

JOB NO.: TCS00310/06

VERSION No.: 2

DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT CONTRACT No.: DC/2005/02

CONSTRUCTION OF SEWERS, RISING MAINS & SEWAGE PUMPING STATION AT KAM TIN, NAM SANG WAI AND AU TAU IN YUEN LONG

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT (EM&A) REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2009 (No. 44) (DESIGNATED ELEMENTS)

PREPARED FOR

LEADER CIVIL ENGINEERING CORPORATION LIMITED

Quality Index

| Date | Reference No. | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 10 December 2009 TCS00310/06/600/R1008v2 | | | |
| Prepared By | Certified By | Approved By | Verified By |
| Nicola Hon | David Yeung | TW Tam | Dr. Anne F Kerr |
| | | | |

Environmental Consultant Environmental Team Leader General Manager Independent Environmental Checker

| Version No. | Date | Remarks |
|-------------|------------------|---|
| 1 | 8 December 2009 | First Submission |
| 2 | 10 December 2009 | Amended against IEC's comments received on 10 December 2009 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- ES01. Leader Civil Engineering Corporation Limited (the Contractor) has been awarded the DSD Contract DC/2005/02 Construction of Sewers, Rising Mains and Sewage Pumping Station at Kam Tin, Nam Sang Wai and Au Tau in Yuen Long (the Project). The Project requires an Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) program to be implemented by an Environmental Team (ET) throughout the contract period in compliance with the requirements as stated in the project Environmental Permit (EP-220/2005) and the Project's Updated EM&A (Designated Elements) Manual.
- ES02. This Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for November 2009 (No. 44) presents the environmental impact monitoring and audit (EM&A) program conducted from 1 to 30 November 2009 for the Designated Elements. The EM&A program in November 2009 covered air quality, construction noise and waste management only.

BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (AL) LEVELS

- ES03. For air quality, there was one (1) Limit Level exceedance for 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at AM5 on 4 November 2009. Investigation revealed that the exceedance was not related to the works under to project.
- ES04. No construction noise complaint (Action Level) or exceeded the Limit Level was recorded in this month.

COMPLAINT LOG

ES05. No environmental complaint was received in this month.

NOTIFICATION OF ANY SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION

ES06. There was no environmental summons or prosecution in this month.

REPORTING CHANGES

ES07. There are no changes in the reporting format or content in this month.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

ES08. Construction activities to be undertaken in **December 2009** include sheet piling, excavation, pipe laying, backfilling, concreting and extract sheet pile. Potential environmental impacts arising from the works include construction waste, air quality, noise and water quality (particularly site runoff during rainy seasons). Environmental mitigation measures will be properly implemented and maintained as per the Mitigation Implementation Schedule to ensure site environmental performance is acceptable.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | BASI | C PROJECT INFORMATION1 |
|-------------|--------------|--|
| 2.0 | ENV | IRONMENTAL STATUS2 |
| 3.0 | SUM | MARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS3 |
| 4.0 | | LEMENTATION STATUS5 |
| | | NITORING RESULTS6 |
| | | |
| 6.0 | | ORT ON NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF |
| | SUM | MONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS11 |
| 7.0 | OTH | ERS12 |
| | | |
| <u>LIST</u> | OF T | <u>'ABLES</u> |
| TABL | E 2-1 | WORK UNDERTAKEN AND ILLUSTRATIONS OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
| TABL | E 2-2 | DESCRIPTION OF THE MONITORING STATIONS |
| | | SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS |
| | | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY |
| | | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| | | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS |
| | | MONITORING EQUIPMENT USED IN IMPACT EM&A PROGRAM |
| TABL | E 5-2 | LOCATION OF AIR QUALITY AND CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS/LOCATIONS |
| TABL | E 5-3 | SUMMARY OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABL | Е 5-4 | SUMMARY OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AT NM3 |
| TABL | E 5-5 | SUMMARY OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AT NM4 |
| TABL | E 5-6 | SUMMARY OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AT NM6 |
| TABL | E 5-7 | SUMMARY OF NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AT NM7 |
| TABL | E 5-8 | MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT MONTH |
| TABL | Е 7-1 | SUMMARY OF WASTE QUANTITIES FOR DISPOSAL |
| TABL | E 7-2 | SUMMARY OF WASTE QUANTITIES FOR REUSE/RECYCLING |
| LIST | OF A | <u>ANNEXES</u> |
| ANNE | ΧA | PROJECT SITE LAYOUT |
| ANNE | хB | PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE |
| ANNE | x C | CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM |
| ANNE | x D | PHOTOGRAPHICAL RECORDS – NOISE BARRIER ON-SITES |
| ANNE | хE | LOCATIONS OF MONITORING STATIONS |
| ANNE | хF | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN |
| ANNE | x G | MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE |
| ANNE | хH | EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES |
| ANNE | ΧI | METEOROLOGICAL DATA |
| ANNE | хJ | GRAPHICAL PLOTS OF AIR QUALITY AND CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING |
| | | RESULTS |
| ANNE | хK | PROFORMA OF SITE INSPECTION AND IEC AUDIT |



1.0 BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1.01 Leader Civil Engineering Corporation Ltd (the Contractor) has been awarded the DSD Contract DC/2005/02 Construction of Sewers, Rising Mains and Sewage Pumping Station at Kam Tin, Nam Sang Wai and Au Tau in Yuen Long (the Project). The Project is part of the Yuen Long and Kam Tin Sewerage and Sewage Disposal (YLKTSSD) Scheme. A site layout map showing the site boundary and the work areas is shown in Annex A.
- 1.02 This Monthly EM&A Report for November 2009 (No. 44) (Designated Elements Construction Phase) summarizes the impact monitoring results and audit findings from 1 to 30 November 2009.

PROJECT ORGANIZATION

1.03 The organization chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management and monitoring program are shown in **Annex B**.

CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM OF THIS MONTH

1.04 A construction program showing the construction work undertaken in this month Is shown in **Annex C**. Environmental mitigation measures implemented are given in **Table 2-1**.

MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE

1.05 The management structure of the Project is provided in **Annex B**.

CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES UNDERTAKEN IN THIS MONTH

1.06 The major construction activities undertaken during this month under the Environmental Permit (EP-220/2005) were as follows:-

Kam Tin Pumping Station (P1)

Excavation

Sha Po Pumping Station (P2)

- Excavation
- Backfilling
- Concreting

Nam Sang Wai Pumping Station (P3)

- Backfilling
- Concreting

Nam Sang Wai Road (S4)

- Sheet piling
- Excavation
- Pipe laying
- Backfilling
- Concreting
- Extract sheet pile

Pok Wai South Road (S5 and S6)

- Sheet piling
- Excavation
- Pipe laying
- Backfilling
- Concreting
- Extract sheet pile



2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

WORKS UNDERTAKEN IN THIS MONTH

2.01 A summary of the works undertaken in this month with illustrations and environmental mitigation measures implemented is shown in **Table 2-1**.

Table 2-1 Work Undertaken and Illustrations of Mitigation Measures

| Locations | Description of Construction Activities | Environmental Mitigation Measures | EM&A Ref. |
|------------------------|---|--|----------------|
| P1 (Kam Tin Pumping | Excavation | • Erect 2.4m high noise barrier hoarding around the works area at P1, P2 and P3 | A1 & F6 |
| Station) | | • Remove dust and spray water at the construction access | A2 |
| | | Cover the stockpiles of dusty material properly | A3 |
| | | Spray water to all dusty materials immediately before loading and unloading | A4 |
| P2 (Sha Po Pumping | ExcavationBackfilling | • Erect 2.4m high noise barrier hoarding around the works area at P1, P2 and P3 | A1 & F6 |
| Station) and | Concreting | • Remove dust and spray water at the construction access | A2 |
| | | Cover the stockpiles of dusty material properly | A3 |
| | | Spray water to all dusty materials immediately before loading and unloading | A4 |
| P3 (Nam Sang Wai | BackfillingConcreting | • Erect 2.4m high noise barrier hoarding around the works area at P1, P2 and P3 | A1 & F6 |
| Pumping | _ | Wash the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site | A5 |
| Station | | • Install and use power-operated cover at the dump trucks | A6 |
| | | Spray water at the pavement breaking locations | A7 |
| | | Spray the working area of excavation frequently | A8 |
| | | Maximize the use of quiet PME on site | B1, B2 & F5 |
| | | Apply and obtain appropriate waste disposal licenses | D1 |
| S4 (Nam | Sheet piling | Remove dust and spray water at the construction access | A2 |
| Sang Wai | Excavation | Cover the stockpiles of dusty material properly | A3 |
| Road) and | Pipe layingBackfilling | Spray water to all dusty materials immediately before loading and unloading | A4 |
| | ConcretingExtract sheet pile | Wash the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site | A5 |
| , | • Sheet piling | • Handle, store and dispose of chemical wastes as per | |
| Wai South | • Excavation | relevant regulations | & D4 |
| Road) | Pipe layingBackfilling | Implement trip-ticket system for waste disposal Restrict open fires and provide fire fighting equipment | D5 F9 |
| | • Concreting | Restrict open fires and provide fire fighting equipment in the works area | ГУ |
| | • Extract sheet pile | Perform weekly inspection with ET and monthly audit with IEC | H1 |
| | • | Conduct noise and dust monitoring as per EM&A Manual during construction | I1 & I2 |
| | | Provide sedimentation tanks for treating site discharge. | _ |
| | | • Recycle wheel washing water and provide sedimentation | _ |
| | | tanks for treating site discharge. | |

2.02 Photographic records showing the implemented 2.4m high noise barrier at the pumping station (S3) are shown in **Annex D**.

PROJECT DRAWINGS

2.03 Drawings showing the work areas under EP-220/2005 and the locations of the designated monitoring stations are presented in **Annex E**.



2.04 There are four designated air quality monitoring stations (AM1, AM5, AM6 & AM7) and four noise monitoring stations (NM3, NM4, NM6 & NM7) under the project EP. Locations of the monitoring stations and description are summarized in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2 Description of the Monitoring Stations

| Station | Nature of Premise | Site Work Description | Station Coordinates | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| ID | Nature of Frenise Site Work Description | | Northern | Eastern | |
| AM1 | Site Boundary in NSW | | 835829 | 822910 | |
| AM5 | Site Boundary in FKH | Excavation; | 835121 | 823515 | |
| AM6 | Site Boundary in KT | Sheet piling; | 833308 | 823987 | |
| AM7 | Site Boundary in NSW | Backfilling; | 836171 | 822586 | |
| NM3 | Village House in NSW | Pipe laying; | 835808 | 822817 | |
| NM4 | Village House in NSW | Concreting; and | 835282 | 822811 | |
| NM6 | Village House in KT | Extract sheet pile | 833288 | 823999 | |
| NM7 | Village House in FKH | | 835121 | 823495 | |

3.0 SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS

MONITORING PARAMETERS

- 3.01 Environmental monitoring and audit requirements are set out in the Updated EM&A Manual. Air quality and construction noise have been identified as the key monitoring parameters during the construction phase of the project.
- 3.02 A summary of the impact EM&A requirements for air quality and construction noise is shown in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements

| Environmental Aspect | Monitoring Parameters |
|-------------------------|--|
| Air Quality | 24-hour TSP |
| Construction Noise | Leq 30min day time 07:00 to 19:00 (Supplementary L10 and L90 for reference.) |

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PERFORMANCE LIMITS

3.03 A summary of the Action/Limit (A/L) Levels for air quality and construction noise is shown in Tables 3-2 and 3-3.

Table 3-2 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

| Monitoring Locations | Action Level (µg/m³) | | Limit Level (µg/m³) | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Womtoring Locations | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP |
| AM1 | > 391 | > 184 | > 500 | > 260 |
| AM5 | > 353 | > 237 | >500 | > 260 |
| AM6 | > 329 | > 183 | > 500 | > 260 |
| AM7 | > 383 | > 204 | > 500 | > 260 |

Table 3-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Monitoring Period | | d | Action Level | Limit Level | |
|-------------------|-------|----|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 0700-1900 | hours | on | normal | When one or more documented | > 75 dB(A) |
| weekdays | | | | complaints are received | > /3 UB(A) |

EVENT AND ACTION PLANS

3.04 An Event Action Plan for air quality and construction noise has been implemented for this project. Details of the Event Action Plan are presented in **Annex F**.



ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

3.05 The project EIA report has recommended environmental mitigation measures to minimize potential environmental impacts arising from the construction of the project. A full list of the mitigation measures is detailed in **Annex G**.

ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS IN CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

3.06 The environmental requirements in the contract documents generally refer to the compliance of the requirements as stipulated in the project EP (EP-220/2005) and the updated EM&A Manual.



4.0 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

- 4.01 The implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures as recommended in the project EIA report are summarized in **Table 2-1** and the implementation schedule as shown in **Annex G**.
- 4.02 The status of permits, licenses, and/or notifications related to environmental protection under this Project during the month is presented in **Table 4-1**.

