012
CNP for TTM	GW-RS0490-12	15/5/2012	31/7/2012 (expired)
CNP for Zone E	GW-RS0526-12	21/5/2012	20/8/2012
CNP for Zone B	GW-RS0582-12	11/6/2012	21/8/2012
CNP for segment erection	GW-RS0713-12	3/7/2012	31/7/2012 (expired)
CNP for Zone B	GW-RS0714-12	4/7/2012	30/8/2012
CNP for utilities	GW-RS0764-12	17/7/2012	30/8/2012
CNP for Zone B	GW-RS0766-12	17/7/2012	30/9/2012
CNP for utilities	GW-RS0775-12	23/7/2012	30/8/2012
CNP for segment erection	GW-RS0793-12	30/7/2012	31/8/2012
Contract 904			
Chemical Waste Producer License for ALC Bridge Rd near Sham Wan Towers	5111-174-L2758-04	4/8/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer License for ALC Bridge Rd near Harbour Mission School	5111-174-L2758-03	4/8/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer License for ALC Main Street near Sunny Court	5111-174-L2758-05	4/8/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer License for Lei Tung Estate Rd near Kaifong Primary School	5111-174-L2758-02	4/8/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer License for Lee Nam Rd Sitting Out Area	5111-174-L2758-01	4/8/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer License for Lee Nam Rd Sitting Out Area No. 2	5111-174-L2758-07	4/8/2011	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer License for Yi Nam Rd intersect with Lee Nam Rd & SOH Drive	5111-174-L2758-06	4/8/2011	N/A
Waste Disposal	7012979	25/6/2011	N/A
Water Discharge License for ALC Bridge Rd near Sham Wan Towers	WT00009781-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge License for ALC Bridge Rd near Harbour Mission School	WT00009778-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge License for ALC Main Street near Sunny Court	WT00009777-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge License for Lei Tung Estate Rd near Kaifong Primary School	WT00009780-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge License for Lee Nam Rd Sitting Out Area	WT00009779-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge License for Lee Nam Rd Sitting Out Area No. 2	WT00009783-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge License for Yi Nam Rd intersect with Lee Nam Rd & SOH Drive	WT00009775-2011	5/8/2011	31/8/2016
CNP for Yi Nam Road near Marina Square East Centre	GW-RS0339-12	30/3/2012	27/9/2012

Description		Effective Date	Expiry Date
CNP for Lee Nam Road near Horizon Plaza	GW-RS0518-12	23/5/2012	22/8/2012
Contract 907			
Chemical Waste Producer Licence	5113-175-C3675-01	24/6/2011	N/A
Waste Disposal	7012950	31/5/2011	N/A
Waste Disposal for barges	7013400	26/8/2011	N/A
Water Discharge Licence for barging point	WT00009896-2011	11/8/2011	31/8/2016
Water Discharge Licence for WCH Depot	WT00012862-2012	23/5/2012	30/9/2016
Water Discharge Licence for bus terminus	WT00010366-2011	21/9/2011	30/9/2016
CNP for watermains	GW-RS0535-12	1/6/2012	31/7/2012 (expired)
CNP for water pumping	GW-RS0673-12	30/6/2012	29/12/2012
Contract 908			
Waste Disposal	7014839	20/3/2012	N/A
Chemical Waste Producer Licence	5211-175-H2913-42	11/4/2012	N/A
Water Discharge Licence	WT000013232-2012	29/6/2012	31/5/2017
CNP for water pumping	GW-RS0649-12	30/6/2012	29/12/2012

11 SITE INSPECTIONS

11.1 Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

Regular site inspections were undertaken by the ET in accordance with the EM&A Manual to check the implementation of environmental mitigation measures in the EIA. The contractors' performance on environmental matters was assessed. The environmental mitigation measures are being implemented by the civil works contractors where appropriate. A schedule of the implementation of mitigation measures identified in the SIL(E) EIA is given in **Appendix F**.

11.2 Observations

The findings from the site inspections and the associated recommendations on improvement to the environmental protection and pollution control works were raised to the contractors for reference and/ or action. Observations against the implementation of the mitigation measures recommended in the EP/ EIA are summarized as follows:

Item	Description	Follow up Status
Contract 901		
1	The contractor was reminded to provide drip trays for chemicals.	On-going
2	The contractor was reminded to maintain Tree Protection Zone for retained trees.	On-going
3	The contractor was reminded to improve general housekeeping.	On-going
4	The contractor was reminded to clear the sediment inside wastewater treatment system regularly.	Improved and standard to be maintained
5	The contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water in drip trays and tree protection zone.	On-going
6	The contractor was reminded to close all doors of power packs which are in operation.	On-going
7	The contractor was reminded to improve the site drainage system and divert all surface runoff to wastewater treatment system for proper treatment.	On-going
Contract 902		
1	The contractor was reminded to provide drip tray during equipment maintenance works to prevent oil leakage.	Improved and standard to be maintained
2	The contractor was reminded to properly maintain the site drainage system and provide adequate silt removal facilities.	On-going
3	The contractor was reminded to properly maintain the tree protection zone. Due to the typhoon on 23 and July 2012, felled trees should be removed and affected trees should be pruned or rectified properly as soon as possible.	On-going
4	The contractor was reminded to improve dust suppression measures.	On-going
6	The contractor is reminded to provide adequate temporary noise mitigation measures.	On-going
Contract 903		
1	The contractor was reminded to improve housekeeping of the site.	Improved and standard to be maintained
2	The contractor was reminded to enhance the bunding / drainage system along the nullah during wet season in order to prevent site runoff running direct to the nullah.	Improved and standard to be maintained
3	The contractor was reminded to improve the tree protection works.	On-going
4	Acoustic fabric has been erected nearby the Holy Spirit Seminary for the noisy works. The contractor was reminded to closely monitor the effectiveness of the mitigation measures provided.	On-going
5	The Contractor was reminded to ensure all the trucks should be covered before leaving the site, and remain covered until reaching the loading point.	On-going
6	The Contractor was reminded to conduct regular monitoring and implement proper tree protection measures for the transplanted Aq. Sinensis.	On-going
7	The Contractor was reminded to ensure the wastewater treatment facilities functioning properly.	On-going
8	The Contractor was reminded to keep the road junction at Heung Yip Road and Nam Long Shan Road free from dust nuisance.	On-going

Item	Description	Follow up Status
Contract 904		
1	The contractor was reminded to provide drip trays for chemicals and remove stagnant water inside.	Improved and standard to be maintained
2	The contractor was reminded to provide drip tray during equipment maintenance works to prevent oil leakage.	Improved and standard to be maintained
3	The contractor was reminded to maintain good housekeeping.	On-going
4	The contractor was reminded to provide adequate silt removal facilities, as well as properly maintain the site drainage system and discharge.	On-going
5	The contractor was reminded to improve dust suppression measures.	On-going
6	The contractor was reminded to improve wheel washing facilities.	On-going
7	The contractor was reminded to provide adequate temporary noise mitigation measures.	On-going
8	The contractor was reminded to properly maintain the tree protection zone. Due to the typhoon on 23 and July 2012, felled trees should be removed and affected trees should be pruned or rectified properly as soon as possible.	On-going
Contract 907		
1	The contractor was reminded to provide appropriate labels for the chemical waste in the chemical waste store.	Improved and standard to be maintained
2	The contractor was reminded to maintain good housekeeping.	On-going
3	The contractor was reminded to increase the water spraying frequency within the breaking zone.	On-going
4	The Contractor was reminded to mobilise the movable noise barrier for the breaking activities within the Depot area, in order to minimize the construction noise impact to nearby receivers.	On-going
5	The Contractor was reminded to cover the cement storage area.	Improved and standard to be maintained
6	The Contractor was reminded to treat the water before discharge.	On-going
Contract 908		
1	The Contractor was reminded to provide water spraying during breaking operation and the haul road.	On-going
2	The Contractor was reminded to set up the drainage system in order to treat the stagnant water within the site area.	Improved and standard to be maintained
	The Contractor was reminded to mobilise the movable noise barrier for the breaking activities within the Depot area, in order to minimize the construction noise impact to nearby receivers.	On-going

11.3 Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

Base on the findings of the site inspections, the Contractors' performance in solid and liquid waste management were acceptable and compliance with the EIA requirements were demonstrated. The current management standard should be maintained.

11.4 Other Notable Events

IEC Site Inspections

The IEC conducted site inspections for respective works areas on 9, 12, 19, 25 and 31 July 2012. Minor irregularities including provision of movable noise barriers as necessary and enhancing site drainage system and dust suppression measures were observed during the site inspections. Follow up actions had been taken by the respective civil works contractors.

12 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

Future key issues envisaged in the coming month include noise and dust emission from site works, disposal of C&D wastes arising as well as tree protection on site. The setting up of three numbers of skip hoists with storage hopper (one no. approximately 30m in height and two numbers approximately 11m in height) and noise enclosure at Harcourt Garden, as shown in **Appendix G**, in the forthcoming months is envisaged to be an enhancement in dust and noise control measures as compared to those proposed in the EIA Report. It is noted that most of the spoil would be stored in enclosed storage hoppers, and the open stockpile area would be reduced (approximately 140m² remained as compared to 850m² as stated in the EIA report). Less dust emission would be envisaged from the reduced open stockpile area and the use of enclosed storage hopper. The ET will continue the implementation of the EM&A programme in accordance to the EM&A Manual.

13 CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded from the environmental monitoring and audit works for the SIL(E) Project that the construction works were undertaken in an appropriately environmentally sensitive manner in the reporting period. The environmental protection and pollution control measures provided by the respective civil works contractors were generally acceptable apart from some minor irregularities which were rectified timely by the contractors.

The ET will continue the implementation of the EM&A programme in accordance to the EM&A Manual and to a level consistent with MTRCL's Corporate Sustainability Policy.

FIGURES

Figures 1 to 2
Works Areas of the Project

Figures 3 to 6
Location of Construction Air Quality
Monitoring Stations

Figures 7 to 8
Location of Construction Noise
Monitoring Stations

Figure 9
Location of Water Quality Monitoring
Stations

Figure 1 – Works Areas of the Project (1 of 2)




Figure 2 – Works Areas of the Project (2 of 2)




Figure 3 – Location of Construction Air Quality Monitoring Stations (1 of 4)




Figure 4 – Location of Construction Air Quality Monitoring Stations (2 of 4)




Figure 5 – Location of Construction Air Quality Monitoring Stations (3 of 4)



Figure 6 – Location of Construction Air Quality Monitoring Stations (4 of 4)



Figure 7 – Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations (1 of 2)




Figure 8 – Location of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations (2 of 2)





Figure 9 – Location of Water Quality Monitoring Stations



APPENDIX A1
Project Organization

Appendix A1
Project Organization and Lines of Communications



APPENDIX A2

Contact List of Key Personal of the Project

Appendix A2
Contact List of Key Personnel

Table A2.1 Contact List of Key Personnel of Project Management

Organization	Name	Telephone
Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Thomas Chan	2268 3093
Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Richard Kwan	2688 1179
Engineer's Representative		
Project Manager – SIL Civil	Mr. Mark Cuzner	3987 8288
Construction Manager – SIL (901)	Mr. Alan Boden	2206 8688
Construction Manager – SIL (902 / 904)	Mr. Ken Wong	2285 4688
Construction Manager – SIL (903 / 907 / 908)	Mr. Kit Chan	3975 6988
Contract No. 901		
Admiralty Integrated Station and SCL Enabling Works		
Main Contractor: Kier – Laing O'Rourke – Kaden Joint Venture		
Project Director	Mr. Matthew Bowe	9726 6117
QA & Environmental Manager	Mr. Ronald Fung	9777 7667
Contract No. 902		
Nam Fung Tunnel and Ventilation Buildings		
Main Contractor: Nishimatsu Construction Co., Ltd.		
Contractors Representative	Mr. Colin Birky	9641 2485
Project Manager	Mr. Kozo Suguta	9227 9717
Contract No. 903		
Ocean Park Station, Wong Chuk Hang Station, Viaduct and Aberdeen Channel Bridge		
Main Contractor: Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd.		
Project Director	Mr. Paul Freeman	9856 1988
Project Manager, Stations and Nullah	Mr. Ian Rawsthorne	9383 0735
Project Manager, Viaducts, Bridge and Precast	Mr. Jon Kitching	9101 9013

Organization	Name	Telephone
Contract No. 904		
Lei Tung Station, South Horizons Station and Tunnels		
Main Contractor: Leighton – John Holland Joint Venture		
Operation Manager	Mr. Brain Gillon	2823 1178
Project Manager	Mr. Ken Henderson	2823 1134
Contract No. 907		
Wong Chuk Hang Depot Site Formation and Piling		
Main Contractor: Chun Wo – Hip Hing Joint Venture		
Construction Manager	Mr. Wallace Yeung	9773 9711
Project Manager	Mr. Patrick Wong	9465 1064
Contract No. 908		
Wong Chuk Hang Depot Superstructure		
Main Contractor: Hsin Chong Construction Company Limited		
Project Manager	Mr. Daniel Wong	9199 9867
Construction Manger	Mr. Samuel Chung	9800 0048

Table A2.2 Contact List of Key Personnel of EPD

Organization	Name	Telephone
EPD		
Sr Env Protection Offr (Metro Assessment)	Mr. Steve Li	2835 1142
Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional S)	Mr. YK Chan	2516 1802
Sr Env Protection Offr (Regional S)	Mr. Sean Law	2516 1806

APPENDIX B1

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise and Air Quality

Appendix B1

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise and Air Quality

Action and Limit Levels for 24-hours TSP

Table B1.1 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

ID	Description	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
CD1	Wong Chuk Hang San Wai	173	260
CD2	Police College – Police Quarters	184	260
CD3	San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School	169	260
CD4	Shan On House	176	260
CD5	South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25	169	260

Note: TSP levels are to the nearest whole number, with values of 0.5 rounded up

Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Table B1.2 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

ID	Description	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
CD1	Wong Chuk Hang San Wai	315	500
CD2	Police College – Police Quarters	311	500
CD3	San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School	322	500
CD4	Shan On House	318	500
CD5	South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25	336	500

Note: 1-hour TSP criterion recommended in the EIAO-TM
TSP levels are to the nearest whole number, with values of 0.5 rounded up

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Table B1.3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
Daytime (0700-1900), Monday through Saturday excluding Public Holidays	When one document complaint received.	$L_{Aeq\ 30mins} 75dB(A)^{(1)(2)}$
All evenings (1900-2300)		Subject to control under the Noise Control Ordinance
General Holidays (including all Sundays) during the daytime and evening (0700-2300)		Subject to control under the Noise Control Ordinance
All night time periods (2300-0700)		Subject to control under the Noise Control Ordinance

(1) 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during school examination periods.

(2) Updated prediction of noise levels as contained in the construction noise mitigation measures plan.

APPENDIX B2

Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Appendix B2
Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Table B2.1 Action and Limit Levels for Ebb Condition

Tide: Ebb				
Location: WM1				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	5.9	Surface	5.5
	Middle	6.0	Middle	5.6
	Bottom	6.0	Bottom	5.7
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Tide: Ebb				
Location: WM2				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	5.9	Surface	5.5
	Middle	NA	Middle	NA
	Bottom	6.0	Bottom	5.7
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Tide: Ebb				
Location: WM3				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	6.1	Surface	5.7
	Middle	6.1	Middle	5.7
	Bottom	6.3	Bottom	5.9
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Tide: Ebb				
Location: WM4				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	6.1	Surface	5.8
	Middle	6.3	Middle	6.0
	Bottom	6.5	Bottom	6.2
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	

Table B2.2 Action and Limit Levels for Flood Condition

Tide: Flood				
Location: WM1				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	5.9	Surface	5.6
	Middle	6.1	Middle	5.7
	Bottom	6.2	Bottom	5.8
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Tide: Flood				
Location: WM2				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	6.0	Surface	5.7
	Middle	NA	Middle	NA
	Bottom	6.1	Bottom	5.8
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Tide: Flood				
Location: WM3				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	6.0	Surface	5.7
	Middle	6.2	Middle	5.8
	Bottom	6.2	Bottom	5.9
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Tide: Flood				
Location: WM4				
Parameters	Action Level		Limit Level	
DO in mg/L	Surface	6.0	Surface	5.8
	Middle	6.2	Middle	5.8
	Bottom	6.3	Bottom	6.1
SS in mg/L (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	
Turbidity in NTU (depth averaged)	120% of upstream control station of the same day		130% of upstream control station of the same day	

APPENDIX C

Calibration Details

Summary of Calibration Certificate

Noise Equipment

Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Expiry Date	Remark
B&K 2250L	2741137	21 Jan 2011	21 Jan 2013 ^[1]	
B&K 2250	2551244	25 Jan 2011	25 Jan 2013 ^[1]	
B&K 4231 Calibrator	2725557	15 Jun 2011	15 Jun 2013 ^[1]	
B&K 4231 Calibrator	2309393	15 Jun 2011	15 Jun 2013 ^[1]	

High Volume Sampler

Model	Sampler	Calibration Date	Expiry Date	Remark
Graseby-Andersen	694-0661	17 Jan 2012	17 Jul 2012 ^[2]	
Graseby-Andersen	694-0661	18 Jul 2012	18 Jan 2013	
Graseby-Andersen	894-0833	17 Jan 2012	17 Jul 2012 ^[2]	
Graseby-Andersen	894-0833	18 Jul 2012	18 Jan 2013	
Graseby-Andersen	994-0878	17 Jan 2012	17 Jul 2012 ^[2]	
Graseby-Andersen	994-0878	18 Jul 2012	18 Jan 2013	
Graseby-Andersen	1294-1104	17 Jan 2012	17 Jul 2012 ^[2]	
Graseby-Andersen	1294-1104	18 Jul 2012	18 Jan 2013	
Graseby-Andersen	1294-1111	17 Jan 2012	17 Jul 2012 ^[2]	
Graseby-Andersen	1294-1111	18 Jul 2012	18 Jan 2013	

Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Expiry Date	Remark
Turbidimeter				
HACH 2100P	08060C030281	13 Apr 2012	12 Jul 2012 ^[3]	
HACH 2100P	08060C030281	13 Jul 2012	12 Oct 2012	
pH Meter				
HANNA HI8314	674469	9 Jun 2012	8 Jul 2012 ^[5]	
HANNA HI8314	674469	9 Jul 2012	8 Aug 2012	
Multimeter for Temperature / Dissolved Oxygen / Salinity				
YSI Pro2030	12A 100353	25 May 2012	24 Aug 2012 ^[4]	
YSI Pro2030	12A 100554	22 Jun 2012	21 Sep 2012	

- Note: [1] Calibration certificates refer to Appendix C of EM&A report - August 2011
 [2] Calibration certificates refer to Appendix C of EM&A report - March 2012
 [3] Calibration certificates refer to Appendix C of EM&A report - May 2012
 [4] Calibration certificates refer to Appendix C of EM&A report - June 2012
 [5] Calibration certificates refer to Appendix C of EM&A report - July 2012

ANDERSEN INSTRUMENTS INC.

