



PROJECT No.: TCS/00408/08

DSD CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/17
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN CHEUNG PO, MA
ON KONG, YUEN KONG SAN TSUEN AND TIN SAM
TSUEN OF YUEN LONG DISTRICT AND SEWERAGE AT
TSENG TAU CHUNG TSUEN, TUEN MUN

MONTHLY EM&A REPORT FOR KT13
(MARCH 2009)

PREPARED FOR
CHINA ROAD & BRIDGE CORPORATION

Quality Index

| Date | Reference No. | Prepared By | Certified by |
|---------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| 15 April 2009 | TCS00408/08/600/R0929v2 |  Nicola Hon Environmental Consultant |  Andrew Lau Environmental Team Leader |

| Version | Date | Prepared by: | Certified by: | Description |
|---------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| 1 | 2 April 2009 | Nicola Hon | Andrew Lau | First submission |
| 2 | 15 April 2009 | Nicola Hon | Andrew Lau | Amended against IEC's comment on 3 April 2009 |

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

Ove Arup & Partners
奧雅納工程顧問

Our ref 25211/L111/CN/cl

Date 15 April 2009

Level 5, Festival Walk
80 Tat Chee Avenue
Kowloon Tong, Kowloon
Hong Kong
Tel +852 2528 3031
Fax +852 2268 3950
Direct Tel +852 2268 3097
coleman.ng@arup.com

www.arup.com

By Fax and Post

Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited
25/F, Millennium City 6
392 Kwun Tong Road
Kowloon
Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. Clive Cheng

ARUP

Dear Mr. Cheng,

Contract No. DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen King San and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Monthly EM&A Report for KT13 (March 2009) – Revision 2

We refer to the captioned submission (letter ref.: TCS00408/08/600/R0929r2) and advise that we have no further comment on the captioned report.

We hereby endorse the captioned report for your onward submission.

If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely,



Coleman Ng
Independent Environmental Consultant

cc: China Road and Bridge Corporation (Mr. Raymond Mau) (Fax: 2478 9612)
AUES (Mr. TW Tam / Mr. Andrew Lau) (Fax: 2959 6079)

Executive Summary

ES01 This is the 6th monthly EM&A report for the Channel KT13, covering the construction period from 26 February 2009 to 25 March 2009 (the Reporting Period).

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

ES02 Monitoring results of the Reporting Period demonstrated no exceedance of environmental quality criteria for air quality, construction noise and ecology.

ES03 A total of four exceedances of water quality criteria, due to turbidity and suspended solids (SS), were recorded at one downstream monitoring station, W6, during the Reporting Period. No exceedance of any other parameter was recorded at the remaining five water quality monitoring stations upstream of this location. Investigations concluded that the exceedances were not related to this project as no construction works were undertaken in the vicinity of the area. All measured parameters of those four samples are summarized below:

| Location | Exceedance | DO | Turbidity | pH | SS | NH ₄ ⁺ N | Zn | Total |
|----------|--------------|----|-----------|----|----|--------------------------------|----|-------|
| W6 | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

ES04 During the Reporting Period, there was no construction work conducted within 100m of the cultural heritage site at KT13. Therefore, no cultural heritage monitoring was required in accordance with the approved methodology. Landscape inspection was conducted on 11 and 23 March 2009. No significant changes were observed for the identified landscape resources and visual sensitive receivers, except for minor changes due to channel excavation, site clearance and preparation work at the identified landscape resources including LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4.

Environmental Complaint, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

ES05 No documented complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution was received during the Reporting Period. No major environmental impacts were observed during the weekly site inspection. Environmental audit of the Reporting Period, indicated that the implemented mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and ecology were effective. Minor deficiencies found in the weekly site inspection were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.

Reporting Changes

ES06 No reporting changes were made during the Reporting Period.

Future Key Issues

ES07 As wet season is approaching, water quality mitigation measures to avoid ingress of runoff into Channel KT13 should be properly installed and maintained, as appropriate.

ES08 To prevent exceedance of water quality, it is recommended that water quality mitigation measures stipulated in the EIA and summarized in the EM&A Manual, including containment structure such as temporary earth bunds, sand bags, sheet pile barriers or other similar techniques, should be fully implemented. In addition, implemented mitigation measures such as sand bags downstream of the excavation site may also be improved to cater for additional water flows during the coming wet season.

- ES09 Special attention should be paid to construction noise and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual as recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule.
- ES10 Proposal for adopting the pH range of 6 to 9 pH value in place of the existing pH Action and Limit Level has been approved by ER and IEC's. The submission has been proceeding to EPD for formal approval.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|---------------|
| 1 | ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS | - 1 - |
| 1.1 | PROJECT AREA AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME | - 1 - |
| 1.2 | WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD | - 1 - |
| 1.3 | ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION..... | - 1 - |
| 1.4 | LICENSING STATUS..... | - 1 - |
| 1.5 | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND POLLUTION CONTROL MITIGATION MEASURES | - 2 - |
| 2 | MONITORING METHODOLOGY | - 3 - |
| 2.1 | MONITORING PARAMETERS | - 3 - |
| 2.2 | MONITORING LOCATIONS | - 3 - |
| 2.3 | MONITORING FREQUENCY, DURATION AND SCHEDULE..... | - 4 - |
| 2.4 | MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE | - 5 - |
| 2.5 | QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES AND DATA MANAGEMENT | - 10 - |
| 2.6 | REPORTING | - 11 - |
| 3 | MONITORING RESULTS | - 12 - |
| 3.1 | AIR QUALITY | - 12 - |
| 3.2 | CONSTRUCTION NOISE | - 13 - |
| 3.3 | WATER QUALITY | - 14 - |
| 3.4 | ECOLOGY | - 15 - |
| 3.5 | WASTE MANAGEMENT, CULTURAL HERITAGE AND LANDSCAPE & VISUAL..... | - 17 - |
| 4 | NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS, SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION AND OTHERS | - 19 - |
| 4.1 | NON-COMPLIANCE | - 19 - |
| 4.2 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT | - 19 - |
| 4.3 | NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION | - 19 - |
| 4.4 | OTHERS | - 19 - |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... | - 21 - |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Table 2-1 | Summary of Monitoring Parameters |
| Table 2-2 | Summary of Monitoring Locations |
| Table 2-4-2 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 2-4-3 | Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 2-4-4 | Water Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 2-6 | Requirements for Report Submission |
| Table 3-1-1 | Air Quality Action and Limit Levels |
| Table 3-1-2-1 | Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A1(a) |
| Table 3-1-2-2 | Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A2 |
| Table 3-2-1 | Construction Noise Action and Limit Levels |
| Table 3-2-2-1 | Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N1(a) |
| Table 3-2-2-2 | Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N2(a) |
| Table 3-2-2-3 | Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N3 |
| Table 3-3-1 | Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring |
| Table 3-3-2 | Summary of Water Quality Exceedances |
| Table 3-4-1 | Ecological Action and Limit Levels |
| Table 3-4-2 | Summary of KT13 Ecology Impact Monitoring Bird Survey |
| Table 3-5-2 | Cultural Heritage Resources Action and Limit Levels |
| Table 4-4-1 | Summary of Findings of Site Inspection and Environmental Audit |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|---|
| Appendix A | Location Plan of the Project and Environmental Monitoring Locations under the Project |
| Appendix B | Construction Program |
| Appendix C | Environmental Management Organization and Contacts of Key Personnel |
| Appendix D | Monitoring Schedules and Meteorological Data |
| Appendix E | Calibration Certificates and HOKLAS-Accreditation Certificate |
| Appendix F | Event Action Plan |
| Appendix G | Environmental Monitoring Results and Graphical Plots |
| Appendix H | Photographic Records of Ecological Monitoring of Vegetation |
| Appendix I | Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13 |
| Appendix J | Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table |

1 ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

This is the sixth monthly EM&A report for KT13, covering the construction period from 26 February 2009 to 25 March 2009 (the Reporting Period).

1.1 PROJECT AREA AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

Drawings showing the project area, any environmental sensitive receivers and the locations of the monitoring and control stations are presented in Appendix A, and the construction program in **Appendix B**.

1.2 WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

Apart from general works of tree survey, structural survey and environmental monitoring & audit, works undertaken during the Reporting Period with fine tuning of construction activities showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures for the month are summarized as follows:

- (a) Excavation of channel formation ;
- (b) Construction of channel structure; and
- (c) Backfilling.

1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION

Management structure and key personnel contact names and telephone numbers of the environmental management organization are presented in Appendix C, where DSD is the Project Proponent; CRBC is the main Contractor; EPD and AFCD are the supervisory departments for environmental protection of the Project; BVHKL is the Engineer's Representative of DSD (the ER); ARUP is the Independent Environmental Checker (the IEC) and Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) is the environmental team (the ET).

1.4 LICENSING STATUS

1.4.1 Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

Pursuant to the **Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation**, CRBC has notified EPD, via submission of Form NA dated 14 February 2008, of the scope and nature of the works to be carried out under the Project, including construction activities such as stockpiling, loading and unloading, transfer of dusty material, use of vehicles and debris handling, etc. CRBC will continuously review the status of the environmental licenses and apply the required licenses/permits prior to the commencement of construction work.

1.4.2 Noise Control Ordinance

No **Construction Noise Permit** (CNP) is required for the Project pursuant to the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and the associated applicable subsidiary regulations of **Noise Control (General) Regulation, Noise Control (Hand-held Percussive Breaker) Regulation and Noise Control (Air Compressor) Regulation**, as the use of powered mechanical equipment, or conducting construction work in during restricted hours, i.e. 1900 to 0700 hours on normal weekdays and any time on general holidays including Sundays is not anticipated during the whole construction period. CRBC will continuously review the status of the environmental licenses under the NCO and apply the required licenses/permits prior to the commencement of construction work.

1.4.3 Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation

CRBC has applied for a Billing Account (Construction Work Contract with Value of \$1million or above), under the **Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation**. The account number 7006524 has been assigned on 9 Jan 2008.

1.4.4 Water Pollution Control Ordinance

CRBC has applied for a discharge license under Section 20 of the **Water Pollution Control Ordinance**, and the license No. 1U461/1 has been issued.

1.4.5 Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation

CRBC has registered as a Chemical Waste Producer with EPD under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and the Waste Producer Number assigned is WPN: 5611-531-C3124-28 dated 2 May 08.

1.4.6 Dumping at Sea Permit

CRBC has been granted by the Environmental Protection Department a Permit Issued under the **Dumping at Sea Ordinance** (Permit no. EP/I4D/08-095, dated 18 September 2008, permit validity period of six months from 18 September 2008 to 17 March 2009) for disposal of 18,469 m³ sediment, requiring Type 1 – open sea disposal at East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IV b, to be capped as directed by the Management Team of the Civil Engineering and Development Department. Note that this permit has expired. As there is no need for further sea disposal, no further permits will be required in the future.

1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND POLLUTION CONTROL MITIGATION MEASURES

CRBC has committed to implement environmental protection and pollution control and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EIA, EP, EM&A Manuals, and summarized in the Mitigation Measures Implementation Schedules. The implemented mitigation measures include

- (a) Watering of stockpiles of rip-rap at KT13;
- (b) Covering of the loose soil at KT13 to minimize water quality impacts;
- (c) Hard pavement of haul road leading to public roads at KT13;
- (d) Classification and disposal of illegally dumped construction and demolition materials at KT13;
- (e) Construction of noise barriers; and
- (f) Erection of dams with sand bags downstream the excavation site within the water course of KT13 to enhance sedimentation of turbidity and suspended solids (SS).

2 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

2.1 MONITORING PARAMETERS

According to the EM&A requirements set out in the EIA, Environmental Permit No. EP263/2007 (the EP) and the associated EM&A Manual, the required monitoring parameters are summarized as follows.

Table 2-1 Summary of Monitoring Parameters

| Environmental Aspect | Monitoring Parameters | |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Air Quality | (a) 1-hour Total Suspended Particulate (1-hour TSP); and (b) 24-hour Total Suspended Particulate (24-hour TSP). | |
| Construction Noise | (a) A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (30min) (Leq(30min) during the normal working hours; and (b) A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (5min) (Leq(5min) for construction work during the Restricted Hours. | |
| Water Quality | (a) In Situ Measurement | temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH & turbidity |
| | (b) Laboratory Analysis | suspended solids (SS), Ammonia Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) and Zinc (Zn) |
| Ecology | Vegetation, all bird species of wetland, Ho Pui Egret, Ma On Hong Egret and Flight Line Survey | |
| Waste Management | Inspection and the document audit | |
| Cultural Heritage | Condition survey for a historical grave | |
| Landscape & Visual | To audit the implementation of the proposed construction phase mitigation measure stipulated in EIA. | |

2.2 MONITORING LOCATIONS

Details of the monitoring locations are summarized in **Table 2-2** and shown in **Appendix A**. For ease of reference, monitoring locations denoted with “(a)” are relocated locations to differentiate them from the original ‘EM&A Manual’ locations.

Table 2-2 Summary of Monitoring Locations

| Environmental Issues | Monitoring Location | Identified Address / Co-ordinates | Status of Monitoring Locations / Rationale for Recommended Replacement |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Air | A1(a) | No.68 Ho Pui Village | The original location of EM&A Manuals A1 has permanently been abandoned. No access can be acquired in the vicinity of A1. Taken into consideration that Ho Pui Village is one of the most important sensitive receivers near KT-13 without monitoring, the most fronting house, No. 68 Ho Pui Village, is therefore recommended as the replacement location A1(a). |
| | A2 | No.1 Ma On Kong Village | Original location of the EM&A Manual; access granted. |
| Noise | N1(a) | 168-169 Kam Ho Road, Ma On Kong Village, | Original location of N1 identified in the EM&A Manual was relocated to proposed area as recommended by IEC. |
| | N2(a) | No. 68 Ho Pui Village, | The original location of EM&A Manuals N2 has permanently been abandoned. No access can be acquired in the vicinity of N2. Taken into consideration that Ho Pui Village is one of the most important sensitive receivers near KT-13 without monitoring, the most fronting house, No. 68 Ho Pui Village, is therefore recommended as the replacement location N2(a). |
| | N3 | No.1 Ma On Kong Village | Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access granted. |
| Water | W1 | E824539 / N830283 | Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved. |

| Environmental Issues | Monitoring Location | Identified Address / Co-ordinates | Status of Monitoring Locations / Rationale for Recommended Replacement |
|----------------------|--|---|---|
| | W2 | E824693 / N830258 | Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved. |
| | W3(a) | E824833 / N830374 | The W3 is proposed to be relocated about 55 m down stream to W3(a) for safety reason as there is no any discharge point observed between W3 and the proposed W3(a). |
| | W4 | E824936 / N830618 | Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved. |
| | W5 | E825008 / N830812 | Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved. |
| | W6 | E825100 / N830987 | Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved. |
| Ecology | Monthly monitoring along the boundary of the works area to confirm that there are no adverse impacts on habitats outside the site in particular the Conservation Area (CA) zone and Ho Pui Egretty. Photographic records at six-month intervals; Monthly monitoring of all bird numbers including wetland species and species identified as being of conservation importance; Monitoring of Ho Pui egretty during March to August. The Ma On Kong egretty is also surveyed to provide reference information on the breeding egrets nearby; and Flight line surveys twice per month during April to June. | | |
| Waste Management | Whole construction site and document | | |
| Cultural Heritage | Ma On Kong | Refer to EM&A Manual (KT13) Figure 7.1. | |
| Landscape & Visual | Refer to EIA Section 10 | | |

2.3 MONITORING FREQUENCY, DURATION AND SCHEDULE

2.3.1 Monitoring Frequency and Duration

Environmental monitoring is conducted upon commencement of the construction activities and throughout the whole construction period to detect and minimize any adverse environmental impacts generated from the construction activities of the Project. The monitoring frequency and duration for air quality, construction noise, water quality, ecology and other parameters are summarized below.

Air Quality

Frequency: Once every 6 days for 24-hour TSP and three times every 6 days for 1-hour TSP, when the highest construction dust impacts are anticipated.

Duration: Throughout the construction period

Construction Noise

Frequency: Measurement of Leq(30min): Once a week during 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays. If the construction work is undertake at restricted hours, the frequency of noise monitoring will be conducted in accordance with the requirements under the related Construction Noise Permit issued by EPD as follows:

- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) at restrict hour from 1700 – 2300 hours;
- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) for restrict hour from 2300 – 0700 hours next day;
- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) for Sunday or public holiday from 0700 – 1900 hours;

Duration: Throughout the construction period

Water Quality

Frequency: Three times a week with at least 36 hour intervals between any two consecutive monitoring events

As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Depths: As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Duration: Throughout the construction period.

Ecology

The Ecology Monitoring is required in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

Parameters: Vegetation, All bird species including wetland birds, Ho Pui and Ma On Hong Egrettries and Flight line survey

Frequency: Vegetation – Impact monitoring – monthly;
Photographic records/checks against baseline records– six monthly
Wetland Bird survey – Monthly of half-day survey;
Ma On Kong egrettry – Monthly between March to August; and
Ho Pui egrettry – Bi-weekly between March and August;
Flight line Survey – Month during the period from April to June

Duration: Throughout the whole construction period

Waste Management Audit

Frequency: Once per month

Duration: Throughout the construction period.

Cultural Heritage

Scope: Condition survey of a Qing Dynasty Grave.

Frequency: Bi-monthly

Duration: Throughout the construction phase period.

Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

Duration: Throughout the construction phase period.

2.3.2 Environmental Monitoring Schedule

The monitoring schedules for the Reporting Period and forthcoming month are presented in **Appendix D**.

2.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE

The monitoring equipment and procedures are summarized below. Calibration certificates of the equipment and the related laboratories are presented in **Appendix E**.

2.4.1 Weather Conditions during the Reporting Period

All meteorological information is extracted from the Hong Kong Observatory (Lau Fau Shan Station). The meteorological data include wind direction, wind speed, humidity, rainfall, air pressure and temperature etc., that in general are required for evaluating the environmental impact arising from the construction activities. The meteorological data are presented in **Appendix D**.

2.4.2 Air Quality

Monitoring Equipment

A list of air quality monitoring equipment is shown below.

Table 2-4-2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model | Serial Number |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 24-hour TSP | | |
| High Volume Air Sampler | Grasby Anderson GMWS 2310 HVS | - |
| Calibration Kit | TISCH Model TE-5028A | - |
| 1-hour TSP | | |
| Portable Dust Meter | Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust Meter (2) | 362337 and 362359 |

Monitoring Procedure

1-hour TSP

The 1-hour TSP measurement follows manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual, using a 1-hour TSP monitor brand named TSI Dust Track Aerosol Monitor Model 8520 or Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust Meter, which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer to record the real time 1-hour TSP based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:

- (a) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
- (b) A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
- (c) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

The 1-hour TSP meter to be used will be within the valid period, calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument will be checked before and after each monitoring event.

24-hour TSP

The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is the high volume air sampling system (hereinafter 'HVS') brand named Thermo Andersen, Model GS2310 TSP. The HVS complies with US EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The HVS consists of the following:

- (a) An anodized aluminum shelter;
- (b) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
- (c) A blower motor assembly;
- (d) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
- (e) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f) A 6-day mechanical timer, and
- (g) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis following the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator brand named TISCH Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Regular HVS operation and maintenance as well as filter paper installation and collection is performed by the ET's competent technicians, whereas laboratory analyses are conducted in a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (herein after 'ALS'). The 24-hour TSP filters of the 24-hour TSP will be kept in ALS for six months prior to disposal.

All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper are recorded in details.

2.4.3 Construction Noise

Monitoring Equipment

A list of construction noise monitoring equipment is shown below.

Table 2-4-3 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model | Serial Number |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | B&K Type 2238 | 2285762 |
| Calibrator | B&K Type 4231 | 2292167 |
| Portable Wind Speed Indicator | Testo Anemometer | - |

Monitoring Procedure

Sound level meters listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO).

