

Civil Engineering and Development Department


EP-344/2009 – New Sewage Pumping Stations Serving KTD and
EP-337/2009 – New Distributor Roads Serving the Planned KTD

Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development – Stage 4 Infrastructure at
Former North Apron Area

Monthly EM&A Report

October 2014

(Version 2.0)

| | |
|-------------|---|
| Approved By |  (Environmental Team Leader) |
|-------------|---|

REMARKS:

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at the time of printing.

CINOTECH accepts no responsibility for changes made to this report by third parties

CINOTECH CONSULTANTS LTD

Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2151 2083 Fax: (852) 3107 1388
Email: info@cinotech.com.hk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| Environmental Monitoring Works..... | 1 |
| Environmental Licenses and Permits..... | 2 |
| Key Information in the Reporting Month | 2 |
| Future Key Issues | 2 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION..... | 4 |
| Background..... | 4 |
| Project Organizations..... | 4 |
| Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month | 5 |
| Summary of EM&A Requirements | 6 |
| Status of Compliance with Environmental Permits Conditions | 8 |
| 2. AIR QUALITY | 9 |
| Monitoring Requirements | 9 |
| Monitoring Locations | 9 |
| Monitoring Equipment..... | 9 |
| Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 10 |
| Monitoring Methodology and Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedure..... | 10 |
| Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance..... | 12 |
| 3. NOISE | 14 |
| Monitoring Requirements | 14 |
| Monitoring Locations | 14 |
| Monitoring Equipment..... | 14 |
| Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 14 |
| Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures..... | 15 |
| Maintenance and Calibration | 15 |
| Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance..... | 16 |
| 4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS | 17 |
| 5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL..... | 19 |
| Monitoring Requirements | 19 |
| Results and Observations..... | 19 |
| 6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT..... | 20 |
| Site Audits | 20 |
| Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting | 20 |
| Status of Waste Management | 20 |
| Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures | 21 |
| Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented | 22 |
| Implementation Status of Event Action Plans | 22 |
| Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution | 22 |
| 7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES | 23 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Key Issues for the Coming Month..... | 23 |
| Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month | 24 |
| 8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 25 |
| Conclusions..... | 25 |
| Recommendations..... | 26 |
| Effectiveness of Environmental Management..... | 26 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|-----------|--|
| Table I | Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for the Project in the Reporting Month |
| Table II | Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month |
| Table 1.1 | Key Project Contacts |
| Table 1.2 | Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures |
| Table 1.3 | Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project |
| Table 1.4 | Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-337/2009 |
| Table 1.5 | Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-344/2009 |
| Table 2.1 | Locations for Air Quality Monitoring |
| Table 2.2 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 2.3 | Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 2.4 | Major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations |
| Table 3.1 | Noise Monitoring Stations |
| Table 3.2 | Noise Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 3.3 | Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration |
| Table 3.4 | Major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations |
| Table 3.5 | Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations |
| Table 4.1 | Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions |
| Table 4.2 | Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions |
| Table 4.3 | Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions |
| Table 6.1 | Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status |
| Table 6.2 | Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-337/2009 |
| Table 6.3 | Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-344/2009 |
| Table 7.1 | Summary of the tentative program of major site activities, the impact prediction and control measures for November and December 2014 |
| Table 8.1 | Examples of Mitigation Measures for Environmental Recommendations |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|----------|---|
| Figure 1 | Layout Plan of the Project Site |
| Figure 2 | Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Stations |
| Figure 3 | Locations of Construction Noise Monitoring Stations |
| Figure 4 | Locations of Wind Anemometer |
| Figure 5 | Management Structure |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|---|--|
| A | Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise |
| B | Copies of Calibration Certificates |
| C | Weather Information |
| D | Environmental Monitoring Schedules |
| E | 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| F | 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| G | Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| H | Summary of Exceedance |
| I | Site Audit Summary |
| J | Event Action Plans |
| K | Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS) |
| L | Summaries of Environmental Complaint, Warning, Summon and Notification of Successful Prosecution |
| M | Summary of Waste Generation and Disposal Records |
| N | Construction Programme |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

1. This is the 11th Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Cinotech Consultants Ltd. for “Contract No. KL/2012/03 - Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area” (Hereafter referred to as “the Project”). This contract comprises the construction of Schedule 2 Designated Projects (DP) Road D2 & Sewage Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS which forms a part of the works under two Environmental Permits (EP), EP-337/2009 and EP-344/2009. The title of the designated projects under Environmental Permit No.: EP-344/2009 is “New sewage pumping stations serving Kai Tak Development” and under Environmental Permit No.: EP-337/2009 is “New distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak Development”. This report documents the findings of EM&A Works conducted from 1 – 31 October 2014.
2. The major site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:
 - Daily Clearance;
 - Base slab, wall, roof construction of Box culvert B5;
 - Backfilling of box culvert B5
 - Excavation of trench for sewers;
 - Excavation for NPS for Portion 4 and Box culvert B6;
 - Strut and waling of NPS;
 - Installation of DN750 drainage pipe and sewer at L19;
 - Installation of precast box culvert B6;
 - Installation of DCS;
 - Fixing of reinforcement and concreting to walls and slab of pumping station for PS2;
 - Laying concrete pipes DN750 from FMH10_345 to FHH10_350;
 - Construction of jacking pits 10, 11, 3 & 4; and
 - Widening works of Sung Wong Toi Road.

Environmental Monitoring Works

3. Environmental monitoring for the Project was performed in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the monitoring results were checked and reviewed. Site Inspections/Audits were conducted once per week. The implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, Event Action Plans and environmental complaint handling procedures were also checked.
4. Summary of the breaches of action and limit levels in the reporting month for the Project is tabulated in Table I.

Table I Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for the Project in the Reporting Month

| Parameter | No. of Project-related Exceedance | | Action Taken |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Action Level | Limit Level | |
| 1-hr TSP | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| 24-hr TSP | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | N/A |

1-hour & 24-hour TSP Monitoring

5. All 1-hour & 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
6. All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Construction Noise Monitoring

7. Construction noise monitoring at Station M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School was rejected by the premise owner on 6th October 2014. The monitoring works were relocated and carried out at a proposed alternative noise monitoring station M6(A) – Oblate Primary School since 10th October 2014.
8. All other construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.

Environmental Licenses and Permits

9. Licenses/Permits granted to the Project include the Environmental Permit (EP) for the Project, Environmental Permits No. EP-344/2009 and EP-337/2009 were issued on 23 April 2009.
10. Registration of Chemical Waste Producer (N/A).
11. Water Discharge License (N/A).
12. Construction Noise Permit (License No.: GW-RE0646-14).

Key Information in the Reporting Month

13. Summary of complaint received, reporting changes and notifications of any summons and successful prosecutions in the reporting month is tabulated in Table II.

Table II Summary Table for Key Information in the Reporting Month

| Event | Event Details | | Action Taken | Status | Remark |
|--|---------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | Number | Nature | | | |
| Complaint received | 0 | --- | N/A | N/A | --- |
| Reporting Changes | 0 | --- | N/A | N/A | --- |
| Notifications of any summons & prosecutions received | 0 | --- | N/A | N/A | --- |

Future Key Issues

14. The future key environmental issues in the coming month include:
 - Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
 - Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
 - Proper storage of construction materials on site;
 - Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
 - Accumulation of general and construction waste on site;

- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site; and
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 The Kai Tak Development (KTD) is located in the south-eastern part of Kowloon Peninsula, comprising the apron and runway areas of the former Kai Tak Airport and existing waterfront areas at To Kwa Wan, Ma Tau Kok, Kowloon Bay, Kwun Tong and Cha Kwo Ling. It covers a land area of about 328 hectares. Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area is one of the construction stages of KTD. Schedule 2 DPs in this Project include new distributor roads serving the planned KTD and new sewage pumping stations serving the planned KTD. The general layout of the Project is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.2 Two Environmental Permits (EPs) No. EP-344/2009 and EP-337/2009 were also issued to the Permit Holder Civil Engineering and Development Department on 23 April 2009 for new sewage pumping stations serving the planned KTD and new distributor roads serving the planned KTD respectively.
- 1.3 A study of environmental impact assessment (EIA) was undertaken to identify the key issues of air quality, noise, water quality, waste, land contamination, cultural heritage and landscape and visual impact, and recommend possible mitigation measures associated with the works. The EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-130/2009) was approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 4 April 2009.
- 1.4 Cinotech Consultants Limited (Cinotech) is commissioned by Kwan On Construction Co., Ltd. (the Contractor) to undertake the role of the Environmental Team (ET) for the Contract No. KL/2012/03 - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area. The construction work under KL/2012/03 comprises the construction of Road D2 & Sewage Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS which forms a part of the works under two EPs (EP-337/2009 and EP-344/2009).
- 1.5 The construction commencement of this Contract was on 1st December 2013 for Road D2, Sewage Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS. This is the 11th Monthly EM&A report summarizing the EM&A works for the Project from 1 – 31 October 2014.

Project Organizations

- 1.6 Different parties with different levels of involvement in the project organization include:
 - Project Proponent – Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD).
 - The Engineer and the Engineer's Representative (ER) – AECOM.
 - Environmental Team (ET) – Cinotech Consultants Limited (CCL).
 - Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) – Hyder Consulting Limited. (Hyder).
 - Contractor –Kwan On Construction Co., Ltd. (Kwan On).

1.7 The key contacts of the Project are shown in **Table 1.1** and **Figure 5**.

Table 1.1 Key Project Contacts

| Party | Role | Contact Person | Position | Phone No. | Fax No. |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------|----------------------------|
| CEDD | Project Proponent | Mr. K Y SHIN | Engineer | 2301 1461 | 2301 1277 |
| AECOM | Engineer's Representative | Mr. Vincent Lee | SRE | 27980771 | 3013 8864 |
| | | Mr. Mickey Lee | RE | | |
| Cinotech | Environmental Team | Dr. Priscilla Choy | Environmental Team Leader | 2151 2089 | 3107 1388 |
| | | Ms. Ivy Tam | Project Coordinator and Audit Team Leader | 2151 2090 | |
| Hyder | Independent Environmental Checker | Mr. Wong Fu Nam | Independent Environmental Checker | 2911 2744 | 2805 5028 |
| Kwan On | Contractor | Mr. Terry Yu | Site Agent | 3689 7752 | 3689 7726 |
| | | | | 6146 6761 | (Hotline telephone number) |

Construction Activities undertaken during the Reporting Month

1.8 The site activities undertaken in the reporting month included:

- Daily Clearance;
- Base slab, wall, roof construction of Box culvert B5;
- Backfilling of box culvert B5
- Excavation of trench for sewers;
- Excavation for NPS for Portion 4 and Box culvert B6;
- Strut and waling of NPS;
- Installation of DN750 drainage pipe and sewer at L19;
- Installation of precast box culvert B6;
- Installation of DCS;
- Fixing of reinforcement and concreting to walls and slab of pumping station for PS2;
- Laying concrete pipes DN750 from FMH10_345 to FHH10_350;
- Construction of jacking pits 10, 11, 3 & 4; and
- Widening works of Sung Wong Toi Road.

1.9 The construction programme showing the inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures are presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Construction Programme Showing the Inter-Relationship with Environmental Protection/Mitigation Measures

| Construction Works | Generated Major Environmental Impact | Control Measures |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Daily Clearance | N/A | N/A |
| Excavation of trench for sewers; Excavation for NPS for Portion 4 and Box culvert B6. | Dust, Water Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient watering of the works site with active dust emitting activities; Properly cover the stockpiles; Appropriate desilting/sedimentation devices provided on site for treatment before discharge; Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall; and On-site waste sorting and implementation of trip ticket system. |
| Base slab , wall and roof slab construction for B5 | Noise, Waste Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Provide hoarding. Good management and control on construction waste reduction |
| Road widening works for Sun Wong Tai Road; Strut and waling of NPS; Fixing of reinforcement and concreting to walls and slab of pumping station for PS2 | Noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Provide hoarding. |
| Installation of DN750 drainage pipe and sewer at L19, precast box culvert B6 and DCS; Laying concrete pipes DN750 from FMH10_345 to FHH10_350; Construction of jacking pits 10, 11, 3 & 4 | Noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Provide hoarding. |
| | Noise, Water Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of quiet plant and well-maintained construction plant; and Well maintain the drainage system to prevent the spillage of wastewater during heavy rainfall. |

Summary of EM&A Requirements

1.10 The EM&A programme requires construction noise monitoring, air quality monitoring, landscape and visual monitoring and environmental site audit. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in the following sections, including:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event Action Plans;
- Environmental requirements and mitigation measures, as recommended in the EM&A Manual under the EP.

1.11 The advice on the implementation status of environmental protection and pollution control/mitigation measures is summarized in Section 6 of this report.

1.12 This report presents the implementation of the EM&A programme for the Project from 1 – 31 October 2014.

- 1.13 Air quality monitoring stations within 500m and noise monitoring stations within 300m from the boundary of this Project are considered as relevant monitoring locations. In such regard, the relevant air quality and noise monitoring locations are tabulated in Table 1.3 (see Figure 2 and 3 for their locations).

Table 1.3 Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations for this Project

| Locations | Monitoring Stations In accordance with EM&A Manual | Alternative Monitoring Stations |
|--|--|--|
| Air Quality Monitoring Stations | | |
| AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | Yes | N/A |
| AM3 – Sky Tower | No | AM3(A) – Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre |
| AM4 – Grand Waterfront | No | AM4(A) – EMSD Workshop |
| AM5 – CCC Kei To Secondary School | No | AM5(A) – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College |
| AM6 – Site 1B4 (Planned) | | N/A |
| Noise Monitoring Stations | | |
| M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School | No | M6(A) – Oblate Primary School |
| M7 – CCC Kei To Secondary School | Yes | N/A |
| M8 – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | Yes | N/A |
| M9 – Tak Long Estate | Yes | N/A |
| M10 – Site 1B4 (Planned) | | N/A |

Remarks:

- “Yes” - Monitoring station is the same as that stated in EM&A Manual
- No - Monitoring station is not the same as that stated in EM&A Manual. Request for carrying monitoring works at the monitoring stations stated in EM&A Manual was rejected by owner of premise. Alternative monitoring stations were proposed by the ET of Schedule 3 EIA and approved by the EPD.
- N/A - No alternative monitoring station is required.