GS2310 Series Sampler Calibration
(Dickson Recorder)

Customer -> MTRC	SITE	Certificate -> 20120615
Location -> Police College- Police Quarters		Date -> 18-Jul-12
Sampler -> 694-0661		Tech -> Chan Kin Fung

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure	(hpa)	1004	Sampler Elevation	(feet)	60
Sea Level Pressure	(in Hg)	29.65	Corrected Pressure	(mm Hg)	751.48
Temperature	(deg C)	27	Temperature	(deg K)	300.00
Seasonal SL Pressure	(in Hg)	29.65	Corrected Seasonal	(mm Hg)	751.48
Seasonal Temperature	(deg C)	27.00	Seasonal Temperature	(deg K)	300.00

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make ->	Andersen Instruments Inc.	Qstd Slope ->	2.0075
Model ->	G25A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.038138
Serial# ->	157N	Date Certified ->	

CALIBRATION

	Plate or	H ₂ O	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR	
	Test #	(in)	(M ³ /min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION	
1	18	12.4	1.757	62	61.446	Slope =	38.4046
2	13	10.1	1.588	56	55.499	Intercept =	-5.2751
3	10	7.9	1.407	50	49.553	Corr. Coeff. =	0.9950
4	7	5	1.123	40	39.642		
5	5	3.2	0.902	28	27.750		

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m [Sqrt (H_2O (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I [Sqrt (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m ((I) [Sqrt (298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



This is to certify that the above equipment has been calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's procedure.

ANDERSEN INSTRUMENTS INC.

GS2310 Series Sampler Calibration

(Dickson Recorder)

Customer -> MTRC	SITE	Certificate -> 20120614
Location -> Chan Pak Sha School		Date -> 18-Jul-12
Sampler -> 894-0833		Tech -> Chan Kin Fung

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure	(hpa)	1004	Sampler Elevation	(feet)	60
Sea Level Pressure	(in Hg)	29.65	Corrected Pressure	(mm Hg)	751.48
Temperature	(deg C)	27	Temperature	(deg K)	300.00
Seasonal SL Pressure	(in Hg)	29.65	Corrected Seasonal	(mm Hg)	751.48
Seasonal Temperature	(deg C)	27.00	Seasonal Temperature	(deg K)	300.00

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make ->	Andersen Instruments Inc.	Qstd Slope ->	2.0075
Model ->	G25A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.038138
Serial# ->	157N	Date Certified ->	

CALIBRATION

	Plate or	H ₂ O	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR	
	Test #	(in)	(M ³ /min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION	
1	18	12.7	1.778	59	58.473	Slope =	32.4158
2	13	10.2	1.596	54	53.517	Intercept =	1.7922
3	10	7.9	1.407	49	48.562	Corr. Coeff. =	0.9950
4	7	5.1	1.134	40	39.642		
5	5	3.2	0.902	30	29.732		

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m [\text{Sqrt} (H_2O (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I [\text{Sqrt} (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m ((I) [\text{Sqrt} (298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



This is to certify that the above equipment has been calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's procedure.

ANDERSEN INSTRUMENTS INC.

GS2310 Series Sampler Calibration

(Dickson Recorder)

Customer -> MTRC	SITE	Certificate -> 20120618
Location -> Shan On House (YOC)		Date -> 18-Jul-12
Sampler -> 994-0878		Tech -> Chan Kin Fung

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure	(hpa)	1004.5	Sampler Elevation	(feet)	130
Sea Level Pressure	(in Hg)	29.66	Corrected Pressure	(mm Hg)	750.09
Temperature	(deg C)	26	Temperature	(deg K)	299.00
Seasonal SL Pressure	(in Hg)	29.66	Corrected Seasonal	(mm Hg)	750.09
Seasonal Temperature	(deg C)	26.00	Seasonal Temperature	(deg K)	299.00

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make ->	Andersen Instruments Inc.	Qstd Slope ->	2.0075
Model ->	G25A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.038138
Serial# ->	1436	Date Certified ->	

CALIBRATION

	Plate or	H ₂ O	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
	Test #	(in)	(M ³ /min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION
1	18	12	1.730	61	60.500	Slope = 40.2974
2	13	9.9	1.573	55	54.549	Intercept = -8.8061
3	10	7.8	1.399	48	47.606	Corr. Coeff. = 0.9971
4	7	4.9	1.113	38	37.688	
5	5	3.1	0.889	26	25.787	

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m [\text{Sqrt} (H_2O (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I [\text{Sqrt} (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m ((I) [\text{Sqrt} (298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



This is to certify that the above equipment has been calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's procedure.

ANDERSEN INSTRUMENTS INC.

GS2310 Series Sampler Calibration

(Dickson Recorder)

Customer -> MTRC

SITE

Certificate -> 20120619

Location -> South Horizons

Date -> 18-Jul-12

Sampler -> 1294-1104

Tech -> Chan Kin Fung

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure	(hpa)	1005	Sampler Elevation	(feet)	90
Sea Level Pressure	(in Hg)	29.68	Corrected Pressure	(mm Hg)	751.48
Temperature	(deg C)	26	Temperature	(deg K)	299.00
Seasonal SL Pressure	(in Hg)	29.68	Corrected Seasonal	(mm Hg)	751.48
Seasonal Temperature	(deg C)	26.00	Seasonal Temperature	(deg K)	299.00

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make -> Andersen Instruments Inc.

Qstd Slope -> 2.0075

Model -> G25A

Qstd Intercept -> -0.038138

Serial# -> 157N

Date Certified ->

CALIBRATION

	Plate or	H ₂ O	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR	
	Test #	(in)	(M ³ /min)	(chart)	(corrected)	REGRESSION	
1	18	13	1.802	60	59.563	Slope =	30.7239
2	13	10.3	1.606	54	53.606	Intercept =	4.4737
3	10	8	1.418	49	48.643	Corr. Coeff. =	0.9991
4	7	5.1	1.136	40	39.709		
5	5	3.2	0.904	32	31.767		

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m [\text{Sqrt} (H_2O (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I [\text{Sqrt} (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m ((I) [\text{Sqrt} (298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



20120619

This is to certify that the above equipment has been calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's procedure.

ANDERSEN INSTRUMENTS INC.

GS2310 Series Sampler Calibration (Dickson Recorder)

Customer -> MTRC	SITE	Certificate -> 20120617
Location -> Wong Chuk Hang San Wai		Date -> 18-Jul-12
Sampler -> 1294-1111		Tech -> Chan Kin Fung

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hpa)	1004	Sampler Elevation (feet)	40
Sea Level Pressure (in Hg)	29.65	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	751.99
Temperature (deg C)	26.5	Temperature (deg K)	299.50
Seasonal SL Pressure (in Hg)	29.65	Corrected Seasonal (mm Hg)	751.99
Seasonal Temperature (deg C)	26.50	Seasonal Temperature(deg K)	299.50

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make -> Andersen Instruments Inc.	Qstd Slope -> 2.0075
Model -> G25A	Qstd Intercept -> -0.038138
Serial# -> 157N	Date Certified ->

CALIBRATION

Plate or Test #	H ₂ O (in)	Qstd (M ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	18	1.738	58	57.549	Slope = 29.0014
2	13	1.582	53	52.588	Intercept = 7.0369
3	10	1.391	48	47.627	Corr. Coeff. = 0.9998
4	7	1.124	40	39.689	
5	5	0.889	33	32.743	

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m [\text{Sqrt} (H_2O (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I [\text{Sqrt} (Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m ((I) [\text{Sqrt} (298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



This is to certify that the above equipment has been calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's procedure.



Performance Check of Turbidimeter

Equipment Ref. No. : ET/0505/007 Manufacturer : HACH

Model No. : 2100P Serial No. : 08060 C 030281


Date of Calibration : 13/07/2012 Due Date : 12/10/2012


Gelex Vial Std	Theoretical Value (NTU)	Measured Value (NTU)	Difference %
0-10 NTU	5.36	5.25	2.07
10-100 NTU	52.8	53.1	0.57
100-1000 NTU	546	537	1.66

Acceptance Criteria

Difference : <5 %

The salinity meter complies * / ~~does not comply~~ * with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable * / ~~unacceptable~~ * for use. Measurements are traceable to national standards.

Checked by : 

Approved by : 



Internal Calibration & Performance Check Report of pH Meter

Equipment Ref. No. : ET/EW/007/003 Manufacturer : HANNA
 Model No. : HI 8314 Serial No. : 674469
 Date of Calibration : 09/07/2012 Calibration Due Date : 08/08/2012

Liquid Junction Error

Primary Standard Solution Used : Phosphate Ref No. of Primary Solution: 003/5.2/001/10
 Temperature of Solution : 20.0 pH $\frac{1}{2}$ = +0.08
 pH value of diluted buffer : 6.76 pH (S) = 6.881
 pH = pH(S) - pH of diluted buffer = 0.121 (Observed Deviation)
 Liquid Junction Error (pH_j) = pH - pH $\frac{1}{2}$ = 0.041

Shift on Stirring

pH of buffer solution (with stirring), pH_s = 6.93
 Shift on stirring, pH_s = pH_s - pH(S) - pH_j = 0.008

Noise

Noise, pH_n = difference between max and min reading : 0.01

Verification of ATC

Ref. No. of reference thermometer used: ET/0521/001
 Temperature record from the reference thermometer (T_R): 20.0 °C
 Temperature record from the ATC (T_{ATC}): 19.9 °C
 Temperature Difference (T_R - T_{ATC}): 0.1 °C

Acceptance Criteria

Performance Characteristic	Acceptable Range
Liquid Junction Error pH _j	≤0.05
Shift on Stirring pH _s	≤0.02
Noise pH _n	≤0.02
Verification of ATC Temperature Difference	≤0.5°C

The pH meter complies * / does not comply * with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable * / unacceptable * for use. Measurements are traceable to national standards.

* Delete as appropriate

Calibrated by :  Approved Signatory : 



Internal Calibration Report of Dissolved Oxygen Meter

Equipment Ref. No. : <u>ET/EW/008/006</u>	Manufacturer : <u>YSI</u>
Model No. : <u>Pro 2030</u>	Serial No. : <u>12A 100554</u>
Date of Calibration : <u>22/06/2012</u>	Calibration Due Date : <u>21/09/2012</u>

Temperature Verification

Ref. No. of Reference Thermometer : ET/0521/001
 Ref. No. of Water Bath : ---

		Temperature (°C)		
Reference Thermometer reading	Measured	20.4	Corrected	20.0
DO Meter reading	Measured	19.9	Difference	0.1

Standardization of sodium thiosulphate (Na₂S₂O₃) solution

Reagent No. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ titrant	CPE/012/4.5/001/5	Reagent No. of 0.025N K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	CPE/012/4.4/001/10
		Trial 1	Trial 2
Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)		0.00	0.00
Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)		40.00	40.00
Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ used (ml)		40.00	40.00
Normality of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ solution (N)		0.02500	0.02500
Average Normality (N) of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ solution (N)		0.02500	
Acceptance criteria, Deviation		Less than ± 0.001N	

Calculation: Normality of Na₂S₂O₃, N = 1 / ml Na₂S₂O₃ used

Lineality Checking

Determination of dissolved oxygen content by Winkler Titration *

Purging Time (min)	2		5		10	
	1	2	1	2	1	2
Trial						
Initial Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)	0.00	10.60	21.10	0.00	7.60	12.40
Final Vol. of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (ml)	10.60	21.10	28.80	7.60	12.40	17.30
Vol. (V) of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ used (ml)	10.60	10.50	7.70	7.60	4.80	4.90
Dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L	7.11	7.05	5.17	5.10	3.22	3.29
Acceptance criteria, Deviation	Less than + 0.3mg/L		Less than + 0.3mg/L		Less than + 0.3mg/L	

Calculation: DO (mg/L) = V x N x 8000/298

Purging time, min	DO meter reading, mg/L			Winkler Titration result *, mg/L			Difference (%) of DO Content
	1	2	Average	1	2	Average	
2	7.21	7.19	7.20	7.11	7.05	7.08	1.68
5	5.32	5.34	5.33	5.17	5.10	5.14	3.63
10	3.16	3.20	3.18	3.22	3.29	3.26	2.48
Linear regression coefficient				0.99760			



Performance Check of Salinity Meter

Equipment Ref. No. : ET/EW/008/006 Manufacturer : YSI

Model No. : Pro 2030 Serial No. : 12A 100554

Date of Calibration : 22/06/2012 Due Date : 21/09/2012

Ref. No. of Salinity Standard used (30ppt)

S/001/3

Salinity Standard (ppt)	Measured Salinity (ppt)	Difference %
30.0	30.0	0.0

Acceptance Criteria

Difference : <10 %

The salinity meter complies * / ~~does not comply~~ * with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable * / ~~unacceptable~~ * for use. Measurements are traceable to national standards.

Checked by : Lde Lan


Approved by : [Signature]

APPENDIX D


Graphical Plots of Air Quality, Noise & Water Quality Impact Monitoring
and Monitoring Results for Water Quality

Graphical Plots of Air Quality Monitoring Results

24-hr TSP Level at CD1 Wong Chuk Hang San Wai




24-hr TSP Level at CD2 Police College - Police Quarters




Graphical Plots of Air Quality Monitoring Results

24-hr TSP Level at CD3 San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School




24-hr TSP Level at CD4 Shan On House




Graphical Plots of Air Quality Monitoring Results

24-hr TSP Level at CD5 South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25




Graphical Plots of Noise Monitoring Results

Noise Level at CN1 San Wui Commercial Society of HK Chan Pak Sha School (Educational Institution)




Noise Level at CN2 Holy Spirit Seminary (Educational Institution)




Graphical Plots of Noise Monitoring Results

Noise Level at CN3 Shun Fung Building (Residential)




Noise Level at CN4 South Horizons Phase IV – Block 25 Dover Court (Residential)




Graphical Plots of Noise Monitoring Results

Noise Level at CN5 TWGHs Jockey Club Rehabilitation Complex Block A (Convalescent Home)




Graphical Plots of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Results for Dissolved Oxygen in Flood Tide - Surface Level




Monitoring Results for Dissolved Oxygen in Flood Tide - Middle Level




Graphical Plots of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Results for Dissolved Oxygen in Flood Tide - Bottom Level




Monitoring Results for Turbidity in Flood Tide - Depth Average




Graphical Plots of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Results for Suspended Solids in Flood Tide - Depth Average




Monitoring Results for Dissolved Oxygen in Ebb Tide - Surface Level




Graphical Plots of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Results for Dissolved Oxygen in Ebb Tide - Middle Level




Monitoring Results for Dissolved Oxygen in Ebb Tide - Bottom Level




Graphical Plots of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Monitoring Results for Turbidity in Ebb Tide - Depth Average



Monitoring Results for Suspended Solids in Ebb Tide - Depth Average



SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 3-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1944	14.8	Surface	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.8	23.8	23.8	6.5	6.5	6.5	95.0	95.4	95.2	5.7	5.7	5.7	8.8	9.0	8.9		
			Middle	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.9	24.0	24.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	92.1	91.8	92.0	5.9	5.9	5.9	6.0	9.2	9.4	9.3	9.4
			Bottom	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.0	24.0	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.6	90.3	90.5	6.4	6.4	6.4		10.0	10.0	10.0	
WM1	1905	14.6	Surface	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.0	24.0	5.7	5.7	5.7	82.3	82.6	82.5	4.2	4.2	4.2	6.6	6.6	6.6		
			Middle	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.1	24.1	24.1	5.3	5.3	5.3	77.2	77.5	77.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.0
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	4.7	4.7	4.7	68.2	68.5	68.4	4.6	4.6	4.6		7.4	7.0	7.2	
WM2	1830	5.8	Surface	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.0	24.0	5.4	5.4	5.4	78.5	78.1	78.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	6.8	7.0	6.9		
			Middle																		4.2				6.6	
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.0	24.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	76.8	76.5	76.7	4.0	4.0	4.0		6.2	6.4	6.3	
WM3	1748	9.6	Surface	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.0	24.0	24.0	5.5	5.5	5.5	78.7	78.3	78.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.2	6.4	6.3		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.3	24.4	24.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	78.7	78.4	78.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.8	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.9
			Bottom	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.4	24.4	24.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	78.5	78.8	78.7	3.9	3.9	3.9		6.0	6.2	6.1	
WM4	1708	10.4	Surface	27.8	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.1	24.1	24.1	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.4	87.8	87.6	3.9	3.9	3.9	6.0	6.4	6.2		
			Middle	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.4	24.4	24.4	5.7	5.7	5.7	81.3	81.7	81.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	6.6	6.8	6.7	6.7
			Bottom	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.4	24.4	24.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	79.2	79.6	79.4	4.5	4.5	4.5		7.0	7.2	7.1	
CS2	1630	10.8	Surface	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.2	24.2	24.2	6.5	6.5	6.5	95.0	94.7	94.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	7.4	7.4	7.4		
			Middle	26.8	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.4	25.4	25.4	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.7	87.9	87.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.1	7.8	7.6	7.7	7.9
			Bottom	26.7	26.7	26.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.5	25.5	25.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	79.5	79.1	79.3	5.6	5.6	5.6		8.8	8.6	8.7	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 3-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Sunny
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1130	9.4	Surface	27.2	27.2	27.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.0	23.0	23.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	90.8	91.4	91.1	4.9	4.9	4.9					7.4	7.6	7.5		
			Middle	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.6	23.6	23.6	6.4	6.4	6.4	92.1	92.5	92.3	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8					9.2	9.0	9.1	9.0
			Bottom	27.2	27.2	27.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.7	23.7	23.7	6.1	6.1	6.1	88.7	88.2	88.5	6.6	6.6	6.6					10.4	10.4	10.4		
WM1	1208	13.6	Surface	27.2	27.2	27.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.7	23.7	23.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	83.5	83.7	83.6	3.9	3.9	3.9					6.0	6.2	6.1		
			Middle	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.2	24.2	24.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	76.5	76.9	76.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9					6.2	6.4	6.3	6.2
			Bottom	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	62.4	62.8	62.6	3.9	3.9	3.9					6.2	6.0	6.1		
WM2	1250	5.2	Surface	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.9	23.9	5.3	5.2	5.3	77.0	76.6	76.8	4.2	4.2	4.2					6.6	6.6	6.6		
			Middle																			4.5							7.0	
			Bottom	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.9	23.9	5.3	5.3	5.3	76.8	77.1	77.0	4.7	4.7	4.7					7.2	7.4	7.3		
WM3	1324	8.8	Surface	27.4	27.4	27.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.0	24.0	5.4	5.4	5.4	78.8	78.3	78.6	3.7	3.7	3.7					6.0	5.8	5.9		
			Middle	27.3	27.4	27.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.1	24.1	24.1	5.6	5.6	5.6	81.9	81.5	81.7	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0					6.6	6.8	6.7	6.3
			Bottom	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.1	24.1	24.1	5.6	5.6	5.6	81.0	80.7	80.9	4.1	4.1	4.1					6.4	6.2	6.3		
WM4	1428	9.2	Surface	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	5.9	5.9	5.9	86.5	86.1	86.3	4.6	4.6	4.6					7.2	7.2	7.2		
			Middle	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.3	24.3	5.6	5.6	5.6	80.4	80.8	80.6	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.3					6.6	6.6	6.6	6.9
			Bottom	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.4	24.4	24.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	78.3	78.7	78.5	4.3	4.3	4.3					6.6	7.0	6.8		
CS2	1505	14.2	Surface	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.0	24.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	92.1	92.5	92.3	4.8	4.8	4.8					7.2	7.4	7.3		
			Middle	26.9	26.9	26.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.5	25.5	25.5	5.8	5.8	5.8	84.6	84.2	84.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9					7.8	7.8	7.8	7.6
			Bottom	26.8	26.8	26.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.6	25.6	25.6	5.3	5.3	5.3	77.4	77.7	77.6	4.9	4.9	4.9					7.6	7.6	7.6		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 5-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	2121	12.8	Surface	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.3	25.4	6.2	6.1	6.2	91.3	89.8	90.6	3.9	4.1	4.0		6.0	6.4	6.2	
			Middle	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.5	25.6	25.6	5.7	5.6	5.7	83.9	82.4	83.2	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.7
			Bottom	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.8	25.8	25.8	5.2	5.1	5.2	76.5	75.1	75.8	5.7	5.8	5.8		9.0	9.4	9.2	
WM1	2031	13.9	Surface	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	5.6	5.4	5.5	82.4	79.5	81.0	4.4	4.3	4.4		6.6	6.8	6.7	
			Middle	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.4	25.5	25.5	5.2	5.2	5.2	76.5	76.6	76.6	5.1	4.9	5.0	4.7	8.2	7.6	7.9	7.3
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.8	25.7	25.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	86.9	85.4	86.2	4.7	4.6	4.7		7.4	7.2	7.3	
WM2	1954	5.9	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.4	25.4	25.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	78.0	79.5	78.8	4.3	4.4	4.4		6.6	7.0	6.8	
			Middle																			4.2				6.6
			Bottom	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.5	25.5	25.5	5.0	5.1	5.1	73.6	75.1	74.4	4.0	4.2	4.1		6.2	6.6	6.4	
WM3	1910	13.3	Surface	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.3	25.3	25.3	5.3	5.5	5.4	78.0	80.9	79.5	3.9	3.8	3.9		6.0	6.0	6.0	
			Middle	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.5	25.5	5.7	5.8	5.8	83.9	85.4	84.7	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	6.6	6.4	6.5	6.9
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.7	25.8	25.8	6.3	6.2	6.3	92.7	91.3	92.0	5.4	5.2	5.3		8.4	8.2	8.3	
WM4	1825	12.6	Surface	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.3	25.2	25.3	6.2	6.0	6.1	91.3	88.3	89.8	3.2	3.3	3.3		5.0	5.2	5.1	
			Middle	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.5	25.4	25.5	5.8	5.6	5.7	85.4	82.5	84.0	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.8	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.0
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.7	25.7	25.7	5.3	5.4	5.4	78.0	79.5	78.8	4.4	4.5	4.5		6.8	7.0	6.9	
CS2	1745	14.4	Surface	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.4	25.4	6.1	6.1	6.1	89.8	89.7	89.8	3.8	4.0	3.9		6.0	6.2	6.1	
			Middle	27.8	27.7	27.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.6	25.7	25.7	5.6	5.7	5.7	82.4	83.9	83.2	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	7.2	7.6	7.4	7.3
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	26.0	25.9	26.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	75.1	76.5	75.8	5.2	5.0	5.1		8.4	8.2	8.3	

