# **Highways Department**

Agreement No. CE 20/2009 (EP)

Environmental Team for the Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling

(Stage 1)
Between Island House Interchange and
Tai Hang - Investigation

# Monthly EM&A Report for May 2011

[06/2011]

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Ryan Wong	Ryan Wong
Reviewed & Approved:	Y T Tang	agitalis

Version:	Rev. 0	Date:	13 June 2011

#### **Disclaimer**

This report is prepared for Highways Department and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to Environmental Team for the Widening of Tolo Highway/Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling (Stage 1) Between Island House Interchange and Tai Hang - Investigation and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than Highways Department without our prior written consent. No person (other than Highways Department) into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this report without our express written consent and Highways Department may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

11/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 3105 8686 Fax: (852) 2317 7609 www.aecom.com



Our ref AFK/TK/st/T264022/22.01/L-0073

**T** 2828 5919

terence.kong@mottmac.com.hk

Your ref

Hyder Consulting Limited 47/F Hopewell Centre, 183 Queen's Road East, Wanchai, Hong Kong.

> 14 June 2011 By Fax (2805 5028) and Post

Attn.: Mr. Tony Wong

Dear Sir,

Widening of Tolo Highway between
Island House Interchange and Tai Hang
Environmental Permit No.: EP-324/2008
Condition 3.3 – Submission of Monthly EM&A Report for May 2011 (Stage 1)

We refer to the Monthly EM&A Report received on 10 and 13 June 2011 submitted by ET via email. Pursuant to EP Condition 3.3, I hereby verify the Monthly EM&A Report for May 2011 (Stage 1) for the Project.

Yours faithfully

for MOTT MACDONALD HONG KONG LIMITED

Terence Kong

Independent Environmental Checker

c.c. HyD – Mr. Raymond Yip / Mr. C K Chan / Mr. William Chiang (Fax: 2761 4864)


ETL, AECOM – Mr. Y T Tang (Fax: 2891 0305)

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Page
EXE	CUTI	IVE SUMMARY	1
	Rep	orting Change	1
1	INTF	RODUCTION	3
2	AIR	QUALITY MONITORING	6
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	Monitoring Requirements Monitoring Equipment Monitoring Locations Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration Monitoring Methodology Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month Monitoring Results Results and Observations	6 6 7 7 8 9
3	NOIS	SE MONITORING	10
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Monitoring Requirements Monitoring Equipment Monitoring Locations Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration Monitoring Methodology Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month Monitoring Results	10 10 10 11 11 12 12
4	ENV	IRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	13
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	Site Inspection Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status Environmental Licenses and Permits Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures Summary of Exceedances of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	13 14 14 16 16 16
5	FUT	URE KEY ISSUES	16
	5.1 5.2 5.3	Construction Programme for the Coming Months Key Issues for the Coming Month Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	16 17 17
6	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	18
	6.1 6.2	Conclusions Recommendations	18 18

## **List of Tables**

Table 1.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Locations of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Table 2.3	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 2.5	Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 4.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status





Agreement No. CE 20/2009 (EP) Environmental Team for the Widening of Tolo Highway between Island House Interchange and Tai Hang - Investigation

## **Figures**

Figure 1.1 General Project Layout Plan
Figure 2.1 Location of EM&A Monitoring Stations
Figure 4.1 Environmental Complaint Handling Procedures

## **List of Appendix**

Appendix A Appendix B	Project Organization Structure Construction Programme
Appendix C	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix D	Summary of Action and Limit Levels
Appendix E	Calibration Certificates of Equipments
Appendix F	EM&A Monitoring Schedules
Appendix G	Air Quality Monitoring Results
Appendix H	Meteorological Data for the Reporting Month
Appendix I	Noise Monitoring Results
Appendix J	Event Action Plan
Appendix K	Site Inspection Summary
Appendix L	Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

A=COM

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The proposed widening of Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Fanling (the Project) is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO) and is governed by an Environmental Permit (EP-324/2008)(EP). The Project aims to widen Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway to dual 4-lane carriageway in order to alleviate the current traffic congestion problems and to cope with the increasing transport demands to and from the urban areas and also cross boundary traffic.

