Airport Management Services Limited

SkyCity Golf Course EM&A

Monthly Impact Report

October 2006

13November 2006

Report no: 01332R0111



Airport Management Services Limited

SkyCity Golf Course EM&A

Monthly Impact Report

			October 2006
Author:	Sarah JAMES		
Checker:	Adi LEE		
Approver:	Coleman NG		
Report no:	01332R0111	Date:	13 November 2006

This report has been prepared for Airport Management Services Limited in accordance with the terms and conditions of appointment for Monthly Impact Report dated 10 March 2006. Hyder Consulting Ltd (COI Number 126012) cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.





Contents

1	Exec	cutive Su	ımmary	1
2	Site	Audit		3
	2.1	Water C	Quality	3
	2.2	Air Qua	ılity	3
	2.3	Noise		4
	2.4	Waste/0	Chemical Management	4
	2.5	Landsca	ape and Visual	4
	2.6	Genera	1	4
3	Mari	ne Water	r Quality EM&A	5
	3.1	Monitor	ing Results	5
		3.1.1	Summary	5
		3.1.2	Equipment and Methodology	6
		3.1.3	Maintenance and Calibration	6
		3.1.4	Parameters Monitored	7
		3.1.5	Monitoring Locations	7
		3.1.6	Monitoring Date, Time, Frequency and Duration	
	3.2	Action/L	Limit Levels	7
	3.3	Summa	ary of Exceedances	9
		3.3.1	Review of Exceedances and Implications	9
		3.3.2	Action Taken and Follow-up	9
	3.4	Compla	aints and Notifications of Summons	9
		3.4.1	Complaints	9
		3.4.2	Notifications of Summons	9
	3.5	Works F	Programme and Future Monitoring Schedule	9
4	Com	ments, R	Recommendations and Conclusions	11

Appendices

- 1 Site Audit Checklist
- 2 Marine Water Quality Monitoring Data
- 3 Equipment Calibration Details
- 4 Works Programme
- 5 Marine Water Monitoring Schedule for Next Month



Figures

- 1-1 Location of SkyCity Golf Course on the Airport Island
- 3-2 Location of Impact Monitoring Stations

Tables

- 3-1 Summary of Impact Monitoring Data
- 3-2 *In-situ* Monitoring Equipment Details
- 3-3 Monitoring Date, Time, Frequency and Duration
- 3-4 Action and Limit Levels
- 3-5 Event Action Plan for Marine Water Quality Monitoring



1 Executive Summary

The purpose of this Project is to construct and operate a 9-hole Golf Course at the east side of the North Commercial District (NCD) on the Airport Island as an interim arrangement prior to the area's future development as a business park (see Figure 1-1). The proposed interim golf facility, known as "SkyCity Golf Course" is intended to serve airport passengers, overseas visitors and airport workers until August 2013.

The Project will be managed by Airport Management Services Limited (AMS) who have employed a Works Contractor, Wing Fat Construction Co. Ltd., to carry out the construction works. Hyder Consulting have been employed as the Environmental Team (ET) for the Construction Period and have engaged ALS Technichem Pty Ltd as the HOKLAS accredited testing laboratory to carry out marine water analysis.

The construction work commenced on 7 March 2006. According to the approved EM&A Manual, impact monitoring during the Construction Period is required for suspended solids, dissolved oxygen and turbidity.

The monthly site audit revealed that there were no significant non-compliances in terms of water, air, noise, waste or landscape and visual, although the Environmental Team made a number of recommendations to the Works Contractor to improve environmental conditions.

Impact monitoring was carried out during October 2006 in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual. Monitoring was carried out on 03, 06, 10, 14, 17, 21, 24, 28 and 31 October. The monitoring results are detailed in this report, which complies with the reporting requirements stated in the approved EM&A Manual. There was no exceedance of Action/Limit Levels of marine water quality monitoring during October 2006.

There were no complaints received and no notifications of summons during the reporting month.

Overall, there are no adverse environmental impacts caused by the Works during the reporting month, although there is room for improvement in overall site environmental management – recommendations have been made and will be followed up in due course.






Figure 1-1 Location of SkyCity Golf Course on the Airport Island



2 Site Audit

The monthly site audit examines the implementation status of environmental protection, mitigation and pollution control measures.

Appendix 1 contains the site audit checklist for October 2006. From this the following observations on the implementation status of environmental, mitigation and pollution control measures can be made. Areas for improvement and follow-up are indicated on the checklist and have been highlighted below. The Works Contractor is aware of any shortcomings and has been advised by the ET of any improvements that are required.

2.1 Water Quality

A vehicle wheelwash has been provided at the site egress point. However, the Contractor was reminded to the silt accumulated in the wheel washing bay frequently. The remaining perimeter U-channel of some 20m along the southern part of the site is being constructed.

