

# 東業德勤測試顧問有限公司 ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com



# ATAL-DEGREMONT-CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE

CONTRACT NO. DC/2013/10 - DESIGN, BUILD AND OPERATE SAN WAI SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS – PHASE 1

> MONTHLY EM&A REPORT NO. 41

(01 SEPTEMBER - 30 SEPTEMBER 2020)

Prepared by:

LO, Ting Yi

Certified by:

LAU, Chi Leung

Environmental Team Leader

Issued Date: 12 October 2020

Report No.: ENA06928

This report shall not be reproduced unless with prior written approval from this laboratory



Drainage Services Department Sewage Services Branch Harbour Area Treatment Scheme 5/F, Western Magistracy 2A Po Fu Lam Road Hong Kong Your reference:

Our reference:

HKDSD203/50/106852

Date:

21 October 2020

Attention: Mr Albert Wong

BY EMAIL & POST (email: awong@dsd.gov.hk)

Dear Sirs

Agreement No. HATS 02/2016
Services for Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for
Contract No. DC/2013/10 – Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1
Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No.41 (September 2020)

We refer to emails of 12, 20 and 21 October 2020 from ETS-Testconsult Limited attaching the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 41 (September 2020).

We have no further comments and hereby verify the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 41 (September 2020) in accordance with Clause 5.4 of the Environmental Permit no. EP-464/2013.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Ms Katherine Chu on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully
ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

Adi Lee

Independent Environmental Checker

LYMA/CWKK/lsmt

cc AECOM – Mr Patrick Leung (email: patrick.leung@swstw-aecom.com) ETS-Testconsult Limited – Mr C L Lau (email: env@ets-testconsult.com)



Email: info@anewr.com Web: www.anewr.com





ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	
1	INTRODUCTION	1-2
1.1	Basic Project Information	1
1.2	Project Organization	1-2
1.3	Construction Programme	2
1.4	Construction Works Undertaken During the Reporting Period	2
2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	3-7
2.1	Monitoring Requirements	3
2.2	Monitoring Equipment	3-4
2.3	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	4
2.4	Action and Limit Levels	5
2.5	Results and Observations	5
2.6	Event and Action Plan	5-7
3	NOISE MONITORING	8-11
3.1	Monitoring Requirements	8
3.2	Monitoring Equipment	8
3.3	Monitoring Duration and Frequency	8
3.4	Monitoring Locations	8
3.5	Monitoring Methodology	9
3.6	Actions and Limit Level	9
3.7	Results and Observation	9
3.8	Event and Action Plan	10-11
4	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	11-16
4.1	Monitoring Requirements	11
4.2	Monitoring Methodology and Equipment	11
4.3	Monitoring Frequency	12
4.4	Quality Assurance (QA) / Quality Control (QC)	12
4.5	Action and Limit Levels	12-13
4.6	Result and Observation	13
4.7	Event and Action Plan	13-16
5	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	16-21
5.1	Site Inspection	16-17
5.2	Landscape and Visual Audit	17
5.3	Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	17-18
5.4	Discharge License and Results of Effluent Monitoring	18
5.5	Environmental Licenses and Permits	18
5.6	Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	18-20
5.7	Summary of Exceedance of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit	20
5.8	Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution	20-21
6	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	21-22
6.1	Construction Programme for the Coming Months	21
6.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	21-22
6.3	Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection Schedule for the Coming Month	22
7	CONCLUSION	22
7.1	Conclusion	22



ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Monitoring Parameters, Duration and Frequencies of Impact Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.3	Time Schedule of Impact Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.4	The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality
Table 2.5	Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP
Table 2.6	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality (Dust) during Construction Phase
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Time Schedule of Impact Noise Monitoring
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.4	Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring
Table 3.5	Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise
Table 4.1	Summary of Testing Procedures for water samples
Table 4.2	Monitoring Frequency of Water Quality Monitoring
Table 4.3	Time Schedule of Impact Water Quality Monitoring
Table 4.4	The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality
Table 4.5	Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality
Table 4.6	Event and Action Plan for Water Quality
Table 5.1	Summary of Observation of site inspections
Table 5.2	Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials
Table 5.3	Summary of Quantities of C&D Materials
Table 5.4	Summary of Environmental Complaints Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Location of Work Area
Appendix B	Project Organization Chart
Appendix C	Construction Programme
Appendix D1	Calibration Certificates for Impact Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Appendix D2	Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix D3	Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix E1	Calibration Certificates for Impact Noise Monitoring Equipment
Appendix E2	Impact Noise Monitoring Results
Appendix E3	Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data
Appendix F1	Calibration Certificates for Impact Water Quality Monitoring Equipments
Appendix F2	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix F3	Graphical Plots of Impact Water Quality Monitoring Data
Appendix G	Weather Condition
Appendix H	Environmental Site Inspection Checklists
Appendix I	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist
Appendix J	Waste Flow Table
Appendix K	Environmental Licenses and Permits
Appendix L	Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix M	Environmental Site Inspection Schedule
Appendix N	Laboratory Report for Discharge Water
FIGURES	

# **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 2	Water Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 3	Location Plan for the Wetsep Treatment Tank

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is prepared for Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Stage 1 (the Project) (hereafter referred to as "the Contract"). The Contract was awarded to ATAL-DEGREMONT-CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE (ADCJV) by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) and ETS-Testconsult Limited was appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) by ADCJV to implement the EM&A program in compliance with the EP and the EM&A Manuals.

According to the Section 25 of the Particular Specification (PS) and the Environmental Permit No. EP-464/2013, an EM&A programme should be implemented in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual of the approved EIA report (Registration No. AEIAR-072/2003). The scope of monitoring works includes air quality, construction noise, water quality and environmental site audit.

Baseline monitoring was completed in April 2017. Action and Limit Levels were established for air quality, noise and water quality parameters based on the baseline monitoring results.

This is 41th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the Contract which summaries findings of the EM&A works conducted during the reporting period from 01 September to 30 September 2020.

#### **Site Activities**

As informed by the Contractor, site activities were carried out in this reporting month:

- ABWF:
- Superstructure (RC)
- Building Services Installation;
- Building Services Operation Test;
- Mechanical Equipment Installation;
- Mechanical Equipment Testing;
- Electrical Services Installation;
- Electrical Services Testing;
- Inspection;
- Performance test using sewage;
- Retaining wall construction and the associated backfilling along the site boundary

#### **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress**

The monthly EM&A programme was undertaken in accordance with the EM&A Manual for this Contract. The summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting month is listed below:

- 24-hour TSP Monitoring: 5 Occasions at 2 designated locations
- 1-hour TSP Monitoring: 15 Occasions at 2 designated locations
- Noise Monitoring (Day-time): 5 Occasions at 2 designated locations
- Water Quality Monitoring: 13 Occasions at 1 designated location
- Weekly Site inspection: 5 Occasions



ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# Air Quality Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.

#### **Noise Monitoring**

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels for noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.

#### Water Quality Monitoring

According to the summary of water monitoring results, no exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded in this reporting month.

#### Weekly Site Inspections

In general, performance on environmental mitigation measures implemented was found to be satisfactory in this reporting month. The major findings observed during site inspections are presented in the **Section 5.0**.

#### **Complaint Log**

There was no complaint received in relation to the environmental impact during the reporting period.

#### **Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions**

There were no notifications of summons or prosecutions received during the reporting period.

#### Reporting Change

There were no reporting changes during the reporting period.

#### **Future Key Issues**

The future key issues to be undertaken in the upcoming month are as follows:

- Chemical and waste management and precautions against leakage;
- Treatment of runoff and wastewater prior to discharge;
- Dust and Noise generated from construction activities; and
- Prevention of odour nuisance



ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

- 1.1.1. This Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is prepared for Contract No. DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works Stage 1 (the Project) (hereafter referred to as "the Contract"). The Contract was awarded to ATAL-DEGREMONT-CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE (ADCJV) by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) and ETS-Testconsult Limited was appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) by ADCJV to implement the EM&A program in compliance with the EP and the EM&A Manuals.
- **1.1.2.** The project involves expansion of the preliminary treatment works at San Wai STW from 164,000 m<sup>3</sup>/d to 200,000 m<sup>3</sup>/d Average Dry Weather Flow, upgrading the preliminary treatment level to CEPT and adding centralized disinfection. The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**.
- 1.1.3. According to the Section 25 of the Particular Specification (PS) and the Environmental Permit No. EP-464/2013, an EM&A programme should be implemented by an independent Environmental Team (ET) in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual of the approved EIA report (Registration No. AEIAR-072/2003). These documents are available through the EIA Ordinance Register. The construction works of the Contract commenced on 16 May 2017.
- 1.1.4. The scope of monitoring works includes air quality, construction noise, water quality and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:
  - All monitoring parameters;
  - Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
  - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters:
  - Event/Action Plans;
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
  - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 1.1.5. As part of the project EM&A program, baseline monitoring was conducted from 21 March 2017 to 15 April 2017 to determine the ambient environmental conditions before the project commence any major construction works and it had been verified by IEC and endorsed by EPD.
- **1.1.6.** This is the 40th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the Contract which summaries the audit findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 01 August to 31 August 2020.

# 1.2. Project Organization

**1.2.1.** The project organization structure and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in **Table 1.1**.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

**Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel** 

Party	Position	Name of Key Staff	Tel. No.	E-mail	
Supervising Officer (AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.)	Resident Engineer	Mr. Patrick Leung	5222 6561	patrick.leung@swstw- aecom.com	
Independent Environmental Checker (ANewR Consulting Limited)	Technical Director	Mr. Adi Lee	2618 2836	aymlee@anewr.com	
Contractor (ATAL-DEGREMONT- CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE)	Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Tony Kwok	5535 0654	tony.kwok@c302.che chk.com	
Environmental Team (ETS-Testconsult Ltd.)	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. C. L. Lau	2946 7791	env@ets- testconsult.com	

#### 1.3. Construction Programme

**1.3.1.** A copy of the Contractor's construction programme is provided in **Appendix C**.

#### 1.4. Construction Works Undertaken During the Reporting Period

- **1.4.1.** A summary of the construction activities undertaken during this reporting period is shown below:
  - ABWF;
  - Superstructure (RC)
  - Building Services Installation;
  - Building Services Operation Test;
  - Mechanical Equipment Installation;
  - Mechanical Equipment Testing;
  - Electrical Services Installation;
  - Electrical Services Testing;
  - Inspection;
  - Performance test using sewage;
  - Retaining wall construction and the associated backfilling along the site boundary

# 2. AIR QUALITY MONITORING

# 2.1. Monitoring Requirements

**2.1.1.** 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels were monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Two air monitoring locations were selected which was shown in **Figure 1**.

# 2.2. Monitoring Equipment

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

1-hour TSP levels were measured by using dust meter which are capable of producing comparable results as the by high volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts. The dust meter is compliant to the clause 1.2.5 of "General Technical Requirement of Environmental Monitoring" and clause 2.2 of "Generic Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual".

**Table 2.1** summarized the dust meter model used during the baseline monitoring. Copies of calibration certificates for dust meters were attached in **Appendix D1**.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Dust Meter	SIBATA LD-3B / SIBATA LD-5
High volume sampler (HVS)	Greasby GMW (GS2310)
Calibrator	Tisch TE-5025A

# 1-hr air quality monitoring (Dust Meter)

#### Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's instruction Manual as follows:

- Press POWER to ON, check the battery indicator to ensure whether the power supply is enough to conduct the TSP monitoring;
- Press TIMER SET to Manual:
- Press START/STOP SWITCH to start the TSP monitoring;
- Press START/STOP SWITCH to stop the TSP monitoring after monitoring complete;
- Record measured COUNT directly from the dust meter and calculate the TSP level by using the
  equation of the certificate.

#### Maintenance & Calibration (QA/QC)

• Dust meter should be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at half-year intervals throughout all stages of air quality monitoring.

# 24-hr air quality monitoring (HVS)

#### Instrumentation

High volume sampler, as HVS, (Greasby GMWS2310) complete with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for both 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler is composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

#### Installation

The installation of HVS refers to the requirement stated in EM&A Manual.

#### Operation/Analytical Procedures

Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS are as below:

- Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 0.6m³/min and 1.7m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50. The flow rate was indicated on the flow rate chart.
- For TSP sampling, fiberglass filters (Whatman G653) were used.
- The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
- On sampling, the sampler was operated 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at designated air monitoring station.
- The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holder frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts.
   The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The programmable timer will be set for a sampling month of 1 hour or 24 hours. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number.).
- After sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealed plastic bag and sent to the laboratory for weighting. The elapsed time was also recoded.
- Before weighting, all filters were equilibrated in desiccators for 24 hour with the temperature of 25°C ± 3°C and the relative humidity (RH) <50% ±5%.</li>

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# Maintenance & Calibration (QA/QC)

- HVS and their accessories should be maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVS should be calibrated at bi-monthly intervals.

# Wind Data Monitoring

Wind data (wind speed and wind direction) were directly extracted from Hong Kong Observatory. All wind data during this reporting month are shown in **Appendix G**.

# 2.3. Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

**2.3.1. Table 2.2** summarizes the monitoring parameters, monitoring duration and frequencies of impact air quality monitoring.

Table 2.2 Monitoring Parameters, Duration and Frequencies of Impact Air Quality Monitoring

Parameter	Duration	Frequency	
1-hr TSP 1 hr (0800-1900)		Three times per 6 days	
24-hr TSP	24 hr	Once per 6 days	

**2.3.2.** In this reporting period, a total of 15 occasions of 1-hour TSP monitoring and 5 events of 24-hour TSP monitoring were undertaken and the schedule was shown in **Table 2.3** 

Table 2.3 Time Schedule of Impact Air Quality Monitoring

September 2020							
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	
		1	2	3	4	5 <b>▼</b>	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
13	14	15	16	17 ▼	18	19	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
27	28	29 ▼	30				

Remark: ( ▼ ) = Air quality monitoring carried out by ET

#### 2.4. Action and Limit Levels

The criteria for Action and Limit levels have been set out in the contract document of the Project as follows:

Table 2.4 The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

Parameters	Action	Limit
1-hour TSP Level	For baseline level ≤ 384µg/m³, Action level = (baseline level plus*1.3 + Limit Level) / 2	500a/m <sup>3</sup>
(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	For baseline level >384µg/m³, Action level = Limit Level	500 μg/m²
24-hour TSP	For baseline level < 200μg/m³, Action level = (baseline level plus*1.3 + Limit Level) / 2	200 a/m³
Level (μg/m³)	For baseline level ≥ 200µg/m³, Action level = Limit Level	500 μg/m³ 260 μg/m³

Following the criteria shown in **Table 2.4**, the Action and Limit levels for 1-hour TSP derived as illustrated in **Table 2.5**.



Table 2.5 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP

Air Quality	1-hr TSF	<sup>0</sup> (μg/m³)	24-hr TS	P (μg/m³)
Monitoring Station	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
ASR1a	309	500	260	260
ASR2b	292	500	228	260

#### 2.5. Results and Observations

# 2.5.1. 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring data of both 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring carried out in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix D2**. Graphical presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix D3**. Wind data included wind speed and wind direction was extracted from Hong Kong Observatory during this reporting month and is presented in **Appendix G**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of 1-hr TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results was recorded during the reporting month.

#### 2.5.2. Observation

Generally, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results fluctuated well below the Action Level in this reporting period. The major dust source observed near the monitoring stations was mainly from vehicles passing by the container yards and general earth works. It can be concluded that the contractor implemented sufficient dust mitigation measures during this reporting month.

#### 2.6. Event and Action Plan

If the impact monitoring results exceed the Action and Limit Levels, the actions specified in **Table 2.6** shall be carried out.