All noise measurements will be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq). Leq(30 min) in six consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements will be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays throughout the construction period. Leq(15min) in three consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours) will only be conducted for monitoring the construction noise during restricted hours as necessary.

The sound level meter is mounted on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield is fitted for all measurements. Where a measurement is to be carried out at a building, the assessment point is normally at a position 1 m from the exterior of the building façade. Where a measurement is to be made for noise being received at a place other than a building, the assessment point is at a position 1.2 m above the ground in a free-field situation, i.e. at least 3.5 m away from reflective surfaces such as adjacent buildings or walls.

Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements will be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0dB. No noise measurement will be made in the present of significant fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed is checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

2.4.4 Water Quality

Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Equipment for water quality is listed below.

Table 2-4-4 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model / Description | Serial Number |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Water Depth Detector | Eagle Sonar | - |
| Water Sampler | Teflon bailer / bucket | - |
| Thermometer & DO meter | YSI 550A DO Meter | 05F2063AZ |
| pH meter | Hanna HI 98128 | S229924 |

| Equipment | Model / Description | Serial Number |
|--------------------|---|---------------|
| Turbidimeter | Hach 2100p | 95090008735 |
| Hand Refractometer | ATAGO | 289468 |
| Sample Container | High density polythene bottles (provided by | - |
| Storage Container | 'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box | - |

Monitoring Procedure

Water Depth

As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3 m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6 m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1 m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1 m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6 m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Water depths are determined prior to measurement and sampling, using a portable battery operated depth detector, brand named 'Eagle Sonar', if the depths exceed 1.5 meter. For the depths well below 1 meter, the depths of water columns are measured with a steel ruler with appropriate weight.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

A portable YSI 550A DO Meter will be used for in-situ DO measurement. The DO meter is capable of measuring DO in the range of 0 - 20 mg/L and 0 - 200 % saturation and checked against water saturated ambient air on each monitoring day prior to monitoring. Although the DO Meter automatically compensates ambient water temperature to a standard temperature of 20°C for ease of comparison of the data under the changing reality, the temperature readings of the DO Meter will be recorded in the field data sheets. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

pH

A portable Hanna pH Meter will be used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1. Standard buffer solutions of pH 7 and pH 10 are used for calibration of the instrument before and after measurement. Quarterly calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS.

Turbidity

A portable Hach 2100p turbidity Meter will be used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

Salinity

A portable hand Refractometer AGATO will be used for in-situ salinity measurement. The refractometer is capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-70ppt with accuracy ±1% reading. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

Suspended Solids (SS)

SS will be determined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical method - ALS Method EA-025.

Ammonia Nitrogen(NH₃-N)

NH₃-N will be examined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical methods - ALS Method EK-055A.

Zinc(Zn)

Zn will be analyzed by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical methods - ALS Method EG-020.

Water Sampler

Water samples will be collected using a plastic sampler to prevent metal contamination. As the water depths in the stream water within KT13 are generally less than 0.5 m, a plastic bucket with a rope of appropriate length is used for water sampling. The sampler is rinsed before collection with the sample to be taken. For water depths deeper than 0.5 meter, a cleaned plastic bailer bucket will be used for sample collection.

1000 mL water sample is collected from each depth for SS determination. The samples collected are stored in a cool box maintained at 4°C and delivered to ALS upon completion of the sampling by end of each sampling day.

Sample Container

Water samples are contained in screw-cap PE (Poly-Ethylene) bottles, which are provided and pretreated according to corresponding HOKLAS and ALS analytical requirements. Where appropriate, the sampling bottles are rinsed with the water to be contained. Water samples are then transferred from the water sampler to the sampling bottles to 95% bottle capacity to allow possible volume expansion during delivery and storage.

Sample Storage

A 'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the collected water samples prior to arrival at ALS. The water temperature of the cool box will be maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected will be delivered to the laboratory upon collection within the maximum storage time required under the HOKLAS and ALS analytical requirements

2.4.5 Ecology

Monthly walk through survey will be conducted along the boundary of work area for KT13. Bird monitoring will be conducted in the study areas monthly for KT13. Monitoring on the Ho Pui egret and Ma On Kong egret will be conducted between March to August. Flight line surveys to record the feeding areas and the habitat use of breeding egrets will be conducted between April to June. Photographic record should be made at six month intervals.

Monitoring Equipment

The following equipment will be used for monitoring:-

Standard portable field survey equipment was used for ecological monitoring, including

- (a) Binoculars of 10 x 40 magnifications;
- (b) Digital camera; and
- (c) Notebook.

Study Area

The areas for the ecological monitoring programme would cover 60 m on either side of the existing channel as well as the proposed bypass culvert, as shown in Figure 6.1 of the EM&A Manual. Within these, emphasis will be given to the area around the Ho Pui and Ma On Kong egrets and habitats of at least moderate ecological value. In addition, monitoring would also be undertaken at the Ho Pui egret and Ma On Kong egret (The Ma On Kong egret is outside the demarcated monitoring area but is also monitored to identify any adverse effects on the breeding egrets).

Survey Method

Monthly monitoring will be conducted by means of walk through survey, along the boundary of work area for KT13. Any adverse impacts to the habitats outside the site, in particular the Conservation Area (CA) zone and Ho Pui Egret, will be checked and reported.

Photographic records will be made every six months on the fixed photo record points selected during the baseline survey. The photos from the construction phase ecological monitoring will be compared with those taken during the baseline, which are used as the baseline conditions.

Bird monitoring will be conducted in the study areas monthly for KT13. Attention should be paid on wetland species and species identified as being of conservation importance, and the habitats utilized should also be recorded. Bird surveys should commence no later than 2 hours after dawn.

Monitoring on the Ho Pui egretty and Ma On Kong egretty will be conducted between March to August. The frequency would be twice per month during March to May. Depending upon the nesting conditions at Ho Pui egretty, the frequency could be reduced to monthly between June and August if no egret nest found by the end of May, or maintained at twice per month till the end of August if there are egret nests. Number of active nests, species and number of birds present and breeding stage should be recorded.

Flight line surveys to record the feeding areas and the habitat use of breeding egrets will be conducted twice per month between April to June. The number and species of flying egrets, and their landing habitats and locations should be recorded.

2.4.6 Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual

Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual monitoring is required for KT13 as stipulated in the EM&A manual [382047/E/EMA/Issue 5] **Section 5**, **Section 7** and **Section 8** accordingly.

Waste Management

During the monthly audit, ETL will pay attention to the issues relating to waste management, and check whether the Contractor has followed the relevant contract Specifications and the procedures specified under the law of HKSAR.

Cultural Heritage

Condition survey by a qualified archaeologist is required for the historical grave near Ma On Kong before and during the construction phase. The method statement of condition survey of Ma On Kong Historic Grave (KT13-02-02) was issued to EPD and endorsed on 27 July 2008, the frequency of the condition survey during the construction phase and given the open cut method would be adopted for the construction of the proposed bypass box culvert under KT13 project, subject to the result of the condition survey carried out before the construction stage, it is recommended that bi-monthly condition survey be undertaken during the construction work within 100m area from the grave.

Landscape and Visual

In accordance with the EM&A manual [382047/E/EMA/Issue5] **Section 8** landscape and visual mitigation measures are required during construction and operation phase. Site inspection will be undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the proposed mitigation measures.

2.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES AND DATA MANAGEMENT

2.5.1 Documentation of the Environmental Monitoring

Field data including in-situ monitoring results, weather conditions and water sampling information and observation will be recorded in corresponding Field Data Sheets, which will be signed and dated by the respective environmental technician prior to submission to the ETL for validation and endorsement at the end of the monitoring day.

2.5.2 Data Management and Analysis

All impact monitoring data will be processed by the AUES data recording and management system, which complies with in-house Quality (**ISO 9001:2000**) Management System. Monitoring results recorded in the monitoring equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP Meters and Noise Meters will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of the monitoring period and input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. Laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

2.5.3 Quality Assurance Procedures

Appropriate and standard QA/QC measures will be adopted for the environmental monitoring to ensure the scientific integrity of the data produced. Sources of error in the impact monitoring will be properly controlled with the following QA/QC procedures:

- (a) Appropriate field monitoring and sampling techniques, including monitoring equipment, storage and delivery of samples;
- (b) Well organized systematic field-data system e.g. all baseline monitoring information, field observation, results, weather conditions and water sampling information, etc. will be recorded in the field monitoring record sheets. The laboratory analysis records will be maintained by the HOKLAS following HOKLAS requirements;
- (c) HOKLAS requirements for QA/QC of all laboratory testing to ensure acceptable accuracy and reproducibility of the laboratory analysis indicated by consistent agreement between duplicate samples, validity of the analytical results by compliance with the required blanks and recovery of standard addition.

2.5.4 Records

All impact monitoring data will be clearly and systematically documented in both hardware and software format and the software copy will be available for inspection upon request. All the document and data will be kept for at least one year after completion of the Project. Field Data Sheets used to record the impact monitoring information, field observation, results, weather conditions and water sampling information, etc., will be properly maintained and kept by the ET. The copies of laboratory analysis records from ALS will be kept by the ET throughout the at least one year after completion of the EM&A program of the Project.

2.6 REPORTING

2.6.1 General Requirements for Report Submission

General requirements for Monthly EM&A report submission as stipulated in the EIA, EP and EM&A Manual are summarized below.

Table 2-6 Requirements for Report Submission

| Report | Submission |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Monthly EM&A Report | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 10 working days of the end of each reporting month. |
| Quarterly EM&A Summary Report | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific requirement, proposed three weeks after endorsement of the 3rd monthly EM&A report within a particular quarter. |
| Final EM&A Summary Report | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific requirement, proposed one month upon completion of entire EM&A program |

2.6.2 Cut-Off Day of the Reporting Month

It was agreed among the ER, IEC, CRBC, ET and EPD that, in order to streamline the EM&A report submission and to cater for the occasional delay in obtaining laboratory analysis results, the cutoff day for each month is the 25th i.e. the first day of each report is the 26th of the last month and the end day, the 25th of that month.

3 MONITORING RESULTS

The environmental monitoring results will be compared against the Action and Limit Levels established based on the baseline monitoring results and statutory criteria. In case the measured data exceed the environmental quality criteria, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in **Appendix F**. The environmental monitoring results are tabulated below and displayed as graphical plots in **Appendix G**.

3.1 AIR QUALITY

3.1.1 Action and Limit Levels

According to the Baseline Monitoring Report for KT13, the Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP are established as follows:

Table 3-1-1 Air Quality Action and Limit Levels

| Monitoring Station | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP |
| KT13(A1(a)) | 309 | 144 | 500 | 260 |
| KT13(A2) | 307 | 141 | 500 | 260 |

3.1.2 Results

Results of air quality monitoring at the identified locations during the Reporting Period are summarized in **Tables 3-1-3-1** and **3-1-3-2** below. Details of 24-hour TSP data and graphical plots of trends of monitored parameters at key stations over the past four reporting periods are presented in **Appendices G** and **H**.

Table 3-1-2-1 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A1(a)

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | | | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
|---|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|--|---------|
| Date | Start Time | 1 st hour | 2 nd hour | 3 rd hour | Average | Date | Results |
| 2-Mar-09 | 14:45 | 180 | 184 | 179 | 181 | 28-Feb-09 | 15 |
| 7-Mar-09 | 14:32 | 71 | 79 | 76 | 75 | 6-Mar-09 | 7 |
| 13-Mar-09 | 14:33 | 81 | 89 | 85 | 85 | 12-Mar-09 | 13 |
| 19-Mar-09 | 14:34 | 112 | 123 | 120 | 118 | 18-Mar-09 | 26 |
| 25-Mar-09 | 13:07 | 115 | 123 | 120 | 119 | 24-Mar-09 | 23 |
| Average (range) | | 116 (71-184) | | | | Average (range) 17 (7-26) | |

Table 3-1-2-2 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A2

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | | | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
|---|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|--|---------|
| Date | Start Time | 1 st hour | 2 nd hour | 3 rd hour | Average | Date | Results |
| 2-Mar-09 | 13:10 | 194 | 201 | 197 | 197 | 28-Feb-09 | 19 |
| 7-Mar-09 | 13:09 | 83 | 92 | 86 | 87 | 6-Mar-09 | 8 |
| 13-Mar-09 | 13:04 | 91 | 99 | 97 | 96 | 12-Mar-09 | 15 |
| 19-Mar-09 | 13:15 | 119 | 124 | 120 | 121 | 18-Mar-09 | 19 |
| 25-Mar-09 | 14:27 | 113 | 119 | 116 | 116 | 24-Mar-09 | 15 |
| Average (range) | | 123 (83-201) | | | | Average (range) 15 (8-19) | |

3.1.3 Discussion

As shown in **Tables 3-1-2-1** and **3-1-2-2**, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results fluctuated well below the Action Level. No exceedance of Action or Limit Levels was recorded during the Reporting Period. Neither Notification of Exceedance (hereinafter 'NOE') of air quality criteria or corrective action was required.

3.2 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

3.2.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for construction noise are illustrated in **Table 3-2-1**.

Table 3-2-1 Construction Noise Action and Limit Levels

| Time Period | Action Level in dB(A) | Limit Level in dB(A) |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays | When one documented complaint is received | > 75* dB(A) |

Note: * Reduces to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during the school examination periods.

3.2.2 Results

Results of construction noise monitoring at the identified locations N1(a), N2(a) and N3 during the Reporting Period are summarized in **Tables 3-2-2-1 to 3-2-2-3**.

The baseline monitoring for N1(a) and N2(a) was performed on the 1st floor of the bedroom of 168-169 Kam Ho Road, Ma On Kong Village and No. 68 Ho Pui Village respectively. The impact noise monitoring, however, was performed on the ground floor of the same house due to denial of access to the 1st floor. The change of noise monitoring from 1st floor to ground floor will negate the need for a 3dB(A) façade correction but will not introduce any significant difference in detection and minimization of the of construction noise impacts, or alteration of the established A/L Levels. The ET has obtained the approval from EPD with consultation with the ER and IEC.

Table 3-2-2-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N1(a)

| Date | Start Time | 1 st set Leq5 | 2 nd set Leq5 | 3 rd set Leq5 | 4 th set Leq5 | 5 th set Leq5 | 6 th set Leq5 | Leq30 |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 2-Mar-09 | 15:33 | 57.2 | 60.4 | 58.2 | 59.5 | 61.2 | 60.1 | 59.6 |
| 7-Mar-09 | 14:24 | 59.6 | 62.3 | 59.7 | 60.2 | 58.3 | 57.2 | 59.8 |
| 13-Mar-09 | 15:28 | 57.9 | 59.1 | 61.3 | 63.5 | 59.2 | 57.4 | 60.3 |
| 19-Mar-09 | 15:29 | 55.5 | 56.3 | 59.9 | 62.0 | 60.4 | 57.1 | 59.2 |
| 25-Mar-09 | 15:24 | 56.2 | 56.7 | 55.5 | 57.2 | 60.9 | 59.7 | 58.2 |
| Limit Level | | | | | | | | 75 dB(A) |

Table 3-2-2-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N2(a)

| Date | Start Time | 1 st set Leq5 | 2 nd set Leq5 | 3 rd set Leq5 | 4 th set Leq5 | 5 th set Leq5 | 6 th set Leq5 | Leq30 |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 2-Mar-09 | 14:45 | 50.1 | 48.9 | 50.2 | 49.7 | 51.3 | 50.3 | 50.1 |
| 7-Mar-09 | 14:33 | 50.9 | 49.7 | 53.4 | 48.2 | 51.3 | 50.6 | 51.0 |
| 13-Mar-09 | 14:36 | 48.2 | 48.7 | 49.5 | 50.3 | 48.8 | 50.7 | 49.5 |
| 19-Mar-09 | 14:36 | 44.5 | 45.9 | 48.1 | 49.0 | 50.1 | 48.9 | 48.1 |
| 25-Mar-09 | 14:31 | 44.9 | 43.2 | 44.7 | 49.2 | 50.3 | 48.2 | 47.5 |
| Limit Level | | | | | | | | 75 dB(A) |

Table 3-2-2-3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N3

| Date | Start Time | 1 st set Leq5 | 2 nd set Leq5 | 3 rd set Leq5 | 4 th set Leq5 | 5 th set Leq5 | 6 th set Leq5 | Leq30 |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 2-Mar-09 | 13:10 | 54.8 | 60.0 | 51.0 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 62.9 | 58.1 |
| 7-Mar-09 | 13:10 | 55.7 | 62.1 | 60.8 | 58.9 | 54.2 | 54.4 | 58.8 |
| 13-Mar-09 | 13:06 | 45.7 | 47.7 | 54.4 | 66.7 | 68.0 | 54.0 | 62.9 |
| 19-Mar-09 | 13:16 | 51.9 | 55.7 | 58.2 | 59.3 | 60.1 | 58.8 | 58.0 |
| 25-Mar-09 | 13:08 | 53.9 | 58.2 | 58.1 | 59.2 | 58.3 | 60.8 | 58.5 |
| Limit Level | | | | | | | | 75 dB(A) |

3.2.3 Discussion

It is noted that mobile noise barriers have been installed at KT-13 according to the noise mitigation plan for Channel KT-13. As shown in **Tables 3-2-2-1, Table 3-2-2-2 and Table 3-2-2-3**, all the construction noise results fluctuated well below the Limit Level. No exceedance of Limit Level or documented construction complaint was recorded during the Reporting Period. No NOE or corrective action was therefore required.

3.3 WATER QUALITY

3.3.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for water quality are illustrated in **Table 3-3-1**.

Table 3-3-1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Location | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | pH | | SS (mg/L) | | Ammonia (µg/L) | | Zinc (µg/L) | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Action Level | Limit Level | Action Level | Limit Level | Action Level | Limit Level | Action Level | Limit Level | Action Level | Limit Level | Action Level | Limit Level |
| W1 (Upstream) Control Station | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| W2 (Downstream) Impact Station | 1.04 | 1.00 | 36.81 | 37.16 | 8.65 | 8.69 | 79.0 | 86.2 | 16.85 | 16.89 | 234.95 | 266.19 |
| W3(a) (Upstream) Control Station | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| W4 (Upstream) Control Station | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| W5 (Upstream) Control Station | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| W6 (Downstream) Impact Station | 0.93 | 0.91 | 27.88 | 30.02 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 73.40 | 78.68 | 51.62 | 54.56 | 191.90 | 201.58 |

Notes: # Act as Control Station for the Impact Water Quality Monitoring.

* Alternative Action Level of the Turbidity, pH, Suspended Solid, Ammonia Nitrogen and Zinc are 120% of upstream control station of same day.

** Alternative Action Level of the Turbidity, pH, Suspended Solid, Ammonia Nitrogen and Zinc are 130% of upstream control station of same day.

3.3.2 Results

Water quality monitoring results measured at W1, W2, W3(a), W4, W5 and W6 during the Reporting Period are presented in tabulation and graphical plots in **Appendix G**.

3.3.2 Discussion

A total of four Limit Level exceedances, namely one Action Level exceedance and three Limit Level exceedances were registered as shown in **Table 3-3-2**.

Table 3-3-2 Summary of Water Quality Exceedances

| Location | Exceedance | DO | Turbidity | pH | SS | NH ₄ ⁺ -N | Zn | Total |
|----------|--------------|----|-----------|----|----|---------------------------------|----|-------|
| W2 | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| W6 | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | Action Level | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Limit Level | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

DO, NH₄⁺-N and Zinc

No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels of DO, NH₄⁺-N and Zinc were recorded during the Reporting Period.

pH

pH fluctuated within a range from 6.8 to 7.1, which significantly deviated from the Action and Limit Levels of 8.65 and 8.69 for W2 and 8.7 for W6. No sensible discussion can be made on the parameter against the existing A/L Levels. Nevertheless, all the pH results are considered perfectly healthy for the environment of aquatic life. No NOE or remedial actions are recommended for the parameter.

