

PROJECT No.: TCS/00553/11

CONTRACT NO. DC/2010/02 –
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT IN SHUEN WAN AND SHEK WU WAI

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT REPORT (NO.40) – OCTOBER 2014

PREPARED FOR KWAN LEE-KULY JOINT VENTURE

## **Quality Index**

Date Reference No. Prepared By Certified by

27 November 2014 TCS00553/11/600/R0398v1

Ben Tam T.W. Tam
(Environmental Consultant) (Environmental Team Leader)

Ver.	Date	Description
1	27 November 2014	First submission

This report has been prepared by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Agreement with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.



Ref.: DSDSHUWNEM00\_0\_0678L.14 10 December 2014

Drainage Services Department Drainage Projects Division 44 & 45/F., Revenue Tower 5 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong By Fax (2827 8700) and Post

Attention: Mr. H.K.Chan and Mr. So Chi Ho

Dear Sirs,

Re: Agreement No. DP 01/2010

Services as Independent Environmental Checker for the Drainage Improvement Works in Sha Tin and Tai Po under Contract No. DC/2010/02 Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report for October 2014

Reference is made to Environment Team's submission of the Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit

Report for October 2014 by Email on 28 November 2014 (entitled "DC/2010/22 - Monthly Impact EM&A Report (Contract 2) No.40 - October 2014").

Please be informed that we have no comment on the captioned revised report. We write to verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 5.4 of EP-303/2008.

Thank you very much for your kind attention and please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Max Lee (5968 - 0805) or the undersigned should you have any queries.

Yours sincerely,

Tony Cheng

Independent Environmental Checker

c.c. AUES Attn: Mr. T. W. Tam By Fax: 2959 6079 Kwan Lee-Kuly JV Attn: Mr. W. K. Chan By Fax: 2674 6688

Q:\Projects\DSDSHUWNEM00\Corr\Out\DSDSHUWNEM00\_0\_0678L.14.doc



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

ES.01. This is the **40**<sup>th</sup> Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for designated works of *DSD Contract No. DC/2010/02 - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai* (hereafter "Contract 2") under Environmental Permit No.EP-303/2008, covering a period from **1 to 31 October 2014** (hereinafter 'the Reporting Period').

## ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES.02. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in this Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Issues	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Occasions
Construction	L <sub>eq (30min)</sub> Daytime – M2, M3 & M4 (performed by Contract 2)	15
Noise	L <sub>eq (30min)</sub> Daytime – M1 & AL1 (performed by Contract 1)	8
	Local Stream Water Sampling – W1, W3 and W4 (performed by Contract 2)	13
Water Onelite	Local Stream Water Sampling – W2 (performed by Contract 1)	13
Water Quality	Hydrological characteristics measurement – H1 and H2 (performed by Contract 1)	4
	Hydrological characteristics measurement – H3 and H4 (performed by Contract 2)	5
Inspection /	Monthly Environmental Site Inspection and audit by IEC	1
Audit	Regular weekly Environmental inspection by the Contractor, ET and Site Representative Engineer	4
Ecological	Ecological Bi- monthly Ecological Monitoring	
Landscape & Visual	Bi-weekly Inspection by a registered Landscape Architect	

- ES.03. In this Reporting Period, no ecological monitoring in Area under Contract 2 was performed.
- ES.04. Landscape and visual inspection was carried on 3, 17 and 31 October 2014 and the monthly Landscape & Visual Report (October 2014) has been signed by the registered Landscape Architect.

## BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

ES.05. No exceedance in construction noise monitoring is recorded in this Reporting Period. For water quality monitoring, a total of 62 Action/ Limit Level exceedances, namely 37 exceedances in dissolved oxygen, 20 exceedances in turbidity and 5 exceedance in SS were recorded in this Reporting Period. NOEs were issued to notify the relevant parties upon confirmation of the results. The statistics of environmental exceedance, NOE issued and investigation result are summarized in the following table.

Environmental	Monitoring	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
Issues	Monitoring Parameters			NOE Issued	Investigation	Corrective Actions	
Construction Noise	L <sub>eq(30min)</sub> Daytime	0	0	0	N.A.	N.A.	
	DO	37	0	37			
Water Quality	Turbidity	1	19	20	Not project related	N.A.	
	SS	2	3	5			
Hydrological	Water Flow	0	0	0	N.A.	N.A.	
Characteristics	Water Depth	0	0	0	N.A.	N.A.	

Note: NOE – Notification of Exceedance



## **SITE INSPECTION**

ES.06. Weekly environmental site inspections had been carried out by the Contractor, ET and the RE on 3, 10, 17, 23 and 31 October 2014. Furthermore, joint site inspection with the IEC was carried out on 23 October 2014. In this Reporting Period, no observation and non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT**

ES.07. No written or verbal complaint was recorded in this Reporting Period.

## NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES.08. No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in this Reporting Period.

#### REPORTING CHANGE

ES.09. No report changes were made in this Reporting Period.

#### **FUTURE KEY ISSUES**

ES.10. All the major construction activities were completed at the end of October. Therefore the EM&A programme for construction phase is ceased on 31 October 2014 and the EM&A Programme will proceed to operation phase on 1 November 2014



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
	REPORT STRUCTURE	1
2.0		
	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE	2
	CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	2
	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	2
3.0	EM&A PROGRAM REQUIREMENT FOR THE CONTRACT 2	3
	MONITORING PARAMETERS	3
	MONITORING LOCATIONS	3
	MONITORING FREQUENCY	4
	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	5
	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	6
	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	8
	OTHERS MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION FOR THE CONTRACT	8
	DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	8
	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	9
	METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION	9
4.0	IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS	10
	MONITORING RESULTS SHARING	10
	RESULTS OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING	10
	RESULTS OF LOCAL STREAM WATER QUALITY MONITORING	10
	RESULTS OF HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS MONITORING	12
	RESULTS OF ECOLOGICAL MONITORING	13
<b>5.0</b>	WASTE MANAGEMENT	14
	RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	14
6.0	SITE INSPECTION	15
	REGULAR SITE INSPECTION AND MONTHLY AUDIT	15
	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL INSPECTION	15
<b>7.0</b>	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	16
	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	16
8.0	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES	17
9.0	IMPACT FORCAST	21
	CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES FOR THE FORTH-COMING MONTH	21
	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	21
10.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENTATIONS	22
	CONCLUSIONS	22
	RECOMMENDATIONS	22



# LIST OF TABLES TABLE 2-1 STATUS OF FIN

l'able 2-1	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS
TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF MONITORING PARAMETERS
ΓABLE 3-2	DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS OF THE EM&A PROGRAMME
TABLE 3-3	MONITORING EQUIPMENT USED IN EM&A PROGRAM
TABLE 3-4	TESTING METHOD AND DETECTION LIMIT OF SUSPENDED SOLIDS
TABLE 3-5	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-6	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
ΓABLE 3-7	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS
ΓABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS, dB(A)
ΓABLE <b>4-2</b>	WATER QUALITY RESULTS SUMMARY FOR W1 & W2
ΓABLE 4-3	WATER QUALITY RESULTS SUMMARY FOR W3 & W4
ΓABLE 4-4	STATISTICS WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
ΓABLE 4-5	DETAILED MONITORING RESULTS OF HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AT H3 AND H4
ΓABLE 4-6	SUMMARIZED HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER DEPTH, M
ΓABLE 4-7	SUMMARIZED HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF AVERAGE VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
	$(Q), M^3/S$
ΓABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
ΓABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES
ΓABLE 6-1	SITE INSPECTION OF OBSERVATIONS – FINDINGS AND DEFICIENCIES
ΓABLE 6-2	LANDSCAPE & VISUAL INSPECTION OF OBSERVATIONS
ΓABLE 7-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
ΓABLE 7-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
ΓABLE 7-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION
TABLE 8-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A	SITE LOCATION PLAN OF DSD CONTRACT 1 AND CONTRACT 2 AT SHUEN WAN		
APPENDIX B	ORGANIZATION CHART AND THE KEY CONTACT PERSON		
APPENDIX C	MASTER CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS		
APPENDIX D	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING LOCATIONS		
APPENDIX E	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES OF THE MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND CERTIFICATE OF ALS		
	TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD		
APPENDIX F	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN		
APPENDIX G	MONITORING SCHEDULE IN REPORTING PERIOD AND COMING MONTH		
APPENDIX H	METEOROLOGICAL DATA OF REPORTING PERIOD		
APPENDIX I	DATA BASE OF MONITORING RESULT S		
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS OF IMPACT MONITORING -NOISE, WATER QUALITY AND		
	HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS		
APPENDIX K	MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE		
APPENDIX L	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE & VISUAL INSPECTION REPORT		
APPENDIX M	ECOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT IN AREA UNDER CONTRACT 2 (NOT USED)		



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.01 *Kwan Lee-Kuly Joint Venture* (hereinafter 'KLKJV') has been awarded by Drainage Services Department (hereinafter 'DSD') of the Contract No. DC/2010/02 Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai (hereinafter 'the Project'). The Project is scheduled to commence in May 2011 and complete in March 2014 for about 35 months.
- 1.02 The works to be executed under the Project are located in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai. The works mainly comprise construction of about 735 metres long single-cell box culvert along Tung Tsz Road in Shuen Wan, Tai Po and construction of about 15 m long three-cell box culvert in Shek Wu Wai, San Tin.
- 1.03 For the Project, the construction work at Tung Tsz Road Shuen Wan (hereinafter 'the Contract 2') is part of the Drainage Improvement works amongst Shatin and Tai Po and it is defined as a "Designated Project" which controlled under Environmental Permit EP-303/2008. Currently, DSD has another Contract DC/2009/22 (hereinafter 'the Contract 1') ongoing for construction at Shuen Wan working area which under the same Environmental Permit and the updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (hereinafter 'the Updated EM&A Manual'). Both DSD contract's site boundary at Shuen Wan are shown in *Appendix A*. On the other hand, Shek Wu Wai San Tin is a non-designated project work and no environmental monitoring and audit is request to carry out.
- In order to effectively implement the environmental protection measures stipulated in the Project Profile (hereinafter 'the PP'), Environmental Impact Assessment Report (hereinafter "the EIAR'), Environmental Permit EP303/2008, a corresponding EM&A Manual have been prepared to outline the environmental monitoring and auditing (hereinafter 'the EM&A') programme undertake for the Contracts 1 and 2.
- 1.05 KLKJV has commissioned Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting (AUES) as an independent environmental team (hereinafter 'the ET') to implement the EM&A program for the environmental protection of the Project. Due to the construction of Contracts 1 and 2 carry out is just about the time, a Proposal Environmental Monitoring Programme and Methodology (hereinafter the "PEMPM") was prepared and submitted to describe EM&A programme would be undertaken during construction period of the Contract 2.
- 1.06 The baseline monitoring of EM&A program has been performed by the Contract 1 ET. Although Action and Limit levels of environmental performance criteria have established by the Contract 1 ET, the Action/Limit levels re-establishment to use the Contract 2 was conducted by the Contract 2 ET. The re-established environment performance criteria has accepted by the IEC and also submitted to the EPD seek for endorsement.
- 1.07 This is the 40<sup>th</sup> Monthly EM&A Report for Contract 2 presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from 1 to 31 October 2014.

#### REPORT STRUCTURE

1.08 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-.

SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION

SECTION 2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS AND SUBMISSION

SECTION 3 EM&A PROGRAM REQUIREMENT FOR THE PROJECT

SECTION 4 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS

SECTION 5 WASTE MANAGEMENT

SECTION 6 SITE INSPECTIONS

SECTION 7 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE

SECTION 8 IMPLEMENTATION STATUES OF MITIGATION MEASURES

SECTION 9 IMPACT FORECAST

SECTION 10 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION



# 2.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS AND SUBMISSION PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE

2.01 Organization structure and contact details of relevant parties with respect to on-site environmental management are shown in *Appendix B*.

#### **CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS**

- 2.02 The master construction programs are enclosed in *Appendix C* and the major construction activities undertaken at Tung Tsz Road, Shuen Wan in this Report Period are listed below:-
  - Rectification of minor defects of all Box Culverts

#### SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.03 Summary of the relevant permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project in this Reporting Period is presented in *Table 2-1*.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
1	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Notified EPD on 17 October 2011
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration (WPN5213-727-K2972-02)	Approved on 28 October 2011
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Discharge License) WT00009528-2011	Valid to 31 July 2016
4	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste (Account No.: 7012838)	Effective

- 2.04 The "Proposal Environmental Monitoring Programme and Methodology (R0006 Version 2)" was set out in accordance with the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual. It was approved by the ER and agreed with the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) and submitted to the EPD for endorsement.
- 2.05 For Contract 2 of the Project, no Baseline Monitoring Report was issued by the ETL. However, a new set of the Action/ Limit levels as used to Contract 2 were proposed by ET. It had been accepted by the IEC and also submitted to the EPD seek for endorsement.



## 3.0 EM&A PROGRAM REQUIREMENT FOR THE CONTRACT 2

3.01 The EM&A requirements set out in the PP, EIAR, Environmental Permit EP303/2008 (hereinafter 'the EP'), and the associated updated EM&A Manual, are presented below sub-section.

#### MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.02 According to the EIAR and the updated EM&A Manual, The monitoring parameters of each environmental aspect summarized in *Table 3-1* will be performed as under the Project.

**Table 3-1 Summary of Monitoring Parameters** 

Environmental Aspect	Parameters			
Construction	A-weighted equival	ent continuous sound pressure level (30min) (hereinafter		
Noise	'Leq(30min)' durin	g the normal working hours; and		
	A-weighted equival	ent continuous sound pressure level (5min) (hereinafter		
	'Leq(5min)' for cor	nstruction work during the restricted hours.		
Water Quality	In Situ	Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, Dissolved Oxygen		
	Measurement	Saturation, pH and Turbidity		
	Laboratory	Suspended Solids (hereinafter 'SS')		
	Analysis			
Hydrological The water flow and depth measurement onsite		oth measurement onsite		
Characteristics				
*Ecology	Monitor and audit the proper implementation of mitigation measures stipulated			
	in EIA report and the updated EM&A Manual			
Landscape &	Inspect and audit the implementation and maintenance of landscape and visual			
Visual	mitigation measures			

Remarks: \* the monitoring is carried out by IEC

## MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.03 Monitoring locations have been proposed in the updated EM&A Manual. Graphic plot to show in *Appendix D* and summarized in *Table 3-2*.

Table 3-2 Designated Monitoring Locations of the EM&A Programme

Aspect	<b>Location ID</b>	Address
	M1	14, Shuen Wan Chim Uk
Construction	AL1	Joint Village Office for Villages in Shuen Wan, Tai PO
Noise	M2	150, San Tau Kok
Noise	M3	31, Wai Ha
	M4	Block 15, Treasure Spot Garden
	440	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA
	$^{(#)}$ W1	• Co-ordinates: E839301, N836386
		• Existing River Bed Level: +1.75mPD).
	W2	Between Tolo Harbour and Proposed Penstock
		• Co-ordinates: E839542, N836184
Water Quality		• Exiting River Bed Level: +1.48mPD)
(vater Quarry	(*)	Upstream of Tung Tze Shan Road
	(*) W3	• Co-ordinates: E838760, N836714
		• Exiting River Bed Level: +5.08mPD)
	W4	Wai Ha Village 29D
		• Co-ordinates: E838865, N836621
		• Exiting River Bed Level: +4.05mPD)
	H1	Between the Shuen Wan Marsh and ECA
Hydrological	111	• Coordinates: E839306, N836379)
Trydrological	H2	Route 10 Sam Kung Temple
	112	• Coordinates: E839163, N836433



Aspect	<b>Location ID</b>	Address	
НЗ		Upstream of Tung Tze Shan Road	
	113	• Coordinates: E838760, N836714	
	H4	Wai Ha Village 29D	
	Π4	• Coordinates: E838865, N836621	
Ecology	Areas within 100m of the works boundary under Contract 2		
Landscape &	As within and adjacent to the construction sites and works areas under the Contract		
Visual	2,		

#### Remarks:

## MONITORING FREQUENCY

3.04 The monitoring frequency and duration as specified in the updated EM&A Manual are summarized below.

## **Construction Noise**

<u>Frequency</u>: Once a week during 0700-1900 on normal weekdays for  $L_{eq(30min)}$ 

If the construction work is undertake at restricted hour, the monitoring frequency of construction noise will be conducted in accordance with the related Construction Noise Permit requirement issued by EPD as follow

- 3 consecutive L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> at restrict hour from 1700 2300;
- 3 consecutive L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> for restrict hour from 2300 0700 next day;
- 3 consecutive L<sub>eq(5min)</sub> for Sunday or public holiday from 0700 1900;

<u>Duration</u>: Throughout the construction period when the major construction activities are undertaken

#### Water Quality

Frequency: Three times a week. The interval between 2 sets monitoring are not less than 36

hours

Duration: During the construction phase of Contract 2 to undertake (in accordance with the

Updated EM&A Manual Section 4.27).

