

NATURE & TECHNOLOGIES (HK) LIMITED 科技環保(香港)有限公司

Unit 2 & 3, 4/F., Wellborne Commercial Centre, 8 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong. 香港北角渣華道8號威邦商業中心4樓2及3室 Tel電話:(852)28773122 Fax傳真:(852)25110922 Email電郵: enquiry@nt.com.hk Web page網址: http://www.nt.com.hk

> Development at Former Marine Police Headquarters KIL 11161 Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for June 2008

(Ref No. 3.12/050/2006)

July 2008

Report Certified by the Environmental Team Leader:

Report Verified by the Independent Environmental Checker:

Content

Executive Summary

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Environmental & Implementation Status
- 3. Air Quality
- 4. Noise
- 5. Waste Management
- 6. Cultural Heritage and Landscape
- 7. Summary of Non-Compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions, Environmental Licensing and Permitting
- 8. Other Issues
- 9. Conclusion

Appendices

Appendix A:	The construction programme with milestones of environmental protection/mitigation activities
Appendix B:	
Appendix C:	The environmental site inspection, deficiency and remedial action reports
Appendix D:	Calibration records for the HVSs and calibration certificate for the dust meters
Appendix E:	Detailed impact monitoring data of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP
Appendix F:	Graphical presentations of the air impact monitoring results
Appendix G:	Summary of exceedance
Appendix H:	Calibration certificates of the sound level meter and calibrator
Appendix I:	Detailed impact noise monitoring data for CN1a & CN2a
Appendix J:	Graphical presentations of the noise impact monitoring results

Appendix K: Photographic record of retained trees

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the forty-ninth Environmental Monitoring & Audit report prepared by Nature & Technologies (HK) Ltd. for the development of the former Marine Police Headquarter. Weekly site audit inspections were performed by the Environmental Team on 4, 10, 19 and 26 June 2008. This report therefore documents the impact environmental monitoring and audit work for the former Marine Police Headquarter development for June 2008.

Air and noise monitoring have been carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Tree photographic survey is also provided.

Superstructure furnishing was the major activity with small scale excavation carried out within the project site in the reporting month. No exceedance on TSP was recorded in the reporting month.

There were four limit level exceedances for noise monitoring recorded in the reporting month. Investigation showed that the exceedances were due to other activities not carried out within the project site as there was no noisy operation carried out on site during the period when the limit level exceedances were recorded.

No notifications of summons, prosecutions or non-compliances was received. The site was generally satisfactory and there were a few improvement measures for further pursuit. These include continual protection of the preserved monument structure, closer monitoring of trees and proper operation of wastewater treatment plant. Bi-monthly wastewater sampling scheduled for this month has to be made in early July 2008 when the wastewater treatment plant is returned to proper service. The site opening should also be kept under review in case heavy noisy activities may resume within the site. As a section of the site has been handed over to the contractor for Kowloon Southern Link Project, it is necessary to ensure that the Kowloon Southern Link contractor will comply with present environmental protection requirements.

i

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Subsequent to the completion of works by Konwall Construction & Engineering Co. Ltd., Hien Lee Engineering Co. Ltd. ["HL"] took over the next phase for the development of the Former Marine Police Headquarters ["FMPHQ"] commencing May 2007. HL in turn has commissioned Nature & Technologies (HK) Ltd. ["N&T"] to conduct the environmental monitoring and audit ["EM&A"] work for the project.
- 1.2. Pursuant to Clauses 2.3 of the Environmental Permit ["EP"] EP-184/2004 of the project, the draft EM&A Manual was submitted on 29 April 2004 and the revised version was later approved in end July 2004. Due to project changes, the EM&A Manual was revised in May 2006. Further revision of the EM&A Manual to cater for the present phase of the construction works was submitted to EPD and approval was granted on 22 June 2007.
- 1.3. This report documents the EM&A work and its findings for June 2008 and is the forty-ninth monthly report documenting the EM&A work since the commencement of the construction work.

2. Environmental & Implementation Status


Environmental Status

- 2.1. The location, site layout, historic buildings and structures to be preserved of the Project are shown in Figure 2.1.
- 2.2. The key personnel contact names and telephone numbers with respect to environmental protection works are given in Table 2.1. Environmental Protection Department ["EPD"] is the control authority and may contact any party where necessary for their statutory duties.

Party	Company	Contact Person	Phone
Permit Holder	Flying Snow Ltd.	Mr H S Chan	2112 2634
Project Architect ["AR"]	A+T Design Ltd.	Mr Daniel Lin	2858 4778
Contractor	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd	Mr Howard Lui	9108 3955
Independent Environmental Checker ["IEC"]	CH2M HILL Hong Kong Ltd.	Mr. Alex Chan	3105 8686
Environmental Team ["ET"] Leader	Nature & Technologies (HK) Ltd.	Ir Dr Gabriel C K Lam	2877 3122

Table 2.1 Key Contacts of the Project Team

- 2.3. Superstructure furnishing was the main activity carried out within the project site in June 2008.
- 2.4. The construction programme with milestones of environmental protection/mitigation activities annotated is given in Appendix A.
- 2.5. It is noted that a small section of the site enclosing trees T121/T122 has been recently handed over to the contractor for the Kowloon Southern Link Project ["KSL"] as also shown in Figure 2.1. It is understood that this KSL contractor has committed to comply with the requirements of EM&A Manual and EP conditions for the present site.



Implementation Status

- 2.6. The construction and operational phase impacts of the project have been assessed and presented in the Project Profile issued in January 2004. The Project Profile also specified the recommended environmental mitigation measures to minimize the potential adverse environmental impacts identified. An implementation schedule of the recommended environmental mitigation measures is prepared as part of the Project Profile is contained in Appendix B.
- 2.7. Similar to the presentation made to the previous contractor on 2 June 2004, a presentation was also made to the construction personnel of the new contractor on 26 April 2007 regarding the environmental protection requirements on the site. Working personnel not present in the presentation should be briefed separately by the Contractor on the requirements for environmental protection.
- 2.8. Site environmental audits were carried out by ET on a weekly basis and IEC at least once per month to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. In this reporting month, site audits were conducted on 4, 10, 19 and 26 June 2008. These site audit checklist reports and recommendations are given in Appendix C.
- 2.9. It is noted that the various environmental protection measures have been implemented on site. The following is also noted for June 2008 required for further improvement:
 - Proper operation of the wastewater treatment plant
 - Continual protection and closer monitoring of the trees and historical buildings.
- 2.10. The site opening was changed from the eastern boundary to the western boundary to suit construction works. Review of the site openings may be needed should there be heavy noisy activities within the site.
- 2.11. It is also necessary to ensure that the KSL contractor will comply with the requirements of EM&A Manual and EP conditions for the present site for the section of the site already handed over to them.
- 2.12. The summary status of the submission under the EP is given in Table 2.2.

Item	Description	Submission
No.	·	Date to EPD
1.	Method Statement detailing the protective measures on declared monument buildings	06/2/2004
2.	Landscape Mitigation and Tree Preservation Proposal	06/2/2004
3.	Draft EM&A Manual	29/4/2004
4.	Revised Landscape Mitigation and Tree Preservation Proposal	15/5/2004
5.	Draft Waste Management Plan	14/6/2004
6.	Final Method Statement detailing the protective measures on declared monument buildings which is approved	14/6/2004
7.	Final Landscape Mitigation and Tree Preservation Proposal	21/6/2004
8.	Baseline Monitoring Report	25/6/2004
9.	Monthly EM&A Report for June 2004	21/7/2004
10.	Revised EM&A Manual (Rev. 1) which is approved	26/7/2004
11.	Revised Landscape Mitigation and Tree Preservation Proposal which is approved	26/7/2004
12.	Revised Waste Management Plan which is approved	17/8/2004
13.	Monthly EM&A Report for July 2004	25/8/2004
14.	Revised EM&A Manual (Rev. 2) which is approved	06/9/2004
15.	Monthly EM&A Report for August 2004	21/9/2004
16.	Quarterly EM&A Report for June to August 2004	06/10/2004
17.	Monthly EM&A Report for September 2004	27/10/2004
18.	Monthly EM&A Report for October 2004	15/11/2004
19.	Monthly EM&A Report for November 2004	25/12/2004
20.	Quarterly EM&A Report for September to December 2004	20/1/2005
21.	Monthly EM&A Report for December 2004	28/1/2005
22.	Monthly EM&A Report for January 2005	18/3/2005
23.	Monthly EM&A Report for February 2005	04/4/2005
24.	Monthly EM&A Report for March 2005	10/4/2005
25.	Quarterly EM&A Report for December 2004 to February 2005	13/4/2005
26.	Revised EM&A Manual (April 2005) which is approved	11/5/2005
27.	Revised Waste Management Plan (April 2005) which is approved	11/5/2005
28.	Monthly EM&A Report for April 2005	13/6/2005
29.	Monthly EM&A Report for May 2005	09/7/2005
30.	Quarterly EM&A Report for March to May 2005	29/7/2005
31.	Monthly EM&A Report for June 2005	08/8/2005
32.	Monthly EM&A Report for July 2005	02/9/2005
33.	Monthly EM&A Report for August 2005	14/10/2005
34.	Quarterly EM&A Report for June to August 2005	28/10/2005
35.	Monthly EM&A Report for September 2005	04/11/2005
36.	Monthly EM&A Report for October 2005	21/12/2005
37.	Monthly EM&A Report for November 2005	07/1/2006
38.	Quarterly EM&A Report for September to November 2005	12/1/2006
39.	Monthly EM&A Report for December 2005	09/2/2006
40.	Monthly EM&A Report for January 2006	07/3/2006
41.	Monthly EM&A Report for February 2006	31/3/2006
42.	Quarterly EM&A Report for December 2005 to February 2006	6/4/2006
43.	Monthly EM&A Report for March 2006	11/5/2006
44.	Revised EM&A Manual	11/5/2006
45.	Revised Waste Management Plan (WMP)	11/5/2006
46.	Monthly EM&A Report for April 2006	9/6/2006

Table 2.2 Status of submission under EP up to June 2008

Item		Submission
No.	Description	Date to EPD
47.	Monthly EM&A Report for May 2006	13/7/2006
48.	Monthly EM&A Report for June 2006	11/8/2006
49.	Quarterly EM&A Report for March 2006 to May 2006	11/8/2006
50.	Monthly EM&A Report for July 2006	14/9/2006
51.	Monthly EM&A Report for August 2006	11/10/2006
52.	Quarterly EM&A Report for June 2006 to August 2006	28/10/2006
53.	Monthly EM&A Report for September 2006	6/11/2006
54.	Monthly EM&A Report for October 2006	15/12/2006
55.	Monthly EM&A Report for November 2006	6/1/2007
56.	Monthly EM&A Report for December 2006	2/3/2007
57.	Monthly EM&A Report for January 2007	16/3/2007
58.	Monthly EM&A Report for February 2007	17/4/2007
59.	Monthly EM&A Report for March 2007	4/5/2007
60.	Quarterly EM&A Report for December 2006 to February 2007	4/5/2007
61.	Revised EM&A Manual	12/6/2007
62.	Revised Waste Management Plan (WMP)	12/6/2007
63.	Monthly EM&A Report for April 2007	12/6/2007
64.	Monthly EM&A Report for May 2007	14/7/2007
65.	Quarterly EM&A Report for March 2007 to May 2007	14/7/2007
66.	Monthly EM&A Report for June 2007	14/8/2007
67.	Monthly EM&A Report for July 2007	10/9/2007
68.	Monthly EM&A Report for August 2007	16/10/2007
69.	Quarterly EM&A Report for June 2007 to August 2007	16/10/2007
70.	Monthly EM&A Report for September 2007	28/11/2007
71.	Monthly EM&A Report for October 2007	27/12/2007
72.	Monthly EM&A Report for November 2007	19/1/2008
73.	Quarterly EM&A Report for September 2007 to November 2007	19/1/2008
74.	Monthly EM&A Report for December 2007	15/2/2008
75.	Monthly EM&A Report for January 2008	11/3/2008
76.	Monthly EM&A Report for February 2008	14/4/2008
77.	Quarterly EM&A Report for December 2007 to February 2008	14/4/2008
78.	Monthly EM&A Report for March 2008	24/5/2008
79.	Monthly EM&A Report for April 2008	28/6/2008

Table 2.2(continued) Status of submission under EP up to June 2008

3. Air Quality

3.1. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulate ["TSP"] monitoring was conducted to monitor the air quality. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency was of at least three times in every six-days. For 24-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency was at least once in every six-days.

