

JOB NO.: TCS00491/09

DSD CONTRACT No.: DC/2009/08

CONSTRUCTION OF YUEN LONG SOUTH BRANCH SEWERS AND EXPANSION OF HA TSUEN SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

34TH ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT MONTHLY REPORT – NOVEMBER 2012

PREPARED FOR

CHINA STATE CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING (HONG KONG) COMPANY LIMITED

Quality Index

Date Reference No. Prepared By Certified By

19 December 2012 TCS00491/09/600/R0425v2

Nicola Hon T.W. Tam (Environmental Consultant) (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Description
1	12 December 2012	First submission
2	19 December 2012	Amended against IEC's comments on 19 December 2012

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

安誠工程顧問有限公司

香港灣仔 皇后大道東183號 合和中心47樓

電話: (852) 2911 2233 傳真: (852) 2805 5028

Hyder Consulting Limited

Company Number 126012 47th Floor, Hopewell Centre 183 Queen's Road East Wan Chai, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2911 2233 Fax: (852) 2805 5028 hyder.hk@hyderconsulting.com

www.hyderconsulting.com



21 December 2012

By Email

Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road. Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong.

Your Ref:

Our Ref: EB000586-F/THW12-9962

For attention of: Mr. T. W. Tam

Dear Mr. Tam,

Contract No.: DC/2009/08

Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage

Pumping Station

Monthly EM&A Report for Designated Project, November 2012 - IEC Verification

With reference to ET's captioned report (ET's ref.: TCS00491/09/600/R0425v2) received on 20 December 2012, we have no comment and hereby verify the captioned report excluding the Landscape and Visual Impact section of the report.

We request the ET to submit the separate submission of Landscape and Visual Impact section of the report as soon as possible, for the completion of the captioned report.

Should there be any queries, please feel free to contact the undersigned on 2911 2744.

Yours sincerely,

F.C. TSANG

Independent Environmental Checker HYDER CONSULTING LIMITED

FCT/my



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES01. This is the **34**th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the designated work of Project under Environmental Permit No.EP-327/2009/A (hereinafter 'the EP'), covering a period from **1 to 30 November 2012** (hereinafter 'the Reporting Period').

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES02. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in this Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Aspects Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection		Occasions
Ain Ovolity	1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)	36
Air Quality	24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)	11
Construction Noise $L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime		12
	Dissolved Oxygen	14
Water Quality	Turbidity	14
	Suspended Solids	14
Inspection / Audit ET Weekly Environmental Site Inspection		4

ES03. As reported to the RE and IEC on 16 October 2012, the power supply for the HVS at AM2 was disconnected since the site office that provides the electric support has been dismantled. Therefore, the monitoring was suspended on 20 October until new location confirmed on 7 November. The proposal of newly location AM2(a) has been formally submit to EPD prior agreement by the RE and IEC. No further comments were received from EPD regards on the proposal.

BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

ES04. In this reporting period, no exceedance was recorded in air quality, noise and water quality monitoring. The summary of breach of environmental performance is shown below.

Environmental	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action		
Aspects				NOE Issued	Investigation	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0		
Air Quality	24-hour TSP	0	0	0		
Construction Noise	L _{eq(30min)} Daytime	0	0	0		
	Dissolved Oxygen	0	0	0		
Water Quality	Turbidity	0	0	0		
	Suspended Solids	0	0	0		

Note: NOE – Notification of Exceedance

SITE INSPECTION

ES05. Regular environmental site inspections had been carried out by ET joined with the Contractor and ER on 6, 13, 21 and 27 November 2012. No non-compliance was observed during the inspections.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES06. No environmental complaint was recorded / received in this Reporting Period.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES07. No environmental summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in this Reporting Period.

REPORTING CHANGE



ES08. There are no reporting changes in this reporting month.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- ES09. During dry season, special attention should be paid to the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Nevertheless, mitigation measures implemented to control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- ES10. Other environmental issues such as construction noise as well as waste management, as stipulated in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual should be implemented and maintained, as appropriate.





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND REPORT STRUCTURE	1 1 1
2.	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	3 3 3 3
3.	SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS MONITORING PARAMETERS MONITORING LOCATIONS MONITORING FREQUENCY MONITORING METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	4 4 4 6 7 9 9
4.	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING – LOCAL STREAM COURSE RESULTS OF LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT	11 11 11 12 13
5.	WASTE MANAGEMENT RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	1 4
6.	SITE INSPECTIONS	15
7.	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE Environmental Complaint, Summons and Prosecution	1 6
8.	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	17
9.	IMPACT FORCAST KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	2 1 21
10.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENTATIONS CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDATIONS	22 22 22



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS
TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF MONITORING PARAMETERS
TABLE 3-2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS
TABLE 3-3	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
TABLE 3-4	LOCAL STREAM WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION
TABLE 3-5	AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-6	NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-7	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-8	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-9	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-10	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR A LOCAL STREAM WATER QUALITY MONITORING $(R1b)$
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM1
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2(a)
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS – NM1
Table 4-4	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS – NM2
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARIES OF WATER QUALITY RESULTS – R1b
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES
TABLE 6-1	SITE OBSERVATIONS IN THE REPORTING MONTH
Table 7-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 7-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 7-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION
Table 8-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

LIST OF ANNEXES

APPENDIX A	SITE LAYOUT PLAN
APPENDIX B	ON-SITE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
Appendix C	MASTER CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	MONITORING LOCATION OF EM&A PROGRAMME
APPENDIX E	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES
APPENDIX F	METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION
Appendix G	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H	MONITORING SCHEDULE IN REPORTING MONTH AND COMING MONTH
APPENDIX I	RESULTS DATA
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS
APPENDIX K	MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX L	INSPECTION CHECKLIST



1. INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

- 1.01 The China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Limited (hereinafter "The Contractor") has been awarded by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) the Contract DC/2009/08 Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station (the Project) in October 2009.
- 1.02 The Project involves construction of about 9km of sewers and rising mains with diameter ranging from 200-1500mm in Yuen Long South and Ha Tsuen areas, a sewage pumping station near Shui Tsiu San Tsuen Road in Yuen Long South, expansion of existing Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station. The site layout plan is shown in *Appendix A*.
- 1.03 The expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station is under a statutory EIA (Register No. AEIAR-072/2003) study for "Upgrading and expansion of San Wai Sewage Treatment Works and expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station" commissioned by the DSD. An Environmental Permit (No. EP-327/2009/A) for upgrading and expansion of Sewage Treatment Works at San Wai (excluded for the Project) and Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station has been obtained by DSD in January 2009 for the relevant works.
- 1.04 According to Section 25 of the Particular Specification (PS) and the Environmental Permit No. EP-327/2009/A, the scope of monitoring includes air quality, construction noise, water quality and environmental site audit. It should be undertaken in accordance with the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual as part of EIA report [AEIAR-072/2003] (hereafter "the EM&A Manual") by an independent Environmental Team (ET). Also, monitoring and audit works for landscaping and visual will be undertaken as part of the EM&A programme.
- 1.05 As the works of the Project has been commenced in different periods of time, the EM&A Report for the Project are split to two separate parts as follows:
 - (a) Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station (under Environmental Permit No.EP-327/2009/A);
 - (b) Construction of sewers and rising mains with diameter ranging from 200-1500mm in Yuen Long South and Ha Tsuen areas and a sewage pumping station near Shui Tsiu San Tsuen Road in Yuen Long South (the works without Environmental Permit)
- 1.06 Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) has been commissioned by the Contractor as the ET to implement the relevant EM&A program. As part of the project EM&A program, baseline monitoring was conducted between 22 December 2009 and 18 January 2010 to determine the ambient environmental conditions before the project commence any major construction works at Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station and it had been verified by IEC and endorsed by EPD.
- 1.07 This is the **34th** Monthly EM&A Report *Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station* (hereinafter 'this Report') for designated works of the Project under Environmental Permit No.EP-327/2009/A (hereinafter 'the EP'), covering a period from **1 to 30 November 2012**.

REPORT STRUCTURE

SECTION 1

1.08 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-

DECTION I	INTRODUCTION
SECTION 2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS
SECTION 3	SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
SECTION 4	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS

SECTION 4 IMPACT MONITORING RESUL

INTRODUCTION

SECTION 5 WASTE MANAGEMENT
SECTION 6 SITE INSPECTIONS

SECTION 7 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE

DSD Contract No. DC/2009/08 - Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Monthly Report – November 2012



SECTION 8 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

SECTION 9 IMPACT FORECAST

SECTION 10 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS



2. PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE

2.01 Organization structure and contact details of the Contractor and relevant parties with respect to the on-site environmental management are shown in *Appendix B*.

CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.02 The tentative master construction programs is enclosed in *Appendix C*. Also, the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting month are listed below:
 - Construction of sewage pumping station

SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.03 Summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project in this reporting month is presented in *Table 2-1*.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
1	Environmental Permit (EP-327/2009/A)	Updated on 1 June 2010
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Issued on 13 Nov 2009
	Registration No. 5213-511-C3570-01	
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Discharge License)	Issued on 12 Jan 2010
	License No. WT00005671-2009	Expiry date: 31 Jan 2015
4	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste (Account	Issued on 7 October
	Number: 700947)	2009

2.04 The baseline monitoring report - *Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station (Ref: TCS00491/09/600/R0023v6*) had been verified by IEC and endorsed by EPD.



3. SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.01 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the EM&A Manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station. Also, monitoring and audit works for landscaping and visual shall be undertaken as part of the EM&A programme.

MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.02 According to the EM&A Manual, the EM&A implemented by ET has to include air quality, construction noise and water quality; the landscape and visual impact shall be monitored by a competent landscape architect. The monitoring parameters are summarized in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Summary of Monitoring Parameters

Environmental Aspect	Parameters		
Air Quality	 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (hereinafter '1-hr TSP'); and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (hereinafter '24-hr TSP'). 		
 A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure le (hereinafter 'L_{eq(30min)}') during the normal working he Construction Noise A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure le (hereinafter 'L_{eq(5min)}') for construction work restricted hours. 			
Water Quality – Local Stream Course • In Situ Measurement - Dissolved Oxygen (DO) and Turb • Laboratory Analysis - Suspended Solids (SS)			
Water Quality – Effluent Discharge	 In Situ Measurement - pH value Laboratory Analysis - SS and Chemical oxygen demand (COD) 		
Landscape and Visual Resources	 Vegetation survey undertaken on an "area" basis to identify representative types and species composition; Assessment of landscape character; and Tree survey report (The inspection findings will be submitted separately). 		

MONITORING LOCATIONS

Monitoring Location Stipulation in the EM&A Manual

3.03 According to *EM&A Manual Sections 2.2.1.18*, 3.4.1.1 & 4.4.1.4, and Figures 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2 and 4.2, there are four air quality and noise monitoring stations and one water quality monitoring station identified as sensitive receivers during construction phase of the Project. For the four designated air quality and noise monitoring stations, two are located within the San Wai STW and other two are within the existing Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station. Also, a local stream course of water quality monitoring station is identified in Tin Shui Wai Nullah. Besides, the area of landscape and visual monitoring is recommended for the entire selected route and within compounds in accordance with *the EM&A Manual Section 6.3.1.1*.