#### Hydrological Characteristics

Frequency: Once per week at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides

Duration: During the construction phase of Contract 2 to undertake; and one year after the

construction is complete as operation phase monitoring (in accordance with the

Updated EM&A Manual Section 4.32).

#### **Ecology**

3.05 In according with Section 6.17 of the Updated EM&A Manual, ecological monitoring should be conducted by the Independent Environmental Checker (hereinafter 'IEC'). Monitoring programme details should be agreed with the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD). Moreover, the IEC should submit reports on the findings of each monitoring trip, and a final report summarizing the monitoring results over the entire monitoring period to AFCD and Environmental Protection Department (EPD). Hence, no monitoring or surveying should be carried out by ET of the Project.

## Landscape & Visual

3.06 According to Section 7.4 of the Updated EM&A Manual, site inspection bi-weekly should be performed to check the implementation and maintenance of landscape and visual mitigation measures whether to full realize.

<sup>(#)</sup> Control Station of Contract 1, however impact station of Contract 2

<sup>(\*)</sup> Control Station of Contract 2



## MONITORING EQUIPMENT USED FOR THE CONTRACT 2

## Noise Monitoring

3.07 Sound level meter in compliance with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications* 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked with an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be check with a portable wind speed meter, which capable to measure wind speed in m/s.

## **Water Quality Monitoring**

- 3.08 **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Equipment** The instrument should be a portable and weatherproof dissolved oxygen (DO) measuring instrument complete with cable and sensor, and use a DC power source. The equipment should be capable of measuring DO level in the range of 0 20mg L-1 and 0 200% saturation; and temperature of 0 45 degree Celsius.
- 3.09 **pH Meter** The instrument shall consist of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device. It shall be readable to 0.1 pH in arrange of 0 to 14.
- 3.10 **Turbidity (NTU) Measuring Equipment** The instrument should be a portable and weatherproof turbidity measuring instrument using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0 1000 NTU.
- 3.11 **Water Sampling Equipment** A water sampler should comprise a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, which can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both ends. The sampler should have a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth.
- 3.12 **Water Depth Detector** A portable, battery-operated echo sounder should be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. The unit can either be hand held or affixed to the bottom of the work boat.
- 3.13 **Sample Containers and Storage** Water samples for SS should be stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen).
- 3.14 **Suspended Solids Analysis** Analysis of suspended solids shall be carried out in a HOKLAS or other international accredited laboratory.

## **Hydrological Characteristics**

- 3.15 **Water Depth Detector** A portable, battery-operated echo sounder shall be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station.
- 3.16 **Stream water flow Equipment** –A portable, battery-operated flow meter should be used for the determination of water flow rate at each designated monitoring location and record in m<sup>3</sup>/s.
- 3.17 The monitoring equipment using for the Project's EM&A program were proposed by the ET and verified by the IEC prior commencement of the monitoring. Details of the equipment used for impact monitoring are listed in *Table 3-3*.

Table 3-3 Monitoring Equipment Used in EM&A Program

Equipment	Model	
Construction Noise		
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238	
Calibrator	B&K Type 4231	
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer	
Water quality		
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar	
Water Sampler	A transparent PVC cylinder / bucket	



Equipment	Model				
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI DO Meter 550A or YSI Professional Plus or YSI Sonde6820 / 650MDS				
pH meter	YSI pH10N or YSI Professional Plus or YSI Sonde 6820 / 650MDS				
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100Q or YSI Sonde 6820 / 650MDS				
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)				
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-litre plastic cool box				
Suspended Solids	HOKLAS-accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd)				
<b>Hydrological Characteristics</b>					
Water flow meter	GLOBAL WATER model FP211				
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar or an appropriate steel ruler or rope with appropriate weight				

#### MONITORING METHODOLOGY FOR ET OF THE CONTRACT 2

## **Noise Monitoring**

- 3.18 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) measured in decibels (dB). Supplementary statistical results ( $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$ ) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.19 Sound level meter as listed in *Table 3-3* are complied with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the *Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)*.
- 3.20 During the monitoring, all noise measurements were performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $Leq_{(30min)}$  in six consecutive  $Leq_{(5min)}$  measurements were used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays; and also  $Leq_{(15min)}$  in three consecutive  $Leq_{(5min)}$  measurements is used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.21 During the course of measurement, the sound level meter is mounted on a tripod with a height of 1.2m above ground and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield is fitted for all measurements. The assessment point is normally set as free-field situation for the measurement.
- 3.22 Prior to noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked by an acoustic calibrator which generated a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking was performed before and after the noise measurement.

## **Water Quality**

- 3.23 Water quality monitoring are conducted at the depth below:-
  - Three depths: 1m below water surface, 1m above river bed and at mid-depth when the water depth exceeds 6m, or
  - If the water depth is between 3m and 6m, two depths: 1m below water surface and 1m above river bed, and or
  - If the water depth is less than 3m, 1 sample at mid-depth is taken
- 3.24 Water depths are determined prior to measurement and sampling, using a portable battery operated depth detector, brand named 'Eagle Sonar', if the depths exceed 1.5 meter. If the depth between 1.5 meter and 1 meter, plastic tape measurement tied with appropriate weight are used the depth estimation. For the depth well below 1 meter, an appropriate steel ruler or rope with appropriate weight are used for the depth measurement.



- 3.25 A transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, is used for water sampling. The water sampler is lowered into the water body at a predetermined depth. The trigger system of the sampler is activated with a messenger and opening ends of the sampler are closed accordingly then the sample of water is collected. If the water depth is less than 500mm, a water bucket is be used as a water sampler to minimize the possibility of the latching system disturbing sediment during water sampling
- 3.26 A portable YSI DO Meter 550A or YSI Professional Plus is used for in-situ DO measurement. The DO meter is capable of measuring DO in the range of 0 20 mg/L and 0 200 % saturation and checked against water saturated ambient air on each monitoring day prior to monitoring. Although the DO Meter automatically compensates ambient water temperature to a standard temperature of 20°C for ease of comparison of the data under the changing reality, the temperature readings of the DO Meter are be recorded in the field data sheets. The equipment calibration is performed on quarterly basis.
- 3.27 A portable YSI pH10N Meter or or YSI Professional Plus is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 14 and readable to 0.1. Standard buffer solutions of pH 7 and pH 10 are used for calibration of the instrument before and after measurement. The equipment calibration is performed on quarterly basis.
- 3.28 A portable Hach 2100Q Turbidity Meter is be used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 1000 NTU. The equipment calibration is performed on quarterly basis.
- 3.29 Water samples are contained in screw-cap PE (Poly-Ethylene) bottles, which are provided and pretreated and 'PE' (Poly-Ethylene) sampling bottles provided and pre-treated according to corresponding analytical requirements. Where appropriate, the sampling bottles are rinsed with the water to be contained. Water sample is then transferred from the sampler to the sample bottles.
- 3.30 One liter or 500 mL water sample are collected from each depth for SS determination. The collected samples are stored in a cool box maintained at 4°C and delivered to laboratory upon completion of the sampling by end of each sampling day.
- 3.31 All water samples are analyzed with Suspended Solids (SS) as specified in the updated *EM&A Manual* by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS are determined by the laboratory upon receipt of the water samples using HOKLAS accredited analytical method. The detection limits and testing method are shown below in *Table 3-4*. The certificate of ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd is provided in *Appendix E*.

Table 3-4 Testing Method and Detection limit of Suspended Solids

Determinant	Testing Method	Detection Limit
Suspended solid	Determination use HOKLAS accredited analytical methods namely ALS Method EA-025 (based on APHA 2540 D)	2mg/L

## **Hydrological Characteristics**

- 3.32 A portable, water flow meter, brand named "GLOBAL WATER model FP211" are used to determine the water current flow at the designated monitoring stations. A water flow velocity is measured at mid depth of current water body or 0.5m below water level.
- 3.33 Water depths are determined prior to measurement, using a portable battery operated depth detector, brand named 'Eagle Sonar', if the depths exceed 1.5 meter. If the depth between 1.5 meter and 1 meter, plastic tape measurement tied with appropriate weight are used the depth estimation. For the depths well below 1 meter, an appropriate steel ruler or rope with appropriate weight are used for the depth measurement.



## DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

- 3.34 The impact monitoring data are handled by the ET's systematic data recording and management, which complies with in-house Quality Management System. Standard Field Data Sheets (FDS) are used in the impact monitoring program.
- 3.35 The monitoring data recorded in the equipment e.g. noise meter and Multi-parameter Water Quality Monitoring System are downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data are input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The laboratory results are input directly into the computerized database and QA/QC checked by personnel other than those who input the data. For monitoring activities require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory follows the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for all laboratory testing.

#### OTHERS MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION FOR THE CONTRACT

#### Ecology

3.36 Ecological monitoring and reporting should be performed by IEC. No equipment and procedure are presented in the EM&A Monthly Report.

## Landscape and Visual

3.37 A registered Landscape Architect as member of the ET is employed by the Contractor to undertake site inspection. Site inspection will undertake at least once every two weeks throughout the construction period to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the mitigation measures are proposed in the EIA and the updated EM&A Manual, implemented by the Contractor.

#### DETERMINATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

3.38 The re-established performance criteria for construction noise, water quality and hydrological, namely Action and Limit levels is used for Contract 2 are listed in *Tables 3-5*, *3-6*, and *3-7*.

Table 3-5 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Location	Time Period	Action Level in dB(A)	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Daytime 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one	75* dB(A)
M1, AL1, M2, M3, M4	1900 – 2300 on all days and 0700 – 2300 on general holidays (including Sundays	documented complaint is	60/65/70 dB(A)**
	2300 – 0700 on all days	received	45/50/55 dB(A)**

Note: \* Reduces to 70dB(A) for schools and 65dB(A) during the school examination periods.

Table 3-6 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Downwaton	Performance	I	mpact Station	n
Parameter	Criteria	W1	W2	W4
DO Concentration (mg/L)	Action Level	7.27	7.26	9.27
DO Concentration (mg/L)	Limit Level	4.00	4.00	4.00
mII.	Action Level	NA	NA	NA
рН	Limit Level	6 - 9	6 - 9	6 - 9
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	4.77	2.46	3.32
Turbidity (NTO)	Limit Level	5.26	3.42	4.52
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Action Level	9.73	8.89	6.98
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Limit Level	10.77	9.75	7.66

<sup>\*\*</sup> To be selected based on the Area Sensitivity Rating of A/B/C, and the conditions of the applicable CNP(s) must be followed



#### Notes:

- The proposed Action/Limit Levels of DO are established to be used 5%-ile/1%-ile of all the baseline data:
- The proposed Action/Limit Levels of Turbidity and SS are established to be used 95%-ile/99%-ile of all the baseline data;
- For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occur is when monitoring result lower than the action/limit levels;
- For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs is when monitoring result higher than the limits; and
- For pH, non-compliance of the quality limit occur is when monitoring result lower than 6 and higher than 9: and
- All the figures given in the table are used for reference only and the EPD may amend the figures whenever it is considered necessary

Table 3-7 Action and Limit Levels for Hydrological Characteristics

Parameter	Acceptance	Monitorin	g Station	
rarameter	Criteria	H1	H2	
Water Depth	Action Level	0.08 (80% of baseline water depth)	0.40 (80% of baseline water depth)	
(m)	Limit Level	0.06 (60% of baseline water depth)	0.30 (60% of baseline water depth)	
Volumetric	Action Level	120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	120% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	
Flow Rate (Q), m <sup>3</sup> /s	Limit Level	140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	140% of control station's water flow rate on the same day of measurement	

- 3.39 The locations H3 and H4 are a reference measurement point in order to monitor any changes in the hydrological characteristics of Wai Ha River arising from the work Contract 2 to affect the Shuen Wan Marsh.
- 3.40 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan enclosed in *Appendix F*.

#### **EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION**

- 3.41 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme in yearly basis.
- 3.42 All the water quality monitoring equipment such as the DO, pH and Turbidity meters are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.43 A portable, water flow meter, brand named "GLOBAL WATER model FP211" is calibrated in yearly basis.
- 3.44 All updated calibration certificates of the monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in this Reporting Period are attached in *Appendix E*.

#### METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

3.45 The meteorological information during the construction phase is obtained from Tai Po and Shatin Stations of the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO). The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix H* 



#### 4.0 IMPACT MONITORING RESULTS

4.01 The monitoring schedule had been issued to relevant parties before each Reporting Period which presented in *Appendix G*. The works undertaken during the Reporting Period are illustrated in *Appendix C*. The monitoring results are presented in the following sub-sections.

#### MONITORING RESULTS SHARING

4.02 Environmental Permit EP-203/2008 was issued on 25 February 2008 by EPD which adopted for both Contracts 1 and 2 of DSD construction at Shuen Wan. Also, the EM&A programme of both contracts are undertaken in accordance with the same updated EM&A Manual which has to be carried out during construction period. According to the updated EM&A manual, designated monitoring Locations M1 and AL1 for noise monitoring stations, Locations W1 and W2 for water quality monitoring stations, and Locations H1 and H2 for hydrological measurement are requested to perform at both Contracts 1 and 2. Since Contract 1 has already commenced in January 2011, those results measured by Contract 1 would be shared for the Contract 2. This recommendation has been accepted by IEC and submitted to EPD.

#### RESULTS OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

4.03 In this Reporting Period, the noise monitoring results at the designated locations M1, AL1, M2, M3 and M4 are summarized in *Table 4-1*. The detail monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I*. The graphical plot is shown in *Appendix J*.

Table 4-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)

Date	$L_{eq(30min)}(dB(A)$		Doto	$L_{eq(30min)}(dB(A)$			
	M1 <sup>(#)</sup>	AL1 <sup>(#)</sup>	Date	M2 <sup>(*)</sup>	M3 <sup>(*)</sup>	M4 <sup>(*)</sup>	
8-Oct-14	63.6	63.7	3-Oct-14	50.7	62.1	66.0	
15-Oct-14	62.3	66.5	10-Oct-14	50.6	62.7	63.2	
22-Oct-14	62.3	63.7	17-Oct-14	57.4	53.0	48.4	
29-Oct-14	63.3	63.5	20-Oct-14	67.1	67.1	49.2	
-	-	-	31-Oct-14	65.3	62.9	50.3	
Limit Level			75 dI	B(A)			

#### Remarks:

- (#) The monitoring is undertaken under façade situation. No façade correction is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.
- The monitoring is undertaken under free field situation. A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines
- 4.04 The sound meter was set in a free field situation at the designated monitoring locations M2, M3 and M4, therefore, a façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. For Location A1 and AN1, the monitoring is undertaken under façade situation. No façade correction is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.
- 4.05 No noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received in this Reporting Period. As shown in *Table 4-1*, all the noise monitoring result are well below 75dB(A) and no Action or Limit Level exceedance was triggered during this Reporting Period. The graphical plot is shown in *Appendix J*.

#### RESULTS OF LOCAL STREAM WATER QUALITY MONITORING

- 4.06 In this Reporting Period, **13** sampling days at W1, W2, W3 and W4 were performed at designated measurement Points for local stream water quality monitoring. The monitoring results including in-situ measurements and laboratory testing results are provided in *Appendix I*. The graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.
- 4.07 Monitoring results of 3 key parameters: dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and suspended solids in this Reporting Period, are summarized in *Tables 4-2 and 4-3*.



Table 4-2 Water Quality Results Summary for W1 & W2

Compling	]	DO (mg/L)		Turb	idity (NTI	J)		SS (mg/L)	
Sampling date	W1 (ebb)	W1 (flood)	W2	W1 (ebb)	W1 (flood)	W2	W1 (ebb)	W1 (flood)	W2
3-Oct-14	6.62	6.81	7.85	3.5	2.63	<u>4.70</u>	2.00	2.00	2.60
6-Oct-14	8.09	8.27	8.14	3.3	3.93	6.50	4.00	4.00	<u>14.00</u>
8-Oct-14	7.17	7.47	7.56	<u>8.8</u>	<u>10.15</u>	<u>5.70</u>	12.00	<u>13.00</u>	3.40
10-Oct-14	6.20	6.27	6.91	2.7	4.72	<u>4.10</u>	4.00	4.00	2.30
13-Oct-14	6.69	6.56	7.25	4.5	<u>6.66</u>	<u>6.90</u>	8.00	8.00	1.90
15-Oct-14	6.77	6.19	8.36	2.3	2.64	4.80	2.00	3.00	3.40
17-Oct-14	6.82	6.56	7.63	2.5	3.12	<u>5.10</u>	2.00	2.00	3.70
20-Oct-14	5.96	6.10	7.45	4.5	3.22	4.40	4.00	4.00	3.80
22-Oct-14	6.31	6.58	7.63	3.3	2.05	<u>5.80</u>	2.00	2.00	2.90
24-Oct-14	6.53	5.88	7.58	1.5	4.55	4.80	6.00	6.00	6.40
27-Oct-14	6.98	6.77	7.58	1.5	3.43	4.00	5.00	6.00	3.90
29-Oct-14	7.21	7.31	6.98	7.2	6.48	5.30	9.00	9.00	5.60
31-Oct-14	7.04	6.92	7.01	2.2	3.33	4.50	10.00	6.00	1.90

<sup>·</sup> Bold and Italic is indicated exceeded Action Level; Bold with underline is indicated exceeded Limit Level

Table 4-3 Water Quality Results Summary for W3 & W4

Sampling	<b>DO</b> (1	mg/L)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	SS (	mg/L)
date	W3	W4	W3	W4	W3	W4
3-Oct-14	7.25	7.33	4.80	2.83	2.00	2.00
6-Oct-14	7.89	8.36	2.77	2.54	4.00	2.00
8-Oct-14	7.44	7.72	1.81	3.09	4.00	5.00
10-Oct-14	7.16	<u>7.38</u>	1.86	2.65	2.00	2.00
13-Oct-14	6.93	<u>7.37</u>	2.87	3.49	2.00	2.00
15-Oct-14	7.64	<u>7.81</u>	1.00	2.44	2.00	2.00
17-Oct-14	7.33	<u>7.12</u>	2.01	2.37	2.00	2.00
20-Oct-14	6.91	<u>6.81</u>	2.19	2.89	3.00	3.00
22-Oct-14	7.07	<u>7.15</u>	7.20	<u>7.48</u>	2.00	2.00
24-Oct-14	6.89	<u>7.49</u>	1.78	2.23	2.00	4.00
27-Oct-14	7.26	<u>7.68</u>	1.51	2.01	6.00	7.00
29-Oct-14	7.03	<u>6.79</u>	3.43	2.57	4.00	4.00
31-Oct-14	7.43	<u>7.81</u>	2.75	2.62	2.00	2.00

<sup>•</sup> Bold and Italic is indicated exceeded Action Level; Bold with underline is indicated exceeded Limit Level

- 4.08 During the Reporting Period, field measurements showed that stream water temperatures were within 24.6°C to 31.3°C and pH values within 5.45 to 8.90.
- 4.09 A statistics of exceedances for the three parameters: dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and suspended solids are shown in *Table 4-4*.

Table 4-4 Statistics Water Quality Exceedance

Station	D	DO		Turbidity		SS		<b>Total Exceedance</b>	
	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	
W1	21	0	0	5	1	2	22	7	
W2	4	0	0	13	0	1	4	14	
W4	12	0	1	1	1	0	14	1	
No. of Exceedance	37	0	1	19	2	3	40	22	

4.10 As shown in *Table 4-4*, a total of 62 Action/ Limit Level exceedances, namely 37 exceedances in dissolved oxygen, 20 exceedances in turbidity and 5 exceedances in SS were recorded in this Reporting Period. NOEs were issued to notify EPD, IEC, the Contractor and RE upon confirmation of the results.



- 4.11 According to site information provided by the Contractor, the site activities undertaken on site included rectification of minor defects of all Box Culverts and public roads reinstalled surface. The active construction activities would not disturb the water body. The investigation results for the exceedances are summarized as follows:
  - For the DO exceedances, the construction activities comprised none of DO depleting characteristics. Therefore, it is considered that all the DO exceedances were due to natural variation of the stream and not related to the works under the Project.
  - For impact monitoring point W2, one SS exceedance was recorded and Turbidity was detected 13 occasions Limit Level exceedance. Since no construction activities under Contract 2 was carried out close to W2, it is concluded that the exceedances were not project related.
  - For impact monitoring point W4, one SS exceedance was recorded and Turbidity was found 1 Action and 1 Limit Levels exceedance. As reviewed Table 4-3, it is noted that turbidity levels recorded in the control station (W3) at the same days were similar to W4, therefore it is concluded that the exceedances at W4 were likely due to natural variation and not related to the project.
  - For monitoring point W1, total 29 Action/Limit levels exceedance was recorded in the three key parameters DO, Turbidity and SS. Since W1 location is nearly a sea-shore, it should be affected by marine water come from the Tolo Harbour during flood tide. Therefore, it is considered that the exceedances in W1 were not related to the works under the Project.

#### RESULTS OF HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS MONITORING

4.12 In this Reporting Period, hydrological characteristics measurements were carried out at H1 and H2 on **8**, **15**, **22** and **29** October **2014** and H3 and H4 on **3**, **10**, **17**, **24** and **31** October **2014**. The monitoring data of H1 and H2 provided by DC/2009/22 is showed *Appendix I*. The detailed H3 and H4 measurement results in this Reporting Period are presented in *Tables 4-5*.

Table 4-5 Detailed monitoring results of hydrological characteristics at H3 and H4

Date	Measurement Time	Tide Condition	River Width (m)	Water Depth (m)	Cut Section (m <sup>2</sup> )	Velocity Flow Rate (m/s)	Average Volumetric Flow Rate (Q), m <sup>3</sup> /s		
Measurement Point: H3									
2.0-4.14	15:44	Flood	7.45	0.29	2.1605	0.3	0.648		
3-Oct-14	9:57	Ebb	7.45	0.24	1.7880	0.3	0.536		
10-Oct-14	9:36	Flood	7.45	0.38	2.8310	0.8	2.265		
10-001-14	14:21	Ebb	7.45	0.36	2.6820	0.4	1.073		
17 Oct 14	15:54	Flood	7.45	0.33	2.4585	0.7	1.721		
17-Oct-14	10:03	Ebb	7.45	0.32	2.3840	0.6	1.430		
24-Oct-14	17:35	Flood	7.45	0.33	2.4585	0.7	1.721		
24-OCI-14	13:39	Ebb	7.45	0.31	2.3095	0.5	1.155		
31-Oct-14	14:27	Flood	7.45	0.36	2.6820	0.5	1.341		
31-001-14	9:24	Ebb	7.45	0.35	2.6075	0.2	0.522		
Measureme	nt Point: H4								
2 0 -4 14	15:28	Flood	2.74	0.18	0.4932	0.4	0.197		
3-Oct-14	9:44	Ebb	2.74	0.13	0.3562	0.2	0.071		
10-Oct-14	9:50	Flood	2.74	0.33	0.9042	0.6	0.543		
10-001-14	14:41	Ebb	2.74	0.3	0.8220	0.4	0.329		
17-Oct-14	16:10	Flood	2.74	0.25	0.6850	0.9	0.617		
17-001-14	10:18	Ebb	2.74	0.23	0.6302	0.7	0.441		
24 Oct 14	17:55	Flood	2.74	0.24	0.6576	0.7	0.460		
24-Oct-14	13:47	Ebb	2.74	0.23	0.6302	0.6	0.378		



Date	Measurement Time	Tide Condition	River Width (m)	Water Depth (m)	Cut Section (m <sup>2</sup> )	Velocity Flow Rate (m/s)	Average Volumetric Flow Rate (Q), m <sup>3</sup> /s
31-Oct-14	14:40	Flood	2.74	0.33	0.9042	0.6	0.543
31-001-14	9:51	Ebb	2.74	0.31	0.8494	0.2	0.170

4.13 Hydrological characteristics results of the all measurement points are summarized in *Tables 4-6* and *4-7*.

Table 4-6 Summarized Hydrological Characteristics of Water Depth, m

Date		Mid-	Flood		Mid-Ebb				
Date	H1	H2	Н3	H4	H1	H2	Н3	H4	
3-Oct-14	-	-	0.29	0.18	-	-	0.24	0.13	
8-Oct-14	0.48	0.36	-	-	0.12	0.12	-	-	
10-Oct-14	-	ı	0.38	0.33	-	-	0.36	0.30	
15-Oct-14	0.42	0.36	-	ı	-	-	ı	-	
17-Oct-14	-	ı	0.33	0.25	-	-	0.32	0.23	
22-Oct-14	0.3	0.3	-	1	0.3	0.3	1	-	
24-Oct-14	-	ı	0.33	0.24	-	-	0.31	0.23	
29-Oct-14	0.36	0.36	-	ı	0.12	0.12	1	-	
31-Oct-14	-	-	0.36	0.33	-	-	0.35	0.31	

Table 4-7 Summarized Hydrological Characteristics of Average Volumetric flow rate (Q), m<sup>3</sup>/s

Data		Mid-	Flood			Mid	-Ebb	
Date	H1	<b>H2</b>	Н3	H4	H1	H2	Н3	H4
3-Oct-14	-	-	0.65	0.20	-	-	0.54	0.07
8-Oct-14	0.3	1.13	-	-	0.15	0.754	-	-
10-Oct-14	-	1	2.26	0.54	-	-	1.07	0.33
15-Oct-14	0.15	0.754	-	-	-	-	-	-
17-Oct-14	-	-	1.72	0.62	-	-	1.43	0.44
22-Oct-14	0.15	1.13	-	-	0.15	0.754	-	-
24-Oct-14	-	1	1.72	0.46	-	-	1.15	0.38
29-Oct-14	0.225	1.507	-	-	0.225	1.13	-	-
31-Oct-14	-	1	1.34	0.54	-	-	0.52	0.17

4.14 To compare the monitoring data between the Reporting Period (rainy season) and baseline monitoring period, the currently water depth and volumetric flow rate has insignificant change. Furthermore, no exceedance in water depth and water flow rate was found in this Reporting Period.

## RESULTS OF ECOLOGICAL MONITORING

- 4.15 According to updated EM&A Manual Section 6.17, bi-monthly ecological monitoring is conducted by the IEC ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited. In brief, the monitoring tasks include regular check on the retained and transplanted trees and shrubs, monitoring on fauna groups and aquatic fauna within the works area and any ecologically sensitive area within 100 m of the works boundary.
- 4.16 In this Reporting Period, no ecological monitoring in Area under Contract 2 was performed.



## 5.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.01 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time.

## RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

- 5.02 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:
  - Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
  - Chemical Waste;
  - General Refuse; and
  - Excavated Soil.
- 5.03 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 5-1* and *5-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix K*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
C&D Materials (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	-
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	-
Reused in other Projects (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	-
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (m <sup>3</sup> )	680	Tuen Mun Area 38

Table 5-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

Type of Waste	Quantity	Disposal Location
Recycled Metal (kg)	0	-
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing (kg)	0	-
Recycled Plastic (kg)	0	-
Chemical Wastes (kg)	0	-
General Refuses (m <sup>3</sup> )	0	-

5.04 To control over the site performance on waste management, the Contractor shall ensure that all solid and liquid waste management works are in full compliance with the relevant license/permit requirements, such as the effluent discharge license and the chemical waste producer registration. The Contractor is also reminded to implement the recommended environmental mitigation measures according to the EM&A Manual based on actual site conditions.



#### 6.0 SITE INSPECTION

## REGULAR SITE INSPECTION AND MONTHLY AUDIT

- According to the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, regular site inspection to evaluate the project environmental performance should be carried out during construction phase. Weekly environmental site inspections had been carried out by the Contractor, ET and RE on 3, 10, 17, 23 and 31 October 2014. Also, joint site inspection with the IEC was carried out on 23 October 2014. In this Reporting period, no observation and no non-compliance was noted.
- 6.02 Observations for the site inspection and monthly audit within this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 6-1*.

 Table 6-1
 Site Inspection of Observations – Findings and Deficiencies

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
3 October 2014	No adverse environmental issue was	NA
	observed during site inspection.	
10 October 2014	No adverse environmental issue was	NA
	observed during site inspection.	
17 October 2014	No adverse environmental issue was	NA
	observed during site inspection.	
23 October 2014	No adverse environmental issue was	NA
	observed during site inspection.	
31 October 2014	No adverse environmental issue was	NA
	observed during site inspection.	

6.03 Moreover, The Contractor was reminded to maintain the work area cleanness and tidiness.

#### LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL INSPECTION

- 6.04 In this Reporting Period, landscape and visual inspection was carried on 3, 17 and 31 October 2014.
- 6.05 The stand-alone of monthly Landscape & Visual Report signed by the registered Landscape Architect. Mitigation measures implemented in this Reporting Period are presented in the monthly Landscape & Visual Report (October 2014) which is enclosed in *Appendix L*.
- 6.06 The next bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring in October 2014 is scheduled to be conducted in the week of 10 and 24 November 2014.



## 7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

## ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

7.01 No environmental complaint, summons and prosecution was received in this Reporting Period. The statistical summary table of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 7-1*, 7-2 and 7-3.

**Table 7-1** Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Dan antina Dania I	Envir	onmental Complaint S	tatistics
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	<b>Complaint Nature</b>
July 2011 –September 2014	1	1	Air Quality (1)
October 2014	0	1	Air Quality (1)

Table 7-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Danasting Davied	Envir	onmental Summons St	tatistics
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	<b>Complaint Nature</b>
July 2011 –September 2014	0	0	NA
October 2014	0	0	NA

**Table 7-3** Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Depositing Davied	Enviro	onmental Prosecution S	Statistics
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	<b>Complaint Nature</b>
July 2011 –September 2014	0	0	NA
October 2014	0	0	NA



#### 8.0 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

8.01 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual covered the issues of dust, noise and waste and they are summarized as follows:

## **Noise Mitigation Measure**

- (a) Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction program;
- (b) Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction program;
- (c) Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible;
- (d) Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;
- (e) Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs;
- (f) Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities;
- (g) Use of quieter plants to carry out the construction tasks proposed for the Project;
- (h) Use about 3.5m high of temporary noise barriers as screened the noisy PMEs to carry out construction of box culvert and site clearance.
- (i) Low Impact Method, such as using PMEs smaller in size and to be enclosed by noise enclosure, should be adopted for the construction of box culvert and pipe laying in Wai Ha; and
- (j) Use of noise enclosure during the works area for pipe laying in Wai Ha.

## **Dust Mitigation Measure**

- 8.02 Implementation of mitigation measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and good site practices including but not limited to the following:
  - (a) Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved road, with complete coverage, particularly during dry weather;
  - (b) Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty static construction areas and areas close to ASRs;
  - (c) Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site location;
  - (d) Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site;
  - (e) Routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs;
  - (f) Stockpiled excavated materials should be covered with tarpaulin and should be removed offsite within 24 hours to avoid any odour nuisance arising.

## **Local Stream Water Quality Mitigation Measure**

- (a) Before commencing any site formation work, all sewer and drainage connections shall be sealed to prevent debris, soil, sand etc. from entering public sewers/drains;
- (b) Temporary ditches shall be provided to facilitate run-off discharge into appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. No site run-off shall enter the fishponds at Shuen Wan;
- (c) Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sediment basins shall be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the Technical Memorandum standard under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance. The design of silt removal facilities shall be based on the guidelines provided in ProPECC PN 1/94. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures shall be inspected monthly and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation al all times and particularly during rainstorms
- (d) Water pumped out from excavated pits shall be discharged into sill removal facilities;

## DSD Contract No. Contract No. DC/2010/02 - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai





- (e) During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces shall be covered by a tarpaulin or other means. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during, and after rainstorms as summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94 shall be followed
- (f) Exposed soil areas shall be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff
- (g) Earthwork final surfaces shall be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection shall be immediately performed to reduce the potential of soil erosion;
- (h) Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site shall be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms;
- (i) For the construction of the box culvert next to the existing channel of the Wai Ha River, sand bags should be deployed around the boundary of the works trench to prevent muddy water ingress into the adjacent CA or Wai Ha River. Sand bags should also be used to surround the excavated trench. Generally, the sand bags will be placed up to a height 01 300mm to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm event. With sand bags in place surface runoff will be intercepted and flow to Wai Ha River or collected by the existing drainage system as usual;
- (j) For the construction of the box culvert in the extreme northeast corner of Shuen Wan Marsh Conservation Area sand bags should be deployed along the limit of the works area to prevent muddy water ingress into the CA. Sand bags should be placed to a height 0.1 at least 300mm from ground level and +2.5 mPD (whichever is greater) to provide adequate allowance for the built-up water level during rainstorm events Unpolluted surface runoff within the works area should then be collected and directed into the existing drainage system;
- (k) Sheet-piles, which would be installed around the works trench near the Conservation Area, would be extended above ground level for about 2m to serve as hoardings to isolate the works site:
- (l) Tarpaulin sheets would be used to cover the excavation areas during heavy rainstorms. This would prevent the ingress of rainwater into the trench minimizing the risk of muddy water getting into Wai Ha River and the adjacent Conservation Area;
- (m) Any concrete washing water would be contained inside the works site surrounded by the extended sheet piles. A pump sump at the bottom 0f the trench would be provided to pump any excess water during concrete washing;
- (n) Stockpiling the excavated materials adjacent to the Conservation Area would not be allowed. The excavated materials would be either removed off site immediately after excavation, or stockpile at location(s) away from the Conservation Area. The stockpile locations shall be approved by the site engineer;
- (o) Debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering the Wai Ha River and fish ponds at Shuen Wan. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.
- (p) Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to nearby water bodies, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity 01 the largest tank The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event
- (q) Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site. A licensed contractor would be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities:
- (r) The excavation works within the upstream end of the existing river channel of the Wai Ha River for the construction of the proposed box culvert should be carried out in dry condition. Containment measures such as bunds and barriers shall be used within the affected length of the river channel and the excavation works restricted to within an enclosed dry section of the channel. The excavation works within Wai Ha River shall be restricted to the period from October to April.



## **Waste Mitigation Measures**

- (a) The Contractor shall observe and comply with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO) and its subsidiary regulations.
- (b) The Contractor shall submit to the Engineer for approval a Waste Management Plan with appropriate mitigation measures including the allocation of an area for waste segregation and shall ensure that the day-to-day site operations comply with the approved waste management plan.
- (c) The Contractor shall minimize the generation of waste from his work. Avoidance and minimization of waste generation can be achieved through changing or improving design and practices, careful planning and good site management.
- (d) The reuse and recycling of waste shall be practised as far as possible. The recycling materials shall include paper/cardboard, timber and metal etc.
- (e) The Contractor shall ensure that Construction and Demolition (C&D) materials are sorted into public fill (inert portion) and C&D waste (non-inert portion). The public fill which comprises soil, rock, concrete, brick, cement plaster/mortar, inert building debris, aggregates and asphalt shall be reused in earth filling, reclamation or site formation works. The C&D waste which comprises metal, timber, paper, glass, junk and general garbage shall be reused or recycled where possible and, as the last resort, disposal of at landfills.
- (f) The Contractor shall record the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites). The Contractor shall use a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials to any designated public filling facility and/or landfill.
- (g) In order to avoid dust or odour impacts, any vehicles leaving a works area carrying construction waste or public fill shall have their load covered.
- (h) To avoid the excessive use of wood, reusable steel shutters shall be used as a preferred alternative to formwork and falsework where possible.
- (i) The Contractor shall observe and comply with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. The Contractor shall apply for registration as chemical waste producer under the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation when chemical waste is produced. All chemical waste shall be properly stored, labeled, packaged and collected in accordance with the Regulation.
- 8.03 KLKJV had been implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by KLKJV in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 8-1*.

**Table 8-1** Environmental Mitigation Measures

astewater were appropriately treated by treatment facilities; rainage channels were provided to convey run-off into the treatment facilities; and rainage systems were regularly and adequately maintained.
egular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface,
requent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to r sensitive receivers; over all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or brayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet;
ablic roads around the site entrance/exit had been kept clean and free from ast; and arpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site.
ood site practices to limit noise emissions at the sources; see of quite plant and working methods; see of site hoarding or other mass materials as noise barrier to screen noise at ound level of NSRs; see of shrouds/temporary noise barriers to screen noise from relatively static MEs; cheduling of construction works nearly Tung Tsz Road; and
is or se se M

## DSD Contract No. Contract No. DC/2010/02 - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai





Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Chemical Management	<ul> <li>Excavated material should be reused on site as far as possible to minimize off-site disposal. Scrap metals or abandoned equipment should be recycled if possible;</li> <li>Waste arising should be kept to a minimum and be handled, transported and disposed of in a suitable manner;</li> <li>The Contractor should adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&amp;D materials to any designed public filling facility and/or landfill; and</li> <li>Chemical waste shall be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.</li> </ul>
General	The site was generally kept tidy and clean.

40<sup>th</sup> Monthly EM&A Report – October 2014



#### 9.0 IMPACT FORCAST

## CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES FOR THE FORTH-COMING MONTH

- 9.01 Construction activities planned to be carried out next month at Shuen Wan is listed as below:-
  - Rectification of minor defects of all Box Culverts
  - Public Road reinstatement
- 9.02 Three months Rolling Construction Program is attached in *Appendix C*

## KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 9.03 According to construction activities carry out in coming months, key issues to be considered include:
  - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
  - Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
  - Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
  - Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
  - Management of chemical wastes;
  - Discharge of site effluent to the nearby local stream or storm drainage, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
  - Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
  - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures.

40<sup>th</sup> Monthly EM&A Report – October 2014



#### 10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENTATIONS

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- 10.01 This is the 40<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report for Contract 2 presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 31 October 2014.
- 10.02 No noise complaint (which is an Action Level exceedance) was received and no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded in this Reporting Period. No NOE or the associated corrective actions were therefore issued.
- 10.03 For water quality monitoring, a total of 62 Action/ Limit Level exceedances, namely 37 exceedances in dissolved oxygen, 20 exceedances in turbidity and 5 exceedances in SS were recorded in this Reporting Period. NOEs were issued to notify relevant parties upon confirmation of the results. It is concluded that exceedances were not related to the Project.
- 10.04 The hydrological characteristics of water depth and water flow rate were found no exceedance in this Reporting Period.
- 10.05 In this Reporting Period, no ecological monitoring in Area under Contract 2 was performed.
- 10.06 No documented complaint, notification of summons or successful prosecution was received.
- 10.07 Weekly environmental site inspections had been carried out by the Contractor, ET and the RE on 3, 10, 17, 23 and 31 October 2014. Also, joint site inspection with the IEC was carried out on 23 October 2014. In this Reporting period, no observation and no non-compliance was noted.
- 10.08 In this Reporting Period, landscape and visual inspection was carried on 3, 17 and 31 October 2014 and the monthly Landscape & Visual Report (October 2014) has been signed by the registered Landscape Architect.


#### RECOMMENDATIONS

10.09 All the major construction activities were completed at the end of October. Therefore the EM&A programme for construction phase is ceased on 31 October 2014 and the EM&A Programme will proceed to operation phase on 1 November 2014



## Appendix A

Site Location Plan (DSD Contract 1 and Contract 2 at Shuen Wan)






## Appendix B

**Organization Chart and the Key Contact Person** 





**Environmental Management Organization** 



## **Contact Details of Key Personnel**

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
DSD	Employer	Mr. Luk Wai Hung	2594 7400	2827 8700
DSD	Senior Engineer	Mr. Lau Wing Wah	2594 7402	2827 8700
DSD	Engineer	Mr. Chan Hak Keung	2594 7596	2827 8700
DSD	Engineer	Mr. So Chi Ho	2594 7356	2827 8700
DSD	Senior Inspector	Mr. Tso Si On	6778 2708	2827 8700
ENVIRON	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Tong Cheng	3465-2888	3465-2899
KLKJV	Project Director	Mr. Poon Chi Yeung Francis	2674 3888	2674 9988
KLKJV	Project Manager	Mr. Jeff Chan	2674 3888	2674 9988
KLKJV	Sub- Agent	Mr. Anthony Chan	2674 3888	2674 9988
KLKJV	Site Forman	Mr. Chu Kam Shui	2674 3888	2674 9988
KLKJV	Environmental Officer	Mr. Ronald Wong	2674 3888	2674 9988
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T.W. Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Miss. Nicola Hon	2959-6059	2959-6079
AUES	Environmental Supervisor	Mr. Ben Tam	2959-6059	2959-6079

## Legends:

DSD (Employer) – Drainage Services Department

DSD (Engineer) – Drainage Services Department

KLKJV (Main Contractor) – Kwan Lee-Kuly Joint Venture


ENVIRON (IEC) - ENVIRON Hong Kong Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting





## **Appendix C**

**Master Construction Programs** 





			•		
	Sep 15	Sun 12 Apr 29 Sun 13 Sep 15		505 days	Retaining Wall RW   & Access Ramp
	Fri 14 Feb 14 128FS-7 days,136		_		Implementation of TTA, Permanent road surface & Paving block for footpath and associated works
	Wed 13 Dec 4 127,108,93,98,103				Construction of remaining top state of box curvert and rootpain at downstream
	Feb 14 126			l day	Resume Castle Peak Road traffic for both direction
	Feb 13 125	Tau 13 Jan 24 Wed 13 Feb 13		21 days	Temporary road surface for West Bound
	Tue 13 Jan 22 123			14 days	Temporary road surface for East Bound
	Tue 13 Jan 8 121			14 days	Backfilling and removal of temporary works
	Tue 13 Jan 8 121			14 days	Construction of temp, footway at downstream
	Reb 14 Dec 25 118	Wed 12 Dec 5 Tue 12 Dec 25		437 days	Construction of ton slab of hox culvert for East Bound
	Thu 13 Jan 17 117			48 days	WSD - diversion of 3 nos, dia.25 pipes
	Tue 12 Dec 4 117			4 days	WSD - removal of disused pipes/ reinstatement
₽4	Fri 12 Nov 30 116,115			3 days	WSD - shutdown & commissioning by WSD
	Nov 27 114			6 days	WSD - swabling/ flushing/ sterilization
	Nov 22 114	Thu 12 Nov 22 Thu 12 Nov 22		l day	WSD - inspection of connection points with WSD
	Sit 12 New 21 112 112			7 days	WSD - pipes transcation installation & laying (near KW2)
H-	New 14 83FS-5 days,111	4		12 days	WSD - pipes frabrication, installation & laying (near RW1)
	Aug 4			75 days	WSD - material delivery
	Jan 17	May 22 Thu 13 Jan 17	lys Tue 12 May 22	241 days	WSD
					hanged up for construction of remaining Box Culvert
	Oct 30 106	Wed 13 May 1 Wed 13 Oct 30 Wed 13 May 1 The 14 Oct 30		183 days	PCCW - diversion & changing over (local cables)  PCCW - diversion & changing over (overwas cables - about 4 nos ) - to be
	Sun 13 Mar 17 87,105,119				PCCW - manholes & ducting construction works (near RW2)
	Apr 30 83,107			44 days	PCCW - manholes & ducting construction works (near RW1)
	Thu 12 Jul 12		iys Mon 12 May 14	60 days	PCCW - XP application
	Oct 30	May 14 Thu 14 Oct 30	lys Mon 12 May 14	900 days	PCCW
	Aug 19 101			90 days	HGC - diversion & changing over
	Mar 31 100,107			14 days	HGC - manholes & ducting construction works (near RW2)
	May 21 102.106	_		21 days	HGC - manholes & ducting construction works (near RW1)
	Thu 12 Jul 12	,		60 days	HGC - XP application
	on 13 Aug 19		-	463	HGC
	Mon 13 Sep 9 06	Wed 13 Jun 12 Mon 13		90 days	NWT - diversion & changing construction works (near NV2)
	Sun 13 Apr 14 US 102			21 days	NWT - menholes & ducting construction works (near RW1)
	Tau 12 Jul 12			60.	NWT - XP application
	Sep 9	_		484 days	NWT
	Sun 13 Jun 16 91			21 1	CLP (2 no. 11kV cables) - changing over
	Sun 13 May 5 90,97			21 days	CLP (2 no. 11kV cables) - ducting & cable works (near RW2)
	Tau 12 Jul 12	Mon 13 May 6 Sun 13 May 76		60 days	CLP (2 no. 11kV cables) - XP application
	Jm 16	"		399 days	CLP (2no. 11kV cables)
	Oct 30		lys Mon 12 May 14	900 days	Utilities Diversion by UU
	Sep 17 86			60 days	Retaining wall RW2 (wing wall)
	Thu 12 Jul 19 85	Fr. 12 Jul 13 Thu 12		7 days	PCCW - demolition of existing joint box/ cable drawnit
	Separ	9		127 days	Construction of Rw2 (wing with)
	2Nov 7 82			68 days	Retaining wall RWI - wing wall portion
	Fri 12 Aug 31 81	Sat 12 Aug 25 Fri 12		7 days	CLP (overhead pole) - removal of overhead pole
	Aug 24 80	Sat 12 Aug 11 Fri 12.		14 days	CLP (overhead pole) - changing over
•	Fri 12 Aug 10 78			75 days	CLP (everhead pole) - cable laying
	Nov 7	May 28 Wed 12 Nov 7	avs Mon 12 May 28	164 days	Bound)  Construction of RW1 wine wall portion
	May 27 77				Construction of 3.5m wide top slab of box culvert along Castle Peak Road (Fast
-	Apr 16 76	Sut 12 Apr 14 Mon 12 Apr 16		3 days	Remove Temporary flow diversion
	Fri 12 Apr 13 75				Construction of Base Slab & Wall of Box Culvert along Castle Peak Road (East
<b>-</b>	Wed 12 Mar 14 74	Mon 12 Mar 12 Wed 12		Last State	Demolish Exisiting Box Culvert (East Bound)
	Sun 12 Mar 11 73			7.	Temporary support for utilities
	Nur 4 77 71	Tue 12 Feb 28 Sm 12	6 days Tige 1	o ~	Installation of steel sheet miles
	Feb 27 70			. 7	Trial pit for utilities
P	Feb 20 69				Implement Stage 3 of TTA
	Sun 12 Feb 19 68		_	33 Gavs	demolition of ex. BC Temporary carriageway for stage 3 TTA
Mari Are May Jan Jibi Jang Sep JOu New Dec Jan Jeel Mari Are May Jan Jibi Jang Sep JOu New Dec Jan Jeel Mari Are May Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mari Are May Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jan Jibi Jan Jibi Jang Sep Jou New Dec Jan Jeel Mary Jan Jibi Jan	Tue 12 Jan 17 67 Mar Apr May Jun	Tuz 11 Doc 6 Tue 12		43 days	Construction of Box Culvert along Castle Peak Road (West Bound ) including
Prodocessors (f.1   2011, Half 2   2012, Half 1   2012, Half 2   201	Prodecessors [f ]	Finish	Start	Duration	AST INAMES





October 2014 Wai Ha Box Culverl O (updated








# Appendix D

# **Environmental Monitoring Locations**





## **Appendix E**

Calibration certificates of the monitoring equipment and Certificate of ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd



#### **Equipment Calibration List**

Items	Aspect	Description of Equipment	Date of Calibration	Date of Next Calibration
1	Malaa	Rion Sound Level Meter (Serial No. 00410247)	29 Apr 14	29 Apr 15
2	Noise	Rion Sound Calibrator (Serial No. 34246492)	28 Feb 14	28 Feb 15
3		YSI PRO 20 (Serial No. 12C100570)	8 Jul 14	8 Oct 14
4*		YSI 550A (Serial No. 05F2063AZ)	7 Oct 14	7 Jan 15
5	Water	Turbidmeter HACH 2100Q (Serial No. 12060C018266)	8 Jul 14	8 Oct 14
6*	water	Turbidmeter HACH 2100Q (Serial No. 12060C018266)	7 Oct 14	7 Jan 15
7		pH meter 8685 (Serial No. 212632)	8 Jul 14	8 Oct 14
8*		pH meter 8685 (Serial No. 212632)	7 Oct 14	7 Jan 15

Note: \*Calibration certificates will only be provided when monitoring equipment is re-calibrated or new.



ALS Technichem (HK) Ptv Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044

F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR BEN TAM

CLIENT: ADDRESS: **ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES** RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG,

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG, N.T., HONG KONG WORK ORDER:

HK1434253

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED:

07/10/2014

DATE OF ISSUE:

27/10/2014

#### **COMMENTS**

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:

Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature

Description:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

550A

Serial No.:

05F2063AZ

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 07 October, 2014

#### **NOTES**

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Fung Lim Chee

General Manager

Greater China & Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

### REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1434253

Date of Issue:

27/10/2014

Client:

**ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES** 



Description:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name:

Model No.:

550A

Serial No.:

05F2063AZ

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 07 October, 2014

Date of next Calibration:

07 January, 2015

Parameters:

Dissolved Oxygen Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 45000: G

 method Ren / it / it ( 215t edition), 150001 e			
Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)	
13			
3.00	2.99	-0.01	
5.02	4.93	-0.09	
8.05	8.01	-0.04	
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20	

**Temperature** 

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	11.0	
11.0	11.8	+0.8
22.0	23.0 38.5	+1.0 +0.5
38.0	36.3	+0.5
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless

of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager/

Greater China & Hong Kong



ALS Technichem (HK) Ptv Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre

1-3 Wing Yip Street

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong T: +852 2610 1044 F: +852 2610 2021

www.alsglobal.com

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR BEN TAM

CLIENT: ADDRESS: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG.

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,

KWAI CHUNG. N.T., HONG KONG

PROJECT:

DATE RECEIVED: 07/10/2014 DATE OF ISSUE: 16/10/2014

WORK ORDER:

LABORATORY:

HK1432524

HONG KONG

#### **COMMENTS**

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:

**Turbidity** 

Equipment Type:

Turbidimeter

Brand Name:

HACH 21000

Model No.: Serial No.:

12060C018266

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 07 October, 2014

#### **NOTES**

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr. Fund Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager -

Greater China & Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

#### REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1432524 16/10/2014

Date of Issue: Client:

**ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES** 



Equipment Type:

Turbidimeter

Brand Name:

HACH

Model No.: Serial No.: 2100Q

Equipment No.:

12060C018266

Date of Calibration:

07 October, 2014

Date of next Calibration:

07 January, 2015

Parameters:

**Turbidity** 

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 2130R

Method Ref: APHA 21St Ed. 21	LSUB	and the same of th
Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.27	(55)
4	4.27	+6.7
40	40.6	+1.5
80	80.2	+0.3
400	413	+3.3
800	860	+7.5
	Tolerance Limit (±%)	10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr. Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager -

Greater China & Hong Kong





ALS Technichem (HK) Ptv Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044

F: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR BEN TAM

CLIENT: ADDRESS: **ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES** RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG.

NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD.

KWAI CHUNG,

PROJECT:

N.T., HONG KONG

WORK ORDER:

HK1432526

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED:

07/10/2014

DATE OF ISSUE:

16/10/2014

#### **COMMENTS**

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:

рН

Description:

pH meter

Brand Name:

Model No.:

8685 212632

Serial No.:

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 07 October, 2014

#### **NOTES**

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Fung Lim Chee, Richard

General Manager -

Greater China & Hong Kong

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:

HK1432526

Date of Issue:

16/10/2014

Client:

**ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES** 



Description:

pH meter

Brand Name:

--

Model No.:

8685 212632

Serial No.: Equipment No.:

1000

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 07 October, 2014

Date of next Calibration:

07 January, 2015

Parameters:

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

200					
	Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)		
Γ					
-	4.0	4.0	0.00		
-	7.0	7.1	+0.10		
1	10.0	10.1	+0.10		
L		Tolerance Limit (pH Unit)	±0.20		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Fung Lim Chee, Richard General Manager -

Greater China & Hong Kong



# **Appendix F**

## **Event and Action Plan**

# DSD Contract No. Contract No. DC/2010/02 - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

40<sup>th</sup> Monthly EM&A Report – October 2014



#### **Event Action Plan for Construction Noise**

EVENT	ACTION				
EVENI	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor	
Action Level	Notify IEC and Contractor     Carry out investigation.     Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor.     Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures     Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET.     Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures	Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing     Notify Contractor     Require Contractor to propose 'remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem     Check remedial measures are properly implemented.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC     Implement noise mitigation proposals	
Limit Level	Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor     Identify source.     Repeat measurements to confirm findings     Increase monitoring frequency.     Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented     Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances     Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results     If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions     Review Contractor's' remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly     Supervise the implementation of remedial measures	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem 4. Check remedial measures properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated	Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance     Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification     Implement the agreed proposals     Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control     Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated	



#### **Event and action Plan for Water Quality**

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level	Repeat in-situ measurements to	ACTION LEVEL 1. Discuss mitigation	Discuss proposed	Inform Engineer and confirm in
being exceeded	confirm findings;	measures with ET,	mitigation measures with	writing notification of the
by one sampling	2. Identify reasons for non-compliance	Engineer and Contractor;	IEC, ET and Contractor;	non-compliance;
day	and source(s) of impact;	2. Review proposals on	2. Make agreement on	<ol><li>Rectify unacceptable practice;</li></ol>
	Inform IEC, Contractor and	mitigation measures	mitigation measures to be	<ol><li>Check all plant and equipment;</li></ol>
	Engineer;	submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer	implemented;	Consider changes in working
	4. Check monitoring data, all plant,	accordingly;	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	methods;
	equipment and Contractor's working methods;	3. Assess effectiveness	measures.	5. Discuss with ET, IEC and
	5. Discuss mitigation measures with	of implemented mitigation	modedioo.	Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer
	IEC, Engineer and Contractor;	measures.		within three working days;
	6. Ensure mitigation measures are			6. Implement agreed mitigation
	implemented.			measures.
	7. Repeat measurement on next day			
	of exceedance.			
Action level	Repeat in-situ measurements to	Discuss mitigation	Discuss proposed	Inform Engineer and confirm in
being exceeded	confirm findings;	measures with ET,	mitigation measures with	writing notification of the
by more than	Identify reasons for non-compliance	Engineer and Contractor;	IEC, ET and Contractor;	non-compliance;
two consecutive sampling days	and source(s) of impact;	2. Review proposals on	2. Make agreement on	Rectify unacceptable practice;
sampling days	3. Inform IEC, Contractor and	mitigation measures submitted by Contractor	mitigation measures to be implemented;	Check all plant and equipment;
	Engineer;	and advise the Engineer	3. Assess effectiveness of	Consider changes in working
	Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working	accordingly;	implemented mitigation	methods;
	methods;	Assess effectiveness	measures.	Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation
	Discuss mitigation measures with	of implemented mitigation		measures to IEC and Engineer
	IEC, Engineer and Contractor;	measures.		within three working days;
	6. Ensure mitigation measures are			6. Implement agreed mitigation
	implemented.			measures
	7. Prepare to increase the monitoring			
	frequency to daily;			
	Repeat measurement on next day     of exeedance.			
		LIMIT LEVEL		
Limit level being	Repeat in-situ measurements to	Discuss mitigation	Discuss proposed	Inform Engineer and confirm in
exceeded by	confirm findings;	measures with ET,	mitigation measures with	writing notification of the
one sampling	Identify reasons for non-compliance	Engineer and Contractor;	IEC, ET and Contractor;	non-compliance;
day	and source(s) of impact;	Review proposals on mitigation measures	2. Request Contractor to	Rectify unacceptable practice;
	3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer;	submitted by Contractor	critically review the working methods;	3. Check all plant and equipment;
	4. Check monitoring data, all plant,	and advise the Engineer	3. Make agreement on	Consider changes in working methods;
		accordingly;	mitigation measures to be	5. Discuss with ET, IEC and
	equipment and Contractor's working	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		J. Discuss with LT, ILC and
	equipment and Contractor's working methods;	3. Assess effectiveness	implemented;	Engineer and propose mitigation
	, , ,	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	Assess effectiveness of	Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer
	methods;	Assess effectiveness	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	
	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	Assess effectiveness of	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation
	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days;
	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation
	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation
Limit level boing	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
U	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss mitigation	A. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss proposed	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in
Limit level being exceeded by more than two	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings;	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET,	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss proposed mitigation measures with	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance;
exceeded by more than two	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;     Request Contractor to critically review the working	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer;	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice;
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant,	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods;
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess effectiveness of	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor;	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days;
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented.	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures;  5. Consider and if necessary instruct	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures;
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures;  5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures;  5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no
exceeded by more than two consecutive	methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.  1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform EPD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit	Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.      Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation	4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.  1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;  2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;  3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;  4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures;  5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or	measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.  1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check all plant and equipment; 4. Consider changes in working methods; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the



#### **Event and action Plan for Hydrological Characteristics**

Event	ET Leader	IEC	ER	Contractor
ACTION LEVEL Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;     Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Action level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; 8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.	Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;     Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance;     Rectify unacceptable practice;     Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes;     Consider changes in working methods and plans;     Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days;     Implement agreed mitigation measures
LIMIT LEVEL Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data, and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level.	Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor;     Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;     Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance;     Rectify unacceptable practice;     Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes;     Consider changes in working methods and plans;     Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days;     Implement agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	1. Repeat in-situ measurements to confirm findings; 2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and source(s) of impact; 3. Inform AFCD, IEC, Contractor and Engineer; 4. Check monitoring data and Contractor's working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, Engineer and Contractor; 6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented. 7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days.	Discuss mitigation measures with ET, Engineer and Contractor;     Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the Engineer accordingly;     Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures.	1. Discuss proposed mitigation measures with IEC, ET and Contractor; 2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; 3. Make agreement on mitigation measures to be implemented; 4. Assess effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; 5. Consider and if necessary instruct Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.	1. Inform Engineer and confirm in writing notification of the non-compliance; 2. Rectify unacceptable practice; 3. Check working methods and any excavation works or dewatering processes; 4. Consider changes in working methods and plans; 5. Discuss with ET, IEC and Engineer and propose mitigation measures to IEC and Engineer within three working days; 6. Implement agreed mitigation measures; 7. As directed by the Engineer, slow down or stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.



# Appendix G

# **Monitoring Schedule in Reporting Period** and the Coming Month



#### Monitoring Schedule in this Reporting Period – October 2014

D-4-		Stream M	onitoring	NT · N# ·
l L	<b>Date</b>	Water Sampling	Flow Monitoring	Noise Monitoring
Wed	1-Oct-14			
Thu	2-Oct-14			
Fri	3-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H3, H4	M2, M3, M4
Sat	4-Oct-14			
Sun	5-Oct-14			
Mon	6-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4		
Tue	7-Oct-14			
Wed	8-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H1, H2	M1, AL1
Thu	9-Oct-14			
Fri	10-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H3, H4	M2, M3, M4
Sat	11-Oct-14			
Sun	12-Oct-14			
Mon	13-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4		
Tue	14-Oct-14			
Wed	15-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H1, H2	M1, AL1
Thu	16-Oct-14			
Fri	17-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H3, H4	M2, M3, M4
Sat	18-Oct-14			
Sun	19-Oct-14			
Mon	20-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4		M2, M3, M4
Tue	21-Oct-14			
Wed	22-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H1, H2	M1, AL1
Thu	23-Oct-14			
Fri	24-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H3, H4	
Sat	25-Oct-14			
Sun	26-Oct-14			
Mon	27-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4		
Tue	28-Oct-14			
Wed	29-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H1, H2	M1, AL1
Thu	30-Oct-14			
Fri	31-Oct-14	W1, W2, W3, W4	H3, H4	M2, M3, M4

Note:

#### ET of the Contract 2 undertaken Monitoring Location including

Water Quality – W1, W2 and W4 Flow Measurement – H3 and H4 Construction Noise – M2, M3 and M4

#### ET of the Contract 1 undertaken Monitoring Location including

Water Quality - W2,

Flow Measurement - H1 and H2 Construction Noise - M1 and AL1

Monitoring Day
Sunday or Public Holiday



#### **Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period – November 2014 (Operational Phase)**

Date		Stream Monitoring
D	vate 	Flow Monitoring
Sat	1-Nov-14	
Sun	2-Nov-14	
Mon	3-Nov-14	
Tue	4-Nov-14	
Wed	5-Nov-14	
Thu	6-Nov-14	
Fri	7-Nov-14	H1, H2, H3, H4
Sat	8-Nov-14	
Sun	9-Nov-14	
Mon	10-Nov-14	
Tue	11-Nov-14	
Wed	12-Nov-14	
Thu	13-Nov-14	
Fri	14-Nov-14	
Sat	15-Nov-14	H1, H2, H3, H4
Sun	16-Nov-14	
Mon	17-Nov-14	
Tue	18-Nov-14	
Wed	19-Nov-14	
Thu	20-Nov-14	
Fri	21-Nov-14	H1, H2, H3, H4
Sat	22-Nov-14	
Sun	23-Nov-14	
Mon	24-Nov-14	
Tue	25-Nov-14	
Wed	26-Nov-14	
Thu	27-Nov-14	
Fri	28-Nov-14	
Sat	29-Nov-14	H1, H2, H3, H4
Sun	30-Nov-14	

Monitoring Day
Sunday or Public Holiday



# **Appendix H**

**Meteorological Data of Reporting Period** 



Meteorological Data in Reporting Period

		Meteorological Data in	Report	1	Station	Shatin	Station
Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Wind Direction
1-Oct-14	Wed	Fine and dry. Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.	26.7	27.8	81.5	8.2	N
2-Oct-14	Thu	It will be fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate northeasterly winds, fresh offshore.	Trace	29.2	76.5	6.5	E/NE
3-Oct-14	Fri	Fine and dry. Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.	23.7	28.4	76	7.6	N/NE
4-Oct-14	Sat	Fine and dry. Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh at times.	2.6	27.4	75.5	7.9	N/NE
5-Oct-14	Sun	It will be fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate northeasterly winds, fresh offshore.	0.1	27	67.5	7	NE
6-Oct-14	Mon	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh east to northeasterly winds.	0	25.8	62.5	8.1	N/NE
7-Oct-14	Tue	Cloudy. Mainly fine and dry. Moderate east to northeasterly winds.	Trace	25.3	64.7	8.3	N/NE
8-Oct-14	Wed	Mainly fine. Dry in the afternoon. Moderate east to northeasterly winds.	0	25.1	63.7	6.5	N/NE
9-Oct-14	Thu	Mainly cloudy. Dry with sunny intervals in the afternoon. Moderate northeasterly winds.	0	25.6	59.7	6.5	NE
10-Oct-14	Fri	Mainly cloudy. Dry with sunny intervals in the afternoon. Moderate northeasterly winds.	0	25.8	64.2	6.5	N/NE
11-Oct-14	Sat	Fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate to fresh northerly winds.	0	26.3	67.2	7	N/NE
12-Oct-14	Sun	Fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate to fresh northerly winds.	0	26.7	61.2	8.2	N/NE
13-Oct-14	Mon	Fine. Very dry in the afternoon. Moderate to fresh northerly winds.	0	25.3	48.2	10.7	N
14-Oct-14	Tue	Mainly fine and dry apart from some haze. Moderate east to northeasterly winds, fresh at times later.	Trace	24.3	56.5	8	NE
15-Oct-14	Wed	Mainly fine. Dry in the afternoon. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	23.6	67	7	N/NE
16-Oct-14	Thu	Mainly fine. Dry in the afternoon. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	Trace	24.5	73.2	7.6	E/SE
17-Oct-14	Fri	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	24.9	645	6.9	E/NE
18-Oct-14	Sat	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods in the afternoon.  Moderate easterly winds.	0	25.1	66	7.6	E/NE
19-Oct-14	Sun	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods in the afternoon. Moderate easterly winds.	Trace	25.6	71.5	8.3	E/NE
20-Oct-14	Mon	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods in the afternoon. Moderate easterly winds.	0	25.8	75.5	8	E/SE
21-Oct-14	Tue	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	Trace	26.4	75.5	5.4	E/NE
22-Oct-14	Wed	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods in the afternoon. Moderate easterly winds.	26.4	27	67.5	7.2	N/NE
23-Oct-14	Thu	Cloudy with one or two rain patches. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0.2	23.3	85.7	5.5	N/NW
24-Oct-14	Fri	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods. Moderate to fresh easterly winds, strengthening gradually.	0	24.1	76.2	5.3	E/NE
25-Oct-14	Sat	Cloudy with one or two rain patches. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	24.5	77	5.5	E/SE
26-Oct-14	Sun	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0.1	25.8	76.5	4.6	N/NE
27-Oct-14	Mon	Mainly cloudy. Sunny periods. Moderate to fresh easterly winds, strengthening gradually.	0	25.6	74.2	7.5	E/SE
28-Oct-14	Tue	Mainly fine. Dry in the afternoon. Fresh to strong easterly winds.	Trace	25.3	61	9.5	E/NE
29-Oct-14	Wed	Mainly fine. Dry in the afternoon. Fresh to strong easterly winds.	Trace	25.3	70	7.9	E/SE
30-Oct-14	Thu	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	25.1	72.5	7.5	E/NE
31-Oct-14	Fri	Fine and dry. Moderate to fresh easterly winds.	0	25.2	79.5	5.8	E/SE

<sup>\*</sup> The record was downloaded from The Hong Kong Observatory Weather Stations



# Appendix I

**Data Base of Monitoring Results** 



#### **Construction Noise Measurement Data**

#### Designated Monitoring Station – M1 (14, Shuen Wan Chim Uk)

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	Leq <sub>30min*</sub>
8-Oct-14	13:25	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.6
15-Oct-14	16:00	-	-	-	-	-	-	62.3
22-Oct-14	12:55	-	-	-	-	-	-	62.3
29-Oct-14	11:50	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.3
Limit I	Level				-			> 75 dB(A)

<sup>(\*)</sup>The monitoring is undertaken under façade situation. No façade correction is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

#### Designated Monitoring Station – AL1 (Joint Village Office for Villages in Shuen Wan, Tai Po)

Date	Start Time	1st Leq5m in	2nd Leq5m in	3rd Leq5m in	4th Leq5m in	5th Leq5m in	6th Leq5m in	Leq30min*
8-Oct-14	14:05	-	1	-	-	-	-	63.7
15-Oct-14	16:35	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.5
22-Oct-14	13:35	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.7
29-Oct-14	12:25	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.5
Limit 1	Limit Level				> 75 dB(A)			

<sup>(\*)</sup>The monitoring is undertaken under façade situation. No façade correction is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

#### Designated Monitoring Station - M2 (150, San Tau Kok)

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	Leq <sub>30min</sub>	Corrected* Leq <sub>30min</sub>
3-Oct-14	16:11	49.0	46.8	47.8	49.0	47.5	45.1	47.7	50.7
10-Oct-14	15:16	46.9	46.9	48.9	45.4	48.2	48.4	47.6	50.6
17-Oct-14	18:37	55.9	53.9	53.6	56.6	52.9	51.1	54.4	57.4
20-Oct-14	15:38	62.6	59.8	66.9	63.0	66.0	62.4	64.1	67.1
31-Oct-14	16:04	62.2	60.0	61.7	63.8	62.4	63.0	62.3	65.3
Limit 1	Level				> 75	dB(A)			

<sup>(\*)</sup> A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

#### Designated Monitoring Station – M3 (31, Wai Ha)

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	Leq <sub>30min</sub>	Corrected* Leq <sub>30min</sub>
3-Oct-14	15:32	59.1	58.7	59.5	57.7	60.3	59.1	59.1	62.1
10-Oct-14	14:40	59.1	58.7	60.9	59.3	60.4	59.4	59.7	62.7
17-Oct-14	17:29	49.1	48.9	51.2	52.8	47.1	48.1	50.0	53.0
20-Oct-14	16:28	62.3	63.4	64.6	64.1	65.2	64.4	64.1	67.1
31-Oct-14	14:37	59.5	59.2	56.3	60.8	61.4	60.7	59.9	62.9
Limit l	Limit Level					> 75	dB(A)		

<sup>(\*)</sup> A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

#### Designated Monitoring Station – M4 (Block 15, Treasure Spot Garden)

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	Leq <sub>30min</sub>	Corrected* Leq <sub>30min</sub>
3-Oct-14	16:16	63.0	63.0	64.5	61.9	62.7	62.4	63.0	66.0
10-Oct-14	15:53	60.6	60.0	60.2	61.1	3.8	62.6	60.2	63.2
17-Oct-14	18:03	46.6	45.0	45.8	44.4	44.6	45.5	45.4	48.4
20-Oct-14	16:26	48.2	44.5	44.9	48.6	44.1	44.6	46.2	49.2
31-Oct-14	15:14	47.1	49.1	46.2	49.3	44.6	45.2	47.3	50.3
Limit 1	Limit Level					> 75	dB(A)		

<sup>(\*)</sup> A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.

## **AUES**

#### DSD Contract No. DC/2010/02 Contract No. - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Location					DO (r	ng/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	p	Н	SS(m	g/L)
W1 (impact)					Action	7.27	Action	n/a	Action	4.77	Action	n/a	Action	9.73
wi (iiiipact)					Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	5.26	Limit	n/a	Limit	10.77
W2 (impact)					Action	7.26	Action	n/a	Action	2.46	Action	n/a	Action	8.89
wz (impact)		Action/Limi	t Level		Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	3.42	Limit	n/a	Limit	9.75
W3 (control)					n	/a	n,	/a	n.	/a	n	/a	n/	'a
W4 (impact)					Action	9.27	Action	n/a	Action	3.32	Action	n/a	Action	6.98
· · · (puot)					Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	4.52	Limit	n/a	Limit	7.66
Date	3-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(OC)	DO (r	ng/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	SS(m	g/L)
W1 - ebb	9:32	0.15	27	27.0	6.57	6.6	84.6	85.1	3.43	3.5	7.7	7.8	<2	2.0
(impact)	7.52	0.13	27	27.0	6.67	0.0	85.5	03.1	3.59	5.5	7.8	7.0	<2	2.0
W1- flood	15:12	0.22	28.3	28.3	6.84	6.8	86.5	86.3	2.52	2.6	7.8	7.8	<2	2.0
(impact)	10.12	0.22	28.3	20.0	6.77	0.0	86.1	00.0	2.74	2.0	7.8	7.0	<2	2.0
W2 (Impact)	9:00	<1	29.6	29.6	7.85	7.9	80	80.0	4.7	4.7	8.16	8.2	2.6	2.6
··· (·····puot)	7.00	, , ,	29.6	27.0	7.85 7.26	77	80	00.0	4.7	,	8.16	0.2	2.6	2.0
W3 (control)	15:42	15:42 0.29 27.2 27.2				7.2	91.5 91.1	91.3	4.67 4.92	4.8	8.2 8.2	8.2	<2 <2	2.0
W4 (impact)	15:27	0.18	27 27	27.0	7.23 7.35 7.31	7.3	92	91.9	2.65	2.8	8.3 8.3	8.3	<2 <2	2.0

Date	6-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		mg/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	p	Н	SS(m	ıg/L)
W1 - ebb (impact)	10:58	0.36	28.3 28.3	28.3	8.1 8.07	8.1	103.2 102.6	102.9	3.37 3.25	3.3	7.3 7.3	7.3	4	4.0
W1- flood (impact)	16:46	0.41	27.9 27.9	27.9	8.29 8.24	8.3	104.5 103.1	103.8	3.93 3.92	3.9	7.5 7.4	7.5	4	4.0
W2 (Impact)	11:45	<1	29.1 29.1	29.1	8.14 8.14	8.1	85 85	85.0	6.5 6.5	6.5	7.85 7.85	7.9	14 14	14.0
W3 (control)	17:09	0.39	27.3 27.4	27.4	7.92 7.85	7.9	98 97.1	97.6	2.56 2.97	2.8	8.8 8.8	8.8	4	4.0
W4 (impact)	16:57	0.36	27.8 27.8	27.8	8.37 8.34	8.4	103.6 102.8	103.2	2.41 2.67	2.5	8.6 8.6	8.6	2	2.0

Date	8-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		ng/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	p	Н	SS(m	g/L)
W1 - ebb (impact)	11:44	0.39	28.3 28.3	28.3	7.15 7.18	7.2	89.7 90.2	90.0	8.81 8.77	8.8	7.3 7.3	7.3	12 12	12.0
W1- flood (impact)	17:26	0.44	27.1 27.1	27.1	7.43 7.5	7.5	94.2 95.1	94.7	10.2 10.1	10.2	7.4 7.4	7.4	13 13	13.0
W2 (Impact)	13:25	<1	29.1 29.1	29.1	7.56 7.56	7.6	73 73	73.0	5.7 5.7	5.7	8.17 8.17	8.2	3.4 3.4	3.4
W3 (control)	17:57	0.42	26.4 26.4	26.4	7.37 7.51	7.4	91.7 92.8	92.3	1.71 1.9	1.8	8.5 8.5	8.5	4	4.0
W4 (impact)	17:41	0.38	26.2 26.2	26.2	7.73 7.7	7.7	95.6 94.9	95.3	3.18 3	3.1	8.6 8.6	8.6	5 5	5.0

Date	10-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		ng/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	p	Н	SS(m	g/L)
W1 - ebb (impact)	13:57	0.36	29.2 29.2	29.2	6.25 6.15	6.2	81.1 79.7	80.4	2.61 2.88	2.7	7.7	7.7	4	4.0
W1- flood (impact)	9:22	0.40	28.8 28.8	28.8	6.33 6.21	6.3	82.3 80.8	81.6	4.6 4.84	4.7	7.4 7.4	7.4	4	4.0
W2 (Impact)	14:55	<1	29.3 29.3	29.3	6.91 6.91	6.9	73 73	73.0	4.1 4.1	4.1	7.65 7.65	7.7	2.3	2.3
W3 (control)	14:19	0.36	25.9 25.9	25.9	7.18 7.13	7.2	88.5 87.7	88.1	1.94 1.77	1.9	8.8 8.8	8.8	<2 <2	2.0
W4 (impact)	14:38	0.30	25.4 25.4	25.4	7.45 7.31	7.4	90.8 89.2	90.0	2.69 2.61	2.7	8.5 8.5	8.5	<2 <2	2.0

Date	13-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		ng/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	p	Н	SS(m	g/L)
W1 - ebb	15:44	0.41	28.9	28.9	6.63	6.7	86.1	86.8	4.37	4.5	7.7	7.7	8	8.0
(impact)	15.44	0.41	28.9	20.7	6.74	0.7	87.4	00.0	4.59	4.5	7.7	7.7	8	0.0
W1- flood	10:36	0.35	29.2	29.2	6.59	6.6	85.1	84.8	6.54	6.7	7.3	7.3	8	8.0
(impact)	10.30	0.33	29.2	29.2	6.53	0.0	84.4	04.0	6.77	0.7	7.3	7.3	8	6.0
W2 (Impact)	16:00	<1	28.9	28.9	7.25	7.3	72	72.0	6.9	6.9	8.03	8.0	1.9	1.9
vvz (IIIIpact)	10.00	< 1	28.9	20.9	7.25	7.3	72	72.0	6.9	0.9	8.03	6.0	1.9	1.9
W3 (control)	16:11	0.34	26.6	26.6	6.91	6.9	86	86.2	2.99	2.9	8.7	8.7	<2	2.0
W3 (COILLOI)	10.11	0.34	26.6	20.0	6.95	0.9	86.3	00.2	2.75	2.9	8.7	0.7	<2	2.0
W4 (impact)	16:32	0.27	26.2	26.2	7.41	7.4	90.3	89.8	3.57	3.5	8.4	8.4	<2	2.0
W4 (IIIIpact)	10.32	0.27	26.2	20.2	7.33	7.4	89.3	07.0	3.41	3.0	8.4	0.4	<2	2.0

Date	15-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		mg/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	p	Н	SS(m	ıg/L)
W1 - ebb (impact)	9:39	0.36	25.7 25.7	25.7	6.9 6.64	6.8	83.7 80.4	82.1	2.27	2.3	7.8 7.8	7.8	<2 <2	2.0
W1- flood (impact)	17:02	0.40	25.2 25.2	25.2	6.25	6.2	75.7 74.3	75.0	2.67	2.6	7.6 7.6	7.6	3	3.0
W2 (Impact)	16:00	<1	28.4 28.4	28.4	8.36 8.36	8.4	85 85	85.0	4.8 4.8	4.8	8.25 8.25	8.3	3.4 3.4	3.4
W3 (control)	17:21	0.32	24.9 24.9	24.9	7.71 7.56	7.6	93.1 90.6	91.9	1.01 0.99	1.0	8.3 8.3	8.3	<2 <2	2.0
W4 (impact)	17:49	0.24	24.7 24.7	24.7	7.73 7.89	7.8	92.2 94.3	93.3	2.49	2.4	8.4 8.4	8.4	<2 <2	2.0

## **AUES**

#### DSD Contract No. DC/2010/02 Contract No. - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

Location					DO (r	mg/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	р	Н	SS(m	g/L)
W1 (impact)					Action	7.27	Action	n/a	Action	4.77	Action	n/a	Action	9.73
wi (iiiipact)					Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	5.26	Limit	n/a	Limit	10.77
W2 (impact)					Action	7.26	Action	n/a	Action	2.46	Action	n/a	Action	8.89
WZ (IIIIpact)		Action/ Limi	t Level		Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	3.42	Limit	n/a	Limit	9.75
W3 (control)					n	/a	n.	/a	n.	/a	n	/a	n/	а
W4 (impact)					Action	9.27	Action	n/a	Action	3.32	Action	n/a	Action	6.98
· · · (paot)					Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	4.52	Limit	n/a	Limit	7.66
Date	17-Oct-14	17-Oct-14												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pН		SS(mg/L)	
W1 - ebb	9:47	0.36	27.9	27.9	6.76	6.8	80.4	81.0	2.51	2.5	7.6	7.7	<2	2.0
(impact)	9.47	0.30	27.9	21.9	6.87	0.0	81.6	61.0	2.44	2.5	7.7	7.7	<2	2.0
W1- flood	15:39	0.40	28.5	28.5	6.69	6.6	79.2	78.5	3.05	3.1	7.4	7.4	<2	2.0
(impact)	13.37	0.40	28.5	20.5	6.43	0.0	77.7	70.5	3.18	5.1	7.4	7.4	<2	2.0
W2 (Impact)	9:00	<1	27.8	27.8	7.63	7.6	78	78.0	5.1	5.1	7.87	7.9	3.7	3.7
WZ (IIIIpact)	7.00	` '	27.8	27.0	7.63	7.0	78	70.0	5.1	0.1	7.87	7.7	3.7	5.7
W3 (control)	15:57	15:57 0.33 26.7 26.7			7.22	7.3	85.9	87.0	1.98	2.0	8	8.0	<2	2.0
(2011.101)		2.00	26.7		7.43		88.1	2.10	2.03	0	8		<2	=.0
W4 (impact)	16:14	0.25	26.4	26.4	7.04	7.1	84	84.7	2.41	2.4	8.2	8.2	<2	2.0
· · · (ipaot)		2.20	26.4		7.19		85.3		2.33		8.2		<2	

Date	20-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		y (NTU)	pН		SS(mg/L)	
W1 - ebb (impact)	10:14	0.36	29.2 29.2	29.2	5.99 5.92	6.0	78.4 77.3	77.9	4.63 4.41	4.5	8.2 8.2	8.2	4	4.0
W1- flood (impact)	16:39	0.42	30.5 30.5	30.5	6.13 6.07	6.1	81.8 80.9	81.4	3.3 3.13	3.2	7.7 7.7	7.7	4	4.0
W2 (Impact)	11:35	<1	29.3 29.3	29.3	7.45 7.45	7.5	75 75	75.0	4.4 4.4	4.4	7.68 7.68	7.7	3.8 3.8	3.8
W3 (control)	16:47	0.34	28 28	28.0	6.87 6.94	6.9	87.2 88.4	87.8	2.18 2.19	2.2	8.8 8.8	8.8	3	3.0
W4 (impact)	17:03	0.28	27.5 27.5	27.5	6.73 6.88	6.8	85.3 87.1	86.2	2.94 2.84	2.9	8.7 8.7	8.7	3	3.0

Date	22-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		y (NTU)	pН		SS(mg/L)	
W1 - ebb (impact)	11:21	0.37	28.7 28.7	28.7	6.27	6.3	76.4 76.9	76.7	3.33 3.21	3.3	6.5	6.5	<2 <2	2.0
W1- flood (impact)	17:33	0.42	28.3 28.3	28.3	6.69 6.47	6.6	81.2 80.6	80.9	2.08	2.0	6.3	6.3	<2 <2	2.0
W2 (Impact)	12:55	<1	29.6 29.6	29.6	7.63 7.63	7.6	78 78	78.0	5.8 5.8	5.8	8.34 8.34	8.3	2.9 2.9	2.9
W3 (control)	17:49	0.29	26.4 26.4	26.4	7.03 7.1	7.1	85.8 86.2	86.0	7.18 7.22	7.2	7.1 7.1	7.1	<2 <2	2.0
W4 (impact)	18:07	0.34	26.1 26.1	26.1	7.18 7.11	7.1	87.7 86.8	87.3	7.5 7.45	7.5	6.9 6.9	6.9	<2 <2	2.0

Date	24-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pН		ıg/L)
W1 - ebb (impact)	13:21	0.38	27.3 27.3	27.3	6.45 6.6	6.5	79.4 81.8	80.6	1.55 1.43	1.5	6.2	6.2	6	6.0
W1- flood (impact)	17:19	0.44	26.1 26.1	26.1	5.96 5.79	5.9	73.7 71.6	72.7	4.62 4.47	4.5	6	6.0	6	6.0
W2 (Impact)	14:00	<1	26.4 26.4	26.4	7.58 7.58	7.6	75 75	75.0	4.8 4.8	4.8	8.31 8.31	8.3	6.4 6.4	6.4
W3 (control)	17:37	0.24	25.4 25.4	25.4	6.93 6.84	6.9	84.3 83.2	83.8	1.71 1.84	1.8	8.9 8.9	8.9	2	2.0
W4 (impact)	17:53	0.33	24.9 24.9	24.9	7.47 7.51	7.5	90.1 90.3	90.2	2.18 2.28	2.2	8.5 8.5	8.5	4	4.0

Date	27-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pН		g/L)
W1 - ebb	15:07	0.37	28.5	28.5	7.03	7.0	90.5	89.1	1.43	1.5	7.5	7.5	5	5.0
(impact)	13.07	0.37	28.5	20.5	6.92	7.0	87.6	07.1	1.51	1.5	7.5	7.5	5	5.0
W1- flood	9:18	0.41	27.4	27.4	6.84	6.8	86.5	85.8	3.65	3.4	7.1	7.1	6	6.0
(impact)	9.10	0.41	27.4	27.4	6.7	0.0	85.1	00.0	3.2	3.4	7.1	7.1	6	0.0
W2 (Impact)	16:00	<1	28.5	28.5	7.58	7.6	71	71.0	4	4.0	8.15	8.2	3.9	3.9
vvz (IIIIpact)	10.00	< 1	28.5	20.3	7.58	7.0	71	71.0	4	4.0	8.15	0.2	3.9	3.9
W3 (control)	15:31	0.28	28.2	28.2	7.37	7.3	94.4	92.9	1.55	1.5	7.5	7.5	6	6.0
W3 (COILLOI)	13.31	0.20	28.2	20.2	7.15	7.3	91.4	92.9	1.47	1.5	7.5	7.5	6	0.0
W4 (impact)	15:44	0.34	27.9	27.9	7.62	7.7	97.4	98.0	1.94	2.0	7.7	7.7	7	7.0
W4 (IIIIpact)	13.44	0.34	27.9	21.9	7.74	7.7	98.5	90.0	2.08	2.0	7.7	7.7	7	7.0

Date	29-Oct-14													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pН		ıg/L)
W1 - ebb	16:08	0.35	24.8	24.8	7.24	7.2	89.1	88.9	7.27	7.2	6.9	6.9	9	9.0
(impact)	10.00	0.55	24.7	24.0	7.18	7.2	88.6	00.7	7.18	7.2	6.9	0.7	9	7.0
W1- flood	10:34	0.41	27	27.0	7.31	7.3	92.6	91.7	6.66	6.5	6.3	6.3	9	9.0
(impact)	10.34	0.41	27	27.0	7.31	7.3	90.8	71.7	6.3	0.5	6.3	0.3	9	7.0
W2 (Impact)	16:00	<1	27.6	27.6	6.98	7.0	70	70.0	5.3	5.3	7.86	7.9	5.6	5.6
wz (iiipaci)	10.00	<u> </u>	27.6	27.0	6.98	7.0	70	70.0	5.3	5.5	7.86	7.7	5.6	5.0
W3 (control)	16:22	0.36	24.6	24.6	7.04	7.0	85.3	85.1	3.31	3.4	7.1	7.1	4	4.0
ws (control)	10.22	0.30	24.6	24.0	7.01	7.0	84.8	65.1	3.55	3.4	7.1	7.1	4	4.0
M/4 (immost)	14.47	0.27	24.7	24.7	6.81	4.0	81.9	01.4	2.69	2.4	7.2	7.2	4	4.0
W4 (impact)	16:47	0.27	24.7	24.7	6.76	6.8	81.3	81.6	2.45	2.6	7.2	7.2	4	4.0

#### DSD Contract No. DC/2010/02 Contract No. - Drainage Improvement in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results

## **AUES**

Location					DO (r	ng/L)	DO	(%)	Turbidit	y (NTU)	pН		SS(m	g/L)
M/1 (impost)					Action	7.27	Action	n/a	Action	4.77	Action	n/a	Action	9.73
W1 (impact)					Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	5.26	Limit	n/a	Limit	10.77
M2 (improst)					Action	7.26	Action	n/a	Action	2.46	Action	n/a	Action	8.89
W2 (impact)		Action/Limi	t Level		Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	3.42	Limit	n/a	Limit	9.75
W3 (control)					n	/a	n	/a	n.	/a	n	/a	n/a	
W4 (impact)					Action	9.27	Action	n/a	Action	3.32	Action	n/a	Action	6.98
W4 (Impact)					Limit	4	Limit	n/a	Limit	4.52	Limit	n/a	Limit	7.66
Date	31-Oct-14	Oct-14												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp	(oC)	DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pН		SS(mg/L)	
W1 - ebb	9:07	0.24	26.8	26.8	7	7.0	93.4	93.8	2.25	2.2	5.5	5.5	10	10.0
(impact)	9:07	0.34	26.8	20.8	7.07	7.0	94.1	93.8	2.05	2.2	5.4	5.5	10	10.0
W1- flood	14:15	0.40	31.3	31.3	6.98	6.9	94.2	93.6	3.41	3.3	5.8	5.8	6	6.0
(impact)	14.15	0.40	31.3	31.3	6.85	0.9	92.9	73.0	3.25	3.3	5.8	5.0	6	0.0
W2 (Impact)	16:00	<1	28.1	28.1	7.01	7.0	70	70.0	4.5	4.5	7.78	7.8	1.9	1.9
vvz (IIIIpact)	10.00	<u> </u>	28.1	20.1	7.01	7.0	70	70.0	4.5	4.5	7.78	7.0	1.9	1.7
W3 (control)	14:29	0.36	28.1	28.1	7.47	7.4	95.5	94.8	2.89	2.8	6.6	6.6	<2	2.0
W5 (control)	14.27	0.50	28.1	20.1	7.39	7.4	94.1	74.0	2.61	2.0	6.6	0.0	<2	2.0
W4 (impact)	14:42	0.33	27.4	27.4	7.84	7.8	99.4	98.9	2.56	2.6	6.5	6.5	<2	2.0
vv4 (iiiipact)	14.42	0.33	27.4	21.4	7.78	7.0	98.3	70.9	2.68	2.0	6.5	0.5	<2	2.0




## Appendix J


Graphical Plots of Impact Monitoring – Noise, Water Quality and Hydrological Characteristics




**Graphic Plot – Construction Noise** 



Remark: The monitoring is undertaken under façade situation. No façade correction is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.




Remark: The monitoring is undertaken under façade situation. No façade correction is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.




Remark: The monitoring is undertaken under free field situation. A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines.






Remark: The monitoring is undertaken under free field situation. A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines





Remark: The monitoring is undertaken under free field situation. A façade correction of +3 dB(A) has been added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines




#### **Graphic Plot – Water Quality**
















#### **Graphic Plot** – Hydrological Characteristics (Water Depth)








#### **Graphic Plot – Hydrological Characteristics (Water Flow Rate)**







# Appendix K

**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table** 

# Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

				Total -	Feb-14 Mar-14 Apr-14 Apr-14 May-14 Jun-14 Jul-14 Aug-14 Sep-14 Oct-14 Nov-14						Jan-14	Month							
23	(in'000m³)	Total Quantity Generated		6.456			0.680	0.000	2.187	0.461	1.776	0.333	0.333	0.036	0.215	0.435	(in'000m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Quantity Generated	
1	(in'000m³)	Hand Rock and Large Broken Concrete										ı	t	r	ı	ı	(in 000m³)	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Actual Quant
10	(in'000m³)	Reused in the Contract										t	t	·t	4	1	(in'000m²)	Reused in the Contract	ities of Inert C & 1
0	(in'000m³)	Reused in other Projects	Forecast of Total	1								t	t	t	3	1	(in'000m³)	Reused in other Projects	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly
10	(in'000m³)	Disposed as Public Fill	Quantities of C &	6.456			0.680	0.000	2.187	0.461	1.776	0.333	0.333	0.036	0.215	0.435	(in'000m²)	Disposed as Public Fill	ated Monthly
2	(in'000m³)	Imported Fill	Forecast of Total Quantities of C & D Materials to be Generated from	ı								. 1	•	-	-	1	(in'000m³)	Imported Fill	
5	(in'000kg)	Metals		•								٠	1	1	ı	ı	(in'000kg)	Metals	
2	(in'000kg)	Paper/cardboard packaging	the Contract									J	ı	t	1	t	(in'000kg)	Paper/cardboard packaging	Actual Quantities
1	(in'000kg)	Plastics (see note 3)		•								1	-	-	ŀ	1	(in'000kg)	Plastics (see note 3)	of C & D Wastes
1	(in'000kg)	Chemical Waste										1	,	,	ı	-	(in'000kg)	Chemical Waste	Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly
3	(in'000m³)	Others, e.g. general refuse		0.015				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.015	(in'000m³)	Others, e.g. general refuse	

Notes:

3003

The performance targets are given in PS Clause 26.23(14).

The waste flow table shall also include C & D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for used at the Sites. Plastics refer to plastics bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

with the PS Clause 25.20A(4) The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance

Summary Table for Work Processes or Activities Requiring Timber for Temporary Works

Contract Title: Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

	7	6	5	4	ب.	7	>	<b>,</b>			Item No.	
اد								Formwork for concreting		ACTIVITY (see note (a) below)	Description of Works Process or	- J
Total estimated Quantity of timber Used								Easy handle by manpower		Temporary Construction Works	Justifications for Using Timber in	
2.5								2.5	naca (TIT)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Est Quantities of Timber   Actual Quantities	
								1.3	usea (m.)	,	Actual Quantities	
										Remarks		

Notes:

<u>છ</u>

9

The contractor shall list out all the work items requiring timber for use in temporary construction works. Several minor work items may be grouped into one for ease of updating

The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance with the PS Clause 25.20A(5)



### **Appendix** L

Monthly Landscape & Visual Inspection Report

### Contract No. DC/2010/02 Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (October 2014)
(Issue 1)

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV-SW

Date: November 2014



## Contract No. DC/2010/02 Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (October 2014)

(Issue 1)

November 2014

	Name	Signature
Prepared by:	Тгасу НО	Tracy ho
Reviewed by:	lda YU	Edayh
Date:	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2014	_

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV-SW

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

### **CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2	SCOPE OF MONITORING	. 1
	LANDSCAPE & VISUAL MONITORING RESULTS	
4	AUDIT SCHEDUI F	5

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A – Photographs



Contract No. DC/2010/02 Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

### 1 INTRODUCTION

- of EP-303/2008 and the monitoring requirements in accordance with Section 7 of the approved updated EM&A Manual (approved by EPD on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2012) of the Project. A Baseline Review on updating the landscape and visual condition, and the mitigation measures of the Project (including Contracts 1 and 2 of the Project) was undertaken before the commencement of the Project. The review findings were updated in the Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report submitted to the EPD on 14<sup>th</sup> February 2011.
- 1.1.2 This monthly monitoring report will detail the scope of landscape and visual monitoring work, monitoring findings and observations, and any recommendation and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures in the works areas under Contract 2 of the Project.

### 2 SCOPE OF MONITORING

### 2.1 Monitoring objectives

2.1.1 Landscape and Visual Monitoring of the Project should be conducted on a bi-weekly basis for checking the design, implementation and maintenance of the landscape and visual mitigation measures throughout the construction phase and in a quarterly basis during operational phase of the Project. Observations of any potential conflicts between the proposed mitigation measures and the project works carried out by the Contractors should be recorded. Recommendation and advice on proper implementation of the landscape mitigation measures should be provided to the Contractor for minimizing any potential impacts on the landscape and visual elements.

### 2.2 Monitoring during Construction Phase

- 2.2.1 The following landscape and visual mitigation measures should be implemented during the construction phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:
  - Visual Screen Use of hoardings as visual screens for the construction in the works areas;
  - Contaminant/ Sediment Control Use of temporary barriers, covers and drainage
    provision around the construction works as contaminant/ sediment control to prevent
    the contaminants and sediments from entering the sensitive water-based habitats;
  - Pollution Control Implementation of pollution control measures to minimize any adverse environmental impacts to the surrounding habitats;
  - Liaison with Nursery (Not relevant to Contract 2 of the Project) Liaison with the
    nursery operator as necessary to minimize any adverse impact to the daily operation
    and plant holding capacity of the nursery;
  - Existing Trees within Works Area Maintenance and protection of the existing trees, especially their crowns, trunks and roots, within work sites; and
  - Construction Light Provision of construction light should be controlled at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove.



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

### 2.3 Monitoring during Operational Phase

- 2.3.1 The following landscape and visual mitigation measures should be implemented during the operational phase of the project to minimize the potential impacts:
  - Viewing area formation by planting with shrubs, grasses and benches along the area;
  - Architectural design of the pump house will help it fit into the existing suburban, natural
    to semi-natural surroundings (Not relevant to Contract 2 of the Project);
  - Landscape design of pump house by providing sufficient planting around its boundary fence (Not relevant to Contract 2 of the Project);
  - Enhancement planting along Tung Tsz Road with shrubs/ trees of suitable species to help protect the stream and marshes;
  - Construction of box culvert should be with at least 1.0m soil depth for enhancement planting;
  - Transplanting of existing affected trees to adjacent locations should be carried out;
  - Preparation for transplanting is needed to allow sufficient time for root pruning and rootball preparation prior to transplanting; and
  - Reinstatement of affected area should be carried out to check that the works areas are properly reinstated.

### 3 LANDSCAPE & VISUAL MONITORING RESULTS

### 3.1 Monitoring Date(s)

- 3.1.1 This monthly Landscape and Visual Monitoring (October 2014) was conducted to cover only areas of Contract 2 of the Project (i.e. the construction of a twin-cell box culvert close to Shuen Wan Conservation Area and Wai Ha River along Tung Tsz Road, and a drainage pipe near Wai Ha Village). The bi-weekly monitoring was conducted on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> October 2014.
- 3.1.2 All photos stated in this section are recorded in **Appendix A**.

### 3.2 Visual Screen

3.2.1 No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for September 2014*. The recommendations listed in Report for September 2014 are reminders for good site practices to be implemented by the Contractor throughout the construction phase.

### **Observation**

3.2.2 Temporary hoardings, in the form of construction barriers, have been erected from west to east parts along Tung Tsz Road from the opposite side of Wai Ha to the opposite side of San Tau Kwok. The construction site along the access road from Tung Tsz Road towards Treasure Spot Garden II has also been demarcated with temporary construction barriers. Another section of temporary hoardings previously erected next to the path outside Treasure Spot Garden II was removed with the completion of the drainage work as observed on 31<sup>st</sup> October 2014. **Photos 1-2** show the views of the erected hoardings along the works area under Contract 2.



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

- Almost all construction works for building the box culverts in the works area along Tung Tsz Road opposite to Wai Ha, next to Wai Ha River and next to the rehabilitation wetland have been completed, leaving comparatively minor civil work continued along the path leading from Tung Tsz Road to Treasure Spot Garden II (Photos 3-5). Hydroseeding was applied in the works area along Tung Tsz Road, and planting of compensatory trees was continued in October 2014 and all trees were planted as observed on 31<sup>st</sup> October 2014 (Photos 6-8).
- 3.2.4 The temporary parking area was still maintained at the end of the access path to Treasure Spot Garden Phase II (Photo 9). The untagged leaning tree was still guyed at the edge of the area within a Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) (Photo 10).
- 3.2.5 As reported in the previous *Monthly EM&A Reports*, dumping on the Taro field located along the path towards the Treasure Spot Garden was observed and a paved area created for parking next to the retained tree groups (T088 T091) has been found since November 2012. In October 2013, the path to Treasure Spot Garden II was expanded towards the Taro field due to the reprovision of vehicular access road as requested by the villagers during the works at the entrance of the Treasure Spot Garden.
- 3.2.6 Construction works have been stopped at the end of the Treasure Spot Garden II near the retained tree T103 and the works area was surrounded by temporary construction barriers and chain-link fence (Photo 11).
- 3.2.7 As reported in the previous submitted Monthly EM&A Reports, a fenced area has been seen on the field next to the construction site along the access to Treasure Spot Garden since March 2014 (Photo 12). The area was still surrounded by chain-link fence and a sign on the gate stated that it was a private land. This area was not fenced by the construction works related to the current project as reported by the Contractor.
- 3.2.8 No hoardings have been erected along the rest of the proposed works area since neither construction works nor any associated preparation works have been commenced.

### **Recommendations**

- 3.2.9 No specific recommendation is required in regard to the observations made in August 2014. However, with regard to the previous dumping incident by other parties on the Taro field near the Treasure Spot Garden, the Contractor is recommended to check the site condition regularly to avoid any extent of dumping or paving of area within the project boundary throughout the construction phase.
- 3.2.10 For good site practices, the Contractor should also make sure there are no piled rocks, construction materials or programmed construction works influencing the existing trees within the Project Area or the wetland rehabilitation area throughout the construction phase. Otherwise, the Contractor should request the on-site workers to remove those piled rocks or construction materials. As a reminder, the Contractor should keep all construction works within the Project Boundary.

### 3.3 Contaminant/ Sediment Control

3.3.1 No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for September 2014*. The recommendations listed in Report for September 2014 are reminders for good site practices to be implemented by the Contractor throughout the construction phase.

### **Observation**



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

- As observed during the inspections in October 2014, major construction works in Contract 2 works area were completed, leaving minor civil works in areas close to Treasure Spot Garden II and some next to Wai Ha. No more muddy water was released from the works area. The river water was generally clear (Photos 13-16).
- 3.3.3 In October 2014, no water from the nearby box culvert and the works area opposite to Wai Ha was released to the area near the expanded works area next to the previous collapsed tree T190 (*Ficus hispida*).

### Recommendations

- 3.3.4 For good site practice, the Contractor is suggested to conduct regular checking to ensure no direct discharge or leakage of contaminants or any polluted fluid into the adjacent Wai Ha River and the nearby Shuen Wan marsh. The Contractor should maintain regular check (e.g. daily) on the sedimentation and filtration facilities and appropriate sedimentation beds and/or tanks throughout the construction phase (e.g. check the function of the sedimentation beds and remove surplus sand and gravels deposited along the beds or within the tanks) to make sure all discharged water was filtered appropriately prior to any discharge.
- 3.3.5 If any construction works were resumed, the Contractor should have ad hoc inspection and emergency measures for any accidental spillage of polluted fluid, contaminants or grease from the construction sites. To prevent the impact of the unclear discharge on the nearby vegetated area, it is suggested to overlay PVC liners along the site edge and remove any surplus sand and gravels deposited in the beds and tank even some parts of the construction works may be completed at this stage.

