

# 東業德勤測試顧問有限公司 ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com



# ATAL-DEGREMONT-CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE

CONTRACT NO. DC/2013/10 - DESIGN, BUILD AND OPERATE SAN WAI SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS – PHASE 1

> MONTHLY EM&A REPORT NO. 44

(01 DECEMBER - 31 DECEMBER 2020)

Prepared by:

LO, Ting Xi

Certified by:

LAU, Chi Leung

Environmental Team Leader

Issued Date: 11 January 2021

Report No.: ENA10013

This report shall not be reproduced unless with prior written approval from this laboratory.



Drainage Services Department Sewage Services Branch Harbour Area Treatment Scheme 5/F, Western Magistracy 2A Po Fu Lam Road Hong Kong Your reference:

Our reference:

HKDSD203/50/107036

Date:

20 January 2021

Attention: Mr Albert Wong

BY EMAIL & POST

(email: awong@dsd.gov.hk)

Dear Sirs

Agreement No. HATS 02/2016
Services for Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for
Contract No. DC/2013/10 – Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1
Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No.44 (December 2020)

We refer to emails of 11, 19 and 20 January 2021 from ETS-Testconsult Limited attaching the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 44 (December 2020).

We have no comments and hereby verify the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 44 (December 2020) in accordance with Clause 5.4 of the Environmental Permit no. EP-464/2013.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Ms Katherine Chu on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

Independent Environmental Checker

LYMA/CWKK/lsmt

cc AECOM – Mr Patrick Leung (email: patrick.leung@swstw-aecom.com) ETS-Testconsult Limited – Mr C L Lau (email: env@ets-testconsult.com)

**ANewR Consulting Limited** 

Unit 517, 5/F, Tower A, Regent Centre 63 Wo Yi Hop Road, Kwai Chung, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2618 2831 Fax: (852) 3007 8648

Email: info@anewr.com Web: www.anewr.com





ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	
1	INTRODUCTION	1-2
1.1	Basic Project Information	1
1.2	Project Organization	1-2
1.3	Construction Programme	2
1.4	Construction Works Undertaken During the Reporting Period	2
2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING	2-7
2.1	Monitoring Requirements	2
2.2	Monitoring Equipment	2-3
2.3	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	4
2.4	Action and Limit Levels	4
2.5	Results and Observations	5
2.6	Event and Action Plan	5-7
3	NOISE MONITORING	7-11
3.1	Monitoring Requirements	7
3.2	Monitoring Equipment	8
3.3	Monitoring Duration and Frequency	8
3.4	Monitoring Locations	8
3.5	Monitoring Methodology	8-9
3.6	Actions and Limit Level	9
3.7	Results and Observation	9
3.8	Event and Action Plan	9-11
4	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	11-16
4.1	Monitoring Requirements	11
4.2	Monitoring Methodology and Equipment	11
4.3	Monitoring Frequency	11-12
4.4	Quality Assurance (QA) / Quality Control (QC)	12
4.5	Action and Limit Levels	12-13
4.6	Result and Observation	13
4.7	Event and Action Plan	13-16
5	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	16-20
5.1	Site Inspection	16
5.2	Landscape and Visual Audit	16
5.3	Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	16-17
5.4	Discharge License and Results of Effluent Monitoring	17
5.5	Environmental Licenses and Permits	17
5.6	Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	17-19
5.7	Summary of Exceedance of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit	19
5.8	Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution	19-20
6	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	20-21
6.1	Construction Programme for the Coming Months	20
6.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	20-21
6.3	Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection Schedule for the Coming Month	21
7	CONCLUSION	21
7.1	Conclusion	21



ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Monitoring Parameters, Duration and Frequencies of Impact Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.3	Time Schedule of Impact Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.4	The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality
Table 2.5	Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP
Table 2.6	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality (Dust) during Construction Phase
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Time Schedule of Impact Noise Monitoring
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.4	Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring
Table 3.5	Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise
Table 4.1	Summary of Testing Procedures for water samples
Table 4.2	Monitoring Frequency of Water Quality Monitoring
Table 4.3	Time Schedule of Impact Water Quality Monitoring
Table 4.4	The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality
Table 4.5	Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality
Table 4.6	Event and Action Plan for Water Quality
Table 5.1	Summary of Observation of site inspections
Table 5.2	Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials
Table 5.3	Summary of Quantities of C&D Materials
Table 5.4	Summary of Environmental Complaints Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Location of Work Area
Appendix B	Project Organization Chart
Appendix C	Construction Programme
Appendix D1	Calibration Certificates for Impact Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Appendix D2	Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix D3	Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix E1	Calibration Certificates for Impact Noise Monitoring Equipment
Appendix E2	Impact Noise Monitoring Results
Appendix E3	Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data
Appendix F1	Calibration Certificates for Impact Water Quality Monitoring Equipments
Appendix F2	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix F3	Graphical Plots of Impact Water Quality Monitoring Data
Appendix G	Weather Condition
Appendix H	Environmental Site Inspection Checklists
Appendix I	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist
Appendix J	Waste Flow Table
Appendix K	Environmental Licenses and Permits
Appendix L	Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix M	Environmental Site Inspection Schedule
Appendix N	Laboratory Report for Discharge Water
FIGURES	

# **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 2	Water Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 3	Location Plan for the Wetsep Treatment Tank

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is prepared for Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Stage 1 (the Project) (hereafter referred to as "the Contract"). The Contract was awarded to ATAL-DEGREMONT-CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE (ADCJV) by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) and ETS-Testconsult Limited was appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) by ADCJV to implement the EM&A program in compliance with the EP and the EM&A Manuals.

According to the Section 25 of the Particular Specification (PS) and the Environmental Permit No. EP-464/2013, an EM&A programme should be implemented in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual of the approved EIA report (Registration No. AEIAR-072/2003). The scope of monitoring works includes air quality, construction noise, water quality and environmental site audit.

Baseline monitoring was completed in April 2017. Action and Limit Levels were established for air quality, noise and water quality parameters based on the baseline monitoring results.

This is 44th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the Contract which summaries findings of the EM&A works conducted during the reporting period from 01 December to 31 December 2020.

#### Site Activities

As informed by the Contractor, site activities were carried out in this reporting month:

- ABWF:
- Superstructure (RC);
- External Structural Works;
- Remaining Works on the Roof

### **Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress**

The monthly EM&A programme was undertaken in accordance with the EM&A Manual for this Contract. The summary of the monitoring activities in this reporting month is listed below:

- 24-hour TSP Monitoring: 6 Occasions at 2 designated locations
- 1-hour TSP Monitoring: 18 Occasions at 2 designated locations
- Noise Monitoring (Day-time): 6 Occasions at 2 designated locations
- Water Quality Monitoring: 14 Occasions at 1 designated location
- Weekly Site inspection: 5 Occasions



ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

## Air Quality Monitoring

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded for 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.

#### **Noise Monitoring**

No exceedance of Action and Limit levels for noise monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.

#### Water Quality Monitoring

According to the summary of water monitoring results, no exceedance of Action and Limit levels was recorded in this reporting month.

#### Weekly Site Inspections

In general, performance on environmental mitigation measures implemented was found to be satisfactory in this reporting month. The major findings observed during site inspections are presented in the **Section 5.0**.

#### **Complaint Log**

There was no complaint received in relation to the environmental impact during the reporting period.

#### Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

There were no notifications of summons or prosecutions received during the reporting period.

#### Reporting Change

There were no reporting changes during the reporting period.

#### **Future Key Issues**

The future key issues to be undertaken in the upcoming month are as follows:

- Chemical and waste management and precautions against leakage;
- Treatment of runoff and wastewater prior to discharge;
- Dust and Noise generated from construction activities; and
- Prevention of odour nuisance



ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

- 1.1.1. This Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is prepared for Contract No. DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works Stage 1 (the Project) (hereafter referred to as "the Contract"). The Contract was awarded to ATAL-DEGREMONT-CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE (ADCJV) by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) and ETS-Testconsult Limited was appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) by ADCJV to implement the EM&A program in compliance with the EP and the EM&A Manuals.
- **1.1.2.** The project involves expansion of the preliminary treatment works at San Wai STW from 164,000 m<sup>3</sup>/d to 200,000 m<sup>3</sup>/d Average Dry Weather Flow, upgrading the preliminary treatment level to CEPT and adding centralized disinfection. The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**.
- 1.1.3. According to the Section 25 of the Particular Specification (PS) and the Environmental Permit No. EP-464/2013, an EM&A programme should be implemented by an independent Environmental Team (ET) in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the EM&A Manual of the approved EIA report (Registration No. AEIAR-072/2003). These documents are available through the EIA Ordinance Register. The construction works of the Contract commenced on 16 May 2017.
- 1.1.4. The scope of monitoring works includes air quality, construction noise, water quality and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:
  - All monitoring parameters;
  - Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
  - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters:
  - Event/Action Plans;
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
  - Environmental requirements in contract documents.
- 1.1.5. As part of the project EM&A program, baseline monitoring was conducted from 21 March 2017 to 15 April 2017 to determine the ambient environmental conditions before the project commence any major construction works and it had been verified by IEC and endorsed by EPD.
- 1.1.6. This is the 44th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the Contract which summaries the audit findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 01 December to 31 December 2020.

# 1.2. Project Organization

**1.2.1.** The project organization structure and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in **Table 1.1**.



**Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel** 

Party	Position	Name of Key Staff	Tel. No.	E-mail
Supervising Officer (AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.)	Chief Resident Engineer	Mr. C. Y. Hung	5222 6560	cy.hung@swstw- aecom.com
Independent Environmental Checker (ANewR Consulting Limited)	Technical Director	Mr. Adi Lee	2618 2836	aymlee@anewr.com
Contractor (ATAL-DEGREMONT- CHINA HARBOUR JOINT VENTURE)	Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Tony Kwok	5535 0654	tony.kwok@c302.che chk.com
Environmental Team (ETS-Testconsult Ltd.)	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. C. L. Lau	2946 7791	env@ets- testconsult.com

#### 1.3. Construction Programme

**1.3.1.** A copy of the Contractor's construction programme is provided in **Appendix C**.

#### 1.4. Construction Works Undertaken During the Reporting Period

- **1.4.1.** A summary of the construction activities undertaken during this reporting period is shown below:
  - ABWF:
  - Superstructure (RC);
  - External Structural Works;
  - Remaining Works on the Roof

# 2. AIR QUALITY MONITORING

#### 2.1. Monitoring Requirements

**2.1.1.** 1-hr and 24-hr TSP levels were monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Two air monitoring locations were selected which was shown in **Figure 1**.

# 2.2. Monitoring Equipment

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

1-hour TSP levels were measured by using dust meter which are capable of producing comparable results as the by high volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts. The dust meter is compliant to the clause 1.2.5 of "General Technical Requirement of Environmental Monitoring" and clause 2.2 of "Generic Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual".

**Table 2.1** summarized the dust meter model used during the baseline monitoring. Copies of calibration certificates for dust meters were attached in **Appendix D1**.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
Equipment	Model						
Dust Meter	SIBATA LD-3B / SIBATA LD-5						
High volume sampler (HVS)	Greasby GMW (GS2310)						
Calibrator	Tisch TE-5025A						

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

# 1-hr air quality monitoring (Dust Meter)

#### Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's instruction Manual as follows:

- Press POWER to ON, check the battery indicator to ensure whether the power supply is enough to conduct the TSP monitoring;
- Press TIMER SET to Manual;
- Press START/STOP SWITCH to start the TSP monitoring;
- Press START/STOP SWITCH to stop the TSP monitoring after monitoring complete;
- Record measured COUNT directly from the dust meter and calculate the TSP level by using the
  equation of the certificate.

#### Maintenance & Calibration (QA/QC)

• Dust meter should be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at half-year intervals throughout all stages of air quality monitoring.

# 24-hr air quality monitoring (HVS)

#### Instrumentation

High volume sampler, as HVS, (Greasby GMWS2310) complete with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for both 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler is composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with that required by USEPA standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50).

#### Installation

The installation of HVS refers to the requirement stated in EM&A Manual.

#### Operation/Analytical Procedures

Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS are as below:

- Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 0.6m³/min and 1.7m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50. The flow rate was indicated on the flow rate chart.
- For TSP sampling, fiberglass filters (Whatman G653) were used.
- The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
- On sampling, the sampler was operated 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at designated air monitoring station.
- The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holder frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts.
   The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The programmable timer will be set for a sampling month of 1 hour or 24 hours. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number.).
- After sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealed plastic bag and sent to the laboratory for weighting. The elapsed time was also recoded.
- Before weighting, all filters were equilibrated in desiccators for 24 hour with the temperature of 25°C + 3°C and the relative humidity (RH) <50% +5%.

#### Maintenance & Calibration (QA/QC)

- HVS and their accessories should be maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVS should be calibrated at bi-monthly intervals.

#### Wind Data Monitoring

Wind data (wind speed and wind direction) were directly extracted from Hong Kong Observatory. All wind data during this reporting month are shown in **Appendix G**.

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

# 2.3. Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

**2.3.1. Table 2.2** summarizes the monitoring parameters, monitoring duration and frequencies of impact air quality monitoring.

Table 2.2 Monitoring Parameters, Duration and Frequencies of Impact Air Quality Monitoring

Parameter	Duration	Frequency
1-hr TSP	1 hr (0800-1900)	Three times per 6 days
24-hr TSP	24 hr	Once per 6 days

**2.3.2.** In this reporting period, a total of 18 occasions of 1-hour TSP monitoring and 6 events of 24-hour TSP monitoring were undertaken and the schedule was shown in **Table 2.3** 

Table 2.3 Time Schedule of Impact Air Quality Monitoring

- auto 210 11110 Contouring 11110 Contouring								
	December 2020							
Sunday Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Fric						Saturday		
		1	2	3	4	5		
6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
13	14 ▼	15	16	17	18	19		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		
27	28	29 ▼	30	31				

Remark: (▼) = Air quality monitoring carried out by ET

#### 2.4. Action and Limit Levels

The criteria for Action and Limit levels have been set out in the contract document of the Project as follows:

Table 2.4 The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

Parameters	Action	Limit
1-hour TSP Level	For baseline level ≤ 384µg/m³, Action level = (baseline level plus*1.3 + Limit Level) / 2	500 c/m³
(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	For baseline level >384µg/m³, Action level = Limit Level	500 μg/m <sup>3</sup>
24-hour TSP	For baseline level < 200μg/m³, Action level = (baseline level plus*1.3 + Limit Level) / 2	260 μg/m³
Level (μg/m³)	For baseline level ≥ 200µg/m³, Action level = Limit Level	260 μg/m

Following the criteria shown in **Table 2.4**, the Action and Limit levels for 1-hour TSP derived as illustrated in **Table 2.5**.

Table 2.5 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP

Air Quality	1-hr TSF	<sup>ο</sup> (μg/m³)	24-hr TSP (μg/m³)		
Monitoring Station	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	
ASR1a	309	500	260	260	
ASR2b	292	500	228	260	

Monthly EM&A Report No.44



#### 2.5. Results and Observations

# 2.5.1. 1-hour and 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring data of both 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring carried out in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix D2**. Graphical presentation of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix D3**. Wind data included wind speed and wind direction was extracted from Hong Kong Observatory during this reporting month and is presented in **Appendix G**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of 1-hr TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results was recorded during the reporting month.

#### 2.5.2. Observation

Generally, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results fluctuated well below the Action Level in this reporting period. The major dust source observed near the monitoring stations was mainly from vehicles passing by the container yards and general earth works. It can be concluded that the contractor implemented sufficient dust mitigation measures during this reporting month.

#### 2.6. Event and Action Plan

If the impact monitoring results exceed the Action and Limit Levels, the actions specified in **Table 2.6** shall be carried out.

Table 2.6 Event and Action Plan for Air Quality (Dust) during Construction Phase

EVENT		ACTION						
EVENI		ET		IEC		ER	C	ONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded for one sample	1. 2. 3.	Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measuremen t to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	2.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	1.	Notify Contractor.	2.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measuremen ts to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance	<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed	1. 2. 3.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> </ol>	Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.



ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

EVENT	ACTION						
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR			
	continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementatio n of remedial measures.					
Limit Level being exceeded for one sample	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER and EPD; 3. Repeat	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's	Confirm     receipt of     notification of     failure in     writing;     Notify	<ol> <li>Take         immediate         action to avoid         further         exceedance;</li> <li>Submit</li> </ol>			
	measuremen t to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess	working method; 2. Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures;	Contractor; 3. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods; 4. Discuss with	proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the			
	effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions;	6. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor	4. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on potential remedial actions;	agreed proposals;  4. Amend proposal if appropriate.			
	8. Keep EPD and ER informed of the results.	and advise the ER accordingly.	4. Ensure remedial actions properly implemented.				

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

EVENT	ACTION				
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR	
Limit Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedance s; 3. Repeat measuremen to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Investigate the causes of exceedance; 6. Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; 2. Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; 3. Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 4. Supervise the implementatio n of mitigation measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 4. Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on potential remedial actions; 5. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness; 6. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not resolved; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	

# 3. NOISE MONITORING

# 3.1. Monitoring Requirements

**3.1.1.** Noise levels  $(L_{eq}, L_{10} \text{ and } L_{90})$  were monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

# 3.2. Monitoring Equipment

Sound level meters used for impact noise monitoring were Type 1 sound level meters capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level reading including equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) and percentile sound pressure level ( $L_{x}$ ). They complied with International Electro technical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 3.1** summarized the noise monitoring equipment model used during the baseline monitoring. Copies of calibration certificates for noise meters and calibrators were attached in **Appendix E1**.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Noise Monitoring Equipment	Model
Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-52
Sound Level Calibrator	Rion NC-73 / Castle GA607

# 3.3. Monitoring Duration and Frequency

- **3.3.1.** Impact noise monitoring for the A-weighted levels  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  in 30-minute interval was recorded once per 6 days.
- **3.3.2.** In this reporting period, a total of 5 occasions of noise monitoring were undertaken and the schedule was shown in **Table 3.2**

Table 3.2 Time Schedule of Impact Noise Monitoring

December 2020						
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

Remark: ( ▼ ) = Noise monitoring carried out by ET

#### 3.4. Monitoring Locations

Two noise monitoring stations, NSR1a (晉榮貨櫃服務有限公司) and NSR2b (永康貨櫃服務有限公司) which shown in **Figure 1**, were required to perform impact noise monitoring during this reporting period.

The impact noise monitoring programme was summarized in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Stations

Noise monitoring station	Type of Measurement
NSR1a	Façade
NSR2b	Façade

#### 3.5. Monitoring Methodology

#### Instrumentation

Integrating Sound Level Meters were employed for noise monitoring.

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

#### Operation/Analysis Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2m above the ground.
- For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:

Frequency weighting: ATime weighting: FastTime measurement: 30 mins

- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000HZ. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L<sub>eq</sub>, L<sub>10</sub> and L<sub>90</sub> were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- 3dB(A) correction had been added to the results if noise measurements were free-field.
- Noise monitoring would be cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, storm, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind gusts exceeding 10m/s.

#### Maintenance and Calibration (QA/QC)

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator are cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The meters are sent to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory or equivalent to check and calibrated at yearly intervals.

#### 3.6. Actions and Limit Level

The Action and Limit Levels were established in Table 3.4 for noise monitoring.

Table 3.4 Action and Limit Levels for Noise Monitoring

Time Period	Action	Limit
0700 –1900 hrs normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)*

Remark: (\*)70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) for schools during school examination period

#### 3.7. Results and Observations

#### **3.7.1. Results**

Monitoring data of noise monitoring carried out in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix E2**. Graphical presentation of noise monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix E3**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of noise monitoring results was recorded during the reporting month.

#### 3.7.2. Observation

The noise monitoring data were found to be lower than the limit level. The major noise source during the monitoring event was the vehicles passing through the container yard entrance and the general earth works inside the construction site.

#### 3.8. Event and Action Plan

If the impact monitoring results exceed the Action and Limit Levels, the actions specified in **Table 3.5** shall be carried out.



Table 3.5 Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

	ent/Action Flan for C	ACT	ION	
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level	1. Notify IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check the effectiveness of mitigation measures.	1. Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementati on of remedial measures.	<ol> <li>Confirm         receipt of         notification in         writing;</li> <li>Notify         Contractor;</li> <li>Require         Contractor to         propose         remedial         measures for         the analyzed         noise         problem;</li> <li>Ensure         mitigation         measures are         properly         implemented.</li> </ol>	Submit noise mitigation proposal to IEC;     Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit level	1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD & Contractor; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances;	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;  2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly;  3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4. Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; 5. If exceedances continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor	1. Undertake immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER, until the

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

7. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	exceedance is abated.
---	--	--------------------------

# 4. WATER QUALITY MONITORING

# 4.1. Monitoring Requirements

**4.1.1.** Water quality was monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual at one alternative water quality monitoring station, R1b (at Tin Shui Wai Nullah) which shown in **Figure 2**.

#### 4.2. Monitoring Methodology and Equipment

#### For In-situ Water Quality Measurement

#### Dissolved Oxygen (DO) measuring equipment

A portable, weatherproof DO-measuring meter with built-in salinity compensation (e.g. YSI 85, YSI Pro 2030 or equivalent) was used in the baseline monitoring. It can be capable for measuring dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-20 mg/L and 0-200 % saturation.

# For Water Sampling and Sample Analysis

#### Water Sampler

A water sampler comprising a metal bucket was lowered into the water body.

#### **Water Container**

The sample container, made by high-density polythene, was rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample was then transferred to the container, labelled with a unique sample ID and sealed with a screw cap. The water samples were stored in a cool box maintained at 4°C. The water samples will then be delivered to Environmental Laboratory of ETS-Testconsult Ltd (HOKLAS Registration No. 022) on the same day for analysis according to the Standard Method APHA 19ed.

The summary of testing methods of testing parameters required was shown in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1 Summary of Testing Procedures for water samples

Parameters	Testing Procedure	Detection Limit
Turbidity	Dissolved Oxygen Meter Measurement	0.1 NTU
Dissolved Oxygen	In house method refer to APHA 19 <sup>th</sup> ed 2130 B	0.01 mg/L
Total suspended solids	In house method refer to APHA 19 <sup>th</sup> ed 2540D	0.1 mg/L

#### 4.3. Monitoring Frequency

**4.3.1.** Water samples were collected 3 times per week in 1 monitoring station. Three parameters including turbidity, dissolved oxygen and total suspended solids would be tested.

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

Table 4.2 **Monitoring Frequency of Water Quality Monitoring** 

Parameters	Frequency	No. of sampling stations
Turbidity		
Dissolved Oxygen	3 times per week	1 station
Total suspended solids		

In this reporting period, a total of 14 occasions of water quality monitoring were undertaken and the 4.3.2. schedule was shown in Table 4.3

Table 4.3 Time Schedule of Impact Water Quality Monitoring

	December 2020					
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31	•	

Remark:

( ▼ ) = Water quality monitoring carried out by ET

#### 4.4. Quality Assurance (QA) / Quality Control (QC)

For in-situ measurements, at each measurement / sampling, two consecutive measurements of turbidity and dissolved oxygen (DO) were taken. The probes were retrieved out of the water after the first measurement and then re-deployed for the second measurement. If the difference between the first and second measurement is greater than 25% the reading will be discarded and the measurements will be repeated.

For laboratory analysis of water, test method of all test parameters and the QA/QC samples were carried out in accordance with the requirements of HOKLAS.

For our QA/QC procedure, one QC sample, one duplicate sample and one sample spike of every batch of 20 samples were analyzed.

The calibration certifications of water quality monitoring equipments were shown in Appendix F1.

#### 4.5. **Actions and Limit Levels**

The criteria for Action and Limit Levels have been set out as follows:

Table 4.4 The criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level
Turbidity	NTU	95%ile of baseline data	99%ile of baseline data
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	5%ile of baseline data	1%ile of baseline data
Suspended solids	mg/L	95%ile of baseline data	99%ile of baseline data

Following the criteria shown in Table 4.4, the Action and Limit Levels for monitoring parameters derived as illustrated in Table 4.5.



Table 4.5 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	Unit	Action	Limit
Turbidity	NTU	19.8	20.5
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	1.84	1.81
Suspended Solid	mg/L	17.0	17.8

#### 4.6. Result and Observation

#### 4.6.1. Result

Monitoring data of water quality monitoring carried out in this reporting month are summarized in **Appendix F2**. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix F3**.

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level of water quality monitoring results was recorded during the reporting month.

#### 4.6.2. Observation

Generally, the turbidity and suspended solids were found to be lower than the action level. Besides, all results of dissolved oxygen measured in this reporting month were higher than the action level.

#### 4.7. Event and Action Plan

If the impact monitoring results of the individual parameters exceed the Action and Limit Levels, the actions specified in **Table 4.6** shall be carried out.

Table 4.6 Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

Table 4.6 E	vent and Action Pla	n for Water Quality		
Event		Actio	on	
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC and Contractor; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor:	ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; 2. Review 2 proposals on mitigation measures submitted by	1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; 2. make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;  2. Rectify unacceptable practice;  3. Check all plant and equipment  4. Consider changes of working methods;  5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER;  6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
	Contractor; 6. Repeat			



		Act	tion	
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
	measurement on next day of exceedance.			
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol> <li>Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;     Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;     Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;     Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol> <li>Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>Inform IEC, Contract</li> </ol>	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;      Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;     Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;     Rectify unacceptable practice;     Check all plant and equipment;



F		Act	tion	
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
	or and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.	the ER accordingly; 3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	4. Consider changes of working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; 6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;     Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures;  5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or	1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;  2. Rectify unacceptable practice;  3. Check all plant and equipment;  4. Consider changes of working methods;  5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;  6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures;  7. As directed by

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

Event	Action							
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor				
	implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days.		to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit Level.	the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.				

#### 5. ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

#### 5.1. Site Inspection

- **5.1.1.** Site Inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control mitigation measures for the project. During the reporting period, site inspections were carried out on 04, 11, 18, 24 & 31 December 2020.
- **5.1.2.** Observations for the site inspections within this reporting period are summarized in **Table 5.1** and inspection checklists are attached in **Appendix H**.

Table 5.1 Summary of observation of site inspections

Date	Observations/ Reminders	Follow-up Action	Closed Date		
04 December 2020	C&D materials were discarded at UV.	<ol> <li>C&amp;D materials were cleared at UV.</li> </ol>	11 December 2020		
11 December 2020	1. General refuse were observed near EB1.	General refuse were cleared near EB1.	18 December 2020		
18 December 2020					
24 December 2020					
31 December 2020					

# 5.2. Landscape and Visual Audit

- **5.2.1.** Landscape and visual audits were undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period by a competent landscape architect. During the reporting period, audits were carried out on 11 and 31 December 2020.
- **5.2.2.** Observations and reminders were summarized in the landscape and visual impact assessment checklists which are attached in **Appendix I**.

# 5.3. Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

- **5.3.1.** All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:
  - Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
  - Chemical Waste;
  - General Refuse; and
  - Excavated Soil

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

5.3.2. The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in Table 5.2 and Table5.3 and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in Appendix J. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5.2 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	
Reused in other Projects (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	
Disposed as Public Fill (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	712	Tuen Mun 38 Fill Bank

Table 5.3 Summary of Quantities of C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Recycled Metal (kg)	0	
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg)	0	
Recycled Plastic (kg)	0	
Chemical Wastes (kg)	0	
General Refuses (m <sup>3</sup> )	16,610	North East New Territories (NENT) Landfill

**5.3.3.** To control over the site performance on waste management, the Contractor shall ensure that all solid and liquid waste management works are in full compliance with the relevant license/permit requirements, such as the effluent discharge license and the chemical waste producer registration. The Contractor is also reminded to implement the recommended environmental mitigation measures according to the EM&A Manual based on actual site conditions.

# 5.4. Discharge License and Results of Effluent Monitoring

- **5.4.1.** Effluent quality was monitored in the reporting month in accordance with the EM&A Manual at the discharge point. A discharge license under Water Pollution Control Ordinance was obtained by the Contractor upon commencement of the Project. Self-monitoring would be performed as per the requirement under the discharge license. According to the EM&A Manual, pH, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solid are required to be analysed at least once every two week.
- **5.4.2.** Effluent water samples were scheduled to be collected on 08 and 22 December 2020. The required testing parameter including pH, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solid were carried out in a HOKLAS laboratory. The methods of chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solid determination follow APHA 19ed 5220 B and APHA 19ed 2540 D respectively. The laboratory reports for the discharge water are presented in **Appendix N**.
- **5.4.3.** For effluent quality monitoring as per the discharge license requirement, the results complied with the discharge license requirement.

#### 5.5. Environmental Licenses and Permits

**5.5.1.** The valid environmental licenses and permits during the reporting period are summarized in **Appendix K**.

### 5.6. Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

**5.6.1.** The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized as following:

# **Dust Mitigation Measures**

 The working area for the uprooting of trees, shrubs, or vegetation or for the removal of boulders, poles, pillars or temporary or permanent structures should be sprayed with water or a dust

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

- suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;
- b. All demolished items (including trees, shrubs, vegetation, boulders, poles, pillars, structures, debris, rubbish and other items arising from site clearance) that may dislodge dust particles should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides within a day of demolition;
- c. Vehicle washing facilities including a high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point;
- d. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores;
- e. Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service and or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit;
- f. Every main haul road (i.e. any course inside a construction site having a vehicle passing rate of higher than 4 in any 30 minutes) should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials, hardcores or metal plates, and kept clear of dusty materials; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire road surface wet:
- g. The portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;
- h. Immediately before leaving a construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels;
- Where a vehicle leaving a construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;
- j. The working area of any excavation or earth moving operation should be sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;
- Exposed earth shall be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies;
- I. Any stockpile of dusty material should be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire surface wet.

# **Noise Mitigation Measures**

- a. Quiet plants should be used in order to reduce the noise impacts to protect the nearby NSRs.
- b. Temporary and Movable Noise Barriers should be used in order to reduce the noise impact to the surrounding sensitive receivers
- c. The contractor should site noisy equipment and activities as far from sensitive receivers as practical.
- d. Idle equipment should be turned off or throttled down.
- e. Construction activities should be planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided
- f. Construction plant should be properly maintained and operated.

#### **Water Quality Mitigation Measures**

- Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets before a rainstorm occurs:
- b. The exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission;
- c. The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from the drainage channel so as to avoid releasing materials into the channel;
- d. Wheel washing facilities should be provided at site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles;
- e. Provision of site drainage systems and treatment facilities would be required to minimize the water pollution;
- f. A discharge license needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site.
- g. The treated effluent quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge license;



ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

- h. Provision of chemical toilets is required to collect sewage from workforce. The chemical toilets should be cleaned on a regular basis;
- i. A licensed waste collector should be employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis;
- Illegal disposal of chemicals should be strictly prohibited;
- k. Registration as a chemical waste producer is required if chemical wastes are generated and need to be disposed of. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes;
- Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance should be used as a guideline for handing chemical wastes;
- The impact from accidental spillage of chemicals can be effectively controlled through good management practices.
- n. Waste water (generated from the clean-up of a spillage of grit from a skip onto the ground in front of SSSB on 9 November 2020) and flowed into the adjacent stormwater system was stopped and removed before reaching the site boundary.

#### **Waste Management Mitigation Measures**

- a. Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;
- b. To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the workforce;
- c. Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;
- d. Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals be separated for re-use and/or recycling and inert waste as fill material to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;
- e. Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and
- f. Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.
- **5.6.2.** An updated summary of the Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) is presented in **Appendix L**. Most of the necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly. Any deficiencies were noted in the remarks of the schedule.

