



PROJECT No.: TCS/00408/08

DSD CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/17
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN
CHEUNG PO, MA ON KONG, YUEN KONG SAN TSUEN
AND TIN SAM TSUEN OF YUEN LONG DISTRICT AND
SEWERAGE AT TSENG TAU CHUNG TSUEN, TUEN MUN

MONTHLY EM&A REPORT FOR KT13
(MARCH 2010)

PREPARED FOR
CHINA ROAD & BRIDGE CORPORATION

Quality Index

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified by
19 April 2010	TCS00408/08/600/R1417v2	 Nicola Hon Environmental Consultant	 T.W. Tam Environmental Team Leader

Version	Date	Prepared by:	Certified by:	Description
1	14 April 2010	Nicola Hon	T.W. Tam	First submission
2	19 April 2010	Nicola Hon	T.W. Tam	Amended against IEC's comments on 19 Apr 10

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

Ove Arup & Partners
奧雅納工程顧問

Our ref 25211/L185/CN/cl

Date 19 April 2010

Level 5, Festival Walk
80 Tat Chee Avenue
Kowloon Tong, Kowloon
Hong Kong
Tel +852 2528 3031
Fax +852 2268 3950
Direct Tel +852 2268 3097
coleman.ng@arup.com

www.arup.com

By Fax and Post

Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited
25/F, Millennium City 6
392 Kwun Tong Road
Kowloon
Hong Kong

Attention: Ms. Jenny LUI

ARUP

Dear Ms. Lui,

Contract No. DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen King San and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Monthly EM&A Report for KT13 (March 2010) – Version 2

We refer to the captioned report (ref.: TCS00408/08/600/R1417v2) and advise that we have no further comment on the captioned submission.

We hereby endorse the captioned report for your onward submission.

If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely,



Coleman Ng
Independent Environmental Checker

cc: China Road and Bridge Corporation (Mr. Raymond Mau) (Fax: 2478 9612)
AUES (Mr. TW Tam / Ms. Nicola Hon) (Fax: 2959 6079)

Executive Summary

- ES01 This is the **18th** monthly EM&A report for the Channel KT13, covering the construction period from **26 February to 25 March 2010** (the Reporting Period).

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

- ES02 Monitoring results of the Reporting Period demonstrated no exceedances of environmental quality criteria for air quality, construction noise, water quality monitoring.
- ES03 In this reporting period, no construction works were carried out within 100m of the ecological buffer area. For the ecology monitoring, by-weekly Ho Pui egret and monthly Man On Kong egret monitoring were conducted in this reporting month. It is reported that no nest was found at the both surveys. In comparing the monitoring result in 2009, no exceedance was recorded in this reporting month.
- ES04 Five (5) events of weekly settlement monitoring and a condition survey were undertaken in this reporting month. For the condition survey, it was reported that no major signs of settlement of the foundations or structural cracks identified. However, there were two (2) action level exceedances recorded on the settlement monitoring. Investigation for the cause of exceedances was conducted and it was noted that the measured levels are regularly fluctuated within $\pm 2\text{mm}$ which possibly caused by the root encroachment of overgrown vegetation. In addition, construction works undertaken by others were observed within 100m of the grave (our monitoring area) and a platform for car parking was built and in used by the villager. It is concluded that the exceedances were not related to the works under the project.
- ES05 Landscape inspections were conducted on **5 and 19 March 2010**. No significant changes were observed for the identified landscape resources and visual sensitive receivers, except for minor changes due to channel excavation, site clearance and preparation work at the identified landscape resources including LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4.

Environmental Complaint, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

- ES06 No documented complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received during the Reporting Period. No major environmental impacts were observed during the weekly site inspection. Environmental audit of the Reporting Period indicated that the implemented mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and ecology were effective. Minor deficiencies found in the weekly site inspection were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.

Reporting Changes

- ES07 No reporting changes were made during the Reporting Period.

Future Key Issues

- ES08 As wet season is approaching, water quality mitigation measures to avoid ingress of runoff into Channel KT13 should be properly installed and maintained, as appropriate. In addition, the implemented mitigation measures such as sand bags downstream of the excavation site may also be improved to cater for additional water flows.
- ES09 CRBC was reminded to implement the required air quality mitigation measures during construction under the Project, in particular when excavation are undertaken or any soil stockpile located within the working site and dust emissions is generated and impacted surrounding environmental nearby Channel KT13.

- ES10 Special attention should be paid to construction noise and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual as recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule.

Table of Contents

1	ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS	- 1 -
1.1	PROJECT AREA AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME	- 1 -
1.2	WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	- 1 -
1.3	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION.....	- 1 -
1.4	LICENSING STATUS.....	- 1 -
1.5	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND POLLUTION CONTROL MITIGATION MEASURES	- 2 -
2	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	- 3 -
2.1	MONITORING PARAMETERS	- 3 -
2.2	MONITORING LOCATIONS	- 3 -
2.3	MONITORING FREQUENCY, DURATION AND SCHEDULE.....	- 4 -
2.4	MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE	- 6 -
2.5	QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES AND DATA MANAGEMENT	- 10 -
2.6	REPORTING	- 11 -
3	MONITORING RESULTS	- 12 -
3.1	AIR QUALITY	- 12 -
3.2	CONSTRUCTION NOISE	- 13 -
3.3	WATER QUALITY	- 14 -
3.4	ECOLOGY	- 15 -
3.5	WASTE MANAGEMENT, CULTURAL HERITAGE AND LANDSCAPE & VISUAL.....	- 15 -
4	NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS, SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION AND OTHERS	- 19 -
4.1	NON-COMPLIANCE	- 19 -
4.2	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT	- 19 -
4.3	NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION	- 19 -
4.4	OTHERS	- 19 -
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	- 21 -

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Summary of Monitoring Parameters
Table 2-2	Summary of Monitoring Locations
Table 2-4-2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-4-3	Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-4-4	Water Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-6	Requirements for Report Submission
Table 3-1-1	Air Quality Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-1-2-1	Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A1(a)
Table 3-1-2-2	Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A2
Table 3-2-1	Construction Noise Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-2-2-1	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N1(a)
Table 3-2-2-2	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N2(a)
Table 3-2-2-3	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N3
Table 3-3-1	Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring
Table 3-4-1	Ecological Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-4-2	Summary of KT13 Ecology Impact Monitoring Bird Survey
Table 3-5-2	Cultural Heritage Resources Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-5-3	Record of Five Settlement Marker Points of the Qing Dynasty Grave
Table 4-4-1	Summary of Findings of Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Location Plan of the Project and Environmental Monitoring Locations under the Project
Appendix B	Construction Program
Appendix C	Environmental Management Organization and Contacts of Key Personnel
Appendix D	Monitoring Schedules and Meteorological Data
Appendix E	Calibration Certificates and HOKLAS-Accreditation Certificate
Appendix F	Event Action Plan
Appendix G	Environmental Monitoring Results and Graphical Plots
Appendix H	Photographic Records of Ecological Monitoring of Vegetation
Appendix I	Condition Survey of the Grave during Construction Phase
Appendix J	Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13
Appendix K	Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

1 ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

This is the 18th monthly EM&A report for KT13, covering the construction period from **26 February to 25 March 2010** (the Reporting Period).

1.1 PROJECT AREA AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

Drawings showing the project area, any environmental sensitive receivers and the locations of the monitoring and control stations are presented in **Appendix A**, and the construction program in **Appendix B**.

1.2 WORKS UNDERTAKEN DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

Apart from general works of tree survey, structural survey and environmental monitoring & audit, works undertaken during the Reporting Period with fine tuning of construction activities showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures for the month are summarized as follows:

- Excavation of channel formation
- Construction of channel structure
- Backfilling
- Installation of type 2 railing
- Laying underground drain pipe
- Laying of Gabion Block/Granite Block
- Condition survey for historic grave (KT13-02-02)

1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION

Management structure and key personnel contact names and telephone numbers of the environmental management organization are presented in Appendix C, where DSD is the Project Proponent; CRBC is the main Contractor; EPD and AFCD are the supervisory departments for environmental protection of the Project; BVHKL is the Engineer's Representative of DSD (the ER); ARUP is the Independent Environmental Checker (the IEC) and Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) is the environmental team (the ET).

1.4 LICENSING STATUS

1.4.1 Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

Pursuant to the **Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation**, CRBC has notified EPD, via submission of Form NA dated 14 February 2008, of the scope and nature of the works to be carried out under the Project, including construction activities such as stockpiling, loading and unloading, transfer of dusty material, use of vehicles and debris handling, etc. CRBC will continuously review the status of the environmental licenses and apply the required licenses/permits prior to the commencement of construction work.

1.4.2 Noise Control Ordinance

No **Construction Noise Permit** (CNP) is required for the Project pursuant to the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and the associated applicable subsidiary regulations of **Noise Control (General) Regulation, Noise Control (Hand-held Percussive Breaker) Regulation and Noise Control (Air Compressor) Regulation**, as the use of powered mechanical equipment, or conducting construction work in during restricted hours, i.e. 1900 to 0700 hours on normal weekdays and any time on general holidays including Sundays is not anticipated during the whole construction period. CRBC will continuously review the status of the environmental licenses under the NCO and apply the required licenses/permits prior to the commencement of construction work.

- 1.4.3 Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation
CRBC has applied for a Billing Account (Construction Work Contract with Value of \$1million or above), under the **Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation**. The account number 7006524 has been assigned on 9 Jan 2008.
- 1.4.4 Water Pollution Control Ordinance
CRBC has applied for a discharge license under Section 20 of the **Water Pollution Control Ordinance**, and the license No. 1U461/1 has been issued.
- 1.4.5 Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation
CRBC has registered as a Chemical Waste Producer with EPD under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and the Waste Producer Number assigned is WPN: 5611-531-C3124-28 dated 2 May 08.
- 1.4.6 Dumping at Sea Permit
CRBC has been granted by the Environmental Protection Department a Permit Issued under the **Dumping at Sea Ordinance** (Permit no. EP/I4D/08-095, dated 18 September 2008, permit validity period of six months from 18 September 2008 to 17 March 2009) for disposal of 18,469 m³ sediment, requiring Type 1 – open sea disposal at East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IV b, to be capped as directed by the Management Team of the Civil Engineering and Development Department. Note that this permit has expired. As there is no need for further sea disposal, no further permits will be required in the future.
- 1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND POLLUTION CONTROL MITIGATION MEASURES
CRBC has committed to implement environmental protection and pollution control and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EIA, EP, EM&A Manuals, and summarized in the Mitigation Measures Implementation Schedules. The implemented mitigation measures include
- (a) Watering of stockpiles of rip-rap at KT13;
 - (b) Covering of the loose soil at KT13 to minimize water quality impacts;
 - (c) Hard pavement of haul road leading to public roads at KT13;
 - (d) Classification and disposal of illegally dumped construction and demolition materials at KT13;
 - (e) Construction of noise barriers; and
 - (f) Erection of dams with sand bags downstream the excavation site within the water course of KT13 to enhance sedimentation of turbidity and suspended solids (SS).

2 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

2.1 MONITORING PARAMETERS

According to the EM&A requirements set out in the EIA, Environmental Permit No. EP263/2007 (the EP) and the associated EM&A Manual, the required monitoring parameters are summarized as follows.

Table 2-1 Summary of Monitoring Parameters

Environmental Issue	Monitoring Parameters	
Air Quality	(a) 1-hour Total Suspended Particulate (1-hour TSP); and (b) 24-hour Total Suspended Particulate (24-hour TSP).	
Construction Noise	(a) A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (30min) (Leq(30min)) during the normal working hours; and (b) A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (5min) (Leq(5min)) for construction work during the Restricted Hours.	
Water Quality	(a) In Situ Measurement	temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH & turbidity
	(b) Laboratory Analysis	suspended solids (SS), Ammonia Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) and Zinc (Zn)
Ecology	Vegetation, all bird species of wetland, Ho Pui Egret, Ma On Hong Egret and Flight Line Survey	
Waste Management	Inspection and the document audit	
Cultural Heritage	Condition survey for a historical grave	
Landscape & Visual	To audit the implementation of the proposed construction phase mitigation measure stipulated in EIA.	

2.2 MONITORING LOCATIONS

Details of the monitoring locations are summarized in **Table 2-2** and shown in **Appendix A**. For ease of reference, monitoring locations denoted with “(a)” are relocated locations to differentiate them from the original ‘EM&A Manual’ locations.

Table 2-2 Summary of Monitoring Locations

Environmental Issues	Monitoring Location	Identified Address / Co-ordinates	Status of Monitoring Locations / Rationale for Recommended Replacement
Air	A1(a)	No.68 Ho Pui Village	The original location of EM&A Manuals A1 has permanently been abandoned. No access can be acquired in the vicinity of A1. Taken into consideration that Ho Pui Village is one of the most important sensitive receivers near KT-13 without monitoring, the most fronting house, No. 68 Ho Pui Village, is therefore recommended as the replacement location A1(a).
	A2	No.1 Ma On Kong Village	Original location of the EM&A Manual; access granted.
Noise	N1(a)	168-169 Kam Ho Road, Ma On Kong Village,	Original location of N1 identified in the EM&A Manual was relocated to proposed area as recommended by IEC.
	N2(a)	No. 68 Ho Pui Village,	The original location of EM&A Manuals N2 has permanently been abandoned. No access can be acquired in the vicinity of N2. Taken into consideration that Ho Pui Village is one of the most important sensitive receivers near KT-13 without monitoring, the most fronting house, No. 68 Ho Pui Village, is therefore recommended as the replacement location N2(a).
	N3	No.1 Ma On Kong Village	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access granted.
Water	W1	E824539 / N830283	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.

Environmental Issues	Monitoring Location	Identified Address / Co-ordinates	Status of Monitoring Locations / Rationale for Recommended Replacement
	W2	E824693 / N830258	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
	W3(a)	E824833 / N830374	The W3 is proposed to be relocated about 55 m down stream to W3(a) for safety reason as there is no any discharge point observed between W3 and the proposed W3(a).
	W4	E824936 / N830618	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
	W5	E825008 / N830812	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
	W6	E825100 / N830987	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
Ecology	Monthly monitoring along the boundary of the works area to confirm that there are no adverse impacts on habitats outside the site in particular the Conservation Area (CA) zone and Ho Pui Egretty. Photographic records at six-month intervals; Monthly monitoring of all bird numbers including wetland species and species identified as being of conservation importance; Monitoring of Ho Pui egretty during March to August. The Ma On Kong egretty is also surveyed to provide reference information on the breeding egrets nearby; and Flight line surveys twice per month during April to June.		
Waste Management	Whole construction site and document		
Cultural Heritage	Ma On Kong	Refer to EM&A Manual (KT13) Figure 7.1.	
Landscape & Visual	Refer to EIA Section 10		

2.3 MONITORING FREQUENCY, DURATION AND SCHEDULE

2.3.1 Monitoring Frequency and Duration

Environmental monitoring is conducted upon commencement of the construction activities and throughout the whole construction period to detect and minimize any adverse environmental impacts generated from the construction activities of the Project. The monitoring frequency and duration for air quality, construction noise, water quality, ecology and other parameters are summarized below.

Air Quality

Frequency: Once every 6 days for 24-hour TSP and three times every 6 days for 1-hour TSP, when the highest construction dust impacts are anticipated.

Duration: Throughout the construction period

Construction Noise

Frequency: Measurement of Leq(30min): Once a week during 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays. If the construction work is undertake at restricted hours, the frequency of noise monitoring will be conducted in accordance with the requirements under the related Construction Noise Permit issued by EPD as follows:

- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) at restrict hour from 1700 – 2300 hours;
- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) for restrict hour from 2300 – 0700 hours next day;
- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) for Sunday or public holiday from 0700 – 1900 hours;

Duration: Throughout the construction period

Water Quality

Frequency: Three times a week with at least 36 hour intervals between any two consecutive monitoring events

As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Depths: As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Duration: Throughout the construction period.

Ecology

The Ecology Monitoring is required in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

Parameters: Vegetation, All bird species including wetland birds, Ho Pui and Ma On Hong Egrettries and Flight line survey

Frequency: Vegetation – Impact monitoring – monthly;
Photographic records/checks against baseline records– six monthly
Wetland Bird survey – Monthly of half-day survey;
Ma On Kong egrettry – Monthly between March to August; and
Ho Pui egrettry – Bi-weekly between March and August;
Flight line Survey – twice per Month during the period from April to June

Duration: Throughout the whole construction period

Waste Management Audit

Frequency: Once per month

Duration: Throughout the construction period.

Cultural Heritage

Scope: Condition survey and settlement monitoring of a Qing Dynasty Grave.

Frequency: Condition survey - Bi-monthly
Settlement monitoring - Bi-weekly

Duration: Throughout the construction phase period. (When construction work entered the 100m of the cultural heritage site)

Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

Duration: Throughout the construction phase period.

2.3.2 Environmental Monitoring Schedule

The monitoring schedules for the Reporting Period and next month are presented in **Appendix D**.

2.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE

The monitoring equipment and procedures are summarized below. Calibration certificates of the equipment and the related laboratories are presented in **Appendix E**.

2.4.1 Weather Conditions during the Reporting Period

All meteorological information is extracted from the Hong Kong Observatory (Lau Fau Shan Station). The meteorological data include wind direction, wind speed, humidity, rainfall, air pressure and temperature etc., that are generally required for evaluating the environmental impact arising from the construction activities. The meteorological data are presented in **Appendix D**.

2.4.2 Air Quality

Monitoring Equipment

A list of air quality monitoring equipment is shown below.

Table 2-4-2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Serial Number
24-hour TSP		
High Volume Air Sampler	Grasby Anderson GMWS 2310 HVS	-
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A	1612
1-hour TSP		
Portable Dust Meter	TSI DustTrak Model 8520	21060 / 23080 / 23079

Monitoring Procedure

1-hour TSP

The 1-hour TSP measurement follows manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual, using a 1-hour TSP monitor brand named TSI Dust Track Aerosol Monitor Model 8520 or Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust Meter, which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer to record the real time 1-hour TSP based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:

- (a) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
- (b) A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
- (c) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

The 1-hour TSP meter to be used will be within the valid period, calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument will be checked before and after each monitoring event.

24-hour TSP

The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is the high volume air sampling system (hereinafter 'HVS') brand named Thermo Andersen, Model GS2310 TSP. The HVS complies with US EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The HVS consists of the following:

- (a) An anodized aluminum shelter;
- (b) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
- (c) A blower motor assembly;
- (d) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
- (e) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f) A 6-day mechanical timer, and
- (g) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis following the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator brand named TISCH Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Regular HVS operation and maintenance as well as filter paper installation and collection is performed by the ET's competent technicians, whereas

laboratory analyses are conducted in a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (herein after 'ALS'). The 24-hour TSP filters of the 24-hour TSP will be kept in ALS for six months prior to disposal.

All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper are recorded in details.

2.4.3 Construction Noise

Monitoring Equipment

A list of construction noise monitoring equipment is shown below.

Table 2-4-3 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Serial Number
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Cesva SC-20c/ Bruel & Kjaer 2238	T212509 2285762 / 2285690
Calibrator	Cesva CB-5 / Bruel & Kjaer 4231	030934 2292168 / 2326408
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer	-

Monitoring Procedure

Sound level meters listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO).

All noise measurements will be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq). Leq(30 min) in six consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements will be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays throughout the construction period. Leq(15min) in three consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours) will only be conducted for monitoring the construction noise during restricted hours as necessary.

The sound level meter is mounted on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield is fitted for all measurements. Where a measurement is to be carried out at a building, the assessment point is normally at a position 1 m from the exterior of the building façade. Where a measurement is to be made for noise being received at a place other than a building, the assessment point is at a position 1.2 m above the ground in a free-field situation, i.e. at least 3.5 m away from reflective surfaces such as adjacent buildings or walls.

Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements will be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0dB. No noise measurement will be made in the present of significant fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed is checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

2.4.4 Water Quality

Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Equipment for water quality is listed below.

Table 2-4-4 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model	Serial Number
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar	-
Water Sampler	Teflon bailer / bucket	-
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI 550A	97F0837AM
pH meter	Extech EC500 (lab ID: HK1001303)	-
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100p	9509010008735
Hand Refractometer	ATAGO	289468
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)	-
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box	-

Monitoring Procedure

Water Depth

As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3 m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6 m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1 m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1 m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6 m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Water depths are determined prior to measurement and sampling, using a portable battery operated depth detector, brand named 'Eagle Sonar', if the depths exceed 1.5 meter. For the depths well below 1 meter, the depths of water columns are measured with a steel ruler with appropriate weight.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

A portable YSI 550A DO Meter will be used for in-situ DO measurement. The DO meter is capable of measuring DO in the range of 0 - 20 mg/L and 0 - 200 % saturation and checked against water saturated ambient air on each monitoring day prior to monitoring. Although the DO Meter automatically compensates ambient water temperature to a standard temperature of 20°C for ease of comparison of the data under the changing reality, the temperature readings of the DO Meter will be recorded in the field data sheets. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

pH

A portable Extech pH Meter will be used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1. Standard buffer solutions of pH 7 and pH 10 are used for calibration of the instrument before and after measurement. Quarterly calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS.

Turbidity

A portable Hach 2100p turbidity Meter will be used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

Salinity

A portable hand Refractometer AGATO will be used for in-situ salinity measurement. The refractometer is capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-70ppt with accuracy ±1% reading. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

Suspended Solids (SS)

SS will be determined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical method - ALS Method EA-025.

Ammonia Nitrogen(NH₃-N)

NH₃-N will be examined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical methods - ALS Method EK-055A.

Zinc(Zn)

Zn will be analyzed by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical methods - ALS Method EG-020.

Water Sampler

Water samples will be collected using a plastic sampler to prevent metal contamination. As the water depths in the stream water within KT13 are generally less than 0.5 m, a plastic bucket with a rope of appropriate length is used for water sampling. The sampler is rinsed before collection with the sample to be taken. For water depths deeper than 0.5 meter, a cleaned plastic bailer bucket will be used for sample collection.

1000 mL water sample is collected from each depth for SS determination. The samples collected are stored in a cool box maintained at 4⁰C and delivered to ALS upon completion of the sampling by end of each sampling day.

Sample Container

Water samples are contained in screw-cap PE (Poly-Ethylene) bottles, which are provided and pretreated according to corresponding HOKLAS and ALS analytical requirements. Where appropriate, the sampling bottles are rinsed with the water to be contained. Water samples are then transferred from the water sampler to the sampling bottles to 95% bottle capacity to allow possible volume expansion during delivery and storage.

Sample Storage

A 'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the collected water samples prior to arrival at ALS. The water temperature of the cool box will be maintained at a temperature as close to 4⁰C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected will be delivered to the laboratory upon collection within the maximum storage time required under the HOKLAS and ALS analytical requirements

2.4.5 Ecology

Monthly walk through survey will be conducted along the boundary of work area for KT13. Bird monitoring will be conducted in the study areas monthly for KT13. Monitoring on the Ho Pui egretty and Ma On Kong egretty will be conducted between March to August. Flight line surveys to record the feeding areas and the habitat use of breeding egrets will be conducted between April to June. Photographic record should be made at six month intervals.

Monitoring Equipment

The following equipment will be used for monitoring:-

Standard portable field survey equipment was used for ecological monitoring, including

- (a) Binoculars of 10 x 40 magnifications;
- (b) Digital camera; and
- (c) Notebook.

Study Area

The areas for the ecological monitoring programme would cover 60 m on either side of the existing channel as well as the proposed bypass culvert, as shown in Figure 6.1 of the EM&A Manual. Within these, emphasis will be given to the area around the Ho Pui and Ma On Kong egretties and habitats of at least moderate ecological value. In addition, monitoring would also be undertaken at the Ho Pui egretty and Ma On Kong egretty (The Ma On Kong egretty is outside the demarcated monitoring area but is also monitored to identify any adverse effects on the breeding egrets).

Survey Method

Monthly monitoring will be conducted by means of walk through survey, along the boundary of work area for KT13. Any adverse impacts to the habitats outside the site, in

particular the Conservation Area (CA) zone and Ho Pui Egret, will be checked and reported.

Photographic records will be made every six months on the fixed photo record points selected during the baseline survey. The photos from the construction phase ecological monitoring will be compared with those taken during the baseline, which are used as the baseline conditions.

Bird monitoring will be conducted in the study areas monthly for KT13. Attention should be paid on wetland species and species identified as being of conservation importance, and the habitats utilized should also be recorded. Bird surveys should commence no later than 2 hours after dawn.

Monitoring on the Ho Pui egret and Ma On Kong egret will be conducted between March to August. The frequency would be twice per month during March to May. Depending upon the nesting conditions at Ho Pui egret, the frequency could be reduced to monthly between June and August if no egret nest found by the end of May, or maintained at twice per month till the end of August if there are egret nests. Number of active nests, species and number of birds present and breeding stage should be recorded. Flight line surveys to record the feeding areas and the habitat use of breeding egrets will be conducted twice per month between April to June. The number and species of flying egrets, and their landing habitats and locations should be recorded.

2.4.6 Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual

Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual monitoring is required for KT13 as stipulated in the EM&A manual [382047/E/EMA/Issue 5] **Section 5**, **Section 7** and **Section 8** accordingly.

Waste Management

During the monthly audit, ETL will pay attention to the issues relating to waste management, and check whether the Contractor has followed the relevant contract Specifications and the procedures specified under the law of HKSAR.

Cultural Heritage

Condition survey by a qualified archaeologist is required for the historical grave near Ma On Kong before and during the construction phase. The method statement of condition survey of Ma On Kong Historic Grave (KT13-02-02) was issued to EPD and endorsed on 27 July 2008, the frequency of the condition survey during the construction phase and given the open cut method would be adopted for the construction of the proposed bypass box culvert under KT13 project, subject to the result of the condition survey carried out before the construction stage, it is recommended that bi-monthly condition survey be undertaken during the construction work within 100m area from the grave.

Landscape and Visual

In accordance with the EM&A manual [382047/E/EMA/Issue5] **Section 8** landscape and visual mitigation measures are required during construction and operation phase. Site inspection will be undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the proposed mitigation measures.

2.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES AND DATA MANAGEMENT

2.5.1 Documentation of the Environmental Monitoring

Field data including in-situ monitoring results, weather conditions and water sampling information and observation will be recorded in corresponding Field Data Sheets, which will be signed and dated by the respective environmental technician prior to submission to the ETL for validation and endorsement at the end of the monitoring day.

2.5.2 Data Management and Analysis

All impact monitoring data will be processed by the AUES data recording and management system, which complies with in-house Quality (**ISO 9001:2000**) Management System. Monitoring results recorded in the monitoring equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP Meters

and Noise Meters will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of the monitoring period and input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. Laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

2.5.3 Quality Assurance Procedures

Appropriate and standard QA/QC measures will be adopted for the environmental monitoring to ensure the scientific integrity of the data produced. Sources of error in the impact monitoring will be properly controlled with the following QA/QC procedures:

- (a) Appropriate field monitoring and sampling techniques, including monitoring equipment, storage and delivery of samples;
- (b) Well organized systematic field-data system e.g. all baseline monitoring information, field observation, results, weather conditions and water sampling information, etc. will be recorded in the field monitoring record sheets. The laboratory analysis records will be maintained by the HOKLAS following HOKLAS requirements;
- (c) HOKLAS requirements for QA/QC of all laboratory testing to ensure acceptable accuracy and reproducibility of the laboratory analysis indicated by consistent agreement between duplicate samples, validity of the analytical results by compliance with the required blanks and recovery of standard addition.

2.5.4 Records

All impact monitoring data will be clearly and systematically documented in both hardware and software format and the software copy will be available for inspection upon request. All the document and data will be kept for at least one year after completion of the Project. Field Data Sheets used to record the impact monitoring information, field observation, results, weather conditions and water sampling information, etc., will be properly maintained and kept by the ET. The copies of laboratory analysis records from ALS will be kept by the ET throughout the at least one year after completion of the EM&A program of the Project.

2.6 REPORTING

2.6.1 General Requirements for Report Submission

General requirements for Monthly EM&A report submission as stipulated in the EIA, EP and EM&A Manual are summarized below.

Table 2-6 Requirements for Report Submission

Report	Submission
Monthly EM&A Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 10 working days of the end of each reporting month.
Quarterly EM&A Summary Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific requirement, proposed three weeks after endorsement of the 3rd monthly EM&A report within a particular quarter.
Final EM&A Summary Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific requirement, proposed one month upon completion of entire EM&A program

2.6.2 Cut-Off Day of the Reporting Month

It was agreed among the ER, IEC, CRBC, ET and EPD that, in order to streamline the EM&A report submission and to cater for the occasional delay in obtaining laboratory analysis results, the cutoff day for each month is the 25th i.e. the first day of each report is the 26th of the last month and the end day, the 25th of that month.

3 MONITORING RESULTS

The environmental monitoring results will be compared against the Action and Limit Levels established based on the baseline monitoring results and statutory criteria. In case the measured data exceed the environmental quality criteria, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in **Appendix F**. The environmental monitoring results are tabulated below and the details of 24-hour TSP data and graphical plots of trends of monitored parameters at key stations over the past four Reporting Periods are presented in **Appendices G** and **H**.

3.1 AIR QUALITY

3.1.1 Action and Limit Levels

According to the Baseline Monitoring Report for KT13, the Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP are established as follows:

Table 3-1-1 Air Quality Action and Limit Levels

Monitoring Station	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
KT13(A1(a))	309	144	500	260
KT13(A2)	307	141	500	260

3.1.2 Results

Results of air quality monitoring at the identified locations during the Reporting Period are summarized in **Tables 3-1-3-1** and **3-1-3-2** below.

Table 3-1-2-1 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A1(a)

1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)						24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
Date	Start Time	1 st hour	2 nd hour	3 rd hour	Average	Date	Results
1-Mar-10	09:39	84	86	81	84	27-Feb-10	25
6-Mar-10	09:47	83	86	81	83	5-Mar-10	Power Failure
12-Mar-10	09:49	86	89	84	86	11-Mar-10	60
18-Mar-10	09:47	89	92	86	89	17-Mar-10	72
24-Mar-10	13:07	86	88	83	86	23-Mar-10	82
Average (range)		86 (81-92)				Average (range) 60 (25-82)	

Table 3-1-2-2 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A2

1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)						24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
Date	Start Time	1 st hour	2 nd hour	3 rd hour	Average	Date	Results
1-Mar-10	09:14	80	83	78	80	27-Feb-10	16
6-Mar-10	09:27	84	87	82	84	5-Mar-10	18
12-Mar-10	09:21	90	92	87	90	11-Mar-10	40
18-Mar-10	09:30	78	80	75	78	17-Mar-10	54
24-Mar-10	09:47	87	89	85	87	23-Mar-10	38
Average (range)		84 (75-92)				Average (range) 33 (16-54)	

3.1.3 Discussion

As shown in **Tables 3-1-2-1** and **3-1-2-2**, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results fluctuated well below the Action Level. No exceedance of Action or Limit Levels was recorded during the Reporting Period. Neither Notification of Exceedance (hereinafter 'NOE') of air quality criteria or corrective action was required. Power failure of HVS was occurred at Location KT13-A1 on 5 March 2010 and it has been notified all parties by e-mail. There was no additional measurement made for the failure as the power supply was just successfully reconnected close to the next monitoring. Owing to the power failure incident, ET has

examined the potential air quality impact induced by the site activities and the implemented mitigation measure during the week. According to the information provided by the Contractor, only erect of formwork was conducted near Station KT13-A1 and the main source of the air impact was the site traffic. To eliminate the fugitive dust on site, the stockpile and C&D material on site was well covered with the tarpaulin sheet and watering in haul road was recorded. Therefore, it is considered that exceedance related to Project was not likely to occur during the week of power failure.

3.2 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

3.2.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for construction noise are illustrated in **Table 3-2-1**.

Table 3-2-1 Construction Noise Action and Limit Levels

Time Period	Action Level in dB(A)	Limit Level in dB(A)
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	> 75* dB(A)

Note: * Reduces to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during the school examination periods.

3.2.2 Results

Results of construction noise monitoring at the identified locations N1(a), N2(a) and N3 during the Reporting Period are summarized in **Tables 3-2-2-1 to 3-2-2-3**.

The baseline monitoring for N1(a) and N2(a) was performed on the 1st floor of the bedroom of 168-169 Kam Ho Road, Ma On Kong Village and No. 68 Ho Pui Village respectively. The impact noise monitoring, however, was performed on the ground floor of the same house due to denial of access to the 1st floor. The change of noise monitoring from 1st floor to ground floor will negate the need for a 3dB(A) façade correction but will not introduce any significant difference in detection and minimization of the of construction noise impacts, or alteration of the established A/L Levels. The ET has obtained the approval from EPD with consultation with the ER and IEC.

Table 3-2-2-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N1(a)

Date	Start Time	1 st set Leq5	2 nd set Leq5	3 rd set Leq5	4 th set Leq5	5 th set Leq5	6 th set Leq5	Leq30
1-Mar-10	11:30	66.9	67.2	67.4	67.3	66.8	67.6	67.2
6-Mar-10	11:30	64.7	64.2	64.6	64.5	64.3	64.5	64.5
12-Mar-10	11:30	66.9	66.4	66.8	66.7	67.0	66.8	66.8
18-Mar-10	13:40	66.1	65.9	65.8	66.0	65.4	65.6	65.8
24-Mar-10	13:51	65.9	66.1	65.7	66.4	66.3	66.1	66.1
Limit Level								75 dB(A)

Table 3-2-2-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N2(a)

Date	Start Time	1 st set Leq5	2 nd set Leq5	3 rd set Leq5	4 th set Leq5	5 th set Leq5	6 th set Leq5	Leq30
1-Mar-10	10:21	60.2	60.1	60.0	60.4	59.8	59.7	60.0
6-Mar-10	10:27	58.7	59.0	59.2	58.4	58.6	58.8	58.8
12-Mar-10	10:20	63.9	63.7	63.6	64.0	63.7	63.8	63.8
18-Mar-10	10:54	60.9	60.7	60.6	61.0	60.5	60.7	60.7
24-Mar-10	10:49	66.2	66.4	66.7	66.5	66.3	66.6	66.5
Limit Level								75 dB(A)

Table 3-2-2-3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N3

Date	Start Time	1 st set Leq5	2 nd set Leq5	3 rd set Leq5	4 th set Leq5	5 th set Leq5	6 th set Leq5	Leq30
1-Mar-10	10:57	65.2	65.9	66.1	65.7	66.4	65.6	65.8
6-Mar-10	10:59	65.7	65.6	65.4	66.0	65.9	65.7	65.7
12-Mar-10	10:55	68.1	68.4	67.9	68.2	67.5	67.8	68.0
18-Mar-10	13:04	67.8	67.6	67.6	67.9	67.5	67.3	67.6
24-Mar-10	13:07	67.2	67.4	67.7	67.1	67.6	67.8	67.5
Limit Level								75 dB(A)

3.2.3 Discussion

As shown in **Tables 3-2-2-1, Table 3-2-2-2** and **Table 3-2-2-3**, all the construction noise results fluctuated well below the Limit Level. No exceedance of Limit Level or documented construction complaint was recorded during the Reporting Period. No NOE or corrective action was therefore required.

3.3 WATER QUALITY

3.3.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for water quality are illustrated in **Table 3-3-1**.

Table 3-3-1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Location	DO (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS (mg/L)		Ammonia (µg/L)		Zinc (µg/L)	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
W1 (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
W2 (Downstream) Impact Station	1.04	1.00	36.81	37.16	8.65	8.69	79.0	86.2	16.85	16.89	234.95	266.19
W3(a) (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
W4 (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
W5 (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
W6 (Downstream) Impact Station	0.93	0.91	27.88	30.02	8.7	8.7	73.40	78.68	51.62	54.56	191.90	201.58

3.3.2 Results

Water quality monitoring results measured at W1, W2, W3(a), W4, W5 and W6 during the Reporting Period are presented in tabulation and graphical plots in **Appendix G**.

3.3.2 Discussion

In this Reporting Period, no exceedance was recorded at both impact stations.

DO, Turbidity, SS, Zinc and NH₄⁺-N

No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels of DO, turbidity, suspended solid, Zinc and NH₄⁺-N were recorded during the Reporting Period. No Notifications of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedances (NOE) or corrective actions were therefore required for these parameters.

pH

During this reporting month, pH value recorded at all sampling points within 6.6 to 8.3 were

below the Action and Limit Levels set out 8.65 and 8.69 at W2, and 8.7 at W6.

3.4 ECOLOGY

3.4.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for Construction Ecology Monitoring are shown in **Table 3-4-1** to according with the EM&A manual.

Table 3-4-1 Ecological Action and Limit Levels

Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level
Decrease in number of breeding egrets since previous year	>20%	> 40%

3.4.2 Results

Fifty-seven (57) individuals of birds from **twenty-one (21)** species were recorded during the survey on **15 March 2010**. Among the birds recorded, **eight (8)** individuals of wetland dependent birds (from **4 species**) were recorded.

It is stated in the EP for KT13 that the monitoring of the Ho Pui egretty shall be carried out during the period from 1st March to 31st August as specified in the EM&A Manual. If no egret nest is found at the egretty during the period from 1st March to 31st May, the Permit Holder can start the construction works within 100m of the ecological buffer area upon obtaining the Director's approval until February in the next year. If egret nests are found during the period from 1st March to 31st August, no construction shall take place within 100m of the ecological buffer area before 1st October.

In addition, it is required in the EM&A manual that biweekly monitoring of the Ho Pui egretty for the period from 1st March to end of May is required. Should no egret nest be found at the Ho Pui egretty by the end of May, monitoring frequency from June to August can be downgraded to Monthly.

Biweekly egretty surveys on Ho Pui Egretty were conducted on 2 and 15 March 2010. No nest was found at the Ho Pui egretty during these surveys. Even though, as there had been no nest recorded at Ho Pui egretty in 2009, the action/limit level for ecology is complied.

Ma On Kong egretty was also surveyed 15 March 2010 to provide reference information on the breeding. No nest was found at Ma On Kong egretty neither. Flight line surveys are required between April to June and thus not needed in the present monitoring.

During the walk through survey on 15 March 2010, other than the bamboo trees which are within Ho Pui Egretty boundary as shown in the EM&A manual and had been found to be cleared by villagers during site inspection on 11 July 2009, no further adverse impacts on habitats outside the boundary of the works area including the Conservation Area and the remaining Ho Pui Egretty was found.

Photo records of trees are required in this reporting month which presented in **Appendix H**. Ecological impact monitoring results are presented in the **Table 3-4-2**.

Tree conditions comparison for KT13

323 individual trees were covered by the tree survey report. Among them, 142 individuals were missing trees, which had been felled with unknown reasons before the site was taken over by the Contractor. Compare with the last tree survey in September 2009, 8 individual

trees (T194, T195, T241, T245, T268, T742, T930 and T935) were fell as instructed by RE under VO28.

For the existing 173 trees, 47 individuals have been approved for felling, 70 individuals should be retained, and 56 individuals should be transplanted. There are also 8 dead trees.

Compared with the baseline conditions recorded before the construction commencement, most planned felling and transplantation works have been completed. So the trees currently in the works areas have decreased in number. But the retained trees are marked or fenced off for protection. As the missing trees had been felled before the site was taken over, they are not considered to be affected by the present project.

Table 3-4-2 Summary of KT13 Ecology Impact Monitoring Bird Survey

Scientific Name	Common Name	Reported in the project profile	Abundance recorded in the present survey (15 March 2010)	Habitat utilized
Birds				
Little Egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	✓	2	Stream
Cattle Egret	<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>	✓		
Chinese Pond Heron	<i>Ardeola bacchus</i>	✓	2	Stream
Crested Serpent Eagle	<i>Spilornis cheela</i>	✓		
Bonelli's Eagle	<i>Hieraaetus fasciatus</i>	✓		
Eurasian Hobby	<i>Falco subbuteo</i>	✓		
White-breasted Waterhen	<i>Amaunornis phoenicurus</i>	✓	3	Stream
Spotted Dove	<i>Streptopelia chinensis</i>	✓	5	Woodland, bare ground
Common Koel	<i>Eudynamis scolopacea</i>	✓	1	Woodland
Greater Coucal	<i>Centropus sinensis</i>	✓		
Little Swift	<i>Apus affinis</i>	✓		
White-Throated Kingfisher	<i>Halcyon smyrnensis</i>	✓	1	Stream
Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	✓	3	Bare ground
Red-Whiskered Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i>	✓	4	Woodland, bare ground
Chinese Bulbul	<i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i>	✓	3	Woodland
Long-Tailed Shrike	<i>Lanius schach</i>	✓		Bare ground
Oriental Magpie Robin	<i>Copsychus saularis</i>	✓	1	Bare ground
Masked Laughingthrush	<i>Garrulax perspicillatus</i>	✓	3	Woodland,
Yellow-Bellied Prinia	<i>Prinia flaviventris</i>	✓	2	Low lying grassland
Common Tailorbird	<i>Orthotomus sutorius</i>	✓	2	Woodland
Great Tit	<i>Parus major</i>	✓	1	Woodland
Japanese White-Eye	<i>Zosterops japonicus</i>	✓	4	Woodland, low lying grassland
White-Rumped Munia	<i>Lonchura striata</i>	✓	5	Low lying grassland
Eurasian Tree Sparrow	<i>Passer montanus</i>	✓	5	Bare ground, lying grassland
Black-Collared Starling	<i>Sturnus nigricollis</i>	✓	3	Bare ground,
Common Myna	<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	✓		
Crested Myna	<i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i>	✓	3	Bare ground
White Wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	\	2	Stream
Common Sandpiper	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	\	2	Stream
Species Number		27	21	
Individual Number		NA	57	

*Wetland dependent species recorded with abundance during the baseline study with the names bolded

3.5 WASTE MANAGEMENT, CULTURAL HERITAGE AND LANDSCAPE & VISUAL

3.5.1 Waste Management

In order to comply with the waste management requirements, CRBC has been:

- (a) Assigned, since 9 Jan 2008, a Billing Account (account number 7006524) under the **Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation**;
- (b) Issued a Discharge License No. 1U461/1 under Section 20 of the **Water Pollution Control Ordinance**;
- (c) Registered as a Chemical Waste Producer under the **Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation** (the Waste Producer Number assigned is WPN: 5611-531-C3124-28 dated 2 May 08); and
- (d) Granted by the Environmental Protection Department a Permit Issued under the **Dumping at Sea Ordinance** (Permit no. EP/I4D/08-095, dated 18 September 2008, permit validity period of six months from 18 September 2008 to 17 March 2009) for 18,469 m³ sediment requiring Type 1 – open sea disposal at East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IV b to be capped as directed by the management Team of the CEDD.

3.5.2 Cultural Heritage

The Action and Limit Levels for Cultural Heritage are shown in **Table 3-5-2** according to the EM&A Manual.

Table 3-5-2 Cultural Heritage Resources Action and Limit Levels

Action Level	Limit Level
When damage or structural instability is first detected	Signs of deterioration and structural instability continues on subsequent visits after Action Level is triggered

The historical grave KT13-02-02 was identified during the EIA stage of the project. A pre-construction condition survey report was issued in **July 2008** and already agreed by AMO. The details of the grave could be referred to in a report entitled “*Pre-construction condition survey on July 2008*”.

During the Reporting Period, construction work at Channel KT13 had entered the area within 100m of the cultural heritage site (the grave) since 21 October 2009. To update the condition of the grave, supplementary information of condition survey was undertaken on **31 August 2009** (when no construction activities were carried out within 100m areas from the grave). The monitoring result of the supplementary survey would be adopted as the updated initial reading of the settlement level as agreed by ET and IEC

Under the current EM&A programme and approved monitoring methodology, the condition survey would be conducted by ERM Limited on a bi-monthly basis and the settlement monitoring will be conducted by CRBC, again bi-weekly. Should any exceedance was recorded, the frequency of the settlement monitoring shall be increased to weekly and the condition survey shall be increased to bi-weekly. In the settlement monitoring, five settlement marker points (13GS01 to 13GS05) were established to record the coordinates and elevation of the grave in order to monitor any ground movement or settlement during the construction works.

In this reporting period, weekly settlement monitoring was taken on **27 February, 3, 10, 20 and 25 March 2010** to compare with the initial readings to determine if there is any significant tilting or settlement of the grave. The Condition Survey of the Grave during construction phase has undertaken on 27 February 2010 which enclosed in **Appendix I**. For the conditional survey, it was reported that no major signs of settlement of the foundations or structural cracks identified. However, there were two (2) action level exceedances recorded on the settlement monitoring. Investigation for the cause of

exceedances was conducted and it was noted that the measured levels are regularly fluctuated within $\pm 2\text{mm}$ which possibly caused by the root encroachment of overgrown vegetation. Also, construction works undertaken by others were observed within 100m of the grave (our monitoring area) and a platform for car parking was built and in used by the villager. It is concluded that the exceedance was not related to the works under the project. Due to the exceedances recorded in the settlement monitoring, the frequency of monitoring shall be increase accordingly and it would be reported in next reporting month. The settlement monitoring results are shown in **Table 3-5-3**.

Table 3-5-3 Record of Five Settlement Marker Points of the Qing Dynasty Grave

Monitoring Point Date	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)
	13GS01		13GS02		13GS03		13GS04		13GS05	
31/08/09 (Initial reading)	19.222	0	19.985	0	20.644	0	19.943	0	19.211	0
27/02/10	19.222	0	19.985	0	20.643	-1	19.944	1	19.210	-1
03/03/10	19.223	+1	19.985	0	20.643	-1	19.945	+2 (action)	19.211	0
10/03/10	19.222	0	19.985	0	20.644	0	19.945	+2 (action)	19.210	-1
20/03/10	19.223	+1	19.986	+1	20.644	0	19.943	0	19.211	0
25/03/10	19.222	0	19.985	0	20.643	-1	19.944	+1	19.211	0
Breach of Action/Limit Level	-		-		-		2 action		-	

Note: Action level exceedance would be triggered when the settlement difference is $\pm 2\text{mm}$.
 Limit level exceedance would be triggered when the settlement difference is $\pm 5\text{mm}$.

3.5.3 Landscape and Visual

Landscape and visual inspections were conducted on **5 and 19 March 2010**. Current situation of the identified landscape resources remained the same as those of the baseline, except minor changes of river/stream/fish pond landscape character area at LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4 due to site clearance, soil stockpiling and preparation work within KT13. Updated landscape and visual status is presented in **Appendix J**.

4 NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS, SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION AND OTHERS

4.1 NON-COMPLIANCE

No exceedance of environmental quality criteria was recorded in this reporting month as discussed in **Section 3.1 to 3.5**. No other non-compliance or deficiency was identified during regular site inspection and environmental audit. No associated remedial action was necessary.

4.2 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

No written or verbal complaint was received for each environmental issue during the Reporting Period. No associated remedial action was necessary.

4.3 NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded during the Reporting Period. No associated remedial action was necessary.

4.4 OTHERS

4.4.1 Waste Management Status

All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil and sediment

Waste generated, re-used, recycled and disposed of during the Reporting Period is shown in **Appendix K: Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table**. No Type I or Type II excavated soil were recorded in this Reporting Period.

4.4.2 Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

In this Reporting Period, **four** occasions of weekly environmental site inspection and audit were conducted during the Reporting Period jointly by the ER, EO and ET. No adverse environmental impacts were registered, indicating that the mitigation measures implemented were effective and sufficient for the construction activities undertaken. Minor deficiencies found in the site inspection and audit were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. Findings of the site inspection and environmental audit are summarized below.

Table 4-4-1 Summary of Findings of Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
2 March 2010	The Contractor is reminded to maintain good site tidiness and housekeeping practice. Construction materials shall be properly stacked. Refuse found within the site area and the channel should also be cleared regularly.	Recommendations based on the observation on 9 March 2010 were followed.
9 March 2010	The Contractor is reminded to implement good noise mitigation measures at especially sensitive receivers.	Recommendations based on the observation on 9 March 2010 were followed.
16 March 2010	The Contractor is reminded to properly dispose the scrap materials generated from construction activities. The Contractor is reminded to repair the worn tarpaulin sheets used for slope covering.	Recommendations based on the observation on 9 March 2010 were followed.
23 March 2010	The Contractor is reminded to regularly remove the domestic waste found near the site boundary.	Will be reported in next reporting month.

4.4.3 Works to be Undertaken Next Month

Works to be undertaken next month are shown in the construction program enclosed in **Appendix B**. The construction activities undertaken in the Reporting Period including:

- Excavation of channel formation
- Construction of channel structure
- Backfilling
- Installation of type 2 railing
- Laying underground drain pipe
- Laying of Gabion Block/Granite Block

4.4.4 Future Key Issues and Mitigation Measures for the Forth-Coming Month

As wet season has been approached, water quality mitigation measures to avoid ingress of runoff into Channel KT13 should be properly installed and maintained, as appropriate.


In addition, special attention should also be paid to construction noise, water quality, ecology and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual. Mitigation measures recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule (EMIS) should be fully implemented.

4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- i) This is the **18th** monthly EM&A report for Channel KT13, covering the construction period from **26 February to 25 March 2010** (the Reporting Period).
- ii) Monitoring results of the Reporting Period demonstrated no exceedance of environmental quality criteria for air quality, construction noise and water quality.
- iii) In this reporting period, no construction works were carried out within 100m of the ecological buffer area. For the ecology monitoring, by-weekly Ho Pui egret and monthly Man On Kong egret monitoring were conducted in this reporting month. It is reported that no nest was found at the both surveys. In comparing the monitoring result in 2009, no exceedance was recorded in this reporting month.
- iv) Five (5) events of weekly settlement monitoring and a condition survey were undertaken in this reporting month. For the condition survey, it was reported that no major signs of settlement of the foundations or structural cracks identified. However, there were two (2) action level exceedances recorded on the settlement monitoring. Investigation for the cause of exceedances was conducted and it was noted that the measured levels are regularly fluctuated within $\pm 2\text{mm}$ which possibly caused by the root encroachment of overgrown vegetation. In addition, construction works undertaken by others were observed within 100m of the grave (our monitoring area) and a platform for car parking was built and in used by the villager. It is concluded that the exceedances were not related to the works under the project.
- v) Landscape inspections were conducted on **5 and 19 March 2010**. No significant changes were observed for identified landscape resources and visual sensitive receivers, except for minor changes due to channel excavation, site clearance and preparation work at the identified landscape resources including LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4.
- vi) No documented complaints, notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the Reporting Period. No adverse environmental impacts were observed during the weekly site inspection and environmental audit of the Reporting Period, which suggested that the implemented mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and ecology were effective. Minor deficiencies found in the weekly site inspection were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- vii) As the wet season is approaching, it was reminded that water quality mitigation measures stipulated in the EIA and summarized in mitigation measures implementation schedule in the EM&A Manual, including containment structure such as temporary earth bunds, sand bags, sheet pile barriers or other similar techniques, be fully implemented.

END OF TEXT

Appendix A
Location Plan and
Environmental Monitoring Locations
Under the Project



Legends

- △ Construction Noise Monitoring Location
- Air Quality Monitoring Location
- Water Quality Monitoring Location
- △ Monitoring Location access is not allow (Air or Noise or Water) for measurement

Table

Monitoring Parameter	Location ID	Address	Remarks
Water	W1	E824539 / N830283	
Water	W2	E824693 / N830258	
Water	W3	E824833 / N830374	Replaced by W3(a)
Water	W4	E824963 / N830618	
Water	W5	E825008 / N830812	
Water	W6	E825100 / N830987	
Water	W3(a)	E824879 / N830409	Recommended Location
Air	A1		Replaced by A1(a)
Air	A2	No.1 Ma On Kong Village	
Air	A1(a)	No.66 Ho Pul Village	Recommended Location
Noise	N1		Replaced by N1(a)
Noise	N2		Replaced by N2(a)
Noise	N3	No.1 Ma On Kong Village	
Noise	N1(a)	No.168 - 169 Kan Dn Road, Ma On Kong	Recommended Location
Noise	N2(a)	No.66 Ho Pul Village	Recommended Location

Note:

Air monitoring location A1 has permanently been abandoned. No access has been granted from the sensitive receivers in vicinity A1 due to which are normally empty during the working hours. Considering other air monitoring locations have not covered one of the most important sensitive receivers Ho Pul Village. Therefore ET are recommended the house No.66 Ho Pul Village (A1(a)), which locate at fronting of the site, to replace A1

Noise monitoring location N1 is proposed to relocate to N1(a) as recommended by IEC due to the recommended monitoring point is mostly for monitor.

Noise monitoring location N2 has permanently been abandoned. No access has been granted from the sensitive receivers in vicinity N2 due to which are normally empty during the working hours. Considering other noise monitoring locations have not covered one of the most important sensitive receivers Ho Pul Village. Therefore ET are recommended the house No.66 Ho Pul Village (N2(a)), which locate at fronting of the site, to replace N2

Water monitoring location W3 are proposed to relocate about 55 meters down stream, namely W3(a), for safety reason. The recommendation are base on there no any discharge points are observed between W3 and the proposed location

Contract No. IC/2007/17-
 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po
 Tsing, Tuen Mun, Tsuen Wan, Tai Wai and San
 Tin Tsuen of Tuen Long District and Sewerage
 at Tsing Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Drawing:






Air, Noise and Stream Water Monitoring Location at KT-13





Copyright by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited and
Corporation of BESAR

NOTES:
1. GRID LINES ARE HONG KONG METRIC GRID 1980.

- LEGEND:
-  MA ON KONG AND HO PUT ECRETIES
 -  PROPOSED COMPENSATORY TREE PLANTING
 -  CONSERVATION AREA DENOED ON OUTLINE ZONING PLAN
 -  WORKS BOUNDARY OF CHANNEL XT13
 -  ECOLOGY MONITORING AREAS

Revision	Date	Description			Initial
		Designed	Checked	Drawn	
Initial		MC	KIL	YLL	KIL
Date	09/05	09/05	09/05	09/05	09/05

Approved

AGREEMENT NO. CE 67/98


Contract title
YUEN LONG, KAM TIN,
NGAU TAM MEI AND TIN SHUI WAI
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT, STAGE 1,
PHASE 2B - KAM TIN

Drawing title
ECOLOGY MONITORING AREAS
RECOMMENDED FOR
CONSTRUCTION PHASE AND
OPERATION PHASE

Drawing no.	Scale
Figure 6.1	1:2000 A1 1:4000 A3

 香港特別行政區政府渠務署
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

 BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED
黑域工程顧問有限公司



© Copyright by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited and Government of HONG KONG

- NOTES:
1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 2. GRID LINES ARE HONG KONG METRIC GRID 1960.
 3. TYPE 2 RAILING WITH DEBRIS TRAP BAR AND BENCH MARK PILES SHALL BE PROVIDED AT BOTH SIDES OF THE CHANNEL BANKS.

- LEGEND:
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED CHANNEL
 - PROPOSED SLOPE
 - ▨ AREA TO BE FILLED TO ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL
 - I.L. TWENTY LEVEL
 - PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

C	05/05	AMENDMENT TO	BY-PASS CULVERT	K.I.L.
B	10/05	MINOR AMENDMENT TO	CHANNEL LAYOUT	K.I.L.
A	05/05	MINOR AMENDMENTS TO	SITE BOUNDARY	K.I.L.

REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CHECKED	DATE
1					
2					
3					

Author	TY	K.I.L.	AK	X.I.L.
Date	04/04	04/04	04/04	04/04


AGREEMENT NO. CE 62/93

Contract Title:
YUEN LONG, KAM TIN,
NGAU TAM MEI AND TIN SHUI WAI
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT, STAGE 1,
PHASE 2B - KAM TIN

MA ON KONG CHANNEL KT13
PROPOSED LAYOUT PLAN
(SHEET 2 OF 2)

FIGURE 1.3b

Scale:
1:2000 A1
1:2000 A3



Plot Date: 16 APR 2005

Appendix B

Construction Program

Monthly Rolling Programme - March 2010

Item	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	3/2010
					28/2 7/3 14/3 21/3 28/3
1	Section II (Channel KT13)	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31	
2	Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31	
3	Regular Tree Survey & Protection	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31	
4	Regular Structural Condition Survey	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31	
5	Section A	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31	
6	Excavation to channel formation & laying of rock fill material (A CH0.00 - A CH400.18)	19 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/22	
7	Bay A9 (A CH58.74 - A CH70.69) - TG2 (E.B.)	2 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/2	
8	Bay A10 (A CH70.69 - A CH84.25) - TG2 (E.B.)	2 days	2010/3/3	2010/3/4	
9	Bay A11 (A CH84.25 - A CH96.57) - TG2 (E.B.)	2 days	2010/3/5	2010/3/6	
10	Bay A32 (A CH331.09 - A CH343.21) - Transition	2 days	2010/3/8	2010/3/9	
11	Bay A33 (A CH343.21 - A CH359.26) - Transition	2 days	2010/3/10	2010/3/11	
12	Bay A34 (A CH359.26 - A CH374.28)	3 days	2010/3/12	2010/3/15	
13	Bay A35 (A CH374.28 - A CH389.29)	3 days	2010/3/16	2010/3/18	
14	Bay A36 (A CH389.29 - A CH400.18)	3 days	2010/3/19	2010/3/22	
15	Construction of channel structure (RC2, Transition, and TG2)	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31	
16	Bay R2-3 - Ramp No.2	4 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/4	
17	Bay R2-5 - Ramp No.2	4 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/4	
18	Bay R2-2 - Ramp No.2	4 days	2010/3/5	2010/3/9	
19	Bay R2-4 - Ramp No.2	4 days	2010/3/5	2010/3/9	
20	Bay R2-6 - Ramp No.2	4 days	2010/3/10	2010/3/13	
21	Bay A9 (A CH58.74 - A CH70.69) - TG2 (E.B.)	3 days	2010/3/10	2010/3/12	
22	Bay A10 (A CH70.69 - A CH84.25) - TG2 (E.B.)	3 days	2010/3/13	2010/3/16	
23	Bay A11 (A CH84.25 - A CH96.57) - TG2 (E.B.)	3 days	2010/3/17	2010/3/19	
24	Bay A32 (A CH331.09 - A CH343.21) - Transition	5 days	2010/3/20	2010/3/25	

Task Progress Summary

Split Milestone

Monthly Rolling Programme - March 2010

Item	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	3/2010				
					28/2	7/3	14/3	21/3	28/3
25	Bay A33 (A CH343.21 - A CH359.26) - Transition	5 days	2010/3/26	2010/3/31					
26	Backfilling along the channel sides / laying underground drain pipe	10 days	2010/3/15	2010/3/25					
27	Bay R2-3 - Ramp No.2	2 days	2010/3/15	2010/3/16					
28	Bay R2-5 - Ramp No.2	2 days	2010/3/17	2010/3/18					
29	Bay R2-2 - Ramp No.2	2 days	2010/3/19	2010/3/20					
30	Bay R2-4 - Ramp No.2	2 days	2010/3/22	2010/3/23					
31	Bay R2-6 - Ramp No.2	2 days	2010/3/24	2010/3/25					
32	Bay A9 (A CH58.74 - A CH70.69) - TG2 (E.B.)	2 days	2010/3/20	2010/3/22					
33	Bay A10 (A CH70.69 - A CH84.25) - TG2 (E.B.)	2 days	2010/3/23	2010/3/24					
34	Bay A11 (A CH84.25 - A CH96.57) - TG2 (E.B.)	1 day	2010/3/25	2010/3/25					
35	Section of Box Culvert BC13-1	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
36	Cease work (01/03/10 - 31/05/10) - Restriction of EP-263/2007 requirement	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
37	Section B	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
38	Laying gabion block / granite block inside the channel	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
39	Bay B28 (B CH282.00 - B CH294.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/3					
40	Bay B27 (B CH270.00 - B CH282.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/3/4	2010/3/6					
41	Bay B26 (B CH260.00 - B CH270.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/3/8	2010/3/10					
42	Bay B25 (B CH248.00 - B CH260.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/3/11	2010/3/13					
43	Bay B24 (B CH236.00 - B CH248.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/3/15	2010/3/17					
44	Bay B23 (B CH224.00 - B CH236.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/3/18	2010/3/20					
45	Bay B22 (B CH212.00 - B CH224.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/3/22	2010/3/24					
46	Bay B21 (B CH200.00 - B CH212.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/3/25	2010/3/27					
47	Bay B20 (B CH188.00 - B CH200.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/3/29	2010/3/31					
48									

Task  Progress  Summary 

Split  Milestone 

Monthly Rolling Programme - March 2010

Item	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	28/2	7/3	14/3	21/3	28/3
49	Section IV (Channel KT14B & 14C and Portion 8A & 8B)	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
50	Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring	15 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/17					
51	Regular Tree Survey & Protection	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
52	Regular Structural Condition Survey	15 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/17					
53	Channel 14B	10 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/11					
54	Compensatory Planting	5 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/5					
55	Installation of Plastic Rail (CH220.00 - CH326.00)	10 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/11					
56	Channel KT14C	15 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/17					
57	Compensatory Planting	5 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/5					
58	Construction of U-channel along channel sides	10 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/11					
59	Installation of traffic sign plate / Road marking / street furniture	10 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/11					
60	Diversion of existing water main @ CH175.00 & Reinstatement of Road	15 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/17					
61									
62	Section V	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
63	Preservation and protection of tree for Section I, II, III and IV	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
64									
65	Section VI - Portion 9A & 9B (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work)	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
66	Structural Survey and Monitoring	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
67	Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
68									
69	Section VII - Portion 10A, 10B & 10C (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work)	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
70	Structural Survey and Monitoring	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					
71	Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation	27 days	2010/3/1	2010/3/31					

Task



Progress



Summary



Split



Milestone



Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2010 to July 2010

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	May 2010					Jun 2010				Jul 2010			1/8		
					25/4	2/5	9/5	16/5	23/5	30/5	6/6	13/6	20/6	27/6	4/7	11/7		18/7	25/7
1	Section II (Channel KT13)	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
2	Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
3	Regular Tree Survey & Protection	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
4	Regular Structural Condition Survey	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
5	Section A	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
6	Construction of Retaining Wall and Channel (A CH0.00 - A CH402.00)	70 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/26															
7	Excavation to retaining wall KT13-1 and channel formation & laying of rock fill material	30 days	2010/5/3	2010/6/7															
8	Bay RT1 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - Retaining Wall	6 days	2010/5/3	2010/5/8															
9	Bay RT2 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - Retaining Wall	6 days	2010/5/10	2010/5/15															
10	Bay RT3 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - Retaining Wall	6 days	2010/5/17	2010/5/24															
11	Bay RT4 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - Retaining Wall	6 days	2010/5/25	2010/5/31															
12	Bay RT5 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - Retaining Wall	6 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/7															
13	Construction of channel structure (RC2, Transition, and TG2)	68 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/23															
14	Bay A35-2 (Box Culvert)	3 days	2010/5/3	2010/5/5															
15	Bay A36 (A CH389.29 - A CH400.18)	7 days	2010/5/6	2010/5/13															
16	Bay RT1 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - Retaining Wall	10 days	2010/5/25	2010/6/4															
17	Bay RT2 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - Retaining Wall	10 days	2010/6/5	2010/6/17															
18	Bay RT3 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - Retaining Wall	10 days	2010/6/18	2010/6/29															
19	Bay RT4 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - Retaining Wall	10 days	2010/6/30	2010/7/12															
20	Bay RT5 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - Retaining Wall	10 days	2010/7/13	2010/7/23															
21	Backfilling along the channel sides / laying underground drain pipe	60 days	2010/5/14	2010/7/26															
22	Bay A35-1	3 days	2010/5/14	2010/5/17															
23	Bay A35-2 (Box Culvert)	3 days	2010/5/18	2010/5/20															
24	Bay A36 (A CH389.29 - A CH400.18)	3 days	2010/5/22	2010/5/25															
25	Bay RT1 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/6/5	2010/6/7															
26	Bay RT2 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/6/18	2010/6/19															
27	Bay RT3 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/6/30	2010/7/2															
28	Bay RT4 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/13	2010/7/14															
29	Bay RT5 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/24	2010/7/26															
30	Installation of Type 2 railing	74 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/30															
31	Bay A20 (A CH190.69 - A CH201.41) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/3	2010/5/5															
32	Bay A21 (A CH201.41 - A CH213.44) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/6	2010/5/8															
33	Bay A22 (A CH213.44 - A CH225.47) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/10	2010/5/12															
34	Bay A23 (A CH225.47 - A CH237.50) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/13	2010/5/15															
35	Bay A24 (A CH237.50 - A CH244.23) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/17	2010/5/19															
36	Bay A25 (A CH244.23 - A CH257.09) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/20	2010/5/24															
37	Bay A26 (A CH257.09 - A CH269.95) - TG2 (EB)	3 days	2010/5/25	2010/5/27															
38	Bay A32 (A CH331.09 - A CH343.21) - Transition	3 days	2010/5/28	2010/5/31															
39	Bay A33 (A CH343.21 - A CH359.26) - Transition	3 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/3															
40	Bay A34 (A CH359.26 - A CH374.28)	3 days	2010/6/4	2010/6/7															

Task Split Progress Milestone Summary

Contract No. : DC/2007/17
 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun


Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2010 to July 2010

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	May 2010					Jun 2010				Jul 2010			1/8		
					25/4	2/5	9/5	16/5	23/5	30/5	6/6	13/6	20/6	27/6	4/7	11/7		18/7	25/7
41	Bay A35 (A CH374.28 - A CH389.29)	3 days	2010/6/8	2010/6/10															
42	Bay A36 (A CH389.29 - A CH400.18)	3 days	2010/6/11	2010/6/14															
43	Bay RT1 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/3	2010/7/5															
44	Bay RT2 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/6	2010/7/7															
45	Bay RT3 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/8	2010/7/9															
46	Bay RT4 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/27	2010/7/28															
47	Bay RT5 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - Retaining Wall	2 days	2010/7/29	2010/7/30															
48	Laying gabion block / granite block inside the channel	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
49	Bay A1 (A CH00.00 - A CH11.16) - RC2	3 days	2010/5/3	2010/5/5															
50	Bay A2 (A CH11.16 - A CH17.28) - RC2	3 days	2010/5/6	2010/5/8															
51	Bay A3 (A CH17.28 - A CH26.04) - RC2	3 days	2010/5/10	2010/5/12															
52	Bay A9 (A CH58.74 - A CH70.69) - TG2	3 days	2010/5/13	2010/5/15															
53	Bay A10 (A CH70.69 - A CH84.25) - TG2	3 days	2010/5/17	2010/5/19															
54	Bay A11 (A CH84.25 - A CH96.57) - TG2 (WB)	3 days	2010/5/20	2010/5/24															
55	Bay A12 (A CH96.57 - A CH107.46) - TG2 (WB)	3 days	2010/5/25	2010/5/27															
56	Bay A13 (A CH107.46 - A CH119.62) - TG2 (WB)	3 days	2010/5/28	2010/5/31															
57	Bay A14 (A CH119.62 - A CH131.78) - TG2 (WB)	3 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/3															
58	Bay A15 (A CH131.78 - A CH143.92) - TG2 (WB)	3 days	2010/6/4	2010/6/7															
59	Bay A16 (A CH143.92 - A CH156.08) - TG2 (WB)	2 days	2010/6/8	2010/6/9															
60	Bay A17 (A CH156.08 - A CH167.00) - TG2 (WB)	3 days	2010/6/10	2010/6/12															
61	Bay A18 (A CH167.00 - A CH179.97) - TG2	3 days	2010/6/14	2010/6/17															
62	Bay A19 (A CH179.97 - A CH190.69) - TG2	3 days	2010/6/18	2010/6/21															
63	Bay A20 (A CH190.69 - A CH201.41) - TG2	3 days	2010/6/22	2010/6/24															
64	Bay A21 (A CH201.41 - A CH213.44) - TG2	3 days	2010/6/25	2010/6/28															
65	Bay A22 (A CH213.44 - A CH225.47) - TG2	3 days	2010/6/29	2010/7/2															
66	Bay A23 (A CH225.47 - A CH237.50) - TG2	3 days	2010/7/3	2010/7/6															
67	Bay A24 (A CH237.50 - A CH244.23) - TG2	3 days	2010/7/7	2010/7/9															
68	Bay A25 (A CH244.23 - A CH257.09) - TG2	3 days	2010/7/10	2010/7/13															
69	Bay A26 (A CH257.09 - A CH269.95) - TG2	3 days	2010/7/14	2010/7/16															
70	Bay A27 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - TG6	3 days	2010/7/17	2010/7/20															
71	Bay A28 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - TG6	3 days	2010/7/21	2010/7/23															
72	Bay A29 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - TG6	3 days	2010/7/24	2010/7/27															
73	Bay A30 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - TG6	3 days	2010/7/28	2010/7/30															
74	Bay A31 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - TG6	1 day	2010/7/31	2010/7/31															
75	Compensatory Planting At Downstream	25 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/30															
76	Section of Box Culvert BC13-1	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
77	Cease work (01/03/10 - 31/05/10) - Restriction of EP-263/2007 requirement	24 days	2010/5/3	2010/5/31															
78	Construct box culvert (BC CH0.00 - BC CH386.00)	51 days	2010/6/1	2010/7/31															
79	Excavation for box culvert formation & laying of rock fill material (BC CH0.00 - BC CH384.00)	45 days	2010/6/1	2010/7/24															
80	Bay BC4 (BC CH46.95 - BC CH32.25)	5 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/5															

Task  Split  Progress  Milestone  Summary 

Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2010 to July 2010

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	May 2010					Jun 2010				Jul 2010					
					25/4	2/5	9/5	16/5	23/5	30/5	6/6	13/6	20/6	27/6	4/7	11/7	18/7	25/7	1/8
81	Bay BC5 (BC CH61.97 - BC CH46.95)	10 days	2010/6/7	2010/6/18															
82	Bay BC6 (BC CH76.57 - BC CH61.97)	10 days	2010/6/19	2010/6/30															
83	Bay BC7 (BC CH91.96 - BC CH76.57)	10 days	2010/7/2	2010/7/13															
84	Bay BC8 (BC CH106.27 - BC CH91.96) / Demolish existing playground / Erection of temporary shelter	10 days	2010/7/14	2010/7/24															
85	Construction of box culvert	46 days	2010/6/7	2010/7/31															
86	Bay BC4 (BC CH46.95 - BC CH32.25)	10 days	2010/6/7	2010/6/18															
87	Bay BC5 (BC CH61.97 - BC CH46.95)	10 days	2010/6/19	2010/6/30															
88	Bay BC6 (BC CH76.57 - BC CH61.97)	10 days	2010/7/2	2010/7/13															
89	Bay BC7 (BC CH91.96 - BC CH76.57)	10 days	2010/7/14	2010/7/24															
90	Bay BC8 (BC CH106.27 - BC CH91.96) / Demolish existing playground / Erection of temporary shelter	6 days	2010/7/26	2010/7/31															
91	Backfilling the sides of channel structure & Laying of underground drain pipe	32 days	2010/6/19	2010/7/27															
92	Bay BC4 (BC CH46.95 - BC CH32.25)	2 days	2010/6/19	2010/6/21															
93	Bay BC5 (BC CH61.97 - BC CH46.95)	2 days	2010/7/2	2010/7/3															
94	Bay BC6 (BC CH76.57 - BC CH61.97)	2 days	2010/7/14	2010/7/15															
95	Bay BC7 (BC CH91.96 - BC CH76.57)	2 days	2010/7/26	2010/7/27															
96	Construction of catchpit / manhole / drain pipe along channel sides	26 days	2010/7/2	2010/7/31															
97	Bay BC29 (BC CH383.63 - BC CH371.47)	3 days	2010/7/2	2010/7/5															
98	Bay BC28 (BC CH371.47 - BC CH362.70)	3 days	2010/7/6	2010/7/8															
99	Bay BC27 (BC CH362.70 - BC CH348.11)	3 days	2010/7/9	2010/7/12															
100	Bay BC26 (BC CH348.11 - BC CH333.53)	3 days	2010/7/13	2010/7/15															
101	Bay BC25 (BC CH333.53 - BC CH318.82)	3 days	2010/7/16	2010/7/19															
102	Bay BC24 (BC CH318.82 - BC CH304.34)	3 days	2010/7/20	2010/7/22															
103	Bay BC23 (BC CH304.34 - BC CH289.87)	3 days	2010/7/23	2010/7/26															
104	Bay BC22 (BC CH289.87 - BC CH275.39)	3 days	2010/7/27	2010/7/29															
105	Bay BC21 (BC CH275.39 - BC CH260.81)	2 days	2010/7/30	2010/7/31															
106	Section B	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
107	Laying gabion block / granite block inside the channel	57 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/10															
108	Bay B26 (B CH260.00 - B CH270.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/5/3	2010/5/5															
109	Bay B25 (B CH248.00 - B CH260.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/5/6	2010/5/8															
110	Bay B24 (B CH236.00 - B CH248.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/5/10	2010/5/12															
111	Bay B23 (B CH224.00 - B CH236.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/5/13	2010/5/15															
112	Bay B22 (B CH212.00 - B CH224.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/5/17	2010/5/19															
113	Bay B21 (B CH200.00 - B CH212.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/5/20	2010/5/24															
114	Bay B20 (B CH188.00 - B CH200.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/5/25	2010/5/27															
115	Bay B19 (B CH174.00 - B CH188.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/5/28	2010/5/31															
116	Bay B18 (B CH162.00 - B CH174.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/3															
117	Bay B12 (B CH119.00 - B CH129.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/4	2010/6/7															
118	Bay B11 (B CH107.00 - B CH119.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/8	2010/6/10															
119	Bay B10 (B CH94.00 - B CH107.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/11	2010/6/14															
120	Bay B9 (B CH80.00 - B CH94.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/15	2010/6/18															




Task Split Progress Milestone Summary

Contract No. : DC/2007/17
 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Three Months Rolling Programme - May 2010 to July 2010

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	May 2010					Jun 2010				Jul 2010					
					25/4	2/5	9/5	16/5	23/5	30/5	6/6	13/6	20/6	27/6	4/7	11/7	18/7	25/7	1/8
121	Bay B8 (B CH68.00 - B CH80.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/19	2010/6/22															
122	Bay B7 (B CH57.00 - B CH68.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/23	2010/6/25															
123	Bay B6 (B CH46.00 - B CH57.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/26	2010/6/29															
124	Bay B5 (B CH34.00 - B CH46.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/6/30	2010/7/3															
125	Bay B4 (B CH24.00 - B CH34.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/7/5	2010/7/7															
126	Bay B3 (B CH14.00 - B CH24.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/7/8	2010/7/10															
127	Construction of catchpit / manhole / drain pipe along channel sides	64 days	2010/5/15	2010/7/31															
128	Bay B30 (B CH302.00 - B CH312.00) - Transition	3 days	2010/5/15	2010/5/18															
129	Bay B29 (B CH294.00 - B CH302.00) - Transition	3 days	2010/5/19	2010/5/22															
130	Bay B28 (B CH282.00 - B CH294.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/5/24	2010/5/26															
131	Bay B27 (B CH270.00 - B CH282.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/5/27	2010/5/29															
132	Bay B26 (B CH260.00 - B CH270.00) - TG4	3 days	2010/5/31	2010/6/2															
133	Bay B25 (B CH248.00 - B CH260.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/6/3	2010/6/5															
134	Bay B24 (B CH236.00 - B CH248.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/6/7	2010/6/9															
135	Bay B23 (B CH224.00 - B CH236.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/6/10	2010/6/12															
136	Bay B22 (B CH212.00 - B CH224.00) - TG5	3 days	2010/6/14	2010/6/17															
137	Bay B21 (B CH200.00 - B CH212.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/6/18	2010/6/21															
138	Bay B20 (B CH188.00 - B CH200.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/6/22	2010/6/24															
139	Bay B19 (B CH174.00 - B CH188.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/6/25	2010/6/28															
140	Bay B18 (B CH162.00 - B CH174.00) - TG8	3 days	2010/6/29	2010/7/2															
141	Bay B17 (B CH154.00 - B CH162.00) - Transition	3 days	2010/7/3	2010/7/6															
142	Bay B16 (B CH147.00 - B CH154.00) - Transition	3 days	2010/7/7	2010/7/9															
143	Bay B15 (B CH144.00 - B CH147.00) - Transition & Pedestrian Crossing	3 days	2010/7/10	2010/7/13															
144	Bay B14 (B CH137.00 - B CH144.00) - Transition	3 days	2010/7/14	2010/7/16															
145	Bay B13 (B CH129.00 - B CH137.00) - Transition	3 days	2010/7/17	2010/7/20															
146	Bay B12 (B CH119.00 - B CH129.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/7/21	2010/7/23															
147	Bay B11 (B CH107.00 - B CH119.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/7/24	2010/7/27															
148	Bay B10 (B CH94.00 - B CH107.00) - TG3	3 days	2010/7/28	2010/7/30															
149	Bay B9 (B CH80.00 - B CH94.00) - TG3	1 day	2010/7/31	2010/7/31															
150	Compensatory Planting At Upstream	25 days	2010/6/1	2010/6/30															
151																			
152	Section V	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
153	Preservation and protection of tree for Section I, II, III and IV	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
154																			
155	Section VI - Portion 9A & 9B (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work)	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
156	Structural Survey and Monitoring	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
157	Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
158																			
159	Section VII - Portion 10A, 10B & 10C (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work)	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
160	Structural Survey and Monitoring	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															
161	Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation	75 days	2010/5/3	2010/7/31															




