

Drainage Service Department

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing report for

Contract No.DC/2009/22

Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1

December 2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Building,
20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Tel: 2556 9172

Fax: 2856 2010

APPROVAL SHEET

The Contents of this report have been


Certified by:

Signature: 

Miss. Goldie Fung
(Environmental Team Leader)

Date: 11-Jan-2013

Ecologist (Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd.)

Signature: 

Dr. Michael Leven
(Ecologist)

Date: 11 January 2013

RLA (Environmental Resources Management)

Signature: 

Miss. Christina Ip
(RLA)

Date: 11.Jan.2013

and Verified by:

IEC (ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited)

Signature: 

Mr. Tony Cheng
(IEC)

Date: 11. Jan. 2013

TABLE OF CONTENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
1 Introduction	1
2 Construction Stage	2
2.1 Construction activities in the reporting period	2
2.2 Construction activities for the coming month	2
2.3 Environmental Status	2
3 Noise Monitoring	4
3.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	4
3.2 Monitoring Equipment	4
3.3 Monitoring Locations	5
3.4 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	7
3.5 Action and Limit level for Construction Noise	7
3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	8
4 Water Monitoring	10
4.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	10
4.2 Monitoring Equipment	10
4.3 Monitoring Locations	11
4.4 Monitoring Frequency	14
4.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	14
4.6 Action and limit level for Water Quality	15
4.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	20
5 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring	21
5.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	21
5.2 Monitoring Equipment	21
5.3 Monitoring Locations	21
5.4 Monitoring Frequency	23
5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	23
5.6 Action and limit level for Hydrological Characteristics	23
5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	28
6 Ecological Monitoring of ECA	29
6.1 Ecological Monitoring of ECA	29
6.2 Monitoring Results	30
7 Landscape and Visual	36
7.1 Introduction	36
7.2 Scope of Monitoring	36

7.3	Landscape and Visual Monitoring Results	38
7.4	Audit Schedule	48
8	Action taken in Event of Exceedance	49
9	Construction waste disposal	50
10	Status of Permits and Licenses obtained	52
11	Compliant Log	53
12	Site Environmental Audits	54
	12.1 Site Inspection	54
	12.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement	55
	12.3 Implementation status and effectiveness of the mitigation measures 55	
13	Future Key issues and recommendations	55
14	Conclusions	57

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix A: Site Location

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact information chart

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for measuring instruments

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Appendix F: Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Data

Appendix G: Landscape and Visual Monitoring Photos

Appendix H: Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

Appendix I: Construction programme

Appendix J: Three month rolling programme

Appendix K: Graphical plots of trends of monitored parameters

Appendix L: Condition of transplanted species *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA since 20th Dec 2011

Appendix M: Photo of Wai Ha River in December 2012

Appendix N: Approved Proposal of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the twenty-second monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the drainage improvement works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po under Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2009/22 entitled “Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1”. This report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period from 1st of December 2012 to 31st December 2012. The major site activities in this reporting period were mainly laying of E&M ducting for the proposed store room, concreting for outfall structure, construction for CLP’s draw pit & cable ducting, installation of overhead traveling crane pump hall, installation of switchboard for switchboard and laying of DN2100 storm relief drain (CH20 to CH70) at Ting Kok Road and construction of the proposed box culvert bay 4 and 9.

The Environmental Team (ET) is responsible for the EM&A works required in the EM&A manual (revision 3). Site inspections were carried out on weekly basis to investigate and audit the equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation. The weekly inspections records and photos taken were kept.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for construction noise was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

Furthermore, impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 6 abnormal incidents of water quality criteria were recorded in this reporting month. During the reporting period, no construction works were carried out at the river bed. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity were believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation, since the recorded levels of Turbidity at control station had also exceeded its baseline limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

No exceedance of A/L level was reported for the monitoring of hydrological characteristics in the reporting period.

The ECA was handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 and the post-establishment phase monitoring has then commenced and undertaken by AFCD. Therefore, no site visit and ecological monitoring by the Wetland Specialist from the Main Contractor and the Ecologist from the IEC respectively were carried out in the reporting month.

According to Table 6.17 of the EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring of the ECA will be carried out by qualified ecologists during the 1-year wetland establishment period of the ECA before handing over to AFCD for their post establishment monitoring. Establishment phase of the ECA began in September 2011, ecological monitoring programme was conducted and monitoring data was presented in respective monthly EM&A reports. Ecological monitoring programme ended in September 2012 and hence there will be no ECA report attached in EM&A reports.

The monitoring of *Pavetta hongkongensis* in Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA) of the project is also conducted. Details of the findings are referred to sections 6.2.

Visual and landscape monitoring has been conducted for the project. Details of the observations are referred to sections 7.

There was no complaint, notification of any summons and successful prosecutions against the project received during the reporting period.

Site works proposed to be carried out in the upcoming month at Area A & B are refer to section 2.2.

It is expected that noise, air and water quality impacts will be resulted from the works. ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary and to keep a good environmental management at site practice. The recommended mitigation measures proposed for the project as well as implementation status are refer to section 12.3.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3) and Environmental Permit requirement.

1 Introduction

This is the twenty-second monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the drainage improvement works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po under Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2009/22 entitled “Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1”. The site layout plan is shown in Appendix A. The Environmental Team, Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited was appointed by Kwan Lee – Kuly Joint Venture to prepare the report. The report is to be submitted to the Contractor, the Engineer and the IEC.

This report presents the results of the environmental monitoring of the project activities conducted within the reporting period from 1st December 2012 to 31st December 2012. This report included the noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, hydrological characteristics monitoring, visual and landscape monitoring, and regular site inspections once per week for verification of implementation of the mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit (EP-303/2008) (EP), EM&A Manual (revision 3) and the Contractor’s Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

2 Construction Stage

2.1 Construction activities in the reporting period

Major activities in the reporting period included the followings:

Area A.:

- Laying of E&M ducting for the proposed store room.
- concreting for outfall Structure.
- Construction for CLP's draw pit & cables ducting.
- Installation of overhead traveling crane pump hall.
- Installation of switchboard for switchroom.
- Laying of DN2100 storm relief drain (CH20 to CH70) at Ting Kok Road.

Area B.:

- Construction of the proposed box culvert bay 4 and 9

2.2 Construction activities for the coming month

Proposed key construction works in the coming month will include:

Area A (Pumping Station)

1. Construction of flowmeter chamber and laying of DN1200 concrete pipe.
2. Construction of DN2100 Storm relief drains (CH0 to CH20) at Ting Kok Road.
3. Construction of the proposed DN1800 concrete pipe.
4. Construction of the proposed DN1500 concrete pipe.
5. Construction of the proposed outfall structure and box culvert.
6. Laying of cable duct for the proposed switch room.
7. Water tightness test for discharge chamber and wet well.

Area B (Tung Tsz Nursery)

1. Construction of box culvert & CH12 – CH55

2. Construction of jacking pit for cross road DN2800 twin pipe.

2.3 Environmental Status

Appendix A shows the drawing of the project area.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 3.3, 4.3, and 5.3 for noise, water quality, and hydrological characteristics respectively.

3 Noise Monitoring

3.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30minutes)}$ was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period, $L_{eq(5minutes)}$ was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (**Appendix D**) immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results L_{10} and L_{90} were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 3.5.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5ms^{-1} or wind with gust exceeding 10ms^{-1} . Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 3.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Table 3.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Precision Grade	Qty
Integrated sound level meter	SvanteK 949	IEC 651 Type 1 IEC 804 Type 1	1
Windscreen	Microtech gefell model W2	N/A	1
Acoustical calibrator	SvanteK SV30A	IEC 942 Type 1	1
Wind speed indicator	Kestrel K1000	N/A	1
Remarks: Calibration details of the sound level meter is given in Appendix C for reference			

3.3 Monitoring Locations

According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit manual, impact noise monitoring for contract 1 was undertaken at two locations during the construction phase of the project. The proposed monitoring locations are summarized in Table 3.3.1. Figure 3.3.1 shows the Noise Monitoring Locations.

Noise measurement at each monitoring location was taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height of 1.2m above ground with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view.

Table 3.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Noise Monitoring Station	Location
M1	14, Shuen Wan Chim Uk
AL1	Joint Village Office for Villages in Shuen Wan, Tai Po

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A manual (revision 3), weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of $L_{eq(30minutes)}$ was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (i.e. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive $L_{eq(5minutes)}$ would be carried out.




Figure 3.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

3.4 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 3.4.1. The results of M1 ranged between 64.5dB (A) and 68.8dB (A), and AL1 ranged between 52.1dB (A) and 69.1dB (A), were within the limit levels and therefore, no exceedance was found.

Table 3.4.1 Noise Monitoring Results for the reporting period							
Location	Parameter	Date*	Time	L _{Aeq} dB(A)	Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	5-Dec-12	12:25	67.8	75	N	Sunny
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	12-Dec-12	12:25	65.1	75	N	Sunny
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	19-Dec-12	12:30	64.5	75	N	Overcast
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	27-Dec-12	12:35	68.8	75	N	Sunny
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	5-Dec-12	13:05	69.1	75	N	Sunny
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	12-Dec-12	13:10	67.4	75	N	Sunny
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	19-Dec-12	13:10	52.1	75	N	Overcast
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	27-Dec-12	13:10	58.1	75	N	Sunny

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring are attached in **Appendix D** for reference.

3.5 Action and Limit level for Construction Noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 3.5.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 3.5.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

Table 3.5.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)
Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.		

3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Noise monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 2nd, 9th, 16th, 23rd and 30th of January 2013.

Table 3.5.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT				
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	1. Notify IEC and Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor. 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Check remedial measures are properly implemented.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC. 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.

<p>Limit Level</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor. 2. Identify source. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances. 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor 4. Check remedial measures properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.
--------------------	--	--	--	---

4 Water Monitoring

4.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L, temperature, and pH measurements were in-situ measurements and suspended solids measurements were performed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory using recommended reference method APHA 2540D.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature was measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-800NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- Salinity in the range of 0-40ppt;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

The measurements were performed by a portable and weatherproof multi-meter, model TOA-DKK WQC-24. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory every 3 months to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Detailed calibration records of the multi-meter were shown in **Appendix C** for reference

Suspended solids were determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection.

4.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), monitoring stations for contract 1 were established at two locations, which are summarized in Table 4.3.1.

Table 4.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Coordinates
W1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA	E:839301 N:836386
W2	Between Tolo Harbour and Proposed Penstock	E:839542 N:836184

As illustrated in Figure 4.3.1, W1 served as the control station while W2 was the monitoring location of water quality.

According to the approved proposal of revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring, two reference points (C1 & C2) were added.

Should the water quality parameters monitoring results at the monitoring station W2 exceed the water quality criteria, the water quality monitoring data of two reference points (C1 and C2) will be used as the supplementary information. The monitoring data of C1 should be used for comparison with the monitoring data of W2 that taken at flood tide; and the monitoring data of C2 should be used for comparison with the monitoring data of W2 that taken at ebb tide. The comparison of water quality between W2 and C1 at flood tide and between W2 and C2 at ebb tide is to prove whether influence of water quality is caused by the construction activities. The details of C1 and C2 are presented in **Appendix O**.

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), measurements shall be taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above river bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

As the depth of water was less than 3m, water samples were collected at mid-depth of each proposed monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection.




Figure 4.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

4.4 Monitoring Frequency

Impact water quality monitoring for each monitoring station were performed at mid-flood or mid-ebb tides for 3 days per week during the course of the construction river works.

Monitoring were carried out on 3rd, 5th, 7th, 10th, 12th, 14th, 17th, 19th, 21st, 24th, 27th, 29th and 31st of December 2012.

4.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Water quality monitoring was carried out thirteen times in this reporting month. Detailed on-site measurements are shown in **Appendix E**. Table 4.5.1 presents consolidated results throughout the reporting month.

There were 6 abnormal incidents of water quality criteria (Turbidity) were recorded in this reporting month according to the established action and limit levels. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed during the reporting period. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination; The exceedances of Turbidity were believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation, since the recorded levels of Turbidity at control station had also exceeded its baseline limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the project.

The water condition of Wai Ha River is presented in photo attached in **Appendix N**.

Table 4.5.1 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results of this reporting month

	Average of Monitoring Results					
	<i>Temperature</i> (°C)	<i>Turbidity</i> (NTU)	<i>pH</i>	<i>Dissolved</i> <i>Oxygen</i> (mg/L)	<i>Dissolved</i> <i>Oxygen</i> (%)	<i>Suspended</i> <i>Solids</i> (mg/L)
W1	22.9	8.0	8.01	7.05	81.5	9.08
W2	20.2	4.2	7.74	7.79	85.2	3.23
C1	22.2	2.2	8.03	8.52	99.0	2.6
C2	22.5	1.8	8.75	7.10	83.1	3.21

Table 4.5.2 Interpretations of abnormal incidents recorded in the reporting month

Date	Tide	Parameter	Interpretations
3/12/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
5/12/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
17/12/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
21/12/2012	Flood	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
27/12/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
31/12/2012	Ebb	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation

4.6 Action and limit level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in EM&A manual (revision 3) and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 4.6.1, Table 4.6.2. The A/L levels for W1 were ignored since W1 functions as the control station for contract 1. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 4.6.3 should be taken.

Table 4.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	Action	Limit
DO in mg/L	5 percentile of baseline data	4 mg/L or 1 percentile of baseline data
pH	N/A	6.0 – 9.0
SS in mg/L	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS
Turbidity in NTU	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turbidity

Table 4.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)				Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)			
	W1		W2		W1		W2	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
DO (mg/L)	8.07	8.07	7.81	7.69	7.12	7.02	6.77	6.31
pH	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0
SS (mg/L)	7.7	8.1	7.7	8.6	10.5	10.9	9.4	9.9
Turbidity (NTU)	4.9	5.3	1.7	1.8	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.5

Remarks:

For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits

For SS and turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Table 4.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.

<p>Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
LIMIT LEVEL				
<p>Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify

	<p>impact;</p> <p>3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer;</p> <p>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</p> <p>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor;</p> <p>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</p> <p>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.</p>	<p>proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;</p> <p>3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</p> <p>3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;</p> <p>4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>unacceptable practice;</p> <p>3. Check all plant and equipment;</p> <p>4. Consider changes in working methods;</p> <p>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days;</p> <p>6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.</p>
<p>Limit level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days</p>	<p>1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings;</p> <p>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact;</p> <p>3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer;</p> <p>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</p> <p>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC,</p>	<p>1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;</p> <p>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;</p> <p>3. Assess effectiveness of</p>	<p>1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;</p> <p>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</p> <p>3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to</p>	<p>1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance;</p> <p>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</p> <p>3. Check all plant and equipment;</p> <p>4. Consider changes in working methods;</p> <p>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose</p>

	<p>Engineer and Contractor;</p> <p>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.</p> <p>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.</p>	<p>implemented mitigation measures.</p>	<p>be implemented;</p> <p>4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures;</p> <p>5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.</p>	<p>mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days;</p> <p>6. Implement agreed mitigation measures;</p> <p>7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.</p>
--	---	---	--	---

4.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Water quality monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 2nd, 4th, 7th, 9th, 11th, 14th, 16th, 18th, 21st, 23rd, 25th, 28th and 30th of January 2013.

5 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring

5.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

Impact monitoring of hydrological characteristics was undertaken to establish hydrological characteristics of sections of Wai Ha River adjacent to Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po.

The hydrological characteristics of sections of Wai Ha River were measured by water flow rate and depth.

5.2 Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring performed by a portable echo-sounder, model Greyline Stingray. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory or manufacturer every year to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification.

Calibration Certificate of the multi-meter is given in **Appendix C**.

5.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), monitoring stations for contract 1 were established at two locations and summarized in Table 5.3.1.

Table 5.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Coordinates
H1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA	E:839301 N:836386
H2	Route to Sam Kung Temple	E:839163 N:836433

As illustrated in Figure 5.3.1, H2 served as the control station while H1 was the monitoring location of hydrological characteristics.




Figure 5.3.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Locations

5.4 Monitoring Frequency

Hydrological characteristics monitoring for each monitoring station were performed at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides for once per week during the course of the construction river works.

Monitoring was carried out on 7th, 14th, 21st and 29 of December 2012.

5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Hydrological characteristics monitoring was carried out five times in this reporting period. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 5.5. All results were within the action and limit levels, therefore, no exceedance was found.

Table 5.5 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

	Average of Monitoring Results	
	Water Depth (m)	Water Flow Rate (m ³ /s)
H1(Floor)	~0.210	0.075
H1(Ebb)	~0.150	0.056
H2(Floor)	~0.270	0.377
H2(Ebb)	~0.180	0.471

Details of the monitoring data were presented in **Appendix F**.

5.6 Action and limit level for Hydrological Characteristics

The Action and Limit levels for all monitoring stations are summarized in Table 5.6.1, which would be applied for compliance assessment of hydrological characteristics for this project. If the hydrological characteristics monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 5.6.2 should be taken.

Table 5.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Hydrological Characteristics at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	Action	Limit
Water Depth at Mid-flood (m)	0.08	0.06
Water Depth at Mid-ebb (m)	0.08	0.06
Water Flow Rate (m ³ /s)	120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement

Table 5.6.2 Event and action Plan for Hydrological Characteristics

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; Consider changes in working methods and plans; Discuss with ET, IEC and

	7. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.			Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC

	on next day of exceedance.			and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
LIMIT LEVEL				
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level	1. Repeat in-situ	1. Discuss	1. Discuss	1. Inform Engineer

<p>being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days</p>	<p>measurements to confirm findings;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. 	<p>mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<p>proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level. 	<p>and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.
--	--	---	---	---

5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Hydrological characteristics monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 4th, 11th, 18th and 25th of January 2013.

6 Ecological Monitoring of ECA

6.1 Ecological Monitoring of ECA

6.1.1 Scope of Monitoring

A specific ecological monitoring programme and ecological monitoring requirements of the ECA are detailed in Section 7 of the approved Habitat Creation Plan (HCP) and Section 6.18 of the approved updated Environmental Monitoring & Audit (EM&A) Manual of the Project.

During the construction phase of the ECA, monthly monitoring of vegetation health (including the planted, retained and transplanted trees and shrubs, and the proposed planting) and weekly site inspections should be undertaken. Monthly monitoring of *in situ* water quality will be carried out once the ECA is filled with water from the nearby Wai Ha River.

During the 12-month establishment phase of the ECA, monitoring of habitat types, vegetation cover, intertidal fauna and other fauna (including avifauna, herpetofauna, fish, odonates and butterflies) will be undertaken on a six-monthly basis, while the vegetation health and *in situ* water quality will be monitored monthly. Site inspections will be conducted twice per month.

The ECA was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012. The wire mesh fences and gate at the northwestern part of the ECA were fixed and

reinstated by the Main Contractor. No site visit and ecological monitoring by the Wetland Specialist from the Main Contractor and the Ecologist from the IEC respectively were carried out in the reporting month. The post-establishment phase monitoring and management of the ECA have commenced and to be undertaken by the AFCD in accordance with the monitoring and management items stipulated in the latest approved EM&A Manual of the Project

6.2 Monitoring Results

According to Table 6.17 of the EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring of the ECA will be carried out by qualified ecologists during the 1-year wetland establishment period of the ECA before handing over to AFCD for their post establishment monitoring. Establishment phase of the ECA began in September 2011, ecological monitoring programme was conducted and monitoring data was presented in respective monthly EM&A reports. Ecological monitoring programme ended in September 2012 and hence there will be no ECA report attached in EM&A reports.

6.2.1 Description of monitoring of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* in Ecological Compensatory Area

According to the latest Transplantation Proposal, monitoring of the transplanted individual of *Pavetta hongkongensis* will cover a period of 12 months after the transplanting exercise. The monitoring will be conducted once a week in the first 3 months and once in each subsequent month in the remaining monitoring period. Health condition and growth of each transplanted individuals will be

assessed and photographic records will be undertaken for each inspection.

Three specimens of protected species *Pavetta hongkongensis* were transplanted to ECA. Weekly monitoring was carried out since transplantation on 20th December 2011.

The monitoring of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* of this report were carried out in 20th December 2012 in Ecological Compensatory Area.

All trees surveyed were evaluated according to the following criteria (Webb 1991)

- Trees of good form, moderate to large size and in good health are classified as good;
- Trees of reasonable form, with few or no visible defects or health problems are classified as being fair;
- Trees that are of poor form, badly damaged or clearly suffering from decay die back or the effects of very heavy vine growth are classified as poor.

6.2.2 Description of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* and remarks

Three specimens of protected species *Pavetta hongkongensis* were transplanted from work area under Contract 2 to ECA at Zone D (Figure 6.3.2.1) on 20th December 2011. Monthly monitoring was carried out and their overall conditions are fair so far (Appendix L). Representative photographs of the transplanted *P. hongkongensis* are showed on Figure 6.3.2.2.

Regular watering is recommended to improve the condition of the transplanted

Pavetta hongkongensis during non-raining period. Relevant mitigation measures will be proposed when necessary.

There is no sign of pest outbreak or dieback took place in the current monitoring.




Figure 6.3.2.1 Landscape floor plan of ECA during establishment phase.



Figure 6.32.2a. Specimens 1 & 2.



Figure 6.32.2b. Specimen 3.

Figure 6.3.2.2. Representative photographs of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA since the first transplantation in 20 Dec 2012.

6.2.3 Summary

Three specimens of protected species *Pavetta hongkongensis* were transplanted to ECA on 20th December 2011 and Monthly monitoring was carried out on 20th December 2012. All of them were in fair condition. Although there is no sign of pest outbreak or dieback, regular watering and close monitoring are still be recommended.

6.2.4 References

Webb, R (ed.) 1991, *Tree Planting & Maintenance in Hong Kong*, Hong Kong Government, Hong Kong

7 Landscape and Visual

7.1 Introduction

The Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project is conducted to fulfill Clauses 5.2 and 5.4 of EP-303/2008 and the monitoring requirements in accordance with Section 7 of the approved updated EM&A Manual (approved by EPD on 31st May 2012) of the Project. A Baseline Review on updating the landscape and visual condition, and the mitigation measures of the Project (including Contracts 1 and 2 of the Project) was undertaken before the commencement of the Project. The review findings were updated in the Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report submitted to the EPD on 14th February 2011.

This monthly monitoring report will detail the scope of landscape and visual monitoring work, monitoring findings and observations, and any recommendations and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures in the works areas under Contract 1 of the Project.

7.2 Scope of Monitoring

7.2.1 Monitoring Objectives

Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project should be conducted in a bi-weekly basis for checking the design, implementation and maintenance of the landscape and visual mitigation measures throughout the construction phase and in a quarterly basis during operational phase of the Project. Observations of any potential conflicts between the proposed mitigation measures and the project works carried out by the Contractors should be recorded. Recommendation and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures should be provided to the Contractor for minimizing any potential impacts on the landscape and visual elements.

7.2.2 Monitoring during Construction Phase

The following landscape and visual mitigation measure should be implemented during the construction phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:

- Visual Screen – Use of hoardings as visual screens for the construction in the works areas;
- Contaminant/ Sediment Control – Use of temporary barriers, covers and drainage provision around the construction works as contaminant/ sediment control to prevent the contaminants and sediments from entering the sensitive water-based habitats;
- Pollution Control – Implementation of pollution control measures to minimize any adverse environmental impacts to the surrounding habitats;
- Liaison with Nursery – Liaison with the nursery operator as necessary to minimize any adverse impact to the daily operation and plant holding capacity of the nursery;
- Existing Trees within Works Area – Maintenance and protection of the existing trees, especially their crowns, trunks and roots, within work sites; and
- Construction Light – Provision of construction light should be controlled at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove.

7.2.3 Monitoring during Operational Phase

The following landscape and visual mitigation measure should be implemented during the operational phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:

- Viewing area formation by planting with shrubs, grasses and benches along the area;
- Architectural design of the pump house will help it fit into the existing suburban, natural to semi-natural surroundings;
- Landscape design of pump house by providing sufficient planting around its boundary fence;
- Enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road with shrubs/ trees of suitable species to help protect the stream and marshes;
- Construction of box culvert should be with at least 1.0m soil depth for enhancement planting;
- Transplanting of existing affected trees to adjacent locations should be carried out;
- Preparation for transplanting is needed to allow sufficient time for root pruning and rootball preparation prior to transplanting; and
- Reinstatement of affected area should be carried out to check that the works areas are properly reinstated.

7.3 Landscape and Visual Monitoring Results

7.3.1 Monitoring Date(s)

This monthly Landscape and Visual Monitoring (December 2012) was conducted to cover only Areas A, B and C of Contract 1 of the Project. The bi-weekly monitoring was conducted on 15th and 24th December 2012.

Area C (i.e. Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA)) was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. No access into the ECA is allowed after the handover.

All photos stated in this section are recorded in **Appendix G**.

The bi-weekly monitoring for Contract 2 was also undertaken on 15th and 24th December 2012. The monitoring findings and recommendation will be submitted in a separate Monthly EM&A Report under Contract DC/2010/02.

7.3.2 Visual Screen

No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for October 2012*.

Observation

Construction hoardings have been erected in Area A along the entire site boundary. Temporary construction hoardings have been erected around Wai Ha River estuary since the building of an automatic mechanical penstock at the area. Since February 2012, temporary construction hoardings have also been erected to surround the works area for constructing a drain pipe along Ting Kok Road. On-going construction works were noticed at the northeastern part of Area A with the temporary hoarding surrounding the works area facing the sea (**Photo 1**).

A section of temporary hoarding has been erected from northwest to southwest parts (i.e. Phase I construction works) of Tung Tsz Nursery in Area B (approximately along the works boundary from Trees U42 to U62). Another section of temporary hoarding has been erected from southwest to eastern parts of the Nursery since May 2012 and connected with the Phase I construction works area. An open section with no construction work has been maintained as a major road access inside Tung Tsz Nursery for their daily operations.

The gate of the adjacent housing area near the previous main entrance of Area C has been reinstated at its original location by the Contractor since November 2012.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.3.3 Contaminant/ Sediment Control

No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2012*.

Observation

Area A

Provision of dust control measure (such as wheel washing facilities) has been maintained at the exit point of Area A.

Used water for washing vehicular wheel and groundwater from the excavated sites were pumped into the silt/sand removal facilities for filtration before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area A.

Area B

Dust control measure (such as wheel washing facilities) has been resumed since October 2012. The construction vehicles were washed at the entrance of the access road leading towards the works area at northwestern part of Tung Tsz Nursery. Used water and groundwater from the built box culvert and the construction site within the Nursery were

collected and drained directly to the sedimentation tanks placed adjacent to the fenced Area C. The water was further filtered through the silt/sand removal facilities in the tank before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area C.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The pond of the ECA has connected with the Wai Ha River directly. No water resulting from normal wetland maintenance practice was pumped out from the ECA.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.3.4 Pollution Control

All used water for washing vehicular wheel and construction works was filtered and drained to the manholes and drainage points, as following the recommendation stated in *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2012*.

Observation

Area A

Provision of vehicular wheel washing facilities was observed at the exit point of Area A to reduce the contamination to the surrounding habitats in Plover Cove. Used water for washing vehicular wheel and groundwater from the excavated sites were pumped into the silt/sand removal facilities for filtration before discharging into the manhole and drainage points adjacent to Area A. The drainage pipes were maintained to discharge the used water to the manhole at Ting Kok Road. No direct discharge of water into the adjacent Wai Ha River was observed from the works area for building the automatic mechanical penstock at Wai Ha River estuary as the works were ceased.

Area B

All used water was collected and drained directly to the sedimentation tank placed adjacent to the fenced Area C. This water was further filtered through the silt/sand removal facilities in the tank before discharging into the manhole adjacent to Area C.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The pond of the ECA has been connected to Wai Ha River directly as following the scheme design of Habitat Compensatory Plan. No direct discharge of turbid water into the adjacent Wai Ha River was observed through the fence of Tung Tsz Nursery (**Photo 2**).

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required for Areas A, B and C. As a reminder, the Contractor should regularly check the condition and locations of the drainage pipes and ensure that all used water should be appropriately filtered and discharged to the manholes/other discharge points agreed by the Engineer and EPD. This is to avoid any potential contamination to the vegetation in Shuen Wan marsh and other vegetated/marinated areas adjacent to the active works area.

7.3.5 Liaison with Nursery

Active construction works within Tung Tsz Nursery has been extended to the east of the nursery in connection with Ting Kok Road since May 2012. All of these active construction works area were demarcated with construction hoardings.