- 1.14 According to the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (EM&A Manual) of the Kai Tak Development (KTD) Schedule 3 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report, the impact monitoring at the designated monitoring stations as required in KTD EM&A Manual under the EP, has been conducted in Environmental Monitoring Works for Kai Tak Development under Schedule 3 of KTD, which is on-going starting from December 2010. The impact monitoring data under Schedule 3 of KTD will be adopted for the Project. Therefore, this report presents the air quality and noise monitoring works extracted from Schedule 3 of KTD.

Status of Compliance with Environmental Permits Conditions

- 1.15 The status of required submission related to this Project under the Environmental Permits No. EP-337/2009 and EP-344/2009 are summarized in the Table 1.4 and Table 1.5 respectively:

Table 1.4 Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-337/2009

| EP Conditions | Submission | Submission Date | Remark |
|---------------|--|---|---|
| 1.11 | Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of Project | 31 October 2013 | For Road D2 |
| 2.3 | Management Organization of Main Construction Companies | 31 October 2013 | For Contract No. KL/2012/03 |
| 2.4 | Design Drawing(s) of the Project | 28 October 2013 | For Road D2 |
| 2.11 | Landscape Mitigation Plan(s) for distributors road(s) | 7 January 2014 | For Road D2 |
| 2.12 | As-built drawing(s) for the distributor road(s) | To be submitted at least one week before the commencement of operation of distributor road(s) | |
| 3.2 | Baseline Monitoring Report | 26 November 2010 (Part I) 24 December 2010 (Part II) | / |
| 3.3 | Four hard copies and one electronic copy of the Monthly EM&A Report No.10 (September 2014) | 16 October 2014 | Monthly EM&A Report for Contract No. KL/2012/03 |

Table 1.5 Summary Table for Required Submission under EP No. EP-344/2009

| EP Conditions | Submission | Submission Date | Remark |
|---------------|--|---|---|
| 1.11 | Notification of Commencement Date of Construction of Project | 31 October 2013 | For Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS |
| 2.3 | Management Organization of Main Construction Companies | 31 October 2013 | For Contract No. KL/2012/03 |
| 2.4 | Design Drawing(s) of the Project | 28 October 2013 | For Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS |
| 2.11 | Landscape Mitigation Plan(s) for sewage pumping station(s) | 7 January 2014 | For Pumping Station PS2 and PS NPS |
| 2.12 | As-built drawing(s) for the sewage pumping station (s) | To be submitted at least one week before the commencement of operation of distributor road(s) | |
| 3.2 | Baseline Monitoring Report | 26 November 2010 (Part I) 24 December 2010 (Part II) | / |
| 3.3 | Four hard copies and one electronic copy of the Monthly EM&A Report No.10 (September 2014) | 16 October 2014 | Monthly EM&A Report for Contract No. KL/2012/03 |

2. AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Requirements

- 2.1 According to EM&A Manual under the EPs, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring were conducted to monitor the air quality for this Project. For regular impact monitoring, a sampling frequency of at least once in every six days at all of the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least three times in every six days shall be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. **Appendix A** shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 2.2 Five designated monitoring stations were selected for air quality monitoring programme. Impact dust monitoring was conducted at four of the air quality monitoring stations (AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) and AM5(A)). Table 2.1 describes the air quality monitoring locations, which are also depicted in **Figure 2**.

Table 2.1 Locations for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Stations | Locations | Location of Measurement |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| AM2 | Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | Rooftop (about 8/F) Area |
| AM3(A) | Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre | Rooftop (about 8/F) Area |
| AM4(A) | EMSD Workshops | Rooftop (about 6/F) Area |
| AM5(A) | Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | Rooftop (about 10/F) Area |
| #AM6 | PA 15 | Site 1B4 (Planned) |

Remarks: # The impact monitoring at these locations will only be carried out until the sensitive receivers at the building are resided.

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.3 Table 2.2 summarizes the equipment used in the impact air monitoring programme. Copies of calibration certificates and laboratory accreditation are attached in **Appendix B**.

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Quantity |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| Calibrator | G25A | 1 |
| 1-hour TSP Dust Meter | Laser Dust Monitor – Model LD-3 & LD-3B | 5 |
| | Laser Dust Monitor – AEROCET-531 | 1 |
| HVS Sampler | GMWS 2310 c/w of TSP sampling inlet | 4 |

| | | |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Wind Anemometer | Davis Weather Monitor II, Model no. 7440 | 1 |
|-----------------|--|---|

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 2.4 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequencies of impact dust monitoring for the whole construction period. The air quality monitoring schedule for the reporting month is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 2.3 Impact Dust Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Parameters | Frequency |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1-hr TSP | At least three times every 6 days |
| 24-hr TSP | At least once every 6 days |

Monitoring Methodology and Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedure

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Measuring Procedures

- 2.5 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:
- The 1-hour dust meter is placed at least 1.3 meters above ground.
 - Set POWER to "ON" and make sure that the battery level was not flash or in low level.
 - Allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes and then the cap of the air sampling inlet has been released.
 - Push the knob at MEASURE position.
 - Set time/mode setting to [BG] by pushing the time setting switch. Then, start the background measurement by pushing the start/stop switch once. It will take 6 sec. to complete the background measurement.
 - Push the time setting switch to change the time setting display to [MANUAL] at the bottom left of the liquid crystal display. Finally, push the start/stop switch to stop the measuring after 1 hour sampling.
 - Information such as sampling date, time, count value and site condition were recorded during the monitoring period.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.6 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the direct dust meters:
- Check and calibrate the meter by High-Volume Sampler (HVS) to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method at 2-month intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

24-hour TSP Monitoring

Instrumentation

- 2.7 High volume samplers (HVS) (Model GMWS-2310 Accu-Vol) completed with appropriate sampling inlets were employed for 24-hour TSP monitoring. The sampler was composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complied with that required by USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50). Moreover, the HVS also met all the requirements in section 2.5 of the updated EM&A Manual.

Operating/Analytical Procedures

- 2.8 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS were as follows:

- A horizontal platform was provided with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers were placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues were nearby.
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - The sampler was more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
- 2.9 Prior to the commencement of the 24-hour TSP sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- 2.10 For 24-hour TSP sampling, fiberglass filters having a collection efficiency of $\geq 99\%$ for particles of 0.3 μ m (DOP) diameter were used.
- 2.11 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly. On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 2.12 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and a weighted and conditioned filter was carefully centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.
- 2.13 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 2.14 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- 2.15 The timer was then programmed so that the TSP will be sampled for 24 hours. Information

was recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).

- 2.16 After completion of sampling, the filter was removed and sent to Wellab Ltd., which is accredited under HOKLAS for laboratory analysis. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 2.17 Before weighing, all filters were equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours. The conditioning temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$; the relative humidity (RH) should be $< 50\%$ and not vary by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH is 40%.

Maintenance/Calibration

- 2.18 The following maintenance/calibration was required for the HVS:
- The high volume motors and their accessories were properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking were made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.
 - High volume samplers were calibrated at bi-monthly intervals using G25A Calibration Kit throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
 - Orifice Transfer Standards were calibrated at yearly intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.

Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance

- 2.19 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.20 All 24-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 2.21 The air temperature, precipitation and the relative humidity data was obtained from Hong Kong Observatory where the wind speed and wind direction were recorded by the installed Wind Anemometer set at rooftop (about 8/F) Lee Kau Yan Memorial School. The location is shown in **Figure 4**. This weather information for the reporting month is summarized in **Appendix C**.
- 2.22 The monitoring data and graphical presentations of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results are shown in **Appendices E and F** respectively.
- 2.23 The summary of exceedance record in the reporting month is shown in **Appendix H**. No exceedance in Action/Limit Levels of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP was recorded for the air quality monitoring.

- 2.24 According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations are as follows:

Table 2.4 Major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations

| Station | Major Dust Source |
|--|--|
| AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | Road Traffic Dust Exposed site area and open stockpiles Site vehicle movement |
| AM3(A) – Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre | Road Traffic Dust Exposed site area Excavation works Site vehicle movement |
| AM4(A) – EMSD Workshops | Recycling Company Site vehicle movement |
| AM5(A) – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | Road Traffic Dust Excavation works at the site (Contract No.: 1/WSD/08(K)) facing Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College |

3. NOISE

Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 According to EM&A Manuals under the EP, construction noise monitoring was conducted to monitor the construction noise arising from the construction activities within KTD. The regular monitoring frequency for each monitoring station shall be on a weekly basis and conduct one set of measurements between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. **Appendix A** shows the established Action and Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

Monitoring Locations

- 3.2 Five designated monitoring stations were selected for noise monitoring programme. Noise monitoring was conducted at four designated monitoring stations (M6, M7, M8 and M9). **Figure 3** shows the locations of these stations.
- 3.3 Construction noise monitoring at Station M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School was rejected by the premise owner on 6th October 2014. The monitoring works were relocated and carried out at a proposed alternative noise monitoring station M6(A) – Oblate Primary School since 10th October 2014.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Stations

| Monitoring Stations | Locations | Location of Measurement |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| M6 | Holy Carpenter Primary School | Rooftop (about 7/F) Area |
| *M6(A) | Oblate Primary School | Rooftop (about 7/F) Area |
| M7 | CCC Kei To Secondary School | Rooftop (about 8/F) Area |
| M8 | Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | Staircase Area (about 9/F) |
| M9 | Tak Long Estate | Car Park Building (about 2/F) |
| #M10 | Site 1B4 (Planned) | - |

Remarks:

* Alternative noise monitoring station for M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School from 10th October 2014 onwards

The impact monitoring at these locations will only be carried out until existence of the sensitive receiver at the building.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.4 **Table 3.2** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment. Copies of calibration certificates are provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model and Make | Qty. |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | SVAN 955, 957 | 2 |
| Calibrator | SVAN 30A | 1 |
| | B&K4231 | 1 |

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.5 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and total duration of monitoring. The noise monitoring schedule is shown in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

| Monitoring Stations | Parameter | Period | Frequency | Type of Measurement |
|---|---|---|------------------|---------------------|
| M6 M7 M8 M9 | L ₁₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.) dB(A) | 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | Once per week | Façade (*) |
| M6(A) (from 10 th October 2014 onwards) | L ₁₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L ₉₀ (30 min.) dB(A) L _{eq} (30 min.) dB(A) | 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | Once per week | Free Field (*) |

(*) Refer to bullet point 1 and 2 in the following section.

Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC Procedures

- The Sound Level Meter was set on a tripod at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and be at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- For free field measurement, the meter was positioned away from any nearby reflective surfaces. All records for free field noise levels was adjusted with a correction of +3 dB(A).
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting : A
 - time weighting : Fast
 - time measurement : 30 minutes
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1.0 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- The wind speed was frequently checked with the portable wind meter.
- At the end of the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₉₀ and L₁₀ were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- Noise measurement was paused temporarily during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation was recorded when intrusive noise was not avoided.
- Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.6 The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- 3.7 The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals.
- 3.8 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

Results, Observations and Action/Limit Level Exceedance

- 3.9 All construction noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded.
- 3.10 The baseline noise level and the Noise Limit Level at each designated noise monitoring station are presented in **Table 3.4**.
- 3.11 Noise monitoring results and graphical presentations are shown in **Appendix G**.
- 3.12 The major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations are as follows:

Table 3.4 Major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring stations

| Monitoring Stations | Locations | Major Noise Source |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| M6 | Holy Carpenter Primary School | Road and marine traffic Noise |
| M6(A) | Oblate Primary School | Road and marine traffic Noise |
| M7 | CCC Kei To Secondary School | Road and marine traffic Noise |
| M8 | Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | Excavation works at the site (Contract No.: 1/WSD/08(K)) facing Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College |
| M9 | Tak Long Estate | Road paving and asphalt paving works |

Table 3.5 Baseline Noise Level and Noise Limit Level for Monitoring Stations

| Station | Baseline Noise Level, dB (A) | Noise Limit Level, dB (A) |
|---------|---|---|
| M6 | 63.9 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) | 70* (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) |
| M6(A) | ^63.9 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) | |
| M7 | 68.7 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) | |
| M8 | 61.9 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) | |
| M9 | 59.0 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) | 75 (at 0700 – 1900 hrs on normal weekdays) |

(*) Noise Limit Level is 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

(^) Baseline noise monitoring for M6(A) was carried out from 15 October to 28 October 2014. Approval of the established baseline noise level is pending from the IEC.

- 3.13 Baseline noise checking was conducted between 15th October 2014 and 28th October 2014 at monitoring station M6(A) – Oblate Primary School during lunch hour (i.e. 12:00-13:00 hrs) when no construction activities under the Project were carried out. The baseline checking results at station M6(A) measured are almost the same as baseline monitoring results for station M6. The baseline level for noise impact monitoring at station M6 is considered representative and valid to be used as a reference baseline level for station M6(A).

4. COMPARISON OF EM&A RESULTS WITH EIA PREDICTIONS

- 4.1 According to Section 16.1.6 (vi) of the EM&A Manual, the EM&A data was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in **Table 4.1** to **4.3** below.