Air Quality

- 3.04 In order to identify and seek for the access for the air quality monitoring locations designated in the EM&A Manual, site inspection and the premises request about the monitoring locations have been carried out by the Contractor and ET. The designated monitoring location Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home located at Sha Chau Lei Road has been identified, but the premise was granted by CEDD existing project CV/2008/03 for air quality monitoring. Also, the access to premises at the other designated air quality monitoring station at Tin Shing Court was refused by the incorporated owners.
- 3.05 In this case, the alternative location Ho Tak Sum Primary School as one sensitive receiver



mentioned in the EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-072/2003) was proposed to be the replacement to undertake air quality monitoring during the expansion works of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station in accordance with the EM&A Manual Clauses 2.2.1.20. Simultaneously, air quality monitoring at the designated location Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home was proposed to be performed. The proposal and recommendation was agreed by IEC and as endorsed by EPD.

- 3.06 As requested by the occupants of Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home (AM2) due to safety reasons, the High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) for AM2 was relocated to a nearby location on 27 October 2011. Details of the relocation were given in the October 2011 Monthly EM&A Report.
- 3.07 Details of monitoring stations are presented in *Table 3-2* and illustrated in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-2 Air Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Location ID	Identified Address	Remarks
AM1	Ho Tak Sum Primary School	Replace the Designated Monitoring Station Tin Shing Court
AM2(a)	RE Site Office which opposite to the original location Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home	Replace the Designated Monitoring Station Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home

Construction Noise

- 3.08 Similar to the air quality monitoring, the construction noise monitoring stations undertaken for EM&A programme is agreed by IEC and as endorsed by EPD.
- 3.09 Details of the monitoring stations are presented in *Table 3-3* and shown in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-3 Construction Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Location ID	Identified Address	Remarks
NM1	Ho Tak Sum Primary School	Replace the Designated Monitoring Station Tin Shing Court
NM2	Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home	Designated in the EM&A Manual

Water Quality

- 3.10 One designated location of a local stream course, Tin Shui Wai Nullah, is proposed to carry out water quality monitoring in accordance with the EM&A Manual. The designated sampling location R1 is located at the midpoint between two pedestrian flyovers athwart Tin Shui Wai Nullah, which are 320 meters apart. There are technical difficulty and safety issue to sample at R1. So, a new sampling point located at approximately 160m upstream of the R1 (hereinafter as R1b) was therefore proposed for the local stream water quality impact monitoring and was verified by IEC, without comment from EPD.
- 3.11 Details of the monitoring station are presented in *Table 3-4* and shown in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-4 Local Stream Water Quality Monitoring Station

Monitoring Location ID	Identified Address	Remarks
R1b		About 160 m upstream from the designated location as stipulated in the EM&A Manual and is closer to the existing Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station



3.12 According to the EM&A Manual Section 4.3.1.5, the effluent water quality monitoring should be carried out at representative discharge point(s) where effluent from the construction sites is discharged into the local water course after being treated in a wastewater treatment system.

Landscape and Visual

3.13 The inspection area would be around the works area at Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station. During construction regular inspections of the retained and transplanted trees should be made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding.

MONITORING FREQUENCY

3.14 According to the *EM&A Manual* Sections 2.2.1.27, 3.6 and 4.4.1.8, impact monitoring covers air quality, noise and water quality of local stream course.

Air Quality Monitoring

<u>Parameters</u>: 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP.

<u>Frequency</u>: Once every six days for 24-hour TSP and three times every six days for 1-hour

TSP.

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period.

Noise Monitoring

<u>Parameters</u>: One set of $L_{eq(30min)}$ as 6 consecutive $L_{eq(5min)}$ between 0700-1900 hours on

normal weekdays.

 $L_{eq(5min)}$, L_{10} and L_{90} during the construction undertaken during Restricted Hours (19:00 to 07:00 hours next of normal working day and full day of public holiday

and Sunday)

Frequency: Once every six days during 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays. Restricted

Hour monitoring should depend on conditions stipulated in Construction Noise

Permit.

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period.

Water Quality Monitoring of Local Stream Course

Parameters: DO, Turbidity and SS. Frequency: 3 days per week. Depths: mid-depth

Duration: Throughout the construction period and the interval between 2 sets of

monitoring is not less than 36 hours

Water Quality Monitoring of Effluent Discharge

<u>Parameters</u>: pH, COD and SS.

Frequency: Depend on conditions stipulated in discharge license under Section 20 of the

Water Pollution Control Ordinance.

Duration: Throughout the construction period

Landscape and Visual Monitoring

<u>Parameters</u>: Site inspection with broad scope of audit as listed in the EM&A Manual

Frequency: Once every 2 weeks

Duration: Throughout the construction period

3.15 Post-project monitoring will be performed at water quality monitoring station of the local stream course. The requirements that are same as baseline monitoring are presented below:

<u>Parameters:</u> DO, Turbidity and SS. Frequency: 3 days per week.

<u>Depths</u>: mid-depth

<u>Duration</u>: 4 weeks and the interval between 2 sets of monitoring is not less than 36 hours

upon completion of the construction activities



MONITORING METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT

Air Quality

3.16 The air quality monitoring equipment for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP are listed in *Table 3-5* and the specification of equipment was submitted before the EM&A programme commencement.

Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Description
1-hour TSP	
Portable dust meter	TSI DustTrak Aerosol Monitor Model 8520
24-hour TSP	
High Volume Air Sampler	Thermo Anderson GS 2310 HVS
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A

1-hour TSP

- 3.17 The 1-hour TSP monitoring is conducted with a portable dust meter, brand named, TSI DustTrak Aerosol Monitor Model 8520 which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
 - (a.) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
 - (b.) A sheath air system isolates the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
 - (c.) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.
- 3.18 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period following manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual.

24-hour TSP

- 3.19 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Thermo Andersen Model GS2310 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:
 - (a.) An anodized aluminum shelter:
 - (b.) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
 - (c.) A blower motor assembly;
 - (d.) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
 - (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
 - (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer; and
 - (g.) A power supply of 220V/50 Hz.
- 3.20 The HVS was operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance to the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). One point checking would be carried out in two-month interval while full point checking every six months.
- 3.21 24-hour TSP was collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET kept all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal room conditions for six months before disposal.

Noise

3.22 The equipment for noise monitoring is summarized in *Table 3-6* and the specification was submitted before the EM&A programme commencement.



Table 3-6 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Description
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238
Calibrator	B&K Type 4231
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer

- 3.23 Sound level meters listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO).
- 3.24 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) measured in decibels (dB). Supplementary statistical results (L_{10} and L_{90}) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.25 During the construction noise monitoring, all noise measurements were performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ($L_{\rm eq}$). $L_{\rm eq(30min)}$ in six consecutive $L_{\rm eq(5min)}$ measurements were used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; and also $L_{\rm eq(15min)}$ in three consecutive $L_{\rm eq(5min)}$ measurements were used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours).
- 3.26 No noise extension cable was used to link the microphone with sound level meter for the measurement. The microphone was set about 1.2m height above ground and oriented such that it was pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield was fitted for all measurements. The monitoring locations NM1 and NM2 were normally set in a free field situation.
- 3.27 In prior of impact noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking was performed before and after the noise measurement. Also, the wind speed was checked with a portable wind speed meter. No fog and rain were encountered during the noise measurement, and the wind speed and gusts were also below 5m/s and 10m/s respectively.

Water quality of Local Stream Course

3.28 The equipment for water quality monitoring is summarized in *Table 3-7* and the specifications were submitted before the EM&A programme commencement.

Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model / Description
Water Sampler	Teflon bailer / bucket
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus
Turbidimeter	Turbidimeter HACH 2100Q
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box

3.29 Water quality monitoring was conducted at mid-depth of the water column.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

3.30 A multiple meter, brand named YSI Professional Plus was used for in-situ DO measurement, which automates the measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, dissolved oxygen saturation, pH and salinity simultaneously. The multiple meter is capable of measuring DO in the range of 0 - 20 mg/L. Before each round of monitoring, the multiple meter, is calibrated by the wet bulb method with distilled water. Calibration of the equipment is performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Monthly Report – November 2012



3.31 *Turbidity*

A portable turbiditmeter, brand named HACH Turbidmeter 2100Q was used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0-1000 NTU. Calibration of the equipment is performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

Suspended Solids (SS)

3.32 SS was determined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using HOKLAS accredited analytical methods namely ALS Method EA-025.

Water Sampler

3.33 Water samples were collected by the ET using a plastic sampler, which has a volume of not less than 2 litres and can be sealed at both ends with cups to prevent metal contamination. The sampler was rinsed before collection with the sample to be taken. The water sample was collected at mid-depth level at the selected monitoring location for SS determination.

Sample Container

3.34 Water sample was contained in screw-cap PE (Poly-Ethylene) sampling bottle (1,000ml) to be provided by HOKLAS accredited laboratory ALS. Where appropriate, the sampling bottle was rinsed with the water to be contained in prior. Water sample was then transferred from the sampler to the sample bottles to 95% bottle capacity to allow possible volume expansion during delivery and storage.

Sample Storage

3.35 A 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice was used to preserve the collected water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory. The water temperature of the cool box was maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples were delivered to laboratory within 24 hours and analyzed within 2 days of delivery or within the holding time as advised by the laboratory.

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

- 3.36 Calibration of the HVS was performed upon installation in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.37 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment was checked before and after each monitoring event. In-house calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition was undertaken in yearly basis.
- 3.38 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.39 All updated calibration certificates of the monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in this Reporting Month are attached in *Appendix E*.

METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

3.40 The meteorological information in this reporting month was downloaded from Lau Fau Shan Station of the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) and presented in *Appendix F*.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

- 3.41 The impact monitoring data are handled by the ET's systematic data recording and management, which complies with in-house Quality Management System. Standard Field Data Sheets (FDS) are used in the impact monitoring program.
- 3.42 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP meters and noise meters are downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data are input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The



- laboratory results or water quality in-situ measurement records are input directly into the computerized database and QA/QC checked by personnel other than those who input the data.
- For monitoring activities that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory follows the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for all laboratory testing.

DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

3.44 According to the EM&A Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-8*, *3-9* and *3-10* as below:-

Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring	Action Lev	vel (μg /m³)	Limit Level (μg /m³)		
Location	1-hour	24-hour	1-hour	24-hour	
AM1	305	162	500	260	
AM2	310	190	500	260	

Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Monitoring	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)		
Location	0700-1900	hrs on normal weekdays		
NM1	When one or more documented complaints are	70 dB(A) of $L_{eq(30min)}$ during normal hours from 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays, reduced to 65 dB(A) during school examination periods		
NM2	received	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		

Note: * Reduces to 65 dB(A) during the school examination periods.

Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for a Local Stream Water Quality Monitoring (R1b)

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
DO (mg/L)	4.6	4 mg/L or 40% saturation at 15°C
Turbidity (NTU)	15.6	16.2
SS (mg/L)	31.5	31.9

3.45 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in *Appendix G*.

4. IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS

4.01 The impact monitoring schedule for air quality, noise and water quality in this Reporting Period is shown in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are presented in the following sub-sections.

RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.02 In this Reporting Period, a total of 11 events of 24-hour TSP monitoring and 36 events of 1-hour TSP monitoring were undertaken and the results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 and 4-2*. The 24-hour TSP raw data sheets are shown in *Appendix I*. Also, the graphical plots for the 24-hour and 1-hour TSP monitoring result are shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1

	24-hour	1-hour TSP (μg/m³)				
Date	TSP $(\mu g/m^3)$	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured
1-Nov-12	58	1-Nov-12	10:32	153	186	184
7-Nov-12	60	7-Nov-12	10:18	201	189	154
13-Nov-12	98	13-Nov-12	10:23	186	224	175
19-Nov-12	76	19-Nov-12	10:58	123	109	111
24-Nov-12	45	24-Nov-12	10:08	108	95	81
30-Nov-12	32	30-Nov-12	10:08	94	88	91
Average	62	Avera	ge		142	
(Range)	(32-98)	(Rang	e)		(81 - 224)	

Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2(a)

	24-hour		1-hour TSP (μg/m³)				
Date	TSP (μg/m³)	Date	Start Time	1 st hour measured	2 nd hour measured	3 rd hour measured	
1-Nov-12	#	1-Nov-12	11:04	164	192	143	
7-Nov-12	124	7-Nov-12	11:19	187	213	177	
13-Nov-12	99	13-Nov-12	11:19	182	165	184	
19-Nov-12	111	19-Nov-12	11:59	107	124	116	
24-Nov-12	52	24-Nov-12	11:06	94	112	97	
30-Nov-12	42	30-Nov-12	11:13	109	87	121	
Average	86	Avera	ge		143		
(Range)	(42-124)	(Rang	e)		(87 - 213)		

[#] Power failure of HVS and no data was obtained.

