



Maeda Corporation

MTRCL Contract C3840-13C Tsim Sha Tsui
Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances
Modification Works

First Monthly EM&A Report (March 2014)
(Version 4.0)

Hyder Consulting Limited


Company Number 126012

47th Floor, Hopewell Centre
183 Queen's Road East
Wanchai
Hong Kong

Tel: +852 2911 2233

Fax: +852 2805 5028

hyder.hk@hyderconsulting.com
www.hyderconsulting.com



M A E D A

Maeda Corporation

MTRCL Contract C3840-13C Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works

First Monthly EM&A Report (March 2014)

Author Kelvin Chiang

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Kelvin Chiang", written over a horizontal line.

Checker F .N. Wong

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "F.N. Wong", written over a horizontal line.

Approver John Berry

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "John Berry", written over a horizontal line.

Report No EB001340R0031-v4

Date 3 April 2014

This First Monthly EM&A Report is prepared for Maeda Corporation in accordance with the terms and conditions of appointment dated 30 October 2013. Hyder Consulting Limited (Company Number 126012) cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.

10 April 2014

By Email and Post

MTR Corporation Limited
Fo Tan Railway House
No. 9, Lok King Street, Fo Tan
Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong

Your Ref. :

Our Ref. : 40032976/426706

Attention: Mr. Kenneth Chow / Environmental
Engineer II

Dear Sir,


**Consultancy Agreement A130-13
Independent Environmental Checker for CRS and LTS
Verification for First Monthly Environmental Monitoring and
Audit (EM&A) Report (March 2014) (Report No.: EB001340R0031-v4)**

We refer to the First Monthly EM&A Report (March 2014) received under cover of the email from the Environmental Team, Hyder Consulting Limited (HCL), dated on 4 April 2014.

Further to our comments provided on 9 April 2014 and subsequent revision of the Report by HCL on 10 April 2014, we have no comment and have verified the captioned report (Report No.: EB001340R0031-v4).

Should you have any queries, please feel free to contact the undersigned at 2410 3750 or our Mr. Thomas Wong at 2410 3795.

Yours faithfully
URS Hong Kong Ltd



Rodney Ip
Independent Environmental Checker

TWKW/wwsc

Encl

cc. via email
Hyder Consulting Limited (Attn.: Mr. F. N. Wong)
Maeda Corporation (Attn.: Ms. Cecilia Lee)

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Reporting Period	1
1.2 Project Background	1
1.3 Environmental Status	1
1.4 Construction Activities	2
2. EM&A REQUIREMENTS	3
2.1 Air Quality	3
2.2 Construction Noise	7
3. MONITORING RESULTS	10
3.1 Air Quality	10
3.2 Construction Noise	10
3.3 Conclusions and Recommendations	11
4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT	12
4.1 Site Inspection	12
4.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirements	12
4.3 Environmental Complaints	13
4.4 Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	13
4.5 Future Key Environmental Issues	13
5. WASTE MANAGEMENT	14
5.1 Waste Management	14
5.2 Waste Management Record	14
6. CONCLUSIONS	15
6.1 Conclusions	15
6.2 Recommendations	15

TABLES

Table 1-1	Summary of Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits
Table 1-2	Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Period
Table 2-1-1	Air Quality Monitoring Location
Table 2-1-2	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-1-3	Derivation of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality at K11, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Table 2-1-4	Action & Limit Levels for Air Quality at K11, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Table 2-2-1	Noise Monitoring Parameters and Frequency
Table 2-2-2	Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 2-2-3	Noise Monitoring Location
Table 2-2-4	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise
Table 3-1-1	Summary of 24-Hr TSP Monitoring Results, $\mu\text{g}/\text{M}^3$
Table 3-2-1	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at K11, dB(A)
Table 4-1	Summary of Findings and Follow-Up Actions of the Site Inspection
Table 4-2	Summary of Breaches of Legal and Contractual Requirements
Table 4-3	Summary of Complaint
Table 4-4	Summary of Summon and Successful Prosecutions

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site Location Plan
Appendix B	Management Structure
Appendix C	Construction Programme
Appendix D	Implementation Schedule
Appendix E	Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits
Appendix F	Event and Action Plan
Appendix G	Monitoring Schedule
Appendix H	Weather Information Extracted from HK Observatory
Appendix I	Certificate of Laboratory and Equipment Calibration
Appendix J	Sample Data Record Sheet
Appendix K	Monitoring Results and Plots
Appendix L	Flow Chart for Handling Environmental Complaints
Appendix M	Waste Management Records

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

- ES01 No exceedances of Action and Limit Levels of air quality and construction noise were registered during the Reporting Period. Neither Notice of Exceedance nor the associated corrective actions were required during the Reporting Period.
- ES02 No deficiencies of environmental mitigation measures and adverse environmental impacts were observed during the site audit of the Reporting Period.

Environmental Complaints

- ES03 No environmental complaints were recorded during the Reporting Period.

Notification of Summons and Prosecutions

- ES04 No notification of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded during the Reporting Period.

Reporting Changes

- ES05 This is the first monthly EM&A Report covering construction period from 1st to 31st March 2014. No reporting changes were made during the Reporting Period.

Future Key Issues

Air quality

- ES06 Construction dust is considered to be one of the key issues in the coming construction period, in particular during dusty activities under dry and windy conditions.
- ES07 Where appropriate, construction dust suppression measures including decking over the excavation areas, watering of exposed site surface and covering of all excavated and stockpiles of dusty material by impervious sheeting, etc., are reminded.

Construction Noise

- ES08 Construction noise is another key issue in the coming construction period. Working method, equipment and noise mitigation should be regularly reviewed to ensure compliance with statutory and non-statutory requirements and guidelines.

Water Quality

- ES09 As rain season has been approaching, particular attention is drawn to the compliance with water quality requirements.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 REPORTING PERIOD

- 1.1.1 This is the first monthly EM&A report (hereinafter referred as 'This Report') covering construction period from 1st to 31st March 2014 (hereinafter referred as 'the Reporting Period').
- 1.1.2 This Report has been written in accordance with Section 5.4 of the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Plan (hereinafter referred as 'the EM&A Plan') enclosed in the **Project Profile – MTR Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works**, which is registered in the **Environmental Permit No. EP-440/2012** (hereinafter referred as 'the EP') (Register No.: PP-462/2012).

1.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.2.1 In order to improve the appearance of Carnarvon Road Entrance D1 and D2 of Tsim Sha Tsui (hereafter referred as 'TST') Station and to provide a more comfortable walking environment nearby, MTR Corporation Limited (hereafter referred as 'MTRC' or 'the Corporation') has commissioned Maeda Corporation (hereinafter referred as 'MC') the contract **MTR Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works** (hereafter referred as 'the Project'). The Project is proposed to rebuild the existing Entrance D1 and D2 and construct a new Entrance D3 at the basement B2 level of the K11 Art Mall to connect to the TST station by a subway, which extends from the Entrance D1 and D2 and runs approximately 80m along Carnarvon Road and across the Bristol Avenue to the Entrance D3. The tentative programme for the Project is approximately 25 months, scheduled to be commenced in March 2014.
- 1.2.2 The existing TST Station had been in operation before the **Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance** (hereafter referred as 'EIAO') came into effect on 1 April 1998. It constitutes an exempted Designated Project (hereinafter referred as 'DP') according to Section 9(2) (g) of the EIAO (Cap. 499). As the Project involves a material change to an exempted DP which may have potential environmental impacts, an environmental permit is required prior to the commencement of the modification works. The Project Profile has been developed to provide information for direct application of an environmental permit. The EP has been granted since 18 July 2012, where the Project Profile and the associated **EM&A Plan** are registered.
- 1.2.3 Site map, works area and locations of the environmental monitoring under the Project are illustrated in Figure 1.1 Site Location Plan of **Appendix A**.
- 1.2.4 Management structure of the Project, including organization chart, lines of communication and contact names and telephone numbers of key personnel, is demonstrated in **Appendix B**.
- 1.2.5 Construction programme is shown in **Appendix C**, whereas implementation schedule for the recommended environmental mitigation measures is summarized in **Appendix D**, which fine tunes construction activities and shows inter-relationship with environmental protection / mitigation measures for the construction period.