Table 2.6 Event and Action Plan for Air Quality (Dust) during Construction Phase

EVENT		AC <sup>-</sup>	ΓΙΟΝ	
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded for one sample	Identify source;     Inform IEC and ER;     Repeat measuremen t to confirm finding;     Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measuremen ts to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on	Confirm     receipt of     notification of     failure in     writing;     Notify     Contractor;     Ensure     remedial     measures are     properly     implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if



ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

EVENT	ACTION					
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR		
	5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementatio n of remedial measures.		appropriate.		
Limit Level being exceeded for one sample	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER and EPD; 3. Repeat measuremen t to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions;	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; 2. Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures;  6. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods; 4. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on potential remedial actions;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.		
	8. Keep EPD and ER informed of the results.	Contractor and advise the ER accordingly.	4. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented.	Spp. Sprices		

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

EVENT	ACTION				
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR	
Limit Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedance s; 3. Repeat measuremen t to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Investigate the causes of exceedance; 6. Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; 2. Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; 3. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 4. Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 4. Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on potential remedial actions; 5. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness; 6. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not resolved; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	
	t to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Investigate the causes of exceedance; 6. Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD	mitigation measures; 3. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 4. Supervise the implementatio n of mitigation	procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 4. Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on potential remedial actions; 5. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness; 6. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the	of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals problem stinot resolved; 5. Stop the relevant portion works a determined by the ER untithe exceedance in the state of the stat	
	informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional		responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the		

# 3. NOISE MONITORING

# 3.1. Monitoring Requirements

**3.1.1.** Noise levels  $(L_{eq}, L_{10} \text{ and } L_{90})$  were monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# 3.2. Monitoring Equipment

Sound level meters used for impact noise monitoring were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level reading including equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) and percentile sound pressure level ( $L_{x}$ ). They complied with International Electro technical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 3.1** summarized the noise monitoring equipment model used during the baseline monitoring. Copies of calibration certificates for noise meters and calibrators were attached in **Appendix E1**.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Noise Monitoring Equipment	Model
Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52
Sound Level Calibrator	Rion NC-73 / Castle GA607

# 3.3. Monitoring Duration and Frequency

- **3.3.1.** Impact noise monitoring for the A-weighted levels  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  in 30-minute interval was recorded once per 6 days.
- **3.3.2.** In this reporting period, a total of 5 occasions of noise monitoring were undertaken and the schedule was shown in **Table 3.2**

Table 3.2 Time Schedule of Impact Noise Monitoring

abio di l'initia dell'oddita di inipactitatica incinitating						
September 2020						
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17 ▼	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

Remark: ( ▼ ) = Noise monitoring carried out by ET

### 3.4. Monitoring Locations

Two noise monitoring stations, NSR1a (晉榮貨櫃服務有限公司) and NSR2b (永康貨櫃服務有限公司) which shown in **Figure 1**, were required to perform impact noise monitoring during this reporting period.

The impact noise monitoring programme was summarized in Table 3.3.

**Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Stations** 

Noise monitoring station	Type of Measurement
NSR1a	Façade
NSR2b	Façade

#### 3.5. Monitoring Methodology

#### Instrumentation

Integrating Sound Level Meters were employed for noise monitoring.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

#### Operation/Analysis Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2m above the ground.
- For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

Frequency weighting: ATime weighting: FastTime measurement: 30 mins

- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000HZ. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L<sub>eq</sub>, L<sub>10</sub> and L<sub>90</sub> were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- 3dB(A) correction had been added to the results if noise measurements were free-field.
- Noise monitoring would be cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, storm, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gusts exceeding 10m/s.

#### Maintenance and Calibration (QA/QC)

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator are cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The meters are sent to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory or equivalent to check and calibrated at yearly intervals.

#### 3.6. Actions and Limit Level

The Action and Limit Levels were established in Table 3.4 for noise monitoring.

Table 3.4 Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Action	Limit
0700 –1900 hrs normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)*

Remark: (\*)70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) for schools during school examination period

#### 3.7. Results and Observations

#### **3.7.1. Results**

Monitoring data of noise monitoring carried out in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix E2**. Graphical presentation of noise monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix E3**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of noise monitoring results was recorded during the reporting month.

#### 3.7.2. Observation

The noise monitoring data were found to be lower than the limit level. The major noise source during the monitoring event was the vehicles passing through the container yard entrance and the general earth works inside the construction site.

#### 3.8. Event and Action Plan

If the impact monitoring results exceed the Action and Limit Levels, the actions specified in **Table 3.5** shall be carried out.



Table 3.5 Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

E\/ENIT		ACT	ION	
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level	1. Notify IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check the effectiveness of mitigation measures.	1. Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementati on of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4. Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposal to IEC;     Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit level	1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD & Contractor; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances;	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4. Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; 5. If exceedances continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor	1. Undertake immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER, until the

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

7. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	exceedance is abated.
---	--	--------------------------

# 4. WATER QUALITY MONITORING

#### 4.1. Monitoring Requirements

**4.1.1.** Water quality was monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual at one alternative water quality monitoring station, R1b (at Tin Shui Wai Nullah) which shown in **Figure 2**.

#### 4.2. Monitoring Methodology and Equipment

#### For In-situ Water Quality Measurement

#### Dissolved Oxygen (DO) measuring equipment

A portable, weatherproof DO-measuring meter with built-in salinity compensation (e.g. YSI 85, YSI Pro 2030 or equivalent) was used in the baseline monitoring. It can be capable for measuring dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-20 mg/L and 0-200 % saturation.

# For Water Sampling and Sample Analysis

#### Water Sampler

A water sampler comprising a metal bucket was lowered into the water body.

#### **Water Container**

The sample container, made by high-density polythene, was rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample was then transferred to the container, labelled with a unique sample ID and sealed with a screw cap. The water samples were stored in a cool box maintained at 4°C. The water samples will then be delivered to Environmental Laboratory of ETS-Testconsult Ltd (HOKLAS Registration No. 022) on the same day for analysis according to the Standard Method APHA 19ed.

The summary of testing methods of testing parameters required was shown in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Summary of Testing Procedures for water samples

Parameters	Testing Procedure	Detection Limit	
Turbidity	Dissolved Oxygen Meter Measurement	0.1 NTU	
Dissolved Oxygen	In house method refer to APHA 19 <sup>th</sup> ed 2130 B	0.01 mg/L	
Total suspended solids	In house method refer to APHA 19 <sup>th</sup> ed 2540D	0.1 mg/L	

#### 4.3. Monitoring Frequency

**4.3.1.** Water samples were collected 3 times per week in 1 monitoring station. Three parameters including turbidity, dissolved oxygen and total suspended solids would be tested.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

Table 4.2 Monitoring Frequency of Water Quality Monitoring

Parameters	Frequency	No. of sampling stations
Turbidity		
Dissolved Oxygen	3 times per week	1 station
Total suspended solids		

**4.3.2.** In this reporting period, a total of 13 occasions of water quality monitoring were undertaken and the schedule was shown in **Table 4.3** 

Table 4.3 Time Schedule of Impact Water Quality Monitoring

	September 2020						
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	
		1	2	3	4	5 ▼	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
13	14	15	16	17 ▼	18	19	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
27	28	29	30	•			

Remark: (▼) = Water quality monitoring carried out by ET

# 4.4. Quality Assurance (QA) / Quality Control (QC)

For in-situ measurements, at each measurement / sampling, two consecutive measurements of turbidity and dissolved oxygen (DO) were taken. The probes were retrieved out of the water after the first measurement and then re-deployed for the second measurement. If the difference between the first and second measurement is greater than 25% the reading will be discarded and the measurements will be repeated.

For laboratory analysis of water, test method of all test parameters and the QA/QC samples were carried out in accordance with the requirements of HOKLAS.

For our QA/QC procedure, one QC sample, one duplicate sample and one sample spike of every batch of 20 samples were analyzed.

The calibration certifications of water quality monitoring equipments were shown in **Appendix F1**.

# 4.5. Actions and Limit Levels

The criteria for Action and Limit Levels have been set out as follows:

Table 4.4 The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level
Turbidity	NTU	95%ile of baseline data	99%ile of baseline data
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	5%ile of baseline data	1%ile of baseline data
Suspended solids	mg/L	95%ile of baseline data	99%ile of baseline data

Following the criteria shown in **Table 4.4**, the Action and Limit Levels for monitoring parameters derived as illustrated in **Table 4.5**.



Table 4.5 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	Unit	Action	Limit
Turbidity	NTU	19.8	20.5
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	1.84	1.81
Suspended Solid	mg/L	17.0	17.8

#### 4.6. Result and Observation

#### 4.6.1. Result

Monitoring data of water quality monitoring carried out in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix F2**. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix F3**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of water quality monitoring results was recorded during the reporting month.

#### 4.6.2. Observation

Generally, the turbidity and suspended solids were found to be lower than the action level. Besides, all results of dissolved oxygen measured in this reporting month were higher than the action level.

#### 4.7. Event and Action Plan

If the impact monitoring results of the individual parameters exceed the Action and Limit Levels, the actions specified in **Table 4.6** shall be carried out.

Table 4.6 Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

	vent and Action Fia	Action		
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor;	1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and 3. Assertices	scuss with 1. C on the oposed tigation easures; ake reement on easures to be olemented; sess the ectiveness of 4.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment Consider changes of
	4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;  5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;  6. Repeat	effectiveness of mit	olemented tigation 5.	working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.



Frant	Action								
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor					
	measurement on next day of exceedance.								
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol> <li>Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;     Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;     Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;     Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;     Rectify unacceptable practice;     Check all plant and equipment;     Consider changes of working methods;     Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;     Implement the agreed mitigation measures.					
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;     Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;     Inform	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;     Request Contractor to critically review the working	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;     Rectify unacceptable practice;     Check all plant and					



F		Ac	etion					
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor				
	or and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.	the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.				
Limit Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;     Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures;  5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or	1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;  2. Rectify unacceptable practice;  3. Check all plant and equipment;  4. Consider changes of working methods;  5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;  6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures;  7. As directed by				

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

Event	Action									
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor						
	implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days.		to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit Level.	the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.						

# 5. ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

# 5.1. Site Inspection

- **5.1.1.** Site Inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control mitigation measures for the project. During the reporting period, site inspections were carried out on 04, 11, 17, 24 & 30 September 2020.
- **5.1.2.** Observations for the site inspections within this reporting period are summarized in **Table 5.1** and inspection checklists are attached in **Appendix H**.

Table 5.1 Summary of observation of site inspections

Date	Observations/ Reminders	Follow-up Action	Closed Date
27 August 2020	General refuse and C&D materials were observed near Portion 4, AB, FW, SSSB, CB.	1. General refuse and C&D materials were collected near Portion 4, AB, FW, SSSB, CB.	04 September 2020
04 September 2020	<ol> <li>Overflow of ruubish from the rubbish bin was observed near CEPT.</li> <li>Stagnant water were observed near CEPT and outside AB.</li> </ol>	cleared near CEPT and outside AB.	11 September 2020
11 September 2020	C&D materials were found discarded near EB1, EB2 and DO2.	C&D materials were collected near EB1, EB2 and DO2.	17 September 2020
17 September 2020	C&D materials were found discarded near CB.	C&D materials were collected near CB.	24 September 2020
24 September 2020			
30 September 2020	<ol> <li>Stagnant water was observed in the keyhole.</li> <li>Improper disposal of general refuse and C&amp;D material was observed at CEPT, FH, UV.</li> </ol>	Follow-up actions for outstanding observation will be inspected during the next site inspection.	09 October 2020



ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

3.	Improper storage of chemical was observed at UV.	
4.	Oil spillage was observed at SDB.	

#### 5.2. Landscape and Visual Audit

- **5.2.1.** Landscape and visual audits were undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period by a competent landscape architect. During the reporting period, audits were carried out on 04 and 18 September 2020.
- **5.2.2.** Observations and reminders were summarized in the landscape and visual impact assessment checklists which are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 5.3. Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status
- **5.3.1.** All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:
  - Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
  - Chemical Waste:
  - General Refuse; and
  - Excavated Soil
- 5.3.2. The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in Table 5.2 and Table 5.3 and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in Appendix J. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5.2 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	
Reused in other Projects (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	-
Disposed as Public Fill (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	510	Tuen Mun 38 Fill Bank

Table 5.3 Summary of Quantities of C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Recycled Metal (kg)	0	
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg)	0	
Recycled Plastic (kg)	0	
Chemical Wastes (kg)	0	
General Refuses (m³)	48,940	North East New Territories (NENT) Landfill

**5.3.3.** To control over the site performance on waste management, the Contractor shall ensure that all solid and liquid waste management works are in full compliance with the relevant license/permit requirements, such as the effluent discharge license and the chemical waste producer registration. The Contractor is also reminded to implement the recommended environmental mitigation measures according to the EM&A Manual based on actual site conditions.

# 5.4. Discharge License and Results of Effluent Monitoring

**5.4.1.** Effluent quality was monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual at the discharge point. A discharge license under Water Pollution Control Ordinance was obtained by the Contractor upon commencement of the Project. Self-monitoring would be performed as per the requirement under the discharge license. According to the EM&A Manual, pH, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solid are required to be analysed at least once every two week.



ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

- **5.4.2.** Effluent water samples were scheduled to be collected on 03, 17 and 29 September 2020. The required testing parameter including pH, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solid were carried out in a HOKLAS laboratory. The methods of chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solid determination follow APHA 19ed 5220 B and APHA 19ed 2540 D respectively. The laboratory reports for the discharge water are presented in **Appendix N**.
- **5.4.3.** For effluent quality monitoring as per the discharge license requirement, the results complied with the discharge license requirement.

#### 5.5. Environmental Licenses and Permits

**5.5.1.** The valid environmental licenses and permits during the reporting period are summarized in **Appendix K**.

# 5.6. Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

**5.6.1.** The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized as following:

#### **Dust Mitigation Measures**

- a. The working area for the uprooting of trees, shrubs, or vegetation or for the removal of boulders, poles, pillars or temporary or permanent structures should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;
- All demolished items (including trees, shrubs, vegetation, boulders, poles, pillars, structures, debris, rubbish and other items arising from site clearance) that may dislodge dust particles should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides within a day of demolition;
- Vehicle washing facilities including a high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point;
- d. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores;
- e. Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service and or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit;
- f. Every main haul road (i.e. any course inside a construction site having a vehicle passing rate of higher than 4 in any 30 minutes) should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials, hardcores or metal plates, and kept clear of dusty materials; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire road surface wet:
- g. The portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;
- h. Immediately before leaving a construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels;
- Where a vehicle leaving a construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;
- j. The working area of any excavation or earth moving operation should be sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;
- k. Exposed earth shall be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies;
- I. Any stockpile of dusty material should be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire surface wet.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

#### **Noise Mitigation Measures**

- a. Quiet plants should be used in order to reduce the noise impacts to protect the nearby NSRs.
- b. Temporary and Movable Noise Barriers should be used in order to reduce the noise impact to the surrounding sensitive receivers
- The contractor should site noisy equipment and activities as far from sensitive receivers as practical.
- d. Idle equipment should be turned off or throttled down.
- e. Construction activities should be planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided
- f. Construction plant should be properly maintained and operated.

#### **Water Quality Mitigation Measures**

- Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets before a rainstorm occurs;
- b. The exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission;
- c. The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from the drainage channel so as to avoid releasing materials into the channel;
- d. Wheel washing facilities should be provided at site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles;
- e. Provision of site drainage systems and treatment facilities would be required to minimize the water pollution;
- f. A discharge license needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site:
- g. The treated effluent quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge license:
- h. Provision of chemical toilets is required to collect sewage from workforce. The chemical toilets should be cleaned on a regular basis;
- i. A licensed waste collector should be employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis;
- j. Illegal disposal of chemicals should be strictly prohibited;
- k. Registration as a chemical waste producer is required if chemical wastes are generated and need to be disposed of. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes;
- Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance should be used as a guideline for handing chemical wastes;
- m. The impact from accidental spillage of chemicals can be effectively controlled through good management practices.

#### **Waste Management Mitigation Measures**

- Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;
- b. To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the workforce;
- c. Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;
- d. Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals be separated for re-use and/or recycling and inert waste as fill material to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;
- Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials: and
- f. Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.
- 5.6.2. An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is presented in Appendix L. Most of the necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly. Any deficiencies were noted in the remarks of the schedule.

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# 5.7. Summary of Exceedance of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit

- **5.7.1.** There was no Action and Limit level exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hr TSP monitoring was recorded at station ASR1a and ASR2b during this reporting month.
- **5.7.2.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for noise recorded at station NSR1a and NSR2b during the reporting period.
- **5.7.3.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for water quality monitoring recorded at station R1b during the reporting period.
- 5.8. Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution
- **5.8.1.** There were no complaints received during the reporting period.
- **5.8.2.** There were no notifications of summons or prosecutions received during the reporting period.
- **5.8.3.** A summary of environmental complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions was given in **Table 5.4**.