Table 4.1 Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

| Station | Predicted 1-hr TSP conc. | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---------------|
| | Scenario1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), µg/m3 | Scenario2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), µg/m3 | Reporting Month (October 2014), µg/m3 | |
| | | | Average | Range |
| AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | 290 | 312 | 174.4 | 104.2 – 238.4 |
| AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre (Alternative station for Sky Tower) | 217 | 247 | 172.3 | 111.1 – 242.1 |
| AM4(A) – EMSD Workshops (Alternative station for Grand Waterfront) | 246 | 258 | 147.9 | 100.3 – 216.3 |
| AM5(A) – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College (Alternative station for CCC Kei To Secondary School) | 159 | 221 | 159.7 | 99.5 – 257.7 |

Table 4.2 Comparison of 24-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

| Station | Predicted 24-hr TSP conc. | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---------------|
| | Scenario1 (Mid 2009 to Mid 2013), µg/m3 | Scenario2 (Mid 2013 to Late 2016), µg/m3 | Reporting Month (October 2014), µg/m3 | |
| | | | Average | Range |
| AM2 – Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | 145 | 169 | 119.9 | 109.4 – 140.3 |
| AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre (Alternative station for Sky Tower) | 106 | 138 | 108.0 | 83.9 – 146.1 |
| AM4(A) – EMSD Workshops (Alternative station for Grand Waterfront) | 143 | 152 | 143.8 | 132.7 – 149.5 |
| AM5(A) – Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College (Alternative station for CCC Kei To Secondary School) | 103 | 128 | 69.2 | 52.4 – 91.2 |

Table 4.3 Comparison of Noise Monitoring Data with EIA predictions

| Stations | Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Levels during Normal Working Hour ($L_{eq(30min)}$ dB(A)) | Reporting Month (October 2014), $L_{eq(30min)}$ dB(A) |
|--|--|---|
| M6 - Holy Carpenter Primary School * | 47 – 86 | 62.8 – 63.0 |
| M6(A) - Oblate Primary School ^ | N/A | 62.7 – 65.0 |
| M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School | 45 – 68 | 60.2 – 65.3 |
| M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | 44 – 70 | 52.8 – 67.8 |
| M9 – Tak Long Estate | Not predicted in EIA Report | 60.3 – 69.0 |

(*) Construction noise monitoring at Station M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School was carried out on 3rd and 8th October 2014 as it was rejected by the premise owner afterwards.

(^) Alternative noise monitoring station for M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School from 10th October 2014 onwards.

- 4.2 The averages of 1-hour TSP concentrations in all stations in the reporting month were below to the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.
- 4.3 The averages of 24-hour TSP concentrations in all stations in the reporting month were below to the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.
- 4.4 The noise monitoring results in the reporting month were within the range of predicted mitigated construction noise levels in the EIA report.

5. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1 According to EM&A Manual of the Kai Tak Development EIA Study, ET shall monitor and audit the contractor's activities during the construction period on a weekly basis, and to report on the contractor's performance.

Results and Observations

- 5.2 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor and audit the timely implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures within the site boundaries of this Project. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 5.3 No non-compliance of the landscape and visual impact was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.4 In accordance with the Action Plan presented in Appendix J, no corrective actions were required in the reporting month.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT**Site Audits**

- 6.1 Site audits were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the timely implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site. The summaries of site audits are attached in **Appendix I**.
- 6.2 Site audits were conducted on 3rd, 10th, 15th, 24th and 31st October 2014 in the reporting month. IEC site inspection was conducted on 15th October 2014. No non-compliance was observed during the site audits.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 6.3 All permits/licenses obtained for the Project are summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

| Permit No. | Valid Period | | Details | Status |
|---|--------------|---------|---|--------|
| | From | To | | |
| Environmental Permit (EP) | | | | |
| EP-337/2009 | 23/04/09 | N/A | Construction of new distributor roads serving the planned Kai Tak development. | Valid |
| EP-344/2009 | 23/04/09 | N/A | Construction of a new sewage pumping station serving the planned Kai Tak development with installed capacity of more than 2,000 m ³ per day and a boundary of which is less than 150m from an existing or planned residential area or educational institution. | Valid |
| Effluent Discharge License | | | | |
| -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Registration of Chemical Waste Producer | | | | |
| 374076 | -- | -- | Registration of chemical waste producer for chemical waste produced during construction of Stage 4 at former North Apron Area Infrastructure. | Valid |
| Construction Noise Permit (CNP) | | | | |
| GW-RE0646-14 | 11/6/14 | 8/12/14 | Construction Noise Permit for the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment for the purpose of carrying out construction work other than percussive piling and/or the carrying out of prescribed construction work | Valid |

Status of Waste Management

- 6.4 The amount of wastes generated by the major site activities of this Project during the reporting month is shown in **Appendix M**.

- 6.5 In respect of the dump truck cover, the Contractor is advised to take record photos and inspection to ensure that all dump trucks have fully covered the skip before leaving the site.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

- 6.6 During site inspections in the reporting month, no non-conformance was identified. ET weekly site inspections were carried out during the reporting month and the observations and recommendations are summarized in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-337/2009

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| <i>Water Quality</i> | 15 Oct 2014 | Contractor is reminded to clear the muddy standing water at Road L19 to avoid leakage of muddy water into the manhole through the slit between sandbag bunds. | No muddy water was observed deposited near the manhole at Road L19. |
| <i>Air Quality</i> | -- | -- | -- |
| <i>Noise</i> | -- | -- | -- |
| <i>Waste/Chemical Management</i> | -- | -- | -- |
| <i>Landscape and Visual</i> | -- | -- | -- |
| <i>Permits /Licences</i> | -- | -- | -- |

Table 6.3 Observations and Recommendations of Site Inspections for EP-344/2009

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---|--|
| <i>Water Quality</i> | -- | -- | -- |
| <i>Air Quality</i> | 25 Sep 2014 | To cover the stockpiles of dusty material properly near Pumping Stations PS2 and Box Culvert B5. | The stockpile of dusty material has been covered by mesh nets. |
| <i>Noise</i> | -- | -- | -- |
| <i>Waste/Chemical Management</i> | 10 Oct 2014 | Clear the accumulation of general refuse near Box Culvert B6. | The general refuse had been cleared. |
| | 15 Oct 2014 | Chemical containers were placed at NPS and Box Culvert B5 without drip trays. Contractor should provide drip trays to the containers to avoid chemical leakage. | Chemical containers are removed from the site. Drip tray is provided for other chemical containers remained at the site. |
| | 24 Oct 2014 | Remove the empty chemical container at near Box Culvert B5 to avoid chemical leakage. | The chemical container was removed. |
| | 31 Oct 2014 | To provide drip tray to chemical container in Pumping Station NPS. | The chemical container was removed. |
| | 31 Oct 2014 | To clear the oil stain on paved ground near Pumping Station NPS. | Follow up action to be reviewed in the next reporting month. |
| <i>Landscape and Visual</i> | 31 Oct 2014 | To clear the construction waste and general refuse in the tree protection zone in Pumping Station PS2. | Follow up action to be reviewed in the next reporting month. |
| <i>Permits /Licences</i> | -- | -- | -- |

Summary of Mitigation Measures Implemented

- 6.7 The monthly IEC audit was carried out on 15th October 2014, the observations were recorded and they are presented as follows:

Follow up of last observation:

1. Manhole was found uncovered at site. The Contractor was reminded to provide sand bags to prevent any direct discharge of water from site. (Closed, sand bags were observed around the manhole, the Contractor was reminded to keep on monitoring to prevent any direct discharge of water from site.)
2. Stagnant water was found in drip tray at site. The Contractor was reminded to remove any stagnant water on site or apply larvicidal oil, whenever possible. (Closed, stagnant water was removed.)

Reminder:

3. Chemical containers were found without drip tray at various point on site. The Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray for any chemical containers on site or remove from site, whenever necessary. (NPS, Box Culvert B5/6)
- 6.8 An updated summary of the EMIS is provided in **Appendix K**.

Implementation Status of Event Action Plans

- 6.9 The Event Action Plans for air quality, noise and landscape and visual are presented in **Appendix J**.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 6.10 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 6.11 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction Noise

- 6.12 No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.

Landscape and visual

- 6.13 No non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.

Summary of Complaint, Warning, Notification of any Summons and Successful Prosecution

- 6.14 The summaries of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution for the Project are presented in **Appendix L**.

7. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.1 Major site activities undertaken for the coming two months include:

- Daily Clearance;
- Backfilling of box culvert B5;
- Excavation of trench for sewers;
- Concerting of base slab and walls of NPS.
- Installation of DN750 drainage pipe at L19;
- Excavation of Box culvert B6;
- Installation of precast box culvert B6;
- Installation of DCS;
- Fixing of reinforcement and concreting to walls and slab of pumping station for PS2;
- Laying concrete pipes DN750 from FMH10_345 to FHH10_350;
- Excavation and installation of clay pipe in Portion 4;
- Construction of jacking pits 10, 11, 3 & 4; and
- Widening works f Sung Wong Toi Road.

7.2 The tentative construction program for the Project is provided in **Appendix N**.

Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.3 Key environmental issues in the coming month include:

- Dust generation from stockpiles of dusty materials, exposed site area, excavation works and rock breaking activities;
- Water spraying for dust generating activity and on haul road;
- Proper storage of construction materials on site;
- Storage of chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site;
- Accumulation of general and construction waste on site;
- Noise from operation of the equipment, especially for rock-breaking activities, piling works and machinery on-site; and
- Review and implementation of temporary drainage system for the surface runoff.

7.4 The tentative program of major site activities and the impact prediction and environmental mitigation measures for the coming two months, i.e. November and December 2014 are summarized as follows:

Table 7.1 Summary of the tentative program of major site activities, the impact prediction and control measures for November and December 2014

| Construction Works | Major Impact Prediction | Control Measures |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| As mentioned in Section 7.1 | Air quality impact (dust) | a) Frequent watering of haul road and unpaved/exposed areas; b) Frequent watering or covering stockpiles with tarpaulin or similar means; and c) Watering of any earth moving activities. |
| | Water quality impact (surface run-off) | d) Diversion of the collected effluent to de-silting facilities for treatment prior to discharge to public storm water drains; e) Provision of adequate de-silting facilities for treating surface run-off and other collected effluents prior to discharge; f) Provision of site boundary bund such as sealing of hoarding footings to avoid run-off from entering the existing storm water drainage system via public road; and g) Provision of measures to prevent discharge into the stream. |
| | Noise Impact | h) Scheduling of noisy construction activities if necessary to avoid persistent noisy operation; i) Controlling the number of plants use on site; j) Regular maintenance of machines; and k) Use of acoustic barriers if necessary. |

Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month

7.5 The tentative environmental monitoring schedules for the next month are shown in **Appendix D**.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

- 8.1 Environmental monitoring works required under the EM&A Manual were performed in the reporting month and all monitoring results were checked and reviewed.

1-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.2 All 1-hr TSP monitoring required under the EM&A Manual was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. 1-hour TSP concentrations in all stations in the reporting month were below the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

24-hr TSP Monitoring

- 8.3 All 24-hr TSP monitoring required under the EM&A Manual was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. 24-hour TSP concentrations in all stations in the reporting month were below the prediction in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.

Construction Noise Monitoring

- 8.4 Construction noise monitoring at Station M6 – Holy Carpenter Primary School was rejected by the premise owner on 6th October 2014. The monitoring works were relocated and carried out at a proposed alternative noise monitoring station M6(A) – Oblate Primary School since 10th October 2014.
- 8.5 Baseline noise checking was conducted between 15th October 2014 and 28th October 2014 at monitoring station M6(A) – Oblate Primary School during lunch hour (i.e. 12:00-13:00 hrs) when no construction activities under the Project were carried out. The baseline checking results at station M6(A) measured are almost the same as baseline monitoring results for station M6. The baseline level for noise impact monitoring at station M6 is considered representative and valid to be used as a reference baseline level for station M6(A).
- 8.6 All construction noise monitoring required under the EM&A Manual was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. No Action/Limit Level exceedance was recorded. The construction noise levels in all stations in the reporting month were within the range of predicted mitigated construction noise levels in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report.

Complaints, Notification of any Summons and Prosecution Received

- 8.7 No environmental complaints and environmental prosecution were received in the reporting month.

Recommendations

- 8.8 According to the environmental audit performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were made:

Air Quality Impact

- To implement dust suppression measures on all haul roads, stockpiles, dry surfaces and excavation works.
- To mitigate the dust generation by adequate water spraying in dry days.

Noise Impact

- To inspect the noise sources inside the site.
- To disperse the locations of noisy equipments and position the equipments as far away as possible from sensitive receivers.
- To provide temporary noise barriers for operations of noisy equipment near the noise sensitive receivers in an appropriate location.

Water Impact

- To prevent any surface runoff discharge into any stream course.
- To review and implement temporary drainage system.
- To identify any wastewater discharges from site.
- To ensure properly maintenance for de-silting facilities.
- To clear the silt and sediment in the sedimentation tanks.
- To review the capacity of de-silting facilities for discharge.
- To divert all the water generated from construction site to de-silting facilities with enough handling capacity before discharge.

Waste/Chemical Management

- To check for any accumulation of waste materials or rubbish on site.
- To ensure the performance of sorting of C&D materials at source (during generation);
- To avoid any discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the site.
- To provide proper storage area or drip trays for oil containers/ equipment on site.
- To avoid improper handling or storage of oil drum on site.

Landscape and Visual

- To protect the existing trees to be retained.
- To transplant the trees unavoidably affected by the works.
- To control of night-time lighting.
- To provide decorative screen hoarding.
- To complete landscape works at site area as early as possible.

Effectiveness of Environmental Management

- 8.9 The above recommendations and the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual were carried out by the Contractor during construction. No non-compliance was recorded during the environmental site inspections as shown in **Appendix I**.
- 8.10 The effectiveness of environmental management is satisfactory as the above recommendations are met. Some of the examples of mitigation measures for the following


recommendations are given in **Table 8.1** below.

- Surface runoff discharge into any stream course is prevented;
- Provision of sedimentation facilities after identification of wastewater discharges from site;
- Discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the site is avoided;
- Improper handling or storage of oil drum on site is avoided;
- The existing trees to be retained are protected; and
- Night-time lighting is controlled.