The construction works for this Project will be delivered in 2 stages i.e. Stage 1 (between Island House Interchange and Tai Hang) and Stage 2 (between Tai Hang and Wo Hop Shek Interchange). The construction works of Stage 1 were commenced on 23 November 2009 and will tentatively be completed in December 2013; while construction programme of Stage 2 is currently under review. This report focuses on Stage 1 of the Project only.

The construction phase of Stage 1 under the EP commenced on 23 November 2009.

The EM&A programme for Stage 1 of the Project commenced on 23 November 2009. The impact environmental monitoring and audit includes air quality and noise monitoring.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted in the period between 1 and 31 May 2011. As informed by the Contract 1 Contractor (China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd.), construction activities in the reporting period were:

- Temporary shoring, sheetpiling and excavation;
- Bored piling;
- Pre-bored H-piles construction
- Pipe pile wall construction;
- Pile cap construction;
- Bridge construction;
- Tree felling and transplanting of trees;
- Installation of soil nails;
- At-grade road construction;
- Demolition of central dividers;
- Retaining wall construction; and
- Slope works.

The construction works carried out by the Contract 2 Contractor (Gammon Construction Ltd.) in the reporting period were:

- Excavation of trial trenches to locate existing utilities:
- Ground investigation and predrilling;
- Construction of haul road;
- Extension of box culvert and subway;
- Piling and structural works of bridges:
- Construction of Pilecap / Spread footing of Noise Barrier / Semi Noise Enclosure;
- Slope works, including installation of soil nails;
- Entrusted watermains works;
- Retaining wall construction;
- Noise barrier construction;
- Modification of existing bridge structures; and
- Sewer installation.

## **Reporting Change**

There was no reporting change required in the reporting month.



## **Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality**

No exceedance of Action and Limit Level was recorded for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring in the reporting month.

#### **Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise**

No Action/Limit Level exceedance of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month.

#### Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No environmental complaint was followed up by Environmental Team in May 2011.

No notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.

## **Future Key Issues**

Key issues to be considered in the coming month included:

- Properly store and label oils and chemicals on site;
- Chemical and waste management;
- Collection of construction waste should be carried out regularly:
- Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
- Suppress dust generated from excavation and drilling activities, and haul road traffic;
- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used;
- Closely check and replace the sound insulation materials wrapped at the concrete breaker tip regularly;
- Better scheduling of construction works to minimize noise nuisance; and
- Properly maintain all drainage facilities on site.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. **Background**

- 1.1.1. Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway are expressways in the North East New Territories connecting Sha Tin, Tai Po and Fanling. These highways form a vital part of the strategic Route 9, which links Hong Kong Island to Shenzhen. At present, this section of Route 9 is dual 3-lane carriageway. However, at several major interchanges along this section of Route 9, the highway is only dual-2 lane. Severe congestion is a frequent occurrence during peak periods, particularly in the Kowloon bound direction.
- The objective of the Project "Widening of Tolo Highway / Fanling Highway between Island House 1.1.2. Interchange and Fanling" is to widen Tolo Highway and Fanling Highway to dual 4-lane carriageway in order to alleviate the current traffic congestion problems and to cope with the increasing transport demands to and from the urban areas and also cross boundary traffic.
- 1.1.3. The Project is a designated project and is governed by an Environmental Permit (EP-324/2008)(EP).
- 1.1.4. The scope of the Project comprises mainly:
  - Widening of a 5.7 km section of Tolo Highway and 3.0 km section of Fanling Highway between Island House Interchange and Wo Hop Shek Interchange from the existing dual 3-lane to dual 4lane, including construction of new vehicular bridges;
  - Widening of interchange sections at Island House Interchange, Tai Po North Interchange, and Lam Kam Road Interchange from dual 2-lane to dual 3-lane, except Sha Tin bound carriageway at Tai Po North Interchange, which is widened from 3-lane to 4-lane, including realignment of various slip
  - (iii) Modification and reconstruction of highways, vehicular bridges, underpasses and footbridges.
- 1.1.5. The construction works for this Project will be delivered in 2 stages i.e. Stage 1 (between Island House Interchange and Tai Hang) and Stage 2 (between Tai Hang and Wo Hop Shek Interchange). The construction works of Stage 1 commenced on 23 November 2009 and will tentatively be completed in December 2013; while construction programme of Stage 2 is currently under review. This report focuses on Stage 1 of the Project only.
- The construction works for Stage 1 of the Project will be implemented under 2 works contracts (Contract 1 and Contract 2). Contract 1 covers the section of Tolo Highway between Island House Interchange and Ma Wo, Contract 2 covers the section of Tolo Highway between Ma Wo and Tai Hang.
- Hyder-Arup-Black and Veatch Joint Venture (HABVJV) are appointed by Highways Department as the consultants for the design and construction assignment for the Tolo project under Agreement No. CE 58/2000 Supplementary Agreement No. 3 (SA3) (i.e. the Engineer for the Contracts).
- 1.1.8. China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd. (CSHK) was commissioned as the Contractor of Contract 1 of Stage 1 of the Project, while Gammon Construction Limited (GCL) was commissioned as the Contractor of Contract 2 of Stage 1 of the Project.
- AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. was employed by Highways Department as the Environmental Team to undertake the EM&A works for Stage 1 of the Project and Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd. acts as the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for the Contracts.
- 1.1.10. The construction phase of Stage 1 under the EP commenced on 23 November 2009.
- 1.1.11. According to the updated EM&A Manual of Stage 1 of the Project, there is a need of an EM&A programme including air quality and noise monitoring. The EM&A programme for Stage 1 of the Project commenced on 23 November 2009.