#### 5.7. Summary of Exceedance of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit

- **5.7.1.** There was no Action and Limit level exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hr TSP monitoring was recorded at station ASR1a and ASR2b during this reporting month.
- **5.7.2.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for noise recorded at station NSR1a and NSR2b during the reporting period.
- **5.7.3.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for water quality monitoring recorded at station R1b during the reporting period.

#### 5.8. Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

- **5.8.1.** There were no complaints received during the reporting period.
- **5.8.2.** There were no notifications of summons or prosecutions received during the reporting period.
- **5.8.3.** A summary of environmental complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions was given in **Table 5.4**.

ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

Table 5.4 Summary of Environmental Complaints Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

	Cumulative Statistic						
Reporting Period	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions				
The reporting period	0	0	0				
From commencement date of construction to end of reporting month	0	0	0				

#### 6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

# 6.1. Construction Programme for the Coming Months

- **6.1.1.** As informed by the Contractor, the major construction activities for February 2021 are included:
  - Superstructure (RC);
  - External Structural Works;
  - Retaining wall construction and the associated backfilling along the site boundary

#### 6.2. Key Issues for the Coming Month

#### Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Chemical and waste management and precautions against leakage:
- Treatment of runoff and wastewater prior to discharge;
- Dust and Noise generated from construction activities; and
- Prevention of odour nuisance

#### Mitigation measures to be required in the coming month:

#### Air Quality Impact

- To provide adequate water spraying in the worksite;
- To operate and maintain automatic wheel washing facilities properly;
- To provide road sweeping site entrance and public roads outside site entrance;
- To ensure implementation of the dust mitigation measures for the site activities;
- To maintain proper operation of the mist spraying system;
- To provide proper maintenance for vehicles and machines on site; and
   To investigate any other dust sources around the air sensitive receivers

#### Noise

- To switch off equipment if not in use;
- To operate silent equipment;
- To identify the noise sources inside and outside of the site; and
- To follow up any exceedance caused by the construction work inside the worksite

#### Water Quality Impact

- To ensure the drainage system was maintained properly;
- To maintain the existing silt trap to ensure good efficiency of wheel wash facilities;
- To avoid stagnant water in the drip trays due to rainfall;
- To avoid any stagnant water or provide insecticide to avoid mosquito breeding

#### Chemical and Waste Management

- To remove waste from the site regularly;
- To properly store and handle chemical wastes on site;
- To implement trip ticket system for all the imported public fill and general refuse disposal;
- To maintain proper housekeeping;



ENA10013 Monthly EM&A Report No.44

• To identify C&D material by packaging, labelling, storage, transportation and disposal in accordance with statutory regulations.

# 6.3. Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection Schedule for the Coming Month

**6.3.1.** The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring and site inspection schedule for February 2021 is provided in **Appendix M**.

#### 7. CONCLUSION

#### 7.1. Conclusions

- **7.1.1.** There was no Action and Limit level exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hr TSP monitoring was recorded at station ASR1a and ASR2b during this reporting month.
- **7.1.2.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for noise recorded at station NSR1a and NSR2b during the reporting period.
- **7.1.3.** There was no Action and Limit Level exceedance for water quality monitoring recorded at station R1b during the reporting period.
- **7.1.4.** There were no complaints received during the reporting period.
- **7.1.5.** There were no notifications of summons or prosecutions received during the reporting period.


- END OF REPORT -



# Appendix A

**Location of Works Areas** 








# Appendix B

**Project Organization Chart** 








# Appendix C

**Construction Programme** 







DATA DATE: 30-Nov	v-20	LAYOUT: SW Project PHase 1 TP 6 (3M30Nov20)C	ODE					PAGE 2 OF :
Activity ID Activity Name	At Completion Start		20	120	2021			
	Duration	Duration		Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Underground	l Utilities Along EVA	450 29-Sep-19 A	21-Deo-20		Unde	rground Utilities Along E\	MA.	
ZONE 5		450 29-Sep-19 A	21-Dec-20		ZONE	5	İ	
Underground	d Utilities Along EVA	450 29-Sep-19 A	21-Dec-20		Unde	rground Utilities Along E\	44	
UUZ5030	8x150 ELV / 14x150 LV / 2x107 Telecom / Remaining Foulwater/Stormwater Pipe	443 29-Sep-19 A	14-Dec-20			/ 14x150 LV / 2x107 Tel		water/Stormwater Pipe
UUZ5035	Backfilling up to Elevation +20.2 Mpd	7 15-Deo-20	21-Dec-20		Beckf	illing up to Elevation +20		i
Emergency V	/ehicle Access Road	166 16-Aug-20 A	28-Jan-21				Emergency Vehicle Ac	cess Road
ZONE 3		129 01-Sep-20 A	07-Jan-21			ZONE 3	!	!
Carriageway	/ & Footway	129 01-Sep-20 A	07-Jan-21	i		Carriageway & F	otway	İ
ZONE 5		166 16-Aug-20 A	28-Jan-21			<del></del>	ZONE 5	
Carriageway	/ & Footway	166 16-Aug-20 A	28-Jan-21				Carriageway & Footwa	y
Road Marking	9	112 21-Aug-20 A	10-Dec-20		Road Marking	İ	İ	İ
Traffic Sign	& Road Marking	112 21-Aug-20 A	10-Dec-20		Treffic Sign &	Road Marking		
Landscape W	Vorks	108 23-Nov-20 A	10-Mar-21	<u> </u>		!	!	Landscape
Landscape W	Vorka	108 23-Nov-20 A	10-Mar-21	<del>-  </del>		i	i	Landscape
	Norks at Grade	86 15-Deo-20	10-Mar-21				<del>!</del>	Landscape
Green Roof		103 23-Nov-20 A	05-Mar-21	<u> </u>		!	!	Green Roof



ATA DATE: 30-Nov-20 LAYOUT: SW Projet (tby ID Activity Name				t PHase 1 TP 6 (3M30Nov20)EM						PAGE 1 0
/ ID Activity Na	me		At Completion S Duration	tart	Finish	Nov	2020 Dec	Jan	2021 Feb	Mar
WSTW Phase 1 - 3 Mont	h Rolling Programme (Dec 2020 to Fel	h 2021)	629 1	7-Jul-19 A	06-Apr-21	1401	Dec	- Car	PED	ma .
&M Works	in realing i regionnie (Dee 2020 to rei	220211	502 1	7-Jul-19 A	27-Feb-21					E&M Works
				9-Feb-20 A	27-Feb-21					Statutory Works
Statutory Works				9-Sep-19 A	30-Nov-20		Progurement & Manu	i facture		
Procurement & Manufacture	Steer on Brilding (BC) and brigging a Class	W-t D D (1014)		9-Sep-19 A	30-Nov-20			Waste Storage Building	(DG) and Imigation 8	Cleansing Water
	Storage Building (DG) and Irrigation & Clean	naing water Pump Room (ICW)		8-Sep-19 A	30-Nov-20		Gatehouse (GH)			
Gatehouse (GH)				7-Jul-19 A	26-Feb-21		, , ,	į	<u> </u>	Installation
nstallation				0-Nov-20	15-Dec-20		U/Ulated	doe Key Date		
U/U Interface Key Date				0-Nov-20 0-Jun-20 A	26-Feb-21			1, 2		Site Wide
Site Wide				0-Sep-19 A	30-Nov-20		Administration Buildin	i & Maintenance Works	Ann (AR & WS)	
	laintenance Workshop (AB & WS)						Building Services - In	7	OF (AD O HO)	<del> </del>
Building Services - Installa	, ,			0-Sep-19 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Or			
Building Services - Operat	on lest			4-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		Chemical Building (C	!		
Chemical Building (CB)				6-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Keydate			
Keydate				0-Nov-20	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Or	anation Test		
Building Services - Operat				6-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		! -	Primary Treatment (CE	***************************************	<u> </u>
Chemically Enhanced Prim	ary Treatment (CEPT)			7-Jul-19 A	30-Nov-20		Keydate	is a many ireasment (CC	")	
Keydate				8-Sep-19 A	30-Nov-20			No.		
Building Service - Installat	on			7-Jul-19 A	30-Nov-20		Building Service - Ins	aniacion		ļ
Densadeg No.1-5				2-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20 30-Nov-20		Densadeg No.1-5 Pump Room No.1-3			
Pump Room No.1-3 Inlet and Putlet Channel				7-Jul-19 A 0-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		Inlet and Putlet Chan			
Individual Inspection				9-Dec-19 A	30-Nov-20		Individual Inspection			ļ
Building Services - Operat	on Tost			4-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Op	eration Test		
Deodorization Facilities No				6-Mar-20 A	30-Nov-20		Deodorization Faciliti	es No. 2 (DO 2)		
Zone 1 - DO 2	2 (00 2)			6-Mar-20 A	30-Nov-20		Zone 1 - DO 2			į
Building Services - Installation				6-Mar-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - In	stallation	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
Gatehouse (GH)				1-Sep-20 A	10-Feb-21				Gatehouse	(GH)
Keydate				1-Sep-20 A	15-Dec-20		Keydate	!		
Zone 1 - GH				0-Sep-20 A	29-Dec-20			Zone 1 - GH		
Electrical Equipment - Installation	PD .			2-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		Electrical Equipment	Installation		
Building Services - Installation				0-Sep-20 A				Building Services - Inst	llation	İ
External Utilities Handed-o	ver			3-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		External Utilities Hand	ded-over		
Physical Inspection			40 3	0-Nov-20	08-Jan-21			Physical Inspec	ion	
Functional Test			73 3	0-Nov-20	10-Feb-21		-	<del> </del>	Functional	et
Irrigation & Cleansing Wate	r Pump Room (ICW)		117 0	5-Aug-20 A	30-Nov-20		Irrigation & Cleansing	Water Pump Room (IC)	<b>v</b> )	
Zone 1 - ICW	,,		117 0	5-Aug-20 A	30-Nov-20		Zone 1 - ICW	<b> </b>		<del> </del>
Building Services - Installation			117 0	5-Aug-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - In	stellation		İ

Remaining Work Critical Remaining Work Milestone



CONTRACT NO. DC/2013/10 DESIGN, BUILD & OPERATE SAN WAI SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS - PHASE 1 THREE (3) MONTHS ROLLING PROGRAMME (30 Nov 2020) E&M WORKS

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
30-Nov-20	Three (3) Months Rolling Programme		



DATA DATE: 30-Nov-20		LAYOUT: SW Project P	Hase 1 TP 6 (3M30Nov20)EM						PAGE 2 OF
ctvity ID	Activity Name		At Completion Start Duration	Finish		020		2021	
Intel West Bestin	inner Territoria III-ita e Iniat Dunania e etalia (DDAISIDE)		254 21-Mar-20 A	30-Nov-20	Nov	Dec Inlet Work, Preliminan	Jan Treatment Units & Inle	Feb Pumping Station (PT	Mar W&IPS)
	inary Treatment Units & Inlet Pumping Station (PTW&IPS)		254 21-Mar-20 A	30-Nov-20		Individual Inspection		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
Individual Inspec				30-Nov-20		Building Services - Op	erational Test	!	!
	s - Operational Test		128 03-Jun-20 A			Re-use Water Building	:	<u> </u>	
Re-use Water Buil	· ,		179 04-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		E8M Zone 1 - Re-use			
E&M Zone 1 - Re-			179 04-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		!	!	İ	!
Building Services - I			179 04-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Ins	!		
	s - Operation Test		120 15-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Op	•		
Sludge Dewaterin	g Building (SDB)		61 30-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		Sludge Dewatering Bu		<u>i</u>	<u>j</u>
Building Services	e - Installation		61 30-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Ins	tallation		
Roof			61 30-Sep-20 A	30-Nov-20		Roof			
Solid Handling Bu	rilding (SHB)		221 23-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20		Solid Handling Buildin	(SHB)	i	į
Individual Inspec	tion		221 23-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20		Individual Inspection			
Sludge Skip Stora	ge Building (SSSB)		230 14-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20		Sludge Skip Storage B	uilding (SSSB)		
Zone 1 - SSSB			224 20-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20		Zone 1 - SSSB		Ī	i
Building Services - I	Installation		224 20-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Ins	allation		
External Utilities	Handed-over		230 14-Apr-20 A	30-Nov-20		External Utilities Hand	ed-over		
UV Disinfection Fa	acility (UV)		467 18-Nov-19 A	26-Feb-21				<del></del>	UV Disinfection Fa
Keydate			0 30-Nov-20	30-Nov-20		Keydate			
Zone 1 - Roof			170 10-Sep-20 A	26-Feb-21		<u> </u>		<del></del>	Zone 1 - Roof
Electrical Equipmen	t - Installation		170 10-Sep-20 A	26-Feb-21					Electrical Equipmen
Zone 2 - Electrica			378 18-Nov-19 A	30-Nov-20		Zone 2 - Electrical Bui	ding	!	
Building Services - I	•		378 18-Nov-19 A	30-Nov-20		Building Services - Ins	tallation	İ	İ
Zone 3 - UV Inlet			173 10-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Zone 3 - UV Inlet Cha	nel		
Mechanical Equipme			173 10-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Mechanical Equipmen	t - Installation	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
Individual Inspec			179 04-Jun-20 A	30-Nov-20		Individual Inspection			İ
	sioning Phase-roadmap		212 06-Sep-20 A						<u> </u>
			101 16-Nov-20 A	06-Apr-21					<u> </u>
Testing & Commis			101 16-Nov-20 A	06-Apr-21					į
	General Requirements								Update EM&
RMA-APP RRT-PREP	Update EM&A Plan & Approved EPD Draft of Final Test Report - Preparation		80 16-Nov-20 A	05-Mar-21					i opase Linas
			28 25-Feb-21*	05-Apr-21 05-Mar-21					Testing & Cor
Testing & Commis			181 06-Sep-20 A	24-Dec-20			n Streamline Keydates	!	
Main Streamline K			0 24-Dec-20			:	of Phase 4		i
RD9	End of Phase 4		0 424 05 0 20 4	24-Dec-20 04-Jan-21		. En	Phase 4 - Operation	nel Commissionies	
	onal Commissioning		121 06-Sep-20 A				Phase 4 Prelimina	1	!
Phase 4 Prelimin	•		121 06-Sep-20 A						Stee to
	4 Critical Pre-Requisites - Step 1a		116 06-Sep-20 A	30-Dec-20			Validation of Phase 4 Construction & Erection		
RC703-W20	ection Completion - Step 1a		116 06-Sep-20 A	30-Dec-20		i .	Completion - Hems Ty	i	Ī
	Completion - Items Type D tion Phase 2 & 3 Tects - Step 1a		116 06-Sep-20 A			Control & Automa	tion Phase 2 & 3 Tests	Sten 1a	<u> </u>
RC4-AUTO31	· ·		90 07-Sep-20 A 90 07-Sep-20 A	05-Dec-20 05-Dec-20		Troubleshooting 8			I OL BUILTI
	Troubleshooting & Tuning - Non Critical Items (Offsite: CONTROL BUILT) 4 Critical Pre-Requisites - Step 1b		90 07-Sep-20 A 116 06-Sep-20 A				Validation of Phase 4		
	ection Completion - Step 1b		116 06-Sep-20 A				Construction & Erection		
RC703-W40	Completion - Items Type D		116 06-Sep-20 A			İ	Completion - Items Ty	pe D	!
	tion Phase 2 & 3 Tests - Step 1b		90 07-Sep-20 A			0.1.18.4.1	ion Phase 2 & 3 Tests		<del></del>