Task Split Progress Milestone Summary

Appendix C

Environmental Management Organization and

Contacts of Key Personnel



Environmental Management Organization

Contact Details of Key Personnel

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
DSD	Employer	Mr. Tony Cheng	2594-7264	2827-8526
B&V	Engineer's Representative	Ms. Jenny Lui	2478-9161	2478-9369
B&V	Resident Engineer	Mr. Albert Yeu	2478-9161	2478-9369
OAP	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Coleman Ng	2268-3097	2268-3950
CRBC	Project Director	Mr. Wang Yanhua	2283-1688	2283-1689
CRBC	Project Manager	Mr. Raymond Mau	9048-3669	2283-1689
CRBC	Site Agent	Mr. Raymond Suen	9779-8871	2283-1689
CRBC	Senior Engineer (Tuen Mun Site)	Mr. Daniel Wong	9858-3176	2283-1689
CRBC	Site Engineer (Tuen Mun Site)	Mr. L.C. Ling	6770-4010	2283-1689
CRBC	Environmental Officer	Mr. Dennis Ho	6474-6975	2283-1689
CRBC	Environmental / Construction Supervisor (Tuen Mun and Yuen Long site)	Mr. W.K. Hau	6283-9696	2283-1689
CRBC	Environmental / Construction Supervisor (Yuen Long site)	Mr. S.Y. Ma	9401-6296	2283-1689
CRBC	Safety Officer	Mr. Kenny Sze	9374-8954	2283-1689
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T.W. Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Miss Nicola Hon	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Site Inspector	Mr. Ben Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Ecologist	Mr. Vincent Lai	2959-6059	2959-6079

Legend:

DSD(Employer) – Drainage Services Department

B&V (Engineer) – Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

CRBC (Main Contractor) – China Road and Bridge Corporation

OAP(IEC) – Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Appendix D

(a) Monitoring Schedules

(b) Meteorological Data

—

Monitoring Schedule for KT 13 for Reporting Period – March 2010

Date		Air Quality		Noise Leq 30min	Water Quality	Ecology Surveys
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP			
Fri	26-Feb-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	27-Feb-10		A1(a), A2			
Sun	28-Feb-10					
Mon	1-Mar-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	2-Mar-10					
Wed	3-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	4-Mar-10					
Fri	5-Mar-10		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	6-Mar-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3		
Sun	7-Mar-10					
Mon	8-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	9-Mar-10					
Wed	10-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	11-Mar-10		A1(a), A2			
Fri	12-Mar-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	13-Mar-10					
Sun	14-Mar-10					
Mon	15-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	16-Mar-10					
Wed	17-Mar-10		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	18-Mar-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3		
Fri	19-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	20-Mar-10					
Sun	21-Mar-10					
Mon	22-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	23-Mar-10		A1(a), A2			
Wed	24-Mar-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	25-Mar-10					

Cultural Heritage

Frequency: Condition survey - Bi-monthly
Settlement monitoring - Bi-weekly

Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

Monitoring Schedule of KT 13 for next reporting month – April 2010

Date		Air Quality		Noise Leq 30min	Water Quality	Ecology Surveys
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP			
Fri	26-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	27-Mar-10					
Sun	28-Mar-10					
Mon	29-Mar-10		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	30-Mar-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3		
Wed	31-Mar-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	1-Apr-10					
Fri	2-Apr-10					
Sat	3-Apr-10					
Sun	4-Apr-10					
Mon	5-Apr-10					
Tue	6-Apr-10					
Wed	7-Apr-10		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	8-Apr-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3		
Fri	9-Apr-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	10-Apr-10					
Sun	11-Apr-10					
Mon	12-Apr-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	13-Apr-10		A1(a), A2			
Wed	14-Apr-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	15-Apr-10					
Fri	16-Apr-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	17-Apr-10					
Sun	18-Apr-10					
Mon	19-Apr-10		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	20-Apr-10	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3		
Wed	21-Apr-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	22-Apr-10					
Fri	23-Apr-10				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	24-Apr-10		A1(a), A2			
Sun	25-Apr-10					

Cultural Heritage

Frequency: Condition survey - Bi-monthly
Settlement monitoring - Bi-weekly

Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

Meteorological Data Extracted from HKO during the Reporting Period

Date	Weather	Lau Fau Shan Weather Station				
		Total Rainfall (mm)	Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
Fri 26-Feb-10	Sunny intervals with one or two showers.	0.3	25.2	13.5	84	S/SE
Sat 27-Feb-10	Mainly cloudy with fog patches.	Trace	25.7	13.2	81.2	S/SE
Sun 28-Feb-10	Light to moderate southerly winds.	Trace	26	19.5	75.5	S/SE
Mon 1-Mar-10	Foggy. Moderate east to southeasterly winds.	0	25.9	24	76.2	S/SE
Tue 2-Mar-10	Sunny periods and coastal fog. Moderate southerly winds.	0	25.5	13.7	79	S/SE
Wed 3-Mar-10	Cloudy with moist. Moderate east to southeasterly winds.	0	26.3	17.5	75.7	S/SE
Thu 4-Mar-10	Sunny intervals with fog patches. Moderate south to southeasterly winds.	0.1	24.9	19.5	80.2	S/SE
Fri 5-Mar-10	Moderate southerly winds, fresh over offshore waters at first.	Trace	26.7	17.5	74.2	S/SE
Sat 6-Mar-10	Mainly cloudy with one or two showers.	Trace	25.9	17.7	79	S/SE
Sun 7-Mar-10	Cloudy to overcast with a few rain and mist patches.	4.9	18.8	13.5	87	E/NE
Mon 8-Mar-10	It will be cool. Moderate to fresh east to northeasterly winds	0.5	13.2	12.7	92.5	E/NE
Tue 9-Mar-10	Cloudy and cold. Fresh to strong northerly winds.	2.7	10.3	32.7	70.5	N/NE
Wed 10-Mar-10	Cold, fine and very dry. Fresh northerly winds	0	11.3	16.7	39.5	NE
Thu 11-Mar-10	fine and dry. Moderate east to northeasterly winds.	0	13.5	11.5	57.5	E/SE
Fri 12-Mar-10	Cloudy with one or two rain patches. Moderate easterly winds.	0.4	15.1	8.5	84	E/NE
Sat 13-Mar-10	Cloudy with fog and one or two rain patches. Light to moderate easterly winds.	Trace	19.7	8.2	83.5	E
Sun 14-Mar-10	Foggy with one or two rain patches.	Trace	23.5	16.5	80	SE
Mon 15-Mar-10	Sunny periods. Light to moderate southeasterly winds.	Trace	25.1	12	80	S/SE
Tue 16-Mar-10	Cloudy. Moderate to fresh northerly winds.	Trace	19.2	18.5	79.2	E/NE
Wed 17-Mar-10	Mainly cloudy. Moderate easterly winds.	0	19.4	10.7	73	E/SE
Thu 18-Mar-10	Sunny periods with haze. Light to moderate northerly winds	0	21.2	10.7	74	W/SW
Fri 19-Mar-10	Mainly fine. Light to moderate easterly winds.	0	21.1	15.5	65	W/NW
Sat 20-Mar-10	Sunny periods. Visibility relatively low. Light winds.	Trace	21.3	9	71	W
Sun 21-Mar-10	Sunny periods with rather low visibility.	0	22.5	10.5	74.2	E
Mon 22-Mar-10	Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	23.1	13	72	E/NE
Tue 23-Mar-10	Moderate easterly winds, becoming southeasterlies.	0	24.4	15	72.5	SE
Wed 24-Mar-10	Mist patches. Moderate south to southeasterly winds.	Trace	24.2	16	76.5	S/SE
Thu 25-Mar-10	It will be cool and dry. Fresh northerly winds	8.9	16.4	30.2	72	N/NE

Appendix E

Calibration Certificates and

HOKLAS-Accreditation Certificate

Equipment Calibration List for Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Item	Issue	Description of Equipment	Date of Calibration	Date of Next Calibration
1 ⁽³⁾	Air	Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A (Serial No. 1612)	2 Jun 09	2 Jun 10
2 ⁽²⁾		TSP Sampler Calibration Spreadsheet for KT13-A1a	17 Dec 09 17 Feb 10	17 Feb 10 17 Apr 10
3 ⁽²⁾		TSP Sampler Calibration Spreadsheet for KT13-A2	17 Dec 09 17 Feb 10	17 Feb 10 17 Apr 10
4 ⁽³⁾		TSI DustTrak Model 8520 (Serial No. 21060)	18 Jun 09	18 Jun 10
5 ⁽³⁾		TSI DustTrak Model 8520 (Serial No. 23080)	18 Jun 09	18 Jun 10
6 ⁽³⁾		TSI DustTrak Model 8520 (Serial No. 23079)	18 Jun 09	18 Jun 10
7 ⁽⁵⁾	Noise	Cesva SC-20c Sound Level Meter (Serial No. T212509)	28 Apr 09	28 Apr 10
8 ⁽⁵⁾		Cesva CB-5 Acoustical Calibrator (Serial No. 030934)	28 Apr 09	28 Apr 10
9 ⁽⁵⁾		Bruel & Kjaer Integrating Sound Level Meter 2238 (Serial No. 2285762)	30 Apr 09	30 Apr 10
10 ⁽⁵⁾		Bruel & Kjaer Integrating Sound Level Meter 2238 (Serial No. 2285690)	30 Apr 09	30 Apr 10
11 ⁽⁵⁾		Bruel & Kjaer Acoustical Calibrator 4231 (Serial No. 2292168)	28 Apr 09	28 Apr 10
12 ⁽⁵⁾		Bruel & Kjaer Acoustical Calibrator 4231 (Serial No. 2326408)	28 Apr 09	28 Apr 10
13 ⁽¹⁾	Water	YSI 550A (Serial No. 97F0837AM)	27 Jan 10	27 Apr 10
14 ⁽²⁾		Extech EC500 (ALS Lab ID: HK1001303)	20 Jan 10	20 Apr 10
15 ⁽¹⁾		Turbidimeter HACH 2100p (Serial No. 950900008735)	27 Jan 10	27 Apr 10
16 ⁽²⁾		Hand Refractometer ATAGO (Serial No. 289468)	20 Jan 10	20 Apr 10

Note: * Calibration certificates will only provide when monitoring equipment is re-calibrate or new.

- (1) The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report - January 2010.
- (2) The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report - February 2010
- (3) The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report - June 2009
- (4) The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report - May 2009

Appendix F

Event and Action Plan

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	Contractor's ET leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source Inform IEC, ER and Contractor Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by Contractor's ET leader Check Contractor's working method 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notify Contractor 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Rectify any unacceptable practice Amend working methods if appropriate
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source Inform IEC, ER and Contractor Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Discuss with IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial actions required If exceedance continue, arrange meeting with IEC, ER and Contractor If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Checking monitoring data submitted by Contractor's ET leader. Check Contractor's working method Discuss with Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing Notify Contractor Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate
LIMIT LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identify source Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and kept IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring data submitted by Contractor's ET leader Check Contractor's working method Discuss with Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures Audit implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing Notify Contractor Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate action to avoid for the exceedance Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Amend proposal if appropriate
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD Identify source Repeat measurement to confirm findings Increase monitoring frequency to daily Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented Arrange meeting with IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss amongst ER, Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly Audit the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing Notify Contractor In consultation with IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Ensure remedial measures properly implemented If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Take immediate action to avoid for the exceedance Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abate.

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring

EVENT	ACTION			
	CONTRACTOR'S ET LEADER	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, Contractor and ER 2. Carry out investigation 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, Contractor and ER 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 5. Double monitoring frequency 6. Check compliance to Action/Limit Levels after application of mitigation measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by the Contract's ET leader 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly 3. Review the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to ER and IEC 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor 2. Identify Source 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly 3. Audit the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings. Identify Source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contract to critically review the working methods; Made agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures/
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures; Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit level.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction activities.

Event/Action Plan for Ecology

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET Leader	IEC	Engineer	Contractor
ACTION REACHED LEVEL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Carry out investigation 2. Review results and assess whether amendment to action level is appropriate 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC 4. Notify Contractor and Engineer 5. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 6. Repeat survey to confirm results 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by ET 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advice the Engineer accordingly 3. Supervise implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed problem 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further problem 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control
LIMIT REACHED LEVEL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Carry out investigation 2. Review results and assess whether amendment to limit level is appropriate 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC 4. Notify Contractor and Engineer 5. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 6. Repeat survey to confirm results 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by ET 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advice the Engineer accordingly 3. Supervise implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed problem 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented 5. Issue instruction to stop the relevant portion of the works until the problem is abated (construction period only). 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further problem 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the Engineer until the problem is abated (construction period only)

Event and Action Plan for Cultural Heritage

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<p>Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation</p> <p>Report reasons of structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures</p> <p>Increase monitoring frequency to once per week to check mitigation effectiveness</p>	<p>Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.</p> <p>Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly</p> <p>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval from AMO.</p>	<p>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</p> <p>Notify Contractor</p> <p>Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.</p> <p>Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</p>	<p>Notify AMO concerning the damage or structural instability of the cultural heritage resources</p> <p>Submit proposals for repair of damage to cultural heritage resources to AMO for approval and to implement approved measures.</p>
Limit Level	<p>Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Report reasons of continued structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures</p> <p>Increase monitoring frequency to daily to check mitigation effectiveness</p>	<p>Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.</p> <p>Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly.</p> <p>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval from AMO.</p>	<p>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</p> <p>Notify Contractor</p> <p>Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.</p> <p>Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</p>	<p>To carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Propose remedial measures for the repair and stabilization of cultural heritage resources, up to liaison of moving and rebuilding the relevant structure with the approval of owner (usually the clan members) and AMO.</p>

Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact - Construction Phase

Action Level	Environmental Team Leader (ETL)	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Engineer's Representative (ER)	Contractor
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform the IEC and the ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake remedial measures or any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source 2. Inform the IEC and the ER 3. Increase monitoring (site audit) frequency 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring (site audit) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake remedial measures or any necessary replacement

Appendix G

(a) Impact Environmental Monitoring Data

(b) Graphic Plot of Monitoring

- 1. Construction Noise**
- 2. Air Quality**
- 3. Water Quality**

DSD CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/17
 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of
 Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	STANDARD										BLANK			SAMPLE OF FILTER PAPER			Dust 24-Hr TSP in Air ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
		ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING		AVERAGE			FLOW	AIR	SAMPLE NUMBER	WEIGHT (g)		WEIGHT (g)						
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	CHART READING	TEMP ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	PRESS (hPa)	RATE (m^3/min)	VOLUME (std m^3)		INITIAL	FINAL	DIFF	INITIAL	FINAL				DUST COLLECTION
KT13(A1(a))																					
Date of Calibration: 17-Feb-2010 Next Calibration Date: 17-Apr-2010 Cal Graph Slope = 41.1379 Intercept = -17.1386																					
27-Feb-10	21560	2220.24	2243.99	1425.00	36	38	37.0	23.9	1014.3	1.32	1879	NA	2.8720	2.8722	0.0002	2.8413	2.8881	0.0468	25	144	260
5-Mar-10																				144	260
Power failure																					
11-Mar-10	21605	2243.99	2268.02	1441.80	36	38	37.0	15.4	1024.1	1.34	1926	NA	2.8710	2.8709	-0.0001	2.8512	2.9671	0.1159	60	144	260
17-Mar-10	21648	2268.02	2291.77	1425.00	36	38	37.0	19.0	1022.6	1.33	1895	NA	2.8709	2.8714	0.0005	2.8014	2.9391	0.1377	72	144	260
23-Mar-10	21695	2291.77	2315.58	1428.60	36	38	37.0	22.9	1010.9	1.32	1883	NA	2.8714	2.8706	-0.0008	2.8585	3.0117	0.1532	82	144	260
KT13(A2)																					
Date of Calibration: 17-Feb-2010 Next Calibration Date: 17-Apr-2010 Cal Graph Slope = 41.2414 Intercept = -17.0253																					
27-Feb-10	21559	2240.45	2264.30	1431.00	36	38	37.0	23.9	1014.3	1.31	1878	NA	2.8720	2.8722	0.0002	2.8543	2.8854	0.0311	16	141	260
5-Mar-10	21604	2264.30	2287.54	1394.40	36	38	37.0	25.8	1010.9	1.31	1823	NA	2.8720	2.8715	-0.0005	2.8696	2.9027	0.0331	18	141	260
11-Mar-10	21626	2287.54	2310.71	1390.20	36	38	37.0	15.4	1024.1	1.33	1848	NA	2.8710	2.8709	-0.0001	2.8835	2.9569	0.0734	40	141	260
17-Mar-10	21649	2310.71	2333.80	1385.40	36	38	37.0	19.0	1022.6	1.32	1833	NA	2.8709	2.8714	0.0005	2.8356	2.9345	0.0989	54	141	260
23-Mar-10	21694	2333.80	2356.83	1381.80	36	38	37.0	22.9	1010.9	1.31	1813	NA	2.8714	2.8706	-0.0008	2.8343	2.9031	0.0688	38	141	260

Date 26-Feb-10																		
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc	
W1	15:20	0.10	22.7	22.7	4.33	4.30	54.2	53.8	4.2	4.2	7.3	7.3	11	11.0	0.07	0.07	57	57.0
			22.0		4.26		53.3		4.1		7.3		11		0.07		57	
W2	15:15	0.10	23.0	23.0	5.07	5.05	63.1	62.9	3.1	3.0	7.3	7.3	3	3.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			23.0		5.02		62.6		2.9		7.3		3		0.05		<10	
W3	14:55	0.10	23.1	23.1	3.34	3.30	43.0	42.6	6.8	6.7	7.5	7.5	3	3.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			23.1		3.26		42.1		6.6		7.5		3		0.05		<10	
W4	14:50	0.10	23.5	23.5	3.69	3.64	47.4	47.0	7.0	7.0	7.6	7.6	3	3.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			23.5		3.59		46.5		6.9		7.6		3		0.05		<10	
W5	14:35	0.10	23.2	23.2	4.77	4.75	61.5	61.2	14.3	14.1	6.8	6.8	3	3.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			23.2		4.73		60.8		13.9		6.8		3		0.05		<10	
W6	14:25	0.10	23.6	23.6	3.99	3.97	50.6	50.2	20.3	20.0	8.3	8.3	<2	2.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			23.6		3.94		49.7		19.7		8.3		<2		0.05		<10	