1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

- 1.3.1 As required in the EP, URS Hong Kong Ltd has been appointed as the Independent Environmental Checker under the Project (hereinafter referred as 'the IEC'), whereas Hyder Consulting Limited has been appointed as the Environmental Team under the Project (hereinafter referred as 'the ET').

1.3.2 According to the EP Condition 3.2 (a) under Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) during the Construction Period, baseline monitoring has been completed and the required Baseline Monitoring Report has been submitted to EPD on 14 February 2014 prior to commencement of the works under the Project.

1.3.3 Status of relevant environmental permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project is summarized in **Table 1-1** below. They are detailed in **Appendix E**.

Table 1-1 Summary of Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

Item	Description	License/Permit Status
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust)	Notification Ref. 365953 acknowledged on 21 Oct 2013.
2	Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Discharge License)	Water Discharge Licence Ref. WT00018229-2014 granted on 4 Mar 2014
3	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	A/C Ref. 7018523 granted on 25 October 2013
4	Chemical waste Producer Registration	Registration Ref. 5213-2214-M2446-16 granted on 4 Mar 2014

1.4 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

1.4.1 Construction activities undertaken during the Reporting Period and the up-coming month are summarized in the following **Table 1-2**:

Table 1-2 Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Period

Item	Description
1	Excavation of the trial trench and pit for the exposure of the existing UUs, left in sheet-piles, cables and gasmain.
2	Erection of flood gate inside Entrance D1.
3	Erection of site hoarding.
4	Installation of the noise insulation mat to the site hoarding.
5	Commencement of the demolition of Entrance D1, including construction of the working platform and the removal of Entrance D1 cladding.

2. EM&A REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Air Quality

Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

- 2.1.1 24-Hour Total Suspended Particulates (hereinafter referred as '24-Hr TSP') is required to be monitored once a week during construction period of the Project.
- 2.1.2 1-Hour Total Suspended Particulates (hereinafter referred as '1-Hr TSP') is required to be monitored when exceedances of 24-Hr TSP occur, following the Event and Action Plan presented in **Appendix F**.
- 2.1.3 Schedules for 24-Hr TSP monitoring for the Reporting Period and the next Reporting Period are prepared and submitted to MTRC, IEC and MC prior to implementation via e-mail and / or facsimile for ease of necessary inspection. Where amendment is necessary under ad hoc conditions, including actual and broadcast adverse weather, accidental instrument failures, etc., advanced notification is given at least 24 hours prior to implementation or as practical as possible. The Monitoring Schedules are enclosed in **Appendix G**.

Monitoring Location

- 2.1.4 According to the EM&A Plan, Mirador Mansion was designated to be the air quality monitoring station of the Project. As the access to the air monitoring location designated in the EM&A Plan has been denied by the owner of the property, the ET proposes an alternative monitoring location on the roof-top above the 4/F of the commercial complex of K11 (hereinafter referred as 'K11'), which has been agreed among MTRC, IEC and MC, and the associated access to K11 has been granted by the management office of K11 prior to the commencement of the baseline monitoring in January 2014.
- 2.1.5 Air quality monitoring location is summarized in **Table 2-1-1** below and illustrated in **Appendix A**.

Table 2-1-1 Air Quality Monitoring Location

Location ID	Name of Premises	Description
K11	K11 Art Mall	Rooftop, 4/F

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.1.6 The air quality monitoring equipment to be used for construction air impact monitoring is shown in **Table 2-1-2** below:

Table 2-1-2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment Type	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Orifice Number	Location
High Volume Air Sampler	TE5005X	1713	1785	K11
Sibata Digital Dust Monitor	LD-3B	296098	Not Applicable	K11

- 2.1.7 High Volume Air Sampler (hereinafter referred as 'the HVAS') is used to monitor 24-Hr TSP, following the standard sampling method set out in High Volume Method for Total Suspended Particulates, Part 50 Chapter 1 Appendix B, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations of the USEPA.
- 2.1.8 Weather information including wind speeds and wind directions is obtained from King's Park Weather Station (about 1.7 km to the north of the monitoring station) and used as weather conditions during the Reporting Period. They are presented in **Appendix H**.

Calibration of Monitoring Equipment

- 2.1.9 The HVAS is calibrated before commencement of monitoring using standard orifice 5-points calibration method with orifice calibrator to determine the actual flow rate of each HVAS. Calibration Kit Model - TE5025A is used for calibration of the HVAS. Recalibration of the HVAS is carried out after motor maintenance, at least once every six months, which is about the expected life of carbon brush.
- 2.1.10 Calibration of the HVAS is conducted following the instruction manual of the manufacturer. Initial calibration of the dust monitoring equipment is conducted upon installation (and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals during impact monitoring). The transfer standard should be traceable to the internationally recognized primary standard and be calibrated annually.
- 2.1.11 The Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (hereinafter referred as 'the Sibata') is calibrated annually.
- 2.1.12 The calibration certificate of the equipment is shown in **Appendix I**.

Monitoring Methodology for 24-Hr TSP

- 2.1.13 Air quality monitoring will be conducted once a week under typical weather conditions (with no adverse weather such as typhoon signal or rain storm warning).

Installation of HVAS for 24-Hr TSP Monitoring

- 2.1.14 When positioning the HVAS, the following points will be noted:
- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the samplers against gusty wind will be provided;
 - No two samplers will be placed less than 2 m apart;
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, must be at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler where possible;
 - A minimum of 2 m of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses is required for rooftops samplers;
 - A minimum of 2 m of separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required;
 - No furnace or incinerator flue or building vent is nearby;
 - Airflow around the sampler is unrestricted;
 - The sampler is more than 20 m from the dripline;
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring;
 - Permission must be obtained to set up the samplers and to obtain access to the monitoring stations; and
 - A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the samplers.

Preparation of Filter Papers and Laboratory Analysis

- 2.1.15 Sufficient pieces of filter paper should be labelled before sampling. It should be a clean filter paper with no pinholes, and should be conditioned in a humidity-controlled chamber for over 24-hour and be pre-weighed before use for the sampling. The preferred room temperature is around 25 °C \pm 3 °C with relative humidity (hereinafter referred as 'the RH') less than 50% \pm 5%, preferably 40%.
- 2.1.16 Preparation of filters and subsequent laboratory analysis of the collected 24-Hr TSP samples were performed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (hereinafter referred as 'ALS'), a local laboratory which have been accredited under Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS).
- 2.1.17 All the collected samples should be kept by the ET in standard office conditions for 6 months before disposal.

Field Monitoring Procedures

- 2.1.18 Procedures for field monitoring are as follows:
- a) Check power supply to ensure the HVAS works properly.
 - b) Clean the filter holder and the area surrounding the filter.
 - c) Remove the filter holder by loosening the four bolts and carefully align a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen.
 - d) Align the filter properly on the screen so that the gasket forms an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
 - e) Fasten the swing bolts to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
 - f) Close the shelter lid and secure with the aluminium strip.
 - g) Warmed-up the HVAS for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
 - h) Set a new flow rate record sheet into the flow recorder.
 - i) Checked and adjust the flow rate of the HVAS at around 1.1 m³ per minute. (The range specified in the EM&A Plan is between 0.6-1.7 m³ per minute.)
 - j) Set the programmable timer for a sampling period of 24 hours, and record the starting time, weather condition and the filter number.
 - k) Record the initial elapsed time.
 - l) At the end of sampling, remove the sampled filter carefully and fold it in half-length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter are in contact.
 - m) Place the sampled filter in a clean plastic envelope and seal.
 - n) Record all monitoring information on a Field Data Sheet as shown in **Appendix J**.
 - o) Send the filters to ALS for analysis.

Monitoring Methodology for 1-Hr TSP

Field Monitoring

- 2.1.19 The procedures for measurement of 1-Hr TSP follow Manufacturer's Instruction Manual, which is summarised as follows:
- Set power to "ON", push BATTERY button, make sure that the meter's indicator is in the range with a red line and allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes (Then, the air sampling inlet has been capped).
 - Push the knob at MEASURE position.
 - Push "O-ADJ" button. (Then meter's indication is 0).
 - Push the knob at SENSI ADJ position and set the meter's indication to S value described on the Test Report using the trimmer for SENSI ADJ.
 - Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
 - Push "START" button.
 - Record all monitoring information on a Field Data Sheet as shown in **Appendix J**.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The Sibata is checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout the whole construction period.
- Calibration records for the Sibata Digital Dust Monitor direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix I**.

Action and Limit Levels

- 2.1.20 The Action and Limit levels (hereinafter referred as 'the A/L Levels) at K11 have been established in the Baseline Monitoring Report in accordance with the derivation criteria specified in Section 3.7 of the EM&A Plan, which are summarised in **Table 2-1-3** as follows:

Table 2-1-3 Derivation of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality at K11, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
24-Hr TSP	For baseline level $\leq 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, Action level = (130% of baseline level + Limit level)/2	260
	For baseline level $> 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, Action level = Limit level	
1-Hr TSP	For baseline level $\leq 384 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, Action level = (130% of baseline level + Limit level)/2	500
	For baseline level $> 384 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, Action level = Limit level	

- 2.1.21 The established A/L Levels for 24-Hr and 1-Hr TSP are summarized in **Table 2-1-4** as follows:

Table 2-1-4 Action & Limit Levels for Air Quality at K11, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
24-Hr TSP	221.6	260
1-Hr TSP	373.4	500

Event and Action Plan

- 2.1.22 In case exceedances of Action and/or Limit levels for air quality occur, **Event and Action Plan** for Air Quality enclosed in **Appendix F** will be implemented.

Environmental Mitigation Measures for Air Quality

- 2.1.23 Although most of the construction works would be carried out underground, appropriate dust mitigation measures as stipulated in the EP, Project Profile as well as related environmental regulation including Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be implemented to control fugitive dust emission. The following key dust suppression measures are recommended:
- Decking over the excavation areas;
 - Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface, particularly during dry weather;
 - Frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to air sensitive receivers;
 - Cover all excavated or stockpiles of dusty material by impervious sheeting or spraying with water to maintain the entire surface wet;
 - Provision of vehicle washing facilities at the exit points of the site; and
 - Provision of tarpaulin covering for any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site.
- 2.1.24 Details of the implementation schedule for the required environmental mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix D**.

2.2 Construction Noise

Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

- 2.2.1 **Table 2-2-1** summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequency for construction noise.

Table 2-2-1 Noise Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Parameters	Frequency
L_{eq} in 30 minutes	Once a week

- 2.2.2 Monitoring schedules for construction noise for the Reporting Period and the next Reporting Period are prepared and submitted to MTRC, IEC and MC prior to implementation via e-mail and / or facsimile for ease of necessary inspection. Where amendment is necessary under ad hoc conditions, including actual and broadcast adverse weather, accidental instrument failures, etc., advanced notification is given at least 24 hours prior to implementation or as practical as possible.

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.2.3 With reference to the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO), sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications (both publications have been withdrawn and replaced by 61672:2003) are used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The details of the calibration of the sound level meters and their respective calibrators are as shown in the following **Table 2-2-2**.

Table 2-2-2 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Item	Equipment Name	Model
1	Sound Level Meter	B&K 2238 (Serial no. 2562782)
2	Larson Davis CAL200 Acoustic Calibrator	(Serial no. 10929)

Monitoring Location

- 2.2.4 As stated in previous **Section 2.1.4**, the alternative air quality monitoring location K11 which is proposed by the ET and agreed among MTRC, IEC and MC, i.e. on the roof-top above the 4/F of the commercial complex of K11, is used for the construction noise monitoring location. The access to K11 has been granted by the management office of the K11 prior to the commencement of the baseline monitoring in January 2014.
- 2.2.5 **Table 2-2-3** summarizes the recommended alternative noise monitoring location, which is illustrated in **Appendix A**.

Table 2-2-3 Noise Monitoring Location

Location ID	Name of Premises	Description
K11	K11 Art Mall	Rooftop, 4/F

Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- 2.2.6 Procedures for noise monitoring summarized as follows:
- The microphones of the Sound Level Meter are about 1 m from the exterior of the building façade.
 - The battery condition is checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time are set as follows:
 - Frequency weighting: A
 - Time weighting: Fast
 - Time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (0700-1900 daily)
 - Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter is calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1 dB, the measurement should be considered invalid and the measurement repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
 - During the monitoring period, the $L_{eq(30\ min)}$ are recorded.
 - Record all monitoring information on a **Field Data Sheet** as shown in **Appendix J**.

Maintenance and Calibration

- 2.2.7 The meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate prior to the monitoring. Calibration records are presented in **Appendix I**.

Weather Condition

- 2.2.8 *The wind speeds and directions during the monitoring period are recorded and shown in Appendix H.*

Action and Limit Levels

- 2.2.9 The Action and Limit levels (hereinafter referred as 'the A/L Levels) at K11 have been established in the **Baseline Monitoring Report**. They are summarised in **Table 2-2-4** as follows:

Table 2-2-4 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period	Action Level	Limit Level
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one valid documented complaint is received.	75*

*Note: *70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.*

Event and Action Plan

- 2.2.10 In case exceedances of Action and/or Limit levels for construction noise occur, the Event and Action Plan enclosed in **Appendix F** will be triggered.

Mitigation Measures for Construction Noise

- 2.2.11 Although no residual noise impact would be generated after the proposed mitigation measures are in place, the general construction noise control measures stipulated in the EP, Project Profile are recommended in order to minimise noise impacts during the construction phase. They are summarized as follows:

- a) The Code of Practice on Good Management Practice to Prevent Violation of the Noise Control Ordinance (Chapter 400) (for Construction Industry) published by EPD shall be adopted;
- b) The statutory and non-statutory requirements and guidelines shall be complied with;
- c) Approval for the method of working, equipment and noise mitigation measures intended to be used at the site shall be granted from the Project Engineer before commencing any work;
- d) Working methods to minimize the noise impact on the surrounding NSRs shall be formulated and executed, and the implementation of these methods shall be monitored by experienced personnel with suitable training;
- e) Noisy equipment and noisy activities shall be located as far away from the NSRs as is practical;
- f) Unused equipment shall be turned off;
- g) PME should be kept to a minimum and the parallel use of noisy equipment / machinery should be avoided;
- h) All plant and equipment shall be maintained regularly; and
- i) Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized as noise barriers, whenever practicable.

- 2.2.12 Details of the implementation schedule for the mitigation measures are presented in **Appendix D**.

3. MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 Air Quality

Monitoring Results

3.1.1 24-Hr TSP monitoring during the Reporting Period was conducted following the agreed monitoring schedule.

3.1.2 24-Hr TSP results of the Reporting Period are summarized in the following **Table 3-1-1**. Graphical plots of the parameter are illustrated in **Appendix K**.

Table 3-1-1 Summary of 24-Hr TSP Monitoring Results, ug/M³

Monitoring Date	24-Hr TSP	A/L Levels	
4 Mar 2014	115	Action Level:	Limit
11 Mar 2014	115	221.6	Level:
17 Mar 2014	88		260
24 Mar 2014	81		
Mean (Min – Max)	100 (81-115)		

Discussion

3.1.3 **Table 3-1-1** demonstrates that all 24-Hr TSP results of the Reporting Period fluctuated well below the A/L Levels of the parameter, i.e. neither Action Level nor Limit Level exceedances were recorded.

3.1.4 No Notice of Exceedances (thereinafter referred as ‘NOE’) and the associated NOE Investigation as well as remedial actions were required during the Reporting Period.

3.2 Construction Noise

Monitoring Results

3.2.1 Construction noise monitoring during the Reporting Period was conducted following the agreed monitoring schedule.

3.2.2 Construction noise monitoring results of the Reporting Period are summarized in the following **Table 3-2-1**. Graphical plots of the parameter are illustrated in **Appendix K**.

Table 3-2-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at K11, dB(A)

Monitoring Date	L_{eq} (30 min)	A/L Levels
5 Mar 2014	66	Limit Level: 75
11 Mar 2014	66	
18 Mar 2014	65	Action Level: Documented complaint against construction noise.
29 Mar 2014	66	
Mean (Min – Max), L_{eq} (30 min)	66 (65-66)	

Discussion

- 3.2.3 No environmental complaint against construction noise was registered during the Reporting Period, whereas **Table 3-2-1** demonstrates that all construction noise results of the Reporting Period were well below the Limit Level of the parameter, i.e. neither exceedances of Action Level nor exceedances of Limit Level were recorded.
- 3.2.4 Neither NOE nor NOE investigation and the associated remedial actions were required during the Reporting Period.

Weather Conditions

- 3.2.5 No weather conditions and any other factors were identified to have significant effects on the monitoring results of air quality and construction noise during the Reporting Period were identified.
- 3.2.6 Weather information during the Reporting Period which is extracted from Hong Kong Observatory King's Park Weather Station is enclosed for reference in **Appendix H**.

3.3 Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

- 3.3.1 No exceedances of A/L Levels of air quality and construction noise were registered during the Reporting Period.
- 3.3.2 No NOE and the associated NOE Investigation and corrected actions were required during the Reporting Period.

Recommendations

- 3.3.3 Full implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, which are required in the EM&A Plan and summarized in Implementation Schedule of **Appendix D**, is recommended. Where necessary, proper maintenance and improvement of the implemented mitigation measures are reminded.
- 3.3.4 Nevertheless, construction dust shall be suppressed during dusty construction activities under dry and windy conditions.
- 3.3.5 In addition, construction noise shall be eliminated to avoid adverse impacts on the nearby sensitive receivers.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

4.1 Site Inspection

- 4.1.1 Weekly site inspections are jointly conducted among MTRC, MC and ET. The site inspection follows strictly the agreed Site Inspection Checklist, which covers all the site audit requirements stipulated in the EM&A Plan, PS and all relevant environmental laws.
- 4.1.2 The completed Site Inspection Checklists are distributed to all relevant parties within 48 hours upon completion of the site inspection for agreement and signature of the relevant parties and, where appropriate, for implementation of the recommended corrected actions to promptly rectify the situation.
- 4.1.3 The site inspections during the Reporting Period were conducted on 4, 11, 18 and 25 March 2014. Deficiencies or findings of the site audit and the associated follow up actions are summarized in the following **Table 4-1**:

Table 4-1 Summary of Findings and Follow-Up Actions of the Site Inspection

Date of Site Inspection	Deficiencies or findings	Follow-Up Action
4 Mar 2014	No observations were recorded.	Not required.
11 Mar 2014	No observations were recorded.	Not required.
18 Mar 2014	No observations were recorded.	Not required.
25 Mar 2014	No observations were recorded.	Not required.

- 4.1.4 As shown in **Table 4-1**, no deficiencies of environmental mitigation measures and adverse environmental impacts were observed during the Reporting Period.

4.2 Compliance with Legal and Contractual Requirements

- 4.2.1 Construction activities under the Project must comply with all environmental protection and pollution control laws in Hong Kong, as well as the contractual requirements of the Project. **Table 4-2** summarizes breaches of legal and contractual requirements.

Table 4-2 Summary of Breaches of Legal and Contractual Requirements

Month	Number of Breaches	Cumulative
Mar 2014	0	0

4.3 Environmental Complaints

- 4.3.1 Environmental complaints are handled following closely the flow chart of complaint response procedure which is enclosed in **Appendix L**.
- 4.3.2 Environmental complaints registered during the Reporting Period are summarised in **Table 4-3** below:

Table 4-3 Summary of Complaint

Month	Number of Complaint	Nature of Complaint	Cumulative Number of Complaint
Mar 2014	0	Not Applicable	0

4.4 Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- 4.4.1 Notification of summons and successful prosecutions registered during the Reporting Period are summarised in **Table 4-4** below:

Table 4-4 Summary of Summon and Successful Prosecutions

Month	Number of Issue	Nature of Issue	Cumulative Number of Issue
Mar 2014	0	Not Applicable	0

4.5 FUTURE KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

- 4.5.1 Future key environmental issues include air quality in particular construction dust during dusty construction activities, including demolition of the Entrance D1 and excavation works, under dry and windy conditions, construction noise during noisy activities and construction site water discharge particularly during rainy conditions.
- 4.5.2 To avoid potential of adverse environmental impacts of the key issues state above, full implementation of the mitigation measures as stipulated in the Implementation Schedule shown in **Appendix H** is required, and the mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and water quality implemented to date shall be properly maintained. Where appropriate, improvement of the implemented mitigation measures is reminded to ensure effectiveness of the mitigation measures.

5. WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.1 Waste Management

5.1.1 Despite small scale of the Project and the amount of C&D material that needs to be hauled off site and disposed of is anticipated not to be significant, 3-R waste management i.e. Reduce, Reuse and Recycle, is adopted in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts to be generated from construction of the Project.

5.1.2 Waste management under the Project is performed in accordance with the Waste Management Plan, which has been prepared for implementation of the construction waste mitigation measures in compliance with the requirements stipulated in the EM&A Plan, PS, Waste Disposal Ordinance and the associated subsidiary regulations.

5.2 Waste Management Record

5.2.1 Updated waste management status is detailed in **Appendix M**, where the 3-R status of the construction waste generated from construction of the Project during the Reporting Period is presented.

6. CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Conclusions


- 6.1.1 No exceedances of A/L Levels of air quality and construction noise were registered during the Reporting Period.
- 6.1.2 No NOE and the associated NOE Investigation and corrected actions were required during the Reporting Period.

6.2 Recommendations

- 6.2.1 Full implementation of the environmental mitigation measures required in the EM&A Plan and summarized in **Implementation Schedule of Appendix D** is required, whereas proper maintenance and improvement where necessary of the implemented mitigation measures are reminded.
- 6.2.2 Particular attention is drawn to the compliance with water quality requirements during the approaching rain season.
- 6.2.3 Nevertheless, construction dust shall be suppressed during dusty construction activities under dry and windy conditions.
- 6.2.4 In addition, construction noise shall be eliminated to avoid adverse impacts on the nearby sensitive receivers.
- 6.2.5 As shown in **Table 4-1**, no deficiencies of environmental mitigation measures and adverse environmental impacts were observed during the Reporting Period.

Appendix A

Site Location Plan



圖例：
LEGEND :

- ← 建議噪音監察站
PROPOSED NOISE MONITORING STATION
- X 建議空氣監察站
PROPOSED AIR MONITORING STATION
- ▨ 臨時路面鋪蓋範圍
TEMPORARY DECKING AREA

尖沙咀站北行人隧道項目A1出入口改善工程界線
(其他工程項目)
ENTRANCE A1 ENHANCEMENT WORKS BOUNDARY UNDER
TSM SHA TSUI STATION NORTHERN SUBWAY
(WORKS BY OTHERS)

尖沙咀站北行人隧道項目A1出入口改善工程
(明坑回填) (其他工程項目)
ENTRANCE A1 ENHANCEMENT WORKS - CUT
AND COVER EXCAVATION UNDER TSM SHA
TSUI STATION NORTHERN SUBWAY
(WORKS BY OTHERS)

需要遷移或改道的公共設施
UTILITY DIVERSION WORKS
ALONG NATHAN ROAD

K11 發展項目
K11 DEVELOPMENT
WIP Nov 2007

G:\MTR_C43\BIM_C04\PH... 08/02/07 44682
 PLOT 1007...
 FILENAME:

REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED	REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE	APPROVED
A	PROJECT PROFILE				HO				

DRAWN	HO
DESIGNED	--
CHECKED	--
APPROVED	--
DATE	--

MTR

TST STATION CARNARVON ROAD SUBWAY

ORIGINATOR

Mott MacDonald

30/F The Landmark 100 Hong Kong Street, Hong Kong
Tel: +852 2512 2121 Fax: +852 2512 2122
www.mottmacdonald.com

In association with
Hatchon Architects Ltd
8/F 80 Wing Lok Street, Hong Kong
Tel: +852 2877 1888 Fax: +852 2877 1889
www.hatchon.com.hk

TITLE

CONSULTANCY AGREEMENT NO. NEX/1049
DETAILED DESIGN FOR CARNARVON ROAD SUBWAY
AIR AND NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS
空氣及噪音監察站位置圖

SCALE: 1:500 (A1)

DRAWING NO. **APPENDIX B**

REV. **A**

Appendix B



Management Structure

Project Organization Chart in Environmental Management (Rev.01)


Effective Date: 2 Apr 2014

Legend :

↓ Line of Communication

Off Site

On Site



Note: In compliance with

i) Clause.1.3 of Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual (Appendix VII of Project Profile PP462/2012)

Appendix C

Construction Programme

Appendix D

Implementation Schedule

Appendix VIII

Implementation Schedule

Project Profile Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Parties	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure	Relevant requirements or standards for the measure to achieve
	Noise Impact					
S.3.1	Use of quieter plant	To minimise construction noise emissions	Contractor	Work site	Construction Stage	ProPECC PN2/93 and Noise Control Ordinance
S.3.1	Use of noise enclosure and movable barrier <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • movable barrier can achieve a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) reduction for stationary PME; • noise enclosure can achieve 15dB(A) reduction for PME; • A typical design barrier with a steel frame of vertical / cantilever type would be adopted and located close to the noise generating part of PME; • Barrier material of surface mass in excess of 7kg/m² shall be required to achieve the maximum screening effect (and minimum 10kg/m² for noise enclosure); • The length of barrier should generally be at least five times greater than its height and the minimum height of a barrier should be such that no part of the noise source will be visible from the noise sensitive receiver being protected. 	To minimize construction noise emissions	Contractor	Work site	Construction Stage	ProPECC PN2/93, Noise Control Ordinance and EIAO Guidance Note NO. 9/2010
S.3.1	General Construction Noise Control Measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Code of Practice on Good Management Practice 	To minimize construction noise	Contractor	Work site	Construction Stage	ProPECC PN2/93 and Noise Control

Project Profile Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Parties	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure	Relevant requirements or standards for the measure to achieve
	<p>to Prevent Violation of the Noise Control Ordinance (Chapter 400) (for Construction Industry) published by EPD shall be adopted;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The statutory and non-statutory requirements and guidelines shall be complied with; • Approval for the method of working, equipment and noise mitigation measures intended to be used at the site shall be granted from the Project Engineer before commencing any work; • Working methods to minimize the noise impact on the surrounding NSRs shall be formulated and executed, and the implementation of these methods shall be monitored by experienced personnel with suitable training; • Noisy equipment and noisy activities shall be located as far away from the NSRs as is practical; • Unused equipment shall be turned off; • PME should be kept to a minimum and the parallel use of noisy equipment / machinery should be avoided; • All plant and equipment shall be maintained regularly; and • Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized as noise barriers, whenever practicable. 	emissions				Ordinance
	Air Quality Impact					
S.3.2	<p>Construction Dust Control Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decking will be provided subsequent to the completion of surface excavation works. The duration 	To minimise the dust impacts arising from the	Contractor	Work site	Construction Stage	Air Pollution Control (Construction

Project Profile Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Parties	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure	Relevant requirements or standards for the measure to achieve
	<p>of decking is around 13 months after surface excavation works;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface, particularly during dry weather; • Frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to air sensitive receivers; • Cover all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or spraying with water to maintain the entire surface wet; • Provision of vehicle washing facilities at the exit points of the site; and • Provision of tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site. 	construction works				Dust) Regulation
Water Quality Impact						
S.3.3	<p>Construction Water Quality Impact Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Contractor should design and implement all the mitigation measures and practices specified in the ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” and “Recommended Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts” issued by EPD. • All runoffs arising from the construction site should be properly collected and treated to ensure the discharge standards as stipulated in WPCO are met. Silt trap and oil interceptor should be provided to remove the oil, lubricants, grease, silt, grit and debris from the wastewater before being pumped to the public stormwater drainage system. The silt traps and oil interceptors should be cleaned and maintained regularly. 	To reduce water quality impact induced by the construction work	Contractor	Work Site	Construction Stage	ProPECC PN1/94; Water Pollution Control Ordinance

Project Profile Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Parties	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure	Relevant requirements or standards for the measure to achieve
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any foul effluent should not be discharged into any public sewer and stormwater drain, unless an effluent discharge permit is obtained under the WPCO by the Contractor. Site toilet facilities, if needed, should be chemical toilets or should have the foul water effluent directed to a foul sewer. 					
	Waste Management					
S.3.4	<p>Construction Waste Management Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excavated material should be reused on site as far as possible to minimise off-site disposal. Scrap metals or abandoned equipment should be recycled if possible. Waste arising should be kept to a minimum and be handled, transported and disposed of in a suitable manner. The Contractor should adopt a trip ticket system for the disposal of C&D materials to any designated public filling facility and/or landfill. Independent audits of the Contractor and resident site staff will be undertaken to ensure that the correct procedures are being followed. Chemical waste shall be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Handling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. All general refuse should be segregated and stored in enclosed bins or compaction units and waste separation facilities for paper, aluminium cans, plastic bottles etc. should be provided to facilitate reuse or 	To adopt waste management measures in the way of avoiding, minimising, reusing and recycling so as to reduce waste generation	Contractor	Work Site	Construction Stage	Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 54); Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation; ETWB TCW No. 31/2004; ETWB TCW No. 19/2005.