ATA DATE: 30-Nov-20	LAYOUT: SW Project PHase 1 TP 6 (3M30Nov20)EM					PAGE 3 0
vity ID Activity Name	At Completion Start	Finish	2020		2021	
			Nov Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
RC4-AUTO82 Troubleshooting & Tuning - Non Critical Items (Offsite: CONTROL BUILT)	90 07-Sep-20 A	05-Dec-20	Iroubleshoo	ting 8 Tuning - Non Critical		
Validation of Phase 4 Critical Pre-Requisites - Step 2	116 06-Sep-20 A	30-Dec-20	i	i	Critical Pre-Requisites	
Construction & Erection Completion - Step 2	116 06-Sep-20 A	30-Dec-20			ion Completion - Step 2	2
RC703-W60 Completion - Items Type D	116 06-Sep-20 A	30-Dec-20		Completion - Items T		<u>j</u>
Control & Automation Phase 2 & 3 Tests - Step 2	90 15-Sep-20 A	13-Dec-20	Contr			
RC4-AUTO106 Troubleshooting & Tuning - Non Critical Items (Offsite: CONTROL BUILT)	90 15-Sep-20 A	13-Dec-20	Troub	eshooting & Tuning - Non C		
Validation of Phase 4 Critical Pre-Requisites - Step 3	120 07-Sep-20 A	04-Jan-21		i	se 4 Critical Pre-Requi	i ·
Construction & Erection Completion - Step 3	120 07-Sep-20 A	04-Jan-21		Construction & E	rection Completion - St	mip 3
RC703-W80 Completion - Items Type D	120 07-Sep-20 A	04-Jan-21	i	Completion - Iten		j
Control & Automation Phase 2 & 3 Tests - Step 3	90 07-Sep-20 A	05-Dec-20	Control & A			
RC4-AUTO07 Troubleshooting & Tuning - Non Critical Items (Offsite: CONTROL BUILT)	90 07-Sep-20 A	05-Dec-20	Troubleshoo	ting & Tuning - Non Critical	Items (Offsite: CONTR	(DL BUILT)
Step 1b (PTW with IPS)	6 30-Nov-20	05-Dec-20	Step 1b (PT	W with IPS)		
Inlet Pumping Station - Operation nal Commissioning	6 30-Nov-20	05-Dec-20	Inlet Pumpir	g Station - Operationnal Co	mmissioning	
RC4-100-004-04 IPS - Settings Adjustment (Level Control Mode)	6 30-Nov-20	05-Dec-20	PS - Setting	s Adjustment (Level Control	Mode)	
Step 2: Whole Plant w/o UV	8 17-Dec-20	24-Dec-20		Step 2: Whole Plant w/o l	N	
CEPT & CB & SDB & DO2 & RW - Operationnal Commissioning	8 17-Dec-20	24-Dec-20	ļ <del>-</del>	CEPT & CB & SDB & DO	2 & RW - Operationnal	Commissioning
RC4-PC-CEPT* Operationnal Commissioning - Recirculation, Flow Mode, Misc	8 17-Dec-20*	24-Dec-20		Operationnal Commission	ing - Recirculation, Flo	w Mode, Misc
Phase 5 - Optimisation & Proving	180 07-Sep-20 A	05-Mar-21		+	<del>†                                      </del>	Phase 5 - (
Phase 5 - Optimisation & Proving	180 07-Sep-20 A	05-Mar-21		<del>-                                    </del>	<del>†                                      </del>	Phase 5 - (
RCS-300-002 Proving Period	180 07-Sep-20 A	05-Mar-21	<u> </u>		1	Proving Pe
12-Hour Process Peak Flow & Hydraulic Capacity Test	10 07-Dec-20	16-Dec-20	!	tour Process Peak Flow & h	4	
RC5-200-000 Preparation for Hydraulic & Process Peak Flow test	6 07-Dec-20*	13-Dec-20		ation for Hydraulic & Proces	s Peak Flow test	į
RCS-200-001 Hydraulic capacity Test	3 14-Dec-20	16-Dec-20		roulic capacity Test		
RCS-200-002 12h Process Peak Flow test	3 14-Dec-20	16-Dec-20	121	Process Peak Flow test	!	!



# Appendix D1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Air Quality Monitoring Equipment



# Summary of Calibration Certificates for TSP Monitoring Equipment used in this reporting month

Equip No.	Model	Serial No.	Calib Date	Due Date
ET/EA/001/06	Sibata LD-3B	014746	16/10/2020	15/04/2021
ET/EA/001/08	Sibata LD-3B	135261	18/09/2020	17/03/2021
ET/EA/001/09	Sibata LD-3B	155331	14/10/2020	13/04/2021
ET/EA/001/11	Sibata LD-3B	255863	14/11/2020	13/05/2021
ET/EA/001/13	Sibata LD-5	4Y1613	19/06/2020	18/12/2020
E1/EA/001/13	Sibala LD-5	411013	18/12/2020	17/06/2021
ET/EA/003/12	Greasby GMW	9998	28/10/2020	27/12/2020
E1/EA/003/12	(GS2310)	3990	23/12/2020	23/12/2020
ET/EA/003/25	Greasby GMW	1934	28/10/2020	27/12/2020
E1/EA/003/25	(GS2310)	1934	23/12/2020	23/12/2020



Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

### **Internal Calibration Report**

#### of **Dust Monitor**

Manufacturer :

SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

16 October 2020

Serial No.

014746 (ET/EA/001/06)

Calibration Due Date:

15 April 2021


Method

Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	36	85	181			
TSP (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	60	135	293			
High Volume Air Sampler, Serail No.: 1177	Calibratic	Calibration Due Date: 29 Nov 2020				



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after

three-point calibration.

P

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

LI, Lok Yin

(Technician)

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



Veristrong Industrial Centre 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street,

T +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

#### **Internal Calibration Report** of

**Dust Monitor** 

Manufacturer : SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

18 September 2020

Serial No.

135261 (ET/EA/001/08)

Calibration Due Date

17 March 2021


Method

: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	34	82	187
TSP (ug/m³)	47	121	276
High Volume Air Sampler, Serail No.:11	77 Calibratio	on Due Date: 29 Ser	otember 2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990

after three-pointcalibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

Li Lok Yin

(Technician)

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

#### **Internal Calibration Report**

#### of <u>Dust Monitor</u>

Manufacturer :

SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

14 October 2020

Serial No.

155331 (ET/EA/001/09)

Calibration Due Date

13 April 2021


Method

: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	33	88	199	
TSP (ug/m³)	40	127	271	
High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.: 1177	Calibration Due Date: 29 Nov 2020			



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

P

LI, Lok Yin (Technician) Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: eti@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

# **Internal Calibration Report Dust Monitor**

Manufacturer : SIBATA (LD-3B)

Date of Calibration

14 November 2020

Serial No.

255863 (ET/EA/001/11)

Calibration Due Date:

13 May 2021


Method

Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

Dust Monitor (CPM)	31	80	168	
TSP (ug/m³)	50	117	263	
High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.:1177	Calibration Due Date: 21 December 2020			



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by:

LI, Lok Yin

(Technician)

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

#### Internal Calibration Report

of <u>Dust Monitor</u>

Manufacturer

SIBATA (LD-5)

Date of Calibration

19 June 2020

Serial No.

4Y1613 (ET/EA/001/13)

Calibration Due Date:

18 December 2020

Method


: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

: Dust M	onitor (CPM)		24	92		182
TSP (u	g/m <sup>3</sup> )		40	143		305
High V	olumo Air Samplar Sarail N	. 1177	Calibratio	a Dua Data: 9	0 100 202	<u> </u>

High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.: 1177 Calibration Due Date: 29 July 2020



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does not comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by :

8

Checked by :

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)

LI, Lok Yin (Technician)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsuit.com W: www.ets-testconsuit.com

#### Internal Calibration Report

of Dust Monitor

Manufacturer

SIBATA (LD-5)

Date of Calibration

18 December 2020

Serial No.

4Y1613 (ET/EA/001/13)

Calibration Due Date:

17 June 2021


Method

: Parallel measurement (Three-point calibration) by placing the Dust Monitor

and High Volume Air Samper together under the same environmental condition

Results

:	Dust Monitor (CPM)	31	93	194
	TSP (ug/m³)	45	151	296
	High Volume Air Sampler Serail No.	: 1177 Calibratio	n Due Date: 29 Ja	anuary 2021



Acceptance Criteria:

Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a three-point calibration

The Dust Trak Monitor complies \* / does-not-comply \* with the internal calibration procedures and is deemed acceptable \*/ unacceptable \* for use.

Calibrated by :

Õ.

Checked by:

LAU, Chi Leung

LI, Lok Yin (Technician)

(Environmental Team Leader)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

# Calibration Report of High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer

Graseby (Model No. GS2310)

Date of Calibration

28 October 2020

Serial No.

1934 (ET/EA/003/25)

Calibration Due Date :

27 December 2020

Method


Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations

Manual

Results

Flow recorder reading	g (cfm)		51	48	42	32	26
Qstd (Actual flow rate	e, m³/min)		1.67	1.51	1.33	1.08	0.82
Pressure :	760.56	mm	ı Hg	Temp.:		300	K

#### Sampler 1934 Calibration Curve Site: San Wai (ASR1a)



Acceptance Criteria: Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration.

The high volume sampler complies\* / does not comply\* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable\* / unacceptable\* for use.

Calibrated by:

MAK, Kei Wai

(Assistant Supervisor)

Approved by :

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



# 東業德勤測試顧問有限公司

# **ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.**

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

# Calibration Report of High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer

Graseby (Model No. GS2310)

Date of Calibration

23 December 2020

Serial No.

: 1934 (ET/EA/003/25)

Calibration Due Date :

22 February 2021

Method


Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations

Manual

Results

Flow recorder readin	g (cfm)		50	47	43	34	27
Qstd (Actual flow rate	e, m³/min)		1.69	1.54	1.35	1.11	0.85
Pressure :	760.56	mm	Hg	Temp. :		291	K

#### Sampler 1934 Calibration Curve Site: San Wai (ASR1a)



Acceptance Criteria: Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration.

The high volume sampler complies\* / does not comply\* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable\* / unacceptable\* for use.

Calibrated by:

TANG, Chung Hang

(Supervisor)

Approved by :

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

# Calibration Report of High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer

Graseby (Model No. GS2310)

Date of Calibration

28 October 2020

Serial No.

9998 (ET/EA/003/12)

Calibration Due Date :

27 December 2020

Method


Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations

Manual

Results

Flow recorder reading (cfm)	50	47	43	35	30
Qstd (Actual flow rate, m³/min)	1.64	1.48	1.39	1.10	0.88
Pressure: 760.56 mm Hg		Temp.:	300	K	

#### Sampler 9998 Calibration Curve Site: San Wai (ASR2a)



Acceptance Criteria: Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration.

The high volume sampler complies\* / does not comply\* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable\* / unacceptable\* for use.

Calibrated by: Myk

MAK, Kei Wai

(Assistant Supervisor)

Checked by

AU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



# 東業德勤測試顧問有限公司

# ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.

8/F Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

# Calibration Report of High Volume Air Sampler

Manufacturer

Graseby (Model No. GS2310)

Date of Calibration

23 December 2020

Serial No.

9998 (ET/EA/003/12)

Calibration Due Date :

22 February 2021

Method


Five-point calibration by using standard calibration kit Tisch TE-5025A refer to the Operations

Manual

Results

Flow recorder reading (cfm)		49	47	43	36	29
Qstd (Actual flow rate, m³/min)		1.66	1.51	1.42	1.13	0.87
Pressure :	760.56 mm Hg		Temp. :	291	K	

#### Sampler 9998 Calibration Curve Site: San Wai (ASR2a)



Acceptance Criteria: Correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curve greater than 0.990 after a 5-point calibration.

The high volume sampler complies\* / does not comply\* with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable\* / unacceptable\* for use.

Calibrated by:

TANG, Chung Hang

(Supervisor)

Checked by

LAU, Chi Leung

(Environmental Team Leader)



# Appendix D2

**Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results** 



#### **Summary of Impact 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

#### **Air Quality Monitoring Station : ASR1a**

Data	\\/oothor	Tomporature (°C)	Monitori	ng Period	1-hr TSP
Date	Weather	Temperature (°C)	Start	Finish	(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
02/12/2020	Fine	16	09:00	10:00	93
02/12/2020	Fine	16	10:00	11:00	96
02/12/2020	Fine	16	11:00	12:00	98
08/12/2020	Cloudy	19	09:46	10:46	39
08/12/2020	Cloudy	20	10:46	11:46	48
08/12/2020	Cloudy	21	13:00	14:00	45
14/12/2020	Cloudy	19	09:45	10:45	57
14/12/2020	Cloudy	19	10:45	11:45	37
14/12/2020	Cloudy	18	13:00	14:00	33
19/12/2020	Fine	12	09:43	10:43	87
19/12/2020	Fine	13	10:43	11:43	79
19/12/2020	Fine	15	13:00	14:00	101
23/12/2020	Cloudy	18	13:50	14:50	79
23/12/2020	Cloudy	18	14:50	15:50	84
23/12/2020	Cloudy	18	15:50	16:50	87
29/12/2020	Cloudy	26	13:00	14:00	81
29/12/2020	Cloudy	26	14:00	15:00	84
29/12/2020	Cloudy	25	15:00	16:00	79
				Min	33
				Max	101
				Average	73

#### **Air Quality Monitoring Station: ASR2b**

	T	<u> </u>			
Date	Weather	Temperature (°C)	Monitorir	ng Period	1-hr TSP
Date	vveatriei	Temperature (C)	Start	Finish	(μg/m³)
02/12/2020	Fine	16	08:50	09:50	87
02/12/2020	Fine	16	09:50	10:50	91
02/12/2020	Fine	16	10:50	11:50	91
08/12/2020	Cloudy	19	09:54	10:54	32
08/12/2020	Cloudy	20	10:54	11:54	35
08/12/2020	Cloudy	21	13:00	14:00	30
14/12/2020	Cloudy	19	09:51	10:51	58
14/12/2020	Cloudy	19	10:51	11:51	54
14/12/2020	Cloudy	18	13:05	14:05	66
19/12/2020	Fine	12	09:48	10:48	81
19/12/2020	Fine	13	10:48	11:48	85
19/12/2020	Fine	15	13:05	14:05	88
23/12/2020	Cloudy	18	14:00	15:00	87
23/12/2020	Cloudy	18	15:00	16:00	84
23/12/2020	Cloudy	18	16:00	17:00	87
29/12/2020	Cloudy	26	13:03	14:03	96
29/12/2020	Cloudy	25	14:03	15:03	93
29/12/2020	Cloudy	25	15:03	16:03	92
		•		Min	20

 Min
 30

 Max
 96

 Average
 74



#### **Summary of Impact 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results**

**Air Quality Monitoring Station: ASR1a** 

Sta	rt	Fini	sh	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m³/min.)	Average	Filter Paper	Weight (g)	Conc.	Weather
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	(m³/min.)	Initial	Final	(μg/m³)	Condition
02/12/2020	09:00	03/12/2020	09:00	28229.64	28253.64	24	1.0939	1.0939	1.0939	2.7041	2.8181	72	Fine
08/12/2020	09:40	09/12/2020	09:40	28253.64	28277.64	24	1.0939	1.0939	1.0939	2.7238	2.8879	104	Cloudy
14/12/2020	09:45	15/12/2020	09:45	28277.64	28301.64	24	1.0939	1.0939	1.0939	2.6991	2.8965	125	Cloudy
19/12/2020	09:43	20/12/2020	09:43	28301.64	28325.64	24	1.0939	1.0939	1.0939	2.6824	2.8262	91	Fine
23/12/2020	13:50	24/12/2020	13:50	28325.64	28349.64	24	1.0867	1.0867	1.0867	2.7124	2.8671	99	Cloudy
29/12/2020	13:00	30/12/2020	13:00	28349.64	28373.64	24	1.0867	1.0867	1.0867	2.6842	2.8666	117	Cloudy

 Min
 72

 Max
 125

 Average
 101

**Air Quality Monitoring Station: ASR2b** 

Sta	rt	Fini	sh	Elapse	e Time	Sampling	Flow Rate	(m³/min.)	Average	Filter Paper	Weight (g)	Conc.	Weather
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	(m³/min.)	Initial	Final	(μg/m³)	Condition
02/12/2020	08:50	03/12/2020	08:50	24974.45	24998.45	24	1.0390	1.0390	1.0390	2.6985	2.8190	81	Fine
08/12/2020	09:54	09/12/2020	09:54	24998.45	25022.45	24	1.0390	1.0390	1.0390	2.7329	2.9090	118	Cloudy
14/12/2020	09:51	15/12/2020	09:51	25022.45	25046.45	24	1.0390	1.0390	1.0390	2.7024	2.9057	136	Cloudy
19/12/2020	09:48	20/12/2020	09:48	25046.45	25070.45	24	1.0390	1.0390	1.0390	2.7001	2.8634	109	Fine
23/12/2020	14:00	24/12/2020	14:00	25070.45	25094.45	24	1.0957	1.0957	1.0957	2.7366	2.9068	108	Cloudy
29/12/2020	13:03	30/12/2020	13:03	25094.45	25118.45	24	1.0957	1.0957	1.0957	2.6971	2.9006	129	Cloudy

 Min
 81

 Max
 136


 Average
 113




## Appendix D3


**Graphical Plots of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results** 
















# Appendix E1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Noise Monitoring Equipment



# Summary of Calibration Certificates for Noise Monitoring Equipment used in this reporting month

Equipment	Equip No.	Serial No.	Calib. Date	Due Date
Sound Level Calibrator (Rion NC-73)	ET/EN/002/01	10196943	15/10/2020	14/10/2021
Sound Level Meter (Rion NL-52)	ET/EN/003/17	00264519	06/04/2020	05/04/2021
Sound Level Meter (Rion NL-52)	ET/EN/003/18	00264520	03/03/2020	02/03/2021



Certificate No. 009927

Page

of 2 Pages

Customer: ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address: 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q04004

Date of receipt

6-Oct-20

Item Tested

**Description**: Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer: Rion

I.D.