- 4.03 As shown in *Tables 4-1* and *4-2*, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results fluctuated well below the Action Level in this Reporting Period.
- 4.04 As reported to the RE and IEC on 16 October 2012, the power supply for the HVS at AM2 was disconnected since the site office that provides the electric support has been dismantled. Therefore, the monitoring was suspended on 20 October until new location confirmed on 7 November. The proposal of newly location AM2(a) has been formally submit to EPD prior agreement by the RE and IEC. No further comments were received from EPD regards on the proposal.
- 4.05 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix F*.

RESULTS OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

4.06 The noise monitoring results conducted at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 4-3* and *4-4*. The sound level were measured in a free field situation and, therefore, a façade correction of +3 dB(A) was added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.



Table 4-3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – NM1

Date	Start Time	$\begin{matrix} 1^{st} \\ L_{eq(5min)} \end{matrix}$	$L_{eq(5min)}^{2^{nd}}$	$L_{eq(5min)}^{3^{rd}}$	$L_{eq(5min)}^{4^{th}}$	$L_{eq(5min)}^{5^{th}}$	$\begin{matrix} 6^{th} \\ L_{eq(5min)} \end{matrix}$	$L_{eq(30min)} \\$	Corrected* $L_{eq(30min)}$
1-Nov-12	10:32	63.2	61.3	58.3	64.8	61.7	60.6	62.1	65.1
7-Nov-12	10:29	63.3	62.9	61.7	63.1	64.7	62.0	63.1	66.1
13-Nov-12	10:23	63.0	65.1	69.8	68.9	64.8	61.5	66.5	69.5
19-Nov-12	11:24	61.8	61.1	67.8	64.5	63.5	67.5	65.1	68.1
24-Nov-12	10:09	62.9	62.8	60.5	59.7	59.8	59.7	61.1	64.1
30-Nov-12	15:58	60.9	61.4	59.7	59.5	61.7	61.6	60.9	63.9
Limit L	evel	- 70 dB(A)				dB(A)			

^(*) A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

Table 4-4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – NM2

Date	Start Time	$\begin{matrix} 1^{st} \\ L_{eq(5min)} \end{matrix}$	$\begin{matrix} 2^{nd} \\ L_{eq(5min)} \end{matrix}$	$\begin{matrix} 3^{rd} \\ L_{eq(5min)} \end{matrix}$	$L_{eq(5min)}^{4^{th}}$	$L_{eq(5min)}^{5^{th}}$	$\begin{matrix} 6^{th} \\ L_{eq(5min)} \end{matrix}$	L _{eq(30min)}	Corrected* $L_{eq(30min)}$
1-Nov-12	11:27	58.2	63.3	67.7	61.2	55.7	56.9	62.6	65.6
7-Nov-12	11:26	58.3	58.0	57.8	61.7	61.5	61.8	60.2	63.2
13-Nov-12	11:24	58.8	59.0	58.6	58.6	57.6	57.3	58.4	61.4
19-Nov-12	14:31	59.4	58.6	58.5	58.8	56.4	58.9	58.5	61.5
24-Nov-12	11:03	60.1	60.2	64.6	63.2	57.6	58.8	61.4	64.4
30-Nov-12	11:06	60.3	58.6	58.2	58.9	59.9	57.9	59.1	62.1
Limit L	evel	- 70 dB(A)							

^(*) A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

4.07 In this Reporting Period, no noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received. As shown in *Tables 4-3* and *4-4*, no Limit level exceedance was recorded and no corrective action was therefore required. The graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in *Appendix J*.

RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING - LOCAL STREAM COURSE

- 4.08 In this Reporting Period, a total of **14** sampling days were performed for water quality monitoring at R1b of the local stream course, Tin Shui Wai Nullah. The monitoring results including in-situ measurements and laboratory testing results are provided in *Appendix I*. The graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in *Appendix J*.
- 4.09 Monitoring results of 3 key parameters: dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and suspended solids (SS) in this Reporting Period, are summarized in *Table 4-5*.

Table 4-5 Summaries of Water Quality Results – R1b

Sampling date	DO conc. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/L)
1-Nov-12	10.0	5.7	9.0
3-Nov-12	7.4	5.5	11.0
5-Nov-12	10.6	6.7	13.0
7-Nov-12	8.6	14.1	20.0
10-Nov-12	9.1	9.1	12.0
13-Nov-12	7.8	12.8	24.0
15-Nov-12	9.3	13.3	19.0
17-Nov-12	4.8	11.3	11.0
19-Nov-12	6.5	10.8	9.0
22-Nov-12	6.3	8.2	11.0
24-Nov-12	6.3	10.9	15.0
26-Nov-12	6.3	13.0	16.0
28-Nov-12	5.7	14.4	15.0
30-Nov-12	5.6	7.3	18.0

4.10 During the Reporting Period, field measurements showed that water temperature and pH value of



the local stream are within 20.2°C to 28.3°C and 7.7 to 8.8 respectively.

4.11 In Reporting Period, no exceedance of water quality monitoring recorded at water samples collected from location "R1b". No NOE was therefore issued and no corrective measures recommended.

RESULTS OF LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT

4.12 The landscape and visual impacts monitoring results and findings will be submitted separately as a stand-alone document.

RESULTS OF EFFLUENT MONITORING

- 4.13 Monitoring of effluent quality should follow the requirements specified in Section 4.3 of the approved EM&A Manual. A discharge license under Water Pollution Control Ordinance has been obtained by the Contractor upon commencement of the Project. The licensee shall perform self-monitoring as and when required by the Authority.
- 4.14 Since no effluent discharge was made in this Reporting Period, no effluent quality monitoring was carried out by the Contractor.



5. WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.01 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time.

RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

- 5.02 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:
 - Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
 - Chemical Waste;
 - General Refuse; and
 - Excavated Soil.
- 5.03 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 5-1* and 5-2 and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix K*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
C&D Materials (Inert) (m ³)	0	-
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (m ³)	0	-
Reused in other Projects (Inert) (m ³)	0	-
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (m ³)	1012	Tuen Mun Area 38

Table 5-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Recycled Metal (kg)	0	-
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg)	0	-
Recycled Plastic (kg)	0	-
Chemical Wastes (kg)	0	-
General Refuses (m ³)	1	NENT

5.04 To control over the site performance on waste management, the Contractor shall ensure that all solid and liquid waste management works are in full compliance with the relevant license/permit requirements, such as the effluent discharge license and the chemical waste producer registration. The Contractor is also reminded to implement the recommended environmental mitigation measures according to the EM&A Manual based on actual site conditions.



6. SITE INSPECTIONS

- According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the environmental site inspection should be formulated by ET Leader. Regular environmental site inspections had been carried out by ET joined with the Contractor and ER to confirm the environmental performance. During this Reporting Period, the joint site inspection was undertaken on 6, 13, 21 and 27 November 2012 to evaluate the site environmental performance. No non-compliance was noted.
- 6.02 Observations for the site inspections and monthly audit found at Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station within this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 6-1* and inspection checklists are attached in *Appendix L*.

 Table 6-1
 Site Observations in the Reporting Month

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
6 November 2012	No environmental issue was observed during site inspection.	N.A.
13 November 2012	Scattered of C&D waste was observed Ha Tseun Sewage Pumping Station, housekeeping should be improved.	Housekeeping in Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station has been improved on 21 November 2012
21 November 2012	No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. As a reminder, water spraying within the site area should be applied more frequently during dry season.	N.A.
27 November 2012	No environmental issue was observed during site inspection.	N.A.



7. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

7.01 No environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received in this Reporting Period. The statistical summary table of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 7-1*, 7-2 and 7-3.

Table 7-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Donouting Dowlad	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
Reporting Period	Frequency	ency Cumulative Complair	
Feb – Dec 2010	3	3	Air(2)/Noise(1)
Jan – Dec 2011	0	3	NA
Jan – Oct 2012	0	3	NA
Nov 2012	0	3	NA

Table 7-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

D 4' D 1	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative Complaint	
Feb – Dec 2010	0	0	NA
Jan – Dec 2011	0	0	NA
Jan – Oct 2012	0	0	NA
Nov 2012	0	0	NA

Table 7-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Danauting Davied	Environmental Complaint Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency Cumulative Con		Complaint Nature	
Feb – Dec 2010	0	0	NA	
Jan – Dec 2011	0	0	NA	
Jan – Oct 2012	0	0	NA	
Nov 2012	0	0	NA	



8. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

8.01 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual covered the issues of dust, noise and waste and they are summarized as following:

Dust Mitigation Measure

- (a) The contractor shall frequently clean and water the site to minimize fugitive dust emissions.
- (b) Effective water sprays shall be used during the delivery and handling of aggregate, and other similar materials, when dust is likely to be created and to dampen all sited material during dry and windy weather.
- (c) Watering of exposed surfaces shall be exercised as often as possible depending on the circumstance.
- (d) Areas within the site where there is regular movement of vehicles must be regularly watered as often as necessary for effective suppression of dust or as often as directed by the Engineer.
- (e) Where dusty materials are being discharged to vehicle from a conveying system at a fixed transfer point, a three-sided roofed enclosure with a flexible curtain across the entry shall be provided. Exhausted fans shall be provided for this enclosure and vented to a suitable fabric filter system.
- (f) The Contractor shall restrict all motorized vehicles within the site, excluding those on public roads, to a maximum speed of 5km per hour and confine haulage and delivery vehicles to designated roadways inside the site.
- (g) Wheel washing facilities shall be installed and used by all vehicles leaving the site. No earth, mud, debris, dust and the like shall be deposited on public roads, water in wheel cleaning facility shall be changed at frequent intervals and sediments shall be removed regularly. The Contractor shall submit detailed proposals for the wheel cleaning facilities to the Engineer prior to construction of the facility. Such wheel washing facilities shall be usable prior to any earthworks excavating activity in the site.
- (h) Any material dropped in the roads will need to be cleaned up immediately to prevent dust nuisance.

Noise Mitigation Measure

- (a) During construction of the Project, temporary noise barriers should be used in order to reduce the noise impacts emanating from the construction sites on nearby Noise Sensitive Receivers (NSRs). The location of the temporary noise barriers should be along the site boundary of the expanded portion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station.
- (b) Noisy equipment and activities should be sited by the Contractor as far from close-proximity sensitive receivers as practical. Prolonged operation of noisy equipment close to dwellings and schools should be avoided.
- (c) The Contractor should minimize construction noise exposure to the schools. Especially during examination periods, the Contractor should not carry out any construction activities. Activities shall be restricted to transit movements by construction vehicles during this period.
- (d) Noisy plant or processes should be replaced by quieter alternatives where possible. Silenced diesel and gasoline generators and power units, as well as silenced and super-silenced air compressors should be used.
- (e) Noisy activities should be scheduled to minimize exposure of nearby sensitive receivers to high levels of construction noise. For example, noisy activities can be scheduled for midday, or at times coinciding with periods of high background noise (such as during peak traffic hours).
- (f) Idle equipment should be turned off or throttled down. Noisy equipment should be properly maintained and used no more often than is necessary.
- (g) The power units of non-electric stationary plant and earth-moving plant should be quietened by vibration isolation and partial or full acoustic enclosures for individual noise-generating components.