Table 4-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

| Items | Item Description | License/Permit Status |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Environmental Permit No.: EP-220/2005 | Issued in June 2005 |
| 2 | · · | Notified EPD on 24 Dec 2005 |
| 3 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration (No. 5213-528-L2544-08) | Registration on 27 Jan 2006 |
| 4 | Water Pollution Control (Discharge License No. 1U434/1) | Issued on 8 May 2006 |
| 5 | Account for Disposal of Construction Waste No. 5004959 | Registration on 27 Dec 2005 |



5.0 MONITORING RESULTS

MONITORING METHODOLOGY OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING

- 5.01 The 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out by a High Volume Air Sampler (HVAS) in compliance with the updated EM&A Manual. The HVAS employed complies with the PS specifications including.
 - Power supply of 220v/50 Hz for 24-hour continuous operation;
 - 0.6-1.7m³/min (20-60 SCFM) adjustable flow rate;
 - A 7-day mechanical timer for 24-hour operation;
 - An elapsed time indicator with ± 2 minutes accuracy for 24-hour operation;
 - Minimum exposed area of 63in²;
 - Flow control accuracy of $\pm 2.5\%$ deviation over 24-hour operation;
 - An anodized aluminum shelter to protect the filter and sampler;
 - A motor speed-voltage control to control mass flow rate with accuracy of $\pm 2.5\%$ deviation over 24-hour sampling period;
 - Provision of a flow recorder for continuous monitoring;
 - Provision of a peaked roof inlet;
 - Incorporation with a manometer; and
 - An 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder to hold, seal and easy to change the filter paper.
- 5.02 The filter papers used in 24-hour TSP monitoring were of size 8"x10" and provided by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, ALS Techichem Pty (HK) Limited (HOKLAS No. 66). The filters papers after measurements were returned to the laboratory for the required treatment and analysis. The validation of all monitoring practices and data were following the in-house QA/QC procedures. Blank filters samples were collected and delivered to the HOKLAS-accredited laboratory for QA/QC check.
- 5.03 The meteorological information in this month was obtained from Lau Fau Shan Station of the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO).

METHODOLOGY FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

- Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level (Leq) measured in decibels (dB). Supplementary statistical results (L_{10} and L_{90}) were also obtained for reference.
- 5.05 Hand-held sound level meters and associated acoustical calibrators in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Publication 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications were used for taking the baseline noise measurements.
- 5.06 Windshield was fitted in all measurements. All noise measurements were made with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq).
- 5.07 No noise measurement was made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

LABORATORY AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT USED

- 5.08 A local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (HOKLAS No. 66), is responsible for the analytical testing of the 24-hour TSP filter papers.
- 5.09 Monitoring equipment used in the impact EM&A program is presented in **Table 5-1**.



Table 5-1 Monitoring Equipment Used in Impact EM&A Program

| Env. Aspect | Parameters | Monitoring Equipment | | | |
|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Air Quality | 24-hour TSP | Greasby Anderson GMWS2310 High Volume Air Sampler | | | |
| Noise | | B&K Sound Level Meter (Type 2238) and Acoustics Calibrator (Type 4231) | | | |

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

- 5.10 Initial calibration of the HVAS was performed upon installation and thereafter at a six month intervals in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A). The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference. HVAS of AM5 and AM6 was required calibration in this month, HVAS of AM5 and AM6 monitoring equipment required to calibrate in next month. Updated calibration certificate and schedule is shown in Annex H.
- 5.11 The sound level meters were calibrated using an acoustical calibrator prior to and after measurements. The meters are regularly calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustical calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements were considered valid only if the calibration levels before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.
- 5.12 Calibration certificates of the sound level meters will provide depend on the annual calibration had undertaken.

PARAMETERS MONITORED

5.13 The environmental parameters monitoring in this month were compliance with the monitoring requirements as in **Table 3-1**.

MONITORING LOCATIONS

5.14 There are four designated air quality and four noise monitoring stations under the project EP. For this month, monitoring was carried out at four designated air (AM1, AM5, AM6 & AM7) and four noise (NM3, NM4, NM6 & NM7) monitoring stations. The locations of the designated monitoring stations are shown in **Table 5-2** and geographically in **Annex E**.

Table 5-2 Location of Air Quality and Construction Noise Monitoring Stations/Locations

| Air Quality (4 Stations) | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| AM1 | Worksite boundary facing scattered house in Nam Sang Wai | | | | |
| AM5 | Worksite boundary facing Fung Kat Heung | | | | |
| AM6 | Worksite boundary facing scattered near Route 3 | | | | |
| AM7 | Worksite boundary facing scattered house in Nam Sang Wai | | | | |
| Construction Noise (4 | Construction Noise (4 Locations) | | | | |
| NM3 | Village House in Nam Sang Wai | | | | |
| NM4 | Village House in Nam Sang Wai | | | | |
| NM6 | Scattered House near Route 3 | | | | |
| NM7 | Fung Kat Heung | | | | |

MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

5.15 The impact 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted at the designated stations once every 6 days in compliance with the updated EM&A Manual. In this month, 16 monitoring events of 24-hour TSP monitoring were successful conducted. However four events of 24-hour monitoring were failed due to the power supply issue.



5.16 The impact noise monitoring was conducted at the designated stations once every 6 normal working days in compliance with the updated EM&A Manual. Total of 20 monitoring events were carried out in this month.

MONITORING RESULTS AND SCHEDULE

- 5.17 Monitoring results in this month for air quality and construction noise were summarized at **Tables 5-3 to 5-7**.
- 5.18 One (1) Limit Level exceedance for 24-hour TSP monitoring was recorded at AM5 on 4 November 2009. It is advised that rebar fixing and trimming slope were carried out on 4 November 2009. It is believed the exceedance is not related to the work under the project. Moreover, proper and adequate dust mitigation measures were implemented in the area. Power failure occurred at Location AM1 from 26 September 2009 to 9 November 2009; after the power failure issue was resolved on 9 November 2009, the 24-hour TSP at AM1 was resumed on 10 November 2009. Power failure also occurred at AM7 on 16, 21 and 27 November 2009. It was a continuous power failure and the Contractor was pending technical parts for AM7 from 16 to 27 November 2009. Thus we consider no subsequent monitoring can be carried out. We have already informed the contractor about restoring the power supply, but we have not received any notification from them. The Contractor is in the process of dealing with the landowner regarding the connection of power supply.

Table 5-3 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results

| Date | | 24-hour | ΓSP (μg/m³) | |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Date | AM1 | AM5 | AM6 | AM7 |
| 4-Nov-09 | #Power failure | <u>267</u> | 86 | 203 |
| 10-Nov-09 | 64 | 80 | 58 | 85 |
| 16-Nov-09 | 162 | 147 | 21 | #Power failure |
| 21-Nov-09 | 125 | 180 | 101 | #Power failure |
| 27-Nov-09 | 179 | 163 | 50 | #Power failure |
| Average (Range) | 133 (64-179) | 167 (80-267) | 63 (21-101) | 144 (85-203) |
| Action / Limit | > 184 / >260 | > 237 / >260 | > 183 / >260 | > 204 / >260 |

Note: All 24-hour TSP monitoring were preset to start at 00:00 on each monitoring date.

Monitoring was affected due to power failure.

5.19 No construction noise complaint (Action Level) was received and no construction noise monitoring above the Limit Level was recorded in this month.

Table 5-4 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM3

| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq5 | 2nd Leq5 | 3rd Leq5 | 4th Leq5 | 5th Leq5 | 6th Leq5 | Leq30 | Corrected* Leq30 |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|
| 5-Nov-09 | 11:00 | 63.4 | 63.7 | 62.2 | 64.0 | 61.9 | 60.6 | 62.8 | 65.8 |
| 11-Nov-09 | 13:25 | 60.9 | 61.4 | 60.4 | 62.2 | 60.9 | 59.7 | 61.0 | 64.0 |
| 17-Nov-09 | 13:05 | 64.2 | 64.9 | 63.7 | 65.2 | 65.5 | 64.3 | 64.7 | 67.7 |
| 23-Nov-09 | 14:15 | 62.9 | 63.4 | 63.7 | 62.1 | 64.2 | 64.0 | 63.4 | 66.4 |
| 28-Nov-09 | 13:05 | 62.7 | 60.4 | 60.7 | 62.6 | 63.1 | 61.7 | 62.0 | 65.0 |
| Limit Le | evel | | | | | | | | 75 |

Note: * A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

Table 5-5 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM4

| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq5 | 2nd Leq5 | | | 4th 5th Leq5 Leq5 | | Leq30 | Corrected* Leq30 | |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|----------------------|------|-------|---------------------|--|
| 5-Nov-09 | 13:10 | 66.6 | 65.3 | 65.1 | 63.7 | 64.2 | 65.4 | 65.1 | 68.1 | |
| 11-Nov-09 | 10:30 | 61.9 | 61.4 | 62.8 | 64.7 | 61.7 | 62.1 | 62.6 | 65.6 | |
| 17-Nov-09 | 10:43 | 64.2 | 66.1 | 66.8 | 65.3 | 65.9 | 67.2 | 66.0 | 69.0 | |
| 23-Nov-09 | 10:45 | 64.2 | 62.7 | 62.9 | 63.7 | 62.9 | 62.5 | 63.2 | 66.2 | |

Monthly EM&A Report for November 2009 (No. 44) (Designated Elements)



| 28-Nov-09 | 10:30 | 64.2 | 63.1 | 65.9 | 63.7 | 63.5 | 64.6 | 64.3 | 67.3 |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Limit Lo | evel | | | | | | | | 75 |

* A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. Note:

Table 5-6 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM6

| Date | Start Time | | | 6th Leq5 | Leq30 | | | |
|-----------|---------------|------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|------|
| 5-Nov-09 | 11:24 | 52.4 | 54.8 | 55.6 | 53.1 | 52.7 | 53.8 | 53.9 |
| 11-Nov-09 | 11:23 | 61.0 | 61.3 | 61.9 | 58.5 | 57.2 | 55.9 | 59.8 |
| 17-Nov-09 | 11:26 | 57.7 | 54.3 | 55.5 | 66.6 | 55.8 | 62.2 | 61.2 |
| 23-Nov-09 | 11:23 | 55.9 | 55.4 | 55.1 | 56.0 | 55.7 | 55.4 | 55.6 |
| 28-Nov-09 | 11:21 | 57.1 | 55.6 | 56.0 | 56.5 | 59.4 | 56.1 | 57.0 |
| Limit L | evel | | | | | | | 75 |

* Noise monitoring was undertaken at the façade, correction was not necessary.

Table 5-7 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results at NM7

| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq5 | 2nd Leq5 | 3rd Leq5 | 4th Leq5 | 5th Leq5 | 6th Leq5 | Leq30 |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 5-Nov-09 | 09:50 | 59.6 | 61.4 | 61.6 | 60.7 | 63.4 | 62.7 | 61.7 |
| 11-Nov-09 | 08:55 | 58.4 | 59.1 | 57.8 | 59.4 | 59.9 | 60.3 | 59.2 |
| 17-Nov-09 | 09:03 | 59.4 | 62.2 | 61.4 | 59.8 | 60.4 | 61.1 | 60.8 |
| 23-Nov-09 | 09:05 | 50.4 | 51.5 | 48.3 | 51.4 | 52.5 | 51.9 | 51.2 |
| 28-Nov-09 | 08:45 | 56.2 | 55.7 | 55.1 | 57.4 | 55.3 | 56.6 | 56.1 |
| Limit Level | | | | | | | | 75 |

* Noise monitoring was undertaken at the façade, correction was not necessary. Note:

5.20 The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month (December 2009) is shown in **Table 5-8**.

Table 5-8 Tentative Schedule of Monitoring for Next Month

| D | ate | Air Quality | Noise Leq 30min |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
| Tue | 1-Dec-09 | | |
| Wed | 2-Dec-09 | | |
| Thu | 3-Dec-09 | | |
| Fri | 4-Dec-09 | | |
| Sat | 5-Dec-09 | | |
| Sun | 6-Dec-09 | | |
| Mon | 7-Dec-09 | | |
| Tue | 8-Dec-09 | | |
| Wed | 9-Dec-09 | | |
| Thu | 10-Dec-09 | | |
| Fri | 11-Dec-09 | | |
| Sat | 12-Dec-09 | | |
| Sun | 13-Dec-09 | | |
| Mon | 14-Dec-09 | | |
| Tue | 15-Dec-09 | | |
| Wed | 16-Dec-09 | | |
| Thu | 17-Dec-09 | | |
| Fri | 18-Dec-09 | | |
| Sat | 19-Dec-09 | | |
| Sun | 20-Dec-09 | | |
| Mon | 21-Dec-09 | | |
| Tue | 22-Dec-09 | | |
| Wed | 23-Dec-09 | | |
| Thu | 24-Dec-09 | | |
| Fri | 25-Dec-09 | | |
| Sat | 26-Dec-09 | | |
| Sun | 27-Dec-09 | | |



| Mon | 28-Dec-09 | |
|-----|-----------|--|
| Tue | 29-Dec-09 | |
| Wed | 30-Dec-09 | |
| Thu | 31-Dec-09 | |

| Monitorii | ng Da | у |
|-----------|-------|--------|
| Sunday | or | Public |

WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING MONTH

5.21 The meteorological data during the monitoring date are summarized in **Annex I**.

GRAPHICAL PLOTS OF TRENDS OF MONITORED PARAMETERS

5.22 The graphical plots of air quality and construction noise monitoring data are presented in **Annex J**.

WEATHER CONDITIONS THAT AFFECT THE MONITORING RESULTS

5.23 The weather conditions during monitoring were considered acceptable for monitoring activities and did not have significant impact on the monitoring results obtained.