Table 8.1 Examples of Mitigation Measures for Environmental Recommendations

| | |
|--|--|
|  |  |
| <p>To prevent any surface runoff discharge into any stream course.</p> | <p>Follow-up measure(s) after identification of wastewater discharges from site.</p> |
|  |  |
| <p>To avoid any discharge or accidental spillage of chemical waste or oil directly from the site</p> | <p>To avoid improper handling or storage of oil drum on site</p> |
|  |  |
| <p>To protect the existing trees to be retained</p> | <p>To control of night-time lighting</p> |

FIGURES



| | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-------|--------|-------------|---------|----------|
| Title | KL/2012/03 - Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area | Scale | N.T.S | Project No. | MA13056 | CINOTECH |
| | Site Layout Plan | Date | Sep-13 | Figure | 1 | |




| Location | | Northing | Easting |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| AM2 | Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | 821441.245 | 838153.917 |
| AM3(A) | Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre | 820769.390 | 837522.115 |
| AM4(A) | EMSD Workshops | 820147.495 | 838155.791 |
| AM5(A) | Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | 819300.323 | 837659.613 |

Title Contract No. KL/2012/03
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
 Air Quality Monitoring Stations under this Project

| | | | |
|-------|--------|-------------|---------|
| Scale | N.T.S | Project No. | MA13056 |
| Date | Jan-14 | Figure | 2 |

CINOTECH




| Location | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| M6 | Holy Carpenter Primary School |
| M6(A) | Oblate Primary School |
| M7 | CCC Kei To Secondary School |
| M8 | Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College |
| M9 | Tak Long Estate |


Title Contract No. KL/2012/03
 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
 Noise Monitoring Stations under this Project

| | | | |
|-------|--------|-------------|---------|
| Scale | N.T.S | Project No. | MA13056 |
| Date | Jun-14 | Figure | 3 |

CINOTECH



Wind Data Monitoring Equipment set at
Lee Kau Yan Memorial School




| Wind Monitoring Location | Northing | Easting |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | 821441.245 | 838153.917 |

Anemometer Station from The Hong
Kong Observatory

Title Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Location of Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

| | | | |
|-------|--------|-------------|---------|
| Scale | N.T.S | Project No. | MA13056 |
| Date | Dec-13 | Figure | 4 |

CINOTECH



| | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--------|-------------|--|----------|
| Title | Contract No. KL/2012/03 | | Scale | Project | | CINOTECH |
| | Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area | | N.T.S | No. MA13056 | | |
| | Management Structure | | Date | Figure | | |
| | | | May-14 | 5 | | |

APPENDIX A
ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS

Appendix A - Action and Limit Levels

Table A-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| AM2 | 346 | 500 |
| AM3(A) | 351 | |
| AM4(A) | 371 | |
| AM5(A) | 345 | |

Table A-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-Hour TSP

| Location | Action Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Limit Level, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| AM2 | 157 | 260 |
| AM3(A) | 167 | |
| AM4(A) | 187 | |
| AM5(A) | 156 | |

Table A-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | When one documented complaint is received | 75 dB(A) 70dB(A)/65dB(A)* |

Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed. *70dB(A) and 65dB(A) for schools during normal teaching periods and school examination periods, respectively.

**APPENDIX B
COPIES OF CALIBRATION
CERTIFCATES**

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/59/0025

Station AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School Operator: WK
 Date: 29-Aug-14 Next Due Date: 28-Oct-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-59 Serial No. 2354

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 303.7 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 761.4 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0588 | Intercept, bc | -0.0461 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 30-Sep-13 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 29-Sep-14 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.8 | 3.41 | 58.71 | 7.9 | 2.79 |
| 2 | 9.7 | 3.09 | 53.30 | 6.3 | 2.49 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 2.72 | 46.96 | 4.8 | 2.17 |
| 4 | 5.4 | 2.30 | 39.97 | 3.3 | 1.80 |
| 5 | 3.3 | 1.80 | 31.42 | 2.1 | 1.44 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0497 Intercept, bw = -0.1523
 Correlation coefficient* = 0.9989

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 4.01

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang Signature: [Signature]
 Checked by: [Signature] Signature: [Signature]

Date: 29/8/14
 Date: 29 August 2014

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/49/0024

Station AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre Operator: WK
 Date: 29-Aug-14 Next Due Date: 28-Oct-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-49 Serial No. 1793

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 304.8 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 761.4 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0588 | Intercept, bc | -0.0461 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 30-Sep-13 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 29-Sep-14 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 12.8 | 3.54 | 61.00 | 7.9 | 2.78 |
| 2 | 9.7 | 3.08 | 53.21 | 6.4 | 2.50 |
| 3 | 7.8 | 2.76 | 47.79 | 5.0 | 2.21 |
| 4 | 5.3 | 2.28 | 39.53 | 3.3 | 1.80 |
| 5 | 3.4 | 1.82 | 31.82 | 2.1 | 1.43 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0472 Intercept, bw = -0.0563
 Correlation coefficient* = 0.9981

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.97

Remarks:

Conducted by: Wk Tang Signature: Kwai
 Checked by: lv Signature: [Signature]

Date: 29/8/14
 Date: 29 August 2014

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/62/0024

Station AM4(A) - EMSD Workshops Operator: WK
 Date: 29-Aug-14 Next Due Date: 28-Oct-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-62 Serial No. 2351

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 304.7 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 759.2 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0588 | Intercept, bc | -0.0461 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 30-Sep-13 | $mc \times Q_{std} + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 29-Sep-14 | $Q_{std} = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 12.1 | 3.44 | 59.26 | 7.9 | 2.78 |
| 2 | 9.4 | 3.03 | 52.32 | 6.2 | 2.46 |
| 3 | 7.6 | 2.72 | 47.13 | 5.1 | 2.23 |
| 4 | 5.2 | 2.25 | 39.12 | 3.3 | 1.80 |
| 5 | 3.0 | 1.71 | 29.90 | 1.9 | 1.36 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0487 Intercept, bw = -0.0924

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9994

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Q_{std} + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Q_{std} + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 4.10

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: WK Tang

Signature: _____

Date: 29/8/14

Checked by: AW

Signature: _____

Date: 29 August 2014

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/60/0025

Station AM5(A) - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College Operator: WK
 Date: 29-Aug-14 Next Due Date: 28-Oct-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-60 Serial No. 2358

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 303.5 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 760.7 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0588 | Intercept, bc | -0.0461 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 30-Sep-13 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 29-Sep-14 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.9 | 3.42 | 58.94 | 7.9 | 2.79 |
| 2 | 9.8 | 3.10 | 53.56 | 6.4 | 2.51 |
| 3 | 7.7 | 2.75 | 47.57 | 5.2 | 2.26 |
| 4 | 5.3 | 2.28 | 39.60 | 3.2 | 1.77 |
| 5 | 3.3 | 1.80 | 31.41 | 2.0 | 1.40 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0508 Intercept, bw = -0.2039

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9985

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; $W = (mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 4.00

Remarks:

Conducted by: Wk Tang

Signature: Wk Tang

Date: 29/8/14

Checked by: IA

Signature: IA

Date: 29 August 2014

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/71/0002

Project No. AA1 - Ching Long Shopping Centre Operator: WK
 Date: 15-Aug-14 Next Due Date: 14-Oct-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-71 Serial No. 3220

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-------|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 305 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 758.9 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0588 | Intercept, bc | -0.0461 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 30-Sep-13 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 29-Sep-14 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.9 | 3.41 | 58.73 | 7.7 | 2.74 |
| 2 | 9.6 | 3.06 | 52.83 | 6.5 | 2.52 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 2.71 | 46.79 | 5.0 | 2.21 |
| 4 | 5.0 | 2.21 | 38.35 | 3.4 | 1.82 |
| 5 | 3.1 | 1.74 | 30.36 | 2.0 | 1.40 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0476 Intercept, bw = -0.0267

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9988

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 4.19

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang Signature: Kwani
 Checked by: He Signature:

Date: 15/8/14
 Date: 15 August 2014

High-Volume TSP Sampler

5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/71/0003

Project No. AA1 - Ching Long Shopping Centre Operator: WK
 Date: 10-Oct-14 Next Due Date: 9-Dec-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-71 Serial No. 3220

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 298.2 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 761.2 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0582 | Intercept, bc | -0.0249 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 27-Sep-14 | $mc \times Qstd + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 26-Sep-15 | $Qstd = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.8 | 3.44 | 59.48 | 7.9 | 2.81 |
| 2 | 9.6 | 3.10 | 53.69 | 6.5 | 2.55 |
| 3 | 7.8 | 2.79 | 48.44 | 5.0 | 2.24 |
| 4 | 5.2 | 2.28 | 39.63 | 3.3 | 1.82 |
| 5 | 3.1 | 1.76 | 30.69 | 2.0 | 1.41 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0491 Intercept, bw = -0.1094

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9991

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Qstd + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Qstd + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 4.00

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk. Tang

Signature: _____

Date: 10/10/14

Checked by: LA

Signature: _____

Date: 10 October 2014

High-Volume TSP Sampler 5-POINT CALIBRATION DATA SHEET

CINOTECH

File No. MA14008/51/0003

Station AA2 - Tak Long Estate Operator: WK
 Date: 3-Oct-14 Next Due Date: 2-Dec-14
 Equipment No.: A-01-51 Serial No. 1790

| Ambient Condition | | | |
|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| Temperature, Ta (K) | 303 | Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | 760 |

| Orifice Transfer Standard Information | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|---------------|---------|
| Equipment No.: | A-04-04 | Slope, mc | 0.0582 | Intercept, bc | -0.0249 |
| Last Calibration Date: | 27-Sep-14 | $mc \times Q_{std} + bc = [\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | | | |
| Next Calibration Date: | 26-Sep-15 | $Q_{std} = \{[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2} - bc\} / mc$ | | | |

| Calibration of TSP Sampler | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Calibration Point | Orifice | | | HVS | |
| | ΔH (orifice), in. of water | $[\Delta H \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ | Qstd (CFM) X - axis | ΔW (HVS), in. of oil | $[\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$ Y-axis |
| 1 | 11.9 | 3.42 | 59.21 | 6.9 | 2.61 |
| 2 | 9.7 | 3.09 | 53.50 | 5.4 | 2.30 |
| 3 | 7.1 | 2.64 | 45.83 | 4.2 | 2.03 |
| 4 | 4.8 | 2.17 | 37.76 | 3.0 | 1.72 |
| 5 | 3.3 | 1.80 | 31.38 | 2.1 | 1.44 |

By Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope, mw = 0.0408 Intercept, bw = 0.1605

Correlation coefficient* = 0.9985

*If Correlation Coefficient < 0.990, check and recalibrate.

Set Point Calculation

From the TSP Field Calibration Curve, take Qstd = 43 CFM

From the Regression Equation, the "Y" value according to

$$mw \times Q_{std} + bw = [\Delta W \times (Pa/760) \times (298/Ta)]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, Set Point; W = $(mw \times Q_{std} + bw)^2 \times (760 / Pa) \times (Ta / 298) =$ 3.73

Remarks: _____

Conducted by: Wk Tang

Signature: _____

Date: 3/12/14

Checked by: [Signature]

Signature: _____

Date: 3 October 2014

TEST REPORT

Description Calibration Orifice
Serial No. 0993
Model No. TE-5025A
Date 27 September 2014

Manufacturer TISCH
Temperature, Ta (K) 299
Pressure, Pa (mmHg) 761.8
Equipment No.: A-04-04

| Plate | Diff.Vol (m ³) | Diff.Time (min) | Diff.Hg (mm) | Diff.H ₂ O (in.) |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.4230 | 3.3 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 1.00 | 1.0050 | 6.5 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 1.00 | 0.8950 | 8.2 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 1.00 | 0.8570 | 9.0 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.7080 | 13.0 | 8.00 |

DATA TABULATION

| Vstd | (X axis) Qstd | (Y axis) |
|--------|------------------|----------|
| 0.9947 | 0.6990 | 1.4135 |
| 0.9905 | 0.9856 | 1.9990 |
| 0.9883 | 1.1042 | 2.2350 |
| 0.9872 | 1.1519 | 2.3441 |
| 0.9820 | 1.3870 | 2.8270 |

Y axis= $\text{SQRT}[\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Pa}/760)(298/\text{Ta})]$

Qstd Slope (m) = 2.05398

Intercept (b) = -0.02487

Coefficient (r) = 0.99996

| Va | (X axis) Qa | (Y axis) |
|--------|----------------|----------|
| 0.9957 | 0.6997 | 0.8860 |
| 0.9915 | 0.9865 | 1.2530 |
| 0.9892 | 1.1053 | 1.4009 |
| 0.9882 | 1.1531 | 1.4693 |
| 0.9829 | 1.3883 | 1.7720 |

Y axis= $\text{SQRT}[\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa})]$

Qa Slope (m) = 1.28617

Intercept (b) = -0.01559

Coefficient (r) = 0.99996

CALCULATIONS

$V_{\text{std}} = \text{Diff. Vol}[(\text{Pa} - \text{Diff. Hg})/760](298/\text{Ta})$

$Q_{\text{std}} = V_{\text{std}}/\text{Time}$

$V_{\text{a}} = \text{Diff. Vol}[(\text{Pa} - \text{Diff. Hg})/\text{Pa}]$

$Q_{\text{a}} = V_{\text{a}}/\text{Time}$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$Q_{\text{std}} = 1/m\{[\text{SQRT}(\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Pa}/760)(298/\text{Ta}))]-b\}$


$Q_{\text{a}} = 1/m\{[\text{SQRT}(\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa}))]-b\}$

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:
For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**

Patrick Tse

PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT



Y-axis equations:

Qstd series: $\text{SQRT}[\Delta H(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$