Remark or Obsevation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 5-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1315	10.8	Surface	27.8	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.4	25.4	5.8	6.0	5.9	85.3	88.3	86.8	4.4	4.5	4.5	6.8	7.0	6.9		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.5	25.6	25.6	6.2	6.1	6.2	91.2	89.7	90.5	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.1	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.9
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.8	25.7	25.8	5.4	5.5	5.5	79.4	80.9	80.2	5.7	5.5	5.6		8.8	8.6	8.7	
WM1	1402	13.3	Surface	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.2	25.3	25.3	5.1	5.2	5.2	74.9	76.4	75.7	4.0	4.2	4.1	6.2	6.6	6.4		
			Middle	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.5	25.5	25.5	5.0	5.1	5.1	73.5	75.0	74.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	7.0	7.4	7.2	7.1
			Bottom	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.7	25.8	25.8	5.6	5.5	5.6	82.3	80.9	81.6	4.9	5.1	5.0		7.6	8.0	7.8	
WM2	1445	5.2	Surface	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.4	25.3	25.4	5.0	5.1	5.1	73.6	74.9	74.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	7.0	7.4	7.2		
			Middle																		4.4				6.9	
			Bottom	27.8	27.7	27.8	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.5	25.6	25.6	5.3	5.4	5.4	77.9	79.4	78.7	4.2	4.3	4.3		6.6	6.4	6.5	
WM3	1522	12.4	Surface	27.8	27.9	27.9	8.2	8.3	8.3	25.3	25.2	25.3	5.6	5.4	5.5	82.3	79.4	80.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	6.2	6.2	6.2		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.3	8.2	8.3	25.5	25.5	25.5	5.1	5.2	5.2	75.0	76.5	75.8	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	7.0	6.8	6.9	7.0
			Bottom	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.8	25.8	25.8	5.8	5.7	5.8	85.3	83.8	84.6	5.2	5.2	5.2		8.0	8.0	8.0	
WM4	1607	11.8	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	5.9	6.0	6.0	86.8	88.3	87.6	3.6	3.8	3.7	5.6	6.0	5.8		
			Middle	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.4	25.5	25.5	5.5	5.4	5.5	80.9	79.4	80.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	6.4	6.6	6.5	6.5
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.8	25.7	25.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	86.8	85.3	86.1	4.7	4.5	4.6		7.4	7.2	7.3	
CS2	1651	13.2	Surface	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.3	25.4	6.2	6.0	6.1	91.2	88.3	89.8	4.1	4.0	4.1	6.4	6.2	6.3		
			Middle	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.6	25.6	25.6	5.6	5.7	5.7	82.3	83.9	83.1	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.4
			Bottom	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.9	25.8	25.9	5.3	5.3	5.3	78.0	77.9	78.0	5.3	5.5	5.4		8.2	8.6	8.4	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 7-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1045	12.6	Surface	28.0	28.0	28.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.4	21.5	21.5	6.9	6.9	6.9	100.9	100.6	100.8	5.5	6.0	5.8					8.6	9.0	8.8		
			Middle	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.0	8.1	8.1	21.4	21.4	21.4	6.6	6.7	6.7	95.9	97.1	96.5	4.6	4.9	4.8	5.6					7.2	7.8	7.5	8.7
			Bottom	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.6	21.7	21.7	6.2	6.3	6.3	90.7	91.4	91.1	6.2	6.4	6.3					9.6	10.0	9.8		
WM1	1005	13.4	Surface	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.9	21.9	21.9	6.1	6.0	6.1	88.5	88.0	88.3	5.3	5.8	5.6					8.0	8.8	8.4		
			Middle	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.9	21.9	21.9	6.0	5.9	6.0	87.1	86.5	86.8	4.6	5.0	4.8	5.5					7.2	7.8	7.5	8.4
			Bottom	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	21.9	22.0	22.0	5.8	5.9	5.9	84.9	85.7	85.3	5.8	6.3	6.1					9.0	9.8	9.4		
WM2	0928	5.2	Surface	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.9	21.8	21.9	6.2	6.1	6.2	89.8	88.1	89.0	5.1	4.7	4.9					8.0	7.2	7.6		
			Middle																			5.1							8.1	
			Bottom	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.9	21.9	21.9	6.1	6.2	6.2	89.6	90.1	89.9	5.9	4.8	5.4					9.0	8.0	8.5		
WM3	0900	9.2	Surface	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.7	21.7	21.7	6.4	6.3	6.4	93.2	92.1	92.7	4.3	4.9	4.6					6.8	7.6	7.2		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.8	21.7	21.8	6.5	6.4	6.5	94.5	93.9	94.2	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.8					6.0	6.6	6.3	7.5
			Bottom	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.9	21.9	21.9	6.3	6.2	6.3	92.3	91.1	91.7	5.4	5.9	5.7					8.4	9.4	8.9		
WM4	0830	8.8	Surface	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.0	8.0	8.0	21.6	21.5	21.6	6.7	6.6	6.7	97.7	96.8	97.3	3.8	4.5	4.2					6.0	6.8	6.4		
			Middle	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.0	8.1	8.1	21.7	21.7	21.7	6.8	6.7	6.8	98.8	98.0	98.4	3.1	3.7	3.4	4.2					5.0	5.8	5.4	6.6
			Bottom	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.8	21.9	21.9	6.4	6.4	6.4	93.1	93.6	93.4	4.8	5.3	5.1					7.6	8.2	7.9		
CS2	0753	13.6	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	21.2	21.3	21.3	7.4	7.3	7.4	108.1	107.2	107.7	4.4	4.9	4.7					6.6	7.6	7.1		
			Middle	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.2	21.2	21.2	7.3	7.2	7.3	106.3	105.5	105.9	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.8					6.0	6.6	6.3	7.4
			Bottom	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.4	21.3	21.4	7.0	7.1	7.1	102.3	103.4	102.9	5.3	6.2	5.8					8.4	9.2	8.8		