: ET/EN/002/01

Model

: NC-73

Serial No.

: 10196943

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 15-Oct-20

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ C

Supply Voltage : --

Relative Humidity:  $(50 \pm 25) \%$ 

Ambient Temperature: **Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: F21, Z02.

**Test Results** 

All results were within the manufacturer's specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	<u>Description</u>	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	005018	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S240	Sound Level Calibrator	003053	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	001622	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	007031	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

Approved by:

Date:

15-Oct-20

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



Certificate No. 009927

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results:

#### 1. Level Accuracy (at 1 kHz)

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's Spec.
94.0 dB	94.1 dB	± 1 dB

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$ 

#### 2. Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's Spec.
1 kHz	0.984 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 %

3. Level Stability: 0.0 dB Uncertainty: ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.5 %

Mfr's Spec. : < 3 %

Uncertainty:  $\pm 2.3$  % of reading

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 004 hPa

----- END -----



Certificate No. 002951

3 Pages Page

Customer: ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address: 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: Q01189

Date of receipt

31-Mar-20

**Item Tested** 

**Description**: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

I.D.

: ET/EN/003/17

Model

: NL-52

Serial No.

: 00264519

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test:

6-Apr-20

**Supply Voltage** 

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

**Test Specifications** 

**Ambient Temperature:** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01, IEC 61672.

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

**Test Results** 

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type 1 or manufacturer's specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017A

Multi-Function Generator

906713

SCL-HKSAR

S240

Sound Level Calibrator

904042

NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant.

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

Elva Chong

Approved by:

6-Apr-20

Date:

Kin Wong

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

The copyright of this certificate is owned by Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.. It may not be reproduced except in full.



002951 Certificate No.

Page 3 of 3 Pages

### 4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

(1/7)
61672
1 Spec.
).4 dB
,

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

4.2 Time weighting	(A-weighted)			
UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.3 dB
	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Slow	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Time-averaging	74.0	71.0		l

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 008 hPa.
- 4. Microphone model: UC-59, S/N: 03558
- 5. Preamplifier model: NH-25, S/N: 64644
- 6. Firmware Version: 1.7
- 7. Power Supply Check: OK
- 8. The UUT was adjusted with the laboratory's sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

----- END -----



Certificate No. 002951

Page 2 of 3 Pages

Results:

#### Acoustical signal test

1. Self-generated noise: 16.8dBA (Mfr's Spec ≤ 17 dBA)

#### 2. Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT S				
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Octave Filter	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)
20 ~ 130	A	F	OFF	94.0	94.0
		S	OFF		94.0
	С	F	OFF		94.0
	Z	F	OFF		94.0
	A	F	OFF	114.0	114.0
		S	OFF		114.0
	С	F	OFF		114.0
	Z	F	OFF		114.0

IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec. :  $\pm$  1.1 dB

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

#### Electrical signal tests

## 3. Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.6	- 39.4 dB, $\pm$ 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.3	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.3	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, $\pm$ 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	$0 \text{ dB}, \pm 1.1 \text{ dB}$
2 kHz	+1.3	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+1.1	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-1.0	$-1.1 \text{ dB}, +2.1 \text{ dB} \sim -3.1 \text{ dB}$
16 kHz	-8.0	$-6.6 \text{ dB}, +3.5 \text{ dB} \sim -17.0 \text{ dB}$

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

Certificate No. 001363

1 of 3 Pages Page

Customer: ETS-Testconsult Limited

Address: 8/F., Block B, Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong.

Order No.: 000572

Date of receipt

20-Feb-20

Item Tested

**Description**: Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Rion

I.D.

: ET/EN/003/18

Model

: NL-52

Serial No.

: 00264520

**Test Conditions** 

Date of Test: 3-Mar-20

Supply Voltage : --

**Ambient Temperature:** 

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}C$ 

Relative Humidity:  $(50 \pm 25) \%$ 

**Test Specifications** 

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01, IEC 61672.

#### **Test Results**

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type 1 specification. (where applicable)

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017A

Multi-Function Generator

906713

SCL-HKSAR

S240

Sound Level Calibrator

904042

NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by:

Approved by:

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

3-Mar-20

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Certificate No. 001363 Pages 2 of 3 Pages

Results:

#### Acoustical signal test

1. Self-generated noise: 17.3 dBA

#### 2. Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT S				
	Frequency	Time	Octave	Applied	UUT
Range (dB)	Weighting	Weighting	Filter	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)
20 ~ 130	A	F	OFF	94.0	93.8
		S	OFF		93.8
	C	F	OFF		93.8
	Z	F	OFF		93.9
	A	F	OFF	114.0	113.8
		S	OFF		113.8
	C	F	OFF		113.8
	Z	F	OFF		113.9

IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec. : ± 1.1 dB

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

#### **Electrical signal tests**

#### 3. Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.7	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.3	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.2	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	$0 \text{ dB}, \pm 1.1 \text{ dB}$
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+1.0	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-1.1	- 1.1 dB, $+ 2.1$ dB $\sim -3.1$ dB
16 kHz	-8.0	- $6.6 \text{ dB}$ , + $3.5 \text{ dB} \sim -17.0 \text{ dB}$

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

Certificate No. 001363

Page 3 of 3 Pages

#### 4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

	<del></del>			
UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.4 dB
С	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Z	94.0	94.0	0.0	

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT	Applied	UUT	Difference	IEC 61672
Setting	Value (dB)	Reading (dB)	(dB)	Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	94.0	0.0	

Uncertainty:  $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$ 

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 008 hPa.
- 4. Preamplifier model: NH-25, S/N: 64646.
- 5. Firmware Version: 1.7
- 6. Power Supply Check: OK
- 7. The UUT was adjusted with the supplied sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

----- END -----



# Appendix E2

**Impact Noise Monitoring Results** 



#### **Day-time Noise Monitoring**

**Monitoring Station: NSR1a** 

Date	Weather Temperature S		Start Time	End Time	Noise Level at NSR1a, dB (A)			Wind
Date	vveatriei	(℃)	(hh:mm)	(hh:mm)	Leq (30min)	L10 (30min)	L90 (30min)	Speed (m/s)
02/12/20	Fine	16	10:05	10:35	67.2	68.8	61.4	0.3
08/12/20	Cloudy	19	09:48	10:18	67.2	69.5	63.8	0.3
14/12/20	Cloudy	19	10:31	11:01	63.9	65.5	57.2	0.8
19/12/20	Fine	12	10:32	11:02	66.7	68.2	55.7	1.4
23/12/20	Cloudy	18	13:51	14:21	68.4	72.1	66.1	0.3
29/12/20	Cloudy	26	13:01	13:31	66.5	68.7	62.9	0.2

 Min
 63.9
 65.5
 55.7

 Max
 68.4
 72.1
 66.1

 Logarithmic Average for normal weekdays
 66.8
 69.2
 62.5

**Monitoring Station: NSR2b** 

Doto	Weather	Temperature S	Start Time E	End Time	Noise Level at NSR2b, dB (A)			Wind
Date	vveatner	(℃)	(hh:mm)	(hh:mm)	Leq (30min)	L10 (30min)	L90 (30min)	Speed (m/s)
02/12/20	Fine	16	08:55	09:25	62.7	64.4	59.8	0.3
08/12/20	Cloudy	20	10:30	11:00	64.1	66.7	60.5	0.2
14/12/20	Cloudy	19	09:51	10:21	62.2	63.4	59.7	0.3
19/12/20	Fine	12	09:48	10:18	62.7	64.9	59.1	0.3
23/12/20	Cloudy	18	14:25	14:55	69.0	71.4	67.1	0.4
29/12/20	Cloudy	26	13:42	14:12	63.4	65.7	60.2	0.4

(\*) : 3dB(A) correction was added to the results during the free-field noise measurements


Min	62.2	63.4	59.1
Max	69.0	71.4	67.1
Logarithmic Average for normal weekdays	64.8	67.0	62.2




# Appendix E3

**Graphical Plots of Impact Noise Monitoring Data** 









# Appendix F1

Calibration Certificates for Impact Water Quality Monitoring Equipments



Performance	Check	of I	Γurb	idity	Meter

	1 CHOIIIance C	neck of Turbianty	WICTCI				
Ес	quipment Ref. No. : ET/0505	/021 Manufacturer	: HACH				
	Model No. : 21000	Q Serial No.	: <u>17020C056013</u>				
D	ate of Calibration : 27/10/20	020 Due Date	: 26/01/2021				
	Theoretical Value of Turbidity Standard (NTU)	Measured Value (NTU)	Difference % *				
	20	20.1	0.5%				
	100	103	3.0%				
	800	828	3.5%				
	(*) Difference = (Measured Value	e – Theoretical Value) / Theo	oretical Value x 100				
Ac	Acceptance Criteria  Difference : -5 % to 5 %						
The turbidity meter complies * / does not comply * with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable * / unacceptable * for use. Measurements are traceable to national standards.							
Pre	epared by :	Checked by:					



Form E/CE/R/24 Issue 1 (1/1) [01/18]

<u>Calibr</u>	ation Report of Dissolv	ed Oxygen N	Meter (In situ Me	easurement)			
Equipment Ref. No. :	ET/EW/008/006		Manufacturer :	YSI			
Model No. :	Pro 2030		Serial No. :	12A100554			
Calibration Date :	3/12/2020		Calibration Due Date :	2/3/2021			
Temperature Verific	Temperature Verification by Reference Thermometer (ET/0521/028)						
<u> </u>	Temperature Reading (°C)	Correction (°C)	Corrected Temperature (	°C) Difference (°C)			
Reference Thermome	eter 20.0	0.0	20.0	0.2			
DO Meter	20.2	0.0	20.2	0.2			
Criteria: Difference l	between corrected temperature from	m DO meter and re	eference thermometer : <	± 0.5 °C			
Zero Point Checking							
D	OO meter reading (mg/L)		0.01				
Criteria: Zero checking: 0.0 mg/L							
Linearity Checking of	of Dissolved Oxygen Content by A	PHA 19ed 4500-0	) G				
Purging time, min	Expected DO value (mg/L) (ET/0510/012)	DO met	er reading (mg/L)	Difference of DO Content (mg/L)			
2	6.13		6.24	0.11			
5	4.42		4.50	0.08			
10	2.55	,	2.67	0.12			
Criteria: Difference b	between DO meter reading and exp	ected DO value: <	$\leq \pm 0.30 \text{ mg/L}$				
Salinity Checking by	APHA 19ed 2520 B						
		Expect	ed Salinity (ppt)	DO meter reading (ppt)			
Reagent No. of NaCl	(10 ppt): CPE/012/4.7/005/17		10	9.2			
Reagent No. of NaCl	(30 ppt): CPE/012/4.8/005/17		30	28.7			
Criteria: Difference b	petween DO meter reading and exp	ected Salinity: ±1	0.0 %				
The equipment complies # / does not comply # with the specified requirements and is deemed acceptable # / unacceptable # for use.  # Delete as appropriate							
Calibrated by :	2 Q		Approved by :				

CPE/024/W



## Appendix F2

**Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results** 



### **Impact Water Quality Monitoring**

**Monitoring Station: R1b** 

Date	Sampling	Weather	Sampling	T	urbidity (NT	J)	Dissolved	d Oxygen (D	OO) (mg/L)	Suspen	ded Solid (S	SS) (mg/L)
Date	Duration	Condition	Level	1	2	Ave.	1	2	Ave.	1	2	Ave.
01/12/20	12:05-12:15	Fine	Mid-Depth	5.1	5.1	5.1	3.25	3.29	3.27	<5	<5	<5
03/12/20	12:50-13:02	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	11.2	11.3	11.3	1.94	1.98	1.96	8	8	8
05/12/20	08:55-09:10	Fine	Mid-Depth	6.2	6.2	6.2	2.07	2.09	2.08	<5	<5	<5
08/12/20	14:15-14:25	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	11.5	11.3	11.4	3.04	3.08	3.06	<5	<5	<5
10/12/20	14:15-14:23	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	10.3	10.4	10.4	2.17	2.20	2.19	6	7	7
12/12/20	13:25-13:35	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	5.7	5.7	5.7	2.58	2.54	2.56	<5	<5	<5
15/12/20	11:40-11:52	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	8.3	8.4	8.4	2.10	2.13	2.12	<5	<5	<5
17/12/20	09:32-09:41	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	9.3	9.3	9.3	2.09	2.11	2.10	9	9	9
19/12/20	08:50-08:59	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	12.3	12.2	12.3	1.97	2.00	1.99	<5	<5	<5
21/12/20	15:03-15:14	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	14.1	14.3	14.2	1.93	1.90	1.92	10	11	10
23/12/20	14:12-14:25	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	10.2	10.2	10.2	2.05	2.13	2.09	<5	<5	<5
25/12/20	10:25-10:37	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	9.7	9.6	9.6	2.23	2.21	2.22	6	6	6
29/12/20	12:40-12:49	Cloudy	Mid-Depth	5.3	5.2	5.2	2.18	2.15	2.17	14	14	14
31/12/20	11:45-11:55	Fine	Mid-Depth	6.8	6.8	6.8	3.32	3.35	3.34	<5	<5	<5
				Min 5.1 Min 1.90 Min		lin	<5					
				M	ax	14.3	М	ax	3.35	М	ax	14
				Ave	rage	9.0	Ave	rage	2.36	Ave	rage	4

#### Remark(s):


<sup>1. (#) 200</sup>ml sample was used for Suspended Solids analysis. Practical Quantitation Limit of Suspended Solids reported less than 5 mg/L. The results reported as <5 would be counted as zero for average measurement.



