

Drainage Service Department

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Auditing report for

Contract No.DC/2009/22

Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1

February 2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited

Flat A, 19/F, Chaiwan Industrial Building,
20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, Hong Kong

Tel: 2556 9172

Fax: 2856 2010

APPROVAL SHEET


The Contents of this report have been

Certified by:

Signature: 
Miss. Goldie Fung
(Environmental Team Leader)


Date: 2 MAY 2014

Ecologist (Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd.)

Signature: 
Dr. Michael Leven
(Ecologist)

Date: 14 March 2014


RLA (Environmental Resources Management)

Signature: 
Mr. Tai Kai Wai
(RLA)

Date: 14 March 2014

and Verified by:

IEC (ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited)

Signature: 
Mr. Tony Cheng
(IEC)

Date: 10 July 2014

TABLE OF CONTENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
1 Introduction	1
2 Construction Stage	2
2.1 Construction activities in the reporting period	2
2.2 Construction activities for the coming month	2
2.3 Environmental Status.....	2
3 Noise Monitoring	3
3.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	3
3.2 Monitoring Equipment	3
3.3 Monitoring Locations.....	4
3.4 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	6
3.5 Action and Limit level for Construction Noise	6
3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	7
4 Water Monitoring.....	9
4.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology	9
4.2 Monitoring Equipment	9
4.3 Monitoring Locations.....	10
4.4 Monitoring Frequency	13
4.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	13
4.6 Action and limit level for Water Quality	14
4.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	20
5 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring	21
5.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Parameters and Methodology.....	21
5.2 Monitoring Equipment	21
5.3 Monitoring Locations.....	21
5.4 Monitoring Frequency	23
5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation	23
5.6 Action and limit level for Hydrological Characteristics.....	23
5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period	27
6 Ecological Monitoring of ECA.....	28
6.1 Ecological Monitoring of ECA.....	28
6.2 Monitoring Results.....	28
7 Landscape and Visual	30
7.1 Introduction	30
7.2 Scope of Monitoring.....	30

7.3	Landscape and Visual Monitoring Results	32
7.4	Audit Schedule	44
8	Action taken in Event of Exceedance	45
9	Construction waste disposal	46
10	Status of Permits and Licenses obtained	48
11	Compliant Log	49
12	Site Environmental Audits	49
	12.1 Site Inspection	49
	12.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement	50
	12.3 Implementation status and effectiveness of the mitigation measures 50	
13	Future Key issues and recommendations	50
14	Conclusions	51

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix A: Site Location

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact information chart

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for measuring instruments

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Appendix F: Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Data

Appendix G: Landscape and Visual Monitoring Photos

Appendix H: Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

Appendix I: Construction programme

Appendix J: Three month rolling programme

Appendix K: Graphical plots of trends of monitored parameters

Appendix L: Photo of Wai Ha River in February 2014

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the thirty sixth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the drainage improvement works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po under Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2009/22 entitled “Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1”. This report concludes the impact monitoring for the activities undertaken during the period from 1st February 2014 to 28th February 2014. The major site activities in this reporting period were mainly rectification works at Ting Kok Road, remaining works at intake structure and reinstatement in Tung Tzs Nursery.

The Environmental Team (ET) is responsible for the EM&A works required in the EM&A manual (revision 3). Site inspections were carried out on weekly basis to investigate and audit the equipment and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation. The weekly inspections records and photos taken were kept.

In general, waste management was satisfactory during the reporting period.

Impact monitoring for construction noise was conducted in the reporting period. No exceedance of A/L level was reported.

Furthermore, impact monitoring for water quality was conducted. Total 9 abnormal incidents of water quality criteria were recorded in this reporting month. During the reporting period, no construction activities were conducted near the Wai Ha River. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water releasing to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity and SS were believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation. And, since the recorded levels of Turbidity and SS at control station had also exceeded its baseline action or limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

No exceedance of A/L level was reported for the monitoring of hydrological characteristics in the reporting period.

The ECA was handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012. And, the monitoring for *Pavetta hongkongensis* was completed.

Visual and landscape monitoring has been conducted for the project. Details of the observations are referred to sections 7.

There was no complaint, notification of any summons and successful prosecutions against the project received during the reporting period.

Site works proposed to be carried out in the upcoming month at Area A & B are refer to section 2.2.

It is expected that noise, air and water quality impacts will be resulted from the works. ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary and to keep a good environmental management at site practice. The recommended mitigation measures proposed for the project as well as implementation status are refer to section 12.3.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3) and Environmental Permit requirement.

1 Introduction

This is the thirty sixth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for the drainage improvement works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po under Drainage Services Department Contract No. DC/2009/22 entitled “Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po – Contract 1”. The site layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**. The Environmental Team, Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited was appointed by Kwan Lee – Kuly Joint Venture to prepare the report. The report is to be submitted to the Contractor, the Engineer and the IEC.

This report presents the results of the environmental monitoring of the project activities conducted within the reporting period from 1st February 2014 to 28th February 2014. This report included the noise monitoring, water quality monitoring, hydrological characteristics monitoring, visual and landscape monitoring, and regular site inspections once per week for verification of implementation of the mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit (EP-303/2008) (EP), EM&A Manual (revision 3) and the Contractor’s Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

2 Construction Stage

2.1 Construction activities in the reporting period

Major activities in the reporting period included the followings:

Area A.:

- Rectification works at Ting Kok Road
- Remaining works at Intake Structure

Area B.:

- Reinstatement in Tung Tsz Nursery

2.2 Construction activities for the coming month

Proposed key construction works in the coming months will include:

Area A (Pumping Station)

1. Rectification works at Ting Kok Road
2. Remaining works at Intake Structure

Area B (Tung Tsz Nursery)

1. Reinstatement in Tung Tsz Nursery

2.3 Environmental Status

Appendix A shows the drawing of the project area.

Locations of the monitoring and control stations with environmental sensitive receivers are presented in Section 3.3, 4.3, and 5.3 for noise, water quality, and hydrological characteristics respectively.

3 Noise Monitoring

3.1 Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

The construction noise level was measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). $L_{eq(30minutes)}$ was used as the monitoring parameter for the impact monitoring in the time period between 0700 to 1900 hours on normal weekdays. For all other time period, $L_{eq(5minutes)}$ was employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.

Noise measurement results obtained from each monitoring location were recorded in the Construction Noise Monitoring Data Sheet (**Appendix D**) immediately after the measurement. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results L_{10} and L_{90} were also be recorded for reference.

In case of non-compliance with the construction noise criteria, more frequent monitoring, as specified in the Action plan in Table 3.5.2, shall be carried out. This additional monitoring shall be carried out until the recorded noise levels are rectified or proved to be irrelevant to the construction activities.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meters and calibrators comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) to the Noise Control Ordinance was deployed as monitoring equipment for noise measurement.

Noise measurement was not be made in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5ms^{-1} or wind with gust exceeding 10ms^{-1} . Thus wind speed was checked by the portable wind speed indicator capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Table 3.2.1 summarizes the equipment list for noise monitoring

Table 3.2.1 Equipment List for Noise Monitoring

Equipment	Manufacturer & Model No.	Precision Grade	Qty
Integrated sound level meter	Svantek 955	IEC 61672 Type 1 IEC 1260 Type 1	1
Windscreen	Microtech gefell model W2	N/A	1
Acoustical calibrator	Svantek SV30A	IEC 942 Type 1	1
Wind speed indicator	Kestrel K1000	N/A	1
Remarks: Calibration details of the sound level meter is given in Appendix C for reference			

3.3 Monitoring Locations

According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit manual, impact noise monitoring for contract 1 was undertaken at two locations during the construction phase of the project. The proposed monitoring locations are summarized in Table 3.3.1. Figure 3.3.1 shows the Noise Monitoring Locations.

Noise measurement at each monitoring location was taken at a point 1m from the exterior of the selected premises and at a height of 1.2m above ground with no disturbance to the dweller and least obstructed view.

Table 3.3.1 Noise Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Noise Monitoring Station	Location
M1	14, Shuen Wan Chim Uk
AL1	Joint Village Office for Villages in Shuen Wan, Tai Po

In accordance with the requirements in the EM&A manual (revision 3), weekly impact monitoring was conducted. For the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays, and noise parameter of $L_{eq(30minutes)}$ was measured. As if the construction works were carried out during restricted period (i.e. 1900-2300, 2300-0700 of next day and Sundays / general holiday), impact monitoring that comprises 3 consecutive $L_{eq(5minutes)}$ would be carried out.




Figure 3.3.1 Impact noise monitoring locations

3.4 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

As the construction site was closed during the Chinese New Year Holiday, no noise monitoring was conducted during 1st of February to 9th of February 2014.

Relevant details of the noise monitoring results are presented in Table 3.4.1. The results of M1 ranged between 60.2dB (A) and 63.5dB (A), and AL1 ranged between 65.1dB (A) and 68.5dB (A), were within the limit levels and therefore, no exceedance was found.

Location	Parameter	Date*	Time	L _{Aeq} dB(A)	Limit dB(A)	Exceedance	Weather
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	12-Feb-14	12:30	62.5	75	N	Cloudy
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	19-Feb-14	10:35	60.2	75	N	Cloudy
M1	L _{eq} 30mins	26-Feb-14	13:00	63.5	75	N	Cloudy
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	12-Feb-14	13:10	68.5	75	N	Cloudy
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	19-Feb-14	11:10	67.5	75	N	Cloudy
AL1	L _{eq} 30mins	26-Feb-14	13:45	65.1	75	N	Cloudy

Remarks: Raw datasheet for noise monitoring are attached in **Appendix D** for reference.

3.5 Action and Limit level for Construction Noise

The Action and Limit (A/L) levels for construction noise are defined in Table 3.5.1. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Action Plan in Table 3.5.2 should be carried out.

There was no exceedance recorded in the reporting period.

Table 3.5.1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75dB(A)
Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.		

3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Noise monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on, 5th, 12th, 19th and 26th of March 2014.

Table 3.5.2 Event / Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT				
	ET Leader	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	1. Notify IEC and Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor. 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check	1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Check remedial measures	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC. 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.

<p>Limit Level</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor. 2. Identify source. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency. 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances. 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, ER and EPD 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions. 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing. 2. Notify Contractor. 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem. 4. Check remedial measures properly implemented. 5. If exceedance 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.
--------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4 Water Monitoring

4.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

Turbidity in Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in mg/L, temperature, and pH measurements were in-situ measurements and suspended solids measurements were performed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory using recommended reference method APHA 2540D.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

Turbidity, DO, Salinity, pH and temperature was measured by an instrument complied with the following requirements:

The instrument is a portable as well as weatherproof multimeter complete with cable and uses a DC power source. It is capable of measuring:

- A turbidity between 0-800NTU;
- A dissolved Oxygen level in the range of 0-20mg/L and 0-200% saturation;
- A temperature of 0-50°C;
- Salinity in the range of 0-40ppt;
- pH in the range of 0-14.

The measurements were performed by a portable and weatherproof multi-meter, model TOA-DKK WQC-24. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory every 3 months to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification. Detailed calibration records of the multi-meter were shown in **Appendix C** for reference

Suspended solids were determined by the water samples collected from the monitoring locations for further analysis in accredited HOKLAS laboratory. Water samples were contained by polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled in 4°C without frozen) and delivered to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible after collection.

4.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), monitoring stations for contract 1 were established at two locations, which are summarized in Table 4.3.1.

Table 4.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Coordinates
W1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA	E:839301 N:836386
W2	Between Tolo Harbour and Proposed Penstock	E:839542 N:836184

As illustrated in Figure 4.3.1, W1 served as the control station while W2 was the monitoring location of water quality.

According to the approved proposal of revision for Action/Limit Level Criteria of Water Quality Monitoring, two reference points (C1 & C2) were added.