Action and Limit Levels

3.2. The calculation of the Action and Limit ["AL"] Levels was based on the baseline monitoring results. The AL levels for dust are set in Table 3.1 and 3.2.

Table 3.1 AL levels for 1-hour TSP

Location	Action	Limit	
A1	382	500	
A2a	394	500	
A3a	389	500	
A4	384	500	

Table 3.2 AL levels for 24-hour TSP

Location	Action	Limit
A1	191	260
A2a	193	260
A3a	182	260
A4	187	260

Event and Action Plan for Construction Phase Air Quality

3.3. According to the EM&A Manual, the ET Leader, IEC, AR, and Contractor should undertake relevant actions in accordance with the Action Plan stated in Table 3.3 below should non-compliance of the air quality criteria occurs.

Table 3.3 Event/Action Plan for Air Quality (Dust)

EVENT		ACTION (to be take	n as immediate as practicable)	
	ET	IEC	AR	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded for one sample	 Identify source; Inform IEC and AR; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. 	1. Notify Contractor.	 Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC and AR; Repeat measurements to confirm findings' Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and AR; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the AR on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level being exceeded for one sample	 Identify source; Inform IEC, AR and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions; Keep EPD and AR informed of the results. 	 Checking monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's method; Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; Advise AR on the effectiveness of mitigation measures and supervise their implementation. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods; Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor potential remedial actions; Ensure remedial actions properly implemented. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to AR within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level being exceeded for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform AR and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Investigate the causes of exceedance Arrange meeting with EPD and AR to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD and AR informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Checking monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor's method; Discuss with Contractor on the possible mitigation measures; Review the proposed mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the AR accordingly; Supervise the implementation of mitigation measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Discuss amongst IEC, ET and the Contractor potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to AR within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not resolved; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the AR until the exceedance is abated.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.4. Designated air quality monitoring locations were selected for impact monitoring based on the EM&A Manual Section 3.8. They are shown in Figure 3.1 and briefly described below:
 - A1 is located on the rooftop of the Consumer Council office east of the construction site, estimated to be about 11m above ground.
 - A2 is at the Cultural Centre Studio Theatre podium level south of the construction site, estimated to be about 5m above ground. Monitoring at this location has not yet commenced at the time of preparation of this report as permission to carry out monitoring there has not been received.
 - A2a is at south boundary of the construction site facing the Cultural Centre Studio Theatre selected as an alternative location to A2 in consultation with the IEC, estimated to be about 6m above ground. This is needed since permission from the Cultural Centre for monitoring there is not yet received and in order to reduce the delay to the construction programme by the permission.
 - A3 is at the west site boundary of the construction site on top of the existing hoarding, estimated to be about 5m above ground. This position is slightly different to that originally proposed in the Project Profile due to the inability to obtain permission to gain access to the building at Star House or Marco Polo Hongkong Hotel for measurement and that the present revised position will provide a more conservative measurement for environmental protection
 - A3a is situated just outside of the cordon area of the tree T96, positioned as close to Canton Road as possible and is about 20m south-east of the earlier station A3. The replacement of A3 with A3a was required due to the dismantling of west hoardings hence the removal of platform that host the air sampler. Approval for the replacement was granted by EPD on 14 May 2008 and monitoring at A3a was commenced on 21 May 2008 after installation completion.
 - A4 is at the site boundary north of the construction site on top of the existing hoarding, estimated to be about 13m above ground.
- 3.5. Impact air quality monitoring (both 1-hour and 24-hour TSP) was carried out for June 2008. The monitoring dates for each station are summarised in Table 3.4.

Location	Monitoring period		
Location	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	
A1	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	
A2a	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	
A3a	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	
A4	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	(2, 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008	

Table 3.4 Exact monitoring periods for the impact air monitoring locations

Monitoring Equipment

3.6. The 1-hour and continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring were performed using direct reading DustTrak meter and High Volume Sampler ["HVS"] respectively, located at each of the designated monitoring locations A1, A2a, A3a and A4. Table 3.5 summarizes the equipment used in the impact air monitoring. Copies of the calibration records for the HVSs and calibration certificates for the DustTrak meters are attached in Appendix D. After review of the calibration certificate and technical specification of the DustTrak meter, the IEC and AR have approved the dust meter to be suitable in giving direct reading of 1-hour TSP measurement equivalent to HVS.

Table 3.5 Equipment used in the impact air monitoring

Equipment	Model	Qty.
HVS	GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL	4
Direct reading dust meter	8520 DustTrak	2

Monitoring Methodology

- 3.7. As mentioned above, 1-hour TSP levels was measured by direct reading methods capable of producing comparable results as that by the high volume sampling method, to indicate short term impacts.
- 3.8. For measurement of 24-hour TSP levels, HVS complete with the appropriate sampling inlets were used. The sampler is composed of a motor, filter holder, flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with the requirement of the EM&A Manual. The standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B.*
- 3.9. Initial calibration of the HVSs was performed prior to the commencement of the air monitoring.
- 3.10. The flow rate of the HVS was set to about 1.1 $m^3/min 1.7 m^3/min$ prior to commencement of the dust sampling in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction.
- 3.11. Fiberglass filter papers were used for 24-hour TSP sampling. The filter papers were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The flow indicator reading was recorded and the sampler flow rate was determined. The programmable timer was set and the starting sampling time, weather condition and the filter number was recorded.
- 3.12. At the end of sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealable plastic bag and sent to the laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 3.13. The laboratory for the preparation of the filter papers and analysis of the filter papers is SGS Hong Kong Ltd. which is HOKLAS accredited.

Impact Monitoring Results

3.14. Impact air quality monitoring was conducted at A1, A2a, A3a and A4. Detailed impact monitoring data of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP are presented in Appendix E. These are summarized in Tables 3.6 and 3.7 for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP respectively. Graphical presentations of the monitoring results are shown in Appendix F.

Table 3.6 Summar	/ of 1-hour TSP	monitoring results
rabio oro oannia.		interniterining recounter


Location	Average 1-hour TSP concentration (μg/m³)
A1	117
A2a	137
A3a	156
A4	138

Table 3.7 Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results

Location	Average 24-hour TSP concentration (μg/m ³)
A1	63
A2a	73
A3a	79
A4	66

Observations

3.15. No AL level exceedance was recorded during the reporting month as detailed in Appendix G.



4. Noise

- 4.1. In accordance to the EM&A Manual, noise monitoring has to be carried out at two sensitive receiver locations outside the site. According to the EM&A Manual Section 3.15 3.18, one set of measurements between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays was carried out for each location on weekly basis.
- 4.2. Based on the EM&A Manual Section 3.21, impact ground-borne noise measurement has to be carried out inside the Hong Kong Space Museum ["HKSM"] and Hong Kong Cultural Centre ["HKCC"]. It should be conducted on at least monthly basis during the period when the piling works at the FMPHQ.

Action and Limit Levels

4.3. As per requirements of the EM&A Manual, the AL Levels for noise monitoring locations were established as in Table 4.1 AL levels

Table 4.1 AL levels for impact noise monitoring locations

Time Period	Action	Limit
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one	75* dB(A)
0700-2300 hrs on holidays; and 1900-2300 hrs on all other days	documented complaint is	65** dB(A)
2300-0700 hrs of next day	received	50** dB(A)

Reduce to 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.
 ** Based on Area Somitivity Pating 'B'

Based on Area Sensitivity Rating 'B'.

4.4. The corresponding AL levels for ground borne noise indoor of HKCC and HKSM will be as per Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 AL levels for HKCC and HKSM

Location	Action	Limit
HKCC	When one	60 dB(A)
HKSM Recording Studio (1/F)	When one documented	60 dB(A)
HKSM Sky Theatre (1/F)	complaint is received	60 dB(A)
HKSM Lecture Room (G/F)		60 dB(A)

Event and Action Plan for Noise

4.5. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 4.3 should be carried out as per requirements of the EM&A Manual.

Table 4.3 Event/Action Plant for Construction Noise

EVENT		ACTION (to be taken	as immediate as practicable)		
	ET	IEC	AR	CONTRACTOR	
Action level	 Notify IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check the effectiveness of mitigation measures. 	 Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the AR accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented. 	 Submit noise mitigation proposal to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals. 	
Limit le vel	 Notify IEC, AR, EPD & Contractor; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, AR and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and AR informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst AR, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the AR accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; Ensure mitigation measures are properly implemented; If exceedances continue, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Undertake immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by AR, until the exceedance is abated. 	

Monitoring Locations

- 4.6. The noise monitoring locations, namely CN1a & CN2a, were selected for the impact noise monitoring. These locations are made up for the locations at CN1 & CN2 (podium of Hankow Centre east of the construction site) carried out for the baseline noise monitoring as Hankow Centre no longer permit to enter the premises for noise measurement since 28 May 2004. These locations are shown in Figure 4.1 and are located on the roof of Po Yip Building and 4/F YMCA facing the east of the site.
- 4.7. Ground borne noise measurement was not taken at the HKSM and HKCC in June 2008 as there was no piling.
- 4.8. The monitoring periods for each station are summarised in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4 Exact monitoring periods for the holse monitoring locations			
Location	Monitoring period		
CN1a	(2, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008		
CN2a	(2, 12, 18, 24 and 30)/6/2008		

Table 4.4 Exact monitoring periods for the noise monitoring locations

Monitoring Equipment

- 4.9. Integrated sound level meter was used for the noise monitoring. The meter is in compliance with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications* 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0dB. The calibration certificates of the sound level meter and calibrator are attached in Appendix H.
- 4.10. Also, a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s was used to monitor the wind speed. Table 4.5 summarized the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Equipment	Model			Qty.
Integrated sound level meter	NL-31			1
Calibrator	NC-73			1
Portable wind speed meter	AZ	Instrument	8908	1
	Thermo	-Anemometer		

Table 4.5 Equipment used in the baseline air monitoring

Monitoring Methodology

- 4.11. Impact noise for the 30 minutes period A-weighted levels L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ are measured for CN1a and CN2a. Each 30 minutes noise level is usually comprised of six 5-minutes measured data. For HKSM and HKCC, 30 minutes ground-borne measurements would be taken for various points and is to be comprised of six 5-minutes measured data unless there is insufficient allocation of time slots for measurement by the HKSM or HKCC management.
- 4.12. The frequencies and parameters of noise measurement in the reporting month are thus presented in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Fr	requency	and	parameters	of	noise	monitoring	
--------------	----------	-----	------------	----	-------	------------	--

Location	Frequency	Duration	Parameter
		15	

C	V1a	Weekly	30 min.	L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90}
CI	V2a	Weekly	30 min.	L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90}

- 4.13. The monitoring location at CN1a was at free field condition. The meter was fixed on a stand with the microphone at position 1.2m above the reflecting ground and hence façade correction of +3dB(A) was applied. The other monitoring location at CN2a was at a point 1m from the exterior of the building façade.
- 4.14. Before and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the Calibrator for 94 dB at 1000 Hz.
- 4.15. The wind speed was checked with the portable wind speed meter to be within 5m/s during measurement.
- 4.16. Site conditions and possible noise sources affecting the measurement were recorded on the noise monitoring field record sheet. Extraneous noise was avoided as far as possible.

Impact Monitoring Results


4.17. Impact noise monitoring results for CN1a, CN2a are summarized in Tables 4.7. All detailed impact noise monitoring data and graphical presentations of the monitoring results for CN1a and CN2a are given in Appendix I and Appendix J respectively.

Measurement point	Mean Noise Level, dB(A)		
	L _{eq}	L ₉₀	L ₁₀
CN1a	71.9	69.4	73.8
CN2a	75.5	73.6	77.6

Table 4.7 Summary of noise monitoring results

Observations

4.18. There were four Limit Level exceedances in June 2008. The limit level exceedances were all found at CN2a and were not due to the site construction works as there was no noisy construction activity during the period of measurements.



5. Waste Management


- 5.1. According to the Waste Management Plan ["WMP"], all Construction & Demolition materials will be recorded for each month.
- 5.2. Further revision of the WMP to cater for the present phase of the construction works was submitted to EPD and approval was granted on 22 June 2007.
- 5.3. Types, quantities and disposal location of all surplus excavated materials and wastes arising from the Project site are summarized in Table 5.1 based on information from the Contractor.