Qa series: $\text{SQRT}[\Delta H(Ta/Pa)]$

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/141011A |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-10-11 |
| Date Received: | 2014-10-11 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-10-11 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-10-11 |
| Next Due Date: | 2015-04-10 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 2

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Description | : Weather Monitor II |
| Manufacturer | : Davis Instruments |
| Model No. | : 7440 |
| Serial No. | : MC20813A11 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 54% |

Test Specifications:


1. Performance check of anemometer
2. Performance check of wind direction sensor

Methodology:

In-house method with reference anemometer (RS232 Integral Vane Digital Anemometer)

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/141011A |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-10-11 |
| Date Received: | 2014-10-11 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-10-11 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-10-11 |
| Next Due Date: | 2015-04-10 |

Page: 2 of 2

Results:

1. Performance check of anemometer

| Air Velocity, m/s | | Difference D (m/s) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Instrument Reading (V1) | Reference Value (V1) | D = V1 - V2 |
| 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |

2. Performance check of wind direction sensor

| Wind Direction (°) | | Difference D (°) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Instrument Reading (W1) | Reference Value (W2) | D = W1 - W2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45.1 | 45 | 0.1 |
| 90.3 | 90.5 | -0.2 |
| 134.8 | 135 | -0.2 |
| 180.1 | 180 | 0.1 |
| 225.2 | 225 | 0.2 |
| 270.2 | 270 | 0.2 |
| 315 | 315 | 0 |
| 359.8 | 360 | -0.2 |

*****END OF REPORT*****

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/140905/1 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-09-08 |
| Date Received: | 2014-09-05 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-09-05 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-09-08 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-11-07 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata |
| Model No. | : LD-3 |
| Serial No. | : 251634 |
| Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM | : 0.001 mg/m ³ |
| Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting | : 550 CPM |
| Equipment No. | : A-02-01 |

Test Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 23 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 63% |

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Correlation Factor (CF) | 0.0031 |
|-------------------------|--------|

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/140815/1 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-08-18 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-15 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-15 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-08-18 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-10-17 |

ATTN: Mr. WK Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata |
| Model No. | : LD-3B |
| Serial No. | : 954253 |
| Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM | : 0.001 mg/m ³ |
| Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting | : 772 CPM |
| Equipment No. | : A-02-05 |

Test Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 23 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 64% |

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Correlation Factor (CF) | 0.0030 |
|-------------------------|--------|

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/140905/3 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-09-08 |
| Date Received: | 2014-09-05 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-09-05 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-09-08 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-11-07 |

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata |
| Model No. | : LD-3B |
| Serial No. | : 014750 |
| Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM | : 0.001 mg/m ³ |
| Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting | : 790 CPM |
| Equipment No. | : A-02-06 |

Test Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 23 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 63% |

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Correlation Factor (CF) | 0.0030 |
|-------------------------|--------|

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/140630/2 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-09-01 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-29 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-29 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-09-01 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-10-31 |

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata |
| Model No. | : LD-3B |
| Serial No. | : 095050 |
| Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM | : 0.001 mg/m ³ |
| Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting | : 577 CPM |
| Equipment No. | : A-02-09 |

Test Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 65% |

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Correlation Factor (CF) | 0.0032 |
|-------------------------|--------|

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/140630/3 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-09-01 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-29 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-29 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-09-01 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-10-31 |

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Description | : Laser Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Sibata |
| Model No. | : LD-3B |
| Serial No. | : 095029 |
| Sensitivity (K) 1 CPM | : 0.001 mg/m ³ |
| Sen. Adjustment Scale Setting | : 551 CPM |
| Equipment No. | : A-02-10 |

Test Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 65% |

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Laser Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Laser Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Correlation Factor (CF) | 0.0031 |
|-------------------------|--------|

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**

Patrick Tse

PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/140630/4 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-09-01 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-29 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-29 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-09-01 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-10-31 |

ATTN: Mr. W. K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for Calibration:

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Description | : Dust Monitor |
| Manufacturer | : Met One Instruments |
| Model No. | : AEROCET-531 |
| Serial No. | : N6734 |
| Flow rate | : 0.1 cfm |
| Zero Count Test | : 0 mg (The result of the 2-minute sample) |
| Equipment No. | : A-02-13 |

Test Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 65% |

Test Specifications & Methodology:

1. Instruction and Operation Manual High Volume Sampler, Andersen Samplers, Inc.
2. In-house method in according to the instruction manual: The Dust Monitor was compared with a calibrated High Volume Sampler and the result was used to generate the Correlation Factor (CF) between the Dust Monitor and High Volume Sampler.

Results:

| | |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Correlation Factor (CF) | 1.173 |
|-------------------------|-------|

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/140822/3 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-08-25 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-22 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-22 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-08-25 |
| Next Due Date: | 2015-08-24 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Description | : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SVAN 957 |
| Serial No. | : 21459 |
| Microphone No. | : 43676 |
| Equipment No. | : N-08-08 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperatre | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 55% |

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94 | 94.0 |
| 114 | 114.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/140822/1 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-08-25 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-22 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-22 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-08-25 |
| Next Due Date: | 2015-08-24 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Description | : 'SVANTEK' Integrating Sound Level Meter |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SVAN 957 |
| Serial No. | : 21460 |
| Microphone No. | : 43679 |
| Equipment No. | : N-08-09 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 55% |

Test Specifications:

Performance checking at 94 and 114 dB

Methodology:

In-house method, according to manufacturer instruction manual

Results:

| Reference Set Point, dB | Instrument Readings, dB |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94 | 94.0 |
| 114 | 114.0 |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/131004/1 |
| Date of Issue: | 2013-10-05 |
| Date Received: | 2013-10-04 |
| Date Tested: | 2013-10-04 |
| Date Completed: | 2013-10-05 |
| Next Due Date: | 2014-10-04 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| Description | : Acoustical Calibrator |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SV30A |
| Serial No. | : 24803 |
| Equipment No. | : N-09-03 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 21 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 57% |

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| Sound Pressure Level (1kHz) | Measured SPL | Tolerance |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| At 94 dB SPL | 94.0 | 94.0 ± 0.1 dB |
| At 114 dB SPL | 114.0 | 114.0 ± 0.1 dB |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/141003/1 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-10-04 |
| Date Received: | 2014-10-03 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-10-03 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-10-04 |
| Next Due Date: | 2015-10-03 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Item for calibration:

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| Description | : Acoustical Calibrator |
| Manufacturer | : SVANTEK |
| Model No. | : SV30A |
| Serial No. | : 24803 |
| Equipment No. | : N-09-03 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 22 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 56% |

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| Sound Pressure Level (1kHz) | Measured SPL | Tolerance |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| At 94 dB SPL | 94.0 | 94.0 ± 0.1 dB |
| At 114 dB SPL | 114.0 | 114.0 ± 0.1 dB |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**


PATRICK TSE
Laboratory Manager

TEST REPORT

APPLICANT: Cinotech Consultants Limited
Room 1710, Technology Park,
18 On Lai Street,
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

| | |
|------------------|--------------|
| Test Report No.: | C/N/140822/2 |
| Date of Issue: | 2014-08-25 |
| Date Received: | 2014-08-22 |
| Date Tested: | 2014-08-22 |
| Date Completed: | 2014-08-25 |
| Next Due Date: | 2015-08-24 |

ATTN: Mr. W.K. Tang

Page: 1 of 1

Certificate of Calibration

Item for calibration:

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| Description | : Acoustical Calibrator |
| Manufacturer | : Brüel & Kjær |
| Model No. | : 4231 |
| Serial No. | : 2412367 |
| Equipment No. | : N-02-03 |

Test conditions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Room Temperature | : 20 degree Celsius |
| Relative Humidity | : 64% |

Methodology:

The Sound Level Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the documented procedures and using standard(s) and instrument(s) which are recommended by the manufacturer, or equivalent.

Results:

| Sound Pressure Level (1kHz) | Measured SPL | Tolerance |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| At 94 dB SPL | 94.0 | 94.0 ± 0.1 dB |
| At 114 dB SPL | 114.0 | 114.0 ± 0.1 dB |

PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:

For and On Behalf of **WELLAB Ltd.**



PATRICK TSE

Laboratory Manager

APPENDIX C
WEATHER INFORMATION

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

| Date | Mean Air Temperature (°C) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Precipitation (mm) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 October 2014 | 25.2 – 31.4 | 70 – 96 | 26.7 |
| 2 October 2014 | 26.6 – 30.8 | 65 – 88 | Trace |
| 3 October 2014 | 25.2 – 30.0 | 76 – 92 | 23.7 |
| 4 October 2014 | 24.9 – 28.9 | 75 – 96 | 2.6 |
| 5 October 2014 | 25.4 – 30.7 | 54 – 88 | 0.1 |
| 6 October 2014 | 24.5 – 29.8 | 47 – 78 | 0 |
| 7 October 2014 | 24.4 – 28.9 | 54 – 72 | Trace |
| 8 October 2014 | 23.9 – 29.1 | 48 – 73 | 0 |
| 9 October 2014 | 25.0 – 29.2 | 48 – 71 | 0 |
| 10 October 2014 | 24.2 – 30.3 | 48 – 76 | 0 |
| 11 October 2014 | 25.1 – 31.4 | 49 – 72 | 0 |
| 12 October 2014 | 25.0 – 30.9 | 42 – 79 | 0 |
| 13 October 2014 | 23.8 – 29.9 | 45 – 68 | 0 |
| 14 October 2014 | 23.0 – 28.7 | 51 – 71 | Trace |
| 15 October 2014 | 22.8 – 28.4 | 56 – 74 | 0 |
| 16 October 2014 | 23.9 – 27.6 | 53 – 78 | Trace |
| 17 October 2014 | 23.4 – 28.0 | 53 – 74 | 0 |
| 18 October 2014 | 23.1 – 28.3 | 58 – 78 | 0 |
| 19 October 2014 | 24.5 – 28.5 | 56 – 82 | Trace |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

I. General Information

| Date | Mean Air Temperature (°C) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Precipitation (mm) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 20 October 2014 | 25.0 – 29.4 | 66 – 83 | 0 |
| 21 October 2014 | 24.6 – 30.4 | 50 – 84 | Trace |
| 22 October 2014 | 23.4 – 30.6 | 54 – 96 | 56.4 |
| 23 October 2014 | 23.9 – 25.7 | 75 – 95 | 0.2 |
| 24 October 2014 | 24.2 – 26.0 | 70 – 80 | 0 |
| 25 October 2014 | 24.1 – 26.0 | 76 – 83 | 0 |
| 26 October 2014 | 24.4 – 28.3 | 68 – 87 | 0.1 |
| 27 October 2014 | 24.4 – 28.7 | 65 – 87 | 0 |
| 28 October 2014 | 23.7 – 26.8 | 59 – 78 | Trace |
| 29 October 2014 | 23.9 – 27.7 | 62 – 82 | Trace |
| 30 October 2014 | 24.5 – 27.5 | 70 – 86 | 0 |
| 31 October 2014 | 28.1 – 24.3 | 70 – 87 | 0 |

* The above information was extracted from the daily weather summary by Hong Kong Observatory.

