




Quarterly EM&A Report No.1

(Period from 28 June to 30 September 2018)

(Clause 3.3, Further Environmental Permit FEP-01/429/2012/A)

Document No.

KSZHJV	/	312	/		/		/	B
Issuer		Project Code		Type of Document		Sequential No.		Revision Index

	Prepared by:	Certified by:	Verified by:
Name	Nelson Tsui	Gabriel C.K. Lam	Mandy To
Position	Environmental Team	Environmental Team Leader	Independent Environmental Checker
Signature			
Date:	31 January 2019	31 January 2019	31 January 2019

Revision History

B	Revision based on AFCD's comments	25 January 2019
A	First Submission	24 December 2018
Rev.	DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATION	DATE

CONTENT

1. Basic Project Information	5
2. Marine Water Quality Monitoring.....	8
3. Noise Monitoring	14
4. Waste	18
5. Coral	19
6. Marine Mammal	29
7. White-Bellied Sea Eagle	32
8. Summary of Monitoring Exceedance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecutions	34
9. EM&A Site Inspection	35
10. Conclusion and Recommendations.....	36

Appendix A	Master Programme
Appendix B	Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation
Appendix C	Water Quality Monitoring Data Trending
Appendix D	Noise Monitoring Data Trending
Appendix E	Waste Flow Table
Appendix F	Photo Records for Coral Monitoring
Appendix G	Photo Records for Marine Mammal Monitoring
Appendix H	Photo Records for White-bellied Sea Eagle Monitoring
Appendix I	Complaint Log

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A1. The Project, Integrated Waste Management Facility (IWMF), is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO) and is currently governed by a Further Environmental Permit (FEP No. FEP-01/429/2012/A) for the construction and operation of the Project.
- A2. In accordance with the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual for the Project, EM&A works for marine water quality, noise, waste management and ecology should be carried out by Environmental Team (ET), Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited (ASCL), during the construction phase of the Project.
- A3. This is the 1st Quaterly EM&A Report, prepared by ASCL, for the Project summarizing and concluding the monitoring results and audit findings of the EM&A programme at and around Shek Kwu Chau (SKC) during the reporting period from 28 June 2018 to 30 September 2018.
- A4. The EM&A works for construction noise, water quality, construction waste, coral, marine mammal and White-Bellied Sea Eagle (WBSE) were conducted during the reporting period in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual.
- A5. Weekly site inspections of the construction works were carried out by ET to audit the mitigation measures implementation status. Monthly joint site inspections were carried out by ET and IEC.

1. BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 The Reporting Scope

- 1.1.1 This is the 1st Quarterly EM&A Report for the Project which summarizes the key findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 28 June 2018 to 30 September 2018.

1.2 Project Organization

- 1.2.1 The Project Organization structure for Construction Phase is presented in **Figure 1.1**.




Figure 1.1 Project Organization Chart

- 1.2.2 Contact details of the key personnel are presented in **Table 1.1** below:

Table 1.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone no.
Environmental Protection Department	Project Proponent	Cheng Tak-Kuen	2594-6111
Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture	Project Manager	Kenny Yu	2192-0606
Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited	Environmental Team Leader	Gabriel Lam	2698-6833
ERM-Hong Kong, Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Mandy To	2271-3000

1.3 Summary of Construction Works

1.3.1 Details of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period are shown in **Table 1.2** below. The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

Table 1.2 Summary of the Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Period

Location of works	Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
Seawall and breakwater locations	Marine site investigation works	31 out of 51 drill holes were completed
Location of DCM Site Trial	- DCM site trial - Laying of geotextile and sand blanket - Coring of DCM samples	Completed
Location of Static Loading Test	Laying of geotextile and sand blanket	Completed
Seawall locations	Collecting of Marine Sediment Samples	5 out of 7 drill holes were completed
Location of DCM Site Trial Re-trial	DCM installation	On-going
Seawall and breakwater locations	Laying of Geotextile and Sand Blanket	Commenced on 30 September 2018

1.3.2 The status for all environmental aspects is presented **Table 1.3**.

Table 1.3 Summary of Status for Key Environmental Aspects under the Updated EM&A Manual

Parameters	Status
Water Quality	
Baseline Monitoring under Updated EM&A Manual and Detailed Plan on DCM	The baseline water quality monitoring result has been reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Regular DCM Monitoring	On-going
Initial Intensive DCM Monitoring	To be commenced according to the Detailed Plan on DCM
Baseline Water Quality of wet season	Being carried out from 13 August 2018 to 7 September 2018
Noise	
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline noise monitoring result has been reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Waste Management	

Parameters	Status
Mitigation Measures in Waste Monitoring Plan	On-going
Coral	
Pre-translocation Survey and Coral Mapping	The Coral Translocation Plan was submitted and approved by EPD under EP Condition 2.12
Coral Translocation	Completed on 28 March 2018
Post-Translocation Coral Monitoring	On-going, survey obstructed due to missing of translocated and tagged coral colonies after typhoons in September 2018
Pre-construction Coral Survey and Tagging	Completed on 26 June 2018
Tagged Coral Monitoring	On-going, survey obstructed due to missing of tagged coral colonies after typhoons in September 2018
Marine Mammal	
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline marine mammal monitoring result has been reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	On-going
White-bellied Sea Eagle	
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline WBSE monitoring result has been reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Environmental Audit	
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual	On-going
Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP)	On-going
Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise (DMPFP)	On-going
Mitigation Measures in Vessel Travel Details	On-going

1.3.3 Other than the EM&A works by ET, environmental briefings, trainings and regular environmental management meetings were conducted, in order to enhance environmental awareness and closely monitor the environmental performance of the contractors.

1.3.4 The EM&A programme has been implemented in accordance with the recommendations presented in the approved EIA Report and the Updated EM&A Manual. A summary of updated implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures for the construction phase of the Project during the reporting period is provided in **Appendix B**.

2. MARINE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

2.1 Water Quality Parameters

- 2.1.1 Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Turbidity, Suspended Solids (SS), Salinity and pH have been undertaken at the eleven monitoring stations during general water quality monitoring. Beside the above parameters, monitoring for Total Alkalinity, Current Velocity and Current Direction have been undertaken at all fourteen monitoring stations (including S1, S2 and S3) during regular DCM monitoring.
- 2.1.2 Current velocity and direction, DO, temperature, salinity, turbidity and pH were measured in-situ and the SS, Total Alkalinity were assayed in a HOKLAS laboratory.
- 2.1.3 In associate with the water quality parameters, other relevant data were also measured, such as monitoring location/position, time, water depth, sampling depth, tidal stages, weather conditions and any special phenomena or work underway nearby were also recorded.
- 2.1.4 **Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the impact water quality monitoring.

Table 2.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter, unit	Frequency	No. of Depths
- Water Depth(m) - Temperature(°C) - Salinity(ppt) - pH (pH unit) - Dissolved Oxygen (DO)(mg/L and % of saturation) - Turbidity(NTU) - Suspended Solids (SS), mg/L - Total alkalinity - Current velocity - Direction	Impact monitoring: 3 days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides	3 water depths: 1m below sea surface, mid-depth and 1m above sea bed. If the water depth is less than 3m, mid-depth sampling only. If water depth less than 6m, mid-depth may be omitted.

2.2 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

- 2.2.1 Impact water quality monitoring was conducted at eleven monitoring locations during general water quality monitoring and fourteen water monitoring locations during regular DCM monitoring, as shown in **Figure 2.1**.




Figure 2.1 Water monitoring locations at Artificial Island near SKC

2.3 Action and Limit Levels

2.3.1 Based on the baseline monitoring data and the derivation criteria specified in the Updated EM&A Manual, the Action/Limit Levels are presented in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 Derived Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

Parameters	Action	Limit
Construction Phase Impact Monitoring		
DO in mg/L	≤ 7.13	≤ 4
SS in mg/L	≥ 8 or 120% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 10 or 130% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Turbidity in NTU	≥ 5.6 or 120% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 12.81 or 130% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Temperature in °C	1.8°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day	2°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day
Total Alkalinity in mg/L	≥ 116 or 120% of control station's Total Alkalinity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 118 or 130% of control station's Total Alkalinity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher

Notes:

- i. "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths.
- ii. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- iii. For turbidity, SS and Salinity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

2.4 Monitoring Results and Observations

2.4.1 DCM injection works and sand blanket laying works were conducted during the reporting period, thus both general water quality monitoring at the eleven monitoring stations and general DCM monitoring including monitoring stations S1, S2 and S3 were conducted. Monitoring results of 7 key parameters: Salinity, DO, turbidity, SS, pH, temperature and total alkalinity in each month of this reporting period, are summarized in **Table 2.3**, and results trending are presented graphically in **Appendix C**.

Table 2.3 Summary of Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results

Locations		Parameters																								
		Salinity (ppt)			Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)						pH			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)			Temp. (°C)			Total Alkalinity (mg/L)			
					Surface & Middle			Bottom																		
		June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	
B1	Avg.	26.45	27.47	28.80	7.93	9.19	8.40	7.85	9.08	8.40	8.26	8.33	8.16	1.95	1.68	3.19	7	6.74	7.35	28.5	29.2	28.2	107.2	-	97.1	
	Min.	22.55	25.88	26.75	4.38	7.67	7.18	3.23	7.48	7.18	7.89	7.82	8.00	0.91	0.64	1.81	2	2.00	3.00	26.6	27.6	25.9	104.0	-	92.0	
	Max.	27.87	31.13	30.70	11.61	12.16	10.23	11.59	12.07	10.23	8.51	8.59	8.24	3.13	3.39	6.03	18	12.00	16.00	30.8	31.0	31.1	110.0	-	106.0	
B2	Avg.	26.52	27.29	28.63	7.72	9.21	8.47	7.62	9.19	8.47	8.25	8.35	8.16	1.96	1.62	3.48	6	6.92	7.36	28.5	29.3	28.2	107.1	-	97.1	
	Min.	22.99	25.83	26.06	2.99	7.40	7.15	2.65	7.58	7.15	7.90	7.92	8.00	0.77	0.80	1.60	3	2.00	3.00	26.6	28.3	25.8	104.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	27.83	30.53	30.70	11.60	12.09	10.48	11.60	12.09	10.48	8.51	8.63	8.25	5.70	4.94	5.94	10	12.00	19.00	31.0	31.2	31.2	109.0	-	109.0	
B3	Avg.	26.57	27.43	28.49	7.67	9.25	8.39	7.72	9.18	8.39	8.24	8.31	8.16	2.12	1.62	3.45	6	7.01	6.78	28.3	29.2	28.0	107.1	-	97.1	
	Min.	22.72	25.81	25.89	4.53	7.47	7.29	4.44	7.25	7.29	7.88	7.83	8.01	0.95	0.71	1.51	3	2.00	3.00	26.6	27.9	26.0	104.0	-	92.0	
	Max.	29.84	30.55	30.70	11.64	13.31	10.38	11.62	13.24	10.38	8.50	8.62	8.26	14.61	3.01	5.83	12	15.00	16.00	30.9	30.6	31.2	109.0	-	107.0	
B4	Avg.	26.41	27.48	28.61	8.01	9.18	8.44	8.01	9.11	8.44	8.27	8.33	8.17	1.88	1.67	3.56	7	7.10	7.14	28.5	29.2	28.2	107.8	-	96.9	
	Min.	22.87	25.83	25.81	4.45	7.53	7.18	3.35	7.30	7.18	7.91	7.83	8.00	0.87	0.74	1.62	2	3.00	3.00	26.6	27.8	25.8	105.0	-	92.0	
	Max.	27.93	30.27	30.70	11.69	12.38	10.27	11.66	12.26	10.27	8.51	8.62	8.25	3.13	4.15	5.94	18	14.00	14.00	31.0	31.1	31.1	111.0	-	108.0	
C1	Avg.	26.62	27.58	28.55	7.85	9.09	8.33	7.74	8.96	8.33	8.25	8.33	8.17	2.03	1.71	3.30	7	7.10	7.42	28.5	29.1	28.2	107.8	-	97.1	
	Min.	22.84	25.91	25.58	2.77	7.43	7.13	2.56	7.22	7.13	7.88	7.80	8.00	0.89	0.68	1.03	2	2.00	3.00	26.6	27.6	26.0	105.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	28.75	32.80	30.69	11.54	12.42	10.42	11.51	12.14	10.42	8.53	8.60	8.27	9.86	3.73	6.08	17	13.00	16.00	30.9	31.0	31.2	110.0	-	109.0	
C2	Avg.	26.71	27.69	28.52	7.81	9.08	8.53	7.55	8.89	8.53	8.24	8.30	8.17	1.85	1.57	3.54	7	7.43	7.42	28.4	29.0	28.4	107.6	-	97.4	
	Min.	22.74	25.86	25.81	2.52	7.34	7.13	2.41	7.21	7.13	7.84	7.78	8.00	0.65	0.06	1.67	2	2.00	2.00	26.5	27.5	26.0	104.0	-	92.0	
	Max.	28.45	32.43	30.70	11.71	12.40	10.48	11.71	12.24	10.48	8.52	8.64	8.28	3.63	3.81	6.05	16	16.00	14.00	31.1	30.8	31.2	110.0	-	109.0	
CR1	Avg.	26.60	27.66	28.74	7.90	9.02	8.45	7.78	8.87	8.45	8.26	8.31	8.16	1.93	1.74	3.29	7	6.69	6.99	28.5	29.1	28.3	107.3	-	97.7	
	Min.	22.69	25.84	25.77	3.33	7.19	7.13	2.31	7.19	7.13	7.96	7.73	8.00	0.77	0.38	1.02	3	3.00	3.00	26.6	27.0	26.0	105.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	30.54	34.00	30.70	11.64	12.37	10.48	11.66	12.20	10.48	8.50	8.62	8.26	3.95	4.05	6.01	17	12.00	17.00	30.9	30.9	31.0	110.0	-	110.0	
CR2	Avg.	26.56	27.90	28.31	7.94	8.79	8.64	7.78	8.81	8.64	8.26	8.32	8.17	1.88	1.85	3.26	6	6.73	6.58	28.5	29.1	28.1	107.5	-	97.3	
	Min.	23.07	25.71	25.56	3.66	7.15	7.16	2.88	7.15	7.16	7.89	7.96	8.00	0.78	0.63	1.71	2	3.00	2.00	26.6	28.3	26.0	105.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	30.85	33.39	30.70	11.61	11.77	10.41	11.62	11.76	10.41	8.52	8.61	8.29	3.17	4.16	6.05	13	13.00	13.00	30.9	30.8	31.2	110.0	-	109.0	
F1	Avg.	26.72	27.71	28.53	7.78	8.99	8.62	7.56	8.95	8.62	8.25	8.32	8.17	1.88	1.64	3.20	7	7.02	6.75	28.4	29.1	28.4	107.6	-	97.4	
	Min.	22.73	25.84	25.82	2.84	7.18	7.09	2.69	7.14	7.09	7.85	7.80	8.01	0.77	0.76	0.92	2	3.00	2.00	26.2	27.5	26.0	105.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	29.34	32.70	30.69	11.75	12.36	10.86	11.73	12.15	10.86	8.52	8.65	8.27	4.25	3.46	7.99	18	13.00	13.00	30.8	30.9	31.1	110.0	-	108.0	
H1	Avg.	26.63	27.47	28.53	7.82	9.36	8.41	7.65	9.14	8.41	8.26	8.35	8.17	1.95	1.65	3.48	7	7.19	7.86	28.5	29.2	28.3	107.8	-	97.1	
	Min.	23.07	25.89	26.10	2.81	7.63	7.11	2.80	7.59	7.11	7.88	7.86	8.00	0.78	0.68	1.59	2	2.00	3.00	26.6	28.1	26.0	105.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	28.53	31.20	30.69	11.64	12.34	10.42	11.62	12.06	10.42	8.52	8.61	8.27	4.68	4.56	6.06	18	13.00	16.00	31.0	31.2	31.2	110.0	-	110.0	