Project Profile Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Parties	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure	Relevant requirements or standards for the measure to achieve
	recycling of materials and their proper disposal.					
	Landscape and Visual Impact					
S.3.5	Landscape and Visual Measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening of construction works by hoardings/noise barriers around works area with visually unobtrusive colours 	To reduce visual impact by construction works.	Contractor	Temporary Storage Area at Salisbury Road	Construction Stage	EIAO
S.3.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reinstating the affected amenity planting area at Salisbury Road after the completion of works 	To prevent loss of planter after construction	Contractor	Temporary Storage Area at Salisbury Road	Operation Stage	ETWB TCW No. 2/2004

Appendix E

Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits



Maeda Corporation

Contract No. C3840-13C

Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway

Last Update: 10-March-2014

Licence Summary

Item No.	Govt. Ord.	Type? (License / Permit / Account / Notification / Registration & etc.)	Description	Submission	Ref. No	Date of Submission (to EPD) (DD-MM-YYYY)	Date of Approval / Receipt (from EPD) (DD-MM-YYYY)	Date of Activation (DD-MM-YYYY)	Date of Expiry (DD-MM-YYYY) Green = expire next mth; Yellow = expire this wk; Red = Expired	Description	Remarks
000	EIAO	Permit	Environmental Permit	N/A	AEP-440/2012	N/A	N/A	18 - 07 - 2012	N/A	Baseline, Air & Noise Impact Monitoring	
001	APCO	Notification	Construction Dust Notification	Form NA – Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust)	365953	18 - 10 - 2013	21 - 10 - 2013	01 - 11 - 2013	01 - 12 - 2014	Site Formation	
001	APCO	Notification	Construction Dust Notification	Form NA – Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust)	365953	18 - 10 - 2013	21 - 10 - 2013	01 - 02 - 2014	01 - 10 - 2016	Demolition of a Building	
001	APCO	Notification	Construction Dust Notification	Form NA – Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust)	365953	18 - 10 - 2013	21 - 10 - 2013	01 - 08 - 2014	01 - 08 - 2016	Work carried out in any part of a tunnel that is within 100m of any exit to the open air	
001	APCO	Notification	Construction Dust Notification	Form NA – Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust)	365953	18 - 10 - 2013	21 - 10 - 2013	01 - 01 - 2016	01 - 03 - 2017	Construction of the Superstructure of a Building	
001	APCO	Notification	Construction Dust Notification	Form NA – Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust)	365953	18 - 10 - 2013	21 - 10 - 2013	01 - 11 - 2016	10 - 09 - 2017	Road Construction Work	
002	WDO	Account	Construction Waste Billing Account	EPD-211 (Form 1) Application for a Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	7018523	18 - 10 - 2013	25 - 10 - 2013	25 - 10 - 2013	N/A	Disposal of C&D Waste	Application No. WFG12765
003	WPCO	Licence	Water Discharge Licence	EPD-117 (Form A) Application for a Licence of Water Discharge	WT00018229-2014	09 - 01 - 2014	04 - 03 - 2014	04 - 03 - 2014	31 - 03 - 2019	Self Monitoring Only FlowRate 9m3/d, pH 6-9, SS 50mg/L, COD 100mg/L	
004	WDO	Registration	Chemical Waste Producer	EPD-129 Application for Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer	5213-2214-M2446-16	15 - 01 - 2014	04 - 03 - 2014	04 - 03 - 2014	N/A	Surplus paint, spent lubricating oil, spent battery	

Appendix F

Event and Action Plan

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the Event and Action Plan shown below should be followed.

Event / Action	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. If valid, inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and EPD; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial action required; 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measure properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposals for remedial action to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit Level				
Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform ER and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC

Event / Action	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
	<p>monitoring frequency to daily;</p> <p>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.</p>	<p>method;</p> <p>4. Discuss with ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures;</p> <p>5. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</p> <p>6. Supervise implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>measures properly implemented.</p>	<p>within 3 working days of notification;</p> <p>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</p> <p>4. Amend proposal if appropriate.</p>
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<p>1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD;</p> <p>2. Identify sources;</p> <p>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings;</p> <p>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</p> <p>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;</p> <p>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;</p> <p>7. Assess the effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the</p>	<p>1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;</p> <p>2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ET accordingly.</p> <p>3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</p>	<p>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</p> <p>2. Notify Contractor;</p> <p>3. In consultation with IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</p> <p>4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;</p> <p>5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.</p>	<p>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</p> <p>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification;</p> <p>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</p> <p>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;</p> <p>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p>

Event / Action	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
	results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.			

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during the construction stage, the Event and Action Plan shown below should be followed.

Event / Action	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC and Contractor. 2. Carry out investigation. 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor. 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analyzed result submitted by ET. 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor, and follow other actions 2. Identify source 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings 4. Increase monitoring frequency 5. Check Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ET accordingly 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedances 2. Notify Contractor 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notifications 3. Implement the agreed proposals 4. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated

Event / Action	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
	remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD, ER informed of the results 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring			

Appendix G

Monitoring Schedule

**C3840-13C MTRCL Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works
Tentative Monitoring Schedule**

MARCH 2014

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday																																																																																				
						1																																																																																				
2	3	4 24-hr TSP Weekly Site Audit	5 Noise	6	7	8																																																																																				
9	10	11 24-hr TSP Noise Weekly Site Audit	12	13	14	15																																																																																				
16	17 24-hr TSP	18 Noise Weekly Site Audit	19	20	21	22																																																																																				
23	24 24-hr TSP	25 Weekly Site Audit	26	27	28	29 Noise																																																																																				
30	31	<p align="center">February 2014</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>Su</td><td>M</td><td>Tu</td><td>W</td><td>Th</td><td>F</td><td>Sa</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>17</td><td>18</td><td>19</td><td>20</td><td>21</td><td>22</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td></td></tr> </table>		Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		<p align="center">April 2014</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>Su</td><td>M</td><td>Tu</td><td>W</td><td>Th</td><td>F</td><td>Sa</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td><td>16</td><td>17</td><td>18</td><td>19</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td></tr> <tr><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>		Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30				
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa																																																																																				
						1																																																																																				
2	3	4	5	6	7	8																																																																																				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15																																																																																				
16	17	18	19	20	21	22																																																																																				
23	24	25	26	27	28																																																																																					
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa																																																																																				
		1	2	3	4	5																																																																																				
6	7	8	9	10	11	12																																																																																				
13	14	15	16	17	18	19																																																																																				
20	21	22	23	24	25	26																																																																																				
27	28	29	30																																																																																							

**C3840-13C MTRCL Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works
Tentative Monitoring Schedule**

APRIL 2014

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday																																																																																																
		1 Weekly Site Audit	2	3	4 24-hr TSP Noise	5																																																																																																
6	7	8 24-hr TSP Noise Weekly Site Audit	9	10	11 24-hr TSP	12																																																																																																
13	14 24-hr TSP	15 Noise Weekly Site Audit	16	17	18	19																																																																																																
20	21	22 24-hr TSP Noise Weekly Site Audit	23	24	25	26																																																																																																
27	28 24-hr TSP	29 Noise Weekly Site Audit	30																																																																																																			
		March 2014 <table border="1"> <tr><td>Su</td><td>M</td><td>Tu</td><td>W</td><td>Th</td><td>F</td><td>Sa</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td>17</td><td>18</td><td>19</td><td>20</td><td>21</td><td>22</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td>31</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>	Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31						May 2014 <table border="1"> <tr><td>Su</td><td>M</td><td>Tu</td><td>W</td><td>Th</td><td>F</td><td>Sa</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td><td>16</td><td>17</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>19</td><td>20</td><td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td><td>31</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>	Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31								
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa																																																																																																
						1																																																																																																
2	3	4	5	6	7	8																																																																																																
9	10	11	12	13	14	15																																																																																																
16	17	18	19	20	21	22																																																																																																
23	24	25	26	27	28	29																																																																																																
30	31																																																																																																					
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa																																																																																																
				1	2	3																																																																																																
4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																																																																																
11	12	13	14	15	16	17																																																																																																
18	19	20	21	22	23	24																																																																																																
25	26	27	28	29	30	31																																																																																																

[Monthly Calendar](#)

© 2007 Vertex42 LLC


This schedule may be subject to change due to unexpected circumstances (e.g. adverse weather)

Appendix H


Weather Information Extracted from HK Observatory

King's Park Weather Station - 05 March 2014


Temperature/ Humidity:



Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




King's Park Weather Station - 11 March 2014


Temperature/ Humidity:



Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




King's Park Weather Station - 18 March 2014


Temperature/ Humidity:



Wind Direction:




Wind Speed:




King's Park Weather Station - 29 March 2014


Temperature/ Humidity:



Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:



Appendix I

Certificate of Laboratory and Equipment Calibration



TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE.
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH 45002
 513.467.9000
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE
 513.467.9009 FAX
 WWW.TISCH-ENV.COM

AIR POLLUTION MONITORING EQUIPMENT
 ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Apr 15, 2013 Roots-meter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 294
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1785 Pa (mm) - 750.57

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4050	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9870	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8850	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8420	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6960	12.7	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9967	0.7094	1.4149	0.9957	0.7087	0.8851
0.9925	1.0056	2.0010	0.9915	1.0045	1.2517
0.9904	1.1191	2.2372	0.9894	1.1179	1.3995
0.9894	1.1751	2.3464	0.9884	1.1739	1.4678
0.9840	1.4139	2.8299	0.9830	1.4124	1.7702
Qstd slope (m) = 2.00979			Qa slope (m) = 1.25849		
intercept (b) = -0.01403			intercept (b) = -0.00878		
coefficient (r) = 0.99995			coefficient (r) = 0.99995		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298 / Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT}(\text{H2O}(\text{Pa}/760) (298/\text{Ta}))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} \text{H2O}(\text{Ta}/\text{Pa})] - b \}$$

TSP Sampler Calibration

SITE

Location: **Tsim Sha Tsui**
 Sampler:

Date: **January 10, 2014**
 Tech: **Sam Wong**

CONDITIONS

Barometric Pressure (in Hg):	40.35	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	1025
Temperature (deg F):	59	Temperature (deg K):	288
Average Press. (in Hg):	40.35	Corrected Average (mm Hg):	1025
Average Temp. (deg F):	59	Average Temp. (deg K):	288

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make: **Tisch**
 Model: **TE-5025A**
 Serial#: **1785**

Qstd Slope: **2.00979**
 Qstd Intercept: **-0.01403**
 Date Certified: **April 9, 2013**

CALIBRATIONS

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
1	12.60	2.093	56.0	66.15	Slope = 30.5358
2	9.80	1.847	50.0	59.06	Intercept = 2.6204
3	7.30	1.595	44.0	51.98	Corr. coeff.= 0.9995
4	4.60	1.268	35.0	41.34	
5	2.90	1.008	28.0	33.08	# of Observations: 5


Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)) - b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd) (Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav) (Pav/760)] - b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



High Volume Air Sampler Calibration Worksheet

Project Title: MTR Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works
 Monitoring Location: K11 Commercial Complex
 Calibration Date: 11-Mar-14
 Calibration Due Date: 10-May-14
 Time: 11:30

Sampler Model:	TE5005X
Serial No.:	1713
Calibrator Orifice no.:	1785
Slope (m):	2.00979
Intercept (b):	-0.01403
Correction coeff. (r)	0.99995


Standard pressure (mmHg) Pstd:	763.9
Standard temp. (K) Tstd:	290.8
Calibration pressure (mmHg) Pa:	766.4
Calibration temp. (K) Ta:	288.3

$$\text{Flow (corrected)} = \sqrt{H \times \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \times \frac{Tstd}{Ta}}$$

$$Qstd = \frac{1}{m} \times (\sqrt{H \times \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \times \frac{Tstd}{Ta}} - b)$$

Sample no.	Pressure Drop (H), inch	Flow (corrected), m ³ /min	Actual flow rate (Qstd), m ³ /min	Flow indication (I), arbitrary
1	11.7	3.483	1.740	55.0
2	9.0	3.055	1.527	50.0
3	7.5	2.789	1.395	44.0
4	4.1	2.062	1.033	37.0
5	2.8	1.704	0.855	30.0

Correlation Coefficient : 0.9931



Remark
 1 hPa = 0.750062 mmHg

Calibrated by: **Kelvin Chiang**
 (*Kelvin Chiang*)

Date: 11 March 2014

Checked by: **F.N. Wong**
 (*F.N. Wong*)

Date: 08 April 2014



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 37521

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Address : Room 3, 12/F., New City Centre, 2 Lei Yue Mun Road, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, H.K.

Order No. : Q32432

Date of receipt : 16-Oct-13

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer : B&K

Model : Type 4231

Serial No. : 2685684

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 31-Oct-13

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 942 Class 1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S014	Spectrum Analyzer	35730	NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR
S205	Ref. Sound Level Calibrator	PHCO40002	SCL-HKSAR
S041	Universal Counter	34621	SCL-HKSAR
S206	Sound Level Meter	36203	SCL-HKSAR
S031	6½ dgt. Multimeter	30128	NIM-PRC

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

Approved by : 
Steve Kwan

This Certificate is issued by:

Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646

Date: 31-Oct-13



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 37521

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (dB)	Measured Value (dB)	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
94	94.08	± 0.3 dB
114	114.07	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

2. Frequency

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value	IEC 942 Class 1 Spec.
1 kHz	1.002 kHz	± 2 %

Uncertainty : ± 3.6 x 10⁻⁶

- 3. Level Stability** : 0.0 dB
IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB
Uncertainty : ± 0.01 dB

- 4. Total Harmonic Distortion** : < 0.7 %
IEC 942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3 %
Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test
2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1014 hPa.

----- END -----



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **36604**

Page 1 of 4 Pages

Customer : Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Address : Room 3, 12/F., New City Centre, 2 Lei Yue Mun Road, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, H.K.

Order No. : Q32395

Date of receipt : 4-Sep-13

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Meter (N12-RION-004)

Manufacturer : Rion

Model : NL-52

Serial No. : 00220553

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 10-Sep-13

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type1 specification.

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

<u>Equipment No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Cert. No.</u>	<u>Traceable to</u>
S017	Multi-Function Generator	C127181	SCL-HKSAR
S205	Ref. Sound Level Calibrator	PHCO40002	SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI).

The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
Dorothy Cheuk

Approved by : 
Steve Kwan

Date: 16-Sep-13

This Certificate is issued by:
Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.
Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.
Tel: 2425 8801 Fax: 2425 8646



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 36604

Page 2 of 4 Pages

Results :

1. Self-generated noise: 16.4 dBA (Mfr's Spec ≤ 17 dBA)
2. Acoustical signal test

UUT Setting			Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)
Level Range (dB)	Weight	Response		
30 – 130	L _A	Fast	94.0	94.0
		Slow		94.0
	L _C	Fast		94.0
	L _Z	Fast		94.0
	L _A	Fast	114.0	114.0
		Slow		114.0
	L _C	Fast		114.0
	L _Z	Fast		114.0

IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec. : ± 1.1 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

3 Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-39.8	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.4	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-16.3	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.7	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.3	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1.1 dB
2 kHz	+1.2	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+0.9	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-1.1	- 1.1 dB, + 2.1 dB \sim -3.1 dB
16 kHz	-8.0	- 6.6 dB, + 3.5 dB \sim - 17.0 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 36604

Page 3 of 4 Pages

4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	--	± 0.4 dB
C	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Z	94.0	94.0	0.0	

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)	--	± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	94.0	0.0	
Time-averaging	94.0	94.0	0.0	

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

5. Level linearity on the reference level range

UUT Range	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
130 dB (Ref Level)	129.0	129.0	0.0	± 1.1 dB
	124.0	124.0	0.0	
	119.0	119.0	0.0	
	114.0	114.0	0.0	
	109.0	109.0	0.0	
	104.0	104.0	0.0	
	99.0	99.0	0.0	
	94.0	94.0 (Ref)	--	
	89.0	89.0	0.0	
	84.0	84.0	0.0	
	79.0	79.0	0.0	
	74.0	74.0	0.0	
	69.0	69.0	0.0	
	64.0	64.0	0.0	
	59.0	59.0	0.0	
	54.0	54.0	0.0	
	49.0	49.0	0.0	
44.0	44.0	0.0		

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **36604**

Page 4 of 4 Pages

6. Toneburst response (4kHz)

UUT Setting	Tone Burst Duration(ms)	UUT Reading(dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	Steady	127.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	126.0	-1.0	-1.0 ± 0.8dB
	2	108.9	-18.1	-18.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	99.9	-27.1	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Slow	Steady	127.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	120.2	-6.8	-7.4 ± 0.8dB
	2	100.6	-26.4	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB
Time averaging	Steady	127.0(Ref)	--	--
	200	120.1	-6.9	-7.0±0.8dB
	2	99.5	-27.5	-27.0, +1.3 dB ~ -1.8 dB
	0.25	91.7	-35.3	-36.0, +1.3 dB ~ -3.3 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

7. Overload indication (130 dB range, A-weighted, Time-average, 4kHz)

UUT Reading at overload (dB)		Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
+ ve one half cycle	- ve one half cycle		
138.4	138.2	0.2	< 1.8 dB

The overload indicator latched on until reset

Uncertainty : ± 0.1 dB

Remarks : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure : 996 hPa.

4. Preamplifier model : NH-25 , S/N : 10553

5. Firmware Version: 1.2

6. Power Supply Check: OK

7. The UUT was adjusted with the laboratory's sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

----- END -----

Appendix J

Sample Data Record Sheet

C3840-13C MTRCL Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works

Data Sheet for TSP Monitoring

Monitoring Location		
Details of Location		
Sampler Identification		
Date & Time of Sampling		
Elapsed-time Meter Reading	Start (min.)	
	Stop (min.)	
Total Sampling Time (min.)		
Weather Conditions		
Site Conditions		
Initial Flow Rate, Qsi	Pi (mm Hg)	
	Ti (°C)	
	Hi (in.)	
	Qsi (Std. m ³)	
Final Flow Rate, Qsf	Pf (mm Hg)	
	Tf (°C)	
	Hf (in.)	
	Qsf (Std. m ³)	
Average Flow Rate (Std. m ³)		
Total Volume (Std. m ³)		
Filter Identification No.		
Initial Weight. of Filter (g)		
Final Weight of Filter (g)		
Measured TSP Level (µg/m ³)		

Name & Designation

Signature

Date

Field Operator :

Laboratory
Staff :

Checked by :

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

C3840-13C MTRCL Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works

Noise Monitoring Field Record Sheet

Monitoring Location		
Description of Location		
Date of Monitoring		
Measurement Start Time (hh:mm)		
Measurement Time Length (min.)		
Noise Meter Model / Identification		
Calibrator Model / Identification		
Measurement Results	L ₉₀ (dB (A))	
	L ₁₀ (dB (A))	
	L _{eq} (dB (A))	
Major Construction Noise Source(s) during Monitoring		
Other Noise Source(s) during Monitoring		
Remarks		

Name & Designation

Signature

Date

Recorded By :


Checked by :

Appendix K

Monitoring Results and Plots

Impact Air Quality Monitoring : 24-hour TSP at K11

Location	Monitoring Date	Start Time	Weather Conditions	Temperature	Elapse Time			Flow Rate (CFM)			TSP Concentration (µg/m3)	Action/Limit Levels
					Initial	Final	Sampling Hours	Initial	Final	Average Flow Rate		
K11 Art Mall	4-Mar-14	12:30pm	Overcast	17	651543	653943	24	43	43	43	115.4283	221.6/260
	11-Mar-14	12:20pm	Overcast	18	653943	656343	24	41	41	41	114.8103	221.6/260
	17-Mar-14	11:30am	Fine	18	656343	658743	24	40	40	40	87.7068	221.6/260
	24-Mar-14	11:00am	Rainy	19	658743	661143	24	40	40	40	81.4212	221.6/260



Noise Impact Monitoring Results at K11 (March 2014)


Monitoring Locations	Date	Weather Conditions	Temperature	Wind Speed (m/s)	Start Time	End Time	Background Level dB(A)	Limit Level dB(A)	Leq(30min) dB(A)	L10(30min) dB(A)	L90(30min) dB(A)
11 Art Mall	5-Mar-14	Overcast	17	1	0.49722222	0.5180556	65.3	75	65.6	- *	- *
	11-Mar-14	Overcast	18	1.8	0.53263889	0.5534722	65.3	75	65.5	66.5	63
	18-Mar-14	Fine	18	1	0.45833333	0.4791667	65.3	75	65.2	66.5	62.5
	29-Mar-14	Cloudy	21	0.5	0.68194444	0.7027778	65.3	75	65.9	67.3	63.8

* Not collected

Note:

The limit level of NSR1 is 65dB(A) during school examination period.


Red Bold indicates an exceedance of Limit Level



Appendix L

Flow Chart for Handling Environmental Complaints

Complaint Response Procedure



Appendix M

Waste Management Records

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2014 (year)

Contract No:

C3840-13C Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway

Date Reported:

31 March 2014

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete (See Note 3)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 2)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³ /tonne)
Jan	0.0206	0	0	0	0.0206	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb	0.0233	0	0	0	0.0233	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar	0.0120	0	0	0	0.0120	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-total	0.0558	0	0	0	0.0558	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sept	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0.0439	0	0	0	0.0439	0	0	0	0	0	06

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given below:
 - All excavated materials to be sorted for recovering the inert portion of C&D materials, e.g. hard rocks, soil and broken concrete, for reuse on the Site or disposal to designated outlets;
 - All metallic waste to be recovered for collection by recycling contractors;
 - All cardboard and paper packaging (for plant, equipment and materials) to be recovered, properly stockpiled in dry and covered condition to prevent cross contamination;
 - All chemical wastes to be collected and properly disposed of by specialist contractors; and
 - All demolition debris to be stored to recover broken concrete, reinforcement bars, mechanical and electrical fittings, hardware as well as other fitting / materials that have established recycling outlets.
- (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
- (4) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.