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| Date | Time | Wind Speed m/s | Direction |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 1-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 3.1 | NE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 3 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.7 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.5 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 2.6 | NE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 2.1 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 2.4 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.5 | E |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.3 | ESE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3.1 | N |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 3 | NNE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 3.2 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 3.1 | NE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 3 | NE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.9 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 3 | NE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2.4 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2.2 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.4 | ENE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2.9 | NE |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.4 | N |
| 1-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2 | ENE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.8 | ENE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.9 | ENE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.9 | NNE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.8 | SW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.4 | SSW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.8 | WSW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.3 | W |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.3 | WSW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 2-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.7 | SE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.3 | SE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.6 | WSW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.5 | SW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2 | NNE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.7 | WNW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.7 | WNW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.5 | WSW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.6 | WSW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.6 | SW |
| 2-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.4 | SW |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.3 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.2 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.2 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.7 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.7 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.2 | ESE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.4 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.8 | SW |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2 | SW |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 1.9 | ESE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.2 | SSW |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.6 | SW |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.1 | NE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.8 | NE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.7 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.5 | NE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.5 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.1 | ENE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.1 | NE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.1 | NE |
| 3-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 0.9 | NE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 4-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1 | ENE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 0.9 | ENE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.2 | ENE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.9 | ENE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.4 | ENE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.1 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.4 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.7 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.8 | ENE |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1 | W |
| 4-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.1 | SSW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.2 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.2 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1 | W |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.3 | SSW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.2 | ENE |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.2 | ENE |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.5 | SSW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.7 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 1.7 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 1.8 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.2 | SW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 5-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.2 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.1 | SSW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.7 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.3 | WNW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.3 | WNW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.3 | WNW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.2 | WNW |
| 5-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.1 | WNW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.1 | SSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.3 | WSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.4 | W |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | WSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.1 | WSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.2 | WSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.3 | WSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.4 | WSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.4 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.7 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.6 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.4 | SSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.7 | SE |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.2 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.3 | SSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.9 | SW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.1 | SSW |
| 6-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1 | SSW |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1 | S |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.2 | SE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 7-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.3 | SSE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.1 | S |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.1 | ENE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.4 | ENE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.2 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.1 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.6 | NE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.5 | SSW |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.5 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.6 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.2 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.3 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.9 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.8 | E |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 0.9 | N |
| 7-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 0.8 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 0.8 | N |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 0.8 | N |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 0.9 | NE |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.1 | SSW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.5 | W |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.7 | SSW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 1.8 | SW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.9 | WNW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 8-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.8 | SSW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.4 | SSW |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.5 | SSE |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.9 | SE |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.6 | N |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.9 | N |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.9 | N |
| 8-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.8 | S |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.9 | SSW |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.5 | E |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.6 | NNW |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.5 | NW |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1 | SSE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1 | SE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.5 | WSW |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2 | NE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.2 | SSW |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.5 | E |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.5 | N |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.1 | N |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.1 | N |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.9 | ENE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.8 | S |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.4 | ENE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 0.8 | NNE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.8 | ENE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.3 | ENE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.4 | ESE |
| 9-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.3 | SW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.4 | SW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.5 | WSW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2 | NE |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.7 | N |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 10-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.5 | ENE |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1 | N |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.4 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.6 | WSW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.6 | WSW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.6 | SSW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.7 | WSW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.8 | WNW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.9 | WNW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.5 | S |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.8 | SSW |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.4 | S |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.2 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 10-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 0.9 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.6 | SW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.2 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 0.8 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | WNW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.6 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.4 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.5 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.3 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.1 | SSE |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.2 | SSE |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.6 | N |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.7 | NNE |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.9 | NW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 11-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.8 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.4 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.4 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.3 | WSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 0.8 | SSW |
| 11-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.1 | S |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.2 | SSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1 | WSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.3 | SW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | SSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.2 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.6 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.1 | WSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 3.3 | ESE |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 3.1 | SSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 3.1 | SSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.7 | ENE |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.8 | N |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.7 | WSW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2.5 | WNW |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2.3 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 12-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2.7 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.7 | WSW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2.8 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.3 | NNE |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.4 | S |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.9 | S |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 2 | SSW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 2.1 | W |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 13-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.9 | SSE |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2 | SSE |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.3 | S |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.8 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3.4 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 3.9 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 3.5 | SW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 3.4 | WSW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 3.2 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.5 | WNW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2.5 | WNW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.5 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.1 | WNW |
| 13-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2.3 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.3 | WNW |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.9 | WNW |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.8 | WNW |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.5 | S |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 3.1 | SSE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.7 | S |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.7 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.9 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.9 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.7 | NNE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.7 | ENE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.3 | ENE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2 | NE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2.2 | NE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 14-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2 | ENE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 14-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2.1 | S |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.4 | SSW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2.1 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.4 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.9 | WSW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.8 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 2.1 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.7 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.4 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.2 | WSW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.2 | WSW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.1 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.1 | SW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.9 | W |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.6 | W |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.5 | W |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.6 | WSW |
| 15-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2 | WNW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2 | WNW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2 | WNW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 2.4 | WNW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 2.4 | SSW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2.2 | WSW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 16-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.3 | N |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.9 | N |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.4 | N |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.6 | NNE |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.9 | W |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.4 | W |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.4 | WNW |
| 16-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.9 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.1 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.5 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.5 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.6 | WSW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.9 | W |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.2 | NW |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.5 | NE |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.7 | ENE |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.2 | E |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.4 | NE |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.4 | E |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.7 | E |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.4 | E |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.1 | NE |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1 | N |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 17-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.5 | NNE |
| 17-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.3 | NNE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1 | ENE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.3 | ENE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.1 | S |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.1 | NNE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.3 | N |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | N |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.2 | NW |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.1 | NW |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.5 | N |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.4 | WNW |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.6 | SSE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3 | N |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.6 | N |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.6 | NNE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.2 | ENE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.2 | ENE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.1 | NE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.8 | NNE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.6 | N |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.5 | ENE |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.4 | W |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.4 | W |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.4 | WSW |
| 18-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.6 | SW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.8 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.6 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.2 | WNW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | WNW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1 | WNW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.2 | WNW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.3 | WNW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.5 | W |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 19-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.8 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.5 | S |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.5 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.9 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.7 | WSW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.7 | WSW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.5 | WNW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.9 | SW |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.7 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 19-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1 | W |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 0.8 | WNW |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 0.8 | W |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 0.8 | WNW |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 0.9 | WNW |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 0.9 | E |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.5 | N |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.3 | NNE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.4 | ESE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.6 | E |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.1 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.9 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.8 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.2 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 0.8 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 0.8 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.7 | NE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.9 | WNW |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 0.8 | ENE |
| 20-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1 | NNE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 21-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.2 | NE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.4 | ENE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.4 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.5 | NNE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.2 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.2 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 0.8 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 0.8 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.1 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.3 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.4 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 1.9 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.6 | NNW |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.9 | WNW |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.9 | NNE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.4 | NNE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.2 | NE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.1 | NE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.2 | N |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.1 | NNE |
| 21-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.1 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.5 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.5 | NE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.2 | NE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.4 | WNW |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.6 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.6 | W |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 22-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.6 | WSW |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.3 | WSW |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.5 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2 | N |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.8 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.8 | NNE |
| 22-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.8 | ENE |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.1 | ENE |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2 | NNE |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.8 | WSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.1 | SSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 2.2 | SSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 2.1 | SSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 2.2 | SSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 2 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2.1 | WNW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.6 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.8 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.9 | SSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.9 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.9 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.4 | WNW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.5 | SSW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2.2 | SW |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.2 | W |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.9 | N |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.3 | NE |
| 23-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2 | E |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.2 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2.5 | ENE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 24-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.5 | E |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.5 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 2.2 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 2.4 | NE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 2.1 | N |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2.3 | NNE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.6 | NNE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.9 | NE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3.4 | ESE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 3 | E |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 3.3 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.9 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.9 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 3 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 3.1 | ENE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 2.3 | NE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.2 | NNE |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.9 | N |
| 24-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2.8 | ENE |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.8 | W |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2.7 | SE |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.7 | E |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 2.4 | E |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 2.2 | WSW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.6 | SSW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.6 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.6 | W |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 3 | SSW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3.5 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.8 | WSW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 3 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 3.2 | SW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 25-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 3.1 | WNW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.7 | W |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.1 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.6 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.9 | WNW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2 | WNW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 2.1 | SW |
| 25-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 2.6 | SW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 2.3 | SW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 2.4 | NW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.9 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.7 | NNE |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.4 | WSW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.1 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.1 | WSW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.5 | WNW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.8 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.7 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.2 | WNW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.9 | SW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1 | SW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.2 | WSW |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1 | W |
| 26-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.1 | W |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.1 | WSW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1 | ENE |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.7 | ENE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 27-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.7 | ENE |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.7 | NE |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.6 | SSW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.8 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2.1 | NNW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.4 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.6 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3.2 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.8 | NW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.3 | NNW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.6 | NNW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.3 | NW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.8 | NNW |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 2 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 2.2 | N |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.7 | NNE |
| 27-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.8 | NE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.7 | ENE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.6 | NNE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.5 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.4 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.4 | NNE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.6 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.3 | NNE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 3 | NNE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 3.1 | NNE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 3 | NNE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 2.9 | ENE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 2.5 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 2.5 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 2.4 | NNE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 28-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.9 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.4 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.3 | N |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1.6 | NNW |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 28-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 1.3 | NNE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.3 | NNE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.3 | E |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.2 | E |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.6 | E |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.5 | NNE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.6 | N |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.9 | NNW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.8 | NNW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 2.2 | SW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2.7 | SW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2.5 | SW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.8 | NE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.5 | ENE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.5 | ENE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.2 | NE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.9 | SW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.8 | SW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 0.8 | SW |
| 29-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 0.8 | SW |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 0.8 | SW |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 0.7 | N |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 0.8 | N |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 0.8 | SW |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 0.9 | SW |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 0.9 | SW |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 30-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.2 | SW |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1.9 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 2 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.8 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 1.9 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 1.8 | NE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 1.7 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 1.9 | NE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.3 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.8 | N |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.5 | NE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.7 | E |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 1.6 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 1.5 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 1.4 | ENE |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 1 | E |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 0.9 | WNW |
| 30-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 0.9 | W |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 00:00 | 1.8 | WSW |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 01:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 02:00 | 1.7 | W |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 03:00 | 1.6 | W |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 04:00 | 1.6 | W |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 05:00 | 1.3 | ENE |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 06:00 | 1.1 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 07:00 | 1 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 08:00 | 1.1 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 09:00 | 1.9 | WSW |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 10:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 11:00 | 2 | WSW |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 12:00 | 1.8 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 13:00 | 1.5 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 14:00 | 1.2 | E |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 15:00 | 1.3 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 16:00 | 1.5 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 17:00 | 1.4 | NE |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 18:00 | 0.8 | NE |

**APPENDIX C –
WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE MONITORING PERIOD**

II. Mean Wind Speed and Wind Direction

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-----|-----|
| 31-Oct-2014 | 19:00 | 0.6 | ENE |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 20:00 | 0.5 | ENE |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 21:00 | 0.4 | WSW |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 22:00 | 0.4 | N |
| 31-Oct-2014 | 23:00 | 0.4 | N |

**APPENDIX D
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
SCHEDULES**

Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for October 2014

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | | | 1-Oct | 2-Oct | 3-Oct | 4-Oct |
| | | | | | Noise (M6 & M7) 24 hr TSP | |
| 5-Oct | 6-Oct | 7-Oct | 8-Oct | 9-Oct | 10-Oct | 11-Oct |
| | 1 hr TSP X3 Noise (M8) | | Noise (M6 & M7) | Noise (M9) 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 | |
| 12-Oct | 13-Oct | 14-Oct | 15-Oct | 16-Oct | 17-Oct | 18-Oct |
| | | | Noise (M9) 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 Noise (M8) | Noise (M6(A), M7) | |
| 19-Oct | 20-Oct | 21-Oct | 22-Oct | 23-Oct | 24-Oct | 25-Oct |
| | | Noise (M9) 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 Noise (M8) | Noise (M6(A), M7) | | |
| 26-Oct | 27-Oct | 28-Oct | 29-Oct | 30-Oct | 31-Oct | |
| | Noise (M9) 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 Noise (M6(A), M8) | | Noise (M7) | | |

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre
AM4(A) - EMSD Workshops
AM5(A) - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College

Noise Monitoring Station

M6 - Holy Carpenter Primary School
M6(A) - Oblate Primary School*
M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School
M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College
M9 - Tak Long Estate
Remarks: *Alternate Noise Monitoring Station for Station M6 - Holy Carpenter Primary School

Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
Tentative Impact Air and Noise Monitoring Schedule for November 2014

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------|--|---|-----------|--|---|-----------|
| | | | | | | 1-Nov |
| | | | | | | 24 hr TSP |
| 2-Nov | 3-Nov | 4-Nov | 5-Nov | 6-Nov | 7-Nov | 8-Nov |
| | 1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) & AM5(A) Noise (M8) | Noise (M6(A), M7) | | Noise (M9) | 1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) & AM5(A) 24 hr TSP | |
| 9-Nov | 10-Nov | 11-Nov | 12-Nov | 13-Nov | 14-Nov | 15-Nov |
| | | Noise (M9) | 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) & AM5(A) Noise (M6(A), M7, M8) | | |
| 16-Nov | 17-Nov | 18-Nov | 19-Nov | 20-Nov | 21-Nov | 22-Nov |
| | Noise (M9) 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) & AM5(A) Noise (M8) | | Noise (M6(A), M7) | 24 hr TSP | |
| 23-Nov | 24-Nov | 25-Nov | 26-Nov | 27-Nov | 28-Nov | 29-Nov |
| | 1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) & AM5(A) Noise (M8) | | | Noise (M6(A), M7, M9) 24 hr TSP | 1 hr TSP X3 AM2, AM3(A), AM4(A) & AM5(A) | |
| 30-Nov | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The schedule may be changed due to unforeseen circumstances (adverse weather, etc)

Air Quality Monitoring Station

AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School
AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre
AM4(A) - EMSD Workshops
AM5(A) - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College

Noise Monitoring Station

M6(A) - Oblate Primary School
M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School
M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College
M9 - Tak Long Estate

APPENDIX E
1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

| Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School | | | |
|--|-------|---------|--|
| Date | Time | Weather | Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| 6-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 104.2 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 112.0 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 118.0 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Sunny | 167.6 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Sunny | 174.2 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Sunny | 169.8 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 238.4 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 231.3 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 229.7 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Sunny | 218.8 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Sunny | 197.4 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Sunny | 194.5 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 149.0 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Cloudy | 152.1 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Cloudy | 158.8 |
| Average | | | 174.4 |
| Maximum | | | 238.4 |
| Minimum | | | 104.2 |



| Location AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre | | | |
|--|-------|---------|--|
| Date | Time | Weather | Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| 6-Oct-14 | 8:50 | Sunny | 117.4 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 9:50 | Sunny | 111.1 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 10:50 | Sunny | 117.2 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 13:20 | Sunny | 155.9 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 14:20 | Sunny | 131.3 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 15:20 | Sunny | 140.4 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 8:55 | Sunny | 238.9 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 9:55 | Sunny | 225.6 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 10:55 | Sunny | 236.9 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 242.1 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Cloudy | 234.3 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 232.3 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 132.0 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Cloudy | 130.2 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 139.1 |
| Average | | | 172.3 |
| Maximum | | | 242.1 |
| Minimum | | | 111.1 |

Appendix E - 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

| Location AM4(A) - EMSD Workshops | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|--|
| Date | Time | Weather | Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| 6-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 120.5 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 124.4 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 118.0 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 127.1 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 111.0 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 119.9 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 178.3 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 168.5 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 212.5 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 199.1 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 216.3 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 205.5 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Cloudy | 112.6 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Cloudy | 100.3 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Cloudy | 104.1 |
| Average | | | 147.9 |
| Maximum | | | 216.3 |
| Minimum | | | 100.3 |



| Location AM5(A) - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | | | |
|---|-------|---------|--|
| Date | Time | Weather | Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| 6-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Sunny | 132.2 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Sunny | 139.9 |
| 6-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Sunny | 126.6 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Sunny | 99.5 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Sunny | 116.5 |
| 10-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Sunny | 125.7 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 9:00 | Sunny | 178.3 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 10:00 | Sunny | 168.5 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 11:00 | Sunny | 212.5 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Sunny | 257.7 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Sunny | 246.8 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Sunny | 249.7 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 131.3 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Cloudy | 101.0 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 15:00 | Cloudy | 109.1 |
| Average | | | 159.7 |
| Maximum | | | 257.7 |
| Minimum | | | 99.5 |