Locations		Parameters																								
		Salinity (ppt)			Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)						pH			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)			Temp. (°C)			Total Alkalinity (mg/L)			
					Surface & Middle			Bottom																		
		June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	June & July	Aug	Sep	
M1	Avg.	26.86	27.78	28.58	7.79	8.95	8.51	7.51	8.80	8.51	8.24	8.31	8.16	1.97	1.61	3.49	7	6.93	7.37	28.4	29.1	28.3	107.6	-	97.4	
	Min.	22.73	25.87	26.59	1.98	7.18	7.08	1.72	7.25	7.08	7.82	7.81	8.00	0.83	0.40	0.92	2	3.00	3.00	26.6	27.4	26.9	106.0	-	90.0	
	Max.	32.53	32.30	30.69	11.79	12.21	10.50	11.75	12.30	10.50	8.55	8.62	8.24	5.58	3.48	6.97	14	14.00	22.00	30.9	31.1	31.2	109.0	-	109.0	
S1	Avg.	27.47	-	28.62	10.30	-	8.49	10.31	-	8.49	8.46	-	8.16	1.41	-	3.68	7	-	6.95	29.6	-	28.6	107.5	-	97.1	
	Min.	26.72	-	26.04	9.04	-	7.20	9.15	-	7.20	8.41	-	8.01	0.95	-	1.73	4	-	3.00	28.9	-	26.9	105.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	27.86	-	30.69	11.59	-	10.44	11.59	-	10.44	8.53	-	8.24	1.89	-	5.69	12	-	13.00	30.9	-	31.2	110.0	-	108.0	
S2	Avg.	27.47	-	28.60	10.32	-	8.41	10.31	-	8.41	8.47	-	8.16	1.31	-	3.51	7	-	7.01	29.5	-	28.3	107.6	-	97.4	
	Min.	26.72	-	26.06	9.12	-	7.11	9.02	-	7.11	8.43	-	8.00	0.76	-	1.12	3	-	2.00	28.8	-	26.3	105.0	-	92.0	
	Max.	27.92	-	30.70	11.61	-	10.49	11.63	-	10.49	8.51	-	8.24	1.86	-	6.08	12	-	17.00	31.1	-	31.2	110.0	-	110.0	
S3	Avg.	27.46	-	28.54	10.29	-	8.67	10.29	-	8.67	8.46	-	8.17	1.37	-	3.28	7	-	6.96	29.5	-	28.5	107.5	-	97.4	
	Min.	26.71	-	25.77	9.00	-	7.13	9.02	-	7.13	8.40	-	8.00	0.88	-	0.99	3	-	3.00	28.8	-	26.8	104.0	-	91.0	
	Max.	27.92	-	30.68	11.62	-	10.44	11.59	-	10.44	8.51	-	8.30	1.90	-	6.01	11	-	15.00	30.8	-	31.1	110.0	-	111.0	

Notes:

- i. "Avg", "Min" and "Max" is the average, minimum and maximum respectively of the data from measurements conducted under mid-flood and mid-ebb tides at three water depths, except that of DO where the data for "Surface & Middle" and "Bottom" are calculated separately.

- 2.4.2 Most of the monitoring results for DO, temperature, turbidity and total alkalinity obtained in the reporting period complied with their corresponding Action and Limit levels, while numbers of result for SS triggered their corresponding Action or Limit Levels, and investigations were conducted accordingly. For the salinity, pH, DO, turbidity, temperature, SS and total alkalinity, their trends were fluctuated independent to the site activities and presented in **Appendix C**.
- 2.4.3 No major pollution source and extreme weather which might affect the results were observed during the impact monitoring.
- 2.4.4 During the impact monitoring period for June to September 2018, sixty-eight exceedances of the Action and Limit for SS were recorded. Investigations were conducted and results indicated the SS exceedances were not attributable to the Project works. Details of the exceedance are presented in **Section 8**.
- 2.4.5 Implemented mitigation measures minimizing the adverse impacts on water are listed in the implementation schedule given in **Appendix B**.

3. NOISE MONITORING

3.1 Noise Monitoring Parameters

- 3.1.1 Impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly in the reporting period between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays.
- 3.1.2 Construction noise level measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (LAeq). Leq_{30min} was used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. **Table 3.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the impact noise monitoring.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Time, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Station	Time	Duration	Parameters
M1/ N_S1, M2/ N_S2, M3/ N_S3	Daytime: 0700-1900 hrs (during normal weekdays, not include Sunday or general holiday)	Once per week Leq 5min/Leq 30min (average of 6 consecutive Leq 5min)	Leq, L ₁₀ & L ₉₀

3.2 Noise Monitoring Locations

- 3.2.1 Three noise monitoring locations for impact monitoring at the nearby sensitive receivers are shown in **Figure 3.1**




Figure 3.1 Noise monitoring locations at SKC

- 3.2.2 M1, M2 and M3 are Shek Kwu Chau Treatment and Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1, 2 and 3 respectively of The Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers (SARDA) located at southern part of Shek Kwu Chau.
- 3.2.3 Measurement at M1, M2 and M3 were conducted at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and at a position 1.2m above the ground. The noise monitoring stations are summarized in **Table 3.2** below.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Location

Station	NSR ID in EIA Report	Noise Monitoring Location	Type of sensitive receiver(s)	Measurement Type
M1	N_S1	Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1	Residential	Façade
M2	N_S2	Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2	Residential	Façade
M3	N_S3	Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3	Residential	Façade

3.3 Action and Limit Levels

- 3.3.1 The Action/Limit Levels in line with the criteria of Practice Note for Professional Persons (ProPECC PN 2/93) “Noise from Construction Activities – Non-statutory Controls” and Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process issued by HKSAR Environmental Protection Department [“EPD”] under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance, Cap 499, S.16 are presented in **Table 3.3**.

Table 3.3 Action and Limit Levels for Noise

Time Period	Action	Limit (dB(A))
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)

3.4 Monitoring Results and Observations

- 3.4.1 Impact monitoring for noise impact was conducted in the reporting period. The impact noise levels at Noise Monitoring Stations at SKC (i.e. M1/ N_S1 to M3/ N_S3) are summarized in **Table 3.5**. Trending of the noise monitoring results is presented graphically in **Appendix D**.
- 3.4.2 Major construction activity, major noise source and extreme weather which might affect the results were recorded during the impact monitoring.
- 3.4.3 According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 3.4**:

Table 3.4 Summary of Field Observation

Monitoring Station	Major Noise Source
M1	Nil
M2	Nil
M3	Newly installed air-conditioning units nearby

Table 3.5 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results

Location	Noise in dB(A)								
	Range of L_{eq} 30min			Range of L_{10} 5min			Range of L_{90} 5min		
	Jun & Jul	Aug	Sep	Jun & Jul	Aug	Sep	Jun & Jul	Aug	Sep
M1	47.7 – 57.8	48.7 – 58.5	48.2 – 53.5	49.5 – 64.1	48.0 – 63.0	50.4 – 62.1	39.5 – 45.4	39.7 – 45.8	40.6 – 58.4
M2	55.9 – 63.1	54.5 – 61.5	55.7 – 59.3	55.4 – 69.4	54.3 – 67.6	57.9 – 68.5	42.8 – 49.7	43.2 – 49.4	50.1 – 57.6
M3	51.3 – 59.8	50.9 – 62.7	46.9 – 51.7	50.9 – 66.6	51.8 – 66.6	48.1 – 60.5	39.9 – 45.9	39.8 – 46.3	41.2 – 49.5

3.4.4 Major sources of noise dominating the monitoring stations observed during the impact noise monitoring was newly installed air-conditioning units nearby at M3.

3.4.5 No data from impact monitoring has exceeded the stipulated limit level at 75 dB(A).

4. WASTE

- 4.1 The waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.
- 4.2 As advised by the Contractor, 3200 kg of C&D material was generated on site in the reporting period. For C&D waste, no metals was generated and collected by registered recycling collector. No paper cardboard packing were generated on site and collected by registered recycling collector. No plastic and chemical waste was collected by registered recycling collector and licensed chemical waste collectors respectively. 3200 kg of other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse) were generated on site and disposed of at Landfill.
- 4.3 With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 4.1**. Details of cumulative waste management data are presented as a waste flow table in **Appendix E**.

Table 4.1 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

Reporting period	Quantity					
	Inert C&D Materials (in'000kg)	Chemical Waste (in'000kg)	Non-inert C&D Materials			
			Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill (in'000kg)	Paper/card board (in'000kg)	Plastics (in'000kg)	Metals (in'000kg)
June & July 2018	0	0	0	0	0	0
August 2018	0	0	3.2	0	0	0
September 2018	0	0	0	0	0	0

- 4.4 Although there is not much waste generation in the reporting period from the Project, the Contractor is reminded to sort and store any solid and liquid waste on-site properly prior to disposal.
- 4.5 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste and sewage generated from the Project shall be collected in time to avoid overloaded storage of these potentially contaminating wastes.

5. CORAL

5.1 Coral Monitoring Parameters

5.1.1 Ten (10) tagged coral colonies at each site of suggested control site and indirect impact site are being monitored weekly for the first month and followed by monthly monitoring for three months. The selected Control Site is located at Yuen Kong Chau of Soko Islands about 7 km away from the project area. Tagged coral colonies at the proposed recipient site are being monitored quarterly for one year. The selected recipient site R3 is located the opposite side of the Project area at about 2 km away.

5.1.2 Monitoring recorded the following parameters (using the same methodology adopted during the pre-translocation survey); the size, presence, health conditions (percentage of mortality/bleaching) and percentage of sediment of each trans-located coral colony. The general environmental conditions including weather, sea, and tidal conditions of survey sites were monitored.

5.1.3 Health status of coral was assessed by the following criteria:

Gorgonian coral: Percentage of branches exhibiting partial mortality, secretion of mucus and degree of sedimentation;

Hard coral: Percentage of surface area exhibiting partial mortality and blanched/bleached area of each coral colony and degree of sedimentation.

5.2 Coral Monitoring Locations

Location of the ten tagged coral colonies at each of the proposed indirect impact site, control site and the recipient site R3 are shown in **Figure 5.1**, **Figure 5.2**, **Figure 5.3** and **Figure 5.4** respectively:







Figure 5.2 Tagged Natural Corals at Control Site Near Yuen Kong Chau



Figure 5.3 Tagged Translocation Corals at Recipient Site R3 near SKC



5.3 Action and Limit Levels

5.3.1 Monitoring result was reviewed and compared against the below Action Level and Limit Level (AL/LL) as set with the below **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2**.