Table 5.4 Summary of Environmental Complaints Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

	Cumulative Statistic						
Reporting Period	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions				
The reporting period	0	0	0				
From commencement date of construction to end of reporting month	0	0	0				

#### 6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

#### 6.1. Construction Programme for the Coming Months

- **6.1.1.** As informed by the Contractor, the major construction activities for October 2020 are included:
  - Internal ABWF;
  - External ABWF;
  - Building Services Installation;
  - Building Services Operation Test;
  - Mechanical Equipment Installation;
  - Mechanical Equipment Testing;
     Electrical Services Installation;
  - Electrical Services Testing;
  - Inspection;
  - Performance test using sewage;
  - Retaining wall construction and the associated backfilling along the site boundary

#### 6.2. Key Issues for the Coming Month

#### Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Chemical and waste management and precautions against leakage;
- Treatment of runoff and wastewater prior to discharge;
- Dust and Noise generated from construction activities; and
- Prevention of odour nuisance

ENA06928 Monthly EM&A Report No.41

# Mitigation measures to be required in the coming month:

#### Air Quality Impact

- To provide adequate water spraying in the worksite;
- To operate and maintain automatic wheel washing facilities properly;
- To provide road sweeping site entrance and public roads outside site entrance;
- To ensure implementation of the dust mitigation measures for the site activities;
- To maintain proper operation of the mist spraying system;
- To provide proper maintenance for vehicles and machines on site; and To investigate any other dust sources around the air sensitive receivers

#### **Noise**

- To switch off equipment if not in use;
- To operate silent equipment;
- To identify the noise sources inside and outside of the site; and
- To follow up any exceedance caused by the construction work inside the worksite

#### Water Quality Impact

- To ensure the drainage system was maintained properly;
- To maintain the existing silt trap to ensure good efficiency of wheel wash facilities;
- To avoid stagnant water in the drip trays due to rainfall;
- To avoid any stagnant water or provide insecticide to avoid mosquito breeding

#### Chemical and Waste Management

- To remove waste from the site regularly;
- To properly store and handle chemical wastes on site;
- To implement trip ticket system for all the imported public fill and general refuse disposal;
- To maintain proper housekeeping;
- To identify C&D material by packaging, labelling, storage, transportation and disposal in accordance with statutory regulations.

#### 6.3. Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection Schedule for the Coming Month

**6.3.1.** The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring and site inspection schedule for October 2020 is provided in **Appendix M**.

#### 7. CONCLUSION

# 7.1. Conclusions

- **7.1.1.** There was no Action and Limit level exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hr TSP monitoring was recorded at station ASR1a and ASR2b during this reporting month.
- **7.1.2.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for noise recorded at station NSR1a and NSR2b during the reporting period.
- **7.1.3.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for water quality monitoring recorded at station R1b during the reporting period.
- **7.1.4.** There were no complaints received during the reporting period.
- **7.1.5.** There were no notifications of summons or prosecutions received during the reporting period.


#### - END OF REPORT -



# Appendix A

**Location of Works Areas** 








# Appendix B

**Project Organization Chart** 







# Appendix C

**Construction Programme** 



DATA DATE: 30-Sep-20	LAYOUT: 5W Project PHase 1 TP								PAGE	
tivity ID Activity Name	At Completion Duration	Start	Finish	Sep	00	20:	20 Nov	Dec	2021 Jan	
SWSTW Phase 1 - 3 Month Rolling Programme (Oct to Dec 2020)	750	21-Jan-19 A	08-Feb-21	CCP	-		1101	DEC	oun	
Key Date	7	10-Oct-20	01-Nov-20		_		Key Date			
Key Date		10-Oct-20	01-Nov-20		_		Key Date	İ	į	
Administration Building & Maintenance Workshop		21-Jan-19 A			Adm	ninistration B	uilding & Maintenance	Workshop		
Internal ABWF (Subject to H/O back to C&S for outstanding ABWF)		21-Jan-19 A			Inter	mai ABWF (	Subject to H/O back to	C&S for outstanding A	BWF)	
Inlet Works, Preliminary Treatment Units & Inlet Pumping Station		09-Oct-20	23-Oct-20			;		etment Units & Inlet Po		
External ABWF		09-Oct-20	23-Oct-20			Exte	nal ABWF			
Solid Handling Building(DO Duct Screen Wall)		02-Jun-20 A						Solid Handling	Building(DO Duc	t Scre
Superstructure (RC)		30-Nov-20	06-Dec-20					Superstructure	(RC)	
ABWF		02-Jun-20 A	31-Oct-20				ABWF			
System Control Flowmeter Chamber		30-Nov-20	29-Dec-20					<u> </u>	System Contr	rol Flov
Superstructure (RC) (After Pipe Installation DN1200 by ATAL)		30-Nov-20	29-Dec-20					Ļ	<ul> <li>Superstructure</li> </ul>	
Chemically Enhanced Primary Treatment		15-Aug-20 A			-	Ch	emically Enhanced Prin	nary Treatment		- 1
External ABWF		15-Aug-20 A			-	Ext	ernal ABWF			
Deodorization Facilities No.1		01-Nov-20	15-Dec-20					Deodori	zation Facilities N	No.1
ABWF		09-Dec-20	15-Dec-20					ABWF		
External Structural Works (Commence after E&M Installation works)		01-Nov-20	15-Dec-20					External	   Structural Works	s (Con
Deodorization Facilities No 2	45	01-Nov-20	15-Dec-20			i		Deodori	zation Facilities N	No.2
ABWF		09-Dec-20	15-Dec-20					ABWF		
External Structural Works (Commence after E&M Installation works)		01-Nov-20	15-Dec-20			i		External	Structural Works	s (Con
Existing Junction Chamber		01-Dec-20	30-Dec-20						Existing June	ction C
Bar Screen Installation	30	01-Dec-20	30-Dec-20					<u> </u>	Bar Screen Ir	nstalla
Street Fire Hydrant Pump Room & GENSET Room	21	19-Oct-20	08-Nov-20				Street Fire Hyd	tant Pump Room & GE	NSET Room	
Remaining Works on the Roof	21	19-Oct-20	08-Nov-20				Remaining Wo	exs on the Roof		
Gatehouse		12-Oct-20	18-Oct-20		_	Gatehou	se			
External ABWF		12-Oct-20	18-Oct-20		<del></del>	External	ABWF	<del> </del>		
Foul Water Pump Room		31-Oct-20	03-Nov-20			÷	Foul Water Pump i	Floom		
Superstructure (RC)	4	31-Oct-20	03-Nov-20			-	Superstructure (RC	á		
Slopes and Retaining Wall		16-Dec-19 A	12-Jan-21		ļ.				Sk	opes a
Section Completion Date	52	26-Oct-20	17-Dec-20			_		Section	n Completion Dai	te
Section Completion Date		26-Oct-20	17-Dec-20					Section	n Completion Da	te
Section 1		25-May-20 A	09-Nov-20		-		Section 1			
North of DO2		25-May-20 A					North of DO2			
Section 2	375	16-Dec-19 A	24-Dec-20		<u> </u>			<del>                                     </del>	iection 2	
North of SSSB	129	21-Jul-20 A	26-Nov-20		-			North of SSSB		
North of CB, EB4 and SDB	375	16-Dec-19 A	24-Dec-20		<del>(</del>			1	lorth of CB, EB4	and S
Adual Work	TASK filter: 3 Months Rolling	Programme C	Works.		li .	Date		evision	Checked Ap	pprovi
Remaining Work	CONTRACT NO	DC/2013	3/10 DESIGN	N, BUILD & OF	ERATE	30-Sep-	20 Three (3) Months	Rolling Programme		
Remaining Work Ortical Remaining Work  Milestone  CATAL	SAN WAI SE	WAGE TR	EATMENT V	NORKS - PHA	SE 1					
Summary ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint	TUDEE (2) MON	THS ROLI	ING PROG	RAMME (30 Se	ep 2020)		+			—
ATAL-Degremon: Onna Harbour John	Turnelle (7		s works	,	. ,					_



DATA DATE: 30-56	sp-20	LAYOUT: SW Project PHase 1 TP 6	(3M305ep20)	CODE					PAGE 2 O
tivity ID	Activity Name	At Completion Duration	Start	Finish	Sep	Oct 20	20 Nov	Dec	2021 Jan
Section 3		289	30-Mar-20 A	12-Jan-21	оер	Ott.	NOV	Dec	Section
East of CB	and EB4	287	01-Apr-20 A	12-Jan-21				<del>+</del>	East of
East of AB	and WS	289	30-Mar-20 A	12-Jan-21				+	East o
East of GH		51	18-Oct-20	07-Dec-20				East of GH	
Slope		230	16-May-20 A					<del></del>	Siope
	of the Project	230	16-May-20 A	31-Dec-20				<del>+</del>	West Side of th
Undergroun	d Utilities Along EVA	422	29-5ep-19 A	23-Nov-20			- U	Inderground Utilities Along I	EVA
ZONE 5		422	29-5ep-19 A	23-Nov-20			2	ONE 5	
Undergroun	nd Utilities Along EVA	422	29-5ep-19 A	23-Nov-20			U	Inderground Utilities Along I	EVA
UUZ5030	8x150 ELV / 14x150 LV / 2x107 Telecom / Remaining Foutwater/Stormwater Pipe	415	29-5ep-19 A	16-Nov-20		j	8x150 8	ELV / 14x150 LV / 2x107 T	elecom / Remaini
UUZ5035	Backfilling up to Elevation +20.2 Mpd	7	17-Nov-20	23-Nov-20			B	ackfilling up to Elevation +2	
Emergency 1	Vehicle Access Road	181	27-Jun-20 A	24-Dec-20				Em	ergency Vehicle
ZONE 1		42	02-Sep-20 A	13-Oct-20		ZONE 1			
Carriagewa	y & Footway	42	02-Sep-20 A			Carriageway			
ZONE 2		42	02-5ep-20 A	13-Oct-20		20NE 2			1
Carriagewa	y & Footway	42	02-5ep-20 A	13-Oct-20		Сапіадемау	& Footway		
ZONE 3		94	01-5ep-20 A	03-Dec-20				ZONE 3	
Carriagewa	y & Footway	94	01-Sep-20 A	03-Dec-20				Carriageway & Foot	way
ZONE 5		131	16-Aug-20 A	24-Dec-20					NE 5
Carriagewa	y & Footway	131	16-Aug-20 A			!		Car	riageway & Footi
ZONE 6		110	27-Jun-20 A	14-Oct-20		ZONE 6			
Carriagewa	y & Footway	110	27-Jun-20 A	14-Oct-20		Сатіадема	& Footway		
Road Markin	•	105	21-Aug-20 A	03-Dec-20				Road Marking	
Traffic Sign	& Road Marking		21 <del>-A</del> ug-20 A					Traffic Sign & Road	i -
Landscape \		105	27-Oct-20	08-Feb-21					
Landscape V	Vorks	105	27-0d-20	08-Feb-21					
Landscape	Works at Grade	86	15-Nov-20	08-Feb-21					
Green Roof		61	27-Oct-20	26-Dec-20		_		- G	reen Roof



DATA DATE: 30-Sep	-20	U	AYOUT: SW Project PHase 1 TP 6 (3M305ep20)EM								GE 1 OF 3
Activity ID	Activity Name	<u> </u>	At	Completion Start Duration	Finish	Sep	Oct	2020 Nov	Dec		2021 Jan
SWSTW Dha	so 1 3 Month Polling D	rogramme (Oct to Dec 2020)		598 17-Jul-19 A	05-Mar-21	зер	Ott	Nov	Dec	+	Jan
E&M Works	ac 1 - 5 month Rolling Fi	Togramme (Oct to Dec 2020)		531 17-Jul-19 A	28-Dec-20					ESM Work	ıs
				304 29-Feb-20 A	28-Dec-20			i	i	Statutory \	_
Statutory Wor					09-Oct-20		Proguremen	t & Manufacture		,	TO THE STATE OF TH
_	& Manufacture			387 19-Sep-19 A	29-Oct-20		Production	Installation			
Installation	Van Data			471 17-Jul-19 A			U/U Interface Key D				
U/U Interface	Rey Date			0 30-Sep-20	30-Sep-20 14-Oct-20		Site Wid	1			
Site Wide	on Duilding & Maintenance V	Madahan (AD 9 MC)		177 21-Apr-20 A 429 01-Aug-19 A	02-Oct-20			kling & Maintenance Wo	rkshop (AB & WS)		
	on Building & Maintenance V	WORKSHOP (AD & WS)		0 30-Sep-20	30-Sep-20		Keydate	7	T		
Keydate	C:4-			0 30-Sep-20 0 30-Sep-20	30-Sep-20		Material On Site				
Material On		A		428 01-Aug-19 A	01-Od-20		Building Services	Installation (All Zone)			
	rvices - Installation (All Zone	•)		15 14-Sep-20 A	02-Oct-20		<ul> <li>Building Services</li> </ul>				
	vices - Operation Test			103 26-Jun-20 A	02-Od-20 06-Od-20		Chemical Buik	1			
Chemical Bu	liding (CB)			0 30-Sep-20	30-Sep-20		Keydate	(w)			
Keydate					06-Oct-20		•	es Operation Test			
_	rvices - Operation Test	- (CERT)		73 26-Jun-20 A 454 17-Jul-19 A	12-Oct-20		-	y Enhanced Primary Tre	tment (CEPT)		
	nhanced Primary Treatment	(CEPI)		372 28-Sep-19 A	03-Oct-20		■ Keydate	, dinamed i many inc			
Keydate	nice Installation			451 17-Jul-19 A	09-Oct-20			vice - Installation			
_	rvice - Installation			384 12-Sep-19 A	30-Sep-20		Zone 1 - Densadeg				
Zone 1 - Der	uipment - Installation			384 12-Sep-19 A	30-Sep-20		Mechanical Equipm	į			
Zone 2 - Der				365 04-Oct-19 A	02-Oct-20		Zone 2 - Densade				
	quipment - Installation			365 04-Oct-19 A	02-Oct-20		Mechanical Equip	ment - Installation			
Zone 3 - Der				355 14-Oct-19 A	02-Oct-20		<ul> <li>Zone 3 - Densade</li> </ul>	g No.3			
	quipment - Installation			355 14-Oct-19 A	02-Oct-20		<ul> <li>Mechanical Equip</li> </ul>	ment - Installation			
Zone 4 - Der	nsadeg No.4			269 09-Jan-20 A	03-Oct-20		<ul> <li>Zone 4 - Densad</li> </ul>	eg No.4			
Mechanical Ed	quipment - Installation			269 09-Jan-20 A	03-Oct-20		Mechanical Equi	ment - Installation	1	1	
Zone 5 - Der	nsadeg No.5			412 20-Aug-19 A	04-Oct-20		Zone 5 - Densac	leg No.5			
	quipment - Installation			412 20-Aug-19 A				pment - Installation			
	pper and Lower Level Pump	Room No.1		273 10-Jan-20 A	08-Oct-20			per and Lower Level Pur	np Room No.1		
	quipment - Installation			273 10-Jan-20 A				quipment - Installation nd Lower Level Pump Ro	ode No. 2		
	pper and Lower Level Pump	Room No.2		289 18-Dec-19 A	01-Oct-20		Mechanical Equip		001140.2		
	quipment - Installation	Deem No. 2		289 18-Dec-19 A 318 18-Nov-19 A				d Llower Level Pump Ro	on No.3		
	pper and Lower Level Pump ouipment - Installation	ROUII NO.3		318 18-Nov-19 A			Mechanical Equipm				
	nmon Inlet Channel			218 03-Mar-20 A	06-Oct-20			non inlet Channel			
	guipment - Installation			218 03-Mar-20 A			Mechanical Eq	upment - Installation			
	nmon Outlet Channel			5 30-Sep-20	04-Oct-20		Zone 8 - Commo	on Outlet Channel			
Mechanical Ed	quipment - Installation			5 30-Sep-20	04-Oct-20		<ul> <li>Mechanical Equi</li> </ul>	pment - Installation			
Actual Worl	k		TASK filter: 3 Mon	ths Rolling Programme EM	f Works.	"			Revision	Checked	Approved
Remaining		ATAL COMP	CONTR	ACT NO. DC/2013	3/10 DESIGN	I, BUILD & OPE	RATE 30-8	ep-20 Three (3) Monti	ns Rolling Programme		
Critical Ren	naining Work	OATAL PARTIE	SAN	WAI SEWAGE TRI	EATMENT W	VORKS - PHASI	E1 🗀				
Summary		ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture	THREE (	3) MONTHS ROLL	ING PROGR	AMME (30 Sept	2020)				
		ATAL Degremone office Harbour Joine Venture	- (		M WORKS		· -				



