MTR Corporation Limited

ROAD WORKS at WEST KOWLOON (No. EP-366/2009)

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 05 (November 2011)

Verified by	: Lotten	
Position	: Independent Environmental Checker	
Date	: 13 December 2011	

MTR Corporation Limited

Road Works at West Kowloon (Environmental Permit No. EP-366/2009)


Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 05 (November 2011)

Certified by: Position: Date:

Environmental Team Leader



ROADWORKS AT WEST KOWLOON



Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report 05 November 2011

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the 5th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 to 30 November 2011 for the Road Works at West Kowloon (hereinafter referred to "the Roadworks" or "the Project") in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP-366/2009.

Air Quality

Air quality monitoring was conducted for 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) at three (3) air quality monitoring locations in the vicinity of Works Area in West Kowloon in the reporting month.

Please refer to the section "Environmental Complaints/Exceedance/Non-compliance/ Summons and Prosecution" below for the exceedance in air quality in the reporting month.

Airborne Noise

Airborne noise was measured in terms of $L_{eq(30min)}dB(A)$ with L_{10} and L_{90} measurements as reference at four (4) noise monitoring locations in the vicinity of Works Area in West Kowloon in the interval of once every week.

Please refer to the section "Environmental Complaints/Exceedance/Non-compliance/ Summons and Prosecution" below for the noise exceedance in the reporting month.

Environmental Audits

In this reporting month, regular site inspections attended by representative from MTRCL and Contractors were carried out at 810B in West Kowloon. In addition to the regular site inspections, IEC environmental audits attended by IEC, MTRCL and Contractors were held on monthly basis. Issues observed during these audits are detailed in Section 6.

Environmental Complaints / Exceedance / Non-compliance / Summons and Prosecution

For the reporting month, there was no environmental complaint referred from EPD. However if environmental complaint received and confirmed due to the works on site, complaint investigation would be conducted in accordance with the complaint handling procedure in the EM&A Manual. Details of complaints are contained in Section 7. For the reporting month, noise exceedance of air-borne noise Limit Level was recorded at The Waterfront (CNM-3). There was no noise exceedances of Action Level triggered due to no complaint during daytime hours in the reporting month.

No exceedances of 24-hour TSP Action and Limit Level were recorded during the reporting month.

Actions stipulated under the Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise (Table 2.3 of the EM&A Manual) and for Construction Air Quality (Table 3.3 of the EM&A Manual) would be implemented if exceedance was recorded, including carrying out investigation to identify the dust/noise source and the Contractor should implement further mitigation measures accordingly to minimize the dust/noise impacts.

No non-compliance event was recorded during the reporting period. In the reporting period, no warning or summons was received by MTRCL and the Contractors 810B.

Works for Coming Month

The construction works were continued in the reporting month of November 2011 and the major works were summarized in Table 8-1. Impact monitoring has been continued in the reporting month accordingly with reference to the EM&A Manual.

Further Environmental Key Issues

Air quality impact, airborne noise at the affected sensitive receivers shall continue in the following month. Considering the nature of construction activities, key environmental issues in the coming months include the followings:

- Disposal of C&D waste;
- Dust generation from site activities;
- Noise impact from operating equipment;
- Site water discharge; and
- Chemical wastes.

Reporting Changes

In the reporting period, there were no reporting changes.

Table of Contents

XECUTIVE SUMMARY1	EX
INTRODUCTION4	1.
PROJECT INFORMATION5	2.
ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS6	3.
SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENT8	4.
MONITORING RESULT12	5.
SITE INSPECTION14	6.
NON-COMPLIANCE AND DEFICIENCY15	7.
FUTURE KEY ISSUES17	8.
CONCLUSIONS	9.

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Works Area
Appendix B	Project Management Organization and Contacts of Key Personnel
Appendix C	Implementation Status
Appendix D	Monitoring Locations
Appendix E	Monitoring Schedule
Appendix F	Graphical Plots of Monitoring Results
Appendix G	Calibration Certificates of HVS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

In April 2008, the Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) requested MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) to proceed with further planning and design of the Hong Kong section of the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link, which runs from the West Kowloon Terminus (WKT) to the boundary at Huanggang.

Upon the opening of the WKT of Express Rail Link (XRL) and the development of the West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD), additional road traffic capacity and network restructuring would be required through and within the West Kowloon Reclamation Area (WKRA). Roads namely D1A, D1, Lin Cheung Road – Austin Road West Underpass and upgrading of Austin Road West would be used to accommodate the anticipated increase in road traffic.