OTHER FACTORS INFLUENCING THE MONITORING RESULTS

5.24 There were no other noticeable external factors generally affecting the monitoring results in this month.

QA/QC RESULTS AND DETECTION LIMITS

5.25 Not applicable.



6.0 REPORT ON NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

RECORD OF NON-COMPLIANCE OF ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

- 6.01 One (1) Limit Level exceedance in 24-hour TSP monitoring of air quality was recorded at AM5 on 4 November 2009.
- 6.02 No construction noise complaint (Action Level) or monitoring noise level exceeding the Limit Level was recorded in this reporting month.

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS RECEIVED

6.03 There was no environmental complaint received in this month.

RECORD OF NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION

6.04 There was no notification of summons or prosecution received in this month.

REVIEW OF REASONS FOR AND IMPLICATIONS OF NC, COMPLAINTS AND NOS

6.05 No complaints or notification of summons was received in this month.

DESCRIPTION OF FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS TAKEN

6.06 As mention in Section 6.05, no non-compliance, complaints or notification of symmons was received in this month. Therefore, no follow-up action was needed. The Contractor was reminded to implement the environmental mitigation measures as present in **Table 2-1** as necessary.



7.0 OTHERS

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.01 Construction activities to be undertaken in **December 2009** include sheet piling, excavation, pipe laying, backfilling, concreting and extract sheet pile. Potential environmental impacts arising from the works include construction waste, air quality, noise and water quality (particularly site runoff during rainy seasons). Environmental mitigation measures will be properly implemented and maintained as per the Mitigation Implementation Schedule to ensure site environmental performance is acceptable.

SOLID AND LIQUID WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUS

7.02 The quantities of waste for disposal or reuse in this month are summarized in **Tables 7-1** and **7-2**.

Table 7-1 Summary of Waste Quantities for Disposal

| Type of Waste | Quantity | Disposal Location | | | |
|---|----------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| C&D Materials (Inert) (tons) – Disposed | 2548 | Tuen Mun 38 Fill Bank | | | |
| C&D Materials (Inert) (tons) – Reused | 0 | DSD Contract DC/2005/02 | | | |
| C&D Materials (Non-Inert) (tons) | 0 | NA | | | |
| Chemical Waste (Litres) | 0 | NA | | | |
| General Refuse (tons) | 91 | Refuse Collector | | | |

Table 7-2 Summary of Waste Quantities for Reuse/Recycling

| Type of Waste | Quantity | Disposal Location |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Metals for Recycling (kg) | 2248 | NA |
| Paper for Recycling (kg) | 0 | NA |
| Plastics for Recycling (kg) | 0 | NA |


7.03 There was no site effluent discharged but an estimated volume of less than 50m³ of surface runoff was discharged in the month. The sampling of effluent had been carried out by the Contractor in compliance with the Discharge License (No.1U434/1) requirement in this month.

SUBMISSION OF PROFORMA

- 7.04 Representatives of the Engineer, the Contractor and ET carried out regular weekly site inspection on 3, 10, 17 and 27 November 2009 to evaluate the site environmental performance. No non-compliance was found in this month. Six observations were recorded from the ET weekly site inspections: 2 observations were recorded on 3 November 2009; 1 observation was recorded on 10 November 2009; 1 observation was recorded on 17 November 2009 and 2 observations were found on 27 November 2009 during the regular weekly site inspections. The monthly site audit by the IEC in this reporting month was undertaken on 27 November 2009. No non-compliance but 2 observations were indicated by IEC.
- 7.05 Records of the weekly site inspection and joint IEC site audit are presented in **Annex K**.




ANNEX A PROJECT SITE LAYOUT






ANNEX B


PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE






ANNEX C CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM





| Act ID inch Method | | Total Per cent Float Com plete | Early Early Start Finish | Late Start | Late Finish | SEP OCT NOV DEC | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| S4FFA1300 Twin Rising Main DN700 | (WOIC5 - ChC2000) 80 | ol ol 951 | 05JUN08 A 02OCT09 | 05JUN08 A | 02OCT09 | Twin Rising Main DN700 (WOIC5 - ChC2000) | - 1 |
| S4FFA2300 Twin Rising Main DN700 | | | 29MAY09 A 29SEP09 | 29MAY09 A | 29SEP09 | Twin Rising Main DN700 (ChC2639 - H7) | - 1 |
| S4FFA2400 Construct AVIC5 | 30 | | 22JAN09 A 28SEP09 | 22JAN09 A | 28SEP09 | Construct AVIC5 | - 1 |
| | | | | | | CCTV inspection of Pipeline | 1 |
| S4FFA260(CCTV Inspection of Pipel onal Works / Disruption | ne 8 | 3 0 0 | 03OCT09 13OCT09 | 03OCT09 | 13OCT09 | CCTV inspectation ripeline | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| IC5 | | | | | | onnection inside Chamber | |
| S4FV1050 Pipe Connection inside C | | | 25AUG09 A 25SEP09 A | | 25SEP09 A | <u> </u> | |
| S4FV1060 Cast of Chamber Top Slat | 30 | 7 9 | 30SEP09 06NOV09 | 30SEP09 | 06NOV09 | Cast of Chamber Top Slab | |
| ork - Rising Main | | | | | | | - 1 |
| nch Method | | | | | | | - 1 |
| S4GFA140 Twin Rising Main DN500 | (ChB550 - ChB650) 107 | 7l l 400l | 27JUL06 A 25SEP09 A | 27JUL06 A | 25SEP09 A | Rising Mein DN500 (Ch8550 - Ch8650) | |
| | | | | | | Construct WOIC3 | - 1 |
| S4GFA170 Construct WOIC3 | 30 | | 11SEP09 A 23OCT09 | 11SEP09 A | 23OCT09 | CCTV Inspection of Pipeline | 1 |
| S4GFA190 CCTV Inspection of Pipel onal Works / Disruption | ne 9 | 100 (| 06MAR07A 28SEP09 | 06MAR07A | 28SEP09 | COTV inspectation in plante | |
| orial Works / Disruption | | | | | | | 1 |
| AIC6 | | | | _ | _ | | 1 |
| S4GV1025 Extraction of Sheet Pile | 24 | | 28SEP09 28OCT09 | 28SEP09 | 28OCT09 | Extraction of Sheet Pile | 1 |
| S4GV1030 Engineer Instruction of Pip | | | 29OCT09 13NOV09 | 29OCT09 | 13NOV09 | Engineer Instruction of Pipe Connection | 1 |
| S4GV1040 Pipe Connection inside C | hamber 20 | 0 0 | 14NOV09 07DEC09 | 14NOV09 | 07DEC09 | Pipe Connection inside Chamber | |
| H nd Investigation | | | | | | | 1 |
| o invostigation | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |
| S4HB1300 Install Settlement Markers | 727 | 7 0 85 | 26MAY06 A 08FEB10 | 26MAY06 A | 08FEB10 | | |
| age and Ducts | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| S4HEA100 DN500 Pipe & Manhole (A | A4 - A6) 90 | 100 (| 03OCT08 A 01SEP09 A | 03OCT08 A | 01SEP09A | | 1 |
| enchless Method | | | , | | | | |
| S4HEB110 CCTV Inspection of Pipel | ino 1 | d of of | 28SEP09 28SEP09 | 28SEP09 | 200 E B 00 | CCTV Inspection of Pipeline | i |
| work - Rising Main | ne i | 1 9 9 | 283EF09 283EF09 | 203EF 09 | 203EF 09 | | - |
| ench Method | | | | | | | i |
| | | | | | | | i |
| S4HFA100 Twin Rising Main DN700 | | | 08OCT08 A 02OCT09 | 08OCT08 A | 02OCT09 | Twin Rising Main DN700 (ChC100 - ChC170) | |
| S4HFA180 Twin Rising Main DN700 | | | 14APR09 A 11DEC09 | 14APR09 A | 11DEC09 | Twin filsing Main DN700 (ChC850 - C | ChC950) |
| S4HFA240 Twin Rising Main DN700 | | | 28SEP09 08FEB10 | 28SEP09 | 08FEB10 | tu pumidame pami | |
| S4HFA261 Twin Rising Main DN700 | | | 27JUN09 A 24SEP09 A | 27JUN09 A | 24SEP09 A | ng Main DN700 (ChC1715 - ChC1790) | i |
| S4HFA270 Twin Rising Main DN700 | | | 22JUN09 A 09OCT09 | 22JUN09 A | 09OCT09 | Twin Rising Main DN700 (ChC1790 - AlC7(AVIC6)) | - + - |
| S4HFA350 Construct AIC7 (AVIC6) | 91 | 1 100 (| 05MAY09 A 21SEP09 A | 05MAY09 A | 21SEP09 A | VICE) | |
| enchless Method | | | | | | | |
| S4HFB120 Construct WOIC7 | 60 | 0 0 90 | 11MAY09 A 06OCT09 | 11MAY09 A | 06OCT09 | Constituct WOIC7 | |
| S4HFB130 CCTV Inspection of Pipel | ine 2 | | 28SEP09 29SEP09 | | 29SEP09 | CCTV Inspection of Pipeline | |
| echnical works | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| S4HP1000 Monitoring of Instruments | 947 | 7 0 95 | 26MAY06 A 22MAR10 | 26MA Y 06 A | 22MA R 10 | | |
| tonal Works / Disruption | 34 | 1 1 2 | | A | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Combine A4/AIC10 (Claim No. 183) S4HV1510 Construct combine A4/AIC | 210 | al al sale | 28JUL09 A 27NOV09 | I se il il so a | 27NOV00 | Construct combine A4/AIC10 | |
| GHI V 1310 GUISITUCI COMDINE A4/AII | C10 100 | 1 1 30 | Z/NOV09 | 28JUL09 A | 27NOV09 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| S4HV5040 Extraction of Sheetpile | 12 | 2 0 01: | 28SEP09 13OCT09 | 28SEP09 | 13OCT09 | Extraction of Sheetpile | |
| S4HV5050 Confirmation of Delay Pip | | | 14OCT09 30OCT09 | 14OCT09 | 30OCT09 | Confirmation of Delay Pipe connection | |
| S4HV5060 Delay Pipe Connection | 10 | | 31OCT09 11NOV09 | 31OCT09 | 11NOV09 | Delay Pipe Connection | 1 |
| ,, | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | | -+ |
| nd Investigation | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| S4IB1300 Install Settlement Markers | 736 | 6 0 821: | 26JUN06 A 12MAR10 | 26JUN06 A | 12MAR10 | | - |
| age and Ducts | | | | | | | |
| nch Method | | | | | | | - ! |
| CHE VOLOTO CODITION CONTRACTOR | | d al -1 | 000ED00 0000T0 | Lancen | Longorma | CCTV Inspection of Pipeline | 1 |
| S4IEA2500 CCTV Inspection of Pipel | ine 8 | 3 0 0 | 28SEP09 08OCT09 | 28SEP09 | U8OC 109 | COLV. independent of change | |
| 10DE C0E | | | | | | | Early bar |
| 19DEC05 | | | | | | | |
| 19DEG05 24FEB11 28SEP09 | | | | | | | Progress I |
| 24FEB11 | | | | | | Leader Civil Engineering Corp. Ltd. DSD Contract No. DC/2005/02 | Progress Critical ba |



| Act ID Description | Orig Total Percent Early Early Dur Float Complete Start Finish | Late Late Start Finish SEP | CC | | | | FY10 NOV | | | DEC | JAN |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------|------|-------------|---|--------|--------|--|
| Decortamination | Luci di adamoni li comi | 28AUG09 A 29SEP09 Decontamination \ | | | ! | | | 1 | I I | I I | |
| S9FU1000 Decontamination Works Portion H Decontamination | 48 0 95 28AUG09 A 29SEP09 : | 1 | Works | | I I | I | | 1 | I | I I | |
| S9HU1000 Decontamination Works | 48 0 95 26MAR09 A 29SEP09 | 26MAR09 A 29SEP09 Decontamination \ | Works | 1 1 | I I | I | | 1 | I I | I I | 1 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start date 19DF C05 | | | | | | | | | | | Early bar |
| Stat date | | Lead C 3-Month Ro | ler Civil Engineer OSD Contract No. | ing Corp. Ltd. DC/2005/02 - 3M01 at 29 Sep | 2009 | | | | | | Progress bar Critical bar Summary bar |
| c Primavera Systems, Inc. | | 3-WOHTH NO | g i iogiaiille | Jimor at 25 Jep | | | | | | | Start milestone point Finish milestone point |