ATA DATE: 30-Sep-20			LAYOUT: 5W Project PHase 1 TP 6 (3M305ep20)EM			PAGE 2 OF				
vity ID	Activity Name	At Completion Duration	Start	Finish			20		2021	
Individual In	pensation		18-Dec-19 A	04-Oct-20	Sep	Oct Individual Inspection	Nov	Dec	Jan	
	•		04-Jun-20 A	12-Oct-20			ces - Operation Test			
	rvices - Operation Test					<ul> <li>Deodorization Faciliti</li> </ul>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	n Facilities No. 1 (DO 1)		03-5ep-20 A			<ul> <li>Building Services - O</li> </ul>				
	rvices - Operation Test		03-5ep-20 A	02-Oct-20		_				
	n Facilities No. 2 (DO 2)		26-Mar-20 A	01-Oct-20		Deodorization Facilitie	5 Na. 2 (DO2)			
Zone 1 - DO		188	26-Mar-20 A	30-5ep-20		Zone 1 - DO 2				
	ices - Installation		26-Mar-20 A	30-5ep-20		Building Services - Inst	:			
_	rvices - Operation Test		10-Jun-20 A	01-Oct-20		Building Services - Op	!			
Electrical Bu	ilding No.2 (EB2)	80	12-Jul-20 A	30-Sep-20		Electrical Building No.2				
Insection, te	esting & adjustment	80	12-Jul-20 A	30-5ep-20		Insection, testing & adj	i			
Electrical Bu	ilding No.3 (EB3)	59	03-Aug-20 A	30-Sep-20		Electrical Building No.3	(EB3)			
Keydate		0	30-Sep-20	30-5ep-20		Keydate				
Insection, te	esting & adjustment	59	03-Aug-20 A	30-5ep-20		Insection, testing & ad	ustment			
Gatehouse (0	GH)	51	01-Sep-20 A	21-Oct-20		Gateh	ouse (GH)			
Keydate	,	30	01-Sep-20 A	30-5ep-20	<del></del>	Keydate				
Zone 1 - GH		35	10-Sep-20 A	14-Oct-20		Zone 1 - G				
	ipment- Installation		22-Sep-20 A			Bectrical Equipme	nt - Installation			
	ices - Installation		10-Sep-20 A	14-Oct-20			vices - Installation		<u> </u>	
External Util	lities Handed-over	30	03-5ep-20 A	02-Oct-20		External Utilities Han	ded-over			
Physical Ins		20	01-Oct-20	20-Oct-20		Physic	I Inspection			
Functional 1	•		01-Oct-20	21-Oct-20		Funct	onal Test			
	Cleansing Water Pump Room (ICW)		05-Aug-20 A	24-Oct-20		Irric	! ation & Cleansing Wate	Pump Room (ICW)		
			05-Aug-20 A	13-Oct-20		Zone 1 - ICA				
Zone 1 - ICV	v ices - Installation		-				vices - Installation			
			05-Aug-20 A 04-Oct-20	13-Oct-20 24-Oct-20		-	vidual Inspection			
Individual In	•					Functional T				
Functional 1			18-Sep-20 A	13-Oct-20			rational Test			
Operational			01-Oct-20	24-Oct-20			i			
Payment Flo	wmeter Chamber (PF)		02-Jul-20 A	08-Oct-20		Payment Flown	eter Chamber (PF)			
Operation te	est	71	02-Jul-20 A	08-Oct-20		Operation test				
Inlet Work, P	reliminary Treatment Units & Inlet Pumping Station (PTW&IPS)	294	19-Dec-19 A	08-Oct-20				& Inlet Pumping Station (	PTW&IP5)	
Zone 1 - Fin	e Screen Chamber	287	19-Dec-19 A	30-Sep-20		Zone 1 - Fine Screen (	hamber			
Pipework/Elec	ctrical/Building Services - Installation	287	19-Dec-19 A	30-5ep-20			iding Services - Installa	ion		
Zone 3 - Wei	t Well and IPS Area	267	15-Jan-20 A	08-Oct-20		Zone 3 - Wet We	l and IPS Area			
Pipework/Elec	ctrical/Building Services - Installation	267	15-Jan-20 A	08-Oct-20		Pipework/Electri	al/Building Services - Ir	stallation		
Individual In	nspection	286	27-Dec-19 A	07-Oct-20		Individual Inspec	on			
Building Ser	rvices - Operational Test	90	03-Jun-20 A	06-Oct-20		Building Services	Operational Test			
Re-use Water	r Building (RW)	136	03-Jun-20 A	16-Oct-20		Re-use W	ater Building (RW)			
E&M Zone 1	- Re-use Water	121	03-Jun-20 A	01-Oct-20		E&M Zone 1 - Re-use	Water			
Mechanical Ed	quipment - Installation	120	03-Jun-20 A	30-Sep-20		Mechanical Equipment	- Installation			
	ices - Installation	120	04-Jun-20 A	01-Oct-20		Building Services - Ins	tallation			
Building Ser	rvices - Operation Test	90	15-Jun-20 A	16-Oct-20		Building S	ervices - Operation Tes	•		
	atering Building (SDB)	339	11-Nov-19 A	14-Oct-20		Sludge Del	atering Building (SDB)			
	dge Holding Tank No. 1&2&3	924	11-Nov-19 A	30-Sep-20		Zone 9 - Sludge Holdin	Tank No. 18283			



A DATE: 30-Sep-20		SW Project PHase 1 TP 6 (3M30Sep20)EM						PAGE 3 OF 3	
ID Activity Name		At Completion Duration	Start	Finish			20		2021
Mechanical Equipment - Installation		924	11-Nov-19 A	30-Sep-20	Sep	Oct Mechanical Equipment	Nov - Installation	Dec	Jan
Building Services - Installation			30-Sep-20 A	14-Oct-20			vices - Installation		
			16-Dec-19 A			Physical Inspect	!		
Physical Inspection (T&C Phase 1)			20-Dec-19 A	08-Oct-20			- Operation Test		ĺ
Building Services - Operation Test				12-Oct-20			g Building (SHB)		ļ
Solid Handling Building (SHB)			05-Mar-20 A			Individual Inspection	godaning (SED)		ĺ
Individual Inspection			16-Apr-20 A	30-Sep-20					
Building Services - Operational Test			05-Mar-20 A	12-Oct-20			ices - Operational Test	:	
Sludge Skip Storage Building (SSSB)			14-Apr-20 A	25-Oct-20		!	dge Skip Storage Build	ng (555B)	
Zone 1 - SSSB		183	14-Apr-20 A	13-Oct-20		Zone 1 - 55	:	<u> </u>	
Mechanical Equipment - Installation			21-Jun-20 A	01-Oct-20		Mechanical Equipmen			
Electrical Equipment - Installation			30-May-20 A			Electrical Equipme	!		
Building Services - Installation			14-Apr-20 A	13-Oct-20		External Utilities Ha	vices - Installation		1
External Utilities Handed-over			14-Apr-20 A	04-Oct-20		i	i		ĺ
Individual Inspection			30-Sep-20	20-Oct-20		:	ial Inspection		ļ
Building Services - Operation Test		26	30-5ep-20	25-Oct-20		!	iding Services - Operat	!	ĺ
JV Disinfection Facility (UV)		410	16-Sep-19 A	29-Oct-20			UV Disinfection Facility	(UV)	1
Keydate		0	30-5ep-20	30-5ep-20		Keydate			1
Zone 1 - Roof		50	10-Sep-20 A	29-Oct-20			Zone 1 - Roof		1
Electrical Equipment - Installation		50	10-Sep-20 A	29-Oct-20		i	Electrical Equipment - I	stallation	1
Zone 2 - Electrical Building		320	18-Nov-19 A	02-Oct-20		Zone 2 - Electrical Bu	alding		[
Electrical Equipment - Installation		269	07-Jan-20 A	01-Oct-20		Electrical Equipment	Installation		
Building Services - Installation		320	18-Nov-19 A	02-Oct-20		<ul> <li>Building Services - In</li> </ul>	!		1
Zone 3 - UV Inlet Channel		122	10-Jun-20 A	09-Oct-20		Zone 3 - UV Ini	et Channel		ĺ
Mechanical Equipment - Installation		117	10-Jun-20 A	04-Oct-20		Mechanical Equipm			1
Building Services - Installation		10	30-5ep-20	09-Oct-20		Building Service	!		
Zone 5 - UV Outlet Channel		390	16-Sep-19 A	09-Oct-20		Zone 5 - UV Ou			
Mechanical Equipment - Installation		384	16-Sep-19 A	03-Oct-20		Mechanical Equipme	:		1
Building Services - Installation			30-Sep-20	09-Oct-20		Building Service	s - Installation		1
Individual Inspection			04-Jun-20 A			Individual Inspection	<u>[</u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
sting & Commissioning Phase-roadmap		181	06-Sep-20 A	05-Mar-21					
esting & Commissioning Interfaces		80	16-Nov-20	05-Mar-21					
Ocumentation - General Requirements		80	16-Nov-20	05-Mar-21					
esting & Commissioning (Works)		181	06-Sep-20 A	05-Mar-21					
Main Streamline Keydates		0	25-Nov-20	25-Nov-20				ain Streamline Keydates	
Phase 4 - Operational Commissioning		121	06-5ep-20 A	04-Jan-21		<del> </del>	} !		Phase 4 - (
Phase 4 Preliminary Activities		121	06-Sep-20 A	04-Jan-21		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Phase 4 Pr
Step 1b (PTW with IPS)			15-Nov-20	22-Nov-20			- Step	1b (PTW with IPS)	ĺ
Step 2: Whole Plant w/o UV			15-Nov-20	25-Nov-20			— s	ep 2: Whole Plant w/o U	
			07-Sep-20 A	05-Mar-21					
Phase 5 - Optimisation & Proving			07-Sep-20 A				ļ		
Phase 5 - Optimisation & Proving		180	07-Sep-20 A	uo-mar-21					1



## Appendix D1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Air Quality Monitoring Equipment



## Summary of Calibration Certificates for TSP Monitoring Equipment used in this reporting month

Equip No.	Model	Serial No.	Calib Date	Due Date
ET/EA/001/05	Sibata LD-3B	8X4282	10/04/2020	09/10/2020
ET/EA/001/06	Sibata LD-3B	014746	16/04/2020	15/10/2020
ET/EA/001/08	Sibata LD-3B	135261	18/03/2020	17/09/2020
E1/EA/001/06	Sibala LD-3B	133201	18/09/2020	17/03/2021
ET/EA/001/09	Sibata LD-3B	155331	14/04/2020	13/10/2020
ET/EA/001/11	Sibata LD-3B	255863	15/05/2020	14/11/2020
ET/EA/001/13	Sibata LD-5	4Y1613	19/06/2020	18/12/2020
ET/EA/003/12	Greasby GMW (GS2310)	9998	31/08/2020	30/10/2020
ET/EA/003/25	Greasby GMW (GS2310)	1934	31/08/2020	30/10/2020



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### **Internal Calibration Report**

### of Dus<u>t Monitor</u>

Manufacturer :

SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

10 April 2020

Serial No.

8X4282 (ET/EA/001/05)

Calibration Due Date

9 October 2020


Method

: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

:	Dust Monitor (CPM)	33	73	103
	TSP (ug/m³)	80	168	255
	High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.: 1177	Calibration Due Date: 29 May 2020		



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990

after three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by :

LI, Lok Yin

(Technician)

Checked by :

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)

- END OF REPORT -



Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

## **Internal Calibration Report Dust Monitor**

Manufacturer :

SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

16 April 2020

Serial No.

014746 (ET/EA/001/06)

Calibration Due Date:

15 October 2020


Method

Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)		28	75	169
TSP (ug/m³)		52	113	281
High Volume Air Sampler Serail No : 1177		Calibration	n Due Date: 29 May	2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after

three-point calibration.

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

(Technician)

LI, Lok Yin

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)

- END OF REPORT -



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### **Internal Calibration Report**

### of Dust Monitor

Manufacturer :

SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

18 March 2020

Serial No.

135261 (ET/EA/001/08)

Calibration Due Date

17 September 2020


Method

Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	40	74	188
TSP (ug/m³)	48	116	273
High Volume Air Campler Caroll No :15	177 Colibratio	n Dua Data: 20 Mar	-ah 2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990

after three-pointcalibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

R

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

Li Lok Yin (Technician)

(Environmental Team Leader)



Veristrong Industrial Centre 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street,

T +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### **Internal Calibration Report** of

**Dust Monitor** 

Manufacturer : SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

18 September 2020

Serial No.

135261 (ET/EA/001/08)

Calibration Due Date

17 March 2021


Method

: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	34	82	187
TSP (ug/m³)	47	121	276
High Volume Air Sampler, Serail No.:11	77 Calibratio	on Due Date: 29 Ser	otember 2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990

after three-pointcalibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

Li Lok Yin

(Technician)

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)

- END OF REPORT -



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### Internal Calibration Report

### of <u>Dust Monitor</u>

Manufacturer :

SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

14 April 2020

Serial No.

155331 (ET/EA/001/09)

Calibration Due Date

13 October 2020


Method

Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	35	82	188
TSP (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	48	120	266
High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.: 1177	Calibration Due Date: 29 May 2020		



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

200

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)

LI, Lok Yin (Technician)



8/F Block B. Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### **Internal Calibration Report**

### of **Dust Monitor**

Manufacturer : SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

15 May 2020

Serial No.

255863 (ET/EA/001/11)

Calibration Due Date:

14 November 2020


Method

: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	32	74	173
TSP (ug/m³)	43	107	244
High Volume Air Sampler Serail No :117	77 Calibratio	on Due Date: 29 May	, 2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

LI, Lok Yin (Technician)

(Environmental Team Leader)

- END OF REPORT -



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### Internal Calibration Report

of <u>Dust Monitor</u>

Manufacturer

SIBATA (LD-5)

Date of Calibration

19 June 2020

Serial No.

4Y1613 (ET/EA/001/13)

Calibration Due Date:

18 December 2020

Method


: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

: Dust M	onitor (CPM)		24	92		182
TSP (u	g/m <sup>3</sup> )		40	143		305
High Volume Air Sampler, Sorail No.: 1177, Calibration Due Date: 20 July 2020						

High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.: 1177 Calibration Due Date: 29 July 2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by :

8

Checked by :

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)

LI, Lok Yin (Technician)

- END OF REPORT -



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

## Calibration Report of High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer

Graseby (Model No. GS2310)

Date of Calibration

31 August 2020

Serial No.

: 1934 (ET/EA/003/25)

Calibration Due Date :

30 October 2020

Method


Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations

Manual

Results

Flow recorder readir	ng (cfm)		51	49	41	32	24
Qstd (Actual flow rat	re, m³/min)		1.66	1.50	1.31	1.08	0.80
Pressure :	755.31	mm	Hg	Temp.:		303	K

### Sampler 1934 Calibration Curve Site: San Wai (ASR1a)



Acceptance Criteria: Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration.

The high volume sampler complies\* / does not comply\* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable\* / unacceptable\* for use.

Calibrated by:

FUK, Wai Man (Technician) Approved by:

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

## Calibration Report of High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer

Graseby (Model No. GS2310)

Date of Calibration

31 August 2020

Serial No.

9998 (ET/EA/003/12)

Calibration Due Date :

30 October 2020

Method


Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations

Manual

Results

Flow recorder reading (cfm)		50	46	43	36	30
Qstd (Actual flow rate, m³/min)		1.64	1.46	1.38	1.09	0.87
Pressure:	755.31 mm Hg		Temp.:	303	K	

### Sampler 9998 Calibration Curve Site: San Wai (ASR2a)



Acceptance Criteria: Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration.

The high volume sampler complies\* / does not comply\* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable\* / unacceptable\* for use.