1.2 Coverage

This is the 5th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presenting the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 to 30 November 2011 for the Roadworks in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under Environmental Permit No. EP-366/2009.

2. **PROJECT INFORMATION**

2.1 Project Management Organisation and Management Structure

The project management organisation chart and contact of key personnel are shown in Appendix B.

2.2 Construction Activities

This report marked the 5th month of civil construction in Works Area in West Kowloon for November 2011. It is anticipated that the civil construction be completed in year 2014. The updated construction activity is provided in Section 8. Major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month is summarized in the following table.

Contract	Major Construction Activities	
810B	Bore piling, Sheet piling, Drainage Work and Road Diversion	

Table 2-1Major construction activities in November 2011

3. ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

3.1 Status of Implementation of mitigation measures

Environmental mitigation measures recommended in the EIA report were implemented and their implementation status is summarized in Appendix C.

3.2 Status of Submissions under EP

A summary of the submissions submitted under the EP for this Project as at 30 November 2011 is presented in Table 3-1 below:

EP-366/2009 Clause No.	Document Title		e	Status
3.4	Monthly Monitoring Report (Octo	and	Audit	Submitted on 14 November 2011.

 Table 3-1
 Summary of the status of submissions submitted under the EP in the reporting month

3.3 Status of Permit/License/Notifications

A summary of the status of permits, licences and notifications on environmental protection made, applied or approved under this Project during the previous and reporting month is presented in Table 3-2 below. The Environmental Permit No. EP-366/2009 issued by EPD was used for the Roadworks under the XRL project.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 05

Item	Item Description	Application Date	Permit Status
Contra	ct 810B		
1	Construction Noise Permit	28 Sept 2011	Granted on 21 Oct 2011 Permit No. GW-RE0786-11, valid from 25/10/2011 to 16/04/2012
2	Construction Noise Permit	11 Aug 2011	Granted on 26 Aug 2011 Permit No. GW-RE0622-11, valid from 29/08/2011 to 28/02/2012
3	Dumping Permit for Type 1 marine sediment	29 Aug 2011	Granted on 26 Sept 2011 Permit No. EP/MD/12-061, valid from 28/09/2011 to 27/03/2012
4	Dumping Permit for Type 2 marine sediment	27 Oct 2011	Granted on 23 Nov 2011 Permit No. EP/MD/12-095, valid from 23/11/2011 to 22/12/2011
5	Dumping Permit for Type 2 marine sediment	29 Sept 2011	Granted on 13 Oct 2011 Permit No. EP/MD/12-079, valid from 16/10/2011 to 15/11/2011
6	Dumping Permit for Type 3 marine sediment	9 Jun 2011	Granted on 18 Oct 2011 Permit No. EP/MD/12-027, valid from 01/12/2011 to 31/12/2011

 Table 3-2
 Summary of the status of permits, licences and notifications made, applied and approved under this Project during the previous and reporting month

4. SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENT

4.1 Air Quality

4.1.1 Air Quality Parameters

In accordance to the EM&A Manual, 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels were measured at three (3) air monitoring locations in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Monitoring was undertaken at each monitoring location once per every 6 days. Information such as date of monitoring, duration, weather condition, equipment used and monitoring results shall be recorded on the field data sheet developed for the Project. Monitoring results are summarized in Section 5.

4.1.2 Monitoring Methodology and Calibration

Monitoring was undertaken to establish for 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) at three (3) monitoring locations in the vicinity of the Works Area in West Kowloon. Monitoring of 24-hour TSP was carried out using a high volume sampler (HVS) according to Part 50 Chapter 1 Appendix B, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations of the USEPA.

The sampling procedure follows to that described Part 50 Chapter 1 Appendix B, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations of the USEPA. TSP is sampled by drawing air through a conditioned, pre-weighed filter paper inside the high volume sampler at a controlled rate. After 24-hour sampling the filter paper with retained particles shall be collected and returned to HOKLAS accredited laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) for drying in a desiccators followed by accurate weighing. TSP levels are calculated from the ratio of the mass of particulate retained on the filter paper to the total volume of air sampled.

The flow rate of the high volume sampler with mass flow controller was calibrated using an orifice calibrator. Initial calibration (five points) was conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Calibration was carried out every six months. The detail of calibration is shown in Table 4-1 below and Appendix G. The samplers shall be properly maintained. Prior to dust monitoring commencing, appropriate checks shall be made to ensure that all equipment and necessary power supply are in good working condition.