ANNEX D

PHOTOGRAPHICAL RECORDS – NOISE BARRIER ON-SITE
















ANNEX E LOCATIONS OF MONITORING STATIONS



















ANNEX F EVENT AND ACTION PLAN





Event and Action Plan for Construction Phase Air Quality

| EVENT | ACTION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ET Leader | | | IEC | | Engineer | | Contractor | | | | | |
| Action Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | 1. 2. 3. 4. | Identify source (s) of exceedance and inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer Repeat dust measurements to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Assess efficacy of remedial measures and keep the Contractor, IEC, and Engineer informed | 2. 3. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check monitoring data trends and Contractors working methods Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial actions and working methods are appropriate | 1. 2. 3. 4. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and review the Contractor's working methods Discuss remedial actions with the Contractor and IEC Inform complainant of actions taken, if necessary | 1. 2. 3. | Rectify any unacceptable practice Liaise with Engineer and IEC to develop appropriate remedial measures to reduce dust impact Amend working methods and remedial proposals if required by the Engineer or IEC Implement the agreed remedial actions upon instruction from the Engineer and IEC | | | | | |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Identify source (s) of exceedance and inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer Repeat measurements to confirm findings Increase the monitoring frequency to daily to assess the efficacy of remedial measures and keep the Contractor informed Discuss remedial actions with IEC and Contractor If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Engineer, IEC and Contractor to review working practices and identify further remedial actions If exceedance stops, inform the Contractor and cease additional monitoring | 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check monitoring data trends and Contractors working methods Discuss with Contractor and Engineer on possible remedial measures Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial measures are appropriate Determine the efficacy of remedial actions and keep the Engineer informed | 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and review the Contractor's working methods Discuss remedial actions with the Contractor and IEC Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Inform complainant of actions taken, if necessary. | 1. 2. 3. 4. | Rectify any unacceptable practice, if possible Submit proposals for remedial actions to Engineer and IEC within three working days of notification Discuss and amend remedial actions, if required, by the Engineer and IEC Implement the remedial action (s) immediately upon instruction from the Engineer Discuss with Engineer and IEC, to optimise the effectiveness of the agreed remedial actions | | | | | |



Event and Action Plan for Construction Phase Air Quality

| EVENT | | AC | TION | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | ET Leader | IEC | Engineer | Contractor |
| Exceedance for one sample | Identify source (s) of exceedance and inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer Repeat dust measurements to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Assess efficacy of remedial measures and keep the Contractor, IEC, Engineer and EPD informed | Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check monitoring data trends and Contractors working methods Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial actions and working methods are appropriate Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial measures are appropriate Determine the efficacy of remedial actions and keep the Engineer informed | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and review the Contractor's working methods Discuss remedial actions with the Contractor and IEC, Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented Inform complainant of actions taken, if necessary. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance Submit proposals for remedial actions to Engineer and IEC within three working days of notification Discuss and amend remedial actions, if required, by the Engineer and IEC Implement the remedial action (s) immediately upon instruction from the Engineer Discuss with Engineer and IEC, to optimise the effectiveness of the agreed remedial actions |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Identify source (s) of exceedance and inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer Repeat measurements to confirm findings Increase the monitoring frequency to daily to assess the efficacy of remedial measures and keep the Contractor informed Discuss remedial actions with IEC and Contractor If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Engineer, IEC and Contractor to review working practices and identify further remedial actions If exceedance stops, inform the Contractor and cease additional monitoring. | Discuss with Contractor and Engineer on possible remedial measures Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial measures are appropriate Determine the efficacy of remedial actions and keep the Engineer informed | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and review the Contractor's working methods Discuss remedial actions with the Contractor and IEC Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented If exceedance continues, instruct the Contractor to stop the relevant portion of work until the exceedance is abated Inform complainant of actions taken, if necessary. | Rectify any unacceptable practice, if possible Submit proposals for remedial actions to Engineer and IEC within three working days of notification Discuss and amend remedial actions, if required, by the Engineer and IEC Implement the remedial action (s) immediately upon instruction from the Engineer Discuss with Engineer and IEC, to optimise the effectiveness of the agreed remedial actions |



| Event and Action Pl | an for Construction Noise | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| EVENT | | AC | CTION | |
| | ET Leader | IEC | Engineer | Contractor |
| Limit Level | | | | |
| Exceedance for one sample | Identify source (s) of exceedance and inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer Repeat dust measurements to confirm findings If repeat measurements confirm exceedance ,increase monitoring frequency to daily Assess efficacy of remedial measures and keep the Contractor, IEC, and Engineer informed If exceedance stops, inform Contractor and cease additional noise monitoring | Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check monitoring data trends and Contractors working methods Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial actions and working methods are appropriate | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and review the Contractor's working methods Discuss remedial actions with the Contractor and IEC Inform complainant of actions taken, if necessary | Rectify any unacceptable practice Liaise with Engineer and IEC to develop appropriate remedial measures to reduce noise impact Amend working methods and remedial proposals if required by the Engineer or IEC Implement the agreed remedial actions upon instruction from the Engineer and IEC |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Identify source (s) of exceedance and inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer Repeat measurements to confirm findings Increase the monitoring frequency to daily Discuss remedial actions with IEC, Engineer and the EPD Assess the efficacy of remedial measures and keep the Contractor informed If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Engineer, IEC and Contractor to review working practices and identify further remedial actions If exceedance stops, inform the Contractor and cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET Check monitoring data trends and Contractors working methods Discuss with Contractor and Engineer on possible remedial measures Check and confirm Contractors proposed remedial measures are appropriate Determine the efficacy of remedial actions and keep the Engineer informed | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing Remind the Contractor of his contractual obligations and review the Contractor's working methods Discuss remedial actions with the Contractor and IEC Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented If exceedance continues, instruct the Contractor to stop the relevant portion of work until the exceedance is abated Inform complainant of actions taken, if necessary. | Rectify any unacceptable practice, if possible Submit proposals for remedial actions to Engineer and IEC within three working days of notification Discuss and amend remedial actions, if required, by the Engineer and IEC Implement the remedial action (s) immediately upon instruction from the Engineer Discuss with Engineer and IEC, to optimise the effectiveness of the agreed remedial actions Stop the relevant portion of work as determined by the Engineer until the exceedance is abated |



ANNEX G

MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Imple Stage | emen e** | tatio | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|---|---|--|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------|-----|--|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| | | CONSTRUCTION PHASE | | | | | | | | |
| | | AIR QUALITY - Construction Phase The following measures are enforceable under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations Site boundary and entrance | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 | A1 | where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4 m high from ground level should be provided along the boundaries of the seven pumping stations sites and the works area where the Engineer's site office and the Contractor's site office erected; | To prevent access to the site and control potential dust impacts from construction works. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | √ | | | Part III, Clause 13 (c), Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| | | Access Road | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 | A2 | the portion of any road leading only to a construction site that is within 30 m of a discernible or designated vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; | To control potential dust impacts from vehicle movements. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part III, Clause 14, (b), Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| | | Stockpiling of Dusty Materials | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 | А3 | any stockpile of dusty materials should be either covered entirely by impervious sheeting and placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire surface wet; | To control potential dust impacts during excavation and stockpiling activities. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, Clause 18, (a, b & c), Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| 3.5 | A4 | Loading, unloading or transfer of dusty materials all dusty materials should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to any loading and unloading so as to maintain the dusty materials wet; | To control potential dust impacts during material handling and truck movements. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, Clause 19, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| | | Use of vehicles | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 | A5 | every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels immediately before leaving a construction site; | To control potential dust impacts from vehicle movements. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, Clause 21, (1), Air Pollution Control (Construction |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Imple Stage | | tatio | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|---|---|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------|-------|-----|--|
| | | | | | | Des | C | 0 | Dec | |
| 3.5 | A6 | where a vehicle leaving a construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; | To control potential dust impacts during material transportation. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Dust) Regulations Part IV, Clause 21, (2), Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| 3.5 | A7 | Power-driven drilling, and cutting water should be continuously sprayed on the surface where any mechanical breaking operation that causes dust emission is carried out, unless the process is accompanied by the operation of an effective dusty extraction and filtering device; | To control potential dust impacts during mechanical breaking. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, Clause 22, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| 3.5 | A8 | the working area of excavation should be sprayed with water immediately before, during and immediately after the operation so as to maintain the entire surface wet; | To control potential dust impacts arising from excavation works. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, Clause 24, Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| 3.5 | А9 | Construction of the superstructure of a building where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the round floor level of the SPS, or if a canopy is provided a the first floor level, from the first floor level, up to the highest level of the scaffolding; and | To control potential dust impacts from SPS building construction works. | Full duration of SPS construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part I, Clause 6, (a), Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| 3.5 | A10 | any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by the impervious sheeting. | To control potential dust impacts during material transportation. | Full duration of SPS construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part I, Clause 6, (b), Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Implementation Stage** | | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines | |
|--------------|----------|---|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | NOISE - Construction Phase | | | | | | | | |
| | | General Site Clearance – Demolition Works | | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.1 | B1 | Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997 (Examples of these PME are shown in Table F2), | To control potential noise impacts during site clearance and demolition works | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Annex 5 of EIAO-TM |
| | | Construction of Sewage Pumping Stations P1, P2 & P3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.1 | B2 | Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, | To minimise potential noise impacts arising during the construction of <i>P1</i> , <i>P2</i> & <i>P3</i> | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Annex 5 of EIAO-TM |
| | | Adoption of temporary noise barrier, in the form of a site hoarding (with a superficial density of at least 20kg/m2, with no substantial gaps), along the site boundary of the pumping station sites. | To minimise potential noise impacts arising during the construction of <i>P1</i> , <i>P2</i> & <i>P3</i> | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Annex 5 of EIAO-TM |
| | | Sewers and Rising Mains using Open Trench Method | | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.1 | В3 | Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, | To control potential noise impacts during excavation works. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Annex 5 of EIAO-TM |
| 4.7.1 | B4 | Use of handheld breakers for all initial road opening activities, when breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached. | To control potential noise impacts during road opening activities. | Where there are NSRs located within 50m of the line of sight. Throughout the full duration of the road opening activities. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 4.7.1 | B5 | Use of movable noise barriers or 3 sided enclosures for all initial road opening activities | To control potential noise impacts during road opening | Where there are NSRs located within 50m of the | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |



| EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | | | | | | | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | | | | |
| | enclosures for all initial road opening activities (breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached), where there are NSRs located within 50m of the line of sight from the works area. | activities. | line of sight. Throughout the full duration of the road opening activities. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sewers and Rising Mains using Pipe Jacking | | | | | | | | | | | |
| В6 | Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, | To control potential noise impacts from PME during construction works | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | √ | | | Annex 5 of EIAO-TM | | | |
| В7 | Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, | To control potential noise impacts from PME during pavement and finish works | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | √ | | | Annex 5 of EIAO-TM | | | |
| | WATER QUALITY - Construction Phase No water quality monitoring is required under this study. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | WASTE - Construction Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | The Contractor shall obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities for the disposal of chemical and C&D waste, • Chemical Waste Producer and Chemical Waste Disposal Licence (Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations); and • Dumping Licence (Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap 28)) | To monitor the collection, handling and disposal of chemical waste and C&D waste, and in compliance with relevant Hong Kong Standards and Regulations. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | ✓ | √ | | | Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)(General) Regulation (Cap 354), the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap 28)) | | | |
| | B6 | enclosures for all initial road opening activities (breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached), where there are NSRs located within 50m of the line of sight from the works area. Sewers and Rising Mains using Pipe Jacking Method 6 Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, Road Pavement and Finishes 9 Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, WATER QUALITY - Construction Phase No water quality monitoring is required under this study. WASTE - Construction Phase The Contractor shall obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities for the disposal of chemical and C&D waste, • Chemical Waste Producer and Chemical Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations); and • Dumping Licence (Land (Miscellaneous | enclosures for all initial road opening activities (breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached), where there are NSRs located within 50m of the line of sight from the works area. Sewers and Rising Mains using Pipe Jacking Method 6 Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, Road Pavement and Finishes 87 Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, WATER QUALITY - Construction Phase No water quality monitoring is required under this study. WASTE - Construction Phase D1 The Contractor shall obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities for the disposal of chemical and C&D waste, • Chemical Waste Producer and Chemical Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations); and • Dumping Licence (Land (Miscellaneous | enclosures for all initial road opening activities (breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached), where there are NSRs located within 50m of the line of sight from the works area. Sewers and Rising Mains using Pipe Jacking Method 86 • Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control or Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, Road Pavement and Finishes 97 • Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control or Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, Road Pavement and Finishes • Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control or Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, WATER QUALITY - Construction Phase D1 The Contractor shall obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities for the disposal of chemical and C&D waste, waste plosposal (Chemical Waste Piosposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations); and • Dumping Licence (Land (Miscellaneous) | enclosures for all initial road opening activities (breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached), where there are NSRs located within 50m of the line of sight from the works area. Sewers and Rising Mains using Pipe Jacking Method • Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, Road Pavement and Finishes • Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, Road Pavement and Finishes • Use of quiet PME which meet the SWLs taken from British Standard, Noise and Vibration Control on Construction Open Sites, BS 5228: Part 1: 1997, WATER QUALITY - Construction Phase No water quality monitoring is required under this study. WASTE - Construction Phase The Contractor shall obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities for the disposal of chemical and C&D waste, Chemical Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations); and • Dumping Licence (Land (Miscellaneous | EM&A Ref Environmental Protection Measures Recommended Measures & Location of the measure Main Concerns Control of the Measures Concerns | ### Recommended Measures & Main Concerns ### Concerns Coation of the measure Coation of the construction contract. Coation of the construction of the con | EM&A Ref Environmental Protection Measures Recommended Measures & Main Concerns Coation of the measure Stage** | EM&A Ref Environmental Protection Measures Recommended Measures & Main Concerns Coation of the measure Coation of the moad opening activities. Coation of the full duration of the construction contract. Coation of the moad opening activities. Coation opening activities. Coation opening activit | | | |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Imple Stage | | tatio | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|---|--|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------|-------|-----|---|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| 6.6.2 | D2 | Chemical Waste Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the regulations and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes as follows. All chemical waste producers should be registered with the EPD. | To control the handling, storage and disposal of chemical waste, in order to minimise potential spillages/leakages and human health and environmental impacts. | To be implemented at all worksites throughout the full duration of the construction phase. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part II, (6) Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation |
| 6.6.2 | D3 | Storage, Packaging and Labelling of Chemical Waste Containers used for storage of chemical wastes should: • be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; • have a capacity of less than 450 L unless the specifications have been approved by the EPD; and • display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations. | To ensure the proper storage, packaging and labelling of chemical waste in accordance with the Regulations. | To be implemented at all worksites throughout the full duration of the construction phase. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, (9, 10, 11 & 12) Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation |
| 6.6.2 | D4 | Storage of chemical waste The storage area for chemical wastes should: • be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; • be enclosed on at least 3 sides; • have an impermeable floor and bunding, of capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% by volume of the chemical waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; • have adequate ventilation; • be covered to prevent rainfall entering (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed as chemical waste, if necessary); and • be arranged so that incompatible materials are | To ensure the proper storage of chemical waste in accordance with the Regulations. | To be implemented at all worksites throughout the full duration of the construction phase. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, (13,14, 15, 16, 17, & 18) Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Imple Stage | | tatio | n | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|--|---|--|--|----------------|----------|-------|-----|--|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| | | adequately separate | | | | | | | | |
| | | Disposal of chemical waste The Contractor should ensure that the disposal of chemical waste is via a licensed Waste Collector and in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations. | To control the disposal of chemical waste in accordance with the Regulations. | To be implemented at all worksites throughout the full duration of the construction phase. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Part IV, (20 -25) Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation |
| 6.6.2 | D5 | Management of Waste Disposal A trip-ticket system should be established which monitors the disposal of C&DM and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, in accordance with Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap28) and the Works Bureau Technical Circular No. 5/99. LAND CONTAMINATION- Construction Phase | To monitor the disposal of C&DM and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping. | To be implemented at all worksites throughout the full duration of the construction phase. | The Engineer/ Contractor | | ✓ | | | Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap 295) and Works Bureau Technical Circular No. 5/99. |
| 7.5.6 | | A revised CAP should be submitted to the EPD for approval before the commencement of the construction works. Following receipt of the EPD's approval, the CAP shall be implemented and the findings of the investigations will be reported in the Contaminated Assessment Report (CAR), before ground disturbance is allowed at the concerned sites. If land contamination is confirmed, a Remediation Action Plan (RAP) shall be prepared, and both the CAR and the RAP shall be submitted as a combined report to the EPD for approval before disturbing the ground of the concerned sites. If applicable and required in consultation with the | To determine the presence of soil and groundwater contamination and remedy any potential concerns to acceptable levels. | To be implemented before the commencement of the construction works. | To be Implemented by DSD or their sub-consultants at the Detailed Design Stage, depending upon when site access can be gained. | ✓ | | | | EIAO TM Annex 19/3.1.1 & 3.1.2 |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | | Implementation Stage** | | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|--|--|--|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------|---|-----|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| | | EPD, the contaminated site(s) shall be remediated in accordance with the approved CAR/RAP. | | | | | | | | |
| 8.7.1 | F1 | ECOLOGY - Construction Phase Mitigation Measures Adopted - Avoidance Construction activities shall be prohibited during the winter season (November to March) along the section of the proposed sewerage alignment, which fall within the Deep Bay Wetland Conservation Area and the Deep Bay Wetland Buffer Area (WCA and WBA) and close to the locations of ecologically sensitive species (including Intermediate Egret, Black-faced Spoonbill, Buzzard, Imperial Eagle and Avocet). (See Figure 8.7a attached). Regular site inspections (at least twice a month) should be conducted by the Environmental Team during the winter season (November to March) to ensure proper implementation of this restriction | To schedule construction works in order to minimise potential impacts to winter visiting birds. To be confirmed by regular site inspections. | At identified location (Figure 8.7a) for the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 8.7.2 | F2 | Mitigation Measures Adopted - Minimisation Pipe jacking method should be used instead of dredging where sewers and rising mains cross over existing MDC within the WCA and WBA. | To minimise potential construction noise impacts to ecological sensitive receivers within the WCA/WBA. | For the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 8.7.2 | | Regular inspections (at least twice a month) should be conducted by the ET during the winter season (November to March) for the remaining sections of the proposed sewerage alignment (including parts of S4, S5 and S6) within the WCA and WBA, where construction activities cannot be rescheduled. | To schedule noisy construction activities to minimise potential impacts to winter visiting birds. | Work fronts other than identified sections within WBA & WCA (see <i>Figure 8.7a</i> attached) throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| | | The site inspections shall check and report the number of workfronts and implementation of | | | | | | | | |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Imple | | tatio | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|---|---|---|-------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| 8.7.3 | F5 | mitigation measures (i.e. erection of movable noise barriers with a suitable footing along the sites) in the monthly EM&A reports. Mitigation Measures Adopted Quietened construction plant and equipment (as shown in Table F2) should be used for the construction of pumping stations (P3 and P2) and sewerage alignment (S4, S5 and S6) located within the WCA and WBA. | Quiet construction plant shall minimise potential noise impacts to the wildlife, particularly rare birds including Black-faced Spoonbill, Buzzard, Hobby, Imperial Eagle, Intermediate Egret, Avocet and Black-eared Kite | At described locations and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 8.7.4 | F6 | Erection of fences along the boundary of pumping station construction sites (P1 to P3) before the commencement of construction works to prevent tipping, vehicle movements, and encroachment of personnel into adjacent areas, and P2 to avoid disturbance to the remaining pond areas (0.7 ha); | To erect fences to prevent encroachment of construction activities onto adjacent areas. | At P1 to P3 for full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | √ | | | |
| 8.7.4 | F7 | No filling and dumping to the remaining abandoned fishpond at P2. | To avoid disturbance to abandoned fishponds from construction activities and illegal dumping. | At P2 for full duration of the construction contract | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 8.7.4 | F8 | Installation and operation of silt removal facilities at construction sites of P1 to P3. The silt removal facilities should be designed in accordance with Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN1/94 Construction Site Drainage. The minimal total combined volume of the silt removal facilities at Nam Sang Wai SPS (P3) should be 15m³. | To install silt removal facilities in potentially impact streams and ponds to prevent sedimentation. | At P1 to P3 for full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 8.7.4 | F9 | No open fires within the site boundary during | To prohibit open fires, thereby | Site wide and throughout | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Air Pollution Control |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Imple Stage | | tatio | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|---|--|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------|-------|-----|---|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| 8.7.4 | F7 | construction and provide temporary fire fighting equipment in the work areas. No filling and dumping to the remaining abandoned fishpond at P2. | minimising potential damage to trees and shrubs. To avoid disturbance to abandoned fishponds from construction activities and illegal dumping. | the full duration of the construction contract. At P2 for full duration of the construction contract | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | (Open Burning) Regulation |
| 8.7.4 | F8 | Installation and operation of silt removal facilities at construction sites of P1 to P3. The silt removal facilities should be designed in accordance with Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN1/94 Construction Site Drainage. | To install silt removal facilities in potentially impact streams and ponds to prevent sedimentation. | At P1 to P3 for full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | |
| 8.7.4 | F9 | No open fires within the site boundary during construction and provide temporary fire fighting equipment in the work areas. | To prohibit open fires, thereby minimising potential damage to trees and shrubs. | Site wide and throughout the full duration of the construction contract. | The Contractor | | ✓ | | | Air Pollution Control (Open Burning) Regulation |
| | | FISHERIES - Construction Phase | | | | | | | | |
| | | No specific mitigation measures are required for inclusion in the EP. | | | | | | | | |
| | | CULTURAL HERITAGE – Not Applicable for Package 1A-1T (DC/2005/02) | | | | | | | | |
| | | LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL - Construction Phase | | | | | | | | |
| | H1 | The site inspections shall check and report the implementation of mitigation measures (i.e. top-soil are reused and new compensatory planting works are carried out immediately after the construction of the civil structure) in the monthly EM&A reports. | To minimise potential landscape and visual impacts. | To be implemented during the construction phases of the project. | The Contractor | | √ | | | |
| | | The first monthly EM&A Report should also report the appearance of the temporary hoarding barriers. | | | | | | | | |
| | H2 | Prior to application for an Environmental Permit, a set of landscape plans and building elevations of the proposed pumping stations should be | To minimise potential landscape and visual impacts. | To be implemented during the design and construction phases of the | DSD and The Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | | | |



| EIA* Ref. | EM&A Ref | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | on Implementation Stage** | | | | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|--------------|----------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|----------|---|-----|---|
| | | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| | | submitted for approval by the EPD. | | project. | | | | | | |
| | | The landscape plans and pumping station elevations should demonstrate that the following elements are considered: • existing landscape elements (such as mature trees), transplantation of valuable trees, new compensatory planting | | | | | | | | |
| | | incorporate information on materials, details and textures so as to be as visually recessive as possible and in a style that fits with the surrounding village buildings. colour should be of low chromatic intensity to reduce the potential contrast between the structures and their background. The external finishing of the Pumping Stations shall be designed in conjunction with the landscape scheme. a minimum screen planting of 3m width and use of trees with a dense canopy of up to 5 m in height subject to constraints such as engineering and land availability. felling of mature trees are kept to a minimum. | | | | | | | | |
| | | EM&A REQUIEMENTS - Construction Phase | | | | | | | | |
| 3.7 | 11 | Air Quality Subject to the Environmental Protection Departments (EPDs) agreement, construction phase dust monitoring shall be undertaken at the following locations in accordance with the recommendations of the EIA. Worksite boundary facing Scattered house in Nam Sang Wai (AM1); | Installations of the dust monitoring stations to ensure the action and limit levels are not exceeded. | At specified dust monitoring locations for the duration of the construction works. | To be undertaken by the Environmental Team (ET) and reviewed and audited by the Engineer /DSD | | ✓ | | | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulations |
| | | Worksite boundary facing Fung Kat Heung (AM5); Worksite boundary facing Scattered House near Route 3 (AM6); | | | | | | | | |



| EIA* Ref. EM&A R | Environmental Protection Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns | Location of the measure | Implementation Agent | Implementa Stage** | | tatio | n | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|----------|-------|-----|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | |
| .9.1 2 | at any additional locations, where considered necessary, in agreement with EPD. Construction Noise Subject to the Environmental Protection Departments (EPDs) agreement, construction phase noise monitoring shall be undertaken at the following locations in accordance with the recommendations of the EIA. (NM3) Scattered House in Nam San Wai (D12); (NM4) Scattered House in Nam San Wai (D11); (NM6) Scattered House near Route 3 (D17); (NM7) Fung Kat Heung (D19); and at any additional locations, where considered necessary, in agreement with EPD | Installations of the noise monitoring stations to ensure the action and limit levels are not exceeded. | At specified noise monitoring locations throughout the duration of the construction works. | To be undertaken by the Environmental Team (ET) and reviewed and audited by the Engineer | | ✓ | | | Noise Control Ordinance |



ANNEX H

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES



Equipment Calibration List for Construction of Sewers, Rising Mains & Sewage Pumping Station at Kam Tin, Nam Sang Wai and Au Tau in Yuen Long Project

| Items | Aspect | Description of Equipment | Serial No. | Date of Calibration | Date of Next Calibration |
|-------|--|--|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1# | | Greasby Anderson GMWS2310 High Volume Sampler | 0329 (AM1) | 9 Nov 09 | 9 Jan 10 |
| 2 | Greasby Anderson GMWS2310 High Volume Samp | | (AM5) | 2 Oct 09 | 2 Dec 09 |
| 3 | All | Greasby Anderson GMWS2310 High Volume Sampler | (AM6) | 2 Oct 09 | 2 Dec 09 |
| 4 | | Greasby Anderson GMWS2310 High Volume Sampler | 1283 (AM7) | 2 Oct 09 | 2 Dec 09 |
| 5 | Noise | Bruel & Kjaer 4231 Acoustical Calibrator | 2326408 | 28 Apr 09 | 28 Apr 10 |
| 6 | indise | Bruel & Kjaer 2238 Integrating Sound Level Meter | T212509 | 28 Apr 09 | 28 Apr 10 |

Note:

- Calibration certificates will only be provided if monitoring equipment is re-calibrated or new.
- * Calibration done in this reporting month, see calibration certificate attached.
- ** Calibration will be done in next reporting month.
- # No power was received starting from 26 September 2009 till present, thus equipment could not be recalibrated.