## Appendix F3

**Graphical Plots of Impact Water Quality Monitoring Data** 







# Appendix G

**Weather Condition** 



#### Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations, December 2020

Day	Mean	Air Temperatu		ure	Mean Dew	Mean	Total
	Pressure	Absolute	Mean	Absolute	Point (deg. C)	Relative	Rainfall
	(hPa)	Daily	(deg. C)	Daily Min		Humidity	(mm)
		Max		(deg. C)		(%)	
		(deg. C)					
1	1022.3	22.4	19.7	17.0	13.2	66.0	0.0
2	1020.5	22.7	19.9	17.4	13.0	65.0	0.0
3	1021.0	20.6	17.4	15.4	10.5	64.0	0.0
4	1021.4	18.5	15.9	13.8	8.9	63.0	0.0
5	1021.5	19.8	16.8	13.9	9.7	63.0	0.0
6	1020.4	21.6	18.2	15.4	12.4	69.0	0.0
7	1020.4	23.2	20.7	18.1	13.3	63.0	0.0
8	1019.7	21.9	19.9	17.8	12.8	64.0	0.0
9	1017.7	21.4	19.8	18.4	14.4	71.0	Trace
10	1016.8	23.5	20.9	18.7	16.8	78.0	0.3
11	1015.9	23.6	21.6	20.3	18.3	82.0	Trace
12	1015.3	22.1	20.9	20.2	18.1	84.0	Trace
13	1014.7	22.5	20.9	20.2	16.8	78.0	0.0
14	1018.1	22.1	19.5	15.5	15.9	80.0	Trace
15	1022.2	16.8	15.4	13.4	10.4	72.0	Trace
16	1023.5	16.5	14.8	13.3	9.7	71.0	0.0
17	1022.1	16.5	14.9	13.6	9.8	71.0	0.0
18	1021.6	19.3	16.4	14.7	10.4	68.0	0.0
19	1023.4	17.8	15.0	12.5	7.9	63.0	0.0
20	1024.1	18.5	14.9	11.9	6.9	59.0	0.0
21	1022.1	19.6	16.5	13.0	8.1	58.0	0.0
22	1019.6	19.6	17.4	14.7	10.9	66.0	0.0
23	1016.9	19.7	18.4	16.9	15.5	83.0	1.2
24	1016.3	22.5	20.0	18.3	15.6	76.0	0.0
25	1018.7	20.9	18.9	17.4	14.9	77.0	0.0
26	1018.1	21.1	18.7	17.0	14.8	79.0	0.0
27	1015.8	24.5	20.4	17.6	14.9	71.0	0.0
28	1014.8	23.7	20.6	18.7	14.5	69.0	0.0
29	1014.8	24.5	21.0	18.7	16.3	75.0	0.0
30	1022.8	21.6	15.1	10.6	4.6	50.0	0.0
31	1027.0	14.2	10.9	8.1	-3.2	37.0	0.0
Mean/Total	1020.5	20.2	17.9	15.9	11.9	69.0	26.8

Remark(s):

Trace means rainfall less than 0.05 mm § 1981-2010 Climatological Normal

The meteorological observations extracted from Hong Kong Observatory only shown the daily average and may be varied from the weather condition recorded during monitoring.



## Appendix H

**Environmental Site Inspection Checklist** 

Envii	ronmental Site I	nspection Checkl	'ist – San Wai					
Inspec	ction Date:	4-12-20	Inspected 1	Ву:	Francie Taney			
Time:		14:30	Weather C	ondition:	:		Cloude	ر 
Partic	ipants:	Keith Cheing	Franco Leong	, Evan	Wong	, Ton	ny Ku	role.
1	Permits/Licenses				N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environmental exit and vehicle acce	•	permit displayed at maj	or site				
.1.2	Are Construction No	oise Permits available I	for inspection?					
1.3	Is wastewater discha	arge license available fo	or inspection?					
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspect		construction waste dis	sposal				
1.5		e/permits for disposa available for inspection	I of construction was n?	ste or				
2	Air Quality				N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning avoi	ded?						
2.2	Are speed controlled	l at 10 km/h on unpave	d site areas?			$\Box$		
2.3	Are plant and equip from powered plant)		d (i.e. without black s	moke				•
2.4	Observed dust source	e(s): $\square$ Wind erosi	òn					
			quipment Movements inloading of materials					
2.5	Are the work sites w	etted with water twice						
2.6	i i	entire surface spray	or temporary or permanded with water or a	anone				
2.7	Is the area involved	demolished items cov an area sheltered on th	ered entirely by imper- ne top and the 3 sides w					
2.8	•	facilities with high pre	essure water jet provid	ed at				41,000
2.9	Are the areas of wa	shing facilities and the	e road section between with concrete, bitumi			ď		
2.10			e roads or area with p	ublic		Ø		
2.11	Are main haul roa hardcores or metal pl	•	ete, bituminous mater dusty materials; or spr			9		
2.12		that is within 30m of kit kept clear of dusty n	a discernible or desigr	nated [		<b></b>		
2.13	Are all vehicles and site?	plant cleaned before	they leave the construc	ction [		7		
2.14	Are loaded dump true	cks covered by imperv	ious sheeting appropria	ately [		凶		



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?		Ø		
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?				
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?		Q		
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?	<b>D</b>			
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?				
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?		<b>P</b>		
			سها		
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?	П	Ė	$\Box$	
3.2	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.3	Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site		Yes		Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):			No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):			No -	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?				
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?		7		
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?				
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?	Ó			
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	$\Box$			
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?		, Q		
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?				
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?				
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?				
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?				-
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?		Ø		
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?	Ø			-
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?				
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
	General Waste				
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?				
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?		<b>1</b>		
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?		Ø 1	 	
	Construction Waste				
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?				Hem I
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?			<u> </u>	
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?				
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?			J —	



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?			
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household			
	refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?			
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area	,		
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	Ø		
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?			
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?			-
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?		<u> </u>	
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)			
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil	•		
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?			
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?		ď o –	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
	Records		_	
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?			
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?		Q 0_	
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?			
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?		വ് 🗆	
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?		<u> </u>	
7	Environmental Complaint	NIA	Yes No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	①		
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?			
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?			
9	Others	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
9.1				

Follow up actions for pervious Site A	udit: NIA
Observations It I C AD motori	als were discorded at UV,
Corrective Actions - Mitigation Meas H.I. To claw the C.J.D.	ures Implemented or Proposed (if any):
Signature: ET's representative	Signature: Contractor's representative
Name: Frankie Tany Date: 4-12-20	Name: 7 on karde Date: 4.12.20
Signature: ET Leader	Signature: SO's representative
	Jan.
Name:	Name: CF OROM
Date:	Name: CF Bown  Date: W/w/sss.



#### Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
1	C&D materials were discarded at UV.	To collect the waste properly	201204_001	Yes	11/12/2020

Env	ironmental Site	Inspection Checklist –	San Wai				
Insp	ection Date:	11.12.20	Inspected By:	-	F	rankie	Tang
Time	<b>:</b> :	9:30 Weather Condition:					
Part	icipants:	C. 7 Hung, Kerth Claimy, Franco Leone		y , En	an luong,		Tony kwok
1	Permits/Licenses			N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environments exit and vehicle a	al Permit, license/ other permit ccess?	displayed at major site				
1.2	Are Construction	Noise Permits available for ins	pection?		鸟		
1.3	Is wastewater disc	charge license available for insp	pection?		Ø		
1.4	Are trip tickets favailable for inspe	or chemical waste and construction?	ruction waste disposal				
1.5		ense/permits for disposal of olds available for inspection?	construction waste or				
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning av	voided?			Ø,		
2.2	Are speed control	led at 10 km/h on unpaved site	areas?				
2.3	Are plant and eq from powered pla	uipment well maintained (i.e. nt)?	without black smoke				
2.4	Observed dust sou	rce(s):					
		☐ Vehicle/ Equipm☐ Loading/ unload☐ Chers: Not 0	•				
2.5	Are the work sites	wetted with water twice a day?	?				
2.6		boulders, poles, pillars or ten ne entire surface sprayed w cal immediately?					
2.7	Is the area involve	ed demolished items covered e in an area sheltered on the top					
2.8	•	g facilities with high pressure	water jet provided at				
2.9	Are the areas of	washing facilities and the road and the exit point paved with					
2.10		2.4m tall provided beside road	ls or area with public				
2.11	Are main haul hardcores or metal	road paved with concrete, to plates, and kept clear of dusty st suppression chemical?			Q		
2.12		ite that is within 30m of a disc exit kept clear of dusty materia					
2.13	Are all vehicles a site?	nd plant cleaned before they l	eave the construction		$\Box$		
2.14	Are loaded dump	trucks covered by impervious s	heeting appropriately				



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation				
2.16	sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?  Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?				
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?				
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?				
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		Z		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?				,
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?				
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?  Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				
	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site		Yes	No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):		Yes	No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:		Yes	No	Remarks

4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?				
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?				
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?				
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?				
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	d			
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?		, <b>9</b> -		
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		<b>P</b>		
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?	<b>Q</b>			
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?		$\square$		
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?		İ		
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?		d		
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?				
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?		Ø		
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
	General Waste		_		
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?				
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?		Ď	囚一	then I
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?				7 (100-00-00)
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?		Q		
	Construction Waste			K	<i>a</i> ^
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?			<b>4</b>	HATA
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?				
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?		[ <del>]</del>		
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?		Ø		



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?		Ø		
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the				
	public filling supervisor?				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5.11	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area	Ø			
J.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	Ľ		L	
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?				
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?		<b>D</b>		
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?				
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)		Ø		
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil				
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?				
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?		Ø.		
	Records				
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		ď		
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?		<b>1</b> /		
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?				
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes	No -	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?		12	$\Box$	KOMMI KS
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?		<u></u>		
7	Environmental Complaint	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	$\Box$			
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?		$\square$		·
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?				
9	Others	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?		d		

Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit: Tollow	up action to item no. 1 on 4-12-20, all
items	were improved.

Observations Iten I: Gorenol Retises were observed near EBI.

Corrective Actions - Mitigation Measures Implemented or Proposed (if any):

ItuI: To clan the openeral rating property.

Si	ø	n	a	ŕ	EB	r	e	۰
$\sim$ $^{\prime}$	-	-	**	•	···	•	_	۰

ET's representative

Name:

Date:

11.12.20

Signature:

ET Leader

Signature:

Signature:

Contractor's representative

Name: Tony Cubb

Date: 11.12.20

SO's representative

Name:

Date:

Name: c7 180ch
Date: 1/1/2000



#### Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
			201211_001	No	
	Follow up action to item 1 on 04/12/2020, C&D materials were cleared at UV.				
1	General refuse were observed near EB1.	To collect the waste properly	201211_002	Yes	18/12/2020

Env	ironmental Site I	nspection Checklist – S	San Wai				
Inspe	ection Date:	18 December 2020	Inspected By:			Ivy L	-0
Time	:	14-30	– Weather Conditi	on:		Fine	
Parti	cipants:	Franco Learp.	Evan Worf,	Moda	Chi		ny Kwok
1	Permits/Licenses			N/A	Ye	s No	Remarks
1.1	Are Environmental exit and vehicle according	Permit, license/ other permit dess?	isplayed at major site		Ø		
.1.2	Are Construction No	oise Permits available for inspe	ection?		$\checkmark$		
1.3	Is wastewater discha	arge license available for inspe	ction?		$\checkmark$		
1.4	Are trip tickets for available for inspect	chemical waste and construion?	ction waste disposal		abla		
1.5		e/permits for disposal of co available for inspection?	onstruction waste or		$\Box$		
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
2.1	Is open burning avoi	ded?			$\checkmark$		
2.2	Are speed controlled	l at 10 km/h on unpaved site ar	eas?	. 🗆	V		
2.3	Are plant and equip from powered plant)	oment well maintained (i.e. v ?	vithout black smoke				,
2.4	Observed dust source	e(s):				-	
		☐ Vehicle/ Equipment Loading/ unloading ☑ Others: 100 € 100	g of materials			•	
2.5	Are the work sites w	etted with water twice a day?	·				
2.6	After removal of bo	oulders, poles, pillars or temp entire surface sprayed with					
2.7		demolished items covered ent an area sheltered on the top ar			$\square$		
2.8	•	facilities with high pressure weable?	vater jet provided at		· [V]		
2.9	Are the areas of wa	shing facilities and the road s d the exit point paved with co			$\square$		
2.10	Are hoarding $\geq 2.4$ access?	m tall provided beside roads	or area with public		Ø		
2.11		d paved with concrete, bits ates, and kept clear of dusty m uppression chemical?			V		
2.12		that is within 30m of a discertification that is within 30m of a discertification of the control	_		$\square$		
2.13	Are all vehicles and site?	plant cleaned before they lea	ve the construction		abla		
2.14	Are loaded dump true	cks covered by impervious she	eting appropriately		V		



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?		$\square$		
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?	$\square$			
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?	V			
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?		$\Box$		
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?	$\square$			**************************************
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?	ď			-
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		Ø		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?	П	abla	П	
2.0		· <u></u>			
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?		$\square$		
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?		$\square$		
	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):   Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site				Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):   Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):   Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:			No	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?			
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?			
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?	$\square$		
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?	Ø		
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?			,
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?			
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?			
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?	V		
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?			
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?			
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?			
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?	Ø		
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?	. 🗆	☑ □ <u> </u>	
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes No	Remarks
	General Waste			
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?			٠
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?			·
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?			
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?			
	Construction Waste			
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?		$\square$	
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?		$\square$	
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?			
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?			



5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?				
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household	$\square$			
	refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?				
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area			,	
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	abla			
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?				
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?		☑		·
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?				
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)		Ø		
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil				-
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?		$\square$		
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?		V		
	Records				
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		abla		
5.18 5.19	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?  Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?		abla		
	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and				
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?		$\square$		
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day		$\square$		Remarks
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?		Ø	No	Remarks
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts		Yes		Remarks
5.19 5.20 6 6.1	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts  Is the work site confined within site boundaries?		Yes		Remarks
5.19 5.20 6 6.1 6.2	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts  Is the work site confined within site boundaries?  Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?	N/A	Yes		
5.19 5.20 6 6.1 6.2	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts  Is the work site confined within site boundaries?  Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?  Environmental Complaint  Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to	N/A	Yes  Yes	□ □ No	Remarks
5.19 5.20 6 6.1 6.2 7 7.1	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts  Is the work site confined within site boundaries?  Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?  Environmental Complaint  Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	N/A  N/A  N/A	Yes  Yes	□ □ N•	Remarks
5.19 5.20 6 6.1 6.2 7 7.1	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts  Is the work site confined within site boundaries?  Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?  Environmental Complaint  Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?  General Housekeeping	N/A  N/A  N/A	Yes  Yes  Yes	□ □ N•	Remarks
5.19 5.20 6 6.1 6.2 7 7.1	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?  For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?  Landscape and Visual Impacts  Is the work site confined within site boundaries?  Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?  Environmental Complaint  Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?  General Housekeeping  Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?  Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss	N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A	Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	N° N°	Remarks

Name:

Date:

Follow up action to items on 11/12/2020, all items were improved. Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit: Observations N/A Corrective Actions - Mitigation Measures Implemented or Proposed (if any): NA Signature: Signature: ET's representative Contractor's representative Name: Tony know
Date: 18/12/2020 Name: Ivy ( Date: Signature: Signature: ET Leader SO's representative



#### Summary of the Weekly Environmental Site Inspection

Item	Details of observations	Follow Up Action	Photo Ref.	Further Action Required (Yes/No)	Proposed Follow up Date
	Follow up action to item 1 on 11/12/2020, general refuse were cleared near EB1.		201218_001	No	

Envi	ironmental Site I	Inspection Checklist –	San Wai			_	
Inspe	ection Date:	24-12-20	Inspected By:	-	Frankie	. Tang	
Time	:	14:30	14130 Weather Condition:		Cloudy		
Participants:		Lorth Chang, Tel	Ly Yven, Franco	Looney	, Erin 1	vong tony kud	
1	Permits/Licenses	. '	<b></b>	N/A	Yes No	Remarks	
1.1	Are Environmental exit and vehicle acc	Permit, license/ other permit cess?	displayed at major site				
1.2	Are Construction N	loise Permits available for ins	pection?				
1.3	Is wastewater disch	arge license available for insp	pection?				
1.4	Are trip tickets fo available for inspec	r chemical waste and constitution?	ruction waste disposal				
1.5		se/permits for disposal of available for inspection?	construction waste or				
2	Air Quality			N/A	Yes No	Remarks	
2.1	Is open burning avo	oided?					
2.2	Are speed controlle	d at 10 km/h on unpaved site	areas?	. 🔲			
2.3	Are plant and equifrom powered plant	ipment well maintained (i.e. )?	without black smoke				
2.4	Observed dust sour						
		Loading/unload	nent Movements ling of materials				
2.5	Are the work sites v	vetted with water twice a day	?				
2.6		oulders, poles, pillars or ter e entire surface sprayed wal immediately?					
2.7	Is the area involved sheeting or placed i	I demolished items covered on the top			Ø o		
2.8	a day of demolition  Are wheel washing all site exits if pract	facilities with high pressure	water jet provided at				
2.9	Are the areas of w	ashing facilities and the road nd the exit point paved with					
2.10		4m tall provided beside road	ls or area with public				
2.11	Are main haul ro hardcores or metal	nad paved with concrete, to plates, and kept clear of dusty suppression chemical?			\( \alpha \)		
2.12		e that is within 30m of a disc exit kept clear of dusty materi					
2.13		d plant cleaned before they l					
2.14	Are loaded dump tr	ucks covered by impervious s	sheeting appropriately				



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation		Ø		
	sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?				
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?				
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?				
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?				
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?				
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?		П	П	
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?		-6		
J.2	The shelled equipments of quiet plants utilized:	L	_ كا _		
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?				
	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.3 3.4 3.5	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site		Yes	No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities outside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:		Yes	No	Remarks
3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s): Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:		Yes	No	Remarks



4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?				
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?		Ø		
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?				
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?				
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?				
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?				
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		Ø		
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?				
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?				
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?				
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?				
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?				
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?				
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
	General Waste				
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?				•
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?				
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?		<b>D</b> /		
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?				
	Construction Waste				
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?				
5.6	Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?				
5.7	Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal?				
5.8	Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated area?				