Date	Type of Waste	Quantity (tonnes)	Disposal Location
1 June 2008	Soil	113.9	TKO
To	Sorting Facility	319.6	TKO
30 June 2008	General Refuse	10.1	TKO
50 June 2000	Chemical Wastes		

Table 5.1 Summary of the wastes arising from the Project site

Note: SENT – South East New Territories Landfill Site TKO – Fill Bank at Tseung Kwan O Area 137 (Public Filling Facility) QB – Quarry Bay Barging Point MW – Ma Wan Development –VDA Phase 3

- 5.4. Updated records on waste disposal should be made available promptly to allow better monitoring of waste management performance. Waste recycling should be more actively promoted on site. In particular, the Contractor is reminded to maintain proper documentation on the return of chemical containers to suppliers for reuse.
- 5.5. Temporary waste storage location has been shifted to the west closer to the present site entrance to suit for the site progress. As per paragraph 4.33 of the Waste Management Plan of May 2007, Figure 5.1 gives the updated waste storage locations. Proper covering and storage of general refuse should be carried out.
- 5.6. Chemical waste storage area is now removed due to the lack of chemical waste produced. Contractor is reminded to reinstate the proper chemical waste storage area if there is chemical waste produced.



6. Cultural Heritage and Landscape

- 6.1. For protection of cultural heritage, a brief structural investigation has been carried out to all the monument structures, i.e. the Main Building, the Stable Block and Signal Tower. It was found that most of them are brickwork foundation and a mixture of reinforced concrete and timber superstructures, which are sensitive to vibration and ground movement possibly generated during site formation works. In order to protect them from damaging during the construction works, a number of monitoring points such as building settlement markers, ground settlement markers and tell-tale devices, were installed both around and inside all the monument structures prior to commencement of works.
- 6.2. Baseline (initial) monitoring was carried out on 31 May 2004. For monitoring of the monument structure during construction works, the Alert, Alarm and Action levels in Table 6.1 are adopted based on a certain percentage of the design value for allowable structure or ground deformation with the following definition:
 - <u>Alert Level</u> is set at 50% of the allowable structure or ground deformation. This is the lowest response value and signifies the reading for an instrument. Response is to increase the frequency of monitoring
 - <u>Alarm Level</u> is set at 80% of the allowable structure or ground deformation. Response is to increase the monitoring frequency and improve construction methods in the vicinity of the monitoring area.
 - <u>Action Level</u> is set at 100% of the allowable structure or ground deformation. Response is to suspend all activities and notify the Architect before proceeding with any further construction.

Instrument	Unit	Alert	Alarm	Action
Ground Settlement Markers	mm	10	15	20
Building Settlement Markers	mm	5	8	10
Building tilting & settlement	-	1:2000	1:1500	1:1000
Tell-tales (crack monitoring)	mm	5	8	10

Table 6.1 Alert, Alarm and Action Levels of monument structural monitoring

Remarks: * The Design or allowable value is specified in the design report and drawings submitted to and approved by Building Department in their letter Ref (85) in BD 6/4023/03 dated 13 February 2004.

- 6.3. The contractor advised that termination of the above monument measurements has been approved and hence no monument measurements are in the reported in the reporting month.
- 6.4. For preservation of trees, a Tree Preservation Proposal was submitted and baseline photographic survey of trees was taken on 24 February 2004 as a baseline prior to the commencement of construction works. These photographic records are documented in the Baseline environmental monitoring report.
- 6.5. Continuous surveillance showed that the retention, transplantation and felling of trees has been generally in accordance with the recommendations made in the Tree

Preservation Proposal. Photographic records of the trees retained on site are given in Appendix K.

- 6.6. Pruning of dead branches performed on T10, T54 and T96 during 11 to 13 June 2008.
- 6.7. Close monitoring will be continued on T10, T54, T66, T67, T96, T120, T121 and T122.
- 6.8. Detailed on-site and off-site tree matters are being covered in the separate submissions to Planning Department under the approved landscape Mitigation and Tree Preservation Proposal.

7. Summary of Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions, Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 7.1. Four Limit Level exceedances on noise were found in the reporting month. As there was no noisy operation carried out during the monitoring period, the exceedances were not genuinely due to the site construction works as discussed in paragraph 4.18.
- 7.2. No exceedance was recorded for 1-Hr and 24-Hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- 7.3. No environmental prosecution was received in the reporting month.
- 7.4. Construction noise permit for concrete vibrator has been granted on 31 May 2007. Discharge licence under Water Pollution Control Ordinance ["WPCO"] had been granted on 22 June 2007. Status of the environmental licensing and permitting can be summarized as follows:

Description	Permit / License No.	Status	Permit Holder
Environmental Permit	EP-184/2004	Remain valid since 9 February 2004	Flying Snow Ltd.
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE5095-07 GW-RE5096-07	Valid until 2 September 2007	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd
WPCO Discharge Licence	RE/0907/211/1	Valid until 30 June 2012	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd
Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-111-H2929-14	Remains valid since 2 August 2007	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd

- 7.5. Construction dust notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation has also been submitted and acknowledged by EPD in May 2007.
- 7.6. Chemical waste producer registration was submitted on 17 July 2007 and confirmed on 2 August 2007.

8. Other Issues


- 8.1. Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd. has taken over the site in May 2007 and be responsible for the next phase of the project which includes remaining excavation, building foundation, superstructure & furnishing. There would then be dust and noise emissions, with noise emission being the major issue.
- 8.2. The ET Leader has briefed the new contractor on the requirements of the EM&A Manual and WMP. The Contractor should continue to ensure all project staffs, irrespective when they joined the project team, are also briefed accordingly by the Project Manager with proper record keeping of the briefing.
- 8.3. Sampling of the wastewater has been carried out in April 2008 and the results showed compliance with the Discharge Licence requirements. Wastewater was thus allowed to discharge at the designated discharge point specified in the License after treatment. Sampling of the wastewater originally scheduled for end June has suffered delay and due to wastewater treatment plant defect issues. The wastewater treatment plant has been returned to service and sampling will be carried out in early July 2008.
- 8.4. Infrastructure furnishing will be the main activity in the coming month. There would be limited dust and noise emissions.

9. Conclusion

- 9.1. EM&A work for June 2008 has been successfully completed. Four limit level exceedances were found.
- 9.2. Four limit level exceedances on noise level were recorded. The exceedances were due to other activities not related to the site construction works.
- 9.3. There was no notification of summons and prosecutions in the reporting month.
- 9.4. Monitoring of the trees was also made. Monument measurements have not been made in this reporting month as according to the Contractor, approval for termination of the measurement has been received
- 9.5. Bi-monthly wastewater sampling scheduled for this month has to be made in early July 2008 when the wastewater treatment plant is returned to proper service.
- 9.6. Site audits were carried out once per week. The conditions of the site were generally satisfactory except that considerable improvements in noise abatement were needed. The following are to be further pursued:
 - Proper operation of the wastewater treatment plant
 - Continual protection and closer monitoring of the trees and historical buildings.

Appendix A: The construction programme with milestones of environmental protection/mitigation activities

Updated Construction Programme



General Mitigation Measures

7/6/2004: All mitigation measures in place except wheel washing pond & barrier mat.

25/6/2004: Sound barrier mat ready.

9/7/2004: Wheel washing pond provided.

May 2007: setup of scaffolding supported noise screen.

Wastewater Discharge

June 2004: Zero discharge from site 9/7/2004: Discharge Licence approval 7/5/2007: Application of WPCO Discharge Licence. 22/6/2007: Discharge Licence approval

Construction Noise Permit

19/10/2005:Construction Noise Permit ["CNP"] for submersible pumps.22/2/2006:CNP renewed.26/7/2006:CNP renewed.9/2/2007:CNP Expired.April 2007:Application of CNP by HL.

Chemical Waste

2/8/2007: Registration of Waste Producer completed

Appendix B: Implementation schedule for recommended mitigation measures

Implementation Schedule Redevelopment of Former Marine Police Headquarters, KIL11161

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Fugitive Dust Impact on the Surrounding Sensitive Uses					
4.1.2.1 0	To erect site hoarding of at least 2.4m high along the boundaries of the Project Site (particularly along the northern boundary adjacent to No. 1, Peking Road) except at the site entrance/ exit	Site (site boundary)	Site Formation Contractor (for maintenance or improvement as the hoarding was already erected by the Hoarding Contractor earlier)	Construction Phase (prior to construction)	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Provide shielding against dispersion of fugitive dust
	To control truck speed to within 8 km/hr and that dusty vehicle loads transported to and from the work location should be covered by tarpaulin sheets and should not be overloaded	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible
	To provide vehicle wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jets at designated vehicle exit points	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	To use impervious sheeting where practicable for side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or other dusty material storage piles, to place stockpiles in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides, and/or to spray with water	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible
	To cover the demolished items by impervious sheeting or to place in area sheltered on the top and the three sides within a day of demolition.	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible
	To spray all dusty material with water prior to loading, unloading or transfer so as to maintain the C&D material wet	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible
	To apply wet suppression at least four times per day at the worksites with active dusty operations and to water all dust emission sources when necessary. The frequency shall be increased when the weather is dry	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible
	To control the drop height of excavated materials to a minimum to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading as far as practicable	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA, APC(CD)R & AQO in APCO	To control fugitive dust emissions in accordance with the requirements of Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation in principle; Reduce fugitive emission wherever possible

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
5.2.1.3	To carry out EM&A programme	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Pre-Constructi on and Construction Phase	TM-EIA & AQO in APCO	To proactively monitor fugitive dust impact and take necessary action against any unacceptable impact

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Construction Noise Impact on the Surrounding Sensitive Uses					
4.2.1.5	To restrict operation to within non-restricted hours only	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	NCO	To avoid generation of noise during restricted hours under NCO
4.2.1.1 1	To use quiet PME with lower sound power level	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA	To reduce noise generation and in turn the construction noise impact
	To provide site hoarding of 4m to 6m high along the eastern boundary with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m2), use of noise curtain or other mitigation measures for noise abatement as soon as Action Level is exceeded and confirmed to be due to the construction works	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA	To provide noise shielding or equivalent measures to reduce construction noise impact as per @ or equivalent subject to IEC/ AR's agreement.
	To adopt noise enclosure and temporary noise barriers with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m2) (vertical and cantilevered types)	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA	To provide noise shielding to reduce construction noise impact or equivalent measures subject to IEC/ AR's agreement.
	To make use of the topography by carrying out excavation from west to east so that the original platform can act as effective noise barrier	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction	TM-EIA	To provide noise shielding to reduce construction noise impact or equivalent measures subject to IEC/ AR's agreement.

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
4.2.1.1	 To implement good site practice and noise management To submit to the Engineer for approval the method of working, equipment and sound-reducing measures intended to be used at the site before the commencement of any work To allow only well-maintained plants to operate on-site; To service the plants regularly during the construction program; To shut down or throttle down machines that may be in intermittent use to a minimum between work periods; To utilize and maintain silencer and mufflers on construction program; To schedule noisy activities to minimise exposure of nearby NSRs to high levels of construction noise. For example, noisy activities can be scheduled for midday or at times coinciding with periods of high background noise (such as during peak traffic hours); To site noisy equipment such as emergency generators as far away as possible from NSRs; To utilize material stockpiles and other structures as noise barrier, where practicable. 	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	NCO & TM-EIA	To reduce noise generation and its impact in accordance with NCO and its subsidiary regulations

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
4.2.1.2 3	No percussive piling	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA & NCO	To eliminate possibility of generating any significant ground borne noise impact
4.2.1.8 1	To avoid concurrent pipe piles driving near the tree ring and the Main Building when the pipes near the Main Building is about to penetrate the bedrock	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA & NCO	To avoid adverse cumulative ground borne noise impact
	To conduct on-site noise measurement at the HKCC and the HKSM when the works at the FMPH commences to verify the level of transmitted ground-borne noise	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA & NCO	To avoid adverse cumulative ground borne noise impact
	To establish a communication channel with HKCC and HKSM to stagger, if necessary, the ground-borne noise causing construction activities to avoid clashing with hours of performance at both venues	Site	Site Formation Contractor	Construction Phase	TM-EIA & NCO	To avoid adverse cumulative ground borne noise impact
5.2.1.3	To carry out EM&A program	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Pre-Constructi on and Construction Phase	TM-EIA	To proactively monitor construction noise impact and take necessary action against any unacceptable impact

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Construction Phase Water Quality Impact					
4.3.1.7	To carry out the Works in such a manner as to minimize adverse impacts on the water quality during execution of the works. In particular he shall arrange his method of working to minimize the effects on the water quality within and outside the Site, on the transport routes and at the loading, dredging and dumping areas.	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	WPCO	To comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and its subsidiary regulation.
	To follow the practices, and be responsible for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures as specified in the Professional Persons Environmental Consultative Committee Practice Note (ProPECC PN) 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" issued by the Director of Environmental Protection. The design of the mitigation measures shall be submitted by the Contractor to the Engineer for approval.	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	ProPECC PN1/94 & WPCO	To comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and its subsidiary regulation.
	To contain within the Site all surface runoff generated from foundation works, dust control and vehicle washing, etc.	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	WPCO	To comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and its subsidiary regulation.