Table 5.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase Coral Monitoring

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
Mortality	If during Impact Monitoring a 15% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged indirect impact site coral colonies that is not recorded on the tagged corals at the control site, then the Action Level is exceeded.	If during Impact Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged indirect impact site coral colonies that is not recorded on the tagged corals at the control site, then the Limit Level is exceeded.

Table 5.2 Action and Limit Levels for Post-Translocation Coral Monitoring

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
Mortality	If during Post-Translocation Monitoring a 15% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the translocated coral colonies that is not recorded on the original corals in the recipient site, then the Action Level is exceeded.	If during Post-Translocation Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the translocated coral colonies that is not recorded on the original corals in the recipient site, then the Limit Level is exceeded.

5.4 Monitoring Results and Observations

5.4.1 During the reporting period, the construction phase monitoring were performed for the both Indirect Impact Site and Control Site (**Figure 5.1** and 5.2 respectively); and the weather conditions were summarized in **Table 5.3**.

Table 5.3 Weather Condition for the First Quarter Construction Phase Monitoring

Date	Condition	Average Underwater Visibility
5 July 2018	- Southwest force 5, - Sunny period	Less than 0.5m

Date	Condition	Average Underwater Visibility
12 July 2018	- Southwest force 4 to 5, - Sunny period	Less than 0.5m
21 July 2018	- South force 5 to 6, - Cloudy period	Less than 0.5m
26 July 2018	- Southwest force 3 to 4, - Sunny period	Less than 0.5m
22 August 2018	- Southwest force 4 to 5, - Sunny period	Less than 0.5m
20 September 2018	- Southwest force 3 to 4, - Sunny periods	Less than 0.5m

5.4.2 Ten (10) hard coral colonies were monitored at each site of Control and Indirect Impact sites as suggested in the Coral Monitoring Plan. The general health conditions (size, condition, mortality, bleaching and sediment) at Control and Indirect Impact sites were recorded and summarized in **Table 5.5** and **Table 5.6** respectively.

5.4.3 The first and second Post-translocation Monitoring was performed on 26th June 2018 and 20th September 2018 respectively for the Recipient Site R3 (**Figure 5.3**) and the weather conditions were summarized in **Table 5.4**.

Table 5.4 Weather Condition for the First and Second Coral Translocation Monitoring

Date	Condition	Average Underwater Visibility
26 June 2018	- East force 3 to 4 - Sunny periods	Less than 0.5 m
20 September 2018	- Southeast force 3 - Sunny periods	Less than 0.5 m

5.4.4 Sixteen (16) and ten (10) hard coral colonies were monitored at Recipient Site R3 as suggested at Coral Translocation Plan. The general health conditions (size, condition, mortality, bleaching and sediment) at Recipient site were recorded and summarized in **Table 5.7** and **Table 5.8** respectively.

Table 5.5 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 10 Natural Coral Colonies at Control Site during 1st to 3rd Months Construction Phase Monitoring

Tag #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter	Condition	Mortality (%)							Bleaching (%)							Sediment (%)						
				Baseline	5/7	12/7	21/7	26/7	22/8	20/9	Baseline	5/7	12/7	21/7	26/7	22/8	20/9	Baseline	5/7	12/7	21/7	26/7	22/8	20/9
1	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	25	Fair	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	22	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
3	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	18	Fair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
4	<i>Turbinaria peltata</i>	13	Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	<i>Coscinaraea n</i> sp.	20	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
6	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	43	Fair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
7	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	16	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
8	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	21	Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	11	Fair	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	18	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A

*N/A: Non Applicable as coral colonies were missing.

Table 5.6 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 10 Natural Coral Colonies at Indirect Impact Site during 1st to 3rd Months Construction Phase Monitoring

Tag #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter	Condition	Mortality (%)							Bleaching (%)							Sediment (%)						
				Baseline	5/7	12/7	21/7	26/7	22/8	20/9	Baseline	5/7	12/7	21/7	26/7	22/8	20/9	Baseline	5/7	12/7	21/7	26/7	22/8	20/9
11	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	25	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
12	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	35	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
13	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	21	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
14	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	13	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
15	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	23	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
16	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	14	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
17	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	7	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
18	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	12.5	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
19	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	10	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
20	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	8	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A

*N/A: Non Applicable as coral colonies were missing.

Table 5.7 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 16 Translocated Coral Colonies at Recipient Site for 1st & 2nd Translocation Coral Monitoring

Coral #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter/ Height	Mortality (%)			Bleaching (%)			Sediment (%)		
			Baseline	26-Jun	20-Sep	Baseline	26-Jun	20-Sep	Baseline	26-Jun	20-Sep
1	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	35	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	N/A	35	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
3	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
4	<i>Turbinaria peltata</i>	9	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
6	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	26	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	23	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0
8	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
9	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
10	<i>Coscinaraea n sp.</i>	21	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0
11	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
13	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
14	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	NA	0	0	N/A
15	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
16	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	26	0	0	10	0	0	N/A	0	0	0

*N/A: Non Applicable as coral colonies were missing

Table 5.8 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 10 Natural Control Coral Colonies at Recipient Site for 1st & 2nd Translocation Coral Monitoring

Coral #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter/ Height	Mortality (%)			Bleaching (%)			Sediment (%)		
			Baseline	26-Jun	20-Sep	Baseline	26-Jun	20-Sep	Baseline	26-Jun	20-Sep
1	<i>Coscinaraea n sp.</i>	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	<i>Coscinaraea n sp.</i>	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	<i>Goniopora stutchburyi</i>	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A

*N/A: Non Applicable as coral colonies were missing.

- 5.4.5 All tagged coral colonies showed good health condition during the first and second month Construction Phase Monitoring. There was not increased level of mortality, bleaching and sediment when compared with the baseline results.
- 5.4.6 After the super typhoon Mangkhut hitting Hong Kong on 15th and 16th September 2018. The whole bottom at the Indirect Impact Site were covered with 1 m thick of sand and mud in which the all ten tagged hard coral colonies were all missing during the third month monitoring. At the control site of Soko Island, most of the rocks at the bottom were turned over by the super typhoon Mangkhut, however only 4 tagged coral colonies were missing during the monitoring survey.
- 5.4.7 All remaining tagged coral colonies at Control Site still showed either fair or good health condition during the Third Month Construction Phase Monitoring. Tagged coral #1 and #9 showed 5% of increased mortality of the whole colony, while tagged coral #3 and #6 showed increased sediment coverage of 10% and 5% respectively. There was not increased level of mortality, bleaching and sediment of the tagged colonies #4 and #8 when compared with the baseline results.
- 5.4.8 Since all tagged coral colonies at Indirect Impact Site and 4 tagged coral at Control Site were missing during the third month monitoring, it is suggested to re-tag all the missing corals. The re-tagging activity should be done before the next monitoring survey. As the missing tagged coral colonies were caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut, the AL/LL will not be applied at this time.
- 5.4.9 The second post-translocation coral monitoring was carried out on 20 September 2018. Sixteen (16) movable hard coral colonies were monitored at the recipient site R3. However, 9 translocated coral colonies were missing during the second monitoring survey and only 7 left (**Table 5.7**). The remaining translocated coral colonies also showed an increased mortality from 5% to 15% (**Table 5.7**). The missing colonies probably were swept away by the strong wave action caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut hitting Hong Kong on 15th and 16th September 2018.
- 5.4.10 Ten (10) natural hard coral colonies were also monitoring at the recipient site as control and 1 coral colony was missing during the monitoring survey (**Table 5.8**). Similar to the translocated coral colonies, the missing tagged coral colony probably was swept away by the strong wave action caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut hitting Hong Kong on 15th and 16th September 2018.
- 5.4.11 Since all missing tagged coral colonies at the Recipient Site R3 were caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut, the AL/LL will not be applied at this time. Post-translocation monitoring survey will be continued to monitor the remaining tagged coral colonies for both translocated coral and natural coral colonies.
- 5.4.12 Photo records of the tagged corals taken during the reporting period are presented in **Appendix F**.


6. MARINE MAMMAL

6.1 Survey Methods

6.1.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

For the vessel-based marine mammal surveys, the monitoring team adopted the standard line-transect method (Buckland et al. 2001) as same as that adopted during the EIA study and pre-construction phase monitoring to allow fair comparison of marine mammal monitoring results.

Eight transect lines are set at Southeast Lantau survey area, including Shek Kwu Chau, waters between Shek Kwu Chau and the Soko Islands, inshore waters of Lantau Island (e.g. Pui O Wan) as well as southwest corner of Cheung Chau as shown in **Figure 6.1** below:



In comparison to the baseline monitoring results, results from the analyzed construction phase monitoring data would allow the detection of any changes of their usage of habitat, in response to the scheduled construction works.

6.2 Specific Mitigation Measures

6.2.1 Monitored exclusion zones

During the installation/re-installation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should

be implemented and monitored by competent Marine Mammal Observers (MMOs). MMEZ would also be implemented for precautionary purpose for DCM works.

6.2.2 Marine mammal watching plan

Upon the completion of silt curtain installation/re-installation/relocation, marine mammal watching plan would be implemented to observe the presence of any marine mammal around the localized silt curtain or being trapped by the localized silt curtain.

6.3 Results and Observations

6.3.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

3 Monthly surveys were conducted during the reporting period. As this is the designated off-peak season (June-November), only one survey was completed. A total on effort (transects only) survey length of 114.5km was completed, 63.8km at Beaufort Sea State 2 or better (**Table 6.1**). No sightings of marine mammals were recorded.

Table 6.1 Summary of Vessel-based Line-transect Survey Effort

Date	Area*	Beaufort	Effort (km)	Season	Vessel	Effort Type**
23-Jul-18	SEL	2	19.5	SUMMER	SMRUHK	P
23-Jul-18	SEL	3	17.4	SUMMER	SMRUHK	P
2-Aug-18	SEL	1	1.1	SUMMER	SMRUHK	P
2-Aug-18	SEL	2	21.5	SUMMER	SMRUHK	P
2-Aug-18	SEL	3	14.2	SUMMER	SMRUHK	P
2-Aug-18	SEL	4	2.1	SUMMER	SMRUHK	P
11-Sep-18	SEL	1	18.2	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
11-Sep-18	SEL	2	3.5	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
11-Sep-18	SEL	3	17.0	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P

* As shown in **Figure. 6.1**

** P (from AFCD) denotes the ON EFFORT survey on the transect line, not the adjoining passages

A review of the long term AFCD marine mammal monitoring programme, the EIA and the pre-construction baseline monitoring report for this project was conducted. Both the EIA and the pre-construction baseline monitoring were conducted during the peak porpoise months (Dec-May 2008 and Feb-April 2018, respectively), and, as such, these data are not directly comparable to this survey month which is a porpoise off-peak month. Therefore, a comparison can only be made to the AFCD long term marine mammal monitoring data.

A review of the Beaufort Sea state survey conditions between 2009 and 2017 (only data available from AFCD at time of writing; (AFCD 2018; 2017; 2016; 2015; 2014; 2013; 2012; 2011; 2010)) show that survey conditions in July to September 2018 were within the % limits of previous AFCD surveys.

A review of all the porpoise sightings in the survey area for July to September between for 2009-2017 indicate that there are fluctuations between the number of sightings usually recorded in July to September. Given the similar survey conditions and the low encounter rate recorded for porpoise in the project area during this survey month, no sightings within this area in July to September 2018 is not deemed to be significantly different from normal, with reference to the AFCD long term marine mammal monitoring data.

It is difficult to draw conclusions with regards to impacts on marine mammals as predicted in the EIA and the effectiveness of project mitigation measures during the initial phase of construction activities when porpoise sightings are typically absent or very low during the survey month. As surveys continue for this project, data shall be constantly re-evaluated across survey months to discern trends and impacts, if any.

6.3.2 Specific Mitigation Measures

Silt curtains were deployed for sand blanket laying works and DCM trial during the reporting period. At least two MMO were on duty for continuous monitoring of the Marine Mammal Exclusion Zone (MMEZ) for DCM trial works and installation/re-installation/relocation process of silt curtains, and the marine mammal trapping checking and silt curtains inspection in accordance with the Detailed Monitoring Programme of Finless Porpoise and Marine Mammal Watching Plan respectively. From the Marine Mammal Watching observation records and MMEZ monitoring log records, no Finless Porpoise or other marine mammals were observed within or around the MMEZ and silt curtains in the reporting period.

6.3.3 Photo records of the marine mammal monitoring taken during the reporting period are presented in **Appendix G**.

7. WHITE-BELLIED SEA EAGLE

7.1 WBSE Monitoring Parameters

- 7.1.1 Information to be collected included feeding, perching/roosting, preening, soaring, flying, nesting and territorial guarding and the time spent on each activity. The responses and reactions to any disturbance to the WBSEs were also recorded and examined in conjunction with the construction noise and/or other events in the vicinity. Other disturbances such as weather condition, or invasion by other fauna species were also recorded.