### 3.4 Pollution Control

3.4.1 No follow-up action by the Contractor is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for September 2014*. The recommendations listed in Report for September 2014 are reminders for good site practices to be implemented by the Contractor throughout the construction phase.

### **Observation**

- 3.4.2 Major construction works in Contract 2 works area were completed as observed in October 2014, leaving comparatively minor civil works conducted in area near Treasure Spot Garden II and some next to Wai Ha. No more muddy water has been released from the works area. The river water was generally clear (Photos 13-15).
- 3.4.3 No direct water discharge into the upper stream of Wai Ha River was observed as all major construction works in Contract 2 works area have been completed **(Photo 16)**.

### Recommendations

3.4.4 For good site practice, the Contractor should prevent any contaminant and sediment from entering the sensitive water-based habitats (i.e. Shuen Wan marsh and Wai Ha River) and implement pollution control measures to minimize any adverse environmental impacts to the water body throughout the construction phase. The Contractor should maintain appropriate sedimentation beds and/or tanks throughout the construction phase. The Contractor should adopt a good site practice in maintaining appropriate sedimentation beds and filtration tanks as recommended in the above Section for Contaminant/ Sediment Control. Muddy water pumped from the works area should be filtered appropriately through sedimentation beds or other filtration system prior to the discharge.



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

3.4.5 The Contractor should have *ad hoc* inspection and emergency measures for any accidental spillage of polluted fluid, contaminants or grease from the construction sites. It is also recommended to overlay PVC liners along the site edge and remove any surplus sand and gravels deposited in the beds and tank so as to prevent the impact of the unclear discharge on the nearby vegetated area. Moreover, the Contractor should restrict and control the works areas where cement mortar has to be applied, and avoid spraying the cement mortar and chemical fluids on vegetation and waterbody throughout the construction phase.

### 3.5 Liaison with Nursery

3.5.1 The construction undertaken within Tung Tsz Nursery is restricted under Contract 1 of the Project. This monitoring item is not applicable to Contract 2 of the Project.

### 3.6 Existing Trees within Works Areas

3.6.1 Individual trees retained within the active works area have been protected within TPZs. The protection measures (such as the establishment of TPZs) generally follow the recommendations stated in the *Monthly EM&A Report for September 2014*. Particular observations are highlighted in the following paragraphs.

### Observation

- 3.6.2 Most trees which are proposed to be retained within the Project Area were recorded generally in fair health condition and some of the retained trees and their canopies have been naturally covered by invasive climbers spreading from the adjacent natural habitats outside the project boundary.
- 3.6.3 As stated in Section 3.2, a TPZ was set up with orange construction nets to protect the untagged leaning tree from the newly formed temporary parking area at Treasure Spot Garden Phase II (Photo 10).
- 3.6.4 As reported in the submitted Reports, the retained trees T167 (*Litsea monopetala*) and T168 (*Celtis sinensis*) were topped after the vegetation clearance in the surrounding works area in November 2013. Both of them have been monitored and both were in fairly poor health condition with vigorous development of epicormics along trunks or branches (**Photo 17**). Tree canopies of T167 and T168 were formed by these watersprouts. Excavated soil, which was remained in previous civil works, was still found piling close to their trunk flares but the nearby construction work has been stopped as mentioned in Sections 3.3 and 3.4.
- Temporary storage of construction materials close to the trunk flares of T093 and T094 (both *Litsea cubeba*) was removed in June 2014 in accordance with the recommendation listed in the submitted *Monthly EM&A Reports*. The previously discharged cement mortar on the soil has been covered by ground vegetation as inspected since August 2014 (Photos 18-19). The tree health of T093 has been declining since June 2014. As observed in October 2014, no foliage was observed on the tree canopy, and the developed watersprouts found on the tree trunk appeared weak and dry. Cracked tree bark was noted along the tree trunk and branches, and sign of termite infestation was noted on the lower tree trunk (Photos 20-22). The Contractor was contacted immediately and tree removal is recommended its hazard to the targets within the tree fall zone of T093.
- 3.6.6 Construction works at the end of the Treasure Spot Garden have been stopped since July 2014 and minor civil work would be resumed in the coming months based on the information from the Contractor. As observed in October 2014, some previously piled excavated soil and rocks



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

were removed from the trunk flare of T103 (Photo 23), but minor construction materials (such as wooden plates) were still found close to the root flare. According to the information from the Contractor, the construction materials would be removed soon, while any stockpiled stones nearby the trunk flare of T103 would be removed once the civil work to be completed a few months later.

- 3.6.7 Sheet piling works were conducted within the tree root zone of a retained tree T025 (*Celtis sinensis*) in June 2013. Due to the close proximity of the erected sheet piles to the tree, root damage by previous sheet piling works was anticipated. The tree was also over-pruned in June 2013. It had been temporarily guyed by strings so as to provide additional support to the tree until September 2014. As observed on 17<sup>th</sup> October 2014, the guying was removed, but the tree was quite stable at its location. The condition of the tree has been closely monitored and it was in fair health condition (**Photo 24**).
- 3.6.8 Concrete pavement, which was applied for additional parking area for the villagers, was still observed close to the root flare of the tree group T089-091. One wooden pallet stored by the local villagers was still observed in September 2014 (Photo 25).
- 3.6.9 Excavation work was previously noted between T153 and T155. No further excavation work around these two trees was noted after April 2014, and the surrounding soil ground has been subsequently covered by herbaceous vegetation (Photo 26). Both trees were stable when inspected in October 2014.
- 3.6.10 Excavation work was noted close to the tree group T181-T183 in May 2014. According to the information by the Contractor, such excavation work was carried out by a third party to extend the access path adjacent to this tree group. Excavated soil was noted piling around their trunk flares, while the orange construction nets covering the three trees were removed. These trees have been surrounded by some stones to demarcate the tree group area since May 2014 (Photo 27). Exposed and pruned roots (roots pruned by the third party) were previously noted on T183.
- 3.6.11 Another two untagged trees (*Cleistocalyx nervosum* and *Macaranga tanarius* var. *tomentosa*) near the tree group T181-T183 but outside the Project boundary were also affected by the excavation work previously conducted by a party other than the Contractor of this Project. Such observation was reported in the submitted reports.
- 3.6.12 As reported in *Monthly EM&A Report for September 2014*, some trees within the tree group "T086-087 and T104" and tree group "T021-023; T026-027 and T029" were collapsed possibly due to the typhoon Kalmaegi hoisted in mid-September 2014. All of the collapsed trees are *Leucaena leucocephala* and no tree tags were found on them. The collapsed trees might include both our inspected tree as well as those trees not under our monitoring. As inspected on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2014, the broken branches of individual trees found in these tree groups were removed and piled in the understory by the Contractor (Photos 28-29). Similarly, a main branch of T092 broken after the typhoon was also removed as observed (Photo 30).
- 3.6.13 All compensatory trees were planted as observed on 31<sup>st</sup> October 2014 **(Photos 6-8)**. Transplantation stock and poor health condition were noted on some trees, but planted trees *Hibiscus tiliaceus*, *Celtis sinensis* and *Ficus virens* were in generally fair condition.
- 3.6.14 No significant signs of damage on other existing tree crowns, trunks and roots resulting from the construction works were observed in this monthly monitoring.



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

3.6.15 As Area C under Contract 1 of the Project has been formally handed over to AFCD for management and maintenance since October 2012, no access into the ECA is allowed. Two transplanted shrubs of *Pavetta hongkongensis* (PH-01 and PH-03) were inspected through the fence of Tung Tsz Nursery. PH01 has remained in satisfactory condition (Photo 31). The previously cut PH03 (cut by a third party who maintain the ECA in July 2014) appeared to resprout as inspected in September 2014 (Photo 32).

### **Recommendations**

- 3.6.16 Within the active works area, maintenance of TPZs for the retained trees and recently planted compensatory trees should be maintained. Trunk bases of all retained trees and planted compensatory trees should be kept clear, with no stockpiled soil, construction equipments and rubbish allowed around the trunk bases and within the TPZs. If necessary, these retained trees shall be watered regularly to maintain their health, while all planted compensatory trees should be watered regularly by the appointed landscape contractor (e.g. at least three times per week during dry season). All fallen trees or tree parts of the existing trees maintained within the works area of Contract No. DC/2010/02 should be removed if they pose imminent hazards to the people/property or cause obstruction to the traffic. Any broken tree parts still attached to the trees could be pruned appropriately to prevent their potential hazard to the public and property.
- 3.6.17 Disturbance is prohibited in all TPZs. In any practical circumstances, the contractor should follow Section 8 of Annex 4 of the approved Landscape Plan for protecting the existing trees from any potential damages resulting from the construction works. In addition, the Contractor and the Project Proponent should have routine inspection on any tree remedial works conducted by other party on the trees within the Project Area.
- 3.6.18 For the retained tree T103, if practical, it is recommended to remove the overgrown climbers on the tree canopy so as to reduce the crown load supported by this tree. The Contractor should have close monitoring of the stability and health condition of this tree. In addition, the Contractor should remove the remaining stones or construction materials that have been piled close to the trunk flare as soon as possible, and all stockpiled materials should be removed away from the tree once the civil work would be completed in a few months later.
- 3.6.19 With regard to the previous tree topping incident on the retained trees (such as T088, T089, T167 and T168), as well as T118 and T093 in which the civil work was undertaken close to the tree trunk or other tree parts as reported previously, and potentially damage the tree roots, the Contractor is reminded to monitor all trees protected within the project boundary regularly. The Contractor should also be aware of any potential damage on the trees by other contractor(s) undertaking construction work concurrently. In addition, the Contractor should design and programme the civil works by taking into consideration of providing adequate buffer zone between the tree dripline and the civil work. No spreading of cement mortar within the tree root zone is allowed, and any cement mortar spread immediately around the trunk flares should be removed immediately. These routine tree inspection and site maintenance should be carried out throughout the construction phase.
- 3.6.20 Tree topping (like the case for T025, T167 and T168 reported previously) should be prohibited and the Contractor should appoint qualified landscape contractor to perform appropriate pruning practice. The pruning works should follow any local, national or international standards for pruning works and relevant tree remedial works. Given that the tree roots of T025 could be damaged by previous sheet piling works and the topped tree exists with unbalanced tree form, the long-term tree stability and health condition should be checked after the removal of the guying as observed in October 2014. The Contractor should have



Drainage Improvement Works in Shuen Wan and Shek Wu Wai

Bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring

Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

close monitoring of tree stability with regard to its unbalanced tree form and health condition. Meanwhile, the Contractor and sub-contractor should carefully design the civil works. Any coming civil works should be programmed and designed carefully by taking tree buffer zone into consideration. The works should avoid affecting the tree canopy, trunk and underground root zone with regard to tree dripline as far as possible.

- 3.6.21 With regard to poor health and structural condition of a tree T093 and its tree fall zone may influence the public using the access path leading to Treasure Spot Garden II, the Contractor is recommended to remove the whole tree as soon as possible so as to remove the risk of whole tree failure influencing the targets.
- 3.6.22 As the concrete paved temporary parking area at Treasure Spot Garden Phase II was close to the untagged tree, the roots may be damaged and hence the stability of the tree would be affected. The tree may also be damaged by the parking vehicles. Therefore, the Contractor is advised to provide better tree protection measures such as increasing the buffer zone between the parking area and the trees and establish a warning sign to remind the driver to beware of the presence of trees within the tree protection zone. The health and stability of the tree should also be monitored by the Contractor regularly throughout the construction phase.
- 3.6.23 As temporary storage of construction materials were once noted within the dripline areas of T103 and T119-122, the Contractor is advised to establish proper Tree Protection Zone (e.g. an area of at least 1m from tree trunks) and prohibit any construction works and storage of construction materials within and close to the zone throughout the construction phase.
- 3.6.24 As there were excavation works (either by the Project or by the third party) close to T118 as observed in February 2014, between T153 and T155 as observed in April 2014, close to T181, T182, T183 and two untagged trees as observed in May 2014, the Contractor should have close inspection of the stability and health condition of these trees. In addition, for the recent excavation work around tree group of T181-T183 conducted by the third party, the Contractor should regularly check the status of these trees and have close liaison with the third party for maintaining appropriate tree protection during the works.

### 3.7 Construction Light

3.7.1 No follow-up action on maintenance of construction light is required as from the *Monthly EM&A Report for September 2014*.

### Observation

3.7.2 No construction light impact to the surrounding villages and to Plover Cove as all construction activities and construction sites are halted at 1800. No construction light at night is provided by the Contractor.

### Recommendation

3.7.3 No specific recommendation is required.

### 4 AUDIT SCHEDULE

4.1.1 The next bi-weekly Landscape & Visual Monitoring in November 2014 is scheduled to be conducted in the weeks of 10<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> November 2014.



Job Ref.: 09/317/161D KLKJV -SW

EM&A (Landscape & Visual) Report (Oct 2014) (Issue 1)

### Appendix A Photographs





**Photo 1** – Temporary hoardings have been erected along Tung Tsz Road opposite to Wai Ha.



**Photo 2** – Temporary hoardings have been erected along the access road from Tung Tsz Road to Treasure Spot Garden II.



**Photo 3** – No active construction works was carried out next to Wai Ha River.



**Photo 4** – No construction work was conducted in an extensive area opposite to Treasure Spot Garden II, and the area was naturally vegetated with grass.



**Photo 5** – No construction work was conducted in area opposite to Wai Ha.



**Photo 6** – Compensatory trees were planted in an area opposite to San Tau Kok.



**Photo 7** – Compensatory trees were planted opposite to the entrance of Treasure Spot Garden II.



**Photo 8** – Compensatory trees were planted in area opposite to Wai Ha.



**Photo 9** – Temporary parking area has still been maintained at the end of the access path to Treasure Spot Garden Phase II.



**Photo 10** – The untagged tree (indicated by Red arrow) was guyed at the edge of the parking area within a Tree Protection Zone demarcated by orange construction nets (indicated by Blue arrow).



**Photo 11** – Construction works have been stopped at the end of the Treasure Spot Garden II near the retained tree T103 and it was surrounded by temporary construction barriers.



**Photo 12** – A fenced area has been seen on the field next to the construction site along the access to Treasure Spot Garden II since March 2014.



**Photo 13** – The lower portion of Wai Ha River was clear



**Photo 14** - The river water was clear in the upper stream near the tree group T119-T122.



**Photo 15** – The river water in the upper stream was clear near the tree group T107-T117.



**Photo 16** – No direct water discharge into the upper stream of Wai Ha River was observed as all major construction works were completed.



**Photo 17** – Topped trees T167 (indicated by Red arrow) and T168 (indicated by Blue arrow) were in poor health condition with vigorous development of epicormics.



**Photo 18** – T093 (Red arrow) and T094 (Blue arrow) on the slope. T093 shows significant defoliation and poor health condition.





**Photo 19** – The previously discharged cement mortar on the soil has been covered by ground vegetation.



**Photo 20** – Close view of the tree canopy of T093, with significant defoliation.



**Photo 21** – Close up view of the tree trunk of T093.



**Photo 22** – Close up view of the cracked tree bark and termite infestation along the lower tree trunk of T093.



**Photo 23** – Some previously piled excavated soil and rocks were removed from the trunk flare of T103, but minor wooden plates were still found.



**Photo 24** – The guying on the retained tree T025 was removed after mid-October 2014.



**Photo 25** – A wooden pallet was still stored by the local villager next to the tree group T089-T091.



**Photo 26** – Excavation work was noted previously between T153 and T155 in April 2014, and exposed roots were noted on these two trees. Surrounding ground was covered by vegetation.



**Photo 27** – Excavation work was noted very close to the tree group T181-T183 in May 2014. These trees have been surrounded by some stones to demarcate the tree group area by the villagers.



**Photo 28** – Some broken branches of individual trees in the tree group "T021-023; T026-027 and T029" were removed by the Contractor as observed on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2014.



**Photo 29** – Some broken branches of individual trees in the tree group "T086-087 and T104" were removed by the Contractor as observed on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2014.



**Photo 30** – The fallen main branch and twigs from T092 were removed as observed on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2014.



**Photo 31** – The transplanted shrub of *Pavetta hongkongensis* (PH01) in Area C under Contract 1 has remained in satisfactory condition.



**Photo 32** – The previously cut shrub *Pavetta hongkongensis* (PH-03) regenerated.



### Appendix M

### **Ecological Monitoring Report in Area** under Contract 2

(Not Use)