Should the water quality parameters monitoring results at the monitoring station W2 exceed the water quality criteria, the water quality monitoring data of two reference points (C1 and C2) will be used as the supplementary information. The monitoring data of C1 should be used for comparison with the monitoring data of W2 that taken at flood tide; and the monitoring data of C2 should be used for comparison with the monitoring data of W2 that taken at ebb tide. The comparison of water quality between W2 and C1 at flood tide and between W2 and C2 at ebb tide is to prove whether influence of water quality is caused by the construction activities. The details of C1 and C2 are referred to the previous submission.

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), measurements shall be taken at 3 water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above river bed, except where the water depth less than 6m, the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

As the depth of water was less than 3m, water samples were collected at mid-depth of each proposed monitoring stations for measurements and sample collection.




Figure 4.3.1 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

4.4 Monitoring Frequency

Impact water quality monitoring for each monitoring station were performed at mid-flood or mid-ebb tides for 3 days per week during the course of the construction river works.

As the construction site was closed during the Chinese New Year Holiday, no water quality monitoring was conducted during 1st of February to 9th of February 2014

Monitoring was carried out on 10th, 12th, 14th, 17th, 19th, 21st, 24th, 26th and 28th of February 2014.

4.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Water quality monitoring was carried out nine times in this reporting month. Detailed on-site measurements are shown in **Appendix E**. Table 4.5.1 presents consolidated results throughout the reporting month.

There were 9 abnormal incidents of water quality limits (Turbidity) were recorded in this reporting month according to the established action and limit levels. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed. During the reporting period, no construction activities were conducted near the Wai Ha River. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water releasing to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity and SS were believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation. And, since the recorded levels of Turbidity and SS at control station had also exceeded its baseline action or limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

The water condition of Wai Ha River is presented in photo attached in **Appendix M**.

Table 4.5.1 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results of this reporting month

	Average of Monitoring Results					
	<i>Temperature</i> (°C)	<i>Turbidity</i> (NTU)	<i>pH</i>	<i>Dissolved Oxygen</i> (mg/L)	<i>Dissolved Oxygen</i> (%)	<i>Suspended Solids</i> (mg/L)
W1	16.7	3.3	7.7	7.54	77.4	2.3
W2	18.0	5.1	7.56	8.00	86.7	5.3
C1	16.4	5.15	7.83	8.23	94.0	5.8
C2	17.3	8.0	7.54	7.97	82.2	2.7

Table 4.5.2 Interpretations of abnormal incidents recorded in the reporting month

Date	Tide	Parameter	Interpretations
10/2/2014	Flood	Turbidity	Exceedance was caused by natural fluctuation
12/2/2014	Ebb	Turbidity	
14/2/2014	Ebb	Turbidity	
17/2/2014	Flood	Turbidity	
19/2/2014	Ebb	Turbidity	
21/2/2014	Ebb	Turbidity	
24/2/2014	Flood	Turbidity	
26/2/2014	Ebb	Turbidity	
		SS	
28/2/2014	Ebb	Turbidity	

4.6 Action and limit level for Water Quality

Based on the criteria stipulated in EM&A manual (revision 3) and baseline water quality monitoring data obtained, the A/L levels are shown in Table 4.6.1, Table 4.6.2. The A/L levels for W1 were ignored since W1 functions as the control station for contract 1. If the water quality monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 4.6.3 should be taken.

Table 4.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	Action	Limit
DO in mg/L	5 percentile of baseline data	4 mg/L
pH	N/A	6.0 – 9.0
SS in mg/L	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS
Turbidity in NTU	95 percentile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity	99 percentile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turbidity

Table 4.6.2 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	Monitoring Stations (Flood Tide)				Monitoring Stations (Ebb Tide)			
	W1		W2		W1		W2	
	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
DO (mg/L)	8.07	8.07	7.81	7.69	7.12	7.02	6.77	6.31
pH	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0	N/A	6.0-9.0
SS (mg/L)	7.7	8.1	7.7	8.6	10.5	10.9	9.4	9.9
Turbidity (NTU)	4.9	5.3	1.7	1.8	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.5

Remarks:

For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits

For SS and turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Table 4.6.3 Event and action Plan for Water Quality

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. <p>Repeat measurement on next day of</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.

	exceedance.			
Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.

	8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.			
LIMIT LEVEL				
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation

	frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.			measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor ; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and if necessary 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures;

	Limit level for two consecutive days.		instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.	7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.
--	---------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Water quality monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 3rd, 5th, 7th, 10th, 12th, 14th, 17th, 19th, 21st, 24th, 26th, 28th and 31st of March 2014.

5 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring

5.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Parameters and Methodology

Impact monitoring of hydrological characteristics was undertaken to establish hydrological characteristics of sections of Wai Ha River adjacent to Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan, Tai Po.

The hydrological characteristics of sections of Wai Ha River were measured by water flow rate and depth.

5.2 Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring performed by a portable echo-sounder, model Greyline Stingray. The equipment was calibrated and verified by certified laboratory or manufacturer every year to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specification.

Calibration Certificate of the multi-meter is given in **Appendix C**.

5.3 Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3), monitoring stations for contract 1 were established at two locations and summarized in Table 5.3.1.

Table 5.3.1 – Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Coordinates
H1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA	E:839301 N:836386
H2	Route to Sam Kung Temple	E:839163 N:836433

As illustrated in Figure 5.3.1, H2 served as the control station while H1 was the monitoring location of hydrological characteristics.




Figure 5.3.1 Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Locations

5.4 Monitoring Frequency

Hydrological characteristics monitoring for each monitoring station were performed at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides for once per week during the course of the construction river works.

As the construction site was closed during the Chinese New Year Holiday, no hydrological monitoring was conducted during 1st of February to 9th of February 2014.

Monitoring was carried out on 14th, 21st and 28th of February 2014.

5.5 Monitoring Results and Interpretation

Hydrological characteristics monitoring was carried out three times in this reporting period. The monitoring results are summarized in Table 5.5. All results were within the action and limit levels, therefore, no exceedance was found.

Table 5.5 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

	Average of Monitoring Results	
	Water Depth (m)	Water Flow Rate (m ³ /s)
H1(Flood)	~0.300	~0.100
H1(Ebb)	~0.180	~0.225
H2(Flood)	~0.300	~0.754
H2(Ebb)	~0.200	~1.130

Details of the monitoring data were presented in **Appendix F**.

5.6 Action and limit level for Hydrological Characteristics

The Action and Limit levels for all monitoring stations are summarized in Table 5.6.1, which would be applied for compliance assessment of hydrological characteristics for this project. If the hydrological characteristics monitoring results at any impact stations exceeded the criteria, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Table 5.6.2 should be taken.

Table 5.6.1 Action and Limit Levels for Hydrological Characteristics at All Monitoring Stations

Parameters	Action	Limit
Water Depth at Mid-flood (m)	0.08	0.06
Water Depth at Mid-ebb (m)	0.08	0.06
Water Flow Rate (m ³ /s)	120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement

Table 5.6.2 Event and action Plan for Hydrological Characteristics

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Make 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance;

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	<p>mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<p>agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
LIMIT LEVEL				
<p>Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance ; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. 	mitigation measures.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor; 2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly; 3. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance ; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed

			down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.	7. mitigation measures; As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.
--	--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

5.7 Monitoring Schedule for the next reporting period

Hydrological characteristics monitoring schedule is proposed to be carried out on 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th of March 2014.

6 Ecological Monitoring of ECA

6.1 Ecological Monitoring of ECA

6.1.1 Scope of Monitoring

The ECA was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012. The wire mesh fences and gate at the northwestern part of the ECA were fixed and reinstated by the Main Contractor. No site visit and ecological monitoring by the Wetland Specialist from the Main Contractor and the Ecologist from the IEC respectively were carried out in November 2012. The post-establishment phase monitoring and management of the ECA have commenced and to be undertaken by the AFCD in accordance with the monitoring and management items stipulated in the latest approved EM&A Manual of the Project

6.2 Monitoring Results

According to Table 6.17 of the EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring of the ECA will be carried out by qualified ecologists during the 1-year wetland establishment period of the ECA before handing over to AFCD for their post establishment monitoring. Establishment phase of the ECA began in September 2011, ecological monitoring programme was conducted and monitoring data was presented in respective monthly EM&A reports. Ecological monitoring programme ended in September 2012 and hence there will be no ECA report attached in EM&A reports.

6.2.1 Description of monitoring of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* in Ecological Compensatory Area

According to the latest Transplantation Proposal, monitoring of the transplanted individual of *Pavetta hongkongensis* will cover a period of 12 months after the transplanting exercise. The monitoring will be conducted once a week in the first 3 months and once in each subsequent month in the remaining monitoring period. Health condition and growth of each transplanted individuals will be assessed and photographic records will be undertaken for each inspection.

6.2.2 Description of transplanted *Pavetta hongkongensis* and remarks

The monitoring of the transplanted individual of *Pavetta hongkongensis* has been covered a period of 12 months. Therefore, the monitoring for *Pavetta hongkongensis* was not carried out in this reporting period.

7 Landscape and Visual

7.1 Introduction

The Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project is conducted to fulfill Clauses 5.2 and 5.4 of EP-303/2008 and the monitoring requirements in accordance with Section 7 of the approved updated EM&A Manual (approved by EPD on 31st May 2012) of the Project. A Baseline Review on updating the landscape and visual condition, and the mitigation measures of the Project (including Contracts 1 and 2 of the Project) was undertaken before the commencement of the Project. The review findings were updated in the Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report submitted to the EPD on 14th February 2011.

This monthly monitoring report will detail the scope of landscape and visual monitoring work, monitoring findings and observations, and any recommendations and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures in the works areas under Contract 1 of the Project.

7.2 Scope of Monitoring

7.2.1 Monitoring Objectives

Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project should be conducted in a bi-weekly basis for checking the design, implementation and maintenance of the landscape and visual mitigation measures throughout the construction phase and in a quarterly basis during operational phase of the Project. Observations of any potential conflicts between the proposed mitigation measures and the project works carried out by the Contractors should be recorded. Recommendation and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures should be provided to the Contractor for minimizing any potential impacts on the landscape and visual elements.

7.2.2 Monitoring during Construction Phase

The following landscape and visual mitigation measure should be implemented during

the construction phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:

- Visual Screen – Use of hoardings as visual screens for the construction in the works areas;
- Contaminant/ Sediment Control – Use of temporary barriers, covers and drainage provision around the construction works as contaminant/ sediment control to prevent the contaminants and sediments from entering the sensitive water-based habitats;
- Pollution Control – Implementation of pollution control measures to minimize any adverse environmental impacts to the surrounding habitats;
- Liaison with Nursery – Liaison with the nursery operator as necessary to minimize any adverse impact to the daily operation and plant holding capacity of the nursery;
- Existing Trees within Works Area – Maintenance and protection of the existing trees, especially their crowns, trunks and roots, within work sites; and
- Construction Light – Provision of construction light should be controlled at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove.

7.2.3 Monitoring during Operational Phase

The following landscape and visual mitigation measure should be implemented during the operational phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:

- Viewing area formation by planting with shrubs, grasses and benches along the area;
- Architectural design of the pump house will help it fit into the existing suburban, natural to semi-natural surroundings;
- Landscape design of pump house by providing sufficient planting around its boundary fence;
- Enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road with shrubs/ trees of suitable species to help protect the stream and marshes;
- Construction of box culvert should be with at least 1.0m soil depth for enhancement planting;
- Transplanting of existing affected trees to adjacent locations should be carried out;
- Preparation for transplanting is needed to allow sufficient time for root pruning and rootball preparation prior to transplanting; and
- Reinstatement of affected area should be carried out to check that the works areas are properly reinstated.

7.3 Landscape and Visual Monitoring Results

7.3.1 Monitoring Date(s)

This monthly Landscape and Visual Monitoring (February 2014) was conducted to cover only Areas A, B and C of Contract 1 of the Project. The bi-weekly monitoring was conducted on 6th and 19th February 2014.

Area C (i.e. Ecological Compensatory Area (ECA)) was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. No access into the ECA is allowed after the handover.