As indicated by the Contractor, no water has been discharged from the site during the reporting month. Only very little rainfall occurred during the reporting month. Rainwater was collected in the excavated lake bowls. During the site audit, it was observed that the placement of the impermeable liner for the artificial lakes was completed. Rainwater was found contained in the lakes. Rainwater contained in the lakes was found to be muddy. It was advised to the contractor that sediments in the lakes should be removed as is necessary.

It is noted that a Discharge Licence under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance has been issued by EPD to the Contractor. The Contractor is reminded to keep the license on site for inspection.

2.2 Air Quality

It was observed that the unpaved areas and haul roads of the entire site area were wet.

It was observed that most of the stockpiles of dusty materials were covered by tarpaulin. However, two piles of white sand were not covered. The Contractor was also reminded to provide tarpaulin cover for the stockpiles left over night.

Mud trails on the public road outside the site entrance were observed. It was observed that some vehicles left the site without wheel washing. The contractor was reminded that all vehicles must undergo wheel washing before leaving the site.

No adverse air quality caused by the construction activities was observed.



2.3 Noise

No significant noise problems were noted as noise sensitive receivers are far away from the site.

2.4 Waste/Chemical Management

Three-colour recycling bins have been placed near to the Contractor's site office. The Contractor has registered as a Waste Producer under the Waste Disposal Ordinance. It was observed that chemical waste storage has been provided and that all diesel storage tanks and oil/lubricant drums have been provided with a drip tray. Little scattered rubbish was observed. It was recommended to the contractor that more rubbish bins should be provided throughout the site area.

2.5 Landscape and Visual

The site is completely surrounded by a hoarding except a section where the construction of outfall no. 8 was being undertaken and there are no landscape or visual issues at this time.

2.6 General

The Environmental Permit is displayed at the entrance to the site as required. Although the Contractor has applied and/or received other permits and licences relating to environmental protection, these are not filed in an accessible manner. The Contractor was recommended to ensure that all relevant permits and licences are easily available for inspection, by both the ET and also by EPD.

Overall, the site operation is acceptable from an environmental point of view, but there is room for improvement. The Contractor has been advised of those areas which require immediate attention and this will be followed-up during the next site audit.



3 Marine Water Quality EM&A

Monitoring of Dissolved Oxygen (DO) concentration in mg/ℓ , Suspended Solids (SS) in mg/ℓ and turbidity in NTU, was carried out by the ET to ensure that any deterioration in marine water quality could be readily detected and timely action could be taken to rectify the situation if this was due to site activities. DO and turbidity were measured *in-situ* whilst SS was determined in laboratory.

Other parameters, such as water depth, sea temperature, salinity and DO saturation are recorded for reference, and weather conditions, sea conditions, tidal stage and any particular site activities are recorded for information.

3.1 Monitoring Results

3.1.1 Summary

A summary of monitoring results for the reporting month is provided in Table 3-1, below. Detailed results are provided in Appendix 2, in which exceedances of Action/Limit (A/L) Levels are highlighted.

Station		Temperature (°C)	Salinity (mg/ℓ)	DO Saturation (%age)	DO Concentration (mg/ℓ)	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/ℓ)
	Mean	27.5	31.3	85.0	6.1	5	5
C1	Maximum	29.1	32.9	99.5	6.9	6	8
	Minimum	27.0	28.6	69.9	5.2	3	3
	1		T	T			Γ
	Mean	27.5	31.3	83.1	5.9	5	5
C2	Maximum	28.9	32.8	99.9	6.9	6	9
	Minimum	27.1	28.8	66.5	5.0	2	3
			T	T			1
	Mean	27.8	31.3	83.9	6.0	5	5
M1	Maximum	32.0	32.8	100.4	7.0	6	8
	Minimum	27.0	28.7	68.7	5.1	3	2
	Mean	27.5	31.3	83.8	6.0	5	5
M2	Maximum	28.9	32.6	98.1	6.8	6	7
	Minimum	27.0	28.8	67.8	5.1	3	3

Table 3-1 Summary of Impact Monitoring Data



3.1.2 Equipment and Methodology

Because of the relatively shallow water, *in-situ* measurements and water sampling were conducted at only one water depth – the mid-depth. Water samples for all monitoring parameters were collected, stored, preserved and analysed according to *APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 19th Edition, #17.