Calibrated by :

FUK, Wai Man (Technician) Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



## Appendix D2

**Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results** 



### **Summary of Impact 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

### **Air Quality Monitoring Station : ASR1a**

Doto	oto Weether Temper		Monitorii	ng Period	1-hr TSP
Date	Weather	Temperature (°C)	Start	Finish	(μg/m³)
05/09/2020	Fine	28	08:29	09:29	93
05/09/2020	Fine	28	09:29	10:29	88
05/09/2020	Fine	29	10:29	11:29	84
11/09/2020	Fine	27	13:04	14:04	124
11/09/2020	Fine	27	14:04	15:04	131
11/09/2020	Fine	27	15:04	16:04	129
17/09/2020	Cloudy	27	09:24	10:24	136
17/09/2020	Cloudy	27	10:24	11:24	149
17/09/2020	Cloudy	27	13:00	14:00	149
23/09/2020	Fine	29	09:50	10:50	29
23/09/2020	Fine	29	10:50	11:50	31
23/09/2020	Fine	30	13:00	14:00	41
29/09/2020	Cloudy	27	09:00	10:00	52
29/09/2020	Cloudy	28	10:00	11:00	39
29/09/2020	Cloudy	28	11:00	12:00	50
				Min	29
				Max	149
				Average	88

### **Air Quality Monitoring Station: ASR2b**

Data	\\/ a a th a "	Tomporature (°C)	Monitori	ing Period	1-hr TSP	
Date Weather	Temperature (°C)	Start	Finish	(µg/m³)		
05/09/2020	Fine	28	08:34	09:34	93	
05/09/2020	Fine	28	09:34	10:34	88	
05/09/2020	Fine	29	10:34	11:34	90	
11/09/2020	Fine	27	13:40	14:40	113	
11/09/2020	Fine	27	14:40	15:40	118	
11/09/2020	Fine	27	15:40	16:40	116	
17/09/2020	Cloudy	27	09:39	10:39	114	
17/09/2020	Cloudy	27	10:39	11:39	116	
17/09/2020	Cloudy	27	13:12	14:12	116	
23/09/2020	Fine	29	09:55	10:55	27	
23/09/2020	Fine	29	10:55	11:55	26	
23/09/2020	Fine	30	13:04	14:04	34	
29/09/2020	Cloudy	27	09:05	10:05	60	
29/09/2020	Cloudy	28	10:05	11:05	46	
29/09/2020	Cloudy	28	11:05	12:05	51	
				Min	26	
				Max	118	
				Average	81	



### **Summary of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

Air Quality Monitoring Station : ASR1a

Sta	rt	Fini	ish	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m³/min.)	Average	Filter Paper	Weight (g)	Conc.	Weather
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	(m³/min.)	Initial	Final	(μ <b>g</b> /m³)	Condition
05/09/2020	08:29	06/09/2020	08:29	27869.64	27893.64	24	1.0486	1.0486	1.0486	2.6843	2.8168	88	Fine
11/09/2020	13:04	12/09/2020	13:04	27893.64	27917.64	24	1.0486	1.0486	1.0486	2.7045	2.8167	74	Fine
17/09/2020	09:24	18/09/2020	09:24	27917.64	27941.64	24	1.0486	1.0486	1.0486	2.8011	2.9245	82	Cloudy
23/09/2020	09:50	24/09/2020	09:50	27941.64	27965.64	24	1.0486	1.0486	1.0486	2.7944	2.9865	127	Fine
29/09/2020	09:45	30/09/2020	09:45	27965.64	27989.64	24	1.0486	1.0486	1.0486	2.7931	2.9390	97	Cloudy

 Min
 74

 Max
 127

 Average
 94

Air Quality Monitoring Station: ASR2b

Sta	rt	Fini	sh	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m³/min.)	Average	Filter Paper	Weight (g)	Conc.	Weather
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	(m³/min.)	Initial	Final	(μ <b>g</b> /m <sup>3</sup> )	Condition
05/09/2020	08:34	06/09/2020	08:34	24614.45	24638.45	24	1.1352	1.1352	1.1352	2.7012	2.8467	89	Fine
11/09/2020	13:40	12/09/2020	13:40	24638.45	24662.45	24	1.1352	1.1352	1.1352	2.6944	2.8201	77	Fine
17/09/2020	10:14	18/09/2020	10:14	24662.45	24686.45	24	1.1352	1.1352	1.1352	2.7944	2.9051	68	Cloudy
23/09/2020	09:55	24/09/2020	09:55	24686.45	24710.45	24	1.1352	1.1352	1.1352	2.7392	2.9082	103	Fine
29/09/2020	09:05	30/09/2020	09:05	24710.45	24734.45	24	1.1352	1.1352	1.1352	2.7643	2.9191	95	Cloudy

 Min
 68

 Max
 103


 Average
 86




### Appendix D3


**Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results** 
















## Appendix E1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Noise Monitoring Equipment



## Summary of Calibration Certificates for Noise Monitoring Equipment used in this reporting month

Equipment	Equip No.	Serial No.	Calib. Date	Due Date
Sound Level Calibrator (Rion NC-73)	ET/EN/002/01	10196943	18/10/2019	17/10/2020
Sound Level Meter (Rion NL-52)	ET/EN/003/18	00264520	03/03/2020	02/03/2021
Sound Level Meter (Rion NL-52)	ET/EN/003/20	00998504	06/12/2019	05/12/2020



Certificate No. 910146

2 Pages 1 of Page

Customer: ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address: 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q94052

Date of receipt

11-Oct-19

**Item Tested** 

Model

**Description**: Sound Level Calibrator

: NC-73

Manufacturer: Rion

I.D.

: ET/EN/002/01

Serial No.

: 10196943

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 18-Oct-19 Supply Voltage

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: F21, Z02.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the manufacturer's specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	906710	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S240	Sound Level Calibrator	904042	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	902477	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	904050	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

18-Oct-19

Date:

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.



Certificate No. 910146

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results:

### 1. Level Accuracy (at 1 kHz)

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's Spec.
94 dB	93.8 dB	± 1 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.2 dB

### 2. Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's Spec.
1 kHz	0.983 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 %

3. Level Stability: 0.0 dB Uncertainty: ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.3 %

Mfr's Spec. : < 3 %

Uncertainty:  $\pm 2.3$  % of reading

Remark: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 004 hPa.

----- END -----

Certificate No. 001363

1 of 3 Pages Page

Customer: ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address: 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: 000572

Date of receipt

20-Feb-20

Item Tested

**Description**: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

I.D.

: ET/EN/003/18

Model

: NL-52

Serial No.

: 00264520

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 3-Mar-20

Supply Voltage : --

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity:  $(50 \pm 25) \%$ 

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01, IEC 61672.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type 1 specification. (where applicable)

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017A

Multi-Function Generator

906713

SCL-HKSAR

S240

Sound Level Calibrator

904042

NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by:

Approved by:

This Certificate is issued by:

3-Mar-20

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Certificate No. 001363 Pages 2 of 3 Pages

Results:

### Acoustical signal test

1. Self-generated noise: 17.3 dBA

#### 2. Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT S				
	Frequency	Time	Octave	Applied	UUT
Range (dB)	Weighting	Weighting	Filter	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)
20 ~ 130	A	F	OFF	94.0	93.8
		S	OFF		93.8
	C	F	OFF		93.8
	Z	F	OFF		93.9
	A	F	OFF	114.0	113.8
		S	OFF		113.8
	C	F	OFF		113.8
	Z	F	OFF		113.9

IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec. : ± 1.1 dB

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

### Electrical signal tests

### 3. Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.7	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.3	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	$0 \text{ dB}, \pm 1.1 \text{ dB}$
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+1.0	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-1.1	- 1.1 dB, $+ 2.1$ dB $\sim -3.1$ dB
16 kHz	-8.0	- $6.6 \text{ dB}$ , + $3.5 \text{ dB} \sim -17.0 \text{ dB}$

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

Certificate No. 001363

Page 3 of 3 Pages

### 4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

	<del></del>		·	
UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.4 dB
C	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Z	94.0	94.0	0.0	

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	94.0	0.0	

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 008 hPa.
- 4. Preamplifier model: NH-25, S/N: 64646.
- 5. Firmware Version: 1.7
- 6. Power Supply Check: OK
- 7. The UUT was adjusted with the supplied sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

----- END -----

# TEST REPORT for PRECISION SOUND LEVEL METER

(NX-42EX installed)

NL - 52

Model:

Serial No.:	00998504
	10100
Microphone No.:	16103
Preamplifier No.:	98718
Condition: Tempera	ture <u>20 ℃</u>
Humidity	60 %RH
Date :	December, 06, 2019
Signature:	K. Vkeda

Pass

1. Frequency weightings (Fig. 1)

Frequency weighting A

Frequency weighting C

Frequency weighting Z

2. Level linearity error (dB)

Reference signal level (Ref.): 94.0 dB (at 1 kHz, 8 kHz), 74.0 dB (at 31.5 Hz)

Frequency weighting: A

Indicated		Difference with Reference signal level (dB)						
Frequency value	25.0	74.0	94.0	98.0	114.0	136.0	138.0	
31.5 Hz	-0.2	Ref.		0.0			_	
1 kHz	-0.2		Ref.	_	0.0	_	0.0	
8 kHz	0.0		Ref.	_		0.0		
Tolerance limit	±0.3	******		±0.3	±0.2	±0.3	±0.3	

### 3. Toneburst response (Time weighted sound level)

Input signal level: 127 dB

Toneburst: Frequency: 4 kHz, duration: 0.25 ms

Frequency weighting: A, Time-weighting: F

(dB)						
Design goal	Indicated value	Difference	Tolerance limit			
100.0	99.9	-0.1	±1.0			

### 4. Time weighting I (impulse)

Input signal level: 120 dB

Toneburst: Frequency: 4 kHz, duration: 5 ms, period: 500 ms

Frequency weighting: A

(dB)						
Design goal	Indicated value	Difference	Tolerance limit			
111.2	109.8	-1.4	±2.0			

<sup>\*</sup>When the optional Extended Function Program NX-42EX is installed, time weighting I(impulse) can be selected in only sub-channel.



### 5. Peak sound level (dB)

Frequency weighting: C

		(dB)						
Frequency (Hz)	Number of	Input	Lcpeak		72.100	Tolerance		
(112)	cycles in test signal indicate level Lz Design goal value		Indicated value	Difference	limit			
31.5	1 cycle	137.0	136.5	137.3	0.8	±2.0		
500	Positive half cycle	137.0	139.4	139.2	-0.2	±1.0		
300	Negative half cycle	137.0	139.4	139.1	-0.3	±1.0		

### 6. Response to repeated to toneburst

Input signal level: 130.0 dB + 8 dB

Frequency weighting: A, Time-weighting: S

Toneburst: Frequency: 2 kHz, duration: 5 ms, period: 25 ms

		(dB)		
Peak-to-rms ratio	Design goal	Indicated value	Difference	Tolerance limit
3.16	131.0	131.0	0.0	±0.5

### 7. Inherent noise level (dB)

(dB)							
Frequency weighting	Indicated value	Tolerance limit					
A	11.5	17 or less					
С	15.8	25 or less					
Z	21.1	30 or less					

### 8. Instrumental error

Frequency	Instrumental error	Tolerance limit
(Hz)	(dB)	(dB)
125	0.2	±1.0
1000	0.0	±0.7
4000	-0.3	±1.0
8000	0.5	+1.5, -2.5

### Applicable standards

JIS C 1509-1: 2017 class 1

JIS C 1516: 2014 class 1

IEC 61672-1: 2013 class 1


IEC 61672-1: 2002 class 1


ANSI/ASA S1.4-2014/Part 1 class 1


CE marking WEEE Directive Chinese RoHS



### Relative free field frequency response









## Appendix E2

**Impact Noise Monitoring Results** 



62.4

### **Day-time Noise Monitoring**

**Monitoring Station: NSR1a** 

Date	Weather	Temperature	Start Time	tart Time End Time		Noise Level at NSR1a, dB (A)		
Date	vveatriei	(℃)	(hh:mm)	(hh:mm)	Leq (30min)	L10 (30min)	L90 (30min)	Speed (m/s)
05/09/20	Fine	28	09:34	10:04	61.4	62.3	56.5	0.2
11/09/20	Fine	27	13:04	13:34	70.1	72.5	67.4	0.3
17/09/20	Cloudy	27	09:24	09:54	66.4	69.9	63.1	0.3
23/09/20	Fine	29	10:35	11:05	63.2	65.0	55.6	0.3
29/09/20	Cloudy	27	09:45	10:15	63.5	67.1	56.3	0.3
			М	in	61.4	62.3	55.6	
			M	ax	70.1	72.5	67.4	

Logarithmic
Average for normal

weekdays

66.1

68.8

**Monitoring Station: NSR2b** 

Date	Weather	Temperature	Start Time	End Time	Noise	Level at N dB (A)	ISR2b,	Wind
Date	vveatriei	(℃)	(hh:mm)	(hh:mm)	Leq (30min)	L10 (30min)	L90 (30min)	Speed (m/s)
05/09/20	Fine	28	08:32	09:02	62.6	63.5	56.3	0.3
11/09/20	Fine	27	13:41	14:11	71.0	73.2	68.5	0.4
17/09/20	Cloudy	27	10:14	10:44	68.0	70.9	64.5	0.4
23/09/20	Fine	29	09:55	10:25	63.3	64.5	60.0	0.3
29/09/20	Cloudy	27	09:05	09:35	64.3	66.0	62.0	0.3


(\*) : 3dB(A) correction was added to Min 62.6 63.5 56.3 the results during the free-field noise measurements Max 71.0 73.2 68.5 Logarithmic Average for normal 67.1 69.3 64.1 weekdays




## Appendix E3

**Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data** 









## Appendix F1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Water Quality Monitoring Equipments



	P	erformance	Check	of	<b>Turbidity</b>	Meter
--	---	------------	-------	----	------------------	-------

E D of No		ET/0505/021	Manufacturer		HACH
Equipment Ref. No.	:	ET/0505/021	Manufacturer	•	пасп

Model No. : 2100Q Serial No. : 17020C056013

Date of Calibration : 25/7/20 Due Date : 24/10/2020

Theoretical Value of Turbidity Standard (NTU)	Measured Value (NTU)	Difference % *		
20	20.2	1.0%		
100	103	3.0%		
800	833	4.1%		

(\*) Difference = (Measured Value – Theoretical Value) / Theoretical Value x 100

Acceptance Criteria

Difference: -5 % to 5 %

The turbidity meter complies \* / does not comply \* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable \* / unacceptable \* for use. Measurements are traceable to national standards.

Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_ Checked by: \_\_\_\_\_



Form E/CE/R/24 Issue 1 (1/1) [01/18]

Calibration Report of Dissolved Oxygen Meter (In situ Measurement)					
Equipment Ref. No.	: ET/EW/008/006	5		Manufacturer	: YSI
Model No.	: Pro 2030		Serial No. :		: 12A100554
Calibration Date	: 3/6/2020		Calibration Due Date :		: 2/9/2020
Temperature Verification by Reference Thermometer (ET/0521/028)					
	Temperature Reading (	(°C) Correcti	on (°C)	Corrected Temperature	e (°C) Difference (°C)
Reference Thermom	neter 20.0	0.0	0	20.0	0.1
DO Meter	20.1	0.0	0	20.1	V. I
Criteria: Difference between corrected temperature from DO meter and reference thermometer : $< \pm~0.5~^{\circ}C$					
Zero Point Checking					
]	DO meter reading (mg/L)			0.0	2
Criteria: Zero check					
Linearity Checking of Dissolved Oxygen Content by APHA 19ed 4500-0 G					
Purging time, min	Expected DO value (mg. (ET/0510/012)	·/T \	DO meter reading (mg/L)		Difference of DO Content (mg/L)
2	6.04		6.21		0.17
5	3.81	3.81		3.93	0.12
10	2.06		2.22		0.16
Criteria: Difference	between DO meter reading an	ıd expected DO	value: <	±0.30 mg/L	
C. P. L. Chasting b	ADVI A 10 . J 2520 D				
Sauntty Checking of	y APHA 19ed 2520 B		Expect	ed Salinity (ppt)	DO meter reading (ppt)
Reagent No. of NaC	1 (10 ppt): CPE/012/4.7/005/17	7		10	9.3
	1 (30 ppt): CPE/012/4.8/005/17			30	28.4
Criteria: Difference between DO meter reading and expected Salinity: $\pm 10.0\%$					
The equipment complies * / does not comply * with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable * / unacceptable * for use.  * Delete as appropriate					
Calibrated by	: <u> </u>			Approved by:	g/

CPE/024/W



Form E/CE/R/24 Issue 1 (1/1) [01/18]

Calib	rati	on Report of Dissolv	ed Ox	ygen N	Aeter (In situ M	easur	rement)	
Equipment Ref. No.	.:	ET/EW/008/006			Manufacturer	ii	YSI	
Model No.	:	Pro 2030			Serial No.		12A100554	
Calibration Date	1	3/9/2020			Calibration Due Date	_	2/12/2020	
Temperature Verific	catior	n by Reference Thermometer	(ET/0521.	/028)				
/ <u></u>		Temperature Reading (°C)	Correcti	on (°C)	Corrected Temperature	(°C)	Difference (°C)	
Reference Thermom	ieter	20.0	0.0	0	20.0		-0.2	
DO Meter		19.8	0.0	0	19.8		-0.2	
Criteria: Difference between corrected temperature from DO meter and reference thermometer : $< \pm 0.5$ °C								
Zero Point Checking								
I	DO m	neter reading (mg/L)			0.0	2		
Criteria: Zero check	ing: (	).0 mg/L						
Linearity Checking of Dissolved Oxygen Content by APHA 19ed 4500-0 G								
Purging time, min		Expected DO value (mg/L)			er reading (mg/L)	Diffe	rence of DO Content	
1 urging time, min		(ET/0510/012)		DO men	or reading (mg/L)	(mg/L)		
2		6.58			6.46	0.12		
5		4.02			3.97	0.05		
10		2.13			2.02		0.11	
Criteria: Difference	betwe	een DO meter reading and exp	ected DO	value: <	$\pm 0.30$ mg/L		4	
Salinity Checking by	y API	HA 19ed 2520 B						
Z				Expect	ed Salinity (ppt)	DO	meter reading (ppt)	
Reagent No. of NaCl	1(10)	ppt): CPE/012/4.7/005/05			10		9.6	
Reagent No. of NaCl	1 (30)	ppt): CPE/012/4.8/005/05			30		27.8	
Criteria: Difference	betwe	en DO meter reading and exp	ected Sali	$\frac{1}{nity}$ : $\pm 1$	0.0 %		38	
The equipment complies # / does not comply # with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable # / unacceptable # for use.  # Delete as appropriate								
Calibrated by	٠.	<u> </u>			Approved by:	Ö		