Monitoring Station ID	Air Quality Monitoring Station	HVS Serial Number	Last Calibration Date
CAM-1	Podium between Sorrento and The Waterfront	515	23 November 2011
CAM-2	Podium next to Tower 3, The Waterfront	1282	23 November 2011
CAM-3	Roof of Lift Building, The Victoria Towers	528	23 November 2011

Table 4-1	Calibration details of HVS
I GOIC I I	cultoriation actually of 11 + 5

4.1.3 Monitoring Location

According to the EM&A Manual, air quality monitoring was carried out at the locations as shown in Table 4-1 above. The monitoring locations are illustrated in Appendix D.

4.1.4 Action and Limit Levels

With reference to the baseline monitoring results, the Action and Limit Levels for the 24-hour TSP monitoring derived are shown in Table 4-2. For reference purpose, the Action and Limit Levels for 1-hr TSP monitoring are included, too.

Monitoring	1-hour TSP Level in µg/m ³		24-hour TSP Level in μg/m ³	
Station ID	Action Level	Limit Level	Action Level	Limit Level
CAM-1	298.4	500	168.8	260
CAM-2	295.6	500	155.9	260
CAM-3	319.4	500	179.3	260

Table 4-2Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

4.2 Air-borne Noise

4.2.1 Noise Parameters

In accordance to the EM&A Manual, construction noise monitoring shall be conducted to obtain one set of 30-minute measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays at a frequency of once per week when construction activities are underway. The L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were also recorded at the specified interval.

4.2.2 Monitoring Methodology and Calibration

As referred to the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring.

Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter should be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the difference between calibration levels obtained before and after the noise measurement is less than 1.0 dB.

The sound level meters and calibrator are verified by the certified laboratory or manufacturer at a regular interval to ensure they perform to the same level of accuracy as stated in the manufacturer's specifications. Summary of the calibration record is shown in Table 4-4.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report No. 05

Monitoring Station ID			Last Calibration Date		
Sound Level Met	ers				
CNM-1 Man Cheong Street Refuse Station		2701816	30 December 2010		
CNM-2	Tower 6, Sorrento	2701826	17 January 2011		
CNM-3	CNM-3 Podium next to Tower 3, The Waterfront		30 December 2010		
CNM-4 Tower 2, The Harbour Side		2701886	26 May 2011		
Calibrator					
Serial Number		Last Calibration Date			
N491111		8 December 2010			

Notes: 1. Façade correction of +3dB(A) would be added to the results taken at CNM-3 due to free-field noise measurements.

2. Next calibration date to be confirmed.

Table 4-4 Calibration details of noise monitoring equipments

4.2.3 Monitoring Location

According to the EM&A Manual, noise monitoring was carried out at the locations as shown in Table 4-4 above. The monitoring locations are illustrated in Appendix D.

4.2.4 Action and Limit Levels

The Action and Limit Levels for the construction noise are shown in Table 4-5 below.

Time Period	Action	Limit
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one documented complaint is received	75 dB(A) for residential premises
	complaint is received	70 dB(A) for school and 65 dB(A) during examination period

 Table 4-5
 Action and Limit Levels for Airborne Construction Noise

5. MONITORING RESULT

5.1 Air Quality

The monitoring schedule is shown in Appendix E. Results of 24-hour TSP level and the graphical presentation of monitoring results are shown in Appendix F.

In the reporting month, no exceedance of 24-hr TSP Action and Limit Level was recorded. Actions stipulated under the Event and Action Plan (Table 3.3 of the EM&A Manual) was implemented for all exceedances and monitoring frequency was increased if exceedance was recorded.

5.2 Noise

The monitoring schedule is shown in Appendix E. Results of measured noise level, in terms of $L_{eq(30min)}$ and graphical presentations are presented in Appendix F.

In the reporting month, noise exceedance of air-borne noise Limit Level was recorded at The Waterfront (CNM-3) on 7 November 2011.

For the noise exceedances at CNM-3, actions identified in the Event and Action Plan (Table 2.3 of the EM&A Manual) were undertaken. The ER, IEC and Contractor were informed of the exceedances. The investigation result revealed that noise source may possibly due to works by 810B Contractor. Additional noise mitigation measures proposed by the Contractor were reviewed by IEC and ET and implemented on site to minimize the noise impact. Besides, the Contractor was reminded to enhance the noise mitigation measures to comply with the statutory requirement and minimize noise nuisance to the nearby NSRs.

In addition, there was no noise exceedance of Action Level triggered due to no noise complaint during daytime hours received in the reporting month. Please refer to Section 7 for details of complaint.