Remark or Obsevation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 7-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1255	12.4	Surface	28.0	28.1	28.1	8.1	8.2	8.2	21.4	21.4	21.4	6.7	6.8	6.8	98.1	99.7	98.9	4.2	4.6	4.4					6.6	7.2	6.9		
			Middle	28.0	28.0	28.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.4	21.5	21.5	6.4	6.4	6.4	92.9	93.6	93.3	3.6	4.1	3.9	4.5					5.6	6.4	6.0	7.0
			Bottom	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.7	21.7	21.7	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.8	87.1	87.5	4.8	5.4	5.1					7.6	8.4	8.0		
WM1	1333	13.2	Surface	27.8	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.8	21.9	21.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.3	87.7	87.5	4.7	5.1	4.9					7.2	8.0	7.6		
			Middle	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	21.9	21.9	21.9	5.8	5.8	5.8	84.5	84.2	84.4	4.4	3.9	4.2	4.9					7.0	6.2	6.6	7.6
			Bottom	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.9	22.0	22.0	5.7	5.8	5.8	83.8	84.1	84.0	5.2	5.9	5.6					8.2	9.2	8.7		
WM2	1409	4.8	Surface	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.9	21.9	21.9	6.1	6.0	6.1	88.8	88.1	88.5	4.6	4.9	4.8					7.2	7.6	7.4		
			Middle																			5.0							7.8	
			Bottom	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.9	21.9	21.9	5.9	5.8	5.9	85.6	84.9	85.3	5.0	5.5	5.3					7.8	8.6	8.2		
WM3	1441	8.8	Surface	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.1	8.0	8.1	21.7	21.8	21.8	6.2	6.3	6.3	90.9	91.6	91.3	3.9	4.4	4.2					6.0	6.8	6.4		
			Middle	27.8	27.7	27.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	21.8	21.9	21.9	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.3	90.6	90.5	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.5					6.4	7.2	6.8	7.0
			Bottom	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	22.0	21.9	22.0	5.7	5.8	5.8	83.7	84.5	84.1	4.8	5.3	5.1					7.6	8.2	7.9		
WM4	1514	9.0	Surface	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.6	21.7	21.7	6.4	6.3	6.4	92.9	92.5	92.7	3.4	3.8	3.6					5.2	6.0	5.6		
			Middle	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.1	8.0	8.1	21.7	21.8	21.8	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.7	91.1	90.9	3.7	4.0	3.9	4.0					5.6	6.2	5.9	6.1
			Bottom	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.9	22.0	22.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.1	87.5	87.3	4.1	4.7	4.4					6.4	7.2	6.8		
CS2	1552	13.2	Surface	28.1	28.1	28.1	8.1	8.2	8.2	21.4	21.4	21.4	6.7	6.6	6.7	97.4	96.6	97.0	4.0	4.5	4.3					6.2	7.0	6.6		
			Middle	28.1	28.0	28.1	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.5	21.6	21.6	6.4	6.4	6.4	93.6	93.9	93.8	3.9	4.4	4.2	4.6					6.2	6.8	6.5	7.2
			Bottom	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	21.6	21.7	21.7	6.3	6.2	6.3	92.0	91.2	91.6	5.2	5.7	5.5					8.2	8.8	8.5		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 9-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1148	13.8	Surface	28.3	28.2	28.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.3	25.4	25.4	6.9	6.9	6.9	101.4	101.6	101.5	3.8	3.7	3.8					6.0	5.8	5.9		
			Middle	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.1	8.2	26.4	26.3	26.4	5.9	6.0	6.0	86.7	88.2	87.5	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1					6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
			Bottom	27.2	27.2	27.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	26.7	26.7	26.7	5.2	5.1	5.2	76.4	75.0	75.7	4.5	4.6	4.6					6.8	7.0	6.9		
WM1	1119	14.0	Surface	28.2	28.1	28.2	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.5	25.4	25.5	7.3	7.2	7.3	107.3	105.8	106.6	3.9	3.8	3.9					6.0	6.0	6.0		
			Middle	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	26.4	26.3	26.4	6.2	6.1	6.2	91.1	89.7	90.4	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1					6.4	6.6	6.5	6.4
			Bottom	27.3	27.2	27.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	26.8	26.8	26.8	5.3	5.4	5.4	77.9	79.4	78.7	4.3	4.4	4.4					6.6	7.0	6.8		
WM2	1055	5.6	Surface	28.3	28.3	28.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.5	25.5	25.5	6.8	6.7	6.8	99.9	98.5	99.2	3.5	3.6	3.6					5.4	5.8	5.6		
			Middle																			4.1							6.5	
			Bottom	27.3	27.4	27.4	8.1	8.0	8.1	26.7	26.8	26.8	5.1	5.1	5.1	74.9	75.0	75.0	4.7	4.6	4.7					7.4	7.4	7.4		
WM3	1027	9.2	Surface	28.2	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.4	25.3	25.4	7.1	7.0	7.1	104.2	104.0	104.1	3.8	3.7	3.8					5.8	5.6	5.7		
			Middle	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	26.3	26.3	26.3	6.3	6.2	6.3	92.6	91.1	91.9	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1					6.4	6.6	6.5	6.3
			Bottom	27.4	27.4	27.4	8.1	8.2	8.2	26.8	26.7	26.8	5.3	5.4	5.4	77.9	79.4	78.7	4.4	4.3	4.4					6.8	6.6	6.7		
WM4	0958	10.8	Surface	28.2	28.2	28.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.5	25.5	25.5	7.0	6.9	7.0	102.8	101.4	102.1	3.2	3.1	3.2					5.0	5.0	5.0		
			Middle	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.0	8.1	8.1	26.3	26.2	26.3	6.2	6.1	6.2	91.1	89.7	90.4	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7					6.0	5.8	5.9	5.8
			Bottom	27.3	27.4	27.4	8.0	8.0	8.0	26.8	26.9	26.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	73.5	73.7	73.6	4.2	4.0	4.1					6.8	6.4	6.6		
CS2	0930	14.8	Surface	28.1	28.2	28.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.6	25.5	25.6	7.1	7.1	7.1	104.4	104.6	104.5	4.0	4.1	4.1					6.2	6.4	6.3		
			Middle	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.1	8.0	8.1	26.5	26.4	26.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	88.2	88.4	88.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4					6.8	7.0	6.9	6.9
			Bottom	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	26.7	26.7	26.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	72.0	72.4	72.2	4.9	4.8	4.9					7.6	7.4	7.5		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 9-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1400	12.9	Surface	28.1	28.0	28.1	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.7	25.6	25.7	7.2	7.1	7.2	103.4	103.1	103.3	4.1	4.2	4.2		6.2	6.6	6.4	
			Middle	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	26.6	26.5	26.6	6.3	6.5	6.4	95.2	95.6	95.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.0
			Bottom	27.3	27.2	27.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	26.9	26.8	26.9	4.9	5.1	5.0	71.1	71.5	71.3	4.9	4.8	4.9		7.6	7.4	7.5	
WM1	1428	13.7	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.8	25.7	25.8	7.3	7.2	7.3	103.6	103.5	103.6	4.3	4.5	4.4		6.6	7.0	6.8	
			Middle	27.5	27.4	27.5	8.2	8.1	8.2	26.7	26.6	26.7	6.4	6.2	6.3	95.5	95.0	95.3	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.2
			Bottom	27.2	27.3	27.3	8.1	8.0	8.1	26.8	26.8	26.8	4.7	4.9	4.8	70.7	71.0	70.9	4.7	4.9	4.8		7.4	7.6	7.5	
WM2	1500	4.9	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.7	25.6	25.7	7.0	7.2	7.1	102.9	103.3	103.1	4.2	4.4	4.3		6.6	7.0	6.8	
			Middle																		4.6				7.2	
			Bottom	27.3	27.2	27.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	26.9	27.0	27.0	4.6	4.8	4.7	70.5	70.9	70.7	4.8	4.8	4.8		7.6	7.6	7.6	
WM3	1533	8.8	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.3	8.2	8.3	25.7	25.7	25.7	7.1	7.0	7.1	103.2	103.0	103.1	4.0	4.2	4.1		6.2	6.6	6.4	
			Middle	27.5	27.4	27.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	26.6	26.4	26.5	6.5	6.7	6.6	95.6	96.2	95.9	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	7.4	7.0	7.2	7.1
			Bottom	27.2	27.1	27.2	8.1	8.0	8.1	26.9	26.7	26.8	4.8	5.0	4.9	70.8	71.3	71.1	4.9	5.1	5.0		7.8	7.8	7.8	
WM4	1602	10.2	Surface	27.9	27.9	27.9	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.7	25.8	25.8	7.4	7.2	7.3	103.7	103.4	103.6	4.1	4.1	4.1		6.4	6.4	6.4	
			Middle	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	26.7	26.5	26.6	6.5	6.6	6.6	95.6	96.0	95.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.2
			Bottom	27.3	27.2	27.3	8.1	8.0	8.1	26.7	26.9	26.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	70.8	70.9	70.9	4.9	4.8	4.9		7.8	7.6	7.7	
CS2	1637	14.1	Surface	28.1	28.0	28.1	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.9	25.7	25.8	7.3	7.2	7.3	103.5	103.4	103.5	4.2	4.3	4.3		6.6	6.6	6.6	
			Middle	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.2	8.1	8.2	26.6	26.5	26.6	6.4	6.3	6.4	95.4	95.2	95.3	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.2
			Bottom	27.1	27.1	27.1	8.1	8.0	8.1	26.9	26.8	26.9	4.7	4.8	4.8	70.6	71.0	70.8	4.9	5.0	5.0		7.8	7.8	7.8	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 11-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1222	11.0	Surface	28.9	29.0	29.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	19.6	19.6	19.6	8.6	8.5	8.6	122.8	121.0	121.9	4.9	5.1	5.0					7.6	8.0	7.8		
			Middle	28.5	28.6	28.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.4	21.5	21.5	7.6	7.4	7.5	109.3	106.3	107.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	5.1					7.2	7.6	7.4	8.0
			Bottom	28.1	28.0	28.1	8.2	8.1	8.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	6.3	6.4	6.4	89.6	90.9	90.3	5.5	5.7	5.6					8.8	9.0	8.9		
WM1	1147	15.5	Surface	28.6	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	18.3	18.2	18.3	7.4	7.5	7.5	105.9	107.6	106.8	3.1	3.2	3.2					5.0	5.2	5.1		
			Middle	28.2	28.1	28.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.0	19.0	19.0	6.5	6.4	6.5	92.6	91.6	92.1	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.2					4.8	4.6	4.7	5.1
			Bottom	27.8	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	22.8	22.8	22.8	6.4	6.4	6.4	91.2	90.7	91.0	3.4	3.5	3.5					5.4	5.6	5.5		
WM2	1123	5.2	Surface	28.7	28.6	28.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	18.1	18.1	18.1	7.7	7.7	7.7	110.7	109.6	110.2	3.3	3.1	3.2					5.2	4.8	5.0		
			Middle																			3.1							4.9	
			Bottom	28.6	28.6	28.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.3	18.4	18.4	7.8	7.8	7.8	111.9	112.4	112.2	3.1	3.0	3.1					4.8	4.8	4.8		
WM3	1056	10.6	Surface	28.7	28.8	28.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.7	18.8	18.8	7.5	7.4	7.5	107.3	105.4	106.4	3.6	3.4	3.5					5.0	5.2	5.1		
			Middle	28.7	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	19.6	19.6	19.6	7.3	7.3	7.3	105.4	104.8	105.1	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3					5.2	5.0	5.1	5.1
			Bottom	28.4	28.4	28.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.5	20.4	20.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	93.6	93.1	93.4	3.2	3.2	3.2					5.0	5.2	5.1		
WM4	1029	11.0	Surface	28.7	28.6	28.7	8.2	8.0	8.1	19.0	19.0	19.0	7.4	7.4	7.4	105.8	106.4	106.1	3.7	3.8	3.8					5.8	6.0	5.9		
			Middle	28.5	28.5	28.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.4	19.5	19.5	7.2	7.1	7.2	103.8	102.1	103.0	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.6					6.4	6.0	6.2	5.6
			Bottom	27.4	27.3	27.4	8.2	8.1	8.2	21.9	22.0	22.0	6.6	6.7	6.7	96.0	97.7	96.9	3.0	3.1	3.1					4.6	5.0	4.8		
CS2	1000	20.8	Surface	28.9	29.0	29.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.4	19.4	19.4	9.8	9.7	9.8	142.0	139.8	140.9	3.6	3.8	3.7					5.6	6.0	5.8		
			Middle	28.3	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	20.9	21.0	21.0	7.3	7.4	7.4	105.7	107.6	106.7	3.5	3.9	3.7	3.8					5.6	6.0	5.8	5.9
			Bottom	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	22.1	22.2	22.2	5.6	5.5	5.6	80.4	79.2	79.8	3.7	4.0	3.9					5.8	6.2	6.0		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 11-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)				
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	
CS1	1445	11.2	Surface	28.9	28.9	28.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.6	19.7	19.7	8.3	8.4	8.4	119.1	120.0	119.6	4.2	4.7	4.5		6.6	7.2	6.9		
			Middle	28.7	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.2	21.3	21.3	7.6	7.7	7.7	109.7	110.3	110.0	3.5	3.9	3.7	4.4	5.6	6.2	5.9	7.0	
			Bottom	28.2	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	22.1	22.0	22.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	88.9	89.5	89.2	5.0	5.3	5.2		8.0	8.4	8.2		
WM1	1517	14.8	Surface	28.7	28.8	28.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	18.4	18.3	18.4	7.2	7.3	7.3	103.8	104.5	104.2	3.4	3.7	3.6		5.2	5.6	5.4		
			Middle	28.4	28.4	28.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.0	19.1	19.1	6.4	6.3	6.4	91.8	91.1	91.5	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.8	6.0	5.6	5.8	5.9	
			Bottom	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	22.6	22.7	22.7	6.2	6.2	6.2	88.8	89.5	89.2	4.1	4.3	4.2		6.4	6.6	6.5		
WM2	1545	4.8	Surface	28.7	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	7.5	7.4	7.5	107.3	106.6	107.0	3.9	3.6	3.8		6.0	5.6	5.8		
			Middle																								
			Bottom	28.7	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.4	18.4	18.4	7.3	7.4	7.4	105.4	106.1	105.8	3.2	3.7	3.5		5.2	5.6	5.4		
WM3	1610	10.4	Surface	28.8	28.9	28.9	8.2	8.1	8.2	18.8	18.9	18.9	7.3	7.3	7.3	104.7	105.4	105.1	3.8	4.1	4.0		6.0	6.4	6.2		
			Middle	28.8	28.7	28.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.7	19.7	19.7	7.1	7.2	7.2	102.7	103.3	103.0	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.8	5.6	5.2	5.4	5.9	
			Bottom	28.5	28.4	28.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.6	20.5	20.6	6.4	6.3	6.4	92.1	91.2	91.7	3.9	4.3	4.1		6.0	6.4	6.2		
WM4	1637	14.4	Surface	28.7	28.8	28.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	19.1	19.0	19.1	7.2	7.3	7.3	103.9	105.0	104.5	4.0	4.4	4.2		6.2	6.6	6.4		
			Middle	28.5	28.6	28.6	8.1	8.2	8.2	19.5	19.6	19.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	100.2	100.7	100.5	4.2	4.9	4.6	4.2	6.6	7.0	6.8	6.4	
			Bottom	27.4	27.5	27.5	8.1	8.2	8.2	22.0	22.0	22.0	6.5	6.6	6.6	94.0	94.8	94.4	3.6	3.9	3.8		5.6	6.2	5.9		
CS2	1708	20.2	Surface	28.8	28.9	28.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	19.5	19.4	19.5	8.0	8.1	8.1	115.5	116.2	115.9	4.3	3.9	4.1		6.8	6.2	6.5		
			Middle	28.4	28.4	28.4	8.1	8.1	8.1	21.0	21.0	21.0	7.3	7.3	7.3	104.4	105.0	104.7	4.0	4.7	4.4	4.4	6.2	6.8	6.5	6.7	
			Bottom	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	22.1	22.1	22.1	5.8	6.0	5.9	84.2	85.8	85.0	4.9	4.6	4.8		7.4	7.0	7.2		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 13-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1537	12.0	Surface	28.7	28.6	28.7	8.3	8.2	8.3	17.4	17.3	17.4	8.5	8.4	8.5	120.9	119.3	120.1	3.5	3.8	3.7					5.4	6.0	5.7		
			Middle	28.5	28.4	28.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.5	17.6	17.6	8.3	8.3	8.3	117.5	118.1	117.8	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3					7.2	6.6	6.9	6.8
			Bottom	28.3	28.2	28.3	8.3	8.2	8.3	19.3	19.4	19.4	6.4	6.3	6.4	90.4	89.5	90.0	4.9	4.8	4.9					7.8	7.6	7.7		
WM1	1502	14.8	Surface	28.8	28.7	28.8	8.2	8.3	8.3	17.7	17.6	17.7	8.1	8.0	8.1	115.5	114.1	114.8	3.6	3.4	3.5					5.6	5.4	5.5		
			Middle	28.6	28.5	28.6	8.3	8.2	8.3	17.5	17.4	17.5	7.9	7.7	7.8	112.7	109.4	111.1	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.5					5.2	5.6	5.4	5.6
			Bottom	28.4	28.4	28.4	8.3	8.3	8.3	18.3	18.2	18.3	7.2	7.3	7.3	101.8	103.7	102.8	3.6	3.8	3.7					5.8	6.0	5.9		
WM2	1433	5.6	Surface	28.8	28.7	28.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	17.8	17.8	17.8	7.8	7.9	7.9	111.5	112.1	111.8	3.0	3.2	3.1					4.8	5.2	5.0		
			Middle																			3.3							5.2	
			Bottom	28.6	28.5	28.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.5	17.4	17.5	7.7	7.6	7.7	110.2	108.8	109.5	3.5	3.3	3.4					5.6	5.2	5.4		
WM3	1400	10.8	Surface	28.8	28.8	28.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	17.6	17.5	17.6	8.1	8.0	8.1	115.8	113.7	114.8	3.2	2.9	3.1					5.2	4.6	4.9		
			Middle	28.7	28.6	28.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.4	17.3	17.4	7.8	7.7	7.8	111.2	109.8	110.5	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.4					5.6	5.2	5.4	5.4
			Bottom	28.5	28.4	28.5	8.3	8.2	8.3	18.5	18.4	18.5	7.4	7.3	7.4	105.5	104.5	105.0	3.9	3.7	3.8					6.2	5.8	6.0		
WM4	1328	11.4	Surface	28.9	28.8	28.9	8.3	8.2	8.3	17.7	17.8	17.8	8.0	7.9	8.0	113.7	112.6	113.2	3.9	3.7	3.8					6.2	5.8	6.0		
			Middle	28.5	28.4	28.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.9	18.0	18.0	7.8	7.7	7.8	111.1	109.7	110.4	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.7					5.4	5.2	5.3	5.9
			Bottom	28.3	28.2	28.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	18.5	18.3	18.4	7.3	7.4	7.4	103.7	105.2	104.5	4.0	4.2	4.1					6.4	6.6	6.5		
CS2	1300	14.8	Surface	28.7	28.6	28.7	8.3	8.3	8.3	17.5	17.6	17.6	7.5	7.4	7.5	106.6	105.8	106.2	3.8	3.9	3.9					6.0	6.2	6.1		
			Middle	28.5	28.4	28.5	8.2	8.1	8.2	17.9	17.7	17.8	8.0	7.5	7.8	114.6	107.5	111.1	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.5					7.6	7.4	7.5	7.1
			Bottom	28.3	28.2	28.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.6	18.4	18.5	7.2	7.1	7.2	102.7	102.0	102.4	4.9	4.9	4.9					7.8	7.8	7.8		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 13-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	0830	11.6	Surface	28.8	28.7	28.8	8.3	8.3	8.3	17.2	17.2	17.2	8.4	8.4	8.4	119.4	120.2	119.8	3.9	3.7	3.8		6.2	5.8	6.0	
			Middle	28.6	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.3	8.3	17.3	17.4	17.4	8.3	8.2	8.3	117.6	115.9	116.8	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.7
			Bottom	28.3	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.2	19.3	19.3	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.1	91.0	90.6	4.7	4.6	4.7		7.4	7.2	7.3	
WM1	0859	14.4	Surface	28.8	28.8	28.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	17.5	17.6	17.6	8.0	8.0	8.0	113.2	112.8	113.0	3.4	3.3	3.4		5.2	5.0	5.1	
			Middle	28.7	28.8	28.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.6	17.6	17.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	109.8	110.3	110.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.4	6.6	6.4	6.5	6.2
			Bottom	28.6	28.6	28.6	8.2	8.3	8.3	18.1	18.2	18.2	7.0	6.9	7.0	99.3	97.8	98.6	3.7	3.9	3.8		7.2	7.0	7.1	
WM2	0928	5.2	Surface	28.7	28.7	28.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.6	17.7	17.7	7.6	7.6	7.6	108.4	108.7	108.6	3.2	3.1	3.2		5.0	4.8	4.9	
			Middle																		3.2				5.0	
			Bottom	28.7	28.8	28.8	8.2	8.3	8.3	17.7	17.7	17.7	7.8	7.9	7.9	110.7	112.0	111.4	3.2	3.3	3.3		5.0	5.2	5.1	
WM3	0954	10.4	Surface	28.8	28.9	28.9	8.2	8.3	8.3	17.5	17.4	17.5	7.9	7.9	7.9	112.5	112.0	112.3	3.0	2.8	2.9		4.6	4.4	4.5	
			Middle	28.8	28.7	28.8	8.3	8.3	8.3	17.6	17.6	17.6	7.8	7.8	7.8	110.8	110.4	110.6	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.3	5.4	5.2	5.3	5.1
			Bottom	28.6	28.6	28.6	8.2	8.3	8.3	18.3	18.4	18.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	101.2	100.5	100.9	3.5	3.5	3.5		5.4	5.6	5.5	
WM4	1020	11.0	Surface	28.8	28.7	28.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.6	17.5	17.6	7.8	7.7	7.8	111.0	109.2	110.1	3.7	3.6	3.7		5.8	5.6	5.7	
			Middle	28.6	28.6	28.6	8.2	8.3	8.3	17.9	17.9	17.9	7.6	7.6	7.6	107.7	107.0	107.4	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.7	5.2	5.0	5.1	5.8
			Bottom	28.5	28.6	28.6	8.3	8.3	8.3	18.2	18.1	18.2	7.1	7.2	7.2	98.2	99.7	99.0	4.2	4.3	4.3		6.6	6.8	6.7	
CS2	1049	14.4	Surface	28.7	28.8	28.8	8.2	8.3	8.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	7.9	7.9	7.9	112.5	112.0	112.3	3.9	4.0	4.0		6.0	6.4	6.2	
			Middle	28.5	28.6	28.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.8	17.7	17.8	8.2	8.1	8.2	117.6	116.1	116.9	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4	7.4	7.0	7.2	7.0
			Bottom	28.3	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.5	18.5	18.5	7.4	7.3	7.4	105.3	103.8	104.6	4.8	4.8	4.8		7.6	7.4	7.5	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 16-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1030	12.0	Surface	28.3	28.2	28.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	17.6	17.6	17.6	6.7	6.7	6.7	95.1	95.3	95.2	3.8	3.6	3.7					6.0	5.6	5.8		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.0	8.1	8.1	17.8	17.7	17.8	6.5	6.5	6.5	92.3	92.7	92.5	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0					6.2	6.6	6.4	6.4
			Bottom	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.0	8.0	8.0	19.9	19.8	19.9	6.2	6.2	6.2	88.0	88.4	88.2	4.3	4.3	4.3					6.8	7.0	6.9		
WM1	1059	12.8	Surface	28.3	28.2	28.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	17.7	17.8	17.8	6.9	6.9	6.9	97.9	98.0	98.0	4.0	4.1	4.1					6.2	6.4	6.3		
			Middle	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.2	8.1	8.2	17.8	17.9	17.9	6.6	6.6	6.6	93.7	93.9	93.8	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.8					5.6	5.6	5.6	6.0
			Bottom	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	19.4	19.5	19.5	6.4	6.3	6.4	91.5	89.5	90.5	3.7	3.8	3.8					6.0	6.2	6.1		
WM2	1127	5.4	Surface	28.2	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	17.5	17.6	17.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	99.4	99.6	99.5	3.5	3.6	3.6					5.6	5.6	5.6		
			Middle																			3.7							6.0	
			Bottom	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	18.9	19.0	19.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	92.3	92.5	92.4	3.9	3.9	3.9					6.2	6.4	6.3		
WM3	1153	8.8	Surface	28.3	28.3	28.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	17.8	17.7	17.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	96.6	96.8	96.7	3.5	3.4	3.5					5.4	5.2	5.3		
			Middle	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.9	17.8	17.9	6.4	6.4	6.4	90.9	90.8	90.9	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.6					5.8	5.8	5.8	5.7
			Bottom	27.4	27.5	27.5	8.1	8.2	8.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	6.4	6.3	6.4	91.5	90.1	90.8	3.8	3.8	3.8					6.2	6.0	6.1		
WM4	1221	10.0	Surface	28.4	28.3	28.4	8.1	8.1	8.1	17.7	17.7	17.7	6.9	6.9	6.9	97.9	97.7	97.8	3.3	3.4	3.4					5.2	5.2	5.2		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	17.8	17.9	17.9	6.6	6.6	6.6	94.4	93.7	94.1	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6					5.6	5.4	5.5	5.6
			Bottom	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.3	19.2	19.3	6.5	6.5	6.5	92.3	92.8	92.6	3.9	3.8	3.9					6.2	6.0	6.1		
CS2	1252	14.2	Surface	28.4	28.4	28.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	17.8	17.8	17.8	6.7	6.7	6.7	95.1	95.3	95.2	3.6	3.7	3.7					5.8	6.0	5.9		
			Middle	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	17.9	17.8	17.9	6.5	6.6	6.6	92.3	93.7	93.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6					6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8
			Bottom	27.4	27.4	27.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.4	19.4	19.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	88.0	88.4	88.2	3.3	3.4	3.4					5.2	5.6	5.4		