In-situ DO concentration, turbidity (and temperature, salinity and DO saturation) were carried out using a YSI Model 6820 CE-C-M-Y multi-parameter meter:

Dawamatan	YSI Model 6820 CE-C-M-Y					
Parameter	Range	Resolution	Accuracy			
DO Concentration	0 to 50 mg/ <i>l</i>	0.01 mg/Ł	0 to 20 mg/ ℓ : \pm 2% of reading or 0.2 mg/ ℓ , whichever is greater; 20 to 50 mg/ ℓ : \pm 6% of reading			
DO Saturation	0 to 500%	0.1%	0 to 200%: ±2% of reading or 2% air saturation, whichever is greater; 200 to 500%: ±6% of reading			
Turbidity	alty U to 1,000 N I U U I N I U		$\pm 2\%$ of reading or 0.3 NTU, whichever is greater			
Temperature	-5 to +70°C	0.01°C	±0.15°C			
Salinity	0 to 70 ppt	0.01 ppt	±1% of reading or 0.1 ppt, whichever is greater			

Table 3-2 In-situ Monitoring Equipment Details

A Kahlisco water sampler was used to obtain the water sample for subsequent SS analysis. Water samples were collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen), and delivered to ALS' laboratory (HOKLAS accredited) immediately after completion of monitoring. The analysis follows APHA *Standard Methods #2540D*.

A Global Positioning System (GPS) was used to determine the exact monitoring location and water depth was determined using an echo-sounder.

3.1.3 Maintenance and Calibration

All *in-situ* monitoring instruments are calibrated and certified by ALS at 3-monthly intervals throughout the marine water quality monitoring programme.

For DO, the probe (YSI 6820) is calibrated once per monitoring day by the wet bulb method. Calibration at ALS is carried out once every three months in a water sample of known dissolved oxygen concentration. The sensor is immersed in the water and after thermal equilibration, the known mg/l value is keyed in and the calibration is carried out automatically.

For turbidity, the probe (YSI 6820) is calibrated with a solution of known NTU at ALS once every three months. Calibration as per dissolved oxygen, above.

Calibration details are provided in Appendix 3.



3.1.4 Parameters Monitored

The following parameters are monitored and compared to A/L Levels:

- Dissolved Oxygen (DO)
- Suspended Solids (SS)
- Turbidity

Other parameters, such as water depth, sea temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen saturation ware recorded for reference, and weather conditions, sea conditions, tidal stage and any particular site activities were recorded for information.

3.1.5 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring locations together with grid references are shown in Figure 3-2. Control Stations are designated C1 and C2 and Monitoring Stations are designated M1 and M2.

3.1.6 Monitoring Date, Time, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring of marine water quality is carried out twice-weekly during mid-ebb and mid-flood tides. Table 3-3, below, provides details of the monitoring dates, times and duration:

Date	Duration of Ebb Tide	Monitoring at Mid- Ebb	Duration of Flood Time	Monitoring at Mid- Flood
03/10/06	05:25 to 13:41	09:33	13:41 to 20:59	17:20
06/10/06	08:50 to 15:30	12:10	15:30 to 21:43	18:36
10/10/06	12:33 to 17:18	14:55	06:09 to 12:33	09:21
14/10/06	01:28 to 10:36	06:02	10:36 to 02:44	18:40
17/10/06	06:16 to 13:38	09:57	13:38 to 20:50	17:14
21/10/06	09:37 to 15:26	12:31	15:26 to 21:26	18:26
24/10/06	11:38 to 16:27	14:02	05:12 to 11:38	08:25
28/10/06	23:58 to 08:28	04:13	08:28 to 00:41	16:34
31/10/06	03:19 to 11:55	07:37	11:55 to 19:54	15:54

Table 3-3 Monitoring Date, Time, Frequency and Duration

3.2 Action/Limit Levels

The A/L Levels for the impact monitoring stations (M1 and M2) were determined in the approved Interim Baseline Monitoring Report and are shown in Table 3-4:



Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
DO Concentration	5 th percentile of baseline data = 7.0 mg/ ℓ , or 80% of the upstream control station	4.0 mg/ℓ, or 70% of the upstream control station
Turbidity	95th percentile of baseline data = 9.6 NTU, or 120% of the upstream control station	99th percentile of baseline data = 10.5 NTU, or 130% of the upstream control station
SS	95th percentile of baseline data = 9.4 mg/ ℓ , or 120% of the upstream control station	99th percentile of baseline data = 9.9 mg/ ℓ , or 130% of the upstream control station

Table 3-4 Action and Limit Levels for Water Monitoring Stations

In case of exceedance of A/L Levels at M1 or M2, the Event/ Action Plan (shown in Table 3-5, below) shall be followed.

