

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

9th CONSOLIDATED MONTHLY EM&A REPORT

July 2017

Client : Civil Engineering and Development Department, HKSAR

EP No. : EP-337/2009 –
New Distributor Roads Serving the Planned Kai Tak
Development Area

Contract No. : KLN/2016/05 –
Independent Environmental Checker for
Contract No. KL/2015/02 Kai Tak Development –
Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Report No. : 0087/16/ED/0460

Prepared by : Wingo So

Reviewed by : Calvin Leung

Certified by : 
Colin Yung
Independent Environmental Checker
Materialab Consultants Limited

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT	8
3. SITE INSPECTION	11
4. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	12
5. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	13
6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	14
7. CONCLUSIONS	18

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Monthly EM&A Report For Contract No. KL/2012/02 Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at North Apron Area
Appendix B	Monthly EM&A Report For Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at North Apron Area
Appendix C	Monthly EM&A Report For Contract No. KL/2014/01 Kai Tak Development - Stage 2 Infrastructure works for Developments at Southern Part of the Former Runway
Appendix D	Monthly EM&A Report For Contract No. KL/2014/03 Kai Tak Development - Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway
Appendix E	Monthly EM&A Report For Contract No. KL/2015/02 Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- i. This is the 9th Consolidated Monthly EM&A Report which summaries the EM&A works undertaken by respective contract under EP-337/2009 within the period between 1 July and 31 July 2017.
- ii. The EP-337/2009 relevant major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarized as follow:

Contract No. KL/2010/03:

- NA (The works has been completed and no further EM&A submission is required.)

Contract No. KL/2012/02:

- Site Clearance for all possessed portion;
- Backfilling works for SW2;
- Road works at Road D1;
- Drainage works near SW3 at Prince Edward Road East footpath;
- T&C for Lift at SW2 and SW3;
- Road works at King Fuk Street; and
- Beautification work at VT1.

Contract No. KL/2012/03:

- Daily Cleaning
- Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
- Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A,
- Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
- Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
- Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
- Maintenance & Servicing Engineer' s office in Portion 9
- Rising Main installation in Pit2
- Rising Main installation in Pit 4
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit9
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit10
- Installation of drainage , UU laying works and Road works in Road D2
- Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
- UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
- Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
- Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

Contract No. KL/2014/01:

- Watermain works;
- TTA implementation at Shing Fung Road and Wang Chiu Road / Sheung Yee Road;
- Open excavation and/or ELS installation for box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, sewer and manholes;
- Construction of box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, columns, sewer and manholes; and
- Erection of falseworks for Landscaped Deck.

Contract No. KL/2014/03:

- Temporary diversion for drainage works;
- Temporary diversion for CLP cable at CH6+560;
- Temporary diversion for sewage rising main;
- Construction of temporary diversion road for Shing Cheong Road (TTA Stage 2);
- Setup of temporary barging point;
- Excavation of drainage pipe and manhole (M206 to M207);
- Seawall Modification Works;
- Construction of tunnel box structure;
- D-wall construction works;
- Guide wall construction works;
- Construction of socket H-pile;
- Pumping test for Zone 3;
- Excavation and ELS construction; and
- Installation of dewatering, observation and recharging wells.

Contract No. KL/2015/02:

- Bored piling works at Abutment A02 and Pier S15
- Excavation with installation of ELS and utilities support at Subway SW6
- Excavation for retaining wall at slip road S15
- Construction of temporary slip road and decking for TTA next to PERE
- Construction of Box Culvert B4 and B2(Wall and Top slab)
- Excavation and Construction Works for Box Culvert B5 (Base slab)
- Backfilling works at Box Culvert B3 and B4
- Sewerage works in Portion 2
- DCS pipe insulation works in Road L7
- Backfilling works of DCS pipe trench in Road D1 (Portion 6)

Breaches of the Action and Limit Levels

- iii. No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- iv. No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- v. No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for noise monitoring in the reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

- vi. No notification of summons or prosecution was received and one complaint received for Contract No. KL/2014/03 in this reporting month.

Reporting Changes

- vii. There was no reporting change in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

viii. The potential environmental impacts for the coming month and the control measures are shown in **Table I**:

Table I Summary of Key Issues for the Coming Month and Control Measures

Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
Contract No. KL/2012/02:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; • Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and • Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; • Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; • Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and • Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; • Controlling the number of plants use on site; • Regular maintenance of machines; and • Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.
Contract No. KL/2012/03:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; • Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and • Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; • Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; • Provision of site boundary bund such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and • Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; • Controlling the number of plants use on site; • Regular maintenance of machines; and • Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.
Contract No. KL/2014/01:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; • Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and • Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; • Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; • Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
	avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; Controlling the number of plants use on site; Regular maintenance of machines; and Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.
Contract No. KL/2014/03:	
Construction dust, construction noise, water quality, waste management and landscape and visual impact.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient watering of the works site with the active dust emitting activities; Limitation of the speed for vehicles on unpaved site roads; Properly cover or enclosure of the stockpiles and dusty materials; Good site practices on loading dusty materials; Providing sufficient vehicles washing facilities at every vehicle exit point; Good maintenance to the plant and equipment; Use of quieter plant and Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME); Use of acoustic fabric and noise barrier; Using the approved Non-road Mobile Machineries (NRMMS); Proper storage and handling of chemical; Appropriate desilting, oil interceptors or sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Onsite waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system; Training of the site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; Proper storage of the construction materials; Erection of decorative screen hoarding; Strictly following the Environmental Permits and Licenses; Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Reports
Contract No. KL/2015/02:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; Controlling the number of plants use on site; Regular maintenance of machines; and Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

1.1.1 The Kai Tak Development is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula of the HKSAR, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling.

1.1.2 A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to consider the key issues of air quality, noise, water quality, waste, land contamination, cultural heritage and landscape and visual impact, and identify possible mitigation measures associated with the works. EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-130/2009) was approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 4 March 2009.

1.1.3 The EP-337/2009 was issued on 23 April 2009 for the new distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak Development to the following scale and slope:

- a) Road D1 – a dual 2-lane carriageway of approximately 1.3 km long.
- b) Road D2 – a dual 3-lane carriageway of approximately 1.1 km long.
- c) Road D3 – a dual 2-lane carriageway of approximately 2.3 km long.
- d) Road D4 – a dual 2-lane carriageway of approximately 0.9 km long.

1.1.4 The Civil Engineering and Development Department HKSAR has appointed MaterialLab Consultants Limited (MCL) to undertake the role of Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for the Contract No. KL/2015/02.

1.1.5 This is the 9th Consolidated Monthly EM&A Report which summaries the EM&A works undertaken by respective contract under EP-337/2009 within the period between 1 July and 31 July 2017.

1.2 Summary of relevant Contract Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
Contract No. KL/2012/02:				
Project Proponent CEDD)	Engineer	Mr. Mike Cho	3579 2450	2369 4980
		Mr. Kelvin Chow	3579 2453	
Engineer's Representative (ARUP)	SRE	Mr. Gary Cheung	2210 6100	2210 6110
	RE	Ms. Edith Fung		
IEC (ANewR)	IEC	Mr. Adi Lee	2618 2836	3007 8648
ET (Cinotech)	ET Leader	Dr. Priscilla Choy	2151 2089	3107 1388
	Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader	Ms. Ivy Tam	2151 2090	
Main Contractor (Build King)	Project Manager	Mr. Joe Yip	2639 6290	2639 6208
	EO	Mr. Edmond Wong		
Contract No. KL/2012/03:				
Project Proponent (CEDD)	Senior Engineer	Mr. C. K. Choi	2301 1174	2301 1277
Engineer's Representative (AECOM)	SRE	Mr. John Yam	2798 0771	3013 8864
	RE	Mr. Jacky Pun		
IEC (Arcadis)	IEC	Mr. Wong Fu Nam	2911 2744	2805 5028
ET (Cinotech)	ET Leader	Dr. Priscilla Choy	2151 2089	3107 1388

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Party	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
	Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader	Ms. Ivy Tam	2151 2090	
Main Contractor (Kwan On)	Site Agent	Mr. Albert Ng	3689 7752 6146 6761 (Hotline)	3689 7726
Contract No. KL/2014/01:				
Project Proponent (CEDD)	Senior Engineer	Mr. Ronald Siu	2301 1453	2301 1277
	Engineer	Ms. Vicky Sy	2301 1207	
Engineer's Representative (AECOM)	CRE	Mr. Clive Cheng	3746 1801	2798 0783
IEC (KSMC)	IEC	Dr. C. F. Ng	2618 2166	2120 7752
ET (Cinotech)	ET Leader	Dr. Priscilla Choy	2151 2089	3107 1388
	Audit Team Leader	Ms. Ivy Tam	2151 2090	
Main Contractor (CCJV)	EO	Mr. Dennis Ho	2960 1398	2960 1399
Contract No. KL/2014/03:				
Project Proponent (CEDD)	Co-ordinator	Ms. Amy Chu	3106 3172	2369 4980
Engineer's Representative (HMJV)	CRE	Mr. Chris Wong	3742 3803	3742 3899
IEC (Ramboll Environ)	IEC	Mr. F. C. Tsang	3465 2851	3465 2899
ET (MCL)	ET Leader	Mr. Colin Yung	3565 4114	3565 4160
Main Contractor (CRBC)	Site Agent	Mr. Arnold Chan	9380 4110	2283 1689
	EO	Mr. Jacky Lai	9028 8975	
Contract No. KL/2015/02:				
Project Proponent (CEDD)	Senior Engineer	Ms. K. Pong	2301 1466	2369 4980
Engineer's Representative (AECOM)	SRE	Mr. John Yam	2798 0771	2798 0783
IEC (MCL)	IEC	Mr. Colin Yung	3565 4114	2450 8032
ET (Cinotech)	ET Leader	Dr. Priscilla Choy	2151 2089	3107 1388
	Audit Team Leader	Ms. Ivy Tam	2151 2090	
Main Contractor (PWHJV)	Site Agent	Mr. W. M. Wong	6386 3535	2398 8301

1.3 Summary of Construction Programme and Activities

1.3.1 The construction programme of each Contract is summarized in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

1.3.2 The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarized as follow:

Contract No. KL/2010/03:

- NA (The works has been completed and no further EM&A submission is required.)

Contract No. KL/2012/02:

- Site Clearance for all possessed portion;
- Backfilling works for SW2;
- Road works at Road D1;
- Drainage works near SW3 at Prince Edward Road East footpath;

- T&C for Lift at SW2 and SW3;
- Road works at King Fuk Street; and
- Beautification work at VT1.

Contract No. KL/2012/03:

- Daily Cleaning
- Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
- Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A,
- Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
- Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
- Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
- Maintenance & Servicing Engineer' s office in Portion 9
- Rising Main installation in Pit2
- Rising Main installation in Pit 4
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit9
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit10
- Installation of drainage , UU laying works and Road works in Road D2
- Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
- UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
- Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
- Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

Contract No. KL/2014/01:

- Watermain works;
- TTA implementation at Shing Fung Road and Wang Chiu Road / Sheung Yee Road;
- Open excavation and/or ELS installation for box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, sewer and manholes;
- Construction of box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, columns, sewer and manholes; and
- Erection of falseworks for Landscaped Deck.

Contract No. KL/2014/03:

- Temporary diversion for drainage works;
- Temporary diversion for CLP cable at CH6+560;
- Temporary diversion for sewage rising main;
- Construction of temporary diversion road for Shing Cheong Road (TTA Stage 2);
- Setup of temporary barging point;
- Excavation of drainage pipe and manhole (M206 to M207);
- Seawall Modification Works;
- Construction of tunnel box structure;
- D-wall construction works;
- Guide wall construction works;
- Construction of socket H-pile;
- Pumping test for Zone 3;
- Excavation and ELS construction; and
- Installation of dewatering, observation and recharging wells.

Contract No. KL/2015/02:

- Bored piling works at Abutment A02 and Pier S15
- Excavation with installation of ELS and utilities support at Subway SW6
- Excavation for retaining wall at slip road S15
- Construction of temporary slip road and decking for TTA next to PERE
- Construction of Box Culvert B4 and B2(Wall and Top slab)
- Excavation and Construction Works for Box Culvert B5 (Base slab)
- Backfilling works at Box Culvert B3 and B4
- Sewerage works in Portion 2
- DCS pipe insulation works in Road L7
- Backfilling works of DCS pipe trench in Road D1 (Portion 6)

1.4 Summary of Inter-relationship with the environmental protection/ mitigation measures with the construction programme

1.4.1 The summary of inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures are presented as follow:

Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
<u>Contract No. KL/2012/02:</u>	
Noise, dust impact, water quality and waste generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; • Properly cover the stockpiles; • On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system • Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; • Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; • Provide movable noise barrier; • Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; • Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Report/Lease requirement.
<u>Contract No. KL/2012/03:</u>	
Dust, Water Quality, Waste Management (Construction of superstructure of Pumping Station PS2 and NPS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; • Properly cover the stockpiles; • Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; • Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; and • On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system.
Dust, Noise (Backfilling between sewerage manholes 1K1_1 and FMH10_340 and construction of manhole FMH10_370a at L6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and • Properly cover the stockpiles;
Noise, Waste Management (Installation of precast unit and construction of in-situ portions of Box Culvert B6; Construction of	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and • Provide hoarding. • Good management and control on construction waste

Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
jacking pits nos. 1 and 2; Installation of gas pipe at pit no. 10; Construction of washout chamber at pit no. 11)	reduction
Noise (Construction of sewerage manhole FMH 10 at Bailey Street; Widening works of Sung Wong Toi Road.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and • Provide hoarding.
Noise, Water Quality (Pipe laying from manhole SMH2204 to Box Culvert B6; Laying of rising mains from PS2 to chainage CHA-18; Pipe laying from stormwater manholes SMH1962 to SMH1963 and construction of manholes SMH1953 and SMH1963 at L6; Installation of DCS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and • Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall.
Contract No. KL/2014/01:	
Noise, dust impact, water quality and waste generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; • Properly cover the stockpiles; • On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system • Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; • Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; • Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; • Provide mitigation measure to temporary use of chemicals; • Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Report/Lease requirement.
Contract No. KL/2014/03:	
Air Quality Impact, Construction Noise Impact, Water Quality Impact, Chemical and Waste Management, Landscape and Visual Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient watering of the works site with the active dust emitting activities; • Limitation of the speed for vehicles on unpaved site roads; • Properly cover or enclosure of the stockpiles and dusty materials; • Good site practices on loading dusty materials; • Providing sufficient vehicles washing facilities at every vehicle exit point; • Good maintenance to the plant and equipment; • Use of quieter plant and Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME); • Use of acoustic fabric and noise barrier; • Using the approved Non-road Mobile Machineries (NRMMs); • Proper storage and handling of chemical; • Appropriate desilting, oil interceptors or sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; • Onsite waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system; • Training of the site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures;

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proper storage of the construction materials;• Erection of decorative screen hoarding;• Strictly following the Environmental Permits and Licenses;• Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Reports
Contract No. KL/2015/02:	
Noise, dust impact, water quality and waste generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities;• Properly cover the stockpiles;• On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system• Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge;• Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant;• Provide movable noise barrier;• Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall;• Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Report/Lease requirement.

1.5 Summary Status of Environmental Licences, Notifications and Permits

1.5.1 A summary of the relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this EP and relevant Contract are presented in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Relevant Environmental Licenses, Permits and/or Notifications

Environmental License / Permit / Notification	Reference Number	Valid From	Valid Till
Contract No. KL/2012/02:			
Environmental Permit	EP-337/2009	23/04/2009	N/A
Effluent Discharge License	WT00016873-2013	-	31/08/2018
	WT00016723-2013	-	31/08/2018
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer	5213-286-K3022-04	-	N/A
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0472-17	10/06/2017	02/07/2017
	GW-RE0495-17	25/06/2017	13/08/2017
Contract No. KL/2012/03:			
Environmental Permit	EP-337/2009	23/04/2009	N/A
	EP-344/2009	23/04/2009	N/A
Effluent Discharge License	WT00020971-2015	22/04/2015	21/04/2020
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer	5213-286-K2958-05	-	N/A
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0149-17	29/03/2017	28/09/2017
Contract No. KL/2014/01:			
Environmental Permit	EP-337/2009	23/04/2009	N/A
	EP-445/2013/A	13/08/2009	N/A
Effluent Discharge License	WT00023634-2016	-	31/03/2021
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer	5213-247-C4004-01	-	N/A
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE1251-16	10/01/2017	08/07/2017
	GW-RE0294-17	20/04/2017	12/10/2017
Contract No. KL/2014/03:			
Environmental Permit	EP-337/2009	23/04/2009	N/A
	EP-339/2009/A	18/06/2009	N/A
	EP-451/2013	19/09/2013	N/A
Notification pursuant to Air Pollution (Construction Dust) Regulation	395601	16/11/2015	N/A
Billing Account for Waste Disposal	A/C No.: 7023814	30/11/2015	N/A
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0442-17	07/06/2017	06/12/2017
	GW-RE0560-17	15/07/2017	11/01/2018
	PP-RE0010-17	16/05/2017	15/11/2017
Wastewater Discharge License	WT00023125-2015	06/01/2016	31/01/2021
Chemical Waste Producer License	5213-247-C1232-12	23/11/2015	N/A
Contract No. KL/2015/02:			
Environmental Permit	EP-337/2009	23/04/2009	N/A
Wastewater Discharge License	WT00027495-2017	28/03/2017	31/03/2022
Billing Account for Waste Disposal	A/C No.: 7026164	20/10/2016	N/A
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0033-17	24/01/2017	05/07/2017
	GW-RE0588-17	29/07/2017	28/12/2017

2. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT

2.1 Results and Observations

Air Quality

- 2.1.1 The schedule of air quality monitoring in reporting month is provided in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.
- 2.1.2 The weather conditions during the monitoring are provided in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.
- 2.1.3 The monitoring data of 24-hr TSP and 1 hour TSP are summarized in **Table 2.1**. Detailed monitoring data are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

Table 2.1 Summary of 24-hr and 1 hour TSP Monitoring Results

Parameter	Monitoring Station	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Contract No. KL/2012/02:					
1-hr TSP	AM1(B)	88.1	69.5 – 112.5	342	500
	AM1(C)	39.5	19.3 – 54.7	342	
	AM2	56.8	19.3 – 92.7	346	
24-hr TSP	AM1(C)	30.7	17.7 – 57.5	159	260
	AM2	28.8	23.0 – 36.0	157	
Contract No. KL/2012/03:					
1-hr TSP	AM2	53.8	22.7 – 97.1	346	500
	AM3(A)	67.1	43.1 – 98.7	351	
	AM4(C)	133.3	52.2 – 314.9	371	
	AM5	122.4	36.4 – 326.9	345	
24-hr TSP	AM2	29	23 – 36	157	260
	AM3(A)	39	18 – 54	167	
	AM4(C)	18.3	13.8 – 22.5	187	
	AM5	16.4	11.0 – 25.1	156	
Contract No. KL/2014/01:					
NA (No air quality monitoring is required for the Project)					
Contract No. KL/2014/03:					
1-hr TSP	KTD1a	No complaint of air quality was received. Therefore, no impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted.			
	KTD2a				
	KER1b				
24-hr TSP	KTD1a	88	43 – 125	177	260
	KTD2a	38	20 – 106	157	
	KER1b	26	18 – 36	172	
Contract No. KL/2015/02:					
1-hr TSP	AM2	53.5	22.0 – 115.6	346	500
24-hr TSP	AM2	29	23 – 36	157	260

- 2.1.4 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.

- 2.1.5 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- 2.1.6 The monitoring data of 24-hr TSP was compared with the EIA predictions are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.
- 2.1.7 The Event and Action Plan for air quality is given in in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

Noise

- 2.1.8 The schedule of noise monitoring in reporting month is provided in in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.
- 2.1.9 The noise monitoring data are summarized in **Table 2.2**. Detailed monitoring data are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

Table 2.2 Summary of Noise Impact Monitoring Results

Monitoring Stations	Construction Noise Level Leq _(30min) dB(A) (Range)	Action Level	Limit Level dB (A)	
Contract No. KL/2012/02:				
M3	62.1 – 68.3	When one documented complaint is received	70*	
M4	75.8 – 76.2 [#]		70*	
M9	57.3 – 65.7		75	
Contract No. KL/2012/03:				
M6(A)	61.2 – 64.8		70*	
M7	61.1 – 67.2		70*	
M8	52.8 – 62.1		70*	
M9	56.4 – 65.0		75	
Contract No. KL/2014/01:				
NA (No Construction noise monitoring is required for the Project.)				NA
Contract No. KL/2014/03:				
KTD1a	66-71		75	
KTD2a	58-68		75	
KER1b	64-71		75	
Contract No. KL/2015/02:				
M3	65.3 – 67.0	70*		
M4	75.8 – 76.0 [#]	70*		
M5(C)	67.2 – 69.9	75		

(*) Noise Limit Level is 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

([#]) Measured noise level ≤ background / baseline noise level, detailed data refer to the corresponding Monthly EM&A report.

- 2.1.10 The noise monitoring data was compared with the EIA predictions are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.
- 2.1.11 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for noise monitoring in the reporting month.

2.1.12 The Event and Action Plan for noise is given in in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

Landscape and Visual

2.1.13 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the landscape and visual mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. Detailed of observations are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

3. SITE INSPECTION

3.1 Site Inspection

3.1.1 Site inspections were carried out weekly to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. The site inspection of each Contract are summarized as follow:

Contract No. KL/2012/02:

Site audits were conducted on 5, 12, 18 and 26 July 2017 in the reporting month. IEC site inspection was conducted on 18 July 2017.

Contract No. KL/2012/03:

Site audits were conducted on 6, 14, 19 and 28 July 2017 in the reporting month. IEC site inspection was conducted on 19 July 2017.

Contract No. KL/2014/01:

Site audits were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Supervising Officer and ET on 5, 12, 19 and 26 July 2017 in the reporting month. IEC joint site inspection was conducted on 26 July 2017.

Contract No. KL/2014/03:

In the reporting month, four site inspections were carried out on 6, 13, 19 and 27 July 2017. Two of them, held on 6 and 19 July 2017 were the joint inspections with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET.

Contract No. KL/2015/02:

Site audits were conducted on 7, 12, 21 and 25 July 2017 in the reporting month. A joint site audit with the representative of IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 12 July 2017.

3.1.2 Detailed of observation, recommendation of site inspections and summary of the mitigation measures implementation schedule is provided in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

4.1 Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

4.1.1 The summary of complaints, notification of summons and prosecution in the reporting month is shown as **Table 4.1**. Detailed records are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

Table 4.1 Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

Event	No. of Event This Month	Remark
Contract No. KL/2012/02:		
Complaint received	0	NA
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	NA
Contract No. KL/2012/03:		
Complaint received	0	NA
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	NA
Contract No. KL/2014/01:		
Complaint received	0	NA
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	NA
Contract No. KL/2014/03:		
Complaint received	1	Detailed refer to section 4.1.2.
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	NA
Contract No. KL/2015/02:		
Complaint received	0	NA
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	NA

4.1.2 Contract No. KL/2014/03:

A complaint received on 16 July 2017 was referred from the 1823 regarding the muddy water discharge at Kai Tak River by CEDD project.

The notification of complaint was received by ET on 27 July 2017.

5. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

5.1 Implementation Status

5.1.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, the EP and the EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the mitigation measures during the reporting month are presented in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

5.2 Waste Management

5.2.1 The amount of wastes generated of this Project during the reporting month is shown in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

6.1 Construction Programme for the Next Two Months

6.1.1 The major site activities undertaken for the coming two months are summarized in follow:

Contract No. KL/2012/02:

- Site Clearance for all possessed portion;
- Drainage works near SW3 at Prince Edward Road East footpath
- T&C for Lift at SW2 and SW3; and
- Road works at King Fuk Street.

Contract No. KL/2012/03:

- Daily Cleaning
- Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
- Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A
- Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
- Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
- Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
- Maintenance & Servicing Engineer's office in Portion 9
- Rising Main installation in Pit2
- Rising Main installation in Pit 4
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit9
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit10
- Installation of drainage , UU laying works and
- Road works in Road D2
- Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
- UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
- Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
- Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

Contract No. KL/2014/01:

- Watermain works;
- TTA implementation, Tree Transplant, Tree Felling and Junction Improvement Works at Shing Fung Road and Wang Chiu Road / Sheung Yee Road;
- Open excavation and/or ELS installation for box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, sewer and manholes;
- Construction of box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, columns, sewer and manholes; and
- Erection of falseworks for Landscaped Deck.

Contract No. KL/2014/03:

- Setup of temporary barging point;
- Drainage works (CH100 to CH240);
- Sewerage works;
- Seawall Modification Works;
- Construction of tunnel box structure;
- D-wall construction works;
- Guide wall construction works;
- Construction of socket H-pile;
- Pumping test for Zone 4;

- Excavation and ELS construction; and
- Installation of dewatering, observation and recharging wells.

Contract No. KL/2015/02:

- Bored piling works at Abutment A02 and Pier S1
- Demolition of part of existing Pier S1
- Construction of temporary slip road for TTA at PER
- Hoarding erection along PER
- Excavation for retaining wall at Slip Road S15
- Installation of monitoring instrumentation at SKLR Playground
- Construction of Box Culvert B4 (Wall and Top slab)
- Construction of Box Culvert B2 (Wall and Top slab) and backfilling works
- Construction of Box Culvert B5 (Base slab and Top slab) and backfilling works
- Backfilling works at Box Culvert B3 and B4
- Excavation works and construction works for Box Culvert B3
- Drainage works in Road L7
- Sewerage works in Portion 2 & 3
- DCS pipe laying works in Road D1 (Portion 6)

6.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

6.2.1 The potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities and the control measures are shown in **Table 6.1**:

Table 6.1 Summary of Key Issues for the Coming Month and Control Measures

Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
Contract No. KL/2012/02:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; • Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and • Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; • Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; • Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and • Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; • Controlling the number of plants use on site; • Regular maintenance of machines; and • Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.
Contract No. KL/2012/03:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; • Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and • Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; • Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; • Provision of site boundary bund such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road;

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
	and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; Controlling the number of plants use on site; Regular maintenance of machines; and Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.
Contract No. KL/2014/01:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; Controlling the number of plants use on site; Regular maintenance of machines; and Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.
Contract No. KL/2014/03:	
Construction dust, construction noise, water quality, waste management and landscape and visual impact.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient watering of the works site with the active dust emitting activities; Limitation of the speed for vehicles on unpaved site roads; Properly cover or enclosure of the stockpiles and dusty materials; Good site practices on loading dusty materials; Providing sufficient vehicles washing facilities at every vehicle exit point; Good maintenance to the plant and equipment; Use of quieter plant and Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME); Use of acoustic fabric and noise barrier; Using the approved Non-road Mobile Machineries (NRMMs); Proper storage and handling of chemical; Appropriate desilting, oil interceptors or sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Onsite waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system; Training of the site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; Proper storage of the construction materials; Erection of decorative screen hoarding; Strictly following the Environmental Permits and Licenses; Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Reports
Contract No. KL/2015/02:	
Air quality impact (dust)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and Watering of any earth moving activities.
Water quality impact (surface)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains;

Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
run-off)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge;• Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and• Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
Noise Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation;• Controlling the number of plants use on site;• Regular maintenance of machines; and• Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.

6.3 Monitoring Schedules for the Next Three Months

6.3.1 The tentative schedules for environmental monitoring in the coming three months are provided in in the appendices of the corresponding Monthly EM&A.

7. CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- 7.1.2 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hr TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- 7.1.3 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for noise monitoring in the reporting month.
- 7.1.4 No notification of summons or prosecution was received and one complaint received for Contract No. KL/2014/03 in this reporting month.
- 7.1.5 The potential environmental impacts arising from the coming two months of major construction activities and the control measures are shown in **Table 6.1**

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix A

**Monthly EM&A Report
For
Contract No. KL/2012/02
Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at North Apron Area**

Civil Engineering and Development Department


**EP-337/2009 – New Distributor Roads Serving the
Planned KTD**

**Contract No. KL/2012/02
Kai Tak Development – Stage 3A Infrastructure at
Former North Apron Area**

Monthly EM&A Report

July 2017

(version 1.0)

Approved By 
(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388
Email: info@cinotech.com.hk



Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited
L5 Festival Walk
80 Tat Chee Avenue
Kowloon Tong
Hong Kong

Your reference:

Our reference: HKCEDD04/50/104511

Date: 17 August 2017

Attention: Mr Gary Cheung / Mr Chris Lee

BY POST

Dear Sirs

Contract No.: KLN/2013/01
Independent Environmental Checker for "Contract No. KL/2012/02
Kai Tak Development – Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area"
Verification of Monthly EM&A Report for July 2017

We refer to emails of 7 and 17 August 2017 attaching a Monthly EM&A Report for July 2017 prepared by the ET.

We have no further comment and hereby verify the Report in accordance with Clause 3.3 of the Environmental Permit no. EP-337/2009.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Mr Adi Lee on 2618 2836 should you have any queries.

Yours faithfully
ANewR CONSULTING LIMITED

James Choi
Independent Environmental Checker

CPSJ/LYMA/LHHN/lhnh

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction.....	1
Environmental Monitoring Works.....	2
Environmental Licenses and Permits.....	2
Key Information in the Reporting Month	3
Future Key Issues	3
1. INTRODUCTION.....	4
Background.....	4
Project Organizations.....	4
Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month	5
Summary of EM&A Requirements	6
2. AIR QUALITY	7
Monitoring Requirements.....	7
Monitoring Locations	7
Monitoring Equipment.....	7
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	8
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure	8
Results and Observations.....	10
3. NOISE	12
Monitoring Requirements.....	12
Monitoring Locations	12
Monitoring Equipment.....	12
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	12
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures.....	13
Maintenance and Calibration.....	13
Results and Observations.....	13
4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS	16
5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL.....	18
Monitoring Requirements.....	18
Results and Observations.....	18
6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT.....	19
Site Audits	19
Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures	19
Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	19
Status of Waste Management	20
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	20
Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented	21
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	21
Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution	22
7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	23
Key Issues for the Coming Month.....	23
Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month	24

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 25
Conclusions..... 25
Recommendations..... 26

LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project
Table II	Non-compliance Recorded for the Project in the Reporting Month
Table III	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 1.2	Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures
Table 2.1	Locations for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.3	Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations
Table 3.5	Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month
Table 4.1	Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions
Table 4.2	Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions
Table 4.3	Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions
Table 6.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 6.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Layout Plan
Figure 2	Location of Air Quality Monitoring Stations under this Project
Figure 3	Location of Noise Monitoring Stations under this Project
Figure 4	Locations of Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise
B	Copies of Calibration Certificates
C	Weather Information
D	Environmental Monitoring Schedules
E	1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
F	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
G	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
H	Summary of Exceedance
I	Site Audit Summary
J	Event Action Plans
K	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
L	Summaries of Environmental Complaint, Warning, Summon and Notification of Successful Prosecution
M	Summary of Waste Generation and Disposal Records

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 46th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Ltd. for “Contract No. KL/2012/02 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area” (Hereafter referred to as “the Project”). This contract comprises one Schedule 2 designated project (DP), namely the new distributor road D1 serving the planned KTD. The DP is part of the designated project under Environmental Permit (EP) No.: EP-337/2009 (“New distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak Development”) respectively. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 – 31 July 2017.
2. With reference to the same principle of EIA report of the Project, air quality monitoring stations within 500m and noise monitoring stations within 300m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. In such regard, the relevant air quality and noise monitoring locations are tabulated in Table I (see Figure 2 and 3 for their locations).

Table I – Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project

Locations	Monitoring Stations In accordance with EM&A Manual	Alternative Monitoring Stations
Air Quality Monitoring Stations		
AM1 - Rhythm Garden	No	AM1(B)* – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) AM1(C)* – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107)
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Yes	N/A
AM6 – Site 1B4 (Planned)		N/A
Noise Monitoring Stations		
M3 – Cognitio College	Yes	N/A
M4 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Yes	N/A
M9 – Tak Long Estate	Yes	N/A
M10 – Site 1B4 (Planned)		N/A

Remark:

* Air Quality Monitoring at AM1(B) was cancelled due to the relocation and failure of electricity supply of contractor site office (KL/2012/02). 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring were relocated to AM1(C).

3. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Site Clearance for all possessed portion;
 - Backfilling works for SW2;
 - Road works at Road D1;
 - Drainage works near SW3 at Prince Edward Road East footpath;
 - T&C for Lift at SW2 and SW3;
 - Road works at King Fuk Street; and
 - Beautification work at VT1.

Environmental Monitoring Works

4. Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the monitoring results were checked and reviewed. Site Inspections/Audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
5. Summary of the non-compliance in the reporting month for the Project is tabulated in Table II.

Table II Non-compliance Recorded for the Project in the Reporting Month

Parameter	No. of Project-related Exceedance		Action Taken
	Action Level	Limit Level	
1-hr TSP	0	0	N/A
24-hr TSP	0	0	N/A
Noise	0	0	N/A

1-hour & 24-hour TSP Monitoring

6. AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) was closed due to relocation of contractor site office, 1-hour TSP monitoring was shifted and conducted at alternative location AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) with following the criteria in Section 2.2.19 of EM&A Manual since 17 July 2017. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
7. All other 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
8. 24-hour TSP monitoring at AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) was cancelled due to relocation of contractor site office with failure of electricity supply from 4 July 2017. In order to obtain the secured supply of electricity for 24-hour TSP monitoring, monitoring works were shifted and conducted at alternative location AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) with following the criteria in Section 2.2.19 of EM&A Manual since 14 July 2017. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
9. All other 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Construction Noise Monitoring

10. All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. One non-project related Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

11. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project, EP-337/2009 issued on 23 April 2009.
12. Registration of Chemical Waste Producer (License: 5213-286-K3022-04).
13. Water Discharge License (License No.: WT00016873-2013 and WT00016723-2013).

14. Construction Noise Permit (License No.: GW-RE0472-17 & GW-RE0495-17).

Key Information in the Reporting Month

15. Summary of key information in the reporting month is tabulated in Table III.

Table III Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	Event Details		Action Taken	Status	Remark
	Number	Nature			
Complaint received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Reporting Changes	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---

Future Key Issues

16. The future key environmental issues in the coming month include:

- Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
- Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
- Proper storage of construction materials on site;
- Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Accumulation of general and construction waste on site;
- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site;
- Wastewater and runoff discharge from site;
- Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along u-channels and sedimentation tanks; and
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 The Kai Tak Development (KTD) is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling. It covers a land area of about 328 hectares. Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area is one of the construction stages of KTD. It contains one Schedule 2 DP including new distributor roads serving the planned KTD. The general layout of the Project is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.2 One Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-337/2009 was also issued on 23 April 2009 for new distributor roads serving the planned KTD to Civil Engineering and Development Department as the Permit Holder.
- 1.3 A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to consider the key issues of air quality, noise, water quality, waste, land contamination, cultural heritage and landscape and visual impact, and identify possible mitigation measures associated with the works. An EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-130/2009) was approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 4 April 2009.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was commissioned by Build King Construction Ltd. (the Contractor) to undertake the role of the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. KL/2012/02 – Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area. The construction work under KL/2012/02 comprises the construction of part of the Road D1 under the EP (EP-337/2009).
- 1.5 Cinotech Consultants Limited was commissioned by Build King Construction Ltd. To undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) works for the Project. The construction commencement of this Contract was on 24th October 2013 for Road D1. This is the 46th Monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project from 1 – 31 July 2017.

Project Organizations

- 1.6 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
 - Project Proponent – Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).
 - The Engineer and the Engineer’s Representative (ER) – Ove Arup & Partners (ARUP).
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Limited (CCL)
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – ANewR Consulting Limited (ANewR)
 - Contractor – Build King Construction Ltd. (Build King)

1.7 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Party	Role	Contact Person	Position	Phone No.	Fax No.
CEDD	Project Proponent	Mr. Mike Cho / Mr. Kelvin Chow	Engineer	3579 2450 / 3579 2453	2369 4980
ARUP	Engineer's Representative	Mr. Gary Cheung	SRE	2210 6100	2210 6110
		Ms. Edith Fung	RE		
Cinotech	Environmental Team	Dr. Priscilla Choy	Environmental Team Leader	2151 2089	3107 1388
		Ms. Ivy Tam	Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader	2151 2090	
ANewR	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Adi Lee	Independent Environmental Checker	2618 2836	3007 8648
Build King	Contractor	Mr. Joe Yip	Project Manager	2639 6290	2639 6208
		Mr. Edmond Wong	Environmental Officer		

Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month

1.8 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Site Clearance for all possessed portion;
- Backfilling works for SW2;
- Road works at Road D1;
- Drainage works near SW3 at Prince Edward Road East footpath;
- T&C for Lift at SW2 and SW3;
- Road works at King Fuk Street; and
- Beautification work at VT1.

1.9 The construction programme showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures are presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures

Construction Works	Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 1.8	Noise, dust impact, water quality and waste generation	Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; Properly cover the stockpiles; On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; Provide movable noise barrier; Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall;

		Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Report/Lease requirement.
--	--	---

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.10 The EM&A programme requires construction noise monitoring, air quality monitoring, landscape and visual monitoring and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in the following sections, including:
- All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event Action Plans;
 - Environmental requirements and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EM&A Manual under the EP.
- 1.11 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.
- 1.12 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely air quality and noise levels and audit works for the Project from 1 – 31 July 2017.

2. AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 According to EM&A Manual under the EP, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring were conducted to monitor the air quality for this Project. For regular impact monitoring, a sampling frequency of at least once in every six days at all of the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every six days shall be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 2.2 Three designated monitoring stations were selected for air quality monitoring programme. Impact dust monitoring was conducted at the air quality monitoring stations, AM1(B) - Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02), AM1(C) - Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) and AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School in the reporting month. Table 2.1 describes the air quality monitoring locations, which are also depicted in **Figure 2**.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Location of Measurement
AM1(B)*	Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02)	Ground Floor Area
AM1(C)*	Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107)	Ground Floor Area
AM2	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Rooftop (about 8/F) Area
#AM6	PA 15	Site 1B4 (Planned)

Remarks: # The impact monitoring at these locations will only be carried out until existence of the sensitive receiver at the building.

*Air Quality Monitoring at AM1(B) was cancelled due to the relocation and failure of electricity supply of contractor site office (KL/2012/02). 1-hr and 24-hr TSP monitoring were relocated to AM1(C).

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.3 Table 2.2 summarizes the equipment used in the impact air monitoring programme. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
Calibrator	TISCH TE-5025A	2
1-hour TSP Dust Meter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hal Technology Hal-HPC301 • Sibata LD-3B 	6
HVS Sampler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TISCH TE-5170X • GMWS 2310 c/w of TSP sampling inlet 	8
Wind Anemometer	Davis Weather Station, Vantage Pro2	1

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 2.4 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period. The air quality monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameters	Frequency
1-hr TSP	Three times / 6 days
24-hr TSP	Once / 6 days

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Measuring Procedures

- 2.5 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.6 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the direct dust meters:

Check the meter at a 3-month interval and calibrate the meter at a 1-year interval throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

Instrumentation

- 2.7 High volume (HVS) samplers (Model TISCH TE-5170X / Model GMWS-2310 Accu-Vol) completed with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complied with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50). Moreover, the HVS also met all the requirements in section 2.5 of the updated EM&A Manual.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 2.8 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS were as follows:
- A horizontal platform was provided with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.

- A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The sampler was more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
- 2.9 Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- 2.10 For TSP sampling, fiberglass filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3µm diameter were used.
- 2.11 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly. On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 2.12 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and a weighted and conditioned filter was carefully centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- 2.13 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 2.14 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- 2.15 The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- 2.16 After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the HOKLAS laboratory (Wellab Ltd.) for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 2.17 Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.18 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
- The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking

were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.

- High volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using TE-5025A Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

Results and Observations

- 2.19 AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) was closed due to relocation of contractor site office, 1-hour TSP monitoring was shifted and conducted at alternative location AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) with following the criteria in Section 2.2.19 of EM&A Manual since 17 July 2017. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.20 All other 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.21 24-hour TSP monitoring at AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) was cancelled due to relocation of contractor site office with failure of electricity supply from 4 July 2017. In order to obtain the secured supply of electricity for 24-hour TSP monitoring, monitoring works were shifted and conducted at alternative location AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) with following the criteria in Section 2.2.19 of EM&A Manual since 14 July 2017. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.22 All other 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.23 The air temperature, precipitation and the relative humidity data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory where the wind speed and wind direction were recorded by the installed Wind Anemometer set at rooftop (about 8/F) Lee Kau Yan Memorial School. The location is shown in **Figure 4**. This weather information for the reporting month is summarized in **Appendix C**.
- 2.24 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices E and F** respectively.
- 2.25 The summary of exceedance record in reporting month is shown in **Appendix H**. No exceedance was recorded for the air quality monitoring.
- 2.26 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

Station	Major Dust Source
AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02)	Road Traffic Dust
AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107)	Exposed site area and open stockpiles Site vehicle movement
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Road Traffic Dust Exposed site area and open stockpiles Excavation works Site vehicle movement

2.27 **Table 2.4** shows the summary of air quality monitoring results during the reporting month.

Table 2.4 Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month

Parameter	Date	Concentration (µg/m ³)	Action Level, µg/m ³	Limit Level, µg/m ³
AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02)				
1-hr TSP	5-Jul-17	99.2	342	500
	5-Jul-17	106.0		
	5-Jul-17	112.5		
	11-Jul-17	69.5		
	11-Jul-17	70.3		
	11-Jul-17	70.8		
AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107)				
1-hr TSP	17-Jul-17	19.3	346	500
	17-Jul-17	23.8		
	17-Jul-17	28.3		
	21-Jul-17	54.7		
	21-Jul-17	51.9		
	21-Jul-17	54.6		
	27-Jul-17	42.3		
	27-Jul-17	42.2		
	27-Jul-17	38.8		
24-hr TSP	14-Jul-17	17.5	157	260
	20-Jul-17	18.9		
	26-Jul-17	28.2		
	31-Jul-17	57.5		
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School				
1-hr TSP	4-Jul-17	83.9	342	500
	4-Jul-17	92.7		
	4-Jul-17	81.6		
	10-Jul-17	65.5		
	10-Jul-17	64.5		
	10-Jul-17	61.0		
	15-Jul-17	38.2		
	15-Jul-17	39.4		
	15-Jul-17	40.6		
	21-Jul-17	22.7		
	21-Jul-17	20.4		
	21-Jul-17	19.3		
	27-Jul-17	73.7		
	27-Jul-17	75.0		
	27-Jul-17	73.9		
24-hr TSP	4-Jul-17	24.0	159	260
	10-Jul-17	32.0		
	15-Jul-17	23.0		
	21-Jul-17	29.0		
	27-Jul-17	36.0		

3. NOISE

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 According to EM&A Manuals under the EP, construction noise monitoring was conducted to monitor the construction noise arising from the construction activities within KTD. The regular monitoring frequency for each monitoring station shall be on a weekly basis and conduct one set of measurements between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.2 Four designated monitoring stations were selected for noise monitoring programme. Noise monitoring was conducted at three designated monitoring stations (M3, M4, M9). **Figure 3** shows the locations of these stations.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Location of Measurement
M3	Cognitio College	Rooftop (about 6/F) Area
M4	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Rooftop (about 7/F) Area
M9	Tak Long Estate	Car Park Building (about 2/F)
#M10	Site 1B4 (Planned)	-

Remarks: # The impact monitoring at these locations will only be carried out until existence of the sensitive receiver at the building.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.3 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment. Copies of calibration certificates are provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Qty.
Integrating Sound Level Meter	● SVANTEK 955 & 957	3
Calibrator	● SVANTEK SV30A ● Brüel & Kjør 4231	4

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.4 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring. The noise monitoring schedule is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Period	Frequency	Measurement
M3 M4 M9	L ₁₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.) dB(A)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Once per week	Façade

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - time measurement : 30 minutes
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₉₀ and L₁₀ were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused temporarily during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.5 The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- 3.6 The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals.
- 3.7 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

Results and Observations

- 3.8 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. One non-project related Limit Level exceedance was recorded. The summary of exceedance record in reporting month is shown in **Appendix H**.
- 3.9 The baseline noise level and the Noise Limit Level at each designated noise monitoring station are presented in **Table 3.4**.

3.10 Noise monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix G**.

3.11 The major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations are as follows:

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Major Noise Source
M3	Cognitio College	Traffic Noise Daily school activities
M4	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Traffic Noise Site vehicle movement Excavation works Piling works Daily school activities
M9	Tak Long Estate	Traffic Noise Construction works

Table 3.4 Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations

Station	Baseline Noise Level, dB (A)	Noise Limit Level, dB (A)
M3	76.3/78.6 ⁽¹⁾ (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) /	70* (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)
M4	76.7 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	
M9	59.9 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	75 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)

(*) Noise Limit Level is 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

Note (1): The baseline noise review report submitted under KLN/2013/16 for M3 was approved by EPD on 23rd August 2013. (Baseline Level was found to be 78.6 dB(A) at Rooftop of Cognitio College)

Table 3.5 Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month

Date	Measured Noise Level, Leq(30min) dB (A)	Baseline Level dB (A)	Construction Noise Level ⁽¹⁾ : Leq(30min) dB (A)
M3 – Cognitio College			
--	--	Background Noise ⁽²⁾	--
5-Jul-17	80.4	80.2	66.9
11-Jul-17	78.4	78.2	64.9
17-Jul-17	78.9	78.5	68.3
27-Jul-17	78.5	78.4	62.1
M4 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School			
4-Jul-17	75.8	76.7	75.8 Measured ≤ Baseline
10-Jul-17	75.8		75.8 Measured ≤ Baseline
21-Jul-17	76.2		76.2 Measured ≤ Baseline
27-Jul-17	76.2		76.2 Measured ≤ Baseline
M9 – Tak Long Estate			
3-Jul-17	64.0	59.9	61.9
13-Jul-17	64.7		63.0
19-Jul-17	66.7		65.7
25-Jul-17	61.8		57.3
31-Jul-17	62.8		59.7

Note (1): The noise level due to the construction work (CNL) was calculated by the following formula:

$$CNL = 10 \log (10^{MNL/10} - 10^{BNL/10})$$

Remarks: MNL = Measured Noise Level, BNL = Baseline Noise Level

(2): The background Noise Level was recorded during the Lunch Hour of Construction Site (i.e. 12:00-13:00) and to be used as the referencing value for compliance checking for Noise Action and Limit Level.

4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS

4.1 The EM&A data was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in Tables 4.1 to 4.3.

Table 4.1 Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Station	Predicted 1-hr TSP conc.		
	Scenario 1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), µg/m ³	Scenario 2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), µg/m ³	Reporting Month (July 17), µg/m ³
AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office of KL/2012/02	192	298	88.1
AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office of SCL 1107	192	298	39.5
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	290	312	56.8

Table 4.2 Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Station	Predicted 24-hr TSP conc.		
	Scenario 1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), µg/m ³	Scenario 2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), µg/m ³	Reporting Month (July 17), µg/m ³
AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office of SCL 1107	121	156	30.5
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	145	169	28.8

Table 4.3 Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions

Stations	Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels during Normal Working Hour ($L_{eq(30min)}$ dB(A))	Reporting Month (July 17), $L_{eq(30min)}$ dB(A)
M3 – Cognitio College	47 – 75	62.1 – 68.3
M4 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	47 – 74	75.8 – 76.2 ⁽²⁾
M9 – Tak Long Estate	Not Predicted in EIA Report	57.3 – 65.7

Remark:

(1) Since the background noise level recorded during 12:00 to 13:00 was higher than those recorded during the construction period, the recorded noise levels were considered non-valid exceedance of Noise Limit Level.

(2) Since the baseline noise level was higher than those recorded during the construction period, the recorded noise levels were considered non-valid exceedance of Noise Limit Level.

4.2 The 1-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below to the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

4.3 The 24-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below to the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

- 4.4 The noise data at M3 were within the range of predicted mitigated construction noise in the EIA report. While the noise data at M4 were not within the range of predicted mitigated construction noise levels in the EIA report, M4 exceeded the prediction of mitigated scenario in EIA report but did not exceed the baseline level. Mitigated construction noise levels at M9 were not predicted in EIA Report.