Date 1-Mar-10																		
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc	
W1	14:55	0.10	25.1	25.1	4.23	4.20	53.2	52.8	4.3	4.2	7.5	7.5	5	5.0	0.04	0.04	14	14.0
			25.1		4.17		52.4		4.0		7.5		5		0.04		14	
W2	14:50	0.10	24.4	24.4	5.03	4.99	58.6	58.0	3.7	3.6	6.9	6.9	4	4.0	0.06	0.06	11	11.0
			24.4		4.95		57.4		3.5		6.9		4		0.06		11	
W3	14:30	0.10	24.5	24.5	4.53	4.50	55.8	55.4	3.9	4.0	7.4	7.4	3	3.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			24.5		4.46		55.0		4.0		7.4		3		0.04		<10	
W4	14:25	0.10	24.9	24.9	3.42	3.38	53.8	53.4	4.5	4.4	7.8	7.8	4	4.0	0.03	0.03	<10	10.0
			24.9		3.34		52.9		4.3		7.8		4		0.03		<10	
W5	14:15	0.10	23.9	23.9	4.12	4.08	50.2	49.9	4.0	3.9	7.3	7.3	3	3.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			23.9		4.04		49.5		3.8		7.3		3		0.04		<10	
W6	14:00	0.10	24.2	24.2	3.67	3.66	55.2	54.8	16.9	16.6	7.6	7.6	4	4.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			24.2		3.64		54.3		16.2		7.6		4		0.04		<10	

Date 3-Mar-10																		
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc	
W1	14:40	0.10	26.0	26.0	3.75	3.72	39.7	39.3	3.2	3.2	7.2	7.2	4	4.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			26.0		3.69		38.9		3.1		7.2		4		0.04		<10	
W2	14:35	0.10	26.2	26.2	4.16	4.13	45.2	44.8	4.3	4.3	7.8	7.8	4	4.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			26.2		4.09		44.4		4.3		7.8		4		0.05		<10	
W3	14:20	0.10	26.3	26.3	3.44	3.42	36.1	35.7	3.9	3.9	7.3	7.3	3	3.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			26.3		3.4		35.2		3.9		7.3		3		0.04		<10	
W4	14:15	0.10	26.1	26.1	4.25	4.22	47.8	47.4	3.7	3.7	7.5	7.5	3	3.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			26.1		4.19		46.9		3.6		7.5		3		0.04		<10	
W5	14:00	0.10	26.4	26.4	4.37	4.35	50.3	50.0	5.8	5.8	7.9	7.9	4	4.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			26.4		4.33		49.7		5.7		7.9		4		0.04		<10	
W6	13:50	0.10	26.2	26.2	3.39	3.37	37.4	37.1	14.9	14.9	7.7	7.7	5	5.0	0.04	0.04	<10	10.0
			26.2		3.35		36.8		14.9		7.7		5		0.04		<10	

Date 5-Mar-10																		
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc	
W1	14:10	0.10	25.1	25.1	3.22	3.19	37.2	36.7	5.9	5.8	6.7	6.7	2	2.0	0.5	0.50	<10	10.0
			25.1		3.15		36.1		5.7		6.7		2		0.5		<10	
W2	14:00	0.10	24.9	24.9	3.61	3.57	42.1	41.5	5.5	5.5	6.8	6.8	<2	2.0	0.5	0.50	<10	10.0
			24.9		3.52		40.8		5.4		6.8		<2		0.5		<10	
W3	13:45	0.10	24.5	24.5	4.13	4.09	49.2	48.5	4.9	4.9	7.2	7.2	4	4.0	0.6	0.60	<10	10.0
			24.5		4.05		47.8		4.9		7.2		4		0.6		<10	
W4	13:40	0.10	24.7	24.7	3.59	3.57	43.1	42.5	7.0	7.0	7.6	7.6	3	3.0	0.5	0.50	<10	10.0
			24.7		3.55		41.9		6.9		7.6		3		0.5		<10	
W5	13:30	0.10	24.3	24.3	3.09	3.06	37.1	36.5	7.9	8.0	7.8	7.8	2	2.0	0.6	0.60	<10	10.0
			24.3		3.02		35.9		8.0		7.8		2		0.6		<10	
W6	13:15	0.10	24.5	24.5	4.52	4.47	53.9	53.4	17.4	17.3	7.6	7.6	4	4.0	0.5	0.55	<10	10.0
			24.5		4.41		52.8		17.2		7.6		4		0.6		<10	

Date 8-Mar-10																		
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc	
W1	15:00	0.10	18.4	18.4	4.12	4.08	53.2	52.7	3.8	3.8	7.3	7.3	3	3.0	0.11	0.11	<10	10.0
			18.4		4.04		52.2		3.7		7.3		3		0.11		<10	
W2	14:55	0.10	18.9	18.9	4.76	4.74	58.9	58.3	4.2	4.2	7.8	7.8	2	2.0	0.11	0.11	<10	10.0
			18.9		4.72		57.7		4.1		7.8		2		0.11		<10	
W3	14:40	0.10	18.7	18.7	4.94	4.89	63.4	63.0	4.5	4.5	7.7	7.7	2	2.0	0.11	0.11	<10	10.0
			18.7		4.84		62.5		4.4		7.7		2		0.11		<10	
W4	14:30	0.10	19.0	19.0	3.67	3.64	41.9	41.2	3.7	3.6	7.3	7.3	2	2.0	0.11	0.11	<10	10.0
			19.0		3.61		40.5		3.5		7.3		2		0.11		<10	
W5	14:15	0.10	17.6	17.6	3.86	3.83	42.2	41.9	5.9	5.7	7.5	7.5	2	2.0	0.11	0.11	<10	10.0
			17.6		3.79		41.6		5.5		7.5		2		0.11		<10	
W6	14:05	0.10	18.1	18.1	3.88	3.85	44.2	43.7	19.4	19.2	7.7	7.7	2	2.0	0.1	0.10	<10	10.0
			18.1		3.82		43.1		19.0		7.7		2		0.1		<10	

Date 10-Mar-10																		
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc	
W1	14:30	0.10	19.1	19.1	2.53	2.51	31.6	31.3	3.4	3.4	6.8	6.8	<2	2.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			19.1		2.49		30.9		3.3		6.8		<2		0.05		<10	
W2	14:20	0.10	19.5	19.5	2.48	2.44	30.8	30.5	2.9	2.9	6.7	6.7	<2	2.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			19.5		2.4		30.1		2.8		6.7		<2		0.05		<10	
W3	14:05	0.10	19.4	19.4	1.95	1.92	24.6	24.4	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2	2	2.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			19.4		1.89		24.1		7.4		7.2		2		0.05		<10	
W4	14:00	0.10	19.0	19.0	2.21	2.19	27.4	27.1	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.8	<2	2.0	0.05	0.05	<10	10.0
			19.0		2.16		26.8		7.7		7.8		<2		0.05		<10	
W5	13:40	0.10	19.3	19.3	3.79	3.76	50.9	50.6	15.3	15.2	6.6	6.6	3	3.0	0.06	0.06	<10	10.0
			19.3		3.73		50.2		15.0		6.6		3		0.06		<10	
W6	13:30	0.10	19.8	19.8	4.23	4.20	56.4	55.8	21.0	20.7	7.9	7.9	4	4.0	0.09	0.09	<10	10.0
			19.8		4.16		55.2		20.4		7.9		4		0.09		<10	

||
||
||




Date																			
17-Mar-10																			
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc		
W1	14:55	0.10	27.5	27.5	2.74	2.70	34.2	33.4	3.4	3.4	6.8	6.8	6	6.0	<0.01	0.01	12	12.0	
			27.5		2.66		32.6		3.3		6.8		6		<0.01		12		
W2	14:50	0.10	27.5	27.5	2.48	2.44	30.8	30.5	2.9	2.9	6.7	6.7	3	3.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			27.5		2.4		30.1		2.8		6.7		3		<0.01		<10		
W3	14:35	0.10	27.2	27.2	4.12	4.08	52.4	52.1	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2	3	3.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			27.2		4.03		51.7		7.4		7.2		3		<0.01		<10		
W4	14:30	0.10	27.6	27.6	2.21	2.19	27.4	27.1	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.8	13	13.0	0.03	0.03	18	18.0	
			27.6		2.16		26.8		7.7		7.8		13		0.03		18		
W5	14:25	0.10	26.9	26.9	3.79	3.76	50.9	50.6	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6	6	6.0	0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			26.9		3.73		50.2		6.7		6.6		6		0.01		<10		
W6	14:10	0.10	26.9	26.9	4.23	4.20	56.4	55.8	16.4	16.3	7.9	7.9	2	2.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			26.9		4.16		55.2		16.2		7.9		2		<0.01		<10		

Date																			
19-Mar-10																			
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc		
W1	15:00	0.10	27.6	27.6	4.25	4.24	58.2	58.1	8.8	8.7	6.7	6.7	6	6.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			27.6		4.22		58.0		8.6		6.7		6		<0.01		<10		
W2	14:50	0.10	27.2	27.2	4.07	4.04	49.7	49.4	9.7	9.7	7.2	7.2	7	7.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			27.2		4.01		49.0		9.6		7.2		7		<0.01		<10		
W3	14:30	0.10	27.5	27.5	2.07	2.04	29.8	29.5	9.7	9.6	6.9	6.9	9	9.0	<0.01	0.01	10	10.0	
			27.5		2.01		29.1		9.5		6.9		9		<0.01		10		
W4	14:25	0.10	26.9	26.9	1.81	1.81	24.7	25.9	7.4	7.2	6.9	6.9	9	9.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			26.9		1.8		27.1		7.0		6.9		9		<0.01		<10		
W5	14:15	0.10	26.8	26.8	1.57	1.58	22.0	21.8	12.4	12.3	7.7	7.7	11	11.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			26.8		1.59		21.6		12.2		7.7		11		<0.01		<10		
W6	13:55	0.10	27.3	27.3	3.07	3.06	39.8	39.4	16.7	16.5	7.4	7.4	9	9.0	<0.01	0.01	<10	10.0	
			27.3		3.05		38.9		16.2		7.4		9		<0.01		<10		



Date																			
22-Mar-10																			
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc		
W1	15:20	0.10	25.8	25.8	3.99	3.97	45.3	44.9	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	36	36.0	0.04	0.04	17	17.0	
			25.8		3.95		44.5		7.2		7.3		36		0.04		17		
W2	15:10	0.10	26.1	26.1	3.77	3.77	41.6	41.6	8.4	6.4	7.1	7.1	16	16.0	0.04	0.04	11	11.0	
			26.1		3.76		41.5		6.3		7.1		16		0.04		11		
W3	14:55	0.10	26.2	26.2	3.65	3.66	40.6	40.7	4.9	4.9	7	7.0	7	7.0	0.03	0.03	<10	10.0	
			26.2		3.66		40.7		4.8		7		7		0.03		<10		
W4	14:50	0.10	25.8	25.8	3.32	3.32	38.8	38.7	8.2	8.1	6.7	6.7	34	34.0	0.04	0.04	15	15.0	
			25.8		3.31		38.6		7.9		6.7		34		0.04		15		
W5	14:35	0.10	25.7	25.7	3.8	3.79	43.0	42.8	9.8	9.5	7.3	7.3	6	6.0	0.03	0.03	<10	10.0	
			25.7		3.78		42.6		9.1		7.3		6		0.03		<10		
W6	14:20	0.10	26.3	26.3	3.37	3.35	38.1	37.6	13.7	13.3	7.2	7.2	12	12.0	0.03	0.03	<10	10.0	
			26.3		3.32		37.1		12.9		7.2		12		0.03		<10		


Date																			
24-Mar-10																			
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DOS (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS		Ammonia N		Zinc		
W1	15:10	0.10	23.4	23.4	2.53	2.50	31.9	31.6	6.7	6.7	6.9	6.9	9	9.0	0.44	0.44	19	19.0	
			23.4		2.47		31.3		6.6		6.9		9		0.44		19		
W2	15:00	0.10	23.5	23.5	3.22	3.19	35.3	35.0	5.9	5.8	6.9	6.9	8	8.0	0.41	0.41	18	18.0	
			23.5		3.15		34.6		5.7		6.9		8		0.41		18		
W3	14:45	0.10	23.6	23.6	3.76	3.72	38.9	38.3	5.1	5.1	7.4	7.4	10	10.0	0.38	0.38	16	16.0	
			23.6		3.68		37.7		5.0		7.4		10		0.38		16		
W4	14:40	0.10	22.8	22.8	3.49	3.45	37.3	37.1	7.2	7.2	7.6	7.6	9	9.0	0.5	0.50	25	25.0	
			22.8		3.4		36.8		7.1		7.6		9		0.5		25		
W5	14:30	0.10	23.4	23.4	3.79	3.73	43.5	43.1	9.2	9.3	8	8.0	9	9.0	0.47	0.47	18	18.0	
			23.4		3.67		42.7		9.3		8		9		0.47		18		
W6	14:15	0.10	23.0	23.0	4.23	4.19	52.1	51.5	17.4	17.4	8.1	8.1	8	8.0	0.46	0.46	20	20.0	
			23.0		4.14		50.9		17.4		8.1		8		0.46		20		

Graphic Plot of Monitoring - Construction Noise




Graphic Plot of Monitoring – Air Quality











*Power failure occurred at KT13-A1(a) on 5 March 2010.



Graphic Plot of Monitoring –Water Quality








Appendix H

Photographic Records of

Ecological Monitoring of Vegetation



KT13

Tree Assessment Schedule

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb. 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M) Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low		
T 181	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	3.5	2.5 0.16	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 182	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	4	3 0.15	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Retain	
T 183	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 184	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 185	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 186	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	8.5	7.5 0.42	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 187	<i>Melia azedarach</i>	楝	8.5	6.5 0.18	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Retain	
T 188	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	5.5 0.32	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 189	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 190	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	4.5	4.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 191	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	2.5	2.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 192	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	3	2 0.13	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell	
T 193	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	5.5	4.5 0.23	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 194	<i>Ilex rotunda</i>	鐵冬青	4	6 0.22	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell	Tree Fell under VO 28
T 195	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5	5 0.32	Poor	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	Tree Fell under VO 28
T 196	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 197	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 198	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 199	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	4.5	3.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 200	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	4.5	3.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 201	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	4.5	4.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 202	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	4.5	3.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 203	<i>Litchi chinensis</i>	荔枝	5.5	4.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 204	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	5.5	4.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 205	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amcnity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	
T 206	<i>Averrhoa carambola</i>	楊桃	7.5	6.5	0.24	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 207	<i>Citrus maxima</i>	柚	5.5	4.4	0.2	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 208	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 209	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 210	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 211	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 212	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 213	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 214	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 215	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 216	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 217	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 218	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 219	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 220	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 221	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	4.5	4.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 222	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 223	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5	0.21	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 224	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	7.5	6.5	0.27	Fair	Fair	High	Medium	Transplant
T 225	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	5.5	4.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 226	<i>Sterculia nobilis</i>	蘋婆	6.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 227	<i>Sterculia nobilis</i>	蘋婆	5.5	3.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 228	<i>Sterculia nobilis</i>	蘋婆	5.5	3.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 229	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4.5	0.2	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 230	<i>Litchi chinensis</i>	荔枝	5.5	5.5	0.2	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Chung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M) Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low		
T 231	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 232	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 233	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 234	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.21	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 235	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	8.5	6.5 0.34	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 236	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Poor	Poor	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 237	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 238	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 239	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	4.5	4 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 240	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 241	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	Tree Fell under VO 28
T 242	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 243	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 244	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	4 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 245	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	Tree Fell under VO 28
T 246	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 247	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.16	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 248	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 249	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	4.5 0.32	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 250	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	6.5	3.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 251	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 252	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	
T 253	<i>Sterculia nobilis</i>	蘋婆	4.5	4.5 0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 254	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	2.5 0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 255	<i>Sterculia nobilis</i>	蘋婆	6.5	4.5 0.18	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb. 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	
T 256	<i>Prunus persica</i>	桃	5.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 257	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 258	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 259	<i>Averrhoa carambola</i>	楊桃	5.5	4.5	0.16	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 260	<i>Artocarpus marocarpus</i>	波羅蜜	5.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 261	<i>Artocarpus marocarpus</i>	波羅蜜	6.5	4	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 262	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 263	<i>Prunus persica</i>	桃	6.5	4	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain
T 264	<i>Prunus persica</i>	桃	5.5	4.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 265	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	7	7	0.34	Fair	Good	Low	Low	Fell
T 266	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏柏	3	3	0.13	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 267	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏柏	4	3	0.15	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 268	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏柏	4	3	0.15	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 269	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	5	3	0.13	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 270	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏柏	6	4	0.23	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 271	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	7	7	0.24	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 272	<i>Bridelia tomentosa</i>	土密樹	5	5	0.15	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 273	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	7	4	0.2	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 274	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	7	5	0.21	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 275	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	7	6	0.38	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Transplant
T 276	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	6	3	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell
T 277	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	7	5	0.22	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Transplant
T 278	<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	龍眼	8	6	0.27	Good	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 279	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	5	4	0.14	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 280	Dead Tree	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	
T 281	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	5	5	0.15	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 282	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	4	6	0.15	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 283	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	5	5	0.2	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 284	Dead Tree	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T 285	<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	龍眼	7	8	0.4	Good	Good	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 286	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	3	1	0.16	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 287	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	4	4	0.14	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 288	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	7	6	0.39	Fair	Poor	Medium	Low	Transplant
T 289	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 290	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 291	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	5	5	0.32	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell
T 292	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	3.5	2	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 293	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 294	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 295	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 296	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 297	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 298	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 299	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 300	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 301	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 302	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 303	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 304	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 305	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Scientific Name	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
							Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low		
T 306	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 307	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 308	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 309	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 310	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 311	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 312	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 313	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 314	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 315	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 316	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 317	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 318	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 319	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 320	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 321	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 322	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 323	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 324	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 325	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 326	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 327	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 328	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 329	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 330	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 331	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks	
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low		
T 332	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 333	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 334	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 335	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 336	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 337	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 338	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 339	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 340	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 341	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 342	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 343	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 344	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 345	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 346	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 347	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 348	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 349	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
T 350	<i>Delonix regia</i>	鳳凰木	10.5	5.5	0.32	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	Conflict with proposed channel
T 351	Dead Tree	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
T 352	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		*
T 353	<i>Delonix regia</i>	鳳凰木	5.5	2.5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	Conflict with proposed channel
T 354	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		*
T 355	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		*
T 356	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Form Good / Fair / Poor	Health Good / Fair / Poor	Amenity value High / Medium / Low	Survival Rate after High / Medium / Low	Approved Treatment	Remarks
T 357	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 358	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 359	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 360	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 361	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 362	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 363	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 364	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 365	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 366	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 367	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 368	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 369	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 370	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 371	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 372	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 373	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	3.5	2	0.18	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Transplant	Conflict with proposed channel
T 374	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 375	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 376	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 377	<i>Spathodea campanulata</i>	火焰木	3.5	2	0.17	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	Conflict with proposed channel
T 378	<i>Spathodea campanulata</i>	火焰木	4	2.5	0.18	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant	Conflict with proposed channel
T 379	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 380	<i>Ficus Benjamin</i>	垂榕	5.5	4	0.23	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 381	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Chung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	
T 382	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 383	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 384	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 385	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 386	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 387	<i>Aleurites molucana</i>	石栗	7.5	5.5	0.28	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Retain
T 388	<i>Aleurites molucana</i>	石栗	7.5	6	0.29	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Retain
T 389	<i>Aleurites molucana</i>	石栗	5	4.5	0.26	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 390	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
#T 391	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 392	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 393	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
#T 394	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
#T 395	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
#T 396	<i>Albizia lebbek</i>	大葉合歡	6.5	3	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	High	Transplant
T 397	<i>Ficus microcarpa</i>	細葉榕	6.5	5.5	0.35	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 398	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	4	2	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Transplant
T 399	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	3.5	2	0.17	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 400	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	5.5	5.5	0.17	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Transplant
T 401	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	4.5	4.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Transplant
T 402	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	5	5	0.15	Poor	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 403	Dead Tree	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T 404	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 405	<i>Homalium cochinchinensis</i>	天料木	4.5	4.5	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 406	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Chung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
	Scientific Name			Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	
T 407	<i>Homalium cochinchinensis</i>	天料木	5	4	0.12	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 408	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 409	<i>Dead Tree</i>	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T 410	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 411	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 412	<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	龍眼	7	0.36	5	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Retain
T 413	<i>Dead Tree</i>	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T 414	<i>Artocarpus marocarpus</i>	波羅蜜	8.5	5	0.33	Poor	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 415	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	8.5	5.5	0.33	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Retain
T 416	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	5	4	0.12	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Retain
T 417	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	5	4	0.12	Poor	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 418	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	6	3	0.12	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 419	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	5	4	0.13	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 420	<i>Microcos paniculata</i>	布渣葉	6.5	5.5	0.14	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 421	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	5	5	0.17	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 422	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	5	5	0.14	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 423	<i>Dead Tree</i>	死樹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T 424	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	4	5	0.19	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 425	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	3	4	0.14	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 426	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 427	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	5.5	2.5	0.12	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Retain
T 428	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	5.5	3.5	0.12	Poor	Fair	Low	Low	Retain
T 429	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 430	<i>Missing Tree</i>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 431	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	朴	4	3	0.14	Poor	Fair	Low	Low	Retain