Event	Action					
	ET	Works Contractor				
Exceedance of Action Level	 Identify the source(s) of impact. If not from the Project then provide justification and document this If exceedance is caused by the Project then inform Contractor Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods Discuss possible mitigation measures with Contractor Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance 	 Confirm notification of the exceedance in writing Rectify any unacceptable practice Check all plant and equipment Amend working methods if appropriate Discuss possible mitigation measures with ET Implement the agreed mitigation measures 				
Exceedance of Limit Level	Identify the source(s) of impact. If not from the Project then provide justification and document this in the EM&A Report If exceedance is caused by the Project then inform Contractor Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods Agree mitigation measures with Contractor Ensure mitigation measures are implemented immediately Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no further exceedance of Limit Level	Confirm notification of the exceedance in writing Rectify any unacceptable practice Check all plant and equipment Amend working methods if appropriate Agree possible mitigation measures with ET Implement the agreed mitigation measures immediately				

Table 3-5 Event Action Plan for Marine Water Quality Monitoring



3.3 Summary of Exceedances

3.3.1 Review of Exceedances and Implications

There was no exceedance of Action/Limit Level of marine water quality monitoring during October 2006.

3.3.2 Action Taken and Follow-up

As no exceedance of A/L Levels were recorded during the reporting month, no action or follow-up is deemed to be necessary.

3.4 Complaints and Notifications of Summons

3.4.1 Complaints

No complaints were received during the reporting month and there are no outstanding follow-up issues to be addressed.

3.4.2 Notifications of Summons

No notifications of summons were received during the reporting month and there are no outstanding follow-up issues to be addressed.

3.5 Works Programme and Future Monitoring Schedule

Appendix 4 shows the current work programme for the works and Appendix 5 provides the future schedule for marine water quality monitoring.

Based on the work to be carried out in future months, no significant impacts to marine water quality are anticipated.






Figure 3-2 Location of Impact Monitoring Stations



4 Comments, Recommendations and Conclusions

The monthly site audit revealed that there was no significant non-compliance in terms of water, air, noise, waste or landscape and visual, although the Environmental Team made a number of recommendations to the Works Contractor to improve environmental conditions.

In terms of marine water quality monitoring, there were no exceedances of A/L Levels during October 2006.

There were no complaints received and no notifications of summons.

Overall, there are no adverse environmental impacts caused by the Works during the reporting month, although there is room for improvement in overall site environmental management – recommendations have been made and will be followed up in due course.



Appendix 1

Site Audit Checklist



		Inspection No.	
Inspecti	on Date 22 Oct 2006 Time (0:00a.m.) Lly Lity Golf lourse Contractor Wing Tat	Inspected By	Client: Contractor: KA ET: Ali La
Weathe	ſ		
Conditio	n Sunny Fine Overcast Drizzle	Rain	Storm Hazy
Temper	ature 20 °C Humidity High	Moderate	Low
Wind	Calm Light Breeze Strong	Direction	
	N/A or not ob	oserved Yes	No Photo/Remarks
1 W	ater Quality Perimeter cut off drains direct off-site water around the site?		
1.2	Is all surface runoff directed to silt removal facilities prior to discharge?		
1.3	Channels, earth bunds or sandbags direct surface runoff to silt removal facilities?		
1.4	Is groundwater pumped out from tunnelling and excavations discharged via silt removal facilities?		
1.5	Are there silt removal facilities for settling surface runoff prior to discharge?	✓ <u> </u>	
	1.5.1 Constructed from pre-formed individual cells or silt traps / basins?	V	
	1.5.2 Adequate capacity?		
	1.5.3 Free from silt and sand?	V	
	1.5.4 Inspected and maintained after rain storm?		
1.6	Is drainage system well maintained to prevent flooding and overflow?		
1.7	Is exposed earth stabilized after earthworks have been completed?		
1.8	Are exposed slope surfaces covered (by tarpaulin or other means)?		
1.9	Are open stockpiles of excavated and construction materials covered during rainstorms?		
1.10	Any measures to prevent the washing away of excavated and construction materials e.g. sand/silt to drains?		
1.11	Are manholes covered and sealed?		
1.12	Are vehicles and plant cleaned of earth, mud and debris before leaving the site?		See Note(3)
1.13	Are vehicle washing facilities provided at every site exit?		