5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1 According to EM&A Manual of the Kai Tak Development EIA Study, ET shall monitor and audit the contractor's operation during the construction period on a weekly basis, and to report on the contractor's compliance.

Results and Observations

- 5.2 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 5.3 No non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.4 Should non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact occur, action in accordance with the action plan presented in **Appendix J** shall be performed.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Site Audits

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 5th, 12th, 18th and 26th July 2017 in the reporting month. IEC site inspection was conducted on 18th July 2017. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.

Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures

- 6.3 The monitoring works conducted by the monitoring team were inspected regularly. The following observations have been recorded for the monitoring works:

Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations within and outside the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and weather conditions on the monitoring days.

Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the monitoring result.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded. Other intrusive noise attributing to the result was trimmed off by pausing the monitoring temporarily.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 6.4 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Permit No.	Valid Period		Details	Status
	From	To		
Environmental Permit (EP)				
EP-337/2009	23/04/09	N/A	Construction of new distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak development.	Valid
Effluent Discharge License				
WT00016873-2013	-	31/08/18	Wastewater from the construction site including contaminated surface run-off	Valid
WT00016723-2013	-	31/08/18		Valid
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer				
5213-286-K3022-04	-	N/A	Chemical Waste Types: Spent lubricating oil, Soil contaminated with lubricating oil, Spent battery containing heavy metals, Surplus paint, Spent solvent, Spent alkali and acid	Valid
Construction Noise Permit (CNP)				
GW-RE0472-17	10/06/17	02/07/17	Construction Noise Permit for the use of powered mechanical equipment for carrying out construction work other than percussive piling and performing prescribed construction work.	Valid until 02/07/17
GW-RE0495-17	25/06/17	13/08/17		Valid

Status of Waste Management

- 6.5 The amount of wastes generated by the major site activities of this Project during the reporting month is shown in **Appendix M**.
- 6.6 The Contractor is advised to take photo and inspection records to ensure that all dump trucks have the skip fully covered before leaving the site.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.7 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. ET weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting month and the observations and recommendations are summarized in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
<i>Water Quality</i>			
<i>Air Quality</i>	21 June 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Dusty stockpile should be properly covered. (near Concorde Road)	This item was remarked on 28 June 2017.
	28 June 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Stockpile of dusty stockpile should be properly covered. (near Concorde Road)	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session
	28 June 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Dusty material on the haul road of King Fuk Street should be cleared.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session
	7 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Stockpile of dusty materials placed near Concorde Road should be properly covered for dust suppression.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session
	26 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Dusty material placed near Concorde Road should be properly covered.	Follow up action will be reported in the next reporting month
	26 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Water spray should be provided for breaking works near KTOB	Follow up action will be reported in the next reporting month
<i>Noise</i>	--	--	--
<i>Waste/ Chemical Management</i>	18 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Construction waste stored at King Fuk Street should be properly sorted and disposed.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session
<i>Landscape and Visual</i>	--	--	--
<i>Permits/ Licenses</i>	--	--	--

Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented

6.8 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 18th July 2017, the observations were recorded and they are presented as follows:

Observations:

- Tunnel exit at King Fuk Street, C&D waste and general refuse were accumulated next to construction materials. The Contractor was requested to provide waste sorting area and general refuse disposal points.

Follow up of last observation:

- The identified stockpile was properly covered.
- Muddy runoff was diverted away from the site entrance and the runoff was properly diverted to the sedimentation tank before discharge.

6.9 An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix K**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

6.10 The Event Action Plans for air quality, noise and landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix J**.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

6.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

6.12 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction Noise

6.13 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Landscape and visual

6.14 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution

6.15 The summaries of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution for the Project is presented in **Appendix L**.

7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.1 Major site activities undertaken for the coming two months include:

- Site Clearance for all possessed portion;
- Drainage works near SW3 at Prince Edward Road East footpath
- T&C for Lift at SW2 and SW3; and
- Road works at King Fuk Street.

Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.2 Key environmental issues in the coming month include:

- Wastewater and runoff discharge from site;
- Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along u-channels and sedimentation tanks;
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff;
- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site;
- Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
- Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
- Proper storage of construction materials on site;
- Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Accumulation of general and construction waste on site.

7.3 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and control measures for the coming two months, i.e. August and September 2017 are summarized as follows:

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 7.1	Air quality impact (dust)	(a) Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; (b) Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and (c) Watering of any earth moving activities.
	Water quality impact (surface run-off)	(a) Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; (b) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; (c) Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and (d) Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
	Noise Impact	(a) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; (b) Controlling the number of plants use on site; (c) Regular maintenance of machines; and (d) Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

- 7.4 The tentative environmental monitoring schedules for the next month are shown in **Appendix D**.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 8.1 Environmental monitoring works were performed in the reporting month and all monitoring results were checked and reviewed.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.2 AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) was closed due to relocation of contractor site office, 1-hour TSP monitoring was shifted and conducted at alternative location AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) with following the criteria in Section 2.2.19 of EM&A Manual since 17 July 2017. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 8.3 All other 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.4 24-hour TSP monitoring at AM1(B) – Contractor Site Office (KL/2012/02) was cancelled due to relocation of contractor site office with failure of electricity supply from 4 July 2017. In order to obtain the secured supply of electricity for 24-hour TSP monitoring, monitoring works were shifted and conducted at alternative location AM1(C) – Contractor Site Office (SCL 1107) with following the criteria in Section 2.2.19 of EM&A Manual since 14 July 2017. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 8.5 All other 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Construction Noise Monitoring

- 8.6 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Landscape and visual

- 8.7 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint and Prosecution

- 8.8 No environmental complaint and environmental prosecution were received in the reporting month.
- 8.9 No environmental prosecution was received in the reporting month.

Recommendations

8.10 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:


Air quality

- To properly cover the stockpile stored within the site area to prevent dust generation.
- To provide water spray on the haul road and area with dust generated regularly for dust suppression.

Waste/Chemical Management


- To properly clear the construction waste and general refuse regularly to prevent accumulation.

FIGURES




Title	Contract No. KL/2012/02		Scale	Project
	Kai Tak Development –Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area		N.T.S	No. MA13043
Site Layout Plan			Date	Figure
			Aug-13	1


CINOTECH



Title Contract No. KL/2012/02 Kai Tak Development –Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Location of Alternative Air Quality Mointoring Location	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13043	
	Date Jul-17	Figure 2	



Title Contract No. KL/2012/02 Kai Tak Development –Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Location of Noise Monitoring Stations under this Project	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13043	
	Date Apr-17	Figure 3	



Wind Data Monitoring Equipment set at Lee Kau Yan Memorial School



Anemometer Station from The Hong Kong Observatory

Title Contract No. KL/2012/02
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
 Location of Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA13043
Date	Oct-13	Figure	4

CINOTECH

**APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR
QUALITY AND NOISE**

Appendix A - Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM1(B)	342	500
AM1(C)	342	
AM2	346	

Table A-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM1(B)	159	260
AM1(C)	159	
AM2	157	

Table A-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A) 70dB(A)/65dB(A)*

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. *70dB(A) and 65dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

**APPENDIX B
COPIES OF CALIBRATION
CERTIFICATES**

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2752	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.50	1.246	44.0	44.07
2	5.90	1.188	41.0	41.06
3	4.70	1.064	39.0	39.06
4	3.60	0.935	33.0	33.05
5	2.40	0.770	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{33.1537}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 43 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 2.5544 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9921$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = average temperature
Pav = average pressure

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21*m+b)/[sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2754	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.40	1.236	40.0	40.06
2	5.50	1.149	38.0	38.06
3	4.60	1.053	36.0	36.05
4	3.30	0.897	30.0	30.05
5	2.20	0.738	26.0	26.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{29.1511}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 40 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 4.4741 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9951$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = average temperature
Pav = average pressure

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21*m+b)/[sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2763	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.70	1.264	39.0	39.06
2	5.90	1.188	35.0	35.05
3	4.80	1.075	32.0	32.05
4	3.50	0.923	28.0	28.04
5	2.40	0.770	22.0	22.03

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{32.4948}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 37 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = -2.6780 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9945$$

Calculations

$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$
 $IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$
 Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_a = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 T_{std} = 298 deg K
 P_{std} = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $(1.21 * m + b) / [\sqrt{298/T_a} (P_a / 760)]$

Checked by:

Matthew

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2765	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.60	1.255	40.0	40.06
2	5.70	1.169	38.0	38.06
3	4.80	1.075	36.0	36.05
4	3.40	0.910	30.0	30.05
5	2.30	0.754	24.0	24.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{32.2966}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 39 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 0.3031 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9936$$

Calculations

$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$
 $IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$
 Q_{std} = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Q_{std} slope
 b = calibrator Q_{std} intercept
 T_a = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 P_a = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 T_{std} = 298 deg K
 P_{std} = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $(1.21 * m + b) / [\sqrt{298/T_a} (P_a / 760)]$

Checked by:

Matthew

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2766	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	7.50	1.336	48.0	48.07
2	6.40	1.236	44.0	44.07
3	4.40	1.031	39.0	39.06
4	3.30	0.897	32.0	32.05
5	2.00	0.705	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \underline{32.2524} \quad b = \underline{4.6824} \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = \underline{0.9919}$$

Sampler set point(SSP) 44 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2767	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.60	1.255	42.0	42.06
2	5.50	1.149	41.0	41.06
3	4.80	1.075	37.0	37.06
4	3.40	0.910	33.0	33.05
5	2.20	0.738	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \underline{28.2377} \quad b = \underline{7.3012} \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = \underline{0.9903}$$

Sampler set point(SSP) 41 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2758	Model:	TE-6170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	737.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test#	In ₂ H ₂ O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.10	1.208	42.0	42.06
2	5.40	1.138	40.0	40.06
3	4.80	1.053	38.0	38.06
4	3.20	0.884	33.0	33.05
5	2.00	0.705	30.0	30.05

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m = 24.3862 b = 12.3264 Corr. Coeff = 0.9948

Sampler set point (SP) 42 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ \sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)} - b \}$$

$$IC = I \{ \sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta) \}$$

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = average temperature
Pav = average pressure

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21 * m + b) / [sqrt(298/Tav) * (Pav/760)]

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 295
Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 2454 Pa (mm) - 745.49

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4020	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0060	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9010	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8590	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7090	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866	0.7037	1.4078	0.9957	0.7102	0.8896
0.9824	0.9765	1.9909	0.9914	0.9855	1.2581
0.9803	1.0880	2.2259	0.9893	1.0980	1.4066
0.9792	1.1399	2.3345	0.9882	1.1504	1.4753
0.9738	1.3735	2.8155	0.9828	1.3862	1.7792

Qstd slope (m) = 2.10326 Qa slope (m) = 1.31703
intercept (b) = -0.06696 intercept (b) = -0.04232
coefficient (r) = 0.99989 coefficient (r) = 0.99989

y axis = SQRT [H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta)] y axis = SQRT [H2O (Ta/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} \{ [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298/Ta) \}$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} \{ [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa] \}$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} (H_2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} H_2O (Ta/Pa)] - b \}$$

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate of Wind Anemometer



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

校准证书

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号 LC-20172600
Certificate No.

第 1 页 共 3 页
Page of

委托方 佳力高試驗中心有限公司
Client CASTCO TESING CENTRE LTD

地址 香港新界粉嶺安樂村安居街33號
Address 33 On Kui Street On Lok Tsue Fanling, N. T, H. K.

计量器具名称 Davis Weather Station
Measuring instruments

规格型号 Vantage Pro2
Model/Type

制造者
Manufacturer

编号 A70604D29N/自編號:EN52-01
Serial No.

主管 陈金明
Approved by

审核 林锦鑫
Inspected by

校准 岑锦明
Calibrated by

证书专用章
Issued by (Stamp)

校准日期 2017 年 03 月 14 日 建议校准周期 一年
Calibration Date Y M D The recommended calibration period

本院地址: 广州市广仁路11号 邮政编码: 510030 电话: 020-83362165 传真: 020-83369351
广州市科学城尖塔山路19号 邮政编码: 510663 电话: 020-32086301 传真: 020-32086300
开发区中心电话: 020-82223272 白云区中心电话: 020-36200320 南沙中心电话: 020-34970774
单位网址: www.gzjlj.net 业务邮箱: yewuban@gzjls.net 微信号: GZJLJC



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

说 明 DIRECTIONS

证书编号: LC-20172600
Certificate No.

第 2 页 共 3 页
Page of

1、本院是政府依法设置的法定计量检定机构, 工作职责为承担授权范围内的量值传递工作和向社会开展计量校准技术服务工作。
Guangzhou Institute of Measurement and Testing Technology (GIMTT) is a legal metrological organization set by government, which is responsible for value dissemination within authorization, and to provide metrological and calibration services for social benefit.

2、本院的质量管理体系符合 ISO/IEC 17025: 2005 标准的要求。
The quality system of GIMTT is in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025:2005.

3、本院出具的数据均可溯源到国家计量基准和SI单位标准。
All data issued by GIMTT are traceable to national measurement standards and SI unit standards.

4、本次校准所依据的技术文件是:
Reference documents for the calibration:
JJG 613-1989 《电接风向风速仪》检定规程
V.R. of Verification Regulation of Contact Anemorumbometer

5、本次校准所使用的计量标准是:
Standards of measurement used in the calibration:

设备名称/型号 Equipment/Model	编号 Serial No.	证书号 Certificate No.	技术特征 Technique character
皮托静压管(Pitot Tubes)	0471	NSC201750171/2022-02-16	MPE: ±0.01
补偿式微压计 (Compensated micromanometer)	200509032	LY-201534497/2017-12-19	准确度等级: 二等 Grade 2
空盒气压表(Aneroid barometer)	06-0008	LY-201619205/2017-07-01	MPE: ±2.5 hPa
风洞(Wind tunnel)	08	LC-20167300/2017-06-28	均匀性≤1%
数显倾角仪(Digital Inclinator)	N2955	CJ-20169223/2017-5-25	稳定性≤0.5% MPE: ±5'

6、依据 JJF 1059.1-2012 《测量结果不确定度评定与表示》, 本次校准中部分测量结果的不确定度分别是:
The uncertainty of measurement results in accordance with JJF 1059.1-2012:
U=0.20 m/s; k=2

7、本次校准的地点与校准时的环境条件:
Site of the calibration and environmental conditions during the calibration:

地点 Site	温度 Temperature	相对湿度 RH
科学城实验室	19.4℃	53%

Calibration Certificate of Wind Anemometer



广州计量检测技术研究院

GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

校准结果

RESULTS OF CALIBRATION

证书编号 LC-20172600

原始记录号 17205J0338

第 3 页

共 3 页

Certificate No.

Page

Of

1、外观：正常

Appearance: Pass

2、空气密度修正系数(Correction factor of air density): 1.007;

总修正系数(Correction factor of total): 1.013;

大气压力 (Atmospheric pressure): 1018.0 hPa;

3、风速仪示值校准:

Indication calibrated of anemometer:

微压计示值 Indication of micromanometer (mmH ₂ O)	标准值 Values of standard (m/s)	仪器示值 Indication of anemometer (m/s)	修正值 Values of correction (m/s)
6.10	2.0	1.8	+0.2

4、风向角示值校准:

Indication calibrated of wind direction sensor:

标准值 (°) Values of standard	仪器示值 (°) Instrument Reading
0.0	0
45.0	45
90.0	90
135.0	135
180.0	180
225.0	225
270.0	270
315.0	315
360.0	360

以下空白

Spare part of this page is blank

注: 1、此结果只与受校准的项目有关。

2、未经本院书面批准, 不得部分复制此证书。

3、此证书无本院盖章无效。

Note: 1、The results relate only to the items verified.

2、This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our institute.

3、This certificate shall not be valid without stamp of our institute.

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

Station AM1(C) -Boundary of KTD / File No. MA13043/53/0001
Outside Contractor's site office of Contract SCL 1107 Operator: MH
 Date: 14-Jul-17 Next Due Date: 13-Sep-17
 Equipment No.: A-01-59 Serial No. 1535

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	302.9	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.4

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Serial No.:	0993	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0578	Intercept, bc	-0.04890
Last Calibration Date:	28-Feb-17	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	27-Feb-18	$Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	16.8	4.06	71.14	10.1	3.15
2	14.2	3.73	65.47	8.6	2.91
3	10.9	3.27	57.47	6.7	2.56
4	7.0	2.62	46.22	4.2	2.03
5	4.4	2.08	36.82	2.9	1.69

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0432 Intercept, bw : 0.0715

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9992

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.80

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: his Signature: his Date: 14/7/17
 Checked by: Wk Tang Signature: Kwari Date: 14/7/2017



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Feb 28, 2017 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 294
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 0993 Pa (mm) - 750.57

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER	ORFICE
					DIFF Hg (mm)	DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3860	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9910	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8840	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8430	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6970	12.6	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9967	0.7191	1.4149	0.9957	0.7184	0.8851
0.9925	1.0015	2.0010	0.9915	1.0005	1.2517
0.9904	1.1204	2.2372	0.9894	1.1192	1.3995
0.9894	1.1737	2.3464	0.9884	1.1725	1.4678
0.9842	1.4120	2.8299	0.9832	1.4106	1.7702

Qstd slope (m) = 2.04055
 intercept (b) = -0.04890
 coefficient (r) = 0.99995

Qa slope (m) = 1.27776
 intercept (b) = -0.03059
 coefficient (r) = 0.99995

y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)] y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC301
Serial No.	: 3011701011
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-27-02

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.133
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609B
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC301
Serial No.	: 3011701017
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-27-04

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.077
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170707
Date of Issue:	2017-07-10
Date Received:	2017-07-07
Date Tested:	2017-07-07
Date Completed:	2017-07-10
Next Due Date:	2017-09-09

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 541146
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 625 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-07

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0034
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/A/170505
Date of Issue:	2017-05-08
Date Received:	2017-05-05
Date Tested:	2017-05-05
Date Completed:	2017-05-08
Next Due Date:	2017-07-07

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 541146
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 625 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-07

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0033
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170630
Date of Issue:	2017-07-03
Date Received:	2017-06-30
Date Tested:	2017-06-30
Date Completed:	2017-07-03
Next Due Date:	2017-09-02

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 095029
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 551 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-10

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0036
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170502
Date of Issue:	2017-05-04
Date Received:	2017-05-02
Date Tested:	2017-05-02
Date Completed:	2017-05-04
Next Due Date:	2017-07-03

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 095029
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 551 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-10

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 63 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:


1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0037
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170616C
Date of Issue:	2017-06-19
Date Received:	2017-06-16
Date Tested:	2017-06-16
Date Completed:	2017-06-19
Next Due Date:	2017-08-18

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description : Handheld Particle Counter
 Manufacturer : Hal Technology
 Model No. : Hal-HPC300
 Serial No. : 3020410
 Flow rate : 0.1 cfm
 Zero Count Test : 0 count per 5 minutes
 Equipment No. : A-26-03

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature : 23 degree Celsius
 Relative Humidity : 65 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.159
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609K
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description : Handheld Particle Counter
 Manufacturer : Hal Technology
 Model No. : Hal-HPC300
 Serial No. : 3020411
 Flow rate : 0.1 cfm
 Zero Count Test : 0 count per 5 minutes
 Equipment No. : A-26-04

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature : 21 degree Celsius
 Relative Humidity : 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.133
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


 PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930A
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24803
Equipment No.	: N-09-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%


Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930B
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24791
Equipment No.	: N-09-04

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Methodology:


The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930C
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24780
Equipment No.	: N-09-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161104/1
Date of Issue:	2016-11-07
Date Received:	2016-11-04
Date Tested:	2016-11-04
Date Completed:	2016-11-07
Next Due Date:	2017-11-06

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: 4231
Serial No.	: 2326353
Equipment No.	: N-02-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Methodology:


The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161230
Date of Issue:	2017-01-03
Date Received:	2016-12-30
Date Tested:	2016-12-30
Date Completed:	2017-01-03
Next Due Date:	2018-01-02

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SVAN 955
Serial No.	: 14303
Microphone No.	: 35222
Equipment No.	: N-08-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

Remark: 1) This report supersedes the one dated 2012/01/21 with certificate number C/N/120120/1.

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160826A
Date of Issue:	2016-08-29
Date Received:	2016-08-26
Date Tested:	2016-08-26
Date Completed:	2016-08-29
Next Due Date:	2017-08-28

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SVAN 957
Serial No.	: 21455
Microphone No.	: 43730
Equipment No.	: N-08-07

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161128
Date of Issue:	2016-11-30
Date Received:	2016-11-28
Date Tested:	2016-11-28
Date Completed:	2016-11-30
Next Due Date:	2017-11-29

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
 Manufacturer : SVANTEK
 Model No. : SVAN 957
 Serial No. : 23853
 Microphone No. : 48530
 Equipment No. : N-08-10

Test conditions:

Room Temperature : 21 degree Celsius
 Relative Humidity : 66%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB


Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
 For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

APPENDIX C
WEATHER INFORMATION

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

Date	Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)
1 July 2017	26.7 – 31.7	67 – 94	7.4
2 July 2017	26.2 – 30.3	79 – 91	8.8
3 July 2017	26.7 – 30.7	77 – 93	8.4
4 July 2017	25.3 – 28.6	84 – 97	32.3
5 July 2017	26.5 – 31.0	77 – 96	27.5
6 July 2017	25.8 – 28.7	87 – 97	16.3
7 July 2017	26.0 – 29.8	77 – 97	35.8
8 July 2017	26.3 – 28.9	86 – 96	12.8
9 July 2017	27.1 – 32.3	66 – 94	1.2
10 July 2017	25.7 – 32.1	65 – 88	0.6
11 July 2017	27.6 – 32.7	64 – 89	0
12 July 2017	27.9 – 32.9	66 – 88	Trace
13 July 2017	28.2 – 33.5	68 – 87	Trace
14 July 2017	27.4 – 32.8	70 – 93	2.3
15 July 2017	27.0 – 32.1	75 – 94	8.8
16 July 2017	26.1 – 28.5	83 – 97	21.0
17 July 2017	24.4 – 28.8	83 – 98	184.6
18 July 2017	24.6 – 27.8	91 – 99	134.3
19 July 2017	24.2 – 30.8	76 – 98	12.6

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

Date	Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)
20 July 2017	27.2 – 30.8	77 – 91	2.0
21 July 2017	27.6 – 32.2	67 – 91	0.2
22 July 2017	26.5 – 33.1	62 – 85	3.3
23 July 2017	25.6 – 28.8	73 – 98	46.5
24 July 2017	25.8 – 31.2	77 – 98	3.3
25 July 2017	27.7 – 33.1	63 – 88	Trace
26 July 2017	27.1 – 34.4	56 – 91	0
27 July 2017	28.0 – 30.6	74 – 85	Trace
28 July 2017	28.1 – 34.4	57 – 84	0
29 July 2017	28.8 – 33.8	64 – 79	0
30 July 2017	29.6 – 34.8	57 – 83	0
31 July 2017	29.8 – 32.4	68 – 86	0

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory.

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

Date	Prevailing Wind Direction (Degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
1 July 2017	200	7.2
2 July 2017	180	7.4
3 July 2017	160	10
4 July 2017	140	9
5 July 2017	100	13.5
6 July 2017	110	15.2
7 July 2017	110	10
8 July 2017	120	7.8
9 July 2017	140	7.5
10 July 2017	160	6.5
11 July 2017	130	10.1
12 July 2017	130	9.2
13 July 2017	110	11
14 July 2017	110	13.5
15 July 2017	100	16.8
16 July 2017	100	18.9
17 July 2017	110	11.4
18 July 2017	100	11.1
19 July 2017	130	10
20 July 2017	90	12.8
21 July 2017	100	15.3
22 July 2017	100	11.2
23 July 2017	100	12.9
24 July 2017	100	10.5
25 July 2017	140	11.4
26 July 2017	140	8.6
27 July 2017	100	12.8
28 July 2017	100	11.6
29 July 2017	230	9.1
30 July 2017	240	13.5
31 July 2017	240	15.7

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory

**APPENDIX D
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
SCHEDULES**

Contract No. KL/2012/02
Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for July 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1-Jul
2-Jul	3-Jul	4-Jul	5-Jul	6-Jul	7-Jul	8-Jul
	Noise [M9]	1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2] Noise [M4] 24 hr TSP [AM1(B)]*	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(B)] Noise [M3]			
9-Jul	10-Jul	11-Jul	12-Jul	13-Jul	14-Jul	15-Jul
	1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2] Noise [M4] 24 hr TSP [AM1(B)]*	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(B)] Noise [M3]		Noise [M9]	24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2]
16-Jul	17-Jul	18-Jul	19-Jul	20-Jul	21-Jul	22-Jul
	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C)] Noise [M3]		Noise [M9]	24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C), AM2] Noise [M4] 24 hr TSP [AM2]	
23-Jul	24-Jul	25-Jul	26-Jul	27-Jul	28-Jul	29-Jul
		Noise [M9]	24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C), AM2] Noise [M3, M4] 24 hr TSP [AM2]		
30-Jul	31-Jul					
	24 hr TSP [AM1(C)] Noise [M9]					

*24-hr TSP Air Quality Monitoring at AM1(B) was cancelled due to relocation of site office and failure of electricity supply
 Monitoring work which is conducted by Castco are marked in blue and monitoring work which is conducted by Cinotech are marked in black

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM1(B) -Boundary of KTD/Outside Contractor's site office of Contract KL/2012/02
 AM1(C) -Boundary of KTD/Outside Contractor's site office of Contract SCL 1107
 AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School

Noise Monitoring Station

M3 - Cognitio College
 M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
 M9 - Tak Long Estate

Contract No. KL/2012/02
Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Tentative Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for August 2017

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1-Aug	2-Aug	3-Aug	4-Aug	5-Aug
		1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C)] Noise [M3]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4] 24hr TSP AM2(A)		24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	
6-Aug	7-Aug	8-Aug	9-Aug	10-Aug	11-Aug	12-Aug
	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C)] Noise [M3] 24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]		Noise [M9] 24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C)]	24hr TSP AM2(A)
13-Aug	14-Aug	15-Aug	16-Aug	17-Aug	18-Aug	19-Aug
	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]		Noise [M9] 24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1C] Noise [M3]	24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2]
20-Aug	21-Aug	22-Aug	23-Aug	24-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug
		Noise [M9] 24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C)] Noise [M3]	24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]	
27-Aug	28-Aug	29-Aug	30-Aug	31-Aug		
	Noise [M9] 24 hr TSP [AM1(C)]	1 hr TSP X3 [AM1(C)] Noise [M3]	24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]		

Remarks:

- As the installation of HVS for 24-hour TSP at AM2 was not approved by the premise, 24-hour TSP monitoring works will be carried at AM2(A).
- The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM1(C) -Boundary of KTD/Outside Contractor's site office of Contract SCL 1107
AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School

Noise Monitoring Station

M3 - Cognitio College
M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
M9 - Tak Long Estate

**APPENDIX E
1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**




Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location AM1(B) - Boundary of KTD/ Outside Contractor's site office of Contract SCL 1107			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
5-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	99.2
5-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	106.0
5-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	112.5
11-Jul-17	13:30	Cloudy	69.5
11-Jul-17	14:40	Cloudy	70.3
11-Jul-17	15:30	Cloudy	70.8
Average			88.1
Maximum			112.5
Minimum			69.5

Location AM1(C) - Boundary of KTD/ Outside Contractor's site office of Contract SCL 1107			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
17-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	19.3
17-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	23.8
17-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	28.3
21-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	54.7
21-Jul-17	14:00	Sunny	51.9
21-Jul-17	15:00	Sunny	54.6
27-Jul-17	10:00	Sunny	42.3
27-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	42.2
27-Jul-17	15:00	Sunny	38.8
Average			39.5
Maximum			54.7
Minimum			19.3

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	83.9
4-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	92.7
4-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	81.6
10-Jul-17	13:10	Sunny	65.5
10-Jul-17	14:10	Sunny	64.5
10-Jul-17	15:10	Sunny	61.0
15-Jul-17	13:05	Cloudy	38.2
15-Jul-17	14:05	Cloudy	39.4
15-Jul-17	15:05	Cloudy	40.6
21-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	22.7
21-Jul-17	14:00	Sunny	20.4
21-Jul-17	15:00	Sunny	19.3
27-Jul-17	13:10	Cloudy	73.7
27-Jul-17	14:10	Cloudy	75.0
27-Jul-17	15:10	Cloudy	73.9
Average			56.8
Maximum			92.7
Minimum			19.3

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Contract No. KL/2012/02	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13043	
	Date Jul 17	Appendix E		

**APPENDIX F
24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix F - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results



Location AM1(C) - Outside RLJV site office (KL/2012/02)

Start Date	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
14-Jul-17	17.7
20-Jul-17	19.0
26-Jul-17	28.4
31-Jul-17	57.5
Average	30.7
Maximum	57.5
Minimum	17.7

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School

Start Date	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	24.0
10-Jul-17	32.0
15-Jul-17	23.0
21-Jul-17	29.0
27-Jul-17	36.0
Average	28.8
Maximum	36.0
Minimum	23.0

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Contract No. KL/2012/02 Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13043	
	Date Jul 17	Appendix F	

**APPENDIX G
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**




Appendix G - Noise Monitoring Results

Location M3 - Cognito College							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Background Noise	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
5-Jul-17	11:30	Cloudy	80.4	82.4	77.6	80.2	66.9
11-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	78.4	80.2	76.1	78.2	64.9
17-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	78.9	79.4	77.2	78.5	68.3
27-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	78.5	79.2	75.2	78.4	62.1

Location M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
4-Jul-17	13:45	Cloudy	75.8	77.4	74.5	76.7	75.8 Measured ≤ Baseline
10-Jul-17	14:00	Sunny	75.8	76.3	74.9		75.8 Measured ≤ Baseline
21-Jul-17	13:15	Sunny	76.2	78.4	73.1		76.2 Measured ≤ Baseline
27-Jul-17	13:15	Cloudy	76.2	77.3	74.3		76.2 Measured ≤ Baseline

Location M9 - Tak Long Estate							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
3-Jul-17	15:30	Cloudy	64.0	66.0	61.5	59.9	61.9
13-Jul-17	13:30	Sunny	64.7	66.3	62.5		63.0
19-Jul-17	09:25	Cloudy	66.7	69.1	63.4		65.7
25-Jul-17	17:30	Sunny	61.8	63.5	59.2		57.3
31-Jul-17	15:30	Cloudy	62.8	64.4	61.2		59.7

Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

Title Kai Tak Development - Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	Contract No. KL/2012/02	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13043	CINOTECH
	Date Jul 17	Appendix G		

APPENDIX H
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Contract No. KL/2012/02

Kai Tak Development –Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix H – Summary of Exceedance

Exceedance Report for Contract No. KL/2012/02

**(A) Exceedance Report for Air Quality
(NIL in the reporting month)**

**(B) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise
(NIL in the reporting month)**

**(C) Exceedance Report for Landscape and Visual
(NIL in the reporting month)**



**APPENDIX I
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY**

Contract No. KL/2012/02
Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170705
Date	5 July 2017
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
170705-R01	• Stockpile of dusty materials placed near Concorde Road should be properly covered for dust suppression.	C 7
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170628), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by the Contractor.	



	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		5 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		5 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2012/02
Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170712
Date	12 July 2017
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170705), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by the Contractor.	



	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		12 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		12 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2012/02
Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170718
Date	18 July 2017
Time	10:00 – 12:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
170718-R01	• Construction waste shored at King Fuk Street should be properly sorted and disposed.	E 4 ii
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170712), no major environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	



	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		18 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		18 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2012/02
Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170726
Date	26 July 2017
Time	14:00 – 16:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	<i>B. Water Quality</i>	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	<i>C. Air Quality</i>	
170726-R01	• Dusty material placed near Concorde Road should be properly covered.	C 7
170726-R02	• Water spray should be provided for breaking works near KTOB.	C 13
	<i>D. Noise</i>	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	<i>E. Waste / Chemical Management</i>	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	<i>F. Visual and Landscape</i>	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	<i>G. Permits /Licences</i>	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	<i>H. Others</i>	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170718), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		26 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		26 July 2017

APPENDIX J
EVENT ACTION PLANS

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contactor, IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; 3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 4. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 5. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results.</p>	<p>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</p>	<p>implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues.</p>	<p>working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals.</p>
<p>Limit Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling</p>	<p>1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Arrange meeting with IEC, ER and Contractor to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p>	<p>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.</p>	<p>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</p>	<p>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET, ER and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Submit further remedial actions if problem still not under control; 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p>

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
Limit Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals;

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures;</p> <p>6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required;</p> <p>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>measures to be implemented;</p> <p>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures;</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control;</p> <p>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
--	---	---	---	--

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

EVENT ACTION LEVEL	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Design Check	1. Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report.	1. Check report. 2. Recommend remedial design if necessary	1. Undertake remedial design if necessary	
Non-conformity on one occasion	1. Identify Source 2. Inform IEC and ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	1. Check report 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Check implementation of remedial measures.	1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	1. Identify Source Inform IEC and	1. Check monitoring report	1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly	1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>ER</p> <p>2. Increase monitoring frequency</p> <p>3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor</p> <p>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed</p> <p>5. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring</p>	<p>2. Check Contractor's working method</p> <p>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures</p> <p>4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures</p> <p>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>implemented</p>	<p>undertake any necessary replacement</p>
--	---	--	--------------------	--

**APPENDIX K
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)**

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
<i>Construction Air Quality</i>		
S6.5	8 times daily watering of the work site with active dust emitting activities.	^
S6.8	<p>Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimize cumulative dust impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stockpiling site(s) should be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles should be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. • Misting for the dusty material should be carried out before being loaded into the vehicle. Any vehicle with an open load carrying area should have properly fitted side and tail boards. • Material having the potential to create dust should not be loaded from a level higher than the side and tail boards and should be dampened and covered by a clean tarpaulin. • The tarpaulin should be properly secured and should extend at least 300 mm over the edges of the sides and tailboards. The material should also be dampened if necessary before transportation. • The vehicles should be restricted to maximum speed of 10 km per hour and confined haulage and delivery vehicle to designated roadways insider the site. Onsite unpaved roads should be compacted and kept free of lose materials. • Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every vehicle exit point. • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. • Every main haul road should be scaled with concrete and kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire road surface wet. • Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides. • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites. 	<p style="text-align: center;">*</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">*</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p>

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

<p>S6.8</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>DWFI compound for JVBC:</u> A DWFI compound is proposed at the downstream of JVC to contain pollution in drainage systems entering the KTAC and KTTS by interception facilities until the ultimate removal of the pollution sources. Tidal barriers and desilting facilities will form part of the compounds to prevent any accumulation of sediment within the downstream section of JVBC and hence fully mitigate the potential odour emissions from the headspace of JVBC near the existing discharge locations. The odour generating operations within the proposed desilting compound will be fully enclosed and the odorous air will be collected and treated by high efficiency deodorizers before discharge to the atmosphere. • <u>Desilting compound for KTN:</u> Two desilting compounds are proposed for KTN (at Site 1D6 and Site 1P1) to contain pollution in drainage systems entering the KTAC and KTTS by interception facilities until the ultimate removal of the pollution sources. Tidal barriers and desilting facilities will form part of the compounds to prevent any accumulation of sediment within the downstream section of KTN and hence fully mitigate the potential odour emissions from the headspace of KTN near the existing discharge locations. The odour generating operations within the proposed desilting compound will be fully enclosed and the odorous air will be collected and treated by high efficiency deodorizers before discharge to the atmosphere. • <u>Decking or reconstruction of KTN within apron area:</u> It is proposed to deck the KTN or reconstruct the KTN within the former Apron area into Kai Tak River from the south of Road D1 to the north of Road D2 along the existing alignment of KTN. The Kai Tak River will compose of a number of channels flowing with nonodorous fresh water and THEES effluent. The channel flowing with THEES effluent will be designed with the width of water surface of not more than 16m. • <u>Localised maintenance dredging:</u> Localised maintenance dredging should be conducted to provide water depth of not less than 3.5m over the whole of KTAC and KTTS. With reference to the water depth data recorded during the odour survey, only some of the areas in the northern part of KTAC (i.e. to the north of taxiway bridge) including the area near the northern edge of KTAC, the area near western bank of KTAC, and the area near the JVC discharge have water depths shallower than 3.5m. The area involved would be about 40% of the northern KTAC and the dredging depth required would be from about 2.7m to less than 1m. The maintenance dredging to be carried out prior to the occupation of any new development in the immediate vicinity of KTAC to avoid potential localized odour 	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p>
-------------	---	---

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	<p>impacts at the future ASRs during the maintenance dredging operation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Improvement of water circulation in KTAC and KTTS:</u> 600m gap opening at the northern part of the former Kai Tak runway, the water circulation in KTAC and KTTS would be substantially improved. Together with the improvement in water circulation, the DO level in KTAC and KTTS would also be increased. • <u>In-situ sediment treatment by bioremediation:</u> Bioremediation would be applied to the entire KTAC and KTTS. 	N/A
Construction Noise		
S7.8	Use of quiet PME, movable barriers barrier for Asphalt Paver, Breaker, Excavator and Hand-held breaker and full enclosure for Air Compressor, Bar Bender, Concrete Pump, Generator and Water Pump.	^
S7.9	<p>Good Site Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program. • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program. • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
S7.9	Scheduling of Construction Works during School Examination Period	^
S7.8	(i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2; and	N/A
	(ii) Provision of structural fins	N/A
S7.8	(i) Avoid the sensitive façade of class room facing Road L2 and L4; and	N/A
	(ii) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2 & L4	N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S7.8	(i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L4 before occupation of Site 111; and (ii) Setback of building about 5m from site boundary.	N/A N/A
S7.8	Setback of building about 35m to the northwest direction at 1L3 and 5m at Site 1L2.	N/A
S7.8	(i) avoid any sensitive façades with openable window facing the existing Kowloon City Road network; and Avoid the sensitive façade of class room facing Road L2 and L4; and (ii) for the sensitive facades facing the To Kwa Wan direction, either setback the facades by about 5m to the northeast direction or do not provide the facades with openable window.	N/A N/A
S7.8	(i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the existing To Kwa Wan Road or (ii) provision of 17.5m high noise tolerant building fronting To Kwa Wan Road and restrict the height of the residential block(s) located at less than 55m away from To Kwa Wan Road to no more than 25m above ground	N/A N/A
S7.8	(i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the slip road connecting Prince Edward Road East and San Po Kong or other alternative mitigation measures and at-source mitigation measures for the surrounding new local roads to minimise the potential traffic noise impacts from the slip road	^
S7.8	All the ventilation fans installed in the below will be provided with silencers or acoustics treatment. (i) SPS (ii) ESS (iii) Tunnel Ventilation Shaft (iv) EFTS depot	N/A N/A N/A N/A
S7.8	Installation of retractable roof or other equivalent measures	N/A
Construction Water Quality		
S8.8	The following mitigation measures are proposed to be incorporated in the design of the SPS at KTD, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dual power supply or emergency generator should be provided at all the SPSs to secure electrical power supply; • Standby pumps should be provided at all SPSs to ensure smooth operation of the SPS during maintenance of the duty pumps; • An alarm should be installed to signal emergency high water level in the wet well at all SPSs; and 	N/A N/A N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For all unmanned SPSs, a remote monitor system connecting SPSs with the control station through telemetry system should be provided so that swift actions could be taken in case of malfunction of unmanned facilities 	N/A
S8.8	<p>Construction Phase</p> <p><u>Marine-based Construction</u></p> <p><i>Capital and Maintenance Dredging for Cruise Terminal</i></p> <p>Mitigation measures for construction of the proposed cruise terminal should follow those recommended in the approved EIA for CT Dredging.</p>	N/A
S8.8	<p><i>Fireboat Berth, Runway Opening and Road T2</i></p> <p>Silt curtains should be deployed around the close grab dredger to minimize release of sediment and other contaminants for any dredging and filling activities in open water.</p>	N/A
S8.8	Dredging at and near the seawall area for construction of the public landing steps cum fireboat berth should be carried out at a maximum production rate of 1,000m ³ per day using one grab dredger.	N/A
S8.8	The proposed construction method for runway opening should adopt an approach where the existing seawall at the runway will not be removed until completion of all excavation and dredging works for demolition of the runway. Thus, excavation of bulk fill and majority of the dredging works will be carried out behind the existing seawall, and the sediment plume can be effectively contained within the works area. As there is likely some accumulation of sediments alongside the runway, there will be a need to dredge the existing seabed after completion of all the demolition works. Dredging alongside the 600m opening should be carried out at a maximum production rate of 2,000m ³ per day using one grab dredger.	N/A
8.8	Dredging for Road T2 should be conducted at a maximum rate of 8,000m ³ per day (using four grab dredgers) whereas the sand filling should be conducted at a maximum rate of 2,000m ³ per day (using two grab dredgers).	N/A
8.8	Silt screens shall be applied to seawater intakes at WSD seawater intake.	N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S8.8	<p><u>Land-based Construction</u></p> <p><i>Construction Runoff</i></p> <p>Exposed soil areas should be minimised to reduce the potential for increased siltation, contamination of runoff, and erosion.</p> <p>Construction runoff related impacts associated with the above ground construction activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use of sediment traps • adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow 	^ ^
S8.8	<p>Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September).</p> <p>All exposed earth areas should be completed as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction site should be provided with adequately designed perimeter channel and pre-treatment facilities and proper maintenance.</p> <p>The boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection.</p> <p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond.</p> <p>Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Sediment tanks of sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m³ capacity, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity is flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and particularly suited to applications where the influent is pumped.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Open stockpiles of construction materials (for examples, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid</p>	^

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events.	
S8.8	Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain.	N/A(1)
S8.8	All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and located wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit, and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.	^
S8.8	<i>Drainage</i> It is recommended that on-site drainage system should be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps should be installed in order to minimise the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge into foul sewers. There should be no direct discharge of effluent from the site into the sea	^
S8.8	All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required.	^
S8.8	All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching the coastal waters of the Victoria Harbour WCZ.	^
S8.8	<i>Sewage Effluent</i> Construction work force sewage discharges on site are expected to be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage may need to be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	^

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S8.8	<p><i>Stormwater Discharges</i></p> <p>Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned seawater intakes</p>	^
S8.8	<p><i>Debris and Litter</i></p> <p>In order to maintain water quality in acceptable conditions with regard to aesthetic quality, contractors should be required, under conditions of contract, to ensure that site management is optimised and that disposal of any solid materials, litter or wastes to marine waters does not occur</p>	^
S8.8	<p><i>Construction Works at or in Close Proximity of Storm Culvert or Seafront</i></p> <p>The proposed works should preferably be carried out within the dry season where the flow in the drainage channel /storm culvert/ nullah is low.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified to reduce the disturbance to the bottom sediment at the drainage channel /storm culvert / nullah.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, filling materials, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction activities, which generate large amount of wastewater, should be carried out in a distance away from the waterfront, where practicable.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Mitigation measures to control site runoff from entering the nearby water environment should be implemented to minimize water quality impacts. Surface channels should be provided along the edge of the waterfront within the work sites to intercept the runoff.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction effluent, site run-off and sewage should be properly collected and/or treated.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Any works site inside the storm water courses should be temporarily isolated, such as by placing of sandbags or silt curtains with lead</p>	N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	edge at bottom and properly supported props to prevent adverse impact on the storm water quality.	
S8.8	Silt curtain may be installed around the construction activities at the seafront to minimize the potential impacts due to accidental spillage of construction materials.	N/A
S8.8	Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil/mud from slipping into the storm culvert/drainage channel/sea.	N/A
S8.8	Supervisory staff should be assigned to station on site to closely supervise and monitor the works	^
S8.8	Marine water quality monitoring and audit programme shall be implemented for the proposed sediment treatment operation.	N/A
Construction Waste Management		
S9.5	<p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are adhered to.</p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the dredging activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site. • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. • Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal. • Appropriate measure to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites). 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
S9.5	<p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sort C&D waste from demolition of the remaining structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal • Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force 	<p>^</p> <p>*</p> <p>^</p>

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p>
S9.5	<p>Dredged Marine Sediment</p> <p>The basic requirements and procedures for dredged mud disposal are specified under the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002. The management of the dredging, use and disposal of marine mud is monitored by the MFC, while the licensing of marine dumping is required under the Dumping at Sea Ordinance and is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP)</p>	N/A
S9.5	<p>The dredged marine sediments would be loaded onto barges and transported to the designated disposal sites allocated by the MFC depending on their level of contamination. Sediment classified as Category L would be suitable for Type 1 - Open Sea Disposal. Contaminated sediment would require either Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Sites), Type 2 - Confined Marine Disposal, or Type 3 – Special Treatment / Disposal and must be dredged and transported with great care in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 34/2002. Subject to the final allocation of the disposal sites by MFC, the dredged contaminated sediment must be effectively isolated from the environment and disposed properly at the designated disposal site</p>	N/A
S9.5	<p>It will be the responsibility of the contractor to satisfy the appropriate authorities that the contamination levels of the marine sediment to be dredged have been analysed and recorded. According to the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002, this will involve the submission of a formal Sediment Quality Report to the DEP, prior to the dredging contract being tendered. The contractor for the dredging works should apply for allocation of marine disposal sites and all necessary permits from relevant authorities for the disposal of dredged sediment. During transportation and disposal of the dredged marine sediments requiring Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 disposal, the following measures should be taken to minimise potential impacts on water quality:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bottom opening of barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic selfmonitoring devices as required under the Dumping at Sea Ordinance and as specified by the DEP Barges or hopper barges should not be filled to a level that would cause the overflow of materials or sediment laden water during loading or transportation 	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p>

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

<p>S9.5</p>	<p>Construction and Demolition Material</p> <p>Mitigation measures and good site practices should be incorporated into contract document to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C&D material. The mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the Project work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles should be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible • Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric • Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores • The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle • All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet • The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading <p>When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material should consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system as stipulated in the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 “Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials” should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.</p>	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
-------------	--	--

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S9.5	Chemical Waste	
	After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility, in accordance with the <i>Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation</i>	^
S9.5	General Refuse	
	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Effective collection and storage methods (including enclosed and covered area) of site wastes would be required to prevent waste materials from being blown around by wind, wastewater discharge by flushing or leaching into the marine environment, or creating odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem	*
<i>Construction Landscape and Visual</i>		
S13.9	CM1 All existing trees should be carefully protected during construction.	^
	CM2 Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWBC 2/2004 and 3/2006. Final locations of transplanted trees should be agreed prior to commencement of the work.	^
	CM3 Control of night-time lighting.	N/A(1)
	CM4 Erection of decorative screen hoarding.	^

Remarks:

- ^ Compliance of mitigation measure
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the Contractor
- Non-compliance but rectified by the Contractor
- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- N/A Not Applicable at this stage
- N/A(1) Not observed

**APPENDIX L
SUMMARIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLAINT, WARNING, SUMMON
AND NOTIFICATION OF SUCCESSFUL
PROSECUTION**

Contract No. KL/2012/02

Kai Tak Development –Stage 3A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix L – Summary of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution

Reporting Month: July 2017

Contract No. KL/2012/02

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint/warning/summon and prosecution	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Remarks: No environmental complaint/warning/summon and prosecution were received in the reporting period.

**APPENDIX M
SUMMARY OF WASTE GENERATION
AND DISPOSAL RECORDS**

MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE FOR 2017 (YEAR)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Borken Concrete (4)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposal as Public Fill	Import Fill	Metals	Paper / Cardboard Packaging	Plastics (3)	Chemical Waste	Other, e.g. general refuse
	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000m ³]
JAN	3.72310	0	0	0.15500	3.40455	0	0	0	0	0	0.16355
FEB	5.14235	0	0	0	4.92240	0	0	0	0	0	0.21995
MAR	17.63202	0	0	0	17.21112	0	0	0	0	0	0.42090
APR	0.44095	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.44095
MAY	0.00719	0	0	0	0.00719	0	0	0	0	0	0.00000
JUNE	0.69634	0	0	0	0.19429	0	0	0	0	0	0.50205
SUB-TOTAL	27.64195	0	0	0.15500	25.73955	0	0	0	0	0	1.74740
JULY	0.64610	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.64610
AUG											
SEPT											
OCT											
NOV											
DEC											
TOTAL	28.28805	0	0	0.15500	25.73955	0	0	0	0	0	2.39350

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D materials to be Generated from the Contracts *										
Total Quantity	Borken Concrete (4)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other	Disposal as Public Fill	Import Fill	Metals	Paper / Cardboard	Plastics (3)	Chemical Waste	Other, e.g. general
[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000m ³]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000kg]	[in '000m ³]
27.972	26.472	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	1.8	1.5

- Notes :
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the site.
 - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles / containers, plastic sheets / foam from packaging material.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

The logo for MaterialLab, featuring the word "MaterialLab" in a bold, sans-serif font. The text is centered between two thick, horizontal black bars.