- (h) Construction activities should be planned so that parallel operation of several sets of equipment close to a given receiver is avoided, thus reducing the cumulative impacts between operations. The numbers of operating items of powered mechanical equipment should be minimized.
- (i) Construction plant should be properly maintained (well-greased, damage and worn parts promptly replaced) and operated. Construction equipment often has silencing measures built in or added on, e.g. bulldozer silencers, compressor panels, and mufflers. Silencing measures should be properly maintained and utilized. Where possible, rubber or damping materials should be introduced between metal panels to avoid rattle and reverberation of noise.
- (j) Equipment known to emit sound strongly in one direction, should where possible, be oriented so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs.
- (k) Material stockpiles and other structures (such as site offices) should be effectively utilized, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.
- (l) The Contractor should devise, arrange methods of working and carry out the works in such manner as to minimize noise impacts on the surrounding environment, and should provide experienced personnel with suitable training to ensure that these measures are implemented properly.

Water Quality Mitigation Measures

- (a) Exposed stockpiles should be covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets before a rainstorm occurs;
- (b) The exposed soil surfaces should also be properly protected to minimize dust emission;
- (c) The stockpiles of materials should be placed in the locations away from the drainage channel so as to avoid releasing materials into the channel;
- (d) Wheel washing facilities should be provided at site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles;
- (e) Provision of site drainage systems and treatment facilities would be required to minimize the water pollution;
- (f) A discharge licence needs to be applied from EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site;
- (g) The treated effluent quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence:
- (h) Provision of chemical toilets is required to collect sewage from workforce. The chemical toilets should be cleaned on a regular basis;
- (i) Wastewater generated from kitchens should be discharged to public foul sewers or collected in a temporary storage tank if connection to public foul sewers is not feasible;
- (j) A licensed waste collector should be employed to clean the chemical toilets and temporary storage tank on a regular basis;
- (k) Illegal disposal of chemicals should be strictly prohibited;
- (l) Registration as a chemical waste producer is required if chemical wastes are generated and need to be disposed of. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes;
- (m) Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance should be used as a guideline for handling chemical wastes; and
- (n) The impact from accidental spillage of chemicals can be effectively controlled through good management practices.

Waste Mitigation Measures

(a) Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;



- (b) To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate bins should be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the workforce;
- (c) Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled;
- (d) Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals be separated for re-use and/or recycling and inert waste as fill material to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;
- (e) Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and
- (f) Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.

Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures

- (a) Prior to construction work the detailed tree survey should have been completed and, if appropriate, trees to be transplanted moved to their final positions.
- (b) The transplants and existing trees to be retained should be properly protected from damage by stout hoarding positioned as directed by a qualified Landscape Architect.
- (c) Hoarding will help screening the construction work from the view of passers by.
- (d) Typically a minimum of 4 months should be allowed prior to construction to prepare trees for transplanting.
- (e) During construction regular inspections of the retained and transplanted trees should be made to ensure the effectiveness of the hoarding.
- (f) Any topsoil excavated in the course of the works shall be stored and protected on site for reuse for restoration and screen planting works.
- 8.02 The Contractor had been implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by the Contractor in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 8-1*.

Table 8-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

I				
Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures			
Water	 Wastewater were appropriately treated by treatment facilities; 			
Quality	• Drainage channels were provided to convey run-off into the treatment facilities;			
	 Drainage systems were regularly and adequately maintained. 			
	• De-silting facility was provided to treat the discharged water; also the treated water was reused for spraying the road surface;			
	• Exposed stockpiles and exposed soil surfaces were covered with tarpaulin or impervious sheets to minimize dust emission;			
	• The stockpiles of materials were placed in the locations away from the drainage channel so as to avoid releasing materials into the channel;			
	• Wheel washing facilities has been provided at site exits to ensure that earth, mud and debris would not be carried out of the works areas by vehicles;			
	• A discharge licence was issued by EPD for discharging effluent from the construction site;			
	 A licensed waste collector have been applied from EPD; and 			
	Illegal disposal of chemicals should be strictly prohibited.			



Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Air Quality	• Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface,
	particularly during dry weather;
	• Frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to air
	sensitive receivers;
	• Cover all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or
	sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet;
	 Public roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from dust; Tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site;
	 Vater sprinkler system was provided at haul road to reduce dust emissions
	during the vehicles passing through the haul road;
	• The vehicle speed within the site is limited to 5km/hr; and
	• Wheel washing facilities have been provided at the site exit.
Noise	Good site practices to limit noise emissions at the sources;
	• Use of quiet plant and working methods according to EP-327/2009/A;
	• Use of site hoarding with noise barriers to screen noise at ground level of NSRs;
	• Use of shrouds/temporary noise barriers to screen noise from relatively static PMEs according to EP-327/2009/A;
	• Use of temporary noise barrier with surface density 7kg/m^2 to be assumed that
	the noise reduction is 10 dB(A) for stable plants and 5dB(A) for movable plant
	in accordance with approved EIA Report Appendix 4A Table 4A3.2;
	Idle equipment are turned off or throttled down;
	• No construction works shall be undertaken during school examination period in
	the Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station according to EP-327/2009/A; and
Waste and	• Alternative use of plant items within one worksite, where practicable.
Chemical	• Excavated material was reused on site as far as possible to minimize off-site disposal. Scrap metals or abandoned equipment was recycled if possible;
	 Waste arising was kept to a minimum and be handled, transported and disposed
	of in a suitable manner;
	• The Contractor adopted a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials to
	any designed public filling facility and/ or landfill;
	 Chemical waste was handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes;
	• Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;
	• To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate
	bins were provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated
	by the workforce;
	 Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity were recycled;
	 Prior to disposal of C&D waste, wood, steel and other metals were separated for
	re-use and recycling and inert waste as fill material to minimize the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill;
	 Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or
	contamination of construction materials; and
	• Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste
	generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste.
Landscape	Hoarding was erected around site boundary properly;
and Visual	The transplanted tree and landscaping plants were kept in regular inspection;
	 All preserved trees were protected and fenced off properly;
	• No construction activities were carried out in the protection zone of the preserved
G 1	trees.
General	The site was generally kept tidy and clean.



9. IMPACT FORCAST

KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 9.01 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
 - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
 - Potential wastewater impact due to surface runoff;
 - Potential fugitive dust impact from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
 - Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
 - Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
 - Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
 - Management of chemical wastes;
 - Discharge of site effluent to the nearby nullah or storm drainage, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area in the nullah are prohibited;
 - Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
 - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures.



10. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENTATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

- 10.01 This is the 34th Monthly EM&A Report for the designated work of the Project, covering the construction period from 1 to 30 November 2012.
- 10.02 No 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results that triggered the Action or Limit Level was recorded in this Reporting Period.
- 10.03 No noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement results exceeded the Limit Level were recorded in this Reporting Period.
- 10.04 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded for the water quality monitoring during Reporting Period.
- 10.05 No effluent quality monitoring was carried out by the Contractor in this Reporting Period.
- 10.06 No documented complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received.
- 10.07 Regular environmental site inspections had been carried out by ET joined with the Contractor and ER on 6, 13, 21 and 27 November 2012. No non-compliance was observed during the inspections. Overall, the environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- 10.08 The landscape and visual impacts monitoring results and findings will be submitted separately as a stand-alone document. The Contractor is reminded that the landscape and visual impacts site audit shall be carried out by a competent landscape architect, as a member of ET to implement the EM&A programme.


RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.09 During dry season, special attention should be paid to the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Nevertheless, mitigation measures implemented to control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- 10.10 Other environmental issues such as construction noise as well as waste management, as stipulated in the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual should be implemented and maintained, as appropriate.
- 10.11 To control the site performance on waste management, the Contractor shall ensure that all solid and liquid waste management works are fully in compliance with the relevant license/permit requirements, such as the effluent discharge license and the chemical waste producer registration.



Appendix A

Site Layout Plan






Appendix B

On-site environmental management







Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
DSD	Employer	Mr. W. M. Lee		2827-8700
AECOM	Senior Resident Engineer	Mr. Alan Lee	9706 9568	2472 0132
Hyder	Independent Environmental Checker	Dr. F C Tsang	2911 2744	2805 5028
CSCE	Project Manager	Mr. Andrew Tse	2472 0113	2472-0229
CSCE	Site Agent	Mr. K L Mack	2472 0113	2472-0229
CSCE	Site Engineer	Mr. Michael Kan	2472 0113	2472-0229
CSCE	Environmental Officer	Mr. Jason Chung	2472 0113	2472-0229
CSCE	Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Chan Yau Pang	2472 0113	2472-0229
CSCE	Safety Officer	Mr. Ng Ka Po	2472 0113	2472-0229
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Team Supervisor	Mr. Ben Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079

Legend:

DSD (Employer) – Drainage Services Department

 $AECOM\ (Engineer) - AECOM$

CSCE (Main Contractor) - China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd

Hyder (IEC) – Hyder Consulting Limited


AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting




Appendix C

Master Construction Program

Contract No. DC/2009/08 Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of HTS Pumping Station










Appendix D

Monitoring Location of EM&A Programme








DSD Contract No. DC/2009/08 – Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers And Extension of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station

Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location

AUES





Appendix E

Calibration certificates



Equipment Calibration Certificates List

Items	Aspect	Description of Equipment	Date of Calibration	Date of Next Calibration
1		Thermo Anderson Model GS2310 TSP high volume air sampling system (AM1 - Ho Tak Sum Primary School)	29 Sep 12	29 Nov 12
1(a)		Thermo Anderson Model GS2310 TSP high volume air sampling system (AM1 - Ho Tak Sum Primary School)	28 Nov 12	28 Jan 13
2	Air	Thermo Anderson Model GS2310 TSP high volume air sampling system (AM2 Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home)	5 Nov 12	5 Jan 13
3		Calibration Kit TISCH Model TE-5025A Orifice ID 1483 and Rootsmeter S/N 0438320	17 May 12	17 May 13
4		TSI DustTrak Model 8520 (Serial number: 23080)	8 Mar 12	8 Mar 13
5	Noise	Bruel & Kjaer 4231 Acoustical Calibrator (Serial number 2713428)	20 Apr 12	20 Apr 13
6	Noise	Bruel & Kjaer 2238 Integrating Sound Level Meter (Serial number: 2285721)	20 Apr 12	20 Apr 13
7		YSI Professional Plus (Serial number: 10G101946)	15 Oct 12	15 Jan 13
8	Water	Turbidimeter HACH 2100Q (Serial number: 12060C018266)	29 Oct 12	29 Jan 13

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location Lions Clubs International Ho Tak Sum Primary School

Location ID: AM1

Date of Calibration: 29-Sep-12

Next Calibration Date: 29-Nov-12

Technician: Ben Tam

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

1011.3
27.5

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

758.475 301

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH
Model->	5025A
Calibration Date->	17-May-12

Qstd Slope ->
Qstd Intercept ->
Expiry Date->

2.02742 -0.02027 17-May-13

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	Ι	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	5	5	10	1.562	49	48.54	Slope = 31.2469
13	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.327	43	42.60	Intercept = 0.1357
10	2.5	2.5	5	1.107	34	33.68	Corr. coeff. = 0.9961
7	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.888	29	28.73	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.770	24	23.78	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg I