## 1.2. Scope of Report

1.2.1. This is the nineteenth monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report under the Agreement No. CE 20/2009 (EP) - Widening of Tolo Highway between Island House Interchange and Tai Hang – Investigation. This report presents a summary of the environmental monitoring and audit works, list of activities and mitigation measures proposed by the ET for Stage 1 of the Project in May 2011.

## 1.3. Project Organization

1.3.1. The project organization is shown in Appendix A. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
ER of Stage 1, Contract 1	Chief Resident Engineer	Lauran Tanan	0000 0707	00074000
(Hyder-Arup-Black & Veatch Joint Venture)	/TOLO1	James Tsang	9038 8797	26674000
ER of Stage 1, Contract 2				
(Hyder-Arup-Black & Veatch Joint Venture)	Chief Resident Engineer /TOLO2	Paul Appleton	9097 5833	2653 2348
IEC of Stage 1	Independent			
(Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited)	Environmental Checker	Terence Kong	g 2828 5919 2827 1823	2827 1823
Contractor of Stage 1, Contract 1 Site Agent		David Lau	9499 0818	2667 5666
(China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd.)	Environmental Officer	Michael Tsang	9277 4956	2667 5666
Contractor of Site Agent Stage 1, Contract 2		Edmond Chan	9483 8885	2559 3410
(Gammon Construction Ltd.)	Environmental Officer	Ir Thomson Chang	9213 6569	2559 3410
ET of Stage 1 (AECOM)  ET Leader		Y T Tang	2893 1551	2891 0305

#### 1.4. Summary of Construction Works

- 1.4.1. The construction phase of Stage 1 under the EP commenced on 23 November 2009.
- 1.4.2. Details of the construction works carried out by the Contract 1 Contractor (China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Ltd.) in this reporting period are listed below:

- Temporary shoring, sheetpiling and excavation;
- Bored piling;
- Pre-bored H-piles construction
- Pipe pile wall construction:
- Pile cap construction;
- Bridge construction;
- Tree felling and transplanting of trees;
- Installation of soil nails;
- At-grade road construction:
- Demolition of central dividers:
- Retaining wall construction; and
- Slope works.
- 1.4.3. Details of the construction works carried out by the Contract 2 Contractor (Gammon Construction Ltd.) in this reporting period are listed below:
  - Excavation of trial trenches to locate existing utilities;
  - Ground investigation and predrilling;
  - Construction of haul road:
  - Extension of box culvert and subway:
  - Piling and structural works of bridges;
  - Construction of Pilecap / Spread footing of Noise Barrier / Semi Noise Enclosure;
  - Slope works, including installation of soil nails;
  - Entrusted watermains works:
  - Retaining wall construction;
  - Noise barrier construction;
  - Modification of existing bridge structures; and
  - Sewer installation.
- 1.4.4. The Construction Programmes are shown in Appendix B.
- 1.4.5. The general layout plan of the Project site showing the contract areas is shown in Figure 1.1.
- 1.4.6. The mitigation measures implementation schedule are presented in Appendix C.

## 1.5. Summary of EM&A Programme Requirements

- 1.5.1. The EM&A programme required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, waste management, ecology, and landscape and visual impact. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:
  - All monitoring parameters;
  - Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming months;
  - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
  - Event / Action Plan:
  - Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA study final report; and
  - Environmental requirement in contract documents.



## 2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## 2.1 Monitoring Requirements

2.1.1 In accordance with the updated EM&A Manual, baseline 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels at 4 air quality monitoring stations were established. Impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted for at least three times every 6 days, while impact 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out for at least once every 6 days. The Action and Limit level of the air quality monitoring is provided in Appendix D.

## 2.2 Monitoring Equipment

2.2.1 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at each designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements of the updated EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meters were used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. Brand and model of the equipment is given in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP)	Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (Model No. LD-3)
High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP)	Tisch Total Suspended Particulate Mass Flow Controlled High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. TE-5170)

## 2.3 Monitoring Locations

- 2.3.1 Monitoring locations AM2 and AM3 were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with updated EM&A Manual.
- 2.3.2 However, for monitoring locations: Dynasty View and Tai Po Garden, proposed in the updated EM&A Manual, as approval could not be obtained from the owner's corporation of the premises, baseline and impact air quality monitoring was conducted at 13 Ha Wun Yiu (AM1) and Tai Kwong Secondary School (AM4) respectively. The monitoring station at 13 Ha Wun Yiu (AM1) was relocated to Fan Sin Temple, 3 Sheung Wun Yiu (AM1A) in February 2010.
- 2.3.3 Figure 2.1 shows the locations of monitoring stations. Table 2.2 describes the details of the monitoring stations.

Table 2.2 Locations of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Description	
AM1A	3 Sheung Wun Yiu	Ground floor at the boundary outside Fan Sin Temple	
AM2	12 Shan Tong New Village	Ground floor outside the premises	
AM3	Riverain Bayside	Roof of the switch room	
AM4	Tai Kwong Secondary School	Roof of the school	

## 2.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

2.4.1 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact TSP monitoring.

Table 2.3 Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency and Duration
1-hour TSP	Three times every 6 days while the highest dust impact was expected
24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days

## 2.5 Monitoring Methodology

## 2.5.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- (a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.
  - (i) A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
  - (ii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
  - (iii) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
  - (iv) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
  - (v) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
  - (vi) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring stations.
  - (vii) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the samplers.
  - (viii) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
  - (ix) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
  - (x) Flow control accuracy was kept within ±2.5% deviation over 24-hour sampling period.

## (b) Preparation of Filter Papers

- (i) Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

## (c) Field Monitoring

- (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminum strip.
- (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.



- (ix) On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the updated EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
- (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
- (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- (xv) It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.

## (d) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- (ii) 5-point calibration of the HVS was conducted using TE-5025A Calibration Kit prior to the commencement of baseline monitoring. Bi-monthly 5-point calibration of the HVS will be carried out during impact monitoring.
- (iii) Calibration certificate of the HVSs are provided in Appendix E.

#### 2.5.2 1-hour TSP Monitoring

## (a) Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- (i) Turn the power on.
- (ii) Close the air collecting opening cover.
- (iii) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG]
- (iv) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- (v) Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- (vi) Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- (vii) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- (viii) Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- (ix) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- (x) Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- (xi) Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.

## (b) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against a continuous particulate TEOM Monitor, Series 1400ab. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in Appendix E.
- (ii) 1-hour validation checking of the TSP meter against HVS is carried out yearly at the air quality monitoring locations.

#### 2.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

2.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring in May 2011 is provided in Appendix F.

## 2.7 Monitoring Results

2.7.1 The baseline condition of air quality in the Project site was reviewed in October and November 2009. A baseline monitoring of air quality, in terms of 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and 24-hour TSP, was carried out from 20 October 2009 to 4 November 2009 for 14 days. The baseline monitoring report was submitted by ETL and approved by the ER and the IEC on 9 November 2009. Action Levels for air quality were established and are summarized in Table 2.4, Table 2.5 and Appendix D.

#### 2.8 Results and Observations

2.8.1 The monitoring results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Table 2.4 and 2.5 respectively. Detailed air quality monitoring results are presented in Appendix G.

Table 2.4 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
AM1A	78.8	74.5 – 83.6	302.1	500
AM2	77.6	72.6 – 83.1	301.9	500
AM3	77.1	73.3 – 79.5	301.9	500
AM4	79.1	75.4 – 82.2	302.3	500

Table 2.5 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average (μg/m³)	Range (μg/m³)	Action Level (μg/m³)	Limit Level (μg/m³)
AM1A	42.0	28.5 – 48.2	176.6	260
AM2	25.4	15.6 – 39.3	178.6	260
AM3	39.7	24.6 – 48.1	193.1	260
AM4	41.7	27.0 – 63.6	198.5	260

- 2.8.2 The major dust source in the reporting period included concrete breaking, excavation activities from Stage 1 of the Project, as well as nearby traffic emissions.
- 2.8.3 All 1-hour and 24-hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Level at all monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 2.8.4 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix J.
- 2.8.5 Weather information including wind speed and wind direction is annexed in Appendix H. The information was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory Sha Tin and Tai Mei Tuk Automatic Weather Station. As some of the weather data in May 2011 from the Tai Mei Tuk Automatic Weather Station were missing, the weather data from Tai Po Automatic Weather Station in May 2011 are included in Appendix H for supplementary purpose.

## 3 NOISE MONITORING

#### 3.1 Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring was conducted for at least once per week during the construction phase of Stage 1 of the Project. The Action and Limit level of the noise monitoring is provided in Appendix D.

## 3.2 **Monitoring Equipment**

3.2.1 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Integrated Sound Level Meter	B&K (Model No. 2238)
	Rion NL-31
Acoustic Calibrator	B&K (Model No. 4231)
	Rion NC-73

## 3.3 Monitoring Locations

3.3.1 Monitoring stations NM3, NM6 and NM7 were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with updated EM&A Manual. However, for monitoring locations: Tai Po Garden (NM1), Dynasty View (NM2), Hong Kong Teachers' Association Lee Heng Kwei Secondary School (NM4) and Grand Palisades (NM5), proposed in the updated EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring was conducted at alternative monitoring locations, as approval of access could not be obtained from the owner's corporation of the premises or the principal of the education institutes. Figure 2.1 shows the locations of the monitoring stations. Table 3.2 describes the details of the monitoring stations.

Table 3.2 Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Description
NM1	Tai Kwong Secondary School	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the School
NM2	38 Ha Wun Yiu	1.2m from the ground floor free-field of the village house
NM3	Wong Shiu Chi Middle School	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the New Wing
NM4	Uptown Plaza	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of Block 4
NM5	The Paragon	1m from the exterior of the roof top façade of the club house
NM6	PLK Tin Ka Ping Primary School	1.2m ground floor free-field near the entrance
NM7	Riverain Bayside	1m from the exterior of the roof façade of the switch room

## 3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.4.1 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency and Duration		
30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. $L_{eq}$ , $L_{10}$ and $L_{90}$ would be recorded.	At least once per week		

## 3.5 Monitoring Methodology

## 3.5.1 Monitoring Procedure

- (a) Façade measurements were made at all monitoring locations, except monitoring stations NM2 and NM6.
- (b) The sound level meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground for free-field measurements at NM2 and NM6.
- (c) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (d) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
  - (i) frequency weighting: A
  - (ii) time weighting: Fast
  - (iii) time measurement:  $L_{eq(30\text{-minutes})}$  during non-restricted hours i.e. 07:00-1900 on normal weekdays;  $L_{eq(5\text{-minutes})}$  during restricted hours i.e. 19:00-23:00 and 23:00-07:00 of normal weekdays, whole day of Sundays and Public Holidays
- (e) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (f) During the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (g) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (h) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.

#### 3.5.2 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in Appendix E.

A=COM

## 3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.6.1 The schedule for environmental monitoring in May 2011 is provided in Appendix F.

## 3.7 Monitoring Results

3.7.1 The monitoring results for construction noise are summarized in Table 3.4 and the monitoring data is provided in Appendix I.

Table 3.4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average, dB(A),	Range, dB(A),	Limit Level, dB(A),
	L <sub>eg (30 mins)</sub>	L <sub>eg (30 mins)</sub>	L <sub>eg (30 mins)</sub>
NM1	63.3	61.6 – 64.9	65/70 <sup>#</sup>
NM2	63.7*	57.9 – 67.5*	75
NM3	60.7	56.5 – 64.7	65/70 <sup>#</sup>
NM4	65.8	63.5 – 66.8	75
NM5	63.5	60.2 – 65.1	75
NM6	59.4*	55.4 – 64.7*	65/70 <sup>#</sup>
NM7	57.1	54.6 – 60.2	75

<sup>\*+3</sup>dB(A) Façade correction included

- 3.7.2 No noise Action Level exceedance was recorded and no noise monitoring result exceeding the Limit Level was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 3.7.3 Major noise sources during the noise monitoring included construction activities of Stage 1 of the Project, nearby traffic noise and general school activities.
- 3.7.4 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix J.

<sup>#</sup> Limit Level of 65 dB(A) during school examination period

#### 4 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

#### 4.1 Site Inspection

- 4.1.1 Site Inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for Stage 1 of the Project. In the reporting month, 4 site inspections were carried out on 4, 12, 19 and 25 May 2011 for Contract 1 of the Project, and 4 site inspections for Contract 2 of the Project were carried out on 5, 11, 18 and 26 May 2011.
- 4.1.2 The environmental site inspection summaries are provided in Appendix K.
- 4.1.3 Particular observations during the site inspections for Contract 1 are described below:

#### Air Quality

- 4.1.4 Dark smoke was emitted from an excavator at S14. The Contractor was reminded to properly service the excavator to avoid dark smoke emission.
- 4.1.5 The haul road at Wall 4-7 was dry. The Contractor was reminded to take dust suppression measures more frequently to minimize fugitive dust generation.

#### Noise

4.1.6 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

#### Water Quality

4.1.7 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

## Chemical and Waste Management

4.1.8 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

## Landscape and Visual Impact

4.1.9 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

## Miscellaneous

- 4.1.10 Stagnant water was observed inside a waste skip at Wall 4-7. The Contractor was reminded to clear the stagnant water and take mitigation measures to prevent mosquito breeding.
- 4.1.11 Particular observations during the site inspections for Contract 2 are described below:

## Air Quality

4.1.12 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

#### Noise

4.1.13 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.



## Water Quality

- 4.1.14 The level of the concrete layer at NLKP Pier 2 had become higher than the sand bag bunding next to the u-channel. The Contractor was reminded to improve the bunding to intercept site runoff from entering the u-channel.
- 4.1.15 Exposed slope was observed near the u-channel at NLKP Pier 2. The Contractor was reminded to either cover the slope with tarpaulin or hydro-seed the slope to prevent surface runoff into the uchannel.

#### Chemical and Waste Management

4.1.16 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

## Landscape and Visual Impact

4.1.17 No adverse observation was identified in the reporting month.

#### Miscellaneous

4.1.18 Stagnant water was observed inside the waste skip at Gate 7. The Contractor was reminded to clear the stagnant was and take mitigation measures to prevent mosquito breeding.

## 4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

- 4.2.1 The Contract 1 Contractor (CSHK) and the Contract 2 Contractor (GCL) are registered as chemical waste producers for Stage 1 of the Project. C&D material sorting was carried out on site. Sufficient numbers of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.
- 4.2.2 As advised by the Contract 1 Contractor (CSHK), 54m3 of inert C&D material was disposed as public fill to Tuen Mun 38, while 254m3 of general refuse were disposed at NENT landfill, 9942kg of metal, 177kg of paper/cardboard and 22kg of plastic were collected by recycling contractor in the reporting month. 6421m3 and 13750m3 of inert C&D materials were reused on site and reused in NENT for backfilling purpose respectively in the reporting period.
- 4.2.3 As advised by the Contract 2 Contractor (GCL), 495m³ of inert C&D material were disposed to Tuen Mun 38 and 110m³ of general refuse was disposed to NENT landfill in the reporting period.
- 4.2.4 The Contract 1 Contractor (CSHK) and the Contract 2 Contractor (GCL) are advised to maintain on site waste sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D wastes.

## 4.3 Environmental Licenses and Permits

4.3.1 The environmental licenses and permits for Stage 1 of the Project and valid in the reporting month is summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Statutory Reference	License/ Permit	License or Permit No.	Valid Period		License/ Permit	Remarks
11010101100			From	То	Holder	
EIAO	Environmental Permit	EP-324/2008	23/12/2008	N/A	HyD	Tolo Highway/Fanling Hignway between Island House Interchange and



						Ma Wo
WPCO	Discharge License (Office)	WT00005096- 2009	03/12/2009	31/12/2014	CSHK	Discharge at Site Office
	Discharge License (Site)	WT00005445- 2009	15/12/2009	31/12/2014	CSHK	Discharge of Construction Runoff
	Discharge License (Office)	WT00006782- 2010	25/06/2010	30/06/2015	GCL	Discharge at Site Office
	Discharge License (Site)	WT00007162- 2010	09/08/2010	31/07/2015	GCL	Discharge of Construction Runoff
WDO	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-727- C3249-46	25/09/2009	N/A	CSHK	Chemical waste produced in Contract HY/2008/09
		5213-722- G2347-18	18/05/2010	N/A	GCL	Chemical waste produced in Contract HY/2009/08
	Billing Account for Disposal of	7009328	08/09/2009	N/A	CSHK	Waste disposal in Contract HY/2008/09
WDO	Construction Waste	7010320	02/03/2010	N/A	GCL	Waste disposal in Contract HY/2009/08
	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0443-10	24/12/2010	19/6/2011	CSHK	Construction of Bridges over the Shan Tong Road and Tat Wan Road
		GW-RN0026-11	26/1/2011	16/7/2011	CSHK	Construction of TB1 & TB2
		GW-RN0071-11	11/3/2011	31/5/2011	CSHK	Construction of TB1 & TB2
		GW-RN0027-11	1/2/2011	30/4/2011	CSHK	Road Maintenance
		GW-RN0096-11	1/4/2011	24/9/2011	CSHK	Works next to MTRC's Tracks
		GW-RN0102-11	10/4/2011	19/6/2011	CSHK	Loading & Unloading along Tolo Highway
NCO		GW-RN0104-11	7/4/2011	24/6/2011	CSHK	Cutting trees along Tolo Highway
		GW-RN0113-11	18/4/2011	17/10/2011	CSHK	Construction of W4-7 & LKB
		GW-RN0122-11	26/4/2011	25/10/2011	CSHK	Construction of W8 & LKB- South
		GW-RN0133-11	1/5/2011	31/7/2011	CSHK	Road maintenance
		PP-RN0028-10	10/11/2010	7/5/2011	GCL	Percussive Piling
		GW-RN0040-11	1/3/2011	31/8/2011	GCL	Entire Site
		GW-RN0098-11	1/4/2011	30/9/2011	GCL	Erection of Overhead Falsework between Piers 3
l		GW-RN0149-11	21/5/2011	11/11/11	GCL	Erection of



					Temporary Support to Falsework for Construction of Bridge 18A
	GW-RN0157-11	26/5/2011	19/11/11	GCL	Removal of sign gantries at TPTWR
	GW-RN0109-11	19/4/2011	15/10/2011	GCL	Erection / Dismantlement of Overhead Falsework and Dismantlement of Existing Sign Gantry at Tolo Highway

## 4.4 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 4.4.1 In response to the site audit findings, the Contractors carried out corrective actions.
- 4.4.2 A summary of the Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is presented in Appendix C. Most of the necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly.

## 4.5 Summary of Exceedances of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit

- 4.5.1 All 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results complied with the Action / Limit Levels in the reporting period.
- 4.5.2 For construction noise, no **Action Level exceedance** was recorded and no monitoring Limit Level exceedance was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting period.