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	To avoid discharge directly or indirectly or cause or permit or suffer to be discharged into any public sewer, stormwater drain, channel, stream-course or sea any trade effluent or foul or contaminated water or cooling or hot water without the prior written consent of the Engineer in consultation with the Director of Environmental Protection and Director of Water Supplies, who may as a condition of granting his consent require the Contractor to provide, operate and maintain at the Contractor's own expense to the satisfaction of the Engineer suitable works for the treatment and disposal of such trade effluent or foul or contaminated or cooling or hot water. [The design of such treatment works shall be submitted to the Engineer for approval not less than one month before the commencement of the relevant works.]	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	WPCO	To comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and its subsidiary regulation.
	To direct foul water effluent to a foul sewer or to a sewage treatment and disposal facility either directly or indirectly by means of pumping or other means approved by the Engineer if any office, site canteen or toilet facilities is erected	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	WPCO	To comply with the Water Pollution Control Ordinance and its subsidiary regulation.

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Operational Phase Water Quality Impact					
4.3.2.1	To discharge sewage/wastewater generated from the Project to the nearby public sewers	Site	Project Proponent/Op erator	Design / Operational Phase	WPCO	To meet the requirement as stipulated in the Technical Memorandum on Water Pollution Control Ordinance
4.5.1.7	Waste Management To minimize the production of construction waste through careful design, planning, good site management, and control of ordering procedures, segregation and reuse of	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur	Construction Phase	WDO	To follow relevant regulations (Waste Disposal Ordinance) in all circumstances.
	materials; To arrange for private contractors to collect used formwork materials for reuse.		e Contractor			
4.5.1.8	To dispose of any chemical wastes such as lubricating oil or solvent in strict accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	WDO	To follow relevant regulations (Waste Disposal Ordinance) in all circumstances.
4.5.1.9	To assign a reliable waste collector to collect general refuse generated from the construction site on a daily basis to minimise the potential odour, pest and litter impacts.	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	WDO	To follow relevant regulations (Waste Disposal Ordinance) in all circumstances.
4.5.2.1	To identify requirements on proper waste management for implementation during the operation of the Project	Site	Operator	Operational Phase	WDO	To follow relevant regulations (Waste Disposal Ordinance) in all circumstances.

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Construction Phase Landscape and Visual Impact					
4.6.2.2	To screen the works area during the construction phase through the use of decorative hoarding along the site boundary with unified edge treatment and interface	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, Project Profile ["PP"], Landscape Mitigation and Tree Preservation Proposal ["LMTPP"] & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
4.6.2.1 1	Creation of precautionary area (Cordon Area) around trees to be retained equal to the spread of the trees canopy diameter. Precautionary area to be fenced. Following the completion of the piling the Cordon Area would be based on the retained rootball.	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
	Prohibition of the storage of materials including fuel, the movement of construction vehicles, and the refuelling and washing of equipment including concrete mixers within the Cordon Area.	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructur e Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Phased segmental root pruning for trees to be retained over a six-month period prior to or site formation works, which affect the existing rootball of trees identified for retention. The extent of the pruning shall be based on a minimum half canopy and has been determined on a tree by tree basis. Phased segmental root pruning over a three-month period prior to lifting the tees identified for transplantation.	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
	Pruning of the branches of existing trees identified for transplantation and retention to be based on the principle of crown thinning maintaining their form and amenity value	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
	The watering of existing vegetation particularly during periods of excavation when the water table beneath the existing vegetation is lowered.	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
	The rectification and repair of damaged vegetation following the construction phase to it's original condition prior to the commencement of the works or replacement using specimens of the same species, size and form where appropriate to the design intention of the area affected	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	All works affecting the trees identified for retention and transplantation will be carefully monitored. This includes the key stages in the preparation of the trees, the implementation of protection measures and health monitoring through out the construction period	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
	The tree transplanting and planting works should be implemented by approved Landscape Contractors and inspected and approved on site by a qualified Landscape Architect. A tree protection / transplanting specification would be included within the contract documents. Tree preservation proposals and procedures for the protection and preservation of the existing trees to be reviewed by third party Tree Specialist including the provision of an additional level of monitoring during the construction phase.	Site	Specialist Landscape Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Interim measures designed to ensure acceptable landscape and visual impact on completion. Implementation of the LMTPP to the mature trees during the construction period.
	Operational Phase Landscape and Visual Impact					
4.6.3.4	To retain trees that have historic value and contribute most to the landscape and visual amenity of the site and its immediate environs	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
4.6.3.5	To restore the main buildings and to create landscaped gardens in order to beneficially affect the landscape character and quality of the area	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape
	To create the plaza to the south of the main colonial buildings to increase public access to the site and to open up views of the building façade	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape
	To provide where conditions allow new street planting along Canton Road, from No. 1 Peking Road to the intersection at Salisbury Road, and along the Salisbury Road frontage in order to create a boulevard type landscape to partially screen the development, and to enhance the green edge effect that is a dominant feature of both the site and its urban context.	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP, LMTPP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape
	To conduct new paving works at the street level as a result of the development and the widening of Canton Road which will lead to a significant improvement in the landscape and visual amenity of the streetscape within the study area	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address
	Detailed landscape and tree preservation proposals will be submitted to the relevant government departments for approval under the lease conditions and in accordance with WBTC No. 14/2002.	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape
4.6.3.8	All landscape and visual mitigation works will be funded, implemented managed and maintained by the project proponent.	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape
	A qualified or registered landscape architect will be involved in the design, construction supervision and monitoring, and maintenance period to oversee the implementation of the recommended landscape and visual mitigation measures including the tree preservation and landscape works on site. Tree preservation proposals to be reviewed by third party Tree Specialist including monitoring during the establishment period.	Site	Project Proponent/ Operator	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	TPO, A&MO, TM-EIA, PP & WBTC No. 14/2002	Long term measures deigned to ensure creation of a high quality urban landscape

Project Profile Ref.:	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Location of the measure	Who to implement the measure	When to implement the measures	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve*	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to address	
	Cultural Heritage Impact						
4.7.1.1	All monuments within the site will be preserved to an extent given according to the in the tender requirement	Site	Project Proponent	Design, Construction and Operational Phase	Tender Document	To preserve the monument	
4.7.4.1	To prepare and submit a detailed study report comprising the historic archives, measured drawings, photographic records and full bibliography in support of the historic evidence prepared by experts in cultural heritage for their approval under the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Cap. 53)	Site	Project Proponent	Design Phase	A&MO	To observed principles in the Charter of Venice (ICOMOS) and the Burra Charter (ICOMOS Australia) and requirement of A&MO	
4.7.4.2	To submit detailed descriptions, plans for building and mitigation works and implementation programme to AMO for their approval and monitoring before commencement of works.	Site	Project Proponent	Design Phase	A&MO	To observed principles in the Charter of Venice (ICOMOS) and the Burra Charter (ICOMOS Australia) and requirement of A&MO	
4.7.4.3	To preserve the Historic Buildings to meet international standard. Relevant legislations, standards, Charters and planning guidelines will be observed.	Site	Project Proponent	Design, Construction & Operational Phase	A&MO	To observed principles in the Charter of Venice (ICOMOS) and the Burra Charter (ICOMOS Australia) and requirement of A&MO	
4.7.4.4	To allow only alteration or addition works to the Historic Buildings, which are reversible except those, considered to be minor by AMO.	Site	Superstructure Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO	To observed principles in the Charter of Venice (ICOMOS) and the Burra Charter (ICOMOS Australia) and requirement of A&MO	
4.7.4.5	To take necessary precautions during construction and excavation work to prevent any damage to the Historic Buildings. Structural monitoring system will be designed and supervised by a Registered Structural Engineer during the whole of construction works on the site.	Site	Site Formation Contractor & Superstructure Contractor	Construction Phase	A&MO	To prevent any damage to the historic buildings and structures during the site formation.	
4.7.4.8	A comprehensive management plan including a heritage building maintenance guideline for the operation of FMPHQ would be prepared by conservation experts.	Site	Agent appointed by Project Proponent	Prior to Operational Phase	A&MO	To maintain the historic site and buildings in a proper manner	
4.7.4.9	Periodic site inspection to heritage buildings on external areas, interior decoration and covered-up areas to ensure a constant monitoring of building condition is conducted.	Site	Agent appointed by Project Proponent	Operational Phase	A&MO	To maintain the historic site and buildings in a proper manner	
4.7.4.10	The Permit on routine maintenance would be applied to AMO under the A & M Ordinance.	Site	Agent appointed by Project Proponent	Operational Phase	A&MO	To maintain the historic site and buildings in a proper manner	

<u>*Abbreviation</u>
TM-EIA – Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process
AQO – Air Quality Objectives
APCO – Air Pollution Control Ordinance
APC(CD)R - Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
HKPSG – Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines
TPO – Town Planning Ordinance
NCO – Noise Control Ordinance
WPCO – Water Pollution Control Ordinance
PN1/94 – Professional Persons Environmental Consultative Committee Practice Note (ProPECC PN) 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage"
WDO – Waste Disposal Ordinance
A&MO – Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance

Appendix C: The environmental site inspection, deficiency and remedial action reports



Development at Former Marine Police Headquarters KIL 11161 (EP-184/2004)

Environmental Site Inspection, Deficiency and Remedial Action Report

Date of Issue:	5 JUNE 2008	# Pages:	6
То:	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd. Attn: Mr. Howard Lui Project Manager	Cc:	Mr. Alex Chan – IEC CH2M HILL Hong Kong Ltd.
Fax No.:	2366 2980		2507 2293

Inspection date: $\underbrace{b4}{1621}$	6-09 Inspected 5-17200	ET's Representative: Contractor's Representative: Architect's Representative:	Timmer and sea YN
		radiator o hepicacitative.	

Site: Former Marine Police Headquarter (Phase 2)

WEATHER						6	
Condition:	Sunny	G Fine	Overcast	🛛 Hazy	Drizzle	N Rain	Storm
Humidity:	High	D, Moderate	Low				
Wind:	🖸 Calm	🗹 Light	Breeze	C Strong			
Temperature	: 25	_°C		ALTO DE CEGE SETES			

GENERAL

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	A Yes	No	Remarks
EP 1.3	Is a copy of Environmental Permit together with all documents referred to in the permit kept in all sites/offices covered in the permit?	V		
EP 1.5	Is a copy of the most updated Environmental Permit displayed at the at all vehicular site entrances/exits or at a convenient location for public information?			