7.2 Results and Observations

- 7.2.1 Three monthly construction phase monitoring was conducted during the reporting period. Since there is no landing point along the western part of SKC, boat survey were used for the monitoring survey. In order to increase the chance of finding the WBSEs, monitoring survey was carried out either early in the morning or later in the afternoon. The weather conditions of monitoring survey were shown in **Table 7.1**.

Table 7.1 Weather Conditions during the WBSE Monitoring

Date	Condition	Temperature (°C)
26 July 2018	- Moderate south-easterly winds - Wind strength from Beaufort force 4 to 5 - Mainly cloudy with a few showers	30.0
23-August-2018	- Southeast 4 to 5 - Cloudy	32.0
20-September-2018	- Southeast 3 to 4 - Sunny	33.0

- 7.2.2 WBSE adult was recorded during each survey and was appeared flying over SKC Island, standing on the tree top on SKC Island during the survey in July and August 2018. In September 2018, the nest was gone after the super typhoon Mangkhut and two adults WBSE were recorded staying on the same tip of the same tree where the original nest was built, and bringing tree branches from other area in SKC to the nesting tree and trying to re-build the nest again. The new WBSE nest was being built at the same location as the old one, which is located at the western part of SKC Island (**Figure 7.1**) quite away from the Shek Kwu Chau Treatment and Rehabilitation Centre.
- 7.2.3 Any disturbances from anthropogenic activities on the island were not recorded during the monitoring survey. However, fishing boats moving close to the shore were recorded. Since the nest is about 160m away from the shore and it is not accessible, fishing boat activities didn't show any direct disturbance to the WBSE nest.




Figure 7.1 Location of WBSE Nest on SKC

- 7.2.4 No invasion of other fauna species was recorded and no sign of using the construction site as a foraging ground was recorded as well.
- 7.2.5 During the reporting period, no abnormal behaviour of the recorded adult was shown. All marine works during the first to third months construction period did not show any influence to the WBSE.
- 7.2.6 Photo records of the WBSE taken during the reporting period are presented in **Appendix H**.

8. SUMMARY OF MONITORING EXCEEDANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

- 8.1 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise, coral and WBSE monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.
- 8.2 Forty-four and twenty-four of the water quality monitoring results for Suspended Solid (SS) obtained during the reporting period had exceeded the relevant Action and Limit Levels respectively, where findings from investigations carried out immediately for each of the exceedance cases during the reporting period had showed that these exceedances were unrelated to the Project, however, environmental deficiencies of the Contractor on the implementation of silt curtain deployment system were spotted.
- 8.3 During joint site inspection at 10:30am on 20/9, installed silt curtain for the DCM operation were found in questionable condition, i.e. excessive part of silt curtains were observed floating and some small damages on the silt curtains were observed. The contractor was reminded to properly fix and maintain the deployed silt curtains prior to the operation of DCM installation works during the site walk by ET and IEC.
- 8.4 Further investigation was made with the Contractor on the silt curtain design and checking procedure as stated in the deposited Silt Curtain Deployment Plan, however, incomplete silt curtain checking records with inaccurate findings and silt curtain details short of necessary information were received on 11 October 2018. Justification made by the Contractor on 31 October has also implied the lack of familiarity on the designed mitigation measures by the frontline staffs of the Contractor.
- 8.5 Rectification actions regarding to the improper implementation of silt curtain system shall be carried out immediately, it is suggested by ET that environmental department of the Contractor shall provide proper training to their frontline staffs on the correct silt curtain deployment/checking procedure, especially on the use of silt curtain checklist and record keeping.
- 8.6 The Contractor has been reminded that all measures recommended in the deposited Silt Curtain Deployment Plan shall be fully and properly implemented for the Project as per Clause 2.6A of the FEP.
- 8.7 The Contractor has been reminded to facilitate the ET's investigation in the time frame stated at Event and Action plan under the updated EM&A Manual by promptly providing site records and information.
- 8.8 No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 8.9 Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in **Appendix I**.

9. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

- 9.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. Site inspections were carried out at the Site Portions 1, 1A & 1B and Portion 7 during the reporting period. Portions 1, 1A & 1B were the sites near SKC within the Site boundary and Portion 7 was the site at Tung Chung for stockpiling of construction materials.
- 9.2 Joint site inspection with IEC was carried out on a monthly basis.
- 9.3 Minor deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections are summarized below:
- Site tidiness were not maintained properly
 - Prevention actions for oil/chemical spillage were not carried out properly
 - Environmental permits/licenses were not displayed
 - Silt curtains were not deployed and checked properly
 - Loose soils at the side of Portion 7 were not cleared properly.
 - Washing basin was not connected to sewage treatment system properly
- 9.4 The Contractor has rectified most of the observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period, where follow-up actions for observation made on improper implementation of silt curtain system are under-going.
- 9.5 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit, contract documents and Updated EM&A Manual, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents, except the silt curtain system, are implemented as much as practical during the reporting period. An updated Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix B**.

10. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 This 1st Quarterly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report summarizes the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 28 June 2018 to 30 September 2018 in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP- 429/2012/A and FEP-01/429/2012/A.
- 10.2 Construction noise, water quality, construction waste, coral, marine mammal and White-Bellied Sea Eagle (WBSE) monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. No project-related exceedance of the Action and Limit Level was recorded during the reporting period, however, environmental deficiencies of the Contractor on the implementation of silt curtain deployment system were spotted.
- 10.3 Weekly environmental site inspection was conducted during the reporting period. Environmental deficiencies were observed during site inspection and mostly were rectified, where follow-up actions for observation made on improper implementation of silt curtain system are under-going.
- 10.4 The Contractor has been reminded to facilitate the ET's investigation in the time frame stated at Event and Action plan under the updated EM&A Manual by promptly providing site records and information.
- 10.5 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting period, the Contractor is reminded to pay attention on maintaining site tidiness and avoidance of oil spillage on-site, especially under heavy rains and adverse weather.
- 10.6 Regarding to the deployment of silt curtains as a principal water quality impact mitigation measures on various marine works, the Contractor has been reminded to follow strictly to the design and checking procedure as specified in the Silt Curtain Deployment Plan. The Contractor is reminded that all measures recommended in the deposited silt curtain deployment plan shall be fully and properly implemented for the Project as per EP condition 2.6 of the FEP.
- 10.7 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.
- 10.8 No notification of summons or prosecution was received since commencement of the Contract.
- 10.9 The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Appendix A Master Programme

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
04-Dec-17	Rev. 0 - 1st Issue		
16-Jul-18	Rev. 1 - Revised to SO's comments		
03-Sep-18	Rev. 2 - Revised to SO's comments		

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
04-Dec-17	Rev.0 - 1st Issue		
16-Jul-18	Rev. 1 - Revised to SO's comments		
03-Sep-18	Rev. 2 - Revised to SO's comments		

Appendix B Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation

Appendix B**Table B.1 Implementation Schedule for Air Quality Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC**

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S3b.8.1	<u>Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation & Good Site Practices</u> • Use of regular watering, with complete coverage, to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather. • Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to ASRs. • Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines. • Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs. • Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations. • Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site. • Provision of wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dust mitigation measures at the loading	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	points, and use of water sprinklers at the loading area where dust generation is likely during the loading process of loose material, particularly in dry seasons/ periods. • Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on unpaved site roads. Ten kilometers per hour is the recommended limit. • Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs • Instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise.								
S3b.6.3	<u>Odour Removal by Deodorizers</u> • Deodorizers with 95% odour removal efficiency would be installed for the air ventilated from the mechanical treatment plant before discharge to the atmosphere	Waste reception halls, the waste storage area,	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		EIAO-TM	N/A
S3b.8.2	<u>Air Pollution Control and Stack Monitoring</u> • Air pollution control and stack monitoring system will be installed for the IWMF to ensure that the emissions from the IWMF stack will meet the proposed target emission limits.	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation phase	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		EIAO-TM, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- Voluntary Enhancement Measures in Flue Gas Cleaning and Emission Monitoring: - Two-stage bag filter system with reagent recirculation; - In addition to SCR, provide SNCR for removal of NO_x; tighten emission limit for half-hourly and daily NO_x to 160 mg/m³ and 80 mg/m₃ respectively; - Well-mixed feed waste: to minimize the fluctuation of pollutant loading on the flue gas treatment system; - Two more AQMSs would be set up at South Lantau and Shek Kwu Chau respectively; - Limit levels will be set under the IWMF DBO contract to require that waste feed shall cease if any of the air pollutant has exceeded 95% of the emission concentration limit as stipulated in the Special Process license; and - Each incineration chamber shall be fitted with auxiliary burners to ensure complete burn out of the combustion gases.							429/2012)	
-	<u>Treated Fly Ash and Air Pollution Control Residues:</u> During testing and commissioning, the Contractor shall sample and test every container of treated fly ash and air	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. If a test result confirms that any one of the samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every container of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria for the next six months. During the first six months of operation, if the requirements in (a) could be fully conformed with, the Contractor shall sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. The Contractor shall take two samples from each shipload for testing and the Contractor shall not dispose of any of that shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues until the test results confirm that the two samples conform to the limits and the criteria. If a test result confirms that any one of	phase						Permit (EP-429/2012)	

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	the two samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria for the next six months. The Contractor shall make due allowance in the Design and the Operation for the time to sample and test treated fly ash and air pollution control residues before disposal. • Provided that there is no non-conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit throughout a continuous sixmonth period in the Operation Period, the testing frequency shall be reduced to monthly interval.Two samples from one shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues shall be collected and tested for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria. The Contractor shall not dispose of any of the treated fly ash and air pollution control residues in the shipload which the samples are taken until the test results confirm that the samples conform to the limits and the								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	criteria. If the test result confirm that any one of the samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit for the next six months.								
-	<u>Bottom Ash:</u> - During testing and commissioning, the Contractor shall sample and test every container of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. If a test result confirms that any one of the samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every container of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria for the next six months. - During the first six months of operation, if the requirements in (d) could be fully conformed with, the Contractor shall sample and test one shipload of bottom ash each month for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. The	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation phase	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-429/2012)	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	Contractor shall take two samples from the shipload for testing and the Contractor shall not dispose of any of that shipload of bottom ash until the test results confirm that the two samples conform to the criteria. If a test result confirms that any one of the two samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test each shipload of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria for the next six months. The Contractor shall make due allowance in the Design and the Operation for the time to sample and test bottom ash before disposal. • Provided that there is no non-conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit throughout a continuous sixmonth period in the Operation Period, the Contractor shall be allowed to take two samples from any one shipload of bottom ash once every six months for conformance to the leachability criteria. The Contractor shall not dispose of any of the bottom ash in the shipload which the samples are taken until the test results confirm that the samples conform to the criteria. If the test result confirm that								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementati on Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	any one of the samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test one shipload of bottom ash each month for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit for the next six months as stipulated above.								

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.2 Implementation Schedule for Noise Impact Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S4b.8	Good site practices to limit noise emissions at source and use of quiet plant and working methods, whenever practicable.	Work Sites / Construction Period	EPD and its contractors		✓			EIAO-TM	Implemented
S4b.6 & S4b.8	All the ventilation fans installed in the below will be provided with silencers or acoustics treatment. (i) Stack of the incinerator (ii) Ventilation systems within the IWMF Enclosure and discharge silencer or other acoustic treatment equipment should be installed in the air-cooled chillers Other than provision of silencer or other acoustic treatment equipment for the stack of the incinerator and ventilation system, the detailed design should incorporate the following good practice in order to minimize the nuisance on the neighboring NSRs. (i) The exhaust of the ventilation system and any opening of the building should be located facing away from any NSRs; and (ii) Louver or other acoustic treatment equipment could also be applied to the exhaust of the ventilation system.	Within IWMF area / Construction Period	EPD and its contractors	✓		✓		EIAO-TM	N/A

-	<u>Voluntary Enhancement Measure</u> Provision of air-conditioner and double glazed windows to nearby NSR at Shek Kwu Chau (i.e. SARDA) as precautionary measures.	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓			Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-429/2012)	Implemented
---	--	-----------	--	---	---	--	--	---	-------------

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.3 Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Measures for the Artificial Island near SKC

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S5b.8.1.1	<u>Drainage and Construction Site Runoff</u> The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: - At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. - Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. - Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	prior to the commencement of construction. - Water pumped out from foundation piles must be discharged into silt removal facilities. - Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site runoff and drainage into excavations. Drainage water pumped out from excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. - During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by a tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94. - Exposed soil areas should be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff. - Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be immediately performed. - Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S5b.8.1.2	<u>General Construction Activities</u> Construction solid waste should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering to the nearby watercourses and public drainage system. Rubbish and litter from construction sites should also be collected to prevent spreading of rubbish and litter from the site area.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
S5b.8.1.3	There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge license for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge license. All the run-off and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression and general cleaning etc., can minimize water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO license which is under the ambit of regional office of EPD.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
S5b.8.1.4	<u>Accidental Spillage</u> Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354)	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Implemented