			N/A or no	t observed	Yes	No	Photo/Remarks
		1.13.1	Wastewater treated in silt removal facility? Silt removal facility emptied of silt regularly?	V			
		1.13.2	Washing area and road exiting from washing facility pave	d?			
		1.13.3	Access road has sufficient backfall toward washing facility bunded to prevent of untreated wastewater?	y or			
	1.14		nt oil and lubrication replacements performed only in bundance area?	ed			
	1.15	Drainage	from maintenance area discharged via an oil interceptor?				
		1.15.1	Oil and grease removed regularly?				Action to the control of the control
	1.16	Toilets th	at connect to foul sewer or chemical toilets provided?		V		
	1.17	Is debris	and rubbish prevented from entering drains?		V		
	1.18	ls Effluen	nt Discharge Licence available for inspection?				Milde
2	AIF	R QUALIT	Y				
	2.1	Are hoard public acc	ding not less than 2.4m tall provided beside roads or areas cess?	with			
	2.2	Are the ro	pads and unpaved areas watered regularly to avoid dust on?		V		
	2.3	Are stock	piles of excavated material covered or regularly watered?				
	2.4	Is stockpi barriers, f	ile of dusty materials kept to not extend beyond the pedest fencing or traffic cones?	rian			
	2.5	Is the pub dust?	olic road around the site entrance kept clean and free from				see Note (3)
	2.6	Do the sit	e vehicles use the vehicle wash facility at the site exits?				(a Notil)
	2.7	Are mater	rials transported on trucks covered?				
	2.8	Are dusty	materials sprayed prior to loading?		./		***************************************
	2.9	Are all tru	ck loads to a level within the side and tail boards?				
	2.10	Are areas watered?	where demolition/site clearance/breaking take place regul	arly			
			tock of more than 20 bags of cement or day covered entire rious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and sided?				
			tially dusty demolished items/debris covered or placed in a shelter?				
			Is the debris sprayed with water/dust suppression chemica keep wet before it is dumped onto a debris chute?	al to			
	2.13	Odorous r site?	materials immediately covered and promptly removed from	V			
:	2.14	Are there	enclosures around the main dust-generating activities?				



	N/A or not obs	served	Yes	No	Photo/Remarks
2.15	Is open burning prohibited?	V			
2.16	Are completed earthworks sealed and hydroseeded and planted as soon as practicable?				
2.17	Are vehicles and equipment switched off while not in use?				
2.18	Do vehicles and equipment maintained that no excessive smoke or visible vapour emitted?				
Observa	ble dust sources Wind erosion	Vehicle	equipment/	movement	ts
	Loading/unloading of materials	Others_			
3 No	ise				
3.1	Are the construction works scheduled to minimise noise nuisance?				
2.2	Are the works or equipment sited to minimize paige puisance? Mahile				
3.2	Are the works or equipment sited to minimize noise nuisance? Mobile plant sited away from NSRs? Noisy plant oriented away from NSRs?		V		
3.3	Are all plant and equipment well maintained and in good operating condition?		V		
3.4	Is idle equipment turned off or throttled down?		/		
3.5	Are powered mechanical equipment covered or shielded by appropriate acoustic materials?				***************************************
3.6	Are quiet plant used as required?				
3.7	Are silencers/mufflers fitted and maintained?	V			
3.8	Are mobile/temporary noise barriers used where specified?				Management
3.9	Do air compressors (≥500kPa of supplying compressed air) and hand held percussive breakers (>10kg in weight) have valid noise labels?				
3.10	Do compressors and generators operate with doors closed?				
3.11	Are Construction Noise Permits available for inspection?				Name of the Control o
Major noi	se source(s) Traffic	Constru	uction activi	ties inside	of site
	Construction activities outside of site	Others		**************************************	
4 Wa	ste/Chemical Management				
4.1	General refuse				
	4.1.1 Accumulation on-site avoided?				
	4.1.2 Receptacles (e.g. rubbish bins) available?				see sto (4)
	4.1.3 Disposed of regularly and properly?				
	4.1.4 Records of quantities generated/recycled/disposed maintained?				



		N/A or not	observed	Yes	No	Photo/Remarks
4.2	Chem	ical waste				
	4.2.1	Stored properly in designated area?				
	4.2.2	Storage in accordance with Code of Practice?				
	4.2.3	Disposed of properly?		\bigvee		
	4.2.4	Trip tickets available for inspection?				www.
4.3	Chem	ical/fuel storage				
	4.3.1	Is storage area bunded?				
	4.3.2	Adequate bund capacity? (>110% of the largest tank)				
	4.3.3	Area storage area provided with locks and located on sealed areas?		V		
	4.3.4	Are oil/fuel drums and plant/equipment provided with drip trato prevent soil contamination?	ys	V		
4.4	C&D N	Material Page 1				
	4.4.1	Reused/recycled where practicable?				
	4.4.2	Inert/non inert materials segregated?		\sim		
	4.4.3	Disposed of properly?				
	4.4.4	Records of quantities generated/recycled/disposed maintaine	ed?			
4.5	Excava	ated Material				
	4.5.1	Reused where practicable?		V		
	4.5.2	Records of quantities generated/reused/disposed maintained	?			
4.6	Are sp	ent bentonite slurries or grouts collected, reconditioned and 1?				
4.7		n, oil, grease, litter or other objectionable matters in water to drain/sewer avoided?				
Laı	ndscap	e and Visual				
5.1	Are ret	ained trees protected by fencing?				
5.2	Is the v	work site confined within site boundaries?				
5.3	Is dam	age to surrounding areas avoided?				