All photos stated in this section are recorded in **Appendix G**.

The bi-weekly monitoring for Contract 2 was also undertaken on 6th and 19th February 2014. The monitoring findings and recommendation will be submitted in a separate Monthly EM&A Report under Contract DC/2010/02.

7.3.2 Visual Screen

No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for January 2014*.

Observation

Construction hoardings were once erected along the entire site boundary of Area A. Temporary construction hoardings have been erected around Wai Ha River estuary since the building of an automatic mechanical penstock at the area (**Photos 1-2**). As observed in February 2014, the construction of boundary walls along the western and part of the northern sides of Area A (i.e. the proposed pump house station) was finished (**Photo 3**), while the proposed chain-link fence has been erected along the rest of the boundary sides of Area A in February 2014 (**Photos 4-5**).

The temporary hoardings established for demarcating the construction site boundary of

Phases 1 and 2 construction works area of Area B in Tung Tsz Nursery were all removed in January 2014. Both construction works areas were demarcated with barrier tapes but some of them were not firmly tied (**Photos 6-7**). The open section between Phases 1 and 2 works areas was opened again after the reinstatement works (**Photo 8**). Since November 2013, the hoarding along the eastern boundary of Phase 2 in Area B (i.e. the section next to Ting Kok Road) was permanently reinstated with the original chain-link fence. Canvas sheets were put on the reinstated fence to screen off the existing construction site from the pedestrian path (**Photo 9**).

The gate of the adjacent housing area near the previous main entrance of Area C has been reinstated at its original location by the Contractor since November 2012.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.3.3 Contaminant/ Sediment Control

No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for January 2014*.

Observation

Area A

The wheel washing facility at the entrance of Area A was removed as the major earthwork was completed and most of the ground surface in Area A has been turned into concrete road.

According to the Main Contractor, no groundwater or used water was pumped from the excavated sites or built box culvert in February 2014.

Area B

The major excavation and construction works in Area B were almost completed, leaving minor excavation and reinstatement work for irrigation pipes and nursery beds in both

Phases 1 and 2 within Tung Tsz Nursery. The wheel washing facility at the entrance of the access road leading towards the works area at northwestern part of Tung Tsz Nursery was removed. No significant discharge of groundwater or used water from Area B was noted during the inspection in February 2014.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The pond of the ECA has connected with the Wai Ha River directly. No water resulting from normal wetland maintenance practice was pumped out from the ECA.

Recommendation

The Contractor should regularly check the condition and locations of the drainage pipes and ensure that all used water should be appropriately filtered and discharged to the manholes/other discharge points agreed by the Engineer and EPD.

7.3.4 Pollution Control

All used water for washing vehicular wheel and construction works was filtered and drained to the manholes and drainage points, as following the recommendation stated in *Monthly EM&A Report for January 2014*.

Observation

Area A

The wheel washing facilities at the entrance of Area A was removed as the major earthwork was completed and most of the ground surface in Area A has been turned into concrete road. According to the Main Contractor, no groundwater or used water was pumped from the excavated sites or built box culvert during February 2014.

No direct discharge of water into the adjacent Wai Ha River was observed from the works area for building the automatic mechanical penstock at Wai Ha River estuary as only minor civil works were carried out.

Area B

The major excavation and construction works in Area B were almost completed, leaving minor excavation and reinstatement work for irrigation pipes and nursery beds in both Phases 1 and 2 within Tung Tsz Nursery. The wheel washing facility at the entrance of the access road leading towards the works area at northwestern part of Tung Tsz Nursery was removed. No significant discharge of groundwater or used water from Area B was noted during the inspection in February 2014.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The pond of the ECA has been connected to Wai Ha River directly as following the scheme design of Habitat Compensatory Plan. No direct discharge of turbid water into the adjacent Wai Ha River was observed through the fence of Tung Tsz Nursery (**Photo 10**).

Recommendation

The Contractor should regularly check the condition and locations of the drainage pipes and ensure that all used water should be appropriately filtered and discharged to the manholes/other discharge points agreed by the Engineer and EPD. This is to avoid any potential contamination to the vegetation in Shuen Wan marsh and other vegetated/marinated areas adjacent to the active works area.

7.3.5 Liaison with Nursery

Active construction works within Tung Tsz Nursery has been extended to the east of the nursery in connection with Ting Kok Road since May 2012. As mentioned above in Section 3.2.2, all temporary hoardings established for demarcating the construction site boundary in Tung Tsz Nursery have been removed in January 2014.

The works practice and maintenance of trees within the nursery generally follow the recommendation as stated in *Monthly EM&A Report for January 2014*. Any observed

issues related to the liaison with the nursery are highlighted in this section.

Observation

The temporary hoardings were erected from northwest to southwest parts of Tung Tsz Nursery in Area B in April 2011, while those boundary hoardings around Phase 2 construction works were erected in May 2012. As mentioned above in Section 3.2.2, these temporary hoardings along Phases 1 and 2 of Area B works areas have been removed in January 2014. The site boundary was demarcated with barrier tapes. In addition, the hoarding along the eastern boundary of Phase 2 in Area B was permanently reinstated with the original chain-link fence, which was further screened by canvas sheets (**Photo 9**).

The open section between Phases 1 and 2 works areas was opened again after the reinstatement works (**Photo 8**).

As observed since January 2014, no more excavation works has been undertaken in Phases 1 and 2. The reinstatement works for the original access paths and ground of the nursery beds were still in progress in both Phases 1 and 2 areas (**Photos 11-12**).

Regular monitoring for all transplanted and retained trees within the nursery was conducted on a bi-weekly basis. The dead, transplanted tree *Grevillea robusta* (U58) was removed by the Contractor and its dry stump was left in the planter from October 2013. As observed during the monitoring on 19th February 2014, the entire planter of the removed tree was also removed (**Photo 13**).

As reported in the previous *Monthly EM&A Reports*, the retained tree U68 (*Gmelina arborea*) was found fallen after the severe typhoon in July 2012, with its leaning trunk being pruned and removed in August 2012 (as reported in *Monthly EM&A Report for August 2012*). Regular removal of generated watersprouts was sometimes noted throughout the monitoring period. The regenerated watersprouts were removed in December 2013 as vegetation management practice in the Nursery and no more regenerated watersprouts were seen in February 2014 (**Photo 14**).

Recommendation

The works area and the construction works should be properly managed and implemented without influencing the daily operation of the nursery (i.e. provide enough access paths and works area for the nursery operation).

All transplanted trees should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days during the dry season) by the appointed landscape contractor. Meanwhile, the Contractor should prevent forming waterlogged areas or leakage of used water from the active construction works area into the Nursery. This is to prevent causing any nuisance to the nursery's daily operation. In addition, the Contractor is recommended to replace or remove the broken bamboo stakes for the transplanted trees, as well as removal the climbers and weedy plants found in the tree canopies and planters of these transplanted trees prior to handing over the site back to the Nursery Operator.

The appointed landscape contractor and the Contractor should closely monitor the health conditions of all transplanted/relocated and retained trees throughout the construction period of the Project.

7.3.6 Existing Trees within Works Areas

Maintenance of the existing trees within the works areas generally follows the recommendations as stated in *Monthly EM&A Report for January 2014*, except the observations as highlighted in the following sections.

Observation

Area A

Construction of the slanting component of the proposed green roof and pumping house (including excavation and site formation works) was almost completed by end of January 2014. The proposed green roof on the pumping house was vegetated with herbaceous ground cover *Arachis duranensis* in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan **(Photos 15-16)**.

Temporary construction barriers have been used to demarcate the Tree Protection Zone

(TPZ) for the existing retained and relocated trees in Area A. As observed in February 2014, the construction work and site formation work close to the slanting component of the pumping house in the south were almost completed. However, only the tree E44 (*Celtis sinensis*) was protected within a TPZ, while no TPZ was established to protect a line of other retained trees nearby E44. Some sections of the newly established chain-link fence were cut to facilitate the growth of large scaffold branch of E44.

The tree to be transplanted E16 (*Bombax ceiba*) was relocated to the southern side of Area A next to the site hoarding in July 2012. The tree was in marginally fair condition in February 2014 (**Photo 17**) and it was separated outside the proposed chain-link fence along the boundary sides of Area A. Soil grade change was observed around the trunk base and minor exposed roots were found in January 2014. As inspected during the monitoring on 19th February 2014, soil was piled around the root flare and some exposed root was found (**Photo 18**). The stability of the tree may be affected. Given that it is in close proximity to the construction work which may be resumed close to the pumping house, the condition and stability of this tree should be regularly monitored.

The relocated tree E38 (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*) was found dead after its relocation in August 2013, and it was removed by the Contractor in November 2013 (reported in *Monthly EM&A Report for November 2013*). A planter was built at the same location in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan. Four newly planted *Cinnamomum burmannii* appeared in fair condition in February 2014 (**Photo 19**). No further planting of shrubs and trees was noted in Area A.

Two trees (*Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*) have been found in the northeastern part of Area A since February 2013 and they have remained in fair condition.

Damaged tree trunk on E55 (*Macaranga tanarius* var. *tomentosa*) was reported in *Monthly EM&A Report for April 2013*. The wound on the trunk of E55 has been burlapped since May 2013. The upper section of the tree trunk was broken in June 2013. The tree was still in poor condition with most of its foliage as dry watersprouts only and it was separated outside the proposed chain-link fence along the boundary sides of Area A in February 2014 (**Photo 20**).

A retained tree T253 (*Bridelia tomentosa*) was in poor condition (**Photo 21**). Dead scaffold limbs with dry, peeled bark were observed. This identified tree defect may be only related to the intrinsic physiological and structural condition of T253. The tree was closely monitored in February 2014.

No other significant damages on the crowns, trunks and roots of the remaining trees resulting from the construction machinery were observed during the monitoring in February 2014 in Area A. A number of new trees (including one *Bridelia tomentosa*, two *Bombax ceiba*, eight *Macaranga tanarius* var. *tomentosa* and nine *Melaleuca cajuputi* subsp. *cumingiana*) have been planted along the eastern and southern parts, and at southwestern corner since January 2014. These trees were tagged, and planted for compensation of the tree loss due to the influence of severe typhoons and poor tree condition.

Area B

As highlighted in the Section “Liaison with Nursery”, the transplanted tree *Grevillea robusta* (U58) was removed in October 2013 as it was certified as dead specimen. As observed on 19th February 2014, the entire planter of this removed tree was also removed (**Photo 13**).

The relocated tree U37 was certified as a dead specimen (**Photo 22**). Most of the excavated soil piled close to the relocated trees along both sides of Phase 1 works area was generally removed.

Half of the planter of U47 (*Terminalia catappa*) has broken since August 2013, and some of its roots and planter soil were exposed in the air. As inspected in February 2014, the planter was still not yet repaired (**Photos 23-24**). A fungal fruiting body was found at the trunk base (**Photo 26**) and parasitic plants were observed in the canopy (**Photo 26**). In addition to U47, the planters of U54 (*Terminalia catappa*) and two untagged *Terminalia catappa* to the southeast of U54 (**Photos 27-29**), as well as the relocated tree U69 (*Alstonia scholaris*) were also broken and not yet repaired (**Photo 30**). Exposed roots and planter soil were observed. On the other hand, excavated soil has been piled around the planter and on the root flare of U51 (*Terminalia catappa*) (**Photo 31**).

U55 (*Pterocarpus indicus*) has been transplanted to its final receptor site in 2011 and pest control was applied on this tree in early 2013 due to the sign of termite infestation. Its health condition has been improved, but long branches with wounds and dieback twigs were still observed in the canopy. Close monitoring on this tree is still required.

Sign of suspected termite infestation has been observed at the lower trunk of the retained tree U67 (*Cassia fistula*) (**Photo 32**). The tree was still in fair health and structural condition as observed in February 2014, but close monitoring should be undertaken.