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: Nam Sang Wai

Location ID: AM 1 (Designated)

Serial No: 0329

Date of Calibration: 9-Nov-09

Next Calibration Date: 9-Jan-10

Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1009.6 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 757.2 Temperature (°C) 25.6 Temperature (K) 299

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 515N
Serial # -> 0285

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

2.01546 -0.02851

CALIBRATION

| Pla | ate | H20 (L) | H2O (R) | H20 | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-----|-----|---------|---------|------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|
| N | ١o. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m3/min) | (chart) | corrected | REGRESSION |
| | 18 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.594 | 50 | 49.81 | Slope = 46.2366 |
| 1 - | 13 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 1.448 | 42 | 41.84 | Intercept = -24.0455 |
| 1 - | 10 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 1.246 | 35 | 34.87 | Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| | 7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.075 | 26 | 25.90 | |
| | 5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.899 | 17 | 16.93 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K) Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





ANNEX I METEOROLOGICAL DATA



Meteorological Data Extracted From the HK Observatory at Lau Fau Shan Weather Station

| | | | | Lau Fau Shan Weather Station | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|--|-------|------------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Date | Weather | Total | Mean Air | Wind | Mean Relative | Wind | | | | |
| | Dute | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Temperature | Speed | Humidity (%) | Direction | | | | |
| Thu | 1-Oct-09 | | (mm) | (°C) Holiday | (km/h) | • • • | | | | | |
| Fri | 2-Oct-09 | fine/dry/cloudy/moderate | Trace | 28.2 | 11.5 | 70.5 | E/NE | | | | |
| Sat | 3-Oct-09 | inie/dry/cloudy/moderate | Trace | Holiday | 11.5 | 70.3 | E/NE | | | | |
| Sun | 4-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate | 0 | 27 | 16 | 64.5 | S/SE | | | | |
| Mon | 5-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate/fresh | 0 | 27.3 | 17.2 | 53.2 | N/NE | | | | |
| Tue | 6-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate/fresh | 0 | 27.7 | 12 | 52.5 | N/NE | | | | |
| Wed | 7-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate | 25.4 | 27.6 | 8.5 | 60 | E/NE | | | | |
| Thu | 8-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate | 0 | 25.8 | 10 | 63.5 | E/NE E/SE | | | | |
| | | , | | | | | | | | | |
| Fri | 9-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate | 0 | 25.7 | 9 | 67 | S/SE | | | | |
| Sat | 10-Oct-09 | fine/dry/moderate | 0 | 265 | 13.5 | 55.5 | E/NE | | | | |
| Sun | 11-Oct-09 | cloudy/rain/fresh/strong | 5.1 | 27.5 | 16.5 | 74.5 | E | | | | |
| Mon | | cloudy/rain/fresh/strong | 1.5 | 26.9 | 18.5 | 76 | Е | | | | |
| Tue | 13-Oct-09 | sunny | Trace | 28.2 | 26 | 67.2 | Е | | | | |
| Wed | 14-Oct-09 | cloudy/rain/moderate/fresh | 9.5 | 27.5 | 16.5 | 72.5 | Е | | | | |
| Thu | 15-Oct-09 | sunny intervals/rain | 0 | 25.9 | 12.5 | 68.5 | E/NE | | | | |
| Fri | 16-Oct-09 | fine/haze/moderate | Trace | 27.2 | 8 | 74.2 | E/NE | | | | |
| Sat | 17-Oct-09 | fine/dry/hazy/moderate | 0 | 27.5 | 9.2 | 69.5 | E/NE | | | | |
| Sun | 18-Oct-09 | cloudy/moderate/fresh | 0 | 27.2 | 17.5 | 55 | Е | | | | |
| Mon | 19-Oct-09 | cloudy/rain/moderate/fresh | 2 | 26.6 | 14.5 | 69.2 | E/NE | | | | |
| Tue | 20-Oct-09 | cloudy/rain/fresh/strong | 0.9 | 24.8 | 20 | 78.5 | Е | | | | |
| Wed | 21-Oct-09 | cloudy/moderate | 0 | 25.2 | 15.5 | 78 | E/NE | | | | |
| Thu | 22-Oct-09 | fine/haze/moderate | 0 | 25.5 | 8 | 71.5 | N/NE | | | | |
| Fri | 23-Oct-09 | fine/dry/faze/light winds | 0 | 25.8 | 9.2 | 68 | Е | | | | |
| Sat | 24-Oct-09 | Fine and dry with some haze. Light winds. | 0 | 26.1 | 12.7 | 67.2 | E | | | | |
| Sun | 25-Oct-09 | Fine and dry with some haze. | Trace | 25 | 10.3 | 77 | E/SE | | | | |
| Mon | 26-Oct-09 | | 1 | Holiday | | | | | | | |
| Tue | 27-Oct-09 | Mainly fine. Moderate easterly winds, fresh over offshore waters. | 0 | 25.7 | 13 | 63.7 | Е | | | | |
| Wed | 28-Oct-09 | Mainly fine. Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh over offshore waters and on high ground. | Trace | 25.4 | 12.2 | 64.5 | E/NE | | | | |
| Thu | 29-Oct-09 | Mainly fine and dry. Moderate easterly winds. | 0 | 25.9 | 12 | 65 | E/NE | | | | |
| Fri | 30-Oct-09 | Mainly fine. Some haze tomorrow. Temperatures will range between 23 and 28 degrees. Moderate easterly winds | 0 | 25.7 | 9 | 68.2 | E/SE | | | | |
| Sat | 31-Oct-09 | Mainly fine and dry. Moderate easterly winds | 0 | 25.7 | 10.2 | 65 | Е | | | | |



ANNEX J


GRAPHICAL PLOTS OF AIR QUALITY AND CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS




AIR QUALITY



Air Quality Monitoring Results





Note: power failure occurred on 9 and 26 September 2009, therefore no result on plotting is shown.





Air Quality Monitoring Results









CONSTRUCTION NOISE




Construction Noise Monitoring Results








Construction Noise Monitoring Results









ANNEX K

PROFORMA OF SITE INSPECTION & IEC AUDIT





| Project | & Sewage Pu | nstruction of Sewe | Kam Tin, Nam | Contra | actor: | | Leader Ci | vil Engin | eering Cor _l | p. Ltd |
|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--|------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Salig Wal allu A | Au Tau in Yuen Loi | <u>ig</u> | Engine | eer: | | Babtie As | ia Ltd | | |
| Inspected by: | ET Auditor: | Ben Tam | | IEC: | | | Mott MacI | Donald H | ong Kong I | Ltd |
| | Contractor Rep: | Edwin Leung | | Enviro | Environmental Team: Action-United Environm Consulting Inspection Date & Time: 3 November 2009 (10:00) | | | | | Services & |
| IEC's Rep: Inspection Date & Time: RE's Rep: Checklist Reference | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RE's Rep: | | | | | | | 31109 | , | |
| | | | | No.: | | | | | | |
| General Meteor | ological Information | n | | | | | | | | |
| Weather | ✓ Sunny | Fine | Cloudy | | Overcast | | Drizzle | | Rain | Hazy |
| Temp: | 27 °C | | | | | | | | | |
| Humidity: | High (RH > | 90%) | ✓ Moderate (9 | 0% > RH > | • 50%) | | Low (RH | < 50%) | | |
| Wind: | Calm | Light | Breeze | | Strong | | | | | |
| Air Quality | | | | | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- | Remarks |
| 1.1 | | | | | | | | | up | romano |
| _ | ot less than 2.4m pro | | | | ✓ | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | traveling within cont | • | | | <u> </u> | | | | Ш_ | |
| | | to designated haul roa | | | ✓ | | | | Ш_ | |
| • | | pt clean and free from | | | ✓ | Ш | | | Ш_ | |
| Are haul roads a | and unpaved surfaces | s watered regularly to a | avoid dust generation? | • | <u> </u> | | | | Ш_ | |
| Are there wheel | washing facilities pro | ovided at site exits? | | | ✓ | | | | Ш_ | |
| Is water spraying | g used during the ma | nin dust-generating acti | vities? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are the excav impermeable/tarp | | of dusty materials | kept wet or cover | red by | √ | | | | | |
| Is exposed area | of ground covered o | r watered frequently? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are load on vehic | cles covered by clea | n impervious sheeting | ? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and | l equipment switched | d off while not in use? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are smoky emiss | sions from plants/equ | uipment avoided? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is open burning | avoided? | | | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Observable dust | sources | Wind erosion | | | ✓NA | | | | | |
| | | Loading/unloading of | f materials | | Oth | ers _ | | | | |
| Construction N | oise | | | | | | | | | |
| Are the construc | tion works scheduled | d to minimize noise nui | sance? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are the works or | equipment sited to r | minimize noise nuisand | ee? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are all plant and | equipment well mair | ntained and in good op | erating condition? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is idle equipmen | t turned off or throttle | ed down? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is powered mech materials? | hanical equipment co | overed or shielded by a | ppropriate acoustic | | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is silenced equip | oment used where ap | opropriate? | | | | | ✓ | | | |
| Are noise enclos | Is silenced equipment used where appropriate? Are noise enclosures or noise barriers used where necessary? | | | | | | ✓ | | | |
| Does specified e | Does specified equipment has valid noise label? | | | | | | ✓ | | | |
| Are Construction | n Noise Permits (CNF | Ps) available for inspec | tion? | | | | ✓ | | | |
| Major Noise Sou | ırce | Traffic | | | ✓ Cor | nstruction | activities ins | ide the site | | |
| | Г | Construction activitie | es outside of site | | Oth | ers N | Jil | | | |





| Water Qua | ity & Drainage | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- up | Remarks |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------|----|----------|----|---------------|-----------|
| Is a wastewater discharge | icense obtained for the Project? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is site effluent discharged i | n accordance with the discharge license? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is the discharge of silty wat | er avoided? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is drainage adequate? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is drainage system well ma | intained? | | | | | ✓ | Remarks 1 |
| Are there temporary ditches | s for runoff discharge into appropriate watercourse? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there sedimentation tar | nks for settling runoff prior to discharge? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are the sedimentation tank | s: Constructed of pre-formed individual cells? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | With adequate capacity? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Free from silt and sediment? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there neutralization tan | ks for concrete batching/mixing discharge? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Are there oil interceptors in | drainage system? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is wheel wash facility provide | ded at every site exit? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and plant clea | ned of earth, mud & debris before leaving the site? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are wheel washing facilities | regularly inspected and maintained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are toilets provided on site | ? If so, are they properly maintained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are manholes covered and | sealed? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is oil leakage or spillage avoided? | | | | | | ✓ | Remarks 2 |
| Waste Management and F | Potential Land Contamination | | | | | | |
| General Refuse: | Are receptacles (rubbish bins) available? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is there regular and proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is proper sorting and recycling implemented? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Construction Waste: | Is generation of construction waste minimized? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is waste sorting implemented on site? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste reused where practicable? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste properly disposed of? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Chemical waste/waste oil | Is there designated storage area? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste stored properly? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is there proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste license available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Excavated Materials | Do excavated materials appear uncontaminated? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated materials exist? | | | ✓ | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Chemical/Fuel | Is chemical/fuel stored in bounded area? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is bund capacity adequate (>110% of the largest tank)? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are storage areas lockable? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is foam, oil, grease or othe avoided? | objectionable matters in water or nearby drains of sewer | √ | | | | | |



Follow up

Un-used sedimentation tank at Nam San Wan Road was removed.

Observations Recorded in this Site Inspection:




 Stagnant water cumulated at Sha Po Pumping Station, the contractor was reminded to clean to prevent mosquito breeding.