5



Remarks

- 1) It was observed that drip trays were provided to the oil draws. Last observation closed.
- @ Unpaved hand roads and areas were net. Last observation closed.
- 3) Mud trails on the public read outside the site entrance ware observed. It was observed that some ulticles left the site without wheel vashing.
- (i) Little scattered rubbish was observed. It was recommended and more rubbish bins should be growided in all the site area.
- 1) It was observed that most of the stockpiles of dusty materials were covered by tarpaulin. However, two stockpiles of white sand were not covered.
- (b) Raincater contained in the lakes was found muddy, seatments contained in the lakes taken should be semoved as necessary.

Signatures:

ET Inspector

AMS Site Representative

Contractor's Representative

Name: Wila

Date: SY Oct 2006

Date: 4/10/06

Name: ALAN SHEWAY Knun

Date: 31 DcT 2006



Appendix 2

Marine Water Quality Monitoring Data



Date	Time	Station	Sample Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Sea Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	DO Sat (%age)	DO Conc (mg/ℓ)	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/ℓ)
03-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.0	31.3	94	6.6	3	6
03-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	6	27.0	31.3	95	6.6	3	5
03-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	3	27.0	31.1	95	6.7	3	6
03-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	3	6	27.1	31.4	94	6.6	2	5
03-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	6	27.1	31.2	96	6.7	3	6
03-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	6	27.1	31.3	95	6.6	3	6
03-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	3	27.1	31.1	96	6.7	3	5
03-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	3	6	27.1	31.2	95	6.7	3	6
06-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.1	30.6	86	6.0	4	8
06-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	6	27.1	30.6	85	5.9	4	7
06-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	1	3	27.2	30.5	85	6.0	5	8
06-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	3	6	27.1	30.6	85	5.9	3	9
06-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	6	27.3	30.4	86	6.0	3	8
06-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	6	27.3	30.5	86	6.0	3	7
06-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	3	27.3	30.4	87	6.1	4	8
06-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	3	6	27.2	30.5	87	6.0	3	7
10-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.9	31.7	90	6.5	5	8
10-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	6	27.7	31.3	92	6.7	6	5
10-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	3	27.7	30.5	93	6.7	6	6
10-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	3	6	27.6	31.1	87	6.3	5	5
10-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	6	27.5	31.2	89	6.5	6	7



Date	Time	Station	Sample Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Sea Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	DO Sat (%age)	DO Conc (mg/ℓ)	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/ℓ)
10-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	6	27.7	31.0	91	6.6	5	5
10-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	4	27.5	31.9	88	6.3	6	6
10-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	3	6	27.4	31.5	90	6.5	6	3
14-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.6	28.8	70	5.2	5	3
14-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	7	27.7	28.9	71	5.2	5	5
14-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	4	27.8	28.8	72	5.3	5	4
14-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	4	7	27.6	28.9	70	5.2	5	3
14-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	7	27.6	28.7	69	5.1	5	2
14-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	7	27.6	28.8	68	5.1	5	3
14-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	4	27.1	28.6	70	5.2	5	3
14-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	4	7	27.4	28.8	67	5.0	4	4
17-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	32.0	31.7	98	6.8	5	6
17-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	6	27.9	31.9	98	6.8	5	6
17-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	4	28.0	31.9	100	6.9	5	6
17-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	3	6	27.9	31.7	100	6.9	4	7
17-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	6	27.9	32.0	100	7.0	6	6
17-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	6	27.9	31.8	98	6.8	6	6
17-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	4	27.9	32.0	98	6.8	5	6
17-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	3	6	27.9	31.9	98	6.8	6	6
21-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.6	32.8	70	5.3	4	3
21-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	7	27.5	32.5	71	5.4	5	4



Date	Time	Station	Sample Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Sea Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	DO Sat (%age)	DO Conc (mg/ℓ)	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/ℓ)
21-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	4	27.4	32.8	73	5.5	5	5
21-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	4	7	27.7	32.8	70	5.4	5	3
21-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	7	27.5	32.6	69	5.3	4	4
21-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	7	27.1	32.2	68	5.2	4	6
21-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	4	27.8	32.9	70	5.3	4	4
21-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	4	7	27.7	32.8	67	5.1	5	6
24-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.2	31.4	86	6.0	5	4
24-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	6	27.1	31.4	86	6.0	6	5
24-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	3	27.2	31.4	86	6.0	5	6
24-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	3	6	27.1	31.4	86	6.0	5	6
24-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	6	27.1	31.4	89	6.2	5	5
24-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	6	27.1	31.4	86	6.0	5	5
24-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	3	27.1	31.4	93	6.5	5	5
24-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	3	6	27.1	31.4	87	6.0	4	5
28-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	28.8	32.5	73	5.3	6	4
28-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	4	7	28.9	32.6	74	5.4	6	3
28-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	4	29.1	32.4	76	5.5	5	4
28-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	4	7	28.9	32.5	73	5.4	5	3
28-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	7	28.8	32.4	72	5.3	5	4
28-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	4	7	28.8	32.5	71	5.2	5	3
28-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	4	28.4	32.3	73	5.3	5	4