For the retained tree A40 (*Terminalia catappa*) at the entrance of Phase 2 construction areas, the southern excavated area was refilled but the northern excavated area close to its planter has not yet backfilled with soil, and some of the tree roots growing underneath the tree planter are still under a risk of being damaged by any future minor civil works (**Photo 33**). The tree may have a risk of leaning if its underground roots are further damaged or the ground is not backfilled soon.

The trunk of the transplanted tree U53 (*Terminalia catappa*) was still tied tightly by strings and a wrapping which was left after the transplantation of the tree (**Photos 34-35**). Wounds were found under the wrapping and watersprouts were observed developed on the trunk. As the strings were tied tightly on the trunk, they may girdle the tree and hence affect the tree health and its structure. Similar hessian wrapping and tied strings were also found on the transplanted tree U57 (*Terminalia mantaly*) (**Photo 36**), and trees A22, A42 and A41.

A broken branch was still noted hanging on the transplanted tree A43 (*Terminalia catappa*) (**Photo 37**). It was hanging near a footpath of the Nursery.

Many relocated trees in Phases 1 and 2 works areas within the Nursery were in fairly poor to fair condition due to the poor transplantation skills and poor site condition. Proper tree protection (e.g. guying and temporary TPZ), removal of surplus soils (esp. those related to the reinstatement of irrigation system) and removal of climbers and weedy herbs in the planters of the relocated trees should be implemented as proper maintenance of the existing trees.

The remaining trees, including retained and transplanted specimens, within the nursery were maintained generally in fair condition, with no significant damage on tree crowns, trunks and roots observed during the monitoring in February 2014.

Area C

Area C was formally handed over to AFCD on 16th October 2012 for management and maintenance. The area was fenced off and no access was allowed.

Recommendations

Area A

Maintenance of proper TPZs covering the tree driplines with no temporarily stored construction materials, excessive stockpiled soil and waterlogged condition around the tree trunk flares have been the major tree management issues in Areas A and B. The Contractor should continue notifying the on-site workers not to stockpile soil/construction materials or place construction equipment within and close to the TPZs or lower trunks/trunk flares of retained/ relocated/ new trees. Any temporarily stored construction materials/ equipment and excessive water around the trunk flares should be removed or drained immediately. The Contractor should remind the operators of the construction machines and on-site workers to be aware of the presence of these relocated and retained trees nearby their works (e.g. for the case of the retained trees in the eastern and southern parts of Area A), and prevent accidental damage on these trees as far as practical. In particular, the Contractor should establish proper tree protection zone around the retained trees at the southern side of Area A in order to minimize the potential mechanical damage from the on-going excavation and site formation works. Meanwhile, the Contractor and sub-contractor should carefully design the civil works. Common civil works, such as excavation and sheet piling works, should be programmed and designed carefully by taking tree buffer zone into consideration. The works should avoid affecting the tree canopy, trunk and underground root zone with regard to tree dripline as far as possible.

The Contractor is advised checking the condition of the orange construction nets in both Areas A and B, which have been used to demarcate the tree protection zone, and repair the

damaged nets as soon as possible. The site workers should not remove the tree protection zone during the construction phase.

The Contractor should continue the maintenance of proper tagging system for all trees within and outside the hoarded/fenced site in order to facilitate the monitoring of their existing condition. In addition, the Contractor should maintain regular monitoring of the tree protection system and condition of the retained and transplanted trees.

All retained trees or trees to be transplanted should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days) by the landscape contractor or on-site workers. The Contractor should conduct regular inspection on the health condition and protection measures of each existing trees within the Area A. In particular, regular watering should be applied on those relocated trees with regard to their poor health condition. If these trees or other transplanted/ relocated trees are found to be dead specimens in the wet season, the Contractor should replace these specimens. In addition, the appointed landscape construction should provide regular watering on all newly planted trees and ground cover (*Arachis duranensis* on the green roof) throughout the maintenance period.

Area B

All transplanted trees should be watered regularly (e.g. at least every two days during the dry season) by the landscape contractor. This is a necessary maintenance practice to improve the survival rates and growth for trees showing poor health condition as a result of the transplantation shock. Regular check of the tree health should be conducted. Proper protective measures such as guying and TPZs are recommended especially for the newly transplanted/ relocated trees. Waterlogged areas should be avoided and all used water/ temporary storage of construction materials or surplus soil around the tree trunk flares and close to the tree root zones should be drained out or removed immediately. To prevent accidental drainage of used water into the tree root zones of the relocated trees, the Contractor is recommended to establish a proper separation (e.g. sandbags barriers or wooden plates) between the trees and the ground of the active construction works. If in such circumstance that there is direct conflict between certain tree parts of the retained, transplanted or relocated tree(s) and the construction works/ machinery, the pruning works should be carried out in accordance with any local, national or international standards

related to tree remedial works.

Regular inspection of the tree health of a number of trees (i.e. U47, U55, U67 and A40) should be undertaken to update their health condition and any deterioration of tree defects. The Contractor is advised checking the condition of all bamboo stakes used for staking transplanted trees, and replace any damaged stakes as soon as possible. If these trees or other transplanted/ relocated trees are found to be dead specimens in the wet season after the assessment by the arborist of the appointed landscape contractor, the Contractor should replace these specimens. In addition, the Contractor should backfilled the excavated hole (such as that under A40) as soon as possible to prevent its further leaning due to the limited support from the ground. If practical, the Contractor is advised to replant any leaning trees, resulting from the less support of soil due to excavation works, back to upright position by end of the construction period.

The Contractor is recommended to remove all the wrapping and strings tied on the transplanted tree, especially for U53, U57, A22, A42 and A41, in order to prevent them from girdling the tree and influencing the tree health and structure.

The Contractor should remove the broken branch hanging on A43 to prevent it from falling into the nearby footpath which is used by the workers in the Nursery.

The Contractor is advised repairing the broken planters of the trees U47, U54 and two nearby untagged *Terminalia catappa* and U69, and avoid stockpiling of soil close to the trunk flare of U51.

All tree tags on the trees should be managed properly by the Contractor throughout the construction and establishment phases.

The Contractor is advised to programme the remaining minor civil works appropriately in Phases I and 2 of Area B. The Contractor should establish a buffer zone and tree protection zone between the civil works and the relocated/ retained trees wherever practical.

Area C

As Area C was handed over to AFCD for management and maintenance, no further recommendation is given.

7.3.7 Construction Lights

No follow-up action on maintenance of construction light is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for January 2014*.

Observation

No construction light impact to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove as all construction activities and construction sites are halted at 1800. No construction light at night is provided by the Contractor.

Recommendation

No specific recommendation is required.

7.4 Audit Schedule

The next bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring in March 2014 is scheduled to be conducted in the weeks of 3rd and 17th March 2014.

8 Action taken in Event of Exceedance

If the measurements (Noise, Water, Hydrological Characteristics, and Ecology) exceed the action / limit level, exceedance details will be reported and follow-up actions will be taken by relevant parties involved.

During the reporting month there was no exceedance for noise, hydrological characteristics, and ecological measurements recorded; therefore, no actions were taken.

There were 9 abnormal incidents of water quality limits (Turbidity) were recorded in this reporting month according to the established action and limit levels. ET has arranged site investigations for the abnormal incidents. No construction activities were carried out at the river bed. During the reporting period, construction of intake structure was conducted near Wai Ha River. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water release to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity and SS were believed to be mainly attributed by adverse weather and natural fluctuation, since the recorded levels of Turbidity and SS at control station had also exceeded its baseline action or limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

The water condition of Wai Ha River is presented in photo attached in **Appendix M**.

9 Construction waste disposal

It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that all wastes produced during the construction phase for the drainage improvement works are handled, stored and disposed of in accordance with good waste management practices and EPD's regulation and requirement. Waste materials generated during construction activities, such as construction and demolition (C&D) material, chemical wastes and general refuse, are recommended to be audited at regular intervals to ensure that proper storage, transportation and disposal practices are being implemented.

Table 9.1 is a summary of figures of the construction wastes disposal provided by Contractor.

Table 9.1 Summary of Construction Waste Disposal

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
Year 2011	11.12	0.00	9.703	0.665	0.750	0.556	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.165
Year 2012	11.12	0.00	9.884	1.185	0.05	0.00	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.192
Jan 13	0.290	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Feb 13	0.190	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.035
Mar 13	1.14	0.00	1.13	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Apr 13	1.540	0.00	1.52	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
May 13	0.85	0.00	0.82	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Jun 13	0.36	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Jul 13	0.255	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.015	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Aug 13	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sep 13	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Oct 13	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Nov-13	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dec-13	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Jan-14	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
Feb-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	27.162	0.00	24.327	1.85	0.985	0.566	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48
Forecast of Total Quantities of C & D Materials to be Generated from the Contract											
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastics (see note3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000m3)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)	(in'000kg)
	0.04	0	0.04	0.0	0.00	0.00	0	0.01	0.00	0.1	0.02

Notes (1) The Performance targets are given in PS Clause 26.23 (14)

(2) The waste flow table shall also include C & D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for used at the sites

(3) Plastics refer to plastics bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

(4) The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance with the PS Clause 25.20A(4)

10 Status of Permits and Licenses obtained

Table 10.1 is the updated status of environmental related permits/ license obtained for the construction activities.

Table 10.1 Status of Permits and Licenses Obtained

Description	License / Permit No.#	Date of Issue	Site	Date of expiry	Status
Environmental Permit	EP-303/2008	2008/2/25	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid
Discharge License	WT00006448-2010	2010/6/15	Area A, B & C	30/6/2015	Valid
Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	316597	2010/4/26	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid
Waste Disposal	7010348	2010/3/2	Area A, B & C	not applicable	Valid

11 Compliant Log

There was no formal complaint received during the reporting period. Therefore, follow up actions for the environmental complaint is not required.

Table 11.1 Summary of Formal Complaints received

	Noise	Water	Ecology	Others
Year 2011	0	0	0	0
Year 2012	0	0	0	0
Year 2013	0	0	0	0
January 2014	0	0	0	0
February 2014	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

12 Site Environmental Audits

12.1 Site Inspection

Site inspections were undertaken weekly to inspect the construction activities in active site areas to ensure that appropriate environmental protection and pollution control mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Within this reporting period, site inspections were conducted on 14th, 21st and 28th of February 2014. A detailed checklist of each site inspection together with comments and relevant photos have been filed and kept. The findings from inspection were summarized in Table 12.1.

Table 12.1 Summary results of site inspections findings

Date	Findings	Identification	Advice from ET	Action taken	Closing date
N/A	No major environmental deficiencies were observed during this reporting period.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

12.2 Compliance with legal and Contractual requirement

There was no non-compliance recorded for the month of February 2014.

12.3 Implementation status and effectiveness of the mitigation measures

Contractor has implemented mitigation measures to address those problems as advised by ER and ET. Some of the measures taken by the contractor were considered as effective to minimize negative impact to the environment. Ongoing investigation will be carried out to observe performance and effectiveness of those measures. Outstanding environmental items will be inspected in next month.

As there were some ongoing follow up practices, contractor was reminded to regularly review and rectify the discrepancy once found and maintain good site condition. The contractor implemented various environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the Environmental Permit and Final Mitigation Measures Report.

The recommend mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3) are presented in **Appendix H (A)**.

The implemented statues of mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix H (B)**

13 Future Key issues and recommendations

According to the forecasted site activities, key environmental issued to be considered should at least include:

- Site water control and relevant protective measures.
- Quality of effluent discharge from Area A.
- Control and disposal for construction wastes generated from works.
- Routine water spraying for dust control.

Tree protective measure for tree planting and transplanting, should be implemented, such as tree protection zone and regular watering.

14 Conclusions

Rectification works at Ting Kok Road, remaining works at intake structure and reinstatement in Tung Tzs Nursery were major site activities being carried out within this reporting period.

Regular site meetings and inspection audits led by the seniors for discussing site environmental matters were held among Project Proponent, Contractor and the ET on weekly basis. Also monthly site meeting and inspection audits with the above parties and IEC were carried out on 28th of February 2014.

For noise level monitoring, all results were within the established A/L limits.


For water quality monitoring, total 9 abnormal incidents of water quality criteria were recorded in this reporting month. During the reporting period, no construction activities were conducted near the Wai Ha River. Proper mitigation measures were implemented by contractor to avoid site water releasing to the Wai Ha river and no particular observation of defective site activities were found causing water contamination. The exceedances of Turbidity and SS were believed to be mainly attributed by natural fluctuation. And, since the recorded levels of Turbidity and SS at control station had also exceeded its baseline action or limit level, the exceedances recorded at W2 were unlikely to be related to the Project.

Also, there were not any notifications of summons recorded during the reporting period. Furthermore, there were not any formal prosecution and complaints recorded.


ET has reminded the contractor to provide environmental pollution control measures wherever necessary, and to keep a good environmental management at site practice.

The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual (revision 3) and Environmental Permit requirement.

Appendix A: Site Location Plan



- NOTES**
- 1 ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRE ABOVE PRINCIPAL DATUM
 - 2 ALL CO-ORDINATES GIVEN ARE IN METRE AND ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH HK(1980) COORDINATES SYSTEM
 - 3 ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
 - 4 THE PUBLIC CLEANING AREA SHALL BE THE AREAS WITHIN 2.50 BEYOND THE LIMIT OF WORKS AREAS EXCLUDING PRIVATE AREAS



A	TENDER ADDENDUM NO 2	ECYPREYM	10-09
-	TENDER DRAWING	ECYPREYM	09-09

D DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT,
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT
WORKS IN SHUEN WAN TAI PO - CONTRACT 1

SETTING OUT PLAN FOR
WORKS AREA A, B AND C

SHEET 1 OF 2

AECOM

DRGNQ. 70102/C4/1001A
圖紙編號

DESIGNED BY CPWU	CONTRACT NO. DC/2009/22	DR. APPROVED DML
DRAWN BY LWL	STATUS ISSUED	
SCALE A1 : 1 500	DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES	



SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES		SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES	
	EAST	NORTH		EAST	NORTH
S1001	839419.85	836487.39	S1033	839416.57	836565.02
S1002	839552.87	836448.19	S2001	839359.64	836440.00
S1003	839565.71	836455.59	S2002	839455.33	836377.17
S1004	839645.15	836453.32	S2003	839454.72	836364.01
S1005	839642.86	836438.59	S2004	839444.83	836360.18
S1006	839632.32	836403.40	S2005	839431.18	836350.43
S1007	839606.96	836388.02	S2006	839416.23	836334.46
S1008	839575.93	836381.95	S2007	839402.78	836316.79
S1009	839564.58	836347.39	S2008	839388.21	836294.20
S1020	839559.70	836348.57	S2009	839387.28	836295.89
S1021	839577.50	836402.77	S2010	839386.22	836296.28
S1022	839580.67	836439.89	S2011	839359.47	836318.71
S1023	839574.36	836440.21	S2012	839335.17	836354.66
S1024	839565.15	836437.12	S2013	839331.61	836362.84
S1025	839403.37	836481.74	S2014	839330.58	836373.54
S1026	839401.88	836519.17	S2015	839339.64	836398.89
S1027	839393.62	836517.93	S2016	839343.40	836419.61
S1028	839384.64	836517.93	S2017	839344.90	836425.93
S1029	839401.09	836539.01	S2018	839345.68	836428.44
S1030	839400.72	836540.44	S2019	839346.50	836430.44
S1031	839391.48	836555.02	S2020	839346.50	836433.74
S1032	839394.01	836565.02	S2021	839347.67	836434.68

MATCH LINE
FOR CONTINUATION
SEE DRG NO 70102/C4/1002

836500 N
836400 N
836300 N
2010-2-5 11:54:09
p:\projects\70102\1000\TENDER ADDENDUM NO 2\1001A.dgn

MATCH LINE
FOR CONTINUATION
SEE DRG. NO 70102/C4/1001

NOTE
1. FOR NOTES AND LEGEND SEE DRAWING
NO. 70102/C4/1001



836200 N

836100 N

2010-2-5 13:32:23

SETTING OUT POINT	COORDINATES	
	EAST	NORTH
S1010	839551.12	836262.82
S1011	839529.43	836212.16
S1012	839515.72	836208.18
S1013	839515.04	836203.85
S1014	839506.43	836193.56
S1015	839501.60	836197.60
S1016	839506.56	836203.52
S1017	839502.65	836209.58
S1018	839525.81	836216.32
S1019	839546.27	836264.19

TENDER DRAWING	ECYPRC14	09-09
NO.	DATE	SCALE

D DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT,
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT
WORKS IN SHUEN WAN, TAI PO - CONTRACT 1

SETTING OUT PLAN FOR
WORKS AREA A, B AND C
SHEET 2 OF 2

AECOM

DRG. NO. 70102/C4/1002
圖紙編號

DESIGNED BY 設計	CP/WU	CONTRACT NO. 合約編號	DC/2009/22	APPROVED BY 核准人	DML
DRAWN BY 繪圖	LWL	STATUS 狀態			
SCALE 比例	A1:1 500				
DIMENSIONS ARE IN 尺寸單位	METRES				

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED
版權所有

Appendix B: Key Personal Contact information chart

Post	Name	Contact No.	Contact Fax	e-mail
Project Manager	Mr. W. K. Chan	6821 1136	2674 6688	dc200922jv_pmcwk@yahoo.com.hk
Site Agent	Mr. K. M. Ma	9552 1734	2674 6688	dc200922jv_suba@yahoo.com.hk
Environmental Officer	Mr. W. K. Chan	N/A	2674 6688	dc200922jv_pmcwk@yahoo.com.hk
Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Anthony Chan	9179 2092	2674 6688	anthony277@hotmail.com
Asia Ecological Consultants Ltd. (Wetland Specialist)	Dr. Mike Leven	2486 2885	2471 8389	mrleven@asiaecol.com.hk
Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited (Environmental Team)	Mr. Johnny Lee	2889 0569	2856 2010	johnnylee@eps.com.hk

Appendix C: Calibration Certificates for Measuring Instruments

FACTORY CALIBRATION DATA OF THE SVAN971 No. 34348
 with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV18 No. 32160 and with microphone ACO type 7052E No. 54654

1. CALIBRATION* (acoustical)

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Reference frequency: 1000Hz; Sound Pressure Level: 113.94 dB.

Characteristic	Correct value [dB]	Indication [dB]	Error [dB]
Z	113.94	113.95	0.01
A	113.94	113.95	0.01
C	113.94	113.95	0.01

Calibration measured with the microphone ACO type 7052E No. 54654. Calibration factor: 0.50 dB.

3. LINEARITY TEST* (electrical)

 LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	83.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

 LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 1000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	123.0
Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

 LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 8000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	122.0
Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

 LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	97.0
Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0

 LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 1000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	137.0
Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

 LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 8000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	136.0
Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

 1/3 OCTAVE (1kHz); Range: Low; f_{ref} = 1000 Hz

Nominal result [dB]	25.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	123.0
Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

4. TONE BURST RESPONSE*

 LEVEL METER function; Characteristic: A; f_{ref} = 4000 Hz; Burst duration: 2s

Range: Low; Steady level nominal result = 120dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50	20	10	5	2	1	0.5	0.25
MAX	Fast	Indication [dB]	120.0	119.9	119.0	117.4	115.2	111.7	108.8	105.9	102.0	98.9	95.9	92.9
		Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1
	Slow	Indication [dB]	117.9	115.9	112.5	109.7	106.8	102.8	99.9	96.9	92.9	-	-	-
		Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-	-	-
SEL	-	Indication [dB]	120.0	117.0	113.0	110.0	107.0	103.0	100.0	97.0	93.0	89.9	86.9	83.9
		Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1

Range: Low; Steady level nominal result = 60dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50	20	10	5	2	1	0.5
MAX	Fast	Indication [dB]	60.0	59.9	59.0	57.4	55.2	51.7	48.8	45.9	42.0	38.9	35.9
		Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		Indication [dB]	57.9	55.9	52.5	49.7	46.8	42.9	39.9	36.9	32.9	-	-
SEL	-	Indication [dB]	60.0	57.0	53.0	50.0	47.0	43.0	40.0	37.0	33.0	30.0	27.0
		Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		Indication [dB]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Range: Low; Steady level nominal result = 35dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200
MAX	Fast	Indication [dB]	35.0	34.9	34.0
		Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.1
		Indication [dB]	32.9	30.9	27.6
SEL	-	Indication [dB]	35.0	32.0	28.1
		Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.1
		Indication [dB]	-	-	-

Range: High; Steady level nominal result = 134dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50	20	10	5	2	1	0.5	0.25
MAX	Fast	Indication [dB]	134.0	133.9	133.0	131.4	129.2	125.7	122.8	119.9	116.0	112.9	109.9	106.9
		Error [dB]	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
		Indication [dB]	131.9	129.8	126.5	123.7	120.8	116.8	113.9	110.9	106.9	-	-	-
SEL	-	Indication [dB]	134.0	131.0	127.0	124.0	121.0	117.0	114.0	111.0	107.0	103.9	100.9	97.9
		Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
		Indication [dB]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Range: High; Steady level nominal result = 54dB


Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200	100	50
MAX	Fast	Indication [dB]	54.0	53.9	53.0	51.4	49.1
		Error [dB]	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		Indication [dB]	51.9	49.8	46.5	43.7	40.8
SEL	-	Indication [dB]	54.0	51.0	47.0	44.0	41.0
		Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Indication [dB]	-	-	-	-	-

Range: High; Steady level nominal result = 46dB

Result	Detector	Duration [ms]	1000	500	200
MAX	Fast	Indication [dB]	46.0	45.9	45.0
		Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.0
		Indication [dB]	43.9	41.8	38.5
SEL	-	Indication [dB]	46.0	43.0	39.0
		Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	0.1
		Indication [dB]	-	-	-

5. FREQUENCY RESPONSE* (electrical)

LEVEL METER function: Characteristic: Z; Range: Low; Input signal = 120 dB



Measured Filter Response with Preamplifier SV12L (f-frequency: A-attenuation)

f [Hz]	Δ [dB]	f [Hz]	Δ [dB]	f [Hz]	Δ [dB]
10	0.1	63	-0.0	4000	0.0
12.5	0.0	125	0.0	3000	0.0
16	-0.0	250	0.0	16000	0.0
20	-0.0	500	0.0	20000	0.0
25	-0.0	1000	0.0	-	-
31.5	-0.0	2000	0.0	-	-

All frequencies are nominal center values for the 1/3 octave bands

6. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL* (electrical - compensated)

LEVEL METER function: Range: Low; (Back-light - off); Calibration factor: 0dB

Characteristic	Z	A	C
Level [dB]	≤20	≤12	≤12

* measured with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV18 No. 32160.

7. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL (acoustical - compensated)

LEVEL METER function: Characteristic: A; (Backlight - off)

Range	Low	High
Indication [dB]	≤15	20.4

Noise measured in special chamber, with reference microphone G.R.A.S type 40AN No. 73421

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS


Temperature	Relative humidity	Ambient pressure
26 °C	31%	992 hPa

TEST EQUIPMENT

Item	Manufacturer	Model	Serial no.	Description
1.	SVANTEK	SVAN 401	65	Signal generator
2.	SVANTEK	SVAN 912A	4369	Sound & Vibration Analyser
3.	KEITHLEY	2000	0910165	Digital multimeter
4.	SVANTEK	SV30A	7449	Acoustic calibrator
5.	SVANTEK	ST02	-	Microphone equivalent electrical impedance (18pF)

CONFORMITY & TEST DECLARATION

1. Herewith Svantek company declares that this instrument has been calibrated and tested in compliance with the internal ISO9001 procedures and meets all specification given in the Manual(s) or respectively surpass them.
2. The acoustic calibration was performed using the Sound Calibrator and is traceable to the GUM (Central Office of Measures) reference standard - sound level calibrator type 4231 No 2292775.
3. The vibrational calibration was performed using the Back-to-Back Comparison method and is traceable to the GUM (Central Office of Measures) reference standard - accelerometer type 8305 No 1435233.
4. The information appearing on this sheet has been compiled specifically for this instrument. This form is produced with advanced equipment & procedures which permit comprehensive quality assurance verification of all data supplied herein.
5. This calibration sheet shall not be reproduced except in full, without written permission of the SVANTEK Ltd.

Calibration specialist: Ryszard Leoniak 

Test date: 2013-05-22



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre
1-3 Wing Yip Street
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong
T: +852 2610 1044
F: +852 2610 2021
www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR ALLEN CHAN
CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED
ADDRESS: FLAT A, 19/F, CHAI WAN INDUSTRIAL BUILDING,
20 LEE CHUNG STREET,
CHAI WAN,
HONG KONG

WORK ORDER: HK1402962
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 28/01/2014
DATE OF ISSUE: 07/02/2014

COMMENTS

It is certified that the item under calibration/checking has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated equipment in the laboratory.

Maximum Tolerance and calibration frequency stated in the report, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria of ALS will be followed.

Scope of Test: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Temperature and Turbidity
Equipment Type: Multimeter
Brand Name: Horiba
Model No.: U-54-2 Multiparameter Probe
Serial No.: T825CR6N
Equipment No.: --
Date of Calibration: 06 February, 2014

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.


PP Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard
General Manager -
Greater China & Hong Kong

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



Work Order: HK1402962
Date of Issue: 07/02/2014
Client: ENVIRONMENTAL PIONEERS & SOLUTIONS LIMITED

Description: Multimeter
Brand Name: Horiba
Model No.: U-54-2 Multiparameter Probe
Serial No.: T825CR6N
Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 06 February, 2014 **Date of next Calibration:** 06 May, 2014

Parameters:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2510B

Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
146.9	136.6	-7.0
6667	6800	2.0
12890	13000	0.9
58670	61500	4.8
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
3.66	3.58	-0.08
5.72	5.76	0.04
8.89	8.81	-0.08
Tolerance Limit (±mg/L)		0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.86	-0.14
7.0	7.17	0.17
10.0	10.10	0.10
Tolerance Limit (±pH unit)		0.20

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
12.0	11.44	-0.6
23.0	23.11	0.1
35.0	34.99	0.0
Tolerance Limit (±°C)		2.0

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	--
4	4.3	7.5
40	38.7	-3.2
80	82.2	2.8
400	402	0.5
800	812	1.5
Tolerance Limit (±%)		10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.


 Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard
 General Manager -
 Greater China & Hong Kong



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **38909**

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Address : Flat A, 19/F., Chai Wan Industrial Centre Building, 20 Lee Chung Street, Chai Wan, HK.

Order No. : Q33517

Date of receipt : 6-Dec-13

Item Tested

Description : Portable Level-Velocity Logger

Manufacturer : Greyline

Model : Stingray

Serial No. : 45525

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 2-Jan-14

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: V12, T03, M07.

Test Results

All results were within the tolerance(s).

The results are shown in the attached page(s).


Main Test equipment used:

<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S179	Std. Tape	35142	NIM-PRC
S136A	Stop Watch	37007	SCL-HKSAR
S214A	Std. Thermo-Hygrometer	35472	SCS-SWISS, NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).
The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
Y. K. Wong

Approved by : 
Alan Chu

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Date: 2-Jan-14

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 38909

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Flow Rate

Applied Value (Ft/s)	UUT Reading (Ft/s)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
2.06	2.0	$\pm 5\%$ f.s	$\pm 1\%$

2. Level

Applied Value (Ft)	UUT Reading (Ft)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
1.00	1.0	$\pm 5\%$ f.s.	$\pm 0.1\%$
2.00	2.0		
3.00	3.0		
4.00	4.0		

3. Temperature

Applied Value (°C)	UUT Reading (°C)	Tolerance	Uncertainty
22.5	22	$\pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$	$\pm 0.2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Sensor Used : Model : QZ02L-UT-01-PS

S/N : 10D18289

----- END -----

Appendix D: Construction Noise Monitoring Data

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		12/2/2014	12/2/2014
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		12:30	13:10
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.2	0.2
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	62.5	68.5
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	63.5	69.3
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	48.5	54.5
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

12/2/2014

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		19/2/2014	19/2/2014
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		10:35	11:10
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.2	0.2
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	60.2	67.5
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	62.5	68.7
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	51.2	55.1
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

19/2/2014

大成環境科技拓展有限公司
Environmental Pioneers and Solutions Limited

Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Monitoring Location		M1	AL1
Monitoring Method		Façade	Façade
Date of Monitoring		26/2/2014	26/2/2014
Weather Condition		Cloudy	Cloudy
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		13:00	13:45
Measurement Time Length (mins)		30 mins	
SLM Model & S/N		SVAN 955	
Wind Speed (m/s)		0.2	0.2
Measurement Results	L _{eq} (dB(A))	63.5	65.1
	L ₁₀ (dB(A))	64.8	67.3
	L ₉₀ (dB(A))	47.5	50.5
Major Construction Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities	The measured noise level was dominated by the background noise in the immediate vicinity of the monitoring location due to its large distance from the construction activities
Other Noise Source(s) During Monitoring		– Background Noise – Traffic Noise	– Background Noise – Traffic Noise

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared by: Lau Kai Chung

Lau Kai Chung

26/2/2014

Appendix E: Water Quality Monitoring Data

Remark:

Red highlighting: The value is exceeding limit level

Yellow highlighting: The value is exceeding action levele

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 10/2/2014

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	12:35	11:30	11:35
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.60	7.67	7.68
Temperature (°C)	12.6	14.8	14.5
Turbidity (NTU)	2.8	4.5	5.0
DO (mg/L)	7.40	8.35	8.23
DO Saturation (%)	70%	95%	90%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	6.0	8.2

Remark or Observation :

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

10/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 12/2/2014

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	11:04	12:30	16:47
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.00	7.33	7.40
Temperature (°C)	10.6	14.2	11.2
Turbidity (NTU)	3.4	6.0	2.6
DO (mg/L)	8.80	7.98	8.50
DO Saturation (%)	78%	82%	76%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	4.0	5.4	4.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

12/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 14/2/2014

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	16:24	13:45	15:32
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	6.70	6.69	7.00
Temperature (°C)	16.4	17.7	17.4
Turbidity (NTU)	3.60	6.3	2.30
DO (mg/L)	8.10	8.98	8.70
DO Saturation (%)	82%	98%	87%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	7.6	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

14/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 17/2/2014

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	13:42	9:25	17:18
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.50	7.38	7.30
Temperature (°C)	20.5	20.7	21.5
Turbidity (NTU)	3.20	4.7	1.90
DO (mg/L)	7.80	7.56	7.10
DO Saturation (%)	85%	80%	79%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3.0	4.0	3.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

17/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 19/2/2014

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	15:45	16:05	15:17
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.30	7.59	8.00
Temperature (°C)	15.8	16.2	15.6
Turbidity (NTU)	3.6	6.3	2.7
DO (mg/L)	7.60	7.66	8.40
DO Saturation (%)	76%	88%	84%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	3.0	2.0

Remark or Observation :

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

19/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 21/2/2014

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	16:24	16:00	10:20
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.90	7.68	7.30
Temperature (°C)	15.5	18.3	14.0
Turbidity (NTU)	3.8	5.0	2.7
DO (mg/L)	7.60	7.36	8.00
DO Saturation (%)	81%	78%	84%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	3.8	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

21/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 24/2/2014

Weather : Sunny

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C1
Time (hhmm)	12:41	14:25	14:30
Tide Mode	Mid-flood		
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	8.40	7.67	7.98
Temperature (°C)	19	18.5	18.3
Turbidity (NTU)	3.2	4.1	5.3
DO (mg/L)	6.30	8.33	8.23
DO Saturation (%)	67%	99%	98%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	1.4	3.4

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

24/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 26/2/2014

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	10:39	11:25	15:38
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.30	7.88	7.90
Temperature (°C)	21.0	21.5	22
Turbidity (NTU)	1.6	4.2	2.5
DO (mg/L)	7.20	7.88	8.30
DO Saturation (%)	82%	80%	92%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	12.0	4.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

26/2/2014

Environmental Pioneers & Solutions Limited
Water Quality Monitoring - Summary of On-Site Measurement Results

Date of Sampling : 28/2/2014

Weather : Cloudy

Monitoring Location	W1	W2	C2
Time (hhmm)	11:00	13:00	16:45
Tide Mode	Mid-ebb		N/A
Water Depth (m)	<1	<1	<1
pH value	7.60	8.16	7.90
Temperature (°C)	18.6	20.3	19.2
Turbidity (NTU)	4.1	5.0	3.0
DO (mg/L)	7.10	7.89	6.80
DO Saturation (%)	76%	80%	73%
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	2.0	4.2	2.0

Remark or Observation : _____

Name

Signature

Date

Prepared By : Lau kai chung

Lau kai chung

28/2/2014

Appendix F: Hydrological Characteristics Monitoring Data

Location	Position	Tide	Date	Time	Weather	Water Depth (m)	Water Flow (m/s)	Water Flow (m ³ /s)
*H1	Mid	Flood	14-Feb-2014					0.000
H1	Mid	Flood	21-Feb-2014	11:00	Sunny	0.24	0.12	0.150
H1	Mid	Flood	28-Feb-2014	15:00	Cloudy	0.36	0.12	0.150
*H2	Mid	Flood	14-Feb-2014					0.000
H2	Mid	Flood	21-Feb-2014	11:30	Sunny	0.24	0.12	0.754
H2	Mid	Flood	28-Feb-2014	15:30	Cloudy	0.36	0.24	1.507
H1	Mid	Ebb	14-Feb-2014	12:45	Cloudy	0.12	0.18	0.225
H1	Mid	Ebb	21-Feb-2014	13:05	Sunny	0.3	0.12	0.150
H1	Mid	Ebb	28-Feb-2014	12:00	Cloudy	0.12	0.24	0.300
H2	Mid	Ebb	14-Feb-2014	13:15	Cloudy	0.24	0.18	1.130
H2	Mid	Ebb	21-Feb-2014	13:35	Sunny	0.24	0.18	1.130
H2	Mid	Ebb	28-Feb-2014	12:30	Cloudy	0.12	0.18	1.130

*Only one mid-tide is within working hours on 14 Feb 2014

Appendix G: Landscape and Visual Monitoring Photos



Photo 1 – Temporary construction hoardings have been established around the works area at Wai Ha River estuary.



Photo 2 – Temporary construction hoardings have been established around the works area at Wai Ha River estuary.



Photo 3 – Construction of the proposed boundary walls along part of the northern and western sides of Area A was finished.



Photo 4 – Proposed chain-link fence has been erected along the rest of the boundary sides of Area A.



Photo 5 – Proposed chain-link fence has been erected along the rest of the boundary sides of Area A.



Photo 6 – The temporary construction hoardings in Phase 1 were removed and replaced by barrier tape (red arrow).



Photo 7 – The temporary construction hoardings in Phase 1 were removed and replaced by barrier tape. Some tapes were not firmly tied.



Photo 8 – The open section between Phases 1 and 2 works areas was opened again after the reinstatement works.



Photo 9 – Chain-link fence was reinstated at the eastern end of Phase 2 works area and covered by canvas sheets.



Photo 10 – No discharge of muddy water was observed in Area C.



Photo 11 – The reinstatement works for the original access paths and ground of the nursery beds in Phase 1 area in Area B.



Photo 12 – The reinstatement works for the original access paths and ground of the nursery beds in Phase 1 area in Area B.



Photo 13 – The entire planter of the removed tree U58 was removed in February 2014 (Red arrow).



Photo 14 – No more regenerated watersprouts were seen from the stump of U68 in February 2014.



Photo 15 – The proposed green roof of the pumping house was vegetated with ground cover *Arachis duranensis*.



Photo 16 – The rooftop of the pumping house was vegetated with ground cover *Arachis duranensis*.



Photo 17 – The relocated E16 was in marginally fair condition in February 2014 and it was separated outside the newly erected chain-link fence along the boundary sides of Area A.



Photo 18 – Soil was piled around the root flare of E16 and some exposed root was found (Red arrow).



Photo 19 – Four new trees of *Cinnamomum burmannii* were planted in the planter.



Photo 20 – The burlapped tree E55 was still in poor condition with dry leaves and it was separated outside the proposed chain-link fence along the boundary sides of Area A in February 2014.



Photo 21 – The retained tree T253 was in marginally fair condition (Red arrow).



Photo 22 – Dead tree U37.



Photo 23 – The planter of U47 was broken.



Photo 24 – Half of the planter of U47 was broken, but has not yet been repaired.



Photo 25 – Fungal fruiting body was found at the trunk base of U47.



Photo 26 – Parasitic plants were observed in the canopy of U47.



Photo 27 – The planter of U54 was broken and exposed roots and soil were observed.



Photo 28 – The planter of an untagged *Terminalia catappa* next to U54 was broken, with exposed roots and soil.



Photo 29 – The planter of another untagged *Terminalia catappa* next to U54 was broken, with exposed roots and soil.



Photo 30 – The planter of U69 was broken and exposed roots and soil were observed.



Photo 31 – Excavated soil was piled around the planter and on the root flare of U51.



Photo 32 – Sign of suspected termite infestation was observed at the lower trunk of U67.



Photo 33 – The excavated area close to the planter of A40 has not yet been refilled with soil.



Photo 34 – The trunk of the transplanted tree U53 was tied tightly by strings and a hessian wrapping which was left after the transplantation of the tree.



Photo 35 – Close-up of the hessian wrapping on the trunk of U53.



Photo 36 – Hessian wrapping was found on the trunk of the transplanted tree U57 (Red arrow).



Photo 37 – A broken branch was still noted hanging on the transplanted tree A43 (Red arrow).

Appendix H:

A)

The recommended mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

B)

Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

A) The recommended mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
A <i>Noise Impact</i>							
S 3.30	2.18	Good Site Practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Only well-maintained plant shall be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program ▪ Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment shall be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program ▪ Mobile plant, if any, shall be sited as far from NSRs as possible ▪ Machines and plant (such as 	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between work periods or shall be throttled down to a minimum</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs ▪ Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 					
S 3.31 - 3.32	2.19	Use of quieter PME	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
S 3.33 – 3.34	2.20-2.21	Use of temporary noise barrier	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Works areas as shown in Figure	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
3.36-3.38	2.23-2.24				3.5		
S 3.35 and Table 3.6	2.22	Use of alternative quieter construction method (the Low Impact Method)	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Part of the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha (refer to Figure 3.5)	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
3.36-3.38	2.23-2.24	Use of noise enclosure	To minimize construction noise impacts	Contractor	Part of the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha (refer to Figure 3.5)	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO
B Air Quality Impact							
S4.16	3.5	Implementation of mitigation measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and good site practices including but not limited to the following:	To minimize construction dust impacts	Contractor	Construction Sites	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved road, with complete coverage, particularly during dry weather; ▪ Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty static construction areas and areas close to ASRs; ▪ Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site location; ▪ Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site; ▪ Routing of vehicles and 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs. ▪ Stockpiled excavated materials should be covered with tarpaulin, and should be removed off-site within 24 hours to avoid any odour nuisance arising.					
C <i>Water Quality Impact</i>							
S5.29	4.5	Construction Site Run-off and Drainage: ▪ Before commencing any site formation work, all sewer and drainage connections shall be sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>sewers/drains.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Temporary ditches shall be provided to facilitate run-off discharge into appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. No site run-off shall enter the fishponds at Shuen Wan. ▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins shall be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the Technical Memorandum standard under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance. The design of silt removal facilities shall be based on the guidelines provided in ProPECC PN 1/94. All drainage 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>facilities and erosion and sediment control structures shall be inspected monthly and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water pumped out from excavated pits shall be discharged into silt removal facilities. ▪ During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. <p>Other measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed.</p>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Exposed soil areas shall be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff. ▪ Earthwork final surfaces shall be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection shall be immediately performed to reduce the potential of soil erosion. ▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site shall be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. 					
S5.30	4.7	Further precautionary measures during rainy season:	To minimize water quality impacts to the designated Conservation Area	Contractor	Works areas near the Conservation Area	Rainy seasons during construction	EIAO-TM Water Pollution Control Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height of 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place, surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual. ▪ For the construction of the box 				phase	(WPCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh</p> <p>Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height of at least 300mm from ground level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm events.</p> <p>Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Tarpulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimising the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area. ▪ Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom of the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete washing. 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Stockpiling the excavated materials adjacent to the Conservation Area would not be allowed. The excavated materials would be either removed off site immediately after excavation, or stockpile at location(s) away from the Conservation Area. The stockpile locations shall be approved by the site engineer. 					
S5.31-S5.32	4.8-4.9	<p>General Construction Activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the Wa Ha River and fish ponds at Shuen Wan. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered 	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>when not being used.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to nearby water bodies, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event. 					
S5.33	4.10	<p>Sewage from Construction workforce:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site. A 	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		licensed contractor would be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.					
S5.34	4.11	<p>River Channel Excavation Works:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The excavation works within the upstream end of the existing river channel of the Wai Ha River for the construction of the proposed box culvert shall be carried out in dry condition. Containment measures such as bunds and barriers shall be used within the affected length of the river channel and the excavation works restricted to within an enclosed dry section of the channel. The excavation works within Wai Ha River shall be restricted to the period from October 	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		to April.					
D Waste Management Implications							
S6.20 – 6.22	5.5	<p>Good site practices:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility. ▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. ▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular 	To reduce waste management impacts	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No.19/2005 ETWB TCW No.31/2004

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>collection for disposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. ▪ Separation of chemical waste for special handling and appropriate treatment at the Chemical Waste Treatment Facility. ▪ Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. ▪ A Waste Management Plan 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>should be prepared and submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 15/2003 for details.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed. 					
S6.23-6.24	5.7	<p>Waste reduction measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. ▪ To encourage collection of aluminium cans by individual collectors, separate labelled bins 	To achieve waste reduction	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>shall be provided to segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. ▪ Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material. ▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials. ▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		avoid unnecessary generation of waste.					
S6.25-6.26		<p>Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Excavated material with suitable characteristics/size should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable, such as for backfilling of the box culvert and drainage pipe works. ▪ Suitable areas should be designated within the works site boundaries for temporary stockpiling of C&D material. ▪ Within stockpile areas, the following measures should be taken to control potential environmental 	<p>To minimize off-site disposal of C&D material</p> <p>To minimize environmental impacts during the handling of C&D material</p>	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>impacts or nuisance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - covering material during heavy rainfall; - locating stockpiles to minimize potential visual impacts; and - minimizing land intake of stockpile areas as far as possible. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ When disposing C&D material at a public filling area, the material shall only consist of soil, rock, concrete, brick, cement plaster/mortar, inert building debris, aggregates and asphalt. The material shall be free from marine mud, household refuse, plastic, metals, industrial and chemical waste, animal and vegetable matter, and other material considered to be 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		unsuitable by the Filling Supervisor.					
S6.27		<p>Chemical waste:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Contractor should register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. ▪ Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. ▪ Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the 	To minimize environmental impacts during the handling, transportation and disposal of chemical waste	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes generated at the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or other licenced facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. 					
S6.28		<p>General refuse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. ▪ A reputable waste collector 	To minimize environmental impacts during the handling and transportation of general refuse	Contractor	Works sites	Construction phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material. 					
E Ecological Impact							
S. 7.95	6.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sheet-pilings, which will be installed around the trench of excavation, should be extended above ground level for ~2m to act as hoarding to isolate the works site. ▪ The trenching works for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in phases, with a trench length of not 	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>more than 120m in each phase.</p> <p>The trench should be backfilled and compacted with suitable materials upon completion of each phase of the construction works.</p>					
S7.117	6.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The construction of intercept point of twin cell box culvert at the upstream of Wai Ha River should be confined to only one side of the river bank. ▪ To restore and enhance the ecological value of the stream, the affected river bank should be reinstated to its original condition or lined with rock-filled gabion. ▪ Planting pits should be provided in the gabion bank to allow the re-establishment of riparian vegetation. 	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The existing natural riverbed and substrates should be retained and the natural pool-riffle sequence should be re-created in the new channel bed. 					
S 7.118	6.7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All works carried out within the the river channel of Wai Ha River should be carried out from October to April, with construction carried out by land-based plant. ▪ Works within river/stream channels should be restricted to an enclosed dry section of the river, with containment measures such as bunds and barriers used within the river to minimize the impacts upon the downstream water body. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Contractor	Whole Site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to minimize the risk of sedimentation and pollution of river water. ▪ The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions. ▪ To minimize leakage and loss of sediments during excavation in narrow channels, tightly sealed closed grab excavators should be deployed where material to be handled is wet. 					
S 7.119	6.8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The construction of the proposed box-culvert would have the potential to directly impact a few 	To protect plant species of conservation interest	Contractor/ qualified botanist/horticu	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>individual of a plant species of conservation interest (Hong Kong Pavetta, <i>Pavetta hongkongensis</i>). The affected individuals should be transplanted to a suitable nearby habitats prior to the construction phase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A detailed vegetation survey of the affected species of conservation interest should be conducted by a suitably qualified botanist/ecologist to identify the affected individuals in order to provide details for transplantation scheme. ▪ Transplantation should be supervised by a suitably qualified botanist/horticulturalist. A detailed transplantation methodology should be formulated during the detailed design stage of this Project. 		Horticulturalist			

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
S 7.120	6.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Noise mitigation measures such as the use of quieter construction plant and temporary noise barriers should be implemented to minimize disturbance to habitats adjacent to the works areas. ▪ Temporary noise barriers should be used during the construction of the box-culvert along Tung Tsz Road, the floodwater pumping station, the mechanical gate, and drainage pipe to minimize potential construction phase disturbance to ardeids and avifauna foraging in marsh habitat. ▪ Noise generating construction works near the Shuen Wan Egrettry SSSI should be avoided as far as practicable during the breeding season (March to June) of the 	To minimise disturbance impacts.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>ardeids.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Works near the SSSI (i.e. installation of mechanical gate) should be restricted to be executed outside the breeding season by provision of special conditions in the contract document. ▪ Hoardings with minimum height of 2m should be set up along the south side of the proposed box culvert works area adjacent to the marsh, extending at least 20m at both ends, throughout the construction period. 					
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimise disturbance to natural or 	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		moderate-high ecological value habitats.					
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Construction activities should be restricted to work areas that would be clearly demarcated. The work areas should be reinstated after completion of the works. 	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats outside works area.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waste skips should be provided to collect general refuse and construction wastes. The wastes would be disposed of timely and properly off-site. 	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ General drainage arrangements should include sediment and oil traps to collect and control construction site run-off. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.121	6.10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Open burning on works sites is illegal, and should be strictly prohibited. 	To prevent accidental hill-fires.	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
S 7.122	6.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ De-silting should be limited to the dry season. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Maintenance parties of the channel	Whole site	Operation Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.122	6.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waste material produced during de-silting should be disposed of in a timely and appropriate manner. 	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Maintenance parties of the channel	Whole site	Operation Phase	EIAO-TM
S 7.123	6.12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Planting of trees should be provided within the project area to compensate for the unavoidable loss of approximately 0.08ha secondary woodland habitat due to the Project. ▪ Planting of trees and other vegetation within project area along the banks of Wai Ha River and Tung Tsz Road should be carried out to provide compensation for unavoidable tree-felling and loss of riparian vegetation resulting from the 	To compensate the loss of vegetation	Contractor	Whole site	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The compensatory planting should make use of native plant species with flowers/fruits attractive to wildlife. 					
S 7.124	6.13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Compensation would be required for the loss of a small area of marsh habitat (about 0.30ha) within the CA resulting from the construction of the box-culvert. ▪ An existing low ecological value recreational fishpond on government land adjacent to the marsh would be used as a proposed area (about 0.8ha) for the compensation for the marsh as well as secondary woodland habitats loss (0.08ha). ▪ The pond should be enhanced by removing boardwalks around the 	To compensate the loss of marsh habitat and enhance the quality compensatory habitat	Contractor / qualified ecologist	The recreational fish pond located to the southwest of the existing Tung Tsz Nursery	Construction Phase	EIAO-TM