2.Oil leakage was observed from the backhoe at Kam Tai Road, the contractor was reminded to clean.

| Signatures: | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Env. Auditor | Contractor's Representative | IC(E) Auditor | Witness by RE's Representative |
| 36 | | | |
| Name : Ben Tam | Name: Edwin Leung | Name: | Name: |





| Project | DC/2005/02 Construction of Sewers, Rising Mains & Sewage Pumping Station at Kam Tin, Nam | Contra | ctor: | | Leader Civil Engineering Corp. | | | o. Ltd | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|------------|---------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------|--|--|
| | Sang Wai and Au Tau in Yuen Long | Engine | er: | | Babtie As | ia Ltd | | | | |
| Inspected by: | ET Auditor: Ben Tam | IEC: Environmental Team: | | | Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd Action-United Environmental Services & | | | | | |
| | Contractor Rep: Edwin Leung | | | | | | | | | |
| | IEC's Rep: | Inspec | tion Date | & Time: | Consulting 10 November 2009 (10:00) | | | | | |
| | RE's Rep: | | ist Refere | | DSD-AT10 | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | No.: | | | | | | | | |
| General Meteor | ological Information | | | | | | | | | |
| Weather | Sunny Fine Cloudy | . C | vercast | | Drizzle | | Rain | Hazy | | |
| Temp: | 20 °C | | | | | | | | | |
| Humidity: | High (RH > 90%) Moderate (9) | 0% > RH > | 50%) | | Low (RH | < 50%) | | | | |
| Wind: | Calm | S | trong | | | | | | | |
| Air Quality | | | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- up | Remarks | | |
| Is hoarding of no | ot less than 2.4m provided? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are site vehicles | traveling within controlled speed limit? | | √ | | | | | | | |
| Are site vehicles | movement confined to designated haul roads? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are public roads | outside site exits kept clean and free from dust? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are haul roads a | and unpaved surfaces watered regularly to avoid dust generation? | ? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are there wheel | washing facilities provided at site exits? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is water spraying | | ✓ | | | | | | | | |
| Are the excavimpermeable/tar | rated or stockpile of dusty materials kept wet or cove paulin sheet? | red by | √ | | | | | | | |
| Is exposed area | of ground covered or watered frequently? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are load on vehi | cles covered by clean impervious sheeting? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and | equipment switched off while not in use? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are smoky emiss | sions from plants/equipment avoided? | | √ | | | | | | | |
| Is open burning | avoided? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Observable dust | sources Wind erosion | | ✓NA | | | | | | | |
| | Loading/unloading of materials | | Oth | ners _ | | | | | | |
| Construction N | oise | | | | | | | | | |
| Are the construc | tion works scheduled to minimize noise nuisance? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are the works or | equipment sited to minimize noise nuisance? | | √ | | | | | | | |
| Are all plant and | equipment well maintained and in good operating condition? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is idle equipmen | t turned off or throttled down? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is powered mech materials? | hanical equipment covered or shielded by appropriate acoustic | | | | √ | | | | | |
| Is silenced equip | oment used where appropriate? | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are noise enclos | sures or noise barriers used where necessary? | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Does specified e | equipment has valid noise label? | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are Construction | Noise Permits (CNPs) available for inspection? | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Major Noise Sou | urceTraffic | | Co | nstruction | activities ins | ide the site | | | | |
| | Construction activities outside of site | | Oth | ners <u>N</u> | lil . | | | | | |





| Water Qua | lity & Drainage | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- up | Remarks |
|--|--|--------------|----|----|----|---------------|----------|
| Is a wastewater discharge | license obtained for the Project? | √ | | | | | |
| Is site effluent discharged i | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Is the discharge of silty water avoided? | | | | | | | |
| Is drainage adequate? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is drainage system well ma | intained? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Are there temporary ditches | s for runoff discharge into appropriate watercourse? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there sedimentation tar | nks for settling runoff prior to discharge? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are the sedimentation tank | s: Constructed of pre-formed individual cells? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | With adequate capacity? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Free from silt and sediment? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there neutralization tan | ks for concrete batching/mixing discharge? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Are there oil interceptors in | drainage system? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is wheel wash facility provide | ded at every site exit? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and plant clea | ned of earth, mud & debris before leaving the site? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are wheel washing facilities | s regularly inspected and maintained? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Are toilets provided on site | ? If so, are they properly maintained? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Are manholes covered and | sealed? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is oil leakage or spillage av | oided? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Waste Management and F | Potential Land Contamination | | | | | | |
| General Refuse: | Are receptacles (rubbish bins) available? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Is there regular and proper disposal? | | | | | ✓ | Remark 1 |
| | Is proper sorting and recycling implemented? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Construction Waste: | Is generation of construction waste minimized? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is waste sorting implemented on site? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste reused where practicable? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste properly disposed of? | | | | | ✓ | Remark 1 |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Chemical waste/waste oil | Is there designated storage area? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste stored properly? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Is there proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste license available for inspection? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Excavated Materials | Do excavated materials appear uncontaminated? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated materials exist? | | | ✓ | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | √ | | | | | |
| Chemical/Fuel | Is chemical/fuel stored in bounded area? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is bund capacity adequate (>110% of the largest tank)? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Are storage areas lockable? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Is foam, oil, grease or othe avoided? | r objectionable matters in water or nearby drains of sewer | √ | | | | | |



Follow up

Stagnant water at Sha Po Pumping station was cleared. Oil leakage from the backhoe was cleared.


Observations Recorded in this Site Inspection:





1. C&D and general waste scattered on site was observed at Nam San Wai Pumping Station, housekeeping should be improved to keep the site tidy and clean.

| Signatures: | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Env. Auditor | Contractor's Representative | IC(E) Auditor | Witness by RE's Representative |
| 36 | | | |
| Name : Ben Tam | Name: Edwin Leung | Name: | Name: |





| Project | & Sewage | Construction of Sewers, Rising Mains Pumping Station at Kam Tin, Nam | Contra | actor: | | Leader Ci | vil Engin | eering Cor | p. Ltd | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|----------------------|------------|--|--|------------|-----------|--|--|
| | Sally Wal a | nd Au Tau in Yuen Long | Engin | eer: | | Babtie As | ia Ltd | | | | |
| Inspected by: | ET Auditor: | Ben Tam | IEC: | | | Mott MacI | Babtie Asia Ltd Mott MacDonald Hong Kong L Action-United Environmental Consulting 17 November 2009 (10:00) | | | | |
| | Contractor R | ep: Edwin Leung | Enviro | nmental ⁻ | Team: | Action-United Environmental Services 8 | | | | | |
| | IEC's Rep: | | Inspec | ction Date | & Time: | | | (10:00) | | | |
| | RE's Rep: | | Checklist Reference | | | DSD-AT17 | | · , | | | |
| | | | No.: | | | | | | | | |
| General Meteor | ological Inform | ation | | | | | | | | | |
| Weather | ✓ Sunny | Fine Cloudy | . (| Overcast | | Drizzle | | Rain | Hazy | | |
| Temp: | 13 °C | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humidity: | High (R | H > 90%) ✓ Moderate (9 | 0% > RH > | 50%) | | Low (RH | < 50%) | | | | |
| Wind: | Calm | ✓ Light Breeze | | Strong | | | | | | | |
| Air Quality | | | | V | NO | NA | NO | Follow- | Damada | | |
| • | | | | Yes | NO | NA | NC | up | Remarks | | |
| Is hoarding of no | ot less than 2.4m | provided? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are site vehicles | traveling within | controlled speed limit? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are site vehicles | movement confi | ined to designated haul roads? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are public roads | outside site exit | s kept clean and free from dust? | | | | | | ✓ | Remarks 1 | | |
| Are haul roads a | and unpaved surf | aces watered regularly to avoid dust generation | ? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are there wheel | washing facilities | s provided at site exits? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is water spraying | g used during the | e main dust-generating activities? | | √ | | | | | | | |
| Are the excav impermeable/tarp | | oile of dusty materials kept wet or cove | red by | V | | | | | | | |
| Is exposed area | of ground covere | ed or watered frequently? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are load on vehic | cles covered by | clean impervious sheeting? | | √ | | | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and | d equipment swite | ched off while not in use? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are smoky emiss | sions from plants | s/equipment avoided? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is open burning | avoided? | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Observable dust | sources | Wind erosion | | ✓NA | | | | | | | |
| | | Loading/unloading of materials | | Oth | ners _ | | | | | | |
| Construction No | oise | | | | | | | | | | |
| Are the construc | ction works sched | duled to minimize noise nuisance? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are the works or | equipment sited | I to minimize noise nuisance? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are all plant and | equipment well | maintained and in good operating condition? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is idle equipmen | t turned off or the | rottled down? | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is powered mech materials? | hanical equipme | nt covered or shielded by appropriate acoustic | | | | √ | | | | | |
| Is silenced equip | oment used wher | re appropriate? | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are noise enclos | sures or noise ba | rriers used where necessary? | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Does specified e | Does specified equipment has valid noise label? | | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are Construction | n Noise Permits (| (CNPs) available for inspection? | | | | √ | | | | | |
| Major Noise Sou | ırce | Traffic | | ✓Co | nstruction | activities ins | ide the site | : | | | |
| | | Construction activities outside of site | | Oth | ners I | Nil | | | | | |



| Water Qua | lity & Drainage | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- up | Remarks |
|---|--|----------|----|----|----|---------------|---------|
| Is a wastewater discharge | icense obtained for the Project? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is site effluent discharged in accordance with the discharge license? | | | | | | | |
| Is the discharge of silty wat | er avoided? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is drainage adequate? | | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is drainage system well ma | intained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there temporary ditches | s for runoff discharge into appropriate watercourse? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there sedimentation tar | nks for settling runoff prior to discharge? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are the sedimentation tank | s: Constructed of pre-formed individual cells? | √ | | | | | |
| | With adequate capacity? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Free from silt and sediment? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there neutralization tan | ks for concrete batching/mixing discharge? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Are there oil interceptors in | drainage system? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is wheel wash facility provide | ded at every site exit? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and plant clea | ned of earth, mud & debris before leaving the site? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are wheel washing facilities | s regularly inspected and maintained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are toilets provided on site | ? If so, are they properly maintained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are manholes covered and | sealed? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is oil leakage or spillage av | oided? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Waste Management and F | Potential Land Contamination | | | | | | |
| General Refuse: | Are receptacles (rubbish bins) available? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is there regular and proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is proper sorting and recycling implemented? | √ | | | | | |
| Construction Waste: | Is generation of construction waste minimized? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is waste sorting implemented on site? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste reused where practicable? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste properly disposed of? | √ | | | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | √ | | | | | |
| Chemical waste/waste oil | Is there designated storage area? | √ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste stored properly? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is there proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste license available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Excavated Materials | Do excavated materials appear uncontaminated? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated materials exist? | | | ✓ | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | ~ | | | | | |
| Chemical/Fuel | Is chemical/fuel stored in bounded area? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is bund capacity adequate (>110% of the largest tank)? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are storage areas lockable? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is foam, oil, grease or othe avoided? | r objectionable matters in water or nearby drains of sewer | ✓ | | | | | |



Follow up


C&D and general waste at Nam San Wai Pumping Station was cleared.

Observations Recorded in this Site Inspection:




1. Sand and mud tail was observed at Nam San Wai Road site exit, the contractor was reminded to maintain the site exit clean and free from dust.

| Signatures: | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Env. Auditor | Contractor's Representative | IC(E) Auditor | Witness by RE's Representative |
| Name : Ben Tam | Name: Edwin Leung | Name: | Name: |





| Project | & Sewage Pum | nping Station a | ers, Rising Mains t Kam Tin, Nam | Contractor: | | Leader Civil Engineering Corp. Ltd | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--|
| | Sang Wai and Au | Tau III Tueli Lu | ong | Engine | eer: | | Babtie As | ia Ltd | | | |
| Inspected by: | ET Auditor: | Ben Tam | | IEC: | | Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd | | | | | |
| | Contractor Rep: | Edwin Leung | | Enviro | nmental 1 | Team: | | | rironmental | Services & | |
| | IEC's Rep: | Isaac Chu | | Inspec | tion Date | & Time: | Consultin 27 Novem | | (10:00) | | |
| | RE's Rep: | | | | list Refere | ence | DSD-AT17 | 71109 | | | |
| | - | | _ | No.: | | | | | | | |
| General Meteor | ological Information | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weather | √ Sunny | Fine | Cloudy | (| Overcast | | Drizzle | | Rain | Hazy | |
| Temp: | 21 °C | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humidity: | High (RH > 90 | 9%) | ✓ Moderate (9 | 0% > RH > | • 50%) | | Low (RH | < 50%) | | | |
| Wind: | Calm | ✓ Light | Breeze | | Strong | | | | | | |
| Air Quality | | | | | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- | Remarks | |
| | | | | | | | | | up | romano | |
| _ | ot less than 2.4m provid | | | | ✓ | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | traveling within control | • | | | <u> </u> | Ш | | | | | |
| Are site vehicles | movement confined to | designated haul ro | pads? | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Are public roads | outside site exits kept | clean and free from | n dust? | | ✓ | Ш | | | Ш_ | | |
| Are haul roads a | nd unpaved surfaces w | vatered regularly to | avoid dust generation? | • | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Are there wheel washing facilities provided at site exits? | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is water spraying used during the main dust-generating activities? | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are the excavated or stockpile of dusty materials kept wet or covered impermeable/tarpaulin sheet? | | | red by | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is exposed area of ground covered or watered frequently? | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Are load on vehic | cles covered by clean in | mpervious sheeting | j ? | | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and | l equipment switched of | ff while not in use? | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Are smoky emiss | sions from plants/equip | ment avoided? | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Is open burning a | avoided? | | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Observable dust | sources | Wind erosion | | | ✓NA | | | | | | |
| | | Loading/unloading | of materials | | Oth | ers _ | | | | | |
| Construction No | oise | | | | | | | | | | |
| Are the construct | tion works scheduled to | o minimize noise nu | uisance? | | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Are the works or | equipment sited to min | nimize noise nuisan | ice? | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good operating condition? | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down? | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Is powered mech materials? | nanical equipment cove | ered or shielded by | appropriate acoustic | | | | √ | | | | |
| Is silenced equip | oment used where appro | opriate? | | | | | ✓ | | | | |
| Are noise enclos | sures or noise barriers u | used where necess | ary? | | | | ✓ | | | | |
| Does specified e | equipment has valid noi: | se label? | | | | | ✓ | | | | |
| Are Construction | Noise Permits (CNPs) | available for inspe | ection? | | | | ✓ | | | | |
| Major Noise Sou | ırce | Traffic | | | Cor | nstruction | activities ins | ide the site | | | |
| | | Construction activit | ies outside of site | | Oth | | Jil | | | | |