Date	Time	Station	Sample Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Sea Temp (℃)	Salinity (ppt)	DO Sat (%age)	DO Conc (mg/ℓ)	Turbidity (NTU)	SS (mg/ℓ)
28-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	4	7	28.6	32.5	70	5.1	5	5
31-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M1	3	6	27.1	31.4	85	5.8	6	3
31-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	M2	3	6	27.1	31.4	86	5.9	6	5
31-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C1	2	3	27.1	31.4	85	5.9	6	3
31-Oct-06	(mid-ebb)	C2	3	6	27.1	31.4	86	6.0	5	4
31-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M1	3	6	27.1	31.4	89	6.1	5	4
31-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	M2	3	6	27.1	31.4	89	6.1	6	6
31-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C1	2	3	27.1	31.4	91	6.2	5	4
31-Oct-06	(mid-flood)	C2	3	6	27.1	31.4	86	6.0	6	6

Notes: "-" indicates no data is available **Bold** indicates Action Level exceedance **Bold** indicates Limit Level exceedance

Mean	27.6	31.3	84.0	6.0	4.7	5.1
Maximum	32.0	32.9	100.4	7.0	6.0	9.0
Minimum	27.0	28.6	66.5	5.0	2.2	2.0

13/11/06 9:38 9



Appendix 3

Equipment Calibration Details

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

Telephorneg AS52576401 04waiF3csimide: +852 2610 2021 http://www.alsenviro.com/

N.T. Hong Kong

ALS

ALS Environmental

SUB-CONTRACT LABORATORY RESULTS COVERSHEET for ALS WORKORDER HK0602101

Client Details

Client

MAUNSELL ENVIRONMENTAL

MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS LTD

Project
Order number
C-O-C Number

Site

Sampler

Not provided -Not provided -

Not provided -Not provided -

- Not provided -

Cr mments

• The attached report contains sub-contracted components of ALS Environmental work order HK0602101. This report has been electronically stored for ALS record purposes and has not been altered in any way.

Disclaimer

This document contains privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the addressee, you are hereby notified that you must not disseminate, copy or take action of its contents. If you have received this document in error, please notify ALS immediately.

FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

STECHNICHEM (HK) Pty Ltd Environmental Division



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

CONTACT:

MR EDDIE YANG

MAUNSELL ENV MGT CNLT LTD

CLIENT: ADDRESS:

11TH FLOOR TOWER II

GRAND CENTRAL PLAZA

138 SHATIN RURAL COMMITTEE RD NT

ORDER No.:

PROJECT:

Batch:

HK0602101

Sub Batch:

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED: DATE OF ISSUE:

10/08/2006 21/08/2006

SAMPLE TYPE:

EQUIPMENT

No. of SAMPLES:

1

COMMENTS

The calibration procedure used for the analysis has been applied for the calibration of the above instrument.

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F

Chung Shun Knitting Centre

1-3 Wing Yip Street

Kwai Chung HONG KONG Phone:

852-2610 1044

Fax:

Email:

852-2610 2021

hongkong@alsenviro.com

Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice

Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

Other ALS Environmental Laboratories

Hong Kong

Singapore

Bogor

Kuala Lumpur

AUSTRALIA

Brisbane

Sydney Newcastle

Melbourne

AMERICAS

Amtofagasta

Lima

Vancouver Santiago

Abbreviations: % SPK REC denotes percentage spike recovery

CHK denotes duplicate check sample LOR denotes limit of reporting

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

ALS Technichem பெடுந்து முறிவை Laboratory Control Sample percentage recovery Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., H.K. Phone: 852-2610 1044 Fax: 852-2610 2021 www.alsenviro.com

A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Page 1 of 6

HK0602101 Sub Batch: Batch:

21/08/2006 MAUNSELL ENV MGT CNLT LTD Date of Issue:

Client Reference:

Client:

Calibration of Tubidimeter

Item:

YSI SONDE Environmental Monitoring System

6820-C-M Model No.: 00013244 Serial No.:

W-026-29 Equipment No.: This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (19th Ed.) 2130B Calibration Method:

10 August, 2006 Date of Calibration:

Testing Results:

Recording Reading	0.30 NTU 4.40 NTU 17.3 NTU 72.2 NTU 157 NTU	+10%
Expected Reading	0.00 NTU 4.00 NTU 16.0 NTU 80.0 NTU 160 NTU	Allowing Deviation

Laboratory Mahager - Hong Kong Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice

ALS Environmental

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

HK0602101 Batch: Sub Batch: Date of Issue:

21/08/2006 MAUNSELL ENV MGT CNLT LTD Client:

Client Reference:

Calibration of Conductivity System

YSI SONDE Environmental Monitoring System Item:

6820-C-M Model No.:

00013244 Serial No.: W-026-29 Equipment No.: This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (19th Ed.) 2510B Calibration Method:

10 August, 2006 Date of Calibration:

Testing Results:

Expected Reading	Recording Reading
1412 uS/cm 6667 uS/cm 58670 uS/cm	1482 uS/cm 6874 uS/cm 59900 uS/cm
Allowing Deviation	±10%

Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

HK0602101 Date of Issue: Sub Batch: Batch:

21/08/2006 MAUNSELL ENV MGT CNLT LTD

Client Reference:

Client:

Calibration of Salinity System

YSI SONDE Environmental Monitoring System Item:

6820-C-M Model No.: 00013244 Serial No.:

W-026-29 Equipment No.: This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (19th Ed.) 2520 A and B Calibration Method:

10 August, 2006 Date of Calibration:

Testing Results:

ng Recording Reading	9.98 g/L 20.0 g/L 29.6 g/L	
Expected Reading	10.0 g/L 20.0 g/L 30.0 g/L	Allowing Deviation

Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice



HK0602101

Batch:

21/08/2006 MAUNSELL ENV MGT CNLT LTD

Calibration of Thermometer

Client Reference:

Date of Issue: Sub Batch:

Client:

YSI SONDE Environmental Monitoring System Item:

6820-C-M Model No.: 00013244 Serial No.:

W-026-29 Equipment No.:

In-house Method Calibration Method:

10 August, 2006 Date of Calibration:

Testing Results:

Recorded Temperature (°C)	23.1 °C 36.1 °C	±2.0°C
Reference Temperature (°C)	21.4 °C 35.1 °C	Allowing Deviation

Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



HK0602101 Sub Batch: Batch:

21/08/2006 MAUNSELL ENV MGT CNLT LTD Date of Issue: Client:

Client Reference:

Calibration of DO System

YSI SONDE Environmental Monitoring System Item:

6820-C-M Model No.: 00013244 Serial No.: W-026-29 Equipment No.: This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (18th Ed.) 4500-0C & G Calibration Method:

10 August, 2006 Date of Calibration:

Testing Results:


Expected Reading	Recording Reading
0.00 mg/L 1.84 mg/L 5.20 mg/L 7.81 mg/L	0.08 mg/L 2.01 mg/L 5.00 mg/L 7.61 mg/L
Allowing Deviation	±0.2 mg/L

Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice



Appendix 4

Works Programme





Appendix 5

Marine Water Monitoring Schedule for Next Month

Sky City Golf Course EM&A Tentative Water Quality Monitoring Schedule for November 2006

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturd	
29-Oct	30-Oct	31-0	ct 01-Nov	02-Nov	03-Nov		04-Nov
		Mid-Ebb 07:3 03:19 11:5 Mid-Flood 15:5 11:55 19:5	5 4			Mid-Ebb 08:44 Mid-Flood 14:37	11:40 <i>14:37</i> 17:44 <i>20:52</i>
05-Nov	06-Nov	07-No	v 08-Nov	09-Nov	10-Nov		11-Nov
		Mid-Flood 08:3 05:17 11:4 Mid-Ebb 13:5 11:49 16:0	9 7			Mid-Ebb 00:10 Mid-Flood 08:54	04:32 <i>08:54</i> 16:53 <i>00:52</i>
12-Nov	13-Nov	14-No	v 15-Nov	16-Nov	17-Nov		18-Nov
		Mid-Ebb 07:4 03:33 11:4 Mid-Flood 15:4 11:48 19:5	8 9 0			Mid-Ebb <i>08:41</i> Mid-Flood <i>14:00</i>	11:20 <i>14:00</i> 17:08 <i>20:17</i>
19-Nov	20-Nov	21-No	v 22-Nov	23-Nov	24-Nov		25-Nov
		Mid-Ebb 13:06 15:16 15:12 21:2	2 9 6			Mid-Flood 07:27 Mid-Ebb 14:34	11:00 <i>14:34</i> 15:35 <i>16:36</i>
26-Nov	27-Nov	28-No	v 29-Nov	30-Nov	01-Dec		02-Dec
		Mid-Flood 14: 10:07 18:1 Mid-Ebb 19:4 18:19 21:1	<i>9</i> 6			Mid-Ebb <i>07:37</i> Mid-Flood <i>13:09</i>	10:23 <i>13:09</i> 16:25 <i>19:41</i>