The health condition and stability of the tree *Grevillea robusta* (U58) has been closely monitored on a bi-weekly basis. Leaves as watersprouts were observed along the trunk.

The works practice and maintenance of trees within the nursery generally follow the recommendation as stated in *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2012*. Any observed issues related to the liaison with the nursery are highlighted in this section.

Observation

The temporary hoarding has been erected from northwest to southwest parts of Tung Tsz Nursery in Area B since April 2011. Phase 2 construction work (i.e. from the eastern part of the nursery in connection with Ting Kok Road and finally connects with Phase 1 construction area at the southwest part) has commenced and temporary hoardings have been erected since May 2012. The major road access within the Nursery has been maintained to minimize the impact on the nursery's daily operation resulting from the construction works.

Regular monitoring for all transplanted trees within the nursery was conducted on a bi-weekly basis. For tree U58 (*Grevillea robusta*) (**Photo 3**), the broken scaffold branch overhanging in the canopy was removed. Leaves as watersprouts were observed along the branches and the trunk. The physiological condition of U58 has remained fairly poor in December 2012 and close monitoring has to be continued to update its health and structural conditions.

The tree to be retained U68 (*Gmelina arborea*) was found fallen after the severe typhoon in July 2012 with its leaning trunk being pruned and removed in August 2012 (as reported in *Monthly EM&A Report for August 2012*). As reported in the *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2012*, the developed watersprouts at the remained stump was recorded. However, such watersprouts were removed as inspected in December 2012 (**Photo 4**), possibly by the daily horticultural maintenance performed by the Nursery staff.

No muddy water was found leaking out through the temporary hoarding into the nursery.

Recommendation

The works area and the construction works should be properly managed and implemented without influencing the daily operation of the nursery (i.e. provide enough access road and works area for the nursery operation).

All transplanted trees should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days during the dry season) by the appointed landscape contractor. Meanwhile, the Contractor should

prevent forming waterlogged areas or leakage of used water from the active construction works area into the Nursery. This is to prevent causing any nuisance to the nursery's daily operation.

Regular monitoring and watering of *Grevillea robusta* (U58) are still recommended to be the major treatment to the tree. The appointed landscape contractor and the Contractor should closely monitor the health conditions of all transplanted and retained trees throughout the construction period of the Project.

7.3.6 Existing Trees within Works Areas

Tree Protection Zones (TPZs) in Areas A and B were demarcated within the construction sites as following the recommendation stated in the *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2012*, no piling of construction materials within or close to the TPZs were observed in Area A (see details in the following section).

Maintenance of the existing trees within the works areas generally follows the recommendations as stated in *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2012*, except the observations as highlighted in the following sections.

Observation

Area A

Appropriate TPZs (e.g. with no temporary storage of construction materials) were observed for trees to be transplanted (E19 to E20) at the southwestern part of Area A (**Photo 5**) during the monitoring in December 2012.

Since October 2012, the trees to be transplanted E17 (*Bombax ceiba*) and E18 (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*) originally located within the TPZs nearby the main gate have been relocated to the northeastern part of Area A (**Photo 6**). However, these trees were relocated again in November 2012 due to the direct conflict with the adjacent excavation work at the northeastern part. As observed in December 2012, these two trees were

found in poor condition due to transplantation shock and poor planting skills. E17 was found to be dead as no foliage was found in the canopy and loose bark was observed along the trunk (**Photo 7**). For E18, living foliage was only observed at the lower canopy (**Photo 8**).

As observed since July 2012, the tree to be transplanted E16 (*Bombax ceiba*) has been relocated to the southern side of Area A next to the site hoarding. A TPZ was set up at the base by orange construction nets. The tree was in fair condition during the monitoring in December 2012 (**Photo 9**).

E97 (*Celtis sinensis*) and an untagged tree were also found being relocated at the northeastern part of Area A as their locations have direct conflict with the on-going construction works (**Photo 10**). Both trees were transplanted poorly and were suspected to be dead due to transplantation shock and poor planting skills.

No other significant damages on the crowns, trunks and roots of the remaining trees were observed during the monitoring in December 2012 in Area A. However, most of the tree tags of the surveyed trees outside the hoarded areas were missing as inspected in December 2012.

Area B

As highlighted in the Section “Liaison with Nursery”, small watersprouts and new leaves were observed on the trunk and branches of the transplanted tree U58 (*Grevillea robusta*) but its physiological condition has still remained fairly poor after the transplant.

The transplanted tree U61 (*Lysidice rhodostegia*) was still found leaning severely with its propping uplifted (**Photo 11**), and the cracks on the planter of U75 (*Dolichandrone cauda-felina*) was still observed (**Photo 12**). As informed by the contractor in late December 2012, the contractor will arrange the appointed landscape contractor in early January 2013 to check both trees and provide appropriate tree remedial measures.

The transplanted tree U55 (*Pterocarpus indicus*) was planted directly into the ground as permitted by the nursery. Decayed wood was found along the tree trunk (**Photo 13**) and

such decay may be due to wood borers. The contractor informed that the appointed landscape contractor will have further pest control and detailed assessment for this tree.

The tree to be transplanted T102 (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*) has been relocated to the southern part within the Phase 2 construction area of Area B next to the hoarding since November 2012 (**Photo 14**). The tree appeared in poor condition as only a few leaves left in the canopy.

No recovery signs have been observed on the relocated trees U34 (**Photo 15**), U35 (**Photo 16**) and U37 (**Photo 17**) and they are regarded as dead specimens.

A42, U74, U72, U70, U69, A43, U62 and an untagged *Terminalia catappa* were not inspected closely in December 2012 as piling of soil and stones were found next to them. They were observed in distance and found in fair physiological condition (**Photo 18**).

Waterlogging was found in the areas around the trunk bases of three relocated trees (U76, U77 and U78) before. U76 and U78 (*Terminalia catappa*) were observed in poor condition with sparse foliage in December 2012 (**Photo 19**). In particular, the relocated tree U77 (*Terminalia catappa*) was suspected to be dead as no leaves were observed in the canopy (**Photo 20**). In addition, another relocated tree U79 (*Terminalia catappa*) on the southwest of U76 was also found in poor condition with sparse foliage in December 2012 (**Photo 21**).

All of the relocated trees were not guyed and only a few of these trees were protected within orange construction nets established as temporary TPZs.

Broken planters for three trees (U54 and two existing trees with no tag next to U54) were observed at their temporary receptor sites within the Phase 1 works area to the northwest of the nursery. All of them have been generally surrounded by the orange construction nets to prevent further damage to the remaining planters.

The remaining trees, including retained and transplanted specimens, within the nursery were maintained generally in fair condition, with no significant damage on tree crowns, trunks and roots observed during the monitoring in December 2012.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The area was fenced off and no access was allowed.

Recommendations

Area A

Maintenance of proper TPZs with no temporarily stored construction materials, excessive stockpiled soil and waterlogged condition around the tree trunk flares have been the major tree management issues in Areas A and B. The Contractor should continue notifying the on-site workers not to stockpile soil/construction materials or place construction equipment within and close to the TPZs or lower trunk/trunk flare. Any temporarily stored construction materials/ equipment and excessive water around the trunk flares should be removed or drained immediately. Operators of the construction machines should be aware of the presence of these relocated and retained trees nearby their works.

All the trees within and outside the hoarded site should be re-tagged in order to facilitate the monitoring of their existing condition.

All retained trees or trees to be transplanted should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days) by the landscape contractor. The Contractor should conduct regular inspection on the health condition and protection measures of each existing trees within the Area A. In particular, regular watering should be applied on those recently relocated trees with regard to their poor health condition.

Some relocated trees were very poor and were suspected to be dead due to transplantation shock and poor planting skills. If no improvement of these trees' condition is noted in a prolonged period, the Contractor should replace these specimens.

Area B

All transplanted trees should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days during the dry season) by the landscape contractor. This is a necessary maintenance practice to improve the survival rates and growth for trees showing poor health condition as a result of the transplantation shock. Regular check of the tree health should be conducted. Proper protective measures such as guying and TPZs are recommended especially for the newly transplanted/ relocated trees. Waterlogged areas (e.g. around trunk bases of U76, U77 and U78) should be avoided and all used water around the tree trunk flares and close to the tree root zones should be drained out immediately. To prevent accidental drainage of used water into the tree root zones of the relocated trees, the Contractor is recommended to establish a proper separation (e.g. sandbags barriers or wooden plates) between the trees (especially U76, T77 and U78) and the ground of the active construction work.

Regular inspection of the tree health of U55 and U58 should be undertaken to update their health condition and any tree defects. If these trees are found to be dead specimens in the wet season, the Contractor should replace these specimens.

The Contractor has to repair the planters or establish proper TPZs for the tree U54 and the two untagged trees adjacent to U54 as soon as possible. In addition, the Contractor should ensure that all planters have been properly maintained.

The Contractor is recommended to re-tag the translocated trees and regularly check the condition of the tags. All tree tags on the trees should be managed properly by the Contractor throughout the construction and establishment phases.

Area C

As Area C was handed over to AFCD for management and maintenance, no further recommendation is given.

7.3.7 Construction Lights

No follow-up action on maintenance of construction light is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for October 2012*.

Observation

No construction light impact to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove as all construction activities and construction sites are halted at 1800. No construction light at night is provided by the Contractor.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.4 Audit Schedule

The next bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring in January 2013 is scheduled to be conducted in the weeks of 7th and 21st January 2013.

8 Action taken in Event of Exceedance

If the measurements (Noise, Water, Hydrological Characteristics, and Ecology) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting month there was no exceedance for noise, hydrological characteristics, and ecological measurements recorded; therefore, no actions were taken.

For water quality monitoring, total 6 abnormal incidents of water quality criteria were recorded in this reporting month according to the established level. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed during the reporting period. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity were believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation, since the recorded levels of Turbidity at control station had also exceeded its baseline limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

The water condition of Wai Ha River is presented in photo attached in **Appendix N**.

9 Construction waste disposal

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed of in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Table 9.1 is a summary of figures of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 9.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
Year2011	11.758	0.00	9.703	0.665	0.750	0.556	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.2
Jan-12	0.010	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.005
Feb-12	0.130	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mar-12	0.125	0.00	0.125	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
Apr-12	0.265	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
May-12	0.705	0.00	0.705	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
June-12	1.375	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
July-12	1.870	0.00	1.72	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Aug-12	1.83	0.00	0.895	0.935	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Sep-12	1.635	0.00	1.635	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.035
Oct-12	1.125	0.00	1.125	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04
Nov-12	0.532	0.00	0.532	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.015
Dec-12	0.420	0.00	1.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.015
Total	22.495	0.00	19.587	1.85	0.71	0.556	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.375
Forecast of Total Quantities of C & D Materials to be Generated from the Contract											
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
	37.37	8.27	12.09	0.00	25.28	2.1	10	2	0.5	1	1

Notes (1) The Performance targets are given in PS Clause 26.23 (14)

(2) The waste flow table shall also include C & D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for used at the sites

(3) Plastics refer to plastics bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

(4) The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance with the PS Clause 25.20A(4)

10 Status of Permits and Licenses obtained

Table 10.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities

Table 10.1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained

Description	License / Permit No.#	Date of Issue	Site	Date of expiry	Status
Environmental Permit	EP-303/2008	2008/2/25	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid
Discharge Licence	WT00006448-2010	2010/6/15	Area A, B & C	30/6/2015	Valid
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	316597	2010/4/26	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid
Waste Disposal	7010348	2010/3/2	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid

11 Compliant Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting period. Therefore, follow up actions for the environmental complaint is not required.

Table 11.1 Summary of Formal Complaints received

	Noise	Water	Ecology	Others
Year 2011	0	0	0	0
January 2012	0	0	0	0
February 2012	0	0	0	0
March 2012	0	0	0	0
April 2012	0	0	0	0
May 2012	0	0	0	0
June 2012	0	0	0	0
July 2012	0	0	0	0
August 2012	0	0	0	0
September 2012	0	0	0	0
October 2012	0	0	0	0
November 2012	0	0	0	0
December 2012	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

12 Site Environmental Audits

12.1 Site Inspection

Site inspections were undertaken weekly to inspect the construction activities in active site areas to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Within this reporting period, site inspections were conducted on 7th, 14th, 17th, and 27th of December 2012. A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments and relevant photos have been filed and kept. The findings from inspection were summarized in Table 12.1.

Table 12.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
16, 22 & 28 Nov 12 7 & 14 Dec 12	Open stockpile was observed at Area B.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that the construction materials should be covered with tarpaulin sheets	Open stockpile was covered with tarpaulin sheets by contractor.	21 Dec 12	
28 Nov 12 7 Dec 12	Construction materials were observed inside the tree protection zone	Observation	Construction materials were observed inside the tree protection zone at Area B.	Construction materials were removed by contractor.	14 Dec 12	
14 Dec 12	Dusty materials were observed at Area A	Observation	Contractor was reminded that dusty materials should be covered with tarpaulin sheet.	Dusty materials were covered with tarpaulin sheets by contractor.	21 Dec 12	
21 & 27 Dec 12	Drip tray was not provided for the chemical materials.	Observation	Contractor was reminded that chemical materials should be placed inside the drip trays.	Outstanding	N/A	

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date	Remarks
27 Dec 12	Open Stockpile was observed at Area B	Observation	Contractor was reminded to cover the open stockpile with tarpaulin sheets.	Outstanding	N/A	

12.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement

There was no non-compliance recorded for the month of December 2012.

12.3 Implementation status and effectiveness of the mitigation measures

Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to address those problems as advised by ER and ET. Some of the measures taken by the contractor were considered as effective to minimize negative impact to the environment. Ongoing investigation will be carried out to observe performance and effectiveness of those measures. Outstanding environmental items will be inspected in next month.

As there were some ongoing follow up practices, contractor was reminded to regularly review and rectify the discrepancy once found and maintain good site condition. The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit and Final Mitigation Measures Report.

The recommend mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3) are presented in **Appendix H (A)**.

The implemented statuses of mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix H (B)**

13 Future Key issues and recommendations

According to the forecasted site activities, key environmental issued to be considered should at least include:

- Site water control and relevant protective measures.

- Quality of effluent discharge from Area A.
- Control and disposal for construction wastes generated from works.

Tree protective measure for tree planting and transplanting, such as tree protection zone and regular watering.

14 Conclusions

Laying of E&M ducting for the proposed store room, concreting for outfall structure, construction for CLP's draw pit & cable ducting, installation of overhead traveling crane pump hall, installation of switchboard for switchboard and laying of DN2100 storm relief drain (CH20 to CH70) at Ting Kok Road and construction of the proposed box culvert bay 4 and 9 were major site activities being carried out within this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Project Proponent, Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 17th of December 2012.

For noise level monitoring, all results were within the established A/L limits.

For water quality monitoring, total 6 abnormal accidents of water quality criteria were recorded in this reporting month in accordance with the established level. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed during the reporting period. Proper mitigation measures was implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river. No particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity were believed to be mainly attributed natural fluctuation, since the recorded levels of Turbidity at control station had also exceeded its baseline limit level, the exceedances recorded at were unlikely to be related to the Project.


Also, there were not any notifications of summons recorded during the reporting period. Furthermore, there were not any formal prosecution and complaints recorded.

ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary, and to keep a good environmental management at site practice.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit

programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3) and Environmental Permit requirement.

Appendix A: Site Location Plan



NOTES

- 1 ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRE ABOVE PRINCIPAL DATUM
- 2 ALL CO-ORDINATES GIVEN ARE IN METRE AND ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH HK1980 COORDINATES SYSTEM
- 3 ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
- 4 THE PUBLIC CLEANING AREA SHALL BE THE AREAS WITHIN 2.50 BEYOND THE LIMIT OF WORKS AREAS EXCLUDING PRIVATE AREAS

LEGEND

- LIMIT OF WORKS AREA A
- LIMIT OF WORKS AREA B
- LIMIT OF WORKS AREA C
- ⊕ S1001 SETTING OUT POINT

836500 N
 836400 N
 836300 N
 2010-2-5 11:54:09
 p:\projects\70102\1000\TENDER ADDENDUM NO 2\1001A.dgn

SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES		SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES	
	EAST	NORTH		EAST	NORTH
S1001	839419.85	836487.39	S1033	839416.57	836565.02
S1002	839552.87	836448.19	S2001	839359.64	836440.00
S1003	839565.71	836455.59	S2002	839455.33	836377.17
S1004	839645.15	836453.32	S2003	839454.72	836364.01
S1005	839642.86	836438.59	S2004	839444.83	836360.18
S1006	839632.32	836403.40	S2005	839431.18	836350.43
S1007	839606.96	836388.02	S2006	839416.23	836334.46
S1008	839575.93	836381.95	S2007	839402.78	836316.79
S1009	839564.58	836347.39	S2008	839388.21	836294.20
S1020	839559.70	836348.57	S2009	839387.28	836295.89
S1021	839577.50	836402.77	S2010	839386.22	836296.28
S1022	839580.67	836439.89	S2011	839359.47	836318.71
S1023	839574.36	836440.21	S2012	839335.17	836354.66
S1024	839565.15	836437.12	S2013	839331.61	836362.84
S1025	839403.37	836481.74	S2014	839330.58	836373.54
S1026	839401.88	836519.17	S2015	839339.64	836398.89
S1027	839393.62	836517.93	S2016	839343.40	836419.61
S1028	839384.64	836517.93	S2017	839344.90	836425.93
S1029	839401.09	836539.01	S2018	839345.68	836428.44
S1030	839400.72	836540.44	S2019	839346.50	836430.44
S1031	839391.48	836555.02	S2020	839346.50	836433.74
S1032	839394.01	836565.02	S2021	839347.67	836434.68

MATCH LINE
FOR CONTINUATION
SEE DRG NO 70102/C4/1002

A	TENDER ADDENDUM NO 2	ECYPREYM	10-09
-	TENDER DRAWING	ECYPREYM	09-09

**DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT,
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION**

**DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT
WORKS IN SHUEN WAN TAI PO - CONTRACT 1**

**SETTING OUT PLAN FOR
WORKS AREA A, B AND C**

SHEET 1 OF 2

AECOM



DRG.NO. 70102/C4/1001A
圖紙編號

DESIGNED BY CPWU	CONTRACT NO. DC/2009/22	DR. APPROVED DML
DRAWN BY LWL	STATUS ISSUED	
SCALE A1 : 1 500	DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES	

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

MATCH LINE
FOR CONTINUATION
SEE DRG. NO 70102/C4/1001

NOTE
1. FOR NOTES AND LEGEND SEE DRAWING
NO. 70102/C4/1001



836200 N

836100 N

2010-2-5 13:32:23

SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES	
	EAST	NORTH
S1010	839551.12	836262.82
S1011	839529.43	836212.16
S1012	839515.72	836208.18
S1013	839515.04	836203.85
S1014	839506.43	836193.56
S1015	839501.60	836197.60
S1016	839506.56	836203.52
S1017	839502.65	836209.58
S1018	839525.81	836216.32
S1019	839546.27	836264.19

TENDER DRAWING	ECYPRC14	09-09
NO.	DATE	SCALE

D DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT,
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT
WORKS IN SHUEN WAN, TAI PO - CONTRACT 1

SETTING OUT PLAN FOR
WORKS AREA A, B AND C
SHEET 2 OF 2

AECOM

DRG. NO. 70102/C4/1002
圖紙編號

DESIGNED BY 設計	CP/WU	CONTRACT NO. 合約編號	DC/2009/22	APPROVED BY 核准人	DML
DRAWN BY 繪圖	LWL	STATUS 狀態			
SCALE 比例	A1:1 500				
DIMENSIONS ARE IN 尺寸單位	METRES				

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED
版權所有

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact Information Table

Post	Name	Contact No.	Contact Fax	e-mail
Project Manager	Mr. W. K. Chan	6821 1136	2674 6688	dc200922jv_pmcwk@yahoo.com.hk
Site Agent	Mr. H. B. Chan	2674 3888	2674 6688	dc200922jv_sa@yahoo.com.hk
Environmental Officer / Sub-agent	Mr. K. M. Ma	9552 1734	2674 6688	dc200922jv_suba@yahoo.com.hk
Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Anthony Chan	9179 2092	2674 6688	anthony277@hotmail.com
Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd. (Wetland Specialist)	Dr. Mike Leven	2486 2885	2471 8389	mrleven@asiaecol.com.hk
Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited (Environmental Team)	Ms. Goldie Fung	2556 9172	2856 2010	goldiefung@fseng.com.hk

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **21289**

Page 1 of 3 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q20468

Date of receipt : 2-Mar-12

Item Tested

Description : Digital Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer : SVAN

Model : 949

Serial No. : 8571

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 5-Mar-12

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 651 Type 1 & IEC 804 Type 1 specification after adjustment.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S017A	Multi-Function Generator	07279	SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	15136	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
P. F. Wong

Approved by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

Date: 7-Mar-12

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21289

Page 2 of 3 Pages

Results :

1. SPL Accuracy

Level Range	UUT Setting			Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	
	Octave Filter	Weight	Response		Before adjust	After adjust
105 dB	OFF	A	Fast	94.0	*92.0	94.0
			Slow		--	94.0
		C	Fast		--	94.0
130 dB	OFF	A	Fast	94.0	--	94.0
			Slow		--	94.0
		C	Fast		--	94.0
	OFF	A	Fast	114.0	--	114.1
			Slow		--	114.1
		C	Fast		--	114.1

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.7 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Level Stability : 0.0 dB

IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.3 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

3. Linearity

3.1 Level Linearity

UUT Range (dB)	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec. (inside Primary)
130	114.0	114.0	0.0	± 0.7 dB
	104.0	104.0	0.0	
	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	--	
105	84.0	84.0	0.0	
	74.0	74.0	0.0	
	64.0	64.0	0.0	
	54.0	54.0	0.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21289

Page 3 of 3 Pages

3.2 Differential level linearity

UUT Range (dB)	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Variation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
130	84.0	84.0	0.0	± 0.4 dB
	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	0.0	
	95.0	95.0	0.0	± 0.2 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

4. Frequency Weighting

A weighting

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 651 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-40.4	- 39.4 dB, ± 1.5 dB
63 Hz	-27.2	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-17.0	- 16.1 dB, ± 1 dB
250 Hz	-9.4	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-2.6	- 3.2 dB, ± 1 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1 dB
2 kHz	+1.8	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1 dB
4 kHz	+1.8	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1 dB
8 kHz	-0.4	- 1.1 dB, + 1.5 dB ~ -3 dB
16 kHz	-6.3	- 6.6 dB, + 3 dB ~ -∞

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

5. Time Averaging

Applied Burst duty Factor	Applied Leq. Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 804 Type 1 Spec.
continuous	50.0	--	--
1/10	50.0	50.2	± 0.5 dB
1/10 ²	50.0	49.8	
1/10 ³	50.0	50.1	± 1.0 dB
1/10 ⁴	50.0	49.9	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 001 hPa.

4. *Out of specification.

----- END -----



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21290

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 21 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q20468

Date of receipt : 2-Mar-12

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer : Svantek

Model : SV30A

Serial No. : 7908

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 5-Mar-12

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:


<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	13535	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S024	Sound Level Calibrator	15136	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	15610	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	16338	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
P. F. Wong

Approved by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Date: 7-Mar-12



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 21290

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	94.10	± 0.3 dB
114	114.18	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.000 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty : ± 3.6 x 10⁻⁶

3. Level Stability : 0.0 dB

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

4. Total Harmonic Distortion : < 0.8 %

IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 %

Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The above measured values are the mean of 3 measurements.

3. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

4. Atmospheric Pressure : 1001 hPa.

----- END -----



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR ALLEN CHAN
CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD
ADDRESS: FLAT 19A, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BUILDING,
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,
CHAI WAN,
HONG KONG.

WORK ORDER: HK1227602
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 15/10/2012
DATE OF ISSUE: 16/10/2012

PROJECT: --

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity
Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 685940
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 15 October, 2012

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ISSUING LABORATORY: HONG KONG

Address

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung
HONG KONG

Phone: 852-2610 1044
Fax: 852-2610 2021
Email: hongkong@alsglobal.com


Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 3

ADDRESS 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong PHONE +852 2610 1044 FAX +852 2610 2021
ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1227602
Date of Issue: 16/10/2012
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD



Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 685940
Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 15 October, 2012 **Date of next Calibration:** 15 January, 2013

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	141.0	-4.0
6667	6460	-3.1
12890	12900	0.1
58670	59000	0.6
	Tolerance Limit (%)	10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.55	3.42	-0.13
5.01	5.00	-0.01
7.95	7.93	-0.02
	Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)	0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.00	0.00
7.0	7.00	0.00
10.0	10.03	0.03
	Tolerance Limit (±unit)	0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.0	10.1	0.1
23.5	23.5	0.0
40.5	40.7	0.2
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	2.0


 Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
 Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1227602
Date of Issue: 16/10/2012
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LTD



Description: Multi-meter
Brand Name: TOA-DKK
Model No.: WMS-24
Serial No.: 685940
Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 15 October, 2012 **Date of next Calibration:** 15 January, 2013

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	--
4	3.7	-7.5
40	41.8	4.5
80	82.6	3.2
400	432.8	8.2
800	793.6	-0.8
	Tolerance Limit ($\pm\%$)	10.0


Mr Chan Kwok Fai, Godfrey
Laboratory Manager - Hong Kong



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **27765**

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q22905

Date of receipt : 9-Nov-12

Item Tested

Description : Portable Level-Velocity Logger

Manufacturer : Greyline

Model : Stingray

Serial No. : 45525

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 10-Dec-12

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: V12, T03, M07.

Test Results

All results were within the tolerance(s).

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S179	Std. Tape	20976	NIM-PRC
S136A	Stop Watch	26076	SCL-HKSAR
S214A	Std. Thermo-Hygrometer	21518	SCS-SWISS, NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

S. K. Tang

Approved by :

Alan Chu

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Date: 10-Dec-12



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 27765

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Flow Rate

Applied Value (Ft/s)	UUT Reading (Ft/s)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
1.34	1.4	$\pm 5\%$ f.s	$\pm 1\%$

2. Level

Applied Value (Ft)	UUT Reading (Ft)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
1.00	1.0	$\pm 5\%$ f.s.	$\pm 0.1\%$
2.00	2.0		
3.00	3.0		
4.00	4.0		

3. Temperature

Applied Value (°C)	UUT Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
23.0	22	$\pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	$\pm 0.2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Sensor Used : Model : QZ02L-UT-01-PS

S/N : 10D18289

----- END -----

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		5/12/2012	5/12/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		12:25	13:05
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.8	0.5
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	67.8	69.1
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	69.1	69.6
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	48.8	52.4
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

5/12/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		12/12/2012	12/12/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		12:25	13:10
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.6	0.6
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	65.1	67.4
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	66.9	68.1
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	50.1	48.9
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

12/12/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		19/12/2012	19/12/2012
Weather Condition		Overcast	Overcast
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		12:30	13:10
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.8	0.4
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	64.5	52.1
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	65.1	55.4
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	44.7	50.3
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

19/12/2012

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		27/12/2012	27/12/2012
Weather Condition		Sunny	Sunny
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		12:35	13:10
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.6	0.8
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	68.8	58.1
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	69.3	60.2
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	53.1	47.7
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

27/12/2012

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Remark:

Red highlighting: The value is exceeding limit level

Yellow highlighting: The value is exceeding action levele

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 3/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	15:30	16:00	10:15
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.80	7.46	8.50
Temperature (°C)	23.6	20.4	23.4
Turbidity (NTU)	3.4	8.8	2.1
DO (mg/L)	8.40	8.84	7.10
DO Saturation (%)	103%	97%	86.10
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	6.0	3.6	8.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

3/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 5/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	16:30	16:05	10:40
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.30	7.44	8.30
Temperature (°C)	20.6	19.4	20.4
Turbidity (NTU)	45.3	8.7	2.2
DO (mg/L)	8.90	8.58	9.10
DO Saturation (%)	99%	87%	101%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	29.0	8.0	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

5/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 7/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	17:00	14:00	14:10
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.00	7.82	7.88
Temperature (°C)	23.8	20.1	22.9
Turbidity (NTU)	6.80	1.0	0.80
DO (mg/L)	6.50	8.12	8.21
DO Saturation (%)	78%	96%	98%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	8.0	1.8	3.8

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

7/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 10/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	10:00	09:50	14:00
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.80	7.38	8.50
Temperature (°C)	24.3	19.9	23.9
Turbidity (NTU)	2.2	2.5	1.1
DO (mg/L)	8.50	7.28	7.10
DO Saturation (%)	103%	83%	85%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5.0	3.0	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

10/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 12/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	10:30	12:25	16:45
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.60	7.56	9.00
Temperature (°C)	22.6	22.3	21.4
Turbidity (NTU)	4.4	2.5	1.3
DO (mg/L)	7.70	7.56	7.70
DO Saturation (%)	82%	86%	86%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	9.0	4.6	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

12/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 14/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	13:00	13:05	11:15
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.40	7.80	8.50
Temperature (°C)	24.4	23.6	24.9
Turbidity (NTU)	2.9	1.7	1.4
DO (mg/L)	6.50	7.04	6.80
DO Saturation (%)	77%	82%	82%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	12.0	1.8	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

14/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 17/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	16:00	16:10	
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.00	7.53	8.90
Temperature (°C)	24.8	23.2	24.8
Turbidity (NTU)	6.1	4.3	1.4
DO (mg/L)	7.80	6.79	7.80
DO Saturation (%)	93%	74%	93%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	10.0	4.0	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

17/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 19/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	16:30	16:05	16:20
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.30	8.31	9.60
Temperature (°C)	20.5	20.5	19.9
Turbidity (NTU)	4.6	1.7	2.1
DO (mg/L)	7.60	6.88	7.80
DO Saturation (%)	84%	74%	86%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	7.0	2.4	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

19/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 21/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	13:00	14:25	14:30
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.10	8.28	8.18
Temperature (°C)	24.8	20.8	21.4
Turbidity (NTU)	14.1	6.8	3.6
DO (mg/L)	5.80	8.64	8.83
DO Saturation (%)	71%	98%	100%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	19.0	2.4	1.4

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

21/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 24/11/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	10:40	10:45	10:50
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.20	7.56	8.70
Temperature (°C)	23.4	15.6	23.5
Turbidity (NTU)	2.5	1.5	1.0
DO (mg/L)	6.30	7.38	6.30
DO Saturation (%)	69%	75%	75%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	1.0	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

24/11/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 27/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	10:30	12:35	10:15
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.60	8.24	8.60
Temperature (°C)	20.9	19.3	21.1
Turbidity (NTU)	4.2	4.8	2.7
DO (mg/L)	5.70	9.41	6.00
DO Saturation (%)	65%	100%	67%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5.0	4.2	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

27/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 29/12/2012

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	13:30	13:45	13:10
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.20	7.67	8.80
Temperature (°C)	22	17.5	22
Turbidity (NTU)	3.2	2.9	3.2
DO (mg/L)	6.20	7.89	6.20
DO Saturation (%)	71%	81%	71%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	0.0	1.0	0.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

29/12/2012

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 31/12/2012

Weather : Rainy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	13:45	14:30	13:30
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.20	7.54	8.80
Temperature (°C)	22	20.6	22.2
Turbidity (NTU)	3.7	7.8	1.5
DO (mg/L)	5.70	6.88	6.20
DO Saturation (%)	65%	75%	72%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3.0	4.2	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

31/12/2012

Appendix F: Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Data

Location	Position	Tide	Date**	Time	Weather	Water Depth (m)*	Water Flow (m/s)	Water Flow (m ³ /s)
H1	Mid	Flood	7-Dec-2012	13:50	Sunny	0.18	0.12	0.150
H1	Mid	Flood	14-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H1	Mid	Flood	21-Dec-2012	13:35	Sunny	0.24	0.12	0.150
H1	Mid	Flood	29-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H2	Mid	Flood	7-Dec-2012	13:30	Sunny	0.24	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Flood	14-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H2	Mid	Flood	21-Dec-2012	13:10	Sunny	0.3	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Flood	29-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H1	Mid	Ebb	7-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H1	Mid	Ebb	14-Dec-2012	13:55	Sunny	0.18	0.06	0.075
H1	Mid	Ebb	21-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H1	Mid	Ebb	29-Dec-2012	13:30	Sunny	0.12	0.12	0.150
H2	Mid	Ebb	7-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H2	Mid	Ebb	14-Dec-2012	13:35	Sunny	0.24	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Ebb	21-Dec-2012		Sunny			0.000
H2	Mid	Ebb	29-Dec-2012	13:00	Sunny	0.12	0.18	1.130

*Only one mid-tide is within working hours on 7,14,21, 29 Dec 2012.

Appendix G: Landscape and Visual Monitoring Photos



Photo 1 – Temporary hoarding of the northeastern part of Area A facing the sea.



Photo 2 – No discharge of muddy water was observed in Area C.



Photo 3 – Overall view of the transplanted tree U58 *Grevillea robusta*.



Photo 4 – Removal of watersprouts from the remained stump of U68.



Photo 5 – No temporary storage of construction materials were observed within the TPZs in Area A.




Photo 6 – Poor condition of translocated tree E17 (*Bombax ceiba*) and E18 (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*).




Photo 7 – No foliage was found in the canopy of E17 (*Bombax ceiba*) and loose bark was observed along the trunk.



Photo 8 – Living foliage was only observed at the lower canopy of E18 (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*).



Photo 9 – Fair condition of relocated tree E16 (*Bombax ceiba*).



Photo 10 – E97 and an untagged trees relocated in the northeastern part of Area A were in poor condition.



Photo 11 – Severe leaning tree trunk of the transplanted tree U61 was observed in Area B.



Photo 12 – Cracks on the planter of U75 became more obvious in Area B.



Photo 13 – Decayed wood was observed along the trunk of U55.



Photo 14 – The tree T102 relocated to the southern part within the Phase 2 works area of Area B was in poor condition.



Photo 15 – Declining health condition of U34 in Area B.



Photo 16 – Declining health condition of U35 in Area B.



Photo 17 – Declining health condition of U37 in Area B.



Photo 18 – Relocated trees were not inspected closely as piling of soil and stones were next to them.




Photo 19 – Poor health condition was observed in the canopy of the relocated trees U76 and U78 in Area B.



Photo 20 –The relocated tree U77 in Area B was suspected dead as no foliage was found in the canopy.



Photo 21 – Poor health condition was observed in the canopy of the relocated trees U79 in Area B.

Appendix H:

A)

The recommended mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

B)

Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

A) The recommended mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
A <i>Noise Impact</i>							
S 3.30	2.18	Good Site Practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Only well-maintained plant shall be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program ▪ Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment shall be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program ▪ Mobile plant, if any, shall be sited as far from NSRs as possible ▪ Machines and plant (such as 	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between work periods or shall be throttled down to a minimum <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs ▪ Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 					
S 3.31 - 3.32	2.19	Use of quieter PME	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
S 3.33 – 3.34	2.20-2.21	Use of temporary noise barrier	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas as shown in Figure	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
3.36-3.38	2.23-2.24				3.5		
S 3.35 and Table 3.6	2.22	Use of alternative quieter construction method (the Low Impact Method)	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Part of the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha (refer to Figure 3.5)	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
3.36-3.38	2.23-2.24	Use of noise enclosure	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Part of the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha (refer to Figure 3.5)	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
B Air Quality Impact							
S4.16	3.5	Implementation of mitigation measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and good site practices including but not limited to the following:	To minimize construction dust impacts	Contractor	Construction Sites	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved road, with complete coverage, particularly during dry weather; ▪ Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty static construction areas and areas close to ASRs; ▪ Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site location; ▪ Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site; ▪ Routing of vehicles and 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs. ▪ Stockpiled excavated materials should be covered with tarpaulin, and should be removed off-site within 24 hours to avoid any odour nuisance arising.					
C <i>Water Quality Impact</i>							
S5.29	4.5	Construction Site Run-off and Drainage: ▪ Before commencing any site formation work, all sewer and drainage connections shall be sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>sewers/drains.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Temporary ditches shall be provided to facilitate run-off discharge into appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. No site run-off shall enter the fishponds at Shuen Wan. ▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins shall be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the Technical Memorandum standard under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance. The design of silt removal facilities shall be based on the guidelines provided in ProPECC PN 1/94. All drainage 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>facilities and erosion and sediment control structures shall be inspected monthly and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water pumped out from excavated pits shall be discharged into silt removal facilities. ▪ During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. <p>Other measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed.</p>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Exposed soil areas shall be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff. ▪ Earthwork final surfaces shall be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection shall be immediately performed to reduce the potential of soil erosion. ▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site shall be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. 					
S5.30	4.7	Further precautionary measures during rainy season:	To minimize water quality impacts to the designated Conservation Area	Contractor	Works areas near the Conservation Area	Rainy seasons during construction	EIAO-TM Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height of 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place, surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual. ▪ For the construction of the box 				phase	(WPCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh</p> <p>Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height of at least 300mm from ground level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm events.</p> <p>Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Tarpulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimising the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area. ▪ Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom of the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete washing. 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Stockpiling the excavated materials adjacent to the Conservation Area would not be allowed. The excavated materials would be either removed off site immediately after excavation, or stockpile at location(s) away from the Conservation Area. The stockpile locations shall be approved by the site engineer. 					
S5.31-S5.32	4.8-4.9	General Construction Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the Wa Ha River and fish ponds at Shuen Wan. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered 	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		when not being used. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to nearby water bodies, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event. 					
S5.33	4.10	Sewage from Construction workforce: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site. A 	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		licensed contractor would be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.					
S5.34	4.11	River Channel Excavation Works: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The excavation works within the upstream end of the existing river channel of the Wai Ha River for the construction of the proposed box culvert shall be carried out in dry condition. Containment measures such as bunds and barriers shall be used within the affected length of the river channel and the excavation works restricted to within an enclosed dry section of the channel. The excavation works within Wai Ha River shall be restricted to the period from October 	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		to April.					
D Waste Management Implications							
S6.20 – 6.22	5.5	Good site practices: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility. ▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. ▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular 	To reduce waste management impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No.19/2005 ETWB TCW No.31/2004

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>collection for disposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. ▪ Separation of chemical waste for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. ▪ Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. ▪ A Waste Management Plan 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		should be prepared and submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 for details. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed. 					
S6.23-6.24	5.7	Waste reduction measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. ▪ To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins 	To achieve waste reduction	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>shall be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. ▪ Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material. ▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. ▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		avoid unnecessary generation of waste.					
S6.25-6.26		<p>Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Excavated material with suitable characteristics/size should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable, such as for backfilling of the box culvert and drainage pipe works. ▪ Suitable areas should be designated within the works site boundaries for temporary stockpiling of C&D material. ▪ Within stockpile areas, the following measures should be taken to control potential environmental 	<p>To minimize off-site disposal of C&D material</p> <p>To minimize environmental impacts during the handling of C&D material</p>	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		impacts or nuisance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - covering material during heavy rainfall; - locating stockpiles to minimize potential visual impacts; and - minimizing land intake of stockpile areas as far as possible. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ When disposing C&D material at a public filling area, the material shall only consist of soil, rock, concrete, brick, cement plaster/mortar, inert building debris, aggregates and asphalt. The material shall be free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered to be 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		unsuitable by the Filling Supervisor.					
S6.27		Chemical waste: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Contractor should register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. ▪ Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. ▪ Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the 	To minimize environmental impacts during the handling, transportation and disposal of chemical waste	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes generated at the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or other licenced facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. 					
S6.28		General refuse: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. ▪ A reputable waste collector 	To minimize environmental impacts during the handling and transportation of general refuse	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material. 					
E Ecological Impact							
S. 7.95	6.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sheet-pilings, which will be installed around the trench of excavation, should be extended above ground level for ~2m to act as hoarding to isolate the works site. ▪ The trenching works for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in phases, with a trench length of not 	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		more than 120m in each phase. The trench should be backfilled and compacted with suitable materials upon completion of each phase of the construction works.					
S7.117	6.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The construction of intercept point of twin cell box culvert at the upstream of Wai Ha River should be confined to only one side of the river bank. ▪ To restore and enhance the ecological value of the stream, the affected river bank should be reinstated to its original condition or lined with rock-filled gabion. ▪ Planting pits should be provided in the gabion bank to allow the re-establishment of riparian vegetation. 	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The existing natural riverbed and substrates should be retained and the natural pool-riffle sequence should be re-created in the new channel bed. 					
S 7.118	6.7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All works carried out within the the river channel of Wai Ha River should be carried out from October to April, with construction carried out by land-based plant. ▪ Works within river/stream channels should be restricted to an enclosed dry section of the river, with containment measures such as bunds and barriers used within the river to minimize the impacts upon the downstream water body. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Contractor	Whole Site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to minimize the risk of sedimentation and pollution of river water. ▪ The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions. ▪ To minimize leakage and loss of sediments during excavation in narrow channels, tightly sealed closed grab excavators should be deployed where material to be handled is wet. 					
S 7.119	6.8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The construction of the proposed box-culvert would have the potential to directly impact a few 	To protect plant species of conservation interest	Contractor/ qualified botanist/horticu	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>individual of a plant species of conservation interest (Hong Kong Pavetta, <i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i>). The affected individuals should be transplanted to a suitable nearby habitats prior to the construction phase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A detailed vegetation survey of the affected species of conservation interest should be conducted by a suitably qualified botanist/ecologist to identify the affected individuals in order to provide details for transplantation scheme. ▪ Transplantation should be supervised by a suitably qualified botanist/horticulturalist. A detailed transplantation methodology should be formulated during the detailed design stage of this Project. 		Horticulturalist			

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
S 7.120	6.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Noise mitigation measures such as the use of quieter construction plant and temporary noise barriers should be implemented to minimize disturbance to habitats adjacent to the works areas. ▪ Temporary noise barriers should be used during the construction of the box-culvert along Tung Tsz Road, the floodwater pumping station, the mechanical gate, and drainage pipe to minimize potential construction phase disturbance to ardeids and avifauna foraging in marsh habitat. ▪ Noise generating construction works near the Shuen Wan Egrettry SSSI should be avoided as far as practicable during the breeding season (March to June) of the 	To minimise disturbance impacts.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		ardeids. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Works near the SSSI (i.e. installation of mechanical gate) should be restricted to be executed outside the breeding season by provision of special conditions in the contract document. ▪ Hoardings with minimum height of 2m should be set up along the south side of the proposed box culvert works area adjacent to the marsh, extending at least 20m at both ends, throughout the construction period. 					
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimise disturbance to natural or 	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		moderate-high ecological value habitats.					
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Construction activities should be restricted to work areas that would be clearly demarcated. The work areas should be reinstated after completion of the works. 	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats outside works area.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waste skips should be provided to collect general refuse and construction wastes. The wastes would be disposed of timely and properly off-site. 	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ General drainage arrangements should include sediment and oil traps to collect and control construction site run-off. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Open burning on works sites is illegal, and should be strictly prohibited. 	To prevent accidental hill-fires.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
S 7.122	6.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ De-silting should be limited to the dry season. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Maintenance parties of the channel	Whole site	Operation Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.122	6.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waste material produced during de-silting should be disposed of in a timely and appropriate manner. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Maintenance parties of the channel	Whole site	Operation Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.123	6.12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Planting of trees should be provided within the project area to compensate for the unavoidable loss of approximately 0.08ha secondary woodland habitat due to the Project. ▪ Planting of trees and other vegetation within project area along the banks of Wai Ha River and Tung Tsz Road should be carried out to provide compensation for unavoidable tree-felling and loss of riparian vegetation resulting from the 	To compensate the loss of vegetation	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		Project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The compensatory planting should make use of native plant species with flowers/fruits attractive to wildlife. 					
S 7.124	6.13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Compensation would be required for the loss of a small area of marsh habitat (about 0.30ha) within the CA resulting from the construction of the box-culvert. ▪ An existing low ecological value recreational fishpond on government land adjacent to the marsh would be used as a proposed area (about 0.8ha) for the compensation for the marsh as well as secondary woodland habitats loss (0.08ha). ▪ The pond should be enhanced by removing boardwalks around the 	To compensate the loss of marsh habitat and enhance the quality compensatory habitat	Contractor / qualified ecologist	The recreational fish pond located to the southwest of the existing Tung Tsz Nursery	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>existing pond, and restoring vegetation along the pond bunds, and it would be re-profiled to provide areas of shallow water (approximately 15-50cm deep), creating a suitable foraging habitat for avifauna, particularly ardeids and other waders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Screen planting of shrubs and trees along the south-eastern bund of the pond should be implemented to minimise disturbance to avifauna and other wildlife from the adjacent recreational fishpond. The enhanced pond is expected to provide a moderate-high ecological value wetland habitat. 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
F		<i>Landscape and Visual</i>					
Table 8.4	7.6	Visual screen, contaminant/ liaison with nursery, protection of existing trees with works area and construction light are used or practiced to mitigate the impacts during construction phase.	To mitigate the landscape	Contractor	Whole site	Construction	EIAO-TM
Table 8.4	7.7	Viewing area formation, architectural design for pump house, landscape design for pump house, enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road, sufficient soil depth for enhancement planting, transplanting of trees to adjacent locations, preparation for transplanting and reinstatement of affected area are practiced to mitigate the impacts during operational phase.	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the operational phase.	Contractor	Whole site	Detail Design / Operational Phase	EIAO-TM

Appendix H:

A)

The recommend mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

B)

Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

B) Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
2.18	Use well maintained construction plant	To minimize construction noise impact	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO	Implemented
	Shut down plants between work periods					Implemented
	Install silencers on construction equipment					Implemented
	Locate mobile plant far away from NSRs					Implemented
	Quiet plants should be used					Implemented
2.19	Use of quieter PME					Not applicable
2.20 - 2.21	Use of temporary noise barrier		Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable
2.22	Use of alternative quieter construction method		Part of the Works Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable
2.23 – 2.24	Use of noise enclosure		Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
3.5	Implement regular watering and vehicle washing facilities	To minimize construction dust impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Outstanding
	Cover excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water					Implemented
	Use tarpaulin to cover dusty materials on vehicles					Implemented
4.5	Provide silt trap and oil interceptor to remove the oil, lubricants, grease, silt, grit and debris from the wastewater before pumped to the public storm water drainage system	To minimize water quality impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO	Implemented
4.5	During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. Others measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed					Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
4.10	Provide site toilet facilities	To minimize water quality impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO	Implemented
4.7	<p>Further precautionary measures during rainy season:</p> <p>For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height of 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place, surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual.</p> <p>For the construction of the box culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height of at least 300mm from round level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance</p>	To minimize water quality impact to the designated Conservation Area	Works areas near the Conservation Area	Rainy seasons during construction	EIAO-TM WPCO	Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
	<p>for the built-up water level during rainstorm events. Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system.</p> <p>Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site.</p> <p>Tarpulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimising the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area.</p> <p>Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom of the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete</p>					
5.9	Reuse excavated material as much as possible					Implemented
5.7	Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.	To achieve waste reduction	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Not applicable
	Recycle scrap metals or abandoned equipment					Implemented

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.5	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed should be proposed	To reduce waste management impacts	Works areas	Construction phase	ETWB TCW	Implemented
5.9	Adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials				No. 19/2005	Implemented
5.11	All general refuse should be segregated and stored in enclosed bins or compaction units				ETWB TCW NO. 31/2004	Implemented
5.10	Contractor should be a required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines states in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.	To minimize the environmental impacts associated with the handling, transportation and disposal of chemical waste.	Work site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)(General) Regulation	Implemented
	Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and Incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc.					Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.5	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed should be proposed	To reduce waste management impacts	Works areas	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 ETWB TCW NO. 31/2004	Implemented
6.6	<p>Sheet-pilings, which will be installed around the trench of excavation, should be extended above ground level for ~2m to act as hoarding to isolate the works site.</p> <p>The trenching works for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in phases, with a trench length of not more than 120m in each phase. The trench should be backfilled and compacted with suitable materials upon completion of each phase of the construction works.</p>	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
6.6	<p>The construction of intercept oint of twin cell box culvert at the upstream of Wai Ha River should be confined to only one side of the river bank.</p> <p>To restore and enhance the ecological value of the stream, the affected river bank should be reinstated to its original condition or lined with rock-filled gabion.</p> <p>Planting pits should be provided in the gabion bank to allow the re-establishment of riparian vegetation.</p>	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
	<p>The existing natural riverbed and substrates should be retained and the natural pool-riffle sequence should be re-created in the new channel bed.</p>					
6.7	<p>All works carried out within the the river channel of Wai Ha River should be carried out from October to April, with construction carried out by land-based plant.</p> <p>Works within river/stream channels should be restricted to an enclosed dry section of the river, with containment measures such as bunds and barriers used within the river to minimize the impacts upon the downstream water body.</p> <p>Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to minimize the risk of sedimentation and pollution of river water.</p> <p>The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions.</p> <p>To minimize leakage and loss of sediments during excavation in narrow channels, tightly sealed closed grab excavators should be deployed where material to be handled is wet.</p>	<p>To minimize sedimentation/ water quality impacts</p>	<p>Whole site</p>	<p>Construction phase</p>	<p>EIAO-TM</p>	<p>No applicable</p>

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
6.8	<p>The construction of the proposed box-culvert would have the potential to directly impact a few individual of a plant species of conservation interest (Hong Kong Pavetta, Pavetta hongkongensis). The affected individuals should be transplanted to a suitable nearby habitats prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>A detailed vegetation survey of the affected species of conservation interest should be conducted by a suitably qualified botanist/ecologist to identify the affected individuals in order to provide details for transplantation scheme.</p> <p>Transplantation should be supervised by a suitably qualified botanist/horticulturalist. A detailed transplantation methodology should be formulated during the detailed design stage of this Project.</p>	To protect plant species of conservation interest	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable
6.9	Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimize disturbance to natural or moderate-high ecological value habitats.	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable
6.13	General drainage arrangements should include sediment and oil traps to collect and control construction site run-off.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
6.13	Construction activities should be restricted to work areas that would be clearly demarcated. The work areas should be reinstated after completion of the works.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats outside works area.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
6.13	Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimize disturbance to natural or moderate-high ecological value habitats.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7.6	Visual screen, contaminant/ liaison with nursery, protection of existing trees with works area and construction light are used or practiced to mitigate the impacts during construction phase	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the Construction phase	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7.7	Viewing area formation , architectural design for pump house, landscape design for pump hose, enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road, sufficient soil depth for enhancement planting, transplanting of trees to adjacent locations preparation for transplanting and reinstatement of affected area are practiced to mitigate the impacts during operational phase.	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the operational phase	Whole site	Detail Design / Operational Phase	EIAO-TM	Not Applicable

Appendix I: Construction Programme

Appendix J: Three month rolling programme


Contract No.: DC/2009/22
 Contract Title: Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po - Contract 1

3 Months Rolling Programme (December 2012 to February 2013)



Contract No.: DC/2009/22
Contract Title: Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po - Contract 1


3 Months Rolling Programme (December 2012 to February 2013)




Master Programme II (-) Task Milestone Rolled Up Task Rolled Up Milestone Split Project Summary Inactive Task Deadline
 Data Date: 2011-3-8 Critical Task Summary Rolled Up Critical Task Rolled Up Progress External Tasks Group By Summary Progress
 Print date: 2012-11-29 Based on Master Programme II Page 2

Appendix K: Graphical plots of trends of monitored parameter

Graphical plots of pH values W1&W2




Graphical plots of DO (ebb tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action level: 5 percentile of baseline data
Limit level: 1 percentile of baseline

Graphical plot of DO (flood tide) of W1&W2




Remarks: Action level: 5 percentile of baseline data
 Limit level: 1 percentile of baseline

Graphical plots of SS (ebb tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS

Graphical plots of SS (flood tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS

Graphical plots of Turbidity (ebb tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turb

Graphical plots of Turbidity (flood tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of up stream control station's Turbidity
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of up stream control station's Turb

Graphical plots of Noise for M1 & AL1




Remarks: Action limit is when one documented complaint is received

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water depth at flood tide) for H1 & H2




Remarks: Action level: 80% of baseline water depth.
Limit level: 60% of baseline water depth.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water depth at ebb tide) for H1 & H2




Remarks: Action level: 80% of baseline water depth.
 Limit level: 60% of baseline water depth.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water flow rate at flood tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.
Limit level: 140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water flow rate at ebb tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.
Limit level: 140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.

Appendix L: Condition of transplanted species *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA
since 20th Dec 2011

Appendix L Condition of transplanted species *Pavetta hongkongensis* in ECA since 20th Dec 2011

Specimen No.	Species Name	Growth Form	Height (m)	Date of transplantation	Condition	Remarks
PH01	<i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i>	Tree / Shrub	2.3	20 th Dec 2011	Fair	
PH02	<i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i>	Tree / Shrub	2	20 th Dec 2011	Fair	
PH03	<i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i>	Tree / Shrub	1.5	20 th Dec 2011	Fair	

Date of weekly monitoring: 4 Jan, 13 Jan, 17 Jan, 28 Jan, 3 Feb, 6 Feb, 15 Feb, 22 Feb, 1 Mar, 6 Mar, 12 Mar, 18 Mar, 29 Mar, 27 Apr, 31 May, 26 Jun, 31 July, 30 Aug, 26 Sep, 19 Oct, 30 Nov, 20 Dec 2012.

Appendix M. Photo of Wai Ha River in December 2012



Photo 1. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo 2. Wai Ha River at C1



Photo 3. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo 4. Wai Ha River at C1



Photo5. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo6. Wai Ha River at C1

Appendix N
Approved Proposal of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality
Monitoring

本署檢號
OUR REF: (2) in Ax (1) to EP2/G/I/117 Pt.4
來函編號
YOUR REF: 2835 1581
電話
TEL NO.: 2802 4511
圖文傳真
FAX NO.:
電子郵件
E-MAIL:
網址
HOMEPAGE: <http://www.epd.gov.hk>

Environmental Protection Department

Branch Office

28th Floor, Southorn Centre,
130 Hennessy Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong.



環境保護署分處

香港灣仔
軒尼詩道
一百三十一號
修頓中心廿八樓

By Post & Fax : 2827 8700

31 May 2012

Drainage Projects Division,
Drainage Services Department,
40/F, 44/F & 45/F, Revenue Tower,
5 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong
(Attn: Mr. SO Chi Ho)

Dear Mr. So,

Drainage Improvement Works in Sha Tin and Tai Po
Environmental Permit No. EP-303/2008
Revised Water Quality Monitoring

I refer to the letter from Environmental Pioneers & Solution Ltd (ET Leader) of 17 May 2012 proposing changes to water quality monitoring under the EM&A Programme for the captioned project.

Based on the justifications provided and pursuant to Condition 5.1 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-303/2008, I hereby approve the proposed changes to water quality monitoring.

Yours faithfully,

(Maurice YENN)
Principal Environmental Protection Officer
for Director of Environmental Protection

c.c.

Internal (w/cncl. proposal enclosed in the letter from Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Ltd. of 17.5.2012)
S(RN)1
EIAO Register Office

EP-303/2008

Enquiry of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring

	Prepared By:	Verified By:	Submitted By:
Parties:	Environmental Team Leader (Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited)	IEC (Environ Hong Kong Limited)	Contractor (Kwan Lee – Kuly Joint Venture)
Name:	Ms. Goldie Fung	Mr. Tony Cheng	Mr. C.L. Wong
Signature:			
Date:	16-5-2012	16 May 2012	16/5/2012

Rev. 6

Submitted by: 16-May-2012

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Water Quality Monitoring	4
2.1 Monitoring Locations	4
2.2 Reference Points for Contract No. 1	5
2.3 Data Analysis	6
3. Conclusion	9

Appendix A

Appendix B

Appendix C

Appendix D

Appendix E

Appendix F

Appendix G

Appendix H

Appendix I

1. Introduction

Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited (EPSL) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. DC/2009/22 Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po (Contract no. 1) to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme.

The scope of the Project includes the following works:-

- (1) Construction of a 1000m long, 3m x 2.5m twin-cell box culvert along Tung Tsz Road;
- (2) Replacement of existing gates by automatic mechanical gates at the mouth of Wai Ha River;
- (3) Construction of a 280m long, 1200 dia. Drainage pipe near Wai Ha Village;
- (4) Construction of a 260m long, 2100 dia. Flood relief drain along Ting Kok Road; and
- (5) Construction of a floodwater pumping station at Shuen Wan.


The construction period of the project was commenced on February 26th, 2010 and anticipated to complete in August 2013.

2. Water Quality Monitoring

2.1 Monitoring Locations

There are two separate contracts covered by the Environmental Permit EP-303/2008, including contract no. DC/2009/22 (contract no. 1) and contract no. DC/2010/02 (contract no. 2). There are totally 4 monitoring stations (W1, W2 and W4 for impact monitoring station and W3 for control station) selected for the water quality monitoring. With reference to the Clause 4.25 of EM&A Manual (Rev. 3), the water samples are collected at mid-depth of each proposed monitoring stations for measuring due to the water depth is less than 3m. The Location Plan is shown in Figure 2.1 for reference.