CPE/024/W



## Appendix F2

**Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results** 



### **Impact Water Quality Monitoring**

**Monitoring Station: R1b** 

Date	Sampling	Weather	Sampling	Tı	urbidity (NTI	J)	Dissolved	d Oxygen (D	OO) (mg/L)	Suspend	ded Solid (S	SS) (mg/L)
Date	Duration	Condition	Level	1	2	Ave.	1	2	Ave.	1	2	Ave.
01/09/20	13:04-13:20	Fine	Mid-Depth	6.2	6.2	6.2	2.07	2.05	2.06	<5	<5	<5
03/09/20	15:10-15:15	Fine	Mid-Depth	4.9	4.9	4.9	3.09	3.05	3.07	<5	<5	<5
05/09/20	11:10-11:26	Fine	Mid-Depth	11.2	11.1	11.2	2.04	2.02	2.03	<5	<5	<5
08/09/20	12:10-12:15	Rainy	Mid-Depth	9.7	9.7	9.7	3.25	3.29	3.27	<5	<5	<5
10/09/20	12:40-12:50	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	7.1	7.1	7.1	3.54	3.50	3.52	8	8	8
12/09/20	15:40-15:50	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	5.6	5.6	5.6	3.76	3.73	3.75	<5	<5	<5
15/09/20	12:45-12:55	Rainy	Mid-Depth	10.6	10.4	10.5	2.88	2.92	2.90	12	11	11
17/09/20	13:01-13:11	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	4.1	4.1	4.1	2.43	2.46	2.45	<5	<5	<5
19/09/20	15:10-15:30	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	7.5	7.5	7.5	2.07	2.10	2.09	<5	<5	<5
22/09/20	12:40-12:50	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	8.3	8.3	8.3	3.55	3.59	3.57	<5	<5	<5
24/09/20	12:45-12:55	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	4.3	4.4	4.3	3.04	3.08	3.06	<5	<5	<5
26/09/20	11:35-11:45	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	4.7	4.7	4.7	2.12	2.15	2.14	<5	<5	<5
29/09/20	13:25-13:35	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	7.2	7.2	7.2	2.55	2.59	2.57	<5	<5	<5
				N	lin	4.1	М	in	2.02	M	lin	<5
				М	ax	11.2	Ma	ax	3.76	М	ax	12
				Ave	rage	7.0	Ave	rage	2.80	Ave	rage	1

#### Remark(s):


<sup>1. (#) 200</sup>ml sample was used for Suspended Solids analysis. Practical Quantitation Limit of Suspended Solids reported less than 5 mg/L. The results reported as <5 would be counted as zero for average measurement.



## Appendix F3

**Graphical Plots of Impact Water Quality Monitoring Data** 





**Date** 



# Appendix G

**Weather Condition** 



### Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations, September 2020

Day	Mean	Air Temperature		ure	Mean Dew	Mean	Total
	Pressure	Absolute	Mean	Absolute	Point (deg. C)	Relative	Rainfall
	(hPa)	Daily	(deg. C)	Daily Min		Humidity	(mm)
		Max		(deg. C)		(%)	
		(deg. C)					
1	1005.6	33.6	30.3	28.0	25.6	76.0	1.1
2	1006.2	34.2	30.0	28.0	25.5	77.0	0.4
3	1008.3	33.6	30.2	28.5	26.0	78.0	0.4
4	1008.9	32.3	29.8	28.4	26.4	82.0	0.1
5	1007.5	30.6	28.4	25.2	25.2	83.0	43.9
6	1006.1	32.3	29.1	27.2	25.3	80.0	0.0
7	1007.4	33.3	29.4	26.8	25.9	82.0	4.7
8	1010.8	29.0	27.1	25.3	25.6	91.0	68.9
9	1009.9	30.7	27.9	26.8	25.4	86.0	0.2
10	1007.1	32.1	28.5	26.0	25.3	83.0	8.2
11	1008.4	30.4	28.9	27.2	25.4	81.0	2.7
12	1011.0	32.4	28.2	26.2	25.5	85.0	27.9
13	1011.4	32.5	28.4	25.8	25.2	83.0	5.7
14	1010.2	31.0	28.1	25.6	25.4	85.0	38.2
15	1008.8	28.8	27.3	26.4	26.0	92.0	62.6
16	1008.0	32.9	29.5	27.3	26.6	85.0	4.4
17	1006.8	31.4	28.7	26.8	26.2	87.0	40.6
18	1009.1	30.2	28.3	26.4	26.0	88.0	15.9
19	1011.9	30.3	27.2	25.9	25.7	92.0	50.8
20	1011.6	32.1	28.6	26.4	25.4	83.0	0.7
21	1010.8	29.7	27.4	25.5	25.8	91.0	176.8
22	1010.4	31.4	28.6	26.6	25.1	82.0	0.5
23	1010.5	31.9	29.1	27.4	24.7	77.0	0.0
24	1010.6	31.3	28.5	27.1	24.6	80.0	0.6
25	1009.7	31.4	28.3	26.6	23.6	76.0	0.0
26	1009.5	29.7	28.0	27.1	23.3	76.0	Trace
27	1010.3	29.4	27.7	26.2	24.0	81.0	1.3
28	1010.5	27.4	26.6	25.7	24.2	87.0	26.2
29	1008.5	28.9	26.9	26.0	25.0	89.0	21.9
30	1007.4	31.1	27.4	25.3	25.3	88.0	104.1
Mean/Total	1009.1	31.2	28.4	26.6	25.3	84.0	708.8

Remark(s):

Trace means rainfall less than 0.05 mm § 1981-2010 Climatological Normal

The meteorological observations extracted from Hong Kong Observatory only shown the daily average and may be varied from the weather condition recorded during monitoring.



## Appendix H

**Environmental Site Inspection Checklist** 

東東西較熟試驗問有限公 ETS-TESTCONSULT LIMIT							
	W						

Envi	ironmental Site	Inspection Checklis	st – San Wai			
Inspe	ection Date:	4-9-20	Inspected By:		Francie	Teiner
Time	:	14:00	Weather Condition	on:		لم
Parti	cipants:	Postrick Leung Pour	nen Lai , Franco Leona	, Alex	Li , Tany	kwolc
1	Permits/Licenses			N/A	Yes No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environmenta exit and vehicle ac		rmit displayed at major site			
1.2	Are Construction l	Noise Permits available for	r inspection?			
1.3	Is wastewater disc	harge license available for	inspection?			···
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspe		onstruction waste disposal		<b>7</b> -	
1.5		nse/permits for disposal s available for inspection?	of construction waste or			
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning av	oided?				
2.2	Are speed controll	ed at 10 km/h on unpaved	site areas?			
2.3	Are plant and equal from powered plan	-	(i.e. without black smoke			
2.4	Observed dust sou	☐ Vehicle/ Equ	nuipment Movements loading of materials			
2.5	Are the work sites	wetted with water twice a	V			
2.6		e entire surface sprayed	temporary or permanent I with water or a dust			
2.7		in an area sheltered on the	top and the 3 sides within			
2.8	Are wheel washing all site exits if prac		sure water jet provided at		Q 0 =	
2.9		vashing facilities and the and the exit point paved vers?				
2.10	Are hoarding $\geq 2$ access?	.4m tall provided beside	roads or area with public			The second section of the sect
2.11	hardcores or metal	oad paved with concrete plates, and kept clear of de suppression chemical?				
2.12		te that is within 30m of a exit kept clear of dusty ma				
2.13	Are all vehicles an site?	d plant cleaned before the	ey leave the construction			
2.14	Are loaded dump to	ucks covered by impervio	ous sheeting appropriately			



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?				
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?	ď			
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?				
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?				
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		Ø		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?				
2.0		اسا	<u> </u>		
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?	L	LA"		
3.2	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				
	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site				Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s): Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s): Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?		, 
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?		<u> </u>
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?		
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?	<b>[</b> ]	
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	Ø	
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?		
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		<b>A</b> 0
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?	Q	
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?		
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?		
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?		<u> </u>
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?	Ø	
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?		ď o
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes No Remarks
	General Waste		
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?		
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?		
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?		itu 7
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?		
	Construction Waste		
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?		
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?		
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?		
5.8	proper disposar:		



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?		♂		
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?	Q'			
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area			-	
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	$\square$			
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?				
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?				
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?				
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)				
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil				
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?				14
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?				
	Records		_		
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		白		
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?				
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?		Ճ		
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?				
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?				
7	Environmental Complaint	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?				
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?				Ilen 2 13
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?		Ø		
9	Others	N/A	Yes,	No.	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?				



Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit:	Tollow up adian to item on 27-8-20, all item were improved.
Observations Heal: Is don'the Gre	crewl relaces were observed near CEPT.  The observed near CEPT of ABoutside
Reminder = Ferric Moride	solution was observed leaked near chemical build
Corrective Actions - Mitigation Measures  Hul: To clean the general of  Hulds: To clan the slagant  Reminder: To clean the chemical	noter properly.
Signature: ET's representative	Signature: Contractor's representative
Name: Tuy Chy Huy Date: 4.9.20	Name:
Signature: ET Leader	Signature: SO's representative
Name: C.h. Low  Date: $f/g/2020$	Name: Date:



### Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
	Follow up action to item 1 on 27/08/2020, general refuse and C&D materials were collected near Portion 4, AB, FW, SSSB, CB.		200904_001	No	



1	Overflow of ruubish from the rubbish bin was observed near CEPT.	To collect the rubbish properly	200904_002	Yes	11/09/2020
2	04 09 <b>2</b> 020	To clear the stagnant water properly	200904_003	Yes	11/09/2020





Stagnant water were observed near CEPT and outside AB.



Reminder	Action
Ferric chloride solution leaked from a tanker parked outside the Chemical Building was observed. The contractor was reminded to clean up the chemical leakage properly.	As confirmed by the contractor, the leakage of ferric chloride solution has been cleaned up immediately and no chemical residues on site.



Environmental Site Inspection Checklist – San Wai							
Inspection Date: 11 -9 - 20			Inspected By:		_ Fra	inlice	Tang
Time	<b>:</b>	14:30	Weather Condition	n:	ŗ	ine	أسر
Parti	cipants:	C. T. Hunu Patrole L.	euna, Darron la:	Ton k	wol.	Alex	*
***************************************			<u> </u>	7		11304	
1	Permits/Licenses			N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environmental exit and vehicle ac	Permit, license/ other permit cess?	displayed at major site				
1.2	Are Construction N	Joise Permits available for ins	pection?				
1.3	Is wastewater discl	narge license available for insp	pection?				
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspec	or chemical waste and consti ction?	ruction waste disposal				
1.5		nse/permits for disposal of s available for inspection?	construction waste or				
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning avo	oided?					
2.2	Are speed controlle	ed at 10 km/h on unpaved site	areas?				
2.3	Are plant and equifrom powered plant	ipment well maintained (i.e. t)?	without black smoke				
2.4	Observed dust sour	ce(s): Und erosion					
		☐ Vehicle/ Equipm	nent Movements				
		Loading/ unload	ing of materials				
		Others: No V	ned			_	
2.5		wetted with water twice a day				ᆸ_	
2.6		ooulders, poles, pillars or ter e entire surface sprayed w al immediately?			<i>L,X</i>		
2.7	sheeting or placed i	d demolished items covered $\epsilon$ n an area sheltered on the top					
	a day of demolition			П	1		
2.8	Are wheel washing all site exits if pract	facilities with high pressure icable?	water jet provided at	LJ	لــا		
2.9		rashing facilities and the road and the exit point paved with					
2.10		4m tall provided beside road	ls or area with public				
	access?	•	·				
2.11	hardcores or metal	oad paved with concrete, be plates, and kept clear of dusty suppression chemical?					
2.12	Are construction sit	e that is within 30m of a disc exit kept clear of dusty materia			d i	]	
2.13		d plant cleaned before they l					
2.14		ucks covered by impervious s	heeting appropriately			J	



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?				
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?				
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?				
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?				
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		Ø		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?				
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?				
3.2	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				
	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and	_ ☑ _			
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?	_ 			
<ul><li>3.3</li><li>3.4</li><li>3.5</li><li>3.6</li></ul>	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?	_ 			
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?	_ 			
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several	_ 			
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s): Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site	_ 	Yes	No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):			No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):			No	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?		<b>P D</b>
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?		Ø 0
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?		
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?	Ø	
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	ø	
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?		
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?		
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?		
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?		
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?		Ø 0
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?	Ø	
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?		
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes No Remarks
	General Waste		
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?		<b>P</b> 0
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?		
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?		Ø 0
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?		Q
	Construction Waste		/
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?		0 9 th1
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?		O O TRUI
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their		
	proper disposal?	,	,



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?		Ø		
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?		Ø		
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area				
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?				
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?				
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?				
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?		9/		
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)		Ø		
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil				
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?		$\square$		
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?				
	Records				
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?				
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?				
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?		₫		
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?				
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?		7		
7	Environmental Complaint	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	Ø			
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?		$\square$		
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?		Ø		
9	Others	N/A	Yeş	No	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?		Ø		



Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit: Follow	up action to items on 4-9-2020, all items were improved.				
Observations Hul: CSD materials were for	nd discorded near EBI, EBI and DOI.				
Corrective Actions - Mitigation Measures Implemented or Proposed (if any):  Itul: To class and segregate the CAD moteral paperly.					
Signature: ET's representative	Signature: Contractor's representative				
Name: Tany Chuy Huy Date: Na 30.9.20	Name: Date:				
Signature: ET Leader	Signature: SO's representative				
Name: C.L. Low Date: 12/9/2020	Name: Date:				



### Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
	Follow up action to item 1 on 04/09/2020, rubbish was collected near CEPT.		200911_001	No	
			200911_002	No	



	Follow up action to item 2 on 04/09/2020, stagnant water was cleared near CEPT and outside AB.				
1		To collect the C&D materials properly	200911_002	Yes	17/09/2020



C&D materials were found discarded near EB1, EB2 and DO2.

Env	ironmental Site I	nspection Checklist – S				
Inspection Date:		17-9-20	Inspected By:	Frankie Tang		nq
Time	<b>:</b>	14:30	Weather Condition	n:	Fine	
Parti	icipants:	Franco Leong, Darrer	en Lai, Tany Knok, Alexli			
1	Permits/Licenses			N/A	Yes No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environmental exit and vehicle acc	Permit, license/ other permit dess?	isplayed at major site			
1.2	Are Construction N	oise Permits available for inspe	ection?			
1.3	Is wastewater discha	arge license available for inspe	ction?			
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspect	chemical waste and construion?	ction waste disposal			
1.5		e/permits for disposal of co available for inspection?	onstruction waste or			
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning avo	ided?				
2.2	Are speed controlled	l at 10 km/h on unpaved site ar	reas?			
2.3	Are plant and equifrom powered plant	oment well maintained (i.e. v ?	vithout black smoke			
2.4	Observed dust sourc	e(s):	g of materials			
2.5	Are the work sites w	etted with water twice a day?	Now C.			
2.6		oulders, poles, pillars or temp entire surface sprayed with I immediately?				
2.7		demolished items covered en an area sheltered on the top a				
2.8	=	facilities with high pressure v	vater jet provided at			
2.9	Are the areas of wa	shing facilities and the road did the exit point paved with c			Ø 0	
2.10		m tall provided beside roads	or area with public			
2.11	hardcores or metal p	d paved with concrete, bit lates, and kept clear of dusty n suppression chemical?				
2.12	Are construction site	that is within 30m of a disce xit kept clear of dusty material:				
2.13	Are all vehicles and site?	plant cleaned before they lea	ve the construction			
2.14	Are loaded dump tru	cks covered by impervious sho	eeting appropriately			



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation		1		4-V
	sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?	,		-	
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?				
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?		Q		
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?	The state of the			
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				Harry Market State Control of the Co
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		白		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?				
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				
3.3					
	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between	_			
3.4	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full cnclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.4	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.4 3.5 3.6	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site			No	Remarks
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	ls temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	ls temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?			
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?		Ø 0 <sup>-</sup>	
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area		-	
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	Ø		
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?			
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?			
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?			
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)			
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil			
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?			
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?			
	Records		-	
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		$\square$	
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?			
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?			
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?		$\Box$	
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?		<b>D</b> D	
7	Environmental Complaint	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?			
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?			
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?			
9	Others	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?		Ø 0 -	



	the state of the s	П	ПП
4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?		7 0
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?		
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?	7	
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?	Ø	
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	Ø	
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?		
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		ď 🗆 ————
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?		
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?		
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?		
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?		
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?		
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?		Q — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes No Remarks
	General Waste		_
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?		
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?		
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?		7 0
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?		
	Construction Waste		/ = [ 1
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?		₩ D 11m
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?		Je Jenj
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?		
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?		



Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit	Follow op action to item on 11-9-20, all items improved.
	were found discorded near. CB ove.
Corrective Actions – Mitigation Measure  Flow I: To clean and segregor	es Implemented or Proposed (It any):  ate the CSD material properly
Signature: ET's representative	Signature: Contractor's representative
Name: Tany Chung Hony Date: 17-9-20	Name: Date:
Signature: ET Leader	Signature: SO's representative
Name: C.L. Lay  Date: 18/9/2029	Name:




### Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
			200917_001	No	



	Follow up action to item 1 on 11/09/2020, C&D materials were collected near EB1, EB2 and DO2.				
1		To collect the C&D materials properly	200917_002	Yes	24/09/2020





Environmental Site Inspection Checklist – San Wai							
Inspection Date: Time: Participants:		24-9-20	Inspected By:		Francie	Tuny	
		Weather Condition		)n:	God		
		Patrick Leany, Franco Leany,			` <i>'</i>		
Į	Permits/Licenses			N/A	Yes No	Remarks	
1.1	Are Environmental exit and vehicle acc	Permit, license/ other permiess?	t displayed at major site				
1.2	Are Construction N	oise Permits available for in	spection?		Q		
1.3	Is wastewater discha	arge license available for ins	spection?				
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspect	chemical waste and constion?	truction waste disposal				
1.5		se/permits for disposal of available for inspection?	construction waste or				
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes No	Remarks	
2.1	Is open burning avo	ided?					
2.2	•	1 at 10 km/h on unpaved site					
2.3	Are plant and equi from powered plant	pment well maintained (i.e )?	. without black smoke				
2.4	Observed dust source		=				
2.5	Are the work sites w	vetted with water twice a day			а п		
2.5	After removal of b	oulders, poles, pillars or te entire surface sprayed v	mporary or permanent				
2.7	Is the area involved	demolished items covered an area sheltered on the top			<u> </u>		
2.8	•	facilities with high pressure	e water jet provided at		Q		
2.9		shing facilities and the roand the exit point paved with es?					
2.10	Are hoarding $\geq 2.4$ access?	Im tall provided beside roa	ds or area with public				
2.11	hardcores or metal p	ad paved with concrete, lates, and kept clear of dust suppression chemical?					
2.12	Are construction site	that is within 30m of a dis xit kept clear of dusty mater			6 -		
2.13		plant cleaned before they					
2.14	Are loaded dump tru	cks covered by impervious	sheeting appropriately				



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?				
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?	<b>D</b> ⁄			
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?	Ø			
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?				
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?	<b>Q</b> /			
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		Ó		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?				
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?	П	$\Box$	$\Box$	
	and the state of t	لسا	ر كا	$\sqcup$	
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				***************************************
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction				
	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.4	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.4	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.4 3.5 3.6	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site		Yes	No	Remarks
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s): Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:		Yes	No	Remarks
3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s): Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:		Yes	No	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?		<b>4</b> 0	
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?			
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?	<b>D</b> /		
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?	Ø		
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?			
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?			
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		ď 🗆 ¯	
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?			
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?		$Q' \Box^{-}$	
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?			
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?			
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?	Ø		
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?			
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
	General Waste			
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?			
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?			
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?			
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?			
	Construction Waste			
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?			
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?			
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?			
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?			



5.10 Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household	
refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?	
Chemical / Fuel Storage Area	
5.11 Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	
5.12 Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?	
5.13 Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?	
5.14 Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?	
5.15 Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)	
Chemical Waste / Waste Oil	
5.16 Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?	
5.17 Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?	
Records	
5.18 Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?	
5.19 Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?	
5.20 For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?	
6 Landscape and Visual Impacts N/A Yes No	Remarks
6.1 Is the work site confined within site boundaries?	
6.2 Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?	
7 Environmental Complaint N/A Yes No	Remarks
7.1 Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	
8 General Housekeeping N/A Yes No	Remarks
8.1 Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?	
8.2 Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?	
9 Others N/A Yes No	Remarks
9.1 Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?	. IXIIIAI RS



follow up actions for pervious Site Audit: Follow upadion to item on 17-9-20, all it						
Observations No observation was recon	ded on this site inspection.					
Corrective Actions – Mitigation Measures	Implemented or Proposed (if any):					
Signature: ET's representative	Signature: Contractor's representative					
Name: Tany Chuny Hany Date: 30.9-30	Name:					
Signature: ET Leader	Signature: SO's representative					
Name: C.h. Lan  Date: 25/19/2020	Name:					
Date: 25/9/2020	Date:					



## Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
			200924_001		





Follow up action to item 1 on 17/09/2020, C&D materials were collected near CB.



Envi	ronmental Site Ir	nspection Checklist – San Wai			comp	
Inspe	ection Date:	30 September 2020 Inspected By:  10:00 Weather Condition	-		Lvy	<u>Lo</u>
Time	:	Weather Condit	tion:		Fine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Parti	cipants:	Darven Lai, Tony Kirok, A	slex Li			
1	Permits/Licenses		N/A	Yes	s No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environmental F exit and vehicle acce	Permit, license/ other permit displayed at major site ess?	· 🗆			
1.2	Are Construction No	oise Permits available for inspection?		$\bigcirc$		
1.3	Is wastewater discha	arge license available for inspection?		$\square$		
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspecti	chemical waste and construction waste disposal ion?		V		
1.5		e/permits for disposal of construction waste or available for inspection?	· 🗆	<b>Y</b>		
2	Air Quality		N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning avoi	ded?		V		
2.2	Are speed controlled	I at 10 km/h on unpaved site areas?		V		
2.3	Are plant and equip from powered plant)	oment well maintained (i.e. without black smoke?		Ø		
2.4	Observed dust source	e(s):				
2.5	Are the work sites we	etted with water twice a day?		$\square$		
2.6	4	oulders, poles, pillars or temporary or permanent entire surface sprayed with water or a dust I immediately?		V		
2.7		demolished items covered entirely by impervious an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides within		$\square$		
2.8	Are wheel washing all site exits if practic	facilities with high pressure water jet provided at cable?		V		
2.9		shing facilities and the road section between the ad the exit point paved with concrete, bituminous es?		V		
2.10	Are hoarding $\geq 2.4$ access?	Im tall provided beside roads or area with public		V		
2.11	hardcores or metal pl	ad paved with concrete, bituminous materials, lates, and kept clear of dusty materials; or sprayed suppression chemical?		V		
2.12		that is within 30m of a discernible or designated xit kept clear of dusty materials?				
2.13	Are all vehicles and site?	plant cleaned before they leave the construction		<b>7</b>		
2.14	Are loaded dump true	cks covered by impervious sheeting appropriately		$\sqrt{}$		



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?				
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?	✓			
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?		Ø		
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?	V			
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		Ø		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?		$\checkmark$		
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?		V		
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?		Į		
3.4	Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?	$\square$			
3.5	Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?		V		
3.6	Do air compressors have valid noise labels?	abla			
3.7	Are compressor operated with doors closed?	<b></b> ✓			
3.8	QPME used with valid noise labels?				
3.9	Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?	$\overline{\checkmark}$			
3.10	sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided:				
	Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			•••	
4	Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
4	Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
4.1	Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?		<b>7</b>		
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?		$\square$		
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?	$\Box$			
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?				
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	V			
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?		<b>V</b>		
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?				
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?	V			
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?		✓		
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?				
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?				
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?				
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?		Ø		
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
	General Waste				
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?		V		
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?				
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or			7	
	compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?				Itm 2
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?				
	Construction Waste				
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?				
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?		$\checkmark$		
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?				
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?				



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?		V		
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?				
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area			_	
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?				
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?		2		
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?		q		
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?		<b>V</b>		
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)			<b>Z</b>	Itin Y
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil				
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?				
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?			☑ .	Itim 3
	Records				
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		$\square$		
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?		<b>7</b>		
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?		口		
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?				
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?		<b>7</b>		
7	Environmental Complaint	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	Ø			
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?			☑ .	Item 1
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?		V		
9	Others	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?		夕		-

Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit:



Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit:	NA	
Observations 1. Stagnant water w	ins observed in the laybole.	
	1st general refuse and CAD netural now obser	z- (b
2 Thoroner Stavage	of chemical was observed at UV at CEPT. FH	۔ ر
4. Oil sprillighters of	bserved at SPB.	
Corrective Actions – Mitigation Measures In		
	we the stagment nation property	
2. The contractor should collect	t the nosted perpenty	
t & Loud star		
	le the oill sovillatoroperly.	
4. The contractor should have		
Cianatura	Signature:	
Signature: ET's representative	Contractor's representative	
Name: L.M Cs		
Date: $30/7/2020$	Date:	
Date. 33 ( ) / 20 to	Date.	
Signature:	Signature:	
ET Leader	SO's representative	
Name: C. L. Lan  Date: 03/10/2020	Name:	
Date: 03/10/2020	Date:	



## Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
1	Stagnant water was observed in the keyhole.	To clear the stagnant water properly	200930_001	Yes	09/10/2020
2	30 09 2020	To collect the C&D materials properly	200930_002	Yes	09/10/2020







3	Improper storage of chemical was observed at UV.	To store the chemical properly	200930_003	Yes	09/10/2020
4	Oil spillage was observed at SDB.	To handle the oil spillage properly	200930_004	Yes	09/10/2020



# Appendix I

**Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist** 



### Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist for Site Audit

Inspection Date:4 September 2020Weather:Sunny/ Fine/ Cloudy / RainyTime:15:30 p.m.Wind:Strong/ Breeze/ Light/ Calm

Item	Description	YES	NO	N/A	Actions/ Remarks
1	Construction Phase	<u> </u>			
1.1	Is the detailed tree survey completed prior to construction work?	1			
1.2	Are trees to be transplanted removed to their final positions?		1		
1.3	Are the transplants and existing trees to be retained properly protected from damage by stout hoarding positioned as directed by a qualified Landscape Architect?	<b>✓</b>			Eastern side trees: Protective fence has been provided at lot.  A few nos. of trees are protected near the site entrance
1.4	Is regular inspection of the retained and transplanted trees made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding?	1			
1.5	Are the TPZ clearly demarcated on site and surrounded by strong fences sturdy enough to withstand impacts from the construction activities?	1			
1.6	Are warning signs and notices installed at the fences denoting the "tree protection zone" to prohibit the entry of equipment or construction activities?	1			
1.7	Are tree labels with clear indication of tree no. and status (e.g. "R", "T" or "F") provided for all the trees on site?	1			
1.8	If protective fencings are not practicable, are the tree root systems adequately protected from soil compaction due to passage of vehicles, equipment or machinery?	1			
1.9	Are vehicular/foot paths and storage areas designated away from TPZ?	1			
1.10	Are the trees properly irrigated and sprayed with water to remove the accumulated construction dust during dry season in order to lessen the chances of decline and to maintain the vigour of trees?			/	
1.11	Are the trees free from any sign of distress, such as dieback, leaf loss, or general decline in tree health or			✓	

	annagrance or tree demage with				
	appearance or tree damage with				
1.10	symptoms of construction injury?				
1.12	Are the trees free from wire or nail				
	and prohibited to be used as anchor	<b>/</b>			
	for any site activities?				
1.13	Are cutting, trenching, excavating or	_			
	raising of soil level within the TPZ	✓			
	prohibited?				
1.14	Is improper pruning of the tree	1			
	branches/roots prohibited?	•			
1.15	Are the trees free from any tree root	,			
	damage?	<b>✓</b>			
1.16	Are construction works or operation				
	of machines within the TPZ	1			
	prohibited?				
1.17	Is the TPZ free from pollution from				
1.17	effluent water, machine petroleum	1			
	or chemical spillage?	_			
1.18					The site has
1.10	Is the excavated topsoil stored and				
	protected on site for reuse for				previously been
	restoration of screen planting				reclaimed from
	works?				ponds. Most of the
					excavated topsoil is
					not desirable for
					reuse due to its
					inferior quality.
				<b>✓</b>	Contractor's
					submitted
					referencing
					documents are
					attached in the
					checklist dated 4
					May, 2018 for
					information.
1.19	Is the progress of the above				
	activities reported in the monthly	<b>✓</b>			
	EM&A report?				
2	Operational Phase (12 months perio	od from	commissi	ioning of	f the expanded and
	upgraded works)				
2.1	Is a planting reserve, where locates				
	around the site perimeter of				
	approximately 5m wide, provided to			1	
	allow a continuous belt of trees to be				
	planted as a visual screen?				
2.2	Is the planting reserve				
- <i></i>	complemented the boundary			_	
	planting to the existing San Wai			✓	
	STW?				
2.3	Is all new planting maintained for 12				
2.3	· · ·			,	
	months to ensure proper			<b>•</b>	
2.4	establishment?		-		
2.4	Are the trees free from sign of			1	
	deterioration of tree health and/or				



	structure?		
2.5	Are the trees free from insect pests and disease pathogens?	✓	
2.6	Are the irrigation systems functioning properly and well maintained?	1	
2.7	Are the tree root systems adequately protected from soil compaction due to storage of materials or operation of machinery?	1	



#### **Summary/Remarks:**

#### Follow up actions taken by Contractor for previous comments:

1. Trees at eastern boundary – most of the trees has been removed in accordance with the approved tree removal application. The few remaining trees existed are protected by the boundary fence.

#### The contractor was reminded to rectify the following:

1. Generally, contractor was reminded to keep on the tree protection and maintenance.


#### **New Observation:**

1. Grade change and construction activities are noted on site. Contractor was reminded not to disturb the TPZ.

#### **Reminders:**

- 1. Contractor was reminded to provide TPZ with robust fence, whenever possible, at the drip line of all retained trees unless the trees are well beyond the extend of construction activities.
- 2. Contractor was reminded not to disturb trees outside site along the boundary.

#### **Photo Record:**










Condition of trees at the entrance of the existing treatment plant

Figure 5

Existing trees at the site entrance

Figure 6







The tree in the tree protection area is drying and has peeling bark. It is in poor health condition. There is high risk of tree felling. Stored debris underneath the tree shall be removed.