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes.								
S5b.8.1.5	Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas which appropriately equipped to control these discharges.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Implemented
S5b.8.1.6	Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas in order to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby watercourses. All waste oils and fuels should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
S5b.8.1.7	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows: - Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport. - Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area.								
S5b.8.1.8	<u>Sewage Effluent</u> Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor would be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.1.9	<u>Reclamation and Construction of Breakwaters</u> - The proposed dredging and reclamation should be commenced in phases. The breakwaters and seawalls should be constructed and the reclamation should be started within the enclosed breakwaters after the completion of the breakwater. Silt curtain should be applied around caissons / blockwork during the filling of the cell to prevent the loss of fine in the filling material. - The maximum production rate for dredging for the anti-scouring protection layer shall not exceed the permitted maximum daily dredging rate and carried out within its respective distance from the nearest non-translocatable coral community by the dredging contractor as specified in S.2.18 of the Further Environmental Permit (no.:FEP-01/429/2012/A). It is recommended to employ closed grab with small capacity of 2 m³ to control the dredging rate.	Work site / During the marine construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; WPCO, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-429/2012) Further Environmental Permit No. FEP-01/429/2012/A	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures for Silt curtains and sand blankets; N/A for others Reminder given on proper silt curtains checking

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- Any gap that may need to be provided for marine access will be located at the middle of the North Western seawall, away from the identified coral communities and will be shielded by silt curtains systems to control sediment plume dispersion. - The silt curtain system at marine access opening should be closed as soon as the barges passes through the marine access opening in order to minimize the period of curtain opening. Filling should only be carried out behind the silt curtain when the silt curtain is completely closed. - To enhance the effectiveness of the silt curtain at the marine access, the northern breakwater would be built before the commencement of the reclamation to reduce the current velocity towards the marine access opening. - The silt curtain system at marine access opening should be regularly checked and maintained to ensure proper functioning. - Where public fill is proposed for filling below +2.5mPD, the fine content in the public fill will be controlled to 25% which is in line with the CEDD's General Specification; - The filling for reclamation should be carried out behind the seawall. The filling material should only consist of public fill, rock and sand. The filling composition and filling rates at each filling area should follow those delineated in Table 1 of the FEP-01/429/2012/. The filling								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	above high watermark is not restricted; - No dredging should be carried out within 16m to the nearest non-translocatable coral community; - Daily site audit including full-time on-site monitoring by the ET is recommended during the dredging for anti-scouring protection layer for checking the compliance with the permitted no. of grab; - Closed grab dredger should be used to minimize the loss of sediment during the raising of the loaded grabs through the water column; - Frame-type silt curtains should be deployed around the dredging operations; - Floating-type silt curtains should be used to surround the circular cell during the sheetpiling work; - The descent speed of grabs should be controlled to minimize the seabed impact speed; - Barges should be loaded carefully to avoid splashing of material; - All barges used for the transport of dredged materials should be fitted with tight bottom seals in order to prevent leakage of material during loading and transport; - No concurrence works between laying of submarine cables and dredging/reclamation works within the same location is allowed. For works close to each other, the construction								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	program should be arranged so that the dredging/reclamation works within area bounded by the breakwaters and the laying of cables would not operate within a distance of 80m from each other to avoid any accumulative impact on the environment (in case if such tight schedule is necessary). • All barges should be filled to a level which ensures that material does not spill over during loading and transport to the disposal site and that adequate freeboard is maintained to ensure that the decks are not washed by wave action. • No DCM works should be carried out within 100m to the nearest non-translocatable coral colony / colonies. • Silt curtains should be employed to enclose DCM field trial and any full scale DCM work to minimize the potential impacts on water aspect. • A sand blanket is to be placed on top of the marine deposit using tremie pipes prior to the DCM ground treatment to avoid seabed sediment disturbance.								
S5b.8.2.3	<u>Operational Phase Discharges</u> A pipeline drainage system will serve the development area collecting surface runoff from paved areas, roof, etc. Sustainable drainage principle would be adopted in the drainage system design to minimize peak surface runoff, maximize permeable surface and maximize beneficial use of	Within IWMF site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		WPCO	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	rainwater.								
S5b.8.2.4	Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system of any potentially contaminated areas (such as truck parking area and maintenance workshop) and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oil products into the storm water drainage system in case of accidental spillages. Accidental spillage should be cleaned up as soon as practicable and all waste oils and fuels should be collected and handled in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance.	Within IWMF site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		WPCO; WDO	N/A
S5b.8.2.5	<u>Refuse Entrapment</u> Collection and removal of floating refuse should be performed at regular intervals for keeping the water within the Project site boundary and the neighboring water free from rubbish.	Within the Project site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator			✓		WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.2.6	<u>Transportation of bottom ash, fly ash and APC residues to WENT Landfill for disposal</u> Covered container should be used in the shipping of the incineration waste to limit the contact between the incineration waste and the marine water. A comprehensive emergency response plan for any accidental spillage should be submitted by the operation contractor to the EPD for agreement before the operation of the facilities. Salvage and cleanup action to recover the spilled incineration waste containers following the spillage should be carried out according to the emergency response plan to mitigate the environmental	Transportation of Incineration Ash / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	impact in case of spillage.								

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.4 Implementation Schedule for Waste Management Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
6b.5.1.2	<u>Good Site Practices</u> Adverse environmental impacts in relation to waste management are not expected, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities would include: • Obtain relevant waste disposal permits from appropriate authorities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and subsidiary Regulations and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28); • Provide staff training for proper waste management and chemical handling procedures; • Provide sufficient waste disposal points and regular waste collection; • Provide appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; and • Carry out regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; • Separate chemical wastes for special handling and disposed of to licensed facility for treatment; and • Employ licensed waste collector to collect waste.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		✓			WDO; LDO; ETWB TCW No. 19/2005; EIAO-TM	Implemented; N/A for some as no chemical waste was disposed in the reporting period and chemical waste collection was reported under Contractor's arrangement.

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
6b.5.1.3	<u>Waste Reduction Measures</u> Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: • Design foundation works that could minimize the amount of excavated material to be generated. • Provide training to workers on the importance of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling; • Sort out demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/recyclable portions (i.e. soil, broken concrete, metal etc.); • Segregate and store different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; • Encourage the collection of aluminum cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force; • Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and	Work Site/ During Design & Construction Period	Contractor	✓	✓				Implemented; N/A for foundation and demolition items

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste to be generated and to avoid unnecessary generation of waste.								
6b.5.1.7	<u>Dredged Sediment – Application of Dumping Permit</u> The project proponent should agree in advance with MFC of CEDD on the site allocation. The project proponent or contractor for the dredging works shall then apply for the site allocations of marine sediment disposal based on the prior agreement with MFC/CEDD. The project proponent or contractor should also be responsible for the application of all necessary permits from relevant authorities, including the dumping permit as required under DASO from EPD, for the disposal of dredged sediment prior to the commencement of the dredging works.	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and its contractor	✓	✓			DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Implemented, marine sediment samples have been collected.
6b.5.1.8	<u>Dredged Sediment – Sediment Quality Report</u> The project proponent or contractor will need to satisfy the appropriate authorities that the quality of the marine sediment to be dredged has been identified according to the requirements of ETWB TCW 34/2002. This should be completed well before the dredging works and would include at least the submission of a formal Sediment Quality Report under Tier I of ETWB TCW No. 34/2002 to DEP for approval. Subject to advice from DEP, it is possible that further marine SI in accordance with ETWB TCW 34/2002	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and its contractor	✓				DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Undergoing