			/		
5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?		Image: Control of the control of the		
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?				
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area			-	
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?	Ø			
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?				
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?				
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?				
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)		d		
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil				
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?				
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?				
	Records			_	
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		$\square$		
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?				
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?		Ø		
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?		$\Box$		
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?				
7	Environmental Complaint	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	ď			
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?				
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?				
9	Others	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the workers from utilizing these portable toilets?		d		

Follow up actions for pervious Site Audit:	: N/A
Observations N(A	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Corrective Actions - Mitigation Measures	Implemented or Proposed (if any): N/A
Signature:	Signature:
ET's representative	Contractor's representative
	1- 11
The state of the s	Name: Tony Furto Date: 24.12-20
Name: Francie Tany	Name: Tony Fundo
Date: 74-12-20	Date: $24.12-20$
DATILLO	
Signature:	Signature:
ET Leader	SO's representative
	^
	$\langle \chi \rangle$
NI	Name: Cf von
Name:	maine.
Date:	Date: 20/10000

Environmental Site Inspection Checklist – San Wai						
Inspe	ction Date: 31-12-20 Inspected By:					
Time:	Weather Condition	n:	Fine	e -1		
Partic	cipants: <u>keth Cheng, Roman Cheng</u> , Te	ly Yve	n Tony la	we .		
1	Permits/Licenses	N/A	Yes No	Remarks		
1.1	Are Environmental Permit, license/ other permit displayed at major site exit and vehicle access?					
1.2	Are Construction Noise Permits available for inspection?					
1.3	Is wastewater discharge license available for inspection?					
1.4	Are trip tickets for chemical waste and construction waste disposal available for inspection?					
1.5	Are relevant license/permits for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?					
2	Air Quality	N/A	Yes No	Remarks		
2.1	Is open burning avoided?					
2.2	Are speed controlled at 10 km/h on unpaved site areas?					
2.3	Are plant and equipment well maintained (i.e. without black smoke from powered plant)?					
2.4	Observed dust source(s):		,			
	☐ Vehicle/ Equipment Movements ☐ Loading/ unloading of materials ☐ Others: Nothers: Nothers:					
2.5	Are the work sites wetted with water twice a day?					
2.6	After removal of boulders, poles, pillars or temporary or permanent structures, are the entire surface sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately?					
2.7	Is the area involved demolished items covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides within		Q 0			
2.8	a day of demolition?  Are wheel washing facilities with high pressure water jet provided at					
	all site exits if practicable?					
2.9	Are the areas of washing facilities and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores?					
2.10	Are hoarding ≥ 2.4m tall provided beside roads or area with public access?					
2.11	Are main haul road paved with concrete, bituminous materials, hardcores or metal plates, and kept clear of dusty materials; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical?		Q′			
2.12	Are construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit kept clear of dusty materials?					
2.13	Are all vehicles and plant cleaned before they leave the construction site?					
2.14	Are loaded dump trucks covered by impervious sheeting appropriately	6				



	before leaving the site?				
2.15	Are working areas of any excavation or earth moving operation sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately?		$\square$		
2.16	Is exposed earth properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, concrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity?				
2.17	Are stockpile of dusty material covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or dust suppression chemical?	d			
2.18	Are unpaved areas / designated roads watered regularly to avoid dust generation?				
2.19	Are dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water?				
2.20	Is every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverized fuel ash (PFA) covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and 3 sides?				
2.21	Are the approval or exempted NRMM labels painted or securely fixed on site machines or vehicles and displayed at a conspicuous position according to the Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation?		卤		
3	Noise	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
3.1	Are idle plant/equipments turned off or throttled down?			П	
3.2	Are silenced equipments or quiet plants utilized?			$\Box$	
3.3	Are the silencers or mufflers properly fitted on construction	П			
	equipments and maintained regularly?	Ц	L		
3.4	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?				
3.4	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between				
	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and				
3.5	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?				
3.5	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?				
3.5 3.6 3.7	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?				
3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several				
3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site		Yes	No	Remarks
3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	equipments and maintained regularly?  Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):		Yes	No	Remarks
3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Is temporary hoarding installed located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs?  Are noise barriers (typically density @14kg/m²) acoustic mat or full enclosure close to noise plants including air compressor, generators and saw etc. provided to protect NSRs?  Do air compressors have valid noise labels?  Are compressor operated with doors closed?  QPME used with valid noise labels?  Are construction activities planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided?  Major noise source(s):  Traffic  Construction activities inside of site  Construction activities outside of site  Others:		Yes	No	Remarks

	1 No. : DC/2013/10 Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works Phase 1		<b>V</b> 21	3-1E3100N30E1 E/MI
4.3	Are site drainage systems and treatment facilities provided to minimize the water pollution?		<b>P</b> 0	
4.4	Is the treated effluent quality met the requirements specified in the discharge license?			
4.5	Is the sewage generated from toilets collected using a temporary storage system?			
4.6	Are sewage effluent and discharges from on-site kitchen facilities directed to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible?			
4.7	Is a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis?	7		]
4.8	Is the storm drainage directed to storm drains via adequately designed sand/ silt removal facilities e.g. sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins?			
4.9	Are measures taken to prevent the washout of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system?		d c	]
4.10	Are manholes adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and prevent storm run-off getting into foul sewers?	Ø		
4.11	Is a wheel washing bay provided at every site exit?			
4.12	Is the wheel wash overflow directed to silt removal facilities before being discharged to the storm drain?			
4.13	Is the section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road surfaced with crushed stone or coarse gravel?			
4.14	Does the surface runoff from bunded areas pass through oil/grease traps prior to discharge to the storm water system?			
4.15	Are sedimentation tanks or package treatment systems provided to treat the large amount of sediment-laden wastewater generated from wheel washing, site runoff and construction works?	. 🗆		
5	Waste / Chemical Management	N/A	Yes N	o Remarks
<i>c</i> 1	General Waste	П		
5.1	Are sufficient waste disposal points provided?			
5.2	Is waste disposed regularly?			
5.3	Is the general waste generated on-site stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from the construction and chemical wastes?			
5.4	Are separated labeled containers/ areas provided for facilitating recycling and waste segregation?			
	Construction Waste			
5.5	Are the temporary stockpiles maintained regularly?			

Are the C&D materials sorted and recycled on-site?

Are the public fill and C&D waste segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their

Is the segregation and storage of C&D wastes undertaken in designated

5.65.7

5.8

proper disposal?



		***************************************			
5.9	Are waste storage area properly cleaned and do not cause windblown litter and dust nuisance?				
5.10	Are surplus insert C&D materials only consist of earth, building debris				
	and broken rock and concrete and free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered unsuitable by the public filling supervisor?	·			
	Chemical / Fuel Storage Area	,		-	
5.11	Are the fuel tanks and chemical storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas?				
5.12	Are the storage areas labeled and separated (if needed)?				7140.20
5.13	Do the storage areas have adequate ventilation and be covered to prevent rainfall entering?		Q/		
5.14	Are the containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance that are holding, resist to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed?				
5.15	Are proper measures to control oil spillage during maintenance or to control other chemicals spillage? (e.g. provide drip trays)				
	Chemical Waste / Waste Oil		_		
5.16	Is chemical waste or waste oil stored and labeled in English and Chinese properly in designated area?		Ø		
5.17	Are chemicals and waste oil collected and stored for recycling or proper disposal?		9		
	Records				
5.18	Is a licensed waste hauler used for waste collection?		Ø		
5.19	Are the records of quantities of wastes generated, recycled and disposed properly kept?				
5.20	For the demolition material/ waste, is the number of loads for each day recorded as appropriate?				
6	Landscape and Visual Impacts	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
6.1	Is the work site confined within site boundaries?				
6.2	Is damage to surrounding areas avoided?				
7	Environmental Complaint	N/Á	Yes	No	Remarks
7.1	Number of Environmental Complaint received from dd/mm/yyyy to dd/mm/yyyy?	С			
8	General Housekeeping	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
8.1	Are potential stagnant pools cleared and mosquito breeding prevented?				
8.2	Are the defined boundaries of working areas identified to prevent loss of vegetation?		O.		
9	0.4		· ·		
	Others	N/A	Vec	N <sub>0</sub>	Remarks
9.1	Are the portable toilets maintained in a state, which will not deter the	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks

Follow up actions for pervious S	ite Audit: p//		
Observations W(A			
Corrective Actions - Mitigation	Measures Imp	lemented or Proposed (if any): $N/N$	A
		•	
Signature: ET's representative		Signature: Contractor's representative	
		Lono	
Name: Frankie Trans  Date: 31.12.20	-	Name: Tony Kwok Date: 31.12.20	
Signature: ET Leader		Signature: SO's representative	
		Jamo	
Name:	-	Name: C7 Bonh	
Date:		Name: C7 BONG  Date: Z1/MONO	



## Appendix I

**Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist** 



### Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist for Site Audit

Inspection Date:11 December 2020Weather:Sunny/ Fine/ Cloudy / RainyTime:14:30 p.m.Wind:Strong/ Breeze/ Light/ Calm

Item	Description	YES	NO	N/A	Actions/ Remarks
1	Construction Phase				
1.1	Is the detailed tree survey completed prior to construction work?	<b>√</b>			
1.2	Are trees to be transplanted removed to their final positions?			✓	
1.3	Are the transplants and existing trees to be retained properly protected from damage by stout hoarding positioned as directed by a qualified Landscape Architect?	>			A few nos. of trees are protected near the site entrance
1.4	Is regular inspection of the retained and transplanted trees made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding?	<			
1.5	Are the TPZ clearly demarcated on site and surrounded by strong fences sturdy enough to withstand impacts from the construction activities?	✓			
1.6	Are warning signs and notices installed at the fences denoting the "tree protection zone" to prohibit the entry of equipment or construction activities?	<b>√</b>			
1.7	Are tree labels with clear indication of tree no. and status (e.g. "R", "T" or "F") provided for all the trees on site?	✓			
1.8	If protective fencings are not practicable, are the tree root systems adequately protected from soil compaction due to passage of vehicles, equipment or machinery?	>			
1.9	Are vehicular/foot paths and storage areas designated away from TPZ?	✓			
1.10	Are the trees properly irrigated and sprayed with water to remove the accumulated construction dust during dry season in order to lessen the chances of decline and to maintain the vigour of trees?			<b>✓</b>	
1.11	Are the trees free from any sign of distress, such as dieback, leaf loss, or general decline in tree health or appearance or tree damage with symptoms of construction injury?			<b>✓</b>	



1.10		1	1		T
1.12	Are the trees free from wire or nail	_			
	and prohibited to be used as anchor	✓			
	for any site activities?				
1.13	Are cutting, trenching, excavating or				
	raising of soil level within the TPZ	✓			
	prohibited?				
1.14	Is improper pruning of the tree				
111	branches/roots prohibited?	✓			
1.15					
1.13	Are the trees free from any tree root	✓			
1.1.6	damage?				
1.16	Are construction works or operation	_			
	of machines within the TPZ	✓			
	prohibited?				
1.17	Is the TPZ free from pollution from				
	effluent water, machine petroleum	✓			
	or chemical spillage?				
1.18	Is the excavated topsoil stored and				The site has
1.10	protected on site for reuse for				previously been
	restoration of screen planting				reclaimed from
	works?				ponds. Most of the
					excavated topsoil is
					not desirable for
					reuse due to its
				,	inferior quality.
				✓	Contractor's
					submitted
					referencing
					documents are
					attached in the
					checklist dated 4
					May, 2018 for
					information.
1.19	Is the progress of the above				
	activities reported in the monthly	✓			
	EM&A report?				
2	Operational Phase (12 months period	d from	commissi	ioning of	f the expanded and
	upgraded works)			8	•
2.1	Is a planting reserve, where locates				
	around the site perimeter of				
	approximately 5m wide, provided to			,	
				<b>V</b>	
	allow a continuous belt of trees to be				
	planted as a visual screen?				
2.2	Is the planting reserve				
	complemented the boundary			./	
	planting to the existing San Wai			<b>V</b>	
	STW?				
2.3	Is all new planting maintained for 12				
	months to ensure proper			<b>√</b>	
	establishment?				
2.4	Are the trees free from sign of				
\(\alpha.4\)				,	
	deterioration of tree health and/or			✓	
	structure?				
2.5	Are the trees free from insect pests		]	✓	



	and disease pathogens?			
2.6	Are the irrigation systems			
	functioning properly and well		✓	
	maintained?			
2.7	Are the tree root systems adequately			
	protected from soil compaction due		,	
	to storage of materials or operation		<b>V</b>	
	of machinery?			



### **Summary/Remarks:**

### Follow up actions taken by Contractor for previous comments:

1. Trees at eastern boundary – most of the trees has been removed in accordance with the approved tree removal application. The few remaining trees existed are protected by the boundary fence.