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Scientific Name	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size	Form	Health	Amenity value	Survival Rate after	Approved Treatment	Remarks
					Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Good / Fair / Poor	Good / Fair / Poor	High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	
T 432	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 433	<i>Carica papaya</i>	番木瓜	3	4	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 434	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	芒果	4	3	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 435	<i>Salix babylonica</i>	柳	5	3	0.15	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Retain	
T 436	<i>Salix babylonica</i>	柳	5	3	0.14	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Retain	
T 437	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 438	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 439	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 440	Dead Tree	死樹		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T 441	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	4	5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	High	Fell	
T 442	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	5	7	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	High	Fell	
T 443	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	4	5	0.14	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain	
T 444	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	4	7	0.14	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Retain	
T 445	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 446	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	6	5	0.2	Fair	Fair	Low	High	Fell	
T 447	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	6	6	0.21	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell	
T 448	<i>Sterculia lanceolata</i>	假蘋果	6	4	0.14	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 449	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 450	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 451	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 452	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 453	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 454	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 455	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 456	Missing Tree			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Project Name:

Contract No DC/2007/17

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb, 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Trec Size Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Form Good / Fair / Poor	Health Good / Fair / Poor	Amenity value High / Medium / Low	Survival Rate after High / Medium / Low	Approved Treatment	Remarks
T 457	<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>	對葉榕	6	5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 458	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	6	5	0.15	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell	
T 459	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	6	6	0.16	Fair	Poor	Low	Low	Fell	
T 460	<i>Ficus hispida</i>	對葉榕	6	6	0.17	Fair	Fair	Low	High	Fell	
T 461	<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>	山指甲	5	6	0.16	Poor	Poor	Low	Low	Fell	
T 462	<i>Litchi chinensis</i>	荔枝	3.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 463	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	3.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 464	<i>Clausena lansium</i>	黃皮	3.5	3.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Medium	Retain	
T 742	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	8.5	8.5	0.38	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Retain	Tree Fell under VO 28
T 920	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	4.5	2.5	0.18	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 921	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	4.5	2	0.2	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 922	<i>Aleurites molucana</i>	石栗	6	3.5	0.25	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 923	<i>Ficus microcarpa</i>	細葉榕	6.5	3.5	0.27	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 924	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	4	1.5	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 925	<i>Aleurites molucana</i>	石栗	5	2.5	0.2	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 926	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i>	血桐	3	1	0.15	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 927	<i>Ficus microcarpa</i>	細葉榕	4.5	2	0.23	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 928	<i>Koelreuteria formosana</i>	台灣欒	5.5	3.5	0.2	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 929	<i>Spathodea campanulata</i>	火焰木	4.5	4.5	0.16	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 930	<i>Ficus microcarpa</i>	細葉榕	5.5	5.5	0.23	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	Tree Fell under VO 28
T 931	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 932	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 933	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 934	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 935	<i>Osmanthus matsumuranus</i>	牛矢果	4.5	4.5	0.13	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	Tree Fell under VO 28

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Tree Assessment Schedule

Surveyed by:

HK Landscaping Ltd.

Project Name:

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works (KT-13)

Location:

Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long and San Tsuen

Date:

Feb. 2010

Tree No.	Species	Chinese Name	Overall Height (M)	Tree Size Crown Spread (M)	Trunk Diameter (M)	Form Good / Fair / Poor	Health Good / Fair / Poor	Amenity value High / Medium / Low	Survival Rate after High / Medium / Low	Approved Treatment	Remarks
T 936	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 937	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 938	<i>Astonia scholaris</i>	黑板木	3	3	0.12	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	Fell By Others
T 939	<i>Dimocarpus logan</i>	龍眼	5.5	5.5	0.2	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	Fell By Others
T 940	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 941	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 942	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 943	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 944	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 951	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏桕	5	3	0.23	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 952	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏桕	4	2	0.16	Poor	Poor	Fair	Fair	Retain	
T 953	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏桕	5	0	0.3	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Retain	
T 954	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 955	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 956	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏桕	3.5	0	0.21	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 957	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	烏桕	4.5	0	0.23	Fair	Fair	Low	Low	Fell	
T 958	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 959	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 960	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 961	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 962	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
T 963	Missing Tree		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

Notes: " * " - "Missing Trees" recorded under the Tree assessment schedule were felled with unknown reasons before the site was handed over to DSD's contractor.

Notes: " # " - Revise due to wrong identification

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun


Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010




Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010




Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun


Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010




Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010




Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.
Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun



Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun


Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17




Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.
Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No. DC/2007/17


Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Long San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Updating tree survey report of February 2010




Hong Kong Landscaping Co. Ltd.

Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.
Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Contract No:DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong,
Yuen Long San Tsuen.
Updating tree survey report of February 2010



Appendix I

Condition Survey of the Grave during Construction Phase

China Road and Bridge Corporation

Contract No. DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in
Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen
Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam
Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung
Tsuen, Tuen Mun:
*Condition Survey During
Construction Phase*

April 2010


Environmental Resources Management

21/F Lincoln House
979 King's Road
Taikoo Place
Island East, Hong Kong
Telephone: (852) 2271 3000
Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660
E-mail: post.hk@erm.com
<http://www.erm.com>

China Road and Bridge Corporation

Contract No. DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in
Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen
Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam
Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung
Tsuen, Tuen Mun:
*Condition Survey During
Construction Phase*

April 2010

For and on behalf of ERM-Hong Kong, Limited	
Approved by:	Frank Wan
Signed:	
Position:	Partner
Date:	8 April 2010

Reference 0082040

This report has been prepared by ERM-Hong Kong, Limited with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.

We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.

This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.

CONTENTS

1	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	1
1.1	<i>BACKGROUND</i>	1
1.2	<i>STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT</i>	1
2	<i>METHODOLOGY</i>	3
3	<i>CONDITION SURVEY FINDINGS</i>	5
3.1	<i>HISTORICAL GRAVE (KT13-02-02)</i>	5
3.2	<i>EXISTING CONDITION</i>	5
4	<i>CONCLUSION & FURTHER ACTIONS</i>	14
4.1	<i>CONCLUSIONS</i>	14
4.2	<i>FURTHER ACTION - NEXT CONDITION SURVEY DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE</i>	14

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The China Road and Bridge Corporation (the Contractor) has been commissioned by the Drainage Services Department (DSD) of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) Government to carry out *Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseung Tau Chung Tsuen in Tuen Mun* under Contract No. DC/2007/17 (the Contract).

According to the findings of the *Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)* and *Section 7* of the *Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual* of the captioned Project, a condition survey is required for a historical grave (KT13-02-02) near Ma On Kong before and during construction phase of the proposed bypass culvert under KT13 project, as the project is located close (approximately 39m) to the grave (see *Figure 1.1*).

ERM-Hong Kong Limited has been commissioned by the Contractor to undertake the condition survey before and during construction phase of the Project.

Prior to the condition survey, a method statement for the work has been established and approved by the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) of the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) in accordance with *Section 7.3.1* of the *EM&A Manual* for the project.

This report presents the results of the 3rd condition survey during construction phase of the project conducted on 27 February 2010.

1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

Following this introductory section, the remainder of this report comprises the following sections:

- Section 2* describes the methodology for the condition survey;
- Section 3* presents the condition survey findings; and
- Section 4* presents conclusions and further actions.

This page is deliberately left blank




Figure 1.1

Location of the Historic Grave (KT13-02-02) at Ma On Kong

FILE: 0082040b
DATE: 27/06/2008

Environmental
Resources
Management



As the proposed bypass culvert under KT13 project is located close to a historical grave (KT13-02-02), in order to preserve the integrity of the grave's structure, a condition survey before and during the construction phase of the KT13 project is required. The condition survey is divided into two stages, comprising Stage 1: pre-construction condition survey and Stage 2: condition surveys during construction phase. A pre-construction condition survey was undertaken in June 2008 and the survey report has been submitted to the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) for review in July 2008. As the survey has been undertaken for more than a year, the Contractor has instructed ERM to carry out a condition survey on 31 August 2009 to update the condition of the grave. The findings obtained from that survey are regarded as the baseline information for reference for Stage 2 condition surveys during construction phase.

Construction work within 100m from the grave was commenced on 21 October 2009. According to the agreed method statement, a bi-monthly condition survey is required for the Stage 2 condition survey during construction phase (i.e. when construction works are within 100m from the grave). Two condition surveys (on 31 October 2009 and on 9 January 2010) were conducted. This report presents the findings of the third condition survey conducted on 27 February 2010.

2.1.1 *Stage 2: Condition Survey During Construction Phase*

The purpose of the Stage 2 condition survey during construction phase was to record the existing condition of the historical grave (KT13-02-02) after construction work of the proposed bypass culvert under KT13 project had started within 100m from the grave and comprised the following tasks:

1. Identification of the historical grave (KT13-02-02) near Ma On Kong;
2. Visual inspection was adopted for the condition survey;
3. Recording of the existing condition and evaluation of structural integrity of the historical grave;
4. Measurement of ground level and exact location of the historical grave by a qualified land surveyor;
5. The condition survey was undertaken by a qualified archaeologist, a cultural heritage specialist, assisted by a surveyor and a structural engineer provided by the Contractor; and
6. Submission of all records (including photographs, ground level measurements, grave locations, all detected cracks, defects and damage, if any) to the AMO of the LCSD in this report. It will form part of the EM&A Manual findings. The next condition survey to be

conducted during construction stage was recommended in *Section 4.2* of this report.

3 *CONDITION SURVEY FINDINGS*

The condition survey was conducted on 27 February 2010 and the findings are presented below.

3.1 *HISTORICAL GRAVE (KT13-02-02)*

The historical grave KT13-02-02 was identified during the EIA stage of the project. It is dated to Qing Dynasty and located south of Ma On Kong village (see *Figure 1.1*) in Kam Tin facing south/southeast. The grave is constructed with Chinese bluish bricks and masonry and rendered with cement.

3.2 *EXISTING CONDITION*

At the time of the monitoring on 27 February 2010, the grave was generally in good condition with little weeding problem. *Figure 3.1* shows the general view of the grave at the time of the site visit.



Figure 3.1 Front View

A total of 26 cracks were identified on the cement rendering, mortar of bricks and headstone of the grave. Their locations are shown in *Figure 3.2*. Crack width ranged from hairline to 5.6mm. *Table 3.1* details the cracks identified on the grave photographically and compares the cracks identified during last condition survey and those in this survey.




Figure 3.2









Plan of the Historic Grave Showing Existing Cracks
(as at 27 February 2010)









FILE: 0082040e
DATE: 08/04/2010









**Environmental
Resources
Management**



















Table 3.1 Comparison of Cracks on the Historic Grave Identified on the Two Condition Surveys





Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
1	1		
2	2		
3	1.5		
4	1		







Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
5	5.6	 A photograph showing a horizontal crack in a concrete surface. A red arrow points upwards to the crack. The crack is approximately 5.6 mm wide.	 A photograph showing the same crack in the concrete surface. A red arrow points upwards to the crack. The crack appears slightly wider than in the January photo.
6	1.6	 A photograph showing a crack in a concrete curb. A red arrow points upwards to the crack. The crack is approximately 1.6 mm wide.	 A photograph showing the same crack in the concrete curb. A red arrow points upwards to the crack. The crack appears slightly wider than in the January photo.
7	1.7	 A photograph showing a crack in a concrete surface. A red arrow points upwards to the crack. The crack is approximately 1.7 mm wide.	 A photograph showing the same crack in the concrete surface. A red arrow points upwards to the crack. The crack appears slightly wider than in the January photo.
8	1.5	 A photograph showing a crack in a concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack. The crack is approximately 1.5 mm wide.	 A photograph showing the same crack in the concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack. The crack appears slightly wider than in the January photo.

Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
9	0.4	 A photograph showing a horizontal crack in a concrete surface. A red arrow points upwards to the crack.	 A photograph showing the same horizontal crack in the concrete surface. A red arrow points upwards to the crack.
10	1	 A photograph showing a horizontal crack in a concrete surface. A red arrow points downwards to the crack.	 A photograph showing the same horizontal crack in the concrete surface. A red arrow points downwards to the crack.
11	1.5	 A photograph showing a vertical crack in a concrete surface. A red arrow points to the right towards the crack.	 A photograph showing the same vertical crack in the concrete surface. A red arrow points to the right towards the crack.
12	1.6	 A photograph showing a horizontal crack in a concrete surface with a red-painted arch above it. A red arrow points to the left towards the crack.	 A photograph showing the same horizontal crack in the concrete surface with the red-painted arch above it. A red arrow points to the right towards the crack.

Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
13	2.9		
14	1.6		
15	2		
16	1.7		

Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
17	1.6	 A photograph showing a vertical crack in a concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A photograph showing the same vertical crack in the concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack.
18	2	 A photograph showing a horizontal crack in a concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A photograph showing the same horizontal crack in the concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack.
19	0.4	 A photograph showing a horizontal crack in a concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A photograph showing the same horizontal crack in the concrete curb. A red arrow points to the crack.
20	0.9	 A photograph showing a vertical crack in a concrete surface. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A photograph showing the same vertical crack in the concrete surface. A red arrow points to the crack.

Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
21	0.5	 A close-up photograph of a masonry joint. A horizontal crack is visible in the mortar between a red brick and a grey concrete block. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A close-up photograph of the same masonry joint as in the previous image. The crack is still present, and a red arrow points to it.
22	Hair-line	 A close-up photograph of a grey concrete surface. A very fine, hair-line crack is visible. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A photograph of a grey concrete surface with faint Chinese characters. A hair-line crack is visible, and a red arrow points to it.
23	Hair-line	 A photograph of a grey concrete surface. A hair-line crack is visible. A red arrow points to the crack.	 A photograph of the same concrete surface as in the previous image. The hair-line crack is still present, and a red arrow points to it.

Crack No.	Current Crack Width (mm)	Photographic Record	
		Condition Survey Undertaken on 9 January 2010	Condition Survey Undertaken on 27 February 2010
24	Hair-line		
25	0.4		
26	2.5		

No new cracks are identified during this condition survey. The crack widths for 17 cracks out of the 26 cracks as shown in *Table 3.1* remain unchanged. However, the remaining cracks were recorded to have slightly widened (mostly less than 1mm). The cracks width changes are presented in *Table 3.2*.

Table 3.2 *Comparison of Cracks Width*

Crack No.	Width of Crack Recorded on 9 January 2010 (mm)	Width of Crack Recorded on 27 February 2010 (mm)	Difference (mm)
5	5.5	5.6	0.1
7	1.6	1.7	0.1
9	Hair-line	0.4	0.4
10	0.2	1	0.8
14	1.3	1.6	0.3
19	0.1	0.4	0.3
20	0.1	0.9	0.8
25	Hair-line	0.4	0.4
26	1	2.5	1.5

In view of the surrounding dense vegetation and the grave being exposed, existing cracks are likely to be intensified by weathering (such as raining, intermittent heating and cooling) or root encroachment of overgrown vegetation. The settlement monitoring suggested that there is no settlement or differential settlement at the grave and therefore the slight increase of crack widths is unlikely due to the construction works. The slight increase of the crack widths will not affect the structural integrity of the grave. However, they need to be monitored closely in the next condition survey to determine if these cracks will be widened.

No major signs of settlement of the foundations or structural cracks were identified. In order to establish the baseline condition of the grave for monitoring of potential ground settlement, five settlement markers (13GS01 to 13GS05) were established (without damaging the physical grave) to record the coordinates and elevations of the grave (see *Figure 3.3*). The recorded points are presented in the *Table 3.3*.

Table 3.3 *Record of Five Settlement Marker Points of the Historic Grave on 27 February 2010*

Point	Northing	Easting	Elevation (mPD) Initial Reading (Taken on 31 August 2009)	Elevation (mPD) Updated Reading (Taken on 27 February 2010)	Difference (mm)
13GS01	830520.25	824754.57	19.222	19.222	0
13GS02	830521.54	824754.32	19.985	19.985	0
13GS03	830523.21	824754.54	20.644	20.643	-1
13GS04	830521.79	824755.67	19.943	19.944	1
13GS05	830520.61	824756.12	19.211	19.210	-1




Figure 3.3

Location of Settlement Marker Points

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

Since construction work of the proposed bypass culvert under KT13 project within 100m from the grave commenced on 21 October 2009, the third condition survey during construction phase was conducted for a historical grave (KT13-02-02) near Ma On Kong on 27 February 2010 by a qualified archaeologist, a cultural heritage specialist, a surveyor and a structural engineer. Comparing with the baseline information obtained on 31 August 2009 and the previous two condition surveys conducted on 31 October 2009 and 9 January 2010, the grave was generally considered in good condition. A total of 26 minor cracks ranging from hairline to 5.6 mm in width were identified in the current condition survey. There were no major signs of structural cracks. The cracks on the surface rendering are likely to be caused/intensified by the following reasons:

- (a) pressure induced by the vehicles parked on the platform;
- (b) natural weathering (such as raining, intermittent heating and cooling); and
- (c) root encroachment of overgrown vegetation.

Five settlement marker points have been established on the grave and the coordinates and elevations of the points were recorded. The settlement monitoring results taken on 27 February 2010 were compared with the baseline readings taken on 31 August 2009 to determine if there is any significant tilting or settlement of the grave. No settlement or tilting has been detected since last condition survey on 27 February 2010.