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



| | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA13056 | |
| | Date Oct 14 | Appendix E | |
| | | | |

1-hr TSP Concentration Levels



| | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA14008 | CINOTECH |
| | Date Oct 14 | Appendix E | |

APPENDIX F
24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS
AND GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

Appendix F - 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results

Location AM2 - Lee Kau Yan Memorial School

| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m³/min.) | | Av. flow (m³/min) | Total vol. (m³) | Conc. (µg/m³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 3-Oct-14 | Sunny | 302.2 | 760.2 | 3.1854 | 3.3802 | 0.1948 | 13876.8 | 13900.8 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1753.6 | 111.1 |
| 9-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.0 | 761.4 | 3.2070 | 3.4000 | 0.1930 | 13900.8 | 13924.8 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1763.6 | 109.4 |
| 15-Oct-14 | Sunny | 304.0 | 757.5 | 3.2331 | 3.4285 | 0.1954 | 13924.8 | 13948.8 | 24.0 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1745.9 | 111.9 |
| 21-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.8 | 764.7 | 3.2174 | 3.4651 | 0.2477 | 13948.8 | 13972.8 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1765.1 | 140.3 |
| 27-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.7 | 765.3 | 3.2286 | 3.4524 | 0.2238 | 13972.8 | 13996.8 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1765.9 | 126.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 109.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 140.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 119.9 |

Location AM3(A) - Holy Trinity Bradbury Centre

| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m³/min.) | | Av. flow (m³/min) | Total vol. (m³) | Conc. (µg/m³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 3-Oct-14 | Sunny | 303.1 | 760.3 | 3.2128 | 3.3707 | 0.1579 | 8506.8 | 8530.8 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1761.1 | 89.7 |
| 9-Oct-14 | Sunny | 300.0 | 761.7 | 3.1618 | 3.3108 | 0.1490 | 8530.8 | 8554.8 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1775.4 | 83.9 |
| 15-Oct-14 | Sunny | 304.2 | 757.2 | 3.2241 | 3.4216 | 0.1975 | 8554.8 | 8578.8 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1754.5 | 112.6 |
| 21-Oct-14 | Cloudy | 300.2 | 764.1 | 3.1796 | 3.3705 | 0.1909 | 8578.8 | 8602.8 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1773.8 | 107.6 |
| 27-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.6 | 765.2 | 3.1875 | 3.4471 | 0.2596 | 8602.8 | 8626.8 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1776.7 | 146.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 83.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 146.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 108.0 |



Location AM4(A) - EMSD Workshops

| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m³/min.) | | Av. flow (m³/min) | Total vol. (m³) | Conc. (µg/m³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 3-Oct-14 | Sunny | 303.4 | 760.5 | 3.2051 | 3.4382 | 0.2331 | 4749.6 | 4773.6 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1757.1 | 132.7 |
| 9-Oct-14 | Sunny | 298.8 | 761.2 | 3.2004 | 3.4537 | 0.2533 | 4773.6 | 4797.6 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1770.9 | 143.0 |
| 15-Oct-14 | Sunny | 303.2 | 757.7 | 3.1869 | 3.4492 | 0.2623 | 4797.6 | 4821.6 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1754.6 | 149.5 |
| 21-Oct-14 | Sunny | 300.4 | 764.5 | 3.2826 | 3.5427 | 0.2601 | 4821.6 | 4845.6 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1769.9 | 147.0 |
| 27-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.8 | 765.1 | 3.2570 | 3.5174 | 0.2604 | 4845.6 | 4869.6 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1772.2 | 146.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 132.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 149.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 143.8 |

Location AM5(A) - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College



| Start Date | Weather Condition | Air Temp. (K) | Atmospheric Pressure, Pa (mmHg) | Filter Weight (g) | | Particulate weight (g) | Elapse Time | | Sampling Time(hrs.) | Flow Rate (m³/min.) | | Av. flow (m³/min) | Total vol. (m³) | Conc. (µg/m³) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | Initial | Final | | | |
| 3-Oct-14 | Sunny | 303.1 | 759.6 | 3.2037 | 3.3012 | 0.0975 | 3782.5 | 3806.5 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1754.1 | 55.6 |
| 9-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.0 | 761.2 | 3.2371 | 3.3296 | 0.0925 | 3806.5 | 3830.5 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1766.5 | 52.4 |
| 15-Oct-14 | Sunny | 303.7 | 757.4 | 3.2456 | 3.4052 | 0.1596 | 3830.5 | 3854.5 | 24.0 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1750.1 | 91.2 |
| 21-Oct-14 | Sunny | 300.9 | 763.7 | 3.2816 | 3.4054 | 0.1238 | 3854.5 | 3878.5 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1764.1 | 70.2 |
| 27-Oct-14 | Sunny | 299.9 | 765.2 | 3.2230 | 3.3587 | 0.1357 | 3878.5 | 3902.5 | 24.0 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1768.5 | 76.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Min | 52.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Max | 91.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average | 69.2 |

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA13056 | |
| | Date Oct 14 | Appendix F | |
| | | | |

24-hr TSP Concentration Levels



| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA13056 | |
| | Date Oct 14 | Appendix F | |

APPENDIX G
NOISE MONITORING RESULTS AND
GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION

Appendix G - Noise Monitoring Results

| Location M6 - Holy Carpenter Primary School | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Date | Time | Weather | Unit: dB (A) (30-min) | | | | |
| | | | Measured Noise Level | | | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level |
| | | | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | L _{eq} | L _{eq} |
| 3-Oct-14 | 10:30 | Cloudy | 66.5 | 68.2 | 61.9 | 63.9 | 63.0 |
| 8-Oct-14 | 10:50 | Sunny | 66.4 | 68.8 | 63.6 | | 62.8 |



| Location M6(A) - Oblate Primary School | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Date | Time | Weather | Unit: dB (A) (30-min) | | | | |
| | | | Measured Noise Level | | | | |
| | | | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | | |
| 23-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Cloudy | 62.7 | 64.9 | 58.6 | | |
| 17-Oct-14 | 13:00 | Sunny | 65.0 | 66.7 | 62.8 | | |
| 28-Oct-14 | 11:30 | Cloudy | 63.4 | 65.7 | 61.5 | | |

| Location M7 - CCC Kei To Secondary School | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Date | Time | Weather | Unit: dB (A) (30-min) | | | | |
| | | | Measured Noise Level | | | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level |
| | | | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | L _{eq} | L _{eq} |
| 3-Oct-14 | 11:30 | Cloudy | 65.3 | 66.1 | 60.3 | 68.7 | 65.3 Measured ≤ Baseline |
| 8-Oct-14 | 10:10 | Sunny | 60.2 | 61.7 | 58.1 | | 60.2 Measured ≤ Baseline |
| 17-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Sunny | 64.2 | 66.3 | 61.8 | | 64.2 Measured ≤ Baseline |
| 23-Oct-14 | 14:00 | Cloudy | 63.9 | 67.8 | 60.2 | | 63.9 Measured ≤ Baseline |
| 30-Oct-14 | 10:45 | Sunny | 62.7 | 65.3 | 59.2 | | 62.7 Measured ≤ Baseline |

| Location M8 - Po Leung Kuk Ngan Po Ling College | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Date | Time | Weather | Unit: dB (A) (30-min) | | | | |
| | | | Measured Noise Level | | | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level |
| | | | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | L _{eq} | L _{eq} |
| 6-Oct-14 | 13:10 | Sunny | 64.4 | 66.5 | 61.2 | 61.9 | 60.8 |
| 16-Oct-14 | 13:30 | Sunny | 65.4 | 67.7 | 62.0 | | 62.8 |
| 22-Oct-14 | 13:30 | Sunny | 68.8 | 71.2 | 64.7 | | 67.8 |
| 28-Oct-14 | 13:30 | Cloudy | 62.4 | 65.6 | 59.1 | | 52.8 |

| Location M9 - Tak Long Estate | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Date | Time | Weather | Unit: dB (A) (30-min) | | | | |
| | | | Measured Noise Level | | | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level |
| | | | L _{eq} | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | L _{eq} | L _{eq} |
| 9-Oct-14 | 09:15 | Sunny | 64.2 | 66.2 | 61.8 | 59.9 | 62.2 |
| 15-Oct-14 | 09:15 | Sunny | 64.5 | 66.2 | 62.3 | | 62.7 |
| 21-Oct-14 | 09:15 | Cloudy | 69.5 | 70.1 | 63.3 | | 69.0 |
| 27-Oct-14 | 13:10 | Sunny | 63.1 | 65.0 | 60.7 | | 60.3 |



Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results | Scale | Project No. | CINOTECH |
| | N.T.S Date Oct 14 | MA13056 Appendix G | |


Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

| | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA13056 | CINOTECH |
| | Date Oct 14 | Appendix G | |

Noise Levels



Remarks: The construction noise levels in the Tables in Appendix G were adopted for plotting the graphs

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Title Contract No. KL/2012/03 Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area Graphical Presentation of Construction Noise Monitoring Results | Scale N.T.S | Project No. MA13056 | CINOTECH |
| | Date Oct 14 | Appendix G | |

APPENDIX H
SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE

Contract No. KL/2012/03

Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix H – Summary of Exceedance

Exceedance Report for Contract No. KL/2012/03

(A) Exceedance Report for Air Quality
(NIL in the reporting month)

(B) Exceedance Report for Construction Noise
(NIL in the reporting month)



(C) Exceedance Report for Landscape and Visual
(NIL in the reporting month)

APPENDIX I
SITE AUDIT SUMMARY

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141003 |
| Date | 3 October 2014 |
| Time | 13:00 – 14:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|--|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | G. Permits /Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:140925), no environmental deficiencies were observed during the site inspection. | |



| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 3 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 3 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary

Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141010 |
| Date | 10 October 2014 |
| Time | 10:00 – 11:30 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|--|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits / Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:141003), no environmental deficiencies were observed during the site inspection. | |



| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 10 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 10 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary

Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141015 |
| Date | 15 October 2014 |
| Time | 14:00 – 17:15 |


| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|------------|--|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| 141015-R01 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contractor is reminded to clear the muddy stand water at Road L19 to avoid leakage of muddy water into the manhole through the slit between sandbag bunds. | B 8 |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits / Licences | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:141010), no environmental deficiencies were observed during the site inspection. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Kenneth Yuen |  | 17 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 17 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141024 |
| Date | 24 October 2014 |
| Time | 10:00 – 11:15 |



| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|---|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | G. Permits /Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:141015), all environmental deficiencies were observed improved/rectified by the Contractor. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 24 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Ivy Tam |  | 24 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141031 |
| Date | 31 October 2014 |
| Time | 10:00 – 11:15 |



| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|---|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits /Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.:141024), no environmental deficiency was observed during the site inspection. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 31 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Ivy Tam |  | 31 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141003 |
| Date | 3 October 2014 |
| Time | 13:00 – 14:00 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|----------|--|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits / Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 140925), all environmental deficiencies were observed improved/rectified by the Contractor. | |



| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 3 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 3 October 2014 |

Contract No. KL/2012/03
Kai Tak Development - Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area
EP-344/2009 - New Sewage Pumping Stations serving Kai Tak Development

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141010 |
| Date | 10 October 2014 |
| Time | 10:00 – 11:30 |



| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|------------|---|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| 141010-R01 | • Clear the accumulation of general refuse near Box Culvert B6. | |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits /Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 141003), no environmental deficiencies were observed during the site inspection. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 10 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 10 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141015 |
| Date | 15 October 2014 |
| Time | 14:00 – 17:15 |



| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|------------|---|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| 141015-001 | • Chemical containers were placed at NPS and Box Culvert B5 without drip trays. Contractor should provide drip trays to the containers to avoid chemical leakage. | E 9 |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits / Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 141010), the environmental deficiency was observed improved/rectified by Contractor. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Kenneth Yuen |  | 17 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Dr. Priscilla Choy |  | 17 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141024 |
| Date | 24 October 2014 |
| Time | 10:00 – 11:15 |



| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|------------|--|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| 141024-R01 | • Remove the empty chemical container at near Box Culvert B5 to avoid chemical leakage. | E 2i, 9 |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | G. Permits /Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 141015), all environmental deficiencies were observed improved/rectified by the Contractor. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 24 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Ivy Tam |  | 24 October 2014 |

Weekly Site Inspection Record Summary
Inspection Information

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Checklist Reference Number | 141031 |
| Date | 31 October 2014 |
| Time | 10:00 – 11:15 |

| Ref. No. | Non-Compliance | Related Item No. |
|------------|--|------------------|
| - | None identified | - |
| Ref. No. | Remarks/Observations | Related Item No. |
| | B. Water Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | C. Air Quality | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | D. Noise | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | E. Waste / Chemical Management | |
| 141031-R01 | • To provide drip tray to chemical container in Pumping Station NPS. | E 9 |
| 141031-R02 | • To clear the oil stain on paved ground near Pumping Station NPS. | E 8 |
| | F. Visual and Landscape | |
| 141031-R03 | • To clear the construction waste and general refuse in the tree protection zone in Pumping Station PS2. | F 1 |
| | G. Permits /Licences | |
| | • No environmental deficiency was identified during site inspection. | |
| | H. Others | |
| | • Follow-up on previous audit section (Ref. No.: 141024), all environmental deficiencies were observed improved/rectified by the Contractor. | |

| | Name | Signature | Date |
|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------|
| Recorded by | Johnny Fung |  | 31 October 2014 |
| Checked by | Ivy Tam |  | 31 October 2014 |

APPENDIX J
EVENT ACTION PLANS

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Air Quality

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Action Level being exceeded by one sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contactor, IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| Action Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; 3. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 4. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 5. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within three |

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results. | 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. Conduct meeting with ET and IEC if exceedance continues. | working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals. |
| Limit Level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 3. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Arrange meeting with IEC, ER and Contractor to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 6. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep EPD, IEC and ER informed of the results; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET, ER and IEC on proper remedial actions; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Submit further remedial actions if problem still not under control; 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Construction Noise

