

Certified by

PROJECT No.: TCS/00408/08

DSD CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/17
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN
CHEUNG PO, MA ON KONG, YUEN KONG SAN TSUEN
AND TIN SAM TSUEN OF YUEN LONG DISTRICT AND
SEWERAGE AT TSENG TAU CHUNG TSUEN, TUEN MUN

MONTHLY EM&A REPORT FOR KT13 (FEBRUARY 2011)

PREPARED FOR CHINA ROAD & BRIDGE CORPORATION

Reference No.

### **Quality Index**

**Date** 

14 March 2011	TCS00408/08/600/R1576v2	Aula	Amn
		Nicola Hon Environmental Consultant	T.W. Tam Environmental Team Leader

**Prepared By** 

Version	Date	Prepared by:	Certified by:	Description
1	9 March 2011	Nicola Hon	T.W. Tam	First submission
2	14 March 2011	Nicola Hon	T.W. Tam	Amended against IEC's comments on 11  March 2011

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.



By Fax & Post

Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited 25/F, Millennium City 6 392 Kwun Tong Road Kowloon Hong Kong

Attention: Ms. Jenny Lui

14 March 2011

Dear Ms. Lui,

Level 5 Festival Walk 80 Tat Chee Avenue Kowloon Tong Kowloon Hong Kong PRC

t +852 2528 3031 d +852 2268 3097 f +852 2268 3950

coleman.ng@arup.com www.arup.com

Contract No. DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen King San and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Monthly EM&A Report for KT13 (February 2011) – Version 2

We refer to the captioned report (ref.: TCS00408/08/600/R1576v2) and advise that we have no further comments on the captioned submission.

We hereby endorse the captioned report for your onward submission.

If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely

Coleman Ng

Independent Environmental Checker

China Road and Bridge Corporation (Mr. Raymond Mau) (Fax: 2478 9612) AUES (Mr. TW Tam / Ms. Nicola Hon) (Fax: 2959 6079)



### **Executive Summary**

ES01 This is the **29**<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report for the Channel KT13, covering the construction period from **26 January to 25 February 2011** (the Reporting Period).

### **Breaches of Action and Limit Levels**

- ES02 Monitoring results of the Reporting Period demonstrated no exceedances of environmental quality criteria for air quality, construction noise and ecology monitoring.
- ES03 For stream water quality monitoring, a total of 29 Limit Level exceedances were recorded in the stream water quality monitoring, namely 9 exceedances at upstream Location W2 and 20 at downstream Location W6. The preliminary investigation would conclude that the exceedances were not related to the works under the Project.
- ES04 Four (4) events of weekly settlement monitoring for the historic grave were undertaken in this reporting month. The monitoring results demonstrated no exceedance was recorded in both survey.
- Landscape inspections were conducted on 11 and 22 Feb ruary 2011. No significant changes were observed for the identified landscape resources and visual sensitive receivers, except for minor changes due to channel excavation, site clearance and preparation work at the identified landscape resources including LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4.

### **Environmental Complaint, Notification of Summons and Prosecution**

No documented complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received during the Reporting Period. Environmental audit of the Reporting Period indicated that the implemented mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and ecology were effective. Minor deficiencies found in the weekly site inspection were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.

### **Reporting Changes**

ES07 There is no reporting change in this reporting month.

### **Future Key Issues**

- ES08 During dry season, special attention should be paid to the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Nevertheless, mitigation measures implemented for control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.
- ES09 Special attention should be paid on construction noise and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual as recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule. CRBC was reminded to implement the required mitigation measures during construction as far as possible.

DSD Contract No. DC/2007/17 - Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun.

Monthly EM&A Report for KT13 (February 2011)



### **Table of Contents**

1	ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS	1 -
1.1	PROJECT AREA AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME	1 ·
1.2	Works Undertaken During the Reporting Period	1 ·
1.3	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION	1 ·
1.4	LICENSING STATUS	
1.5	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND POLLUTION CONTROL MITIGATION MEASURE	
2	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	3 ·
2.1	MONITORING PARAMETERS	3
2.2	MONITORING LOCATIONS	3
2.3	MONITORING FREQUENCY, DURATION AND SCHEDULE	4
2.4	MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE	6 ·
2.5	QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES AND DATA MANAGEMENT	10
2.6	REPORTING	11 -
3	MONITORING RESULTS	12 ·
3.1	AIR QUALITY	12
3.2	CONSTRUCTION NOISE	13
3.3	Water Quality	14
3.4	ECOLOGY	15
3.5	Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual	15
4	NON-COMPLIANCE, C OMPLAINT, NOTIFIC ATION OF S UMMONS, SU	
	PROSECUTION AND OTHERS	
4.1	Non-compliance	
4.2	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT	
4.3	NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION	
4.4	OTHERS	19
5	CONCLUSIONS AND DECOMMENDATIONS	24



### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2-1	Summary of Monitoring Parameters
Table 2-2	Summary of Monitoring Locations
Table 2-4-2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-4-3	Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-4-4	Water Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-6	Requirements for Report Submission
Table 3-1-1	Air Quality Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-1-2-1	Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A1(a)
Table 3-1-2-2	Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A2
Table 3-2-1	Construction Noise Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-2-2-1	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N1(a)
Table 3-2-2-2	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N2(a)
Table 3-2-2-3	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N3
Table 3-3-1	Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring
Table 3-3-2	Summary of Water Quality Exceedances
Table 3-4-1	Ecological Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-4-2	Summary of KT13 Ecology Impact Monitoring Bird Survey
Table 3-5-2	Cultural Heritage Resources Action and Limit Levels
Table 3-5-3	Record of Five Settlement Marker Points of the Qing Dynasty Grave
Table 4-4-1	Summary of Findings of Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Location Plan of the Project and Environmental Monitoring Locations under the Project
Appendix B	Construction Program
Appendix C	Environmental Management Organization and Contacts of Key Personnel
Appendix D	Monitoring Schedules and Meteorological Data
Appendix E	Calibration Certificates and HOKLAS-Accreditation Certificate
Appendix F	Event Action Plan
Appendix G	Environmental Monitoring Results and Graphical Plots
Appendix H	Photographic Records of Ecological Monitoring of Vegetation (Not Used)
Appendix I	Condition Survey of the Grave during Construction Phase (Not Used)
Appendix J	Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13
Appendix K	Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table



### 1 ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

This is the **29**<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report for KT13, covering the construction period from **26 January to 25 February 2011** (the Reporting Period).

### 1.1 PROJECT AREA AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

Drawings showing the project area, any environmental sensitive receivers and the locations of the monitoring and control stations are presented in *Appendix A*, and the construction program in *Appendix B*.

### 1.2 Works Undertaken During the Reporting Period

Apart from general works of tree survey, structural survey and environmental monitoring & audit, works undertaken during the Reporting Period with fine tuning of construction activities showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures for the month are summarized as follows:

- Structural survey at KT13;
- Construction of maintenance access at KT13;
- Construction of Ma On Kong Garden;
- Gabion installation at the downstream of KT13;
- Construction of dry weather flow channel;
- Widening of upstream crossing.

### 1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION

Management structure and key personnel contact names and telephone numbers of the environmental management organization are presented in Appendix C, where DSD is the Project Proponent; CRBC is the main Contractor; EPD and AFCD are the supervisory departments for environmental protection of the Project; BVHKL is the Engineer's Representative of DSD (the ER); ARUP is the Independent Environmental Checker (the IEC) and Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) is the environmental team (the ET).

### 1.4 LICENSING STATUS

### 1.4.1 Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

Pursuant to the *Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation*, CRBC has notified EPD, via submission of Form NA dated 14 February 2008, of the scope and nature of the works to be carried out under the Project, including construction activities such as stockpiling, loading and unloading, transfer of dusty material, use of vehicles and debris handling, etc. CRBC will continuously review the status of the environmental licenses and apply the required licenses/permits prior to the commencement of construction work.

### 1.4.2 Noise Control Ordinance

No *Construction Noise Permit* (CNP) is required for the Project pursuant to the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) and the associated applicable subsidiary regulations of *Noise Control (General) Regulation, Noise Control (Hand-held Percussive Breaker) Regulation and Noise Control (Air Compressor) Regulation, as the use of powered mechanical equipment, or conducting construction work in during restricted hours, i.e. 1900 to 0700 hours on normal weekdays and any time on general holidays including Sundays is not anticipated during the whole construction period. CRBC will continuously review the status of the environmental licenses under the NCO and apply the required licenses/permits prior to the commencement of construction work.* 



### 1.4.3 Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation

CRBC has applied for a Billing Account (Construction Work Contract with Value of \$1million or above), under the *Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation.* The account number 7006524 has been assigned on 9 Jan 2008.

### 1.4.4 Water Pollution Control Ordinance

CRBC has applied for a discharge license under Section 20 of the *Water Pollution Control Ordinance*, and the license No. 1U461/1 has been issued.

### 1.4.5 Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation

CRBC has registered as a Chemical Waste Producer with EPD under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and the Waste Producer Number assigned is WPN: 5611-531-C3124-28 dated 2 May 08.

### 1.4.6 Dumping at Sea Permit

CRBC has been granted by the Environmental Protection Department a Permit Issued under the *Dumping at Sea Ordinance* (Permit no. EP/I4D/08-095, dated 18 September 2008, permit validity period of six months from 18 September 2008 to 17 March 2009) for disposal of 18,469 m³ sediment, requiring Type 1 – open sea disposal at East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site – Pit IV b, to be capped as directed by the Management Team of the Civil Engineering and Development Department. Note that this permit has expired. As there is no need for further sea disposal, no further permits will be required in the future.

### 1.5 Environmental Protection and Pollution Control Mitigation Measures

CRBC has committed to implement environmental protection and pollution control and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EIA, EP, EM&A Manuals, and summarized in the Mitigation Measures Implementation Schedules. The implemented mitigation measures include

- (a) Watering of stockpiles of rip-rap at KT13;
- (b) Covering of the loose soil at KT13 to minimize water quality impacts;
- (c) Hard pavement of haul road leading to public roads at KT13;
- (d) Classification and disposal of illegally dumped construction and demolishment materials at KT13:
- (e) Construction of noise barriers; and
- (f) Erection of dams with sand bags downstream the excavation site within the water course of KT13 to enhance sedimentation of turbidity and suspended solids (SS).



### 2 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 MONITORING PARAMETERS

According to the EM&A requirements set out in the EIA, Environmental Permit No. EP-263/2007/A (the EP) and the associated EM&A Manual, the required monitoring parameters are summarized as follows.

**Table 2-1 Summary of Monitoring Parameters** 


Environmental Issue	Monitoring Parameters	
Air Quality	(a) 1-hour Total Suspended Particulate (1-hour TSP); and (b) 24-hour Total Suspended Particulate (24-hour TSP).	
Construction Noise	<ul> <li>(a) A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (30min) (Leq(30min) during the normal working hours; and</li> <li>(b) A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (5min) (Leq(5min) for construction work during the Restricted Hours.</li> </ul>	
Water Quality	(a) In Situ Measurement	temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH & turbidity
Traisi Quality	(b) Laboratory Analysis	suspended solids (SS), Ammonia Nitrogen (NH <sub>3</sub> -N) and Zinc (Zn)
Ecology	Vegetation, all bird species of wetland, Ho Pui Egret, Ma On Hong Egret and Flight Line Survey	
Waste Management	Inspection and the document audit	
Cultural Heritage	Condition survey for a historical grave	
Landscape & Visual	To audit the implementation of the proposed construction phase mitigation measure stipulated in EIA.	

### 2.2 MONITORING LOCATIONS

Details of the monitoring locations are summarized in *Table 2-2* and shown in *Appendix A*. For ease of reference, monitoring locations denoted with "(a)" are relocated locations to differentiate them from the original 'EM&A Manual' locations.

**Table 2-2Summary of Monitoring Locations** 

Environmental Issues	Monitoring Location	Identified Address / Co-ordinates	Status of Monitoring Locations / Rationale for Recommended Replacement
Air	A1(a)	No.68 Ho Pui Village	The original location of EM&A Manuals A1 has permanently been abandoned. No access can be acquired in the vicinity of A1. Taken into consideration that Ho Pui Village is one of the most important sensitive receivers near KT-13 without monitoring, the most fronting house, No. 68 Ho Pui Village, is therefore recommended as the replacement location A1(a).
	A2	No.1 Ma On Kong Village	Original location of the EM&A Manual; access granted.
Noise	N1(a)	168-169 Kam Ho Road, Ma On Kong Village, Manual was relocated to proposed area recommended by IEC.	
	N2(a)	No. 68 Ho Pui Village,	The original location of EM&A Manuals N2 has permanently been abandoned. No access can be acquired in the vicinity of N2. Taken into consideration that Ho Pui Village is one of the most important sensitive receivers near KT-13 without monitoring, the most fronting house, No. 68 Ho Pui Village, is therefore recommended as the replacement location N2(a).
	N3	No.1 Ma On Kong Village	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access granted.
Water	W1	E824539 / N830283	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.





Environmental Issues	Monitoring Location	Identified Address / Status of Monitoring Locations / Rationale for Recommended Replacement	
	W2	E824693 / N830258	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
	W3(a)	E824833 / N830374	The W3 is proposed to be relocated about 55 m down stream to W3(a) for safety reason as there is no any discharge point observed
			between W3 and the proposed W3(a).
	W4	E824936 / N830618	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
	W5	E825008 / N830812	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
	W6	E825100 / N830987	Original locations of the EM&A Manual; access resolved.
Ecology	Monthly monitoring along the boundary of the works area to confirm that there are no adverse impacts on habitats outside the site in particular the Conservation Area (CA) zone and Ho Pui Egretry.  Photographic records at six-month intervals;  Monthly monitoring of all bird numbers including wetland species and species identified as being of conservation importance;  Monitoring of Ho Pui egretry during March to August. The Ma On Kong egretry is also surveyed to provide reference information on the breeding egrets nearby; and Flight line surveys twice per month during April to June.		
Waste Management	Whole constri	ction site and document	
Cultural Heritage	Ma On Kong	Refer to EM&A Manual (K	Γ13) Figure 7.1.
Landscape & Visual	Refer to EIA	Section 10	

### 2.3 MONITORING FREQUENCY, DURATION AND SCHEDULE

### 2.3.1 **Monitoring Frequency and Duration**

Environmental monitoring is conducted upon commencement of the construction activities and throughout the whole construction period to detect and minimize any adverse environmental impacts generated from the construction activities of the Project. The monitoring frequency and duration for air quality, construction noise, water quality, ecology and other parameters are summarized below.

### Air Quality

Frequency: Once every 6 days for 24-hour TSP and three times every 6 days for 1-hour

TSP, when the highest construction dust impacts are anticipated.

Throughout the construction period Duration:

### **Construction Noise**

Frequency: Measurement of Leg(30min): Once a week during 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays. If the construction work is undertake at restricted hours, the frequency of noise monitoring will be conducted in accordance with the requirements under the related Construction Noise Permit issued by EPD as follows:

- 3 consecutive Leg(5min) at restrict hour from 1700 2300 hours:
- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) for restrict hour from 2300 0700 hours next
- 3 consecutive Leq(5min) for Sunday or public holiday from 0700 1900

Duration: Throughout the construction period



### **Water Quality**

Frequency: Three times a week with at least 36 hour intervals between any two

consecutive monitoring events

As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to

6m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

<u>Depths</u>: As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than

3m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to

6m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period.

### **Ecology**

The Ecology Monitoring is required in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

Parameters: Vegetation, All bird species including wetland birds, Ho Pui and Ma On

Hong Egretries and Flight line survey

<u>Frequency</u>: Vegetation – Impact monitoring – monthly;

Photographic records/checks against baseline records- six monthly

Wetland Bird survey – Monthly of half-day survey;

Ma On Kong egretry - Monthly between March to August; and

Ho Pui egretry – Bi-weekly between March and August;

Flight line Survey – twice per Month during the period from April to June

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the whole construction period

### Waste Management Audit

Frequency: Once per month

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period.

### Cultural Heritage

Scope: Condition survey and settlement monitoring of a Qing Dynasty Grave.

Frequency: Condition survey - Bi-monthly

Settlement monitoring - Bi-weekly

Duration: Throughout the construction phase period. (When construction work

entered the 100m of the cultural heritage site)


### Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction phase period.

### 2.3.2 Environmental Monitoring Schedule

The monitoring schedules for the Reporting Period and next month are presented in *Appendix D.* 





### 2.4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE

The monitoring equipment and procedures are summarized below. Calibration certificates of the equipment and the related laboratories are presented in *Appendix E*.

### 2.4.1 Weather Conditions during the Reporting Period

All meteorological information is extracted from the Hong Kong Observatory (Lau Fau Shan Station). The meteorological data include wind direction, wind speed, humidity, rainfall, air pressure and temperature etc., that are generally required for evaluating the environmental impact arising from the construction activities. The meteorological data are presented in *Appendix D*.

### 2.4.2 Air Quality

### **Monitoring Equipment**

A list of air quality monitoring equipment is shown below.

Table 2-4-2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment Mode	I	Serial Number
24-hour TSP		
High Volume Air Sampler	Grasby Anderson GMWS 2310 HVS	-
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A	1483
1-hour TSP		
Portable Dust Meter	TSI DustTrak Model 8520	21060 / 23080 / 23079

### **Monitoring Procedure**

### 1-hour TSP

The 1-hour TSP measurement follows manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual, using a 1-hour TSP monitor brand named TSI Dust Track Aerosol Monitor Model 8520 or Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust Meter, which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer to record the real time 1-hour TSP based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:

- (a) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
- (b) A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
- (c) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

The 1-hour TSP meter to be used will be within the valid period, calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument will be checked before and after each monitoring event.

### 24-hour TSP

The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is the high volume air sampling system (hereinafter 'HVS') brand named Thermo Andersen, Model GS2310 TSP. The HVS complies with US EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50. The HVS consists of the following:

- (a) An anodized aluminum shelter;
- (b) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
- (c) A blower motor assembly;
- (d) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
- (e) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f) A 6-day mechanical timer, and
- (g) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis following the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator brand named TISCH Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Regular HVS operation and maintenance as well as filter paper



installation and collection is performed by the ET's competent technicians, whereas laboratory analyses are conducted in a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (herein after 'ALS'). The 24-hour TSP filters of the 24-hour TSP will be kept in ALS for six months prior to disposal.

All relevant data including temperature, pressure, weather conditions, elapsed-time meter reading for the start and stop of the sampler, identification and weight of the filter paper are recorded in details.

### 2.4.3 Construction Noise

### Monitoring Equipment

A list of construction noise monitoring equipment is shown below.

Table 2-4-3 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment Mode	I	Serial Number
Integrating Sound Level Meter	Bruel & Kjaer 2238	2285721
Calibrator	Bruel & Kjaer 4231	2326408
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer	-

### **Monitoring Procedure**

Sound level meters listed above comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO).

All noise measurements will be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq). Leq(30 min) in six consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements will be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays throughout the construction period. Leq(15min) in three consecutive Leq(5 min) measurements for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours) will only be conducted for monitoring the construction noise during restricted hours as necessary.

The sound level meter is mounted on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield is fitted for all measurements. Where a measurement is to be carried out at a building, the assessment point is normally at a position 1 m from the exterior of the building façade. Where a measurement is to be made for noise being received at a place other than a building, the assessment point is at a position 1.2 m above the ground in a free-field situation, i.e. at least 3.5 m away from reflective surfaces such as adjacent buildings or walls.

Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements will be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0dB. No noise measurement will be made in the present of significant fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed is checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

### 2.4.4 Water Quality

### Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Equipment for water quality is listed below.



Table 2-4-4 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment Mode	I	Serial Number
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar	-
Water Sampler	Teflon bailer / bucket	-
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI 550A	05F2063AZ
pH meter	Extech pH Meter EC500	CE133298
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100p	950900008735
Hand Refractometer	ATAGO	289468
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)	-
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box	-

### **Monitoring Procedure**

### Water Depth

As the water columns in the stream water within KT13 is generally less than 3 m, measurement is performed at the mid-depths of the monitoring locations. In case the water columns are deeper than 6 m, measurement shall be carried out at three water depths, namely, 1 m below water surface, mid-depth, and 1 m above river bed. If the water depths are between 3 to 6 m, the mid-depth measurement is omitted.

Water depths are determined prior to measurement and sampling, using a portable battery operated depth detector, brand named 'Eagle Sonar', if the depths exceed 1.5 meter. For the depths well below 1 meter, the depths of water columns are measured with a steel ruler with appropriate weight.

### Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

A portable YSI 550A DO Meter will be used for in-situ DO measurement. The DO meter is capable of measuring DO in the range of 0 - 20 mg/L and 0 - 200 % saturation and checked against water saturated ambient air on each monitoring day prior to monitoring. Although the DO Meter automatically compensates ambient water temperature to a standard temperature of 20°C for ease of comparison of the data under the changing reality, the temperature readings of the DO Meter will be recorded in the field data sheets. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

### рΗ

A portable Extech pH Meter will be used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1. Standard buffer solutions of pH 7 and pH 10 are used for calibration of the instrument before and after measurement. Quarterly calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS.

### **Turbidity**

A portable Hach 2100p turbidity Meter will be used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

### **Salinity**

A portable hand Refractometer AGATO will be used for in-situ salinity measurement. The refractometer is capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-70ppt with accuracy  $\pm 1\%$  reading. Calibration of the equipment will be performed by ALS on quarterly basis.

### Suspended Solids (SS)

SS will be determined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical method - ALS Method EA-025.

### Ammonia Nitrogen(NH3-N)

 $\underline{\it NH_3-N}$  will be examined by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical methods - ALS Method EK-055A.



### Zinc(Zn)

Zn will be analyzed by ALS upon receipt of the water samples using the HOKLAS accredited analytical methods - ALS Method EG-020.

### Water Sampler

Water samples will be collected using a plastic sampler to prevent metal contamination. As the water depths in the stream water within KT13 are generally less than 0.5 m, a plastic bucket with a rope of appropriate length is used for water sampling. The sampler is rinsed before collection with the sample to be taken. For water depths deeper than 0.5 meter, a cleaned plastic bailer bucket will be used for sample collection.

1000 mL water sample is collected from each depth for SS determination. The samples collected are stored in a cool box maintained at 4°C and delivered to ALS upon completion of the sampling by end of each sampling day.

### Sample Container

Water samples are contained in screw-cap PE (Poly-Ethylene) bottles, which are provided and pretreated according to corresponding HOKLAS and ALS analytical requirements. Where appropriate, the sampling bottles are rinsed with the water to be contained. Water samples are then transferred from the water sampler to the sampling bottles to 95% bottle capacity to allow possible volume expansion during delivery and storage.

### Sample Storage

A 'Willow' 33-litter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the collected water samples prior to arrival at ALS. The water temperature of the cool box will be maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected will be delivered to the laboratory upon collection within the maximum storage time required under the HOKLAS and ALS analytical requirements

### 2.4.5 Ecology

Monthly walk through survey will be conducted along the boundary of work area for KT13. Bird monitoring will be conducted in the study areas monthly for KT13. Monitoring on the Ho Pui egretry and Ma On Kong egretry will be conducted between March to August. Flight line surveys to record the feeding areas and the habitat use of breeding egrets will be conducted between April to June. Photographic record should be made at six month intervals.

### Monitoring Equipment

The following equipment will be used for monitoring:-

Standard portable field survey equipment was used for ecological monitoring, including

- (a) Binoculars of 10 x 40 magnifications;
- (b) Digital camera; and
- (c) Notebook.

### Study Area

The areas for the ecological monitoring programme would cover 60 m on either side of the existing channel as well as the proposed bypass culvert, as shown in Figure 6.1 of the EM&A Manual. Within these, emphasis will be given to the area around the Ho Pui and Ma On Kong egretries and habitats of at least moderate ecological value. In addition, monitoring would also be undertaken at the Ho Pui egretry and Ma On Kong egretry (The Ma On Kong egretry is outside the demarcated monitoring area but is also monitored to identify any adverse effects on the breeding egrets).

### Survey Method

Monthly monitoring will be conducted by means of walk through survey, along the boundary of work area for KT13. Any adverse impacts to the habitats outside the site,



in particular the Conservation Area (CA) zone and Ho Pui Egretry, will be checked and reported.

Photographic records will be made every six months on the fixed photo record points selected during the baseline survey. The photos from the construction phase ecological monitoring will be compared with those taken during the baseline, which are used as the baseline conditions.

Bird monitoring will be conducted in the study areas monthly for KT13. Attention should be paid on wetland species and species identified as being of conservation importance, and the habitats utilized should also be recorded. Bird surveys should commence no later than 2 hours after dawn.

Monitoring on the Ho Pui egretry and Ma On Kong egretry will be conducted between March to August. The frequency would be twice per month during March to May. Depending upon the nesting conditions at Ho Pui egretry, the frequency could be reduced to monthly between June and August if no egret nest found by the end of May, or maintained at twice per month till the end of August if there are egret nests. Number of active nests, species and number of birds present and breeding stage should be recorded.

Flight line surveys to record the feeding areas and the habitat use of breeding egrets will be conducted twice per month between April to June. The number and species of flying egrets, and their landing habitats and locations should be recorded.

### 2.4.6 Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual

Waste Management, Cultural Heritage and Landscape & Visual monitoring is required for KT13 as stipulated in the EM&A manual [382047/E/EMA/Issue 5] **Section 5**, **Section 7** and **Section 8** accordingly.

### **Waste Management**

During the monthly audit, ETL will pay attention to the issues relating to waste management, and check whether the Contractor has followed the relevant contract Specifications and the procedures specified under the law of HKSAR.

### **Cultural Heritage**

Condition survey by a qualified archaeologist is required for the historical grave near Ma On Kong before and during the construction phase. The method statement of condition survey of Ma On Kong Historic Grave (KT13-02-02) was issued to EPD and endorsed on 27 July 2008, the frequency of the condition survey during the construction phase and given the open cut method would be adopted for the construction of the proposed bypass box culvert under KT13 project, subject to the result of the condition survey carried out before the construction stage, it is recommended that bi-monthly condition survey be undertaken during the construction work within 100m area from the grave.

### Landscape and Visual

In accordance with the EM&A manual [382047/E/EMA/Issue5] **Section 8** landscape and visual mitigation measures are required during construction and operation phase. Site inspection will be undertaken at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the proposed mitigation measures.

### 2.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES AND DATA MANAGEMENT

### 2.5.1 Documentation of the Environmental Monitoring

Field data including in-situ monitoring results, weather conditions and water sampling information and observation will be recorded in corresponding Field Data Sheets, which will be signed and dated by the respective environmental technician prior to submission to the ETL for validation and endorsement at the end of the monitoring day.



### 2.5.2 Data Management and Analysis

All impact monitoring data will be processed by the AUES data recording and management system, which complies with in-house Quality (*ISO 9001:2000*) Management System. Monitoring results recorded in the monitoring equipment e.g. 1-hour TSP Meters and Noise Meters will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of the monitoring period and input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. Laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

### 2.5.3 Quality Assurance Procedures

Appropriate and standard QA/QC measures will be adopted for the environmental monitoring to ensure the scientific integrity of the data produced. Sources of error in the impact monitoring will be properly controlled with the following QA/QC procedures:

- (a) Appropriate field monitoring and sampling techniques, including monitoring equipment, storage and delivery of samples;
- (b) Well organized systematic field-data system e.g. all baseline monitoring information, field observation, results, weather conditions and water sampling information, etc. will be recorded in the field monitoring record sheets. The laboratory analysis records will be maintained by the HOKLAS following HOKLAS requirements;
- (c) HOKLAS requirements for QA/QC of all laboratory testing to ensure acceptable accuracy and reproducibility of the laboratory analysis indicated by consistent agreement between duplicate samples, validity of the analytical results by compliance with the required blanks and recovery of standard addition.

### 2.5.4 Records

All impact monitoring data will be clearly and systematically documented in both hardware and software format and the software copy will be available for inspection upon request. All the document and data will be kept for at lest one year after completion of the Project. Field Data Sheets used to record the impact monitoring information, field observation, results, weather conditions and water sampling information, etc., will be properly maintained and kept by the ET. The copies of laboratory analysis records from ALS will be keep by the ET throughout the at least one year after completion of the EM&A program of the Project.

### 2.6 REPORTING

### 2.6.1 General Requirements for Report Submission

General requirements for Monthly EM&A report submission as stipulated in the EIA, EP and EM&A Manual are summarized below.

Table 2-6 Requirements for Report Submission

Report Submis	sion
Monthly EM&A Report	Within 10 working days of the end of each reporting month.
Quarterly EM&A Summary Report	<ul> <li>No specific requirement, proposed three weeks after endorsement of the 3<sup>rd</sup> monthly EM&amp;A report within a particular quarter.</li> </ul>
Final EM&A Summary Report	<ul> <li>No specific requirement, proposed one month upon completion of entire EM&amp;A program</li> </ul>

### 2.6.2 Cut-Off Day of the Reporting Month

It was agreed among the ER, IEC, CRBC, ET and EPD that, in order to streamline the EM&A report submission and to cater for the occasional delay in obtaining laboratory analysis results, the cutoff day for each month is the 25<sup>th</sup> i.e. the first day of each report is the 26<sup>th</sup> of the last month and the end day, the 25<sup>th</sup> of that month.



### 3 MONITORING RESULTS

The environmental monitoring results will be compared against the Action and Limit Levels established based on the baseline monitoring results and statutory criteria. In case the measured data exceed the environmental quality criteria, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in *Appendix F*. The environmental monitoring results are tabulated below and the details of 24-hour TSP data and graphical plots of trends of monitored parameters at key stations over the past four Reporting Periods are presented in *Appendices G* and *H*.

### 3.1 AIR QUALITY

### 3.1.1 Action and Limit Levels

According to the Baseline Monitoring Report for KT13, the Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour and 1-hour TSP are established as follows:

Table 3-1-1 Air Quality Action and Limit Levels

Monitoring Station	Action Lev	/el (μg /m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)		
Worldoning Station	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	
KT13(A1(a))	309	144	500	260	
KT13(A2)	307	141	500	260	

### 3.1.2 Results

Results of air quality monitoring at the identified locations during the Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 3-1-3-1* and *3-1-3-2* below.

Table 3-1-2-1 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A1(a)

	1.	-hour TSP		24-hour TS	SP (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )		
Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> hour	2 <sup>nd</sup> hour	3 <sup>rd</sup> hour	Average	Date	Results
29-Jan-11	9:50	51	56	61	56	28-Jan-11	87
8-Feb-11	10:00	47	53	59	53	7-Feb-11	96
14-Feb-11	14:15	64	60	66	63	12-Feb-11	55
19-Feb-11	9:30	60	58	46	55	18-Feb-11	20
25-Feb-11	10:00	135	123	134	131	24-Feb-11	28
Ave	erage		7	2	Average	57	
(rai	nge)		(46-	135)		(range)	(20-96)

Table 3-1-2-2 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results at KT13-A2

	1.	24-hour TSP (μg/m³)					
Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> hour	2 <sup>nd</sup> hour	3 <sup>rd</sup> hour	Average	Date	Results
29-Jan-11	13:14	61	67	73	67	28-Jan-11	34
8-Feb-11	13:14	49	45	51	45	7-Feb-11	57
14-Feb-11	14:38	59	55	61	58	12-Feb-11	47
19-Feb-11	13:30	88	92	77	86	18-Feb-11	23
25-Feb-11	13:00	85	90	103	93	24-Feb-11	20
Ave	erage		7	0	Average	36	
(rai	(range)		(45-	103)		(range)	(20-57)

### 3.1.3 Discussion

As shown in *Tables 3-1-2-1* and *3-1-2-2*, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP results fluctuated well below the Action Level. No exceedance of Action or Limit Levels was recorded during the Reporting Period. Neither Notification of Exceedance (hereinafter 'NOE') of air quality criteria or corrective action was required.

### 3.2 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

### 3.2.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for construction noise are illustrated in Table 3-2-1.

Table 3-2-1 Construction Noise Action and Limit Levels

Time Period	Action Level in dB(A)	Limit Level in dB(A)
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	> 75* dB(A)

Note: \*Reduces to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during the school examination periods.

### 3.2.2 Results

Results of construction noise monitoring at the identified locations N1(a), N2(a) and N3 during the Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 3-2-2-1* to *3-2-2-3*.

The baseline monitoring for N1(a) and N2(a) was performed on the 1st floor of the bedroom of 168-169 Kam Ho Road, Ma On Kong Village and No. 68 Ho Pui Village respectively. The impact noise monitoring, however, was performed on the ground floor of the same house due to denial of access to the 1<sup>st</sup> floor. The change of noise monitoring from 1st floor to ground floor will negate the need for a 3dB(A) façade correction but will not introduce any significant difference in detection and minimization of the of construction noise impacts, or alteration of the established A/L Levels. The ET has obtained the approval from EPD with consultation with the ER and IEC.

Table 3-2-2-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N1(a)

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> set Leq5	2 <sup>nd</sup> set Leq5	3 <sup>rd</sup> set Leq5	4 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	5 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	6 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	Leq30
29-Jan-11	9:50	55.4	57.9	54.1	55.2	53.1	52.9	55.1
8-Feb-11	10:00	54.7	52.7	51.8	51.0	49.3	50.2	52.0
14-Feb-11	14:15	65.4	59.9	58.0	56.9	56.4	55.1	60.2
19-Feb-11	13:00	65.9	62.6	60.5	63.7	66.8	66.2	64.8
25-Feb-11	10:00	55.2	55.6	55.8	62.0	59.4	56.8	58.2
Limit Le	evel							75

Table 3-2-2-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results – N2(a)

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> set Leq5	2 <sup>nd</sup> set Leq5	3 <sup>rd</sup> set Leq5	4 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	5 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	6 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	Leq30
29-Jan-11	13:32	51.5	49.9	49.1	52.4	52.0	52.7	51.5
8-Feb-11	11:30	49.3	51.8	49.2	51.2	54.2	49.6	51.3
14-Feb-11	16:09	48.0	48.0	49.8	49.3	49.4	49.8	49.1
19-Feb-11	14:30	60.8	66.0	63.6	58.0	57.9	57.7	61.9
25-Feb-11	11:30	60.5	50.0	49.1	55.6	49.3	48.3	54.8
Limit Le	vel				-			75

Table 3-2-2-3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results - N3

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> set Leq5	2 <sup>nd</sup> set Leq5	3 <sup>rd</sup> set Leq5	4 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	5 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	6 <sup>th</sup> set Leq5	Leq30
29-Jan-11	10:52	72.1	64.5	76.8	61.9	57.5	62.6	70.7
8-Feb-11	10:46	56.4	52.6	44.9	51.6	55.8	47.8	53.1
14-Feb-11	15:20	57.3	58.3	60.4	72.3	73.8	70.0	69.5
19-Feb-11	13:20	66.4	66.9	66.8	66.6	66.4	66.8	66.7
25-Feb-11	10:45	59.8	58.6	58.6	59.7	58.5	58.8	59.0
Limit Le	evel							75



### 3.2.3 Discussion

It is confirmed that no raining during the course of noise monitoring as fulfill EM&A manual requirements. As shown in *Tables 3-2-2-1*, *Table 3-2-2-2* and *Table 3-2-2-3*, all the construction noise results fluctuated well below the Limit Level. No exceedance of Limit Level or documented construction complaint was recorded during the Reporting Period. No NOE or corrective action was therefore required.

### 3.3 WATER QUALITY

### 3.3.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for water quality are illustrated in Table 3-3-1.

Table 3-3-1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

Monitoring	D (mg	O g/L)		idity ΓU)	р	Н	_	S g/L)	Amm (μg	nonia <sub>I</sub> /L)		nc g/L)
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level								
W1 (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA								
W2 (Downstream) Impact Station	1.04	1.00	36.81	37.16	8.65	8.69	79.0	86.2	16.85	16.89	234.95	266.19
W3(a) (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA								
W4 (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA								
W5 (Upstream) Control Station	NA	NA	NA	NA								
W6 (Downstream) Impact Station	0.93	0.91	27.88	30.02	8.7	8.7	73.40	78.68	51.62	54.56	191.90	201.58

### 3.3.2 Results

Water quality monitoring results measured at W1, W2, W3(a), W4, W5 and W6 during the Reporting Period are presented in tabulation and graphical plots in *Appendix G*.

### 3.3.2 Discussion

In this Reporting Period, the exceedances registered at impact station W2 and W6 as shown in *Table 3-3-2*.

Table 3-3-2 Summary of Water Quality Exceedances

Location E	xce edance	DO	Turbidity	рН	SS	NH₄ <sup>+-</sup> N Zı	ו	Total
W2	Action Level	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VVZ	Limit Level	0	0	0	5	1	3	9
W6	Action Level	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VVO	Limit Level	0	4	0	8	0	8	20
Total	Action Level	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	Limit Level	0	4	0	13	1	11	29

### DO, pH

No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels were recorded during the Reporting Period. No Notifications of Environmental Quality Limit Exceedances (NOE) or corrective actions were therefore required for these parameters.



### Turbidity, Suspended solids, NH<sub>4</sub>+-N and Zinc

A total of 29 Limit Level exceedances were recorded in the stream water quality monitoring, namely 9 and 20 exceedances recorded at upstream Location W2 and downstream Location W6 respectively. According to the observation during site inspections by ET, pigsty discharge was regularly observed near monitoring Location W2. In this reporting month, the majority work at Location W6 has been completed and it was left in idling. Since high levels of Turbidity, Suspended solids, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and Zinc were also recorded at upstream station W2 and control stations, it is believed that the exceedances were likely due to the discharge from the agriculture farm and livestock at the vicinity as water quality throughout the channel was affected. Therefore, the preliminary investigation would conclude that the exceedances were not related to the works under the Project.

### 3.4 ECOLOGY

### 3.4.1 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for Construction Ecology Monitoring are shown in *Table 3-4-1* to according with the EM&A manual.

Table 3-4-1 Ecological Action and Limit Levels

Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level
Decrease in number of breeding egrets since previous year	>20%	> 40%

### 3.4.2 Results


**Fifty-five (55)** individuals of birds from **twenty-three (23)** species were recorded during the survey on **20 February 2011**. Among the birds recorded, **nine (9)** individuals of wetland dependent birds (from **4 species**) were recorded. The summary of KT13 ecology bird survey can be referred to **Table 3-4-2**.

It is stated in the EP for Channel KT13 that the monitoring of the Ho Pui egretry shall be carried out during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> March to 31<sup>st</sup> August as specified in the EM&A Manual. If no egret nest is found at the egretry during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> March to 31<sup>st</sup> May, the Permit Holder can start the construction works within 100m of the ecological buffer area upon obtaining the Director's approval until February in the next year. If egret nests are found during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> March to 31<sup>st</sup> August, no construction shall take place within 100m of the ecological buffer area before 1<sup>st</sup> October.

Previously the monitoring during March 2010 to May 2010 did not record any nest in Ho Pui Egretry, and thus the construction works could be conducted within 100m of the ecological buffer area until February 2011. And the egretry monitoring frequency from June to August this year can be downgraded to monthly. No nest was found at the Ho Pui egretry during these surveys. Even though, as there had been no nest recorded at Ho Pui egretry in 2009, the action/limit level for ecology is complied.

Ma On Kong egretry was also surveyed between March to August 2010 to provide reference information on the breeding. No nest was found at Ma On Kong egretry neither. Flight line surveys are required between April to June which is not required in this reporting month.

During the walk through survey on 20 February 2011, other than the bamboo trees which are within Ho Pui Egretry boundary as shown in the EM&A manual and had been found to be cleared by villagers during site inspection on 11 July 2009, no further adverse impacts on habitats outside the boundary of the works area including the Conservation Area and the remaining Ho Pui Egretry was found. Ecological impact monitoring results





are presented in the Table 3-4-2.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Reported in the project profile	Abundance recorded in the present survey (20 February 2011)	Habitat utilized	
Birds					
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	✓	3	Stream	
Cattle Egret	Bubulcus ibis	✓	1	Stream	
Chinese Pond Heron	Ardeola bacchus	✓	3	Stream	
Crested Serpent Eagle	Spilornis cheela	✓			
Bonelli's Eagle	Hieraaetus fasciatus	✓			
Eurasian Hobby	Falco subbuteo	✓			
White-breasted	Amaunornis phoenicurus	<b>√</b>	2	Stream	
Waterhen	1	<b>Y</b>			
Spotted Dove	Streptopelia chinensis	✓	2	Woodland, bare ground	
Common Koel	Eudynamys scolopacea	✓	2	Woodland	
Greater Coucal	Centropus sinensis	✓			
Little Swift	Apus affinis	✓			
White-Throated	Halcyon smyrnensis	<b>√</b>			
Kingfisher		<b>Y</b>			
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	✓			
Red-Whiskered Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus	✓	4	Woodland,, bare ground	
Chinese Bulbul	Pycnonotus sinensis	✓	4	Woodland	
Long-Tailed Shrike	Lanius schach	✓	1	Bare ground	
Oriental Magpie Robin	Copsychus saularis	✓	1	Bare ground	
Masked Laughingthrush	Garrulax perspicillatus	✓	2	Bare ground,	
Yellow-Bellied Prinia	Prinia flaviventris	<b>√</b>	2	Low lyung	
	_	<b>'</b>		grassland	
Common Tailorbird	Orthotomus sutorius	✓	1	Low lyging grassland	
Great Tit	Parus major	✓	1	Woodland	
Japanese White-Eye	Zosterops japonicus	✓	4	Woodland, low lying grassland	
White-Rumped Munia	Lonchura striata	✓	2	Low lying grassland	
Eurasian Tree Sparrow	Passer montanus	✓	3	Bbare ground	
Black-Collared Starling	Sturnus nigricollis	✓	4	Bare ground,	
Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	✓			
Crested Myna	Acridotheres cristatellus	✓	4	Bare ground	
Black Kite	Milvus migrans	\	2	<u> </u>	
White Wagtail	Motacilla alba	\	2	Stream	
Plain Prinia	Prinia inornata	\	2	Low lying grassland	
Common Sandpipper	Actitis hypoleucos	\	3	Stream	
Species Number		27	23		
Individual Number		NA	55		

Photo records of trees are required in six-month interval and it is not required in this reporting month.

### Table 3-4-2 Summary of KT13 Ecology Impact Monitoring Bird Survey

- 3.5 WASTE MANAGEMENT, CULTURAL HERITAGE AND LANDSCAPE & VISUAL
- 3.5.1 Waste Management

In order to comply with the waste management requirements, CRBC has been:

(a) Assigned, since 9 Jan 2008, a Billing Account (account number 7006524) under the

<sup>\*</sup>Wetland dependent species recorded with abundance during the baseline study with the names bolded



### Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation;

- (b) Issued a Discharge License No. 1U461/1 under Section 20 of the *Water Pollution Control Ordinance*:
- (c) Registered as a Chemical Waste Producer under the *Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)* (*General) Regulation* (the Waste Producer Number assigned is WPN: 5611-531-C3124-28 dated 2 May 08); and
- (d) Granted by the Environmental Protection Department a Permit Issued under the *Dumping at Sea Ordinance* (Permit no. EP/I4D/08-095, dated 18 September 2008, permit validity period of six months from 18 September 2008 to 17 march 2009) for 18, 469 m³ sediment requiring Type 1 open sea disposal at East Sha Chau Contaminated Mud Disposal Site Pit IV b to be capped as directed by the management Team of the CEDD.

### 3.5.2 Cultural Heritage

The Action and Limit Levels for Cultural Heritage are shown in *Table 3-5-2* according to the EM&A Manual.

Table 3-5-2 Cultural Heritage Resources Action and Limit Levels

Action Level	Limit Level
When damage or structural instability is first detected	Signs of deterioration and structural instability continues on subsequent visits after Action Level is triggered

The historical grave KT13-02-02 was identified during the EIA stage of the project. A pre-construction condition survey report was issued in **July 2008** and already agreed by AMO. The details of the grave could be referred to in a report entitled "*Pre-construction condition survey on July 2008*".

During the Reporting Period, construction work at Channel KT13 had entered the area within 100m of the cultural heritage site (the grave) since 21 October 2009. To update the condition of the grave, supplementary information of condition survey was undertaken on 31 August 2009 (when no construction activities were carried out within 100m areas from the grave). The monitoring result of the supplementary survey would be adopted as the updated initial reading of the settlement level as agreed by ET and IEC.

Under the current EM&A programme and approved monitoring methodology, the condition survey would be conducted by ERM Limited on a bi-monthly basis and the settlement monitoring will be conducted by CRBC, again bi-weekly. Should any exceedance was recorded, the frequency of the settlement monitoring shall be increased to weekly and the condition survey shall be increased to bi-weekly. In the settlement monitoring, five settlement marker points (13GS01 to 13GS05) were established to record the coordinates and elevation of the grave in order to monitor any ground movement or settlement during the construction works.

In this reporting period, weekly settlement monitoring was taken on 29 January, 9, 14 and 19 Feb ruary 2011 to compare with the initial readings to determine if there is any significant tilting or settlement of the grave. Monitoring result demonstrated no exceedance was triggered and the settlement monitoring results are shown in *Table* 3-5-3. The last condition survey of the grave was carried out by ERM Limited on 18 December 2010 and the next one will be expected in 26 February 2011 which report in the next reporting month.



Table 3-5-3 Record of Five Settlement Marker Points of the Qing Dynasty Grave

Monitoring Point	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)	Level (mpd)	Diff. (mm)
Date	136	S01	130	<b>GS02</b>	130	<b>GS03</b>	130	<b>GS04</b>	13G	S05
31/08/09 (Initial reading)	19.222	0	19.985	0	20.644	0	19.943	0	19.211	0
29/01/11	19.223	-1	19.985	0	20.644	0	19.944	-1	19.211	0
09/02/11	19.223	+1	19.986	+1	20.644	0	19.944	+1	19.211	0
14/02/11	19.222	0	19.986	+1	20.644	0	19.944	+1	19.211	0
19/02/11	19.223	+1	19.986	+1	20.644	0	19.944	+1	19.210	-1
Breach of A/L Level		-		-		-		-		-

Note: Action level exceedance would be triggered when the settlement difference is \pm 2mm. Limit level exceedance would be triggered when the settlement difference is \pm 5mm.

### 3.5.3 Landscape and Visual

Landscape and visual inspections were conducted on 11 and 22 F ebruary 20 11. Current situation of the identified landscape resources remained the same as those of the baseline, except minor changes of river/stream/fish pond landscape character area at LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4 due to site clearance, soil stockpiling and preparation work within KT13. Updated landscape and visual status is presented in *Appendix J.* 



### 4 NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINT, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS, SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION AND OTHERS

### 4.1 Non-compliance

Exceedances in water quality monitoring were recorded but it concluded that all the exceedances were not project related in this reporting month as discussed in **Section 3.1** to **3.5.** No other non-compliance or deficiency was identified during regular site inspection and environmental audit. No associated remedial action was necessary.

### 4.2 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

No written or verbal complaint was received for each environmental issue during the Reporting Period. No associated remedial action was necessary.

### 4.3 NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded during the Reporting Period. No associated remedial action was necessary.

### 4.4 OTHERS

### 4.4.1 Waste Management Status

All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste:
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil and sediment

Waste generated, re-used, recycled and disposed of during the Reporting Period is shown in *Appendix K: Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table.* No Type I or Type II excavated soil were recorded in this Reporting Period.

### 4.4.2 Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

In this Reporting Period, **five** occasions of weekly environmental site inspection and audit were conducted during the Reporting Period jointly by the ER, EO and ET. No adverse environmental impacts were registered, indicating that the mitigation measures implemented were effective and sufficient for the construction activities undertaken. Minor deficiencies found in the site inspection and audit were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. Findings of the site inspection and environmental audit are summarized below.

Table 4-4-1 Summary of Findings of Site Inspection and Environmental Audit

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status		
26 January 2011	<ul> <li>General refuse was observed at the working area, the Contractor is reminded to improve the housekeeping of the construction site.</li> </ul>	Recommendation based on the observation on 1 February 2011 was followed.		
1 February 2011	<ul> <li>To maintain the site tidiness, the construction waste such as the timber that placed on the gabion shall be stacked up and removed.</li> </ul>	Recommendation based on the observations on 8 February 2011 was followed.		
8 February 2011	<ul> <li>No adverse environmental impact was observed during site inspection.</li> </ul>	N.A		
15 February 2011	<ul> <li>Used chemical containers were observed.         The Contractor was reminded to properly dispose the waste.     </li> </ul>	Recommendation based on the observations on 21 February 2011 was followed.		

DSD Contract No. DC/2007/17 - Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun.



Monthly EM&A Report for KT13 (February 2011)

21 February 2011	<ul> <li>Construction materials were found scattered within the site. The contractor was reminded to keep the site clean and tidy.</li> <li>A gasoline container was found without a proper drip tray provided. The contractor was reminded to maintain a good practice for chemical storage.</li> </ul>	To be followed.
---------------------	--	-----------------

### 4.4.3 Works to be Undertaken Next Month

Works to be undertaken next month are shown in the construction program enclosed in **Appendix B**. The construction activities undertaken in the Reporting Period including:

- Structural survey at KT13;
- Gabion installation at the upstream and downstream of KT13;
- · Construction of dry weather flow channel;
- Widening of upstream crossing.
- · Construction of surface drainage system; and
- · Compensatory planting.

### 4.4.4 Future Key Issues and Mitigation Measures for the Forth-Coming Month

During dry season, special attention should be paid to the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Nevertheless, mitigation measures implemented for control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.

Special attention should be paid on construction noise and other environmental issues identified in the EM&A Manual as recommended in the EIA and summarized in Mitigation Measure Implementation Schedule. CRBC was reminded to implement the required mitigation measures during construction as far as possible.




### 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


- i) This is the **29**<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report for Channel KT13, covering the construction period from **26 January to 25 February 2011** (the Reporting Period).
- ii) Monitoring results of the Reporting Period demonstrated no exceedances of environmental quality criteria for air quality, construction noise and ecology monitoring.
- iii) For stream water quality monitoring, a total of 29 Limit Level exceedances were recorded in the stream water quality monitoring, namely 9 exceedances at upstream Location W2 and 20 at downstream Location W6. The preliminary investigation would conclude that the exceedances were not related to the works under the Project.
- iv) Four (4) events of weekly settlement monitoring for the historic grave were undertaken in this reporting month. The monitoring results demonstrated no exceedance was recorded in both survey.
- v) Landscape inspections were conducted on 11 and 22 February 2011. No significant changes were observed for identified landscape resources and visual sensitive receivers, except for minor changes due to channel excavation, site clearance and preparation work at the identified landscape resources including LR1, LR2.1, LR2.2, LCA1, LCA3 and LCA4.
- vi) No documented complaints, notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the Reporting Period. No adverse environmental impacts were observed during the weekly site inspection and environmental audit of the Reporting Period, which suggested that the implemented mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and ecology were effective. Minor deficiencies found in the weekly site inspection were in general rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- vii) During dry season, special attention should be paid to the dust mitigation measures to avoid fugitive dust emissions from loose soil surface or haul road. Nevertheless, mitigation measures implemented for control the surface runoff including wheel wash facilities, covering of the loose soil surface or stockpile with tarpaulin sheet, etc., should be properly maintained to prevent any muddy or sandy runoff from the loose soil surface overflow on the site boundary.


### **END OF TEXT**



# Appendix A Location Plan and Environmental Monitoring Locations Under the Project










### Appendix B Construction Program

Contract No.: DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Monthly Rolling Programme - February 2011 ID Task Name February Duration Start Finish 27/2 20/2 EOT was granted up to 31 December 2010 Tue 1/2/11 Tuc 1/2/11 1 day 2 3 Section II (Channel KT13) 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 4 Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 Regular Tree Survey & Protection Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days 6 Regular Structural Condition Survey Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 Section A 24 days 8 Construction of Dry Weather Flow Channel 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 9 Break Up the Existing Base Slab of Transition & Crossing at Bay A5 ~ Bay A8 Tue 1/2/11 Fri 11/2/11 10 days 10 Construction of Dry Flow Channel (Transition & Crossing) at Bay A5 ~ Bay A8 12 days Sat 12/2/11 Fri 25/2/11 11 Construction of Dry Flow Channel along Gabion Lining from Bay A31 to Bay A9 17 days Tue 1/2/11 Sat 19/2/11 12 Re-installation of Gabion Lining along Dry Flow Channel from Bay A31 to Bay A9 Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days 13 Construction of Catchpit / Manhole / Drain Pipe along Channel Sides 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 14 Bay A31 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - TG6 (EB) Tue 1/2/11 Fri 4/2/11 4 days 15 Bay A30 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - TG6 (EB) Wed 9/2/11 4 days Sat 5/2/11 16 Bay A29 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - TG6 (EB) Thu 10/2/11 Mon 14/2/11 4 days 17 Tue 15/2/11 Bay A28 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - TG6 (EB) Thu 17/2/11 3 days 18 Bay A27 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - TG6 (EB) 3 days Fri 18/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 19 Bay A26 (A CH257.09 - A CH269.95) - TG2 (EB) 3 days Tue 22/2/11 Thu 24/2/11 20 Bay A25 (A CH244.23 - A CH257.09) - TG2 (EB) Fri 25/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 3 days 21 Section of Box Culvert BC13-1 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 22 Construction of 3.5m Access Road on the Top of Box Culvert Tite 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days 23 BC CH100.00 - BC CH200.00 15 days Tue 1/2/11 Thu 17/2/11 24 BC CH300.00 - BC CH384.00 9 days Fri 18/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 25 Installation of Chain Link Fence on the Top of Box Culvert 16 days Wed 9/2/11 Sat 26/2/11 26 BC CH300.00 - BC CH384.00 4 days Wed 9/2/11 Sat 12/2/11 27 BC CH200.00 - BC CH300.00 4 days Mon 14/2/11 Thu 17/2/11 28 BC CH100.00 - BC CH200.00 Tue 22/2/11 Fri 18/2/11 4 days 29 BC CH0.00 - BC CH100.00 4 days Wed 23/2/11 Sat 26/2/11 30 Installation of Traffic Sign Plate / Railing Street / Furniture 5 days Mon 21/2/11 Fri 25/2/11 31 Hydroseeding & Compensatory Planting Mon 28/2/11 2 days Sat 26/2/11 32 Completion of Section of Box Culvert Mon 28/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 0 days 33 Section B Tue 1/2/11 24 days Mon 28/2/11 34 Construction of Dry Weather Flow Channel Mon 28/2/11 24 days Tue 1/2/11 35 Removal of Existing Gabion Lining at Section B from Bay B28 to Bay B7 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 36 Break Up the Existing Base Slab of Transition & Crossing at Bay B13 - Bay B17 3 days Fri 18/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 37 Mon 28/2/11 Construction of Dry Flow Channel (Transition & Crossing) at Bay B13 - Bay B17 6 days Tue 22/2/11 38 Construction of Dry Flow Channel along Gabion Lining from Bay B28 to Bay B3 Mon 21/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 7 days 39 Construction of Inlet Structure Wed 9/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 11 days 40 Excavation up to the Formation 2 days Wed 9/2/11 Thu 10/2/11 41 Rockfilling and Blinding 1 day Fri 11/2/11 Fri 11/2/11 42 Construction of Inlet Structure 7 days Sat 12/2/11 Sat 19/2/11 43 Transition Matching the Existing Stream 1 day Mon 21/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 44 Modification of Existing Transition at Bay B30 Tue 22/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 6 days 45 Traffic Diversion Tue 22/2/11 Tue 22/2/11 1 day 46 Removal of Temporary Steel Deck 5 days Wed 23/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 47 48 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 49 Preservation and Protection of Tree for Section II and IV 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 50 51 Tue 1/2/11 Section VI - Portion 9A & 9B (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work) 8 days Wed 9/2/11 52 Tue 1/2/11 Wed 9/2/11 Structural Survey and Monitoring 8 days 53. Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation 8 days Tue 1/2/11 Wed 9/2/11 Task Split Milestone • Summary Progress Page 1 of 1


Contract No.: DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Monthly Rolling Programme - February 2011 ID Task Name February Duration Start Finish 27/2 20/2 EOT was granted up to 31 December 2010 Tue 1/2/11 Tuc 1/2/11 1 day 2 3 Section II (Channel KT13) 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 4 Regular Environmental Impact Monitoring 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 Regular Tree Survey & Protection Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days 6 Regular Structural Condition Survey Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 Section A 24 days 8 Construction of Dry Weather Flow Channel 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 9 Break Up the Existing Base Slab of Transition & Crossing at Bay A5 ~ Bay A8 Tue 1/2/11 Fri 11/2/11 10 days 10 Construction of Dry Flow Channel (Transition & Crossing) at Bay A5 ~ Bay A8 12 days Sat 12/2/11 Fri 25/2/11 11 Construction of Dry Flow Channel along Gabion Lining from Bay A31 to Bay A9 17 days Tue 1/2/11 Sat 19/2/11 12 Re-installation of Gabion Lining along Dry Flow Channel from Bay A31 to Bay A9 Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days 13 Construction of Catchpit / Manhole / Drain Pipe along Channel Sides 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 14 Bay A31 (A CH318.91 - A CH331.09) - TG6 (EB) Tue 1/2/11 Fri 4/2/11 4 days 15 Bay A30 (A CH306.75 - A CH318.91) - TG6 (EB) Wed 9/2/11 4 days Sat 5/2/11 16 Bay A29 (A CH294.59 - A CH306.75) - TG6 (EB) Thu 10/2/11 Mon 14/2/11 4 days 17 Tue 15/2/11 Bay A28 (A CH282.43 - A CH294.59) - TG6 (EB) Thu 17/2/11 3 days 18 Bay A27 (A CH269.95 - A CH282.43) - TG6 (EB) 3 days Fri 18/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 19 Bay A26 (A CH257.09 - A CH269.95) - TG2 (EB) 3 days Tue 22/2/11 Thu 24/2/11 20 Bay A25 (A CH244.23 - A CH257.09) - TG2 (EB) Fri 25/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 3 days 21 Section of Box Culvert BC13-1 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 22 Construction of 3.5m Access Road on the Top of Box Culvert Tite 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 24 days 23 BC CH100.00 - BC CH200.00 15 days Tue 1/2/11 Thu 17/2/11 24 BC CH300.00 - BC CH384.00 9 days Fri 18/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 25 Installation of Chain Link Fence on the Top of Box Culvert 16 days Wed 9/2/11 Sat 26/2/11 26 BC CH300.00 - BC CH384.00 4 days Wed 9/2/11 Sat 12/2/11 27 BC CH200.00 - BC CH300.00 4 days Mon 14/2/11 Thu 17/2/11 28 BC CH100.00 - BC CH200.00 Tue 22/2/11 Fri 18/2/11 4 days 29 BC CH0.00 - BC CH100.00 4 days Wed 23/2/11 Sat 26/2/11 30 Installation of Traffic Sign Plate / Railing Street / Furniture 5 days Mon 21/2/11 Fri 25/2/11 31 Hydroseeding & Compensatory Planting Mon 28/2/11 2 days Sat 26/2/11 32 Completion of Section of Box Culvert Mon 28/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 0 days 33 Section B Tue 1/2/11 24 days Mon 28/2/11 34 Construction of Dry Weather Flow Channel Mon 28/2/11 24 days Tue 1/2/11 35 Removal of Existing Gabion Lining at Section B from Bay B28 to Bay B7 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 36 Break Up the Existing Base Slab of Transition & Crossing at Bay B13 - Bay B17 3 days Fri 18/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 37 Mon 28/2/11 Construction of Dry Flow Channel (Transition & Crossing) at Bay B13 - Bay B17 6 days Tue 22/2/11 38 Construction of Dry Flow Channel along Gabion Lining from Bay B28 to Bay B3 Mon 21/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 7 days 39 Construction of Inlet Structure Wed 9/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 11 days 40 Excavation up to the Formation 2 days Wed 9/2/11 Thu 10/2/11 41 Rockfilling and Blinding 1 day Fri 11/2/11 Fri 11/2/11 42 Construction of Inlet Structure 7 days Sat 12/2/11 Sat 19/2/11 43 Transition Matching the Existing Stream 1 day Mon 21/2/11 Mon 21/2/11 44 Modification of Existing Transition at Bay B30 Tue 22/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 6 days 45 Traffic Diversion Tue 22/2/11 Tue 22/2/11 1 day 46 Removal of Temporary Steel Deck 5 days Wed 23/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 47 48 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 49 Preservation and Protection of Tree for Section II and IV 24 days Tue 1/2/11 Mon 28/2/11 50 51 Tue 1/2/11 Section VI - Portion 9A & 9B (Tuen Mun Sewerage Work) 8 days Wed 9/2/11 52 Tue 1/2/11 Wed 9/2/11 Structural Survey and Monitoring 8 days 53. Construction of Manhole, Timber Box and Trench Excavation 8 days Tue 1/2/11 Wed 9/2/11 Task Split Milestone • Summary Progress Page 1 of 1





## Appendix C Environmental Management Organization and Contacts of Key Personnel





**Environmental Management Organization** 



## **Contact Details of Key Personnel**

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
DSD	Employer	Mr. Tony Cheng	2594-7264	2827-8526
B&V	Engineer's Representative	Ms. Jenny Lui	2478-9161	2478-9369
B&V	Resident Engineer	Mr. Albert Yeu	2478-9161	2478-9369
OAP	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Coleman Ng	2268-3097	2268-3950
CRBC	Project Director	Mr. Wang Yanhua	2283-1688	2283-1689
CRBC	Project Manager	Mr. Raymond Mau	9048-3669	2283-1689
CRBC	Site Agent	Mr. Raymond Suen	9779-8871	2283-1689
CRBC	Senior Engineer (Tuen Mun Site)	Mr. Daniel Wong	9858-3176	2283-1689
CRBC	Site Engineer (Tuen Mun Site)	Mr. L.C. Ling	6770-4010	2283-1689
CRBC	Environmental Officer	Mr. Lixu Cai	6474-6975	2283-1689
CRBC	Environmental / Construction Supervisor (Tuen Mun and Yuen Long site)	Mr. Lai Tsz Chung	6283-9696	2283-1689
CRBC	Environmental / Construction Supervisor (Yuen Long site)	Mr. Kam Tong Lai	9401-6296	2283-1689
CRBC	Safety Officer	Mr. Alexis Wong	9374-8954	2283-1689
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T.W. Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Miss Nicola Hon	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Site Inspector	Mr. Ben Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Ecologist	Mr. Vincent Lai	2959-6059	2959-6079

## <u>Legend:</u>

DSD(Employer) – Drainage Services Department B&V (Engineer) – Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited CRBC (Main Contractor) – China Road and Bridge Corporation OAP(IEC) – Ove Arup & Partners Ltd AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting



## Appendix D

- (a) Monitori ng Schedules
- (b) Meteorological Data



## Monitoring Schedule for KT 13 for Reporting Period – February 2011

I	Date	Air Q	Quality	Noise Leq 30min	Water Quality	Ecology Surveys
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	John		
Wed	26-Jan-11					
Thu	27-Jan-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Fri	28-Jan-11		A1(a), A2			
Sat	29-Jan-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sun	30-Jan-11					
Mon	31-Jan-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	1-Feb-11					
Wed	2-Feb-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	3-Feb-11					
Fri	4-Feb-11					
Sat	5-Feb-11					
Sun	6-Feb-11					
Mon	7-Feb-11		A1(a), A2			
Tue	8-Feb-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Wed	9-Feb-11					
Thu	10-Feb-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Fri	11-Feb-11					
Sat	12-Feb-11		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sun	13-Feb-11					
Mon	14-Feb-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	15-Feb-11					
Wed	16-Feb-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	17-Feb-11					
Fri	18-Feb-11		A1(a), A2			
Sat	19-Feb-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sun	20-Feb-11					
Mon	21-Feb-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	22-Feb-11					
Wed	23-Feb-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	24-Feb-11		A1(a), A2			
Fri	25-Feb-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	

## Cultural Heritage

Frequency: Condition survey - Bi-monthly

Settlement monitoring - Bi-weekly

## Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday



## **Monitoring Schedule of KT 13 for next reporting month – March 2011**

Date		Air (	Quality	Noise Leq 30min	Water Quality	Ecology Surveys
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	3011111		
Sat	26-Feb-11					
Sun	27-Feb-11					
Mon	28-Feb-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 &	
Tue	1-Mar-11				W1, W2, W3(a), W4, W3 & W6	
Wed	2-Mar-11		A1(a), A2		XXX XXX XXX XXX X	
Thu	3-Mar-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Fri	4-Mar-11					
Sat	5-Mar-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sun	6-Mar-11					
Mon	7-Mar-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	8-Mar-11		A1(a), A2			
Wed	9-Mar-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Thu	10-Mar-11					
Fri	11-Mar-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sat	12-Mar-11					
Sun	13-Mar-11					
Mon	14-Mar-11		A1(a), A2			
Tue	15-Mar-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Wed	16-Mar-11					
Thu	17-Mar-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Fri	18-Mar-11					
Sat	19-Mar-11		A1(a), A2		W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Sun	20-Mar-11					
Mon	21-Mar-11	A1(a), A2		N1(a), N2(a) & N3	W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Tue	22-Mar-11					
Wed	23-Mar-11					
Thu	24-Mar-11				W1,W2, W3(a), W4, W5 & W6	
Fri	25-Mar-11		A1(a), A2			

## **Cultural Heritage**

<u>Frequency</u>: Condition survey - Bi-monthly

Settlement monitoring - Bi-weekly

## Landscape & Visual

Frequency: Bi-weekly

Monitoring Day
Sunday or Public Holiday



## Meteorological Data Extracted from HKO during the Reporting Period

				Lau	Fau Sha	n Weather	Station
Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
26-Jan-11	Wed	There will also be haze.	0	13.7	11	68.7	SW
27-Jan-11	Thu	Moderate northerly winds, fresh offshore.	0	15.8	11.5	77.2	E/NE
28-Jan-11	Fri	Mainly cloudy.	0	14.6	15.2	67.2	N/NE
29-Jan-11	Sat	Mainly fine	0	12	14.2	57.5	N
30-Jan-11	Sun	Moderate northeasterly winds.	0	11	13.7	47	N
31-Jan-11	Mon	Dry with some haze.	Trace	12.4	14.7	47	NE
1-Feb-11	Tue	There will be haze.	0	14.2	9.1	63.5	Е
2-Feb-11	Wed	Mainly fine and dry.	0	13.9	9	61	E/NE
3-Feb-11	Thu	Holiday					
4-Feb-11	Fri	Holiday					
5-Feb-11	Sat	Holiday					
6-Feb-11	Sun	Holiday					
7-Feb-11	Mon	Mainly fine and dry. Moderate easterly winds.	0	21.1	10.5	54.5	Е
8-Feb-11	Tue	Mainly fine and dry. Moderate easterly winds.	0	18.7	11.5	77.2	W/SW
9-Feb-11	Wed	Some mist patches. Mainly fine.	0	15	15	73.5	E/NE
10-Feb-11	Thu	Mainly fine but misty. Moderate easterly winds.	0	19.1	13.2	71.5	Е
11-Feb-11	Fri	Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds.	Trace	15.8	10.7	72.5	E/NE
12-Feb-11	Sat	Moderate to fresh north to northeasterly winds.	0	14.1	15.5	69.5	E/NE
13-Feb-11	Sun	Cold and mainly cloudy with a few rain patches.	17	10.7	18.2	82.2	E/NE
14-Feb-11	Mon	Cold and cloudy with a few rain patches.	0.6	8.3	18	74.5	NE
15-Feb-11	Tue	Cloudy with mist.	3.2	9.2	9.5	84.5	NE
16-Feb-11	Wed	Moderate northerly winds	Trace	12.4	8.5	92	Е
17-Feb-11	Thu	Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	Trace	13.4	10.2	93.5	W/NW
18-Feb-11	Fri	Cool with rain patches and mist.	Trace	13.2	7.5	88.5	E/NE
19-Feb-11	Sat	Cool with mist	2	11.2	11.1	94	E/NE
20-Feb-11	Sun	Cloudy with sunny intervals	0.9	12.7	6.5	70	NE
21-Feb-11	Mon	Moderate easterly winds	Trace	16.1	8.5	77.2	E/NE
22-Feb-11	Tue	Mainly cloudy and dry.	0	18.2	14.7	55.7	Е
23-Feb-11	Wed	Moderate easterly winds.	0	17.7	8.2	67	W/SW
24-Feb-11	Thu	Cloudy with light rain patches	0	18	13.5	60	Е
25-Feb-11	Fri	Moderate easterly winds	0	21.5	9	69.2	Е



## Appendix E Calibration Certificates and

**HOKLAS-Accreditation Certificate** 



Equipment Calibration List for Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Item	Issue	Description of Equipment	Date of Calibration	Date of Next Calibration
1 (4)		Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A (Serial No. 1483)	2 Jun 10	2 Jun 11
2 <sup>(*)</sup>		TSP Sampler Calibration Spreadsheet for KT13-A1a	4 Dec 10 1 Feb 11	4 Feb 11 1 Apr 11
3 <sup>(*)</sup>	Air	TSP Sampler Calibration Spreadsheet for KT13-A2	4 Dec 10 1 Feb 11	4 Feb 11 1 Apr 11
4 (2)		TSI DustTrak Model 8520 (Serial No. 23079)	5 May 10	5 May 11
5 (3)	Noise	Bruel & Kjaer Integrating Sound Level Meter 2238 (Serial No. 2285721)	19 Apr 10	19 Apr 11
6 <sup>(3)</sup>		Bruel & Kjaer Acoustical Calibrator 4231 (Serial No. 2326408)	27 Apr 10	27 Apr 11
7 (1)		YSI 550A (Serial No. 05F2063AZ)	19 Jan 11	19 Apr 11
8 (1)	Water	Extech pH Meter EC500 (Serial No. CE133298)	19 Jan 11	19 Apr 11
9 (1)	***************************************	Turbidimeter HACH 2100p (Serial No. 950900008735)	19 Jan 11	19 Apr 11
10 <sup>(1)</sup>		Hand Refractometer ATAGO EQ114 (Serial No. 289468)	19 Jan 11	19 Apr 11

Note: \* Calibration certificates will only provide when monitoring equipment is re-calibrate or new.

- The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report January 2011
- The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report June 2010
- The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report May 2010
- <sup>(4)</sup> The calibration certificates could be referred to the previous EM&A monthly report August 2010

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: No.68 Ho Pui Village Date of Calibration: 1-Feb-11
Location ID: ASR14 (A1(a)) Next Calibration Date: 1-Apr-11

Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

## CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C) 1022.7 14.0

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

767.025 287

## **CALIBRATION ORIFICE**

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1483

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

2.00279 -0.00494

### **CALIBRATION**

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.667	49	51.11	Slope = $40.2616$
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.501	43	44.85	Intercept = $-16.0952$
10	3.5	3.5	7	1.355	36	37.55	Corr. coeff. = 0.9991
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.122	28	29.21	
5	1.5	1.5	3	0.888	19	19.82	

## Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg

## For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m(( I )[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: No.1 Ma On Kong Village Date of Calibration: 1-Feb-11
Location ID: ASR15 (A2) Next Calibration Date: 1-Apr-11

Technician: Mr. Ben Tam

## CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C) 1022.7 14.0 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

767.025 287

## **CALIBRATION ORIFICE**

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Serial # -> 1483

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept ->

2.00279 -0.00494

## **CALIBRATION**

Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
18	5.2	5.2	10.4	1.651	50	52.16	Slope = $40.5521$
13	4.2	4.2	8.4	1.484	44	45.90	Intercept = -14.9824
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.335	37	38.60	Corr. coeff. = 0.9973
7	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.145	29	30.25	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.888	21	21.91	

## Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg ]

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm H

## For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m(( I )[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





## Appendix F

**Event and Action Plan** 



## **Event/Action Plan for Air Quality**

EVENT		ACTION		
ACTION LEVEL	Contractor's ET leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
	Identify source     Inform IEC. ER and Contractor	Check monitoring data submitted by     Contractor's ET leader	1. Notify Contractor	Rectify any unacceptable     practice
		2. Check Contractor's working method		<ol> <li>Amend working methods if appropriate</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two		<ol> <li>Checking monitoring data submitted</li> </ol>	notification	1. Submit proposals for remedial
or more consecutive	2. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor	by Contractor's ET leader.	of failure in writing	actions to IEC and ER within 3
samples		2. Check Contractor's working method		
	4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily		Ensure remedial measures	2. Implement the agreed
	remedial actions required	measures	properly implemented	<ol> <li>Amend proposal if appropriate</li> </ol>
	6. If exceedance continue, arrange meeting	4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of		
	7. If exceedance stops, cease additional	5. Supervise implementation of remedial		
	-			
LIMIT LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one		Check monitoring data submitted by	Confirm receipt of notification	Take immediate action to avoid
sampie	<ol> <li>Reneat measurement to confirm findings</li> </ol>	Check Contractor's working method	Notify Contractor	2 Submit proposals for remedial
		3. Discuss with Contractor's ET leader	al measures	
	<ol><li>Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and kent IFC FPD and FR informed</li></ol>	and Contractor on possible remedial	properly implemented	working days of notification 3 Implement the agreed
	of the results	4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of		
		the proposed remedial measures 5. Audit implementation of remedial		4. Amend proposal if appropriate
		measures		
2. Exceedance for two		<ol> <li>Discuss amongst ER, Contractor's ET</li> </ol>	notification	1. Take immediate action to avoid
or more consecutive	2. Identify source	leader and Contractor on the potential	of failure in writing	
samples	Repeat fileasurefilerit to confirm findings     A Increase monitoring frequency to daily	2 Review Contractor's remedial actions	3. In consultation with IEC agree	actions to IEC and ER within 3
	5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working			working days of notification
	procedures to determine possible mitigation	effectiveness and advise the ER	remedial measures to be	3. Implement the agreed
			implemented	
	6. Arrange meeting with IEC, Contractor and	3. Audit the implementation of remedial	ures	4. Resubmit proposals if problem
	ER to discuss the remedial actions to be	measures	properly implemented	
				works as determined by the ED
	actions and keen IEC EDD and ED informed		work is responsible and instruct	until the exceedance is abate
	of the results		the Contractor to stop that	uilli lile exceedalice is abate.
	8. If exceedance stops, cease additional			
	monitoring		nce is abated.	



# **Event and Action Plan for Water Quality**

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level	Repeat in-site measurement to confirm findings;	Discuss with ET and Contractor	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the
exceeded by	Inform IEC an Contractor;	Review proposals on mitigation	Make agreement on the mitigation measures to	Rectify unacceptable practice;
one sampling	Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and	measures submitted by	be implemented;	Check al plant and equipment;
day	Contractor's working methods;	Contractor and advise the ER		Consider changes of working methods;
	Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;	accordingly Assess the effectiveness of the		Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER;
	Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance	implemented mitigation measures.		Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action level	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;	Discuss with ET and Contractor	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation	Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of
being	Identify source(s) of impact;	on the mitigation measures		the non-compliance in writing;
exceeded by	Inform IEC and Contractor;	Review proposals on mitigation	Made agreement on the mitigation measures to	Rectify unacceptable practice;
more than	Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and	measures submitted by	be implemented;	Check all plant and equipment;
one	Contractor's working methods;	Contractor and advise the ER	Assess the effectiveness of the implemented	Consider changes of working methods;
consecutive	Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and	accordingly	mitigation measures.	Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation
sampling days	Contractor;  Ensure mitination measures are implemented:	Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation		measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;
	Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to	measures.		
	daily;  Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance			
Limit level	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;	Discuss with ET and Contractor	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the	Inform the Engineer and confirm notification of
being	Identify source(s) of impact;	on the mitigation measures	proposed mitigation measures;	the non-compliance in writing;
exceeded by	Inform IEC, contractor and EPD;	Review proposals on mitigation	Request Contract to critically review the working	Rectify unacceptable practice;
day	Coetractor's working methods:	Contractor and advise the D	Made agreement on the mitigation measures to	check all plant and equipment;
!	Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and	accordingly	be implemented;	Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose
	Contractor;	Assess the effectiveness of the	Assess the effectiveness of the implemented	mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3
	Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;	implemented mitigation	mitigation measures.	working days;
	exceedance of Limit level.			Q
Limit level	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm fundings;	Discuss with ET and Contractor	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the
being	Identify source(s) of impact;	on the mitigation measures	proposed mitigation measures;	non-compliance in writing;
exceeded by	Inform IEC, contractor and EPU;	Review proposals on mitigation	Request Contractor to critically review the	Rectify unacceptable practice;
more man	Contractor's working methods:	Contractor and advise the ED	Working methods;	Consider changes of working methods:
consecutive	Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and	accordingly	be implemented:	Discuss with ET. IEC and ER and propose
sampling days	Contractor;	Assess the effectiveness of the	Assess the effectiveness of the implemented	mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3
	Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;	implemented mitigation	mitigation measures;	working days;
	Increase the Monitoring frequency to daily until no	measures.	Consider and instruct, if necessary, the	Implement the agreed mitigation measures;
	exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.		Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work until no exceedance of Limit	As directed by the Engineer, to slow down or to stop all or part of the marine work or construction
			level.	activities.



## **Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring**

EVENT		ACTIO	)N	
EVENI	CONTRACTOR'S ET LEADER	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	Notify IEC, Contractor and ER     Carry out investigation     Report the results of investigation to the IEC, Contractor and ER     Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures     Double monitoring frequency     Check compliance to Action/Limit Levels after application of mitigation measures	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the Contract's ET leader  2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly  3. Review the implementation of remedial measures	Confirm receipt of notification of complaint in writing     Notify Contractor     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem     Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	Submit noise     mitigation     proposals to ER     and IEC     Implement noise     mitigation     proposals
Limit Level	Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor     Identify Source     Repeat measurement to confirm findings     Increase monitoring frequency     Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented     Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes & actions taken for the exceedances     Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results     If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring	1. Discuss amongst ER, Contractor's ET leader and Contractor on the potential remedial actions  2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly  3. Audit the implementation of remedial measures	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing     Notify Contractor     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem     Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented     If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated



## **Event/Action Plan for Ecology**

EVENT	ACTION			
EVENI	ET Leader	IEC	Engineer	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL REACHED	1. Carry out investigation  2. Review results and assess whether amendment to action level is appropriate  3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC  4. Notify Contractor and Engineer  5. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures  6. Repeat survey to confirm results	1. Review the analysed results submitted by ET 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advice the Engineer accordingly 3. Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing     Notify Contractor     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed problem     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented	1. Take immediate action to avoid further problem 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control
LIMIT LEVEL REACHED	1. Carry out investigation  2. Review results and assess whether amendment to limit level is appropriate  3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC  4. Notify Contractor and Engineer  5. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures  6. Repeat survey to confirm results	Review the analysed results submitted by ET     Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advice the Engineer accordingly     Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing     Notify Contractor     Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed problem     Ensure remedial measures properly implemented     Issue instruction to stop the relevant portion of the works until the problem is abated (construction period only).	1. Take immediate action to avoid further problem 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the Engineer until the problem is abated (construction period only)



## **Event and Action Plan for Cultural Heritage**

EVENT		ACT	TION	
EVENI	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation  Report reasons of structural	Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing	Notify AMO concerning the damage or structural instability of the cultural heritage resources
	damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures  Increase monitoring frequency to once per week to check mitigation	Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly  Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval	Notify Contractor  Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.  Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit proposals for repair of damage to cultural heritage resources to AMO for approval and to implement approved measures.
Limit Level	effectiveness  Notify IEC and Contractor to carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.  Report reasons of continued structural damage or instability to the IEC and Contractor Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures  Increase monitoring frequency to daily to check mitigation effectiveness	from AMO.  Review report of structural damage or instability by the ET.  Review proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER and Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) accordingly.  Supervise the implementation of remedial measures, with approval from AMO.	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing  Notify Contractor  Require Contractor to propose remedial measures and to notify and seek approval from AMO.  Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented.	To carry out investigation and to stop construction work within 100m of cultural heritage resource to avoid further impact until AMO are satisfied that the relevant structure has been repaired or stabilized to an acceptable level.  Propose remedial measures for the repair and stabilization of cultural heritage resources, up to liaison of moving and rebuilding the relevant structure with the approval of owner (usually the clan members) and AMO.



## **Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact - Construction Phase**

Action Level	Environmental Team Leader (ETL)	Independent Evnironmental Checker (IEC)	Engineer's Representative (ER)	Contractor
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol> <li>Identify source</li> <li>Inform the IEC and the ER</li> <li>Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor</li> <li>Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed</li> </ol>	Check report     Check the Contractor's working method     Discuss with the ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures     Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures	Notify the Contractor     Ensure remedial     measures are properly     implemented	Amend working     methods     Rectify damage and     undertake remedial     measures or any     necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	Identify source     Inform the IEC and the ER     Increase monitoring (site audit) frequency     Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor     Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed     If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring (site audit)	Check report     Check the Contractor's working method     Discuss with the ER and the Contractor on possible remedial measures     Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures     Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Notify the Contractor     Ensure remedial     measures are properly     implemented	Amend working methods     Rectify damage and undertake remedial measures or any necessary replacement



## Appendix G

- (a) Impact Environmental Monitoring Data
- (b) Graphic Plot of Monitoring
  - 1. Construction Noise
  - 2. Air Quality
  - 3. W ater Quality

## DSD CONTRACT NO. DC/2007/17

## Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

## 24-Hour TSP Monitoring Results

						STANDA	RD							BLANK		S	AMPLE OF FILTER PA	APER		Action	
DATE	SAMPLE		ELAPSED TIM	E	CHART I	READING		AVERAGE		FLOW	AIR	SAMPLE		WEIGHT (g)			WEIGHT (g)		Dust 24-Hr TSP	Level	Limit Level
	NUMBER	INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	CHART READING	TEMP (°C)	PRESS (hPa)	RATE (m³/min)	VOLUME (std m³)	NUMBER	INTIAL	FINAL	DIFF	INITIAL	FINAL	DUST COLLECTION	in Air (μg/m³)	(μg/m³)	(μg/m³)
KT13(A1(a))	)																				
				Da	te of C	alibrati	on: 4-De	ec-2010 N	ext Calib	ration I	Date: 4-F	eb-2011	Cal Grap	h Slope = 3	39.3756 Inte	rcept = -14.9	0643				
				Da	te of Ca	alibratio	on: 1-Fe	b-2010 N	ext Calibi	ration I	Oate: 1-A	pr-2011	Cal Grap	oh Slope = 4	40.2616 Inte	rcept = -16.0	0952				
28-Jan-11	23218	3535.80	3560.72	1495.20	22	24	23.0	15.1	1023.9	0.98	1461	NA	2.8573	2.8564	-0.0009	2.8143	2.9404	0.1261	87	144	260
7-Feb-11	23115	3560.72	3584.46	1424.40	20	22	21.0	18.7	1012.6	0.93	1320	NA	2.8564	2.8563	-0.0001	2.7553	2.8819	0.1266	96	144	260
12-Feb-11	23281	3584.46	3608.19	1423.80	20	22	21.0	14.3	1019.7	0.93	1328	NA	2.8563	2.8562	-0.0001	2.7925	2.8658	0.0733	55	144	260
18-Feb-11	23313	3608.19	3631.92	1423.80	22	24	23.0	14.7	1016.3	0.98	1398	NA	2.857	2.8565	-0.0005	2.8034	2.8313	0.0279	20	144	260
24-Feb-11	23337	3631.92	3655.65	1423.80	20	22	21.0	18.8	1016.1	0.93	1321	NA	2.8563	2.8568	0.0005	2.8025	2.8404	0.0379	28	144	260
KT13(A2)																					
				Da	te of C	alibrati	on: 4-De	ec-2010 N	ext Calib	ration I	Oate: 4-F	eb-2011	Cal Grap	h Slope = 4	11.7217 Inte	rcept = -16.3	3113				
				Da	te of Ca	alibratio	on: 1-Fe	b-2010 N	ext Calibi	ration I	Oate: 1-A	pr-2011	Cal Grap	oh Slope = 4	40.5521 Inte	rcept = -14.9	9824				
28-Jan-11	23219	3481.07	3505.77	1482.00	22	24	23.0	15.1	1023.9	0.95	1415	NA	2.8573	2.8564	-0.0009	2.8015	2.8493	0.0478	34	141	260
7-Feb-11	23146	3505.77	3530.45	1480.80	20	22	21.0	18.7	1012.6	0.89	1322	NA	2.8564	2.8563	-0.0001	2.7585	2.8332	0.0747	57	141	260
12-Feb-11	23282	3530.45	3554.30	1431.00	20	22	21.0	14.3	1019.7	0.90	1286	NA	2.8563	2.8562	-0.0001	2.7914	2.8518	0.0604	47	141	260
18-Feb-11	23314	3554.30	3578.15	1431.00	20	21	20.5	14.7	1016.3	0.88	1266	NA	2.857	2.8565	-0.0005	2.8069	2.8356	0.0287	23	141	260
24-Feb-11	23338	3578.15	3602.00	1431.00	20	22	21.0	18.8	1016.1	0.89	1279	NA	2.8563	2.8568	0.0005	2.8103	2.8364	0.0261	20	141	260

## DSD Contract No. DC/2007/17 -

## Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - KT13



Date	27-J	an-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	o (oC)	n) OD	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	iS	Amme	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	10:23	0.10	14.0	14.0	5.13	4.7	61.4	57.3	11.7	12.6	8.1	8.2	67	67.0	24.3	24.30	148	148.0
VV 1	10.23	0.10	13.9	14.0	4.27	4.7	53.1	37.3	13.5	12.0	8.3	0.2	67	07.0	24.3	24.30	148	140.0
W2	10:29	0.10	13.8	13.9	6.05	6.1	71.5	71.9	14.2	13.6	7.9	8.0	53	53.0	16.7	16.70	147	147.0
WZ	10:29	0.10	13.9	13.9	6.11	0.1	72.2	71.9	13.0	13.0	8	6.0	53	55.0	16.7	16.70	147	147.0
W3	10:34	0.20	13.8	13.9	2.86	2.8	39.1	38.0	16.5	15.0	7.9	7.9	60	60.0	24.1	24.10	125	125.0
WS	10.34	0.20	14.0	13.7	2.64	2.0	36.9	30.0	13.5	15.0	7.8	7.7	60	00.0	24.1	24.10	125	123.0
W4	10:46	0.10	13.8	13.9	5.12	5.0	62.1	60.9	12.4	13.1	7.7	7.8	47	47.0	19.6	19.60	128	128.0
VV-+	10.40	0.10	13.9	13.7	4.92	5.0	59.6	00.9	13.8	13.1	7.9	7.0	47	47.0	19.6	17.00	128	120.0
W5	10:51	0.20	14.0	14.1	3.61	3.3	45.9	43.3	10.7	13.6	8	8.0	66	66.0	25.7	25.70	174	174.0
WJ	10.51	0.20	14.1	14.1	3.05	3.3	40.7	43.3	16.5	13.0	7.9	0.0	66	00.0	25.7	23.70	174	174.0
W6	10:55	0.30	14.1	14.2	2.68	2.8	37.1	38.3	13.2	14.1	7.8	7.9	51	51.0	22.3	22.30	140	140.0
VVO	10:00	0.30	14.2	14.2	2.97	2.0	39.5	30.3	14.9	14.1	7.9	7.9	51	51.0	22.3	22.30	140	140.0

Date	29-J	an-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Zi	nc
W1	13:14	0.10	13.2	13.2	7.78	7.7	77.8	76.9	4.9	4.9	7.2	7.2	39	39.0	16.5	16.50	119	119.0
VV I	13:14	0.10	13.1	13.2	7.56	7.7	75.9	70.9	4.8	4.9	7.1	1.2	39	39.0	16.5	16.50	119	119.0
W2	13:27	0.20	13.2	13.2	7.55	7.4	75.8	74.6	4.9	4.8	7.1	7.1	33	33.0	16.2	16.20	103	103.0
VV2	13.27	0.20	13.1	13.2	7.28	7.4	73.4	74.0	4.7	4.0	7.1	7.1	33	33.0	16.2	10.20	103	103.0
W3	13:48	0.20	13.8	13.8	6.96	6.9	68.7	67.6	6.2	6.2	8.4	8.3	25	25.0	18.9	18.90	59	59.0
WS	13.40	0.20	13.7	13.0	6.74	0.7	66.4	07.0	6.1	0.2	8.2	0.3	25	23.0	18.9	10.70	59	37.0
W4	14:02	0.20	13.4	13.3	6.82	6.7	69.6	68.2	6.7	6.6	8.3	8.2	22	22.0	19.8	19.80	51	51.0
VV 4	14:02	0.20	13.2	13.3	6.59	0.7	66.7	00.2	6.5	0.0	8.1	0.2	22	22.0	19.8	19.00	51	51.0
W5	14:26	0.20	14.7	14.7	5.56	5.5	55.6	54.5	7.9	7.9	8.7	8.6	25	25.0	19.7	19.70	52	52.0
VVS	14.20	0.20	14.6	14.7	5.42	5.5	53.4	54.5	7.8	7.9	8.5	0.0	25	25.0	19.7	19.70	52	52.0
W6	14.50	0.30	15.1	15.1	5.21	5.1	52.1	E1 1	7.9	7.0	8.7	0.7	23	23.0	19.2	19.20	48	48.0
VVO	14:50	0.30	15.1	15.1	5.01	5.1	50.1	51.1	7.7	7.8	8.6	8.7	23	23.0	19.2	19.20	48	48.0

Date	31-J	an-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	DO (n	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	iS	Ammo	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	15:38	0.10	18.9	18.9	8.28	8.2	79.5	79.1	15.5	15.5	8.6	8.6	39	39.0	9.72	9.72	60	60.0
VV I	13:30	0.10	18.9	10.9	8.18	0.2	78.6	79.1	15.4	15.5	8.6	0.0	39	39.0	9.72	9.12	60	60.0
W2	15:42	0.10	18.7	18.7	7.91	7.9	76.5	75.6	15.3	15.3	8.4	8.4	29	29.0	9.16	9.16	50	50.0
WZ	13:42	0.10	18.7	10.7	7.88	7.9	74.6	75.0	15.2	15.5	8.4	0.4	29	29.0	9.16	9.10	50	50.0
W3	15:46	0.10	19.2	19.2	7.8	7.7	75.6	75.1	14.8	15.0	8.6	8.6	33	33.0	9.99	9.99	50	50.0
WJ	13.40	0.10	19.2	17.2	7.65	7.7	74.5	73.1	15.2	13.0	8.6	0.0	33	33.0	9.99	7.77	50	30.0
W4	15:52	0.10	19.2	19.2	8.31	8.2	79.6	79.9	16.3	15.8	8.8	8.8	29	29.0	9.12	9.12	52	52.0
VV-4	13.32	0.10	19.2	17.2	8.15	0.2	80.2	17.7	15.3	13.6	8.8	0.0	29	27.0	9.12	7.12	52	32.0
W5	15:57	0.15	19.1	19.1	7.98	7.9	76.6	75.9	16.2	17.2	8.6	8.6	28	28.0	9.97	9.97	53	53.0
WJ	13.37	0.15	19.1	17.1	7.75	7.7	75.2	73.7	18.1	17.2	8.6	0.0	28	20.0	9.97	7.77	53	33.0
W6	15:59	0.20	19.3	19.3	8.56	8.4	82.6	83.0	17.6	18.1	8.5	8.5	43	43.0	10.4	10.40	58	58.0
VVO	15.59	0.20	19.3	19.3	8.32	0.4	83.4	63.0	18.6	10.1	8.5	0.0	43	43.0	10.4	10.40	58	36.0

Date	2-Fe	b-11						•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	p (oC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	iS	Ammo	onia N	Z	inc
W1	14:27	0.10	13.6	13.5	5.17	5.6	55.9	60.6	18.2	18.9	8	8.3	28	28.0	4.76	4.76	114	114.0
VV I	14:27	0.10	13.4	13.5	6.12	5.0	65.3	60.6	19.6	10.9	8.6	0.3	28	26.0	4.76	4.70	114	114.0
W2	14:36	0.20	13.5	13.6	4.08	4.6	44.7	50.2	13.5	13.6	8.4	8.4	35	35.0	5.48	5.48	126	126.0
WZ	14.30	0.20	13.7	13.0	5.13	4.0	55.6	30.2	13.7	13.0	8.4	0.4	35	33.0	5.48	3.40	126	120.0
W3	14:41	0.20	13.4	13.4	2.71	3.2	31.2	35.8	25.2	27.0	8.5	8.6	42	42.0	5.29	5.29	163	163.0
WS	14.41	0.20	13.3	13.4	3.62	3.2	40.3	33.0	28.7	27.0	8.6	0.0	42	42.0	5.29	3.27	163	103.0
W4	14:59	0.10	13.6	13.5	4.59	5.3	49.8	56.7	15.1	14.5	8.8	8.8	34	34.0	4.86	4.86	105	105.0
VV 4	14:59	0.10	13.3	13.5	5.94	5.5	63.5	30.7	13.8	14.5	8.7	0.0	34	34.0	4.86	4.00	105	105.0
W5	15:11	0.10	13.2	13.4	2.61	2.7	30.6	31.5	30.6	30.8	8.6	8.7	67	67.0	7.09	7.09	236	236.0
CAA	15.11	0.10	13.5	13.4	2.84	2.7	32.3	31.3	31.0	30.0	8.7	0.7	67	67.0	7.09	7.09	236	230.0
W6	15:26	0.20	13.7	13.7	2.93	3.3	33.7	37.0	44.0	38.3	8.4	0.4	80	80.0	6.63	6.63	272	272.0
VVO	15:26	0.20	13.6	13.7	3.61	3.3	40.2	37.0	32.5	36.3	8.4	8.4	80	80.0	6.63	0.03	272	272.0

Date	8-Fe	b-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	o (oC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Z	nc
W1	10:00	0.10	18.2	18.2	4.24	4.1	52.6	51.2	24.9	24.9	8.5	8.4	118	118.0	12.6	12.60	322	322.0
VV I	10:00	0.10	18.1	10.2	4.01	4.1	49.8	31.2	24.9	24.9	8.3	0.4	118	116.0	12.6	12.00	322	322.0
W2	10:16	0.20	18.5	18.4	4.45	4.4	54.5	52.4	27.9	27.8	8.5	0.5	190	190.0	12.6	12.60	478	478.0
VV2	10:16	0.20	18.3	18.4	4.37	4.4	50.2	52.4	27.7	27.8	8.4	8.5	190	190.0	12.6	12.60	478	4/8.0
W3	10:38	0.20	19.2	19.2	5.21	5.1	54.8	53.9	25.2	25.2	7.9	7.9	42	42.0	13	13.00	55	55.0
WS	10:30	0.20	19.1	19.2	5.08	5.1	52.9	33.9	25.2	23.2	7.8	7.9	42	42.0	13	13.00	55	33.0
W4	10:43	0.20	19.6	19.6	5.74	5.7	60.4	60.1	26.8	26.7	7.9	7.0	53	53.0	12.3	12.30	89	89.0
VV 4	10:43	0.20	19.5	19.0	5.56	5.7	59.8	60.1	26.6	20.7	7.7	7.8	53	55.0	12.3	12.30	89	69.0
W5	11:05	0.10	20.2	20.2	6.96	6.8	73.1	72.0	22.5	22.4	7.8	7.7	49	49.0	18.3	18.30	83	83.0
CVV	11:05	0.10	20.1	20.2	6.72	0.8	70.9	12.0	22.3	22.4	7.6	1 '.'	49	49.0	18.3	10.30	83	03.0
W6	11:23	0.30	20.7	20.6	6.82	4.7	77.4	76.6	19.9	19.7	7.6	7.4	40	40.0	20.8	20.80	81	81.0
VVO	11:23	0.30	20.5	20.0	6.64	6.7	75.8	/0.0	19.5	19.7	7.5	7.6	40	40.0	20.8	20.60	81	01.0

Date	10-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	15:21	0.10	16.3	16.3	5.13	5.4	56.4	58.8	13.2	12.4	7.9	8.0	48	48.0	3.9	3.90	78	78.0
VV I	13.21	0.10	16.2	10.3	5.61	5.4	61.2	30.0	11.6	12.4	8	6.0	48	46.0	3.9	3.90	78	76.0
W2	15:28	0.10	16.1	16.2	4.97	5.0	54.3	55.1	12.9	13.2	7.7	7.8	45	45.0	3.89	3.89	76	76.0
VV Z	13.20	0.10	16.3	10.2	5.07	5.0	55.8	33.1	13.5	13.2	7.9	7.0	45	45.0	3.89	3.09	76	76.0
W3	15:47	0.10	16.2	16.1	3.26	3.6	37.8	40.5	20.8	20.6	7.9	0 1	74	74.0	5.72	5.72	131	131.0
WS	15:47	0.10	16.0	10.1	3.88	3.0	43.2	40.5	20.4	20.6	8.2	0.1	74	74.0	5.72	5.72	131	131.0
W4	15:59	0.20	16.3	16.2	4.95	5.1	54.6	56.0	13.6	13.7	81	7.9	43	43.0	3.38	3.38	70	70.0
VV 4	15:59	0.20	16.1	10.2	5.23	5.1	57.4	36.0	13.8	13.7	7.9	7.9	43	43.0	3.38	3.30	70	70.0
W5	16:13	0.10	16.0	16.1	3.58	3.8	40.9	43.2	32.0	31.8	8.1	8.2	101	101.0	6.97	6.97	157	157.0
WS	10:13	0.10	16.2	10.1	4.07	3.0	45.5	43.2	31.6	31.0	8.2	0.2	101	101.0	6.97	0.97	157	157.0
14//	1/ 20	0.20	16.1	1/ 2	4.13	4.0	46.1	47.7	27.7	27.7	8.1	0.0	163	4/20	6.78	6.78	222	222.0
W6	16:20	0.30	16.2	16.2	4.26	4.2	47.3	46.7	27.7	21.1	8.3	8.2	163	163.0	6.78	0.78	222	222.0

Date	12-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	13:08	0.10	17.4	17.4	8.49	8.5	97.4	97.4	28.4	28.4	8.03	8.0	118	118.0	0.99	0.99	121	121.0
VV I	13:06	0.10	17.4	17.4	8.49	6.5	97.4	97.4	28.4	20.4	8.03	6.0	118	116.0	0.99	0.99	121	121.0
W2	13:12	0.10	17.3	17.3	7.79	7.8	98.2	98.2	28.6	28.6	8.06	0.1	173	173.0	1.14	1.14	166	166.0
VV Z	13:12	0.10	17.3	17.3	7.79	7.0	98.2	90.2	28.6	20.0	8.06	0.1	173	1/3.0	1.14	1.14	166	100.0
W3	13:21	0.15	17.1	17.1	7.85	7.9	81.2	81.2	44.7	44.7	8.18	8.2	179	179.0	2.29	2.29	258	258.0
WS	13.21	0.15	17.1	17.1	7.85	7.9	81.2	01.2	44.7	44.7	8.18	0.2	179	179.0	2.29	2.29	258	236.0
W4	13:16	0.10	17.2	17.2	8.38	8.4	96.3	96.3	28.3	28.3	8.15	8.2	160	160.0	1.14	1.14	174	174.0
VV 4	13:10	0.10	17.2	17.2	8.38	0.4	96.3	90.3	28.3	20.3	8.15	0.2	160	160.0	1.14	1.14	174	174.0
W5	13:27	0.20	16.9	16.9	7.85	7.9	83.6	83.6	45.3	45.3	8.19	8.2	271	271.0	2.29	2.29	322	322.0
CAA	13.27	0.20	16.9	10.9	7.85	1.9	83.6	03.0	45.3	40.3	8.19	0.2	271	2/1.0	2.29	2.29	322	322.0
W6	13:36	0.15	16.8	16.8	7.81	7.8	82.3	82.3	44.9	44.9	8.16	8.2	290	290.0	1.92	1.92	256	256.0
VVO	13:36	0.15	16.8	10.8	7.81	7.8	82.3	02.3	44.9	44.9	8.16	0.2	290	290.0	1.92	1.92	256	256.0

## DSD Contract No. DC/2007/17 -

## Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results - KT13



Date	14-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	o (oC)	DO (ı	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	iS	Amme	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	14:15	0.10	12.1	12.1	4.2	4.14	43.9	43.6	27.9	27.8	8.5	8.5	281	281.0	24.1	24.10	880	880.0
** 1	14.15	0.10	12.1	12.1	4.07	4.14	43.2	43.0	27.7	27.0	8.4	0.5	281	201.0	24.1	24.10	880	880.0
W2	14;36	0.20	12.3	12.2	4.02	4.00	42.4	42.2	27.8	27.7	8.3	8.2	247	247.0	24.7	24.70	718	718.0
WZ	14,30	0.20	12.1	12.2	3.98	4.00	41.9	42.2	27.5	21.1	8.1	0.2	247	247.0	24.7	24.70	718	718.0
W3	14:52	0.20	11.9	11.0	3.72	3.69	39.4	39.2	26.2	26.2	7.9	7.8	54	54.0	7.32	7.32	160	160.0
WS	14.52	0.20	11.8	11.7	3.66	3.07	39.0	37.2	26.1	20.2	7.6	7.0	54	34.0	7.32	7.32	160	100.0
W4	15:14	0.30	11.7	11.6	2.96	2.94	31.9	31.7	26.3	26.2	7.7	7.6	70	70.0	7.48	7.48	208	208.0
VV-4	13.14	0.30	11.5	11.0	2.92	2.74	31.4	31.7	26.1	20.2	7.5	7.0	70	70.0	7.48	7.40	208	200.0
W5	15:38	0.20	11.6	11.6	4.03	4.02	42.7	42.5	25.7	25.6	7.6	7.6	242	242.0	8.6	8.60	271	271.0
WS	13.30	0.20	11.4	11.5	4.01	4.02	42.2	42.3	25.5	23.0	7.5	7.0	242	242.0	8.6	8.00	271	271.0
W6	15:50	0.30	11.2	11.2	3.56	3.53	38.3	37.9	25.4	25.4	7.5	7.5	215	215.0	9.64	9.64	304	304.0
VVO	15.50	0.30	11.1	11.2	3.5	3.33	37.4	37.9	25.3	23.4	7.5	7.5	215	215.0	9.64	9.04	304	304.0

Date	16-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Zi	nc
W1	13:02	0.10	15.3	15.5	3.61	3.8	35.1	37.2	38.7	37.1	8.1	8.1	117	117.0	13.8	13.80	270	270.0
VV I	13:02	0.10	15.6	15.5	4.02	3.0	39.3	37.2	35.4	37.1	8.1	0.1	117	117.0	13.8	13.60	270	270.0
W2	13:17	0.10	15.1	15.3	2.98	3.1	28.7	30.1	28.4	29.5	8.2	0.2	164	164.0	14.2	14.20	422	422.0
VV2	13:17	0.10	15.4	15.5	3.28	3.1	31.5	30.1	30.6	29.5	8.2	8.2	164	104.0	14.2	14.20	422	422.0
W3	13:26	0.10	15.3	15.3	2.96	2.8	28.4	27.4	13.8	13.2	8.3	0.2	40	40.0	14.4	14.40	111	111.0
WVS	13.20	0.10	15.3	15.5	2.71	2.0	26.3	27.4	12.6	13.2	8.3	8.3	40	40.0	14.4	14.40	111	111.0
W4	13:29	0.10	15.6	15.5	4.13	4.4	40.1	42.9	21.7	21.1	8.2	8.2	80	80.0	13.6	13.60	138	138.0
VV 4	13.29	0.10	15.4	15.5	4.68	4.4	45.7	42.9	20.4	21.1	8.2	0.2	80	80.0	13.6	13.00	138	136.0
W5	13:54	0.10	15.4	15.6	3.61	3.5	35.4	33.8	48.7	50.7	8.1	8.2	125	125.0	14	14.00	158	158.0
VVO	13.34	0.10	15.7	13.0	3.29	3.3	32.2	33.0	52.6	50.7	8.3	0.2	125	125.0	14	14.00	158	156.0
14//	14.10	0.10	15.6	15 /	3.17	2.2	30.6	22.4	21.8	21.4	8.1	0.1	30	20.0	17	17.00	86	0/.0
W6	14:10	0.10	15.5	15.6	3.46	3.3	34.1	32.4	21.0	21.4	8.1	8.1	30	30.0	17	17.00	86	86.0

Date	19-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	n) OD	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Zi	nc
W1	13:02	0.10	11.9	11.0	9.24	9.2	96.4	95.6	14.2	14.2	7.5	7.5	59	59.0	9.91	9.91	142	142.0
VV I	13:02	0.10	11.7	11.0	9.06	9.2	94.8	93.0	14.1	14.2	7.4	7.5	59	59.0	9.91	9.91	142	142.0
W2	13:14	0.20	12.0	12.0	9.48	9.4	99.8	98.9	14.3	14.3	7.7	7.7	52	52.0	10.4	10.40	101	101.0
WZ	13.14	0.20	11.9	12.0	9.38	7.4	97.9	70.7	14.2	14.3	7.6	7.7	52	32.0	10.4	10.40	101	101.0
W3	13:40	0.20	12.1	12.1	8.98	8.9	90.4	90.0	15.4	15.3	7.8	7.8	105	105.0	14.1	14.10	171	171.0
WS	13:40	0.20	12.1	12.1	8.74	0.9	89.6	90.0	15.2	15.5	7.7	7.0	105	105.0	14.1	14.10	171	171.0
W4	13:55	0.20	12.5	12.4	8.65	8.5	86.5	85.9	15.3	15.2	7.7	7.6	37	37.0	9.86	9.86	98	98.0
VV-4	13.33	0.20	12.3	12.4	8.42	6.5	85.2	03.7	15.1	13.2	7.5	7.0	37	37.0	9.86	7.00	98	70.0
W5	14:18	0.30	12.4	12.4	6.78	6.7	69.6	68.9	17.9	17.9	8.2	8.2	39	39.0	10.8	10.80	80	80.0
WS	14.10	0.30	12.3	12.4	6.65	0.7	68.2	00.7	17.8	17.7	8.1	0.2	39	37.0	10.8	10.80	80	80.0
W6	14:32	0.30	12.6	12.6	6.42	6.4	66.2	65.4	17.7	17.7	8.2	8.2	402	402.0	13.8	13.80	631	631.0
VVO	14:32	0.30	12.5	12.0	6.28	0.4	64.6	03.4	17.6	17.7	8.1	0.2	402	402.0	13.8	13.60	631	031.0


Date	21-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	iS	Ammo	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	17:13	0.10	19.3	19.3	7.36	7.4	84.1	84.3	29.2	29.3	8.93	8.9	153	153.0	12	12.00	131	131.0
VV I	17:13	0.10	19.2	19.3	7.52	7.4	84.5	04.3	29.3	29.3	8.88	0.9	153	155.0	12	12.00	131	131.0
W2	17:33	0.15	19.2	19.2	7.44	7.5	84.3	84.4	36.2	36.3	8.6	0.4	214	214.0	8.65	8.65	180	180.0
WZ	17:33	0.15	19.2	19.2	7.51	7.5	84.4	04.4	36.4	30.3	8.6	8.6	214	214.0	8.65	0.00	180	160.0
W3	17:41	0.10	18.4	18.4	9.59	9.6	106.9	106.9	38.7	38.7	9.42	9.4	620	620.0	5.56	5.56	560	560.0
WS	17:41	0.10	18.4	10.4	9.58	9.0	106.8	100.9	38.7	30.7	9.38	9.4	620	620.0	5.56	3.36	560	360.0
W4	17:36	0.20	19.1	19.1	7.43	7.5	84.1	84.3	31.2	31.2	8.46	0.5	306	306.0	7.7	7.70	267	267.0
VV 4	17:30	0.20	19.1	19.1	7.52	7.5	84.5	04.3	31.2	31.2	8.53	0.0	306	300.0	7.7	7.70	267	207.0
W5	17:46	0.10	18.3	18.4	9.42	9.4	105.3	104.8	42.1	42.2	8.3	0.3	1120	1120.0	5.33	5.33	1190	1190.0
WS	17:40	0.10	18.4	10.4	9.4	9.4	104.2	104.6	42.3	42.2	8.3	0.3	1120	1120.0	5.33	3.33	1190	1190.0
W6	17:49	0.15	18.3	18.3	9.38	9.4	104.6	104.4	41.1	41.2	8.2	0.0	968	968.0	2.87	2.87	1180	1180.0
VVO	17:49	0.15	18.2	18.3	9.36	9.4	104.2	104.4	41.2	41.2	8.2	8.2	968	968.0	2.87	2.87	1180	1180.0


Date	23-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	o (oC)	D0 (r	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	5	iS	Ammo	onia N	Zi	inc
W1	13:14	0.10	22.5	22.6	4.34	4.5	50.3	51.8	26.4	28.6	8.2	0.2	78	78.0	15.6	15.60	177	177.0
VV I	13:14	0.10	22.6	22.0	4.61	4.5	53.2	31.6	30.8	20.0	8.1	0.2	78	76.0	15.6	15.60	177	177.0
W2	13:20	0.10	22.7	22.6	4.37	4.3	50.5	50.3	34.7	27.8	8.1	8.2	64	64.0	15.7	15.70	164	164.0
W/Z	13:20	0.10	22.5	22.0	4.3	4.3	50.1	50.3	20.8	27.8	8.3	8.2	64	64.0	15.7	15.70	164	164.0
W3	13:38	0.10	22.6	22.7	4.02	3.8	47.2	45.2	41.2	42.3	8.1	8.2	29	29.0	13.2	13.20	93	93.0
WS	13:30	0.10	22.7	22.1	3.61	3.0	43.2	43.2	43.3	42.3	8.3	0.2	29	29.0	13.2	13.20	93	93.0
W4	13:51	0.10	22.7	22.7	3.51	3.4	42.3	41.5	27.1	26.7	8.3	8.3	28	28.0	12.8	12.80	69	69.0
VV 4	13:51	0.10	22.6	22.1	3.37	3.4	40.6	41.5	26.3	20.7	8.3	0.3	28	20.0	12.8	12.00	69	69.0
W5	14:17	0.10	22.5	22.5	4.12	4.0	48.3	47.5	43.8	44.2	8.1	8.2	343	343.0	14.1	14.10	908	908.0
VVO	14:17	0.10	22.4	22.5	3.94	4.0	46.7	47.5	44.6	44.2	8.3	0.2	343	343.0	14.1	14.10	908	906.0
14//	14.00	0.10	22.6	22.7	3.32	2.4	40.3	41.0	50.2	40.0	8.3	8.4	341	244.0	13.6	12.40	932	022.0
W6	14:20	0.10	22.7	22.7	3.51	3.4	12.3	41.3	40.3	49.8	8.4	8.4	3/11	341.0	13.6	13.60	032	932.0

Date	25-F	eb-11																
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	n) OD	ng/L)	DOS	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	S	S	Ammo	onia N	Zi	nc
W1	10:00	0.10	16.4	16.4	6.84	6.8	92.4	91.8	27.6	26.8	8.5	8.5	131	131.0	10.7	10.70	287	287.0
VV I	10:00	0.10	16.3	10.4	6.77	0.0	91.2	91.0	25.9	20.0	8.4	0.5	131	131.0	10.7	10.70	287	207.0
W2	10:10	0.10	16.4	16.4	6.69	6.6	90.1	88.8	32.4	31.2	8.4	8.3	48	48.0	10.9	10.90	110	110.0
VV2	10:10	0.10	16.3	10.4	6.53	0.0	87.4	00.0	30.0	31.2	8.2	0.3	48	46.0	10.9	10.90	110	110.0
W3	10:24	0.20	16.2	16.2	6.51	6.5	87.2	86.4	43.6	42.5	8.4	8.4	116	116.0	10.8	10.80	258	258.0
WVS	10.24	0.20	16.1	10.2	6.4	0.5	85.6	00.4	41.3	42.5	8.3	0.4	116	110.0	10.8	10.00	258	230.0
W4	10:40	0.20	16.3	16.2	5.42	5.4	73.6	73.9	17.0	18.4	8.2	8.2	95	95.0	11	11.00	185	185.0
VV 4	10:40	0.20	16.1	10.2	5.46	5.4	74.2	73.9	19.7	10.4	8.1	0.2	95	95.0	11	11.00	185	165.0
W5	11:12	0.30	17.1	17.1	4.74	4.7	62.6	61.7	20.4	22.0	7.9	7.8	151	151.0	13.4	13.40	210	210.0
Cvv	11:12	0.30	17.0	17.1	4.7	4.7	60.8	01.7	23.6	22.0	7.7	7.0	151	151.0	13.4	13.40	210	210.0
W6	11:36	0.30	16.9	16.8	5.24	5.3	68.9	69.3	26.8	26.6	7.8	7.8	140	140.0	15.2	15.20	214	214.0
VVO	11:30	0.30	16.7	10.8	5.28	5.3	69.6	09.3	26.3	20.0	7.7	7.8	140	140.0	15.2	15.20	214	214.0




## **Graphic Plot of Monitoring - Construction Noise**









EM&A Report - Appendix






## **Graphic Plot of Monitoring – Air Quality**









EM&A Report - Appendix









## **Graphic Plot of Monitoring –Water Quality**










EM&A Report - Appendix













## Appendix H Photographic Records of Ecological Monitoring of Vegetation (Not Used)



## Appendix I

Condition Survey of the Grave during Construction Phase (Not Used)

DSD Contract No. DC/2007/17 - Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun.
EM&A Report - Appendix



## **Appendix J**

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13

Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and

# Current Situation of Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources at KT13, inspected on 11 and 22 February 2011

The physical resources that will be affected during the Construction Phase and Operational Phase, together with their sensitivity to change, are described below. The locations of the baseline landscape resources are mapped in Drawing no. LR-001. The Landscape Resources in direct conflict with the Project are mapped together with their extent outside study boundary for integrity of information. Photo views illustrating the landscape resources of the study area are illustrated in Drawing Nos. PR-001 to 002 inclusive. For ease of reference and co-ordination between ext, tables and figures each landscape resources is given an identity number.

Table compares the baseline study and the current situation for KT13: (Landscape Resources)

			1 17 July 1	
Section	Section Identify number -	Photo	Baseline Study, Environmental Impact Assessment Final Report	Current Situation
in EIA	Landscape Resources	£	[382047/E/EIA/Issue 9]	
Report				
Drainage	ď			
10.7.3	LR1 - River/ Stream	- IA	There is a semi-natural drainage features (the Ma On Kong Channel) in the study area with	Minor change due to
		A5	untrained natural upstream and partial trained downstream with a total length of 800m. The cons	construction work
			Channel originates from the South-West of the valley and discharge to the existing Primary withi	within the site
			Channel by Kam Ho Road running through and along the site area spanning across majority of the bour	boundary.
			river valley, together with the existing vegetations forming the central part of riparian landscape	
			network. They have medium landscape value and sensitive to change.	
Fish Pond	þı			
10.7.4	LR2.1 (Fish Pond) within	A6	There are 4 numbers of fallowed fish ponds at the upstream of the Ma On Kong Channel. A chain Minc	Minor change due to
	site boundary		of fish ponds near downstream but distant from the Channel is noted. The fish ponds cover area of	construction of
	LR2.2 (Fish Pond) outside	A7	in total 23,000 m2. Most of them are heavily colonized by aquatic plants, which attribute to their struc	structures within site
	site boundary		low visual quality as a water landscape element. They have low landscape value and sensitive to bour	boundary.
			change.	A soil platform was

DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Marsh  10.7.5 LR3 (Marsh)  As it comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland project was noted.  Vogetation  10.7.7 LR4 (Woodland! Wooded As it comprises two major communities of woodland! wooded area. One is dense natural woodland channels and accessing a stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate by Schriffera cotophylia, Phiure chinenasis, Cellis although the baseline consists approximate by Schriffera cotophylia, Phiure chinenasis, Cellis although the channel there are an among the same and promises and mature and promises and mature and accessing approximate by Schriffera cotophylia, Phiure chinenasis, Cellis although the chine and maturity, it is dominated by Elevant and consists approximate do numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly ploneer species and pooner in form and maturity, it is dominated by Plicos hispide and Maccarage transmitters and promises two groups of trees taked on visual estimation. The page from the same and accessing approximate do numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The Planch register in the baseline transmitters and promises the promises two groups of trees are administry it is dominated by Dimocarage promises are approximate do numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  Trees)  LEG (Ichard' Horicultural A11 it comprises two groups of trees are downstream below Ma on Kong and north of Ho Pul Amongst Remain the same then are approximated and promises the comprises and promise to their anthrogogenic and not permanent in nature they be baseline and sensitivity to change.  These)  Land Fallowed Land)  Leave the comprises the tree and promise to shrunds approaching the channel. Here there are an approximated by the change of shrunds approaching the channel. Here there are mainly grass Remain the same and sensitive the s					
It comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have fow landscape value and sensitive to change.  Indon  LR4 (Woodland' Wooded A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland' wooded area. One is dense natural woodland stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on wisual estimation. The trees are mainty native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Scheffiera cotophylia, Pluus massoniana, Apontsa chinensis. Cettis sinensis, Bridelia formentosa, Cinnamornum cmaphora, Rhuz chinensis and Phus succedanes. Another one is a natural more sperse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty pioneer species and poorer in from and maturity. It is dominated by Flcus hispide and Macaranga lamanius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Corchard' Horticultural in comprises two groups of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus forgan, Delorix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus forgan, Delorix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and north of Ho Pui Amongst and Verystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and north permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lyng Agricultural A12 it comprises fallowed land and agricultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarba to the people with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing	_				created outside site
LR3 (Marsh)  A8 It comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change.  LR4 (Woodland! Wooded  A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland! wooded area. One is dense natural woodland  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera cotophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Celtis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes. Another one is a natural more sparse riperian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Flcus hispide and Macaranga tanatus. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices, it is dominated by Dimocarpus forgan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpus. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sensitivity and sedge with mosaks of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing	_				boundary due to other
ticomprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change.  LR4 (Woodland! Wooded A9 the comprises two major communities of woodland! wooded area. One is dense natural woodland atea and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera cotophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aponusa chinensis, Celtis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes. Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Flcus hispide and Macaranga tanatus. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A11 trees)  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 trees)  LR7 (Sortonea regie and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 trees)  LR7 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 trees)  LR7 (Low-Lying Agricultural and to the eabout half of the existing and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing					project was noted.
LR3 (Marsh)  A8 It comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have low landscape value and sensitive to change.  LR4 (Woodland/ Wooded A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland/ wooded area. One is dense natural woodland Area)  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schellfera octophylla, Plaus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis. Celtis sinensis, Britoelia tomentosa, Cinnamourum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes. Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity, it is dominated by Flcus hispide and Macaranga tananius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horicultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horicultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocapus longan, Delonix regian</i> , Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  Land/ Fallowed Land)  Land/ Fallowed Land)	Marsh				
titon  LR4 (Woodland' Wooded A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland wooded area. One is dense natural woodland atrea)  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 456 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Phrus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Celtis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cimamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedenes. Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity, it is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanantus. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Orchard' Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices, it is dominated by Dimocapus in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It compnies fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sellowed Land)  Land' Fallowed Land)	10.7.5	LR3 (Marsh)	A8	It comprises 2 marshes at the upstream channel of the Channel. They are inundated lowland	Remain the same as
tion  LR4 (Woodland' Wooded A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland' wooded area. One is dense natural woodland Area)  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and matural in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Cellis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes. Another one is a natural more sperse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Flous hispide and Macaranga tanantus. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard' Horlicultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horlicultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus (organ, Delonix regian, Roystonae regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosalcs of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				heavily colonized with wetland aquatic plants. They have low landscape value and sensitive to	the baseline
LR4 (Woodland/ Wooded A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland/ wooded area. One is dense natural woodland Area)  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Phus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Cellis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes.  Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pul Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing			_	change.	
LR4 (Woodland' Wooded A9 It comprises two major communities of woodland, wooded area. One is dense natural woodland Area)  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate 450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Celtis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes.  Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainty pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Flcus hispide and Macaranga tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural)  A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus longan, Delonix ragian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural)  A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the existing	Vegetati	lon			
Area)  A10 stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate  450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Celtis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes.  Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Flcus hispide and Macaranga tananius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  Trees)  LRS (Orchard/ Horitcultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horitcultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachiira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  Land/ Fallowed Land)  Land/ Fallowed Land)  Land/ Fallowed Land)  Land/ Fallowed Land)	10.7.7	LR4 (Woodland/ Wooded	A9	It comprises two major communities of woodland/ wooded area. One is dense natural woodland	Remain the same as
450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Celtis sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamonuum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes. Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing		Area)	A10	stretching across the Conservation Area and area behind Ma On Kong and consists approximate	the baseline
in size. It is dominated by <i>Schefflera octophylla</i> , <i>Pinus massoniana</i> , <i>Aporusa chinensis</i> , <i>Celtis sinensis</i> , <i>Bridelia tomentosa</i> , <i>Cinnamomum cmaphora</i> , <i>Rhus chinensis</i> and <i>Phus succedanes</i> .  Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanartus. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> , <i>Delonix regian</i> , <i>Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa</i> . For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and seedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				450 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly native species and mature	
Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian</i> , Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sellowed Land) and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				in size. It is dominated by Schefflera octophylla, Pinus massoniana, Aporusa chinensis, Celtis	
Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa</i> . For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				sinensis, Bridelia tomentosa, Cinnamomum cmaphora, Rhus chinensis and Phus succedanes.	
consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian</i> , <i>Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa</i> . For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lyling Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				Another one is a natural more sparse riparian wooded area at upstream of the Channel and	
LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian,</i> Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				consists approximate 60 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. The trees are mainly	
LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa</i> . For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				pioneer species and poorer in form and maturity. It is dominated by Ficus hispide and Macaranga	
LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural A11 It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa.</i> For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				tanarius. They have high landscape value and sensitivity to change.	
Trees)  there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and landscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by <i>Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian, Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa.</i> For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing	10.7.8	LR5 (Orchard/ Horticultural	A11	It comprises two groups of trees at downstream below Ma On Kong and north of Ho Pui Amongst	Remain the same as
Iandscape plants of horticultural practices. It is dominated by Dimocarpus longan, Delonix regian,   Roystonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature,   they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.   LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12   It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing		Trees)		there are approximate 400 numbers of trees based on visual estimation. They are fruit trees and	the baseline
Roysfonea regia and Pachira macrocarpa. For their anthropogenic and not permanent in nature, they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.  LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing					
LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing					
LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural A12 It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing				they have medium landscape value and sensitivity to change.	
and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing	10.7.9	LR6 (Low-Lying Agricultural	A12	It comprises fallowed land and agricultural land in low rate of uses. The vegetation is mainly grass	Remain the same as
		Land/ Fallowed Land)		and sedge with mosaics of shrubs approaching the Channel. It fills up the about half of the existing	the baseline.

DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

			landscape within the study area. They have low landscape value and sensitivity to change.	
Sitting-Out Area	Out Area			
10.7.10	LR7 (Sitting-Out Area at Ma	A13	It is located at the Ma On Kong next to the access road. It is a small sitting-out area primarily	Remain the same as
	On Kong)		hard-paved with only 3 amenity trees and on pavilion. It has low landscape value and sensitivity to	the baseline
			change.	
Landsca	Landscape Character Areas			
10.7.12	LCA1 (Agricultural	B1 &	This comprises fallowed land & agricultural land not in active uses. This character area is flat and	Minor change due to
	Landscape Character Area)	B2	gentle sloping in landform and vegetated with grass of various heights. It forms the majority of the	invasion of cows.
			landscape character of the entire river valley and the connecting landscape element between	Some of the grass on
			other landscape character areas. The sensitivity to change of this area is low.	the land were
				consumed.
10.7.13	LCA2 (Woodland	B3	This is natural woodland between southern Ma On Kong and the Channel extending up to the	Remain the same as
	Landscape Character Area)		access road behind Ma On Kong. The trees are mature in size forming a close woodland	the baseline
			landscape. It is the location of egretry of conservation importance. The sensitivity to change of this	
			area is high.	
10.7.14	LCA3 (River/ Stream	B4 -	This is the main stream of the Channel in associate with its riparian vegetation. It meanders	Minor change due to
	Landscape Character Area)	B7	through the river valley landscape. It is used as a receptor of agricultural effluent from poultry farm	construction work
			around upstream, which contribute to the polluted appearance of the character area around	within site boundary
			upstream. The sensitivity to change of this area is medium.	:
10.7.15	LCA4 (Fish Pond	88	This comprises a number of fish ponds of various sizes distributed about the Channel. Most of	Minor change due to
	Landscape Area)		them are abandoned or with limited uses and colonized with aquatic plants. The sensitivity to	construction of
			change of this area is medium.	structures within site
				boundary.

Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and

10.7.16	10.7.16 LCA5 (Village Landscape	B9 &	This comprises the four major village types rural settlement encompassing tai Kek, Ma On Kong,	Remain the same as
	Character Area)	B10	Ho Pui and north of Ho Pui. Except Tai Kek which is less revitalized and actively resided, all other	the baseline
			three are actively resided. This area is lightly urbanized with low rise village house. The sensitivity	
. <u>.</u>			to change of this area is low.	
10.7.17	10.7.17 LCA6 (Industrial Landscape	B11 &	This comprise collection of slummy-built temporary structure and open storage uses land, which	Reconstruction of
	Character Area)	B12	are characterized with metallic hoarding and used for poultry, recycling, vehicle repaining etc. The	hoarding was
			sensitivity to change of this area is low.	conducted by the land
				owner
10.7.18	10.7.18 LCA7 (Nullah Landscape	B13	This is the trained nullah next to Kam Ho Road. It is the primary tributary connecting and receiving	Remain the same as
	Character Area)		outflow from the Ma On Kong Channel. The area is man-made and with poor and monotonous the baseline	the baseline
			riverside vegetation. The sensitivity to change of this area is low.	

## 10.7.19 Visual Character

The visual quality of the river valley of Ma On Kong Channel is semi-natural based on combination of rural landscape elements including agricultural Interspersed landscape elements on general flat landform with minor undulation render numerous small enclosed views. No major vista and high land, village houses, woodland and pond and stream and industrial landscape elements including open storage and temporary structures. quality open view identified.

## 10.7.20 Visual Sensitive Receiver (VSR)

Within the ZVI, a number of key Visual Sensitive Receivers (VSRs) have been identified. These VSRs are mapped in Drawing V-001. They are listed, together with their sensitivity, in Table 10/5. Photo views illustrating the VSRs are illustrated in Drawing nos. PV-001 to 002 inclusive. For the ease of reference, each VSR is given an identity number, which is used in the text, tables and figures.

DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Table compares the baseline study and the current situation for KT13: (Visual Sensitive Receiver)

Section	Identify number –	Photo	Baseline Study, Environmental Impact Assessment Final Report [382047/E/EIA/Issue 9]	Current
in EIA	VSR	Š.		Situation
Report				
Industrial VSRs	I VSRs			
10.7.21	И	5	Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access	Remain the same
		_	The VSRs is workers of the open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual	as the baseline
			impacts is low.	
10.7.22	12	C2	Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel	Remain the same
			The VSRs is workers of the plant nursery. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual	as the baseline
			impacts is low.	
10.7.23	13	ಬ	Plant Nursery at the west of Ma On Kong Channel	Temporary
			The VSRs is workers of the plant nursery. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual	stockpiling was
		_	impacts is low.	observed
10.7.24	14	2	Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui	Reconstruction of
			The VSRs is workers of the temporary structure. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to	hoarding was
			visual impacts is low.	conducted by the
10.7.25	15	CS	Open Storage at the end of village access road	land owner
			The VSRs is workers of the open storage. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual	
			impacts is low.	
10.7.26	91	90	Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at upstream of Ma On Kong Channel	Remain the same
			The VSRs is workers of the temporary structure and open storage. The number of individual is very few and	as the baseline
			their sensitivity to visual impacts is low.	

DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Open Sp	Open Space / Sitting – Out Area VSRs	ea VSRs		
10.7.27	5	20	Users of Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong  The VSRs is future users of the re-provided sitting-out area during operation phase. The number of as the individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is medium.	Remain the same as the baseline
Residen	Residential VSRs			
10.7.28	چ د	8	Tai Kek The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual as the impacts in high.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.29	R2	හි	North of Ma On Kong  The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual as the impacts is high.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.30	83	C10	Ma On Kong  The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to visual as the impacts is high.	Remain the same as the baseline
10.7.31	R4	C11	North of Ho Pui  The VSRs is residents of the village. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to visual impacts is as the high.	Remain the same as the baseline

DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Transpo	Transport-related VSRs			
10.7.32 T1	T1	C12	Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (lower section)	Remain the same
			The VSRs is the road users of the road section. The number of individual is few and their sensitivity to as the baseline	as the baseline
	-		visual impacts is low.	
10.7.33	T2	C13	Motonists and Pedestrians along village access road (high section)	Remain the same
			The VSRs is the road users of the road section. The number of individual is very few and their sensitivity to	as the baseline
			visual impacts is low.	
10.7.34	T3	C14	Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir	Remain the same
			The VSRs is the road users of the road section, part of which are tounist to Ho Pui Reservoir. The number of as the baseline	as the baseline
			individual is very few and their sensitivity to change is low.	

DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Photo record

11 February 2011



Photo No. A1 - LR1

River/Stream







Photo No. A5 - LR1

River/Stream

Photo No. A4 - LR1

River/Stream



Photo No. A8 - LR3

River/Stream

Photo No. A7 - LR2.2






Photo No. A3 - LR1

River/Stream

River/Stream



Photo No. A6 - LR2.1

Fish Pond within site boundary



Woodland/Wooded Area

Photo No. A9 - LR4



Low-Lying Agricultural Land/ Fallowed Land Photo No. A12 - LR6





Woodland

Photo No. A10 - LR4


Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong

Photo No. A13-LR7





Agricultural Landscape Character Area Photo No. B1 - LCA1



River/ Stream Landscape Character Area Photo No. 84 - LCA3



River/ Stream Landscape Character Area Photo No. B7 - LCA3







River/ Stream Landscape Character Area Photo No. B5 - LCA3



Photo No. B8 - LCA4

Fish Pond Landscape Area




Photo No. B6 – LCA3.1 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. 89-LCA5

Village Landscape Character Area

DC/2007/17
Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and
Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun
Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Record



Photo No. B10-LCA 5



Nullah Landscape Character Area

Photo No. B13-LCA 7



Photo No. B11—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B12—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area



Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel Photo No. C3-I3



Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel Photo No. C2-I2

Open storage near junction between Kam Ho Road and Village access road

Photo No. C1 - I1



Open Storage at the end of village access road Photo No. C5-I5


Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui

Photo No. C4-14

Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at

Photo No. C6—16

upstream of Ma On Kong Channel






Photo No. C8-R1



Photo No. C9-R2

North of Ma On Kong







Photo No. C12—T1 Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (lower section)



Photo No. C11-R4

Ma On Kong

Photo No. C10-R3

North of Ho Pui




Photo No. C14-T3

Motorists and Pedestrians along village

Photo No. C13-T2

access road (high section)

Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir



DC/2007/17 Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen, Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and

Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Physical, Human and Cultural Landscape Resources Photo record

22 February 2011













Photo No. A1 - LR1

River/Stream

Photo No. A3 - LR1








River/Stream

Photo No. A7 - LR2.2






Fish Pond within site boundary

Photo No. A6-LR2.1

River/Stream

Photo No. A4 - LR1









Woodland

Photo No. A10 - LR4








Photo No. A13-LR7

Sitting-Out Area at Ma On Kong



Agricultural Landscape Character Area Photo No. B1 - LCA1



River/ Stream Landscape Character Area Photo No. 84 - LCA3



River/ Stream Landscape Character Area Photo No. B7 - LCA3



Agricultural Landscape Character Area Photo No. B2 - LCA1

Woodland Landscape Character Area

Photo No. B3-LCA2



River/ Stream Landscape Character Area Photo No. B5 - LCA3



Photo No. B8 - LCA4





Photo No. B6 - LCA3.1 River/ Stream Landscape Character Area



Photo No. 89-LCA5

Village Landscape Character Area



Photo No. B12—LCA 6 Industrial Landscape Character Area





Nullah Landscape Character Area Photo No. B13-LCA 7



Photo No. C1-11





Photo No. C4—I4 Temporary Structure for poultry east to Ho Pui



Sitting-out Area at Ma On Kong



Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel Photo No. C2-I2

Plant Nursery at the east of Ma On Kong Channel

Photo No. C3—I3



Open Storage at the end of village access road Photo No. C5-I5

Temporary Structure for poultry and Open Storage at

Photo No. C6-16

upstream of Ma On Kong Channel




Photo No. C8-R1



North of Ma On Kong

Photo No. C9-R2



Photo No. C10-R3





Photo No. C11-R4

North of Ho Pui



Photo No. C14-T3

Motorists and Pedestrians along village

Photo No. C13-T2

access road (high section)

Motorists, Pedestrians and Tourists along access road toward Ho Pui Reservoir




Photo No. C12-T1 Motorists and Pedestrians along village access road (lower section)



## Appendix K Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Drainage Improvement Works in Cheung Po, Ma On Kong, Yuen Kong San Tsuen and Tin Sam Tsuen of Yuen Long District and Sewerage at Tseng Tau Chung Tsuen, Tuen Mun

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

TOMES NICOLA HOR

Fax Ny 29596079

28-Feb-11 Feb-11 Date: Year/Month:

			Mo	Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for February 2011	Waste Flow T	able for Februa	ary 2011			
	Actual	Quantities of Inc	ert C & D Materi	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly	Monthly	Estimatec	Estimated Annual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly	lies of C & D Wa	astes Generate	d Monthly
Year	Total Quantitiy Generated	Broken Concrete (see note 4)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General refuse
	(in '000M³)	(in '000M³)	(in '000M²)	(in '000M³)	(in '000M³)	(jn '000KG)	(in '000KG)	(in '000KG)	(in '000KG)	(in '000M³)
Jan	1.426	0.001	2.5355	-1.111	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb	0.975	0.001	1.7215	-0.748	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Арг	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Total	2.40	0.002	4.257	-1.859	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Sep	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
oct	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec	0.000				0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2.400	0.002	4.257	-1.859	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 28.10(14)

(2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.

(3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam form packaging material

(4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates (5) Negative numbers in "Reused in other Projects" indicate import of materials from other projects.