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hs

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location Lions Clubs International Ho Tak Sum Primary School

Location ID: AM1

Date of Calibration: 28-Nov-12

Next Calibration Date: 28-Jan-13

Technician: Ben Tam

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C) 1014.8 19.3

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.1 292

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH
Model->	5025A
Calibration Date->	17-May-12

Qstd Slope ->
Qstd Intercept ->
Expiry Date->

2.02742 -0.02027 17-May-13

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	5.1	5.1	10.2	1.602	50	51.01	Slope = 31.2837
13	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.347	43	43.87	Intercept = 1.1179
10	2.5	2.5	5	1.124	35	35.71	Corr. coeff. = 0.9991
7	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.902	29	29.59	
5	1.1	1.1	2.2	0.749	24	24.49	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg I

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home

Location ID: AM2

Date of Calibration: 5-Nov-12 Next Calibration Date: 5-Jan-13 Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)
Temperature (°C)

1015.5
24.1

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

761.625 297

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH
Model->	5025A
Calibration Date->	17-May-12

Qstd Slope ->
Qstd Intercept ->
Expiry Date->

2.02742 -0.02027 17-May-13

CALIBRATION

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.477	49	49.20	Slope = 32.7876
13	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.318	42	42.17	Intercept = 0.1605
10	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.116	37	37.15	Corr. coeff. = 0.9966
7	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.895	30	30.12	
5	1.1	1.1	2.2	0.743	24	24.10	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg F

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





TISCH ENVIROMENTAL, INC. 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE. VILLAGE OF CLEVES, ÖH 45002 513.467.9000 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE 513.467.9009 FAX WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - M Operator		Rootsmeter Orifice I.I		438320 1483	Ta (K) - Pa (mm) -	294 754.38
PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1 2 3 4 5	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.4140 0.9960 0.8910 0.8510 0.7020	3.2 6.4 7.9 8.7 12.8	2.00 4.00 5.00 5.50 8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0018 0.9976 0.9955 0.9945 0.9890	0.7085 1.0016 1.1173 1.1686 1.4088	1.4185 2.0061 2.2429 2.3524 2.8371	0.9957 0.9915 0.9894 0.9884 0.9830	0.7042 0.9955 1.1105 1.1615 1.4003	0.8829 1.2486 1.3959 1.4641 1.7657
Qstd slo intercep coeffici y axis =	ot (b) = lent (r) =	2.02742 -0.02027 0.99996 	Qa slor intercer coeffici y axis =	ot (b) =	1.26953 -0.01262 0.99996

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol[(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760](298/Ta)
Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:


Qstd = $1/m\{[SQRT(H2O(Pa/760)(298/Ta))] - b\}$ Qa = $1/m\{[SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b\}$



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

Environment Condition	60.5 (20.2)	0F (0C)	Model			8520
emperature Relative Humidity	68.5 (20.3)	°F (°C)	-	<u></u>		
Barometric Pressure	29.11 (985.8)		Serial Number			23080
⊠ As Left		×	In Tolerance			
☐ As Found			Out of Tolerance			
A Prince Management Prince A resource in publication over the first prince in the community of	Management of the second control of the second of the second control of the second contr	Concentratio	on Linearity Plot			**************************************
	100	Concentratio				
			_			
	w/si 10	-	0			
	u) əs		0			
	Device Response (mg/m3) 1.0	-	0			
	e Re.					
	i.0 O.1	- 0		o = In Toleranc	e	
	•			• = Out of Tole	rance	
	0.01	.01 0.1	1 10 100	0		
	0.		entration (mg/m3)			
					Systen	n ID: DTII01-0
Zaro Stability Doculta	Minimum:		Maximum:	Т	ime:	
Zero Stability Results	[IVIIIIIIIIIIII.		waxiiiuii.	1	mic.	
Average:	mg/m ³ 0.0	:mg/m ²	0.001	:mg/m ³	2:00	:hrs

Date



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C122427

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC12-0960)

Description / 儀器名稱

Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ010)

Manufacturer / 製造商

Bruel & Kjaer

Model No. / 型號

2238

Serial No. / 編號

2285721

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : Line Voltage / 電壓 :

 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(55 \pm 20)\%$

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

20 April 2012

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Precision Measurement Ltd., UK
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested By

測試

L K Yeung

Certified By

核證

K/C Lee

Date of Issue 簽發日期

23 April 2012

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C122427

證書編號

The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to 1. warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

Self-calibration using the B & K Acoustic Calibrator 4231, S/N: 2713428 was performed before the test. 2.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator

C120016

CL281

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

DC110233

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

Sound Pressure Level 6.1

Reference Sound Pressure Level 6.1.1

	UUT	Setting		Applie	d Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

	UU	Γ Setting		Applie	d Value	UUT
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
50 - 130	L _{AFP}	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00) []	104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 0.7 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

	UUT	Setting		Applied Value		UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 130	LAFP	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L _{ASP}	8	S	1000		94.0	± 0.1
	L _{AIP}		I			94.1	± 0.1

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。 局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.:

C122427

證書編號

Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting		Applied Value		UUT	IEC 60651		
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 110	L _{AFP}	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{AFMax}				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L _{ASP}		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L _{ASMax}				500 ms	101.9	-4.1 ± 1.0

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651					
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level Freq. (dB)		Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)					
50 - 130	LAFP	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.6	-39.4 ± 1.5					
	2000	100			63 Hz	67.8	-26.2 ± 1.5					
										125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.0
						250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0				
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0					
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.					
					2 kHz	95.2	$+1.2 \pm 1.0$					
					4 kHz	95.0	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$					
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5; -3.0)					
		11			-12.5 kHz	89.7	-4.3 (+3.0; -6.0)					

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT	Setting		Appli	ed Value	UUT	IEC 60651
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Type 1 Spec. (dB)
50 - 130	L _{CFP}	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.1	-3.0 ± 1.5
			1		63 Hz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.8	-6.2 (+3.0; -6.0)

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準 + 局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書而批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗所 c/o 香港新界屯門與安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986

E-mail/配郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.sunereation.com

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C122427

證書編號

6.4 Time Averaging

	UUT	Setting			Applied Value			UUT	IEC 60804	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)	Reading (dB)	01.00
30 - 110	LAcq	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	99.9	± 0.5
	1.00	TY to				1/102		90	89.6	± 0.5
			60 sec.			1/103		80	79.8	± 1.0
			5 min.			1/104	-	70	69.8	± 1.0

Remarks: - Mfr's Spec.: IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : $31.5 \, \text{Hz} - 125 \, \text{Hz}$: $\pm 0.40 \, \text{dB}$

104 dB: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB: 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) Burst equivalent level : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB

continuous sound level)

Note

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部被印本證書書先獲本實驗所書面批准。

⁻ The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C122426

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC12-0960)

Description / 儀器名稱

Acoustical Calibrator (EQ082)

Manufacturer / 製造商

Bruel & Kjaer

Model No. / 型號

4231

Serial No. / 編號

2713428

Supplied By / 委託者

Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :

 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}C$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(55 \pm 20)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓:

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

20 April 2012

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

All results are within manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested By 測試

L K Yeung

Certified By 核證

K/C Lee

Date of Issue 簽發日期

23 April 2012

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。 局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

6/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 核正及檢測實驗所

c/o 香港新界屯門與安里一號青山灣機樓四樓 Tel 7世話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 3



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C122426

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.

2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL130 CL281 TST150A DescriptionCertificate No.Universal CounterC113350Multifunction Acoustic CalibratorDC110233Measuring AmplifierC120886

Test procedure : MA100N.

5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

5.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.1	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.1		

5.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0		

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

5.2.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.	
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

5.2.2 After Adjustment

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	Mfr's	Uncertainty of Measured Value
(kHz)	(kHz)	Spec.	(Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可測源至國際標準。周部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C122426

證書編號

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note:

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR BEN TAM

CLIENT: ADDRESS: **ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES** RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG,

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD.

KWAI CHUNG.

N.T., HONG KONG.

PROJECT:

WORK ORDER:

HK1227052

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED:

10/10/2012

DATE OF ISSUE:

16/10/2012

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal aceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:

Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Salinity and Temperature

Description:

YSI Professional Plus

Brand Name: Model No.:

YSI Professional Plus

Serial No.:

10G101946

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 15 October, 2012

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre

1-3 Wing Yip Street

Kwai Chung HONG KONG Phone:

852-2610 1044

Fax:

852-2610 2021

Email:

hongkong@alsglobal.com

Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey aboratory Manager - Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1227052

Amendment:

1

Date of Issue:

16/10/2012

Client:

ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES



Description:

YSI Professional Plus

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

YSI Professional Plus

Serial No.:

10G101946

Equipment No.:

--

Date of Calibration:

15 October, 2012

Date of next Calibration:

15 January, 2013

Parameters:

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.12	3.10	-0.02
5.97	5.89	-0.08
7.96	7.95	-0.01
	Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)	0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.97	-0.03
7.0	7.02	0.02
10.0	10.00	0.00
	Tolerance Limit (±unit)	0.20

Salinity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

method item / it in t (225t edition), 25255				
Expected Reading (g/L)	Displayed Reading (g/L)	Tolerance (%)		
0	0.00			
10	10.06	0.6		
20	20.30	1.5		
30	30.11	0.4		
	Tolerance Limit (+%)	10.0		

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

	datac Noi 5 Second Carton March 2000, Working Thermometer Canbration Frocedure					
Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)		Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)			
			00 m			
	9.0	9.3	0.3			
	24.5	24.6	0.1			
	41.0	40.8	-0.2			
		Tolerance Limit (°C)	2.0			

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EOUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR BEN TAM

CLIENT: ADDRESS: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG,

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG,

N.T., HONG KONG.

PROJECT:

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal aceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:

Turbidity

Description:

Turbidimeter

Brand Name: Model No.:

HACH 21000

Serial No.:

12060C018266

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 29 October, 2012

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre

1-3 Wing Yip Street

Kwai Chung HONG KONG Phone:

852-2610 1044

Fax:

852-2610 2021

Email:

hongkong@alsglobal.com

Mr Chan Kwok Hai, Godfrey Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

WORK ORDER:

LABORATORY:

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE OF ISSUE:

HK1228210

HONG KONG

19/10/2012

29/10/2012

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 2

ADDRESS 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong PHONE +852 2610 1044 FAX +852 2610 2021 ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Life Sciences

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1228210

Date of Issue:

29/10/2012

Client:

ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES



Description:

Turbidimeter

Brand Name:

HACH

Model No.:

2100Q

Serial No.:

12060C018266

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration:

29 October, 2012

Date of next Calibration:

29 January, 2013

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: ALPHA 21st Ed. 2130B

Method Ref. Aci IIA 2130 Ed. 2130B			
Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)	
0	0.58		
4	3.73	-6.75	
40	39.0	-2.50	
80	79.2	-1.00	
400	384	-4.00	
800	769	-3.88	
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0	

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



Appendix F

Meteorological information



Meteorological Data Extracted from HKO during the Reporting Period

Date			Lau Fau Shan Weather Station				
		Weather		Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Nov-12	Thu	Cloudy, sunny periods, dry, moderate northeasterly winds.	0.0	20.2	12.0	58	40
2-Nov-12	Fri	Sunny periods, cloudy, moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0.0	21.6	9.2	70	70
3-Nov-12	Sat	Cloudy, rain, sunny intervals, moderate easterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	0.0	23.8	13.0	73	70
4-Nov-12	Sun	Cloudy, sunny intervals, moderate north to northeasterly winds	0.0	23.5	14.0	64	30
5-Nov-12	Mon	Cloudy, sunny periods, dry, moderate northeasterly winds.	0.0	23.3	11.6	64	70
6-Nov-12	Tue	Fine, dry, cloudy, moderate east to northeasterly winds.	0.0	23.4	11.8	65	70
7-Nov-12	Wed	Sunny periods, cloudy, moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0.0	23.5	14.1	71	80
8-Nov-12	Thu	Cloudy, rain, sunny intervals, moderate easterly winds, fresh offshore at first.	0.0	24.8	13.0	76	70
9-Nov-12	Fri	Fine, dry, cloudy, moderate east to northeasterly winds.	0.0	26	10.8	77	60
10-Nov-12	Sat	Cloudy, sunny intervals, moderate north to northeasterly winds	0.0	26	7.2	81	130
11-Nov-12	Sun	Cloudy, sunny intervals, moderate north to northeasterly winds	4.0	20.6	19.5	73	40
12-Nov-12	Mon	Fine, cloudy, moderate east to northeasterly winds	0.0	20.7#	10.1#	70#	040#
13-Nov-12	Tue	Cloudy, sunny periods, dry, moderate northeasterly winds.	0.0	22	10.4	78	70
14-Nov-12	Wed	Cloudy, sunny periods, dry, moderate northeasterly winds.	0.0	22.8	10.5	78	70
15-Nov-12	Thu	Cloudy, sunny intervals, moderate north to northeasterly winds	0.0	23.2	18.1	77	80
16-Nov-12	Fri	Sunny periods, cloudy, moderate to fresh easterly winds.	1.5	23.5	18.3	79	80
17-Nov-12	Sat	Cloudy, sunny periods, dry, moderate northeasterly winds.	8.0	19.3	14.5	83	30
18-Nov-12	Sun	Cloudy, rain, moderate to fresh easterly winds	2.5	18	9.5	91	70
19-Nov-12	Mon	Cloudy, rain, moderate to fresh easterly winds	0.0	21.7	8.5	81	70
20-Nov-12	Tue	Cloudy, rain, moderate to fresh easterly winds	0.5	21.5	12.9#	84#	070#
21-Nov-12	Wed	Cloudy, rain, foggy, light to moderate southerly winds	2.0	22.5	12.7	94	70
22-Nov-12	Thu	Cloudy, rain, foggy, moderate to fresh northerly winds	0.0	24.6	10.3	91	140
23-Nov-12	Fri	Cloudy, rain, moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh at first.	32.5	21.2	18.2	85	40
24-Nov-12	Sat	Cloudy, rain, moderate to fresh easterly winds	0.5	17.4	12.3	89	40
25-Nov-12	Sun	Cloudy, rain, foggy, moderate to fresh northerly winds	12.0	20.8	11.8	98	70
26-Nov-12	Mon	Cloudy, rain, foggy, moderate to fresh northerly winds	22.0	16.8	15.9	98	50
27-Nov-12	Tue	Cloudy, rain, moderate east to northeasterly winds, occasionally fresh at first.	10.5	13.5	10.3	99	40
28-Nov-12	Wed	Cloudy, overcast, mist, Moderate east to northeasterly winds.	0.5	17.3	8.0	97	330
29-Nov-12	Thu	Cloudy, overcast ,rain ,mist ,moderate northeasterly winds.	4.5	18.3	7.9	98	70
30-Nov-12	Fri	Cloudy, rain, cool, moderate north to northeasterly winds	4.5	19.7	10.1	99	70

missing (less than 24 hourly observations a day)

Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected



Appendix G

Event and Action Plan

DSD Contract No. DC/2009/08 – Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station Event Action Plan – Air Quality



TOX / TOX / TO		ACT	TION		
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR	
Action Level being exceeded for one sample	 Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	Notify Contractor.	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.	
Action Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. 	
Limit Level being exceeded for one sample	 Identify source; Inform IEC, ER and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions; Keep EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on potential remedial actions; Ensure remedial actions properly implemented. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. 	
Limit Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Investigate the causes of exceedance; Arrange meeting with EPD and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's working method; Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Discuss with IEC and the Contractor on potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not resolved; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	



EVENT		ACT	TION	
EVENI	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level	 Notify IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check the effectiveness of mitigation measures. 	 Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4. Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented.	IEC; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit level	 Notify IEC, ER, EPD & Contractor; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analy sis of C ontractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER inform ed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Confirm receipt of no tification in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; If exceedances continue, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	Undertake immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by ER, until the exceedance is abated.

DSD Contract No. DC/2009/08 – Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station Event Action Plan – Water Quality (Local Stream)



Event	Action			
Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit Level.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.



Appendix H

Monitoring Schedule in Reporting Month and Coming Month



Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period

D. (Dust Mo	onitoring	NT . NT	
L	Date		24-hour TSP	Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
Thu	1-Nov-12				
Fri	2-Nov-12				
Sat	3-Nov-12				
Sun	4-Nov-12				
Mon	5-Nov-12				
Tue	6-Nov-12				
Wed	7-Nov-12				
Thu	8-Nov-12				
Fri	9-Nov-12				
Sat	10-Nov-12				
Sun	11-Nov-12				
Mon	12-Nov-12				
Tue	13-Nov-12				
Wed	14-Nov-12				
Thu	15-Nov-12				
Fri	16-Nov-12				
Sat	17-Nov-12				
Sun	18-Nov-12				
Mon	19-Nov-12				
Tue	20-Nov-12				
Wed	21-Nov-12				
Thu	22-Nov-12				
Fri	23-Nov-12				
Sat	24-Nov-12				
Sun	25-Nov-12				
Mon	26-Nov-12				
Tue	27-Nov-12				
Wed	28-Nov-12				
Thu	29-Nov-12				
Fri	30-Nov-12				

Monitoring Day
Sunday or Public Holiday



Monitoring Schedule for Coming Month

Date		Dust Mo	onitoring	NI - 1 NI 14 1	W-4 O
L	Date		24-hour TSP	Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
Sat	1-Dec-12				
Sun	2-Dec-12				
Mon	3-Dec-12				
Tue	4-Dec-12				
Wed	5-Dec-12				
Thu	6-Dec-12				
Fri	7-Dec-12				
Sat	8-Dec-12				
Sun	9-Dec-12				
Mon	10-Dec-12				
Tue	11-Dec-12				
Wed	12-Dec-12				
Thu	13-Dec-12				
Fri	14-Dec-12				
Sat	15-Dec-12				
Sun	16-Dec-12				
Mon	17-Dec-12				
Tue	18-Dec-12				
Wed	19-Dec-12				
Thu	20-Dec-12				
Fri	21-Dec-12				
Sat	22-Dec-12				
Sun	23-Dec-12				
Mon	24-Dec-12				
Tue	25-Dec-12				
Wed	26-Dec-12				
Thu	27-Dec-12				
Fri	28-Dec-12				
Sat	29-Dec-12				
Sun	30-Dec-12				
Mon	31-Dec-12				

Monitoring Day
Sunday or Public Holiday



Appendix I

Results Data

DSD Contract No DC/2009/08 – Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station

Impact 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results - AM1 (Lions Clubs International Ho Tak Sum Primary School)

									STANDAF	RD	INITIAL	FINAL	WEIGHT	Dust	
DATE SAMPLI		l I	ELAPSED		MIN	MAX	AVG	AVG	AVG	FLOW	AIR	FILTER	FILTER	DUST	24-hr TSP
	NUMBE R		TIME		CHART	CHART	CHART	TEMP	PRESS	RATE	VOLUM E	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	COLLECTED	in air
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	READIN G	READIN G	READING	(°C)	(hPa)	(m ³ /min)	(std m ³)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(ug/m ³)
1-Nov-12	25166	11861.12	11885.08	1437.60	34	38	36.0	21.1	1016.9	1.16	1664	2.7988	2.8945	0.0957	58
7-Nov-12	25167	11885.08	11909.21	1447.80	34	39	36.5	23.2	1015.6	1.17	1692	2.7975	2.8989	0.1014	60
13-Nov-12	25202	11909.21	11933.38	1450.20	35	39	37.0	23.1	1016.3	1.19	1719	2.785	2.9531	0.1681	98
19-Nov-12	25206	11933.38	11957.53	1449.00	36	41	38.5	22	1016.8	1.24	1791	2.8212	2.9569	0.1357	76
24-Nov-12	25228	11957.53	11981.46	1435.80	36	42	39.0	19.5	1017.4	1.26	1806	2.8237	2.9043	0.0806	45
30-Nov-12	25226	11981.46	12005.35	1433.40	35	41	38.0	20.9	1013.3	1.19	1702	2.7994	2.8543	0.0549	32

Action Level: 162 Limit Level: 260

Impact 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results - AM2(a) (Yeung Chun Pui Care & Attention Home)

									STANDARD		INITIAL	FINAL	WEIGHT	Dust	
DATE	SAMPLE	I	ELAPSED		MIN	MAX	AVG	AVG	AVG	FLOW	AIR	FILTER	FILTER	DUST	24-hr TSP
	NUMBE R		TIME		CHART	CHART	CHART	TEMP	PRESS	RATE	VOLUM E	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	COLLECTED	in air
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	READIN G	READIN G	READING	(°C)	(hPa)	(m ³ /min)	(std m ³)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(ug/m ³)
1-Nov-12														power	failure
7-Nov-12	25169	13379.78	13404.02	1454.40	35	40	37.5	23.2	1015.6	1.14	1663	2.795	3.0015	0.2065	124
13-Nov-12	24995	13404.02	13428.21	1451.40	36	40	38.0	23.1	1016.3	1.16	1683	2.7792	2.9454	0.1662	99
19-Nov-12	25168	13428.21	13452.32	1446.60	35	41	38.0	22	1016.8	1.16	1681	2.7959	2.9831	0.1872	111
24-Nov-12	25229	13452.32	13476.11	1427.40	33	37	35.0	19.5	1017.4	1.07	1534	2.812	2.8922	0.0802	52
30-Nov-12	25210	13476.11	13499.89	1426.80	34	39	36.5	20.9	1013.3	1.12	1592	2.8053	2.8726	0.0673	42

Action Level: 190 Limit Level: 260

DSD Contract No DC/2009/08

Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Extension of Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station