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	might be necessary for the application of dumping permit under DASO. In such case, a sediment sampling and testing proposal shall be submitted to and approved by DEP before the additional marine SI works.								
6b.5.1.9	<u>Dredged Sediment – Sediment Transportation</u> The barge transporting the sediments to the designated disposal sites should be equipped with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage and should not be filled to a level that would cause overflow of materials or laden water during loading or transportation. In addition, monitoring of the barge loading shall be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels shall be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and its contractor		✓			DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	N/A
6b.5.1.10	<u>Construction and Demolition Materials</u> In order to minimize the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D materials for off-site disposal, the excavated material arising from site formation and foundation works should be reused on-site as backfilling material and for landscaping works as far as practicable. Other mitigation requirements are listed below: • A Waste Management Plan (WMP), which becomes part of the Environmental Management Plan (EMP), should be prepared in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005;	Work Site/ During Design & Construction Period	Contractor	✓	✓			ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be adopted for easy tracking; and - In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system should be adopted (refer to ETWB TCW No. 31/2004).								
6b.5.1.11 – 6b.5.1.12	The Contactor should prepare and implement an EMP in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005, which describes the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from construction activities. Such a management plan should incorporate site specific factors, such as the designation of areas for segregation and temporary storage of reusable and recyclable materials. The EMP should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. The Contractor All surplus C&D materials arising from or in connection with construction works should become the property of the Contractor when it is removed unless otherwise stated. The Contractor would be responsible for devising a system to work for on-site sorting of C&D materials and promptly removing all sorted and process materials arising from the construction activities to minimize temporary stockpiling on-site. The system should be	Work Site/ During Design & Construction Period	Contractor	✓	✓			ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	included in the EMP identifying the source of generation, estimated quantity, arrangement for on-site sorting, collection, temporary storage areas and frequency of collection by recycling Contractors or frequency of removal off-site.								
6b.5.1.13	<u>Chemical Wastes</u> Should chemical wastes be produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible corrosive). The Contractor should employ a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		✓			Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
6b.5.1.14	<u>General Refuse</u> General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D materials. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		✓			Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
6b.5.1.16 – 6b.5.1.33	<u>Biogas Generation</u> The Contractor shall review the data and analysis results, and the data from further Site Investigation, if any. Subject to the review findings, the following gas protection measures may be considered if necessary: - gas monitoring after reclamation; - passive ventilation; - gas impermeable membrane; - ventilation with “at risk” rooms; - protection of utilities or below ground services; - precautions during construction works; - precautions prior to entry of belowground services	Reclamation site (if dredging at the reclamation site is not required) / Design & Construction Period	Designer and/or contractor	✓	✓			EPD/TR8/97	N/A
6b.5.2.1	<u>Good Site Practices</u> It is recommended that the following good operational practices should be adopted to minimise waste management impacts: Obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and Waste Disposal (Chemical	IWMF Site/During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓		Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.354); Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation; ETWB TCW No. 1/2004	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- Waste) (General) Regulation; - Nomination of an approved person to be responsible for good site practice, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility of all wastes generated at the site; - Use of a waste haulier licensed to collect specific category of waste; - A trip-ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by the Environmental Team to monitor the disposal of solid wastes at landfills, and to control fly tipping. Reference should be made to ETWB TCW No. 31/2004. - Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; - Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at a licensed facility; - Routine cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; - Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal; - Adoption of appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste, such as covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers; and - Implementation of a recording system for the amount of wastes generated, and disposed of (including recycled								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	the disposal sites).								
6b.5.2.2	<u>Waste Reduction Measures</u> Good management and control can prevent the generation of significant amounts of waste. It is recommended that the following good operational practices should be adopted to ensure waste reduction: • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; • Encourage collection of aluminum cans, plastic bottles and packaging material (e.g. carton boxes) and office paper by individual collectors. Separate labelled bins should be provided to help segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force; and • Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be reused as far as practicable.	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A
6b.5.2.3	<u>Storage, Handling, Treatment, Collection and Disposal of Incineration By-Products</u> The following measures are recommended for the storage, handling and collection of the incineration by-products: • Ash should be stored in storage silos; • Ash should be handled and conveyed in closed systems fully	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓		Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	segregated from the ambient environment; - Ash should be wetted with water to control fugitive dust, where necessary; - All fly ash and APC residues should be treated, e.g. by cement solidification or chemical stabilization, for compliance with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria prior to disposal; - The ash should be transported in covered trucks or containers to the designated landfill site. The Contractor should provide EPD with chemical analysis results of the bottom ash, and treated fly ash and APC residues to confirm that the ash/residue can comply with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits before disposal.								
6b.6.3.1	<u>Fuel Oil Tank Construction and Test</u> - The fuel tank to be installed should be of specified durability. - Double skin tanks are preferred. - Underground fuel storage tank should be placed within a concrete pit.	Fuel Oil Storage Tank/ During Design, Construction and Operation Periods	IWMF Contractor	✓	✓	✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- The concrete pit shall be accessible to allow regular tank integrity tests to be carried out at regular intervals. - Tank integrity tests should be conducted by an independent qualified surveyor or structural engineer. - Any potential problems identified in the test should be rectified as soon as possible.								
6b.6.3.1	<u>Fuel Oil Pipeline Construction and Test</u> - Installation of aboveground fuel oil pipelines is preferable; if underground pipelines are unavoidable, concrete lined trenches should be constructed to contain the pipelines. - Double skin pipelines are preferred. - Distance between the fuel oil refuelling points and the fuel oil storage tank shall be minimized. - Integrity tests for the pipelines should be conducted by an independent qualified surveyor or structural engineer at regular intervals. - Any potential problems identified in the test should be rectified as soon as possible.	Fuel Oil Pipelines/ During Design, Construction and Operation Periods	IWMF Contractor	✓	✓	✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
6b.6.3.1	<u>Fuel Oil Leakage Detection</u> - Installation of leak detection device at storage tank and pipelines. - Installation and use of pressure gauges (e.g. at the two ends of a filling line) in fuel filling, which allows unexpected pressure drop or difference and sign of leakage to be detected.	Fuel Oil Storage Tank and Pipelines/ During Design, Construction and Operation Periods	IWMF Contractor	✓	✓	✓			N/A
6b.6.3.1	<u>Fuel Oil Storage Tank Refuelling</u> Storage tank refuelling (from road tanker) should only be conducted by authorized staff of the oil company using the company's standard procedures.	Fuel Oil Refuelling Point/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A
6b.6.3.1	<u>Fuel Oil Spillage Response</u> An Oil Spill Response Plan should be prepared by the operator to document the appropriate response procedures for oil spillage incidents in detail. General procedures to be taken in case of fuel oil spillage are presented below. - Training - Training on oil spill response actions should be given to relevant staff. The training shall cover the followings: ➤Tools & resources to combat oil spillage and fire, e.g. locations of	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	oil spill handling equipment and fire fighting equipment; ➤General methods to deal with oil spillage and fire incidents; ➤Procedures for emergency drills in the event of oil spills and fire; and ➤Regular drills shall be carried out. - Communication -Establish communication channel with the Fire Services Department (FSD) and EPD to report any oil spillage incident so that necessary assistance from relevant department can be quickly sought. - Response Procedures -Any fuel oil spillage within the IWMF site should be immediately reported to the Plant Manager with necessary details including location, source, possible cause and extent of the spillage. -Plant Manager should immediately attend to the spillage and initiate any appropriate action to confine and clean up the spillage. The response procedures shall include the following: ➤Identify and isolate the source of spillage as soon as possible. ➤Contain the oil spillage and avoid infiltration into soil/ groundwater and discharge to storm water channels.								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	➤ Remove the oil spillage. ➤ Clean up the contaminated area. ➤ If the oil spillage occurs during storage tank refuelling, the refueling operation should immediately be stopped. ➤ Recovered contaminated fuel oil and the associated material to remove the spilled oil should be considered as chemical waste. The handling and disposal procedures for chemical wastes are discussed in the following paragraphs.								
6b.6.3.2	<u>Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Handling & Storage</u> • Chemicals and chemical wastes should only be stored in suitable containers in purpose-built areas. • The storage of chemical wastes should comply with the requirements of the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. • The storage areas for chemicals and chemical wastes shall have an impermeable floor or surface. The impermeable floor/ surface shall possess the following properties: - Not liable to chemically react with the materials and their containers to	Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Storage Area / During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	be stored. - Able to withstand normal loading and physical damage caused by container handling - The integrity and condition of the impermeable floor or surface should be inspected at regular intervals to ensure that it is satisfactorily maintained ➤ For liquid chemicals and chemical wastes storage, the storage area should be bunded to contain at least 110% of the storage capacity of the largest containers or 20% of the total quantity of the chemicals/chemical wastes stored, whichever is the greater. ➤ Storage containers shall be checked at regular intervals for their structural integrity and to ensure that the caps or fill points are tightly closed. ➤ Chemical handling shall be conducted by trained workers under supervision.								
6b.6.3.2	<u>Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Spillage Response</u> A Chemicals and/ or Chemical Wastes Spillage Response Plan shall be prepared by the operator to document in detail the appropriate response procedures for chemicals or chemical wastes spillage	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	incidents. General procedures to be undertaken in case of chemicals/ chemical waste spillages are presented below. • Training - Training on spill response actions should be given to relevant staff. The training shall cover the followings: ➤ Tools & resources to handle spillage, e.g. locations of spill handling equipment; ➤ General methods to deal with spillage; and ➤ Procedures for emergency drills in the event of spills. • Communication - Establish communication channel with FSD and EPD to report the spillage incident so that necessary assistance from relevant department can be quickly sought. • Response Procedures - Any spillage within the IWMF site should be reported to the Plant Manager. - Plant Manager shall attend to the spillage and initiate any appropriate actions needed to confine and clean up the spillage. The response								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	procedures shall include the followings: ➤ Identify and isolate the source of spillage as soon as possible; ➤ Contain the spillage and avoid infiltration into soil/ groundwater and discharge to storm water channels (in case the spillage occurs at locations out of the designated storage areas); ➤ Remove the spillage; the removal method/ procedures documented in the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) of the chemicals spilled should be observed; ➤ Clean up the contaminated area (in case the spillage ➤ The waste arising from the cleanup operation should be considered as chemical wastes.								
6b.6.3.3	<u>Preventive Measures for Incineration By-products Handling</u> The recommended measures listed below can minimize the potential contamination to the surrounding environment due to the incineration by-products: • Ash should be stored in storage silos; • Ash should be handled and	Storage, Handling & Collection of Incineration Ash at IWMF/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	conveyed in closed systems fully - Ash should be wetted with water to control fugitive dust, where necessary; - All fly ash and APC residues should be treated, e.g. by cement solidification or chemical stabilization, for compliance with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria prior to disposal; - The ash should be transported in covered trucks or containers to the designated landfill site.								
6b.6.3.4 - 6b.6.3.6	<u>Incident Record</u> After any spillage, an incident report should be prepared by the Plant Manager. The incident report should contain details of the incident including the cause of the incident, the material spilled and estimated spillage amount, and also the response actions undertaken. The incident record should be kept carefully and able to be retrieved when necessary. The incident report should provide sufficient details for the evaluation of any environmental impacts due to the spillage and assessment of the effectiveness of measures taken. In case any spillage or accidents results in significant land contamination, EPD should	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓		Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management and the Guidance Note for Contaminated Land and Remediation.	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	be informed immediately and the IWMF operator should be responsible for the cleanup of the affected area. The responses procedures described in Section 6b.6.3.1 and Section 6b.6.3.2 of EIA report should be followed accordingly together with the land contamination assessment and remediation guidelines stipulated in the <i>Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management and the Guidance Note for Contaminated Land and Remediation</i> .								

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.5 Implementation Schedule for Ecological Quality Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
7b.8.2.1	<u>Measures to avoid direct loss of intertidal habitat</u> The site boundary has been proposed to avoid direct contact with the intertidal natural rocky shore of Shek Kwu Chau. It avoids direct loss of intertidal communities and the existing natural rocky shore habitat, where Reef Egret and White-bellied Sea Eagle have been recorded within and in the vicinity of this habitat.	IWMF site	Design team	✓				EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.2.2	<u>Measures to minimise loss of coastal subtidal habitat</u> Extensive coral colonies were recorded at the coastal hard bottom habitat at Shek Kwu Chau. To avoid and minimise the extensive direct impact on the coral colonies, the proposed reclamation area has been moved further offshore to minimise loss of subtidal habitat near shore.	IWMF site	Design team	✓				EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.2.3	<u>Zero Discharge Scheme</u> The design scheme of the Project has avoided discharge of wastewater into the marine environment. mechanical treatment plant, or for onsite washdown and landscape.	IWMF site	Design team, IWMF operator	✓		✓		WPCO	N/A
7b.8.2.4	<u>Measures to avoid loss of plant species of conservation importance</u> Landing portal construction works would not cause direct loss to the recorded	Cheung Sha landing portal	Design team, Contractor	✓	✓		✓	EIAO-TM	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	individual of protected plant species, <i>Aquilaria sinensis</i>, at the coastal shrubland habitat at Cheung Sha. As a precautionary measure, the plant should be tagged with eye-catching tape and fenced off prior to works, in order to avoid any damage by workers.								
7b.8.3.1-7b.8.3.15	<u>Measures to minimise water quality impact</u> Measures for water quality as recommended in Section 5b of the EIA Report should be implemented.	Work site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Implemented, deficiency on deployed silt curtain checking was spotted Reminder was given to Contractor on proper silt curtains checking
7b.8.3.16 - 7b.8.3.30	<u>Measures to minimise disturbance on Finless Porpoise</u> <i>Minimisation of Habitat Loss for Finless Porpoise</i> Substantial revision has been made on the layout plan and form of the breakwater, in order to minimise the potential loss of important habitat for Finless Porpoise. The revision has greatly reduced the size of the embayment area, as well as the Project footprint. As a result, the size of habitat loss for Finless Porpoise has reduced from the original ~50 ha, down to ~31 ha.	IWMF site,	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of the Environmental Permit (EP-429/2012)	Implemented for avoidance of construction works that may produce underwater acoustic disturbance, Vessel Travel Route implementation, training of staff, MMEZ and marine mammal watching works during deployment of silt curtain; N/A for others

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	<i>Avoidance of peak season for finless porpoise occurrence</i> - To minimise potential acoustic disturbance from construction activities on Finless Porpoise, construction works that may produce underwater acoustic disturbance should be scheduled outside the months with peak Finless Porpoise occurrence (December to May), including: - sheet piling works for construction of cofferdam surrounding the reclamation area (Phase 1); - sheet piling works for construction of the shorter section of breakwater (Phase 1); - sheet piling works for construction of the remaining section of breakwater (Phase 3); - bored piling works for berth area (Phase 3); and - submarine cable installation works between Shek Kwu Chau and Cheung Sha. Such works should be restricted within June to November. This approach would not only avoid the peak season for Finless Porpoise occurrence, the magnitude of impacts arise from acoustic disturbance would also be minimised.								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- Submarine cable installation works - Since the DCM ground treatment and the installation of precast seawalls and breakwaters should generate no underwater acoustic disturbance to Finless Porpoise, no specific mitigation measures are required. <i>Opt for quieter construction methods and plants</i> - Considering the sensitivity of marine mammals to underwater acoustic disturbance, instead of the previously proposed conventional breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure, which requires noisy piling works, the current circular cells structure for breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; - Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3.								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	<i>Monitored exclusion zones</i> - During the installation/re-installation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/re-installation/relocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain idle for 30 minutes, or until the exclusion zone is free from marine mammals. - The experienced marine mammal observer should be well trained to detect marine mammals. Binoculars should be used to search the exclusion zone from an elevated platform with unobstructed visibility. The observer should also be independent from the project proponent and has the power to call-off construction activities. - In addition, as marine mammals cannot be effectively monitored within the								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	proposed monitored exclusion zone at night, or during adverse weather conditions (i.e. Beaufort 5 or above, visibility of 300 meters or below), marine works should be avoided under weather conditions with low visibility. <i>Marine mammal watching plan</i> Upon the completion of the installation/re-installation/relocation of floating type silt curtain, all marine works would be conducted within a fully enclosed environment within the silt curtain, hence exclusion zone monitoring would no longer be required. Subsequently, a marine mammal watching plan should be implemented. The plan should include regular inspection of silt curtains, and visual inspection of the waters surrounded by the curtains. Special attention should be paid to Phase 2 (reclamation) where the floating type still curtain would be opened occasionally for vessel access, leaving a temporary 50 m opening. An action plan should be devised to cope with any unpredicted incidents such as the case when marine mammals are found within the waters surrounded by the silt curtains. <i>Small openings at silt curtains</i>								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- The openings for vessel access at the silt curtains should be as small as possible to minimise the risk of accidental entrance. <i>Adoption of regular travel route</i> - During construction and operation, captains of all vessels should adopt regular travel route, in order to minimize the chance of vessel collision with marine mammals, which may otherwise result in damage to health or mortality. The regular travel route should avoid areas with high sighting density of Finless Porpoise as much as possible. <i>Vessel speed limit</i> - The frequent vessel traffic in the vicinity of works area may increase the chance of mammal mammals being killed or seriously injured by vessel collision. A speed limit of ten knots should be strictly enforced within areas with high density of Finless Porpoise. - Passive acoustic monitoring and land-based theodolite monitoring surveys should be adopted to verify the predicted impacts and effectiveness of the proposed								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	mitigation measures. <i>Training of Staff</i> Staff, including captains of vessels, should be aware of the guidelines for safe vessel operations in the presence of cetaceans during construction and operation phases. Adequate trainings should be provided								
7b.8.3.31 - 7b.8.3.34	<u>Measures to minimise impact on corals</u> <i>Coral translocation</i> - Coral communities within and in proximity to the proposed dredging sites would be disturbed by the Project due to the dredging operations. In order to minimise direct loss of coral communities, translocation of corals that are attached to movable rocks with diameter less than 50 cm are recommended. In order to avoid disturbance to corals during the spawning period, the spawning season of corals (June to August) should be avoided; and that translocation should be carried out during the winter season (November-March). - The REA survey results suggest that the 198 directly affected coral colonies were	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM	Implemented, tagged coral found missing after hitting by typhoons