4.2 FURTHER ACTION - NEXT CONDITION SURVEY DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE

As agreed in the method statement, a bi-monthly condition survey will be undertaken for the Stage 2 condition survey during construction phase (i.e. when construction works are within 100m from the grave). However, the construction works of the proposed bypass culvert under the KT13 project within 100m from the grave will be suspended between 1 March and 31 May 2010 due to the restricted activities within 100m of the ecological buffer area. Therefore, no condition survey will be conducted during this period. The next condition survey will be conducted prior to the re-commencement of the construction works within 100m from the grave, tentatively scheduled in late May or early June 2010. The survey will include the measurement of the 26 existing cracks identified to record if the cracks have been widened compared with the last condition survey and visual inspection on the presence of any additional cracks. If additional cracks are identified, they will be marked on a plan and measured. When damage to or structural instability of the grave

is detected, the requirement under the approved Method Statement and action required in Event and Action Plan under the EM&A Manual will be followed.

Although there is no tilting or settlement measured in this reporting period, the Contractor decides to maintain the monitoring frequency of the five settlement markers (13GS01 to 13GS05) at weekly intervals. If a tilting or settlement of ± 5 mm of ground surface level of the grave is identified, the action and limit levels and action plan as stated in Sections 7.5 and 7.6 of the EM&A will be adopted (see Tables 4.1 and 4.2). The construction works should cease immediately and the AMO should be informed. Remedial action should be designed and implemented by the Contractor in consultation with the AMO of LCSD following the action plan as stated in Section 7.6 of the EM&A Manual of the project (see Table 4.2).

Table 4.1 *Action and Limit Levels for the Historical Grave*

Action	Limit
When damage or structure instability is first detected.	Signs of deterioration and structural instability continue on subsequent visits after action level is triggered.

Table 4.2 *Event and Action Plan for the Historical Grave*

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation. Report reasons of structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. Increase monitoring frequency to once per week to check mitigation effectiveness.	Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET. Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly. Supervise the implementation remedial measures, with approval from AMO.	Confirm receipt of notification failure in writing. Notify Contractor. Require Contactor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Notify AMO concerning the damage or structural instability of the historical grave. Submit proposal for repair of damage to the historical grave to AMO for approval and to implement approved measures.

Event	Action			
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit Level	<p>Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Report reasons of continued structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor</p> <p>Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures</p> <p>Increase monitoring frequency to daily to check mitigation effectiveness</p>	<p>Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.</p> <p>Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly.</p> <p>Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval from AMO.</p>	<p>Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing</p> <p>Notify Contractor</p> <p>Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.</p> <p>Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.</p>	<p>To carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Propose remedial measures for the repair and stabilization of cultural heritage resources, up to liaison of moving and rebuilding the relevant structure with the approval of owner (usually the clan members) and AMO.</p>

Once the construction within 100m area from the grave is completed, a final condition survey should be reported.

Appendix J

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13

Current Situation of Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13, inspected on 5 and 19 March 2010

The physical resources that will be affected during the Construction Phase and Operational Phase, together with their sensitivity to change, are described below. The locations of the baseline landscape resources are mapped in Drawing no. LR-001. The Landscape Resources in direct conflict with the Project are mapped together with their extent outside study boundary for integrity of information. Photo views illustrating the landscape resources of the study area are illustrated in Drawing Nos. PR-001 to 002 inclusive. For ease of reference and co-ordination between text, tables and figures each landscape resources is given an identity number.

Table compares the baseline study and the current situation for KT13: (Landscape Resources)

Section in EIA Report	Identify number – Landscape Resources	Photo	Baseline Study, Environmental Impact Assessment Final Report [382047/E/EIA/Issue 9]	Current Situation
Drainage				
10.7.3	LR1 – River/ Stream	A1 A5	- There is a semi-natural drainage features (the Ma On Kong Channel) in the study area with untrained natural upstream and partial trained downstream with a total length of 800m. The Channel originates from the South-West of the valley and discharge to the existing Primary Channel by Kam Ho Road running through and along the site area spanning across majority of the river valley, together with the existing vegetations forming the central part of riparian landscape network. They have medium landscape value and sensitive to change.	Minor change due to construction work within the site boundary.
Fish Pond				
10.7.4	LR2.1 (Fish Pond) within site boundary LR2.2 (Fish Pond) outside site boundary	A6 A7	There are 4 numbers of fallowed fish ponds at the upstream of the Ma On Kong Channel. A chain of fish ponds near downstream but distant from the Channel is noted. The fish ponds cover area of in total 23,000 m2. Most of them are heavily colonized by aquatic plants, which attribute to their low visual quality as a water landscape element. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change.	Minor change due to construction of structures within site boundary. A soil platform was

				created outside site boundary due to other project was noted.
Marsh				
10.7.5	LR3 (Marsh)	A8	It comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change.	Remain the same as the baseline
Vegetation				
10.7.7	LR4 (Woodland/ Wooded Area)	A9 A10	It comprises two major communities of woodland/ wooded area. One is dense natural woodland stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by <i>Schefflera octophylla</i> , <i>Pinus massoniana</i> , <i>Aporosa chinensis</i> , <i>Celtis sinensis</i> , <i>Bridelia tomentosa</i> , <i>Cinnamomum cmaphora</i> , <i>Rhus chinensis</i> and <i>Phus succedanes</i> . Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by <i>Ficus hispide</i> and <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> . They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.8	LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural Trees)	A11	It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> , <i>Delonix regia</i> , <i>Roystonea regia</i> and <i>Pachira macrocarpa</i> . For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.9	LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land)	A12	It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing	Remain the same as the baseline.

			landscape within the study area. They have low landscape value and sensitivity to change.	
Sitting-Out Area				
10.7.10	LR7 (Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong)	A13	It is located at the Ma On Kong next to the access road. It is a small sitting-out area primarily hard-paved with only 3 amenity trees and on pavilion. It has low landscape value and sensitivity to change.	Remain the same as the baseline
Landscape Character Areas				
10.7.12	LCA1 (Agricultural Landscape Character Area)	B1 & B2	This comprises followed land & agricultural land not in active uses. This character area is flat and gentle sloping in landform and vegetated with grass of various heights. It forms the majority of the landscape character of the entire river valley and the connecting landscape element between other landscape character areas. The sensitivity to change of this area is low.	Minor change due to invasion of cows. Some of the grass on the land were consumed.
10.7.13	LCA2 (Woodland Landscape Character Area)	B3	This is natural woodland between southern Ma On Kong and the Channel extending up to the access road behind Ma On Kong. The trees are mature in size forming a close woodland landscape. It is the location of egretry of conservation importance. The sensitivity to change of this area is high.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.14	LCA3 (River/ Stream Landscape Character Area)	B4 – B7	This is the main stream of the Channel in associate with its riparian vegetation. It meanders through the river valley landscape. It is used as a receptor of agricultural effluent from poultry farm around upstream, which contribute to the polluted appearance of the character area around upstream. The sensitivity to change of this area is medium.	Minor change due to construction work within site boundary
10.7.15	LCA4 (Fish Pond Landscape Area)	B8	This comprises a number of fish ponds of various sizes distributed about the Channel. Most of them are abandoned or with limited uses and colonized with aquatic plants. The sensitivity to change of this area is medium.	Minor change due to construction of structures within site boundary.

10.7.16	LCA5 (Village Landscape Character Area)	B9 & B10	This comprises the four major village types rural settlement encompassing tai Kek, Ma On Kong, Ho Pui and north of Ho Pui. Except Tai Kek which is less revitalized and actively resided, all other three are actively resided. This area is lightly urbanized with low rise village house. The sensitivity to change of this area is low.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.17	LCA6 (Industrial Landscape Character Area)	B11 & B12	This comprise collection of slummy-built temporary structure and open storage uses land, which are characterized with metallic hoarding and used for poultry, recycling, vehicle repairing etc. The sensitivity to change of this area is low.	Reconstruction of hoarding was conducted by the land owner
10.7.18	LCA7 (Nullah Landscape Character Area)	B13	This is the trained nullah next to Kam Ho Road. It is the primary tributary connecting and receiving outflow from the Ma On Kong Channel. The area is man-made and with poor and monotonous riverside vegetation. The sensitivity to change of this area is low.	Remain the same as the baseline

10.7.19 Visual Character

The visual quality of the river valley of Ma On Kong Channel is semi-natural based on combination of rural landscape elements including agricultural land, village houses, woodland and pond and stream and industrial landscape elements including open storage and temporary structures. Interspersed landscape elements on general flat landform with minor undulation render numerous small enclosed views. No major vista and high quality open view identified.

10.7.20 Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR)

Within the ZVI, a number of key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) have been identified. These VSRs are mapped in Drawing V-001. They are listed, together with their sensitivity, in Table 10/5. Photo views illustrating the VSRs are illustrated in Drawing nos. PV-001 to 002 inclusive. For the ease of reference, each VSR is given an identity number, which is used in the text, tables and figures.

Table compares the baseline study and the current situation for KT13: (Visual Sensitive Receiver)

Section in EIA Report	Identify number – VSR	Photo No.	Baseline Study, Environmental Impact Assessment Final Report [382047/E/EIA/Issue 9]	Current Situation
Industrial VSRs				
10.7.21	I1	C1	Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access The VSRs is workers of the open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.22	I2	C2	Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel The VSRs is workers of the plant nursery. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.23	I3	C3	Plant Nursery at the west of Ma On Kong Channel The VSRs is workers of the plant nursery. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	Temporary stockpiling was observed
10.7.24	I4	C4	Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui The VSRs is workers of the temporary structure. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	Reconstruction of hoarding was conducted by the land owner
10.7.25	I5	C5	Open Storage at the end of village access road The VSRs is workers of the open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	
10.7.26	I6	C6	Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel The VSRs is workers of the temporary structure and open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	Remain the same as the baseline

Open Space / Sitting – Out Area VSRs				
10.7.27	O1	C7	<p>Users of Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong</p> <p>The VSRs is future users of the re-provided sitting-out area during operation phase. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is medium.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline
Residential VSRs				
10.7.28	R1	C8	<p>Tai Kek</p> <p>The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.29	R2	C9	<p>North of Ma On Kong</p> <p>The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.30	R3	C10	<p>Ma On Kong</p> <p>The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.31	R4	C11	<p>North of Ho Pui</p> <p>The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is high.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline

Transport-related VSRs				
10.7.32	T1	C12	<p>Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (lower section)</p> <p>The VSRs is the road users of the road section. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.33	T2	C13	<p>Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (high section)</p> <p>The VSRs is the road users of the road section. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.34	T3	C14	<p>Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir</p> <p>The VSRs is the road users of the road section, part of which are tourist to Ho Pui Reservoir. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to change is low.</p>	Remain the same as the baseline

APPROVED BY: [Signature]

DATE: [Date]

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE

DE 0798

1:600 (1:1000 ORIGINALLY) FOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE WORKS.

1:1200 FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE WORKS.

1:2400 FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE WORKS.

1:4800 FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE WORKS.

1:9600 FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE WORKS.

SCALE: 1:1000 (ORIGINALLY)

DATE: 1998

PROJECT NAME: [Project Name]

PROJECT NUMBER: [Project Number]

PROJECT LOCATION: [Project Location]

1:2000 AS

1:1000 AS

1:2000 AS


1:1000 AS

1:2000 AS

1:1000 AS

1:2000 AS

1:1000 AS



SCHEDULE OF WORKS

NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	Clearing and grubbing of the site
2	Excavation and foundation work
3	Construction of the drainage network
4	Construction of the drainage network
5	Construction of the drainage network
6	Construction of the drainage network
7	Construction of the drainage network
8	Construction of the drainage network
9	Construction of the drainage network
10	Construction of the drainage network
11	Construction of the drainage network
12	Construction of the drainage network
13	Construction of the drainage network
14	Construction of the drainage network
15	Construction of the drainage network
16	Construction of the drainage network
17	Construction of the drainage network
18	Construction of the drainage network
19	Construction of the drainage network
20	Construction of the drainage network
21	Construction of the drainage network
22	Construction of the drainage network
23	Construction of the drainage network
24	Construction of the drainage network
25	Construction of the drainage network
26	Construction of the drainage network
27	Construction of the drainage network
28	Construction of the drainage network
29	Construction of the drainage network
30	Construction of the drainage network
31	Construction of the drainage network
32	Construction of the drainage network
33	Construction of the drainage network
34	Construction of the drainage network
35	Construction of the drainage network
36	Construction of the drainage network
37	Construction of the drainage network
38	Construction of the drainage network
39	Construction of the drainage network
40	Construction of the drainage network
41	Construction of the drainage network
42	Construction of the drainage network
43	Construction of the drainage network
44	Construction of the drainage network
45	Construction of the drainage network
46	Construction of the drainage network
47	Construction of the drainage network
48	Construction of the drainage network
49	Construction of the drainage network
50	Construction of the drainage network

BLACK & VEATCH HOLDINGS LIMITED

112520 AS
112520 AS

1:2000 AS
1:1000 AS

1:2000 AS
1:1000 AS

As prepared by JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc. on 09/15/2015.
 SHEET 1 OF 1

1. THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION HEREON IS UNCLASSIFIED UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.
2. THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION HEREON IS UNCLASSIFIED UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.
3. THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION HEREON IS UNCLASSIFIED UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.
4. THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION HEREON IS UNCLASSIFIED UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.

LEGEND

- SITE BOUNDARY
- STAFF BOUNDARY
- PROPOSED CHANNEL
- PROPOSED SLUICE
- LR - OTHER / STREAM
- LR - FRESH WATER
- LR - WASTE
- LR - INDUSTRIAL/COMMERCIAL WASTE
- LR - DOMESTIC/RESIDENTIAL WASTE
- LR - LRS/LTS/MS/MSW/LLP
- LR - STATION-OFF WET

POSSIBLE TRACING POINT
 WITH PROPOSED WET


DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CHK	APP
09/15/2015	REVISION			
09/15/2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT			

PROJECT NO. CE 1018

PROJECT NAME
 WASH STATE TRAIL, WASH STATE TRAIL
 IN THE AREA OF
 CHANGING APPROXIMATE STAGE 1
 FROM 20' TO 100' TIE

ISSUING DATE
 IN THE LONG CHANNEL, ST13
 LANDSCAPE RESOURCE BOUNDARY

SCALE
 1"=50' AT
 1:50000 AS



LR2.1
 (Area of (can pond to be filled)

LR2.2

LR4
 (shaded area)

1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRIC UNITS.
 2. GRID LINES ARE ONE METRE OVER TWO.
 3. THE MAP IS A GENERAL PLAN, NOT A CONTRACT DOCUMENT.
 4. THE MAP IS A GENERAL PLAN, NOT A CONTRACT DOCUMENT.
 5. THE MAP IS A GENERAL PLAN, NOT A CONTRACT DOCUMENT.

- LEGEND:
- EXIST BOUNDARY
 - EXIST ROADWAY
 - PROPOSED CANAL
 - PROPOSED SLOPE
 - LCA1 - AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - LCA2 - FORESTED LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - LCA3 - PARK / URBAN LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - LCA4 - FISH POND LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - LCA5 - RIVERINE LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - LCA6 - RIVERINE LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - LCA7 - RIVERINE LANDSCAPE CONNECTIVE AREA
 - PROP. BOUNDARY WITH PHOTO SET


NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	CHECKED BY
1	ISSUED FOR TENDERS	11/03/03
2
3
4
5

CE 01/98
 YUEN LOAN, KAM TIN, NGAU TAM AND
 CHANGHE IMPROVEMENT STAGE 1
 PHASE 2B - LANE 11N

1:10000 AS
 1:10000 AS

香港特許測量師公會
 HONG KONG SOCIETY OF SURVEYORS
 香港測量師學會
 HONG KONG SOCIETY OF SURVEYORS

11/03/03
 11/03/03
 11/03/03
 11/03/03



11/03/03
 11/03/03
 11/03/03
 11/03/03

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Photo record

5 March 2010



Photo No. A1 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A2 – LR1

River/Stream




Photo No. A3 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A4 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A5 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A6 – LR2.1

Fish Pond within site boundary



Photo No. A7 – LR2.2

River/Stream



Photo No. A8 – LR3

River/Stream



Photo No. A9 – LR4

Woodland/Wooded Area

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. A10 – LR4

Woodland



Photo No. A11 – LR5

Orchard/ Horticultural Trees



Photo No. A12 – LR6

Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land



Photo No. A13 –LR7

Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. B1 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B2 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B3– LCA2 Woodland Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B4 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B5 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B6 – LCA3.1 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B7 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B8 – LCA4 Fish Pond Landscape Area



Photo No. B9– LCA5 Village Landscape Character Area

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. B10—LCA 5 Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B11—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B12—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B13—LCA 7 Nullah Landscape Character Area

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. C1 – I1 Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access road



Photo No. C2—I2 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C3—I3 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C4—I4 Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui



Photo No. C5—I5 Open Storage at the end of village access road



Photo No. C6—I6 Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C7—O1 Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. C8—R1 Tei Kek



Photo No. C9—R2 North of Ma On Kong

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. C10—R3

Ma On Kong



Photo No. C11—R4

North of Ho Pui



Photo No. C12—T1 Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road
(lower section)



Photo No. C13—T2

Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (high section)



Photo No. C14—T3

Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Photo record

19 March 2010



Photo No. A1 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A2 – LR1

River/Stream




Photo No. A3 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A4 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A5 – LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A6 – LR2.1

Fish Pond within site boundary



Photo No. A7 – LR2.2

River/Stream



Photo No. A8 – LR3

River/Stream



Photo No. A9 – LR4

Woodland/Wooded Area



Photo No. A10 – LR4

Woodland



Photo No. A11 – LR5

Orchard/ Horticultural Trees



Photo No. A12 – LR6

Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land



Photo No. A13 –LR7

Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. B1 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B2 – LCA1 Agricultural Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B3– LCA2 Woodland Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B4 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B5 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B6 – LCA3.1 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B7 – LCA3 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B8 – LCA4 Fish Pond Landscape Area



Photo No. B9– LCA5 Village Landscape Character Area

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. B10—LCA 5 Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B11—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B12—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B13—LCA 7 Nullah Landscape Character Area

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. C10—R3

Ma On Kong



Photo No. C11—R4

North of Ho Pui



Photo No. C12—T1 Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road
(lower section)



Photo No. C13—T2

Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (high section)



Photo No. C14—T3

Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir



Photo No. C1 – I1 Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access road



Photo No. C2—12 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C3—13 Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C4—14 Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui



Photo No. C5—15 Open Storage at the end of village access road



Photo No. C6—16 Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel



Photo No. C7—01 Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong



Photo No. C8—R1 Tei Kek



Photo No. C9—R2 North of Ma On Kong

Appendix K

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Date: 31-Mar-10
Year/Month: Mar-10

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for March 2010										
Year	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly					Estimated Annual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Broken Concrete (see note 4)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General refuse
	(in '000M ³)	(in '000M ³)	(in '000M ³)	(in '000M ³)	(in '000M ³)	(in '000KG)	(in '000KG)	(in '000KG)	(in '000KG)	(in '000M ³)
Jan	10.556	0.004	10.002	0.55	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb	4.2195	0.001	4.323	-0.105	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar	8.654	0.003	7.469	1.182	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr										
May										
Jun										
Sub-Total	23.43	0.008	21.794	1.6275	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul										
Aug										
Sep										
Oct										
Nov										
Dec										
Total	23.430	0.008	21.794	1.628	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 28.10(14)
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam form packaging material
 - (4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates