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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## KEY ISSUES DURING REPORTING PERIOD <br> Breaches of Action and Limit Levels

ES01 No Notice of Exceedance (NOE) and the associated investigation and follow-up actions were required as the environmental monitoring results registered no exceedances of Action/ Limit Levels of air quality and construction noise during the Reporting Period.
ES02 No corrective actions were required as the environmental audit during the Reporting Period observed:

1) No deficiencies with major environmental significance of the required environmental mitigation measures;
2) No non-compliance with the required waste management; and
3) No adverse environmental impacts on the nearby sensitive receivers.

## Environmental Complaints

ES03 No environmental complaints were recorded during the Reporting Period.

## Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

ES04 No notification of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded during the Reporting Period.

## Reporting Changes

ES05 No major reporting changes were made during the Reporting Period.

## FUTURE KEY ISSUES

## General

ES06 Full implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, which are required in the EM\&A Plan and summarized in Implementation Schedule, are recommended. Whenever necessary, proper maintenance and improvement of the implemented mitigation measures are reminded.

## Construction Noise

ES07 Particular attention should be paid to construction noise mitigation measures, especially during piling works during the coming construction period to ensure full compliance with statutory and non-statutory requirements and guidelines. Proactive review of working methods, careful selection and arrangement of the noisy equipment as well as effective noise mitigation measures are strongly recommended.

## Water Quality

ES08 In addition, compliance with water quality mitigation measures remains one of the key environmental issues within the construction period, especially when water usage is high.

## Air Quality

ES09 Furthermore, implying of construction dust suppression measures are recommended during dusty activities under dry and windy conditions.

> ES10 Construction dust suppression measures including decking over the excavation areas, watering of exposed site surface and covering of all excavated and stockpiles of dusty material by impervious sheeting or similar materials are reminded.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 REPORTING PERIOD
1.1.1 This is the $7^{\text {th }}$ quarterly $\mathrm{EM} \& A$ report (hereinafter referred as 'This Report') covering construction period from $1^{\text {st }}$ September to $30^{\text {th }}$ November 2015 (hereinafter referred as 'the Reporting Period').
1.1.2 This Report has been written in accordance with the Environmental Monitoring and Audit Plan (hereinafter referred as 'the EM\&A Plan') enclosed in the Project Profile MTR Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works, which is registered in the Environmental Permit No. EP-440/2012 (hereinafter referred as 'the EP') (Register No.: PP-462/2012).

### 1.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.2.1 In order to improve the appearance of Carnarvon Road Entrance D1 and D2 of Tsim Sha Tsui (hereafter referred as 'TST') Station and to provide a more comfortable walking environment nearby, MTR Corporation Limited (hereafter referred as 'MTRC' or 'the Corporation') has commissioned Maeda Corporation (hereinafter referred as 'MC') the contract MTR Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway and Entrances Modification Works (hereafter referred as 'the Project'). The Project is proposed to rebuild the existing Entrance D1 and D2 and construct a new Entrance D3 at the basement B2 level of the K11 Art Mall to connect to the TST station by a subway, which extends from the Entrance D1 and D2 and runs approximately 80m along Carnarvon Road and across the Bristol Avenue to the Entrance D3. The Project was commenced in March 2014 and is anticipated to be completed in September 2017.
1.2.2 The existing TST Station had been in operation before the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (hereafter referred as 'EIAO') came into effect on 1 April 1998. It constitutes an exempted Designated Project (hereinafter referred as 'DP') according to Section $9(2)$ (g) of the EIAO (Cap. 499). As the Project involves a material change to an exempted DP which may have potential environmental impacts, an environmental permit is required prior to the commencement of the modification works. The Project Profile has been developed to provide information for direct application of an environmental permit. The EP has been granted since 18 July 2012, where the Project Profile and the associated EM\&A Plan are registered.
1.2.3 Site map, works area and locations of the environmental monitoring under the Project are illustrated in Figure 1.1 Site Location Plan of Appendix A.
1.2.4 Management structure of the Project, including organization chart, lines of communication and contact names and telephone numbers of key personnel, is demonstrated in Appendix B.
1.2.5 Construction programme is shown in Appendix C, whereas implementation schedule for the recommended environmental mitigation measures (hereinafter referred as 'the Implementation Schedule') is summarized in Appendix $\boldsymbol{D}$, which fine tunes construction activities and shows inter-relationship with environmental protection/mitigation measures for the construction period.

### 1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

1.3.1 As required in the EP, AECOM Consulting Services Limited (formerly known as "URS Hong Kong Limited") has been appointed as the Independent Environmental Checker under the Project (hereinafter referred as 'the IEC'), whereas Hyder Consulting Limited has been appointed as the Environmental Team under the Project (hereinafter referred as 'the ET').
1.3.2 According to the EP Condition 3.2 (a) under Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM\&A) during the Construction Period, baseline monitoring has been completed and the required Baseline Monitoring Report has been submitted to EPD on 14 February 2014 prior to commencement of the works under the Project.
1.3.3 Status of relevant environmental permits, licences, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project is summarized in Table 1-3. They are detailed in Appendix E.