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	attached to movable rocks (less than 50 cm in diameter). It is technically feasible to translocate them to avoid direct loss. - Prior to coral translocation, a more detailed baseline survey, including event / action plan for coral monitoring should be submitted upon approval of this Project, prior to commencement of construction works. Advice from relevant governmental departments (i.e. AFCD) and professionals would be sought after, in order to identify a desirable location for the relocation of coral communities. Post-translocation monitoring on the translocated corals should also be considered. <i>Coral monitoring programme</i> - A coral monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the coral communities at the coasts of Shek Kwu Chau during construction of the Project. <i>Phasing of Works</i> - To minimize environmental impacts, the proposed phasing of construction works has been carefully designed to reduce the								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	amount of concurrent works, hence minimize SS elevation and the associated impacts on corals.								
7b.8.3.35 - 7b.8.3.41	<u>Specific measures to minimize disturbance on breeding White-bellied Sea Eagle</u> <i>Avoidance of noisy works during the breeding season of White-bellied Sea Eagle</i> - To minimize potential noise disturbance from construction activities on WBSE, noisy construction works should be scheduled outside their breeding season (December to May) to minimise potential degradation in breeding ground quality and breeding activities, including: - sheet piling works for construction of cofferdam surrounding the reclamation area (Phase 1); - sheet piling works for construction of the shorter section of breakwater (Phase 1); - sheet piling works for construction of the remaining section of breakwater (Phase 3); and - bored piling works for berth area (Phase 3). <i>Opt for quieter construction methods and plants</i> - To minimise potential construction noise	IWMF site, marine traffic route	Design Team, Contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM	Implemented

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	disturbance on WBSE, quieter construction methods and plants should be adopted. The recommended noise mitigation measures in the Noise chapter (Section 4b.8 of the EIA Report) should be implemented to minimise potential noise disturbance to acceptable levels. <i>Restriction on vessel access near the nest of White-bellied Sea Eagle</i> - During construction and operation, in order to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and boats as far away from the nest as possible. <i>White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme</i> - A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include pre-construction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	after the completion of construction works). - Surveys should be conducted twice per month during their breeding season (from December to May); and once per month outside breeding season (June to November). More details on monitoring for WBSE are presented in the EM&A Manual. <i>Education of staff</i> - Staff, including captains of all vessels during construction and operation phases, should be aware of the ecological importance of WBSE. Awareness should be raised among staff to minimise any intentional or unintentional disturbance to the nest. <i>Minimisation of Glare Disturbance</i> - To minimise glare disturbance on WBSE, which may cause disorientation of birds by interfering with their magnetic compass, and disruption in behavioural patterns such as reproduction, fat storage and foraging pattern, any unnecessary outdoor lighting should be avoided, and in-ward and down-ward pointing of lights should be adopted.								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
-	<u>Construction of Seawall/Breakwaters</u> - To widen the open channel between the Artificial Island and Shek Kwu Chau. - To design the precast concrete seawall with environmental friendly features.	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓			Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-429/2012)	N/A
7b.8.3.42	<u>Opt for Quieter Construction Methods and Plants</u> Quieter construction methods and plants should be used to minimise disturbance to the nearby terrestrial habitat and the associated wildlife.	Work site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7b.8.3.43	<u>Measures to minimize impacts from artificial lighting</u> Unnecessary lighting should be avoided, and shielding of lights should be provided to minimize disturbance from light pollution on fauna groups.	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓		EIAO-TM	Implemented
7b.8.3.44 - 7b.8.3.45	<u>Measures to minimize accidental spillage</u> Regular maintenance of vessels, vehicles and equipment that may cause leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within pre-designated areas, which are appropriately equipped to control the associated discharges.	Work site	Contractor, IWMF operator		✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	Oils, fuels and chemicals should be contained in suitable containers, and only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas in order to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby watercourses. All waste oils and fuels should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal.								
7b.8.3.46	<u>Measures to minimise sewage effluent</u> Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce.	Work site	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.3.47	<u>Measures to minimise drainage and construction runoff</u> - Potential ecological impacts resulted from potential degradation of water quality due to unmitigated surface runoff could be minimised via the detailed mitigation measures in Section 5b.8 of the EIA Report. The following presents some of the mitigation measures: - On-site drainage system with implemented sedimentation control facilities. - Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to	Work site	Contractor		✓		✓	EIAO-TM	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	direct storm water to silt removal facilities. - Provision of embankment at boundaries of earthworks for flood protection. - Water pumped out from foundation piles must be discharged into silt removal facilities. - During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable. - Exposed soil surface should be minimized to reduce siltation and runoff. - Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted. Subsequent permanent surface protection should be immediately performed. - Open stockpiles of construction materials, and construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.								
7b.8.3.48	<u>Measures to minimise impacts from general construction activities</u> • To avoid the entering of construction solid waste into the nearby habitats, construction solid waste should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering to the nearby habitats. It is recommended to clean the	Work site	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM	Implemented

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	construction sites on a regular basis.								
7b.8.3.49	<u>Pest Control</u> Good waste management practices should be adopted at the IWMF in order to minimise the risk of introduction of pest to the island: - Transportation of wastes in enclosed containers - Waste storage area should be well maintained and cleaned - Waste should only be disposed of at designated areas - Timely removal of the newly arrived waste - Removal of items that are capable of retaining water - Rapid clean up of any waste spillages - Maintenance of a tidy and clean site environment - Regular application of pest control - Education of staff the importance of site cleanliness	IWMF site	IWMF operator			✓			N/A
7b.8.3.50	<u>Control of Marine Habitat Quality during Operation Phase</u> • Depending on the seabed condition of the approach channel for marine vessels during operation phase of the IWMF, maintenance dredging may be required to ensure safe access. In order to avoid degradation in water quality due to elevation in SS and dispersion of sediment plume	IWMF site	IWMF operator			✓		EIAO-TM; WPCO	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	due to dredging works, it is recommended that any future maintenance dredging works should not be carried out within 100 m from the shore, similar to that of the dredging for anti-scouring protection layer during construction phase. All maintenance dredging works should be carried out with the implementation of silt curtain to control the dispersion of SS. The production rate should comply with the permit dredging rate and number of grab per hour.								
7b.8.4.1 – 7b.8.4.8	<u>Compensation of loss of important habitat of Finless Porpoise</u> <i>Designation of Marine Park</i> - The Project Proponent has made a firm commitment to seek to designate a marine park of approximately 700 ha in the waters between Soko Islands and Shek Kwu Chau, in accordance with the statutory process stipulated in the Marine Parks Ordinance, as a compensation measure for the habitat loss arising from the construction of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC. - The Project Proponent shall seek to complete the designation by 2018 to tie in with the operation of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC.	Waters between Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands	Project Proponent	✓		✓		EIAO-TM	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	- A further study should be carried out to review relevant previous studies and collate available information on the ecological characters of the proposed area for marine park designation; and review available survey data for Finless Porpoise, water quality, fisheries, marine traffic and planned development projects in the vicinity. Based on the findings, ecological profiles of the proposed area for marine park designation should be established, and the extent and location of the proposed marine park be determined. The adequacy of enhancement measures should also be reviewed. - In addition, a management plan for the proposed marine park should be proposed, covering information on the responsible departments for operation and management (O&M) of the marine park, as well as the O&M duties of each of the departments involved. Consultation with relevant government departments and stakeholders should be conducted under the study. The study should be submitted to Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) for approval before the commencement of construction works. - The Project Proponent should provide								

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
	assistance to AFCD during the process of the marine park designation. .								
7b.8.5.1 – 7b.8.5.4	<u>Additional Enhancement or Precautionary Measures Deployment of Artificial Reefs</u> - Deployment of artificial reefs (ARs) is an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. ARs are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project. The exact location, dimension and type of ARs to be deployed are to be further investigated along with the further study of the proposed marine park under this Project. The proposed ARs would be deployed at the same time as the complete designation of marine park. <i>Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs and Marine Park</i> - Release of fish fry at the proposed ARs, as well as the proposed marine park under this study, should enhance the fish resources in the nearby waters, and subsequently food sources for Finless Porpoise. The proposed ARs with various micro-habitats would have the potential to provide shelter and nursery ground for the released fish fry. The frequency and quantity of fry to be released should be agreed by AFCD.	Within the proposed marine park under this study	Project Proponent	✓		✓		EIAO-TM	N/A

Contract No. EP/SP/66/12

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.6 Implementation Schedule for Fisheries Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
8b.8.1.2	<u>Measure to minimize loss of and disturbance on fisheries resources</u> Alteration to the phasing of works, construction method, and layout plan of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC has been made. The total fishing ground to be permanently lost due to the project has been significantly reduced from ~50 ha to ~31 ha. By adopting the current circular cells instead of the conventional seawall construction method, SS elevation would be greatly reduced, minimizing adverse impact on the health of fisheries resources.	IWMF site	Design team, contractor	✓	✓		✓	EIAO-TM	N/A
8b.8.1.3	<u>Measure to minimize impingement and entrainment</u> Provision of a screen at the water intake point for desalination plant would be essential to minimize the risk of impingement and entrainment of fisheries resources (including fish, larvae and egg) through the intake point.	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓		EIAO-TM	N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
8b.8.1.4-8b.8.1.6	<u>Measures to control water quality</u> - No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. - Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project	Work site, IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM	Implemented, deficiency on deployed silt curtain checking was spotted Reminder was given to Contractor on proper silt curtains checking
8b.8.1.7 – 8b.8.1.8	<u>Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures</u> - Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. <i>Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs</i> - Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would provide shelter and nursery ground for the released fish fry. The frequency and quantity of fry to be released should be agreed by AFCD.	Within the proposed marine park in the waters between Soko Islands and Shek Kwu Chau	Project Proponent	✓		✓		EIAO-TM	N/A

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.7 Implementation Schedule for Landscape and Visual Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S10b.10 MLVC- 01	Grass-hydroseeded bare soil surface and stock pile area	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				N/A
S10b.10 MLVC-02	<u>Landscape Design</u> 1) Early planting using fast grow trees and tall shrubs at strategic locations within site as buffer to block view corridors to the site from the VSRs, and to locally screen haul roads, excavation works and site preparation works. 2) Use of tree species of dense tree crown to serve as visual barrier. 3) Hard and soft landscape treatment (e.g. trees and shrubs) of open areas within development to provide a background for the outdoor containers from open view, shade and shelter, and a green appearance from surrounding viewpoints. 4) Planting strip along the periphery of the project site. 5) Selected tree species suitable for the coastal condition.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	✓	✓				N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S10b.10 MLVC-03	<u>Adoption of Natural Features of the Existing Shoreline</u> 1) Use of boulders in different sizes and with the similar textures of the existing rocky shores for the construction of breakwater and artificial shoreline in order to blend into the existing natural shoreline. 2) Use of cellular cofferdam together with the natural boulders to form a curvature shoreline for the reclamation area to echo with the natural shoreline of SKC.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				N/A
S10b.10 MLVC-04	<u>Greening Design (Rooftop & Vertical Greening)</u> 1) Implementation of rooftop and vertical greening (vertical building envelope) along the periphery of each building block to increase the amenity value of the work, moderate temperature extremes and enhance building energy performance. The greening appearance of the building shall enhance its visual harmony with the natural surroundings as well as reduce the apparent visual mass of the structure. 2) Sufficient space between concrete enclosure and stack to minimize heat transfer. 3) Introduction of landscape decks at the stack to further enhance the overall natural and green concept unique for this site.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	✓	✓				N/A

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S10b.10 MVC-01	<u>Visual Mitigation and Aesthetic Design</u> 1) Use of natural materials with recessive color to minimize the bulkiness of the building. 2) Adoption of innovative aesthetic design to the chimney to minimize or visually mitigate the massing of the chimney so as to reduce its visual impact to the surroundings. 3) Color of the chimney in a gradual changing manner to match with the color of the sky. 4) Provision of observation deck for public enjoyment at the top of the chimney to diminish the feeling of chimney. 5) Provision of sky gardens between the two stacks to allow additional greening for enhancing the aesthetic quality. Maintenance access (elevator and staircase) from the ground floor to the sky gardens will be provided to allow maintenance of the sky gardens. 6) Integration of the visitor's walkway with different material façade design of incinerator plant to enhance the aesthetic quality.	Structures in IWMF / During design & construction phases	Contractor	✓	✓				N/A
S10b.10 MVC-02	Control of the security floodlight for construction areas at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding receiver.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				Implemented


EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S10b.10 MVC-03	Optimization of the construction sequence and construction programme to minimize the duration of impact.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	✓	✓				Implemented
S10b.10 MVC-04	Storage of the backfilling materials for site formation & construction materials / wastes on site at a maximum height of 2m, covered with an impermeable material of visually un-obtrusive material (in earth tone).	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				N/A
S10b.10 MVC-05	Reduction of the number of construction traffic at the site to practical minimum.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				Implemented
S10b.10 MLVO-01	<u>Planting Maintenance</u> Provision of proper planting maintenance and replacement of defective plant species on the new planting areas to enhance aesthetic and landscape quality.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A
S10b.10 MVO-01	<u>Environmental Education Centre</u> Development of an Environmental Education Center, in which regular exhibitions and lectures to promote environmental awareness and waste reduction concept would be provided, as a part of the IWMF for the general public to alleviate negative public perceptions of the development.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A
S10b.10 MVO-02	<u>Control of Light</u> Control the numbers of lights and their intensity to a level that is good enough to meet the safety requirements at night but not excessive.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A


EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Implementation Stages*				Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
				Des	C	O	Dec		
S10b.10 MVO-03	<u>Control of Operation Time</u> Minimization of the frequency of waste transportation to practical minimum (e.g. limit the reception of MSW from 8 am to 8 pm)	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A

* Des - Design, C - Construction, O – Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning


Appendix C Water Quality Monitoring Data Trending

Salinity (Depth-averaged) during MID-FLOOD






Dissolved Oxygen (Depth-averaged) during Mid-Flood




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Dissolved Oxygen can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.