### The contractor was reminded to rectify the following:

1. Generally, contractor was reminded to keep on the tree protection and maintenance.


### **New Observation:**


1. Grade change and construction activities are noted on site. Contractor was reminded not to disturb the TPZ.

### **Reminders:**

- 1. Contractor was reminded to provide TPZ with robust fence, whenever possible, at the drip line of all retained trees unless the trees are well beyond the extend of construction activities.
- 2. Contractor was reminded not to disturb trees outside site along the boundary.
- 3. The contractor is required to prepare for the soft landscape installation works

### **Photo Record:**







### Signature:

		Signature	Date
Inspected & Recorded by	Registered Landscape Architect	Xylem Leung	



### Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Checklist for Site Audit

Inspection Date:31 December 2020Weather:Sunny/ Fine/ Cloudy / RainyTime:11:30 a.m.Wind:Strong/ Breeze/ Light/ Calm

Item	Description	YES	NO	N/A	Actions/ Remarks
1	Construction Phase	<u> </u>		1	1
1.1	Is the detailed tree survey completed prior to construction work?	✓			
1.2	Are trees to be transplanted removed to their final positions?			<b>√</b>	
1.3	Are the transplants and existing trees to be retained properly protected from damage by stout hoarding positioned as directed by a qualified Landscape Architect?	<b>√</b>			A few nos. of trees are protected near the site entrance
1.4	Is regular inspection of the retained and transplanted trees made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding?	<b>√</b>			
1.5	Are the TPZ clearly demarcated on site and surrounded by strong fences sturdy enough to withstand impacts from the construction activities?	<b>√</b>			
1.6	Are warning signs and notices installed at the fences denoting the "tree protection zone" to prohibit the entry of equipment or construction activities?	<b>√</b>			
1.7	Are tree labels with clear indication of tree no. and status (e.g. "R", "T" or "F") provided for all the trees on site?	✓			
1.8	If protective fencings are not practicable, are the tree root systems adequately protected from soil compaction due to passage of vehicles, equipment or machinery?	<b>√</b>			
1.9	Are vehicular/foot paths and storage areas designated away from TPZ?	<b>√</b>			
1.10	Are the trees properly irrigated and sprayed with water to remove the accumulated construction dust during dry season in order to lessen the chances of decline and to maintain the vigour of trees?			<b>✓</b>	
1.11	Are the trees free from any sign of distress, such as dieback, leaf loss, or general decline in tree health or appearance or tree damage with symptoms of construction injury?			<b>✓</b>	



1.10		1	1		T
1.12	Are the trees free from wire or nail	_			
	and prohibited to be used as anchor	✓			
	for any site activities?				
1.13	Are cutting, trenching, excavating or				
	raising of soil level within the TPZ	✓			
	prohibited?				
1.14	Is improper pruning of the tree				
111	branches/roots prohibited?	✓			
1.15					
1.13	Are the trees free from any tree root	✓			
1.1.6	damage?				
1.16	Are construction works or operation	_			
	of machines within the TPZ	✓			
	prohibited?				
1.17	Is the TPZ free from pollution from				
	effluent water, machine petroleum	✓			
	or chemical spillage?				
1.18	Is the excavated topsoil stored and				The site has
1.10	protected on site for reuse for				previously been
	restoration of screen planting				reclaimed from
	works?				ponds. Most of the
					excavated topsoil is
					not desirable for
					reuse due to its
				,	inferior quality.
				✓	Contractor's
					submitted
					referencing
					documents are
					attached in the
					checklist dated 4
					May, 2018 for
					information.
1.19	Is the progress of the above				
	activities reported in the monthly	✓			
	EM&A report?				
2	Operational Phase (12 months period	d from	commissi	ioning of	f the expanded and
	upgraded works)			8	•
2.1	Is a planting reserve, where locates				
	around the site perimeter of				
	approximately 5m wide, provided to			,	
				<b>V</b>	
	allow a continuous belt of trees to be				
	planted as a visual screen?				
2.2	Is the planting reserve				
	complemented the boundary			./	
	planting to the existing San Wai			<b>V</b>	
	STW?				
2.3	Is all new planting maintained for 12				
	months to ensure proper			<b>√</b>	
	establishment?				
2.4	Are the trees free from sign of				
\(\alpha.4\)				,	
	deterioration of tree health and/or			✓	
	structure?				
2.5	Are the trees free from insect pests		]	✓	



	and disease pathogens?			
2.6	Are the irrigation systems			
	functioning properly and well		✓	
	maintained?			
2.7	Are the tree root systems adequately			
	protected from soil compaction due		,	
	to storage of materials or operation		<b>V</b>	
	of machinery?			



### **Summary/Remarks:**

### Follow up actions taken by Contractor for previous comments:

1. Trees at eastern boundary – most of the trees has been removed in accordance with the approved tree removal application. The few remaining trees existed are protected by the boundary fence.

### The contractor was reminded to rectify the following:

1. Generally, contractor was reminded to keep on the tree protection and maintenance.


### **New Observation:**


1. Grade change and construction activities are noted on site. Contractor was reminded not to disturb the TPZ.

### **Reminders:**

- 1. Contractor was reminded to provide TPZ with robust fence, whenever possible, at the drip line of all retained trees unless the trees are well beyond the extend of construction activities.
- 2. Contractor was reminded not to disturb trees outside site along the boundary.
- 3. The contractor is required to prepare for the soft landscape installation works

### **Photo Record:**







### Signature:

		Signature	Date
Inspected & Recorded by	Registered Landscape Architect	Xylem Leung	



# Appendix J

**Waste Flow Table** 



DSD Contract: DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate

San Wai Sewage Treatment Works Phase 1



Contract No.: DC/2013/10

Name of Department: DSD Year: 2020

Project: Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Phase 1

### Waste Flow Table

	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly  Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly						onthly				
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Broken Concrete (see Note <sup>3</sup> )	Reused in the Contract (see Note)	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note <sup>4</sup> )	Imported Fill (see Note <sup>4</sup> )	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note <sup>2</sup> )	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 kg)
Jan	0.808	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.808	0.623	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	51.560
Feb	1.340	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.340	0.175	0.000	0.050	0.000	0.000	52.280
Mar	0.360	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.360	1.781	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	75.750
Apr	1.222	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.222	1.479	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	66.690
May	0.419	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.419	0.243	0.000	0.060	0.000	0.000	95.250
Jun	0.971	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.971	0.988	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	101.12
Jul	0.320	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.320	0.909	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	79.680
Aug	0.847	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.847	0.237	0.000	0.100	0.000	0.000	107.73
Sep	0.484	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.484	1.060	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	48.94
Oct	1.416	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.416	0.633	0.000	0.060	0.000	0.000	19.34
Nov	1.569	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.569	0.502	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	20.28
Dec	0.712	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.371	0.712	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	16.61
Total	10.468	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.127	9.342	0.000	0.270	0.000	0.000	735.23

- Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
  - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
  - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
  - (4) Assumption: The densities of subbase, Type A, Type B, Rockfill, Soil, Mix Rock and Soil, Reclaimed Asphalt Pave, Slurry are 2.0 ton/m3; the densities of Building debris and special fill materials are 2.1 ton/m<sup>3</sup>; the densities of Broken Concrete is 2.4 ton/m<sup>3</sup>.



# Appendix K

**Environmental Licenses and Permits** 



Item No.	Nature of Permit / License / Notification	Permit / License/ Notification No.	Date of Issue / Effective of Permit / License	Date of Expiry of Permit / License	Remark (Validity for reporting period only)
1	Environmental Permit	EP-464/2013	18/10/2013	NA	Valid
2	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	7025330	07/07/2016	NA	Valid
3	Form NA notification (for APCO)	405489	26/07/2016	30/01/2021	Valid
4	Chemical Waste Producer Registration (for Site)	5218-511-A2823-01	23/01/2017	NA	Valid
5	Wastewater Discharge License (for WPCO)	WT00026754-2017	28/04/2017	31/01/2022	Valid
6	Construction Noise Permit (for Site)	GW-RN0712-20	03/10/2020	02/04/2021	Valid
7	Construction Noise Permit (for Site)	GW-RN0833-20	26/11/2020	25/02/2021	Valid
8	Disposal of Special waste at Landfills	15852	03/09/2020	02/03/2021	Valid
9	Hong Kong Sludge Treatment Facility Admission Ticket	SAW-CEPT	01/05/2020	31/12/2020	Valid



## Appendix L

Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)



				Implementa	ntion Status	
	Environmental Mitigation Measures	Location	Implemented	Partially implemented	Not implemented	Not Applicable
	Air Quality					
•	The working area for the uprooting of trees, shrubs, or vegetation or for the removal of boulders, poles, pillars or temporary or permanent structures should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;	Site Area	V			
•	All demolished items (including trees, shrubs, vegetation, boulders, poles, pillars, structures, debris, rubbish and other items arising from site clearance) that may dislodge dust particles should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides within a day of demolition;	Site Area	V			
•	Vehicle washing facilities including a high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point;	Site Entrance	~			
•	The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores;	Site Exit	<b>V</b>			
•	Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service and or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit;	Site Area	V			
•	Every main haul road (i.e. any course inside a construction site having a vehicle passing rate of higher than 4 in any 30 minutes) should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials, hardcores or metal plates, and kept clear of dusty materials; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire road surface wet;	Main Haul Road	V			
•	The portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials;	Site Entrance and Exit	<b>V</b>			
•	Immediately before leaving a construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels;	Site Exit	<b>√</b>			
•	Where a vehicle leaving a construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle;		V			
•	The working area of any excavation or earth moving operation should be sprayed with water or a dusty suppression chemical immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet;	Site Area	<b>V</b>			
•	Exposed earth shall be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable	Site Area	<b>√</b>			



				\	
	surface stabilizer within 6 months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies;				
•	Any stockpile of dusty material should be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting; placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; or sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to maintain the entire surface wet.	Site Area	V		
	Noise				
•	Quiet plants should be used in order to reduce the noise impacts to protect the nearby NSRs.	Site Area	√		
•	Temporary and Movable Noise Barriers should be used in order to reduce the noise impact to the surrounding sensitive receivers	Site Area	√		
•	Intermittent noisy activities should be scheduled to minimize exposure of nearby NSRs to high levels of construction noise.	Site Area	V		
•	Idle equipment should be turned off or throttled down.	Site Area	V		
•	Construction activities should be planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided	Site Area	V		
•	Construction plant should be properly maintained and operated.	Site Area	√		
	Water Quality				
•	Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets before a rainstorm occurs;	Site Area	√		
•	The exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission;	Site Area	√		
•	The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from the drainage channel so as to avoid releasing materials into the channel;	Site Area	√		
•	Wheel washing facilities should be provided at site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles;	Site Exit	√		
•	Provision of site drainage systems and treatment facilities would be required to minimize the water pollution;	Site Area	√		
•	A discharge license needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site;		√		
•	The treated effluent quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge license;		√		
•	Provision of chemical toilets is required to collect sewage from workforce. The chemical toilets should be cleaned on a regular basis;	Chemical Toilet	√		



				\		
•	A licensed waste collector should be employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis;		V			
•	Illegal disposal of chemicals should be strictly prohibited;	Site Area	$\checkmark$			
•	Registration as a chemical waste producer is required if chemical wastes are generated and need to be disposed of. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes;	Site Area	V			
•	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance should be used as a guideline for handing chemical wastes;	Site Area	V			
•	The impact from accidental spillage of chemicals can be effectively controlled through good management practices.	Site Area	$\sqrt{}$			
	Waste Management					
•	Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;	Site Area	√			
•	To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the workforce;	Site Area	V			
•	Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;	Site Area	$\sqrt{}$			
•	Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals be separated for re-use and/or recycling and inert waste as fill material to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;	Site Area	<b>V</b>			
•	Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and	Site Area		√		
•	Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.	Site Area	V			
	Landscape and Visual					
•	Detailed tree survey should have been completed	Site Area	$\checkmark$			
•	Trees should be transplanted to their final positions clear of the construction site				V	
•	Erect site hoarding to protect adjacent vegetation from damage	Site Area	√			



•	Regular inspections of the transplanted trees should be made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding	Site Area	V		
•	Any topsoil excavated during the course of the works should be stored and protected on site for reuse for the restoration and screen planting works	Site Area		<b>V</b>	



## Appendix M

**Environmental Site Inspection Schedule** 



# Contract No. DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Stage 1 Schedule for Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection

### December 2020

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		1	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	3	4	5
		WQM		WQM	SI	WQM
6	7	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM Effluent	9	10	11	12
		Sampling WQM		WQM	SI	WQM
13	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	15	16	17	18	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM
20	21 WQM	WQM 22 Effluent Sampling	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	WQM 24	<b>SI</b> 25 <b>WQM</b>	<b>WQM</b> 26
27	28	29 24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	30	WQM		
		WQM		SI		



# Contract No. DC/2013/10 Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Stage 1 Schedule for Environmental Monitoring and Site Inspection January 2021

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
					1	2
3	4 24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	5 Effluent Sampling	6	7	8	9 24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM
		WQM		WQM	SI	WQM
10	11	12	13	14	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	16
		WQM		WQM	SI	WQM
17	18	Effluent Sampling	20	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	22	23
24	25	<b>WQM</b> 26	27	<b>WQM</b> 28	<b>SI</b> 29	<b>WQM</b> 30
		WQM	24hr-TSP 1hr-TSP x 3 NM	WQM	SI	WQM
31					3.	



## Appendix N

**Laboratory Report for Discharge Water** 



# 東業德勤測試顧問有限公司

# **ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.**

### Fo Tan, Hong Kong T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: etl@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com

Form E/EN/R/01/Iss

8/F Block B.

Veristrong Industrial Centre 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street.





### TEST REPORT

### **Testing of Water and Wastewater**

· ENA08250 Report No. 22 December 2020 Date of Issue

Page No. : 1 of 1

Information Provided by Customer

**Customer Name** 

ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture

Customer Address

19/F, China Harbour Building, 370-374 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong

Sample Source

Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 1

Sample Type

Wastewater

Date of Sampling

08 December 2020

Sample Description

Sample was stored in 1L plastic bottle (for pH and Total Suspended Solids).

Sample was stored in 500ml plastic bottle (for Chemical Oxygen Demand).

Sample for Chemical Oxygen Demand was preserved by adding conc. H₂SO₄ to pH <2.

Sample was collected by the customer and refrigerated after received.

Laboratory Information

Date of Received

08 December 2020

08 to 12 December 2020

Date of Testing Period Lab Ref. No.

W48155

Sample ID	Sample No.	Test	Method Used	Result	Unit
		рН	In house method TPE/003/W	8.4	(at 25°C)
P8	01	Total Suspended Solids	In house method TPE/006/W	<5*	mg/L
	02	Chemical Oxygen Demand	In house method TPE/002/W	<10	mgO₂/L

### Remark(s):

- The results relate only to the tested sample as received.
- \*200ml sample was used for Total Suspended Solids analysis. PQL of Total Suspended Solids reported less than 5 mg/L.
- Unless otherwise specific, the tests were carried out at the company address shown in the report.

Approved Signatory:

LAU, Chi Leung



# 東業德勤測試顧問有限公司 **ETS-TESTCONSULT LTD.**

### TEST REPORT

8/F Block B. Veristrong Industrial Centre, 34-36 Au Pui Wan Street. Fo Tan, Hong Kong

T: +852 2695 8318 F: +852 2695 3944 E: eti@ets-testconsult.com W: www.ets-testconsult.com





### **Testing of Water and Wastewater**

Report No. Date of Issue ENA08305

Page No.

30 December 2020

1 of 1

Information Provided by Customer

Customer Name

ATAL-Degremont-China Harbour Joint Venture

**Customer Address** 

19/F, China Harbour Building, 370-374 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong

Sample Source

Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works - Stage 1

Sample Type

Wastewater

Date of Sampling

22 December 2020

Sample Description

Sample was stored in 1L plastic bottle (for pH and Total Suspended Solids). Sample was stored in 500ml plastic bottle (for Chemical Oxygen Demand).

Sample for Chemical Oxygen Demand was preserved by adding conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to pH <2.

Sample was collected by the customer and refrigerated after received.

Laboratory Information

Date of Received

22 December 2020

Date of Testing Period: Lab Ref. No.

22 to 24 December 2020 W48225

Sample ID	Sample No.	Test	Method Used	Result	Unit
		рН	In house method TPE/003/W	8.4	(at 25°C)
	01				
P8		Total Suspended Solids	In house method TPE/006/W	<5*	mg/L
	02	Chemical Oxygen Demand	In house method TPE/002/W	<10	mgO <sub>2</sub> /L

- The results relate only to the tested sample as received.
- \*200ml sample was used for Total Suspended Solids analysis. PQL of Total Suspended Solids reported less than 5 mg/L.
- Unless otherwise specific, the tests were carried out at the company address shown in the report

Approved Signatory:


LAU, Chi Leung



# Figure 1

**Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations** 






Project: Contract No. DC/2013/10 -Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1 Figure 1 Locations of Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations



# Figure 2 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station






Project: Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1 Figure 2 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station



# Figure 3 Location Plan for the Wetsep Treatment Tank





Project: Contract No. DC/2013/10 - Design, Build and Operate San Wai Sewage Treatment Works – Phase 1 Figure 3 Location Plan for the Wetsep Treatment Tank