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Action Level being exceeded | 1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) | 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) |
| Limit Level being exceeded | 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; |

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | <p>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures;</p> <p>6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required;</p> <p>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p> | <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p> | <p>measures to be implemented;</p> <p>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures;</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p> | <p>4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control;</p> <p>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p> <p>(The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)</p> |
|--|---|---|---|--|

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

Event/Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

| EVENT ACTION LEVEL | ACTION | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Design Check | 1. Check final design conforms to the requirements of EP and prepare report. | 1. Check report. 2. Recommend remedial design if necessary | 1. Undertake remedial design if necessary | |
| Non-conformity on one occasion | 1. Identify Source 2. Inform IEC and ER 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed | 1. Check report 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures. 5. Check implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement |
| Repeated Non-conformity | 1. Identify Source Inform IEC and | 1. Check monitoring report | 1. Notify Contractor 2. Ensure remedial measures are properly | 1. Amend working methods 2. Rectify damage and |

Appendix J - Event Action Plans

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| | ER 2. Increase monitoring frequency 3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor 4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 5. If non-conformity stops, cease additional monitoring | 2. Check Contractor's working method 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | implemented | undertake any necessary replacement |
|--|--|---|-------------|-------------------------------------|

**APPENDIX K
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE (EMIS)**

Appendix K - Summary of Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures for Construction Phase

| Types of Impacts | Mitigation Measures | Status |
|--------------------------|--|--------|
| Construction Dust | 8 times daily watering of the work site with active dust emitting activities. | * |
| | Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. The following mitigation measures, good site practices and a comprehensive dust monitoring and audit programme are recommended to minimize cumulative dust impacts. | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stockpiling site(s) should be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles should be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. | * |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Misting for the dusty material should be carried out before being loaded into the vehicle. | ^ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any vehicle with an open load carrying area should have properly fitted side and tail boards. | ^ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material having the potential to create dust should not be loaded from a level higher than the side and tail boards and should be dampened and covered by a clean tarpaulin. | ^ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tarpaulin should be properly secured and should extend at least 300 mm over the edges of the sides and tailboards. The material should also be dampened if necessary before transportation. | ^ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The vehicles should be restricted to maximum speed of 10 km per hour and confined haulage and delivery vehicle to designated roadways insider the site. On-site unpaved roads should be compacted and kept free of lose materials. | ^ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vehicle washing facilities should be provided at every | ^ |

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | <p>vehicle exit point.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores. • Every main haul road should be scaled with concrete and kept clear of dusty materials or sprayed with water so as to maintain the entire road surface wet. • Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides. • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction sites. | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Construction Noise | Use of quiet PME, movable barriers barrier for Asphalt Paver, Breaker, Excavator and Hand-held breaker and full enclosure for Air Compressor, Bar Bender, Concrete Pump, Generator and Water Pump | ^ |
| | Good Site Practice: | ^ |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction program. Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction program. Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible. Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between works periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs. Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. | N/A(1) |
| | Scheduling of Construction Works during School Examination Period | ^ |
| | (i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2; and | N/A |
| | (ii) Provision of structural fins | N/A |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| | (i) Avoid the sensitive façade of class room facing Road L2 and L4; and | N/A |
| | (ii) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L2 & L4 | N/A |
| | (i) Provision of low noise surfacing in a section of Road L4 before occupation of Site 1I1; and | N/A |
| | (ii) Setback of building about 5m from site boundary. | N/A |
| | Setback of building about 35m to the northwest direction at 1L3 and 5m at Site 1L2. | N/A |
| | (i) avoid any sensitive façades with openable window facing the existing Kowloon City Road network; and | N/A |
| | (ii) for the sensitive facades facing the To Kwa Wan direction, either setback the facades by about 5m to the northeast direction or do not provide the facades with openable window. | N/A |
| | (i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the existing To Kwa Wan Road or | N/A |
| | (ii) provision of 17.5m high noise tolerant building fronting To Kwa Wan Road and restrict the height of the residential block(s) located at less than 55m away from To Kwa Wan Road to no more than 25m above ground. | N/A |
| | (i) avoid any sensitive facades with openable window facing the slip road connecting Prince Edward Road East and San Po Kong or other alternative mitigation measures and at-source mitigation measures for the surrounding new local roads to minimise the potential traffic noise impacts from the slip road | N/A |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>All the ventilation fans installed in the below will be provided with silencers or acoustics treatment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) SPS (ii) ESS (iii) Tunnel Ventilation Shaft (iv) EFTS depot <p>Installation of retractable roof or other equivalent measures</p> | <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Construction Water Quality</p> | <p>The following mitigation measures are proposed to be incorporated in the design of the SPS at KTD, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dual power supply or emergency generator should be provided at all the SPSs to secure electrical power supply; • Standby pumps should be provided at all SPSs to ensure smooth operation of the SPS during maintenance of the duty pumps; • An alarm should be installed to signal emergency high water level in the wet well at all SPSs; and • For all unmanned SPSs, a remote monitor system connecting SPSs with the control station through telemetry system should be provided so that swift actions could be taken in case of malfunction of unmanned facilities. | <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| | <p><u>Land-based Construction</u></p> <p><i>Construction Runoff</i></p> <p>Exposed soil areas should be minimised to reduce the potential for increased siltation, contamination of runoff, and erosion. Construction runoff related impacts associated with the above ground construction activities can be readily controlled through the use of appropriate mitigation measures which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use of sediment traps • adequate maintenance of drainage systems to prevent flooding and overflow <p>Construction site should be provided with adequately designed perimeter channel and pre-treatment facilities and proper maintenance. The boundaries of critical areas of earthworks should be marked and surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate runoff discharge into the appropriate watercourses, via a silt retention pond. Permanent drainage channels should incorporate sediment basins or traps and baffles to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94.</p> | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|----------------------------|

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | <p>Ideally, construction works should be programmed to minimise surface excavation works during the rainy season (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means.</p> <p>Sediment tanks of sufficient capacity, constructed from pre-formed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m³ capacity, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for settling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity is flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and particularly suited to applications where the influent is pumped.</p> <p>Open stockpiles of construction materials (for examples, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50 m³ should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</p> <p>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers.</p> | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| | <p>Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecast, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events.</p> <p>Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system and regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oils and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillages. The interceptor should have a bypass to prevent flushing during periods of heavy rain.</p> <p>All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and located wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit, and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</p> <p><i>Drainage</i></p> <p>It is recommended that on-site drainage system should be installed prior to the commencement of other construction activities. Sediment traps should be installed in order to minimise the sediment loading of the effluent prior to discharge into foul sewers. There should be no direct discharge of effluent from the site into the sea.</p> | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|

| | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | <p>All temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts provided to facilitate runoff discharge should be adequately designed for the controlled release of storm flows. All sediment control measures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rain storms. The temporarily diverted drainage should be reinstated to its original condition when the construction work has finished or the temporary diversion is no longer required.</p> <p>All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be located on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank, to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching the coastal waters of the Victoria Harbour WCZ.</p> <p><i>Sewage Effluent</i></p> <p>Construction work force sewage discharges on site are expected to be connected to the existing trunk sewer or sewage treatment facilities. The construction sewage may need to be handled by portable chemical toilets prior to the commission of the on-site sewer system. Appropriate numbers of portable toilets should be provided by a licensed contractor to serve the large number of construction workers over the construction site. The Contractor should also be responsible for waste disposal and maintenance practices.</p> <p><i>Stormwater Discharges</i></p> <p>Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the existing or planned stormwater discharges and the existing or planned seawater intakes</p> | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>N/A</p> |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p><i>Debris and Litter</i></p> <p>In order to maintain water quality in acceptable conditions with regard to aesthetic quality, contractors should be required, under conditions of contract, to ensure that site management is optimised and that disposal of any solid materials, litter or wastes to marine waters does not occur</p> <p><i>Construction Works at or in Close Proximity of Storm Culvert or Seafront</i></p> <p>The proposed works should preferably be carried out within the dry season where the flow in the drainage channel /storm culvert/ nullah is low.</p> <p>The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified to reduce the disturbance to the bottom sediment at the drainage channel /storm culvert / nullah.</p> <p>Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, filling materials, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works.</p> <p>Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses.</p> <p>Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers.</p> <hr/> <p>Construction activities, which generate large amount of wastewater, should be carried out in a distance away from the waterfront, where practicable.</p> | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>Mitigation measures to control site runoff from entering the nearby water environment should be implemented to minimize water quality impacts. Surface channels should be provided along the edge of the waterfront within the work sites to intercept the runoff.</p> <p>Construction effluent, site run-off and sewage should be properly collected and/or treated.</p> <p>Any works site inside the storm water courses should be temporarily isolated, such as by placing of sandbags or silt curtains with lead edge at bottom and properly supported props to prevent adverse impact on the storm water quality.</p> <p>Silt curtain may be installed around the construction activities at the seafront to minimize the potential impacts due to accidental spillage of construction materials.</p> <p>Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil/mud from slipping into the storm culvert/drainage channel/sea.</p> <p>Supervisory staff should be assigned to station on site to closely supervise and monitor the works</p> <p>Marine water quality monitoring and audit programme shall be implemented for the proposed sediment treatment operation.</p> | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>Good Site Practices</p> <p>It is not anticipated that adverse waste management related impacts would arise, provided that good site practices are adhered to. Recommendations for good site practices during construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures • Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal • Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed of (including the disposal sites) | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>Waste Reduction Measures</p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sort C&D waste from demolition of the remaining structures to recover recyclable portions such as metals • Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal • Encourage collection of aluminium cans, PET bottles and paper by providing separate labelled bins to enable these wastes to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force • Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be recycled • Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | <p>Construction and Demolition Material</p> <p>Mitigation measures and good site practices should be incorporated into contract document to control potential environmental impact from handling and transportation of C&D material. The mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where it is unavoidable to have transient stockpiles of C&D material within the Project work site pending collection for disposal, the transient stockpiles should be located away from waterfront or storm drains as far as possible • Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric • Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting • Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving a construction site • The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores • The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure dust materials do not leak from the vehicle • All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet • The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from unloading | <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|--|---|

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| | <p>When delivering inert C&D material to public fill reception facilities, the material should consist entirely of inert construction waste and of size less than 250mm or other sizes as agreed with the Secretary of the Public Fill Committee. In order to monitor the disposal of the surplus C&D material at the designed public fill reception facility and to control fly tipping, a trip-ticket system as stipulated in the ETWB TCW No. 31/2004 "Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction and Demolition Materials" should be included as one of the contractual requirements and implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. An Independent Environmental Checker should be responsible for auditing the results of the system.</p> <p>Chemical Waste</p> <p>After use, chemical wastes (for example, cleaning fluids, solvents, lubrication oil and fuel) should be handled according to the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Spent chemicals should be collected by a licensed collector for disposal at the CWTF or other licensed facility, in accordance with the <i>Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation</i></p> <p>General Refuse</p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D material. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D material. Effective collection and storage methods (including enclosed and covered area) of site wastes would be required to prevent waste materials from being blown around by wind, wastewater discharge by flushing or leaching into the marine environment, or creating odour nuisance or pest and vermin problem</p> | <p>^</p> <p>*</p> <p>^</p> |
|--|---|----------------------------|

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----|
| Landscape and Visual | CM1 All existing trees should be carefully protected during construction. | * |
| | CM2 Trees unavoidably affected by the works should be transplanted where practical. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWBC 2/2004 and 3/2006. Final locations of transplanted trees should be agreed prior to commencement of the work. | N/A |
| | CM3 Control of night-time lighting. | ^ |
| | CM4 Erection of decorative screen hoarding. | ^ |

| | | |
|----------|---|--|
| Remarks: | ^ Compliance of mitigation measure; | X Non-compliance of mitigation measure; |
| | N/A Not Applicable at this stage; N/A(1) Not observed; | •Non-compliance but rectified by the contractor; |
| | * Recommendation was made during site audit but improved/rectified by the contractor. | |

**APPENDIX L
SUMMARIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL
COMPLAINT, WARNING, SUMMON
AND NOTIFICATION OF SUCCESSFUL
PROSECUTION**

Contract No. KL/2012/03

Kai Tak Development –Stage 4 Infrastructure at Former North Apron Area

Appendix L – Summary of environmental complaint, warning, summon and notification of successful prosecution

Reporting Month: October 2014

Contract No. KL/2012/03

| Log Ref. | Location | Received Date | Details of Complaint/warning/summon and prosecution | Investigation/Mitigation Action | Status |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|---------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Remarks: No environmental complaint/warning/summon and prosecution were received in the reporting period.

APPENDIX M
WASTE GENERATED QUANTITY

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

(PS Clause 1.86)


Name of Department: CEDD


Contract No. : KL/2012/03


Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for September 2014(year) (in tons)


| Month | Total Disposal | Total Quantity | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| | Loads | Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemicals Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (No.s) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) | (in tons) |
| Oct 2013 | 6 | 22.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.19 |
| Nov 2013 | 83 | 353.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353.51 |
| Dec 2013 | 19 | 87.99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87.99 |
| Sub-Total | 108 | 463.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 463.69 |
| Jan 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106.65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63.57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr 2014 | 2 | 4.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.36 |
| May 2014 | 2 | 9.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.75 |
| June 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July 2014 | 6 | 56.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug 2014 | 3 | 27.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct 2014 | 4 | 11.91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.91 |
| Sub-Total | 17 | 109.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83.66 | 254.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.02 |
| Total | 125 | 573.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83.66 | 254.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 489.71 |

APPENDIX N
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME











| | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Critical tasks | Non-critical tasks | Working days |
| Commencement Date: 19 September 2013 Completion Date: 5 May 2016 | | | |





| | |
|--------------------|---|
| | Critical tasks Working days |
| Commencement Date: | |
| Completion Date: | |