Appendix B

**Monthly EM&A Report
For
Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at North Apron Area**

Civil Engineering and Development Department


**EP-344/2009 – New Sewage Pumping Stations
Serving KTD
EP-337/2009 – New Distributor Roads Serving the
Planned KTD**

**Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at
Former North Apron Area**

Monthly EM&A Report

July 2017

(Version 1.0)

Approved By	 (Environmental Team/Leader)
-------------	---

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388
Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, New Territories

For the attention of: Dr. Priscilla Choy

Subject: Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development – Stage 4
Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Verification for Monthly EM&A Report (July 2017)
(Draft Mrpt1707 v1.0 1)

Our ref: EB001399-320/THW17-34186
Your ref:
Date: 14 August 2017

Dear Dr. Choy,

We adverse comments on the captioned report, which was received via e-mail dated Fri 8/11/2017 7:26 PM, and hereby verify the report.

Should you have any queries, please feel free to contact the undersigned on 2911 2744.

Yours faithfully,
For and on behalf of
Arcadis Design & Engineering Limited



F N Wong
Independent Environmental Checker

cc. Mr. John Yam (AECOM) (By-email)

FN/my

**ARCADIS DESIGN &
ENGINEERING LIMITED**

20/F, AXA Tower,
Landmark East
100 How Ming Street
Kwun Tong, Kowloon
Hong Kong

Tel +852 2911 2233
Fax +852 2805 5028
arcadis.com

By Email

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction.....	1
Environmental Monitoring Works.....	1
Environmental Licenses and Permits.....	3
Key Information in the Reporting Month	3
Future Key Issues	3
1. INTRODUCTION.....	5
Background.....	5
Project Organizations.....	5
Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month	6
Summary of EM&A Requirements	7
Status of Compliance with Environmental Permits Conditions	9
2. AIR QUALITY	10
Monitoring Requirements	10
Monitoring Locations	10
Monitoring Equipment.....	10
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	11
Monitoring Methodology and Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedure	11
Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance.....	13
3. NOISE	15
Monitoring Requirements	15
Monitoring Locations	15
Monitoring Equipment.....	15
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	15
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures.....	16
Maintenance and Calibration	16
Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance.....	17
4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS	18
5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL.....	20
Monitoring Requirements	20
Results and Observations.....	20
6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT.....	21
Site Audits	21
Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	21
Status of Waste Management	22
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	22
Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented	23
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	23
Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution	23
7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	25

Key Issues for the Coming Month.....	25
Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month	26
8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	27
Conclusions.....	27
Recommendations.....	27
Effectiveness of Environmental Management.....	28

LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for the Project in the Reporting Month
Table II	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 1.2	Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures
Table 1.3	Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project
Table 1.4	Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-337/2009
Table 1.5	Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-344/2009
Table 2.1	Locations for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.3	Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations
Table 3.5	Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations
Table 4.1	Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions
Table 4.2	Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions
Table 4.3	Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions
Table 6.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 6.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-337/2009
Table 6.3	Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-344/2009
Table 7.1	Summary of the tentative program of major site activities, the impact prediction and control measures for August and September 2017
Table 8.1	Examples of Mitigation Measures for Environmental Recommendations

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Layout Plan of the Project Site
Figure 2	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 3	Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 4	Locations of Wind Anemometer
Figure 5	Management Structure

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise
B	Copies of Calibration Certificates
C	Weather Information
D	Environmental Monitoring Schedules
E	1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
F	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
G	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
H	Summary of Exceedance
I	Site Audit Summary
J	Event Action Plans
K	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
L	Summaries of Environmental Complaint, Warning, Summon and Notification of Successful Prosecution
M	Summary of Waste Generation and Disposal Records
N	Construction Programme

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 44th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Ltd. for “Contract No. KL/2012/03 - Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area” (Hereafter referred to as “the Project”). This contract comprises the construction of Schedule 2 Designated Projects (DP) Road D2 & Sewage Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS which forms a part of the works under two Environmental Permits (EP), EP-337/2009 and EP-344/2009. The title of the designated projects under Environmental Permit No.: EP-344/2009 is “New sewage pumping stations serving Kai Tak Development” and under Environmental Permit No.: EP-337/2009 is “New distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak Development”. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 to 31 July 2017.
2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Daily Cleaning
 - Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
 - Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A,
 - Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
 - Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
 - Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
 - Maintenance & Servicing Engineer’s office in Portion 9
 - Rising Main installation in Pit2
 - Rising Main installation in Pit 4
 - Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
 - Install fitting inside chamber in Pit9
 - Install fitting inside chamber in Pit10
 - Installation of drainage , UU laying works and Road works in Road D2
 - Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
 - UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
 - Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
 - Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

Environmental Monitoring Works

3. Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the monitoring results were checked and reviewed. Site Inspections/Audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
4. Summary of the breaches of action and limit levels in the reporting month for the Project is tabulated in **Table I**.

Table I Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for the Project in the Reporting Month

Parameter	No. of Project-related Exceedance		Action Taken
	Action Level	Limit Level	
1-hr TSP	0	0	N/A

24-hr TSP	0	0	N/A
Noise	0	0	N/A

1-hour & 24-hour TSP Monitoring

5. All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
6. All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
7. For 24-hr TSP monitoring results at AM2 and AM3 (A), all results were adopted from Schedule 3, KLN/2016/09.

Construction Noise Monitoring

8. All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

9. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project, Environmental Permits No. EP-344/2009 and EP-337/2009 were issued on 23 April 2009.
10. Registration of Chemical Waste Producer (Waste Producer Number: 5213-286-K2958-05).
11. Water Discharge License (WT00020971-2015).
12. Construction Noise Permit (GW-RE0149-17).

Key Information in the Reporting Month

13. Summary of complaint received, reporting changes and notifications of any summons and successful prosecutions in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table II**.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	Event Details		Action Taken	Status	Remark
	Number	Nature			
Complaint received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Reporting Changes	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---

Future Key Issues

14. The future key environmental issues in the coming month include:
 - Daily Cleaning
 - Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
 - Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A
 - Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
 - Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
 - Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
 - Maintenance & Servicing Engineer's office in Portion 9
 - Rising Main installation in Pit2

- Rising Main installation in Pit 4
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit9
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit10
- Installation of drainage , UU laying works
- Road works in Road D2
- Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
- UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
- Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
- Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 The Kai Tak Development (KTD) is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling. It covers a land area of about 328 hectares. Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area is one of the construction stages of KTD. Schedule 2 DPs in this Project include new distributor roads serving the planned KTD and new sewage pumping stations serving the planned KTD. The general layout of the Project is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.2 Two Environmental Permits (EPs) No. EP-344/2009 and EP-337/2009 were also issued to the Permit Holder Civil Engineering and Development Department on 23 April 2009 for new sewage pumping stations serving the planned KTD and new distributor roads serving the planned KTD respectively.
- 1.3 A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to identify the key issues of air quality, noise, water quality, waste, land contamination, cultural heritage and landscape and visual impact, and recommend possible mitigation measures associated with the works. The EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-130/2009) was approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 4 April 2009.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) is commissioned by Kwan On Construction Co., Ltd. (the Contractor) to undertake the role of the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. KL/2012/03 - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area. The construction work under KL/2012/03 comprises the construction of Road D2 & Sewage Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS which forms a part of the works under two EPs (EP-337/2009 and EP-344/2009).
- 1.5 The construction commencement of this Contract was on 1st December 2013 for Road D2, Sewage Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS. This is the 44th Monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project from 1 to 31 July 2017.

Project Organizations

- 1.6 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
 - Project Proponent – Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).
 - The Engineer and the Engineer’s Representative (ER) – AECOM.
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Limited (CCL).
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – Arcadis Design & Engineering Limited. (Arcadis).
 - Contractor –Kwan On Construction Co., Ltd. (Kwan On).

1.7 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1** and **Figure 5**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Party	Role	Contact Person	Position	Phone No.	Fax No.
CEDD	Project Proponent	Mr. C. K. Choi	Senior Engineer	2301 1174	2301 1277
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Mr. John Yam	SRE	2798 0771	3013 8864
		Mr. Jacky Pun	RE		
Cinotech	Environmental Team	Dr. Priscilla Choy	Environmental Team Leader	2151 2089	3107 1388
		Ms. Ivy Tam	Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader	2151 2090	
Arcadis	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Wong Fu Nam	Independent Environmental Checker	2911 2744	2805 5028
Kwan On	Contractor	Mr. Albert Ng	Site Agent	3689 7752	3689 7726
				6146 6761 (Hotline telephone number)	

Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month

1.8 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Daily Cleaning
- Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
- Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A,
- Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
- Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
- Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
- Maintenance & Servicing Engineer's office in Portion 9
- Rising Main installation in Pit2
- Rising Main installation in Pit 4
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit9
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit10
- Installation of drainage , UU laying works and Road works in Road D2
- Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
- UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
- Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
- Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

1.9 The construction programme showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures is presented in **Table 1.2**.

Table 1.2 Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures

Construction Works	Generated Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
Construction of superstructure of Pumping Station PS2 and NPS;	Dust, Water Quality, Waste Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; Properly cover the stockpiles; Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; and On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system.
Backfilling between sewerage manholes 1K1_1 and FMH10_340 and construction of manhole FMH10_370a at L6;	Dust, Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Properly cover the stockpiles;
Installation of precast unit and construction of in-situ portions of Box Culvert B6; Construction of jacking pits nos. 1 and 2; Installation of gas pipe at pit no. 10; Construction of washout chamber at pit no. 11;	Noise, Waste Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Provide hoarding. Good management and control on construction waste reduction
Construction of sewerage manhole FMH 10 at Bailey Street; Widening works of Sung Wong Toi Road.	Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Provide hoarding.
Pipe laying from manhole SMH2204 to Box Culvert B6; Laying of rising mains from PS2 to chainage CHA-18; Pipe laying from stormwater manholes SMH1962 to SMH1963 and construction of manholes SMH1953 and SMH1963 at L6; Installation of DCS;	Noise, Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall.

Summary of EM&A Requirements

1.10 The EM&A programme requires construction noise monitoring, air quality monitoring, landscape and visual monitoring and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in the following sections, including:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event Action Plans;
- Environmental requirements and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EM&A Manual under the EP.

1.11 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.

1.12 This report presents the implementation of the EM&A programme for the Project from 1 to 31 July 2017.

- 1.13 Air quality monitoring stations within 500m and noise monitoring stations within 300m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. In such regard, the relevant air quality and noise monitoring locations are tabulated in **Table 1.3** (see **Figure 2 and 3** for their locations).

Table 1.3 Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project

Locations	Monitoring Stations In accordance with EM&A Manual	Alternative Monitoring Stations
Air Quality Monitoring Stations		
AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Yes	N/A
AM3 – Sky Tower	No	AM3(A) – Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre
AM4 – Grand Waterfront	No	AM4(A) – EMSD Workshop*
AM5 – CCC Kei To Secondary School	No	N/A^
AM6 – Site 1B4 (Planned)		N/A
Noise Monitoring Stations		
M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School	No	M6(A) – Oblate Primary School
M7 – CCC Kei To Secondary School	Yes	N/A
M8 – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College	Yes	N/A
M9 – Tak Long Estate	Yes	N/A
M10 – Site 1B4 (Planned)		N/A

Remarks:

- “Yes” - Monitoring station is the same as that stated in EM&A Manual
- No - Monitoring station is not the same as that stated in EM&A Manual. Request for carrying monitoring works at the monitoring stations stated in EM&A Manual was rejected by owner of premise. Alternative monitoring stations were proposed by the ET of Schedule 3 EIA and approved by the EPD.
- N/A - No alternative monitoring station is required.
- *AM4(A) – EMSD Workshop was cancelled due to unsuccessful accessibility of the facility. 1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at AM4(B) – Ma Tau Kok Road (next to EMSD workshop) temporarily and 24-hr TSP monitoring was conducted at AM4(C) – New Pumping Station under Contract No. KL/2012/03.
- ^AM5(A) – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College was cancelled because no permission was granted from the premise. Air quality monitoring was carried out at AM5 – CCC Kei To Secondary School.

- 1.14 According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (EM&A Manual) of the Kai Tak Development (KTD) Schedule 3 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report, the impact monitoring at the designated monitoring stations as required in KTD EM&A Manual under the EP, has been conducted in Environmental Monitoring Works for Kai Tak Development under Schedule 3 of KTD, which is on-going starting from December 2010, when the impact monitoring data under Schedule 3 of KTD were adopted for the Project.
- 1.15 Although Contract no. KLN/2013/16 under Schedule 3 of KTD has been superseded by KLN/2016/09 since early March 2017, the ET continued to adopt the impact monitoring data under Schedule 3 of KTD until appropriate new arrangement is agreed. The KLN/2016/09 impact environmental monitoring schedule is shown in **Appendix D**.

Status of Compliance with Environmental Permits Conditions

1.16 The status of required submission related to this Project under the Environmental Permits No. EP-337/2009 and EP-344/2009 is summarized in the **Table 1.4** and **Table 1.5** respectively:

Table 1.4 Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-337/2009

EP Conditions	Submission	Submission Date	Remark
1.11	Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of Project	31 October 2013	For Road D2
2.3	Management Organization of Main Construction Companies	31 October 2013	For Contract No. KL/2012/03
2.4	Design Drawing(s) of the Project	28 October 2013	For Road D2
2.11	Landscape Mitigation Plan(s) for distributors road(s)	7 January 2014	For Road D2
2.12	As-built drawing(s) for the distributor road(s)	To be submitted at least one week before the commencement of operation of distributor road(s)	
3.2	Baseline Monitoring Report	26 November 2010 (Part I) 24 December 2010 (Part II)	/
3.3	Four hard copies and one electronic copy of the Monthly EM&A Report No. 43 (June 2017)	31 July 2017	Monthly EM&A Report for Contract No. KL/2012/03

Table 1.5 Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-344/2009

EP Conditions	Submission	Submission Date	Remark
1.11	Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of Project	31 October 2013	For Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS
2.3	Management Organization of Main Construction Companies	31 October 2013	For Contract No. KL/2012/03
2.4	Design Drawing(s) of the Project	28 October 2013	For Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS
2.11	Landscape Mitigation Plan(s) for sewage pumping station(s)	7 January 2014	For Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS
2.12	As-built drawing(s) for the sewage pumping station (s)	To be submitted at least one week before the commencement of operation of distributor road(s)	
3.2	Baseline Monitoring Report	26 November 2010 (Part I) 24 December 2010 (Part II)	/
3.3	Four hard copies and one electronic copy of the Monthly EM&A Report No. 43 (June 2017)	31 July 2017	Monthly EM&A Report for Contract No. KL/2012/03

1. AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 According to EM&A Manual under the Eps, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring were conducted to monitor the air quality for this Project. For regular impact monitoring, a sampling frequency of at least once in every six days at all of the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every six days shall be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 2.2 Five designated monitoring stations were selected for air quality monitoring programme. Impact dust monitoring was conducted at four of the air quality monitoring stations (AM2, AM3(A), AM4(C) and AM5). **Table 2.1** describes the air quality monitoring locations, which are also depicted in **Figure 2**.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Location of Measurement
AM2	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Rooftop (about 8/F) Area
AM3(A)	Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre	Rooftop (about 8/F) Area
AM4(C)	New Pumping Station	Rooftop (about 6/F) Area
AM5	CCC Kei To Secondary School	Rooftop (about 10/F) Area
#AM6	PA 15	Site 1B4 (Planned)

Remarks: # The impact monitoring at these locations will only be carried out until the sensitive receivers at the building are resided.

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.3 **Table 2.2** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air monitoring programme. Copies of calibration certificates and laboratory accreditation are attached in **Appendix B**.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
Calibrator	TE-2025A	2
1-hour TSP Dust Meter	TSI Model AM510 SidePak Personal Aerosol Monitor	3
	Laser Dust Monitor – Model LD-3, LD-3B/ Hal-HPC300/ 301	7
HVS Sampler	GMWS 2310 c/w of TSP sampling inlet	3

	TE-5170X	7
Wind Anemometer	Davis Weather Monitor, Vantage Pro2	1

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 2.4 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period. The air quality monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameters	Frequency
1-hr TSP	At least three times every 6 days
24-hr TSP	At least once every 6 days

Monitoring Methodology and Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedure

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Measuring Procedures

- 2.5 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:
- The 1-hour dust meter is placed at least 1.3 meters above ground.
 - Set POWER to "ON" and make sure that the battery level was not flash or in low level.
 - Allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes and then the cap of the air sampling inlet has been released.
 - Push the knob at MEASURE position.
 - Set time/mode setting to [BG] by pushing the time setting switch. Then, start the background measurement by pushing the start/stop switch once. It will take 6 sec. to complete the background measurement.
 - Push the time setting switch to change the time setting display to [MANUAL] at the bottom left of the liquid crystal display. Finally, push the start/stop switch to stop the measuring after 1 hour sampling.
 - Information such as sampling date, time, count value and site condition were recorded during the monitoring period.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.6 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the direct dust meters:
- Check and calibrate the meter by High-Volume Sampler (HVS) to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method at 2-month intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

Instrumentation

- 2.7 High volume samplers (HVS) (Model GMWS-2310 Accu-Vol) completed with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complied with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50). Moreover, the HVS also met all the requirements in section 2.5 of the updated EM&A Manual.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 2.8 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS were as follows:
- A horizontal platform was provided with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The sampler was more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
- 2.9 Prior to the commencement of the 24-hour TSP sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- 2.10 For 24-hour TSP sampling, fiberglass filters having a collection efficiency of $\geq 99\%$ for particles of 0.3 μ m (DOP) diameter were used.
- 2.11 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly. On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 2.12 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and a weighted and conditioned filter was carefully centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- 2.13 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 2.14 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.

- 2.15 The timer was then programmed so that the TSP will be sampled for 24 hours. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- 2.16 After completion of sampling, the filter was removed and sent to Wellab Ltd., which is accredited under HOKLAS for laboratory analysis. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 2.17 Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$; the relative humidity (RH) should be $< 50\%$ and not vary by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH is 40%.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.18 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
- The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
 - High volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using G25A Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
 - Orifice Transfer Standards were calibrated at yearly intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance

- 2.19 All other 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.20 All other 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.21 For 24-hr TSP monitoring results at AM2 and AM3(A), all results were adopted from Schedule 3, KLN/2016/09.
- 2.22 The air temperature, precipitation and the relative humidity data were obtained from Hong Kong Observatory where the wind speed and wind direction were recorded by the installed Wind Anemometer set at rooftop (about 8/F) Lee Kau Yan Memorial School. The location is shown in **Figure 4**. This weather information for the reporting month is summarized in **Appendix C**.
- 2.23 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices E and F** respectively.
- 2.24 The summary of exceedance record in the reporting month is shown in **Appendix H**. No exceedance in Action/Limit Levels of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP was recorded for the air quality monitoring.
- 2.25 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air

quality monitoring stations is as follows:

Table 2.4 Major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations

Station	Major Dust Source
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Road Traffic Dust Exposed site area and open stockpiles Site vehicle movement
AM3(A) – Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre	Road Traffic Dust Exposed site area Excavation works Site vehicle movement
AM4(C) – New Pumping Station under Contract No. KL/2012/03	Site vehicle movement
AM5 – CCC Kei To Secondary School	Road Traffic Dust

2. NOISE

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 According to EM&A Manuals under the EP, construction noise monitoring was conducted to monitor the construction noise arising from the construction activities within KTD. The regular monitoring frequency for each monitoring station shall be on a weekly basis to conduct one set of measurements between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.2 Five designated monitoring stations were selected for noise monitoring programme. Noise monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring stations (M6, M7, M8 and M9). **Figure 3** shows the locations of these stations.
- 3.3 Construction noise monitoring at Station M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School was rejected by the premise owner on 6th October 2014. The monitoring station has been relocated at a proposed alternative noise monitoring station M6(A) – Oblate Primary School since 10th October 2014 to carry out the monitoring works.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Location of Measurement
*M6(A)	Oblate Primary School	Rooftop (about 7/F) Area
M7	CCC Kei To Secondary School	Rooftop (about 8/F) Area
M8	Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College	Staircase Area (about 9/F)
M9	Tak Long Estate	Car Park Building (about 2/F)
#M10	Site 1B4 (Planned)	-

Remarks:

* Alternative noise monitoring station for M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School from 10th October 2014 onwards

The impact monitoring at these locations will only be carried out until existence of the sensitive receiver at the building.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.4 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment. Copies of calibration certificates are provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Qty.
Integrating Sound Level Meter	SVAN 955, 957	3
Calibrator	SVAN 30A & B&K4231	3

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.5 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring. The noise monitoring schedule is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Period	Frequency	Type of Measurement
M7 M8 M9	L ₁₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.) dB(A)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Once per week	Façade (*)
M6(A)	L ₁₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.) dB(A)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Once per week	Free Field (*)

(*) Refer to bullet point 1 and 2 in the following section.

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and be at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces. All records for free field noise levels was adjusted with a correction of +3 dB(A).
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - time measurement : 30 minutes
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₉₀ and L₁₀ were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused temporarily during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.6 The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator was cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- 3.7 The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals.
- 3.8 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance

- 3.9 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 3.10 The baseline noise level and the Noise Limit Level at each designated noise monitoring station are presented in **Table 3.4**.
- 3.11 Noise monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix G**.
- 3.12 The major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations is as follows:

Table 3.4 Major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Major Noise Source
M6(A)	Oblate Primary School	Road and marine traffic Noise
M7	CCC Kei To Secondary School	Road and marine traffic Noise
M8	Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College	Excavation works at the site (Contract No.: 1/WSD/14(K)) facing Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College
M9	Tak Long Estate	Road paving and asphalt paving works

Table 3.5 Baseline noise level and noise limit level for monitoring stations

Monitoring Stations	Baseline Noise Level, dB (A)	Noise Limit Level, dB (A)
M6(A)	63.9 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	70* (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)
M7	68.7 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	
M8	61.9 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	
M9	59.0 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	75 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)

(*) Noise Limit Level is 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

3. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS

3.1 According to Section 16.1.6 (vi) of the EM&A Manual, the EM&A data were compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in **Table 4.1** to **4.3** below.

Table 4.1 Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Station	Predicted 1-hr TSP conc.			
	Scenario1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), µg/m3	Scenario2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), µg/m3	Reporting Month (July 2017), µg/m3	
			Average	Range
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	290	312	53.8	22.7 – 97.1
AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre (Alternative station for Sky Tower)	217	247	67.1	43.1 – 98.7
AM4(C) – New Pumping Station	N/A	N/A	133.3	52.2 – 314.9
AM5– CCC Kei To Secondary School	159	221	122.4	36.4 – 326.9

Table 4.2 Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Station	Predicted 24-hr TSP conc.			
	Scenario1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), µg/m3	Scenario2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), µg/m3	Reporting Month (July 2017), µg/m3	
			Average	Range
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	145	169	29	23 - 36
AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre (Alternative station for Sky Tower)	106	138	39	18 - 54
AM4(C) – New Pumping Station	N/A	N/A	18.3	13.8 – 22.5
AM5 – CCC Kei To Secondary School	103	128	16.4	11.0 – 25.1

Table 4.3 Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions

Stations	Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels during Normal Working Hour ($L_{eq(30min)}$ dB(A))	Reporting Month (July 2017), $L_{eq(30min)}$ dB(A)
M6(A) - Oblate Primary School ^	N/A	61.2 – 64.8
M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School	45 – 68	61.1 – 67.2
M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College	44 – 70	52.8 – 62.1
M9 – Tak Long Estate	Not predicted in EIA Report	56.4 – 65.0

(^) Alternative noise monitoring station for M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School from 10th October 2014 onwards.

4. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1 According to EM&A Manual of the Kai Tak Development EIA Study, ET shall monitor and audit the contractor's activities during the construction period on a weekly basis, and to report on the contractor's performance.

Results and Observations

- 5.2 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 5.3 No non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.4 In accordance with the Action Plan presented in **Appendix J**, no corrective actions were required in the reporting month.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Site Audits

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 6, 14, 19 and 28 July 2017 in the reporting month. IEC site inspection was conducted on 19 July 2017. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 6.3 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Permit No.	Valid Period		Details	Status
	From	To		
Environmental Permit (EP)				
EP-337/2009	23/04/09	N/A	Construction of new distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak development.	Valid
EP-344/2009	23/04/09	N/A	Construction of a new sewage pumping station serving the planned Kai Tak development with installed capacity of more than 2,000 m ³ per day and a boundary of which is less than 150m from an existing or planned residential area or educational institution.	Valid
Effluent Discharge License				
WT00020971-2015	22/04/15	21/04/20	Discharge Licence for the discharge of wastewater from the construction site including contaminated surface run-off to the communal storm water drain	Valid
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer				
5213-286-K2958-05	--	--	Registration of chemical waste producer for chemical waste produced during construction of Stage 4 at former North Apron Area Infrastructure.	Valid
Construction Noise Permit				
GW-RE0149-17	29/03/17	28/09/17	Location: Heading 7A & 7B	Valid

Status of Waste Management

- 6.4 The amount of wastes generated by the major site activities of this Project during the reporting month is shown in **Appendix M**.
- 6.5 In respect of the dump truck cover, the Contractor is advised to take record photos and inspection to ensure that the skips of all dump trucks have been fully covered before leaving the site.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.6 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. ET weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting month and the observations and recommendations are summarized in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-337/2009

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
<i>Water Quality</i>	6 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Ponding water should be avoided.	Ponding water was cleared on 14 July 2017
	14 July 2017	<u>Observation:</u> Ponding water should be avoided.	Item was remarked as 170719-O01.
	19 July 2017	<u>Follow up:</u> Ponding water should be avoided.	Ponding water was cleared on 28 July 2017.
<i>Air Quality</i>	14 July 2017	<u>Observation:</u> Haul road should be sprayed with water regularly.	Haul road was observed wet on 19 July 2017.
	28 July 2017	<u>Observation:</u> Subbase at Portion 6 should be properly covered to prevent dust generation.	Follow up actions will be reported in the next month.
<i>Noise</i>	--	--	--
<i>Waste/Chemical Management</i>	--	--	--
<i>Landscape and Visual</i>	--	--	--
<i>Permits /Licences</i>	--	--	--

Table 6.3 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-344/2009

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
<i>Water Quality</i>	--	--	--
<i>Air Quality</i>	--	--	--

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
<i>Noise</i>	--	--	--
<i>Waste/Chemical Management</i>	--	--	--
<i>Landscape and Visual</i>	--	--	--
<i>Permits /Licences</i>	--	--	--

Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented

- 6.7 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 19 July 2017, the observations were recorded and they are presented as follows:

Follow up of last monthly audit:

- NIL

Observation(s) in the reporting month:

- No adverse environmental impacts were observed. No follow-up actions are required.

- 6.8 An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix K**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

- 6.9 The Event Action Plans for air quality, noise and landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix J**.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 6.10 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 6.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction Noise

- 6.12 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Landscape and visual

- 6.13 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 6.14 No environmental complaint and environmental prosecution was received in the reporting

month. The summaries of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution for the Project are presented in **Appendix L**.

6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.1 Major site activities undertaken for the coming two months include:

- Daily Cleaning
- Finishing works, E&M work in PS2
- Water test, backfill and sheet-pile removal in Heading 7A
- Chamber construction, DCS pipe installation, backfill and sheet-pile removal, water test, grouting in Heading 7B
- Backfill and sheet-pile removal, installation of valve in 1L4
- Road widening work (excavation and UU works) in (Portion 1) Sung Wong Toi Road
- Maintenance & Servicing Engineer's office in Portion 9
- Rising Main installation in Pit 2
- Rising Main installation in Pit 4
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 5
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 9
- Install fitting inside chamber in Pit 10
- Installation of drainage, UU laying works and
- Road works in Road D2
- Finishing works and E&M works in NPS
- UU works and Road Works in Road L19 and Bailey Street
- Refer construction works of NPS in portion 4 sewerage; and
- Removal of excavated material in Portion 6

7.2 The tentative construction program for the Project is provided in **Appendix N**.

Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.3 Key environmental issues in the coming month include:

1. Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
2. Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
3. Proper storage of construction materials on site;
4. Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
5. Accumulation of general and construction waste on site;
6. Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site; and
7. Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff.

7.4 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and environmental mitigation measures for the coming two months, i.e. August and September 2017 are summarized as follows:

Table 7.1 Summary of the tentative program of major site activities, the impact prediction and control measures for August and September 2017

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 7.1	Air quality impact (dust)	a) Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; b) Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and c) Watering of any earth moving activities.
	Water quality impact (surface run-off)	d) Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; e) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; f) Provision of site boundary bund such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and g) Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
	Noise Impact	h) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; i) Controlling the number of plants use on site; j) Regular maintenance of machines; and k) Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

7.5 The tentative environmental monitoring schedules for the next month are shown in **Appendix D**.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 8.1 Environmental monitoring works required under the EM&A Manual were performed in the reporting month and all monitoring results were checked and reviewed.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.2 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. 1-hour TSP concentrations in all stations in the reporting month were below the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.3 All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. 24-hour TSP concentrations in all stations in the reporting month were below the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report

Construction Noise Monitoring

- 8.4 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded. The construction noise levels in all stations in the reporting month were within the range of predicted mitigated construction noise levels in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report.

Complaints, Notification of any Summons and Prosecution Received

- 8.5 No environmental complaint and environmental prosecution was received in the reporting month. The summaries of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution for the Project are presented in **Appendix L**.

Recommendations

- 8.6 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Air Quality Impact

- To implement dust suppression measures on all haul roads, stockpiles, dry surfaces and excavation works.
- To mitigate the dust generation by adequate water spraying in dry days.

Noise Impact

- To inspect the noise sources inside the site.
- To disperse the locations of noisy equipments and position the equipments as far away as possible from sensitive receivers.
- To provide temporary noise barriers for operations of noisy equipment near the noise sensitive receivers in an appropriate location.

Water Impact

- To prevent any surface runoff discharge into any stream course.
- To review and implement temporary drainage system.
- To identify any wastewater discharges from site.
- To ensure properly maintenance for de-silting facilities.
- To clear the silt and sediment in the sedimentation tanks.
- To review the capacity of de-silting facilities for discharge.
- To divert all the water generated from construction site to de-silting facilities with enough handling capacity before discharge.

Waste/Chemical Management

- To check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- To ensure the performance of sorting of C&D materials at source (during generation);
- To avoid any discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the site.
- To provide proper storage area or drip trays for oil containers/ equipment on site.
- To avoid improper handling or storage of oil drum on site.

Landscape and Visual

- To protect the existing trees to be retained.
- To transplant the trees unavoidably affected by the works.
- To control of night-time lighting.
- To provide decorative screen hoarding.
- To complete landscape works at site area as early as possible.

Effectiveness of Environmental Management

- 8.7 The above recommendations and the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual were carried out by the Contractor during construction. No non-compliance was recorded during the environmental site inspections as shown in **Appendix I**.
- 8.8 The effectiveness of environmental management is satisfactory as the above recommendations are met. Some of the examples of mitigation measures for the following recommendations are given in **Table 8.1** below.
- Surface runoff discharge into any stream course is prevented;
 - Provision of sedimentation facilities after identification of wastewater discharges from site;
 - Discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the site is avoided;
 - Improper handling or storage of oil drum on site is avoided;
 - The existing trees to be retained are protected; and
 - Night-time lighting is controlled.


Table 8.1 Examples of Mitigation Measures for Environmental Recommendations

	
<p>To prevent any surface runoff discharge into any stream course.</p>	<p>Follow-up measure(s) after identification of wastewater discharges from site.</p>
	
<p>To avoid any discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the site</p>	<p>To avoid improper handling or storage of oil drum on site</p>
	 <p style="text-align: right; color: red;">19/7/2017</p>
<p>To protect the existing trees to be retained</p>	<p>To control of night-time lighting</p>

FIGURES




Title	KL/2012/03 - Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area	Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA13056	CINOTECH
	Site Layout Plan	Date	Sep-13	Figure	1	



Title Contract No. KL/2012/03
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
 Air Quality Monitoring Stations under this Project


Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA13056
Date	Apr-17	Figure	2





Title	Contract No. KL/2012/03		Scale	Project
	Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area		N.T.S	No. MA13056
	Noise Monitoring Stations under this Project		Date	Figure
			Dec-16	3






Wind Data Monitoring Equipment set at Lee Kau Yan Memorial School



Wind Monitoring Location	Northing	Easting
Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	821441.245	838153.917




Anemometer Station from The Hong Kong Observatory

Title Contract No. KL/2012/03
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
 Location of Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA13056
Date	Dec-13	Figure	4





Title	Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Management Structure	Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA13056	CINOTECH
		Date	Dec-16	Figure	5	

**APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS**

Appendix A - Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2	346	500
AM3(A)	351	
AM4(A)	371	
AM5(A)	345	

Table A-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2	157	260
AM3(A)	167	
AM4(A)	187	
AM5(A)	156	

Table A-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A) 70dB(A)/65dB(A)*

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. *70dB(A) and 65dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

**APPENDIX B
COPIES OF CALIBRATION
CERTIFICATES**

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

Station: AM4(C) - New Pumping Station under Contract KL/2012/03 Operator: HL File No. MA13056/62/0001
 Date: 23-May-17 Next Due Date: 22-Jul-17
 Equipment No.: A-01-62 Serial No. 2351

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	299.7	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.6

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Serial No.:	0993	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0578	Intercept, bc	-0.04890
Last Calibration Date:	28-Feb-17	$mc \times Q_{std} + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	27-Feb-18	$Q_{std} = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	13.4	3.65	63.97	7.7	2.76
2	10.2	3.18	55.92	6.0	2.44
3	8.3	2.87	50.53	5.0	2.23
4	5.1	2.25	39.79	3.1	1.75
5	3.3	1.81	32.17	2.3	1.51

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, $m_w =$ 0.0401 Intercept, $b_w =$ 0.1958

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9990

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take $Q_{std} = 43$ CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$m_w \times Q_{std} + b_w = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (m_w \times Q_{std} + b_w)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.71

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: hei Signature: hei Date: 23/5/2017
 Checked by: Wk Tang Signature: Kwari Date: 23/5/2017

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

Station: AM4(C) - New Pumping Station under Contract KL/2012/03 Operator: HL File No. MA13056/62/0002
 Date: 19-Jul-17 Next Due Date: 18-Sep-17
 Equipment No.: A-01-62 Serial No. 2351

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	299.6	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	760.3

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Serial No.:	0993	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0578	Intercept, bc	-0.04890
Last Calibration Date:	28-Feb-17	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	27-Feb-18	$Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	13.5	3.67	64.29	7.6	2.75
2	10.4	3.22	56.53	6.1	2.46
3	8.3	2.87	50.59	5.0	2.23
4	5.0	2.23	39.45	3.2	1.78
5	3.3	1.81	32.21	2.2	1.48

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0397 Intercept, bw = 0.2127
 Correlation coefficient* = 0.9998

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.70

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: hei Signature: hei Date: 19/7/2017
 Checked by: wk Tang Signature: Wk Tang Date: 19/7/2017

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

File No. MA13056/59/0001

Station AM5 - CCC Kei To Secondary School Operator: WK
 Date: 9-Jun-17 Next Due Date: 8-Aug-17
 Equipment No.: A-01-59 Serial No. 2354

Ambient Condition			
Temperature, Ta (K)	297.9	Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	758.1

Orifice Transfer Standard Information					
Serial No.:	0993	Slope, mc (CFM)	0.0578	Intercept, bc	-0.04890
Last Calibration Date:	28-Feb-17	$mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$			
Next Calibration Date:	27-Feb-18	$Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$			

Calibration of TSP Sampler					
Calibration Point	Orifice			HVS	
	ΔH (orifice), in. of water	$[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$	Qstd (CFM) X - axis	ΔW (HVS), in. of water	$[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis
1	16.7	4.08	71.50	10.6	3.25
2	14.3	3.78	66.23	9.1	3.01
3	10.8	3.28	57.67	6.8	2.60
4	6.8	2.60	45.93	4.3	2.07
5	4.2	2.05	36.28	2.8	1.67

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0452 Intercept, bw : 0.0151

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9997

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.84

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang Signature: [Signature]

Date: 9/6/17

Checked by: [Signature] Signature: [Signature]

Date: 9 June 2017



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Feb 28, 2017 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 294
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 0993 Pa (mm) - 750.57

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER	ORFICE
					DIFF Hg (mm)	DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3860	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9910	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8840	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8430	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6970	12.6	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9967	0.7191	1.4149	0.9957	0.7184	0.8851
0.9925	1.0015	2.0010	0.9915	1.0005	1.2517
0.9904	1.1204	2.2372	0.9894	1.1192	1.3995
0.9894	1.1737	2.3464	0.9884	1.1725	1.4678
0.9842	1.4120	2.8299	0.9832	1.4106	1.7702

Qstd slope (m) = 2.04055
 intercept (b) = -0.04890
 coefficient (r) = 0.99995

Qa slope (m) = 1.27776
 intercept (b) = -0.03059
 coefficient (r) = 0.99995

y axis = SQRT [H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta)]
 y axis = SQRT [H2O (Ta/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT (H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O (Ta/Pa)] - b}

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170707
Date of Issue:	2017-07-10
Date Received:	2017-07-07
Date Tested:	2017-07-07
Date Completed:	2017-07-10
Next Due Date:	2017-09-09

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 541146
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 625 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-07

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0034
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170630
Date of Issue:	2017-07-03
Date Received:	2017-06-30
Date Tested:	2017-06-30
Date Completed:	2017-07-03
Next Due Date:	2017-09-02

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Sibata
Model No.	: LD-3B
Serial No.	: 095029
Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM	: 0.001 mg/m ³
Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting	: 551 CPM
Equipment No.	: A-02-10

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 23 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 65 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	0.0036
-------------------------	--------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609K
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC300
Serial No.	: 3020411
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-26-04

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.133
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC301
Serial No.	: 3011701011
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-27-02

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.133
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609B
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC301
Serial No.	: 3011701017
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-27-04

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.077
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609F
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC301
Serial No.	: 3011701018
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-27-05

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:


1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.074
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609C
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Handheld Particle Counter
Manufacturer	: Hal Technology
Model No.	: Hal-HPC301
Serial No.	: 3011701014
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 count per 5 minutes
Equipment No.	: A-27-06

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.062
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161230
Date of Issue:	2017-01-03
Date Received:	2016-12-30
Date Tested:	2016-12-30
Date Completed:	2017-01-03
Next Due Date:	2018-01-02

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SVAN 955
Serial No.	: 14303
Microphone No.	: 35222
Equipment No.	: N-08-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual


Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

Remark: 1) This report supersedes the one dated 2012/01/21 with certificate number C/N/120120/1.

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/N/160826A
Date of Issue: 2016-08-29
Date Received: 2016-08-26
Date Tested: 2016-08-26
Date Completed: 2016-08-29
Next Due Date: 2017-08-28

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : SVANTEK
Model No. : SVAN 957
Serial No. : 21455
Microphone No. : 43730
Equipment No. : N-08-07

Test conditions:

Room Temperature : 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity : 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.: C/N/161128
Date of Issue: 2016-11-30
Date Received: 2016-11-28
Date Tested: 2016-11-28
Date Completed: 2016-11-30
Next Due Date: 2017-11-29

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : SVANTEK
Model No. : SVAN 957
Serial No. : 23853
Microphone No. : 48530
Equipment No. : N-08-10

Test conditions:

Room Temperature : 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity : 66%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:


In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930A
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24803
Equipment No.	: N-09-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Methodology:


The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930B
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24791
Equipment No.	: N-09-04

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%


Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930C
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24780
Equipment No.	: N-09-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2752	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.50	1.246	44.0	44.07
2	5.90	1.188	41.0	41.06
3	4.70	1.064	39.0	39.06
4	3.60	0.935	33.0	33.05
5	2.40	0.770	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{33.1537}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 43 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 2.5544 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9921$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21*m+b)/[sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2754	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.40	1.236	40.0	40.06
2	5.50	1.149	38.0	38.06
3	4.60	1.053	36.0	36.05
4	3.30	0.897	30.0	30.05
5	2.20	0.738	26.0	26.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{29.1511}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 40 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 4.4741 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9951$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21*m+b)/[sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2763	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.70	1.264	39.0	39.06
2	5.90	1.188	35.0	35.05
3	4.80	1.075	32.0	32.05
4	3.50	0.923	28.0	28.04
5	2.40	0.770	22.0	22.03

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{32.4948}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP)}} \quad b = -2.6780 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9945$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21*m+b)/[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2765	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.60	1.255	40.0	40.06
2	5.70	1.169	38.0	38.06
3	4.80	1.075	36.0	36.05
4	3.40	0.910	30.0	30.05
5	2.30	0.754	24.0	24.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{32.2966}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP)}} \quad b = 0.3031 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9936$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21*m+b)/[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2766	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	7.50	1.336	48.0	48.07
2	6.40	1.236	44.0	44.07
3	4.40	1.031	39.0	39.06
4	3.30	0.897	32.0	32.05
5	2.00	0.705	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m= 32.2524 b= 4.6824 Corr. Coeff= 0.9919

Sampler set point(SSP) 44 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)} - b]$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 * m + b) / [\sqrt{298/Tav}(Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2767	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.60	1.255	42.0	42.06
2	5.50	1.149	41.0	41.06
3	4.80	1.075	37.0	37.06
4	3.40	0.910	33.0	33.05
5	2.20	0.738	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m= 28.2377 b= 7.3012 Corr. Coeff= 0.9903

Sampler set point(SSP) 41 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)} - b]$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 * m + b) / [\sqrt{298/Tav}(Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.
 創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2758	Model:	TE-6170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	737.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In ₂ H ₂ O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.10	1.208	42.0	42.06
2	5.40	1.138	40.0	40.06
3	4.80	1.053	38.0	38.06
4	3.20	0.884	33.0	33.05
5	2.00	0.705	30.0	30.05

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m = 24.3862 b = 12.3264 Corr. Coeff = 0.9948

Sampler set point (SP) 42 CFM

Calculations

Qstd = 1/m{[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]}
 IC = I{[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]}

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = average temperature
 Psw = average pressure

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 (1.21*m+b){[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]}

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 295
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 2454 Pa (mm) - 745.49

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4020	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0060	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9010	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8590	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7090	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866	0.7037	1.4078	0.9957	0.7102	0.8896
0.9824	0.9765	1.9909	0.9914	0.9855	1.2581
0.9803	1.0880	2.2259	0.9893	1.0980	1.4066
0.9792	1.1399	2.3345	0.9882	1.1504	1.4753
0.9738	1.3735	2.8155	0.9828	1.3862	1.7792

Qstd slope (m) = 2.10326 Qa slope (m) = 1.31703
 intercept (b) = -0.06696 intercept (b) = -0.04232
 coefficient (r) = 0.99989 coefficient (r) = 0.99989

y axis = SQRT [H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta)] y axis = SQRT [H2O (Ta/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS


Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg) / 760] (298/Ta)
 Qstd = Vstd/Time
 Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg) / Pa]
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT (H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b }
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O (Ta/Pa)] - b }

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate of Dust Meter




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Conditions		Model	AM510
Temperature	75.16 (24.0) °F (°C)		
Relative Humidity	23.8 %RH		
Barometric Pressure	29.36 (994.2) inHg (hPa)		
		Serial Number	11208032
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As Left <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance <input type="checkbox"/> As Found <input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance			


Concentration Linearity Plot




System ID: DTH101-02

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17	Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17
DC Voltage	E003314	05-19-16	05-19-17	DC Voltage	E003315	05-19-16	05-19-17
Photometer	E003319	07-19-16	01-19-17	Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-02-18
Pressure	E003511	10-11-16	10-11-17	Flowmeter	E002471	04-26-16	04-26-17


 Tom Yang
 Calibrated

Final Function Check
 November 8, 2016
 Date




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING

TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA
Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Conditions		Model	AM510
Temperature	75.7 (24.3) °F (°C)		
Relative Humidity	26 %RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.93 (979.7) inHg (hPa)		
		Serial Number	11108001
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As Left <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Tolerance <input type="checkbox"/> As Found <input type="checkbox"/> Out of Tolerance			


Concentration Linearity Plot



System ID: DTH101-02


TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17	Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17
DC Voltage	E003314	05-19-16	05-19-17	DC Voltage	E003315	05-19-16	05-19-17
Photometer	E003319	07-19-16	01-19-17	Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-02-18
Pressure	E003511	10-11-16	10-11-17	Flowmeter	E002232	03-08-16	03-08-17


 Tom Yang
 Calibrated

Final Function Check
 December 20, 2016
 Date

Calibration Certificate of Dust Meter




CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AND TESTING
 TSI Incorporated, 500 Cardigan Road, Shoreview, MN 55126 USA
 Tel: 1-800-874-2811 1-651-490-2811 Fax: 1-651-490-3824 http://www.tsi.com

Environment Conditions			Model	AM510
Temperature	74.7 (23.7)	°F (°C)	Serial Number	11404005
Relative Humidity	33	%RH		
Barometric Pressure	28.55 (966.8)	inHg (hPa)		

As Left In Tolerance
 As Found Out of Tolerance

Concentration Linearity Plot




○ = In Tolerance
 ● = Out of Tolerance
 Tolerance : ±10%

System ID: DTH101-02

TSI Incorporated does hereby certify that all materials, components, and workmanship used in the manufacture of this equipment are in strict accordance with the applicable specifications agreed upon by TSI and the customer and with all published specifications. All performance and acceptance tests required under this contract were successfully conducted according to required specifications. There is no NIST standard for optical mass measurements. Calibration of this instrument performed by TSI has been done using emery oil and has been nominally adjusted to respirable mass per standard ISO 12103-1, A1 test dust (Arizona dust). Our calibration ratio is greater than 1.2:1

Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due	Measurement Variable	System ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Temp/Humidity	E005656	03-08-16	03-08-17	Temp/Humidity	E005657	03-16-16	03-16-17
DC Voltage	E003314	05-19-16	05-19-17	DC Voltage	E003315	05-19-16	05-19-17
Photometer	E003319	01-16-17	07-16-17	Microbalance	M001324	11-02-16	11-02-18
Pressure	E003511	10-11-16	10-11-17	Flowmeter	E002232	03-08-16	03-08-17



 Calibrated

Final Function Check

 Date


February 22, 2017

 Date

CASTCO

TSI PN 2300157

Certificate of Sound Level Meter



校准证书 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号: 2HB1700013-0001
Certificate No.



委托单位: Client	Castco Testing Centre Limited
委托方地址: Address	29A, On Chuen Street, On Lok Tsuen, Fanling, N.T.
仪器名称: Description	Sound Level Meter
型号规格: Model/Type	NL-52
制造商: Manufacturer	RION
机身号: Serial No.	00921213
管理号: Asset No.	AAST-SLM-04
校准日期: Cal. Date	2017年01月05日
建议再校日期: Next Cal. Date	2018年01月05日
结论: Conclusion	所校准项目合格(Passed at Calibration Items)

校准:
Calibrated by 罗志满


签发:
Approved by 郑木力

核验:
Inspected by 初西梅

印章:
Stamp

赛宝计量检测中心
广州总部地址: 广州天河区东莞庄路110号
香港分部地址: 香港上水剑桥广场G/F2
客服电话: 852-26680871 传真: 852-26686197
投诉电话: 852-26680936 020-87236789
邮件: cal@ceprei.com.hk
网址: www.ceprei-cal.com

CEPREI Calibration and Testing Center
H.Q. Addr: No.110 Dongguan Zhuang Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou
CEPREI(H.K.) Addr.: G/F2 Cambridge Plaza Shui N.T. Hong Kong
Tel: 852-26680871 Fax: 852-26686197
Complaint phone: 852-26680936 020-87236789
Email: cal@ceprei.com.hk
Website: www.ceprei-cal.com



校准证书 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号: 2HB16001326-0003
Certificate No.



委托单位: Client	Castco Testing Centre Limited
仪器名称: Description	SOUND LEVEL METER
型号规格: Model/Type	NL-52
制造商: Manufacturer	RION
机身号: Serial No.	00164461
管理号: Asset No.	AAST-SLM-06
校准日期: Cal. Date	2016年09月22日
建议再校日期: Next Cal. Date	2017年09月22日
结论: Conclusion	所校准项目合格(Passed at Calibration Items)

校准:
Calibrated by 初西梅

签发:
Approved by 郑木力

核验:
Inspected by

印章:
Stamp

赛宝计量检测中心
广州总部地址: 广州天河区东莞庄路110号
香港分部地址: 香港上水剑桥广场G/F2
客服电话: 852-26680871 传真: 852-26686197
投诉电话: 852-26680936 020-87236789
邮件: cal@ceprei.com.hk
网址: www.ceprei-cal.com

CEPREI Calibration and Testing Center
H.Q. Addr: No.110 Dongguan Zhuang Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou
CEPREI(H.K.) Addr.: G/F2 Cambridge Plaza Shui N.T. Hong Kong
Tel: 852-26680871 Fax: 852-26686197
Complaint phone: 852-26680936 020-87236789
Email: cal@ceprei.com.hk
Website: www.ceprei-cal.com

Calibration Certificate of Sound Calibrator


中国赛宝实验室
 (工业和信息化部电子第五研究所)
CEPREI CHINA CEPREI LABORATORY



校准证书 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号: 2HB17000084-0002
Certificate No.



委托单位: Client	Castco Testing Centre Limited
委托方地址: Address	29A, On Chuen Street, On Lok Tsuen, Fanling, N.T.
仪器名称: Description	Sound Level Calibrator
型号规格: Model/Type	NC-73
制造商: Manufacturer	RION
机身号: Serial No.	20652
管理号: Asset No.	AAST-SLC-01
校准日期: Cal. Date	2017年01月20日
建议再校日期: Next Cal. Date	2018年01月20日 [®]
结论: Conclusion	所校准项目合格(Passed at Calibration Items)

校准:
Calibrated by **罗志满**

签发:
Approved by **邹木力**

核验:
Inspected by **初雨梅**

印章:
Stamp

赛宝计量检测中心
广州总部地址: 广州天河区东莞庄路110号
香港分部地址: 香港上水剑桥广场G/P2
客服电话: 852-26680871 传真: 852-26686197
投诉电话: 852-26680936 020-87236739
邮件: cal@ceprei.com.hk
网址: www.ceprei-cal.com

CEPREI Calibration and Testing Center
H.Q. Addr: No.110 Dongguan Zhuang Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou
CEPREI(H.K.) Addr: G/F2 Cambridge Plaza Shuen Shui N.T. Hong Kong
Tel: 852-26680871 Fax: 852-26686197
Complaint phone: 852-26680936 020-87236739
Email: cal@ceprei.com.hk
Website: www.ceprei-cal.com


中国赛宝实验室
 (工业和信息化部电子第五研究所)
CEPREI CHINA CEPREI LABORATORY



校准证书 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号: 2HB16001157-0001
Certificate No.



委托单位: Client	Castco Testing Centre Limited
委托方地址: Address	29A, On Chuen Street, On Lok Tsuen, Fanling, N.T.
仪器名称: Description	SOUND LEVEL CALIBRATOR
型号规格: Model/Type	NC-74
制造商: Manufacturer	RION
机身号: Serial No.	34546624
管理号: Asset No.	AAST-SLC-03
校准日期: Cal. Date	2016年08月18日
建议再校日期: Next Cal. Date	2017年08月18日 [®]
结论: Conclusion	所校准项目合格(Passed at Calibration Items)

校准:
Calibrated by **罗志满**

签发:
Approved by **邹木力**

核验:
Inspected by **初雨梅**

印章:
Stamp

赛宝计量检测中心
广州总部地址: 广州天河区东莞庄路110号
香港分部地址: 香港上水剑桥广场G/P2
客服电话: 852-26680871 传真: 852-26686197
投诉电话: 852-26680936 020-87236789
邮件: cal@ceprei.com.hk
网址: www.ceprei-cal.com

CEPREI Calibration and Testing Center
H.Q. Addr: No.110 Dongguan Zhuang Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou
CEPREI(H.K.) Addr: G/F2 Cambridge Plaza Shuen Shui N.T. Hong Kong
Tel: 852-26680871 Fax: 852-26686197
Complaint phone: 852-26680936 020-87236789
Email: cal@ceprei.com.hk
Website: www.ceprei-cal.com

Calibration Certificate of Wind Anemometer



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

校准证书

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号 LC-20172600
Certificate No.

第 1 页 共 3 页
Page of

委托方 佳力高試驗中心有限公司
Client CASTCO TESING CENTRE LTD

地址 香港新界粉嶺安樂村安居街33號
Address 33 On Kui Street On Lok Tsue Fanling, N. T, H. K.

计量器具名称 Davis Weather Station
Measuring instruments

规格型号 Vantage Pro2
Model/Type

制造者
Manufacturer

编号 A70604D29N/自編號:EN52-01
Serial No.

主管 陈金明
Approved by

审核 林彩鑫
Inspected by

校准 岑锦明
Calibrated by

证书专用章
Issued by (Stamp)

校准日期 2017 年 03 月 14 日 建议校准周期 一年
Calibration Date Y M D The recommended calibration period

本院地址: 广州市广仁路11号 邮政编码: 510030 电话: 020-83362165 传真: 020-83369351
广州市科学城尖塔山路19号 邮政编码: 510663 电话: 020-32086301 传真: 020-32086300
开发区中心电话: 020-82223272 白云区中心电话: 020-36200320 南沙中心电话: 020-34970774
单位网址: www.gzjlj.net 业务邮箱: yewuban@gzjls.net 微信号: GZJLJC



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

说 明 DIRECTIONS

证书编号: LC-20172600
Certificate No.

第 2 页 共 3 页
Page of

1、本院是政府依法设置的法定计量检定机构, 工作职责为承担授权范围内的量值传递工作和向社会开展计量校准技术服务工作。
Guangzhou Institute of Measurement and Testing Technology (GIMTT) is a legal metrological organization set by government, which is responsible for value dissemination within authorization, and to provide metrological and calibration services for social benefit.

2、本院的质量管理体系符合 ISO/IEC 17025: 2005 标准的要求。
The quality system of GIMTT is in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025:2005.

3、本院出具的数据均可溯源到国家计量基准和SI单位标准。
All data issued by GIMTT are traceable to national measurement standards and SI unit standards.

4、本次校准所依据的技术文件是:
Reference documents for the calibration:
JJG 613-1989 《电接风向风速仪》检定规程
V.R. of Verification Regulation of Contact Anemorumbometer

5、本次校准所使用的计量标准是:
Standards of measurement used in the calibration:

设备名称/型号 Equipment/Model	编号 Serial No.	证书号 Certificate No.	技术特征 Technique character
皮托静压管(Pitot Tubes)	0471	NSC201750171/2022-02-16	MPE: ±0.01
补偿式微压计 (Compensated micromanometer)	200509032	LY-201534497/2017-12-19	准确度等级: 二等 Grade 2
空盒气压表(Aneroid barometer)	06-0008	LY-201619205/2017-07-01	MPE: ±2.5 hPa
风洞(Wind tunnel)	08	LC-20167300/2017-06-28	均匀性≤1% 稳定性≤0.5% MPE: ±5'
数显倾角仪(Digital Inclinator)	N2955	CJ-20169223/2017-5-25	

6、依据 JJF 1059.1-2012 《测量结果不确定度评定与表示》, 本次校准中部分测量结果的不确定度分别是:
The uncertainty of measurement results in accordance with JJF 1059.1-2012:
U=0.20 m/s; k=2

7、本次校准的地点与校准时的环境条件:
Site of the calibration and environmental conditions during the calibration:

地点 Site	温度 Temperature	相对湿度 RH
科学城实验室	19.4℃	53%

Calibration Certificate of Wind Anemometer



广州计量检测技术研究院

GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

校准结果

RESULTS OF CALIBRATION

证书编号 LC-20172600

原始记录号 17205J0338

第 3 页

共 3 页

Certificate No.

Page

Of

1、外观：正常

Appearance: Pass

2、空气密度修正系数(Correction factor of air density): 1.007;

总修正系数(Correction factor of total): 1.013;

大气压力 (Atmospheric pressure): 1018.0 hPa;

3、风速仪示值校准:

Indication calibrated of anemometer:

微压计示值 Indication of micromanometer (mmH ₂ O)	标准值 Values of standard (m/s)	仪器示值 Indication of anemometer (m/s)	修正值 Values of correction (m/s)
6.10	2.0	1.8	+0.2

4、风向角示值校准:

Indication calibrated of wind direction sensor:

标准值 (°) Values of standard	仪器示值 (°) Instrument Reading
0.0	0
45.0	45
90.0	90
135.0	135
180.0	180
225.0	225
270.0	270
315.0	315
360.0	360

以下空白

Spare part of this page is blank

注: 1、此结果只与受校准的项目有关。

2、未经本院书面批准, 不得部分复制此证书。

3、此证书无本院盖章无效。

Note: 1、The results relate only to the items verified.

2、This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our institute .

3、This certificate shall not be valid without stamp of our institute .

APPENDIX C
WEATHER INFORMATION

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

Date	Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)
1 July 2017	26.7 – 31.7	67 – 94	7.4
2 July 2017	26.2 – 30.3	79 – 91	8.8
3 July 2017	26.7 – 30.7	77 – 93	8.4
4 July 2017	25.3 – 28.6	84 – 97	32.3
5 July 2017	26.5 – 31.0	77 – 96	27.5
6 July 2017	25.8 – 28.7	87 – 97	16.3
7 July 2017	26.0 – 29.8	77 – 97	35.8
8 July 2017	26.3 – 28.9	86 – 96	12.8
9 July 2017	27.1 – 32.3	66 – 94	1.2
10 July 2017	25.7 – 32.1	65 – 88	0.6
11 July 2017	27.6 – 32.7	64 – 89	0
12 July 2017	27.9 – 32.9	66 – 88	Trace
13 July 2017	28.2 – 33.5	68 – 87	Trace
14 July 2017	27.4 – 32.8	70 – 93	2.3
15 July 2017	27.0 – 32.1	75 – 94	8.8
16 July 2017	26.1 – 28.5	83 – 97	21.0
17 July 2017	24.4 – 28.8	83 – 98	184.6
18 July 2017	24.6 – 27.8	91 – 99	134.3
19 July 2017	24.2 – 30.8	76 – 98	12.6

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

Date	Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)
20 July 2017	27.2 – 30.8	77 – 91	2.0
21 July 2017	27.6 – 32.2	67 – 91	0.2
22 July 2017	26.5 – 33.1	62 – 85	3.3
23 July 2017	25.6 – 28.8	73 – 98	46.5
24 July 2017	25.8 – 31.2	77 – 98	3.3
25 July 2017	27.7 – 33.1	63 – 88	Trace
26 July 2017	27.1 – 34.4	56 – 91	0
27 July 2017	28.0 – 30.6	74 – 85	Trace
28 July 2017	28.1 – 34.4	57 – 84	0
29 July 2017	28.8 – 33.8	64 – 79	0
30 July 2017	29.6 – 34.8	57 – 83	0
31 July 2017	29.8 – 32.4	68 – 86	0

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory.

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

Date	Prevailing Wind Direction (Degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
1 July 2017	200	7.2
2 July 2017	180	7.4
3 July 2017	160	10
4 July 2017	140	9
5 July 2017	100	13.5
6 July 2017	110	15.2
7 July 2017	110	10
8 July 2017	120	7.8
9 July 2017	140	7.5
10 July 2017	160	6.5
11 July 2017	130	10.1
12 July 2017	130	9.2
13 July 2017	110	11
14 July 2017	110	13.5
15 July 2017	100	16.8
16 July 2017	100	18.9
17 July 2017	110	11.4
18 July 2017	100	11.1
19 July 2017	130	10
20 July 2017	90	12.8
21 July 2017	100	15.3
22 July 2017	100	11.2
23 July 2017	100	12.9
24 July 2017	100	10.5
25 July 2017	140	11.4
26 July 2017	140	8.6
27 July 2017	100	12.8
28 July 2017	100	11.6
29 July 2017	230	9.1
30 July 2017	240	13.5
31 July 2017	240	15.7

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory

**APPENDIX D
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
SCHEDULES**

Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for July 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1-Jul
2-Jul	3-Jul	4-Jul	5-Jul	6-Jul	7-Jul	8-Jul
	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9	1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)		24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5	
9-Jul	10-Jul	11-Jul	12-Jul	13-Jul	14-Jul	15-Jul
	1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)		24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9		1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)
16-Jul	17-Jul	18-Jul	19-Jul	20-Jul	21-Jul	22-Jul
		24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9		1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)	
23-Jul	24-Jul	25-Jul	26-Jul	27-Jul	28-Jul	29-Jul
	24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9		1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)	24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	
30-Jul	31-Jul					
	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9					

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre
AM4(C) - New Pumping Station under Contract KL/2012/03
AM5 - CCC Kei To Secondary School

Noise Monitoring Station

M6(A) - Oblate Primary School
M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School
M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College
M9 - Tak Long Estate

Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Tentative Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for August 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1-Aug	2-Aug	3-Aug	4-Aug	5-Aug
			1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)	24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5	
6-Aug	7-Aug	8-Aug	9-Aug	10-Aug	11-Aug	12-Aug
		1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)	24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9		1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)
13-Aug	14-Aug	15-Aug	16-Aug	17-Aug	18-Aug	19-Aug
	1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)	24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9			1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A)
20-Aug	21-Aug	22-Aug	23-Aug	24-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug
	24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5 Noise M6(A) M7, M8, M9			1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A) 24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5	
27-Aug	28-Aug	29-Aug	30-Aug	31-Aug		
	1 hr TSP X3 AM4(C), AM5			1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A) 24-hr TSP AM4(C), AM5		

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre
AM4(C) - New Pumping Station under Contract KL/2012/03
AM5 - CCC Kei To Secondary School

Noise Monitoring Station

M6(A) - Oblate Primary School
M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School
M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College
M9 - Tak Long Estate

**APPENDIX E
1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	13:10	Cloudy	88.6
4-Jul-17	14:10	Cloudy	97.1
4-Jul-17	15:10	Cloudy	94.7
10-Jul-17	13:05	Sunny	64.4
10-Jul-17	14:05	Sunny	67.7
10-Jul-17	15:05	Sunny	65.5
15-Jul-17	13:10	Cloudy	31.7
15-Jul-17	14:10	Cloudy	34.0
15-Jul-17	15:10	Cloudy	36.3
21-Jul-17	13:05	Sunny	45.0
21-Jul-17	14:05	Sunny	48.7
21-Jul-17	15:05	Sunny	53.0
27-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	31.7
27-Jul-17	14:00	Cloudy	26.1
27-Jul-17	15:00	Cloudy	22.7
		Average	53.8
		Maximum	97.1
		Minimum	22.7



Location AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradury Centre			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	98.7
4-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	93.2
4-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	86.7
10-Jul-17	9:00	Sunny	70.9
10-Jul-17	10:00	Sunny	75.1
10-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	74.3
15-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	49.9
15-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	44.2
15-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	43.1
21-Jul-17	9:00	Sunny	50.2
21-Jul-17	10:00	Sunny	52.5
21-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	53.4
27-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	69.0
27-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	72.2
27-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	73.0
		Average	67.1
		Maximum	98.7
		Minimum	43.1

Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location AM4(C) - New Pumping Station			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
3-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	78.9
3-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	81.4
3-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	85.5
7-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	78.0
7-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	73.7
7-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	70.7
13-Jul-17	9:00	Sunny	64.8
13-Jul-17	10:00	Sunny	68.4
13-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	71.8
19-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	228.2
19-Jul-17	14:00	Cloudy	200.8
19-Jul-17	15:00	Cloudy	194.4
25-Jul-17	9:00	Sunny	52.2
25-Jul-17	10:00	Sunny	54.7
25-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	58.5
31-Jul-17	9:00	Fine	308.7
31-Jul-17	10:00	Fine	314.9
31-Jul-17	11:00	Fine	313.2
		Average	133.3
		Maximum	314.9
		Minimum	52.2



Location AM5 - CCC Kei To Secondary School			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
3-Jul-17	9:00	Cloudy	59.8
3-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	62.1
3-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	65.1
7-Jul-17	14:30	Cloudy	70.3
7-Jul-17	15:30	Cloudy	74.8
7-Jul-17	16:30	Cloudy	67.3
13-Jul-17	9:00	Sunny	60.8
13-Jul-17	10:00	Sunny	67.2
13-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	59.4
19-Jul-17	13:30	Cloudy	152.5
19-Jul-17	14:30	Cloudy	171.6
19-Jul-17	15:30	Cloudy	204.3
25-Jul-17	8:35	Cloudy	39.0
25-Jul-17	9:35	Cloudy	41.4
25-Jul-17	10:35	Cloudy	36.4
31-Jul-17	8:30	Fine	319.6
31-Jul-17	9:30	Fine	326.9
31-Jul-17	10:30	Fine	324.6
		Average	122.4
		Maximum	326.9
		Minimum	36.4

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Contract No. KL/2012/03	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13056	CINOTECH
		Date Jul 17	Appendix E	

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13056	CINOTECH
	Date Jul 17	Appendix E	

**APPENDIX F
24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix F - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Monitoring data at AM2 and AM3(A) was conducted by Castco

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School

Start Date	Weather Condition	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	Sunny	24
10-Jul-17	Sunny	32
15-Jul-17	Cloudy	23
21-Jul-17	Cloudy	29
27-Jul-17	Sunny	36
	Min	23
	Max	36
	Average	29

Location AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre

Start Date	Weather Condition	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	Sunny	38
10-Jul-17	Sunny	18
15-Jul-17	Cloudy	38
21-Jul-17	Cloudy	54
27-Jul-17	Sunny	49
	Min	18
	Max	54
	Average	39



Location AM4(C) - New Pumping Station under Contract KL/2012/03

Start Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (K)	Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Flow Rate ($\text{m}^3/\text{min.}$)		Av. flow (m^3/min)	Total vol. (m^3)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
				Initial	Final		Initial	Final		Initial	Final			
6-Jul-17	Sunny	301.4	759.1	2.8759	2.9048	0.0289	1509.2	1533.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1743.6	16.6
12-Jul-17	Sunny	302.6	761.7	2.8117	2.8357	0.0240	1533.2	1557.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1743.1	13.8
18-Jul-17	Cloudy	297.9	761.4	2.8629	2.9024	0.0395	1557.2	1581.2	24.0	1.22	1.22	1.22	1758.0	22.5
24-Jul-17	Cloudy	299.4	757.3	2.8462	2.8761	0.0299	1581.2	1605.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1748.0	17.1
28-Jul-17	Cloudy	303.6	756.7	2.8725	2.9100	0.0375	1605.2	1629.2	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1733.6	21.6
													Min	13.8
													Max	22.5
													Average	18.3

Location AM5 - CCC Kei To Secondary School



Start Date	Weather Condition	Air Temp. (K)	Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Elapse Time		Sampling Time(hrs.)	Flow Rate ($\text{m}^3/\text{min.}$)		Av. flow (m^3/min)	Total vol. (m^3)	Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
				Initial	Final		Initial	Final		Initial	Final			
6-Jul-17	Sunny	302.0	759.7	2.8524	2.8743	0.0219	3122.2	3146.2	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1731.9	12.6
12-Jul-17	Sunny	302.5	761.3	2.8743	2.9004	0.0261	3146.2	3170.2	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1732.3	15.1
18-Jul-17	Cloudy	297.6	761.8	2.8775	2.8968	0.0193	3170.2	3194.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1747.2	11.0
24-Jul-17	Cloudy	299.3	757.7	2.8564	2.8881	0.0317	3194.2	3218.2	24.0	1.21	1.21	1.21	1737.4	18.2
28-Jul-17	Cloudy	303.5	756.4	2.8399	2.8831	0.0432	3218.2	3242.2	24.0	1.20	1.20	1.20	1723.8	25.1
													Min	11.0
													Max	25.1
													Average	16.4

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale	Project		
		N.T.S		No. MA13056
	Date	Jul 17		Appendix F

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S	Project No. MA13056	CINOTECH
	Date Jul 17	Appendix F	

**APPENDIX G
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix G - Noise Monitoring Results



Location M6(A) - Oblate Primary School							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
3-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	61.4	62.9	59.4	63.9	61.4 Measured ≤ Baseline
13-Jul-17	10:15	Sunny	67.4	69.3	63.5		64.8
19-Jul-17	11:00	Cloudy	62.1	67.8	58.6		62.1 Measured ≤ Baseline
25-Jul-17	9:35	Sunny	62.6	64.1	61.0		62.6 Measured ≤ Baseline
31-Jul-17	10:00	Cloudy	61.2	63.3	60.3		61.2 Measured ≤ Baseline

Location M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
3-Jul-17	9:10	Cloudy	69.5	71.9	66.0	68.7	61.8
13-Jul-17	9:10	Sunny	69.4	72.6	67.0		61.1
19-Jul-17	14:00	Cloudy	67.2	71.0	64.0		67.2 Measured ≤ Baseline
25-Jul-17	9:10	Cloudy	69.5	72.0	65.5		61.8
31-Jul-17	11:15	Cloudy	65.2	66.7	63.1		65.2 Measured ≤ Baseline

Location M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
3-Jul-17	14:00	Cloudy	61.7	63.4	59.1	61.9	61.7 Measured ≤ Baseline
13-Jul-17	11:30	Sunny	65.0	66.6	61.1		62.1
19-Jul-17	15:00	Cloudy	63.4	65.8	61.0		58.1
25-Jul-17	11:00	Sunny	63.5	64.9	60.3		58.4
31-Jul-17	13:15	Cloudy	62.4	64.2	60.2		52.8

Location M9 - Tak Long Estate							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
3-Jul-17	15:00	Cloudy	65.4	67.1	62.4	59.9	64.0
13-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	64.7	66.3	62.5		63.0
19-Jul-17	9:20	Cloudy	66.2	68.3	62.5		65.0
25-Jul-17	17:20	Sunny	61.5	63.2	59.0		56.4
31-Jul-17	14:55	Cloudy	64.5	65.9	62.2		62.7



Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S Date Jul 17	Project No. VA13056 Appendix G	
---	----------------------------------	---	--

Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	Scale N.T.S Date Jul 17	Project No. VA13056 Appendix G	
---	----------------------------------	---	--

APPENDIX H
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Contract No. KL/2012/03

Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix H – Summary of Exceedance

Exceedance Report for Contract No. KL/2012/03

(A) Exceedance Report for Air Quality
(NIL in the reporting month)

(B) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise
(NIL in the reporting month)

(C) Exceedance Report for Landscape and Visual
(NIL in the reporting month)

**APPENDIX I
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY**

Contract No. KL/2012/03

Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area



EP-337/2009 - New Distributor Roads serving the Planned Kai Tak Development

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary

Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170706
Date	6 July 2017
Time	11:00-12:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
170706-R01	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Ponding water should be avoided.	B 8
	C. Air Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits / Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170630), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Carrie Leung		6 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		6 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2012/03



Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

EP-337/2009 - New Distributor Roads serving the Planned Kai Tak Development

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170714
Date	14 July 2017
Time	10:00-11:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
170714-O01	• Ponding water should be avoided.	B 8
	C. Air Quality	
170714-O02	• Haul road should be sprayed with water regularly.	C 5
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170706), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Carrie Leung		14 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		14 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2012/03



Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

EP-337/2009 - New Distributor Roads serving the Planned Kai Tak Development

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170719
Date	19 July 2017
Time	14:00-16:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
170719-001	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Ponding water should be avoided.	B 8
	C. Air Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170714), item 170714-O01 was remarked as 170719-O01.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Carrie Leung		19 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		19 July 2017



Contract No. KL/2012/03

**Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
EP-337/2009 - New Distributor Roads serving the Planned Kai Tak Development**

**Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information**

Checklist Reference Number	170728
Date	28 July 2017
Time	14:00-16:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
170728-R01	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Subbase at Portion 6 should be properly covered to prevent dust generation.	C 6
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit session (Ref. No.: 170719), all environmental deficiencies were improved/rectified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		28 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		28 July 2017



Contract No. KL/2012/03

**Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
EP-344/2009 - New Sewage Pumping Stations serving Kai Tak Development**

**Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information**

Checklist Reference Number	170706
Date	6 July 2017
Time	11:00-12:00



Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170630), no environmental deficiencies was observed during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Carrie Leung		7 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		7 July 2017

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
 Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170714
Date	14 July 2017
Time	10:00-11:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170706), no environmental deficiencies was observed during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Carrie Leung		14 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		14 July 2017



Contract No. KL/2012/03

**Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
EP-344/2009 - New Sewage Pumping Stations serving Kai Tak Development**

**Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information**

Checklist Reference Number	170719
Date	19 July 2017
Time	14:00-16:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 170714), no environmental deficiencies was observed during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Carrie Leung		19 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		19 July 2017



Contract No. KL/2012/03

**Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
EP-344/2009 - New Sewage Pumping Stations serving Kai Tak Development**

**Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information**

Checklist Reference Number	170728
Date	28 July 2017
Time	14:00-16:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit session (Ref. No.: 170719), no environmental deficiencies was observed during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		28 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		28 July 2017

APPENDIX J
EVENT ACTION PLANS

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contactor, IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; 3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 4. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 5. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results.</p>	<p>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</p>	<p>implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues.</p>	<p>working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals.</p>
<p>Limit Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Arrange meeting with IEC, ER and Contractor to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET, ER and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Submit further remedial actions if problem still not under control; 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
Limit Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals;

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures;</p> <p>6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required;</p> <p>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>measures to be implemented;</p> <p>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures;</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control;</p> <p>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
--	---	---	---	--

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

EVENT ACTION LEVEL	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Design Check	1. Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report.	1. Check report. 2. Recommend remedial design if necessary	1. Undertake remedial design if necessary	
Non-conformity on one occasion	1. Identify Source 2. Inform IEC and ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	1. Check report 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Check implementation of remedial measures.	1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	1. Identify Source Inform IEC and	1. Check monitoring report	1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly	1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>ER</p> <p>2. Increase monitoring frequency</p> <p>3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor</p> <p>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed</p> <p>5. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring</p>	<p>2. Check Contractor's working method</p> <p>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures</p> <p>4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures</p> <p>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>implemented</p>	<p>undertake any necessary replacement</p>
--	---	--	--------------------	--

**APPENDIX K
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)**

Appendix K - Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

Types of Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Status
<p align="center">Construction Dust</p>	<p>8 times daily watering of the work site with active dust emitting activities.</p>	<p align="center">^</p>
	<p>Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimize cumulative dust impacts.</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stockpiling site(s) should be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles should be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Misting for the dusty material should be carried out before being loaded into the vehicle. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any vehicle with an open load carrying area should have properly fitted side and tail boards. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material having the potential to create dust should not be loaded from a level higher than the side and tail boards and should be dampened and covered by a clean tarpaulin. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tarpaulin should be properly secured and should extend at least 300 mm over the edges of the sides and tailboards. The material should also be dampened if necessary before transportation. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The vehicles should be restricted to maximum speed of 10 km per hour and confined haulage and delivery vehicle to designated roadways insider the site. On-site unpaved roads should be compacted and kept free of lose materials. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every vehicle exit point. 	<p align="center">^</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. 	<p align="center">^</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Every main haul road should be scaled with concrete and kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire road surface wet. 	<p align="center">^</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides. 	<p align="center">^</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites. 	<p align="center">^</p>	

Construction Noise	Use of quiet PME, movable barriers barrier for Asphalt Paver, Breaker, Excavator and Hand-held breaker and full enclosure for Air Compressor, Bar Bender, Concrete Pump, Generator and Water Pump	^
	Good Site Practice:	^
	• Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program.	N/A(1)
	• Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program.	^
	• Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.	^
	• Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.	^
	• Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs.	^
	• Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.	^
	Scheduling of Construction Works during School Examination Period	^
	(i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2; and	N/A
	(ii) Provision of structural fins	N/A
	(i) Avoid the sensitive façade of class room facing Road L2 and L4; and	N/A
	(ii) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2 & L4	N/A
	(i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L4 before occupation of Site 111; and	N/A
(ii) Setback of building about 5m from site boundary.	N/A	
Setback of building about 35m to the northwest direction at 1L3 and 5m at Site 1L2.	N/A	
(i) avoid any sensitive façades with openable window facing the existing Kowloon City Road network; and	N/A	
(ii) for the sensitive facades facing the To Kwa Wan direction, either setback the facades by about 5m to the northeast direction or do not provide the facades with openable window.	N/A	

	<p>(i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the existing To Kwa Wan Road or</p> <p>(ii) provision of 17.5m high noise tolerant building fronting To Kwa Wan Road and restrict the height of the residential block(s) located at less than 55m away from To Kwa Wan Road to no more than 25m above ground.</p> <p>(i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the slip road connecting Prince Edward Road East and San Po Kong or other alternative mitigation measures and at-source mitigation measures for the surrounding new local roads to minimise the potential traffic noise impacts from the slip road</p> <hr/> <p>All the ventilation fans installed in the below will be provided with silencers or acoustics treatment.</p> <p>(i) SPS (ii) ESS (iii) Tunnel Ventilation Shaft (iv) EFTS depot</p> <p>Installation of retractable roof or other equivalent measures</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p>
<p>Construction Water Quality</p>	<p>The following mitigation measures are proposed to be incorporated in the design of the SPS at KTD, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dual power supply or emergency generator should be provided at all the SPSs to secure electrical power supply; • Standby pumps should be provided at all SPSs to ensure smooth operation of the SPS during maintenance of the duty pumps; • An alarm should be installed to signal emergency high water level in the wet well at all SPSs; and • For all unmanned SPSs, a remote monitor system connecting SPSs with the control station through telemetry system should be provided so that swift actions could be taken in case of malfunction of unmanned facilities. <p><u>Land-based Construction</u></p> <p><i>Construction Runoff</i></p> <p>Exposed soil areas should be minimised to reduce the potential for increased siltation, contamination of runoff, and erosion. Construction runoff related impacts associated with the above ground construction activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use of sediment traps • adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow 	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

	<p>Construction site should be provided with adequately designed perimeter channel and pre-treatment facilities and proper maintenance. The boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94.</p> <p>Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.</p> <p>Sediment tanks of sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m³ capacity, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity is flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and particularly suited to applications where the influent is pumped.</p> <p>Open stockpiles of construction materials (for examples, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</p> <p>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.</p> <p>Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events.</p> <p>Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain.</p>	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
--	--	--

	<p>All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and located wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit, and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</p> <p><i>Drainage</i></p> <p>It is recommended that on-site drainage system should be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps should be installed in order to minimise the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge into foul sewers. There should be no direct discharge of effluent from the site into the sea.</p> <p>All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required.</p> <p>All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching the coastal waters of the Victoria Harbour WCZ.</p> <p><i>Sewage Effluent</i></p> <p>Construction work force sewage discharges on site are expected to be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage may need to be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.</p> <p><i>Stormwater Discharges</i></p> <p>Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned seawater intakes</p>	<p>*</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>N/A</p>
--	--	---

	<p><i>Debris and Litter</i></p> <p>In order to maintain water quality in acceptable conditions with regard to aesthetic quality, contractors should be required, under conditions of contract, to ensure that site management is optimised and that disposal of any solid materials. litter or wastes to marine waters does not occur</p> <p><i>Construction Works at or in Close Proximity of Storm Culvert or Seafront</i></p> <p>The proposed works should preferably be carried out within the dry season where the flow in the drainage channel /storm culvert/ nullah is low.</p> <p>The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified to reduce the disturbance to the bottom sediment at the drainage channel /storm culvert / nullah.</p> <p>Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, filling materials, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works.</p> <p>Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses.</p> <p>Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers.</p> <hr/> <p>Construction activities, which generate large amount of wastewater, should be carried out in a distance away from the waterfront, where practicable.</p> <hr/> <p>Mitigation measures to control site runoff from entering the nearby water environment should be implemented to minimize water quality impacts. Surface channels should be provided along the edge of the waterfront within the work sites to intercept the runoff.</p> <p>Construction effluent, site run-off and sewage should be properly collected and/or treated.</p> <p>Any works site inside the storm water courses should be temporarily isolated, such as by placing of sandbags or silt curtains with lead edge at bottom and properly supported props to prevent adverse impact on the storm water quality.</p> <p>Silt curtain may be installed around the construction activities at the seafront to minimize the potential impacts due to accidental spillage of construction materials.</p> <p>Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil/mud from slipping into the storm culvert/drainage channel/sea.</p>	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
--	---	---

	<p>Supervisory staff should be assigned to station on site to closely supervise and monitor the works</p> <p>Marine water quality monitoring and audit programme shall be implemented for the proposed sediment treatment operation.</p> <p>Good Site Practices It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are adhered to. Recommendations for good site practices during construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures • Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal • Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites) <p>Waste Reduction Measures Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sort C&D waste from demolition of the remaining structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal • Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force • Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled • Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
--	--	---

Construction and Demolition Material

Mitigation measures and good site practices should be incorporated into contract document to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C&D material. The mitigation measures include:

- Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the Project work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles should be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible
- Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric
- Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting
- Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site
- The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores
- The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle
- All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet
- The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading

When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material should consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system as stipulated in the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 "Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials" should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.

Chemical Waste

After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility, in accordance with the *Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation*

^

^

^

^

^

^

^

^

^

^

	<p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Effective collection and storage methods (including enclosed and covered area) of site wastes would be required to prevent waste materials from being blown around by wind, wastewater discharge by flushing or leaching into the marine environment, or creating odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem.</p>	^
Landscape and Visual	<p>CM1 All existing trees should be carefully protected during construction.</p>	^
	<p>CM2 Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWBC 2/2004 and 3/2006. Final locations of transplanted trees should be agreed prior to commencement of the work.</p>	N/A
	<p>CM3 Control of night-time lighting.</p>	^
	<p>CM4 Erection of decorative screen hoarding.</p>	^

Remarks:	^ Compliance of mitigation measure;
	X Non-compliance of mitigation measure;
	N/A Not Applicable at this stage; N/A(1) Not observed;
	• Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor;
	* Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.

**APPENDIX L
SUMMARIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLAINT, WARNING, SUMMON
AND NOTIFICATION OF SUCCESSFUL
PROSECUTION**

Contract No. KL/2012/03

Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix L – Summary of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution

Reporting Month: July 2017

Warnings / Summons and Successful Prosecutions received in the reporting month

Log Ref.	Received Date	Details of Warning / Summons and Successful Prosecutions	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Remarks: No warning/summon and prosecution were received in the reporting period.

Complaint Log

EPD Complaint Ref No.	Date of Complaint	Complaint Details	Investigation / Mitigation Action	Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**APPENDIX M
GENERATED WASTE QUANTITY**

APPENDIX IV
Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table
 (PS Clause 1.86)


Name of Department: CEDD



Contract No. : KL/2012/03


Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for July 2017 (year) (in tons)


Month	Total Disposal Loads	Total Quantity Generated	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly					Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	(No.s)	(in tons)	Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemicals Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
			(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)	(in tons)
2013 (Oct - Dec) Sub-Total	108	463.69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	463.69
2014 (Jan – Dec) Sub-Total	24	16925.7	0	0	16798.93	83.66	1804.27	0	0	0	0	43.11
2015 (Jan – Dec) Sub-Total	284	81859.97	0	0	38291.91	43457.21	19920	0	0	0	0	310.26
2015 (Jan – Dec) Sub-Total	3369	50762.64	0	0	0	49894.67	4020	0	0	0	0	867.95
Jan-17	23	107.63	0	0	0	58.53	0	0	0	0	0	39.1
Feb-17	1227	18948.76	0	0	0	18898.13	0	0	0	0	0	50.63
Mar-17	307	4426.51	0	0	0	4379.15	0	0	0	0	0	157.74
Apr-17	124	1741.5	0	0	0	1703.61	0	0	0	0	0	37.89
May-17	111	1608.02	0	0	0	1590.33	0	0	0	0	0	17.69
Jun-17	176	2649.19	0	0	0	2631.73	0	0	0	0	0	17.46
Jul-17	123	1732.3	0	0	0	1688.75	0	0	0	0	0	43.55
Aug-17												
Sep-17												
Oct-17												
Nov-17												
Dec-17												
Total	5876	181225.91	0	0	55090.84	124385.8	25744.27	0	0	0	0	2049.07

APPENDIX N
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME














Critical tasks
 Non-critical tasks
 Working days
 Inactive Milestone
 Inactive Summary
 Manual Task
 Duration-only
 Manual Summary Rollup
 Manual Summary
 Start-only
 Finish-only
 External Tasks
 External Milestone







Legend: Critical tasks (red hatched), Non-critical tasks (blue hatched), Working days (solid black), Inactive Milestone (dashed black), Inactive Summary (solid black), Manual Task (solid black), Duration-only (dotted), Manual Summary Rollup (dotted), Manual Summary (dotted), Start-only (dotted), Finish-only (dotted), External Milestone (solid black), External Tasks (solid black).






Programme for Installation of DCS Pipelines (Revised Design) within Portion 3







MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix C

Monthly EM&A Report For

Contract No. KL/2014/01

**Kai Tak Development - Stage 2 Infrastructure works for Developments at Southern Part of
the Former Runway**

Civil Engineering and Development Department


EP-337/2009 & EP-445/2013/A

Contract No. KL/2014/01

**Kai Tak Development –
Stage 2 Infrastructure works for Developments at
Southern Part of the Former Runway**

**Monthly EM&A Report
July 2017**

(Version 1.0)

Approved By 
(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,

Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388

Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

嘉誠管理顧問有限公司

Ka Shing management consultant Limited



Our ref: 7-8-2017

7 th August 2017

By email: clive.cheng@aecom-ktd.com and By post

Supervising Officer Representative

Aecom Asia Co Ltd.

8/F Grand Central Plaza Tower 2

138 Shatin Rural Committee Road

Sha Tin, N.T. Hong Kong

(Attn: Mr. Cheng Chi Hung)

Dear Mr. Cheng,

Re: Contract No. KL/2014/01 (Environmental Permit Nos. EP-337/2009 and EP-445/2013/A)

Kai Tak Development –Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at Southern Part of the Former Runway

Monthly EM&A report for July 2017

Reference is made to the Environmental Team's submission of the draft Monthly EM&A Report (version 1.0) for July 2017 provided to Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) via email dated on 4 th August 2017 for review and comment.

Please be informed that IEC has no adverse comment on the captioned submission. IEC writes to verify the captioned submission in accordance with Specific Condition 2.2 of the Environmental Permit No. 337/2009 and 445/2013/A.

Thank you very much for your attention and please feel free to contact the undersigned should you require further information.

Yours faithfully,

For and on behalf of

Ka Shing Management Consultant Limited


Dr. C.F. Ng

Independent Environmental Checker

c.c.	CEDD	Mr. Ronald Siu	(By email: ronaldsiu@cedd.gov.hk)
	AECOM	Mr. Anthony Lok	(By email: anthony.lok@aecom-ktd.com)
	CEC-CCC	Mr. Andrew Wong	(By email: andrew-wong@continental-engineering.com)
	Cinotech	Dr. Priscilla Choy	(By email: priscilla.choy@cinotech.com.hk)
	SFK	Ms Alice Leung	(By email: aliceleung@sfk.com.hk)

Unit 2, 13/F Kai Yue Commercial Building, 2C Argyle St, Mong Kok, Kowloon

九龍旺角亞皆老街 2C 號啟如商業大廈 13 樓 2 室

Tel: (852) 2618 2166

Fax: (852) 2120 7752

Wed Site: <http://www.ka-shing.net>

電話: (852) 2618 2166

傳真: (852) 2120 7752

網站: <http://www.ka-shing.net>



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction.....	1
Environmental Monitoring Works.....	1
Environmental Licenses and Permits.....	2
Key Information in the Reporting Month.....	2
Future Key Issues	2
1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
Background.....	3
Project Organizations.....	4
Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month	4
Summary of EM&A Requirements	5
2. AIR QUALITY	6
Monitoring Requirements.....	6
Observations	6
3. NOISE	7
Monitoring Requirements	7
Observations	7
4. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL.....	8
Monitoring Requirements	8
Results and Observations.....	8
5. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT.....	9
Site Audits	9
Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	9
Status of Waste Management	9
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	10
Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented	10
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	10
Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution	10
6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	11
Key Issues for the Coming Month.....	11
7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	13
Conclusions.....	13
Recommendations.....	13

LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Non-compliance Recorded for the Project in the Reporting Month
Table II	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 1.2	Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures
Table 5.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 5.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Layout Plan
----------	------------------

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Action and Limit Levels
B	Summary of Exceedance
C	Site Audit Summary
D	Event Action Plans
E	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
F	Summaries of Environmental Complaint, Warning, Summon and Notification of Successful Prosecution
G	Waste Generated Quantity

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 16th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Ltd. for “Contract No. KL/2014/01 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway” (Hereafter referred to as “the Project”). This contract work comprises two Schedule 2 designated projects (DP), namely the new distributor road D4(part) and roads D3A & D4A serving the planned KTD. The DPs are part of the designated projects under Environmental Permits (EP) No.: EP-337/2009 (“New distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak Development”) and EP-445/2013/A (“Kai Tak Development – Roads D3A & D4A”) respectively. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 – 31 July 2017.
2. With reference to the same principle of EIA report of the Project, no air quality monitoring station within 500m and noise monitoring station within 300m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. In such regard, no relevant air quality and noise monitoring location are required for monitoring under the Project. The monitoring works for recommended monitoring stations in EM&A Manual of the DPs are conducted by Kai Tak Development (KTD) Schedule 3 Project.
3. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Watermain works;
 - TTA implementation at Shing Fung Road and Wang Chiu Road / Sheung Yee Road;
 - Open excavation and/or ELS installation for box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, sewer and manholes;
 - Construction of box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, columns, sewer and manholes; and
 - Erection of falseworks for Landscaped Deck.

Environmental Monitoring Works

4. Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the monitoring results were checked and reviewed. Site Inspections/Audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
5. Summary of the non-compliance in the reporting month for the Project is tabulated in Table I.

Table I Non-compliance Recorded for the Project in the Reporting Month

Parameter	No. of Project-related Exceedance		Action Taken
	Action Level	Limit Level	
Noise	0	0	N/A

Environmental Monitoring for Air Quality and Construction Noise

6. No monitoring for air quality and construction noise is required. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

7. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permits (EP) for the Project, EP-337/2009 issued on 23 April 2009 and EP-445/2013 issued on 3 May 2013 (Amended Environmental Permit (No.: EP-445/2013/A) issued on 13 August 2014).
8. Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste (A/C No. 7024073)
9. Registration of Chemical Waste Producer (License: 5213-247-C4004-01).
10. Water Discharge License (License: WT00023634-2016).
11. Construction Noise Permits (Permits: GW-RE1251-16 and GW-RE0294-17)

Key Information in the Reporting Month

12. Summary of key information in the reporting month is tabulated in Table II.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	Event Details		Action Taken	Status	Remark
	Number	Nature			
Complaint received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Reporting Changes	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---

Future Key Issues

13. The future key environmental issues in the coming month include:
 - Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
 - Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
 - Proper storage of construction materials on site;
 - Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
 - Accumulation of general and construction waste on site;
 - Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for excavation activities and machinery on-site;
 - Wastewater and runoff discharge from site;
 - Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along u-channels and sedimentation tanks; and
 - Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 The Kai Tak Development (KTD) is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling. It covers a land area of about 328 hectares. Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments for Southern Part of the Former Runway is one of the construction stages of KTD. It contains two Schedule 2 DPs including new distributor roads serving the planned KTD and KTD Roads D3A & D4A. The general layout of the Project is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.2 One Environmental Permits (EP) No.: EP-337/2009 was issued on 23 April 2009 for new distributor roads serving the planned KTD and one Environmental Permit No.: EP-445/2013 was issued on 3 May 2013 for Kai Tak Development Roads D3A & D4A to Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) as the Permit Holder. Pursuant to Section 13 of the EIAO, the Director of Environmental Protection amended the Environmental Permit No.: EP-445/2013 based on the Application No. VEP-449/2014 and the Environmental Permit (No.: EP-445/2013/A) was issued on 13 August 2014.
- 1.3 A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to consider the key issues of air quality, noise, water quality, waste, land contamination, cultural heritage and landscape and visual impact, and identify possible mitigation measures associated with the works. EIA Reports (Register No. AEIAR-130/2009 and AEIAR-170/2013) were approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 4 March 2009 and 3 May 2013 respectively.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was commissioned by Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) to undertake the role of the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. KL/2014/01 – Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway. The construction work under KL/2014/01 comprises the construction of part of the Road D4 under the EP (EP-337/2009) and the construction of Roads D3A & D4A under the EP (EP-445/2013/A).
- 1.5 Cinotech Consultants Limited was commissioned by Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) works for the Project. The construction commencement of this Contract is on 13 April 2016. This is the 16th Monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project from 1 – 31 July 2017.
- 1.6 All project information since the commencement of work under EPs including Monthly EM&A Reports is made available to the public via internet access at the website: <http://www.kl201401.com/>

Project Organizations

- 1.7 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
- Project Proponent – Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).
 - The Supervising Officer and the Supervising Officer’s Representative (SO) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. (AECOM).
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Limited (CCL).
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – Ka Shing Management Consultant Ltd. (KSMC).
 - Contractor – Continental Engineering Corp. and Chit Cheung Construction Co. Ltd. Joint Venture (CCJV).
- 1.8 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Party	Role	Contact Person	Position	Phone No.	Fax No.
CEDD	Project Proponent	Mr. Ronald Siu	Senior Engineer	2301 1453	2301 1277
		Ms. Vicky Sy	Engineer	2301 1207	
AECOM	Supervising Officer	Mr. Clive Cheng	CRE	3746 1801	2798 0783
Cinotech	Environmental Team	Dr. Priscilla Choy	Environmental Team Leader	2151 2089	3107 1388
		Ms. Ivy Tam	Audit Team Leader	2151 2090	
KSMC	Independent Environmental Checker	Dr. C. F. Ng	IEC	2618 2166	2120 7752
CCJV	Contractor	Mr. Dennis Ho	Environmental Officer	2960 1398	2960 1399

Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month

- 1.9 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
- Watermain works;
 - TTA implementation at Shing Fung Road and Wang Chiu Road / Sheung Yee Road;
 - Open excavation and/or ELS installation for box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, sewer and manholes;
 - Construction of box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, columns, sewer and manholes; and
 - Erection of falseworks for Landscaped Deck.
- 1.10 The construction programme showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures are presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures

Construction Works	Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 1.8	Noise, dust impact, water quality and waste generation	Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; Properly cover the stockpiles; On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; Provide mitigation measure to temporary use of chemicals; Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Report/Lease requirement.

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.11 The EM&A programme requires construction noise monitoring, air quality monitoring, landscape and visual monitoring and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in the following sections, including:
- All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event Action Plans;
 - Environmental requirements and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EM&A Manual under the EP.
- 1.12 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 5 of this report.

2. AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 With reference to the same principle of EIA report of the Project, no air quality monitoring station within 500m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. No air quality monitoring is required for the Project.

Observations

- 2.2 No monitoring for air quality is required for the Project.
- 2.3 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of air quality mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix C**.

3. NOISE

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 With reference to the same principle of EIA report of the Project, no construction noise monitoring station within 300m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. No Construction noise monitoring is required for the Project. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Observations

- 3.2 No monitoring for air quality is required for the Project. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. The summary of exceedance record in reporting month is shown in **Appendix B**.
- 3.3 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of construction noise mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix C**.

4. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Monitoring Requirements

- 4.1 According to EM&A Manual of the Kai Tak Development EIA Study, ET shall monitor and audit the contractor's operation during the construction period on a weekly basis, and to report on the contractor's compliance.

Results and Observations

- 4.2 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix C**.
- 4.3 No non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact was recorded in the reporting month.
- 4.4 Should non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact occur, action in accordance with the action plan presented in **Appendix D** shall be performed.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT**Site Audits**

- 5.1 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix C**.
- 5.2 Site audits were conducted by representatives of the Contractor, Supervising Officer and ET on 5, 12, 19 and 26 July 2017 in the reporting month. IEC joint site inspection was conducted on 26 July 2017. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 5.3 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Permit No.	Valid Period		Details	Status
	From	To		
Environmental Permit (EP)				
EP-337/2009	23/04/09	N/A	Construction of new distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak development.	Valid
EP-445/2013/A	13/08/14	N/A	Construction of Kai Tak Development roads D3A and D4A	Valid
Effluent Discharge License				
WT00023634-2016	--	31/03/21	Wastewater from the construction site including effluent treated by screen and sedimentation tank	Valid
Registration of Chemical Waste Producer				
5213-247-C4004-01	--	N/A	Chemical Waste Types: Surplus paint, waste contaminated by paint, diesel, waste contaminated by diesel, spent lubricating oil and waste, soil contaminated by lubricating oil.	Valid
Construction Noise Permit (CNP)				
GW-RE1251-16	10/01/17	08/07/17	Construction Noise Permit for the use of powered mechanical equipment for carrying out construction work other than percussive piling and performing prescribed construction work.	Expired
GW-RE0294-17	20/04/17	12/10/17		Valid

Status of Waste Management

- 5.4 The amount of wastes generated by the major site activities of this Project during the reporting month is shown in **Appendix G**.
- 5.5 In respect of the dump truck cover, the Contractor is reminded to take record photos and inspection to ensure that all dump trucks have fully covered the skip before leaving the site.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 5.6 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. ET weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting month and the observations and recommendations are summarized in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
<i>Water Quality</i>	30 June 2017	Designated area for manual wheel washing should be set up beside the automatic wheel washing bay.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session.
	5 July 2017	Ponding water in Section 2 should be cleared after rain events.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session.
<i>Air Quality</i>	12 July 2017	Stockpiles in Section 2 should be properly covered with impervious sheets to prevent dust generation.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session.
<i>Noise</i>	--	--	--
<i>Waste/ Chemical Management</i>	26 July 2017	Drip tray should be provided to chemical containers near Cruise Terminal. Oil stains should be properly cleared and dispose of as chemical waste.	Follow up actions will be reported in the next month.
<i>Landscape and Visual</i>	--	--	--
<i>Permits/ Licences</i>	--	--	--

Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented

- 5.7 An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix E**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

- 5.8 The Event Action Plans for noise and landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix D**. No Event Action Plan for air quality is considered necessary.

Construction Noise

- 5.9 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Landscape and visual

- 5.10 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 5.11 The summaries of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution for the Project is presented in **Appendix F**.

6. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

6.1 Major site activities undertaken for the coming two months include:

- Watermain works;
- TTA implementation, Tree Transplant, Tree Felling and Junction Improvement Works at Shing Fung Road and Wang Chiu Road / Sheung Yee Road;
- Open excavation and/or ELS installation for box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, sewer and manholes;
- Construction of box culvert, underpass, piles caps, noise barrier footings, columns, sewer and manholes; and
- Erection of falseworks for Landscaped Deck.

Key Issues for the Coming Month

6.2 Key environmental issues in the coming month include:

- Wastewater and runoff discharge from site;
- Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along u-channels and sedimentation tanks;
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff;
- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site;
- Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
- Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
- Proper storage of construction materials on site;
- Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Accumulation of general and construction waste on site.

6.3 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and control measures for the coming two months, i.e. August and September 2017 are summarized as follows:

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 7.1	Air quality impact (dust)	a) Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; b) Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and c) Watering of any earth moving activities.
	Water quality impact (surface run-off)	d) Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; e) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; f) Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and g) Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
	Noise Impact	h) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; i) Controlling the number of plants use on site; j) Regular maintenance of machines; and k) Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 7.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 to 31 July 2017.

Air Quality and Construction Noise

- 7.2 No regular monitoring air quality and noise monitoring is required for the Project. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Landscape and visual

- 7.3 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint and Prosecution

- 7.4 No environmental complaints and environmental prosecution were received in the reporting month.
- 7.5 The ET will keep track on the EM&A programme to ensure compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Recommendations

- 7.6 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Water Quality Impact

- To establish designated area for proper and safe manual wheel washing next to wheel washing machines.
- To cleared the ponding water after rain events.


Air Quality

- To properly cover the stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheet.

Waste / Chemical Management

- To provide drip tray to chemical containers and clear the oil stain.

FIGURES



LEGEND:	
	SITE BOUNDARY

CINOTECH
Cinotech Consultants Limited

KL/2014/01 KAI TAK DEVELOPMENT - STAGE 2
INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS FOR DEVELOPMENT AT
SOUTHERN PART OF THE FORMER RUNWAY

SITE LAYOUT PLAN

SCALE	1:1000@A4	DATE	MAY 2016
CHECK	JL	DRAWN	JW
JOB No.	MA15046	FIGURE NO.	1
		REV	-

**APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS**

Appendix A - Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A) 70dB(A)/65dB(A)*

Remarks: (1) If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

(2) No regular noise impact monitoring station for this Contract. It is subject to the noise sensitive receiver(s) and additional monitoring work.

(*) 70dB(A) and 65dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

APPENDIX B
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Contract No. KL/2014/01

Kai Tak Development –Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Appendix B – Summary of Exceedance

Exceedance Record for Contract No. KL/2014/01

Reporting Month: July 2017

(A) Exceedance Record for Construction Noise

(NIL in the reporting month)

(B) Exceedance Record for Landscape and Visual

(NIL in the reporting month)

APPENDIX C
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Contract No. KL/2014/01



Kai Tak Development - Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

EP-337/2009 & EP-445/2013/A

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170705
Date	5 June 2017 (Wednesday)
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
170705-R01	B. Water Quality <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ponding water within the Site should be cleared.	B 8
	C. Air Quality <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Follow-up on previous audit session (Ref. No.:170630), all identified environmental deficiency was observed improved/rectified by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		5 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		5 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2014/01



Kai Tak Development - Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

EP-337/2009 & EP-445/2013/A

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170712
Date	12 July 2017 (Wednesday)
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
170712-R01	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Stockpiles in Section 2 should be properly covered with impervious sheets to prevent dust generation.	C7
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit session (Ref. No.:170707), all identified environmental deficiency was observed improved/rectified by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		12 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		12 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2014/01



Kai Tak Development - Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

EP-337/2009 & EP-445/2013/A

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170719
Date	19 July 2017 (Wednesday)
Time	14:00 – 15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit session (Ref. No.:170712), all identified environmental deficiency was observed improved/rectified by the Contractor.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		19 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		19 July 2017

Contract No. KL/2014/01



Kai Tak Development - Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

EP-337/2009 & EP-445/2013/A

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170726
Date	26 July 2017 (Wednesday)
Time	14:00 – 17:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
170726-R01	• Drip tray should be provided to chemical containers near Cruise Terminal. Oil stains should be properly cleared and dispose of as chemical waste.	E 8
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit session (Ref. No.:170719), no major environmental deficiencies were observed during site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	Kelvin Koo		26 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		26 July 2017

APPENDIX D
EVENT ACTION PLANS

Appendix D - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 5. Carry out investigation; 6. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 7. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 8. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
Limit Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>

Appendix D - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

EVENT ACTION LEVEL	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Design Check	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check report. Recommend remedial design if necessary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undertake remedial design if necessary 	
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify Source Inform IEC and ER Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check report Check Contractor's working method Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. Check implementation of remedial measures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notify Contractor Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend working methods Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify Source Inform IEC and ER Increase monitoring frequency Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check monitoring report Check Contractor's working method Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notify Contractor Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend working methods Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement

**APPENDIX E
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)**

Appendix E - Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
Construction Air Quality		
S3.2 (AEIAR-130/2009)	8 times daily watering of the work site with active dust emitting activities.	^
S4.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	Control measures stipulated in the approved KTD Schedule 3 EIA Report should be strictly followed.	^
S3.2 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S4.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<p>Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimize cumulative dust impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Stockpiling site(s) should be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles should be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. ● Misting for the dusty material should be carried out before being loaded into the vehicle. ● Any vehicle with an open load carrying area should have properly fitted side and tail boards. ● Material having the potential to create dust should not be loaded from a level higher than the side and tail boards and should be dampened and covered by a clean tarpaulin. ● The tarpaulin should be properly secured and should extent at least 300 mm over the edges of the sides and tailboards. The material should also be dampened if necessary before transportation. ● The vehicles should be restricted to maximum speed of 10 km per hour and confined haulage and delivery vehicle to designated roadways insider the site. Onsite unpaved roads should be compacted and kept free of lose materials. ● Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every vehicle exit point. 	<p>*</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. ● Every main haul road should be scaled with concrete and kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire road surface wet. ● Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides; and ● Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
Construction Noise		
S3.3 (AEIAR-130/2009)	Use of quiet PME, movable barriers barrier for Asphalt Paver, Breaker, Excavator and Hand-held breaker and full enclosure for Air Compressor, Bar Bender, Concrete Pump, Generator and Water Pump.	^
S3.3 (AEIAR-130/2009)	<p>Good Site Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program. ● Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program. ● Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. ● Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. ● Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. ● Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.	
S3.3 (AEIAR-130/2009)	Scheduling of Construction Works during School Examination Period	N/A
S3.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	Provision of a landscaped deck along Roads D3A & D4A.	N/A
S3.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provision of about 1090 m length of vertical noise barrier (connected to the deck) at Roads D3A & D4A; ● Provision of about 60 m length of overhang vertical noise barrier (connected to the deck) at Road D4A; and ● Provision of staircases with noise barriers next to Sites 4A1 and 4B1 <p>It should be noted that the exact length of the mitigation measures would be subject to minor refinement during the detailed design stage.</p>	N/A N/A N/A
S3.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	Non-noise sensitive use areas within Sites 4A1 and 4B1.	N/A
S3.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	Avoid sensitive façade with openable window facing Road D3A.	N/A
Construction Water Quality		
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<p><u>Construction Runoff</u></p> <p>Exposed soil areas should be minimised to reduce the potential for increased siltation, contamination of runoff, and erosion. Construction runoff related impacts associated with the above ground construction activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● use of sediment traps ● adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow 	^ ^

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	Construction site should be provided with adequately designed perimeter channel and pre-treatment facilities and proper maintenance. The boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94.	^
	Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.	^
S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. Appropriate drainage like intercepting channels should be provided where necessary.	^
	Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of rainwater into trenches. If excavation of trenches in wet seasons is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections. Rainwater pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.	*
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009)	Sediment tanks of sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m ³ capacity, are recommended as a general mitigation measure	^

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity is flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and particularly suited to applications where the influent is pumped.	
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	Open stockpiles of construction materials (for examples, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m ³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.	^
	Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.	^
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009)	Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events.	^
	Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain.	^
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and located wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit, and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting	*

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.	
S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<u>Boring and Drilling Water</u> Water used in ground boring and drilling for site investigation or rock / soil anchoring should as far as practicable be re-circulated after sedimentation. When there is a need for final disposal, the wastewater should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.	^
	<u>Acid Cleaning, Etching and Pickling Wastewater</u> Acidic wastewater generated from acid cleaning, etching, pickling and similar activities should be neutralized to within the pH range of 6 to 10 before discharging into foul sewers	^
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009)	<u>Drainage</u> It is recommended that on-site drainage system should be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps should be installed in order to minimise the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge into foul sewers. There should be no direct discharge of effluent from the site into the sea.	^
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009)	All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required.	^

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009)	All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching the coastal waters of the Victoria Harbour WCZ.	^
S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. Minimum distance of 100 m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site effluent and the existing seawater intakes and the planned WSR mentioned in S5.3.1 as appropriate. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning etc., can minimise water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO licence which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of EPD.	^
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<u>Sewage Effluent</u> Construction work force sewage discharges on site are expected to be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage may need to be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	^
S5.8	Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge	^

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
(AEIAR-170/2013)	any sewage or wastewater into the surrounding environment. Regular environmental audit of the construction site will provide an effective control of any malpractices and can encourage continual improvement of environmental performance on site. It is anticipated that sewage generation during the construction phase of the project would not cause water pollution problem after undertaking all required measures.	
S3.4 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<u>Stormwater Discharges</u> Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned seawater intakes.	^
	<u>Debris and Litter</u> In order to maintain water quality in acceptable conditions with regard to aesthetic quality, contractors should be required, under conditions of contract, to ensure that site management is optimised and that disposal of any solid materials, litter or wastes to marine waters does not occur.	^
S5.8 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<u>Accidental Spillage</u> Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges.	^

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	<p>Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport. ● Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents. ● Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
Construction Waste Management		
<p>S6.7 (AEIAR-170/2013)</p>	<p>Prepare a Waste Management Plan, which becomes a part of the Environmental Management Plan, in accordance with the requirements stipulated in ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, approved by the Engineer/Supervising Officer of the Project based on current practices on construction sites.</p>	<p>^</p>
<p>S3.5 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S6.7 (AEIAR-170/2013)</p>	<p>Good Site Practices It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are adhered to. Recommendations for good site practices during construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site ● Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures ● Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p>

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers ● A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites) ● Regular cleaning and maintenance systems, sumps and oil interceptors ● Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
	<p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Sort C&D waste from demolition of the remaining structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals ● Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal ● Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force ● Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled ● Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials ● Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste ● Training should be provided to workers about the concepts of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycle. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
<p>S3.5 (AEIAR-130/2009)</p>	<p>Construction and Demolition Materials</p> <p>Mitigation measures and good site practices should be incorporated in the contract document to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C&D material. The mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the Project work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles shall be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible. ● Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric. ● Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting. ● Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site. ● The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. ● The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle. ● All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet. ● The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading. <p>When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material should consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system as stipulated in the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 “Trip Ticket</p>	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

EIA Ref.	Mitigation Measures	Status
	System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials” should be included as one of the contractual requirement sand implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.	
S3.5 (AEIAR-130/2009)	<p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Effective collection and storage methods (including enclosed and covered area) of site wastes would be required to prevent waste materials from being blown around by wind, wastewater discharge by flushing or leaching into the marine environment, or creating odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem</p>	^
Construction Landscape and Visual		
S3.8.12 (AEIAR-130/2009) and S7.9 (AEIAR-170/2013)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Minimized construction area and contractor’s temporary works areas. ● All existing trees should be carefully protected during construction. ● Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWBC 2/2004 and 3/2006. Final locations of transplanted trees should be agreed prior to commencement of the work. ● Control of night-time lighting. ● Erection of decorative screen hoarding. ● Reduction of construction period to practical minimum. ● Limitation of / Ensuring no run-off into surrounding landscape and adjacent seawater areas. ● Temporary or advance landscape should be provided along the temporary access roads to the Cruise Terminal until such time as road D3 is open. 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>N/A</p>

Remarks:	EIA Report (AEIAR-130/2009) – Kai Tak Development	
	EIA Report (AEIAR-170/2013) – Kai Tak Development – Roads D3A & D4A	
	^ Compliance of mitigation measure;	X Non-compliance of mitigation measure;
	N/A Not Applicable at this stage; N/A(1) Not observed;	• Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor;
	* Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor.	

**APPENDIX F
SUMMARIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLAINT, WARNING, SUMMON
AND NOTIFICATION OF SUCCESSFUL
PROSECUTION**

Contract No. KL/2014/01

Kai Tak Development –Stage 2 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Appendix F – Summary of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution

Reporting Month: July 2017

Contract No. KL/2014/01

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint/warning/summon and prosecution	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Remarks: No environmental complaint/warning/summon and prosecution were received in the reporting period.

APPENDIX G
WASTE GENERATED QUANTITY

Waste Flow Table for Year 2017

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in tonne)	(in tonne)	(in tonne)	(in tonne)	(in tonne)	(in tonne)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in tonne)
Jan	15,470.22	0	0	0	15470.22	0	0.301	0.019	0	53.3	
Feb	23,173.51	0	0	0	23173.51	0	0	0	0	9.2	
Mar	27,261.03	0	0	0	27261.03	0	0	0	0	69.65	
Apr	5,637	0	0	0	5637.28	0	0	0	0	23.62	
May	12,030.39	0	0	0	12030.39	0	0.0035	0.394	0.006	29.98	
June	2733.74	0	0	0	2733.74	0	3.8000	0	0	47.08	
Sub-total	86,306.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	86,306.17	0.00	3.80	0.695	0.025	0.00	232.83
July	2,464.60	0	0	0	2464.60	0	0	0	0	33.1	
Aug											
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	88,770.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	88,770.77	0.00	3.80	0.695	0.025	0.00	265.90

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix D

**Monthly EM&A Report
For**

Contract No. KL/2014/03

**Kai Tak Development - Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part
of the Former Runway**

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

MONTHLY EM&A REPORT

July 2017

Client : Civil Engineering and Development
Department, HKSAR

Contract No. : KLN/2015/07

Contract Name : Environmental Monitoring Works for
Contract KL/2014/03 – Kai Tak Development
– Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments
at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Report No. : 0405/15/ED/0864A

EP-337/2009 New Distributor Roads Serving the Planned Kai Tak
Development Area


EP-339/2009/A Decommissioning of the Remaining Parts (Ex-GFS
Building, Radar Station and Hong Kong Aviation Club)
of the former Kai Tak Airport

EP-451/2013 Trunk Road T2

Prepared by : Alfred Y. S. Lam

Reviewed by : Cyrus C. Y. Lai

Certified by :


Colin K. L. Yung
Environmental Team Leader
MaterialLab Consultants Limited

Ref.: CEDKTDS3EM00_0_0222L.17

9 August 2017

Hyder-Meinhardt Joint Venture
20/F., AXA Tower,
Landmark East,
100 How Ming Street,
Kwun Tong,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

By Post and Email

Attention: Mr. Wong W K, Chris

Dear Mr. Wong,

Re: Contract No. KL/2014/03 – Kai Tak Development – Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway
Monthly EM&A Report for July 2017

Reference is made to the Environmental Team's submission of the Monthly EM&A Report for July 2017 (Report No. 0405_15_ED_0864A) we received by e-mail on 9 August 2017.

Please be informed that we have no adverse comment on the captioned report. We hereby verify the captioned submission according to Condition 3.3 of EP-337/2009, Condition 3.3 of EP-339/2009/A and Condition 3.4 of EP-451/2013.

Thank you for your attention. Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries.

Yours sincerely,
For and on behalf of
Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited



F. C. Tsang
Independent Environmental Checker

c.c.	CEDD	Attn.: Ms. Amy Chu	Fax: 2369 4980
	MateriaLab	Attn.: Mr. Colin K. L. Yung	Fax: 2450 8032
	CRBC	Attn.: Mr. Arnold Chan	Fax: 2283 1689

Q:\Projects\CEDKTDS3EM00\02_Proj_Mgt\02_Corr\CEDKTDS3EM00_0_0222L.17.docx

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. AIR QUALITY	5
3. NOISE	10
4. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL	14
5. WASTE MANAGEMENT	15
6. SITE INSPECTION	16
7. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	17
8. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES	18
9. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	19
10. CONCLUSIONS	20

FIGURES

Figure 1	Project General Layout
Figure 2	Air and Noise Monitoring Locations

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Construction Programme
Appendix B	Project Organization Chart
Appendix C	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise
Appendix D	Calibration Certificates of Monitoring Equipment
Appendix E	Environmental Monitoring Schedules
Appendix F	Air Quality Monitoring Data
Appendix G	Noise Monitoring Data
Appendix H	Event Action Plans
Appendix I	Waste Flow Table
Appendix J	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
Appendix K	Weather and Meteorological Conditions during Reporting Month
Appendix L	Cumulative statistics on Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions
Appendix M	Summary of Site Audit in the Reporting Month
Appendix N	Outstanding Issues and Deficiencies

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- i. The Civil Engineering and Development Department HKSAR has appointed MaterialLab Consultants Limited (MCL) to undertake the Environmental Team services for the Project and implement the EM&A works.
- ii. This Monthly EM&A report presents the environmental monitoring and audit works for the period between 1 July 2017 and 31 July 2017. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting month were:
 - Temporary diversion for drainage works;
 - Temporary diversion for CLP cable at CH6+560;
 - Temporary diversion for sewage rising main;
 - Construction of temporary diversion road for Shing Cheong Road (TTA Stage 2);
 - Setup of temporary barging point;
 - Excavation of drainage pipe and manhole (M206 to M207);
 - Seawall Modification Works;
 - Construction of tunnel box structure;
 - D-wall construction works;
 - Guide wall construction works;
 - Construction of socket H-pile;
 - Pumping test for Zone 3;
 - Excavation and ELS construction; and
 - Installation of dewatering, observation and recharging wells.

Breaches of the Action and Limit Levels

- iii. No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hr TSP and construction noise at KTD1a, KTD2a and KER1b in the reporting month.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

- iv. A complaint received on 16 July 2017 was referred from the 1823 regarding the muddy water discharge at Kai Tak River by CEDD project.

The notification of complaint was received by ET on 27 July 2017.

- v. No notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

Reporting Changes

- vi. There was no reporting change in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

- vii. The key issues to be considered in the coming reporting month include:

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality, waste management and landscape and visual impact.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

1.1.1 The Kai Tak Development is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula of the HKSAR, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling.

1.1.2 Contract No. KL/2014/03 is the works package to construct an approximately 420m long supporting underground structure (SUS) underneath Shing Cheong Road and Cheung Yip Street. The EM&A programme under this Contract is governed by three EPs (EP-337/2009, EP-339/2009/A and EP-451/2013) and two EM&A Manuals (AEIAR-130/2009 and AEIAR-174/2013). The Works to be executed under this Contract and corresponding EPs include but not be limited to the following main items:

EP-451/2013 – Trunk Road T2

(i) Construction of approximately 420m long supporting underground structure (SUS) including diaphragm walls, barrettes, piled foundation, top and bottom slabs, end wall and adits underneath Shing Cheong Road and Cheung Yip Street;

EP-337/2009 – New Distributor Roads Serving the Planned Kai Tak Development

- (ii) Widening and re-alignment of Cheung Yip Street of approximately 330m long and associated footpaths;
- (iii) Demolition, reconstruction and widening of Shing Cheong Road of approximately 410m long and associated footpaths;
- (iv) Construction of drainage outfall and modification of existing seawall;
- (v) Construction of ancillary works including surface drainage, sewerage, water, fire fighting, street lighting, street furniture, road marking, road signage, utilities and services, irrigation and landscape works.

EP-339/2009/A – Decommissioning of the Remaining Parts (Ex-GFS Building, Radar Station and Hong Kong Aviation Club) of the former Kai Tak Airport

(vi) Demolition of RADAR Tower and guard house;

Other works not covered by any EP

- (vii) Construction of two subways between Phase II of New Acute Hospital (Site A) and Hong Kong Children's Hospital (Site C), and between Phase I of New Acute Hospital (Site B) and Site C;
- (viii) Construction of District Cooling System (DCS) along Cheung Yip Street and Shing Cheong Road

1.1.3 The location and boundary of the site is shown in **Figure 1**.

1.1.4 This Monthly EM&A report is required under EP-337/2009 Condition 3.3, EP-339/2009/A Condition 3.3 and EP-451/2013 Condition 3.4. It is to report the results and findings of the EM&A programme required in the EM&A Manuals.

1.1.5 This is the seventeenth monthly EM&A Report which summaries the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project within the period between 1 July 2017 and 31 July 2017.

1.2 Project Organization

1.2.1 The project proponent was the Civil Engineering and Development Department, HKSAR (CEDD). Hyder Meinhardt Joint Venture (HMJV) was commissioned by CEDD as the Engineer for the Project. Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited was commissioned as the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC). China Road and Bridge Corporation (Hong Kong) (CRBC) was appointed as the main contractor for the construction works under the contract KL/2014/03. Materialab Consultants Limited (MCL) was appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) by CEDD to implement the EM&A programme for the Project.

1.2.2 The organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarized in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
Project Proponent (CEDD)	Co-ordinator	Ms. Amy Chu	3106 3172	2369 4980
Engineer’s Representative (HMJV)	Chief Resident Engineer	Mr. W. K., Chris Wong	3742 3803	3742 3899
IEC (Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited)	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. F. C. Tsang	3465 2851	3465 2899
Main Contractor (CRBC)	Site Agent	Mr. Chan See Wai, Arnold	9380 4110	2283 1689
	Environmental Officer	Mr. Jacky Lai	9028 8975	2283 1689
ET (MCL)	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Colin Yung	3565 4114	3565 4160

1.3 Construction Programme and Activities

1.3.1 The construction of the Project commenced in February 2016 and is expected to complete in 2020. The construction programme is shown in **Appendix A**.

1.3.2 A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month were:

- Temporary diversion for drainage works;
- Temporary diversion for CLP cable at CH6+560;
- Temporary diversion for sewage rising main;
- Construction of temporary diversion road for Shing Cheong Road (TTA Stage 2);
- Setup of temporary barging point;
- Excavation of drainage pipe and manhole (M206 to M207);
- Seawall Modification Works;
- Construction of tunnel box structure;
- D-wall construction works;
- Guide wall construction works;
- Construction of socket H-pile;
- Pumping test for Zone 3;
- Excavation and ELS construction; and
- Installation of dewatering, observation and recharging wells.

1.4 Inter-relationship with the environmental protection/ mitigation measures with the construction programme

1.4.1 According to the construction activities in the construction programme mentioned in Section 1.3.2, the following environmental protection/ mitigation measures including Air Quality Impact, Construction Noise Impact, Water Quality Impact, Chemical and Waste Management, Landscape and Visual Impact shall be implemented:

- Sufficient watering of the works site with the active dust emitting activities;
- Limitation of the speed for vehicles on unpaved site roads;
- Properly cover or enclosure of the stockpiles and dusty materials;
- Good site practices on loading dusty materials;
- Providing sufficient vehicles washing facilities at every vehicle exit point;
- Good maintenance to the plant and equipment;
- Use of quieter plant and Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME);
- Use of acoustic fabric and noise barrier;
- Using the approved Non-road Mobile Machineries (NRMMS);
- Proper storage and handling of chemical;
- Appropriate desilting, oil interceptors or sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge;
- Onsite waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system;
- Training of the site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures;
- Proper storage of the construction materials;
- Erection of decorative screen hoarding;
- Strictly following the Environmental Permits and Licenses;
- Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Reports

1.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notifications and Permits

1.5.1 A summary of the relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Contract is presented in **Table 1.2**.

Table 1.2 Relevant Environmental Licenses, Permits and/or Notifications

Environmental License / Permit / Notification	Reference Number	Valid From	Valid Till
Environmental Permit	EP-337/2009 EP-339/2009/A EP-451/2013	23 April 2009 18 June 2009 19 September 2013	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable
Notification pursuant to Air Pollution (Construction Dust) Regulation	395601	4 December 2015	Not Applicable
Billing Account for Waste Disposal	A/C No.: 7023814	22 December 2015	Not Applicable
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0442-17	7 June 2017	6 December 2017
Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0560-17	15 July 2017	11 January 2018
Construction Noise Permit	PP-RE0010-17	16 May 2017	15 November 2017
Wastewater Discharge License	WT00023125-2015	6 January 2016	31 January 2021
Chemical Waste Producer License	5213-247-C1232-12	23 November 2015	Not Applicable

2. AIR QUALITY

2.1 Monitoring Requirement

In accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals, 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) level at the designated air quality monitoring station is required. Impact 24-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out at least once every 6 days. In case of complaints, 1-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out at least 3 times per 6 days when the highest dust impacts are likely to occur. The Action and Limit Levels of the air quality monitoring are given in **Appendix C**.

2.2 Monitoring Equipment

The 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Air Samplers (HVS) located at each of the designated monitoring station. Portable TSP Monitors would be used in case of complaints for 1-hour TSP monitoring.

Table 2.1 summarizes the equipment used in air quality monitoring.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Item	Brand	Model	Equipment	Serial Number
1	Tisch	TE-5170 (TSP)	High Volume Sampler	
		TE-300-310X	- Mass Flow Controller	2037
		TE-5005X	- Blower Motor Assembly	3482
		TE-5007X	- Mechanical Timer	4488
		TE-5009X	- Continuous Flow Recorder	4371
2	Tisch	TE-5170 (TSP)	High Volume Sampler	
		TE-300-310X	- Mass Flow Controller	2524
		TE-5005X	- Blower Motor Assembly	4037
		TE-5007X	- Mechanical Timer	5160
		TE-5009X	- Continuous Flow Recorder	4377
3	Tisch	TE-5170 (TSP)	High Volume Sampler	
		TE-300-310X	- Mass Flow Controller	2618
		TE-5005X	- Blower Motor Assembly	3838
		G3031	- Mechanical Timer	2251
		G1051	- Continuous Flow Recorder	2307
4	Tisch	TE-5025A	HVS Sampler Calibrator	0438320 / 2154
5	*Sibata	Model LD-3B	Sibata Portable TSP Monitors	NA

Note:

No complaint of air quality was received. Therefore, no impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted.

2.3 Monitoring Methodology

2.3.1 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring

HVS Installation

The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:

- Sufficient support is provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
- No two samplers are placed less than 2 meters apart.

- The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, is at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
- A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses is required for rooftop samples.
- A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
- No furnaces or incineration flues are nearby.
- Airflow around the samplers is unrestricted.
- The samplers are more than 20 meters from the drip line.
- Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.

Filters Preparation

Fiberglass filters (provided by the HOKLAS accredited laboratory) shall be used (Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of larger than 99% for particles of 0.3 μm diameter). A HOKLAS accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.) is responsible for the preparation of 24-hr conditioned and pre-weighed filter papers for monitoring team.

All filters are equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature is around 25°C and not variable by more than $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$; the relative humidity (RH) is < 50% and not variable by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH is 40%.

Operating / Analytical Procedures

Operating / analytical procedures for the air quality monitoring are highlighted as follows:

- Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the HVS are properly set (between 0.6 m^3/min and 1.7 m^3/min) in accordance with the EM&A manual. The flow rate shall be indicated on the flow rate chart.
- The power supply shall be checked to ensure the samplers worked properly.
- On sampling, the samplers shall be operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air quality monitoring station.
- The filter holding frame is then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter is centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- The filter shall be aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame is tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid shall be closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- The timer is then programmed. Information shall be recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- After sampling, the filter shall be removed and sent to laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time is also recorded.
- Before weighing, all filters are equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH is 40%. Weighing results are returned to MCL for further analysis of TSP concentrations collected by each filter.

2.3.2 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring

Operating / Analytical Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hr dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's instruction Manual as follows:

- Pull up the air sampling inlet cover
- Change the Mode 0 to BG once
- Push Start/Stop switch once
- Turn the knob to SENSI.ADJ and press it
- Push Start/Stop switch once
- Return the knob to the position MEASURE slowly
- Push the timer set switch to set measuring time
- Remove the cap and make a measurement

2.4 Maintenance / Calibration

2.4.1 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring

The following maintenance / calibration are required for the HVS:

- The high volume motors and their accessories are properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking are made to ensure that the equipments and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
- All HVS shall be calibrated (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit upon installation and thereafter in every 3 months.
- A copy of the calibration certificates for the HVS and calibrator are provided in **Appendix D**.

2.4.2 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring

The portable TSP monitor should be calibrated at 1 year intervals

2.5 Monitoring Locations

2.5.1 According to the EM&A Manual, three air quality monitoring locations, namely KTD1, KTD2 and KER1, are covered by this Contract within the South Apron Area of Former Kai Tak Airport. The other two air quality monitoring locations, which are identified in Cha Kwo Ling area, are farther than 500m away from the site boundary and thus not covered by this Contract. The monitoring works in Cha Kwo Ling area are covered by other Contract(s) respectively.

2.5.2 According to the approved alternative baseline air quality and noise monitoring locations (EPD reference: EP2/K19/A/21 Pt.5), the original monitoring locations (KTD1, KTD2 and KER1) are proposed to be replaced by alternative monitoring locations (KTD1a, KTD2a and KER1b) for air quality monitoring, they are summarized in **Table 2.2** and shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 2.2 Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Location
KTD1a	Centre of Excellence in Paediatrics (Children’s Hospital)
KTD2a	G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future Hospital at Site 3C1)
KER1b	Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street

2.6 Results and Observations

- 2.6.1 The schedule of air quality monitoring in reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.
- 2.6.2 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hr TSP at KTD1a, KTD2a and KER1b in the reporting month.
- 2.6.3 No complaint of air quality was received. Therefore, no impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted in the reporting month.
- 2.6.4 During the reporting month, major dust sources including loading and unloading of C&D wastes, vehicles movement were observed in the site. Non-project related construction activities at the nearby construction site and road traffic along Shing Cheong Road, Cheung Yip Street and the Kwun Tong By-pass were observed. The above factors may affect the monitoring results.
- 2.6.5 The weather conditions during the monitoring are provided in **Appendix K**.
- 2.6.6 The monitoring data of 24-hr TSP are summarized in **Table 2.3**. Detailed monitoring data are presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 2.3 Summary of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results

Parameter	Monitoring Station	Average (µg/m ³)	Range (µg/m ³)	Action Level (µg/m ³)	Limit Level (µg/m ³)
24-hr TSP in µg/m ³	KTD1a	88	43 – 125	177	260
	KTD2a	38	20 – 106	157	
	KER1b	26	18 – 36	172	

- 2.6.7 The Event and Action Plan for air quality is given in **Appendix H**.

2.7 Comparison of 24-hr TSP Monitoring Results with EIA Predictions

2.7.1 The monitoring data of 24-hr TSP was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in **Table 2.4**.

Table 2.4 Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Monitoring Station	Receiver Reference	Predicted Maximum 24-hour TSP Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	24-hour TSP concentration in July 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Average 24-hour TSP concentration in July 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
KTD1a	KTD3	126	43 – 125	88
KTD2a	-	-	20 – 106	38
KER1b	KTD6	169	18 – 36	26

Note:

For KTD2a, there was no receiver reference in the EIA report, EIAR-174/2013.

Predicted Maximum TSP Concentration extracted from Table 4.14 of EIA Report, EIAR-174/2013.

2.7.2 The 24-hour TSP monitoring results at KTD1a and KER1b were below the Predicted Maximum 24-hr TSP concentration in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report and no Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

3. NOISE

3.1 Monitoring Requirement

In accordance with the approved EM&A Manuals, Leq (30min) monitoring is conducted for at least once a week during the construction phase between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays at the designated monitoring locations.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

The sound level meter used in noise monitoring will comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publication (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications as referred to in the Technical Memorandum issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO).

Sound level calibrator will be used for the on-site calibration of the meter. This calibrator complies with the IEC Publication 942 (1988) Class 1 and ANSI S1.40 - 1984. Noise measurements were only accepted to be valid if the calibration levels from before and after the measurement agree to within 1.0dB.

Measurements shall be recorded to the nearest 0.1dB. This noise monitors are programmed to measure A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level at 30-minute intervals between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays at least once a week when construction activities are underway.

Table 3.1 summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used for this project.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Item	Brand	Model	Equipment	Serial Number
1	Casella	CEL-63X Series	Integrating Sound Level Meter	3756072
2	Casella	CEL-63X Series	Integrating Sound Level Meter	2451028
3	Casella	CEL-633A Series	Integrating Sound Level Meter	2451091
4	Casella	CEL-120/1	Calibrator	4358251
5	Benetech	GM816	Wind Speed Anemometer	13372555

3.3 Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Table 3.2 presents the noise monitoring parameters and frequencies.

Table 3.2 Monitoring Parameters and Frequencies of Noise Monitoring

Parameter	Frequency and Period
LAeq (30min) L10 and L90 will be recorded for reference	At each station at 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays at a frequency of once a week

3.4 Monitoring Methodology

The monitoring procedures are as follows:

- The monitoring station is set at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and set at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- The battery condition is checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time are set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - measurement time : Weekly 30 minutes between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays
- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter shall be calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement will be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement is required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- Noise monitoring should be cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.
- Noise measurement should be paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation shall be recorded when intrusive noise is not avoided.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the Leq, L10 and L90 are recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources are recorded on a standard record sheet.

3.5 Maintenance / Calibration

Maintenance and Calibration procedures are as follows:

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator should be cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator should be calibrated annually by a HOKLAS laboratory.
- Relevant calibration certificates are provided in **Appendix D**.

3.6 Monitoring Locations

- 3.6.1 According to the EM&A Manual, three noise monitoring locations, namely KTD1, KTD2 and KER1, are covered by this Contract within the South Apron Area of Former Kai Tak Airport. The other two noise quality monitoring locations, which are identified in Cha Kwo Ling area, are farther than 300m away from the site boundary and thus not covered by this Contract. The monitoring works in Cha Kwo Ling area are covered by other Contract(s) respectively.
- 3.6.2 According to the approved alternative baseline air quality and noise monitoring locations (EPD reference: EP2/K19/A/21 Pt.5), the original monitoring locations (KTD1, KTD2 and KER1) are proposed to be replaced by alternative monitoring locations (KTD1a, KTD2a and KER1b) for noise monitoring, they are summarized in **Table 3.3** and shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 3.3 Location of Noise Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Location
KTD1a	Centre of Excellence in Paediatrics (Children’s Hospital)
KTD2a	G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future Hospital at Site 3C1)
KER1b	Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street

3.7 Results and Observations

3.7.1 The schedule of noise monitoring in reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.

3.7.2 During the monitoring month, at KTD1a, non-project related construction activities at the nearby construction site and road traffic along Shing Cheong Road were observed in the surroundings. At KTD2a, road traffic along the Kwun Tong By-pass was observed. At KER1b, road traffic along Cheung Yip Street was observed. Major noise sources including noise emission from plant & PME and some other construction activities, travel of vehicles, loading and unloading of C&D waste were observed in the site. The above factors may affect the monitoring results.

3.7.3 No raining and wind with speed over 5 m/s was observed during noise monitoring according to the onsite observation. The weather conditions during the monitoring month are provided in **Appendix K**.

3.7.4 The noise monitoring data are summarized in **Table 3.4**. Detailed monitoring data are presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.4 Summary of Noise Impact Monitoring Results

Time Period	Leq (30min) dB(A) (Range)			Action Level	Limit Level
	Noise Monitoring Stations				
	KTD1a	KTD2a	KER1b		
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	66-71	58-68	64-71	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)

Note:

KTD1a: Façade Measurement

KTD2a & KER1b: Free-field measurement (+3dB(A) correction has been applied)

3.7.5 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise in the reporting month.

3.7.6 The Action and Limit Levels for noise impact monitoring have been set are presented in **Appendix C**.

3.7.7 The Event and Action Plan for noise is given in **Appendix H**.

3.8 Comparison of Noise Monitoring Results with EIA Predictions

3.8.1 The noise monitoring data was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in **Table 3.5**.

Table 3.5 Comparison of Noise Monitoring data with EIA predictions

Monitoring Station	Receiver Reference	Maximum Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	Maximum Leq _(30min) dB(A) In July 2017
KTD1a	KTD1	74	71
KTD2a	KTD2	75	68
KER1b	KER1	75	71

Note:

Maximum Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Level extracted from Table 5.13 of EIA Report, EIAR-174/2013.

3.8.2 The impact noise monitoring results in the reporting month did not exceed the Maximum Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Level in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report and no Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

4. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

4.1 Audit Requirements

- 4.1.1 As per the Trunk Road T2 EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures during the construction phase shall be audited by a Registered Landscape Architect, as a member of the Environmental Team, at least once every two weeks to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures.
- 4.1.2 According to the Kai Tak Development EM&A Manual, measures to mitigate landscape and visual impacts during construction should be checked to ensure compliance with the intended aims of the measures. The progress of the engineering works shall be regularly reviewed onsite to identify the earliest practical opportunities for the landscape works to be undertaken. The ET shall report on the Contractor's compliance on a weekly basis.

4.2 Results and Observations

- 4.2.1 To monitor and audit the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures, four weekly Landscape and Visual Site audits were carried out on 6, 13, 19 and 27 July 2017 and two of them, 6 and 19 July 2017 were carried out by a Registered Landscape Architect. The weekly Landscape and Visual Impact reports were counter-signed by IEC as according to the requirement of EM&A Manual (AEIAR-130/2009).
- 4.2.2 During the Site audit on 6 July 2017, it was observed that the excavated materials at Zone 4 were not properly covered by impervious sheeting. The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 13 July 2017.
- 4.2.3 Should non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact occur, action in accordance to the event action plan presented in **Appendix H** shall be carried out.

5. WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.1 Audit Requirements

5.1.1 The effective management of waste arising during the construction phase will be monitored through the site audit programme. Regular audits and site inspections should be carried out to ensure that the recommended good site practices and other mitigation measures are implemented by the Contractor.

5.1.2 The audit should look at all aspects of on-site waste management practices including the waste generation, storage, recycling, transport and disposal. The aims of waste audit are:

- to ensure the waste arising from the works are handled, stored, collected, transferred and disposed of in an environmentally acceptable manner;
- verify the implementation status and evaluate the effectiveness of the mitigation measures; and
- to encourage the reuse and recycling of material.

5.2 Results and Observations

5.2.1 C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.

5.2.2 The amount of wastes generated by the site activities in the reporting month is shown in **Appendix I**.

5.2.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.

5.2.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste containers should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

6. SITE INSPECTION

6.1 Site Inspection

- 6.1.1 Site inspections were carried out weekly to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the mitigation measures implementation schedule is provided in **Appendix J**.
- 6.1.2 In the reporting month, four site inspections were carried out on 6, 13, 19 and 27 July 2017. Two of them, held on 6 and 19 July 2017 were the joint inspections with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET.
- 6.1.3 No outstanding issues were reported during the reporting month. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are summarized in **Appendix M**.
- 6.1.4 All the follow-up actions requested by Contractor's ET and IEC during the site inspections were undertaken as reported by the Contractor and confirmed in the following weekly site inspection conducted during the reporting month.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

7.1 Environmental Exceedance

7.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 24-hr TSP and construction noise at KTD1a, KTD2a and KER1b in the reporting month.

7.2 Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

7.2.1 A complaint received on 16 July 2017 was referred from the 1823 regarding the muddy water discharge at Kai Tak River by CEDD project.

The notification of complaint was received by ET on 27 July 2017.

7.2.2 No notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

7.2.3 Cumulative complaint log, summaries of complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions are presented in **Appendix L**.

8. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

8.1 Implementation Status

8.1.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Reports, the EP and the EM&A Manuals. The implementation status of the mitigation measures during the reporting month is summarized in **Appendix J**. Status of required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 8.1**.

Table 8.1 Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
<u>EP-337/2009</u>		
Condition 2.3	Management Organization of Main Construction Companies	18/12/2015
Condition 2.4	Design Drawing of the Project	18/12/2015
Condition 2.11	Landscape Mitigation Plan(s)	18/12/2015
Condition 3.3	Monthly EM&A Report (June 2017)	11/07/2017
<u>EP-339/2009/A</u>		
Condition 2.4	Management Organization of Main Construction Companies	18/12/2015
Condition 2.5	Design Drawing of the Project	18/12/2015
Condition 3.3	Monthly EM&A Report (June 2017)	11/07/2017
<u>EP-451/2013</u>		
Condition 2.3	Management Organization of Main Construction Companies	18/12/2015
Condition 2.4	Design Drawing of the Project	18/12/2015
Condition 2.5	Landscape Mitigation Plan(s)	18/12/2015
Condition 2.10	Supplementary Contamination Assessment Report	18/12/2015
Condition 3.3	Baseline Monitoring Report	12/02/2016
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (June 2017)	11/07/2017

9. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

9.1 Construction Programme for the Next Two Months

- Setup of temporary barging point;
- Drainage works (CH100 to CH240);
- Sewerage works;
- Seawall Modification Works;
- Construction of tunnel box structure;
- D-wall construction works;
- Guide wall construction works;
- Construction of socket H-pile;
- Pumping test for Zone 4;
- Excavation and ELS construction; and
- Installation of dewatering, observation and recharging wells.

9.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

- 9.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality, waste management and landscape and visual impact.

9.3 Monitoring Schedules for the Next Three Months

- 9.3.1 The tentative schedules for environmental monitoring in the coming three months are provided in **Appendix E**.

10. CONCLUSIONS

- 10.1.1 24-hour TSP impact monitoring and construction noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting month, no Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded during the period.
- 10.1.2 No complaint of air quality was received. Therefore, no impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted in the reporting month.
- 10.1.3 Four environmental site inspections were carried out in the reporting month. Recommendations on mitigation measures on air quality, water quality, noise, waste management and landscape and visual impact were given to the Contractor for remediating the deficiencies identified during the site inspections.
- 10.1.4 Four weekly Landscape and Visual Site audits were carried out on 6, 13, 19 and 27 July 2017 and two of them, 6 and 19 July 2017 were carried out by a Registered Landscape Architect in the reporting month. The weekly Landscape and Visual Impact reports were counter-signed by IEC as according to the requirement of EM&A Manual (AEIAR-130/2009).
- 10.1.5 A complaint received on 16 July 2017 was referred from the 1823 regarding the muddy water discharge at Kai Tak River by CEDD project.

The notification of complaint was received by ET on 27 July 2017.

- 10.1.6 Referring to the Contractor's information, no notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.

10.2 Comment and Recommendations

- 10.2.1 The recommended environmental mitigation measures, as proposed in the EIA reports and EM&A Manuals shall be effectively implemented to minimize the potential environmental impacts from the Project. The EM&A programme would effectively monitor the environmental impacts generated from the construction activities and ensure the proper implementation of mitigation measures.
- 10.2.2 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Air Quality Impact

- Stockpile of excavated materials shall be covered with impervious sheeting.
- Spent bags of cement shall be stored properly.

Construction Noise Impact

- Contractor was reminded to close the door of the air compressor to reduce noise emission.

Water Quality Impact

- Seepage of muddy water shall be prevented.

Chemical and Waste Management

- Chemical containers shall be stored on drip tray.
- Chemical containers shall be stored in good conditions.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,

Profit Industrial Building,

1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,

Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238

Fax : (852)-24508032

Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Land Contamination

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Landscape and Visual Impact

- Stockpile of excavated materials shall be covered with impervious sheeting.

General Condition

- Stagnant water shall be removed.

Permit / Licenses

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Figure 1
Project General Layout



- LEGENDS:**
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - HOSPITAL SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED SUPPORTING UNDERGROUND STRUCTURE
 - PROPOSED SUBWAYS
 - PROPOSED ROADWORKS
 - PROPOSED DISTRICT COOLING SYSTEM
 - DEMOLITION OF RADAR TOWER

Rev.	Date	Drawn	Description	Checked	Approved



CLIENT



土木工程拓展署
Civil Engineering and
Development Department
九龍拓展處
Kowloon Development Office

PROJECT

CONTRACT NO. KL/2014/03
KAI TAK DEVELOPMENT - STAGE 3
INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS FOR
DEVELOPMENTS AT THE SOUTHERN PART OF
THE FORMER RUNWAY


TITLE

GENERAL LAYOUT PLAN

DESIGNED		ENG. CHECK	
DRAWN		COORDINATION	
DWG. CHECK		APPROVED	
SCALE AT A1	STATUS	REV	
1 : 1000		A	

Drawing No. **FIGURE 1.0**

© Copyright reserved



KEY PLAN

PRINTED BY: kitchan 18/2/2015 13:00:43
FILENAME: K:\91164 Trunk Road T2\Tender Drawing (Contract I)\Figure 1.dgn

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED


Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Figure 2

Air and Noise Monitoring Locations




LEGEND:

- - - - PROPOSED T2 ALIGNMENT
- - - - PROPOSED WORKS AREA
- - - - PROJECT BOUNDARY
- - - - PLANNED AIR SENSITIVE RECEIVERS
- - - - 500m STUDY AREA

- ORIGINAL DUST MONITORING STATIONS
- PROPOSED DUST MONITORING STATIONS
- ALTERNATIVE DUST MONITORING STATIONS

PRINTER NAME: PDFCreator
 PLOT_DRV: k:\91164 Trunk Road t2\Cad Admin\A3_colour.plt
 PRINTED BY: kitchen
 6/11/2013 6:14:49 PM

Drawing title		IDENTIFIED DUST MONITORING STATIONS AT SOUTH APRON OF FORMER KAI TAK AIRPORT		Original Size	A3	Scale	1 : 6000	Date	30/01/2012
Rev.		Description		© Copyright reserved		Drawing No.		Rev.	
						FIGURE 2.1a(revised)		--	



LEGEND:

- - - - PROPOSED T2 ALIGNMENT
- - - - PROPOSED WORKS AREA
- - - - PROJECT BOUNDARY
- - - - PLANNED NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS
- - - - 300m STUDY AREA

- ORIGINAL DUST MONITORING STATIONS
- PROPOSED NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
- ALTERNATIVE NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

PRINTER NAME: PDFCreator
 PLOT_DRV: k:\91164 Trunk Road t2\Cad Admin\A3_colour.plt
 PRINTED BY: kitchen
 6/11/2013 6:15:29 PM

Drawing title		IDENTIFIED NOISE MONITORING STATIONS AT SOUTH APRON OF FORMER KAI TAK AIRPORT	Original Size	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">A3</div>	Scale	Date
					1 : 6000	30/01/2012
			© Copyright reserved		File name	Rev.
					Drawing No.	---
			FIGURE 3.1a (revised)			

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Appendix A

Construction Programme

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	July					August					September				October					
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01	08			
KL/2014/03-Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway																									
Project Key Dates						1190	690	01-Feb-16 A																	
Site Possession Date						0	0	13-Jul-17 A																	
K-PK-SPD-1900	Portion K	0	0	13-Jul-17 A																					
Site Handover Date						0	0	31-Jul-17																	
K-PK-SHD-1100	Portion B	0	0		31-Jul-17*																				
General Submission						415	75	12-Aug-16 A																	
Condition Survey & Construction Impact Assessment						21	21	31-Jul-17																	
K-DR-PRE-1190	Condition survey at HKCH	7	7	31-Jul-17	06-Aug-17																				
K-DR-PRE-1195	Submit condition survey report at HKCH	14	14	07-Aug-17	20-Aug-17																				
Alternative Design Submission and Approval						28	75	12-Aug-16 A																	
<i>Package B06 : SUS Top & base slab and intermediate wall from (CH6+220 to CH6+568)</i>						28	75	12-Aug-16 A																	
K-PA-ADS-1420	Revise & resubmit DDA drawing (SUS Top & Base slab and Intermediate wall from CH6+220 to CH6+568)	28	75	12-Aug-16 A	13-Oct-17																				
Major Temporary Works Design						140	62	14-May-17 A																	
K-PA-GSP-6820	ELS design for construction of SUS from CH6+220 to CH6+291 in Zone 2 - horizontal members	56	56	31-Jul-17	24-Sep-17																				
K-PA-GSP-6835	ELS design for construction of SUS from CH6+291 to CH6+568 in Zone 4 - horizontal members	56	25	14-May-17 A	24-Aug-17																				
K-PA-GSP-6900	Falsework design for construction of top slab of SUS structure	56	56	06-Aug-17	30-Sep-17																				
Major Construction Works Method Statement						136	59	10-May-17 A																	
K-PA-GSP-7155	Engineer's comments and approval	28	14	29-Jun-17 A	13-Aug-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7160	Method statement of Excavation and ELS for SUS Construction for Zone 4	28	28	03-Aug-17	30-Aug-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7165	Engineer's comments and approval	28	28	31-Aug-17	27-Sep-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7170	Method statement of Excavation and ELS for SUS Construction for Zone 2	28	28	31-Jul-17	27-Aug-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7175	Engineer's comments and approval	28	28	28-Aug-17	24-Sep-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7450	Method statement for Construction of top slab and base slab of SUS	28	28	31-Jul-17	27-Aug-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7455	Engineer's comments and approval	28	28	28-Aug-17	24-Sep-17																				
K-PA-GSP-7495	Engineer's comments and approval	28	10	10-May-17 A	09-Aug-17																				
Temporary Utility Diversion Works						101	0	29-Mar-17 A																	
<i>Temporary Diversion for Drainage Works</i>						50	0	29-Mar-17 A																	
K-PA-TUD-2700	Construction of 300 to 375UC (W/B) at zone 3 & 4	50	0	29-Mar-17 A	05-Jul-17 A																				
<i>Temporary Diversion for CLP Cable at CH6+560</i>						92	0	06-Apr-17 A																	
K-PA-TUD-3700	Trench excavation area 4b for cable diversion and 132KV CLP cable slewing works by CLP	28	0	06-Apr-17 A	06-Jul-17 A																				

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	July					August					September			October				
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01	08	
K-PA-TUD-4060	Excavation of trench for 11KV cable connections adjacent to WH05 to WH12	6	0	18-Jul-17 A	19-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TUD-4070	CLP carry out protection to slewed 132KV and laying of 11KV crossroad ducts	4	0	26-Jun-17 A	11-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TUD-4080	Laying new 11KV and LV cables	5	0	19-Jul-17 A	21-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TUD-4090	Connection of 11KV and LV cables	10	0	21-Jul-17 A	22-Jul-17 A																		
Temporary Diversion for Sewage Rising Main		22	0	08-Jul-17 A	07-Aug-17																		
K-PA-TUD-1800	Connection to existing rising main	0	0		07-Aug-17																		
K-PA-TUD-2800	Construction of DN450 sewerage pipe at zone 2 - stage 2	16	0	08-Jul-17 A	31-Jul-17 A																		
Temporary Traffic Management		50	0	02-Jun-17 A	01-Sep-17																		
Implementation of Temporary Traffic Arrangement		46	0	22-Jul-17 A	01-Sep-17																		
K-PA-TTA-3000	TTA stage 2 - Road diversion at Shing Cheong Road for D-wall W/B at Zone 2	0	0	22-Jul-17 A																			
K-PA-TTA-4000	TTA stage 3 - Road diversion at Cheung Yip Street phase 1	0	0	01-Sep-17																			
Construction of Temporary Diversion Road for Shing Cheong Road (TTA stage 2)		19	0	02-Jun-17 A	13-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TTA-6020	Construction of concrete pavement (Zone 2 decking)	4	0	26-Jun-17 A	04-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TTA-6050	Construction of footpath and U-channel	12	0	02-Jun-17 A	04-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TTA-6100	Installation of street lighting and setup the TTA	5	0	05-Jul-17 A	10-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-TTA-6150	Road marking	1	0	05-Jul-17 A	13-Jul-17 A																		
Interfacing Works		4	0	31-Jul-17 A	31-Jul-17 A																		
K-PA-INT-3000	Joint inspection and handover for connecting sewerage (HKCH)	4	0	31-Jul-17 A	31-Jul-17 A																		
Materials Procurement (Major Materials)		901	410	01-Feb-16 A	13-Sep-18																		
ELS struct / waling		360	105	10-Jun-16 A	12-Nov-17																		
K-PA-MP-1150	Manufacturing & delivery to site	360	105	10-Jun-16 A	12-Nov-17																		
Water Works		210	210	31-Jul-17	25-Feb-18																		
K-PA-MP-1050	Manufacturing & delivery to site	210	210	31-Jul-17	25-Feb-18																		
Steel H-Pile		420	50	01-Feb-16 A	18-Sep-17																		
K-PA-MP-1250	Manufacturing & delivery to site	420	50	01-Feb-16 A	18-Sep-17																		
Chilled Water Pipes - DCS		550	410	06-Feb-17 A	13-Sep-18																		
K-PA-MP-1350	Manufacturing & delivery to site	550	410	06-Feb-17 A	13-Sep-18																		
Preliminaries		1190	690	11-Mar-16 A	20-Jun-19																		
K-DR-PRE-1800	Submission of time-lapsed photographs and video	1190	690	11-Mar-16 A	20-Jun-19																		
Barge Loading Facilities		430	388	21-Jun-17 A	17-Nov-18																		
K-DR-PRE-1480	Operation of temporary barging point	430	388	21-Jun-17 A	17-Nov-18																		

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	July					August					September				October	
						25					26					27				28	
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01
Instrumentation and Monitoring		392	58	25-Apr-16 A	26-Sep-17																
Eastbound Instrumentation and Monitoring		45	45	31-Jul-17	20-Sep-17																
<i>Inclinometer (INC)</i>		45	45	31-Jul-17	20-Sep-17																
K-IM-INC-1320	Installation of INC at Zone 2	10	10	07-Sep-17	18-Sep-17														Installation of INC at Zone 2		
K-IM-INC-1335	Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+467 to CH6+540)	10	10	31-Jul-17	10-Aug-17														Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+467 to CH6+540)		
K-IM-INC-1340	Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+540 to CH6+568)	10	10	09-Sep-17	20-Sep-17														Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+540 to CH6+568)		
Westbound Instrumentation and Monitoring		38	38	14-Aug-17	26-Sep-17																
<i>Extensometer (EXT)</i>		15	15	09-Sep-17	26-Sep-17																
K-IM-EXT-1360	Installation of EXT at Zone 2	15	15	09-Sep-17	26-Sep-17														Installation of EXT at Zone 2		
<i>Piezometer/Standpipe (PZR)</i>		10	10	09-Sep-17	20-Sep-17																
K-IM-PZR-1360	Installation of PZR at Zone 2	10	10	09-Sep-17	20-Sep-17														Installation of PZR at Zone 2		
<i>Inclinometer (INC)</i>		38	38	14-Aug-17	26-Sep-17																
K-IM-INC-1360	Installation of INC at Zone 2	10	10	15-Sep-17	26-Sep-17														Installation of INC at Zone 2		
K-IM-INC-1375	Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+467 to CH6+540)	10	10	14-Aug-17	24-Aug-17														Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+467 to CH6+540)		
K-IM-INC-1380	Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+540 to CH6+568)	10	10	09-Sep-17	20-Sep-17														Installation of INC at Zone 4 (CH6+540 to CH6+568)		
Crack Meters		10	10	31-Jul-17	09-Aug-17																
K-IM-CRM-1010	Installation of Crack Meters at HKCH	10	10	31-Jul-17	09-Aug-17														Installation of Crack Meters at HKCH		
Tilt Monitoring Tile Plates		310	40	25-Apr-16 A	08-Sep-17																
K-IM-TMT-1000	Tilt Monitoring near PWCL	310	40	25-Apr-16 A	08-Sep-17														Tilt Monitoring near PWCL		
Section 1 of the Works-Remainder of the Works		92	72	13-Jul-17 A	10-Oct-17																
Roadwork and Drainage Works		92	72	13-Jul-17 A	10-Oct-17																
Road D4-4 (Cheung Yip Street)		92	72	13-Jul-17 A	10-Oct-17																
<i>Drainage Works (CH100 to CH240)</i>		20	20	09-Sep-17	03-Oct-17																
K-01-RWS-9351	Installation of Sheet Pile for Drainage Works (M102 to M105)	12	12	09-Sep-17	22-Sep-17														Installation of Sheet Pile for Drainage Works (M102 to M105)		
K-01-RWS-9352	Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M102 to M105)	8	8	23-Sep-17	03-Oct-17														Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M102 to M105)		
<i>CH240 - CH400 Northbound</i>		60	60	13-Jul-17 A	10-Oct-17																
Sewerage Works		28	28	01-Sep-17	04-Oct-17																
K-01-RWS-9815	Excavation of Sewerage Pipe and Manhole (Site 3C1-1)	6	6	01-Sep-17	07-Sep-17														Excavation of Sewerage Pipe and Manhole (Site 3C1-1)		
K-01-RWS-9820	Laying Sewerage Pipe and Manhole (Site 3C1-1)	22	22	08-Sep-17	04-Oct-17														Laying Sewerage Pipe and Manhole (Site 3C1-1)		
Laying of Drainage Pipe and Construction of Manhole (M206 to M207)		12	12	13-Jul-17 A	12-Aug-17																
K-01-RWS-9340	Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M206 to M207)	8	0	13-Jul-17 A	28-Jul-17 A														Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M206 to M207)		

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	July		August				September				October		
						25		26				27				28		
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10
K-01-RWS-9350	Laying Drainage Pipe and Construction Manhole (M206 to M207)	7	7	29-Jul-17 A	07-Aug-17													
K-01-RWS-9410	Backfilling Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M206 to M207)	5	5	08-Aug-17	12-Aug-17													
Laying of Drainage Pipe and Construction of Manhole (M207 to M208)		20	20	14-Aug-17	05-Sep-17													
K-01-RWS-9420	Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M207 to M208)	8	8	14-Aug-17	22-Aug-17													
K-01-RWS-9430	Laying Drainage Pipe and Construction Manhole (M207 to M208)	7	7	23-Aug-17	30-Aug-17													
K-01-RWS-9435	Backfilling Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M207 to M208)	5	5	31-Aug-17	05-Sep-17													
Laying of Drainage Pipe and Construction of Manhole (M208 to M209)		20	20	23-Aug-17	14-Sep-17													
K-01-RWS-9502	Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M208 to M209)	8	8	23-Aug-17	31-Aug-17													
K-01-RWS-9504	Laying Drainage Pipe and Construction Manhole (M208 to M209)	7	7	01-Sep-17	08-Sep-17													
K-01-RWS-9506	Backfilling Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M208 to M209)	5	5	09-Sep-17	14-Sep-17													
Laying of Drainage Pipe and Construction of Manhole (M209 to M213)		20	20	15-Sep-17	10-Oct-17													
K-01-RWS-9507	Excavation of Drainage Pipe and Manhole (M209 to M213)	8	8	15-Sep-17	23-Sep-17													
K-01-RWS-9508	Laying Drainage Pipe and Construction Manhole (M209 to M213)	12	12	25-Sep-17	10-Oct-17													
Road Works		20	20	15-Sep-17	10-Oct-17													
K-01-RWS-9440	Construction of Road Base and Road Pavement (M206 to M209)	20	20	15-Sep-17	10-Oct-17													
<i>Temporary Traffic Arrangement</i>		0	0	01-Sep-17	01-Sep-17													
K-01-RWS-9400	Implementation of TTA stage 3 - phase 1	0	0	01-Sep-17														◆ Implementation of TTA stage 3 - phase 1
<i>Seawall Modification Works</i>		53	33	18-Jul-17 A	01-Sep-17													
K-01-RWS-9710	Concrete surround DN2100 drainage 5.34m*4m*1.5m	10	10	09-Aug-17	19-Aug-17													Concrete surround DN2100 drainage 5.34m*4m*1.5m
K-01-RWS-9740	Breaking concrete coping and removal of seawall block	10	8	18-Jul-17 A	08-Aug-17													Breaking concrete coping and removal of seawall block
K-01-RWS-9750	Placing concrete surrounding DN2100 drainage pipe and construction of drainage pipe joint	5	5	23-Aug-17	28-Aug-17													Placing concrete surrounding DN2100 drainage pipe and construction of drainage pipe joint
K-01-RWS-9770	AI test and CCTV test for drainage pipe	1	1	28-Aug-17	29-Aug-17													AI test and CCTV test for drainage pipe
K-01-RWS-9780	Beakfilling of Drianage pipe near seawall	1	1	29-Aug-17	30-Aug-17													Beakfilling of Drianage pipe near seawall
K-01-RWS-9790	Maintance department handover inspection	1	1	30-Aug-17	31-Aug-17													Maintance department handover inspection
K-01-RWS-9800	Removal of stop log	1	1	31-Aug-17	01-Sep-17													Removal of stop log
Section 1A of the Works -Construction of Supporting Underground Structure (Alter		155	82	27-Feb-17 A	06-Nov-17													
SUS and Ventilation Adits from CH6+150 to CH6+220 in Zone 1		116	56	15-Jun-17 A	04-Oct-17													
Construction of Tunnel Box Structure		116	56	15-Jun-17 A	04-Oct-17													
<i>SUS Bay 1 (Ch6150-Ch6167.5)</i>		114	54	15-Jun-17 A	30-Sep-17													
K-1A-SV1-8210	Backfilling with Sand to Formation Level of Service Adit	3	6	26-Jun-17 A	05-Aug-17													Backfilling with Sand to Formation Level of Service Adit
K-1A-SV1-8240	Construction of VA1 and VA3 Side Wall and base slab of SA	10	10	15-Jun-17 A	17-Aug-17													Construction of VA1 and VA3 Side Wall and base slab of SA

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	Calendar															
						July				August				September				October			
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01
K-1A-SV1-8250	Installation of Re-prop Struct inside VA1, VA2, VA3 and SA	4	4	18-Aug-17	22-Aug-17	■ Installation of Re-prop Struct inside VA1, VA2, VA3 and SA															
K-1A-SV1-8260	Backfilling with Sand and Casting Mass Concrete between VA1, VA2 and SA	5	5	18-Aug-17	23-Aug-17	■ Backfilling with Sand and Casting Mass Concrete between VA1, VA2 and SA															
K-1A-SV1-8270	Removal of Strut S4	4	4	24-Aug-17	28-Aug-17	■ Removal of Strut S4															
K-1A-SV1-8290	Erection of Scaffold and Formwork for Base Slab Construction (inside VA1 and VA3)	7	7	29-Aug-17	05-Sep-17	■ Erection of Scaffold and Formwork for Base Slab Construction (inside VA1 and VA3)															
K-1A-SV1-8300	Backfilling with Sand to Formation Level	6	6	06-Sep-17	12-Sep-17	■ Backfilling with Sand to Formation Level															
K-1A-SV1-8320	Construction of Base Slab	12	12	13-Sep-17	26-Sep-17	■ Construction of Base Slab															
K-1A-SV1-8330	Removal of Strut S3	4	4	27-Sep-17	30-Sep-17	■ Removal of Strut S3															
SUS Bay 2 (Ch6167.5-Ch6185)		81	56	20-Jul-17 A	04-Oct-17																
K-1A-SV1-8840	Construction of Base Slab for VA2	12	0	20-Jul-17 A	28-Jul-17 A	■ Construction of Base Slab for VA2															
K-1A-SV1-8860	Removal of Strut SV2	4	4	31-Jul-17	03-Aug-17	■ Removal of Strut SV2															
K-1A-SV1-8870	Construction of VA2 Wall Structure	8	8	07-Aug-17	15-Aug-17	■ Construction of VA2 Wall Structure															
K-1A-SV1-8880	Strip Formwork and Remedial Works for Waterproofing	3	3	16-Aug-17	18-Aug-17	■ Strip Formwork and Remedial Works for Waterproofing															
K-1A-SV1-8890	Backfilling with Sand and Removal part of SV1	4	4	21-Aug-17	24-Aug-17	■ Backfilling with Sand and Removal part of SV1															
K-1A-SV1-8900	Installation of Precast Concrete Slab for Base Slab Construction	2	2	25-Aug-17	26-Aug-17	■ Installation of Precast Concrete Slab for Base Slab Construction															
K-1A-SV1-8910	Casting Blinding Layer (No-Fine) and Laying Waterproofing Works	4	4	28-Aug-17	31-Aug-17	■ Casting Blinding Layer (No-Fine) and Laying Waterproofing Works															
K-1A-SV1-8920	Construction of Base Slab	6	6	01-Sep-17	07-Sep-17	■ Construction of Base Slab															
K-1A-SV1-8930	Removal of Strut S3	4	4	08-Sep-17	12-Sep-17	■ Removal of Strut S3															
K-1A-SV1-8950	Construction of Side Wall Construction	10	10	13-Sep-17	23-Sep-17	■ Construction of Side Wall Construction															
K-1A-SV1-8960	Erection of Scaffold and Installation of Re-prop Struct inside W/B and E/B	8	8	25-Sep-17	04-Oct-17	■ Erection of Scaffold and Installation of Re-prop Struct inside W/B and E/B															
SUS and Ventilation Adits from CH6+220 to CH6+291 in Zone 2		125	61	18-May-17 A	13-Oct-17																
E/B Construction of D-Wall		56	56	08-Aug-17	13-Oct-17																
K-1A-SV2-2690	Construction of Guide Wall Eastbound (CH6+241 to CH6+247)	5	5	08-Aug-17	12-Aug-17	■ Construction of Guide Wall Eastbound (CH6+241 to CH6+247)															
K-1A-SV2-2700	Construction of D-wall Eastbound (CH6+241 to CH6+247)	10	10	21-Aug-17	31-Aug-17	■ Construction of D-wall Eastbound (CH6+241 to CH6+247)															
K-1A-SV2-2750	Testing of D-wall (Sonic test and IC)	20	20	01-Sep-17	23-Sep-17	■ Testing of D-wall (Sonic test and IC)															
K-1A-SV2-2800	Toe Grouting Works	20	20	19-Sep-17	13-Oct-17	■ Toe Grouting Works															
Construction of Socketed H-Pile		20	20	09-Sep-17	03-Oct-17																
K-1A-SV2-3300	Installation of Socketed H-piles (CH6+220 to CH6+248)	20	20	09-Sep-17	03-Oct-17	■ Installation of Socketed H-piles (CH6+220 to CH6+248)															
W/B Construction of D-Wall in TTA Stage 1A		45	0	18-May-17 A	10-Jul-17 A																
K-1A-SV2-5500	Construction of D-wall Westbound (CH6+241 to CH6+291)	45	0	18-May-17 A	10-Jul-17 A	■ Construction of D-wall Westbound (CH6+241 to CH6+291)															
W/B Construction of D-Wall in TTA Stage 2		73	53	22-Jul-17 A	03-Oct-17																
K-1A-SV2-4300	Implementation of TTA stage 2	0	0	22-Jul-17 A		◆ Implementation of TTA stage 2															

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	July					August					September				October					
						25					26					27				28					
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01	08			
K-1A-SV2-4400	Construction of Guide Wall	15	15	02-Aug-17	18-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV2-4500	Construction of D-wall Westbound (CH6+220 to CH6+241)	25	25	11-Aug-17	08-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV2-4600	Testing of D-wall (Sonic test and IC)	28	28	19-Aug-17	20-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV2-4700	Toe Grouting Works	30	30	29-Aug-17	03-Oct-17																				
SUS Structure from CH6+291 to 6+467 in Zone 3		106	82	29-Jun-17 A	06-Nov-17																				
Pumping Test for Zone 3		7	7	29-Jun-17 A	07-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5240	Review Report for Pumping test for excavation in Zone 3	7	7	29-Jun-17 A	07-Aug-17																				
Excavation and ELS Construction		106	82	29-Jun-17 A	06-Nov-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5500	Excavation and Trimming Dwall to +2.0mPD for Temporary Bridge at CH6+325	7	0	29-Jun-17 A	26-Jul-17 A																				
K-1A-SV3-5510	Breaking Bulging for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+325	3	0	20-Jul-17 A	28-Jul-17 A																				
K-1A-SV3-5520	Installation of Lateral Support for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+325	9	8	29-Jul-17 A	08-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5530	Installation of Steel Bridge for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+325	10	10	09-Aug-17	19-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5540	Laying Sheetpiles and Concreting for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+325	10	10	21-Aug-17	31-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5550	Miscellaneous Activities for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+325	5	5	01-Sep-17	06-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5600	Breaking existing concrete slab / Excavation and Lateral Support (S1) to +1.95mPD	31	17	29-Jun-17 A	18-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5650	Excavation and Lateral Support (S2) to -2.20mPD	24	24	19-Aug-17	15-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5700	Excavation and Lateral Support (S3) to -6.20mPD	25	25	16-Sep-17	17-Oct-17																				
K-1A-SV3-5910	Construction of temporary steel decking and platforms along the westbound diaphragm walls	65	65	19-Aug-17	06-Nov-17																				
SUS Structure from CH6+467 to 6+568 in Zone 4		139	66	27-Feb-17 A	17-Oct-17																				
E/B Construction of D-Wall		65	25	27-Feb-17 A	28-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV4-2450	Testing of D-wall (Sonic test and IC) (CH6+510 to CH6+560)	18	10	27-Feb-17 A	10-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV4-2460	Toe Grouting Works	14	14	12-Aug-17	28-Aug-17																				
Construction of Socketed H-Pile		73	13	08-Jun-17 A	23-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV4-3200	Installation of Socketed H-piles (CH6+550 to CH6+530)	16	0	08-Jun-17 A	13-Jul-17 A																				
K-1A-SV4-3300	Installation of Socketed H-piles (CH6+530 to CH6+510)	42	0	12-Jun-17 A	29-Jul-17 A																				
K-1A-SV4-3600	Installation of Socketed H-piles (CH6+560 to CH6+565)	13	13	09-Sep-17	23-Sep-17																				
W/B and End Construction of D-Wall in TTA Stage 1A		123	42	12-Apr-17 A	16-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV4-4050	Construction of Guide Wall (End Wall)	8	0	27-Jun-17 A	22-Jul-17 A																				
K-1A-SV4-4700	Construction of D-wall (CH6+560 to CH6+568) & end wall at CH6+568	55	35	06-Jul-17 A	08-Sep-17																				
K-1A-SV4-4745	Testing of D-wall (Sonic test and IC) (CH6+467 to CH6+510)	12	8	12-Apr-17 A	08-Aug-17																				
K-1A-SV4-4750	Testing of D-wall (Sonic test and IC) (CH6+510 to CH6+568 and End Wall)	18	18	19-Apr-17 A	16-Sep-17																				

Activity ID	Activity Name	Orig Dur	Rem Dur	Start	Finish	July					August					September				October		
						18	25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01	08
K-1A-SV4-4760	Toe Grouting Works	14	8	22-Jul-17 A	17-Aug-17																	
Pumping Test		48	48	11-Aug-17	07-Oct-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5000	Installation of Dewatering Well, Observation Well and Recharging Well at CH6+467 to CH6+550	25	25	11-Aug-17	08-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5005	Installation of Dewatering Well, Observation Well and Recharging Well at CH6+550 to CH6+568	8	8	09-Sep-17	18-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5100	Initial Dewatering to verify the Discharge Rates of Wells for Pumping Test for Excavation in Zone 4	1	1	19-Sep-17	19-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5110	Dewatering to Required Levels and Maintained for 48 Hours for Pumping Test for Excavation in Zone 4	3	3	20-Sep-17	22-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5120	Ground Water Recovery Stage for Pumping Test for Excavation in Zone 4	3	3	23-Sep-17	26-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5130	Review stage for Pumping test for excavation in Zone 4	1	1	27-Sep-17	27-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5140	Review Report for Pumping test for excavation in Zone 4	7	7	28-Sep-17	07-Oct-17																	
Excavation and ELS Construction		56	46	11-Jul-17 A	17-Oct-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5490	Open Gate 2A for construction of temporary bridge at CH6+482	15	12	11-Jul-17 A	05-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5500	Excavation and Trimming Dwall to +2.0mPD for Temporary Bridge at CH6+482	6	6	06-Sep-17	12-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5510	Breaking Bulging for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+482	3	3	13-Sep-17	15-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5520	Installation of Lateral Support for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+482	9	9	16-Sep-17	26-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5530	Installation of Steel Bridge for Temporary Vehicular Access at CH6+482	10	10	27-Sep-17	10-Oct-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5555	Breaking existing concrete slab / Excavation to +2.5mPD from CH6+467 to CH6+530	17	17	09-Sep-17	28-Sep-17																	
K-1A-SV4-5600	Excavation and Lateral Support (S1) to +0.84mPD	14	14	29-Sep-17	17-Oct-17																	
Section 4B of the Works- Construction of Subway B (Subject to Excision)		0	0	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17																	
Bay 1 & 2		0	0	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17																	
K-4B-BAY-3100	Handover of Portion B	0	0		31-Jul-17*																	
Bay 3 & 4		0	0	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17																	
K-4B-BAY-2480	Interface Connection Details for HKCN of subway B	0	0	31-Jul-17																		
Section 5 of the Works-Completion of All Landscape Softworks		90	90	31-Jul-17	28-Oct-17																	
K-05-LCS-1000	Procurement of plant species	90	90	31-Jul-17	28-Oct-17																	
Section 7 of the Works-Preservation and Protection of Existing Trees		1200	690	04-Jan-16 A	20-Jun-19																	
K-07-001-1000	Section 7 of the Works-Preservation and Protection of Existing Trees	1200	690	04-Jan-16 A	20-Jun-19																	
Sections Completion Date		0	0	31-Jul-17	31-Jul-17																	
K-PK-SCC-2100	Completion of Section 2-Demolition of Radar Tower and Guard House	0	0		31-Jul-17																	

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com





Appendix B
Project Organization Chart

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com



MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix C

Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com

**Action and Limit Levels for 24-hr TSP and 1-hr TSP**

Parameter	Monitoring Station	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
24-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	KTD1a	177	260
	KTD2a	157	
	KER1b	172	
*1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	KTD1a	285	500
	KTD2a	279	
	KER1b	295	

Note:

1-hr TSP monitoring should be required in case of complaints.

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise, Leq (30min), dB(A)

Time Period	Location	Action	Limit
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	KTD1a KTD2a KER1b	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A)

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

The logo for MaterialLab, featuring the word "MaterialLab" in a bold, sans-serif font. The text is centered between two thick, horizontal black bars.

Appendix D

Calibration Certificates of Monitoring Equipment



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Jan 18, 2017 Rootmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 294
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 2154 Pa (mm) - 755.65

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4530	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0420	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9290	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8840	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7300	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
1.0035	0.6906	1.4197	0.9957	0.6853	0.8821
0.9993	0.9590	2.0078	0.9915	0.9516	1.2475
0.9972	1.0734	2.2448	0.9894	1.0651	1.3948
0.9960	1.1268	2.3543	0.9883	1.1180	1.4628
0.9907	1.3571	2.8394	0.9830	1.3466	1.7642
Qstd slope (m) = 2.12779			Qa slope (m) = 1.33238		
intercept (b) = -0.04273			intercept (b) = -0.02655		
coefficient (r) = 0.99982			coefficient (r) = 0.99982		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)
 Qstd = Vstd/Time

Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Project : Environmental Monitoring Works For Contract No. KLN/2015/07				Date of Calibration: 7-Apr-17	
Location : KTD1a				Next Calibration Date: 6-Jul-17	
Brand:	Tisch			Technician: Jimmy Lui	
Model:	TE-5170	S/N:	4037		

CONDITIONS					
Sea Level Pressure (hPa):	1012.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	759		
Temperature (°C):	25	Temperature (K):	298		

CALIBRATION ORIFICE					
Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.12779		
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.04273		
Calibration Date:	18-Jan-17	Expiry Date:	18-Jan-18		
S/N:	2154				


CALIBRATIONS							
Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.70	-6.30	12.000	1.647	53.00	52.97	Slope = 31.9356 Intercept = -0.1259 Corr. coeff.: 0.9974
13	4.50	-5.10	9.600	1.475	47.00	46.97	
10	3.40	-4.00	7.400	1.298	41.00	40.97	
7	2.00	-2.70	4.700	1.038	32.00	31.98	
5	1.10	-1.80	2.900	0.820	27.00	26.98	

Calculations:

$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$
 $IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$
 Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$
 m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure




CHOI KAM HO
Project Consultant

Report Date: 7th April, 2017

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com.hk



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Project : Environmental Monitoring Works For Contract No. KLN/2015/07				Date of Calibration: 7-Apr-17	
Location : KTD2a				Next Calibration Date: 6-Jul-17	
Brand:	Tisch		Technician: Jimmy Lui		
Model:	TE-5170	S/N:	3838		

CONDITIONS					
Sea Level Pressure (hPa):	1012.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	759		
Temperature (°C):	25	Temperature (K):	298		

CALIBRATION ORIFICE					
Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.12779		
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.04273		
Calibration Date:	18-Jan-17	Expiry Date:	18-Jan-18		
S/N:	2154				


CALIBRATIONS							
Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.90	-5.70	10.600	1.549	59.00	58.96	Slope = 37.5842 Intercept = 1.4001 Corr. coeff.: 0.9958
13	4.00	-4.70	8.700	1.405	54.00	53.96	
10	3.00	-3.80	6.800	1.245	50.00	49.97	
7	1.90	-2.60	4.500	1.016	39.00	38.97	
5	1.10	-1.80	2.900	0.820	32.00	31.98	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[$\sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$]-b]
 IC = I[$\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)$]
 Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[$\sqrt{298/Tav}(Pav/760)$]-b)
 m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



CHOI KAM HO
Project Consultant

Report Date: 7th April, 2017

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Project : Environmental Monitoring Works For Contract No. KLN/2015/07			Date of Calibration: 7-Apr-17		
Location : KER1b			Next Calibration Date: 6-Jul-17		
Brand:	Tisch		Technician: Jimmy Lui		
Model:	TE-5170	S/N:	3482		

CONDITIONS					
Sea Level Pressure (hPa):	1012.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	759		
Temperature (°C):	25	Temperature (K):	298		

CALIBRATION ORIFICE					
Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.12779		
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.04273		
Calibration Date:	18-Jan-17	Expiry Date:	18-Jan-18		
S/N:	2154				


CALIBRATIONS							
Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.60	-6.30	11.900	1.640	56.00	55.96	Slope = 26.9764 Intercept = 11.3176 Corr. coeff.: 0.9977
13	4.30	-5.00	9.300	1.452	50.00	49.97	
10	3.20	-3.90	7.100	1.272	46.00	45.97	
7	1.90	-2.60	4.500	1.016	38.00	37.97	
5	1.10	-1.80	2.900	0.820	34.00	33.98	

Calculations:

$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/Pstd)}(Tstd/Ta)]-b]$
 $IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd)}(Tstd/Ta)]$
 Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/Tav}](Pav/760)]-b)$
 m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



CHOI KAM HO
Project Consultant

Report Date: 7th April, 2017

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com.hk



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Project : Environmental Monitoring Works For Contract No. KLN/2015/07				Date of Calibration: 6-Jul-17	
Location : KTD1a				Next Calibration Date: 5-Oct-17	
Brand:	Tisch		Technician: Jimmy Lui		
Model:	TE-5170	S/N:	4037		


CONDITIONS					
Sea Level Pressure (hPa):	1008.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	756		
Temperature (°C):	27	Temperature (K):	300		

CALIBRATION ORIFICE					
Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.12779		
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.04273		
Calibration Date:	18-Jan-17	Expiry Date:	18-Jan-18		
S/N:	2154				

CALIBRATIONS							
Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.90	-6.10	12.000	1.638	53.00	52.68	Slope = 32.0779 Intercept = -0.2063 Corr. coeff.: 0.9957
13	4.30	-4.90	9.200	1.437	47.00	46.72	
10	3.50	-4.00	7.500	1.299	40.00	39.76	
7	2.10	-2.50	4.600	1.022	33.00	32.80	
5	0.90	-1.90	2.800	0.802	26.00	25.84	

Calculations:

$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$
 $IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$
 Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$
 m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure




CHOI KAM HO
 Project Consultant

Report Date: 6th July, 2017

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com.hk



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET


Project : Environmental Monitoring Works For Contract No. KLN/2015/07			Date of Calibration: 6-Jul-17
Location : KTD2a			Next Calibration Date: 5-Oct-17
Brand:	Tisch		Technician: Jimmy Lui
Model:	TE-5170	S/N: 3838	

CONDITIONS			
Sea Level Pressure (hPa):	1008.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	756
Temperature (°C):	27	Temperature (K):	300

CALIBRATION ORIFICE			
Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.12779
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.04273
Calibration Date:	18-Jan-17	Expiry Date:	18-Jan-18
S/N:	2154		

CALIBRATIONS							
Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.10	-5.80	10.900	1.562	60.00	59.64	Slope = 34.5666
13	4.00	-4.50	8.500	1.382	54.00	53.68	Intercept = 6.1333
10	2.90	-3.60	6.500	1.211	50.00	49.70	Corr. coeff.: 0.9959
7	1.70	-2.70	4.400	1.000	40.00	39.76	
5	0.80	-1.60	2.400	0.744	32.00	31.81	

Calculations:
 $Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))}-b]$
 $IC = I[\sqrt{(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}]$
 Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\sqrt{(298/Tav)(Pav/760)}]-b)$
 m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



[Signature]
CHOI KAM HO
 Project Consultant

Report Date: 6th July, 2017

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com.hk



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Project : Environmental Monitoring Works For Contract No. KLN/2015/07			Date of Calibration: 6-Jul-17
Location : KER1b			Next Calibration Date: 5-Oct-17
Brand:	Tisch		Technician: Jimmy Lui
Model:	TE-5170	S/N: 3482	


CONDITIONS			
Sea Level Pressure (hPa):	1008.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	756
Temperature (°C):	27	Temperature (K):	300


CALIBRATION ORIFICE			
Make:	Tisch	Qstd Slope:	2.12779
Model:	TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept:	-0.04273
Calibration Date:	18-Jan-17	Expiry Date:	18-Jan-18
S/N:	2154		

CALIBRATIONS							
Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m ³ /min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.40	-6.50	11.900	1.632	56.00	55.67	Slope = 25.9776
13	4.40	-5.00	9.400	1.452	51.00	50.70	Intercept = 12.6314
10	3.30	-4.10	7.400	1.291	45.00	44.73	Corr. coeff.: 0.9951
7	1.80	-2.40	4.200	0.977	38.00	37.77	
5	1.00	-1.70	2.700	0.788	34.00	33.80	

Calculations:

$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))}] - b$
 $IC = I[\sqrt{(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}]$
 Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\sqrt{(298/Tav)(Pav/760)}] - b)$
 m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure




CHOI KAM HO
 Project Consultant

Report Date: 6th July, 2017

FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam,
Tuen Mun, N.T.,
Hong Kong.

Tel : +852 2450 8233
Fax : +852 2450 6138
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com
Website : www.fugro.com

MaterialLab

Report No. : 161966CA171055

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF ANEMOMETER

Client Supplied Information

Client : MaterialLab Consultants Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Anemometer

Manufacturer : Benetech

Model No. : GM816

Serial No. : 13372555

Equipment ID. : N/A

Next Calibration Date : 09-May-2018

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment –

Description : Reference Anemometer

Equipment ID. : R-101-4

Date of Calibration : 10-May-2017 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab



Method Used : By direct Comparison

Calibration Results :

Reference Reading (m/s)	UUT Reading (m/s)	Error (m/s)
2.00	2.0	0.0
3.98	3.9	-0.1
5.98	5.4	-0.6
8.01	7.0	-1.0
10.01	8.8	-1.2

Remark :

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.

Checked by :  Date : 12-5-2017 Certified by :  Date : 12-5-2017
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Chan Chun Wai (Manager)

** End of Report **

FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam,
Tuen Mun, N.T.,
Hong Kong.

Tel : +852 2450 8233
Fax : +852 2450 6138
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com
Website : www.fugro.com

MaterialLab

Report no.: 172379CA171223

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : MaterialLab Consultants Ltd.

Address : Room 723 & 725, 7F., Block B Profit Industrial Building, 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : Casella
Serial No. : 3756072(meter), 01456 (microphone), 003527(Preamplifier)
Next Calibration Date : 05-Jun-2018
Specification Limit : EN 61672: 2003 Type 2

Laboratory Information



Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)
Equipment ID. : R-108-1
Date of Calibration : 06-Jun-2017 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab
Method Used : By direct comparison

Calibration Results :

Parameters	Mean Value (dB)	Specification Limit(dB)
A-weighting frequency response	4000Hz	3.7
	2000Hz	1.8
	1000Hz	-1.0
	500Hz	-7.7
	250Hz	-18.1
	125Hz	-31.0
	63Hz	-46.4
31.5Hz	-58.2	
Differential level linearity	94dB-104dB	0.1
	104dB-114dB	0.2

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighing is fast
4. The equipment does not comply with EN 61672: 2003 Type 2 sound level meter for the above measurement.

Checked by :  Date : 7/6/2017 Certified by :  Date : 7/6/2017
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Chan Chun Wai (Manager)

** End of Report **

FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam,
Tuen Mun, N.T.,
Hong Kong.

Tel : +852 2450 8233
Fax : +852 2450 6138
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk

MaterialLab

Report no.: 161966CA162338

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : MaterialLab Consultants Ltd.

Address : Room 723 & 725, 7/F., Block B Profit Industrial Building, 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : Casella
Model No. : Casella (Model no. CEL-63X(meter), CEL-251(microphone), CEL-495(Preamplifier))
Serial No. : 2451028 (meter), 01231(microphone), 002850 (Preamplifier)
Next Calibration Date : 16-Nov-2017
Specification Limit : EN 61672: 2003 Type 1

Laboratory Information

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)

Equipment ID. : R-108-1

Date of Calibration : 17-Nov-2016 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab



Method Used : By direct comparison

Calibration Results :

Parameters	Mean Value (dB)	Specification Limit(dB)
A-weighting frequency response	4000Hz	2.6 to -0.6
	2000Hz	0.8 to -0.4
	1000Hz	-1.0 to -1.1
	500Hz	-4.5 to -4.6
	250Hz	-9.9 to -10.0
	125Hz	-17.3 to -17.6
	63Hz	-27.3 to -27.7
	31.5Hz	-39.5 to -41.4
Differential level linearity	94dB-104dB	± 0.6
	104dB-114dB	± 0.6

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighing is fast
4. The equipment does comply with EN 61672: 2003 Type 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.

Checked by :  Date : 2016/11/17 Certified by :  Date : 2016/11/17
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Chan Chun Wai (Manager)

** End of Report **

FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam,
Tuen Mun, N.T.,
Hong Kong.

Tel : +852 2450 8233
Fax : +852 2450 6138
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com
Website : www.materiallab.com.hk

MaterialLab

Report no.: 161966CA162202

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : MaterialLab Consultants Ltd.

Address : Room 723 & 725, 7/F., Block B Profit Industrial Building, 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Chung, N.T.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : Casella
Model No. : Casella (Model no. CEL-63X(meter), CEL-251(microphone), CEL-495(Preamplifier))
Serial No. : 2451091 (meter), 01308(microphone), 002752 (Preamplifier))
Next Calibration Date : 31-Oct-2017
Specification Limit : EN 61672: 2003 Type 1

Laboratory Information



Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)
Equipment ID. : R-108-1
Date of Calibration : 01-Nov-2016 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab
Method Used : By direct comparison

Calibration Results :

Parameters		Mean Value (dB)	Specification Limit(dB)
A-weighting frequency response	2000Hz	1.9	2.8 to -0.4
	1000Hz	0.1	1.1 to -1.1
	500Hz	-3.5	-1.8 to -4.6
	250Hz	-8.9	-7.2 to -10.0
	125Hz	-16.4	-14.6 to -17.6
	63Hz	-26.4	-24.7 to -27.7
	31.5Hz	-39.3	-37.4 to -41.4
Differential level linearity	94dB-104dB	0.0	± 0.6
	104dB-114dB	0.0	± 0.6

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighing is fast
4. The equipment does comply with EN 61672: 2003 Type 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.

Checked by :  Date : 3-11-2016 Certified by :  Date : 4-11-2016
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Chan Chun Wai (Manager)

** End of Report **

FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

Fugro Development Centre,
5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam,
Tuen Mun, N.T.,
Hong Kong.

Tel : +852 2450 8233
Fax : +852 2450 6138
E-mail : matlab@fugro.com
Website : www.fugro.com

MaterialLab

Report no.: 172379CA171303(1)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Client Supplied Information

Client : MaterialLab Consultants Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Calibrator
Manufacturer : Casella (Model CEL-120/1)
Serial No. : 4358251
Equipment ID : N/A
Next Calibration Date : 05-Jun-2018
Specification Limit : EN 60942: 2003 Type 1

Laboratory Information



Description : Reference Sound level meter
Equipment ID. : R-119-1
Date of Calibration : 06-Jun-2017 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of MaterialLab
Method Used : By direct comparison

Calibration Results :

Parameters (Setting of UUT)	Mean Value (error of measurement)	Specification Limit(dB)
94dB	0.2 dB	±0.4dB
114dB	0.1 dB	

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. The equipment does comply with the specification limit.

Checked by :  Date : 7.6.2017 Certified by :  Date : 7.6.2017
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Chan Chun Wai (Manager)

** End of Report **

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix E

Environmental Monitoring Schedule

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com



Project: KL/2014/03 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Impact Monitoring Schedule (July 2017)

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
						1
2	3 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	4	5	6	7	8 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring
9	10	11	12	13	14 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	15
16	17	18	19	20 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	21	22
23	24	25	26 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	27	28	29
30	31					

Remarks

1. Monitoring Locations – KTD1a: Centre of Excellence in Paediatric (Children’s Hospital), KTD2a: G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future at Site 3C1), KER1b: Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street
2. TSP Monitoring: 24-hours TSP Monitoring per 6 days, and 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days (as required in case of complaints)
3. Noise Monitoring: Leq (30 min) between 0700 and 1900 hours.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com



Project: KL/2014/03 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Impact Monitoring Schedule (August 2017)

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
		1 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	2	3	4	5
6	7 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	8	9	10	11	12 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring
13	14	15	16	17	18 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	19
20	21	22	23	24 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	25	26
27	28	29	30 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	31		

Remarks

1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition
2. Monitoring Locations – KTD1a: Centre of Excellence in Paediatric (Children's Hospital), KTD2a: G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future at Site 3C1), KER1b: Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street
3. TSP Monitoring: 24-hours TSP Monitoring per 6 days, and 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days (as required in case of complaints)
4. Noise Monitoring: Leq (30 min) between 0700 and 1900 hours.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com



Project: KL/2014/03 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Impact Monitoring Schedule (September 2017)

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
					1	2
3	4	5 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	6	7	8	9
10	11 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	12	13	14	15	16 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring
17	18	19	20	21	22 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	23
24	25	26	27	28 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	29	30

Remarks

1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition
2. Monitoring Locations – KTD1a: Centre of Excellence in Paediatric (Children's Hospital), KTD2a: G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future at Site 3C1), KER1b: Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street
3. TSP Monitoring: 24-hours TSP Monitoring per 6 days, and 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days (as required in case of complaints)
4. Noise Monitoring: Leq (30 min) between 0700 and 1900 hours.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com



Project: KL/2014/03 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway

Impact Monitoring Schedule (October 2017)

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
1	2	3	4 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	5	6	7
8	9	10 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	11	12	13	14
15	16 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	17	18	19	20	21 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring
22	23	24	25	26	27 TSP Monitoring Noise Monitoring	28
29	30	31				

Remarks

- Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition
- Monitoring Locations – KTD1a: Centre of Excellence in Paediatric (Children’s Hospital), KTD2a: G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future at Site 3C1), KER1b: Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street
- TSP Monitoring: 24-hours TSP Monitoring per 6 days, and 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days (as required in case of complaints)
- Noise Monitoring: Leq (30 min) between 0700 and 1900 hours.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix F

Air Quality Monitoring Data

**24-hour TSP Monitoring Result for
Kai Tak Development - Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for
Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway**

KTD1a - Centre of Excellence in Paediatrics (Children's Hospital)

Start Date	Weather Condition	Air Temperature (K)	Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Sampling Time(hrs)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average flow (m ³ /min.)	Total volume (m ³)	Conc. (ug/m ³)	Action Level (ug/m ³)	Limit Level (ug/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final					
3-Jul-17	Cloudy	301.5	754.6	2.8131	2.9134	0.1003	24	1.62	1.64	1.63	2343.2	43	177	260
8-Jul-17	Sunny	300.5	757.4	2.8462	3.1401	0.2939	24	1.63	1.64	1.63	2347.5	125		
14-Jul-17	Cloudy	302.3	755.8	2.8415	3.0554	0.2139	24	1.59	1.60	1.59	2296.2	93		
20-Jul-17	Fine	301.6	756.5	2.8355	3.0086	0.1731	24	1.56	1.60	1.58	2275.1	76		
26-Jul-17	Fine	302.8	753.1	2.8503	3.0880	0.2377	24	1.61	1.64	1.62	2339.3	102		
												Min		
												Max	125	
												Average	88	

KTD2a - G/IC Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future Hospital at Site 3C1)

Start Date	Weather Condition	Air Temperature (K)	Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Sampling Time(hrs)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average flow (m ³ /min.)	Total volume (m ³)	Conc. (ug/m ³)	Action Level (ug/m ³)	Limit Level (ug/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final					
3-Jul-17	Cloudy	301.5	754.6	2.8274	2.8749	0.0475	24	1.62	1.64	1.63	2351.0	20	157	260
8-Jul-17	Sunny	300.5	757.4	2.9135	3.1401	0.2266	24	1.48	1.49	1.49	2144.9	106		
14-Jul-17	Cloudy	302.3	755.8	2.8325	2.8847	0.0522	24	1.55	1.57	1.56	2245.1	23		
20-Jul-17	Fine	301.6	756.5	2.8441	2.8864	0.0423	24	1.37	1.38	1.38	1984.0	21		
26-Jul-17	Fine	302.8	753.1	2.8335	2.8716	0.0381	24	1.26	1.27	1.26	1821.4	21		
												Min		
												Max	106	
												Average	38	




KER1b - Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street

Start Date	Weather Condition	Air Temperature (K)	Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg)	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight (g)	Sampling Time(hrs)	Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average flow (m ³ /min.)	Total volume (m ³)	Conc. (ug/m ³)	Action Level (ug/m ³)	Limit Level (ug/m ³)
				Initial	Final			Initial	Final					
3-Jul-17	Cloudy	301.5	754.6	2.8072	2.8428	0.0356	24	1.03	1.04	1.03	1488.9	24	172	260
8-Jul-17	Sunny	300.5	757.4	2.8595	2.9196	0.0601	24	1.16	1.16	1.16	1669.7	36		
14-Jul-17	Cloudy	302.3	755.8	2.8453	2.8788	0.0335	24	1.21	1.23	1.22	1754.1	19		
20-Jul-17	Fine	301.6	756.5	2.8262	2.8590	0.0328	24	1.27	1.29	1.28	1844.5	18		
26-Jul-17	Fine	302.8	753.1	2.8755	2.9292	0.0537	24	1.15	1.16	1.15	1662.9	32		
												Min		
												Max	36	
												Average	26	

Note:

Underline: Exceedance of Action Level

Underline and Bold: Exceedance of Limit Level



Note:

- 1) The major activities being carried out on site during the reporting period can be referred to Section 1.3.2.
- 2) The weather conditions during the reporting period can be referred to Appendix K.
- 3) Any other factors which might affect the monitoring results can be referred to Section 2.6.4.
- 4) QA/QC results, calibration results and detection limits can be referred to Appendix D.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Appendix G

Noise Monitoring Data

**Noise Impact Monitoring Result for
Kai Tak Development - Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for
Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway**

KTD 1a: Centre of Excellence in Paediatrics (Children's Hospital)

Date	Start Time	Leq 30min dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Weather
3-Jul-17	10:04	66	69	63	1.2	Cloudy
8-Jul-17	10:15	70	73	64	0.5	Sunny
14-Jul-17	10:42	71	73	68	0.6	Cloudy
20-Jul-17	10:42	67	71	66	0.2	Fine
26-Jul-17	10:33	70	72	62	0.0	Fine
Max		71				
Min		66				
Limit Level		75				

KTD 2a: G/I/C Zone next to Kwun Tong Bypass (Future Hospital at Site 3C1)

Date	Start Time	Leq 30min dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Weather
3-Jul-17	9:30	58	59	55	1.3	Cloudy
8-Jul-17	11:02	63	66	59	0.6	Sunny
14-Jul-17	10:04	68	71	66	0.4	Cloudy
20-Jul-17	10:00	60	62	58	0.0	Fine
26-Jul-17	9:57	60	61	58	0.0	Fine
Max		68				
Min		58				
Limit Level		75				

KER 1b: Site Boundary at Cheung Yip Street




Date	Start Time	Leq 30min dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Weather
3-Jul-17	10:42	64	66	60	1.0	Cloudy
8-Jul-17	9:24	70	72	63	0.8	Sunny
14-Jul-17	11:29	70	73	66	0.5	Cloudy
20-Jul-17	11:19	70	72	67	0.2	Fine
26-Jul-17	11:12	71	72	69	0.0	Fine
Max		71				
Min		64				
Limit Level		75				

Note:

KTD1a: Façade Measurement

KTD2a & KER1b: Free-field measurement (+3dB(A) correction has been applied)

No raining or wind with speed over 5 m/s was observed during noise monitoring according to the onsite observation.



Note:

- 1) The major activities being carried out on site during the reporting period can be referred to Section 1.3.2.
- 2) The weather conditions during the reporting period can be referred to Appendix K.
- 3) Any other factors which might affect the monitoring results can be referred to Section 3.7.2.
- 4) QA/QC results, calibration results and detection limits can be referred to Appendix D.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Appendix H

Events and Action Plan

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com



Event and Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
Exceedance for one sample.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify sources, investigate the causes of complaint and propose remedial measures. 2. Inform IEC and ER. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;. 4. Increase monitoring frequency 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET. 2. Check the Contractor's working methods. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practices. 2. Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify sources. 2. Inform the IEC and ER. 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 6. Discuss with the IEC, ER and Contractor on remedial action required. 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with the IEC, Contractor and ER. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET. 2. Check the Contractor's working methods. 3. Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures if required. 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of proposed remedial measures if required. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor. 2. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposals for remedial action to the ER within 3 working days of notification. 2. Implement the agreed proposals. 3. Amend proposal as appropriate
Limit Level				
Exceedance for one sample.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify sources, investigate causes of exceedance and proposed remedial measures. 2. Inform the IEC, ER, and Contractor. 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 4. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Assess effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial action and keep the IEC and ER informed of the results 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET. 2. Check the Contractor's working methods. 3. Discuss with the ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures. 4. Advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 5. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of the notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial action to the ER and copy to the ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Amend proposal as appropriate.
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the IEC, ER and Contractor. 2. Identify sources. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 5. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER to determine the possible mitigation to be implemented. 6. Arrange meeting with the IEC and ER to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial action. 2. Review the Contractor's remedial action whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER and ET accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of the notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. In consultation with the IEC and ET, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 5. If exceedance 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial action to the ER and copy to the IEC and ET within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problems still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,

Profit Industrial Building,

1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,

Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238

Fax : (852)-24508032

Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
	<p>discuss the remedial action to be taken.</p> <p>7. Assess the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial action and keep the IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring</p>		<p>continues, consider what portion of works is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of works until the exceedance is abated.</p>	<p>determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p>

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com



Event and Action Plan for Noise Impact

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the IEC, ER and Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor. 4. Discuss jointly with the ER and Contractor and formulate remedial measures. 5. Increase the monitoring frequency to check the mitigation effectiveness 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the monitoring data submitted by the ET. 2. Review the construction methods and proposed remedial measures by the Contractor, and advise the ET and ER if the proposed remedial measures would be sufficient 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor. 2. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for implementation if required. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to the ER and copy to the IEC and ET. 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the IEC, ER and Contractor. 2. Identify sources. 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings. 4. Carry out analysis of the Contractor's working procedures with the ER and Contractor to determine possible mitigations to be implemented. 5. Record the causes and action taken for the exceedances. 6. Increase the monitoring frequency. 7. Assess the effectiveness of the Contractor's remedial action with the ER and keep the IEC informed of the results. 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst the ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial action. 2. Review the Contractor's remedial action whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing. 2. Notify the Contractor. 3. Require the Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problems. 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of works until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance. 2. Submit proposals for remedial action to the ER and copy to the ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification. 3. Implement the agreed proposals. 4. Resubmit proposals if problems still not under control. 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com


Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify Source 2. Inform the IEC and the ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Check implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify Source 2. Inform the IEC and the ER 3. Increase monitoring frequency 4. Discuss remedial actions with the IEC, the ER and the Contractor 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 6. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring report 2. Check the Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with the ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify the Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix I

Waste Flow Table

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Waste Flow Table for Year 2016

Monthly Ending	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated (Inert C&D)	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 2)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
2016 Jan	0.159	0.101	0.058	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0335
2016 Feb	0.291	0.050	0.241	Nil	Nil	Nil	1.34	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0335
2016 Mar	2.7389	0.0407	0.0662	Nil	2.632	Nil	5.92	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0571
2016 Apr	4.1718	0.0578	0.462	Nil	3.652	Nil	12.5	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0426
2016 May	3.592	Nil	0.299	Nil	3.293	Nil	5.23	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0621
2016 June	4.6035	Nil	0.8555	Nil	3.748	Nil	Nil	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0619
2016 July	6.155	0.153	0.015	Nil	5.987	Nil	7.84	0.023	0.00002	0.0158	0.0433
2016 Aug	5.1155	Nil	Nil	Nil	5.1155	Nil	19.93	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0147
2016 Sept	7.2267	Nil	Nil	Nil	7.2267	Nil	33.65	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0103
2016 Oct	4.6448	Nil	Nil	Nil	4.6448	Nil	13.30	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0385
2016 Nov	6.1626	Nil	Nil	Nil	6.1626	Nil	27.06	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0192
2016 Dec	6.3522	Nil	Nil	Nil	6.3522	Nil	13.30	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0121
Total	51.213	0.4025	1.9967	Nil	48.8138	Nil	140.07	0.276	0.00014	0.1106	0.4288

Note:

- 1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- 2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Waste Flow Table for Year 2017

Monthly Ending	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated (Inert C&D)	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 2)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
2017 Jan	4.2300	Nil	Nil	Nil	4.2300	Nil	0.015	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0109
2017 Feb	3.2128	Nil	Nil	Nil	3.2128	Nil	0.015	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0096
2017 Mar	9.4759	Nil	Nil	Nil	9.4759	Nil	0.034	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0162
2017 Apr	4.8827	Nil	Nil	Nil	4.8827	Nil	0.016	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0062
2017 May	3.0366	Nil	Nil	Nil	3.0366	Nil	0.022	0.023	Nil	Nil	0.0282
2017 June	2.5656	Nil	Nil	Nil	2.5656	Nil	41.25	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.0357
2017 July	5.5267	Nil	0.7851	Nil	4.7416	Nil	4.01	0.4515	Nil	0.25	0.0364
Total	32.9307	Nil	0.7851	Nil	32.1456	Nil	45.362	0.5665	Nil	0.25	0.1432

Note:

- 1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- 2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix J

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase Implementation Status
<u>Air Quality Measures</u>					
New Distributor Roads Serving the Planned KTD					
AEIAR-130/2009 S3.2	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S2.2	8 times daily watering of the work site with active dust emitting activities.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
Decommissioning of the Radar Station of the former Kai Tak Airport					
AEIAR-130/2009 S5.2.19	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S4.2.4	The excavation area should be limited to as small in size as possible and backfilled with clean and/or treated soil shortly after excavation work. The exposed excavated area should be covered by the tarpaulin during night time. The top layer soils should be sprayed with fine misting of water immediately before the excavation.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
Trunk Road T2					
AEIAR-174/2013 S4.9.2.1	AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S2.3.1.1	Watering of the construction areas 12 times per day to reduce dust emissions by 91.7%, with reference to the "Control of Open Fugitive Dust Sources" (USEPA AP-42). The amount of water to be applied would be 0.91L/m ² for the respective watering frequency.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Dust enclosures with watering would be provided along the loading ramps and conveyor belts for unloading the C&D materials to the barge for dust suppression.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		8 km per hour is the recommended limit of the speed for vehicles on unpaved site roads.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
<u>Good Site Practices</u>					
AEIAR-130/2009	AEIAR 130/2009	Stockpiling site(s) should be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles should	Contractor	All relevant	Partially

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
S3.2, S5.2.19, AEIAR-174/2013 S4.9.2.2	EM&A Manual S2.2, S4.2, AEIAR 174/2013 EM&A Manual S2.3.1.2	be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission.		worksites	Implemented
		Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather. Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to ASRs.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Misting for the dusty material should be carried out before being loaded into the vehicle. Any vehicle with an open load carrying area should have properly fitted side and tail boards.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Material having the potential to create dust should not be loaded from a level higher than the side and tail boards and should be dampened and covered by a clean tarpaulin.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations; The tarpaulin should be properly secured and should extent at least 300 mm over the edges of the sides and tailboards. The material should also be dampened if necessary before transportation.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		The vehicles should be restricted to maximum speed of 10 km per hour. Confined haulage and delivery vehicle to designated roadways inside the site. Onsite unpaved roads should be compacted and kept free of loose materials.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every vehicle exit point. Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Every main haul road should be scaled with concrete and kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire road surface wet.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
		Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		Routing of vehicles and position of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Dark smoke</u>			
		Dark smoke emission shall be control in accordance with the Air Pollution Control (Smoke) Regulation and ETWB TCW 19/2005.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Plant and equipment should be well maintained to prevent dark smoke emission.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
<u>Noise Measures</u>					
Trunk Road T2					
AEIAR-174/2013 S5.9.2.1	AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S3.4.1.1	The use of quieter plant, including Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME) is specified for the list of equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concrete lorry mixer • Dump Truck, 5.5 tonne < gross vehicle weight <= 38 tonne • Generator, Super Silenced, 70 dB(A) at 7m 	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by Materialab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poker, vibratory, Hand-held (electric) • Water Pump, Submersible (Electric) • Mobile Crane - KOBELCO CKS900 • Excavator, wheeled/tracked - HYUNDAI R80CR-9 			
		Use of temporary or fixed noise barriers with a surface density of at least 10kg/m ² to screen noise from movable and stationary plant.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Use of enclosures with covers at top and three sides and a surface density of at least 10kg/m ² to screen noise from generally static noisy plant such as air compressors.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Use of acoustic fabric for the silent piling system, drill rigs, rock drills etc.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Good Site Practices</u>			
AEIAR-130/2009 S3.3, S5.3.10, AEIAR-174/2013 S5.9.2.1	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S2.3, S4.3.2, AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S3.4.1.1	Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant shall be serviced regularly during the construction/ decommissioning program.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and shall be properly maintained during the construction/ decommissioning program.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use shall be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction shall, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction/ decommissioning activities.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
		Use of site hoarding as a noise barrier to screen noise at low level NSRs.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		For the use of hand held percussive breakers (with mass of above 10kg) and portable air compressors (supply air at 500 kPa or above), the noise level of such PME shall comply with a stringent noise emission standard and a noise emission label shall be obtained from the DEP before use at any time in construction site.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Quiet powered mechanical equipment (PME) shall be used for the construction of the Project.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Full enclosures shall be used to screen noise from relatively static PMEs (including air compressor, bar bender, concrete pump, generator and water pump) from sensitive receiver(s).	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Movable cantilevered noise barriers shall be used to screen noise from mobile PMEs (including asphalt paver, breaker, excavator and hand-held breaker) from sensitive receiver(s). These movable cantilevered noise barriers shall be located close to the mobile PMEs and shall be moved/adjusted iteratively in step with each movement of the corresponding mobile PMEs in order to maximize their noise reduction effects.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Only approved or exempted Non-road Mobile Machineries (NRMMS) including regulated machines and non-road vehicles with proper labels are allowed to be used in specified activities on-site.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
<u>Water Quality Measures</u>					
Trunk Road T2					
		<u>Accidental Spillage</u>			
AEIAR-174/2013 S6.4.8.5	AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S4.2.1.1	All bentonite slurry should be stored in a container that resistant to corrosion, maintained in good conditions and securely closed; The container should be labelled in English and Chinese and note that the container is for storage of bentonite slurry only.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
		The storage container should be placed on an area of impermeable flooring and bunded with capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the container size or 20% by volume stored in the area and enclosed with at least 3 sides.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		The storage container should be sufficiently covered to prevent rainfall entering the container or bunded area (water collected within the bund must be tested and disposed of as chemical waste, if necessary). An emergency clean up kit shall be readily available where bentonite fluid will be stored or used.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		The handling and disposal of bentonite slurries should be undertaken in accordance with ProPECC PN 1/94. Surplus bentonite slurries used in construction works shall be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Residual bentonite slurry shall be disposed of from the site as soon as possible as stipulated in Clause 8.56 of the General Specification for Civil Engineering Works. The Contractor should explore alternative disposal outlets for the residual bentonite slurry (dewatered bentonite slurry to be disposed to a public filling area and liquid bentonite slurry, if mixed with inert fill material, to be disposed to a public filling area) and disposal at landfill should be the last resort.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
AEIAR-174/2013 S6.4.8.8	AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S4.2.1.1	In order to protect against impacts to the surrounding marine waters of the KTTS and Victoria Harbour in the event of an accidental spillage of fuel or oil, the Contractor will be required to prepare a spill response plan to the satisfaction of AFCD, EPD, FSD, Police, TD and WSD to define procedures for the control, containment and clean-up of any spillage that could occur on the construction site.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Dredging, Reclamation and Filling</u>			
		No dredging, reclamation or filling in the marine environment shall be carried out.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
Decommissioning of the Radar Station of the former Kai Tak Airport					
		<u>Building Demolition</u>			

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
AEIAR-130/2009 S5.4	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S4.4	The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence under the WPCO for discharging effluent from the construction site. The discharge quality is required to meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff, wastewater or extracted groundwater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. It is anticipated that the wastewater generated from the works areas would be of small quantity. Monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas should be carried out in accordance with the WPCO license which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of EPD.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		<u>General Construction Works</u>			
		<u>Construction Runoff</u>			
AEIAR-130/2009 S3.4, S5.4/ AEIAR-174/2013 S6.4.8.1	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S2.4, S4.4/ AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S4.2.1.1	Exposed soil areas should be minimised to reduce the potential for increased siltation, contamination of runoff, and erosion. Construction runoff related impacts associated with the above ground construction activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures which include the use of sediment traps and adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		Construction site should be provided with adequately designed perimeter channel and pre-treatment facilities and proper maintenance. The boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
		rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.			
		Sediment tanks of sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m ³ capacity, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity is flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and particularly suited to applications where the influent is pumped.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Open stockpiles of construction materials (for examples, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m ³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		An adequately designed and located wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit, and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by Materialab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase
					Implementation Status
		<u>Drainage</u>			
		It is recommended that on-site drainage system should be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps should be installed in order to minimise the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge into foul sewers. There should be no direct discharge of effluent from the site into the sea.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Stormwater Discharges</u>			
		Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned seawater intakes.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Sewage Effluent</u>			
		Construction work force sewage discharges on site are expected to be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage may need to be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Debris and Litter</u>			
		In order to maintain water quality in acceptable conditions with regard to aesthetic quality, contractors should be required, under conditions of contract, to ensure that site management is optimised and that disposal of any solid materials, litter or wastes to marine waters does not occur. Debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

EIA Ref	EM&A Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Who to implement the measure	Location / Timing	Construction Phase Implementation Status
		properly to avoid entering into the adjacent harbour waters. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.			
		<u>Accidental Spillage</u>			
		Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby harbour waters, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching the coastal waters of the Victoria Harbour WCZ. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
<u>Waste Management Measures</u>					
		<u>Waste Management Plan</u>			
AEIAR-174/2013 S11.4.8.1	AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S9.2.1.2	Contractor should be requested to submit an outline Waste Management Plan (WMP) prior to the commencement of construction work, in accordance with the ETWB TC(W) No.19/2005 so as to provide an overall framework of waste management and reduction.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Good Site Practices</u>			
AEIAR-130/2009 S3.5, S5.5	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S2.5, S4.5	Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented

The copyright of this document is owned by MaterialLab Consultants Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from the Company.

A Fugro Group Company

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

		Appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites).	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Waste Reduction Measures</u>			
		Sort C&D waste from demolition of the remaining structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		Encourage collection of aluminum cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		<u>Construction and Demolition Materials</u>			
		Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles shall be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

		Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material should consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system as stipulated in the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 "Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials" should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		<u>Chemical Waste</u>			
		After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

		<u>General Refuse</u>			
		General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Effective collection and storage methods (including enclosed and covered area) of site wastes would be required to prevent waste materials from being blown around by wind, wastewater discharge by flushing or leaching into the marine environment, or creating odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
<u>Land Contamination Measures</u>					
		<u>For any excavation works conducted at Radar Station</u>			
AEIAR-130/2009 S3.6.57	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S4.6	As the risk due to dermal contact with groundwater by site workers is uncertain, it is recommended that personnel protective equipment (PPE) be used by site workers as a mitigation measure.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
<u>Landscape and Visual Impact</u>					
New Distributor Roads Serving the Planned KTD					
		<u>Construction Phase</u>			
AEIAR-130/2009 S3.8.12	AEIAR 130/2009 EM&A Manual S2.8	All existing trees should be carefully protected during construction.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWBC 2/2004 and 3/2006. Final locations of transplanted trees should be agreed prior to commencement of the work.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		Control of night-time lighting.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		Erection of decorative screen hoarding.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Trunk Road T2					
		<u>Construction Phase</u>			
AEIAR-174/2013 S9.9.1.1	AEIAR-174/2013 EM&A Manual S7.2.1.2	All works shall be carefully designed to minimize impacts on existing landscape resources and visually sensitive receivers. Existing trees within works area shall be retained and protected.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		Existing trees of good quality and condition that are unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
		Large temporary stockpiles of excavated material shall be covered with unobtrusive sheeting to prevent dust and dirt spreading to adjacent landscape areas and vegetation, and to create a neat and tidy visual appearance.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Partially Implemented
		Construction plant and building material shall be orderly and carefully stored in order to create a neat and tidy visual appearance.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		Erection of decorative screen hoarding should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented
		All lighting in construction site shall be carefully controlled to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby residences and GIC user. The contractor shall consider other security measures, which shall minimize the visual impacts.	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Not Applicable
<u>General Condition</u>					
		The Permit Holder shall display conspicuously a copy of this Permit on the Project site(s) at all vehicular site entrances/exits or at a convenient location for public's information at all times. The Permit Holder shall ensure that the most updated information about the Permit, including any amended Permit, is displayed at such locations. If the Permit Holder surrenders a part or the whole of the Permit, the notice he sends to the Director shall also be displayed at the same locations as the original Permit. The suspended, varied or cancelled Permit shall be removed from display at the Project site(s).	Contractor	All relevant worksites	Implemented

Implementation status: Implemented / Partially Implemented / Not Implemented / Not Applicable

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,

Profit Industrial Building,

1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,

Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238

Fax : (852)-24508032

Email : mcl@fugro.com

The logo for MaterialLab, featuring the word "MaterialLab" in a bold, sans-serif font. The text is white and is set against a black rectangular background that has horizontal bars extending to the left and right, creating a stylized, framed effect.

Appendix K

Weather and Meteorological Conditions during Reporting Month

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Date	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Air Temperature			Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Total Rainfall (mm)
		Maximum (deg. C)	Mean (deg. C)	Minimum (deg. C)		
July 2017						
01	1006.3	31.7	29.2	26.7	79	7.4
02	1005.8	30.3	28.9	26.2	84	8.8
03	1006.1	30.7	28.5	26.7	83	8.4
04	1008.4	28.6	26.5	25.3	92	32.3
05	1009.3	31.0	27.8	26.5	89	27.5
06	1008.1	28.7	27.0	25.8	93	16.3
07	1008.5	29.8	27.3	26.0	87	35.8
08	1009.9	28.9	27.5	26.3	91	12.8
09	1009.7	32.3	29.3	27.1	81	1.2
10	1008.5	32.1	29.4	27.5	77	0.6
11	1010.1	32.7	29.5	27.6	78	0.0
12	1011.0	32.9	29.6	27.9	79	Trace
13	1008.8	33.5	30.2	28.2	79	Trace
14	1007.6	32.8	29.3	27.4	82	2.3
15	1007.4	32.1	28.7	27.0	84	8.8
16	1007.8	28.5	27.4	26.1	90	21.0
17	1008.9	28.8	26.2	24.4	95	184.6
18	1011.2	27.8	25.7	24.6	96	134.3
19	1009.3	30.8	27.4	24.5	89	12.6
20	1008.6	30.8	28.6	27.2	85	2.0
21	1009.4	32.2	29.3	27.6	81	0.2
22	1008.8	33.1	29.2	26.5	81	3.3
23	1005.7	28.8	27.2	25.6	87	46.5
24	1005.5	31.2	27.9	25.8	89	3.3
25	1005.1	33.1	29.6	27.7	80	Trace
26	1004.1	34.4	29.8	27.1	77	0.0
27	1003.4	30.6	29.0	28.0	80	Trace
28	1003.6	34.4	30.3	28.1	73	0.0
29	999.9	33.8	30.8	28.8	72	0.0
30	996.0	34.8	31.8	29.6	74	0.0
31	997.9	32.4	30.7	29.8	79	0.0

Source: Hong Kong Observatory – Hong Kong Observatory

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

Materialab

Appendix L

Cumulative statistics on Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
 Profit Industrial Building,
 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
 Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
 Fax : (852)-24508032
 Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab**Environmental Complaints Log**

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Date of Investigation	Outcome	Date of Reply
1	7 December 2016	Andy Choy	Air	13 February 2017	Project-related	13 February 2017
2	9 February 2017	Andy Choy	Air	22 February 2017	Not Project-related	7 March 2017
3	2 May 2017	Andy Choy	Noise	4 May 2017	Not Valid	22 May 2017
4	16 July 2017	HMJV	Water Quality	4 August 2017	Not Project-related	4 August 2017

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Complaints This Month	Cumulative Project-to-Date
Air	2	0	2
Noise	1	0	1
Water	0	1	1
Waste	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

Cumulative Statistics on Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Notification of Summons and Prosecutions This Month	Cumulative Project-to-Date
Air	0	0	0
Noise	0	0	0
Water	0	0	0
Waste	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

Investigation Report for the Complaint Received on 16 July 2017

Reference No.:	20170716_complaint_a
Project:	Contract KL/2014/03 – Kai Tak Development – Stage 3 Infrastructure Works for Developments at the Southern Part of the Former Runway
Date of Complaint:	16 July 2017
Background:	A complaint received on 16 July 2017 was referred from the 1823 regarding the muddy water discharge at Kai Tak River by CEDD project. The notification of complaint was received by ET on 27 July 2017.
Action taken during the investigation and the investigation results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joint site inspection was carried out by ET, IEC, HMJV and CRBC on 19 July 2017 and by ET, HMJV and CRBC on 27 July 2017. It was observed that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials at Portion I were covered with impermeable sheeting to prevent the washing away of construction materials or soils into the drainage system; ▪ Hydroseeding was provided and developed on the surface of the stockpiling materials to protect the soil surface and reduce erosion during raining days; ▪ A sedimentation tank was provided and operated at Portion I; ▪ Some muddy water and surface runoff were accumulated at the low lying area at Portion I for sedimentation. No overflow or leakage of muddy water was observed. • A dye test at the discharge point at Portion I was carried out by CRBC, under HMJV's supervision, on 25 July 2017. The dye was traced and observed at the 7-cell box culvert outfall. No dye was found at the complainant's concerned outfall. • According to HMJV, Portion K was handed over to this Contract on 13 July 2017. No works were carried out by this Contract at Portion K since handing over.
Conclusion	The complaint received on 16 July 2017 is not related to this Contract.

Prepared by: Alfred Lam

Certified by: Colin Yung


Designation: Environmental Team Leader

Signature:



Date: 04/08/2017

Complainant's concerned outfall (photo date: 16 July 2017)



Photograph of Portion K



Site inspection photos (19 July 2017)_Portion I



Site inspection photos (27 July 2017)_Portion I



MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab



Dye test carried out by CRBC, under HMJV's supervision, on 25 July 2017



Dye test at the discharge point carried out on 25 July 2017



Existing 7-cell box culvert outfall


Dye test carried out by CRBC, under HMJV's supervision, on 25 July 2017



Dye traced at the 7-cell box culvert outfall



The complainant's concerned outfall



- Portion I
- Portion K

Scale: 1:3000 in A4

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Appendix M

Summary of Site Audit in the Reporting Month

Summary of Site Audit in the Reporting Month

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
Air Quality	6 July 2017	Stockpile of excavated materials shall be covered with impervious sheeting. (Zone 4)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 13 July 2017.
	13 July 2017	Spent bags of cement shall be stored properly. (Zone 3)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 19 July 2017.
Noise	27 July 2017	Contractor was reminded to close the door of the air compressor to reduce noise emission. (Zone 4)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 3 August 2017.
Water Quality	6 July 2017	Seepage of muddy water shall be prevented. (Portion I)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 13 July 2017.
Chemical and Waste Management	6 July 2017	Chemical containers shall be stored on drip tray. (Zone 2)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 13 July 2017.
	13 July 2017	Chemical containers shall be stored on drip tray. (Zone 4) Chemical containers shall be stored in good conditions. (Zone 1)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 19 July 2017.
Land Contamination	NA		
Landscape and Visual Impact	6 July 2017	Stockpile of excavated materials shall be covered with impervious sheeting. (Zone 4)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 13 July 2017.
General Condition	19 July 2017	Stagnant water was observed at Portion I and Zone 1. Contractor shall remove stagnant water frequently. (Portion I and Zone 1)	The item was rectified by the Contractor and inspected on 27 July 2017.

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Appendix N

Outstanding Issues and Deficiencies

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong..

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab**Summary of Outstanding Issues and Deficiencies in the Reporting Month**

Parameters	Outstanding Issues	Deficiencies
Air Quality	NA	Any items of deficiencies can be referred to Appendix M.
Noise	NA	
Water Quality	NA	
Chemical and Waste Management	NA	
Land Contamination	NA	
Landscape and Visual Impact	NA	
General Condition	NA	
Others	NA	

MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238
Fax : (852)-24508032
Email : mcl@fugro.com

MaterialLab

Appendix E

**Monthly EM&A Report
For
Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area**

Civil Engineering and Development Department


**EP-337/2009 – New Distributor Roads Serving the
Planned KTD**

**Contract No. KLN/2016/04
Environmental Monitoring Works for
Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development – Stage 5A Infrastructure
at Former North Apron Area**

Monthly EM&A Report

July 2017

(version 1.0)

Approved By 
(Environmental Team Leader)

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388
Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

Room 723 & 725, 7/F, Block B,
Profit Industrial Building,
1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong,
Hong Kong
Tel : +852-2450 8238
Fax : +852-2450 8032
E-mail : mcl@fugro.com.hk
Website : www.materialab-consultant.com

Date 17 August 2017
Our Ref. MCL/ED/0478/2017/C

Cinotech Consultants Limited
Rm 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street, Shatin,
New Territories,
Hong Kong

BY EMAIL

Attn.: Dr. Priscilla Choy

Dear Madam,

Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron
Verification of Monthly EM&A Report for July 2017

We refer to your emails dated 7, 14 and 17 August 2017 regarding the Monthly EM&A Report for July 2017 for the captioned project prepared by the ET.

We have no further comment and hereby verify the Report in accordance with Clause 3.3 of Environmental Permit no. EP-337/2009.

Should you require further information, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Wingo So at 3565 4374 or the undersigned on 3565 4114.

Assuring you of our best attention at all times.

Yours faithfully,
For and on behalf of
MATERIALAB CONSULTANTS LIMITED



Colin K. L. Yung
Independent Environmental Checker

CY/ws

c.c. CEDD –
AECOM –

Attn.: Ms. K. Pong
Attn.: Mr. Keith Chu
Attn.: Mr. John Yam
Attn.: Mr. Stanley Chan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction.....	1
Environmental Monitoring Works.....	2
Environmental Licenses and Permits.....	2
Key Information in the Reporting Month	2
Future Key Issues	3
1. INTRODUCTION.....	4
Background.....	4
Project Organizations.....	4
Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month	5
Summary of EM&A Requirements	6
2. AIR QUALITY	7
Monitoring Requirements.....	7
Monitoring Locations	7
Monitoring Equipment.....	7
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure	8
Results and Observations.....	10
3. NOISE	12
Monitoring Requirements.....	12
Monitoring Locations	12
Monitoring Equipment.....	12
Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	12
Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures.....	13
Maintenance and Calibration.....	13
Results and Observations.....	13
4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS	16
5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL.....	17
Monitoring Requirements.....	17
Results and Observations.....	17
6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT.....	18
Site Audits	18
Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures	18
Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting	18
Status of Waste Management	19
Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	19
Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented	20
Implementation Status of Event Action Plans	20
Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution	20
7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES	21
Key Issues for the Coming Month.....	21
Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month	22

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 23
Conclusions..... 23
Recommendations..... 23

LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project
Table II	Non-compliance Recorded for the Project in the Reporting Month
Table III	Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month
Table 1.1	Key Project Contacts
Table 1.2	Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures
Table 2.1	Locations for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 2.2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.3	Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations
Table 3.5	Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month
Table 4.1	Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions
Table 4.2	Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions
Table 4.3	Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions
Table 6.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status
Table 6.2	Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Layout Plan
Figure 2	Location of Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 3	Location of Noise Monitoring Stations
Figure 4	Locations of Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise
B	Copies of Calibration Certificates
C	Weather Information
D	Environmental Monitoring Schedules
E	1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
F	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
G	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
H	Summary of Exceedance
I	Site Audit Summary
J	Event Action Plans
K	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
L	Summaries of Environmental Complaint, Warning, Summon and Notification of Successful Prosecution
M	Summary of Waste Generation and Disposal Records

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 7th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Ltd. for “Contract No. KL/2015/02 - Kai Tak Development – Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area” (Hereafter referred to as “the Project”). This contract comprises one Schedule 2 designated project (DP), namely the new distributor road D1 serving the planned KTD. The DP is part of the designated project under Environmental Permit (EP) No.: EP-337/2009 (“New distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak Development”) respectively. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 – 31 July 2017.
2. With reference to the same principle of EIA report of the Project, air quality monitoring stations within 500m and noise monitoring stations within 300m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. In such regard, the relevant air quality and noise monitoring locations are tabulated in **Table I** (see **Figure 2 and 3** for their locations).

Table I – Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project

Locations	Monitoring Stations In accordance with EM&A Manual	Alternative Monitoring Stations
Air Quality Monitoring Stations		
AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Yes	N/A
Noise Monitoring Stations		
M3 - Cognitio College	Yes	N/A
M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Yes	N/A
M5 – Nam Yuen	No	M5(C) – Mercy Grace’s Home

3. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Bored piling works at Abutment A02 and Pier S15
 - Excavation with installation of ELS and utilities support at Subway SW6
 - Excavation for retaining wall at slip road S15
 - Construction of temporary slip road and decking for TTA next to PERE
 - Construction of Box Culvert B4 and B2(Wall and Top slab)
 - Excavation and Construction Works for Box Culvert B5 (Base slab)
 - Backfilling works at Box Culvert B3 and B4
 - Sewerage works in Portion 2
 - DCS pipe insulation works in Road L7
 - Backfilling works of DCS pipe trench in Road D1 (Portion 6)

Environmental Monitoring Works

4. Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the monitoring results were checked and reviewed. Site Inspections/Audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
5. Summary of the non-compliance in the reporting month for the Project is tabulated in Table II.

Table II Non-compliance Recorded for the Project in the Reporting Month

Parameter	No. of Project-related Exceedance		Action Taken
	Action Level	Limit Level	
1-hr TSP	0	0	N/A
24-hr TSP	0	0	N/A
Noise	0	0	N/A

1-hour & 24-hour TSP Monitoring

6. All 1-hour & 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Construction Noise Monitoring

7. All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

8. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project, EP-337/2009 issued on 23 April 2009.
9. Construction Noise Permit (License No.: GW-RE0033-17 & GW-RE0588-17).
10. Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal (A/C# 7026164).
11. Effluent Discharge License (WT00027495-2017).

Key Information in the Reporting Month

12. Summary of key information in the reporting month is tabulated in **Table III**.

Table III Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

Event	Event Details		Action Taken	Status	Remark
	Number	Nature			
Complaint received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Reporting Changes	0	---	N/A	N/A	---
Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received	0	---	N/A	N/A	---

Future Key Issues

13. The future key environmental issues in the coming month include:

- Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
- Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
- Proper storage of construction materials on site;
- Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Accumulation of general and construction waste on site;
- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site;
- Wastewater and runoff discharge from site;
- Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along u-channels and sedimentation tanks; and
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 The Kai Tak Development (KTD) is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling. It covers a land area of about 328 hectares. Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area is one of the construction stages of KTD. It contains one Schedule 2 DP including new distributor roads serving the planned KTD. The general layout of the Project is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.2 An Environmental Permit (EP) No. EP-337/2009 was issued on 23 April 2009 for new distributor roads serving the planned KTD to Civil Engineering and Development Department as the Permit Holder.
- 1.3 A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to consider the key issues of air quality, noise, water quality, waste, land contamination, cultural heritage and landscape and visual impact, and identify possible mitigation measures associated with the works. An EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-130/2009) was approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 4 April 2009.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) was commissioned by Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) to undertake the role of the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. KL/2015/02 – Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area. The construction work under KL/2015/02 comprises the construction of part of the Road D1 under the EP (EP-337/2009).
- 1.5 Cinotech Consultants Limited was commissioned by Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) works for the Project. The commencement date of construction of Road D1 (part) under this Contract was on 16 January 2017.
- 1.6 This is the 7th Monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project from 1 – 31 July 2017.

Project Organizations

- 1.7 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
- Project Proponent – Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).
 - The Engineer and the Engineer's Representative (ER) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd (AECOM).
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech).
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – Materialab Consultants Limited (MCL).
 - Contractor – Peako - Wo Hing Joint Venture (PWHJV).

1.8 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

Party	Role	Contact Person	Position	Phone No.	Fax No.
CEDD	Project Proponent	Ms. K. Pong	Senior Engineer	2301 1466	2369 4980
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Mr. John Yam	SRE	2798 0771	2210 6110
Cinotech	Environmental Team	Dr. Priscilla Choy	Environmental Team Leader	2151 2089	3107 1388
		Ms. Ivy Tam	Audit Team Leader	2151 2090	
MCL	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Colin Yung	Independent Environmental Checker	3565 4114	2450 8032
PWHJV	Contractor	Mr. W.M. Wong	Site Agent	6386 3535	2398 8301

Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month

1.9 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Bored piling works at Abutment A02 and Pier S15
- Excavation with installation of ELS and utilities support at Subway SW6
- Excavation for retaining wall at slip road S15
- Construction of temporary slip road and decking for TTA next to PERE
- Construction of Box Culvert B4 and B2(Wall and Top slab)
- Excavation and Construction Works for Box Culvert B5 (Base slab)
- Backfilling works at Box Culvert B3 and B4
- Sewerage works in Portion 2
- DCS pipe insulation works in Road L7
- Backfilling works of DCS pipe trench in Road D1 (Portion 6)

1.10 The construction programme showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures are presented in **Table 1.2**.

Table 1.2 Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures

Construction Works	Major Environmental Impact	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 1.9	Noise, dust impact, water quality and waste generation	Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; Properly cover the stockpiles; On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; Provide movable noise barrier;

		Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in Approved EIA Report/Lease requirement.
--	--	---

Summary of EM&A Requirements

- 1.11 The EM&A programme requires construction noise monitoring, air quality monitoring, landscape and visual monitoring and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in the following sections, including:
- All monitoring parameters;
 - Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
 - Event Action Plans;
 - Environmental requirements and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EM&A Manual under the EP.
- 1.12 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.
- 1.13 This report presents the monitoring results, observations, locations, equipment, period, methodology and QA/QC procedures of the required monitoring parameters, namely air quality and noise levels and audit works for the Project from 1 – 31 July 2017.

2. AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 According to EM&A Manual under the EP, 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring were conducted to monitor the air quality for this Project. For regular impact monitoring, a sampling frequency of at least once in every six days at all of the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every six days shall be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 2.2 One designated monitoring station was selected for air quality monitoring programme. Impact dust monitoring was conducted at the air quality monitoring station, Lee Kau Yan Memorial School (AM2) in the reporting month. **Table 2.1** describes the air quality monitoring locations, which are also depicted in **Figure 2**.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Location of Measurement
AM2	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Rooftop (about 8/F) Area

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.3 **Table 2.2** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air monitoring programme. Copies of calibration certificates are attached in **Appendix B**.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Quantity
Calibrator	TISCH TE-5025A	1
1-hour TSP Dust Meter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hal Technology Hal-HPC301 • Met One Instruments AEROCET-531 	3
HVS Sampler	GMWS 2310 c/w of TSP sampling inlet	7
Wind Anemometer	Davis Weather Station, Vantage Pro2	1

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 2.4 **Table 2.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period. The air quality monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameters	Frequency
1-hr TSP	Three times / 6 days
24-hr TSP	Once / 6 days

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedure*1-hour TSP Monitoring**(Equipment: Sibata; Model no. LD-3, LD-3B)*Measuring Procedures

2.5 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Pull up the air sampling inlet cover
- Change the Mode 0 to BG with once
- Push Start/Stop switch once
- Turn the knob to SENSI.ADJ and press it
- Push Start/Stop switch once
- Return the knob to the position MEASURE slowly
- Push the timer set switch to set measuring time
- Remove the cap and make a measurement

Maintenance/Calibration

2.6 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the direct dust meters:

Check the meter at a 3-month interval and calibrate the meter at a 1-year interval throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

*24-hour TSP Monitoring*Instrumentation

2.7 High volume (HVS) samplers (Model GMWS-2310 Accu-Vol) completed with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complied with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50). Moreover, the HVS also met all the requirements in section 2.5 of the updated EM&A Manual.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

2.8 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS were as follows:

- A horizontal platform was provided with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
- No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.

- The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The sampler was more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
- 2.9 Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- 2.10 For TSP sampling, fiberglass filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3µm diameter were used.
- 2.11 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly. On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 2.12 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and a weighted and conditioned filter was carefully centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- 2.13 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 2.14 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- 2.15 The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- 2.16 After sampling, the filter was removed and sent to the HOKLAS laboratory (Wellab Ltd.) for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 2.17 Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning environment temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be < 50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%.

Maintenance/Calibration

2.18 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:

- The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
- High volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using TE-5025A Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

Results and Observations

2.19 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

2.20 All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

2.21 The air temperature, precipitation and the relative humidity data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory where the wind speed and wind direction were recorded by the installed Wind Anemometer set at rooftop (about 8/F) Lee Kau Yan Memorial School. The location is shown in **Figure 4**. This weather information for the reporting month is summarized in **Appendix C**.

2.22 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices E and F** respectively.

2.23 The summary of exceedance record in reporting month is shown in **Appendix H**. No exceedance was recorded for the air quality monitoring.

2.24 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

Station	Major Dust Source
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Road Traffic Dust Exposed site area and open stockpiles Excavation works Site vehicle movement

2.25 **Table 2.4** shows the summary of air quality monitoring results during the reporting month.

Table 2.4 Summary Table of Air Quality Monitoring Results during the reporting month

Parameter	Date	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School				
1-hr TSP	4-Jul-17	115.6	346	500
	4-Jul-17	99.7		
	4-Jul-17	95.4		
	10-Jul-17	68.4		
	10-Jul-17	77.4		
	10-Jul-17	82.0		
	15-Jul-17	34.7		
	15-Jul-17	31.4		
	15-Jul-17	28.0		
	21-Jul-17	32.5		
	21-Jul-17	23.2		
	21-Jul-17	22.0		
	27-Jul-17	31.3		
	27-Jul-17	32.5		
27-Jul-17	29.0			
24-hr TSP	4-Jul-17	24	157	260
	10-Jul-17	32		
	15-Jul-17	23		
	21-Jul-17	29		
	27-Jul-17	36		

3. NOISE

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 According to EM&A Manuals under the EP, construction noise monitoring was conducted to monitor the construction noise arising from the construction activities within KTD. The regular monitoring frequency for each monitoring station shall be on a weekly basis and conduct one set of measurements between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.2 Three designated monitoring stations were selected for noise monitoring programme. Noise monitoring was conducted at three designated monitoring stations (M3, M4, M5(C)). **Figure 3** shows the locations of these stations.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Location of Measurement
M3	Cognitio College	Rooftop (about 6/F) Area
M4	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Rooftop (about 7/F) Area
M5(C)	Mercy Grace's Home	Rooftop (about 5/F) Area

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.3 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment. Copies of calibration certificates are provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model and Make	Qty.
Integrating Sound Level Meter	• SVANTEK SVAN 955 & 957	2
Calibrator	• SVANTEK SV30A • Brüel & Kjær 4231	3

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.4 **Table 3.3** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring. The noise monitoring schedule is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Stations	Parameter	Period	Frequency	Measurement
M3 M4 M5(C)	L ₁₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.) dB(A)	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Once per week	Façade

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - time measurement : 30 minutes
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₉₀ and L₁₀ were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused temporarily during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.5 The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- 3.6 The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals.
- 3.7 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

Results and Observations

- 3.8 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. The summary of exceedance record in reporting month is shown in **Appendix H**.
- 3.9 The baseline noise level and the Noise Limit Level at each designated noise monitoring station are presented in **Table 3.4**.

3.10 Noise monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix G**.

3.11 The major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations are as follows:

Monitoring Stations	Locations	Major Noise Source
M3	Cognitio College	Traffic Noise Daily school activities
M4	Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	Traffic Noise Site vehicle movement Excavation works Piling works Daily school activities
M5(C)	Mercy Grace's Home	Traffic Noise Site vehicle movement

Table 3.4 Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations

Station	Baseline Noise Level, dB (A)	Noise Limit Level, dB (A)
M3	76.3/78.6 ⁽¹⁾ (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	70* (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)
M4	76.7 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	
M5(C)	N/A ⁽²⁾ (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)	75 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays)

(*) Noise Limit Level is 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

Note (1): The baseline noise review report submitted under KLN/2013/16 for M3 was approved by EPD on 23rd August 2013. (Baseline Level was found to be 78.6 dB(A) at Rooftop of Cognitio College)

Note (2): The background Noise Level was recorded during the Lunch Hour of Construction Site (i.e. 12:00-13:00) and to be used as the referencing value for compliance checking for Noise Action and Limit Level.

Table 3.5 Summary Table of Noise Monitoring Results during the Reporting Month

Date	Measured Noise Level, Leq(30min) dB (A)	Baseline Level dB (A)	Construction Noise Level ⁽¹⁾ : Leq(30min) dB (A)
M3 – Cognitio College			
--	--	Background Noise ⁽²⁾	--
5-Jul-17	80.5	80.3	67.0
11-Jul-17	79.1	78.9	65.6
17-Jul-17	78.8	78.6	65.3
27-Jul-17	79.2	79.0	65.7
M4 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School			
4-Jul-17	75.9	76.7	75.9 Measured ≤ Baseline
10-Jul-17	76.0		76.0 Measured ≤ Baseline
21-Jul-17	76.0		76.0 Measured ≤ Baseline
27-Jul-17	75.8		75.8 Measured ≤ Baseline
M5(C) – Mercy Grace's Home			
--	--	Background Noise ⁽²⁾	--
5-Jul-17	78.2	77.7	68.6
11-Jul-17	77.2	76.3	69.9
17-Jul-17	77.8	77.4	67.2
27-Jul-17	77.8	77.1	69.5

Note (1): The noise level due to the construction work (CNL) was calculated by the following formula:

$$CNL = 10 \log (10^{MNL/10} - 10^{BNL/10})$$

Remarks: MNL = Measured Noise Level BNL = Baseline Noise Level

- (2): The background Noise Level was recorded during the Lunch Hour of Construction Site (i.e. 12:00-13:00) and to be used as the referencing value for compliance checking for Noise Action and Limit Level.

4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS

4.1 The EM&A data was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in Tables 4.1 to 4.3.

Table 4.1 Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Station	Predicted 1-hr TSP conc.		
	Scenario1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Scenario2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Reporting Month (July 17), $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	290	312	53.5

Table 4.2 Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Station	Predicted 24-hr TSP conc.		
	Scenario1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Scenario2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Reporting Month (July 17), $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	145	169	29

Table 4.3 Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions

Stations	Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels during Normal Working Hour ($L_{\text{eq}}(30\text{min})$ dB(A))	Reporting Month (July 17), $L_{\text{eq}}(30\text{min})$ dB(A)
M3 – Cognito College	47 – 75	65.3 – 67.0
M4 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School	47 – 74	75.8 – 76.0 ⁽²⁾
M5(C) – Mercy Grace's Home	Not Predicted in EIA Report	67.2 – 69.9

Remarks:

(1) Since the background noise level recorded during 12:00 to 13:00 was higher than those recorded during the construction period, the recorded noise levels were considered non-valid exceedance of Noise Limit Level.

(2) Since the baseline noise level was higher than those recorded during the construction period, the recorded noise levels were considered non-valid exceedance of Noise Limit Level.

4.2 The 1-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below to the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

4.3 The 24-hour TSP concentrations in the reporting month were below to the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

4.4 The noise monitoring results in the reporting month at M3 were within the range of predicted mitigated construction noise levels in the EIA Report while the results at M4 were not within the range. Mitigated construction noise levels at M5(C) were not predicted in EIA Report.

5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1 According to EM&A Manual of the Kai Tak Development EIA Study, ET shall monitor and audit the contractor's operation during the construction period on a weekly basis, and to report on the contractor's compliance.

Results and Observations

- 5.2 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 5.3 No non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.4 Should non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact occur, action in accordance with the action plan presented in **Appendix J** shall be performed.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Site Audits

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 7, 12, 21 and 25 July 2017 in the reporting month. A joint site audit with the representative of IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET was carried out on 12 July 2017. The details of observations during site audit are summarized in **Table 6.2**.

Review of Environmental Monitoring Procedures

- 6.3 The monitoring works conducted by the monitoring team were inspected regularly. The following observations have been recorded for the monitoring works:

Air Quality Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations within and outside the construction site.
- The monitoring team recorded the temperature and weather conditions on the monitoring days.

Noise Monitoring

- The monitoring team recorded all observations around the monitoring stations, which might affect the monitoring result.
- Major noise sources were identified and recorded. Other intrusive noise attributing to the result was trimmed off by pausing the monitoring temporarily.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 6.4 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Permit No.	Valid Period		Status
	From	To	
Environmental Permit (EP)			
EP-337/2009	23/04/09	N/A	Valid
Effluent Discharge License			
WT00027495-2017	28/03/17	31/03/22	Valid
Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal			
A/C# 7026164	20/10/16	N/A	Valid
Construction Noise Permit (CNP)			
GW-RE0033-17	24/01/17	05/07/17	Valid
GW-RE0588-17	29/07/17	28/12/17	Valid

Status of Waste Management

- 6.5 The amount of wastes generated by the major site activities of this Project during the reporting month is shown in **Appendix M**.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.6 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. ET weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting month and the observations and recommendations are summarized in **Table 6.2**.

Table 6.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections

Parameters	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up
<i>Water Quality</i>	7 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> To properly clear the mud at Portion B2.	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session on 14 July 2017.
	21 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Stagnant water on the drip tray for generator should be cleared. (Portion 1)	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session on 25 July 2017
<i>Air Quality</i>	21 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Stockpile of dusty material should be properly covered. (Portion 1)	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session on 25 July 2017
	25 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Water spraying should be provided more frequently in order to avoid potential dust generation during dry weather.	Follow up action will be reported in the next reporting month
<i>Noise</i>	--	--	--
<i>Waste/ Chemical Management</i>	30 June 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Chemical container should be provided by drip tray or stored at appropriate area (Portion 2).	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session on 7 July 2017.
<i>Landscape and Visual</i>	21 July 2017	<u>Reminder:</u> Construction material should be placed away from the tree protection zone. (Portion B5)	Rectification/improvement was observed during the follow-up audit session on 25 July 2017
<i>Permits/ Licenses</i>	--	--	--

Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented

- 6.7 An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix K**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

- 6.8 The Event Action Plans for air quality, noise and landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix J**.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 6.9 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 6.10 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction Noise

- 6.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Landscape and visual

- 6.12 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 6.13 The summaries of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution for the Project is presented in **Appendix L**.

7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.1 Major site activities undertaken for the coming two months include:

- Bored piling works at Abutment A02 and Pier S1
- Demolition of part of existing Pier S1
- Construction of temporary slip road for TTA at PER
- Hoarding erection along PER
- Excavation for retaining wall at Slip Road S15
- Installation of monitoring instrumentation at SKLR Playground
- Construction of Box Culvert B4 (Wall and Top slab)
- Construction of Box Culvert B2 (Wall and Top slab) and backfilling works
- Construction of Box Culvert B5 (Base slab and Top slab) and backfilling works
- Backfilling works at Box Culvert B3 and B4
- Excavation works and construction works for Box Culvert B3
- Drainage works in Road L7
- Sewerage works in Portion 2 & 3
- DCS pipe laying works in Road D1 (Portion 6)

Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.2 Key environmental issues in the coming month include:

- Wastewater and runoff discharge from site;
- Regular removal of silt, mud and sand along u-channels and sedimentation tanks;
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff;
- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site;
- Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
- Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
- Proper storage of construction materials on site;
- Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Accumulation of general and construction waste on site.

7.3 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and control measures for the coming two months, i.e. August and September 2017 are summarized as follows:

Construction Works	Major Impact Prediction	Control Measures
As mentioned in Section 7.1	Air quality impact (dust)	(a) Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; (b) Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and (c) Watering of any earth moving activities.
	Water quality impact (surface run-off)	(a) Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; (b) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; (c) Provision of perimeter protection such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and (d) Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream.
	Noise Impact	(a) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; (b) Controlling the number of plants use on site; (c) Regular maintenance of machines; and (d) Use of acoustic barriers if necessary.

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

7.4 The tentative environmental monitoring schedules for the next month are shown in **Appendix D**.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 8.1 Environmental monitoring works were performed in the reporting month and all monitoring results were checked and reviewed.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.2 All 1-hr TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.3 All 24-hr TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Construction Noise Monitoring

- 8.4 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Landscape and visual

- 8.5 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Complaint and Prosecution

- 8.6 No environmental complaints and environmental prosecution were received in the reporting month.
- 8.7 No environmental prosecution was received in the reporting month.

Recommendations

- 8.8 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Water Quality

- Accumulated mud on the access road should be removed regularly to prevent muddy runoff generation during rain.
- Stagnant water accumulated on the drip tray should be cleared.

Air Quality

- Stockpile of dusty material should be properly covered to prevent dust generation.
- Water spraying should be provided to site area regularly to prevent dust generation.

Landscape and Visual

- Construction material should be placed away from the tree protection zone to avoid potential damage to the retained trees.

FIGURES




LEGEND:


 SITE BOUNDARY

 ROAD D1

SCALE	1:1500@A4	DATE	DEC 2016
CHECK	KC	DRAWN	JW
JOB No.	MA16043	FIGURE NO.	1
		REV	-




Title	Contract No. KLN/2016/04		Scale	Project	CINOTECH
	Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02		N.T.S	No. MA16043	
	Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area		Date	Figure	
	Locatoin of Air Quality Monitoring Stations		Apr-17	2	




Title	Contract No. KLN/2016/04		Scale	Project
	Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02		N.T.S	No. MA16043
	Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area		Date	Figure
	Location of Noise Monitoring Stations		Apr-17	3





Wind Data Monitoring Equipment set at Lee Kau Yan Memorial School



Anemometer Station from The Hong Kong Observatory

Title
 Contract No. KLN/2016/04
 Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
 Location of Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA16043
Date	Jan-17	Figure	4



**APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR
QUALITY AND NOISE**

Appendix A - Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2	346	500

Table A-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-Hour TSP

Location	Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
AM2	157	260

Table A-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A) 70dB(A)/65dB(A)*

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. *70dB(A) and 65dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

**APPENDIX B
COPIES OF CALIBRATION
CERTIFICATES**

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609B
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description : Handheld Particle Counter
 Manufacturer : Hal Technology
 Model No. : Hal-HPC301
 Serial No. : 3011701017
 Flow rate : 0.1 cfm
 Zero Count Test : 0 count per 5 minutes
 Equipment No. : A-27-04

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature : 21 degree Celsius
 Relative Humidity : 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.077
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170609C
Date of Issue:	2017-06-12
Date Received:	2017-06-09
Date Tested:	2017-06-09
Date Completed:	2017-06-12
Next Due Date:	2017-08-11

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description : Handheld Particle Counter
 Manufacturer : Hal Technology
 Model No. : Hal-HPC301
 Serial No. : 3011701014
 Flow rate : 0.1 cfm
 Zero Count Test : 0 count per 5 minutes
 Equipment No. : A-27-06

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature : 21 degree Celsius
 Relative Humidity : 62 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.062
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
 For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/170623B
Date of Issue:	2017-06-26
Date Received:	2017-06-23
Date Tested:	2017-06-23
Date Completed:	2017-06-26
Next Due Date:	2017-08-25

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

Description	: Dust Monitor
Manufacturer	: Met One Instruments
Model No.	: AEROCET-531
Serial No.	: N6734
Flow rate	: 0.1 cfm
Zero Count Test	: 0 mg (The result of the 2-minute sample)
Equipment No.	: A-02-13

Test Conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 64 %

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

Correlation Factor (CF)	1.120
-------------------------	-------

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
 Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161230
Date of Issue:	2017-01-03
Date Received:	2016-12-30
Date Tested:	2016-12-30
Date Completed:	2017-01-03
Next Due Date:	2018-01-02

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SVAN 955
Serial No.	: 14303
Microphone No.	: 35222
Equipment No.	: N-08-05

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

Remark: 1) This report supersedes the one dated 2012/01/21 with certificate number C/N/120120/1.

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160826A
Date of Issue:	2016-08-29
Date Received:	2016-08-26
Date Tested:	2016-08-26
Date Completed:	2016-08-29
Next Due Date:	2017-08-28

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

Description	: 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SVAN 957
Serial No.	: 21455
Microphone No.	: 43730
Equipment No.	: N-08-07

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 57%

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

Reference Set Point, dB	Instrument Readings, dB
94	94.0
114	114.0

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/161104/1
Date of Issue:	2016-11-07
Date Received:	2016-11-04
Date Tested:	2016-11-04
Date Completed:	2016-11-07
Next Due Date:	2017-11-06

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: Brüel & Kjær
Model No.	: 4231
Serial No.	: 2326353
Equipment No.	: N-02-01

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 21 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 62 %

Methodology:

The sound calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930B
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24791
Equipment No.	: N-09-04

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

Test Report No.:	C/N/160930A
Date of Issue:	2016-10-03
Date Received:	2016-09-30
Date Tested:	2016-09-30
Date Completed:	2016-10-03
Next Due Date:	2017-10-02

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

Description	: Acoustical Calibrator
Manufacturer	: SVANTEK
Model No.	: SV30A
Serial No.	: 24803
Equipment No.	: N-09-03

Test conditions:

Room Temperature	: 25 degree Celsius
Relative Humidity	: 60%

Methodology:


The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

Sound Pressure Level (1kHz)	Measured SPL	Tolerance
At 94 dB SPL	94.0	94.0 ± 0.1 dB
At 114 dB SPL	114.0	114.0 ± 0.1 dB

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2752	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.50	1.246	44.0	44.07
2	5.90	1.188	41.0	41.06
3	4.70	1.064	39.0	39.06
4	3.60	0.935	33.0	33.05
5	2.40	0.770	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{33.1537}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 43 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 2.5544 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9921$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 * m + b) / [\sqrt{298/Tav} (Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: Matthew

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2754	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.40	1.236	40.0	40.06
2	5.50	1.149	38.0	38.06
3	4.60	1.053	36.0	36.05
4	3.30	0.897	30.0	30.05
5	2.20	0.738	26.0	26.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{29.1511}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 40 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 4.4741 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9951$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)]$$

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 * m + b) / [\sqrt{298/Tav} (Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: Matthew

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2763	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.70	1.264	39.0	39.06
2	5.90	1.188	35.0	35.05
3	4.80	1.075	32.0	32.05
4	3.50	0.923	28.0	28.04
5	2.40	0.770	22.0	22.03

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{32.4948}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 37 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = -2.6780 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9945$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21*m+b)/[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2765	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.60	1.255	40.0	40.06
2	5.70	1.169	38.0	38.06
3	4.80	1.075	36.0	36.05
4	3.40	0.910	30.0	30.05
5	2.30	0.754	24.0	24.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

$$m = \frac{32.2966}{\text{Sampler set point(SSP) } 39 \text{ CFM}} \quad b = 0.3031 \quad \text{Corr. Coeff} = 0.9936$$

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response
I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21*m+b)/[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date: 13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2766	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	7.50	1.336	48.0	48.07
2	6.40	1.236	44.0	44.07
3	4.40	1.031	39.0	39.06
4	3.30	0.897	32.0	32.05
5	2.00	0.705	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m= 32.2524 b= 4.6824 Corr. Coeff= 0.9919

Sampler set point(SSP) 44 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})) - b]$$

$$\text{IC} = I[\text{Sqrt}(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 * m + b) / [\text{Sqrt}(298/\text{Tav})(\text{Pav}/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2767	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	757.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In,H2O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.60	1.255	42.0	42.06
2	5.50	1.149	41.0	41.06
3	4.80	1.075	37.0	37.06
4	3.40	0.910	33.0	33.05
5	2.20	0.738	28.0	28.04

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m= 28.2377 b= 7.3012 Corr. Coeff= 0.9903

Sampler set point(SSP) 41 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})) - b]$$

$$\text{IC} = I[\text{Sqrt}(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$(1.21 * m + b) / [\text{Sqrt}(298/\text{Tav})(\text{Pav}/760)]$$

Checked by: *Matthew*

Date:

13-Mar-17

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate for High Volume Sampler (HVS)

InnoTech Instrumentation Co. Ltd.

創新科儀有限公司

HIVOL SAMPLER CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (TSP)

Site Information

Location:	Castco's Office	Site ID:	NA	Date:	09-Mar-2017
Serial No:	2758	Model:	TE-6170X	Operator:	Yam

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	737.6	Temperature (deg K):	296.2
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-2025A	Slope:	2.10326
Serial No.:	2454	Intercept:	-0.06696
Calibration Due Date:	14-Mar-17	Corr. Coeff:	0.99989

Calibration Data

Plate or Test #	In ₂ H ₂ O (in)	Qa, X-Axis (m3/min)	I, CFM (chart)	IC, Y-Axis (corrected)
1	6.10	1.208	42.0	42.06
2	5.40	1.138	40.0	40.06
3	4.80	1.053	38.0	38.06
4	3.20	0.884	33.0	33.05
5	2.00	0.705	30.0	30.05

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m = 24.3862 b = 12.3264 Corr. Coeff = 0.9948

Sampler set point (SP) 42 CFM

Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ \sqrt{H_2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)} - b \}$$

$$IC = I \{ \sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta) \}$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response
m = calibrator Qstd slope
b = calibrator Qstd intercept
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
Tstd = 298 deg K
Pstd = 760 mm Hg
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
(1.21 * m + b) / [sqrt(298/Ta) * (Pa/760)]

m = sampler slope
b = sampler intercept
I = chart response
Tav = average temperature
Pav = average pressure

Checked by: Matthew Date: 13-Mar-17



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE
VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH
45002
513.467.9000
877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 295
Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 2454 Pa (mm) - 745.49

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4020	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0060	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9010	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8590	8.8	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7090	12.8	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866	0.7037	1.4078	0.9957	0.7102	0.8896
0.9824	0.9765	1.9909	0.9914	0.9855	1.2581
0.9803	1.0880	2.2259	0.9893	1.0980	1.4066
0.9792	1.1399	2.3345	0.9882	1.1504	1.4753
0.9738	1.3735	2.8155	0.9828	1.3862	1.7792

Qstd slope (m) =	2.10326	Qa slope (m) =	1.31703
intercept (b) =	-0.06696	intercept (b) =	-0.04232
coefficient (r) =	0.99989	coefficient (r) =	0.99989

y axis = SQRT [H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta)] y axis = SQRT [H2O (Ta/Pa)]

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298 / Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} (H_2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} H_2O (Ta/Pa)] - b \}$$

Next Calibration Date: 09-Sep-2017

Calibration Certificate of Wind Anemometer



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

校准证书

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

证书编号 LC-20172600
Certificate No.

第 1 页 共 3 页
Page of

委托方 佳力高試驗中心有限公司
Client CASTCO TESING CENTRE LTD

地址 香港新界粉嶺安樂村安居街33號
Address 33 On Kui Street On Lok Tsue Fanling, N. T, H. K.

计量器具名称 Davis Weather Station
Measuring instruments

规格型号 Vantage Pro2
Model/Type

制造者
Manufacturer

编号 A70604D29N/自編號:EN52-01
Serial No.

主管 陈金明
Approved by

审核 林锦鑫
Inspected by

校准 岑锦明
Calibrated by

证书专用章
Issued by (Stamp)

校准日期 2017 年 03 月 14 日
Calibration Date Y M D

建议校准周期 一年
The recommended calibration period

本院地址: 广州市广仁路11号 邮政编码: 510030 电话: 020-83362165 传真: 020-83369351
广州市科学城尖塔山路19号 邮政编码: 510663 电话: 020-32086301 传真: 020-32086300
开发区中心电话: 020-82223272 白云区中心电话: 020-36200320 南沙中心电话: 020-34970774
单位网址: www.gzjlj.net 业务邮箱: yewuban@gzjls.net 微信号: GZJLJC



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

说 明 DIRECTIONS

证书编号: LC-20172600
Certificate No.

第 2 页 共 3 页
Page of

1、本院是政府依法设置的法定计量检定机构, 工作职责为承担授权范围内的量值传递工作和向社会开展计量校准技术服务工作。
Guangzhou Institute of Measurement and Testing Technology (GIMTT) is a legal metrological organization set by government, which is responsible for value dissemination within authorization, and to provide metrological and calibration services for social benefit.

2、本院的质量管理体系符合 ISO/IEC 17025: 2005 标准的要求。
The quality system of GIMTT is in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025:2005.

3、本院出具的数据均可溯源到国家计量基准和SI单位标准。
All data issued by GIMTT are traceable to national measurement standards and SI unit standards.

4、本次校准所依据的技术文件是:
Reference documents for the calibration:
JJG 613-1989 《电接风向风速仪》检定规程
V.R. of Verification Regulation of Contact Anemorumbometer

5、本次校准所使用的计量标准是:
Standards of measurement used in the calibration:

设备名称/型号 Equipment/Model	编号 Serial No.	证书号 Certificate No.	技术特征 Technique character
皮托静压管(Pitot Tubes)	0471	NSC201750171/2022-02-16	MPE: ±0.01
补偿式微压计 (Compensated micromanometer)	200509032	LY-201534497/2017-12-19	准确度等级: 二等 Grade 2
空盒气压表(Aneroid barometer)	06-0008	LY-201619205/2017-07-01	MPE: ±2.5 hPa
风洞(Wind tunnel)	08	LC-20167300/2017-06-28	均匀性≤1%
数显倾角仪(Digital Inclinator)	N2955	CJ-20169223/2017-5-25	稳定性≤0.5% MPE: ±5'

6、依据 JJF 1059.1-2012 《测量结果不确定度评定与表示》, 本次校准中部分测量结果的不确定度分别是:
The uncertainty of measurement results in accordance with JJF 1059.1-2012:
U=0.20 m/s; k=2

7、本次校准的地点与校准时的环境条件:
Site of the calibration and environmental conditions during the calibration:

地点 Site	温度 Temperature	相对湿度 RH
科学城实验室	19.4℃	53%

Calibration Certificate of Wind Anemometer



广州计量检测技术研究院
GUANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF MEASUREMENT AND TESTING TECHNOLOGY

校准结果 RESULTS OF CALIBRATION

证书编号 LC-20172600 原始记录号 17205J0338 第 3 页 共 3 页
Certificate No. Page Of

- 1、外观：正常
Appearance: Pass
- 2、空气密度修正系数(Correction factor of air density): 1.007;
总修正系数(Correction factor of total): 1.013;
大气压力 (Atmospheric pressure): 1018.0 hPa;

3、风速仪示值校准: Indication calibrated of anemometer:

微压计示值 Indication of micromanometer (mmH ₂ O)	标准值 Values of standard (m/s)	仪器示值 Indication of anemometer (m/s)	修正值 Values of correction (m/s)
6.10	2.0	1.8	+0.2

4、风向角示值校准: Indication calibrated of wind direction sensor:

标准值 (°) Values of standard	仪器示值 (°) Instrument Reading
0.0	0
45.0	45
90.0	90
135.0	135
180.0	180
225.0	225
270.0	270
315.0	315
360.0	360

以下空白
Spare part of this page is blank

- 注: 1、此结果只与受校准的项目有关。
2、未经本院书面批准, 不得部分复制此证书。
3、此证书无本院盖章无效。

- Note: 1、The results relate only to the items verified.
2、This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our institute.
3、This certificate shall not be valid without stamp of our institute.

APPENDIX C
WEATHER INFORMATION

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

Date	Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)
1 July 2017	26.7 – 31.7	67 – 94	7.4
2 July 2017	26.2 – 30.3	79 – 91	8.8
3 July 2017	26.7 – 30.7	77 – 93	8.4
4 July 2017	25.3 – 28.6	84 – 97	32.3
5 July 2017	26.5 – 31.0	77 – 96	27.5
6 July 2017	25.8 – 28.7	87 – 97	16.3
7 July 2017	26.0 – 29.8	77 – 97	35.8
8 July 2017	26.3 – 28.9	86 – 96	12.8
9 July 2017	27.1 – 32.3	66 – 94	1.2
10 July 2017	25.7 – 32.1	65 – 88	0.6
11 July 2017	27.6 – 32.7	64 – 89	0
12 July 2017	27.9 – 32.9	66 – 88	Trace
13 July 2017	28.2 – 33.5	68 – 87	Trace
14 July 2017	27.4 – 32.8	70 – 93	2.3
15 July 2017	27.0 – 32.1	75 – 94	8.8
16 July 2017	26.1 – 28.5	83 – 97	21.0
17 July 2017	24.4 – 28.8	83 – 98	184.6
18 July 2017	24.6 – 27.8	91 – 99	134.3
19 July 2017	24.2 – 30.8	76 – 98	12.6

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

Date	Mean Air Temperature (°C)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)
20 July 2017	27.2 – 30.8	77 – 91	2.0
21 July 2017	27.6 – 32.2	67 – 91	0.2
22 July 2017	26.5 – 33.1	62 – 85	3.3
23 July 2017	25.6 – 28.8	73 – 98	46.5
24 July 2017	25.8 – 31.2	77 – 98	3.3
25 July 2017	27.7 – 33.1	63 – 88	Trace
26 July 2017	27.1 – 34.4	56 – 91	0
27 July 2017	28.0 – 30.6	74 – 85	Trace
28 July 2017	28.1 – 34.4	57 – 84	0
29 July 2017	28.8 – 33.8	64 – 79	0
30 July 2017	29.6 – 34.8	57 – 83	0
31 July 2017	29.8 – 32.4	68 – 86	0

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory.

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

Date	Prevailing Wind Direction (Degrees)	Mean Wind Speed (km/h)
1 July 2017	200	7.2
2 July 2017	180	7.4
3 July 2017	160	10
4 July 2017	140	9
5 July 2017	100	13.5
6 July 2017	110	15.2
7 July 2017	110	10
8 July 2017	120	7.8
9 July 2017	140	7.5
10 July 2017	160	6.5
11 July 2017	130	10.1
12 July 2017	130	9.2
13 July 2017	110	11
14 July 2017	110	13.5
15 July 2017	100	16.8
16 July 2017	100	18.9
17 July 2017	110	11.4
18 July 2017	100	11.1
19 July 2017	130	10
20 July 2017	90	12.8
21 July 2017	100	15.3
22 July 2017	100	11.2
23 July 2017	100	12.9
24 July 2017	100	10.5
25 July 2017	140	11.4
26 July 2017	140	8.6
27 July 2017	100	12.8
28 July 2017	100	11.6
29 July 2017	230	9.1
30 July 2017	240	13.5
31 July 2017	240	15.7

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory

**APPENDIX D
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
SCHEDULES**

Contract No. KLN/2016/04
Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for July 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1-Jul
2-Jul	3-Jul	4-Jul	5-Jul	6-Jul	7-Jul	8-Jul
		1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2] Noise [M4]	Noise [M3, M5(C)]			
9-Jul	10-Jul	11-Jul	12-Jul	13-Jul	14-Jul	15-Jul
	1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2] Noise [M4]	Noise [M3, M5(C)]				1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2]
16-Jul	17-Jul	18-Jul	19-Jul	20-Jul	21-Jul	22-Jul
	Noise [M3, M5(C)]				1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2] Noise [M4]	
23-Jul	24-Jul	25-Jul	26-Jul	27-Jul	28-Jul	29-Jul
				1 hr TSP X3, 24 hr TSP [AM2] Noise [M3, M4, M5(C)]		
30-Jul	31-Jul					

Monitoring work which is conducted by Castco are marked in blue and monitoring work which is conducted by Cinotech are marked in black

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School

Noise Monitoring Station

M3 - Cognitio College
M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
M5(C) - Mercy Grace's Home

Contract No. KLN/2016/04
Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Tentative Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for August 2017

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1-Aug	2-Aug	3-Aug	4-Aug	5-Aug
			1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M3, M4, M5(C)] 24hr TSP AM2(A)			
6-Aug	7-Aug	8-Aug	9-Aug	10-Aug	11-Aug	12-Aug
	Noise [M3, M5(C)] 24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]				24hr TSP AM2(A)
13-Aug	14-Aug	15-Aug	16-Aug	17-Aug	18-Aug	19-Aug
	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]				Noise [M3, M5(C)] 24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2]
20-Aug	21-Aug	22-Aug	23-Aug	24-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug
			Noise [M3, M5(C)]	24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]	
27-Aug	28-Aug	29-Aug	30-Aug	31-Aug		
		Noise [M3, M5(C)]	24hr TSP AM2(A)	1 hr TSP X3 [AM2] Noise [M4]		

Remarks:

- As the installation of HVS for 24-hour TSP at AM2 was not approved by the premise, 24-hour TSP monitoring works will be carried at AM2(A).
- The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
AM2(A) - Ng Wah Catholic Secondary School

Noise Monitoring Station


M3 - Cognitio College
M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
M5(C) - Mercy Grace's Home

**APPENDIX E
1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School			
Date	Time	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	13:05	Cloudy	115.6
4-Jul-17	14:05	Cloudy	99.7
4-Jul-17	15:05	Cloudy	95.4
10-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	68.4
10-Jul-17	14:00	Sunny	77.4
10-Jul-17	15:00	Sunny	82.0
15-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	34.7
15-Jul-17	14:00	Cloudy	31.4
15-Jul-17	15:00	Cloudy	28.0
21-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	32.5
21-Jul-17	14:00	Sunny	23.2
21-Jul-17	15:00	Sunny	22.0
27-Jul-17	13:05	Cloudy	31.3
27-Jul-17	14:05	Cloudy	32.5
27-Jul-17	15:05	Cloudy	29.0
		Average	53.5
		Maximum	115.6
		Minimum	22.0

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Contract No. KLN/2016/04
 Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron
 Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA16043
Date	Jul 17	Appendix	E


CINOTECH

**APPENDIX F
24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**

Appendix F - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School		
Start Date	Weather	Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
4-Jul-17	Sunny	24
10-Jul-17	Sunny	32
15-Jul-17	Cloduy	23
21-Jul-17	Cloudy	29
27-Jul-17	Cloudy	36
	Average	29
	Maximum	36
	Minimum	23

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



Title Contract No. KLN/2016/04 Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02 Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Scale	Project		
		N.T.S		No. MA16043
	Date	Jul 17		Appendix F

**APPENDIX G
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION**




Appendix G - Noise Monitoring Results

Location M3 - Cognito College							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Background Noise	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
5-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	80.5	82.5	78.0	80.3	67.0
11-Jul-17	13:30	Sunny	79.1	81.0	76.2	78.9	65.6
17-Jul-17	13:30	Cloudy	78.8	79.9	76.8	78.6	65.3
27-Jul-17	13:05	Sunny	79.2	80.4	75.9	79.0	65.7

Location M4 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
4-Jul-17	13:15	Cloudy	75.9	76.3	74.1	76.7	75.9 Measured ≤ Baseline
10-Jul-17	13:30	Sunny	76.0	77.2	75.4		76.0 Measured ≤ Baseline
21-Jul-17	13:45	Sunny	76.0	77.2	75.1		76.0 Measured ≤ Baseline
27-Jul-17	13:45	Cloudy	75.8	76.9	73.2		75.8 Measured ≤ Baseline

Location M5(C) - Mercy Grace's Home							
Date	Time	Weather	Unit: dB (A) (30-min)				
			Measured Noise Level			Background Noise	Construction Noise Level
			L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	L _{eq}	L _{eq}
5-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	78.2	79.4	77.1	77.7	68.6
11-Jul-17	11:30	Sunny	77.2	78.3	75.0	76.3	69.9
17-Jul-17	13:00	Cloudy	77.8	79.4	76.4	77.4	67.2
27-Jul-17	13:00	Sunny	77.8	78.6	76.4	77.1	69.5

Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

Title Contract No. KLN/2016/04 Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02 Kai Tak Development –Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results	Scale	N.T.S	Project No.	MA16043	CINOTECH
	Date	Jul 17	Appendix	G	

APPENDIX H
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Contract No. KLN/2016/04
Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development – Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix H – Summary of Exceedance

Exceedance Report for Contract No. KL/2015/02

- (A) Exceedance Report for Air Quality**
(NIL in the reporting month)
- (B) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise**
(NIL in the reporting month)
- (C) Exceedance Report for Landscape and Visual**
(NIL in the reporting month)

**APPENDIX I
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY**

Contract No. KLN/2016/04



Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02

Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170707
Date	7 July 2017
Time	14:00-15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
170707-R01	<ul style="list-style-type: none">To properly clear the mud at Portion B2.	B 11 ii
	C. Air Quality	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow-up on previous audit sections (Ref. No.: 170630), all environmental deficiencies were rectified by the Contractor.	



	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		7 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		7 July 2017

*Contract No. KLN/2016/04
 Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
 Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area*

**Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
 Inspection Information**

Checklist Reference Number	170712
Date	12 July 2017
Time	09:30-11:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit sections (Ref. No.: 170707), all environmental deficiencies were rectified by the Contractor.	



	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		12 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		12 July 2017

Contract No. KLN/2016/04
Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170721
Date	21 July 2017
Time	14:00-16:00

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
170721-R03	• Stagnant water on the drip tray for generator should be cleared. (Portion 1)	B 8
	C. Air Quality	
170721-R02	• Stockpile of dusty material should be properly covered. (Portion 1)	C 7
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
170721-R01	• Construction material should be placed away from the tree protection zone. (Portion B5)	F 1
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit sections (Ref. No.: 170712), no major environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		21 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		21 July 2017

Contract No. KLN/2016/04



Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02

Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

Checklist Reference Number	170725
Date	25 July 2017
Time	14:30-15:30

Ref. No.	Non-Compliance	Related Item No.
-	None identified	-
Ref. No.	Remarks/Observations	Related Item No.
	B. Water Quality	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	C. Air Quality	
170725-R01	• Water spraying should be provided more frequently in order to avoid potential dust generation during dry weather.	C 5
	D. Noise	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	E. Waste / Chemical Management	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	F. Visual and Landscape	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	G. Permits /Licences	
	• No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection.	
	H. Others	
	• Follow-up on previous audit sections (Ref. No.: 170721), no major environmental deficiency was identified during the site inspection.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Recorded by	KC Chung		25 July 2017
Checked by	Dr. Priscilla Choy		25 July 2017

APPENDIX J
EVENT ACTION PLANS

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded by one sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contactor, IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; 3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 4. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 5. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results.</p>	<p>4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</p>	<p>implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues.</p>	<p>working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals.</p>
<p>Limit Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling</p>	<p>1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Arrange meeting with IEC, ER and Contractor to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p>	<p>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.</p>	<p>1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</p>	<p>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET, ER and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Submit further remedial actions if problem still not under control; 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p>

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
Limit Level being exceeded	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals;

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures;</p> <p>6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required;</p> <p>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>measures to be implemented;</p> <p>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures;</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>	<p>4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control;</p> <p>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p>
--	---	---	---	--

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

EVENT ACTION LEVEL	ACTION			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Design Check	1. Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report.	1. Check report. 2. Recommend remedial design if necessary	1. Undertake remedial design if necessary	
Non-conformity on one occasion	1. Identify Source 2. Inform IEC and ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed	1. Check report 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Check implementation of remedial measures.	1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented	1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Non-conformity	1. Identify Source Inform IEC and	1. Check monitoring report	1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly	1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

	<p>ER</p> <p>2. Increase monitoring frequency</p> <p>3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor</p> <p>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed</p> <p>5. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring</p>	<p>2. Check Contractor's working method</p> <p>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures</p> <p>4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures</p> <p>5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>implemented</p>	<p>undertake any necessary replacement</p>
--	---	--	--------------------	--

**APPENDIX K
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)**

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

EIA Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
Construction Air Quality		
S6.5	8 times daily watering of the work site with active dust emitting activities.	^
S6.8	<p>Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimize cumulative dust impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stockpiling site(s) should be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles should be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. • Misting for the dusty material should be carried out before being loaded into the vehicle. Any vehicle with an open load carrying area should have properly fitted side and tail boards. • Material having the potential to create dust should not be loaded from a level higher than the side and tail boards and should be dampened and covered by a clean tarpaulin. • The tarpaulin should be properly secured and should extend at least 300 mm over the edges of the sides and tailboards. The material should also be dampened if necessary before transportation. • The vehicles should be restricted to maximum speed of 10 km per hour and confined haulage and delivery vehicle to designated roadways insider the site. Onsite unpaved roads should be compacted and kept free of lose materials. • Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every vehicle exit point. • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. • Every main haul road should be scaled with concrete and kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire road surface wet. • Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides. • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites. 	<p style="text-align: center;">*</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">*</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p>

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

<p>S6.8</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>DWFI compound for JVBC:</u> A DWFI compound is proposed at the downstream of JVC to contain pollution in drainage systems entering the KTAC and KTTS by interception facilities until the ultimate removal of the pollution sources. Tidal barriers and desilting facilities will form part of the compounds to prevent any accumulation of sediment within the downstream section of JVBC and hence fully mitigate the potential odour emissions from the headspace of JVBC near the existing discharge locations. The odour generating operations within the proposed desilting compound will be fully enclosed and the odorous air will be collected and treated by high efficiency deodorizers before discharge to the atmosphere. • <u>Desilting compound for KTN:</u> Two desilting compounds are proposed for KTN (at Site 1D6 and Site 1P1) to contain pollution in drainage systems entering the KTAC and KTTS by interception facilities until the ultimate removal of the pollution sources. Tidal barriers and desilting facilities will form part of the compounds to prevent any accumulation of sediment within the downstream section of KTN and hence fully mitigate the potential odour emissions from the headspace of KTN near the existing discharge locations. The odour generating operations within the proposed desilting compound will be fully enclosed and the odorous air will be collected and treated by high efficiency deodorizers before discharge to the atmosphere. • <u>Decking or reconstruction of KTN within apron area:</u> It is proposed to deck the KTN or reconstruct the KTN within the former Apron area into Kai Tak River from the south of Road D1 to the north of Road D2 along the existing alignment of KTN. The Kai Tak River will compose of a number of channels flowing with nonodorous fresh water and THEES effluent. The channel flowing with THEES effluent will be designed with the width of water surface of not more than 16m. • <u>Localised maintenance dredging:</u> Localised maintenance dredging should be conducted to provide water depth of not less than 3.5m over the whole of KTAC and KTTS. With reference to the water depth data recorded during the odour survey, only some of the areas in the northern part of KTAC (i.e. to the north of taxiway bridge) including the area near the northern edge of KTAC, the area near western bank of KTAC, and the area near the JVC discharge have water depths shallower than 3.5m. The area involved would be about 40% of the northern KTAC and the dredging depth required would be from about 2.7m to less than 1m. The maintenance dredging to be carried out prior to the occupation of any new development in the immediate vicinity of KTAC to avoid potential localized odour 	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p>
-------------	---	---

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	<p>impacts at the future ASRs during the maintenance dredging operation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Improvement of water circulation in KTAC and KTTS:</u> 600m gap opening at the northern part of the former Kai Tak runway, the water circulation in KTAC and KTTS would be substantially improved. Together with the improvement in water circulation, the DO level in KTAC and KTTS would also be increased. • <u>In-situ sediment treatment by bioremediation:</u> Bioremediation would be applied to the entire KTAC and KTTS. 	N/A
Construction Noise		
S7.8	Use of quiet PME, movable barriers barrier for Asphalt Paver, Breaker, Excavator and Hand-held breaker and full enclosure for Air Compressor, Bar Bender, Concrete Pump, Generator and Water Pump.	^
S7.9	<p>Good Site Practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program. • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program. • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 	^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
S7.9	Scheduling of Construction Works during School Examination Period	^
S7.8	(i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2; and	N/A
	(ii) Provision of structural fins	N/A
S7.8	(i) Avoid the sensitive façade of class room facing Road L2 and L4; and	N/A
	(ii) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2 & L4	N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S7.8	(i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L4 before occupation of Site 111; and (ii) Setback of building about 5m from site boundary.	N/A N/A
S7.8	Setback of building about 35m to the northwest direction at 1L3 and 5m at Site 1L2.	N/A
S7.8	(i) avoid any sensitive façades with openable window facing the existing Kowloon City Road network; and Avoid the sensitive façade of class room facing Road L2 and L4; and (ii) for the sensitive facades facing the To Kwa Wan direction, either setback the facades by about 5m to the northeast direction or do not provide the facades with openable window.	N/A N/A
S7.8	(i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the existing To Kwa Wan Road or (ii) provision of 17.5m high noise tolerant building fronting To Kwa Wan Road and restrict the height of the residential block(s) located at less than 55m away from To Kwa Wan Road to no more than 25m above ground	N/A N/A
S7.8	(i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the slip road connecting Prince Edward Road East and San Po Kong or other alternative mitigation measures and at-source mitigation measures for the surrounding new local roads to minimise the potential traffic noise impacts from the slip road	^
S7.8	All the ventilation fans installed in the below will be provided with silencers or acoustics treatment. (i) SPS (ii) ESS (iii) Tunnel Ventilation Shaft (iv) EFTS depot	N/A N/A N/A N/A
S7.8	Installation of retractable roof or other equivalent measures	N/A
Construction Water Quality		
S8.8	The following mitigation measures are proposed to be incorporated in the design of the SPS at KTD, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dual power supply or emergency generator should be provided at all the SPSs to secure electrical power supply; • Standby pumps should be provided at all SPSs to ensure smooth operation of the SPS during maintenance of the duty pumps; • An alarm should be installed to signal emergency high water level in the wet well at all SPSs; and 	N/A N/A N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For all unmanned SPSs, a remote monitor system connecting SPSs with the control station through telemetry system should be provided so that swift actions could be taken in case of malfunction of unmanned facilities 	N/A
S8.8	<p>Construction Phase</p> <p><u>Marine-based Construction</u></p> <p><i>Capital and Maintenance Dredging for Cruise Terminal</i></p> <p>Mitigation measures for construction of the proposed cruise terminal should follow those recommended in the approved EIA for CT Dredging.</p>	N/A
S8.8	<p><i>Fireboat Berth, Runway Opening and Road T2</i></p> <p>Silt curtains should be deployed around the close grab dredger to minimize release of sediment and other contaminants for any dredging and filling activities in open water.</p>	N/A
S8.8	Dredging at and near the seawall area for construction of the public landing steps cum fireboat berth should be carried out at a maximum production rate of 1,000m ³ per day using one grab dredger.	N/A
S8.8	The proposed construction method for runway opening should adopt an approach where the existing seawall at the runway will not be removed until completion of all excavation and dredging works for demolition of the runway. Thus, excavation of bulk fill and majority of the dredging works will be carried out behind the existing seawall, and the sediment plume can be effectively contained within the works area. As there is likely some accumulation of sediments alongside the runway, there will be a need to dredge the existing seabed after completion of all the demolition works. Dredging alongside the 600m opening should be carried out at a maximum production rate of 2,000m ³ per day using one grab dredger.	N/A
8.8	Dredging for Road T2 should be conducted at a maximum rate of 8,000m ³ per day (using four grab dredgers) whereas the sand filling should be conducted at a maximum rate of 2,000m ³ per day (using two grab dredgers).	N/A
8.8	Silt screens shall be applied to seawater intakes at WSD seawater intake.	N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S8.8	<p><u>Land-based Construction</u></p> <p><i>Construction Runoff</i></p> <p>Exposed soil areas should be minimised to reduce the potential for increased siltation, contamination of runoff, and erosion.</p> <p>Construction runoff related impacts associated with the above ground construction activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use of sediment traps • adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow 	^ ^
S8.8	<p>Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September).</p> <p>All exposed earth areas should be completed as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction site should be provided with adequately designed perimeter channel and pre-treatment facilities and proper maintenance.</p> <p>The boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection.</p> <p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond.</p> <p>Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Sediment tanks of sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m³ capacity, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity is flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and particularly suited to applications where the influent is pumped.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Open stockpiles of construction materials (for examples, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid</p>	^

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events.	
S8.8	Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain.	N/A(1)
S8.8	All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and located wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit, and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.	^
S8.8	<i>Drainage</i> It is recommended that on-site drainage system should be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps should be installed in order to minimise the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge into foul sewers. There should be no direct discharge of effluent from the site into the sea	^
S8.8	All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required.	^
S8.8	All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching the coastal waters of the Victoria Harbour WCZ.	^
S8.8	<i>Sewage Effluent</i> Construction work force sewage discharges on site are expected to be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage may need to be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.	^

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S8.8	<p><i>Stormwater Discharges</i></p> <p>Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned seawater intakes</p>	^
S8.8	<p><i>Debris and Litter</i></p> <p>In order to maintain water quality in acceptable conditions with regard to aesthetic quality, contractors should be required, under conditions of contract, to ensure that site management is optimised and that disposal of any solid materials, litter or wastes to marine waters does not occur</p>	^
S8.8	<p><i>Construction Works at or in Close Proximity of Storm Culvert or Seafront</i></p> <p>The proposed works should preferably be carried out within the dry season where the flow in the drainage channel /storm culvert/ nullah is low.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified to reduce the disturbance to the bottom sediment at the drainage channel /storm culvert / nullah.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, filling materials, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Construction activities, which generate large amount of wastewater, should be carried out in a distance away from the waterfront, where practicable.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Mitigation measures to control site runoff from entering the nearby water environment should be implemented to minimize water quality impacts. Surface channels should be provided along the edge of the waterfront within the work sites to intercept the runoff.</p>	*
S8.8	<p>Construction effluent, site run-off and sewage should be properly collected and/or treated.</p>	^
S8.8	<p>Any works site inside the storm water courses should be temporarily isolated, such as by placing of sandbags or silt curtains with lead</p>	N/A

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	edge at bottom and properly supported props to prevent adverse impact on the storm water quality.	
S8.8	Silt curtain may be installed around the construction activities at the seafront to minimize the potential impacts due to accidental spillage of construction materials.	N/A
S8.8	Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil/mud from slipping into the storm culvert/drainage channel/sea.	N/A
S8.8	Supervisory staff should be assigned to station on site to closely supervise and monitor the works	^
S8.8	Marine water quality monitoring and audit programme shall be implemented for the proposed sediment treatment operation.	N/A
Construction Waste Management		
S9.5	<p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are adhered to.</p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the dredging activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site. • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. • Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal. • Appropriate measure to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites). 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>
S9.5	<p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sort C&D waste from demolition of the remaining structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal • Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p>

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials 	<p>^</p> <p>^</p>
S9.5	<p>Dredged Marine Sediment</p> <p>The basic requirements and procedures for dredged mud disposal are specified under the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002. The management of the dredging, use and disposal of marine mud is monitored by the MFC, while the licensing of marine dumping is required under the Dumping at Sea Ordinance and is the responsibility of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP)</p>	N/A
S9.5	<p>The dredged marine sediments would be loaded onto barges and transported to the designated disposal sites allocated by the MFC depending on their level of contamination. Sediment classified as Category L would be suitable for Type 1 - Open Sea Disposal. Contaminated sediment would require either Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Sites), Type 2 - Confined Marine Disposal, or Type 3 – Special Treatment / Disposal and must be dredged and transported with great care in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 34/2002. Subject to the final allocation of the disposal sites by MFC, the dredged contaminated sediment must be effectively isolated from the environment and disposed properly at the designated disposal site</p>	N/A
S9.5	<p>It will be the responsibility of the contractor to satisfy the appropriate authorities that the contamination levels of the marine sediment to be dredged have been analysed and recorded. According to the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002, this will involve the submission of a formal Sediment Quality Report to the DEP, prior to the dredging contract being tendered. The contractor for the dredging works should apply for allocation of marine disposal sites and all necessary permits from relevant authorities for the disposal of dredged sediment. During transportation and disposal of the dredged marine sediments requiring Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 disposal, the following measures should be taken to minimise potential impacts on water quality:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bottom opening of barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage of material. Excess material should be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of barges and hopper dredgers before the vessel is moved Monitoring of the barge loading should be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels should be equipped with automatic selfmonitoring devices as required under the Dumping at Sea Ordinance and as specified by the DEP Barges or hopper barges should not be filled to a level that would cause the overflow of materials or sediment laden water during loading or transportation 	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p>

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S9.5	<p>Construction and Demolition Material</p> <p>Mitigation measures and good site practices should be incorporated into contract document to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C&D material. The mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the Project work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles should be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible • Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric • Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores • The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle • All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet • The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading <p>When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material should consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system as stipulated in the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 “Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials” should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^</p>
------	--	---

Appendix K – Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

S9.5	Chemical Waste	
	After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility, in accordance with the <i>Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation</i>	*
S9.5	General Refuse	
	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Effective collection and storage methods (including enclosed and covered area) of site wastes would be required to prevent waste materials from being blown around by wind, wastewater discharge by flushing or leaching into the marine environment, or creating odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem	^
<i>Construction Landscape and Visual</i>		
S13.9	CM1 All existing trees should be carefully protected during construction.	*
	CM2 Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWBC 2/2004 and 3/2006. Final locations of transplanted trees should be agreed prior to commencement of the work.	^
	CM3 Control of night-time lighting.	N/A(1)
	CM4 Erection of decorative screen hoarding.	^

Remarks:

- ^ Compliance of mitigation measure
- * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the Contractor
- Non-compliance but rectified by the Contractor
- X Non-compliance of mitigation measure
- N/A Not Applicable at this stage
- N/A(1) Not observed

**APPENDIX L
SUMMARIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLAINT, WARNING, SUMMON
AND NOTIFICATION OF SUCCESSFUL
PROSECUTION**

Contract No. KLN/2016/04
Environmental Monitoring Works for Contract No. KL/2015/02
Kai Tak Development – Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix L – Summary of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution

Reporting Period: July 2017

Contract No. KL/2015/02

Log Ref.	Location	Received Date	Details of Complaint/warning/summon and prosecution	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Remarks: No environmental complaint/warning/summon and prosecution were received in the reporting period.

**APPENDIX M
SUMMARY OF WASTE GENERATION
AND DISPOSAL RECORDS**

Department: CEDD
 Contract No.: KL/2015/02
 Project : Kai Tak Development - Stage 5A Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area



Peako - Wo Hing Joint Venture

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017

As at 1 August 2017

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C & D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C & D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	6651	0	0	0	6651	0	0	0	0	0	7
Feb	8100	0	0	0	8100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar	24534	0	0	0	24534	0	0	0	0	0	21
Apr	5445	0	0	0	5445	0	0	0	0	0	21
May	7470	0	0	0	7470	0	0	0	0	0	49
June	4905	0	0	0	4905	0	0	0	0	0	35
Sub-total	57105	0	0	0	57105	0	0	0	0	0	133
July	342	0	0	0	342	0	0	0	0	0	35
Aug											
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	57447	0	0	0	57447	0	0	0	0	0	168

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract*										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in PS clause 6(14).
 - (2) The waste flow table shall also include C & D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
 - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging material.
 - (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works is equal to or exceeding 50,00 m³. (PS Clause 25.02A(7) refers).