## 4.6 Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- 4.6.1 The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is annexed in Figure 4.1.
- 4.6.2 No environmental complaint was followed up by Environmental Team in the reporting period.
- 4.6.3 No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 4.6.4 Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in Appendix L.

## 5 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

#### 5.1 Construction Programme for the Coming Months

- 5.1.1 The major construction works for Contract 1 in June 2011 will be:
  - Temporary shoring, sheetpiling and excavation;
  - Bored piling;
  - Pre-borded H-piles construction
  - Pipe pile wall construction;
  - Pile cap construction;
  - Bridge construction;
  - Tree felling and transplanting of trees;

A=COM

- Installation of soil nails:
- At-grade road construction;
- Demolition of central dividers;
- Retaining wall construction;
- Slope works; and
- Noise Barrier Footing Construction.

#### 5.1.2 The major construction works for Contract 2 in June 2011 will be:

- Excavation of trial trenches to locate existing utilities;
- Ground investigation and predrilling;
- Construction of haul road;
- Extension of box culvert and subway;
- Piling and structural works of bridges;
- Construction of Pilecap / Spread footing of Noise Barrier / Semi Noise Enclosure;
- Slope works, including installation of soil nails;
- Entrusted watermains works:
- Retaining wall construction;
- Noise barrier construction;
- Modification of existing bridge structures; and
- Sewer installation.

## 5.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

#### 5.2.1 Key issues to be considered in June 2011:

- Properly store and label oils and chemicals on site;
- Chemical and waste management;
- Collection of construction waste should be carried out regularly;
- Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
- Suppress dust generated from excavation and drilling activities, and haul road traffic;
- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used;
- Closely check and replace the sound insulation materials wrapped at the concrete breaker tip regularly:
- Better scheduling of construction works to minimize noise nuisance; and
- Properly maintain all drainage facilities on site.

## 5.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

5.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in June 2011 is provided in Appendix F.



## 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 6.1 Conclusions

- 6.1.1 The construction phase and EM&A programme of Stage 1 of the project commenced on 23 November 2009.
- 6.1.2 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting period.
- 6.1.3 All 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results complied with the Action / Limit Level.
- 6.1.4 No Action and Limit Level exceedance for noise was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 6.1.5 Environmental site inspection was carried out 8 times in May 2011. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractors for the deficiencies identified during the site audits.
- 6.1.6 No environmental complaint was followed up by Environmental Team in the reporting period.
- 6.1.7 No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.

#### 6.2 Recommendations

6.2.1 According to the environmental site inspection performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

#### Air Quality Impact

- All plants on site should be properly maintained to avoid dark smoke emission.
- All vehicles should be washed to remove any dusty materials before leaving the site.
- Haul roads should be sufficiently dampened to minimize fugitive dust generation.
- Wheel washing facilities should be properly maintained to ensure properly functioning.
- Temporary exposed slopes and open stockpiles should be properly covered.
- Enclosure should be erected for cement mixing operations.
- Ensure all vehicles to be washed before leaving the site.
- Provide water spraying to suppress fugitive dust for any dusty construction activity.

#### Construction Noise Impact

- Properly erect the temporary noise barriers in accordance with the Environmental Permit requirement.
- Noise barriers should be closely packed and properly aligned to ensure effective noise reduction.
- Noisy operations should be oriented to a direction away from sensitive receivers as far as possible.
- Sound insulation materials shall be wrapped at the breaker tip for concrete breaking works.
- Better scheduling of construction works to minimize noise nuisance.

#### Water Quality Impact

- Stagnant water accumulated in drip trays should be removed.
- Silt, debris and leaves accumulated at public drains and perimeter u-channels should be cleaned up regularly.



• Silty effluent should be treated/desilted before discharged. Untreated effluent should be prevented from entering public drain channel.

## Chemical and Waste Management

- C&D material should be sorted and removed timely.
- All plants and vehicles on site should be properly maintained to prevent oil leakage.
- Oil stains on soil surface and empty chemical containers should be cleared and disposed of as chemical waste.

## Landscape and Visual Impact

• All retained trees should be properly fenced off at the works area.