Dissolved Oxygen (Depth-averaged) during Mid-Ebb




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Dissolved Oxygen can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.

pH (Depth-averaged) during MID-FLOOD







Turbidity (Depth-averaged) during MID-FLOOD



Note:: The Action and Limit Level of Turbidity can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.



Note: The Action and Limit Level of Turbidity can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.



Note: The Action and Limit Level of Suspended Solids can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.

Suspended Solids (Depth-averaged) during MID-EBB




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Suspended Solids can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.

Temperature (Depth-averaged) during MID-FLOOD




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Temperature can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.

Temperature (Depth-Averaged) during MID-EBB




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Temperature can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.

Alkalinity (Depth-averaged) during Mid-Flood



Note: The Action and Limit Level of Alkalinity can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.


Alkalinity (Depth-averaged) during Mid-Ebb




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Alkalinity can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM & A report.

Appendix D Noise Monitoring Data Trending

M1, M2, M3 Leq 30min dB(A)



Appendix E Waste Flow Table



Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018

Project : Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase I



















Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly								Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill Sand	Imported Fill Public fill	Imported Fill Rock	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 2)	Chemical Waste		Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)			(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000L)	(in '000kg)
Jan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feb	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Apr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
May	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct														
Nov														
Dec														
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2

- Notes:
- (1) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
 - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.

Appendix F Photo Records for Coral Monitoring

Photo Plate for Weekly Tagged Coral Monitoring at Control Site during the 1st Monitoring Month

Tag #	5 th July	12 th July	21 st July	26 th July
#1				
#2				
#3				
#4				
#5				
#6				
#7				


































Tag #	5 th July	12 th July	21 st July	26 th July
#8				
#9				
#10				

Photo Plate for Weekly Tagged Coral Monitoring at Indirect Impact Site during the 1st Monitoring Month

Tag #	5 th July	12 th July	21 st July	26 th July
#11				
#12				
#13				
#14				
#15				
#16				
#17				
#18				





















Tag #	5 th July	12 th July	21 st July	26 th July
#19				
#20				

Photo Plate for 2nd & 3rd Monthly Coral Monitoring at Control Site

Tag #	20 th August	20 th September
#1		
#2		Missing
#3		
#4		

Tag #	20 th August	20 th September
#5		Missing
#6		
#7		Missing
#8		







Tag #	20 th August	20 th September
#9		
#10		Missing

Photo Plate for 2nd & 3rd Monthly Tagged Coral Monitoring at Indirect Impact Site

Tag #	20 th August	20 th September
#11		Missing
#12		Missing

Tag #	20 th August	20 th September
#13		Missing
#14		Missing
#15		Missing
#16		Missing













Tag #	20 th August	20 th September
#17		Missing
#18		Missing
#19		Missing
#20		Missing




Photo Plate of Translocated Hard Corals at Recipient Site




Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
1	 A large, rounded coral colony with a white tag labeled '01' attached to its upper left side. The coral is covered in green algae and is situated on a dark, rocky substrate.	 A dark, almost black, rectangular area, likely representing the coral colony. The tag is not visible. The background is dark and textured.	 A large, rounded coral colony with a white tag labeled '01' attached to its upper left side. The coral is covered in green algae and is situated on a dark, rocky substrate.
2	 A coral colony with a white tag labeled '02' attached to its lower right side. The coral is covered in green algae and is situated on a dark, rocky substrate.	 A coral colony with a white tag labeled '02' attached to its lower right side. The coral is covered in green algae and is situated on a dark, rocky substrate.	Missing



Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
3			Missing
4			

Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
5	 A photograph of a white, irregularly shaped tag with the number '05' printed on it. The tag is attached to a dark, textured surface, possibly a rock or coral, which is covered in green algae. The background is dark and out of focus.	 A photograph showing the same tag area as the baseline, but the tag is no longer visible. The surface is covered in a dense layer of green algae and some darker, possibly brownish, patches.	Missing
6	 A photograph of a white tag with the number '06' printed on it, attached to a light-colored, textured surface. Another tag with the number '10' is visible in the bottom left corner. The background is dark and out of focus.	 A photograph showing the same tag area as the baseline, but the tag is no longer visible. The surface is covered in a dense layer of green algae and some darker, possibly brownish, patches.	 A photograph showing the same tag area as the baseline, but the tag is no longer visible. The surface is covered in a dense layer of green algae and some darker, possibly brownish, patches.

Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
7	 Underwater photograph of Tag 7 at the Baseline. The tag is a white, circular marker with the number '07' in black, resting on a dark, rocky substrate covered in green algae.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 7 on 26-June. The tag is a white, circular marker with the number '07' in black, resting on a dark, rocky substrate covered in green algae.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 7 on 20-September. The tag is a white, circular marker with the number '07' in black, resting on a dark, rocky substrate covered in green algae.
8	 Underwater photograph of Tag 8 at the Baseline. The tag is a white, circular marker with the number '08' in black, resting on a dark, rocky substrate covered in green algae.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 8 on 26-June. The tag is a white, circular marker with the number '08' in black, resting on a dark, rocky substrate covered in green algae.	Missing

Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
9	 A photograph of a flatfish on a sandy bottom. A white tag with the number '09' is attached to its tail. The fish is covered in green algae.	 A photograph of the same flatfish on the same sandy bottom. The tag with '09' is still attached. The fish appears slightly larger or more covered in algae.	Missing
10	 A photograph of a flatfish on a sandy bottom. A white tag with the number '10' is attached to its tail. The fish is covered in green algae.	 A photograph of the same flatfish on the same sandy bottom. The tag with '10' is still attached. The fish appears slightly larger or more covered in algae.	 A photograph of the same flatfish on the same sandy bottom. The tag with '10' is still attached. The fish appears slightly larger or more covered in algae.

Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
11	 A photograph of a white circular tag with the number '11' attached to a dark, textured rock surface. The tag is slightly tilted and has some small marks on it.	 A photograph of the same tag and rock surface, but the tag is now mostly obscured by dark, possibly algae or sediment, making it difficult to see.	Missing
12	 A photograph of a white circular tag with the number '12' attached to a dark, textured rock surface. The tag is clearly visible and has some small marks on it.	 A photograph of the same tag and rock surface, but the tag is now mostly obscured by dark, possibly algae or sediment, making it difficult to see.	Missing

Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
13	 A close-up photograph of a white circular tag with the number '13' in black, attached to a dark, textured rock surface. The tag is slightly tilted and has some white residue around its edges.	 A photograph showing the same tag area as the baseline, but the tag is no longer visible. The rock surface is dark and textured, with some lighter patches.	Missing
14	 A close-up photograph of a white circular tag with the number '14' in black, attached to a dark, textured rock surface. The tag is slightly tilted and has some white residue around its edges.	 A photograph showing the same tag area as the baseline, but the tag is no longer visible. The rock surface is dark and textured, with some lighter patches.	Missing
















Tag #	Baseline	26-June	20-September
15	 A close-up underwater photograph of a white, circular tag with the number '15' on it, attached to a dark, textured rock or coral structure. The background is a murky greenish-brown.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same tag and structure, showing some changes in the surrounding environment or the tag's position.	Missing
16	 A close-up underwater photograph of a white, circular tag with the number '16' on it, attached to a dark, textured rock or coral structure. The background is a murky greenish-brown.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same tag and structure, showing some changes in the surrounding environment or the tag's position.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same tag and structure, showing some changes in the surrounding environment or the tag's position.





Photo Plate of Natural Hard Corals at Recipient Site

Tag#	Baseline	26-June	20-September
1	 A close-up underwater photograph of a yellow circular tag with the number '01' in black. The tag is attached to a coral structure. The surrounding coral is dark green and appears healthy.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same yellow circular tag with the number '01'. The tag is still attached to the coral, but the surrounding coral appears slightly more degraded or covered in algae compared to the baseline.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same yellow circular tag with the number '01'. The tag is still attached, but the surrounding coral is significantly more degraded and covered in a thick layer of green algae.
2	 A close-up underwater photograph of a yellow circular tag with the number '02' in black. The tag is attached to a coral structure. The surrounding coral is dark green and appears healthy.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same yellow circular tag with the number '02'. The tag is still attached, but the surrounding coral is significantly more degraded and covered in a thick layer of green algae.	 A close-up underwater photograph of the same yellow circular tag with the number '02'. The tag is still attached, but the surrounding coral is significantly more degraded and covered in a thick layer of green algae.

Tag#	Baseline	26-June	20-September
3	 Underwater photograph of Tag 3 at the Baseline. The tag is a yellow circle with the number '03' in black, attached to a white plastic cap. It is resting on a green, algae-covered rock surface.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 3 at 26-June. The tag is not visible in this image, which shows a green, algae-covered rock surface.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 3 at 20-September. The tag is a yellow circle with the number '03' in black, attached to a white plastic cap. It is resting on a green, algae-covered rock surface.
4	 Underwater photograph of Tag 4 at the Baseline. The tag is a yellow circle with the number '04' in black, attached to a white plastic cap. It is resting on a green, algae-covered rock surface.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 4 at 26-June. The tag is a yellow circle with the number '04' in black, attached to a white plastic cap. It is resting on a green, algae-covered rock surface.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 4 at 20-September. The tag is a yellow circle with the number '04' in black, attached to a white plastic cap. It is resting on a green, algae-covered rock surface.

Tag#	Baseline	26-June	20-September
5	 Underwater photograph of Tag 5 at the Baseline site. The tag is a yellow circular marker with the number '5' in black, attached to a white plastic base. It is positioned on a green, textured surface, likely a coral or sponge colony.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 5 at the 26-June site. The tag is a yellow circular marker with the number '5' in black, attached to a white plastic base. It is positioned on a green, textured surface, likely a coral or sponge colony.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 5 at the 20-September site. The tag is a yellow circular marker with the number '5' in black, attached to a white plastic base. It is positioned on a green, textured surface, likely a coral or sponge colony.
6	 Underwater photograph of Tag 6 at the Baseline site. The tag is a yellow circular marker with the number '6' in black, attached to a white plastic base. It is positioned on a green, textured surface, likely a coral or sponge colony.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 6 at the 26-June site. The tag is a yellow circular marker with the number '6' in black, attached to a white plastic base. It is positioned on a green, textured surface, likely a coral or sponge colony.	 Underwater photograph of Tag 6 at the 20-September site. The tag is a yellow circular marker with the number '6' in black, attached to a white plastic base. It is positioned on a green, textured surface, likely a coral or sponge colony.

Tag#	Baseline	26-June	20-September
7	 A photograph of a large, dark, irregularly shaped rock or coral structure underwater. A small, yellow, circular tag with the number '07' is attached to the right side of the structure.	 A photograph of the same rock structure from June 26. The tag '07' is visible on the right side. The surrounding water is slightly more turbid than in the baseline image.	 A photograph of the same rock structure from September 20. The tag '07' is visible on the right side. The water is noticeably more turbid and yellowish compared to the previous images.
8	 A photograph of a large, dark, irregularly shaped rock or coral structure underwater. A small, yellow, circular tag with the number '08' is attached to the left side of the structure.	 A photograph of the same rock structure from June 26. The tag '08' is visible on the left side. The surrounding water is slightly more turbid than in the baseline image.	 A photograph of the same rock structure from September 20. The tag '08' is visible on the left side. The water is noticeably more turbid and yellowish compared to the previous images.

Tag#	Baseline	26-June	20-September
9	 An underwater photograph showing a yellow tag with the number '9' on a dark, textured background of coral or rock.	 An underwater photograph showing a yellow tag with the number '9' on a dark, textured background of coral or rock.	 An underwater photograph showing a yellow tag with the number '08' on a dark, textured background of coral or rock.
10	 An underwater photograph showing a yellow tag with the number '10' on a dark, textured background of coral or rock.	 An underwater photograph showing a yellow tag with the number '10' on a dark, textured background of coral or rock.	Missing

Appendix G Photo Records for Marine Mammal Monitoring





Appendix H Photo Records for White-bellied Sea Eagle Monitoring

Photo Plate for 1st Monthly WBSE monitoring



Adult WBSE was flying in the sky

Photo Plate for 2nd Monthly WBSE monitoring



Adult WBSE Stayed on the Tree Top

Photo Plate for 3rd Monthly WBSE monitoring



Adult WBSEs Stayed on the Same Tree of the Original Nest



Adult WBSEs Flying Over at SKC to Bring Tree Branches to Rebuild the Nest

Appendix I Complaint Log

Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting Period	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
28 June 2018-31 July 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Aug 2018-31 Aug 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Sep 2018-30 Sep 2018	0	0	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting Period	Environmental Summons Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
28 June 2018-31 July 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Aug 2018-31 Aug 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Sep 2018-30 Sep 2018	0	0	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting Period	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
28 June 2018-31 July 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Aug 2018-31 Aug 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Sep 2018-30 Sep 2018	0	0	N/A