Figure 2.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations



2.2 Reference Points for Contract No. 1

The construction activities of contract no. 1 were commenced on 9 March 2011 and anticipated to be completed in February 2013 and those of contract no. 2 were commenced on 29 April 2011 and anticipated to be completed on 27 October 2013. According to the current site situation of the project, there are construction activities carrying out for contract no. 1 and no. 2. The water quality of control station W1 may be affected by the construction activities of contract no. 2. Under this circumstance, 2 additional reference points (C1 and C2) are proposed for the water quality monitoring of contract no. 1. The water quality of both C1 and C2 will not be affected by any construction activities of this project. The location of C1 and C2 can refer to the Fig. 2.2. Reference point C1 is located at 20m apart from the estuary of Wai Ha River. The water quality of C1 will not be affected by the construction activities at flood tide and is free from contamination. The water quality parameter of C1; W1 and W2 are listed in Appendix A for reference. Reference point C2 is the same monitoring location of W3 which is approximate 70m apart from the site boundary and will not be affected by the construction activities.

Figure 2.2 Reference Points C1 and C2 Locations



The monitoring parameters of C1 and C2 are same as those of W1, and the monitoring data of C1 and C2 will be reported as the supplementary information. When the water quality of W2 exceed the Action/Limit levels criteria, the monitoring data of C1 will be used for comparison when the monitoring of W2 is taken at flood tide; and the monitoring data of C2 will be used for comparison when the monitoring of W2 is taken at ebb tide. The comparison of water quality between W2 and C1 at flood tide and between W2 and C2 at ebb tide can help to prove whether influence of water quality is caused by the construction activities. The water quality monitoring of W1 will continuously be carried out and the collected data will be submitted for reference as well.

2.3 Data Analysis

With reference to the Location Plan shown in Figure 2.1, control station W3 is at the upstream location of the Wai Ha River for this project. According to the location, the water quality of W3 can be considered to be not affected by any construction activities of the project. Besides, the level of W3 should be +5.08mPD and its water quality can be considered to be not undergone any tidal influence. Based on these criteria, the Dissolved Oxygen (DO) of control station W3 were used for the analysis in the following sections. In order to indicate the current situation of DO level of the river, the DO level of W3 measured from August 2011 to January 2012 were selected to compare with baseline data.

a. Baseline Monitoring Data

The baseline data of DO of W3 are shown in Appendix B. The baseline monitoring data were collected before the commencement of any construction activities in dry season from 7th January, 2011 to 2nd February 2011. According to the submitted Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report, the Action/Limit level for monitoring station W3 are indicated in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at Monitoring Stations W3

Parameters	Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)		Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
DO (mg/L)	8.66	8.00	8.71	8.61

b. Impact Monitoring Data

Water quality monitoring (WQM) for control station W3 was carried out 13 times in August 2011; 14 times in September 2011; 12 times in October 2011; 13 times in November 2011; 13 times in December 2011; 12 times in January 2012. The collected DO data of W3 in these 77 times monitoring are shown in Appendix C. Average DO monitoring result of each month at W3 are summarized in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Water quality monitoring results of Dissolved Oxygen at W3

Month	Average Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L (Range)
August 2011	6.44 (7.31 – 4.44)
September 2011	6.08 (7.32 – 4.49)
October 2011	5.91 (6.86 – 5.09)
November 2011	5.79 (6.72 – 4.62)
December 2011	6.50 (7.91 – 5.09)
January 2012	7.14 (8.89 – 5.10)

By comparing the WQM results from August 2011 to January 2012 and Action/Limit level (Ebb Tide) of W3 in Table 2.1, it is observed that only one WQM result has not exceeded the Action/Limit level and all other WQM results have exceeded the Limit Level. The result is highlighted in Appendix D for reference. As mentioned before, the water quality of W3 will not be affected by any construction activities of the project, so that the cause of exceedance may due to the natural fluctuation such as temperature and seasonal change.

c. Variation between Dry and Wet Seasons

As the cause of exceedance may due to the seasonal change, the variation between dry and wet season is calculated as below for the compensation of seasonal change. As the water quality in the Wai Ha River (also referred to as Tung Tze Stream) is monitored under the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) routine river water quality monitoring programme. Ten years (Year 2001 to 2010) of river water quality data at station TR6 Tung Tze Stream are extracted from EPD database for the calculation of DO variation between Dry and Wet seasons. TR6 is located near the estuary of Tung

Tze Stream and the location can refer to the map in Appendix E. The raw data are listed in Appendix F for reference. After analyzed the distribution of the ten years data (refer to Appendix G), median of DO for dry and wet seasons are used to calculated the DO variation to eliminate the effect of the lowest and the highest values. The DO variation between Dry and Wet seasons variation is calculated by equation (eqt. 2-1).

$$\text{Variation} = (\text{Dry Season}_{\text{median}} - \text{Wet Season}_{\text{median}}) / \text{Dry Season}_{\text{median}} \quad (\text{eqt. 2-1})$$

According to the condition 3.7 of the Environmental Permit EP-303/2008, dry season should be defined from October to April; and the wet season should be defined from May to September. The data from October to April are used for the calculation of Dry Season; the data from April to October are used for the calculation of Wet Season. Total 6 sets of result for 3 different time period are calculated for comparison. Both median and mean of the DO have been calculated for time periods including 1) Recent year - Year 2010; 2) Four years data – from Year 2007 to 2010; and 3) Ten years data – from Year 2001 to 2010. The results can refer to Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 DO variation between dry and wet season from 2007 to 2010 at station TR6, Tung Tze Stream

Collected Data	DO(mg/l)	Wet season	Dry season	Variation
Year 2010	Median	6.50	6.50	0%
Year 2007-2010	Median	5.65	6.55	13.74%
Year 2001-2010	Median	5.80	6.40	9.37%
Year 2010	Mean	6.16	6.36	3.14%
Year 2007-2010	Mean	5.81	6.28	7.48%
Year 2001-2010	Mean	5.85	6.38	8.31%

From the results in Table 2.3, the highest variation value 13.74% is used to enhance the effect of applying the variation. By applying the variation (13.74%) to the baseline data, a new set of Action/Limit level is calculated by equation (eqt. 2-2) and the result are shown in Table 2.4.

$$\text{Revised Level} = \text{Original Level} \times (1 - 13.74\%) \quad (\text{eqt. 2-2})$$

Table 2.4 New set of Action/Limit Level, using the calculated variation (13.74%)

Parameters		Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)		Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)	
		Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
Original Level	DO (mg/L)	8.66	8.00	8.71	8.61
Revised level	DO (mg/L)	7.47	6.90	7.51	7.43

With reference to the new set of Action/Limit level in Table 2.4, the higher DO level (Ebb tide) were used to compare with the WQM results from August 2011 to January 2012, it is observed that there were only five times of WQM results have not exceeded the Action/Limit level and all other 72 times of WQM results have exceeded the Limit Level. The result is highlighted in Appendix H for reference. Since W3 functions as the control station of this project, its water quality should not be affected by the construction works of this project. From the comparison results with the original and revised Action/Limit level, it was observed that both sets of Action/Limit level cannot reflect the actual river condition.

3. Conclusion

After the consideration of seasonal change which may affect the DO of W3 in section 2.3, the DO of W3 will also exceed the Limit level in almost all the measurement day in both dry and wet seasons. After the consideration of seasonal change and applied the DO variation between wet and dry season to amend the Action/Limit level, the DO of W3 will also exceed the Limit level in both dry and wet seasons. Both the original and revised Action/Limit level could not reflect the actual condition of Wai Ha River. The Action/Limit level criteria should be revised so as to reflect the actual condition of Wai Ha River and to monitor the water quality.

With reference to other EM&A projects of river work in Hong Kong (refer to Appendix I), the limit level for DO is 4 mg/L and without the comparison of the percentile of baseline data. Suggest that the Action Level criteria remain unchanged which is DO exceedance occur when impact monitoring data is lower than 5

percentile of baseline data; and the Limit Level criteria should be revised to DO exceedance occur when impact monitoring data is lower than 4 mg/L.

Parameter	Original Limit Level	Revised Limit Level
DO in mg/L	4 mg/L or 1%-ile of baseline data	4 mg/L

Appendix A

EP-303/2008

Water Quality Baseline Monitoring of Reference Point C1 - Flood

Position	Tide	Weather	Date	Time	Location	pH value	Salinity (ppt)	Temperature (°C)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)
Mid	Flood	Cloudy	2/3/2012	8:45	C1	8.5	28.1	18	0.1	9.21
				8:57	W2	7.16	4	18.1	11.7	7.16
				9:23	W1	7.12	0.1	19.3	6	7.47
Mid	Flood	Cloudy	5/3/2012	15:35	C1	8.59	28.3	20.8	0.1	9.5
				15:18	W2	7.14	6.6	21	7.2	6.97
				15:00	W1	7.1	0.4	21.4	3.4	6.91
Mid	Flood	Cloudy	7/3/2012	16:45	C1	8.51	29	20.5	0.1	9.32
				16:30	W2	7.78	16.4	20.8	14.4	7.51
				16:00	W1	7.3	3.8	20.4	27.3	7.39
Mid	Flood	Rainy	12/3/2012	9:40	C1	8.55	28.2	16.7	0.1	9.53
				9:55	W2	8.18	26.3	16.9	1.2	7.86
				10:25	W1	7.94	21.5	16.9	5.3	8.27

Appendix B

EP-303/2008

Water Quality Baseline Monitoring of Control Point W3 - Flood

Location	Position	Tide	Date	Time	Weather	DO (mg/L)		Average	DO (%)		Average
						Data 1	Data 2		Data 1	Data 2	
W3	Mid	Flood	7/1/2011	9:20	Cloudy	9.55	9.46	9.51	88	89	89
W3	Mid	Flood	10/1/2011	12:00	Cloudy	10.12	10.13	10.13	113	110	112
W3	Mid	Flood	12/1/2011	12:50	Cloudy	7.83	7.86	7.85	95	96	96
W3	Mid	Flood	14/1/2011	13:00	Cloudy	9.67	9.52	9.60	98	96	97
W3	Mid	Flood	17/1/2011	15:40	Cloudy	9.92	9.81	9.87	105	104	105
W3	Mid	Flood	19/1/2011	17:45	Sunny	9.25	9.41	9.33	98	101	100
W3	Mid	Flood	21/1/2011	7:40	Sunny	10.86	10.52	10.69	103	104	104
W3	Mid	Flood	24/1/2011	9:15	Cloudy	9.56	9.71	9.64	101	106	104
W3	Mid	Flood	26/1/2011	11:00	Sunny	11.10	10.69	10.90	111	108	110
W3	Mid	Flood	28/1/2011	13:05	Sunny	10.21	9.89	10.05	103	99	101
W3	Mid	Flood	31/1/2011	16:10	Sunny	10.54	10.72	10.63	116	112	114
W3	Mid	Flood	2/2/2011	7:00	Cloudy	10.78	10.66	10.72	109	103	106
5 percentile	DO Action					8.07	8.66				
1 percentile	DO Limit					7.84	8.00				

Appendix B

EP-303/2008

Water Quality Baseline Monitoring of Control Point W3 - Ebb

Location	Position	Tide	Date	Time	Weather	DO (mg/L)		Average	DO (%)		Average
						Data 1	Data 2		Data 1	Data 2	
W3	Mid	Ebb	7/1/2011	14:10	Cloudy	8.91	9.00	8.96	97	99	98
W3	Mid	Ebb	10/1/2011	17:10	Cloudy	9.00	8.85	8.93	94	91	93
W3	Mid	Ebb	12/1/2011	18:40	Cloudy	9.84	9.61	9.73	96	95	96
W3	Mid	Ebb	14/1/2011	7:50	Cloudy	9.98	9.77	9.88	101	95	98
W3	Mid	Ebb	17/1/2011	10:30	Cloudy	10.92	10.63	10.78	104	104	104
W3	Mid	Ebb	19/1/2011	11:25	Sunny	8.77	8.41	8.59	96	97	97
W3	Mid	Ebb	21/1/2011	13:10	Sunny	10.08	9.97	10.03	106	101	104
W3	Mid	Ebb	24/1/2011	15:30	Cloudy	8.93	8.67	8.80	96	94	95
W3	Mid	Ebb	26/1/2011	17:35	Sunny	10.71	10.24	10.48	109	103	106
W3	Mid	Ebb	28/1/2011	7:50	Sunny	9.58	9.55	9.57	97	84	91
W3	Mid	Ebb	31/1/2011	10:45	Sunny	11.33	10.76	11.05	113	106	110
W3	Mid	Ebb	2/2/2011	12:15	Cloudy	9.96	9.59	9.78	102	96	99
5 percentile	DO Action					8.69	8.71				
1 percentile	DO Limit					8.47	8.61				

Appendix C – W3 Monitoring data of dissolved oxygen from August 2011 to January 2012

Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L
1-Aug-2011	6.93	30-Sep-2011	5.52	1-Dec-2011	5.68
3-Aug-2011	7.31	4-Oct-2011	5.09	3-Dec-2011	5.09
6-Aug-2011	6.89	6-Oct-2011	5.12	6-Dec-2011	6.17
8-Aug-2011	7.26	8-Oct-2011	5.89	8-Dec-2011	5.59
11-Aug-2011	6.01	11-Oct-2011	6.09	10-Dec-2011	6.58
13-Aug-2011	6.76	13-Oct-2011	6.53	13-Dec-2011	6.44
15-Aug-2011	7.03	15-Oct-2011	5.19	15-Dec-2011	7.15
17-Aug-2011	6.40	18-Oct-2011	5.21	17-Dec-2011	6.60
19-Aug-2011	6.74	20-Oct-2011	6.04	20-Dec-2011	6.68
23-Aug-2011	6.21	22-Oct-2011	6.86	22-Dec-2011	7.14
25-Aug-2011	5.07	25-Oct-2011	6.58	24-Dec-2011	7.91
27-Aug-2011	4.44	27-Oct-2011	6.39	29-Dec-2011	6.90
30-Aug-2011	6.71	29-Oct-2011	5.92	31-Dec-2011	6.63
1-Sep-2011	7.18	1-Nov-2011	5.66	3-Jan-2012	6.22
3-Sep-2011	7.32	3-Nov-2011	6.64	5-Jan-2012	6.90
6-Sep-2011	5.86	5-Nov-2011	6.58	7-Jan-2012	5.10
8-Sep-2011	5.66	8-Nov-2011	5.47	10-Jan-2012	7.10
10-Sep-2011	6.88	10-Nov-2011	6.72	12-Jan-2012	7.25
12-Sep-2011	6.44	12-Nov-2011	6.53	14-Jan-2012	6.90
15-Sep-2011	6.09	15-Nov-2011	6.51	17-Jan-2012	7.60
17-Sep-2011	5.73	17-Nov-2011	4.74	19-Jan-2012	6.50
20-Sep-2011	6.26	19-Nov-2011	4.62	21-Jan-2012	8.41
22-Sep-2011	4.49	22-Nov-2011	5.09	26-Jan-2012	8.89
24-Sep-2011	6.43	24-Nov-2011	5.70	28-Jan-2012	7.62
26-Sep-2011	5.36	26-Nov-2011	5.69	31-Jan-2012	7.18
28-Sep-2011	5.91	29-Nov-2011	5.38		

Appendix D - Exceedance records from August 2011 to January 2012 for Existing Criteria

Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L
1-Aug-2011	6.93	30-Sep-2011	5.52	1-Dec-2011	5.68
3-Aug-2011	7.31	4-Oct-2011	5.09	3-Dec-2011	5.09
6-Aug-2011	6.89	6-Oct-2011	5.12	6-Dec-2011	6.17
8-Aug-2011	7.26	8-Oct-2011	5.89	8-Dec-2011	5.59
11-Aug-2011	6.01	11-Oct-2011	6.09	10-Dec-2011	6.58
13-Aug-2011	6.76	13-Oct-2011	6.53	13-Dec-2011	6.44
15-Aug-2011	7.03	15-Oct-2011	5.19	15-Dec-2011	7.15
17-Aug-2011	6.40	18-Oct-2011	5.21	17-Dec-2011	6.60
19-Aug-2011	6.74	20-Oct-2011	6.04	20-Dec-2011	6.68
23-Aug-2011	6.21	22-Oct-2011	6.86	22-Dec-2011	7.14
25-Aug-2011	5.07	25-Oct-2011	6.58	24-Dec-2011	7.91
27-Aug-2011	4.44	27-Oct-2011	6.39	29-Dec-2011	6.90
30-Aug-2011	6.71	29-Oct-2011	5.92	31-Dec-2011	6.63
1-Sep-2011	7.18	1-Nov-2011	5.66	3-Jan-2012	6.22
3-Sep-2011	7.32	3-Nov-2011	6.64	5-Jan-2012	6.90
6-Sep-2011	5.86	5-Nov-2011	6.58	7-Jan-2012	5.10
8-Sep-2011	5.66	8-Nov-2011	5.47	10-Jan-2012	7.10
10-Sep-2011	6.88	10-Nov-2011	6.72	12-Jan-2012	7.25
12-Sep-2011	6.44	12-Nov-2011	6.53	14-Jan-2012	6.90
15-Sep-2011	6.09	15-Nov-2011	6.51	17-Jan-2012	7.60
17-Sep-2011	5.73	17-Nov-2011	4.74	19-Jan-2012	6.50
20-Sep-2011	6.26	19-Nov-2011	4.62	21-Jan-2012	8.41
22-Sep-2011	4.49	22-Nov-2011	5.09	26-Jan-2012	8.89
24-Sep-2011	6.43	24-Nov-2011	5.70	28-Jan-2012	7.62
26-Sep-2011	5.36	26-Nov-2011	5.69	31-Jan-2012	7.18
28-Sep-2011	5.91	29-Nov-2011	5.38		



Remarks:

Red highlight: The value is exceeded Limit Level (<**8.61**)

Yellow highlight: The value is exceeded Action Level (<**8.71**)

Appendix E – Location of TR6 at Tung Tze Stream

Map of river monitoring stations and Water Quality Index gradings in 2010



9. Tung Tze Stream

Appendix F

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream

Dry Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/1/2001	8.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	12/2/2001	10.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/3/2001	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	19/4/2001	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	12/10/2001	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/11/2001	7.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/12/2001	6.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/1/2002	6.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/2/2002	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/3/2002	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/4/2002	6.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/10/2002	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/11/2002	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/12/2002	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/1/2003	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/2/2003	7.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/3/2003	6.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	10/4/2003	7.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/10/2003	4.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/11/2003	5.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/12/2003	7.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/1/2004	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/2/2004	8.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/3/2004	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/4/2004	7.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/10/2004	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/11/2004	5.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/12/2004	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/1/2005	7.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	1/2/2005	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/3/2005	8.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/4/2005	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/10/2005	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/11/2005	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/12/2005	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/1/2006	5.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/2/2006	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/3/2006	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/4/2006	8.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/10/2006	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/11/2006	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/12/2006	7.4

Appendix F

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream

Dry Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/1/2007	5.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/2/2007	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/3/2007	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/4/2007	5.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR7	4/10/2007	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/11/2007	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/12/2007	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/1/2008	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/2/2008	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/3/2008	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	10/4/2008	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/10/2008	5.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/11/2008	6.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/12/2008	7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/1/2009	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/2/2009	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/3/2009	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/4/2009	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/10/2009	4.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/11/2009	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/12/2009	7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/1/2010	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/2/2010	7.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/3/2010	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/4/2010	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	20/10/2010	6.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/11/2010	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	15/12/2010	5.6

Appendix F

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream Wet Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	24/5/2001	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	15/6/2001	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	11/7/2001	7.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/8/2001	6.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	19/9/2001	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	22/5/2002	4.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/6/2002	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/7/2002	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/8/2002	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/9/2002	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	14/5/2003	5.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/6/2003	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	11/7/2003	6.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/8/2003	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/9/2003	5.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/5/2004	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	4/6/2004	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/7/2004	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/8/2004	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/9/2004	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/5/2005	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/6/2005	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	8/7/2005	6.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/8/2005	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/9/2005	6.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	11/5/2006	5.2
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/6/2006	7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/7/2006	5.6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/8/2006	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	6/9/2006	5

Appendix F

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream Wet Season

				DO (mg/l)
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/5/2007	6.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	1/6/2007	5.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	5/7/2007	7.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	2/8/2007	6.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	10/9/2007	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	16/5/2008	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/6/2008	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/7/2008	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	25/8/2008	6.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	18/9/2008	4.1
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/5/2009	6
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	3/6/2009	5.4
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/7/2009	5.5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	7/8/2009	4.9
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	17/9/2009	5.3
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	27/5/2010	5.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	30/6/2010	6.8
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	19/7/2010	5
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	13/8/2010	6.7
Tolo Harbour And Channel	Tung Tze Stream	TR6	9/9/2010	6.5

Appendix G

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream

Median of Dry Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
3/1/2001	8.1
12/2/2001	10.2
16/3/2001	5
19/4/2001	6.6
12/10/2001	6.1
16/11/2001	7.2
17/12/2001	6.2
18/1/2002	6.2
20/2/2002	7.3
18/3/2002	6.6
18/4/2002	6.8
3/10/2002	4.9
4/11/2002	6.9
5/12/2002	5.4
3/1/2003	7.3
7/2/2003	7.4
6/3/2003	6.4
10/4/2003	7.1
8/10/2003	4.8
6/11/2003	5.9
4/12/2003	7.5
9/1/2004	6.1
4/2/2004	8.3
3/3/2004	6
2/4/2004	7.2
8/10/2004	4.9
4/11/2004	5.9
2/12/2004	5.1
7/1/2005	7.8
1/2/2005	5.2
4/3/2005	8.2
8/4/2005	5.1
7/10/2005	5.4
3/11/2005	5.7
5/12/2005	5.1

For 2001 to 2010	
Minimum	4.7
Median	6.40
Maximum	10.2
Mean	6.38

Appendix G

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream Median of Dry Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
5/1/2006	5.3
3/2/2006	6
8/3/2006	5.5
3/4/2006	8.4
5/10/2006	6.6
3/11/2006	5.5
5/12/2006	7.4
5/1/2007	5.9
2/2/2007	7.3
2/3/2007	5.2
13/4/2007	5.3
4/10/2007	5.5
2/11/2007	5
4/12/2007	7.3
7/1/2008	6.7
13/2/2008	6.9
6/3/2008	6.1
10/4/2008	6.6
20/10/2008	5.6
13/11/2008	6.3
2/12/2008	7
7/1/2009	7.3
6/2/2009	6.9
5/3/2009	6.7
20/4/2009	5.2
16/10/2009	4.7
16/11/2009	6.9
16/12/2009	7
6/1/2010	6.6
18/2/2010	7.4
3/3/2010	5.4
8/4/2010	6.5
20/10/2010	6.4
17/11/2010	6.6
15/12/2010	5.6

For 2007 to 2010	
Minimum	4.7
Median	6.55
Maximum	7.4
Mean	6.28

For 2010	
Minimum	5.4
Median	6.50
Maximum	7.4
Mean	6.36

Appendix G

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream Median of Wet Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
24/5/2001	6.1
15/6/2001	5.7
11/7/2001	7.9
3/8/2001	6.6
19/9/2001	5.2
22/5/2002	4.1
7/6/2002	5
4/7/2002	5.4
2/8/2002	5.7
4/9/2002	5.8
14/5/2003	5.7
9/6/2003	4.9
11/7/2003	6.2
8/8/2003	5.8
5/9/2003	5.6
7/5/2004	6.5
4/6/2004	5.8
7/7/2004	5.2
9/8/2004	6.5
2/9/2004	6.7
6/5/2005	6
3/6/2005	5.8
8/7/2005	6.4
3/8/2005	5.5
2/9/2005	6.5
11/5/2006	5.2
16/6/2006	7
5/7/2006	5.6
2/8/2006	6.7
6/9/2006	5
3/5/2007	6.3
1/6/2007	5.1
5/7/2007	7.3
2/8/2007	6.9
10/9/2007	6.1
16/5/2008	5.5
18/6/2008	5.4
18/7/2008	5.5
25/8/2008	6.1
18/9/2008	4.1

For 2001 to 2010	
Minimum	4.1
Median	5.80
Maximum	7.9
Mean	5.85

For 2007 to 2010	
Minimum	4.1
Median	5.65
Maximum	7.3
Mean	5.81

Appendix G

EP-303/2008

Dissolved Oxygen Level at Tung Tze Stream
Median of Wet Season

Date	DO(mg/l)
7/5/2009	6
3/6/2009	5.4
17/7/2009	5.5
7/8/2009	4.9
17/9/2009	5.3
27/5/2010	5.8
30/6/2010	6.8
19/7/2010	5
13/8/2010	6.7
9/9/2010	6.5

For 2010	
Minimum	5.0
Median	6.50
Maximum	6.8
Mean	6.16

Appendix H – W3 Monitoring data of dissolved oxygen from August 2011 to January 2012 with applying 13.74% variation

Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L	Monitoring Date	DO mg/L		
1-Aug-2011	6.93	30-Sep-2011	5.52	1-Dec-2011	5.68		
3-Aug-2011	7.31	4-Oct-2011	5.09	3-Dec-2011	5.09		
6-Aug-2011	6.89	6-Oct-2011	5.12	6-Dec-2011	6.17		
8-Aug-2011	7.26	8-Oct-2011	5.89	8-Dec-2011	5.59		
11-Aug-2011	6.01	11-Oct-2011	6.09	10-Dec-2011	6.58		
13-Aug-2011	6.76	13-Oct-2011	6.53	13-Dec-2011	6.44		
15-Aug-2011	7.03	15-Oct-2011	5.19	15-Dec-2011	7.15		
17-Aug-2011	6.40	18-Oct-2011	5.21	17-Dec-2011	6.60		
19-Aug-2011	6.74	20-Oct-2011	6.04	20-Dec-2011	6.68		
23-Aug-2011	6.21	22-Oct-2011	6.86	22-Dec-2011	7.14		
25-Aug-2011	5.07	25-Oct-2011	6.58	24-Dec-2011	7.91		
27-Aug-2011	4.44	27-Oct-2011	6.39	29-Dec-2011	6.90		
30-Aug-2011	6.71	29-Oct-2011	5.92	31-Dec-2011	6.63		
1-Sep-2011	7.18	1-Nov-2011	5.66	3-Jan-2012	6.22		
3-Sep-2011	7.32	3-Nov-2011	6.64	5-Jan-2012	6.90		
6-Sep-2011	5.86	5-Nov-2011	6.58	7-Jan-2012	5.10		
8-Sep-2011	5.66	8-Nov-2011	5.47	10-Jan-2012	7.10		
10-Sep-2011	6.88	10-Nov-2011	6.72	12-Jan-2012	7.25		
12-Sep-2011	6.44	12-Nov-2011	6.53	14-Jan-2012	6.90		
15-Sep-2011	6.09	15-Nov-2011	6.51	17-Jan-2012	7.60		
17-Sep-2011	5.73	17-Nov-2011	4.74	19-Jan-2012	6.50		
20-Sep-2011	6.26	19-Nov-2011	4.62	21-Jan-2012	8.41		
22-Sep-2011	4.49	22-Nov-2011	5.09	26-Jan-2012	8.89		
24-Sep-2011	6.43	24-Nov-2011	5.70	28-Jan-2012	7.62		
26-Sep-2011	5.36	26-Nov-2011	5.69	31-Jan-2012	7.18		
28-Sep-2011	5.91	29-Nov-2011	5.38				

Remarks:

Red highlight: The value is exceeded Limit Level (<**7.43**)

Yellow highlight: The value is exceeded Action Level (<**7.51**)

Appendix I

EP-303/2008

Enquiry of Revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring

Reference Cases

Case	Environmental Permit No.	Project Title	EM&A Manual	EIA Report	Limit Level for Water Quality - DO
1.	EP-434/2012	Drainage Improvements in Southern Lantau	Section 4.8.1, Table 4.1		4mg/L
2.	EP-429/2012	Development of the Integrated Waste Management Facilities Phase 1	Section 4a.7.12, Table 4a.4		4mg/L
3.	EP-413/2011	Integration of Siu Ho Wan and Silver Mine Bay Water Treatment Works	Section 4.7.8, Table 4.2		4mg/L
4.	EP-334/2009	Sludge Treatment Facilities	Section 5.8.1.1, Table 5.3		4mg/L
5.	EP-224/2005	Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau, Sai Kung	Section 3.9.1, Table 3.8		4mg/L
6.	EP-217/2005	Drainage Improvements in Sai Kung		Section 4.7.3, Table 4.2	4mg/L
7.	EP-190/2004	Improvements to San Tin Interchange	Section 4.25, Table 4.1		4mg/L