## Signature:

		Signature	Date
Inspected & Recorded by	Registered Landscape Architect	Xylem Leung	



## Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist for Site Audit

Inspection Date:18 September 2020Weather:Sunny/ Fine/ Cloudy / RainyTime:12:00 p.m.Wind:Strong/ Breeze/ Light/ Calm

Item	Description	YES	NO	N/A	Actions/ Remarks
1	Construction Phase				
1.1	Is the detailed tree survey completed prior to construction work?	1			
1.2	Are trees to be transplanted removed to their final positions?		<b>√</b>		
1.3	Are the transplants and existing trees to be retained properly protected from damage by stout hoarding positioned as directed by a qualified Landscape Architect?	<b>√</b>			Eastern side trees: Protective fence has been provided at lot.  A few nos. of trees are protected near the site entrance
1.4	Is regular inspection of the retained and transplanted trees made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding?	1			
1.5	Are the TPZ clearly demarcated on site and surrounded by strong fences sturdy enough to withstand impacts from the construction activities?	1			
1.6	Are warning signs and notices installed at the fences denoting the "tree protection zone" to prohibit the entry of equipment or construction activities?	<b>/</b>			
1.7	Are tree labels with clear indication of tree no. and status (e.g. "R", "T" or "F") provided for all the trees on site?	<b>√</b>			
1.8	If protective fencings are not practicable, are the tree root systems adequately protected from soil compaction due to passage of vehicles, equipment or machinery?	<b>√</b>			
1.9	Are vehicular/foot paths and storage areas designated away from TPZ?	1			
1.10	Are the trees properly irrigated and sprayed with water to remove the accumulated construction dust during dry season in order to lessen the chances of decline and to maintain the vigour of trees?			,	
1.11	Are the trees free from any sign of distress, such as dieback, leaf loss, or general decline in tree health or			1	

	annaguanas on tuas damaga vvith				
	appearance or tree damage with				
1.10	symptoms of construction injury?				
1.12	Are the trees free from wire or nail				
	and prohibited to be used as anchor	1			
	for any site activities?				
1.13	Are cutting, trenching, excavating or				
	raising of soil level within the TPZ	✓			
	prohibited?				
1.14	Is improper pruning of the tree	/			
	branches/roots prohibited?	•			
1.15	Are the trees free from any tree root	,			
	damage?	1			
1.16	Are construction works or operation				
	of machines within the TPZ	1			
	prohibited?				
1.17	Is the TPZ free from pollution from				
1.17	effluent water, machine petroleum	/			
	or chemical spillage?	•			
1.18	Is the excavated topsoil stored and				The site has
1.10					
	protected on site for reuse for				previously been
	restoration of screen planting				reclaimed from
	works?				ponds. Most of the
					excavated topsoil is
					not desirable for
					reuse due to its
				/	inferior quality.
				•	Contractor's
					submitted
					referencing
					documents are
					attached in the
					checklist dated 4
					May, 2018 for
					information.
1.19	Is the massacrafthe characters				illioilliatioli.
1.19	Is the progress of the above	1			
	activities reported in the monthly	<b>'</b>			
	EM&A report?	1.0	•	• •	
2	Operational Phase (12 months period	od from	commiss	ioning of	the expanded and
0.1	upgraded works)	1	1	I	
2.1	Is a planting reserve, where locates				
	around the site perimeter of				
	approximately 5m wide, provided to			✓	
	allow a continuous belt of trees to be				
	planted as a visual screen?				
2.2	Is the planting reserve				
	complemented the boundary			1	
	planting to the existing San Wai			'	
	STW?				
2.3	Is all new planting maintained for 12				
	months to ensure proper			1	
	establishment?				
2.4	Are the trees free from sign of				
	deterioration of tree health and/or			1	
	deterioration of the health and/of				



	structure?			
2.5	Are the trees free from insect pests and disease pathogens?		1	
2.6	Are the irrigation systems functioning properly and well maintained?		1	
2.7	Are the tree root systems adequately protected from soil compaction due to storage of materials or operation of machinery?		1	



#### **Summary/Remarks:**

#### Follow up actions taken by Contractor for previous comments:

1. Trees at eastern boundary – most of the trees has been removed in accordance with the approved tree removal application. The few remaining trees existed are protected by the boundary fence.

#### The contractor was reminded to rectify the following:

1. Generally, contractor was reminded to keep on the tree protection and maintenance.


#### **New Observation:**


1. Grade change and construction activities are noted on site. Contractor was reminded not to disturb the TPZ.

#### **Reminders:**

- 1. Contractor was reminded to provide TPZ with robust fence, whenever possible, at the drip line of all retained trees unless the trees are well beyond the extend of construction activities.
- 2. Contractor was reminded not to disturb trees outside site along the boundary.

#### **Photo Record:**







## Signature:

		Signature	Date
Inspected & Recorded by	Registered Landscape Architect	Xylem Leung	



# Appendix J

**Waste Flow Table** 



DSD Contract: DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate

San Wai Sewage Treatment Works Phase 1



Contract No.: DC/2013/10

Year: 2020 Name of Department: DSD

Project: Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Phase 1

#### Waste Flow Table

		Actual Quantiti	es of Inert C&I	) Materials Gen	erated Monthly	ī	Ac	tual Quantities	of C&D Waste	s Generated M	onthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Broken Concrete (see Note <sup>3</sup> )	Reused in the Contract (see Note)	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note <sup>4</sup> )	Imported Fill (see Note <sup>4</sup> )	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note <sup>2</sup> )	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 kg)
Jan	0.808	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.808	0.623	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	51.560
Feb	1.340	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.340	0.175	0.000	0.050	0.000	0.000	52.280
Mar	0.360	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.360	1.781	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	75.750
Apr	1.222	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.222	1.479	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	66.690
May	0.419	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.419	0.243	0.000	0.060	0.000	0.000	95.250
Jun	0.861	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.971	0.988	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	101.12
Jul	0.320	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.320	0.909	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	79.680
Aug	0.847	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.847	0.237	0.000	0.100	0.000	0.000	107.73
Sep	0.510	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.510	0.908	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	48.94
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Tota1	6.687	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.797	7.343	0.000	0.210	0.000	0.000	679.00

- Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
  - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
  - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
  - (4) Assumption: The densities of subbase, Type A, Type B, Rockfill, Soil, Mix Rock and Soil, Reclaimed Asphalt Pave, Slurry are 2.0 ton/m3; the densities of Building debris and special fill materials are 2.1 ton/m3; the densities of Broken Concrete is 2.4 ton/m3.



# Appendix K

**Environmental Licenses and Permits** 



Item No.	Nature of Permit / License / Notification	Permit / License/ Notification No.	Date of Issue / Effective of Permit / License	Date of Expiry of Permit / License	Remark (Validity for reporting period only)
1	Environmental Permit	EP-464/2013	18/10/2013	NA	Valid
2	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	7025330	07/07/2016	NA	Valid
3	Form NA notification (for APCO)	405489	26/07/2016	30/01/2021	Valid
4	Chemical Waste Producer Registration (for Site)	5218-511-A2823-01	23/01/2017	NA	Valid
5	Wastewater Discharge License (for WPCO)	WT00026754-2017	28/04/2017	31/01/2022	Valid
6	Construction Noise Permit (for Site)	GW-RN0391-20	03/07/2020	02/10/2020	Valid
7	Disposal of Special waste at Landfills	15649	29/04/2020	28/10/2020	Valid
8	Hong Kong Sludge Treatment Facility Admission Ticket	SAW-CEPT	1/5/2020	31/12/2020	Valid



# Appendix L

Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)



				Implementa	ntion Status	
	Environmental Mitigation Measures	Location	Implemented	Partially implemented	Not implemented	Not Applicable
	Air Quality					
•	The working area for the uprooting of trees, shrubs, or vegetation or for the removal of boulders, poles, pillars or temporary or permanent structures should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;	Site Area	V			
•	All demolished items (including trees, shrubs, vegetation, boulders, poles, pillars, structures, debris, rubbish and other items arising from site clearance) that may dislodge dust particles should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides within a day of demolition;	Site Area	V			
•	Vehicle washing facilities including a high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point;	Site Entrance	~			
•	The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores;	Site Exit	<b>V</b>			
•	Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service and or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit;	Site Area	V			
•	Every main haul road (i.e. any course inside a construction site having a vehicle passing rate of higher than 4 in any 30 minutes) should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials, hardcores or metal plates, and kept clear of dusty materials; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire road surface wet;	Main Haul Road	V			
•	The portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;	Site Entrance and Exit	<b>V</b>			
•	Immediately before leaving a construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels;	Site Exit	<b>√</b>			
•	Where a vehicle leaving a construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;		V			
•	The working area of any excavation or earth moving operation should be sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;	Site Area	<b>V</b>			
•	Exposed earth shall be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable	Site Area	<b>√</b>			



				\	
	surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies;				
•	Any stockpile of dusty material should be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire surface wet.	Site Area	V		
	Noise				
•	Quiet plants should be used in order to reduce the noise impacts to protect the nearby NSRs.	Site Area	√		
•	Temporary and Movable Noise Barriers should be used in order to reduce the noise impact to the surrounding sensitive receivers	Site Area	√		
•	Intermittent noisy activities should be scheduled to minimize exposure of nearby NSRs to high levels of construction noise.	Site Area	V		
•	Idle equipment should be turned off or throttled down.	Site Area	V		
•	Construction activities should be planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided	Site Area	V		
•	Construction plant should be properly maintained and operated.	Site Area	√		
	Water Quality				
•	Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets before a rainstorm occurs;	Site Area	√		
•	The exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission;	Site Area	√		
•	The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from the drainage channel so as to avoid releasing materials into the channel;	Site Area	√		
•	Wheel washing facilities should be provided at site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles;	Site Exit	√		
•	Provision of site drainage systems and treatment facilities would be required to minimize the water pollution;	Site Area	√		
•	A discharge license needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site;		√		
•	The treated effluent quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge license;		√		
•	Provision of chemical toilets is required to collect sewage from workforce. The chemical toilets should be cleaned on a regular basis;	Chemical Toilet	√		
•					



				\		
•	A licensed waste collector should be employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis;		V			
•	Illegal disposal of chemicals should be strictly prohibited;	Site Area	√			
•	Registration as a chemical waste producer is required if chemical wastes are generated and need to be disposed of. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes;	Site Area	V			
•	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance should be used as a guideline for handing chemical wastes;	Site Area	V			
•	The impact from accidental spillage of chemicals can be effectively controlled through good management practices.	Site Area	$\sqrt{}$			
	Waste Management					
•	Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;	Site Area	√			
•	To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the workforce;	Site Area	V			
•	Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;	Site Area	$\sqrt{}$			
•	Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals be separated for re-use and/or recycling and inert waste as fill material to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;	Site Area	<b>V</b>			
•	Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and	Site Area		√		
•	Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.	Site Area	V			
	Landscape and Visual					
•	Detailed tree survey should have been completed	Site Area	$\checkmark$			
•	Trees should be transplanted to their final positions clear of the construction site				V	
•	Erect site hoarding to protect adjacent vegetation from damage	Site Area	√			



•	Regular inspections of the transplanted trees should be made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding	Site Area	V		
•	Any topsoil excavated during the course of the works should be stored and protected on site for reuse for the restoration and screen planting works	Site Area		√	



# Appendix M

**Environmental Site Inspection Schedule** 



# Contract No. DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Stage 1 Schedule for Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection September 2020

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		1	2	3 Effluent Sampling	4	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM
		WQM		WQM	SI	WQM
6	7	8	9	10	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	12
		WQM		WQM	SI	WQM
13	14	15 <b>WQM</b>	16	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM Effluent Sampling	18 SI	19 WQM
20	21	22 WQM	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	24 WQM	25 SI	26 WQM
27	28	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	30			



# Contract No. DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Stage 1 Schedule for Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection October 2020

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
				1	2	3
4	5 24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	6	7	8	9	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM
11	12	<b>WQM</b> 13	14	<b>WQM</b> 15	<b>SI</b>	<b>WQM</b> 17
		Effluent Sampling WQM		WQM	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	WQM
18	19	20 WQM	21	22 24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	23 SI	24 WQM
25	26	Effluent Sampling	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	29	30	31
		WQM		WQM	SI	WQM



### Appendix N

**Laboratory Report for Discharge Water** 



Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: eti@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

8/F Block B,

Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street,





### TEST REPORT

### **Testing of Water and Wastewater**

Report No. Date of Issue ENA06641

11 September 2020

Page No.

: 1 of 1

### Information Provided by Customer

**Customer Name** 

ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture

**Customer Address** 

19/F, China Harbour Building, 370-374 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong

Sample Source

Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 1

Sample Type

Wastewater

Date of Sampling

03 September 2020

Sample Description

Sample was stored in 1L plastic bottle (for pH and Total Suspended Solids).

Sample was stored in 500ml plastic bottle (for Chemical Oxygen Demand).

Sample for Chemical Oxygen Demand was preserved by adding conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to pH <2.

Sample was collected by the customer and refrigerated after received.

### Laboratory Information

Date of Received Date of Testing Period: 03 September 2020

03 to 04 September 2020

Lab Ref. No.

W47541

Sample ID	Sample No.	Test	Method Used	Result	Unit
		рН	In house method TPE/003/W	8.3	(at 25°C)
P8	01	Total Suspended Solids	In house method TPE/006/W	<5*	mg/L
	02	Chemical Oxygen Demand	In house method TPE/002/W	<10	mgO <sub>2</sub> /L

#### Remark(s):

- The results relate only to the tested sample as received.
- \*200ml sample was used for Total Suspended Solids analysis. PQL of Total Suspended Solids reported less than 5 mg/L.
- Unless otherwise specific, the tests were carried out at the company address shown in the report.

Approved Signatory:



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com



### TEST REPORT

### **Testing of Water and Wastewater**

Report No. Date of Issue : ENA06562

Page No.

28 September 2020

: 1 of 1

Information Provided by Customer

Customer Name

ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture

Customer Address

19/F, China Harbour Building, 370-374 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong

Sample Source

Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 1

Sample Type

Wastewater

Date of Sampling

17 September 2020

Sample Description

Sample was stored in 1L plastic bottle (for pH and Total Suspended Solids).

Sample was stored in 500ml plastic bottle (for Chemical Oxygen Demand). Sample for Chemical Oxygen Demand was preserved by adding conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to pH <2.

Sample was collected by the customer and refrigerated after received.

Laboratory Information

Date of Received

17 September 2020

Date of Testing Period:

17 to 18 September 2020

Lab Ref. No.

W47643

#### Result

Sample ID	Sample No.	Test	Method Used	Result	Unit
		рН	In house method TPE/003/W	8.3	(at 25°C)
P8 .	01	Total Suspended Solids	In house method TPE/006/W	23	mg/L
	02	Chemical Oxygen Demand	In house method TPE/002/W	<10	mgO₂/L

#### Remark(s)

- The results relate only to the tested sample as received.
- Unless otherwise specific, the tests were carried out at the company address shown in the report.

Approved Signatory:



### TEST REPORT

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.con



Form F/FN/R/01/Issue

### **Testing of Water and Wastewater**

Report No. Date of Issue

ENA07017 15 October 2020

Page No.

1 of 1

Information Provided by Customer

**Customer Name** 

ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture

Customer Address

19/F. China Harbour Building, 370-374 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong

Sample Source

Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 1

Sample Type

Wastewater

Date of Sampling

29 September 2020

Sample Description

Sample was stored in 1L plastic bottle (for pH and Total Suspended Solids). Sample was stored in 500ml plastic bottle (for Chemical Oxygen Demand).

Sample for Chemical Oxygen Demand was preserved by adding conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to pH <2.

Sample was collected by the customer and refrigerated after received.

Laboratory Information

Date of Received Date of Testing Period: 29 September 2020

Lab Ref. No.

29 to 30 September 2020 W47703

#### Result

Sample ID	Sample No.	Test	Method Used	Result	Unit
		На	In house method TPE/003/W	8.3	(at 25°C)
DC/2013/10 P8 Discharge Point	02	Total Suspended Solids	In house method TPE/006/W	5	mg/L
	04	Chemical Oxygen Demand	In house method TPE/002/W	<10	mgO₂/L

### Remark(s):

- The results relate only to the tested sample as received.
- Unless otherwise specific, the tests were carried out at the company address shown in the report.

Approved Signatory:



### TEST REPORT

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.con



Form F/FN/R/01/Issue

### **Testing of Water and Wastewater**

Report No. Date of Issue

ENA07017 15 October 2020

Page No.

1 of 1

Information Provided by Customer

**Customer Name** 

ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture

Customer Address

19/F. China Harbour Building, 370-374 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong

Sample Source

Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 1

Sample Type

Wastewater

Date of Sampling

29 September 2020

Sample Description

Sample was stored in 1L plastic bottle (for pH and Total Suspended Solids). Sample was stored in 500ml plastic bottle (for Chemical Oxygen Demand).

Sample for Chemical Oxygen Demand was preserved by adding conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to pH <2.

Sample was collected by the customer and refrigerated after received.

Laboratory Information

Date of Received Date of Testing Period: 29 September 2020

Lab Ref. No.

29 to 30 September 2020 W47703

#### Result

Sample ID	Sample No.	Test	Method Used	Result	Unit
		На	In house method TPE/003/W	8.3	(at 25°C)
DC/2013/10 P8 Discharge Point	02	Total Suspended Solids	In house method TPE/006/W	5	mg/L
	04	Chemical Oxygen Demand	In house method TPE/002/W	<10	mgO₂/L

### Remark(s):

- The results relate only to the tested sample as received.
- Unless otherwise specific, the tests were carried out at the company address shown in the report.


Approved Signatory:



### Figure 1

**Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations** 






Project: Contract No. DC/2013/10 -Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1 Figure 1 Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations



# Figure 2 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station






Project: Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1 Figure 2 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station



# Figure 3 Location Plan for the Wetsep Treatment Tank





Project: Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1 Figure 3 Location Plan for the Wetsep Treatment Tank