Table 3-3-1 demonstrates the pH Action and Limit Levels derived from the percentile definition (i.e. 95%-ile for Action Level and 99%-ile for Limit Level) in the EM&A Manual set at 8.65 and 8.69 respectively for W2 and at the same level of 8.7 for W6. All the derived three pH A/L Levels are actually an identical pH level of 8.7, which is slightly alkaline but still within the suitable range of acidity. It is iterated that the percentile definition deviates from the consensus of the pH significance and should not be applied for establishment of pH A/L Levels to avoid nonsensical interpretation of any potential adverse pH impacts, e.g. to tolerate extremely high pH levels or to the contrary.

Proposal for adopting the pH range of 6 to 9 pH value in place of the existing pH Action and Limit Level has been approved by the ER and IEC. It is at the stage of submitting to EPD for formal approval.

Turbidity and SS

There were three exceedances of turbidity and one exceedance of SS recorded in the reporting period. NOEs were issued upon confirmation of the monitoring results, and investigation was conducted upon receipt of the information of construction activities and implementation status of mitigation measures provided by CRBC. It was concluded that the exceedances were not works related as no construction work was in progress during the measurements. Moreover, there were series of heavy rainstorms recorded during the exceedance days and the turbidity and SS levels of the stream water were significantly increased. The ET's investigations are being reviewed by the ER and IEC.

As stated in Section 1.2, excavation for channel formation and construction of channel structure were undertaken during the Reporting Period. Attribution of the impacts of the construction activities to the turbidity and SS Limit Level exceedances at W6 cannot be over ruled. In order to minimize the construction impacts on the water quality environment within KT13, it is recommended that water quality mitigation measures stipulated in the EIA and summarized in mitigation measures implementation schedule in the EM&A Manual, including containment structure such as temporary earth bunds, sand bags, sheet pile barriers or other similar techniques, be fully implemented. In addition, implemented mitigation measures, in particular the erected dams with sand bags downstream the excavation site within the water course of KT13, may also be improved to enhance sedimentation of turbidity and SS, e.g. by using silt curtain, as appropriate.

3.4 ECOLOGY

3.4.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for Construction Ecology Monitoring are shown in **Table 3-4-1** to according with the EM&A manual.

Table 3-4-1 Ecological Action and Limit Levels

| Parameters | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Decrease in number of breeding egrets since previous year | >20% | > 40% |

3.4.2 Results

Seventy individuals of birds from 23 species were recorded during the survey for the present monthly monitoring on 20 March 2009. Among the birds recorded, 6 individuals of wetland dependent birds (from 3 species) were recorded.

It is stated in the EP and the EM&A Manual for KT13 that the monitoring of the Ho Pui egretty shall be carried out during the period from 1st March to 31st August. If no egret nest is found at the egretty during the period from 1st March to 31st May, the Permit Holder can start the construction works within 100m of the ecological buffer area upon obtaining the Director's approval until February in the next year. If egret nests are found during the period from 1st March to 31st August, no construction shall take place within 100m of the ecological buffer area before 1st October.

In addition, it is required in the EM&A manual that biweekly monitoring of the Ho Pui egretty shall be carried out each year for the period from 1st March to the end of May. Should no egret nest be found at the Ho Pui egretty by the end of May, monitoring frequency from June to August can be reduced to monthly.

Biweekly egretty surveys on Ho Pui Egretty were conducted on 8 and 22 March 2009. No nest was found at the Ho Pui egretty during the present survey. As there had been no nest recorded at Ho Pui egretty in 2008, the Action/Limit Level for ecology was not exceeded. Ma On Kong egretty was also surveyed on 22 March 2009 to provide reference information for the breeding season. No nest was found at the Ma On Kong egretty neither.

During the walk through survey, no adverse impacts on habitats outside the boundary of the works area including the Conservation Area and the location of Ho Pui Egretty were found.

Photo records of trees as scheduled once every six months are presented in **Appendix H** while ecology impact monitoring results are presented in the **Table 3-4-2**.

Table 3-4-2 Summary of KT13 Ecology Impact Monitoring Bird Survey

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Reported in the project profile | Abundance recorded in the present survey (20 Mar 09) | Habitat utilized |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Birds | | | | |
| Little Egret | <i>Egretta garzetta</i> | ✓ | 2 | River/stream |
| Cattle Egret | <i>Bubulcus ibis</i> | ✓ | | |
| Chinese Pond Heron | <i>Ardeola bacchus</i> | ✓ | 3 | River/stream |
| Crested Serpent Eagle | <i>Spilornis cheela</i> | ✓ | | |
| Bonelli's Eagle | <i>Hieraaetus fasciatus</i> | ✓ | | |
| Eurasian Hobby | <i>Falco subbuteo</i> | ✓ | | |
| White-breasted Waterhen | <i>Amaunornis phoenicurus</i> | ✓ | 1 | River/stream |
| Spotted Dove | <i>Streptopelia chinensis</i> | ✓ | 4 | Bare ground/agricultural land |
| Common Koel | <i>Eudynamis scolopacea</i> | ✓ | 1 | Woodland |
| Greater Coucal | <i>Centropus sinensis</i> | ✓ | 1 | Woodland |
| Little Swift | <i>Apus affinis</i> | ✓ | | |
| White-Throated Kingfisher | <i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i> | ✓ | | |
| Barn Swallow | <i>Hirundo rustica</i> | ✓ | 7 | Bare ground |
| Red-Whiskered Bulbul | <i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i> | ✓ | 6 | Woodland |
| Chinese Bulbul | <i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i> | ✓ | 5 | Woodland |
| Long-Tailed Shrike | <i>Lanius schach</i> | ✓ | | |
| Oriental Magpie Robin | <i>Copsychus saularis</i> | ✓ | 3 | |
| Masked Laughingthrush | <i>Garrulax perspicillatus</i> | ✓ | 5 | Woodland |
| Yellow-Bellied Prinia | <i>Prinia flaviventris</i> | ✓ | 2 | Low-lying grassland |

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Reported in the project profile | Abundance recorded in the present survey (20 Mar 09) | Habitat utilized |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Common Tailorbird | <i>Orthotomus sutorius</i> | ✓ | | |
| Great Tit | <i>Parus major</i> | ✓ | 2 | Woodland |
| Japanese White-Eye | <i>Zosterops japonicus</i> | ✓ | | |
| White-Rumped Munia | <i>Lonchura striata</i> | ✓ | 6 | Low-lying grassland |
| Eurasian Tree Sparrow | <i>Passer montanus</i> | ✓ | 8 | Low-lying grassland/bare ground |
| Black-Collared Starling | <i>Sturnus nigricollis</i> | ✓ | 3 | Woodland/Agricultural land |
| Common Myna | <i>Acridotheres tristis</i> | ✓ | | |
| Crested Myna | <i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i> | ✓ | 3 | Bare ground |
| Black Kite | <i>Milvus migrans</i> | \ | | |
| White Wagtail | <i>Motacilla alba</i> | \ | 2 | River/stream |
| Plain Prinia | <i>Prinia inornata</i> | \ | | |
| Blue Magpie | <i>Urocissa eythrorhyncha</i> | \ | | |
| Fork-tailed Sunbird | <i>Aethopyga christinae</i> | \ | 1 | Woodland |
| Indian Cuckoo | <i>Cuculus micropterus</i> | \ | | |
| Common Magpie | <i>Pica pica</i> | \ | | |
| Green Sandpiper | <i>Tringa ochropus</i> | \ | 2 | River/stream |
| Yellow Wagtail | <i>Motacilla flava</i> | \ | 1 | Bare ground |
| Common Sandpiper | <i>Actitis hypoleucos</i> | \ | 1 | River/stream |
| Common Blackbird | <i>Turdus merula</i> | \ | 1 | Woodland |
| Species Number | | 27 | 23 | |
| Individual Number | | NA | 70 | |

*Wetland dependent species recorded with abundance during the baseline study with the names bolded

3.5 WASTE MANAGEMENT, CULTURAL HERITAGE AND LANDSCAPE & VISUAL

3.5.1 Waste Management

In order to comply with the waste management requirements, CRBC has been:

- assigned since 9 Jan 2008 a Billing Account (account number 7006524) under the **Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation**;
- issued Discharge License No. 1U461/1 under Section 20 of the **Water Pollution Control Ordinance**;
- registered as a Chemical Waste Producer under the **Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation** (the Waste Producer Number assigned is WPN: 5611-531-C3124-28 dated 2 May 08); and
- granted by the Environmental Protection Department a Permit Issued under the **Dumping at Sea Ordinance** (Permit no. EP/I4D/08-095, dated 18 September 2008, permit validity period of six months from 18 September 2008 to 17 March 2009) for 18,469 M³ sediment requiring type 1 – open sea disposal at East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IV b to be capped as directed by the management Team of the CEDD.

3.5.2 Cultural Heritage

The Action and Limit Levels for Cultural Heritage are shown in **Table 3-5-2** according to the EM&A Manual.

Table 3-5-2 Cultural Heritage Resources Action and Limit Levels

| Action Level | Limit Level |
|---|--|
| When damage or structural instability is first detected | Signs of deterioration and structural instability continues on subsequent visits after Action Level is triggered |

During the Reporting Period, there was no construction work conducted within 100m area from the cultural heritage site within KT13, no cultural heritage monitoring was required in accordance with the approved methodology.

3.5.3 Landscape and Visual

Landscape and visual inspection was conducted on 11 and 23 March 2009. Current situation of the identified landscape resources remained the same as those of the baseline, except minor changes of river/stream/fish pond landscape character area at LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4 due to site clearance, soil stockpiling and preparation work within KT13. Updated landscape and visual status is presented in **Appendix I**.

4 NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS, SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION AND OTHERS

4.1 NON-COMPLIANCE

Exceedance of environmental quality criteria has been discussed in **Section 3.1 to 3.5**. No other non-compliance or deficiency was identified during regular site inspection and environmental audit. No associated remedial action was necessary.

4.2 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

No written or verbal complaint was received for each environmental issue during the Reporting Period. No associated remedial action was necessary.

4.3 NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded during the Reporting Period. No associated remedial action was necessary.

4.4 OTHERS

4.4.1 Waste Management Status

All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil and sediment

Waste generated, re-used, recycled and disposed of during the Reporting Period is shown in **Appendix J: Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table**. No Type I or Type II excavated soil were recorded in this reporting period.

4.4.2 Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

In this reporting period, four occasions of weekly environmental site inspection and audit were conducted during the Reporting Period jointly by the ER, EO and ET during the Reporting Period. No adverse environmental impacts were registered, indicating that the mitigation measures implemented were effective and sufficient for the construction activities undertaken. Minor deficiencies found in the site inspection and audit were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. Findings of the site inspection and environmental audit are summarized below.

Table 4-4-1 Summary of Findings of Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
|-------------|---|---|
| 26 Feb 2009 | Exposed slope surface next to the existing stream was observed. The contractor is reminded to cover the open slope with tarpaulin sheet to minimize the dust generation or prevent surface runoff during rainstorm. | Reminded measures based on the observation were observed on 4 Mar 2009. |
| 4 Mar 2009 | Construction waste was observed scattered within the site. Good site practice is reminded to avoid excessive accumulation of the waste. | Reminded measures based on the observation were observed on 12 Mar 2009. |
| 12 Mar 2009 | No adverse environmental impacts were observed during the site inspection. However, further improvement of house keeping is reminded to contractor as general waste was observed on site. | Reminded measures based on the observation were observed on 17 Mar 2009. |
| 17 Mar 2009 | Free standing chemical container was observed at KT13, the contractor was reminded to provide drip tray for all chemical or oil container. | Reminded measures based on the observation to be followed-up on the forth coming site inspection. |

4.4.3 Works to be Undertaken in the Forth-Coming Month

Works to be undertaken in the forth-coming month are shown in the construction program enclosed in **Appendix B**. The construction activities undertaken in the Reporting Period

including tree survey, environmental impact monitoring, structural conditional survey, excavation of channel formation and construction of channel structure will also be continued in the forth-coming month. Moreover, backfilling, drain laying work and installation of type 2 railing as a new activity would be conducted.

4.4.4 Future Key Issues and Mitigation Measures for the Forth-Coming Month

As wet season is approaching, water quality mitigation measures to avoid ingress of turbidity and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the river within KT13 should be properly maintained or improved, as appropriate.


In addition, special attention should also be paid to construction noise, water quality, ecology and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual. Mitigation measures recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule (EMIS) should be fully implemented.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- i) This is the 6th monthly EM&A report for Channel KT13, covering the construction period from 26 February 2009 to 25 March 2009 (the Reporting Period).
- ii) Monitoring results of the Reporting Period demonstrated no exceedance of environmental quality criteria for air quality, construction noise and ecology.
- iii) However, a total of four exceedances of water quality monitoring due to turbidity and SS were recorded during the Reporting Period. It was found during the investigations that there were series of heavy rainstorm during the exceedance days which contributed observable turbid water flowing into the stream. It was, therefore, concluded that the exceedances were not related to works under the project.
- iv) Landscape inspection was conducted on 11 and 23 March 2009. No significant changes were observed for identified landscape resources and visual sensitive receivers, except for minor changes due to channel excavation, site clearance and preparation work at the identified landscape resources including LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4.
- v) No documented complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were received during the Reporting Period. No adverse environmental impacts were observed during the weekly site inspection and environmental audit of the Reporting Period, indicating that the implemented mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and ecology were effective. Minor deficiencies found in the weekly site inspection were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- vi) It is recommended that water quality mitigation measures stipulated in the EIA and summarized in mitigation measures implementation schedule in the EM&A Manual, including containment structure such as temporary earth bunds, sand bags, sheet pile barriers or other similar techniques, be fully implemented.
- vii) As wet season is approaching, it is reminded that water quality mitigation measures to avoid ingress of turbidity and other water quality pollutants via site surface water runoff into the river within KT13 should be properly maintained or improved, as appropriate.
- viii) Special attention should also be paid to construction noise and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual. Mitigation measures recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule should be fully implemented.
- ix) Proposal for adopting the pH range of 6 to 9 pH value in place of the existing pH Action and Limit Level has been approved by ER and IEC. Submission to EPD for formal approval is in process.

END OF TEXT

Appendix A
Location Plan and
Environmental Monitoring Locations
Under the Project



- Legends**
- △ Construction Noise Monitoring Location
 - ⊕ Air Quality Monitoring Location
 - ⊗ Water Quality Monitoring Location
 - △ Monitoring Location access is not allow (Air or Noise or Water) for measurement

Table

| Monitoring Parameter | Location ID | Address | Remarks |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Water | W1 | E824539 / N830283 | |
| Water | W2 | E824693 / N830258 | |
| Water | W3 | E824833 / N830374 | Replaced by W3(a) |
| Water | W4 | E824963 / N830618 | |
| Water | W5 | E825008 / N830812 | |
| Water | W6 | E825100 / N830987 | |
| Water | W3(a) | E824879 / N830409 | Recommended Location |
| Air | A1 | | Replaced by A1(a) |
| Air | A2 | No.1 Ma Dn Kong Village | |
| Air | A1(a) | No.66 Ho Pul Village | Recommended Location |
| Noise | N1 | | Replaced by N1(a) |
| Noise | N2 | | Replaced by N2(a) |
| Noise | N3 | No.1 Ma Dn Kong Village | |
| Noise | N1(a) | No.168 - 169 Kan Dn Road, Ma Dn Kong | Recommended Location |
| Noise | N2(a) | No.66 Ho Pul Village | Recommended Location |

Note:

Air monitoring location A1 has permanently been abandoned. No access has been granted from the sensitive receivers in vicinity A1 due to which are normally empty during the working hours. Considering other air monitoring locations have not covered one of the most important sensitive receivers Ho Pul Village. Therefore ET are recommended the house No.66 Ho Pul Village (A1(a)), which locate at fronting of the site, to replace A1

Noise monitoring location N1 is proposed to relocate to N1(a) as recommended by IEC due to the recommended monitoring point is mostly for monitor.

Noise monitoring location N2 has permanently been abandoned. No access has been granted from the sensitive receivers in vicinity N2 due to which are normally empty during the working hours. Considering other noise monitoring locations have not covered one of the most important sensitive receivers Ho Pul Village. Therefore ET are recommended the house No.66 Ho Pul Village (N2(a)), which locate at fronting of the site, to replace N2

Water monitoring location W3 are proposed to relocate about 55 meters down stream, namely W3(a), for safety reason. The recommendation are base on there no any discharge points are observed between W3 and the proposed location

Drawing:

Air, Noise and Stream Water Monitoring Location at KT-13

AUES

Contract No. IC/2007/17-
 Bridge Improvement Works in Cheung Po
 Ho San Yuen, Tuen King San Tsuen and San
 San Tsuen, of Tuen Long District and Sewerage
 at Tseung Tsuen Tsuen, Tuen Mun



Copyright by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited and
Corporation of BESAR

NOTES:
1. GRID LINES ARE HONG KONG METRIC GRID 1980.

- LEGEND:
- MA ON KONG AND HO PUT ECRETIES
 - PROPOSED COMPENSATORY TREE PLANTING
 - CONSERVATION AREA DENOTED ON OUTLINE ZONING PLAN
 - WORKS BOUNDARY OF CHANNEL XT13
 - ECOLOGY MONITORING AREAS

| Revision | Date | Description | | | Initial |
|----------|-------|-------------|---------|-------|---------|
| | | Designed | Checked | Drawn | |
| Initial | | MC | KIL | YLL | KIL |
| Date | 09/05 | 09/05 | 09/05 | 09/05 | 09/05 |

Approved

AGREEMENT NO. CE 67/98


Contract title
YUEN LONG, KAM TIN,
NGAU TAM MEI AND TIN SHUI WAI
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT, STAGE 1,
PHASE 2B - KAM TIN

Drawing title
ECOLOGY MONITORING AREAS
RECOMMENDED FOR
CONSTRUCTION PHASE AND
OPERATION PHASE

| Drawing no. | Scale |
|-------------|------------------------|
| Figure 6.1 | 1:2000 A1 1:4000 A3 |

香港特別行政區政府渠務署
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED
黑域工程顧問有限公司



© COPYRIGHT BY BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED and Government of HONG KONG

NOTES:

1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
2. GRID LINES ARE HONG KONG METRIC GRID 1960.
3. TYPE 2 RAILING WITH DEBRIS TRAP BAR AND BENCH MARK PILES SHALL BE PROVIDED AT BOTH SIDES OF THE CHANNEL BANKS.

LEGEND:

- SITE BOUNDARY
- PROPOSED CHANNEL
- PROPOSED SLOPE
- ▨ AREA TO BE FILLED TO ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL
- I.L. TWENTY LEVEL
- PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

| | | | | |
|---|-------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|
| C | 05/05 | AMENDMENT TO | BY-PASS CULVERT | K.I.L. |
| B | 10/05 | MINOR AMENDMENT TO | CHANNEL LAYOUT | K.I.L. |
| A | 05/05 | MINOR AMENDMENTS TO | SITE BOUNDARY | K.I.L. |

| REVISION | Date | Description | By | Checked | Drawn | Verified |
|----------|-------|-------------|-------|---------|-------|----------|
| Initial | TY | K.I.L. | AK | X.I.L. | | |
| Date | 04/04 | 04/04 | 04/04 | 04/04 | 04/04 | 04/04 |

AGREEMENT NO. CE 62/93


Contract Title:

YUEN LONG, KAM TIN, NGAU TAM MEI AND TIN SHUI WAI DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT, STAGE 1, PHASE 2B - KAM TIN

MA ON KONG CHANNEL KT13 PROPOSED LAYOUT PLAN (SHEET 2 OF 2)

FIGURE 1.3b


Scale: 1:2000 A1, 1:2000 A3



P&S Date: 16 APR 2006

Appendix B

Construction Program



| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish |
|-----|---|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 57 | Bay A11 (CH101.00 - CH113.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/7 | 2009/4/14 |
| 58 | Bay A12 (CH115.00 - CH125.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/15 | 2009/4/18 |
| 59 | Bay A13 (CH125.00 - CH132.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/23 |
| 60 | Bay A14 (CH132.00 - CH140.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/24 | 2009/4/28 |
| 61 | Bay A15 (CH140.00 - CH147.00) | 2 days | 2009/4/29 | 2009/4/30 |
| 62 | Bay A10 (CH89.00 - CH101.00) | 18 days | 2009/4/7 | 2009/4/25 |
| 63 | Bay A11 (CH101.00 - CH113.00) | 5 days | 2009/4/7 | 2009/4/15 |
| 64 | Bay A12 (CH113.00 - CH125.00) | 9 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/25 |
| 65 | Bay A13 (CH125.00 - CH132.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/27 | 2009/4/30 |
| 66 | Bay A14 (CH132.00 - CH140.00) | 14 days | 2009/4/15 | 2009/4/30 |
| 67 | Bay A15 (CH140.00 - CH147.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/15 | 2009/4/18 |
| 68 | Bay A2 (CH12.00 - CH24.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/23 |
| 69 | Bay A3 (CH24.00 - CH36.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/24 | 2009/4/28 |
| 70 | Bay A4 (CH36.00 - CH48.00) | 2 days | 2009/4/29 | 2009/4/30 |
| 71 | Bay A7 (CH57.00 - CH65.00) | 19 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/25 |
| 72 | Bay A8 (CH65.00 - CH77.00) | 7 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/16 |
| 73 | Bay A9 (CH77.00 - CH89.00) | 7 days | 2009/4/17 | 2009/4/24 |
| 74 | | 5 days | 2009/4/25 | 2009/4/30 |
| 75 | | | | |
| 76 | Section IV (Channel K14B & 14C and Portion 8A & 8B) | | | |
| 77 | Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 78 | Regular Tree Survey & Protection | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 79 | Regular Structural Condition Survey | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 80 | Portion 8B (CP - 1 to CP9) - Kam Sheung Road (1050 Dia. Pipe) | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 81 | Manhole MH6 - Manhole MH5 | 7 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/9 |
| 82 | Manhole MH5 - Manhole MH4 | 15 days | 2009/4/14 | 2009/4/30 |
| 83 | Channel 14B | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 84 | | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 85 | Construction of rectangular channel Type RC1 (CH0.00 - CH339.00) | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 86 | Excavation to channel formation & Laying rock fill material (CH0.00 - CH335.00) | 7 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/9 |
| 87 | Bay 29 (CH297.00 - CH307.00) | 7 days | 2009/4/14 | 2009/4/21 |
| 88 | Bay 30 (CH307.00 - CH311.00) & Pedestrian Crossing PC14B-1 | 1 day | 2009/4/22 | 2009/4/29 |
| 89 | Bay 31 (CH311.00 - CH323.00) | 1 day | 2009/4/22 | 2009/4/29 |
| 90 | Bay 32 (CH323.00 - CH335.00) | 15 days | 2009/4/14 | 2009/4/30 |
| 91 | Construction of channel structure (CH0.00 - CH335.00) | 7 days | 2009/4/14 | 2009/4/21 |
| 92 | Bay 29 (CH297.00 - CH307.00) | 7 days | 2009/4/22 | 2009/4/29 |
| 93 | Bay 30 (CH307.00 - CH311.00) & Pedestrian Crossing PC14B-1 | 1 day | 2009/4/22 | 2009/4/29 |
| 94 | Bay 31 (CH311.00 - CH323.00) | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 95 | Installation of Type 2 railing on top of channel walls | 3 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/3 |
| 96 | Bay 21 (CH216.00 - CH220.00) & Pedestrian Crossing PC14B-2 | 3 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/8 |
| 97 | Bay 22 (CH220.00 - CH225.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/9 | 2009/4/15 |
| 98 | Bay 23 (CH225.00 - CH237.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/18 |
| 99 | Bay 24 (CH237.00 - CH249.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/22 |
| 100 | Bay 25 (CH249.00 - CH260.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/23 | 2009/4/25 |
| 101 | Bay 26 (CH260.00 - CH272.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/27 | 2009/4/29 |
| 102 | Bay 27 (CH272.00 - CH285.00) | 1 day | 2009/4/30 | 2009/4/30 |
| 103 | Laying of gabion block inside the channel structure | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 104 | Bay 22 (CH220.00 - CH225.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/3 |
| 105 | Bay 23 (CH225.00 - CH237.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/8 |
| 106 | Bay 24 (CH237.00 - CH249.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/9 | 2009/4/15 |
| 107 | Bay 25 (CH249.00 - CH260.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/18 |
| 108 | Bay 26 (CH260.00 - CH272.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/22 |
| 109 | Bay 27 (CH272.00 - CH285.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/23 | 2009/4/25 |
| 110 | Bay 28 (CH285.00 - CH297.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/27 | 2009/4/30 |
| 111 | Construction of catchpit / manhole / drain pipe along the sides of the channel | 19 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/30 |
| 112 | Bay 1 (CH00.00 - CH05.00) | 6 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/15 |

Task Split

Progress Milestone

Summary Project Summary

External Task Split

External Mile Task

Page 2 of 3

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish |
|-----|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 113 | Bay 2 (CH05.00 - CH08.00) & Pedestrian Crossing PC1 (08.1) | 6 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/22 |
| 114 | Bay 3 (CH08.00 - CH13.00) | 6 days | 2009/4/23 | 2009/4/29 |
| 115 | Bay 4 (CH13.00 - CH25.00) | 1 day | 2009/4/30 | 2009/4/30 |
| 116 | Channel K114C | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 117 | Rectangular channel 2.5m(W) x 2.0m(H) Type RC-1 (CH10.00 - CH175.00) | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 118 | Excavation to channel formation (CH180.00 - CH1475.00) & Laying of rock fill material - East Portion | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 119 | Bay 23E (CH234.00 - CH222.00) | 5 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/7 |
| 120 | Bay 24E (CH222.00 - CH210.00) | 5 days | 2009/4/8 | 2009/4/16 |
| 121 | Bay 25E (CH210.00 - CH200.00) | 5 days | 2009/4/17 | 2009/4/22 |
| 122 | Bay 26E (CH200.00 - CH188.00) | 5 days | 2009/4/23 | 2009/4/28 |
| 123 | Bay 27E (CH188.00 - CH184.00) | 2 days | 2009/4/29 | 2009/4/30 |
| 124 | Construction of channel structure (CH180.00 - CH475.00) - East Portion | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 125 | Bay 20E (CH268.00 - CH256.00) | 6 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/8 |
| 126 | Bay 23E (CH234.00 - CH222.00) | 6 days | 2009/4/9 | 2009/4/18 |
| 127 | Bay 24E (CH222.00 - CH210.00) | 6 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/25 |
| 128 | Bay 25E (CH210.00 - CH200.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/27 | 2009/4/30 |
| 129 | Bay 26E (CH200.00 - CH188.00) | 16 days | 2009/4/9 | 2009/4/30 |
| 130 | Bay 20E (CH268.00 - CH256.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/9 | 2009/4/15 |
| 131 | Bay 21E (CH256.00 - CH246.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/18 |
| 132 | Bay 22E (CH246.00 - CH234.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/22 |
| 133 | Bay 23E (CH234.00 - CH222.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/23 | 2009/4/25 |
| 134 | Bay 24E (CH222.00 - CH210.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/27 | 2009/4/30 |
| 135 | Laying gabion blocks | 14 days | 2009/4/15 | 2009/4/30 |
| 136 | Bay 8E (CH404.00 - CH396.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/15 | 2009/4/17 |
| 137 | Bay 9E (CH396.00 - CH394.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/18 | 2009/4/21 |
| 138 | Bay 10E (CH384.00 - CH371.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/22 | 2009/4/24 |
| 139 | Bay 11E (CH371.00 - CH359.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/25 | 2009/4/28 |
| 140 | Bay 12E (CH359.00 - CH347.00) | 2 days | 2009/4/29 | 2009/4/30 |
| 141 | Construction of catchpit / manhole / drain pipe | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 142 | Bay 1W (CH0.00 - CH06.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/3 |
| 143 | Bay 2W (CH06.00 - CH12.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/8 |
| 144 | Bay 3W (CH12.00 - CH21.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/9 | 2009/4/15 |
| 145 | Bay 4W (CH21.00 - CH32.00) - 2.5m(W) x 2.0m(H) Box Culvert (Type BC2) | 3 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/18 |
| 146 | Bay 5W (CH32.00 - CH44.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/20 | 2009/4/22 |
| 147 | Bay 6W (CH44.00 - CH56.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/23 | 2009/4/25 |
| 148 | Bay 7W (CH56.00 - CH68.00) | 3 days | 2009/4/27 | 2009/4/29 |
| 149 | Bay 8W (CH68.00 - CH80.00) | 1 day | 2009/4/30 | 2009/4/30 |
| 150 | Installation of Type 2 railing on top of channel walls | 17 days | 2009/4/8 | 2009/4/30 |
| 151 | Bay 8E (CH404.00 - CH396.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/6 | 2009/4/15 |
| 152 | Bay 9E (CH396.00 - CH394.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/16 | 2009/4/20 |
| 153 | Bay 10E (CH384.00 - CH371.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/21 | 2009/4/24 |
| 154 | Bay 15E-2 (CH318.00 - CH311.00) | 4 days | 2009/4/25 | 2009/4/29 |
| 155 | Bay 16E (CH311.00 - CH299.00) - 2.5m(W) x 2.0m(H) Box Culvert (Type BC2) | 1 day | 2009/4/30 | 2009/4/30 |
| 156 | Section V | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 157 | Preservation and protection of trees for Section I, II, III and IV | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 158 | Section VI - Portion 9A & 9B (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work) | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 159 | Structural Survey and Monitoring | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 160 | Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 161 | Apply XP Approval for Construction | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 162 | Section VII - Portion 10A, 10B & 10C (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work) | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 163 | Structural Survey and Monitoring | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 164 | Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |
| 165 | Apply XP Approval for Construction | 22 days | 2009/4/1 | 2009/4/30 |

Task Split

Progress Milestone

Summary Project Summary

External Tasks Split

External Milestones

External Milestones Page 3 of 3

Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2009 to July 2009

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | May 2009 | | | | Jun 2009 | | | | Jul 2009 | | | | | | | |
|----|--|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----|------|------|----------|------|-----|------|----------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| | | | | | 26/4 | 3/5 | 10/5 | 17/5 | 24/5 | 31/5 | 7/6 | 14/6 | 21/6 | 28/6 | 5/7 | 12/7 | 19/7 | 26/7 | 2/8 | |
| 1 | Section I (Channel KT12 - Cheung Po Tsuen) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Section II (Channel KT13) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Regular Tree Survey & Protection | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Regular Structural Condition Survey | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Section A | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Excavation to channel formation & laying of rock fill material (A CH0.00 - A CH402.00) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Bay A5 (A CH34.00 - A CH41.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/5/6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Bay A6 (A CH41.00 - A CH44.00) & Pedestrian Crossing | 5 days | 2009/5/7 | 2009/5/12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Bay A7 (A CH44.00 - A CH51.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/5/13 | 2009/5/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Bay A8 (A CH51.00 - A CH59.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/5/19 | 2009/5/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Bay A9 (A CH59.00 - A CH71.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/5/25 | 2009/5/30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Bay A10 (A CH71.00 - A CH83.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/6/1 | 2009/6/5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Bay A11 (A CH83.00 - A CH95.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/6/6 | 2009/6/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Bay A12 (A CH95.00 - A CH107.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/6/12 | 2009/6/17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Bay A13 (A CH107.00 - A CH120.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/6/18 | 2009/6/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Bay A14 (A CH120.00 - A CH132.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/6/24 | 2009/6/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Bay A15 (A CH132.00 - A CH144.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/6/30 | 2009/7/6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Bay A16 (A CH144.00 - A CH156.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/7 | 2009/7/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Bay A17 (A CH156.00 - A CH168.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/13 | 2009/7/17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Bay A18 (A CH168.00 - A CH180.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/18 | 2009/7/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Bay A19 (A CH180.00 - A CH191.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/24 | 2009/7/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Bay A20 (A CH191.00 - A CH201.00) - TG2 | 2 days | 2009/7/30 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Construction of channel structure (RC2, Transition, and TG2) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Bay A3 (A CH18.00 - A CH26.00) - RC2 | 7 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/5/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Bay A4 (A CH26.00 - A CH34.00) - Transition | 7 days | 2009/5/12 | 2009/5/19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Bay A5 (A CH34.00 - A CH41.00) - Transition | 7 days | 2009/5/20 | 2009/5/27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Bay A6 (A CH41.00 - A CH44.00) & Pedestrian Crossing | 7 days | 2009/5/29 | 2009/6/5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Bay A7 (A CH44.00 - A CH51.00) - Transition | 7 days | 2009/6/6 | 2009/6/13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | Bay A8 (A CH51.00 - A CH59.00) - Transition | 7 days | 2009/6/15 | 2009/6/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Bay A9 (A CH59.00 - A CH71.00) - TG2 | 7 days | 2009/6/23 | 2009/6/30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 37 | Bay A10 (A CH71.00 - A CH83.00) - TG2 | 7 days | 2009/7/2 | 2009/7/9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | Bay A11 (A CH83.00 - A CH95.00) - TG2 | 7 days | 2009/7/10 | 2009/7/17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Bay A12 (A CH95.00 - A CH107.00) - TG2 | 7 days | 2009/7/18 | 2009/7/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | Bay A13 (A CH107.00 - A CH120.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/27 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Progress Summary External Tasks Split
 Split Milestone Project Summary External MileTask

Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2009 to July 2009

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | May 2009 | | | | Jun 2009 | | | | Jul 2009 | | | | | | | |
|----|--|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----|------|------|----------|------|-----|------|----------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| | | | | | 26/4 | 3/5 | 10/5 | 17/5 | 24/5 | 31/5 | 7/6 | 14/6 | 21/6 | 28/6 | 5/7 | 12/7 | 19/7 | 26/7 | 2/8 | |
| 41 | Backfilling along the channel sides / laying underground drain pipe | 54 days | 2009/5/29 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 | Bay A1 (A CH00.00 - A CH09.00) - RC2 | 5 days | 2009/5/29 | 2009/6/3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | Bay A2 (A CH09.00 - A CH18.00) - RC2 | 5 days | 2009/6/4 | 2009/6/9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Bay A3 (A CH18.00 - A CH26.00) - RC2 | 5 days | 2009/6/10 | 2009/6/15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | Bay A4 (A CH26.00 - A CH34.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/16 | 2009/6/20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | Bay A5 (A CH34.00 - A CH41.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/22 | 2009/6/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | Bay A6 (A CH41.00 - A CH44.00) & Pedestrian Crossing | 5 days | 2009/6/27 | 2009/7/3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | Bay A7 (A CH44.00 - A CH51.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/4 | 2009/7/9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | Bay A8 (A CH51.00 - A CH59.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/10 | 2009/7/15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | Bay A9 (A CH59.00 - A CH71.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/16 | 2009/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Bay A10 (A CH71.00 - A CH83.00) - TG2 | 5 days | 2009/7/22 | 2009/7/27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | Bay A11 (A CH83.00 - A CH95.00) - TG2 | 4 days | 2009/7/28 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | Installation of Type 2 railing | 29 days | 2009/6/27 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54 | Bay A1 (A CH00.00 - A CH09.00) - RC2 | 5 days | 2009/6/27 | 2009/7/3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 | Bay A2 (A CH09.00 - A CH18.00) - RC2 | 5 days | 2009/7/4 | 2009/7/9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56 | Bay A3 (A CH18.00 - A CH26.00) - RC2 | 5 days | 2009/7/10 | 2009/7/15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 57 | Bay A4 (A CH26.00 - A CH34.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/16 | 2009/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | Bay A5 (A CH34.00 - A CH41.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/22 | 2009/7/27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | Bay A6 (A CH41.00 - A CH44.00) & Pedestrian Crossing | 4 days | 2009/7/28 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | Section of Box Culvert BC13-1 | 52 days | 2009/6/1 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | Construct box culvert BC13-1 (BC CH0.00 - BC CH386.00) | 52 days | 2009/6/1 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | Excavation for box culvert formation & laying of rock fill material (BC CH0.00 - BC CH386.00) | 52 days | 2009/6/1 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | Bay BC19 (BC CH203.00 - BC CH215.00) | 5 days | 2009/6/1 | 2009/6/5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | Bay BC20 (BC CH215.00 - BC CH230.00) | 5 days | 2009/6/6 | 2009/6/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Bay BC21 (BC CH230.00 - BC CH245.00) | 5 days | 2009/6/12 | 2009/6/17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | Bay BC22 (BC CH245.00 - BC CH260.00) | 5 days | 2009/6/18 | 2009/6/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | Bay BC23 (BC CH260.00 - BC CH275.00) | 5 days | 2009/6/24 | 2009/6/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | Bay BC24 (BC CH275.00 - BC CH289.00) | 5 days | 2009/6/30 | 2009/7/6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Bay BC25 (BC CH289.00 - BC CH303.00) | 5 days | 2009/7/7 | 2009/7/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | Bay BC26 (BC CH303.00 - BC CH318.00) | 5 days | 2009/7/13 | 2009/7/17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | Bay BC27 (BC CH318.00 - BC CH333.00) | 5 days | 2009/7/18 | 2009/7/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | Bay BC28 (BC CH333.00 - BC CH347.00) | 5 days | 2009/7/24 | 2009/7/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | Bay BC29 (BC CH347.00 - BC CH362.00) | 2 days | 2009/7/30 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74 | Construction of box culvert Type BC1 - 3.7m(W) x 2.2m(H) (BC CH203.00 - BC CH386.00) | 32 days | 2009/6/24 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | Bay BC19 (BC CH203.00 - BC CH215.00) | 6 days | 2009/6/24 | 2009/6/30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Progress Summary External Tasks Split
 Split Milestone Project Summary External MileTask

Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2009 to July 2009

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | May 2009 | | | | Jun 2009 | | | Jul 2009 | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|-----|------|------|----------|------|-----|----------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| | | | | | 26/4 | 3/5 | 10/5 | 17/5 | 24/5 | 31/5 | 7/6 | 14/6 | 21/6 | 28/6 | 5/7 | 12/7 | 19/7 | 26/7 | 2/8 | |
| 76 | Bay BC20 (BC CH215.00 - BC CH230.00) | 12 days | 2009/7/2 | 2009/7/15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Bay BC21 (BC CH230.00 - BC CH245.00) | 12 days | 2009/7/16 | 2009/7/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | Bay BC22 (BC CH245.00 - BC CH260.00) | 2 days | 2009/7/30 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 79 | Section B | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80 | Excavation for channel formation & laying of rock fill material (B CH0.00 - B CH316.00) | 42 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/6/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 81 | Bay B9 (B CH80.00 - B CH94.00) - TG3 | 2 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/5/5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | Bay B8 (B CH68.00 - B CH80.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/6 | 2009/5/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | Bay B7 (B CH57.00 - B CH68.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/12 | 2009/5/16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 84 | Bay B6 (B CH46.00 - B CH57.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/18 | 2009/5/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | Bay B5 (B CH34.00 - B CH46.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/23 | 2009/5/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 86 | Bay B4 (B CH24.00 - B CH34.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/30 | 2009/6/4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | Bay B3 (B CH14.00 - B CH24.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/6/5 | 2009/6/10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | Bay B2 (B CH07.00 - B CH14.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/11 | 2009/6/16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 89 | Bay B1 (B CH00.00 - B CH07.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/17 | 2009/6/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | Construction of channel structure (Transition, TG3, TG4, TG5, and TG8) | 65 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | Bay B13 (B CH129.00 - B CH137.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/5/8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | Bay B12 (B CH119.00 - B CH129.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/9 | 2009/5/14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 93 | Bay B11 (B CH107.00 - B CH119.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/15 | 2009/5/20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | Bay B10 (B CH94.00 - B CH107.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/21 | 2009/5/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | Bay B9 (B CH80.00 - B CH94.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/5/27 | 2009/6/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | Bay B8 (B CH68.00 - B CH80.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/6/3 | 2009/6/8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 | Bay B7 (B CH57.00 - B CH68.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/6/9 | 2009/6/13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | Bay B6 (B CH46.00 - B CH57.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/6/15 | 2009/6/19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | Bay B5 (B CH34.00 - B CH46.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/6/20 | 2009/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Bay B4 (B CH24.00 - B CH34.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/6/26 | 2009/7/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | Bay B3 (B CH14.00 - B CH24.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/7/3 | 2009/7/8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Bay B2 (B CH07.00 - B CH14.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/9 | 2009/7/14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | Bay B1 (B CH00.00 - B CH07.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/15 | 2009/7/20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | Backfilling along the sides of channel & laying of underground drain | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | Bay B23 (B CH224.00 - B CH236.00) - TG5 | 5 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/5/8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 106 | Bay B22 (B CH212.00 - B CH224.00) - TG5 | 5 days | 2009/5/9 | 2009/5/14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | Bay B21 (B CH200.00 - B CH212.00) - TG8 | 5 days | 2009/5/15 | 2009/5/20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | Bay B20 (B CH188.00 - B CH200.00) - TG8 | 5 days | 2009/5/21 | 2009/5/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | Bay B19 (B CH174.00 - B CH188.00) - TG8 | 5 days | 2009/5/27 | 2009/6/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | Bay B18 (B CH162.00 - B CH174.00) - TG8 | 5 days | 2009/6/3 | 2009/6/8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task  Progress  Summary  External Tasks  Split 
 Split  Milestone  Project Summary  External MileTask 

Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2009 to July 2009


| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | May 2009 | | | | | Jun 2009 | | | | Jul 2009 | | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|-----|------|------|------|----------|-----|------|------|----------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| | | | | | 26/4 | 3/5 | 10/5 | 17/5 | 24/5 | 31/5 | 7/6 | 14/6 | 21/6 | 28/6 | 5/7 | 12/7 | 19/7 | 26/7 | 2/8 | |
| 111 | Bay B17 (B CH154.00 - B CH162.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/9 | 2009/6/13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | Bay B16 (B CH147.00 - B CH154.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/15 | 2009/6/19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 113 | Bay B29 (B CH294.00 - B CH302.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/20 | 2009/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 114 | Bay B30 (B CH302.00 - B CH312.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/6/26 | 2009/7/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | Bay B15 (B CH144.00 - B CH147.00) - Transition & Pedestrian Crossing | 5 days | 2009/7/3 | 2009/7/8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | Bay B14 (B CH137.00 - B CH144.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/9 | 2009/7/14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | Bay B13 (B CH129.00 - B CH137.00) - Transition | 5 days | 2009/7/15 | 2009/7/20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 118 | Bay B12 (B CH119.00 - B CH129.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/7/21 | 2009/7/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | Bay B11 (B CH107.00 - B CH119.00) - TG3 | 5 days | 2009/7/27 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | Installation of Type 2 railing on top of channel wall | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | Bay B25 (B CH248.00 - B CH260.00) - TG5 | 2 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/5/5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | Bay B24 (B CH236.00 - B CH248.00) - TG5 | 3 days | 2009/6/9 | 2009/6/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | Bay B23 (B CH224.00 - B CH236.00) - TG5 | 3 days | 2009/6/12 | 2009/6/15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | Bay B22 (B CH212.00 - B CH224.00) - TG5 | 3 days | 2009/6/16 | 2009/6/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | Bay B21 (B CH200.00 - B CH212.00) - TG8 | 3 days | 2009/6/19 | 2009/6/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | Bay B20 (B CH188.00 - B CH200.00) - TG8 | 3 days | 2009/6/23 | 2009/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | Bay B19 (B CH174.00 - B CH188.00) - TG8 | 3 days | 2009/6/26 | 2009/6/29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | Bay B18 (B CH162.00 - B CH174.00) - TG8 | 3 days | 2009/6/30 | 2009/7/3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | Bay B17 (B CH154.00 - B CH162.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/7/4 | 2009/7/7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | Bay B16 (B CH147.00 - B CH154.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/7/8 | 2009/7/10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 131 | Bay B29 (B CH294.00 - B CH302.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/7/11 | 2009/7/14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 132 | Bay B30 (B CH302.00 - B CH312.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/7/15 | 2009/7/17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 133 | Bay B15 (B CH144.00 - B CH147.00) - Transition & Pedestrian Crossing | 3 days | 2009/7/18 | 2009/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | Bay B14 (B CH137.00 - B CH144.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/7/22 | 2009/7/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | Bay B13 (B CH129.00 - B CH137.00) - Transition | 3 days | 2009/7/25 | 2009/7/28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 136 | Bay B12 (B CH119.00 - B CH129.00) - TG3 | 3 days | 2009/7/29 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | Section III (Channel KT14A - Tin Sam Tsuen) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 184 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | Section IV (Channel KT14B & 14C and Portion 8A & 8B) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | Section V | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 302 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 303 | Section VI - Portion 9A & 9B (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 307 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 308 | Section VII - Portion 10A, 10B & 10C (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work) | 75 days | 2009/5/4 | 2009/7/31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Progress Summary External Tasks Split
 Split Milestone Project Summary External MileTask

Appendix C

Environmental Management Organization and

Contacts of Key Personnel



Environmental Management Organization

Contact Details of Key Personnel

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| DSD | Employer | Mr. Tony Cheng | 2594-7264 | 2827-8526 |
| B&V | Engineer's Representative | Mr. Clive Cheng | 2478-9161 | 2478-9369 |
| B&V | Resident Engineer | Mr. Albert Yeu | 2478-9161 | 2478-9369 |
| B&V | Resident Engineer | Mr. Jenny Lui | 2478-9161 | 2478-9369 |
| OAP | Independent Environmental Checker | Mr. Coleman Ng | 2268-3097 | 2268-3950 |
| CRBC | Project Director | Mr. Wang Yanhua | 2283-1688 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Project Manager | Mr. Raymond Mau | 9048-3669 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Site Agent | Mr. Raymond Suen | 9779-8871 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Senior Engineer (Tuen Mun Site) | Mr. Teddy Tong | 6283-9684 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Site Engineer (Tuen Mun Site) | Mr. L.C. Ling | 6770-4010 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Environmental Officer | Mr. Dennis Ho | 6474-6975 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Environmental / Construction Supervisor (Tuen Mun and Yuen Long site) | Mr. W.K. Hau | 6283-9696 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Environmental / Construction Supervisor (Yuen Long site) | Mr. S.Y. Ma | 9401-6296 | 2283-1689 |
| CRBC | Safety Officer | Kenny Sze | 9374-8954 | 2283-1689 |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | Mr. Andrew Lau | 2959-6059 | 2959-6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Miss Nicola Hon | 2959-6059 | 2959-6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Site Inspector | Mr. Ben Tam | 2959-6059 | 2959-6079 |
| AUES | Ecologist | Mr. Vincent Lai | 2959-6059 | 2959-6079 |

Legend:

DSD(Employer) – Drainage Services Department

B&V (Engineer) – Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

CRBC (Main Contractor) – China Road and Bridge Corporation

OAP(IEC) – Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Appendix D

(a) Monitoring Schedules

(b) Meteorological Data

Monitoring Schedule for KT 13 for reporting period

| Date | | Air Quality | | NOISE LEQ 30MIN | WATER QUALITY | ECOLOGY SURVEYS |
|-----------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | | | |
| 26-Feb-09 | Thu | | | | | |
| 27-Feb-09 | Fri | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 28-Feb-09 | Sat | | A1(a), A2 | | | |
| 1-Mar-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 2-Mar-09 | Mon | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 3-Mar-09 | Tue | | | | | |
| 4-Mar-09 | Wed | | | | | |
| 5-Mar-09 | Thu | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 6-Mar-09 | Fri | | A1(a), A2 | | | |
| 7-Mar-09 | Sat | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 8-Mar-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 9-Mar-09 | Mon | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 10-Mar-09 | Tue | | | | | |
| 11-Mar-09 | Wed | | | | | |
| 12-Mar-09 | Thu | | A1(a), A2 | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 13-Mar-09 | Fri | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | | |
| 14-Mar-09 | Sat | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 15-Mar-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 16-Mar-09 | Mon | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 17-Mar-09 | Tue | | | | | |
| 18-Mar-09 | Wed | | A1(a), A2 | | | |
| 19-Mar-09 | Thu | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 20-Mar-09 | Fri | | | | | |
| 21-Mar-09 | Sat | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 22-Mar-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 23-Mar-09 | Mon | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 24-Mar-09 | Tue | | A1(a), A2 | | | |
| 25-Mar-09 | Wed | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | | |
| 26-Mar-09 | Thu | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 27-Mar-09 | Fri | | | | | |
| 28-Mar-09 | Sat | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 29-Mar-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 30-Mar-09 | Mon | | A1(a), A2 | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 31-Mar-09 | Tue | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| | Monitoring Day |
| | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Monitoring Schedule of KT 13 for forthcoming month (April 2009)

| Date | | Air Quality | | NOISE LEQ 30MIN | WATER QUALITY | ECOLOGY SURVEYS |
|-----------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | | | |
| 1-Apr-09 | Wed | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 2-Apr-09 | Thu | | | | | |
| 3-Apr-09 | Fri | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 4-Apr-09 | Sat | | | | | |
| 5-Apr-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 6-Apr-09 | Mon | | A1(a), A2 | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 7-Apr-09 | Tue | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | | |
| 8-Apr-09 | Wed | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 9-Apr-09 | Thu | | | | | |
| 10-Apr-09 | Fri | | | | | |
| 11-Apr-09 | Sat | | | | | |
| 12-Apr-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 13-Apr-09 | Mon | | | | | |
| 14-Apr-09 | Tue | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 15-Apr-09 | Wed | | A1(a), A2 | | | |
| 16-Apr-09 | Thu | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 17-Apr-09 | Fri | | | | | |
| 18-Apr-09 | Sat | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 19-Apr-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 20-Apr-09 | Mon | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 21-Apr-09 | Tue | | A1(a), A2 | | | |
| 22-Apr-09 | Wed | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 23-Apr-09 | Thu | | | | | |
| 24-Apr-09 | Fri | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 25-Apr-09 | Sat | | | | | |
| 26-Apr-09 | Sun | | | | | |
| 27-Apr-09 | Mon | | A1(a), A2 | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 28-Apr-09 | Tue | A1(a), A2 | | N1(a), N2(a) & N3 | | |
| 29-Apr-09 | Wed | | | | W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6 | |
| 30-Apr-09 | Thu | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| | Monitoring Day |
| | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Meteorological Data Extracted from HKO during the Reporting Period

| Date | | Weather | Lau Fau Shan Weather Station | | | | |
|-----------|-----|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Total Rainfall (mm) | Mean Air Temperature (°C) | Wind Speed (km/h) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Wind Direction |
| 26-Feb-09 | Thu | cloudy/foggy/drizzle/moderate/fresh | 0.3 | 24.8 | 11.7 | 73.5 | E/SE |
| 27-Feb-09 | Fri | cloudy/mist/moderate | Trace | 24.1 | 15.5 | 72 | E |
| 28-Feb-09 | Sat | cloudy/rain/moderate/fresh | Trace | 22.6 | 12.7 | 73.7 | E/NE |
| 1-Mar-09 | Sun | cloudy/rain/moderate/fresh | 0.8 | 18.6 | 8.7 | 74.5 | E/NE |
| 2-Mar-09 | Mon | cloudy/rain/moderate/fresh | Trace | 18.1 | 10 | 80.5 | E/NE |
| 3-Mar-09 | Tue | cloudy/sunny intervals/moderate | Trace | 18.6 | 9.2 | 67 | E/NE |
| 4-Mar-09 | Wed | cloudy/rain/mist/moderate/fresh | 0.4 | 19.7 | 9.5 | 72.5 | E/NE |
| 5-Mar-09 | Thu | foggy/rain/moderate/fresh | 28.5 | 23.3 | 21.5 | 78 | E/NE |
| 6-Mar-09 | Fri | cloudy/rain/squally | 11.6 | 15.4 | 27 | 84.5 | E/NE |
| 7-Mar-09 | Sat | cool/rain/moderate/fresh | 0.2 | 12.9 | 17 | 85.7 | N/NE |
| 8-Mar-09 | Sun | cloudy/moderate/sunny intervals | 0.1 | 13.7 | 8.5 | 90 | E/NE |
| 9-Mar-09 | Mon | sunny | 0.4 | 16.1 | 10.2 | 77.7 | N/NE |
| 10-Mar-09 | Tue | cloudy/fresh/strong | 0 | 19.2 | 10.5 | 67.7 | E/SE |
| 11-Mar-09 | Wed | cloudy/sunny intervals/fresh/strong | Trace | 22.4 | 11.5 | 69.5 | E |
| 12-Mar-09 | Thu | cloudy/sunny | Trace | 23.2 | 19.5 | 71 | E/SE |
| 13-Mar-09 | Fri | cloudy/rain/fog/light winds | Trace | 19.1 | 19 | 75.5 | E/NE |
| 14-Mar-09 | Sat | ffine/dry/moderate/fresh | Trace | 16.4 | 34 | 58.5 | N/NE |
| 15-Mar-09 | Sun | fine/moderate | 0 | 17.4 | 9 | 52 | S/SE |
| 16-Mar-09 | Mon | fine/moderate | 0 | 19.4 | 7.7 | 72 | E/NE |
| 17-Mar-09 | Tue | fine/moderate | 0 | 22.3 | 12 | 74.5 | W/SW |
| 18-Mar-09 | Wed | fine/warm/cloudy/light winds | 0 | 23 | 11.5 | 66.5 | S/SE |
| 19-Mar-09 | Thu | mist/sunny periods/cloudy/light winds | 0 | 22 | 14.5 | 80 | S/SE |
| 20-Mar-09 | Fri | fog/sunny periods/cloudy/light winds | 0 | 24.1 | 8.5 | 84.5 | W/SW |
| 21-Mar-09 | Sat | cloudy/fog/rain/moderate/fresh | 0.1 | 25.1 | 12.2 | 78.7 | S/SE |
| 22-Mar-09 | Sun | fog/light winds/rain | Trace | 26.4 | 15.2 | 78 | SW |
| 23-Mar-09 | Mon | foggy/rain/moderate | Trace | 26.7 | 9.7 | 80.7 | S/SE |
| 24-Mar-09 | Tue | cloudy/rain/moderate/fresh | 27.1 | 20.8 | 18 | 76.5 | E/NE |
| 25-Mar-09 | Wed | cloudy/rain/squally | 27.9 | 18.1 | 13 | 83.2 | E/NE |

Appendix E

Calibration Certificates and

HOKLAS-Accreditation Certificate

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS




Batch: HK0901066
Date of Issue: 19/01/2009
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
Client Reference:

Calibration of Thermometer

Item : YSI Multimeter
Model No. : YSI 550A
Serial No. : 05F2063AZ
Equipment No.: - -
Calibration Method : In-house Method
Date of Calibration : 19 January, 2009

Testing Results :

| Reference Temperature (°C) | Recorded Temperature (°C) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 20.3 °C | 20.5 °C |
| 29.1 °C | 29.5 °C |
| Allowing Deviation | ±2.0 °C |


Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS



Batch: HK0901066
Date of Issue: 19/01/2009
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
Client Reference:

Calibration of DO System


Item : YSI Multimeter
Model No. : YSI 550A
Serial No. : 05F2063AZ
Equipment No. : --

Calibration Method : This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (18th Ed.) 4500-0C & G

Date of Calibration : 19 January, 2009

Testing Results :

| Expected Reading | Recording Reading |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 3.80 mg/L | 3.90 mg/L |
| 5.81 mg/L | 5.90 mg/L |
| 9.12 mg/L | 9.08 mg/L |
| Allowing Deviation | ±0.2 mg/L |


Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS




Batch: HK0901067
Date of Issue: 19/01/2009
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
Client Reference:

Calibration of Salinity System

Item : HAND REFRACTOMETER
Model No. : ATAGO
Serial No. : 289468
Equipment No. : EQ114
Calibration Method : This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (19th Ed.) 2520 A and B
Date of Calibration : 19 January, 2009

Testing Results :

| Expected Reading | Recording Reading |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 0 g/L | 0 g/L |
| 10 g/L | 9 g/L |
| 20 g/L | 18 g/L |
| 30 g/L | 27 g/L |
| 40 g/L | 37 g/L |
| Allowing Deviation | ±10% |


Ms Wong Wa Man, Alice
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS




Batch: HK0822563
Date of Issue: 08/12/2008
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
Client Reference:

Calibration of Turbidiv System

Item : HACH Turbidimeter
Model No. : HACH 2100P
Serial No. : 950900008735
Equipment No. : EQ091
Calibration Method : This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (19th Ed.) 2130B
Date of Calibration : 01 December, 2008

Testing Results:

| Expected Reading | Recording Reading |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 0.00 NTU | 0.14 NTU |
| 1.00 NTU | 1.03 NTU |
| 2.00 NTU | 2.13 NTU |
| 4.00 NTU | 4.28 NTU |
| 16.0 NTU | 15.7 NTU |
| 40.0 NTU | 41.3 NTU |
| 80.0 NTU | 82.7 NTU |
| 160 NTU | 174 NTU |
| 400 NTU | 413 NTU |
| Allowing Deviation | ±10% |


Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS



Batch: HK0822565
Date of Issue: 08/12/2008
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES
Client Reference:

Calibration of pH System

Item : HANNA pH Meter

Model No. : HI98128

Serial No. : S229924


Equipment No. : --


Calibration Method : This meter was calibrated in accordance with standard method APHA (19th Ed.) 4500-H⁺B

Date of Calibration : 08 December, 2008

Testing Results :

| Expected Reading | Recording Reading |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 4.00 | 3.97 |
| 7.00 | 6.93 |
| 10.0 | 9.84 |
| Allowing Deviation | ± 0.2 |


Ms Wong Wai Man, Alice
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C082016

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)

Manufacturer : Bruel & Kjaer

Model No. : 2238

Serial No. : 2285762

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C082016.*

The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

*Address : Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.*

Date of Issue : 22 April 2008

Certified by :

K C Lee

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited


c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C082016

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)
MANUFACTURER : Bruel & Kjaer
MODEL NO. : 2238
SERIAL NO. : 2285762

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ RELATIVE HUMIDITY : $(55 \pm 20)\%$
LINE VOLTAGE : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check


DATE OF TEST : 21 April 2008

JOB NO. : IC08-0992

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
All results are within manufacturer's specification.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :
- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Tested by : 
H C Chan


Date : 22 April 2008

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 4



Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using the B&K acoustic calibrator 4231, S/N 2326408 was performed before the test.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

| Equipment ID | Description | Certificate No. |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C080037 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | DC080007 |

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

| Range (dB) | UUT Setting | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (dB) |
|------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | Parameter | Freq. Weight | Time Weight | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 20 - 100 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 93.9 | ± 0.7 |

6.1.2 Linearity

| Range (dB) | UUT Setting | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Parameter | Freq. Weight | Time Weight | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 40 - 120 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.0 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 113.9 |

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

6.2.1 Continuous Signal

| Range (dB) | UUT Setting | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (dB) |
|------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | Parameter | Freq. Weight | Time Weight | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 20 - 100 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | L _{ASP} | | S | | | 94.0 | ± 0.1 |
| | L _{AIP} | | I | | | 94.0 | ± 0.1 |

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report. This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration Report

6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Freq. Weight | Time Weight | Level (dB) | Burst Duration | | |
| 30 - 110 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 106.00 | Continuous | 106.0 | Ref. |
| | L _{AFMax} | | | | 200 ms | 104.9 | -1.0 ± 1.0 |
| | L _{ASP} | S | Continuous | | 106.0 | Ref. | |
| | L _{ASMax} | | 500 ms | | 101.9 | -4.1 ± 1.0 | |

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Freq. Weight | Time Weight | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 20 - 100 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 54.8 | -39.4 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 63 Hz | 67.6 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.6 | -16.1 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.6 | -3.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 93.9 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.0 | +1.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 94.8 | +1.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 92.6 | -1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 88.8 | -4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Freq. Weight | Time Weight | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 20 - 100 | L _{CFP} | C | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 91.0 | -3.0 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 63 Hz | 93.0 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.5 | -0.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 93.8 | 0.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 93.9 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.7 | -0.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.1 | -0.8 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 90.7 | -3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 86.9 | -6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration Report

6.4 Time Averaging

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | | | | UUT | IEC 60804 | |
|-------------|------|--------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|
| Range (dB) | Mode | Freq. Weight | Integrating Time | Freq. (kHz) | Burst Duration (ms) | Burst Duty Factor | Burst Level (dB) | Equivalent Level (dB) | Reading (dB) | Type I Spec. (dB) | |
| 30 - 110 | Leq | A | 10 sec. | 4 | 1 | 1/10 | 110.0 | 100 | 100.2 | ± 0.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | 1/10 ² | 90 | 90.2 | ± 0.5 |
| | | | 60 sec. | | | | | 1/10 ³ | 80 | 79.8 | ± 1.0 |
| | | | 5 min. | | | | | 1/10 ⁴ | 70 | 69.5 | ± 1.0 |

Remarks : - Mfr's Spec. : IEC 651 & IEC 60804 Type 1


- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.40 dB |
| 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| 2 kHz | : ± 0.40 dB |
| 4 kHz | : ± 0.50 dB |
| 8 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 12.5 kHz | : ± 1.20 dB |
| 104 dB : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| Burst equivalent level | : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level) |

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Certificate No. : C082026

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the equipment

Description : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ016)

Manufacturer : Bruel & Kjaer

Model No. : 4231

Serial No. : 2292167

*has been calibrated for the specific items and ranges.
The results are shown in the Calibration Report No. C082026.*


The equipment is supplied by

Co. Name : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

*Address : Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.*

Date of Issue : 22 April 2008

Certified by :


K/C Lee

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited


c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, I Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

Tel: 2927 2606

Fax: 2744 8986

E-mail: callab@suncreation.com

Website: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration and Testing Laboratory

Report No. : C082026

Calibration Report

ITEM TESTED

DESCRIPTION : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ016)
MANUFACTURER : Bruel & Kjaer
MODEL NO. : 4231
SERIAL NO. : 2292167

TEST CONDITIONS

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ RELATIVE HUMIDITY : $(55 \pm 20)\%$
LINE VOLTAGE : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST : 21 April 2008

JOB NO. : IC08-0992

TEST RESULTS

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
All results are within manufacturer's specification & user's specified acceptance criteria.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Agilent Technologies, USA

Tested by : 
H C Chan

Date : 22 April 2008

The test equipment used for testing are traceable to the National Standards as specified in this report.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full and with prior written approval from this laboratory.

Calibration and Testing Laboratory of Sun Creation Engineering Limited

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong
Tel: 2927 2606 Fax: 2744 8986 E-mail: callab@suncreation.com Website: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 2

Calibration Report

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours before the commencement of the test.
2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
3. Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| TST150A | Measuring Amplifier | C080751 |
| CL129 | Universal Counter | C072995 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | DC080007 |

4. Test procedure : MA100N.

5. Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value | Measured Value (dB) | User's Spec. (dB) | Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB) |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 94 dB, 1 kHz | 94.0 | ± 0.3 | ± 0.2 |
| 114 dB, 1 kHz | 114.0 | | |

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value (kHz) | Measured Value (kHz) | Mfr's Spec. | Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1.000 0 | 1 kHz ± 0.1 % | ± 0.1 |

Remarks : - The user's specified acceptance criteria (user's spec.) is a customer pre-defined operating tolerance of the UUT, suitable for one's own intended use.

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Calibration Report only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Location : | No.68 Ho Pui Village | Date of Calibration: | 3-Feb-09 |
| Location ID : | ASR14 (A1(a)) | Next Calibration Date: | 3-Apr-09 |
| | | Technician: | Mr. Ben Tam |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1021.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 766.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 18.3 | Temperature (K) | 291 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 1.54431 |
| Model-> | 515N | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01988 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 9.6 | 2.051 | 53 | 54.45 | 41.5949 | -31.2565 | 0.9983 |
| 13 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 1.873 | 46 | 47.25 | | | |
| 10 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 1.702 | 37 | 38.01 | | | |
| 7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.513 | 31 | 31.85 | | | |
| 5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.113 | 15 | 15.41 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Location : | No.1 Ma On Kong Village | Date of Calibration: 3-Feb-09 |
| Location ID : | ASR15 (A2) | Next Calibration Date: 3-Apr-09 |
| | | Technician: Mr. Ben Tam |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1017.8 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 763.35 |
| Temperature (°C) | 19.6 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 1.54431 |
| Model-> | 515N | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.01988 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 2.084 | 52 | 53.08 | 39.1190 | -27.6481 | 0.9981 |
| 13 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 1.794 | 42 | 42.87 | | | |
| 10 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 1.563 | 34 | 34.70 | | | |
| 7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 1.355 | 25 | 25.52 | | | |
| 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 1.147 | 16 | 16.33 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Equipment Calibration Record

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata
 Serial No. 362337
 Equipment Ref: EQ094
 Sensitivity 722 CPM

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: Village House in Tin Sam San Tsuen
 Equipment Ref: A10
 Last Calibration Date: 07 May 2008

Equipment Calibration Results:

Calibration Date: 20 June 2008

| Hour | Time | Temp °C | RH % | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/60min) |
|------|---------------|---------|------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 11:30 ~ 12:30 | 31.2 | 82 | 0.133 | 3818 | 63.6 |
| 1 | 14:30 ~ 15:30 | 32.1 | 77 | 0.056 | 1430 | 23.8 |
| 1 | 16:30 ~ 17:30 | 29.2 | 81 | 0.058 | 1468 | 24.5 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 722 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 722 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X


Slope (K-factor): 0.0021

Correlation Coefficient 0.9977

Validity of Calibration Record 24 June 2008



Operator : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 24 June 2008

QC Reviewer : Ken Wong Signature :  Date : 24 June 2008

Equipment Calibration Record

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata
 Serial No. 362359
 Equipment Ref: EQ096
 Sensitivity 769 CPM

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler
 Location & Location ID: Village House in Cheung Chun San Tsuen
 Equipment Ref: A1
 Last Calibration Date: 07 May 2008

Equipment Calibration Results:


Calibration Date: 20 June 2008

| Hour | Time | Temp °C | RH % | Concentration in mg/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/60min) |
|------|---------------|---------|------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 11:30 ~ 12:30 | 31.2 | 82 | 0.133 | 4240 | 70.7 |
| 1 | 14:30 ~ 15:30 | 32.1 | 77 | 0.056 | 1602 | 26.7 |
| 1 | 16:30 ~ 17:30 | 29.2 | 81 | 0.058 | 1764 | 29.4 |


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 769 (CPM)
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 769 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0019
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9988
 Validity of Calibration Record 24 June 2008



Operator : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 24 June 2008

QC Reviewer : Ken Wong Signature :  Date : 24 June 2008



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a
為香港認可處執行機關根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory
「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of
此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 - 《測試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求，這項認可資格演示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗所質量管理體系的運作
(見國際標準化組織、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際認可論壇於二零零五年六月十八日的聯合公報)。

Environmental Testing
環境測試

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognised International Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005.
本實驗所乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。


This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated 18 June 2005).
這項認可資格演示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗所質量管理體系的運作
(見國際標準化組織、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際認可論壇於二零零五年六月十八日的聯合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
香港認可處根據認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 陳成城
Issue Date : 3 May 2006
簽發日期：二零零六年五月三日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS 066**
註冊號碼：

Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日



Appendix F

Event and Action Plan

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Contractor's ET leader | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| ACTION LEVEL | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source Inform IEC, ER and Contractor Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by Contractor's ET leader Check Contractor's working method | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notify Contractor | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods if appropriate |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source Inform IEC, ER and Contractor Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Discuss with IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial actions required If exceedance continue, arrange meeting with IEC, ER and Contractor If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Checking monitoring data submitted by Contractor's ET leader. Check Contractor's working method Discuss with Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing Notify Contractor Ensure remedial measures properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |
| LIMIT LEVEL | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and kept IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by Contractor's ET leader Check Contractor's working method Discuss with Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Audit implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing Notify Contractor Ensure remedial measures properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate action to avoid for the exceedance Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD Identify source Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss amongst ER, Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly Audit the implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing Notify Contractor In consultation with IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Ensure remedial measures properly implemented If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate action to avoid for the exceedance Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abate. |

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|--|
| | CONTRACTOR'S ET LEADER | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, Contractor and ER 2. Carry out investigation 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, Contractor and ER 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 5. Double monitoring frequency 6. Check compliance to Action/Limit Levels after application of mitigation measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by the Contract's ET leader 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly 3. Review the implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to ER and IEC 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals |
| Limit Level | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor 2. Identify Source 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly 3. Audit the implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated |

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

| Event | ET Leader | IEC | ER | Contractor |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling day | Repeat in-site measurement to confirm findings; Identify Source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance | Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; | Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check at plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Action level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days | Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. | Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Made agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day | Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. | Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the R accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contract to critically review the working methods; Made agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures/ |
| Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days | Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm fundings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the Monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. | Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit level. | Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities. |

Event/Action Plan for Ecology

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | ET Leader | IEC | Engineer | Contractor |
| ACTION REACHED LEVEL | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Carry out investigation 2. Review results and assess whether amendment to action level is appropriate 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC 4. Notify Contractor and Engineer 5. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 6. Repeat survey to confirm results | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by ET 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advice the Engineer accordingly 3. Supervise implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed problem 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further problem 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control |
| LIMIT REACHED LEVEL | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Carry out investigation 2. Review results and assess whether amendment to limit level is appropriate 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC 4. Notify Contractor and Engineer 5. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 6. Repeat survey to confirm results | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by ET 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advice the Engineer accordingly 3. Supervise implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed problem 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 5. Issue instruction to stop the relevant portion of the works until the problem is abated (construction period only). | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further problem 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the Engineer until the problem is abated (construction period only) |

Event and Action Plan for Cultural Heritage

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| | ET Leader | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level | <p>Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation</p> <p>Report reasons of structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures</p> <p>Increase monitoring frequency to once per week to check mitigation effectiveness</p> | <p>Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.</p> <p>Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly</p> <p>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval from AMO.</p> | <p>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</p> <p>Notify Contractor</p> <p>Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.</p> <p>Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</p> | <p>Notify AMO concerning the damage or structural instability of the cultural heritage resources</p> <p>Submit proposals for repair of damage to cultural heritage resources to AMO for approval and to implement approved measures.</p> |
| Limit Level | <p>Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Report reasons of continued structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures</p> <p>Increase monitoring frequency to daily to check mitigation effectiveness</p> | <p>Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.</p> <p>Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly.</p> <p>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval from AMO.</p> | <p>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</p> <p>Notify Contractor</p> <p>Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.</p> <p>Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</p> | <p>To carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Propose remedial measures for the repair and stabilization of cultural heritage resources, up to liaison of moving and rebuilding the relevant structure with the approval of owner (usually the clan members) and AMO.</p> |

Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact - Construction Phase

| Action Level | Environmental Team Leader (ETL) | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Engineer's Representative (ER) | Contractor |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Non-conformity on one occasion | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform the IEC and the ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake remedial measures or any necessary replacement |
| Repeated Non-conformity | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform the IEC and the ER 3. Increase monitoring (site audit) frequency 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring (site audit) | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake remedial measures or any necessary replacement |

Appendix G

(a) Impact Environmental Monitoring Data

(b) Graphic Plot of Monitoring

- 1. Construction Noise**
- 2. Air Quality**
- 3. Water Quality**

DSD CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/17
 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of
 Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results

| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | STANDARD | | | | | | | | | | BLANK | | | SAMPLE OF FILTER PAPER | | | Dust 24-Hr TSP in Air ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
|---|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|--------|------------------------|---------|--------|--|---|--|-----------------|
| | | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | AVERAGE | | | FLOW | AIR | WEIGHT (g) | | | WEIGHT (g) | | | | | | |
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | CHART READING | TEMP (°C) | PRESS (hPa) | RATE (m^3/min) | VOLUME (m^3) | NUMBER | INITIAL | FINAL | DIFF | INITIAL | FINAL | | | | DUST COLLECTION |
| KT13(A1(a)) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Calibration: 3-Feb-2009 Next Calibration Date: 3-Apr-2009 Cal Graph Slope = 41.5949 Intercept = -31.2565 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28-Feb-09 | SF42 | 1582.29 | 1605.52 | 1393.80 | 20 | 22 | 21.0 | 19.1 | 1018.3 | 1.26 | 1760 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.6245 | 3.6476 | 0.0231 | 15 | 144 | 260 |
| 6-Mar-09 | SF74 | 1605.52 | 1628.95 | 1405.80 | 20 | 21 | 20.5 | 16.9 | 1014.5 | 1.25 | 1759 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.6194 | 3.6281 | 0.0087 | 7 | 144 | 260 |
| 12-Mar-09 | SG01 | 1628.95 | 1652.22 | 1396.20 | 21 | 22 | 21.5 | 19.2 | 1015.2 | 1.27 | 1779 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.6234 | 3.6429 | 0.0195 | 13 | 144 | 260 |
| 18-Mar-09 | SG48 | 1652.22 | 1675.92 | 1422.00 | 21 | 23 | 22.0 | 22.4 | 1012.4 | 1.28 | 1824 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.7873 | 3.8307 | 0.0434 | 26 | 144 | 260 |
| 24-Mar-09 | SG93 | 1675.92 | 1699.62 | 1422.00 | 21 | 24 | 22.5 | 20.4 | 1013.3 | 1.30 | 1844 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.6899 | 3.7284 | 0.0385 | 23 | 144 | 260 |
| KT13(A2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Calibration: 3-Feb-2009 Next Calibration Date: 3-Apr-2009 Cal Graph Slope = 39.1190 Intercept = -27.6481 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28-Feb-09 | SF41 | 1560.56 | 1584.34 | 1426.80 | 29 | 30 | 29.5 | 19.1 | 1018.3 | 1.47 | 2098 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.4512 | 3.4870 | 0.0358 | 19 | 141 | 260 |
| 6-Mar-09 | SF76 | 1584.34 | 1607.63 | 1397.40 | 29 | 30 | 29.5 | 16.9 | 1014.5 | 1.47 | 2057 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.6290 | 3.6417 | 0.0127 | 8 | 141 | 260 |
| 12-Mar-09 | SG08 | 1607.63 | 1630.96 | 1399.80 | 30 | 31 | 30.5 | 19.7 | 1015.2 | 1.49 | 2092 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.6137 | 3.6403 | 0.0266 | 15 | 141 | 260 |
| 18-Mar-09 | SG49 | 1630.96 | 1654.29 | 1399.80 | 29 | 30 | 29.5 | 22.4 | 1012.4 | 1.46 | 2049 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.7887 | 3.8229 | 0.0342 | 19 | 141 | 260 |
| 24-Mar-09 | SG94 | 1654.29 | 1677.62 | 1399.80 | 29 | 30 | 29.5 | 20.4 | 1013.3 | 1.47 | 2053 | NA | 3.6459 | 3.6419 | -0.0040 | 3.7035 | 3.7312 | 0.0277 | 15 | 141 | 260 |

Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - KT13

| Date 27-Feb-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | |
| W1 | 15:00 | 0.15 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 4.12 | 4.05 | 42.3 | 41.5 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6 | 6.0 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 15 | 15.0 |
| | | | 23.0 | | 3.98 | | 40.7 | | 6.8 | | 7.1 | | 6 | | 2.68 | | 15 | | | |
| W2 | 14:50 | 0.15 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.23 | 4.20 | 44.5 | 43.9 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7 | 7.0 | 2.57 | 2.57 | 14 | 14.0 |
| | | | 23.5 | | 4.16 | | 43.2 | | 7.7 | | 6.9 | | 7 | | 2.57 | | 14 | | | |
| W3 | 14:40 | 0.20 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 3.95 | 3.90 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 15 | 15.0 |
| | | | 23.8 | | 3.84 | | 41.0 | | 8.6 | | 7 | | 7 | | 2.12 | | 15 | | | |
| W4 | 14:30 | 0.12 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 2.17 | 2.12 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6 | 6.0 | 2.87 | 2.87 | 13 | 13.0 |
| | | | 22.9 | | 2.06 | | 21.6 | | 10.5 | | 6.9 | | 6 | | 2.87 | | 13 | | | |
| W5 | 14:25 | 0.09 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 4.83 | 4.91 | 49.5 | 50.1 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 6 | 6.0 | 2.14 | 2.14 | 12 | 12.0 |
| | | | 23.1 | | 4.99 | | 50.6 | | 12.2 | | 7 | | 6 | | 2.14 | | 12 | | | |
| W6 | 14:20 | 0.25 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 3.96 | 3.89 | 41.1 | 40.7 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 6 | 6.0 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 13 | 13.0 |
| | | | 23.6 | | 3.81 | | 40.2 | | 11.9 | | 7 | | 6 | | 2.71 | | 13 | | | |

| Date 2-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|-------|------|-------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | |
| W1 | 11:35 | 0.16 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 4.12 | 4.11 | 47.1 | 46.9 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 0.34 | 0.34 | <10 | 10.0 |
| | | | 22.9 | | 4.1 | | 46.7 | | 8.3 | | 7.1 | | 3 | | 0.34 | | <10 | | | |
| W2 | 11:40 | 0.13 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 4.37 | 4.35 | 50.2 | 49.9 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | 0.32 | <10 | 10.0 |
| | | | 23.3 | | 4.32 | | 49.5 | | 9.4 | | 7.1 | | 2 | | 0.32 | | <10 | | | |
| W3 | 11:20 | 0.18 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 2.97 | 2.95 | 33.4 | 33.0 | 42.6 | 42.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 27 | 27.0 | 14.5 | 14.50 | 151 | 151.0 |
| | | | 23.0 | | 2.92 | | 32.6 | | 42.9 | | 7 | | 27 | | 14.5 | | 151 | | | |
| W4 | 11:10 | 0.13 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 1.84 | 1.82 | 21.7 | 21.5 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 3 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 10.40 | 12 | 12.0 |
| | | | 22.4 | | 1.8 | | 21.3 | | 18.2 | | 6.8 | | 3 | | 10.4 | | 12 | | | |
| W5 | 11:05 | 0.08 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 4.01 | 3.98 | 45.3 | 44.9 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 21 | 21.0 | 10.6 | 10.60 | 46 | 46.0 |
| | | | 23.7 | | 3.94 | | 44.5 | | 10.8 | | 7.2 | | 21 | | 10.6 | | 46 | | | |
| W6 | 11:00 | 0.27 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 4.26 | 4.28 | 48.7 | 49.0 | 38.9 | 39.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 56 | 56.0 | 14.6 | 14.60 | 151 | 151.0 |
| | | | 24.1 | | 4.29 | | 49.2 | | 39.2 | | 7.1 | | 56 | | 14.6 | | 151 | | | |

| Date 5-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | |
| W1 | 16:00 | 0.19 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 3.21 | 3.19 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 8 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 6.20 | 14 | 14.0 |
| | | | 22.6 | | 3.16 | | 8.0 | | 8.0 | | 7 | | 8 | | 6.2 | | 14 | | | |
| W2 | 16:05 | 0.16 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 3.08 | 3.10 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 8 | 8.0 | 6.43 | 6.43 | 13 | 13.0 |
| | | | 22.4 | | 3.11 | | 8.3 | | 8.3 | | 7.1 | | 8 | | 6.43 | | 13 | | | |
| W3 | 16:10 | 0.22 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 3.65 | 3.56 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 8 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 5.70 | 13 | 13.0 |
| | | | 22.9 | | 3.47 | | 8.5 | | 8.5 | | 6.9 | | 8 | | 5.7 | | 13 | | | |
| W4 | 16:15 | 0.15 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 3.59 | 3.61 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 24 | 24.0 | 6.06 | 6.06 | 26 | 26.0 |
| | | | 22.8 | | 3.63 | | 18.5 | | 18.5 | | 7.1 | | 24 | | 6.06 | | 26 | | | |
| W5 | 16:20 | 0.10 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 3.72 | 3.67 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 19 | 19.0 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 22 | 22.0 |
| | | | 23.0 | | 3.61 | | 17.9 | | 17.9 | | 6.9 | | 19 | | 5.91 | | 22 | | | |
| W6 | 16:30 | 0.31 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 3.46 | 3.38 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 74 | 74.0 | 6.04 | 6.04 | 40 | 40.0 |
| | | | 22.7 | | 3.3 | | 19.9 | | 19.9 | | 7 | | 74 | | 6.04 | | 40 | | | |

| Date 7-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | |
| W1 | 11:15 | 0.16 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 5.49 | 5.52 | 58.6 | 59.2 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 21 | 21.0 |
| | | | 18.4 | | 5.54 | | 59.7 | | 5.2 | | 7 | | 2 | | 0.27 | | 21 | | | |
| W2 | 11:20 | 0.13 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 5.26 | 5.23 | 56.2 | 55.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 14 | 14.0 |
| | | | 18.1 | | 5.2 | | 55.6 | | 5.7 | | 7 | | 2 | | 0.29 | | 14 | | | |
| W3 | 11:00 | 0.27 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 4.62 | 4.64 | 49.3 | 49.6 | 46.0 | 46.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 66 | 66.0 | 10.6 | 10.60 | 80 | 80.0 |
| | | | 17.1 | | 4.66 | | 49.9 | | 46.9 | | 7.1 | | 66 | | 10.6 | | 80 | | | |
| W4 | 10:45 | 0.18 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 2.75 | 2.73 | 28.8 | 28.5 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 19 | 19.0 | 9.34 | 9.34 | 39 | 39.0 |
| | | | 17.7 | | 2.7 | | 28.2 | | 48.5 | | 6.7 | | 19 | | 9.34 | | 39 | | | |
| W5 | 10:40 | 0.11 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 5.34 | 4.31 | 56.2 | 56.0 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 3 | 3.0 | 4.87 | 4.87 | 22 | 22.0 |
| | | | 18.2 | | 3.28 | | 55.7 | | 14.7 | | 7 | | 3 | | 4.87 | | 22 | | | |
| W6 | 10:35 | 0.33 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 4.12 | 4.16 | 43.0 | 43.5 | 41.7 | 41.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 47 | 47.0 | 10.8 | 10.80 | 76 | 76.0 |
| | | | 17.3 | | 4.19 | | 43.9 | | 41.3 | | 6.9 | | 47 | | 10.8 | | 76 | | | |

| Date 9-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|-------|------|-------|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | |
| W1 | 09:50 | 0.13 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 4.13 | 4.15 | 43.6 | 43.8 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 29 | 29.0 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 25 | 25.0 |
| | | | 19.3 | | 4.16 | | 44.0 | | 11.0 | | 7 | | 29 | | 0.31 | | 25 | | | |
| W2 | 09:55 | 0.11 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 4.44 | 4.47 | 47.2 | 47.5 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 28 | 28.0 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 28 | 28.0 |
| | | | 19.1 | | 4.49 | | 47.7 | | 13.4 | | 7 | | 28 | | 0.24 | | 28 | | | |
| W3 | 09:40 | 0.19 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 3.68 | 3.64 | 39.1 | 38.7 | 43.9 | 44.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 63 | 63.0 | 17.2 | 17.20 | 150 | 150.0 |
| | | | 18.4 | | 3.6 | | 38.2 | | 44.5 | | 7.2 | | 63 | | 17.2 | | 150 | | | |
| W4 | 09:25 | 0.13 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 2.16 | 2.19 | 23.8 | 24.2 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 8 | 8.0 | 7.63 | 7.63 | 51 | 51.0 |
| | | | 17.7 | | 2.22 | | 24.5 | | 19.7 | | 6.8 | | 8 | | 7.63 | | 51 | | | |
| W5 | 09:15 | 0.09 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 4.77 | 4.75 | 50.3 | 49.9 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 4 | 4.0 | 5.69 | 5.69 | 29 | 29.0 |
| | | | 18.0 | | 4.73 | | 49.5 | | 8.1 | | 6.9 | | 4 | | 5.69 | | 29 | | | |
| W6 | 09:10 | 0.31 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 4.89 | 4.86 | 52.4 | 52.1 | 39.2 | 39.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 70 | 70.0 | 16.6 | 16.60 | 145 | 145.0 |
| | | | 18.2 | | 4.83 | | 51.8 | | 39.0 | | 7.1 | | 70 | | 16.6 | | 145 | | | |

Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - KT13

| Date 12-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | | |
| W1 | 12:15 | 0.18 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 3.95 | 3.99 | 40.7 | 41.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 4 | 4.0 | 5.59 | 5.59 | 12 | 12.0 | |
| | | | 23.9 | | 4.03 | | 41.9 | | 6.5 | | 6.9 | | 4 | | 5.59 | | 12 | | | | |
| W2 | 12:20 | 0.14 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 4.12 | 4.09 | 42.1 | 41.7 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 3 | 3.0 | 5.86 | 5.86 | 15 | 15.0 | |
| | | | 23.6 | | 4.06 | | 41.2 | | 6.5 | | 6.8 | | 3 | | 5.86 | | 15 | | | | |
| W3 | 12:30 | 0.20 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 3.97 | 3.91 | 41.2 | 40.6 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 17 | 17.0 | |
| | | | 23.7 | | 3.84 | | 40.0 | | 8.9 | | 7 | | 4 | | 5.67 | | 17 | | | | |
| W4 | 12:35 | 0.18 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 4.46 | 4.42 | 45.9 | 45.4 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 4 | 4.0 | 5.95 | 5.95 | 12 | 12.0 | |
| | | | 23.7 | | 4.37 | | 44.8 | | 10.9 | | 6.9 | | 4 | | 5.95 | | 12 | | | | |
| W5 | 12:45 | 0.17 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 3.86 | 3.80 | 39.1 | 38.9 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 4 | 4.0 | 5.94 | 5.94 | 14 | 14.0 | |
| | | | 24.0 | | 3.73 | | 38.6 | | 10.5 | | 7.1 | | 4 | | 5.94 | | 14 | | | | |
| W6 | 12:50 | 0.14 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 4.21 | 4.26 | 43.5 | 44.1 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 5.76 | 5.76 | 13 | 13.0 | |
| | | | 23.4 | | 4.3 | | 44.6 | | 9.7 | | 7.1 | | 3 | | 5.76 | | 13 | | | | |

| Date 14-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----------|------|------|-------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | | |
| W1 | 09:55 | 0.15 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 44.9 | 45.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.1 | 0.01 | 116 | 116.0 | |
| | | | 17.9 | | 4.41 | | 46.1 | | 7.7 | | 7.1 | | <2 | | <0.1 | | 116 | | | | |
| W2 | 10:00 | 0.17 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 44.6 | 43.9 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.1 | 0.01 | 115 | 115.0 | |
| | | | 18.0 | | 4.19 | | 43.2 | | 9.7 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.1 | | 115 | | | | |
| W3 | 10:05 | 0.13 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 4.43 | 4.49 | 45.8 | 46.4 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | <2 | 2.0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 110 | 110.0 | |
| | | | 17.8 | | 4.54 | | 46.9 | | 8.4 | | 7.1 | | <2 | | 0.02 | | 110 | | | | |
| W4 | 10:10 | 0.18 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 4.77 | 4.83 | 49.3 | 49.8 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.1 | 0.01 | 123 | 123.0 | |
| | | | 18.1 | | 4.89 | | 50.3 | | 11.1 | | 6.9 | | <2 | | <0.1 | | 123 | | | | |
| W5 | 10:15 | 0.16 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 4.92 | 4.87 | 51.1 | 50.7 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.1 | 0.01 | 120 | 120.0 | |
| | | | 18.0 | | 4.82 | | 50.2 | | 9.7 | | 6.9 | | <2 | | <0.1 | | 120 | | | | |
| W6 | 10:20 | 0.14 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 4.06 | 4.09 | 42.5 | 42.9 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.1 | 0.01 | 112 | 112.0 | |
| | | | 17.6 | | 4.11 | | 43.3 | | 10.5 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.1 | | 112 | | | | |

| Date 16-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | | |
| W1 | 18:15 | 0.16 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 4.54 | 4.53 | 48.1 | 47.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 0.30 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.1 | | 4.51 | | 47.6 | | 3.0 | | 7.1 | | 5 | | 0.3 | | <10 | | | | |
| W2 | 18:20 | 0.14 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 4.76 | 4.73 | 50.4 | 50.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 71 | 71.0 | |
| | | | 22.3 | | 4.7 | | 49.7 | | 4.3 | | 7 | | <2 | | 0.31 | | 71 | | | | |
| W3 | 18:05 | 0.20 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 3.88 | 3.86 | 41.3 | 41.0 | 27.1 | 27.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 37 | 37.0 | 5.97 | 5.97 | 10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 21.8 | | 3.83 | | 40.7 | | 27.4 | | 7 | | 37 | | 5.97 | | 10 | | | | |
| W4 | 17:55 | 0.17 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 2.44 | 2.48 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 18.6 | 18.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 8 | 8.0 | 7.16 | 7.16 | 17 | 17.0 | |
| | | | 21.6 | | 2.52 | | 28.2 | | 18.2 | | 6.8 | | 8 | | 7.16 | | 17 | | | | |
| W5 | 17:50 | 0.09 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 4.06 | 4.04 | 43.3 | 43.1 | 13.4 | 13.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 27 | 27.0 | 8.06 | 8.06 | 52 | 52.0 | |
| | | | 22.8 | | 4.01 | | 42.8 | | 13.0 | | 7.1 | | 27 | | 8.06 | | 52 | | | | |
| W6 | 17:45 | 0.27 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 3.89 | 3.86 | 41.4 | 41.0 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 34 | 34.0 | 6.02 | 6.02 | 72 | 72.0 | |
| | | | 23.1 | | 3.82 | | 40.5 | | 26.0 | | 7 | | 34 | | 6.02 | | 72 | | | | |



| Date 19-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|------|-----|-------|--------|-----------|------|------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | | |
| W1 | 17:20 | 0.15 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 4.76 | 4.76 | 48.8 | 48.7 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.9 | | 4.76 | | 48.6 | | 6.8 | | 6.9 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |
| W2 | 17:10 | 0.13 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 4.42 | 4.42 | 45.3 | 45.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 23.0 | | 4.42 | | 45.2 | | 7.5 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |
| W3 | 17:05 | 0.18 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 4.37 | 4.35 | 44.7 | 44.6 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 0.05 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.5 | | 4.33 | | 44.4 | | 6.9 | | 7 | | <2 | | 0.05 | | <10 | | | | |
| W4 | 17:00 | 0.16 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 3.65 | 3.64 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 23.1 | | 3.63 | | 37.1 | | 9.7 | | 6.9 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |
| W5 | 16:50 | 0.12 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 4.62 | 4.69 | 47.3 | 48.1 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 1040 | 1040.0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.8 | | 4.76 | | 48.8 | | 19.5 | | 7.1 | | 1040 | | 0.03 | | <10 | | | | |
| W6 | 16:45 | 0.20 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 4.33 | 4.24 | 44.4 | 43.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.9 | | 4.15 | | 42.5 | | 8.6 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |


| Date 21-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (oC) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | | |
| W1 | 09:10 | 0.14 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 4.22 | 4.30 | 43.3 | 44.2 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | 39 | 39.0 | |
| | | | 22.5 | | 4.38 | | 45.0 | | 6.2 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | 39 | | | | |
| W2 | 09:15 | 0.12 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 4.59 | 4.54 | 47.1 | 46.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.6 | | 4.48 | | 46.4 | | 7.2 | | 6.9 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |
| W3 | 09:20 | 0.18 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 4.93 | 4.81 | 50.8 | 49.7 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.9 | | 4.69 | | 48.5 | | 6.3 | | 7 | | <2 | | 0.02 | | <10 | | | | |
| W4 | 09:25 | 0.16 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 3.5 | 3.54 | 36.2 | 36.3 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | <2 | 2.0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 21.8 | | 3.57 | | 36.4 | | 8.0 | | 7.1 | | <2 | | 0.01 | | <10 | | | | |
| W5 | 09:35 | 0.11 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 4.17 | 4.09 | 42.7 | 42.0 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.0 | | 4.01 | | 41.2 | | 9.6 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |
| W6 | 09:40 | 0.24 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 4.4 | 4.35 | 45.2 | 44.6 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | <2 | 2.0 | <0.01 | 0.01 | <10 | 10.0 | |
| | | | 22.3 | | 4.29 | | 44.0 | | 8.8 | | 7 | | <2 | | <0.01 | | <10 | | | | |

Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - KT13



| Date | | 23-Mar-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|-----------|-------|------|------|--|
| Location | Time | Depth (m) | Temp (°C) | | DO (mg/L) | | DOS (%) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | Salinity | | pH | | SS | | Ammonia N | | Zinc | | |
| W1 | 10:05 | 0.10 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 4.06 | 4.10 | 43.4 | 43.7 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 22 | 22.0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 18 | 18.0 | |
| | | | 21.9 | | 4.13 | | 44.0 | | 16.7 | | 0 | | 7 | | 22 | | 0.67 | | 18 | | |
| W2 | 10:10 | 0.15 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 4.54 | 4.53 | 48.2 | 48.0 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 20 | 20.0 | 0.6 | 0.60 | 14 | 14.0 | |
| | | | 21.7 | | 4.51 | | 47.7 | | 15.1 | | 0 | | 7 | | 20 | | 0.6 | | 14 | | |
| W3 | 09:50 | 0.19 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 3.16 | 3.18 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 38.4 | 38.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 42 | 42.0 | 5.52 | 5.52 | 80 | 80.0 | |
| | | | 22.0 | | 3.19 | | 35.2 | | 38.1 | | 0 | | 7.2 | | 42 | | 5.52 | | 80 | | |
| W4 | 09:40 | 0.14 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 2.77 | 2.74 | 30.1 | 29.6 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6 | 6.0 | 8.81 | 8.81 | 12 | 12.0 | |
| | | | 21.4 | | 2.7 | | 29.0 | | 18.3 | | 0 | | 6.7 | | 6 | | 8.81 | | 12 | | |
| W5 | 09:35 | 0.08 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 3.89 | 3.92 | 40.3 | 40.7 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 31 | 31.0 | 24.9 | 24.90 | 26 | 26.0 | |
| | | | 22.5 | | 3.95 | | 41.1 | | 12.4 | | 0 | | 6.9 | | 31 | | 24.9 | | 26 | | |
| W6 | 09:30 | 0.28 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 3.29 | 3.33 | 35.9 | 36.6 | 26.7 | 26.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.0 | 48 | 48.0 | 5.36 | 5.36 | 96 | 96.0 | |
| | | | 22.5 | | 3.37 | | 37.2 | | 26.3 | | 0 | | 7 | | 48 | | 5.36 | | 96 | | |



Graphic Plot of Monitoring - Construction Noise









Graphic Plot of Monitoring – Air Quality







Graphic Plot of Monitoring –Water Quality








Appendix H

Photographic Records of

Ecological Monitoring of Vegetation



Tree Assessment Schedule

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|--------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| T 181 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.16 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain | |
| T 182 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 4 | 3 | 0.15 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 183 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 184 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 185 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 186 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 0.42 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 187 | <i>Melia azedarach</i> | 楝 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 0.18 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Retain | |
| T 188 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 0.32 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 189 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 190 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain | |
| T 191 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 192 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 3 | 2 | 0.13 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 193 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.23 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 194 | <i>Ilex rotunda</i> | 鐵冬青 | 4 | 6 | 0.22 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 195 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5 | 5 | 0.32 | Poor | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 196 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 197 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 198 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 199 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 200 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain | |
| T 201 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 202 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 203 | <i>Litchi chinensis</i> | 荔枝 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 204 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 205 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) | | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | | |
| T 206 | <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> | 楊桃 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 0.24 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 207 | <i>Citrus maxima</i> | 柚 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 0.2 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 208 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 209 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 210 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 211 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 212 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 213 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 214 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 215 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 216 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 217 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 218 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 219 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 220 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 221 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 222 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 223 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.21 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 224 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 0.27 | Fair | Fair | High | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 225 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 226 | <i>Sterculia nobilis</i> | 蘋婆 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 227 | <i>Sterculia nobilis</i> | 蘋婆 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 228 | <i>Sterculia nobilis</i> | 蘋婆 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 229 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 230 | <i>Litchi chinensis</i> | 荔枝 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | |
| T 231 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 232 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 233 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 234 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.21 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 235 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 0.34 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 236 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 237 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 238 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 239 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 4.5 | 4 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 240 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 241 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain |
| T 242 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 243 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 244 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 245 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain |
| T 246 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 247 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.16 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 248 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 249 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.32 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 250 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 251 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 252 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 253 | <i>Sterculia nobilis</i> | 蘋婆 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 254 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 255 | <i>Sterculia nobilis</i> | 蘋婆 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 0.18 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | |
| T 256 | <i>Prunus persica</i> | 桃 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain |
| T 257 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 258 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 259 | <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> | 楊桃 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.16 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 263 | <i>Prunus persica</i> | 桃 | 6.5 | 4 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain |
| T 264 | <i>Prunus persica</i> | 桃 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 265 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 7 | 7 | 0.34 | Fair | Good | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 266 | <i>Sapium sebiferum</i> | 烏柏 | 3 | 3 | 0.13 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Retain |
| T 268 | <i>Sapium sebiferum</i> | 烏柏 | 4 | 3 | 0.15 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Retain |
| T 269 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 5 | 3 | 0.13 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 270 | <i>Sapium sebiferum</i> | 烏柏 | 6 | 4 | 0.23 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 271 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 7 | 7 | 0.24 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 272 | <i>Bridelia tomentosa</i> | 土密樹 | 5 | 5 | 0.15 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 273 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 7 | 4 | 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 274 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 7 | 5 | 0.21 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 275 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 7 | 6 | 0.38 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Transplant |
| T 276 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 6 | 3 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 277 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 7 | 5 | 0.22 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Transplant |
| T 278 | <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> | 龍眼 | 8 | 6 | 0.27 | Good | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 279 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 5 | 4 | 0.14 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 280 | Dead Tree | 死樹 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | |
| T 281 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 5 | 5 | 0.15 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 282 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 4 | 6 | 0.15 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 283 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 5 | 5 | 0.2 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 284 | Dead Tree | 死樹 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T 285 | <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> | 龍眼 | 7 | 8 | 0.4 | Good | Good | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 286 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 3 | 1 | 0.16 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 287 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 4 | 4 | 0.14 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 288 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 7 | 6 | 0.39 | Fair | Poor | Medium | Low | Transplant |
| T 289 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 290 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 291 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 5 | 5 | 0.32 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell |
| T 292 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant |
| T 293 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 294 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 295 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 296 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 297 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 298 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 299 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 300 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 301 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 302 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 303 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 304 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 305 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) | | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | | |
| T 306 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 307 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 308 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 309 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 310 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 311 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 312 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 313 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 314 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 315 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 316 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 317 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 318 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 319 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 320 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 321 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 322 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 323 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 324 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 325 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 326 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 327 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 328 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 329 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 330 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 331 * | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| T 332 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 333 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 334 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 335 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 336 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 337 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 338 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 339 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 340 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 341 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 342 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 343 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 344 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 345 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 346 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 347 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 348 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 349 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 350 | <i>Delonix regia</i> | 鳳凰木 | 10.5 | 5.5 | 0.32 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | Conflict with proposed channel |
| T 351 | Dead Tree | 死樹 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| T 352 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 353 | <i>Delonix regia</i> | 鳳凰木 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | Conflict with proposed channel |
| T 354 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 355 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 356 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks | |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | | |
| T 357 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 358 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 359 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 360 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 361 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 362 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 363 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 364 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 365 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 366 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 367 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 368 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 369 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 370 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 371 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 372 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | |
| T 373 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.18 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Low | Transplant | Conflict with proposed channel |
| T 374 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 375 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 376 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 377 | <i>Spathodea campanulata</i> | 火焰木 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.17 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | Conflict with proposed channel |
| T 378 | <i>Spathodea campanulata</i> | 火焰木 | 4 | 2.5 | 0.18 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | Conflict with proposed channel |
| T 379 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 380 | <i>Ficus Benjamin</i> | 垂榕 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.23 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 381 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| T 382 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 383 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 384 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 385 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 386 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 387 | <i>Aleurites molucana</i> | 石栗 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 0.28 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Retain | |
| T 388 | <i>Aleurites molucana</i> | 石栗 | 7.5 | 6 | 0.29 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Retain | |
| T 389 | <i>Aleurites molucana</i> | 石栗 | 5 | 4.5 | 0.26 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 390 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| #T 391 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 392 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 393 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| #T 394 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| #T 395 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| #T 396 | <i>Albizia lebbek</i> | 大葉合歡 | 6.5 | 3 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Low | High | Transplant | |
| T 397 | <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> | 細葉榕 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 0.35 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 398 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 4 | 2 | 0.15 | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 399 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.17 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 400 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 0.17 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 401 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Transplant | |
| T 402 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 5 | 5 | 0.15 | Poor | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 403 | Dead Tree | 死樹 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| T 404 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 405 | <i>Homalium cochinchinensis</i> | 天料木 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 406 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| T 414 | <i>Artocarpus marocarpus</i> | 波羅蜜 | 8.5 | 5 | 0.33 | Poor | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 415 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 8.5 | 5.5 | 0.33 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Low | Retain | |
| T 416 | <i>Sterculia lanceolata</i> | 假蘋果 | 5 | 4 | 0.12 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Low | Retain | |
| T 430 | <i>Missing Tree</i> | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 431 | <i>Celtis sinensis</i> | 朴 | 4 | 3 | 0.14 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Retain | |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form Good / Fair / Poor | Health Good / Fair / Poor | Amenity value High / Medium / Low | Survival Rate after Transplanting High / Medium / Low | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|---------|
| T 432 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 433 | <i>Carica papaya</i> | 番木瓜 | 3 | 4 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 434 | <i>Mangifera indica</i> | 芒果 | 4 | 3 | 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 435 | <i>Salix babylonica</i> | 柳 | 5 | 3 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Low | Retain | |
| T 436 | <i>Salix babylonica</i> | 柳 | 5 | 3 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Low | Retain | |
| T 437 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 438 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 439 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 440 | Dead Tree | 死樹 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| T 441 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 4 | 5 | 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Low | High | Fell | |
| T 442 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 5 | 7 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | High | Fell | |
| T 443 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 4 | 5 | 0.14 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 444 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 4 | 7 | 0.14 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 445 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 446 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 6 | 5 | 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Low | High | Fell | |
| T 447 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 6 | 6 | 0.21 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 448 | <i>Sterculia lanceolata</i> | 假蘋果 | 6 | 4 | 0.14 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 449 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 450 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 451 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 452 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 453 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 454 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 455 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 456 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Tree Size | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Scientific Name | | | Crown Spread (M) Trunk Diameter (M) | Good / Fair / Poor | Good / Fair / Poor | High / Medium / Low | High / Medium / Low | | |
| T 457 | <i>Ligustrum sinense</i> | 對葉榕 | 6 | 5 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 458 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 6 | 5 0.15 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 459 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 6 | 6 0.16 | Fair | Poor | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 460 | <i>Ficus hispida</i> | 對葉榕 | 6 | 6 0.17 | Fair | Fair | Low | High | Fell | |
| T 461 | <i>Ligustrum sinense</i> | 山指甲 | 5 | 6 0.16 | Poor | Poor | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 462 | <i>Litchi chinensis</i> | 荔枝 | 3.5 | 3.5 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain | |
| T 463 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 3.5 | 3.5 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 464 | <i>Clausena lansium</i> | 黃皮 | 3.5 | 3.5 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Medium | Retain | |
| T 742 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 8.5 | 8.5 0.38 | Fair | Fair | Medium | Low | Retain | |
| T 920 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 4.5 | 2.5 0.18 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 921 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 4.5 | 2 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 924 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 4 | 1.5 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 925 | <i>Aleurites molucana</i> | 石栗 | 5 | 2.5 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 926 | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 血桐 | 3 | 1 0.15 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 927 | <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> | 細葉榕 | 4.5 | 2 0.23 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 928 | <i>Koelreuteria formosana</i> | 台灣欒 | 5.5 | 3.5 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 930 | <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> | 細葉榕 | 5.5 | 5.5 0.23 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 931 | Missing Tree | | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 932 | Missing Tree | | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 933 | Missing Tree | | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 934 | Missing Tree | | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 935 | <i>Osmanthus matsumuranus</i> | 牛矢果 | 4.5 | 4.5 0.13 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb/09

| Tree No. | Species | Chinese Name | Overall Height (M) | Crown Spread (M) | Trunk Diameter (M) | Form | Health | Amenity value | Survival Rate after Transplanting | Approved Treatment | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| T 936 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 937 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 938 | <i>Alstonia scholaris</i> | 黑板木 | 3 | 3 | 0.12 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 939 | <i>Dimocarpus logan</i> | 龍眼 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 0.2 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Retain | |
| T 940 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 941 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 942 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 943 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 944 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 954 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 955 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 956 | <i>Sapium sebiferum</i> | 烏柏 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.21 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 957 | <i>Sapium sebiferum</i> | 烏柏 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.23 | Fair | Fair | Low | Low | Fell | |
| T 958 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 959 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 960 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 961 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 962 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| T 963 | Missing Tree | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009





Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009




Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.


Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.

Tree Survey Report For February 2009



Hong Kong Landscaping Co.,Ltd.

Appendix I

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13

Current Situation of Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13, inspected on 11 and 23 March 2009

The physical resources that will be affected during the Construction Phase and Operational Phase, together with their sensitivity to change, are described below. The locations of the baseline landscape resources are mapped in Drawing no. LR-001. The Landscape Resources in direct conflict with the Project are mapped together with their extent outside study boundary for integrity of information. Photo views illustrating the landscape resources of the study area are illustrated in Drawing Nos. PR-001 to 002 inclusive. For ease of reference and co-ordination between text, tables and figures each landscape resources is given an identity number.

Table compares the baseline study and the current situation for KT13: (Landscape Resources)

| Section in EIA Report | Identify number – Landscape Resources | Photo No | Baseline Study, Environmental Impact Assessment Final Report [382047/E/EIA/Issue 9] | Current Situation |
|-----------------------|---|------------|---|---|
| Drainage | | | | |
| 10.7.3 | LR1 – River/ Stream | A1 - A5 | There is a semi-natural drainage features (the Ma On Kong Channel) in the study area with untrained natural upstream and partial trained downstream with a total length of 800m. The Channel originates from the South-West of the valley and discharge to the existing Primary Channel by Kam Ho Road running through and along the site area spanning across majority of the river valley, together with the existing vegetations forming the central part of riparian landscape network. They have medium landscape value and sensitive to change. | Minor change due to construction work within the site boundary. |
| Fish Pond | | | | |
| 10.7.4 | LR2.1 (Fish Pond) within site boundary LR2.2 (Fish Pond) outside site boundary | A6 A7 | There are 4 numbers of fallowed fish ponds at the upstream of the Ma On Kong Channel. A chain of fish ponds near downstream but distant from the Channel is noted. The fish ponds cover area of in total 23,000 m ² . Most of them are heavily colonized by aquatic plants, which attribute to their low visual quality as a water landscape element. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change. | Minor change due to construction of structures within site boundary. A soil platform was |

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-----------|---|---|
| | | | | created outside site boundary due to other project was noted. |
| Marsh | | | | |
| 10.7.5 | LR3 (Marsh) | A8 | It comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| Vegetation | | | | |
| 10.7.7 | LR4 (Woodland/ Wooded Area) | A9 A10 | It comprises two major communities of woodland/ wooded area. One is dense natural woodland stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by <i>Schefflera octophylla</i> , <i>Pinus massoniana</i> , <i>Aporosa chinensis</i> , <i>Celtis sinensis</i> , <i>Bridelia tomentosa</i> , <i>Cinnamomum cmaphora</i> , <i>Rhus chinensis</i> and <i>Phus succedanes</i> . Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by <i>Ficus hispide</i> and <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> . They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.8 | LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural Trees) | A11 | It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> , <i>Delonix regian</i> , <i>Roystonea regia</i> and <i>Pachira macrocarpa</i> . For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.9 | LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land) | A12 | It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing | Remain the same as the baseline. |

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------|---|---|
| | | | landscape within the study area. They have low landscape value and sensitivity to change. | |
| Sitting-Out Area | | | | |
| 10.7.10 | LR7 (Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong) | A13 | It is located at the Ma On Kong next to the access road. It is a small sitting-out area primarily hard-paved with only 3 amenity trees and on pavilion. It has low landscape value and sensitivity to change. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| Landscape Character Areas | | | | |
| 10.7.12 | LCA1 (Agricultural Landscape Character Area) | B1 & B2 | This comprises fallowed land & agricultural land not in active uses. This character area is flat and gentle sloping in landform and vegetated with grass of various heights. It forms the majority of the landscape character of the entire river valley and the connecting landscape element between other landscape character areas. The sensitivity to change of this area is low. | Minor change due to invasion of cows. Some of the grass on the land were consumed. |
| 10.7.13 | LCA2 (Woodland Landscape Character Area) | B3 | This is natural woodland between southern Ma On Kong and the Channel extending up to the access road behind Ma On Kong. The trees are mature in size forming a close woodland landscape. It is the location of egret of conservation importance. The sensitivity to change of this area is high. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.14 | LCA3 (River/ Stream Landscape Character Area) | B4 – B7 | This is the main stream of the Channel in associate with its riparian vegetation. It meanders through the river valley landscape. It is used as a receptor of agricultural effluent from poultry farm around upstream, which contribute to the polluted appearance of the character area around upstream. The sensitivity to change of this area is medium. | Minor change due to site clearance and preparation work within site boundary |
| 10.7.15 | LCA4 (Fish Pond Landscape Area) | B8 | This comprises a number of fish ponds of various sizes distributed about the Channel. Most of them are abandoned or with limited uses and colonized with aquatic plants. The sensitivity to change of this area is medium. | Minor change due to construction of structures within site |

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

| | | | | |
|---------|--|-----------|--|---------------------------------|
| | | | | boundary. |
| 10.7.16 | LCA5 (Village Landscape Character Area) | B9 & B10 | This comprises the four major village types rural settlement encompassing tai Kek, Ma On Kong, Ho Pui and north of Ho Pui. Except Tai Kek which is less revitalized and actively resided, all other three are actively resided. This area is lightly urbanized with low rise village house. The sensitivity to change of this area is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.17 | LCA6 (Industrial Landscape Character Area) | B11 & B12 | This comprise collection of slummy-built temporary structure and open storage uses land, which are characterized with metallic hoarding and used for poultry, recycling, vehicle repairing etc. The sensitivity to change of this area is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.18 | LCA7 (Nullah Landscape Character Area) | B13 | This is the trained nullah next to Kam Ho Road. It is the primary tributary connecting and receiving outflow from the Ma On Kong Channel. The area is man-made and with poor and monotonous riverside vegetation. The sensitivity to change of this area is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |

10.7.19 Visual Character

The visual quality of the river valley of Ma On Kong Channel is semi-natural based on combination of rural landscape elements including agricultural land, village houses, woodland and pond and stream and industrial landscape elements including open storage and temporary structures. Interspersed landscape elements on general flat landform with minor undulation render numerous small enclosed views. No major vista and high quality open view identified.

10.7.20 Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR)

Within the ZVI, a number of key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) have been identified. These VSRs are mapped in Drawing V-001. They are listed, together with their sensitivity, in Table 10/5. Photo views illustrating the VSRs are illustrated in Drawing nos. PV-001 to 002 inclusive. For the ease of reference, each VSR is given an identity number, which is used in the text, tables and figures.

Table compares the baseline study and the current situation for KT13: (Visual Sensitive Receiver)


| Section in EIA Report | Identify number – VSR | Photo No. | Baseline Study, Environmental Impact Assessment Final Report [382047/E/EIA/Issue 9] | Current Situation |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------|
| Industrial VSRs | | | | |
| 10.7.21 | I1 | C1 | Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access The VSRs is workers of the open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.22 | I2 | C2 | Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel The VSRs is workers of the plant nursery. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.23 | I3 | C3 | Plant Nursery at the west of Ma On Kong Channel The VSRs is workers of the plant nursery. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.24 | I4 | C4 | Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui The VSRs is workers of the temporary structure. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.25 | I5 | C5 | Open Storage at the end of village access road The VSRs is workers of the open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

| | | | | |
|---|----|-----|---|---------------------------------|
| 10.7.26 | I6 | C6 | Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel The VSRs is workers of the temporary structure and open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| Open Space / Sitting – Out Area VSRs | | | | |
| 10.7.27 | O1 | C7 | Users of Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong The VSRs is future users of the re-provided sitting-out area during operation phase. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is medium. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| Residential VSRs | | | | |
| 10.7.28 | R1 | C8 | Tai Kek The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.29 | R2 | C9 | North of Ma On Kong The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.30 | R3 | C10 | Ma On Kong The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.31 | R4 | C11 | North of Ho Pui | Remain the same |

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|-----|--|---------------------------------|
| | | | The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high. | as the baseline |
| Transport-related VSRs | | | | |
| 10.7.32 | T1 | C12 | Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (lower section) The VSRs is the road users of the road section. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.33 | T2 | C13 | Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (high section) The VSRs is the road users of the road section. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |
| 10.7.34 | T3 | C14 | Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir The VSRs is the road users of the road section, part of which are tourist to Ho Pui Reservoir. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to change is low. | Remain the same as the baseline |



Legend

- Blue: Water
- Green: Forest
- Yellow: Open Land
- Red: Road
- Black: Building
- Grey: Contour Line
- White: Plain

Scale

1:10000

Title

Hand Positioning on Topographic Map

Author

John Doe

Date

2023-10-27

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale


1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale

1:50,000
Scale



| | |
|----|----------|
| 1 | 1:50,000 |
| 2 | 1:50,000 |
| 3 | 1:50,000 |
| 4 | 1:50,000 |
| 5 | 1:50,000 |
| 6 | 1:50,000 |
| 7 | 1:50,000 |
| 8 | 1:50,000 |
| 9 | 1:50,000 |
| 10 | 1:50,000 |
| 11 | 1:50,000 |
| 12 | 1:50,000 |
| 13 | 1:50,000 |
| 14 | 1:50,000 |
| 15 | 1:50,000 |
| 16 | 1:50,000 |
| 17 | 1:50,000 |
| 18 | 1:50,000 |
| 19 | 1:50,000 |
| 20 | 1:50,000 |
| 21 | 1:50,000 |
| 22 | 1:50,000 |
| 23 | 1:50,000 |
| 24 | 1:50,000 |
| 25 | 1:50,000 |
| 26 | 1:50,000 |
| 27 | 1:50,000 |
| 28 | 1:50,000 |
| 29 | 1:50,000 |
| 30 | 1:50,000 |
| 31 | 1:50,000 |
| 32 | 1:50,000 |
| 33 | 1:50,000 |
| 34 | 1:50,000 |
| 35 | 1:50,000 |
| 36 | 1:50,000 |
| 37 | 1:50,000 |
| 38 | 1:50,000 |
| 39 | 1:50,000 |
| 40 | 1:50,000 |
| 41 | 1:50,000 |
| 42 | 1:50,000 |
| 43 | 1:50,000 |
| 44 | 1:50,000 |
| 45 | 1:50,000 |
| 46 | 1:50,000 |
| 47 | 1:50,000 |
| 48 | 1:50,000 |
| 49 | 1:50,000 |
| 50 | 1:50,000 |

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Photo record

11 March 2009



Photo No. A1 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A2 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A3 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A4 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A5 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A6 – LR2.1

Fish Pond within site boundary



Photo No. A7 – LR2.2

River/Stream



Photo No. A8 – LR3

River/Stream



Photo No. A9 – LR4

Woodland/Wooded Area



Photo No. A10 – LR4

Woodland



Photo No. A11 – LR5

Orchard/ Horticultural Trees



Photo No. A12 – LR6

Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land



Photo No. A13 –LR7

Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. B1 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B2 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B3– LCA2 Woodland Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B4 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B5 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B6 – LCA3.1 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B7 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B8 – LCA4 Fish Pond Landscape Area



Photo No. B9– LCA5 Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B10—LCA 5 Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B11—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B12—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B13—LCA 7 Nullah Landscape Character Area



Photo No. C1-I1 Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access road



Photo No. C2-I2 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C3-I3 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C4-I4 Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui



Photo No. C5-I5 Open Storage at the end of village access road



Photo No. C6-I6 Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C7-O1 Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. C8-R1 Tei Kek



Photo No. C9-R2 North of Ma On Kong



Photo No. C10—R3

Ma On Kong



Photo No. C11—R4

North of Ho Pui



Photo No. C12—T1 Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road
(lower section)



Photo No. C13—T2

Motorists and Pedestrians along village
access road (high section)



Photo No. C14—T3

Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along
access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Photo record

23 March 2009



Photo No. A1 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A2 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A3 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A4 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A5 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A6 – LR2.1

Fish Pond within site boundary



Photo No. A7 – LR2.2

River/Stream



Photo No. A8 – LR3

River/Stream



Photo No. A9 – LR4

Woodland/Wooded Area



Photo No. A10 – LR4

Woodland



Photo No. A11 – LR5

Orchard/ Horticultural Trees



Photo No. A12 – LR6

Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land



Photo No. A13 –LR7

Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. B1 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B2 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B3– LCA2 Woodland Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B4 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B5 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B6 – LCA3.1 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B7 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B8 – LCA4 Fish Pond Landscape Area



Photo No. B9– LCA5 Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B10—LCA 5 Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B11—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B12—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B13—LCA 7 Nullah Landscape Character Area



Photo No. C1—11 Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access road



Photo No. C2—12 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C3—13 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C4—14 Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui



Photo No. C5—15 Open Storage at the end of village access road



Photo No. C6—16 Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C7—01 Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. C8—R1 Tei Kek



Photo No. C9—R2 North of Ma On Kong

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. C10—R3

Ma On Kong



Photo No. C11—R4

North of Ho Pui



Photo No. C12—T1 Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road
(lower section)



Photo No. C13—T2

Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (high section)



Photo No. C14—T3

Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir

Appendix J

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Date: 31-Mar-09
Year/Month: Mar-09

| Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for February 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Year | Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | Estimated Annual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
| | Total Quantity Generated | Broken Concrete (see note 4) | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Metals | Paper/ Cardboard packaging | Plastics (see note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. General refuse |
| | (in '000M ³) | (in '000M ³) | (in '000M ³) | (in '000M ³) | (in '000M ³) | (in '000KG) | (in '000KG) | (in '000KG) | (in '000KG) | (in '000M ³) |
| Jan | 6.716 | 0.008 | 6.708 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | 8.001 | 0.009 | 7.632 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 5.792 | 0.014 | 5.778 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | | | | | | | | | | |
| May | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-Total | 20.51 | 0.031 | 20.118 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 20.509 | 0.031 | 20.118 | 0.360 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 28.10(14)
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam form packaging material
 - (4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates