Drainage Service Department

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing report for

Contract No.DC/2009/22
Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1

October 2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Building,
20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong
Tel: 2556 9172 Fax: 2856 2010

APPROVAL SHEET

The Contents of this report have been

Certified by:

Signature: _____ Date: 14-NOV-2012

Miss. Goldie Fung
(Environmental Team Leader)

Ecologist (Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd.)

Signature: _____ Date: 14 November 2012

Dr. Michael Leven
(Ecologist)

RLA (Environmental Resources Management)

Signature: _____ Date: 13/11/2012

Miss. Christina Ip
(RLA)

and Verified by:

IEC (ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited)

Signature: _____ Date: 13/11/2012

Mr. Tony Cheng
(IEC)

TABLE OF CONTENT

LIST OF APPENDIXES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the twentieth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the drainage improvement works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po under Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2009/22 entitled "Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1". This report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period from 1st of October 2012 to 31st October 2012. The major site activities in this reporting period were mainly laying of E&M ducting for the proposed screen house and store room, concreting for the proposed columns and beams above pump hall, grouting heading tunnel for the proposed DN225 sewer, removal of sheetpiles from bay 9 to 13 and grouting for second layer of grouting hole at the proposed DN2800 twin pipes.

The Environmental Team (ET) is responsible for the EM&A works required in the EM&A manual (revision 3). Site inspections were carried out on weekly basis to investigate and audit the equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation. The weekly inspections records and photos taken were kept.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for construction noise was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

Furthermore, impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 7 abnormal incidents of water quality criteria were recorded in this reporting month. It was observed that the river was narrowed for construction of mechanical penstocks and increases the speed of water current. During the reporting period, no construction works were carried out at the river bed. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination; The exceedance of Turbidity and Total Suspended Solid were believed to be mainly attributed by high water flow rate, Besides, the recorded levels of turbidity at control station had also exceeded its A/L Level, the exceedances recorded at W2 was unlikely to be related to the Project.

No exceedance of A/L level was reported for the monitoring of hydrological characteristics in the reporting period.

The ecological monitoring of the Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA) of the project is conducted. Details of the findings are referred to sections 6.2.

According to Table 6.17 of the EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring of the ECA will be carried out by qualified ecologists during the 1-year wetland establishment period of the ECA before handing over to AFCD for their post establishment monitoring. Establishment phase of the ECA began in September 2011, ecological monitoring programme was conducted and monitoring data was presented in respective monthly EM&A reports. Ecological monitoring programme ended in September 2012 and hence there will be no ECA report attached in EM&A reports.

The monitoring of Pavetta hongkongensis in Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA) of the project is also conducted. Details of the findings are referred to sections 6.3.

Visual and landscape monitoring has been conducted for the project. Details of the observations are referred to sections 7.3.

There was no complaint, notification of any summons and successful prosecutions against the project received during the reporting period.

Site works proposed to be carried out in the upcoming month at Area A, B & C are refer to section 2.2.

It is expected that noise, air and water quality impacts will be resulted from the works. ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary and to keep a good environmental management at site practice. The recommended mitigation measures proposed for the project as well as implementation status are refer to section 12.3.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3) and Environmental Permit requirement.

1 Introduction

This is the twentieth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the drainage improvement works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po under Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2009/22 entitled "Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1". The site layout plan is shown in Appendix A. The Environmental Team, Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited was appointed by Kwan Lee – Kuly Joint Venture, prepares the report. The report is to be submitted to the Contractor, the Engineer and the IEC.

This report presents the results of the environmental monitoring of the project activities conducted within the reporting period from 1st October 2012 to 31st October 2012. This included the noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, hydrological characteristics monitoring, ecological monitoring, visual and landscape monitoring, and regular site inspections once per week for verification of implementation of the mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit (EP-303/2008) (EP), EM&A Manual (revision 3) and the Contractor's Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

2 Construction Stage

2.1 Construction activities in the reporting period

Major activities in the reporting period included the followings:

Area A:

- Laying of E&M ducting for the proposed screen house and store room.
- Concreting for the proposed columns and beams above pump hall.
- Grouting heading tunnel for the proposed DN225 sewer.
- Excavation for the proposed DN1200 & DN1200 concrete pipe trench.
- Laying the proposed DN1200 & DN2100 storm drain.
- Excavation for the proposed storm relief drain DN2100 (CH20 – CH70).

Area B:

- Removal of sheetpiles from bay 9 to 13.
- Installation of sheetpiles for bay 8A and 4.
- Drill cut for the third & fourth layer grout hole at the proposed DN2800 twin pipes.
- Grouting for second layer of grout hole at the proposed DN2800 twin pipes.

Area C:

- In Maintenance period.

2.2 Construction activities for the coming month

Proposed key construction works in the coming month will include:

Area A (Pumping Station)

1. Internal finishing for the proposed screen house and store room.
2. Construction of flowmeter chamber and DN1200 concrete pipe.
3. Construction of DN2100 Storm relief drains (CH20 to CH70) at Ting Kok Road.
4. Construction of the proposed DN1500 concrete pipe.
5. Construction of the proposed outfall structure of box culvert.

Area B (Tung Tsz Nursery)

1. Construction of box culvert & CH0.0 – CH55
2. Construction of jacking pit for cross road DN2800 twin pipe.

Area C (HCA)

1. In Maintenance Period

2.3 Environmental Status

Appendix A shows the drawing of the project area.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 3.3, 4.3, and 5.3 for noise, water quality, and hydrological characteristics respectively.

3 Noise Monitoring

3.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq\ (30minutes)}$ was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period, $L_{eq\ (5minutes)}$ was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (Appendix D) immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results L_{10} and L_{90} were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 3.5.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding $5ms^{-1}$ or wind with gust exceeding $10ms^{-1}$. Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 3.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Table 3.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Precision Grade	Qty
Integrated sound level meter	Svantek 949	IEC 651 Type 1	1
Windscreen	Microtech gefell model W2	N/A	1
Acoustical calibrator	Svantek SV30A	IEC 942 Type 1	1
Wind speed indicator	Kestrel K1000	N/A	1
Remarks: Calibration details of the sound level meter is given in **Appendix C** for reference			

3.3 Monitoring Locations

According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit manual, impact noise monitoring for contract 1 was undertaken at two locations during the construction phase of the project. The monitoring locations are summarized in Table 3.3.1. Figure 3.3.1 shows the Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise measurement at each monitoring location was taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height of 1.2m above ground with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view.

Table 3.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Noise Monitoring Station	Location
M1	14, Shuen Wan Chim Uk
AL1	Joint Village Office for Villages in Shuen Wan, Tai Po

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A manual (revision 3), weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of $L_{eq\ (30minutes)}$ was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (i.e. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive $L_{eq\ (5minutes)}$ would be carried out.



Figure 3.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

3.4 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 3.4.1. The results of M1, ranged between 59.9dB (A) and 66.8dB (A), and AL1, ranged between 64.3dB (A) and 67.3dB (A), were within the limit levels and therefore, no exceedance was found.

Table 3.4.1 Noise Monitoring Results for the reporting period

Location	Parameter	Date*	Time	L_{Aeq} dB(A)	Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather
M1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	3-Oct-12	15:15	64.3	75	N	Sunny
M1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	10-Oct-12	15:30	64.0	75	N	Sunny
M1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	17-Oct-12	14:45	63.1	75	N	Sunny
M1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	24-Oct-12	15:30	59.9	75	N	Sunny
M1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	31-Oct-12	14:30	66.8	75	N	Sunny
AL1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	3-Oct-12	15:50	66.3	75	N	Sunny
AL1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	10-Oct-12	16:05	65.9	75	N	Sunny
AL1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	17-Oct-12	15:25	67.3	75	N	Sunny
AL1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	24-Oct-12	16:05	66.8	75	N	Sunny
AL1	$L_{eq\ 30mins}$	31-Oct-12	15:05	64.3	75	N	Sunny

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring are attached in **Appendix D** for reference.

3.5 Action and Limit level for Construction noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 3.5.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 3.5.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

Table 3.5.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)
Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be		

followed.

3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Noise monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th of November 2012.

Table 3.5.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ET Leader	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	1. Notify IEC and Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor. 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Check remedial measures are properly implemented.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC. 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.

Limit Level	1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor. 2. Identify source. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances. 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review Contractor's' remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor 4. Check remedial measures properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid f urther exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

4 Water Monitoring

4.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and methodology

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L, temperature, and pH measurements were in-situ measurements and suspended solids measurements were performed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory using recommended reference method APHA 2540D.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature were measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-800NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50^{o}C;
- Salinity in the range of 0-40ppt;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

The measurements were performed by a portable and weatherproof multi-meter, model TOA-DKK WQC-24. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory every 3 months to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Detailed calibration records of the multi-meter were shown in Appendix C for reference

Suspended solids were determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4^{o}C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection.

4.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), monitoring stations for contract 1 were established at two locations, which are summarized in Table 4.3.1.

Table 4.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Coordinates
W1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA	E:839301 N:836386
W2	Between Tolo Harbour and Proposed Penstock	E:839542 N:836184

As illustrated in Figure 4.3.1, W1 served as the control station while W2 was the monitoring location of water quality.

According to the approved proposal of revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring, two reference points (C1 & C2) were added.

Should the water quality parameters monitoring results at the monitoring station W2 exceed the water quality criteria, the water quality monitoring data of two reference points (C1 and C2) will be used as the supplementary information. The monitoring data of C1 should be used for comparison with the monitoring data of W2 that taken at flood tide; and the monitoring data of C2 should be used for comparison with the monitoring data of W2 that taken at ebb tide. The comparison of water quality between W2 and C1 at flood tide and between W2 and C2 at ebb tide is to prove whether influence of water quality is caused by the construction activities. The details of C1 and C2 are presented in Appendix N.

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), measurements shall be taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above river bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

As the depth of water was less than 3m, water samples were collected at mid-depth of each monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection.



Figure 4.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

4.4 Monitoring Frequency

Impact water quality monitoring for each monitoring station were performed at mid-flood or mid-ebb tides for 3 days per week during the course of the construction river works.

Monitoring were carried out on 3rd, 5th, 8th, 10th, 12th, 15th, 17th, 19th, 22nd, 24th,26th, 29th and 31st of October 2012.

4.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Water quality monitoring was carried out thirteen times in this reporting month. Detailed on-site measurements are shown in Appendix E. Table 4.5.1 presents consolidated results throughout the reporting month. And, the interpretations of abnormal incidents recorded in the reporting month were shown in the Table 4.5.2.

There were 7 abnormal incidents of water quality limits (Dissolved Oxygen, Suspended Solid and Turbidity) were recorded in this reporting month according to the established action and limit levels. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents and it was observed that the river was narrowed for construction of mechanical penstocks; and increases the speed of water current. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed during the reporting period. Proper mitigation measures was implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination; The exceedance of Turbidity and Total Suspended Solid were believed to be mainly attributed by high water flow rate. Besides, the recorded levels of turbidity and DO at control station had also exceeded its A/L Level. Therefore, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

The water condition of Wai Ha River is presented in photo attached in **Appendix M.**

Table 4.5.1 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results of this reporting month

	Average of Monitoring Results					
	Temperature (°C)	*Turbidity (NTU)*	*pH*	*Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)*	*Dissolved Oxygen (%)*	*Suspended Solids (mg/L)*
W1	29.12	5.6	6.64	6.57	84.3	9.54
W2	26.6	2.7	7.56	7.24	88.8	5.77
C1	28.8	2.13	7.70	7.36	91.0	3.95
C2	29.0	3.5	7.82	6.83	83.5	5.0

Table 4.5.2 Interpretations of abnormal incidents recorded in the reporting month

Date	**Tide**	**Parameter**	**Interpretations**
3/10/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Incident was regarded as high river flow rate since river narrowed was observed.
		SS	
5/10/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Incident was regarded as high river flow rate since river narrowed was observed.
8/10/2012	Flood	Turbidity	The monitoring result of W2 was similar to the results of C1.
		DO	
		SS	
12/10/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Incident was regarded as high river flow rate since river narrowed was observed.
22/10/2012	Flood	Turbidity	The monitoring result of W2 was similar to the results of C1.
		DO	
24/10/2012	Flood	Turbidity	The monitoring result of W2 was similar to the results of C1.
		DO	
31/10/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Incident was regarded as high river flow rate since river narrowed was observed.

4.6 Action and limit level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in EM&A manual (revision 3) and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 4.6.1, Table 4.6.2. The A/L levels for W1 were ignored since W1 functions as the control station for contract 1. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 4.6.3 should be taken.

Table 4.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	**Action**	**Limit**
DO in mg/L	5 percentile of baseline data	4 mg/L
pH	N/A	6.0 – 9.0
SS in mg/L	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS
Turbidity in NTU	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turbidity

Table 4.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	**Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)**				**Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)**			
	W1		**W2**		**W1**		**W2**	
	Action Level	**Limit Level**	**Action Level**	**Limit Level**	**Action Level**	**Limit Level**	**Action Level**	**Limit Level**
DO (mg/L)	8.07	4.0	7.81	4.0	7.12	4.0	6.77	4.0
pH	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0
SS (mg/L)	7.7	8.1	7.7	8.6	10.5	10.9	9.4	9.9
Turbidity (NTU)	4.9	5.3	1.7	1.8	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.5

Remarks:

For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits

For SS and turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Table 4.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.

Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exeedance.	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
LIMIT LEVEL				
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify

	impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.	proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC,	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose

	Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.	implemented mitigation measures.	be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.	mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.

4.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Water quality monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 2nd, 5th, 7th, 9th, 12th, 14th, 16th, 19th, 21st, 23rd, 26th, 28th and 30th of November 2012.

5 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring

5.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Parameters and methodology

Impact monitoring of hydrological characteristics was undertaken to establish hydrological characteristics of sections of Wai Ha River adjacent to Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po.

The hydrological characteristics of sections of Wai Ha River were measured by water flow rate and depth.

5.2 Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring performed by a portable echo-sounder, model Greyline Stingray. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory or manufacturer every year to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification.

Calibration Certificate of the multi-meter is given in **Appendix C**.

5.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), monitoring stations for contract 1 were established at two locations and summarized in Table 5.3.1.

Table 5.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	**Location**	**Coordinates**
H1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA	E:839301 N:836386
H2	Route to Sam Kung Temple	E:839163 N:836433

As illustrated in Figure 5.3.1, H2 served as the control station while H1 was the monitoring location of hydrological characteristics.



Figure 5.3.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Locations

5.4 Monitoring Frequency

Hydrological characteristics monitoring for each monitoring station were performed at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides for once per week during the course of the construction river works.

Monitoring was carried out on 5th, 12th, 19th and 26th of October 2012.

5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Hydrological characteristics monitoring was carried out four times in this reporting period. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 5.5. All results were within the action and limit levels, therefore, no exceedance was found.

Table 5.5 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

	Average of Monitoring Results	
	Water Depth (m)	Water Flow Rate (m^3/s)
H1(Floor)	~0.390*	0.206
H1(Ebb)	~0.165*	0.150
H2(Floor)	~0.345*	0.848
H2(Ebb)	~0.210*	0.866

*: Since the water levels were too low for the depth detector to determine, tape measure was instead adopted for estimation.

Details of the monitoring data were presented in Appendix F.

5.6 Action and limit level for Hydrological Characteristics

The Action and Limit levels for all monitoring stations are summarized in Table 5.6.1, which would be applied for compliance assessment of hydrological characteristics for this project. If the hydrological characteristics monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 5.6.2 should be taken.

Table 5.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Hydrological Characteristics at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	**Action**	**Limit**
Water Depth at Mid-flood (m)	0.08	0.06
Water Depth at Mid-ebb (m)	0.08	0.06
Water Flow Rate (m^3/s)	120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement

Table 5.6.2 Event and action Plan for Hydrological Characteristics

Event	**ET Leader**	**IEC**	**ER**	**Contractor**
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and

	7. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.			Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC

	on next day of exeedance.			and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
LIMIT LEVEL				
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.	1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level	1. Repeat in-situ	1. Discuss	1. Discuss	1. Inform Engineer

being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.	mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.	and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.

5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Hydrological characteristics monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 2nd, 9th, 16st, 23rd and 30th of November 2012.

6 Ecological Monitoring of ECA

6.1 Introduction

The Ecological Monitoring of the Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA) of the Project is conducted to fulfill Clauses 5.2 and 5.4 of EP-303/2008 and the monitoring requirements in accordance with Section 6 of the approved updated EM&A Manual (approved by EPD on 31st May 2012) and the approved updated Habitat Creation Plan (HCP) (approved by EPD on 8th December 2011) of the Project.

This report documents monitoring findings on the site inspections in the ECA undertaken in October 2012.

6.2 Ecological Monitoring of ECA

6.2.1 Scope of Monitoring

A specific ecological monitoring programme and ecological monitoring requirements of the ECA are detailed in Section 7 of the approved Habitat Creation Plan (HCP) and Section 6.18 of the approved updated Environmental Monitoring & Audit (EM&A) Manual of the Project.

During the construction phase of the ECA, monthly monitoring of vegetation health (including the planted, retained and transplanted trees and shrubs, and the proposed planting) and weekly site inspections should be undertaken. Monthly monitoring of in situ water quality will be carried out once the ECA is filled with water from the nearby Wai Ha River.

During the 12-month establishment phase of the ECA, monitoring of habitat types, vegetation cover, intertidal fauna and other fauna (including avifauna, herpetofauna, fish, odonates and butterflies) will be undertaken on a six-monthly basis, while the vegetation health and in situ water quality will be monitored monthly. Site inspections will be conducted twice per month.

6.2.2 Monitoring Methodology during the construction phase

Monitoring of vegetation health

Monthly monitoring of the health condition of the retained and transplanted trees and vegetation will be conducted. Following planting of vegetation in the ECA, monitoring of the growth and health conditions of the planted vegetation in the created habitats (i.e. brackish marsh, mangrove, woodland areas of planted trees and shrubs, and wooded areas with retained and (trans)planted trees) within the ECA is to be conducted during the construction and establishment phases. General health and growth status of the retained trees within the ECA are recorded and recommendation of appropriate tree care will be made to the maintenance party.

All planted, retained and transplanted trees and shrubs will be surveyed to update their growth and health status. Any signs of pests and/ or poor growth of planted, retained and transplanted trees and shrubs will be recorded. Appropriate treatment or removal of pests will be implemented if necessary. Supplemental planting will be arranged if needed.

A fixed transect line will be run through the wetland habitats (including intertidal mudflat, brackish marsh and mangrove) and the general growth and health of the planted vegetation along both sides of the transect will be inspected and evaluated. Any adverse plant health, such as dieback of planted species, will be noted and supplemental planting will be arranged. Any signs of pests which cause adverse health problems to the plants will be identified and recorded.

Monitoring of water quality

Since there will be free movement of brackish river water in and out of the ECA, water quality in the ECA will be largely dependent on water quality in the river. In this open system it is not appropriate to set specific targets for water quality parameters. Nevertheless, baseline data on water quality, in particular seasonal patterns, would potentially be useful long term management of the ECA. Once the ECA is filled with water during the construction phase of the ECA, in-situ water quality will be measured once per

month during both Construction and Establishment Phases. Parameters, including temperature, pH, salinity, turbidity and dissolved oxygen, will be monitored. Additional measurements of these parameters should also be made by the ecologist in response to unexpected events (e.g. algal blooms or fish die-offs) in order to inform remedial management measures.

Site inspection

Weekly site inspection will be carried out by the Wetland Specialist to update the status and monitor the progress of the construction of the ECA. Any adverse ecological impact resulting from the construction should be identified and remedial action should be undertaken.

6.2.3 Monitoring Methodology during the establishment phase

Monitoring of vegetation health

Same monitoring methodology as in Section 2.2.

Monitoring of water quality

Same monitoring methodology as in Section 2.2.

Site inspection

Site inspection during the establishment phase of the ECA will be conducted twice per month for monitoring the health and condition of the wetland during the establishment period. Any unsatisfied health and habitat criteria of the wetland will be identified and remedial action should be recommended.
Twice monthly establishment phase monitoring has been commenced in November 2011.

Monitoring of habitat types and vegetation cover

Monitoring of habitat types and vegetation cover will be conducted twice during the 12 month Establishment Phase of the ECA; specifically at the end

of the dry season and the end of the subsequent wet season after completion of the planting work. The monitoring aims to determine the exact extent of the wetland habitats and vegetation cover (i.e. open water, intertidal mudflat, brackish marsh and mangrove) during the establishment period and control any excessive colonization of unwanted vegetation specific habitats.

Monitoring of intertidal fauna

As the ECA largely comprises an intertidal mudflat, monitoring for intertidal fauna will be conducted. Recolonisation will take time: accordingly monitoring will be tentatively conducted in February 2012 and August 2012. As the important aim of monitoring of intertidal fauna in the ECA is to examine the diversity of the colonising community, a qualitative manner by walk-through survey (i.e. walk through the site with species and relative abundance recorded) will be conducted. Core sampling will also be conducted at different levels to record infauna. Three samples at each level (low, middle and high) will be collected during each monitoring event and the monitoring will be conducted at low tide.

Monitoring of other fauna

Monitoring of other faunal groups, including birds, herpetofauna, fish, odonate and butterflies, will be conducted. Monitoring of any aquatic invertebrates will be covered by the intertidal surveys. Since the site will be intertidal, it is considered unsuitable for local amphibian species. Therefore, no nighttime survey for detection of mating calls of amphibians is necessary and only daytime surveys are needed. Monitoring of these faunal groups will be conducted on a walk-through survey basis. The surveyor will walk through the site, recording and counting the fauna observed. Microhabitats for herpetofauna will be actively searched. This monitoring will be conducted twice within the establishment period (once in the dry season (tentatively in February 2012) and once in the wet season (tentatively in August 2012).

Monitoring of wild mammals is not necessary in this case; however, if signs of wild mammals are observed (such as footprints) during any field surveys, these will be recorded.

6.2.4 Monitoring time and weather condition

Site inspection and monitoring of vegetation, fauna groups and water quality should be carried out during day-time with calm weather. Monitoring of birds should commence within one hour of sunrise, when is the peak activity period for birds. Other fauna groups shall be undertaken during the warmer part of the monitoring day.

6.3 Monitoring Results

According to Table 6.17 of the EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring of the ECA will be carried out by qualified ecologists during the 1-year wetland establishment period of the ECA before handing over to AFCD for their post establishment monitoring. Establishment phase of the ECA began in September 2011, ecological monitoring programme was conducted and monitoring data was presented in respective monthly EM&A reports. Ecological monitoring programme ended in September 2012 and hence there will be no ECA report attached in EM&A reports.

6.3.1 Description of monitoring of transplanted Pavetta hongkongensis in Ecological Compensatory Area

According to the latest Transplantation Proposal, monitoring of the transplanted individual of Pavetta hongkongensis will cover a period of 12 months after the transplanting exercise. The monitoring will be conducted once a week in the first 3 months and once in each subsequent month in the remaining monitoring period. Health condition and growth of each transplanted individuals will be assessed and photographic records will be undertaken for each inspection.

Three specimens of protected species Pavetta hongkongensis were transplanted to ECA. Weekly monitoring was carried out since transplantation on 20th December 2011.

The monitoring of transplanted Pavetta hongkongensis of this report were carried out in 19th October 2012 in Ecological Compensatory Area.

All trees surveyed were evaluated according to the following criteria (Webb 1991).

Trees of good form, moderate to large size and in good health are classified as good;

Trees of reasonable form, with few or no visible defects or health problems are classified as being fair;

Trees that are of poor form, badly damaged or clearly suffering from decay die back or the effects of very heavy vine growth are classified as poor.

6.3.2 Description of transplanted Pavetta hongkongensis and remarks

Three specimens of protected species Pavetta hongkongensis were transplanted from work area under Contract 2 to ECA at Zone D (Figure 6.3.2.1) on 20th December 2011. Monthly monitoring was carried out and their overall conditions are fair so far (Appendix L). Representative photographs of the transplanted P. hongkongenesis are showed on Figure 6.3.2.2.



Figure 6.3.2.1 Landscape floor plan of ECA during establishment phase.

Figure 6.3.2.2. Representative photographs of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA since the first transplantation in 19 Oct. 2012.

Figure 6.3.2.2a. Specimens 1 & 2.

Figure 6.3.2.2b. Specimen 3.

Regular watering is recommended to improve the condition of the transplanted Pavetta hongkongensis during non-raining period. Relevant mitigation measures will be proposed when necessary.

There is no sign of pest outbreak or dieback took place in the current monitoring.

6.3.3 Summary

Three specimens of protected species Pavetta hongkongensis were transplanted to ECA on 20th December 2011 and Monthly monitoring was carried out on 19th October 2012. All of them were in fair condition. Although there is no sign of pest outbreak or dieback , regular watering and close monitoring are still be recommended.

6.3.4 References

Webb, R (ed.) 1991, Tree Planting & Maintenance in Hong Kong, Hong Kong Government, Hong Kong.

6.3.5 Site Inspections

Twice monthly establishment phase monitoring has commenced in November 2011. Two site inspections were carried out on 5th and 16th October and one additional site visit by the Wetland Specialist on 12nd October 2012. Table 6-1 summarizes the observations and recommendations for each site inspection.

Table 6-1. Observations and recommendations for each site inspection, October 2012.

Inspection Dates	Observation	Recommendations
5th October 2012	A routine site visit to inspect the latest wetland performance and condition of about 30 newly replaced compensatory trees around the terrestrial areas. The newly replaced compensatory trees were in fair health and tree form, and sign of recent watering around the trunk base was observed on these compensatory trees. The created wetland and the remaining planted vegetation (including the shrubs, mangrove seedlings and the compensatory trees) have been maintained in fair to good condition. Small patches of planted wetland herbs (*Bacopa monnieri* and *Cyperus malaccensis*) appeared dry and weak, but it is a normal natural dieback phenomenon for perennial herbs and new population will resume in the next wet season. Naturally established wetland herbs (such as *Alternanthera* spp. and *Lindernia* spp.), other terrestrial herbs (such as *Abutilon indicum*) and seedlings of tree *Macaranga tanarius* var. *tomentosa* were observed in the created wetland and terrestrial pond, implying a gradual natural establishment of plants. The trees transplanted from Area A to the ECA have been maintained generally in fair to good condition. The three transplanted shrubs of conservation interest, *Pavetta hongkongensis*, were fruiting by the time of site visit and have shown satisfactory performance.	The Contractor should maintain regular watering on all planted shrubs, newly planted and established compensatory trees in the whole ECA. The temporary site hoardings at the main site entrance should be replaced with a permanent wire mesh fence prior to the final handover meeting in mid-October 2012.
12nd October 2012 (Additional site visit)	This is an additional site visit by the Wetland Specialist prior to the final handover meeting for the ECA. The overall site condition of the wetland was satisfactory and recent watering of the compensatory trees was observed. Localized grass cutting close to the access	The Contractor should perform localized grass cutting, removal of *Leucaena leucocephala* in the whole ECA, and maintain regular watering for the transplanted and retained trees,

	gate between Tung Tsz Nursery and the ECA, and the southeastern part of the ECA is required. Removal of seedlings and saplings of the weedy tree *Leucaena leucocephala* in the whole ECA is required to eradicate its spread in the ECA.	planted compensatory trees and shrubs.
16th October 2012	The second site visit in October 2012 and the final handover meeting of the ECA was also held on 16th October 2012. Representatives from the Project Engineer Teams, the Main Contractor, appointed Landscape Contractor, the Wetland Specialist and AFCD officers attended the handover meeting. AFCD officers generally satisfied the wetland performance and the condition of the soft landscape within the ECA. The temporary site hoardings at the main site entrance were removed and wire mesh fences were also erected. The Main Contractor was required to fix these fences permanently and reinstate the original gate at the northwestern part of the ECA.	The Contractor should fix the wire mesh fences and reinstate the original gate at the northwestern part of the ECA as soon as possible.

Photo 1. General view of the wetland as inspected on 5th October 2012.

Photo 2. The ECA as inspected on 16th October 2012.

Photo 3. The ECA as inspected on 16th October 2012.

Photo 4. Transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* PH-03 was in good condition.

Photo 5. Transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* PH-01 and PH-02 were in good condition.

	

6.4 Management Activities

6.4.1 Ecological Issues/ Management Activities

No significant ecological issues were identified from the site inspection by the Wetland Specialist from the site inspections in October 2012.

The ECA has been maintained in satisfactory condition. The compensatory trees with poor performance were replaced and regular watering on these trees was observed prior to the final handover meeting on 16th October 2012. With the completion of the handover meeting in October 2012, AFCD will take up the maintenance of the soft landscape elements of the ECA with effect from 16th October 2012. As addressed during the meeting, the Main Contractor should fix the wire mesh fences and reinstate the gate at the northwestern part of the ECA as a final management activity for the wetland.

6.5 Implication of the Survey Findings

6.5.1 Implication to the Wetland design of the ECA

No implication to the wetland design from these two site inspections in October 2012.

6.6 Recommendations

The Contractor should fix the wire mesh fences and reinstate the gate at the northwestern part of the ECA as soon as possible. For the long-term maintenance and management of the ECA during the post-establishment phase, the maintenance party shall follow the ecological monitoring frequency and management items (especially for the removal of exotic/ undesirable invasive plants) as stipulated in the latest approved EM&A Manual of the Project. This is to ensure the wetland health and engineering stability of the created wetland in the long run.

7 Landscape and Visual

7.1 Introduction

The Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project is conducted to fulfill Clauses 5.2 and 5.4 of EP-303/2008 and the monitoring requirements in accordance with Section 7 of the approved updated EM&A Manual (approved by EPD on 31st May 2012) of the Project. A Baseline Review on updating the landscape and visual condition, and the mitigation measures of the Project (including Contracts 1 and 2 of the Project) was undertaken before the commencement of the Project. The review findings were updated in the Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report submitted to the EPD on 14th February 2011.

This monthly monitoring report will detail the scope of landscape and visual monitoring work, monitoring findings and observations, and any recommendations and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures in the works areas under Contract 1 of the Project.

7.2 Scope of Monitoring

7.2.1 Monitoring Objectives

Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project should be conducted in a bi-weekly basis for checking the design, implementation and maintenance of the landscape and visual mitigation measures throughout the construction phase and in a quarterly basis during operational phase of the Project. Observations of any potential conflicts between the proposed mitigation measures and the project works carried out by the Contractors should be recorded. Recommendation and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures should be provided to the Contractor for minimizing any potential impacts on the landscape and visual elements.

7.2.2 Monitoring during Construction Phase

The following landscape and visual mitigation measure should be implemented during the construction phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:

- Visual Screen – Use of hoardings as visual screens for the construction in the works areas;
- Contaminant/ Sediment Control – Use of temporary barriers, covers and drainage provision around the construction works as contaminant/ sediment control to prevent the contaminants and sediments from entering the sensitive water-based habitats;

- Pollution Control – Implementation of pollution control measures to minimize any adverse environmental impacts to the surrounding habitats;
- Liaison with Nursery – Liaison with the nursery operator as necessary to minimize any adverse impact to the daily operation and plant holding capacity of the nursery;
- Existing Trees within Works Area – Maintenance and protection of the existing trees, especially their crowns, trunks and roots, within work sites; and
- Construction Light – Provision of construction light should be controlled at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove.

7.2.3 Monitoring during Operational Phase

The following landscape and visual mitigation measure should be implemented during the operational phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:

- Viewing area formation by planting with shrubs, grasses and benches along the area;
- Architectural design of the pump house will help it fit into the existing suburban, natural to semi-natural surroundings;
- Landscape design of pump house by providing sufficient planting around its boundary fence;
- Enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road with shrubs/ trees of suitable species to help protect the stream and marshes;
- Construction of box culvert should be with at least 1.0m soil depth for enhancement planting;
- Transplanting of existing affected trees to adjacent locations should be carried out;
- Preparation for transplanting is needed to allow sufficient time for root pruning and rootball preparation prior to transplanting; and
- Reinstatement of affected area should be carried out to check that the works areas are properly reinstated.

7.3 Landscape and Visual Monitoring Results

7.3.1 Monitoring Date(s)

This monthly Landscape and Visual Monitoring (October 2012) was conducted to cover only Areas A, B and C of Contract 1 of the Project. The bi-weekly monitoring was

conducted on 5th, 16th and 29th October 2012.

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. No access into the Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA) is allowed after the handover.

All photos stated in this section are recorded in Appendix G.

The bi-weekly monitoring for Contract 2 was also undertaken on 5th, 16th and 29th October 2012. The monitoring findings and recommendation will be submitted in a separate Monthly EM&A Report under Contract DC/2010/02.

7.3.2 Visual Screen

No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the Monthly EM&A Report for September 2012.

Observation

Construction hoardings have been erected in Area A along the entire site boundary. Temporary construction hoardings have been erected around Wai Ha River estuary since the commenced work for building an automatic mechanical penstock at the area. Since February 2012, temporary construction hoardings have also been erected to surround the works area for constructing a drain pipe along Ting Kok Road. A section of cycling track outside Tung Tsz Nursery along Ting Kok Road was found surrounded by temporary hoardings (Photo 1).

A section of temporary hoarding has been erected from northwest to southwest parts (i.e. Phase I construction works) of Tung Tsz Nursery in Area B (approximately along the works boundary from Trees U42 to U62). Another section of temporary hoarding has been erected from southwest to eastern parts of the Nursery since May 2012 and connected with the Phase I construction works area. An open section with no construction work has been maintained as a major road access inside Tung Tsz Nursery for their daily operations.

A new set of chain link fence has been erected at the previous main entrance of Area C after the handover to AFCD (Photo 2). It was not allowed to access the ECA during the monitoring on 29th October 2012.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.3.3 Contaminant/ Sediment Control

No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the Monthly EM&A Report for June 2012.

Observation

Area A

Provision of dust control measure (such as vehicle wheel washing facilities) has been maintained at the exit point of Area A.

Used water for washing vehicle wheel and groundwater from the excavated sites were pumped into the silt/sand removal facilities for filtration before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area A. No unauthorized discharge of contaminated water/ sewerage was observed during the monitoring.

Area B

Dust control measure (such as vehicle wheel washing facilities) has been resumed in October 2012. The construction vehicles were washed at the entrance of the access road leading towards the works area at northwestern part of Tung Tsz Nursery. Used water and groundwater from the built box culvert and the construction site within the Nursery were collected and drained directly to the sedimentation tank placed adjacent to the fenced Area C. The water was further filtered through the silt/sand removal facilities in the tank before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area C. Some filtered water was also drained directly to the manholes next to the cycle tracks along Ting Kok Road.
Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The pond of the ECA has connected with the Wai Ha River directly. No water resulting from normal wetland maintenance practice was pumped out from the ECA.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.3.4 Pollution Control

All used water for washing vehicle wheel and construction works was filtered and drained to the manholes, as following the recommendation stated in Monthly EM&A Report for September 2012.

Observation

Area A

Provision of vehicle wheel washing facilities was observed at the exit point of Area A to reduce the contamination to the surrounding habitats in Plover Cove. Used water for washing vehicle wheel and groundwater from the excavated sites were pumped into the silt/sand removal facilities for filtration before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area A. The drainage pipes were maintained appropriately to discharge the used water to

the manhole at Ting Kok Road. No direct discharge of polluted water into the adjacent Wai Ha River was observed from the works area for building the automatic mechanical penstock at Wai Ha River estuary.

Area B

All used water was collected and drained directly to the sedimentation tank placed adjacent to the fenced Area C. This water was further filtered through the silt/sand removal facilities in the tank before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area C. Some filtered water was drained directly to the manholes next to the cycle tracks along Ting Kok Road.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The pond of the ECA has been connected to Wai Ha River directly as following the scheme design of Habitat Compensatory Plan. No direct discharge of turbid water into the adjacent Wai Ha River was observed through the fence of Tung Tsz Nursery (Photo 3).

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required for Areas A, B and C. As a reminder, the Contractor should regularly check the condition and locations of the drainage pipes and ensure that all used water should be appropriately filtered and discharged to the manholes/other discharge points agreed by the Engineer and EPD. This is to avoid any potential contamination to the vegetation in Shuen Wan marsh and other vegetated/marinated areas adjacent to the active works area.

7.3.5 Liaison with Nursery

Active construction works within Tung Tsz Nursery has been extended to the east of the nursery in connection with Ting Kok Road since May 2012. All of these active construction works area were demarcated with construction hoardings.

The health condition and stability of the tree Grevillea robusta (U58) has been closely monitored on a bi-weekly basis and regular watering of the retained trees and transplanted trees was anticipated. New leaves were observed along the trunk.

The works practice and maintenance of trees within the nursery generally follow the recommendation as stated in Monthly EM&A Report for September 2012. Any observed issues related to the liaison with the nursery are highlighted in this section.

Observation

The temporary hoarding has been erected from northwest to southwest parts of Tung Tsz Nursery in Area B since April 2011. Phase 2 construction work (i.e. from the eastern part of the nursery in connection with Ting Kok Road and finally connects with Phase 1 construction area at the southwest part) has commenced and temporary hoardings have

been erected since May 2012. The major road access within the Nursery has been maintained to minimize the impact on the nursery's daily operation resulting from the construction works.

Regular monitoring for all transplanted trees within the nursery was conducted on a bi-weekly basis. For tree U58 (Grevillea robusta) (Photo 4), the broken scaffold branch was still found to be overhung in the canopy. New but small leaves were observed along the branches and watersprouts were found along the trunk. The physiological condition of U58 has remained fairly poor in October 2012 and close monitoring has to be continued to update its health and structural conditions.

No muddy water was found leaking out through the temporary hoarding into the nursery.

Recommendation

The works area and the construction works should be properly managed and implemented without influencing the daily operation of the nursery (i.e. provide enough access road and works area for the nursery operation).

All transplanted trees should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days) by the appointed landscape contractor. Meanwhile, the Contractor should prevent forming waterlogged areas or leakage of used water from the active construction works area into the Nursery. This is to prevent causing any nuisance to the nursery's daily operation.

Regular monitoring and watering of Grevillea robusta (U58) are still recommended to be the major treatment to the tree. The appointed landscape contractor and the Contractor should closely monitor the health conditions throughout the establishment period.

7.3.6 Existing Trees within Works Areas

Tree Protection Zones (TPZs) in Areas A and B were demarcated within the construction sites as following the recommendation stated in the Monthly EM&A Report for September 2012, no piling of construction materials within or close to the TPZs were observed in Area A (see details in the following section).

Regular watering of the retained trees, transplanted trees and the compensatory planting was anticipated. Maintenance of the existing trees within the works areas generally follows the recommendation as stated in Monthly EM&A Report for September 2012, except the observations as highlighted in the following sections.

Observation

Area A

TPZs with temporary storage of construction materials were not observed for trees to be transplanted (E19 to E20) at the southwestern part of Area A (Photo 5) during the monitoring in October 2012.

During the monitoring on 16th October, 2012, the tree to be transplanted E17 (Bombax

ceiba) and E18 (Melaleuca cajuputi subsp. cumingiana) originally located within the TPZs nearby the main gate were found to be relocated to the northeastern part of Area A (Photo 6). They were found in poor condition due to transplantation shock.

As observed since July 2012, the tree to be transplanted E16 (Bombax ceiba) was relocated to the southern side of Area A next to the site hoarding. A TPZ was set up at the base. The tree was in poor condition during the monitoring in October 2012 (Photo 7).

No other significant damages on the crowns, trunks and roots of the remaining trees were observed during the monitoring in September 2012 in Area A.

Area B

As highlighted in the Section "Liaison with Nursery", small watersprouts and new leaves were observed on the trunk and branches of the transplanted tree U58 (Grevillea robusta) but its physiological condition has still remained fairly poor after the transplant. One of its scaffold branches was still found overhanging in the canopy.

The transplanted tree U61 (Lysidice rhodostegia) was still found leaning severely with its propping uplifted (Photo 8), and the crack on the planter of U75 (Dolichandrone cauda-felina) was still presence (Photo 9). There is a concern on the long-term stability on both trees.

The transplanted tree U55 (Pterocarpus indicus) was planted directly into the ground as permitted by the nursery. Decayed wood were found along the tree trunk (Photo 10) and such decay may probably due to wood borers.

A broken scaffold branch of the tree to be transplanted T102 (Melaleuca cajuputi subsp. cumingiana) was still found hanging in the canopy (Photo 11).
No recovery signs have been observed on the relocated trees U34 (Photo 12), U35 (Photo 13) and U37 (Photo 14).

A42, U74, U72, U70, U69, A43, U62 and an untagged Terminalia catappa were inspected closely in October 2012 as construction of that section of the box culvert was about to be finished in the Nursery. They were in fair physiological condition. However, no proper TPZs were established (Photo 15).

A small sand pile next to three relocated trees (U76, U77 and U78) was removed in September 2012. However, waterlogging was still found in the areas around the trunk bases of these three trees. Areas around the trunk base of U76 and U78 were waterlogged and piles of stones (Photos 16-17). Irrigation water from the Nursery could be retained around the trunk bases of these trees, which were planted too deep previously. This would potentially damage the roots of these relocated trees.

The relocated tree U77 (Terminalia catappa) was dry and had no leaves in the canopy (Photo 18). The base of the tree was waterlogged with used water from the construction work (Photo 19).

All of the translocated trees were not guyed and only a few of these trees were protected within orange construction nets established as temporary TPZs.

Broken planters for three trees (U54 and two existing trees with no tag next to U54) were observed at their temporary receptor sites within the active works area to the northwest of the nursery. All of them have been generally surrounded by the orange construction nets to prevent further damage to the remained planters. However, stockpiling of construction materials were observed immediately next to the trunks and bark tearing on the trunk was observed (Photo 20).

The remaining trees, including retained and transplanted specimens, within the nursery were maintained generally in fair condition, with no significant damage on tree crowns, trunks and roots observed during the monitoring in October 2012.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The area was fenced off and no access was allowed

Recommendations

Area A

Maintenance of proper TPZs with no temporarily stored construction materials, excessive stockpiled soil and waterlogged condition around the tree trunk flares have been the major tree management issues in Areas A and B. The Contractor should continue notifying the on-site workers not to stockpile soil/construction materials or place construction equipment within and close to the TPZs or lower trunk/trunk flare. Any temporarily stored construction materials/ equipment and excessive water around the trunk flares should be removed or drained immediately. Operators of the construction machines should be aware of the presence of these relocated and retained trees nearby their works.

All retained trees or trees to be transplanted should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days) by the landscape contractor. The Contractor should conduct regular inspection on the health condition and protection measures of each existing trees within the Area A. In particular, regular watering should be applied on those recently relocated trees with regard to their poor health condition.

Area B

All transplanted trees should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days) by the landscape contractor. This is a necessary maintenance practice to improve the survival rates and growth for trees showing poor health condition as a result of the transplantation shock. Regular check of the tree health should be conducted. Proper protective measures such as guying and TPZs are recommended especially for the newly transplanted trees. Waterlogged areas (e.g. around trunk bases of U76, U77 and U78) should be avoided and all used water around the tree trunk flares should be drained out immediately. The Contractor was contacted immediately after the monitoring and it is confirmed that the used water would be drained immediately. To prevent accidental drainage of used water into the tree root zone of the relocated trees, the Contractor is recommended to establish a proper separation (e.g. sandbags barriers or wooden plates) between the trees (especially U76, T77 and U78) and the ground of the active construction work.

Regular inspection of the tree health of U58, U34, U35 and U37 should be undertaken to update their health condition and any tree defects. If these trees are found to be dead specimens in the wet season, the Contractor should replace these specimens.

The Contractor has to repair the planters or establish proper TPZs for the tree U54 and the two untagged trees adjacent to U54 as soon as possible. In addition, the Contractor should ensure that all planters have been properly maintained.

The Contractor is recommended to re-tag the translocated trees and regularly check the condition of the tags. All tree tags on the trees should be managed properly by the Contractor throughout the construction and establishment phases. A good tree tag system is important for the Contractor, subcontractor and the auditor to undertake routine maintenance, monitoring and rapid remedial actions (if any).

The leaning tree Lysidice rhodostegia (U61) should be restored to its proper position or guyed appropriately to prevent its further hazard to the targets. The planter of Dolichandrone cauda-felina (U75) should be rebuilt to provide better support and protection of its root ball. Both mitigation measures should be carried out by the appointed landscape contractor or other relevant parties as soon as possible.

The contractor is recommended to arrange the landscape contractor to inspect the transplanted tree U55 (Pterocarpus indicus) immediately and carry out any pest control management when needed for this tree.

Area C

As Area C was handed over to AFCD for management and maintenance, no further recommendation is given.

7.3.7 Construction Lights

No follow-up action on maintenance of construction light is required as from the Monthly EM&A Report for September 2012.

Observation

No construction light impact to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove as all construction activities and construction sites are halted at 1800. No construction light at night is provided by the Contractor.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.4 Audit Schedule

The next bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring in November 2012 is scheduled to

be conducted in the weeks of 12th and 26th November 2012.

8 Action taken in Event of Exceedance

If the measurements (Noise, Water, Hydrological Characteristics, and Ecology) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting month there was no exceedance for noise, hydrological characteristics, and ecological measurements recorded; therefore, no actions were taken.

For water quality monitoring, total 7 abnormal incidents of water quality limits (Dissolved Oxygen, Suspended solids and Turbidity) were recorded in this reporting month according to the established level. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents and it was observed that the river was redirected and narrowed for construction of mechanical penstocks; and increases the speed of water current. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed during the reporting period. Proper mitigation measures was implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedance of Turbidity and Total suspended solid were believed to be mainly attributed by high water flow rate. Besides, the levels of Turbidity and DO at W1 had been also exceeded its A/L Level. Therefore, the exceedances recorded at were unlikely to be related to the Project. The water condition of Wai Ha River is presented in photo attached in **Appendix M.**

9 Construction waste disposal

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed of in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Table 9.1 is a summary of figures of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 9.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
Year2011	11.758	0.00	9.703	0.665	0.750	0.556	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.165
Jan-12	0.010	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.005
Feb-12	0.130	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mar-12	0.125	0.00	0.125	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
Apr-12	0.265	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
May-12	0.705	0.00	0.705	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
June-12	1.375	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
July-12	1.870	0.00	1.72	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Aug-12	1.83	0.00	0.895	0.935	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Sep-12	1.635	0.00	1.635	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.035
Oct-12	1.125	0	1.125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.04
Total	21.543	0.00	17.402	1.75	0.71	0.556	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.345

Forecast of Total Quantities of C & D Materials to be Generated from the Contract											
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
	37.37	8.27	12.09	0.00	25.28	2.1	10	2	0.5	1	1

Notes (1) The Performance targets are given in PS Clause 26.23 (14)

(2) The waste flow table shall also include C & D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for used at the sites

(3) Plastics refer to plastics bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

(4) The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance with the PS Clause 25.20A(4)

10 Status of Permits and Licenses obtained

Table 10.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities

Table 10.1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained

Description	**License / Permit No.#**	**Date of Issue**	**Site**	**Date of expiry**	**Status**
Environmental Permit	EP-303/2008	2008/2/25	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid
Discharge Licence	WT00006448-2010	2010/6/15	Area A, B & C	30/6/2015	Valid
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	316597	2010/4/26	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid
Waste Disposal	7010348	2010/3/2	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid

11 Compliant Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting period. Therefore, follow up actions for the environmental complaint is not required.

Table 11.1 Summary of Formal Complaints received

	Noise	Water	Ecology	Others
Year 2011	0	0	0	0
January 2012	0	0	0	0
February 2012	0	0	0	0
March 2012	0	0	0	0
April 2012	0	0	0	0
May 2012	0	0	0	0
June 2012	0	0	0	0
July 2012	0	0	0	0
August 2012	0	0	0	0
September 2012	0	0	0	0
October 2012	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Site Environmental Audits

11.1 Site Inspection

Site inspections were undertaken weekly to inspect the construction activities in active site areas to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Within this reporting period, site inspections were conducted on 4nd, 11th, 19th and 24th of October 2012. A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments and relevant photos have been filed and kept. The findings from inspection were summarized in Table 12.1.

Table 12.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
9 , 16, 23 & 30 Aug 12 6, 13, 20 & 25 Sep 12 4, 11, 19 & 24 Oct 12	Construction materials were observed inside the tree protection zone at Area B.	Observation	Contractor was reminded to remove the construction materials as soon as possible	Outstanding		
30 Aug 12 6, 13, 20 & 25 Sep 12 4, 11 & 19 Oct 12	Damaged tree protection zone was observed at Area A	Observation	Contractor was reminded to replace the tree protection fence immediately.	Damaged tree protection fence was replaced by contractor.	24 Oct 12	
20 & 25 Sep 12 4,11, 19 & 24Oct 12	Stagnant water was observed at Area B.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that stagnant water should be removed regularly to prevent mosquito breeding.	Outstanding		

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
25 Sep 12 4 Oct 12	Construction materials were near the U-channel of Cycle track.	Observation	Contractor was reminded to clean construction materials and keep away from the U-channel to prevent surface run-off.	Construction materials were cleaned by contractor.	11 Oct 12	
25 Sep 12 4 Oct 12	Mixed construction materials were observed at Area A	Observation	Contractor was reminded that all the C&D wastes should be sorted into different types and stored properly.	Mixed C&D materials were removed by contractor.	11 Oct 12	
11, 19 & 24 Oct 12	Drip tray was not provided for the chemical materials at Area A.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that the chemical materials should be placed inside the drip tray.	Outstanding		
24 Oct 12	Construction materials were observed near the public drainage at Ting Kok Road.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that the construction materials should be cleaned to prevent the sand and muddy water from entering into the public drainage.	Outstanding		
24 Oct 12	Drip tray was not provided for the generator at Ting Kok Road.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that drip tray should be provided for the generator.	Outstanding		
24 Oct 12	Mixed C&D materials were observed at area	Observation	Contractor was reminded that all the C&D materials	Outstanding		

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
	A.		should be sorted before disposal to public fill.			
24 Oct 12	Dusty materials were not covered properly at Area A.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that cement materials should be covered with tarpaulin for dust suppression.	Outstanding		
24 Oct 12	3-sides shelter coverage was not provided at Area B.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that 3-sides shelter coverage should be provided during the cement mixing works.	Outstanding		
24 Oct 12	Tree protection zone was not provided at Area A.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that the tree protection zone should be set up for the tree within the site boundary.	Outstanding		

11.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement

There was no non-compliance recorded for the month of October 2012.

11.3 Implementation status and effectiveness of the mitigation measures

Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to address those problems as advised by ER and ET. Some of the measures taken by the contractor were considered as effective to minimize negative impact to the environment. Ongoing investigation will be carried out to observe performance and effectiveness of those measures. Outstanding environmental items will be inspected in next month.

As there were some ongoing follow up practices, contractor was reminded to regularly review and rectify the discrepancy once found and maintain good site condition. The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit and Final Mitigation Measures Report.

The recommend mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3) are presented in Appendix H (A).

The implemented statues of mitigation measures are presented in Appendix H (B).

12 Future Key issues and recommendations

According to the forecasted site activities, key environmental issued to be considered should at least include:

- Site water control and relevant protective measures.
- Quality of effluent discharge from Area A.
- Control and disposal for construction wastes generated from works.

Tree protective measure for tree planting and transplanting, such as tree protection zone and regular watering.

13 Conclusions

Laying of E&M ducting for the proposed screen house and store room, concreting for the proposed columns and beams above pump hall, grouting heading tunnel for the proposed DN225 sewer, removal of sheetpiles from bay 9 to 13 and grouting for second layer of grouting hole at the proposed DN2800 twin pipes were major site activities being carried out within this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Project Proponent, Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 24th of October 2012.

For noise level monitoring, all results were within the established A/L Level.

For water quality monitoring, total 7 abnormal accidents of water quality limits (Dissolved Oxygen, Suspended solids and Turbidity) were recorded in this reporting month according to the established level. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents and it was observed that the river was narrowed for construction of mechanical penstocks and increases the speed of water current. Proper mitigation measures was implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedance of turbidity and total suspended solid were believed to be mainly attributed by high water flow rate. The exceedance of turbidity was believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation, since the recorded levels of turbidity and DO at control station had also exceeded its baseline A/L Level. It was believed that the exceedances recorded at were unlikely to be related to the Project.

According to Table 6.17 of the EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring of the ECA will be carried out by qualified ecologists during the 1-year wetland establishment period of the ECA before handing over to AFCD for their post establishment monitoring. Establishment phase of the ECA began in September 2011, ecological monitoring programme was conducted and monitoring data was presented in respective monthly EM&A reports.

Ecological monitoring programme ended in September 2012 and hence there will be no ECA report attached in EM&A reports.

Also, there were no any notifications of summons recorded during the reporting period. Furthermore, there were not any formal prosecution and complaints recorded.

ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary, and to keep a good environmental management at site practice.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3) and Environmental Permit requirement.

Appendix A: Site Location Plan



NOTES

1. ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRE ABOVE PRINCIPAL DATUM
2. ALL CO-ORDINATES GIVEN ARE IN METRE AND ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH HK(1980) COORDINATES SYSTEM
3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
4. THE PUBLIC CLEANING AREA SHALL BE THE AREAS WITHIN 2.5m BEYOND THE LIMIT OF WORKS AREAS EXCLUDING PRIVATE AREAS △A

LEGEND

- LIMIT OF WORKS AREA A
- LIMIT OF WORKS AREA B
- LIMIT OF WORKS AREA C
- S1001 SETTING OUT POINT

SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES EAST	NORTH
S1001	839419.85	836482.39
S1002	839552.97	836448.19
S1003	839565.71	836455.59
S1004	839645.15	836453.32
S1005	839642.86	836438.59
S1006	839632.32	836403.40
S1007	839606.96	836388.02
S1008	839575.93	836381.95
S1009	839564.58	836347.39
S1020	839559.70	836348.57
S1021	839577.50	836402.77
S1022	839580.67	836439.89
S1023	839574.36	836440.21
S1024	839565.15	836437.12
S1025	839403.37	836481.74
S1026	839401.88	836519.17
S1027	839393.62	836511.91
S1028	839386.64	836517.93
S1029	839401.09	836539.01
S1030	839400.72	836548.46
S1031	839391.48	836555.02
S1032	839394.01	836565.02

SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES EAST	NORTH
S1033	839416.57	836565.02
S2001	839359.64	836440.00
S2002	839455.33	836377.17
S2003	839454.72	836364.01
S2004	839444.83	836360.18
S2005	839431.18	836350.43
S2006	839416.23	836334.46
S2007	839402.78	836316.79
S2008	839388.21	836296.20
S2009	839387.28	836295.89
S2010	839386.22	836296.28
S2011	839359.47	836318.71
S2012	839335.17	836354.66
S2013	839331.61	836362.84
S2014	839330.58	836373.54
S2015	839339.64	836398.89
S2016	839343.40	836419.61
S2017	839344.90	836425.93
S2018	839345.68	836428.44
S2019	839346.50	836430.44
S2020	839346.50	836433.74
S2021	839344.67	836434.68

A	TENDER ADDENDUM NO.2	ECYP	RCYK	10-09
-	TENDER DRAWING	ECYP	RCYK	09-09
REV. 修訂	DESCRIPTION 內容摘要	BY 修訂者	CHECKED 核准	DATE 日期

DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN SHUEN WAN, TAI PO - CONTRACT 1

SETTING OUT PLAN FOR WORKS AREA A, B AND C

SHEET 1 OF 2

AECOM

DRG.NO. 圖紙編號 70102/C4/1001A

DESIGNED BY 設計	CPWU	CONTRACT NO. 合約編號	DC/2009/22
DRAWN BY 繪圖	LWL	P. DIV.- APPROVED 負責人	DML
SCALE 比例	A1 1 500	STATUS 類別	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN 尺寸單位	METRES	© COPYRIGHT RESERVED 版權所有	

2010-2-5 11:54:09

p:\projects\70102\1000\TENDER ADDENDUM NO 2\1001A.dgn



SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES	
	EAST	NORTH
S1010	839551.12	836262.82
S1011	839529.43	836212.16
S1012	839515.72	836208.18
S1013	839515.04	836203.85
S1014	839506.43	836193.56
S1015	839501.60	836197.60
S1016	839506.56	836203.52
S1017	839502.65	836209.58
S1018	839525.81	836216.32
S1019	839546.27	836264.19

NOTE

1. FOR NOTES AND LEGEND SEE DRAWING NO. 70102/C4/1001

NO. 號碼	DESCRIPTION 內容說明	BY 繪製	CHECKED 檢查	DATE 日期
-	TENDER DRAWING	ECY	PRC	YK 09-09

DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT WORKS IN SHUEN WAN, TAI PO - CONTRACT 1

SETTING OUT PLAN FOR WORKS AREA A, B AND C

SHEET 2 OF 2

AECOM

DRG.NO. 圖紙編號 70102/C4/1002

DESIGNED BY 設計	CPWU	CONTRACT NO. 合約編號	DC/2009/22
DRAWN BY 繪圖	LWL	P. DIV.- APPROVED 負責人	DML
SCALE 比例	A1 1 500	STATUS 類別	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN 尺寸單位	METRES	© COPYRIGHT RESERVED 版權所有	

2010-2-5 13 32 23

p:\projects\70102\1000\TENDER DRAWING\1002.dgn

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact Information Table

Post	Name	Contact No.	Contact Fax	e-mail
Project Manager	Mr. W. K. Chan	6821 1136	2674 6688	dc200922jv_pmcwk @yahoo.com.hk
Site Agent	Mr. C. L. Wong	9280 0166	2674 6688	dc200922jv_sa@yaho o.com.hk
Environmental Officer / Sub-agent	Mr. K. M. Ma	9552 1734	2674 6688	dc200922jv_suba@ya hoo.com.hk
Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Anthony Chan	9179 2092	2674 6688	anthony277@hotmail. com
Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd. (Wetland Specialist)	Dr. Mike Leven	2486 2885	2471 8389	mrleven@asiaecol.co m.hk
Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited (Environmental Team)	Miss Goldie Fung	2556 9172	2856 2010	goldiefung@fseng.co m.hk

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
香 港 校 正 有限公司

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **21289** Page 1 of 3 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q20468 **Date of receipt :** 2-Mar-12

Item Tested

Description : Digital Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : SVAN
Model : 949 **Serial No.** : 8571

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 5-Mar-12 **Supply Voltage** : --
Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C **Relative Humidity :** (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.
Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 651 Type 1 & IEC 804 Type 1 specification after adjustment.
The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S017A	Multi-Function Generator	07279	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	15136	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : P. F. Wong

Approved by : Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 7-Mar-12

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street,Kwai Chung, NT,Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Calibration Certificate

Results :

1. SPL Accuracy

UUT Setting				Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	
Level Range	Octave Filter	Weight	Response		Before adjust	After adjust
105 dB	OFF	A	Fast	94.0	*92.0	94.0
			Slow		--	94.0
		C	Fast		--	94.0
130 dB	OFF	A	Fast	94.0	--	94.0
			Slow		--	94.0
		C	Fast		--	94.0
	OFF	A	Fast	114.0	--	114.1
			Slow		--	114.1
		C	Fast		--	114.1

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.7 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Level Stability : 0.0 dB

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.3 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

3. Linearity

3.1 Level Linearity

UUT Range (dB)	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (inside Primary)
130	114.0	114.0	0.0	± 0.7 dB
	104.0	104.0	0.0	
	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	- -	
105	84.0	84.0	0.0	
	74.0	74.0	0.0	
	64.0	64.0	0.0	
	54.0	54.0	0.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

Calibration Certificate

3.2 Differential level linearity

UUT Range (dB)	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
130	84.0	84.0	0.0	± 0.4 dB
	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	0.0	
	95.0	95.0	0.0	± 0.2 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0. 1 dB

4. Frequency Weighting

A weighting

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-40.4	- 39.4 dB, ± 1.5 dB
63 Hz	-27.2	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-17.0	- 16.1 dB, ± 1 dB
250 Hz	-9.4	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-2.6	- 3.2 dB, ± 1 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1 dB
2 kHz	+1.8	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1 dB
4 kHz	+1.8	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1 dB
8 kHz	-0.4	- 1.1 dB, + 1.5 dB ~ -3 dB
16 kHz	-6.3	- 6.6 dB, + 3 dB ~ - ∞

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

5. Time Averaging

Applied Burst duty Factor	Applied Leq. Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 804 Type 1 Spec.
continuous	50.0	- -	--
1/10	50.0	50.2	± 0.5 dB
$1/10^2$	50.0	49.8	
$1/10^3$	50.0	50.1	± 1.0 dB
$1/10^4$	50.0	49.9	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 001 hPa.
4. *Out of specification.

---------- END ----------

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
香 港 校 正 有限公司

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **21290** Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q20468 **Date of receipt :** 2-Mar-12

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer : Svantek

Model : SV30A **Serial No. :** 7908

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 5-Mar-12 **Supply Voltage :** --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C **Relative Humidity :** (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	13535	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	15136	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	15610	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	16338	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : P. F. Wong

Approved by : Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 7-Mar-12

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street,Kwai Chung, NT,Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21290

Results :

1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	94.10	± 0.3 dB
114	114.18	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.000 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty : ± 3.6 x 10^{-6}

3. Level Stability : 0.0 dB
IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.8 %
IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 %
Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The above measured values are the mean of 3 measurements.
3. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
4. Atmospheric Pressure : 1001 hPa.

---------- END ----------

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR CHI HANG LAI	**WORK ORDER:**	HK1221859
CLIENT:	ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD	**LABORATORY:**	HONG KONG
ADDRESS:	FLAT 19A, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING, 20 LEE CHUNG STREET, CHAI WAN, HONG KONG.	**DATE RECEIVED:**	17/08/2012
		DATE OF ISSUE:	24/08/2012
PROJECT:	--		

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.
Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal aceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test:	Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity
Description:	Multi-meter
Brand Name:	TOA-DKK
Model No.:	WQC-24, WMS-24
Serial No.:	682337
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	23 August, 2012

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung
HONG KONG

Phone: 852-2610 1044
Fax: 852-2610 2021
Email: hongkong@alsglobal.com

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1221859
Date of Issue: 24/08/2012
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WQC-24, WMS-24
Serial No.: 682337
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 23 August, 2012 Date of next Calibration: 23 November, 2012

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
142.6	138.0	-3.2
6667	6420	-3.7
12890	12200	-5.4
58670	56800	-3.2
	Tolerance Limit (%)	10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
4.68	4.83	0.15
6.53	6.60	0.07
8.23	8.35	0.12
	Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)	0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.92	-0.08
7.0	6.95	-0.05
10.0	10.05	0.05
	Tolerance Limit (±unit)	0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
12.5	12.7	0.2
22.0	21.9	-0.1
40.5	40.8	0.3
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	2.0

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1221859
Date of Issue: 24/08/2012
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD

Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WQC-24, WMS-24
Serial No.: 682337
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 23 August, 2012 Date of next Calibration: 23 November, 2012

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0	--
4	4.2	5.0
40	43.4	8.5
80	86.8	8.5
400	438.0	9.5
800	870.9	8.9
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0

Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
香港校正有限公司

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 17082

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q12881 **Date of receipt :** 28-Nov-11

Item Tested

Description : Protable Level-Velocity Logger

Manufacturer : Greyline

Model : Stingray **Serial No. :** 45525

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 6-Dec-11 **Supply Voltage :** --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C **Relative Humidity :** (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : V12, T03, M07.

Test Results

All results were within the tolerance(s).

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No.	Description	Cert. No.	Traceable to
S179	Std. Tape	10789	NIM-PRC
S136A	Stop Watch	07481	SCL-HKSAR
S223	Std. Thermometer	13173	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : Y. K. Wong

Approved by : Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 7-Dec-11

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street,Kwai Chung, NT,Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 17082

Results :

1. Flow Rate

Applied Value (Ft/s)	UUT Reading (Ft/s)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
1.67	1.6	± 5 %	± 1 %

2. Level

Applied Value (Ft)	UUT Reading (Ft)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
1.00	1.00	± 5 %	± 0.1 %
1.75	1.75		
3.00	3.00		

3. Temperature

Applied Value (℃)	UUT Reading (℃)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
23.0	24	± 2 ℃	± 0.2 ℃

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Sensor Used : Model : QZ02L-UT-01-PS
S/N : 10D18289

---------- END ----------

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		3/10/2012	3/10/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		15:15	15:50
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.2	0.2
Measurement Results	L_{eq} (dB(A))	64.3	66.3
	L_{10} (dB(A))	65.4	67.7
	L_{90} (dB(A))	49.1	56.3
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

	Name	Signature	Date
Perpared by:	Lau Kai Chung	**Lau Kai Chung**	3/10/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		10/10/2012	10/10/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		15:30	16:05
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.2	0.2
Measurement Results	L_{eq} (dB(A))	64.0	65.9
	L_{10} (dB(A))	65.7	68.5
	L_{90} (dB(A))	49.0	54.9
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

	Name	Signature	Date
Perpared by:	Lau Kai Chung	**Lau Kai Chung**	10/10/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		17/10/2012	17/10/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		14:45	15:25
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.4	0.4
Measurement Results	L_{eq} (dB(A))	63.1	67.3
	L_{10} (dB(A))	65.4	68.2
	L_{90} (dB(A))	48.2	49.4
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

	Name	Signature	Date
Perpared by:	Lau Kai Chung	**Lau Kai Chung**	17/10/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		24/10/2012	24/10/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		15:30	16:05
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.6	0.6
Measurement Results	L_{eq} (dB(A))	59.9	66.8
	L_{10} (dB(A))	61.4	67.7
	L_{90} (dB(A))	48.6	49.8
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

	Name	Signature	Date
Perpared by:	Lau Kai Chung	**Lau Kai Chung**	24/10/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		29/8/2012	29/8/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		13:05	13:45
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.2	0.2
Measurement Results	L_{eq} (dB(A))	66.8	64.3
	L_{10} (dB(A))	67.1	65.1
	L_{90} (dB(A))	48.4	50.4
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

	Name	Signature	Date
Perpared by:	Lai Chi Hang	**Lau Kai Chung**	29/8/2012

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Remark:

Red highlighting: The value is exceeding limit level

Yellow highlighting: The value is exceeding action levele

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling： 3/10/2012

Weather： Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	14:00	15:15	9:30
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.90	7.41	8.20
Temperature (^{o}C)	30.2	28.8	30.5
Turbidity (NTU)	7.4	3.8	2.1
DO (mg/L)	6.70	6.91	7.60
DO Saturation (%)	94%	85%	99.70
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	21.0	11.0	2.0

Remark or Observation：

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared By：	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	3/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 5/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	15:00	16:00	15:15
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.30	7.38	8.30
Temperature (^{o}C)	31.2	28.1	31.1
Turbidity (NTU)	1.8	3.9	1.0
DO (mg/L)	6.80	7.12	5.90
DO Saturation (%)	85%	85%	78%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	14.0	3.4	2.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	5/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 8/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	16:30	15:50	16:00
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.60	7.98	7.88
Temperature (^{o}C)	29.5	27.6	27.6
Turbidity (NTU)	3.30	3.7	4.00
DO (mg/L)	8.10	7.13	7.16
DO Saturation (%)	98%	90%	91%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	11.0	5.6

Remark or Observation :

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	8/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 10/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C1**
Time (hhmm)	15:30	15:30	15:40
Tide Mode	Mid-fiood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.50	7.41	7.46
Temperature (^{o}C)	30.6	30.1	30.3
Turbidity (NTU)	10.1	1.9	2.0
DO (mg/L)	8.20	7.84	7.82
DO Saturation (%)	107%	98%	99%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	10.0	5.6	2.4

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	10/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 12/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	10:45	9:45
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.50	7.38	7.40
Temperature (^{o}C)	31.9	29.8	31.6
Turbidity (NTU)	10.6	4.3	10.0
DO (mg/L)	6.50	7.15	6.20
DO Saturation (%)	83%	88%	82%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	14.0	6.4	5.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	12/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 15/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	12:00	12:10	10:10
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.00	7.29	7.50
Temperature (^{o}C)	29.4	25.6	29.6
Turbidity (NTU)	8.4	1.7	2.0
DO (mg/L)	6.80	6.87	7.40
DO Saturation (%)	95%	85%	93%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	7.0	7.4	2.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	15/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 17/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	14:00	14:45	10:30
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.30	7.65	8.40
Temperature (^{o}C)	29.5	27.9	29.4
Turbidity (NTU)	7.8	1.4	1.7
DO (mg/L)	6.00	7.45	7.10
DO Saturation (%)	79%	95%	94%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	16.0	5.8	2.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	17/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 19/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	15:00	15:40	
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.10	7.67	7.50
Temperature (^{o}C)	28.2	27.3	29.4
Turbidity (NTU)	3.3	1.0	1.8
DO (mg/L)	5.70	7.38	8.60
DO Saturation (%)	73%	94%	106%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	3.0	2.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	19/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 22/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	13:15	13:45	13:50
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.90	7.84	7.72
Temperature (^{o}C)	29.1	29.1	28.8
Turbidity (NTU)	3.2	2.1	1.2
DO (mg/L)	6.20	7.12	7.16
DO Saturation (%)	81%	88%	88%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5.0	5.0	3.6

Remark or Observation :

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	22/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 24/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	13:15	15:30	15:40
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.60	7.95	7.74
Temperature (^{o}C)	29	27.9	28.3
Turbidity (NTU)	3.2	3.6	1.3
DO (mg/L)	6.50	7.46	7.30
DO Saturation (%)	86%	95%	86%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3.0	2.4	4.2

Remark or Observation :

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	24/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 26/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	10:30	11:00	15:30
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.60	7.01	7.60
Temperature (^{o}C)	27.4	28.1	27.5
Turbidity (NTU)	4.4	1.0	7.9
DO (mg/L)	5.10	7.01	3.90
DO Saturation (%)	62%	86%	48%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	8.0	4.2	25.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	26/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 29/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	12:15	13:20	12:00
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.50	7.77	7.50
Temperature (^{o}C)	27.6	25.4	27.9
Turbidity (NTU)	5.4	1.7	2.5
DO (mg/L)	5.50	7.69	6.90
DO Saturation (%)	66%	91%	81%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	18.0	4.6	3.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	29/10/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 31/10/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	**W1**	**W2**	**C2**
Time (hhmm)	13:30	13:30	13:00
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.50	7.54	8.00
Temperature (^{o}C)	25	10.3	24.4
Turbidity (NTU)	3.3	5.4	2.5
DO (mg/L)	7.30	6.94	7.90
DO Saturation (%)	88%	75%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	5.2	2.0

Remark or Observation :

	Name	**Signature**	**Date**
Prepared By :	Lau kai chung	**Lau kai chung**	31/10/2012

Appendix F: Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Data

Location	Position	Tide	Date**	Time	Weather	Water Depth (m)*	Water Flow (m/s)	Water Flow (m^3/s)
H1	Mid	Flood	5-Oct-2012	10:30	Sunny	0.48	0.24	0.300
H1	Mid	Flood	12-Oct-2012	15:35	Sunny	0.42	0.12	0.150
H1	Mid	Flood	19-Oct-2012	10:05	Sunny	0.36	0.24	0.300
H1	Mid	Flood	26-Oct-2012	15:35	Sunny	0.3	0.06	0.075
H2	Mid	Flood	5-Oct-2012	10:15	Sunny	0.24	0.24	1.507
H2	Mid	Flood	12-Oct-2012	15:10	Sunny	0.36	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Flood	19-Oct-2012	9:40	Sunny	0.3	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Flood	26-Oct-2012	15:10	Sunny	0.48	0.06	0.377
H1	Mid	Ebb	5-Oct-2012	15:50	Sunny	0.12	0.06	0.075
H1	Mid	Ebb	12-Oct-2012	10:30	Sunny	0.12	0.24	0.300
H1	Mid	Ebb	19-Oct-2012	15:25	Sunny	0.3	0.12	0.150
H1	Mid	Ebb	26-Oct-2012	10:55	Sunny	0.12	0.06	0.075
H2	Mid	Ebb	5-Oct-2012	15:30	Sunny	0.12	0.36	0.450
H2	Mid	Ebb	12-Oct-2012	10:10	Sunny	0.24	0.18	1.130
H2	Mid	Ebb	19-Oct-2012	15:00	Sunny	0.36	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Ebb	26-Oct-2012	10:30	Sunny	0.12	0.18	1.130

Appendix G: Landscape and Visual Monitoring Photos

	
Photo 1 – Temporary hoardings have been established along Ting Kok Road outside Tung Tsz Nursery.	**Photo 2** – A new set of chain link fence has been erected at the previous entrance of Area C after the handover to AFCD.
	
Photo 3 – No discharge of muddy water was observed in Area C.	**Photo 4** – Overall view of the transplanted tree U58 *Grevillea robusta*.
	
Photo 5 – No temporary storage of construction materials were observed within the TPZs in Area A.	**Photo 6** – Poor condition of translocated tree E17 (*Bombax ceiba*) and E18 (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*).

	
Photo 7 – Poor condition of translocated tree E16 (*Bombax ceiba*).	**Photo 8** – Severe leaning tree trunk of the transplanted tree U61 was observed in Area B.
	
Photo 9 – Crack on the planter of U75 became more obvious in Area B.	**Photo 10** – Decayed wood was observed along the trunk.
	
Photo 11 – A broken scaffold branch was observed on the tree to be transplanted (T102) in Area B.	**Photo 12** – Declining health condition of U34 in Area B.

	
Photo 13 – Declining health condition of U35 in Area B.	**Photo 14** – Declining health condition of U37 in Area B.
	
Photo 15 – No proper tree protection zones were set up along the transplanted tree in Area B.	**Photo 16** – Waterlogged area was observed at the base of the relocated tree U76 in Area B.
	
Photo 17 – Soil and stones were piled close to the base of the relocated tree U78 in Area B.	**Photo 18** – Poor health condition was observed in the canopy of the relocated tree U77 in Area B.

	
Photo 19 – The base of the tree U77 was waterlogged with used water from the construction work.	**Photo 20** – Stockpiling of construction materials were observed immediately next to the trunk and damage was observed near the tree U54 and two existing trees with no tag next to U54

Appendix H:

A)

The recommended mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

B)

Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

A) The recommended mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
A		***Noise Impact***					
S 3.30	2.18	Good Site Practice: ▪ Only well-maintained plant shall be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program ▪ Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment shall be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program ▪ Mobile plant, if any, shall be sited as far from NSRs as possible ▪ Machines and plant (such as	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between work periods or shall be throttled down to a minimum ▪ Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs ▪ Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.					
S 3.31 - 3.32	2.19	Use of quieter PME	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
S 3.33 – 3.34	2.20-2. 21	Use of temporary noise barrier	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas as shown in Figure	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
3.36-3.38	2.23-2.24				3.5		
S 3.35 and Table 3.6	2.22	Use of alternative quieter construction method (the Low Impact Method)	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Part of the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha (refer to Figure 3.5)	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
3.36 -3.38	2.23-2.24	Use of noise enclosure	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Part of the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha (refer to Figure 3.5)	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
B		***Air Quality Impact***					
S4.16	3.5	Implementation of mitigation measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and good site practices including but not limited to the following:	To minimize construction dust impacts	Contractor	Construction Sites	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		▪ Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved road, with complete coverage, particularly during dry weather; ▪ Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty static construction areas and areas close to ASRs; ▪ Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site location; ▪ Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site; ▪ Routing of vehicles and					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs. ▪ Stockpiled excavated materials should be covered with tarpaulin, and should be removed off-site within 24 hours to avoid any odour nuisance arising.					
C		***Water Quality Impact***					
S5.29	4.5	Construction Site Run-off and Drainage: ▪ Before commencing any site formation work, all sewer and drainage connections shall be sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		sewers/drains. ▪ Temporary ditches shall be provided to facilitate run-off discharge into appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. No site run-off shall enter the fishponds at Shuen Wan. ▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins shall be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the Technical Memorandum standard under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance. The design of silt removal facilities shall be based on the guidelines provided in ProPECC PN 1/94. All drainage					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		facilities and erosion and sediment control structures shall be inspected monthly and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. ▪ Water pumped out from excavated pits shall be discharged into silt removal facilities. ▪ During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed.					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		▪ Exposed soil areas shall be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff. ▪ Earthwork final surfaces shall be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection shall be immediately performed to reduce the potential of soil erosion. ▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site shall be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.					
S5.30	4.7	Further precautionary measures during rainy season:	To minimize water quality impacts to the designated Conservation Area	Contractor	Works areas near the Conservation Area	Rainy seasons during construction	EIAO-TM Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		▪ For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height of 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place, surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual. ▪ For the construction of the box				phase	(WPCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height of at least 300mm from ground level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm events. Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system. ▪ Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site. ▪ Tarpulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimising the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area. ▪ Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom of the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete washing.					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		▪ Stockpiling the excavated materials adjacent to the Conservation Area would not be allowed. The excavated materials would be either removed off site immediately after excavation, or stockpile at location(s) away from the Conservation Area. The stockpile locations shall be approved by the site engineer.					
S5.31-S 5.32	4.8-4.9	General Construction Activities: ▪ Debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the Wa Ha River and fish ponds at Shuen Wan. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		when not being used. ▪ Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to nearby water bodies, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.					
S5.33	4.10	Sewage from Construction workforce: ▪ Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site. A	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		licensed contractor would be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.					
S5.34	4.11	River Channel Excavation Works: ▪ The excavation works within the upstream end of the existing river channel of the Wai Ha River for the construction of the proposed box culvert shall be carried out in dry condition. Containment measures such as bunds and barriers shall be used within the affected length of the river channel and the excavation works restricted to within an enclosed dry section of the channel. The excavation works within Wai Ha River shall be restricted to the period from October	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		to April.					
D		***Waste Management Implications***					
S6.20 – 6.22	5.5	Good site practices: ▪ Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility. ▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. ▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular	To reduce waste management impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No.19/2005 ETWB TCW No.31/2004

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		collection for disposal. ▪ Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. ▪ Separation of chemical waste for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. ▪ Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. ▪ A Waste Management Plan					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		should be prepared and submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 for details. ▪ A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed.					
S6.23-6.24	5.7	Waste reduction measures: ▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. ▪ To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins	To achieve waste reduction	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		shall be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force. ▪ Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. ▪ Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material. ▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. ▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		avoid unnecessary generation of waste.					
S6.25-6.26		Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material: ▪ Excavated material with suitable characteristics/size should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable, such as for backfilling of the box culvert and drainage pipe works. ▪ Suitable areas should be designated within the works site boundaries for temporary stockpiling of C&D material. ▪ Within stockpile areas, the following measures should be taken to control potential environmental	To minimize off-site disposal of C&D material To minimize environmental impacts during the handling of C&D material	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		impacts or nuisance: - covering material during heavy rainfall; - locating stockpiles to minimize potential visual impacts; and - minimizing land intake of stockpile areas as far as possible. ▪ When disposing C&D material at a public filling area, the material shall only consist of soil, rock, concrete, brick, cement plaster/mortar, inert building debris, aggregates and asphalt. The material shall be free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered to be					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		unsuitable by the Filling Supervisor.					
S6.27		Chemical waste: ▪ Contractor should register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. ▪ Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. ▪ Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the	To minimize environmental impacts during the handling, transportation and disposal of chemical waste	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. ▪ The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes generated at the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or other licenced facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.					
S6.28		General refuse: ▪ It should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. ▪ A reputable waste collector	To minimize environmental impacts during the handling and transportation of general refuse	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. ▪ An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.					
E		***Ecological Impact***					
S. 7.95	6.6	▪ Sheet-pilings, which will be installed around the trench of excavation, should be extended above ground level for ~2m to act as hoarding to isolate the works site. ▪ The trenching works for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in phases, with a trench length of not	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		more than 120m in each phase. The trench should be backfilled and compacted with suitable materials upon completion of each phase of the construction works.					
S7.117	6.6	▪ The construction of intercept point of twin cell box culvert at the upstream of Wai Ha River should be confined to only one side of the river bank. ▪ To restore and enhance the ecological value of the stream, the affected river bank should be reinstated to its original condition or lined with rock-filled gabion. ▪ Planting pits should be provided in the gabion bank to allow the re-establishment of riparian vegetation.	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		▪ The existing natural riverbed and substrates should be retained and the natural pool-riffle sequence should be re-created in the new channel bed.					
S 7.118	6.7	▪ All works carried out within the the river channel of Wai Ha River should be carried out from October to April, with construction carried out by land-based plant. ▪ Works within river/stream channels should be restricted to an enclosed dry section of the river, with containment measures such as bunds and barriers used within the river to minimize the impacts upon the downstream water body.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Contractor	Whole Site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		▪ Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to minimize the risk of sedimentation and pollution of river water. ▪ The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions. ▪ To minimize leakage and loss of sediments during excavation in narrow channels, tightly sealed closed grab excavators should be deployed where material to be handled is wet.					
S 7.119	6.8	▪ The construction of the proposed box-culvert would have the potential to directly impact a few	To protect plant species of conservation interest	Contractor/ qualified botanist/horticu	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		individual of a plant species of conservation interest (Hong Kong Pavetta, *Pavetta hongkongensis*). The affected individuals should be transplanted to a suitable nearby habitats prior to the construction phase. ▪ A detailed vegetation survey of the affected species of conservation interest should be conducted by a suitably qualified botanist/ecologist to identify the affected individuals in order to provide details for transplantation scheme. ▪ Transplantation should be supervised by a suitably qualified botanist/horticulturalist. A detailed transplantation methodology should be formulated during the detailed design stage of this Project.		lturalist			

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
S 7.120	6.9	▪ Noise mitigation measures such as the use of quieter construction plant and temporary noise barriers should be implemented to minimize disturbance to habitats adjacent to the works areas. ▪ Temporary noise barriers should be used during the construction of the box-culvert along Tung Tsz Road, the floodwater pumping station, the mechanical gate, and drainage pipe to minimize potential construction phase disturbance to ardeids and avifauna foraging in marsh habitat. ▪ Noise generating construction works near the Shuen Wan Egretry SSSI should be avoided as far as practicable during the breeding season (March to June) of the	To minimise disturbance impacts.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		ardeids. ▪ Works near the SSSI (i.e. installation of mechanical gate) should be restricted to be executed outside the breeding season by provision of special conditions in the contract document. ▪ Hoardings with minimum height of 2m should be set up along the south side of the proposed box culvert works area adjacent to the marsh, extending at least 20m at both ends, throughout the construction period.					
S 7.121	6.10	▪ Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimise disturbance to natural or	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		moderate-high ecological value habitats.					
S 7.121	6.10	▪ Construction activities should be restricted to work areas that would be clearly demarcated. The work areas should be reinstated after completion of the works.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats outside works area.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	▪ Waste skips should be provided to collect general refuse and construction wastes. The wastes would be disposed of timely and properly off-site.	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	▪ General drainage arrangements should include sediment and oil traps to collect and control construction site run-off.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	▪ Open burning on works sites is illegal, and should be strictly prohibited.	To prevent accidental hill-fires.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
S 7.122	6.11	▪ De-silting should be limited to the dry season.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Maintenance parties of the channel	Whole site	Operation Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.122	6.11	▪ Waste material produced during de-silting should be disposed of in a timely and appropriate manner.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Maintenance parties of the channel	Whole site	Operation Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.123	6.12	▪ Planting of trees should be provided within the project area to compensate for the unavoidable loss of approximately 0.08ha secondary woodland habitat due to the Project. ▪ Planting of trees and other vegetation within project area along the banks of Wai Ha River and Tung Tsz Road should be carried out to provide compensation for unavoidable tree-felling and loss of riparian vegetation resulting from the	To compensate the loss of vegetation	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		Project. ▪ The compensatory planting should make use of native plant species with flowers/fruits attractive to wildlife.					
S 7.124	6.13	▪ Compensation would be required for the loss of a small area of marsh habitat (about 0.30ha) within the CA resulting from the construction of the box-culvert. ▪ An existing low ecological value recreational fishpond on government land adjacent to the marsh would be used as a proposed area (about 0.8ha) for the compensation for the marsh as well as secondary woodland habitats loss (0.08ha). ▪ The pond should be enhanced by removing boardwalks around the	To compensate the loss of marsh habitat and enhance the quality compensatory habitat	Contractor / qualified ecologist	The recreational fish pond located to the southwest of the existing Tung Tsz Nursery	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		existing pond, and restoring vegetation along the pond bunds, and it would be re-profiled to provide areas of shallow water (approximately 15-50cm deep), creating a suitable foraging habitat for avifauna, particularly ardeids and other waders. ▪ Screen planting of shrubs and trees along the south-eastern bund of the pond should be implemented to minimise disturbance to avifauna and other wildlife from the adjacent recreational fishpond. The enhanced pond is expected to provide a moderate-high ecological value wetland habitat.					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
F		***Landscape and Visual***					
Table 8.4	7.6	Visual screen, contaminant/ liaison with nursery, protection of existing trees with works area and construction light are used or practiced to mitigate the impacts during construction phase.	To mitigate the landscape	Contractor	Whole site	Construction	EIAO-TM
Table 8.4	7.7	Viewing area formation, architectural design for pump house, landscape design for pump house, enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road, sufficient soil depth for enhancement planting, transplanting of trees to adjacent locations, preparation for transplanting and reinstatement of affected area are practiced to mitigate the impacts during operational phase.	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the operational phase.	Contractor	Whole site	Detail Design / Operational Phase	EIAO-TM

Appendix H:

A)

The recommend mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

B)

Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

B) Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
2.18	Use well maintained construction plant	To minimize construction noise impact	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO	Implemented
	Shut down plants between work periods					Implemented
	Install silencers on construction equipment					Implemented
	Locate mobile plant far away from NSRs					Implemented
	Quiet plants should be used					Implemented
2.19	Use of quieter PME					Not applicable
2.20 - 2.21	Use of temporary noise barrier		Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable
2.22	Use of alternative quieter construction method		Part of the Works Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable
2.23 – 2.24	Use of noise enclosure		Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
3.5	Implement regular watering and vehicle washing facilities	To minimize construction dust impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Outstandinng
	Cover excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water					Implemented
	Use tarpaulin to cover dusty materials on vehicles					Implemented
4.5	Provide silt trap and oil interceptor to remove the oil, lubricants, grease, silt, grit and debris from the wastewater before pumped to the public storm water drainage system	To minimize water quality impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO	Implemented
4.5	During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. Others measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed					Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
4.10	Provide site toilet facilities	To minimize water quality impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO	Implemented
4.7	Further precautionary measures during rainy season: For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height of 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place, surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual. For the construction of the box culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height of at least 300mm from round level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance	To minimize water quality impact to the designated Conservation Area	Works areas near the Conservation Area	Rainy seasons during construction	EIAO-TM WPCO	Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
	for the built-up water level during rainstorm events. Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system. Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site. Tarpulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimising the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area. Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom of the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete					
5.9	Reuse excavated material as much as possible	To achieve waste reduction	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
5.7	Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.					Not applicable
	Recycle scrap metals or abandoned equipment					Implemented

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.5	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed should be proposed	To reduce waste management impacts	Works areas	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 ETWB TCW NO. 31/2004	Implemented
5.9	Adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials					Implemented
5.11	All general refuse should be segregated and stored in enclosed bins or compaction units					Implemented
5.10	Contractor should be a required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines states in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.	To minimize the environmental impacts associated with the handling, transportation and disposal of chemical waste.	Work site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)(General) Regulation	Implemented
	Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and Incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc.					Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.5	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed should be proposed	To reduce waste management impacts	Works areas	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 ETWB TCW NO. 31/2004	Implemented
6.6	Sheet-pilings, which will be installed around the trench of excavation, should be extended above ground level for ~2m to act as hoarding to isolate the works site. The trenching works for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in phases, with a trench length of not more than 120m in each phase. The trench should be backfilled and compacted with suitable materials upon completion of each phase of the construction works.	To minimize the impacts on the steam and natural river bank.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
6.6	The construction of intercept oint of twin cell box culvert at the upstream of Wai Ha River should be confined to only one side of the river bank. To restore and enhance the ecological value of the stream, the affected river bank should be reinstated to its original condition or lined with rock-filled gabion. Planting pits should be provided in the gabion bank to allow the re-establishment of riparian vegetation.	To minimize the impacts on the steam and natural river bank.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
	The existing natural riverbed and substrates should be retained and the natural pool-riffle sequence should be re-created in the new channel bed.					
6.7	All works carried out within the the river channel of Wai Ha River should be carried out from October to April, with construction carried out by land-based plant. Works within river/stream channels should be restricted to an enclosed dry section of the river, with containment measures such as bunds and barriers used within the river to minimize the impacts upon the downstream water body. Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to minimize the risk of sedimentation and pollution of river water. The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions. To minimize leakage and loss of sediments during excavation in narrow channels, tightly sealed closed grab excavators should be deployed where material to be handled is wet.	To minimize sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
6.8	The construction of the proposed box-culvert would have the potential to directly impact a few individual of a plant species of conservation interest (Hong Kong Pavetta, Pavetta hongkongensis). The affected individuals should be transplanted to a suitable nearby habitats prior to the construction phase. A detailed vegetation survey of the affected species of conservation interest should be conducted by a suitably qualified botanist/ecologist to identify the affected individuals in order to provide details for transplantation scheme. Transplantation should be supervised by a suitably qualified botanist/horticulturalist. A detailed transplantation methodology should be formulated during the detailed design stage of this Project.	To protect plant species of conservation interest	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable
6.9	Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimize disturbance to natural or moderate-high ecological value habitats.	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable
6.13	General drainage arrangements should include sediment and oil traps to collect and control construction site run-off.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitgation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
6.13	Construction activities should be restricted to work areas that would be clearly demarcated. The work areas should be reinstated after completion of the works.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats outside works area.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
6.13	Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimize disturbance to natural or moderate-high ecological value habitats.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7.6	Visual screen, contaminant/ liaison with nursery, protection of existing trees with works area and construction light are used or practiced to mitigate the impacts during construction phase	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the Construction phase	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7.7	Viewing area formation , architectural design for pump house, landscape design for pump hose, enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road, sufficient soil depth for enhancement planting, transplanting of trees to adjacent locations preparation for transplanting and reinstatement of affected area are practiced to mitigate the impacts during operational phase.	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the operational phase	Whole site	Detail Design / Operational Phase	EIAO-TM	Not Applicable

Appendix I: Construction Pogramme

Contract No.: DC/2009/22
Contract Title: Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po - Contract 1

Master Programme (Rev. 6)

ID	ID no. in Rev. 5	ID no. in Rev. 4	ID no. in Rev. 3	ID no. in Rev. 2	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Successors
1	**1**	**1**	**1**	1	**Preliminary Works (Area I - Pak Shek Kok)**	**175 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Thu 19/8/10**		
2	2	2	2	2	Commencement of Works	0 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 26/2/10		3,83,88,105
3	3	3	3	3	Design & Construction of Site Hoarding	30 days	Fri 26/2/10	Sat 27/3/10	2	4FS-5 days
4	4	4	4	4	Site Clearance	10 days	Tue 23/3/10	Thu 1/4/10	3FS-5 days	8FS+10 days,5
5	5	5	5	5	Design of Engineer's Site Office	30 days	Fri 2/4/10	Sat 1/5/10	4	6
6	6	6	6	6	Construction of Engineer's Site Office	60 days	Sun 2/5/10	Wed 30/6/10	5	7
7	7	7	7	7	Engineer's Site Office - Setup the Internal Finishing / Furniture/ Equipment	15 days	Thu 1/7/10	Thu 15/7/10	6	
8	8	8	8	8	Construction of Contractor's Accommodation	70 days	Mon 12/4/10	Sun 20/6/10	4FS+10 days	9
9	9	9	9	9	Installation of Sewerage Storage Tank	5 days	Mon 21/6/10	Fri 25/6/10	8	10
10	10	10	10	10	Contractor Accommodation - Setup the Internal Finishing / Furniture / Equipment	20 days	Sat 26/6/10	Thu 15/7/10	9	11
11	11	11	11	11	Establishment of Vehicular Gate, Storage Area	15 days	Fri 16/7/10	Fri 30/7/10	10	12,13
12	12	12	12	12	Establishment of Welfare Facilities for Workers	20 days	Sat 31/7/10	Thu 19/8/10	11	
13	13	13	13		Temporary Drainage System	20 days	Sat 31/7/10	Thu 19/8/10	11	
14										
15	15	15	15	15	**Time for Completion of Section I**	915 days	Fri 26/2/10	Tue 28/8/12		
16	**16**	**16**	**16**	16	**Section I (Area A,B - Shuen Wan)**	**915 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
17	17	17	17	17	Commencement of Works	0 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 26/2/10		19FS+30 days,35,111,22,20,28
18	**18**	**18**	**18**	18	**Preliminary Works**	**240 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Sat 23/10/10**		
19	19	19	19	19	Seek clarification regarding Environmental Permit	30 days	Sun 28/3/10	Mon 26/4/10	17FS+30 days	30
20	20	20	20	20	Design of TTA Scheme for Site Access	40 days	Fri 26/2/10	Tue 6/4/10	17	21
21	21	21	21	21	Submission of TTA to TMLG for Approval	60 days	Wed 7/4/10	Sat 5/6/10	20	25
22	22	22	22	22	Site Clearance	50 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 16/4/10	17	23,26
23	23	23	23	23	Project Signboard	5 days	Sat 17/4/10	Wed 21/4/10	22	24
24	24	24	24	24	Hoarding Erection	40 days	Thu 22/4/10	Mon 31/5/10	23	25
25	25	25	25	25	Establish Site Access	30 days	Sun 6/6/10	Mon 5/7/10	24,21	30
26	26	26	26	26	Ground Investigation	75 days	Sat 17/4/10	Wed 30/6/10	22	30
27										
28	28	28	28	28	Tree Survey	75 days	Fri 26/2/10	Tue 11/5/10	17	29
29	29	29	29	29	Submission of Tree Survey Record	60 days	Wed 12/5/10	Sat 10/7/10	28	30,31
30	30	30	30	30	Tree Felling	20 days	Mon 26/7/10	Sat 14/8/10	29,139,25,26,19	94,99,37
31	31	31	31	31	Tree Transplanting	90 days	Mon 26/7/10	Sat 23/10/10	29,139	94FS-30 days,99FS-30 days,40FS-30 days
32										
33	**33**	**33**	**33**	33	**Pumping Station**	**915 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
34	**34**	**34**	**34**	34	**Piling Works**	**485 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Sat 25/6/11**		
35	35	35	35	35	Submission of Method Statement	100 days	Fri 26/2/10	Sat 5/6/10	17	46,54,36
36	36	36	36		Material Ordering & Delivery to Site	60 days	Sun 6/6/10	Wed 4/8/10	35	38
37	37	37	37	36	Ground Preparation for Piling	10 days	Sun 15/8/10	Tue 24/8/10	139,30	38
38	38	38	38	37	Preliminary Pile	35 days	Wed 25/8/10	Tue 28/9/10	37,36	39,40
39	39	39	39	39	Loading Test	30 days	Wed 29/9/10	Thu 28/10/10	38	
40	40	40	40	38	Working Piles	110 days	Wed 29/9/10	Sun 16/1/11	38,31FS-30 days	41
41	41	41			Loading Test for working piles	30 days	Mon 17/1/11	Tue 15/2/11	40	42
42	42	42	41	40	Sheetpiling	30 days	Wed 16/2/11	Thu 17/3/11	41	43
43	43	43	42	41	Excavation to Pile Cut Off Level / Shoring	100 days	Fri 18/3/11	Sat 25/6/11	42	47
44										
45	**45**	**45**	**44**	43	**Main Structure of Pumping Station**	**815 days**	**Sun 6/6/10**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
46	46	46	45	44	Temporary Works Submission	120 days	Sun 6/6/10	Sun 3/10/10	35	47
47	47	47	46	45	Reinforced Concrete Works	210 days	Sun 26/6/11	Sat 21/1/12	46,43	118SS+80 days,75,48,68,62,74,57
48	48	48	47	46	Roofing	50 days	Sun 22/1/12	Sun 11/3/12	47	49,50
49	49	49	48	47	Manmade Slope	50 days	Mon 12/3/12	Mon 30/4/12	48	51
50	50	50	49	48	Internal Finishing Works	70 days	Mon 12/3/12	Sun 20/5/12	48	51
51	51	51	50	49	External Finishing Works	100 days	Mon 21/5/12	Tue 28/8/12	50,49	125
52										
53	**53**	**53**	**52**	51	**E & M**	**815 days**	**Sun 6/6/10**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
54	54	54	53	52	Submission of E & M Design	120 days	Sun 6/6/10	Sun 3/10/10	35	55FS-30 days
55	55	55	54	53	Approval of E & M Design	90 days	Sat 4/9/10	Thu 2/12/10	54FS-30 days	56
56	56	56	55	54	Fabrication & Delivery of Plant & Material	415 days	Fri 3/12/10	Sat 21/1/12	55	57
57	57	57	56	55	Plumbing & E&M works	150 days	Sun 22/1/12	Tue 19/6/12	56,47	58FS-30 days
58	58	58	57	56	Final Testing Works	100 days	Mon 21/5/12	Tue 28/8/12	57FS-30 days	125
59										
60	**60**	**60**	**59**	58	**External Structure**	**220 days**	**Sun 22/1/12**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
61	**61**	**61**	**60**	59	**Pumping Station to Outfall Structure**	**220 days**	**Sun 22/1/12**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
62	62	62	61	60	Installation of Cofferdam & Site Hoarding Phase 2	30 days	Sun 22/1/12	Mon 20/2/12	47	63
63	63	63	62	61	Excavation	30 days	Tue 21/2/12	Wed 21/3/12	62	64
64	64	64	63	62	Constrcution of 2nos. of 1500mm dia. Drainage Pipes	50 days	Thu 22/3/12	Thu 10/5/12	63	65
65	65	65	64	63	2 nos. of Outfall Structures	110 days	Fri 11/5/12	Tue 28/8/12	64	125
66										
67	**67**	**67**	**66**	65	**Tide Level Monitoring Chamber**	**220 days**	**Sun 22/1/12**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
68	68	68	67	66	Installation of Cofferdam & Site Hoarding Phase 2	30 days	Sun 22/1/12	Mon 20/2/12	47	69
69	69	69	68	67	Excavation	30 days	Tue 21/2/12	Wed 21/3/12	68	70
70	70	70	69	68	Construction of Pipe & Tide Level Monitoring Chambers	50 days	Thu 22/3/12	Thu 10/5/12	69	71
71	71	71	70	69	Outfall Structure	110 days	Fri 11/5/12	Tue 28/8/12	70	125
72										
73	**73**	**73**	**72**	71	**External Misc. Works**	**220 days**	**Sun 22/1/12**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
74	74	74	73	72	Boundary Wall & Fencing	160 days	Sun 22/1/12	Fri 29/6/12	47	78
75	75	75	74	73	3nos. of Flow Measurement chambers and Pipes	60 days	Sun 22/1/12	Wed 21/3/12	47	76,79
76	76	76	75	74	Surface Drainage System & Catchpits	60 days	Thu 22/3/12	Sun 20/5/12	75	77
77	77	77	76	76	Concrete Pavement	20 days	Mon 21/5/12	Sat 9/6/12	76	78
78	78	78	77	77	Landscaping Works	60 days	Sat 30/6/12	Tue 28/8/12	77,74	125
79	79	79	78		225mm dia. Sewer Across Ting Kok Road and Connection to Existing Manholes	120 days	Thu 22/3/12	Thu 19/7/12	75	80
80	80	80			Sewer Manhole SM1	40 days	Fri 20/7/12	Tue 28/8/12	79	125
81										



Master Programme - Rev. 6
Data Date: 2010-2-26

Task | Progress | Summary | Rolled Up Critical Task | Rolled Up Progress | External Tasks | Group By Summary
Critical Task | Milestone | Rolled Up Task | Rolled Up Milestone | Split | Project Summary | Deadline

Contract No.: DC/2009/22
Contract Title: Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po - Contract 1

Master Programme (Rev. 6)

ID	ID no. in Rev. 5	ID no. in Rev. 4	ID no. in Rev. 3	ID no. in Rev. 2	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Successors
82	**82**	**82**	**80**	79	**Twin Cell Box Culvert**	**915 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
83	83	83	81	80	Liaison with LCSD	15 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 12/3/10	2	84
84	84	84	82	81	Determination of Box Culvert Alignment	30 days	Sat 13/3/10	Sun 11/4/10	83	85
85	85	85	83	82	Record Survey	30 days	Mon 12/4/10	Tue 11/5/10	84	86
86	86	86	84	83	Condition Survey of Existing Structure	15 days	Wed 12/5/10	Wed 26/5/10	85	87
87	87	87	85	84	Submission of Method Statement to LCSD	60 days	Thu 27/5/10	Sun 25/7/10	86	91
88	88	88	86	85	Design of Temporary Traffic Arrangement	60 days	Fri 26/2/10	Mon 26/4/10	2	89,90
89	89	89	87	86	Submission of TTA to TMLG for Approval	90 days	Tue 27/4/10	Sun 25/7/10	88	90FF
90	90	90	88	87	Excavation Permit	120 days	Tue 27/4/10	Tue 24/8/10	88,89FF	99
91	91	91	89	88	Temporary Removal of Structure and Facilities / Reprovision	15 days	Mon 26/7/10	Mon 9/8/10	87	92
92	92	92			Provision of Temporary Irrigation Pipes	20 days	Tue 10/8/10	Sun 29/8/10	91	94
93	93	93	91	89	Box Culvert at Chainage 0 - 25	150 days	Wed 1/2/12	Fri 29/6/12	98	102
94	94	94	92	90	Box Culvert at Chainage 25 - 75	100 days	Fri 24/9/10	Sat 1/1/11	31FS-30 days,30,92	95
95	95	95	93	91	Box Culvert at Chainage 75 - 125	100 days	Sun 2/1/11	Mon 11/4/11	94	96
96	96	96	94	92	Box Culvert at Chainage 125 - 175	100 days	Tue 12/4/11	Wed 20/7/11	95	97
97	97	97	95	93	Box Culvert at Chainage 175 - 225	100 days	Thu 21/7/11	Fri 28/10/11	96	98
98	98	98	96	94	Box Culvert at Chainage 225 - 275	95 days	Sat 29/10/11	Tue 31/1/12	97	93
99	99	99	97	95	Box Culvert at Chainae 275 - 300	450 days	Fri 24/9/10	Sat 17/12/11	90,31FS-30 days,30	100
100	100	100	98	96	Box Culvert at Chainage 300 - 350 (Including Outfall & Desilting Chamber)	150 days	Sun 18/12/11	Tue 15/5/12	99	101
101	101	101	99		1200mm dia. Drainage Pipe	40 days	Wed 16/5/12	Sun 24/6/12	100	102
102	102	102	100	97	Reinstallation and Reinstatement of Existing Structure, Facilities and Trees	60 days	Sat 30/6/12	Tue 28/8/12	93,101	125
103										
104	**104**	**104**	**102**	99	**Dia. 2100mm Drainage Pipe**	**915 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Tue 28/8/12**		
105	105	105	103	100	Record Survey	15 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 12/3/10	2	106
106	106	106	104	101	Site Investigation (Trial Pit)	50 days	Sat 13/3/10	Sat 1/5/10	105	107
107	107	107	105	102	Design of Temporary Traffic Arrangement	40 days	Sun 2/5/10	Thu 10/6/10	106	108,109
108	108	108	106	103	Submission of TTA to TMLG for Approval	60 days	Fri 11/6/10	Mon 9/8/10	107	110,109FF
109	109	109	107	104	Excavation Permit	90 days	Fri 11/6/10	Wed 8/9/10	107,108FF	114
110	110	110	108		Liaison with HyD / LCSD for Planter Removal	25 days	Tue 10/8/10	Fri 3/9/10	108	114
111	111	111	109	105	E&M Design of Penstocks	180 days	Fri 26/2/10	Tue 24/8/10	17	112
112	112	112	110	106	Submission for Approval	60 days	Wed 25/8/10	Sat 23/10/10	111	113
113	113	113	111	107	Fabrication & Delivery of Penstocks	240 days	Sun 24/10/10	Mon 20/6/11	112	120
114	114	114	112	108	MH 04 to MH 05	180 days	Thu 9/9/10	Mon 7/3/11	109,139,110	115
115	115	115	113	109	MH 03 to MH 04	90 days	Tue 8/3/11	Sun 5/6/11	114	116,119
116	116	116	114	110	Intake to MH 03	150 days	Mon 6/6/11	Wed 2/11/11	115	120FS-30 days,121FS-30 days,117
117	117	117	115	115	Reinstatement of Existing Planter	50 days	Thu 3/11/11	Thu 22/12/11	116	
118	118	118	116	111	MH 05 to MH 06	60 days	Wed 14/9/11	Sat 12/11/11	47SS+80 days	
119	119	119			Temporary Drainage Management Plan	90 days	Mon 6/6/11	Sat 3/9/11	115	120
120	120	120	118	112	Intake (As required in Dry Season)	150 days	Tue 4/10/11	Thu 1/3/12	116FS-30 days,113,119	123
121	121	121	119	113	Modification of Existing Outlet Structure of Wai Ha River	150 days	Tue 4/10/11	Thu 1/3/12	116FS-30 days	122FF
122	122	122	120	114	Installation of 4 nos of Mechanical Penstocks	30 days	Wed 1/2/12	Thu 1/3/12	121FF	123
123	123	123	121		E & M Works	120 days	Fri 2/3/12	Fri 29/6/12	122,120	124
124	124	124	122		Misc. Works & Reinstatement	60 days	Sat 30/6/12	Tue 28/8/12	123	125
125	125	125	123	116	**Completion of Section I**	0 days	Tue 28/8/12	Tue 28/8/12	78,124,102,51,58,65,71,80	
126										
127	127	127	125	118	**Time for Completion of Section II**	365 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 25/2/11		
128	**128**	**128**	**126**	119	**Section II (Area C - Ecological Compensation Area at Shuen Wan)**	**365 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Fri 25/2/11**		
129	129	129	127	120	Commencement of Works	0 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 26/2/10		131,132,133
130	**130**	**130**	**128**	121	**Preliminary Works**	**45 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Sun 11/4/10**		
131	131	131	129	122	Site Clearance	10 days	Fri 26/2/10	Sun 7/3/10	129	134
132	132	132	130	123	Hoarding Erection	15 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 12/3/10	129	136
133	133	133	131	124	Pumping Water out of Pond	10 days	Fri 26/2/10	Sun 7/3/10	129	135
134	134	134	132	125	Check actual Tidal against Predicted Tidal Level	15 days	Mon 8/3/10	Mon 22/3/10	131	136FS-10 days
135	135	135	133	126	Survey Existing Pond Bed	5 days	Mon 8/3/10	Fri 12/3/10	133	136
136	136	136	134	127	Design of of Ecological Compensation Area	30 days	Sat 13/3/10	Sun 11/4/10	135,134FS-10 days,132	138
137										
138	138	138	136	129	Submission of Design of Ecological Compensation Area to EPD for Approval	0 days	Sun 11/4/10	Sun 11/4/10	136	139
139	139	139	137	130	Refer to Permit Requirement plus 15 weeks for Approval and Commencement of Works	105 days	Mon 12/4/10	Sun 25/7/10	138	140,114,30,31,37,141,142
140	140	140	138	131	Fill of Pond to Designed Level	165 days	Mon 26/7/10	Thu 6/1/11	139	143FS-60 days
141	141	141	139	132	Transplanting	90 days	Mon 26/7/10	Sat 23/10/10	139	
142	142	142			Temporary Drainage Management Plan	90 days	Mon 26/7/10	Sat 23/10/10	139	145
143	143	143	141	133	Planting Works at Upper Level	60 days	Mon 8/11/10	Thu 6/1/11	140FS-60 days	144
144	144	144	142	134	Planting Works at Lower Level	30 days	Fri 7/1/11	Sat 5/2/11	143	145
145	145	145	143	135	Setting up Water Circulation System	20 days	Sun 6/2/11	Fri 25/2/11	144,142	146
146	146	146	144	136	**Completion of Section II**	0 days	Fri 25/2/11	Fri 25/2/11	145	



Master Programme - Rev. 6
Data Date: 2010-2-26

Task | Progress | Summary | Rolled Up Critical Task | Rolled Up Progress | External Tasks | Group By Summary
Critical Task | Milestone | Rolled Up Task | Rolled Up Milestone | Split | Project Summary | Deadline

Appendix J: Three month rolling programme

Contract No.: DC/2009/22
Contract Title: Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po - Contract 1

Master Programme (Rev. 6)

ID	ID no. in Rev. 5	ID no. in Rev. 4	ID no. in Rev. 3	ID no. in Rev. 2	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Successors
349	348	348	342	330	**Time for Completion of Section IX**	1280 days	Fri 26/2/10	Wed 28/8/13		
350	**349**	**349**	**343**	331	**Section IX (Area A, B, - Shuen Wan)**	**1280 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Wed 28/8/13**		
351	350	350	344	332	Commencement of Works	0 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 26/2/10		352
352	351	351	345	333	Landscape Establishment Works in Area A & B	365 days	Wed 29/8/12	Wed 28/8/13	33,351	353
355	354	354	348	336	**Time for Completion of Section X**	1280 days	Fri 26/2/10	Wed 28/8/13		
356	**355**	**355**	**349**	337	**Section X (Area C - Ecological Compensation Area at Shuen Wan)**	**1280 days**	**Fri 26/2/10**	**Wed 28/8/13**		
357	356	356	350	338	Commencement of Works	0 days	Fri 26/2/10	Fri 26/2/10		358,359,360
358	358	358	353	341	Ecological Monitoring	365 days	Sat 26/2/11	Sat 25/2/12	128,357	361
359	359	359	354	342	Landscape Extablishment Works in Area C	365 days	Sat 26/2/11	Sat 25/2/12	128,357	361
360					Maintenance of Ecological Compensation Area	915 days	Sat 26/2/11	Wed 28/8/13	128,357	361



Master Programme - Rev. 6
Data Date: 2010-2-26

Task | Progress | Summary | Rolled Up Critical Task | Rolled Up Progress | External Tasks | Group By Summary
Critical Task | Milestone | Rolled Up Task | Rolled Up Milestone | Split | Project Summary | Deadline

Appendix K: Graphical plots of trends of monitored parameter


Graphical plots of pH values W1&W2
pH values
10.00
9.00
8.00
7.00
6.00
5.00
4.00
3.00
2.00
1.00
0.00
3-Jul-12
8-Jul-12
13-Jul-12
18-Jul-12
23-Jul-12
28-Jul-12
2-Aug-12
7-Aug-12
12-Aug-12
17-Aug-12
22-Aug-12
27-Aug-12
1-Sep-12
6-Sep-12
11-Sep-12
16-Sep-12
21-Sep-12
26-Sep-12
1-Oct-12
6-Oct-12
11-Oct-12
16-Oct-12
21-Oct-12
26-Oct-12
31-Oct-12
W1
W2
Reference Point
Lower limit


Graphical plots of DO (ebb tide) for W1&W2
DO (mg/L)
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
8-Mar-11
8-Apr-11
8-May-11
8-Jun-11
8-Jul-11
8-Aug-11
8-Sep-11
8-Oct-11
8-Nov-11
8-Dec-11
8-Jan-12
8-Feb-12
8-Mar-12
8-Apr-12
8-May-12
8-Jun-12
8-Jul-12
8-Aug-12
8-Sep-12
8-Oct-12
W1
W2
C2
W2 Action Level
W2 Limit Level
Remarks: Action level: 5 percentile of baceline data
Limit level: 1 percentile of baceline


Graphical plot of DO (flood tide) of W1&W2
DO (mg/L)
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
15-Mar-11
15-Apr-11
15-May-11
15-Jun-11
15-Jul-11
15-Aug-11
15-Sep-11
15-Oct-11
15-Nov-11
15-Dec-11
15-Jan-12
15-Feb-12
15-Mar-12
15-Apr-12
15-May-12
15-Jun-12
15-Jul-12
15-Aug-12
15-Sep-12
15-Oct-12
W1
W2
C1
W2 Action Level
W2 Limit Level
Remarks: Action level: 5 percentile of baceline data
Limit level: 1 percentile of baceline



Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upsteam control station's SS
Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upsteam control station's SS



Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upsteam control station's SS
Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upsteam control station's SS


Graphical plots of Turbidity (ebb tide) for W1&W2
Turbidity (NTU)
500.0
450.0
400.0
350.0
300.0
250.0
200.0
150.0
100.0
50.0
0.0
8-Mar-11
8-Apr-11
8-May-11
8-Jun-11
8-Jul-11
8-Aug-11
8-Sep-11
8-Oct-11
8-Nov-11
8-Dec-11
8-Jan-12
8-Feb-12
8-Mar-12
8-Apr-12
8-May-12
8-Jun-12
8-Jul-12
8-Aug-12
8-Sep-12
8-Oct-12
W1
W2
C2
W2 Action Level
W2 Limit level
Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upsteam control station's Turbidity
Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upsteam control station's Turb


Graphical plots of Turbidity (flood tide) for W1&W2
Turbidity (NTU)
70.0
60.0
50.0
40.0
30.0
20.0
10.0
0.0
15-Mar-11
15-Apr-11
15-May-11
15-Jun-11
15-Jul-11
15-Aug-11
15-Sep-11
15-Oct-11
15-Nov-11
15-Dec-11
15-Jan-12
15-Feb-12
15-Mar-12
15-Apr-12
15-May-12
15-Jun-12
15-Jul-12
15-Aug-12
15-Sep-12
15-Oct-12
W1
W2
C1
W2 Action Level
W2 Limit Level
Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upsteam control station's Turbidity
Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upsteam control station's Turb



Remarks: Action limit is when one documented complaint is received

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water depth at flood tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 80% of baseline water depth.
Limit level: 60% of baseline water depth.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring (water depth at ebb tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 80% of baseline water depth.
Limit level: 60% of baseline water depth.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring (water flow rate at flood tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.
Limit level: 140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water flow rate at ebb tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.
Limit level: 140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.

Appendix L: Condition of transplanted species *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA since 20th Dec 2011

Appendix L Condition of transplanted species *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA since 20th Dec 2011

Specimen No.	Species Name	Growth Form	Height (m)	Date of transplantation	Condition	Remarks
PH01	*Pavetta hongkongensis*	Tree / Shrub	2.3	20th Dec 2011	Fair	
PH02	*Pavetta hongkongensis*	Tree / Shrub	2	20th Dec 2011	Fair	
PH03	*Pavetta hongkongensis*	Tree / Shrub	1.5	20th Dec 2011	Fair	

Date of weekly monitoring: 4 Jan, 13 Jan, 17 Jan, 28 Jan, 3 Feb, 6 Feb, 15 Feb, 22 Feb, 1 Mar, 6 Mar, 12 Mar, 18 Mar, 29 Mar, 27 Apr, 31 May, 26 Jun, 31 July, 30 Aug, 26 Sep, 19 Oct 2012.

Appendix M. Photo of Wai Ha River in October 2012

	
Photo 1. Wai Ha River at W2	**Photo 2**. Wai Ha River at C1
	
Photo 3. Wai Ha River at W2	**Photo 4**. Wai Ha River at C1
	
Photo5. Wai Ha River at W2	**Photo6.** Wai Ha River at C1

	
Photo7. Wai Ha River at W2	**Photo8.** Wai Ha River at C1

Appendix N

Approved Proposal of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring

本署檔號 OUR REF: (2) in Ax (1) to EP2/G/I/117 Pt.4
來函檔號 YOUR REF:
電 話 TEL. NO.: 2835 1581
圖文傳真 FAX NO.: 2802 4511
電子郵件 E-MAIL:
網 址 HOMEPAGE: http://www.epd.gov.hk

Environmental Protection Department
Branch Office
28th Floor, Southorn Centre,
130 Hennessy Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong.

環境保護署分處
香港灣仔
軒尼詩道
一百三十號
修頓中心廿八樓

By Post & Fax : 2827 8700

31 May 2012

Drainage Projects Division,
Drainage Services Department,
40/F, 44/F & 45/F, Revenue Tower,
5 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong
(Attn: Mr. SO Chi Ho)

Dear Mr. So,

Drainage Improvement Works in Sha Tin and Tai Po
Environmental Permit No. EP-303/2008
Revised Water Quality Monitoring

I refer to the letter from Environmental Pioneers & Solution Ltd (ET Leader) of 17 May 2012 proposing changes to water quality monitoring under the EM&A Programme for the captioned project.

Based on the justifications provided and pursuant to Condition 5.1 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-303/2008, I hereby approve the proposed changes to water quality monitoring.

Yours faithfully,

(Maurice YEUNG)
Principal Environmental Protection Officer
for Director of Environmental Protection

c.c.
Internal (w/encl. proposal enclosed in the letter from Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Ltd. of 17.5.2012)
S(RN)1
EIAO Register Office

EP-303/2008

Enquiry of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring

	Prepared By:	Verified By:	Submitted By:
Parties:	Environmental Team Leader (Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited)	IEC (Environ Hong Kong Limited)	Contractor (Kwan Lee – Kuly Joint Venture)
Name:	Ms. Goldie Fung	Mr. Tony Cheng	Mr. C.L. Wong
Signature:			
Date:	16-5-2012	16 May 2012	16/5/2012

Rev. 6

Submitted by: 16-May-2012

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited (EPSL) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. DC/2009/22 Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po (Contract no. 1) to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme.

The scope of the Project includes the following works:-

(1) Construction of a 1000m long, 3m x 2.5m twin-cell box culvert along Tung Tsz Road;
(2) Replacement of existing gates by automatic mechanical gates at the mouth of Wai Ha River;
(3) Construction of a 280m long, 1200 dia. Drainage pipe near Wai Ha Village;
(4) Construction of a 260m long, 2100 dia. Flood relief drain along Ting Kok Road; and
(5) Construction of a floodwater pumping station at Shuen Wan.

The construction period of the project was commenced on February 26th, 2010 and anticipated to complete in August 2013.

2. Water Quality Monitoring

2.1 Monitoring Locations

There are two separate contracts covered by the Environmental Permit EP-303/2008, including contract no. DC/2009/22 (contract no. 1) and contract no. DC/2010/02 (contract no. 2). There are totally 4 monitoring stations (W1, W2 and W4 for impact monitoring station and W3 for control station) selected for the water quality monitoring. With reference to the Clause 4.25 of EM&A Manual (Rev. 3), the water samples are collected at mid-depth of each proposed monitoring stations for measuring due to the water depth is less than 3m. The Location Plan is shown in Figure 2.1 for reference.

Figure 2.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations



2.2 Reference Points for Contract No. 1

The construction activities of contract no. 1 were commenced on 9 March 2011 and anticipated to be completed in February 2013 and those of contract no. 2 were commenced on 29 April 2011 and anticipated to be completed on 27 October 2013. According to the current site situation of the project, there are construction activities carrying out for contract no. 1 and no. 2. The water quality of control station W1 may be affected by the construction activities of contract no. 2. Under this circumstance, 2 additional reference points (C1 and C2) are proposed for the water quality monitoring of contract no. 1. The water quality of both C1 and C2 will not be affected by any construction activities of this project. The location of C1 and C2 can refer to the Fig. 2.2. Reference point C1 is located at 20m apart from the estuary of Wai Ha River. The water quality of C1 will not be affected by the construction activities at flood tide and is free from contamination. The water quality parameter of C1; W1 and W2 are listed in Appendix A for reference. Reference point C2 is the same monitoring location of W3 which is approximate 70m apart from the site boundary and will not be affected by the construction activities.

Figure 2.2 Reference Points C1 and C2 Locations



The monitoring parameters of C1 and C2 are same as those of W1, and the monitoring data of C1 and C2 will be reported as the supplementary information. When the water quality of W2 exceed the Action/Limit levels criteria, the monitoring data of C1 will be used for comparison when the monitoring of W2 is taken at flood tide; and the monitoring data of C2 will be used for comparison when the monitoring of W2 is taken at ebb tide. The comparison of water quality between W2 and C1 at flood tide and between W2 and C2 at ebb tide can help to prove whether influence of water quality is caused by the construction activities. The water quality monitoring of W1 will continuously be carried out and the collected data will be submitted for reference as well.

2.3 Data Analysis

With reference to the Location Plan shown in Figure 2.1, control station W3 is at the upstream location of the Wai Ha River for this project. According to the location, the water quality of W3 can be considered to be not affected by any construction activities of the project. Besides, the level of W3 should be +5.08mPD and its water quality can be considered to be not undergone any tidal influence. Based on these criteria, the Dissolved Oxygen (DO) of control station W3 were used for the analysis in the following sections. In order to indicate the current situation of DO level of the river, the DO level of W3 measured from August 2011 to January 2012 were selected to compare with baseline data.

a. Baseline Monitoring Data

The baseline data of DO of W3 are shown in Appendix B. The baseline monitoring data were collected before the commencement of any construction activities in dry season from 7th January, 2011 to 2nd February 2011. According to the submitted Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report, the Action/Limit level for monitoring station W3 are indicated in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at Monitoring Stations W3

Parameters	Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)		Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
DO (mg/L)	8.66	8.00	8.71	8.61

b. Impact Monitoring Data

Water quality monitoring (WQM) for control station W3 was carried out 13 times in August 2011; 14 times in September 2011; 12 times in October 2011; 13 times in November 2011; 13 times in December 2011; 12 times in January 2012. The collected DO data of W3 in these 77 times monitoring are shown in Appendix C. Average DO monitoring result of each month at W3 are summarized in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Water quality monitoring results of Dissolved Oxygen at W3

Month	Average Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L (Range)
August 2011	6.44 (7.31 – 4.44)
September 2011	6.08 (7.32 – 4.49)
October 2011	5.91 (6.86 – 5.09)
November 2011	5.79 (6.72 – 4.62)
December 2011	6.50 (7.91 – 5.09)
January 2012	7.14 (8.89 – 5.10)

By comparing the WQM results from August 2011 to January 2012 and Action/Limit level (Ebb Tide) of W3 in Table 2.1, it is observed that only one WQM result has not exceeded the Action/Limit level and all other WQM results have exceeded the Limit Level. The result is highlighted in Appendix D for reference. As mentioned before, the water quality of W3 will not be affected by any construction activities of the project, so that the cause of exceedance may due to the natural fluctuation such as temperature and seasonal change.

c. Variation between Dry and Wet Seasons

As the cause of exceedance may due to the seasonal change, the variation between dry and wet season is calculated as below for the compensation of seasonal change. As the water quality in the Wai Ha River (also referred to as Tung Tze Stream) is monitored under the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) routine river water quality monitoring programme. Ten years (Year 2001 to 2010) of river water quality data at station TR6 Tung Tze Stream are extracted from EPD database for the calculation of DO variation between Dry and Wet seasons. TR6 is located near the estuary of Tung

Tze Stream and the location can refer to the map in Appendix E. The raw data are listed in Appendix F for reference. After analyzed the distribution of the ten years data (refer to Appendix G), median of DO for dry and wet seasons are used to calculated the DO variation to eliminate the effect of the lowest and the highest values. The DO variation between Dry and Wet seasons variation is calculated by equation (eqt. 2-1).

$$\text{Variation} = (\text{Dry Season}_{median} - \text{Wet Season}_{median}) / \text{Dry Season}_{median} \quad \text{(eqt. 2-1)}$$

According to the condition 3.7 of the Environmental Permit EP-303/2008, dry season should be defined from October to April; and the wet season should be defined from May to September. The data from October to April are used for the calculation of Dry Season; the data from April to October are used for the calculation of Wet Season. Total 6 sets of result for 3 different time period are calculated for comparison. Both median and mean of the DO have been calculated for time periods including 1) Recent year - Year 2010; 2) Four years data – from Year 2007 to 2010; and 3) Ten years data – from Year 2001 to 2010. The results can refer to Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 DO variation between dry and wet season from 2007 to 2010 at station TR6, Tung Tze Stream

Collected Data	DO(mg/l)	Wet season	Dry season	Variation
Year 2010	Median	6.50	6.50	0%
Year 2007-2010	Median	5.65	6.55	**13.74%**
Year 2001-2010	Median	5.80	6.40	9.37%
Year 2010	Mean	6.16	6.36	3.14%
Year 2007-2010	Mean	5.81	6.28	7.48%
Year 2001-2010	Mean	5.85	6.38	8.31%

From the results in Table 2.3, the highest variation value 13.74% is used to enhance the effect of applying the variation. By applying the variation (13.74%) to the baseline data, a new set of Action/Limit level is calculated by equation (eqt. 2-2) and the result are shown in Table 2.4.

$$\text{Revised Level} = \text{Original Level} \times (1-13.74\%) \quad \text{(eqt. 2-2)}$$

Table 2.4 New set of Action/Limit Level, using the calculated variation (13.74%)

Parameters		Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)		Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)	
		Action Level	**Limit Level**	**Action Level**	**Limit Level**
Original Level	DO (mg/L)	8.66	8.00	8.71	8.61
Revised level	**DO (mg/L)**	**7.47**	**6.90**	**7.51**	**7.43**

With reference to the new set of Action/Limit level in Table 2.4, the higher DO level (Ebb tide) were used to compare with the WQM results from August 2011 to January 2012, it is observed that there were only five times of WQM results have not exceeded the Action/Limit level and all other 72 times of WQM results have exceeded the Limit Level. The result is highlighted in Appendix H for reference. Since W3 functions as the control station of this project, its water quality should not be affected by the construction works of this project. From the comparison results with the original and revised Action/Limit level, it was observed that both sets of Action/Limit level cannot reflect the actual river condition.

3. Conclusion

After the consideration of seasonal change which may affect the DO of W3 in section 2.3, the DO of W3 will also exceed the Limit level in almost all the measurement day in both dry and wet seasons. After the consideration of seasonal change and applied the DO variation between wet and dry season to amend the Action/Limit level, the DO of W3 will also exceed the Limit level in both dry and wet seasons. Both the original and revised Action/Limit level could not reflect the actual condition of Wai Ha River. The Action/Limit level criteria should be revised so as to reflect the actual condition of Wai Ha River and to monitor the water quality.

With reference to other EM&A projects of river work in Hong Kong (refer to Appendix I), the limit level for DO is 4 mg/L and without the comparison of the percentile of baseline data. Suggest that the Action Level criteria remain unchanged which is DO exceedance occur when impact monitoring data is lower than 5

percentile of baseline data; and the Limit Level criteria should be revised to DO exceedance occur when impact monitoring data is lower than 4 mg/L.

Parameter	Original Limit Level	**Revised Limit Level**
DO in mg/L	4 mg/L or 1%-ile of baseline data	**4 mg/L**

Appendix A

EP-303/2008
Water Quality Baseline Monitoring of Reference Point C1 - Flood

Position	Tide	Weather	Date	Time	Location	pH value	Salinity (ppt)	Temperature (^{o}C)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)
Mid	Flood	Cloudy	2/3/2012	8:45	C1	8.5	28.1	18	0.1	9.21
				8:57	W2	7.16	4	18.1	11.7	7.16
				9:23	W1	7.12	0.1	19.3	6	7.47
Mid	Flood	Cloudy	5/3/2012	15:35	C1	8.59	28.3	20.8	0.1	9.5
				15:18	W2	7.14	6.6	21	7.2	6.97
				15:00	W1	7.1	0.4	21.4	3.4	6.91
Mid	Flood	Cloudy	7/3/2012	16:45	C1	8.51	29	20.5	0.1	9.32
				16:30	W2	7.78	16.4	20.8	14.4	7.51
				16:00	W1	7.3	3.8	20.4	27.3	7.39
Mid	Flood	Rainy	12/3/2012	9:40	C1	8.55	28.2	16.7	0.1	9.53
				9:55	W2	8.18	26.3	16.9	1.2	7.86
				10:25	W1	7.94	21.5	16.9	5.3	8.27

Appendix B

EP-303/2008
Water Quality Baseline Monitoring of Control Point W3 - Flood

Location	Position	Tide	Date	Time	Weather	DO (mg/L)		Average	DO (%)		Average
						Data 1	Data 2		Data 1	Data 2	
W3	Mid	Flood	7/1/2011	9:20	Cloudy	9.55	9.46	9.51	88	89	89
W3	Mid	Flood	10/1/2011	12:00	Cloudy	10.12	10.13	10.13	113	110	112
W3	Mid	Flood	12/1/2011	12:50	Cloudy	7.83	7.86	7.85	95	96	96
W3	Mid	Flood	14/1/2011	13:00	Cloudy	9.67	9.52	9.60	98	96	97
W3	Mid	Flood	17/1/2011	15:40	Cloudy	9.92	9.81	9.87	105	104	105
W3	Mid	Flood	19/1/2011	17:45	Sunny	9.25	9.41	9.33	98	101	100
W3	Mid	Flood	21/1/2011	7:40	Sunny	10.86	10.52	10.69	103	104	104
W3	Mid	Flood	24/1/2011	9:15	Cloudy	9.56	9.71	9.64	101	106	104
W3	Mid	Flood	26/1/2011	11:00	Sunny	11.10	10.69	10.90	111	108	110
W3	Mid	Flood	28/1/2011	13:05	Sunny	10.21	9.89	10.05	103	99	101
W3	Mid	Flood	31/1/2011	16:10	Sunny	10.54	10.72	10.63	116	112	114
W3	Mid	Flood	2/2/2011	7:00	Cloudy	10.78	10.66	10.72	109	103	106
5 percentile	DO Action					8.07		8.66			
1 percentile	DO Limit					7.84		8.00			

Appendix B

EP-303/2008
Water Quality Baseline Monitoring of Control Point W3 - Ebb

Location	Position	Tide	Date	Time	Weather	DO (mg/L)		Average	DO (%)		Average
						Data 1	Data 2		Data 1	Data 2	
W3	Mid	Ebb	7/1/2011	14:10	Cloudy	8.91	9.00	8.96	97	99	98
W3	Mid	Ebb	10/1/2011	17:10	Cloudy	9.00	8.85	8.93	94	91	93
W3	Mid	Ebb	12/1/2011	18:40	Cloudy	9.84	9.61	9.73	96	95	96
W3	Mid	Ebb	14/1/2011	7:50	Cloudy	9.98	9.77	9.88	101	95	98
W3	Mid	Ebb	17/1/2011	10:30	Cloudy	10.92	10.63	10.78	104	104	104
W3	Mid	Ebb	19/1/2011	11:25	Sunny	8.77	8.41	8.59	96	97	97
W3	Mid	Ebb	21/1/2011	13:10	Sunny	10.08	9.97	10.03	106	101	104
W3	Mid	Ebb	24/1/2011	15:30	Cloudy	8.93	8.67	8.80	96	94	95
W3	Mid	Ebb	26/1/2011	17:35	Sunny	10.71	10.24	10.48	109	103	106
W3	Mid	Ebb	28/1/2011	7:50	Sunny	9.58	9.55	9.57	97	84	91
W3	Mid	Ebb	31/1/2011	10:45	Sunny	11.33	10.76	11.05	113	106	110
W3	Mid	Ebb	2/2/2011	12:15	Cloudy	9.96	9.59	9.78	102	96	99
5 percentile	DO Action					8.69		8.71			
1 percentile	DO Limit					8.47		8.61			

Appendix C – W3 Monitoring data of dissolved oxygen from August 2011 to January 2012

Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L
1-Aug-2011	6.93	30-Sep-2011	5.52	1-Dec-2011	5.68
3-Aug-2011	7.31	4-Oct-2011	5.09	3-Dec-2011	5.09
6-Aug-2011	6.89	6-Oct-2011	5.12	6-Dec-2011	6.17
8-Aug-2011	7.26	8-Oct-2011	5.89	8-Dec-2011	5.59
11-Aug-2011	6.01	11-Oct-2011	6.09	10-Dec-2011	6.58
13-Aug-2011	6.76	13-Oct-2011	6.53	13-Dec-2011	6.44
15-Aug-2011	7.03	15-Oct-2011	5.19	15-Dec-2011	7.15
17-Aug-2011	6.40	18-Oct-2011	5.21	17-Dec-2011	6.60
19-Aug-2011	6.74	20-Oct-2011	6.04	20-Dec-2011	6.68
23-Aug-2011	6.21	22-Oct-2011	6.86	22-Dec-2011	7.14
25-Aug-2011	5.07	25-Oct-2011	6.58	24-Dec-2011	7.91
27-Aug-2011	4.44	27-Oct-2011	6.39	29-Dec-2011	6.90
30-Aug-2011	6.71	29-Oct-2011	5.92	31-Dec-2011	6.63
1-Sep-2011	7.18	1-Nov-2011	5.66	3-Jan-2012	6.22
3-Sep-2011	7.32	3-Nov-2011	6.64	5-Jan-2012	6.90
6-Sep-2011	5.86	5-Nov-2011	6.58	7-Jan-2012	5.10
8-Sep-2011	5.66	8-Nov-2011	5.47	10-Jan-2012	7.10
10-Sep-2011	6.88	10-Nov-2011	6.72	12-Jan-2012	7.25
12-Sep-2011	6.44	12-Nov-2011	6.53	14-Jan-2012	6.90
15-Sep-2011	6.09	15-Nov-2011	6.51	17-Jan-2012	7.60
17-Sep-2011	5.73	17-Nov-2011	4.74	19-Jan-2012	6.50
20-Sep-2011	6.26	19-Nov-2011	4.62	21-Jan-2012	8.41
22-Sep-2011	4.49	22-Nov-2011	5.09	26-Jan-2012	8.89
24-Sep-2011	6.43	24-Nov-2011	5.70	28-Jan-2012	7.62
26-Sep-2011	5.36	26-Nov-2011	5.69	31-Jan-2012	7.18
28-Sep-2011	5.91	29-Nov-2011	5.38		

Appendix D - Exceedance records from August 2011 to January 2012 for Existing Criteria

Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L
1-Aug-2011	6.93	30-Sep-2011	5.52	1-Dec-2011	5.68
3-Aug-2011	7.31	4-Oct-2011	5.09	3-Dec-2011	5.09
6-Aug-2011	6.89	6-Oct-2011	5.12	6-Dec-2011	6.17
8-Aug-2011	7.26	8-Oct-2011	5.89	8-Dec-2011	5.59
11-Aug-2011	6.01	11-Oct-2011	6.09	10-Dec-2011	6.58
13-Aug-2011	6.76	13-Oct-2011	6.53	13-Dec-2011	6.44
15-Aug-2011	7.03	15-Oct-2011	5.19	15-Dec-2011	7.15
17-Aug-2011	6.40	18-Oct-2011	5.21	17-Dec-2011	6.60
19-Aug-2011	6.74	20-Oct-2011	6.04	20-Dec-2011	6.68
23-Aug-2011	6.21	22-Oct-2011	6.86	22-Dec-2011	7.14
25-Aug-2011	5.07	25-Oct-2011	6.58	24-Dec-2011	7.91
27-Aug-2011	4.44	27-Oct-2011	6.39	29-Dec-2011	6.90
30-Aug-2011	6.71	29-Oct-2011	5.92	31-Dec-2011	6.63
1-Sep-2011	7.18	1-Nov-2011	5.66	3-Jan-2012	6.22
3-Sep-2011	7.32	3-Nov-2011	6.64	5-Jan-2012	6.90
6-Sep-2011	5.86	5-Nov-2011	6.58	7-Jan-2012	5.10
8-Sep-2011	5.66	8-Nov-2011	5.47	10-Jan-2012	7.10
10-Sep-2011	6.88	10-Nov-2011	6.72	12-Jan-2012	7.25
12-Sep-2011	6.44	12-Nov-2011	6.53	14-Jan-2012	6.90
15-Sep-2011	6.09	15-Nov-2011	6.51	17-Jan-2012	7.60
17-Sep-2011	5.73	17-Nov-2011	4.74	19-Jan-2012	6.50
20-Sep-2011	6.26	19-Nov-2011	4.62	21-Jan-2012	8.41
22-Sep-2011	4.49	22-Nov-2011	5.09	26-Jan-2012	8.89
24-Sep-2011	6.43	24-Nov-2011	5.70	28-Jan-2012	7.62
26-Sep-2011	5.36	26-Nov-2011	5.69	31-Jan-2012	7.18
28-Sep-2011	5.91	29-Nov-2011	5.38		

Remarks:

Red highlight: The value is exceeded Limit Level (**<8.61**)

Yellow highlight: The value is exceeded Action Level (**<8.71**)

Appendix E – Location of TR6 at Tung Tze Stream



9. Tung Tze Stream

Appendix F

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Dry Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/1/2001	8.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	12/2/2001	10.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/3/2001	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	19/4/2001	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	12/10/2001	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/11/2001	7.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/12/2001	6.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/1/2002	6.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/2/2002	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/3/2002	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/4/2002	6.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/10/2002	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/11/2002	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/12/2002	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/1/2003	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/2/2003	7.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/3/2003	6.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	10/4/2003	7.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/10/2003	4.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/11/2003	5.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/12/2003	7.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/1/2004	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/2/2004	8.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/3/2004	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/4/2004	7.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/10/2004	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/11/2004	5.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/12/2004	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/1/2005	7.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	1/2/2005	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/3/2005	8.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/4/2005	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/10/2005	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/11/2005	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/12/2005	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/1/2006	5.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/2/2006	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/3/2006	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/4/2006	8.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/10/2006	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/11/2006	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/12/2006	7.4

Appendix F

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Dry Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/1/2007	5.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/2/2007	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/3/2007	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/4/2007	5.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR7	4/10/2007	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/11/2007	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/12/2007	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/1/2008	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/2/2008	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/3/2008	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	10/4/2008	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/10/2008	5.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/11/2008	6.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/12/2008	7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/1/2009	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/2/2009	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/3/2009	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/4/2009	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/10/2009	4.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/11/2009	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/12/2009	7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/1/2010	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/2/2010	7.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/3/2010	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/4/2010	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/10/2010	6.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/11/2010	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	15/12/2010	5.6

Appendix F

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Wet Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	24/5/2001	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	15/6/2001	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	11/7/2001	7.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/8/2001	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	19/9/2001	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	22/5/2002	4.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/6/2002	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/7/2002	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/8/2002	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/9/2002	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	14/5/2003	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/6/2003	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	11/7/2003	6.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/8/2003	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/9/2003	5.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/5/2004	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/6/2004	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/7/2004	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/8/2004	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/9/2004	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/5/2005	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/6/2005	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/7/2005	6.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/8/2005	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/9/2005	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	11/5/2006	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/6/2006	7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/7/2006	5.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/8/2006	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/9/2006	5

Appendix F

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Wet Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/5/2007	6.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	1/6/2007	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/7/2007	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/8/2007	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	10/9/2007	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/5/2008	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/6/2008	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/7/2008	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	25/8/2008	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/9/2008	4.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/5/2009	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/6/2009	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/7/2009	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/8/2009	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/9/2009	5.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	27/5/2010	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	30/6/2010	6.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	19/7/2010	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/8/2010	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/9/2010	6.5

Appendix G

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Median of Dry Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
3/1/2001	8.1
12/2/2001	10.2
16/3/2001	5
19/4/2001	6.6
12/10/2001	6.1
16/11/2001	7.2
17/12/2001	6.2
18/1/2002	6.2
20/2/2002	7.3
18/3/2002	6.6
18/4/2002	6.8
3/10/2002	4.9
4/11/2002	6.9
5/12/2002	5.4
3/1/2003	7.3
7/2/2003	7.4
6/3/2003	6.4
10/4/2003	7.1
8/10/2003	4.8
6/11/2003	5.9
4/12/2003	7.5
9/1/2004	6.1
4/2/2004	8.3
3/3/2004	6
2/4/2004	7.2
8/10/2004	4.9
4/11/2004	5.9
2/12/2004	5.1
7/1/2005	7.8
1/2/2005	5.2
4/3/2005	8.2
8/4/2005	5.1
7/10/2005	5.4
3/11/2005	5.7
5/12/2005	5.1

For 2001 to 2010	
Minimum	4.7
Median	**6.40**
Maximum	10.2
Mean	6.38

Appendix G

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Median of Dry Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
5/1/2006	5.3
3/2/2006	6
8/3/2006	5.5
3/4/2006	8.4
5/10/2006	6.6
3/11/2006	5.5
5/12/2006	7.4
5/1/2007	5.9
2/2/2007	7.3
2/3/2007	5.2
13/4/2007	5.3
4/10/2007	5.5
2/11/2007	5
4/12/2007	7.3
7/1/2008	6.7
13/2/2008	6.9
6/3/2008	6.1
10/4/2008	6.6
20/10/2008	5.6
13/11/2008	6.3
2/12/2008	7
7/1/2009	7.3
6/2/2009	6.9
5/3/2009	6.7
20/4/2009	5.2
16/10/2009	4.7
16/11/2009	6.9
16/12/2009	7
6/1/2010	6.6
18/2/2010	7.4
3/3/2010	5.4
8/4/2010	6.5
20/10/2010	6.4
17/11/2010	6.6
15/12/2010	5.6

For 2007 to 2010	
Minimum	4.7
Median	**6.55**
Maximum	7.4
Mean	6.28

For 2010	
Minimum	5.4
Median	**6.50**
Maximum	7.4
Mean	6.36

Appendix G

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Median of Wet Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
24/5/2001	6.1
15/6/2001	5.7
11/7/2001	7.9
3/8/2001	6.6
19/9/2001	5.2
22/5/2002	4.1
7/6/2002	5
4/7/2002	5.4
2/8/2002	5.7
4/9/2002	5.8
14/5/2003	5.7
9/6/2003	4.9
11/7/2003	6.2
8/8/2003	5.8
5/9/2003	5.6
7/5/2004	6.5
4/6/2004	5.8
7/7/2004	5.2
9/8/2004	6.5
2/9/2004	6.7
6/5/2005	6
3/6/2005	5.8
8/7/2005	6.4
3/8/2005	5.5
2/9/2005	6.5
11/5/2006	5.2
16/6/2006	7
5/7/2006	5.6
2/8/2006	6.7
6/9/2006	5
3/5/2007	6.3
1/6/2007	5.1
5/7/2007	7.3
2/8/2007	6.9
10/9/2007	6.1
16/5/2008	5.5
18/6/2008	5.4
18/7/2008	5.5
25/8/2008	6.1
18/9/2008	4.1

For 2001 to 2010	
Minimum	4.1
Median	**5.80**
Maximum	7.9
Mean	5.85

For 2007 to 2010	
Minimum	4.1
Median	**5.65**
Maximum	7.3
Mean	5.81

Appendix G

EP-303/2008
Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Median of Wet Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
7/5/2009	6
3/6/2009	5.4
17/7/2009	5.5
7/8/2009	4.9
17/9/2009	5.3
27/5/2010	5.8
30/6/2010	6.8
19/7/2010	5
13/8/2010	6.7
9/9/2010	6.5

For 2010	
Minimum	5.0
Median	**6.50**
Maximum	6.8
Mean	6.16

Appendix H – W3 Monitoring data of dissolved oxygen from August 2011 to January 2012 with applying 13.74% variation

Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L		
1-Aug-2011	6.93	30-Sep-2011	5.52	1-Dec-2011	5.68		
3-Aug-2011	7.31	4-Oct-2011	5.09	3-Dec-2011	5.09		
6-Aug-2011	6.89	6-Oct-2011	5.12	6-Dec-2011	6.17		
8-Aug-2011	7.26	8-Oct-2011	5.89	8-Dec-2011	5.59		
11-Aug-2011	6.01	11-Oct-2011	6.09	10-Dec-2011	6.58		
13-Aug-2011	6.76	13-Oct-2011	6.53	13-Dec-2011	6.44		
15-Aug-2011	7.03	15-Oct-2011	5.19	15-Dec-2011	7.15		
17-Aug-2011	6.40	18-Oct-2011	5.21	17-Dec-2011	6.60		
19-Aug-2011	6.74	20-Oct-2011	6.04	20-Dec-2011	6.68		
23-Aug-2011	6.21	22-Oct-2011	6.86	22-Dec-2011	7.14		
25-Aug-2011	5.07	25-Oct-2011	6.58	24-Dec-2011	7.91		
27-Aug-2011	4.44	27-Oct-2011	6.39	29-Dec-2011	6.90		
30-Aug-2011	6.71	29-Oct-2011	5.92	31-Dec-2011	6.63		
1-Sep-2011	7.18	1-Nov-2011	5.66	3-Jan-2012	6.22		
3-Sep-2011	7.32	3-Nov-2011	6.64	5-Jan-2012	6.90		
6-Sep-2011	5.86	5-Nov-2011	6.58	7-Jan-2012	5.10		
8-Sep-2011	5.66	8-Nov-2011	5.47	10-Jan-2012	7.10		
10-Sep-2011	6.88	10-Nov-2011	6.72	12-Jan-2012	7.25		
12-Sep-2011	6.44	12-Nov-2011	6.53	14-Jan-2012	6.90		
15-Sep-2011	6.09	15-Nov-2011	6.51	17-Jan-2012	7.60		
17-Sep-2011	5.73	17-Nov-2011	4.74	19-Jan-2012	6.50		
20-Sep-2011	6.26	19-Nov-2011	4.62	21-Jan-2012	8.41		
22-Sep-2011	4.49	22-Nov-2011	5.09	26-Jan-2012	8.89		
24-Sep-2011	6.43	24-Nov-2011	5.70	28-Jan-2012	7.62		
26-Sep-2011	5.36	26-Nov-2011	5.69	31-Jan-2012	7.18		
28-Sep-2011	5.91	29-Nov-2011	5.38				

Remarks:

Red highlight: The value is exceeded Limit Level (**<7.43**)

Yellow highlight: The value is exceeded Action Level (**<7.51**)

Appendix I

EP-303/2008
Enquiry of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring

Reference Cases

Case	Environmental Permit No.	Propject Title	EM&A Manual	EIA Report	Limit Level for Water Quality - DO
1.	EP-434/2012	Drainage Improvements in Southern Lantau	Section 4.8.1, Table 4.1		4mg/L
2.	EP-429/2012	Development of the Integrated Waste Management Facilities Phase 1	Section 4a.7.12, Table 4a.4		4mg/L
3.	EP-413/2011	Integration of Siu Ho Wan and Silver Mine Bay Water Treatment Works	Section 4.7.8, Table 4.2		4mg/L
4.	EP-334/2009	Sludge Treatment Facilities	Section 5.8.1.1, Table 5.3		4mg/L
5.	EP-224/2005	Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau, Sai Kung	Section 3.9.1, Table 3.8		4mg/L
6.	EP-217/2005	Drainage Improvements in Sai Kung		Section 4.7.3, Table 4.2	4mg/L
7.	EP-190/2004	Improvements to San Tin Interchange	Section 4.25, Table 4.1		4mg/L