AIR QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 2.32	Is the site hoarding of at least 2.4m high erected along the boundaries of the site (particularly along the northern boundary adjacent to No. 1, Peking Road) except at the site entrance/ exit?		~		
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Is the truck speed suitably low (within 8 km/hr)?	~			Not observed on site
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Are the dusty vehicle loads transported to and from the work location covered by tarpaulin sheets and not to be overloaded?	~			Ditto
Annex I 30	Are water suppression applied at least four times a day at the work sites with active dusty operations and to water all dust emission sources when necessary?		~		Records Needs Enhancement
EM&A 2.32	Is there any vehicle wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jets provided at designated vehicle exit points?		~		
EM&A 2.32	Is there any side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or other dusty material storage piles, placing of stockpiles in an area to be sheltered on the top and the three sides, and sprayed with water?	~			No storage pile is observed
EM&A 2.32	Are the demolished items covered by impervious sheeting or placed in area sheltered on the top and the three sides?	~			Ditto
EM&A 2.32	Are all dusty material sprayed with water prior to loading, unloading or transfer?	~			Ditto
EP Annex I 31; EM&A 2.32	Is the drop height of excavated materials controlled to a minimum to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading as far as practicable?	 Image: A start of the start of			
	Is the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores?		~		
	Is the public road around the site entrance kept clean and free from dust?		~		
	Immediately before leaving a construction site, is every vehicle washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels?	~			Not observed
	Are all machinery and equipments well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission?		~		

NOISE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
NCO	Are valid construction noise permits available for inspection?		~		
NCO	Are conditions of construction noise permits for the relevant parts of the works implemented accordingly?		\checkmark		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 34; EM&A 3.26	Are the quiet power mechanical equipment with lower sound power level used?		 ✓ 		
EP Annex I 35; EM&A 3.26	Is the site hoarding of 4m to 6m high along the eastern boundary with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ²) as noise barrier or other possible noise mitigation measures provided?	•			Excavation is completed
EP Annex I 36; EM&A 3.26	Are the noise enclosure and temporary noise barriers with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ³) (vertical and cantilevered types) or other possible noise mitigation measures adopted?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 37; EM&A 3.26	Is the excavation carried out from west to east by making use of the topography so that the original platform can act as effective noise barrier?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Is proper acoustic barrier/enclosure for the noisy facilities installed?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all E&M facilities in a manner to avoid any unacceptable impact on the surrounding noise sensitive uses shielded and housed?		~		
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all noisy facilities if practicable or position them near the western side of the site with proper at-source shielding especially on the east side fully enclosed?	•			Excavation is completed
	Are all air compressors and hand held breakers having valid noise label?		✓		
	Are all compressors and generators operating with doors closed?		✓		

WATER QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 39; EM&A 4.2	Are works carried out in such a manner as to minimise adverse impacts on the water quality within and outside the site on the transport routes and at the loading, dredging and dumping areas during execution of the works?		~		
EP Annex I 40; EM&A 4.2	Is there any design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures?		~		
EP Annex I 41; EM&A 4.2	Are all surface runoff generated from foundation works, dust control and vehicle washing, etc. within the site contained?		~		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 4.2	Is there any discharge directly or indirectly or cause or permit or suffer to be discharged into any public sewer, stormwater drain, channel, stream-course or sea any trade effluent or foul or contaminated water or cooling or hot water?			~	
EM&A 4.2	Is there any direct foul water effluent to a foul sewer or to a sewage treatment and disposal facility either directly or indirectly by means of pumping or other means?		~		Emergency storage to be considered
	Has discharge licence applied from EPD for discharging any effluent from the construction site?		~		
	Is the drainage system adequate and well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow?		~		
	Are channels, earth bunds or temporary ditches used to divert the surface runoff to the sedimentation tanks prior to discharge?		~		
	Do the sedimentation tanks for settling surface runoff prior to discharge have adequate capacity and free from silt and sediment?		~		
	Are sand and silt settled in the wheel washing bay, ditches and silt removal facilities cleaned and removed regularly (e.g. at least weekly) or as necessary?		~		
	Are unnecessary water retained in receptacles and standing water avoided to prevent mosquito breeding?		~		
	Is toilet that connects to foul sewer or chemical toilets provided?			\checkmark	Temporary toilet used

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP	Are all works areas generally cleared of litter and		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	refuse?				
WMP	Are general refuse and litter stored in enclosed bins or		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	compaction units?				
WMP	Are refuse burned at construction site?			~	
Table 4.2					
WMP	Are three colour coded bins provided for collection of		~		
Table 4.2	recyclable materials?				
WMP	Is processing generating chemical waste identified?		<		
Table 4.3					
WMP	Are chemical wastes handled in suitable packaging,	\checkmark			No chemical
Table 4.3	labeling and storage?				waste observed
WMP	Is asbestos waste in suitable handling, storage and	\checkmark			No asbestos
Table 4.3	disposal?				found
WMP	Are all stockpiled spoils covered with tarpaulin or other	\checkmark			Stockpiled spoils
Table 4.4	appropriate fabric?				are located to trucks as soon
					as possible
WMP	Are all vehicles transporting wastes properly fitting tail		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	boards and sides and materials securely covered?				
WMP	Are all wastes stored in a manner ensuring that they		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	are held securely without loss or leakage?				
WMP	Are all waste storage areas cleaned and maintained?		\checkmark		
Table 4.4					
WMP	Are disposal permits obtained for each waste		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	category?				
WMP	Is there any record/trip tickets of quantities of chemical		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	wastes generated, recycled and disposal maintained				
	for inspection?				

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP Table 4.4	Is there any record/trip tickets of the quantities of wastes generated recycled and disposed for inspection?		~		

LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 12; EM&A 6.4	Is the works area screened during the construction phase through the use of decorative hoarding along the site boundary with unified edge treatment and interface?		~		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is there any creation of precautionary area (Cordon Area) around trees retained equal to the spread of the trees canopy diameter?		~		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is the Cordon Area fenced?		~		
EP Annex I 14; EM&A 6.4	Is there any storage of materials including fuel, the movement of construction vehicles, and the refuelling and washing of equipment including concrete mixers within the Cordon Area?			~	

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 1	Are all monuments within the site preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 4	Are the Historic Buildings preserved?		\checkmark		
EP Annex I 6	Is there any necessary precaution taken during construction and excavation work to prevent any damage to the Historic Buildings?		~		
EP Annex I 10	Is there any percussive piling carried out?			~	
EP Annex I 11	Is there any concurrent pipe pile driving near the tree ring and the Main Building of the Former Marine Police Headquarters?			✓	

Abbreviation

 EP:
 Environmental Permit (Environmental Permit No. EP-184/2004)

 EM&A:
 Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual

 NCO:
 Noise Control Ordinance

 WMP:
 Waste Management Plan

Deficiency/Other Observations/Remedial Action to be Taken:

Follow Up Actions to Previous Site Inspection:

Signatures

ET's Representative

Contractor's Representative

Architect's Representative

(Signature)

Ivan (Name in Block Letter

(Signature)

CHEADS Sen YIN

(Name in Block Letter)

(Signature)

(Name in Block Letter)



·**1** 3

NATURE & TECHNOLOGIES (HK) LIMITED 科技環保(香港)有限公司

Unit 2 & 3, 4/F., Wellborne Commercial Centre, 8 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong. 香港北角渣準道8號或邦商業中心4欄2及3室 Tel電話:(852)2877 3122 Fax倒寫:(852)2511 0923 Email電感: enquiry@st.com.bk Web page網輯: http://www.nt.com.bk

Development at Former Marine Police Headquarters KIL 11161 (EP-184/2004)

Environmental Site Inspection, Deficiency and Remedial Action Report

Date of Issue:	11-6-2008	# Pages:	6
То:	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd. Attn: Mr. Howard Lui Project Manager	Cc:	Mr. Alex Chan – IEC CH2M HILL Hong Kong Ltd.
Fax No.:	2366 2980	<u>.</u>	2507 2293

Inspection date: $\frac{10 - 6 - 08}{(4 : 15 - 17^2 00)}$ Inspected	ET's Representative: Contractor's Representative: Architect's Representative:	(.l.
Site: Former Marine Police Headquarter (Phase 2)		

WEATHER							
Condition:	C) Sunny	C Fine	Overcast	Hazy		Rain	Storm
Humidity:	V High	Q Moderate	Low				
Wind:	Calm	🗹 Light	Breeze	Strong	x		
Temperature:	25	°C					

GENERAL

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP 1.3	Is a copy of Environmental Permit together with all documents referred to in the permit kept in all sites/offices covered in the permit?		V		
EP 1.5	Is a copy of the most updated Environmental Permit displayed at the at all vehicular site entrances/exits or at a convenient location for public information?		J		

1

AIR QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 2.32	Is the site hoarding of at least 2.4m high erected along the boundaries of the site (particularly along the northern boundary adjacent to No. 1, Peking Road) except at the site entrance/ exit?		~		
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Is the truck speed suitably low (within 8 km/hr)?	~			Not observed on site
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Are the dusty vehicle loads transported to and from the work location covered by tarpaulin sheets and not to be overloaded?	~			Ditto
Annex I 30	Are water suppression applied at least four times a day at the work sites with active dusty operations and to water all dust emission sources when necessary?		✓		Records Needs Enhancement
EM&A 2.32	Is there any vehicle wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jets provided at designated vehicle exit points?		~		
EM&A 2.32	Is there any side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or other dusty material storage piles, placing of stockpiles in an area to be sheltered on the top and the three sides, and sprayed with water?	~			No storage pile observed
EM&A 2.32	Are the demolished items covered by impervious sheeting or placed in area sheltered on the top and the three sides?	~			Ditto
EM&A 2.32	Are all dusty material sprayed with water prior to loading, unloading or transfer?	~			Ditto
EP Annex I 31; EM&A 2.32	Is the drop height of excavated materials controlled to a minimum to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading as far as practicable?		✓		
	Is the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores?		~		
	Is the public road around the site entrance kept clean and free from dust?		~		
	Immediately before leaving a construction site, is every vehicle washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels?		~		
	Are all machinery and equipments well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission?		✓		

NOISE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
NCO	Are valid construction noise permits available for inspection?		~		
NCO	Are conditions of construction noise permits for the relevant parts of the works implemented accordingly?		\checkmark		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 34; EM&A 3.26	Are the quiet power mechanical equipment with lower sound power level used?		 ✓ 		
EP Annex I 35; EM&A 3.26	Is the site hoarding of 4m to 6m high along the eastern boundary with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ²) as noise barrier or other possible noise mitigation measures provided?	•			Excavation is completed
EP Annex I 36; EM&A 3.26	Are the noise enclosure and temporary noise barriers with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ³) (vertical and cantilevered types) or other possible noise mitigation measures adopted?	√			Ditto
EP Annex I 37; EM&A 3.26	Is the excavation carried out from west to east by making use of the topography so that the original platform can act as effective noise barrier?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Is proper acoustic barrier/enclosure for the noisy facilities installed?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all E&M facilities in a manner to avoid any unacceptable impact on the surrounding noise sensitive uses shielded and housed?		~		
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all noisy facilities if practicable or position them near the western side of the site with proper at-source shielding especially on the east side fully enclosed?	•			Excavation is completed
	Are all air compressors and hand held breakers having valid noise label?		✓		
	Are all compressors and generators operating with doors closed?		✓		

WATER QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 39; EM&A 4.2	Are works carried out in such a manner as to minimise adverse impacts on the water quality within and outside the site on the transport routes and at the loading, dredging and dumping areas during execution of the works?		~		
EP Annex I 40; EM&A 4.2	Is there any design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures?		~		
EP Annex I 41; EM&A 4.2	Are all surface runoff generated from foundation works, dust control and vehicle washing, etc. within the site contained?		~		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 4.2	Is there any discharge directly or indirectly or cause or permit or suffer to be discharged into any public sewer, stormwater drain, channel, stream-course or sea any trade effluent or foul or contaminated water or cooling or hot water?			~	
EM&A 4.2	Is there any direct foul water effluent to a foul sewer or to a sewage treatment and disposal facility either directly or indirectly by means of pumping or other means?		~		
	Has discharge licence applied from EPD for discharging any effluent from the construction site?		~		
	Is the drainage system adequate and well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow?		~		
	Are channels, earth bunds or temporary ditches used to divert the surface runoff to the sedimentation tanks prior to discharge?		~		
	Do the sedimentation tanks for settling surface runoff prior to discharge have adequate capacity and free from silt and sediment?		~		
	Are sand and silt settled in the wheel washing bay, ditches and silt removal facilities cleaned and removed regularly (e.g. at least weekly) or as necessary?		<		
	Are unnecessary water retained in receptacles and standing water avoided to prevent mosquito breeding?		~		
	Is toilet that connects to foul sewer or chemical toilets provided?			√	Temporary toilet used

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP	Are all works areas generally cleared of litter and		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	refuse?				
WMP	Are general refuse and litter stored in enclosed bins or		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	compaction units?				
WMP	Are refuse burned at construction site?			~	
Table 4.2					
WMP	Are three colour coded bins provided for collection of		~		
Table 4.2	recyclable materials?				
WMP	Is processing generating chemical waste identified?		<		
Table 4.3					
WMP	Are chemical wastes handled in suitable packaging,	\checkmark			No chemical
Table 4.3	labeling and storage?				waste observed
WMP	Is asbestos waste in suitable handling, storage and	\checkmark			No asbestos
Table 4.3	disposal?				found
WMP	Are all stockpiled spoils covered with tarpaulin or other	\checkmark			Stockpiled spoils
Table 4.4	appropriate fabric?				are located to trucks as soon
					as possible
WMP	Are all vehicles transporting wastes properly fitting tail		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	boards and sides and materials securely covered?				
WMP	Are all wastes stored in a manner ensuring that they		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	are held securely without loss or leakage?				
WMP	Are all waste storage areas cleaned and maintained?		\checkmark		
Table 4.4					
WMP	Are disposal permits obtained for each waste		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	category?				
WMP	Is there any record/trip tickets of quantities of chemical		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	wastes generated, recycled and disposal maintained				
	for inspection?				

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP Table 4.4	Is there any record/trip tickets of the quantities of wastes generated recycled and disposed for inspection?		~		

LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 12; EM&A 6.4	Is the works area screened during the construction phase through the use of decorative hoarding along the site boundary with unified edge treatment and interface?		~		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is there any creation of precautionary area (Cordon Area) around trees retained equal to the spread of the trees canopy diameter?		~		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is the Cordon Area fenced?		~		
EP Annex I 14; EM&A 6.4	Is there any storage of materials including fuel, the movement of construction vehicles, and the refuelling and washing of equipment including concrete mixers within the Cordon Area?			✓	

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 1	Are all monuments within the site preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 4	Are the Historic Buildings preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 6	construction and excavation work to prevent any damage to the Historic Buildings?		~		
EP Annex I 10	Is there any percussive piling carried out?			~	
EP Annex I 11	Is there any concurrent pipe pile driving near the tree ring and the Main Building of the Former Marine Police Headquarters?			~	

Abbreviation

.

EP: Environmental Permit (Environmental Permit No. EP-184/2004) EM&A: **Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual** NCO: Noise Control Ordinance WMP: Waste Management Plan

Deficiency/Other Observations/Remedial Action to be Taken:

Follow Up Actions to Previous Site Inspection:

Signatures

ET's Representative

Contractor's Representative Architect's Representative

(Signature)

Wan Ting (Name in Block getter)

(Signature)

(Signature)

(Name in Block Letter)

(Name in Block Letter)



٩.,

NATURE & TECHNOLOGIES (HK) LIMITED 科技環保(香港)有限公司

Unit 2 & 3, 4/F., Wellborne Commercial Centre, 8 Java Road, North Point. Hong Kong. 香港北角濱華道8號成用商業中心4機2及3% Tel電話: (852) 2877 3122 Fax傳码: (852) 2511 0922 Email微鍵: enquiry@al.com.hk Web page網討: "http://www.at.com.hk

Development at Former Marine Police Headquarters KIL 11161 (EP-184/2004)

Environmental Site Inspection, Deficiency and Remedial Action Report

Date of Issue:	20-6-08	# Pages:	6
То:	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd. Attn: Mr. Howard Lui Project Manager	Cc:	Mr. Alex Chan – IEC CH2M HILL Hong Kong Ltd.
Fax No.:	2366 2980		2507 2293

Inspection of Time:		-08	Inspected	Contra	lepresentativ actor's Repre ect's Repres	mung	
Site: Forme	er Marine Po	lice Headqua	rter (Phase 3	2)			
WEATHER							
Condition: Humidity:	Sunny High	©/Fine □ Moderate	Covercast	Hazy	Drizzle	🖸 Rain	C Storm

O Strong

Breeze

U/Light Calm 28 **Temperature:** °C

GENERAL

Wind:

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP 1.3	Is a copy of Environmental Permit together with all documents referred to in the permit kept in all sites/offices covered in the permit?	1	V	,	
EP 1.5	Is a copy of the most updated Environmental Permit displayed at the at all vehicular site entrances/exits or at a convenient location for public information?		V	¢	

Nature & Technologies (HK) Ltd.

1

1

AIR QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 2.32	Is the site hoarding of at least 2.4m high erected along the boundaries of the site (particularly along the northern boundary adjacent to No. 1, Peking Road) except at the site entrance/ exit?		~		Temporary Entrance at Western Boundary opened
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Is the truck speed suitably low (within 8 km/hr)?	~			Not observed on site
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Are the dusty vehicle loads transported to and from the work location covered by tarpaulin sheets and not to be overloaded?	~			Ditto
Annex I 30	Are water suppression applied at least four times a day at the work sites with active dusty operations and to water all dust emission sources when necessary?		✓		Records need Enhancement
EM&A 2.32	Is there any vehicle wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jets provided at designated vehicle exit points?		~		Wheel washing facility needs improvement
EM&A 2.32	Is there any side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or other dusty material storage piles, placing of stockpiles in an area to be sheltered on the top and the three sides, and sprayed with water?	~			No storage pile observed
EM&A 2.32	Are the demolished items covered by impervious sheeting or placed in area sheltered on the top and the three sides?	~			Ditto
EM&A 2.32	Are all dusty material sprayed with water prior to loading, unloading or transfer?	~			Ditto
EP Annex I 31; EM&A 2.32	Is the drop height of excavated materials controlled to a minimum to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading as far as practicable?	•			Ditto
	Is the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores?		~		
	Is the public road around the site entrance kept clean and free from dust?		~		
	Immediately before leaving a construction site, is every vehicle washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels?		✓		
	Are all machinery and equipments well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission?		✓		

NOISE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
NCO	Are valid construction noise permits available for inspection?		~		
NCO	Are conditions of construction noise permits for the relevant parts of the works implemented accordingly?		\checkmark		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 34; EM&A 3.26	Are the quiet power mechanical equipment with lower sound power level used?		 ✓ 		
EP Annex I 35; EM&A 3.26	Is the site hoarding of 4m to 6m high along the eastern boundary with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ²) as noise barrier or other possible noise mitigation measures provided?	√			Excavation is completed.
EP Annex I 36; EM&A 3.26	Are the noise enclosure and temporary noise barriers with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ³) (vertical and cantilevered types) or other possible noise mitigation measures adopted?	 ✓ 			Ditto
EP Annex I 37; EM&A 3.26	Is the excavation carried out from west to east by making use of the topography so that the original platform can act as effective noise barrier?	 ✓ 			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Is proper acoustic barrier/enclosure for the noisy facilities installed?	√			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all E&M facilities in a manner to avoid any unacceptable impact on the surrounding noise sensitive uses shielded and housed?		~		
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all noisy facilities if practicable or position them near the western side of the site with proper at-source shielding especially on the east side fully enclosed?	√			Excavation is completed
	Are all air compressors and hand held breakers having valid noise label?	~			Ditto
	Are all compressors and generators operating with doors closed?	~			Ditto

WATER QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 39; EM&A 4.2	Are works carried out in such a manner as to minimise adverse impacts on the water quality within and outside the site on the transport routes and at the loading, dredging and dumping areas during execution of the works?		~		
EP Annex I 40; EM&A 4.2	Is there any design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures?		~		
EP Annex I 41; EM&A 4.2	Are all surface runoff generated from foundation works, dust control and vehicle washing, etc. within the site contained?		~		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 4.2	Is there any discharge directly or indirectly or cause or permit or suffer to be discharged into any public sewer, stormwater drain, channel, stream-course or sea any trade effluent or foul or contaminated water or cooling or hot water?			•	
EM&A 4.2	Is there any direct foul water effluent to a foul sewer or to a sewage treatment and disposal facility either directly or indirectly by means of pumping or other means?		~		
	Has discharge licence applied from EPD for discharging any effluent from the construction site?		~		
	Is the drainage system adequate and well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow?		\checkmark		
	Are channels, earth bunds or temporary ditches used to divert the surface runoff to the sedimentation tanks prior to discharge?		~		
	Do the sedimentation tanks for settling surface runoff prior to discharge have adequate capacity and free from silt and sediment?		✓		
	Are sand and silt settled in the wheel washing bay, ditches and silt removal facilities cleaned and removed regularly (e.g. at least weekly) or as necessary?		~		
	Are unnecessary water retained in receptacles and standing water avoided to prevent mosquito breeding?		✓		
	Is toilet that connects to foul sewer or chemical toilets provided?			✓	Temporary toilet used

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP	Are all works areas generally cleared of litter and		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	refuse?				
WMP	Are general refuse and litter stored in enclosed bins or		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	compaction units?				
WMP	Are refuse burned at construction site?			~	
Table 4.2					
WMP	Are three colour coded bins provided for collection of		~		
Table 4.2	recyclable materials?				
WMP	Is processing generating chemical waste identified?		<		
Table 4.3					
WMP	Are chemical wastes handled in suitable packaging,	\checkmark			No chemical
Table 4.3	labeling and storage?				waste observed
WMP	Is asbestos waste in suitable handling, storage and	\checkmark			No asbestos
Table 4.3	disposal?				found
WMP	Are all stockpiled spoils covered with tarpaulin or other	\checkmark			Stockpiled spoils
Table 4.4	appropriate fabric?				are located to trucks as soon
					as possible
WMP	Are all vehicles transporting wastes properly fitting tail		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	boards and sides and materials securely covered?				
WMP	Are all wastes stored in a manner ensuring that they		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	are held securely without loss or leakage?				
WMP	Are all waste storage areas cleaned and maintained?		\checkmark		
Table 4.4					
WMP	Are disposal permits obtained for each waste		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	category?				
WMP	Is there any record/trip tickets of quantities of chemical		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	wastes generated, recycled and disposal maintained				
	for inspection?				

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP Table 4.4	Is there any record/trip tickets of the quantities of wastes generated recycled and disposed for inspection?		~		

LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 12; EM&A 6.4	Is the works area screened during the construction phase through the use of decorative hoarding along the site boundary with unified edge treatment and interface?		~		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is there any creation of precautionary area (Cordon Area) around trees retained equal to the spread of the trees canopy diameter?		>		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is the Cordon Area fenced?		~		
EP Annex I 14; EM&A 6.4	Is there any storage of materials including fuel, the movement of construction vehicles, and the refuelling and washing of equipment including concrete mixers within the Cordon Area?			~	

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 1	Are all monuments within the site preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 4	Are the Historic Buildings preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 6	construction and excavation work to prevent any damage to the Historic Buildings?		~		
EP Annex I 10	Is there any percussive piling carried out?			~	
EP Annex I 11	Is there any concurrent pipe pile driving near the tree ring and the Main Building of the Former Marine Police Headquarters?			~	

Abbreviation

ŝ

EP: Environmental Permit (Environmental Permit No. EP-184/2004) EM&A: Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual NCO: Noise Control Ordinance WMP: Waste Management Plan

Deficiency/Other Observations/Remedial Action to be Taken:

Follow Up Actions to Previous Site Inspection:

Signatures

ET's Representative

Contractor's Representative Architect's Representative

22 (Signature)

(Name in Block Letter)

(Signature

(Name in Block Letter)

(Signature)

(Name in Block Letter)

6



Development at Former Marine Police Headquarters KIL 11161 (EP-184/2004)

Environmental Site Inspection, Deficiency and Remedial Action Report

Date of Issue:	26 (61 2008	# Pages:	6
То:	Hien Lee Engineering Co., Ltd. Attn: Mr. Howard Lui Project Manager	Cc:	Mr. Alex Chan - IEC CH2M HILL Hong Kong Ltd.
Fax No.:	2366 2980		2507 2293

Inspection d Time;	late: <u>26-</u> 10:2	<u>6-20</u> 08 0-1/100.	Inspected	Contra	epresentativ ot <mark>or's Repre</mark> oct's Repres	sontative;	fyr.
Site: Forme	er Marine Po	olice Headqua	rter (Phase 2	<u>2)</u>			
WEATHER		······································			<u>.</u>		
Condition: Humidity:	Sunny High	C Fine Moderate	C Overcast	Hazy		Ba Rain	G Storm
Wind: Temperature	□ cam 	ΩÍ Light ℃	Breeze	Strong	ũ.		

GENERAL

¥.

	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP 1.3	Is a copy of Environmental Permit together with all documents referred to in the permit kept in all sites/offices covered in the permit?			ы 1953-99 а е	1
EP 1.5	Is a copy of the most updated Environmental Permit displayed at the at all vehicular site entrances/exits or at a convenient location for public Information?		V		2

AIR QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 2.32	Is the site hoarding of at least 2.4m high erected along the boundaries of the site (particularly along the northern boundary adjacent to No. 1, Peking Road) except at the site entrance/ exit?		~		
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Is the truck speed suitably low (within 8 km/hr)?	~			Not observed on site
EP Annex I 29; EM&A 2.32	Are the dusty vehicle loads transported to and from the work location covered by tarpaulin sheets and not to be overloaded?	~			Ditto
Annex I 30	Are water suppression applied at least four times a day at the work sites with active dusty operations and to water all dust emission sources when necessary?		✓		Record needs Enhancement
EM&A 2.32	Is there any vehicle wheel washing facilities including high pressure water jets provided at designated vehicle exit points?		~		
EM&A 2.32	Is there any side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or other dusty material storage piles, placing of stockpiles in an area to be sheltered on the top and the three sides, and sprayed with water?	~			No storage pile observed
EM&A 2.32	Are the demolished items covered by impervious sheeting or placed in area sheltered on the top and the three sides?	~			Ditto
EM&A 2.32	Are all dusty material sprayed with water prior to loading, unloading or transfer?	~			Ditto
EP Annex I 31; EM&A 2.32	Is the drop height of excavated materials controlled to a minimum to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading as far as practicable?	 Image: A start of the start of			Ditto
	Is the area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores?		~		
	Is the public road around the site entrance kept clean and free from dust?		~		
	Immediately before leaving a construction site, is every vehicle washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels?		~		
	Are all machinery and equipments well maintained e.g. without black smoke emission?		✓		

NOISE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
NCO	Are valid construction noise permits available for inspection?		~		
NCO	Are conditions of construction noise permits for the relevant parts of the works implemented accordingly?		\checkmark		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 34; EM&A 3.26	Are the quiet power mechanical equipment with lower sound power level used?		>		
EP Annex I 35; EM&A 3.26	Is the site hoarding of 4m to 6m high along the eastern boundary with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ²) as noise barrier or other possible noise mitigation measures provided?	•			Excavation is completed
EP Annex I 36; EM&A 3.26	Are the noise enclosure and temporary noise barriers with sufficient surface density (10 to 15 kg/m ³) (vertical and cantilevered types) or other possible noise mitigation measures adopted?	√			Ditto
EP Annex I 37; EM&A 3.26	Is the excavation carried out from west to east by making use of the topography so that the original platform can act as effective noise barrier?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Is proper acoustic barrier/enclosure for the noisy facilities installed?	•			Ditto
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all E&M facilities in a manner to avoid any unacceptable impact on the surrounding noise sensitive uses shielded and housed?		~		
EP Annex I 38; EM&A 3.26	Are all noisy facilities if practicable or position them near the western side of the site with proper at-source shielding especially on the east side fully enclosed?	•			Excavation is completed
	Are all air compressors and hand held breakers having valid noise label?		~		
	Are all compressors and generators operating with doors closed?		✓		

WATER QUALITY

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 39; EM&A 4.2	Are works carried out in such a manner as to minimise adverse impacts on the water quality within and outside the site on the transport routes and at the loading, dredging and dumping areas during execution of the works?		~		
EP Annex I 40; EM&A 4.2	Is there any design, construction, operation and maintenance of all the mitigation measures?		~		
EP Annex I 41; EM&A 4.2	Are all surface runoff generated from foundation works, dust control and vehicle washing, etc. within the site contained?		~		

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EM&A 4.2	Is there any discharge directly or indirectly or cause or permit or suffer to be discharged into any public sewer, stormwater drain, channel, stream-course or sea any trade effluent or foul or contaminated water or cooling or hot water?			~	
EM&A 4.2	Is there any direct foul water effluent to a foul sewer or to a sewage treatment and disposal facility either directly or indirectly by means of pumping or other means?		~		
	Has discharge licence applied from EPD for discharging any effluent from the construction site?		~		
	Is the drainage system adequate and well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow?		\checkmark		
	Are channels, earth bunds or temporary ditches used to divert the surface runoff to the sedimentation tanks prior to discharge?		~		
	Do the sedimentation tanks for settling surface runoff prior to discharge have adequate capacity and free from silt and sediment?		✓		
	Are sand and silt settled in the wheel washing bay, ditches and silt removal facilities cleaned and removed regularly (e.g. at least weekly) or as necessary?		~		
	Are unnecessary water retained in receptacles and standing water avoided to prevent mosquito breeding?		✓		
	Is toilet that connects to foul sewer or chemical toilets provided?			✓	Temporary toilet used

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP	Are all works areas generally cleared of litter and		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	refuse?				
WMP	Are general refuse and litter stored in enclosed bins or		\checkmark		
Table 4.2	compaction units?				
WMP	Are refuse burned at construction site?			\checkmark	
Table 4.2					
WMP	Are three colour coded bins provided for collection of		~		
Table 4.2	recyclable materials?				
WMP	Is processing generating chemical waste identified?		<		
Table 4.3					
WMP	Are chemical wastes handled in suitable packaging,	\checkmark			No chemical
Table 4.3	labeling and storage?				waste observed
WMP	Is asbestos waste in suitable handling, storage and	\checkmark			No asbestos
Table 4.3	disposal?				found
WMP	Are all stockpiled spoils covered with tarpaulin or other	\checkmark			Stockpiled spoils
Table 4.4	appropriate fabric?				are located to trucks as soon
					as possible
WMP	Are all vehicles transporting wastes properly fitting tail		\checkmark		•
Table 4.4	boards and sides and materials securely covered?				
WMP	Are all wastes stored in a manner ensuring that they		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	are held securely without loss or leakage?				
WMP	Are all waste storage areas cleaned and maintained?		\checkmark		
Table 4.4					
WMP	Are disposal permits obtained for each waste		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	category?				
WMP	Is there any record/trip tickets of quantities of chemical		\checkmark		
Table 4.4	wastes generated, recycled and disposal maintained				
	for inspection?				

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
WMP Table 4.4	Is there any record/trip tickets of the quantities of wastes generated recycled and disposed for inspection?		~		

LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 12; EM&A 6.4	Is the works area screened during the construction phase through the use of decorative hoarding along the site boundary with unified edge treatment and interface?		~		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is there any creation of precautionary area (Cordon Area) around trees retained equal to the spread of the trees canopy diameter?		>		
EP Annex I 13; EM&A 6.4	Is the Cordon Area fenced?		~		
EP Annex I 14; EM&A 6.4	Is there any storage of materials including fuel, the movement of construction vehicles, and the refuelling and washing of equipment including concrete mixers within the Cordon Area?			~	

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Ref.	Checklist Conditions	N/A	Yes	No	Remarks
EP Annex I 1	Are all monuments within the site preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 4	Are the Historic Buildings preserved?		~		
EP Annex I 6	construction and excavation work to prevent any damage to the Historic Buildings?		~		
EP Annex I 10	Is there any percussive piling carried out?			~	
EP Annex I 11	Is there any concurrent pipe pile driving near the tree ring and the Main Building of the Former Marine Police Headquarters?			~	

Abbreviation

6P; Environmental Permit (Environmental Permit No. EP-184/2004) Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual EM&A: NCO: Noise Control Ordinance WMP: Waste Management Plan Deficiency/Other Observations/Remedial Action to be Taken: Follow Up Actions to Previous Site Inspection: Signatures ET's Representative Contractor's Architect's Representative Representative (Signature) (Signature) (Signature) (Name in Block Letter) (Name in Block Letter) (Name in Block Letter)

Appendix D: Calibration records for the HVSs and calibration certificate for the dust meters

High-Volume TSP Sampler

1-Point Calibration Record

Location	1	Al
Calibrated by	1	P.F.Yeung
Date	2	22/4/08
Sampler		
Model	:	GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number	1	S/N 0814

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number	1	CM-AIR-43
Service Date	•	2 July 2007
Slope (m)	:	0.057452
Intercept (b)	5	-0.026137
Correlation Coefficient(r)	ţ	0.999910

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa)	•	1013
Tstd (K)	1	298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa)	đ	1011
Ta(K)	:	298
IC (Indicated flow)		36 cfm
Actual flow	2	1.39 m ³ /min

Checked by: Magnum Fan Date: 25/04/08

High-Volume TSP Sampler

1-Point Calibration Record

Location		A2a
Calibrated by	:	P.F.Yeung
Date	:	22/04/08
Sampler		
Model	f	GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number	1	S/N 1061

Calibration Orfice and Standard Calibration Relationship

1	CM-AIR-43
÷	2 July 2007
:	0.057452
5	-0.026137
÷	0.999910

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa)	•	1013
Tstd (K)	1	298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa)	ť	1011
Ta(K)	:	298
IC (Indicated flow)	Т.	36 cfm
Actual flow	8	1.35 m ³ /min

Checked by: Magnum Fan Date: 25/04/08

High-Volume TSP Sampler 1-Point Calibration Record

Location	1	A3a
Calibrated by	:	P.F.Yeung
Date		22/04/08
Sampler		
Model	ł	GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number	ŧ	S/N 1254
Calibration Orfice and Standard C	alibra	tion Relationship
Serial Number	•	CM-AIR-43
Service Date	:	2 July 2007
Slope (m)	:	0.057452
Intercept (b)	÷	-0.026137
Correlation Coefficient(r)	1	0,999910
Standard Condition		
Pstd (hpa)	1	1013
Tstd (K)	:	298,18
Calibration Condition		
Pa (hpa)	:	1011
Ta(K)	Ì	298
IC (Indicated flow)	ſ	38 cfin
Actual flow	;	1,24 m ³ /min

Checked by: <u>Magnum Fan</u> Date: <u>25/04/08</u>

High-Volume TSP Sampler 1-Point Calibration Record

Location	1	A4
Calibrated by	•	P.F.Yeung
Date	2	22/04/08
Sampler		
Model	8	GMWS-2310 ACCU-VOL
Serial Number	1	S/N 0816
Calibration Orfice and Standard	Calibra	tion Relationship
Serial Number	:	CM-AIR-43
Service Date	1	2 July 2007
Slope (m)	,	0.057452
Intercept (b)	N	-0.026137
Correlation Coefficient(r)	:	0.999910
Standard Condition		
Pstd (hpa)	2	1013
Tstd (K)	\$	298.18
Calibration Condition		

Pa (hpa)	1	1011
Ta(K)	ť	298
IC (Indicated flow)		36 cfm
Actual flow	:	1.32 m ³ /min

Checked by: Magnum Fan Date: 25/04/08

FROM : ENVIROTECH (HK) LTD

PHONE NO. : 85225606553


Jan. 24 2008 05:00AM P1

Environment Condition	69.3 (20,7) °F (°C)	Model		8520	
Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	42 %RH 28.92 (979.3) inHg (hPa)	- Serial Number		85202623	3
🖾 As Left		⊠in Tolerance			
As Found		Out of Tolerance	a a c		2 A 1
		noavity Dies			
	100	nearity Plot			
- · · ·		0			
	E 10 -				2
	184	٥			7 7
	Measured (mg/m3)				te la
	surg	0			
	Mea		1		報告
9	- 0.1 -				
-	Ū		o ∞ In Tolerance		1.
	0.01	·····	• = Out of Toler	ance	22
	111 0.0:En 0.1	1 10	100		
		ncentration (mg/m3)			(IVA)
Zero Stability Results					
Average:	Minimum:	Maximum:			
$\bigcirc \cdot \bigcirc \odot \bigcirc :mg/m^3$			Time:	10 F	R
		$m^2 O, OO$:mg/m ³	ILP :	:hrs. 🎇
TSI Incorporated does hereby cel strict accordance with the appli- performance and acceptance tests NIST standard for optical moss ma- nominally adjusted to respirable m Measurement Variable Syster DC Voltage E0022	required under this contract we easurements. Calibration of this ass of standard ISO 12103-1, A m ID Last Cal. Cal Due 235 04-05-07 04-05-08	in by rest fully conducted a instrument performed by T l test dust (Arizona dust). O MGesurement Variab Barometric Pressure	ter and with all pu coording to required SI has been done us ur calibration ratio	blished specifications specifications. There ing emery oil and has is greater than 1.2:1 Last Çal, Çal, Due	: All is no been
Temperature E0028 DC Voltage E0033	873 02-23-0702-23-08 814 07-11-07 07-11-08	Humidity DC Voltage	E002873	04-30-07 04-30-08 02-23-07 02-23-08 07-11-07 07-11-08	
<u> </u>	Van La	Final Function Check	September	28, 2007	Plant Ge
/ Calibrated			Date	1.84	

 $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$

PHONE NO. : 85225606553

Aug. 04 2008 05:48AM P1



Appendix E: Detailed impact monitoring data of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP

Date	Sampling Time (1)	Sampling	Sampling	Reading (1)	Reading (2)	Reading (3)
	Time (T)	Time (2)	Time (3)	μg/m ³	µg/m³	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	9:10	10:10	11:10	124	131	108
06-Jun-08	9:00	10:00	11:00	102	104	101
12-Jun-08	9:10	10:10	11:10	110	124	113
18-Jun-08	9:10	10:10	11:10	112	124	138
24-Jun-08	9:00	10:00	11:00	115	124	106
30-Jun-08	9:00	10:00	11:00	122	126	129

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ($\mu g/m^3)$ at A1

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) at A2a

Date	Sampling Time (1)	Sampling Time (2)	Sampling Time (3)	Reading (1)	Reading (2)	Reading (3)
	Time (T)	Time (2)	Time (3)	µg/m³	µg/m³	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	9:00	10:00	11:00	148	162	139
06-Jun-08	8:50	9:50	10:50	114	123	119
12-Jun-08	9:00	10:00	11:00	142	138	151
18-Jun-08	9:00	10:00	11:00	129	144	139
24-Jun-08	8:50	9:50	10:50	125	144	151
30-Jun-08	9:10	10:10	11:10	138	146	157

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) at A3a

Date	Sampling Time (1)	Sampling Sampling Time (2) Time (3)		Reading (1)	Reading (2)	Reading (3)
	Time (T)	Time (2)	Time (3)	µg/m³	µg/m³	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	12:25	13:25	14:25	180	159	173
06-Jun-08	12:20	13:20	14:20	139	140	125
12-Jun-08	12:30	13:30	14:30	164	158	172
18-Jun-08	12:30	13:30	14:30	182	157	169
24-Jun-08	12:20	13:20	14:20	148	137	140
30-Jun-08	12:30	13:30	14:30	180	159	174

The Summary of 1-hr TSP Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) at A4

Date	Sampling Time (1)	Sampling Time (2)	Sampling Time (3)	Reading (1)	Reading (2)	Reading (3)
		1 III (L)		µg/m³	µg/m³	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	12:35	13:35	14:35	140	135	143
06-Jun-08	12:30	13:30	14:30	140	125	128
12-Jun-08	12:35	13:35	14:35	155	138	140
18-Jun-08	12:40	13:40	14:40	162	159	141
24-Jun-08	12:30	13:30	14:30	124	115	117
30-Jun-08	12:40	13:40	14:40	131	146	144

Date	Sampling Time	Weather	Elapsed Time	Initial Standard Flow Rate	Final Standard Flow Rate	Ave. Standard Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Conc.
			(min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	(g)	(g)	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.35	1.35	1.35	1944	2.7599	2.9046	74
06-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.35	1.35	1.35	1944	2.7895	2.9100	62
12-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.35	1.35	1.35	1944	2.7795	2.9003	62
18-Jun-08	10:00	Fine	1440	1.35	1.35	1.35	1944	2.7908	2.9248	69
24-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.35	1.35	1.35	1944	2.8010	2.9114	57
30-Jun-08	10:00	Sunny	1440	1.35	1.35	1.35	1944	2.7807	2.9100	67

The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at A1

The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at A2a

Date	Sampling Time	Weather	Elapsed Time	Initial Standard Flow Rate	Final Standard Flow Rate	Ave. Standard Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Conc.
			(min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	(g)	(g)	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.31	1.31	1.31	1886.4	2.7842	2.9606	94
06-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.31	1.31	1.31	1886.4	2.7900	2.9179	68
12-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.31	1.31	1.31	1886.4	2.7801	2.9145	71
18-Jun-08	10:00	Fine	1440	1.31	1.31	1.31	1886.4	2.7896	2.9367	78
24-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.31	1.31	1.31	1886.4	2.7941	2.9303	72
30-Jun-08	10:00	Sunny	1440	1.31	1.31	1.31	1886.4	2.7846	2.9286	76


The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration (µg/m³) at A3a

Date	Sampling Time	Weather	Elapsed Time	Initial Standard Flow Rate	Final Standard Flow Rate	Ave. Standard Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Conc.
			(min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	(g)	(g)	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.29	1.29	1.29	1857.6	2.7860	2.9660	97
06-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.29	1.29	1.29	1857.6	2.7745	2.9171	77
12-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.29	1.29	1.29	1857.6	2.7946	2.9394	78
18-Jun-08	10:00	Fine	1440	1.29	1.29	1.29	1857.6	2.7933	2.9496	84
24-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.29	1.29	1.29	1857.6	2.7887	2.9269	74
30-Jun-08	10:00	Sunny	1440	1.29	1.29	1.29	1857.6	2.7887	2.9372	80


The Summary of 24-hr TSP Concentration (μ g/m³) at A4

Date	Sampling Time	Weather	Elapsed Time	Initial Standard Flow Rate	Final Standard Flow Rate	Ave. Standard Flow Rate	Total Standard Volume	Initial Filter Weight	Final Filter Weight	TSP Conc.
			(min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	(g)	(g)	µg/m³
02-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.32	1.32	1.32	1900.8	2.7887	2.9249	72
06-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.32	1.32	1.32	1900.8	2.7891	2.9100	64
12-Jun-08	10:00	Cloudy	1440	1.32	1.32	1.32	1900.8	2.7967	2.9172	63
18-Jun-08	10:00	Fine	1440	1.32	1.32	1.32	1900.8	2.8060	2.9387	70
24-Jun-08	10:00	Rainy	1440	1.32	1.32	1.32	1900.8	2.7495	2.8668	62
30-Jun-08	10:00	Sunny	1440	1.32	1.32	1.32	1900.8	2.7928	2.9265	70

Appendix F: Graphical presentations of the air impact monitoring results



HLE 3.12_050_2006 C:\Documents and Settings\Linda\桌面\emar200806.doc



Appendix G: Summary of exceedance

Parameter	Location	Monitoring Poriod	No. of Exc	eedance(s)
Farameter	Location	Monitoring Period	Action Level	Limit Level
	A1	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
Air	A2a	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
(1-hour TSP)	A3a	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
	A4	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
	A1	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
Air	A2a	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
(24-hour TSP)	A3a	21/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
	A4	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
Noise	CN1a	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	0
NOISE	CN2a	01/6/2008 - 30/6/2008	0	4
Ground-Borne	HKSM		0	0
Noise	HKCC		0	0

Appendix H: Calibration certificates of the sound level meter and calibrator



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C073059

P.01

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description : Sound Level Calibrator Manufacturer : Rion Model No. : NC-73 Serial No. : 10786708

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C073059.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.

Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Road, Hong Kong

Date of Issue : 25 June 2007

Certified by : K/C Lee

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o=4/F. Tsing Shan War Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lané, Tuch Mun, New Territories, flong Kong Tel: 2927-2606 Fax: 2744-8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

чų,



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Greation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C081909

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description : Sound Level Meter Manufacturer : Rion Model No. : NL-31 Serial No. : 00410224

has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges. The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C081909.

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name : Envirotech Services Co.

Address : Shop 6, G/F., Casio Mansion, 209 Shaukeiwan Roud, Hong Kong

Date of Issue · 14 April 3008

Contified by ; Lee

The test equipment used for testing are unceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.


Calibration and Tearing Lubaratory of Sun Croation Engineering Limited

Con 4-1, Fixing Chain Warn Dirkburg, Dulkfung, I Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun. New Territeries, Hang Kang Tell: 1927/2606 Fax: 2744-8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com Appendix I: Detailed impact noise monitoring data for CN1a & CN2a

			CN1a (Po Yip)			
Date	Time	Weather	Noise Level,		dB(A)	
			L _{eq}	L ₉₀	L ₁₀	
02-Jun-08	10:05	Cloudy	71.2	69.5	73.6	
12-Jun-08	10:10	Cloudy	72.7	70.1	73.9	
18-Jun-08	10:10	Cloudy	72.1	69.2	73.9	
24-Jun-08	10:10	Cloudy	72.0	69.2	73.9	
30-Jun-08	13:05	Sunny	71.2	69.0	73.6	

			CN2a (YMCA)			
Date	Time	Weather	Noise Level, dB(A)		B(A)	
			L _{eq}	L ₉₀	L ₁₀	
02-Jun-08	11:10	Cloudy	74.1	72.2	75.9	
12-Jun-08	11:15	Cloudy	76.5	74.0	78.4	
18-Jun-08	11:05	Cloudy	75.2	73.7	77.0	
24-Jun-08	11:05	Cloudy	76.2	74.0	78.4	
30-Jun-08	13:50	Sunny	75.1	73.7	77.6	

Appendix J: Graphical presentations of the noise impact monitoring results



Appendix K: Photographic record of retained trees

	Status o	f Troos	Condition of Trees during the		
	Status U		monitoring period		
Tree #	To be retained	To be	(June 2008)	Required Action	Remarks
	10.00 10101100	transplanted	(Condition 1, 2 or 3)		
T1	✓		1	No	
T3	✓		1	No	
T6	✓		1	No	
T8	✓		1	No	
T9		✓	1	No	
T10	~		2	Yes	Tree pruning operation for the dead branches s was carried out on 11 June 2008. Close monitoring
T14		✓	1	No	
T17(R)		\checkmark	1	No	Replaced T17
T32		✓	1	No	
T34		√	1	No	
T35		✓	1	No	
T54	~		2	Yes	Tree pruning operation for the dead branches was carried out on 12 June 2008. Close monitoring
T55		✓	1	No	
T65	\checkmark		1	No	
T66	~		1	Yes	Tree treated with insecticide similar to tree T10. Works near T66 and T67
T67	\checkmark		1	Yes	closely monitored to prevent damage to trees
T73		\checkmark	3	Yes	To be replaced
T75		✓	1	No	
T79		\checkmark	1	No	
T80		✓	1	No	
T96	V		1	Yes	Works near T96. Tree pruning operation for the dead branches was carried out on 13 June 2008. Close Monitoring
T98(R)		\checkmark	1	No	Replaced T98
T99		✓	1	No	
T100(R)		~	1	No	Replaced T100
T102		\checkmark	1	No	
T104		\checkmark	1	No	
T107		\checkmark	1	No	
T111		\checkmark	1	No	
T120	✓		2	Yes	Tree canopy fully recovered due to
T121	✓		2	Yes	seasonal change observed during tree
	· · ·				inspection on 29 April 2008. Close
T122	-		2	Yes	monitoring
T124	 ✓ 		1	No	
T125	 ✓ 		1	No	
T126	✓ ✓		1	No	
T127	✓ ✓		1	No	
T128	✓ ✓		1	No	
T129	 ✓ 		1	No	
T131	✓ ✓		1	No	
T132	✓ ✓		1	No	
T134	✓		1	No	
TA1	 ✓ 		1	No	
TA2	✓		1	No	
TA66		✓	11	No T70 indiantan a dan	

Remark: Please note that although the assessment of the condition of tree T73 indicates a decline in their condition this decline is due to the fact that they were originally located on steeply sloping ground but were selected for transplantation due to the general lack of other suitable candidates. In addition when they were prepared for lifting it was found that their roots were growing in close proximity to a number of boulders (previously buried below the shotcrete on the slope) and the roots were severely constrained by the footings of the adjacent wall at the crest of the slope. Therefore the specialist landscape contractor had extreme difficulty in forming a viable rootball and so even with good horticultural treatment the trees experienced a significant decline in their health following relocation in the temporary holding nursery.

significant decline in their health following relocation in the temporary holding nursery. Note: 1 = No adverse comment 2 = Sign of deterioration 3 = Severe decline in condition compared to baseline assessment

Trees identified for Retention





T54 (20 June 2008)

T10 (20 June 2008)





T65 (20 June 2008)

T96 (20 June 2008)






T66/67 (20 June 2008)

TA1 (20 June 2008)





T6 / T8 (20 June 2008)

T131 (20 June 2008)





T132 (20 June 2008)



T134 (20 June 2008)

T121 / T122 (20 June 2008)



T124 (20 June 2008)



T125 (20 June 2008)



T127 (20 June 2008)




T126 (20 June 2008)



T128 (20 June 2008)




T120 (20 June 2008)



T129 (20 June 2008)







T1 / T3 (20 June 2008)