		Cons	truction of	f Yuen Loi	_				f Ha Tsuen esults - R1I	_	umping Sta	ation		
Date	ACTION	I/ LIMIT			ounniu y	or water c	zaanty mo	intorning it	courts it i					
Location					1) OD	ng/L)	DOS	s (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	Н	SS (r	ng/L)
R1b					ACT LIM	4.6 4		1	ACT LIM	15.6 16.2	ACT LIM		ACT LIM	31.5 31.9
Date	1-No	ov-12			LIIVI	4			LIIVI	10.2	LIIVI		LIIVI	31.7
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	6 (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	Н	SS (r	ng/L)
R1b	11:52	0.60	24.3	24.3	10.16	10.0	98.1	96.5	5.5	5.7	7.9	7.9	9	9.0
			24.3		9.87		94.9		5.8		7.8		9	1
Date	3-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	6 (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	Н	SS (r	ng/L)
R1b	9:32	0.50	28.2	28.2	7.85	7.4	73.2	71.7	5.6	5.5	7.6	7.7	11	11.0
KID	7.02	0.00	28.2	20.2	6.89	7	70.1	7 1.7	5.4	0.0	7.8	,.,	11	11.0
Date	5-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	6 (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	H	SS (r	ng/L)
			28.3		11.13		86.3		7.2		7.7		13	
R1b	10:21	0.60	28.3	28.3	10.02	10.6	84.1	85.2	6.3	6.7	7.8	7.8	13	13.0
Date Location	7-No	ov-12 Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (ng/L)	DOS	6 (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)		Н	99 (r	ng/L)
			25.4		8.65		95.3		15.0		8.21		20	
R1b	11:48	0.60	25.4	25.4	8.48	8.6	91.5	93.4	13.1	14.1	8.22	8.2	20	20.0
Date		ov-12	Ŧ	(-0)	DO 6	(1.)		. (0()	To code to the	- AITIIN	_		SC (-	(1.)
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp 24.9	(00)	9.41	ng/L)	88.9	5 (%) 	10.0	ty (NTU)	7.95	H	12	ng/L)
R1b	9:18	0.60	24.9	24.9	8.86	9.1	87.6	88.3	8.2	9.1	7.94	7.9	12	12.0
		•			•	•		•	•	•	•		•	
Date		ov-12					ı				T			
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)		ng/L)		(%)	1	ty (NTU)		H		ng/L)
R1b	11:49	0.50	26.6 26.6	26.6	7.91 7.6	7.8	89.3 87.7	88.5	12.6 13.0	12.8	8.77 8.78	8.8	24	24.0
					ı	ı		1		ı				
Date		ov-12			T		1							
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	9.36	ng/L)		(%)		ty (NTU)		H		ng/L)
R1b	10:18	0.50	25.0 25.0	25.0	9.21	9.3	88.9 87.4	88.2	13.6	13.3	7.92 7.91	7.9	19 19	19.0
					1	<u>I</u>		1	I	l		I	II.	
Date	17-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)		ng/L)		(%)		ty (NTU)		Н		ng/L)
R1b	9:28	0.50	24.1 24.0	24.1	4.86 4.78	4.8	59.0 58.7	58.9	11.5 11.1	11.3	7.8	7.8	11	11.0
		<u> </u>	21.0		1.70	<u> </u>	56.7				7.0			
Date	19-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)		ng/L)		(%)	_	ty (NTU)		Н		ng/L)
R1b	14:27	0.60	24.0 24.1	24.1	6.56	6.5	79.6 79.2	79.4	11.2	10.8	7.8	7.8	9	9.0
			24.1		0.36	<u> </u>	17.2		10.4	<u> </u>	7.0		7	<u>l</u>
Date	22-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	Н	SS (r	ng/L)
R1b	15:01	0.60	27.0	27.0	6.32	6.3	76.9	76.7	8.7	8.2	8.03	8.0	11	11.0
			27.0		6.28	1	76.5	I	7.8	<u> </u>	8.01		11	<u> </u>
Date	24-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	6 (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	Н	SS (r	ng/L)
R1b	11:52	0.60	20.5	20.5	6.3	6.3	62.1	60.5	11.2	10.9	7.86	7.9	15	15.0
			20.4		6.24		58.9		10.5	l,	7.85		15	
Date	26-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	6 (%)	Turbidit	ty (NTU)	р	Н	SS (r	ng/L)
R1b	15:23	0.70	20.2	20.2	6.21	6.3	63.8	63.8	12.6	13.0	7.75	7.7	16	16.0
	20	5.70	20.1	25.2	6.3	0.0	63.7	55.5	13.4	1 .5.5	7.74	,	16	. 5.0
Date	28.N	ov-12												

Date	30-N	ov-12												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		рН		SS (mg/L)	
D1h	11.22	0.70	24.6	24.4	5.66	F /	66.2	/ F 3	7.4	7.0	7.9	7.0	18	10.0
R1b	11:32	0.60	24 1	24.4	5.44	0.0	64.2	65.2	7.2	7.3	7.8	7.9	18	18.0

61.3 69.9

DO (mg/L)

5.7

5.82 5.5

Temp (oC)

21.6

21.6 21.5

Location

R1b

Time Depth (m)

0.60

9:58

DOS (%)

65.6

Turbidity (NTU)

14.4

14.6

14.2

рΗ

8.2

8.18 8.13 SS (mg/L)

15.0

15 15





Appendix J

Graphical plots



Air Quality - 24-hour TSP







Remark: newly location AM2(a) replaced the original AM2 since 7 November 2012.



Air Quality – 1 hour TSP







Remark: newly location AM2(a) replaced the original AM2 since 7 November 2012.




Construction Noise









Water Quality - Local Stream Course (R1b)









Appendix K

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Drainage Service Department Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

for Contract with Waste Management Plan under ETWB TCW No. 15/2003

Reporting Year: 2012

Contract No. *DC/2009/08*

(To be submitted to C&D MM Coordinator of Respective Division/Region via Engineer's Representative before 15th of the following month)

	Act	ual Quantities of Inc	ert C&D Materials	Generated / Import	ed (in '000 m ³)		Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated						
2012 Month	Total Quantities Generated	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in Other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported C&D Material	Metal	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastic (bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foams from package material)	Chemical Waste	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.)		
	[a+b+c+d)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)		
January	1.856	0	0	0	1.856	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
February	1.516	0	0	0	1.516	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
March	2.256	0	0	0	2.256	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
April	2.134	0	0	0	2.134	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.002		
May	3.213	0	0	0	3.213	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
June	1.524	0	0	0	1.524	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
Half-year total	12.499	0	0	0	12.499	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.007		
July	3.132	0	0	0	3.132	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
August	3.321	0	0	0	3.321	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
September	1.152	0	0	0	1.152	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
October	1.231	0	0	0	1.231	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.002		
November	1.012	0	0	0	1.012	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.001		
December	0.000												
Yearly Total	22.347	0	0	0	22.347	0	0	0	0.000	0	0.013		



Appendix L

Inspection Checklist



Inspector Date: Time: PART Weath Temper Humic Wind:	Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen PS 6 November 2012 10:00 A: GENERAL INFORMATION Environmental er: Sunny Fine Cloudy Cerature: 23.7	Inspected by RE's representative: IEC's representative: ET's representative: Contractor's representative: Checklist No. ntal Permit No.: N/A Rainy Calm			- Nicola Chan	Cheung a Hon Yau Pang/ Jase 00908-06-Nov-20	
PART	B: SITE AUDIT						
		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 1: Water Quality					-	
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?		\checkmark				
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark				
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?		\checkmark				
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to divert the surface run-off to sedimentation tanks/desilting system prior discharge?		\checkmark				
1.06	Are there any temporary perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	\checkmark					
1.07	Is temporary drainage system (within site boundaries) and the nearby permanent drainage system (outside site boundaries) are well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?		\checkmark				
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark				
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark				
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark				
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.14	Is overflow runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?		\checkmark				
1.15	Are there chemical toilets provided on site?		\checkmark				
1.16	Are chemical toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark				
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?					\checkmark	
1.18	Is the oil leakage from the on-site vehicles/plants or spillage during the fuel refilling avoided?		\checkmark				
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent oil leakage entering the temporary/permanent drainage system?		\checkmark				
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?		\checkmark				
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	\checkmark					
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark				



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Is the sediment laden runoff from the unpaved surface to avoid discharge into the nearby aquatic environments, mash lands and moat ponds?		\checkmark				
Sectio	n 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?		\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials or exposure soil surface sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark				
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?		\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved and speed control (<15km/hr)?				\checkmark		Photo 1
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark				
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark				
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark				
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark				
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas (3-sided roofed enclosure) during the use of bagged cement?		\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit (<15km/hour)?		\checkmark				
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Are any materials dropped on the roads (Outside the site boundaries) had clean up immediately?		\checkmark				
Sectio	n 3: Noise					-	
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark				
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark				
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?	\checkmark					
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark				
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		\checkmark				
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?		\checkmark				
Sectio	n 4: Waste/Chemical Management					-	
4.01	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
4.02	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?		\checkmark				
4.05	Are the chemical waste containers properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark				
4.07	Is the chemical waste storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.08	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark				
4.09	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?	\checkmark					
4.10	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?	\checkmark					
4.11	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.12	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bunded?		\checkmark				
4.13	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark				
4.14	Are construction wastes sorted on site?		\checkmark				
4.15	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.18	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.19	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark				
4.20	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark				
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					·	
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?		\checkmark				
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark				
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark					
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark				
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others						
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?		\checkmark				
6.02	Is mosquito control measures adequately implemented?		\checkmark				



Remarks: Follow up of Last Site Inspection:

Nil.

Observations recorded in this Site Inspection: (6-Nov-2012)



Photo 1: Mud trail was observed at the access road of Shui Tsui San Tsuen, the Contractor should clear the mud or apply water spray on the road regularly.

RE's representative	IEC's representative	ET's representative	Contractor's representative	
() ((Nicola Hon)	(



Inspector Date: Time: PART Weath	Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen PS 13 November 2012 10:00 A: GENERAL INFORMATION Environmental	Inspected by RE's representative: IEC's representative: ET's representative: Contractor's representative: Checklist No. ental Permit No.: N/A Rainy			- Nicola Chan	K.P. Cheung - Nicola Hon Chan Yau Pang/ Jason Chung DC200908-13-Nov-2012			
Humid	ity: High Moderate Low								
Wind:	Strong Sreeze Light	Calm							
PART	B: SITE AUDIT								
		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks		
Section	on 1: Water Quality				-	<u> </u>			
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\checkmark						
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?								
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark						
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?		\checkmark						
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to divert the surface run-off to sedimentation tanks/desilting system prior discharge?		\checkmark						
1.06	Are there any temporary perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	\checkmark							
1.07	Is temporary drainage system (within site boundaries) and the nearby permanent drainage system (outside site boundaries) are well maintained?		V						
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?		\checkmark						
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark						
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark						
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark						
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark						
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?		\checkmark						
1.14	Is overflow runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?		\checkmark						
1.15	Are there chemical toilets provided on site?		\checkmark						
1.16	Are chemical toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark						
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?					\checkmark			
1.18	Is the oil leakage from the on-site vehicles/plants or spillage during the fuel refilling avoided?		\checkmark						
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent oil leakage entering the temporary/permanent drainage system?		\checkmark						
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?		\checkmark						
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?	\checkmark							
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark						



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Is the sediment laden runoff from the unpaved surface to avoid discharge into the nearby aquatic environments, mash lands and moat ponds?		\checkmark				
Section	on 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?		\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials or exposure soil surface sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark				
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?		\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved and speed control (<15km/hr)?		\checkmark				
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark				
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark				
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark				
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark				
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas (3-sided roofed enclosure) during the use of bagged cement?		\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit (<15km/hour)?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Are any materials dropped on the roads (Outside the site boundaries) had clean up immediately?		\checkmark				
Section	nn 3: Noise					•	
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark				
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark				
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?	\checkmark					
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark				
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		\checkmark				
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?		\checkmark				
Section	n 4: Waste/Chemical Management						
4.01	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
4.02	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?		\checkmark				
4.05	Are the chemical waste containers properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark				
4.07	Is the chemical waste storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.08	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark				
4.09	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?	\checkmark					
4.10	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?	\checkmark					
4.11	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.12	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bunded?		\checkmark				
4.13	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?				\checkmark		Photo 1, 2&3
4.14	Are construction wastes sorted on site?		\checkmark				
4.15	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?				\checkmark		Photo 1, 2&3
4.17	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.18	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.19	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark				
4.20	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark				
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					·	
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?		\checkmark				
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark				
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark					
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark				
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others						
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?		\checkmark				
6.02	Is mosquito control measures adequately implemented?		\checkmark				



Remarks:

Follow up of Last Site Inspection:

1. The mid trail at the access road of Shui Tsui San Tsuen was removed.

Observations recorded in this Site Inspection: (13-Nov-2012)



Photo 1: Scattered of waste was observed Shui Tsui San Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station, housekeeping should be improved.





Photo 2 and 3: Scattered of C&D waste was observed Ha Tseun Sewage Pumping Station, housekeeping should be improved.

RE's representative	IEC's representative	ET's representative	Contractor's representative	
() ()	(Nicola Hon)	()



Projec	ct: DC/2009/08 Construction of Yuen Long South Branch	Inspected by								
	Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen PS	RE's represen	tative:			Cheung				
Inspe		IEC's represe				Chan				
Date: Time:	21 November 2012 10:00	ET's represen		stativa.	Nicola		on Chung			
illie.	10.00	Contractor's r Checklist No.	epreser	itative.	Chan Yau Pang/ Jason Chung DC200908-21-Nov-2012					
PART	A: GENERAL INFORMATION Environmenta	I Permit No.: N/	Α							
Weath		Rainy								
Temp	erature: 22.1 °C									
Humic	dity: High Moderate Low									
Wind:	Strong Breeze ✓ Light	Calm								
PART B: SITE AUDIT										
		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks			
Section	on 1: Water Quality					-				
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\checkmark							
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?		\checkmark							
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark							
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?		\checkmark							
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to divert the surface run-or to sedimentation tanks/desilting system prior discharge?	ff	\checkmark							
1.06	Are there any temporary perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	\checkmark								
1.07	Is temporary drainage system (within site boundaries) and the nearby permanent drainage system (outside site boundaries) are well maintained?		\checkmark							
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?		\checkmark							
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark							
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?									
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark							
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark							
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?		\checkmark							
1.14	Is overflow runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?		\checkmark							
1.15	Are there chemical toilets provided on site?		\checkmark							
1.16	Are chemical toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark							
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?					\checkmark				
1.18	Is the oil leakage from the on-site vehicles/plants or spillage during the fuel refilling avoided?		\checkmark							
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent oil leakage entering th temporary/permanent drainage system?	е	\checkmark							
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?		\checkmark							
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?									
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark							



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Is the sediment laden runoff from the unpaved surface to avoid discharge into the nearby aquatic environments, mash lands and moat ponds?		\checkmark				
Section	on 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?		\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials or exposure soil surface sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark				
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?		\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved and speed control (<15km/hr)?		\checkmark				
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark				
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark				
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark				
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark				
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas (3-sided roofed enclosure) during the use of bagged cement?		\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit (<15km/hour)?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Are any materials dropped on the roads (Outside the site boundaries) had clean up immediately?		\checkmark				
Section	nn 3: Noise					•	
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark				
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark				
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?	\checkmark					
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark				
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		\checkmark				
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?		\checkmark				
Section	n 4: Waste/Chemical Management						
4.01	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
4.02	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?		\checkmark				
4.05	Are the chemical waste containers properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark				
4.07	Is the chemical waste storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.08	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark				
4.09	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?	\checkmark					
4.10	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?	\checkmark					
4.11	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.12	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bunded?		\checkmark				
4.13	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark				
4.14	Are construction wastes sorted on site?		\checkmark				
4.15	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.18	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.19	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark				
4.20	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark				
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					- -	
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?		\checkmark				
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark				
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark					
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark				
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others						
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?		\checkmark				
6.02	Is mosquito control measures adequately implemented?		\checkmark				



Remarks:

Follow up of Last Site Inspection:

- 1. Housekeeping in Shui Tsui San Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station has been improved.
- 2. Housekeeping in Ha Tsuen Sewage Pumping Station has been improved.

Observations recorded in this Site Inspection: (21-Nov-2012)

No adverse environmental impact was observed during site inspection.

As a reminder, water spraying within the site area should be applied more frequently during dry seaon.

RE's representative	IEC's representative	ET's representative	Contractor's representative
() () (Nicola Hon)	()



Inspector Date: Time: PART Weath Tempor	Construction of Yuen Long South Branch Sewers and Expansion of Ha Tsuen PS 27 November 2012 10:00 A: GENERAL INFORMATION Environmental	Inspected by RE's represer IEC's represer ET's represer Contractor's r Checklist No. Permit No.: N/	ntative: tative: epreser	ntative:	- Nicola Chan	Cheung a Hon Yau Pang/ Jaso 10908-27-Nov-20	
Humid Wind:	lity: High Moderate Low Strong ✓ Breeze Light	Calm					
PART							
TAKI	D. GHE AGDII	Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
Section	on 1: Water Quality						
1.01	Is an effluent discharge license obtained for the Project?		\checkmark				
1.02	Is the effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge licence?		\checkmark				
1.03	Is the discharge of turbid water avoided?		\checkmark				
1.04	Are there proper desilting facilities in the drainage systems to reduce SS levels in effluent?		\checkmark				
1.05	Are there channels, sandbags or bunds to divert the surface run-of to sedimentation tanks/desilting system prior discharge?	f 🔲	\checkmark				
1.06	Are there any temporary perimeter channels provided at site boundaries to intercept storm runoff from crossing the site?	\checkmark					
1.07	Is temporary drainage system (within site boundaries) and the nearby permanent drainage system (outside site boundaries) are well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.08	As excavation proceeds, are temporary access roads protected by crushed stone or gravel?						
1.09	Are temporary exposed slopes properly covered?		\checkmark				
1.10	Are earthworks final surfaces well compacted or protected?		\checkmark				
1.11	Are manholes adequately covered or temporarily sealed?		\checkmark				
1.12	Are there any procedures and equipment for rainstorm protection?		\checkmark				
1.13	Are wheel washing facilities well maintained?		\checkmark				
1.14	Is overflow runoff from wheel washing facilities avoided?		\checkmark				
1.15	Are there chemical toilets provided on site?		\checkmark				
1.16	Are chemical toilets properly maintained?		\checkmark				
1.17	Are the vehicle and plant servicing areas paved and located within roofed areas?					\checkmark	
1.18	Is the oil leakage from the on-site vehicles/plants or spillage during the fuel refilling avoided?	' <u></u>	\checkmark				
1.19	Are there any measures to prevent oil leakage entering the temporary/permanent drainage system?	е 🔲	\checkmark				
1.20	Are there any measures to collect spilt cement and concrete washings during concreting works?		\checkmark				
1.21	Are there any oil interceptors/grease traps in the drainage systems for vehicle and plant servicing areas, canteen kitchen, etc?						
1.22	Are the oil interceptors/grease traps maintained properly?		\checkmark				



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
1.23	Is used bentonite recycled where appropriate?					\checkmark	
1.24	Is the sediment laden runoff from the unpaved surface to avoid discharge into the nearby aquatic environments, mash lands and moat ponds?		\checkmark				
Section	on 2: Air Quality						
2.01	Are there wheel washing facilities with high pressure jets provided at every vehicle exit point?		\checkmark				
2.02	Are vehicles washed to remove any dusty materials from their bodies and wheels before leaving construction sites?		\checkmark				
2.03	Are the excavated materials or exposure soil surface sprayed with water during handling?		\checkmark				
2.04	Are stockpiles of dusty materials sprayed with water, covered or placed in sheltered areas?		\checkmark				
2.05	Is the exposed earth properly treated within six months after the last construction activities?		\checkmark				
2.06	Are the access roads sprayed with water to maintain the entire road surface wet or paved and speed control (<15km/hr)?		\checkmark				
2.07	Is the surface where any drilling, cutting, polishing or breaking operation continuously sprayed with water?		\checkmark				
2.08	Is the load on vehicles covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting?		\checkmark				
2.09	Is the loading of materials to a level higher than the side and tail boards during transportation by vehicles avoided?		\checkmark				
2.10	Is the road leading to the construction site within 30m of the vehicle entrance kept clear of dusty materials?		\checkmark				
2.11	Is dark smoke emission from plant/equipment avoided?		\checkmark				
2.12	Are de-bagging, batching and mixing processes carried out in sheltered areas (3-sided roofed enclosure) during the use of bagged cement?		\checkmark				
2.13	Are site vehicles travelling within the speed limit (<15km/hour)?		\checkmark				
2.14	Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary, which adjoins areas accessible to the public?		\checkmark				
2.15	Is open burning avoided?		\checkmark				
2.16	Are any materials dropped on the roads (Outside the site boundaries) had clean up immediately?		\checkmark				
Section	on 3: Noise					•	
3.01	Are noisy equipment and activities positioned as far as practicable from the sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.02	Is silenced equipment adopted?		\checkmark				
3.03	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		\checkmark				
3.04	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good condition?		\checkmark				
3.05	Are noise barriers or enclosures provided at areas where construction activities cause noise impact on sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
3.06	Are hand held breakers fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?	\checkmark					
3.07	Are air compressors fitted with valid noise emission labels during operation?		\checkmark				
3.08	Are flaps and panels of mechanical equipment closed during operation?		\checkmark				
3.09	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for percussive piling works?					\checkmark	
3.10	Are Construction Noise Permit(s) applied for general construction works during restricted hours?					\checkmark	
3.11	Are valid Construction Noise Permit(s) posted at site entrances?		\checkmark				
Section	on 4: Waste/Chemical Management						
4.01	Are receptacles available for general refuse collection?		\checkmark				



		Not Observed	Yes	No	Follow up	Not Applicable	Photo/ Remarks
4.02	Is general refuse sorting or recycling implemented?		\checkmark				
4.03	Is general refuse disposed of properly and regularly?		\checkmark				
4.04	Is the Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer?		\checkmark				
4.05	Are the chemical waste containers properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.06	Are the chemical wastes stored in proper storage areas?		\checkmark				
4.07	Is the chemical waste storage area properly labelled?		\checkmark				
4.08	Is the chemical waste storage area used for storage of chemical waste only?		\checkmark				
4.09	Are incompatible chemical wastes stored in different areas?	\checkmark					
4.10	Are the chemical wastes disposed of by licensed collectors?	\checkmark					
4.11	Are trip tickets for chemical wastes disposal available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.12	Are chemical/fuel storage areas bunded?		\checkmark				
4.13	Are designated areas identified for storage and sorting of construction wastes?		\checkmark				
4.14	Are construction wastes sorted on site?		\checkmark				
4.15	Are construction wastes reused?		\checkmark				
4.16	Are construction wastes disposed of properly?		\checkmark				
4.17	Are site hoardings and signboards made of durable materials instead of timber?		\checkmark				
4.18	Is trip ticket system implemented for the disposal of construction wastes and records available for inspection?		\checkmark				
4.19	Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated material exists?		\checkmark				
4.20	Is relevant license/ permit for disposal of construction waste or excavated materials available for inspection?		\checkmark				
Section	on 5: Landscape & Visual					·	
5.01	Are retained and transplanted trees in health condition?		\checkmark				
5.02	Are retained and transplanted trees properly protected?		\checkmark				
5.03	Are surgery works carried out for the damaged trees?	\checkmark					
5.04	Is damage to trees outside site boundary due to construction activities avoided?		\checkmark				
5.05	Is the night-time lighting controlled to minimize glare to sensitive receivers?		\checkmark				
Section	on 6: Others					•	
6.01	Are relevant Environmental Permits posted at all vehicle site entrances/exits?		\checkmark				
6.02	Is mosquito control measures adequately implemented?		\checkmark				
							_



Nil			
Observations reco	orded in this Site Inspection: (27-Nov-2012)	
No adverse enviro	nmental impact was observed c	Juring site inspection.	
No adverse enviro	nmental impact was observed c	during site inspection.	
No adverse enviro	nmental impact was observed o	during site inspection.	
No adverse enviro	nmental impact was observed o	during site inspection.	

Nicola Hon