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>existing pond, and restoring vegetation along the pond bunds, and it would be re-profiled to provide areas of shallow water (approximately 15-50cm deep), creating a suitable foraging habitat for avifauna, particularly ardeids and other waders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Screen planting of shrubs and trees along the south-eastern bund of the pond should be implemented to minimise disturbance to avifauna and other wildlife from the adjacent recreational fishpond. The enhanced pond is expected to provide a moderate-high ecological value wetland habitat. 					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
F		<i>Landscape and Visual</i>					
Table 8.4	7.6	Visual screen, contaminant/ liaison with nursery, protection of existing trees with works area and construction light are used or practiced to mitigate the impacts during construction phase.	To mitigate the landscape	Contractor	Whole site	Construction	EIAO-TM
Table 8.4	7.7	Viewing area formation, architectural design for pump house, landscape design for pump house, enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road, sufficient soil depth for enhancement planting, transplanting of trees to adjacent locations, preparation for transplanting and reinstatement of affected area are practiced to mitigate the impacts during operational phase.	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the operational phase.	Contractor	Whole site	Detail Design / Operational Phase	EIAO-TM

Appendix H:

A)

The recommend mitigation measures of EM&A manual (revision 3)

B)

Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation measures

B) Implementation status of environmental protection and mitigation

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
2.18	Use well maintained construction plant	To minimize construction noise impact	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM NCO	Implemented
	Shut down plants between work periods					Implemented
	Install silencers on construction equipment					Implemented
	Locate mobile plant far away from NSRs					Implemented
	Quiet plants should be used					Implemented
2.19	Use of quieter PME					Not applicable
2.20 - 2.21	Use of temporary noise barrier		Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable
2.22	Use of alternative quieter construction method		Part of the Works Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable
2.23 – 2.24	Use of noise enclosure		Pipe laying in Wai Ha			Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
3.5	Implement regular watering and vehicle washing facilities	To minimize construction dust impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
	Cover excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water					Implemented
	Use tarpaulin to cover dusty materials on vehicles					Implemented
4.5	Provide silt trap and oil interceptor to remove the oil, lubricants, grease, silt, grit and debris from the wastewater before pumped to the public storm water drainage system	To minimize water quality impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO	Implemented
4.5	During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. Others measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed					Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
4.10	Provide site toilet facilities	To minimize water quality impact	Construction Site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM WPCO	Implemented
4.7	<p>Further precautionary measures during rainy season:</p> <p>For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height of 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place, surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual.</p> <p>For the construction of the box culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height of at least 300mm from round level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance</p>	To minimize water quality impact to the designated Conservation Area	Works areas near the Conservation Area	Rainy seasons during construction	EIAO-TM WPCO	Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
	<p>for the built-up water level during rainstorm events. Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system.</p> <p>Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site.</p> <p>Tarpulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimising the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area.</p> <p>Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom of the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete</p>					
5.9	Reuse excavated material as much as possible	To achieve waste reduction	Works areas	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
5.7	Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled.					Not applicable
	Recycle scrap metals or abandoned equipment					Implemented

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.5	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed should be proposed	To reduce waste management impacts	Works areas	Construction phase	ETWB TCW	Implemented
5.9	Adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials				No. 19/2005	Implemented
5.11	All general refuse should be segregated and stored in enclosed bins or compaction units				ETWB TCW NO. 31/2004	Implemented
5.10	Contractor should be a required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines states in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.	To minimize the environmental impacts associated with the handling, transportation and disposal of chemical waste.	Work site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)(General) Regulation	Implemented
	<p>Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and Incompatible chemicals should be stored separately.</p> <p>Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosives, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc.</p>					Not applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
5.5	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed should be proposed	To reduce waste management impacts	Works areas	Construction phase	ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 ETWB TCW NO. 31/2004	Implemented
6.6	Sheet-pilings, which will be installed around the trench of excavation, should be extended above ground level for ~2m to act as hoarding to isolate the works site. The trenching works for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in phases, with a trench length of not more than 120m in each phase. The trench should be backfilled and compacted with suitable materials upon completion of each phase of the construction works.	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
6.6	The construction of intercept oint of twin cell box culvert at the upstream of Wai Ha River should be confined to only one side of the river bank. To restore and enhance the ecological value of the stream, the affected river bank should be reinstated to its original condition or lined with rock-filled gabion. Planting pits should be provided in the gabion bank to allow the re-establishment of riparian vegetation.	To minimize the impacts on the stream and natural river bank.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
	<p>The existing natural riverbed and substrates should be retained and the natural pool-riffle sequence should be re-created in the new channel bed.</p>					
6.7	<p>All works carried out within the river channel of Wai Ha River should be carried out from October to April, with construction carried out by land-based plant.</p> <p>Works within river/stream channels should be restricted to an enclosed dry section of the river, with containment measures such as bunds and barriers used within the river to minimize the impacts upon the downstream water body.</p> <p>Site runoff should be directed towards regularly cleaned and maintained silt traps and oil/grease separators to minimize the risk of sedimentation and pollution of river water.</p> <p>The silt and oil/grease separators should be appropriately designed for the local drainage and ground conditions.</p> <p>To minimize leakage and loss of sediments during excavation in narrow channels, tightly sealed closed grab excavators should be deployed where material to be handled is wet.</p>	<p>To minimize sedimentation/ water quality impacts</p>	<p>Whole site</p>	<p>Construction phase</p>	<p>EIAO-TM</p>	<p>No applicable</p>

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
6.8	<p>The construction of the proposed box-culvert would have the potential to directly impact a few individual of a plant species of conservation interest (Hong Kong Pavetta, Pavetta hongkongensis). The affected individuals should be transplanted to a suitable nearby habitats prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>A detailed vegetation survey of the affected species of conservation interest should be conducted by a suitably qualified botanist/ecologist to identify the affected individuals in order to provide details for transplantation scheme.</p> <p>Transplantation should be supervised by a suitably qualified botanist/horticulturalist. A detailed transplantation methodology should be formulated during the detailed design stage of this Project.</p>	To protect plant species of conservation interest	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable
6.9	Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimize disturbance to natural or moderate-high ecological value habitats.	To minimise disturbance to habitats.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	No applicable
6.13	General drainage arrangements should include sediment and oil traps to collect and control construction site run-off.	To minimise sedimentation/ water quality impacts	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented

EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & main concern to Address	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?	Implementation status
6.13	Construction activities should be restricted to work areas that would be clearly demarcated. The work areas should be reinstated after completion of the works.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats outside works area.	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
6.13	Placement of equipment or stockpile in designated works areas and access routes selected on existing disturbed land to minimize disturbance to natural or moderate-high ecological value habitats.	To minimise disturbance to natural habitats	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7.6	Visual screen, contaminant/ liaison with nursery, protection of existing trees with works area and construction light are used or practiced to mitigate the impacts during construction phase	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the Construction phase	Whole site	Construction phase	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7.7	Viewing area formation , architectural design for pump house, landscape design for pump hose, enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road, sufficient soil depth for enhancement planting, transplanting of trees to adjacent locations preparation for transplanting and reinstatement of affected area are practiced to mitigate the impacts during operational phase.	To mitigate the landscape and visual impacts during the operational phase	Whole site	Detail Design / Operational Phase	EIAO-TM	Not Applicable

Appendix I: Construction Programme


Appendix J: Three month rolling programme

3 months Rolling Programme


ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Resource Names	January							February					March				April							
							29 Dec	3 Jan	8 Jan	13 Jan	18 Jan	23 Jan	28 Jan	2 Feb	7 Feb	12 Feb	17 Feb	22 Feb	27 Feb	4 Mar	9 Mar	14 Mar	19 Mar	24 Mar	29 Mar	3 Apr	8 Apr	13 Apr		
1	Pumping Station	38 days	Mon 6/1/14	Thu 27/2/14																										
2	Road & Kerb	13 days	Mon 6/1/14	Mon 20/1/14																										
3	Footpath	10 days	Tue 21/1/14	Mon 10/2/14	2																									
4	Boundary Fencing	22 days	Mon 6/1/14	Thu 30/1/14																										
5	Handrails	5 days	Mon 10/2/14	Fri 14/2/14	4																									
6	Defect in Wet Well	21 days	Tue 21/1/14	Sat 22/2/14	2																									
7	Cladding	25 days	Tue 21/1/14	Thu 27/2/14	2																									
8	Toilet	14 days	Mon 6/1/14	Tue 21/1/14																										
9	Planting	5 days	Mon 10/2/14	Fri 14/2/14	4																									
10	Other Defects	15 days	Tue 11/2/14	Thu 27/2/14	3																									
11																														
12	Intake Structure	35 days	Mon 6/1/14	Mon 24/2/14																										
13	Installation of Bar Screen	6 days	Mon 6/1/14	Sat 11/1/14																										
14	Remove Sheetpiles	4 days	Mon 13/1/14	Thu 16/1/14	13																									
15	Gabion	10 days	Fri 17/1/14	Tue 28/1/14	14																									
16	Access Road	5 days	Wed 29/1/14	Wed 12/2/14	15																									
17	Fencing & Gate	10 days	Thu 13/2/14	Mon 24/2/14	16																									
18																														
19	Reinstatement in Ting Kok Road	50 days	Tue 11/2/14	Wed 9/4/14																										
20	Footpath & Planter	30 days	Tue 11/2/14	Mon 17/3/14	3																									
21	Defect in 2100 Drain / Cat ladder	10 days	Tue 18/3/14	Fri 28/3/14	20																									
22	Carriageway	10 days	Sat 29/3/14	Wed 9/4/14	21																									
23																														
24	Area B	60 days	Mon 6/1/14	Tue 25/3/14																										
25	Backfilling for 1650 Drain	4 days	Mon 6/1/14	Thu 9/1/14																										
26	Concrete Road	3 days	Fri 10/1/14	Mon 13/1/14	25																									
27	Reinstatement in Tung Tsz Nursery	60 days	Mon 6/1/14	Tue 25/3/14																										

Appendix K: Graphical plots of trends of monitored parameter

Graphical plots of pH values W1&W2




Graphical plots of SS (ebb tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS

Graphical plots of SS (flood tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS


Graphical plots of DO (ebb tide) for W1&W2



Remarks: Action level: 5 percentile of baceline data
Limit level: 1 percentile of baceline




Graphical plots of Turbidity (ebb tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of up stream control station's Turbidity
Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turb

Graphical plots of Turbidity (flood tide) for W1&W2




Remarks: Action limit is 95% of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's Turbidity
 Limit level is 99% of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's Turb

Graphical plots of Noise for M1 & AL1




Remarks: Action limit is when one documented complaint is received

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water depth at flood tide) for H1 & H2




Remarks: Action level: 80% of baseline water depth.
Limit level: 60% of baseline water depth.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water depth at ebb tide) for H1 & H2




Remarks: Action level: 80% of baseline water depth.
Limit level: 60% of baseline water depth.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water flow rate at flood tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.
Limit level: 140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.

Graphical plots of Hydrological Monitoring(water flow rate at ebb tide) for H1 & H2



Remarks: Action level: 120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.
Limit level: 140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement.

Appendix L: Photo of Wai Ha River in February 2014



Photo 1. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo 2. Wai Ha River at C1



Photo 3. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo 4. Wai Ha River at C1



Photo 5. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo 6. Wai Ha River at C1



Photo 7. Wai Ha River at W2



Photo 8. Wai Ha River at C1