5.3 Waste Management

The quantities of waste disposed from the Project in the reporting month with the previous 2 months was summarized in the following table:

Reporting Month	Inert C&D ^[1] Materials (tonnes)	Non-inert C&D ^[2] Materials (tonnes)	Chemical Waste (Litre)		
Contract 810B ^[3]					
September 2011	60.8	18.1	120		
October 2011	3,708	20.6	200		
November 2011	942	20.3	0		

 Table 5-1
 Summary of construction waste generated and disposed

Note:

- [1]. Inert C&D materials include bricks, concrete, building debris, rubble and excavated soil.
- [2]. Non-inert C&D materials include steel, paper / cardboard packaging waste, plastics and other wastes such as general refuse.
- [3]. Alternative disposal sites for inert C&D Material from 810B include Central-Wan Chai Bypass (Typhoon Shelter and HKCEC) and Zhongshan Torch Hi-Tech Zone.

6. SITE INSPECTION

Regular site inspections on all environmental aspects under the EM&A Manual were attended by representatives from ET and Contractors. The site inspections were carried out at 810B in West Kowloon and dates are shown in the following table. In addition to the regular site inspections attended by ET and Contractors, monthly IEC environmental audits attended by IEC, ET and Contractors were held on 9 November 2011 in 810B.

Contract	Date of Site Inspections
810B	2/11, 9/11, 16/11, 23/11 and 30/11

Table 6-1Date of site inspections

All observations have been recorded in the audit checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary. The key observations from these site inspections and Contractor's follow-up action are summarized in Table 6-2 below. No non-compliance was observed.

Item	Description	Contractor's Follow-up Action(s) Undertaken
Contra	act 810B	
1	The environmental permit (EP) for Road Works was observed not posted at the site gate no. WKT 1 during the inspection.	The relevant EP has been posted on the concerned entrance and the condition of permits display at other site entrances has been checked simultaneously to confirm the compliance.
2	The water spraying facilities at the major excavation zone and the stockpile area were insufficient since dusty erosion was observed during the inspection.	Ware sprinklers were added at the stockpile area and water hose has been installed at each excavation pointy to enhance the dust control measures on site.

 Table 6-2
 Summary of site inspections, recommendations and follow-up actions

7. NON-COMPLIANCE AND DEFICIENCY

7.1 Summary of Complaint

For this reporting month, there was no environmental complaint was referred from EPD. The total number of environmental complaints was zero counted from the commencement of the construction. The complaint would be handled in accordance to the EM&A Manual and relevant parties including the Engineer's Representative and IEC will be informed when complaint is received.

7.2 Summary of Exceedance

In the reporting month, noise exceedance of air-borne noise Limit Level was recorded at The Waterfront (CNM-3) on 7 November 2011.

For the noise exceedance at CNM-3, actions identified in the Event and Action Plan (Table 2.3 of the EM&A Manual) were undertaken. The ER, IEC and Contractors were informed of the exceedance. Upon investigation, the exceedance might possible be due to construction works by the Contractors of 810B. Additional noise mitigation measures proposed by the Contractor were reviewed by IEC and ET and implemented on site to minimize the noise impact. Besides, the Contractor was reminded to enhance the noise mitigation measures to comply with the statutory requirement and minimize noise nuisance to the nearby NSRs.

In addition, there was no noise exceedance of Action Level triggered due to no noise complaint during daytime hours received in the reporting month. Please refer to Section 7.1 above for details.

In the reporting month, no exceedance of 24-hr TSP Action Level was recorded. Actions stipulated under the Event and Action Plan (Table 3.3 of the EM&A Manual) would be implemented for the exceedance and monitoring frequency was increased if applicable.

7.3 Summary of Notification of Summons, Prosecutions, Non-compliance and Corrective Actions

In the reporting period, no environmental related warnings, summons and non-compliance was received by MTRCL and the Contractor of 810B.

8. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

8.1 Construction Works in Coming Months

Works to be undertaken for the following months are summarized below. The works presented below is tentative and subject to change in actual construction programme.

Contract	Major Construction Activities
810B	Bore piling, Sheet piling, Drainage Work and Road Diversion

Table 8-1Summary of construction works in the coming months (i.e. December 2011)

According to the latest programme, civil construction would be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring would be continued according to the construction programme.

8.2 Monitoring Schedule for Next Month

The tentative schedule of TSP and noise monitoring for the next reporting period is presented in Appendix E.

9. CONCLUSIONS

The Report presents the results of EM&A works and the impact monitoring for the construction works of the Roadworks under the XRL project undertaken during the period of 1 to 30 November 2011. The major construction activities in the reporting period included foundation works in the West Kowloon Works Areas.

Impact monitoring for air quality and noise were conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual in the reporting period. There was no Action Level exceedance in airborne noise monitoring in the reporting month. Exceedances of Limit Level in airborne noise monitoring were recorded at The Waterfront (CNM-3).


No exceedance of 24-hour TSP Action and Limit Level were recorded in the reporting month.

No complaints, warning, summons and non-compliance was received for the Roadworks in the reporting month. If complaint was received, it should be handled in accordance with the procedures stipulated in the EM&A Manual and investigation would be carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual.

Site inspections were conducted regularly to monitor proper implementation of environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. The ET would continue the implementation of the environmental monitoring and audit programme in accordance to the EM&A Manual and to a level consistent with MTRCL's Corporate Sustainability Policy.

Appendix A

Works Area



WORKS AREA for ROAD WORKS

Appendix B

Project Management Organization and Contacts of Key Personnel

Title	Telephone		
Engineer's Representative			
Construction Manager	Mr. KS Lim	2926 9098	
(Contract 810B)			
Senior Construction Engineer	Mr. Alex Ma	2926 9169	
(Contract 810B)		2720 7107	
Independent Environmental Ch	necker		
Divisional Manager	Dr. Anne Kerr	2828 5793	
Environmental Team			
Environmental Team Leader *	Mr. Richard Kwan	2688 1179	
Contractor			
Contract 810B			
Project Director	Mr. Smollett LEE	6629 4441	
Environmental Manger	Mr. Calvin SZE	9205 9277	

Notes: * Mr. Kwan has acted as the Environmental Team Leader start from 1 October 2011.

Appendix C

Implementation Status

Appendix C IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF THE RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES FOR CONSTRUCTION PHASE

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
Noise Con	trol	· · ·		•	
3.53 – 3.54	The following quiet PME should be used: • Pneumatic breaker	To reduce the construction air- borne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Planned to implement.
	(SWL=110dB(A))				
	Tracked Excavator Fitted with Hydraulic Breaker (SWL=110dB(A))				
	 Truck Mixer (SWL=100dB(A)) 				
	 Tracked Crane (SWL=101dB(A)) 				
	 Dump Truck (SWL=103dB(A)) 				
	 Tracked Excavator/Loader (SWL=105dB(A)) 				
	 Dozer (SWL=111dB(A)) 				
	 Road Roller (SWL=101dB(A)) 				
3.55	Use of movable noise barriers, acoustic mats and acoustic sheds for excavator, hand- held pneumatic chipper and etc.	To reduce the construction air- borne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Movable noise barriers have been made and placed at the excavation zone or the works areas that will generate noise nuisance.

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implen	nenta	ition S	itatus
3.57					Implemented programme.	as	per	construction
	 Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; 							
	 Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and 							
	Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in							

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Impleme	ntation S	Status
3.57	screening noise from on- site construction activities	To reduce the construction air- borne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented a programme.	s per	construction
Air Quality	Control						
Table 4.6	The excavation and sandfill areas limited to 30% actively operating and complete watering coverage of these active areas eight times a day.	To reduce the construction air- borne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented a programme.	s per	construction
4.77	 Implementation of dust suppression measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation. Skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting. Every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels before leaving the construction site. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the section of the road between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcore. 	To reduce the construction airborne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.		

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
4.77	• Where a site boundary adjoins a road, streets or other accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length except for a site entrance or exit.	To reduce the construction air- borne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	• Every stack of more than 20 bags of cement should be placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides and be covered entirely by impervious sheeting.				
	• All dusty materials should be sprayed with water prior to any loading, unloading or transfer operation so as to maintain the dusty materials wet.				
	• The height from which excavated materials are dropped should be controlled to a minimum practical height to limit fugitive dust generation from falling and landing.				
	• The load of dusty materials carried by vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to				

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
4.77	 ensure dust materials do not spread from the vehicle. Investigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise. 	To reduce the construction airborne noise impact.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
Water Qua	lity Control				
5.30 -5.42	 General Construction Activities and Construction site run-off:: The mitigation measures as outlined in the ProPECC PN 1/94 Construction Site Drainage should be adopted where applicable. 	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
5.43	 Effluent Discharge There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality should meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. Minimum distances of 100 m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site 	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
5.43	effluent and the existing seawater intakes. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO licence which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of EPD.	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
5.44	 Groundwater No contaminated groundwater is anticipated in the works areas. Appropriate measures will be deployed to minimize the intrusion of groundwater into excavation works areas. In case seepage of uncontaminated groundwater occurs, groundwater should be pumped out from the works areas and discharged into the storm system via silt removal facilities. Ground water from dewatering process should also be discharged into the storm system via silt traps. 	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	To be implemented as per construction programme.

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
5.45 -5.47	 Accidental Spillage Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. 	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	 Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. 				

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
5.45 -5.47	 The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows: ➤ Suitable containers 	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport.				
	Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents.				
	Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area.				
5.48 -5.49	 Sewage Effluent from Construction Workforce Sufficient chemical toilets should be provided in the works areas. A licensed 	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
5.48 -5.49	waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis.	To control water quality impact from construction site runoff and general construction activities.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	 Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment. Regular environmental audit of the construction site will provide an effective control of any malpractices and can encourage continual improvement of environmental performance on site. It is anticipated that sewage generation during the construction phase of the project would not cause water pollution problem after undertaking all required measures. 				
Waste Man	agement				
6.47	All waste materials should be segregated into categories covering:	To implement on-site sorting facilitating reuse and recycling of materials as well as proper disposal of waste.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	 Excavated materials suitable for reuse; 				
	 Inert C&D materials for disposal off-site; 				

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
6.47	 Non-inert C&D materials for disposal at landfills; Chemical waste; and General refuse. 	To implement on-site sorting facilitating reuse and recycling of materials as well as proper disposal of waste.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.50	 Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include: Training of site personnel in, site cleanliness, proper 	 facilitating reuse and recycling of materials as well as proper disposal of waste. 	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	waste management and chemical handling procedures;				
	 Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste; 				
	 Appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; 				
	Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; and				
	• Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment.				

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
6.51	 Recommendations for waste reduction measures include: Sorting of demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/ recyclable portions (i.e. soil, broken concrete, metal etc.); Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled 	To implement on-site sorting facilitating reuse and recycling of materials as well as proper disposal of waste.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	To be implemented as per construction programme.
	 bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the workforce; Proper storage and site 				
	practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials;				
	 Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary 				

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status	
6.51	 generation of waste; and Training should be provided to workers about the concepts of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycle. 	To implement on-site sorting facilitating reuse and recycling of materials as well as proper disposal of waste.	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	To be implemented as per construction programme.	
6.52	The Contractor should prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan (WMP) as a part of the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 which describes the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from the construction activities.	To keep trace of the generation, minimization, reuse and disposal of C&D materials in the Project	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented as per construction programme.	
6.58	Wheel wash facilities have to be provided before the trucks leave the works area. This can reduce the introduction of dust to the public road network.	To minimise the dust impact	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.	
6.60	The waste delivered to landfill should not contain any free water or have water content more than 70% by weight. Concerning the requirement on the truck load of waste to	To meet the requirement for disposal at landfill	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.	

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
6.60	landfill, the haulier must ensure suitable amount of waste would be loaded on different types of trucks used.	To meet the requirement for disposal at landfill	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.56	In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials and to control fly-tipping at PFRFs or landfills, a trip-ticket system should be established in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 31/2004. A recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed, including the disposal sites, should also be set up. Warning signs should be put up and close-circuited television should be installed at the vehicular accesses to remind the designated disposal sites and prevent fly-tipping.	To monitor disposal of waste and control fly-tipping	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.59	Wet spoil generated from the construction of pipe pile and diaphragm wall should be treated before disposal at PFRFs. With the agreement from Fill Management Department (FMD) of CEDD, wet spoil would be mixed with dry materials to reduce water content to less than 25% dry density before disposal, which reduce the impacts to the reception facilities.	To meet the requirement for disposal at landfill	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.61	If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be	To properly store the chemical waste within works areas	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.


EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
6.61	required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.	To properly store the chemical waste within works areas	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.64	A trip-ticket system should be operated in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation to monitor all movements of chemical waste. The Contractor should employ a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the approved CWTC at Tsing Yi, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	To monitor the generation, reuse and disposal of chemical waste	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.65	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D materials and chemical waste. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D materials and chemical wastes. Preferably, an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of wind blown light material.	To properly store and separate from other C&D materials for subsequent collection and disposal	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.


EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
6.66	The recyclable component of general refuse, such as aluminium cans, paper and cleansed plastic containers should be separated from other waste. Provision and collection of recycling bins for different types of recyclable waste should be set up by the Contractor. The Contractor should also be responsible for arranging recycling companies to collect these materials. The non-recyclable components should be collected by licensed collectors employed by the Contractor on daily basis to avoid any adverse impacts on storage of refuse, which would be disposed of at designated landfills.	To facilitate recycling of recyclable portions of refuse	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
6.67	The Contractor should carry out an education programme for workers in avoiding, reducing, reusing and recycling of materials generation. Posters and leaflets advising on the use of the bins should also be provided in the sites as reminders.	To raise workers' awareness on recycling issue	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
Landscape	e and Visual Management				
Table 7.4	• Topsoil, where identified, should be stripped and stored for re-use in the construction of the soft landscape works.	To minimize landscape and visual impacts during construction phase	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.

EIA Ref [#]	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address	Who to implement the measures?	When to implement the measures?	Implementation Status
Table 7.4	• Existing trees to be retained on site should be carefully protected during construction.	To minimize landscape and visual impacts during construction phase	Contractor	Throughout the whole construction phase	Implemented.
	 Tree unavoidably to be affected by the works should be considered for transplanting in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 3/2006 - Tree Preservation and maintained until end of the establishment period. Detailed tree transplanting proposal should be submitted to seek relevant government department's approval in detailed design stage. 				
	Compensatory tree planting provided to compensate for felled trees.and maintained until end of the establishment period.				
	Control of night-time lighting glare				
	Erection of decorative screen hoarding compatible with the surrounding setting.				

Appendix D

Monitoring Locations





Appendix E

Monitoring Schedule

Actual Construction Dust (24-hr TSP) and Air-borne Noise Impact Monitoring Schedule - November 2011

Notes: **TSP** denotes Total Suspended Particulates

Nov-2011						
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1	2	3	4	5
		CNM-1, CNM-2 CNM-3, CNM-4			CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	CNM-3, CNM-4			CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3	CNM-1, CNM-2	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	CNM-1, CNM-2 CNM-3		CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3		CNM-4	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	CNM-3, CNM-4	CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3 CNM-1, CNM-2				
27	28	29	30			
	CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3 CNM-3, CNM-4	CNM-1, CNM-2				

Tentative Construction Dust (24-hr TSP) and Air-borne Noise Impact Monitoring Schedule - December 2011

Notes: **TSP** denotes Total Suspended Particulates

	Dec-2011					
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
				1	2	3
						CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	CNM-1, CNM-2 CNM-3, CNM-4				CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3	
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
	CNM-1, CNM-2 CNM-3, CNM-4			CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3		
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	CNM-1, CNM-2 CNM-3, CNM-4	CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3				CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3
25	26	27	28	29	30	31
			CNM-1, CNM-2 CNM-3, CNM-4		CAM-1, CAM-2, CAM-3	

Appendix F

Graphical Plots of Monitoring Results

APPENDIX F: Air Quality Monitoring Results - 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- CAM-1

Date	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Action Level	Limit Level
	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
04-Nov-11	101.7	168.8	260.0
10-Nov-11	63.5	168.8	260.0
16-Nov-11	45.6	168.8	260.0
22-Nov-11	35.5	168.8	260.0
28-Nov-11	53.2	168.8	260.0


- CAM-3

Date	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Action Level	Limit Level
	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
04-Nov-11	107.6	179.3	260.0
10-Nov-11	74.1	179.3	260.0
16-Nov-11	69.1	179.3	260.0
22-Nov-11	43.2	179.3	260.0
28-Nov-11	55.9	179.3	260.0

Remark: Bold value indicated an Action level exceedance Bold & Italic value indicated an Limit level exceedance

- CAM-2

Date	24-hour TSP Monitoring Results	Action Level	Limit Level
	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$
04-Nov-11	96.7	155.9	260.0
10-Nov-11	59.5	155.9	260.0
16-Nov-11	51.0	155.9	260.0
22-Nov-11	40.2	155.9	260.0
28-Nov-11	61.4	168.8	260.0



APPENDIX F: Noise Monitoring Results

- CNM-1

Date	Noise Monitoring Results Leq, dB(A)	Limit Level Leq, dB(A)	Exceedance?
01/11/2011	66	75	Ν
11/11/2011	67	75	Ν
14/11/2011	68	75	Ν
22/11/2011	69	75	Ν
29/11/2011	68	75	N

- CNM-3

Date	Noise Monitoring Results Leq, dB(A)	Limit Level Leq, dB(A)	Exceedance?
01/11/2011	74	75	Ν
07/11/2011	76	75	Y
14/11/2011	75	75	Ν
21/11/2011	75	75	Ν
28/11/2011	75	75	Ν

- CNM-2


Date	Noise Monitoring Results Leq, dB(A)	Limit Level Leq, dB(A)	Exceedance?
01/11/2011	73	75	Ν
11/11/2011	73	75	Ν
14/11/2011	71	75	Ν
22/11/2011	73	75	Ν
29/11/2011	73	75	N


- CNM-4

Date	Noise Monitoring Results Leq, dB(A)	Limit Level Leq, dB(A)	Exceedance?
01/11/2011	68	75	Ν
07/11/2011	66	75	Ν
18/11/2011	66	75	Ν
21/11/2011	67	75	Ν
28/11/2011	69	75	Ν

Note:

- Noise limit level of the station, which is school, is 70dB(A) on normal weekdays and 65dB(A) during examination period.






Appendix G

Calibration Certificates of HVS

Ove Arup Partners (Hong Kong) Limited <u>High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet</u>

Calibration date Next Calibration date	23-Nov-11 21-May-12 AM15 (XRL)/CA	M-1(Roadworks at	Barometric pressure Tempature (°C)	766 mm Hg 22 ⁰C
	West Kowloon) -	Between Sorrento)	
Sampler location	and Waterfront		Tempature (K)	295 K
Sampler model	TE-5170		P _{std}	760 mm Hg
Sampler serial number	515		T _{std}	298 K
Calibrator model		GMW-2535		
Calibrator serial number		1378		
Slope of the standard curve, m _s		2.01406		
Intercept of the standard of	curve, b _s	-0.03206		

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H ₂ O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m ³ /min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	3.70	30.00	0.98	30.27
7	5.80	39.00	1.22	39.35
10	8.80	49.00	1.50	49.44
13	11.40	55.00	1.71	55.50
18	13.00	59.00	1.82	59.53



Linear Regression

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted. \wedge

Performed by:	(h
Checked by:	ferro
Approved by:	2.Cla

 Date:
 Date:
 Date:


 Date:
 28 Mov 2011

 Date:
 24 Mov 2011

Ove Arup Partners (Hong Kong) Limited <u>High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet</u>

Calibration date	23-Nov-11	Barometric pressure	766 mm Hg
Next Calibration date	21-May-12 AM16 (XRL)/CAM-2(Roadwork	Tempature (°C) s at	22 °C
Sampler location	West Kowloon) - Waterfront	Tempature (K)	295 K
Sampler model	TE-5170	P _{std}	760 mm Hg
Sampler serial number	1282	T _{std}	298 K
Calibrator model	GMW-2535		
Calibrator serial number	1378		
Slope of the standard cur	ve, m_s 2.01406		
Intercept of the standard	c urve, b_s -0.03206		

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H ₂ O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m ³ /min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	3.20	35.00	0.91	35.32
7	5.20	44.00	1.16	44.40
10	7.70	50.00	1.41	50.45
13	10.50	56.00	1.64	56.51
18	12.40	60.00	1.78	60.54



Linear Regression

Sampler slope (m) :	28.2769
Sampler intercept (b) :	10.4429
Correlation coefficient (R ²) :	0.9938

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.


Performed by:	h	
Checked by:	les	
Approved by:	1. Chan	

Date:	23/11/2011
Date:	23 Nov 2011
Date:	24 Alon). 2011

Ove Arup Partners (Hong Kong) Limited High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Calibration date Next Calibration date	23-Nov-11 21-May-12 AM17 (XRL)/CA West Kowloon) -	M-3(Roadworks at - The Victoria	Barometric pressure Tempature (°C)	766 mm Hg 22 ºC
Sampler location	Towers		Tempature (K)	295 K
Sampler model	TE-5170		P _{std}	760 mm Hg
Sampler serial number	528		T _{std}	298 K
Calibrator model		GMW-2535		
Calibrator serial number		1378		
Slope of the standard curve, m _s		2.01406		
Intercept of the standard curve, b _s		-0.03206		

Resistance Plate No.	Manometer Reading (inch H ₂ O)	Flow Recorder Reading (CFM)	Calculated Q _{std} (m ³ /min)	Continuous Flow Recorder Reading IC (CFM)
5	3.40	34.00	0.94	34.31
7	5.60	42.00	1.20	42.38
10	8.20	48.00	1.45	48.43
13	10.40	52.00	1.63	52.47
18	12.40	56.00	1.78	56.51



23 11,2011 23 Nov 2011 24 Nov. 2011

Linear Regression

Correlation coefficient is greater than 0.9900 and the calibration result is accepted.

Performed by:	h	Date:
Checked by:	Jan -	Date:
Approved by:	1. Qu-	Date:

A