Table 1-3 Summary of Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) | Notification Ref. 365953 acknowledged on 21 <br> Oct 2013. |
| 2 | Water Pollution Control Ordinance <br> (Discharge License) | The discharge license (Ref No. WT0019722- <br> 2014) granted on 01 Sep 2014 superseding the <br> previous license (Ref No. WT00018229-2014) |
| 3 | Billing Account for Disposal of Construction <br> Waste | A/C Ref. 7018523 granted on 25 Oct 2013 |
| 4 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration | Registration Ref. 5213-2214-M2446-16 granted <br> on 4 Mar 2014 |
| 5 | Noise Control Ordinance | Noise Permit Ref No.GW-RE0558-15 for use in <br> September to November 2015. |

### 1.4 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

1.4.1 Construction activities undertaken during the Reporting Period are summarized in Table 1-4:

Table 1-4 Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Period

| 1 | Removal of unforeseen RC structure, left-in pipe pile and sheet pile |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Excavation for temporary staircase |
| 3 | Construction of the temporary staircase reinforced concrete structure |
| 4 | Installation of waling and strut for construction of temporary staircase and <br> cut and cover tunnel |
| 5 | Installation and construction of steel decking |
| 6 | Horizontal pipe piling for mined tunnel |
| 7 | Curtain grout for the mined tunnel |
| 8 | Rock breaking and excavation at vertical shaft |
| 9 | Excavation of top layer for UU identification and support tailoring at G3-4 <br> and trial trench for UU identification at D2. |

2. EM\&A REQUIREMENTS
2.1 AIR QUALITY

## Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

2.1.1 24-Hour Total Suspended Particulates (hereinafter referred as ' $24-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP') is required to be monitored once a week during construction period of the Project.
2.1.2 1-Hour Total Suspended Particulates (hereinafter referred as ' $1-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP') is required to be monitored when exceedances of $24-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP were recorded, following the Event and Action Plan presented in Appendix $F$.

## Action and Limit Levels

2.1.3 The Action and Limit levels (hereinafter referred as 'the $A / L$ Levels') at $K 11$ have been established in the Baseline Monitoring Report in accordance with the derivation criteria specified in Section 3.7 of the EM\&A Plan, which are summarized in Table 2-1-1 as follows:

Table 2-1-1 Derivation of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality at K11, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{3}}$

| Parameter | Action Level | Limit Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-Hr TSP | For baseline level $\leq 200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, Action level $=(130 \%$ of baseline level + Limit level) $/ 2$ For baseline level $>200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, Action level = Limit level | 260 |
| 1-Hr TSP | For baseline level $\leq 384 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, Action level $=(130 \%$ of baseline level + Limit level) $/ 2$ <br> For baseline level $>384 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, Action level $=$ Limit level | 500 |

2.1.4 The established A/L Levels for 24-Hr and 1-Hr TSP are summarized in Table 2-1-2 as follows:
Table 2-1-2 Action \& Limit Levels for Air Quality at K11, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{3}}$

| Parameter | Action Level | Limit Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP | 222 | 260 |
| $1-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP | 373 | 500 |

## Event and Action Plan

2.1.5 In case exceedances of Action and/or Limit levels for air quality occur, Event and Action Plan for Air Quality enclosed in Appendix $F$ will be implemented.

## Environmental Mitigation Measures for Air Quality

2.1.6 Although most of the construction works would be carried out underground, appropriate dust mitigation measures as stipulated in the EP, Project Profile, related environmental regulation including Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation as well as those recommended in the Implementation Schedule should be implemented to control fugitive dust emission. The following key dust suppression measures are recommended:
a) Decking over the excavation areas;
b) Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface, particularly during dry weather;
c) Frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to air sensitive receivers;
d) Cover all excavated or stockpiles of dusty material by impervious sheeting or spraying with water to maintain the entire surface wet;
e) Provision of vehicle washing facilities at the exit points of the site; and
f) Provision of tarpaulin covering for any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site.
2.1.7 Details of the implementation schedule for the required environmental mitigation measures are presented in Appendix $\boldsymbol{D}$.

### 2.2 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

Monitoring Parameters and Frequency
2.2.1 Table 2-2-1 summarizes the monitoring parameters and frequency for construction noise.

Table 2-2-1 Noise Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

| Parameters | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: |
| $L_{\text {eq }}$ in 30 minutes | Once a week |

2.2.2 Monitoring schedules for construction noise for the Reporting Period and the next Reporting Period are prepared and submitted to MTRC, IEC and MC prior to implementation via e-mail and / or facsimile for ease of necessary inspection. Where amendment is necessary under ad hoc conditions, including actual and broadcast adverse weather, accidental instrument failures, etc., advanced notification is given at least 24 hours prior to implementation or as practical as possible.

## Action and Limit Levels

2.2.3 The Action and Limit levels (hereinafter referred as 'the A/L Levels') at K11 have been established in the Baseline Monitoring Report. They are summarized in Table 2-2-2 as follows:

Table 2-2-2 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0700-1900 hours on <br> normal weekdays | When one valid documented <br> complaint is received. | $75^{*}$ |

Note: *70 dB(A) for schools and $65 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ during school examination periods. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

## Event and Action Plan

2.2.4 In case exceedances of Action and/or Limit levels for construction noise occur, the Event and Action Plan enclosed in Appendix $F$ will be implemented.

## Mitigation Measures for Construction Noise

2.2.5 Although no residual noise impact would be generated after the proposed mitigation measures are in place, the general construction noise control measures stipulated in the EP, Project Profile as well as those recommended in the Implementation Schedule should be fully implemented in order to minimize noise impacts during the construction phase. They are summarized as follows:
a) The Code of Practice on Good Management Practice to Prevent Violation of the Noise Control Ordinance (Chapter 400) (for Construction Industry) published by EPD shall be adopted;
b) The statutory and non-statutory requirements and guidelines shall be complied with;
c) Approval for the method of working, equipment and noise mitigation measures intended to be used at the site shall be granted from the Project Engineer before commencing any work;
d) Working methods to minimize the noise impact on the surrounding NSRs shall be formulated and executed, and the implementation of these methods shall be monitored by experienced personnel with suitable training;
e) Noisy equipment and noisy activities shall be located as far away from the NSRs as is practical;
f) Unused equipment shall be turned off;
g) PME should be kept to a minimum and the parallel use of noisy equipment / machinery should be avoided;
h) All plant and equipment shall be maintained regularly;
i) Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized as noise barriers, whenever practicable; and
j) Enclosure of Entrance D1 with acoustic mat during demolition.

### 2.2.6 Details of the implementation schedule for the mitigation measures are presented in Appendix D.

3. MONITORING RESULTS
3.1 AIR QUALITY

Monitoring Results
3.1.1 $\quad 24-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP monitoring during the Reporting Period was conducted following the agreed monitoring schedule.
3.1.2 $\quad 24-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP results of the Reporting Period are summarized in the following Table 3-1. Graphical plots of the parameter are illustrated in Appendix H.

Table 3-1 Summary of 24-Hr TSP Monitoring Results, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$

| Monitoring Date | 24-Hr TSP | A/L Levels |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 September 2015 | 31.3 | Action Level: 222 | Limit Level: 260 |
| 15 September 2015 | 31.8 |  |  |
| 22 September 2015 | 26.5 |  |  |
| 03 October 2015 | Samples were not acquired due to abnormal power supply, which was re-instated on 16 October 2015. |  |  |
| 08 October 2015 |  |  |  |
| 16 October 2015 | 45.9 |  |  |
| 23 October 2015 | 34.6 |  |  |
| 27 October 2015 | 44.6 |  |  |
| 03 November 2015 | 41.6 |  |  |
| 10 November 2015 | 34.0 |  |  |
| 17 November 2015 | 20.2 |  |  |
| 24 November 2015 | 27.2 |  |  |
|  | Mean (Min - Max) | 33.8 (20.2-45.9) |  |

## Discussion

3.1.3 No environmental complaints against air quality were registered during the Reporting Period.
3.1.4 Table 3-1 demonstrates that all $24-\mathrm{Hr}$ TSP results of the Reporting Period were fluctuated below the A/L Level, there were no Action Level or Limit Level exceedances recorded during the Reporting Period.
3.1.5 No Notice of Exceedances (thereinafter referred as 'NOE') and the associated NOE Investigation and remedial actions were required during the Reporting Period.

### 3.2 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

## Monitoring Results

3.2.1 Construction noise monitoring during the Reporting Period was conducted following the agreed monitoring schedule.
3.2.2 Construction noise monitoring results of the Reporting Period are summarized in the following Table 3-2. Graphical plots of the parameter are illustrated in Appendix H.
3.2.3 Weather condition, including wind speeds and directions, during the monitoring period are recorded and shown in Appendix G.
Table 3-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results at K11, dB(A)

| Monitoring Date | Leq (30 min) | A/L Levels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 September 2015 | 69.1 |  |
| 08 September 2015 | 67.6 | Action Level: |
| Any Documented complaint |  |  |
| against construction noise. |  |  |

## Discussion

3.2.4 No environmental complaint against construction noise was registered during the Reporting Period, whereas Table 3-2 demonstrates that all construction noise results of the Reporting Period fell below the Limit Level of the parameter.
3.2.5 Neither NOE nor NOE investigation and the associated remedial actions were required during the Reporting Period.
3.2.6 The Contractor was reminded to pay attention to noisy construction activities within the Reporting Period and the coming quarter. The ET will liaise closely with the Contractor on any unusual level of noise recorded in the upcoming month.
3.2.7 It is recommended that adequate mitigation measures should be implemented during the noisy construction activities in order to alleviate noise nuisance generated from the Project related construction activities.

## Weather Conditions

3.2.8 No weather conditions and any other factors were identified to have significant effects on the monitoring results of air quality and construction noise during the Reporting Period.
3.2.9 Weather information during the Reporting Period which is extracted from Hong Kong Observatory King's Park Weather Station is enclosed for reference in Appendix G.

### 3.3 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Conclusions

3.3.1 No exceedances of $A / L$ Levels of air quality and no exceedances of Action Level of construction noise were registered during the Reporting Period.
3.3.2 No NOE and the associated NOE Investigation and corrected actions were required during the Reporting Period.

## Recommendations

3.3.3 Full implementation of the environmental mitigation measures, which are required in the EM\&A Plan and summarized in Implementation Schedule of Appendix D, is recommended. Where necessary, proper maintenance and improvement of the implemented mitigation measures are reminded.
3.3.4 Construction dust shall be suppressed during dusty construction activities under dry and windy conditions.

## 4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

### 4.1 SITE INSPECTION

4.1.1 Weekly site inspections during the Reporting Period are conducted by MTRC, MC and ET, whereas monthly site inspections of the Reporting Period were jointly conducted by the IEC, MTRC, MC and ET. The site inspection was conducted according to the agreed Site Inspection Checklist, which covers all the site audit requirements stipulated in the EM\&A Plan, PS and all relevant environmental laws.
4.1.2 The completed Site Inspection Checklists are distributed to all relevant parties upon completion of the site inspection for agreement and signature and, where appropriate, for implementation of the recommended corrected actions to promptly rectify the situation.
4.1.3 There were 13 site inspections conducted within the Reporting Period. Deficiencies or findings of the site audits and the associated follow up actions are summarized in Table 4-1:

Table 4-1 Summary of Findings and Follow-Up Actions of the Site Inspection

| Date | Deficiencies or findings | Follow-Up Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Sept-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 08-Sept-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 15-Sept-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 22-Sept-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 29-Sept-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 06-Oct-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 13-Oct-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 20-Oct-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 27-Oct-2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 03- Nov 2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 10-Nov 2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 17-Nov 2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |
| 24-Nov 2015 | No deficiency was observed on site. | Not required. |

4.1.4 As shown in Table 4-1, no deficiencies or non-compliance of environmental mitigation measures or adverse environmental impacts were observed during the Reporting Period.

## 4.2 COMPLIANCE WITH LEGAL / CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 Construction activities under the Project must comply with all environmental protection and pollution control laws in Hong Kong, as well as the contractual requirements of the Project. Table $4-2$ summarizes breaches of legal and contractual requirements.

Table 4-2 Summary of Breaches of Legal and Contractual Requirements

| Month | No. of Breaches | Cumulative no. of Breaches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2015 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2015 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2015 | 0 | 0 |

### 4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS

4.3.1 Environmental complaints are handled following closely the flow chart of complaint response procedure which is enclosed in Appendix I.
4.3.2 Environmental complaints registered during the Reporting Period and cumulative statistics of environmental complaints are summarized in Table 4-3 below:

Table 4-3 Summary of Complaint

| Month | No. of Complaint | Cumulative No. Complaint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2015 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2015 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2015 | 0 | 0 |

### 4.4 NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS/SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

4.4.1 Notification of summons and successful prosecutions registered during the Reporting Period are summarized in Table 4-4 below:

Table 4-4 Summary of Summon and Successful Prosecutions

| Month | Number of Issue | Cumulative no. of Issue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2015 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2015 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2015 | 0 | 0 |

## 5. WASTE MANAGEMENT

### 5.1 WASTE MANAGEMENT

5.1.1 Despite small scale of the Project and the amount of C\&D material that needs to be hauled off site and disposed of is anticipated not to be significant, 3-R waste management i.e. Reduce, Reuse and Recycle, is adopted in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts to be generated from construction of the Project.
5.1.2 Waste management under the Project is performed in accordance with the Waste Management Plan, which has been prepared for implementation of the construction waste mitigation measures in compliance with the requirements stipulated in the EM\&A Plan, PS, Waste Disposal Ordinance and the associated subsidiary regulations.

### 5.2 WASTE MANAGEMENT RECORD

5.2.1 Updated waste management status is detailed in Appendix $\boldsymbol{J}$, where the 3-R status of the construction waste generated from construction of the Project during the Reporting Period is presented.

## 6. FUTURE ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

### 6.1 KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

6.1.1 Future key environmental issues include:

1) Air quality in particular construction dust during dusty construction activities on site, e.g. piling works and excavation works, under dry and windy conditions;
2) Construction noise during noisy activities; and
3) Site surface water run-off and construction wastewater discharge.

### 6.2 Mitigation Measures

6.2.1 To avoid potential adverse environmental impacts of the future key environmental issues stated above, full implementation of the mitigation measures as stipulated in the Implementation Schedule shown in Appendix $\boldsymbol{D}$ is required.
6.2.2 Mitigation measures for air quality, construction noise and water quality implemented to date shall be properly maintained.
6.2.3 Where appropriate, improvement of the implemented mitigation measures is reminded to ensure effectiveness of the mitigation measures.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 7.1 CONCLUSIONS

7.1.1 In compliance with the EP Condition 5.6 of the EM\&A Plan, the environmental monitoring results of the monitored parameters during the Reporting Period, covering 24-hr TSP and Leq(30min), were supported by statistical information including result tables and graphical plots as presented in Appendix H. No exceedances of A/L Levels of air quality and construction noise were recorded. No NOE and the associated investigation as well as follow-up actions were hence required.
7.1.2 The weekly site inspection and audit during the Reporting Period also recorded no non-compliances or deficiencies which carried environmental significance. No followup actions or corrective actions were required.
7.1.3 In addition, no notification of summons and successful prosecutions were registered during the Reporting Period. No remediation actions were hence required.

### 7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

7.2.1 In general, full implementation of the environmental mitigation measures stipulated in the EM\&A Plan and summarized in Implementation Schedule in Appendix D of This Report, are recommended. Where necessary, proper maintenance and improvement of the implemented mitigation measures are reminded.
7.2.2 Adequate mitigation measures are reminded to be implemented in order to alleviate noise nuisance to acceptable levels.
7.2.3 In addition, suppression of construction dust is required during dusty construction activities under dry and windy conditions.
7.2.4 Furthermore, monitoring of site water runoff is reminded to prevent any direct water discharge off site, especially when water usage is high during the construction period. When necessary, the Contractor is reminded to apply additional precautionary measures to prevent any possible environmental deficiency.

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A SITE LOCATION PLAN
APPENDIX B PROJECT ORGANIZATION CHART INENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
APPENDIX C CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME
APPENDIXD IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE
APPENDIX E STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES ANDPERMITS
APPENDIX F EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HKOBSERVATORY
APPENDIX H MONITORING RESULTS AND PLOTS
APPENDIXI FLOW CHART FOR HANDLING ENVIRONMENTALCOMPLAINTS
APPENDIX J WASTE MANAGEMENT RECORDS

## APPENDIX A SITE LOCATION PLAN



## APPENDIX B PROJECT ORGANIZATION CHART IN

 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT










## APPENDIX D IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

| Project Profile Ret. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures \& Main Concerns to address | Implementation Parties | Location of the measure | When to implement the measure | Relevant requirements or standards for the measure to achieve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Noise Impact |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.3.1 | Use of quieter plant | Tominimise construction noise emissions | Contractor | Work site | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Construction } \\ \text { Stage } \end{array}$ | ProPECC PN293 and Noise Control Ordinance |
| S.3.1 | Use of noise enclosure and movable barrier <br> - movable barrier can achieve a $5 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ reduction for movable PME and $10 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ reduction for stationary PME; <br> - noise enclosure can achieve $15 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ reduction for PME; <br> - A typical design barrier with a steel frame of vertical/ cantilever type would be adopted and located close to the noise generating part of PME; <br> - Barrier material of suface mass in excess of $7 \mathrm{~kg}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ shall be required to achieve the maximum screening effect (and minimum $10 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for noise enclosure); <br> - The length of barrier should generally be at least five times greater than its height and the minimum height of a barrier should be such that no part of the noise source will be visible from the noise sensitive receiver being protected. | Tominimize construction noise emissions | Contractor | Work site | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Construction } \\ \text { Stage } \end{array}$ | ProPECC PN293, Noise Control Ordinance and EIAO Guidance Note NO. 912010 |
| S.3.1 | General Construction Noise Control Measures <br> - The Code of Practice on Good Management Practice | To minimize construction noise | Contractor | Work site | $\begin{gathered} \text { Construction } \\ \text { Stage } \end{gathered}$ | ProPECC PN293 and Noise Control |
|  | to Prevent Violation of the Noise Control Ordinance (Chapter 400) (for Construction Industry) published by EPD shall be adopted; <br> - The statutory and non-statutuory requirements and guidelines shall be complied with; <br> - Approval for the method of working, equipment and noise mitigation measures intended to be used at the site shall be granted from the Project Engineer before commencing any work; <br> - Working methods to minimize the noise impact on the surrounding NSRs shall be formulated and executed, and the implementation of these methods shall be monitored by experienced personnel with suitable training; <br> - Noisy equipment and noisy activities shall be located as far away from the NSRs as is practical; <br> - Unused equipment shall be turned off; <br> - PME should be kept to a minimum and the parallel use of noisy equipment / machinery should be avoided; <br> - All plant and equipment shall be maintained regularly; and <br> - Material stockpiles and other structures shall be effectively utilized as noise barriers, whenever practicable. | emissions |  |  |  | Ordinance |

APPENDIX D IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

|  | Air Quality Impact |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.3.2 | Construction Dust Control Measures <br> - Decking will be provided subsequent to the completion of surface excavation works. The duration | To minimise the dust impacts arising from the | Contractor | Work site | Construction Stage | Air Pollution Control (Construction |
|  | of decking is around 13 months after surface excavation works; <br> - Regular watering to reduce dust emissions from all exposed site surface, particularly during dry weather; <br> - Frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to air sensitive receivers; <br> - Cover all excavated or stockpile of dusty material by impervious sheeting or spraying with water to maintain the entire surface wet; <br> - Provision of vehicle washing facilities at the exit points of the site; and <br> - Provision of tarpaulin covering of any dusty materials on a vehicle leaving the site. | construction works |  |  |  | Dust) Regulation |
|  | Water Quality Impact |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.3.3 | Construction Water Quality Impact Measures <br> - The Contractor should design and implement all the mitigation measures and practices specified in the ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" and "Recommended Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts" issued by EPD. <br> - All runofts arising from the construction site should be properly collected and treated to ensure the discharge standards as stipulated in WPCO are met. Silt trap and oil interceptor should be provided to remove the oil, lubricants, grease, silt, grit and debris from the wastewater before being pumped to the public stormwater drainage system. The silt traps and oil interceptors should be cleaned and maintained requarly. | To reduce water quality impact induced by the construction work | Contractor | Work Site | Construction Stage | ProPECC <br> PN1/94; Water <br> Pollution Control <br> Ordinance |


|  | - Any foul effluent should not be discharged into any <br> public sewer and stormwater drain, unless an effluent <br> discharge permit is obtained under the WPCO by the <br> Contractor. <br> - Site toilet facilities, if needed, should be chemical <br> toilets or should have the foul water effluent directed <br> to a foul sewer. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## APPENDIX D IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE



|  | recycling of materials and their proper disposal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landscape and Visual Impact |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.3.5 | Landscape and Visual Measures <br> - Screening of construction works by hoardingsinoise bariers around works area with visually unobtrusive colours | To reduce visual impact by construction works. | Contractor | Temporary Storage <br> Area at <br> Salisbury <br> Road | Construction Stage | EIAO |
| S.3.5 | - Reinstating the affected amenity planting area at Salisbury Foad after the completion of works | To prevent loss of planter atter construction | Contractor | Temporary <br> Storage <br> Area at <br> Salisbury <br> Road | Operation Stage | ETWBTCW No. 22004 |

## APPENDIX E STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS

|  | EDA |  | Maeda Corp <br> Contract No. Tsim Sha Tsui | poration <br> C3840-13C <br> Station Carnarv | von Road Subway |  |  |  |  |  | Last Update: | : 31-August2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licence Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tem No. | Our Ref. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gove } \\ & \text { Ord. } \end{aligned}$ | Type? (Ucense/ Perrmit/ Account/ Notification/ Registration \& etc.) | Description | Submission | Ref. No |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Date of Approval / } \\ \text { Receite } \\ \text { (from PPD) } \\ (00-M M-M M) \end{array}$ | Date of Activation (DO-MM-MTM) |  | Description | Remarks |
| 000 | 000 | Eino | Permit | Environmental Permit | N/A | AEP-400/2012 | N/A | N/A | 18-07-2012 | N/A | Baseline, Air \& Noise Impact Monitoring |  |
| 001 | 001 | APCO | Notifation | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Construction Dust } \\ \text { Notification } \end{array}$ | Form NA - Notification $\mathrm{S} 3(1)$ of APCO (Construction Dust) | 365953 | 18-10-2013 | 21-10-2013 | 01-02-2014 | 01-10-2016 | Demolition of a Buldiding |  |
| 001 | 001 | APCO | Notffation | Construction Dust Notification | Form NA - Notification $\mathrm{S}^{(1)}$ of APCo (Construction Dust) | 365953 | 18-10-2013 | 21-10-2013 | 01-08-2014 | 01-08-2016 | Work carried out in any part of a turneel that t w within 100 m of any exit to the open air |  |
| 001 | 001 | APCO | Notifation | Construction Dust Notification | Form NA - Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust) | 365953 | 18-10-2013 | 21-10-2013 | 01-01-2016 | 01-03-2017 | Construction of the Superstructure of a Building |  |
| 001 | 001 | APCO | Notifation | Construction Dust Notification Notification | Form NA - Notification S3(1) of APCO (Construction Dust) | 365953 | 18-10-2013 | 21-10-2013 | 01-11-2016 | 10-09-2017 | Road Construction Work |  |
| 002 | 002 | Woo | Account | Construction Waste Billing Account | EPD-211 (Form 1) Application for a Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | 7018523 | 18-10-2013 | 25-10-2013 | 25-10-2013 | N/A | Disposal of Ca, Waxte | Application No. WFG12765 |
| 003 | $\begin{gathered} 003 \\ \text { wpoc } \\ \text { woot } \end{gathered}$ | WPCO | Ucence | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Water Discharge } \\ \text { Licence } \end{array} \end{array}$ | EPD-117 (Form A) Application for a Licence of Water Discharge | Wro0019722-2014 | 24-07-2014 | 01-09-2014 | 01-09-2014 | 31-03-2019 | Quartery Report FowRate $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{pH}$ G-9, 5 $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{COD} 80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| 004 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 004 \\ \hline \text { CWPH001 } \end{array}$ | woo | Registration | Chemical Waste <br> Producer | EPD-129 Application for Registration as a Chemical Waste Producer | 5213-214 M 2446 -16 | 15-01-2014 | 04-03-2014 | 04-03-2014 | N/A | Surplus paint, spent lubrucating oil, spent battery |  |
| 006 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 005 \\ \text { CNPHOOS } \end{array}$ | NCO | Permit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction Noise } \\ & \text { Peemit } \end{aligned}$ | EPD74A(s) Form 1-Application for a Construction Noise Permit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Application: 389338 } \\ & \text { Permit: GW-REOS58-15 } \end{aligned}$ | 27-05-2015 | 03-06-2015 | 23-06-2015 | 22-12-2015 | Apply for 4nos Submersible Water pump (Electric) w/ new area to be included |  |

## APPENDIX F EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

## CONSTRUCTION NOISE

| Event | ET ${ }^{-}$ | IEC | ER | Action <br> Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Level | 1. Notify IEC and Contractor. <br> 2. Carry out investigation. <br> 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC and Contractor. <br> 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures <br> 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | 1. Review the analyzed result submitted by ET. <br> 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly. <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance <br> 2. Notify Contractor <br> 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem <br> 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC <br> 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals |
| Limit Level | 1. Notify IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor, and follow other actions <br> 2. Identify source <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency <br> 5. Check Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented <br> 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances <br> 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD, | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions <br> 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ET accordingly <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedances <br> 2. Notify Contractor <br> 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures <br> 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented <br> 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance <br> 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notifications <br> 3. Implement the agreed proposals <br> 4. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control <br> 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated |
|  | ER informed of the results <br> 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring |  | abated. |  |

## APPENDIX F EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

## AIR QUALITY

| Event | ET | IEC ER |  | Action Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Level |  |  |  |  |
| Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source; <br> 2. If valid, inform IEC and ER; <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> 2. Check Contractor's working method. | 1. Notify Contractor | 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; <br> 2. Amend working methods if appropriate |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Identify source; <br> 2. Inform IEC and EPD; <br> 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial action required; <br> 6. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; <br> 7. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> 2. Check Contractor's working method; <br> 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; <br> 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> 5. Supervisor implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. Ensure remedial measure properly implemented. | 1. Submit proposals for remedial action to IEC within 3 working days of notification; <br> 2. Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 3. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit Level |  |  |  |  |
| Exceedance for one sample | 1. Identify source; <br> 2. Inform ER and EPD; <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; <br> 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; <br> 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; <br> 2. Check Contractor's working method; <br> 3. Discuss with ET and the Contractor on possible remedial measures; <br> 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; <br> 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; <br> 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; <br> 3. Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; <br> 2. Identify sources; <br> 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; <br> 4. Increase monitoring | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; <br> 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; <br> 2. Notify Contractor; <br> 3. In consultation with IEC, agree with the Contractor on | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; <br> 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of |
|  | frequency to daily; <br> 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; <br> 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; <br> 7.Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; <br> 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ET accordingly. <br> 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | the remedial measures to be implemented; <br> 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; <br> 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | notification; <br> 3. Implement the agreed proposals; <br> 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; <br> 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION

## 01 September 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）漛展天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：

（c）者溍天戠分 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：


## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

07 September 2015
Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）奋㴗天文分 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：

（C）奋減天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：


## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 15 September 2015

Temperature and Humidity：

（C）青湴天文分 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：

（ㄷ）青展天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：


## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 22 September 2015

Temperature and Humidity：


C）各㴁天文 分 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：

（C）古潧天文合 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：


## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 29 September 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）省湴天我分 Hong Kong Observatory

（C）目㴽天文合 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：

（c）眷涎天文合 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：


APPENDIX G (Continued)
Daily Total Rainfall at King's Park HKO Weather Monitoring Station - September 2015

| Day | September | 24-hr TSP | Noise | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.8 |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 2 | 38.9 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 4 | - |  |  |  |
| 5 | - |  |  |  |
| 6 | - |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7.5 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 8 | - |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 9 | - |  |  |  |
| 10 | - |  |  |  |
| 11 | - |  |  |  |
| 12 | - |  |  |  |
| 13 | - |  |  |  |
| 14 | - |  |  |  |
| 15 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 16 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| 17 | - |  |  |  |
| 18 | - |  |  |  |
| 19 | - |  |  |  |
| 20 | - |  |  |  |
| 21 | 19.5 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1.4 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 23 | - |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 24 | - |  |  |  |
| 25 | - |  |  |  |
| 26 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| 28 | - |  |  |  |
| 29 | - |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 30 | - |  |  |  |
| Total | 89.6 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 03 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）青港天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：

（c）青流天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## 06 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）青胀天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：

（C）备涎天文分 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## 08 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）青源天文分 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：



Wind Direction：


## 09 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）省涎天文分 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：

（c）青港天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


有掂天文含 Hong Kong Observatory

## 13 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）青洊天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：

（C）亚㳀天文 合 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## 14 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：


Wind Speed：

（c）青澊天文合 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## APPENDIX G

WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING’S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 16 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：


Wind Speed：

（c）青搌天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## 19 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）青㨩天文 含 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：

（C）青港天文 含 Hong Kong Observatory

## Wind Direction：



## 20 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）目搌天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：

（c）春涎天文合 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 23 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）学展天文含 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：

（C）青㴽天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING’S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 27 October 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）青港无文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：

（C）目洊天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


APPENDIX G (Continued)
Daily Total Rainfall at King's Park HKO Weather Monitoring Station - October 2015

| Day | Total Rainfall, mm | 24-hr TSP | Noise | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 46.4 | $\checkmark$ |  | Result invalid due to insufficient sampling time. |
| 4 | 38.1 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 15.6 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 50.7 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5.8 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0 | $\checkmark$ |  | Result invalid due to insufficient sampling time. |
| 9 | Trace |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 10 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 13 | Trace |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 14 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0 |  |  | all recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 16 | 0 | $\checkmark$ |  | rainfalir recorded on site during Noise Monitorin |
| 17 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0 |  | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 21 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 22 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0 | $\checkmark$ |  | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 24 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall recorded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 28 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 29 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| Mean/Total | 168.3 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S PARK WEATHER STATION

## 03 November 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）奋㴽天文含 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：
（公里／小時）（於香䔀時間2015年11月4日 4 時50分更新）（Updated at 00：50H on 4 Nov 2015）（km／h）

（C）古涎天文合 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## APPENDIX G <br> WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING＇S

 PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）
## 10 November 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（c）青胀天文含 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：

（C）备㳚天文含 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction：


## 17 November 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）奋淢天文公 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed：

（C）滕涹天文含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Direction：


## APPENDIX G

WEATHER INFORMATION EXTRACTED FROM HONG KONG OBSERVATORY KING’S PARK WEATHER STATION（Continued）

## 24 November 2015

Tempearture／Humidity：

（C）百洊天文 含 Hong Kong Observatory
Wind Speed：

（C）青溇天文含 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction：

（C）滕涹天文 含 Hong Kong Observatory

APPENDIX G (Continued)
Daily Total Rainfall at King's Park HKO Weather Monitoring Station - November 2015

| Day | Total Rainfall, mm | 24-hr TSP | Noise | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 3 | Trace | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall perceiveded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 4 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 5 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 6 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 8 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 9 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall perceiveded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 11 | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 10.4 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 15 | 6.5 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 3.9 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall perceiveded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 18 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 20 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Trace |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 24 | Trace | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | No rainfall perceiveded on site during Noise Monitoring |
| 25 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 30 | Trace |  |  |  |
| Mean/Total | 22.8 |  |  |  |

APPENDIX H ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING RESULTS AND PLOTS
(a) Impact Air Quality Monitoring (24-hr TSP) Results at K11 (September to November 2015)

| Location | Monitoring Date | Start Time | Weather Conditions | Temperature | Elapse Time |  |  | Flow Rate (CFM) |  |  | TSP <br> Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) | Action/Limit Levels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Initial | Final | Sampling Hours | Initial | Final | Average Flow Rate |  |  |
| K11 | 7-Sep-15 | 0:00 | Overcast | 26.5 | 862102 | 864502 | 24 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 31.3 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 15-Sep-15 | 0:00 | Sunny | 26.6 | 866002 | 868402 | 24 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 31.8 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 22-Sep-15 | 0:00 | Sunny | 27.2 | 868402 | 870802 | 24 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 26.5 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 16-Oct-15 | 0:00 | Sunny | 23.7 | 875316 | 877716 | 24 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 45.9 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 23-Oct-15 | 17:12 | Sunny | 26.5 | 877723 | 880000 | 24 | 44 | 45 | 44.5 | 34.6 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 27-Oct-15 | 10:30 | Sunny | 28.9 | 880000 | 882400 | 24 | 42 | 43 | 42.5 | 44.6 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 3-Nov-15 | 10:43 | Sunny | 26.8 | 882401 | 884801 | 24 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 41.6 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 10-Nov-15 | 10:28 | Overcast | 24.0 | 884801 | 887201 | 24 | 41 | 45 | 43 | 34.0 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 17-Nov-15 | 10:35 | Sunny | 27.6 | 887201 | 889601 | 24 | 41 | 44 | 43 | 20.2 | 221.6/260 |
|  | 24-Nov-15 | 10:31 | Sunny | 25.5 | 889601 | 892001 | 24 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 27.2 | 221.6/260 |