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 18-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1849	12.6	Surface	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.3	8.2	8.3	19.0	19.2	19.1	7.0	6.9	7.0	99.1	97.6	98.4	4.1	4.3	4.2		6.4	6.6	6.5	
			Middle	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.2	8.3	8.3	19.3	19.4	19.4	6.7	6.6	6.7	95.2	93.8	94.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.1
			Bottom	27.4	27.3	27.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.8	19.9	19.9	6.4	6.3	6.4	91.0	89.6	90.3	4.9	5.0	5.0		7.8	7.8	7.8	
WM1	1820	15.2	Surface	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.2	8.3	8.3	20.0	20.2	20.1	6.7	6.6	6.7	94.8	93.4	94.1	3.5	3.7	3.6		5.4	5.8	5.6	
			Middle	27.5	27.4	27.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.9	19.7	19.8	6.4	6.3	6.4	90.6	89.1	89.9	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.5	5.0	5.4	5.2	5.5
			Bottom	27.3	27.2	27.3	8.3	8.2	8.3	20.5	20.3	20.4	6.3	6.2	6.3	89.5	88.0	88.8	3.6	3.7	3.7		5.8	5.8	5.8	
WM2	1754	6.2	Surface	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	20.2	20.1	20.2	6.4	6.3	6.4	90.8	89.4	90.1	3.5	3.4	3.5		5.6	5.4	5.5	
			Middle																		3.7				6.0	
			Bottom	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.3	8.2	8.3	20.3	20.2	20.3	6.2	6.1	6.2	88.3	86.9	87.6	3.9	4.1	4.0		6.2	6.6	6.4	
WM3	1729	12.2	Surface	27.8	27.7	27.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	19.9	19.8	19.9	6.7	6.6	6.7	95.1	93.8	94.5	3.2	3.1	3.2		5.0	4.8	4.9	
			Middle	27.5	27.4	27.5	8.2	8.3	8.3	20.0	20.1	20.1	6.5	6.4	6.5	92.4	90.6	91.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	5.4	5.6	5.5	5.5
			Bottom	27.3	27.2	27.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.2	20.3	20.3	6.0	5.9	6.0	85.4	84.0	84.7	3.8	3.9	3.9		6.0	6.2	6.1	
WM4	1659	13.2	Surface	27.9	27.8	27.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.0	19.9	20.0	6.6	6.5	6.6	93.5	92.0	92.8	3.4	3.3	3.4		5.2	5.2	5.2	
			Middle	27.4	27.5	27.5	8.3	8.3	8.3	20.2	20.1	20.2	6.3	6.4	6.4	89.2	90.6	89.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.7	6.2	6.2	6.2	5.7
			Bottom	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.3	8.3	20.3	20.4	20.4	6.2	6.1	6.2	88.1	86.9	87.5	3.6	3.7	3.7		5.8	5.8	5.8	
CS2	1630	15.6	Surface	28.0	27.9	28.0	8.3	8.2	8.3	19.2	19.3	19.3	7.1	7.2	7.2	100.5	101.9	101.2	3.9	4.0	4.0		5.8	6.0	5.9	
			Middle	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.7	19.6	19.7	6.8	6.9	6.9	96.2	97.6	96.9	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.8	5.2	5.4	5.3	5.9
			Bottom	27.5	27.4	27.5	8.3	8.2	8.3	20.6	20.7	20.7	6.5	6.4	6.5	92.0	90.6	91.3	4.1	4.0	4.1		6.6	6.2	6.4	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 18-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1200	11.6	Surface	27.8	27.9	27.9	8.2	8.3	8.3	18.9	19.0	19.0	6.7	6.8	6.8	97.3	98.4	97.9	4.2	4.3	4.3	6.6	6.8	6.7		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.2	19.1	19.2	6.5	6.6	6.6	91.2	93.1	92.2	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.3
			Bottom	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.4	20.4	20.4	6.1	6.1	6.1	86.2	85.6	85.9	4.8	4.8	4.8		7.6	7.8	7.7	
WM1	1235	14.4	Surface	27.7	27.8	27.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.1	20.0	20.1	6.6	6.5	6.6	93.7	92.1	92.9	3.3	3.1	3.2	5.2	5.0	5.1		
			Middle	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.3	8.3	20.1	20.1	20.1	6.5	6.4	6.5	91.8	90.1	91.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.2	4.6	4.6	4.6	5.0
			Bottom	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.4	20.5	20.5	6.3	6.3	6.3	89.2	89.7	89.5	3.4	3.4	3.4		5.4	5.2	5.3	
WM2	1305	5.2	Surface	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.1	20.1	20.1	6.0	6.1	6.1	85.1	86.4	85.8	3.4	3.3	3.4	5.2	5.2	5.2		
			Middle																		3.6				5.6	
			Bottom	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.2	20.2	20.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	86.3	86.8	86.6	3.7	3.9	3.8		5.8	6.0	5.9	
WM3	1329	1.0	Surface	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.2	8.3	8.3	19.7	19.7	19.7	6.2	6.2	6.2	87.9	90.1	89.0	3.3	3.1	3.2	5.2	4.8	5.0		
			Middle	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	19.8	19.8	19.8	6.3	6.2	6.3	89.6	87.3	88.5	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	5.4	5.2	5.3	5.4
			Bottom	27.4	27.5	27.5	8.2	8.3	8.3	20.0	20.0	20.0	6.1	6.2	6.2	86.4	88.0	87.2	3.7	3.7	3.7		5.8	5.8	5.8	
WM4	1354	12.2	Surface	27.8	27.7	27.8	8.2	8.3	8.3	19.9	19.9	19.9	6.5	6.4	6.5	92.3	90.8	91.6	3.3	3.2	3.3	5.2	5.0	5.1		
			Middle	27.5	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.0	20.1	20.1	6.4	6.4	6.4	90.6	90.1	90.4	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.6	6.0	6.2	6.1	5.5
			Bottom	27.4	27.4	27.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.3	20.2	20.3	6.3	6.2	6.3	88.9	87.9	88.4	3.6	3.5	3.6		5.2	5.6	5.4	
CS2	1425	14.8	Surface	27.9	28.0	28.0	8.2	8.3	8.3	19.1	19.3	19.2	7.0	6.9	7.0	99.4	97.8	98.6	3.9	3.7	3.8	6.0	5.8	5.9		
			Middle	27.6	27.5	27.6	8.3	8.3	8.3	19.6	19.5	19.6	6.7	6.7	6.7	94.6	95.0	94.8	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.8	5.6	5.8	5.7	6.1
			Bottom	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	20.6	20.6	20.6	6.4	6.3	6.4	90.1	88.5	89.3	4.2	4.1	4.2		6.8	6.6	6.7	

Remark or Obsevation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 20-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1910	11.4	Surface	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.1	23.0	23.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	107.7	108.1	107.9	3.8	3.7	3.8		5.6	5.8	5.7	
			Middle	27.4	27.3	27.4	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.5	23.5	23.5	7.0	7.0	7.0	102.0	102.3	102.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.1
			Bottom	26.6	26.7	26.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.5	23.4	23.5	6.0	5.9	6.0	87.4	86.4	86.9	4.1	4.2	4.2		6.4	6.6	6.5	
WM1	1839	14.8	Surface	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.1	23.1	23.1	6.6	6.6	6.6	96.5	96.1	96.3	3.4	3.4	3.4		5.4	5.4	5.4	
			Middle	27.3	27.3	27.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.2	23.1	23.2	6.1	6.2	6.2	89.5	90.6	90.1	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.7
			Bottom	26.6	26.7	26.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.4	23.4	23.4	6.1	6.0	6.1	89.2	87.7	88.5	3.9	4.0	4.0		6.2	6.2	6.2	
WM2	1816	5.6	Surface	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.2	23.1	23.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	89.3	88.9	89.1	3.7	3.6	3.7		5.8	5.6	5.7	
			Middle																		3.8				6.0	
			Bottom	27.1	27.0	27.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.3	23.2	23.3	5.8	5.9	5.9	85.1	85.8	85.5	4.0	4.0	4.0		6.2	6.2	6.2	
WM3	1750	9.0	Surface	27.6	27.6	27.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.3	23.4	23.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.7	90.4	90.6	3.3	3.3	3.3		5.2	5.2	5.2	
			Middle	27.2	27.3	27.3	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.5	23.4	23.5	6.3	6.3	6.3	92.1	91.7	91.9	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	5.6	5.8	5.7	5.6
			Bottom	27.0	26.9	27.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.6	23.6	23.6	6.1	6.0	6.1	88.9	88.1	88.5	3.7	3.8	3.8		5.8	6.0	5.9	
WM4	1725	10.8	Surface	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.3	23.3	23.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	91.8	92.0	91.9	3.4	3.4	3.4		5.2	5.4	5.3	
			Middle	27.4	27.3	27.4	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.4	23.5	23.5	6.2	6.3	6.3	90.9	91.8	91.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.7
			Bottom	26.9	27.0	27.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.6	23.5	23.6	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.8	90.2	90.5	3.9	4.0	4.0		6.2	6.4	6.3	
CS2	1700	14.8	Surface	27.6	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	6.9	6.8	6.9	100.6	99.7	100.2	4.1	4.0	4.1		6.4	6.2	6.3	
			Middle	27.3	27.4	27.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.5	23.4	23.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	96.1	96.5	96.3	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	6.2	6.0	6.1	6.3
			Bottom	26.7	26.8	26.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.5	23.6	23.6	6.3	6.2	6.3	91.8	91.0	91.4	4.2	4.2	4.2		6.6	6.6	6.6	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 20-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1230	11.2	Surface	27.7	27.6	27.7	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.0	23.1	23.1	7.3	7.3	7.3	106.5	106.8	106.7	4.1	4.2	4.2		6.4	6.6	6.5	
			Middle	27.5	27.5	27.5	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.5	23.5	23.5	6.8	6.8	6.8	99.3	99.1	99.2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	7.0	7.2	7.1	6.8
			Bottom	26.7	26.7	26.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.4	23.3	23.4	5.6	5.6	5.6	81.5	81.7	81.6	4.4	4.3	4.4		6.8	6.6	6.7	
WM1	1259	14.2	Surface	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.0	23.1	23.1	6.5	6.5	6.5	93.0	93.2	93.1	3.9	3.8	3.9		6.0	6.0	6.0	
			Middle	27.4	27.4	27.4	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	89.3	89.1	89.2	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.9	5.6	5.6	5.6	6.0
			Bottom	26.7	26.8	26.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.4	23.4	23.4	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.6	87.8	87.7	4.1	4.2	4.2		6.4	6.6	6.5	
WM2	1323	5.2	Surface	27.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.4	23.4	23.4	6.0	6.0	6.0	87.6	87.4	87.5	3.8	3.7	3.8		6.0	5.8	5.9	
			Middle																		4.0				6.3	
			Bottom	26.9	26.8	26.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.4	23.4	23.4	6.0	6.0	6.0	88.0	87.8	87.9	4.3	4.3	4.3		6.6	6.6	6.6	
WM3	1343	8.6	Surface	27.0	27.1	27.1	8.2	8.3	8.3	23.3	23.4	23.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.5	90.7	90.6	3.8	3.7	3.8		6.0	5.8	5.9	
			Middle	26.8	26.9	26.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.4	23.4	23.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.8	90.7	90.8	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.0
			Bottom	26.7	26.6	26.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.5	23.6	23.6	5.9	6.0	6.0	86.1	87.7	86.9	3.9	4.0	4.0		6.2	6.2	6.2	
WM4	1407	10.2	Surface	27.1	27.2	27.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.4	23.4	23.4	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.9	90.7	90.8	3.5	3.4	3.5		5.4	5.2	5.3	
			Middle	26.9	26.9	26.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.5	23.4	23.5	6.3	6.3	6.3	91.9	91.8	91.9	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
			Bottom	26.6	26.7	26.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	23.6	23.5	23.6	6.4	6.3	6.4	93.2	93.0	93.1	4.0	4.1	4.1		6.2	6.6	6.4	
CS2	1435	14.5	Surface	27.1	27.1	27.1	8.3	8.2	8.3	23.3	23.2	23.3	6.8	6.7	6.8	99.0	99.2	99.1	4.2	4.2	4.2		6.6	6.4	6.5	
			Middle	26.8	26.8	26.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.6	23.5	23.6	6.5	6.4	6.5	94.8	94.6	94.7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.2	6.2	6.4	6.3	6.5
			Bottom	26.7	26.7	26.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.6	23.7	23.7	6.2	6.2	6.2	90.1	90.3	90.2	4.3	4.3	4.3		6.8	6.8	6.8	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 25-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1137	11.4	Surface	25.9	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	24.0	23.9	24.0	6.1	6.0	6.1	86.0	84.6	85.3	4.1	4.3	4.2		6.2	6.6	6.4	
			Middle	25.8	25.8	25.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.2	24.1	24.2	5.8	5.6	5.7	81.8	79.1	80.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.9	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.5
			Bottom	25.7	25.6	25.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	24.3	24.3	24.3	5.9	6.0	6.0	83.1	84.5	83.8	5.6	5.8	5.7		8.8	9.0	8.9	
WM1	1058	14.8	Surface	26.0	26.1	26.1	8.3	8.2	8.3	23.8	23.8	23.8	5.4	5.6	5.5	76.1	78.9	77.5	4.9	5.0	5.0		7.0	7.2	7.1	
			Middle	25.9	25.8	25.9	8.3	8.2	8.3	24.0	24.1	24.1	6.0	5.8	5.9	84.6	81.7	83.2	5.6	5.4	5.5	5.5	8.4	8.2	8.3	8.2
			Bottom	25.7	25.6	25.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.3	24.3	5.3	5.1	5.2	74.7	71.9	73.3	5.9	6.0	6.0		9.2	9.2	9.2	
WM2	1030	5.8	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.8	23.9	5.6	5.7	5.7	78.9	80.3	79.6	4.4	4.5	4.5		6.6	6.8	6.7	
			Middle																			4.8				7.4
			Bottom	25.8	25.9	25.9	8.3	8.2	8.3	24.0	24.0	24.0	6.0	6.1	6.1	84.5	85.8	85.2	5.1	5.2	5.2		8.0	8.2	8.1	
WM3	1000	9.4	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.9	23.9	6.2	6.3	6.3	87.3	88.7	88.0	3.4	3.3	3.4		5.2	5.2	5.2	
			Middle	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.3	8.2	8.3	24.0	24.1	24.1	5.7	5.8	5.8	80.3	81.7	81.0	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	6.0	6.2	6.1	6.0
			Bottom	25.8	25.7	25.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	24.2	24.1	24.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	73.2	74.6	73.9	4.3	4.4	4.4		6.6	6.8	6.7	
WM4	0929	11.1	Surface	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.8	23.9	5.9	6.0	6.0	83.1	84.5	83.8	4.1	4.0	4.1		6.2	5.8	6.0	
			Middle	25.9	25.8	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	24.1	24.1	5.5	5.4	5.5	77.5	76.1	76.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	7.2	7.4	7.3	7.2
			Bottom	25.7	25.7	25.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.2	24.2	24.2	6.1	6.2	6.2	85.9	87.3	86.6	5.3	5.4	5.4		8.4	8.4	8.4	
CS2	0900	14.6	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.9	23.9	6.2	6.0	6.1	87.4	84.6	86.0	4.6	4.7	4.7		7.2	7.4	7.3	
			Middle	25.8	25.8	25.8	8.3	8.2	8.3	24.2	24.2	24.2	5.4	5.5	5.5	76.1	77.5	76.8	5.3	5.5	5.4	5.4	8.2	8.6	8.4	8.4
			Bottom	25.7	25.6	25.7	8.2	8.3	8.3	24.3	24.4	24.4	5.9	5.8	5.9	83.2	81.8	82.5	6.0	6.1	6.1		9.2	9.6	9.4	

Remark or Obsevation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 25-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Rainy
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1330	11.8	Surface	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.8	23.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	84.8	85.0	84.9	4.2	4.2	4.2		6.6	6.6	6.6	
			Middle	25.7	25.8	25.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	24.2	24.3	24.3	5.5	5.4	5.5	77.6	76.1	76.9	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.4
			Bottom	25.6	25.6	25.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.3	24.4	24.4	5.8	5.8	5.8	81.8	81.6	81.7	5.3	5.4	5.4		8.4	8.6	8.5	
WM1	1358	14.2	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.3	8.3	23.9	23.9	23.9	5.5	5.5	5.5	77.4	77.6	77.5	4.3	4.4	4.4		6.6	6.8	6.7	
			Middle	25.8	25.8	25.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.1	24.2	24.2	5.7	5.7	5.7	80.4	80.2	80.3	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.0	8.0	7.8	7.9	7.7
			Bottom	25.6	25.6	25.6	8.1	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.3	24.3	5.4	5.3	5.4	76.1	74.7	75.4	5.4	5.5	5.5		8.4	8.6	8.5	
WM2	1427	5.4	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	23.9	23.9	23.9	5.8	5.8	5.8	81.8	81.6	81.7	4.2	4.1	4.2		6.6	6.4	6.5	
			Middle																			4.6				7.1
			Bottom	25.7	25.8	25.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.0	23.9	24.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	84.6	84.4	84.5	4.9	5.0	5.0		7.6	7.8	7.7	
WM3	1450	8.8	Surface	26.1	26.0	26.1	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.8	23.8	23.8	6.2	6.2	6.2	87.4	87.6	87.5	3.8	3.7	3.8		6.0	5.8	5.9	
			Middle	25.8	25.7	25.8	8.2	8.1	8.2	24.1	24.1	24.1	5.9	5.8	5.9	83.2	83.4	83.3	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	6.2	6.4	6.3	6.2
			Bottom	25.6	25.6	25.6	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.3	24.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	76.1	76.5	76.3	4.1	4.2	4.2		6.4	6.6	6.5	
WM4	1519	10.6	Surface	25.9	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.9	23.8	23.9	6.1	6.1	6.1	88.8	88.6	88.7	3.8	3.8	3.8		6.0	6.0	6.0	
			Middle	25.8	25.8	25.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.2	24.2	24.2	5.6	5.6	5.6	79.0	79.2	79.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	6.6	6.8	6.7	6.9
			Bottom	25.6	25.7	25.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.4	24.4	6.0	6.1	6.1	84.6	86.0	85.3	5.0	5.1	5.1		7.8	8.0	7.9	
CS2	1556	14.2	Surface	26.1	26.0	26.1	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.8	23.7	23.8	6.3	6.2	6.3	88.8	87.4	88.1	4.3	4.3	4.3		6.8	6.8	6.8	
			Middle	25.7	25.8	25.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.4	24.4	5.6	5.6	5.6	78.9	79.2	79.1	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.0	8.0	8.2	8.1	7.9
			Bottom	25.6	25.6	25.6	8.3	8.3	8.3	24.4	24.5	24.5	5.8	5.9	5.9	81.6	83.2	82.4	5.7	5.6	5.7		9.0	8.8	8.9	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 27-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Rainy
 Sea Conditions: Calm
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)							
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**				
CS1	1425	10.8	Surface	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.2	24.3	24.3	5.2	5.2	5.2	73.7	73.4	73.6	6.0	6.0	6.0	9.2	8.8	9.0						
			Middle	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.8	24.8	24.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	69.0	68.6	68.8	5.9	5.9	5.9	6.1	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.4				
			Bottom	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.1	25.2	25.2	4.8	4.8	4.8	68.7	68.9	68.8	6.4	6.4	6.4		10.0	9.8	9.9					
WM1	1355	12.3	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.9	22.0	22.0	4.6	4.5	4.6	64.6	63.9	64.3	5.8	5.8	5.8	8.6	9.0	8.8						
			Middle	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	4.0	4.0	4.0	57.0	57.3	57.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.6	6.6	6.8	6.7	7.2				
			Bottom	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.3	25.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	57.9	57.4	57.7	3.8	3.8	3.8		6.0	6.2	6.1					
WM2	1330	5.6	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	21.7	21.7	21.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	62.3	62.7	62.5	5.8	5.8	5.8	9.0	8.6	8.8						
			Middle																		5.1								7.9	
			Bottom	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.3	8.3	8.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	59.3	58.8	59.1	4.4	4.4	4.4		6.8	7.2	7.0					
WM3	1258	8.6	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	23.6	23.6	23.6	4.2	4.2	4.2	60.2	59.7	60.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	4.6	4.8	4.7						
			Middle	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	63.6	63.3	63.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.6	3.8	3.6	3.7	4.0				
			Bottom	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.5	25.5	25.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	66.5	66.2	66.4	2.3	2.3	2.3		3.6	3.4	3.5					
WM4	1225	12.0	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.4	24.4	24.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	61.1	61.7	61.4	2.9	2.9	2.9	4.6	4.6	4.6						
			Middle	26.1	26.1	26.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.7	25.6	25.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	64.2	63.9	64.1	2.7	2.7	2.7	3.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.9				
			Bottom	26.1	26.1	26.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.9	25.9	25.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	69.7	69.3	69.5	3.7	3.7	3.7		5.8	6.0	5.9					
CS2	1200	14.0	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.9	24.9	24.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	69.1	68.8	69.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	7.2	7.4	7.3						
			Middle	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.6	25.6	25.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	67.7	68.1	67.9	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.0	8.2	8.6	8.4	8.1				
			Bottom	26.1	26.1	26.1	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.7	25.7	25.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	66.0	66.3	66.2	5.3	5.3	5.3		8.4	8.6	8.5					

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 27-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Cloudy
 Sea Conditions: Calm
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)						
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**			
CS1	1600	10.4	Surface	25.9	25.8	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	24.3	24.4	24.4	5.2	5.3	5.3	73.9	75.0	74.5	6.2	6.5	6.4					9.8	10.0	9.9	
			Middle	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.2	8.1	8.2	24.7	24.8	24.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	69.3	68.8	69.1	5.8	5.9	5.9	6.2				9.0	9.6	9.3	9.7
			Bottom	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.1	25.1	25.1	4.8	4.7	4.8	68.5	67.2	67.9	6.3	6.5	6.4					9.8	10.2	10.0	
WM1	1635	12.0	Surface	26.0	25.9	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	22.0	22.0	22.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	65.7	65.1	65.4	5.4	5.9	5.7					8.0	9.0	8.5	
			Middle	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.3	25.2	25.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	60.3	58.9	59.6	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.6				6.8	6.6	6.7	7.1
			Bottom	26.0	25.9	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.4	25.4	25.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	56.7	57.1	56.9	4.0	3.9	4.0					6.2	6.2	6.2	
WM2	1705	5.4	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	21.7	21.8	21.8	4.4	4.5	4.5	63.1	63.7	63.4	5.9	6.3	6.1					9.2	9.8	9.5	
			Middle																			5.3						8.2	
			Bottom	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.3	25.3	25.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	58.2	57.3	57.8	4.2	4.7	4.5					6.6	7.2	6.9	
WM3	1733	8.4	Surface	26.0	25.9	26.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	23.6	23.7	23.7	4.3	4.2	4.3	61.0	60.3	60.7	4.0	3.7	3.9					6.2	5.8	6.0	
			Middle	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.1	25.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	61.3	62.0	61.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.1				4.4	4.6	4.5	4.9
			Bottom	25.9	25.9	25.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.5	25.6	25.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	63.5	63.8	63.7	2.6	2.7	2.7					4.0	4.2	4.1	
WM4	1802	11.8	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	24.4	24.5	24.5	4.4	4.3	4.4	62.6	61.6	62.1	3.2	3.5	3.4					5.0	5.6	5.3	
			Middle	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.7	25.8	25.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	63.7	64.4	64.1	3.1	3.7	3.4	3.6				4.8	5.6	5.2	5.5
			Bottom	26.0	25.9	26.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.9	25.9	25.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	68.4	68.9	68.7	3.8	4.0	3.9					6.0	6.2	6.1	
CS2	1835	13.6	Surface	26.0	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.9	25.0	25.0	4.9	4.8	4.9	69.7	68.3	69.0	4.9	4.7	4.8					7.6	7.2	7.4	
			Middle	26.0	25.9	26.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	25.6	25.7	25.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	67.8	68.2	68.0	5.3	5.7	5.5	5.2				8.2	9.0	8.6	8.0
			Bottom	25.9	26.0	26.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.7	25.8	25.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	66.6	65.7	66.2	5.0	5.4	5.2					7.8	8.4	8.1	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 30-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Flood
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS2

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1718	13.8	Surface	26.9	26.8	26.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.6	25.5	25.6	5.2	5.1	5.2	74.6	73.2	73.9	3.2	3.2	3.2		5.2	5.0	5.1	
			Middle	26.6	26.5	26.6	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.3	25.4	25.4	4.9	5.0	5.0	70.1	72.0	71.1	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.9	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.6
			Bottom	26.3	26.2	26.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.1	25.1	25.1	4.7	4.5	4.6	67.9	65.0	66.5	2.7	2.7	2.7		4.2	4.2	4.2	
WM1	1644	17.4	Surface	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.7	25.8	25.8	5.3	5.1	5.2	76.1	73.2	74.7	3.0	3.1	3.1		4.8	5.0	4.9	
			Middle	26.5	26.4	26.5	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.3	25.3	25.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	72.0	72.1	72.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.7
			Bottom	26.2	26.3	26.3	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.1	24.9	25.0	4.9	4.8	4.9	70.8	69.3	70.1	3.0	2.8	2.9		4.8	4.4	4.6	
WM2	1618	6.6	Surface	26.7	26.7	26.7	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.8	25.7	25.8	5.1	4.9	5.0	73.2	70.4	71.8	3.4	3.3	3.4		5.4	5.2	5.3	
			Middle																		3.2				5.0	
			Bottom	26.4	26.3	26.4	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.3	25.1	25.2	4.7	4.6	4.7	67.9	66.4	67.2	3.1	3.0	3.1		4.8	4.6	4.7	
WM3	1551	10.8	Surface	27.0	26.9	27.0	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.7	25.6	25.7	5.3	5.2	5.3	76.1	74.7	75.4	3.3	3.4	3.4		5.2	5.0	5.1	
			Middle	26.7	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.4	25.3	25.4	5.1	5.0	5.1	73.4	72.0	72.7	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7
			Bottom	26.4	26.3	26.4	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.1	24.9	25.0	4.9	4.7	4.8	70.8	67.9	69.4	2.8	2.9	2.9		4.2	4.4	4.3	
WM4	1518	14.4	Surface	26.8	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.9	25.8	25.9	5.4	5.3	5.4	77.5	76.1	76.8	3.2	3.4	3.3		4.6	5.0	4.8	
			Middle	26.5	26.4	26.5	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.5	25.4	25.5	5.2	5.0	5.1	74.9	72.0	73.5	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5
			Bottom	26.3	26.2	26.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.2	25.1	25.2	4.9	5.0	5.0	70.8	72.2	71.5	3.0	2.9	3.0		4.4	4.2	4.3	
CS2	1445	17.2	Surface	26.9	26.8	26.9	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.7	25.7	25.7	5.5	5.4	5.5	78.9	77.5	78.2	2.6	2.5	2.6		4.0	3.8	3.9	
			Middle	26.6	26.5	26.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.5	25.4	25.5	5.3	5.3	5.3	76.3	76.4	76.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.4
			Bottom	26.3	26.2	26.3	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.2	25.1	25.2	5.1	5.0	5.1	73.6	72.2	72.9	2.9	3.0	3.0		4.8	4.8	4.8	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

SIL(E) Water Quality Monitoring Data Record Sheet

Date: 30-Jul-12
 Tide: Mid-Ebb
 Weather: Fine
 Sea Conditions: Small Wave
 Upstream Control Station:CS1

Location	Sampling Time	Water Depth (m)	Monitoring Depth	Temperature (°C)			pH			Salinity (ppt)			DO (mg/l)			DO Saturation (%)			Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/l)			
				1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**	1	2	Ave.*	D.A.**
CS1	1000	13.4	Surface	26.8	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.2	24.3	24.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	76.6	77.6	77.1	3.2	3.1	3.2		5.0	4.8	4.9	
			Middle	26.7	26.6	26.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	24.6	24.5	24.6	5.2	5.2	5.2	75.0	74.8	74.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.2	4.6	4.6	4.6	5.0
			Bottom	26.7	26.6	26.7	8.2	8.1	8.2	24.9	25.0	25.0	5.0	5.1	5.1	71.8	73.4	72.6	3.4	3.5	3.5		5.4	5.6	5.5	
WM1	1030	16.6	Surface	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	24.7	24.8	24.8	5.2	5.2	5.2	74.9	75.0	75.0	3.4	3.3	3.4		5.2	5.2	5.2	
			Middle	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	24.9	25.0	25.0	5.2	5.1	5.2	74.7	73.8	74.3	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	4.8	5.0	4.9	5.1
			Bottom	26.7	26.6	26.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.3	25.3	25.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	61.7	63.2	62.5	3.3	3.2	3.3		5.2	5.0	5.1	
WM2	1102	5.4	Surface	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.2	8.1	8.2	25.1	25.0	25.1	4.9	4.9	4.9	70.5	70.3	70.4	3.5	3.4	3.5		5.4	5.2	5.3	
			Middle																		3.6				5.6	
			Bottom	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.1	25.0	25.1	4.7	4.8	4.8	67.7	68.9	68.3	3.8	3.7	3.8		6.0	5.8	5.9	
WM3	1129	9.6	Surface	26.9	26.8	26.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.1	25.1	25.1	4.5	4.5	4.5	65.0	64.8	64.9	4.0	3.9	4.0		6.2	6.0	6.1	
			Middle	26.8	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.1	25.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	63.4	63.6	63.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.7	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.7
			Bottom	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.3	25.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	62.2	62.0	62.1	3.8	3.7	3.8		6.0	5.8	5.9	
WM4	1157	13.2	Surface	26.9	26.9	26.9	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.1	25.2	25.2	4.6	4.5	4.6	66.0	64.9	65.5	3.6	3.7	3.7		5.4	5.6	5.5	
			Middle	26.8	26.7	26.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	63.9	64.9	64.4	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.5	5.6	5.4	5.5	5.4
			Bottom	26.7	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.3	25.4	25.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	64.7	64.9	64.8	3.4	3.3	3.4		5.0	5.2	5.1	
CS2	1230	16.0	Surface	27.1	27.0	27.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	25.3	25.4	25.4	5.1	5.1	5.1	74.2	73.9	74.1	2.5	2.4	2.5		4.0	3.8	3.9	
			Middle	26.7	26.8	26.8	8.1	8.1	8.1	25.6	25.7	25.7	5.3	5.2	5.3	76.2	74.9	75.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.7	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2
			Bottom	26.3	26.4	26.4	8.2	8.1	8.2	26.1	26.0	26.1	4.7	4.8	4.8	67.7	69.1	68.4	2.6	2.7	2.7		4.0	4.2	4.1	

Remark or Observation:

Note: * Average

** Depth Average

APPENDIX E

Review of Exceedance in Environmental Monitoring

Sampling Date	Tidal Mode	Parameter			Remarks
		DO	Turbidity	SS	
3 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded along all monitoring stations (WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4) at all water levels (surface, middle & bottom) for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides, except surface level at WM4 for mid-flood tide.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>
5 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded along all monitoring stations (WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4) at all water levels (surface, middle & bottom) for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides, except bottom level at WM3 & surface level at WM4 for mid-flood tide.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>
7 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded at middle and bottom levels at WM1 for mid-flood tide, middle level at WM1 & WM4, bottom level at WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4 for mid-ebb tide.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>

Sampling Date	Tidal Mode	Parameter			Remarks
		DO	Turbidity	SS	
9 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded at bottom level along all monitoring stations for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>
16 July 2012	Mid-Flood	AL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action Level was recorded at bottom level at WM4 for mid-flood tide.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works. DO exceedance was recorded only at WM4 while it was situated far away from the work areas. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>
18 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action Level were recorded at bottom level at WM3 & WM4 for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>

Sampling Date	Tidal Mode	Parameter			Remarks
		DO	Turbidity	SS	
20 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action Level were recorded at bottom level at WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4 for mid-flood tide, bottom level at WM3 & WM4 for mid-ebb tide.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>
25 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded at surface level at WM1 & WM2, middle and bottom levels of WM1, WM3 & WM4 for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>
27 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded along all monitoring stations (WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4) at all water levels (surface, middle & bottom) for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>

Sampling Date	Tidal Mode	Parameter			Remarks
		DO	Turbidity	SS	
30 July 2012	Mid-Ebb & Mid-Flood	AL, LL	-	-	<p>Exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels were recorded along all monitoring stations (WM1, WM2, WM3 & WM4) at all water levels (surface, middle & bottom) for both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides.</p> <p>The exceedances have been investigated and were considered not related to the project works as DO levels were low among all monitoring stations, including the control stations. Also, the silt curtain has been inspected and was functioned properly. As such, the natural fluctuation of the marine water quality has been considered attributed to the low DO levels.</p>

Note: AL – Action Level ; LL – Limit Level

Remarks: Impact water quality monitoring was cancelled on 23 July 2012 as a severe typhoon Vincente hit Hong Kong during 23-24 July 2012.

APPENDIX F

Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Noise Impact (Construction Phase)								
<i>Airborne</i>								
3.4.1.4	2	Adoption of Quieter PME The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and are presented in Table 3.20 . It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found in Hong Kong.	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIAO and NCO	Being implemented
3.4.1.4	2	Use of Movable Noise Barrier The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m ² is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIAO and NCO	Being implemented
3.4.1.4	2	Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIAO and NCO	Being implemented
3.4.1.4	2	Use of Silencer To reduce noise emission from the ventilation fans, silencers are also recommended to be used in fan ventilation system to attenuate noise generated during fan operation to achieve a noise reduction of 15 dB(A).	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIAO and NCO	Being implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
3.4.1.4	2	<p>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</p> <p>Noise insulating fabric (the Fabric) can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The Fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIAO and NCO	Being implemented
3.4.1.4	2	<p>Good Site Practice</p> <p>The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and properly maintained during the construction programme; • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible; • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIAO and NCO	Being implemented
		<i>Ground-borne</i>						
3.4.2.5	2	PME that is in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.	To minimize the construction ground-borne noise impact	Contractors	Tunnel site near Lei Tung Station	During Construction	NCO	To be implemented as per construction programme

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Noise Impact (Operation Phase)								
<i>Airborne</i>								
<u>Railway Noise</u>								
3.5.1.1	2	Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section as shown in Table 3.52 and illustrated in Figures 3.25 to 3.27 . For the 'Further Mitigation Measures for Existing and Planned NSRs', additional noise barriers/semi-enclosures have been recommended for viaduct sections shown in Table 3.57 and illustrated in Figure 3.28 to 3.30 . The viaduct structure should allow further installation of noise barrier or enclosure at the later commissioning stage, if required.	To minimize the railway airborne noise along the viaduct section of SIL(E)	MTRC / Contractor	West of ex-Canadian Hospital site, West of Ocean Park G/IC site, East and West of Wong Chuk Hang Residential Zone, along Wong Chuk Hang Nullah and along Ap Lei Chau Bridge as shown in Figure 3.25 to Figure 3.30.	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO	To be implemented as per construction programme
<u>Fixed Plant Noise</u>								
3.5.1.2	2	The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during construction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced; Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment); Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable; Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures; Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building; Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise. 	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	MTRC	All stations, entrances, and ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO	To be implemented as per construction programme

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
<i>Ground-borne</i>								
3.5.2.3	2	Using of incline turnout (a 5 dB(A) reduction in turnout and crossing vibration) and Type 1a resilient baseplated trackform within the SOH station.	To minimize the railway ground-borne noise impact	MTRC / Contractor	South Horizons Station	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO	To be implemented as per construction programme
Ecological Impact (Construction Phase)								
<i>Habitat Loss</i>								
4.7.1	3	Minimise habitat loss particularly woodland as far as possible.	Minimize habitat loss	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM	Being implemented
4.7.1	3	Temporary disturbed woodland should be reinstated in full after the completion of works	To reinstate disturbed woodland habitats	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	After completion of construction works	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM; ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 (for maintenance arrangement of vegetation)	To be implemented as per construction programme
4.7.1	3	Degraded woodland and shrubland should be reinstated after the completion of works as far as possible.	To reinstate disturbed habitats	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	After completion of construction works	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM; ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 (for maintenance arrangement of vegetation)	To be implemented as per construction programme
4.7.1	3	Habitat Compensation of permanent loss of woodland in full in terms of area.	To compensate permanent loss of woodland	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	After completion of construction works	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM; ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 (for maintenance arrangement of vegetation)	To be implemented as per construction programme
<i>Ardeid Night Roost</i>								
4.7.2.1	3	Avoidance of Site Clearance and Tree Felling Works at Wintering Season Site clearance and tree felling works at the existing ardeid night roost (location described in Figure 4.15) should only be carried out at non-wintering season (March to November inclusive). Demarcating clearly the works area and ensuring good site practise to avoid unnecessary disturbance to the ardeids during construction phase.	Avoid and minimize impact on peak period of ardeid roosting	Contractor	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
4.7.2.1 and 4.7.2.4	3	Compensate for loss of roosting trees with replanting of suitable trees The compensatory planting with suitable, heavy standard trees should be located at the lower course of the WCH Nullah approximately 200m west of the existing night roost (location shown in Figure 4.15). Trees should be replanted after construction alongside the nullah to provide a substrate for roosting.	To compensate for permanent loss of roosting trees	Contractors, advised by Ecologist	Construction Work Site at Wong Chuk Hang	After completion of construction works	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM; ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 (for maintenance arrangement of vegetation)	To be implemented as per construction programme
4.7.2.1	3	Inspection of ardeid night roost for active ardeid nests When conducting site clearance works at the existing ardeid night roost, the area should be inspected to confirm no active ardeid nest are present. If any active bird nest is observed, suitably sized buffer area should be established to minimize human or machinery disturbance until the nest is abandoned. Also the site should be monitored monthly to check the updated status.	Ensure no impact on active ardeid nests	Contractors, advised by Ecologist	Construction Work Site at Wong Chuk Hang	During Construction	Wild Animals Protection Ordinance (Cap. 170)	Being implemented
4.7.2.2	3	Avoidance of Construction Activities at Sunset Time Construction activities using PME at the potential ardeid night roost (location shown in Figure 4.13) should be ceased at 18:00 – 06:00 to avoid disturbance to the night roost ardeids.	Minimize noise impact on ardeid roosting and foraging	Contractor	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM	Being implemented
4.7.2.4	3	Coloured Panels on Noise Barriers The acoustic enclosure/ barrier should be designed with coloured panels to minimize the chance of bird collision.	To minimise the potential for bird strike	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM;	To be implemented as per construction programme
4.7.3	3	Magazine Site Implement good site practice including containment of silt runoff within the site boundary, containment of contaminated soils, appropriate storage of chemicals and wastes.	Avoid impacts to fauna species and water pollution	Contractor	Chung Hom Shan Magazine Site	During Construction	ProPECC Note PN 1/94 Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.354)	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
4.7.4	3	Coral Community at Aberdeen Channel A bridge pier construction method in which a cofferdam would be installed to create a confined dredging environment should be implemented to minimise potential impacts from suspended solid release. Good site practices should be applied to land-based construction works including containment of silt runoff within the site boundary, the containment of contaminated soils for removal from the site and appropriate storage of chemicals and chemical waste.	Avoid release of suspended solid and contaminated runoff to Aberdeen Channel	Contractors	Dredging/ excavation area required for installation of the pier/pier foundations of bridge in Aberdeen Channel	During marine construction works	WQOs & ProPECC Note PN 1/94	Being implemented
4.7.5	3	Floral Species of Conservation Interest Transplanting all affected floral species of conservation interest identified in the EcolA. <i>In-situ</i> preservation should be re-considered throughout all stages of the project.	Mitigate the removal impact on floral species of conservation interest	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	Annex 16 of EIAO-TM;	Being implemented
Water Quality Impact (Construction)								
5.7.1.1	4	Dredging/ Excavation and Seawall modification for construction of piers/pier foundations of bridge in Aberdeen Channel To minimise the loss of fine sediment to suspension, steel pile casing and watertight cofferdam should be installed and seawater trapped inside the casing and cofferdam should be pumped out to generate a dry working environment prior to carrying out sediment dredging/ excavation. The water from the dewatering should be appropriately treated with desilting or sedimentation device before discharge. Silt curtains should be deployed to completely enclose the cofferdam installation and removal works and the seawall modification and pile installation works respectively.	Avoid spillage of sediment	MTRC / Contractor	Dredging/ excavation area required for installation of the pier/pier foundations of bridge in Aberdeen Channel	During marine construction works	WQOs & ProPECC Note PN 1/94	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.7.1.2	4	<p>Barging Facilities and Activities</p> <p>To minimise the release of suspended solids to marine waters, silt curtain should be deployed to completely enclose the marine piles works during installation and decommissioning. Good site practices for operation of barging points should be followed, including appropriate sizing of vessels to ensure adequate clearance between the vessel and the seabed, controlled loading and unloading of barges and hoppers to prevent splash, installing tight fitting seals to the bottom openings to prevent leakage, and measures to prevent foam, oil, grease, scum or litter on the water within the site.</p>	To minimize suspended solids and water quality impacts	MTRC / Contractor	Barging point marine works area	During marine construction works	WQOs & ProPECC Note PN 1/94	Being implemented
5.7.1.3	4	<p>Sewage Effluent from Construction Workforce</p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC / Contractor	All works areas with on-site sanitary facilities	During Construction	Water Pollution Control Ordinance	Being implemented
5.7.1.4	4	<p>Wastewater Discharge from Tunnelling and Open Cut Excavation</p> <p>Wastewater with a high level of suspended solids should be treated before discharge by settlement in tanks with sufficient retention time. Oil interceptors would be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from wastewater. Should the level of suspended solids be very high, an on-site pre-packaged treatment plant might be required with the addition of flocculants to improve the settlement of solids. A discharge licence under the WPCO would be required for discharge to stormwater drain.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC / Contractor	All works areas	During Construction	Water Pollution Control Ordinance	Being implemented
5.7.1.5	4	<p>Construction Site Runoff and Drainage</p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area i.e. WSD seawater intakes along the Aberdeen Channel:</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC / Contractor	All works areas	During Construction	ProPECC Note PN 1/94	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At the start of site establishment (including the barging facilities), perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. • The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. • Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. • If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. • The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows. 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. • Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. • Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. • Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 						
5.7.1.6	4	<p>General Construction Activities</p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC / Contractor	All works areas	During Construction	EIA Recommendation	Being implemented
Water Quality Impact (Operation)								
5.7.2.1	4	<p>Change in flow regime and hydrology in Aberdeen Channel (Typhoon Shelter) (ATS) due to railway bridge</p> <p>Streamline shaped bridge pier to reduce friction to the tidal flows across the Aberdeen Channel should been considered in the conceptual design of the bridge form.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC / Detailed Design Consultant	Pier/pier foundations of bridge in Aberdeen Channel	During Detailed Design	EIA Recommendation	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.7.2.3	4	<p>Sewage and wastewater effluents from stations and depot</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Runoff and spillage prevention measures should conform to relevant engineering and design standards. Any opportunities for the recycling of water within the automatic washing facilities should be sought to minimise discharge requirements. Bio-degradable detergents should be selected to minimise the impact on water quality and associated ecosystems of the receiving water bodies. Plant maintenance areas should be bunded and constructed on an impermeable floor, and provided with petrol interceptors. Traps and interceptors should be regularly cleaned and maintained, especially after any accidental spillages. Layers of sawdust, sand or equivalent material should be laid underneath and around any plant and equipment that may possibly leak oil. An emergency spillage action plan should be developed for the Depot to ensure that any accidental spillage event is treated immediately and does not impact on any water bodies. All fuel tanks and storage areas within the Depot should be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent the escape of spilled fuel oils. Waste oil and other chemicals must be disposed by a licensed contractor to either the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap 354). 	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC	SIL(E) Stations & WCH Depot	During Operation	WPCO	To be implemented as per construction programme

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.7.2.4	4	<p>Runoff from rail tracks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Track drainage channels discharge should pass through oil/grit interceptors/chambers to remove oil, grease and sediment before being pumped to the public stormwater drainage system. Silt traps and oil interceptors should be cleaned and maintained regularly. Oily contents of the oil interceptors should be transferred to an appropriate disposal facility, or to be collected for reuse, if possible. 	To minimize water quality impacts	MTRC	Along the SIL(E) tracks	During Operation	WPCO	To be implemented as per construction programme
Landscape and Visual Impact (Construction Phase)								
Table 6-13	Preservation of Existing Vegetation							
CP1.1	5	To retain trees, which have high amenity or ecology value and contribute most to the landscape and visual amenity of the site and its immediate environs.	To minimise the disturbance to the existing landscape resources.	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Implemented
CP1.2	5	Creation of precautionary area around trees to be retained equal to half of the trees canopy diameter. Precautionary area to be fenced.	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Before construction phase commence	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Implemented
CP1.3	5	Prohibition of the storage of materials including fuel, the movement of construction vehicles, and the refuelling and washing of equipment including concrete mixers within the precautionary area.	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Throughout construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP1.4	5	Phased segmental root pruning for trees to be retained and transplanted over a suitable period (determined by species and size) prior to lifting or site formation works which affect the existing rootball of trees identified for retention. The extent of the pruning will be based on the size and the species of the tree in each case.	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Throughout construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP1.5	5	Pruning of the branches of existing trees identified for transplantation and retention to be based on the principle of crown thinning maintaining their form and amenity value.	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Throughout construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
CP1.6	5	The watering of existing vegetation particularly during periods of excavation when the water table beneath the existing vegetation is lowered.	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Throughout construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP1.7	5	The rectification and repair of damaged vegetation following the construction phase to it's original condition prior to the commencement of the works or replacement using specimens of the same species, size and form where appropriate to the design intention of the area affected	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Throughout construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	To be implemented as per construction programme
CP1.8	5	All works affecting the trees identified for retention and transplanted will be carefully monitored. This includes the key stages in the preparation of the trees, the implementation of protection measures and health monitoring through out the construction period	To ensure the success of the tree preservation proposals.	Contractor	Site	Throughout construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP1.9	5	Detailed landscape and tree preservation proposals will be submitted to the relevant government departments for approval under the lease conditions and in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 2/2004 and 3/2006.	To ensure the tree preservation and planting proposals are integrated with the existing landscape context and that the landscape resources are preserved where appropriate.	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Implemented
CP1.10	5	The tree preservation works should be implemented. A tree protection specification would be included within the contract documents.	To ensure the tree preservation and planting proposals are integrated with the existing landscape context and that the landscape resources are preserved where appropriate.	Project Proponent	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Implemented
Table 6-13	Works Area and Temporary Works Areas							
CP2.1	5	Where appropriate to the final design the landscape of these works areas should be restored following the completion of the construction phase.	To minimise the disturbance to existing landscape resources and change of visual amenity.	Contractor	Site	Through out construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18	To be implemented as per construction programme

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
CP2.2	5	Construction site controls should be enforced including the storage of materials, the location and appearance of site accommodation and the careful design of site lighting to prevent light spillage.	To minimise the disturbance to existing landscape resources and change of visual amenity.	Contractor	Site	Through out construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18	Being implemented
CP2.3	5	Screen the works area during the construction phase through the use of decorative hoarding along the site boundary facing adjacent VSRs	To minimise the disturbance to existing landscape resources and change of visual amenity.	Contractor	Site	Through out construction phase	TM-EIA Annex 18	Being implemented
Table 6-13	Implementation of Mitigation Planting and planting species selection							
CP3.1	5	Replanting of disturbed vegetation should be undertaken at the earliest possible stage of the construction phase.	To minimise the disturbance to existing landscape resources and minimize the impacts on the visual amenity of the area.	Contractor	Site	After the site formation and on completion of planting area.	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP3.2	5	Use of native plant species predominantly in the planting design for the buffer areas.	To enhance the local landscape and ecological value.	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)	Site	After the site formation and on completion of planting area.	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP3.3	5	Proposed mitigation planting shall not only limit to conventional amenity planting, but also considered alternative greening measures such as vertical greening for screening or soften the built structures. Small shrubs, climbing plants, grass and groundcovers shall be used in specific locations according to site condition and at where would not interfere the operation of railway and its associated facilities.	To maximise the planting opportunities	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP3.4	5	The tree planting works should be implemented by approved Landscape Contractors and inspected and approved on site by a qualified Landscape Architect. A tree planting specification would be included within the contract documents.	To ensure the tree preservation and planting proposals are integrated with the existing landscape context and that valuable landscape	Project Proponent	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Table 6-13		Transplantation of Existing Trees						
CP4.1	5	The tree transplanting works should be implemented by approved Landscape Contractors and inspected and approved on site by a qualified Landscape Architect. A tree protection / transplanting specification would be included within the contract documents.	To ensure the tree preservation and planting proposals are integrated with the existing landscape context and that valuable landscape resources are preserved where appropriate to the final design.	Project Proponent / Contractor	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP4.2	5	Approximately 437 existing trees to be transplanted, majority of them shall be relocated to off-site planting areas. The final recipient sites should be, as far as space allows, adjacent to their current locations alongside of the alignment.	To retain their contribution to the local landscape context.	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor / Project Proponent (planting areas associated with station and alignment)/ LCSD (roadside and park areas)	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP4.3	5	Tree to be transplanted to planting areas identified in the "Southern District Greening Master Plan" shall be, as far as programme allows, directly relocated to their final recipient sites.	To minimise the disturbance to the landscape resources.	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor / Project Proponent (planting areas associated with station and alignment)/ LCSD (roadside and park areas)	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP4.4	5	Tree to be replanted along the alignment shall be kept in the temporary holding nurseries which closely monitoring by landscape contractor.	To enhance the survivals of the transplanted trees	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
CP4.5	5	The implementation programme for the proposed works should reserve enough time for the advance tree transplanting preparation works.	To enhance the survivals of the transplanted trees	Project Proponent/ Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP4.6	5	The implementation programme for the proposed works should reserve enough time for the advance tree transplanting preparation works.	To enhance the survivals of the transplanted trees	Project Proponent/ Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
CP4.7	5	The tree transplanting works should be implemented by approved Landscape Contractors and inspected and approved on site by a qualified Landscape Architect. A tree protection specification would be included within the contract documents.	To enhance the survivals of the transplanted trees	Project Proponent/ Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004	Being implemented
Table 6-13		Coordination with Concurrent Projects						
CP5.1	5	Coordinated implementation programme with concurrent projects	To minimise potential impact where possible reduce the period of disturbance.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Contractor	Site	Throughout design and construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18.	Being implemented
<u>Landscape and Visual Impact (Operation Phase)</u>								
Table 6-14		Design of Engineering and Building Structures						
OP1.1	5	Where possible integrate the engineering and building structures, as far as technically feasible, with existing built structures. Select responsive The locations for the associated facilities away from landscape and visually sensitive areas.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
OP1.2	5	Use of a responsive design for the disposition of the main elements including the locations of the proposed above ground structures.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout Design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP1.3	5	The disposition and height profile of the proposed ground structures should respond to the existing context.	To enhance the sense of visual integration with the existing context, avoid abrupt transitions between the existing and proposed built environment and reduce the apparent visual mass of the proposed developments.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP1.4	5	Creation of setbacks, articulating the development frontage, maintenance of view corridors and the utilisation of stepped or articulated height profile.	To enhance the sense of visual integration with the existing context, avoid abrupt transitions between the existing and proposed built environment and reduce the apparent visual mass of the proposed developments.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP1.5	5	Use of natural materials such as colour blocking, innovative surface treatments and vertical greening.	To reduce the apparent visual mass of the facilities.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP1.6	5	Use of natural materials such as colour blocking, innovative surface treatments and vertical greening.	To reduce the apparent visual mass of the facilities.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ Project Proponent	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP1.7	5	Use of natural tones colour palette and non-reflective materials for outward facing building facades finishes.	To reduce the potential glare effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, HKPSG and BD	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
OP1.8	5	Incorporation of landscaped terraced edges where conditions allow particularly those fronting the public realm.	To reduce the apparent visual mass of the structure and create a more subtle transition with the pedestrian level streetscape.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP1.9	5	Aesthetic design of architectural and track lighting sign shall follow the following design intention. - Directional and full cut off lighting is recommended particularly for recreation and roadside areas; - Minimize geographical spread of lighting, only applied for safety at the key access points and staircases; - Limited lighting intensity to meet the minimum safety and operational requirement; and - High-pressure sodium road lighting is recommended for more stringent light control.	To reduce the night-time glare effect to the surrounding environs, reducing spillage and thus visual impacts.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Through out operation phase	TM-EIA Annex 18	To be implemented as per construction programme
Table 6-14		Roadside and Amenity Planting						
OP2.1	5	Utilise large ornamental trees to maximise the area of visible greenery, soften the interface between the proposed scheme and adjacent urban fabric and enhance the thermal comfort of adjacent spaces.	Provide a linkage with the existing landscape creating a more coherent landscape framework.	Project Landscape Architect(Detailed Design Consultants)/ Project Proponent	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP2.2	5	Planting proposals should respond to the need for visual access in the views from the adjacent neighbourhoods to the roadside or rural landscape. Whereas dense foliage plants shall be provided at other locations to screen and frame views, provide a more shaded environment for pedestrians and provide accents within the existing roadside planting.	Conserve and enhance the landscape interest.	Project Landscape Architect(Detailed Design Consultants)/ Project Proponent	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP2.3	5	The planting on sloping ground and areas adjacent to existing woodland shall utilise native species.	Improving the ecological connectivity between existing woodland habitats and creating a more unified and coherent landscape framework.	Project Landscape Architect(Detailed Design Consultants)/ Project Proponent	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG and BD	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Table 6-14		Compensatory Planting Proposals						
OP3.1	5	Utilise all available spaces for new tree and shrub planting to screen views of the proposals and where this is not possible soften their architectural form.	To soften the architectural form and enhance their visual integration within the future landscape context.	Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants) / LCSD	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP3.2	5	New tree planting will be concentrated in the proposed amenity areas along the alignment and surrounding the stations, and providing infill planting between the retained and transplanted trees; and on the disturbed slope areas.	To restore and enhance existing landscape context.	Project Proponent / NA	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG and BD	Implemented
OP3.3	5	The preliminary planting proposals for the proposed works shall adopt a compensatory planting ratio of 1:1 (new planting: trees recommended for felling) utilising a combination of mature to light standard sized stock in general roadside and planting areas adjacent to proposed stations and above ground structures.	To compensate the loss of existing trees.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants)	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG	Implemented
OP3.4	5	The retention of existing trees through their preservation in-situ, the transplantation of trees found to be in conflict with the proposed works and the successful establishment of the newly planted trees will form part of the roadside and slope planting enhancing the amenity of the local areas and providing for the thermal comfort of pedestrians.	To compensate the loss of existing trees.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants)	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG	Implemented
OP3.5	5	The proposed compensatory and new tree planting will utilise a combination of species native to Hong Kong and ornamental feature trees for the slope areas and those alongside the infrastructure corridors. The species selection for the areas adjacent to proposed stations and within the main urban areas will utilise a range of amenity tree species. These proposals will be subject to further development during the detailed design stage of the project.	To compensate the loss of existing trees.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants)	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG	Implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
OP3.6	5	The preliminary planting proposals for the proposed works shall adopt a compensatory planting ratio of 1:1 (new planting: trees recommended for felling) utilising a combination of mature to light standard sized stock in general roadside and planting areas adjacent to proposed stations and above ground structures.	To compensate the loss of existing trees.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants)	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG	Implemented
OP3.7	5	The retention of existing trees through their preservation in-situ, the transplantation of trees found to be in conflict with the proposed works and the successful establishment of the newly planted trees will form part of the roadside and slope planting enhancing the amenity of the local areas and providing for the thermal comfort of pedestrians.	To compensate the loss of existing trees.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants)	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG	Implemented
OP3.8	5	The proposed compensatory and new tree planting will utilise a combination of species native to Hong Kong and ornamental feature trees for the slope areas and those alongside the infrastructure corridors. The species selection for the areas adjacent to proposed stations and within the main urban areas will utilise a range of amenity tree species. These proposals will be subject to further development during the detailed design stage of the project.	To compensate the loss of existing trees.	Project Proponent / Project Landscape Architect (IDC Consultants)	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 & 2/2004, HKPSG	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Table 6-14	5	<p>Treatment of Retaining Wall and Slopes</p> <p>The proposed treatment of Retaining Wall and Slopes should be aesthetically enhanced through the use of soft landscape works including tree and shrub planting to give man-made slopes a more natural appearance blending into the local rural landscape.</p>	<p>The design seeks to visually integrate the engineered slope feature within the local landscape context.</p>	<p>Project Landscape Architect(Detailed Design Consultants)/ / LCSD or HyD</p>	Site	Through out design phase	<p>TM-EIA Annex 18, HKPSG and BD GEO Publication No. 1/2000 "Technical Guidelines on Landscape Treatment and Bio-engineering for Man-made Slopes and Retaining Walls" For HyD SIMAR slopes refer to Standard Requirements for Handover of Vegetation on to Highway Department (Rev.B).</p>	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
OP4.2	5	Whip sized tree planting is preferred on the face of soil cut slopes and at the crest and toe of the slope, and within berm planters. The smaller, younger plant stock will adapt to their new growing conditions more quickly than larger sized stock and establish a naturalistic effect more rapidly.	The design seeks to visually integrate the engineered slope feature within the local landscape context.	Project Landscape Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ / LCSD or HyD	Site	Through out design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18, HKPSG and BD GEO Publication No. 1/2000 "Technical Guidelines on Landscape Treatment and Bio-engineering for Man-made Slopes and Retaining Walls" For HyD SIMAR slopes refer to Standard Requirements for Handover of Vegetation on to Highways Department (Rev.B).	Implemented
Table 6-14		Design of Noise Mitigation Structures						
OP5.1	5	Noise mitigation structures installed along the trackside should not be limited to the functional requirements of mitigating train noise. It should also include a requirement that these structures make a positive contribution to the urban / semi-rural landscape character of this area and by doing so improve the perceived landscape quality of the area. These barriers would be visible from some VSRs identified in the study.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP5.2	5	Promote the innovative use of materials, such as Plexiglas, fibreglass, reinforced concrete etc, whilst remaining aware of the design life span of each of the elements incorporated in the design.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
OP5.3	5	Reduce the visual effect of the structure through the use of form, materials, textures colours and tones.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP5.4	5	Incorporation of articulation in the façade of the noise barriers / enclosures through the use of some transparent sections near the upper portion of the proposed structure.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP5.5	5	Reflect the chromatic context of the surrounding urban landscape through the use of colour panels in the proposed noise barrier.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
OP5.6	5	Utilise materials, which are non-reflective avoiding glare from incident sunlight.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD	Implemented
Table 6-14	Design of Engineering Structures							
OP6.1	5	The landscape consultants have worked in unison with the engineers on the aesthetic aspects of the structures and their relationship with the landscape.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Landscape Architects (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented
OP6.2	5	The structures shall aim to “touch” the ground as lightly as possible in order to minimise disturbance to the existing landscape and vegetation below the structures. This would be achieved by designing slender, rounded columns spaced the maximum distance apart. The viaducts would be constructed using pre-cast methods and launched from columns rather than scaffolding. The viaduct should be designed to achieve where appropriate a graceful, curving alignment.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
OP6.3	5	Landform and vegetation in areas disturbed by construction works would be reinstated to blend with the existing landscape patterns or as discussed above.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented
OP6.4	5	Wherever light levels, the water regime and the requirements of the ecological mitigation measures permit, vegetation would be reinstated below the structures. Irrigation may be required in some locations and hard landscape solutions considered where the clearance is low. Planting would be used wherever possible to minimise the apparent height of structures and to soften their appearance in medium and long distance views.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented
OP6.2	5	The design of the proposed structures should avoid unnecessary visual clutter, this would be achieved through the co-ordination of the various engineering disciplines involved to arrive at innovative design solutions.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented
OP6.5	5	Fair faced concrete would not be used for parapets to minimise glare from the structure and to avoid the visually detracting effect of staining.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented
OP6.6	5	Drainage structures would where possible be concealed within the structure of the proposed viaducts.	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Architect (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout design phase	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented
Table 6-14	Reinstatement and Creation of Open Spaces and Gardens							
OP7.1	5	The landscape consultants have worked in unison with the engineers on the aesthetic aspects for Reinstatement and Creation of Open Spaces and Gardens	To ensure the proposals are integrated with the existing landscape and visual context, and avoid cluster effect.	Project Engineer and Landscape Architects (Detailed Design Consultants)/ NA	Site	Throughout Design and Construction phases	TM-EIA Annex 18 and BD, ACABAS	Implemented

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Hazard to Life								
A7A	6	Improved truck design to reduce the amount of combustibles in, front exhaust spark arrester, 1 x 9 kg water based and 1 x 9 kg dry chemical powder fire extinguishers for a vehicle with gross weight up to 9 tonnes, and 2 x 2.5kg dry powder and 2 x 10-litre foam fire extinguishers to be provided for a vehicle of 9 tonnes and above, and a hand-held lightning detector to be provided in the vehicle. This should be combined with monthly vehicle inspection.	To meet the ALARP requirement	MTRC/ Contractor	Explosive Magazine	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Blasting activities including storage and transport of explosives should be supervised and audited by competent site staff to ensure strict compliance with the blasting permit conditions.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage and transport would not be unacceptable	MTRC / Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be stored and/or used	Construction phase	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Only the required quantity of explosives for a particular blast should be transported to avoid the return of unused explosives to the magazine. The number of return trips to the magazine with the full load of explosives or partial load should be minimised by proper co-ordination between blasting and delivery. If disposal is required for small quantities, disposal should be made in a controlled and safe manner by a Registered Shotfirer.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	MTRC/ Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be stored and/ or used	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	The explosive truck accident frequency should be minimized by implementing a dedicated training programme for both the driver and his attendants, including regular briefing sessions, implementation of a defensive driving attitude. In addition, drivers should be selected based on good safety record, and medical checks.	To meet the ALARP requirement.	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	The contractor should as far as practicable combine the explosive deliveries for a given work area.	To meet the ALARP requirement.	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
A7A	6	The explosive truck fire involvement frequency should be minimized by implementing a better emergency response and training to make sure the adequate fire extinguishers are used and attempt is made to evacuate the area of the incident or securing the explosive load if possible. All explosive vehicles should also be equipped with bigger capacity AFFF-type extinguishers.	To meet the ALARP requirement.	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	A minimum headway between two consecutive trucks conveys of at least 10 min is recommended.	To ensure that the risk from the proposed explosives transport would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	Along explosives transport route	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Use only experienced driver(s) with good safety record for explosive vehicle(s). Training should be provided to ensure it covers all major safety subjects.	To ensure safe transport of explosives	MTRC/ Contractor	At suitable location	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Develop procedure to ensure that parking space on the site is available for the explosive truck. Confirmation of parking space should be communicated to truck drivers before delivery.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage and transport would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	Explosive magazine	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Delivery vehicles shall not be permitted to remain unattended within the magazine site (or appropriately wheel-locked).	To reduce the risk of fire within the magazine	MTRC / Contractor	Explosive Magazine	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Good house-keeping within and outside of the magazine to ensure that combustible materials (including vegetation) are removed and not allowed to accumulate.	To reduce the risk of fire within the magazine	MTRC / Contractor	Explosive Magazine	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Detonators shall not be transported in the same vehicle with other Class 1 explosives.	To reduce the risk of explosion during the transport of cartridge emulsion	MTRC / Contractor		Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Emergency plan (i.e. magazine operational manual) shall be developed to address uncontrolled fire in magazine area. The case of fire near an explosive carrying truck in jammed traffic should also be covered. Drill of the emergency plan should be carried out at regular intervals.	To reduce the risk of fire	MTRC/ Contractor	Explosive Magazine and along explosives transport route	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Adverse weather working guideline should be developed to clearly define procedure for transport explosives during thunderstorm.	To ensure safe transport of explosives	MTRC/ Contractor	Along explosives transport route	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme

Appendix F Implementation of Environmental Mitigation Measures

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
A7A	6	During transport of the explosives within the tunnel, hot work should not be permitted.	To ensure safe transport of explosives	MTRC/ Contractor	Along explosives transport route	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Ensure that UN 1.4B packaging of detonators remains intact until handed over at blasting site.	To reduce the risk of explosion during the transport of detonator	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Contractor to ensure that any electro-explosive devices are sufficiently shielded from radio frequency radiation hazards.	To reduce the risk of explosion during the transport of detonators	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Steel vehicle tray welded to a steel vertical fire screen should be mounted at least 150 mm behind the drivers cab and 100 mm from the steel cargo compartment, the vertical screen shall protrude 150 mm in excess of all three (3) sides of the steel cargo compartment.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport.	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Ensure cartridge emulsion with high water content should be preferred. Also, the emulsion with perchlorate formulation should be avoided.	To ensure safe explosives to be used	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	Traffic Management should be implemented within the temporary magazine site, to ensure that no more than 1 vehicle will be loaded at any time, in order to avoid accidents involving multiple vehicles within the site boundary. Based on the construction programme, considering that 6 trucks could be loaded over a peak 2 hour period, this is considered feasible.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage and transport would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	The design of the fill slope close to the temporary magazine site should consider potential washout failures and incorporate engineering measures to prevent a washout causing damage to the temporary magazine stores	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	The security plan should address different alert security level to reduce opportunity for arson / deliberate initiation of explosives. The corresponding security procedure should be implemented with respect to prevailing security alert status announced by the Government.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
A7A	6	A suitable work control system should be introduced, such as an operational manual including Permit-to-Work system.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
A7A	6	The magazine building shall be regularly checked for water seepage through the roof, walls or floor.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives storage would not be unacceptable	MTRC/ Contractor	-	Construction phase		To be implemented as per construction programme
Waste Management (Construction Phase)								
8.5.1.1	7	<p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental impact from transporting the C&D material is not anticipated Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains 	To reduce waste generation	MTRC / Contractor	Construction Work Sites (General)	During Construction	<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.354);</p> <p>Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation (Cap 354) and</p> <p>ETWBTC No. 15/2003, Waste Management on Construction Site</p>	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
8.5.1.2	7	<p>Waste Reduction Measures Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sort C&D material from demolition and decommissioning of the existing facilities to recover recyclable portions such as metals Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste. 	For perform waste reduction	MTRC / Contractor	Construction Work Sites (General)	During Construction	<p>Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.354);</p> <p>Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28)</p>	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
8.5.1.3	7	<p>C&D Material</p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. In addition, C&D material generated from excavation works could be reused as rock material in local projects that require public fill for reclamation and earth filling purposes, say, 'Hong Kong – Zhuhai – Macao Bridge' in association with Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities and Hong Kong Link Road, Central-Wanchai Bypass and Wanchai Development Phase II project (subject to further coordination). The surplus rock and other inert C&D material should be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs), for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR, or transported to Mainland China via CEDD for use by other suitable projects in the Mainland. C&D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and • In order to monitor the disposal of C&D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included. 	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&D material for off-site disposal	MTRC / Contractor	Construction Work Sites (General)	During Construction	ETWB TCW No. 31/2004	Being implemented
8.5.1.4	7	<p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	MTRC / Contractor	Construction Work Sites (General)	During Construction	Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
8.5.1.5	7	<p>Chemical Waste</p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	MTRC / Contractor	Construction Work Sites (General)	During Construction	Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes, Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	Being implemented
8.5.1.6	7	<p>Marine Dredged Sediment</p> <p>The sediment at the pier site would be dredged and transferred to barges for subsequent disposal. Release of dredged sediment into the surrounding water should be avoided. It is recommended that the distance between the barge and the dredging point be shortened as far as possible to avoid dropping of sediment from the close grab to the seawater.</p>	To minimise potential impacts on water quality	MTRC/ Contractor	Dredging/ excavation area required for installation of the pier/pier structure in Aberdeen Channel	During marine construction works	ETWB TCW No. 34/2002	Being implemented
8.5.1.6	7	Category H material was identified at the grab sampling location at the dredging/excavation site. As there was no exceedance of 10xLCEL for the tested parameters, the sediment to be dredged at this location should be disposed of at a confined marine disposal site. The Project Proponent should agree with MFC on the allocation of disposal site and the Contractor should apply a dumping permit from EPD prior to the dredging / excavation works.	To prevent cross contamination of waste.	MTRC/ Contractor	Dredging/ excavation area with Category H material	During marine construction works	ETWB TCW No. 34/2002	To be implemented as per construction programme

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Waste Management (Operation Phase)								
8.5.2.1	7	<p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be collected on daily basis and delivered to the refuse collection point accordingly. A reputable waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse regularly to avoid odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem. Recycling containers are recommended to be provided to encourage recycling aluminium cans and waste paper.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	MTRC	Stations and depot	During Operation	Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation	To be implemented as per construction programme
8.5.2.2	7	<p>Industrial Waste</p> <p>Similar to general refuse, a reputable waste collector should be employed to remove industrial waste regularly to avoid accumulation. Scrap materials such as metals can be recycled if uncontaminated.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of industrial waste for off-site disposal	MTRC	Stations and depot	During Operation	Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation	To be implemented as per construction programme
8.5.2.3	7	<p>Chemical Waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer should be obtained and guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i> should be followed. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. Licensed collector should be deployed to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. 	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	MTRC	Stations and depot	During Operation	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste	To be implemented as per construction programme
Land Contamination (Construction Phase)								

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
9.7.1	8	<p>The following measures should be implemented for contaminated material excavation and transportation (if any):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To minimize the chance for construction workers' to come into contact with contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed; • Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition of smoking and eating on site; • Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible; • The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pre-treatment was carried out; • Vehicles containing any excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater; • Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge; • Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and with the implementation of tracking system to avoid fly tipping; • Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be carried out; • Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap 354) and obtain all necessary permits where required; and • Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements. 	To minimize as much as possible any nuisance generated in relation to land remediation activities. At the same time, to protect all personnel from possible risk associated with land remediation activities.	MTRC / Contractor	All site areas	During Construction	EIA Recommendations	Being implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Land Contamination (Operation Phase)								
9.7.2	8	Defined procedures in handling chemicals should be implemented as part of MTRCL company policy. All relevant operational procedures should be strictly followed to avoid land contamination.	To minimize as much as possible any risk in association with land contamination during operation of the Project	MTRC	All areas within the Project	During Operation	EIA Recommendations	To be implemented as per construction programme
Air Quality Impact (Construction Phase)								
10.6.2	9	Specific Dust Control Measures	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendations	Being implemented
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the unloading of spoil from trucks at barging point, installation of 3-sided screen with top and the provision of water sprays at the discharge point should be provided 						
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Watering every working hour for 12 hours a day on exposed soil areas on active works areas and paved haul roads to reduce dust emissions 						
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The rock crushing facilities with maximum daily output of over 1000m³ per day should be enclosed including unloading locations and a fabric baghouse/cartridge filter type dust extraction and collection system or equivalent system with 99% or more dust removal efficiency should be installed for the treatment of the emissions from rock crushing and screening processes. 						
10.6.2	9	Best practices for dust control are required. A control programme can be instigated to monitor the construction process in order to enforce dust controls and modify methods of works where feasible to reduce the dust emission down to acceptable levels. The following best practices for dust control should be implemented throughout the construction period: Disturbed Parts of the Roads	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, EPD's Best Practicable Means and EIA Recommendations	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each and every main temporary access should be paved with concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unpaved parts of the road would be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. <p>Exposed Earth</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. <p>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. <p>Debris Handling</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped. For the minor blasting at WCH Depot, tarpaulin covers would be provided on the steel screens to prevent the dust from spreading out, and the whole blasting area would be watered before and after each blast in order to help contain the dust and fumes. <p>Transport of Dusty Materials</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/ spoil should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. <p>Wheel Washing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. 						


EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
		<p>Stone Crushing Plant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The control measures listed in EPD's A Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Mineral Works (Stone Crushing Plants) BPM 11/1) should be followed, where appropriate. <p>Concrete Batching Plant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The loading, unloading, handling, transfer or storage of dusty materials should be carried in a totally enclosed system. All dust-laden air or waste gas generated by the process operations should be properly extracted and vented to fabric filtering system. The control measures listed in EPD's A guidance note on the best practicable means for cement works (concrete batching plant) (BPM 3/2) should be followed, where appropriate. <p>Good Site Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust emission. Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission. Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions. The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
Cultural Heritage Impact (Construction Phase)								
11.7.1.1	10	Archaeological watching brief during the construction phase is recommended for areas highlighted as having some archaeological potential (The works sites that will require archaeological watching brief can be found in the following figures in the main report: Harcourt Garden (Figure 11.22 of EIA Report), Wong Chuk Hang San Wai (WS10) in Figure 11.35 of EIA Report and Works Sites S7, S7c, S7d and S7e (Figure 11.28 of EIA Report)	To identify and record any archaeological material or features revealed during the excavation phase of the works schedule	MTRC/ Contractor	Admiralty: Harcourt Garden Works Site; Wong Chuk Hang: Works Sites S7c,d,e, Works Site S7, Pier Columns within Works Site S10	During Construction	Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance	Implemented

APPENDIX G

Skip Hoists and Storage Hopper System at Harcourt Garden

G:\Documents and Settings\WillUser\Application Data\Bentley\MicroStation V8i (SELECTseries 1)\WorkSpace\system\p1cfrto\p1cfrto.dwg
 PLOT DATE: 2012/07/30 10:00:00
 FILENAME: 901/W/ADM/CLK/T01/1542.dgn



UPDATED STOCKPILE ARRANGEMENT:
 OPEN STOCKPILE AREA STATED IN EIA = 851m²
 UPDATED OPEN STOCKPILE AREA = 138m²

LEGEND:

- MUCK OUT POINT
- OPENING AREA
- OPEN STOCKPILE
- PAVED HAUL ROAD
- SITE BOUNDARY
- CONCRETE SLAB

REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED	REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED
A2	MINDOR TEXT REVISED AND TITLE CHANGED	JK	08AUG12						
A1	FIRST DRAFT	JK	30JUL12						

DRAWN	JN
DESIGNED	--
CHECKED	JK
APPROVED	--
DATE	05/JUL/2012

MTR

SOUTH ISLAND LINE (EAST)

ORIGINATOR

KIER **LANDS ORIGINATOR** **Kaden** 捷利

KIER-LAING O'ROURKE-KADEN JOINT VENTURE

TITLE

CONTRACT 901
 ADMIRALTY INTEGRATED STATION AND SCL ENABLING WORKS



SITE LAYOUT PLAN

SCALE 1:300 (A1)

DRAWING NO. 901/W/ADM/CLK/T01/156


REV. A2

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE VERIFIED ON SITE.
 © MTR CORPORATION LIMITED 2008. COPYRIGHT IN THIS DRAWING IS RESERVED BY MTR CORPORATION LIMITED OF HONG KONG. NO REPRODUCTION OF THIS DRAWING, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IS PERMITTED WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE MTR CORPORATION LIMITED.



Allgemeintoleranzen ISO 2768-mk bei Schweißkonstruktionen EN ISO 13920-B		Abmessung	Werkstoff	Gewicht
Datum 17.04.2012		Benennung DUST & NOISE ENCLOSURE FOR SKIPPHOST 1 AND HOPPER		
Name ----		Zeichng.Nr. 9000 1200 0001		And.Zust.
Gepr.		Maßstab 1:75	Format	Bl.
Ers.f		EDVNR Plan-Baustelle.dwg		Ursprung
Ers.d		Für diese Zeichnung besteht der Urnehberschutz nach DIN 34		



FILENAME: E:\User\400\Design_Engineering\156_Cad - firm\1\Fz_abb_Vopelina.system_ges_siem_V9000\2000001_2012_08_M.dwg
 E:\User\400\Design_Engineering\156_Cad - firm\1\Fz_abb_Vopelina.system_ges_siem_V9000\2000001_2012_08_M.dwg




C:\Documents and Settings\AllUsers\AppData\Local\Temp\mfc08station\WBISELECTseries in Mtr\NoiseSystem\patcrg\partner.patcrg
 FILENAME: I:\Live\400\Design\Engineering\406_Cad - Jimmy\SL901_SK0029.dgn

REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED	REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED
A1	FIRST ISSUED								
		JK	30JUL12						




DRAWN	JN
DESIGNED	---
CHECKED	JK
APPROVED	---
DATE	30/JUL/2012


SOUTH ISLAND LINE (EAST)
 ORIGINATOR




KIER-LAING O'ROURKE-KADEN JOINT VENTURE

TITLE CONTRACT 901 ADMIRALTY INTEGRATED STATION AND SCL ENABLING WORKS	
SCHEMATIC DRAWING OF NOISE & DUST ENCLOSURE WITH MIST SYSTEM FOR SKIP HOIST 2 & 3	
SCALE	NIL
DRAWING NO.	SL901_SK0029
REV.	A1



C:\Documents and Settings\Milusera\Application Data\Bentley\MicroStation\181\SELECT\barries in WorkSpace\System\pctrig\crinter.plt\cfig
 PLOT.DWG: 9/1/2012 10:44:45 AM
 FILENAME: 901/W/ADM/CLK/T11/2384.dgn

REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED	REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED
	A					FIRST ISSUED	JK	08AUG12	

DRAWN	MDAY
DESIGNED	
CHECKED	JK
APPROVED	
DATE	08/AUG/2012


SOUTH ISLAND LINE (EAST)
 ORIGINATOR



KIER-LAING O'ROURKE-KADEN JOINT VENTURE

TITLE CONTRACT 901 ADMIRALTY INTEGRATED STATION AND SCL ENABLING WORKS		SEE SHAFT NOISE & DUST ENCLOSURE WITH STORAGE HOPPER SECTIONS DRAWING
SCALE 1 : 100 (A1)	DRAWING NO. 901/W/ADM/CLK/T11/238	
		REV. A