| Water Qua | lity & Drainage | Yes | NO | NA | NC | Follow- up | Remarks |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|----|----------|----|---------------|---------|
| Is a wastewater discharge | license obtained for the Project? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is site effluent discharged i | n accordance with the discharge license? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Is the discharge of silty wat | er avoided? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is drainage adequate? | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Is drainage system well ma | intained? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Are there temporary ditches | s for runoff discharge into appropriate watercourse? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are there sedimentation tar | nks for settling runoff prior to discharge? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are the sedimentation tank | s: Constructed of pre-formed individual cells? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | With adequate capacity? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Free from silt and sediment? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Are there neutralization tan | ks for concrete batching/mixing discharge? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Are there oil interceptors in | drainage system? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is wheel wash facility provid | ded at every site exit? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are vehicles and plant clea | ned of earth, mud & debris before leaving the site? | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Are wheel washing facilities | s regularly inspected and maintained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are toilets provided on site | ? If so, are they properly maintained? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Are manholes covered and | sealed? | | | ✓ | | | |
| Is oil leakage or spillage av | oided? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Waste Management and F | Potential Land Contamination | | | | | | |
| General Refuse: | Are receptacles (rubbish bins) available? | √ | | | | | |
| | Is there regular and proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is proper sorting and recycling implemented? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Construction Waste: | Is generation of construction waste minimized? | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | Is waste sorting implemented on site? | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste reused where practicable? | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | Is construction waste properly disposed of? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Chemical waste/waste oil | Is there designated storage area? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste stored properly? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is there proper disposal? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is chemical waste license available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Excavated Materials | Do excavated materials appear uncontaminated? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are appropriate procedures followed if contaminated materials exist? | | | ✓ | | | |
| | Are disposal records available for inspection? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Chemical/Fuel | Is chemical/fuel stored in bounded area? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Is bund capacity adequate (>110% of the largest tank)? | ✓ | | | | | |
| | Are storage areas lockable? | ✓ | | | | | |
| Is foam, oil, grease or othe avoided? | r objectionable matters in water or nearby drains of sewer | ✓ | | | | | |



Follow up

The sand and mud tail at Nam San Wai Road was cleared.

Observations Recorded in this Site Inspection:



1. Stock pile without well cover was observed at Nam Sam Wai Road, the contractor was reminded to cover all stock pile to prevent dust generation during the dry season.



2. Stagnant water cumulated inside the u-channel was observed at Nam San Wai Road, the contractor was reminded to clean to prevent mosquito breeding.

| Signatures: | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Env. Auditor | Contractor's Representative | IC(E) Auditor | Witness by RE's Representative |
| Name : Ben Tam | Name: Edwin Leung | Name: | Name: |

Agreement No. CE37/2005 (EP) Environmental Monitoring and Audit for Kam Tin Trunk Sewerage Phase 1 and Au Tau Trunk sewers

MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

han

| Inspection | Nam sun Wai Road | 11:15 | Inspected | I By | ET: Ben DSD: M | Edwin Leung Tam I K Tsang , Kwai C aac Chu |
|------------|--|---|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---|
| Weather | | | | | | |
| Condition | Sunny Fine Overcast Dr | izzle | Rain | | Storm | Hazy |
| Temperatu | re 21°C Humidity Hi | gh 🗸 | Moderate |) | Low | |
| Wind | Calm Light Breeze St | rong | Direction | | NE | |
| EIA ref: | Construction Phase Air Quality - Construction Phase | Close-out on last comments Y/N | N/A or not obs | Yes | No | Photo/Remarks |
| 3.5 | Are hoardings of not less than 2.4m high provided along the site boundary? | | | V | | |
| 3.5 | Is the portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit kept clear of dusty materials? | | | V | | |
| 3.5 | Are stockpiled dusty materials covered by impervious sheeting and placed in an area sheltered on top and 3 sides or sprayed with water? | | | \checkmark | | See obs. |
| 3.5 | Are dusty material loads on vehicles sprayed with water prior to loading and unloading? | | / | | | |
| 3.5 | Are all vehicles washed to remove dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving site? | | V | | | |
| 3.5 | Are vehicles which are carrying dusty materials covered entirely by impervious sheeting when leaving site? | | V | | | |
| 3.5 | Are surfaces where any mechanical breaking operation takes place sprayed? | | V | | | |
| 3.5 | Are working area of any excavation sprayed with water, immediately before, during and immediately after the operation? | | V | | | |
| 3.5 | Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, are effective dust screens, sheeting or netting provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the SPS, or a canopy from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding? | | | V | | |
| 3.5 | Are skip hoists for material transport totally enclosed? | | V | | | |

| 3.7 | Have dust monitors been provided at the following locations: Boundary facing scattered house in NSW (AM1) Boundary facing Fung Kat Heung (AM5) Boundary facing scattered house near route 3 (AM6) | | V | |
|-------|--|---|---|--|
| | Construction Noise Demolition works | | | |
| 4.7.1 | Are quiet PME which meet the SWLs from BS 5228:Part 1: 1997 used? | V | | |
| 4.7.1 | Sewage Pumping Stations P1, P2 & P3 • Are quiet PME which meet the SWLs from BS 5228:Part 1: [1997 used? | V | / | |
| 4.7.1 | Are temporary noise barrier, in the form of a site hoarding (with superficial density of at least 20kg/m2, with no substantial gaps), along the site boundaries of the pumping station sites adopted? | | V | |
| 4.7.1 | Sewers and Rising Mains using Open Trench • Are quiet PME which meet the SWLs from BS 5228:Part 1: 1997 used? | V | | |
| 4.7.1 | Are handheld breakers used for all initial road opening activities, when breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached? | V | | |
| 4.7.1 | Are movable noise barriers or 3 sided enclosures installed for all initial road opening activities (breaking tarmac/concrete road surface to a depth of 300mm or when granular material is reached) where there NSRs within 50m of the line of sight? | V | , | |
| 4.7.1 | Sewers and Rising Mains using Pipe Jacking • Are quiet PME which meet the SWLs from BS 5228:Part 1: 1997 used? | V | | |
| 4.7.1 | Road Pavement and Finishes • Are quiet PME which meet the SWLs from BS 5228:Part 1: 1997 used? | V | | |
| 4.9.1 | Have noise monitors been provided at the following locations: (NM3) Scattered house in NSW (NM4) Scattered house in NSW (NM6) Scattered house near Route 3 (NM7) Fung Kat Heung | V | | |
| | Construction Runoff and Site Drainage | | | |
| | Are perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Are channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities? | | V | |
| | Are dikes or embankments for flood protection implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Are sediment/silt traps incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates? | | V | |
| | Are silt removal facilities provided with retention time for silt/sand traps of 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions? | | V | |
| | Are construction works programmed to minimize surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September)? | | V | |
| | Are slopes minimised and erosion potential reduced? | | V | |
| | Is deposited silt and grit removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas? | 1 | | |

| | into excavations? Is water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities? | ✓ <u> </u> |
|-------|---|------------|
| | Are open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m3 covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms? | |
| | Are manholes (including newly constructed ones) adequately covered and temporarily sealed? | V |
| | Are precautions taken before rainstorms? | V |
| | Are all vehicles and plant cleaned before leaving site? | |
| | Is solid waste, debris and rubbish on site appropriately collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts? | |
| | Are all fuel tanks and storage areas provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby? | |
| | Sewage Effluent - Construction Phase | |
| | Are portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks provided? Is handling the construction sewage generated for collection and disposal of this waste? Is a licensed contractor employed? | |
| | Waste Management - Construction Phase | |
| 6.6.2 | Are the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities in placed for chemical and C&D wastes, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap 28)? | |
| 6.6.2 | Is chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, being handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes? | |
| 6.6.2 | Are containers used for the storage of chemical wastes suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation? | |
| 6.6.2 | • Is the storage area for chemical wastes clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated? | |
| 6.6.2 | Is disposal of chemical waste via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from the EPD? | |
| 6.6.2 | Are trip tickets for disposal available to monitor disposal of C&DM and solid wastes at public filling and landfills, and to control fly tipping? | ✓ |
| | | |

| | La | nd Contamination - Construction Phase | | | | |
|-------|----------|---|---|---|----------|--|
| 7.5.6 | • | Is a revised CAP submitted to the EPD before commencement of construction works? Is the CAP implemented and findings of the investigations reported in the CAR, before ground disturbance is allowed? | V | / | | |
| 7.5.6 | • | If land contamination is confirmed, has a RAP been prepared and submitted to EPD? | V | | | |
| 7.5.6 | • | Are contaminated sites remediated in accordance with the approved CAR/RAP? | V | / | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 8.7.1 | • | ology - Construction Phase Are construction activities prohibited during November to March for the sections of works within the WCA and WBA, and close to locations of ecologically sensitive species. | | V | | |
| 8.7.1 | • | During November to March periods, are regular site inspections (at least twice a month) undertaken by ET to ensure proper implementation of this restriction? | V | / | | |
| 8.7.2 | • | Is pipe jacking method used for sewers and rising mains crossing over MDC within the WCA and WBA? | | V | 1 | |
| 8.7.2 | • | During November to March, are regular site inspections (at least twice a month) undertaken by ET for the remaining sewerage sections (including parts of S4, S5 and S6) within the WCA and WBA where construction activities cannot be rescheduled? | L | | | |
| 8.7.2 | • | The site inspections shall check and report the number of workfronts and implementation of mitigation measures in the monthly EM&A Report. | | V | | |
| 8.7.3 | • | Are quietened construction plant and equipment used for PS (P2 and P3) and sewers (S4, S5, S6) within the WCA and WBA? | V | / | <u> </u> | |
| 8.7.4 | • | For P1-P3, have fences along the boundary of the pumping stations construction sites been erected? | | V | <u> </u> | |
| 8.7.4 | • | There shall be no filling and dumping to the remaining abandoned fishpond at P2. | | V | | |
| 8.7.4 | • | Are silt removal facilities, designed to the ProPECC Note PN1/94, installed and operated at the P1 to P3 sites? The minimal total combined volume of the silt removal facilities at P3 (NSW SPS) should be 15m3. | | V | | |
| 8.7.4 | • | There shall be no open fires within the site boundary. | | V | | |
| 8.7.4 | • | Have temporary fire fighting equipment provided in the works areas. | | V | | |
| | Lar • | ndscape and Visual - Construction Phase Have the implementation of mitigation measures (i.e., top soil reused, new compensatory planting) been reported in the monthly EM&A? | | V | | |
| | • | The first monthly EM&A Report should report on the appearance of the temporary hoarding barriers. | | V | | |
| | • | Are screen planting (3m wide) and trees with dense canopy (up to 5m) provided? | V | / | | |
| | • | Is felling of mature trees kept to a minimum? | | V | | |
| | | | | | | |

OTHER OBSERVATIONS

This month's observations (27 November 2009)

- 1. Some of the stockpiles at Nam San Wai Road were not well covered by tarpaulin. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient covering to the stockpiles to prevent dust emission.
- 2. Ponding water was observed at Nam San Wai Road. The Contractor was reminded to clear all stagnant water observed as soon as possible.

Follow-up last month's observation (20 October 2009)

| 1. | The stockpile of construction waste observed at Nam Sang Wai Road last week has been cleared |
|----|--|
| | The comment is closed-out. |

| DSD Representative | Contractor Representative | ETL | IEC |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----|-------------|
| | | | To Chi |
| (| | () | Isaac Chris |

Agreement No. CE37/2005 (EP) Environmental Monitoring and Audit for Kam Tin Trunk Sewerage Phase 1 and Au Tau Trunk Sewers

MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS 27 November 2009 Environmental Observations

This month's observations