APPENDIX H ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING RESULTS AND PLOTS (b) Noise Impact Monitoring Results at K11 (September to November 2015)

| Monitoring Locations | Date | Weather Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Wind Speed } \\ (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Start Time | End Time | Background Level dB(A) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Limit Level } \\ \mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Leq(30min) } \\ \mathrm{dB}(A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { L10(30min) } \\ d B(A) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { L90(30min) } \\ \mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K11 Art Mall | 1-Sep-15 | Cloudy | 2.3 | 10:07 | 10:37 | 65.3 | 75 | 69.1 | 71.0 | 66.5 |
|  | 8-Sep-15 | Sunny | 1.4 | 10:11 | 10:41 | 65.3 | 75 | 67.6 | 68.5 | 65.5 |
|  | 15-Sep-15 | Sunny | 2.8 | 10:01 | 10:31 | 65.3 | 75 | 69.0 | 70.5 | 67.0 |
|  | 22-Sep-15 | Sunny | 1.9 | 16:57 | 17:27 | 65.3 | 75 | 66.5 | 67.5 | 65.0 |
|  | 29-Sep-15 | Sunny | 0.6 | 10:14 | 10:44 | 65.3 | 75 | 67.4 | 68.5 | 66.0 |
|  | 9-Oct-15 | Sunny | 0.5 | 10:12 | 10:42 | 65.3 | 75 | 66.7 | 68.0 | 65.0 |
|  | 13-Oct-15 | Sunny | 0.9 | 9:52 | 10:22 | 65.3 | 75 | 68.0 | 69.0 | 66.5 |
|  | 20-Oct-15 | Sunny | 0.1 | 9:50 | 10:20 | 65.3 | 75 | 66.4 | 67.5 | 64.5 |
|  | 27-Oct-15 | Sunny | 0.4 | 10:07 | 10:37 | 65.3 | 75 | 67.6 | 68.5 | 66.0 |
|  | 3-Nov-15 | Sunny | 2.9 | 10:51 | 11:21 | 65.3 | 75 | 70.9 | 68.5 | 65.5 |
|  | 10-Nov-15 | Overcast | 3.7 | 10:19 | 10:49 | 65.3 | 75 | 67.2 | 68.5 | 65.5 |
|  | 17-Nov-15 | Sunny | 0.2 | 10:30 | 11:00 | 65.3 | 75 | 68.9 | 70.0 | 67.0 |
|  | 24-Nov-15 | Sunny | 2.4 | 11:28 | 11:58 | 65.3 | 75 | 70.9 | 75.0 | 65.0 |

Note:
Red Bold indicates an exceedance of Limit Level

Noise Impact Monitoring Result Plot at K11 (September to November 2015)


## APPENDIX I

## Complaint Response Procedure



## Contract No: C3840-13C Tsim Sha Tsui Station Carnarvon Road Subway <br> Date Reported: 2-December-2015

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C\&D Materials Generated Monthly |  |  |  |  |  | Actual Quantities of Non-inert C\&D Wastes Generated Monthly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rocks and <br> Large Broken <br> Concrete <br> (See Note 3) | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 2) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
|  | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ' $000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ‘ $000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\text {c }} 000 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) | (in ${ }^{6} 000 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) | (in' 000 kg ) | (in ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ tonne) |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Carried from } \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.9342 | - | - | - | 0.9342 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0035 |
| Jan | 0.0682 | - | - | - | 0.0682 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb | 0.0418 | - | - | - | 0.0418 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar | 0.2563 | - | - | - | 0.2563 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0020 |
| Apr | 0.2182 | - | - | - | 0.2182 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| May | 0.1011 | - | - | - | 0.1011 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| June | 0.2604 | - | - | - | 0.2604 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 0.9460 | - | - | - | 0.9460 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0020 |
| July | 0.1806 | - | - | - | 0.1806 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aug | 0.1006 | - | - | - | 0.1006 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sept | 0.0937 | - | - | - | 0.0937 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0011 |
| Oct | 0.0591 | - | - | - | 0.0591 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0061 |
| Nov | 0.0958 | - | - | - | 0.0958 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0060 |
| Dec | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 1.4758 | - | - | - | 1.4758 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0152 |
| Acc. Total | 2.4100 | (accumulated quantity of the project = carried amount + this year amount) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0187 |

Notes:
(1) The performance targets are given below

All excavated materials to be sorted for recovering the inert portion of C\&D materials, e.g. hard rocks, soil and broken concrete, for reuse on the Site or disposal to designated outlets; All metallic waste to be recovered for collection by recycling contractors;

- All cardboard and paper packaging (for plant, equipment and materials) to be recovered, properly stockpiled in dry and covered condition to prevent cross contamination;

All chemical wastes to be collected and properly disposed of by specialist contractors; and
All demolition debris to be stored to recover broken concrete, reinforcement bars, mechanical and electrical fittings, hardware as well as other fitting / materials that have established recycling outlets.
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material
(3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
(4) The waste flow table shall also include C\&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site

